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I. SUMMARY. Information presented in earlier reports is updated in this report using information collected during the 1984 field season. In most cases these data are presented without discussion additional to that already presented in earlier reports. All of these data will be reanalyzed for the final report due in 1986. New analyses of the following topics are presented in this report.

Brown bear use of impoundment proximity polygons including the area within the proposed impoundment, within the area from the shoreline of the proposed impoundment to 1 mile distant, and from l-5 miles distant from the impoundment shoreline were analyzed using the Chi Square statistic to determine whether the number of point locations in each of these 3 zones differed significantly from expected values based on the surface area of each zone. Data from 1980-1984 were lumped for this analysis. Brown bears used these 3 zones significantly differently than expected for all months lumped as well as just for the spring months considered separately. These differences were found for male brown bears as well as for females except that no differences from expected values were observed for brown bear females during the period from 1 July-March 31. Brown bear females accompanied by cubs-of-the-year
also showed no differences from expected values in their use of these 3 impoundment proximity zones. During years when they did not have cubs-of-the-year, these same individuals showed significant differences in their use of these 3 zones than they did when they did have cubs-of-the-year. This suggests that females during years when they are accompanied by cubs-of-theyear behave differently than they do when unaccompanied by newborn cubs. In all cases where significant differences between observed and expected values where found, the observed values for use of the area which would be inundated by the proposed Watana impoundment were greater than the expected values indicating positive selection by bears for the area which would be inundated. These analyses will be refined using vegetation-type categories in the final report using the vegetation map prepared in 1985.

Similar analyses for the black bear point-location data revealed that black bears are even more highly dependent on the impoundment zone than are brown bears. Overall $42 \%$ of all black bear point locations in the Watana dam impact area were in the area that would be inundated by by the proposed Watana Impoundment. This value was highest in May and June, as for brown bears.

Brown bear and black bear density estimates were obtained in spring 1985 using a newly-developed procedure. A description of the technique is presented. A black bear density of approximately 10.8 square kilometers/bear was obtained for that portion of the study area considered to be black bear habitat (95\% CI=7.0-16.2 sq.km./bear). The preliminary density estimate for brown bears was 34.4 sq. km./bear (95\%CI=22.8-50.0 sq. km./bear). These preliminary estimates will be refined for the final report.

Brown bear use of Prairie Creek during the salmon spawning period was evaluated using capture-recapture techniques. Brown bear move to Prairie Creek in late June from a documented area of almost 8,000 square kilometers. During 2 surveys estimates of 48 and 33 bears were obtained. Confidence intervals(95\%) for this estimate indicate of a maximum of 80 bears use Prairie Creek. This estimate is for bears 2.5 years or older, inclusion of younger age classes would result in a larger estimate. This area around Prairie Creek is the most clearly identifiable area of critical habitat for brown bears in the study area and its potential for use as mitigation for the brown bear losses that will result from construction of the impoundment is discussed.
Brown bear predation rates of 3 moose
calves/intensively-monitored radio-marked bear wereobserved in spring 1985. Black bear predation rates onwere lower than for brown bears. Black bears killed atleast 2.1 moose calves/l00 visual observations comparedto 5.5 for brown bears.
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IV. INTRODUCTION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is the third annual progress report of Phase II black and brown bear studies that are being conducted as part of impact assessment work for the proposed

Susitna Hydro-electric project. These studies are being conducted by personnel within the Alaska Department of Fish and Game under contract to the Alaska Power Authority. A through discussion of impacts mechanisms was presented in the Phase I Final Report (Miller and McAllister 1982) and the reader is referred to that report for a discussion of these mechanisms. Some additional analyses of important features of these studies were presented in earlier Phase II progress reports as well (Miller 1983 and 1984) and these discussions are not repeated here. All results will be presented and discussed in the Phase II final report currently in preparation.

In this report an new analysis of data collected during the period 1980-1984 on bear use of the proposed impoundment areas is presented (see section VI-D for brown bears and section VII-H for black bears). New data and analyses on bear predation on ungulates in the study area are also presented in this report. Additional discussion on the importance of Prairie Creek salmon resources to brown bear populations in the study area and the potential to mitigate for
impoundment-related losses to brown bear habitat by protection of Prairie Creek is presented in Section VIH. With these exceptions, the information presented in
this report is primarily an update of the data and discussions presented in previous reports.
Many individuals contributed to the Su-Hydro bear project in 1984. Of primary importance was Dennis McAllister(ADF\&G) who was of invaluable assistance in all portions of the project, especially the fieldwork. My supervisor, Karl Schneider, also made many valuable contributions. Many ADF\&G employees made valuable contributions to many different aspects of the project including: Warren Ballard, Jack Whitman, Al Franzman, Charles Schwartz, Craig Gardiner, Bill Taylor, Herman Griese, Enid Goodwin, Mark Chihuly, SuzAnne Miller, Bob Tobey, Jim Lieb, Earl Becker, Danny Anctil, Tammy Otto, Polly Hessing, Bob Cassell, Larry Aumiller, Paul Smith, Carolyn Crouch, Susie Lawler, and Penny Miles. Granville Cooey (Harza Ebasco) was, as always, of great assistance in accomplishing what needed to be done. Craig Lofstedt(Kenai Air Alaska) flew the helicopter during the tagging portion of this work and several pilots for Air Logistics flew helicopters at other times. Larry Rogers(Kenai Air), Al and Jerry Lee(Lee's Air Taxi), Harley McMahan(McMahan Flying Service), and Don Deering flew fixed wing aircraft at various times. Bruce Barrett and his staff conducting su-Hydro fisheries studies were of great help in providing logistic support during the downstream scat collection
portions of this study. Special thanks are due to Rick Halford for permitting us to use his strip at Susitna Lodge to store our aviation fuel. Robin Sener(IGL and associates), Randy Fairbanks (Harza Ebasco) and Richard Fleming(APA) also assisted in various ways. No doubt many other assisted also that I've forgotten to mention and I offer these people my thanks and apologies for neglecting them.
V. METHODOLOGY

Methods used followed those described by Miller and McAllister (1982), Miller(1983 and 1984). Where new or different techniques were utilized in 1984, these are discussed in the text along with the results.

Monitoring schedules were disruped in 1984 because of intensive, daily or twice daily, monitoring that was conducted on 15 May through 25 June and again on 23 July through 1 August. Other flights were conducted on 3, 18, and 30 April, 10 May, 7 and 22 June(downstream only), 12-16 July, 13-14 and 27 August, 2 and 6 September, 1, 11, and 24 October and 7 November. Various obsevations on individual bears were collected at other times in addition as conditions permitted.

Techniques used in conducting a brown bear census at Prairie Creek during the king salmon spawning period are presented in Section VI-H.

No replication of the black bear census effort, using mark-recapture survey flights was conducted in 1984 (see discussion in Miller 1984) but a new technique for estimating density of both species was conducted in spring 1985. This procedure and the prelimninary results are discussed in Sections VI-E(for brown bears) and VII-C(for black bears).
predictions and the observed spring 1984 status is given in Table 2.

In similar fashion the spring 1985 reproductive status of 20 radio-marked brown bear females was predicted in January 1985. These predictions and the results observed in spring 1985 are given in Table 3.

Litter size information for brown bear litters of cub-of-the-year during the period 1978-1984 are given in Table 4, for litters of yearlings in Table 5 and for litters of 2-year-olds in Table 6. Reproductive histories of radio-marked females during this same period are given in Table 7.

A summary of known losses from brown bear litters of cubs and yearlings is given in Table 8. A total of 39\% of cubs accompanying radio-marked females have been lost compared to $29 \%$ for yearlings(Table 8).

Measurements of brown bear cubs and yearlings handled in the project area are given in Tables 9 and 10, respectively.

## C. Brown bear home range and density estimates.

No additional analyses of brown bear home range sizes were conducted using the data from 1984. For annual home range sizes during the period 1980-1983 see Table 19 in Miller(1984:98).

No additional estimates of brown bear density were conducted in 1984. The best available density estimate for the Su-Hydro study area is $1 / 17$ square miles Miller and Ballard, 1982) as was discussed in Miller and McAllister(1982). Other methods of estimating population size and density were presented in Miller(1984), but these were concluded to be highly inaccurate. An intensive effort to estimate brown bear and black bear densities in the Su-Hydro study area was conducted in spring 1985, an analysis of these data are currently underway and will be presented in the final report of this project(Miller, in prep.).

Updated information on numbers of Susitna River crossings by radio-marked brown bears are presented in Table 11.
D. Use of Impoundment Impact Zones by Brown Bears.

Miller and McAllister(1982:58-60) provided a preliminary assessment of brown bear use of impoundment area proximity zones, this analysis was combined with
data collected subsequently for the analysis presented here. Three zones were identified for each impoundment area: Within the area that would be flooded by the proposed impoundments(zone l), within 1 mile of the high water mark of the proposed impoundments (zone 2), and from 1 to 5 miles from the high water mark of the proposed impoundments(zone 3). Data collected further than 5 miles from the proposed impoundments (zone 4) is also reported but not included in the analysis because, of course, the size of this zone is infinite. A vertical north-south line was drawn to separate the 5mile polygons of each impoundment which would, otherwise, have overlapped. An illustration of these impoundment impact zones was presented in Figure 3 of Miller and McAllister(1982:49). The purpose of this analysis was to determine whether bears were selecting for the impoundment area and at which periods of the year this occurred. Chi square analyses were used to make this determination under the null hypothesis that the number of point locations found in each of these 3 zones was in the same proportion as the area in each zone. Seasons considered included "spring"(April lJune 30) and the rest of the year.

Brown bears used the 3 impoundment significantly differently than expected for all months lumped and in the spring(Table 12). Use of the impoundment zone was
over twice expected values (Table 12). No significant variation from expected values was observed during the period July 1-March 31(Table 12).

Brown bear males, considered separately, also used the 3 impoundment zones significantly differently than expected under the null hypothesis(Table 13). In all months and in both periods use of the impoundment zone was higher than expected values (Table 13).

All brown bear females, considered separately, also used the 3 impoundment zones significantly differently than expected under the null hypothesis(Table 14). This difference was significant for all months lumped and in spring period, but did not differ from expected values during the July l-March 31 period(Table 12).

When a similar analysis was done for brown bears females with cubs-of-the-year(coy), no significant variation from expected values were observed for either all periods lumped or for either of the two time periods(Table 15). This is because these bears tend to stay at higher elevations, well away from the impoundment area during years when they have newborn cubs. I suspect that this behavioral trait is designed to reduce predation on their cubs from other brown bears, especially adult males, that are concentrated in

these lower elevation habitats early in the year. To test this hypothesis I compared the use of these 3 impoundment zones(both impoundments lumped) during years when the same set of females had cubs of the year with the years when they did not(Table 16). During years when they had cubs these bears utilized these 3 zones significantly differently than they did during years when they did not have newborn cubs and use of the impoundment zone was less than expected when these females had cubs(Table 16).
Similar analyses were conducted for observations within the 3 proximity zones of the Devils Canyon impoundment but because of the smaller sample of point-locations in this area and because of the much smaller area that is anticipated to be flooded by the Devils Canyon impoundment, breakdowns by season were not possible. Use of these 3 zones (all months lumped) was significantly different for females without coy and for all bears lumped, it was not significantly different for males(Table 17). The most significant deviations from expected values were observed in zone 3 which was used more than expected. Zone 1 , the impoundment area, was also used more than expected but had only slight use altogether(Table 17).

## E. Brown bear density estimation procedures and

## results

No additional work on brown bear density estimates was accomplished in 1984. Previous work was summarized in last year's progress report concluded that the best density estimate for the study area available was one bear per 17 square miles (Miller and Ballard, 1982) based on work conducted in 1979 in an area adjacent to the Su-Hydro study area(Miller 1984).

Work conducted in spring 1985 was designed to provide an improved density estimate for brown bears in the suHydro study area. These data have been incompletely analyzed at this point but it appears that the density estimate that will result will be approximately one bear per 14 square miles(Miller in prep.). These data will be completely reported in my final report but the techniques followed will be outlined here.

The basic technique followed was the Lincoln-Peterson Index using the ratio of marked to unmarked animals seen during census flights in fixed-wing aircraft(PA 18). This is a variation of the technique reported by Miller and Ballard(1982) and of the technique $I$ reported in Miller(1983). "Marked" animals were those with functioning radio transmitters at the initiation
of the census period, all other bears seen during the census period were considered unmarked animals and were captured when they were spotted and were marked with radio-transmitters or visual markers. Newborn cubs and yearlings were classified the same as their mothers, either "marked" or "unmarked". Consecutive days of search effort were combined to provide a series of independent estimates over time. The number of marked animals present increased in the later periods relative to the earlier periods. This basically follows the procedure for developing a Schnabel estimate.

The unique feature of the estimation procedure followed in spring 1985 was that we tested the assumption that the population of bears was "closed" to immigration and emigration and made a correction for the demonstrated absence of closure. This testing was accomplished by flying the periphery of the search area each day and determining whether the radio-marked bears were present in the search area or were absent. The number of marks "present" during the search effort was, correspondingly, adjusted to be the fractional value represented by the proportion of times the individual bear was determined to be present in the search area; if a bear was present half of the time, for example, it was considered to be 0.50 of a marked bear present in the search area. Bears with just visual marks were
assigned fractional presence values based on the average values for the radio-marked bears of the same sex and age group. Calculation of population size followed using the standard Iincoln Index and associated formulae. This population value was divided by the size of the search area to derive a relatively unbiased estimate of density. Corrections for "periphery" effects, which result from lack of closure of the population, were not needed following this procedure because this factor was taken into account in determining the number of marks present. Following this procedure means that the most seriously violated assumption is no longer lack of closure but unequal catchability. Methods of correcting for violations of this assumption are currently under investigation.
Density estimates, following this procedure, were accomplished simultaneously for both black and brown bears during spring 1985.
Using these procedures a preliminary brown bear density estimate of $34.4 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km} . /$ bear was obtained for the $\mathrm{Su}-$ Hydro study area. Confidence intervals(95\%) for this estimate were approximately $22.8-50.0$ sq.km./bear. A thorough analyses of these data will be presented in the final report These results are preliminary and tentative.

## F. Characteristics of brown bear denning ecology

Updated data for the winter of $1984 / 85$ on of brown bear denning habits in the study area are presented in tables without additional discussion. These data were previously discussed in Miller(1983) and in previous reports and recent data support the conclusions drawn earlier. One brown bear(pregnant Female 396 with 2 newborn cubs in 1985) denned at an atypically low elevation(2,000 feet) site during the winter of 1984/85. This location would have been inundated had it occurred in the vicinity of the Watana Impoundment but it occurred in the vicinity of the Devils Canyon Impoundment so earlier conclusions that no known brown bear den sites would be inundated by the proposed impoundments remain valid. I expect that this low elevation den site is atypical for brown bears in study area.

Updated tables giving the characteristics of dens visited through the winter of 1983/84 are presented in Table 18. Entrance and emergence dates for the winter of 1983/84 are given in Table 19, equivalent data for previous years of study were presented in earlier reports. Entrance dates for the winter of 1984/85 are presented in Table 20. Data on the distances between
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den sites used by the same individual in successive years are given in Table 21. These data indicate a high level of fidelity to the same general denning area in successive years by the same individual (a mean difference of only approximately 4 miles, Table 2l).


G. Harvest of marked brown bears in Su-Hydro study area

Updated data on the harvest of marked brown bears in the Su-Hydro study area are presented in Tables 22-24B. Over the period of study, the proportion of the population harvested each year appears to be increasing(Table 24B) but these data should be cautiously interpreted. A minimum of $13 \%$ of the population of bears marked in the Su-Hydro study area were reported harvested by hunters in 1984 (Table 24A).

## H. Brown bear use of the Prairie Creek area

Each year many brown bears in the Su-Hydro study area move in July and August to a tributary of the Talkeetna River running out of Stephan Lake, Prairie Creek. The purpose of these movements is the run of king(chinook) salmon in this creek. These salmon serve as a rich food source for bears. Sport fisheries biologists with the Department of Fish and Game report that this area
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supports the most concentrated king salmon spawning area in the upper Cook Inlet region(Larry Engle, personal communication). Radio-marked brown bears have been documented moving from an area of 7,894 square kilometers to utilize Prairie Creek salmon resources(Miller 1984:27). The actual area of attraction to brown bears is much larger than this because these data are biased as a result of tagging radio-marked bears only in the Su-hydro study area which is north and east of Prairie Creek, bears moving to Prairie Creek from south and west directions would have no chance of being radiomarked.


The proportion of radio-marked bears in the Su-Hydro study area that have been documented moving to Prairie Creek to fish for salmon has ranged from 13\% in 1981 (a year when little monitoring was done as a result of poor flying conditions) to 38\% in 1984 (Table 25). This proportion appears higher for radio-marked males(50\% in 1984, excluding dispersers) than for radio marked females(33\% in 1984)(Table 25). This is probably because of the larger home ranges of radio marked males(Miller and McAllister 1982).

In connection with intensive monitoring of radio-marked brown bears in spring and summer of 1984 to determine predation rates on ungulate calves(see the following
section of this report), efforts were made to census the number of bears using Prairie Creek during the salmon run. This number is difficult to determine from direct counts because of the exceptionally dense vegetation along the shores of Prairie Creek. This vegetation makes it very difficult to spot the bears from the air, they need only to move a few feet from the creek and they are well hidden from sight. Correspondingly we attempted to census the bears in this area using the ratio of radio-marked to unmarked bears spotted during intensive search efforts along the length of the creek between upper Murder Lake and the Talkeetna River. Marked bears spotted were identified by their radio-frequencies but radio-tracking gear was not utilized in finding the bears during the search effort. The search pattern flown was a circular one overlapping the Prairie Creek from both sides and following up the tributaries on both sides of Prairie Creek up to the limit of where salmon could reach. Subsequent to the search effort, radio-tracking gear was utilized to locate all radio-marked bears in the general area to determine how many were present in the area previously searched. Pilot Al Lee(Lee's Air Taxi) flew these surveys with myself present as spotter and radio-tracker.

Results of 2 surveys, flown on 29 July and 1 August, are presented in Table 26A. On July 29 an estimate of 48 bears (95\% confidence interval=12-80) was obtained, on August 1 an estimate of 33 bears (95\% confidence interval=10-62 bears) was obtained(Table 26A). This estimate includes only bears that were not accompanied by their mothers (or bears at least 2.0 years old), an estimate including these subadults would be 30-40\% higher. The large confidence intervals of this estimate result from a low number of marked bears present in the search area when the census was conducted (only 4-5, Table 26A). Obviously the lower limit of the confidence interval is nonsense as more bears than this value were actually seen on each flight(Table 26A) so a realistic lower limit would be truncated at the number of bears actually seen. Similar surveys are planned for July 1985.

Equivalent data were collected during summer 1985 during the period 23-27 July using replicated morning and evening flights each day in a Piper supercub (PA 18) flown by Harley McMahan with myself as observer. On 6 August another flight was conducted in a Cesna 180 flown by Larry Rogers with myself, Randy Fairbanks and Richard Fleming as observers, this flight was incomplete at the lower end of Prairie Creek because of fuel shortage. The August 6 flight was the poorest in
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terms of observability because of the larger airplane and increased number of observers however it may have provided the best estimate because of the larger number of marked bears that were present(Table 26B). The data from these 1985 flights are included in this report (Table 26B) although they are incompletely analyzed.


These surveys are designed estimate the number of bears using Prairie Creek and also to provide baseline data on this value which can be used to document the anticipated decline in bear use of Prairie Creek which will occur when the impoundment is built. This documentation will result from replicated surveys flown subsequent to construction when the impact of development has resulted in the anticipated exclusion of many brown bears from this resource. This exclusion will result, in part, from increased numbers of nonsport brown bear kills by the increased number of recreational users who will have access to the area subsequent to construction of access routes from the Denali Highway. More important, however, will be the effects of disturbance exclusion whereby brown bears will abandon the area because of the anticipated large increase in numbers of humans using the area.

Prairie Creek is the only clear example of critical habitat for brown bears that has been found in the
vicinity of the proposed hydroelectric project. As such, protection of this area from the competitive exclusion impacts, mentioned above, would appear to offer an excellent opportunity to mitigate for the losses to brown bear habitat that will occur as a result of the project. This mitigation could be achieved if the area surrounding• Prairie Creek were obtained by the state and put into an appropriately protective land-use designation such as a state Game Refuge. This protection would not result in any absolute increase in numbers of brown bears that could be used to offset the losses that will be caused by the project, no mechanism that would accomplish such an increase is known. However, protection of Prairie Creek from human competitive exclusion impacts would help maintain larger populations of bears than would be able to exist in this area without such protection of Prairie Creek. As this is the only kind of mitigation which is possible for the losses that the project would cause to brown bear populations in the study area, protection of Prairie Creek as a food source for salmon-fishing brown bears should receive the attention of mitigation planners. That that factors necessary to adequately protect Prairie Creek from exclusion impacts include:

1. Restrictions on human use of the area between 1 July and 15 August, at least; and
2. Minimal human development and impacts in the larger area surrounding Prairie Creek, such as the Fog Lakes area, through which bears must pass to get to Prairie Creek.

It is noteworthy that the recreational plan currently under consideration as part of the FERC license application is incompatible with either of these requirements. Among other things it is highly questionable, for example, whether there would be any point in protecting Prairie Creek as a State Game Refuge if road access to the south side of the Susitna River is provided as a result of the project. Such access would almost certainly eliminate the Prairie Creek area as a critical habitat area that would be utilized by brown bears.

## I. Brown bear predation rates on ungulates

During spring 1984 selected radio-marked brown bears were monitored twice per day from 29 May through 7 June and once per day from 8 June through 1 July, weather conditions permitting. These data were collected simultaneously with moose calf mortality studies being
conducted as part of the upstream moose project(Ballard and others in prep.). Similar data were collected during once/day monitoring of the same bears during 23 July through 1 August to compare spring and summer predation rates.

During the spring period twenty-six moose calf kills were positively identified, for 16 radio marked bears, an additional 8 kills of non-calf moose and 3 age or species unknown kills were also observed(Table 27). This represents a total of 48 known or suspected kills of ungulates by these bears during the spring, approximately 3/bear(Table 27). Females with newborn cubs had the lowest predation rates $(1.5$ kills of moose calves/100 visuals) and females with yearlings had the highest rates(8.7/100 visuals) (Table 27). The low rates for females with newborn cubs doubtless reflects the elevational separation which typically separates these bears from other bears during the spring (Miller and McAllister 1982). This separation puts most females with cubs away from the area where most other bears are concentrated and also away from the areas where moose calves are being born.

Only one ungulate kill was observed during the summer observation period(Table 28). If the same ratio of visual observations of bears to kills of ungulates that
had been observed in the spring occurred in the fall, then 3.5 kills would have been expected(excludes observations made at Prairie Creek). It appears that ungulate kills by brown bears are more prevalent in the spring than during the summer as would be expected. A more complete analysis of these data will be conducted for the final report.

## VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION--BLACK BEARS

## A. Sex and Age Composition of Study Animals

Following the May 1984 tagging effort 30 black bears (including 13 in the downstream study area) were radiomarked. Capture data from 1980-1984 are given in Table 29. losses of marks and bears left 27 radio-marked bears by spring 1985, ll of these in the downstream study area.

## B. Population Biology and Productivity--Black Bears

Based on reproductive status in 1984, Miller(1984: Table 31) predicted the spring 1984 reproductive status of 19 radio-marked black bear females. These predictions and the observed status of these bears in the spring 1984 are given in Table 30. Similar predictions, during January 1985, were made for the spring 1985 reproductive status of 21 radio-marked black bears. These predictions and the observed results are presented in Table 31. These data are useful in calculating reproductive interval and will be thoroughly evaluated in the final report.

Updated litter size information for black bear cubs is given in Table 32 and for litters of yearlings in Table
33. Updated information on the losses of newborn cubs of radio-marked females is given in Table 34. Updated information on sex ratio and morphometrics of black bear cubs and yearlings is provided in Tables 35 and 36 respectively. Updated information on apparent causes of natural mortalities to black and brown bears is given in Table 37.

## C. Black Bear Density Estimates

No additional work on black bear density estimates was accomplished in 1984. For a review of the work accomplished to date on this topic see Miller(1984), Miller(1983) and Miller and McAllister(1982). None of these reports provide an acceptable estimate of black bear density. An extensive effort to provide an accurate and objective estimate of black bear density was made in spring 1985 following the same procedures previously discussed for brown bears(see section VII C of this report). This effort was successful. These data are currently being analyzed and will be reported in the final report.

A preliminary analysis of these results indicate that the area of 520 square miles searched for black and brown bears contained approximately 39 brown bears and

49 black bears(Miller in prep.). All of this area was brown bear habitat but not all of it was black bear habitat. The portion of the search area that was black bear habitat was determined by plotting the point locations of all radio-marked black bears during the period 1980-1984. These points were used to delineate "black bear habitat" by manually drawing a convex polygon such that all but a few of these points were included. Excluded points represented locations felt to be erratic or point locations outside of typical black bear habitats. The same process was followed to delineate the portion of the whole upstream area that was black bear habitat so that the density estimate obtained in the census area could be applied to this larger area in order to obtain a population estimate for the whole study area.

