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Figure EF-29. Coho salmon lengths by age class from Susitna andEF-29 Sunshịne Station fishwheel catches.

Figure EF-30. Coho salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and
Figure EHel. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... $\mathrm{EH}-1$ RM 68.3 approximately.
Figure EHm2. Maịnstem Susitna river chum salmon spawnịng area at ..... $\mathrm{EH}-2$
RM 76.6 approximately.
Figure EH-3. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... EH-3
RM 83.3 approximately.
Figure EH-4. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... EH-4 RM 92.2 approximately.
Figure EH-5. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... EH-5
RM 96.8 approximateiy.
Figure EH-6. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... EH-6
RM 97.0 approximately.
Figure EH-7. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at ..... EH-7 RM 100.5 approximately.
Figure EH-8. Mainstem Susitna River coho salmon spawning area at ..... EH-8 RM 117.6 approximately.Figure EH-9. Mainstem Susitna River chum and coho salmon spawningEH-9area at RM 129.2 approximately.
Figure EH-10. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 130.5 approximately.

Figure EH-11. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 131.1 approximately.

Figure EH-12. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 135.2 approximately.

Figure EI-1. Gash Creek located at RM 111.6 approximately.
Figure EI-2. Lower McKenzie Creek located at RM 116.2 approximately. ..... EI-2
Figure EI-3. Moose Slough located at RM 123.5 approximately. ..... EI-3
Figure EI-4. Slough $A^{1}$ located at RM 124.6 and Skul1 Creek located ..... EI-4 at RM 124.7 approximately.
Figure EI-5. Slough 9B located at RM 129.2 approximately.EI-5
Figure EI-6. Slough 21A located at RM 145.5 approximately. ..... EI-6
Figure EK-1. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-2 number 650-3 in the Susitna Riyer drainage during August and September, 1981.

Figure EK-2. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitterEK-3number 660-1 in the Susitna River drainage duringAugust and September, 1981.
Figure EK-3. Moyement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitternumber 670-2 in the Susitna Riyer drainage duringAugust and September, 1981.EK-5
Figure EK-4. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 680-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.EK-6
Figure EK-5. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-8 number 680-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.
Figure EK-6. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-9 number 700-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.
Figure EK-7. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-11 number 700-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.
Figure EK-8. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-12 number 710-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.
Figure EK-9. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter ..... EK-14 number 720-1 in the Susitna River draịnage during August and September, 1981.Figure EK-10. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitterEK-16number 730-2 in the Susitna River drainage duringAugust and September, 1981.
Figure EKm11. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 740-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.EK-17
Figure EK-12. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.EK-23
Figure EK-13. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.
Figure EK-14. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-27 number 660-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.
Figure EK-15. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-30
number 680-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981.Figure EK-16. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitterEK-31number 700-2 in the Susitna River drainage duringSeptember, 1981.
Figure EK-17. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.EK-33
Figure EK-18. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-34 number 710-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.
Figure EK-19. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-36 number 720-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.
Figure EK-20. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-37 number 720-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September and October, 1981.
Figure EK-21. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter ..... EK-39 number 730-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981.
Figure EL-1. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-2
number 600-1 in the Susitna drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-2. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-4 number 600-2 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.Figure EL-3. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 600-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.EL-6
Figure EL-4. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter
number 610-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.EL-7
Figure ELn5. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-9 number 610-2 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-6. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-11 number 610-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-7. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-13 June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-8. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-15
number $620-2$ in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.Figure EL-9. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitterEL-16
number 620-3 in the Susitna River drainage durịngJune, July and August, 1981.Figure EL-10. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitternumber 630-1 in the Susitna River drainage duringJune, July and August, 1981.EL-18
Figure EL- -11 . Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-20 number 630-3 in the Susitna Riyer drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure ELm12. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-22 number 640-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-13. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-24 number 660-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-14. Moyement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-25
number 670-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.
Figure EL-15. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter ..... EL-27 number 730-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981.

|  |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#650-3 EK-7 |  |  |
|  | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#660-1. | EK-1 |
|  | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#670-2 | EK-4 |
| $\cdots$ | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#680-2 | EK-4 |
| m | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#680-3 | EK-7 |
|  | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#700-1 | EK-7 |
| ${ }^{p+\infty}$ | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#700-3 | EK-10 |
|  | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-2 | EK-10 |
| Pma | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#720-1 | EK-13 |
|  | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#730-2 | EK-15 |
| - | Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#740-1 | EK-15 |
| $\cdots$ | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#650-1 | EK-18 |
|  | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#650-2 | EK-24 |
| ma | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#660-2 | EK-26 |
|  | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#680-1 | EK-29 |
| m | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#700-2 | EK-29 |
| m | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-1 | EK-32 |
|  | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-3 | EK-32 |
| xax | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#720-2 | EK-35 |
|  | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#720-3 | EK-35 |
| ama | Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#730-3 | EK-38 |
| ? | Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#600-1 | EL-7 |
|  | Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#600-2 | EL-3 |
| - | Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#600-3 | EL-5 |
| - | Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-1 | EL-5* |
|  | Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-2 | EL-8 |

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-3 ..... EL. 10
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-1 ..... EL-T2
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-2 ..... EL-14
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-3 ..... EL- 14
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#630-1 ..... EL: 17
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#630-3 ..... EL-19
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#640-3 ..... $E L=21$
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#660-3 ..... EL-23
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#670-3 ..... EL.-23
Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#730-1 ..... EL-26

Salmon escapement monitoring was conducted at four stations on the Susitna River and one station on the Yentna River. These stations were operational from late June to mid September, 1981. Methods used included side scan sonar counters and fishwheels. Chinook salmon escapement surveys were effected in late July and early August on tributary streams. A radio telemetry tagging program monitored the migrational movements of adult chinook, chum and coho salmon between late June and early September. The Susitna River mainstem was surveyed for spawning activity by three crews from late July through September using primarily drift gill nets, electroshocking equipment and egg deposition pumps. Set netting was effected at river mile (RM) 150 in the Susitna River mainstem immediately below Devil Canyon (RM 151) from late July to mid September. Susitna River tributary streams and sloughs between the Talkeetna River confluence (RM 99) and Devil Canyon were surveyed on foot for spawning salmon from late July through September.

Fishwheel catch and sonar enumeration data indicate the chinook salmon migration was underway before the fishwheels and sonar counters were placed. Peak migration timing was determined at Sunshine (RM 80), Talkeetna (RM 103) and Curry (RM 120) stations. Commencement of migration was recorded at only Curry Station. A correlation may exist between river discharge and upstream migration. The 1981 Susitna River chinook salmon escapement was dominated by four year old fish. Length measurements segregated by age and sex indicate that chinook salmon at Talkeetna and Curry stations were significantly larger than those intercepted at Sunshine Station. Early smolting is a possible
cause based on a higher percentage of Talkeetna and Curry station fish having spent less than one winter in freshwater before smolting. Radio telemetry investigations indicate that the confluence of the Talkeetna, Chulitna and Susitna rivers (RM 99) is a probable chinook salmon milling area and also that some upper Susitna River chinook salmon stocks use lower Devil Canyon (RM 151) as a milling area.

1981 chinook salmon escapement in the Susitna River basin was generally above average based on comparative recent year surveys.

Sockeye, pink, chum and coho salmon escapements and timing were documented at each mainstem sampling station. The data indicate that the majority of 1981 Susitna River sockeye, pink, chum and coho salmon escapement originated in the Susitna River reach above (upstream of) the Yentna River confluence (RM 28). Escapement samples collected from fishwheel interceptions indicate average length differences in sockeye and pink salmon stocks between the Yentna River subdrainages and the Susitna River basin above the Yentna River confluence.

Scale samples collected at the mainstem sampling stations indicate Susitna River sockeye, chum and coho salmon stocks were comprised predominantly of age $5_{2}, 4_{1}$ and $4_{3}$ fish respectively.

Twelve Susitna River mainstem salmon spawning sites were located between RM 64.5 and RM 135.2. Chum salmon were found spawning at 10 of the sites and coho salmon were recorded spawning in the same area as chum salmon at two sites.

Sockeye, chum and coho salmon were gill netted in the Susitna River mainstem less than one mile below Devil Canyon (RM 151) indicating a milling area exists in the lower canyon.

Eight additional salmon spawning sloughs and streams were identified in the Susitna River reach between the Chulitna River (RM 99) and Devil Canyon (RM 151).

Radio telemetry tagging investigations on chum and coho salmon indicate that both species display milling behavior in the Susitna River mainstem above Talkeetna (RM 99). Coho salmon displayed the greatest milling movement; radio tagged coho salmon were found in the Susitna River several miles upstream of their spawning area. Necropsies of radio tagged coho and chum salmon indicate successful spawning occurred.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

This Phase I Final Draft Report of the Adult Anadromous Fisheries project presents the data collected on the five species of adult salmon in Susitna River by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) during the 1981 Su Hydro Aquatic Studies. The five species found in the Susitna River are:

Chinook Salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha<br>Sockeye Salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka<br>Pink Salmon, Oncorhynchus gorbuscha<br>Chum Salmon, Oncorhynchus keta<br>Coho Salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch

These studies are part of the Fish Ecology (Subtask 7.10) Phase I studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project.

The primary objectives of the fish ecology studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project are to: (1) describe the fisheries resources of the Susitna River, (2) assess the impacts of development and operation of the Susitna Hydroelectric Project on these fisheries resources, and (3) propose the mitigation measures to minimize adverse impacts (Alaska Power Authority Susitna Hydroelectric Project, Environmental Studies Procedures Manual, Subtask 7.10, Fish Ecology Impact Assessment and mitigation planning; prepared by Terrestrial Environmental Specialists August 1981). The task of meeting the first of these study objectives is the responsibility of the ADF\&G under a reimbursable services agreement (RSA) with the Alaska Power Authority and the second and third are the responsibility of Terrestrial Environmental Specialists (TES).

## 3. OBJECTIVES

The data contained in this Phase I Final Draft Report of the Adult Anadromous Fisheries project on the five species of adult salmon in the Susitna River was collected by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to meet the specific objective and tasks outlined below:

Objective 1. Determine the seasonal distribution and relative abundance of adult anadromous fish populations produced within the study area.

Task 1.1 Enumerate and characterize the runs of the adult anadromous fish.

Task 1.2 Determine the timing and nature of migration, milling and spawning activities.

Task 1.3 Identify spawning locations within the study area (i.e., subreaches of the mainstem sloughs and side channels, tributary confluences, lakes and ponds, etc.) and estimate their comparative importance.
4. METHODS

### 4.1 Mainstem Escapement Sampling

Five escapement monitoring stations were established in early June 1981 at the locations identified in Figure E.4.1. Individual'site description maps are provided in Figures EA-1 through EA-5. The operating dates and gear deployed at these sites were as listed in Table E.4.1. Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations were operated under the direction of Su Hydro, Adult Anadromous Investigations personnel. Susitna Station was operated by Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Commercial Fisheries Division personnel.

Table E.4.1. Anadromous adult salmon sampling locations, gear type and operational dates on mainstem Susitna and Yentna Rivers, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SAMPLING } \\ \text { SITE } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION |  | PERIOD |  | GEAR DEPLOYED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RIVER | RIVER MILE | BEGIN | END | SONARS | FISHWHEELS |
| Susitna Station | Susitna | 26 | 6/27 | 9/2 | 2 | 2 |
| Yentna Station | Yentna | 04 | 6/29 | 9/7 | 2 | 2 |
| Sunshine Station | Susitna | 80 | 6/23 | 9/15 | 2 | 4 |
| Talkeetna Station | Susitna | 103 | 6/22 | 9/15 | 2 | 4 |
| Curry Station | Susitna | 120 | 6/15 | 9/21 | - | 2 |



Figure E.4.1. Susitna Basin with field stations and major alacial streams defined, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The side scan sonar (SSS) counters used at the escapement monitoring stations were deployed and monitored by trained personnel in accordance with the 1980 Side Scan Sonar Counter Installation and Operational Manual written by the Bendix Corporation (1980). A brief narrative of how a sonar works is provided in the following paragraph.

A sonar counter essentially coverts electrical energy into acoustical energy (sound waves) and counts underwater targets by measuring changes in acoustical echoes. Each SSS counter is composed of a transducer, aluminum substrate with reflector (target), an electronic-printer, a 12 volt battery, a solar charger and attendant cableware (Figures E.4.2 and E.4.3). The transducer is vertically mounted on the shore end of the substrate and emits repeating sound signals in a conical $2^{\circ}$ and $4^{\circ}$ alternating beam just above the substrate. The transducer also receives returning echoes from the target which is mounted vertically on the offshore end of the substrate. The entire substrate rests on the bottom, perpendicular to the shore. As upstream migrant fish pass over the substrate, they reflect transmitted sound waves back to the transducer and are then recorded as counts on the electronic counter-printer. The counterprinter tallies the counts and hourly provides a print-out of the number of fish passing over each of 12 lineal substrate sectors.

During the 1981 season, each SSS counter was monitored with an oscilloscope a minimum of four times daily for 30 minutes. Fish related echoes displayed on the oscilloscope were hand tallied. The ratio of oscilloscope counts attributed to fish and SSS counts were compared and used to adjust the counter for accuracy. A fishwheel was operated near each counter to provide species composition data for apportioning sonar counts.


Figure E.4.2. 1980 Model Bendix Side Scan Salmon Sonar Counter with attendant oscilloscope monitoring fish passage, Adult Anadromous Investiqations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.4.3. Removing flood instated debris from a SSS substrate which has been raised to the surface to allow cleaning, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The fishwheels used at each project location were of identical design with two baskets and two paddles (Figure E.4.4). Floatation was provided by styrofoam logs shielded by a plywood frame. The baskets had an average length, width and depth of $2.4,1.7$ and 0.6 meters ( $m$ ) respectively and were constructed of native spruce poles. The basket frames were covered with 7.6 centimeter (cm) rubber coated fencing material which was replaced during the season on most baskets by similar size, creosote coated webbing. The paddles were also made from spruce poles of the same length and width as the baskets. The fishwheel ax.les were built from 20.3 cm squared spruce logs capped at each end with a steel collar that held a 3.8 cm steel shaft set into self adjusting bearing blocks. The bearing blocks were bolted to an adjustable wood frame that permitted the axle to be raised or lowered at 15.2 cm steps to a minimum and maximum height of 30.5 and 122 cm , respectively, above the top of the f1oats. A 122 cm long, 76.2 cm wide and 122 cm deep live box was attached to the inshore side of each fishwheel.

Each fishwheel was held in position by a cable bridle anchored to an onshore deadman and by an inshore mounted boom $\log$ lodged between the bank and the inshore float. An inshore weir was used on each wheel, except those at Sunshine Station to deflect inshore migrants into the fishing area of the baskets. Weir panels were constructed of alder and willow poles vertically spaced on 2.5 to 5.1 cm centers or when available from 7.6 cm mesh, fencing material.

Each weir was built to conform to the river bottom at the location of instalTation and extended from the shore perpendicular to the downstream end of the
livebox. Weirs were not used at Sunshine Station because of debris problems.

All fishwheels were adjusted daily to insure the baskets fished within 15.2 cm or less of the bottom. Depending on site characteristics, primarily river velocity, the wheels rotated at speeds ranging from 2.0 to 5.5 revolutions per minute (rpm). The preferred speed was 2.5 rpm based on design.

All fishwheels were scheduled to operate continuously, 24 hours per day. However, due to occasional flooding and excessive debris, maintenance and repair work, and at Sunshine Station because of periodically high catches which could not always be processed due to safety and personnel constraints, continuous operation was not always possible. Sampling checks were usually made four or more times daily at each fishwheel.

Age, length and sex samples were collected daily at each sampling station from all fishwheel caught chinook salmon and from 40 sockeye, 25 chum, and 25 coho salmon. Age samples were obtained by removing the "preferred" scale located two rows above the lateral line on a diagonal from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin. Sex was determined from morphologic characteristics. Fork Length (FL) measurements were taken from mid-eye to fork of the tail and recorded to the nearest millimeter ( mm ). Pink salmon, exclusively two year old fish, were sampled only for length and sex at a rate of 40 per day per station. Average processing time for collection of age, length and sex samples per fish usually ranged between 20 and 30 seconds. All fish were immediately released following sampling.

All fishwheel intercepted sockeye, pink, chum and coho salmon at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations were tagged. An exception was that on three non-consecutive days at Sunshine Station an insufficient number of tags were on location to tag the entire catch. Two types of tags were used (Table E.4.2.). At Sunshine and Talkeetna stations color coded Floy-4 spaghetti tags were deployed. Petersen disc tags, 2.5 cm in diameter, were used at Curry. Station. The Petersen disc tags were inserted through the cartilage immediately ventral to the insertion of the dorsal fin. Buffer discs, 20.6 cm in diameter, were used to prevent the tagging pins from wearing through the Petersen disc and causing tag loss. Floy FT-4 spaghetti tags were inserted in same location as the Petersen disc tags and each was secured against the back of the fish by a tightly drawn overhand knot. Tagging time per individual fish ranged from 10 to 30 seconds. All fish were released immediately after tagging.

Table E.4.2. Tag type and color used at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TAGGING } \\ & \text { LOCATION } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RIVER MILE <br> (RM) | TAG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TYPE | COLOR |
| Sunshine Station | 80 | FT-4/spaghetti | Int. Orange |
| Talkeetna Station | 103 | FT-4/spaghetti | Yellow |
| Curry Station | 120 | Petersen Disc | Int. Orange |

### 4.2 Survey Investigations

### 4.2.1 Chinook Salmon Escapement Surveys

Chinook salmon escapement surveys were initiated in the third week of July and terminated in the second week of August. Surveys were performed by helicopter, single engine fixed-wing aircraft and in one instance, by foot. Surveyors wore polaroid sunglasses to enhance their ability to observe and enumerate fish. Estimation counts were held to a minimum and the majority of the fish were individually enumerated with hand held tally counters.

### 4.2.2 Sockeye, Pink, Chum and Coho Salmon Surveys

### 4.2.2.1 Mainstem Surveys

From mid July to early October, a survey crew was assigned to each of three subreaches of the Susitna River mainstem between the estuary and Devil Canyon as outlined below:

| Susitna Station Survey Crew | Estuary to $\quad$ (RM 0 to RM 61) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Kashwitna River |


| Sunshine Station Survey Crew | Kashwitna River (RM 61 to RM 108) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | to Chase |


| Gold Creek Station Survey Crew $\quad$ Chase to Devil (RM 108 to RM 151) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Canyon |


#### Abstract

The crews used a combination of drift gill nets, electroshockers, echo recorders and egg deposition pumps to sample the mainstem Susitna River for presence or absence of mainstem spawning activity. Drift gill nets were deployed over a wide range of sites. Site selection was based on a brief visual assessment of the following criteria which generally suggested suitability of a particular site as a spawning area and the feasibility of operating a drift net: 1. Substrate cómposition 2. Relative water velocity 3. Water turbidity 4. Water depth 5. Presence of debris 6. Presence of spawned out fish or fish surfacing.


Several times in the season high water conditions obscured many of the visual parameters used to identify potential spawning sites. When this occurred, aerial photographs taken earlier during low water flows were examined and, from the photos, likely spawning areas were identified and sampled.

Drift gill nets used in sampling the mainstem were 15.2 m long, 1.5 m deep, 13.3 cm stretch mesh nylon web, and were fished from 6.1 m flat bottom riverboats each equipped with a 75 horsepower jet outboard. A net was typically deployed by casting one end into the river from the bow of the boat as it moved slowly in reverse. The other end of the net was tied to the bow and the boat was then maneuvered in a manner that the net extended semi-perpendicular to the river current. Surface and subsurface debris along with fluctuating
depths generally governed the distance fished. These same nets were used in areas that were either too shallow or too narrow to sample effectively by the drifting technique. In some cases, the net was used as a set net by anchoring one end to the boat bow and the other end to a portable anchor or natural deadman. In other instances, the net was deployed as a seine by manual means.

Salmon caught by drift netting, seining or by set netting were not assumed to be spawning at the catch location unless the criteria listed below were met:

1. Fish exhibits spawning maturation color and morphology and;
2. Fish expels eggs or milt when slight pressure is exerted on the abdomen and;
3. Fish is in vigorous condition, with an estimated 25 percent or more of the eggs or milt remaining in the body cavity and;
4. Additional fish are provided from the site that meet criteria 1 through 3 above.

Survey crews were equipped with a Lowrance Mode1 LRG-15TOB echo recorder to survey the Susitna River mainstem for salmon spawning activity. The plan was to locate fish by directing the transducer beam horizontally across the river bed. A horizontal mode was chosen because of the limitation of vertical scans due to restricted water depths in the mainstem. In conducting a horizontal
side scan the recording unit was nearly always tuned to record at the 9.1 or the 18.2 m range to take advantage of refined dimension in resolution and detail on the graph printout. The sensitivity setting on the recorder was set at the $3 / 4$ point or higher for additional detail. The transducer was attached to an adjustable aluminum gunnel bracket that allowed it to be lowered into the water column at various depths. Echo recordings were taken with the transducer in the horizontal mode at depth ranges from 61 cm from the surface to 30 cm from the bottom. Sites surveyed were generally semi-placid areas of the river due to the limited ability of the transducer bracket to withstand water force without bending or breaking.

The survey crews electroshocked areas of the mainstem Susitna River with a Model VVP-3C Coffelt electroshocker, using a 3500 watt Homelite generator as a power source (Figure E.4.5). Input to the electroshocking unit was 230 volts alternating current (A.C.) and output voltage was one of three types, A.C., direct current (D.C.), or pulsating D.C. One to three and one half amps of D.C. or pulsating D.C. was found to be effective capturing adult salmon. The output power was spiit with one lead going to a foot switch and the other to the electrodes; the anode (+) electrode being the dip net and the cathode (-) electrode the boat. Depression of a foot switch allowed the flow of current through the water. The activation period ranged from five to 10 seconds followed by a 20 to 40 second pause to avoid a possible herding effect on fish. Safety was accomplished through the use of rubber boots and gioves; in addition, a kill switch was attached to the generator and kept in a ready position by the boat operator at all times.


Egg deposition sampling was conducted with a Homelite two cycle, single stage, backpack mounted water pump and two circular, standing screen baskets with cod end nets. Each basket sampled a $1,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ area. The height of the basket was 45.7 cm . Sampling with this gear was limited to areas of not more than 45.7 cm deep and where electroshocking or gill netting produced fish which met the previously defined criteria for spawning or where visual surveys earlier in the season revealed suspect redds or spawning activity.

From late July to mid September, the Gold Creek survey crew fished four hours every five days, one - 15.2 m long, 1.5 m deep, 13.3 cm stretched mesh nylon gill net in eddies in the Susitna River mainstem between Devil Canyon and RM 149.4, $1 / 2$ mile above Portage Creek. The gill net was staked at one end to the shore and held off shore at the other end in a slight downstream arc by a 35 pound Navy anchor. Species and spawning conditions were recorded on all gill net caught fish.

### 4.2.2.2 Slough and Tributary Stream Surveys

The Sunshine and Gold Creek survey crews conducted adult salmon enumeration counts on all spring fed sloughs and tributary streams between the Chulitna River and Devil Canyon on a weekly basis. In addition, the Sunshine survey crew made tag recovery counts at pre-selected times on several known spawning tributaries between Sunshine Station and the Chulitna River confluence (Table E.4.3.).

Table E.4.3. Survey schedule on selected salmon spawning streams between Sunshine Station and Chulitna River, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SPAWNING } \\ \text { AREA } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOCATION1/ } \\ & \text { (RIVER MILE) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SURVEY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PERIOD | FREQUENCY |
| Birch Creek | 88.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 1-8 / 30 \\ & 9 / 7-8 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | weekly |
| Troublesome Creek | 97.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 7-8 / 30 \\ & 9 / 7-9 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | weekly |
| Byers Creek | 97.8 | 8/7-8/21 | weekly |
| Byers Lake | 97.8 | 9/15-9/30 | weekly |
| Question Creek | 84.1 | 9/1-9/30 | weekly |
| Answer Creek | 84.1 | 9/7-9/30 | weekly |
| Swan Creek | 97.8 | 9/21-9/30 | once |
| Horseshoe Creek | 97.8 | 9/21-9/30 | once |
| Clear Creek | 97.1 | 8/21-8/27 | once |

1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River mainstem.

The spawning ground surveys were performed on foot by two crew members. One counted live fish and the other counted carcasses. Tag recovery counts were made at the same time by the crew member enumerating live fish. Tag type and color were recorded by species on each live fish bearing a tag. The second crew member removed tags from carcasses and recorded the tag type, number and color, and species.

### 4.3 Radio Telemetry Investigations

Radio tracking operations were effected on chinook, chum and coho salmon. A sample size of 16 chinook, 11 chum and 10 coho salmon was selected. The radio telemetry transmitters, receivers, and antennas were obtained from the SmithRoot Corporation, Vancouver, Washington. All transmitters used were Model P-40. The antennas used were a loop antenna Mode1 LA-40 and a paddle antenna Mode1 PA-40. The two type of receivers used were a manual receiver Model RF-40 and a scanning receiver Model SR-40. Each transmitter was individually identifiable and operated on a carrier frequency ranging from 40.650 to 40.740 MHZ. Transmitter life expectancy was 75 to 90 days.

Two transmitter sizes were used. The larger transmitters measured 9.7 cm long, 1.9 cm wide, supported a 16.5 cm long antenna and weighed 38.6 grams. The smaller transmitters weighed 23.6 grams, measured 7.6 cm long, 1.6 cm wide and had a 13.0 cm long antenna. Each transmitter was sealed in a rubber coated, waterproofed plastic case and was equipped with an external, insulated, water tight antenna. A small bar magnet was taped to each transmitter to break the electrical circuit and conserve battery life until used. The larger ( 38.6 grams) transmitters were used on chinook salmon exceeding 87.6 cm FL. The smaller (23.6 grams) transmitters were inserted in lesser sized chinook salmon and were used entirely in radio tracking chum and coho salmon.

Prior to field operations, the radio transmitters were immersed in water for 48 hours and tested for signal strength and frequency on both manual. and scanning receivers. Malfunctional transmitters were returned to the manu-
facturer for repair. To enable anglers to return the transmitter and catch data to project personnel, adhesive waterproof labels were affixed to those transmitters which tested satisfactorily.

All chinook salmon selected for radio tagging were captured by fishwheels and processed similarly at the Talkeetna and Curry Stations. Those fish visually judged longer than 80.6 cm FL were transferred by a standard dip net from the fishwheel holding box to a wooden tank containing approximately 15 liters of fresh water. After a few minutes the fish usually calmed and was examined briefly for external injuries and spawning condition. Vigor was appraised prior to and during this inspection and any fish displaying little or no movement or loss of equilibrium was deemed "stressed". Fish containing fresh wounds or which were less than 76.2 cm and/or those fitting the definition of "stressed" were classified as unsuitable for tagging. Stressed fish were removed from the box and held in shallow, slow moving water by hand until they revived and forcefully swam away. Processing continued using this criteria until a fish suitable for tagging was encountered.

After a fish was examined and found to be suitable for tagging, preparations were made for implantation of the radio transmitter. Tricaine methanesulfonate (MS-22 (B), an anesthetic, was sprinkied sparingly into the holding tank in an amount that caused a slight decrease in opercular movement followed by loss of equilibrium within two to five minutes. Slightly more anesthetic was added if the fish remained active after the first application.

Once anesthetized, the sex of the fish was determined by external examination of morphological characteristics. Next, a FL measurement was taken and
several scales were removed from the preferred zone for age determination. The fish was then suspended in a moistened canvas sling and weighed to the nearest 0.1 kg and returned to the anesthetic tank. As the fish was held firmly against one side of the tank a numbered Petersen disc with buffer pad was mounted on a presharpened needle and inserted about 2.5 cm beneath the second dorsal fin ray. A blank Petersen disc was then slipped on the protruding needle, and the disc snugged against the flesh by twisting the needle firmly against the blank disc. The measuring, weighing, scale collection and Petersen disc tagging process usually took 60 to 90 seconds.

Prior to insertion the radio tag was checked for a final time while submerged in a container of water and tested for signal strength and frequency of transmission. After testing, a \#2, nickel finish, beak hook was tied to the free end of the antenna wire. The antenna, with attached hook, was placed hook first into a 1.95 cm diameter, 50.2 cm long plexiglass tube which served as an insertion instrument. A wider, 2.5 cm diameter, 32.4 cm long plexiglass tube was slid over the small tube until the transmitter was cradled in the larger tube. Glycerine, a water soluble lubricant, was liberally poured on the transmitter to ease insertion in the fish. As one person held the fish ventral side up with the head elevated at about a $45^{\circ}$ angle, the other person inserted both tubes and the transmitter to the fish's esophagus. The smaller rod was slowly pushed inward until the transmitter disappeared from view into the stomach. The fish was immediately immersed for 20 to 30 seconds and lifted again at the same angle. The antenna hook was positioned slightly off center in the roof of the mouth to prevent rupturing a major artery. Pressure was applied until the barb protruded (Figure E.4.6.). Verification was then made to determine if the transmitter was correctly positioned. Next, water


Figure E.4.6. Attaching radio transmitter antennae to adult salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
was removed from the tank and fresh water was added to allow the fish to recover from the anesthetic. Four to eight water changes were usually required for recovery depending on the amount of $M S-222^{(B)}$ used. Once the fish displayed increased muscular and opercular activity, it was removed from the tank and held by hand in the river until it forcefully swam away. Tag implantation and antenna anchoring usually took two to three minutes. Total elapsed time for the entire tagging process between introduction of MS-222 ${ }^{R}$ and first addition of fresh river water varied from eight to 12 minutes, depending on how long it took the fish to become sedated. Recovery times from the anesthetic ranged from seven to 30 minutes depending on the amount of MS-222 ${ }^{(8)}$ used.

Preliminary literature research revealed no information about internal radio transmitter implants in chum salmon. In late July, three adult chum salmon were experimentally radio tagged with dummy transmitters to ascertain whether the method used on chinook salmon would be suitable. The chum salmon were taken from Sunshine Station fishwheels. The first experimentally implanted transmitter was positioned in the posterior of the stomach [Figure E.4.7 (A)]. Immediately after tagging, the fish was pithed and necropsied. The stomach was found to be very thin walled and had ruptured. The tear was 5.3 cm long and extended from the posterior end of the transmitter toward the fish's mouth. The second and third chum salmon experimental implants were made in progressively anterior positions, posterior of the esophagial sphincter muscle. Despite the anterior transmitter location the thin walled stomachs


Figure E.4.7. (A) Posterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (B) and (C) Progressively anterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection not visible in rear of mouth). (D) Pre-anterior placement of radio transmitter in stomach. (Antenna to transmitter connection visible in rear of mouth). Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
ruptured [Figure E. 4.7 ( $B-C$ )]. The antenna also extended too far forward in the fish's mouth, causing it to sag and become entangled in the lower jaw and gills.

From these results the decision was made to implant the transmitter in the anterior portion of the stomach cavity in chum salmon [Figure E.4.7 (D)]. This location was determined to be the point at which the anterior (antenna) end of the transmitter just disappeared from sight behind the esophagial sphincter. When so positioned, the rubber coated reinforcement at the antenna/transmitter connection point was barely visible in the rear of the fish's mouth.

The problem of antenna placement was remedied by lacing the antenna through the fish's kype. To accomplish this the hook method was rejected and an extension was added to the antenna. A 15 cm piece of heat-to- shrink material, a wire insulating material made of plastic, was fastened to the anterior two cm of the antenna. Following transmitter implantation a hollow Floy tagging needle was used to pierce the kype from inside the mouth. Care was taken to avoid puncturing the major artery that.lies at the center of the roof of the mouth. The heat-to-shrink material was slid into the hollow needle and the needle pulled through the kype, lacing the elongated antenna through the tissue. This allowed maximum extension of the antenna without damage to gills and simultaneously suspended the antenna so that signal transmission was enhanced. The antenna extension was secured to the dorsal surface of the kype by crimping one-half of a precut size 10/12 electrical
butt splice on the heat-to-shrink material. A plastic buffer pad was placed between the flesh and the butt splice to prevent tissue damage. Any excess heat-to- shrink material above the butt splice was then removed.

Radio transmitter implantation methodology for coho salmon was initially identical to that described for chum salmon, however transmitter and antenna modifications were required to prevent transmitter regurgitation by adult coho salmon. The first two tagged coho salmon were released with extremely anterior implanted transmitters with the heat-to-shrink material antenna modification. The third coho salmon which was radio tagged following the same procedure used on the first two fish, regurgitated the transmitter before recovering from the anesthetic.

To prevent future transmitter regurgitation by coho salmon, a wire modification was adopted. A 30 cm long piece of 16 gauge baling wire was wrapped twice around the anterior tip of the transmitter and extended forward, parallel to the antenna. Several wraps of waterproof plastic tape secured the wire to the transmitter. The tip of the antenna was extended and taped to the wire to enhance signal transmission and prevent possible abrasion to the fish.

Regurgitation of radio transmitters has been evidenced in at least one other study. Two of 23 adult coho salmon evidently regurgitated radio transmitters (identical to those used in this study, but without antenna modifications) downstream of their release sites in the White River, Puget Sound, Washington (personal communication, Don Chapman). The transmitters in the White River coho study were lubricated and esophogically implanted with the antenna
trailing through the operculur rather than being anchored to the kype as they were in the Susitna River study.

The technique adopted to implant radio tags in coho salmon was almost identical to that used for chum salmon, however prior to pushing the sharpened wire through the kype, an outward facing loop was made, so that it rested against the inside of the kype. A buffer was then snugged against the dorsal side of the kype and one half of an electrical connection was crimped over the wire and against the buffer. The wire loop and buffer-crimp combination prevented the transmitter from moving forward and being regurgitated by the fish.

When chinook, chum and coho salmon were being implanted with radio tags the fishwheel, at the tagging site, was shutdown and kept deactivated for 20 minutes following release to prevent possible recapture. Each radio tagged fish was monitored with a loop or paddle antenna for 10 to 20 minutes after being tagged. (Figure E.4.8).

Fish tracking was conducted by boat along the mainstem Susitna River from RM 99.0 to as far upstream as RM 142.0. The boat used was a 6.6 m Wooldridge riverboat powered by a $460 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ four cylinder inboard engine with a two-stage Hamilton jet. Tracking occurred at one to four day intervals depending on stream flow conditions and fish distribution.

Fish tracking was conducted using both manual and scanning receivers. Both receivers were encased in a waterproof wood box. A loop antenna and an outdoor speaker were connected to the scanning receiver to detect and signal


Figure E.4.8. Preparing to release radio tagged chum salmon while tracking another chum salmon in the Susitna River at east bank Curry Station fishwheel. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
the occurrence of a radio tagged fish while monitoring from the boat. A smaller paddle antenna was connected to the manual receiver to pinpoint a tagged fish's location to within six meters. While the scanning receiver automatically searched all transmitter frequencies in use, the individual operating the manual tracker scanned specific transmitter frequencies when a tagged fish was detected. A triangulation procedure was implemented by rotating the loop antenna slowly from various river locations. The position of the fish was determined and its location plotted on black and white aerial photographs (scale 1:40,000) of the river. Its position was then logged to the nearest 0.1 river mile.

Monitoring a tagged fish was conducted by air at one to four day intervals from a Cessna 185 aircraft. A loop antenna was fastened to each wing strut with hose clamps. The antennas were fixed parallel to the fuselage with the handle facing forward. The broad face of the loop faced the fuselage and the narrow surface of the loop was perpendicular to the ground. One antenna was connected to a manual receiver and the other to a scanning receiver inside the airplane. Each antenna cord was reinforced with duct tape where it passed through the doorway. A speaker was connected to the scanning receiver and headphones to the manual receiver. The manual receiver was monitored by one person while the other monitored the scanning receiver and plotted the position of the aircraft. Locations of tagged fish were identified by signal strengtl to $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{mile}$ and marked on vinyl encased, black and white aerial photographs (scale 1:40,000).

### 4.4 Data Analysis

Population estimates presented in the report were calculated using the following formulas (Kicker, 1975):

$$
\hat{N}=m c / r
$$

Where: $\quad m=$ Number of fish marked (adjusted for tag loss).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=\text { Total of fish examined for marks during sampling census } \\
& r=\text { Total number of marked fish observed during sampling census } \\
& \hat{N}=\text { Population estimate }
\end{aligned}
$$

The $95 \%$ confidence 1 limits around $N$ were determined by using the formula (Dixon and Massey, 1969):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r / c+1.96 \sqrt{\frac{r / c(1-r / c)}{c}}<r / c<r / c-1.96 \sqrt{\frac{r / c(1-r / c)}{c}}=.95 \\
& r / c \quad \text { upper } \\
& (1 / m)<1 / \hat{N}<r / c{ }^{\text {lower }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Tag loss was calculated using data derived from repeated spawning ground surveys of placid sloughs where survey conditions permitted unrestricted
(visual) observation of tag loss through inspection of spawning areas for shed tags and accurate enumeration of fish with tags in place. In calculating tag loss, the number of tagged fish examined $(t)$ were summed with the number of loose tags (1) respective to tag type. The resulting summation ( $1+t$ ) was then divided into the number of fish with tags ( $t$ ) in place to provide a percentage on tag retention ( $R$ ). The above is mathematically stated in the formula: $\quad t=R \times 100 \%$.
$1+t$

The percentage was then multiplied by the number of fish by species tagged at the particular tagging location being examined, for an appropriation adjustment to the number of fish released.

Age determination was made by scale examination using a portable microfiche reader and the age class described using Gilbert-Rich notation. By the notation, age $4^{2}$ fish are those fish returning in their fourth year of life that migrated from freshwater to the marine environment in their second year of life having spent one winter rearing in fresh water.

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 5.1. Chinook Salmon Investigations

5.1.1 Mainstem Escapement Sampling

Presented in Table E.5.1 is a summary of the number of chinook salmon counted by SSS counters at each station on the Susitna and Yentna rivers.

Table E.5.1. Apportioned sonar counts of chinook salmon by sampling station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro, 1981.

| Sampling <br> Location | Sonar <br> Operating Period | Chinook Salmon <br> Counted |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Susitna Station | 27 June -2 September | 1,752 |
| Yentna Station | 29 June -7 September | 427 |
| Sunshine Station | 23 June -15 September | 2,415 |
| Talkeetna Station | 22 June -15 September | 1,154 |

Daily SSS counts for each station are provided in Appendix EA. These counts are not total escapement estimates for the periods sampled because of two unknowns: (1) the proportion of the fish migrating beyond the range of the counters and (2) the selectivity of the fishwheels which were used to apportion the counts. The counts reported in Table E.5.1 are, therefore, an
index of the number of chinook salmon which passed each of the sampling stations during the period when the sonars were in place.

The sonar counters and fishwheels at Susitna Station (RM 26.7) were operational on 27 June. Based on previous investigations, the majority of the chinook salmon escapement had already migrated past Susitna Station by this date (ADF\&G, 1972) and therefore it is considered that Susitna Station was not operated early enough in the season to accurately define the beginning or the mid point of the migration. Between 27 June and 2 September a total of 1,752 chinook salmon passed over the sonar counters (Table E.5.1). A plot of the daily sonar counts and mean hourly fishwheel catches is provided in Figures E.5.1 and E.5.2 respectively. Fishwheel catches indicate the migration ended by 9 July.

Yentna Station, located at RM 04 on the Yentna River approximately six miles above Susitna Station, also was not operated early enough in the season to fully define the migration timing of chinook salmon past this site. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon are graphically presented in Figure E.5.1. A total of 427 chinook salmon were counted over the sonar counters between 29 June and 7 September. Mean hourly fishwheel catches are presented in Figure E.5.2 and indicate the migration was over by 9 July.

Sunshine Station (RM 80) was operational on 23 June. The sonar counters enumerated 2,415 chinook salmon between 23 June and 15 September. Based on sonar counts and fishwheel catch data, the chinook salmon migration can be determined to have occurred on or before 23 June (Figures E.5.1 and E.5.3). The migration essentially ended on 10 July.

Table E.5.2. Analysis of chinook salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
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Figure E.5.1. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.2. ( $a-b$ ) Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chinook salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.3, Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chinook salmon at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

A total of 1,154 chinook salmon were enumerated over the sonar counters at Talkeetna Station (RM 103) between 22 June and 15 September. The sonar and fishwheel rate curves (Figures E.5.1 and E.5.4) indicate that an undetermined proportion of the escapement had already migrated past Talkeetna Station before the site was operational. The peak of the migration as evaluated from the sonar and fishwheel data occurred on or before 22 June and the migration essentially ended on 7 July.

At Curry Station (RM 120), the chinook salmon migration was intercepted in sufficient time to clearly define timing (Figure E.5.5). Migrating chinook salmon reached Curry on 16 June. The migration peaked on 23 June and was principally over by 4 July.

Delayed surges occurred in fishwheel catches of chinook salmon at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations. A comparison of catch rates and provisional USGS discharge data indicate a resumption of upstream migration following periods of high water (Figures E.5.2-E.5.6). The sonar counts plotted for Sunshine and Talkeetna support this assumption (Figure E.5.1). Low catch rates exhibited by the Sunshine and Talkeetna fishwheels during this period are possibly attributable to low wheel efficiency at those flow rates.

Fishwheel catch rates during peak migration periods indicate a preference by chinook salmon for one bank over the other if wheel efficiency and placement are not considered (Figures E.5.2 - E.5.5). Migrating adults may have preferred the east bank during peak migration periods at the Sunshine and Talkeetna sites while the west bank was preferred at Curry. However, the sonar counter at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations do not indicate a strong


Figure E.5.4. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chinook salmon at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.5. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chinook salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.6. Provisional discharge data from 15 June through 11 July, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
preference by chinook salmon for utilizing one bank or the other (Appendix $E A$ ). Any short term preference exhibited may be in response to changes in discharge, among other factors, which could be determined conclusively through subsequent research efforts.

The age class frequencies of chinook salmon sampled at each station are presented in Table E.5.2. Because the migration had essentially passed by the time Susitna and Yentna stations were in operation, the samples collected at these sites are not representative of the entire escapement. The data does indicate that the majority of the Susitna Station fish were three and four year old fish. Each accounted for 39.4 percent of the sample. Next abundant at Susitna Station were five and six year old fish representing 12.1 percent and 9.1 percent of the sample respectively. Analysis of the freshwater ages of these fish indicate that all (100\%) migrated to the ocean in their second year of life after one winter rearing in freshwater.

At Yentna Station four year old chinook salmon were most abundant (40.5\%), followed by six ( $27.1 \%$ ), three ( $18.9 \%$ ), and five ( $13.5 \%$ ) year old fish (Table E.5.2). Ninety-seven percent of these fish had smolted in their second year of life after spending one winter as fry in freshwater. The balance (3\%) spent less than one winter rearing in freshwater before outmigrating to the ocean.

Escapement samples collected at Sunshine Station indicate that four year old fish were dominant ( $31.9 \%$ ), followed by three ( $27.6 \%$ ), five ( $23.0 \%$ ), and six (16.9\%) year old fish (Table E.5.2). Seven year old fish comprised only 0.5
percent of the sample. Approximately five percent of the chinook salmon sampled at Sunshine Station had spent less than one winter in freshwater before migrating to sea. The rest of the fish (95\%) had completed a full winter of growth before migrating.

Four and six year old chinook salmon were equally abundant at Talkeetna Station and comprised approximately 60 percent of the sample (Table E.5.2). The next most abundant were five year old fish (21.4\%) followed by three ( $15.7 \%$ ) and seven (2.9\%) year old fish. Approximately 11 percent of the chinook salmon sampled at Talkeetna Station had spent less than one winter in freshwater before migrating to the ocean while about 89 percent of the fish had completed one winter in freshwater before migrating.

Curry Station samples showed a dominance of four year old fish (34.3\%), followed by five (27.8\%), six (19.4\%) and three (18.5\%) year old fish (Table E.5.2). Comparing the freshwater ages, 11.7 percent had spent less than one winter in freshwater before smolting and 88.3 percent had completed one winter.

