

**SUSITNA
HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT**

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
PROJECT No. 7114

**WATANA DEVELOPMENT
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION RESULTING
FROM ALTERNATIVE OPERATIONS**

DRAFT REPORT

HARZA-EBASCO
SUSITNA JOINT VENTURE

JANUARY 1984
DOCUMENT No. 884

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

**RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION
RESULTING FROM ALTERNATIVE OPERATIONS**

WATANA DEVELOPMENT

Report by

Harza-Ebasco Susitna Joint Venture

Prepared for

Alaska Power Authority

Final Report

January 1984

NOTICE

ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS CONCERNING
THIS REPORT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO
THE ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY
SUSITNA PROJECT OFFICE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SECTION/TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF EXHIBITS	iii
1.0 SUMMARY	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION	1
3.0 METHODOLOGY	1
4.0 BASIC DATA	3
5.0 ROUTING AND RESULTS	3
References	
Tables	
Exhibits	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>
1	Near Extreme Power Peaking Operation Hourly Release Pattern for One Day
2	Estimated Intervening Flows
3	Range of River Stage Fluctuation from Devil Canyon Damsite to the Confluence with Chulitna River
4	Range of River Stage Fluctuation from the Confluence with Chulitna River to Sunshine Gage Station

LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>NO.</u>	<u>TITLE</u>
1-5	River Cross Sections Used in Dynamic Wave Routing
6	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 68 for Summer Operation
7	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 55 for Summer Operation
8	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 44 for Summer Operation
9	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 30 for Summer Operation
10	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 18 for Summer Operation
11	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 3 for Summer Operation
12	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 68 for Winter Operation
13	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 55 for Winter Operation
14	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 44 for Winter Operation
15	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 30 for Winter Operation
16	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 18 for Winter Operation
17	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 3 for Winter Operation
18	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 1 for Summer Operation
19	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 0.3 for Summer Operation
20	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Sunshine Gage for Summer Operation
21	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 1 for Winter Operation
22	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Section 0.3 for Winter Operation
23	Routed Discharge and Riverstage Hydrograph at Sunshine Gage for Winter Operation

1.0 SUMMARY

River stage fluctuations due to alternative operations of the Watana development were estimated for the Susitna River from the Devil Canyon damsite to the Sunshine gage station. The estimation was made by routing two discharge patterns assumed to represent near extreme summer and winter power peaking operations for Watana. Results of the routing are given in Tables 3 and 4. The ranges of river stage fluctuation upstream of the confluence with Chulitna River are 0.9 to 1.9 feet for summer operation, depending on location, and 1.6 to 4.2 feet, for winter operation, depending on location. From the confluence with the Chulitna River to Sunshine gage, the corresponding fluctuations are reduced to 0.1 to 0.5 foot for summer operation and to about 1.4 feet for winter operation. The discharge and stage hydrographs at 9 selected locations along the river are shown on Exhibits 6 through 23.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Operation of the Watana development requires adjustments of release from the reservoir for energy production according to load requirements. There could be considerable fluctuation of reservoir releases within short time intervals if the development is operated to meet consumption requirements. A brief study was made in late November 1983 to evaluate attenuation of discharge and river stage fluctuations in the reach between Devil Canyon to Talkeetna upstream of the confluence with the Chulitna River. This study extends the analysis to the Sunshine gage located about 14 miles downstream of the confluence.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

To estimate river stage fluctuation due to alternative operation of Watana, the dynamic wave routing model (DAMBRK) developed by Dr. D. L. Fread of National Weather Service (1) was used to route the reservoir release for energy production from Watana Dam to Sunshine.

The model is based on the Saint-Venant unsteady flow equations. The Saint-Venant unsteady flow equations consist of a conservation of mass equation, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (A + A_o)}{\partial t} - q = 0$$

and a conservation of momentum equation, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (Q^2/A)}{\partial x} + gA \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + S_f + S_e \right) = 0$$

where Q is the discharge, A is the active cross-sectional area of flow, A_o is the inactive (off-channel storage) cross-sectional area, x is the longitudinal distance along the channel (valley), t is the time, q is the lateral inflow or outflow per linear distance along the channel (inflow is positive and outflow is negative in sign), g is the acceleration due to gravity, S_f is the friction slope, S_e is the expansion-contraction slope, and h is the water depth.

In order to solve the unsteady flow equations, the initial conditions of the flow at all cross sections must be known. The initial flow at each cross section is computed to be:

$$Q_i = Q_{i-1} + q_{i-1} \Delta x \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, N$$

where Q_1 is the known discharge (assumed to be steady) at the upstream end, and $q_i \Delta x$ is any lateral inflow from tributaries existing between the cross sections spaced at intervals of Δx along the reach.

