

Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project Document

ARLIS Uniform Cover Page

Title: Fluvial geomorphology modeling below Watana Dam study, Study plan Section 6.6 : Initial study report -- Part A: Figures		SuWa 223
Author(s) – Personal:		
Author(s) – Corporate: Tetra Tech, Watershed GeoDynamics		
AEA-identified category, if specified: Initial study report		
AEA-identified series, if specified:		
Series (ARLIS-assigned report number): Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project document number 223		Existing numbers on document:
Published by: [Anchorage : Alaska Energy Authority, 2014]		Date published: June 2014
Published for: Alaska Energy Authority		Date or date range of report:
Volume and/or Part numbers:		Final or Draft status, as indicated:
Document type:		Pagination: iii pages and pages 122-166
Related work(s): The following parts of Section 6.6 appear in separate files: Part A ; Part B ; Part C ; Appendices ; Part A, Figures.		Pages added/changed by ARLIS:
Notes:		

All reports in the Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project Document series include an ARLIS-produced cover page and an ARLIS-assigned number for uniformity and citability. All reports are posted online at <http://www.arlis.org/resources/susitna-watana/>



PART A - FIGURES

**Susitna-Watana Hydroelectric Project
(FERC No. 14241)**

Fluvial Geomorphology Modeling Study (6.6)

Part A - Figures

Initial Study Report

Prepared for

Alaska Energy Authority



SUSITNA-WATANA HYDRO

Clean, reliable energy for the next 100 years.

Prepared by

Tetra Tech and

Watershed GeoDynamics

June 2014

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1-1. Current limits of the Fluvial Geomorphology Modeling Study and large-scale river segments.....	123
Figure 3.2-1. Location of Focus Areas in the Middle Susitna River Segment with geomorphic reaches shown.	124
Figure 4.1-1. Sketch of transect locations (identified as L, C and R) for surface sampling at sample location PRM 113.5 (left) and photograph of sample location, view downstream (right).	125
Figure 4.1-2. Example of completed field data form for coarse-grained surface samples.	126
Figure 4.1-3. Three functions to calculate minimum sampling depth (dsample in ft) from the Dmax particle size (Modified from Figure 4.18 from Bunte and Abt, 2001).....	127
Figure 4.1-4. Minimum sample weight for sediment with different Dmax sizes (Dmax = 0.1% of the sample weight for Dmax < 32mm, Dmax = 1% of the sample weight for Dmax < 128mm and Dmax = 5% of the sample weight for Dmax > 128mm (Modified from Figure 4.20 from Bunte and Abt, 2001)	127
Figure 4.1-5: Example of complete field data form for subsurface samples.	128
Figure 4.1-6: Example of front and back of 2013 bank observation data sheets.....	129
Figure 4.1-7. 2011 Matanuska-Susitna Borough LiDAR collections and 2013 ground survey point locations.....	130
Figure 4.1-8. Example ground survey points displayed over aerial photography	131
Figure 4.1-9. Example ground survey points displayed over TIN derived from LiDAR point cloud.....	132
Figure 4.2-1 Fluvial Geomorphology Model Interdependencies.....	133
Figure 5.1-1. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	134
Figure 5.1-2. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	135
Figure 5.1-3. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	136
Figure 5.1-4. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	137
Figure 5.1-5. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	138
Figure 5.1-6. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	139
Figure 5.1-7. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.....	140