The age samples collected at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations can be considered characteristic of the escapement. Sunshine Station had a significantly higher percentage of younger fish, mainly three years old, passing that site than at Talkeetna Station of Curry Station (Figure E.5.7). With the exception of Talkeetna Station, four year old fish were highest in abundance at all sampling sites. At Talkeetna Station, six year old fish were equally as abundant as four year old fish. Seven year old fish were relatively


Figure E.5.7. (a-c) Age composition of fishwheel intercepted chinook salmon at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
scarce, representing only 0.5 percent and 2.9 percent of the Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station fish respectively. No seven year old fish were found in the Curry Station sample.

An almost equal percentage, 11.3 percent and 11.7 percent respectively, of the adult chinook salmon sampled at Talkeetna Station and Curry Station had spent less than one winter as fry in freshwater before migrating to sea (Table E.5.2). The balance, had outmigrated to the ocean after completing one winter of rearing in freshwater. In comparison, five percent of the Sunshine Station fish had smolted before their first winter and 95 percent after one winter.

Fork length data segregated by age and sex indicate the fish at Talkeetna and Curry stations were significantly larger than those intercepted at Sunshine Station (Table E.5.3 and Figures E.5.8 and E.5.9). The freshwater age data indicate that a higher percentage of the adult fish sampled at both Talkeetna Station and Curry Station smolted at an earlier age than the fish sampled at Sunshine Station. A possible explanation for Talkeetna and Curry Station fish being larger in each age class is that they averaged more feeding time in the marine environment than similar age class fish sampled at Sunshine Station.

At all sampling sites, male chinook salmon were present in each age class and were more abundant than females in the age three, four, and five year old classes (Table E.5.4). Females were more abundant than males in the six year age class and equally numerous as males in the seven year old class. The data from Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations are similar except that there were

Table E.5.3. Analysis of chinook salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from escäpement samples colledted at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | AGE | n |  | RANGE LIMITS |  | 95\% CONF. LIMITS ${ }^{\text {3/ }}$ |  | MEAN |  | MEDIAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | m- | f ? $/$ | m | $f$ | m | $f$ | m | f | m | f |
| Sunshine Station | 3 | 114 | 0 | 279-439 | - | 346, 360 | - | 353 | - | 344. | - |
|  | 4 | 112 | 20 | 318-712 | 470-690 | 536, 564 | 535, 595 | 550 | 565 | 560 | 567 |
|  | 5 | 68 | 27 | 510-900 | 552-890 | 697, 749 | - | 723 | 785 | 724 | 813 |
|  | 6 | 28 | 43 | 750-1300 | 721-1050 | 876, 981 | 853, 894 | 928 | 874 | 923 | 865 |
|  | 7 | I | 1 | 1090 | 1020 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Talkeetna Station | 3 | 10 | 1 | 326-424 | 424 | - | - | 379 | - | 382 | - |
|  | 4 | 21 | 0 | 509-787 | - | - | - | 602 | - | 585 | - |
|  | 5 | 10 | 5 | 668-940 | 770-833 | - | - | 788 | 806 | 756 | 810 |
|  | 6 | 9 | 12 | 752-1160 | 720-940 | - | - | 945 | 867 | 930 | 873 |
|  | 7 | 1 | I | 1120 | 960 | - | 1 - | - | - | - | - |
| Curry Station | 3 | 42 | 0 | 295-440 | - | 362, 380 | - | 371 | - | 368 | - |
|  | 4 | 54 | 24 | 415691 | 480-750 | 568, 598 | 551, 602 | 583 | 576 | 582 | 580 |
|  | 5 | 34 | 29 | 610-942 | 570-980 | 766, 817 | - | 791 | 816. | 800 | 835 |
|  | 6 | 18 | 26 | 795-1050 | 807-992 | - | 869, 912 | 951 | 891 | 955 | 890 |

[^0]


LENGTH (mm)
Figure E.5.9. Chinook salmon lengths by age class from Curry Station fishwheel catches and combined fishwheel catches from Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E.5.4. Sex ratio of male and female chinook salmon by age from escapement samples collected at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | AGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SAMPLE } \\ & \text { SIZE } \end{aligned}$ | NUMBER |  | SEX RATIO (M/F) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MALES | FEMALES |  |
| Sunshine Station | 3 | 114 | 114 | 0 | - |
|  | 4 | 132 | 112 | 20 | 5.6:1 |
|  | 5 | 95 | 68 | 27 | 2.5:1 |
|  | 6 | 71 | 28 | 43 | 0.7 .7 |
|  | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.0:1 |
| Talkeetna Station | 3 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 10.0:1 |
|  | 4 | 21 | 21 | 0 | - |
|  | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 2.0:1 |
|  | 6 | 21 | 9 | 12 | 0.8:1 |
|  | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.0:1 |
| Curry Station | 3 | 42 | 42 | 0 | - |
|  | 4 | 78 | 54 | 24 | 2.3:1 |
|  | 5 | 63 | 34 | 29 | 1.2:1 |
|  | 6 | 44 | 18 | 26 | 0.7:1 |

no four year old females sampled at Talkeetna Station, and 15.2 percent and 30.8 percent respectively of the Sunshine and Curry stations four year old fish were females.

Figures E.5.10 through E.5.12 present a graphic illustration of the frequency of male and female chinook salmon by fork length sampled at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations. These graphs indicate that males were more frequent in the shorter length ranges and correspondingly, females were more abundant in the longer length ranges.

The number of chinook salmon length measurements as obtained from fishwheels at Susitna and Yeritna stations was too small to permit significant data reduction.

### 5.1.2 Radio Telemetry Investigations

Sixteen adult chinook salmon were tagged from 22 June through 19 July with radio transmitters and their movements monitored during June, July and August of 1981 (Table E.5.5). Four fish were tagged at Talkeetna Station (RM 103) and 12 fish were tagged at Curry Station (RM 120) (Figure E.5.13).

The confluence of the Talkeetna, Chulitna and Susitna rivers, defined here as the Three Rivers Area (TRA), is a probable milling area for adult chinook salmon. All four radio tagged fish at the Talkeetna site moved downstream and remained at or downstream of the TRA for several days to weeks before either migrating back upstream in the Susitna River or entering the Talkeetna River


Figure E.5.10. Length frequencies of Susitna River chinook salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.17. Length frequencies of Susitna Riyer chinook salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
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Figure E.5.12. Length frequencies of Susitna Riyer chinook salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E.5.5. Chinook salmon radio tagging data, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| TAGGING |  | RADIO TRANSMITTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | LOCATION | FREQUENCY (MHz) PULSE/SECOND | PETERSEN DIISC NUMBER | AGE ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{cm})}{\text { LENGTH? }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WEIGHT } \\ (\mathrm{kg}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEX } \\ & (M / F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { COLORATION }{ }^{3 /} \\ \text { (Dorsal/Ventral) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6/22 | 120.7 | 40.730-1 | A 300 |  | 80.0 | 10.9 | M | stlver/pink |
| 6/22 | 120.7 | 40.640-1 | A 301 |  | 91.4 | 13.2 | M | silver/pink |
| 6/24 | 102.8 | 40.610-3 | A 302 |  | 94.0 | 13.4 | F | silver/pink |
| 6/24 | 102.8 | 40.600-1 | A 303 |  | 91.4 | 11.6 | $\stackrel{M}{\sim}$ | pink/red |
| 6/26 | 120.7 | 40.600-2 | A 304 |  | 80.0 | 9.1 | F | gray/pink |
| 6/26 | 120.7 | 40.670-3 | A 305 |  | 78.7 | 7.7 | M | gray-pink/pink |
| 6/26 | 119.5 | 40.620-3 | A 306 |  | 91.4 | 13.5 | F | pink/pink |
| 6/28 | 120.7 | 40.630-1 | A 307 |  | 94.0 | 13.2 | F | gray/pink-red |
| 7/1 | 102.8 | 40.610-2 | A 310 |  | 97.8 | 14.7 | M | pink/pink-red |
| 7/1 | 102.8 | 40.660-3 | A 311 | 6 | 76.2 | 8.2 | F | gray/gray-pink |
| T7/2 | 121.7 | 40.630-3 | A 312 | $5{ }^{2}$ | 86.4 | 10.0 | F | gray/pink |
| $977 / 2$ | 119.5 | 40.610-1 | A 314 | 62 | 100.3 | 17.0 | M | gray/ |
| N | 110.5 | 40.620-1 | A 316 |  | 80.6 | 8.8 | F | gray/pink |
| ${ }^{6} 713$ | 120.7 | 40.640-3 | A 315 |  | 91.4 | 13.2 | F | gray/gray-pink |
| 7/18 | 120.7 | 40.600-3 | A 318 |  | 87.6 | 10.1 | F | pink/pink |
| 7/19 | 120.7 | 40.620-2 | A 317 | $6_{2}$ | 88.9 | 12.2 | F | gray/pink |

1/ Gilbert-Rich notation
रू/ Mid eye to fork of tail
3/ Underlined color predominates


Figure E.5.13. Susitna River mainstem from Tal keetna to Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
(Figure E.5.14). For example, fish bearing radio tag number 660-3, which was tagged on 1 July, moved downstream to the TRA within 24 hours, entered the Talkeetna River two or three days later and was detected on 30 July in Prairie Creek (RM 50.1), a Talkeetna River tributary. Another fish bearing radio tag number 600-1, displayed two downstream-upstream surges in the TRA prior to ascending and remaining in the Susitna River at RM 123.5. The other two fish tagged at Talkeetna Station bearing transmitter numbers 610-2 and 610-3 moved downstream and remained in the TRA for up to two weeks before migrating back upstream in the Susitna River and entering Indian River (RM 138.6) and Lane Creek (RM 113.6) respectively.

Fish radio tagged at Curry Station (RM 120) generally displayed little or no downstream movement following transmitter implantation (Figure E.5.14). Eight of the 12 fish tagged at Curry Station moved upstream within 48 hours. Radio tagged fish bearing transmitter number 620-2 moved about one mile downstream to RM 119 after being tagged and remained there for at least 48 hours before moving back upstream. Fish bearing radio tag number $620-1$ moved downstream and held position in or near Chase Creek (RM 106.9). Fish bearing radio tag number 670-3 apparently died from stress associated with handling and transmitter implantation. The transmitter in radio tagged fish number 640-1 apparently malfunctioned shortly after the fish was tagged.

The nine chinook salmon which moved upriver after being radio tagged at Curry Station (RM 120) exhibited two types of movements: 'direct' and 'indirect'. 'Direct' movement with chinook salmon is defined as movement to, but not upstream of, tributaries that fish entered. Movements of radio tagged fish

1ble.E.5.17. Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged adult, coho salmon, Adult Anadromous Investioations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY (mHz) <br> PULSE/SECOND | RATE OF UPSTREAM MOVEMENT (MPH) 1 | HOURS ELAPSED BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE FISH POSITIONS | DISTANCE MOVED (MI.) | LOCATIOM OF MDVEMENT RM to RM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 650-2 | 1.00 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 102.8-103.5 |
| 660-2 | 0.88 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 112.5-114.7 |
| 730-3 | 0.67 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 102.9-105.9 |
| 720-2 | 0.67 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 109.1-110-5 |
| 730-3 | 0.60 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 109.6-121.8 |
| 650-2 | 0.56 | 28.2 | 15.8 | 103.5-119.3 |
| 660-2 | 0.43 | 23.3 | 9.9 | 118.5-128.4 |
| 720-3 | 0.39 | 21.8 | 8.6 | 119.5-128.1 |
| 680-1 $\quad \therefore=$ | $0.29$ | 20.2 -20 | 5.9 | 103.8-109.7 |
| 730-3 | 0.27 | 68.6 | 18.7 | 121.8-138.6-1 |
| 650-1 | 2.33 | 56.3 | 13.1 | $3.3 T^{3}\{06.9$ |
| 680-1 | 0.23 | 9.1 | 2.1 | 101.7-103.8 |
| 660-2 | 0.18 | -69.0 | 12.7 | 128.4-141.1 |
| 650-2 | 0.18 | 43.5 | 7.6 | 123.4-131.0 |
| 650-2 - | 0.17 | 24.4 | 4.1 | 119.3-123.4 |

1/ Upstream fish movement speed denoted as equal to or greater than () when five or more hours lapsed between observations

2/ Indian River Mile
3/ Talkeetna River Mile
which passed upstream of, and later descended and entered, a tributary are termed 'indirect'.

Six radio tagged chinook salmon exhibited 'direct' upstream movement in the Susitna River and entered one of two tributaries, Indian River (RM 138.6) and Portage Creek (RM 148.9), within five to 12 days after being tagged (Figure E.5.14). Two of these fish held at two locations in the Susitna River for several days before entering a tributary stream. Fish bearing radio tag number 600-2 remained at RM 123.5 for approximately four days prior to moving upstream and entering Portage Creek, and fish bearing transmitter number 640-3 remained in the Susitna River near the mouth of the Indian River (RM 138.6) for 11 days before ascending that stream.

Three chinook salmon radio tagged at Curry Station (RM 120) displayed 'indirect' upstream movement within the Susitna River (Figure E.5.14). Fish bearing radio tag number 620-3 was detected within lower Devil Canyon at RM 150.7 and 149.5 on consecutive day overflights before ascending Portage Creek (RM 148.9) 12 days after being radio tagged. Fish bearing transmitter number 610-1 was detected at RM 151.0 in Tower Devil Canyon prior to entering Portage Creek eight days after being radio tagged. Fish bearing radio tag number 630-1 migrated upstream to the mouth of Portage Creek (RM 148.9), nine days after being tagged. On the tenth day, this fish moved downstream ten miles and entered Indian River (RM 138.6).

Radio tagged chinook salmon displayed a variety of upstream movement rates within the Susitna River. For example, fish bearing transmitter number 730-1 was detected at the mouth of Portage Creek (RM 148.9) less than five days
(105.75 hours) after being radio tagged at RM 120.7. This represents an overall upstrean migration rate of 0.26 mile per hour (mph) or 6.4 miles per day. The fastest short-term upstream migration rate was exhibited by fish bearing radio tag number 610-2 when'it moved 1.15 miles upriver in 55 minutes. This is equivalent to an upstream migration rate 1.26 mph . Fish bearing radio tag number 600-1 moved 3.7 miles upriver within four hours and five minutes resulting in an upstream migration rate of 0.91 mph . Radio tagged chinook salmon in the Klamath River, California displayed similar migration rates (personal communication, Jon Heifetz).

Chinook salmon which moved upstream after being radio tagged at Talkeetna and Curry stations generally entered one tributary. However, two of these 11 fish entered two tributaries. Portage Creek (RM 148.9) supported six radio tagged fish, Indian River (RM 138.6) attracted five radio tagged fish, and Jack Long Creek (RM 144.5) and Lane Creek (RM 113.6) each contained one radio tagged chinook salmon. Fish bearing transmitter number 620-3 remained in Portage Creek (RM 148.9) for several days and then migrated downstream and entered Jack Long Creek (RM 144.5). Fish bearing transmitter number 610-2 entered and exited Indian River (RM 138.6) twice prior to entering and remaining in Portage Creek (RM 148.9) for several days.

Two fish were detected milling in lower Devil Canyon. One individual, bearing radio tag number $620-3$, was noted at RM 151.7 on 5 July and RM 150.5 on 6 July. Fish bearing radio tag number 610-1 was noted at RM 151.0 on 6 July and RM 150.0 on 7 July. No tagged fish were detected upstream of RM 151.7.

Individual movements of radio tagged chinook salmon are further described in Appendix EL.

### 5.1.3 Escapement Surveys

Consistent poor weather conditions basin wide, beginning in early July and extending through mid August, caused high, turbid water conditions. These conditions precluded surveys from being conducted or allowed only partial counts in many instances. Generally, 1981 chinook surveys were restricted to small drainages with fluctuating discharges which tended to clear rapidly between rainy periods. Some larger systems such as the Deshka River, Alexander Creek and Chunilna (Clear) Creek, which historically have had the highest escapements were not countable due to consistently high, turbid water conditions.

The 1981 chinook salmon escapement counts, survey dates, methods and visibility conditions are presented in TabTe E.5.6. Figure E.5.15 shows the locations of the streams surveyed. Without repetitious spawning ground counts and knowledge of the average life expectancy of chinook salmon in each stream surveyed, the escapement counts cannot be considered an absolute measure of total escapement. They are, rather, an index of abundance. Neilson and Geen (1981) found that a single census at the spawning peak measured only 52 percent of the total escapement. Their study also included precocious fish (Age $3_{1}$ and $3_{2}$ ) sometimes referred to as jack salmon. Precocious chinook salmon are difficult to observe because of their relatively small size (less than 400 mm ) and light coloration, consequently the counts presented in Table

Table E.5.6. 1981 Chinook salmon escapement surveys, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1987.


1/ Partial count.


अ马x

1. ALEXANDER CREEK
2. TALACHULITNA
3. QUARTZ CREEK
4. CANYON CREEK
5. RED CREEK
j. LAKE CREEK
6. PETERS CREEK
7. DESHKA RIVER
8. BUNCO CREEK
9. CHULITNA WEST FORK
10. CHULITNA MIDDLE FORK
11. HONOLULU CREEK
12. PORTAGE CREEK
13. INDIAN CREEK
14. BYERS CREEK
15. TROUBLESOME CREEK
16. LANE CREEK
17. CLEAR CREEK
18. PRAIRIE CREEK
19. MONTANA CREEK
20. GOOSE CREEK
21. SHEEP CREEK
22. KASHWITNA RIVER NORTH FORK
23. LITTLE WILLOW CREEK
24. WILLOW CREEK

Figure E.5.15. Susitna Basin with chinook salmon survey streams defined, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
E.5.6 should be considered as only an escapement index of fish four years and older. Based on fishwheel interception of age $3_{1}$, and $3_{2}$ fish at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations, precocious chinook salmon comprised between 16 and 28 percent of the population depending on sampling location (Table E.5.2).

Chinook salmon escapement counts for Susitna River basin streams from 1976 to 1981 are presented in Table E.5.7. Compared to the counts made by ADF\&G Sport Fisheries Division in previous years, 1981 east side Susitna River tributary stream escapements of chinook salmon were above average while the west side tributary streams in 1981 had average escapements. Comparative surveys on Indian River and Portage Creek, two important chinook salmon spawning tributaries between Talkeetna and Devil Canyon, indicate the 1981 escapement was above average.

### 5.2 Sockeye, Pink, Chum and Coho Salmon Investigations

### 5.2.1 Escapement Sampling

Table E.5.8 summarizes the salmon escapenent estimates by species at each of the mainstem Susitna River and Yentna River stations (Figure E.5.16) as determined from SSS counters and Petersen tag and recapture operations. Fishwheel catches are summarized in Table E.5.9. Daily sonar counts and fishwheel catches by sampling station are provided in Tables EB-1 through EB-8 and ED-1 through EC-10, respectively. The following subsections outline by species the specific results of escapement sampling at the above defined stations.

| YEAF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 |
| Alexander Creek | 5,412 | 13,385 | 5,854 | 6,215 | a/ | a/ |
| Deshka River | 21,693. | 39,642 | 24,639 | 27,385 | a/ | a/ |
| Hillow Creek | 1,660 | 1,065 | 1,661 | 1,086 | a/ | 1,357 |
| Little Willow Creek | 833 | 598 | 436 | 324] | a/ | 459 |
| Kashwitna River (North Fork) | 203 | 336 | 362 | 457 | a/ | 557 |
| Sheep Creek | 455 | 630 | 1,209 | 778 | a/ | 1,013 |
| Goose Creek | 160 | 133 | 283 |  | a/ | 252 |
| Montana Creek | 1,445] | 1,443, | 881/ | 1,094/ | a/ | 814 40 |
| Lane Creek Indian River | 537 | 393 | 114 | 285 | a/ | 420 |
| Portage Creek | 702 | 374. | 140 | 190 | a/ | $659$ |
| Prairle Creek Chunilina (Clear) | 6,513 | 5,790 | 5,154 | a/ | a/ | 1,900의 |
| Creek | 1,237 | 769 | 997 | 864 ${ }^{\text {c/ }}$ | a/ | a/ |
| Chulitna River (East Fork) | 112 | 168 | 59 | a/ | a/ | a/ |
| Chulitna River (NF) | 1,870 | 1,782 | 900 | a/ | a/ | a/ |
| Chulitaa River | 124 | 229 | 62 | a/ | a/ |  |
| Honolulu Creek | 24 | 36 | 13 | 37 | a/ | a/ |
| Byers Creek | 53 | 69 | ) | 28 | a/ | a/ |
| Troublesome Creek | 92 | 95 | a/ | a/ | $\stackrel{\text { a/ }}{ }$ | a/ |
| Eunco Creek | 112 | - 136 | a/ | $58 /$ | a/ | a/ |
| Peters Creek | 2,280 | 4,102 | 1,335 | $\stackrel{\text { a }}{ }$ | a/ | a/ |
| Lake Creek | 3,735 | 7.391 | 8,931 | 4,196 | 行 |  |
| Talachulitna River Canyon Creek | 1,319 | 1,856 135 | 1,375/ | 1,648, | b/ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,129 \\ 8 \Omega \end{array}$ |
| Ouartz Creek | 4/1/ |  | b/ | b/ | b/ | 8 |
| Red Creek | b/ | 1,511 | 385 | b/ | b/ | 749 |

[^1]Table E.5.8. Apportioned sonar counts and Petersen population (tag/recapture) estimates by species and sampling location, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| SAMPLING <br> LOCATION | RIVER MILE | ESCAPEMENT ESTIMATES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  |
|  |  | Sonar | Petersen | Sonar | Petersen | Sonar | Petersen | Sonar | Petersen |
| Susitna Station | 26 | 340,232 | - | 113,349 | - | 46,461 | - | 33,470 | - |
| Yentna Station | 04 | 139,401 | - | 36,053 | - | 19,765 | - | 17,017 | - |
| Sunshine Station | 80 | 89,906 | 133,489 | 72,945 | 49,501 | 59,630 | 262,851 | 22,793 | 19,841 |
| Talkeetna Station | 103 | 3,464 | 4,809 | 2,529 | 2,335 | 10,036 | 20,835 | 3,522 | 3,306 |
| Curry Station | 120 | - | 2,804 | - | 1,041 | - | 13,068 | - | 1,146 |



Figure E.5.16. Susitna Basin with field stations and major glacial streams defined, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies; 1981.

Table E.5.9. Summary of fishwheel catches by species and sampling locations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| SAMPLING <br> LOCATION | RIVER <br> MILE | SOCKEYE | PINK | CATCH | CHUM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Susitna <br> Station | 26 | 4,087 | 691 | COHO |  |
| Yentna <br> Station | 04 | 7,000 | 2,729 | 1,415 | 1,122 |
| Sunshine <br> Station | 80 | 9,528 | 7,099 | 9,168 | 329 |
| Talkeetna <br> Station | 103 | 398 | 379 | 1,285 | 2,928 |
| Curry <br> Station | 120 | 470 | 229 | 1,276 | 533 |

### 5.2.1.1 Sockeye Salmon

At Susitna Station 340,232 sockeye were counted (Table E.5.8). Fifty-one percent of those counted migrated across the east bank SSS counter and 49 percent over the west bank counter. The migration principally extended from 29 June to 24 August with the mid-point occurring on 17 July (Figure E.5.17). Seventy-five percent of the sockeye escapement passed in a 13 day period from 11 July to 23 July. Fishwheels operating at Susitna Station intercepted a total of 4,087 sockeye salmon. Fishwheel catch per hour plotted against time (Figure ED-1) indicates the peak of migration occurred between 10 July and 19 July with the majority of the sockeye salmon migrating along the west bank.

A total of 139,401 sockeye salmon were logged by the SSS counters at Yentna Station (Table E.5.8). Ninety-two percent migrated over the south bank and eight percent over the north bank counters. The beginning, mid-point and end of migration occurred on 1 July, 16 July and 3 August respectively (Figure E.5.17). Seventy-five percent of the fish passed in a 12 day period between 12 July and 23 July. A total of 7,000 sockeye were caught in fishwheels at Yentna Station. Fishwheel catches indicate that the peak of migration occurred between 13 July and 15 July with the majority of fishwheel interceptions ( $70.0 \%$ ) on the south bank (Figure ED-1).

A total of 89,906 sockeye salmon passed over the SSS counters at Sunshine Station. Sixty eight and nine-tenths percent were counted on the east bank sonar and 31.1 percent on the west bank counter. The migration began principally on 16 July, reached a mid-point on 23 July and was over on 20 August (Figure E.5.17). Seventy-five percent of the sockeye migrated over the

counters in an 11 day period between 19 July and 28 July. A total of 9,528 sockeye salmon were intercepted by fishwheels at Sunshine Station. Based on fishwheel catch records (Table ED-2) the peak migration occurred between 18 July and 23 July. The highest catches ( $83.2 \%$ ) were made on the east side of the river.

A Talkeetna Station 3,464 sockeye salmon were counted. The majority of the fish (54 percent) were enumerated on the west bank SSS counter. The migration began on 23 July and was complete by 8 August. The mid-point occurred on 31 July (Figure E.5.17). Seventy-five percent of the fish were counted between 23 July and 6 August. Talkeetna Station fishwheels intercepted 393 sockeye salmon. From a plot of the mean hourly fishwheel catch (Figure ED-2) it appears that the peak of migration occurred between 27 July and 1 August with sockeye showing no apparent bank preference.

Curry Station fishwheels intercepted a total of 470 sockeye salmon with the majority ( $87.2 \%$ ) being caught on the east side of the river. A plot of fishwheel catch per hour indicates that migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 18 July, 5 August and 29 September respectively (Figure ED-3).

Accuracy of population numbers generated by SSS is dependent upon site location and species enumerated. Sonar counters do not enumerate every fish that migrates upstream. They accurately count those which pass over the counting plane or substrate of the counter but not those which migrate outside or offshore of the sonar substrate. Water depth, velocity, channel configuration and location or absence of obstructions are variables which influence
where salmon migrate in the river at a particular time and location. Previous investigations indicate that sockeye and pink salmon usually migrate near shore within 60 feet or less of the bank (Tarbox, et. al., 1980). This appears to be generally less true of other salmon species. However, at Sunshine Station chum salmon were found to migrate closer inshore than sockeye salmon at either Susitna, Yentna, or Sunshine stations (Figures E.5.18 and E.5.19).

Sonar sector count data indicates that salmon, of all species, tend to display greater bank preference the further they progress up the Susitna River (Figures EE-1 to EE-8). To illustrate this, 42.6 percent of the counts on the east bank and 18.7 percent on the west bank at Susitna Station were registered in offshore sectors 6 to 12. At Talkeetna Station, 4.9 percent and 2.2 percent were recorded in the same sectors on the east and west bank respectively, an indication that SSS counters become more effective counting all salmon species in the upper reaches of the Susitna River. This increased efficiency is probably associated with higher water velocities and greater streambed gradient and channel consolidation in the upper Susitna River.

Sockeye salmon population estimates derived from fishwheel tagging operations at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations indicate that $133,489,4,809$ and 2,804 sockeye saimon were present at each site respectively. The 95 percent confidence limits on these estimates along with the components used to calculate them are presented in Table E.5.10 and Appendix EJ.
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Figure E.5.18, Sector distribution of sockeye salmon passing over side scan sonar substrates where daily sockeye apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.19. Sector distribution of sockeye and chum salmon, passing over side scan sonar substrates, where daily sockeye and chum apportioned sonar counts were equal to or greater than ninety percent of total sonar counts, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E.5.10. Petersen population estimates and corresponding 95\% confidence intervals of sockeye, pink, chum, and coho salmon migrating to Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| LOCATION OF POPULATION ESTIMATE | PARAMETER - / | SPECIES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| Sunshine Station | $\text { 95\% } \begin{gathered} \hat{N} \\ \text { C.I. } \end{gathered}$ | 8,179 | 5,900 | 7,660 | 2,240 |
|  |  | 4,831 | 6,175 | 9,265 | 2,845 |
|  |  | 296 | 736 | 270 | 347 |
|  |  | 133,489 | 49,501 | 262,851 | 19,841 |
|  |  | 120,219- | 46,357- | 235,207- | 18,061- |
|  |  | 150,051 | 53,101 | 297,859 | 22,011 |
| Talkeetna Station | m | 322 | 258 | 1,142 | 454 |
|  | c | 4,167 | 724 | 5,944 | 852 |
|  | $r$ | 279 | 80 | 333 | 117 |
|  | 95\% C.I. | 4,809 | 2,335 | 20,835 | 3,306 |
|  |  | 4,320- | 1,935- | 18,413- | 2,830- |
|  |  | 5,424 | 2,943 | 22,829 | 3,975 |
| Curry Station | m | 356 | 181 | 1,079 | 131 |
|  | c | 3,040 | 69 | 4,033 | 105 |
|  | $r$ | 386 | 12 | 333 | 12 |
|  | $\hat{N}$ | 2,804 | 1,041 | 13,068 | 1,146 |
|  | 95\% C.I. | 2,565- | 687- | 11,849- | 748- |
|  |  | 3,092 | 2,143 | 14,566 | 2,452 |
| 1/ m = Number of fish marked (adjusted for tag loss) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $c=$ Total fish examined for marks during sampling census |  |  |  |  |  |
| $r=$ Total number of marked fish observed during sampling census |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\hat{N}=$ Population estimate |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.I. = Confidence interval around N |  |  |  |  |  |

These population estimates, as with others which will be presented in this report, should not be considered to be the actual number of fish, in this case sockeye salmon, that spawned upstream of the tagging location. The sockeye estimates represent only the number that were present at the particular tagging station. Other Susitna River investigations have revealed that all adult salmon species mill to some degree in the mainstem and that it is not uncommon to find adult salmon in the mainstem well upstream of their spawning destination (Barrett, 1974 and Friese, 1975).

A further factor in considering the population estimates is tag loss and tag induced mortalities. Both are capable of introducing positive bias to the estimates (Everhart, et. al, 1975). Tag induced mortalities were not considered significant due to minimal amount of time ( $10-20$ seconds) required to tag a fish, and the general vigorous condition of the fish caught in the fishwheels. Tag loss was taken into consideration by adjusting the total number of fish tagged by species according to percent occurrence of loose tags found during foot surveys of clearwater spawning sloughs. This provided an independent tag loss factor for Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station which was 7.5 percent and 3.4 percent respectively (Table E.5.11). The difference in tag loss factor between the two stations can be attributed to the difference in tagging quality. At Sunshine Station the total number of fish tagged was 24,159 compared to 2,176 at Talkeetna Station. The maximum number of fish tagged in as single day at Sunshine Station was approximately 1,700 fish versus 250 fish at Talkeetna Station. The tag loss factor of Curry Station tagged fish was presumed to be insignificant (less than one percent) based on survey crews not finding any shed Petersen disc tags during spawning
ground surveys and the general difficulty encountered in removing these tags from carcasses.

Table E.5.11. Evaluation of tag loss based on spawning ground surveys of
sloughs between Sunshine Station and Devil Canyon, Adult
Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  | Tagging <br> Station | No. Tagged <br> Fish <br> Examined | No. Tags <br> Shed | Total <br> No. <br> Tags | Percentage <br> Retention |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orange/Floy <br> FT-4 | Sunshine | 335 | 27 | 362 | 92.5 |
| Yellow/Floy <br> FT-4 | Talkeetna | 397 | 14 | 411 | 96.6 |

There is some discrepancy between populations estimates from sonar counts of fish, versus estimates from the tag and recapture project (Table E.5.8). Both estimates have deficiencies that must be recognized. It should not be assumed that all fish pass over the SSS substrate. As previously discussed, the sector distribution of salmon will vary, with site and species, with an undetermined number of salmon passing beyond the SSS counting substrate. A major source of error present in SSS counts is related to the methods of apportionment and the bias inherent in those methods. Although all fishwheels used to apportion the SSS counts were in close proximity to the counters it must be recognized that fishwheels can be species selective. The apportioned sonar counts would then reflect the selected catchability of the fishwheel. In addition, SSS counters are adjusted for fish velocity and sensitivity, thereby introducing an unknown variance component into the counts. Methods of calculating confidence intervals around the population estimates are not
available for SSS counts because, at this time, it is not feasible to duplicate a counting sample at one site at the same time, which does not allow for a sampling estimate for the variance. It should be realized that SSS counts are not absolute population numbers and at this time should be considered an index of species abundance at a specific location. Tag and recapture methods of estimating the population and the Petersen estimate in particular make six assumptions which are listed in Begon (1979). It is realized that failure to meet these assumptions will bias the population estimate and consequently the confidence intervals. The following assumptions were made in estimating population size: fishwheel capture of salmon was random with respect to the population; there was no mortality as a result of the tagging process; there was no differential mortality between tagged and untagged salmon; tagged salmon mixed randomiy within the population; and recovery of tagged salmon was not influenced by the tag. The net result of tag loss, if not accounted for, will result in an overestimation of the population and conversely if tagged salmon are more readily visible than untagged salmon the resulting bias will cause the population estimate to be low. In summary, it should be recognized that both methods of enumerating salmon have potential drawbacks but at this point they represent the state of the art in estimating population sizes in glacial river systems. The discrepancies, where they exist, between Petersen population estimates and SSS counts reflect the limitations inherent in both techniques.

From the sonar data the migrational timing of sockeye salmon between the mainstem sampling stations indicates that those passing Susitna Station bound to the Yentna River made the six mile trip in one day or less, and of the fish migrating past Susitna Station to Sunshine Station and destined to Talkeetna

Station had an average travel time of 8 days and 13 days respectively (Figure E.5.20). This is an average travel rate of 6.8 miles/day between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station and 4.6 miles/day between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station. These migrational rates are considered valid if there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River sockeye salmon stocks.

An insufficient number of tagged sockeye salmon recaptures were made at Talkeetna Station to determine the average travel time rate between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station. The data indicates that the minimum travel time between these stations was three days or a travel speed of $7.7 \mathrm{miles} /$ day (Figure E.5.21). Tag recaptures of sockeye salmon at Curry Station indicates a minimum travel time of five days from Sunshine Station to Curry Station and one day from Talkeetna Station to Curry Station (Figure E.5.22). The average migration time between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station based on the tag recapture data was approximately five days or a travel speed of approximately 3.5 miles/day.

Our investigations reveal that sockeye salmon generally reduced their travel speed the farther they migrate upstream. A possible explanation for this observation is that sockeye salmon display greater milling behavior as they approach their natal stream therein reducing their net travel speed. This behavior was indicated by a significant number of sockeye salmon recaptures at Talkeetna Station that were intercepted more than 26 days earlier at Sunshine Station located 23 miles downstream from Talkeetna Station (Figure E.5.21).


Figure E.5.20. Cumulative percent of sonar counts by species at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.21. (a-c) Migrational rates of sockeye, ${ }^{(d)}$ ) ink, and chum salmon between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station based on fishwheel recaptures. (d) Migrational rates of sockeye between Sunshine and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.22. Migrational rates of sockeye, pink, chum, and coho salmon between Talkeetna and Curry Stations based on fishwheel recaptures, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The sonar counts and fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Yentna Station, and Sunshine Station indicate a strong preference by sockeye salmon to favor one bank of the river depending on the location. Sockeye salmon were more abundant on the west side of the Susitna River at Susitna Station and were more numerous on the east bank at Sunshine Station. Yentna Station recorded higher sonar counts and fishwheel catches on the south side of the river bank. At Talkeetna Station, sockeye salmon utilized both sides of the river without any notable preference. The fishwheel catches at Curry Station indicate that sockeye are significantly more abundant on the east side of the river than on the west side (Figure ED-3).

The migrational preference displayed by sockeye salmon for a particular side of the river appears to be closely tied to site characteristics when proximity or distance to a spawning area is not a factor. Agents influencing bank preference in a specific reach of the river may be velocity, water depth and channel configuration and presence or absence of navigational obstructions.

Evaluation of hourly passage rates indicate distinct behavior patterns of sockeye salmon migrants at Susitna Station, Yentna Station and Sunshine Station (Figure E.5.23). Higher than average passage rates occurred between 1900 hours and 0100 hours at Susitna Station and lower than average passage between 0700 hours and 1100 hours. At Yentna Station sockeye salmon exhibited greater upstream movement between 2300 hours and 0500 hours and displayed lower than average upstream movement between 1100 hours and 1500 hours. Sockeye salmon at Sunshine Station moved less between 0700 hours and 1100 hours than at any other time and displayed a higher than average preference for movement between the hours of 1900 and 0100.


HOUR
Figure E.5.23. Percent daily sonar counts of sockeye salmon by two hour blocks at Susitna Station, Yentna Station, and Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Sockeye salmon age composition samples, collected in fishwheels, revealed that the majority of the sockeye salmon at each of the sampling stations were age $5_{2}$ (Table. E.5.12). The next abundant were age $4_{2}$ sockeye followed by age $6_{2}$ sockeye. Five year old sockeye, 1976 brood year, comprised approximately 86 percent of the return at Susitna and Yentna stations, 73 and 72 percent respectively at Sunshine and Talkeetna stations, and 70 percent of the sockeye at Curry Station. Four year old sockeye, 1977 brood year, made up 8.5 percent of the escapement return both at Susitna Station and Yentna Station and represented 22.2 percent, 24.6 percent and 28.5 percent of the sockeye at Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Approximately four percent of the escapement return at each of the sampling stations were six year old sockeye, 1975 brood year, with the exception of Curry Station which had a 1.5 percent return of six year old sockeye salmon.

The apparent difference in age composition of sockeye salmon collected at the lower sampling sites (Susitna and Yentna stations) as compared with the upper sampling locations (Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations) may be due to differential freshwater survival or stock differences which could be determined conclusively through subsequent research efforts.

Table E. 5.13 provides a summary of the sockeye salmon length data collected at each of the sampling stations. Graphic representation of this information is provided in Figures EF-1 through EF-5 and Figures EF-21 through EF-23. Five year old male sockeye salmon averaged $590 \mathrm{~mm}, 605 \mathrm{~mm}, 604 \mathrm{~mm}, 571 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 584 mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. The average length of five year old female sockeye salmon in the

Table E.5.12. Analysis of sockeye salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | $n$ | AGE CLASS 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BROOD YEAR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 31 | 32 | 41 | $4_{2}$ | 43 | $5_{1}$ | $5_{2}$ | $5_{3}$ | 62 | 63 | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| Susitna Station | 1709 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.9 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 86.6 | 8.4 | 0.6 |
| Yentna Station | 1193 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 7.5 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 80.8 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.4 | 86.2 | 8.6 | 0.8 |
| Sunshine Station | 976 | 0.0 | 1. 1 | 0.6 | 21.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 70.2 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 72.8 | 22.2 | 1.1 |
| Talkeetna Station | 110 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 71.8 | 24.6 | 0.0 |
| Curry Station | 270 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.9 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 69.3 | 28.5 | 0.7 |

1/ Gilbert-Rich Notation

Table E.5.13. Analysis of sockeye salmon lenaths in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | AGE | $n$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEX } \\ \text { RATIO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RANGE LIMITS |  | MEAN |  | 95\% CONF. LIMITS ${ }^{\text {3/ }}$ |  | MEDIAN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{/}$ | f? 1 |  | m | f | m | $f$ | m | P | m | $f$ |  |
| Susitna Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 89 \\ 689 \\ 31 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 55 \\ 792 \\ 79 \\ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5: 1 \\ & 1.6: 1 \\ & 0.9: 1 \\ & 0.7: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238-495 \\ & 328-600 \\ & 430-645 \\ & 452-626 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 230-540 \\ & 415-614 \\ & 436-675 \\ & 507-600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 468 \\ & 590 \\ & 576 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 562 \\ & 568 \\ & 564 \end{aligned}$ | 458-479 575-606 564-588 | 419-704 555-581 <br> 557-570 | $\begin{aligned} & 351 \\ & 459 \\ & 587 \\ & 575 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 494 \\ & 564 \\ & 565 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Yentna Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 60 \\ 554 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 43 \\ 475 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8: 1 \\ & 1.4: 1 \\ & 1.2: 1 \\ & 1.4: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322-465 \\ & 333-603 \\ & 442-684 \\ & 565-682 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 310-325 \\ & 340-597 \\ & 419-632 \\ & 437-601 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 363 \\ & 477 \\ & 605 \\ & 609 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 315 \\ & 485 \\ & 577 \\ & 567 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462-491 \\ & 584-626 \\ & 600-618 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 469-501 \\ & 554-599 \\ & 549-584 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 333 \\ & 464 \\ & 598 \\ & 606 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 313 \\ & 490 \\ & 571 \\ & 576 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sunshine Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 150 \\ 308 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 67 \\ 402 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 . \overline{2}: 1 \\ & 0.8: 1 \\ & 2.2: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 270-470 \\ & 321-615 \\ & 431-699 \\ & 502-635 \end{aligned}$ | 416-596 454-624 515-587 | $\begin{aligned} & 342 \\ & 486 \\ & 604 \\ & 577 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 512 \\ & 553 \\ & 554 \end{aligned}$ | 475-496 <br> 567-640 <br> 566-588 | $\begin{aligned} & 503-520 \\ & 551-556 \\ & 540-567 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 331 \\ 464 \\ 593 \\ 576 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & 508 \\ & 555 \\ & 554 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Talkeetna Station | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 30 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 16 49 4 | $0.7: 1$ $0.6: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400-580 \\ & 395-635 \end{aligned}$ | 436-590 <br> 415-615 <br> 540-580 | 507 571 - | $\begin{aligned} & 517 \\ & 551 \\ & 563 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 464-549 \\ & 552-590 \end{aligned}$ | $494-540$ $541-562$ - | 515 585 | $\begin{aligned} & 520 \\ & 560 \\ & 566 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Curry Station | 3 4 5 6 | 1 53 68 1 | 1 24 119 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 1 \\ & 2.2: 1 \\ & 0.6: 1 \\ & 0.3: 1 \end{aligned}$ | - $335-615$ $490-640$ - | 455-605 $445-610$ $480-568$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & 496 \\ & 584 \\ & 570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 532 \\ & 560 \\ & 536 \end{aligned}$ | 478-514 <br> 577-590 | $\begin{aligned} & 513-550 \\ & 556-565 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & 480 \\ & 590 \\ & 570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 534 \\ & 563 \\ & 560 \end{aligned}$ |  |

1/ Male
2/ Female
3/ Confidence of LImits on Mean
station order as defined above was $568 \mathrm{~mm}, 577 \mathrm{~mm}, 553 \mathrm{~mm}, 551 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 560 mm . The combined sockeye salmon lengths of all ages ranged from 230 mm to 675 mm at Susitna Station, 310 mm to 684 mm at Yentna Station, 395 mm to 635 mm at Talkeetna Statin and 335 mm to 640 mm at Curry Station. Male sockeye salmon were larger than females in all age classes (Table E.5.13) but were more numerous than female sockeye at only Talkeetna Station (1.2 to 1.0). At Sunshine Station sex ratios indicate that male and female sockeye were equally abundant ( 1.0 to 1.0). Males were less abundant than females at Susitna Station (0.9 to 1.0), Talkeetna Station (0.6 to 1.0) and Curry Station (0.8 to 1.0).

### 5.2.1.2 Pink Salmon

Side Scan Sonar counters at Susitna Station enumerated 113,349 pink salmon; 88 percent on the east side and 12 percent on the west side of the Susitna River. The pink salmon migration essentially began, reached a mid-point and terminated on 10 July, 25 July and 21 August respectively (Figure E.5.24). Seventyfive percent of the pink saimon migration passed Susitna Station in 15 days between 15 July and 29 July. The fishwheels at Susitna Station caught a total of 691 pink salmon. Of the 691 pinks caught, 57.5 percent were intercepted by the west bank fishwheel and 42.5 percent intercepted by the east bank fishwheel. Figure ED-4 indicates the peak of migration occurred between 21 July and 3 August.

