



E - 3.1.3.1

ADULT ANADROMOUS INVESTIGATIONS, SOCKEYE, PINK, CHUM, AND COHO

REPORT, ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME, SU HYDRO STUDIES, 1981



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tit	<u>le</u>		Page No.	
1.	SUMM	ARY ¹		
2.	INTR	ODUCTION	E-2-1	
3.	OBJE	CTIVES	E-3-1	
4.	МЕТН	ODS		
	4.1	Mainstem Investigations	E-4-1	
	4.2	Survey Investigations	E-4-10	
	4.3	Radio Telemetry Investigations	E-4-16	
	4.4	Data Analysis	E-4-27	
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION			
	5.1	Mainstem Investigations	E-5-1	
	5.2	Survey Investigations	E-5-43	
	5.3	Radio Telementry Investigations	E-5-59	
. •			E-0-1	
7.	LITU	RATURE CITED	E-7-1	

LIST OF TABLES

	LIST OF TABLES	
		PAGE
Table E.4.1.	Anadromous adult salmon sampling locations, gear type and operational dates on mainstem Susitna and Yentna Rivers.	E-4-1
Table E.4.2.	Tag type and color used at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations.	E-4-9
Table E.4.3.	Survey schedule on selected salmon spawning streams between Sunshine Station and Chulitna River.	E-4-13
Table E.5.1.	Apportioned sonar counts and Petersen population (tag/recapture) estimates by species and sampling location.	E-5-3
Table E.5.2.	Summary of fishwheel catches by species and sampling location.	E-5-4
Table E.5.3.	Petersen population estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals of sockeye, pink, chum, and cono salmon migrating to Sunsmine, lalkeetna and Curry Stations.	E-5-11
Table E.5.4.	Evaluation of tag loss based on adult spawning ground surveys of sloughs between Sunshine Station and Devil Canyon.	E-5-12

		PAGE
Table E.5.5.	Analysis of sockeye salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Talkeetna and Curry Stations.	E-5-21
Table E.5.6.	Analysis of sockeye salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations.	E-5-23
Table E.5.7.	Analysis of pink salmon lengths, in millimeters, from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna, and Curry Stations.	E-5-30
Table E.5.8.	Analysis of chum salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Station.	E-5-34
Table E.5.9.	Analysis of chum salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations.	E-5-36
Table E.5.10.	Analysis of coho salmon age data by percent from escapement	E-5-41
Table E.5.11.	Analysis of coho salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations.	E-5-42

		PAGE
Table E.5.12.	Mainstem Susitna River salmon spawning locations with	E-5-44
	survey results.	
Table E.5.13.	Results of set gill netting on mainstem Susitna River	E-5-47
	between Devil Canyon and Portage Creek.	
Table E.5.14.	Chum salmon radio tagging data.	E-5-60
Table F.5.15.	Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged	E-5-65
	adult, chum salmon.	
Table E.5.16.	Coho salmon radio tagging data.	E-5-68
Table F 5 17	Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged adult,	E-5-72
TUDIC E, 5. 17.	coho salmon.	2 3 72
	Cono sarmon.	

LIST OF FIGURES

	LIST OF FIGURES	
5	College Design with Sigly stations and major plants	PAGE
Figure E.4.I.	Susitna Basin with field stations and major glacial streams defined.	E-4-2
Figure E.4.2.	1980 Model Bendix Side Scan Salmon Counter with attendant oscilloscope monitoring fish passage.	E-4-4
Figure E.4.3.	Removing flood instated debris from a SSS substrate which has been raised to the surface to allow cleaning.	E-4-5
Figure E.4.4.	Fishwheel operating off west bank Susitna River at Curry Station.	E-4-7
Figure E.4.5.	Electrofishing on mainstem Susitna River at RM 150.6 at the entrance to Devil Canyon.	E-4-15
Figure E.4.6.	Attaching radio transmitter antenna to adult salmon.	E-4-19
Figure E.4.7.	(A) Posterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection not visible in rear of mouth). (D) Pre-anterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection visible in rear of mouth).	E-4-20
Figure E.4.8.	Preparing to release radio tagged chum salmon while tracking another chum salmon in the Susitna River at east bank Curry Station fishwheel.	E-4-25

		Page
Figure E.5.1.	Susitna Basin with field stations and major glacial streams defined.	E-5-2
Figure E.5.2.	Daily sonar counts of sockeye salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations.	E-5-5
Figure E.5.3.	Sector distribution of sockeye salmon passing over side scan sonar substrate where daily sockeye apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts.	E-5-8
Figure E.5.4.	Sector distribution of sockeye and chum salmon, passing over side scan sonar substrates, where daily sockeye and chum apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts.	E-5-9
Figure E.5.5.	Cumulative percent of sonar counts by species at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, and Talkeetna Stations.	E-5-15
Figure E.5.6.	(A-C) Migrational rates of sockeye, pink, and chum Station based on fishwheel recaptures. (D) Migrational rates of sockeye between Sunshine and Curry Station.	E-5-17

Figure E.5.15. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 9B.	Page E-5-53
Figure E.5.16. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 11.	E-5-54
Figure E.5.17. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 21.	E-5-55
Figure E.5.18. Pink and chum salmon live counts by date in Lane Creek.	E - 5-58
Figure E.5.19. Chum and sockeye salmon spawning in Slough 11.	E-5-57
Figure E.5.20. Map of Susitna River mainstem from Talkeetna to Devil Canyon.	E-5-61
Figure E.5.21. Movements of radio tagged chum salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during July and August, 1981.	E-5-62
Figure E.5.22. Movements of radio tagged coho salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during August and September, 1981.	E-5-69
••	

1.0 SUMMARY

A summarization of the data reported in this draft species/subject report is intended for inclusion in the Draft Phase I final report for the Adult Anadromous Fisheries subject area.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the data collected on four species of adult salmon in the Susitna River by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) during the 1981 Su Hydro Aquatic Studies. These studies are a part of the Fish Ecology (Subtask 7.10) Phase I studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project.

The primary objectives of the fish ecology studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project are to: (1) describe the fisheries resources of the Susitna River, (2) assess the impacts of development and operation of the Susitna Hydroelectric Project on this fishery, and (3) propose the mitigation measures to minimize adverse impacts (Alaska Power Authority Susitna Hydroelectric Project, Environmental Studies Procedures Manual, Subtask 7.10, Fish Ecology Impact Assessment and

August 1981). The task of meeting the first of these study objectives is the responsibility of the ADF&G under a reimbursable services agreement (RSA) with the Alaska Power Authority and the second and third are the responsibility of Terrestrial Environmental Specialists (TES).

3.0 OBJECTIVES

The data contained in this draft Anadromous Adult project species/subject report was collected by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to meet the specific objective and tasks outlined in the ADF&G Aquatic Studies Procedure Manual for Phase I in 1981 as follows:

- Objective 1. Determine the scasonal distribution and relative abundance of adult anadromous fish populations produced within the study area.
 - Task 1.1 Enumerate and characterize the runs of the adult anadromous fish.
 - Task 1.2 Determine the timing and nature of migration, milling and spawning activities.
 - Task 1.3 Identify spawning locations within the study area (i.e., subreaches of the mainstem sloughs and side channels, tributary confluences, lakes and ponds, etc.) and estimate their comparative

٠.


4. METHODS

4.1 <u>Mainstem Investigations</u>

Five escapement monitoring stations were established in early June 1981 at the locations identified in Figure E.4.1. Individual site description maps are provided in Figures EA-1 through EA-5. The operating dates and gear deployed at these sites were as listed in Table E.4.1. The Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations were operated under the direction of Su Hydro, Adult Anadromous Investigations personnel. Susitna Station was run by Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division staff.

Table E.4.1. Anadromous adult salmon sampling locations, gear type and operational dates on mainstem Susitna and Yentna Rivers, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

SAMPLING	L00	CATION	PER:	IOD	GE.	AR DEPLOYED
SITE	RIVER	RIVER MILE	BEGIN	END	SONARS	FISHWHEELS
Susitna Station	Susitna	26	6/27	9/2	2	2
Yentna Station	Yentna	04	6/29	9/7	2	2
Sunshine Station	Susitna	80	6/23	9/15	2	4
Talkeetna Station	Susitna	103	6/22	9/15	2	4
Curry Station	Susitna	120	6/15	9/21	-	2



ш

2

Figure E.4.1. Susitna Basin with field stations and major glacial streams defined, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The side scan sonar (SSS) counters used at the escapement monitoring stations were deployed and monitored by trained personnel in accordance with the 1980 Side Scan Sonar Counter Installation and Operational Manual written by the Bendix Corporation (1980). A brief narrative of how a sonar works is provided in the following paragraph.

A sonar counter essentially converts electrical energy into acoustical energy (sound waves) and counts underwater targets by measuring changes in acoustical echoes. Each SSS counter is composed of a transducer, aluminum substrate with reflector (target), an electronic-printer, a 12 volt battery, a solar charger and attendant cableware (Figures E.4.2 and E.4.3). The transducer is vertically mounted on the shore end of the substrate and emits repeating sound signals in a conical 2° and 4° alternating beam just above the substrate. The transducer also receives returning echoes from the target which is mounted vertically on the offshore end of the substrate. The entire substrate rests on the bottom, perpendicular to the shore. As upstream migrant fish pass over the substrate, they reflect transmitted sound waves back to the transducer and are then recorded as counts on the electronic counter-printer. The counter-printer tallys the counts and hourly provides a print-out of the number of fish passing over each of 12 lineal substrate sectors.

During the 1981 season, each SSS counter was monitored a minimum of four times daily for 30 minutes and fish related echoes displayed on an oscilloscope were hand tallyed. The ratio of oscilloscope counts attributed to fish and SSS counts were compared and used to adjust the counter for accuracy. A fishwheel was operated near each counter to provide species




Figure E.4.2. 1980 Model Bendix Side Scan Salmon Sonar Counter with attendant oscilloscope monitoring fish massage, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure E.4.3. Removing flood instated debris from a SSS substrate which has been raised to the surface to allow cleaning, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

composition data for apportioning sonar counts.

The fishwheels used at each project location were of identical design with two baskets and two paddles (Figure E.4.4). Floatation was provided by styrofoam logs shielded by a plywood frame. The baskets had an average length, width and depth of 2.4, 1.7 and 0.6 meters (m) respectively and were constructed of native spruce poles. The basket frames were covered with three inch rubber coated fencing material which was replaced during the season on most baskets by similar size creosote coated webbing. The paddles were also made from spruce poles of the same length and width as the baskets. The fishwheel axles were built from 20.3 centimeters squared spruce logs capped at each end with a steel collar that held a 3.8 cm steel shaft set into self adjusting bearing blocks. The bearing blocks were bolted to an adjustable wooded frame that permitted the axle to be raised or lowered at 15.2 cm steps to a minimum and maximum height of 30.5 and 122 cm, respectively, above the top of the floats. A 122 cm long, 76.2 cm wide and 122 cm deep live box was attached to the inshore side of each fishwheel.

Each fishwheel was held in position in the river by a cable bridle anchored to an onshore deadman and by an inshore mounted boom log lodged between the bank and the inshore float. An inshore weir was used on each wheel, except those at Sunshine Station to deflect inshore migrants into the fishing area of the baskets. Weir panels were constructed of alder and willow poles vertically spaced on one to two inch centers or when available from three inch mesh, fencing material.

F - 4 - 6




Figure E.4.4. Fishwheel operating off west bank Susitna River at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigation, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Each weir was built to conform to the river bottom at the location of installation and extended from the shore perpendicular to the downstream end of the livebox. Weirs were not used at Sunshine Station because of debris problems.

All fishwheels were adjusted daily to insure that the baskets fished within 15.2 cm or less of the bottom. Depending on site characteristics, primarily river velocity, the wheels rotated at speeds ranging from 2.0 to 5.5 revolutions per minute (rpm). The preferred speed was 2.5 rpm based on design.

All fishwheels were scheduled to operate continuously 24 hours per day. However, due to occasional flooding and excessive debris, maintenance and repair work, and at Sunshine Station because of periodically high catches which could not always be processed due to safety and personnel constraints, continuous operation was not always possible. Sampling checks were usually made four times daily at each fishwheel.

Forty sockeye, 25 chum, and 25 coho salmon were sampled daily for age, length, and sex from fishwheel catches at sampling station. Age samples were obtained by removing the "preferred" scale located two rows above the lateral line on a diagonal from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin. Sex was determined from morphologic characteristics. Fork Length (FL) measurements were taken from mid-eye to fork of the tail and recorded to the nearest millimeter (mm). Pink salmon, exclusively two year old fish, were sampled only for length and sex at a rate of 40 per day per station. Average processing time for collection of age, length and sex samples

per fish usually ranged between 20 and 30 seconds. All fish were immediately released following sampling.

All fishwheel intercepted sockeye, pink, chum, and coho salmon at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations were tagged. An exception was that on three non-consecutive days at Sunshine Station an insufficient number of tags were on location to tag the entire catch. Two types of tags were used (Table E.4.2.). At Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations color coded Floy-4 spaghetti tags were deployed. One inch diameter Petersen disc tags were used at Curry Station. The Petersen disc tags were inserted through the cartilage immediately ventral to the insertion of the dorsal fin. Quarter inch diameter buffer discs were used to prevent the tagging pins from wearing through the Petersen disc and causing tag loss. Floy FT-4 spaghetti tags were inserted in same location as the Petersen disc tags and each was secured against the back of the fish by a tightly drawn overhand knot. Tagging time per individual fish ranged from 10 to 30 seconds. All fish were released immediately after tagging.

Table E.4.2. Tag type and color used at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

10.			TAG
TAGGING LOCATION	RIVER MILE (RM)	ТҮРЕ	COLOR
Sunshine Station	80	FT-4/spaghetti	Int. Orange
Talkeetna Station	103	FT-4/spaghetti	Yellow
Curry Station	120	Petersen Disc	Int. Orange

4.2 Survey Investigations

In mid July, a mobile crew was assigned to each of three subreaches of the Susitna River mainstem between the estuary and Devil Canyon as outlined below:

Susitna Station Survey Crew	Estuary to	(RM 0 to RM 61)
	Kashwitna River	
Sunshine Station Survey Crew	Kashwitna River	(RM 61 to RM 108)
	to Chase	
Gold Creek Station Survey Crew	Chase to Devil	(RM 108 to RM 151)
	Canyon	

The crews used a combination of drift gill nets, electroshockers, echo recorders and egg deposition pumps to sample the mainstem Susitna River for presence or absence of mainstem spawning activity. Drift gill nets were deployed over a wide range of sites. Site selection was based on a brief visual assessment of the following criteria which generally suggested suitability of a particular site as a spawning area and the feasibility of operating a drift net:

- 1. Substrate composition
- 2. Relative water velocity
- 3. Water turbidity
- 4. Water depth

- 5. Presence of debris
- Presence of spawned out fish or fish surfacing.

Several times during the season high water conditions obscured many of the visual clues used to identify potential spawning sites. When this occurred, aerial photographs taken earlier during low water flows were examined and from the photos likely spawning areas were identified and sampled.

Drift gill nets used in sampling the mainstem were 15.2 m long, 1.5 m deep, 13.3 cm stretch mesh nylon web, fished from 6.1 m, flat bottom riverboats each equipped with a 75 horsepower jet outboard. A net was typically deployed by casting one end into the river from the bow of the boat as it moved slowly in reverse. The other end of the net was tied to the bow and the boat was then maneuvered in a manner that the net extended semi-perpendicular to the river current. Surface and subsurface debris along with fluctuating depths generally governed the distance fished. These same nets were used in areas that were either too shallow or too narrow to sample effectively by the drifting technique. In some cases, the net was used as a set net by anchoring one end to the boat bow and the other end to a portable anchor or natural deadman. In other instances, the net was deployed as a seine by manual means.

Salmon caught by drift netting, seining or by set netting were not assumed to be spawning at the catch location unless all of the criteria listed below were met:

- 1. Fish exhibits spawning maturation color and morphology.
- Fish expells eggs or milt when slight pressure is exerted on the abdomen.

- Fish is in vigorous condition, with an estimated 25 percent or more of the eggs or milt remaining in the body cavity.
- 4. Additional fish are provided from the site that meet criteria l through 3 above.

Survey crews were equipped with a Lowrance Model LRG-1510B echo recorder to survey the Susitna River mainstem for salmon spawning activity. The plan was to locate fish by directing the transducer beam horizontally across the river bed. A horizontal mode was chosen because of the limitation of vertical scans due to restricted water depths in the mainstem. In conducting a horizontal side scan the recording unit was nearly always tuned to record at the 9.1 or the 18.2 m range to take advantage of refined dimension in resolution and detail on the graph printout. The sensitivity setting on the recorder was set at the 3/4 point or higher for additional detail. The transducer was attached to an adjustable aluminum gunnel bracket that allowed it to be lowered into the water column at various depths. Echo recordings were taken with the transducer in the horizontal mode at depth ranges from two feet from the surface to one foot from the bottom. Sites surveyed were generally semi-placid areas of the river due to the limited ability of the transducer bracket to withstand water force without bending or breaking.

The Sunshine and Gold Creek survey crews conducted salmon enumeration counts on all spring fed sloughs and tributary streams between the Chulitna Riyer and Devil Canyon on a scheduled weekly basis. In addition the Sunshine survey crew made tag recovery counts at pre-selected times on several known spawning tributaries between Sunshine Station and the

Chulitna River confluence (Table E.4.3.),

Table E.4.3. Survey schedule on selected salmon spawning streams between Sunshine Station and Chulitna River, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	1/	SUF	RVEY
SPAWNING AREA	LOCATION (RIVER MILE)	PERIOD	FREQUENCY
Birch Creek	88.4	8/1-8/30 9/7-9/21	weekly weekly
Troublesome Creek	97.8	8/7-8/30 9/7-9/21	weekly
Byess Creek	97.8	8/7-8/21	weekly
Byers Lake	97.8	9/15-9/30	weekly
Question Creek	84.1	9/1-9/30	weekly
Answer Creek	84.1	9/7-9/30	weekly
Swan Creek	97.8	9/21-9/30	once
Horseshoe Creek	97.8	9/21-9/30	once
Clear Creek	97.1	8/21-8/27	once

^{1/} Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River mainstem.

The spawning ground surveys were performed on foot by two crew members. One counted live fish and the other counted carcasses. Tag recovery counts were made at the same time by the crew member enumerating live fish. Tag type and color were recorded by species on each live fish bearing a tag. The second crew member removed tags from carcasses and recorded the tag type, number, color and species.

From late July to mid September, the Gold Creek crew fished four hours every five days, one - 15.2 m long, 1.5 m deep, 13.3 cm stretched mesh

nylon gill net in eddies in the mainstem between Devil Canyon and at RM 149.4, 1/2 mile above Portage Creek. The gill net was staked at one end to the shore and held off shore at the other end in a slight downstream arc by a 35 pound Navy anchor. The species and spawning condition of the fish caught in the net was recorded.

The survey crews electroshocked areas of the mainstem Susitna River with a Model VVP-3C Coffelt electroshocker, using a 3500 watt Homelite generator as a power source (Figure E.4.5). Input to the electroshocking unit was 230 volts alternating current (A.C.) and output voltage was one of three types, A.C., direct current (D.C.), or pulsating D.C. One to three and one half amps of D.C. or pulsating D.C. was found to be effective capturing adult salmon. The output power was split with one lead going to a foot switch and the other to the electrodes; the anode (+) electrode being the dip net and the cathode (-) electrode the boat. Depression of a foot switch allowed the flow of current through the water. The activation period ranged from five to ten seconds followed by a 20 to 40 second pause to avoid a possible herding effect on fish. Safety was accomplished through the use of rubber boots and gloves; in addition, a kill switch was attached to the generator and kept in a ready position by the boat operator at all times.

Egg deposition sampling was conducted with a Homelite two cycle, single stage, backpack mounted water pump and two circular, standing screen baskets with cod and nets. Each basket sampled a 1,800 cm² area. The height of the basket was 45.7 cm. Sampling with this gear was




Figure E.4.5. Electrofishing on mainstem Susitna River at RM 150.6 at the entrance to Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

limited to areas of not more than 45.7 cm deep and where electroshocking or gill netting produced fish which met the previously defined criteria for spawning or where visual surveys earlier in the season revealed suspect redds or spawning activity.

4.3 Radio Telemetry Investigations

Radio tracking operations targeted on chum and coho salmon, a sample size of 11 chum and 10 coho salmon was chosen in this study. The radio telemetry transmitters, receivers, and antennas were obtained from the Smith-Root Corporation. Transmitters were individually identifiable and operated on a carrier frequency ranging from 40.650 to 40.740 mHz. Transmitter life expectancy was 75 to 90 days.

Each transmitter was encased in a rubber coated, waterproof plastic case and anchored to an insulated, water tight antenna wire. The transmitters were cylindrical in shape, weighed 23.6 grams each and measured 7.6 cm long, by 1.6 cm in diameter. They were fitted with a 13.0 cm long antenna. A small bar magnet was taped to each transmitter to break the electrical circuit and conserve battery life until used.

Prior to field operations, the radio transmitters were immersed in water for 48 hours and tested for signal strength and frequency on both manual and scanning receivers. Malfunctioning transmitters were returned to the manufacturer for repair. To enable anglers to return the transmitter and catch data to project personnel, adhesive waterproof labels were then affixed to those transmitters which tested satisfactorily.

Preliminary literature research revealed no information about internal radio transmitter implants on chum salmon. During late July three adult chum salmon were experimentally radio tagged with dummy transmitters to insure that proposed techniques would not injure the fish. specimens were taken from Sunshine Station fishwheels. Each fish was transferred by net from the fishwheel holding box to a wooden, two compartment tank containing approximately 60 liters of fresh Susitna River water in each compartment. Within 2 to 5 minutes the fish would usually relax and be measured (FL) before being examined briefly for external wounds and spawning condition. Vigor was appraised prior to and during this inspection and any fish displaying little or no movement or loss of equilibrium was deemed "stressed". Fish with fresh wounds or those fitting the definition of "stressed" were classified as unsuitable for tagging. Stressed fish were gently removed from the tank and held in shallow, slow moving water by hand until they revived and forcefully swam away.

Three fish were found to be suitable for experimental tagging and preparations were made to implant the radio transmitter. Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-222), an anesthetic, was sprinkled sparingly in the water of one compartment in an amount that caused a slight decrease in opercular movement followed by loss of equilibrium within 2-5 minutes. Slightly more anesthetic was added if the fish remained active after the first applications.

Before implantation, a #2, nickel finish, beak hook was tied to the free end of the antenna wire. The antenna, with attached hook, was placed

hook first into a 1.95 cm diameter, 50.2 cm long plexiglass tube which served as an insertion instrument. A wider, 2.5 cm diameter, 32.4 cm long plexiglass tube was slid over the small tube until the transmitter was cradled in the larger tube. Glycerine, a water soluble lubricant, was liberally poured on the transmitter to ease insertion in the fish. As one person held the fish ventral side up with the head elevated at about a 45° angle, the other person inserted both tubes and the transmitter to the fish's esophagus. The smaller rod was slowly pushed inward until the transmitter disappeared from view into the stomach. The fish was immediately immersed for 20 to 30 seconds and lifted again at the same angle. The antenna hook was positioned slightly off center in the roof of the mouth to prevent rupturing a major artery and pressure applied until the barb protruded (Figure E.4.6). The transmitter was verified to be in its original position. The fish was transferred to the adjacent compartment of the tank containing fresh water and revived.

The first experimentally implanted transmitter was positioned in the posterior of the stomach [Figure E.4.7(A)]. Immediately after tagging, the fish was pithed and necropsied. The stomach was found to be very thin walled and had ruptured. The tear was 5.3 cm long and extended from the posterior end of the transmitter toward the fish's mouth. The second and third chum salmon experimental implants were made in progressively anterior positions, posterior of the esophagial sphincter muscle.

Despite the anterior transmitter location the thin walled stomachs ruptured [Figure E.4.7(B-C)]. The antenna also extended too far forward in the fish's mouth; allowing it to sag and become entangled in the lower jaw and gills.



Figure E.4.6. Attaching radio transmitter antennae to adult salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure E.4.7. (A) Posterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (B) and (C) Progressively anterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection not visible in rear of mouth). (D) Pre-anterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection visible in rear of mouth). Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

From these results the decision was made to implant the transmitter in the anterior portion of the stomach cavity in chum salmon [Figure E.4.7(D)]. This location was determined to be when the anterior (antenna) end of the transmitter just disappeared from sight behind the esophagial sphincter. When so positioned, the rubber coated reinforcement at the antenna/transmitter connection point is visible in the rear of the fish's mouth.

The problem of antenna placement was remedied by lacing the antenna through the fish's kype. To accomplish this the hook method was rejected and an extension was added to the antenna. A six inch piece of heat-toshrink material, a wire insulating material made of plastic, was fastened to the anterior two cm of the antenna. Following transmitter implantation a hollow Floy tagging needle was used to pierce the kype from inside the mouth. Care was taken to avoid puncturing the major artery that lies at the center of the roof of the mouth. The heat-to-shrink material was slid into the hollow needle and the needle pulled through the kype, lacing the elongated antenna through the tissue. This allowed maximum extension of the antenna without damage to gills and simultaneously suspended the antenna so that signal transmission was enhanced. The antenna extension was secured to the dorsal surface of the kype by crimping one-half of a precut size 10/12 electrical butt splice on the heat-to-shrink material. A plastic buffer pad was placed between the flesh and the butt splice to prevent tissue damage. Any excess heat-toshrink material was then removed above the butt splice.

F - 4 - 2 1

During live radio transmitter implants the procedures outlined above for fish capture and selection were used. Prior to insertion however, the tag was checked a final time while submerged in a container of water and tested for signal strength and frequency of transmission. The heat-to-shrink material was installed on the antenna wire and the fish anesthetized as described previously. Sex of the fish was determined by external examination of morphological characteristics. The fish was then suspended in a moistened canvas sling and weighed to the nearest 0.1 kg and returned to the anesthetic tank. As the fish was held firmly against one side of the tank a numbered Petersen disc with buffer pad was mounted on a presharpened needle and inserted about 2.5 cm beneath the second dorsal fin ray. A blank Petersen disc was then slipped on the protruding needle, and the disc snugged against the flesh by twisting the needle firmly against the blank disc. The measuring, weighing and Petersen disc tagging process usually took 60 to 90 seconds.

The radio transmitter was next inserted and the antenna anchored through the kype. Four to six fresh river water changes were made while the fish recovered. When the fish displayed increased muscular and gill activity it was carefully removed from the tank and held by hand in the river until it forcefully swam away. Tag implanting and antenna anchoring usually took two to three minutes. Total elapsed time for the entire tagging process between introduction of MS-222 and first addition of fresh river water varied from eight to 12 minutes, depending on how long it took the fish to become sedated. Recovery times from the anesthetic ranged from seven to 30 minutes depending on how much MS-222 was required to sedate the fish.

During the tagging process the fishwheel was deactivated for 20 minutes to prevent recapture upon release. Movement of the fish was noted with a loop or paddle antennae for ten to 20 minutes after release (Figure E.4.8).

Fish tracking was conducted by boat along the mainstem Susitna River from (RM) 99.0 to as far upstream as RM 142.0. Tracking was conducted from a 6.6 m Wooldridge riverboat powered by a 460 cm³ four cylinder inboard engine with a two-stage Hamilton jet. Tracking occurred at one to four day intervals depending on stream flow conditions and fish distribution.

Fish tracking was conducted with a manual and a scanning receiver powered by battery packs. The receivers and battery packs were encased in a wooden, waterproof box. A large loop antenna and an outdoor speaker were connected to the scanning receiver to detect and signal the occurrence of a radio tagged fish while monitoring from the boat. A smaller paddle antenna was connected to the manual receiver to pinpoint a tagged fish's location to within six meters. While the scanning receiver automatically searched all transmitter frequencies in use, the individual operating the manual tracker scanned for transmitter frequencies when a tagged fish was detected. A triangulation procedure was implemented by rotating the loop antenna slowly from various river locations. The position of the fish was determined and its location plotted on black and white aerial photographs (scale 1:4,000) of the river. Its position was then logged to the nearest 0.1 river mile.

Monitoring of tagged fish was conducted by air at one to four day intervals from a Cessna 185 aircraft. A loop antenna was fastened to each wing strut with hose clamps. The antennas were fixed parallel to the fuselage with the handle facing forward. The broad face of the loop faced the fuselage and the narrow surface of the loop was perpendicular to the ground. One antenna was connected to a manual receiver and the other to a scanning receiver inside the airplane. Each antenna cord was reinforced with duct tape where it passed through the doorway. A speaker was connected to the scanning receiver and headphones to the manual receiver. The manual receiver was monitored by one person while the other monitored the scanning receiver and plotted the position of the aircraft. Locations of tagged fish were identified by signal strength to \pm 0.1 mile and marked on vinyl encased, black and white aerial photographs (scale 1:40,000).

Radio transmitter implantation methodology for coho salmon was initially identical to that described for chum salmon, however transmitter and antenna modifications were required to prevent transmitter regurgitation by adult coho salmon. The first two tagged coho salmon carried extremely anterior implanted transmitters with the heat-to-shrink material antenna modification. However, the third tagged coho salmon regurgitated the transmitter while recovering from tag implantation.

To prevent future transmitter regurgitation by coho salmon, a wire modification was adopted. A 30 cm long piece of 16 gauge baling wire was wrapped twice around the anterior tip of the transmitter and extended forward, parallel to the antenna. Several wraps of waterproof plastic



Figure E.4.3. Preparing to release radio tagged chum salmon while tracking another chum salmon in the Susitna River at east bank Curry Station fishwheel, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Monitoring of tagged fish was conducted by air at one to four day intervals from a Cessna 185 aircraft. A loop antenna was fastened to each wing strut with hose clamps. The antennas were fixed parallel to the fuselage with the handle facing forward. The broad face of the loop faced the fuselage and the narrow surface of the loop was perpendicular to the ground. One antenna was connected to a manual receiver and the other to a scanning receiver inside the airplane. Each antenna cord was reinforced with duct tape where it passed through the doorway. A speaker was connected to the scanning receiver and headphones to the manual receiver. The manual receiver was monitored by one person while the other monitored the scanning receiver and plotted the position of the aircraft. Locations of tagged fish were identified by signal strength to \pm 0.1 mile and marked on vinyl encased, black and white aerial photographs (scale 1:40,000).

Radio transmitter implantation methodology for coho salmon was initially identical to that described for chum salmon, however transmitter and antenna modifications were required to prevent transmitter regurgitation by adult coho salmon. The first two tagged coho salmon carried extremely anterior implanted transmitters with the heat-to-shrink material antenna modification. However, the third tagged coho salmon regurgitated the transmitter while recovering from tag implantation.

To prevent future transmitter regurgitation by coho salmon, a wire modification was adopted. A 30 cm long piece of 16 gauge baling wire was wrapped twice around the anterior tip of the transmitter and extended forward, parallel to the antenna. Several wraps of waterproof plastic

tape secured the wire to the transmitter. The tip of the antenna was extended and taped to the wire to enhance signal transmission and prevent it from causing possible abrasion to the fish.

Implantation techniques were identical to those for chum salmon although prior to pushing the sharpened wire through the kype, an outward facing loop was made, so that it rested against the inside of the kype. A buffer was then snugged against the dorsal side of the kype and one half of an electrical connection was crimped over the wire and against the buffer. The wire loop and buffer-crimp combination prevented the transmitter from moving forward and being regurgitated by the fish.

4.4 Data Analysis

Population estimates presented in the report were calculated using the following formulas (Ricker, 1975):

$$\hat{N} = mc/r$$

Where: m = Number of fish marked (adjusted for tag loss).

c = Total of fish examined for marks during sampling census

r = Total number of marked fish observed during sampling census

 \hat{N} = Population estimate

The 95% confidence limits around $\stackrel{\wedge}{N}$ were determined by using the formula (Dixon and Massex, 1969):

$$r/c + 1.96\sqrt{\frac{r/c(1-r/c)}{c}} < r/c < r/c - 1.96\sqrt{\frac{r/c(1-r/c)}{c}} = .95$$

$$r/c_{upper} (1/m) < 1/N < r/c_{lower} (1/m)$$

Tag loss was calculated using data derived from repeated spawning ground surveys of placid sloughs where survey conditions permitted unrestricted (visual) observation of tag loss through inspection of spawning areas for shed tags and accurate enumeration of fish with tags in place. In calculating tag loss, the number of tagged fish examined (t) were summed with the number of loose tags (1) respective to tag type. The resulting summation (1 + t) was then divided into the number of fish with tags (t) in place to provide a percentage on tag retention (R). The above is mathematically stated in the formula: $\frac{t}{1+t} = R \times 100\%.$

The percentage was then multiplied by the number of fish by species tagged at the particular tagging location being examined, for an appropriation adjustment to the number of fish released.

Age determination was made by scale examination using a portable microfiche reader and the age class described using Gilbert-Rich notation. By the notation, age 4_2 fish are those fish in their fourth year of life that migrated from freshwater to the marine enviornment in their second year of life having spent one winter rearing in fresh water.

5.0 Results and Discussion


5.1 Mainstem Investigations

Table E.5.1. summarizes the salmon escapement estimates by species at each of the mainstem Susitna River and Yentna River stations (Figure E.5.1.) as determined from SSS counters and Petersen tag and recapture operations. Fishwheel catches are summarized in Table E.5.2. Daily sonar counts and fishwheel catches by sampling station are provided in Tables EB-1 through EB-8 and ED-1 through EC-10, respectively. The following subsections outline by species the specific results of escapement sampling at the above defined stations.

Sockeye Salmon

At Susitna Station 340,232 sockeye were counted (Table E.5.1.). Fiftyone percent of those counted migrated across the east bank SSS counter and 49 percent over the west bank counter. The migration principally extended from 29 June to 24 August with the mid-point occuring on 17 July (Figure E.5.2). Seventy-five percent of the sockeye escapement passed in a 13 day period from 11 July to 23 July. Fishwheels operating at Susitna Station intercepted a total of 4,087 sockeye salmon. Fishwheel catch per hour plotted against time (Figure ED-1) indicates the peak of migration occurred between 10 July and 19 July with the majority of the sockeye salmon migrating along the west bank.

A total of 139,401 sockeye salmon were logged by the SSS counters at



N

Figure E.5.1 Susitna Basin with field station and major glacial streams defined, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E.5.1. Apportioned sonar counts and Petersen population (tag/recapture) estimates by species and sampling location, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

			ESCAPEMENT ESTIMATES										
SAMPLING	RIVER	S	OCKEYE	PI	NK	(CHUM	СОНО					
LOCATION	MILE	Sonar	Petersen	Sonar	Petersen	Sonar	Petersen	Sonar	Petersen				
Susitna Station	26	340,232	<u>.</u>	113,349	-	46,461	-	33,470	-				
Yentna Station	04	139,401	-	36,053	-	19,765	-	17,017	-				
Sunshine Station	40	89,906	130,450	72,945	48,459	59,630	256,667	22,793	24,415				
Talkeetna Station	103	3,464	4,780	2,529	2,574	10,036	20,244	3,522	3,291				
Curry Station	120	_	2,812	-	1,052	-	12,934	-	1,164				

Ш

Table E.5.2. Summary of fishwheel catches by species and sampling location, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	_		CATCH								
SAMPLING LOCATION	RIVER MILE	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	COHO						
Susitna Station	26	4,087	691	250	329						
Yentna Station	04	7,000	2,729	1,415	1,122						
Sunshine Station	80	9,528	7,099	9,167	2,928						
Talkeetna Station	103	391	371	1,273	527						
Curry Station	120	461	227	1,276	182						




Figure E.5.2. Daily sonar counts of sockeye salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Yentna Station (Table E.5.1). Ninety-two percent migrated over the south bank and eight percent over the north bank counters. The beginning, mid-point and end of migration occurred on 1 July, 16 July and 3 August respectively (Figure E.5.2). Seventy-five percent of the fish passed in a 12 day period between 12 July and 23 July. A total of 7,000 sockeye were caught in fishwheels at Yentna Station. Fishwheel catches indicate that the peak of migration occurred between 13 July and 15 July with the majority of fishwheel interceptions (70.0%) on the south bank (Figure ED-1).

Sunshine Station passed 89,906 sockeye salmon over the SSS counters. Sixty eight and nine-tenths percent were counted on the east bank sonar and 31.1 percent on the west bank counter. The migration began principally on 16 July, reached a mid-point on 23 July and was over on 20 August (Figure E.5.2). Seventy-five percent of the sockeye migrated over the counters in an 11 day period between 19 July and 28 July. A total of 9,528 sockeye salmon were intercepted by fishwheels at Sunshine Station. Based on fishwheel catch records (Table ED-2) the peak migration occurred between 18 July and 23 July. The highest catches (83.2%) were made on the east side of the river.

At Talkeetna Station 3,464 sockeye salmon were counted. The majority of the fish (54 percent) were enumerated on the west bank SSS counter. The migration principally began on 23 July and was complete by 8 August. The mid-point occured on 31 July (Figure E.5.2). Seventy-five percent of the fish were counted between 23 July and 6 August. Talkeetna Station fishwheels intercepted 391 sockeye salmon. From a plot of the mean


hourly fishwheel catch (Figure ED-2) it appears that the peak of migration occurred between 27 July and 1 August with sockeye showing no apparent bank preference.

Curry Station fishwheels intercepted a total of 470 sockeye salmon with the majority (87.2%) caught on the east bank. A plot of fishwheel catch per hour indicates that migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 18 July, 5 August and 29 September respectively (Figure ED-3).

Accuracy of population numbers generated by SSS is dependent upon site location and species enumerated. Recognizably, sonar counters do not enumerate every fish that migrates upstream. They accurately count those which pass over the counting plane or substrate of the counter but not those which migrate outside or offshore of the range of the sonar. Water depth, velocity, channel configuration and location or absence of obstructions are variables which influence where salmon migrate in the river at a particular time and location. It has been shown that sockeye and pink salmon usually migrate near shore within 60 feet or less of the bank (Tarbox, et. al., 1980). This appears to be generally less true of other salmon species. However, at Sunshine Station chum salmon were found to migrate closer inshore than sockeye salmon at either Susitna, Yentna, or Sunshine stations (Figures E.5.3 and E.5.4).

Sonar sector count data indicates that salmon, of all species, tend to display greater bank preference the further they progress up the Susitna River (Figures EE-1 to EE-8). To illustrate this, 42.6 percent of the counts on the east bank and 18.7 percent on the west bank at Susitna

20 foot substrate: One sector = 1.5 feet 40 foot substrate: One sector = 3.0 feet 60 foot substrate: One sector = 4.5 feet






Figure E.5.3. Sector distribution of sockeye salmon passing over side scan sonar substrates where daily sockeye apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure E.5.4. Sector distribution of sockeye and chum salmon, passing over side scan sonar substrates, where daily sockeye and chum apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Station were registered in offshore sectors 6 to 12. At Talkeetna Station, 4.9 percent and 2.2 percent were recorded in the same sectors on the east and west bank respectively, an indication that SSS counters become more effective counting all salmon species in the upper reaches of the Susitna River. This is probably due to water velocities, channel configuration and river gradient.

Sockeye salmon population estimates derived from fishwheel tagging operations at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations indicate that approximately 130,450, 4,800 and 2,800 sockeye salmon were present at each site respectively. The 95% confidence limits on these estimates along with the components used to calculate them are presented in Table E.5.3.

These population estimates, as with others which will be presented on the in this report, should not be considered to be the actual number of fish, in this case sockeye salmon, that spawned upstream of the tagging location. The sockeye estimates represent only the number that were present at the particular tagging station. Other Susitna River investigations have revealed that all adult salmon species mill to some degree in the mainstem and that it is not uncommon to find adult salmon in the mainstem well upstream of their spawning destination (Barrett, 1974 and Friese, 1975).

A further factor in considering the population estimates is tag loss and tag induced mortalities. Both are capable of introducing positive bias to the estimates (Everhart, et. al., 1975). Tag induced mortalities were not considered significant due to minimal amount of time (10-20)

Table E.5.3. Petersen population estimates and corresponding 95% confidence intervals of sockeye, pink, chum, and coho salmon migrating to Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

LOCATION OF	_				
POPULATION ESTIMATE	PARAMETER1/	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	СОНО
Sunshine Station	m c r	8,179 4,721 296	5,900 6,045 736	7,600 9,047 270	2,420 3,501 291
	N N	130,450	48,459	256,667	24,415
	95% C.I.	117,491- 146,621	45,386- 51,978	229,682- 290,837	22,199- 27,125
Talkeetna	m C r	322 4,142 279	258 798 80	1,142 5,903 333	454 848 117
Station	Ñ	4,780	2,574	20,244	3,291
	95% C.I.	4,294- 5,391	2,131- 3,249	18,331- 22,602	2,817- 3,956
Curry Station	m C	357 3,040 386	183 69 12	1,068 4,633 333	133 105 12
	Ñ	2,812	1,052	12,934	1,164
	95% C.I.	2,572- 3,101	695- 2,166	11,728- 14,418	759- 2,489

^{1/}m = Number of fish marked (adjusted for tag loss)

c = Total fish examined for marks during sampling census
r = Total number of marked fish observed during sampling census

N = Population estimate

C.I. = Confidence interval aroung \hat{N}

seconds) required to tag a fish, and the general vigorous condition of the fish caught in the fishwheels. Tag loss was taken into consideration by adjusting the total number of fish tagged by species according to percent occurrence of loose tags found during foot surveys of clearwater spawning sloughs. This provided an independent tag loss factor for Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station which was 7.5 percent and 3.4 percent respectively (Table E.5.4). The difference in tag loss factor between the two stations can be attributed to the difference in tagging quality. At Sunshine Station the total number of fish tagged was 24,159 compared to 2,176 at Talkeetna Station. The maximum number of fish tagged in a single day at Sunshine Station was approximately 1,700 fish versus 250 fish at Talkeetna Station. The tag loss factor of Curry Station tagged fish was presumed to be insignificant (less than one percent) based on survey crews not finding any shed Petersen disc tags during spawning ground surveys and the general difficulty encountered in removing these tags from carcasses.

Table E.5.4. Evaluation of tag loss based on adult spawning ground survey of sloughs between Sunshine Station and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

TAG TYPE	TAGGING STATION	NO. TAGGED FISH EXAMINED	NO. TAGS SHED	TOTAL NO. TAGS	PERCENT TAG RETEN- TION
Orange/Floy FT-4	Sunshine	335	27	362	92.5
Yellow Floy FT-4	Talkee tna	397	14	411	96.6

There is some discrepancy between populations estimates from sonar counts of fish, versus estimates from the tag and recapture project (Table E.5.1). Both estimates have deficiencies that must be recognized. It should not be assumed that all fish pass over the SSS substrate. As previously discussed, the sector distribution of salmon will vary with site and species, with an undetermined number of salmon passing beyond the SSS counting range. A major source of error present in SSS counts is related to the methods of apportionment and the bias inherent in those methods. Although all fishwheels used to apportion the SSS counts were in close proximity to the counters it must be recognized that fishwheels can be species selective. The apportioned sonar counts would then reflect the selected catchability of the fishwheel. In addition, SSS counters are adjusted for fish velocity and sensitivity, thereby introducing an unknown variance component into the counts. Methods of calculating confidence intervals around the population estimates are not available for SSS counts because, at this time, it is not feasible to duplicate a counting sample at one site at the same time, which does not allow for a sampling estimate for the variance. It should be realized that SSS counts are not absolute population numbers and at this time should be considered an index of species abundance at a specific location. Tag and recapture methods of estimating the population and the Petersen estimate in particular make six assumptions which are listed in Begon (1979). It is realized that failure to meet these assumptions will bias the population estimate and consequently the confidence intervals. following assumptions were made in estimating population size: fishwheel capture of salmon was random with respect to the population; there was no mortality as a result of the tagging process; there was no differential mortality between tagged and untagged salmon; tagged salmon mixed randomly within the population; and recovery of tagged salmon was not influenced by the tag. The net result of tag loss, if not accounted for, will result in an overestimation of the population and conversely if tagged salmon are more readily visible than untagged salmon the resulting bias will cause the population estimate to be low. In summary, it should be recognized that both methods of enumerating salmon have potential drawbacks but at this point they represent the state of the art in estimating population sizes in glacial river systems. The discrepancy, where they exist, between Petersen population estimates and SSS counts reflect the limitations inherent in both techniques.

From the sonar data the migrational timing of sockeye salmon between the mainstem sampling stations indicates that those passing Susitna Station bound to the Yentna River made the six mile trip in one day or less, and of the fish migrating past Susitna Station to Sunshine Station and destined to Talkeetna Station had an average travel time of 8 days and 13 days respectively (Figure E.5.5). This is an average travel rate of 6.8 miles/day between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station and 4.6 miles/day between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station. These migrational rates are considered valid if there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River sockeye salmon stocks.

An insufficient number of tagged sockeye salmon recaptures were made at Talkeetna Station to determine the average travel time rate between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station. The data indicates that the minimum travel time between these stations was three days or a travel




Figure E.5.5. Cumulative percent of sonar counts by species at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

speed of 7.7 miles/day (Figure E.5.6). Tag recaptures of sockeye salmon at Curry Station indicates a minimum travel time of five days from Sunshine Station to Curry Station and one day from Talkeetna Station to Curry Station (Figure E.5.7). The average migration time between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station based on the tag recapture data was approximately five days or a travel speed of approximately 3.5 miles/day.

Our investigations reveal that sockeye salmon generally reduced their travel speed the farther they migrate upstream. A possible explanation for this observation is that sockeye salmon display greater milling behavior as they approach their natal stream therein reducing their net travel speed. This behavior was indicated by a significant number of sockeye salmon recaptures at Talkeetna Station that were intercepted more than 26 days earlier at Sunshine Station located 23 miles downstream from Talkeetna Station (Figure E.5.6).

The sonar counts and fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Yentna Station, and Sunshine Station indicate a strong preference by sockeye salmon to favor one bank of the river depending on the location. Sockeye salmon were more abundant on the west side of the Susitna River at Susitna Station and were more numerous on the east bank at Sunshine Station. Yentna Station recorded higher sonar counts and fishwheel catches along the south bank along off the north bank. At Talkeetna Station, sockeye salmon utilized both sides of the river without any notable preference. The fishwheel catches at Curry Station indicate that sockeye are significantly more abundant on the east side of the river than on the west side (Figure ED-3).




Figure E.5.6. (A-C) Migrational rates of sockeye, pink, and chum salmon between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station based on fishwheel recaptures. (D) Migrational rates of sockeye between Sunshine and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure E.5.7. Migrational rates of sockeye, pink, chum, and coho salmon between Talkeetna and Curry Stations based on fishwheel recaptures, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The migrational preference displayed by sockeye salmon for a particular side of the river appears to be closely tied to site characteristics when proximity or distance to a spawning area is not a factor. Agents influencing bank preference in a specific reach of the river may be velocity, water depth and channel configuration and presence or absence of navigational obstructions.