The census area of 520 square miles contained about 206 square miles (532 square kilometers) that was considered black bear habitat determined in this way. Correspondingly, an estimate of about 49 black bears for this area would represent a density of about 1 black bear/4.2 square miles or $1 / 10.9$ square kilometers. The total area of the upstream study area considered to be black bear habitat was 465 square miles(1203 square kilometers), calculated as outlined above. Applying the above density figure to this area
yields a population estimate of about 111 bears(all sexes and ages). These data are highly preliminary and should be cited with care until final analysis is accomplished. Without doubt some modifications to the figures presented here will occur when the final analysis is completed. It is also noteworthy that this population estimate reflects the number of bears present in spring 1985, not the capability of the habitat to support this many bears. As mentioned in previous reports(Miller 1984 and 1983, Miller and McAllister 1982) it is felt that the current population has declined sharply from the number of bears present in the study area in 1980 and 1981, probably as a result of the poor berry crop in 1981.

## D. Berry Abundance

Four transects designed to document changes in berry abundance between years were established in 1982 (Miller 1983). These same plots were read in 1983 and in 1984 and these results are presented in Table 39 along with the results from previous years. Fewer blueberries were counted in transects 2 and 3 in 1984 than in the previous year. These data suffer from an inadequate sample size. A subjective appraisal of berry abundance in each of the years of study is presented in Table 40. This appraisal suggests decreased berry abundance in
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1984 relative to 1983. Information on the relative abundance of berries in different habitat types is being collected in summer 1985 in association with moose browse inventory studies. E. Home Range and Movements


Home range data for radio-marked black bears (19801983) are presented in Tables 41 and 42 for downstream and upstream bears respectively. Analyses in addition to those reported in Miller(1983) will be conducted for the final report. Annual numbers of river crossings by radio-marked black bears are reported in Table 43.

## F. Bear Food Habits

The contents of scats collected in 1984 are presented in Table 44. As discussed in Miller(1984) experimental attempts to develop a technique to differentiate between the scats of black and brown bears were unsuccessful, so in most cases these results are for bears of unknown species.

Most of the scats analyzed were collected in mid-August along the streams and sloughs between Curry and Portage Creek, downstream of the proposed impoundments. These collections were made in this area in order to evaluate
the impacts of expected reductions of spawning salmon in these areas subsequent to construction of the impoundments. This reduction was thought likely to impact bears feeding on these salmon. Of 39 samples collected along these sloughs and. streams in 1984 however, none contained identifiable remnants of salmon. These results are equivalent to those reported previously (Miller 1984 and 1983). Also as reported before, berries of devils club (oplopanax horridus) were the most commonly found item in these scats(Table 44). Salmon were more abundant in these sloughs than they were in 1983(Table 45). This was because 1984 was an even-numbered year when pink salmon are more abundant.

## G. Black Bear Denning Ecology

Raw data on the dimensions and other characteristics of black bear den sites found in the study area are given in Table 46. The history of den use by each individual radio-marked bears is provided in Table 47. Some radio-marked bears use the same den sites in successive years and some use dens previously occupied by another radio-marked bear. Correspondingly, a history of known use of individual den sites is provided in Table 48. A total of 82 individual black bear den sites have been identified to date throughout the entire study area, 23
in the Devils Canyon area, 23 downstream of this, and 36 in the Watana impoundment area(Table 48). Dens that were excavated by bears represented $50 \%$ of the dens found, 34\% of the dens were in natural cavities (caves, rock piles, etc.), and 4\% were in trees(Table 48). In the Watana Impoundment area, $20(56 \%$ ) of the dens discovered would be flooded by the impoundment. In the Devils Canyon impoundment area, only $1(4 \%)$ of the dens found would be flooded by the Devils Canyon impoundment(Table 48).

During winter of 1984/85, 13 dens that had been occupied by radio-marked black bears in previous years were revisited and inspected for occupants. None were occupied(Table 48).

Entrance and emergence dates of radio-marked black bears from their 1983/84 dens are provided in Table 49. Entrance dates into 1984/85 dens by radio-marked black bears are provided in Table 50. Emergence in spring 1985 was delayed by late snows, but these data have not yet been compiled.

Conclusions of my earlier reports that the Watana impoundment would impact a significant amount of black bear denning habitat upstream of the site of the Watana dam are supported by these data. The Devils Canyon dam
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on the other hand is likely to have only a small impact on black bear denning habitats.


## H. Black Bear Use of Impoundment: Proximity Zones

Black bear use of nested zones of proximity to the Devils Canyon and Watana impoundments was analyzed using the same methods and procedures previously discussed for brown bears(see section VII-D of this report and Miller and McAllister 1982). Black bear use of the areas that would be inundated by the Watana impoundment was highly significant when compared to the adjacent zone or to the adjacent 2 zones (Table 5l). Overall 42\% of the observations of radio-marked black bears made in the vicinity of the Watana impoundment were in the area that would be inundated by that dam(Table 51). This percentage value was highest in May and June, the same time period when brown bear use of the impoundment area was highest. No doubt at this time the black bears and brown bears are using the same spring food resources that are available earliest on the south-facing slopes along the Susitna River and its tributaries: carrion, newly-emerged plants, overwintered berries, and moose calves.

This same pattern is not evident for the Devils Canyon impoundment. This is probably because of the very
small area that would be inundated by this impoundment (only $3.3 \%$ of the area within 5 miles of the Susitna River along the reach of the River that would be inundated by the Devils Canyon impoundment) (Table 52). In the spring period when the Devils Canyon impoundment zone is most used (May 1-June 30), observed use was lower than expected values for zone 1 for the comparison between zones $I$ and 2 (Table 52). In the area around the Devils Canyon impoundment the distribution of acceptable black bear habitat is much wider than farther upstream and as a result dependence of the immediate vicinity of the river is less in the lower portion of the study area.

## I. Black Bear Predation Rates

As discussed earlier in this report for brown bears (Section VII-I), radio-marked black bears were intensively monitored in spring 1.984 and again in midsummer. Predation rates by black bears on ungulates(Tables 53 and 54) was lower than for brown bears(Tables 27 and 28). Black bears killed at least 2.1 calves/100 visual observations in the spring while brown bears killed at least 5.5/100 visual observations. These are minimum values because not all kills could be observed or identified. These data will be more completely analyzed for the final report, but it is clear that black bear bear predation on moose
calves is significant in the study area and that a complete elimination of brown bears from the study area would not eliminate predation losses to bears. The degree to which black bear predation is additive or compensatory to brown bear predation is not clear from the preliminary data analysis. I suspect that moose calf losses to black bear predation is largely additive to losses to brown bear predation but that if brown bears were greatly reduced in numbers that some compensatory increase in black bear predation would occur. This would be because black bears would probably range more widely and would likely frequent habitats they currently tend to avoid because of the dangers of encountering brown bears in these habitats.
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## IX. TABLES

Table 1. Brown bears captured in Susitna Dam Studies as of July, 1985

| Tattoo | Sex | $\frac{\text { Captur }}{\text { Age }}$ | Wt. | Date | Serial \# | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (277) | F | 10.5 | 225* | 4/10/80 |  | 1065/1066 | w/2 ylgs, not marked, collar shed 80/81 den |
| (278) | M | 9.5 | 375* | 4/19/80 |  |  | capture mortality |
| (279) | M | 9.5 | 400** | 4/20/80 |  | 1100/1099 | collar shed by 6/12/80, recaptured 5/18/83, shot 9/84 |
| 280 | M | 5.5 | 300* | 4/20/80 |  | 1097/1098 | recollar next spring |
| 214 | M | 4.5 | 300* | 4/22/80 |  | 1072/1071 | collar shed 9/9/80, recaptured 6/85 |
| 281 | F | 3.5 | 250* | 4/22/80 |  | 16175/15950 | not turgid, see 5/81 recapture |
| 282 | M | 4.5 | 325* | 4/22/80 |  | 1079/1080 | see 6/82 recapture |
| 283 | F | 12.5 | 280* | 4/22/80 |  | 690/689 | w2 @2.5: 284 and 285 |
| (284) | M | 2.5 | 180*, | 4/22/80 |  | 1074/1073 | w/283 see 5/5/81 recapture |
| 285 | M | 2.5 | 180* | 4/22/80 |  | 687/688 | w/283 |
| 286 | M | 3.5 | 264 | 5/1/80 |  | 1081/1082 |  |
| 292 | $F$ | 3.5 | 174 | 5/2/80 |  | 1322/1321 | Turgid |
| 293 | M | 3.5 | 277 | 5/2/80 |  | 1116/1115 |  |
| (294) | M | 10.5 | 607 | 5/2/80 |  | -- | died on 8/6/81 recapture |
| (295) | M | 12.5 | 589 | 5/3/80 |  | 1303/1304 | collar shed by 5/4/80 |
| 299 | F | 13.5 | 285 | 5/4/80 |  | 1109/1110 | w/2 ylgs, turgid, recaptured 5/7/81 |
| (297) | M | 1.5 | 65 | 5/4/80 |  | (1301/1302) | w/299, shot by hunter on 9/18/81 |
| 298 | M | 1.5 | 65 | 5/4/80 |  | 1318/1317 | w/299 |
| 306 | F | 3.5 | 163 | 5/4/80 |  | 1319/1320 | turgid |
| (308A) | M | 6.5 | 480 | 5/6/80 |  | (1126/1125) | shot 9/83 |
| (308B) | F | 5.5 | 240 | 5/6/80 |  | 109671095 | turgid(?) - died on 8/6/81 recapture |
| 309 | M | 12.5 | 600 | 5/6/80 |  | 1117/1118 | collar shed by 5/14/80, recaptured 6/85 |
| (312) | F | 10.5 | 319 | 5/7/80 |  | 1312/1311 | w/311 |
| (311) | M | 2.5 | 227 | 5/7/80 |  | -- | shot on 9/16/80 |
| 313 | F | 9. 5 | 286 | 5/7/80 |  | 1119/1120 | w/314@2.5 |
| 314 | F | 2.5 | 154 | 5/7/80 |  | 1049/1050 | w/313, recaptured 6/1/85 |
| 315 | F | 2.5 | 90* | 5/7/80 |  | 1127/1128 | alone, recaptured 5/18/83 |
| (284\#2) | M | 3.5 | 125 | 5/5/81 |  | 1074/1073 | near $283 \mathrm{w} / 2 \mathrm{c}$, shot by hunter on 5/18/81 |
| (331) | F | 6.5 | 172 | 5/5/61 |  | (1296/1295) | w/332 and 333; died August 1982 |
| (332) | M | 2.5 | 79 | 5/5/81 | : | (1215/1216) | W/331 and 333 , shot by hunter on 9/5/82 |
| (333) | M | 2.5 | 67 | 5/5/81 |  | (1240/1239) | w/331 and 332, shot by hunter on 9/3/81 |
| 334 | F | 10.5 | 325 | 5/5/81 |  | 1292/1291 | estrus, missing in 1982 |
| 335 | F | 3.5 | 194 | 5/5/81 |  | 1220/1219 | recaptured 5/14/83, age changed + 1 ' 83 tooth |
| 281\#2 | F | 4.5 | -- | 5/6/81 |  | 1201/1202 | estrus? recaptured 5/15/83 |
| 283\#2 | F | 13.5 | 261 | 5/6/81 |  | 1089/1090 | W/338 and 339, recaptured 5/14/83 |
| 338 | F | 0.5 | 12 | 5/6/81 |  | 1224/1223 | w/283, sex switched to female |
| 339 | M | 0.5 | 13 | 5/6/81 |  | 1222/1221 | w/283, recaptured 6/85, sex switched to male |
| 312\#2 | F | 11.5 | 280 | 5/6/81 |  | 1300/1299 | w/2c ( 00.5 (not captured), recaptured 5/14/83 |
| 313\#2 | F | 10.5 | 284 | 5/6/81 |  | 1120/1119 | w/336, recaptured 5/14/83 |
| 336 | F | 0.5 | -- | 5/6/81 |  | 1237/1238 | w/313, not drugged (abandoned) |
| 337 | F | 13.5 | 321 | 5/6/81 |  | 1294/1293 | w/3c reunited on 5/9/81, recaptured 5/14/83 |
| 340 | F | 3.5 | 190 | 5/6/81 |  | 1225/1218 | not estrus, recaptured 5/15/83 |
| 280\#2 | M | 6.5 | 394 | 5/7/81 |  | 1097/1267 | w/F 341, recaptured 5/16/83 |
| 341 | F | 6.5 | 224 | 5/7/81 |  | (1208/1207) | W/M 280, collar failed, recaptured 6/81 |
| 299\#2 | F | 14.5 | 291 | 5/7/81 |  | 110971110 | w/2 @2.5 (297 and 298 - not recaptured), not estrus, recaptured $8 / 6 / 81$ |
| (342A) | M | 2.5 | 220 | 5/7/81 |  | 1228/1227 | alone, see 5/25/82 recapture, died 7/84 |
| 344 | F | 5.5 | -- | 5/8/81 |  | 1204/1203 | w/2 cubs subsequently, recaptured 5/14/83 |
| (345) | M | 7.5 | 495 | 5/8/81 |  | -- -- | capture mortality |
| (308B) \#2 | F | 6.8 | -- | 8/6/81 |  | -- -- | recapture mortality |
| 299\#3 | F | 14.8 | -- | 8/6/81 |  | 1109/1110 | collar replaced, recaptured 5/18/81 |

rable 1. (continued)


|  | Tattoo | Capture |  |  |  | Serial \# | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sex | Age | Wt. | Date |  |  |  |
|  | 299\#5 | F | 17.5 | 308 | 5/15/84 | same | same | W/3@1, 417-419 |
|  | 417\#2 | M | 1.5 | 94 | 5/15/84 | 12080 | same | w/G299 \& siblings, small implant |
|  | 418\#2 | M | 1.5 | 86 | 5/15/84 | 12081 | same | w/G299 \& siblings, large implant |
|  | 419\#2 | M | 1.5 | 84 | 5/15/84 | 12076 | same | w/G299 \& siblings, amall implant |
|  | 399\#2 | M | 10.5 | 662 | 5/15/84 | 6405 | same | alone |
|  | 388\#2 | F | 15.5 | 400* | 5/16/84 | same | same | w/2c |
|  | (\#16) | M | 0.5 | -- | 5/16/84 | (1389) | (1389/1390) | w/G388, capture-induced seperation, died/shed 6/84 |
|  | (\#17) | F | 0.5 | 00 | 5/16/84 | (1623) | ( 40750 ) | w/G388, capture induced seperation, died 5/84 |
|  | 312\#3 | F | 14.5 | 300* | 5/16/83 | (6332) | same, | w/3c, old and new radio fatlures, capture mortality on 5/17/84 |
|  | (279\#3) | M | 13.5 | 800* | 5/16/84 | (6339718884) | same, | large implant, shot 9/84 |
|  | 281\#4 | F | 7.5 | 350* | 5/17/84 | 6407 | same | w/2c |
|  | (21) | M | 0.5 | 14 | 5/17/84 | (1703) | 1386/1383 | w/G281, drowned? |
|  | (22) | M | 0.5 | 14 | 5/17/84 | (1710) | (1385/1384) | w/G281, killed by BrB |
|  | 337\#3 | F | 16.5 | 325 | 5/17/84 | same | same | w/2c, recaptured 6/85 |
|  | 08 | F | 0.5 | 12 | 5/17/84 | 1708 | 1338/1337 | w/337 |
|  | 09 | F | 0.5 | 12 | 5/17/84 | 1711 | 1340/1339 | w/337 |
|  | 340\#3 | F | 6.5 | 375* | 5/17/84 | same | same | w/2c, recaptured 6/85 |
|  | 23 | ? | 0.5 | 17 | 5/17/84 | 1713 | 45/28 | w/340, |
|  | 24 | ? | 0.5 | 14 | 5/17/84 | 1706 | 44/27 | w/340 |
|  | 420 | F | 19.5 | 350* | 5/17/84 | 6335 | 2447/2057 | $w / 2 @ 1$, one is 421 |
|  | 421 | M | 1.5 | 78 | 5/17/84 | 3984/1886 | 1644/2086 | w/420 \& uncaptured sibling. large implant, female sibling, 437, captured 6/85 |
| 灾 | 422 | M | 4.5 | 205 | 5/18/84 | 18716 | 2136/2137 | alone near camp |
|  | 381\#2 | F | 5.5 | 263 | 5/18/84 | 6341 | same | alone . . |
|  | $400 \% 2$ | M | 21.5 | 600* | 5/18/84 | 6325 | same | alone |
|  | 382\#2 | M. | 2.5 | 148 | 5/18/84 | 15289 | same | w/G313, old implant $=8.110$, breakaway |
|  | 423 | F | A | 300* | 5/18/84 | 6306 | none | w/4c, drug problem |
|  | 25 | M | 0.5 | 7 | 5/18/84 | 1712 | 39/32 | smallest cub w/G423 |
|  | -- | F | 0.5 | -- | 5/18/84 | - | 49/48 | other sibling w/G413 not marked or sexed |
|  | 425 | F | A | -- | 6/01/84 |  |  | w/282 M |
|  | 282\#3 | M | 8.5 | -- | 6/01/84 |  |  | w/425, recapture of shed collar |
|  | 342\#3 | M | 5.6 | -- | 7/28/84 | --. | -- | capture mortality |
|  | 427 | M | A | 195 | 6/01/85 | 6322 | 1697/2113 | rot-away canvas spacer used |
|  | 398\#2 | F | 4.5 | 200* | 6/01/85 | 6315 | same | $396{ }^{\prime \prime}$ s offspring @2 in 1983 |
|  | $314 \# 2$ | F | 7.5 | 285* | 6/01/85 | 6352 | same | w/1@1 2 yr old w/G313 on 5/80; had litter at age 6 |
|  | 429 | F | 1.5** | 104 | 6/01/85 | -- | 1514/1518 | w/G314 break-away marker collar w/black flag |
|  | 341\#2 | F | 10.5 | -- | 6/03/85 | 6287 | 2174/1372 | old collar failed permaturely added new tags to old |
|  | 214\#2 | M | 9.5 | 600* | 6/03/85 | xx46 | 1071/1649 | previously shed collar |
|  | 437 | F | 2.5* | 175* | 6/03/85 | 1036 | 2082/2083 | w/G421, probably sibling, rot-away collar |
|  | 309/440 | M | 17.5 | 700* | 6/04/85 | 6298 | 2163/1523 | old collar shed, tattoo 440 in upper left, break-away |
|  | 442 | M | A | 750* | 6/04/85 | -- | 1677/2117 | "Harley" yellow flag in rt. ear |
|  | 443 | M | A | 400* | 6/04/85 | -- | 2172/-- | red flag in right, blond |
|  | 397\#2 | F | 4.5 | 300* | 6/04/85 | 6449 | 1534/1597 | estus w/443, was w/G396 in 1983@2 |
|  | 447 | $F$ | A | 400* | 6/05/85 | 10337 | 2430/2429 | --, break-away |
|  | 347\#2 | M | 18.5 | 650* | 6/09/85 | -- | 2184/2181 | orange flags in ears, old eartags gone |
|  | 339/450 | M | 4.5 | 150* | 6.09/85 | -- | 1221/2130 | originally captured in 1981 @OW/G283, sexed as F, switched w/sex of sibling? Tattoos=450 |
|  | 385\#2 | F | 4.5 | 130* | 6/09/85 | -- | 1507/1592 | green flag on visual drop-off, old ear tags replaced |
|  | 407\#2 | F | 6.5 | 200* | 6/09/85 | same | same | alone drop-off feature added to collar |
|  | 337\#4 | F | 17.5 | 200* | 6/09/85 | 6440 | same | w/2@1 - these have no collars |
|  | 273 | F | 9.5 | 200* | 6/09/85 | 6342 | same | age $=3$ in 1979, transported, returned, old collar replaced |
|  | 340 | F | 17.5 | 250* | 6/10/85 | 6333 | same | replaced collar, w/2@1 |
|  | 280\#4 | M | 10.5 | 400* | 6/10/85 |  | same | collar removed |

[^0]Table 2. Predicted and observed spring 1984 reproductive status of radio-collared female brown bears.

| $\cdots$ | ID | 1984 age | Predicted* <br> 1984 status | Comments | Observed <br> 1984 status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ! | 281 | 7 | cubs | lost '83 litter (2) in May | 2 cubs |
| an | 283 | 16 | cubs | lost ' 83 litter (1) in Maý, bred | alone |
|  | 394 | 7 | cubs | lost '83 litter (1) in May, bred | alone |
|  | 312 | 14 | cuibs | weaned 102 in ' 83 , bred | 3 cubs |
| $\cdots$ | 337 | 16 | cubs | weaned la2 in ' 83 , bred | 2 cubs |
|  | 384 | 13 | cubs | weaned 3@2 in '83, bred | 2 cubs |
|  | 388 | 15 | cubs | weaned 2 @ 2 in ' 83 , bred | 2 cubs |
|  | 396 | 14 | cubs | weaned 2 @2 in ' 83 , bred | 1 cub |
| m | 315 | 6 | cubs | first litter? | alone |
|  | 335 | 6 | cubs | first litter | 2 cubs |
| m | 340 | 6 | cubs | first litter, bred in ' 83 | 2 cubs |
|  | 381 | 5 | cubs | first litter | alone |
|  | 407** | 5 | cubs | alone in '83, first litter? | alone |
|  | 299 | 17 | 3 ylgs | had cubs in ' 83 | 3 ylgs |
|  | 344 | 8 | 1 ylg | had cubs in ' 83 | 1 ylg |
|  | 403** | 7 | 1 ylg | had cubs in '83 | 1 ylg |
|  | 313 | 13 | w/1@2 | with 1@1 in 183 | w/1@2 |
| + | 379** | 7 | w/1@2 | with ylgs tn '83 | 2/1@2 |
|  | 385 | 3 | barren | weaned from G337 in '83 | barren |
| Notan | 393 (missing?) | 3 | barren | weaned from G384 in ' 83 | NA |

* See Table 6 tn Miller (1984:78)
** bear occurs in the downstream study area

Table 3. Predicted spring 1985 reproductive status of radio-collared female brown bears.

| ID | 1985 age | Predicted* <br> 1985 status | Comments | Observed <br> 1985 status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 281 | 8 | cubs | lost ' 83 \&' 84 litters in May, bred in ${ }^{184}$ | 2 cubs |
| 283 | 17 | cubs | litter was expected in ' 84 , bred | 2 cubs |
| 388 | 16 | cubs | lost '84 litter in May, bred | 2 cubs |
| 396 | 15 | cubs | lost litter (1) in May | 2 cubs |
| 315 | 7 | cubs | first litter was expected in '84 | NA (missing) |
| 381 | 6 | cubs | first litter was expected in '84 | 2 cubs |
| 407** | 6 | cubs | first iltter was expected in '84 | alone |
| 379** | 8 | cubs | weaned lị2 tn '84 | alone |
| 313 | 14 | cubs | weaned la2 in '84, bred | NA (missing) |
| 344 | 9 | cubs? | lost 1@1 Ln May, bred | NA (missing) |
| 425 | A | cubs | bred in ${ }^{184}$ | 2 cubs |
| 337 | 16 | w/2@1 | 2 cubs in '84 | 2 glgs |
| 384 (missing) | 14 | w/2@I | 2 cubs in '84 | NA |
| 335 | 7 | w/2@1 | 2 cubs in '84 | 2 ylgs |
| 340 | 7 | w/2el | 2 cubs in ' 84 | 2 ylgs |
| 423 | A | w/3@1 | 3 cubs in '84 | 3 ylgs |
| 299 | 18 | w/3@2 | $3 @ 1$ in 1/34 | NA (missing) |
| 403 | 8 | w/192 | 101 in '134 | alone? |
| 420 | A | w/2@2 | w/Ylgs in '84 | w/2@2 |
| 385 | 4 | barren | barren in '84 | alone |

[^1]Table 4. Summary of Nelchina Basin brown bear litter size data for cubs of the year (based on spring observations of radio-collared bears).