At Yentna Station, 36,053 pink salmon were enumerated by sonar counters. The south bank sonar counter recorded 82 percent of the counts while 18 percent were registered by the north bank sonar counter. The beginning, mid-point and


Figure E.5.24. Daily sonar counts of pink salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
end of the migration approximately occurred on 14 July, 27 July and 20 August respectively (Figure E.5.24). Seventy-five percent of the pink salmon were counted in 13 days between 21 July and 2 August. The two fishwheets located at Yentna Station intercepted 2,729 pink salmon. Sixty-three and seven tenths percent of the pink salmon were intercepted by the south bank fishwheel and 36.8 percent were caught by the north bank fishwhee1. A graphic representation of the fishwheel catch per hour indicates that the peak of migration occurred in the 17 day period between 21 July and 6 August (Figure ED-4).

At Sunshine Station SSS counters enumerated 72,945 pink salmon. Eighty-four and five-tenths percent of the counts were registered on the east side of the river and 15.5 percent on the west side of the river. The migration essentially began on 23 July, peaked on 1 August and terminated on 20 August (Figure E.5.24). Seventy-five percent of the fish were counted in 13 days from 28 July to 9 August. Four fishwheels were operated at Sunshine Station; two on the west bank and two on the east bank of the Susitna River. A combined total of 7,099 pink salmon were caught with the east bank fishwheels intercepting 91.3 percent and the west bank fishwheels catching the remaining 8.7 percent. Figure ED-5, a plot of fishwheel catch per hour, shows the peak of migration occurred between 29 July and 9 August.

Side scan sonar counters at Talkeetna Station enumerated 2,529 pink salmon. Fifty-seven and three-tenths percent of the counts were recorded by the west bank sonar and 42.7 percent by the east bank sonar. The migration principally began on 27 July, reached a mid-point on 6 August and terminated on 20 August (Figure E.5.24). Seventy-five percent of the escapement was intercepted between 29 July and 9 August.

The four fishwheels operating at Talkeetna Station intercepted a total of 379 pink salmon. Fifty-nine point four percent were caught by the east bank fishwheels and 40.6 percent were caught by the west bank fishwheels. Figure ED-5 graphically illustrates that peak fishwheel catches of pink salmon occurred between 1 August and 10 August.

The pink salmon migration at Curry station started on 31 July, reached a midpoint on 8 August and terminated 19 August approximately (Figure ED-6). Seventy-five percent of the escapement passed the site between 4 August and 19 August. The majority of the pink salmon fishwheel catch ( 69.9 percent) at Curry Station was made on the east side of the river.

Population estimates derived from tag and recapture data indicate that 53,101 pink salmon were present at Sunshine Station, 2,335 present at Talkeetna Station and 1,146 present at Curry Station. The 95 percent confidence limits along with the parameters used to calculate these estimates are presented in Table E.5.10 and Appendix EJ.

The migrational rate based on plots of sonar and fishwheel catch data indicate that pink salmon took an average of three days to reach Yentna Station from Susitna Station, a distance of approximately six miles (Figures E.5.20 and ED-5). This represents an average travel speed of about 2.0 miles per day. These travel rates are valid only if there is no fundamental variation in migrational timing between Susitna River pink salmon stocks.

Pink salmon averaged about nine days of travel time between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station (Figure E.5.20). This represents an average travel rate
of $6.0 \mathrm{miles} / \mathrm{day}$. Travel time between Susitna Station and Talkeetna Station was approximately 12 days or a travel speed of 6.4 miles/day.

Tag and recapture data on pink salmon indicate that travel time between Sunshine Station and Talkeetna Station ranged from two to 30 days (Figure E.5.21). Pink salmon averaged three days of travel time or six miles/day between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station with a range of one to 13 days (Figure E.5.22).

Table E.5.14 provides a summary of the pink salmon length data collected at each of the mainstem sampling stations. Graphic representation of this data is provided in Figures EF-6 through EF-10 and Figure EF-24. The average length of male pink salmon was 444 mm at Susitna Station, 478 mm at Yentna Station, 445 mm at Sunshine Station and 432 mm at Curry Station. In comparison females averaged $433 \mathrm{~mm}, 471 \mathrm{~mm}, 449 \mathrm{~mm}, 434 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 432 mm in the same order by station. The data indicates that pink salmon stocks in the Yentna River subdrainage were larger than the pink salmon stocks utilizing the Susitna River upstream of the Yentna River confluence (Figure EF-24).

Table E.5.14 also summarizes the sex composition of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at each of the stations. Male pink salmon were more abundant than females at all sampling stations except at Talkeetna Station where females were 20 percent more numerous (1:1.2) than males.

Table E.5.14. Analysis of pink salmon lenaths, in millimeters, from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  | AGE | n |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEX } \\ \text { RATI } 0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RANGE LIMITS |  | Mean |  | 95\% CONF. LIMITS ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ |  | MEDIAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLECTION SITE |  | m ${ }^{7 /}$ | f? |  | m | $f$ | m | $f$ | m | 7 | m | $f$ |
| Susitna Station | 2 | 73 | 177 | 0.4:1 | 333-566 | 318-491 | 444 | 433 | 437-452 | 430-436 | 443 | 435 |
| Yentna Station | 2 | 494 | 619 | 0.8:1 | 315-580 | 245-567 | 478 | 471 | 449-506 | 441-501 | 452 | 441 |
| Sunshine Station | 2 | 604 | 727 | 0.8:1 | 336-565 | 345-505 | 445 | 449 | 443-448 | 434-464 | 445 | 440 |
| Talkeetna Station | 2 | 111 | 89 | 1.2:1 | 380-505 | 303-480 | 434 | 434 | 428-439 | 428-439 | 430 | 430 |
| Curry Station | 2 | 77 | 101 | 0.8:1 | 355-560 | 360-485 | 432 | 432 | 425-439 | 427-436 | 430 | 430 |

1/ Male
2/ Female
3/ Confidence Limits on Mean

### 5.2.1.3 Chum Salmon

A total of 46,461 chum salmon were enumerated at Susitna Station with SSS counters. The majority (91.1\%) of the fish were enumerated on the east side of the river and the balance ( $8.9 \%$ ) on the west side. The migration arrived at Susitna Station, on 10 July, reached a mid-point on 27 July and ended on 25 August (Figure E.5.25). Seventy-five percent of the escapement was counted between 15 July and 6 August. A total of 250 chum salmon were caught in the fishwheels operated at Susitna Station. The peak migration, as indicated by a plot of the mean hourly fishwheel catch (Figure ED-7), occurred between 3 August and 7 August with the majority of fishwheel interceptions occurring along the east bank.

The Yentna Station SSS counters enumerated 19,765 chum salmon. Sixty-four and four-tenths percent of the counts were recorded by the south bank sonar and 35.6 percent by the north bank sonar. The chum salmon migration essentially began at Yentna Station on 13 July, reached a mid-point on 29 July and terminated on 24 August (Figure E.5.25). Seventy-five percent of the fish were counted in a 29 day period between 18 July and 15 August. Fishwheels operated at Yentna Station caught a total of 1,415 chum salmon. Chum salmon passage at Yentna Station reached a peak between 20 July and 23 July as indicated by fishwheel catch data (Figure ED-7). The north and south bank fishwheels, respectively, caught. 66.3 percent and 33.7 percent of the chum salmon.

Side scan sonar counters at Sunshine Station counted 59,630 chum salmon. The east bank counter recorded 77.9 percent of counts and the remainder, 22.1

percent, were registered on the west bank counter. The chum salmon migration began on 22 July, reached a mid-point on 6 August and terminated on 6 September, approximately (Figure E.5.25). Seventy-five percent of the fish were counted in a 29 day period between 27 July and 24 August. A total of 9,168 chum salmon were caught in the four fishwheels at Sunshine Station. The peak of chum salmon migration at Sunshine Station, as indicated by daily fishwheel catches, occurred between 17 August and 19 August (Figure ED-8). The east bank fishwheels intercepted more chum salmon than the west bank wheels by a ratio of 9.1:1.

A total of 10,036 chum salmon were counted at Talkeetna Station. The west bank SSS counted 59.6 percent of the chum salmon and 40.4 percent were enumerated by the east bank SSS. The migration approximately began on 28 July, reached a mid-point on 8 August and ended on 29 August (Figure E.5.25). Seventy-five percent of the escapement was counted in a 32 day period between 30 July and 30 August. A total of 1,285 chum salmon were intercepted by the fishwheels at Talkeetna Station. Seventy-five percent were caught between 4 August and 7 September with 48.7 percent and 51.3 percent of the total catch intercepted in the east and west bank fishwheels respectively (Figure ED-8).

Fishwheel catches at Curry Station indicate that the migration essentially began on 29 July, reached a mid-point on 16 August and terminated on 2 September (Figure ED-9). The majority ( $89.6 \%$ ) of the catch was made on the east side of the river.

Tag and recapture data indicates that 262,851 chum saimon were present at Sunshine Station, 20,385 at Talkeetna Station and 13,068 at Curry Station.

The 95 percent confidence limits and variables used to calculate the estimates are presented in Table E.5.10 and Appendix EJ.

Chum salmon averaged four days of travel time between Susitna Station and Yentna Station for a travel speed of 1.5 miles/day. The average travel time between Susitna Station and Sunshine Station was 10 days which computes to a travel speed of 5.4 miles/day. The migration period between Susitna Station and Talkeetna Station averaged 14 days or $5.5 \mathrm{miles} /$ day. The migration timing and travel rates presented above are considered valid if there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River chum salmon stocks.

Chum salmon tagged at Sunshine Station took between two and nine days to reach Talkeetna Station (Figure E.5.21). Between Talkeetna Station and Curry Station the number of travel days ranged from one to 24 days with an average travel time of approximately 4.5 days and a mean travel speed of 3.8 miles/day (Figure E.5.22).

Evaluation of the hourly passage rate of chum salmon at Sunshine Station suggests a distinct behavior pattern with a high percentage of the fish passing the counters between 2100 hours and 0100 hours and between 0300 hours and 0500 hours (Figure E.5.26). The lowest hourly passage rate occurred between 0700 hours and 1100 hours. East bank SSS sector counts at Sunshine Station indicate that chum salmon displayed a strong migrational preference for near-shore travel. More than 60 percent of the chum salmon were counted in the first sonar sector and 30 percent in the second sector (Figure E.5.19). Comparison data is not available for the other stations due to the absence of discrete periods when chum salmon comprised 90 percent or more of the counts.


Figure E.5.26. Percent daily sonar counts of chum salmon by two hour blocks at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Table E. 5.15 outlines the age structure of the chum salmon sampled at each of the stations. Age $4_{1}$ chum salmon from the 1977 brood year dominated the catch at each site comprising an average of 86 percent of the fish. Next abundant were age $5_{1}$ fish followed by age $3_{1}$ fish which made up 10 percent and four percent of the age samples respectively. The most notable difference in age class structure was among the chum salmon sampled at Curry Station which were 14.1 percent and 1.9 percent age $5_{1}$ and $3_{1}$ fish respectively. This is a considerable variation from the above cited averages for the combined stations.

Presented in Table E.5.16 is a summary of chum salmon length data collected at each sampling location. These data are also graphically displayed in Figures EF-11 through EF-15 and Figures EF-25 through EF-27. Chum salmon of all age classes at Susitna Station ranged in size from 445 mm to 658 mm , at Yentna Station from 436 mm to 697 mm , at Sunshine Station from 455 mm to 718 mm , at Talkeetna Station from 480 mm to 720 mm and at Curry Station from 440 mm to 680 mm . Four year old male chum salmon had an average length of 593 mm , $601 \mathrm{~mm}, 624 \mathrm{~mm}, 586 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 593 mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Female chum salmon of the same age in the same station order as defined above had an average length of 581 rmm , 585 mm , $588 \mathrm{~mm}, 578 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 614 mm respectively.

Table E.5.16 also provides a comparison of sex ratios between age classes by sampling location. Combined age class sex ratios indicate that male chum salmon were less abundant than females at Susitna Station (1:1.6) and Sunshine

Table ${ }^{-}$E.5.15. Analysis of chum salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | SAMPLE SIZE | AGE CLASS I/ |  |  | BROOD YEAR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 31 | ${ }^{4} 1$ | 51 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| Susitna Station | 158 | 3.2 | 88.6 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 88.6 | 3.2 |
| Yentna Station | 754 | 6.6 | 84.1 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 84.1 | 6.6 |
| Sunshine Station | 1088 | 4.1 | 88.7 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 88.7 | 4.1 |
| Talkeetna Station | 438 | 4.1 | 85.2 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 85.2 | 4.1 |
| Curry Station | 632 | 1.9 | 84.0 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 84.0 | 1.9 |

1/ Gilbert-Rich Notation

Table E.5.16. Analysis of chum salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  | AGE | $m!/{ }^{\text {n }}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEX } \\ \text { RATIO } \end{gathered}$ | RANGE LIMITS |  | MEAN |  | 95\% CONF. LIMITS3/ |  | median |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLECTION SITE |  |  |  | m | $f$ | m | $f$ | m | f | m | $f$ |
| Susitna Station | 3 4 5 | 3 5 8 | 29 89 5 |  | 1.5:1 $0.6: 1$ $1.6: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 501-566 \\ & 502-645 \\ & 538-620 \end{aligned}$ | 500-518 <br> 445-658 <br> 584-632 | $\begin{aligned} & 537 \\ & 593 \\ & 595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 509 \\ & 510 \\ & 610 \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\text { 584-602 }}{-}$ | $\stackrel{-274-588}{-}$ | 544 549 580 | 509 584 607 |
| Yentra Station | 3 4 5 | 22 322 42 | 28 312 38 | $0.1: 1$ $1.0: 1$ $1.5: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 474-590 \\ & 465-694 \\ & 564-693 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 436-612 \\ & 460-697 \\ & 526-688 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 537 \\ & 601 \\ & 629 \end{aligned}$ | 523 585 616 | $\begin{aligned} & 523-551 \\ & 597-605 \\ & 620-638 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 509-538 \\ & 581-589 \\ & 602-629 \end{aligned}$ | 542 602 695 | 526 586 614 |
| ${ }^{1}$ | 3 4 5 | 16 435 40 | 29 530 38 | $0.6: 1$ $0.8: 1$ $1.0: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 510-585 \\ & 485-704 \\ & 541-718 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495-600 \\ & 455-690 \\ & 565-708 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 554 \\ & 624 \\ & 628 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 538 \\ & 588 \\ & 5814 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 544-565 \\ & 590-657 \\ & 616-640 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 527-548 \\ & 585-591 \\ & 603-625 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 560 \\ & 600 \\ & 605 \end{aligned}$ | 535 599 612 |
| Talkeetna Station | 3 4 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 212 \\ 212 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | 6 161 20 | $2: 1$ $1.3: 1$ $1.4: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 480-615 \\ & 515-650 \\ & 540-720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 490-592 \\ & 480-689 \\ & 560-650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 534 \\ & 586 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 531 \\ & 578 \\ & 518 \end{aligned}$ | 581-590 $\mathbf{6 0 4 - 6 3 5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 572-583 \\ & 600-623 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 535 \\ & 585 \\ & 620 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 535 \\ & 575 \\ & 612 \end{aligned}$ |
| Curry Station | 3 4 5 | 66 281 44 | 66 250 45 | $1: 1$ $1.1: 1$ $1.0: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505-570 \\ & 440-680 \\ & 539-650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 540-590 \\ & 470-678 \\ & 510-662 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 534 \\ & 593 \\ & 612 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 562 \\ & 614 \\ & 603 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 589-597 \\ & 606-619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 571-656 \\ & 595-611 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 530 \\ & 595 \\ & 614 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 559 \\ & 592 \\ & 605 \end{aligned}$ |

1/ Male
(1) Female

3/ Confidence Limits on Mean

Station (1:1.2) and equally numerous as males at Yentna Station (1:1). Male chum salmon were dominant at Talkeetna Station (1:0.7) and Curry Station (1:0.9).

### 5.2.1.4 Coho Salmon

A total of 33,470 coho salmon were enumerated across the SSS counters at Susitna Station. Seventy percent were registered by the east bank SSS and the balance by the west bank SSS. The migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 20 July, 28 July and the 25 August respectively (Figure E.5.27). Approximately 75 percent of the fish passed in 25 days between 23 July and 16 August. The fishwheels at Susitna Station caught a total of 329 coho salmon. Coho salmon showed a strong bank preference with 76.3 percent moving up the west bank and 23.7 percent migrating along the east bank. A plot of fishwheel catch per hour indicates the peak of migration occurred between 25 July and 30 July (Figure ED-10).

The Yentna Station SSS counters enumerated a total of 17,017 coho salmon. The south bank counter registered 83.6 percent of the count and the north bank counter registered 16.4 percent of the count. The migration principally began on 22 July, reached a mid-point on 31 July and ended on 20 August (Figure E.5.27). Seventy five percent of the fish passed between 23 July and 16 August. A total of 1,122 coho were intercepted by Yentna Station fishwheels with 75.7 percent and 24.3 percent of the catch caught along the south and north bank respectively. The peak of migration, as shown by a plot of fishwheel catch per hour, occurred between 23 July and 6 August (Figure ED-10).




Figure E.5.27. Daily sonar counts of coho salmon at Yentna, Susitna, Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Side Scan Sonar counters at Sunshine Station counted a total of 22,793 coho salmon. Sixty-six and six-tenths percent of the fish passed over the west bank sonar and the remaining 33.4 percent over the east bank sonar. The migration principally began at Sunshine Station on 29 July, reached a mid-point on 18 August and terminated on 5 September, approximately (Figure E.5.27). Seventy-five percent of the migration was counted in the 21 days between 4 August and 24 August. Sunshine Station fishwheels intercepted 2,928 coho salmon. There was no apparent preference between river banks with 51.6 percent and 48.4 percent of the coho salmon migrating along the east and west bank respectively. A plot of the fishwheel catch per hour graphically illustrates that coho salmon passage peaked between 18 August and 25 August (Figure ED-11)。

The SSS counters at Talkeetna Station recorded a total of 3,522 coho salmon. The west bank sonar enumerated 62 percent of the fish and the east bank sonar, 38 percent. The migration approximately began, reached a mid-point, and ended on 30 July, 24 August and 11 September respectively (Figure E.5.27). Seventy-five percent of the coho salmon were counted in the 22 days between 11 August and 1 September. The four fishwheels operated at Talkeetna Station intercepted a total of 533 coho salmon with 59.5 percent being caught in the two west bank fishwheels. Fishwheel catch per hour plots indicate that the peak of migration occurred between 19 August and 30 August (Figure ED-11).

Curry Station fishwheel catches indicate that the coho salmon migration began, reached a mid-point and ended on 5 August, 22 August and 4 September respectively (Figure ED-12). The majority ( $64.8 \%$ ) of the fish at Curry Station were intercepted on the east side of the river.

Poputation estimates derived from tagging and recapture operations indicate that 19,841 coho salmon were present at Sunshine Station, 3,306 present at Talkeetna Station and 1,041 present at Curry Station. The parameters used to calculate the estimates along with the 95 percent confidence limits are presented in Table E.5.10 and Appendix EJ.

The average migrational travel time of coho salmon between Susitna Station and Yentna Station was two days which is an upstream trave1 speed of $3.0 \mathrm{miles} /$ day (Figure E.5.20). An average of fourteen days were required to reach Sunshine Station from Susitna Station. The total travel time from Susitna Station beyond Sunshine Station to Talkeetna Station was approximately 24 days. This represents a travel speed of 3.9 and 3.2 miles/day respectively. These migration rates are based on the assumption that there is no fundamental variation in timing between Susitna River coho salmon stocks.

Tag recaptures of marked coho salmon from Talkeetna Station at Curry Station indicate that coho salmon migrated between these stations in two to 15 days (Figure E.5.22). The average travel time was 4.5 days or a travel speed of $3.8 \mathrm{miles} /$ day.

Table E.5.17 summarizes the coho salmon age composition by sampling location. The data indicates that the majority of the fish were age $4_{3}$ from the 1977 brood year followed by age $3_{2}$ from the 1978 brood year. Less than 10 percent of the coho escapement was comprised of other age classes.

Table E.5.17. Analysis of coho salmon age data by percent from escapement samples collected at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | $n$ | AgE CLASS 1/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | BROOD YEAR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 31 | 32 | 33 | $4_{2}$ | 43 | $4_{4}$ | 52 | $5_{4}$ | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 |
| Susitna Station | 224 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 68.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 71.0 | 22.4 |
| Yentna Station | 323 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 82.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 82.9 | 16.1 |
| Sunshine Station | 424 | 0.0 | 31.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 65.1 | 31.8 |
| $\underset{\sim}{\text { a }}$ Talkeetna Station | 164 | 0.0 | 11.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 84.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 84.8 | 12.2 |
| Curry Station | 77 | 1.3 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 68.8 | 28.6 |

1/ Gilbert-Rich Notation

A summary of coho salmon lengths collected by sampling station is presented in Table E.5.18. This data is also graphically displayed in Figures EF-16 through EF-20 and Figures EF-28 through EF-30. Lengths ranged from 216 mm to 645 mm at. Susitna Station, 365 mm to 635 mm at Yentna Station, 325 mm to 680 mm at Sunshine Station, 330 mm to 650 mm at Talkeetna Station and 370 mm to 605 mm at Curry Station. The average lengths of four year old male coho salmon were $519 \mathrm{~mm}, 541 \mathrm{~mm}, 541 \mathrm{~mm}, 534 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 519 mm at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna and Curry stations respectively. Four year old female coho salmon in the station order as defined above averaged $530 \mathrm{~mm}, 540 \mathrm{~mm}$, $542 \mathrm{~mm}, 538 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 541 mm .

The male female ratios of coho salmon for all age classes combined was 1.2:1 at Susitna Station, 1.1:1 at Yentna Station, 0.8:1 at Sunshine Station, 0.7:1 at Talkeetna Station and 0.5:1 at Curry Station (Table 5.5.18).

### 5.2.2 Survey Investigations

### 5.2.2.1 Mainstem Surveys

Presented in Table EG-1 is a list of the locations and catch results for approximately 310 sites sampled with gill nets and electroshocking gear on Susitna River mainstem. Twelve mainstem spawning locations were identified (Table E.5.19). Chum salmon were found spawning at 10 of 12 sites. Coho salmon were found spawning alone at one site and both coho and chum salmon were recorded sharing spawning sites in two mainstem areas. One of the 12 spawning areas was located at RM 100.5. This site was determined on the basis

Table E.5.18. Analysis of coho salmon lengths, in millimeters, by age from fishwheel catches at Susitna, Yentna, Sunshine, Talkeetna, and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| COLLECTION SITE | AGE |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEX } \\ \text { RATIO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RANGE LIMITS |  | MEAN |  | 95\% CONF. LIMITS 3/ |  | MEDIAN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | m | f | m | $f$ | m | - 7 | m | $f$ |
| Susitna Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 66 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 24 93 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0: 1 \\ & 6.7: 1 \\ & 1.1: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 256-592 \\ & 216-645 \\ & 515-605 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 406-577 \\ & 413-614 \\ & 433-637 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 477 \\ & 519 \\ & 568 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 493 \\ & 530 \\ & 517 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 445-509 \\ & 499-539 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 471-515 \\ & 520-540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 482 \\ & 543 \\ & 570 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 504 \\ & 546 \\ & 511 \end{aligned}$ |
| Yentna Station | 3 4 5 | 26 128 1 | 25 140 3 | $1.0: 1$ $0.9: 1$ $0.3: 1$ | 424-566 $365-635$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 371-598 \\ & 399-615 \\ & 574-588 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \\ & 541 \\ & 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495 \\ & 540 \\ & 580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 492-525 \\ & 532-551 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 469-520 \\ 533-548 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 513 \\ & 544 \\ & 553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 499 \\ & 546 \\ & 578 \end{aligned}$ |
| (l) Sunshine Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 143 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 54 133 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5: 1 \\ & 1.1: 1 \\ & 1.6: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325-585 \\ & 395-680 \\ & 380-635 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 410-585 \\ & 445-628 \\ & 510-623 \end{aligned}$ | 477 541 541 | $\begin{aligned} & 497 \\ & 542 \\ & 554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 465-490 \\ & 531-550 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 486-509 \\ & 535-549 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 477 \\ & 555 \\ & 552 \end{aligned}$ | 500 545 545 |
| Talkeetna Station | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | 10 87 1 | 10 52 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1: 1 \\ 1.7: 1 \\ 0.2: 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 330-600 \\ & 420-650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 455-565 \\ & 420-605 \\ & 510-585 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 484 \\ & 534 \\ & 595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 510 \\ & 538 \\ & 539 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 432-536 \\ & 522-546 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 480-540 \\ & 528-548 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 488 \\ & 540 \\ & 595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 492 \\ & 540 \\ & 530 \end{aligned}$ |
| Curry Station | 3 4 5 | 12 37 2 | 10 16 0 | $1.2: 1$ $2.3: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 400-580 \\ & 420-600 \\ & 590-594 \end{aligned}$ | $415-575$ $370-605$ - | $\begin{aligned} & 484 \\ & 519 \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | 492 541 | 453-515 502-536 $\sim$ | $\begin{aligned} & 455-530 \\ & 513-569 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 490 \\ & 510 \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 498 \\ & 542 \end{aligned}$ |

[^2]Table E.5.19. Mainstem Susitna River salmon spawning locations with survey results, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m \\
& \vdots \\
& \vdots \\
& y
\end{aligned}
$$

| LOCATION |  | SURVEY |  |  |  |  |  |  | EGG DEPOSITION SAMPLING |  |  |  |  | REMARKS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AUGHT/ | BSERVE |  | DATE | PLOTS | EGG |  |  |  |
| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD D | DISTANCE | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |  |
| 68.3 | ${\underset{A A B}{22 N 05 W 13}}^{2}$ | 9/27 | Visual | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10/7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | Active spawning occurring 9/21 |
| 76.6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 23N04W07 } \\ \text { BBD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 21 \\ & 9 / 27 \end{aligned}$ | Electroshock Visual | $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdot \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Active spawning noted 9/27 |
| 83.3 | $\underset{\text { BCC }}{24 \text { NO5Wl } 5}$ | 9/5 | Visual | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 10/8 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 | Active spawning observed 9/5 |
| 92.2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 25N05WI3 } \\ \text { BCC } \end{gathered}$ | 10/9 | Visual | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | Spawning observed and Redds 10/9 |
| 96.8 | $\begin{gathered} 26 N 05 \mathrm{~W} 25 \\ \text { BAA } \end{gathered}$ | 9/2 | Visual | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10/8 | 5 | 0 | 44 | 44 | All eggs fungus covered |
| 97.0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26NO5W26 } \\ \text { ADB } \end{gathered}$ | 9/17 | Visual | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | Spawning activity occurring 9/17 |
| , 100.5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 26N05W02 } \\ \text { CDD } \end{gathered}$ | 9/24 | Visual | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10/3 | 3 | - 8 | 0 | 8 | Redds observed on 9/24 and 10/3 |
| 117.6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 29N13W28 } \\ \text { BBC } \end{gathered}$ | 9/23 | Drift Net | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10/7 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 3 | Drift gill net employed as seine 9/23 |
| 129.2 | $\underset{B}{\text { 30N03W09 }}$ | 9/8 | Drift Net | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10/1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Numerous Redds observed 10/1 |
| 130.5 | $\underset{B}{\text { 3ONO3W10 }}$ | 9/8 | Drift Net | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10/1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Redds not visable 10/1 |
| 131.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30NO3W3 } \\ & \text { DA } \end{aligned}$ | 9/7 | Drift Net | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10/1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Redds not visable 10/1 |
| 135.2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 31NO2WI } 9 \\ \text { ADA } \end{gathered}$ | 9/6 | Drift Net | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10/1 | 2 | 16 | 11 | 27 | Redds not visable 70/1 |

Table E.5.20 Results of set gill netting on mainstem Susitna River between Devil Canyon and Portage Creek, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | LOCATION |  | NETTING TIME (MILITARY) |  |  | CATCH (SALMON) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SITE NO. | RIVER MILE | BEGIN | END | TOTAL HOURS | SOCKEYE | CHUM | COHO | TOTAL | REMARKS |
| 7/29 | 3 | 150.1 | 1330 | $1630^{\circ}$ | 3.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | River at flood condition: net fished poor. |
| 7/29 | 2 | 150.2 | 1400 | 1640 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | River at flood stage; net fished poor. |
| 8/5 | 3 | 150.1 | 1500 | 1900 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | High water conditions; net fished fair. |
| 8/26 | 2 | 150.2 | 945 | 1400 | 4.25 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | Net fished excellent; all fish were in excellent pre-spawning condition; the coho salmon had been tagged on 8/17/81 at Talkeetna Station. |
| 8/26 | 1 | 150.4 | 930 | 1345 | 4.25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Net fished excellent. |
| 9/2 | 1 | 150.4 | 1100 | 1300 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | Net fished excellent. Coho was fresh and in excellent spawning condition. |
| 9/2 | 2 | 150.2 | 1115 | 1315 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Net fished excellent. |
| 9/10 | 1 | 150.4 | 1500 | 1700 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Net fished excellent. |
| 9/10 | 3 | 150.1 | 1520 | 1720 | 2.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Net fished fair due to low water. |
| 9/19 | 1 | 150.4 | 1100 | 1500 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Net fished excellent. |

of visual sightings of redds on 24 September and egg deposition sampling on 30 October. Salmon eggs were found in subsurface gravels at the same site, but it was not possible to confirm which species spawned there. Maps of each of the 12 spawning areas are presented in Figures EH-1 through EH-12. These spawning areas are located between RM 68.3 and RM 135.2.

Echo recorders did not prove effective in identifying mainstem spawning areas. They were tested in mainstem sloughs and although adult fish were located through vertical scanning, interpretation of recording printouts on the mainstem Susitna River was difficult because debris echoes had a similar appearance to fish and turbulence produced false recordings. Further compounding the problem was the inability to operate echo recorders against the force of the river current. The gunne 1 mounted transducer brackets commonly bent and become inoperative particularly in areas where water velocity was greater than three feet per second.

Drift gill nets were effective in locating five of the 12 mainstem spawning sites previously referenced. They were not however, considered an efficient means of sampling due to variable water depths encountered. Many areas were several meters deeper than the 1.5 m depth 1 imit of the nets. In shallower areas, debris caused nets to be torn and resulted in several hours of mending for each hour fished.

Electroshocking gear was not available to survey crews operating above RM 61 until 21 September. Although only one mainstem spawning site was found with this gear type, it worked efficiently in all areas of the river where used and
was considered superior to drift gill nets and depth recorders. It is probable that additional spawning areas would have been located had efectroshocking gear been used earlier in the season, particularly in late August and early September.

Results of set netting in the area immediately below Devil Canyon between RM 150.1 and 150.4 (Figure E.5.28) are presented in Table E.5.20. The data confirms that sockeye, chum and coho salmon use the Susitna River mainstem above Portage Creek for migration purposes. A catch comprised of sockeye, chum and coho salmon was made on 26 August at RM 150.2 and a single coho salmon was captured on 2 September at RM 150.4. All gill netted fish were in pre-spawning condition. The single coho salmon caught on 26 August had been tagged earlier at Talkeetna Station on 7 August. Set netting conducted between 29 July and 5 August and also from 2 September to 19 September did not produce fish. No set netting was performed between 6 August and 25 August due to high water conditions.

### 5.2.2.2 Escapement Surveys

Escapement surveys were conducted on 32 sloughs and 15 tributary streams in the Susitna River reach between the Chulitna River and Devil Canyon. (Figure E.5.29). Eight new sloughs and streams were located which supported salmon spawning. These sloughs are referenced as Moose (RM 123.5), $A^{1}$ (RM 124.6), 9B (RM 124.2) and 21A (RM 145.5). The new streams are Gash Creek (RM 111.6), Lower McKenzie Creek (RM 116.2), 5th July Creek (RM 123.7) and Jack Long Creek (RM 144.5). The location of these streams and sloughs relative to the Susitna River mainstem are defined in Figure E.5.29.


Figure E.5.28. Set gill net fishing locations on mainstem Susitna River between Portage Creek and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.29. Slough locations and primary tributaries of the Susitna River from the confluence of the Chulitna and Talkeetna Rivers to Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure E.5.29. Continued.


Figure E.5.29. Continued.

Adult sockeye salmon were observed in Sloughs $3 \mathrm{~B}, 3 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~A}, 8 \mathrm{~A}, 9,9 \mathrm{~A}, 9 \mathrm{~B}, 11$, 17, 19, 20 and 21 and in Lower McKenzie Creek (Tables EJ-1 through EJ-2). Peak spawning occurred during the last week of August and the first three weeks of September (Figures E.5.30 through E.5.32). Sockeye salmon were most numerous in Slough $8 \mathrm{~A}, 9 \mathrm{~B}$ and 11 where peak spawning ground counts were 177 , 81 , and 893 sockeye salmon respectively.

Pink salmon were found in Sloughs 3A, 8 and A, and in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Fourth July Creek, 5th July Creek, Skull Creek, Sherman Creek, Indian River and Jack Long Creek (Tables EJ-1 and EJ-2). The highest peak spawning count within an index area was in Lane Creek where 291 fish were recorded. Peak spawning occurred in a 10 day period from 19 August to 28 August (Figure E.5.33). The stream survey counts are index counts and do not reflect total number of spawning fish present in the stream surveyed.

Chum salmon were present in Sloughs $1,2,6 A, 8,8 B$, Moose, $A^{1}, A, 8 A, 9,9 B$, $9 A, 11,13,15,17,19,20,21$, and 21 A (Table EJ-1). They were also found within the survey reaches of Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Lower McKenzie Creek, Skull Creek, Sherman Creek, Fourth July Creek and Indian River (Table EJ-2). The peak of spawning activity in the sloughs occurred during the last two weeks of August and the first two weeks of September (Figures E.5.30 through E.5.32). The highest counts were recorded in Sloughs 8, 8A, 9, 11 and 21 where $302,620,260,411$ and 274 chum salmon, respectively, were found spawning (Figure E.5.34). Based on the stream survey data the peak spawning period in streams was approximately one week earlier than that


Figure E.5.30. Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 9B, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.31: Chum and sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 11, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.32. Chum and Sockeye salmon live counts by date in Slough 21, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.33. Pink and chum salmon live counts by date in Lane Creek, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
observed in slough spawning areas. The highest peak count in an index area was registered on Lane Creek where 76 chum salmon were counted on 23 August (Figure E.5.33).

Coho salmon were not found in any of the sloughs surveyed but were observed in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Lane Creek, Gash Creek, Lower McKenzie Creek, Fourth July Creek, Indian River and Portage Creek (Tables EJ-1 and EJ-2). The highest densities of coho salmon, based on peak index counts, were in Whiskers Creek, Chase Creek, Gash Creek and Indian River where 70, 80, 141, and 85 coho salmon respectively were recorded spawning in a single survey. The survey data indicates that the spawning peak probably occurred in the second and third week of September.

### 5.2.3 Radio Telemetry Investigations

### 5.2.3.1 Chum Salmon

Eleven chum salmon were radio tagged between 30 July and 12 August and their movements monitored during 30 and 31 July and August, 1981 (Table E.5.21). Ten of the 11 fish were tagged between 6 and 12 August. Seven fish were tagged at Curry Station and four were tagged at Talkeetna Station (Figure E.5.35). Five were females and six were males (Table E.5.21).

Eight of the radio tagged chum salmon moved upstream from their respective tagging locations. Two others moved downstream and one remained within $\pm 0.2$ river miles of its tagging location (Figure E.5.36).

Table E.5.21. Chum salmon radio tagaing data, Adult Anadromous Investiaations; Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

ع6-؟-ヨ

| tagging |  | RADIO TRANSMITTER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DAIE | LOCATION | FREQUENCY (MHz) PULSE/SECOND | PETERSEN disc RUMJER | $\underset{\substack{\text { LeNGTH } \\(C M)}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & W E(G H T \\ & (\mathrm{KG}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 E x \\ (M / F) \end{gathered}$ |
| 7/30 | 102.9 | 40.700-3 | A-325 | 63.5 | 3.9 | F |
| 8/6 | 102.9 | 40.710-2 | A. 326 | 62.2 | 4.1 | F |
| 8/6 | 102.9 | 40.730-2 | A. 327 | 63.5 | 4.2 | H |
| 8/6 | 120.7 | 40.680-2 | A. 328 | 62.2 | 3.6 | H |
| $8 / 7$ | 120.7 | 40.720.1 | A. 329 | 58.4 | 3.7 | H |
| $8 / 7$ | 119.5 | 40,650-3 | A. 330 | 63.5 | 3.9 | M |
| 8/9 | 119.5 | 40.680-3 | A. 331 | 61.6 | 3.6 | M |
| $8 / 10$ | 102.9 | 40.660-1 | A. 332 | 63.5 | 4.5 | M |
| 8/11 | 119.5 | 40.740-1 | A.333 | 62.9 | 3.7 | F |
| 8/12 | 119.5 | 40.700-1 | A. 334 | 61.0 | 4.0 | F |
| $8 / 12$ | 119.5 | . $40.670-2$ | A. 335 | 61.0 | 4.2 | F |

I/ Mld eye to fork of tall


Figure E.5.35. Susitna River mainstem from Talkeetna to Devil Canyon, Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure E.5.36. Movements of radio tagged chum salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during july. and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Radio tagged chum salmon that moved upstream after tagging exhibited two types of movement. Upstream movement, with cessations of less than 72 hours was termed "direct movement". Upstream movement with cessations in excess of 72 hours, was termed, "indirect movement".

Direct movement was exhibited by chum salmon bearing transmitters numbered 650-3, 680-2 and 710-2 (Figure E.5.36). Indirect movement was displayed by fish bearing transmitters numbered $660-1,680-3$ and $720-1$. Fish bearing transmitters numbered 680-3 and 720-1 remained in the Susitna River within 0.3 miles of the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) for three and 11 days respectively, and fish carrying transmitter number 660-1 remained at the mouth of Lane Creek (RM 113.6) for at least six days.

The five remaining radio tagged chum salmon exhibited other movements (Figure E.5.36). Two individuals bearing transmitters numbered 700-1 and 700-3 moved downriver, the first individual entered a slough at RM 96.9 whereas the other chum salmon ascended the Chulitna River. Fish bearing transmitter number $670-2$ remained within 0.2 miles of its tagging location at RM 119.5. A chum salmon carrying transmitter number 730-2 was last detected at RM 127.0.

A female chum salmon regurgitated transmitter number 740-1 at RM 121.1 several days after being tagged on 11 August at Curry Station (RM 120). This fish was observed later spawning without its radio transmitter in Slough 11 (RM 135.3) on 29 August.

Determination of chum salmon upstream, migration rates was influenced by the time separating consecutive tracking efforts. Eighteen percent of the
detections, e.g. location of fish's positions in the river, were made within a period of 24 hours while 43 percent were made between 24 and 48 hours. Because of these relatively long intervals and because exact arrival times at upstream locations are unknown, the movement rates, with few exceptions, are expressed as "greater than or equal to" ( $\geq$ ) speeds.

The fastest documented rate of chum salmon migration was 1.0 miles per hour (mph). Fish bearing transmitter number $710-2$ moved 1.9 miles upstream within 1.9 hours after release (Table E.5.22). Perhaps more typical of sustained rapid movement is the subsequent movement of this fish when it traveled 22.2 miles within 32.5 hours for a rate $\geq 0.68 \mathrm{mph}$ or $16.4 \mathrm{miles} /$ day. In contrast, fish bearing transmitter number $650-3$ moved 5.1 miles within 39 hours for a rate $\geq 0.13 \mathrm{mph}$ or $3.1 \mathrm{miles} /$ day .

Rates of movement of two radio tagged chum salmon which migrated "directly" upstream suggest that radio tag implantation did not interfere with their upstream migration as their rates of movement were similar to that exhibited by chum salmon tagged with Floy tags at Talkeetna Station (RM 103). Two chum salmon radio tagged at Talkeetna Station on 6 August reached Curry Station (RM 120) within two days. Fish bearing transmitter number $730-2$ was detected 0.3 miles upriver of Curry Station 48 hours after being radio tagged at Talkeetna Station. Another chum salmon, bearing transmitter number 710-2, was located 9.2 miles upriver of Curry Station, 51 hours following transmitter implantation at Talkeetna Station. One hundred six chum salmon tagged with Floy tags at Talkeetna Station were recaptured by fishwheels at Curry Station 16.5 river miles upriver. Twenty of the 106 fish were recaptured after one

Table E.5.22. Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagoed adult, chum salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY (mHz) PULSE/SECOND | RATE OF UPSTREAM MOVEMENT <br> (MPH) I/ | HOURS ELAPSED BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE FISH POSITIONS | DISTANCE MOVED (MI.) | LOCATION OF MOVEMENT <br> - RM to RM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710-2 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 102.9-104.8 |
| 710-2 | 0.68 | 32.5 | 22.2 | 107.0-129.2 |
| 680-2 | 0.50 | 42.5 | 21.3 | 102.6-1 3.3 ${ }^{\text {// }}$ |
| 650-3 | 0.43 | 33.6 | 14.3 | 119.5-133.8 |
| 660-1 | 0.41 | 19.6 | 8.0 | 101.0-109.0 |
| 730-2 | 0.38 | 47.9 | 18.1 | 102.2-120.3 |
| 660-1 | 0.36 | 15.1 | 5.4 | 108.3-113.6 |
| 720-1 | 0.31 | 34.3 | 10.7 | 120.7-131.4 |
| 700-3 | 0.24 | 54.2 | 13.3 | 99.9-Ch 12.03/ |
| 680-3 | 0.24 | 17.3 | 4.2 | 119.5-123.7 |
| 680-3 | 0.18 | 48.0 | 8.2 | 123.7-132.2 |
| 680-3 | 0.17 | 47.6 | 8.2 | 130.9-I 0.5 ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ |
| 660-1 | 0.16 | 61.3 | 9.7 | 113.6-123.3 |
| 740-1 | 0.16 | 25.1 | 3.9 | 117.8-121.7 |
| 660-1 | 0.15 | 122.0 | 18.7 | 123.3-142.0 |

[^3]day of release, 42 after two days, 53 after three days, 74 after four days and 86 after five days. The number of recaptures progressively decreased each day until 106 recaptures were recorded.

The influence of flow on the movements of radio tagged chum salmon in the Susitna River is not apparent due to the small number of chum salmon tagged, and the variable flow conditions encountered by these fish (Figure E.5.36).

The primary destinations of radio tagged chum salmon were Susitna River sloughs, clear water tributaries and the confluence zones of tributary streams (Figure E.5.36). The four fish bearing transmitter numbers 660-1, 710-2, 740-1 and 700-1 entered Susitna River sloughs 21 (RM 142.0), 11 ( Rm 135.3), Moose (RM 123.5) and an unnamed slough (RM 96.9) respectively. The three fish bearing transmitter numbers 650-3, 680-2 and 680-3 entered the Indian River (RM 138.6). One fish bearing transmitter number 720-1 entered Sherman Creek (RM 130.8) before returning to the mainstem Susitna River where it held within 0.3 miles of the Fourth July Creek confluence zone (RM 131.0). One fish bearing transmitter number 670-2 stayed in the mainstem Susitna River at RM 119.6. One fish bearing transmitter number 700-3 swam down the Susitna River and entered the Chulitna River (RM 98.6). Fish bearing transmitter 730-2 was last detected at RM 127.0 in the Susitna River.

Radio tagged chum salmon entered spawning areas between 8 August and 23 August. Fish bearing transmitter number 710-2 entered Slough 11 (RM 135.5) about 13 August and was observed building a redd on 21 August. It had completed spawning by 2 September when it was captured and necropsied. Fish bearing transmitter number 740-1 entered Moose Slough (RM 123.5) between

13 August and 18 August. On 29 August it was observed over a redd and netted. A brief external examination revealed that most eggs were still present in the body cavity although the transmitter was absent. The transmitter had been found earlier at RM 121.1, the site of apparent regurgitation. On 4 September the carcass of this fish was found in Moose Slough (RM 123.5). A necropsy indicated the fish had spawned, as evidenced by the lack of eggs in the coelom.

Individual movements of radio tagged chum salmon are further described in Appendix EK.