Evaluation of hourly passage rates indicate distinct behavior patterns of sockeye salmon migrants at Susitna Station, Yentna Station and Sunshine Station (Figure E.5.8). Higher than average passage rates occurred between 1900 hours and 0100 hours at Susitna Station and lower than average passage between 0700 hours and 1100 hours. At Yentna Station sockeye salmon exhibited greater upstream movement between 2300 hours and 0500 hours and displayed lower than average upstream movement between 1100 hours and 1500 hours. Sockeye salmon at Sunshine Station moved less between 0700 hours and 1100 hours than at any other time and displayed a higher than average preference for movement between the hours of 1900 and 0100.

Sockeye salmon age composition samples, collected in fishwheels, revealed that the majority of the sockeye salmon at each of the sampling stations were age 5_2 (Table E.5.5). The next abundant were age 4_2 sockeye followed by age 6_2 sockeye. Five year old sockeye, 1976 brood year, comprised approximately 86 percent of the return at Susitna and Yentna stations, 73 percent at Sunshine and Talkeetna stations and 70 percent of the sockeye at Curry Station. Four year old sockeye, 1977 brood year made up 8.5 percent of the escapement return both at Susitna Station and



ш

ຜ

1

0

HOUR
Figure E.5.8. Percent daily sonar counts of sockeye salmon by two hour blocks at Susitna Station,
Yentna Station, and Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

m '

2

Table E.5.5. Analysis of sockeye salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

		AGE CLASS 1/									BROOD YEAR				
COLLECTION SITE	n	31	32	41	42	43	⁵ 1	52	53	62	63	1975	1976	1977	1978
Susitna Station	1709	0.0	0.6	0.0	8.4	0.0	0.0	83.9	2.7	0.1	4.3	4.4	86.6	8.4	0.6
Yentna Station	1193	0.1	0.7	0.7	7.5	0.4	1.9	80.8	3.5	2.4	2.0	4.4	86.2	8.6	0.8
Sunshine Station	976	0.0	1.1	0.6	21.0	0.6	0.0	70.2	2.6	0.2	3.7	3.9	72.8	22.2	1.1
Talkeetna Station	110	0.0	0.0	1.8	22.8	0.0	0.0	70.2	1.8	1.8	1.8	3.6	71.8	24.6	0.0
Curry Station	270	0.0	0.7	1.1	27.4	0.0	0.0	65.9	3.4	0.0	1.5	1.5	69.3	28.5	0.7

^{1/} Gilbert-Rich Notation

Yentna Station and represented 22.2 percent, 24.6 percent and 28.5 percent of the sockeye at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Approximately four percent of the escapement return at each of the sampling stations were six year old sockeye, 1975 brood year, with the exception of Curry Station which had a 1.5 percent return of six year old sockeye salmon.

Table E.5.6 provides a summary of the sockeye salmon length data collected at each of the sampling stations. Graphic representation of this information is provided in Figures EF-1 through EF-5 and Figures EF-21 through EF-23. Five year old male sockeye salmon averaged 590mm, 605mm, 604mm, 571mm, and 584mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. The average length of five year old female sockeye salmon in the same order respective by station as defined above was 568mm, 577mm, 553mm, 551mm and 560mm. The combined sockeye salmon lengths of all ages ranged from 230mm to 675mm at Susitna Station, 310mm to 684mm at Yentna Station, 395mm to 635mm at Talkeetna Station and 335mm to 640mm at Curry Station. Male sockeye salmon were larger than females in all age classes (Table E.5.6) but were more numerous than female sockeye at only Talkeetna Station (1.2 to 1.0). At Sunshine Station sex ratios indicate that male and female sockeye were equally abundant (1.0 to 1.0). Males were less abundant than females at Susitna Station (0.9 to 1.0), Talkeetna Station (0.6 to 1.0) and Curry Station (0.8 to 1.0).

Ш

Table E.5.6. Analysis of sockeye salmon lengths in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

		n		SEX	RANGE LIMITS		MEAN		95% CONF.	LIMITS3/	MEDIAN	
COLLECTION SITE	AGE	m1/	f2/	RAT10	nı	f	m	f	m	f	m	f
Susitna Station	3	9	2	4.5:1	238-495	230-540	354	385	_	_	351	385
	4	89	55	1.6:1	328-600	415-614	468	562	458-479	419-704	459	494
	5	689	792	0.9:1	430-645	436-675	590	568	575-606	555-581	587	564
	6	31	42	0.7:1	452-626	507-600	576	564	564-588	557-570	575	565
Yentna Station	3	4	5	0.8:1	322-465	310-325	363	315	-	-	333	313
	4	60	43	1.4:1	333-603	340-597	477	485	462-491	469-501	464	490
	5	554	475	1.2:1	442-684	419-632	605	577	584-626	554-599	598	571
	6	30	22	1.4:1	565-682	437-601	609	567	600-618	549-584	606	576
Sunshine Station	3	11	0	_	270-470	_	342	_	_		331	_
	4	150	67	2.2:1	321-615	416-596	486	. 512	475-496	503-520	464	508
	5	308	402	0.8:1	431-699	454-624	604	553	567-640	551-556	593	555
	6	26	12	2.2:1	502-635	515-587	577	554	566-588	540-567	576	554
Talkeetna Station	4	31	16	0.7:1	400-580	436-590	507	517	464-549	494-540	515	520
, a, nocena otation	5 1	30	49	0.6:1	395-635	415-615	571	551	552-590	541-562	585	560
	6	0	4	-	-	540-580	-	563	-	-	-	566
Curry Station	3	1	1	1:1	_	_	340	320	_		340	320
	4	53	24	2.2:1	335-615	455-605	496	532	478-514	513-550	480	534
	5	68	119	0.6:1	490-640	445-610	584	560	577-590	556-565	590	563
	6	1	3	0.3:1	-	480-568	570	536	-	-	570	560

^{1/} Male 2/ Female 3/ Confidence of timits on Mean

Side Scan Sonar counters at Susitna Station enumerated 113,349 pink salmon; 88 percent on the east side and 12 percent on the west side of the Susitna River. The pink salmon migration essentially began, reached a mid-point and terminated on 10 July, 25 July and 21 August respectively (Figure E.5.9). Seventy-five percent of the pink salmon migration passed Susitna Station in 15 days between 15 July and 29 July. The fishwheels at Susitna Station caught a total of 691 pink salmon. Of the 691 pinks caught, 57.5 percent were intercepted by the west bank fishwheel and 42.5 percent intercepted by the east bank fishwheel. Figure ED-4 indicates the peak of migration occurred between 21 July and 3 August.

At Yentna Station, 36,053 pink salmon were enumerated by sonar counters. The south bank sonar counter recorded 82 percent of the counts while 18 percent were registered by the north bank sonar counter. The beginning, mid-point and end of the migration approximately occurred on 14 July, 27 July and 20 August respectively (Figure E.5.9). Seventy-five percent of the pink salmon were counted in 13 days between 21 July and 2 August. The two fishwheels located at Yentna Station intercepted 2,729 pink salmon. Sixty-three and seven tenths percent of the pink salmon were intercepted by the south bank fishwheel and 36.8 percent were caught by the north bank fishwheel. A graphic representation of the fishwheel catch per hour indicates that the peak of the migration was during the 17 days between 21 July and 6 August (Figure ED-4).




Figure E.5.9. Daily sonar counts of pink salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

At Sunshine Station SSS counters enumerated 72,945 pink salmon. Eightyfour and five-tenths percent of the counts were registered on the east
side of the river and 15.5 percent on the west side of the river. The
migration essentially began on 23 July, peaked on 1 August and terminated
on 20 August (E.5.9). Seventy five percent of the fish were counted in
13 days from 28 July to 9 August. Sunshine Station operated four fishwheels;
two on the west bank and two on the east bank of the Susitna River. A
combined total of 7,099 pink salmon were caught with the east bank
fishwheels intercepting 91.3 percent and the west bank fishwheels catching
the remaining 8.7 percent. Figure ED-5, a plot of fishwheel catch per
hour, shows the peak of migration occurred between 29 July and 9 August.

Talkeetna Station counted 2,529 pink salmon. Fifty-seven and three-tenths percent of the counts were recorded by the west bank sonar and 42.7 percent by the east bank sonar. The migration principally began on 27 July, reached a midpoint on 6 August and terminated on 20 August (Figure E.5.9). Seventy-five percent of the escapement was intercepted between 29 July and 9 August. The four fishwheels operating at Talkeetna Station intercepted a total of 379 pink salmon. Fifty-nine point four percent were caught by the east bank fishwheels and 40.6 were caught by the west bank fishwheels. Figure ED-5 graphically illustrates that peak fishwheel catches of pink salmon occurred between 1 August and 10 August.

The pink salmon migration at Curry station started on 31 July, reached a midpoint on 8 August and terminated 19 August approximately (Figure ED-6). Seventy five percent of the escapement passed the site between 4

August and 19 August. The majority of the pink salmon fishwheel catch (69.9 percent) at Curry Station was made on the east side of the river.

Population estimates derived from tag and recapture data indicate that 48,459 pink salmon were present at Sunshine Station, 2,574 present at Talkeetna Station and 1,052 present at Curry Station. The 95% confidence limits along with the parameters used to calculate these estimates are presented in Table E.5.3.

The migrational rate based on plots of sonar and fishwheel catch data indicate that pink salmon took an average of three days to reach Yentna Station from Susitna Station, a distance of approximately six miles (Figure E.5.5 and ED-5). This represents an average travel of about 2.0 miles per day. These travel rates are valid only if there is no fundamental variation in migrational timing between Susitna River pink salmon stocks.

Pink salmon averaged of about nine days of travel time between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station (Figure E.5.5). This represents an average travel rate of 6.0 miles/day. Travel time between Susitna Station and Talkeetna Station was approximately 12 days or a travel speed of 6.4 miles/day.

Tag and recapture data on pink salmon indicate that travel time between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station ranged from two to 30 days (Figure E.5.6). Pink salmon averaged three days of travel time or six miles/day between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station with a range of one to 13 days (Figure E.5.7).

Table E.5.7 proyides a summary of the pink salmon length data collected at each of the mainstem sampling stations. Graphic representation of this data is provided in Figures EF-6 through EF-10 and Figure EF-24. The average length of male pink salmon at Susitna Station was 444mm, 478mm at Yentna Station, 445mm at Sunshine and 432mm at Curry Station. In comparison females averaged 433mm, 471mm, 449mm, 434mm, and 432mm in the same order by station. The data indicates that pink salmon stocks in the Yentna River subdrainage were larger than the pink salmon stocks utilizing the Susitna River upstream of the Yentna River confluence (Table EF-24).

Table E.5.7 summarizes the sex composition of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at each of the stations. Male pink salmon were more abundant than females at all sampling stations except at Talkeetna Station where females were 20 percent more numerous (1:1.2) than males.

Chum Salmon

A total of 46,461 chum salmon were enumerated at Susitna Station with SSS counters. The majority (91.1%) of the fish were enumerated along the east side of the river and the balance (8.9%) along the west bank counter. The migration arrived at Susitna Station, on 10 July, reached a mid-point on 27 July and passed on 25 August (Figure E.5.10). Seventy five percent of the escapement was counted between 15 July and 6 August. A total of 250 chum salmon were caught in the fishwheels operated at Susitna Station. The peak of migration, as indicated by a plot of the mean hourly fishwheel catch (Figure ED-7), occurred between 3 August and 7 August with the majority of fishwheel interceptions occurring along




Figure E.5.10. Daily sonar counts of chum salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E.5.7. Analysis of pink salmon lengths, in millimeters, from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

_		n		SEX	RANGE LIMITS		MEAN		95% CONF	. LIMITS3/	MEDIAN	
COLLECTION SITE	AGE	m!/	f2/	RATIO	m	f	m	7	m	f	m	f
Susitna Station	2	73	177	0.4:1	333-566	318-491	444	433	437-452	430-436	443	435
Yentna Station	2	494	619	0.8:1	315-580	245-567	478	471	449-506	441-501	452	441
Sunshine Station	2	604	727	0.8:1	336~565	345-505	445	449	443-448	434-464	445	440
Talkeetna Station	2	111	89	1.2:1	380-505	303-480	434	434	428-439	428-439	430	430
Curry Station	2	77	101	0.8:1	355-560	360-485	432	432	425-439	427-436	430	430

* * , ***

^{1/} Male

^{2/} Female

^{3/} Confidence Limits on Mean

the east bank.

The Yentna Station SSS counters enumerated 19,765 chum salmon. Sixty-four and four-tenths percent of the counts were recorded by the south bank sonar and 35.6 percent by the north bank sonar. The chum salmon migration essentially began at Yentna Station on 13 July, reached a midpoint on 29 July and terminated on 24 August (Figure E.5.10). Seventy five percent of the fish were counted in a 29 day period between 18 July and 15 August. Fishwheels operated at Yentna Station caught a total of 1,415 chum salmon. Chum salmon passage by Yentna Station reached a peak between 20 July and 23 July as indicated by fishwheel catch data (Figure ED-7). The north and south bank fishwheel chum catches during this period were 66.3 percent and 33.7 percent respectively.

Side scan sonar counters at Sunshine Station counted 59,630 chum salmon. The east bank counter recorded 77.9 percent of counts and the remainder, 22.1 percent, were registered on the west bank counter. The chum salmon migration began on 22 July, reached a mid-point on 6 August and terminated on 6 September, approximately (Figure E.5.10). Seventy five percent of the fish were counted in a 29 day period between 27 July and 24 August. A total of 9,167 chum salmon were caught in the four fishwheels at Sunshine Station. The peak of chum salmon migration at Sunshine Station, as indicated by daily fishwheel catches, occurred between 17 August and 19 August (Figure ED-8). The east bank fishwheels intercepted more chum salmon than the west bank wheels by the ratio of 9.1:1.


A total of 10,036 chum salmon were counted at Talkeetna Station. The west bank SSS counted 59.6 percent of the chum salmon and 40.4 percent

were enumerated on the east bank SSS. The migration approximately began on 28 July, reached a mid-point on 8 August and ended on 29 August (Figure E.5.10). Seventy-five percent of the escapement was counted in a 32 day period between 30 July and 30 August. A total of 1,285 chum salmon were intercepted by the fishwheels at Talkeetna Station. Seventy-five percent were caught between 4 August and 7 September with 48.7 percent and 51.3 percent of the total catch intercepted on the east and west bank respectively (Figure ED-8).

Fishwheel catches at Curry Station indicate that the migration essentially began on 29 July, reached a mid-point on 16 August and terminated on 2 September (Figure ED-9). The majority (89.6%) of the catch made on the east side of the river.

Tag and recapture data indicates that 256,667 chum salmon were present at Sunshine Station, 20,244 at Talkeetra Station and 12,934 at Curry Station. The 95% confidence limits and variables used to calculate the estimates are presented in Table E.5.3.

Chum salmon averaged four days of travel time between Susitna Station and Yentna Station for a travel speed of 1.5 miles/day. The average travel time between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station was ten days which computes to a travel speed of 5.4 miles/day. The migration period between Susitna Station and Talkeetna Station averaged 14 days or 5.5 miles/day. The migration timing and travel rates presented above are considered valid if there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River chum salmon stocks.



Ш

 Ω

ω

 \sim

Figure E.5.11. Percent daily sonar counts of chum salmon by two hour blocks at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Chum salmon tagged at Sunshine Station took between two and nine days to reach Talkeetna Station (Figure E.5.6). Between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station the number of travel days ranged from one to 24 days with an average travel time of approximately 4.5 days and a mean travel speed of 3.8 miles/day (Figure E.5.7).

Evaluation of the hourly passage rate of chum salmon at Sunshine Station suggests a distinct behavior pattern with a high percentage of the fish passing the counters between 2100 hours and 0100 hours and between 0300 hours and 0500 hours (Figure E.5.11). The lowest hourly passage rate occurred between 0700 hours and 1100 hours. East bank SSS sector counts at Sunshine Station indicate that chum salmon displayed a strong migrational preference for near-shore travel. More than 60 percent of the chum salmon were counted in the first sonar sector and 30 percent in the second sector (Figure E.5.4). Comparison data is not available for the other stations due to the absence of discrete periods when chum salmon comprised 90 percent or more of the counts.

Table E.5.8 outlines the age structure of the chum salmon sampled at each of the stations. Age 4_1 chum salmon from the 1977 brood year dominated the catch at each site comprising an average of 86 percent of the fish. Next abundant were age 5_1 fish followed by age 3_1 fish which made up ten percent and four percent of the age samples respectively. The most notable difference in age class structure was among the chum salmon sampled at Curry Station which were 14.1 percent and 1.9 percent age 5_1 and 3_1 fish respectively. This is a considerable variation from the above cited averages for the combined stations.

Table E.5.8. Analysis of chum salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

			AGE CLASS	1/	BRO	OOD YEAR	
COLLECTION SITE	SAMPLE SIZE	31	41	⁵ 1	1976	1977	1978
Susitna Station	158	3.2	88.6	8.2	8.2	88.6	3.2
Yentna Station	754	6.6	84.1	9.3	9.3	84.1	6.6
Sunshine Station	1088	4.1	88.7	7.2	7.2	88.7	4.1
Talkeetna Station	438	4.1	85.2	10.7	10.7	85.2	4.1
Curry Station	632	1.9	84.0	14.1	14.1	84.0	1.9

^{1/} Gilbert-Rich Notation

Presented in Table E.5.9 is a summary of chum salmon fork length (FL) data collected at each sampling location. This data is also graphically displayed in Figures EF-11 through EF-15 and Figures EF-25 through EF-27. Chum salmon of all age classes at Susitna Station ranged in size from 445mm to 658mm, at Yentna Station from 436mm to 697mm, at Sunshine Station from 455mm to 718mm, at Talkeetna Station from 480mm to 720mm and at Curry Station from 440mm to 680mm. Four year old male chum salmon had an average length of 593mm, 601mm, 624mm, 586mm, and 593mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Female chum salmon of the same age at the same locations had an average length of 581mm, 585mm, 588mm, 578mm, and 614mm respectively.

Table E.5.9 provides a comparison of sex ratios between age classes by sampling location. Combined age class sex ratios indicate that male chum salmon were less abundant than females at Susitna Station (1:1.6) and Sunshine Station (1:1.2) and equally numerous as males at Yentna Station (1:1). Male chum salmon were dominate at Talkeetna Station (1:0.7) and Curry Station (1:0.9).

Coho Salmon

A total of 33,470 coho salmon were enumerated across the SSS counters at Susitna Station. Seventy percent were registered by the east bank SSS and the balance by the west bank SSS. The migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 20 July, 28 July and 25 August respectively (Figure E.5.12). Approximately 75 percent of the fish passed in 25 days between 23 July and 16 August. The fishwheels at Susitna Station caught

Table E.5.9. Analysis of chum salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

		1		SEX	RANGE	LIMITS	ME	AN I	95% CONF.	LIMITS3/	ME	MAIC
COLLECTION SITE	AGE	wi/	f <u>2</u> /	RATIO	m	f	in	f	m	f	m	f
Susitna Station	3 4 5	3 51 8	2 89 5	1.5:1 0.6:1 1.6:1	501-566 502-645 538-620	500-518 445-658 584-632	537 593 585	509 581 610	- 584-602 -	- 574-588 -	544 595 580	509 584 607
Yentna Station	3 4 5	22 322 42	28 312 28	0.1:1 1.0:1 1.5:1	474-590 465-694 564-693	436-612 460-697 526-688	537 601 629	523 585 616	523-551 597-605 620-638	509-538 581-589 602-629	542 602 625	526 586 614
Sunshine Station	3 4 5	16 435 40	29 530 38	0.6:1 0.8:1 1.0:1	510-585 485-704 541-718	495-600 455-690 565-708	554 624 628	538 588 614	544-565 590-657 616-640	527-548 585-591 603-625	560 600 625	535 590 612
Talkeetna Station	3 4 5	12 212 27	6 161 20	2:1 1.3:1 1.4:1	480-615 515-650 540-720	490-592 480-689 560-650	534 586 620	531 578 611	- 581-590 604-635	572-583 600-623	535 585 620	535 575 612
Curry Station	3 4 5	6 281 44	6 250 45	1:1 1.1:1 1.0:1	505-570 440-680 539-650	540-590 470-678 510-662	534 593 612	562 614 603	- 589-597 606-619	571-656 595- 611	530 595 614	559 592 6 05

Ш

S

ω တ

Male Female Confidence Limits on Mean




Figure E.5.12. Daily sonar counts of coho salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

a total of 329 coho salmon. Coho salmon showed a strong bank preference with 76.3 percent moving up the west bank and 23.7 percent migrating along the east bank. A plot of fishwheel catch per hour indicates the peak of migration occurred between 25 July and 30 July (Figure ED-10).

The Yentna Station SSS counters enumerated a total of 17,017 coho salmon. The south bank counter registered 83.6 percent of the count and the north bank counter registered 16.4 percent of the count. The migration principally began on 22 July, reached a mid-point on 31 July and ended on 20 August (Figure E.5.12). Seventy five percent of the fish passed between 23 July and 16 August. A total of 1,122 coho were intercepted by Yentna Station fishwheels with 75.7 percent and 24.3 percent of the catch caught along the south and north bank respectively. The peak of migration, as shown by a plot of fishwheel catch per hour, occurred between 23 July and 6 August (Figure ED-10).

Side Scan Sonar counters at Sunshine Station counted a total of 22,793 coho salmon. Sixty-six and six-tenths percent of the fish passed over the west bank sonar and the remaining 33.4 percent over the east bank sonar. The migration principally began at Sunshine Station on 29 July, reached a mid-point on 18 August and terminated on 5 September, approximately (Figure E.5.12). Seventy five percent of the migration was counted in 21 days from 4 August to 24 August. Sunshine Station fishwheels intercepted 2,928 coho salmon. There was no apparent preference between river banks with 51.6 percent and 48.4 percent migrating up the east and west bank respectively. A plot of the fishwheel catch per hour graphically

illustrates that coho salmon passage peaked between 18 August and 25 August (Figure ED-11),

The SSS counters at Talkeetna Station recorded a total of 3,522 coho salmon. The west bank sonar enumerated 62.0 percent of the fish and the east bank sonar, 38 percent. The migration approximately began, reached a mid-point, and ended on 30 July, 24 August and 11 September respectively (Figure E.5.12). Seventy five percent of the coho salmon were counted in 22 days from 11 August to 1 September. The four fishwheels operated at Talkeetna Station intercepted a total of 533 coho salmon with 59.5 percent caught in the two west bank fishwheels. Fishwheel catch per hour plots indicate that the peak of migration occurred between 19 August and 30 August (Figure ED-11).

Curry Station fishwheel catches indicate that the coho salmon migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 5 August, 22 August and 4 September respectively (Figure ED-12). The majority (64.8%) of the fish at Curry Station were intercepted on the east side of the river.

Population estimates derived from tagging and recapture operations indicate that 24,416 coho salmon were present at Sunshine Station, 3,291 were present at Talkeetna Station and 1,164 were present at Curry Station. The parameters used to calculate the estimates along with the 95% confidence limits are presented in Table E.5.3.

The average migrational travel time between Susitna Station and Yentna Station was two days which is an upstream travel speed of 3.0 miles/day

(Figure E.5.5). Fourteen days were spent between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station. The total travel time from Susitna Station beyond Sunshine Station to Talkeetna Station was approximately 24 days. This represents a travel rate of 3.9 and 3.2 miles/day respectively. These migration rates are based on the assumption that there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River coho salmon stocks.

Tag recaptures of marked coho salmon from Talkeetna Station at Curry Station indicate that coho salmon migrated between these stations in two to 15 days (Figure E.5.7). The average travel time was 4.5 days or a travel speed of 3.8 miles/day.

Table E.5.10 summarizes the coho salmon age composition by sampling location. The data indicates that the majority of the fish were age 4_3 from the 1977 brood year followed by age 3_2 from the 1978 brood year. Less than ten percent of the coho escapement was comprised of other age classes.

A summary of coho salmon lengths (FL) collected by sampling station is presented in Table E.5.11. This data is also graphically displayed in Figures EF-16 through EF-20 and Figures EF-28 through EF-30. Lengths ranged from 216mm to 645mm at Susitna Station, 365mm to 635mm at Yentna Station, 325mm to 680mm at Sunshine Station, 320mm to 650mm at Talkeetna Station and 370mm to 605mm at Curry Station. The average lengths of four year old male coho salmon were 519mm, 541mm, 541mm, 534mm, and 519mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Four year old female coho salmon in the same order by station averaged

Table E.5.10. Analysis of coho salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

				AG	E CLASS	1/				В	ROOD YEAR	
COLLECTION SITE	n	31	32	33	42	43	44	52	54	1976	1977	1978
Susitna Station	224	0.0	22.0	0.4	0.9	68.8	1.3	0.0	6.6	6.6	71.0	22.4
Yentna Station	323	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	82.9	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	82.9	16.1
Sunshine Station	424	0.0	31.8	0.0	0.0	65.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	3.1	65.1	31.8
Talkeetna Station	164	0.0	11.6	0.6	0.0	84.8	0.0	1.2	1.8	3.0	84.8	12.2
Curry Station	77	1.3	27.3	0.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	2.6	2.6	68.8	28.6

^{1/} Gilbert-Rich Notation

 \sim

Table E.5.11. Analysis of coho salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

		n n		SEX	RANGE	LIMITS	ME	:AN	95% CONF	. LIMITS3/	ME	DIAN
COLLECTION SITE	AGE	m1/	f2/	RATIO	m	f	M	f	w	f	m	f
Susitna Station	3 4 5	26 66 8	24 93 7	1.0:1 6.7:1 1.1:1	256-592 216-645 515-605	406-577 413-614 433-637	477 519 568	493 530 517	445-509 499-539 -	471-515 520-540 -	482 543 570	504 546 511
Yentna Station	3 4 5	26 128 1	25 140 3	1.0:1 0.9:1 0.3:1	424-566 365-635 -	371-598 399-615 574-588	508 541 553	495 540 580	492-525 532-551 -	469-520 533-548 -	513 544 553	499 546 578
Sunshine Station	3 4 5	81 143 8	54 133 5	1.5:1 1.1:1 1.6:1	325-585 395-680 380-635	410-585 445-628 510-623	477 541 541	497 542 554	465-490 531-550	486-509 535-549 -	477 555 552	500 545 545
Talkeetna Station	3 4 5	10 87 1	10 52 4	1:1 1.7:1 0.2:}	330-690 420-650 -	455-565 420-605 510-585	484 534 595	510 538 539	432-536 522-546 -	480-540 528-548 -	488 540 595	492 540 530
Curry Station	3 4 5	12 37 2	10 16 0	1.2:1 2.3:1	400-580 420-600 590-594	415-575 370-605 -	484 519 592	492 541 -	453-515 502-536 -	455-530 513-569 -	490 510 592	498 542 -

^{1/} Male

½/ Female
 ¾/ Confidence Limits on Mean

530mm, 540mm, 542mm, 538mm and 541mm.

The male female ratios of coho salmon for all age classes combined was 1.2:1 at Susitna Station, 1.1:1 at Yentna Station, 0.8:1 at Sunshine Station, 0.7:1 at Talkeetna Station and 0.5:1 at Curry Station (Table 5.5.11).

5.2 Survey Investigations

Mainstem Surveys

Presented in Table EG-1 is a list of the locations and catch results of more than 300 sites sampled with gill nets and electroshocking gear on Susitna River mainstem. Twelve mainstem spawning locations were identified (Table E.5.12). Chum salmon were found spawning at 10 of 12 sites.

Coho salmon were found spawning alone at one site and both coho and chum salmon were recorded sharing spawning sites in two mainstem areas. One of the 12 spawning areas was located at RM 100.5. This site was determined on the basis of visual sightings of redds on 24 September and egg deposition sampling on 30 October. Salmon eggs were found in subsurface gravels at the same site, but it was not possible to confirm which species spawned there. Maps of each of the 12 spawning areas are presented in Figures EH-1 through EH-12. All spawning areas are located between RM 68.3 and RM 135.2.

Echo recorders did not prove effective in identifying mainstem spawning areas. They were tested in mainstem sloughs and although adult fish were located through vertical scanning, interception of recorder print-

Table E.5.12. Mainstem Susitna River salmon spawning locations with survey results, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

LOCA	AT ION			SUR	VEY					EGG DEF	OSITION	SAMPL ING		REMARKS
RIVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	METHOD	DISTANCE	NO. SOCKEYE	CAUGHT/ PINK	OBSERVE	D COHO	DATE	NO. PLOTS	LIVE	EGG DEAD	TOTAL	
68.3	22N05W13 AAB	9/21	Visual	0.5	0	0	6	0	10/7	2	1	1	2	Active spawning occurring 9/21
76.6	23N04W07 BBD	9/21 9/27	Electroshoc Visual	k 1.0 0.5	0 0	0 0	1 16	2 0						Active spawning noted 9/27
83.3	24N05W15 BCC	9/5	Visua l	0.5	0	0	17	0	10/8	6	4	0	4	Active spawning observed 9/5
92.2	25N05W13 BCC	10/9	Visual	0.3	0	0	11	0						Spawning observe and Redds 10/9
96.8	26N05W25 BA A	9/2	Visual	0.3	0	. 0	1	0	10/8	5	0	44	44	All eggs fungus covered
97.0	26N05W26 ADB	9/17	Visual	0.1	0	0	20	0						Spawning activit occurring 9/17
100.5	26N05W02 CDD	9/24	Visual	0.1	,0	0	0	0	10/3	3	8	0	8	Redds observed o 9/24 and 10/3
117.6	29N13W28 BBC	9/23	Drift Net	0.01	0 .	0	0	6	10/7	16	1	2	3	Drift gill net e ployed as seine
129.2	30N03W09 B	9/8	Drift Net	0.1	0	0	2	1	10/1	18	0	0	0	Numerous Redds o served 10/1
130.5	30N03W10 B	9/8	Drift Net	0.1	0	0	3	0	10/1	10	0	0	0	Redds not visabl 10/1
131,1	30N03W3 D A	9/7	Drift Net	0.2	0	0	3	0	10/1	6	0	0	0	Redds not visabl 10/1
135.2	31NO2W19 ADA	9/6	Drift Net	0.1	0	0	6	0	10/1	2	16	11	27	Redds not visabl

outs on the mainstem Susitna River was difficult because debris echoes had a similar appearance to fish and turbulence produced false recordings. Further compounding the problem was the inability to operate echo recorders against the force of the river current. The gunnel mounted transducer brackets commonly bent and become inoperative particularly in areas where water velocity was greater than three feet per second.

Drift gill nets were effective in locating five of the 12 mainstem spawning sites previously referenced. They were not however, considered an efficient means of sampling due to variable water depths encountered. Many areas were several meters deeper than the 1.5 m depth limit of the nets. In shallower areas, debris caused nets to be torn and resulted in several hours of mending for each hour fished.

Electroshocking gear was not available to the survey crews operating above RM 61 until 21 September. Although only one mainstem spawning site was found with this gear type, it worked efficiently in all areas of the river in which it was used and was considered superior to drift gill nets and depth recorders. It is probable that additional spawning areas would have been located had the gear been used earlier in the season particularly in late August and early September.

Results of set netting in the area immediately below Devil Canyon between RM 150.1 and 150.4 (Figure E.5.13) are presented in Table E.5.13. The data confirms that sockeye, chum and coho salmon used the Susitna River mainstem above Portage Creek for migration purposes. A catch comprised of sockeye, chum and coho salmon was made on 26 August at RM 150.2 and a




Figure E.5.13. Set gill net fishing locations on mainstem Susitna River between Portage Creek and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table .13. Results of set gill netting on mainstem S tha River between Devil Canyon and Portage Cree. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	L00	ATION	NETTIN	IG TIME (I	MILITARY)		CATCH (SAI	LMON)		
DATE	SITE NO.	RIVER MILE	BEGIN	END	TOTAL HOURS	SOCKEYE	СНИМ	СОНО	TOTAL	REMARKS
7/29	3	150.1	1330	1630	3.0	0	0	0	0	River at flood condition; net fished poor.
7/29	2	150.2	1400	1640	2.7	0	0	0	0	River at flood stage; net fished poor.
8/5 m	3	150.1	1500	1900	4.0	. 0	0	0	0	High water conditions; net fished fair.
, 8/26 on ,	2	150.2	945	1400	4.25	. 2	2	1	5	Net fished excellent; all fish were in excellent pre-spawning condition; the coho salmon had been tagged on 8/17/81 at Talkeetna Station.
8/26	1	150.4	930	1345	4.25	0	0	0	0	Net fished excellent.
9/2	1	150.4	1100	1300	2.0	0	0	1	1	Net fished excellent. Coho was fresh and in excellent spawning condition.
9/2	2	150.2	1115	1315	2.0	0	0	0	0	Net fished excellent.
9/10	1	150.4	1500	1700	2.0	0	0	0	0	Net fished excellent.
9/10	3	150.1	1520	1720	2.0	0	0	0	0	Net fished fair due to low water.
9/19	1	150.4	1100	1500	4.0	0	0	0	0	Net fished excellent.

single coho salmon was captured on 2 September at RM 150.4. All gill netted fish were in pre spawning condition. The one coho salmon caught on 26 August had been tagged earlier at Talkeetna Station on 7 August. Set netting conducted between 29 July and 5 August and also from 25 September to 19 September did not produce fish. No set netting was performed between 6 August and 25 August due to high water conditions.

Escapement Surveys

Escapement surveys were conducted on 32 sloughs and 15 tributary streams of the Susitna River reach between the Chulitna River and Devil Canyon (Figure E.5.14). Eight new sloughs and streams were located which supported salmon spawning. The sloughs are referenced as Moose (RM 123.5), A¹ (RM 124.6), 9B (RM 124.2) and 21A (RM 145.5). The new streams are Gash Creek (RM 111.6), Lower McKenzie Creek (RM 116.2), 5th July Creek (RM 123.7) and Jack Long Creek (RM 144.5). The location of these streams and sloughs relative to the Susitna River mainstem are defined in Figure.

Adult sockeye salmon were observed in Sloughs 3B, 3A, 6A, 8A, 9, 9A, 9B, 11, 17, 19, 20 and 21 and in Lower McKenzie Creek (Tables EJ-1 through EJ-2). Peak spawning occurred during the last week of August and the first three weeks of September (Figures E.5.15 through E.5.17). Sockeye salmon were most numerous in Slough 8A, 9B and 11 where peak spawning ground counts were 177, 81, and 893 sockeye salmon respectively.





Figure E.5.14. Slough locations and primary tributaries of the Susitna River from the confluence of the Chulitna and Talkeetna Rivers to Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

E - 5 - 4 9






Figure E.5.14. Continued.




Figure E.5.14. Continued.




Figure E.5.15.




Figure E.5.16. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 11, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure E.5.17. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 21, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Pink salmon were found in Sloughs 3A, 8 and A, also in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Fourth July Creek, 5th July Creek, Skull Creek, Sherman Creek, Indian River and Jack Long Creek (Tables EJ-1 and EJ-2). The highest peak spawning count within an index area was in Lane Creek where 291 fish were recorded. Peak spawning occurred in a ten day period from 19 August to 28 August (Figure E.5.18). The stream survey counts are index counts and do not reflect total number of spawning fish present in the stream surveyed.

Chum salmon were present in Sloughs 1, 2, 6A, 8, 8B, Moose, A¹, A, 8A, 9, 9B, 9A, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, and 21A (Table EJ-1). They were also found within the survey reaches of Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Lower McKenzie Creek, Skull Creek, Sherman Creek, Fourth July Creek and Indian River (Table EJ-2). The peak of spawning activity in the sloughs occurred during the last two weeks of August and the first two weeks of September (Figures E.5.15 through E.5.17). The highest counts were recorded in Sloughs 8, 8A, 9, 11 and 21 where 302, 620, 260, 411 and 274 chum salmon, respectively were found spawning (Figure E.5.19). Based on the limited stream survey data the peak spawning period was approximately one week earlier than that observed in slough spawning areas. The highest peak count in an index area was registered on Lane Creek where 76 chum salmon were counted on 23 August (Figure E.5.18).

Coho salmon were not found in any of the sloughs surveyed but were observed in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Gash Creek, Lower McKenzie Creek, Fourth July Creek, Indian River and Portage Creek (Tables



Chum and sockeye salmon spawning in Slough 11, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981. Figure E.5.19.



AUGUST
Figure E.5.18. Pink and chum salmon live counts by date in Lane Creek, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

EJ-1 and EJ-2). The highest densities of coho salmon, based on peak index counts, were in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Gash Creek and Indian River where 70, 80, 141, and 85 coho salmon respectively were recorded spawning in a single survey. The survey data indicates that the spawning peak probably occurred in the second and third week of September.

5.3 Radio Telemetry Investigations

Chum Salmon

Eleven chum salmon were radio tagged between 30 July and 12 August and their movements monitored during 30 and 31 July and August, 1981 (Table E.5.14). Ten of of the 11 fish were tagged between 6 and 12 August. Seven fish were tagged at Curry Station and four were tagged at Talkeetna Station; five were females and six were males (Figure E.5.20).

Eight of the radio tagged chum salmon moved upstream from their respective tagging locations. Two others moved downstream and one remained within +0.2 river miles of its tagging location (Figure E.5.21.)

Radio tagged chum salmon that moved upstream after tagging exhibited two types of movement. Upstream movement, with cessations of less than 72 hours was termed "direct movement". Upstream movement with cessations in excess of 72 hours, was termed, "indirect movement".

Direct movement was exhibited by chum salmon bearing transmitters numbered 650-3, 680-2 and 710-2 (Figure E.5.21). Indirect movement was displayed

Table E.5.14. Chum salmon radio tagging data, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

T	AGGING	RADIO TRANSMITTER				
DATE	LOCATION	FREQUENCY (mHz) PULSE/SECOND	PETERSON DISC NUMBER	LENGTHIV	WEIGHT (KG)	SEX (H/F)
7/30	102.9	40.700-3	A-325	63.5	3.9	F
8/6	102.9	40.710-2	A-326	62.2	4.1	F
8/6	102.9	40.730-2	A-327	63.5	4.2	н
8/6	120.7	40.680-2	A-328	62.2	3.6	, H
8/7	120.7	40.720.1	A-329	58.4	3.7	н
8/7	119.5	40.650-3	A-330	63.5	3.9	м
8/9	119.5	40.680-3	A-331	61.6	3.6	М
8/10	102.9	40.660-1	A-332	63.5	4.5	н
8/11	119.5	40.740-1	A-333	62.9	3.7	F
8/12	119.5	40.700-1	A-334	61.0	4.0	F
8/12	119.5	40.670-2	A-335	61.0	4.2	F
, •			·	X = 62.1	X = 3.9	

^{1/} Hid eye to fork of tail




Figure E.5.20. Map of Susitna River mainstem from Talkeetna to Devil Canyon, Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

E - 5 - 6 1




Figure E.5.21. Movements of radio tagged chum salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

by fish bearing transmitters numbered 660-1, 680-3 and 720-1. Fish bearing transmitters numbered 680-3 and 720-1 remained in the Susitna River within 0.3 mile of the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) for three and 11 days respectively, and fish carrying transmitter number 660-1 remained at the mouth of Lane Creek (RM 113.6) for at least six days.

The five remaining radio tagged chum salmon exhibited other movements (Figure E.5.21). Two individuals bearing transmitters numbered 700-1 and 700-3 moved downriver, the first individual entered a slough at RM 96.9 whereas the other chum salmon ascended the Chulitna River. Fish bearing transmitter number 670-2 remained within 0.2 miles of its tagging location at RM 119.5. A chum salmon carrying transmitter number 730-2 was last detected at RM 127.0.

A female chum salmon regurgitated transmitter number 740-1 at RM 121.1 several days after being tagged 1.6 miles downriver but was detected spawning without it's radio transmitter in Slough 11 (RM 135.3).

Determination of radio tagged, chum salmon upstream, migration rates was influenced by the time separating consecutive tracking detections. Eighteen percent of the detections, e.g. location of a fish's positions in the river, were made within a frequency of 24 hours or less while 43 percent were made with a frequency of between 24 and 48 hours. Because of these relatively long intervals and because exact arrival times at upstream locations are unknown, the movement rates, with few exceptions, are expressed as "greater than or equal to" (\geq) speeds.

The fastest documented rate of chum salmon migration was 1.0 miles per hour (mph) (Table E.5.15). Fish bearing transmitter number 710-2 moved 1.9 miles upstream within 1.9 hours after release. Perhaps more typical of sustained rapid movement is the subsequent movement of this fish when it traveled 22.2 miles within 32.5 hours for a rate \geq 0.68 mph or 16.4 miles/day. In contrast, fish bearing transmitter number 650-3 moved 5.1 miles within 39 hours for a rate \geq 0.13 mph or 3.1 miles/day.

Rates of movement of two radio tagged chum salmon which migrated "directly" upstream suggest that radio tag implantation did not interfere with their upstream migration as their rates of movement were similar to that exhibited by some Floy tagged chum salmon. Two chum salmon radio tagged at Talkeetna Station on 6 August reached Curry Station within two days. Fish bearing transmitter number 730-2 was detected 0.3 miles upriver of Curry Station 48 hours after being radio tagged at Talkeetna Station. Another chum salmon, supporting transmitter number 710-2, 9.2 miles upriver of Curry Station, 51 hours following transmitter implantation at Talkeetna Station. One hundred six chum salmon tagged with Floy tags at Talkeetna Station were recaptured by fishwheels at Curry Station 16.5 river miles upriver. Twenty of the 106 fish were recaptured after one day of release, 42 after two days, 53 after three days, 74 after four days and 86 after five days. The number of recaptures progressively decreased each day until 106 recaptures were recorded.

The influence of flow on the movements of radio tagged chum salmon in the Susitna River is not apparent due to the small number of chum salmon tagged, and the limited flow conditions encountered by these fish (Figure

Table E.5.15. Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged adult, chum salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY (mHz) PULSE/SECOND	RATE OF UPSTREAM MOVEMENT (MPH)	HOURS ELAPSED BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE FISH POSITIONS	DISTANCE MOVED (MI.)	LOCATION OF MOVE- MENT RM to RM
710-2	1.0	1.9	1.9	102.9-104.8
710-2	0.68	32.5	22.2	107.0-129.2
680-2	0.50	42.5	21.3	102.6-I 3.3 <u>2</u> /
650-3	0.43	33.6	14.3	119.5-133.8
6 60- 1	0.41	19.6	8.0	101.0-109.0
730-2	0.38	47.9	18.1	102.2-120.3
660-1	0.36	15.1	5.4	108.3-113.6
720-1	0.31	34.3	10.7	120.7-131.4
700-3	0.24	54.2	13.3	99.9-Ch 12.0
680-3	0.24	17.3	4.2	119.5-123.7
580-3	0.18	48.0	8.2	123.7-132.2
580-3	0.17	47.6	8.2	130.9-I 0.5 <u>2</u> /
560-1	0.16	61.3	9.7	113.6-123.3
740-1	0.16	25.1	3.9	117.8-121.7
560-1	0.15	122.0	18.7	123.3-142.0

^{1/} Upstream fish movement speed denoted as equal to or greater than () when five or more hours lapsed between observations

^{2/} Indian River Mile

^{3/} Chulitna River Mile

The primary destinations of radio tagged chum salmon were Susitna River sloughs, clear water tributaries and the confluence zones of tributary streams (Figure E.5.21). The four fish bearing transmitter numbers 660-1, 710-2, 740-1 and 700-1 entered Susitna River sloughs 21 (RM 142.0), 11 (RM 135.3), Moose (RM 123.5) and S-14 (RM 96.9) respectively. The three fish bearing transmitter numbers 650-3, 680-2 and 680-3 entered the Indian River (RM 138.9). One fish bearing transmitter number 720-1 entered Sherman Creek (RM 130.8) before returning to the mainstem Susitna River where it held within 0.3 miles of the Fourth July Creek confluence zone (RM 131.0). One fish bearing transmitter number 670-2 stayed in the mainstem Susitna River at RM 119.6. One fish bearing transmitter number 700-3 swam down the Susitna River and entered the Chulitna River (RM 98.6). Fish bearing transmitter 730-2 was last detected at RM 127.0 in the Susitna River.

Radio tagged chum salmon entered spawning areas between 8 August and 23

August. Fish bearing transmitter number 710-2 entered Slough 11 (RM

135.5) about 13 August and was observed building a redd on 21 August.

It had completed spawning by 2 September when it was captured and necropsied.

Fish bearing transmitter number 740-1 entered Moose Slough (RM 123.5)

between 13 August and 18 August. On 29 August it was observed over a redd and netted. A brief external examination revealed that most eggs were still present in the body cavity although the transmitter was absent. The transmitter had been found earlier at RM 121.1, the site of apparent regurgitation. On 4 September the carcass of this fish was

found in Moose Slough. A necropsy indicated the fish had spawned, as evidenced by the lack of eggs in the coelom.

Individual movements of radio tagged chum salmon are described in Appendix EK.

Coho Salmon

Ten coho salmon were radio tagged from 31 August through 4 September. Four were tagged at Curry Station and six at Talkeetna Station (Table E.5.16). Eight bore wire reinforced radio transmitters whereas two carried non-reinforced transmitters (660-2 and 680-1). Coho salmon displayed three types of directional movement: downstream, upstream or milti-directional movement (Figure E.5.22).

Three radio tagged coho salmon from Talkeetna Station and one from Curry Station moved downriver upon release. Three of the four fish entered tributaries downstream of RM 102.8 of the Susitna River (Figure E.5.22). Fish supporting transmitter number 700-2 entered the Chulitna River (RM 98.6) and moved upstream to RM 31.9. Another individual bearing transmitter number 710-1 entered the Talkeetna River and acended Chunilna Creek (RM 5.9) where it was last detected at Chunilna Creek mile 9.1. Fish carrying transmitter number 710-3 moved downstream in the Susitna River to RM 88.0 and ascended Birch Creek (RM 88.0) to Fish Lake and spawned in an inlet stream. The fourth fish, supporting transmitter number 720-2, was apparently adversely influenced by transmitter implantation as evidenced by observations of the fish while it occupied Chase Creek (RM 106.9).

Table E.5.16. Coho salmon radio tagging data. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

				;· .	RADIO TRANSMITTER	GGING	TA
COLORATION ²	SEX (M/F)	WEIGHT (KG)	LENGTH1/ (CM)	PETERSON DISC NUMBER	FREQUENCY (mHz) PULSE/SECOND	LOCATION	DATE
<u>Pink</u> -red	F	4.1	62.2	: A-336	40.660-2	120.7	8/30
Silver-pink	M	2.6	61.6	A-337	40.680-1	120.7	8/31
Stiver-pink	м	3.5	59.1	A-339	40.730-3	102.9	8/31
Silver-pink	F	2.9	57.2	A-34 0	40-650-2	102.9	9/1
Silver-pink	м	2.8	59.1	A-341	40.720-2	120.7	9/2
Silver-pink	м	3.7	59.7	A-342	40.700-2	102.9	9/3
Silver-pink	F	3.3	58.4	A-343	40.650-1	120.7	9/3
<u>Pink-red</u>	F	3.4	59.1	A-344	40.710-3	102.8	9/4
Silver-pink	F	3.2	59.1	A-345	40.720-3	119.5	9/4
Pink-red	F	-	57.8	A-346	40-710-1	102.9	9/4
		$\overline{x} = 3.3$	x = 59.3			•	

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ / MId eye to fork of tail $\frac{2}{2}$ / Underlined color predominates




Figure E.5.22. Movements of radio tagged coho salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Length of stay of the above three radio tagged coho salmon in the Susitna River upstream of RM 100.1 was variable; a fish bearing transmitter number 700-2 moved downstream to Whiskers Creek (RM 101.2) and remained there for several days prior to moving further downstream and ascending the Chulitna River. The other two fish supporting transmitter numbers 710-1 and 710-3 moved downriver after tagging.