Table 4. (cont'd)

| BEAR ID (year-age) | LITTER SIZE (year) | COMments | Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 283(1983, 15) | 1(1983) | killed by brown bear by 5/17/83, cub was collared | 1 of 1 lost |
| 337 (1981, 13) | 3(1981) | cubs and female reunited, 1 cub lost in 81/82 den, other 2 survived to exit ( 1 weaned in 1983, other lost as ylg.) | 1 of 3 lost |
| $337(1984,16)$ | 2(1984) | both survived to den, collared cubs | 0 of 2 lost |
| 344(1981, 5) | 2(1981) | both lost in ' 82 as yearlings | 0 of 2 lost |
| 344(1983, 7) | 2(1983) | lost 1 in early July - other survived to den exit | 1 of 2 1ost |
| 379(1982, 5) | 2(1982) | both survived | 0 of 2 lost |
| 341(1981, 6) | 2(1982) | $\text { survived until } 7 / 15 / 82 \text { when bear }$ was lost | none |
| 299(1980, 13) | 1(1982) | bear weaned 2 @ 2 in 1981, cub lost by 6/9/82 | 1 of 1 lost |
| 299(1983, 16) | 3(1983) | all cubs collared, alive to den exit | 0 of 3 lost |
| 281(1983, 6) | 2(1983) | both killed by brown bear by 6/1/83, cubs collared | 2 of 2 lost |
| 281(1984, 7) | 2(1984) | lost both in May, one suspected killed by brown bear, other unknown (accidental drowning?), collared cubs | 2 of 2 lost |
| 394(1983, 6) | 1(1983) | lost (capture related?) by 5/16, bred | 1 of 1 lost (capture related?) |


| Table 4. (cont'd) <br> BEAR ID(year-age)  | LITTER SIZE (year) | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Summary
No. of cubs No. of litters mean litter size (range) 19 of 47 cubs lost in first year of $11 f(2$ of these possibly capture-related) $40 \%$
59

Table 5. Summary of Nelchina Basin brown bear litter size data for litters of yearlings (based on spring observation of radio-collared beaxs).

| BEAR ID (year-age) | LITTER SIZE (year) | COMMENTS | Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $220(1978,5)$ | 1 (1978) | ylg entered den and was weaned in 1979, bred | 0 of 1 lost |
| $221(1978,8)$ | 2(1978) | survived, weaned in 1979 | 0 of 2 lost |
| 234(1978, 5) | 2(1978) | Paxson dump bear, lost apparent ylgs between $6 / 23 / 78$ and $8 / 4 / 78$, reported1y had cubs in August 1979, radio failed | none |
| 240(1979, 5) | 2(1979) | bear transplanted with ylgs, not known if ylgs, survived to return to expt. area, bear was alone on $7 / 18 / 80$ | none |
| $244(1979,6)$ | 1(1979) | thin female transplanted with $y 1 g$, ylg. survived at least 21 days, female bred, but alone in July and August 1980 | ```none-transplant bias``` |
| 251(1979, 10) | 2 (1979) | ```very large yearlings lost 10-17 days after transplant, bear had no cubs in 1980 (August)``` | none, transplant bias |
| 254(1979, 9) | 2 (1979) | female died after transplant (ylgs??) | none |
| 261(1979, 7) | 2(1979) | lost 1 ylg between 1 and 7 days after transplant, other survived at least until Sept., didn't return to study area | none-transplant <br> bias |
| 269(1979, 16) | 2(1979) | transplanted, returned to study area with female, no cubs on $9 / 29 / 80$, shot in fall 1981 reportedly without cubs | none, transplant bias |
| 274(1979, 11) | 1 (1979) | transplanted, no radio | none |
| 207(1978, 11) | 1 (1979) | survived until 9/12/79 | 0 of l lost |
| 231(1978,12) | 1 (1979) | survived until 8/79 | none |
| $213(1978,10)$ | $1(1978)$ | apparent ylg was not captured, had cubs following year | 1 of 1 lost (capture related?) | 17

Table 5. (cont'd)

| BEAR ID (year-age) | LITTER SIZE (year) | COMMENTS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Summary

Summary
No. of yearlings No. litters mean litter size (range)

Table 6. Summary of Nelchina Basin brown bear litter size data for litters of 2-year olds (based on observation of radio-collared bears).


Table 6. (cont'd)
BEAR ID(year-age) LITTTER SIZE (year) COMMENTS

| $331(1981,6)$ | $2(1981)$ | weaned by $6 / 15$, bred, no cubs in 1982 <br> died in 1982 (reason?) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $379(1984,1)$ | $1(1984)$ | apparently weaned cub (time?), bred |

Summary

| No. of 2-year olds <br> 26 | No. of litters | Mean litter size(range) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 7. Brown bear offspring survivorship and weaning, GMU 13 studies. (Excludes bears transplanted in 1979).

| year | MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G207(11 in 1978) | G220(5 in 1978) | G221 (8 in 1978) | G204(7 in 1978) | G321(12 in 1978) |
| 1978 | 3 cubs, April-Oct. | 1 ylg., May-Oct. | 2 ylgs., May-Oct. | 2 a 2 in May, weaned in June and bred | bred |
| 1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { ylg., May-Sept. } \\ & 2 \text { ylgs, lost in } \\ & 78 / 79 \text { den?) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { June }}{1} @ 2 \text {, weaned in }$ | 2 @ 2 weaned in May, radio failure | no data | ```2 of 3 cubs lost in June, 1 survived April-Sept.``` |
| 1980 | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |


| year | MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured) |  |  |  |  | G281(3 in 1980) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G277(10 in 1980) | G312(10 in 1980) | G299(13 in 1980) | G313 (9 in 1980) | G283(13 in 1980) |  |
| 1980 | 2 @ 1 survived April thru August, collar shed in den | weaned $1 @ 2$ in May breeding not observed | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { of } 2 \text { ylgs. } \\ & \text { survived } \\ & \text { May-Oct. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weaned } 1 \text { @ } 2 \text { in } \\ & \text { May, bred } \end{aligned}$ | weaned 2 @ 2 in June, bred | not estrus |
| 1981 | no data | 1 of 2 cubs lost in June, other survived MayOct. | weaned 2 @ 2 in May and bred | 1 @ 0 lost in May (?capture related?) | 1 of 2 cubs lost in Aug., other survived | estrus, bred |
| 1982 | no data | yearling survived | lost 1 of 1 @ 0 in June | 200 survived | lost 1 @ 1 in Hay, bred | alone, bred |
| 1983 | no data | weaned 1 @ 2 in <br> June, bred, off- <br> spring=G385, <br> transmitted | 3 @ 0 survived (w/collars) | 1 d 1 lost in June (transmitted internally), sibling survived | lost 1 @ 0 in May, bred. lost cub had transmitter | 2 @ 0 lost in May, bear predation, not seen breeding |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & \text { (to Oct.) } \end{aligned}$ | no data | w/2 @ 0-bear <br> killed in May | 3 @ 1 survived (w/internals) | 1 @ 2 weaned in May, bred | alone, bred | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { @ } 0 \text { lost in May, } \\ & \text { bred } \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7. (continuation page 1)
MOTHER'S ID lage in year when first captured)

| year | G331(6 in 1981) | G334(10 $\ln$ 1981) | G341(6 in 1981) | G337(13 in 1981) | G344(5 $\ln$ 1981) | G335(2 in 1981) | G340(3 in 1981) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | 2 @ 2 weaned in May, bred | weaned 1 @ 2 in May, bred, bear missing since Sept. | alone, bred in May | lost 1 @ 0 in whter den, 2 survived | 2 @ 0 survived | weaned from mother | alone |
| 1982 | no cubs, bred, died in July (reason?) | no data | had 2 @ 0 thru <br> July, bear missing subsequently | lost 1 @ 1 in June other survived | lost 1 @ 1 In May, lost other in early July | alone, bred | alone |
| 1983 | -- | no data | no data | weaned 1 @ 2 in May, bred | 2 @ 0 , lost 1 by late June, other survived | alone, bred | alone, bred |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & \text { (to Oct) } \end{aligned}$ | -- | no data | no data | w/2 @ 0, collared, both survived | 1 @1 lost in May, bred | w/2 @ 0 thru Oct. | w/2@0, survived to Oct. |

MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured)

| year | G380(5 in 1982) | G394(6 in 1983) | G384(12 in 1983) | 6379(5 In 1982) | G388(14 in 1983) | G381(3 in 1982) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | 2 a 1 survived until denning, one may have died in den | no data | no data | 2 @ 0 survived | no data | alone |
| 1983 | at least 1 @ 2 weaned in May, possibly both. shot in Sept. | lost 1 @ 0 in May (?capture related posstble?), bred | weaned 2 or 3 a 2 in June, bred | ```1 of 2 survived, lost 2 (June- Sept.)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weaned } 2 @ 2, \\ & \text { bred } \end{aligned}$ | a lone |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & \text { (to Oct.) } \end{aligned}$ | -- | alone, shot -- | w/2@ 0 thru Sept., missing | Probably weaned $1 @ 2$ after May 23 | w/2 @ 0-cap-ture-related cub loss, bred | alone, bred |

MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured)

| year | G396(13 in 1983 | G403(6 in 1983) | G315 (5 in 1983) | G385(2 in 1983) | G407(4 in 1983) | G420(A in 1984) | G423(A in 1984) | G425(A in 1984) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | weaned $2 @ 2 \mathrm{n}$ May, bred | 2 @ 0 thru Aug. lost 1 in Sept. | alone, bred | weaned from mother | alone | no data | no data | no data |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1984 \\ & \text { to Oct. } \end{aligned}$ | lost litter of 1 @ 0 in May, breeding? | w/1 @l in April, bear not seen subsequently | alone, breeding not seen | alone | alone | w/2@1 thru Oct. | 4 @ 0 , one lost in July, others survived to Oct. | alone, bred |


|  | Year of emergence | losses of cubs | losses of yearlings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1978 | 2 of 3 lost (G207) | 0 of 3 lost (G221, G220) |
|  | 1979 | 2 of 3 lost (231\#) | 0 of 1 lost (G207\#\#) |
|  | 1980 | no data | 0 of 4 lost (G299, G277*) |
| - | 1981 | ```4** of 10 lost (G312, G313, G283, G337, G344)``` | no data |
| ) | 1982 | 1*** of 5 lost (G299, G313, G379) | ```4 of 8 lost (G312, G283, G337, G344,G380****)``` |
|  | 1983 | ```6' of 11 lost (G283, G344, G299, G281, G394, G403)``` | 2 of 4 lost (G379, G313") |
| mim | 1984 (thru Oct.) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { of } 15 \text { lost }(281,337,335,340 \text {, } \\ & 384 \# \#, 396,423) \end{aligned}$ | 1 of 6 lost (299, 344, and 420; 403 not included because of no visuals after April) |
| mom | TOMALS: $\quad 19$ of 47 lost $=40 \%$ |  | 7 of 26 lost $=27 \%$ |
| $\cdots$ | Excluding possible <br> capture-related deaths <br> and incomplete data: <br> 15 of 38 lost $=39 \%$ <br> 6 of 21 lost $=29 \%$ |  |  |
|  | \# last obseryation on 8/3/79 |  |  |
| men | \#\# last observation on 9/12/79 |  |  |
| m | last observation on 9/6/84 <br> G277 shed collar in den so family status in spring 1981 was not determined, assumed 2 offspring were alive at emergence in 1981. |  |  |
|  | ** One lost cub may have been capture-related (from litter of 1 with G313). |  |  |
| m | *** From litter of one with G299 (bears not handled). |  |  |
| ; | **** G380 had 2 yearlings thru den entrance in 1982, only one was verified with her in spring 1983 but both were counted as surviving. |  |  |
| $m$ | , One lost cub may have been capture-related (from litter of 1 with G394). |  |  |
| ; | 1' One of G313 survived\}, | ngs died within 1 month of surgery his death was not surgery-related. | all internal transmitter lother |

Table 9. Morphometrics of brown bear cubs-of-the-year handled in GMU 13, 1978-1984

| CUB ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOTHER. } \mathrm{S} \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | DATE <br> HANDLED | SEX | WTI (lbs) | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | G213 | 22. May 1979 | M | 10.0 | transplanted, see Ballard |
| 002 | G213 | 22 May 1979 | M | 10.0 | et al. (1980) |
| -- | G207 | 27 May 1978 | M | 12.0 | see Spraker, et al. (1981) |
| -- | G207 | 27 May 1978 | F | 12.0 |  |
| G338 | G283 | 6 May 1981 | M | 12.0 | ear tagged |
| G339 | G283 | 6 May 1981 | F | 13.0 | ear tagged |
| G336 | G313 | 6 May 1981 | F | $\infty$ | cub abandoned?, ear tagged |
| 003 | G283* | 14 May 1983 | F | $\cdots$ | collared |
| 004 | G394 | 15 May 1983 | F | 10.0 | neck $=230 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tagged |
| 005 | G281 | 15 May 1983 | M | 8.5 | collared |
| 006 | G281 | 15 May 1983 | F | 8.3 | collared |
| 418 | G299 | 18 May 1983 (den) | M | over 10.0 | neck $=225 \mathrm{~mm}$, collared |
| 419 | G299 | 18 May 1983 (den) | M | over 10.0 | nec $\mathrm{k}=245 \mathrm{~mm}$, collared |
| 417 | G299 | 18 May 1983 (den) | M | over 10.0 | neck $=225 \mathrm{~mm}$, collared |
| 016 | G388 | 16 May 1984 | M | 13.5 | collared, 13.5 lbs (5/29/84) |
| 017 | G388 | 16 May 1984 | F | - | collared |
| 021 | G281 | 17 May 1984 | M | 14.0 | collared, neck $=250 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| 022 | G281 | 17 May 1984 | M | 13.5 | collared |
| 008 | G337 | 17 May 1984 | F | 12.3 | collared, neck $=220$ |
| 009 | G337 | 17 May 1984 | F | 11.5 | collared, neck $=230$ |
| 023 | G340 | 17 May 1984 | ? | 16.5 | collared |
| 024 | G340 | 17 May 1984 | ? | 14.0 | collared |
| 025 | G423 | 18 May 1984 | M | 7.0 | collared, smallest of 4 in litter |
| -- | G423 | 18 May 1984 | F | - | not collared |
| 018 | G312 | 16 May 1984 | F | 17.0 | collared |
| 019 | G312 | 16 May 1984 | M | 16.0 | collared |
| 020 | G312 | 16 May 1984 | M | 17.0 | collared |

Totals: 14 males and 11 females
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YLG } \\ & \text { ID. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOTHER'S } \\ \text { ID. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | DATE <br> HANDLED | SEX | WT(1bs) | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G232 | G234 | 23 | June 1978 | F | 100(est.) | Spraker, et al. (1981) |
| G235 | G234 | 23 | June 1978 | F | 100(est.) | . |
| G238 | G240 | 23 | May 1979 | M | 95 | transplanted, see |
| G239 | G240 | 23 | May 1979 | F | 65 | Ballard et al. 1980 |
| G245 | G244 | 24 | May 1979 | F | 46 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G252 | G251 | 27 | May 1979 | M | 134 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G253 | G251 | 27 | May 1979 | M | 139 |  |
| G256 | G254 | 27 | May 1979 | M | 47 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G257 | G254 | 27 | May 1979 | M | 47 |  |
| G262 | G261 |  | June 1979 | M | 90 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G263 | G261 |  | June 1979 | M | 87 |  |
| G270 | G269 |  | June 1979 | F | 100 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G271 | G269 |  | June 1979 | F | 95 |  |
| G275 | G274 |  | June 1979 | M | 68 | transplanted, op cit. |
| G297 | G399 |  | May 1980 | M | 65 | tagged |
| G298 | G399 | 4 | May 1980 | M | 65 | tagged |
| G382 | G313 | 14 | May 1983 | M | 66 | implant transmitter |
| G383 | G313 | 14 | May 1983 | F | 53 | implant transmitter |
| G417 | G299 |  | May 1984 | M | 94 | implant transmitter (small) |
| G418 | G299 | 15 | May 1984 | M | 86 | implant transmitter (large) |
| G419 | G299 | 15 | May 1984 | M | 84 | implant transmitter (small) |
| G421 | G420 | 17 | May 1984 | M | 78 | sibling not captured, large implant and breakaway. |

Totals: 15 males and 7 females

Table 11. Number of Susitna river crossings by radio-marked brown bears, 1980-1984.


Table 11. (continued)
Yable 11. (continued Initial

Bear ID capture (age) |  | No. of River Crossings |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 1981 |

$1983-1984$

Comments
Females

| 315 | 1980(2) | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | radio-collared in 1983, active |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 385 | 1983(2) | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 337's cub, missing 10/84 |
| 386 | 1983(2) | - | - | - | 0 | - | shot (hunter) 5/84 |
| 281 | 1980(3). | 1 | 6 | 5 | $6_{*}{ }_{2}$ | $6_{* 2}$ | cubs killed by other bears ( 83 \& 84) |
| 335 | 1981(3) | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | 334's cub, active |
| 340 | 1981 (3) | 0 | 6 | 8 | 4 | $2_{* 2}$ | active |
| 381 | 1982(3) | - | - | 4 | 1 | 8 | active |
| 395 | 1983 (3) | - | - | - | 1 | - | shot (hunter) '83 |
| 308B | 1980(5) | 5 | 7 | - | - | - | recapture mortality |
| 344 | 1981(5) | - | $0_{* 2}$ | ${ }^{0}{ }^{2} 2$ | $0_{*}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}_{\mathbf{y 1}}$. | active, missing 9/B4 |
| 331 | 1981(6) | - | $:^{4}+2$ | 3 | - | - | died July 1982 |
| 341 | 1981 (6) | - | 9 | $0_{* 2}$ | - | - | missing 1982 ** |
| 394 | 1983 (6) | - | - | - | 10 | 3 | lost cub as capture mortality?, shot (hunter) 9/84 |
| 313 | 1980(9) | 0 | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | ${ }^{2} 1$ | 0 | active, missing 10/84 |
| 277 | 1980 (10) | ${ }^{0}{ }^{2}$ | - | - | $-$ | - | collar shed in 1980 |
| 312 | 1980(10) | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | $0_{Y 1}$ | ${ }^{0}+1$ | - | capture mortality |
| 334 | 1981 (10) | - | ${ }_{0}+1$ | - | - | - | missing 1982 ** |
| 283 | 1980(12) | ${ }_{+}+2$ | $0_{* 2}$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1983 cub killed by another bear |
| 384 | 1983(12) | - | - | - | $0_{* 2-3}$ | $0_{*}{ }_{2}$ | active, missing 9/84 |
| 299 | 1980 (13) | ${ }^{2} \mathbf{y} 2$ | 2 | 2 | $0{ }_{*}$ | ${ }^{6} 3$ | active |
| 337 | 1981(13) | - | $0{ }_{* 3}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y} 2}$ | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | active |
| 396 | 1983(13) | - | - | - | $\mathbf{0}_{\text {* }_{1}}$ | 0 |  |

(continued)

Table 11. (continued)

| Bear ID | Yr. Initial | No. of River Crossings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | capture (age) | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |  | Comments |
| 388 | 1983(14) | - | - | - | ${ }_{+}+2$ | $0_{\text {*2 }}$ |  | active |
| 380 | 1982(15) | - | - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y} 2}$ | 0 | - |  | shot |
| 407 @ | 1983 (4) | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |  | active |
| 379 @ | 1982 (5) | - | - | $\mathbf{1}_{* 2}$ | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{y} 1$ | ${ }_{4}{ }_{1}$ |  | active |
|  | 1983 (6) | - | - | - | $1_{* 2}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6} 1$ |  | active |
| 420 | 1984 (19) | - | - | - |  | ${ }_{6}{ }^{2}$ |  | active |
| 423 | 1984 (A) | - | - | - |  | ${ }^{*}{ }_{4}$ |  | active |
| 425 | 1984 (A) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | active |
| Total females |  | 8 | 34 | 27 | 36 | 47 |  |  |
| Total both sexes |  |  | 14 | 45 | 40 | 66 | 86 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { @ = Downstream bears } \\
& \text { Reprod. status } \\
& \text { as of } 31 \text { May: } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
y=\text { yrlg } \\
t=2 \text { yr old }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

** possible unreported hunter kill, collar failure, or emigration.

Table 12. Number of observations of radio-marked brown bears (older than 2.0 years) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4
TIME PERIOD (impoundment) (shore-1 mile) ( $1-5$ miles) (over 5 miles) TOTAL

|  | April 1-30 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | May 1-1.5 | 12 | 8 | 19 | 69 | 108 |
|  | May 16-31 | 31 | 27 | 65 | 108 | 231 |
| 4. | June 1-15 | 70 | 67 | 154 | 89 | 380 |
| 5. | June 16-30 | 45 | 35 | 104 | 69 | 253 |
| 6. | July 1-15 | 6 | 8 | 39. | 37 | 90 |
| 7. | July 16-31 | 4 | 14 | 61 | 42 | 121 |
| 8. | August 1-15 | 4 | 11 | 41 | 44 | 100 |
| 9. | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \begin{array}{c} 16- \\ \text { March } 31 \end{array}, ~ \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 22 | 97 | 168 | 313 |
|  | totals | 204 | 193 | 588 | 635 | 1620 |
| Area within zone <br> $\begin{array}{lllll}\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) & 159.32 & 327.07 & 1233.51 & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | 9.26 | 19.02 | 71.72 | -- | 100.0 |

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

| Period | ZONE 1 |  | ZONE 2 |  | ZONE 3 |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ | d.f. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | obs. | E(x) | obs. | E(x) | obs. | E(x) |  |  |
| All months | 204 | 91.2 | 193 | 187.4 | 588 | 706.4 | 160** | 2 |
| April 1-June 30 | 164 | 60.4 | 138 | 124.0 |  | 467.6 | 209** | 2 |
| July 1-March 31 | 40 | 30.8 | 55 | 63.3 | 238 | 238.8 | 3.9 | 2 |

* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.05


## Table 13. Number of observations of radio-marked male brown bears (older than 2.0 years) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4
TIME PERIOD (impoundment) (shore-l mile) ( $1-5$ miles) (over 5 miles) TOTAL


* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.05

Table 15. Number of observations of radio-marked female brown bears with coy (on 15 June) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4
TIME PERIOD (impoundment) (shore-1 mile) ( $1-5$ miles) (over 5 miles) TOTAL


* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 16. Chi square test of null hypothesis that the proportion of observations in impoundment proximity zones is the same, for a group of radio-marked female brown bears, during years when they have cubs-of-the-year ("coy") as during years when they do not. (Includes both impoundments, lumps years $1980-1984$, cub status is of 15 June, and observation associated with den-related activities are not included).

Females without coy
No. of
observations \%

Females with coy
No. of Expected observations number of observations*

Proximity Zone 1 (inundation area)

59
18.7

8
30.1

Proximity Zone 2
(impoundment shoreline - 1 mile)

Proximity Aone 3
( $1-5$ miles from
impoundment shoreline)

Totals: 315
58
18.4

32
29.4
62.9

120
100.6
$100 \%$
160
160.1

Chi Square, 2 d. $f=20.2 *$

* significant, $P$ less than 0.01

BEARS INCLUDED:

283
299
312
313
335
337
340
341
344
384
$80,82,83,84$
81
$80,81,82,84$
83
80, 82, 83
81, 84
80, 81, 83, 84 82

81, 82, 83
84
82, 83
81, 84
81, 82, 83 84

81
82
82
81, 83
83
84

Table 17. Number of observed and expected observations of radio-marked brown bears (excluding females with coy and bears less than 2.0 years old) within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Devils Canyon impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4


Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

| Sex group | ZONE 1 |  | ZONE 2 |  | ZONE 3 |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ | d. f . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | obs. | $E(x)$ | obs. | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})$ | obs. | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})$ |  |  |
| ```Males and females w/o cubs (whole year)``` | 14 | 10.0 | 93 | 57.1 | 199 | 238.9 | 30.8** | 2 |
| Males (whole year) | 4 | 1.9 | 17 | 11.0 | 38 | 46.1 | 3.0 | 2 |
| Females w/o cubs | 10 | 8.1 | 76 | 46.1 | 161 | 192.8 | 25.1** | 2 |

* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.05


Table 18. Characteristics of brown bear dens in the Susitna study area during winters of 1980/81, 1981/1982, 1982/1983, and 1983/1984


Table 18. (continued)


Table 18. (continued)


Table 18. (continued)

|  | Den No. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { ID No. } \end{aligned}$ | Age at Exit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elevation } \\ \text { (Feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Slope (Degrees) | Aspect <br> (True N.) | Vegetation | ENTRANCE |  | CHAMBER |  |  | Total <br> Length (cm.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prevtously } \\ & \text { Ūseä? } \\ & \text { (Yes/No) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \frac{\mathrm{ENTH}}{\mathrm{Hit}} \\ (\mathrm{~cm} .) \end{gathered}$ | Width (cm.) | Ln. <br> (cm.) | Width (cm.) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} . \\ & \text { (cm.) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 136 | 6399 | 10 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Not | located |
|  | 151 | G342 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Not | located |

* Entered den with 2 yearlings, shed collar in den so exit not observed.
** Approximate value
*** Downstream

Dens No. 14, 16, 22, 24, 30, 31, 25, 28, 23, 5, 1, 15, 29, 17, 26 27 are 1980/1981

Dens No. 42, $44,47,52,54,59,37,46,56,36,60,53,41,48$, 45 are 1981/1982

Dens No. 76, 78, 87, 89, 101, 102, 102, 103, 105, 107, 108, 109, 79, 106, 111, 94, 86, 110, 77 are 1982/1983

Dens No. 112, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 124, 125, 133, 134, 135, 153, 122, 131, 123, 132, 149, 155, 137, 139, 148, 150, 136, 151 are 1983/84

Table 19. Brown bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1983/84.
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Table 20. Brown bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1984/85.

