INSTREAM TEMPERATURE MODELING AND FISHERY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

William J. Wilson Michael D. Kelly

Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center University of Alaska 707 A Street Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 279-4523

November 1984

To predict natural and with-project temperature regimes downstream from the Watana and Devil Canyon reservoirs of the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Project, a Stream Network Temperature Simulation Model (SNTEMP) was employed. This model, developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Instream Flow and Aquatic Systems Group, requires hydrology, meteorology, basin topography and stream geometry data as input and computes heat flux relationships and transports heat through the river system. This first application of SNTEMP in Alaska is allowing fishery biologists opportunity to examine the thermal effects of many potential Susitna reservoir operating schedules on fishery resources. Various combinations of meterological/hydrological conditions were used to simulate downstream river temperatures for natural, reservoir filling, and both one and two-dam operational scenarios. Thermal preference and tolerance criteria were developed for the five Pacific Salmon species inhabiting the Susitna River, and these SNTEMP predictions are compared to the various life phase temperature criteria.

These simulated temperatures show cooler conditions will exist in the river from May through August and warmer water September through April. These altered temperatures are still within the temperature criteria established for Susitna River salmon and in most cases should not significantly impact the resource. However, two significant events could occur from the altered temperature regime: 1) Improved mainstem incubation habitat due to warmer winter water temperatures and 2) Decreased juvenile growth from colder summer water temperatures.

SNTEMP Features

- built in regression model for filling incomplete data sets
- monthly variable topographic shading
- inflowing groundwater temperature prediction model
- time variable temperature and humidity lapse rates for transfering observed data throughout a basin
- adjustable period temperature predictions

Linked Simulation Models



SPECIES	LIFE PHASE	TEMPERATURE RANGE (C)	
		TOLERANCE	PREFERRED
Chum	Adult Migration	1.5-18.0	6.0-13.0
	Spawning ,	1.0-14.0	6.0-13.0
	Incubation	0-12.0	2.0- 8.0
	Rearing	1.5-16.0	5.0-15.0
	Smolt Migration	3.0-13.0	5.0-12.0
Sockeye	Adult Migration	2.5-16.0	6.0-12.0
	Spawning ,	4.0-14.0	6.0-12.0
	Incubation	0-14.0	4.5- 8.0
	Rearing	2.0-16.0	7.0-14.0
	Smolt Migration	4.0-18.0	5.0-12.0
Pink	Adult Migration	5.0-18.0	7.0-13.0
	Spawning ,	7.0-18.0	8.0-13.0
	Incubation	0-13.0	4.0-10.0
	Smolt Migration	4.0-13.0	5.0-12.0
Chinook	Adult Migration	2.0-16.0	7.0-13.0
	Spawning ,	5.0-14.0	7.0-12.0
	Incubation	0-16.0	4.0-12.0
	Rearing	2.0-16.0	7.0-14.0
	Smolt Migration	4.0-16.0	7.0-14.0
Coho	Adult Migration	2.0-18.0	6.0-11.0
	Spawnig ,	2.0-17.0	6.0-13.0
	Incubation	0-14.0	4.0-10.0
	Rearing	2.0-18.0	7.0-15.0
	Smolt Migration	2.0-16.0	6.0-12.0

Preliminary salmon tolerance criteria for Susitna River drainage.

Embryo incubation or development rate increases as temperature rises. Accumulated temperature units or days to emergence should be determined for each species for incubation. Development time to emergence versus mean incubation temperature for chum salmon.

CHUM SALMON



MEAN INCUBATION TEMP (C)

Development time to emergence versus mean incubation temperature for sockeye salmon.

SOCKEYE SALMON



HEAN INCUBATION TEMP (C)



Chum salmon spawning time versus mean incubation temperature nomograph.



PINK SALMON









Estimated juvenile salmon growth ranges under simulated natural and with-project conditions.



MONTH

Mainstem Susitna River Average Water Temperatures During the Incubation Period of September Through April



TEMPERATURE (C)

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. Go through a series of steps to relate model runs to fish life phases and their respective established temperature criteria.
- 2. Preliminary runs show no obvious adverse impacts from temperature changes on salmon species.
- 3. Some retardation of juvenile growth may occur due to cooler summer temperatures, even though the project operational water temperatures are within the established tolerance range.
- 4. Mainstem water temperatures, which under natural conditions may be limiting for incubation, would be increased under project operation and could provide a better mainstem incubating habitat for salmon.