Two coho salmon tagged at Talkeetna Station bearing transmitter numbers 650-2 and 730-3 exhibited upstream movement after tagging. The fish with transmitter number 650-2 entered Indian River (RM 138.6) eight days after tagging and the fish with transmitter number 730-3 remained at the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) for several weeks before moving up the creek. Both fish were implanted with transmitters having modified antennas.

Four coho salmon tagged at Curry Station exhibited multi-directional movements in the Susitna River (Figure E.5.22). Two fish carrying transmitter numbers 650-1 and 660-2, entered and spawned in Gash Creek (RM 111.6). Fish bearing transmitter number 650-1 moved downstream and remained in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) prior to moving up the Susitna River and entering Gash Creek (RM 111.6) whereas fish supporting transmitter number 660-2 moved upriver to RM 141.1 then descended to and entered Gash Creek (RM 111.6). Another coho salmon supporting transmitter number 680-1 moved downriver to RM 101.5 and held there for several days before migrating upstream to RM 109.8 where transmitter reception was lost. The other fish bearing transmitter number 720-3, moved upriver to RM 131.0, then descended to and remained at RM 117.8, near the mouth of

Little Portage Creek, through early October and apparently did not spawn.

Movements of coho salmon apparently were not influenced by flow conditions within the Susitna River (Figure E.5.22). Flows decreased from about 23,000 cfs in late August to 11,000 cfs in mid-September.

Adult, radio tagged coho salmon moved upstream at various rates, although the relatively long periods of time separating some successive fish positions probably under-estimated the upstream migration rates (Table E.5.17). The fastest upstream migration rates, 0.67 to 1.00 mph, generally occurred at intervals of less than five hours. However some coho salmon moved upstream at 0.23 to 0.60 mph during longer intervals of 20 to 60.8 hours. Consequently, all upstream migration rates are expressed as equal to or exceeding (\geq), except for those successive fish positions separated by less than five hours.

Behavior of adult radio tagged coho salmon near the mouths of Susitna
River tributaries was variable (Figure E.5.22). Some individuals, such
as fish bearing transmitter numbers 650-1 and 660-2, occupied positions
in the mainstem Susitna River at or within 0.1 mile of the mouth of Gash
Creek (RM 111.6) for several days prior to entering that tributary.
Other coho salmon such as those carrying transmitter numbers 650-2 and
720-3, remained in the Susitna River within 0.1 mile of the mouth of
Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) and Little Portage Creek (RM 117.8), respectively,
for two or more weeks. Fish bearing transmitter number 650-2 entered
Fourth July Creek after holding at it's mouth for about two weeks whereas

Table E.5.17. Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged adult, coho salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

RANSMITTER REQUENCY mHz) ULSE/SECOND	RATE OF UPSTREAM MOVEMENT (MPH)1	HOURS ELAPSED BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE FISH POSITIONS	DISTANCE MOVED (MI.)	LOCATION OF MOVE- MENT RM to RM
50-2	1.00	0.7	0.7	102.8-103.5
60-2	0.88	2.5	2.2	112.5-114.7
30-3	0.67	4.5	3.0	102.9-105.9
20-2	0.67	2.1	1.4	109.1-110-5
30-3	0.60	. 20.3	12.2	109.6-121.8
50-2	0.56	28 .2	15.8	103.5-119.3
60-2	0.43	23.3	9.9	118.5-128.4
20-3	0.39	21.8	8.6	119.5-128.1
80-1	0.29	20.2	5.9	103.8-109.7
30-3	0.27	68.6	18.7	121.8-138.6-1
50-1	2.33	56.3	13.1	3.3 $T=106.9$
80-1	0.23	9.1	2.1	101.7-103.8
50-2	0.18	69.0	. 12.7	128.4-141.1
50-2	0.18	43.5	7.6	123.4-131.0
60-2 -	0.17	24.4	4.1	119.3-123.4

^{1/} Upstream fish movement speed denoted as equal to or greater than () when five or more hours lapsed between observations

^{2/} Indian River Mile

^{3/} Talkeetna River Mile

fish bearing transmitter number 720-2 remained near little Portage Creek (RM 117.8) for about three weeks and apparently did not ascend that stream.

Three radio tagged female coho salmon spawned in streams connected to lakes as evidenced by their spawned out condition upon necropsy. However, actual spawning activity was not observed. Two spawned out individuals supporting transmitter numbers 650-1 and 660-2 were detected in Gash Creek (RM 111.6); one carried a wire modified transmitter whereas the other supported the heat-to-shrink material style transmitter. The other fish bearing transmitter number 710-3 spawned in Cabin Creek a tributary of Fish Lake (RM 4.7 Birch Creek) and bore a wire modified transmitter.

The above three individuals spawned within one week after entering Susitna River tributaries in September. A female fish bearing transmitter number 710-3 was found spawned out and dead less than one week after entering Cabin Creek (RM 4.7 Birch Creek) in September. Two fish bearing transmitter numbers 660-2 and 650-1, were detected in a spawned out condition within seven days after entering Gash Creek (RM 111.6) on about 22 and 21 September, respectively.

A female coho salmon bearing transmitter number 650-2 displayed a similar pattern of tributary occupancy in Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0). This individual entered the stream on 20 September after remaining in the Susitna River near the mouth of this stream for about two weeks. It was detected at RM 1.25 Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) on 20 September. On 23

September it was detected in the Susitna River at RM 130.0. The spawning status of this fish was not determined.

Individual movements of radio tagged coho salmon are further described in Appendix EK,

Other telemetry studies have detected radio transmitter regurgitation among adult coho salmon and steelhead trout, salmo gairdneri. Two of twenty three adult coho salmon evidently regurgitated radio transmitters (identical in dimension to those used in this study but without antenna modifications) downstream of their release sites along the White River, Puget Sound, Washington (personal communication, Don Chapman). Location of the transmitters remained static during the White River study whereas had they been within carcasses they probably would have moved downstream. The transmitters were lubricated and esophageally implanted with the antenna trailing through the operculum rather than being anchored to the roof of the mouth or kype, as they were in the Susitna River study.

Three adult steelhead trout in the Clearwater River, Idaho also were presumed to have regurgitated transmitters, measuring 9.7 cm long and 1.2 cm in diameter. They were presumedly regurgitated in the spring, in response to development and expansion of the gonads prior to spawning (personal communication, Steven Pettit). The antenna of each transmitter was anchored to the roof of the fish's mouth. Another individual was captured with the transmitter dangling from the mouth, suspended by the antenna.

6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was financed by the State of Alaska, Alaska Power Authority.

Personnel support was provided by the following Alaska Department of

Fish and Game staff biologists and technicians:

Fisheries Biologist II's: Thompson, Michael

Fisheries Biologist I's: Bigler, Jeff

Dolezal, Wayne

Ellis, Susan

Goodman, Lee

Gustin, Rick

Hessing, Pauline

Kerkvliet, Carol

Knuepfer, Gary

Krueger, Steve

Mickowski, Ted

Minard, Mac

Pechek, Stuart

Queral, Isaac

Withrow, Tom

Zosel, Katrin

Fisheries Technician's: Anderson, Cindy

Blaney, Chuck

Crowe, Tom

Fink, Mark

Harris, Trish

Malvaney, Harriet

Palach, Brad

Sigurdsson, John

Stratton, Barry

Trickett, Steve

Weidmier, Mike

Whitmore, Nancy

Wick, Susan

Special appreciation is given to biologist Carl Burger, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, for his assistance in providing technical guidance to the radio telemetry portion of the study.

Appreciations is also extended to those individuals not mentioned here

7.0 LITERATURE CITED

- Barrett, B.M. 1974. An assessment of the anadromous fish populations in the upper Susitna River watershed between Devil Canyon and the Chulitna River. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries. 56pp.
- Begon, M. 1979. Investigating animal abundance: capture-recapture for biologists. Edmond Arnold, London. 97pp.
- Dixon, W.J. and R.J. Massey. 1969. Introduction to statistical analysis.

 McGray-Hill. New York. 638 pp.
- Everhart, W.H., A.W. Eipper and W.D. Youngs. 1975. Principals of Fishery Science. Cornell University Press. Ithica. 288 pp.
- Friese, N.V. 1975. Reauthorization of anadromous fish populations of the upper Susitna River watershed in the vicinity of the proposed Devil Canyon hydroelectric project. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Bulletin 191, Information Canada, Ottowa. 382.
- Tarbox, K, B.E. King, D. Waltemyer. Cook Inlet sockeye salmon studies.

 Alaska Department of Fish and Game Technical Report, Project

 #AFC-62-2, Anadromous Fisheries Conservation Act. 1980.

APPENDICES EA - EK

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table EB-1.	Susitna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-1
Table EB-2.	Susitna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-4
Table EB-3.	Yentna Station south bank daily and cumulative sonar cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-7
Table EB-4.	Yentna Station north bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-10
Table EB-5.	Sunshine Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-13
Table EB-6.	Sunshine Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-16
Table EB-7.	Talkeetna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-19
Table EB-8.	Talkeetna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species.	EB-23

		PAGE
Table EC-1.	Susitna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-1
Table EC-2.	Susitna Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-4
Table EC-3.	Yentna Station south bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-6
Table EC-4.	Yentna Station north bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-9
Table EC-5.	Sunshine Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-12
Table EC-6.	Sunshine Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-15
Table EC-7.	Talkeetna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-18
Table EC-8.	Talkeetna Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-21
Table EC-9.	Curry Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-24

		PAGE
Table EC-10.	Curry Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species.	EC-28
Table EE⊷l.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank, Susitna Station.	EE-1
Table EE-2.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Susitna Station.	EE-3
Table EE-3.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, south bank, Yentna Station.	EE-6
Table EE-4.	Sector disbribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, north bank, Yentna Station.	EE-9
Table EE-5.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank, Sunshine Station.	EE-12
Table EE-6.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Sunshine Station.	EE-15
Table EE-7.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank Talkeetna Station.	EE-18
Table EE-8.	Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris west bank, Talkeetna Station.	EE-21

	PAGE
Summary of mainstem Susitna River sampling using gill nets and electroshocking.	EG-1
Escapement surveys conducted on Susitna River Sloughs between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon.	EJ-1
Escapement survey counts of Susitna River tributary streams between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon.	EJ-10
Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult chum salmon during July, August and September, 1981.	EK-19
Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult coho salmon during September and October, 1981.	EK-41
	Escapement surveys conducted on Susitna River Sloughs between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon. Escapement survey counts of Susitna River tributary streams between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult chum salmon during July, August and September, 1981. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult coho salmon during

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
Figure EA-1.	Susitna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown.	EA-1
Figure EA-2.	Yentna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown.	EA-2
Figure EA-3.	Sunshine Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown.	EA-3
Figure EA-4.	Talkeetna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown.	EA-4
Figure EA-5.	Curry Station with fishwheel locations shown.	EA-5.
Figure ED-1.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations.	ED-1
Figure ED-2.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations.	ED-2
Figure ED-3.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Curry Station.	ED-3
Figure ED-4.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations.	ED-4
Figure ED-5.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations.	ED-5

		PAGE
Figure ED-6.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of	ED-6
	pink salmon at Curry Station.	
Figure ED-7.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of	ED-7
	chum salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations.	
Figure ED-8.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of	ED-8
	chum salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations.	
Figure ED-9.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of	ED-9
	chum salmon at Curry Station.	
5:	Many have been described as a second behavior and the second as a	ED 10
rigure ED-10.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations.	ED-10
	cono samion de sustana ana tenena seattons.	
Figure ED-11.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of	ED-11
	coho salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations.	
Figure ED 12	Many bounds from book and and by the day posited of	ED 10
Figure ED-12.	Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Curry Station.	ED-12
Figure EF-1.	Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from	EF-1
	fishwheel catches at Susitna Station.	
Figure FF 2	Longth frequencies of seckeys salmen sampled from	EF-2
rigure Er+2.	Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station.	LJ - Z

		PAGE
Figure EF-3.	Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from	EF-3
	fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station.	
Figure EF-4.	Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from	EF-4
	fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.	
Figure EF-5.	Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from	EF-5
	fishwheel catches at Curry Station.	
Figure EF-6.	Length frequencies of pink samon sampled from	EF-6
	fishwheel catches at Susitna Station.	
Figure EF-7.	Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from	EF-7
	fishwheel catches at Yentna Station.	
Figure EF-8.	Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from	EF-8
	fishwheel catches at Sunshine station.	
Figure EF-9.	Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from	EF-9
	fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.	
Figure EF-10.	Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from	EF-10
	fishwheel catches at Curry Station.	
Figure EF-11.	Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from	EF-11
	fishwheel catches at Susitna Station.	

Figure EF-12. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-13. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-14. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19 fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.				PAGE
Figure EF-13. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-14. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19	Figure EF-12.	Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled	from	EF-12
fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-14. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19		fishwheel catches at Yentna Station.		
fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-14. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19				
Figure EF-14. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19	Figure EF-13.		from	EF-13
fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19 fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.		fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station.		
Figure EF-15. Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	Figure EF-14.	Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled	from	EF-14
fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19 fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.	•	fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.		
fishwheel catches at Curry Station. Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20				
Figure EF-16. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-19 fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station.	Figure EF-15.	Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled	from	EF-15
fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20		fishwheel catches at Curry Station.		
fishwheel catches at Susitna Station. Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20				
Figure EF-17. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	Figure EF-16.		from	EF-16
fishwheel catches at Yentna Station. Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20		Tishwheel catches at Susitha Station.		
Figure EF-18. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	Figure EF-17.	Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled	from	EF-17
fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20		fishwheel catches at Yentna Station.		
fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station. Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20				
Figure EF-19. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	Figure EF-18.	Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled	from	EF-18
fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20		fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station.		
fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station. Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	Figure EE 10	length fraguencies of cohe salmon sampled	from	FF_10
Figure EF-20. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from EF-20	rigure Er-19.	••	TTOII	L1 -13
, rgard 2, 200 200300 , required to				
fishwheel catches at Curry Station.	Figure EF-20.	Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled	from	EF-20
		fishwheel catches at Curry Station.		

Figure EF-21.	Sockeye salmon length by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches.	PAGE EF-21
Figure EF-22.	Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches.	EF-22
Figure EF-23.	Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches.	EF-23
Figure EF-24.	Pink salmon lengths by age class from Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches.	EF-24
Figure EF-25.	Chum salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches.	EF-25
Figure EF-26.	Chum salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches.	EF-26
Figure EF-27.	Chum salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches.	EF-2 7
Figure EF-28.	Coho salmon lengths by age class from Yentha Station fishwheel catches.	EF-28
Figure EF-29.	Coho salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches.	EF - 29
Figure EF-30.	Coho salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches.	EF-30

		PAGE
Figure EH-1.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 68.3 approximately.	EH-1
Figure EH-2.	Mainstem Susitna river chum salmon spawning area at RM 76.6 approximately.	EH-2
Figure EH-3.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 83.3 approximately.	EH-3
Figure EH-4.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 92.2 approximately.	EH-4
Figure EH-5.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 96.8 approximately.	EH-5
Figure EH-6.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 97.0 approximately.	EH-6
Figure EH-7.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 100.5 approximately.	EH-7
Figure EH-6.	Mainstem Susitna kiver cono salmon spawning area ac RM 117.6 approximately.	EN-U
Figure EH-9.	Mainstem Susitna River chum and coho salmon spawning area at RM 129.2 approximately.	ЕН-9

		PAGE
Figure EH-10.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at	EH-10
	RM 130.5 approximately.	
Figure EU 11	Mainston Susitna Divon shum salmon spawning amoa at	EH-11
rigure En-II.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 131.1 approximately.	EU-!!
Figure EH-12.	Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at	EH-12
	RM 135.2 approximately.	
Figuro FI-1	Gash Creek located at RM 111.6 approximately.	EI-l
rigure LI-T.	dash creek rocated at km iii.o approximatery.	E1-1
Figure EI-2.	Lower McKenzie Creek located at RM 116.2 approximately.	EI-2
Figure EI-3.	Moose Slough located at RM 123.5 approximately.	EI-3
Figure FI-4.	Slough A ^l located at RM 124.6 and Skull Creek located	EI-4
, , gar c 21 1.	at RM 124.7 approximately.	
Figure EI-5.	Slough 9B located at RM 129.2 approximately.	EI-5
Figure FI 6	Cloude 214 located at DM 145 5 approprimately	ΓΙ 6
Figure EI-6.	Slough 21A located at RM 145.5 approximately.	EI-6
Figure EK-1.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-2
	number 650-3 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
F: FV 0	Manager of malin to and about allows and about a supplication are about a supplication	EK-3
Figure EK-2.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 660-l in the Susitna River drainage during	LK-3
	August and September, 1981.	

		PAGE
Figure EK-3.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-5
	number 670-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-4.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-6
	number 680-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-5.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-8
	number 680-3 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-6.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-9
	number 700-1 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-7.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-11
	number 700-3 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-8.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-12
	hamber 710-2 in the Jabrana mivel Granhage caning	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-9.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-14
	number 720-1 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
	•	

		PAGE
Figure EK-10.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-16
	number 730-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-11.	Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter	EK-17
	number 740-1 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	August and September, 1981.	
		EW 00
Figure EK-12.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter	EK-23
	number 650-1 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	September, 1981.	
F: 54 10		EK-25
Figure EK-13.		LN-23
	number 650-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	September, 1981.	
Figure EK-14.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter	EK-27
rigure EK-14.	number 660-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	September, 1981.	
Figure EK-15.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter	EK-30
•	number 600-1 in the Sustitua river oramage curring	
	August and September, 1981.	
Figure EK-16.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter	EK-31
	number 700-2 in the Susitna River drainage during	
	September, 1981.	

		PAGE
Figure EK-17.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.	EK-33
Figure EK-18.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.	EK-34
Figure EK-19.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.	EK-36
Figure EK-20.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September and October, 1981.	EK-37
Figure EK-21.	Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 730-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.	EK-39

APPENDIX EK Radio Telemetry Tracking Reports

					PAGE
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#650-3	EK-1
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#660-1	EK-1
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#670-2	EK-4
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	680-2	EK-4
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#680-3	EK-7
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#700-1	EK-7
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#700-3	EK-10
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#710-2	EK-10
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#720-1	EK-13
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#730-2	EK-15
Chum	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#740-1	EK-15
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#650-1	EK-18
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#650~2	EK-24
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#660-2	EK-26
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#680-1	EK-29
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#700-2	EK-29
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#710-1	EK-32
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#710-3	EK-32
rcha	Crlmop.	badio	Transmitton	#72N_2	EN JE
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#720-3	EK-35
Coho	Salmon,	Radio	Transmitter	#730-3	EK-38

APPENDIX EA SUSITNA RIVER AND YENTNA RIVER SAMPLING STATIONS




Figure EA-1. Susitna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EA-2. Yentna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EA-3. Sunshine Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Figure EA-4. Talkeetna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EA-5. Curry Station with fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

			. •	
	• •			
	APPENDIX DAILY SIDE SCAN SO	EB ONAR COUNTS		
				_
e de la constante de la consta	en de servicio de la compansión de la comp	× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ant at the same and	
म् पुरस्कार्यस्थानसम्बद्धाः प्रकारमञ्जूषे । इत्यानस्थितस्य १	e la	g of Mark Well (1997) (1998)		

Table EB-1. Susitna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	<u>s</u> ocki	EYE	PIN	K	СНО	M	<u> ÇOH</u>	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
lune														
7	60	60	0	0	60	60	V	0	0	0	. 0	. 0		
8	63	123	0	0	63	123 .	0	Q	0	0	0	0		
9	370	493	3_	3	367	490	0	0	0	0	0	00		
0	429	922	3_	6	426	916	0	0	0	0	0	0		
								L						L
								L						
y l y						:.								
1	451	1463	4	10	537	1453	0	0	0	0	0	0		
2	1929	3392	20	30	1860	3313 ;	49	49_	0	0	0	0		
3	1109	4501	11	41	1070	4383 4861	28		<u>0</u>	<u>Q</u>	0	0		<u> </u>
4	550	5051	3 2	44	478		66	143	0	0	3	3		
5	448	5499		46	390	5251	54	197	0	0	2	5		
<u>6</u>	377	5876	2	48	328	5579	45.	242 275	0	<u> </u>				
1	279 231	6155 6386	2	50 52	242 226	5821 6047	33		0	—-¥	2	9		
8			9		1334	7381	6	276 282	- 1	¦	6	<u>]0</u>		
ý	1358	7744		61					12					
0	5262	13006	36_	97	5166	12547	24	306		16	24	40		
ļ	11930	14936	0	97	11848	24395	82	388	0	16	0	40		
2	15650	30586	<u>Q</u>	97	15650	40045	<u> </u>	388	0	16	0	40		
3	19747	50333	Q_	97	19747	59792	0	388	0	16	0	40		
4	22043 16970	72376	<u>0</u> _	97	22043	81835	0	388	0		0	40		
		89346	0	97	16055	98690	- 0	388	115	13)	Q	40		
6	10718	100064	<u> </u>	97	10676	109366	42_	430	0	131	0	40	,	
1	3830	103894	o	97	3804	113170	0	430	26	157	0	40		
18	4607	_108501	<u> </u>	97	4392	117562	143	573	72	229	0	40		
9	3632	112133	Q	97	3439	121001	110	683	0	229	83	123		
0	5691	117824	0	97 97	5054	126055	487	1170 1552	19	248 288	131	254		
2	8304	126128	0	97	7711	133766	382		75		171	425		
2	7182	133310	50		6808	140574	224	177 <u>6</u> 2377	50	363		500		
23	7049	140359		_147	5960	146534	601	3083		413	388	888 1321		
<u> </u>	4707	145066	33	180 180	3210 1954	149744	706 835	3083 3918	325 26	738 764	433	1768		
2	3262	148328		180	19541	151698	035	7319		/04	447	1708		

Table EB-1. Continued

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	CHU	JM	сон	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
July														
26	1927	150255	0	180	1066	152764	690	4608	0	764	171	1939		
27	2124	152379	0	180	1115	153879	690	5298	51	815	268	2207		
28	3163	155542	.0	180	936	154815	1420	6718	35	850	772	2979		
29	2698	158240	0	180	682	155497	1584	8302	45	895	387	3366		
30	2431	160671	0	180	974	156471	1184	9486	0	895	273	3639		
31	2480	163151	0	180	1127	157598	902	10388	113	1008	338	3977		
								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
August														
1	1610	164761	0	180	844	158442	300	10787	26	1024	241	4210		
	801_	165562	0	180	419	158861	399 199	10787	26 13	1034	341 170	4318 4488		
2	481	166043	- 0	180	283	159144	66	11052	26	1047	106	4594		
-3	476	166519	0	180	280	159424	65	11117	26	1099	105	4699		
	802	167321	0	180	471	159895	110	11227	44	1143	177	4876		
	574_	167895	<u>ŏ</u>	180	337	160232	79	11306	32	1175	126	5002		
7	920	168815	ŏ	180	541	160773	126	11432	51	1226	202	5204		
8	1271	170086	0	180	367	161140	168	11600	232	1458	424	5628		
9	307	170393	ŏ	180	89	161229	41	11641	56	1514	102	5730		
10	146	170539	Ŏ	180	42	161271	. 19	11660	27	1541	49	5779		
11	288	170827	0	180	83	161354	38	11698	53	1594	96	5875		
12	412	171239	<u> </u>	180	119	161423	54	11752	75	1669	138	6013		
13	633	171872	ő	180	183	161656	84	11836	115	1784	ŽĬĬ	6224		
14	533	172405	Ó	180	160	161816	73	11909	iói	1885	184	6408		
15	553	172958	0	180	160	161976	73	11982	101	1986	184	6592		
16	553	173511	0	180	160	162136	73	12055	101	2087	184	6776		
17	473	173984	0 1	180	137	162273	62	12117	86	2173	158	6934		
18	473	174457	Ö	180	137	162410	62	12179	86	2259	158			
19	2234	176691	0	180	646	163056	295	12474	407	2666	745	7092 7837		
20	1784	178475	0	_180	516	163572	236	12710	325	2991	595	8432		
21	1555	180030	0	180	450	164022	205	12915	284	3275	518	8950		
22	846	180876	0	180	245	164267	112	13027	154	3429	282	9232		. ,
23	798	181674	Ö	180	231	164498	105	13132	146	3575	266	9498		

Table EB-1. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	EYE /	PIN	<u>K</u>	CH	JM	COL	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
_	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
August						.7								
24	921	182595	0	180	266	164764	122	13254	168	3743	307	9805		
25	701	183296	0_	180	202	164966	93	13347	128	3871	234	10039		
26	399	183695	0	180	33	164999	0	13347	78	3949	12	10051	256	256
27	235	183930	0	180	22	165021	0	13347	48	3997		10058	158_	414
28	234_	184164	0	180	21	165042	0	13347	48_	4045	1	10065	158	572
29	<u>196</u>	184360	0	180	17	165059		13347	40	4085	6	10071	133	705
30	87	184447	0_	180	. 8	165067	0	13347	18	4103	3 _	10074	58	763
31	101	184548	0	180	9	165076	0_	13347	21	4124	3	10077	68	831
								 						
Cantombon											***	 		
September 1	59	184607	ō	180	5	165081	0	13347	10	43.06	2	10070	40	071
-! -	70	184677	0	180	6	165087		13347	12	4136 4150		10079 10082	40	871 918
		104011		100		100007		1334/	14	4120		10005	47.	310
														
								 						
				_								- ;		-
											****			_ · · · _ •

				-										
										,	-	-		
						,								

Table EB-2. Susitna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHII	Ю0К	SOCK	YE :	PIN	K	CHI	M	СОН	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	YAIRD	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
lune														
7	116	116	12	12	46	46	39	39	18_	18	1	1		
8	101	217	10	12	41	87	34	73	15	33	i	2		
)		293	8	30	31	118	25	98	12	45	0	2		
)	124	417	13	43	50	168	41	139	19	64	1	3		
l y														
	246	663	25	68	100	268	82	221	37	101	2	5		
	211	874	22	90	86	354	70	291	32	133	11	6		
	173	1047	18	108	70	424	58	349	26	159		1_		
	180	1227	19_	127	73	497	60	409	27	186	1	8		
	193	1420	20	147	79	576	64	473	29	215	1	9		
	292	1712	30	177	119	695	97	570	44	259		11		
	288	2000	30	207	116	811	96	666	44	303	2	13		
	402	2402	41	248	164	975	134	800	61	364	2	15		
	538	2940	55	303	219	1194	179	979	82	446	3	18		
	2913	5853	300	603	1183	2377	971	1950	441	887	18	36		
	2014	7867	0	603	1520	3897	307	2257	187	1074	0	36		
	788	8655	0	603	595	4492	120	2377	73	1147	0	36		
	2136	10791	0_	603	1613	6105	325	2702	198	1345	0	36		
	13519	24310	0	603	10207	16312	2059	4761	1253	2598	Q	36		
	22080	46390	0	603	16670	32982	3363	8124	2047	4645	0	36		
	21731	68121	0	603	16407_	49389	3310	11434	2014	6659	0_1	36		
	20738	88859	0_	603	15658	65047	3158	14592	1922	8581	0	36		
	14904	103763	0	603	11252	76299	2270	16862	1382	9963	0	36		
	14186	117949	0	603	10710	87009	2161	19023	1315	11278	0	36		
	13288	131237	0	603	10032	97041	2024	21047	1232	_12510	0	36		
	21019	152256	0	603	15870	112911	3201	24248	1948	14458	0	36 1250		
	13051	165301	91	694	4411	117322	6226	30474	1109	15567	1214			
	21019	186326	147	841	7104	124426	10026	40500	1787	17354	1955	3205		
	24137	210463	169	1010	8158	132584	11513	52013	2052	19406_	2245	545Q		
	17310_	227773	87_	1097	6526	139110	7218	59231	1194	20600	2285	7735		

Table EB-2. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHII	100K	SOCK	Y <u>E</u>	PIN	<u>K</u>	сни	M	CO	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
Dille	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
July										50111	5,1,52,1			
26	14840	242613	74	_1171	5595	144705	6188	65419	1024	21624	1959	9694	•	
27	18303	260916	92-	1263	6900	151605	7632	73051	1263	22887	2416	12110		
28	16141	277057	80	1343	6085	157690	6731	79782	1114	24001	2131	14241		
29	11155	288212	0	1343	3718	161408	4306	84088	1468	25469	1663	15904		
30	7307	295519	0	1343	2435	163843	2821	86909	962	26431	1089	16993		
31	6290	301809	0	1343	2096	165939	2428	89337	828	27259	938	17931		
* I			Ţ								·			
									,,					
August									·					
1	3183	304992	0	1343	1061	167000	1228	90565	419	27678	475	18406		
2	2447	307439	0	1343	816	167816	944	91509	322	28000	365	18771		
.3	2787	310226	18_	_1361	557	168373	645	92154	1080	29080	348	19119		
4	5514	315740	35	1396	1103	169476	1274	93428	2137	31217	689	19808		
5	7184	322924	45	1441	1434	170910	1662	95090	2785	34002	899	20707		
6	3952	326876	25	1466	790	171700	914	96004	1531	35533	494	21201		
1	2771	329647	17	1483	554	172254	641	96645	1074	36607	346	21547		
8	<u> 1815</u>	331462	11_1	1494	363	172617	420	97065	703	37310	227	21774		
9	1275	332737	8	1502	255	172872	295	97360	494	37804	159	21933		
1.0	1028	333765	- 6	1508	206	173078	238	97598	398	38202	129	22062		
	1278_	335043	8	1516	256	173334	295	97893	495	38697	160	22222		
2	986	336029	6	1522	197	173531 :	228	98121	382	39079	124	22346		
3	754	336783	5	1527	151	173682	174	98295	292	39371	94	22440		
4	431	337314	3	1530	85	173767	100	98395	167	39538	54	22494		
5	369	337583	2.1	1532	74	173841	85	98480	143	39681	47	22541		
6	340	337923	2	1534	68	173909	78	98558	132	39813	43	22584		
1	312	<u>338235</u>	2	1536	62	173971	72	98630	121	39934	39	22623		
8	705	338940	4	1540	141	174112	163	98793	273 429	40207	89	22712		
9	1108	340048	7	1547 1551	222	174334	256	99049		40636	139	22851		
0	697	340745	4_		139	174473	161	99210	270	40906	88	22939		
	1099	341844		1558	220	174693	254	99464	426	41332	137	23706		
22	647	342491	4.1	1562	129	174822	150	99614	251	41583	81	23157		
23	569	343060	4.1	1566	114]	174936	132	99746	220	41803	71 1	23228		

Table EB-2. Continued.

	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	NOOK	SOCK	EYE	PIN	K	CHL	JM	COI	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
14										*				
4	604	343664	4	1570	120	175056	140	99886	234	42037	76	23304		
5	365	344029	2_	1572	73	175129	84	99970	141_	42178	47	23351		
6	363	344392	0	1572	4	175133	. 8	99978	32	42210	8	23359	311	311
Z	423	344815	0	1572	5	175138	9	99987	37_	42247	9	23368	363	674
<u> </u>	242	345051	0	1572	3_	_175141	5	99992	21_	42268	6	23374	207.	_881
9	153	345210	0	1572	2	175143 175144		99995	13	42281	4	23378	131	1012
<u> </u>	99	345309	0	1572		1/5/44		99997	9	42290	2	23380	85	1097
<u> </u>	34	3453 <u>43</u>	0	1572	0	175144		99998	3	42293	3	23383		1126
									-					
eptember														-
1	106	345449	0	1572	1	175145	2	100000	9	42302	3	23386	91	1217
?	101	345550	0	1572	1	175146	2	100002		42311	2	23388	87	1304
								ļ						
								 						
								 						
	l									Andrew			1	

Table EB-3. Yentna Station south bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	EYE .	PIN	<u>ik</u>	CIN	UM	COH	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
une								<u> </u>		ļ				
30	295	295	39	39	206	206	22	22	17	17	0		11	-11
ıly				-									-	
	377	672	50	89	263	469	.28	50	22_	39	0	0	14	25
2	427	1099	57	146	298	767	32	82	24	63	0_	Ō	16	41
3	483	1582	38	184	350	1117	51.	133	12	75	0	0	32	73
1	259	1841	20	204	187	1304	27	160	8	83	0	0	17	90
5	162	2003	13	217	117	1421	17	177	4	87	0	0	11	101
î	201	2204	13	_230	122	1543	55	232	Q	87	4	4	7	_108
7	173	2377	11	241	104	1647	48	280	0	87	4	8	6	114
3	164	2541	11	252	99	1746	45	325	0	87	4	12	5	119
9	318	2859	3	255	282	2028	26	351	6	93		13	0	119
)	4641	7500	51	306	4117	6]45	381	732	83	176	9	22	. 0	119
<u> </u>	4882	12382	0_	306	4818	10963	49	781	15	191	Q	22	0	119
2	8843	21225	35	341	8808	19771	0	781	0	191	0	22	0	119
3	10604	31829	0_	_341	10307	30078	85	866	212	403	0	22	0	119
<u> </u>	15885	47714	0	341	15535	45613	254	1120	64	467	32	54	Q	119
	15291	63005	0	341	14970	60583	199	1319	107	574	15	_69	0	119
	9243	72248	0	341	9012	69595	120	1439	56	630	. 55	124	0	119
	5576	77824	0	341	5403	74998	0_	1439	173	803	0	124	0_	119
3	5762	85386	0_	341	4869	79867	346	1785	507	1310	40	164	0	119
	6190	89776.	0	341	5231	<u>85098</u>	371	2156	<u>545</u>	1855	43	207	0	119
)	7259	97035	0	341	5815	90913	791	2947	530	2385	123	330	0	119
	8620	105655	0	341	6905	97818	939	3886	629	3014	147	477	0	119
<u> </u>	11768	117423	35	376	9285	107103	918	4804	824	3838	706	1183	0	119
	10477	127900	<u> </u>	376	6045	113148	2787	7591	692	4530	953	2136	0	119
	8400 6647	136300	0	376	4503	117651	2621	10212 13250	722	5252	554	2690		119
		142947	· · · · · · ·	376	2712 1626	120363 121989	3038 1916	15166	758	6010	139	2829	-	
	47 <u>67</u> 3407	147714 151121	0	376 376	1162	123151	1369	16535	491 351	6501 6852	734 525	3563 4088	0	119

Table EB-3. Continued.

	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	CHU	ıw	COH	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
July 28	4885	156006	Ö	376	752	123903	2194	18729	664	7516	1275	5163		119
29	3579	159585		376	716	124619	1918	20647	397	7913	548	5911	Ô	119
30	4119	163704_	0	376	783	125402	2018	22665	437	8350	873	6784	8	127
31	2416	166120	ō	376	435	125837	1201	23866	208	8558	555	7339	17	144
August														
1	3476	169596	0	3 76	434	126271	1342	25208	435	8993	1265	8604	0	144
2	2342	171938	0	_376	691	126962	717_	25925	96	9089	838	9142	0	144
3	961	172899	0	376	284	127246	294	26219	39	9128	344	9786	. 0	144
4	945	173844	0_	376	151	127397	256	26475	151	9279	387_	10173	- 0	144
5	1086	174930	0	376	174	127571	294	26769	174	9453	444	10617	0	144
6	869	175799	0	376	77	127648	470	27239	131	9584	191	10308	0	144
<u></u>	723	176522	0	376	45	127693	264	27503	150	9734	264	11072	0	144
8	455	176977	0	376	28	127721	166	27669	95	9829	166	11238	0	144
9	400	177377	0_	376	82	127803	67	27736	107	9936	144	11382	Q_	144
0	523	177900	0	376	107	127910	87	27823	141	10077	188	11570	0	144
<u> </u>	501	178401	0	376	103	128013	83	27906	135	10212	180	11750	Q	144
21/	412	178813	0	376	128	128141	52	27958	180	10392	52	11802 11824	- <u>0</u>	144 -
317	172	178985	0	376	53	128194	22	27980	75	10467 10581	22	11857	ŏ	144
417	260	179245	<u>0</u> _	376	81	128275	32	28012	114				, 0	
	505	179750	<u> </u>	376	15	128290	130	28142	72	10653	288	12145	0	144
<u>6</u>	814	180564	0_	376	24	128314	209	28351 28542		10769	465	12610	ň	144
<u></u>	745_	181309	0_	376	22	128336	191		107	10876	425 270	13035 13305	45	189
<u>8</u>	675 652	181984	0_	376	22	128358	203	28745	135	11011	261	13566	45	233
0		182636	0	376	21	128379	196	28941	130	11141	378	13944	63	296
	944	183580	0	376	31	128410	283	29224	189	11330		14023	72	368
1 2	545	184125	<u>V</u>	376	39	128449	118	29342	237	11567	79		54	422
3	413 358	184538	- 0	376	30	128479	90	29432	179	11746	60 52	14083	47	
		184896	<u> </u>	376 376	26	128505 128515		29510	155	11901		14135	206	469 675
<u>4</u>	356	185252	<u>0</u>	376 ·	10		52 50	29562	57	11958 12012	31	14166 14196		873
5	342	185594		3/0		128525		29612	24	16016		14170] 98	0/3

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Low counts due to counter malfunction in sector 1 caused by extreme high water.

Table EB-3. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHII	100K	SOCK	EYE	PIN	<u>K</u>	CHL	JM	CO	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
ugust													7	
6	435	186029	<u> </u>	376	13	128538	63	29675	69_	12081	38	14234	252	1125
7	256	186285	0_	376	20	128558	0	29675	98	12179	0	11234	138	1263
8	204	186489	0	376	16	128574	· 0	29675	78	12257	0	1/234	110	1373
9	122	186611	0_	376	9	128583	0	29675	47	12304	0_	14234	66	1439
0	109	186720	0	376	0_	128583	0_	29675	109	12413	0	14234	0	1439
1	53	186773	Q	376	0	128583	0	29675	53	12466	0	14234	0	1439
eptember	86	186859	0	376		120502		00675	66	12552		14004		1420
!	106	186965	0	376	0	128583 128583	0	29675 29675	86 106	12552 12658	0	14234 14234	0_	1439 1439
2	74	187039	- 0	376	0	128583	0	29675	74	12732	- 0	14234	0	1439
3 _{2/} 42/ 52/ 52/ 72/	91	187130	<u>_</u>	370	<u>v</u>	120303	<u> </u>	290/3	/4	12/32		14234		1439
<u> </u>	86	187216												
<u> </u>	115	187331												
<u> 2/ </u>	122	187453												
<u> </u>	122	10/455					-					,		
	_											to França		
									-					
		-			-						_			
										,			_	
_														
						.	:						-	
						-								
														
		****·			,									

^{2/} No apportionment due to inoperative fishwheel.

Table EB-4. Yentna Station north bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	EYE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СН	<u> </u>	COH	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
une														
9	199	199	0	0	135	135	14	14	21	21	0		29	29
0	307	506	0	0	208	343	. 22	36	33	54	0	0	44	73
ily					200	600	20	64		0.0				100
	392	898	0	0	266	609	28	64	42	96	0	0	56_	129
2 3-5 <u>1</u> 7	719	1617	0	0	488	1097	51_	115	. 77	173	0	0	103	232
2-5-1	182	1617	16	- 0 -	98	1097	62	115 177	2	173 175		<u> </u>		2 <u>32</u> 234
7	245	1799 2044	21	16 37	131	1195 1326	84	261	3	178	3			237
3	339	2383	6	43	165	1491	154	415	13	191	- 3	5		238
,	266	2649	5	48	129	1620	121	536		201	ŏ_	5	i	239
)	137	2786	ž	50	67	1687	62	598	- 5	206	- 0	5	i	240
	151	2937	0	50	112	1799	14	612	25	231	ŏ	5	<u> </u>	240
	61	2998	ŏ	50	45	1844	6	618	10	231 241	ŏ	5	Ö	240
	174	3172	ő	50	129	1973	17	635	28	269	Ö	5	Ö	240
	451	3623	Ö	50	374	2347	44	679	33	302	0	5	0	240
	470	4093	0	50	390	2737	46	725	34	336	0	5	0	240
5	377	4470	0	50	312	3049	37	762	28	364	0	5	0	240
1	438	4908	0	50	371	3420	21	783	42	406	4	9	0	240
}	277	5185	ð	50	235	3655	13	796 809	27	433 455	2	11	0	240
	233	5418		51	192	3847	13		22		5	16	O .	240
)	245	5663	0	51	171	4018	37	846	36	491		17	0	240
	248	5911	0	51	176	4194	31	877	37	528	4	21	0	240
	398	6309	0	51	299	4493	20	897	64	592	15	36	0	240
}	539	6848	0	51	298	4791	29	926	169	761	43	79	0	240
	668	7516	0	51	446	5237	74	1000	128	889	20	99	0	240
2/	782	8298	0	51	522	5759	87	1087	150	1039	23	122	0	240
41	2516	10814	0	51	1205	6964	475	1562	579	1618	257	379	0	240
	1913	12727	0	51	916	7880	362	1924	440	2058 2292	195	574	0	240
	1251	13978	0	51	601	8481	266	2190	234	2292	150	724	0 1	240

 $[\]underline{1}\!\!/$ Sonar shut down due to high water necessitating site adjustment.

^{2/} Sonar to be moved to a new site.

Table EB-4. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СН	<u></u>	COH	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
29	908	14886	0	51	436	8917	193	2383	.170	2462 2780	109	333	0	240 240
30	1700	16586	0	51	816	9733	362	2745	318			1037	0	
31	1418	18004	0	51	437	10170	491	3236	327	3107	163	1200	0	240
August														
1	615	18619	0	51	189	10359	213	3449	142	3249	71	1271	0	240
2	395	19014	0	51	122	10481	137	3586	91	3340	45	1316	0	240
3	575	19589	0	51	32	10513	250	3836	186	3526	107	1423	0	240
4	648	20237	0	51	36	10549	282	4118	209	3735	121	1544	0	240
5	516	20753	0	51	52	10601	. 285	4403	114	3849	65	1609	0	240
6	307	21060	0	51	10	10611	193	4596	63	3912	41	1650	0	240
_7	308	21368	0	51	9	10620	246	4842 .	28	3940	25	1675	0	240
8	231	21599	0	51	14	10634	125	4967	63	4003	29	1704	0	240
9	379	21978	0	51	24	10658	205	5172	103	4106	47	1751	0	240
10	417	22395	0	51	24	10682	113	5285	190	4296	90	1841	0	240
11	459	22854	0	51	26	10708	124	5409	210	4506	99	1940	0	240
12	459	23313	0	51	26	10734	124	5533	210	4716	99	2039	0	240
133/	145	23458	0	51	19	10753	15	5548	87	4803	24	2063	0	240
143/	138	23596	0	51	18	10771	14	5562	83	4886	23	2086	0	240
153/	127	23723	0	51	17	10788	13	5575	76	4962	21	2107	0	240
16	163	23886	0	51	3	10791	35	5610	72	5034	44	2151	9	249
17	309 517	24195	0	51	6	10797	65	5675	137	5171	83	2234	18	267
18		24712	0	51	10	10807	110	5795	228	5399	139	2373	30	297
19	595	25307	0	51	0	10807	123	5908	349	5748	82	2455	41	338
20	769	26076	0	51	0	10807	159	6067	451	6199	106	2561	53	391
21	377	26453	0	51	0	10807	78	6145	221	6420	52	2613	26	417
22	451_	26904	0	51	5	10812	71	6222	209	6629	55	2668	105	522
23	274	27178	0	51	3	10815	47	6269	127	6756	33	2701	64	586
24	248	27426	0	5]	3	10818	42	6311	115	6871	30	2731	58	644
25	245	27671	0	51	0	10818	29	6340	52	6923	18	2749	146	790
26	162	27833	0	51	0	10818	19	6359	35	6958	12	2761	96	886
27	168	28001	0	51	0	10818	20	6379	36	6994	12	2773	100	986

 $^{3\!\!/}$ Counts are low due to malfunction in sector one caused by extreme high water.

Table EB-4. Continue

2175	TOTAL	COUNT		ij	100K	SOCK	EYE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СНО	M	сон	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	: DA	٠	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
28	28	28029		:1	51	0	10818	0	6379	0	6994	00	2773	28	_1014
28	21	28056		· i]	51	. 0		0	6379	0	6994	0	2773	27	1041
30	22	28078		7	51	0		0	6379	0_	6994	0	2773	22	1063
31	12	28090		'1	51	0	10818	0	6379	3	6997	0	2773	9	1072
September				-				·							
1	58_	_28148_		- ;-	51	0	10818	0	6379	14	7011	0	2773	44	1116
2	50	28198		. "	51	0	10818	0	6379	12	7023	0	2773	38	1154
3	26	28224		1	51	0	10818	0	6379	4	7027 7030	4	2777	18	1172
4	19	28243_		[را	51	Ô	10818	0	6379	3		3	2780	13	1185
5	20	28263		?	51	0	_10818	0	6379	3	7033_	3_	2783	14	1199
6	49	28312		그	51	0	_10818	0	6379	0_	7033	0	2783	49	1248
7	29	2834]		2	51	0	10818	0	6379	0	7033	0	2783	29	1277
		<u> </u>		_											
				-											
							- <u></u>								
		ļ													
									ļ						
				-											
		-		-											
		ļ		-											
				_											
				~											
		L													

Table EB-5. Sunshine Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

0.175	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	K	<u>CHU</u>	IM .	сон	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DVITA	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
une										·				
5	91	91	g1	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0_
6	58	149	53_	149	0	0	0_	00	0	00	0	0	0_	0
7	31	180	31	180	0		0	0	0_	0	00	0	0	0_
88	5 <u>1</u>	231	51	231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0
9	40	271	40	271	0	0	00	0	00	Q	0	00	0	0
0	14	285		284	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11_
u]y														
1 <u>1 7</u>	56	341		334	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	7
2	51	392		. 380	n	0	<u>0</u>	0 -	<u> </u>	0		<u> </u>	5	12
3	58	450		415	23	23	0	0	0	0	<u>v</u>	0	0	12
<u>y</u>	44	544	——; ₁₅ —	471	38	61	ŏ	0	0	0	0	- ŏ	<u>0</u> _	12
	122	666		544	49	110		0	0	0	0			12
6	68	734		575	37	147	0	0		0	0	0	0	12
j	67	801		606	36	183	0	0		<u>0</u>	0	 	0	12
R	39	840	13	624	21	204	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0		12
0	13	853		629	7	211	0	0	Ö	- ŏ	0	0	1	13
ő	3ť	884		637	17	228	0	0	3	3	0	ň	3	16
1	- 2	886	T i	638	1	229	0	0	0	3	<u> </u>	0	0	16
2	11	897	1	641	6	235	ŏ	ŏ		ă	0	0	<u>i</u>	i ⁰ 7
3-18 ¹ /		897		641		235		ŏ	<u>-</u>	4		0	-	17
9	184	1081	7	641	178	413	0	0	6	10	0	0	0	17
)	233	1314	Ų_	641	226	639	0	0	7	17	0	ő	Ö	17
1	130_	1444	ō	641	126	765	Ď	Ď	4	21	Ŏ.	ő	ő	17
2	2177	3621	ő	641	2085	2850	46	46	46	67	0	ő	0	
3	3456	7077	ő	641	3311	6161	73	119	72	139	0	<u>0</u>	0	17
4	3624	10701	0	641	3472	9633	76	195	76	215	ŏ	- ŏ	0	17
5	3240	13941	0	641	2984	12617	165	360	91	306	ŏ	0	0	17
6	1414	15355	ō	641	1302	13919	72	432	40	346	ŏ	0	0	17
7	2302	17657	9	650	1787	15706	315	747	175	521	16	16	0	17
8	3419	21076	14	664	2653	18359	468	1215	260	781	24	40	Ů,	17

^{1/} Sonar shut down for adjustment.