| Bear ID | Sex | 1984 Entrance |  |  | 1985 Emergence |  |  | Days in Den |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | earliest | latest | Mid. | earliest | latest | M1d. | Min. | Max. | M1d. |
| G280 | M | 11 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G281 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G282 | M | 7 Nov | ? | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G283 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G299 | F | 1 Oct | 11 Oct | 6 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G313 | F | 1 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G315 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G335 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G337 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G340 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G344 | F | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G379 | F | 1 Oct | 11 Oct | 6 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G381 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G384 | F | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G385 | F | 11 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G388 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G396 | F | 21 Sep | 11 Oct | 1 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G399 | M | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G400 | M | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G403 | F | 7 Nov | 13 Nov | 10 Nov |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G382 | M | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G407 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G420 | $F$ | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G422 | M | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G423 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G425 | F | 11 Oct | 24 Oct | 18 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean | 11 Oct | 23 Oct | 17 Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | "S" | 9.7 | 6.8 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | n | 24 | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 21. Distances between den sites (miles) used in different years by radio-collared brown bears. Based on principle winter den, early spring dens not considered.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Age | $\begin{array}{r} 80 / 81 \\ 861 \\ 81 / 82 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 81 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 82 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 / 81 \\ 801 \\ 83 / 84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 / 82 \\ & 820 \\ & 82 / 83 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 / 82 \\ \text { to } \\ 83 / 84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 / 83 \\ \text { too } \\ 83 / 84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 / 81 \\ 601 \\ 84 / 85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 / 82 \\ \text { to } \\ 84 / 85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 / 83 \\ 840 \\ 84 / 85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 / 84 \\ 8 / 04 \\ 84 / 85 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\bar{x}$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G283 | 13 in' 81 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| G313 | 10 in' 81 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 6.7 | 1.0 | 5.7 | - | - | - | - | 4.2 | 2.0 |
| G337 | 13 in' 81 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 2.9 | 1.4 |
| 6344 | 5 In' 81 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | 2.3 | 1.0 |
| G299 | 14 in' 81 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.7 | 3.2 |
| G281 | 4 in' 81 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 0.9 |
| G335 | 4 in' 82 | - | - | - | 2.4 | 2.0 | 0.9 | - | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.5 |
| G340 | 4 in' 82 | - | - | - | 0.3 | 17.7 | 17.6 | - | 18.1 | 18.0 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 9.0 |
| G312 | 11 in' 81 | 2.1 | 0.6 | - | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4 | 0.8 |
| 6379 | 6 in' 83 | - | - | - | - | - | 5.3 | - | - | 5.3 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 2.8 |
| 6315 | 2 in' 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| G381 | 3 in' 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.7 | - |
| G 388 | 14 in' 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 0.8 | - | - |
| 6396 | 9 in' 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 9.0 | - | - |
| 6403 | 4 in' 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 2.2 | - | - |
| 6407 | 4 in' 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 5.1 | - | - |
| ( FEMA | LES) $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{x}}= \\ & \mathrm{s}=\end{aligned}$ | 3.9 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.3 | 2.73 | 4.2 | 3.9 5.5 | 5.4 4.0 | 4.7 6.6 | 5.7 | $3.0$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3.8 \\ 4.8 \\ 18.1 \end{array}$ |

Table 21 (cont'd)

| Bear <br> ID | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 81 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 81 / 82 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 81 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 82 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 81 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 83 / 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 81 / 82 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 82 / 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 / 82 \\ \text { to } \\ 83 / 84 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 / 83 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 83 / 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 81 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 84 / 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 / 82 \\ 10 \\ 84 / 85 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 / 83 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 84 / 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 / 84 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 84 / 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G280 6 in'81 | 8.1 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| G342 3 in'82 | - | - | - | 1.3 | 7.1 | 7.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| G282 7 in'83 | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5 | - | - | 4.6 | 1.2 | 4.6 | - |
| G399 20 in'83 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1.5 | - | - |
| G400 6 in' 83 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1.2 | - | - |
| (MALES) $\begin{gathered}\overline{\mathrm{x}} \\ \\ \\ \mathrm{s}\end{gathered}$ | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | - | - | 4.6 | 1.3 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=14)=3.9$ |  |
|  | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | - | - | - | 0.8 |  | $s=2.7$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Range $=0.5-8.1$ |  |
| Both Sexes $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=$ | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 2.7 | $\overline{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{N}=91)=3.8$ |  |
| $s=$ | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 2,4 |  | $s=3.8$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Range | $\mathrm{e}=0.1-18.1$ |

Table 2\%. Status of brown bears first marked in 1978. (A=alive, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{transplanted}$ in 1979, NR=no return,


| Bear\# | Sex/age | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Upper Susitna Expt. Area

| 209 | M/5 in '78 | A | T, NR | A | Shot-F | - | - | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 212 | $F / 10$ in ${ }^{\prime} 78$ | A | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |  |
| 217 | M/3 in '78 | A | A | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |  |
| 219 | F/4 in '78 | A | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |  |
| 218 | M/4 tn ${ }^{\text {\% }} 78$ | A | T,R | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |  |
| 214 | M/4 in '78 | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 230 | M/9 in '78 | A | T, Shot-Sp | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |  |
| 211 | M/4 in '78 | A | T,NR | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 216 | M/11 in '78 | A | T,NR | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 210/242 | M/2 in '78 | A | T,ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 215 | $F / 2$ in '78 | A | T, NR | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 213 | F/10 1n. 78 | A | T* | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Not Upper Susitna Expt. Area

| 205 M/4 in ' 78 | A | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206 F/13 in '78 | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |
| 201 M/10 in '78 | A | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - |  |
| $202 \mathrm{~F} / 8$ in ${ }^{\text {'78 }}$ | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 221 F/8 tn 78 | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - | - |  |
| 228 M/7 in '78 | A | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - |  |
| 227 M/9 in '78 | A | A | A | A | A | A | Shot-F | - |
| 224 M/2 in '78 | A | A | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - |
| 207 F/11 in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 208 F/12 in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 220 F/5 in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 222 M/11 in '78 | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| $234 \quad \mathrm{~F} / 5 \mathrm{in}^{178}$ | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | MD |
| 200 M/7 in '78 | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| $204 \quad F / 7$ in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 225 M/4 in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 231 F/12 in '78 | A | A | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Max. No. Bears potenttally alive in year includes ND (M,F) | 29(16:13) | 27*(16:11) | 26(15:11) | 24(13:11) | 22(12:10) | 19(11:8) | 16(8:8) | 14(6:8) |
| No. marked bears known shot in year (M:F) | $1(0: 1)$ | 1(1:0) | 2(2:0) | 2(1:1) | $3(2: 2)$ | 3(3:0) | 2(2:0) | ND |
| \% of potentially alive bears known shot in year | 3\% | 48 | 8\% | 8\% | 14\% | 16\% | 13\% | ND |
| Cumulative \% (min.) of marked bears shot ( $\mathrm{N}=28$ ) | 3\% | 7\% | 14\% | 21\% | 32\% | 43\% | 50\% | ND |

Not Included:
Subadults ©2 in 1978, $=203$, 223 (all ND)
Subadults @1 in $1978=232$ (ND)

* suspected mortality of 213 in 1979, not included as alive in 1979 or subsequently
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Table 23. Status of brown bears first captured in 1979 (all were transplanted from upper Susitna drainage).
 season). Does not include transplanted bears first captured in 1978 (see Table 13). ND in year of capture indicated bear was not collared or soon shed its collar and no subsequent data were collected.

| Bear ID | Sex/age | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 246 | M/3 in '79 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 247 | M/8 in '79 | A | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |
| 243 | M/2 in /79 | A | A | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |
| 265 | M/4 tn '79 | A | Shot-Sp | - | - | - | - | - |
| 268 | M/4 in ${ }^{179}$ | A | Shot-Sp | - | - | - | - | - |
| 269 | F/18 in ${ }^{\text {' } 79}$ | A | A. | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |
| 270 | F/1 in '79 | A | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - |
| 272 | M/9 in '79 | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - | - |
| 260 | M/4 in 179 | A | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |
| 240 | F/5 in 179 | A,R | A | A | A | A | Shot-Sp | - |
| 241 | M/3 in '79 | A, ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 249 | M/5 in ${ }^{1} 79$ | $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{ND}$ | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 258 | M/21 in ${ }^{1} 79$. | A, ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 264 | F/4 in '79 | A,ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 267 | F/4 in '79 | A,ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 274 | F/11 in ${ }^{\prime} 79$ | A, ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 276 | M/4 in '79 | $A, N D$ | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 236 | F/5 in '79 | A, R | ND. | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 237 | M/10 in ${ }^{\text {'79 }}$ | A, $R$ | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 244 | F/6 in ' 79 | A, R | A | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 251 | F/10 in ${ }^{\text {P } 79}$ | A, R | A | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 273 | F/3 in ${ }^{\prime} 79$ | A,R | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 248 | F/4 in '79 | A,NR | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 261 | F/7 in '79 | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {, }}$ NR | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |



[^2]Table 2tiA. Status of Brown Bears first marked during Su-Hydro Studies, 1980-1983. ( $A=a 1$ ive, ND=no data
 bear was not collared or soon shed its collar and no subsequent data were collected.

| Bear ID Sex/age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1980 captures

| 277 | F/10 in ' 80 | A | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 279 | M/9 in ' 80 | A. | A | A | A | Shot-F |
| 280 | M/5 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | A |
| 281 | F/3 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | A |
| 282 | M/4 in ${ }^{\text {' } 80}$ | A | A | A | A | A |
| 283 | F/12 in '80 | A | A | A | A | A |
| 284 | M/2 in ' 80 | A | Shot- ${ }^{\text {F }}$ | - | - | - |
| 286 | M/3 in ${ }^{\text {' } 80}$ | A | A | A | A | Shot-F |
| 292 | F/3 in ' 80 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 293 | M/3 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | ND |
| 294 | M/10 in '80 | A. | Died in Aug. | - | - | - |
| 295 | M/12 in 180 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 299 | F/13 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | A |
| 297 | M/1 in '80 | A | Shot-F | - | - | - |
| 306 | $F / 3$ in 180 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 308a | M/6 in '80 | A | A | A | Shot-F | - |
| $308 b$ | F/5 in '80 | A | Died in Aug. | - | - | - |
| 309 | M/12 in ${ }^{\text {c }} 80$ | A | A | A | A | A |
| 311 | M/2 in ' 80 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - |
| 312 | F/10 in '80 | A | A | A | A | Died-NS |
| 313 | F/9 in '80 | A | A | A | A | A |
| 314 | F/2 in ${ }^{1} 80$ | A | A | A | A | A |
| 315 | F/2 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | A |

1981 captures

| 331 | F/6 in ' 81 | - | A | Died in Aug. | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332 | M/2 in ${ }^{1} 81$ | - | A | Shot-F | - | - |
| 333 | M/2 in ' 81 | - | Shot-F | - | - | - |
| 334 | F/10 in '81 | - | lost in Sept. -shot? | - | - | - |
| 335 | F/2 in '81 | - | A | A | A | A |
| 337 | F/13 in '81 | - | A | A | A | A |
| 339 | M/O in ${ }^{1} 81$ | cub | ylg | A | A | A |
| 340 | F/3 in ' 81 | - | A | A | A | A |
| 341 | F/6 in '81 | - | A | A | A | A |
| 342a | M/2 in ' 81 | - | A | A | A | Died-NS |
| 344 | F/5 in '81 | - | A | A | A | Lost in Sept., shot? |
| 347 | M/14 in ' 81 | - | A | A | A | A |

Table 24A. (cont.)
1982 captures

| 373 | $M / 9$ in ' 82 | - | - | $A$ | $--*$ | A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $379 * *$ | $F / 5$ in' 82 | - | - | $A$ | A | A |
| 380 | $F / 15$ in ' 82 | - | - | $A$ | Shot-F | - |
| 381 | $F / 3$ in ' 82 | - | - | $A$ | $A$ | $A$ |

1983 captures

| 385 | F/2 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 386 | M/2 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | Shot-Sp | - |
| 388 | F/14 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A | - |
| 389 | M/2 in 183 | - | - | - | A, Died Oct. | - | - |
| 390 | M/2 tn '83 | - | - | - | A | ND |  |
| 384 | F/12 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | Lost in Sept. , shot? | - |
| 391 | M/2 in '83 | - | - | - | A | Shot-F | = |
| 392 | M/2 in ${ }^{\text {i }} 83$ | - | - | - | A | Shot-Sp | - |
| 393 | F/2 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | ND |  |
| 394 | F/6 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | Shot-F | - |
| 395 | F/3 in ' 83 | - | - | - | Shot-F | - | - |
| 396 | F/13 in ${ }^{1} 83$ | - | - | - | A | A | A |
| 397 | F/2 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A | A |
| 398 | F/2 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A | A |
| 399 | M/9 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A | A |
| 400 | M/20 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |  |
| 403** | F/6 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A |  |
| 407** | F/4 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A | A |

1984 Captures

| 420 | F/A in ${ }^{\text {' }} 84$ | - | - | - |  | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 422 | M/A in '84 | - | - | - | - | A |
| 423 | $F / \mathrm{A}$ in ' 84 | - | - |  |  | A |
| 425 | F/A in ${ }^{1} 84$ |  | - | - |  | A |
| 382 | F/2 in ' 84 | - | - | - | - | A |


| Bear ID Sex/age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Max. No. marked bears potenttally alive in year, includes $\mathbb{N D}$. Excludes tagging and natural mortalities ( $\mathrm{M}: \mathrm{F}$ ) | 23 (13:11) | 31(14:17) | 30(12:18) | 44(18:26) | 45(16:29) | 37(12:25) |
| B. No. KNOWN shot in zear (M:F) | 1(1:0) | $3(3: 0)$ | 1(1:0) | 3(1:2) | 6(5:1) | ND |
| Min. \% known shot ( $B / \mathrm{A}$ ) | 48 | 10\% | 3\% | 78 | 13 | ND |
| C. No. known shot plus suspected (unreported) shot in year ( $M=F$ ) | 1(1:0) | 4 (3:1) | 1(1:0) | 3(1:2) | 8(5:3) | ND |
| Probable min. \% shat (C/A) | 4\% | 13\% | 3\% | 7\% | 18 | ND |
| D. No. bears known alive (excludes ND, died, Iost, cubs or ylgs) | 20 | 26 | 27 | 40 | 36 | ND |
| Probable \% shot (C/D) | 5\% | 15\% | 4\% | 8\% | 22\%. | ND |
| Cumulative of shot (based on bear-years available, from row A). | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | ND |

Not Included:

Subadults @2=1980: 285, 1983: 397 \& 398 both recaptured in 1985
Subadults @1=1980: 298;
1983: 382; 1984: 421, 417, 418, 419

* Shed collar, had no eartags or tattoo so was not recognizable as a marked bear subsequently
** Downstream study area

|  | 1978 | 1979. | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum No. of marked bears potentially alive in year (include N. D.) (M\&F) | $28(15: 13)$ | 51(28:33) | $72(39: 34)$ | 75:36:39) | 70(32:38) | 80(36:44) | 75(28:47) | $64(22: 42)$ |
| No. marked bears <br> shot in year* (M:F) | $1(0: 1)$ | $2(2: 0)$ | $6(5: 1)$ | $7(5: 2)$ | $5(3: 2)$ | $8(6: 2)$ | 11(7:4) | ND |
| Min. \% of marked bears shot in year | 4\% | 4\% | 8\% | 9\% | 78 | 10\% | 15\% | ND |
| 8 males in population of marked bears | 548 | 55\% | 54\% | 48\% | 46\% | 45\% | 37\% | ND |
| o males in harvest of marked bears | 0 | 100\% | 83\% | 71\% | 60\% | 75\% | $64 \%$ 1978 | $\frac{-1984}{70 \%}$ |

[^3]

Subtotals for MALES:

No. using Pratrie Ck.

| (males) 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total No. of collared males $4$ | 4 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| No. collared males excluding subadult dispersers | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
| Subadult dispersers out of study area (Bear ID) | 342a | 342a | $\begin{aligned} & 342 a, 386,389, \\ & 391,392 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| \% males using Prairie Ck . (excludes dispersers) | 67 | 75 | 43 | 50 | 75 |

Table 25. (cont.)

| Females (age in first captured) | 1980 | 1981** | 1982 | 1983 | 1984*** | 1985**** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 273 @ 9885) | - | - | - | - | - | no, alone |
| 277 @ 10(80) | no? | ND- (shed) | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 281 @ 3 (80) | no, alone | no, alone | no, alone | no, alone | no, alone | no w/2c |
| 283 @ 12(80) | yes, alone | no, w/2c | yes, alone | yes, alone | yes, alon | yes, w/2c |
| 299 @ 13 (80) | no, w/2@1 | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/3c | no, w/3@l | missing |
| 308b @ 5(80) | yes, alone | no?, alone | -dead | - | - | - |
| 312 @ 10(80) | no, alone | no, w/lc | no, w/1@1 | no, alone | dead | - |
| 313 @ 9(80) | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/2c | no, w/1@1 | no, alone | missing |
| 314 @ 7(85) | - | - | - | - | - | no, alone |
| 315 a 2 (80) | - | - | - | 7es, alone | yes, alone | missing |
| 331 @ 6 (81) | - | no, alone | -dead | - | - | - |
| 334 @ 10 (81) | - | no, alone | -misstag | - | - | - |
| 335 @ 2 (81) | - | no, alone | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/2c | no, w/2@1 |
| 337 @ 13 (81) | - | no, w/3c | no. w/1@1 | no, alone | no, w/2c | no, w/2@1 |
| 340 @ 3(81) | - | nop alone | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/2c | no, w/2@1 |
| 341 @ 6(81) | - | nor, alone | no.w/2c | -missing | - | no, alone |
| 344 @ 5(81) | - | no. w/2c | no. wlal | no, alone | no, alone | missing |
| 379* @ 5(82) | - | - | no, w/2c* | no, w/2@1* | no, alone?* | no, alone* |
| 380@15(82) | - | $=$ | yes, w/2al | yes, alone | dead | - |
| 381 @ 3 (82) | - | - | no, alone | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/2c |
| 384 @ 12 (83) | - | - | - | - | no, w/2c | missing |
| 385 @ 2(83) | - | - | - | no, alone | no, alone | no collar |
| 388 @ 14 (83) | - | - | - | no, alone | no, alone | no, w/2c |
| 393 @ 2 (83) | - | - | - | no, alone | dead | - |
| 394 @ 6(83) | - | - | - | yes, alone | yes - dead | - |
| 395 @ 3 (83) | - | - | - | no, alone | dead | - |
| 396 @ 13 (83) | - | - | - | yes, alone | yes, alone | yes, alone |
| 397 @ 4 | - | - | - | - | - | yes, alone |
| 398 @ 4 | - | - | - | - | - | yes, alone |
| 403* @ 6 (83) | - | - | - | no, w/2c* | no, w/I@l?* | no, alone |
| 407* @ 4(83) | - | - | - | yes, alone* | yes, alone* | yes, alone |
| 420 @ 19 (84) | - | - | - | - | yes, w/2@1 | yes, alone |
| 423 @ A (84) | - | - | - . | - | yes, w/3c | yes, w/3/@1 |
| 425 @ A (84) | - | - | - | - | no, alone | no, w/2c |
| 437 @ 2 (85) | - | - | - | - | - | no, alone |
| 447 @ A (85) | - | - | - | - | - | no, alone |

Table 25. (cont.)

| Females (age in year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| first captured) | 1980 | $1981 * *$ | 1983 | 1984*** |



# Table 26A. Results of brown bear census on Prairie Creek in 1984. Flights started at 0800 hrs. and pilot Al Lee flew the plane. Bear IDs are given in parenthesis. Includes only bears older than 2.0. 



| M (\# of marks present) | $=$ | 5 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C$ (非 of bears seen) | $=$ | 15 |  | 19 |
| R (\# of marks seen) | $\underline{ }$ | 1 |  | 2 |
| $(\mathrm{M}+1)(\mathrm{C}+1)(\mathrm{R}+1)=\mathrm{N}$ | = | 48 | (12-180) | 33 |
| MC/R | = | . 75 |  | 38 |

(10-62)

Table 26B. Brown bear census on Prairie Creek, July-August 1985.

| Parameter | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 23 / 85 \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { AM }}{7 / 24 / 85}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{PM}}{\substack{7 / 24 / 85}}$ | $\underset{A M}{7 / 25 / 85}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 25 / 85 \\ \mathrm{PM} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 26 / 85 \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 26 / 85 \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { AM }}{7 / 27 / 85}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 6 / 85^{\star} \\ \text { PM } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Start | 1945 | 0752 | 1945 | 0755 | 2010 | 0753 | 2014 | 0755 | 1948 |
| Time End | 2108 | 0933 | 2145 | 1000 | 2148 | 0926 | 2155 | 0923 | 2144 |
| Total minutes searching (additional minutes spent radio tracking) | $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ \text { (27) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ (37) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ | 98 <br> (17) | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \\ & (24) \end{aligned}$ | $101$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & \text { (33) } \end{aligned}$ | $116$ |
| number of black bears taken | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| A) Unmarked brown bears ( $\geq 2.0$ ) spotted during search | 4 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 11 |
| B) Additional unmarked brown bears $(\geqq 2.0)$ spotted in search area during tracking | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| C) Total unmarked brown bears (2.0) verified as present ( $A+B$ ) | 7 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 14 | 10 | 20 | 9 | 11 |
| D) No. of cubs w/bears in C (\# litters) | 0 | 2 (1) | 7(4) | 6 (3) | 4 (3) | 2 (2) | 2 (1) | 0 | 3 (2) |
| E) No. of ylgs w/bears in C (\# litters) | 2 | 2(2) | 3(1) | $4(3)$ | 2(1) | 0 | 4(2) | $3(2)$ | $1(1)$ |
| F) Total unmarked bears verified as percent ( $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E}$ ) | 9 | 9 | 26 | 26 | 20 | 12 | 26 | 12 | 15 |
| G) IDs of marked bears spotted (No. = "R") | $\begin{array}{r} 282 \\ =1 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 420,398 \\ =2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 398,420, \\ & 396=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 420 \\ =1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 420 \\ =1 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 398 \\ =1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 407,423 \\ & (\mathrm{w} / 3 @ 1)=5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H) Total no. of bears spotted ( $F+G=$ " $C$ ") | ) 10 | 9 | 28 | 29 | 21 | 13 | 26 | 13 | 20 |
| I) IDs of marked bears that were present in the search area that were not spotted during the search | $\begin{gathered} 420,398, ~ \\ 396=3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 420,398, \\ & 396,282=4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 396,282 \\ =2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 282 \\ =1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 398,396, \\ & 282=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 398,396, \\ & 282=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 398,420 \\ & 282=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 420,396, \\ & 282=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 382,398,397, \\ 427,282,420, \\ 396, \text { and } 283 \\ (w / 2 c)=10 \end{gathered}$ |
| J) Total no. of marked bears present in search area (none of these had cubs or ylgs) ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{M}$ ") | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 15(5@c) |
| K) IDs of marked bears present in general area but not in search area$N=(M+1)(C+1) /(R+1)$ | 397 | 383,397 | 382,397 | 397 | 397 | 397,382? | $\begin{aligned} & 396,397, \\ & 382 \end{aligned}$ | 382 |  |
|  | 28 | - | 48 | 38 | 55 | 35 | - | 35 | 56 |

[^4]Table 27. Results of intensive monitoring of brown bear predation rates during spring 1984. Bears were monitored twice/day from $5 / 29-6 / 7$ and once/day from $6 / 8-7 / 1$, conditions permitting. When two bears were on a kill each was credited with balf of the kill unless the bear that made the kill was known.
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Table 27. (cont'd)

| Bear ID | Sex | Age | Repro. status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Obsv. } \\ & \text { period } \end{aligned}$ | No. of locations | No. of visuals | $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{\text { visuals }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. calf } \\ & \text { moose kills } \end{aligned}$ | No. non-calf moose kllls | No. spectes age unknown kills | No. of suspected kills | $\underset{\substack{\text { Total } \\ \text { known } \\ \text { kills } \\ \text { kuspected }}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (337, 423, |  |  |  |  | 36 | 31 | 86 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 299 | F | 17 | w/3@1 | 5/28-7/1 | 38 | 36 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 420 | F | A | w/2@1 | 6/1-7/1 | 37 | 33 | 89 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | Subtotal (FF with offspring) |  |  |  | 152 | 137 | 90 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
|  | all females |  |  |  | 374 | 311 | 83 | 16.5 | 6.5 | 1 | 3 | 27 |
| ALL BROWN | BEARS | (BOTH | SEXES) |  | 577 | 475 | 82 | 26 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 48 |

SUMMARY

|  | Number of known | Number of known or | Number of known |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | kills 1100 visuals | suspected kills/100 visuals | moose calf kills 1100 visuals |
| All males | 7.9 | 12.8 | 5.8 |
| All females | 7.4 | 8.7 | 5.3 |
| Females w/cubs | 5.9 | 5.9 | 1.5 |
| Females w/ylgs | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| Females w/offspring | 7.3 | 8.0 | 5.1 |
| All bears | 7.8 | 10.1 | 5.5 |

[^5]** These individuals were not monitored intensively but were monitored occassionally during this study period.

Table 28. Results of intensive monitoring of brown bear predation rates during sumer 1984. Bears were located once/day from 23 July through 1 August, conditions permitting.


```
l
```

1
Table 28, (cont'd)

| Bear ID | Sex | Age | Repro. status | No. of <br> locations (\%) | No of visuals (\%) | No. of locations at salmon streams | No. of locations at salmon streams (\%) | Total known or suspected kills of ungulates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 407 | F | 6 | alone | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 0 |
| 344 \& 385 | F | -- | alque | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 340 | $F$ | 6 | w/2@0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 423 | $F$ | A | 2/3@0 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 0 |
| 335 | F | 6 | w/2@o | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 337 | F | 10. | w/2@0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 299 | F | 18 | w/3@1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 420 | F | A | w/2@1 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 5 . | 0 |
| Subtotals for females |  |  |  | 101 | 51 (50.5\%) | 44 | 23 (52.38) | 0 |
| TOTALS FOR | ALL. B |  |  | 161 | 71 (44.1\%) | 73 | 36 (49.3\%) | 1 |

* Note that if the same ratio of kills to visuals observed in the spring (48:475) were present in the sumer, then 7 . 2 kills would have been observed during the 71 visual observations made. Excluding the observations at salmon streams leaves only 35 visual observations and 3.5 kills would have been expected with this number of observations using the ratio of kills:visual observations observed in the spring.