Figure 5.1-8. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.	141
Figure 5.1-9. Merged Hydrographic Survey and LiDAR Survey at PRM 117.9.	142
Figure 5.1-10. Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) Developed to Represent the Channel and Portions of the Overbanks at FA-104.	143
Figure 5.1-11. One-foot Interval Contour Mapping of FA-104 Developed from the TIN (Figure 5.1-10).	144
Figure 5.1-12. Two-foot Interval Contour Mapping Developed from the 2013 LiDAR Survey of FA-104.	145
Figure 5.1-13. Extents of the SRH-2D Habitat Mesh.....	146
Figure 5.1-14. Geomorphic surface mapping of FA-104 Whiskers Slough.	147
Figure 5.1-15. Example of Habitat Areas at FA-104 Selected to Have a Fine Mesh Size (6.5 feet, 2 m). The Habitat Areas Were Identified by the Aquatic Habitat Team.	148
Figure 5.1-16. Example of SRH-2D Mesh in Habitat Area at the Mouth of Whiskers Creek (in FA-104) Selected to Have a Fine Mesh Size (2 meters).....	149
Figure 5.1-17. SRH-2D Bed Evolution Mesh. The Mesh Contains Approximately 10,000 Elements.....	150
Figure 5.1-18: Locations of Middle Susitna River Segment Focus Areas.	151
Figure 5.1-19. Predicted Depth Distribution at FA-104 for 24,000 cfs.	152
Figure 5.1-20. Predicted Velocity Distribution at FA-104 for 24,000 cfs.	153
Figure 5.1-21. Predicted Depth Distribution at FA-104 for 100,000 cfs.	154
Figure 5.1-22. Predicted Velocity Distribution at FA-104 for 100,000 cfs.	155
Figure 5.1-23. Lower Susitna River Segment Tributary Locations Relative to Geomorphic Reaches.	156
Figure 5.1-24. Middle Susitna River Segment Tributary Locations Relative to Geomorphic Reaches and Focus Areas.....	157
Figure 5.1-25: Sediment samples and bank observations collected at FA-104 (Whiskers Slough).	158
Figure 5.1-26. GoPro image from Whiskers Slough with lights, lasers, and scale in video mode.	159

Figure 5.1-27. GoPro image from ESS40 with lasers and the Brinkman Q-Beam Starfire II underwater fishing light.	159
Figure 5.1-28. GoPro image from ESS40 with lasers and without lights	160
Figure 5.1-29. 2013 LiDAR priority areas and collection.	161
Figure 6.1-1. Lower Susitna River Segment Bed Material Comparison.	162
Figure 6.1-2. Middle Susitna River Segment Bed Material Comparison.	163
Figure 6.1-3. Lower Susitna River Segment Screening of Coupled WSE and Flow Measurements.	164
Figure 6.1-4. Middle Susitna River Segment Screening of Coupled WSE and Flow Measurements.	165
Figure 6.1-5. ADCP Flow Measurement Locations at Whiskers Slough (FA-104).	166

10. FIGURES




Figure 3.1-1. Current limits of the Fluvial Geomorphology Modeling Study and large-scale river segments.




Figure 3.2-1. Location of Focus Areas in the Middle Susitna River Segment with geomorphic reaches shown.



Figure 4.1-1. Sketch of transect locations (identified as L, C and R) for surface sampling at sample location PRM 113.5 (left) and photograph of sample location, view downstream (right).

Pebble Count Data Sheet

SUSITNA-WATANA HYDRO
HYDROLOGICAL SERVICES, LLC

TL

River/Tributary: SUSITNA
 Site: PRM 101.8
 Date/Time: 8-7-2013 1610
 Field Book #: RAV SUSITNA 01
 Waypoint(s): GPS 1
 Photo(s) #: on back (pg 2/2)

Crew: PK, RN, BT, AK
 PRM: 101.8
 Length & Interval: 100' LENGTH, 2' INTERVAL
 Comments: MOVED PRM 101.2 N/S to NPRM 101.8

Size (mm)	Left	Sum	Cum %	Size (mm)	Center	Sum	Cum %	Size (mm)	Right	Sum	Cum %
<2	11			<2				<2			
2.0				2.0				2.0			
2.8				2.8		1	1	2.8			
4.0				4.0		1	2	4.0	11	2	2
5.6				5.6	11	2	4	5.6		4	6
8.0		3	3	8.0		6	10	8.0		4	10
11.0		4	7	11.0		11	21	11.0		11	21
16.0		9	16	16.0		17	38	16.0		9	30
22.5		10	26	22.5		13	51	22.5		14	44
32		14	40	32		10	61	32.0		13	57
45.0		22	62	45.0		9	70	45.0		14	71
64.0		12	74	64.0		9	79	64.0		8	79
90.0		14	88	90.0		10	89	90.0		10	89
128		5	93	128		9	98	128		10	99
180		7	100	180		2	100	180	1	1	100
256				256				256			
360				360				360			