Table EB-5. Continue $^{\prime}$.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	<u>Car</u> *:	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СНО	IM	COH	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
uly														
29	4659	25735	2"	692	2767	21126	690	1905	773	1554	401_	441	Ô	17
30	3116	28851	16 -	711	1851	22977	461	2366	517	2071	268_	Z09	. 0	17
1	2445	31296	10_	721	743	23720	812	3178	523	2594	357	1066	0	17
ugust 1	2533	33829		731	770	24490	041	4010	540	2126	270	1436		
2	88	33917	10		27	24490	841	4019	542	3136	370		0	17
3	329_	34246		731 732	101		109	4048	19	3155	13	1449	<u></u>	17
<u> </u>	1753	35999		732	240	24618 24858	707	4157 4864	70	3225	48	1497	X	1-1/-
5	3324	39323		732	519	25377	1150	6014	1047	<u>3691</u> 4738	340 608	1837	0_	17
6	3715	43038		732	580	25957	1285	7299	1170	<u>4/38</u> 5908	680	2445 3125		17
7	3/11	46749		732	445	26402	1677	8976	832	6740	757	3882	0	17
3	2195	48944		732	309	26711	683	9659	389	7129	814	4696	<u>ŏ</u> _	1-17
9	1594	50538	;	732	220	26931	717	10376	338	7467	319	5015	0	17
)	544	51182		732	89	27020	290	10666	136	7603	129	5144	<u>0</u>	17
1	807	51989	0	732	112	27132	363	11029	171	77774	161	5305	0	17
2	607	52596	Ġ	732	55	27187	83	11112	359	8133	110	5415	<u>0</u>	7
3	286	52882		732	26	27213	39	11151	169	8302	52	5467	<u>ŏ</u> _	17
4	360	53242		732	32	27245	49	11200	213	8515	66	5533	0	17
5	140	53382	0	732	11	27256	0	11200	83	8598	46	5579		17
6	. 33	53415	9	732	2	27258	0	11200	20	8618	11	5590	ň	17
7 .	480_	53895	0	732	38	27296	0	11200	285	8903	157	5747	<u>ŏ</u>	17
3	1871	55766	0	732	82	27378	15	11215	625	9528	1149	6896	0	17
9	3272	59038	2	732	144	27522	26	11241	1093	10621	2009	8905	0	17
0	2368	61406		732	104	27626	19	11260	791	11412	1454	10359	0	17
]	1106_	62512	0	732	67	27693	Ö	11260	142	11554	897	11256	0	<u> </u>
2	757	63269	0	732	46	27739	0	11260	97_	11651	614	11870	Ö	17
3	746	64015		732	50	27789	0	11260	159	11810	537	12470	0	17
4	1265	65280	0_	732	85	27874	0	1]260	270	12080	910	13317	0	17
5	730	66010	0	732	31	27905	8	11268	24]	12321	442	13759	8	25
6	459	66469	01	732	20	27925	5	11273	151	12472	278	14037	Š	30

Table EB-5. Continued.

	TOTAL	COUNT	. CIIII	00K	. SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	CHU	<u> </u>	СОН	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
ugust														
7	422	66891	0	732	18	27943		11278	139	12611	255	14292		35 35
8	276	67167	(,	732	0	27943	0	11278	107	12718	169_	14461	0	35_
9	95	67262	U	732	0	27943	0_	11278	37	12755	58	14519	0	35
0	48	67310		732	0	27943		11278	19	12774	29	14548	. 0	35
1	27	67337	0.	732	1	27944	0	11278	21	12795	5	14553	0	35
eptember														
)	75	67412	0	732	2	27946	0	11278	60	12855	13	14566		35
2	98	67510		732	3	27949	ŏ	11278	78	12933	17	14583	0_	35
3	178	67688	11	732	5	27954	0	11278	142	13075	31	14614	0	35
4	169	67857		732	0	27954	0	11278	29	13104	140	14754	0	35
5	225	68082		732	ŏ	27954	Ŏ	11278	38	13142	187	14941	0	35
6	187	68269	0	732	Ď	27954	0	11278	32	13174	155	15096	0	35
7	94	68363	n	732	Ď	27954	0	11278	16	13190	78	15174	0	35
821	51	68414		7.45		47,50,1		7,275				7,417,		
2/ 92/ 02/ 12/	46	68460												
52/	66	68526												
12/	50	_68576												
22/	59	68635												
32/	48	68683												
42/	55	68738												
22/ 32/ 42/ 52/	79	68817												
		00017				· -		-	•					
								1						
								-						
											_			
								 						
								-						
								 						
			i											

^{2/} No apportionment due to inoperative fishwheels.

Table EB-6. Sunshine Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	CHU	IM	СОН	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
M1E	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	(UM.	DAILY	CUM.
иле	•						12 1.1							
23	695	695	687	687	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	283	978	280	967	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	193	1171	191	1158	2	13	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	Ó	Ô
	62	1233	62	1220	0	13	0	0	0	0	<u>_</u>	0	0	0
6 7	42	1275	42	1262	0	13	. 0	Ö	ō	0	0	0	0	Ö
8	68	1343	68	1330	Ö	13	0	Ö	0	Ö	Ō	0	0	0
9	15	1358	11	1341	4	17	.0	0	0	0	0	- 0	Ö	ō
0	59	1417	42	1383	17	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
uly	_													
1	36	1453	26	1409	10	44	Ō	n	0	n	0		0	0
2	42	1495	28	1437	12	56	1	1		<u>v</u>	0	<u>v</u>	0	<u>``</u>
	43	1538	29	1466	12	68	-				ŏ	<u>v</u>	Q Q	<u>, v</u>
3	60	1598	41	1507	17	85		1	- 11		<u> </u>	<u>N</u>	<u>0</u>	_ _
5	134	1732	36	1543	81	166		7	12	15	<u>-</u>	<u>`</u>	ŏ	0
6	61	1793	16	1559	37	203	2	ģ	- 5	20	i 1	2	Q.	Ŏ
7	60	1853	16	1575	36	239	2	11	5	25		3	Ö	0
8	11	1864	2	1577	6	245	1	12	2	27	Ó	3	0	Õ
9	79	1943	16	1593	38	283	9	21	16	43	0	3	0	Ō
0 11/	51	1994	10	1603	25	308	6	27	10	53	0	3	0	Ō
11/	-	1994		1603		308	-	27	-	53	1	3	-	0
217	_	1994	-	1603	=	308	_	27	-	53	-	_3	- 1	0
3	5	1999	0	1603	4	312	0	27	1	54	0	3	0	Ó
4	42	2041	11	1604	40	352	0	27	1	55	0	3	0	Ō
5	117_	2158	1	1605	115	467	0	27	1	56	0	3	0	0
6	204	2362	2	1607	200	667	0	27	2	58	0	3	ō	Q
7	262	2624	0	1607	262	929	0	27	0	58	0	3	0	0
8	2739	<u> 5363</u>	<u>0</u> _	1607 1607	2687	3616	41_	68	11	69	0	3	Q	0
9	5886	11249	0		5827	9443	59	127	0	69	0.	3	0	0
0	5982	17231	0	1607	5904	15347	60	187	18 46	<u>87</u> 133		3	Q.	0
	5716	22947	0	1607	5584	20931	86	273	46	133	01	3	0 1	0

^{1/} Sonar shut down due to debris problems.

m

	TOTAL	COUNT	CHIN	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	K	СН	JM	COH	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
ily			1					<u> </u>		·				
	7370	30317	0	1607	6905	27836	155	428	310	443	0	3	0	0
}	6372	36689	13	1620	4849	32685	427	855	1070	1513	13	16	0	0
	5933	42622	0	1620	3951	36636	760	1615	1198	2711	24	40	0	0
	7353	49975	22	1642	4603	41239	1500	3115	1228	3939	0_	40	0	0
	5783	55758	0	1642	3412	44651	1157	4272	1214	5153	0	40	0	0
	5906	61664	0	1642	3012	47663	1004	5276	1801	6954	89	129	0	0
	8566	70230	0	1642	2047	49710	3649	8925	2844	9798	26	155	0	0
	11449	81679	0	1642	2359	52069	4877	13802	3984	13782	229	384	0	0
)	12480	94159	0	1642	2683	54752	6352	20154	3220	17002	225	609	0	0
	12231	106390	0	1642	1578	56330	7057	27211	3376	20378	220	829	0	0
					-	- Pros								
ıqus t									0054					
	9931	116321	0	1642	586	56916	6207	33418	2959	23337	179	1008	0	0
	309	116630	0	1642	37	56953	256	33674	16 89	23353 23442	0	1008	0	0
	1778	118408	0	1642	213	57166	1476	35150			0	1008	0	0
	3605	122013	0	1642	433	57599	2992	38142	180	23622	0	1008	0	0
	5874	127887	0	1642	493	58092	4676	42818	511	24133	194	1202	0	0
	5894	133781	24	1666	572	58664	4090	46908	1102	25235	106	1308	0	<u>U</u>
	5464	139245	. 0	1666	464	59128	3328	50236	1421	26656	251 243	1559	- 0	<u>v</u>
_	4116	143361	8	1674	473	59601	2581	52817	811	27467	138	1802 1940	0	0
	2031	145392	0	1674	187	59788	1503 905	54320 55225	203	27670 27937			0	0
	1484	146876	0	1674	104	59892 60005	986				208	2148		0
	1617	148493	0	1674 1674	113		1049	56211 57260	291 310	28228	227	2 <u>375</u> 2616	0	0
	1720	150213 151356				60125				28538	241 172	2788	0	0
	1143	152098	0	1674 1674	171	60295	549	57809	251_	28789	112	2900	- 0	0
	742		0	1674	111	60406	356 201	58165 58366	163	28952	63	2963	0	
-	420	152518		1674		60470			92	29044		3028	0	0
-	327	152845	0	1674	56	60527	111	58477	95 260	29139	65 179	320 7	0	0
_	896	153741 158869	9	1683	152	60679 60958	305 782	58782 59564	1514	29399 30913	544	3751	0	
	3128 3332	160201	- 6	1683	279 260	61218	560	60124	1946	32859	566	4:17	- 0 -1	0

Table EB-6. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT .	CHII	100K	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СН	<u>M</u>	COF	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
<u>lugust</u>												l		
20	2705	162906	0_	1683	184	61402	628	60752	1298	34157	595	4912	Q	0
21	1306	164212	0	1683	117	61519	209_	60961	653	34810	327	5239	0	0
2	1184	165396	0	1683	107	61626	189	61150	592	35402	296	5535	Ó	0
3	1523	166919	0	1683	91	61717	137	61287	960	36362	320	5855	. 15	15
:4	1848	168767	0	1683	111	61828	166	61453	1164	37526	388	6243	19	34
5	1774	170541	0	1683	25	61853	80	61533	1293	38819	371	6614	5	39
6	1790	172331	0_	1683	29	61882	68	61601	1375	40194	290	6904	28	67
7	1542	173873	0	1683	11	61893	56	61657	1254	41448	166	7070	55	122
88	644	174517	0	1683	7	61900	0	61657	515	41963	116	7186	6.	128
29	468	174985	0	1683	5	61905	0	61657	374	42337	84	7270	5	133_
30	304	175289	0	1683	3_	61908	3	61660	271	42608	27	7297	0	133
1	356	175645	0	1683	4	61912	3	61663	317	42925	32	7329	0_	133
												ļ		
<u>eptember</u>				1602		61017				44-04		1267		122
<u> </u>	425	176070	0	1683	5	61917	4	61667	378	43303	38	1367	0	133
2	480	176550	0	1683	10	61927		61667	451	43754	14	7381	5_	138
3	581	177131	0	1683	12	61939	o	61667	546	44300	17	7398	. 6	144
4	644	177775	0	1683	13_	61952	0	61667	605	44905	20	7418	6_	_ 150
5	460	178235	0	1683	0	61952	0	61667	359	45264	37	7455	64	214
6	425	178660	0	1683	0	61952	0	61667	332	45596	34	7489	59	273
<u>/</u>	239	178899	0_	1683	0	61952	0	61667	186	45782	19	7508	34	307
88	291	179190		1683	0	61952	0_	<u>61667</u>	172	45954	20	7528	99	406
9	23 <u>2</u> 125	179422	0	1683	0	61952	0	61667	137	46091	16	7544	79	485
0		179547	0	1683	<u>o</u> i	61952	0	61667	74_	<u>46165</u>	9	7553	42	527
1	178	179725	0_	1683	0	61952	0	61667	64	46229	14	7567	100	627
2	217	179942	0	1683	0	61952	Q	61667	78	<u>46307</u>	17	7584	122	749
3	196	180138	0	1683	0	61952	0	61667		46378	16	7600	109	858
<u> </u>	166	180304	0	1683	0_	61952	0	61667	32	46410	- 10	7610	124	982
b	157	180461	0_1	1683	0	61952	0	61667	30	46440	9	7619	118	1100
													\longrightarrow	
			1				<u></u>				!		!	

m

Table EB-7. Talkeetna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHII	NOOK	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	СНО	IM	<u>C</u> 6;	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
une 0 1														
0	25 31	25	25	25	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	<u>Q</u>	0	0
		56	3]	56	0	0	0_	0	0	.0	Q	0	Q_	0
	55	111	55	111	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	0		0
	48_	159	. 48	159	0.	0	0	0	0	. 0.	0	0_	0	<u> </u>
	27	186	27	186	Q.	0	0	0	0_	0		<u> </u>	0	0
•	27	213	27	213	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
	38	251	38	251	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	O	0	. 0	0
	31	282	31	282	0	0	0_	<u> 0</u>	0	Q	0	<u> </u>	0	0
3	20	302	20	302	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
}	12	314	12	314	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0
)	12	326	12	326	. 0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
								<u> </u>						
ily								<u> </u>						
	4	330	4	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0
<u> </u>	29	359	29	359	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	389	30	389	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ô
i	28	417	28	417	Ö	Ŏ	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
	24	441	24	441	n	ň	0	0	Ö	Ō	0	0	0	O
<u> </u>	16	457	16_	457	n	. 0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0
;	28	485	28	485	0	Ö	0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0
	8	493	8	493	Öl	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	0
)	4	497	4	497	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	Q.	0
	2	499	2	499	0	0	.0	0	Ō	. 0	0	0	Ö	0
) 11/ 21/														
1/								-						
	4	503	4	503	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0
	8	503 511	8	511	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	0
	0		0	511	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0
	ő		0	511	0	Ö	0	Ö	0	Ö	0	0	0	ő
	0		ď	511 511	ŏ l	0	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		515		512		2	0	ň		_ 	Ŏ	<u> </u>	7	0

1/ Counter inoperable due to flood conditions.

Table EB-7. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K_	SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u> </u>	СНО	IM	CON	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
uly														
9	11	528	2	514	6	8	0	0_	2	3	0	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>
ō		540	2	516	8	16	0	0	3	5	Q_	0		2 3
1	1 <u>5</u> 32	555 587	3	519 524	17	24 41	0	0		16	<u> </u>	0_		6
2		633	- 5 8	532	25	66	0	0	- /		0	0	3	10
3	46		- 2		52	118	0	— 0 —-		25 34	-	- · · · · · ·	0	10
<u></u>	63 93	696 789		534 537	77	195	0	ŏ	13	47	- 0	0		10
<u> </u>	109	898	_ 	541	90	285	— ŏ –	0	15	62	<u> </u>	0	ŏ	10
7	165	1063		544	81	366	- R	8	70	132	<u>3</u>	3	Ŏ	10
8	268	1331	5	549	131	497	13	21	114	246	5	8	0	10
9	305	1636	6	555	149	646	14	35	130	376	6	14	0	10
0	531	2167	4	559	179	825	45	80	289	665	14	28	0	10
}	469	2636	5	562	159	984	39	119	256	921	12	40	0	10
ugust		2116		FZE	170			750		1170				10
<u> </u>	474	3110	3	565	160	1144	40	159	25 <u>8</u>	1179 1185	13	53	0	10
<u>-</u>	13	3123	0	565		1151	0	159 159		1203	0	53	0	10
	35	3158	0	565 565	17	1168	0	159 159	18	1242	- 0	53	0	18
<u>-</u>	78	3236	3								28	81	0	10
<u> </u>	331	3567	- 3	568	32 21	1239 1260	125 80	284 364	143	1385 1477	18	99	0	10
7	213 415	3780 4195		570 573	40	1300	157		180	1657	35	134	0	10
<u>/</u> _	361	4556	0	573	16	1316	190	711	126	1783	29	163	- 0	10
	184	4740	<u> </u>	573	- 10	1324	97	808	64	1847	151	178	0	- 10
)	92	4832	0	573	16	1340	18	826	34	1881	24	202	0	10
	101	4933	ő	573	17	1357	20	846	38	1919	26	228	0	10
	136	5069	. 0	573	23	1380	27	873	51	1970	35	263 263	Ó	10
		5180	Ö	573_	28	1408	14	887	69	2039	0		Ô	10
	37	5217	0	573	9	1417	5	892	23	2062	0	263	0	10
5	41	5258	0	57 <u>3</u>	10	1427	5	897	26	2088	0	263	0	10
5	29	5287	0	573	3	1430	4	901	18	2106	3 1	266		11

Table EB-7. Continued.

	TOTAL	COUNT	CHIN	100K	SOCKE	E YE	PIN	K	СНИ	M	COH	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
August				_				1						
7	142	5429	0	573	16	1446	18	919	88	2194	18	284	2	13
8	291	5720	0	573	32	1478	37	956	180	2374	37	321	5	18
9	241	5961	0	573	6	1484	44	1000	149	2523	39	360	3	21
Ō	231	6192	0	573	6	1490	43	1043	142	2665	37	397	3	24
0	84	6276	0	573	Ž	1492	15	1058	52	2717	14 .	411	1	25
2	66	6342	0	573	6	1498	2	1060	32	2749	26		0	25
3	66 152	6494	0	573	14	1512	. 4	1064	75	2824	59	437 496	ō	25
4	210	6740	0 1	573 573	19	1531	6	1070	103	2927	82	578		25
5	94	6798	Ō	573	6	1533	2	1072	54	2981	31	609	5	30
6	165	6963	0	573	4	1537	4	1076	94	3075	54	663	<u> </u>	30 39 50
27	188	7151	0	573	4	1541	4	1080	108	3183	61	724	11	50
8	181	7332	0	573	3	1544	0	1080	92	3275	86	810	0	50
9	145	7477	0_	573	2	1546	_ 0	1080	74	3349	69	879	0	50
30	145	7622		573	2	1548	0	1080	74	3423	69	948	0	50 51
31	121	7743	Ó	573	6	1554	0	1080	70	3493	44	992	1	51
				,										
		·	.,											'
eptember														
1	138	7881	0	573	7	1561	0	1080	79	3572	50	1042	2	53
2	104	7985	0.	573	6	1567	. 0	1080	60	3632	37	1079	1	53 54
3	125	8110	0	573	0	1567	Q	1080	70	3702	37	1116	18	72
4	97	8207	0	573	0	1567	0	1080	54	3756	29	1145	14	86
5	152	8359	0	573	0	1567	0	1080	85	3841	45	1190	22	108
6	119	8478	0	573	0	1567	0	1080	58	3899	15	1205	46	154
7	110	8588	0	573	0	1567	0	1080	54	3953	14	1219	42	196
8	111	869 9	0	573	0	1567	0	1080	55	4008	14	1233	42	238
9	83	8782	0	573	10	1577	0	1080	5	4013	29	1262	39	277
0	69	8851	0	573 573	8	1585	0	1080	4	4017	24	1286	33	310
1	68	8919	0		8	1593 593	Q	1080	4	4021	24	1310	32	342
2	40 31	8959	0	573	0		0	1080	10	4031	10	1320	20	362
3		8990	0	573	_ 0	1593	0	1080	8	4039	8	1328	15	377
4	27	9017	0	573	0	1593	0	1080	7 1	4046	7	1335	13	390

Table EB-7. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHII	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	. CHL	JM	COH	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	cum.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	cum.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	сим.	DAILY	CUM.
ptember	18	9035	0	573	0	1593	0	1080	4	4050	5	1340	9	399
							•				·			
	_					•				, -				
								 			•			
· · ·														-
													·	
							<u> </u>							
						· · · · · ·								*
												·		

Table EB-8. Talkeetna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCK	YE	PIN	<u>K</u>	сни	IM	CO	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
une 217 31/ 31/ 41/ 51/		ÉT	57	57					— <u> </u>					
21/ —	57	57	$\overline{}$		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	Ŏ
31/	71	128	71	128	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		0	<u>0</u>	Q	0	0	Q	0
#1/	50 45	178	50	178	0		0	0_	0	0	<u>Q</u> .	0	0	0
<u>3-'</u> 6	46	223	45 46	223 269	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0_
					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0
7	28	297	28	297		0	0	<u> </u>	0	0	0	<u> </u>	0_	0
	3 <u>9</u> 17	336	39 17	336	0	0	0	0		0_	<u>0</u>		<u> </u>	0
9		353		353		0	0	0	—· <u>v</u>		0	<u>0</u>		0
0	10	363	10	363	0	Q	0	0	<u> </u>	0	0.	0	0.	0
uly														
1	31	394	31	394	0	0	0	<u> </u>	Ó	Ó	0	Ö		
2	21_	415	21	415	0	0	0	0	0	0		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		- 0
<u> </u>	14	412 430	15	430	<u>v</u>	<u>v</u>	<u>u</u>	0	- U	n	O.	- <u>u</u>	0	<u> </u>
<u>. </u>	14	444	14	444	0	0	0	0	<u>v</u>	 0	0	- V	0	<u>V</u>
5	21	465	13	457			0	0	ň		0	<u> </u>	4	
6	33	498	19	476	7		0	0	0	0		<u> </u>	- 7	-11
7	32	530	19	495		18	0	0	0	0		0	6	17
<u>, </u>	29	559	29	524	0	18	0	0	- 0 1	0		0	0	17
	11	570	11	535	0	18	0	0	0	0	ŏ	<u>ō</u>	0	 17
ξ	- 1	577		542	0	18	0	0	<u>ŏ</u>	<u> </u>	0		o l	17
0 1-15 ² /		577		542	0	18		0		<u>v</u>		- V	-	17
5	- 8	585	8	550	0	18	0	0		V	0	- 0	0	17
	ıř	596	0	550	4	22	0	0		7	0	- V	0	17
1	2	598	ŏ	550	- 1	23	0	0		8	- 0 }	0	0	17
3/		598		550		23		<u> </u>		<u>8</u>		0		17
)	5	603	0	550	2	25	0	<u> </u>		ii	0		0	17
<u>/</u>	7	610	Ö	550		27	Ö	0	5	16	Ö	0	ő	17
2	45	655	0	550	15	42	-	0	30	46	0		ő	17_
3	87	742	6	556	60	102		4	15	61	0	$\frac{}{}$	2	19
	96	838	- 	563	66	168	- -	8	17	78	0	0	2	21

Catch percentage classified as chinooks for June 22-25, fishwheels operational June 26. Counter inoperable due to flooding. Counter being repaired.

Table EB-8. Continued.

DATE	TOTAL	COUNT	CHI	100K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	<u> </u>	СНО	<u>M</u>	COH	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
DATE	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
August														
23	404	9409	0	58]	27	1762	_ 15	1369	168	4666	183 184	9 <u>71</u> 1155	 -	60 71
24	406	9815	0	581 581	27 32	1789 1821	15 17	1384	169 194	4835 5029	210	1365	12	83
25	465 318	10280 10598	0	581	8	1829	19	1420	187	5216	98	1463	6	89
26 7	231	10829	- 0	581	- 6	1835	14	1434	136	5352	71	1:34	<u>A</u>	93
28	248	11077	ŏ	581	- 6	1841	15	1449	146	5498	76	1(10		28
29	300	11377	0	581	5	1846	- 10	1449	117	5615	170	1780	· 8	106
30	211	11588	0	581	- <u>ă</u>	1850	<u>0</u>	1449	83	5698	119	1899	- 5	iii
21	128	11716	0	581		1852	0	1449	50	5748	73	1972	3	114
2.1	150	11719				1902	, ,	(11)						1.1.1
			т,											
September														
1	109	11825	0	581	3	1855	Q	1449	42	5790	64	2036	0	114
2	62	11887	0	581	2	1857	0	1449	24	5814 5842	36	2072	0	114
3	72	11959_	0 1	581	2	1 <u>859</u>	0	1449	28		42	2114	0	114
4	58	12017	0	581	3_	1862	0	1449	31	5873	11	2125	13	127
5	70	12087	0	58]	5	1867	0	1449	37	5910	13	_2138	15	142
6	67	12154	0	581	4	1871	0	1449	36	5946	13	2151	14	156
7	44	12198	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	11	5957	8	2159	25	181
8	57	12255	0_	581	0	1871	0	1449	14	5971	10	2169	33	214
9	30	12285	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	7	5978	5	2174	18	232
0	32	12317	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	3	598]	3	2177	26	258
1	31	12348	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	3	5984	3	2180	25	283
2	24	12372	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	2	5986	2	2182	20	303
3	22	12394	- 0	581	0	1871	0	1449	0	5986	0	2182	22	325
4	17	12411	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	0	5986	0	2182	17_	342
5	1.1	12422	0	581	0	1871	0	1449	0	5986	0	2182	11	353

								-			{			
			1		i									

Table EB-8: Continued.

DAILY CUM. CUM.		TOTAL	COUNT	CHIN	00K_	SOCKE	YE	PIN	K	СНИ	IM	СОН	0	MISCELL	ANEOUS
25	DATE	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	сим.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
27	July														
27	25			9		94	262								24
28	26			2		57		10							
29 403 1914 0 581 115 640 57 118 222 542 9 9 0 24 30 608 2522 0 581 173 813 86 204 336 878 13 22 0 24 31 673 3195 0 581 191 1004 96 300 371 1249 15 37 0 24				1_											24
30 608 2522 0 581 173 813 86 204 336 878 13 22 0 24 31 673 3195 0 581 191 1004 96 300 371 1249 15 37 0 24 August 1 553 3748 0 581 98 1102 114 414 330 1579 11 488 0 24 24/ - 3748 - 581 - 1102 - 414 - 1579 - 48 - 24 4 498 4246 0 581 88 1190 103 517 297 1876 10 58 0 24 5 294 5170 0 581 88 1190 103 517 297 1876 10 58 0 24 6 959 6129 0 581 106 1460 272 979 504 2931 77 154 0 24 7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 10 10 6097 0 581 3 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 11 16 66913 0 581 3 1566 1 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 11 16 66913 0 581 0 1561 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 12 11 6924 0 581 0 1561 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 134/ - 6947 - 581 - 1561 - 1197 - 3356 - 228 - 24 144/ - 6947 - 581 - 1561 - 1197 - 3356 - 228 - 24 144/ - 6947 - 581 - 1561 - 1197 - 3356 - 228 - 24 17 17 170 2165 0 581 16 1577 9 1220 104 3480 41 283 0 24 18 72 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70			1511	6							320		·		
August 1		403		0											24
August 1	30	608	2522	0	581							13_	22		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31	673	3195	0	58]	191	1004	96	300	371	1249	15	37	0	24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									<u> </u>					∤	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									ļ						·
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	August	FE3	2740		EO1	no	1102	314	ALA	220	1570	11	ÃÔ		24
4 498 4246 0 581 88 1190 103 517 297 1876 10 58 0 24 5 924 5170 0 581 164 1354 190 707 551 2427 19 77 0 24 6 959 6129 0 561 106 1460 272 979 504 2931 77 154 0 24 7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1106 235 3166 36 190 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 11 16 6913	-4/												40	· ·	24
4 498 4246 0 581 88 1190 103 517 297 1876 10 58 0 24 5 924 5170 0 581 164 1354 190 707 551 2427 19 77 0 24 6 959 6129 0 561 106 1460 272 979 504 2931 77 154 0 24 7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1106 235 3166 36 190 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 11 16 6913	$-\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{4}$												40	——— <u> </u>	
5 924 5170 0 581 164 1354 190 707 551 2427 19 77 0 24 6 959 6129 0 581 106 1460 272 979 504 2931 77 154 0 24 7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1106 235 3166 36 190 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 9 46 6887 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 3 1556 1 1186 5 3333 1 217 0 24 11 16 64913 <th< td=""><td>_ <u>3</u>.2/</td><td></td><td>1748</td><td></td><td>201</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>517</td><td>207</td><td>1976</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>24</td></th<>	_ <u>3</u> .2/		1748		201				517	207	1976				24
6 959 6129 0 581 106 1460 272 979 504 2931 77 154 0 24 7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1106 235 3166 36 190 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 9 46 6887 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 3 1556 1 1186 5 3333 1 217 0 24 11 16 6913 0 581 0 1561 2 1188 8 3341 1 218 0 24 12 11 6924 0 <td>-</td> <td>920</td> <td>5170</td> <td></td> <td>501</td> <td></td> <td>1354</td> <td></td> <td>707</td> <td></td> <td>2427</td> <td></td> <td>77</td> <td></td> <td>24</td>	-	920	5170		501		1354		707		2427		77		24
7 448 6577 0 581 50 1510 127 1106 235 3166 36 190 0 24 8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 9 46 6887 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 3 1556 1 1186 5 3333 1 217 0 24 11 16 6913 0 581 5 1561 2 1188 8 3341 1 218 0 24 12 11 6924 0 581 0 1561 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 134 2 23 6947			6120		591			272	979		2931				
8 264 6841 0 581 29 1539 75 1181 139 3305 21 211 0 24 9 46 6887 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 3 1556 1 1186 5 3333 1 217 0 24 11 16 6913 0 581 5 1561 2 1188 8 3341 1 218 0 24 12 11 6924 0 581 0 1561 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 134// - 6947 - 581 - 1561 - 1197 10 3356 - 228 - 24 154///> - - 6947	7								1106		3166				
9 46 6887 0 581 14 1553 4 1185 23 3328 5 216 0 24 10 10 6897 0 581 3 1556 1 1186 5 3333 1 217 0 24 11 16 6913 0 581 5 1561 2 1188 8 3341 1 218 0 24 12 11 6924 0 581 0 1561 3 1191 5 3346 3 221 0 24 134/2 23 6947 0 581 0 1561 6 1197 10 3356 7 228 0 24 144/2 - 6947 - 581 - 1561 - 1197 - 3356 - 228 - 24 15/2 - 6947 -			6941						1181						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$															24
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u></u>					17		- 7	1105		3333		217		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					581		1561		1188	8 -i	33/1				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			6924									- 3	221		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									1197		3356				
15 - 6297 - 581 - 1501 - 1197 - 3330 - 248 - 24 16 48 6995 0 581 0 1561 14 1211 20 3376 14 242 0 24 17 170 7165 0 581 16 1577 9 1220 104 3480 41 283 0 24 18 732 7897 0 581 69 1646 39 1259 446 3926 178 461 0 24 19 523 8420 0 581 49 1695 28 1287 319 4245 127 588 0 24 20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49	174/					t									
16 48 6995 0 581 0 1561 14 1211 20 3376 14 242 0 24 17 170 7165 0 581 16 1577 9 1220 104 3480 41 283 0 24 18 732 7897 0 581 69 1646 39 1259 446 3926 178 461 0 24 19 523 8420 0 581 49 1695 28 1287 319 4245 127 588 0 24 20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49	154/						1561		1107		3356		228		
17 170 7165 0 581 16 1577 9 1220 104 3480 41 283 0 24 18 732 7897 0 581 69 1646 39 1259 446 3926 178 461 0 24 19 523 8420 0 581 49 1695 28 1287 319 4245 127 588 0 24 20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49		48	6995		581		1561		1211	20	3376	14			24
19 523 8420 0 581 49 1695 28 1287 319 4245 127 588 0 24 20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49	17								1220		3480		283		
19 523 8420 0 581 49 1695 28 1287 319 4245 127 588 0 24 20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49	18	732	7897		581				1259	446	3926		461		
20 481 8901 0 581 33 1728 55 1342 208 4453 164 752 21 45 21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49					581				1287		4245		588		
21 102 9003 0 581 7 1735 12 1354 44 4497 35 787 4 49									1342				752		
	21										4497		787	- A	
77	22	2	9005	<u>ŏ</u> -	581	- 6	1735	0	1354		4498		788		49

^{4/} Sonar counter inoperable due to flooding.

APPENDIX EC DAILY FISHWHEEL CATCH DATA

Table EC-1. Susitna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF	CHIN	00K	SOCK	EYE	P1	NK	СН	UM		0110	TOTAL ALL SP	
TE .	FISHWHEELS	FISHWHEEL 1/	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DALLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM
une						 								
8	1	24.0 24.0		6	13	13 15	<u>1</u>	 	0	0		0	19	19
9 0 	1	24.0		6	2	17	<u> </u>	1 1		1	!	0	4	22
		£1.0						—						
			.	 		ļ		<u> </u>		ļ		ļ		
u 1 y	1	24.0	n	6		17		 				 		05
	-	24.0	<u>u</u>	6		20	0		0	1 - 1 -		0		25 30
	_	20.0	1	7	5	25	0	1	0	3	<u>'</u>	0	6	36
	1	24.0	4	11	4	29	2	3	0	3	G	0	10	46
	1	15.0	0	11	11	30	11	4	0	3	1	1	3	49
	1	24.0	2	13	5	_ 35	2	6	1	4		1	10	59
	<u>i</u>	24.0	4	17	10	45	4	10	0	4		1	18	
	1	24.0 24.0	2	21 23	18 16	63 79	<u>9</u> 7	19	5	9	——£ —	├	36_	113
)		24.0	1	24	84	163	25	51	13	26		 	29 123	142 265
į	1	0	 _	.24		163		51	13	26		1-1	123	265
	i			24		163		51		26		1 1	_	265
3	i	0	-	24	-	163	-	51	_	26		i		265
	1	0		. 24		163	7	51	_	26		i		265
5	1	0	-	24	-	163	-	51	-	26	**	1	<u></u>	265
5	1	0		24	<u> </u>	163		51	-	26		ļ_ . 1		265
		14.5	0	24	10	173	3	54		27			14	279
		19.2	<u>Q</u>	24	28	201	2	56	3	30		1- <u>!</u>	33	312
	1	24.0 29.5	0 0	24 24	25 11	226	9	65 69	6	36 39		 - 	40 18	352 370
	<u> </u>	21.0	<u>0</u>	24	3	240	6	75	 0	39	<u> </u>	1-1	18	379
	i	0	-	24		240	-	75		39		i		379
}		15.3	1	25	8	248	24	99	0	39	5	6		417
	1	7.5	0	25 25	26	274	30	129	5	-44 52	8	14	69	486
	1	24.5	0	25	34	308	20	149	8	52	?	21	69	555
		24.5	0	25	15	323	13	162	2	54	12	33	42	597
		22.8	0	25	7	330	15	177		55	<u>_</u>	34	24	621
	_ 	24.8	0	25	23	353	37	214	3	58	<u> </u>	41	70_ 37	691 728
	L	24.0	0	25	7	360	18	232	5	63		48		1750

27 A sampling day may exceed 24 hours, when time interval between fishwheel checks labses into the following day.

1/ Fishwheel inoperable due to high water.

3/ Catch lost due to hole in livebox.

Table EC-1. Continued.

	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF FISHWHEEL	CHIN	00К	SOCK	EYE ;	P1	NK	CH	UM		110	TOTAL ALL SP	
ATE	FISHWHEELS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
30	1	24.3	0	25	11	371	12	244	2	65		55 56	32	760
31		24.2	0	25	9	380	4	248	5	70		56	19	779
ıgust						- 7								· ·
1	i	27.7	0	25	7	387	9	257	4	74	2	58	22	801
2	1	21.0	0	25	3	390	2	259	1	75	Ö	58	6	807
.3	4/	0.0		25	<u>-</u>	390		259	-	75	-	58		807
4		16.5	1	26 26		391	3	262 275		76 76	0 2	58 60	<u>6</u> 23	813 836
5		23.5 22.3	0	26	<u>8</u>	399 408	13	283	0 16	92		62	35	871
6		29.0	0	26		410	2	285	13	105	- 2	65	20	891
ģ	<u>'</u>	11.5	ň	26	1	411		287		107	3	68	8	899
9	i	24.7	-	26	i	412	ō	287	4	1111	0	68	5	904
0	i	26.3	ō	26	2	414	0	287	1	112	1	69	4	908
1	1	21.0	0	26	0	414	Ò	287	. 0	112	0	69	0_	908
2		24.0	0	26	1	415	0	287	2	114	0	69	3	911
3		24.0	0	26	0	415	0	287		115	0	69		912
4	!	24.0	0	26	0	415	Ď	287	0	115	0	69	0	
5		24.0	0	26 26	0_	415	0	287 287	<u>0</u>	115	Q 0	69	<u>v</u>	912
6 7	-	24.0 24.0	0	26		416	0	287	0	115	<u></u>	69		913
8		24.0	0	26		417	0	287		116	0	69	2	915
9	i	24.0	0	26		417	0	287	<u>.</u>	116	<u>i</u>	70	<u>-</u> -	916
20	i	27.0	0	26	0	417	0	287	2	118	0	70	2	918
1		22.0	0	26	Ô	417	Ŏ	287	0	118	0	70	<u>_</u>	918
2	i	24.0	0	26	0	417	0	287	Ô	118	Ō.	70	Q	918
3	1	23.0	0	26		419	l	288	8	126	1	71	12	930
4	1	24.0	0	26	1	420	3	291	5	131	2	73	11	941
5	1	24.0	0	26	0	420		292		137	3	76		951
6	!	24.0	0	26	0	420		293	2	139	0	76		954
7		24.0	0	26 26		421	0	293	0	139	- 0	76	<u>-</u>	955 957
8		24.0	0	26		421	0	293	1	142	- <u>V</u>	77		959
30		24.0	0	26	0	421	1	294		142	- 6	77	1	960
31		24.0	0	26	0	421		294	ő	142	0	77	0	960

^{4/} Fishwheel inoperable due to high water.

Table EC-1. Continued.

	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF FISHWHEEL	CHIN	00K	SOCK	EYE	PI	NK	СН	UM	co	НО	TOTAL ALL SP	CATCH
DATE	FISHWHEELS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM ·	DAILY	CUM.
Septemb	er	24 0	0	76	0	421	0	294	4	146		70	5	065
2	i	24.0 24.0	ĭ	26 27	Ó	421 421	0	294	4	146 150		78 78	5	965 970
			-,-,-,-,-			-							-	
									, policy (1)					
													-	
					:									

Table EC-2. Susitna Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF	CHIN	00K	SOCK	EYE	PI	NK	СН	UM		но	TOTAL ALL SP	
ATE	FISHWHEELS	FISHWHEEL 1/	DAILY	CUM.	DAILÝ	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM ·	DAILY	CUM.
une 20		24.0				24		<u>-</u>						
29		24.0 24.0	0	0	34 62	34 96	0	0	0	0	<u></u>	0	3462	9
<u> </u>						90			0_	0	V.	U	02	
i) y					1									
1	1	24.0	1	1	40	136	0	0	ō	0	0	Ö	41	13
2		24.0		2	83	219	1	1	0	0	0	Ö	85	22
3	1	24.0	3	5	107	326		2	0	0	0	0	111	33
4		24.0	0	5	70	396		3	0	0		1	72	40
5	!	21.0	0	5	26	422	3	6	0	0	0		2921	43
6		24.0		6	12	434	8	14	0	0	0	<u> </u>	21	45
7		18.0	<u>o</u>	6	19	453	5	19	0_	0	0	ļ <u>ļ</u>	24	47
8		20.0			38	491 524		20	0_	0	0	ļļ	40	51
9		24.0 22.0	2	9	33 326	850	<u>!</u>	21		2	0	!	35	55
ii -		7.5	0	9	363	1213		23		2	— 	2	330 365	<u>88</u>
12		16.0	0	9	74	1287	0	23	0	2			74	132
13		19.0	<u> </u>	10	103	1390		23	ŏ	2	<u>0</u>		104	142
4	i	21.0	0	10	237	1627	0	23	i	3	0		238	166
5	i	13.6	ŏ	10	166	1793	1	24	0	3	<u> </u>	3	167	183
16	i	11.7	Ö	10	250	2043	0	24	Ŏ	3	0	2	250	208
17 18 19	i	15.7	0	10	190	2233	Õ	24	<u>i</u> _	4	<u>-</u>	2	191	227
18	1	10.0	0	10	128	2361	4	28	2	6	2	4	136	240
9	1	8.6	0	10	89	2450	8	36	0	6	1	5	98	250
20	1	17.5	0	10	197	2647	3	39	0	6	0	5	200	270
21		5.7	0	10	182	2829	5	44	1.	7	5	10	193	290
22	1	4.8	0	10	91	2920	. 3	47		8	i_	11	96	229
23	1	5.5	1_	_11	109	3029	11	58	1	9	1	18	129	312
4		3.3	0	11	59	3088	13	71	l	.10	8_	26	81	320
5	<u>l</u>	14.0	l	_12	220	3308	94	165	3	_13	50	76	368	357
6	!	3.3	0	_12	37	3345	24	189		13	6	_82	67	364
27		3.3	0	12	21	3366	13	202	<u> </u>	14	5_	87	40	368
28		4.3	0	12	29	3395	44	246	!_	15	24		98	377
29		4.3	0	_12	16	3411	37	283		16	9	120	63	384
10 1		4.5	- 0	12		3440	35	318	16	32	8	128	88	393
1/		4.0 may exceed 24 h	0	12	20	3460	16	334	18	50	6	134	60	399

Table EC-2. Continued.