### 5.2.3.2 Coho Salmon

Ten coho salmon were radio tagged from 31 August through 4 September. Four were tagged at Curry Station and six at Talkeetna Station (Table E.5.23). Eight bore wire reinforced radio transmitters whereas two carried nonreinforced transmitters (660-2 and 680-1).

The radio tagged coho salmon from Talkeetna Station and one from Curry Station moved downriver upon release. Three of the four fish entered tributaries downstream of RM 102.8 of the Susitna River (Figure E.5.37). Fish supporting transmitter number 700-2 entered the Chulitna River (RM 98.6) and moved upstream to RM 31.9. Another individual bearing transmitter number 710-1 entered the Talkeetna River and ascended Chunilna Creek (RM 5.9). Fish carrying transmitter number 710-3 moved downstream in the Susitna River to RM 88.0 and ascended Birch Creek (RM 88.0) to Fish Lake and spawned in an inlet stream. The fourth fish, supporting transmitter number 720-2, was apparently

Table E.5.23. Coho salmon radio tagging data. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

! 1
Mid eye to fork of tall
/ Underlined color predominates


Figure E.5.37. Moyements of radio tagged coho salmon in the Susitna River (to first occupied tributary) and discharge during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
adversely influenced by transmitter implantation as evidenced by observations of the fish while it occupied Chase Creek (RM 106.9).

Length of stay of the above three radio tagged coho salmon in the Susitna River upstream of RM 100.1 was variable; fish bearing transmitter number 700-2 moved downstream to Whiskers Creek (RM 101.2) and remained there for several days prior to moving further downstream and ascending the Chulitna River (RM 98.6). The other two fish supporting transmitter numbers 710-1 and 710-3 moved downriver after tagging.

Two coho salmon tagged at Talkeetna Station bearing transmitter numbers 650-2 and 730-3 exhibited upstream movement after tagging. The fish with transmitter number 650-2 entered Indian River (RM 138.6) eight days after tagging and the fish with transmitter number 730-3 remained at the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) for several weeks before moving up the creek. Both fish were implanted with transmitters having modified antennas.

Four coho salmon tagged at Curry Station exhibited multi-directional movements in the Susitna River (Figure E.5.37). Two fish carrying transmitter numbers 650-1 and 660-2, entered and spawned in Gash Creek (RM 111.6). Fish bearing transmitter number 650-1 moved downstream and remained in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) prior to moving up the Susitna River and entering Gash Creek (RM 111.6) whereas fish bearing transmitter number 660-2 moved upriver to RM 141.1 then descended to and entered Gash Creek (RM 111.6). Another coho salmon supporting transmitter number 680-1 moved downriver to RM 101.5 and held there for several days before migrating upstream to RM 109.8 where transmitter
reception was lost. The other fish bearing transmitter number 720-3, moved upriver to RM 131.0, before descending to and remaining at RM 117.8, near the mouth of Little Portage Creek through early October. This fish apparently did not spawn.

Movements of coho salmon apparently were not influenced by flow conditions within the Susitna River (Figure E.5.37).

Adult, radio tagged coho salmon moved upstream at various rates, although the relatively long periods of time separating some successive fish positions probably under-estimated the upstream migration rates (Table E.5.24). The fastest upstream migration rates, 0.67 to 1.00 mph , generally occurred at intervals of less than five hours. However some coho salmon moved upstream to 0.23 to 0.60 mph during longer intervals of 20 to 60.8 hours. Consequently, all upstream migration rates are expressed as equal to or exceeding ( $\geq$ ), except for those successive fish positions separated by less than five hours.

Behavior of adult radio tagged coho salmon near the mouths of Susitna River tributaries was variable (Figure E.5.37). Some individuals, such as fish bearing transmitter numbers 650-1 and 650-2, occupied positions in the mainstem Susitna River at or within 0.1 mile of the mouth of Gash Creek (RM 111.6) for several days prior to entering that tributary. Other coho salmon such as those carrying transmitter numbers 650-2 and 720-3, remained in the Susitna River within 0.1 mile of the mouth of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) and Little Portage Creek (RM 117.8), respectively, for two or more weeks. Fish bearing transmitter number 650-2 entered Fourth July Creek after holding at

Table E.5.24. Fifteen fastest recorded movements of radio tagged adult, coho salmon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

## - PULSE/SECOND

| TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY ( mHz ) PULSE/SECOND | RATE OF UPSTREAM MOVEMENT (MPH) ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | HOURS ELAPSED BETWEEN SUCCESSIVE FISH POSITIONS | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { MOVED } \\ \text { (MI.) } \end{gathered}$ | LOCATION OF MOVEMENT RM to RM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 650-2 | 1.00 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 102.8-103.5 |
| 660-2 | 0.88 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 112.5-114.7 |
| 730-3 | 0.67 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 102.9-105.9 |
| 720-2 | 0.67 | 2.1 | 1.4 | 109.1-110-5 |
| 730-3 | 0.60 | 20.3 | 12.2 | 109.6-121.8 |
| 650-2 | 0.56 | 28.2 | 15.8 | 103.5-119.3 |
| 660-2 | 0.43 | 23.3 | 9.9 | 118.5-128.4 |
| 720-3 | 0.39 | 21.8 | 8.6 | 119.5-128.1 |
| 680-1 | 0.29 | 20.2 | 5.9 | 103.8-109.7 |
| 730-3 | 0.27 | 68.6 | 18.7 | 121.8-138.6-I 7.9ㅢ/ |
| 650-1 | 2.33 | 56.3 | ${ }^{-1} 3.1$ | 3.3 T ${ }^{3} 06.9$ |
| 680-1 | 0.23 | 9.1 | 2.1 | 101.7-103.8 |
| 660-2 | 0.18 | 69.0 | 12.7 | 128.4-741.1 |
| 650-2 | 0.18 | 43.5 | 7.6 | 123.4-131.0 |
| 650-2 | 0.17 | 24.4 | 4.1 | 119.3-123.4 |

[^4]2/ Indian River Mile
3/ Talkeetna River Mile
its mouth for about two weeks whereas fish bearing transmitter number 720-2 remained near Little Portage Creek (RM 117.8) for about three weeks and apparently did not ascend that stream.

Three radio tagged female coho salmon spawned in streams connected to lakes as evidenced by their spawned out condition upon necropsy. However, actual spawning activity was not observed. Two spawned out individuals supporting transmitter numbers 650-1 and 660-2 were detected in Gash Creek (RM 111.6); one carried a wire modified transmitter whereas the other supported the heat-to-shrink material modified transmitter. The other fish bearing transmitter number 710-3 spawned in Cabin Creek, a tributary of Fish Lake (RM 4.7 Birch Creek) and bore a wire modified transmitter.

The above three individuals spawned within one week after entering Susitna River tributaries in September. A female fish bearing transmitter number 710-3 was found spawned out and dead less than one week after entering Cabin Creek (RM 4.7 Birch Creek) in September. Two fish bearing transmitter numbers 660-2 and 650-1, were found in a spawned-out condition within seven days after entering Gash Creek (RM 111.6) on about 21 and 22 September.

A female coho salmon bearing transmitter number 650-2 displayed a similar pattern of tributary occupancy in Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0). This individual entered the stream on 20 September after remaining in the Susitna River near the mouth of this stream for about two weeks. On 23 September it was detected in the Susitna River at RM 130.0. The spawning status of this fish was not determined.

Individual movements of radio tagged coho salmon are further described in Appendix EK.
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APPENDIX EA
SUSITNA RIVER AND YENTNA RIVER SAMPLING STATIONS


Figure EA-1. Susitna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EA-2. Yentna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EA-3. Sunshine Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EA-4. Talkeetna Station with sonar and fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EA-5. Curry Station with fishwheel locations shown, Adult Anadromous Investigations,
Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EB
DAILY SIDE SCAN SONAR COUNTS

Table EB－1．Susitna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species，Adult Anadromous Investigations，Su Hydro Studies， 1981.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． | DAILY | CUM． |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 28 | 63. | 123 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 123 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 29 | 370 | 493 | $\cdots$ | 3 | 367 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $30 \quad 429$ |  | 922 | 3 | 6 | 426 | 916 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 451 | 1463 | 4 | 10 | 537 | 1453 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 1929 | 3392 | 20 | 30 | 1860 | 3313 | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | 1109 | 4501 | 11 | 41 | 1070 | 4383 | 28 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 4 | 550 | 5051 | 3 | 44 | 478 | 4861 | 66 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 5 | 448 | 5499 | 2 | 46 | 390 | 5251 | 54 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 6 | 377 | 5876 | 2 | 48 | 328 | 5579 | 45 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |  |  |
| 7 | 279 | 6155 | 2 | 50 | 242 | － 5821 | 33 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |  |  |
| 8 | 231 | 6386 | 2 | 52 | 226 | 6047 | 1 | 276 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |  |  |
| 9 | 1358 | 7744 | 9 | 61 | 1334 | 7381 | 6 | 282 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 16 |  |  |
| 10 | 5262 | 13006 | 36 | 97 | 5165 | 12547 | 24 | 306 | 12 | 16 | 24 | 40 |  |  |
| 11 | 11930 | 14936 | 0 | 97 | 11848 | 24395 | 82 | 388 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 12 | 15650 | ． 30586 | 0 | 97 | 15650 | 40045 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 13 | 19747 | 50333 | 0 | 97 | 19747 | 59792 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 14 | 22043 | 72376 | 0 | 97 | 22043 | 81835 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 15 | 16970 | 89346 | 0 | 97 | 16055 | 98690 | 0 | 388 | 115 | 131 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 16 | 10718 | 100064 | 0 | 97 | 10676 | 109366 | 42 | 430 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 17 | 38.30 | 103894 | 0 | 97 | 3804 | 113120 | 0 | 430 | 26 | 157 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 18 | 4607 | 108501 | 0 | 97 | 4392 | 117562 | 143 | 573 | 72 | 229 | 0 | 40 |  |  |
| 19 | 3632 | 112133 | 0 | 97 | 3439 | 121001 | 110 | 683 | 0 | 229 | 83 | 123 |  |  |
| 20 | 5691 | 117824 | 0 | 97 | 5054 | 126055 | 487 | 1170 | － 19 | 248 | 131 | 254 |  |  |
| 21 | 8304 | 126128 | 0 | 97 $+\quad 97$ | 7711 | 133766 | 382 | 1552 | 40 | 288 | 171 | 425 |  |  |
| 22 | 7182 | 133310 | 0 | ＋ 97 | 6808 | 140574 | 224 | 1776 | 75 | 363 | 75 | 500 |  |  |
| 23 | 7049 | 140359 | 50 | 147 | 5960 | 146534 | 601 | 2377 | 50 | 413 | 388 | 888 |  |  |
| 24 | 4707 | 145066 | 33 | 180 | 3210 | 149744 | 706 | 3083 | 325 | 738 | 433 | 1321 |  |  |
| 25 | 3262 | 148328 | 0 | 180 | 1954 | 151698 | B35 | 3918 | 26 | 764 | 447 | 1768 |  |  |

Table EB-1. Continued

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM: | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1927 | 150255 | 0 | 180 | 1066 | 152764 | 690 | 4608 | 0 | 764 | 171 | 1939 |  |  |
| 27 | 2124 | 152379 | 0 | 180 | 1115 | 153879 | 690 | 5298 | 51 | 815 | 268 | 2202 |  |  |
| $\underline{28}$ | 3163 | 155542 | 0 | 180 | 936 | 154815 | 1420 | 6718 | 35 | 850 | 772 | 2979 |  |  |
| $\underline{29}$ | 2698 | 158240 | 0 | 180 | 682 | 155492 | 1584 | 8302 | 45 | 895 | 387 | 3366 |  |  |
| 30 | 2431 | 160671 | 0 | 180 | 974 | 156471 | 1184 | 9486 | 0 | 895 | 273 | 3639 |  |  |
| 31 | 2480 | 163151 | 0 | 180 | 1127 | 157598 | 902 | 10388 | 113 | 1008 | 338 | 3977 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1610 | 164761 | 0 | 180 | 844 | 158442 | 399 | 10787 | 26 | 1034 | 341 | 4318 |  |  |
| 2 | 801 | 165562 | 0 | 180 | 419 | 158861 | 199 | 10986 | 13 | 1047 | 170 | 4488 |  |  |
| 3 | 481 | 166043 | 0 | 180 | 283 | 159144 | 66 | 11052 | 26 | 1073 | 106 | 4594 |  |  |
| 4 | 476 | 166519 | 0 | 180 | 280 | 159424 | 65. | 11117 | 25 | 1099 | 105 | 4699 |  |  |
| 5 | 802 | 167321 | 0 | 180 | 471 | 159895 | 110 | 11227 | 44 | 1143 | 177 | 4876 |  |  |
| 6 | 574 | 167895 | 0 | 180 | 337 | 160232 | 79 | 11306 | 32 | 1175 | 126 | 5002 |  |  |
| 7 | 920 | 168815 | 0 | 180 | 541 | 160773 | 126 | 11432 | 51 | 1226 | 202 | 5204 |  |  |
| 8 | 1271 | 170086 | 0 | 180 | 367 | 161140 | 168 | 11600 | 232 | 1458 | 424 | 5628 |  |  |
|  | 307 | 170393 | 0 | 180 | 89 | 161229 | 41 | 11641 | 56 | 1514 | 102 | 5730 |  |  |
| 10 | 146 | 170539 | 0 | 180 | 42 | 161271 | 19 | 11660 | 27 | 1541 | 49 | 5779 |  |  |
| 11 | 288 | 170822 | 0 | 180 | 83 | 161354 | 38 | 11698 | 53 | 1594 | 96 | 5875 |  |  |
| 12 | 412 | 171239 | 0 | 180 | 119 | 161423 | 54 | 11752 | 75 | 1669 | 138 | 6013 |  |  |
| 13 | 633 | 171872 | 0 | 180 | 183 | 161656 | 84 | 11836 | 115 | 1784 | 211 | 6224 |  |  |
| 14 | 533 | 172405 | 0 | 180 | 160 | 161816 | 73 | 11909 | 101 | 1885 | 184 | 6408 |  |  |
| 15 | 553 | 172958 | 0 | 180 | 160 | 161976 | 73 | 11982 | 101 | 1986 | 184 | 6592 |  |  |
| 16 | 553 | 173511 | 0 | 180 | 160 | 162136 | 73 | 12055 | 101 | 2087 | 184 | 6776 |  |  |
| 17 | 473 | 173984 | 0 | 180 | 137 | . 162273 | 62 | 12117 | 86 | 2173 | 158 | 6934 |  |  |
| 18 | 473 | 124457 | 0 | 180 | 137 | 162410 | 62 | 12179 | 86 | 2259 | 158 | 7092 |  |  |
| 19 | 2234 | 176691 | 0 | 180 | 646 | 163056 | 295 | 12474 | 407 | 2666 | 745 | 7837 |  |  |
| 20 | . 1784 | 178475 | 0 | 180 | 516 | 163572 | 236 | 12710 | 325 | 2997 | 595 | 8432 |  |  |
| 21 | 1555 | 180030 | 0 | 180 | 450 | 164022 | 205 | 12915 | 284 | 3275 | 518 | 8950 |  |  |
| 22 | 846 | 180876 | 0 | 180 | 245 | 164267 | 112 | 13027 | 154 | 3429 | 282 | 9232 |  |  |
| 23 | 798 | 181674. | 0 | 180 | 231 | 164498 | 105 | 13132 | 146 | 3575 | 266 | 9498 |  |  |

Table EB-7. Continued.


Table EB-2. Susitna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | miscellaneous |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CIM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 116 | 116 | 12 | 12 | 46 | 46 | 39 | 39 | 18 | 18 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 28 | 101 | 217 | 10 | 22 | 41 | 87 | 34 | 73 | 15 | 33 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 29 | 76 | 223 | 8 | 30 | 31 | 118 | 25 | 98 | 12 | 45 | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| 30 | 124 | 417 | 13 | 43 | 50 | 168 | 41. | 139 | 19 | 64 | 1. | 3 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 246 | 663 | 25 | 68 | 100 | 268 | 82 | 221 | 37 | 101 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 2 | 211 | 874 | 22 | 90 | 86 | 354 | 70 | 291 | 32 | 133 | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| 3 | 173 | 1047 | 18 | 108 | 70 | 424 | 58 | 349 | 26 | 159 |  | 7 |  |  |
| 4 | 180 | 1227 | 19 | 127 | 73 | 497 | 60 | 409 | 27 | 186 |  | 8 |  |  |
| 5 | 193 | 1420 | 20 | 147 | 79 | 576 | 69 | 473 | 29 | 215 | 1 | 9 |  |  |
| 6 | 292 | 1712 | 30 | 177 | 119 | 695 | 97 | 570 | 44 | 259 | 2 | 11 |  |  |
| 7 | 288 | 2000 | 30 | 207 | 116 | 811 | 96 | 666 | 44 | 303 | 2 | 13 |  |  |
| 8 | 402 | 2402 | 41 | 248 | 164 | 975 | 134 | 800 | 61 | 364 | 2 | 15 |  |  |
| 9 | 538 | 2940 | 55 | 303 | 219 | 1194 | 179 | 979 | 82 | 446 | 3 | 18 |  |  |
| 10 | 2913 | 5853 | 300 | 603 | 1183 | 2377 | 971 | 1950 | 441 | 887 | 18 | 36 |  |  |
| 11 | 2014 | 7867 | 0 | 603 | 1520 | 3897 | 307 | 2257 | 187 | 1074 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 12 | 788 | 8655 | 0 | 603 | 595 | 4492 | 120 | 2377 | 73 | 1147 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 13 | 2136 | 10791 | 0 | 603 | 1613 | 6105 | 325 | 2702 | 198 | 1345 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 14 | 13519 | 24310 | 0 | 603 | 10207 | 16312 | 2059 | 4761 | 1253 | 2598 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 15 | 22080 | 46390 | 0 | 603 | 16670 | 32982 | 3363 | 8124 | 2047 | 4645 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 16 | 21731 | 68121 | 0 | 603 | 16407 | 49389 | 3310 | 11434 | 2014 | 6659 | 0 | 35 |  |  |
| 17 | 20738 | 88859 | 0 | 603 | 15658 | 65047 | 3158 | 14592 | 1922 | 8581 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 18 | 14904 | 103763 | 0 | 603 | 11252 | 76299 | 2270 | 16862 | 1382 | 9963 | 0 | 36. |  |  |
| 19 | 14186 | 117949 | 0 | 603 | 10710 | 87009 | 2161 | 19023 | 1315 | 11278 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 20 | 13288 | 131237 | 0 | 603 | 10032 | 97041 | 2024 | 21047 | 1232 | 12510 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 21 | 21019 | 152256 | 0 | 603 | 15870 | 112911 | 3201 | 24248 | 1948 | 14458 | 0 | 36 |  |  |
| 22 | 13051 | 165301 | 91 | 694 | 8411 | 117322 | 6226 | 30474 | 1109 | 15567 | 1214 | 1250 |  |  |
| 23 | 21019 | 186326 | 147 | 841 | 7104 | 124426 | 10026 | 40500 | 1787 | 17354 | 1955 | 3205 |  |  |
| 24 | 24132 | 210463 | 169 | 1010 | 8158 | 132584 | 11513 | 52013 | 2052 | 19406 | 2245 | 5450 |  |  |
| $\underline{25}$ | 17310 | 227773 | 87 | 1097 | 6526 | 139110 | 7218 | 59231 | 1194 | 20600 | 2285 | 7735 |  |  |

Table EB-2. Continued.


Table EB-2. Continued.


Table EB-3. Yentna Station south bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM: | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 295 | 295 | 39 | 39 | 206 | 206 | 22 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 377 | 672 | 50 | 89 | 263 | 469 | 28 | 50 | 22 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 25 |  |
| 2 | 427 | 1099 | 57 | 146 | 298 | 767 | 32 | 82 | 24 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 16. | 41 |  |
| 3 | 483 | 1582 | 38 | 184 | 350 | 1117 | 51 | 133 | 12 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 73 |  |
| 4 | 259 | 1841 | 20 | 204 | 187 | 1304 | 27 | 160 | 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 90 |  |
| 5 | 162 | 2003 | 13 | 217 | 117 | 1421 | 17 | 177 | 4 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 101 |  |
| 6 | 201 | 2204 | 13 | 230 |  | 1543 | 55 | 232 | 0 | B7 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 108 |  |
| 7 | 113 | 2377 | 11 | 241 | 104 | 1647 | 48 | 280 | 0. | 87 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 114 |  |
| 8 | 164 | 2541 | 11 | 252 | 99 | 1746 | 45 | 325 | 0 | 87 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 119 |  |
| 9 | 318 | 2859 | 3 | 255 | 282 | 2028 | 26 | 351 | 6 | 93 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 10 | 4641 | 7500 | 51 | 306 | 4117 | 6145 | 381 | 732 | 83 | 126 | 9 | 22 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 11 | 4882 | 12382 | 0 | 306 | 4818 | 10963 | 49 | 781 | 15 | 191 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 12 | 8843 | 21225 | 35 | 341 | 8808 | 19771 | 0 | 781 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 13 | 10604 | 31829 | 0 | 341 | 10307 | 30078 | 85 | 866 | 212 | 403 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 14 | 15885 | 47714 | 0 | 341 | 15535 | 45613 | 254 | 1120 | 64 | 467 | 32 | 54 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 15 | 15291 | 63005 | 0 | 341 | 14970 | 60583 | 199 | 1319 | 107 | 574 | 15 | 69 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 16 | 9243 | 12248 | 0 | 341 | 9012 | 69595 | 120 | 1439 | 56 | 630 | 55 | 124 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 17 | 5576 | 77824 | 0 | 341 | 5403 | 74998 | 0 | 1439 | 173 | 803 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 18. | 5762 | 85386 | 0 | 341 | 4869 | 79867 | 346 | 1785 | 507 | 1310 | 40 | 164 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 19 | 6190 | 89776 | 0 | 341 | 5231 | 85098 | 371 | 2156 | 545 | 1855 | 43 | 202 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 20 | 7259 | 97035 | 0 | 341 | 5815 | 90913 | 291 | 2947 | 530 | 2385 | 123 | 330 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 21 | 8620 | 105655 | 0 | 341 | 6905 | 97818 | 939 | 3886 | 629 | 3014 | 147 | 477 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 22 | 11768 | 117423 | 35 | 376 | 9285 | 107103 | 918 | 4804 | 824 | 3838 | 706 | 1183 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 23 | 10477 | 127900 | 0 | 376 | 6045 | 113148 | 2787 | 7591 | 692 | 4530 | 953 | 2136 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 24 | 8400 | 136300 | 0 | 376 | 4503 | 117651 | 2621 | 10212 | 722 | 5252 | 554 | 2690 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 25 | 6647 | 142947 | 0 | 376 | 2712 | 120363 | 3038 | 13250 | 758 | 6010 | 139 | 2829 | 0 | 119 |  |
|  |  | 147714 | 0. | 376 | 1626 | $\underline{121989}$ | 1916 | 15166 | 491 | 6501 | 734 | 3563 | 0 | 119 |  |
| 27 | 3407 | 151121 | 0 | 376 | 1162 | 123151 | 1369 | 16535 | 351 | 6852 | 525 | 4088. | 0 | 119 |  |

Table EB-3. Continued.

| DATE | TOTAL | OUNT | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MI SCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 4885 | 156006 | 0 | 376 | 752 | 123903 | 2194 | 18729 | 664 | 7516 | 1275 | 5363 | 0 | 119 |
| 29 | 3579 | 159585 | 0 | 376 | 716 | 124619 | 1918 | 20647 | 397 | 7913 | 548 | 5911 | 0 | 119 |
| 30 | 4119 | 163704 | 0 | 376 | 783 | 125402 | 2018 | 22665 | 437 | 8350 | 873 | 6784 | 8 | 127 |
| 31 | 2416 | 166120 | 0 | 376 | 435 | 125837 | 1201 | 23866 | 208 | 855B | 555 | 7339 | 17 | 144 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3476 | 169596 | 0 | 376 | 434 | 126271 | 1342 | 25208 | 435 | 8993 | 1265 | 8604 | 0 | 144 |
| 2 | 2342 | 171938 | 0 | 376 | 691 | 126962 | 717 | 25925 | 96 | 9089 | 838 | 9442 | 0 | 144 |
| 3 | 961 | 172899 | 0 | 376 | 284 | 127246 | 294 | 26219 | 39 | 9128 | 344 | 9786 | 0 | 144 |
| 4 | 945 | 173848 | 0 | -376 | 151 | 127397 | 256 | 26475 | 151 | 9279 | 382 | 10173 | 0 | 144 |
| 5 | 7086 | 174930 | 0 | $37 \overline{6}$ | 174 | 127571 | 294 | 26769 | 174 | 9453 | 444 | 10617 | 0 | 144 |
| 6 | 869 | 175799 | 0 | 376 | 77 | 127648 | 470 | 27239 | 131 | 9584 | 191 | 10808 | 0 | 144 |
| 7 | 723 | 176522 | 0 | 376 | 45 | 127693 | 264 | 27503 | 150 | 9734 | 264 | 11072 | 0 | 144 |
| 8 | 455 | 176977 | 0 | 376 | 28 | 127721 | 166 | 27669 | 95 | 9829 | 166 | 11238 | 0 | 144 |
| 9 | 400. | 177377 | 0. | 376 | 82 | 127803 | 67 | 27736 | 107 | 9936 | 144 | 11382 | 0 | 144 |
| 10 | 523 | 177900 | 0 | 376 | 107 | 127910 | 87 | 27823 | 141 | 10077 | 188 | 11520 | 0 | 144 |
| 11 | 501 | 178401 | 0 | 376 | 103 | 128013 | 83 | 27906 | 135 | 10212 | 180 | 11750 | 0 | 144 |
| $12$ | 412 | 178813 | 0 | 376 | 128 | 128141 | 52 | 27958 | 180 | 10392 | 52 | 11802 | 0 | 144 |
| 137 | 172 | 178985 | 0 | 376 | 53 | 128194 | 22 | 27980 | 75 | 10467 | 22 | 11824 | 0 | 144 |
| 147 | 260 | 179245 | 0 | 326 | 81 | 128275 | 32 | 28012 | 114 | 10581 | 33 | 11857 | 0 | 144 |
| 151 | 505 | 179750 | 0 | 376 | 15 | 128290 | 130 | 28142 | 72 | 10653 | 288 | 12145 | 0 | 144 |
| 16 | 814 | 180564 | 0 | 376 | 24 | 128314 | 209 | 28351 | 116 | 10769 | 465 | 12610 | 0 | 144 |
| 12 | 745 | 181309 | 0. | 376 | 22 | 128336 | 191 | 28542 | 107 | 10876 | 425 | 13035 | 0 | 144 |
| 18 | 675 | 181984 | 0 | 376 | 22 | 128358 | 203 | 28745 | 135 | 11011 | 270 | 13305 | 45 | 189 |
| 19 | 652 | 182636 | 0 | 376 | 21 | 128379 | 196 | 28941 | 130 | 11141 | 261 | 13566 | 44 | 233 |
| 20 | 944 | 183580 | 0 | 376 | 31 | 128410 | 283 | 29224 | 189 | 11330 | 378 | 13944 | 63 | 296 |
| 21 | 545 | 184125 | 0 | 376 | 39 | 128449 | 118 | 29342 | 237 | 11567 | 79 | 14023 | 72 | 368 |
| $\frac{22}{53}$ | $\frac{413}{358}$ | 184538 | 0 | 376 | 30 | 128479 | $\frac{90}{78}$ | 29432 | 179 | 11746 | 60 | 14083 | 54 | 422 |
| $\frac{23}{24}$ | 358 | 184896 | 0 | 376 | 26 | 128505 | 78 | 29510 | $\frac{155}{57}$ | 11901 | 52 | $\frac{14135}{14165}$ | 47 | 469 |
| 24 25 | $\frac{356}{347}$ | 185252 | 0 | 376 | 10 | 128515 | 52 | 29562 | 57 | 11958 | 31 | 14166 | 206 | 675 |
| 25 | 342 | 185594 | 0 | 376. | 10 | 128525 | 50 | 29612 | 54 | 12012 | 30 | 14196 | 198 | 873 |

Low counts due to counter malfunction in sector 1 caused by extreme high water.

Table EB-3. Continued.


Table EB-4. Yentna Station north bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  | TOTAL | COUNT | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MI SCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 199 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 135 | 14 | 14 | 27. | 21 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 |
| 30 | 307 | 506 | 0 | 0 | 208 | 343 | 22 | 36 | 33 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 73 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 392 | 898 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 609 | 28 | 64 | 42 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 129 |
| 2 | 719 | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 1097 | 51 | 115 | 77 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 232 |
| 3-511 | - | 1617 | - | 0 | - | 1097 | - | 115 | 1 | 173 | - | 0 | - | 232 |
| 6 | 182 | 1799 | 16 | 16 | 98 | 1195 | 62 | 177 | 2 | 175 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 234 |
| 7 | 245 | 2044 | 21 | 37. | 131 | 1326 | 84 | 261 | 3 | 178 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 237 |
| 8 | 339 | 2383 | 6 | 43 | 165 | 1491 | 154 | 415 | 13 | 191 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 238 |
| 9 | 266 | 2649 | 5 | 48 | 129 | 1620 | 121 | 536 | 10 | 201 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 239 |
| 10 | 137 | 2786 | 2 | 50 | 67 | 1687 | 62 | 598 | 5 | 206 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 240 |
| 11 | $15]$ | 2937 | 0 | 50 | 112 | 1799 | 14 | 612 | 25 | 231 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 12 | 61 | 2998 | 0 | 50 | 45 | 1844 | 6 | 618 | 10 | 241 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 13 | 174 | 3172 | 0 | 50 | 129 | 1973 | 17 | 635 | 28 | 269 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 14 | 451 | 3623 | 0 | 50 | 374 | 2347 | 44 | 679 | 33 | 302 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 15 | 470 | 4093 | 0 | 50 | 390 | 2737 | 46 | 725 | 34 | 336 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 16 | 377 | 4470 | 0 | 50 | 312 | 3049 | 37 | 762 | 28 | 364 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 240 |
| 17 | 438 | 4908 | $\overline{0}$ | 50 | 371 | 3420 | 21 | 783 | 42 | 406 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 240 |
| 18 | 277 | 5185 | 0 | 50 | 235 | 3655 | 13 | 796 | 27 | 433 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 240 |
| 9 | 233 | 5418 | 1 | 51 | 192 | 3847 | 13 | 809 | 22 | 455 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 240 |
| 20 | 245 | 5663 | 0 | 51 | 171 | 4018 | 37 | 846 | 36 | 491 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 240 |
| 21 | 248 | 5911 | 0 | 51 | 176 | 4194 | 31 | 877 | 37 | 528 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 240 |
| 22 | 398 | 6309 | 0 | 51 | 299 | 4493 | 20 | 897 | 64 | 592 | 15 | 36 | 0 | 240 |
| 23 | 539 | 6888 | 0 | 51 | 298 | 4791 | 29 | 926 | 169 | 761 | 43 | 79 | 0 | 240 |
| 24 | 668 | 7516 | 0 | 51 | 446 | 5237 | 74 | 1000 | 128 | 889 | 20 | 99 | 0 | 240 |
| 25 | 782 | 8298 | 0 | 51 | 522 | 5759 | 87 | 1087 | 150 | 1039 | 23. | 122 | 0 | 240 |
| $\frac{2621}{27}$ | $\frac{2516}{103}$ | $\frac{10814}{12727}$ | 0 | 51 | 1205 | 6964 | 475 | 1562 | 579 | 1618 | 257 | 379 | 0 | 240 |
| $\frac{27}{28}$ | 1913 | 12727 | 0 | 51 | 916 | 7880 | 362 | 1924 | 440 | 2058 | 195 | 574 | 0 | 240 |
| 28 | 1251 | 13978 | 0 | 51. | 601 | 8481 | 266 | 2790 | 234 | 2292 | 150 | 724 | 0 | 240 |

1/ Sonar shut down due to high water necessitating, site adjustment.
2/ Sonar to be moved to a new site.

Table EB-4. Continued.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| 29 | 908 | 14886 | 0 | 51 | 436 | 8917 | 193 | 2383 | 170 | $-2462$ | 109 | 833 | 0 | 240 |
| 30 | 1700 | 16586 | 0 | 51 | 816 | 9733 | 362 | 2745 | 318 | 2780 | 204 | 1037 | 0 | 240 |
| 31 | 1418 | 18004 | 0 | 51 | 437 | 10170 | 491 | 3236 | 327 | 3107 | 163 | 1200 | 0 | 240 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 615 | 18619 | 0 | 51 | 189 | 10359 | 213 | 3449 | 142 | 3249 | 71 | 1271 | 0 | 240 |
| 2 | 395 | 19014 | 0 | 51 | 122 | 10481 | 137 | 3586 | 91 | 3340 | 45 | 1316 | 0 | 240 |
| 3 | 575 | 19589 | 0 | 51 | 32 | 10513 | 250 | 3836 | 186 | 3526 | 107 | 1423 | 0 | 240 |
| 4 | 648 | 20237 | 0 | 51 | 36 | 10549 | 282 | 4118 | 209 | 3735 | 121 | 1544 | 0 | 240 |
| 5 | 576 | 20753 | 0 | 51 | 52 | 10601 | 285 | 4403 | 114 | 3849 | 65 | 1609 | 0 | 240 |
| 6 | 307 | 21060 | 0 | 51 | 10 | 10611 | 193 | 4596 | 63 | 3912 | 41 | 1650 | 0 | 240 |
| 7 | 308 | 21368 | 0 | 51 | 9 | 10620 | 246 | 4842 | 28 | 3940 | 25 | 1675 | 0 | 240 |
| 8 | 231 | 21599 | 0 | 51 | 14 | 10634 | 125 | 4967 | 63 | 4003 | 29 | 1704 | 0 | 240 |
| 9 | 379 | 21978 | 0 | 51 | 24 | 10658 | 205 | 5172 | 103 | 4106 | 47 | 1751 | 0 | 240 |
| 10 | 417 | 22395 | 0 | 51 | 24 | 10682 | 113 | 5285 | 190 | 4296 | 90 | 1841 | 0 | 240 |
| 11 | 459 | 22854 | 0 | 51 | 26 | 10708 | 124 | 5409 | 210 | 4506 | 99 | 1940 | 0 | 240 |
|  | 459 | 23313 | 0 | 51 | 26 | 10734 | 124 | 5533 | 210 | 4716 | 99 | 2039 | 0 | 240 |
| [3] | 145 | 23458 | 0 | 51 | 19 | 10753 | 15 | 5548 | 87 | 4803 | 24 | 2063 | 0 | 240 |
| 43/ | 138 | 23596 | 0 | 51 | 18 | 10771 | 14 | 5562 | 83 | 4886 | 23 | 2086 | 0 | 240 |
| 15 | 127 | 23723 | 0 | 51 | 17 | 10788 | 13 | -5575 | 76 | 4962 | 21 | 2107 | 0 | 240 |
| 16 | 163 | 23886 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 10791 | 35 | -5610 | 72 | 5034 | 44 | 2151 | 9 | 249 |
| 17 | 309 | 24195 | 0 | 51 | 6 | 10797 | 65 | 5675 | 137 | 5171 | 83 | 2234 | 18 | 267 |
| 18 | 517 | 24712 | 0 | 51 | 10 | 10807 | 110 | 5795 | 228 | 5399 | 139 | 2373 | 30 | 297 |
| 19 | 595 | 25307 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10807 | 123 | 5908 | 349 | 5748 | 82 | 2455 | 41 | 338 |
| 20 | 769 | 26076 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10807 | 159 | 6067 | 451 | 6199 | 106 | 2561 | 53 | 391 |
| 21 | 377 | 26453 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10807 | 78 | 6145 | 221 | 6420 | 52 | 2613 | 26 | 417 |
| 22 | 451 | 26904 | 0 | 51 | 5 | 10812 | 77 | 6222 | 209 | 6629 | 55 | 2668 | 105 | 522 |
| 23 | 274 | 27178 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 10815 | 47 | 6269 | 127 | 6756 | 33 | 2701 | 64 | 586 |
| 24 | 248 | 27426 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 10818 | 42 | 6311 | 115 | 6871 | 30 | 2731 | 58 | 644 |
| 25 | 245 | 27671 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10818 | 29 | 6340 | 52 | 6923 | 18 | 2749 | 146 | 790 |
| 26 | 162 | 27833 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 10818 | 19 | 6359 | 35 | 6958 | 12 | 2761 | 96 | 886 |
| 27 | 168 | 28001 | 0 | 51. | 0 | 10818 | 20 | 6379 | 36 | 6994 | 12 | 2773 | 100 | 986 |

Counts are low due to malfunction in sector one caused by extreme high water.

Table EB-4. Continued.


Table EB-5. Sunshine Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| OATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 91 | 91 | 91. | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 58 | 149 | 58. | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 31 | 180 | 31 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 51 | 231 | 51 | 231 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 40 | 271 | 40 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 14 | 285 | 13 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 56 | 341 | 50 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 | 51 | 392 | 46 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| 3 | 58 | 450 | 35 | 415 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 4 | 44 | 544 | 56 | 471 | 38 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5 | 122 | 666 | 73 | 544 | 49 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 6 | 68 | 734 | 31 | 575 | 37 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 7 | 67 | 801 | 31 | 606 | 36 | 183 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 8 | 39 | 840 | 18 | 624 | 21 | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 9 | 13 | 853 | 5 | 629 | 7 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 10 | 31 | 884 | 8 | 637 | 17 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 |
| 11 | 2 | 886 | 1 | 638 | 1 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 12 | 11 | 897 | 3 | 641 | 6 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 13-18 |  | 897 | $\cdots$ | 641 | - | 235 | - | 0 | $-$ | 4 | - | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 19 | 184 | 1081 | 0. | 641 | 178 | 413 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 20 | 233 | 1314 | 0 | 641 | 226 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 21 | 130 | 1444 | 0 | 641 | 126 | 765 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 22 | 2177 | 3621 | 0 | $64]$ | 2085 | 2850 | 46 | 46 | 46 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| $\frac{23}{24}$ | 3456 | 7077 | 0 | 641 | 3311 | 6161 | 73 | 119 | 72 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 24 | 3624 | 10701 | 0 | 641 | 3472 | 9633 | 76 | 195 | 76 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 25 | 3240 | 13941 | 0 | 641 |  | 12617 | 165 | 360 | 91 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 26 | 1414 | 15355 | 0 | 641 | 1302 | 13919 | 72 | 432 | 40 | 346 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 27 | 2302 | 17657 | 9 | 650 | 1787 | 15706 | 315 | 747 | 175 | 521 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 17 |
| 28 | 3419. | 21076 | 14 | 664 | 2653 | 18359 | 468 | 1215 | 260 | 781 | 24 | 40 | 0 | 17 |

Sonar shut down for adjustment.

Table EB-5. Continued.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 4659 | 25735 | 28 | 692 | 2767 | 21126 | 690 | 1905 | 173 | 1554 | 401 | 441 | 0 | 17 |
| 30 | 3116 | 28851 | 19 | 711 | 1859 | 22977 | 461 | 2365 | 517 | 2071 | 268 | 709 | 0 | 17 |
| 31 | 2445 | 31296 | 10 | 721 | 743 | 23720 | 812 | 3178 | 523 | 2594 | 357 | 1066 | - 0 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2533 | 33829 | 10 | 731 | 770 | 24490 | 841 | 4019 | 542 | 3136 | 370 | 1436 | 0 | 17 |
| 2 | 88 | 33917 | 0 | 731 | 27 | 24517 | 29 | 4048 | 19 | 3155 | 13 | 1449 | 0 | 17 |
| 3 | 329 | 34246 | 1 | 732 | 101. | 24618 | 109 | 4157 | 70 | 3225 | 48 | 1497 | 0. | 17 |
| 4 | 1753 | 35999 | 0 | 732 | 240 | 24858 | 707 | 4864 | 466 | 3691 | 340 | 1837 | 0 | 17 |
| 5 | 3324 | 39323 | 0 | 732 | 519 | 25377 | 1150 | 6014 | 1047 | 4738 | 608 | 2445 | 0 | 17 |
| 6 | 3715 | 43038 | 0 | 732 | 580 | 25957 | 1285 | 7299 | 1170 | 5908 | 680 | 3125 | 0 | 17 |
| 7 | 3711 | 46749 | 0 | 732 | 445 | 26402 | 1677 | 8976 | 832 | 6740 | 757 | 3882 | 0 | 17 |
| 8 | 2195 | 48944 | 0 | 732 | 309 | 26711 | 683 | 9659 | 389 | 7129 | 814 | 4696 | 0 | 17 |
| 9 | 1594 | 50538 | 0 | 732 | 220 | 26931 | 717 | 10376 | 338 | 7467 | 319 | 5015 | 0 | 17 |
| 10 | 644 | 51182 | 0 | 732 | 89 | 27020 | 290 | 10666 | 136 | 7603 | 129 | 5144 | 0 | 17 |
| 11 | 807 | 51989 | 0 | 732 | 112 | 27132 | 363 | 11029 | 171 | 7174 | 161 | 5305 | 0 | 17 |
| 12 | 607 | 52596 | 0 | 732 | 55 | 27187 | 83 | 11112 | 359 | 8133 | 110 | 5415 | 0 | 17 |
| 13 | 286 | 52882 | 0 | 732 | 26 | 27213 | 39 | 11151 | 169 | 8302 | 52 | 5467 | 0 | 17 |
| 14 | 360 | 53242 | 0 | 732 | 32 | 27245 | 49 | 11200 | 213 | 8515 | 66 | 5533 | 0 | 17 |
| 15 | 140 | 53382 | 0 | 732 | 11 | 27256 | 0 | 11200 | 83 | 8598 | 46 | 5579 | 0 | 12 |
| 16 | 33 | 53415 | 0 | 732 | 2 | 27258 | 0 | 11200 | 20 | 8618 | 11 | 5590 | 0 | 17 |
| 17 | 480 | 53895 | 0 | 732 | 38 | 27296 | 0 | 11200 | 285 | 8903 | 157 | 5747 | 0 | 17 |
| 18 | 1871 | 557.66 | 0 | 732 | 82 | 27378 | 15 | 11215 | 625 | 9528 | 1149 | 6896 | 0 | 17 |
| 19 | 3272 | 59038 | 0 | 732 | 144 | 27522 | 26 | 11241 | 1093 | 10621 | 2009 | 8905 | 0 | 17 |
| 20 | 2368 | 51406 | 0 | 732 | 104 | 27626 | 19 | 11260 | 791 | 1141 ? | 1454 | 10359 | 0 | 17 |
| 21 | 1106 | 62512 | 0 | 732 | 67 | 27693 | 0 | 11260 | 142 | 11554 | 897 | 11256 | 0 | 17 |
| 22 | -757 | 63269 | 0 | 732 | 46 | 27739 | 0 | 11260 | 97 | 11651 | 614 | 11870 | 0 | 17 |
| 23 | 746 | 64015 | 0 | 732 | 50 | 27789 | 0 | 11260 | 159 | 11810 | 537 | 12470 | 0 | 17 |
| 24 | 1265 | 65280 | 0 | 732 | 85 | 27874 | 0 | 11260 | 270 | 12080 | 970 | 13317 | 0 | 17 |
| 25. | 730 | 66010 | 0 | 732 | 31 | 27905 | 8 | 11268 | 241 | 12321 | 442 | 13759 | 8 | 25 |
| $\underline{26}$ | 459 | 66469 | 0 | 232 | 20 | 27925 | 5 | 11273 | 151 | 12472 | 278 | 14037 | 5 | 30 |

Table EB-5. Continued.