Table 29. Black bears captured in Susitna Dam Studies as of July, 1985

| Capture |  |  |  |  | Serial \# | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tattoo | Sex | Age | Wt- | Date |  |  |  |
| (287) | M | 10.5 | 225* | 5/1/80 |  | 1083/1084 | Shot on 9/8/82 |
| (288) | F | 10.5 | 125* | 5/1/80 |  | 1095/1083 | w/2 ylgs, turgtd, collar shed by 8/27/80 |
| 289 | F | 9.5 | 130* | 5/2/80 |  | 1103/1104 | W/2 ylgs, turgid, had 3 cubs in 1981, see 4/82 recapture |
| (290) | F | 8.5 | 103 | 5/2/80 |  | 1306/1305 | w/2 ylgs, turgid, see 8/6/81 recapture |
| (291) | M | (3.5) | 73 | 5/2/80 |  |  | Post-capture mortality |
| (296) | M | (10.5) | 227 | 5/3/80 |  | -- -- | Capture mortality |
| (300) | M | (7.5) | 274 | 5/4/80 |  | -- -- | Post-capture mortality |
| (301) | F | (7.5) | 115 | 5/4/80 |  | 1043/1044 | W/1 ylg, turgid, had 2 cubs in 1981, see 3/83 recapture, shot 9/84 |
| (302) | M | 8.5 | 287 | 5/4/80 |  | 1106/1105 | collar shed by 8/4/80, recaptured 5/9/81 |
| (303) | M | (8.5) | 217 | 5/4/80 |  | (1055/1056) | shot 9/8/83 |
| (304) | M | 10.5 | 235 | 5/4/80 |  | 1315/1316 | collar shed in 1982 |
| (305) | M | (9.5) | 217 | 5/5/80 |  |  | Shot by hunter 8/30/80 |
| (307) | M | 2.5 | 105 | 5/5/80 |  | 1123/1124 | Shot by hunter on 5/17/81 |
| 310 | M | 2.5 | 85 | 5/6/80 |  | (1122/1121) | recaptured 6/85 |
| (316) | F | (12.5) | 150* | 5/7/80 |  | -- -- | w/1 newborn \& 1 ylg shot by hunter 8/28/80 |
| 317 | F | 7.8 | 133 | 8/18/80 |  | 1195/1196 | w/2 cubs, see 3/83 recapture |
| (318) | F | 5.8 | 126 | 8/18/80 |  | 1046/1045 | w/l cub, immobilized in den 3/81, 3/83 and 5/85 recaptures, shed 7/83 |
| (319) | M | 3.8 | 174 | 8/18/80 |  | 1194/1193 | died summer 1981 |
| (320) | M | (4.8) | 200* | 8/18/80 |  | -- -- | shot by hunter 9/9/80 |
| 321 | F | 10.8 | 175* | 8/18/80 |  | 1243/1244 | had 2 cubs in 1981, recaptured 5/15/83 |
| (322) | M | 4.8 | 154 | 8/19/80 |  | 1087/1088 | w/324, collar shed in 80/81 den, see 5/26/82 recapture, died 1982 |
| 323 | M | 2.8 | 122 | 8/18/80 |  | 1200/1199 | see 3/83 recapture |
| (324) | M | (5.8) | 190 | 8/19/80 |  | (1252/1251) | w/322, see 3/83 recapture, shot 9/84 |
| (325) | F | 11.8 | 164 | 8/18/80 |  | 1191/1192 | collar shed in 80/81 den, see 8/6/81 recapture |
| (326) | F | (5.8) | 125 | 8/19/80 |  | -- -- | w/2 cubs, shot by hunter 8/28/80 |
| (327) | F | (5.8) | 118 | 8/19/80 |  | 1247/1248 | w/2 cubs, immobilized in den 3/81, 3/83 |
| 328 | F | 6.8 | 150 | 8/19/80 |  | 1246/1245 | collar shed 81/82 den, recaptured 5/16/84 |
| (303\#2) | M | (8.8) | 260 | 8/19/80 |  | - | recapture, shot 9/8/83 |
| 329 | $F$ | 1.3 | 15* | 3/23/81 |  | 1266/1265 | w/327 and sibling, w/heavy collar, see 4/82 \& 3/83 recaptures |
| 318\#2 | F | 6.3 | -- | 3/25/85 |  | same | in den |
| (330) | M | 1.3 | 31 | 3/25/81 |  | 1276/1275 | w/318, died summer 1981 |
| (342B) | M | (5.5) | 165 | 5/7/81 |  | 1206/1205 | cinnamon color, shot on 9/15/81 |
| 343 | M | 5.5 | 184 | 5/7/81 |  | 1214/1213 | alone, Devil Mountain, recaptured 5/16/83 |
| (346) | M | (9.5) | 175* | 5/9/81 |  | 1226/1184 | alone, see 3/83 recapture, died 6/84 |
| 302\#2 | M | 9.5 | 300* | 5/9/81 |  | 1257/1105 | alone, old collar previously shed |
| (290\#2) | F | 9.8 | 160+* | 8/6/81 |  | 1306/1279 | neck infected, collar not replaced |
| (304\#2) | M | 11.8 | -- | 8/6/81 |  | 1286/1316 | collar replaced, shed 6/82 |
| (325\#2) | F | 12.8 | 150* | 8/6/81 |  | 1191/1192 | second collar shed in $81 / 82$ den |
| (303\#2) | M | (9.8) | 250* | 8/7/81 |  | (1055/1056) | collar replaced, shot 9/8/83 |
| (287\#2) | M | 11.8 | 200* | 8/7/81 |  | (1083/1084) | collar replaced, shot on 9/8/82 |
| (348) | M | 9.8 | 300* | 8/6/81 |  | 1131/1132 | alone, shot on 9/82 |
| 349 | F | 4.8 | 170* | 8/6/81 |  | 1326/1325 | alone, see $3 / 83$ recapture, shed 7/83, recaptured 5/16/84 |
| 329\#2 | F | 2.3 | 29 | 4/1/82 |  | same | recapture in den, see 3/83 recapture |
| 289\#2 | F | 11.3 | 112 | 4/1/82 |  | same | recapture in den $w / 350$ and 351 |
| 350 | M | 1.3 | 14 | 4/1/82 |  | 514/513 | capture in den |
| 351 | M | 1.3 | 16 | 4/1/82 |  | 516/515 | capture in den, recaptured 6/4/85 |
| (352) | M | 2.5 | 100* | 5/26/82 |  | -- | capture mortality |
| (353) | M | 1.5 | 29 | 5/26/82 |  | -- | capture mortality of B301's yearling |
| 354 | F | 5.5 | 150* | 5/26/82 |  | 517/1600 | w/2 cubs, recaptured 5/18/84 |
| 355 | F | 0.5 | 4* | 5/26/82 |  | 518/519 | w/354, no tattoo |
| 356 | M | 0.5 | 4* | 5/26/82 |  | 520/521 | w/354, no tattoo |
| (357) | M | 4.5 | 113 | 5/26/82 |  | 501/1651 | died winter 82/83 |
| (322\#2) | M | (6.5) | ${ }^{90}{ }^{*}$ | 5/27/82 |  | 1662/525 | recapture, previous shed collar, died summer '82 |
| (358) | F | (2.5) | 60* | 5/27/82 |  | 502/1656 | recaptured 5/15/84, died 8/84 |

    3
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    Table 29．（continued）

| Tattoo | Sex | $\frac{\text { Capture }}{\text { Age }}$ | Wt． | Date | Serial \＃ | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 359 | M | 4.5 | 118 | 5／27／82 |  | 512／1655 | recaptured 5／15／84 |
| （360） | M | 7.5 | 250＊ | 5／27／82 |  | 511／1657 | －－－－，collar shed 6／84 |
| 361 | F | 7.5 | 175＊ | 5／27／82 |  | 522／1596 | see 3／83 recapture |
| 362 | F | 2．5＊ | 40＊ | 5／27／82 |  | 503／504 | no tattoo |
| 363 | F | 4.5 | 120＊ | 5／27／82 |  | 505／1593 |  |
| 364 | F | 9.5 | 170＊ | 5／27／82 |  | 521／1591 | missing since Sept．＇82，recaptured 5／18／84 |
| （365） | M | 5.5 | 100＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 523／1626 | downstream study，see 3／83 recapture－collar loosened，died 9／83 |
| （366） | M | 6.5 | 200＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 538／1627 | downstream study，shot on 8／5／82 |
| （367） | F | 4.5 | 100＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 524／1579 | downstream study，shot，see below－4／16／83 recapture |
| （368） | F | 3.5 | 110＊ | 5／28／82 |  | －-1. | capture mortality，downstream study |
| 369 | F | 4.5 | 90＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 527／1578 | downstream study－age based on＇ 83 tooth，recaptured 4／83，4／84 tag shed 7／84 |
| 370 | F | 7.5 | 220＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 528／1577 | downstream study |
| （371） | M | 2.5 | 150＊ | 5／28／82 |  | －－ | capture mortality，downstream study |
| 372 | F | 9.5 | 135＊ | 5／28／82 |  | 537／1576 | downstream study |
| （374） | F | 7.5 | 125＊ | 6／11／82 |  | （530／1584） | w／1＠l，downstream study，recaptured 5／19／83，shot 9／83，aged +1 （＇83） |
| 375 | F | 9.5 | 160＊ | 6／11／82 |  | 50771630 | w／3＠1，downstream study，recaptured 5／19／83，age changed（ + （ 4） |
| 376 | F | 6.5 | 125＊ | 6／11／82 |  | 531／1587 | w／1＠，downstream study，see 9／2／82 recapture |
| 377 | F | 4.5 | 126 | 6／11／82 |  | 509／1659 | downstream study，recaptured 5／19／83，age changed（－1） |
| 378 | F | 6.5 | 175＊ | 6／11／82 |  | 510／1628 | downstream study |
| 376\＃2 | F | 6.7 | 160＊ | 9／2／82 |  | 530／1584 | recapture，slough 8B，snare |
| （301\＃2） | F | （10．3） | 135 | 3／20／83 | 6298 | same | w／2＠0，recapture in den，collar shed 7／83，shot 9／84 |
| 317\＃2 | F | 10.3 | －－ | 3／23／83 | 6338 | 1547／1196 | w／2＠0，recapture in den |
| （318\＃2） | F | 8.3 | －－ | 3／23／83 | （6351） | same | w／2＠0，recapture in den，shed 7／83 |
| 323\＃2 | M | 5.3 | －－ | 3／21／83 | 6264 | 1696／1650 | recapture in den ． |
| （324\＃2） | M | 8.3 | －－ | 3／22／83 | （6443） | （1661／1251） | recapture in den，shot 9／84 |
| 329\＃3 | F | 3.3 | 56 | 3／22／83 | same | same | recapture in den，old collar loosened |
| （327\＃2） | F | 8.3 | －－ | 3／23／83 | （6416） | same | w／2＠0，recapture in den，died summer 1983 |
| （346\＃2） | M | 11.3 | －－ | 3／21／83 | 12449 | same | recapture in den，died 6／84 |
| （349\＃2） | F | 6.3 | －－ | 3／22／83 | （6446） | same | w／2＠0，recapture in den，shed 7／83 |
| 361\＃2 | F | 8.3 | －－ | 3／21／83 | （6305） | same | w／4＠0，recapture in den，recaptured 4／84，2／85 |
| （365\＃2） | M | 6.3 | －＂ | 3／23／83 | （same） | same | recapture in den，collar loosened，died 9／83 |
| （379） | F | 9.3 |  | 3／24／83 | （6449） | none | w／3＠0，captured in den \＃19，died 7／83 |
| 369\＃2 | F | 5.3 | －－ | 4／14／83 | same | same | collar loosened in den，no cubs，recaptured 4／84 |
| $372 \# 2$ | F | 10.3 | －－ | 4／15／83 | same | same | w／3＠0，collar loosened in den |
| 376\＃3 | F | 6.3 | －－ | 4／16／83 | same | same | w／3＠0，collar okay in den |
| 370\＃2 | F | 8.3 | －－ | 4／16／83 | same | same | w／2＠0，collar loosened in den |
| （367\＃2） | F | 5.3 | －－ | 4／16／83 | （same） | same | collar loosened in den，no cubs，shot July 1983 |
| $378 \# 2$ | F | 7.3 | －－ | 4／16／83 | same | same | w／2＠0（not sexed or weighed），collar okay in den |
| 387 | M | 4.5 | 175＊ | 5／14／83 | 6288 | 2126／2127 | － |
| 321 \＃2 | F | 13.5 | 115 | 5／15／83 | 15286 | same | had cubs（ $\mathrm{n}=$ ？），not captured |
| 343\＃2 | M | 7.5 | 225＊ | 5／16／83 | 15287 | same | －－ |
| 401 | M | 3.5 | 96 | 5／18／83 | 15280 | 2103／2102 | －－ |
| 402 | F | 10.5 | 130 | 5／18／83 | 3616 | 2373／2372 | w／3＠1，not captured，Downstream study |
| 375\＃2 | F | 10.5 | －－ | 5／19／83 | same | same | w／1＠0，not captured，old collar loosened，age changed＋ 4 （＇83 tooth） |
| （37．4\＃2） | F＇ | 8.5 | 120＊ | 5／19／83 | （same） | （same） | w／3＠0，all captured，old collar loosened，shot 9／83，aged＋ 1 |
| 010 | F | 0.5 | －－ | 5／19／83 | －－ | 1351／1352 | w／374，no tattoo |
| 011 | F | 0.5 | －－ | 5／19／83 | －－ | 1354／1353 | w／374，no tattoo |
| 012 | F | 0.5 | －－ | 5／19／83 | －－ | 1356／1355 | w／374，no tattoo |
| 377\＃2 | F | 5.5 | 135＊ | 5／19／83 | 15282 | same | alone，collar replaced，neck infected，age changed－ 1 （＇83 tooth） |
| 404 | F | 11.5 | 135＊ | 5／19／83 | 15272 | 2449／2450 | w／1＠0，captured，Downstream study，recaptured 3／85 |
| 013 | F | 0.5 | 10 | 5／19／83 | －－ | 2449／2450 | no tattoo，w／404，Downstream study |
| 405 | F | 17.5 | 180＊ | 5／19／83 | 6314 | 2418／2417 | W／2＠0，both captured，Downstream study |
| 014 | F | 0.5 | 6.5 | 5／19／83 | －－ | 1364／1366 | w／405，Downstream study，no tattoo |
| 015 | F | 0.5 | 6.0 | 5／19／83 | －－ | 1365／1366 | w／405，Downstream study，no tattoo |

Table 29. (continued)

|  | Tattoo | Sex | $\frac{\text { Capture }}{\text { Age }}$ | Wt. | Date | Sertal \# | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 406 | F | 11.5 | 125* | 5/19/83 | 15273 | 2444/2445 | w/2@0, not captured, Downstream study |
|  | 408 | M | 3.5 | 160* | 5/19/83 | 15274 | 2119/2120 | alone, Downstream study |
|  | 409 | F | 5.5 | 90* | 5/19/83 | 6310 | 1527/1526 | alone, Downstream study |
|  | (410) | F | 7.5 | 120* | 5/19/83 | (6262) | (1536/1537) | w/2@0, not captured, Downstream study, shot 7/19/83 |
|  | 411 | F | 8.5 | 130* | 5/19/83 | 6402 | 1548/1549 | w/2@1, not captured, Downstream study |
|  | 363\#2 | F | 6.3 | -- | 4/6/84 | 6280 | same | w/2@0, recaptured in den, replaced collar |
|  | -- | M | 0.3 | 6.0 | 4/6/84 | -- | 12/20 | w/363 in den, neck $=190 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | -- | M | 0.3 | 6.8 | 4/6/84 | -- | 11/24 | w/363 in den, neck $=192 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | 361\#3 | F | 9.3 | -- | 4/6/84 |  | same | W/3@1, recaptured in den, collar good fit, replaced 2/85 |
|  | 412\#2 | M | 1.3 | 30* | 4/6/84 | -- | 1678/2122 | w/361 in den, neck $=285 \mathrm{~mm}, 25+\mathrm{lbs}$ |
|  | 413\#2 | F | 1.3 | 30* | 4/6/84 | -- | 2476/2428 | w/361 in den, neck $=286 \mathrm{~mm}$, 25+ lbs |
|  | 414\#2 | F | 1.3 | 19.5 | 4/6/84 | -- | 2439/2432 | $\mathrm{w} / 361$ in den, neck $=263 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | (360\#2) | M | 9.3 | -- | 4/7/84 | 6307 | same | recaptured in den, replaced collar, shed 6/84 |
|  | 329\#4 | F | 4.3 | 75* | 4/7/84 | 17919 | same | recaptured in den \#73, alone |
|  | 289\#3 | F | 13.3 | -- | 4/7/84 | 6291 | same | w/l@1, recaptured in den, collar replaced, recaptured 3/85 |
|  | 415 | F | 1.3 | 23.5 | 4/7/84 | -- | 1582/1590 | w/289 in den |
|  | 369\#3 | F | 6.3 | -- | 4/8/84 | 6282 | same | w/2@0, recaptured in den, replaced collar, ear tag 1578 found 7/84 |
|  | -- | M | 0.3 | 4.0 | 4/8/84 | -- | 3/4 | w/369 in den |
|  | -- | F | 0.3 | 3.8 | 4/8/84 | -- | 22/6 | w/369 in den |
|  | (358\#2) | F | (4.5) | 70 | 5/15/84 | (6319) | same | sex changed, died 8/84 |
|  | 359\#2 | M | 6.5 | 131 | 5/15/84 | 6406 | same | alone, collar replaced |
|  | 302\#3 | M | 12.5 | 350* | 5/15/84 | 17920 | same | old collar not working |
| $\stackrel{\otimes}{*}$ | 416 | M | 9.5 | 230* | 5/15/84 | 6312 | 2064/2054 | (poor tooth age) |
|  | 349\#2 | F | 7.5 | 72 | 5/16/84 | 6316 | 1326/1325 | old collar previously shed, recaptured 2/85 |
|  | 328\#2 | F | 10.5 | 110 | 5/16/84 | 6451 | 1246/1245 | old collar previously shed |
|  | 364\#2 | F | 11.5 | 108 | 5/18/84 | 6355 | 1591/526 | old collar not working |
|  | 354\#2 | F | 7.5 | 108 | 5/18/84 | 6354 | 1600/517 | with cubs |
|  | 361\#4 | F | 10.3 | 140* | 2/25/85 | 6400 | same | w/3@2 in den, collar applied loosely |
|  | 412\#3 | M | 2.3 | 80* | 2/25/85 | -- | same | w/361 in den, applied green visual dropoff |
|  | 413\#3 | F | 2.3 | 65* | 2/25/85 | -- | same | w/361 in den, applted red visual dropoff |
|  | 414\#3 | F | 2.3 | 55* | 2/25/85 | -- | same | w/361 in den, applied white visual dropoff |
|  | 349\#3 | F | 8.3 | -- | 2/28/85 | same | same | in den w/at least 2@0, collar loosened 12 |
|  | 001 | M | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2/28/85 | -- | -- | w/349, at least one sibling not handled |
|  | 289\#4 | F | 14.3 | -- | 3/1/85 | same | same | w/at least $2 @ 0$ in den, cubs not handled |
|  | 328\#3 | F | 11.3 | -- | 3/29/85 | same | same | w/3@0 in den, loosened collar $1 \frac{1}{2}$ notches, rubbed |
|  | 002 | M | 0.3 | 5.0 | 3/29/85 | -- | -- | w/B328 and siblings |
|  | 003 | M | 0.3 | 4.1 | 3/29/85 | -- | -- | w/B328 and stblings |
|  | 004 | F | 0.3 | 4.1 | 3/29/85 | -- | -- | w/B328 and stblings |
|  | 404\#2 | F | 13.3 |  | 3/30/85 | same | same | w/3@0 in den, collar fine |
|  | 005 | M | 0.3 | 4.1* | 3/30/85 | -- | -- | w/B404 and siblings |
|  | 006 | M | 0.3 | 4.1* | 3/30/85 | -- | -- | w/B404 and siblings |
|  | 007 | F | 0.3 | 3.5* | 3/30/85 | -- | -- | w/B404 and siblings |
|  | (426) | M | (3.5) | 75* | 6/1/85 | -- | -- | capture mortality |
|  | 428 | M | 6.5* | 175* | 6/1/85 | 6336 | 2109/2167 | rot-away canvas spacer |
|  | 430 | M | A | 285* | 6/2/85 | 3603 | 2093/2088 | rot-away canvas spacer |
|  | 431 | F | A | 116 | 6/2/85 | 3617 | 1519/1520 | ---- |
|  | $310 \# 2$ | M | 7.5 | 225* | 6/2/85 | 6347 | 2185/2183 | rot-away canvas spacer |
|  | 432 | F | A | 124 | 6/2/85 | 6353 | 1558/1557 | w/ylg. 434 |
|  | 434 | F | 1.5 | 33 | 6/2/85 | -- | 1552/1572 | W/B432 |
|  | 433 | M | 3.5* | 68* | 6/2/85 | -- | 1647/2081 | ---- |
|  | 435 | M | A | 200* | 6/2/85 | 6351 | 2182/2186 | ---- |
|  | 436 | M | 2.5* | 40* | 6/3/85 |  | --/2121 | w/B364-mother? |
|  | 438 | F | A | 130* | 6/3/85 | 6262 | 1516/1521 | w/B439 \& sibling (\#444?) |
|  | 439 | M | 2.5* | 40* | 6/3/85 | 6307 |  | W/B438-injured in left rear leg during darting |
|  | 441 | F | A | 195 | 6/4/85 | 6307 | 2361/2362 |  |



Table 29. (continued)

| Tattoo | Sex | $\frac{\text { Capture }}{\text { Age }}$ | Wt. | Date | Serial \# | Ear Tags | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 351\#2 | M | 4.5 | 140 | 6/4/85 | - | 2169/2175 | Old tags left in too (516/515) |
| 444 | M | 3.5* | 78 | 6/4/85 | -- | 2154/2153 | drop-off visual collar |
| 445 | M | A | 250* | 6/4/85 | 6984 | 2068/2164 | drop-off collar |
| (446) | F | A | 99 | 6/5/885 | -- | --/-- | capture mortality |
| 448 | F | A | 100 | 6/5/85 | 15211 | 1544/1533 | -- |
| 318\#4 | F | 10.5 | -- | 6/5/85 | -- | same | w/2@l (not captured), recapture |
| 449 | $\stackrel{M}{\text { M }}$ | A | 165* | 6/9/85 | -- | 1640/2188 | alone |
| 451 | F | ? | 54 | 6/10/85 | -- | 2408/2484 | a lone |

* Weight or age estimated, ( ) shed or replaced collar or dead bear, \# recapture, _subsequently changed, Last Tattoo used $=425$, last $\mathrm{cub}=25$.