	NUMBER OF	NUMBER OF FISHWHEEL	CHIN	00K	SOCK	EYE	PI	NK	Сн	UM	<u>co</u>	110	TOTAL ALL SP	
DATE	FISHWHEELS	HOURS 1/	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,	DAILY	CUM.
August										1				
	1	18.7	. 0	12	41	3501	14	348	3	53	21	155	79	4069
2	1	2.7	0	12	9	3510	5	353	0	53	3	158	17	4086
3	1	22.0	0	12	6	3516	2	355	0	53	0	158	8	4094
4	1	24.7	0	_12	20	3536	l	356	0	53		159	22	4116_
5	1	23.5	0	12	35	3571	11	367	1	54	99_	168	56	4172
6	1	23.5	0	12	22	3593	12	379	0	54	12	180	46	4218
_ 7	1	29.0	0	12	27	3620	8	387	11	65	22	202	68	4286
- 8	1	18.0	0	12	12	3632	3	390	5	70	14	216	34	4320
9		23.0	0	12	12	3644	2	392	4	74	9	225	27	4347
_10	1	26.3	0	12		3651	1	393	0	74	10	235	18	4365
_1]	. 1	21.0	0	12	1	3652	0	393	0	74	2	237	3	4368
_12	1	24.0	0	12	3	3655	0	393	1	75	2	239	6	4374
_13	1	24.0	0	12	0	3655	3	396	0	75	<u> </u>	240	4	4378
14	1	24.0	0	12	0	3655	0	396	0	75	0	240	0	4378_
.15	1	24.0	0	12	2	3657	0	396	0	75	0	240	2	4380
16	1	24.0	Q	12	0	3657	0	396	0	75	0	240	0	4380
17	1	24.0	0	12	3	3660	0	396	0	75	3	243	6	4386
_18	i	24.0	0	12	0	3660	0	396	1	76	2	245	3	4389
19	1	24.0	Õ	12	0	3660	0	396	0	76	0	245	0	4389
20 21	1	27.0	0	12	1	3661	0	396	5	81	3	248	9	4398
21	1	22.0	0	12	0	3661	0	396	1_	82	1	249	2	4400
22	1	24.0	0	12	1	3662	0	396	0	82	0	249	I	4401
23	1	24.0	0	12	0	3662		397	2	84	0	249	3	4404
24	1	24.0	.0	12	0	3662	ō	397	3	87	0	249	3	4407
25	1	24.0	0	12	0	3662	0	397	7	94	2	251	9	4416
26	i	24.0	0	12	1	3663	0	397	3	97	Ō	251	4	4420
27	i	24.0	0	12	1	3664	Ō	397	0	97	0	251	1	4421
28	1	24.0	0	12	0	3664	0	397	3	100	0	251	3	4424
29	1	24.0	0	12	1	3665		397	0	100	0	251		4425
30	1	24.0	0	12	0	3665	0	397	0	100	0	251	0	4425
_31	1	24.0	Ô	12		3666	0	397	0	100	0	251		4226
Septembe	er													
L	1	24.0	0	12	0	3666	Ō	397	0	100	Ō	251	0	4226
_2	1	24.0	0	12	0	3666	0	397	0	100	0	25]	0	4226

Table EC-3. Yentna Station south bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	<u>рок</u>	SOCKE	YE	PI!	ik	CHL	JM	CO	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
June	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	£UM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM ,
28	1	24	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	1	0	0		3	8	8
29	1	24	3_	4	20	23	7	9	3	4	0	0	2	3	35	43
30	1	24	5	9	23	46	3	12	3	7	0	0	11	4	35	78
July			dynamic angle											·		
1	- 1	12.5	2	- 11	14	60		13	0	7	0	0	1	5	18	96
2	i	6	0	11	0	60	0	13	Ō	7	0	0	0	5	ō	96 96
3	i	24	3	14	26	86	0	13	0	7	0	0	3	8	32	128
4	1	24	Ž	16	21	107	2	15	ī	8	0	0_	1	9	27	155
5	1	23	i	17	8	115	6	21	1	9	0	0_	i	10	17	172
6	1	24	l_	18	8	123	3	24	Ô	9	0 .	Q	1	11	13_	185
7	1	24	5	23	13	136 170	_ 9	33	0	9_	0	0	11_	12	28	213
8	_1	24	0_	23	34		13	46	0	9	2	2_		13	50	263 340
9	i	24	4	27	50	220	19	65	3	12	1	3		13	77	340
10	1	22.5	1	28	348	568	18	83	5	17	0	3	0	13	372	712
11	1	16.2_	0	28	307	875	3	86	1_]	18	0	3		13	311	1023
12	1	15.4	1_	29	280	1155	0	86	0	18	0_	3	0_	13	281	1304
]3	l	14.6_	0	29	341	1496	3	89	7	25	0	3	1_	14	352	1656
14	1	14.5	- 0_	29	548	2044	9	98	2.	27		4		14	560	2216
15	1	13.8	0	29	756	2800	10	108	. 5	32		5	0	14	772	2988
16	1	16	0	29	158	2958	2	110	1	33		6	0	14	162	3150
17	l	21.5	Q	29	252	3210	0	110	8	41	0	6	0	14	260	3410
18	1	14	0	29	111.	3321	5	115 127	6	47	0	6	0	14	122	3532
19]	14.2	0	29	130	3451	12			66	2	8	0	14	163	3695
20	1	13	0	29	79	3530	11	138	!	77	2	10	0	14	103	3798
2]		14.5	0	29	163	3693	22	160	11	88	3_	13	0	14	199	3997
22	1	14.2	11	30	224	3917	22	182	20	108	17	30	0_	14	284	4281
23		15	0_	30	202	4119	93	275	23	131_	32	62	Q	14 -	350 304	4631
24]	13.8	0_	30	163	4282	95	370	26	157	20	82	0	14	304	4935
25		15	0	30	100	4382	112	482	28	185		87_	<u>.</u>	14	245	5180
26		13.5	0	30	44	4426	38	520	10	195	16	103	<u>0</u>	14	108	5288
27	!	17		30	29	4455	48	568	12	207	17	120	0	14	106	5394 5666
28		20.5	0	30	42	4497	122	690	37	244	71	191	0	14	272	2000

Table EC-3. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	CIII	UM	CO	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SI	
July	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	cum.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
29	1	13	0	30	76	4573	203	893	42	286	58	249	0	14	379	6045
30	i	12.8	0	30	101	4674	259	1152	56	342	112	361	1	15	529	6574
31		10	0	30	55	4729	151	1303	26	368	70	431	Ž	17	304	6878
								·								
August																
1	1	11.7	0	30	35	4764	108	1411	35	403	102	533	Ö	17	280	7158
2	1	15.7	0	30	30	4794	49	1460	6	409	42	575	0	17	127	7285
3	ì	23.5	0	30	21	4815	4	1464	1	410	20	595	õ	17	46	7331
4	1	24	0	30	14	4829	22	1486	- 11	421	27	622	0	17	24	7405
5	1	24	0	30	15	4844	27	1513	18	439	47	669	Q	17	107	7512
6	1	24	0	30_	14	4858	86	1599	24	463	35	704	0	. 17	159	7671
7	1	24	0	30	8	4866	39	1638	15	478	43	747	0	17	105	7776
8	1	24	0	30	3	4869	26	1664	22	500	22	769	Ō	17	73	7849
9	ii	24	0	30	9	4878	5	1669	10	510	12	781	Ō	17	36	7885
10	i	24	o l	30	5	4883	6	1675	4	514	7	788	0	17	22	7907
11	1	24	0_	30	2	4885	2	1677	7	521	. 9	797	0	17_	20	7927
12	i	24	0	30	4	4889		1678	4	525	1	798	0	17	10	7937
13	1	7.8	0	30	0	4889	0	1678	2	527	0	798	0	17	2	7939
14	1	3	0	30	1	4890	1	1679	1	528	1	799	Q	. 17	4	7943
15	1	24	0	30	0	4890	1	1680	2	530	6	805	Q]	. 17	9	7952
16	1	24	Ó	30	1	4891	2	1682	0	530	9	814	0	17	12	7964
17	1	20	0	30	0	4891	6	1688	3	533	5	819	Ö	17	14	7978
18	1	14	0	30	1	4892	2	1690	1	534	9	828	0	17	13	7991
19	1	10.3	0	30	0	4892	4	1694	3	537	2	830	2	19	11_	8002
20	i	24	ō	30	0	4892	3	1697	2	539 541	i	831	0	19	6	8008
21	i	22.5	0	30	3	4895	3	1700	2	541	Ó	831	0	19	8	8016
22	1	24	0_	30	2	4897	6	1706	26	567	6	837	2	2ì	42	8058
23	i	24	0	30	1	4898	9	1715	8	575	Ĝ	843	9	30	33	8091
24	1	24	. 0	30	2	4900	9	1724	5	580	Ž	845		37	25	8116
25	i	24	0	30	0	4900	1	1725	4	584	3	848	10	47	18_	8134
26	i	24	0	30	0	4900	Ō	1725	2	586	1	849	24	71	27	8161
27	i	24	0.	30	1	4901	-0	1725	2	588	0	849	δ	77	9	8170
28	i	24	Ō	30	0	4901	Ö	1725	2	590	0	849	2	79	4	8174

Table EC-3. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	PII	VK	СН	UM	CO	10	MISCELL	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SI	PECIES
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM ,
lugust 19 10	1	24 24 24	0 0 0	30 30 30	0 0	4901 4901 4901	0 0 0	1725 1725 1725	0 0	591 591 591	0 0 0	849 849 849	0 0 0	79 79 79	0 0	8175 8175 8175
eptemb 1 2 3	er 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	24 24 10	0 0 0	30 30 30	0 0	4901 4901 4901	0 0 0	1725 1725 1725	Q 0 1	591 591 592	0 0 0	849 849 849	0 0 0	79 79 79	0	8175 8175 8176

Table EC-4. Yentna Station north bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF WHEFLS	WHEEL HOURS	CHINO	CUM.	SOCKE	YE CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	UM.	CO	CUM.	1 SCELLA	CUM.	TOTAL ALL SP DAILY	
June 26 27 28 29 30	1	24 24 24 23 24	0 0 0	1 3 3 3 3	0 0 1 5	0 0 1 6 20	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 2 5	1 2 1 10 19	1 3 4 14 33
July 21/21/3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		0 0 5 24 24 24 24 24 24 18 22 21.5 24 22.5 24 22.5 24 25 21.5 24 21.5 21.5 21.5		3 3 5 6 9 13 13 15 16 16 16 16 16 16		20 20 20 41 58 81 91 132 143 180 182 197 234 273 314 336 362 529 824	- - 0 2 15, 9 8 27 9 47 1 4 2 5 7 0	2 2 4 19 28 36 63 72 119 120 124 126 131 138 138 138 149		3 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 8 12 16 20 24 29 32 33 34 55 89		0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8		33 33 33 60 93 130 153 223 247 336 343 366 409 458 509 532 560 760
20 21 22 23 24 25 26		14 13 13.8 15.8 10.4 14.8	0 0 0 0 0 0	17 17 17 17 17 17 17	245 190 313 187 85 54 59	1069 1259 1572 1759 1844 1898 1957	54 33 21 18 14 9	223 256 277 295 309 318 343	52 40 67 106 32 8	141 181 248 354 386 394 411	1 4 15 27 4 2 9	11 15 30 57 61 63 72	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 8 8 8 8	352 267 416 338 135 73	1469 1736 2152 2490 2625 2698 2808

^{1/} Fishwheel inoperable due to debris damage.

Table EC-4. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00K	SOCKE	YE	PII	1K	Cill	JM	CO	10	MISCELLA	MEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	ÇUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
July																,
27	!	17.2	0	17	35	1992	12	355	28	439	11	83	0_	8	86	2894
28	!	22.2	0		23	2015	1	366		446	8	91	0	8	49	2943
29		24	0	17	9	2024	4	370	5	451	<u> </u> _	92	0	8	19_	2962
30	<u> </u>	16.5	0	17	4	2028		371		453	0	92	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>		2969
31		24	O	17	4	2032	3	374		454		93	Q_	8	9_	2978
										 						
August																
August	<u>_</u>	15.5	0	17	2	2034	0	374	0	454	0	93		8	2	2980
	 {	15.6	0	17	- 4	2034		380	5	459	2	95		<u>8</u>	15	2995
3	<u></u>	23.5	V	17	- 3	2039	6	384	9	468	10	105	-	8	26	3021
3	- -	24	0	17	6	2045	66	450	43	511	20	125	0	A A	135	3156
5	 -	24	0	17	20	2065	110	560	44	555	25	150	ŏ	- A	199	3355
6	i	24	<u>v</u>	17	7	2072	136	696	44	599	29	179	ŏ	8	216	3571
- j	- i	24	- 0 · ·	17	5	2077	140	836	16	615	14	193	- ŏ	8	175	3746
8	i	24	0	17	7	2084	79	915	31	646	17	210	Ö	8	134	3880
9	<u> </u>	24	ŏ	17	5	2089	25	940	21	667	7	217	<u>ō</u>	Ř	58	3938
10	1	24	0	17	3	2092	10	950	11	678	4	221	0	8	28	3966
ii	<u> </u>	16.5		17	0	2092	5	955	16	694	8	229	Ö	8	29	3995
12	i	24	ŏ	17	1	2093	4	959	5	699		232	Ŏ	8	13	4008
13	i	24	0	17	2	2095	11	960	7	706	2	234	0	8	12	4020
14	j	23	Ŏ	17	0	2095	0	960	o l	706		235	0	8	ī	4021
15	1	24	0	17	2	2097	2	962	11	717	2	237	0	8	17	4038
16	1	24	0	17	1	2098	2	964	8	725	2	239	0	- 8	13	4051
17	1	22	0	17	0	2098	2	966	9	734	8	247	1	9	20	4071
18	i	24	0	17	0	2098	7	973	6	740	4	251	_ 3	12	20	4091
19	1	9.2	Õ	17	Ō	2098	3	976	2	742	3	254		13	9	4100
20	i	24	0	17	Q	2098	5	981	13	<u>755</u>	2	256	3	16	23	4123
21	1	24	0	17	. 0	2098	4	985	19	774	3.	259	Q	16	26	4149
22	1	24	0	17	0	2098	4	989	14_	788	1	260	4	20	23_	4172
23	1	24	0	17	1	2099	5	994	13	801	5	265	7_	27	31	4203
24	1	24	0	17	0	2099	5	999	11	812	4	269	10	37	30	4233
25	1	20.5	0	17	0	2099	3	1002	2	814	2	271	3	40	10_	4243
26	1	24	0	17	00	2099		1004	7 1	821		271	13	53	22_1	4265

Table EC-4. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00K	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	CHL	JM	CO	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SI	CATCH ECIES
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUN .
August		24		17	0	2099		1004		001		070		- 60		4075
<u>27</u> 28		24	0	17	0	2099	0	1004 1004	0	821 821		272 272	9 2 0	62 64	10	4275 4277
28 29 30	1	14	ŏ	17	ŏ	2099	0	1004	0	821	0	272	0	64 .	0	4277
30		24	0	17	0_	2099	0	1004	0	821	0	272	Ô	64	Ŏ	4277
31	1	24	0	17	0	2099	0	1004	1	822	0	272	0	64		4278
Septemb	er													-		
1		24 24 24	0	17	0	2099	0	1004	0	822 822	0	272 272	1	65 67		4279
-2	!	24	0	17	0	2099	_0	1004	0	822	0	272	2	67	2_	4281
3		24	0	17	0	2099	0	1004	0	822	Ö	272		68]	4282
- <u>4</u>	—- 	24 24	<u>0</u>		0	2099 2099	0	1004		823 823	—— [273 273	3	/ 	5	4287
6	—- ;	24	<u>u</u>	17	0	2099	0	1004	0	823	0	273	- ŏ	/i-		4287 4287
7	i	9.5	0	17	0	2099	- 0	1004	0 1	823	0	273	2	73	2	4289
										7. 454						11111
						, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 										
											·					
							-									

т С

Table EC-5. Sunshine Station east bank fishwheel daily, cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

ATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	P1	NK	СНІ	М	COL	10	MISCELLA	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
	WHEFLS	HOURS	YJIAD	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	Dally	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
une			!	-												
9	l	12	19	19	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0		Q	19	19_
<u> </u>]	l	1	20		0	0	0	Q	0	O	0	0	0	1	20
	l	6	1	21	<u>0_</u>	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1_	21
]	23	16	37	Q	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0_	0	0	16	37
]	23.5	28	65		1	0	Q	0	0	Q	0	0	0	29	66
		22.5	35	100	0	1	0_	0	0	0	0	0		. 0	35	101
		23	37	137	0	1	0	0	0	0	0 _	0	. 0	0	37	138
	1	23	18	155	0		0	0	0_	0	0	0	Ω	0	18	156
	2	27	21	176	0		0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	177
]	2	46.5	14	190	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	191
9	2	47.5	10	200	3	4	0	0	0	0_	0	0	9	0	13	204
)	2	47.5	6	206	2	6	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	Ö	8	212
ıly																
	2	47	19 51	225	7	13	0 "	0	0	Õ	0	Ō		1	27	239
2	2	45.5	51	276	10	23	0	0	0	— Q	Ó	0		2	62	301
	2	46	52	328	17	40	1	1	0	0	0	Ō	0	2	70	371
	2	48	87	415	43	83	2	3	2	2	0	0		2	134	505
	2	48	38	453	38	121	ī	4	6	8	0	0		2	83	588
		47.5	32	485	72	193	3	7	5	13	0	0	3	5	115	703
	2	48	20	505	55	248	4	iî	10	23		0	ĵ	6	90	793
	2	47	9	514	20	268	0	11	6	29	0	0	5	6	35	828
	2	47.5	8	522	10	278	1	12	2	31	0	0	5	6	21	849
	2	28.5	2	524	7	285	3	15		32	0	0		6	13	862
	i	12	0	524	0	285	0	_15	Ö	32		0		6	0	862
	i	24	ŏ	524	. 0	285	0	15	0	32	ň	0	- 7	6	Ö	862
	i	24	- 0	524	0	285	Ö	15	0	32	ň	0	- 5	6	0	862
	i	24	0	524	0	285	Ö	15	ĭ	33	ŏ	Ö	0	6	i	863
		24	1	525	46		ő	<u>i</u> š	- i i	34	ő	Ŏ	<u>*</u>	6	48	911
	1	24		526	171	502	Ö	15	0 1	34	· · · ·	Ö	-	6	172	1083
	-	28.5	i	527	441	943		19	<u>``</u>	34	- · · ·	<u>v</u>		6	446	1529
		41.5		528	662	.1605	11	30	ĭ	35	<u> </u>	0	<u>n</u>	6	675	2204
		43	0	528	669	2274	- 11	33	——	36	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>		673	2877

Table EC-5. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00 <u>K</u>	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	СН	UM		10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SI	
DATE	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	EUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
July				-												
20	2	35	0	528	606	2880	5	38	2	38	0	0	. 0	6	613	3490
21	2	43.5	0	528	638	3518	8	.46	4	42	0	0	Q_	6	650	4140
<u>22</u>	2	44	0	528	794	4312	22	68	31	73	0	Q	0	6	847	4987
<u>23</u>	2	48	1	529	671	4983	64	132	133	206	1	1	0	_6	870	5857
24	2	48	0	529	406	5389	49	181	104	310	1	2	0	6	560	6417
25	2	48	1_	530	463	5852	102	283	108	418	0	2	0_	6	674	7091
26	2	48	0_	530	416_	6268	109	392	116	534	1	3	0	6	642	7733
27	2	29.5	0	530	169	6467	86	478	97	631	4	7	0	6	356	8089
28	2	46	0_	530	373	_6810	465	943	618	1249	3	10	0	6	1459	9548
29	2	28.5	0_	530	114	6924	189	1132	210	1459	6	16	0	6	519	10067
<u>30</u>	<u> </u>	48	. 0_	530	180_	7104	317	1449	286	1745	20	36			804	10871
31	2	47.5	0	530	117	7221	467	1916	359	2104	10	46	0_	7	953	11824
August			-													-
Mugus C		48	0	530		7305	597	2513	361	2465	24	70		 7	1066	12890
		33.83	0	530	0	7305	11	2524	201	2465		70	- 0 1		11	12901
3		35.5	0	530	10	7315	109	2633	7	2472		71	<u>v</u>	7	127	13028
. <u>J</u>		46.5	0	530	26	7341	357	2990	150	2622	4	75	<u>_</u>	7	537	13565
5	2	41	1	531	49	7390	381	3371	94	2716	24	99	0	7	549	14114
6	2	47.5		532	56	7446	538	3909	288	3004	27	126	0	7	910	15024
7	2	47.5	0	532	50	7496	471	4380	255	3259	44	170	0	7	820	15844
8	2	47.5	1	533	93	7589	493	4873	197	3456	75	245	ŏ	7	859	16703
9	2	48	<u> </u>	533	32	7621	271	5144	31	3487	23	268			357	17060
10	2	48	0	533	1	7622	60	5204	9	3496	6	274	0	— <u>;</u>	76	17136
11	2	48	0	533	9	7631	118	5322	39	3535	27	301	ő	7	193	17329
12	2	48	i	534		7640	132	5454	66	3601	32	333	i	8	241	17570
13		48	0	534	10	7650	77	5531	19	3620	13	346	0	8	119_	17689
14	2	48	0	534_	6	7656	63_	5594	18	3638	8	354	0	8	95	17784
15		48_	Ö	534	9	7665	38	3632	23	3661	11	365	ő	8	81	17865
16	2	48	0	534	13	7678	32	5664	27	3688	13	378	Õ	8	85	17950
17	2	48	1	535	39	7717	179	5843	259	3947	72	450	O I	8	550	18500
18	2	45.5	i	536	45	7762	195	5038	554	4501	104	554	0	8	899	19399
19	2	45.5	Ô	536	61	7823	172	6210	581	5082	166	720	Ö	8	980	20379

Table EC-5. Continued.

ATE	NO 05	thirti	CHINO	0 <u>K</u>	SOCKE	YE	PI	IK	CHI	JM	co	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	ALL SI	CATCH ECIES
AIL	NO. OF WHEFLS	WHEEL HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
igust																
	2	41.75	Ō	536	25	7848	97	6307	139	5221	129	849	0	8	390	20769
	2	48	0	536	17	7865	34	6341	109	5330	47	896	0	8	207	20976
	2	48	0	536	12	7877	25	6366	102	5432	47	943	0	8	186	21162
	2	48	0	536	17	7894	25	6391	151	5583	39	982	1	9	233	21395
	2	45	0	536	15	7909	40	6431	451	6034	160	1142	2	11	668	22063
	2	48	0	536	5	7914	15	6446	319	6353	99	1241	5	16	443	22506
	2	48	0	536	6	7920	19	6465	396	6749	86	1327	6	22	513	23019
	2	48	. 0	536	3	7923	13_	6478	402	7151	51	1378	16	38	485	23504
	2	48	0	536		7925	i	6479	128	7279	32	1410	. 1	39	164	23668
	2	48	0	536	1	7926	0	6479	82	7361	15_	1425	i	40	99	23767
)	2	48	0	536	0	7926	0	6479	36	7397	5	1431	0	40	42	23809
	2	48	Q	536	0	7926	0	6479	67	7464	4	1435	1	41	72	23881

ptemb																
premo	2	48		536	1	7927	, 	6480	95	7559	12	1447	o	41	109	23990
	2	48	0	536	<u>'</u>	7928	0	6480	38	7597		1449	- ö	41	41	24031
		48	<u>v</u>	536		7928	0	6480	91	7688		1456	0	41	98	24129
	`	44	0	536	1	7929	0	6480	145	7833		1459	2	43	151	24280
		48	<u>v</u> _	536		7929	0	6480	92	7925	6	1465		48	103	24383
	`	48	- 0	536	0	7929	0	6480	141	8066	8	1473	13	61	162	24545
	<u>£</u>	48	- v	536	0	7929	- 0	6480	65	8131	- 5	1478	- 13	65	74	24619
	2	48	0	536	0	7929	<u> </u>	6480	60	8191	6	1484	8	73	74	24693
		47	0	536	0	7929	n l	6480	33	8224	4	1488	4	77	41	24734
		48	- 0	536	. 0	7929	- 0	6480	22	8246	2	1490	26	103	50	24784
		48	<u>v</u> _	536	0	7929	ŏ	6480	20	8266	9	1499	24	127	53	24837
		48	<u> </u>	536	0	7929	 0	6480	32	8298	- 1	1502	34	161	69	24906
		48	<u> </u>	536	Ö	7929		6480	16	8314	5	1507	38	199	59	24965
	2	37		536	0	7929	0	6480	6	8320	3	1510	28	227	37	25002
	i	24	0	_ 536	0	7929	0	6480	8	8328	2	1512	27	254	37	25039
		9	0	536	0	7929	0	6480	;	8329	Ö	1512	8	262	9	25048
				J.114												
				-	,,											

Table EC-6. Sunshine Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch logs by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO		SOCKE		PIN		СН		COH		MISCELLA		TOTAL ALL SP	ECIES
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
June																
24		3.5			0	0	0		0	0	0_	0	Q	0_		
25	!	23.5	3	4	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	Q	3	4
26	!	23.5	4	8	<u> </u>	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	0	0	2	<u>8</u>
27		24	2	10	0	0	0	- 0	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0		0	0		— 0 —
28	<u>-</u>	12.5		12							- 0	0	Q	0		-
30	<u></u> ¦	22	2	14	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	- 0 0	<u> </u>	<u>v</u>	Ď	<u>0</u>	<u></u>		14
30				12		<u> </u>	 	U			γ					
July							——-i									
1		22	9	23	0	0	0	0	0	- 0	0	0	2	2	11	25
2	i	23	8	31	0	0	0		0	0	0	<u> </u>	0	2	8	33
3	i	23.5	9	40	ŏ	ŏ	- ŏ i	<u>ŏ</u>	0	Ö	Ö	Ŏ	ō	2	9	42
4		15		45	4	4	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	0	0	2	9	51
5		39	12	57	14	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	26	77
6	2	47.5	6	63	9	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	15_	92
7		41.3	3	66	5	32	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	2	8	100
8	2	45.5	3	69	5	37	0	0	Õ	0	0	Q	0	2	8	108
9		47.5	0	69	1	38	0	Ů.	0	. 0	0	0_	1	3	2_	110
10	2	48	0	69	1	39	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	3		
11	2	45.5	0	69	1_	40	0	0	1		Q	0	0	3	2	113
12	2	36	0 _	69	0	40	0	0	0		0	0_	0	3	0	113
13	2	48	0	69	0_	40	0	0	0		0	0	0	3	<u>Q</u> _	113
14	2	48	0	69	1	41	0	0	0		0	0	<u>Q</u>	3		114
15	2	48	2	71	6	47	Q	0	0]	Q_	0	0	3	8	122
16	2	39	0	71	5	52	0	Q	0	!_	0	0	0		5 2	127
17	i	24	0	71		53	0	0	0		0	<u> </u>				129
18	l	24	0	71	6	59	0_	0	0	<u>!</u>	0	0	0		6_ 12	
19	l	24	<u>Q</u>		11	70		 _	0		<u>0</u>	0	0	4	12	147
20	!	11.3	0				0	!-	0		<u>0</u>	0	0	4	55	209
2]	ļ		0	71	55	132	- 0		<u>0</u> _		0	0		4	114	323
22		35		72	111	243				2	0	0	0	4	71	394
23		33.5	0	72	71 67	314	$\frac{0}{2}$			- 2		0	0		70	464
24		40		14	0/	381										

Table EC-6. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	OK	SOCKE	YE	PI	IK	СН	ım	COI	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SF	
JA 1 E	NO. OF WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,
ıly.																
5	2	26	0	72	47	428	1.	5		4	0	0	0	4	49	513
5	2	48	0	72	200	628	10	15	7	11	0_	0	0_	4	217	730
	2	42	0	72	123	751	14	29	1	12	1_	1	0_	4	139	869
	2	44		73	189	940	29	58	19	31	0	1	0	4	238	_1107
)	2	22	0	73	62	1002	5	63	11	42	0	1_	0	4	78	1185
)	2	45	1.	74	130	1132	34	97	30	72	25	26	00	4	220	1405
	2	48		75	91	1223	33	130	31	103	21	47	0	4	177	1582
																
ıqus t																1806
	2	40.33	0	75	74	1297	74	204	42	145	34	81	0	- 4	224	
1/-	<u> </u>	20.75	0	75	2	1299		205	0	145	0_	81_	Q		3	1809
1/	0	0		75		1299		205		145		81	-			1809
<u>''</u> _	0	0		75		1299		205		145		81		4		1809
<u> </u>	2	23	0		14	1313	21	226	21	166	16	97	0	4	72	1881
<u> </u>	2	47.5	0	75	54	1367	110	336	96	262	70	167	0	4	330	2211
	2	48	1_	76	58_	1425	161	497	95	357	87	254		5	403	2614
	2	46	0	76	36	1461	67	564	51	408_	98	352	<u>Q</u>	5	252	2866
	2	46	0	76	14	1475	26	590	15	423	29	381	0_	5	84	2950
	2	32	0	76	2	1477		602	2	425	5	386	0		21	2971
	2	21.25	0	76	ll	1478	3	605	. 5	430		393	Q		16	2987
	l	11	0	76	2_	1480	3_	608		437	4	397	0	5	16	3003
	l	13	Q	76	0	1480	0	608	4	441	0	397	0	5	4	3007
	<u>1</u> _	24	0	76	0	1480	0	608	2	443	0	397	0	5	2	3009
	2	30	0	76	2_	1482	0	608	1	444	3	400	0	5	6	3015
;	2	48	Q_	76	1	1483	0	608	5	449	8	408	0	5	14_	3029
	2	43	0	76	6	1489	0	608	44	493	27_	435	0	5	77	3106
	2	45	0_	. 76	9_	1498	1	609	46	539	80	515	0_	5	136	3246
	2	43	0	76	15	1513	Q.	609	20	559	55	570	- 0	5	90	3332
	2	42.5	0	76	29	1542		612	57_	616	207	111	0_	5	296	3628
	2	48	0_	76	13	1555	0	612	1.5	631	156	933	1		185	3813
	2	42	0	76	7	1562	0	6]2	18	649	96	1029	0	6	121	3934
	2	48	0	76		1569	3	615	_48	697	104_	1133	0	6	162	4096
	2	48	0	76	18	1587	0	615	30	727	120	1253	0	6	168	4264

^{1/} Fishwheels inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-6. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00 <u>K</u>	SOCKE	YE	PI	<u>IK</u>	CH	UM	co	10	MISCELL	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	CATCH ECIES
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	ÇUM.	DAILY	CUM .
August 25				<u> </u>												
25		43	0_	76 76	5	1592	2	617	26	753	62	1315	1_		96	4360
26	22	48	. 0		4	1596	1_1	618	12	765	33	1348	Q_	7	50	4410
<u>27</u>	. 2	48	0	76	2	1598	Q_	618	31_	796	29	1377	1_	. 8	63	4473
<u> 28 </u>	2	48	0	76	0	1598	Q	618	5	801	7	1384	0_	8	12	4485
29	2	48	0	76	0	1598	l_	619	6	807	9	1393	Q	8	16	4501
30	2	42 44	0_	76	0	1598	0	619	1	808	5	1398	0	8	. 6	4507
31	2	44	0	76	0	1598	0	619	7_	815	2	1400	0_	8	9	4516
<u> </u>	1															
Septemb	<u> </u>	40		76	0	1500		610		010		1401		Ŕ	- 5	452]
1	<u>2</u>	48	0	76 76	<u>V</u>	1598	0	619	4	819 835	5	1401 1406	0 0	8	22	4543
3	$-\frac{2}{2}$	48 28	0	76 76	0	1599 1599	0	619 619	16	837	- 3	1406	0	R		4545
3		24	0	76	0	1599	0	619	0	837	0	1406	- V	- B		4545
5	- 	24	0	76	0	1599	0	619	1	838	7	1413		— V	Ä	4553
	- 1	24	0	76	0	1599	0	619		839		1414	0	- 0	2	4555
-6		21	0	76	0	1599 1599	0	619	0	839		1416	i		- 3	4558
<u>'</u>	——- {	24 12	0	76	0	1599	0	619	0	839		1416	0	- 2		4558
_0		15		70		1233	-			_ 032		1710	V		v	. 1990
			· -												_	
						· /									-	
																
														-		
				100												
																-

Ш

Table EC-7. Talkeetna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	OK	SOCKE	YE	P11	IK	Citi	UM	COL	10	MISCELLA	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
	WHEFLS	HOURS	YAIAD	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM ,
June 22	<u>1</u>	10	<u>0</u>	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	
22 23		23.5	7	7	ő	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	7	7
24	1	22	12	19	0	0	0	<u> </u>	ŏ	<u>ŏ</u>	0	<u>ŏ</u>	0	0	12	19
24 25	i	23	16	35	0	0		0	0		0	0	. 0.		16	19 35 50 50 53
26	i	17.5	15	50	0_	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	, Q	15	50
271/	0	0		50		0		0		0		0		0		50
28		24	3	53	0	0	0		0	0	<u>0</u>	<u> </u>	0	<u>Q</u>	3_	53
29		24		54	0_	0	0	0	0	0		0_	0	0	<u>L</u> _	54
30		22	Q	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ρ	0	0	0_	34
								·								
July			. —													
1	1	16.5	9	63	0	0	Q	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	9	63
2	i	23	6	69	0	0	ō	Ō	0	0	0	Q	0	0	- 6	69 72
3	2	23	3	.72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	72
4	2	38	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_		0	72
5	2	47		79		0	0		0	0	0_	0	0	0		79
_6	2	48	5	84	<u>Q</u>	0	0		0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	5	84
	2	48	4_	88	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	88 94
_8	2	48	6_2	94	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	0_	0	0	6-	96
10-162/	- 2	48		96 96		0		0		0		0	<u>v</u> _	0		96
17	1	9	0	96	0	0	0		- 0		0	0	Ō	0	Ô	96 96
18		24	0	96	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	Ŏ	ő	0	ő	Ŏ	Ŏ_	Ŏ	0	96
19	<u>i</u>	24	0	96	Ö	0	0	Ö	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	96 96
20	2	33	0	96	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	96
21	2	48		97	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	6	102
22	2	48	0	97	3	5	0	Q	i	3	0	Q	0_	1	4	106
23	2	48 48	3_	100	8	13	0	0	2	5	0	0	l_	2		120
24	2	48	0	100		24	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u>Q</u>	0	0	2	1]	131
25 26	22	48	0	101	6	30 37	0	0	2		0	0	0	2	<u> </u>	140
	2	48	0	101	10	47		1		20	- 0		0	2	22	171
27		4/		102	31	78	3		25	45	——		0	2	61	232

^{1/} Fishwheel shut down for modification.
2/ Fishwheels inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-7. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00K	SOCKE	<u>YE</u>	PI	NK	<u>CH</u>	JM	COl	10	MISGELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
July	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	PALLAD	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
29	2	48	1	103	12	90		5	10	55		2		2	25	257
30	2	48	Ö	103	6	96	1	6	21	76	3	5	0	2	31	288
31	2	48	ii_	.104	16	112	8	14	29	105		6	0	. 2	55	343
August										-						
August 1	2	48	0	104	32	144	5	19	37	142		7	0		75	418
23/	0	0	-	104		144		19		142			***************************************		- 75	418
3	<u>_</u>	.5	0	104	0	144	0	19	0	142	0	7			0	418
4	1	24	0	104	1	145	0	19	1	143	ŏ		Ŏ		2	420
5	2	36.5	2	106	5	150	10	29	15	158	3	10	ő	- 2	35	455
6		48	0	106	10	160	29	58	28	186	9	13	Ŏ	2	76	531
7	2	48	0	106	8	168	51	109	60	246	8	27	0	2	127	658
8	2	48	0	106	7	175	76	185	51	297	15	42		2	149_	807
9	2	47.5	0	106	Q	175	4	189	2	299	0	42	0	2	. 6	8)3
10	2	48	0	106		176	0	189	1	300	0	42	0	2	2	815
11	2	48	0	106	2	178	2	191	3	303	1	43	0	2	8	823
12	2	48	0	106	3	181	5	196	9	312	8	51	Q	2	25	848
13	2	48	0	106	2	183	0	196	5	317	0_	51	0	2	7	855
14	2	47.5	0	106	0	183		197		318	0	51	0	2	2_	857
15	2	42.75	0	106	. 0	183	0	197	0	318	0	51	0	2	0_	857
16	1	11.75_	0	_106	0	183	0	197	2	320	Q_	51	0		2	859
17	?	36.25	0	106	4	187		198	3	323		52	0	2	9	868
18	2	44	0	106	3	190	8	206	34	357	7_	59	<u>_</u>	3	53	921
19	2	48	0	106	<u> </u>	190	11	217	37	394	4_	63	0	3	52	973
20	2	48	0	106		191	4	221	13	407_	9_	72		4	28	1001
21	2	48	0_	106		192	. 0	_ 221	0_1	407_	0_		0	4		1002
22	2	48	<u>0</u>	106	<u>Q</u>	192	0	221		408	0_	72	0	4		1003
23	2	48	Q	106	5	197	2	223	10	418	12	84		4_	29	1032
24	2	48	0	106		198	<u>0</u>	223	22		14	98	0	4	37	1069
25	2	48	0	106	0_	198	<u>_</u> _	224	18	458	15	113	2		36	1130
26	2	48	0	106	<u>-</u>	199	0	224	14	472		120	3	9	25	1162
27	2	48	<u> </u>	106		200	<u>l</u> _	225	22_		- 8	128	<u>0</u>	— y —	32	
28		48	0	106	0	200	0_	225		500	9_]	137	U		151	1177

³/ Fishwheels inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-7. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00K	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	СН	UM	COI	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
<u>Augus t</u>																
<u>29</u> 30	2	48 48	0	106	1	201	0	225 225]3	513 525	13	150		9	27	1204
30	22		0	106	0	201	0	225	12	525		157		9	19	1223
31	2	48	0	106	3	204	Ō	225	12	537	14	171		10	30	1253
Septem																
1	2	48	0	106	2	206	0	225 225 225 225	23	560	10	181	0_	10	35	1288
2	2	42	0	106	0	206	0	225	19	579	10	191	0		29	1317
3	2	48	0	106	Q	206	0	225	7	586 588	3	194	<u> </u>	10	10	1327
4	2	48	0	106	0	206	0	225	2		4	198	2	12	8	1335 1344
5	2	48	0	106	0	206	0	225 225	11	594		199		14	9 15	1359
- 6	2	48	0	106	0	206		225		605		200	3			
	2	48	0	106	0	206	0	225		612	6	206	- 8 10	25 35	21	1380
8	2	48	0	106	0	206	0	225 225	9	621 622	0	207 207		36	20	1404
.9	2	42	0	106		208 208	0	225		623	<u>v</u>	207		39		1408
10	- 2 -	48	0	106	<u> </u>	208		225	— <u> </u>	623		213		43	10	1418
112		48 48	0	106 106	0	208	0	225		624	<u>0</u>	214	2	45		1422
12	`	48	0	106	0	208	0	225	ż	626		216	2	47	6	1428
	`	48	0	106	Ö	208		225	- 0	626	0	216	2	49	- ž	1430
1 <u>4</u>		48	0	106	0	208	0	225	0	626		216 216	Ö	49	ō	1430
13				100		= 50					, ,		•			
										<u> </u>						
								-								

Table EC-8. Talkeetna west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	OK	SOCKE	YE	PI	<u>IK</u>	CHI	JM		10	MISCELLA	MEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
June	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	cum.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
26	1	15.8	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	0	9	9
27	i	23.5	4	13	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.	Q	. 0	0	0	4	13
28	i	23	i	14	Q	0		0	0		0	0	0	0		14
29	1	24	1_	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	15
30	l	22.5	O	15	0	0	0	0	0	00	0_	0 _	0_	0		15.
uly															* *N	
1	2	28	1	16	0_			0	0		<u> </u>	0		0	i	16
2	2	38.5		19	0	0	0	<u>v</u>	0	<u>v</u>	0			0	3	16 19
3	2	42	ĭ	20	ŏ	ŏ	ā	0	ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	i	20
4	<u>2</u>	47.5	Ó	20	Ŏ	0	- ö 1	0	0	0		0	ō	Ö	0	20
5		48	3	23	0	0	0	Ŏ	ő	ō_	8-	Ō	0	0	3	_23
6	2	48	0	23	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	Õ	0	0	Ö	23
7	<u>2</u>	48	Ò	23	1	1	0	0	Ō	Ō	0	0	1	1	2	25
8	2	48	Ō	23	Ó	1	Ō	0	0	0	Q	0	0	1	0	25 26
9	Ž	46	1	24	0_	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	26
0	1	5.5	0	24	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	26 26
1-1717	0	0		24	-	1	-	0		0		0		1	0	26
8	1	8.5	0	24	0	1	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0		0_	26
9	l	24	0	24	0	1	0	0	0_	0	0_	0	0		0	26
0	1	24	0	24	0	11	0	0	1_	1	0	QQ	0	1	. 1	27
1	2	29.5	0	24	1	2	0	0	0_		0	0	0		,	28
2	2	38	0	24	0	2_	0	0	1_	2	0	0	0	1		29
3	2	48	0	24	11	13	0	0	3.	5	0_	0			14	43
4	2	48	3	27	12	25	0	0	3	8	0	0	0		18	61 74
5	2	48	0	27	8	33	2	2	2	10	0	<u> </u>		2	13	
6	<u>2</u>	46	0	27	6	39	<u> </u>	2	<u>3</u> _	13	0	<u>0</u>		2	9	83
7	2	48	<u>0</u> _	27	3_	42				18	Ď	<u> </u>	0		37	94
8	2	47.5		28	19	61	2		15.	33	0_		0	2	30	131
9	2	47	0	28	10	71	5		14	47		1 2	0	2	43	204
0	2	46	0	28	15	86	3	15	24 36	107	-1	3	— 5	- 2	63	204 267
<u> </u>	2	48	0_	28	14	100	12			į U/		3	Ų		03	201

Fishwheels inoperable due to flooding.

Table EC-8. Continued.

			CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	PI	IK	CIH	UM	COL	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	ALL SE	CATCH
TE	NO. OF WHEFLS	WHEEL HOURS	DAILY		DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
ust	MILT CO			25					Divisi.		Ditte	0011.		00111		<u> </u>
	2	41	0	28	15	115	21	48	42	149	0	3	Q	2	78	345
<i>]</i>	0	. O	-	28	-	115	-	48	, <u> </u>	149	=	3		2		345
/	0	0		28	_	115	-	48	-	149		3	<u>=</u>	2	_	345
	i	10.5	0_	28	0	115	. 0	48	2	151	0	3	0	2	2	347
	2	31	Q	28	10	125	9	57	44	195	3	6	9	2	66	413
	2	48	Q	28	6	131	14	71	28	223	5	11	0_	2	53	466
	2	48	0	28	8	139	26	97	49	272	4	15	Q_	2	87	553
	2	48	0	28	13	152	27	124	41	313	9	24	0	2	90	643
	2	46	0	28	3	155		125	ll	314		24	Q	2	5	648
	2	47	0	28	_0	155	0	125	3	317		25	Q	2	4	652
	2	32	0	28	Q	155	0	125		318	0	25	0	2	l_	653
	2	36.5	0	28	0	155	2	127	3	32]	2	27	0	2		660
/	1	23	Q	28		156	0	127 127	0_	321	0	27	0	2	l	661
, ,	0	0		28		156		127		321		27		2		661
/	0	0		28		156		127	 -	321	-	27		2		661
	ļ	6	0	28	<u>0</u>	156	0	127	<u> </u>	32]	. 0	27	0	2	0_	661
	2	35 42	0	28	!	157	0	127	0	321	0	27	Q	2	1	662
	2		0_	28	2	159	3	130	15	336		31	<u>Q</u>	2	24	686
	2	48	0	28	- 4	163	2	132	30	366	14	45	0		50	736 763
	2	48	0	28		165	3	135 137	12	378	9	54	<u>i</u> _i		27	780 780
		48	0	28		166	2			385	6	60			17	780
	2	48	<u>0</u>	28	- 0	166	0	137 137	0	385	0	60	0		0_	
	<u>2</u>	48	0	28	0	166 174	0	13/	16	401	20	80			37	817
	2	47	0	28 28	<u>8</u> 5	179		143	37 27	438 465	<u>48</u> 19	128	- 1	<u> </u>	1 <u>00</u> 55	917 972
		:			- 3	180	;	144			11	147 158	2	11		1008
		48	0	28			5		21	486				- 11	36 55	1063
		48 48	<u>Q</u>	28 28		183	- 3	150	29	515 56]	18	176 197		12	73	1136
		48	0	28	 	184 184	0	154 154	46 34	595	23_	220		14	59	1195
_	<u>-</u>	48	0	28	- 0	186		154	- 39 -	602	16	236		14	25	1220
	2	48	<u>v</u>	28	- 6	186	0	154		606	.26	262		15	31	1251
						100	—— <u>V</u>	133								1541

Table EC-8. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	ок	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	CH	UM	COI	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SI	PECIES
DATE	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.
Septemb		Hooks	DAILI	2011.	DATE		DATE	COIT	DUILI		DATE	0011.	DATE		DAILI	<u> </u>
1	2	48	0	28	1	187	0	154	11	617	27	289	0		39	1290
2	2	48		28	i_	188	0	154	15	632	14_	303	Ŏ	15	30	1320
3	2	42	Ö	28	O	188	0	154	12	634	- 12	305	ő	15	*4	1324
4	2	48	ō	28	ì	189	0		4	638	. 4	309	3	18	12	1336
5	2	48	0	28	î	190	0	154 154	4	642	0	309	0	18	5	1341
6	2	48	0	28	0	190	0	154	9	65)	2	311	4	22	15	1356
7	2	48	0_	. 28	0	190	0	154	1	652	2	313	5	27	8	1364
8	2	48	0	28	0	190	0.	154	4	656	1_	314	4	31	9_	1373
9	2	48	0	28	0	190	0	154	2	658	2	316	8	39	12_	1385
0	2	48	0	28	0	190	0_	154	0	658	0	316	6	45	6	1391
1	_2	48	0	28	0	190	0_	154	1	659	1	317	2	47	4	1395
2	2	48	0	28	0	190	0	154	0	659	0_	317	2	49	2	l_1397
3	2	44	0	28	0	190	0	154	0	659	0	_ 317	7	56		1404
4	2	48	Q	28	0	_190 _	0	154	0	659	0	317	5	61	5	1409
5	2	36	0	28	0	190	0	154	0	659	0	317	2	63	2	1411
																L
																
							-		_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
																!
																<u> </u>
																<u> </u>
																
											•				<u> </u>	

Table EC-9. Curry Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

ATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	CH	UM.	COI	10	MISCELLI	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
une 5								-								
5	1	24	3	. 3	Q	Q	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	, 0	3	3
6	1	18	1	4	0	0	0_	- 0	0	0	0	0	Q	Õ	i	4
7	j	24	i	5	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	Q.	0	0	0	1	5
3	1	17	1	6	Ô	0	0	Ö	0	0	Ō.	0	0	Ö	1	- 6
,		12	4	10	Ô	0	.0	0	0	Ō	0	0	Ó	0	4	10
)	1	24	5	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	0	Ô	Ô	5	15
	1	24	6	21	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0		0	ō	6	21
	1	24	7	28	0	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	0		0	0	7	28_
3	1	24	14	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0		14	42
	1	24	5	47	0	0	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	0	ő	0	ŏ	<u>ŏ</u>	5	47
5	1	24	10	57	Ö	0	0	<u>ŏ</u>	Ö	0	Ď	Ŏ	1	Ĭ	11	58
6	i	22	8	65	0	Ö	0	<u>0</u>	ő	0	ŏ	ŏ	Ó		8	66
7	i	24	3	68	0	0	0	Õ	0	Ō	Ö	0	Q	1	3	69
3	1	23	3		0	0	0	Ō	o l	Ō	ō	0	Ō	1	3	. 72
9	1	22	1	72	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	i	i	73
)	1	6	Ó	72	0	0	0		o l	0	0	0	0			73 73
												V		•		
ıly																
	1	6	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	1	0	73
)	ì	24	i	73	0	0	0		0	0	0	Ō	0	i	i	74
;——	1	24 18	4	77	0	0	0.	0	0	0	Ō		0	1	4	78
	i	23	Ó	77	Ŏ	0	0	<u> </u>	Ŏ	0	ò	0	0	1	Ó	78
	ì	23 17	0	77	Ö	Ö	0	- 0	Ö	0	0	0	0_	i	0	78 78 78
	i	24	0	77	0	Ô	0	<u>0</u>	0		0	Ö	0	i	0	78
	i		1	78	0	0	ő	0	ő	0	0	0	0	1	1	79
	i	24	2	80	ŏ	Ö	0	0	ő	Ö.	Ö	<u>ŏ</u>	ő	i	2	81
	i	24	2	82	0	0	0	Ŏ	0	0	0	0		i_	2	83
	, 1	10	1	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	î	84
-151	7 0	0		83		0		0		0.		0		1		84
	i	24	1	. 84	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	85
	i	24.	5	89	3	3	0		0	0	0	0	0	i	8	93_
-	i	24	2	91	3	6	i	ī	a.		n_		0	1	6	99
	i	22	2	93	ő	6	Ö		0		0	n	0	i	2	101

Table EC-9. Continued.

ATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	OK	SOCKE	YE	PIN	IK	СН	UM	COI	10	WISCELL	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
-	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM .
īy_							1	***								
0	<u> </u>	24	2	95	2_	8	0		0	0	0	00	0	1	4_	105
<u> </u>	1	23		96	2	10		2			0	0	0		5	110
) 		24	2	98	9	19		3	0.		0	0	0		12	122
]		24		99	3	22	0	3	0		0	0	0		4	126
1		24	2_	101	4	26		4	2	3	0	0	0	<u> </u>	9	135
5	<u>l</u>	23		102		33	0	4	0	3	0	0_	0		8_	143
5	l	24		103	13	46	0	4	5.	8	<u> </u>	<u>n</u>			20	163
<u> </u>	!	24	0	103	14	60	— -	5	5	13	0	0_	0	2	20	183
8	l	24		104	19	79		6	5	18	0	0		3	27	210
9		24	0	104	27	106	2	8	22	40	0	0		4	52	262
9 0		24	0	104	16	122	2	10	8	48	0	0	0_	4	26	288
!	<u> </u>	23	0	104	33	155	8	18	37	85	0_	0_	0	4	78	366
						<u> </u>			-							
<u>ugus t</u>	<u> </u>	24		305	22	107		20	- 12	00		0			48	414
<u> </u>		24	0	105 105	32	187 189	5	20	13	98 98	0	<u>0</u> -	<u>0</u>	_ ;	2	414 416
2 32/		21		105		189		20	- 0	98		o				416
<u>3=/</u>	1	12	- 	106	12	201		21	18	116		`	0		33	449
5		24		106	41	242	8	29	45	161	6		0	_ 	100	549
-		24	0	106	18	260	32	61	77	238	- 3	10	u	4_	130	679
	_ +	23	0	106	17	278		72	60	298	5	15	0	-	130	773
<u>/</u>		23.5	0	106	10_	288	17-	89	48	346	3	18	<u>v</u>		94 79	852
<u> </u>	-	23.5	0	106	14	302	- 6	95	14	360	- -	19	 	5	35	887
)	 ;		0	106	3	305	4	99	16	376		23	0	- 5	27	914
<u> </u>		23.5	0	106	18	323	4	103	26	402		24	0	5	49	963
	 i	23.5	- 0	106	10			110	30	432		25	O	- 5	40	1003
3	_ 	24	0	106	<u> </u>	325 334	8	118	44	476	- 1	28	0	5	64	1067
	- 	24	0	106	2	336	2	120	19	495	0	28	0	5	23	1090
		24		106		339	2	122	15	510	2	30	o o	5	22	1112
	_ i	24	0	106	6	345	4	126	40	550	4	34	Ö	5	54	1166
-		24		106	3	348	3	129	31	581	4	38	1	6	42	1208
3	i	24	0	106	14_	362	7 1	131	66	647	6	44	0	6	. 88	1296
,		24		106	23	385	12	143_	77	724	ii	55	1	7	124	1420

Table EC-9. Continued.

ATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	P1!	ik	СН	JM	COL	10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SF	
···	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,	DATLY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM ,
uqust																
<u>)</u>	1	24	1	107	7	392	4	147	40	764	5	60		7	57	1477
	1	21	0	107	2	394	3	150	37	801	4	64		8	47	1524
?	1	24	0	107	4	398	3	153	72	873	11	. 75	1_	9	91	1615
1	1	24	0	107	3	401	2	155	44	917	6_	81	0_	9	55	1670
1	1	24	Ō	107	1	402	1 1	156	23	940	4	85	0	9	29	1699
5	1	23	0	107	2	404	1 1	157	39	979	3	88	0_	9	45	1744
	i	24	Õ	107	2	406	2	159	31	1010	3	91	Q	9	38	1782
	1	24	0	107	7	407	0	159	19	1029	2	93	0	9	22	1804
3	i	24	0	107	Ô	407	0	159	33	1062	1	94	0	9	34	1838
)	i	24	Ö	107	ŏ	407	ī	160	9	1071	6	100	ō	9	16	1854
)	1	24		107	0	407	0	160	4	1075	2	102	0	9	6	1860
	1	24	ŏ	107	ő	407	Ö	160	6	1081	2	104	0	9	8	1868
ptem	her															
Pecini	1	24	0	107	0	407	ő	160	5	1086	1	105	1	10	7	1875
		24	0	107	ŏ	407		160	10	1096	3	108	i	11	14	1889
		16	Ŏ	107	ĭ	408	ŏ	160	4	1100	2	110	1	12	8	1897
		24		107	0	408	ň	160	- ;	1107	3	113	0	12	10	1907
		24	0	107	0	408	ő	160	3	1110	Ô	113	ī	13	4	1911
;	i -	23.5	0	107	ŏ	408	0	160	5	1115	0	113	0	13	- 5	1916
	<u> </u>	23.5	- 0	107	0	408	- 0	160	- 1	1118	0	113		15	- 5	1921
		<u> </u>	0	107	Y	409	0	160	- 4	1122	1	114	2	17	- A	1929
<u>}</u>		<u> 24</u> 24	0	107	0	409	0	160		1126		115	2	19	7	1936
<u> </u>	-	24	0	107	- · 0	409	- 0	160	5	1131		116	2	21		1944
		24	0		0		0	160	4	1135		117	0	21		1949
				107	<u>v</u>	409		160		1140		118		22	-	1957
		24	<u>0</u>	107		410	0	100		1142		118		23	<u>n</u> _	1960
		20	0	107	Q	410	0	160		1143	0_	118		25		1963
		24	0	107	0	410	0	160		1143		118	; 1	29		1967
<u> </u>		24	0	107	<u>0</u>	410	0	160 160	0	1143	0	118		30		1968
		2 <u>4</u> 24	<u> </u>	107	0_	410	0	160		1143	0	118	3	33	2	1971
			0	107	0	410 410	0	160	0	1143	0	118	0	33	0	1971
3	!_	24	0		0										0	
	1	20	0	107	0	410 _	0	160	0	1143	0	118	0_i	33		12/1

Table EC-9. Continued.

ATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0 <u>K</u>	SOCKE	YE	PI	NK	CHL	IM	<u>CO</u> H	10	MISCELLA	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SF	CATCH ECIES
	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
eptem	oer			′												
0	1	24	0	107	0_	410	0	160 160	0	1143	0	118 118	0	33 33	Q	1971 1971
1	1	14.5	0	107	0	410	0	160	0	1143	0	118	0	33	Ò	1971
									———i							
									-							
						,			I						-	
		,							1							
											- 1					
						-										
									i							
														•		
															_	
															_	
											i					
											<u> </u>					
		_			-				——— 							
														-		
																
																
									- 1							
											1					
					·											
										· · · · · ·						

Table EC-10. Curry Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINC	00K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	IK	<u>CH</u>	UM		10	MISCELL	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	CATCH ECIES
luna	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
<u>June</u> 15		24	0	0	0	n	ō		0	0		Ó			0	. 0
16		24	6	6	ó	0	0	0	0	0	- ×	0		<u>_</u>	7	<u> </u>
17		22	6	12	Ö	0	0	<u>ŏ</u> _	0	0	<u> </u>	0	ö		6	13
18	i	12	8	20	Ŏ	0	— <u> </u>	0	ŏ	0	ŏ	- 0	<u>0</u>	- 1-	8	21
19	1	24	19	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	21	42
20	1	24	11	50	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0		0	3	11	53
21	1	24	8	58	0	0	0	0_	0	Ö	, Q	0	0	3	8	53 61
22	1	22	8	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Q	3	. 8	69
23	1	24	17	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	17	86
24		21	12	95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	.12	98
25		24	13	108	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	3_	13	111
26	!	22	9	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	120 132
27	!	24	12	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	
28	!	23	6	135	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	Q_	3_	6_	138
29	ļ	24	4	139	<u> </u>	<u>o</u>	<u> </u>	0	<u>0</u>	0	0	<u>ō</u> _	<u>0</u>	3	4_	142
30	1	24	0	139	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	142
July																
1	1	24		141	ō	0	0	0	0	0	.0	0	0	3	2	144
2	\dashv	24	A	145	0		0	 0	0	0	0	<u>v</u>		3		148
3		24	6	151	0	<u> </u>	0	n	0	<u> </u>	0	0		3	6	154
4		22	5	156	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	0	Ď	3	5	159
5	1	16	1	157	0	Ö	Ô	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	3	1	160
6	1	24	Ô	157	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	3	0	160
7	1	24	Ö	157	0	. 0	0	Ō	0	0.	0	0	0	3	0	160
8	1	24	6	_163	.0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō.	0	0	3	6	166
9		24	1	164	Q_	. 0	0	0	0	0	Ó.	0	0	3		167
10	L	6	Q	164	Q	0	0	0_	Ω	. 0	0	0	0	3		167
11-171/	0	0		164		0		0		0		0		3		
18	l	24	0	164	0		0		0	0	0_	0	0	3_		_167
19	!	14		165	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	!.	168
20		24 24		166	0	0	0	0_			0		0	3	2	170
21	<u>l</u>	24	2	168	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	3	3_	173

^{1/} Fishwheel inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-10. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	0K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	IK	CHL	JM	COI	10	MISCELLA	ANEOUS	TOTAL ALL SF	CATCH PECIES
July	WIJEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	ÇUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DATLY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM ,
<u> 341y</u> 22	i	24	<u>i</u>	169	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	Ō			176
22 23		24	0	169	4	4	0	<u>_</u>		3		0	- 0	3	- 1 -	175 179
24	i	24	1	170	- 6	10	0	0	-	<u>3</u>	0	0	0	3	8	181
25	 -	23	- 0	170		13	0	0	2	- 4 -	 	0	0	3		192
26	1	24	0	170	i	14	0	0	0	6	<u>v</u>	0	0	3	1	193
27	1	24	i	171	2	16	ő	Ŏ	1 1	- 7	<u>ŏ</u>		0	3		197
28	i	19	0	171	5	21	Ť	<u>i</u> _	o l	7	ŏ	Ŏ	0	3	6	203
29	1	24	1	172	i	22	1	2	6	. 13	0	0	0	3	9	212
30	1	20	1	173	1	23	0	2	3	16.	0	0	Ō	3	5	217
31		24	0	173	5	28	5	7	10	26	0	0	0	3	20	237
												•				
<u>Augyst</u>																
Augyst 12/ 22/	<u> </u>	21.5	0	173	22	30	4	11	1	27	0	0	0	3	7	244
24/	0	0		173	=	30				27		0		3		244
3	0	0		173		30	- : 1			27		0		3	- -	244
5		3.5	0_	173	0	30	0			28	0	0	0	. 3	<u>l</u>	245
6		24 21	0	173		33	11 7	22	10	38	<u></u> _		<u>0</u>		25	270
7					3	<u>36</u> 41		29 42	10	48 54	0		<u>0</u>		21 26	291
<i></i>	-	23		175	- 7		13		7		- 1		— 			317
8		23.5	- 6	<u> 177</u> 177	2	45 47	18	60	0	61	2			4	35 5	352
0		24		177		48	2	61 63	2	61			0	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	357
1		24	<u>-</u>	177		49		66	2	66	0	p	0	- 4	0	363 370
2		24	. 0	177	0	49	0	66	- 3	70	<u>_</u>	B	—— <u>Y</u>			375
3	i -	24	0	177	0	49	2	68	0	70	—— Y -1		- ;	6		379
4	i	6	Ö	177	0	49	- 1	69	Ŏ	70	0	- 4	Ó	 	- 1	380
52/	0	0		177	-	49		69	<u>-</u>	70	- 1	- 6 -		6		380
68.	0	0		177	-	49		69	-	70	-1	9	:-	6		380
14 2/ 2/ 62/ 7-	0	0	-	177	-	49	- 1	69		70		9	-	6	_	380
8	1	3	0	127	1	50	0_	69	2	72		10	0	6	4	384
9	1	_24	0	177	0	50	0	69	1	73			ō	6	ż	386
20	1	22	0	177	Ö	50	0	69	ii]	74	Ó	ii .	0	6	i	387
21	1	24	0	177	Ö	50	0	69	0	74	0	11.	0	6	0	387

 $[\]underline{2}$ / Fishwheels inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-10. Continued.

DATE	NO. OF	WHEEL	CHINO	00K	SOCKE	YE	PIN	IK	СН	JM		10	MISCELLA	NEOUS	TOTAL ALL SP	
lugust	WHEFLS	HOURS	DAILY	çим.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM,	DAILY	CUM.	DAILY	CUM .
22	1	24	0	177	0	50	0	69	6	80	0	11	0	6	6	393
23	1	24	0	_ 177	1	51	0	69	2	82	4	15	0	6	7	400
4	i	24	0	177	0	51	0	69	4	86	2	17	0	6	6	406
5 6 7	1	24 24	0	177	2	53	Q	69	3	89	2	19	Ö	6		413
6		24	0	177	0	53	0	69	6	95]	20	0	6	ĵ	420
	1	24	<u>0</u>	177	0	53	0	69	3	98	2	22	0	6	5	425
3	1	24	0	177	0	53	0	69	3	101	9	31	0	. 6	12_	437 451
2]	24	0	177	1	54	0	69	2	103	10	41			14	451
0	1	24	0		0	54	0_	69	2_	105	4	45	0		6	457
<u> </u>	1	24	Q	177	0	54	0	69	0	105	4	49	1	8	5	462
				_												
<u>epteml</u>	<u>ber</u>															4 7 4
		24	0	177	3	57	0	69	6	111	3	52	<u>0</u>	8	12	474
(24	0	177	2	5 <u>9</u> 59	<u>ŏ</u>	69	8	119	2	54	0		12	486
<u>}</u>		23	0		0		0	69		120	2	56		<u> </u>	4	490
	- 	18	0	177	0	59	0	69		121	2	58	0	9	3	4 <u>93</u> 499
<u>. </u>	!	24	0	177	<u> </u>	59	0	69	2	_123	2	60		<u> </u>	- 6	602
}		24	0	177	<u>0</u>	59	0	69	3	126		6]	0	11		503 507
		24	0	177	0	59	0	69	2	128		62		13	4	508
3		20	0	177	—— <u>Ş</u> -	<u>59</u>	0	69	<u>0</u>	128	<u>0</u>	62			- ;	510
)		2 <u>4</u> 20	0			5 <u>9</u> 60	0	69 69		129	0	62 62	0	14	- 6	512
	 ;	20	. 0	177			8-1				- 6		2	17	- 4	
		24	0	177	0	60 60		69	- 0	130	<u> </u>	62		- 17	3	515
		24	0	177	Ö	60	0	69 69	0	132		63	—— Y —	18		518 519
				177	0			69	0					18	 	519
	ļ	24	0		0	60	0		 -	132	8	63	- 0	18		520
	—- ¦ ——-	24	0	177 177		60 60		69 69		133 133	0	63	$\frac{0}{0}$	18	0	520
		24 24	0	177	0	60	0	69	0	<u> 133</u>	0	63	3	18	, ,	520
		<u>24</u>	—— <u>ö</u> —	177		60	0	- 69	0	133	<u> </u>	63	— 8 —	18	0	520
 -				177	0	60	0	69	0	133	i	64		18	<u>v</u> _	521
<u></u>		24	0	177	0	60	- 0	69	0	133		64	<u>v</u>	18	- 0	521
	_	19	0	177	0	60	0	69	0	133	0	64	0	18	0	521
		l a	Q	<u> </u>	U	60	0 1	09				04				721

APPENDIX ED MEAN HOURLY FISHWHEEL CATCH RATE CURVES





Figure ED-1. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



15.0

Figure ED-2. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-3. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-4 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-5 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-6. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-7 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-8 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-9. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-10 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-11 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure ED-12. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EE SECTOR DISTRIBUTION OF SIDE SCAN SONAR COUNTS

						·	SI	ECTOR						_
	DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
<u>]</u> /	June					, ;								
	27 28 29 30	20 18 21 59	13 3 12 8	5 8 25 10	. 3 7 0 5	0 4 0 1	4 4 0 0	5 6 1 0	9 7 1 2	12 5 0 9	12 11 4 13	7 19 6 6	16 9 6 11	116 101 76 124
	July								•					
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	84 108 83 76 74 85 127 88 62 283 1618 496 749 3301 4558 6663 5906 2415 4412 2060 1391 1306 906 2031 1354 1821 2735 2171 1573 646	14 6 12 10 14 13 21 25 11 85 119 108 638 3633 5345 5221 3626 3023 3264 1941 2311 1954 1454 2185 1261 1201 1620 1013 344 363	26 5 3 0 2 1 6 17 28 156 109 51 506 3520 5768 4425 3897 3211 2668 2350 3148 1938 1764 2285 1464 1752 2269 1433 539 466	11 0 0 0 0 0 1 3 6 97 16 32 126 1686 4145 2901 3457 2049 1028 1005 2251 1004 1216 1733 1284 1529 1777 1228 672 462	0 0 0 0 0 3 0 36 0 4 6 407 1831 871 1021 669 434 421 1168 498 881 1034 775 678 803 898 397 356	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 23 0 0 74 433 168 179 118 92 259 593 246 488 430 423 215 389 500 237 258	0 4 2 0 0 0 2 8 31 178 0 178 0 37 214 187 199 151 250 824 1924 1081 2465 2186 1624 1298 1599 1819 1411 791	9 3 1 3 1 5 17 38 290 0 9 0 36 133 112 131 150 147 578 1532 752 2446 2019 1521 1143 1323 1512 1254 771	8 11 1 2 4 8 5 23 43 302 0 4 5 5 74 61 105 130 69 349 981 547 1942 1854 1415 963 995 1135 814 622	40 10 4 9 19 53 38 67 92 453 0 16 34 326 253 213 479 287 170 501 1464 1222 2157 2306 1626 1098 1173 1338 1046 590	40 21 25 29 34 63 57 80 109 493 22 22 39 348 582 438 665 929 513 905 1528 1113 2266 2584 1773 1155 1114 1290 1113 825	13 42 42 53 44 68 25 70 1111 517 21 36 33 101 736 469 1073 1772 1139 1290 2384 1390 3034 3490 2790 1987 2506 1804 1755 1157	246 211 173 180 193 292 288 402 538 2913 1907 790 24136 13,519 24,072 21,731 20,730 14,904 14,186 12,483 20,675 13,051 21,019 24,137 17,310 14,840 18,303 16,141 11,155 7,307

1/ 60 foot substrate deployed

ш

Table EE-1. Continued.

						SE	CTOR					 -	_
DATE	1	2	3	4	<u>;</u>	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
 August													
l 2	254 1009	129 249	147 283	147 162	87 55	78 91	358 125	39 4 82	282 56	357 97	365 109	585 129	3,183 2,447
3	984	504	504	242	770 '	14	31	71	56	.96	90	1335	2.787
4	590	822	1041	718	26 8	122	334	276	149	289	372	533	5.514
5	416	475	836	877	483	263	728	649	489	475	611	882	7,184
6	151	230	281	280	200	177	465	400	334	372	409	653	. 3,952 2,771
7	197	118	130	107	99 50 36	94	297	267	245	337	342	548	2,771
3	196	88	112	60	50	38	140	178	109	293	278	273	1,815
9	107	139	146	74	36	18	136	73	97	119	135	195 11 5	1,275
)	182 307	159	173 · 151	80	30	7 3	65	62 76	47 39	45 48	63 131	142	1,028 1,278
] 2	180	1 9 8 142	154	7 8 78	39 35	3 7	6 6 80	76 45	32	49	67	117	986
3	399	81	58	51	14	2	33	22	14	8	34	38	· 754
•	119	101	96	40	16	7	18	12	12	7	30	48	506
;	85	81	61	29	13	3	9	2	18	9	18	41	369
5	101	76	34	33	19	ŏ	ć	2	8	ó	ő	61	340
Ź	34	32	66	33	9	11	21	21	25	16	40	73	381
3	80	31	59	39	33	21	89	71	41	28	64	149	705
9	106	76	59 36	26 26 30	20	20	125	54	139	166	155	185	1,108
)	107	45	70	26	22	8	52	62	84	77	151	188	892
ŀ	162	105	40	30	19	16	46	64	52	145	220	200	1,099
2	7 2	47	41	13	9	4	40	57	62	43	146	113	647
3	176	73	18	9	8	0	33	34	27	67	88	72	605
1	100	59	27	10	10	2	25	33	27	42	113	156	604
5	96	34	19	3	Q	10	3	4	13	54	65	64	365 363
5	134	62	13 38	. 7 8	7 0	1	9	2	5 9	14 32	57 53	47 86	423
7 3	130 93	60 27	38 15	8	2	Ö	6	2	5	13	24	50	242
)	56	12	13		í	0	ĭ	ໍ້າ	9	12	9	35	153
Ó	43	7	1	õ	ó	ő	ò	ò	3	3	25	17	99
í	45	6	17	Ö	ŏ	Ö	ő	ő	ŏ	ĭ	ő	2	71
eptemb	er												
	59	24	11	. 2	0	0	0 '	6	1	0	1	4	108
	45	35	17	ō	1	0	0	Ó	0	1	1	ĺ	101
	20	47	17	1	1.	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	107
TAL 5	6,478	45,429	48,942	33,375	15,108	6,364	22,431	19,687	15,625	21,125	25,202	37,041	346,807
RCENT	16.3	13.1	14.1	9.6	4.3	1.8	6.5	5.7	4.6	6.1	7.2	10.7	

			·		<u>".</u>	SECT	OR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	. 5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
1/ June 1/ 27	20	20			. 0	0			1	7	2	8	66
2/	20 2 2	20 21	8 0	0 2	0	0	0 2	0 0	Ö	2	າ້າ	3	. 63
28 29	94	21	, 50	24	7	2	6	14	10	14	73	55	370
30	71	36	55	23	22	6	12	ii	26	31	. 47	89	. 429
July					98 98						;		
1	134	69	72	41	24	17	10	29	28	45	- 55	60	584
2	250	219	216	78	-38	15	38	472	104	147	206	146	1929
3	276	181	178	39	7	ï	. 20	40	79	80	85	125	1109
4	201	100	54 15	12	1	0	17	14	10	51	38	52	550
$\frac{2}{2}/\frac{5}{6}$	293	106	15	1	1	0	0	0	0	5	21	6	448
$\frac{2}{3}$, 6	-	231	40	7	. 0	0	3	14	11	25	15	31	377
	-	136	44	0	., 2	0	2	3	7	. 27	28	24	279
8	101	26 53	18 33	0	0	0	0	5	.11	12	39	19	231
9	128	53	33	24	12	1	41	68	120	247	305	326	1358
10	603	607	423	167	60	25	207	271	486	699	821	893	5262 6014
11 12	3900	910	280	112 661	12 55	20 0	37 315	106 51	254 6	161 73	183 103	39 131	1779
13	223 7286	140 6549	21 3030	609	51	. 302	216	240	61	434	576	548	19 902
13	6014	6446	5692	1111	73	23	228	291	202	443	694	826	19,902 22,043
15	5671	4908	4199	609	32	114	126	108	105	321	409	368	16,970
16	5 356	3615	1581	122	. 3	0	0	0	4	5	.05	23	10,718
17	2277	1023	513	17	Ö	ŏ	õ	ŏ	ò	Ŏ	Ŏ	0	3,830
18	2860	1221	516	10	Ô	Ō	0	Q	0	0	0	0	4,607
19	2214	937	465	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3,632
20	3271	1660	649	71	5	1	0	0	0	7	16	11	5,691
21	4158	3688	386	. 28	: 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	44	8,304 7,182
22	4153	2707	275	12	0	0	2	0	j	.1	2	29	7,182
23	4776	1832	218	7	6	4	55	419	4	15	29	44	7,409 4,707
24	3231	1070	115	15	. 0	55	I	2	I	33	72	112	4,/0/
25	2307	645	70	3		22	0	0	0	27 6	68 28	115 34	3,262 1,927
26 27	1390 1455	379 382	44 54	2 3	. 0	0 38	41 22	0 0	3	83	47	34 39	2,124
28	1809	579	54 116	12	6	38 85	9	5	10	173	180	171	3,164
29	884	212	42	5	1	05 1	10	9	19 82	289	564	589	2,698

^{1/ 60} foot substrate deployed 2/ Sector 1 all debris blocks

m

Table EE-2. Continued.

					 ,								
						SEC	TOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
July	70 2	139	26	7	:		0	8	A7	240	5 55	697	2431
30 31	690	129	26 26	7 2	0	0	9 10	7	47 53	249	545	769	2431 2480
					•								
August	0.74	45	20	_	F.		•		4.0	166	43.0		1610
2	274 363	65 54	20 7	5 1	0	1	8 56	38 0	46 0	165 187	413 37	575 94	1610 801
3	284	58 58	107	Ö	Ö	ò	27 .	5	Ö	0	0	0	481
4	233	36	2	ŏ	ĭ	ĭ	61	37	ŏ	22	32	50	475
5	35 7	57	13	2	0	0	0	13	3	71	147	139	802
6	213	43	5	0	j	0	1	2	_4	58	135	112	574
/ 8	196 212	81	18	5 2	1	0	140	7	54 262	120	218 82	219 149	920
9	212	46 43	10 2	1	0	0 0	149 15	305 0	0	53 5	7	149	1271 307
10	136	10	0	ò	Ŏ	0	0	0	Ŏ	ő	ó	ŏ	146
11	212	58	ă	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	3	ŏ	ĭ	3	5	2	288
12	285	88	15	0	.0	0	0	0	0	4	14	6	412 633
, 13	522	71	5	4	ø	0	5	5	5	3	10	3	633
13 / 14 / 15 / 16 / 17 / 18	-	-	-	-	; _	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
/ 16	-		-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-		-	_
. 17	116	36	20	2	0	0	57	43	43	156	_	_	473
18	71	69	36	2	Ö	Ö	25	42	26	152	-	-	473
19	236	159	136	16	0	0	26	121	130	171	413	827	2235
20	214	156	146	50	10	3	22	69	147	198	394	375	. 1784
21 22	139 168	130	180	72 24	24	9 0	34	30 12	80	207	257	393	1555
23	144	86 246	120 106	34 6	2	0	14 5	6	40 36	129 6 5	9 0 9 5	139 86	834 798
/ 23 24	-	216	239	56	ő	Ô	10	20	10	97	133	140	921
25	195	199	111	47	· 7	. 0	7	14	6	40	34	41	70 1
26	143	99	<i>7</i> 1	16	3	0	29	0	3	9	1	5	379
27	107	104	15	0	· 0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	235
28	120	97	15	1	0	l	0	0	0	0	0	0	234
29 30	123 53	55 31	17 3	0 0	- <mark>0</mark>	0	0	0 0	0 0	Ü	0 0	0 0	196 87
31	42	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101

^{3/} No data, electronics pulled due to high water 4/ Sectors 11 and 12 are all debris blocks 5/ Sector 1 all debris blocks

Table EE-2. Continued.

m

						SI	ECTOR						
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Septe	mber		·										
1	59	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	59
2	37	21	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70
3	63	11	21	2	o :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	97
OTAL ERCENT	72,366 41.6	43,481 25.0	20,980 12.0	4,180 2.4	479 .3	748 .5	2,004 1.2	2,956 1.7	2,682 1.5	5,877 3.4	8,344 4.8	9,784 5.6	173,881

m

Table EE-3. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, south bank, Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

						SEC	TOR		,				-
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	.11	12	TOTAL
 /June													
30	58	31	50	12	0	0	2	34	38	43	15	12	295
July					»								
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	108 152 146 92 82 119 90 59 125 2083 1663 1714 1376 1854 1395 3559 2526 2276 1627 1467 1475 2276 2638 1988	76 53 91 47 30 10 12 31 47 1602 2333 3911 3555 5317 5046 3953 2282 2304 2249 2857 3234 4105 3400 2659	50 11 12 6 2 0 2 5 9 480 858 2780 3813 6280 6666 1639 745 1128 2072 2338 3178 4246 3235 2429	7 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 3 44 15 233 517 944 1043 85 22 31 144 283 495 685 570 554	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 8 0 15 88 193 169 1 0 2 16 41 53 70 87	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 19 5 1 0 0 0 6 11 83 0 46 209 306 346 4 0 0 11 75 65 83 70	25 10 12 5 3 1 0 4 14 44 0 22 216 198 217 0 0 1 13 49 32 53 101 97	15 27 47 0 1 1 4 5 20 41 0 14 228 203 120 0 0 2 24 35 27 55 115	19 67 62 25 5 10 38 13 21 51 0 49 224 169 128 0 1 2 10 27 11 56 86 107	35 37 49 41 23 29 4 12 25 78 13 15 150 223 63 0 0 2 10 19 12 57 75	25 51 59 40 16 31 23 29 43 127 0 44 219 181 75 2 0 14 14 64 33 66 82 132	377 427 483 259 162 201 173 164 318 4641 4882 8843 10,604 15,885 15,291 9,243 5,576 5,762 6,190 7,259 8,620 11,768 10,477 8,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	2103 1346 1195 1962 1244 1399 545	1970 1758 1109 1341 884 974 454	1701 1316 709 746 532 512 501	300 197 113 199 126 140 79	46 10 25 21 19	5 0 1 2 3 5 4	73 16 43 106 110 135 85	77 16 57 72 141 134 83	102 27 40 135 153 186 197	138 22 42 63 109 167 173	50 27 19 59 87 130	82 36 69 175 169 318 157	6,647 4,767 3,407 4,889 3,579 4,119 2,416

^{1/ 60} foot substrate deployed

Table EE-3. Continued.

		_			1	SEC	TOR						 .
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
August													:
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 / 13 / 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	954 700 434 267 300 216 212 157 184 181 157 201 - 164 240 336 199 177 255 200 210 189 167 137 194 148 135 104 81 43	739 863 359 358 265 172 138 131 140 172 106 103 173 146 198 155 162 87 118 87 81 64 70 65 89 39 47 11 21	496 443 126 166 159 165 135 64 50 132 129 78 17 53 75 108 43 41 19 65 33 31 18 21 14 22 7 7	100 67 10 29 44 21 18 22 8 27 33 15 2 3 14 21 18 13 8 14 17 7 9 2 5 7 3 1	8630422304000002131725211400000	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	147 45 5 12 19 32 33 16 0 4 8 3 0 10 17 14 14 17 27 36 23 11 11 9 12 8 4	157 64 1 17 46 43 17 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 7 24 22 31 34 48 12 19 10 14 8 6 1 5 0 0	246 38 0 15 39 59 49 11 4 1 0 0 3 0 16 54 27 27 47 19 6 4 10 17 16 5 0 0	233 38 3 22 67 74 48 2 4 0 0 3 20 6 10 41 30 14 67 72 56 13 11 21 36 20 18 3 0 0	148 31 12 18 66 38 27 17 1 0 10 15 69 28 61 110 101 54 8 23 27 24 28 14 0	237 47 8 41 77 45 44 21 9 2 1 6 23 15 24 43 74 105 95 181 42 21 17 18 16 38 12 9	3,476 2,342 961 945 1,086 869 723 455 400 523 591 412 172 260 505 814 745 675 652 944 543 358 356 342 435 256 204 122 109 53
Septembe	er												
1 2	69 73	13 18	3 15	0 0	0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	86 106

^{2/} Sector one invalid due to malfunction caused by extreme high water.

Table EE-3. Continued.

m m

						\$E	CTOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Septem	ber										, ,		
3 4 5 6 7	39 65 63 98 98	29 21 19 10 18	6 5 3 6 3	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 9 0 0	74 91 86 115 122
TOTAL 4	18,189	63,193	50,817	7,382	1,027	135	2,590	2,338	2,770	2,870	2,490	3,652	187,453
PER6EN1	25.7	33.7	27.1	3.9	.6	.1	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.9	

Table EE-4. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, north bank, Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

-			,								·		<u> </u>
						SEC	TOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
June 29 30	27 38	11 11	1 3	0	0 0	0	5 5	13 25	23 25	26 40	38 35	55 122	199 304
July l 2/2 2/3	67 73 -	36 30	14 14	2 2 -	5 0	4 0	8 6 -	8 3 -	24 57	69 194 -	96 . 150	79 190	392 719
2/3 2/4 2/5 2/6 7	- 38 90 55	31 11 9	- 0 2 0	- 0 0 0	. 0. 0 0	- 0 0 0	- 0 0	- 0 0 2	0 0 14	- 0 8 112	0 12 82	113 122 64	182 245 239
9 10 11 12 13	28 123 130 58 165	3 5 6 2	2 3 13 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	59 1 0	41 3 1 0	130 2 0 0	263 137 151 61 174
14 15 16 17	429 452 373 402	10 0 1 36	3 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 7 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 0	3 7 0	2 4 2 0	0 1 0 0	451 470 377 438
18 19 20 21 22	272 219 185 212 279	3 2 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	1 6 13 16	0 1 27 13	1 3 18 5	277 233 245 248
23 24 3/25 3/26 27	393 451 581 2196 1678	2 7 35 180 115	1 0 11 63 59	0 0 5 13 3	0 1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 2 2 3	0 0 5 2	2 5 9 3 7	35 42 72 44 19 16	34 44 46 48 23 20	47 49 82 48 10 12	398 539 668 782 2516

^{1/} 60 foot substrate deployed $\overline{2}/$ Sonar count off from 7/3 through 2000 hours on 7/16 $\overline{3}/$ New location

Table EE-4. Continued.

						SEC	CTOR						,
DATE	1	2	3	4	5 .	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
July					:			_					
28	996	98	85	8	0	0	2	1	.3	25	15	18	1251
29	642 1302	104	57	6	1	0	2	4 2	12	32 81	30	18	908
30 31	1157	115 87	79 58	3	Ó. O	0 0	3 2	3	17 19	46	60 31	35 12	1700 1418
31	1137	0/	36	3	,-	U	2	3	19	40	31	12	. 1416
August					٠;								
August 1	433	5 6	54	3	0	0	0	3	5	10	19	23	616
2	316	30	28	2	ŏ	0	ì	3	1	7	2	23 5	615 395
3	498	51	14	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ò	Õ	i	Ź	3	ĭ	575
4	588	31	16	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ĭ	ż	4	ĭ	5	648
5	433	13	12	Õ	ŏ	Ŏ	ĭ	ż	5	28	1Ò	14	648 · 518 307
6	258	18	iī	Ŏ	Õ.	Ö	Ò	Ō	5	5	i	9	307
7	232	3 5	7	3	Ō.	0	1	1	3	7	5	14	308
8	176	21	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	4	231
9	326	41	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	379
10	383	26	. 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	417
11	393	48	16	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	459 459 145
/ 12	415	33	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	459
/ 12 / 13 / 14	-	128 105	17	Ü	0 0	0	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	145
15	115	5	30 6	0	Ö	0	0 0	0	0	0	3 0	0 0	138 127
16	119	25	8	0	Õ	Õ	0	5	Ô	0	6	0	163
17	267	24	13	Ŏ	ŏ	ň	ĭ	ĭ	ĭ	2	ŏ	0	309
18	177	116	69	16	ŏ	ĭ	ġ	1Ö	17	28	33	41	517
19	186	127	53	5	4	4	ģ	6	3	73	58	67	309 517 595
20	400	103	46	7	3	1	2	3	10	58	69	67	76 9
21	137	29	24	16	0	0	13	3	5	11	45	94	377
22	309	51	4	3	2	0	6	7	6	22	2 2	19	451 274
23	199	33	9	3	1	0	4	7	7	4	7	0	274
24	169	33	12	0	0	0	1	1	0	5	14	13	248
25	172	10	7	ļ	0	.8	0	0	1	5	6	35	245
26 27	104	10	2	O	0	19	0	0	0	4	7	16	162
28	113 15	27 7	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	Ü	3	24	168 28 27 22
29	19	,	0	U	Q 0	0 0	0 '	0	U	ı	0	5	28
30	21	1	0	0	Ö	0	0 0	0 0	3 0	2 0	0 0	0 0	27
30	41	•	U	U	Ü	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	. 22

^{4/} Sector 1 invalid due to malfunction caused by extreme high water

Table EE-4. Continued.

					2.	SEC	TOR			150			
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
August 31	8	2	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	12
September 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	40 37 22 19 13 27	18 8 4 0 6 8 4	0 5 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 11 5	58 50 26 19 20 49
TOTAL 20 Percent),263 71.5	2,244 7.9	978 3.5	111 .4	.18 .1	38 .1	92 .3	122 .4	314 1.1	1,272 4.5	1,176 4.2	1,709 6.0	28,337

Ш

Ш

_

				•	· · ·						٠,		· .
			<u> </u>		15	SECT	OR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4 .	5,	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
June 23	400	84	64	76	32	4	11	6	O	0	0	18	695
24	133	78	64 52 33 18	76 9	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	695 283 193 62 42 68 15
25	91 13	51 26 25	33	5 5	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	8 0	0 0	5 0	193
20 27	13	26 25	18	2	2	0	0	1	0	Ü	0	0	42
28	44	9	7	2	0.	Ō	3	Ò	3	Ö	Ō	Õ	. 68
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	11 41	1	0	0	0	0	3	Õ	0	0	0	0	15
30	41	0	0	0	10	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	59
					' 4								•
July					4								,
1	11	3	8	0	2:	6	1	0	0	5	0	0	. 36
2	15	17	9	0	O	0	Ó	0	0	j	O	0	. 36 42
3	29 29	3	10 13	j ,	0.	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	43 60
5	68	18 47	13 18	U 1	0	0 0	0	Ô	0 0	0	0	0	134
6	31	47 20 12	Ĭ	i	ŏ	Õ	ž	ŏ	0	ŏ	õ	Ō	61 . 60
7	24	12	5	2	0	1	1	3	2	1	2	7	. 60
8	8 15	0 0	1	2 19	0 17	0 12	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 11	11
.10	37	Ö	ŏ	ó	ó	Ö	Ö	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ō	14	79 51
11	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	` -
12	- 0	- 0	<u> </u>	0	0	- 0	0	0	0	5	0	- 0	5
14	19	4	9	6	ŏ.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ĭ	3	42
10 11 12 13 14	98	19	Ō	0	O.	0	0	0	0	Ō	Q	.0	117 204
16	122	37 87	9 57	1 2	ņ	0 0	0	2 0	12	3 5	4 0	14 0	204
18	111 232	161	184	31	4	0	0 2	ĭ	0 0	0	Ŏ	2	262 617
.17 ,18 18	908	945	247	22 52	•	-	=	•	•	-	-	_	2122
19	2655	2395	784	52	•								5886

Ш Ш

3

^{1/ 20} foot substrate deployed 2/ No data electronics pulled due to high water 3/ 12 sectors through 1300 hour 4/ Substrate divided into 4 counting sectors at 1400 hour

Table EE-5. Continued.

						SECT	FOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
July					:						,	-	5000
20 21	2968	2368	576	70	;								5982
21	2912	2132	603	69	•								5716 7370
22	3054	3286	916	114	,*:								6372
23	2754	2627	823 598	168 177									6372 5933
2 4 25	2829 3781	2329 2785	589	198									7353
26 26	3/81	2133	390	114	·								5783
27	2669	2391	644	202	'n,								5906
28	3694	3395	1103	374									8566
29	5502	4322	1422	203	•								8566 11449
30	6131	4814	1362	173									12480
31	5984	4654	1309	284	; :::						;		12231
					*:								
August					<u> </u>								
l	6285	2691	823	132	16								9931
ż	298	11	823 0	0									309
3	1653	105	16	4	 !								1778
4	3216	332	57	0	· ·								3605
5	5129	629	138	3	-								5899
6	4634	971	286	3	, e 3,						:		5894
7	3101	1780	575	8	• •						:		5464
8	2387	1285	428	16									4116
9	1103	714	201	13 12							3		2031
10	1027	342	103	12	144								1484
11	1247	257	109	4	1.41								1617
12	1411	209	92	8	\hat{r}_{i}						:		1720 11 43
13 14	967	128	45 24	· 3 2									742
15	653 383	63 30	7	0	· ,								420
16	298	24	5	Ŏ	:						•		327
17	734	157	4	ĭ	27								896
18	2607	480		ò									3128
19	2849	457	41 25	ĭ									3332
20	2414	279	12	Ö	1								2705
21	1202	100	4	0	:								1306
22	1060	120	4	Ō	:	,							1184 1523
23	1278	224	21 33	0	:						1		1523
24	1414	401	33	0	21						-		1848

_

Taboe EE-5. Continued.

					<u>;</u>	SEC	TOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4 ,	5	6	7	8	9	10	31	12	TOTAL
August											, 41		
25 26	1163	562	49	0	•.								1774
26	1199	5 48	40	3									1790
27	1017	496	28 8 22 25 16	3									1542
27 28	492	144	8	Ó	٠.								644
29	272	173	22	1	•								468
30	151	128	25	Ó	.23								304 356
31	161	179	16	Ō									35 6
•					4-*								
					:								
Septemb	per												
1	203	189	32 34 20 27	1	\mathcal{A}								425
2	253	190	34	3							,		480
3	356	204	20	1	2-								581
4	429	188	27	0	•								644
5	368	76	16	0									460
6	267	129	26	3	ê.								460 425 239 291 232 125
7	160	68	7	4	:;								239
Ŕ	183	91		i	• ;								291
9	163	91 51	16 17 8 25	i									232
10	84	33	Ŕ	ò									125
iĭ	114	38	25	ī	:								178
12	150	58	6	à									217
13	116	60	16	ă									196
14	92	60 51	19	Å									166
15	110	38	19 6	3									157
	•10	30	Ü	•	7.								•
TOTAL	103,840	56,059	14,882	2,464	· .								177,245
	r 58.6	31.6	8.4	1.4									

Table EE-6. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

					•	SECT	OR						
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	ıį	12	TOTAL
]/ June													
2 5 26	4	0	8	0	0 .	0	o	0	0	0	0	79	91
26	16	1	0	0 .	0.	0	0	0	9	3	5	19	58
27	3	2	1	1	0 -	0	2	2	0	0	0	20	31
28	29	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	_5	6	51
29 30	2	0	0	0 .	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	15	23	40
30	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	14
July													
1	7	3	2	o	0	0	0	0	3	20	3	18	56
ż	18	5	ĩ	ŏ	ŏ.	ĭ	ĭ	ŏ	ŏ	3	1Ž	iõ	51
3	22	6	ò	Õ	õ	ò	Ò	ĭ	2	ő	18	3	58
4	37	8	ğ	ĭ	ĭ	ŏ	ĭ.	12	5	ğ	3	8	94
5	20	9	í	Ò	o.	Ŏ	i	21 .	10	13	19	28	122
6	ĩĩ	6	i	2	Ō	Ö	2	6	12	13	10	5	68
7	14	3	i	ī	Ŏ:	Ö	Õ	í	7	16	7	17	67
8	20	2	0	Ó	0 ,	Ō	0	0	Ò	7	5	5	39
9	4	Ō	Ö	Ö	0 .	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	13
10	11	0	0	Ō	0 '	Ō	0	0	5	1	0	14	31
11	0	2	0	0	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
12	11	0	0	0	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
/ 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•
14	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	- .,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	-	-	-	-	- :	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	-	-	-	-	- ,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	~	-	-	-	- .	-	-	-	_	70	-	-	104
/ 19	72	16	24	0	0	0	ó	j j	0	72	o,	0	184
20	146	32	49	4	Ü	0	ı	0	0	0	!	0	233
21	82	18	10	3	0	Ü	3	10	0	2	ļ	1	130
22	785	541	509	112	4	Į,	97	56	37	19	8	8	2177
23	1379	832	901	185	19 30 :	/	95	56	42	22	8	10	3456
24	1324	844	939	220	30 .	2	109	53	38	39	16	10	3624
2 5	1044	845	993	162	26	1	76	35	26	21	5	6	3240

^{1/ 60} foot substrate deployed.

m m

^{2/} No data, electronics pulled due to high water

^{3/ 40} foot substrate deployed

Table EE-6. Continued.

					.'.								
					•	SEC	CTOR						
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
July					<i>;</i> ,								
27 2 28 5 29 8 30 5	227 261 507 858 586 367	445 481 746 1009 795 535	460 731 1034 1496 640 482	104 728 450 433 333 273	10 77 125 118 152 145	2 8 28 41 59 59	49 131 109 137 105 128	39 188 99 209 169 129	39 160 151 157 145 158	24 40 113 99 84 83	7 23 37 58 25 39	8 28 20 48 23 47	1414 2302 3419 4659 3116 2445
August				•	.*								
2 3 4 6 6 6 7 8 5 5 8 9 5 5 10 2 2 13 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	525 88 221 600 444 609 810 506 506 502 243 344 227 106 272 108 29 162 419 899 692 357 243 196	350 0 43 236 530 609 768 477 441 187 204 172 78 44 26 1 56 365 861 503 179 131 140 161 117 68	213 0 36 364 706 707 661 514 367 133 113 98 70 ,24 5 1 60 317 558 356 178 146 111 142 90 54	135 0 16 162 352 381 300 207 95 34 66 35 10 9 1 0 30 138 260 217 116 71 68 97 53	55 0 2 62 172 247 205 98 26 18 31 8 3 2 0 0 27 48 86 78 46 23 26 36 13	29 0 1 21 64 141 129 41 4 1 8 10 0 1 0 7 18 35 17 9 5 9 17 10 6	61 0 6 107 333 351 276 115 24 12 19 18 1 1 3 0 0 37 140 136 104 85 43 64 64 39	46 0 3 69 245 241 212 36 15 5 12 15 5 10 0 28 107 107 102 32 30 34 51	51 0 1 47 182 187 159 69 14 0 3 8 0 25 197 111 115 42 23 29 58 14 7	30 0 44 150 122 94 54 17 0 6 8 7 1 0 13 79 85 82 27 17 25 35 22 20	18 0 20 81 51 49 27 5 0 1 3 1 0 0 26 47 47 39 7 10 16 38 17	20 0 0 21 65 69 48 51 4 6 0 5 5 0 0 2 9 90 87 63 28 15 28 44 42 35	2533 88 329 1753 3324 3715 3711 2195 1594 644 807 286 360 140 33 480 1871 3272 2368 1106 757 746 1265 730 459

m .

Table EE-6. Continued.

					: :	SE	CTOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5 %	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Augus t	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				-3								
30 31	2 6 15	11 6	8 4	1	0.:	0 0	1	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	48 27
Septemb	ber												
] 2	46 42	19 21 33	4 20 31	5 3	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 1	0	0 11	1 0	75 98
} -	91 95 115	26	31 15 25	13 7	0 : 4	1	3 11 14	2	0 2 7	1	1 1 7	4	178 169 225
) }	86 45	28 39 32	13 4	14 10 3	2 :	1	6	0	2	11 1	2 0	15 1	187 94
} }	21 10	16 12	7 15	0 1	0	0 0	2 1	3 1	0 0	0	2	0 2	51 46
)	14 14	23 20 27	11 4	1 4	1	0	0 1	3 2	3 1	1	6 2	3 0	66 50
<u>.</u>	10 15	17	14 7	1 2	2 0	0 0 0	2 0 5	4	0 0 7	0	0	3	59 48
;	18 17	11 28	5 14	8	0 1	0	2	3	4	i	i	ò	55 79
OTAL 19 ERCENT	9,202 28.3	14,393 21.2	14,591 21.5	5,544 8.2	2,064 3.0	79 4 1.2	3,169 4.6	2,457 3.6	2,207 3.2	1,671 2.5	806 1.2	1,022 1.5	67,920

m .

Table EE-7. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank, Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

					•									_
					1	SEC	TOR	, Later 1					- ·	
DATE	1	2	3	4		6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL	— ;
June				•	٠.									٠.
1/ 20 21	2 9 27	1 5	1 4	0 0	0	0 0 0	7 4	0 0 2	3 4 2	0 2	0 1 0	14 2	25 31 55 48 27 27 38 31 20	,
22 23 24 25	13	8	5	2	0	Ö	3	2	ີ່ງ	2	5	7	48	,
24 25	10	3	į	0	.0	0	2 0	Ĭ	Ĭ	5	4	6 2	27	
26 27	12 9	7 10	3 7	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	1	0 0	0 0	5 0	5 2	5 2	38	
28	3 7	5 1	3 1	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 0	. 1	3 2	20 12	
29 30	7	i	Ò	Ö	Ō	Ŏ	Ö	Ö	Ö	ì	Ó	3	12	1.
July					٠.									·
1 2	3 12	1	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 8	4 29)
3	9	Ŏ	ő	ŏ	0	0	1 3	0 2	7	4	1 8	8	30 28 24 16	
5	5 0	3	Ö	Ö	0	Õ	1	ō	j	8	10	į	24	
6 7	3 11	1 2	1 0	0	0	0 0	0	0 0	3	3	6	6 3	28	;
8	1	0	0	0	Ò	0	1 0	2	0 0	0	0 0	4 0	8	} L
10	2	ő	ő	Ŏ	ő	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ő	Ö	Ö	ŏ	. 2	
2/ 11 2/ 12	-	-	-	-	Ţ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13 14	1 8	1 0	0 0	0 0	0 9	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 0) 0	0 0	4	
15 16	0	0	0	0	.0 .0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	1
17	0	Ö	0	0	O	0	0	Ŏ	0	ŏ	0	Ŏ 0	ğ	
18 19	3 7	0	1	0	.0 0	0	0 0	0 0	Ö		2	ŋ		
20 21 22	6 7	ე 6	2 1	1 0	. 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	1 1	1 0	3 0	11 14 15 32	
22	7 22	4	Ó	Ŏ	Ō	Ö	0	Ö	Ō	U	3	3	. 32	

^{1/ 60} foot substrate deployed

^{2/} No data, electronics pulled due to high water.

Table EE-7. Continued.