LEFT COUNT

OC1: RAV

CENTER COUNT

Photo Backup: 348

RIGHT COUNT

2013/08/07
Page 1 of 2 v2 7/11/13

Figure 4.1-2. Example of completed field data form for coarse-grained surface samples.




Figure 4.1-3. Three functions to calculate minimum sampling depth (d_{sample} in ft) from the D_{max} particle size (Modified from Figure 4.18 from Bunte and Abt, 2001).




Figure 4.1-4. Minimum sample weight for sediment with different D_{max} sizes ($D_{\text{max}} = 0.1\%$ of the sample weight for $D_{\text{max}} < 32\text{mm}$, $D_{\text{max}} = 1\%$ of the sample weight for $D_{\text{max}} < 128\text{mm}$ and $D_{\text{max}} = 5\%$ of the sample weight for $D_{\text{max}} > 128\text{mm}$) (Modified from Figure 4.20 from Bunte and Abt, 2001)

Field Sieve Data Sheet

River: SUSITNA Crew: RT, AK, RV, MP SUSITNA-WATANA HYDRO
 Date / Time: 8/11/13 1030 PRM: 79.1 (moved 79.0 site up to 79.1)
 Field Book #: RAW-SUSITNA 01 Comments: →
 Sample Location: 50' CENTER LINE
 Surface/Sub: Subsurface Bank Trib Fan Trib Chan
 Waypoint(s): GPS 1

Tt

Total Sample Weight			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Bucket #	Bucket Wt (lbs)	Bucket + Sample (lbs)	Sample Wt (lbs)
1	1.7	82.2	80.5
2	1.7	63.4	61.7
3	1.7	61.4	59.7
4	1.7	67.8	66.1
5	1.7	84.8	83.1
6	1.7	69.4	67.7
7			
Totals	10.2	429	418.8

Estimated D_{max} (mm) 130
 Sample Depth (ft) ~ 1 ft
 Wt of the Sample (lbs) 418.8

Photo Log	
Number	Description
543	GRAVELS DEPOSITED ON GRAVEL BAR
544	SAMPLE LOCATION
545	BANK AT HEAD OF GRAVEL BAR
546	VIEW D/S FROM HEAD OF BAR

BODIES AT START OF C. LINE

Additional Photos in Field Book #

Retained Weight							
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Sieve Size (mm)	Container Wt (lbs)	Wt 1 (lbs)	Wt 2 (lbs)	Total Weight (lbs) (Containers + material)	# of Containers * Container Weight (lbs)	Sediment Weight (lbs)	Cumulative Weight of Samples (lbs)
360 *					# Wts * Col 2	Col 5 - Col 6	Sum Vertically
256 *							
180 *							
128 *							
90.0 *	1.7	13.6				11.9	11.9
64.0 *	1.7	48.6				46.9	58.8
45.0	1.7	49.0				47.3	106.1
32.0	1.7	45.4				43.7	149.8
22.5	1.7	33.9				32.2	182.0
16.0	1.7	33.8				32.1	214.1
Minus 16							
Collection Box (less than 16)	66.2	270.6				204.4	418.5
Totals	76.4	494.9				418.5	
Subsample minus 16	1.7	19.6				17.9	

* Larger samples sorted by size using gravelometer

Total Sample Weight - Total Retained Weight = $418.8 - 418.5 = 0.3$ %
 Total Sample Weight 418.8

Label Bag and Tag: Date, River, PRM, Sample # (Typically only one sample per site, so sample 1), then sample type "Surface/Subsurface", "Subsurface", "Bank", "Trib Fan" or "Trib Channel" along with "Minus 16" and WP #.