The water surface elevations associated with the initial flows are internally determined by solving the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (Q^2/A) + gA \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + S_f \right) = 0$$

where Q is the flow (assumed to be steady), A is the active cross-sectional area of flow, x is the longitudinal distance along the channel, h is the water depth, g is the acceleration due to the gravity, and S_f is the friction slope.

In addition the the initial condition, boundary conditions at the upstream and downstream sections of the reach must be specified. At the upstream boundary, the reservoir release pattern provides the necessary boundary condition. The downstream boundary condition may be, and was, specified by the stage-discharge rating curve for the downstream end of the reach.

4.0 BASIC DATA

Two release patterns assumed to represent near extreme summer and winter power peaking operations for Watana were used in the analysis, assuming no downstream project (Devil Canyon dam) and open water flow conditions. These release patterns are shown in Table 1.

The drainage area downstream from Watana also contributes runoff to the Susitna River. This runoff, termed herein as "intervening flow", was estimated based on the drainage area ratio using natural streamflow at Gold Creek. The streamflows selected are those typical of summer (represented by August) and winter (represented by December) in an average year. Table 2 shows the estimated intervening flows for each sub-reach of the river between Watana and Sunshine.

Exhibits 1 through 5 show various sub-reaches of the river and the locations of cross sections used in the analysis. The cross section data used in the analysis were used in the Instream Hydraulics Study (2).

5.0 ROUTING AND RESULTS

Attenuation of discharge and river stage by the reach between Watana and Devil Canyon is insignificant because of the steep slope of the river and the narrow canyon confining the river. Therefore, the routing was carried out for the reach between Devil Canyon and the Sunshine gage, with the reach divided into two sub-reaches as described below:

1. Devil Canyon damsite to Talkeetna upstream of the confluence with the Chulitna River (52 miles).

Cross-section data at the 63 locations shown on Exhibits 1 through 4 were used. The values of Manning's "n" used in the analysis were those obtained from the Instream Hydraulics Study (2). The reservoir release patterns provided the upstream boundary conditions. At the downstream boundary, the stage-discharge rating curve at cross section 3 just upstream of the confluence with the Chulitna River was used to define the boundary condition. The rating curve was obtained from the Instream Hydraulics Study (2).

Exhibits 6 through 17 show the routed discharge and stage hydrographs at six locations along the sub-reach. Table 3 summarizes the daily stage fluctuations at each of the six locations for each discharge pattern.

2. Downstream of the confluence with the Chulitna River to the Sunshine gage (14 miles).

Cross-section data at the 11 locations shown on Exhibit 5 were used. The cross-section data and channel roughness were also obtained from

the Instream Hydraulics Study (2). The routed hydrographs at cross section 3 in the first sub-reach were used to define the upstream boundary condition. The downstream boundary condition was defined by the stage-discharge rating curve at the Sunshine gage.

Exhibits 18 through 23 show the routed discharge and stage hydrographs at three locations along the sub-reach. Table 4 summarizes the daily stage fluctuations at each of the three locations for each discharge pattern.

The result of the dynamic flow routing as presented in Tables 3 and 4 indicate that attenuation of the discharge and stage fluctuations by the channel storage downstream from the project is relatively small for the sub-reach upstream of the confluence with the Chulitna River. Thus considerable fluctuations remain in that sub-reach. However, the fluctuations are significantly smaller for the sub-reach downstream from the confluence with the Chulitna River to the Sunshine gage. The fluctuations downstream from Sunshine would be even smaller because of the wider channel and additional intervening flows.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

- | <u>NO.</u> | <u>TITLE</u> |
|------------|---|
| 1 | Fread, D.L., "The NWS DAM-BREAK Flood Forecasting Model"
National Weather Service, NOAA, Jan. 1982. |
| 2 | Harza-Ebasco Susitna Joint Venture Instream Hydraulic
Study, "Lower Susitna River, Water Surface Profiles and
Discharge Rating Curves", Preliminary Report, October 1983. |

TABLES

TABLE 1
Near Extreme Power Peaking Operation
Hourly Release Pattern for One Day (cfs)

TIME (hr.)	SUMMER OPERATION	WINTER OPERATION
	Watana 2185 (1)	Watana 2185 (2)
1	8,602	6,090
2	8,062	5,360
3	7,561	4,710
4	7,407	4,345
5	7,291	5,035
6	7,561	7,265
7	8,718	9,500
8	11,978	11,285
9	11,843	12,890
10	12,171	13,195
11	12,884	13,155
12	13,019	13,095
13	12,826	12,990
14	12,634	12,890
15	12,634	13,135
16	12,691	14,050
17	12,846	14,050
18	12,961	14,050
19	12,441	14,050
20	12,036	13,745
21	11,843	12,665
22	12,036	11,285
23	12,961	9,380
24	9,895	7,045