Table EB-6. Sunshine Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | TOTAL. COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 695 | 695 | 687 | 687 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 283 | 978 | 280 | 967 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 193 | 1171 | 191 | 1158 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 62 | 1233 | 62 | 1220 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 42 | 1275 | 42 | 1262 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 68 | 1343 | 68 | 1330 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 15 | 1358 | 11 | 1341 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 59 | 1417 | 42 | 1383 | 17 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 36 | 1453 | 26 | 1409 | 10 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 42 | 1495 | 28 | 1437 | 12 | 56 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 43 | 1538 | 29 | 1466 | 12 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 60 | 1598 | 41 | 1507 | 17 | 85 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 134 | 1732 | 36 | 1543 | 81 | 166 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 61 | 1793 | 16 | 1559 | 37 | 203 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 60 | 1853 | 16 | 1575 | 36 | 239 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 25 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 11 | 1864 | 2 | 1577 | 6 | 245 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 79 | 1943 | 16 | 1593 | 38 | 283 | 9 | 21 | 16 | 43 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $10_{1+}$ | 51 | 1994 | 10 | 1603 | 25 | 308 | 6 | 27 | 10 | 53 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $1117$ | - | 1994 | - | 1603 |  | 308 | - | 27 | - | 53 | - | 3 | - | 0 |
| 12 1 | - | 1994 | - | 1603 | - | 308 | $\cdots$ | 27 | $\bigcirc$ | 53 | - | 3 | - | 0 |
| 13 | 5 | 1999 | 0 | 1603 | 4 | 312 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 42 | 2041 | 1 | 1604 | 40 | 352 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $\frac{15}{6}$ | 117 | 2158 | 1 | 1605 | 115 | 467 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 204 | 2362 | 2 | 1607 | 200 | 667 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 262 | 2624 | 0 | 1607 | 262 | 929 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 2739 | 5363 | 0 | 1607 | 2587 | 3616 | 41 | 68 | 11. | 69 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 5886 | 11249 | 0 | 1607 | 5827 | 9443 | 59 | 127 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 5982 | 17231 | 0 | 1607 | 5904 | 15347 | 60 | 187 | 18 | 87 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 5716 | 22947 | 0 | 1607 | 5584 | 20931 | 86 | 273 | 46 | 133 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

Sonar shut down due to debris problems.

Table EB-6. Continued.


Table EB-6. Continued.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY | CUM. | DAIL. ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | CuM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 2705 | 162906 | 0 | 1683 | 184 | 61402 | 628 | 60752 | 1298 | 34157 | 595 | 4912 | 0 | 0 |
| 21. | 1306 | 164212 | 0 | 1683 | 117 | 61519 | 209 | 60961 | 653 | 34810 | 327 | 5239 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 1184 | 165396 | 0 | 1683 | 107 | 61626 | 189 | 61150 | 592 | 35402 | 296 | 5535 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 1523 | 166919 | 0 | 1683 | 91 | 61717 | 137 | 61287 | 960 | 36362 | 320 | 5855 | 15 | 15 |
| 24 | 1848 | 168757 | 0 | 1683 | 111 | 61828 | 166 | 61453 | 1164 | 37526 | 388 | 6243 | 19 | 34 |
| 25 | 1774 | 170541 | 0 | 1683 | 25 | 61853 | 80 | 61533 | 1293 | 38819 | 371 | 6614 | 5 | 39 |
| 26 | 1790 | 112331 | 0 | 1683 | 29 | 61882 | 68 | 61601 | 1375 | 40194 | 290 | 6904 | 28 | 67 |
| 27 | 1542 | 173873 | 0 | 1683 | 11 | 61893 | 56 | 61657 | 1254 | 41448 | 166 | 1070 | 55 | 122 |
| 28 | 644 | 174517 | 0 | 1683 | 2 | 61900 | 0 | 61657 | 515 | 41963 | 116 | 2186 | 6 | 128 |
| 29 | 468 | 174985 | 0 | 1683 | 5 | 61905 | 0 | 61657 | 374 | 42337 | 84 | 7270 | 5 | 133 |
| 30 | 304 | 175289 | 0 | 1683 | 3 | 61908 | 3 | 61660 | 221 | 42608 | 27 | 7297 | 0 | 133 |
| 31 | 356 | 175645 | 0 | 1683 | 4 | 61912 | 3 | 61663 | 317 | 42925 | 32 | 7329 | 0 | 133 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 425 | 176070 | 0 | 1683 | 5 | 61917 | 4 | 61667 | 378 | 43303 | 38 | 1367 | 0 | 133 |
| 2 | 480 | 176550 | 0 | 1683 | 10 | 61.927 | 0 | 61667 | 451 | 43754 | 14 | 7381 | 5 | 138 |
| 3 | 581 | 177131 | 0 | 1683 | 12 | 61939 | 0 | 61667 | 546. | 44300 | 17 | 7398 | 6 | 144 |
| 4 | 644 | 177775 | 0 | 1683 | 13 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 605 | 44905 | 20 | 7418 | 6 | 150 |
| 5 | 460 | 178235 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 359 | 45264 | 37 | 7455 | 64 | 214 |
| 6 | 425 | 178660 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 332 | 45596 | 34 | 7489 | 59 | 273 |
| 7 | 239 | 178899 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 186 | 45782 | 19 | 7508 | 34 | 307 |
| 8 | 291 | 179190 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667. | 172 | 45954 | 20 | 7528 | 99 | 406 |
| 9 | 232 | 129422 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 132 | 46091 | 16 | 7544 | 79 | 485 |
| 10 | 125 | 179547 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 74 | 46165 | 9 | 7553 | 42 | 527 |
| 11 | 178 | 179725 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 64 | 46229 | 14 | 7567 | 100 | 627 |
| 12 | 217 | 179942 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61252 | 0 | -61662 | 78 | 46307 | 17 | 7584 | 122 | 749 |
| 13 | 196 | 180138 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | -61667 | 71 | 46378 | 16 | 7600 | 109 | 858 |
|  |  | $180304$ | -0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | -61667 | 32 | 46410 | 10 |  | 124 | 982 |
| $15 \cdots 157$ |  | 180461 | 0 | 1683 | 0 | 61952 | 0 | 61667 | 30 | 46440 | 9 | 7619 | 118 | 1100 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EB-7. Talkeetna Station west bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | TOTAL COUNT |  | Chinook |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | daily | CUM. | DAILY | cum. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | cum. | DAILY | Cum. | DAILY | CUM. | daily | cum. |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
|  | - 31 | 56 | 31 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 22 | 55 | 111 |  | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 23 | 48 | 159 | 48 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 24 | 27 | 186 | 27 | -186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 27 | 213 | 27 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 38 | 251 | 38 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 27 | 31 | 282 | 31 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 28 | 20 | 302 | 20 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 29 |  | 314 |  | 314 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 12. | 326 | 12 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 29 | 359 | 29 | 359 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 30 | 389 | 30 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | 28 | 417 | - 28 | 417 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\square$ |  |
| 5 | 24 | 441 | - 24 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 |  | 457 |  | 457 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7 | 28 | 485 | 28 | 485 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8 | 8 | 423 | 8 | 493 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9 |  | 497 | 4 | 497 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 2 | 499. | 2 | 499 |  | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $11 \frac{11}{121}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 4 |  |  | 503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 14 | 8 | 511 | 8 | 511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 15 |  |  |  | 511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  |
| 17 |  |  |  | 511 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 18 | 4 | 515 | 1 | 512 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | ] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Counter inoperable due to flood conditions.

Table EB-7. Continued.


Table EB-7. Continued.


Table EB-7. Continued.


Table EB-8. Talkeetna Station east bank daily and cumulative sonar counts by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | COUNT | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CUM: | DAILY | CIM : | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAIL.Y | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |  |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22715 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2371 | 128 | 71 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $24 \%$ - 0 | 178 | 50 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $25-45$ | 223 | 45 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $26 \quad 46$ | 269 | 46 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \% |
| 27 28 | 297 | 28 | 297 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 28 - 39 | 336 | 39 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $29 \quad 17$ | 353 | 17 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 30 10 | 363 | 10 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 31 | 394 | 31 | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 221 | 415 | 21 | 415 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 - 14 | 430 | 15 | 430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 4 14 | 444 | 14 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 21 | 465 | 13 | 457 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |  |
| 6.33. | 498 | 19 | 476 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 |  |
| 7 7 | 530 | 19 | 495 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 |  |
| 8 8 29 | 559 | 29 | 524 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| $9 \quad 11$ | 570 | 11 | 535 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| $10 \times 7$ | 572 | 7 | 542. | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 11-15 | 527 | - | 542 | 0 | 18 | - | 0 | $-$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 16 | 585 | 8 | 550 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 17 - . 11 | 596 | 0 | 550 | 4. | 22 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 183 | 598 | 0 | 550 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| $19^{3}$ | 598 | $\square$ | 550 | 1 | 23 | - | 0 | - | 8 | - | 0 | - | 17 |  |
| 20 - 5 | 603 | 0 | 550 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| $21-7$ | 610 | 0 | 550 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| 22 | 655 | 0 | 550 | 15 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| $23-87$ | 742 | 6 | 556 | 60 | 102 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 |  |
| 24 96 | 838 | 7 | 563 | 66 | 168 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 |  |
| 1/ Catch percenta <br> 2/ Counter Inoper <br> 3/ Counter being | e class ble due epaired | as chi | ks for | 22-25, | ishwhe | eratio | June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EB- B: Continued.

| DATE | TOTAL | COUNT <br> CUM: | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MI SCELLANEOUS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAILY |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 137 | 975 | 9 | 572 | 94 | 262 | 6 | 14 | 25 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 24 |
| 26 | 116 | 1091 | 2 | 574 | 57 | 319 | 10 | 24 | 47 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 27 | 74 | 1165 | 1 | 575 | 36 | 355 | 7 | 31 | 30 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 28 | 346 | 1511 | 6 | 581 | 170 | 525 | 30 | 61 | 140 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 29 | 403 | 1914. | 0 | 581 | 115 | 640 | 57 | 118 | 222 | 542 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 24 |
| 30 | 608 | 2522 | 0 | 581 | 113 | 813 | 86 | 204 | 336 | 878 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 24 |
| 31 | 673 | 3195 | 0 | 581 | 191 | 1004 | 96 | 300 | 371 | 1249 | 15 | 37 | 0 | 24 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 553 | 3748 | 0 | 581 | 98 | 1102 | 114 | 414 | 330 | 1579 | 11 | 48 | 0 | 24 |
| $2 \frac{41}{41}$ | - | 3748 |  | 581 | - | 1102 | 11 | 414 | 330 | 1579 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 24 |
| 341 | - | 3748 | $=$ | 581 | - | 1102 | - | 414 | - | 1579 | $-$ | 48 | - | 24 |
| 4 | 498 | 4246 | 0 | 581 | 88 | 1190 | 103 | 517 | 297 | 1876 | 10 | 58 | 0 | 24 |
| 5 | 924 | 5170 | 0 | 581 | 164 | 1354 | 190 | 707 | 551 | 2427 | 19 | 77 | 0 | 24 |
| 6 | 959 | 6129 | 0 | 581 | 106 | 1460 | 272 | 979 | 504 | 2931 | 77 | 154 | 0 | 24 |
| 7 | 448 | 6577 | 0 | 581 | 50 | 1510 | 127 | 1106 | 235 | 3166 | 36 | 190 | 0 | 24 |
| 8 | 264 | 6841 | 0 | 581 | 29 | 1539 | 75 | 1181 | 139 | 3305 | 21 | 211 | 0 | 24 |
| 9 | 46 | 6887 | 0 | 581 | 14 | 1553 | 4 | 1185 | 23 | 3328 | 5 | 216 | 0 | 24 |
| 10 | 10 | 6897 | 0 | 581 | 3 | 1556 | 1 | 1186 | 5 | 3333 | 7 | 217 | 0 | 24 |
| 11 | 16 | 6913 | 0 | 581 | 5 | 1561 | 2 | 1188 | 8 | 3341 | 1 | 218 | 0 | 24 |
| 12 | 11 | 6924 | 0 | 581 | 0 | 1561 | 3 | 1191 | 5 | 3346 | 3 | 221 | 0 | 24 |
| $13_{41}$ | 23 | 6947 | 0 | 581 | 0 | 1567 | 6 | 1197 | 10 | 3356 | 7 | 228 | 0 | 24 |
| $14 \frac{47}{4 /}$ | - | 6947 | - | 581 | - | 1561 | - | 1197 | - | 3356 | - | 228 | - | 24 |
| $15^{-1}$ | $\cdots$ | 6947 | $\bigcirc$ | 581 | $\square$ | 1561 | $\square$ | 1197 | $\cdots$ | 3356 | - | 228 | - | 24 |
| 16 | 48 | 6995 | 0. | 581 | 0 | 1561 | 14 | 1211 | 20 | 3376 | 14 | 242 | 0 | 24 |
| 17 | 170 | 7165 | 0 | 581 | 16 | . 1577 | 9 | 1220 | 104 | 3480 | 41 | 283 | 0 | 24 |
| 18 | 732 | 7897 | 0 | 581 | 69 | 1646 | 39 | 1259 | 446 | 3926 | 178 | 461 | 0 | 24 |
| 19 | 523 | 8420 | 0 | 581 | 49 | 1695 | 28 | 1287 | 319 | 4245 | 127 | 588 | 0 | 24 |
| 20 | 481 | 8901 | 0 | 581 | 33 | 1728 | 55 | 1342 | 208 | 4453 | 164 | 752 | 21 | 45 |
| 21 | 102 | 9003 | 0 | 581 | 7 | 1735 | 12 | 1354 | 44 | 4497 | 35 | 787 | 4 | 49 |
| 22 | 2 | 9005 | 0 | 581 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 1354 | 1 | 4498 | 1 | 788 | 0 | 49 |

Sonar counter inoperable due to flooding.

Table EB-8. Continued.


$$
=
$$

$$
=
$$

## APPENDIX EC

 DAILY FISHWHEEL CATCH DATATable EC-1. Susitna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch loa by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Table EC-1. Continued.

| DATE | NUMBER OF FISIIWHEELS | NUMBER OF FISHWHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHum |  | COHO |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CIM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | 24.3 | 0 | 25 | 11 | 371 | 12 | 244 | 2 | 65 | 7 | 55 | 32 | 760 |
| 31 | 1 | 24.2 | 0 | 25 | 9 | 380 | 4 | 248 | 5 | 70 | 1 | 56 | 19 | 779 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Augu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 27.7 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 387 | 9 | 257 | 4 | 74 | 2 | 58 | 22 | 801 |
| 2 |  | 21.0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 390 | 2 | 259 | 1 | 75 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 807 |
| 3 | 4) | 0.0 | - | 25 | - | 390 | - | 259 | - | 75 | $-$ | 58 | - | 807 |
| 4 | 1 | 16.5 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 391 | 3 | 262 | 1 | 76 | 0 | 58 | 6 | 813 |
| 5 |  | 23.5 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 399 | 13 | 275 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 60 | 23 | 836 |
| 6 |  | 22.3 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 408 | 8 | 283 | 16 | 92 | 2 | 62 | 35 | 871 |
| 7 | 1 | 29.0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 410 | 2 | 285 | 13 | 105 | 3 | 65 | 20 | 891 |
| 8 | 1 | 11.5 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 411 | 2 | 287 | 2 | 107 | 3 | 68 | 8 | 899 |
| 9 |  | 24.7 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 412 | 0 | 287 | 4 | 111 | 0 | 68 | 5 | 904 |
| 10 |  | 26.3 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 414 | 0 | 287 | 1 | 112 | , | 69 | 4 | 908 |
| 11 |  | 21.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 414 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 908 |
| 12 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 415 | 0 | 287 | 2 | 114 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 911 |
| 13 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 287 | 1 | 115 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 912 |
| 14 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 912 |
| -15 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 912 |
| -16 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 912 |
| 17 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 416 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 913 |
| 18 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 417 | 0 | 287 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 915 |
| 19 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 116 | 1 | 70 | 1 | 916. |
| 20 |  | 27.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 287 | 2 | 118 | 0 | 70 | 2 | 918 |
| 21 |  | 22.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 918 |
| -22 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 918 |
| 23 | 1 | 23.0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 419 | 1 | 288 | 8 | 126 | 1. | 21 | 12 | 930 |
| 24 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 420 | 3 | 291 | 5 | 131 | 2 | 73 | 11 | 941 |
| 25 |  | 24.0 | 0 | $\frac{26}{26}$ | 0 | 420 | I | 292 | 6 | 137 | 3 | 76 | 10 | 951 |
| 26 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 420 | 1 | 293 | 2 | 139 | 0 | 76 | 3 | 954 |
| 27 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 421 | 0 | 293 | 0. | -139 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 955 |
| -28 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 293 | 2 | 141 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 952 |
| 29 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 293 | 1 | 142 | 1 | 77 | ? | 959 |
| 30 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 1 | 294 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 77 | 1 | 960 |
| 31 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 294 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 960 |

4/ Fishwheel inoperable due to high water.

Table EC-1. Continued.


Table EC-2. Susitna Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | NUMBER OF FISHWHEELS | NUMBER OF FISHWHEELHOURS $/ /$ | CHINOOK |  | S0CKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| 30 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 24.0 | 1 | 1 | 40 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 137 |
| 2 | 1 | 24.0 | 1 | 2 | 83 | 219 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 222 |
| 3 | I | 24.0 | 3 | 5 | 107 | 326 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 333 |
| 4 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 5 | 70 | 396 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 72 | 405 |
| 5 | $1$ | 21.0 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 422 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | $\square$ | 1 | - 29 | 434 |
| 6 | 1 | 24.0 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 434 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $-21$ | 455 |
| -7 | 1 | 18.0 | 0 | 6 | 19 | 453 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 479 |
| -8 | 1 | 20.0 | 1 | 7 | 38 | 491 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 519 |
| 9 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 7 | 33 | 524 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 554 |
| 10 | 1 | 22.0 | 2 | 9 | 326 | 850 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 330 | 884 |
| 71 | 1 | 7.5 | 0 | 9 | 363 | 1213 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 365 | 1249 |
| 12 | 1 | 16.0 | 0 | 9 | 74 | 1287 | D | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 74 | 1323 |
| 13 | $i$ | 19.0 | 1 | 10 | 103 | 1390 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 104 | 1427 |
| 14 | 1 | 21.0 | 0 | 10 | 237 | 1627 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 238 | 1665 |
| 75 | , | 13.6 | 0 | 10 | 166 | 1793 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 167 | 1832 |
| 16 |  | 11.7 | 0 | 10 | 250 | 2043 | $\bigcirc$ | 24 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 250 | 2082 |
| 17 | , | 15.7 | 0 | 10 | 190 | 2233 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 191 | 2273 |
| 18 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 10 | 128 | 2361 | 4 | 28 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 136 | 2409 |
| -19 | 1 | 8.6 | 0 | 10 | 89 | 2450 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 98 | 2507 |
| 20 | 1 | 17.5 | 0 | 10 | 197 | 2647 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 200 | 2707 |
| 21 | 1 | 5.7 | 0 | 10 | 182 | 2829 | 5 | 44 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 193 | 2900 |
| 22 | 1 | 4.8 | 0 | 10 | 91 | 2920 | 3 | 47 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 96 | 2296 |
| -23 | 1 | 5.5 | 1 | 11 | 109 | 3029 | 11 | 58 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 129 | 3125 |
| -24 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 11 | 59 | 3088 | 13 | 71 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 26 | 81 | 3206 |
| -25 | 1 | 14.0 | 1 | 12 | 220 | 3308 | 94 | 165 | 3 | 13 | 50 | 76 | 368 | 3574 |
| 26 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 12 | 37 | 3345 | 24 | 189 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 82 | 67 | 3641 |
| 27 | 1 | 3.3 | 0 | 12 | 21 | 3366 | 13 | 202 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 87 | 40 | 3681 |
| 28 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 3395 | 44 | 246 | 1 | 15 | 24 | 111 | 98 | 3779 |
| 29 | 1 | 4.3 | 0 | 12 | 16 | 3411 | 37 | 283 | 1 | 16 | 9 | 120 | 63 | 3842 |
| 30 | 1 | 4.5 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 3440 | 35 | 318 | 16 | 32 | 8 | 128 | 88 | 3930 |
| 31 | 1 | 4.0 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 3460 | 16 | 334 | 18 | 50 | 6 | 134 | 60 | 3990 |

Table EC-2. Continued.

| DATE | NUMBER OF FISHWHEELS | NUMBER OF FISHWHEEL HOURS 1/ | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| Aupust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 1 | 1 | 18.7 | 0 | 12 | 41 | 3501 | 14 | 348 | 3. | 53 | 21 | 155 | 79 | 4069 |
| 2 | 1 | 2.7 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 3510 | 5 | 353 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 158 | 17 | 4086 |
| 3 | 1 | 22.0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 3516 | 2 | 355 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 158 | 8 | 4094 |
| 4 | 1 | 24.7 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 3536 | 1 | 356 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 159 | 22 | 4116 |
| 5 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 12 | 35 | 3571 | 11 | 367 | 1 | 54 | 9 | 168 | 56 | 4172 |
| 6 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 12 | 22 | 3593 | 12 | 379 | 0 | 54 | 12 | 180 | 46 | 4218 |
| 7 | 1 | 29.0 | 0 | 12 | 27 | 3620 | 8 | 387 | 11 | 65 | 22 | 202 | 68 | 4286 |
| 8 | 1 | 18.0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 3632 | 3 | 390 | 5 | 70 | 14 | 216 | 34 | 4320 |
| 9 | 1. | 23.0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 3644 | 2 | 392 | 4 | 74 | 9 | 225 | 27 | 4347 |
| 10 | 1 | 26.3 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 3651 | 1 | 393 | 0 | 74 | 10 | 235 | 18 | 4365 |
| 11 | 1 | 21.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3652 | 0 | 393 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 237 | 3 | 4368 |
| 12 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3655 | 0 | 393 | 1 | 75 | 2 | 239 | 6 | 4374 |
| 13 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3655 | 3 | 396 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 240 | 4 | 4378 |
| 14 | 1 | 24.0. | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3655 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 4378 |
| 15 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 3657 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 240 | 2 | 4380 |
| 16 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3657 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 4380 |
| -17 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3660 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 75 | 3 | 243 | 6 | 4386 |
| 18 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3660 | 0 | 396 | 1 | 76 | 2 | 245 | 3 | 4389 |
| 19 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3660 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 4389 |
| 20 | 1 | 27.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3661 | 0 | 396 | 5 | B1 | 3 | 248 | 9 | 4398 |
| 21 | 1 | 22.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3661 | 0 | 396 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 249 | 2 | 4400 |
| 22 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3662 | 0 | 396 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 249 | 1 | 4401 |
| 23 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3662 | 1 | 397 | 2 | 84 | 0 | 249 | 3 | 4404 |
| 24 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3662 | 0 | 397 | 3 | 87 | 0 | 249 | 3 | 4407 |
| -25 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3662 | 0 | 397 | 7 | 94 | 2 | 251 | 9 | 4416 |
| -26 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3663 | 0 | 397 | 3 | 97 | 0 | 251 | 4 | $4420{ }^{-}$ |
| -27 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3664 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 251 | 1 | 4421 |
| 28 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3664 | 0 | 397 | 3 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 3 | $4424{ }^{-1}$ |
| -29 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3665 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 1 | 4425 |
| -30 |  | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3665 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 4425 |
| 31 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 3666 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 4226 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3666 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 4226 |
| 2 | 1 | 24.0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3666 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 4226 |

Table EC-3. Yentna Station south bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | NO. OF <br> WHEFLS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | Cum. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | cum. | DAILY | CUM . |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| $\underline{29}$ | 1 | 24 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 23 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 35 | 43 |
| 30 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 9 | 23 | 46 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 12.5 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 60 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 96 |
| 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 96 |
| 3 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 14 | -26 | 86 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 32 | 128 |
| 4 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 16 | 21 | 102 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 27 | 155 |
| 5 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 17 | 8. | 115 | 6 | 21 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10. | 12 | 172 |
| 6 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 123 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 13 | 185 |
| 7 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 23 | 13 | 136 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | 12 | 28 | 213 |
| 8 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 23 | 34 | 170 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 50 | 263 |
| 9 | I | 24 | 4 | 27 | 50 | 220 | 19 | 65 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 77 | 340 |
| 10 | 1 | 22.5 | 1 | 28 | 348 | 568 | 18 | 83 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 372 | 712 |
| 11 | 1 | 16.2 | 0 | 28 | 307 | 875 | 3 | 86 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 311 | 1023 |
| 12 | 1 | 15.4 | 1 | 29 | 280 | 1155 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 281 | 1304 |
| 13 |  |  | 0 | 29 | 341 | 1496 | 3 | 89 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 352 | 1656 |
| 14 | 1 | 14.5 | 0 | 29 | 548 | 2044 | 9 | 98 | -2 | 27 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 560 | 2216 |
| 15 | 1 | 13.8 | 0 | 29 | 756 | 2800 | 10 | 108 | 5 | 32 | 1 | 5 | 0. | 14 | 772 | 2988 |
| 16 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 29 | 158 | 2958 | 2 | 110 | 1 | 33 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 162 | 3150 |
| 17 | 1 | 21.5 | 0 | 29 | 252 | 3210 | 0 | 110 | 8 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 0. | 14 | 260 | 3410 |
| 18 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 29 | 111 | 3321 | 5 | 115 | 6 | 47 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 122 | 3532 |
| 19 | 1 | 14.2 | 0 | 29 | 130 | 3451 | 12 | 127 | 19 | 66 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 163 | 3695 |
| 20 | , | 13 | 0 | 29 | 79 | 3530 | 11 | 138 | 11 | 77 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 103 | 3798 |
| 21 | 1 | 14.5 | 0 | 29 | 163 | 3693 | 22 | 160 | 11 | 88 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 199 | 3997 |
| 22 | 1 | 14.2 | 1 | 30 | 224 | 3917 | 22 | 182 | 20 | 108 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 14 | 284 | 4281 |
| 23 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 30 | 202 | 4119 | 93 | 275 | 23 | 131 | 32 | 62 | 0 | 14. | 350 | 4631 |
| $\frac{24}{25}$ | 1 | 13.8 | 0 | 30 | 163 | 4282 | 95 | 370 | 26 | 157 | 20 | 82 | 0 | 14 | 304 | 4935 |
| $\underline{25}$ |  | 5 | 0 | 30 | 100 | 4382 | 112 | 482 | 28 | 185 | 5 | 87 | 0 | 14 | 245 | 5180 |
| 26 |  | 13.5 | 0 | 30 | 44 | 4426 | 38 | 520 | 10 | 195 | 16 | 103 | 0 | 14 | 108 | 5288 |
| 27 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 30 | 29 | 4455 | 48 | 568 | 12 | 207 | 12 | 120 | 0 | 14 | 106 | 5394 |
| 28 | 1 | 20.5 | 0 | 30 | 42 | 4497 | 122 | 690 | 37 | 244 | 71 | 191 | 0 | 14 | 272 | 5666 |

Table EC-3. Continued.


Table EC-3. Continued.


Table EC-4. Yentna Station north bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  |  |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PIAK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | WHEEL <br> HOURS | OAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4. |
| 29 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 14. |
| 30 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | $-$ | 3 | - | 20 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 33 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | - | 20 | - | 2 | $=$ | 3 | - | 0 | - | 5 | - | 33. |
| 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 33 |
| 4 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 41 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 27 | 60 |
| 5 | 1 | 24. | 1 | 6 | 17 | 58 | 15 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 | 93 |
| $\underline{6}$ | 1 | 24 | 3 | 9 | 23 | 81 | 9 | 28 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 37 | 130 |
| 7 | 1 | 24 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 91 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 153 |
| 8 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 41 | 132 | 27 | 63 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 70 | 223 |
| 9 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 15 | 11 | 143 | 9 | 72 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 242 |
| 10 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 16 | 37 | 180 | 47 | 119 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 89 | 336 |
| 11 | 1 | 21.5 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 182 | 1 | 120 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 343 |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 197 | 4 | 124 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 366 |
| 13 | 1 | 22.5 | 0 | 16 | 37 | 234 | 2 | 126 | 4 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 43 | 409 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 39 | 273 | 5 | 131 | 5 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 49 | 458 |
| 15 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 16 | 41 | 314 | 7 | 138 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 51 | 509 |
| 16 | 1 | 15.8 | 0 | 16 | 22 | 336 | 0 | 138 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 23 | 532 |
| 17 | 1 | 9.5 | 0 | 16. | 26 | 362 | 1 | 139 | 1. | 34 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 560 |
| 18 | 1 | 21.5 | 0 | 16 | 167 | 529 | 10 | 149 | 21 | 55 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 200 | 760 |
| 19 |  | 13.8 | 1 | 17 | 295 | 824 | 20 | 169 | 34 | 89 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 357 | 1117 |
| 20 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 245 | 1069 | 54 | 223 | 52 | 141 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 352 | 1469 |
| 21 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 190 | 1259 | 33 | 256 | 40 | 181 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 267 | 1736 |
| 22 |  | 13,8 | 0 | 17 | 313 | 1572 | 21 | 277 | 67 | 248 | 15 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 416 | 2152 |
| 23 | 1 | 15.8 | 0 | 17 | 187 | 1759 | 18 | 295 | 106 | 354 | 27 | 57 | 0 | 8 | 338 | 2490 |
| 24 | 1 | 10.4 | 0 | 17 | 85 | 1844 | 14 | 309 | 32 | 386 | 4 | 67 | 0 | 8 | 135 | -2625 |
| 25 | 1 | 14.8 | 0 | 17 | 54 | 1898 | 9 | 318 | 8 | 394 | -2 | 63 | 0 | 8 | 73 | 2698 |
| 26 | 1 | 11.8 | 0 | 17 | 59 | 1957 | 25 | 343 | 17 | 411 | 9 | 72 | 0 | 8 | 110 | 2808 |

Fishwheel inoperable due to debris damage.

Table EC-4. Continued.

|  |  |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | HOURS | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CLM. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 1 | 17.2 | 0 | 17 | 35 | 1992 | 12 | 355 | 28 | 439 | 11 | 83 | 0 | 8 | 86 | 2894 |
| 28 | 1 | 22.2 | 0 | 17 | 23 | 2015 | 11 | 366 | 7 | 446 | 8 | 91 | 0 | 8 | 49 | 2943 |
| $\frac{29}{30}$ | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 9 | 2024 | 4 | 370 | 5 | 451 | 1 | 92 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 2962 |
| 30 | 1 | 16.5 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 2028 | 1 | 371 | 2 | 453 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 2969 |
| 31 | 1 | 24. | 0 | 17 | 4 | 2032 | 3 | 374 | 1 | 454 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 2978 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auqust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 15.5 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2034 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 454 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2980 |
| 2 | 1 | 15,6 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2036 | 6 | 380 | 5 | 459 | 2 | 95 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 2995 |
| 3 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 2039 | 4 | 384 | 9 | 468 | 10 | 105 | 0 | 8 | $\underline{.} 26$ | 3021 |
| 4 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 2045 | 66 | 450 | 43 | 511 | 20 | 125 | 0 | 8 | 135 | 3156 |
| 5 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 20 | 2065 | 110 | 560 | 44 | 555 | 25 | 150 | 0 | 8 | 199 | 3355 |
| 6 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 2072 | 136 | 696 | 44 | 599 | 29 | 179 | 0 | 8 | 216 | 3571 |
| 7 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 2077 | 140 | 836 | 16 | 615 | 14 | 193 | 0 | 8 | 175 | 3746 |
| 8 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 7 | 2084 | 79 | 915 | 31 | 646 | 17 | 210 | 0 | 8 | 134 | 3880 |
| 9 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 2089 | 25 | 940 | 21 | 667 | 7 | 217 | 0 | 8 | 58 | 3938 |
| 10 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 2092 | 10 | 950 | 11 | 678 | 4. | 221 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 3966 |
| 11 | 1 | 16.5 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2092 | 5 | 955 | 16 | 694 | 8 | 229 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 3995 |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 2093 | 4 | 959 | 5 | 699. |  | 232 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 4008 |
| 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2095 | 1 | 960 | 7 | 706 | 2 | 234 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 4020 |
| 14 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2095 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 706 | 1 | 235 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4021 |
| 15 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2097 | 2 | 962 | 11 | 717 | 2 | 232 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 4038 |
| 16 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 2098 | 2 | 964 | 8 | 725 | 2 | 239 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 4051 |
| 17 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 11. | 0 | 2098 | 2 | 966 | 9 | 734 | 8 | 247 | 1 | 9 | 20 | 4071 |
| 18 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2098 | 2 | 973 | 6 | 740 | 4 | 251 | 3 | 12 | 20 | 4091 |
| 19 | 1 | 9.2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2098 | 3 | 976 | 2 | 742 | 3 | 254 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 4100 |
| 20 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2098 | 5 | 981 | 13 | 755 | 2 | 256 | 3 | 16 | 23 | 4123 |
| 21 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2098 | 4 | 985 | 19 | 774 | 3 | 259 | 0. | 16 | 26 | 4149 |
| 22 | 1 | 24. | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2098 | 4 | 989 | 14 | 788 | 1 | 260 | 4 | 20 | 23 | 4172 |
| 23 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 2099 | 5 | 994 | 13 | 801 | 5 | 265 | 7 | 27 | 31 | 4203 |
| 24 | 1 | 24 | 0. | 17 | 0 | 2099 | 5 | 999 | 11 | 812 | 4 | 269 | 10 | 37 | 30 | 4233 |
| 25 | 1 | 20.5 | 0 | 17 | 0. | 2099 | 3 | 1002 | 2 | 814 | 2 | 271 | 3 | 40 | 10 | 4243 |
| 26 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2099 | 2 | 1004 | 7 | 821 | 0 | 271 | 13 | 53 | 22 | $4 \overline{2} 5$ |

Table EC-4. Continued.


Table EC-5. Sunshine Station east bank fishwheel daily, cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | NO. OFWHEELS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | СОНО |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | $\begin{array}{r}\text { TOTAL CATCH } \\ \text { ALL SPECIES } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | OAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM, | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 | 12 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| 21 | 1 | 6 |  | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-1$ | 21 |
| 22 |  | 23 | 16 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 37 |
| 23 | 1 | 23.5 | 28 | 65 | 1. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 29 | 66 |
| 24 | 1 | 22.5 |  | 100 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 101 |
| 25 | ? | 23 |  | 137 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 138 |
| 26 | 1 | 23 | 18 | 155 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 156 |
| 27 | 2 | 27 | 21 | 176 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 127 |
| 28 | 2 | 46.5 | 14 | 190 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 19 | 191 |
| 29 | 2 | 47.5 | 10 | 200 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 204 |
| 30 | 2 | 47.5 | 6 | 206 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 212 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 47 | 19 | 225 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 239 |
| 2 | 2 | 45.5 | 51 | 276 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 62 | 301 |
| 3 | 2 | 46 | 52 | 328 | 11 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 371 |
| 4 | 2 | 48 | 87 | 415 | 43 | 83 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 134 | 505 |
| 5 | 2 | 48 | 38 | 453 | 38 | 121 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 83 | 588 |
| 6 | 2 | 47.5 | 32 | 485 | 72 | 193 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 115 | 703 |
| 7 | 2 | 48 | 20 | - 505 | 55 | 248 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 90 | 793 |
| 8 | 2 | 47 | 9 | 514 | 20 | 268 | 0 | 11 | $\underline{6}$ | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 35 | 828 |
| 9 |  | 47.5 | 8 | 522 | 10 | 278 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 31 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 6 | 21 | 849 |
| 10 | 2 | 28.5 | 2 | 524 | 7 | 285 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 862 |
| 11 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 285. | 0 | 15 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 862 |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 6 | 0 | 862 |
| 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 862 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 863 |
| 15 |  | 24 | 1 | 525 | 46 | 331 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 48 | 911 |
| 16 |  | 24 | 1 | 526 | 171 | 502 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 172 | 1083 |
| 17 | 2 | 28.5 | 1 | 527 | 441 | 943 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 446 | 1529 |
| 18 | 2 | 41.5 | 1 | 528 | 662 | 1605 | 11 | 30 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 675 | 2204 |
| 19 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 528 | 669 | 2274 | 3 | 33 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 673 | 2877 |

Table EC-5. Continued.

| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WHEEL } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCHALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| Ju1y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 528 | 606 | 2880 | 5 | 38 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 613. | 3490 |
| 21 | 2 | 43.5 | 0 | 528 | 638 | -3518 | 8 | 46 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 650 | 4140 |
| 22 | 2 | 44 | 0 | 528 | 794 | 4312 | 22 | 68 | 31 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 847 | 4987 |
| 23 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 529 | 671 | 4983 | 64 | 132 | 133 | 206 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 870 | 5857 |
| 24 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 529 | 406 | -5389 | 49 | 181 | 104 | 310 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 560 | 6417 |
| 25 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 530 | 463 | 5852 | 102 | 283 | 108 | 418 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 674 | 7091 |
| 26 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 530 | 416 | 6268 | 109 | 392 | 116 | 534 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 642 | 7733 |
| 27 | 2 | 29.5 | 0 | 530 | 169 | 6467 | 86 | 478 | 97 | 631 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 356 | 8089 |
| 28 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 530 | 373 | 6810 | 465 | 943 | 618 | 1249 | 3 | 10 | 0. | 6 | 1459 | 9548 |
| 29 | 2 | 28.5 | 0 | 530 | 114 | 6924 | 189 | 1132 | 210 | 1459 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 519 | 10067 |
| 30 | 2 | 48. | 0 | 530 | 180 | 7104 | 317 | 1449 | 286 | 1745 | 20 | 36 | 1 | 7 | 804 | 10871 |
| 31 | 2 | 47.5 | 0 | 530 | 117 | 7221 | 467 | 1916 | 359 | 2104 | 10 | 46 | 0 | 7 | 953 | 11824 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 530 | 84 | 7305 | 597 | 2513 | 361 | 2465 | 24 | 70 | 0 | 7 | 1066 | 12890 |
| 2 | 2 | 33.83 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 7305 | 11 | 2524 | 0 | 2465 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 12901 |
| - 3 | 2 | 35.5 | 0 | 530 | 10 | 7315 | 109 | 2633 | 7 | 2472 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 7 | 127 | 13028 |
| 4 | 2 | 46.5 | 0 | 530 | 26 | 7341 | 357 | 2990 | 150 | 2622 | 4 | 75 | 0 | 7 | 537 | 13565 |
| 5 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 531 | 49 | $\underline{7390}$ | 381 | 3371 | 94 | 2716 | 24 | 99 | 0 | 7 | 549 | 14114 |
| 6 | 2 | 47.5 | 1. | 532 | 56 | 7446 | 538 | 3909 | 288 | 3004 | 27 | 126 | 0 | 7 | 910 | 15024 |
| 7 | 2 | 47.5 | 0. | 532 | 50 | 7496 | 471 | 4380 | 255 | 3259 | 44 | 170 | 0 | 7 | 820 | 15844 |
| 8 | 2 | 47.5 | 1. | 533 | 93 | 7589 | 493 | 4873 | 197 | 3456 | 75 | 245 | 0 | 7 | 859 | 16703 |
| 9 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 533 | 32 | 7621 | 271 | 5144 | 31 | 3487 | 23 | 268 | 0 | 7 | 357 | 17060 |
| 10 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 533 | 1 | 7622 | 60 | 5204 | 9 | 3496 | 6 | 274 | 0 | 7 | 76 | 17136 |
| 11 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 533 | 9 | 7631 | 118 | 5322 | 39 | 3535 | 27 | 301 | 0 | 7 | 193 | 17329 |
| 12 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 534 | 9 | 7640 | 132 | 5454 | 66 | 3601 | 32 | 333 | 1 | 8 | 241 | 17570 |
| 13 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 534 | 10 | 7650 | 77 | 5531 | 19 | 3620 | 13 | 346 | 0 | 8 | 119 | 17689 |
| 14 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 534 | 6 | 7656 | 63. | 5594 | 18 | 3638 | 8 | 354 | 0 | 8 | 95 | 17784 |
| 15 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 534 | 9 | 7665 | 38. | 3637 | 23 | 3661 | 11 | 365 | 0 | 8 | 81 | 17865 |
| 16 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 534 | 13 | 7678 | 32 | 5664 | 27 | 3688 | 13 | 378 | 0 | 8 | 85 | 17950 |
| 17 | 2 | 48 | 1. | 535 | 39 | 7717 | 179 | 5843 | 259 | 3947 | 72 | 450 | 0 | 8 | 550 | 18500 |
| 18 | 2 | 45.5 | 1 | 536 | 45 | 7762 | 195 | 5038 | 554 | 4501 | 104 | 554 | 0 | 8 | 899 | 19399 |
| 19 | 2 | 45.5 | 0 | 536 | 61 | 7823 | 172 | 6210 | 581 | 5082 | 166 | 720 | 0 | 8 | 980 | 20379 |

Table EC-5. Continued.


Table EC-6. Sunshine Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch logs by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

|  |  |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | NO. OF WHEELS | WHEEL <br> HOURS | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 1 | 3.5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | 1 | 23.5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 26 | 1 | 23.5 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 28 | 1 | 12.5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 11 |
| 29 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| . 30 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 22 | 9 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 25 |
| 2 | 1. | 23 | 8 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 33 |
| 3 | 1 | 23.5 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 42 |
| 4 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 45 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 51 |
| 5 | 2 | 39 | 12 | 57 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 77 |
| 6 | 2 | 47.5 | 6 | 63 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 92 |
| 7 | 2 | 41.3 | 3 | 66 | 5 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 100 |
| 8 | 2 | 45.5 | 3 | 69 | 5 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 108 |
| 9 | 2 | 47.5 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 110 |
| 10 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 111 |
| 11 | 2 | 45.5 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 113 |
| 12 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 113 |
| 13 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 113 |
| 14 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 114 |
| 15 | 2 | 48 | 2 | 71 | 6 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 122 |
| 16 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 71 | 5 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 127 |
| 17 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 129 |
| 18 |  | 24. | 0 | 71 | 6 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 135 |
| 19 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 71 | 11 | 70 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 142 |
| 20 | 1 | 11.3 | 0 | 71 | 7 | 77 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4. | 1 | 154 |
| 21 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 71. | 55 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 209 |
| 22 | $\underline{2}$ | 35 | 1 | 72 | 111 | 243 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 114 | 323 |
| 23 | 2 | 33.5 | 0 | 72 | 71 | 314 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 71 | 394 |
| 24 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 72 | 67 | 381 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 70 | 464 |

Table EC-6. Continued.

| DATE |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WHEEL } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MI SCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO. OF WHEFLS |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 72 | 47 | 428 | 1. | 5 | 1. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 49 | 513 |
| 26 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 72 | 200 | 628 | 10 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 217 | 730 |
| 27 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 72 | 123 | 751 | 14 | 29 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 139 | 869 |
| $\underline{28}$ | 2 | 44 | 1 | 73 | 189 | 940 | 29 | 58 | 19 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 238 | 1107 |
| 29 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 73 | 62 | 1002 | 5 | 63 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 78 | 1185 |
| 30 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 74 | 130 | 1132 | 34 | 97 | 30 | 72 | 25 | 26 | 0 | 4 | 220 | 1405 |
| 31 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 75 | 91 | 1223 | 33 | 130 | 31 | 103 | 21 | 47 | 0 | 4 | 177 | 1582 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 40.33 | 0 | 75. | 74 | 1297 | 74 | 204 | 42 | 145 | 34 | 81 | 0 | 4 | 224 | 1806 |
|  | 1 | 20.75 | 0 | 75 | 2 | 1299 | 1 | 205 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1809 |
| $\frac{3}{7} 7$ | 0 | 0 | $\underline{-}$ | 75 | 2 | 1292 | $\underline{.}$ | 205 | - | 145 | - | 81 | - | 4 | - | 1809 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 75 | - | 1299 | - | 205 | - | 145 | - | 81 | - | 4 | - | 1809 |
| 5 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 75 | 14 | 1313 | 21 | 226 | 21 | 166 | 16 | 97 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 1881 |
| 6. | 2 | 47.5 | 0 | 75 | 54 | 1367 | 110 | 336 | 96 | 262 | 70 | 167 | 0 | 4 | 330 | 2211 |
| 7 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 76 | 58 | 1425 | 161 | 497 | 95 | 357 | 87 | 254 | 1 | 5 | 403 | 2614 |
| 8 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 76 | 36 | 1461 | 67 | 564 | 51 | 408. | 98 | 352 | 0 | 5 | 252 | 2866 |
| 9 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 76 | 14 | 1425 | -26 | 590 | 15 | 423 | 29 | 381 | 0 | 5 | 84 | 2950 |
| 10 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 1477 | -12 | 602 | 2 | 425 | 5 | 386 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 2971 |
| 11 | 2 | 21.25 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 1478 | 3 | 605 | 5 | 430 | 7 | 393 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 2987 |
| 12 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 1480 | 3 | 608 | 7 | 437 | 4 | 397 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 3003 |
| 13 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 1480 | 0 | 608 | 4 | 441 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3007 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 1480 | 0 | 608 | 2 | 443 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3009 |
| 15 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 1482 | 0 | 608 | 1 | 444 | 3 | 400 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 3015 |
| 16 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 1483 | 0 | 608 | 5 | 449 | 8 | 408 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 3029 |
| 17 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 76 | 6. | 1489 | 0 | 608 | 44 | 493 | 27 | 435 | 0 | 5 | 77 | 3106 |
| 18 | 2 | 45 | 0 | 76 | 9 | 1498 | 1 | 609 | 46 | 539 | 80 | 515 | 0 | 5 | 136 | 3246 |
| 19 | -2 | 43 | 0 | 76 | 15 | 1513 | 0 | 609 | 20 | 559 | 55 | 570 | 0 | 5 | 90 | 3332 |
| 20 | -2 | 42.5 | 0 | 76 | 29 | 1542 | 3 | 612 | 57 | 616 | 207 | 777 | 0 | 5 | 296 | 3628 |
| 21 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 76 | 13 | 1555 | 0 | 612 | 15 | 631 | 156 | 933 | 1 | 6 | 185 | 3813 |
| 22 | -2 | 42 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 1562 | 0 | 612 | 18 | 649 | 96 | 1029 | 0 | 6 | 121 | 3934 |
| 23 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 1569 | 3 | 615 | 48 | 697 | 104 | 1133 | 0 | 6 | 162 | 4096 |
| 24 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 76 | 18 | 1587 | 0 | 615 | 30 | 727 | 120 | 1253 | 0 | 6 | 168 | 4264 |

Table EC-6. Continued.