QC1 RAV Photo Backup # 555 Page 1 of 1 v2 7/11/13

2013/08/01

Figure 4.1-5: Example of complete field data form for subsurface samples.

2013/07/232013/07/23

2013/07/23




Figure 4.1-7. 2011 Matanuska-Susitna Borough LiDAR collections and 2013 ground survey point locations.




Figure 4.1-8. Example ground survey points displayed over aerial photography




Figure 4.1-9. Example ground survey points displayed over TIN derived from LiDAR point cloud.




Figure 4.2-1 Fluvial Geomorphology Model Interdependencies.




Figure 5.1-1. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-2. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-3. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-4. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-5. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-6. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-7. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model




Figure 5.1-8. Cross Section Locations for Reach Scale 1-D Sediment-Transport Model.




Figure 5.1-9. Merged Hydrographic Survey and LiDAR Survey at PRM 117.9.




Figure 5.1-10. Triangular Irregular Network (TIN) Developed to Represent the Channel and Portions of the Overbanks at FA-104.




Figure 5.1-11. One-foot Interval Contour Mapping of FA-104 Developed from the TIN (Figure 5.1-10).




Figure 5.1-12. Two-foot Interval Contour Mapping Developed from the 2013 LiDAR Survey of FA-104.




Figure 5.1-13. Extents of the SRH-2D Habitat Mesh. Note: the model contains approximately 164,000 elements and was extended upstream of the focus area to provide better prediction of the hydraulic conditions at the upstream end of the focus area and to evaluate the potential for overbank flows.




Figure 5.1-14. Geomorphic surface mapping of FA-104 Whiskers Slough.




Figure 5.1-15. Example of Habitat Areas at FA-104 Selected to Have a Fine Mesh Size (6.5 feet, 2 m). The Habitat Areas Were Identified by the Aquatic Habitat Team.



Figure 5.1-16. Example of SRH-2D Mesh in Habitat Area at the Mouth of Whiskers Creek (in FA-104) Selected to Have a Fine Mesh Size (2 meters).




Figure 5.1-17. SRH-2D Bed Evolution Mesh. The Mesh Contains Approximately 10,000 Elements.




Figure 5.1-18: Locations of Middle Susitna River Segment Focus Areas.




Figure 5.1-19. Predicted Depth Distribution at FA-104 for 24,000 cfs.




Figure 5.1-20. Predicted Velocity Distribution at FA-104 for 24,000 cfs.




Figure 5.1-21. Predicted Depth Distribution at FA-104 for 100,000 cfs.




Figure 5.1-22. Predicted Velocity Distribution at FA-104 for 100,000 cfs.




Figure 5.1-23. Lower Susitna River Segment Tributary Locations Relative to Geomorphic Reaches.




Figure 5.1-24. Middle Susitna River Segment Tributary Locations Relative to Geomorphic Reaches and Focus Areas.




Figure 5.1-25: Sediment samples and bank observations collected at FA-104 (Whiskers Slough).




Figure 5.1-26. GoPro image from Whiskers Slough with lights, lasers, and scale in video mode.




Figure 5.1-27. GoPro image from ESS40 with lasers and the Brinkman Q-Beam Starfire II underwater fishing light.




Figure 5.1-28. GoPro image from ESS40 with lasers and without lights




Figure 5.1-29. 2013 LiDAR priority areas and collection.




Figure 6.1-1. Lower Susitna River Segment Bed Material Comparison.




Figure 6.1-2. Middle Susitna River Segment Bed Material Comparison.




Figure 6.1-3. Lower Susitna River Segment Screening of Coupled WSE and Flow Measurements.




Figure 6.1-4. Middle Susitna River Segment Screening of Coupled WSE and Flow Measurements.




Figure 6.1-5. ADCP Flow Measurement Locations at Whiskers Slough (FA-104).