TABLE 2
Estimated Intervening Flows

<u>Reach</u>	<u>During Summer Operation (August)</u> (cfs)	<u>During Winter Operation (December)</u> (cfs)
Between Watana - x-sect. 68 (Represented at x-sect. 68)	1,600	110
Between x-sect. 68-50 (Represented at x-sect. 58)	700	80
Between x-sect. 50-24 (Represented at x-sect. 35)	300	40
Between x-sect. 24-3 (Represented at x-sect. 11)	500	60
Between x-sect. 3-2 (Represented at x-sect. 22)	22,200	1,460
Between x-sect. 2-0.001 (Represented at x-sect. 1.1)	9,270	840

TABLE 3
Range of River Stage Fluctuation (ft)
Devil Canyon Damsite to the Confluence with Chulitna River

<u>Location</u>	<u>River Mile from Mouth (mi)</u>	<u>Watana 2185</u>	
		<u>SUMMER OPERATION</u>	<u>WINTER OPERATION</u> ^{2/}
x-sect. 68	150.2	1.9	4.2
x-sect. 55	141.6	0.9	2.1
x-sect. 44	136.4	1.5	3.0
x-sect. 30	127.5	0.7	1.1
x-sect. 18	113.0	1.1	2.4
x-sect. 3	98.6	1.6	3.1


^{2/} Assuming open water condition.

TABLE 4
Range of River Stage Fluctuation (ft)
Confluence with Chulitna River to Sunshine Station

<u>Location</u>	<u>River Mile from Mouth</u> (mi)	<u>Watana 2185</u>	
		<u>SUMMER OPERATION</u>	<u>WINTER OPERATION</u> ^{2/}
x-sect. 1	97.02	0.1	1.4
x-sect. 0.3	94.23	0.2	1.4
Sunshine gage station	83.9	0.5	1.4

^{2/} Assuming open water condition.

EXHIBITS



LEGEND

- River Cross Section
- River Cross Section For Which Discharge and Stage Hydrographs are Prepared





EXHIBIT 2


LEGEND

- River Cross Section
 ----- River Cross Section For Which Discharge and Stage Hydrographs are Prepared




LEGEND

— River Cross Section
 - - - - - River Cross Section For Which Discharge and Stage Hydrographs are Prepared



LEGEND


- River Cross Section
- ==== River Cross Section For Which Discharge and Stage Hydrographs are Prepared



LEGEND

— River Cross Section

- - - Cross Section Taken From Topographic Map



- NOTES:
1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 68.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 830.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION


HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 55.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 735.30 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	18 JAN 84



- NOTES:
1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 44.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 675.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

**SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION**


HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 19 JAN 84



- NOTES:
1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 30.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 578.40 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	18 JAN 84



- NOTES:
1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 18.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 452.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

**SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION**


HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. SUMMER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 3.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 332.70 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	18 JAN 84



- NOTES:
1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 68.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 830.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

**SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION**

HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER. 55.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 735.30 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY


SUSITNA PROJECT

**SUSITNA RIVER
 RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION**

HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84

EXHIBIT 13



- NOTES:
1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 44.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 675.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

**SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION**


HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 30.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 578.40 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
2. SECTION NUMBER 18.0
3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 452.00 FT. MSL


ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA PROJECT

SUSITNA RIVER
RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION

HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 3.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 332.70 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY


SUSITNA PROJECT

SUSITNA RIVER
 RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION

HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE


CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 18 JAN 84

EXHIBIT 17




NOTES: 1. SUMMER SIMULATION
2. SECTION NUMBER 1.0
3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 320.40 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 81




NOTES: 1. SUMMER SIMULATION
2. SECTION NUMBER 0.3
3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 304.00 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 84




NOTES: 1. SUMMER SIMULATION
2. SECTION AT SUNSHINE GAGE STATION
3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 263.00 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 84




- NOTES:
1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 1.0
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 320.40' FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 84



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION NUMBER 0.3
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 304.00 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSTINA PROJECT	
SUSTINA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EBASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 82



NOTES: 1. WINTER SIMULATION
 2. SECTION AT SHINSHINE GAGE STATION
 3. STAGE DATUM ELEVATION AT 263.00 FT. MSL

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY	
SUSITNA PROJECT	
SUSITNA RIVER RIVER STAGE FLUCTUATION	
HARZA-EDASCO JOINT VENTURE	
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	9 JAN 84