				3	SEC	TOR						-
DATE 1	2	3	4	5:	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
uly				•								
23 24 24 37 25 27 26 47 27 82 28 86 29 72 30 146 31 139	15 24 55 54 75 162 194 346 298	3 1 6 5 6 13 34 35 29	0 0 2 3 0 6 1 4 3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 3 0	0 0 2 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	46 63 93 109 165 268 305 531
ugus t	•			,**								
1 228 2 11 3 18 4 17 5 110 6 49 7 163 8 112 9 48 10 60 11 70 12 76 13 72 4 20 5 29 16 3 72 4 20 5 29 16 20 17 51 18 182 19 136 10 166 21 48 22 29 31 104 44 158 25 47	214 1 5 19 153 130 224 216 117 24 15 37 20 7 8 8 48 83 91 56 33 26 45 47 31 72	30 1 4 32 22 17 26 14 5 10 10 9 6 3 0 34 19 12 8 3 11	2 0 1 5 6 7 6 2 4 1 1 3 0 1 8 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 1 14 0 0 3 0 2 3 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000240000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 1 3 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 11 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 4 13 8 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	474 13 35 78 331 213 415 361 184 92 101 136 111 37 41 29 142 291 241 231 84 66 152 210 94 165 188

m • •

Table EE-7. Continued.

		SECTOR												
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL	
August					कः, युः									
28 29 30 31	53 31 50 42	66 63 67 42	31 35 16 23	11 6 .5 .8	4 1 2 0	1 0 0 0	2 5 1 3	1 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 2 1 3	1 0 2 0	10 1 3 3	181 145 145 121	
Septem	ber				€.								•	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	62 43 63 62 79 64 72 64 58 30 44 25	48 39 43 21 50 40 32 33 20 31 18 11	22 19 9 13 20 10 3 13 2 8 5 2	4 2 6 1 1 4 1 3 0 0 2 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 9 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	138 104 125 97 152 119 111 83 69 68 40 31 27	
14 15	17 7	6 7	3	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 1	2 0	27 18	
TOTAL	3,867	3,760	765	170	24	5	91	30	38	72	82	131	9,035	
PERCEN	₹ 42.8	41.6	8.5	1.9	.3	.1	1!0	.3	.4	.8	.9	1.4		

Table EE-8. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Şu Hydro Studies, 1981.

	SECTOR												
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
June 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29			40	0			3	0	0	7	0	7	57
22	0 26 16 10	0	40 9	2	(<u>0</u>	0 0	0	ľ	0	ó	ĭ	ń	57 71 50 45 46 28 38 17
23 24	20 16	31 13	13	ĭ	ň	Ö	ĭ	i	2	3	→ n	ň	50
.4) 5	10	16	8	i	ŏ	ŏ	À	ò	ě	ň	ň	ŏ	45
26	15	13	15	i	ň	ŏ	Ö	ň	ŏ	ĭ	ĭ	Ŏ.	46
20 27	8	10	6	'n	ő	ŏ	ĭ	ĭ	ŏ	i	ò	ĭ	28
2Ω	9	7	12	ŏ	Õ	ŏ	ò	ò	ŏ	ġ	. 4	À	38
20	14	3	0	ŏ	Ö	Ö	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ò	Ò	17
30	0	5	12 0 0	ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ĭ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ö	4	10
July					٠,	•	•	•	•	•	,	•	. 21
1	11	14	3	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	2	1	0	31
2	7	3	Į.	ļ	0	ı	!	i	0	4	6 4	U	21 15
3	3	1	b	Ų	0	0	!	3	0	0	,		13
4	5	Ų	2	,	Ų	Ų	,	U	Ü	1	Ų.	9	21
5	8	ļ.	4	U	ļ	1	Ü	Ü	9	1	7	0	23
0	,	5	2	U	0	0	0	,	0	, E	10	0	14 21 33 32 29 11
,	15	0	0	0	ŭ	0	Ö	ĭ	Ö	ņ	3	2	20
0	13	6	2	0	:0	ň	0	'n	ő	n	ň	Ď	ií
10	0	7	Ď	Ď	Ö	ň	ő	ŏ	ŏ	ñ	ň	Õ	';
10		,	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	, -
12	_	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	-	-
12	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	
14	_	_		_		_	-	-	-	_	_	-	_
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-
16	8	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	8
17	ž	Ŏ	4	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Õ	Ŏ	Ō	0	0	11
18	2	Õ	Ò	Õ	Õ	Ö	Ö	Ō	Ö	Ō	0	0	2
18 19	_		-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-

Ш m

^{1/ 60} foot substrate deployed
2/ No data, electronics pulled due to high water
3/ 40 foot substrate deployed
4/ No data, counter being repaired

Table EE-8. Continued.

Ш ш

2 2

					<u> </u>	SEC	TOR						_
DATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
July 20 21 22 23		7×8-1,>-8-1										toda and today	
20	0	5	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
21	3	4	0	0	Ò	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 7
22	31	14	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45 87 96 137 116
23	62	25	0	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
24 25 26	61	33	2	Õ	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	· 96
25	89	33 45	2	Ŏ	Ô	Ŏ	Ō	Ŏ	Ō	Ö	` o	ĭ	· 137
26	58	51	7	Ď	ň	Õ	Ŏ	Ď	Ŏ	õ	Ŏ	ò	116
27	26	40	8	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ð	ň	Ŏ	74
27 28 29 30 31	170	141	35	ñ	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	346
20	227	145	3)	ň	ň	Õ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	ŏ	346 403 608 673
20	331	240	34	2	ĭ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	608
21	332	24 0 291	31 34 48	2	ò	Ö	Ŏ	Ö	ŏ	ő	ŏ	ŏ	673
31	332	791	40	۷	Ų	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0/3
					:								
August													
1	324	199	29	1	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	553
2	-	155		<u>.</u>	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
3	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_			-	-
4	298	101	66	33	Ô	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	498
5	278	306	229	66	2Ĭ	1ž	7	ž	ž	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	924
6	195	324	303	103	18	7	7	2	ŏ	ő	ň	Õ	924 : 959 448 254
7	193	176	154	41	14	Á	1	2	Ö	0	0	Ô	. 939
,	58 83	94	56	17	8	7	;	9	ő	4	0	Ô	254
0	19	12	11	17	Ô	2	,	3	Ö	0	0	ŏ	46
10		12	- ''	4	Ď.	Ü	Ų	ŭ		0	0	0	46 10 . 16
10	6	2	!	U	Ŭ	Ü	ļ	Ŭ	0	v	Ü	U	10
11	0	3	· !	Ü	Ü	4	Ü	Ü	0	0	Ü	0	. 16
12	4	6	<u>!</u>	. 0	.0	Ü	Ü	0	0	Ü	0	0	11
13	10	6	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	23
14	-	+	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	32	13	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
17	35	52	58	19	,4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 170
18	193	227	192	73	29	10	7	1	0	0	0	0	732
19	61	176	192 180	65	28	3	7	3	0	G	0	0	48 170 732 523
		-		•-		-		-	-		-	_	

 $[\]frac{5}{6}$ / No data, electronics pulled due to high water $\frac{5}{6}$ / 20 foot substrate deployed $\frac{7}{2}$ / No data, electronics pulled due to high water


Table EE-8. Continued.

					·,	SEC	ror						
ATE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
ugus t	120	160	144	26	12	6	2	2	0	0	0	0	481
0	120	169 41	18	10	2.	2	0	í	Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	. 102
1 2	28 0	0	10	0	18	0	0	Ö	Ŏ	å	ŏ	ŏ	2
3	177	174	46	7	0	0	Ö	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	-404
A	79	200	89	20	8	7	Ö	ĭ	2	ň	ň	ŏ	406
4 5	103	164	141	23	27	ŕ	2	'n	Ď	ŏ	ň	ŏ	465
6	54	110	86	33	23	š	5	ž	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	318
7	37	88	80	15	6:	Ă	ĭ	ō	ň	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	231
8	53	76	90	14	10,	3	ż	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	Ŏ	248
9	53 51	136	90	12	8	ĭ	້ຳ	ĭ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ	300
0	50	90	47	15	7	,	Ö	ò	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ö	211
1	17	59	40	9	2	i	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	128
•	17	33	40	4	•	•	Ū	v	·	· ·	·		
eptemb	er					_					•	•	100
1	17	46	31	8	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	109
2	17	23	12	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62
3	8	3 3	22	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	Ü	0	72
4	4	29	17	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	Ü	0	58
5	7	25	21	10	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	70
6	11	12	24	9	7	3	į.	0	0	O.	Ó	0	67
7	2	16	10	10	ō	3	Ĭ	ļ	Ü	0	ļ	0	44
8	1	12	21	11	/	5	U	Ü	Ü	0	U	0	57
9	3	9	9	5	3	ı	U	Ü	Ü	0	Ü	0	30
0	3	13	8	2	3	3	Û	U	Ü	0	Ŭ	0	32
1	8	6	12	2	3	0	Ó	0	0	0	Ŭ	0	31
2	j j	8	9	3	!	į	!	0	0	0	Ü	0	24
3	4	7	4	4	1	ı ı	1	0	0	0	Ü	0	22
4	6	2	4	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
5	4	1	0	2	1	ı	2	0	0	0	0	0	11
OTAL 3	2.145	3,047	2,336	686	265	113	5 5	20	6	0	1	0	8,674
ERCENT	04.7	35.1	27.0	7.9	3.1	1.3	.6	,2	.1	0	0	0	

m m

,

APPENDIX EF
LENGTH FREQUENCIES OF
SOCKEYE, PINK, CHUM, AND COHO SALMON






Figure EF-1 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-2 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure EF-3 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.






Figure EF-4 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure EF-5 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-6 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-7 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Andromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.






Figure EF-8 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-9 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





(b) LENGTH (mm)
Figure EF-10 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-11 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-12 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-13 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-14 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.







Figure EF-15 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.






Figure EF-16 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-17 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-18 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure EF-19 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.






Figure EF-20 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-21 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-22 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-23 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-24 Pink salmon lengths by age class from Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-24 Pink salmon lengths by age class from Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-25 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-26 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-27 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-28 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Figure EF-29 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EF-30 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EG MAINSTEM SUSITNA RIVER VARIABLE GEAR CATCH

tier op de deligip oant it retuingering entstanding productier bijd om dit bestien die bestie in den entst

Table EG-1. Summary of mainstem Susitna River sampling using gill nets and electroshocking, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

	1					ADULT S	SALMON CATCH	
RIVER MILE	LEGAL.	DATE	WETHOD	DISTANCE 2	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	СОНО
6.5	15N07W29BBC	8/29	E/S	2 miles	0	0	0	0
7.3	15N07W2OCBD	8/29	E/S	500	0	0	a	à
7.3	15N07W2OCBD	9/16	E/S	300	0	0	2	0
7.8	15N07W22ABD	8/29	E/S	400	0	0	. 0	0
7.8	15NO7W22ABD	8/29	E/S	430	0	0	0	0
12.5	15N07W02ADD	9/16	D/N	0	0	0	0	1
12.5	15N07W02ADD	9/16	D/N	0	0	0	0	4
16.8	16N07W14CCC	8/16	D/N	0	0	0	0	0
23.5	17N07W28BBA	8/15	D/N	0	j j	i i	0	ĭ
26.5	17N07W14DCB	8/28	E/S	750	0	0	0	0
26.5	17N07W14DCB	8/28	E/S	600	0	0	0	_ i
27.7	17N07W13DCC	3/15	D/N	0	0	0	0	0
27.7	17N07W13DCC	8/15	D/N	0	0	0	0	2
27.7	17NO7W13DCC	8/15	D/N	0	Ō	0	5	3
27.7	17N07W13DCC	8/28	E/S	450	0	0	0	0
30.4	17NO6WO4ADB	9/02	E/S	100	0	0	0	n
30.4	17N06W04ADB	9/02	E/S	75	0	0	0	0
35.4	17N06W04ADB	9/02	E/S	75	0	0	0	0
30.4	17N06W04ADB	9/02	E/S	100	<u>, </u>	0	0	n o
30.4	17N06W04ADB	9/18	E/S	175	n n	0	Ď.	3
30.4	17N26W04ADB	9/18	E/S	275	0	0	0	0
30.4	17NOGWO4ADB	9/18	D/N	0	0	0	0	n
31.2	18NO7W36DBD	8/31	E/S	100	U U	0	2	0
31.8	17N0GW05ACC	9/02	E/S	150		2	0	0
31.8	17N06W04ACC	9/18	D/N		ň	ň	0	2
32.2	17NO6NO4ACD	9/18	E/S	600	n	0	0	
32.4	17N06W04ADB	9/18	Ē/Š	400	0	0	0	<u> </u>
35.5	18N07W13DBA	8/14	D/N	100		0	0	
35.5	181107W13DBA	8/30	E/S	400	<u> </u>	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		0
35.5	18NO7W13DBA	8/31	E/S	500		1 "	0	1
35.9	18N07W13BBA	3/30	E/S	150	. 0	1 3	0	20
35.9	18N07W13BBA	8/30	E/S	250		1	ň	0
35.9	18N07W13BBA	8/30	E/S	20	0	1 0	0	6
35.9	18NO7W13BBA	8/30	E/S	40		1 0	3	6

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

						ADULT S	SALMON CATCH	
IVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	WETHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	СНИМ	соно
35.9	18N07W13BBA	8/31	E/S	50	0	0	0	1
35.9	18N07W13BBA	8/31	E/S	40	0	0	0	1
37.3	18N06W09DCB	8/10	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
37.3	18NOGWO9DCB	8/10	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
37.3	18N06W09DCB	8/10	D/N	300	0	0	0	1
37.3	18N06W09DCB	8/10	D/N	75	0	0	0	i
37.3	18NOGWOODCB	8/21	D/N	100	0	0	Ō	0
37.3	18N06W09DCB	8/2]	D/N	100	0	0	0)
37.3	18N06W09DCB	8/21	D/N	100	0)	0	0
37.3	18N06W09DCB	9/02	Ē/S	300	0	0	Ö	0
37.3	18N06W09DCB	9/02	E/S	200	0	0	0	0
37.3	18NO6WO9DCB	9/13	E/S	250	0	0	0	0
37.3	18N06W09DCB	9/19	Ē/S	75	0	0	0	5
37.3	18N06W09DCB	9/19	E/S	150	0	0	0	3
37.4	18N06W09DCA	9/13	E/S	100	0	0	ō	j
38.4	18N06W11BCA	9/19	E/S	100	0	0	0	0
38.5	18NOGWO3DCB	8/10	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
39.0	18N06W11AAB	8/20	D/N	0	0	0	n	2
39.2	18N06W02DCB	8/20	D/N	100	0	0	n	Ō
39.2	18N06W02DCD	8/20	D/N	175	0	0	ő	n
39.2	18N06W02DCD	8/20	D/N	275	0	n	0	0
39.2	18N06W02DCD	8/20	D/N	250	0	3	0	Ö
39.2	18N06W02DCD	8/20	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
39.2	18N06W02DCD	9/13	E/S	300	0	0	0	0
39.2	18N06W02DCD	9/19	Ē/S	300	Ö	0	Ö	0
39.9	JAASOWOCH81	9/02	E/S	400	0	0	0	0
39.9	18NO6WOZAAC	9/02	Ē/S	150	0	0	0	0
39.9	18NO6WO2AAC	9/02	Ē/Š	400	0	0	1	0
41.3	19N06W35AAC	8/20	D/N	100	0	0	9	0
41.3	19N06W35AAC	9/02		250		0	3	<u> </u>
43.5	19N05W19CAB	8/10	E/S D/N	100	- V	0	0	1
43.5	19N05W19CAB	8/10	D/N	100	0	0	0 7	<u>, </u>
43.5	19N05W19CAB	8/10	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	8/20	D/N	75	0	2	Ů,	

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

	LEGAL		,	l		ADULT S	ALMON CATCH	·
RIVER MILE		DATE	MEŢHOÖ.	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	соно
43.5	19N05W19CAB	3/20	D/N	75	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	8/20	D/N `	100	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	9/03	E/S	250	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	9/13	E/S	100	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	9/13	E/S	300	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	9/19	E/S	200	0	0	0	0
43.5	19N05W19CAB	9/19	E/\$	300	. 0	.0	Q	0
43.9	19N05W19DAB	9/13	E/S	200	0	0		0
45.9	19N05W1ZDAD	9/13	E/S	150	0	0		
46.1	19N05W16BAC	8/10	D/N	300	0	0	0	1
46.1	10N05W16BAC	9/12	E/S	250	0	a		0
47.6	19N05W03BCC	8/10	D/N	75	l	a	00	Ω
47.6	19N05W03BCC	8/10	D/N	75	0	0	0	00
47.6	19N05W03BCC	8/20	D/N	125	<u> </u>	0	0	0
47.6	19N05W03BCC	8/20	D/N	200	0	0	a	0
47.6	19N05W03BCD	9/18	D/N	0	0	0		0
47.6	19N05W31DCA	9/19	D/N	0		0		0
47.7	20N05W31DDA	8/12	D/N	400	0	0		
47.7	20N05W31DDA	8/12	D/N	400	0		0	· 0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	8/10	D/N	150	0	0	o	
48.2	19N05W03BCA	8/10	D/N	200	0	0	0	a
48.2	19N05W31BAA	8/19	D/N	150	0	0	0	
48.2	19N05W31BAA	8/19	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	8/20	D/N	100	0	0	00	0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	8/20	D/N	150	0	0	0	.0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	9/12	Ē/S	75	0	0	0	0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	9/12	E/S	175	0	0	0	0
48.2	19N05W03BCA	9/12	E/S	100	0	0	0	0
48.2	19N05W31BBD	9/15	E/S	2.5 miles	0	0	0	0
49.1	20N05W34CBC	9/12	E/S_	100	0	0	a	0
49.4	20N05W33ABD	9/12	E/S	300		0		0
49.5	20N05W29BAB	9/19	E/\$	3.0 miles	0	<u> </u>	0	0
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/12	D/N	200	0	<u> </u>		0
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/12	D/N	200	0		0	0

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

			1.00		ADULT SALMON CATCH					
RIVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	WETHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	СНИМ	СОНО		
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/12	D/N	200	0	0	0	0		
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/20	D/N	250	0	0	0	Q		
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/20	D/N	250	0	0	0	0		
49.6	20N05W29AAC	8/20	D/N	250	0	0	0	0		
49.6 49.7	20N05W29BAB	9/15	E/S	400	0	0	00	0		
50.1 50.1	20N05W28DDB	8/12	D/N	300	0	0	_0	0		
50.1	20N05W28DDB	9/12	E/S	100	. 0	0	i i	9		
_50.5	20N05W27ACC	8/12		100.	0	0	. i	Q		
50.5	20N05W27AAC	8/12	D/N D/N	200	0	Ó	Ó			
50.5	20N05W27ACC	8/12	D/N	250	0	0	0	0		
50.5 50.5 50.5	20N05W27CAC	8/12	D/N	150	0	0	0	0		
50.5	20N05W27ACC	8/21	D/N	400	0	0	0			
50.5	20N05W27ACC	8/21	D/N	350	0	0	Ö	0		
50.5 50.5 50.5	20N05W27ACC	8/21	D/N	150	0	0	0	0		
50.5	20N05W19AAB	9/19	E/S	4 miles	0	0	0	0		
50.5	20N05W19AAB	9/19	E/S	4 miles	0	0	0	0		
50.7	20N05W20ADC	9/15	E/S	1.5 miles	0	0	0	0 .		
50.7	20N05W20ADC	9/19	F/S	1.5 miles	0	0	0	_ 0		
51.5	20N05W18ADD	9/15	EZS	300	0	. 0	Ω	<u> </u>		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/11	D/N	150	0	0	0	0		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/11	D/N	200	0	0	0	0		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/21	D/N	100	0	0	0	0		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/21	D/N	100	0	Ò	0	0		
51.5 52.3 52.3 52.3 52.3 52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/21	D/N	200	0	0	0	Q		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	8/21	D/N	150	0	0	0	QQ		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	9/12	Ē/Š	150	0	0	0	0		
52.3 52.3 52.3	20N05W22ABA	9/12	E/S	150	0	0	0	Q		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	9/12	E/S	350	0	0	0	0		
52.3	20N05W22ABA	9/12	E/S	200	0	0	0	0		
52.8	20N05W08DDB	9/15	E/S	350	0	0	Q	0		
53.5	20N05W04CCA	9/15	E/S	350	0	0	Ŏ ,	0		
54.9	20N05W04ADB	8/11	D/N	250	0	0	00	Q		
54.9	20N05W04ADB	8/11	D/N	250	0 .	0	0	0		
55.7	20N05W34CDA	8/11	D/N	150	0	0	0	0		

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

				1 . 1		ADULT S	ALMON CATCH	
RIVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	METHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	COHO
55.7	21N05W34CDA	8/19	D/N	0	0	0	0	0
55.7 55.7	21N05W34CDA	9/11	E/S	100	0	0	0	n
55.7	21N05W34CDA	9/11	Ē/S	100	0	0	0	n n
55.7	21N05W34CDA	9/11	E/S	100	0	0	0	0
56.1	21N05W34BCD	8/19	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
56.1	21NQ5W34BCD	8/19	D/N_	100	0	0	0	0
. 56 . 1	21NQ5W34BCD	8/19	D/N	150	0	Ŏ	0	Ŏ
56.4	21N05W34ABD	9/14	E/S	300	0	Ō	0	0
59.9	21N05W14DBC	8/11	D/N	150	Ō	0	Ō	Õ
59.9	21N05W14DBC	8/11	D/N	150	Ō	0	0 :	Ó
59.9	21N05W14DBC	8/19	D/N	150	0	0	0	0
59.9	21N05W14DBC	8/19	D/N	150	Ď	0	0	0
59.9	21N05W14DBC	8/19	D/N	200	0	0	Q	0
60.2	21N05W14CBA	8/01	S/N	12 min.	0	0	0	0
60.4	21N05W14DBB	8/01	D/N	1000	0	Ō	Ö	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/11	D/N	100	0	0	0	Õ
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/11	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/11	D/N	150	0	0	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/11	D/N	150	0	Ö	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/19	D/N	250	0	0	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/19	D/N	250	0	0	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/19	D/N	250	0	0	Ō	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	8/19	D/N	Ŏ.	0	0	0	0
60.5	21N05W14ACC	9/11	E/S	100	Ö	0	0	0
60.5 60.5 60.5	21N05W14ACC	9/11	E/S	150	Ö	0	0	0
60.6	21N05W14AAB	8/01	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
61 1	21NQ5W13AAC	9/21	E/S	.5 miles	0	1	0	0
61.6	21NO5W12CDB	8/10	D/N	1200	0	Ö	0	0
62.0	21N05W12CAB	8/10	D/N	600	Ö	0	0	0
62.0 62.4	21N05W12AAA	9/03	S/N	15 min.	0	0	0	0
62.5	21N05W12BAB	8/10	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
62.5	21N05W12BAB	9/03	D/N	200	0	0	0	C
62.5 62.5	21N05W12BAB	9/03	D/N	300	Ö	0	Q	0
62.5	21N05W12BAB	8/21	D/N	200	0	0	Û	0

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

			i)	1		ADULT S	SALMON CATCH	
RIVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	WETHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	СНИМ	соно
62.5	21N05W01CDA	9/21	E/S	600	0	0	0	0
62.7	21NO5WO1DCB	9/03	SZN	38 min.	Ö	0	0	0
64.2	22N05W35CDA	8/10	D/N	300	0	0	0	Ô
64.4	22N05W36ADD	9/03	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
64.4	22N05W36ADD	9/21	D/N	300	0	0	1	0
64.2 64.4 64.4 64.5	22N04W31CBD	9/03	S/N	10 min.	0	0	0	Û
. 65.5	22NQ5W26CBB	9/21	E/S	.25 miles	0	0	0	0
68.3	22N05W]3AAB	9/03	\$/N	1 min.	0	0	2	1 0
69.2	22N05W02DDA	8/10	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
69.2 70.6	22N05W02BBB	8/10	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
70.6	22NQ5WQ1DDB	8/23	S/N	17 min.	0	0	0	Ö
70.8	22N05W01DCA	8/23	D/N	200	0	0	Ó	0
71.6	22N05W01DBB	8/23	D/N	1600	0	0	Ö	0
71.7 73.0	23N04W30CCC	7/31	S/N	14 min.	0	0	Ö	0
73.0	23N05W26AAD	8/10	S/N	2 min.	0	0	0	3
73.0 73.0	23N05W26AAD	8/20 _	S/N	2 min.	0	0	0	1
73.0	23N05W06ADB	8/20	D/N	1300	0	0	0	Ö
73.0	23N05W25DAA	8/23	D/N	1500	0	0	3	0
7.3.4	23N04W30BBC	7/31	D/N	250	0	0	3	0
	23N04W30BBC	8/10	Q/N	400	0	0	0	0
. 73.4	23N04W30BBC	8/23	D/N	300	0	0	3	Ō
73.4	23N04W30BBC	9/02	D/N	200	Ö	0	3	. 0
73.4	23N04W30BBC	9/13	\$/N	40 min.	0	0	0	0
73.4 74.8	23N04W18CBC	8/23	S/N	20 min.	0	0	1	0
75.0	23N05W13DBD	8/20	D/N	1300	0	0	0	0
75.0	23N04W18CBC	8/23	D/N	1300	0	0	- o	0
75.0	23N04W18CBC	9/02	S/N	3 min.	Ō	Ö	4	0
75.0	23N05W13ADB	9/21	Ē/S	.5 miles	0	0	0	0
75.0	23N05W13DBD	9/21	Ē/S	.75 miles	Ó	0	0	0
75.4	23N05W13ADC	8/06	Š/Ñ	20 min.	0	0	0	0
75.4	23N05W13ADB	8/06	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
75.4	23N05W13ADB	8/20	D/N	300	ō —	0	0	0
75.4 75.4 75.4 75.4 76.2	23N05W13ADB	9/04	S/N	5 min.	0	0	0	0
76.2	23N04W07CDC	8/20	Ŝ/N	34 min.	0	0	0	0

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

			<u> </u>			ADULT S	ALMON CATCH	
IVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	METHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	СНИМ	соно
76.2	23N04W07CDC	8/20	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
76.2	23N04W07CDC	9/02	S/N	13 min.	0	0	2	Ō
76.5	23NO4W07BDC	9/21	E/S	250	0	0	0	0
76.6	23NO4WO7BBD	8/20	D/N	500	0	0	Ŏ	ň
76.8	23N04W07ACC	7/31	D/N	1000	0	0	0	0
76.8	23N04W07ACC	8/10	D/N	300	0	i o	0	0
76.8	23NO4WO7BBD	9/21	E/S	300	0	0	1	0
76.8	23N04W07BBD	9/21	E/S	400	0	0	1	1
76.8	23N04W07BBD	9/21	Ē/S	.25 miles	0	0	0	0
77.2	23N04W06DCA	9/04	S/N	25 min.	0	0	0	0
77.2	23N04W06CCC	9/21	E/S	5 miles	0	0	1	1
77.2	23N04W06CCC	9/27	E/S	500		0	0	1
77.2	23N04W06CCC	9/27	Ē/S	50	0	ň	0	
77.4	23N04W06DBA	8/20	D/N	1600	0	0	0	0
78.1	23N04W06BBC	8/20	D/N	2000	0	0	0	0
78.1	23N05W01BAC	8/20	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
78.4	24N05W02AAD	8/01	S/N	17 min.	0	0	0	2
78.4	24N05W02AAD	8/06	S/N	20 min		0	0	0
78.4	24N05W02AAD	8/20	S/N	4 min.	0	0	0	1
78.4	24N05W02AAB	8/01	S/N	49 min.	0	0	.0	0
78.4	24N05W02AAB	8/06	S/N	16 min.		0	0	
78.4	24N05W02ABB	8/20	S/N	17 min		0	0	
78.9	24N05W01BAC	9/28	E/S	300	0	0		0
79.2	24N05W35ADC	8/24	D/N	200	0	0	0	
79.5	24N05W36BCD	8/13	D/N	1000	0	0		
79.5	24N05W36BCD	8/24	D/N	700	<u> </u>	0	0	0
79.5	24N05W36BCD	8/24	D/N	500	0	0	0	<u> </u>
79.8	24N05W36BBD	8/13	D/N	500		0 1		0
79.9	24N05W26DCB	8/14	D/N	200	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
80.2	24N05W26ACA	8/19	D/N	300	0	0	0	
80.2	24N05W26ACA	8/24	D/N	200	0	0		
80.5	24N05W26ACB	9/24	S/N	30 min.	0	- V		<u> </u>
80.9	24N05W25BBD	8/24 8/14	D/N	700	0	0	0	U
81.0	24N05W25BBD	9/22	E/S	500	0	<u> </u>	1	

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

	:					ADULT S	ALMON CATCH	
IVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	WETHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	EHUM	соно
81.2	24N05W24BBB	8/24	S/N	7 min.	0	0	0	0
81.2	24N05W24CCC	8/24	D/N	200	0	0	1	1
81.2	24N05W24CCC	9/23	D/N	200	0	Ö	Ō	0
81.3	24N05W25BAB	9/05	D/N	300	0	Ò	Ö	0
81.4	24N05W23DAD	8/14	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
81.6	24N05W24CDD	8/13	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
81.6	24N05W25CCA	8/24	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
81.6	24N05W23DBB	9/22	Ē/S	.5 miles	0	0	Ô	0
8].6	24N05W24CDD	9/22	E/S	250	0	0	0	o -
81.7	24N05W23DBB	8/24	D/N	1600	0	0	0	i
82.3	24N05W22BDA	8/14	D/N	500	0	0	0	0_
82.3	24N05W22BDA	8/24	D/N	1300	0	0	Q	1
82.3	24NQ5W22BDA	9/12	D/N	200	0	0	0	n
82.3	24N05W22BDA	9/20	D/N	700	0	0	0	0
82.6	24N05W22BAA	9/12	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
82.7	24N05W22BAC	9/12	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
82.7	24N05W22BAC	9/20	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
83.3	24NQ5W15BCC	8/24	\$/N	4 min.	0	0		0
83.3	24N05W15BCC	9/05	S/N	5 min.	0	_0	1	0
83.5	24N05W15CAB	8/30	D/N	500	0	0	0	
83.5	24N05W15BCA	9/12	S/N	27 min.	0	<u> </u>	0	0
84.5	24N05W14BBB	9/27	E/S	300	0	0	0	0
85.9	24N05W12BBB	9/27	E/S	100	0	0	0	o
86.0	24N05W12CCA	9/23	D/N	500	0	0	<u> </u>	. 0
36.4	24N05W01DAA	8/14	S/N	15 min.	0	0	1	0
86.4	24N05W01DCD	8/14	S/N	12 min.	0	0	Q	0
87.7	25N05W36CBA	9/27	E/S	150	0	0	0	0
88.2	25N05W36ADB	9/27	E/S_	250	0	0		. 0
88.4	25N05W36BAB	9/27	E/S	100		0		0
88.4	25N05W36BAB	9/27	E/S	50		0	0	<u> </u>
89.0	25N05W25CDA	9/27	E/S	150	0	0		0
89.3	25NQ5W26ADC	9/27	E/S	200	0	0	0	0
89.4	25N05W26ADB	9/27	E/S	300	<u> </u>	0	0	0
90.5	25NQ5W15DCD	9/27	E/S	550	.0			

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

				1 1		ADULT S	ALMON CATCH	
IVER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	METHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM	СОНО
92.0	25N05W13BCC	9/22	E/S	.5 miles	Ö	0	0	0
92.2	25N05W13BCC	9/23	D/N	500	0	0 .	0	0
95.0	25N05W36BDC	8/22	D/N	1300	0	0	0	0
95.3	26N05W36ADC	8/22	D/N	1000	0	0	ī	0
95.3	26N05W36ADC	8/30	D/N	500	0	0	0	0
95.8	26N05W36CAB	8/22	D/N	1300	0	0	0	
96.8	26N05W25BAA	9/02	S/N	13 min.	0	0	i	0
97.1	26NO5W25BDC	8/30	D/N	1600	0	0	0	0
99.5	26N05W11DCD	8/30	D/N	2000	0	0	0	0
100.2	26N05W11CAD	8/30	D/N	1000	0	0	0	0
100.5	26N05W02CDD	8/22	D/N	150	Ô	0	0	
100.6	26N05W02CCC	8/22	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
100.6	26N05W02CCC	9/24	S/N	9 min.	0	0	Ô	0
100.8	26N05W02BCB	8/22	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
101.0	26N05W02BBD	8/22	D/N	300	0	0	0	0
102.0	27N05W35ACD	8/30	S/N	10 min.	0	0	0	0
104.4	27N05W24CDC	8/22	D/N	1600	0	0	0	0
104.5	27N05W24CDC	8/29	D/N	1600	0	Ö	0	0
105.Q	27N05W24BCA	8/22	D/N	200	0	0	0	0
105.2	27N05W24BBD	8/22	D/N	700	0	0	0	0
110.0	28N05W30CBB	9/23	E/S	350	0	0	0	0
116.3	29N04W32BDC	9/23	E/S	100	0	0	0	5
117.7	29NQ4W21ABB	9/23	E/S	300	0	0	Ω	0
120.9	29N04W10BAC	9/22	D/N	150	0	0	Û	0
120.9	29N04W10BAC	9/23	E/S	150	0	0	0	0
121.0	29N04W10BDB	9/23	E/S	200	0	0	0	0
123.0	30N04W35	9/22	D/N	250	0	0	0	0
127.2	30N03W20ABD	9/09	D/N	100	0	0	0	0
128.2	30N03W16BCA	9/22	D/N	200	0	0	0	
129.2	30N03W20B	9/08	D/N	300	0	0	4	3
130.5	30NO3W10B	9/08	D/N	150	0	<u> </u>	3	o
131.0	30N03W02AA	9/08	D/N	.5 miles	0	0	0	0
131.1	30N03W03DA	9/07	D/N	l mile	0	Ŏ.	3	o
132.0	ABASOMSCALE	9/24	E/S	300	0	0	0	0

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net

^{2/} Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

			,		ADULT SALITON CATCH				
VER MILE	LEGAL	DATE	METHOD	DISTANCE	SOCKEYE	PINK	CHUM ;	COHO	
132.4	31N02W02AA	9/07	. D/N	.8 miles	0	0	0	0	
132.4 134.8	31N02W19DCC	9/06	D/N	200	. 0	0	0	0	
135.2	31N02W19ADA	9/06	D/N_	200	0	Ö	6	0	
135.8	31N02W20BAA	9/06	D/N	150	0	0	0	0	
138.6	31N02W09CDA	9/24	E/S	100	0	0	0	0	
138.6	31N02W09CDA	9/24	E/S	150	0	0	0	0	
144.5	32N01W32ACA	9/24	E/S	200	• 0	0	0	0	
146.9 148.9	32NO1W27DBD	9/24	E/S	250	0	0	0	0	
148.9	32N01W25CDA	9/24	E/S	150	0	0	0		
148.9	32NO1W25CDA	9/24	E/S	300	0	0	0	0	
150.6	32NQ1W31CBA	9/24	E/S	,5 miles	0	0	0	0	
	-		·						
			ļ						
						ļ			
				- 					
								-	
									
		*							
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

^{1/} Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net 2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated.

APPENDIX EH MAINSTEM SUSITNA RIVER SPAWNING SITE MAPS

and the contract of the property of the contract of the contra




Figure EH-1. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 68.3 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-2. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 76.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-3. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 83.3 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-4. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 92.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-5. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 96.8 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-6. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 97.0 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-7. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 100.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-8. Mainstem Susitna River coho salmon spawning area at RM 117.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-9. Mainstem Susitna River chum and coho salmon spawning area at RM 129.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-10. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 130.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-11. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 131.1 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EH-12. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 135.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EI MAPS OF NEWLY INTRODUCED CREEKS AND SLOUGHS

ente aprentamente en la prenta de la prenta de la matrima de la la la calenda de la calenda de la calenda de l




Figure EI-1. Gash Creek located at RM 111.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EI-2. Lower McKenzie Creek located at RM 116.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EI-3. Moose Slough located at RM 123.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.





Figure EI-4. Slough A¹ located at RM 124.6 and Skull Creek located at RM 124.7 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Slough 9B located at RM 129.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981. Figure EI-5.




Figure EI-6. Slough 21A located at RM 145.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EJ ESCAPEMENT SURVEYS OF STREAMS AND SLOUGHS

				77				A	DULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT		SOCKEYE			PINK			СНИМ	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Slough 1	99.6	8/21	Poor	50	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/29	Poor	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/6	Good	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6
		9/16	Excellent	100	0 ^	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	ļ	ļ
		9/24	Excellent	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ĭ	ļ
		10/2	Excellent	100	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slough 2	100.4	8/2	Poor	50	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
		8/21	Poor	100	0 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/29	Excellent	100	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	. 5	1	3
		9/6	Excellent	100	0 .	0	0	0	0	0	25	2	27
		9/16	Excellent	100	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	· 6	0	6
		9/24	Excellent	100 🦟	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	1	4	5
		10/2	Excellent	100	0 (0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
Slough 3B	101.4	8/5	Fair	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
orongii oo	10114	8/11	Fair	100	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
		8/21	Poor	100	Õ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	Ŏ	Õ	ŏ	ň
		8/29	Poor	100	ŏ	Ŏ	ň	ň	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Õ	ŏ
		9/6	Excellent	100	ĭ	ŏ	ĭ	ŏ	ŏ	õ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ
		9/17	Excellent	100	i.	Õ	i	Õ	Ŏ	Õ	Ŏ	Ö	Õ
		9/24	Excellent	100	Ö.	Ŏ	ò	ŏ	Õ	ŏ	Ö	Ö	ŏ
		10/2	Good	100	Ŏ	Ō	Ō	Ô	Ö	0	0	Ö	Ō
Slough 3A	101.9	8/4	Excellent	100	4	0	4	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
orougii on	101.5	8/11	Fair	100	7	Ö	7	ň	0	0	Ô	Õ	ŏ
		8/21	Excellent	100	3.	0	3	1	0	ĭ	0	0	ŏ
		8/29	Fair	100	0	0	O O	'n	0	'n	. 0	0	ň
		9/6	Fair	100	ĭ:	0	i	0	0	0	0	0	Ö
		9/17	Fair		0	Ö	0	n	0	0	0	Ö	0.
		9/24	Good	100 · ·	0	0	0	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0
		10/2	Fair	100	0	Ő	Õ	. 0	0	0	0	0	Ö

m

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					·		·		<i>,</i>	DULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT	:		SOCKE	YE		PINK			CHUM	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYEO	: L	.IVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DE AD	TOTAL
Slough 4	105,2	8/4 8/11 8/22 8/29 9/6 9/16 9/24	Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor	100 100	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
Slough 4	105.2	8/4 8/11 8/22 8/29 9/6	Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor	100 100 100 100 100		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
		9/16 9/24 10/2	Poor Poor Poor	100 100 100	:	0 0	. 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Slough 5	107.2	8/7 8/19 8/25 8/28 9/22	Good Fair Good Poor Excellent	100 100 100 100 100		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
Slough 6	108.2	8/7 8/19 8/23 8/28 9/22	Excellent Fair Fair Poor Excellent	100 100 100 100 100		0 0 0 0	. 0 . 0 . 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					<u>.</u>	•			DULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT		SOCKEY	<u> </u>		PINK			CHUM	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	LIVE	DEAO	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DE AD	TOTAL
Slough 6A	112.3	8/19 8/23 8/29 9/22	Good Fair Fair Excellent	100 100 100 100	1 0 0 A/ 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	, 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	11 9 1 0	0 2 2 0	11 11 3 0
lough 7	113.2	8/7 8/19 8/29	Excellent Poor Excellent	100 100 100	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0
lough 8	113.7	8/7 8/9 8/29 9/5 9/13 9/21 9/28	Poor Poor Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent	100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 13 0 0 0	0 0 12 0 0 0	0 0 25 0 0	0 0 219 197 46 0	0 0 49 105 105 96 16	0 0 268 302 151 96 16
lough 8D	121.8	8/1 8/7 8/20 8/27	Fair Excellent Excellent Excellent	. 100 100 100 100	0 0 0 0	0 0 · 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0
Slough 8C	121.9	8/1 8/7 8/20 8/27	Good Poor Poor Excellent	100 100	0 0 0	. 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					:					A	DULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT	:		500	CKEYE			PINK			CHUM	
NO . /NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	·	LIVE	DI	EAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Slough 8B	122.2	8/1	Fair	100		0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0 .	1
		8/7	Poor	100		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/20	Poor	100	·: `	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/27	Poor	100	;:	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
loose Slough	123.5	8/27	Excellent	100	,	0		0	0	0	0	0	136	3	139
		9/4	Excellent	100	·	Ō		0	0	Ö	0	0	91.	76	167
		9/12	Excellent	100	, '	0		0	0	0	0	0	20	133	153
		9/21	Excellent	100	· ;:`	0		0	0	0	0	0	14	78	92
		9/27	Excellent	100	<i>;</i> .	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4
Slough A ¹	124.6	8/27	Excellent	100	- :	0		0	0	0	0	0	26	13	39
rough A	124.0	9/4	Excellent	100	٠.	0		0	0	0	0	0	122	18	140
		9/12	Excellent	100		Ö		0	0	Õ	ő	0	35	57	92
		9/21	Excellent	100	•	ŏ		Ö	Õ	Õ	ŏ	Õ	ő	34	34
Slough A	124.7	8/7	Excellent	100	·.	0		0	0	0	0	0	20	0	20
		8/11	Poor	100	· •	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/19	Excellent	100	٠.	0		0	0	2	0	2	24	2	26
		8/27	Excellent	100		0		0	0	0	0	0	26	.8	34 23
		9/4	Excellent	100	·:	0		0	0	0	0	0	13	10	23
		9/2	Excellent	100		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	23	23
		9/24	Excellent	100	<i>'</i> ,	0	: <u>_</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4
Slough 8A	125.1	8/7	Excellent	20		0	÷	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16
		8/20	Poor	100		0		0	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0
		8/27	Poor	100		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/4	Excellent	100	· 1	7 0		7	177	0	0	0	330	290	620
		9/12	Excellent	100	٠٠	87		8	105	0	0	0	53	258	311
		9/21	Excellent	100	;:	23		5	38	. 0	0	0	2	5	7 .
		9/27	Excellent	100		6		3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					:: <u></u>					A	OULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT	\ <u>.</u>		SOCKEYE				PINK			CHUM	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	;;	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL		LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Slough 9	128.3	8/7	Poor	10		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
-		8/11	Fair	100	<i>*</i> .	0	0	0		0 ·	0	0	5	0	5 ·
		8/20	Poor	100		0 .	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/23	Excellent	50		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/4	Excellent	100	1.	10	0	10		0	0	0	212	48	260
		9/12	Excellent			6	0	6		0	0	0	38	33	71
		9/20	Excellent	100		2	8	10		0	0	0	1	15	16
		9/27	Excellent	100	, · ·	0	0	0		0	0 .	0	0.	2	2
Slough 9B	129,2	8/11	Excellent	100	ž.	27	0	27		0	0	0	58	0	58
riough vo	12712	8/23	Excellent	100		47	ŏ	47		Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	83	7	90
		8/27	Excellent	100		81	ŏ	81		Õ	ŏ	ŏ	67	Á	71
		9/4	Excellent	100		71	ŏ	71		ň	ŏ	Ŏ	41	Ŕ	49
		9/12	Excellent	100		62	ŏ	62		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	18	Ä	26
		9/20	Excellent	100	٠.	48	6	54		ŏ	Ŏ	ŏ	.,	5	ž
		9/27	Excellent	100	٠,	15	20	35	•	Ŏ	Ŏ	Ö	ō	Ŏ	Ó
Slough 9A	133.3	7/31	Poor	100	, ,.	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
3104gii 311	155.5	8/20	Poor	100	*-	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ň	ŏ
		8/27	Excellent	20		2	ñ	2		ñ	Ŏ	Ö	67	Ă	7 Ĭ
		9/4	Excellent	20		ī	ñ	ī		Õ	Õ	ŏ	26	36	68
		9/12	Excellent	20		2	ŏ	2		Õ	Õ	ŏ	Õ	4	4
		9/12	Poor	80	. •	ō	ŏ	Õ		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	55	5	60
		9/20	Excellent	100		ŏ	ŏ	ŏ		Ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	136	46	182
		9/27	Excellent	100	· :	Ö	Ŏ	Ŏ		Ö	ŏ	Ŏ	35	59	94
· 10	132.6	7/21	5	100											
Slough 10	133.8	7/31	Excellent	100		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/10	Fair	100		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/20	Excellent	100	"	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/27	Excellent	100		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/20	Excellent	· 100		0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0

r

1

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					`.,		-			ADULT SAL	MON COUNTS				
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT	;,		SOCKEYE			PINK			CHUM		
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	7	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	_
Slough 11	135.3	7/31 8/6 8/10 8/20 8/22 8/27 9/1 9/11	Excellent Fair Excellent Poor Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		0 258 373	0 0 0 0 1 5 25 183 338	0 100 50 0 259 378 635 893 806	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 276 403 358 181 32	0 0 0 6 8 26 162 274	0 0 0 1 282 411 384 343 306	
		9/26	Excellent	100	\$.	270	333	603	Ö	ŏ ———	Ö	5		32	
Slough 12	135.4	7/31 8/6 8/20 8/27 9/4 9/20 9/26	Poor Poor Poor Excellent Poor Excellent Excellent	25 100 100 100 100 100 100		0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	
Slough 13	135.7	7/31 8/6 8/20 8/27 9/4 9/11 9/20 9/26	Poor Poor Poor Excellent Fair Excellent Excellent Excellent	15 100 100 100 100 100 100	:	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 4 3 0	
Slough 14	135.9	7/31 8/6 8/20 8/27 9/4	Fair Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent	100 100 100 100		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	_

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					•				ADULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT		SOCKEYE			PINK			СНИМ	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DE AD	TOTAL
Slough 14 Cont'd.	135.9	9/19 9/26	Excellent Excellent	100	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0
Slough 15	137.2	7/31 8/6 8/10 8/21 8/26 9/3 9/19	Good Poor Fair Poor Excellent Excellent Excellent	100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0 0 0 0 0 0	, 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0
Slough 16	137.3	8/6 8/10 8/21 8/26 9/3 9/19 9/26	Poor Poor Poor Poor Fair Excellent Excellent	100 100 100 100 100 100	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 3 0
Slough 17	138.9	8/6 8/10 8/21 8/26 9/3 9/11 9/19	Excellent Poor Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent Excellent	100 100 75 100 100 100 100	0 0 1 0 5 6 3	. 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 5 6 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	9 3 32 36 30 17 4	0 0 1 2 7 13 0	9 3 33 38 37 30 4

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					£			A	DULT SAL	MON COUNTS			
SLOUGH	RIVER		cunury	PERCENT	રમી ભારત	SOCKEVI	:		PINK			СНИМ	
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	SURVEY CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DE AD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Slough 18	139.1	8/6	Fair	100	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/10	Poor	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/21	Poor	100	. 0	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	0	0
		8/26	Excellent Excellent	100	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
		9/3	Excertent	100	. · · · · ·	·		U		U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Slough 19	139.7	8/6	Excellent	100		0	0	0	0	0	0.	0	0
ū		8/10	Fair	100	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/21	Excellent	100	<i>.</i> ⊬ 13	0	13	0	0	0	3	0	3
		8/26	Excellent	100		0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/3	Excellent	100	23	0	23	0	0	0	0	1	1
		9/11	Excellent	100	12 8	6	18	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/19	Excellent	100	. 8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/26	Excellent	100	4	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
	140.1	8/6	Poor	100	.∳ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/10	Poor	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/21	Poor	100	Ŏ	·. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/26	Excellent	10 0	. 2	0	2	0	0	0	10	1	11
		9/3	Excellent	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2	14
		9/11	Excellent	100	< 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/19	Excellent	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Slough 21	141.0	8/6	Poor	100	0	O,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/10	Poor	100	, 0	Õ	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/21	Poor	100	0	Ö	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/26	Excellent	50	· 1	Ō	ĺ	0	0	0	156	13	169
		9/3	Excellent	75	26	Ŏ	26	Ō	Ŏ	0	270	4	274
		9/11	Excellent	100	38	Ô	38	0	0	0	134	2	136
		9/19	Excellent	100	32	1	33	0	Ó	Ō	43	24	67
		9/26	Excellent	100	32 3	Q	3	. 0	0	0	0	0	0

Table EJ-1. Continued.