Table EC-7. Talkeetna Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 1 | 23.5 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| 24 | 1 | 22 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 25 | 1 | 23 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 35 |
| 26 | 1 | 17.5 | 15 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 50 |
| 2711 | 0 | 0 | $\underline{-}$ | 50 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 50 |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots 3$ | 53 |
| 29 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 |
| 30 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 16.5 | 9 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 63 |
| 2 | 1 | 23 | 6 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 69 |
| 3 | 2 | 23 | 3 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 72 |
| 4 | 2 | 38 | 0 | 72 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 |
| 5 | 2 | 47 | 7 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 79 |
| 6 | 2 | 48 | 5 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 5 | 84 |
| 7 | 2 | 48 | 4 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 88 |
| 8 | 2 | 48 | 6 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 94 |
|  | 2 | 48 | 2 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 96 |
| 10-16 | 0 | 0 | - | 96 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | - | 96 |
| 17 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 18 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 96 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 19 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 20 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 21 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 97 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 102 |
| 22 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 97 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 106 |
| 23 | 2 | 48 | 3. | 100 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 2 | 14 | 120 |
| 24 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 131 |
| $\underline{25}$ | 2 | 48 | 1 | 101 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 140 |
| $\underline{26}$ | 2 | 48 | 0 | 101 | 7 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 149 |
| 27 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 101 | 10 | 47 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 171 |
| 28 | 2 | 47 | 1 | 102 | 31 | 78 | 3 | 4 | 25 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 0. | 2 | 61 | 232 |

Fishwheel shut down for modification.
Fishwheels inoperable due to flood.

Table EC-7. Continued.


Table EC-7. Continued.


Table EC-8. Talkeetna west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Fishwheels inoperable due to flooding.

Table EC-8. Continued.

| DATE | NO. OFWHEFLS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CIM. |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 41 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 115 | 21 | 48 | 42 | 149 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 345 |
| $2 \frac{21}{1}$ | 0 | 0 | $=$ | 28 | - | 115 | - | 48 | - | 149 | $=$ | 3 | - | 2 | - | 345 |
| 321 | 0 | 0 |  | 28 | - | 115 | - | 48 | - | 149 | $-$ | 3 |  | 2 | - | 345 |
| 4 | 1 | 10.5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 151 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 347 |
| 5 | 2 | 31. | 0 | 28 | 10 | 125 | 9 | 57 | 44 | 195 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2. | 66 | 413 |
| 6 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 131 | 14 | 71 | 28 | 223 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 466 |
| 7 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 139 | 26 | 97 | 49 | 272 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 87 | 553 |
| 8 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 13 | 152 | 27 | 124 | 41 | 313 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 90 | 643 |
| 9 | 2 | 46 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 155 | 1 | 125 | 1 | 314 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 648 |
| 10 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 125 | 3 | 317 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 652 |
| 11 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 653 |
| 12 | 2. | 36.5 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 155 | 2 | 127 | 3 | 321 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 660 |
|  | 1 | 23 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 156 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 27. | 0 | 2 | 1 | 661 |
|  | 0 | 0 | , | 28 | $\underline{-}$ | 156 | - | 127 | $\sim$ | 321 | - | 27 | $-$ | 2 | - | 661 |
|  | 0 | 0 | $-$ | 28 | $-$ | 156 | - | 127 | - | 321 |  | 27 | - | 2 |  | 661 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 28 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 661 |
| 17 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 157 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 321 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 662 |
| 18 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 159 | 3 | 130 | 15 | 336 | 4 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 686 |
| 19 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 163 | 2 | 132 | 30 | 366 | 14 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 736 |
| $\frac{20}{21}$ | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 165 | 3 | 135 | 12 | 378 | 9 | 54 | 1 | 3 | 27 | 763 |
|  | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 166 | 2 | 137 | 7 | 385 | 6 | 60 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 780 |
| 22 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 165 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 385 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 780 |
| 23 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 137 | 16 | 401 | 20 | 80 | 1 | 5 | 37 | 817 |
| 24 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 174 | 6 | 143 | 37 | 438 | 48 | 128 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 917 |
| 25 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 28. | 5 | 179 | 1 | 144 | 27 | 465 | 19 | 147 | 3 | 9 | 55 | 972 |
| 26 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 180 | 1 | 145 | 21 | 486 | 11 | 158 | 2 | 11 | 36 | 1008 |
| 27 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 183 | 5 | 150 | 29 | 515 | 18 | 176 | 0 | 11 | 55 | 1063 |
| $\frac{28}{29}$ |  | 48 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 184 | 4 | 154 | 46 | 561 | 21 | 192 | 1 | 12 | 73 | 1136 |
|  | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 184 | 0 | 154 | 34 | 595 | 23 | 220 | 2 | 14 | 59 | 1195 |
| 30 | 2 | 48 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 186 | 0 | 154 | 7 | 602 | 16 | 236 | 0 | 14 | 25 | 1220 |
|  | 2 | 48 | 2 | 28 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 154 | 4 | 606 | 26 | 262 | 1 | 15 | 31 | 1251 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fishwheels inoperable due to flooding.

Table EC-8. Continued.


Table EC-9. Curry Station east bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch log by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Table EC-9. Continued.

| DATE |  |  | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO. OF WHEELS | WHEEL <br> HOURS | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAIL.Y | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 95 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 105 |
| 21 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 96 | 2 | 10 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 110 |
| 22 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 98 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 122 |
| 23 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 99 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 126. |
| 24 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 101 | 4 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 1 | 9 | 135. |
| 25 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 102 | 7 | 33 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 143 |
| 26 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 103 | 13 | 46 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0. | 1. | 2 | 20 | 163 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 103 | 14 | 60 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 183. |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 104 | 19 | 79 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 27 | 210 |
| 29 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 104 | 27 | 106 | 2. | 8 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 52 | 262 |
| 30 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 104 | 16 | 122 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 4 | 26 | 288 |
| 31 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 104 | 33 | 155 | 8 | 18 | 37 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 78. | 366 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 105 | 32 | 187 | 2 | 20 | 13 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 48 | 414 |
| 2 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 105 | 2 | 189 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 416 |
| 321 | 0 | 0 | - | 105 | - | 189 | - | - 20 | - | 98 | $\square$ | 0 | - | 4 | $\cdots$ | 416 |
| 4 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 106 | 12 | 201 | 1 | 21 | 18 | 116 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 33 | 449 |
| 5 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 41 | 242 | 8 | 29 | 45 | 161 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 549 |
| 6 | 1 | 24. | 0 | 106 | 18 | 260 | 32 | 61 | 77 | 238 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 679 |
| 7 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 17 | 278 | 11 | 72 | 60 | 298 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 773 |
| 8 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 106 | 10 | 288 | 17 | 89 | 48 | 346 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 852 |
| 9 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 14 | 302 | 6 | 95 | 14 | 360 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 887 |
| 10 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 305 | 4 | 99 | 16 | 376 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 914 |
| 11 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 106 | 18 | 323 | 4 | 103 | 26 | 402 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 49 | 963 |
| 12 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 325 | 7 | 110 | 30 | 432 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 5 | 40 | 1003 |
| 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 9 | 334 | 8 | 118 | 44 | 476 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 64 | 1067 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 2 | 336 | 2 | 120 | 19 | 495 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 1090 |
| 15 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 339 | 2 | 122 | 15 | 510 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 1112 |
| 16 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 6 | 345 | 4 | 126 | 40 | 550 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 5 | 54 | 1166 |
| 17 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 348 | 3 | 129 | 31 | 58] | 4 | 38 | 1 | 6 | 42 | 1208 |
| 18 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 14 | 362 | 7 | 131 | 66 | 647 | 6 | 44 | 0 | 6 | 88 | 1296 |
| 19 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 106 | 23 | 385 | 12 | 143 | 77 | 724 | 11 | 55 | 1 | 7 | 124 | 1420 |

Table EC-9. Continued.

| DATE |  | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL. SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NO. OF WHEFLS |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| Auqust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 107 | 7 | 392 | 4 | 147 | 40 | 764 | 5 | 60 | 0 | 7 | 57 | 1477 |
| 21 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 394 | 3 | 150 | 37 | 801 | 4 | 64 | 1 | 8. | 47 | . 1524 |
| 22 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 4 | 398 | 3 | 153 | 72 | 873 | 11 | 75 | 1 | 9 | 91 | 1615 |
| 23 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 3 | 401 | 2 | 155 | 44 | 917 | 6 | B1 | 0 | 9 | 55 | 1670 |
| 24 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 402 | 1 | 156 | 23 | 940 | 4. | 85 | 0 | 9 | 29 | 1699 |
| 25 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 404 | 1 | 157 | 39 | 979 | 3 | 88 | 0 | 9 | 45 | 1744 |
| 26 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 2 | 406 | 2 | 159 | 31 | 1010 | 3 | 91 | 0 | 9 | 38 | 1782 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 1. | 407 | 0 | 159 | 19 | 1029 | 2 | 93 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 1804 |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 159 | 33 | 1062 | 1 | 94 | 0 | 9 | 34 | 1838 |
| 29 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 407 | 1. | 160 | 9 | 1071 | 6 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 1854 |
| 30 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 160 | 4 | 1075 | 2 | 102 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 1860 |
| 31 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 160 | 6 | 1081 | 2 | 104 | 0 | 9. | 8 | 1868 |
| - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | -1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 1086 | 1 | 105 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 1875 |
| 2 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 160 | 10 | 1096 | 3 | 108 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 1889 |
| 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 408 | 0 | 160 | 4 | 1100 | 2 | 110 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 1897 |
|  | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 408 | 0 | 160 | 7 | 1107 | 3 | 113 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 1907 |
| 5 |  | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 408 | 0 | 160 | 3 | 1110 | 0 | 113 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 1911 |
| 6 | I | 23.5 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 408 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 1115 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 1916 |
| 7 | 1 | 23.5 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 408 | 0 | 160 | 3 | 1118 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 1921 |
| 8 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 409 | 0 | 160 | 4 | 1122 | 1 | 114 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 1929 |
| 9 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 160 | 4 | 1126 | 1 | 115 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 1936 |
| 10 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 1131 | 1 | 116 | 2 | 21 | 8 | 1944 |
| 11 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 160 | 4 | 1135 | 1 | 117 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 1949 |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 5 | 1140 | 1 | 118 | 1. | 22 | 8 | 1957 |
| 13 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 2 | 1142 | 0 | 118 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 1960 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 1 | 1143 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 1963 |
| 15 | 1 | 24 | 0. | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 0. | 1143 | 0 | 118 | 4 | 29 | 4 | 1967 |
| 16 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 1143 | 0 | 118 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 1968 |
| 17 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 1143 | 0 | 118 | 3 | 33 | 3. | 1971 |
| 18 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 1143 | 0 | 118 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1971 |
| 19 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 1143 | D | 118 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 1971 |

Table EC-9. Continued.


Table EC-10. Curry Station west bank fishwheel daily and cumulative catch $\log$ by species, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


[^5]Table EC-10. Continued.

| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHIUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOTAL CATCH } \\ & \text { ALL SPECIES } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CLM. |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 175 |
| 23 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 169 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 179 |
| 24 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 170 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 181 |
| 25 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 170 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 192 |
| 26 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 170 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1. | 193 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 171 | $?$ | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 197 |
| 28 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 171 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 203 |
| 29 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 172 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 212 |
| 30 | 1 | 20 | 1. | 173 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3. | 5 | 217 |
| 31 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 173 | 5 | 28 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 237 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - ... |
| Augyst |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 21.5 | 0 | 173 | 2 | 30 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 244 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | - | 173 | - | 30 | 4 | 11 | - | 27 | - | 0 | - | 3 | I | 244 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | $\sim$ | 173 | - | 30 | $=$ | 11 | - | 27 | - | 0 | - | 3 | - | 244 |
| 4 | 1 | 3.5 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 245 |
| 5 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 173 | 3 | 33 | 11 | 22 | 10 | 38 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 270 |
| 6 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 174 | 3 | 36 | 7 | 29 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 291 |
| 7 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 175 | 5 | 41 | 13 | 42 | 6 | 54 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 317 |
| 8 | 1 | 23.5 | 2 | 177 | 4 | 45 | 18 | 60 | 7 | 61 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 35 | 352 |
| 9 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 172 | 2 | 47 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 357 |
| 10 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 177 | 1 | 48 | 2 | 63 | 2 | 63 | 1 | 8. | 0 | 4. | 6 | 363 |
| 11 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 1 | 49 | 3 | 66 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 370 |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 70 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 5. | 375 |
| 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 68 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 379 |
|  | 1 | 6 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 380 |
| $15 \frac{1}{21}$ | 0 | 0 | - | 177 | - | 49 | , | 69 | - | 70 | - | 9 | - | 6 | - | 380 |
| $16 \frac{1}{17}$ | 0 | 0 | $=$ | 177 | - | 49 | - | 69 | - | 70 | - | 9 | - | 6 | - | 380 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 177 | - | 49 | - | 69 | - | 70 | - | 9 | $-$ | 6 | $=$ | 380 |
| 18 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 177 | 1 | 50 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 72 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 384 |
| 19 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 73 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 386 |
| 20 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 387 |
| 21 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 387 |

Table EC-10. Continued.

| DATE | NO. OF WHEFLS | WHEEL HOURS | CHINOOK |  | SOCKEYE |  | PINK |  | CHUM |  | COHO |  | MISCELLANEOUS |  | TOTAL CATCH ALL SPECIES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. | DAJLY | CUM. | DAILY | CUM. |
| $\overline{\text { Auqust }}{ }^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 69 | 6 | 80 | 0 | 11 | 0. | 6 | 6 | 393 |
| 23 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 127 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 82 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 400 |
| 24 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 69 | 4 | 86 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 406 |
| 25 |  | 24 | 0 | 177 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 89 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 413 |
| 26 |  | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 69 | 6 | 95 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 420 |
| 27 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 98 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 425 |
| 28 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 101 | 9 | 31 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 437 |
| 29 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 69 | $-2$ | 103 | 10 | 41 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 451 |
| 30 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 69 | -2 | 105 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 457 |
| 31 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 105 | 4 | 49 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 462 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 69 | 6 | 711 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 474 |
| 2 |  | 24 | 0 | 177 | 2 | 59 | 0 | 69 | 8 | 119 | 2 | 54 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 486 |
| 3 |  | 23 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 120 | 2 | 56 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 490 |
| 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59. | 0 | 69 | 1 | 121 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 493 |
| 5 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 123 | 2 | 60 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 499 |
| 6 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 69 | 3 | 126 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 503 |
| 7 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0. | 59 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 128 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 507 |
| 8 | , | 20 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 508 |
| 9 |  | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 129 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 510 |
| 10 |  |  | 0 | 177 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 130 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 512 |
| 11 | 1 | 20 | 0. | 177 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 62 | 3 | 17 | 3 |  |
| 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 |  | 69 | 2 | 132 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 518 |
| 13 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 | $\underline{0}$ | 69 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 519 |
| 14 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 519 |
| 15 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60. | 0 | 69 | 1 | 133 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 18 | $i$ | 520 |
| 16 | , | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 520 |
| 17 |  | 24 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 520 |
| $\frac{18}{18}$ | , | 22 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 520 |
| 19 |  | 24 | 0 | 172 | - 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 521 |
| 20 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | $\underline{0}$ | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 521 |
| $2]$ | 1 | 19 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 521 |

APPENDIX ED
MEAN HOURLY FISHWHEEL CATCH RATE CURVES

Figure ED-1. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-2. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Figure ED-3. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of sockeye salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-4 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-5 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-6. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of pink salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-7 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-8 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-9. Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of chum salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Si Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure ED-10 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Susitna and Yentna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1987.


Figure ED-11 (a-b). Mean hourly fishwheel catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Sunshine and Talkeetna Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure ED-12. Mean hourly fishwhee catch by two day periods of coho salmon at Curry Station, Adult Anadramous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


APPENDIX EE
SECTOR DISTRIBUTION OF SIDE SCAN SONAR COUNTS

Table EE-1. Sector distribution of sonar count, adjusted for debris, east bank, Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981


Table EE-1. Continued.


Table EE-2. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Susitna Station,
Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Table EE-2. Continued.


Table EE-2. Continued.


Table EE-3. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, south bank, Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| $1 /$ June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 58 | 31 | 50 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 38 | 43 | 15 | 12 | 295 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 108 | 76 | 50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 152 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 15 | 19 | 35 | 25 | 377 |
| 3 | 146 | 91 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 5 | 10 | 27 | 67 | 37 | 51 | $4 ? 7$ |
| 4 | 92 | 47 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 5 | 47 0 | 62 | 49 | 59 | 483 |
| 5 | 82 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 25 5 | 41 53 | 43 | 259 |
| 6 | 119 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 23 | 16 | 162 |
| 7 | 90 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 38 | 29 | 31 | 201 |
| 8 | 59 | 37 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 38 | 4 | 23 | 173 |
| 9 | 125 | 47 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 14 | 5 20 | 13 | 12 | 29 | 164 |
| 10 | 2083 | 1602 | 480 | 44 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 14 44 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 13 198 | 318 |
| 11 | 1663 | 2333 | 858 | 15 | 0 | 0 0 | 8 | 44 0 | 41 | 51 | 78 13 | $1 \because 7$ | 4641 |
| 12 | 1714 | 3911 | 2780 | 233 | 15 | 0 | 46 | 20 | 14 | 0 49 | 13 | 0 | 4882 |
| 13 | 1376 | 3555 | 3813 | 517 | 88 | 9 | 46 209 | 22 216 | 14 228 | 49 224 | 15 | 44 | 8843 |
| 14 | 1854 | 5317 | 6280 | 944 | 193 | 17 | 306 | 216 198 | 228 | 224 | 150 | 219 | 10,604 |
| 15 | 1395 | 5046 | 6666 | 1043 | 169 | 23 | 306 | 198 217 | 203 120 | 169 128 | 223 | 181 | 15,885 |
| 16 17 | 3559 | 3953 | 1639 | 85 | 1 | 0 0 | 346 4 | 17 0 | 120 | 128 0 | 63 | 75 | 15,291 |
| 17 | 2526 | 2282 | 745 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9,243 |
| 18 19 | 2276 | 2304 | 1128 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5,576 |
| 19 | 1627 | 2249 | 2072 | 144 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 24 | 10 | ${ }^{2}$ | 14 | 5,762 |
| 20 21 | 1467 | 2857 | 2338 | 283 | 41 | 4 | 75 | 13 49 | 24 35 | 10 | 10 19 | 14 | 6,190 |
| 21 | 1475 | 3234 | 3178 | 495 | 53 | 5 | 65 | 49 | 35 | 27 | 19 | 64 | 7,259. |
| 22 | 2276 | 4105 | 4246 | 685 | 70 | 16 | 83 | 32 53 | 27 55 | 11 | 12 | 33 | 8,620 |
| 23 | 2638 | 3400 | 3235 | 570 | 87 | 10 | 70 | 53 101 | 55 115 | 56 86 | 57 | 66 | 11,768 |
| 24 | 1988 | 2659 | 2429 | 554 | 69 | 10 6 | 115 | 97 | 115 170 | 86 107 | 75 | 82 | 10,477 |
| 25 | 2103 | 1970 | 1701 | 300 | 46 | 5 | 73 73 | 97 77 | 170 | 107 138 | 74 | 132 | 8,400 |
| 26 | 1346 | 1758 | 1316 | 197 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 102 27 | 138 | 50 | 82 | 6,647 |
| 27 | 1195 | 1109 | 709 | 113 | 10 | 1 | 16 43 | 16 57 | 40 | 28 42 | 27 19 | 36 | 4,767 |
| 28 29 | 1962 | 1341 | 746 | 199 | 25 | 2 | 106 | 72 | 135 | 62 | 19 59 | 69 175 | 3,407 |
| 29 30 | 1244 | 884 | 532 | 126 | 21 | 3 | 110 | 141 | 153 | 109 | 59 87 | 176 | 4,885 |
| 31 | 1399 545 | 974 | 512 | 140 | 19 | 5 | 135 | 134 | 186 | 867 | 130 | 169 318 | 3,579 |
| 31 | 545 | 454 | 501 | 79 | 17 | 4 | 85 | 83 | 197 | 173 | 120 | 157 | 2,416 |
| 1/ 60 | t sub | loyed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-3. Continued.

|  | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |


| August: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | 954 | 739 | 496 | 100 | 18 | 1 | 147 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 700 | 863 | 443 | 67 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 64 | 246 38 | 233 38 | 148 31 | 237 | 3,476 2,342 |
|  | 434 | 359 | 126 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}2,342 \\ \hline 961\end{array}$ |
| 4 | 267 | 358 | 166 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 15 | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ | 12 | 81 | . 961 |
| 5 | 300 | 265 | 159 | 44 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 46 | 39 |  |  |  | . 945 |
| 6 | 216 | 172 | 165 | 21 | 2 | 2 | 32 | 43 | 39 | 67 | 66 | 77 | 1,086 |
| 7 | 212 | 138 | 135 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 49 | 74 | 38 | 45 | 869 |
| 8 | 157 | 131 | 64 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 49 | 43 | 27 | 44 | 723 |
| 9 | 184 | 140 | 50 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 17 | 21 | 455 |
| 10 | 181 | 172 | 132 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 400 |
| 11 | 157 | 172 | 129 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 523 |
| 12 | 201 | 106 | 78 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | , | 6 | 501 |
| 2/13 | - | 103 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 |  |  |  |
| 2/ 14 | - | 173 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 172 |
| 15 | 164 | 146 | 75 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 15 | 15 24 | 260 |
| 16 | 240 | 198 | 108 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 54 | 41 | 6 | 24 43 | 505 |
| 17 | 336 | 155 | 43 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 69 | 73 | 814 |
| 18 | 199 | 162 | 41 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 31 | 27 | 14 | 61 | +105 | 745 |
| 19 | 177 | 87 | 19 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 105 | 675 |
| 20 | 255 | 118 | 65 | 14 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 34 | 27 | 67 | 110 | 95 | 652 |
| 21 | 200 | 87 | 33 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 36 23 | 48 | 47 | 72 | 101 | 181 | 944 |
| 22 | 210 | 81 | 31 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 54 | 42 | 545 |
| 23 | 189 | 64 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 6 4 | 11 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | $\underline{17}$ | 413 |
| 24 | 167 | 70 | 21 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 21 | 27 | 18 | 358 |
| 25 | 137 | 65 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 36 | 24 | 16 | 356 |
| 26 | 194 | 89 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  | 24 | 16 | 342 |
| 27 | 148 | 39 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | $\stackrel{8}{6}$ | 16 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 435 |
| 28 | 135 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{6}$ | 5 | 18 | 14 | 12 | 256 |
| 29 | 104 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 0 | 0 | 9 | 204 |
| 30 | 81 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 122 |
| 31 | 43 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 0 | 109 53 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 69 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 | 73 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |

Sector one invalid due to malfunction caused by extreme high water.

Table EE-3. Continued.

|  | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 39 <br> 4 65 <br> 5 65 <br> 6 63 <br> 7 98 | 29 21 19 19 70 18 | 6 5 3 6 3 | 0 0 1 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 0 0 1 | 74 91 86 115 122 |
| T07AL 48,189 | 63,193 | 50,877 | 7,382 | 1,027 | 135 | 2,590 | 2,338 | 2,770 | 2,870 | 2,490 | 3,652 | 187,453 |
| PEREENT 25.7 | 33.7 | 27.1 | 3.9 | . 6 | . 1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 |  |

Table EE-4. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, north bank, Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/29 | 27 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 23 | 26 | 38 | 55 | 199 |
| 30 | 38 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 40 | 35 | 122 | 304 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 67 | 36 | 14 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 24 | 69 | 96 | 79 | 392 |
| $21^{2}$ | 73 | 30 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 57 | 194 | 150 | 190 | 719 |
| $\frac{21}{2 / 3}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\frac{2 / 4}{2 / 4}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |
| $\frac{2 / 5}{2 / 5}$ | 38 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | $\overline{0}$ | 113 | - |
| ㅇ/ 6 | 38 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 182 |
| 7 | 90 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 122 | 245 |
| 8 | 55 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 112 | 82 | 64 | 239 |
| 9 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 41 | 130 | 263 |
| 10 | 123 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 137 |
| 11 | 130 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 151 |
| 12 | 58 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 13 | 165 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 174 |
| 14 | 429 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 451 |
| 15 | 452 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 470 |
| 16 | 373 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 377 |
| 17 | 402 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 |
| 18 | 272 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 277 |
| 19 | 219 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 233 |
| 20 | 185 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 27 | 18 | 245 |
| 21 | 212 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 5 | 248 |
| 22 | 279 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 34 | 47 | 398 |
| 23 | 393 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 42 | 44 | 49 | 539 |
| 24 | 451 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 72 | 46 | 82 | 668 |
| 325 | 581 | 35 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 44 | 48 | 48 | 782 |
| 326 | 2196 | 180 | 63 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 19 | 23 | 10 | 2516 |
| 27 | 1678 | 115 | 59 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 1913 |
| 1/ 60 foot substrate deployed <br> $\frac{2}{2}$ Sonar count off from $7 / 3$ through 2000 hours on $7 / 16$ <br> 3/ New location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-4. Continued.



Table EE-4. Continued.

|  | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |

September

| September |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | 40 |
| 2 | 37 |
| 3 | 22 |
| 4 | 19 |
| 5 | 13 |
| 6 | 27 |
| 7 | 13 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | 18 | 38 | 92 | 122 | 314 |
| .4 | .1 | .1 | .3 | .4 | 1.1 |

TOTAL 20, 263 PERCENT 71.5

| 18 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 8 | 5 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
|  |  |
| 2.244 | 978 |
| 7.9 | 3.5 |



0
5
0
0
1
0
1

978
3.5


0
0
0
0
0
11
5

## 1,27 <br> 

1.176

1,709
6.0

Table EE-5. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank, Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| $1 /$ June 900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/23 | 400 | 84 | 64 | 76 | 32 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 695 |
| 24 | 133 | 78 | 52 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 283 |
| 25 | 91 | 51 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 193 |
| 26 | 13 | 26 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 27 | 1 | 25 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 28 | 44 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 29 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 30 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 2 | 15 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 3 | 29 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 4 | 29 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 5 | 68 | 47 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| 6 | 31 | 20 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 7 | 24 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 60 |
| 8 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 9 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 79 |
| 210 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 51 |
| 2111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 14 | 19 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 42 |
| 15 | 98 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 16 | 122 | 37 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 204 |
| 3117 | 111 | 87 | 57 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 262 |
| $\frac{3}{4} 18$ | 232 | 161 | 184 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 617 |
| $4 / 18$ | 908 | 945 | 247 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2122 |
| 19 | 2655 | 2395 | 784 | 52 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 5886 |
| //2 20 foot substrate deployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2 /}{3 /}$ No data electronics pulled due to high water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/ 12 sectors through 1300 hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-5. Continued.
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Table EE-6. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| $1 /$ June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 91 |
| 26 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 9 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 58 |
| 27 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 31 |
| 28 | 29 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 51 |
| 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 15 | 23 | 40 |
| 30 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 20 | 3 | 18 | 56 |
| 2 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 51 |
| 3 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 2 | 6 | 18 | 3 | 58 |
| 4 | 37 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 |  | 5 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 94 |
| 5 | 20 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 | - | 10 | 13 | 19 | 28 | 122 |
| 6 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |  | 12 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 68 |
| 7 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 7 | 16 | 7 | 17 | 67 |
| 8 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 39 |
| 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 13 |
| 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 31 |
| 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 2/13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| - 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | _ | - | - | _ |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | , | 6 | , | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3/19 | 72 | 16 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
| 20 | 146 | 32 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 233 |
| 21 | 82 | 18 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 |  | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 130 |
| 22 | 785 | 541 | 509 | 112 | 4 | 1 | 97 | 56 |  | 37 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 2177 |
| 23 | 1379 | 832 | 901 | 185 | 19 | 7 | 95 | 56 |  | 42 | 22 | 8 | 10 | 3456 |
| 24 | 1324 | 844 | 939 | 220 | 30 | 2 | 109 | 53 |  | 38 | 39 | 16 | 10 | 3624 |
| 25 | 1044 | 845 | 993 | 162 | 26 | 1 | 76 | 35 |  | 26 | 21 | 5 | 6 | 3240 |
| 1/60 foot substrate deployed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 /$ No data, electronics pulled due to high water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/ 4 | foot | deplo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-6. Continued.

| DATE | 1 | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 227 | 445 | 460 | 104 | 10 | 2 | 49 | 39 | 39 | 24 | 7 | 8 | 1414 |
| 27 | 261 | 481 | 731 | 728 | 77 | 8 | 131 | 188 | 160 | 40 | 23 | 28 | 2302 |
| 28 | 507 | 746 | 1034 | 450 | 125 | 28 | 109 | 99 | 151 | 113 | 37 | 20 | 3413 |
| 29 | 858 | 1039 | 1496 | 433 | 118 | 41 | 137 | 209 | 157 | 99 | 58 | 48 | 4659 |
| 30 | 586 | 795 | 640 | 333 | 152 | 59 | 105 | 169 | 145 | 84 | 25 | 23 | 3116 |
| 31 | 367 | 535 | 482 | 273 | 145 | 59 | 128 | 129 | 158 | 83 | 39 | 47 | 2445 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1525 | 350 | 213 | 135 | 55 | 29 | 61 | 46 | 51 | 30 | 10 | 20 | 2533 |
| 2 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| 3 | 221 | 43 | 36 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 |
| 4 | 600 | 236 | 364 | 162 | 62 | 21 | 107 | 69 | 47 | 44 | 20 | 21 | 1753 |
| 5 | 444 | 530 | 706 | 352 | 172 | 64 | 333 | 245 | 182 | 150 | 81 | 65 | 3324 |
| 6 | 609 | 609 | 707 | 381 | 247 | 191 | 351 | 241 | 187 | 122 | 51 | 69 | 3715 |
| 7 | 810 | 768 | 661 | 300 | 205 | 129 | 276 | 212 | 159 | 94 | 49 | 48 | 3711 |
| 8 | 506 | 477 | 514 | 207 | 98 | 41 | 115 | 36 | 69 | 54 | 27 | 51 | 2195 |
| 9 | 532 | 44 | 367 | 95 | 26 | 4 | 24 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 1594 |
| 10 | 243 | 187 | 133 | 34 | 18 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 644 |
| 11 | 344 | 204 | 113 | 66 | 31 | 8 | 19 | 12 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 337 |
| 12 | 227 | 172 | 98 | 35 | 8 | 10 | 18 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 607 |
| 13 | 106 | 78 | 70 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 286 |
| 14 | 272 | 44 | 124 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 363 |
| 15 | 108 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 16 | 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| 17 | 162 | 56 | 60 | 30 | 27 | 7 | 37 | 28 | 25 | 13 | 26 | 9 | 480 |
| 18 | 419 | 3615 | 317 | 138 | 48 | 18 | 140 | 107 | 107 | 48 | 47 | 90 | 1871 |
| 19 | 899 | 861 | 558 | 260 | 86 | 35 | 136 | 107 | 111 | 85 | 47 | 87 | 3272 |
| 20 | 692 | 503 | 356 | 217 | 78 | 17 | 104 | 102 | 115 | 82 | 39 | 63 | 2368 |
| 21 | 357 | 179 | 178 | 116 | 46 | 9 | 85 | 32 | 42 | 27 | 7 | 28 | 1106 |
| 22 | 243 | 131 | 146 | 71 | 23 | 5 | 43 | 30 | 23 | 17 | 10 | 15 | 757 |
| 23 | 196 | 140 | 111 | 68 | 26 | 9 | 64 | 34 | 29 | 25 | 16 | 28 | 746 |
| 24 | 522 | 161 | 142 | 97 | 36 | 17 | 64 | 51 | 58 | 35 | 38 | 44 | 1265 |
| 25 | 276 | 117 | 90 | 53 | 13 | 10 | 39 | 37 | 14 | 22 | 17 | 42 | 730 |
| 26 | 192 | 68 | 54 | 16 | 11 | 6 | 16 | 19 | 7 | 20 | 15 | 35 | 459 |
| 27 | 181 | 70 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 1 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 13 | 23 | 422 |
| 28 | 105 | 48 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 34 | 9 | 11 | 276 |
| 29 | 21 | 20 | 27 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 95 |

Table EE-6. Continued.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | total |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 26 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 31 | 15 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| September |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 46 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 75 |
| 2 | 42 | 21 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 98 |
| 3 | 91 | 33 | 31 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 178 |
| 4 | 95 | 26 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 169 |
| 5 | 115 | 28 | 25 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 225 |
| 6 | 86 | 39 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 137 |
| 7 | 45 | 32 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 94 |
| 8 | 21 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 |
| 9 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 46 |
| 10 | 14 | 23 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 66 |
| 11 | 14 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 50 |
| 12 | 10 | 27 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 13 | 15 | 17 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 48 |
| 14 | 18 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 55 |
| 15 | 17 | 28 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 79 |
| T07AL 19,202 PERCENT 28.3 |  | 14,393 | 14,591 | 5,544 | 2,064 | 794 | 3,169 | 2,457 | 2,207 | 1,671 | 806 | 1,022 | 67,920 |
|  |  | 21.2 | 21.5 | 8.2 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 |  |

Table EE-7. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, east bank, Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1/20 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 14 | 25 |
| 22 | 27 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 2 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 31 |
| 23 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 55 |
| 24 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | ${ }_{0}^{2}$ | 2 | 2 4 | 5 | 7 | 48 |
| 25 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | $\stackrel{3}{1}$ | 4 5 | 4 | 6 | 27 |
| 26 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 5 | 4 | 2 | 27 |
| 27 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 38 31 |
| 28 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 31 2 |
| 29 30 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 30 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 8 | 4 29 |
| 3 4 | 9 5 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 30 |
| 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 28 |
| 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 24 |
| 7 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 16 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 28 |
| 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 2/ 11 | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $?$ |
| - 13 | 1 | $\overline{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 16 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 18 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 20 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 20 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ${ }_{1}$ | 0 3 | 11 |
| 21 22 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 22 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 3 | 0 3 | 15 |
| I/ 60 foot substrate deployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 / \mathrm{No}$ | , | 11 | gh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-7. Continued.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 3 | 24 | 15 | ; | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 37 | 24 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| 25 26 | 27 | 55 |  | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 63 93 |
| 26 27 | 47 | 54 |  | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +109 |
| 28 | 86 | 162 |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 |
| 29 | 72 | 194 |  | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 268 |
| 30 | 146 | 346 |  | 35 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 305 |
| 31 | 139 | 298 |  | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 531 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 228 | 214 |  | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 11 18 | 5 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 474 13 |
| 3 4 | 18 | 5 19 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 13 35 |
| 5 | 110 | 153 |  | 4 32 | 5 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 78 |
| 6 | 49 | 130 |  | 22 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 331 |
| 7 | 168 | 224 |  | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 213 |
| 8 | 112 | 216 |  | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 |
| 9 | 48 | 117 |  | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 0 | 3 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 |
| 10 | 60 | 24 |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 184 |
| 11 | 70 | 15 |  | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0 | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 12 | 76 | 37 |  | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |
| 13 14 | 72 20 | 20 |  | 9 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 0 | 136 |
| 14 15 | 20 | 7 |  | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| 16 | 20 | 8 |  | 3 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 17 | 51 | 48 |  | 34 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 18 | 182 | 83 |  | 19 | 8 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| 19 | 136 | 91 |  | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 291 |
| 20 | 166 | 56 |  | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 241 |
| 21 | 48 | 33 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| 22 | 29 | 26 |  | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 |
| 23 | 104 | 45 |  | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 |
| 24 | 158 | 47 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | 0 | 152 |
| 25 | 58 | 31 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 210 |
| 26 | 47 | 72 |  | 26 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 27 | 37 | 78 |  | 35 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | $\stackrel{3}{0}$ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 165 188 |

Table EE-7. Continued.


Table EE-8. Sector distribution of sonar counts, adjusted for debris, west bank, Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | B | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| $1 /$ une | 0 |  | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 57 |
| 23 | 26 | 31 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 71 |
| 24 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 25 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 26 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| 27 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| 28 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 38 |
| 29 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 31 |
| 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 21 |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 4 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| 5 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 21 |
| 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 33 |
| 7 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 32 |
| 8 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 29 |
| 9 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $\underline{11}$ | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $3 / 15$ | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $3 / 16$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 17 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| $4 / 18$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 4/19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1/ 60 foot substrate deployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2/ No data, electronics pulled due to high water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) No | ta, | re |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-8. Continued.

| DATE | SECTOR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 21 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 22 | 31 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 23 | 52 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 24 | 61 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 25 | 89 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 137 |
| 26 | 58 | 51 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 27 | 26 | 40 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 28 | 170 | 141 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 346 |
| 29 | 227 | 145 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |
| 30 | 331 | 240 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 608 |
| $3!$ | 332 | 291 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 673 |
| August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 324 | 199 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 553 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | 298 | 101 | 66 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 498 |
| 5 | 278 | 306 | 229 | 66 | 21 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 924 |
| 6 | 195 | 324 | 303 | 103 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 959 |
| 7 | 58 | 876 | 154 | 41 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 448 |
| 8 | 83 | 94 | 56 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| 9 | 19 | 12 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 12 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 13 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 16 | 32 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 17 | 35 | 52 | 58 | 19 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 |
| 18 | 193 | 227 | 192 | 13 | 29 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 732 |
| 19 | 61 | 176 | 180 | 65 | 28 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 523 |
| 5/ No data, electronics pulled due to high water <br> 6/ 20 foot substrate deployed <br> If No data, electronics pulled due to high water |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EE-8. Continued.


## APPENDIX EF LENGTH FREQUENCIES OF SOCKEYE, PINK, CHUM, AND COHO SALMON



Figure EF-1 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-2 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-3 (abb), Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Si Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure $E F-4$ (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-5 (a-b). Length frequencies of sockeye salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure $E F-6$ ( $a-b$ ). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Figure EF-7 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Andromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-8 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-9 (a-b). Length frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-10 (a-b). Lenath frequencies of pink salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-11 (abb). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations,


Figure EF-12 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-13 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-14 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-15 (a-b). Length frequencies of chum salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-16 $(a-b)$. Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Susitna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-17 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Yentna Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-18 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Sunshine Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Figure EF-19 (a-b). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwhee] catches at Talkeetna Station, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-20 (abb). Length frequencies of coho salmon sampled from fishwheel catches at Curry Station, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Si Hydro Studies, 1981.
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Figure EF-21 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-22 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


LENGTH (mm)


Figure EF-23 Sockeye salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-25 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



Figure EF-26 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-27 Chum salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-28 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Yentna Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-29 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Susitna and Sunshine fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EF-30 Coho salmon lengths by age class from Talkeetna and Curry Station fishwheel catches, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EG
MAINSTEM SUSITNA RIVER VARIABLE GEAR CATCH

Table EG-1. Summary of mainstem Susitna River sampling using gill nets and electroshocking, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHIM | COHO |
| 6.5 | 15N07W?9BBC | 8/29 | E/S | 2 miles | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 7.3 | 15NO7W2OCBD | 8/29 | E/S | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.3 | 15N07W20CBD | 9/16 | $E / S$ | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7.8 | 15N07W22ABD | B/29 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7.8 | 15N07W22ABD | 8/29 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12.5 | 15N07W02ADD | 9/16 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12.5 | 15N07W02ADD | $9 / 16$ | D/N | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 |
| 16.8 | 16NO7414CCC | 8/16 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23.5 | 17NOZW28BBA | $8 / 15$ | D/N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 26.5 | 17N07W14DCB | 8/28 | E/S | 750 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -- $-\frac{26}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{7}$ | 17NO7W14DCB | 8/28 | E/S | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. |
| -- 27.7 | 17N07W13DCC | 9/15 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --27.7 | 17N07W13DCC | $8 / 15$ | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| --27.7 | 17N07W130CC | 8/15 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| --27.7 | 17NO7W130CC | 8/28 | E/S | 450 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30.4$ | 17N06WO4ADB | 9/02 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 30.4 | 17N06W04ADB | $9 / 02$ | E/S | 75 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| - 30.4 | 17N06W04ADB | 9/02 | E/S | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 30.4 | 17N06 W04ADB | 9/02 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --30.4 | 17N06W04ADB | 9/18 | E/S | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| --30.4 | 17NO6W04ADB | 9/18 | E/S | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $30.4$ | 17N06W04ADB | 9/18 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $31.2$ | 18N07W36080 | 8/31 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - -31.8 | 17NOGW05ACC | $9 / 0$ ? | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $31.8$ | 17N06W04ACC | 9/18 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| - 32.2 | 17N06H04ACD | 9/18 | E/S | 600 | 0 | $n$ | 0 | 0 |
| - 32.4 | 17N06W04ADB | 9/19 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| $35.5$ | $180074130 B A$ | 8/14 | D/W | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 35.5 | 18NO7W13DBA | 8/30 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 0 |
| - 35.5 | 18N07W13DBA | 8/31 | E/S | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| - 35.9 | 18N07W138BA | 3/30 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 35.9 | 18N07W13BBA | 8/30 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35.9 | 18N07W13BBA | 8/30 | E/S | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 35.9 | 18N07W138BA | 8/30 | E/S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker: D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net
2) Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 35.9 | 78N07W13BBA | 8/31 | E/S | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 35.9 | 18N07W13BBA | 8/31 | E/S | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 37.3 | 18NO6WO9DCB | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.3 | 18NOGWO9DCB | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.3 | 18N06W09DCB | 8/10 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 37.3 | 18NOGWO9DCB | 8/10 | D/N | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 37.3 | 18NOGWO9DCB | $8 / 21$ | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -37.3 | 18NOGWO9DCB | 8/21 | 0/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 37. 3 | 18N06W090CB | 8/21 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.3 | 18N06W090CB | 9/02 | E/S | 330 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.3 | 18N06W090CB | 9/02 | E/S | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 37.3 | 18NO6WO9DCB | 9/13 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 37.3 | $18 \mathrm{N06W09DCB}$ | 9/19 | E/S | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37.3 | 18NOGWO9DCB | 9/19 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 37.4 | 18MO6W090CA | 9/13 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 38.4 | 18N06W118CA | 9/19 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38.5 | 18N06W030CB | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.2 | 18N06W11AAB | 8/20 | D 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 39.2 | 18N06W02DCB | $8 / 20$ | D/11 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 39.2 | 18N06W02DCD | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.2 | 18NO6W020CD | 8/20 | D/N | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 39.2 | $18 \mathrm{NOGW02DCD}$ | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.2 | 18N06W02DCD | 8/20 | D/N | 303 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $39.2$ | 18N06WO2DCD | $9 / 13$ | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $39.2$ | 18N06W02DCD | $9 / 19$ | $E / S$ | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.9 | 18NO6WO2AAC | 9/02 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.9 | $13 \mathrm{MOGW02AAC}$ | 9102 | $E / S$ | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39.9 | 18N06W02AAC | 962 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 41.3 | 19N0GW35AAC | $8 / 20$ | D $\angle N$ | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41.3. | $19 \mathrm{NOKW} 35 A A C$ | $9 / 02$ | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 0 |
| -43.5 : | $19 \mathrm{NO} 5 \mathrm{Wl9CAB}$ | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 43.5 | $19 \mathrm{NO5W19CAB}$ | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | $a$ | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 8/10 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 8/20 | D 2 N | 75 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker: D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net <br> 2) Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EG-7. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 3/20 | $0 / \mathrm{N}$ | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 8/20 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 9/03 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.3 | 19M05W19CAB | $2 / 13$ | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 9/13 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19N05W19CAB | 9/19 | E/S | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.5 | 19NO5W19CAB | $9 / 19$ | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43.9 | 19NDSWI9DAB | 9/13 | $E / 5$ | 200. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45.9 | 19N05W12DAD | 9/13 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46.1 | 19NO5W1GBAC | 8/10 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46.1 | 10N05W16BAC | 9/12 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 47.6 | 19N05W03BCC | $8 / 10$ | D/N | 75 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 47.6 | 19NO5W03BCC | $8 / 10$ | D/N | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . 47.6 | 19NO5W03BCC | $8 / 20$ | $0 / \mathrm{N}$ | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47.6 | 19NO5W03BCC | 8/20 | 0/4 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . 47.6 | 19NO5W03BCD | 9/18 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -47.6 | 19NOSW310CA | 9/19 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| -47.7 | 20N05W3100A | $8 / 12$ | D/N | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -47.7 | 20N05W31DDA | 8/12 | D/N | 400 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.2 | 19N05WO3BCA | 8/10 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.2 | 19N05W03BCA | B/10 | D/N | 200. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.2 | 19NO5W31BAA | $8 / 19$ | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $48 \cdot 2$ | 19N05W31BAA | $8 / 19$ | O/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.2 | 19N05W03BCA | 8/20 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.7 | 19N05W03BCA | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48.2 | 19N05W03BCA | 9/12 | E/S | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -48.2 | 19N05W03BCA | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -48.2 | 19N05W03BCA | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -48.2 | T9N05W3188D | 9715 | E/S | 2.5 milcs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. |
| 49.1 | 20 NO 05 W 3 CBC | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | $1)$ | 0 |
| 49.4 | 20 MO 5 W 33 ABD | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.5 | 20N05W29BAB | $9 / 19$ | E/S | 30 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.6 | 20N05W29AAC | $8 / 12$ | D/ | 200 | 0 | $\Omega$ | 0 | 0 |
| 49.6 | 20 NO 5 W 29 AAC | 8/12 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^6]Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ 2 f \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 49.6 | 20N05W29AAC | 8/12 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.6 | 20N05W29AAC | 8/20 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.6 | 20N05W29AAC | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.6 | 20N05W29AAC | 8/20 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49.7 | 20N05W29BAB | 9/15 | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50.1 | 20N05W2800B | 8/12 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50.1 | 20N05W2800B | 9/12 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50.5 | 20NO5W27ACC. | $8 / 12$ | I/N | 100 | 0 | 0. | 1 | 0 |
| 50.5 | 20N05W27AAC | $811 ?$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 50.5 | 20N05W27ACC | $8 / 12$ | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50.5 | 20NO5W27CAC | 8/12 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50.5 | 20N05W27ACC | 8/21 | D/N | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 50.5 | 20 NO WW27ACC | 8121 | $0 / N$ | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\cdots 50.5$ | 20 NO 5 W 27 ACC | $8 / 21$ | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --50.5 | 20N05W19AAB | $9 / 19$ | E/S | 4 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 50.5 | 20N05W19AAB | 9/19 | E/S | 4.miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -. 50.7 | 2ONO5W20ADC | $9 / 15$ | E/S | 1.5 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 50.7 | 20NO5W20ADE | 9/19 | F/S | 1.5 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -. 51.5 | 20NO5W18ADD | 9/15 | F/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | 8/11 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52.3 | 20 NO 5 W 22 ABA | 8/11 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52. $\frac{3}{}$ | 20N05W22ABA | 8/21 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | 8/21 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52.3 | 20M05 422 ABA | $8 / 21$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | 8121 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 52.3 | $20 \mathrm{NO} 5 \mathrm{~W} 22 \mathrm{ABA}$ | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\overline{0}$ |
| 52.3 | 20N05W22ABA | $9 / 12$ | E/S | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52.8 | 20N05W08DDB | $9 / 15$ | E/S | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53.5 | 20N05W04CCA | 9/15 | $E / S$ | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54.9 | 20N05W04ADB | 8/11 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54.9 | 20 NO 5 WO 4 ADB | 8111 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55.7 | $20 N 05$ W34CDA | 8/11 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}=$ Drift G111 Net: S/N = Set Gill Net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTINCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 55.7 | 21N05W34CDA | 8/19 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55.7 | 21N05W34CDA | 9/11 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55.7 | 21 NO5W34CDA | 9/11 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55.7 | 21NO5W34CDA | 9/11 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56.1 | 21 N05W34BCD | 8/19 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56.1 | 21N05W34BCD | 8/19 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56.1 | 21 NO 5 W 34 BCD | 8/19 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56.4 | 21NO5W34ABD | 9/14 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59.9 | 21N05W140BC | 8/11 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -59.9 | 21N05W140BC | $8 / 11$ | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 59.9 | 21N05W14DBC | 8/19 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -59.9 | 21NO5W14DBC | 8/19 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 59.9 | 21N05W14DBC | 8/19 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.2 | 21 N05W14CBA | 8/01 | S/N | 12 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.4 | 21N05WI4DBB | 8/01 | D/N | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -60.5 | 2]N05W14ACC | 8/11 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.5 | 2 1NO5W14ACC | 8/11 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 60.5 | 21 N05W14ACC | 8/11 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 60.5 | 21NO5W14ACC | $8 / 11$ | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -60.5 | 21N05W14ACC | 8/19 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 60.5 | 21 NO5W14ACC | $8 / 19$ | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -60.5 | 21N05W14ACC | 8/19 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.5 | 21N05W14ACC | 8/19 | D/N | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.5 | 21N05W14ACC | $9 / 11$ | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 60.5 | 21N05W14ACC | 9/11 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -60.6 | 21NO5W14AAB | $8 / 01$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61.1 | 21N05W13AAC | 9/21 | E/S | . 5 miles | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 61.6 | 21N05W12CDB | 8/10 | D/N | 1200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.0 | 21NO5W12CAB | $8 / 10$ | D/N | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 62.4 | 21NO5W12AAA | 9/03 | S/N | 15 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.5 | 21N05W12BAB | 8/10 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.5 | 21N05W12BAB | 9/03 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.5 | $21 \mathrm{NO5W12BAB}$ | 9/03 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.5 | 2]NO5W128AB | 8/21 | D/N | 200 | $\Omega$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; $D / N=\operatorname{Drift} G 111$ Net; $S / N=$ Set Gill Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER NILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISIANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 62.5 | 21N05W01CDA | 9/21 | E/S | 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62.7 | 21N05W010CB | 9103 | S/N | 38 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64.2 | 22N05W35CDA | 8/10 | $0 / \mathrm{N}$ | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64.4 | 22N05W36ADD | 9/03 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 64.4 | 22N05W36ADD | 9/2] | $D / N$ | 300 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -64.5 | 22NO4W31CBD | $9 / 03$ | S/N | 10 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 65.5 | 22N05W26CBB | 9/21 | E/S | . 25 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 68.3 | 22N05Wl3AAB | 9/03 | $5 / \mathrm{N}$ | 1 min. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| -69.2 | 22NO5W02DDA | 8/10 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -70.6 | 22N05WO2BBB | 8/10 | $0 / \mathrm{N}$ | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --70.6 | 22N05W010DB | 8/23 | S/N | 17 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 70.8 | 22N05W010CA | 8123 | D $\angle N$ | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 72.6 | 22N05N01DBB | 8/23 | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -71.7 | 23N04W30CCC | 7/31 | S/N | 14 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -73.0 | 23N05W26AAD | $8 / 10$ | S/N | 2 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| -73.0 | 23 NO 5 W 26 AAD | $8 / 20$ | S/N | 2 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| - 23.0 | 23 NO 5 WOGADB | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 73.0 | 23N05W250AA | $8 / 23$ | D/N | 1500 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| - 23.4 | 23N04W30BBC | 7/31 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| --73.4 | 23N04W30BBC | 8/10 | D/N | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --73.4 | 23 NO 04 H 30 BBC | $8 / 23$ | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| -- 23.4 | 23N04W30BBC | $9 / 02$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| --73.4 | 23M04W30BBC | 9/13 | $S / N$ | 40 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -74.8 | 23NO4W18CBC | $8 / 23$ | $5 / \mathrm{N}$ | 20 min . | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| - 25.0 | 23N05W13DRD | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| --75.0 | $23 \mathrm{NOAN18CBC}$ | 8/23 | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -75.0 | 23N04W18C8C | 9/02 | $S / N$ | 3 min. | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| -75.0 | 23 NO 5 W 13 ADB | 9/21 | E/S | - 5 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 75.0 | 23N05W13DBD | $9 / 21$ | E/S | .75 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 75.4 | 23N05W13ADC | 8/06 | S/N | 20 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - -75.4 | 23N05W13ADB | 8/06 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 75.4 | 23N05W13ADB | 8/20 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 75.4 | 23N05W] 3ADB | 9/04 | $\bar{S} / \mathrm{M}$ | 5 mfn . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76.2 | 23N04W07CDC | 8/20 | S/N | 34 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker: D/N = Drift Gill Net; $S / N=$ Set Gill Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 76.2 | 23N04W07CDC | 8/20 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76.2 | 23N04WO7CDC | $9 / 02$ | S/N | 13 min. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 76.5 | 23 N04W07BDC | 9/21 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76.6 | $23 \mathrm{NO} 04 \mathrm{WO7BBD}$ | 8/20 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76.8 | 23 N04W07ACC | 7/31 | D/N | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -76.8 | 23N04W07ACC | 8/10 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 76.8 | 23N04W07BBD | 9/21 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 76.8 | 23N04W07BED | $9 / 21$ | E/S | 400 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 76.8 | 23N04W07BED | $9 / 21$ | E/S | . 25 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 77.2 | 23N04W06DCA | 9/04 | S/N | 25 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $-\frac{77.2}{77}$ | 23NO4W06CCC | 9/21 | E/S | 5 miles | 0. | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| -77.2 | $23 \mathrm{NO} 4 \mathrm{WO6CCC}$ | 9/27 | E/S | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $77.2$ | 23N04W06CCC | 9/27 | $E / S$ | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $77.4$ | $23 N 04 W 06 D B A$ | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78.1 | 23N04W06BBC | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 2000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78.1 | 23N05WO1BAC | $8 / 20$ | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\square$ |
| -78.4 | 24 NO5W02AAD | B/01 | 5/N | 17 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $78.4$ | $24 \mathrm{NO} 5 \mathrm{~W} 02 \mathrm{AAD}$ | 8/06 | $5 / \mathrm{N}$ | 20 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 18.4 \end{array}$ | 24 NO 5 W 02 AAD | $8 / 20$ | S/N | 4 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| - 78.4 | 24N05W02AAB | 8/01 | 5/N | 49 min | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 78.4 | 24N05W02AAB | $8 / 06$ | S/V | 16 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 78.4 | $24 N 05102 \mathrm{ABR}$ | $8 / 20$ | S/N | 17 min | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0 |
| $78.9$ | 24N05W01BAC | 9/28 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $79.2$ | 24NO5W35ADC | 8/24 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 79.5 | 24NO5N36BCD | 8/13 | O/N | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -79.5 | 24NO5W36BCD | 8/24 | O/N | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -79.5 | $24 N 05 W 36 B C D$ | $8 / 24$ | O/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -79.8 | 24NO5W36BBD | 8/13 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -79.9 | 24NO5W26DCB | $8 / 14$ | D/N | 200. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $-80.2$ | 24N05N26ACA | 8/19 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 80.2 | 24NO5W26ACA | 8/24 | O/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 80.5 80.9 | 24NO5W26ACB | $8 / 24$ | S/N | 30 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $-80.9$ | 24N05W25BBD | 8/14 | D/N | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1/ Methoos Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; $S / N=$ Set Gill Net <br> 2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 81.2 | 24N05H24BBB | $8 / 24$ | S/N | 7 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.2 | 24NO5W24CCC | $8 / 24$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 81.2 | 24 NO 524 CCO | 9/23 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.3 | 24N05W25BAB | 9/05 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.4 | 24N05W23DAD | 8/14 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.6 | 24N05W24CDD | 8/13 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 81.6 | 24N05W25CCA | 8/24 | D/N | 500. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.6 | 24N05W230BB | 9/22 | $E / S$ | . 5 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.6 | 24N05W24CDD | $9 / 22$ | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 81.7 | 24 N05W2 3DBB | 8/24 | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| -82.3 | 24 NO5W22BDA | 8/14 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82.3 | 24005 W 22 BDA | 8/24 | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 82.3 | 24N05W22BDA | 9/12 | D/ | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 82. 3 | 24N05W22BDA | $9 / 20$ | D/N | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -82.6 | 24NO5W22BAA | 9/12 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -82. 7 | 24N05W22BAC | $9 / 12$ | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 82.7 | 24NO5W22BAC | 9/20 | D/N | 500. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 83.3 | $24 N 05 W 15 B C C$ | $8 / 24$ | S/4 | 4 min. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -83.3 | 24NO5W15BCC | 9/05 | S/N | 5 min. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| - 83.5 | 24N05WI5CAR | 8/30 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -0 |
| 83.5 | 24N05W15BCA | 9/12 | S/N | 27 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 84.5 | 24N05W14BBB | 9/27 | F/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 85.9 | 24N05W12BBB | 9/27 | E/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -86.0 | 24N05W12CCA | 9/23 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 86.4 | 24N05W010AA | $8 / 14$ | S/N | 15 min . | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| -86.4 | 24N05W01DCD | $8 / 14$ | S/N | 12 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87.7 | 25N05W36CBA | 9/27 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 88.2 | 25NO5W36ADA | 9/27 | E/S | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 88.4 | $25 \mathrm{N05W} 36 \mathrm{BAB}$ | 9/27 | F/S | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 88.4 | 25N05W36BAB | 9/27 | E/S | 50 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - 89.0 | 25N05W25CDA | $9 / 27$ | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 89.3 | 25MO5W26ADC | 9/27 | E/S | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 89.4 | 25N05W26ADB | 9/27 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90.5 | 25N05W150CD | 9/27 | E/S | 550 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^7]Table EG-1. Continued.

| RIVER MILE | LEGAL | DATE | METHOD | DISTANCE | ADULT SALMON CATCH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE | PINK | CHUM | COHO |
| 92.0 | 25N05W738CC | 9/22 | E/S | . 5 miles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 92.2 | 25N05W138CC | 9/23 | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95.0 | 25N05W36BDC | 8/22 | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95.3 | 26N05H36ADC | $8 / 22$ | D/N | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 95.3 | 26N05W36ADC | $8 / 30$ | D/N | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95.8 | 26N05W36CAB | 8/22 | D/N | 1300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 96.8 | 26N05W25BAA | 9/02 | S/N | 13 min . | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 97.1 | 26N05 25 BDC | $8 / 30$ | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 99.5 | 26N05W110CD | 8/30 | D/N | 2000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100.2 | 26NO5WIICAD | $8 / 30$ | D/N | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100.5 | 26N05W02CDD | 8/22 | D/N | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100.6 | 26MO5HO2CCC | 8/22 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100.6 | 26N05W02CCC | 9/24 | S/N | 9 min . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 100.8 | 26N05W02BCB | 8/22 | D/N | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 101.0 | 26N05W02BED | 8/22 | O/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 102.0 | 27N05W35ACD | 8/30 | S/N | 10 min. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -104.4 | 27NO5W24CDC | $8 / 22$ | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . 1044.5 | 27N05W24CDC | 8/29 | D/N | 1600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -105.0 | $27 \mathrm{NO5H24BCA}$ | 8/22 | $0 / 1 /$ | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 105.2 | 27N05W24BED | 8/22 | D/N | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 110.0 | 28N05W30CBB | 9/23 | E/S | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -116.3 | 29N04W32BDC | 9/23 | $E / S$ | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $\therefore 117.7$ | 29NO4N21ABB | 9/23 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| -120.9 | 29NO4W10BAC | 9/22 | D/N | 150. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 120.9 | 29N04W10BAC | 9/23 | E/S | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 121.0 | 29N04W10BDB | 9/23 | E/S | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $12 \overline{3} .0$ | 30 NO 4 W 35 | 9/22 | D/N | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 127.2 | 30NO3W20ABD | 9/09 | D/N | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 128.2 | 30N03W16BCA | 9/22 | D/N | 200 | 0 | $a$ | 0 | 0 |
| 129.2 | 30 NO 3 W 20 B | 9/08 | D/N | 300 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 |
| 130.5 | 30NO3W108 | $9 / 08$ | D/N | 150. | 0. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 131.0 | $30 \mathrm{NO} 3 \mathrm{WO2AA}$ | 9/08 | D/N | 5 miles | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 |
| 131.1 | 30NO3W03DA | $9 / 07$ | D/N | 1 mile | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 132.0 | $31 \mathrm{NO} 2 \mathrm{WO2ABA}$ | 9/24 | E/S | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift Gill Net; S/N = Set Gill Net <br> 2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table EG-1. Continued.


1/ Methods Noted: E/S = Electroshocker; D/N = Drift G111 Net; S/N = Set G111 Net
2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

APPENDIX EH MAINSTEM SUSITNA RIVER SPAWNING SITE MAPS


Figure EH-1. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 68.3 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-2. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 76.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investiqations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-3. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 83.3 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-4. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 92.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-5. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 96.8 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-6. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 97.0 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-7. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 100.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-8. Mainstem Susitna River coho salmon spawning area at RM 117.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-9. Mainstem Susitna River chum and coho salmon spawning area at RM 129.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-10. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 130.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-11. Mainstem Susitna River chum saimon spawning area at RM 131.1 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EH-12. Mainstem Susitna River chum salmon spawning area at RM 135.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Inyestigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

APPENDIX EI
MAPS OF NEWLY INTRODUCED CREEKS AND SLOUGHS


Figure EI-1. Gash Creek located at RM 111.6 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EI-2. Lower McKenzie Creek located at RM 116.2 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EI-3. Moose Slough located at RM 123.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EI-4. Slough Al located at RM 124.6 and Skull Creek located at RM 124.7 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EI-6. Slough 21A located at RM 145.5 approximately, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

# APPENDIX EJ <br> ESCAPEMENT SURVEYS OF STREAMS AND SLOUGHS AND 

TAGGED/UNTAGGED RATIOS FROM SPAWNING GROUND SURVEYS AND FISHWHEEL CATCHES

Table EJ-1. Escapement surveys conducted on Susitna River sloughs between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| SLOUGH <br> NO. / NAME | RIVER MILE | DATE | SURVEY CONDITIONS | PERCENT SURVEYED | ADULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | total |
| Slough 1 | 99.6 | 8/21 | Poor | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
|  |  | 9/16 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 2 | 100.4 |  | Poor | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 21$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | 9/6 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 27 |
|  |  | 9/16 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Slough 38 | 101.4 |  | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/6 | Excellent | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/17 | Excellent | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Good | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 3A | 101.9 | 8/4 | Excellent | 100 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Fair | 100 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Excellent | 100 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/6 | Fair | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/17 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Good | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Fair | .100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| SLOUGH <br> NO. /NAME | RIVER MILE | DATE | SURVEY CONDITIONS | PERCENTSURVEYED | AdULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | total | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 4 | 105.? | 8/4 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 11$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 22$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/6 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/16 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $10 / 2$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 4 | 105.2 | 8/4 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 22$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Poor | 100 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/16 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 5 | 107.2 | $8 / 7$ | Good | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 19$ | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/25 | Good | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/28 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/22 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 6 | 108.2 | 8/7 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/19 | Fatr | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 23$ | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/28 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/22 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| SLOUGH NO. /NAME | RIVER MILE | DATE | SURVEY CONDITIONS | PERCENT SURVEYED | AdULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 6A | 112.3 | 8/19 | Good | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | 8/23 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Fair | 100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | 9/22 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 7 | 113.2 | $8 / 7$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/19 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 8 | 113.7 | 8/7 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 9$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 25 | 219 | 49 | 268 |
|  |  | 9/5 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 105 | 302 |
|  |  | 9/13 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 105 | 151 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 96 |
|  |  | 9/28 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| Slough 80 | 121.8 | $8 / 1$ | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 7$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 20$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough BC | 121.9 | 8/1 | Good | 100 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/7 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 20$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| SLOUGH <br> NO./NAME | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIVER } \\ & \text { MILE } \end{aligned}$ | DATE | SURVEY CONDITIONS | PERCENT <br> SURVEYED | ADULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEVE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | total | LIVE | DEAD | total | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 8B | 122.2 | 8/1 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 8/7 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moose Slough | 123.5 | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 3 | 139 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91. | 76 | 167 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 133 | 153 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 78 | 92 |
|  |  | 9/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Slough $A^{1}$ | 124.6 | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 39 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 18 | 140 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 57 | 92 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 34 |
| Slough A | 124.7 | 8/7 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | B/19 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 26 |
|  |  | $8 / 27$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 8 | 34 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 10 | 23 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Slough 8A | 125.7 | $8 / 7$ | Excellent | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 100 | 170 | 7 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 290 | 620 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 100 | 87 | 18 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 258 | 311 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 100 | 23 | 15 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
|  |  | 9/27 | Excellent | 100 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |




Table EJ-1. Continued.


Table EJ-1. Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLOUGH } \\ & \text { NO./NAME } \end{aligned}$ | RIVER MILE | DATE | SURVEY CONOITIONS | PERCENT <br> SURVEYED | ADULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | total | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 11 | 135.3 | 7/31 | Excellent | 100 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 8/6 | Fair | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Excellent | 100 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 8/22 | Excellent | 100 | 258 | 1 | 259 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 6 | 282 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 373 | 5 | 378 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 8 | 411 |
|  |  | 9/1 | Excellent | 100 | 610 | 25 | 635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 26 | 384 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 100 | 710 | 183 | 893 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 181. | 162 | 343 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 100 | 468 | 338 | 806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 274 | 306 |
|  |  |  | Excellent | 100 | 270 | 333 | 603 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 32 |
| Slough 12 | 135.4 | 7/31 | Poor | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/6 | Poar | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excell lent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 13 | 135.7 | 7/31 | Poor | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/6 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | $9 / 11$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 14 | 135.9 | 7/31 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/6 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 20$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| SLOUGH <br> No. / NAME | RIVER MILE | DATE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { CONDITIONS } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENT SURVEYED | ADULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | total | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 14 Cont'd. | 135.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 19 \\ & 9 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent Excellent | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Slough 15 | 137.2 | 7/31 | Good | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/6 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 21$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 16 | 137.3 |  | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 10$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 17 | 138.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8/10 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Excellent | 75 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 33 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 38 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 100 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 7 | 37 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 100 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 30 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 100 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLOUGH } \\ & \text { NO./NAME } \end{aligned}$ | RIVERMILE | DATE | SURVEY CONDITIONS | PERCENT <br> SURVEYED | Adult salmon counts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | OEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 18 | 139.1 | 8/6 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 19 | 139.7 | 8/6 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Fair | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Excellent | 100 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 100 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 100 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 100 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 100 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 20 | 140.1 | ${ }^{8 / 6}$ | Poor |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 10$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 100 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 14 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slough 21 | 141.0 |  | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 10$ | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/2i | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 50 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 13 | 169 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 75 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 | 4 | 274 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 100 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 2 | 136 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excell lent | 100 | 32 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 24 | 67 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 100 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-1. Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SLOUGH } \\ & \text { NO./NAME } \end{aligned}$ | RIVER MILE | DATE | $\begin{gathered} \text { SURVEY } \\ \text { CONDITIONS } \end{gathered}$ | PERCENT <br> SURVEYED | AdULT SALMON COUNTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEVE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Slough 21A | 145.5 | 8/26 | Poor | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
|  |  | $9 / 2$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | $9 / 11$ | Excellent | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |

Table EJ-2. Escapement survey counts of Susitna River tributary streams between Chulitna River and Devil Canyon, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| Stream | RIVERMILE | DATE | RIVER CONDITIONS | SURVEY <br> DISTANCE (MILES) | ADULT SALMON COUNTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  | СОНО |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | dead | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Whiskers Creek | 101.4 | $8 / 5$ | Poor | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Poor | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Fair | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 43 |
|  |  | B/29 | Good | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 50 |
|  |  | 9/6 | Good | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
|  |  | 9/17 | Fair | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Good | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Good | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 11 |
| Chase Creek | 106.9 | 8/4 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/911 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
|  |  | $8 / 17$ | Fair | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/23 | Excellent | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 49 |
|  |  | 9/7 | Excellent | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 79 | 1 | 80 |
|  |  | 9/14 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 60 | 2 | 62 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 34 |
|  |  | 10/2 | Good | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 21 |
| 4th of July Creek | 131.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $8 / 7$ | Fair | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 88 | 2 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 29 | 46 | 20 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/1 | Excellent | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/25 | Excellent | . 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Gold <br> Creek | 136.7 | 8/25 | Fair | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-2. Continued.

| Stream | RIVER MILE | DATE | RIVER CONDITIONS | SURVEY DISTANCE (MILES) | Adult salmon counted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  | СОНО |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL |
| Lower McKenzie Creek | 116.2 | 8/23 | Excellent | . 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 56 | 0 | 56 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/5 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/13 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  | 9/28 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| McKenzie Creek | 116.7 | 8/11 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/23 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deadhorse | 120.9 | 8/11 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/25 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5th of July Creek | 123.7 | 8/11 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Skull <br> Creek | 124.7 | 8/20 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 11$ | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sherman Creek | 130.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 7$ | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/11 | Excellent | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/20 | Excellent | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/25 | Excellent | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table EJ-2. Continued.

| STREAM | RIVER MILE | date | RIVER CONDITIONS | SURYEY dISTANCE (MILES) | AdULT SALMON COUNTED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SOCKEYE |  |  | PINK |  |  | CHUM |  |  | COHO |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | TOTAL | LIVE | DEAD | total |
| Indian River | 138.6 | 8/6 | Excellent | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $8 / 10$ | Poar | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Fair | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Fair | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
|  |  | 9/15 | Good | 15.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 85 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Fair | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jack | 144.5 | $8 / 21$ | Poor | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Long |  | 8/26 | Excellent | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Excellent | . 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Portage Creek | 148.9 | 8/21 | Poor | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/15 | Fatr | 12.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|  |  | 9/24 | Good | . 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gash Creek | 111.6 |  | Excellent | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 141 |
|  |  | $9 / 28$ | Excellent | . 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 12 | 117 |
| Lane Creek | 113.6 | 8/19 | Fair | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/23 | Excellent | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 5 | 291 | 72 | 4 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8/29 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 17 | 43 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/5 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 7 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/13 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 9/28 | Excellent | . 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Table EJ-3. Sockeye salmon spawning ground surveys conducted on Susitna River sloughs and resultant tagged to untagged ratios. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Table EJ-3, Continued.

| LOCATION |  | SURVEY <br> date conditions |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA RIVER SURVEYED MILE |  |  |  | TAGGED $(n)$ UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) RATIO(c/r) |  |  |  |  | TAGGED(r) UNTAGGED TOTAL(c) RATIO(c/r) |  |  |  | TAGGED(r) UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) RATIO(c/r) |  |  |  |
| Slough 9A | 133.3 | 8/27 | Excellent | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excell ${ }^{\text {ent }}$ | 0 | 2 | 2 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Slough 11 | 135.3 | 8/6 | Fair | 6 | 94 | 100 |  | 16.7 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0.0 | 15 | 85 | 100 | 6.7 |
|  |  | 8/10 | Excellent | 3 | 47 | 50 |  | 16.7 | 4 | 46 | 50 | 12.5 | 9 | 41 | 50 | 5.6 |
|  |  | 8/22 | Excellent | 76 | 242 | 258 |  | 16.1 | 17 | 241 | 258 | 15.2 | 41 | 217 | 258 | 6.3 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 26 | 347 | 373 |  | 14.3 | 32 | 341 | 373 | 11.7 | 64 | 309 | 373 | 5.8 |
|  |  | $9 / 1$ | Excellent | 39 | 571 | 610 |  | 15.6 | 49 | 561 | 610 | 12.4 | 72 | 538 | 610 | 8.4 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 36 | 674 | 710 |  | 19.7 | 44 | 666 | 710 | 16.1 | 80 | 630 | 710 | 8.9 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 35 | 433 | 468 |  | 13.4 | 22 | 446 | 468 | 21.3 | 55 | 413 | 468 | 8.5 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 16 | 254 | 270 |  | 16.9 | 14 | 256 | 270 | 19.3 | 25 | 245 | 270 | 10.8 |
| Slough 17 | 138.9 | 8/21 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 0 | 5 | 5 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 0 | 6 | 6 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0.0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3.0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.0 |
| Slough 19 | 139.7 | 8/21 | Excellent | 0 | 13 | 13 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 13.0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 6.5 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 10 | 10 | 20 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0.0 | 1 | 19 | 20 | 20.0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 0 | 23 | 23 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0.0 | 1 | 22 | 23 | 23.0 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 0 | 12 | 12 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0.0 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 12.0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 0 | 8 | 8 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0.0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 0 | 4 | 4 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4.0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Slough 21 |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 7 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 4 | 22 | 26 |  | 6.5 | 4 | 22 | 26 | 6.5 | 6 | 20 | 26 | 4.3 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 2 | 36 | 38 |  | 19.0 | 5 | 33 | 38 | 7.6 | 5 | 33 | 38 | 7.6 |
|  |  | $9 / 19$ | Excellent | $2$ | $30$ | $32$ |  | $16.0$ | $4$ | 28 | 32 | 8.0 | 3 | 29 | 32 | $10.7$ |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 0 | 3 | 3 |  | 0.0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3.0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 |

Table EJ-4. Pink salmon spawning ground surveys conducted on Susitna River sloughs and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| LOCATION |  | DATE | SURVEYCONDITIONS | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIVER } \\ & \text { MILE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL(c) | RAT10(c/r) | TAGGED( r ) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) |
| Slough 3A | 101.4 | 8/21 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sloug̣h 8 | 113.7 | 8/29 | Excellent | 2 | 11 | 13 | 6.5 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 6.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Slough A | 124.7 | 8/19 | Excellent | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 |

Table EJ-5. Chum salmon spawning ground surveys conducted on Susitna River sloughs and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Table EJ-5. Continued.

| LOCATION |  | SURVEY <br> DATE CONDITIONS |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | RIVER <br> MILE |  |  | TAGGED( $r$ ) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL(c) | RAT10(c/r) | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL(c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED( $r$ ) | INTAGGED | TOTAL.(c) | RAT $10(\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r})$ |
| Moose Slough | 123.5 | 8/27 | Excellent | 2 | 134 | 136 | 68.0 | 0 | 136 | 136 | 0.0 | 19 | 117 | 136 | 7.2 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0.0 | 2 | 18 | 20 | 10.0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 0 | 14 | 14 | 0.0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 0.0 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 14.0 |
|  |  | 9/27 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Slough ${ }^{1}$ | 124.6 | 8/27 | Excellent | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0 | 1 | 25 | 26 | 26.0 | 2 | 24 | 26 | 13.0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 8 | 114 | 122 | 18.0 | 3 | 119 | 122 | 40.7 | 4 | 118 | 122 | 30.5 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 2 | 33 | 35 | 17.5 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0.0 | 4 | 31 | 35 | 8.8 |
| Slough A | 124.7 | 8/7 | Excellent | 2 | 18 | 20 | 10.0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0.0 | 1 | 19 | 20 | 20.0 |
|  |  | B/19 | Excellent | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0.0 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 24.0 | 2 | 22 | 24 | 12.0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 3.7 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 1 | 12 | 13 | 13.0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 6.5 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 0.0 |
| \$lough 8A | 125.1 | 8/7 | Excellent | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0.0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0.0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 6 | 324 | 330 | 55.0 | 5 | 325 | 330 | 66.0 | 27 | 303 | 330 | 12.2 |
|  |  | $9 / 12$ | Excellent | 1 | 52 | 53 | 53.0 | 0 | 53 | 53 | 0.0 | 4 | 49 | 53 | 13.3 |
|  |  | 9/21 | Excellent | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 6 | 6. | 0.0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3.0 |
| Slough 9 | 128.3 | 8/11 | Fair |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 5 | 5 | 0.0 |  | 5 | 5 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 3 | 209 | 212 | 70.7 | 10 | 202 | 212 | 21.2 | 29 | 183 | 212 | 7.3 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 0 | 38 | 38 | 0.0 | $1$ | 37 | 38 | 38.0 | $2$ | 36 | 38 | 19.0 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |

Table EJ-5, Continued.

| LOCATION |  | SURVEY <br> DATE CONDITIONS |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIVER } \\ & \text { MILE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TAGGED (r) UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) RATIO(c/r) |  |  |  | TAGGED ( V ) UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) RATIO(c/r |  |  |  | TAGGED( r ) UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) RATIO( $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r})$ |  |  |  |
| Slough 9B | 129.2 | 8/11 | Excellent | 2 | 56 | 58 | 29.0 | 2 | 56 | 58 | 29.0 | 2 | 56 | 58 | 29.0 |
|  |  | 8/23 | Excellent | 2 | 81 | 83 | 41.5 | 2 | 81 | 83 | 41.5 | 7 | 76 | 83 | 11.9 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 0 | 67 | 67 | 0.0 | 6 | 61 | 67 | 11.2 | 8 | 59 | 67 | 8.4 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 0 | 41 | 41 | 0.0 | 3 | 38 | 41 | 13.7 | 4 | 37 | 41 | 10.3 |
|  |  | 9/12 | Excellent | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0.0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0.0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Slough 9A | 133.3 | 8/27 | Excellent | 0 | 77 | 77 | 0.0 | 2 | 75 | 77 | 38.5 | 9 | 68 | 77 | 8.6 |
|  |  | 9/4 | Excellent | 0 | 26 | - 26 | 0.0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 4 | 132 | 136 | 34.0 | 5 | 131 | 136 | 27.2 | 0 | 136 | 136 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/27 | Excellent | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0.0 | 3 | 32 | 35 | 11.7 | 2 | 33 | 35 | 17.5 |
| Slough 11 | 135.3 | 8/22 | Excellent | 5 | 271 | 276 | 55.2 | 7 | 269 | 276 | 39.4 | 23 | 253 | 276 | 12.0 |
|  |  | 8/27 | Excellent | 3 | 400 | 403 | 134.3 | 10 | 393 | 403 | 40.3 | 33 | 370 | 403 | 12.2 |
|  |  | 9/1 | Excellent | 5 | 353 | 358 | 71.6 | 12 | 346 | 358 | 29.8 | 30 | 328 | 358 | 12.0 |
|  |  | $9 / 11$ | Excellent | 3 | 178 | 181 | 60.3 | 6 | 175 | 181 | 30.1 | 14 | 167 | 181 | 12.9 |
|  |  | 9/20 | Excellent | 1 | 31 | 32 | 32.0 | 3 | 29 | 32 | 10.7 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 9/26 | Excellent | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 |
| Slough 13 | 135.7 | 9/4 | Fair | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 |
| Slough 15 | 137.2 | 8/26 | Excellent | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |

Table EJr5. Continued.

| LOCATION |  | DATE CONDITIONS |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | RIVER MILE |  |  | TAGGED (r) | UNTAGGE | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED | UNTAGGE | TOTAL | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGE | UNTAG | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) |
| Slough 17 | 138,9 | 8/6 | Excellent | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0.0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0.0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0.0 |
|  |  | 8/21 | Excellent | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0.0 | 3 | 29 | 32 | 10.7 | 1 | 31 | 32 | 32.0 |
|  |  | 8/26 | Excellent | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0.0 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0.0 | 1 | 35 | 36 | 36.0 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 1 | 29 | 30 | 30.0 | 2 | 28 | 30 | 15.0 | 1 | 29 | 30 | 30.0 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 1 | 16 | 17 | 17.0 | 2 | 15 | 17 | 8.5 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 17.0 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 0 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 2 |  | 2.0 |
| Slough 19 | 139.7 | 8/21 | Excellent | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Slough 21 | 140.0 | 8/26 | Excellent | 2 | 154 | 156 | 78.0 | 9 | 147 | 156 | 17.3 | 20 | 136 | 156 | 7.8 |
|  |  | 9/3 | Excellent | 1 | 269 | 270 | 270.0 | 7 | 263 | 270 | 38.6 | 26 | 244 | 270 | 10.4 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 0 | 134 | 134 | 0.0 | 3 | 131. | 134 | 44.7 | 11 | 123 | 134 | 12.2 |
|  |  | 9/19 | Excellent | 0 | 43 | 43 | 0.0 | 4 | 39 | 43 | 10.8 | 2 | 41 | 43 | 21.5 |
| Slough 21A | 145.5 | 9/2 | Excellent | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0.0 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 8.0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4.0 |
|  |  | 9/11 | Excellent | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0.0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5.0 |  | 4 | 5 | 5.0 |

Table EJ-6. Sockeye salmon spawning ground surveys of selected tributaries and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


I/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

Table EJ-7. Pink salmon spawning ground suryeys of selected tributaries and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| LOCATION |  |  | SURVEY CONDITIONS | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | talkeetna tags |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RIVER } \\ & \text { MILE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | TAGGED (r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED( r$)$ | UNTAGGED | TOTAL(c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED( r ) | UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) |
| Answer Creek | 84.1 | 8/31 | Good | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Creek (lower) | 88.4 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 / 5 \\ 8 / 19 \\ 8 / 25 \end{array}\right\|$ | Good Good Good | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 220 \\ 105 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 720 \\ & 752 \\ & 728 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 789 \\ & 972 \\ & 833 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11.4 \\ 4.4 \\ 7.9 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Creek (upper) | 88.4 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 / 8 \\ 8 / 19 \\ 8 / 25 \end{array}\right\|$ | Good Fair Good | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 129 \\ 67 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 190 \\ & 727 \\ & 738 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 202 \\ & 856 \\ & 805 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ 6.6 \\ 12.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Creek | 97.1 | 8/22 | Good | 61 | 547 | 608 | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Troublesome Creek | 97.8 | 8/26 | Good | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Byers Creek | 97.8 | 8/26 | Excellent | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chase Creek | 106.9 | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 4 \\ 8 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Excellent } \\ & \text { Good } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 34 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 9.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 19.0 \end{array}$ |  | - |  |
| Lane Creek | 113.6 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} 8 / 19 \\ 8 / 23 \\ 8 / 29 \end{array}\right\|$ | Fair Excellent Excellent | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 26 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 49 \\ 265 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 291 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3 \\ & 11.2 \\ & 13.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 31 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43 \\ 260 \\ 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 53 \\ 291 \\ 26 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.3 \\ 9,4 \\ 26.0 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |

1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

Table EJ-8. Chum salmon spawning ground surveys of selected tributaries and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


[^8]Table EJ-8. Continued.


1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

Table EJ-9. Coho salmon spawning ground surveys of selected tributaries and resultant tagged to untagged ratios, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| LOCATION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { DATE CONDITIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | RIVERI MILE |  |  | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED(r.) | UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) |
| Answer Creek | 84.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 9 \\ & 9 / 18 \\ & 9 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | ```Good``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 34 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 42 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.0 \\ & 5.3 \\ & 5.7 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Question Creek | 84.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 9 \\ & 9 / 18 \\ & 9 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | Good Good Fair | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 19 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 188 \\ 209 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 207 \\ 230 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.0 \\ & 10.9 \\ & 11.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Creek (lower) | 88.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 19 \\ & 8 / 25 \\ & 9 / 8 \\ & 9 / 18 \\ & 9 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | Fair Good Good Fair Fair | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 44 \\ 5 \\ 9 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 81 \\ 14 \\ 24 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 125 \\ 19 \\ 33 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 4.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birch Creek (upper) | 88.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 18 \\ & 9 / 19 \\ & 9 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | Good Fair Fair | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 19 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 41 102 34 | 53 121 40 | 4.4 6.4 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unnamed Stream above Fish Lake | 88.4 | 9/25 | Good | 2 | 22 | 24 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trappers Creek | 91.5 | 9/25 | Fair | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0,0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cache Creak | 95.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 19 \\ & 9 / 28 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent Good | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 143 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

Table EJ-9. Continued.


1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

Table EJ-9. Continued.

| LOCATION |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { DATE CONDITIONS } \end{aligned}$ |  | SUNSHINE TAGS |  |  |  | TALKEETNA TAGS |  |  |  | CURRY TAGS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPAWNING AREA SURVEYED | RIVER! MILE |  |  | TAGGED(r) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED(r) | untagged | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) | TAGGED(1) | UNTAGGED | TOTAL (c) | RATIO(c/r) |
| Gash Creek | 111.6 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 9 / 23 \\ & 9 / 28 \end{aligned}\right.$ | Excellent <br> Excellent | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 127 \\ & 101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1 \\ & 26.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126 \\ 93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \\ & 8.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Creek | 113.6 | 9/21 | Excellent | 0 | 3 0 | 3 1 | 0.0 1.0 |  |  | 3 1 | 3.0 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lower McKenzie Creek | 116.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 23 \\ & 9 / 13 \\ & 9 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | Excellent Excellent Excellent | 3 1 1 | 53 5 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 18.7 6.0 2.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 50 6 2 | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | . |  |  |
| 4th of suly Creek | 131.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 7 \\ & 9 / 25 \end{aligned}$ | Fair <br> Excellent | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $1$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $1$ | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Indian River | 138,6 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 25 \\ & 9 / 11 \\ & 9 / 15 \\ & 9 / 19 \end{aligned}$ | Good <br> Fair Good Excellent | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 34 \\ 47 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 42 \\ 50 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 5.3 \\ 15.7 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 41 \\ 47 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 42 \\ 50 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 42.0 \\ 15.7 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5 \\ & 4 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 37 \\ 46 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 42 \\ 50 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 8.4 \\ 11.5 \\ 5.0 \end{array}$ |

1/ Confluence of these streams or their recelving waters with the Susitna River Malnstem.

Table EJ-10. Untagged to tagged ratios, by species, of fishwheel caught salmon at Talkeetna and Curry Stations, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro, 1981.

| SALMON SPECIES | FISHWHEEL CATCH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TALKEETNA STATION |  |  | CURRY STATION |  |  | CURRY STATION |  |  |
|  | Total Catch (c) | No. bearing Sunshine tags ( $r$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & (c / r) \end{aligned}$ | Total Catch (c) | No. bearing Sunshine tags ( $r$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & (\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{r}) \end{aligned}$ | Total Catch (c) | No. bearing Tal keetna tags ( $r$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ratio } \\ & (\mathrm{c} / r) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sockeye | 398 | 29 | 13.5 | 470 | 39 | 11.8 | 470 | 49 | 9.4 |
| Pink | 379 | 18 | 20.6 | 229 | 10 | 22.7 | 229 | 26 | 8.7 |
| Chum | 1,285 | 53 | 24.0 | 1,276 | 40 | 31.9 | 1,276 | 127 | 10.0 |
| Coho | 533 | 39 | 13.5 | 182 | 17 | 10.7 | 182 | 28 | 6.5 |

APPENDIX EK

CHUM AND COHO SALMON RADIO
TELEMETRY TRACKING REPORTS

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#660-1

On 10 August this male chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.9
(Figure EK-2). Within several hours this fish moved 1.9 miles downriver. Nineteen and six tenths (19.6) hours later, however, it had moved 8 miles upstream. This upstream movement was $\geq 0.41 \mathrm{mph}$. During the next eight hours the fish moved downstream about 0.8 mile. Within fifteen hours it had resumed upstream migration and was detected 5.4 miles upstream, at the mouth of Lane Creek (RM 113.6). The salmon remained there for at least three days and then began moving upstream. Sixty one hours later, on 18 August, it was found at RM 123.3; this upstream movement was $\geq 0.16$ mph. Within five days it had proceeded 18.7 miles upstream to the head of Slough 21 (RM 142.0), movement' to this location occurred at a rate $\geq 0.15 \mathrm{mph}$. Aerial surveys on 26 and 28 August indicated the fish was moving down slough 21. On 30 August


Figure EK-1. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 650-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-2. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 660-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Slough 21 was surveyed by foot. The functional radio transmitter was found about 20 feet from the water amongst the remains of the fish carcass. This fish was apparently captured by a predator.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#670-2

This female chum salmon was radio tagged on 12 August at RM 119.5 (Figure EK-3). It displayed very little movement following release. Within 2.4 hours it moved 0.2 miles upstream. Almost 21 hours later it was found 0.8 miles upstream at RM 120.5. Two days later it had dropped to RM 119.8, a position only 0.3 miles upstream from its release site. During the remainder of the season and a total of 27 more tracking fixes the fish stayed between RM 119.9 and 119.6. During this time it periodically moved between the east and west banks. Several attempts to recover the fish failed.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#680-2

On 6 August this male chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7 (Figure EK-4). Immediately upon release this chum salmon moved downriver; within 45 minutes it was 0.1 mile downstream. Less than 2 days later (42.5 hours), however, it had migrated 21.3 miles upstream to a position 3.3 miles up the Indian River (RM 138.6). Movement rate to this location was $\geq 0.50 \mathrm{mph}$. For the next ten days the fish was found between Indian River mile 3.3 and 2.4. On 23 August it had moved downstream to Indian RM 1.7. For the remainder of the season it was found between RM 1.8 and 1.1 of the Indian River.


Figure EK-3. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 670-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
SUSitna rivermile


Figure EK-4. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 680-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-5. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 680-3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-6. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 700-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

RM 98.6 in the Three Rivers Area (TRA) near the Chulitna-Susitna River confluence, about 20 miles downriver from its last known position. By 31 August the fish had moved into Slough S-14 (RM 96.9) on the west side of the Chulitna-Susitna confluence area. On 8 September the transmitter was recovered from the carcass along the bank of slough $s-14$, located at RM 96.9. Spawning condition could not be determined due to the advanced state of carcass decomposition.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#700-3

On 3 July this female chum salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.9
(Figure EK-7). After tagging this fish moved downstream and remained in the Susitna River at RM 99.5 , just above its confluence with the Chulitna River, until 6 August, a period of about one week. It then moved into the Chulitna River and was found on 8 August, 12 miles upriver of the TRA. Movement during this time was $\geq 0.24 \mathrm{mph}$. Ten days later the fish was found at RM 16.1 of the Chulitna River. During the remainder of the season this fish could not be found, probably due to transmitter failure; erratic transmitter signals were detected during the 6 and 7 August aerial tracking flights.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-2

Radio tagging of this female chum salmon occurred on 6 August at RM 102.9 (Figure EK-8). This fish displayed the most rapid upstream movement for radio tagged chum salmon. Inmediately upon release from tagging it proceeded upstream. One and nine tenths (1.9) hours later it was 1.9
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Figure EK－7．Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 700－3 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September，1981，Adult Anadromous Investigations，Su Hydro Studies， 1981.


Figure EK-8. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 710-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


#### Abstract

miles above the tagging site, a rate of 1.0 mph . Sixteen (16) hours later it was detected 2.2 miles above its previous position, a rate $\geq 0.14 \mathrm{mph}$. Thirty-two and one half (32.5) hours later, however, it was found 22.5 miles further upstream, a movement rate $\geq 0.68 \mathrm{mph}$. Between 10 August and 13 August the fish entered Slough 11 at RM 135.3. On 21 August it was detected by telemetry 0.4 mile up the slough at RM 135.7, excavating a redd. On 2 September the live fish was netted and necropsied. It had spawned, as indicated by the 22 eggs remaining in the coelum but the radio transmitter was not in the fish, as it was on 21 August. The operational transmitter was located 5 meters from the redd, in the water.


Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#720-1

This male chum salmon was radio tagged on 7 August at RM 120.7 (Figure EK-9). After release this fish proceeded upstream to RM 131.4, where it was found 32.3 hours later, a upstream movement rate $\geq 0.32 \mathrm{mph}$. Between 1727 hours on 8 August and 0812 hours on 10 August it moved downstream to RM 130.7, an area just below the Fourth of July Creek confluence (RM 131.0). For the remainder of the season the fish stayed within 0.2 mile of RM 130.7 . Between 10 August and 21 August it occupied positions along the west side of the mainstem Susitna River from RM 130.6 to 130.7. On 23 August it moved to the east side of the river near the confluence with Sherman Creek (RM 130.8). On 24 August it was observed in Sherman Creek, approximately 55 yards upstream of the confluence with the Susitna River. (RM 130.8). Between 26 August and 30 August it returned to the west shore of the Susitna River at 130.8. On 3 September the transmitter signal became weak. The transmitter was detected at RM $130.9 \pm 0.1$ mile for the remainder of the

$$
E K-13
$$

ヨาาw女ヨaiy $\forall$ Nilsns


Figure EK－9．Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 720－1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September，1981，Adult Anadromous Investigations，Su Hydro Studies， 1981.
summer. On 18 September the transmitter was recovered at RM 130.9; it was found about 15 yards inland from the west shoreline. A few pieces of fish carcass were scattered near the tag indicating a probable predator kill. Spawning condition could not be determined.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#730-2

Radio tagging of this male chum salmon occurred at RM 102.9 on 6 August (Figure EK-10). Upon release this fish moved 0.7 miles downstream within 10 minutes. Forty-seven and nine-tenths (47.9) hours later on 8 August, however, it was detected 18 miles upstream at RM 120.3, a movement rate $\geq 0.38 \mathrm{mph}$. During the next 7 days it progressed 6.7 miles upstream to RM 120.7, where it last detected on 15 August. On 18 August and thereafter the signal could not be detected. Extensive efforts during the remainder of the season to locate this fish were unsuccessful.

Chum Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#740-1

This female chum salmon was radio tagged at $R M 119.5$ on 11 August (Figure EK-Il). Within 1.3 hours of release this fish moved 1.4 miles downriver. Less than a day later it had moved an additional 0.3 miles downriver. On 13 August, however, it had begun moving upstream and was found at RM 12l.7, 2.2 miles above the release site. On 15 August it was detected at RM 121.1 and was consistently encountered there through the field season. However, on 29 August this fish was briefly examined in Moose Slough at Susitna RM 123.5; the fish was without the transmitter
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Figure EK-10. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 730-2 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-11. Movement of radio tagged chum salmon transmitter number 740-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
and identified by it's Peterson disc tag number (A-333). It had regurgitated the radio transmitter, which was located at $R M 121.1$. Of 4 September the fish was found dead in Moose Slough. It was necropsied and determined to be spawned-out. The transmitter continued to emit weak signals at RM 121.1 for the remainder of the season. Numerous attempts to retrieve the tag failed.

Complete radio-tagged chum salmon movement data are shown on Table EK-l.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#650-1

Fish 650-1 was tagged on 3 September at RM 120.7 (Figure EK-12). This coho salmon progressively moved downriver and eventually entered the Talkeetna River between 4 and 11 September. Six hours after being released it was detected at RM 116.1. The following day, 4 September at 1450h, it was located at RM 107.0; about 6 hours later it was detected downriver at $R M$ 102.5. An overflight on 11 September detected the fish in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) at RM 2.7. Subsequent overflights on the 13 and 16 September detected the individual at RM 2.7 and 3.2 , respectively.

Sometime between 16 and 18 September this coho salmon departed the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) and moved upstream the Susitna River. The individual apparently remained in the Talkeetna River at or near RM 2.7 on 17 September, as it was not detected by boat while tracking round trip along the lower 0.75 mile of the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) and the Susitna River from RM 96.8 to 120.8. However, the next day, 18 September,

Table EK-1. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult chum salmon during July, August and September, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

| $\frac{\text { Date }}{\text { Location(R.M.)/Time }}$ |  | B-7-81 | 8-8-81 | 8-10-81 | 8-13-81 | 8-15-81 | 8-18-81 | 8-23-81 | 8-26-81 | 8-28-81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 119.5/0753 | 133.8/1728 | 138.910831 | 11.3/1434 | I 1.1/1927 | $12.1 / 0844$ | I 1.2/1025 | 11.2/1029 | 11.1/1232 |
| Oistance moved(mi) , |  | (Tagged and | . 14.3 | 5.1 | -0.3,+1.3=1.6 | -0.2 | 1.0 | -0.9 | 0 | -0.1 |
| Time Elapsed (hr) |  | released) | 33.6 | 39.0 | 78.0 | 53.5 | 61.3 | 121.7 | 72.0 | 50.0 |
| Rate of movement (mph |  |  | . 426 | .130 | . 020 | -. 004 | . 016 | -. 007 | 0. | -. 002 |
| 650-3 | 8-31-81 | 9-3-81 | 9-5-81. | 9-8-91 | 9-11-81 | 9-13-81 | 9-16-8l | 9-20-81 | 9-23-81 | 9-30-81 |
|  | $11.0 / 1855$ | $11.0 / 1941$ | $10.9 / 1504$ | $10.8 / 1149$ | $10.5 / 1617$ | 10.501525 | $10.8 / 1034$ | $10.6 / 1406$ | $10.6 / 0836$ | $10.6 / 1137$ |
|  | -0.1 | 0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0 | $+0.3$ | -0.2 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 78.4 | 72.8 | 43.4 | 68.7 | 76.3 | 47.1 | 67.5 | 99.5 | 69.5 | 171.0 |
|  | -. 001 | 0 | -. 002 | -. 001 | -. 004 | 0 | . 004 | -. 002 | 0 | 0 |
| 660-1 | 8-10-81 | 8-10-81 | 8-11-81 | 8-11-81 | 8-12-81 | 8-13-81 | 8-15-81 | 8-18-81 | 8-23-81 | $\frac{8-26-81}{141.9 / 1044}$ |
|  | 102.9/1700 | 101.0/2045 | 109.0/1240 | 108.2/2100 | 113.6/1207 | 113.6/142? | 113.6/1918 | 123.3/0837 | 142.0/1041 |  |
|  | (Tagged and | -1.9 | B. 0 | -0.8 | 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 9.7 | 18.7 | -0.1 |
|  | Released) | 3.7 | 19.6 | 8.3 | 15.1 | 26.3 | 53.0 | 61.3 | 122.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 72.0 \\ & \hline-.001 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | -. 513 | 408 | -. 096 | . 358 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 153 |  |
|  | 8-28-81 | 8-30-81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 141.7/1309 | 141.7/1830 | Recovered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.2 | 0 | fish on |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.4 | 53.3 | 8-30-81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -. 004 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 670-2 | 8-12-81 | 8-12-81 | 8-13-81 | B-15-81 | 8-18-81 | 8-20-81 | 8-21-81 | 8-23-81 | 8-26-81 | 8-28-81 |
|  | $119.5 / 1513$ | 119.7/1735 | 120.5/1425 | 119.8/1921 | 119.8/0834 | 119.8/1600 | 119.8/1700 | 119.8/1016 | 119.9/1020 | -119.9/1224 |
|  | (Tagged and | 0.2 | 0.8 | -0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | - 0 |
| Cont'd next page | released) | 2.4 | 20.9 | 52.9 | 61.2 | 55.4 | 25 | 41.3 | 72.1 | 50.1 |
|  |  | . 083 | . 038 | -. 001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 001 |  |
|  | 8-29-81 | 8-30-81 | 8-31-81 | 8-31-81 | 9-1-81 | 9-2-81 | 9-3-81 | 9-3-81 | 9-4-81 | 9-5-81 |
|  | 119.9/1800 | 119.9/1030 | 119.6/1030 | 119.6/1845 | 119.6/1630 | 119.6/1900 | 119.6/1648 | 119.6/1928 | 119.6/1730 | 119.3/1458 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -0.3 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | - 0 _ | .. 0 | 0 | -0.3 |
|  | 29.7 | 16.5 | 24 | 8.2 | 21.1 | 26.5 | . 21.8 | 2.7 | 22.0 | 21.5 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -. 012 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -. 014 |

- = downstream movement
$+=$ upstream movement

Time recorded using 24 hour clock
Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted.
Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth ( 0.1 ) hour.

Table EK-1. Continued.


Table EK-1. Continued.

| Tag Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date |  |  | 9-13-81 | 9-16-81 | 9-20-81 | 9-23-81 | 9-30-81 |  |  |  |
| Location(R.M.)/Time |  | 680-3 | 132.5/1522 | 132.5/1027 | 132.5/1402 | 132.5/0834 | 132.5/1130 |  |  |  |
| Distance moved(my) |  |  | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ':- |  |
| Time_Elapsed(hr) |  | Continued | 47.1 | 67.1 | 99.6 | 66.5 | 170.9 |  |  |  |
| Rate of movement(mph |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 700-1 | 8-12-81 | 8-12-81 | 8-13-81 | 8-23-81 | 8-31-81 | 9-3-81 | 9-5-81 | 9-8-81 |  |  |
|  | 119.5/1430 | 119.3/1740 | 119.8/1515 | 98.641133 | $98.0 / 1920$ | $97.6 / 1914$ | 97.6/1435 | 97.6/1724 | Recoyered |  |
|  | (Tagged and | -0.2 | 0.5 | -21.2 | -0.6 | -0.4 | 0 | 0 | tag on |  |
|  | released) | 3.2 | 21.6 | 236.3 | 119.8 | 71.9 | 43.3 | 74.8 | 9-8-81 |  |
|  |  | -. 062 | . 023 | . 090 | -. 003 | -. 006 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 700-3 | 7-30-81 | 7-30-81 | 8-5-81 | 8-6-81 | 8-8-81 | 8-18-81 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 102.9/1250 | 102.9/2004 | 99.5/1341 | 92.9/1150 | Ch.12.0/11802 | ch 16.1/0945 | No Signal |  |  |  |
|  | (Tagged and | 0 | -3.4 | 0.4 | -1.3, +12.0 2 13.3 | 4.1 | detected |  |  |  |
|  | released) | 7.2 | 120.8 | 22.1 | 54.2 | 231.7 | after |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | . 028 | . 018 | 245 | . 018 | 8-18-81 |  |  |  |
| 710-2 | 8-6-81 | 8-6-81 | 8-7-81 | 8-8-81 | 8-10-81 | 8-13-81 | 8-15-81 | 8-18-83 | 8-21-81 | 8-23-81. |
|  | 102.9/1448 | 104,8/1645 | 107.0/0854 | 129.2/1726 | --132.5/0813 | 135.7/1431 | 135.7/1928 | 135.7/0842 | 135.8/1427 | 135:8/1024 |
|  | (Tagged and | 1.9 | 2.2 | 22.2 | 3.3_- | -3.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
|  | released) | 1.9 | 16.2 | 32.5 | . 38.8 | 78.3 | 52.9 | . 61.3 | 77.7 | 43.9 |
|  |  | 1.0 | 136 | . 683 | 085 | . 041 | 0 | 0 | 001 | 0 |
|  | 8-26-81 | 8-28-81 | 8-31-81 | 9-2-81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 135.8/1026 | 135.8/1231 | 135.8/1853 | 135.8/1645 | Recovered |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | tag on |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 72.0 | 50.1 | 78.4 | 45.9 | 9-2-81 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 720-1 \\ \text { Cont 'd. } \\ \text { next } \\ \text { page } \end{array}$ | 8-7-81 | 8-8-81 | 8-10-81 | 8-11-81 | 8-13-81 | - 8-15-81..... | 8-18-81..... | 8-21-81 | 8-23-81 | 8-24-81 |
|  | 120.710707 | 131.4/1727 | 130.7/0812 | 130.6/1530 | 130.8/1430 | 131.8/1927 | 131.0/0838. .-. | -130.9/1100 | 130.8/1020 | 130.8/1230 hr |
|  | (Tagged and | 10.7 | -0.7 | -0.1 | 0.2 | . 1.0 | $\because-0.8$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | S 55 yd |
|  | released) | 34.3 | 38.7 | 31.3 | 71.0 | 52.9 | 61.2 | 74.4 | 47.3 | 26.2 |
|  |  | : 312 | -. 018 | -. 003 | . 003 | . 019 | $-.013$ | -. 001 | -. 002 | 0 |
| $-=$ downstream movement Ch <br> $+=$ Chulitna River milieage  <br> Time recorded uvement  <br> Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwise noted.  <br> Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth $(0.1)$ hour.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 3 | of 4 |

Table EK-1. Continued.

$-=$ downstream movement

+ = upstream movement
Time recorded using 24 hour clock
Miles shown are Susitna River locations unless otherwlse noted.
Elapsed time has been rounded to nearest one tenth (0.1) hour. $\qquad$


Figure EK-12. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
it was monitored in the Susitna River adjacent to the mouth of Chase Creek, (RM 106.9) and by 21 September was located in the east channel of the Susitna River at RM lll.5, immediately downstream of Gash Creek, (RM 111.6).

The fish was first detected in Gash Creek (RM 111.6) at RM 0.375 by overflight on 23 September; later the same day, the fish was located by telemetry, during a stream survey, in a pond immediately above a beaver dam at RM 0.375 with about 18 other adult coho salmon. Numerous attempts to capture the individual with a net and assess it's spawning condition were not successful. An overflight on 30 September did not locate the fish. However, later that same day the spawned out, live female was captured in a riffle-run stream reach upriver of the pond at RM 0.375. The transmitter was missing.

A necropsy was performed. It had spawned, as evidenced by the 18 eggs retained in the coelum.

The kype was torn where the transmitter wire modification had been removed by someone. The Peterson disc tag remained intact and no other external injuries or abnormalities were noted. It is not known if spawning took place prior to and/or after the removal of the transmitter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#650-2

This individual was tagged at RM 102.9 on 1 September (Figure EK-13). Ten minutes after release this fish entered (and was immediately removed from) a fishwheel on the opposite bank at RM 102.8; forty minutes later


Figure EK-13. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 650-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
it was located upstream at RM 103.5. It was detected the following day in Oxbow II at RM 119.3; this movement is equivalent to an upstream migration rate $\geq 0.56 \mathrm{mph}$ or $13.4 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. It reached RM 131.0 on or before 5 September and remained within 0.1 mile of the mouth of fourth of July Creek (RM 131.0) through at least 16 September.

Indirect evidence suggests this fish moved upstream Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) sometime during 17 or 18 September. It was consistently detected by boat and airplane at RM 131.0 from 5 through 16 September. However, on 18 September it was not encountered at or downstream of RM 131.0 or along the lower 0.5 mile of Fourth July Creek. (RM 131.0). Two days later ( 20 September) it was detected by overflight at RM 1. 25 Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0). The individual probably would have been detected on 18 September upriver of mile 0.5 of Fourth July Creek (RM 131.0) had the ground telemetry survey extended further upstream. -Sometime between 20 and 23 September the fish departed this stream; it was last located in the Susitna River at RM 130.2, downstream of the mouth of Fourth July Creek, (RM 131.0), on 23 September.

## Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#660-2

This coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 30 August (Figure EK-14). Upon release the individual swam 0.1 mile upstream and remained there for at least 45 minutes. However, the following day ( 36 hours later) the fish was detected 11.0 miles downstream at RM 109.8 ; this movement is equivalent to a downstream migration rate of about 0.35 mph . The individual moved upstream to Oxbow I, RM 110.4, where it was monitored during 1 and 2 September.


Figure EK-14. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number $660-2$ in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro, 1981.

This individual began moving upstream sometime during 2 or 3 September and was located at RM 141.1 on 8 September. This movement corresponds to an overall upstream migration rate of 0.22 mph but the fish demonstrated considerably faster upstream movement. For example, during 3 September it moved upstream 2.2 miles in 2.5 hours, a rate $\geq 0.88 \mathrm{mph}$.

Sometime between 9 and 10 September the fish began moving downriver and entered Gash Creek, (RM Ill.6), about 10 days later. On 10 September the individual was located in Slough 6A at RM 112.5; this movement is comparable to a downstream migration rate $\geq 0.53 \mathrm{mph}$. The fish exited Slough 6A, as it was detected the following day at RM 113.3, and then progressively moved downriver and remained within 0.1 to 0.3 mile of the mouth of Gash Creek during 17 and 18 September. It was detected at RM 0.1 Gash Creek (RM 111.6) on 20 September.

The fish was located by telemetry on 21 September at RM 0.2 Gash Creek (RM 1ll.6), netted and inspected. The transmitter was intact and the fish had apparently spawned. The anterior one third of the coelomic cavity appeared gravid and firm whereas the remainder of the coelom was flacid and apparently devoid of eggs. The fish was returned to the stream alive, immediately swam 5 meters downriver and occupied an undercut bank.

A 23 September overflight did not encounter the individual along Gash Creek (RM 111.6); later the same day the live fish was detected visually within 15 meters of it's release site, netted and inspected. The fish was without the transmitter; neither telemetry or a search 25 meters up
and downriver from the capture site detected the transmitter. It was apparently removed from the fish sometime after 21 September.

A necropsy revealed only 25 eggs in the coelom. The stomach was intact and displayed no apparent damage from the transmitter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#680-1

Coho salmon number 680-1 was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 31 August (Figure EK-15). Forty five minutes after being released it had moved upstream 0.1 mile but within 8.1 hours it was detected 13.6 miles downriver at RM 107.2. This movement is equivalent to a downstream migration rate $\geq 1.69 \mathrm{mph}$. The fish continued moving downriver to RM 101.9, where it was monitored on 3 September. The coho salmon was consistently encountered in the Susitna River from RM 101.6 to 102.1 through 1045 h 10 September as determined by telemetry on 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10 September.

The individual began moving upstream sometime between 1045 h and 1950 h on 10 September and was last detected at RM 109.7 on 11 September (1600h). This upstream movement represents an upstream migration rate $\geq 0.28 \mathrm{mph}$ or $6.7 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. Extensive tracking efforts during the remainder of the season failed to locate this fish.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#700-2

Fish 700-2 was tagged at RM 102.9 on 3 September (Figure EK-16). This fish moved downstream to the mouth of Whiskers Slough, (RM 10l.2), within four hours of release, and remained there thru 5 September. It


Figure EK-15. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 680-1 in the Susitna River drainage during August and September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investiagations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-16. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 700-2, in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
was next detected at RM 25.9 Chulitna River (RM 98.6) on ll September. Overflights detected this individual at or within 0.3 miles of RM 32.1 Chulitna River (RM 98.6) on the 13,16 and 30 September.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-1

This fish was radio tagged at RM 102.9 on 4 September and remained undetected until 8 September, when it was located in the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0) at the mouth of Chunilna Creek, (RM 5.9) (Figure EK-17). Flights on 11 and 13 September detected the individual at RM 9.0 Chunilna Creek. It was not located thereafter.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#710-3

This female coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 102.8 on 4 September (Figure EK-18). Within 7.1 hours after being released this fish was detected 1.7 miles downriver at RM l0l.l. It was next detected 9 days later by airplane in Fish Lake, about 4.7 miles upriver of the mouth of Birch Creek, (RM 88.0). The individual ascended a northwest side inlet (Cabin Creek) to Fish Lake, sometime between 13 and 16 September and remained at or near RM 0.1 of this stream thru 19 September. A 19 September ground telemetry survey detected the spawned-out, dead coho salmon at RM 0.1 Cabin Creek. The caudal fin of the female fish was worm. About 25 eggs remained inside the fish. The stomach was ruptured along its entire length, probably from the radio transmitter; no other apparent tissue or organ damage associated with the radio transmitter was noted.


Figure EK-17. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-1 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-18. Moyement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 710-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

This male coho salmon was radio tagged at RM 120.7 on 2 September " (Figure EK-19). Within 32 hours after release the fish was detected 11.6 miles downriver at RM 109.1. About two hours later the same day, 3 September, it was located 1.4 mile upriver at $R M 110.5$. During 4 and 5 September it was encountered at RM 111.2. However, on 8 September it moved downstream to RM 107.7 and was observed in Chase Creek (RM 106.9) at RM 0.3 with two other adult coho salmon. The individual supported itself on the substrate by it's pectoral and pelvic fins; it appeared lethargic and did not actively swim away when touched by hand. The swimming performance of this fish was apparently adversely influenced by insertion of the radio transmitter.

The fish departed Chase Creek (RM 106.9) sometime before lloh the following day as it was located in the Susitna River at RM 109.0. It moved upriver and by 13 September was located at RM 1ll.3. However, 3 days later it was detected at RM 96.8 of the Susitna River, downstream of the Talkeetna River (RM 97.0), and was consistenly encountered there thru 7 October. Attempts to retrieve the carcass were unsuccessful.

## Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#720-3

Coho salmon 720-3 was radio tagged at RM 119.5 on 4 September (Figure EK-20). Within 21 hours after release this individual migrated 8.6 miles upriver, which represents an upstream migration rate $\geq 0.41$ mph. By 8 September it was detected by airplane at RM 131.0, the upstream migration extent of this individual. Two days later it was detected
E.K-35


Figure EK-19. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-2 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EK-20. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 720-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September and October, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
downstream at RM 130.4; it continued moving downstream until 17 September when it was detected at RM ll7.8, near Little Portage Creek at the same milepost.

This fish was consitenモly encountered in the mainstem Susitna River near the mouth of Little Portage Creek at RM 117.8 from 17 September thru 30 September. It was gillnetted on 17 September along the east bank of the mainstem Susitna River at RM ll7.9; the fish had not attained spawning condition, as evidenced by it's silver-pink coloration and non-fluid character of the gonads. It was detected at or within 0.2 mile of RM 117.9 on 20, 23 and 30 September.

The individual was captured alive at RM 117.8 in the outlet of Little Portage Creek (RM 117.8) on 7 October and necropsied. The necropsy revealed that the fish had not spawned due to the fullness of the gonads, although the kype was eroded.

Coho Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#730-3

Fish 730-3 was radio tagged at RM 102.9 on 31 August (Figure EK-2l). Four and one half hours after being released it was detected 3.0 miles upstream, which is comparable to a 0.67 mph upstream migration rate. It was next detected at RM 111.7 on 4 September, although 3.6 hours later it was monitored at 2.1 miles downstream. Within 20.3 hours the fish had moved upstream 12.2 miles; this is equivalent to an upstream migration rate of 0.601 mph . The fish apparently continued migrating upstream, as evidenced by it being detected at RM 1.9 of Indian River (RM 138.6) on 8 September.


Figure EK-21. Movement of radio tagged coho salmon transmitter number 730-3 in the Susitna River drainage during September, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Overflights consistently monitored this individual from RM 1.5 to 1.8 of the Indian River (RM 138.6) on 11,13 and 16 September. By 20 September it moved upstream to RM 5.8 of the Indian River (RM 138.6) and was last detected there on 23 September. The spawning status of this fish was not determined.

Complete radio tagged coho salmon movement data are shown on Table EK-2.

Table EK-2. Movement and timing data recorded during radio telemetry operations of adult coho salmon during September and October, 1981. Adult Anadromous Investiaations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
EK - 41

## Number
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APPENDIX EL

CHINOOK SALMON RADIO TELEMETRY
TRACKING REPORTS

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag \#600-1 was tagaed on 24 June at RM 102.8. This male fish immediately moved down river and remained within RM 98.0 and 99.0 of the Susitna River from 24 June through 2 July (Figure EL-1). On 5 July it was located at RM 113.0, and by 12 July it had moved downstream and remained in the TRA for an additional five days. The upstream rate of movement to RM 113.0 was equivalent to $0.19 \mathrm{miles} / \mathrm{hour}$ or $4.6 \mathrm{miles} / \mathrm{day}$.

Sometime between 1645 hours (h) on 16 July and 1215 h on 17 July, the fish began migrating upstream. On 16 July at 1645 h , it was in the Chulitna River one mile upstream of its confluence with the Susitna River and by 17 July at 1215 h had reached RM 104.5 of the Susitna River. By 21 July it was detected at RM 123.6.

The overall upstream rate of movement of this fish can be expressed as $0.20 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $4.8 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. However, it did display a significantly faster upstream movement. For example, at 1214 h on 17 July the fish was detected at RM 104.5 and four hours and five minutes later was encountered at RM 108.2. This translates into an upstream miaration rate for this period of $0.91 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $21.8 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. A more realistic example of movement may be from observations made on 17 and 18 July, when the fish was encountered at RM 108.2 and 113.3, respectively. The fish moved this 5.1 mile distance in 15.4 hours, for our upstream migration rate of $0.33 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $7.9 \mathrm{mi} /$ day .


Figure EL-1. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 600-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

From 21 July through 5 August the fish remained in the Susitna River in the immediate vicinity of the mouth of a small stream (Fourth of July Creek) located at RM 123.7. It is presumed that this fish spawned in the Susitna River.

On 6 August it had drifted downstream and was encountered at RM 104.5, where it remained through 8 August before descending further downstream. On 9 and 10 August the fish was detected at RM 94.6 and 86.0 , respectively.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#600-2

Fish bearing radio tag \#600-2 was tagged at RM 120.7 on 26 June. It then moved upstream and remained in a pool located at RM 123.5 from 27 June to 1 July (Figure EL-2). During the following seven days this fish swam upstream and by 8 July was located at RM 2.0 of Portage Creek (RM 148.9). Overall this represents an upstream migration rate of about 4.2 miles/day.

This fish entered Portage Creek between observations on 7 and 8 July. During its first nine days of residency in Portage Creek (8-16 July) it was consistently encountered downstream of RM 2.75. However, on 18 July ( 0820 h ) it was detected at RM 8.70, which represents an upstream migration rate of $0.15 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $3.7 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. Attempts to determine the reproductive status of this fish during 26 and 27 July were unsuccessful. The radio transmitter remained functional through August.


Figure EL-2. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 600-2 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag $\# 600-3$ was tagged at RM 120.7 on 8 July (Figure EL-3). Eight days (175 hours) later it was detected at the mouth of the Indian River (RM 138.6). This fish, therefore had an overall upstream migration rate of about $0.10 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $2.4 \mathrm{mi} /$ day . The fish did display faster upstream movement, however. Between 1200 h on 15 July and 1730 h on 16 July the fish migrated upstream 8.6 miles, a rate of $0.29 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $7.0 \mathrm{mi} /$ day .

From 18 July through August the fish was detected within the Indian River from about mile 4.7 to 6.1. This fish is assumed to have spawned within this area.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-1

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag \#610-1 was tagged on 2 July at RM 119.2 (Figure EL-4). On 3 July at 1330 h , about 22 hours following transmitter implantation the fish was about 0.6 miles downstream from the tagging site. On 5 July, 43.5 hours later at 0900 h , it was located at RM 145.3, therefore displaying an upstream migration rate of $0.60 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or 14.4 mi/day. It was monitored the next day within lower Devil Canyon, at about RM 151.0. From 7 July to 12 July, the fish occupied several sites in the Susitna River upstream of Portage Creek (RM 149.3, 150.0 and 150.2), respectively, during this period.


Figure EL-3. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 600-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EL-4. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 610-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The fish entered Portage Creek (RM 148.9) sometime between 12 and 16 July through 30 July to 2 August. During this time the fish was detected between mile 2.7 and 6.3. The fish presumably spawned in Portage Creek.

Between 30 July and 2 August, the fish moved downstream out of Portage Creek and was detected at RM 123.5 on 2 August. The following day it was located at RM 107.1, and remained near or within Chase Creek (RM 105.3), where it was found and necropsied on 3 August. The caudal and pelvic fins of this specimen were severely eroded and no organs, including gonads, remained due to the advanced state of decomposition.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-2

Fish bearing radio tag $\# 610-2$ was tagged at RM 102.8 on 1 July, and displayed a variety of movements (Figure EL-5). It dropped downstream and remained in the TRA for about five days after being tagged. By 8 July it had moved upstream to RM 123.4, where it remained thru 18 July.

This indiyidual departed its holding area at RM 123.5 on 18 or 19 July and was detected at mile 2.0 of the Indian River on 21 July. During this time the fish displayed some significant upstream movements. For example, on 19 July the fish moved 1.15 miles in 55 minutes, which is a rate of movement of $1.26 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour.


Figure EL-5. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 610-2 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

It departed and re-entered the Indian River (RM 138.6) between 22 July and 2 August. It was found in the Indian River during 21 and 22 July and apparently departed this stream shortly thereafter, as evidenced by its detection at RM 138.4 of the Susitna River on 24 July. Six days later, on 30 July, it was found in the Indian River as mile 0.5.

Between 2020 h on 30 July and 1920 h on 2 August, the fish dropped out of Indian River and moved upriver and then into Portage Creek (RM 148.9). It remained in Portage Creek at or near mile 2.7 thru 5 August. Two days later it was detected at RM 101.0 of the Susitna River. This represents a downstream movement of 49.8 miles in about 43 hours.

On 10 August the fish was a RM 119.8 at 0806 h ; later the same day at 2030 h it was found further downstream at RM 101.0.

Attempts to capture this fish and assess its reproductive status were not successful.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#610-3

Fish bearing radio tag \#610-3 was tagged on 24 June at RM 120.8. It then moved downstream and remained in the TRA for about two weeks (Figure EL6). Sometime between 12 and 16 July the fish moved upstream to the mouth of Lane Creek (RM 113.6). It was detected by periodic overflights of Lane Creek as far upstream as mile 1.2 from 16 to 27 July. It was observed on 26 July at mile 1.0 but no spawning behavior was witnessed, although turbulent water made observations difficult and could have


Figure EL-6. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 610-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
masked this behavior. Attempts to capture the fish by net and determine its reproductive status were unsuccessful.

Surprisingly, on 27 July this fish was recaptured at a Curry Station fishwheel located at RM 120.7. The fish was necropsied. The lower caudal fin displayed wear and the posterior third of the peritonial cavity was devoid of eggs, indicating probable spawning activity. Where and when actual spawning took place is not known.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-1

Fish bearing radio tag \#620-1, a female chinook salmon, was tagged on 3 July. It then descended and remained downstream of its tagging location at RM 119.5 (Figure EL-7). On July 7 it moved downstream to RM 106.0 and remained there through 12 July. During the next several days it was detected in Chase Creek (RM 105.3), 0.2 miles upstream of its mouth located at RM 106.9. It was observed within Chase Creek about 0.05 miles upstream of its mouth on 17 and 18 July. On 19 July it dropped out of this stream and held in the Susitna River within 0.2 miles of the mouth of Chase Creek. Repeated attempts in July and August to recover the fish (carcass) and/or transmitter were unsuccessful.

The implantation of a "large" radio transmitter in this fish undoubtedly influenced it's behavior. No other fish radio tagged at Curry Station
radio transmitter * 620-1


Figure EL-7. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 620-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
displayed long-term downstream movement, with the exception of fish bearing radio tag \#670-3, which was probably adversely influenced by handling and tagging.

## Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-2

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag \#620-2 was tagged on 19 July at RM 120.7. It then moved about 1.2 miles downstream of its tagging location and held for about two days (Figure EL-8). Within about four days (86 hours) it migrated upstream to RM 130.8, near the mouth of Sherman Creek. This upstream movement represented a migration rate of about $0.13 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $3.2 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. This individual remained near the mouth of Sherman Creek about three days ( 25 to 27 July). Three days later on 30 July, it was detected at mile 2.9 of the Indian River (RM 138.9) and remained there through 10 August when last contact was made,

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#620-3

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag \#620-3 was tagged at RM 119.5 and dropped about four miles downstream on the same day of tagging (Figure EL-9). The next day (27 June) it was noted at RM 123.5. Seven days later (5 July) it was located at RM 150.7 in lower Devil Canyon. The overall upstream migration rate of this fish was $0.18 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or 4.3 mi/day. The migration rate was faster at times, as evidenced by its movement 8.0 miles upstream in approximately 30 hours between 27 and 28 June, a migration rate of $0.27 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour and $6.4 \mathrm{mi} /$ day .


Figure EL-8. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 620-2 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.


Figure EL-9. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 620-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

The extent of upstream migration of this individual was apparently to RM 150.7, where it was located on 5 July. However, the fish may have passed this location sometime during 3 or 4 July. It was located at RM 149.5 and 148.9 on 6 and 7 July, respectively.

Sometime between 7 and 8 July, the fish entered Portage Creek (RM 148.7). By 12 July it had moved out of this drainage and was at RM 144.7. Upstream migration extent of this fish within Portage Creek was about to mile 2.5

The fish then entered Jack Long Creek (RM 141.9) between 12 and 16 July. and remained there through 23 or 24 July. The extent of upstream migration within this stream was about 1.25 mi .

It departed Jack Long Creek on 23 or 24 July and was detected from 24 July through 18 August at RM 111.0. Attempts to retrieve the fish/carcass and transmitter were unsuccessful, and the reproductive status of this fish was not determined.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#630-1

Fish bearing radio tag \#630-1 was a female chinook salmon which was tagged at RM 120.7 on 28 June (Figure EL-10). Following transmitter implantation, it remained at or slightly downstream of its tagging site


Figure EL-10. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 630-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
for approximately 24 hours. Thereafter, it migrated upstream to the mouth of Portage Creek (RM 148.9), representing an approximate overall upstream migration rate of about $4.0 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. However, this individual displayed considerably faster upstream movement on one occasion. For example, between 5 and 6 July it migrated upstream 6.5 miles in about 24 hours.

Sometime between 1430 h on 7 July and 0800 h of 8 July the fish began moving downstream, where it was located at mile 4.0 of the Indian River (RM 138.9) on the latter date and time. The fish held position near mile 4.0 of this stream through 12 July, then migrated upstream and remained within mile 10.5 to 13.0 from 16 July to 2 August. It was consistently detected downstream at mile 7.0 to 7.2 thereafter. The fish presumedly spawned in the Indian River.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#630-3

Chinook salmon bearing radio tag \#630-3 was tagged on 2 July at RM 120.7 (Figure EL-11). Five days (121.7 hours) later the fish was found at the mouth of Portage Creek (RM 148.9); where it moved to at a rate of about an $0.23 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $5.6 \mathrm{mi} /$ day .

This fish alternately entered, exited and re-entered Portage Creek during the first half of July. It was detected at or downstream of mile 2.0 of Portage Creek by overflights on 8 and 12 July, and about 100


Figure EL-11. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 630-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
yards downstream of the mouth of Portage Creek in the Susitna River on 15 July. By 16 July it was detected at mile 2.75 of Portage Creek and by 21 July, was encountered at mile 11.6. Thereafter, it was located at or upstream of mile 10.8

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#640-3

Fish bearing radio tag \#640-3, a fenale chinook salmon, rapidly migrated upstream to the mouth of the Indian River (RM 138.6) after tagging. It entered Indian River about 12 days later and was last detected at mile 7.0 (Figure EL-12).

Two days (41 hours) after being tagged at RM 119.5 on 3 July, this fish was positioned at the mouth of the Indian River at RM 138.6. This represents an upstream migration rate of about $0.51 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $12 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. This individual was consistently detected at or within 0.2 mi of the mouth of the Indian River from 5 July to 15 July and was located on 16 July at mile 0.5

Movement of this fish within the Indian River is poorly understood. It was detected at mile 4.4 on 18 July, could not be located during overflights on 21 and 22 July and was encountered at mile 7.0, in the mouth of a small, incised stream on 24 July. The transmitter signal was extremely weak that day, and the fish was not located thereafter. Whether or not the fish spawned is unknown.


Figure EL-12. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 640-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Chinook salmon \#660-3 was tagged on 1 July at RM 102.8. This male chinook salmon moyed downstream into the TRA for at least two and possibly four days after being tagged (Figure EL-13). On 5 July it was encountered at river mile 3.0 of the Talkeetna River. Periodic aerial monitoring during July indicated the fish moved progressively upstream within the same drainage. It was noted at river mile 35.6 on 22 July and 4.0 miles upstream Prairie Creek (RM 50.T) on 30 July. The overall upstream migration rate within the Talkeetna River from 5 to 22 July was 0.08 $\mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $1.8 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. This individual presumedly spawned in Prairie Creek.

Chinook Salmon, Radio Transmitter \#670-3

The behavior of the female chinook salmon (tag \#670-3) tagged on 26 June at RM 120.7 was undoubtedly affected by handling due to equipment malfunctions that occurred during transmitter implantation (Figure EL14). First, a "large" chinook salmon transmitter was found to be too large to fit within the stomach of the fish. The smaller transmitter was inserted easily, Fiye days after tagging this individual was detected downstream at RM 99.6 and the fish/carcass and/or transmitter remained there through August. It is belieyed the fish died from the trauma of transmitter implantation and numerous attempts to retrieve the fish/carcass were unsuccessful.


Figure EL-13. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 660-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.
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Figure EL-14. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 670-3 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.

Fish bearing radio tag \#730-1 was tagged on 22 June at RM 120.7. Approximately five days (105.75 hours) after being tagged, this individual moved 28.2 miles to the mouth of Portage Creek (RM 148.9), (Figure EL-15). This is an overall upstream migration rate of $0.26 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour and $6.4 \mathrm{mi} /$ day. $A$ maximum upstream migration rate of $0.39 \mathrm{mi} /$ hour or $9.4 \mathrm{mi} /$ day occurred when the fish swam 6.2 miles in slightly less than 16 hours between 26 and 27 June.

The fish reached the mouth of Portage Creek sometime during 26 or 27 June, and remained there for two to three additional days before migrating up that drainage. From about 29 June through 2 July, it apparently held in the lower 2.0 miles of Portage Creek. However, on 5 July it was detected at mile 9.0. It remained in that general area through about 12 July, then moved downstream and held near mile 3.0 thereafter. The transmitter ceased functioning on or shortly before 5 August. The fish presumedly spawned while in Portage Creek.


Figure EL-15. Movement of radio tagged chinook salmon transmitter number 730-1 in the Susitna River drainage during June, July and August, 1981, Adult Anadromous Investigations, Su Hydro Studies, 1981.



[^0]:    1/ Male
    2/ Female
    3/ Confidence Limits on Mean

[^1]:    I/ 1975-1980 counts - Kubik, S.K.
    a/ Ho total count due to high turbid water
    b/ Hot counted
    c/ Poor counting conditions

[^2]:    1/ Male
    2/ Female
    3/ Confidence Limits on Mean

[^3]:    I/ Upstream fish movement speed denoted as equal to or greater than ( ) when five or more hours lapsed between observations

    2/ Indian River Mile
    3/ Chulitna River Mile

[^4]:    1/ Upstream fish movement speed denoted as equal to or greater than ( ) when five or more hours lapsed between observations

[^5]:    1/ Fishwheel inoperable due to flood.

[^6]:    I/ Methods Noted: $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{El}$ ectroshocker; $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{N}=\operatorname{Drift} \mathrm{G} 111 \mathrm{Net} ; \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}=$ Set 6111 Net
    2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise Indicated

[^7]:    I/ Methods Noted: $E / S=$ Electroshocker; $D / N=\operatorname{Dr}$ ft Gill Net; $S / N=$ Set Gill Net
    2/ Distance recorded in yards unless otherwise indicated

[^8]:    1/ Confluence of these streams or their receiving waters with the Susitna River Mainstem.