Table 30. Predicted and observed spring 1984 reproductive status of radio-collared female black bears.

| ID | 1984 age | Predicted* 1984 status | Comments | Observed 1984 status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321 | 14 | cubs | lost '83 litter in May | 2 cubs |
| 349 | 7 | cubs | apparently lost ' 83 litter, shed collar recaptured $5 / 84$ | alone |
| 354 | 7 | cubs | weaned ' 83 yearlings | 2 cubs |
| 363 | 6 | cubs | alone in '83 | 2 cubs |
| 369** | 6 | cubs? | first litter expected in '84 | 2 cubs (Aug.) |
| 377** | 6 | cubs | apparently lost '83 litter, shed collar | alone*** |
| 402** | 11 | cubs | weaned ' 83 yearlings | alone |
| 409** | 6 | cubs | apparently alone in ' 83 | NA |
| 411** | 9. | cubs | weaned ' 83 yearlings | 2 cubs |
| 289 | 13 | 1 Yig | cubs in '83 | w/1@1 |
| 317 | 11 | 1 ylg | cubs in ' 83 | w/1@1 |
| 361 | 9 | 3 ylgs | cubs in '83 | w/3@1 |
| 375** | 11 | 1-2 ylgs | cubs in '83 | w/2@1 |
| 376** | 8 | 3 ylgs | cubs in ' 83 | w/3@1 |
| 378** | 8 | 2 ylgs | cubs in '83 | W/2@1 |
| 404** | 12 | 1-2 ylgs | cubs in '83, last seen in July '83 | NA |
| 405** | 18 | 2 ylgs | cubs in '83 | w/2@1 |
| 406** | 12 | 2 ylgs | cubs in ' 83 | w/2@1 |
| 329 | 4 | barren? | first litter expected in 1985 | barren |

* See Muller (1984:117)
** bear occurs in the downstream study area
**ds heard at least one cub in den on $4 / 8 / 84$, none seen post-exit

Table 31. Predicted and observed spring 1985 reproductive status of radio-collared female black bears.

| ID | 1985 age | $\begin{gathered} \text { Predicted* } \\ 1985 \text { status } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cormments | Observed 1985 status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 349 | 8 | cabs | cubs expected last year | 2 cubs |
| 402** | 12 | cubs | cubs expected Iast year | 2 cubs |
| 289 | 14 | cubs | ylgs last year, bred | 2 cubs |
| 317 | 12. | cubs. | ylgs last year, bred | 2 cubs |
| 361 | 10 | cubs | 3 ylgs last. year | w/3 @2 in den |
| 364 | 9 | cubs | Ylgs in last year | alone (? w/l@2?) |
| 375** | 12 | cubs | ylgs last year | NA (shot) |
| 376** | 9 | cubs | y1gs last year | alone? |
| 378** | 9 | cubs | ylgs last year | 1 cub |
| 404** | 13 | cuibs | status in '84. unknown should have had ylgs | 3 coy |
| 405** | 19 | cubs | ylgs last year | w/2@2 |
| 406** | 13 | cubs | ylgs last year | missing |
| 377** | 7 | cubs | ```last year's litter possibly lost in den``` | 2 cubs |
| 329 | 5 | cubs ? | first litter expected | alone |
| 328 | 11 | cubs | bred in ' 84 | 3 cubs |
| 32. | 15 | 1 ylg | cubs in ' 84 | 1 ylg |
| 354. | 8 | 1-2 ylgs | 2-1 cubs in '84 | alone |
| 363 | 7 | 2 ylgs | cabs in ${ }^{\prime} 84$ | 2 ylgs |
| 369** | 7 | 2 glgs | cubs in '84 | $1 \mathrm{ylg}+$ |
| 409** | 7 | ylgs ? | ' 84 status unknown, should have had cubs | alone |
| 411** | 10 | 2 ylgs | cubs in '84 | 2 ylgs |

** bear occurs in the downstream study area
*** heard at least one cub in den on $4 / 8 / 84$, none seen past exit

Table 32. Summary of black bear litter size data based on observations of bears with litters of newborn cubs.

| MOTHER'S ID (age-year) | LITTER SIZE | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B289 (10 in spring '81) | 3 | lost 1 in August, 2 survived |
| B289 (12 in spring '83) | 2 | lost 1 cub in Sept., other survived to den exit |
| B301 (8 in spring '81) | 2 | both survived to yearling age |
| B301 (10 in spring '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 2(\text { in den }) \\ & {[2 \text { at exit }]} \end{aligned}$ | survivorship undetermined, female shed collar |
| B317 (7 in summer ${ }^{\text {1 }} 80$ ) | 2 (summer) | initial capture in summer, both survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture |
| B317 (10 in '83) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { (in den) } \\ \text { [2 at exit] } \end{gathered}$ | lost 1 in June, other survived to den exit |
| B318 (5 in summer '80) | 1 (summer) | survived |
| B318 (8 in '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { (den) } \\ & {[2 \text { at exit }]} \end{aligned}$ | both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar |
| B328 (7 in summer '81) | 2 (summer) | ```bred in 1980. Lost l by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)``` |
| B326 (5 in summer '80) | 2 (summer) | bear shot in 1980 , cubs may have been adopted by B317 |
| B321 (11 in spring '81) | 2 | no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by $8 / 24 / 81$, no litter in ' 82 , no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983 |
| B321 (14 in '84) | 2 | lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance |
| B327 (5 in summer ' 80 ) | 2 (summer) | both survived to yearling age |
| B327 (8 in '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 2(\text { den }) \\ & {[2 \text { at exit }]} \end{aligned}$ | cubs survived into June, female died in July |
| B349 (6 in spring '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { (den) } \\ & {[0 \text { at exit?] }} \end{aligned}$ | first litter, no cubs in summer ' 81 or spring ' 82 , cubs apparently lost in May ' 83 , collar shed in July - No ylgs on 5/84 |
| B354 (5 in '82) | 2 | both survived to den entrance, at least 1 ylg. at exit in ' 83 |


| MOTHER'S ID (age-year) | LITTER SIZE | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B354 (7 in '84) | 2 | May have lost 1 by den enterence date. |
| B361 (8 in '83) | $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { (in den) } \\ \text { [3 at exit] } \end{gathered}$ | lost 1 in den prior to exit, others survived to den exit in ' 84 |
| B370 (8 in '83) | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { (in den) } \\ {[2 \text { at exit }]} \end{gathered}$ | bear missing after 5/23/83, cubs alive at that time |
| B363 (6 in '84) | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { (in den) } \\ {[2 \text { at exit }} \end{array}$ | None lost to den entrance |
| B369* (6 in ' 84 ) | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { (in den) } \\ {[2 \text { at exit] }} \end{array}$ | None lost to den entrance |
| B372* (10 in '83) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { (in den) } \\ {[3 \text { at exit] }]} \end{gathered}$ | lost 1 in early July, others survived to $7 / 20$, female lost in Sept., ' 83. |
| B374* (7 in '83) | 3 | think lost 2 in July, bear shot in Sept., ' 83. |
| B375* (6 in '83) | 2 | both survived to exit in '84. |
| B376* (5 in '83) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { (in den) } \\ {[3 \text { at exit] }} \end{gathered}$ | all survived to exit in '84. |
| B377* (5 in ' 83 ) | [1-2??] <br> NOT COUNTED | cubs may have been lost prior to or during capture, cubs not seen during capture but saw at least 1 cub 9 days earlier on 5/10/83 |
| B377 (6 in '84) | some (in den) [0 at exit] | heard at least 1 cub in den, none seen at exit. |
| B378* (7 in '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 2(\mathrm{den}) \\ & {[2 \text { at exit] }} \end{aligned}$ | both survived to ' 84 den exit. |
| B379 (9 in '83) | $\begin{aligned} & 3(\mathrm{den}) \\ & {[2 \mathrm{at} \text { exit] }} \end{aligned}$ | lost all cubs by $5 / 23 / 83$, bred again, died in July |
| B404* (11 in ${ }^{\text {8 }} 83$ ) | 1. | survived thru 7/20/83 at least, not seen in ' 84 . |
| B405* (17 in' 83 ) | 2 | both survived to den exit in ' 84 |
| B406* (11 in '83) | 2 | both survived to den exit in ' 84. |
| B409* (7 in '84) | ? | not observed in '84. |
| B410* (7 in '83) | 2 | both survived thru June, bear shot in July |
| B411* (9 in '84) | 2 | status at entrance into ' 84 den. unk. |

Table 32 (cont'd)

| Total number of cubs | number of litters | mean litter size (range) | comments (includes) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | 32 | 2.2(1-4) | all cub litters counted at earliest observation |
| 54 | 25 | 2. $2(1-3)$ | spring observations only (w/o den data or summer litters) |
| 60 | 26 | 2.3(1-4) | earliest observation excluding summer litters |
| 31 | 13 | 2.4(2-4) | observations in dens only |

* Downstream study area

| MOTHER'S ID (age-year) | LITTER SIZE | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B288 (10 in 1980) | 3 | Bred in 1980, ylgs. with female into August, shed collar in 1980 |
| B290 (8 in 1980) | 2 | weaned by $6 / 23 / 80$, bred in 1981 , collar removed on $8 / 5 / 81$ (neck scarred) |
| B289 (9 in 1980) | 2 | weaned by 5/22/80, bred, 3 cubs in ' 81 |
| B289 (13 in 1984) | 1 | with mom to Sept., bred in June. |
| B289 (11 in 1982) | 2 (in den) | weaned by $6 / 9 / 82$, bred, had 2 cubs in 1983 |
| B301 (7 in 1980) | 1 | weaned by $6 / 12 / 80$, bred, had 2 cubs in 1981 |
| B301 (9 in 1982) | 2 | weaned by $6 / 17 / 82$, bred, had 3 cubs in 1983 |
| B317 (8 in 1981) | 2 | weaned by $6 / 18 / 81$, bred, 1 ylg returned and was with female until 9/9/81, no cubs in 1982 |
| B317 (11 in 1984) | 1 | weaned in June, bred |
| B318 (6 in 1981) | 1 (den) | ylg (B330) weaned by $5 / 29 / 81$, bred, ylg died by $8 / 24 / 81$, no (reason?) cubs in 1982, bred again, 2 cubs in 1983 |
| B327 (5 in 1981) | 2 (den) | y1g B329 and sibling, sibling weaned by $6 / 5 / 81$, B329 by $6 / 21$, bred, no cubs in 1982, bred again, cubs in 1983 |
| B354 (6 in 1983) | 1(?) | at least 1 ylg exited den (perhaps both?), weaned by 6/2/83 |
| B364 (8 in 1984) | 3 | 2 weaned early, bred, still with one in September. |
| B402* (10 in 1983) | 3 | weaned in early July |
| B411* (8 in 1983) | 2 | weaned after 6/13 |

Table 33. (cont'd)
MOTHER'S ID (age-year) LITTER SIZE COMMENTS


[^6]Table 34. Summary of known losses of black bear cubs-of-the-year. Losses calculated during first season out of den (in dens or at emergence from dens as cubs to entrance into dens as cubs)

| Year | Upstream study area | downstream study area | Both areas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | no data | no data | -- |
| 1981 | 4 of 9 lost (289, 301, 321, 328) | no data | 4 of 9 lost |
| 1982 | 0 of 2 lost (354) | no data | 0 of 2 lost |
| 1983 complete data | 8 of 13 lost (289, 317, 361, 349) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { of } 12 \text { lost ( } 375,376,377 * *, \\ & 378,405,406) \end{aligned}$ | 9 of 25 lost |
| 1983 incomplete data* | [2 of 2 lost (318] | [3 of 6 lost (372, 374)] | [5 of 8 lost] |
| 1984 complete data | 1 of 4 lost ( 321,363 ) | 0 of 2 lost (369) | 1 of 6 lost |
| 1984 incomplete data* | [1 of 2 lost (354)] | [1 of ? lost (377)] | [1 of 2 lost] |
| TOTALS (all years) | 13 of $28=46 \%$ lost | 1 of $14=7 \%$ lost | 14 of $42=33 \%$ lost |

[^7]Table 35. Sex ratio and morphometrics of black bear cubs-of-year handled in the Susitna Hydro Project.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUB } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOTHER'S } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE } \\ \text { HANDLED } \end{gathered}$ |  | SEX | WT(lbs) | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 355 | B354 | 26 | May 1982 |  | F | - | ear tags |
| 356 | B354 | 26 | May 1982 |  | M | -- | ear tags |
| -- | B301 |  | March 1983 | (den) | F | 2.6 |  |
| -- | B301 | 20 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 2.5 |  |
| -- | B361 | 21 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 3.5 |  |
| - | B361 | 21 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 3.8 |  |
| -- | B361 | 21 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 3.5 |  |
| - | B361 | 21 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 2.8 |  |
| -- | B349 | 22 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 3.5 |  |
| - | B349 | 22 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 3.4 |  |
| -- | B317 | 23 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 4.3 | neck $=175 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| - | B317 | 23 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 4.3 | neck $=180$ mm |
| -- | B318 | 23 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 2.8 |  |
| - | B318 | 23 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 2.7 |  |
| -- | B327 | . 23 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 5.3 | neck $=190 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| -- | B327 | 23 | March 1983 | (den) | F | 4.5 | neck=180 mm |
| -- | B379 | 24 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 2.8 |  |
| - | B379 | 24 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 3.3 |  |
| -- | B379 | 24 | March 1983 | (den) | M | 3.3 |  |
| -- | B372 | 15 | April 1983 | (den) | $F$ | 3.7 |  |
| -- | B372 | 15 | April 1983 | (den) | F | 4.1 |  |
| - | B372 | 15 | April 1983 | (den) | M | 4.5 |  |
| -- | B376 | 16 | April 1983 | (den) | M | 6.0 | neck $=190 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| -- | B376 | 16 | April 1983 | (den) | F | 5.5 | neck $=190$ mm |
| -- | B376 | 16 | April 1983 | (den) | F | 5.8 | neck $=190$ mm |
| -- | B370 | 16 | April 1983 | (den) | F | 7.5 | neck $=200$ mm |
| -- | B370 | 16 | April 1983 | (den) | F | 7.0 | neck $=190 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| 010 | B374 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | -- | neck $=175 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |
| 011 | B374 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | -- | neck $=200 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |
| 012 | B374 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | -- | neck $=195 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |

Table 35 (cont'd)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUB } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MOTHER'S } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE } \\ \text { HANDLED } \end{gathered}$ |  | SEX | WT(lbs) | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 013 | B404 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | 10.0 | neck $=215 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |
| 014 | B405 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | 6.5 | neck $=180 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |
| 015 | B405 | 19 | May 1983 |  | F | 6.0 | neck $=175 \mathrm{~mm}$, ear tags |
| - | B363 | 6 | April 1984 | (den) | M | 6.0 | neck=190mm |
| -- | B363 | 6 | April 1984 | (den) | M | 6.8 | neck $=192 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| -- | B369 | 8 | April 1984 | (den) | M | 4.0 |  |
| -- | B369 | 8 | April 1984 | (den) | F | 3.8 |  |
| - | B349 | 28 | Feb. 1985 | (den) | M | 1.8 | very small, eyes closed, sibling not handled |
| -- | B328 | 29 | March 1985 | (den) | M | 5.0 |  |
|  | B328 | 29. | March 1985 | (den) | M | 4.1 |  |
|  | B328 | 29 | March 1985 | (den) | F | 4.1 |  |
|  | B404 | 30 | March 1985 | (den) | M | 4.1* |  |
| - | B404 | 30 | March 1985 | (den) | M | 4.1* |  |
| - | B404 | 30 | March 1985 | (den) | F | 3.5* |  |

Totals: 19 males and 25 females, In dens=18 males and 18 females.

* Estimated

| m | SMILO9SM-1Table 36. Morphometrics of black bear yearlings handled in the Susistna HydroProject. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \%om | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YLG } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOTHER'S } \\ & \text { ID } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DATE } \\ \text { HANDLED } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SEX | WT (1bs) | COMMENTS |
| - | B329 | B327 | 23 | March 1981 (den) | F | 15 (est.) | tagged and collared |
|  | B330 | B318 |  | March 1981 (den) | M | 31 | tagged and collared |
| \% | B350 | B289 |  | April 1982 (den) | M | 14 | ear tagged |
| 0 | B351 | B289 |  | April 1982 (den) | M | 16 | ear tagged |
|  | B353 | B301 | 26 | May 1982 | M | 29 | with mother, capture mortality |
|  | B412 | B361. | 6. | April 1984 (den) | M | 30* |  |
| $\cdots$ | B413 | B361 | 6 | April 1984 (den) | F | 30* |  |
|  | B414 | B361 | 6 | April 1984 (den) | F | 19.5 |  |
|  | B415 | B289 |  | April 1984 (den) | $F$ | 23.5 | Neck $=299 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Totals: 5 males and 4 female

Table 37. Sumary of apparent natural mortalities of radio-collared adult bears. Susitna Hydro project. Includes black bears $\geq 1$ year of age and brown bears $\geq 2$ year of age.


Table 38. Status of black bears marked during Su-Hydro studies, 1980-1983. (A=alive, ND=no data, $F=s h o t$ in fall season, $S p=s h o t$ in spring season, $S=$ Summer capture or mortality).

| Bear ID | Sex/Age | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Upstream Study Area

| 287 | M/10 in '80 | A | A | Shat-F | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 288 | F/10 in ' 80 | A (shed) | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 289 | F/9 in ' 80 | A | A | A | A | A |  |
| 290 | F/8 in 80 | A | $A$ (remvd) | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 301 | F/7 in ${ }^{\text {P }} 80$ | A | A | A | A (shed) | Shot-F |  |
| 302 | M/8 in '80 | A | A | A | A | A |  |
| 303 | M/8 in ' 80 | A | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |
| 304 | M/10 in ${ }^{180}$ | A | A | A (shed) | ND | ND |  |
| 305 | M/9 in ' 80 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - |
| 307 | M/2 in ${ }^{1} 80$ | A | Shot-S | - | - | - | - |
| 310 | M/2 in ' 80 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 316 | $F / 12$ in 180 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - |
| 317 | F/7 in '80 | A-S | A | A | A | A |  |
| 318 | F/5 in ' 80 | A-S | A | A | ND-shed | ND |  |
| 319 | M/3 in ' 80 | A-S | died | - | - | - | - |
| 320 | M/4 in ' 80 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - |
| 321 | F/10 in * 80 | $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{S}$ | A cubs | A | A | A |  |
| 322 | M/4 in ${ }^{1} 80$ | A-S | A | died | - | - | - |
| 323 | M/2 in ' 80 | A-S | A | A | Shot-F | - | - |
| 324. | M/5 in ' 80 | A-S | A | A | A | Shot-F | - |
| 325 | F/11 in ${ }^{180}$ | A-S | A | Shed | ND | ND |  |
| 326 | F/5 in '80 | Shot-F | - | - | - | - | - |
| 327 | F/5 in ' 80 | A-S | A | A | Died-S | - | - |
| 328 | F/6 in ' 80 | A-S | A | A | A | A |  |
| 329 | F/1 in ' 81 | - | A | A | A | A |  |
| 330 | M/1 in ${ }^{1} 80$ | - | died-S | - | - | - |  |
| 342b | M/5 in ' 81 | $\cdots$ | Shot-F | - | - | - |  |
| 346 | M/9 in '81 | - | A | A | A | aied | - |
| 348 | M/9 in ' 81 | - | A-S | Shot-F | - | - |  |
| 349 | F/4 in '81 | - | A-S | A | A | A |  |
| 354 | F/5 in '82 | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 357 | M/4 in ' 82. | - | - | died-W | - | - |  |
| 358 | M/2 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | died-F | - |
| 359 | M/4 in ${ }^{1} 82$ | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 360 | M/7 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 361 | F/7 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 362 | F/2 in ' 82 | - | - | ND | ND | ND |  |
| 363 | $F / 4$ in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 364 | F/9 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |  |
| 379 | F/9 in '83 | - | - | - | died-S | - |  |
| 387 | F/4 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |  |
| 401 | M/3 in 183 | - | - | - | A | A |  |
| 416 | M/A in ' 84 | - | - | - | - | A |  |

(continued on next page)

Upstream subtotals

| Naxtmum No. bears protentially alive (includes ND) in year (excludes natural mortalities (M:F) | 24(12:12) | 24(12:12) | 30(13:17) | 28(11:17) | 25(8:17) | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. known shot (M,F) | 4(2:2) | 2(2:0) | 2(2:0) | 2(2:0) | 2(1:1) | ND |
| No. adaitional bears suspected shot (M.F) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ND |
| fi known or suspected shot | 178 | 8\% | 7\% | 7\% | 8\% | ND |

## Eownstream Study Area

| 343 | M/5 in '81 | - | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 365 | M/5 in ${ }^{\text {c }} 82$ | - | - | A | Died-F | - |
| 366 | M/6 in ' 82 | - | - | Shot-F | - | - |
| 367 | F/4 in ${ }^{\text {' } 82}$ | - | - | A | Shot-s | - |
| 369 | $F / 4$ in '82 | - | - | A | A | A |
| 370 | F/7 in ' 82 | - | - | A | (Shot?)-S | - |
| 372 | F/9 in '82 | - | - | A | (Shot?)-S | - |
| 374 | F/7 in '82 | - | - | A | Shot-F | - |
| 375 | F/5 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |
| 376 | F/6 in '82 | - | - | A | A | A |
| 377 | F/5 in '82 | - | - | A | A | A |
| 378 | F/6 in ' 82 | - | - | A | A | A |
| 402 | F/10 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 404 | F/11 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 405 | F/17 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 406 | F/11 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 408 | M/3 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 409 | F/5 in '83 | - | - | - | A | A |
| 410 | F/7 in '83 | - | - | - | Shot-S | - |
| 411 | F/8 in ' 83 | - | - | - | A | A |

Downstrean. subtotals
Max. No. bears potentially
alive (includes ND) in year

| (excludes natural mortalities) <br> $(M: F)$ | $1(1: 0)$ | $12(3: 9)$ | $18(2: 16)$ | $13(2: 11) \quad N D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No. known shot $(\mathrm{M}: \mathrm{F}) \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 1(1: 0) \quad 3(0: 3) \quad 0 \quad$ ND

| No. additional bears <br> suspected shot $(M ; F)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2(0: 2)$ | 0 | ND |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \& known or suspected shot | - | - | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | 0 | ND |

(continued on next page)

Opstream \& Downstream Areas Combined

| includes ND ) (M:F) | 24(12:12) | 25(13:12) | 42(16:26) | 46(13:33) | 38(10:28) | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mio. known shot (M:F) | 4(2:2) | 2(2:0) | $3(3: 0)$ | 5(2:3) | 2(1:1) | ND |
| No. additional bears suspected shot (M:F). | 0 | 0 | 0 | $2(0: 2)$ | 0 | ND |
| 9; known or suspected shot | 17\% | 8\% | 7\% | 15\% | 5\% | ND |

 impoundment study area.

|  | Transect 1 |  |  |  | Transect 4 |  |  |  | Transect 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Between Vee Canyoand Oshetna(upstream) |  |  |  | Confluence of Susitna R. and Deadman (downstream) |  |  |  | Vee CanyonOshetna Ck. (upstream) |  |  |  |
| Elevation | 2325 feet |  |  |  | 2100 feet |  |  |  | 3050 feet |  |  |  |
| Aspect | $218^{\circ}$ |  |  |  | $239^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | $216^{\circ}$ |  |  |
| Slope |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetation type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date | 8/21782 | 8/18/83 | 8/23/84 | 8/30/85 | 8/21/82 | 8718783 | 8722784 | $8730 / 85$ | 8/21/82 | 8/18/83 | 8723/84 | 8/30785 |
| Blueberries (Vaccintum uliginosum) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. berrles | 303 | 238 | 110 | 160 | 32 | 41 | 45 | 34 | 489 | 1104 | 287 | 333 |
| range (no/plot) | 1-191 | 0-120 | 0-38 | 6-26 | 0-8 | 0-19 | 1-11 | 0-11 | 0-164 | 59-202 | 4-66 | 0-119 |
| S.D. | 57 | 39 | 11 | 8 | 3.2 | 6.2 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 54.9 | 53.6 | 23.3 | 36.2 |
| \% canopy cover: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| range | 5-60 | 10-40 | 10-40 | 40-90 | 15-70 | 10-60 | 15-40 | 20-50 | 5-80 | 15-70 | 5-55 | 15-70 |
| S.D. | 15.9 | 11.3 | 10.2 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 15.9 | 8.6 | 12.5 | 24.6 | 19.3 | 16.9 | 18.1 |
| Lowbush cranberry (V. vitis-idaea) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. berries | 28 | 94 | 109 | 199 | 0 | 127 | 302 | 19 | 45 | 604 | 688 | 908 |
| range | 0-15 | 0-23 | 0-100 | 0-58 | - | 0-114 | 0-283 | 0-19 | 0-16 | 4-109 | 3-140 | 6-206 |
| S.D. | 5.1 | 9.1 | 31.3 | 19.6 | - | 35.6 | 88.9 | - | - | 36:7 | 51.3 | 67.5 |
| \% canopy cover: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| range | 0-10 | 1-50 | $0-55$ | 10-60 | 0-15 | 0-25 | 0-30 | 0-30 | 2-10 | 15-80 | 15-85 | 5-70 |
| S.D. | 3.5 | 14.8 | 16.7 | 17.6 | 5.1 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 8.6 | 3.0 | 19.6 | 24.4 | 23.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. berries | 17 | 65 | 0 | 8 | 112 | 614 | 145 | 178 |  |  |  | 672 |
| range/plot | 0-10 | 0-39 | - | - | 0-58 | 0-261 | 0-68 | 0-56 | 0-50 | 0-169 | 0-14 | 0-251 |
| S.D. | 3.1 | 13.0 | - | - | 17.9 | 80.8 | 21.3 | 21.8 | 19.7 | 52.8 | 4.5 | 78.5 |
| \% Canopy cover: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mean | 2.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 18. 5 | 38 | 51 | 10.9 | 18.0 | 25.0 | 22.5 |
| range | 0-10 | 0-30 | 0-30 | 0-20 | 0-30 | 5-35 | 5-80 | 20-70 | 0-50 | 0-50 | 0-60 | 0-60 |
| S.D. | 3.4 | 8.9 | 9.8 . | 6.3 | 10.2 | 11.1 | 25.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 17.5 | 21.3 | 21.4 |
| Bearberry (Arctostaphylos uva-ursi) - 0 - 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. of berrtes | 22 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| range/plot | 0-20 | 0-19 | 0-6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Tabìe 39 (continued)


* Transect \#2 was clearly in a birch shrub type although according to the vegetation map it was in woodland black spruce (WSB). ** Not in same place as previous years probably - couldn't find flagging

| Berryweights on $8 / 18 / 83=$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| for V. vitis-tdaea | 130 gms $/ 1000$ | on $8 / 23 / 84=$ |
| for $V$. Uliginosum | 304 gms $/ 1000$ | 128 gms $/ 1000$ |
| for E. nigrum | 260 gms $/ 1000$ | 346 gms $/ 1000$ |

# Table 40. Subjective characterization of berry abundance in the upstream study 

 area since 1980.Characterization of
Year Berry Abundance Comments
1980 normal No special effort was made to evaluate berry abundance, black bears were very common in the shrublands adjacent to forested habitats and in forested habitats.

1981 very poor Extensive unanticipated movements of radio-marked black bears in late summer provided first clue that something was amiss. On the ground inspection supported hypothesis that blueberries were very scarce. Bears were in very poor condition the following spring in both upstream and downstream area. Three marked black bears died (Table 34) in 1981 following the summer berry failure. Bears were common in semi-open shrublands.

1982 slightly subaverage Berry transects supported hypothesis that berries were more abundant in shrublands than in adjacent forests. Low reproductive success evident in spring 1982 and bears tended to be very skinny. In summer bears foraged in shrublands but there appeared to be many fewer bears in the study area than in 1980. Would have concluded a massive emmigration in 1981 except that the marked bears that moved away had all returned. Possibly there was an increased mortality rate resulting from the 1981 berry failure. One marked bear died in 1982 compared to 3 in the previous and following years. Mortality could have been most marked on subadults, only 2 of these were radio-marked.
(cont'd on next page)
Characterization of
Year Berry Abundance

Comments


Berry transects suggest more berries than in l982, especially crowberries and lowbush cranberries. Although not evident in the transect data it appeared that blueberries were locally very abundant in forested habitats and bears did not have to, and didn't, move into the shrubland habitat types to forage for berries in late summer. Some black bears expected to produce their first litters in 1983 failed to do so suggesting delayed age of first reproduction may have resulted from 1981 berry failure. Appeared to be many fewer bears present than in 1980. Craig Gardner noted that along the Denali highway "Berries were very abundant along the Denali Hwy from Paxton to the McClaren River."

Berry transects support substantially fewer blueberries and crowberries in upstream areas, about average in downstream areas. Berries appeared to be very abundant in highly localized pockets, more patchy than is typically the case. Black bear movements appeared normal but some brown bears made atypically large movements in fall 1984. Between Paxton and the McClaren River, Craig Gardner (pers. comm.) reported "Berries were less abundant than in 1983 but more abundant than in 1981."

In the vicinity of Watana Camp berries appeared to be slightly below average in abundance. In more upstream habitat they appeared to be slightly above average. Saw nowhere where blueberries were really thick, pretty well dispersed. Along the Denali Hwy both Craig Gardner and Jack Whitman noted independently that berry crops "appeared to be a bust" - very few were seen.
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Table 41. Home range sizes for the Su Hydro downstream black bears.


Table 42. Home range sizes for Su-hydro upstream study area black bears. (Includes individuals with 5 or more relocations).

| Bear ID <br> (age @ capture) | 1980 |  | 1981 |  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | obs. Period (No. locations) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Home Range } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Obs. Period } \\ & \text { (No. locations) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Home Range } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Obs. Period (No. locations) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Home Range } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Obs. Period (No. location) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Home Range } \\ \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\frac{\text { Males }}{330(1)}$ | --- | - | May-Oct (14) | 10 | dead 7/81 | --- | --- | --- |
| 323 (2) | Aug-Oct (6) | 20 | May-Oct (19) | 383 | May-Oct (20) | 1126 | May-Sep (17) | 1089 (shot 9/83) |
| 358 (2) | --- | --- | --- | --- | May-Oct (17) | 11 | May-Oct (17) | 53 |
| 319 (3) | May-Jul (6) | 67 | May-Jul (10) | 43 | dead 7/81 | --- | --- | --- |
| 401 (3) | -- | -- | -- | --- | -- | -- | May-Oct (18) | 91 |
| 291 (4) | May-Jul (7) | 20 | Dead 7/80 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 322 (4) | Aug-Oct (5) | 10 | Shed 12/80 | --- | May-Jul (7) | 21 | dead 7/82 |  |
| 359 (4) | --- | --- | --- | --- | May-Oct (18) | 83 | May-Oct (19) | 154 |
| 357 (4) | --- | --- | --- . | --- | May-Oct (18) | 11 | dead 10/82 | --- |
| 387 (4) | --- | -- | -- | -- | -- | . --- | May-Oct (16) | 164 |
| 324 (5) | Aug-Oct (6) | 29 | May-Oct (20) | 248 | May-Oct (21) | 140 | May-Oct (17) | 170 |
| 342B(5) | --- | --- | May-Sep (40) | 611 | shot 9/81 | --- | --- | --- |
| 343 (5) | --- | --- | May-Oct (16) | 289 | May-Oct (19) | 370 | May-Oct (20) | 501 |
| 302 (8) | May-Jul (6) | 4 | May-Oct (36) | 326 (shed) | May-Jul (11) | 51 | missing | -- |
| 303 (8) | May-Oct (15) | 95 | May-Oct (18) | 93 | May-Oct (20) | 74 | May-Aug (11) | 43 (shot 9/83) |
| 305 (9) | May-Aug (9) | 48 | shot 8/80 | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 346 (9) | --- | --- | May-Oct (16) | 62 | May-Oct (22) | 91 | May-Oct (16) | 119 |
| 348 (9) | --- | --- | Aug-Oct (7) | 389 | May-Jun (9) | 136 | shot 9/82 | --- |
| 287 (10) | May-Oct (17) | - 136* | May-Oct (15) | 268* | May-Sep (18) | 250 | shot 9/82 | --- |
| 304 (10) | May-Sep (15) | 35* | May-Oct (18) | 41* | shed 7/82 | --- | --- | --- |
| $\begin{array}{r} \overline{\mathrm{x}}(\text { all males })= \\ \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{D} . \\ \text { range }= \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (9.2) \\ & -- \\ & (5-17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46.0 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 4-136 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (18.3) \\ -- \\ (7-40) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 230.3 \\ 184.5 \\ 10-611 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (16.7) \\ -- \\ (9-22) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 197.0 \\ & \quad 311.0 \\ & \therefore \quad 11-1126 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (16.8) \\ & (-2 \\ & (11-20) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 253.8 \\ 343.4 \\ \quad \quad 43-1089 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Table 42. (continued)


[^8]Table 43. Number of Susitna River crossings by radio-marked black bears, 1980-1983.

| Bear ID | Yr. initial | Ho. Iiver crossings by upstream bears |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males (upstream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 416 | 1984 (A) | - | - | - | - | 1 | active |
| 330 | 1981(1) | - | 0 | - | - | - | 318's cub, died fall '81 |
| 323 | 1980(2) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | -dead (in hunter's cabin) |
| 358 | 1982 (2) | - | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | natural mortality 7/84 |
| 319 | 1980(3) | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | dead, 9/81 |
| 401 | 1983 (3) | - | - | - | 2 | 8 | active |
| 291 | 1980(4) | 0 | - | - | - | - | dead 8/80 |
| 322 | 1980 (4) | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | dead 6/82, (shed collar '81, recap '82) |
| 320 | 1980(4) | 1 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | shot (hunter) 9/80 |
| 357 | 1982 (4) | - | - | 4 | $\sim$ | - | dead 3/83 |
| 359 | 1982 (4) | - | - | 0 | 0 | 8 | active |
| 387 | 1983 (4) | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | active |
| 324 | 1980(5) | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | shot (hunter) 9/84 |
| 342B | 1981 (5) | - | 0 | - | - | - | shot (hunter) 9/81 |
| 343 | 1981(5) | - | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | active |
| 300 | 1980(7) | - | - | - | - | - | dead 5/80 |
| 360 | 1982 (7) | - | - | 2 | 4 | 0 | shed collar 4/84 |
| 302 | 1980 (8) | 0 | 12 | 2 | - | 2 | collar shed '80; recaptured but radio fatlure in 1982 |
| 303 | 1980 (8) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | shot (hunter) 9/83 |
| 305 | 1980(9) | 2 | - | - | - | - | shot (hunter) 8/80 |
| 346 | 1981 (9) | - | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | natural mortality 5/84 |
| 348 | 1981(9) | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | shot (hunter) 9/82 |
| 287 | 1980(10) | 0 | 2 | 2 | - | - | shot (hunter) 9/82 |
| 304 | 1980(10) | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | - | shed collar 5/82 |
| Total males (upstream) |  | 11 | 32 | 26 | 25 | 23 |  |


| Bear ID | Yr. Initial capture (age) | No. River Crossings by upstream bears |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |  |
| Females (upstream) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 329 | 1981(1) | - | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 327's cub |
| 349 | 1981 (4) | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | shed collar 7/83 |
| 363 | 1982 (4) | - | - | 0 | 0 | $0_{*}{ }_{2}$ | active |
| 379 | 1983 (4) | - | - | - | 0 | - | dead; possibly killed by other bears |
| 318 | 1980(5) | $0_{* 1}{ }^{\text {. }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | shed collar |
| 326 | 1980(5) | 0 | - | - | - | - | shot |
| 327 | 1980(5) | $1_{* 2}$ | ${ }^{8} 1$ | 7 | $\mathbf{1}_{* 2}$ | - | dead 7/83 |
| 354 | 1982 (5) | - | - | $0_{* 2}$ | 0 | $0_{\text {* }}$ | active |
| 328 | 1980 (6) | - | $0_{* 2}$ | 0 | - | 0 | shed collax 1982, active |
| 364 | 1982 (6) | - | - | 7 | - | $6_{Y l}$ | missing ** 9/82 |
| 301 | 1980(7) | 2 | $0_{\text {* } 2}$ | 0 | - | - | shed collar 8 /83 |
| 317 | 1980(7) | $0_{*}$ | ${ }^{0} 1$ | 0 | $0_{* 1}$ | ${ }^{0} 1$ | active |
| 361 | 1982 (7) | - | - | 2 | $0_{* 3}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | active |
| 290 | 1980 (8) | $4_{*}{ }_{1}$ | 0 | - | - | - | not recollared (infected neck) |
| 289 | 1980 (9) | 4 | $0_{* 3}$ | $0_{y l}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{* 2}$ | ${ }^{5} \mathbf{y 1}$ | active |
| 288 | 1980(10) | $0_{* 3}$ | - | - | - | - | shed collar 9/80 |
| 321 | 1980(10) | 0 | $2_{* 2}$ | 0 | 0 | $0_{* 1}$ | active |
| 325 | 1980(11) | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | shed collar 1981, 1982 |
| 316 | 1980(11) | 0 | 2 | - | - | - | shed collar 1981, 1982 |
| Total fe (upstrea |  | 11 | 14 | 18 | 7 | 21 |  |
| Total both sexes (upstream) |  | 22 | 46 | 44 | 32 | 44 | . |
| (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Bear ID
$\frac{\text { Males }}{\text { (downstream) }}$

| 408 | 1983(3) | - | 0 | 2 | active |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 365 | 1982(5) | 0 | 0 | - | dead 9/83 |
| 366 | 1982 (6) | 1 | - | - | shot 8/82 |
| Total Males |  | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |

Females
(downstream)

| 369 | 1982 (3) | 0 | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | active |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 367 | 1982 (4) | 0 | 0 | - | shot ("DLP") |
| 377 | 1982 (4) | 2 | 3 | 3 | active |
| 409 | 1983 (5) | - | 0 | 0 | active |
| 376 | 1982 (6) | ${ }^{2} y 1$ | ${ }^{4}$ * ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{2} y^{3}$ | active |
| 378 | 1982 (6) | 0 | $0_{* 1}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Y} 2}$ | active |
| 410 | 1983 (7) | - | 0 | - | shot ("DLP" 7/83) |
| 374 | 1982(7) | 0 | $0_{* 3}$ | $\cdots$ | shot 9/83 |
| 370 | 1982 (7) | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | - | missing** |
| 411 | 1983 (8) | - | ${ }^{2} y^{2}$ | $2{ }_{\text {* }}$ | active |
| 375 | 1982(9) | 5 | ${ }^{4 * 1}$ | ${ }^{3} \mathbf{y} 2$ | active |
| 372 | 1982 (9) | 0 | $0_{* 2}$ | - | missing** |
| 402 | 1983 (10) | - | ${ }^{2} 3$ | 2 | active |
| 404 | 1983 (11) | $\bullet$ | $\mathbf{2 k 1}_{1}$ | 2 | active |
| 406 | 1983 (11) | - | $0_{*}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y} 2}$ | missing 10/84 |
| 405 | 1983 (17) | - | - | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | active |
| Total females (downstream) |  | 9 | 17 | 14 |  |
| Total both sexes (downstream) |  | 10 | 17 | 16 |  |

** possible unreported hunter klly, collar fallure, or emigration.

```
Reprod. status: * = cub of year
    y=yrlg.
```

Table 44. Scat analyses of brown bear and black bear scats coliected in the Su-Hydro study area, 1984. (Analyses done by Paul Smith, ADFGG, Soldotna). Values are volume ( $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{trace}, 2=6-25 \%$, $3=26-50 \%$, $4=51-75 \%$, $5=76-100 \%$ ).

| Date Collected | Species of bear | Place | Sample No. | Comments | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summer - | 1-Sloughs |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/3/84 | ? | upstm | 6 | 1700' elev. |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |
| 8/5/84 | ? | upstm | 19 | Hatana Camp | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  | 3 |
| 8/5/84 | $?$ | upstm | 4 | Watana Camp |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | T |  |  | 5 |
| 8/15/84 | ? | dstm | 55 | Lane Ck. |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/15/84 | ? | dstm | 60 | Slough 8B |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 8/15/84 | ? | dstm | 64 | Portage Ck. S. |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| 8/15/84 | $?$ | dstm | 65 | McKensie Ck. |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/15/84 | ? | dstm | 66 | Lane Ck. |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 28 | Slough 28 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T | T |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 29 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 30 | Slough A |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | BKB | dstm | 31 | Slough 9 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  | 3 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 32 | Slough A |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  | 3 | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 33 | Slough A |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ; | 3 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 34 | Slough 11 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | T |  |  |  |  | T | T | 3 | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | 8 | dstm | 35 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| B/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 36 | Slough 9A |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 37 | Slough 11 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 38 | Slough 11 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 39 | Slough 9A | T |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 40 | Slough 21 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | T | 2 | 2 |  |
| B/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 41 | Slough 21 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | T |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 42 | Slough 21 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 43 | Slough 21 | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 44 | Slough 21 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | 3 | dstm | 45 | 4th July Ck. |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 46 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 47 | Slough 11 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 48 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T | 3 | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 49 | Slough 9A |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 50 | Riverbank |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dst.m | 51 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 52 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| B/16/84 | ? | dstm | 53 | Slough 8A | T |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 54 | 5th July Ck. |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 56 | 5th July Ck. |  | T |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 8/16/84 | $?$ | dstm | 57 | 5th July Ck. |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 58 | 5th July Ck. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 62 | Slough 9 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |
| 8/16/84 | BKB | dstm | 61 | Slough 8A |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 59 | Slough A |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | T | T |  |
| 8/16/84 | ? | dstm | 63 | Slough 9 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/23/84 | $?$ | upstm | 15 | E. Fk. Hatana | 2 | T |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| 8/23/84 | ? | upstm | 16 | E. Fk. Watana | 3 | T |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |  | 3 |

Table 44 (cont'd)


1. Equisetum spp. (horsetall)
2. Lichens
3. Grasses or sedges
4. Clover (Trifolium spp.)

Berries
2. Vaccinium vitis-idea (lowbush cranberry)
4. Empetrum nigrum (crowberry)
5. Oplopanax horridus (Devil's Club).
6. Arctosptaphylos alpina (bearberry
18. Strepotpus amplexifolius (watermelon berry)
17. Other berries

Sambucus racemosa (red elderberry)
Oxycoccus mícrocarpus (bog cranberry)
Soxcoccus microcarpus (bog cranberry) Sorbus scouplina IGreene Mt. ashberry) Sheperdta canadensts (soapberry)
Cornus canadensis (Cornus berry)
Vaccintum ovalifolium (early bluebe
Ribes triste (red currant)

Animal Matter
16. Other Misc.
11. Moose
12. Hare or ground squirrel, misc.
13. Feathers
14. Fish
15. Insects

Table 45. Salmon abundance in downstream sloughs and streams, 1981-1984.

| AREA | No. Adult Salmon Enumerated* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | RIVER MILE | 1981(N**) | 1982 ( ${ }^{* * * \text { ) }}$ | 1983 ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) | 1984(N**) |
| Slough 21 | 141.0 | 747 (5) | 2424 (9) | 1904 (13) | 7197 (9) |
| Slougt 11 | 135.3 | 5483 (9) | 4806 (11) | 5067 (23) | 9749 (8) |
| Slough 8A | 125.1 | 1283 (5) | 1804 (10) | 843 (20) | 3054 (8) |
| Slough 20 | 140.0 | 27 (2) | 220 (7) | 201 (20) | 695 (4) |
| Slough 9A | 133.3 | 484 (6) | 146 (3) | 217 (3) | 574 (5) |
| Moose Slough | 123.5 | 555 (5) | 115 (7) | 392 (15) | 405 (5) |
| Slough 8B | 122.2 | 1 (1) | 190 (6) | 240 (6) | 1749 (8) |
| Slough 8C | 121.9 | (0) | 1.05 (3) | (0) | 416 (5) |
| Slough 17 | 138.9 | 169 (7) | 29 (4) | 182 (8) | 240 (4) |
| Slough 15 | 137.2 | 1 (1) | 178 (3) | 20 (5) | 611 (1) |
| Slough B | 126.3 | NA | 225 (6) | 9 (1) | 196 (5) |
| Slough 9 | 128.3 | 380 (5) | 911 (6) | 1081 (9) | 499 (3) |
| Slough 6A | 112.3 | 27 (3) | 101 (4) | 2 (1) | 3 (1) |
| Sloughs A \& A' | 124.7 | 437 (10) | (0) | 528 (16) | 338 (5) |
| SIough 8 | 113.7 | 858 (5) | (0) | (0) | 193 (6) |
| Slough 9B | 129.2 | 678 (7) | (0) | (0) | 181 (3) |
| Slough 19 | 139.7 | 84 (6) | (0) | 18 (6) | 147 (7) |
| Slough 22 | 144.5 | NA | NA | 274 (4) | 199 (3) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mainstream } \\ \text { Zone } 3 \end{gathered}$ | 135.2 | NA | NÅ | 252 (2) | No data |
| Slough 2 | 100.2 | 44 (5) | 0 | 103 (4) | 287 (9) |
| Indian River*** | 138.6 | 232 (7) | 6703 (12) | 7958 (16) | 14898 (9) |
| Lane Ck | 113.6 | 569 (7) | 2508 (11) | 118 (9) | 2837 (9) |
| 4th of July Ck. | 131.0 | 247 (6) | 2832 (11) | 636 (9) | 6160 (7) |
| Little Portage Ck. | 117.7 | NA | 407 (9) | 10 (2) | 384 (7) |
| Lower McKenzie Ck. | 116.2 | 97 (6) | 492 (6) | 46 (6) | 1067 (7) |
| 5th of Juiy Ck. | 123.7 | 2 (1) | 224 (4) | 24 (4) | 834 (5) |
| Skull Ck. | 124.7 | 24 (3) | 36 (4) | 1 (1) | 216 (3) |
| Portage Ck. | 148.9 | 22 (1) | 2238 (7) | 4651 (13) | 15319 (19) |

Table 45. (cont'd)

| $\cdots$ |  | No. Adult Salmon Enumerated* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AREA | RIVER MILE | 1981 (N**) | $1982\left(N^{* *}\right)$ | 1983 ( ${ }^{* *}$ ) | 1984 (N**) |
|  | Gash Ck. | 111.6 | 258 (2) | 163 (3) | 35 (2) | 711 (7) |
| $\pm$ | Slash Ck. | 111.2 | NA | 6 (1) | 2 (1) | 8 (2) |
|  | Whiskers Ck. | 101.4 | 212 (7) | 626 (5) | 273 (9) | 899 (11) |
| 5 | Jack Long Ck. | 144.5 | 1 (1) | 54 (7) | 19 (5) | 27 (3) |
|  | Deadhorse Ck | $120.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | NA | NA | 378 (2) |
| \% | Upper McKenzie CK. | 116.7 | 0 | 24 (2) | (0) | 23 (3) |
|  | Chase CK. | 106.9 | 328 (8) | 332 (8) | 26 (5) | 1523 (9) |
| 3 | Gold Ck. | 136.7 | 0 | 37 (3) | 51 (3) | 83 (1) |
|  | Sherman Ck. | 130.8 | 32 (4) | 40 (4) | (0) | 126 (3) |

* These data sum all live and dead fish (Chinook, Sockeye, Pink, Chum, and Coho Salmon) recorded by Su-Hydro AA personnel (ADF\&G) during stream surveys. Different areas were surveyed from 1 to 11 times during the year which contributes to variation observed between areas and between years in this data, survey conditions also varied. Note that the same fish would likely be recorded numerous times in replicate surveys.
** $N$ is the number of surveys conducted where salmon were enumerated, surveys where no salmon were seen are not counted.
*** The portion of the Indian River evaluated by Fisheries personnel varied in 1981 and 1982. Nost fish were found in 1982 in a tributary about $\frac{1}{2}$ mille up from the mouth (Crowe, per. commun.) during our investigation of the Indian River we did not observe this location.

Table 46. Characteristics of black bear dens in the Susitna study area during winters of 1980/1981, 1981/1982, 1982/1983, 1983/84, 1984/85.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { ID No. } \end{aligned}$ | Age at Exit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Eleva- } \\ \text { tion } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Slope (Degrees) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aspect }{ }^{\star} \\ & \text { (True N) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Vegetation | Canopy <br> Tree <br> Coverage | ENTRANCE |  | CHAMBER |  |  | Total <br> Length (cm) | Previously Used? (Yes/No) | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} . \\ \text { (cm.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & (\mathrm{cm} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Ln}_{\bullet} \\ & \left(\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{~m}_{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & \text { (cm.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht}_{\mathrm{o}} \\ \text { (cm.) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| NATURAL CAVITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEMALES w/offspring (at exit) 2825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w/2 cubs | 8 | B321 | 11 | 2825 | 42 | 96 | Alder | 0 | 79 | 26 | 127 | 68 | 71 | 610 | Yes | 2 | No | - |
| w/2 cubs | 19 | B328 | 7 | 1950 | 40 | 106 | Alder | 0 | 41 | 93 | - | - | - | - | Yes | 4 | No | - |
| w/1@1 | 32 | B328 | 8 | 2075 | 64 | 214** | Alder/Birch/Moss | 50 | 49 | 39 | 84 | 54 | 44 | 180 | Yes | 3 | No | - |
| w/2@0 | 73\#\#\# | B327 | 8 | 2070 | 58 | 158 | Alder | 90 | 43 | 41 | 249 | 91 | 58 | 328 | Yes | 4 | - | Yes |
| w/1@0 | 88\#\#\# | B375 | 6 | 875 | 26 | 158 | Alder/Birch/Spruce | 85 | - | = | - | - | - | - | Yes | 2 | - | - |
| W/3@0 | 92\#\#\# | B374 | 7 | 1825 | 22 | 241 | Alder/Willow | 30 | 41 | 48 | 1220 | - | - | 1220 | Yes | 1 | - | - |
| w/3@0 | 93sp. | B374 | 7 | 1775 | 42 | 92 | Alder/Grass | 60 | 33 | 81 | - | - | 36 | 117 | Yes | - | - | - |
| w/2@0 | 113 | B354 | 5 | 2650 | 40 | 307 | Spruce/D. Birch/Grass | s 10 | 64 | 34 | 179 | 99 | 66 | 480 | Yes | 2 | No | - |
| U w/l@l | 129 | B289 | 13 | 1875 | 49 | 137 | Aspen/Willow/Alder | 55 | 55 | 32 | 327 | 40 | 64 | 327 | Yes | 2 | - | Yes |
| W/2@1 | 168 | B363 | 7 | 3000 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | No |
| w/2@1 | 169 | B354 | 8 | 3140 | 27 | 295 | Shrub/Tundra | 0 | 38 | 50 | 172 | 111 | 69 | - | Yes? | 3 | - | No |
| W/1@1 | 172* | B321 | 15 | 2845 | 47 | 276 | Shrub/Tundra | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $=$ | - | No | - |
| 2/3@0 | 180 | B328 | 11 | 2095 | 57 | 177 | Alder/Birch | 0 | 57 | 54 | 137 | 54 | 76 | 229 | Yes? | 4 | No | - |
| W/2@1 | 184 | B411 | 10 | 1490 | 38 | 345 | Alder/Birch | 10 | 40 | 32 | 132 | 82 | 58 | 212 | Yes | 2 | - | - |
| W/2@0\#\#\# | 158*** | B289 | 9 | 1960 | 47 | 135 | Alder/Birch | 15 | 22 | 42 | 219 | 73 | 74 | 390 | Yes | 3 | - | Yes |
| FEMALES w/o? collar | offspr 85* | ring (a B377 | ${ }_{6} \operatorname{exit}_{6}$ | 2270 | 47 | 15 | Alder/Grass | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 33 | B318 | 7 | 1890 | 41 | 249 | Birch | 0 | 51 | 43 | 69 | 76 | 62 | 654 | Yes | 3 | No | - |
| shed in den | 6 | B325 | 12 | 1490 | 30 | 66 | Birch/Alder/Spruce | 50 | 49 | 27 | 100 | 74 | 55 | 113 | Yes | 2 | No | - |
|  | 115 | B348 | 4 | 3125 | 38 | 77 | Shrub | 20 | 106 | 33 | 146 | 73 | 80 | 475 | Yes | 2 | - | - |
|  | 144 | B376 | 7 | 2075 | 23 | 73 | Alder/Grass | 30 | 53 | 43 | 189 | 96 | 75** | 433 | Yes | 3 | - | No |
|  | 185 | B405 | 19 | 1985 | 18 | 353 | Alder | 0 | 38 | 58 | 232 | 103 | 61 | 336 | Yes | 3 | - | - |
|  | 191* | B375 | 12 | 1700 | 45 | 6 | Alder | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |



| Table 46. (continued) |  |  |  | Elevation (feet) | Slope (Degrees) | Aspect** <br> (True N) | * Vegetation $\quad$ Tr |  | Entrance |  | CHAMBER |  |  | Total <br> Length <br> (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Previously } \\ & \text { Used? } \\ & \text { (Yes/No) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Den } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bear } \\ \text { ID No. } \end{gathered}$ | Age at Exit |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HE. } \\ & \text { (cm.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & (\mathrm{cm} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Ln}_{0} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm},) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WIdth } \\ & \text { (cm.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ht} . \\ (\mathrm{cm} .) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DUG DENS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FEMALES w/offspring (at exit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| w/2 cubs | 2 | B301 | 8 | 2065 | 34 | 79 | Alder/Birch | 90 | 49 | 43 | 97 | 92 | 51 | 151 | Yes | 3 | - | Yes |
| w/3 cubs | 4\# | B289 | 10 | 2000 | 18 | 99 | Alder/W1llow/Spruce | 70 | 39 | 72 | 142 | 127 | 55 | 290 | No | 1 | - | Yes |
| w/2 ylgs | 11 | B317 | 8 | 2050 | 36 | 334 | Alder | 0 | 27 | 41 | 93 | 93 | 78 | 128 | No | 3 | No | - |
| w/1 yl l | 12 | B318 | 6 | 2725 | 24 | 10 | Dwarf Birch/Moss/ Tundra | 0 | 24 | 42 | 95 | 84 | 40 | 145 | No | 5 | No | - |
| w/2 ylgs | 21\#\# | B327 | 6 | 2000 | 35 | 267 | Alder/Birch | 80 | 22 | 59 | 163 | 203 | 116 | 198 | $?$ | 4 | - | Yes |
| w/2 y 1 gs | 50 | B301 | 9 | 2275 | 43 | 115 | Cottonwood/Spruce | 20 | 28 | 56 | 76 | 136 | 98 | 193 | Yes | 2 | - | No |
| w/2@0 | 68* | B318 | 8 | 1975 | 32 | 248 | Alder/Spruce | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 366 | - | 3 | No | - |
| w/2@0 | 69 | B317 | 10 | 1820 | 35 | 276 | Birch | 40 | 46 | 43 | - | 122 | 58 | 51 | No | 4 | No | - |
| W $\mathrm{w} / 2 @ 0$ | 70 | B301 | 10 | 2400 | 26 | 18 | Alder/Birch | 90 | 43 | 66 | - | 160 | 41 | 188 | - | 4 | - | No |
| w/2@0 | 74* | B349 | 6 | 3250 | 38 | 133 | Alder | 0 | - | 74 | - | 119 | 43 | 188 | No | 3 | - | No |
| w/4@0 | 75 | B361 | - | 2300 | 21 | 161 | Alder/Spruce | 70 | 27 | 69 | 114 | 114 | 72 | 173 | Yes | 2 | - | No |
| w/2@0 | 81 | B289 | 12 | 1960 | 24 | 238 | Alder | 70 | 38 | 58 | 142 | 107 | 72 | 173 | Yes | 2 | - | Yes |
| w/2@0 | 83 | B370 | 8 | 1750 | 31 | 100 | A1der/Birch | 90 | 30 | 38 | 119 | 130 | 71 | 124 | No | 3 | - | - |
| w/3@0 | 84 | B372 | 10 | 1825 | 17 | 298 | Alder/Birch/Spruce | 90 | 36 | 43 | 76 | 206 | 60 | 119 | No | 3 | - | - |
| w/2@0 | 90 | B378 | 4 | 1225 | 34 | 186 | Alder/Fern | 90 | 30 | 79 | 117 | 147 | 76 | 185 | No | 2 | - | - |
| w/3@0 | 91 | B376 | - | 1425 | 24 | 39 | Alder/Birch | - | 38 | 69 | 84 | 91 | 74 | 170 | Yes | 3 | - | - |
| FEMALES w/offespring (at exit) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| w/2@0 | 114 | B363 | 6 | 2375 | 13 | 124 | Willow/Spruce/Alder | 25 | 39 | 45 | 123 | 110 | 60 | 206 | No | 3 | - | No |
| w/3@1 | 127 | B361 | 9 | 1950 | 9 | 87 | Spruce/Birch/Aspen | 90 | 41 | 51 | 150 | 125 | 80 | 208 | Yes | 2 | - | Yes |
| w/?@0 | 138* | B321 | 14 | 2225 | 5 | 78 | D. Birch/Willow/Spruce | e 25 | - | - | - | - | 50** | 232** | Unk. | 5 | No | - |
| w/2@0 | 141 | B369 | 6 | 1300 | - | - | Alder/Birch | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Unk. | 4 | - | - |
| w/2@l | 143 | B405 | 18 | 1550 | 24 | 10 | Alder/Birch/Spruce | 95 | 36 | 59 | 190 | 127 | 66 | 190 | No | 4 | - | - |





Table 46. (continued)

* Actual den site not found or too difficult to enter or collapsed
** Approximate value
A Subjective characterization of quality, $1=$ highest and $5=1$ owest.
B Will be flooded by Devil's Canyon impoundment?
C Will be flooded by Watana impoundment?
*** Den not located first year known but thought to be the same location as subsequently found den. $158=171$.
**** Mag. $\mathrm{N}+28^{\circ}=$ True N. of hillside
\# Used by the same bear two consecutive winters
\#\# Used by the offspring during natal winter and subsequent winter \#\#\# Used by different radio-collared bear during subsequent winter

Dens No. $8,19,6,7,910,13,18,2,4,11,12,21,20,62,63,64$ used during winter of 1980/1981.

Dens No. $32,33,50,34,43,55,58,35,38,39,57,40,49,51,61$, $65,7,9,10,4,21$, used during winter of $1981 / 1982$.

Dens No. $73,88,92,93,85,51,66,95,96,98,100,72,68,69,70$, $74,75,81,83,84,90,91,97,67,80,82,99,71,10,7,9$, 19 used during winter 1982/1983.

Dens No. 113, $129,20,115,144,49,146,154,145,114,127,138,141$, $143,142,116,126,128,140,152,156,147,9,51,88,92$, and 73 used during winter 1983/84.

Dens No. 168, 169, 172, 180, 184, (158), 185, 191, 167, 173, 160, 174, $181,186,187,188,198,203,(159), 202,190,(85),(49),(74)$, used during winter 1984/85

Table 47. History of den use by individual radio-marked black bears, 1980/81-1983/84.

|  |  |  | 1980/81 |  |  | 1981/82 |  |  | 1982/83 |  |  | 1983/84* |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1984/85* } \\ & \text { Status } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bear No | Sex | $\overline{\text { Cavity }}$ Type | Den\# | $\text { Assoc }^{* *}$ | Cavity <br> Type | Den\# | $\text { Assoc }^{* *}$ | Cavity <br> Type | Den\# | $\operatorname{Assoc}^{* *}$ | Cavity Type | Den\# | $\text { Assocc }^{* *}$ |  |
|  | 287 | M | Natural | 7 | w/o | Natural | 7 | w/o | Dead--- | --- | ----- |  | - |  | Ster |
|  | 289 | F | Dug | 4 | w/3@0 | Dug | 4 | w/2@1 | Dug | 81 | w/2@0 | Natural | 129 | w/1@1 |  |
|  | 290 | F | - | 63,64 | w/o | Released | ------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 301 | F | Dug | 2 | w/2@0 | Dug | 50 | w/2@1 | Dug | 70 | w/2@0 | Shed--- | - | ------- | Dead |
|  | 302 | M | Dug | 57 | w/o | Shed-- |  |  |  |  |  | ------- | ---- | -------- |  |
|  | 303 | M | Natural | 10 | w/o | Natural | 10 | w/o | Natural | 10 | w/o | Dead--> | ---- | ------- | ------------------ |
|  | 304 | M | Natural | 13 | w/o | Dug | 35 | w/o | Shed---- |  |  |  |  |  | ----------- |
|  | 317 | F | Dug | 11 | w/2@1 | Dug | 43 | w/o | Dug | 69 | w/2@o | Natural | 20 | w/1@1 | - |
|  | 318 | F | Dug | 12. | w/1@1 | Natural | 33 | w/o | Dug | 68 | w/2@0 | Shed---- |  |  |  |
|  | 319 | M | - | 62 | w/o | Dead---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 321 | $F$ | Natural | 8 | w/200 | Dug | 34 | w/o | Natural | 7 | w/o | Dug | 138 | w/?@o |  |
|  | 322 | M | Natural | 18 | w/o | Shed \& D | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------------ |
|  | 323 | M | Natural | 20 | w/o | Natural | 49 | w/o | Natural | 51 | w/o | Dead-~- | - |  | ------ |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega}}{\sim}$ | 324 | M | Natural | 9 | w/o | Dug | 40 | w/o | Natural | 9 | w/o | Natural | 9 | w/o | Missing------------ |
|  | 325 | F | Natural | 6 | w/o | Natural | 9 | w/o | Shed---- | --- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 327 | F | Dug | 21 | w/2@1 | Dug | 58 | w/o | Natural | 73 | w/2@0 | Dead--- | - | -------- | Den \#32? |
|  | 328 | F | Natural | 19 | w/2@0 | Natural | 32 | w/1@1 | Shed---- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 329 | F | Dug | 21 | w/mom \& stbling | Dug | 65,21 | w/o | Dug | 80 | w/o | Natural | 73 | W/1@1 | Den \#158*** |
|  | 330 | M | Dug | 12 | w/o | Dead- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---------------.---- |
|  | 343 | $M$ |  |  |  | Dug | 38 | w/o | Natural | 66 | w/o | unk |  | w/o |  |
|  | 346 | M |  |  |  | Natural | 51 | w/o | Natural | 96 | w/o | Natural | 51 | w/o | Dead--------------- |
|  | 348 | M |  |  |  | Dug | 39 | w/o | Dead---- |  |  |  |  |  | ------------------- |
|  | 349 | F |  |  |  | Dug | 55 | w/o | Dug | 74 | w/2@0 | Shed--- | - | -------- | Recapture Den \#74? |
|  | 354 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 97 | w/1@1 | Natural | 113 | w/2@0 |  |
|  | 358 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | 100 | w/o | Natural | 115 | w/o | Dead--------------- |
|  | 359 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | 98 | w/o | Dug | . 126 | w/o |  |
|  | 360 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | 95 | w/o | Dug | 128 | w/o | Shed---------------- |
|  | 361 | F |  |  | . |  |  |  | Dug | 75 | w/4@0 | Dug | 127 | w/3@1 |  |
|  | 363 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 99 | w/o | Dug | 114 | w/2@0 |  |
|  | 365 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 71 | w/o | Dead---- | - |  | -- |
|  | 367 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 82 | w/o | Dead---- | --- | ---- |  |
|  | 369 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 67 | w/o | Dug | 141 | w/2@0 |  |
|  | 370 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 83 | H/2@0 | Missing- |  |  | -- |
|  | 372 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dug | 84 | w/3@0 | Missing- | --- | - |  |
|  | 374 | F |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | 92 | w/3@0 | Dead---- | - | - |  |
|  | 375 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Natural | 88 | w/2@0 | Natural | 88 | w/2@1 | Natural 88 w/2@1 |

Table 47. (Continued)

| Bear No. |  | 1982/83 |  |  | 1983/84* |  |  | $1984 / 85^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sex | Cavity <br> Type | Den\# | $\text { Assoc }{ }^{* *}$ | Cavity <br> Type | Den\# | $\text { Assoc }^{* *}$ | Status |
| 376 | F | Dug | 91 | w/300 | Natural | 144 | w/o | Den \#85 |
| 377 | F | Natural | 85 | w/o | Tree | 146 | w/2@0 |  |
| 378 | $F$ | Dug | 90 | w/2@o | Tree | 154 | w/2@1 |  |
| 379 | F | Natural | 19 | w/3@0 | Dead--- | --- | - | -------- |
| 387 | M |  |  |  | Dug | 116 | w/o |  |
| 401 | M |  |  |  | Natural | 157 | w/o | Den \#49 |
| 402 | F |  |  |  | Tree | 145 | w/o |  |
| 404 | F |  |  |  | Natural | 92 | w/o |  |
| 405 | F |  |  |  | Dug | 143 | w/2@1 |  |
| 406 | F |  |  |  | Unk | 140 | w/2@l |  |
| 408 | M |  | . |  | Natural | 157 | w/o |  |
| 409 | F |  |  |  | Unk | 152 | w/o |  |
| 410 | F |  |  |  | Dead--- | ---- |  |  |
| 411 | F |  |  |  | Dug | 142 | w/o |  |
| 416 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 364 | $F$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* most 84/85 Data are unavailable
** Associations are at time of emergence
*** Den 158 was capture site of B289 (mother of B329) in spring 1980. Den not flagged until winter 84/85, assumed was 79/80 den of B289
10101
$1-1$
$1 \quad 1$
$1+1$
11
mCaLLI
MC-9

Table 48. History of use of individual black bear dens by radio-marked black bears, 1980/81-1984/85 (blanks indicate no data avallable, den not revisitea and no Faüio-inaried zeai thera).

| Den No. | Den Type | Flooded | Location ${ }^{* * *}$ | 80/81 | 81/82 | 82/83 | 83/84 | 84/85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 158 | Dug | Yes | W | [B289 in 79/80 spring w/2@1] | Unk. 80/81, 81/82 | -- | -- | B329 female |
| 2 | Dug | Yes | W | B301 female w/200 | Vacant | Vacant | Vacant |  |
| 4 | Dug | Yes | W | B289 female w/3@0 | B289 female w/2@1 | Vacant | Vacant | Vacant |
| 6 | Nat | No | D | B325 female w/o |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Nat | No | D | B287 male | B287 male | B321 female w/o |  |  |
| 8 | Nat | No | D | B321 female w/2@o |  |  |  |  |
| 9** | Nat | No | D | B324 male | B325 female w/o | B324 male | B324 male | Vacant |
| 10 | Nat | No | D | B303 male | B303 male | B303 male | Vacant |  |
| 11 | Dug | No | D | B317 female w/2@l |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Dug | No | D | B318 female w/1@1 (B330 male) | Collapsed--------- | -------- |  |  |
| 13 | Nat | No | D | B304 male |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Nat | Yes | $\omega$ | B322 male |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Nat | No | D | B328 female w/2@0 |  | B379 female w/3@0 |  |  |
| 20 | Nat | Yes | W | B323 male |  |  | B317 female w/l@ | Vacant |
| 21 | Dug | Yes | W | B327 female w/B329@l | B329 female w/o | Collapsed--------- |  |  |
| 32 | Nat | No | D |  | B328 female w/l@ | Vacant |  | Vacant |
| 33. | Nat | No | D |  | B318 female w/o |  |  |  |
| 34 | Dug | No | D |  | B321 female w/o |  |  |  |
| 35 | Dug | No | D |  | 8304 male | Vacant------------ |  |  |
| 38 | Dug | No | DS |  | B343 male | Collapsed--------- | - ---------- |  |
| 39 | Dug | No | DS |  | B348 male | Vacant |  |  |
| 43 | Dug | Yes No | D |  | B324 male B317 female w/o |  |  |  |
| 49 | Nat | Yes | W |  | B323 male( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | B401 male |
| 51* | Nat | No | W |  | B346 male | B323 male | B346 male |  |
| 50 | Dug | No | W |  | B301 female w/2@1 | Vacant | Vacant |  |
| 55 | Dug | No | W |  | B349 female w/o |  |  |  |
| 57 | Dug | Yes | W |  | 8302 male | Vacant | Vacant | Vacant |
| 58 | Dug | Yes | W |  | B327 female w/o | Vacant |  |  |
| 61 | Dug | No | W | P319 male | Unmarked BKB |  |  |  |
| 62 | - | No | D | B319 male f (emale w/o |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | - | No | D | B390 female w/o |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | - | Yes | W |  | B329 female w/o |  |  |  |
| 66 | Nat | No | D |  |  | B343 male |  |  |
| 67 | Dug | No | DS |  |  | 8369 female w/o | ------- |  |
| 68 | Dug | No | D |  | - | B318 female w/200 | Collapsed-- |  |
| 69 | Dug | No | D |  |  | B317 female w/2@0 |  |  |
| 70 | Dug | No | W |  |  | B301 female w/2@0 | Vacant | Vacant |
| 71 | Dug | No | DS |  |  | B365 male |  |  |


| Den No. | Den Type | Flooded | $L_{\text {Location }}{ }^{* * *}$ | 80/81-81/82 | 82/83 | 83/84 | 84/85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | Nat | No | W |  | Unmarked BKB |  |  |
| 73 | Nat | Yes | W |  | B327 female w/2@0 | B329 Female w/1@l | Vacant |
| 74 | Dug | No | W |  | B349 female w/2@0 |  | 8349? |
| 75 | Dug | No | W |  | B361 female w/4@0 |  |  |
| 80 | Dug | Yes | W |  | B329 female w/o |  |  |
| 81 | Dug | Yes | W |  | B389 female w/2@0 | Vacant |  |
| 82 | Dug | No | DS |  | B367 female w/o |  |  |
| 83 | Dug | No | DS |  | B370 female w/2@0 |  |  |
| 84 | Dug | No | DS |  | B372 female w/3@0 |  |  |
| 85 | Nat | No | DS |  | B377 female w/o |  | B376? |
| 88 | Nat | No | DS |  | B375 female w/2@0 | B375 female w/2@l |  |
| 90 | Dug | No | DS |  | B378 female w/200 |  |  |
| 91 | Dug | No | DS |  | B376 female w/3@0 |  |  |
| 92 | Nat | No | DS |  | B374 female w/3@0 | B404 female w/o |  |
| 93 spring | Nat | No | DS |  | B374 female w/3@0 |  |  |
| 95 | Nat | Yes | W |  | B360 male | Vacant |  |
| 96 | Nat | Yes | W |  | B346 male |  |  |
| 97 | Dug | No | W |  | B354 female w/1@1 | Collapsed------- | ---n-----------mon---- |
| 98 | Nat | Yes | W |  | B359 male | Vacant | Vacant |
| 99 | Dug | No | H |  | B363 female w/o | Collapsed----m--- | ---x-----m-------- |
| 100 | Nat | No | W |  | B358 male | Collapsed--------- | - |
| 113 | Nat | No | W |  |  | B354 female w/2@0 |  |
| 114 | Dug | No | W |  |  | B363 female w/200 | Vacant |
| 115 | Nat | No | H |  |  | B358 female w/o |  |
| 116 | Dug | No | W |  |  | B387 male | Collapsed-----n------- |
| 126 | Dug | No | W |  |  | B359 male | Collapsed------------- |
| 127 | Dug | Yes | W |  |  | B361 female w/3@l | Vacant |
| 128 | Dug | Yes | W |  |  | B360 male |  |
| 129 | Nat | Yes | W |  |  | B289 female w/1@l | Vacant |
| 157 | Nat | Yes | W |  |  | B401 male |  |
| 138 | Dug | No | D |  |  | B321 female w/7@0 | Collapsed------------- |
| 140 | - | No | DS |  |  | B406 female w/2@l |  |
| 141 | Dug | No | DS |  |  | B369 female w/2@0 |  |
| 142 | Dug | No | DS |  |  | B411 female w/o |  |
| 143 | Dug | No | DS |  |  | B405 female w/2@l |  |
| 144 | Nat | No | DS |  |  | B376 female w/o |  |
| 145 | Tree | No | DS |  |  | B402 female w/o | Vacant |

Table 48. (Continued)

| Den No. | Den Type | Flooded | Location ${ }^{* * *}$ | 80/81-82/83 | 83/84 | 84/85 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146 | Tree | No | DS |  | B377 female w/?@o | Vacant |
| 147 | - | - | D |  | B343 male |  |
| 152 | - | No | DS |  | B409 female w/o |  |
| 154 | Tree | No | DS |  | B378 female w/2@1 |  |
| 156 | Nat | No | DS |  | B408 male |  |

* Attempted initial denning location for B323, B346, \& B360 in 1982/1983. B346 \& B360 subsequently moved.
** Attempted denning location for B324 \& B325 in 1981/1982. B324 subsequently moved.
*** $W=$ Watana, D= Devils Canyon,

DS = Downstream of impoundment zone.
SUMMARY OF TABLE:
103 dens identified to date throughout entire study area (reused dens counted only once). 51 (49.5\%) dug dens, $40(38.8 \%)$ natural cavity dens, $9(8.7 \%)$ unknown cavity type. 3(2.9\%) tree dens.

| Dug | $24(54.5 \%)$ | Dug | $10(33.3 \%)$ | Tree | Dug |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 49. Black bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1963/o4.


Table 50. Black bear den entrance and emergence daces, winter of 1904/85.


Table 51. Number of observations and percent (in parenthesis) of radio-marked black bears within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4
TIME PERIOD (impoundment) (shore-1 mile) ( $1-5$ miles) (over 5 miles) TOTAL

| 1. | April 1-30 | 6 | (100) | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | May 1-15 | 31 | (44) | 31 | (44) | 8 | (11) | 0 |  | 70 |
| 3. | May 16-31 | 84 | (55) | 55 | (36) | 13 | (9) | 0 |  | 152 |
| 4. | June 1-15 | 142 | (55) | 69 | (27) | 43 | (17) | 6 | (2) | 260 |
| 5. | June 16-30 | 74 | (36) | 79 | (39) | 49 | (24) | 3 | (1) | 205 |
| 6. | July 1-15 | 25 | (32) | 30 | (38) | 23 | (29) | 1 | (1) | 79 |
| 7. | July 16-31 | 50 | (40) | 46 | (37) | 28 | (23) | 0 |  | 124 |
| 8. | August 1-15 | 40 | (39) | 41 | (40) | 22 | (21) | 0 |  | 103 |
| 9. | August 16-31 | 37 | (30) | 44 | (36) | 40 | (33) | 2 | (2) | 123 |
| 10. | Sept. 1-15 | 24 | (29) | 34 | (41) | 23 | (28) | 2 | (2) | 83 |
| 11. | Sept. 16March 31 tOTALS | $\frac{38}{551}$ | $\frac{(38)}{(42)}$ | $\frac{40}{469}$ | $\frac{(40)}{(36)}$ |  | $\frac{(22)}{(21)}$ | $\frac{0}{14}$ | $\overline{(1)}$ | $\frac{100}{1305}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { within zone } \\ & \left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 159. |  | 327 |  | 123 | 3.51 | -- |  | 1719.00 |
|  | \% | 9.29 |  | 19. |  | 71. |  | - |  | 100.0 |

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

| $\frac{\text { ZONE 1 }}{\text { obs. } E(x)}$ | $\frac{\text { ZONE 2 }}{\text { obs. } E(x)}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ZONE 3 |  |
| obs. $E(x)$ | X $^{2}$ | d.f. |  |  |
| 551119.6 | 469245.6 | 271926.0 | $2,222 \star *$ | 2 |

All months,
zones $1 \& 2$ only $551334.1 \quad 469685.9$-- $\quad$-- 210** 1

* reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.05

Table 52. Number of observations and percent (in parenthesis) of radio-marked black bears within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Devil's Canyon impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

ZONE 1 ZONE 2 ZONE 3 ZONE 4
TIME PERIOD (impoundment) (shore-1 mile) ( $1-5$ miles) (over 5 miles) TOTAL
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllr}\text { 1. April 1-30 } & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \text { 2. May 1-15 } & 2 & 33 & 16 & \ldots & 2\end{array}\right)$

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

| ZONE 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| obs. $E(x)$ | ZONE 2 | ZONE 3 |
| obs. $E(x)$ | obs. $E(x)$ | $X^{2} \quad$ d.f. |

All months,
3 zones $18 \quad 21.8$
292124.0

354 518.3 275**
2
May 1-June 30
3 zones
129.
$146 \quad 56.6$
145236.5 177**

2
May 1-June 30
2 zones
$12 \quad 23.6$
146134.4
6.7** 1

* _ reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10
** reject null hypothesis, $p$ less than 0.05


Table 54. Results of intensive monitoring of black bear predation rates during sumaer ig84. Beacs weif munttoied once/day from 23 suly through 1 August, conditions permitting.

| Bear ID | Sex | Age | Repro. <br> status | Obsv. period | No, of locations | No. of visuals | \% visuals | Total known/suspected kills of ungulates * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 302 | M | 12 | -- | 7/23-7/30 | 6 | 5 | 83.3 | 0 |
| 358 | M | 4 | -- | 7/23-7/30 | 6 | 3 | 50.0 | 0 |
| 359 | M | 6 | -- | 7/23-7/30 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 | 0 |
| 387 | M | 5 | -- | 7/23-7/30 | 4 | 1 | 25.0 | 0 |
| 401 | M | 4 | - | 7/23-7/30 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 | 0 |
| 416 | M | A | - | 7/23-7/30 | 6 | 5 | 84.3 | 0 |
| Subtotal for males |  |  |  |  | 34 | 22 | 64.7 | 0 |



* Note that if the same ratio of kills to visuals observed in the spring (8:425) were present in the sumer, then only 1.1 kils would have been expected to be found during the 59 summer visuals.


[^0]:    * Weight estimated, ( ) indicates shed collar or dead bear, \# recapture, - collar or mark replaced subsequently,

[^1]:    * January, 1985

[^2]:    Not Included:
    Subadults @2 in $1979=259$
    Subadults @1 in $1979=275,262$ or $263,256,257,252,253,245,271,239,238$.

[^3]:    * includes row C in Table 1

[^4]:    * Flight on $8 / 6 / 85$ was in a $180 w / 3$ observers and area was incompletely covered

[^5]:    * Wolves were also seen at this kill along with the brown bear which had possession of the kill.

[^6]:    * Downstream study area

[^7]:    * incomplete data resulted from not observing the family status of the bear before it entered its winter den, shed collars, collar failures, or early hunter kills. Tabulated losses occurred prior to loss of the female to these causes. These are not included in totals.
    ** B377 may have lost 2 of 2 rather than the 1 of 1 tabulated in 1983, the infial litter size was not known with certainty.

[^8]:    * Excludes atypical location of 80/81 den

    Cubs lost in Aug.