					:				Α	DULT SAL	MON COUNTS				
SŁOUGII	RIVER		SURVEY	PERCENT			SOCKEYE			PINK			CHUM		
NO./NAME	MILE	DATE	CONDITIONS	SURVEYED	3/	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	
Slough 21A	145.5	8/26 9/2	Poor Excellent	100 100	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 8	0	5 8	
		9/11	Excellent	100		0	. 0	0	Ü	0	U	5	0		

Table EJ-2. Escapement survey counts of Susitna River tributary streams between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

					<u> </u>				ADU	LT SALMON	COUNTED					
	binco		DINED	SURVEY	944 244	SOCKEYE			PINK			CHUM			СОНО	
STREAM	RIVER	DATE	RIVER CONDITIONS	DISTANCE (MILES)	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Whiskers	101.4	8/5	Poor	. 50	0	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek		8/11	Poor	. 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	Q	8
		8/21	Fair	· 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	8 43
		8/29	Good	· 50	0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	1	50
		9/6	Good	· 50	0	Ó	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	0	70
		9/17	Fair	· 50	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	9	0	9
		9/24	Good	· 50	Ō	Q	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	16	2	18
		10/2	Good	- 50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	11
Chase	106.9	8/4	Good	. 75	·. 0	0	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek		8/11	Good	. 75	Ö	0	0	38	0	38	1	0	1	23	0	23
		8/17	Fair	. 75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		8/23	Excellent	. 75	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	13
		8/29	Good	. 75	0	Ò	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	0	49
		9/7	Excellent	. 75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	79	1	80 62
		9/14	Good	. 75	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	60	2	62
		9/24	Good	. 75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	12 16	34 21
		10/2	Good	. 75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	16	21
4th of	131.0	7/31	Poor	. 25	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	0	0	0
July		8/7	Fair	. 25	Ō	Ó	0	18	0	18	88	2	90	1	0	1
Creek		8/10	Good	. 25	0	Ö	0	4	0	4	30	1	31	0	0	0
		8/20	Good	. 25	0	Ŏ	0	27	2	29	46	20	66	0	0	0
		9/1	Excellent	1.5	Ô	0	0	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
		9/25	Excellent	. 30	,Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
Gold Creek	136.7	8/25	Fair	. 75	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table EJ-2. Continued.

					<u>.</u>				ADU	LT SALMON	COUNTED			_		
	Dive		DIVED	SURVEY	,£,,	SOCKEYE		·	PINK			CHUM			соно	
STREAM	RIVER	DATE	RIVER CONDITIONS	DISTANCE (MILES)	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Lower	116.2	8/23	Excellent	.5	1	0	1	0	0	0	11	3	14	56	0	56
McKenzie		8/29	Excellent	.5 .5 .5	0 0	0	. 0	0	0 0	0 0	11 0	1 2	12 2	0 0	0	0
Creek		9/5 9/13	Excellent Excellent	.5 5	0	0 .	. V	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	6
		9/21	Excellent	.5	0	Ŏ	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	ò	ò	2	ŏ	2
		9/28	Excellent	.5 .5	0	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	1	, 1	2	0	2
McKenzie Creek	116.7	8/11 8/23	Excellent Excellent	.5	0 :	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Deadhorse	120.9	8/11 9/25	Excellent Excellent	.5	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0
5th of July Creek	123.7	8/11	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Skull	124.7	8/20	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek		8/11	Excellent	.5 .5 .5	0		0	0	0	0	10 0	0	10	0	0	0
		9/19	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sherman	130.8	7/31	Poor	. 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek		8/7	Good	.25	0		. 0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0
		8/10	Good	.25 .25	0 0	0 0	0	5	0 0	5 2	9	0 0	9 6	0 0	0 0	. 0
		8/11 8/20	Excellent Excellent	.25	0	0	. 0	6	0	6	2	0	2	0	0	0
		9/25	Excellent	.25	0 .	ő	0	ő	ő	ŏ	0	ŏ	ō	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ

Table EJ-2. Continued.

					:				ADI	JLT SALMON	COUNTED		· 			
	DIVED		D THED	SURVEY		SOCKEYE			PINK			CHUM	,		соно	
STREAM	RIVER	DATE	RIVER CONDITIONS	DISTANCE (MILES)	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL	LIVE	DEAD	TOTAL
Indian	138.6	8/6	Excellent	.25 .25	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	22	0	0	0
River		B/10	Poor	. 25	U	U	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	0
		8/21	Fair	. 25	Ó	0	0	2	0	2	33	!	34	0	0	0
		9/3	Excellent	.25	0	0	0 0	Ü	0	Ü	36 10	4 6	40 16	0	6	0
		9/11 9/15	Fair Good	.25 15.0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10 85 10	0	16 85 10
		9/19	Fair	.25	0	Ö	0	ň	Ö	0	Ö	3	3	10	ŏ	10
		9/26	Good	.25	ŏ	0.	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	ő	Ŏ	ŏ	Ö	ŏ	Ö
Jack	144.5	8/21	Poor	.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
Long		8/26	Excellent	.75	0 .	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Creek		9/24	Excellent	.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Portage	148.9	8/21	Poor	.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 22 0
Creek		9/15	Fatr	12.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0 0	0	22 0	0 0	22
		9/24	Good	.25	O }	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gash	111.6	9/23	Excellent	.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141	0	141
Creek		9/28	Excellent	.75	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	12	117
Lane	113.6	8/19	Fair	.5	0	0	0	53	0	53	8	1	9	0	0	0
Creek		8/23	Excellent	1.0	0	0	0	286	5	291	72	4	76	0	0	0
		8/29	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	26	17	43	9	8	17	0	0	0
		9/5	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	7	44	0	Ü	0 0
		9/13	Excellent	.5	0	0	0	Ü	b 1	b 1	2	22	24	3	U	3
		9/21	Excellent	.5 .5	0.	0 0 -	0 0	0	0	0	0	0 0	Ó	1	. 0	1
		9/28	Excellent	. 5	0 ·	U ·	U	U	, 0	٠.	U	U	v	•	. 0	•

APPENDIX EK RADIO TELEMETRY TRACKING REPORTS


Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #650-3

This male chum salmon was radio tagged at river mile (RM) 119.5 on 7 August (Figure EK-1). Within 33.5 hours of tagging the chum salmon moved 14.3 miles upstream, at a rate greater than or equal to (>) 0.43 miles per hour (mph). During the next 39 hours the fish moved an additional 5.1 miles upstream to a position 0.3 miles above the Indian River confluence (RM 138.6). Sometime during the following three days the fish entered the Indian River (RM 138.6) where it was found 1.3 miles above the confluence on 13 August. It remaine in the Indian River between RM 2.1 and 0.6 for the remainder of the season, fifteen tracking flights.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #660-1

On 10 August this male chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.9

(Figure EK-2). Within several hours this fish moved 1.9 miles downriver. Nineteen and six tenths (19.6) hours later, however, it had moved 8 miles upstream. This upstream movement was > 0.41 mph. During the next eight hours the fish moved downstream about 0.8 mile. Within fifteen hours it had resumed upstream migration and was detected 5.4 miles upstream, at the mouth of Lane Creek (RM 113.6). The salmon remained there for at least three days and then began moving upstream. Sixty one hours later, on 18 August, it was found at RM 123.3; this upstream movement was > 0.16 mph. Within five days it had proceeded 18.7 miles upstream to the head of Slough 21 (RM 142.0), movement to this location occurred at a rate > 0.15 mph. Aerial surveys on 26 and 28 August indicated the fish was moving down Slough 21. On 30 August



SUSITNA RIVERMILE

Figure EK-1. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 650-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-2. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 660-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Slough 21 was surveyed by foot. The functional radio transmitter was found about 20 feet from the water amongst the remains of the fish carcass. This fish was apparently captured by a predator.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #670-2

This female chum salmon was radio tagged on 12 August at RM 119.5 (Figure EK-3). It displayed very little movement following release. Within 2.4 hours it moved 0.2 miles upstream. Almost 21 hours later it was found 0.8 miles upstream at RM 120.5. Two days later it had dropped to RM 119.8, a position only 0.3 miles upstream from its release site. During the remainder of the season and a total of 27 more tracking fixes the fish stayed between RM 119.9 and 119.6. During this time it periodically moved between the east and west banks. Several attempts to recover the fish failed.

"我们们是我们的,我就是什么,我们就是我们的我们的,我们们就是一个女人的人,我们就会不会一个人,我们就会不会不会。"

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #680-2

On 6 August this male chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7

(Figure EK-4). Immediately upon release this chum salmon moved downriver; within 45 minutes it was 0.1 mile downstream. Less than 2 days later (42.5 hours), however, it had migrated 21.3 miles upstream to a position 3.3 miles up the Indian River (RM 138.6). Movement rate to this location was > 0.50 mph. For the next ten days the fish was found between Indian River mile 3.3 and 2.4. On 23 August it had moved downstream to Indian RM 1.7. For the remainder of the season it was found between RM 1.8 and 1.1 of the Indian River.




Figure EK-3. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 670-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-4. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 680-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

On 9 August this male chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 119.5 (Figure EK-5). Within 17.3 hours following transmitter insertion, the fish moved 4.2 miles upstream to RM 123.7 for a movement rate > 0.24 mph. For at least the next 30 hours it held position at RM 123.7. On 13 August it was found approximately 1.3 miles upriver of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) at RM 132.3 along the west shore of the Susitna River. Movement to this location was > 0.18 mph. It then moved downstream to within 0.05 miles of the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) and remained there about six days. Sometime after 1100 hours on 21 August the fish began moving upstream. On 23 August it was located in the Indian River about one half mile above the confluence with the Susitna River (RM 138.6). Movement rate to this location was > 0.172 mph. The fish stayed in the Indian River approximately one week and was consistently detected within the lower one-half mile of this stream. It re-entered the Susitna River after 1233 hours on 28 August and was found at RM 132.5 on 30 August. During the remainder of the season the fish did not move from this position.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #700-1

This female chum salmon was radio tagged on 12 August at RM 119.5 (Figure EK-6). Within 3 hours of release this fish moved 0.2 miles below the release site. Twenty-one and one half (21.5) hours later it had moved 0.5 miles upstream. During the next eight days and four tracking attempts it was undetected. On 23 August it was discovered at




Figure EK-5. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 680-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-6. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 700-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

RM 98.6 in the Three Rivers Area (TRA) near the Chulitna-Susitna River confluence, about 20 miles downriver from its last known position. By 31 August the fish had moved into Slough S-14 (RM 96.9) on the west side of the Chulitna-Susitna confluence area. On 8 September the transmitter was recovered from the carcass along the bank of Slough S-14, located at RM 96.9. Spawning condition could not be determined due to the advanced state of carcass decomposition.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #700-3

On 3 July this female chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.9

(Figure EK-7). After tagging this fish moved downstream and remained in the Susitna River at RM 99.5, just above its confluence with the Chulitna River, until 6 August, a period of about one week. It then moved into the Chulitna River and was found on 8 August, 12 miles upriver of the TRA. Movement during this time was > 0.24 mph. Ten days later the fish was found at RM 16.1 of the Chulitna River. During the remainder of the season this fish could not be found, probably due to transmitter failure; erratic transmitter signals were detected during the 6 and 7 August aerial tracking flights.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #710-2

Radio tagging of this female chum salmon occurred on 6 August at RM 102.9 (Figure EK-8). This fish displayed the most rapid upstream movement for radio tagged chum salmon. Immediately upon release from tagging it proceeded upstream. One and nine tenths (1.9) hours later it was 1.9




Figure EK-7. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 700-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-8. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 710-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

miles above the tagging site, a rate of 1.0 mph. Sixteen (16) hours

later it was detected 2.2 miles above its previous position, a rate

> 0.14 mph. Thirty-two and one half (32.5) hours later, however, it was

found 22.5 miles further upstream, a movement rate > 0.68 mph. Between

10 August and 13 August the fish entered Slough 11 at RM 135.3. On

21 August it was detected by telemetry 0.4 mile up the slough at

RM 135.7, excavating a redd. On 2 September the live fish was netted and

necropsied. It had spawned, as indicated by the 22 eggs remaining in the

coelum but the radio transmitter was not in the fish, as it was on 21 August.

The operational transmitter was located 5 meters from the redd, in the

water.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #720-1

This male chum salmon was radio tagged on 7 August at RM 120.7 (Figure EK-9).

After release this fish proceeded upstream to RM 131.4, where it was

found 32.3 hours later, a upstream movement rate > 0.32 mph. Between

1727 hours on 8 August and 0812 hours on 10 August it moved downstream

to RM 130.7, an area just below the Fourth of July Creek confluence (RM 131.0).

For the remainder of the season the fish stayed within 0.2 mile of RM 130.7.

Between 10 August and 21 August it occupied positions along the west side of

the mainstem Susitna River from RM 130.6 to 130.7. On 23 August it

moved to the east side of the river near the confluence with Sherman

Creek (RM 130.8). On 24 August it was observed in Sherman Creek, approximately

55 yards upstream of the confluence with the Susitna River (RM 130.8). Between

26 August and 30 August it returned to the west shore of the Susitna

River at 130.8. On 3 September the transmitter signal became weak. The

transmitter was detected at RM 130.9 + 0.1 mile for the remainder of the




Figure EK-9. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 720-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

summer. On 18 September the transmitter was recovered at RM 130.9; it was found about 15 yards inland from the west shoreline. A few pieces of fish carcass were scattered near the tag indicating a probable predator kill. Spawning condition could not be determined.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #730-2

Radio tagging of this male chum salmon occurred at RM 102.9 on 6 August (Figure EK-10). Upon release this fish moved 0.7 miles downstream within 10 minutes. Forty-seven and nine-tenths (47.9) hours later on 8 August, however, it was detected 18 miles upstream at RM 120.3, a movement rate \geq 0.38 mph. During the next 7 days it progressed 6.7 miles upstream to RM 120.7, where it last detected on 15 August. On 18 August and thereafter the signal could not be detected. Extensive efforts during the remainder of the season to locate this fish were unsuccessful.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter #740-1

This female chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 119.5 on 11 August (Figure EK-11). Within 1.3 hours of release this fish moved 1.4 miles downriver. Less than a day later it had moved an additional 0.3 miles downriver. On 13 August, however, it had begun moving upstream and was found at RM 121.7, 2.2 miles above the release site. On 15 August it was detected at RM 121.1 and was consistently encountered there through the field season. However, on 29 August this fish was briefly examined in Moose Slough at Susitna RM 123.5; the fish was without the transmitter




Figure EK-10. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 730-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-11. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 740-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

and identified by it's Peterson disc tag number (A-333). It had regurgitated the radio transmitter, which was located at RM 121.1. Off 4 September the fish was found dead in Moose Slough. It was necropsied and determined to be spawned-out. The transmitter continued to emit weak signals at RM 121.1 for the remainder of the season. Numerous attempts to retrieve the tag failed.

Complete radio-tagged chum salmon movement data are shown on Table EK-1.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #650-1

Fish 650-1 was tagged on 3 September at RM 120.7 (Figure EK-12). This coho salmon progressively moved downriver and eventually entered the Talkeetna River between 4 and 11 September. Six hours after being released it was detected at RM 116.1. The following day, 4 September at 1450h, it was located at RM 107.0; about 6 hours later it was detected downriver at RM 102.5. An overflight on 11 September detected the fish in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) at RM 2.7. Subsequent overflights on the 13 and 16 September detected the individual at RM 2.7 and 3.2, respectively.

Sometime between 16 and 18 September this coho salmon departed the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) and moved upstream the Susitna River. The individual apparently remained in the Talkeetna River at or near RM 2.7 on 17 September, as it was not detected by boat while tracking round trip along the lower 0.75 mile of the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) and the Susitna River from RM 96.8 to 120.8. However, the next day, 18 September,

Table EK-1. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult chum salmon during July, August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Γ	<u></u>
ı	Tag Number
1	Number
ı	

l	<u> </u>									
	Date	8-7-81	8-8-81	8-10-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-23-81	8-26-81	8-28-81
Loca	tion(R.M.)/Time	119.5/0753	133.8/1728	138.9/0831	I 1.3/1434	I 1.1/1927	1 2.1/0844	I 1.2/1025	I 1.2/1029	I 1.1/1232
Dist	ance moved(mi)	(Tagged and	14,3,	5.]	- <u>0.3,+1.3=1.6</u>	-0.2	1.0	-0.9	0	-0.1
	<u>Elapsed(hr)</u>	released)		39.0	78.0	53.5	61.3	121.7	72.0	50.0
Rate	of movement(mph)		.426	.130	.020	004	.016	007	0	002
	8-31-81	9-3-81	9-5-81	9-8-91	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-20-81	9-23-81	9-30-81
650-3	1 1.0/1855	1 1.0/1941	1 0.9/1504	<u>I 0.8/1149</u>	I 0.5/1617	1 0.5/1525	1 0.8/1034	1 0.6/1406	1 0.6/0836	1 0.6/1137
.	0.1	0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	0	+0.3	-0.2	0	0
'.]	78.4	72.8	43.4	68.7	76.3	47.1	67.5	99.5	69.5	171.0
<u> </u>	001	0	002	001	004	0	.004	002	0	0
	8-10-81	8-10-81	8-11-81	8-11-81	8-12-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-23-81	8-26-8]
660-1	102.9/1700	101.0/2045	109.0/1240	108.2/2100	113.6/1207	113.6/1422	113.6/1918	123,3/0837	142.0/1041	141.9/1044
1	(Tagged and	-1.9	8.0	-0.8	5,4	.0	0	9.7	18.7	-0.1
.	_ Released)	3.7	19.6	8.3	15.1	26.3	53.0	61.3	122.0	72.0
		513	,408	096	. 358	0	0	.158	. 153	001
1	8-28-81	8-30-81								
	141.7/1309	141.7/1830	Recovered							
	-0.2	0	fish on							
	50.4	53.3	8-30-81			NUMBER OF THE PARTY OF THE PART				
	004	0								
	8-12-81	8-12-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-20-81	8-21-81	8-23-81	8-26-81	8-28-8]
670-2	119.5/1513	119.7/1735	120.5/1425	119.8/1921	119.8/0834	119.8/1600	119.8/1700	119.8/1016	119.9/1020	_119.9/1224
0,0-2	(Tagged and	0.2	0.8	-0.7	Ó	0	0	0	0.1	Q
Cont'd	<u>released)</u>	2.4	20.9	52.9	61.2	55.4	25	41.3	72.1	50.1
next		.083	.038	001	0	0	0	0	.001	0
page	8-29-81	8-30-81	8-31-81	8-31-81	9-1-81	9-2-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	9-5-81
	119.9/1800	119.9/1030	119.6/1030	119.6/1845	119,6/1630	119.6/1900	119.6/1648	119.6/1928	119.6/1730	119.3/1458
	0	0	-0.3	0	Q	, Q .	Q	0,	0	
1	29.7	16.5	24	8.2	21.7	26,5	21.8	2.7	22.0	21.5
	0	0	012	0	0	0	0	0	0	014

I = Indiah River mileage

Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted.

Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

^{- =} downstream movement

^{+ =} upstream movement

Table EK-1. Continued.

					•					
	Date	9-8-81	9-9-81	9-10-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-17-81	9-18-81	9-20-81
	ion(R.M.)/Time	119.6/1136	119.6/1345	119.6/1120	119.6/1607	119.6/1512	119.6/1020	119.6/1635	119.6/1715	119.6/1345
	ince moved(mi)	+0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 '	0
	Elapsed(hr)	68.6	26.1	21.5	28.8	47.1	67.1	30.6	24.7	44.5
Rate	of movement(mph)	.004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9-23-8]	9-30-81				·				
670-2	119.6/0822	119.6/1121								
	0	0								
(cont)	66.6	171.0			.;					
	0	0								
	8-6-81	8-6-81	8-8-81	8-10-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	B-23-81	8-26-81	8-28-81
680-2	120.7/2215	120.6/2300	<u>I 3.3/1731</u>	I 3.3/0817	I 2.0/1434	1 2.0/1928	I 2.4/0845	1 1.7/1026	J.1.8/1029	<u>I 1.6/1234</u>
	(Tagged and	-0.1	18.0, 3.3=21.3	0	-1.3	0	0.4	-0.7	0.]	-0.2
	released)	0.7	42.5	38.7	86.3	52.9	61.6	121.6	72.1	50.1
		143	.501	0		0	.006	0 06	001	004
	8-31-81	9-2-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-20-81	9-23-81	9-30-81
	<u>I 1.4/]856</u>	1 1.6/1942	1.1.6/1505	1 1.5/1150	L_1.Q/1618	I_1.1/1526.hr_	1_1.2/1033	1 1.1/1407	[_ <u>]2/0836</u>	1 1.2/1137
	-0.2	0.2	00		-0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0
	78.4	72.8	43.4	68.7	76.5	47,1	67.1	99.6	66.5	170.9
	003	.003	0	001	006	.002	.001	- 001	.001	0
	8-9-81	8-10-81	8-11-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-21-81	8-23-81	8-26-81	8-28-81
680-3	119.5/1452	123.7/0810	123.7/1500	132, 2/1500	131.0/1920	131.0/0838	130,9/1100	1 0.5/1024	I 0.4/1028	I 0.3/1233
	(Tagged and	4.2	0	8.5	-1.2	0	-0.1	7.7, 0.5 = 8.2	-0.1	-0.1
	released)	17.3	30.8	48.0	52.4	61.2	74.4	47.6	72.0	50.1
		.243	0	.177	023	0	001	.172	001	002
	8-30-81	8-31-81	9-1-81	9_2-81	9-3-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-10-81	9-10-81	9-11-81
Contd	132.5/1500	132.5/1851	132.6/1830	132.6/1830	132.5/1939	132.3/1501	132.5/1142	_132.5/1325	132,5/1755	132.5/1614
next page	-0.3, -6.1=-6.4	0	0.1	0	-0,1	-0.2	+0.2	0	0	00
page	50.4	28.8	23.6	24.0	25.1	43,5	68.7	49.7	4.5	22.3
	127	0	.004	0	004	005	.003	0	0	0
	- = downstream m	ovement		I = Indian Riv	er mileage					1

^{- =} downstream movement

^{+ =} upstream movement

Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted. Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

Table EK-1. Continued.

.		Date		9-13-81	9-16-81	9-20-81	9-23-81	9-30-81	,		
i i	Locat	ion(R.M.)/Time	680-3	132.5/1522	132.5/1027	132.5/1402	132.5/0834	132.5/1130			
1		nce moved(mi)		0	0	0	0	0			
		Elapsed(hr)	Continued	47.1	67.1	99.6	66.5	170.9			
- 1	Rate	of movement(mph)		0	0	0	0	0			
	700-1	8-12-81	8-12-81	8-13-81	8-23-81	8-31-81	9-3-81	9-5-81	9-8-81		
- 1		119.5/1430	119.3/1740	119.8/1515	98.6/1133	98.0/1920	97.6/1914	97.6/1435	97.6/1724	Recovered	
		(Tagged and		0.5	-21.2	-0.6	-0.4	0	0	tag on	
. 1		released)	3.2	21.6	236.3	119.8	71.9	43.3	74.8	9-8-81	
l			062	.023	.090	003	006	0	0		
	700-3	7-30-81	7-30-81	8-5-81	8-6-81	8-8-81	8-18-81				
m		102.9/1250	102.9/2004	99.5/1341	99.9/1150	Ch 12.0//1802	Ch 16.1/0945	No Signal			
'''		(Tagged and	0	-3.4	0.4	-1.3,+12.0=13.3	4.1	_detected			
ズ		released)	7.2	120.8	22.1	54.2	231.7	after			
. L			0	.028	.018	. 245	.018	8-18-81			
۱'	710-2	8-6-81	8-6-81	8-7-81	8-8-81	8-10-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-21-81	8-23-8]
10		102.9/1448	104.8/1645	.107.0/0854	129.2/1726	132.5/0813	135.7/1431	135.7/1928	135.7/0842	135.8/1427	135.8/1024
		(Tagged and	9	2.2	22.2	3.3	3.2	0		0.1	0
_		<u>released)</u>	1.9	16.2	32,5	38.8	78.3	52.9	61.3	77.7	43.9
ı			1.0	.136	.683	.085	. 041	0	0	001	0
		8-26-81	8-28-81	8-31-81	9-2-81						
		135.8/1026	135.8/1231	135.8/1853	135.8/1645	Recovered					
I		0	0	0	0	tag on					
- 1		72.0	50.1	78.4	45.9	9-2-81					
1		0	0	0	0						
	720-1	8-7-81	8-8-81	8-10-81	8-11-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-21-81	. 8-23-Bl	8-24-81
	Cont'd.	120.7/0707	131.4/1727	130.7/0812	130,6/1530	130,8/1430	131.8/1927	131.0/0838	130.9/1100	130.8/1020	130.8/1230 hr
	next	(Tagged and	10.7	-0.7	-0.1	0.2	1.0	-0.8	-0.1	-0.1	S 55 yd
	page	released)	34.3	38.7	31.3	71.0	52.9	61.2	74.4	47.3	26.2
			.312	018	003	.003	.019	013	001	002	0

^{- =} downstream movement + = upstream movement

Ch = Chulitna River mileage S = Sherman Creek mileage

Time recorded using 24 hour clock
Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted.
Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

Page <u>3</u> of <u>4</u>

Table EK-1. Continued.

Tag
109
Number
Maniber

- 1											<u> </u>
	l.—.	Date	8-26-81	8-28-81	8-30-81	8-31-81	9-1-81	9-3-81	9-10-81	9-11-81	9-13-81
	Locat	ion(R.M.)/Time	130.8/1025	130,8/1226	130.9/1530	130.8/1850	130.9/1800	130.8/1937	130,8/1820	130.8/1612	130.8/1521
		nce moved(m1) _	0	0	+0.1	0.1	+0.1	-0.1	Q		0
		Elapsed(hr)	45.9	50.0	51.0	27.6	23.2	49.6	166.7	21.9	47.1
	Rate	of movement(mph)	0	0 ·	. 002	004	. 004	002	Q	0	0
-		9-16-81	9-18-81								
	720-1	130.8/1027	130.8/1530	Recovered		;					
-	(cont)	0	00	fish on							
- 1		67.1	52.5	9-18-81							
L		0	0								
۱ [8-6-81	8-6-81	8-8-81	8-10-81	8-13-81	8-15-81				
. 1	730-2	102.9/1718	102.2/1728	120.3/1722	121.2/0907	124.5/1427	127,0/2010	No Signal			
١,		(Tagged and	-0.7	18.1	0.9	3.3	2.5	detected			
	•	released)	.2	47,9	39.7	77.3	53.7	_after			
L			-3.5	.378	.023	.043	.047	8-15-81			
'		8-11-81	8-11-81	8-12-81	8-13-81	8-15-81	8-18-81	8-23-81	8-26-81	8-28-81	8-29-81
	740-1	119.5/1922	118.1/2040	117.8/1320	121.7/1426	121.5/2015	121.0/0742	121.1/1138	121,1/1021	121.1/1225	123.5/1630
		(Tagged and	-1.4	0,3	3.9	-0.2	-0.5	0.1	0	0	Fish netted.
	1	released)	1.3	16.6	25.1	29.6	59.4	123.9	70.7	50.1	Tag not in
		,	-1.76	018	.155	.007	008	.0008	0	0	fish.
		9-4-81									
		Recovered fish		1 19 (%)							
		at R.M. 123.5.									
		Tag at									
	- 1	R.M. 121.1									
\vdash		1						·			
1											·-· ·
1		The second second									
1											
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
L											

;

+ = upstream movement Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted. Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

Page _4 of _4

^{- =} downstream movement






Figure EK-12. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

it was monitored in the Susitna River adjacent to the mouth of Chase Creek, (RM 106.9) and by 21 September was located in the east channel of the Susitna River at RM 111.5, immediately downstream of Gash Creek, (RM 111.6).

The fish was first detected in Gash Creek (RM 111.6) at RM 0.375 by overflight on 23 September; later the same day, the fish was located by telemetry, during a stream survey, in a pond immediately above a beaver dam at RM 0.375 with about 18 other adult coho salmon. Numerous attempts to capture the individual with a net and assess it's spawning condition were not successful. An overflight on 30 September did not locate the fish. However, later that same day the spawned out, live female was captured in a riffle-run stream reach upriver of the pond at RM 0.375. The transmitter was missing.

A necropsy was performed. It had spawned, as evidenced by the 18 eggs retained in the coelum.

The kype was torn where the transmitter wire modification had been removed by someone. The Peterson disc tag remained intact and no other external injuries or abnormalities were noted. It is not known if spawning took place prior to and/or after the removal of the transmitter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #650-2

This individual was tagged at RM 102.9 on 1 September (Figure EK-13).

Ten minutes after release this fish entered (and was immediately removed from) a fishwheel on the opposite bank at RM 102.8; forty minutes later






Figure EK-13. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

it was located upstream at RM 103.5. It was detected the following day in Oxbow II at RM 119.3; this movement is equivalent to an upstream migration rate \geq 0.56 mph or 13.4 mi/day. It reached RM 131.0 on or before 5 September and remained within 0.1 mile of the mouth of Fourth of July Creek (RM 131.0) through at least 16 September.

Indirect evidence suggests this fish moved upstream Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) sometime during 17 or 18 September. It was consistently detected by boat and airplane at RM 131.0 from 5 through 16 September. However, on 18 September it was not encountered at or downstream of RM 131.0 or along the lower 0.5 mile of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0). Two days later (20 September) it was detected by overflight at RM 1.25 Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0). The individual probably would have been detected on 18 September upriver of mile 0.5 of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) had the ground telemetry survey extended further upstream. Sometime between 20 and 23 September the fish departed this stream; it was last located in the Susitna River at RM 130.2, downstream of the mouth of Fourth July Creek, (RM 131.0), on 23 September.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #660-2

This coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 30 August (Figure EK-14). Upon release the individual swam 0.1 mile upstream and remained there for at least 45 minutes. However, the following day (36 hours later) the fish was detected 11.0 miles downstream at RM 109.8; this movement is equivalent to a downstream migration rate of about 0.35 mph. The individual moved upstream to Oxbow I, RM 110.4, where it was monitored during 1 and 2 September.




Figure EK-14. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 660-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro, 1981.

This individual began moving upstream sometime during 2 or 3 September and was located at RM 141.1 on 8 September. This movement corresponds to an overall upstream migration rate of 0.22 mph but the fish demonstrated considerably faster upstream movement. For example, during 3 September it moved upstream 2.2 miles in 2.5 hours, a rate > 0.88 mph.

Sometime between 9 and 10 September the fish began moving downriver and entered Gash Creek, (RM 111.6), about 10 days later. On 10 September the individual was located in Slough 6A at RM 112.5; this movement is comparable to a downstream migration rate > 0.53 mph. The fish exited Slough 6A, as it was detected the following day at RM 113.3, and then progressively moved downriver and remained within 0.1 to 0.3 mile of the mouth of Gash Creek during 17 and 18 September. It was detected at RM 0.1 Gash Creek (RM 111.6) on 20 September.

The fish was located by telemetry on 21 September at RM 0.2 Gash Creek (RM 111.6), netted and inspected. The transmitter was intact and the fish had apparently spawned. The anterior one third of the coelomic cavity appeared gravid and firm whereas the remainder of the coelom was flacid and apparently devoid of eggs. The fish was returned to the stream alive, immediately swam 5 meters downriver and occupied an undercut bank.

the region of the first term of the second

A 23 September overflight did not encounter the individual along Gash Creek (RM 111.6); later the same day the live fish was detected visually within 15 meters of it's release site, netted and inspected. The fish was without the transmitter; neither telemetry or a search 25 meters up

and downriver from the capture site detected the transmitter. It was apparently removed from the fish sometime after 21 September.

A necropsy revealed only 25 eggs in the coelom. The stomach was intact and displayed no apparent damage from the transmitter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #680-1

Coho salmon number 680-1 was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 31 August (Figure EK-15). Forty five minutes after being released it had moved upstream 0.1 mile but within 8.1 hours it was detected 13.6 miles downriver at RM 107.2. This movement is equivalent to a downstream migration rate

1.69 mph. The fish continued moving downriver to RM 101.9, where it was monitored on 3 September. The coho salmon was consistently encountered in the Susitna River from RM 101.6 to 102.1 through 1045h 10 September as determined by telemetry on 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10 September.

The individual began moving upstream sometime between 1045h and 1950h on 10 September and was last detected at RM 109.7 on 11 September (1600h). This upstream movement represents an upstream migration rate > 0.28 mph or 6.7 mi/day. Extensive tracking efforts during the remainder of the season failed to locate this fish.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #700-2

Fish 700-2 was tagged at RM 102.9 on 3 September (Figure EK-16). This fish moved downstream to the mouth of Whiskers Slough, (RM 101.2), within four hours of release, and remained there thru 5 September. It




Figure EK-15. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 680-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-16. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 700-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

was next detected at RM 25.9 Chulitna River (RM 98.6) on 11 September.

Overflights detected this individual at or within 0.3 miles of RM 32.1

Chulitna River (RM 98.6) on the 13, 16 and 30 September.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #710-1

This fish was radio tagged at RM 102.9 on 4 September and remained undetected until 8 September, when it was located in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) at the mouth of Chunilna Creek, (RM 5.9) (Figure EK-17). Flights on 11 and 13 September detected the individual at RM 9.0 Chunilna Creek. It was not located thereafter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #710-3

This female coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.8 on 4 September

(Figure EK-18). Within 7.1 hours after being released this fish was

detected 1.7 miles downriver at RM 101.1. It was next detected 9 days

later by airplane in Fish Lake, about 4.7 miles upriver of the mouth of

Birch Creek, (RM 88.0). The individual ascended a northwest side inlet

(Cabin Creek) to Fish Lake, sometime between 13 and 16 September and remained

at or near RM 0.1 of this stream thru 19 September. A 19 September ground

telemetry survey detected the spawned-out, dead coho salmon at RM 0.1

Cabin Creek. The caudal fin of the female fish was worm. About 25 eggs

remained inside the fish. The stomach was ruptured along its entire length,

probably from the radio transmitter; no other apparent tissue or organ damage

associated with the radio transmitter was noted.




Figure EK-17. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-18. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

This male coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 2 September '
(Figure EK-19). Within 32 hours after release the fish was detected
11.6 miles downriver at RM 109.1. About two hours later the same day,
3 September, it was located 1.4 mile upriver at RM 110.5. During 4 and
5 September it was encountered at RM 111.2. However, on 8 September it
moved downstream to RM 107.7 and was observed in Chase Creek (RM 106.9)
at RM 0.3 with two other adult coho salmon. The individual supported
itself on the substrate by it's pectoral and pelvic fins; it appeared
lethargic and did not actively swim away when touched by hand. The
swimming performance of this fish was apparently adversely influenced by
insertion of the radio transmitter.

The fish departed Chase Creek (RM 106.9) sometime before 1100h the following day as it was located in the Susitna River at RM 109.0. It moved upriver and by 13 September was located at RM 111.3. However, 3 days later it was detected at RM 96.8 of the Susitna River, downstream of the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0), and was consistenly encountered there thru 7 October. Attempts to retrieve the carcass were unsuccessful.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #720-3

Coho salmon 720-3 was radio tagged at RM 119.5 on 4 September

(Figure EK-20). Within 21 hours after release this individual migrated

8.6 miles upriver, which represents an upstream migration rate = 0.41

mph. By 8 September it was detected by airplane at RM 131.0, the upstream migration extent of this individual. Two days later it was detected






Figure EK-19. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.




Figure EK-20. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September and October, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

downstream at RM 130.4; it continued moving downstream until 17 September when it was detected at RM 117.8, near Little Portage Creek at the same milepost.

This fish was consitently encountered in the mainstem Susitna River near the mouth of Little Portage Creek at RM 117.8 from 17 September thru

30 September. It was gillnetted on 17 September along the east bank of the mainstem Susitna River at RM 117.9; the fish had not attained spawning condition, as evidenced by it's silver-pink coloration and non-fluid character of the gonads. It was detected at or within 0.2 mile of RM 117.9 on 20, 23 and 30 September.

The individual was captured alive at RM 117.8 in the outlet of Little

Portage Creek (RM 117.8) on 7 October and necropsied. The necropsy

revealed that the fish had not spawned due to the fullness of the gonads,

although the kype was eroded.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter #730-3

Fish 730-3 was radio tagged at RM 102.9 on 31 August (Figure EK-21). Four and one half hours after being released it was detected 3.0 miles upstream, which is comparable to a 0.67 mph upstream migration rate. It was next detected at RM 111.7 on 4 September, although 3.6 hours later it was monitored at 2.1 miles downstream. Within 20.3 hours the fish had moved upstream 12.2 miles; this is equivalent to an upstream migration rate of 0.601 mph. The fish apparently continued migrating upstream, as evidenced by it being detected at RM 1.9 of Indian River (RM 138.6) on 8 September.




Figure EK-21. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 730-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Overflights consistently monitored this individual from RM 1.5 to 1.8 of the Indian River (RM 138.6) on 11, 13 and 16 September. By 20 September it moved upstream to RM 5.8 of the Indian River (RM 138.6) and was last detected there on 23 September. The spawning status of this fish was not determined.

Complete radio tagged coho salmon movement data are shown on Table EK-2.

Tag Number

Table EK-2. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult coho salmon during September and October, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

1100000					1				*	
	Date	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	9-4-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-18-81	9-20-81
Locat	ion(R.M.)/Time	120.7/1612	116.1/1926	107.0/1450	102.5/2040	T 2.7/1540	T 2.7/1405	T 3.2/0945	106.9/1800	111.3/1340
	nce moved(m1)	(Tagged and	-4.6	-9.1	4.5	-5.5,+2.7=8.2	0	0,5	-3.2.19.9-13.1	. 4.4
1 -	Elapsed(hr)	released)	5.7	19.4	5.8	163	46.4	67.7	56. 3	43.7
Rate	of movement(mph)		807	<u>-,469</u>	776	050	0	.007	233	. 101
	9-21-81	9-23-81	9-23-81	9-30-81	9-30-81					
650-1	111.5/1500	G 0.375/0810 ·	G 0.375/1315	G 0.375/1120	G 0.375/1712	Recovered				
	0.2	0.1+0.375475	0	. 0	0	fish on				
	25.7	41.2	5.1	166.1	5.8	9- 30-81				
	.008	.012	0	O .	00	<u></u>				
	9-1-81	9-1-81	9-1-81	9-2-81	9-3-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-10-81	9-10-81	9-11-81
	102.9/1410	102.8/1420	103.5/1500	119.3/1910	123.4/1932	131.0/1500	131.0/1141	131.0/1300	131.0/1800	131.0/1613
650-2	(Tagged and	0.]	0,7	15.8	4.1	7.6	0	00	0	0
	_released)	0.2	0.7	28.2	24.4	43.5	68.7	41.3	5.0	22.2
		500	1.000	. 560	. 168	.175	0	0	0	0
	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-20-81	9-23-81						
	131,0/1521	131.0/1025	Fr 1.25/1400	130,2/0830					d total	
	0	0	1.25	-1.25,-0.8-2.3						
	47.3	67.1	99.6	66.5						
	0	0	.013	035						
660-2	8-30-81	8-30-81	.8-31-81	9-1-81	9-2-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	_9-5-81
000-2	120.7/1028	120.8/1113	109.8/1841	110.4/1555	110.4/2000	112.5/1430	114.7/1700	114.9/1926	118.5/1530	128.4/1458
	(Tagged and	0.1	-11.0	0,6	0	2.1	2.2	Q.2	3.6	9.9
	released)	0.7	31.5	21.2	28.1	18.5	2.5	2.4	22.5	23.3
		.143	349	.027	0	113	.880	.083	.160	.425
	9-8-81	9-10-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-17-81	9-17-81	9-18-81	9-18-81	9-20-81
Cont'd.	141.1/1157	112_5 <u>/1</u> 9 25 .	113.3/1605	113.7/1511	112.8/1014	112.1/1555	111.5/1835	111,3/1100	111.3/1750	0.3,6 0,1=0.4/
next	12.7	28,6	0.8	0.4	-0,9	-0.7	-0.6	-0.2	0	0.4 1341hr
page	69,0	54,5	20,7	47.1	67	29.7	2.7	16.4	6.8	48.3
	.184	525	.039	.008	013	024	222	012	0	.008
	- = downstream m				,	T - Talkontna D				

 ⁼ downstream movement

T = Talkeetna River mileage

G = Gash Creek mileage

^{+ =} upstream movement

Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted. Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

Fr - Fourth of July Creek mileage

Table EK-2. Continued.

Tag Number

H

4 2

	Date	660-2	9-21-81	9-23-81					*	1
Locat	ion(R.M.)/Time		G 0.2/1530	G 0.2/1245	Recovered					
	nce moved(m1)		0.1	0	fish on					
	Elapsed(hr)	Continued	25.8	45.3	9-23-81					-
	of movement(mph)		.004	0						
	8-31-81	8-31-81	8-31-81	9-1-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-9-81
	120.7/0925	120.8/1030	107,2/1838	107.1/1515	101.9/1740	101.6/1919	102.1/1200	101.9/1436	101.6/1123	102.2/1130
680-1	(Tagged and	0.1	-13.6	-0.1	-5.2	-0.3	0.5	-0,2	-0.3	0.6
	released)	1.1	8.1	20.7	50.3	1.6	16.7	26.6	68.8	24.1
		.090	-1.679	~.005	103	880	.030	008	004	0.25
	9-10-81	9-10-81	9-11-81							
	101.7/1045	103.8/1950	109.7/1600	NO SIGNAL	DETECTED AFTER	1600 HR. ON	9-11-81			
	-0.5	2.]	5.9							
	23,3	9.1	20.2							
	022	.231	. 292							
700-2	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	9-5-81	9-11-81 1715	9-13-8)	9-16-81	9-30-81
	102.9/1340	102.75/1352	101.2/1742	101.2/1915	_101.2/1130	. 101.3/1435	-2.7. Ch25. 2/hr	Ch 32.1/1620	Ch 31,9/1120	Ch 31.9/1155
	(Iagged and	15	-1.55		0	0.1	28.6	6i2	0.2	0
	_released)	0.2	3.8	1.5]6.3	27.3	146.5	47 J	67.0	336.6
		750	-,408	0	00	.004	195	132	,003	0
710-1	9-4-81	9-8-81	9-11-81	9-13-81						
	102.9/2021	T 5.9/1230	Cr 9.0/1540	Cr 9.0/1415						
	(Tanged_and	-5.9,+5.9=11.8	9,0	00	NO SIGNAL	DETECTED AFTER	9-13-81			
	released)	88.1	75.2	46.6						
		+ and - ,134	,120	Q						
710-3	9-4-81	9-4-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-19-81					
	102.8/1335	101.1/2042	F /1635	сь 0.1/0955	сь 0.1/1100	Recovered				
	(Tagged and	-1.7	-14.8.+4.6=19.4	0.1	0	fish on				
	released)	7.1	211.9	65.3	73.1	9-19-81				
		239	.092	.001	0					
	downstraam m					C - Ct Ct				

^{- -} downstream movement

^{+ =} upstream movement

Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted. Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.

G = Gash Creek mileage
Ch = Chulitna River mileage
T = Talkeetna River mileage
Cr = Chunilna (Clear) Creek mileage
F = Fish Lake (Birch Creek Lake)
Cb = Cabin Creek (tributary of Fish Lake)

Table EK-2. Continued.

Tag
Number

-										
	Date	9-2-81	9-3-81	9-3-81	9-4-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-9-81	9-10-81	9-11-81
Locat	ion(R.M.)/Time	120.7/1032	109.1/1717	110.5/1921	111.2/1455	111.2/1455	_107.7/1125	Cs_0.1/1230	109.0/111.5	111.0/1601
	nce moved(m1), _	(Tagged and	-11.6	1.4	0.7	0	-3.5	-0.8.+0.1-0.9 25.1	-0.1.+2.1-2.2	2.0
1	<u>Elapsed(hr)</u>	released)	30.7	2.1	19.5	23.9	68.6		22.7	28.8
Rate	<u>of movement(mph)</u>		378	.667	.036	00	051	.036	.097	. 069
	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-17-81	9-18-81	9-20-81	9-21-81	9-23-81	9-30-81		
720-2	111.3/1509	96.6/1145	96.8/1430	96.8/0930	96.7/1330	96.7/1730	96.7/0924	96.7/1115		
	0.3	-14.7	0.2	0	-0.1	0	0	0	l	
ļ	47.1	68.1	26.7	19.0	54.0	28.0	39.6	169.8		
	.006	216	.007	0	002	0	0	00		
]	9-4-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-10-81	9-10-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-17-81	9-18-81
720-3	119.5/1707	128,1/1457	131,0/1141	130,4/1305	130.4/1820	123,6/1609	123.4/1515	118.2/1019	117.9/1800	117.9/1200
720-3	(Tagged and	8.6	2,9	-0.6	Q	-6.8	-0.2	-5.2	-0.3	0
1	released)	21.8	68.7	55,1	5,3	21.8	47.1	67.1	31.7	18.0
]		, 394	.042	012	0	312	004	077	008	0
	9-18-81	9-20-81	9-23-81	9-23-81	9-30-81	10-7-81				
	117.9/1720	118.2/1349	117.6/0820	117.6/1600	117.6/1121	117.8/1300	Recovered			
	0	0.3	-0,6	0	0	0.2	fish on			
	5.3	44,8	66,5	7.7	163.3	169,6	10-7-81			
	0	.007	-,009	0	0	.001				
	8-31-81	8-31-81	9-4-81	9-4-81	9-5-81	9-8-81	9-11-81	9-13-81	9-16-81	9-20-81
730-3	102.9/1405	105.9/1837	111.7/1510	109.6/1845	121.8/1505	I 1.9/1151	1 1.5/1619	1 1.5/1532	1 1.8/1036	1 5.8/1409
	(Tagged and	3,0	5.8	-2.1	12.2	16.8+1.9=18.7	-0.4	0	0.3	4.0
	_released)	4,5	92.6	3.6	20.3	68 . 6	76.4	47.3	67.0	99.5
		. 667	.063	583	.601	.273	005	0	.004	.040
	9-23-81									
1	I 5.8/0839									
	0				'					
	66.5				:	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	0 .									
	- s downstream m	oun-ont				Ca m Casa Casal	13		····	

^{- =} downstream movement

Cs = Case Creek mileage I = Indian River mileage

^{+ =} upstream movement

Time recorded using 24 hour clock

Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted. Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour.