# SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT PHASE II PROGRESS REPORT BIG GAME STUDIES

Vol. VI. Black Bear and Brown Bear

Sterling D. Miller

Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game
Submitted to the Alaska Power Authority
October 1985



NOV 20 1985

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

ARLIS
Alaska Resources Library & Information Service
Library Building, Suite 111
3211 Providence Drive
Anchorage, AK 99508-4614

Susitna File Copy File # <u>4.3.3.5</u> I. SUMMARY. Information presented in earlier reports is updated in this report using information collected during the 1984 field season. In most cases these data are presented without discussion additional to that already presented in earlier reports. All of these data will be reanalyzed for the final report due in 1986. New analyses of the following topics are presented in this report.

Brown bear use of impoundment proximity polygons including the area within the proposed impoundment, within the area from the shoreline of the proposed impoundment to 1 mile distant, and from 1-5 miles distant from the impoundment shoreline were analyzed using the Chi Square statistic to determine whether the number of point locations in each of these 3 zones differed significantly from expected values based on the surface area of each zone. Data from 1980-1984 were lumped for this analysis. Brown bears used these 3 zones significantly differently than expected for all months lumped as well as just for the spring months considered separately. These differences were found for male brown bears as well as for females except that no differences from expected values were observed for brown bear females during the period from 1 July-March Brown bear females accompanied by cubs-of-the-year

also showed no differences from expected values in their use of these 3 impoundment proximity zones. During years when they did not have cubs-of-the-year, these same individuals showed significant differences in their use of these 3 zones than they did when they did have cubs-of-the-year. This suggests that females during years when they are accompanied by cubs-of-theyear behave differently than they do when unaccompanied by newborn cubs. In all cases where significant differences between observed and expected values where found, the observed values for use of the area which would be inundated by the proposed Watana impoundment were greater than the expected values indicating positive selection by bears for the area which would be These analyses will be refined using vegetation-type categories in the final report using the vegetation map prepared in 1985.

Similar analyses for the black bear point-location data revealed that black bears are even more highly dependent on the impoundment zone than are brown bears. Overall 42% of all black bear point locations in the Watana dam impact area were in the area that would be inundated by by the proposed Watana Impoundment. This value was highest in May and June, as for brown bears.

Brown bear and black bear density estimates were obtained in spring 1985 using a newly-developed procedure. A description of the technique is presented. A black bear density of approximately 10.8 square kilometers/bear was obtained for that portion of the study area considered to be black bear habitat(95% CI=7.0-16.2 sq.km./bear). The preliminary density estimate for brown bears was 34.4 sq.km./bear(95%CI=22.8-50.0 sq.km./bear). These preliminary estimates will be refined for the final report.

Brown bear use of Prairie Creek during the salmon spawning period was evaluated using capture-recapture techniques. Brown bear move to Prairie Creek in late June from a documented area of almost 8,000 square kilometers. During 2 surveys estimates of 48 and 33 bears were obtained. Confidence intervals(95%) for this estimate indicate of a maximum of 80 bears use Prairie Creek. This estimate is for bears 2.5 years or older, inclusion of younger age classes would result in a larger estimate. This area around Prairie Creek is the most clearly identifiable area of critical habitat for brown bears in the study area and its potential for use as mitigation for the brown bear losses that will result from construction of the impoundment is discussed.

Brown bear predation rates of 3 moose calves/intensively-monitored radio-marked bear were observed in spring 1985. Black bear predation rates on were lower than for brown bears. Black bears killed at least 2.1 moose calves/100 visual observations compared to 5.5 for brown bears.

#### II. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. Summary	1
II. Table of Contents	4
III. List of Tables	5
IV. Introduction and Acknowledgements	8
V. Methodology	11
VI. Results and DiscussionBrown Bears	12
A. Sex and Age Composition of Study Animals	12
B. Population Biology and Productivity	12
C. Home Range and Density	13
D. Use of Impoundment Proximity Zones	14
E. Density Estimates and Procedures	18
F. Denning Ecology Characteristics	21
G. Harvest Data	22
H. Seasonal Use of Prairie Creek	22
I. Predation by Brown Bears	28
VII. Results and DiscussionBlack Bears	31
A. Sex and Age Composition of Study Animals	31

B. Population Biology and Productivity	31
C. Density Estimations and Procedures	32
D. Berry Abundance	34
E. Food Habits	35
F. Home Range and Movements	35
G. Denning Ecology Characteristics	36
H. Use of Impoundment Proximity Zones	38
I. Predation by Black Bears	39
VIII. References Cited	41
IX. Tables	42
IV. LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1. Brown bear capture records	
Table 2. Predicted and observed spring 1984 statusbrown bear	reproductive
Table 3. Predicted and observed spring 1985 statusbrown bear	reproductive
Table 4. Summary of brown bear litter size de the-year	ata for cubs-of-
Table 5. Summary of brown bear litter size d	ata for yearlings
Table 6. Summary of brown bear litter size do of 2-year old offspring	ata for litters
Table 7. Brown bear offspring survivorship as	nd weaning
Table 8. Summary of brown bear cub and yearl 1984	ing losses1978-
Table 9. Morphometrics of brown bear cubs	
Table 10. Morphometrics of brown bear yearling	ngs
Table 11. Crossings of Susitna River by brown	n bears
Table 12. Watana impoundment proximity analyst bears	is-all brown

- Table 13. Watana impoundment proximity analysis-all male brown bears
- Table 14. Watana impoundment proximity analysis--female brown bears without coy
- Table 15. Watana impoundment proximity analysis -- female brown bears with coy
- Table 16. Use of impoundment proximity zones by brown bear females during years when they do and do not have coy
- Table 17. Devils Canyon impoundment proximity analysis for brown bear
- Table 18. Brown bear den characteristics
- Table 19. Brown bear den entrance and emergence, 83/84
- Table 20. Brown bear den entrance and emergence, 84/85
- Table 21. Distances between brown bear den sites
- Table 22. Status of brown bears marked in 1978
- Table 23. Status of brown bears marked in 1979
- Table 24A. Status of brown bears marked in 1980-1984
- Table 24B. Summary of brown bear status, tables 22-24A.
- Table 25. Annual use of Prairie Creek by radio-marked brown bears
- Table 26A. Prairie Creek brown bear census in 1984
- Table 26B. Prairie Creek brown bear census in 1985
- Table 27. Brown bear predation rates, spring 1985
- Table 28. Brown bear predation rates, summer 1985
- Table 29. Black bear capture records
- Table 30. Predicted and observed spring 1984 reproductive status of black bear females
- Table 31. Predicted and observed spring 1985 reproductive status of black bear females
- Table 32. Black bear litter sizes of newborn cubs
- Table 33. Black bear litter sizes of yearlings

- Table 34. Losses from newborn litters of black bear cubs
- Table 35. Morphometrics of black bear cubs
- Table 36. Morphometrics of black bear yearlings
- Table 37. Natural mortalities of black and brown bears
- Table 38. Status of black bears marked in Su-Hydro studies
- Table 39. Annual comparisons of berry abundance
- Table 40. Subjective characterizations of annual berry abundance
- Table 41. Annual home range sizes of downstream black bears
- Table 42. Annual home range sizes of upstream black bears
- Table 43. Annual numbers of Susitna River crossings by radio-marked black bears
- Table 44. Composition of bear scats collected in 1984
- Table 45. Salmon abundance in downstream sloughs and streams-1981-1984
- Table 46. Characteristics of black bear dens
- Table 47. History of black bear den use by bear ID
- Table 48. History of black bear den use by den ID
- Table 49. Black bear entrance and emergence dates, 1983/84
- Table 50. Black bear den entrance dates, 1984/85
- Table 51. Watana Impoundment proximity analysis for black bear
- Table 52. Devils Canyon impoundment proximity analysis for black bear
- Table 53. Black bear predation rates, spring 1984
- Table 54. Black bear predation rates, summer 1984
- IV. INTRODUCTION AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
- This is the third annual progress report of Phase II black and brown bear studies that are being conducted as part of impact assessment work for the proposed

Susitna Hydro-electric project. These studies are being conducted by personnel within the Alaska Department of Fish and Game under contract to the Alaska Power Authority. A through discussion of impacts mechanisms was presented in the Phase I Final Report(Miller and McAllister 1982) and the reader is referred to that report for a discussion of these mechanisms. Some additional analyses of important features of these studies were presented in earlier Phase II progress reports as well (Miller 1983 and 1984) and these discussions are not repeated here. All results will be presented and discussed in the Phase II final report currently in preparation.

In this report an new analysis of data collected during the period 1980-1984 on bear use of the proposed impoundment areas is presented (see section VI-D for brown bears and section VII-H for black bears). New data and analyses on bear predation on ungulates in the study area are also presented in this report.

Additional discussion on the importance of Prairie Creek salmon resources to brown bear populations in the study area and the potential to mitigate for impoundment-related losses to brown bear habitat by protection of Prairie Creek is presented in Section VI-H. With these exceptions, the information presented in

this report is primarily an update of the data and discussions presented in previous reports.

Many individuals contributed to the Su-Hydro bear project in 1984. Of primary importance was Dennis McAllister(ADF&G) who was of invaluable assistance in all portions of the project, especially the fieldwork. My supervisor, Karl Schneider, also made many valuable contributions. Many ADF&G employees made valuable contributions to many different aspects of the project including: Warren Ballard, Jack Whitman, Al Franzman, Charles Schwartz, Craig Gardiner, Bill Taylor, Herman Griese, Enid Goodwin, Mark Chihuly, SuzAnne Miller, Bob Tobey, Jim Lieb, Earl Becker, Danny Anctil, Tammy Otto, Polly Hessing, Bob Cassell, Larry Aumiller, Paul Smith, Carolyn Crouch, Susie Lawler, and Penny Miles. Granville Cooey(Harza Ebasco) was, as always, of great assistance in accomplishing what needed to be done. Craig Lofstedt (Kenai Air Alaska) flew the helicopter during the tagging portion of this work and several pilots for Air Logistics flew helicopters at other times. Larry Rogers (Kenai Air), Al and Jerry Lee (Lee's Air Taxi), Harley McMahan(McMahan Flying Service), and Don Deering flew fixed wing aircraft at various times. Bruce Barrett and his staff conducting Su-Hydro fisheries studies were of great help in providing logistic support during the downstream scat collection

portions of this study. Special thanks are due to Rick Halford for permitting us to use his strip at Susitna Lodge to store our aviation fuel. Robin Sener(LGL and associates), Randy Fairbanks(Harza Ebasco) and Richard Fleming(APA) also assisted in various ways. No doubt many other assisted also that I've forgotten to mention and I offer these people my thanks and apologies for neglecting them.

#### V. METHODOLOGY

Methods used followed those described by Miller and McAllister (1982), Miller(1983 and 1984). Where new or different techniques were utilized in 1984, these are discussed in the text along with the results.

Monitoring schedules were disruped in 1984 because of intensive, daily or twice daily, monitoring that was conducted on 15 May through 25 June and again on 23 July through 1 August. Other flights were conducted on 3, 18, and 30 April, 10 May, 7 and 22 June (downstream only), 12-16 July, 13-14 and 27 August, 2 and 6 September, 1, 11, and 24 October and 7 November. Various obsevations on individual bears were collected at other times in addition as conditions permitted.

Techniques used in conducting a brown bear census at Prairie Creek during the king salmon spawning period are presented in Section VI-H.

No replication of the black bear census effort, using mark-recapture survey flights was conducted in 1984(see discussion in Miller 1984) but a new technique for estimating density of both species was conducted in spring 1985. This procedure and the preliminary results are discussed in Sections VI-E(for brown bears) and VII-C(for black bears).

- VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION--BROWN BEARS
- A. Sex and age composition of study animals.

Following the May 1984 tagging effort 37 brown bears were radio-marked including 7 cubs-of-theyear("coy") (with females 423, 281, 340, and 337), 4 yearlings(with females 299 and 420), 20 adult females(3 of these in the downstream study area) and 6 adult males(1 of these in the downstream study area). Capture data from 1980-1984 are given in Table 1. During the monitoring period in 1984 the 4 radio-marked cubs with females 340 and 337 all survived, the one radio-marked cub in the litter of 4 with 423 was lost to unknown causes, one of the cubs with 281 was lost to brown bear predation and the other to unknown causes. All 4 of the radio-marked yearlings survived. The bodies of the cubs lost to unknown causes were not found. Circumstances of these losses suggest that these cubs may have been swept away by swift rivers or streams.

#### B. Population Biology and Productivity -- Brown Bears.

Based on reproductive status and activity in 1983,
Miller(1984:78) predicted the spring 1984 reproductive
status of 20 radio-marked brown bear females. The

predictions and the observed spring 1984 status is given in Table 2.

In similar fashion the spring 1985 reproductive status of 20 radio-marked brown bear females was predicted in January 1985. These predictions and the results observed in spring 1985 are given in Table 3.

Litter size information for brown bear litters of cubof-the-year during the period 1978-1984 are given in
Table 4, for litters of yearlings in Table 5 and for
litters of 2-year-olds in Table 6. Reproductive
histories of radio-marked females during this same
period are given in Table 7.

A summary of known losses from brown bear litters of cubs and yearlings is given in Table 8. A total of 39% of cubs accompanying radio-marked females have been lost compared to 29% for yearlings (Table 8).

Measurements of brown bear cubs and yearlings handled in the project area are given in Tables 9 and 10, respectively.

C. Brown bear home range and density estimates.

No additional analyses of brown bear home range sizes were conducted using the data from 1984. For annual home range sizes during the period 1980-1983 see Table 19 in Miller(1984:98).

No additional estimates of brown bear density were conducted in 1984. The best available density estimate for the Su-Hydro study area is 1/17 square miles(Miller and Ballard, 1982) as was discussed in Miller and McAllister(1982). Other methods of estimating population size and density were presented in Miller(1984), but these were concluded to be highly inaccurate. An intensive effort to estimate brown bear and black bear densities in the Su-Hydro study area was conducted in spring 1985, an analysis of these data are currently underway and will be presented in the final report of this project(Miller, in prep.).

Updated information on numbers of Susitna River crossings by radio-marked brown bears are presented in Table 11.

#### D. Use of Impoundment Impact Zones by Brown Bears.

Miller and McAllister(1982:58-60) provided a preliminary assessment of brown bear use of impoundment area proximity zones, this analysis was combined with

data collected subsequently for the analysis presented Three zones were identified for each impoundment Within the area that would be flooded by the proposed impoundments(zone 1), within 1 mile of the high water mark of the proposed impoundments(zone 2), and from 1 to 5 miles from the high water mark of the proposed impoundments(zone 3). Data collected further than 5 miles from the proposed impoundments(zone 4) is also reported but not included in the analysis because, of course, the size of this zone is infinite. A vertical north-south line was drawn to separate the 5mile polygons of each impoundment which would, otherwise, have overlapped. An illustration of these impoundment impact zones was presented in Figure 3 of Miller and McAllister(1982:49). The purpose of this analysis was to determine whether bears were selecting for the impoundment area and at which periods of the year this occurred. Chi square analyses were used to make this determination under the null hypothesis that the number of point locations found in each of these 3 zones was in the same proportion as the area in each zone. Seasons considered included "spring" (April 1-June 30) and the rest of the year.

Brown bears used the 3 impoundment significantly differently than expected for all months lumped and in the spring(Table 12). Use of the impoundment zone was

over twice expected values (Table 12). No significant variation from expected values was observed during the period July 1-March 31(Table 12).

Brown bear males, considered separately, also used the 3 impoundment zones significantly differently than expected under the null hypothesis (Table 13). In all months and in both periods use of the impoundment zone was higher than expected values (Table 13).

All brown bear females, considered separately, also used the 3 impoundment zones significantly differently than expected under the null hypothesis(Table 14). This difference was significant for all months lumped and in spring period, but did not differ from expected values during the July 1-March 31 period(Table 12).

When a similar analysis was done for brown bears females with cubs-of-the-year(coy), no significant variation from expected values were observed for either all periods lumped or for either of the two time periods(Table 15). This is because these bears tend to stay at higher elevations, well away from the impoundment area during years when they have newborn cubs. I suspect that this behavioral trait is designed to reduce predation on their cubs from other brown bears, especially adult males, that are concentrated in

these lower elevation habitats early in the year. To test this hypothesis I compared the use of these 3 impoundment zones(both impoundments lumped) during years when the same set of females had cubs of the year with the years when they did not(Table 16). During years when they had cubs these bears utilized these 3 zones significantly differently than they did during years when they did not have newborn cubs and use of the impoundment zone was less than expected when these females had cubs(Table 16).

Similar analyses were conducted for observations within the 3 proximity zones of the Devils Canyon impoundment but because of the smaller sample of point-locations in this area and because of the much smaller area that is anticipated to be flooded by the Devils Canyon impoundment, breakdowns by season were not possible. Use of these 3 zones(all months lumped) was significantly different for females without coy and for all bears lumped, it was not significantly different for males(Table 17). The most significant deviations from expected values were observed in zone 3 which was used more than expected. Zone 1, the impoundment area, was also used more than expected but had only slight use altogether(Table 17).

# E. Brown bear density estimation procedures and results

No additional work on brown bear density estimates was accomplished in 1984. Previous work was summarized in last year's progress report concluded that the best density estimate for the study area available was one bear per 17 square miles(Miller and Ballard, 1982) based on work conducted in 1979 in an area adjacent to the Su-Hydro study area(Miller 1984).

Work conducted in spring 1985 was designed to provide an improved density estimate for brown bears in the Su-Hydro study area. These data have been incompletely analyzed at this point but it appears that the density estimate that will result will be approximately one bear per 14 square miles(Miller in prep.). These data will be completely reported in my final report but the techniques followed will be outlined here.

The basic technique followed was the Lincoln-Peterson Index using the ratio of marked to unmarked animals seen during census flights in fixed-wing aircraft(PA 18). This is a variation of the technique reported by Miller and Ballard(1982) and of the technique I reported in Miller(1983). "Marked" animals were those with functioning radio transmitters at the initiation

of the census period, all other bears seen during the census period were considered unmarked animals and were captured when they were spotted and were marked with radio-transmitters or visual markers. Newborn cubs and yearlings were classified the same as their mothers, either "marked" or "unmarked". Consecutive days of search effort were combined to provide a series of independent estimates over time. The number of marked animals present increased in the later periods relative to the earlier periods. This basically follows the procedure for developing a Schnabel estimate.

The unique feature of the estimation procedure followed in spring 1985 was that we tested the assumption that the population of bears was "closed" to immigration and emigration and made a correction for the demonstrated absence of closure. This testing was accomplished by flying the periphery of the search area each day and determining whether the radio-marked bears were present in the search area or were absent. The number of marks "present" during the search effort was, correspondingly, adjusted to be the fractional value represented by the proportion of times the individual bear was determined to be present in the search area; if a bear was present half of the time, for example, it was considered to be 0.50 of a marked bear present in the search area. Bears with just visual marks were

assigned fractional presence values based on the average values for the radio-marked bears of the same sex and age group. Calculation of population size followed using the standard Lincoln Index and associated formulae. This population value was divided by the size of the search area to derive a relatively unbiased estimate of density. Corrections for "periphery" effects, which result from lack of closure of the population, were not needed following this procedure because this factor was taken into account in determining the number of marks present. Following this procedure means that the most seriously violated assumption is no longer lack of closure but unequal catchability. Methods of correcting for violations of this assumption are currently under investigation.

Density estimates, following this procedure, were accomplished simultaneously for both black and brown bears during spring 1985.

Using these procedures a preliminary brown bear density estimate of 34.4 sq.km./bear was obtained for the Su-Hydro study area. Confidence intervals(95%) for this estimate were approximately 22.8-50.0 sq.km./bear. A thorough analyses of these data will be presented in the final report These results are preliminary and tentative.

### F. Characteristics of brown bear denning ecology

Updated data for the winter of 1984/85 on of brown bear denning habits in the study area are presented in tables without additional discussion. These data were previously discussed in Miller(1983) and in previous reports and recent data support the conclusions drawn earlier. One brown bear(pregnant Female 396 with 2 newborn cubs in 1985) denned at an atypically low elevation(2,000 feet) site during the winter of 1984/85. This location would have been inundated had it occurred in the vicinity of the Watana Impoundment but it occurred in the vicinity of the Devils Canyon Impoundment so earlier conclusions that no known brown bear den sites would be inundated by the proposed impoundments remain valid. I expect that this low elevation den site is atypical for brown bears in study area.

Updated tables giving the characteristics of dens visited through the winter of 1983/84 are presented in Table 18. Entrance and emergence dates for the winter of 1983/84 are given in Table 19, equivalent data for previous years of study were presented in earlier reports. Entrance dates for the winter of 1984/85 are presented in Table 20. Data on the distances between

den sites used by the same individual in successive years are given in Table 21. These data indicate a high level of fidelity to the same general denning area in successive years by the same individual (a mean difference of only approximately 4 miles, Table 21).

# G. <u>Harvest of marked brown bears in Su-Hydro study</u> area

Updated data on the harvest of marked brown bears in the Su-Hydro study area are presented in Tables 22-24B. Over the period of study, the proportion of the population harvested each year appears to be increasing(Table 24B) but these data should be cautiously interpreted. A minimum of 13% of the population of bears marked in the Su-Hydro study area were reported harvested by hunters in 1984(Table 24A).

#### H. Brown bear use of the Prairie Creek area

Each year many brown bears in the Su-Hydro study area move in July and August to a tributary of the Talkeetna River running out of Stephan Lake, Prairie Creek. The purpose of these movements is the run of king(chinook) salmon in this creek. These salmon serve as a rich food source for bears. Sport fisheries biologists with the Department of Fish and Game report that this area

supports the most concentrated king salmon spawning area in the upper Cook Inlet region(Larry Engle, personal communication). Radio-marked brown bears have been documented moving from an area of 7,894 square kilometers to utilize Prairie Creek salmon resources(Miller 1984:27). The actual area of attraction to brown bears is much larger than this because these data are biased as a result of tagging radio-marked bears only in the Su-hydro study area which is north and east of Prairie Creek, bears moving to Prairie Creek from south and west directions would have no chance of being radio-marked.

The proportion of radio-marked bears in the Su-Hydro study area that have been documented moving to Prairie Creek to fish for salmon has ranged from 13% in 1981(a year when little monitoring was done as a result of poor flying conditions) to 38% in 1984(Table 25). This proportion appears higher for radio-marked males(50% in 1984, excluding dispersers) than for radio marked females(33% in 1984)(Table 25). This is probably because of the larger home ranges of radio marked males(Miller and McAllister 1982).

In connection with intensive monitoring of radio-marked brown bears in spring and summer of 1984 to determine predation rates on ungulate calves(see the following

section of this report), efforts were made to census the number of bears using Prairie Creek during the salmon run. This number is difficult to determine from direct counts because of the exceptionally dense vegetation along the shores of Prairie Creek. vegetation makes it very difficult to spot the bears from the air, they need only to move a few feet from the creek and they are well hidden from sight. Correspondingly we attempted to census the bears in this area using the ratio of radio-marked to unmarked bears spotted during intensive search efforts along the length of the creek between upper Murder Lake and the Talkeetna River. Marked bears spotted were identified by their radio-frequencies but radio-tracking gear was not utilized in finding the bears during the search effort. The search pattern flown was a circular one overlapping the Prairie Creek from both sides and following up the tributaries on both sides of Prairie Creek up to the limit of where salmon could reach. Subsequent to the search effort, radio-tracking gear was utilized to locate all radio-marked bears in the general area to determine how many were present in the area previously searched. Pilot Al Lee(Lee's Air Taxi) flew these surveys with myself present as spotter and radio-tracker.

Results of 2 surveys, flown on 29 July and 1 August, are presented in Table 26A. On July 29 an estimate of 48 bears (95% confidence interval=12-80) was obtained, on August 1 an estimate of 33 bears (95% confidence interval=10-62 bears) was obtained(Table 26A). estimate includes only bears that were not accompanied by their mothers(or bears at least 2.0 years old), an estimate including these subadults would be 30-40% higher. The large confidence intervals of this estimate result from a low number of marked bears present in the search area when the census was conducted (only 4-5, Table 26A). Obviously the lower limit of the confidence interval is nonsense as more bears than this value were actually seen on each flight(Table 26A) so a realistic lower limit would be truncated at the number of bears actually seen. Similar surveys are planned for July 1985.

Equivalent data were collected during summer 1985 during the period 23-27 July using replicated morning and evening flights each day in a Piper supercub(PA 18) flown by Harley McMahan with myself as observer. On 6 August another flight was conducted in a Cesna 180 flown by Larry Rogers with myself, Randy Fairbanks and Richard Fleming as observers, this flight was incomplete at the lower end of Prairie Creek because of fuel shortage. The August 6 flight was the poorest in

terms of observability because of the larger airplane and increased number of observers however it may have provided the best estimate because of the larger number of marked bears that were present (Table 26B). The data from these 1985 flights are included in this report (Table 26B) although they are incompletely analyzed.

These surveys are designed estimate the number of bears using Prairie Creek and also to provide baseline data on this value which can be used to document the anticipated decline in bear use of Prairie Creek which will occur when the impoundment is built. documentation will result from replicated surveys flown subsequent to construction when the impact of development has resulted in the anticipated exclusion of many brown bears from this resource. This exclusion will result, in part, from increased numbers of nonsport brown bear kills by the increased number of recreational users who will have access to the area subsequent to construction of access routes from the Denali Highway. More important, however, will be the effects of disturbance exclusion whereby brown bears will abandon the area because of the anticipated large increase in numbers of humans using the area.

Prairie Creek is the only clear example of critical habitat for brown bears that has been found in the

vicinity of the proposed hydroelectric project. As such, protection of this area from the competitive exclusion impacts, mentioned above, would appear to offer an excellent opportunity to mitigate for the losses to brown bear habitat that will occur as a result of the project. This mitigation could be achieved if the area surrounding Prairie Creek were obtained by the state and put into an appropriately protective land-use designation such as a State Game Refuge. This protection would not result in any absolute increase in numbers of brown bears that could be used to offset the losses that will be caused by the project, no mechanism that would accomplish such an increase is known. However, protection of Prairie Creek from human competitive exclusion impacts would help maintain larger populations of bears than would be able to exist in this area without such protection of Prairie Creek. As this is the only kind of mitigation which is possible for the losses that the project would cause to brown bear populations in the study area, protection of Prairie Creek as a food source for salmon-fishing brown bears should receive the attention of mitigation planners. That that factors necessary to adequately protect Prairie Creek from exclusion impacts include:

- Restrictions on human use of the area between 1
   July and 15 August, at least; and
- 2. Minimal human development and impacts in the larger area surrounding Prairie Creek, such as the Fog Lakes area, through which bears must pass to get to Prairie Creek.

It is noteworthy that the recreational plan currently under consideration as part of the FERC license application is incompatible with either of these requirements. Among other things it is highly questionable, for example, whether there would be any point in protecting Prairie Creek as a State Game Refuge if road access to the south side of the Susitna River is provided as a result of the project. Such access would almost certainly eliminate the Prairie Creek area as a critical habitat area that would be utilized by brown bears.

#### I. Brown bear predation rates on ungulates

During spring 1984 selected radio-marked brown bears were monitored twice per day from 29 May through 7 June and once per day from 8 June through 1 July, weather conditions permitting. These data were collected simultaneously with moose calf mortality studies being

conducted as part of the upstream moose project(Ballard and others in prep.). Similar data were collected during once/day monitoring of the same bears during 23 July through 1 August to compare spring and summer predation rates.

During the spring period twenty-six moose calf kills were positively identified for 16 radio marked bears, an additional 8 kills of non-calf moose and 3 age or species unknown kills were also observed (Table 27). This represents a total of 48 known or suspected kills of ungulates by these bears during the spring, approximately 3/bear(Table 27). Females with newborn cubs had the lowest predation rates (1.5 kills of moose calves/100 visuals) and females with yearlings had the highest rates (8.7/100 visuals) (Table 27). The low rates for females with newborn cubs doubtless reflects the elevational separation which typically separates these bears from other bears during the spring (Miller and McAllister 1982). This separation puts most females with cubs away from the area where most other bears are concentrated and also away from the areas where moose calves are being born.

Only one ungulate kill was observed during the summer observation period(Table 28). If the same ratio of visual observations of bears to kills of ungulates that

had been observed in the spring occurred in the fall, then 3.5 kills would have been expected(excludes observations made at Prairie Creek). It appears that ungulate kills by brown bears are more prevalent in the spring than during the summer as would be expected. A more complete analysis of these data will be conducted for the final report.

#### VII. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION--BLACK BEARS

## A. Sex and Age Composition of Study Animals

Following the May 1984 tagging effort 30 black bears (including 13 in the downstream study area) were radiomarked. Capture data from 1980-1984 are given in Table 29. losses of marks and bears left 27 radio-marked bears by spring 1985, 11 of these in the downstream study area.

# B. Population Biology and Productivity--Black Bears

Based on reproductive status in 1984, Miller(1984: Table 31) predicted the spring 1984 reproductive status of 19 radio-marked black bear females. These predictions and the observed status of these bears in the spring 1984 are given in Table 30. Similar predictions, during January 1985, were made for the spring 1985 reproductive status of 21 radio-marked black bears. These predictions and the observed results are presented in Table 31. These data are useful in calculating reproductive interval and will be thoroughly evaluated in the final report.

Updated litter size information for black bear cubs is given in Table 32 and for litters of yearlings in Table

33. Updated information on the losses of newborn cubs of radio-marked females is given in Table 34. Updated information on sex ratio and morphometrics of black bear cubs and yearlings is provided in Tables 35 and 36 respectively. Updated information on apparent causes of natural mortalities to black and brown bears is given in Table 37.

## C. Black Bear Density Estimates

No additional work on black bear density estimates was accomplished in 1984. For a review of the work accomplished to date on this topic see Miller(1984), Miller(1983) and Miller and McAllister(1982). None of these reports provide an acceptable estimate of black bear density. An extensive effort to provide an accurate and objective estimate of black bear density was made in spring 1985 following the same procedures previously discussed for brown bears(see Section VII C of this report). This effort was successful. These data are currently being analyzed and will be reported in the final report.

A preliminary analysis of these results indicate that the area of 520 square miles searched for black and brown bears contained approximately 39 brown bears and 49 black bears (Miller in prep.). All of this area was brown bear habitat but not all of it was black bear habitat. The portion of the search area that was black bear habitat was determined by plotting the point locations of all radio-marked black bears during the period 1980-1984. These points were used to delineate "black bear habitat" by manually drawing a convex polygon such that all but a few of these points were included. Excluded points represented locations felt to be erratic or point locations outside of typical black bear habitats. The same process was followed to delineate the portion of the whole upstream area that was black bear habitat so that the density estimate obtained in the census area could be applied to this larger area in order to obtain a population estimate for the whole study area.

The census area of 520 square miles contained about 206 square miles (532 square kilometers) that was considered black bear habitat determined in this way.

Correspondingly, an estimate of about 49 black bears for this area would represent a density of about 1 black bear/4.2 square miles or 1/10.9 square kilometers. The total area of the upstream study area considered to be black bear habitat was 465 square miles (1203 square kilometers), calculated as outlined above. Applying the above density figure to this area

yields a population estimate of about 111 bears(all sexes and ages). These data are highly preliminary and should be cited with care until final analysis is accomplished. Without doubt some modifications to the figures presented here will occur when the final analysis is completed. It is also noteworthy that this population estimate reflects the number of bears present in spring 1985, not the capability of the habitat to support this many bears. As mentioned in previous reports(Miller 1984 and 1983, Miller and McAllister 1982) it is felt that the current population has declined sharply from the number of bears present in the study area in 1980 and 1981, probably as a result of the poor berry crop in 1981.

#### D. Berry Abundance

Four transects designed to document changes in berry abundance between years were established in 1982 (Miller 1983). These same plots were read in 1983 and in 1984 and these results are presented in Table 39 along with the results from previous years. Fewer blueberries were counted in transects 2 and 3 in 1984 than in the previous year. These data suffer from an inadequate sample size. A subjective appraisal of berry abundance in each of the years of study is presented in Table 40. This appraisal suggests decreased berry abundance in

1984 relative to 1983. Information on the relative abundance of berries in different habitat types is being collected in summer 1985 in association with moose browse inventory studies.

#### E. Home Range and Movements

Home range data for radio-marked black bears (1980-1983) are presented in Tables 41 and 42 for downstream and upstream bears respectively. Analyses in addition to those reported in Miller(1983) will be conducted for the final report. Annual numbers of river crossings by radio-marked black bears are reported in Table 43.

#### F. Bear Food Habits

The contents of scats collected in 1984 are presented in Table 44. As discussed in Miller(1984) experimental attempts to develop a technique to differentiate between the scats of black and brown bears were unsuccessful, so in most cases these results are for bears of unknown species.

Most of the scats analyzed were collected in mid-August along the streams and sloughs between Curry and Portage Creek, downstream of the proposed impoundments. These collections were made in this area in order to evaluate

the impacts of expected reductions of spawning salmon in these areas subsequent to construction of the impoundments. This reduction was thought likely to impact bears feeding on these salmon. Of 39 samples collected along these sloughs and streams in 1984 however, none contained identifiable remnants of salmon. These results are equivalent to those reported previously (Miller 1984 and 1983). Also as reported before, berries of devils club (Oplopanax horridus) were the most commonly found item in these scats (Table 44). Salmon were more abundant in these sloughs than they were in 1983 (Table 45). This was because 1984 was an even-numbered year when pink salmon are more abundant.

## G. Black Bear Denning Ecology

Raw data on the dimensions and other characteristics of black bear den sites found in the study area are given in Table 46. The history of den use by each individual radio-marked bears is provided in Table 47. Some radio-marked bears use the same den sites in successive years and some use dens previously occupied by another radio-marked bear. Correspondingly, a history of known use of individual den sites is provided in Table 48. A total of 82 individual black bear den sites have been identified to date throughout the entire study area, 23

in the Devils Canyon area, 23 downstream of this, and 36 in the Watana impoundment area(Table 48). Dens that were excavated by bears represented 50% of the dens found, 34% of the dens were in natural cavities(caves, rock piles, etc.), and 4% were in trees(Table 48). In the Watana Impoundment area, 20(56%) of the dens discovered would be flooded by the impoundment. In the Devils Canyon impoundment area, only 1(4%) of the dens found would be flooded by the Devils Canyon impoundment(Table 48).

During winter of 1984/85, 13 dens that had been occupied by radio-marked black bears in previous years were revisited and inspected for occupants. None were occupied (Table 48).

Entrance and emergence dates of radio-marked black bears from their 1983/84 dens are provided in Table 49. Entrance dates into 1984/85 dens by radio-marked black bears are provided in Table 50. Emergence in spring 1985 was delayed by late snows, but these data have not yet been compiled.

Conclusions of my earlier reports that the Watana impoundment would impact a significant amount of black bear denning habitat upstream of the site of the Watana dam are supported by these data. The Devils Canyon dam

on the other hand is likely to have only a small impact on black bear denning habitats.

### H. Black Bear Use of Impoundment Proximity Zones

Black bear use of nested zones of proximity to the Devils Canyon and Watana impoundments was analyzed using the same methods and procedures previously discussed for brown bears (see section VII-D of this report and Miller and McAllister 1982). Black bear use of the areas that would be inundated by the Watana impoundment was highly significant when compared to the adjacent zone or to the adjacent 2 zones(Table 51). Overall 42% of the observations of radio-marked black bears made in the vicinity of the Watana impoundment were in the area that would be inundated by that dam(Table 51). This percentage value was highest in May and June, the same time period when brown bear use of the impoundment area was highest. No doubt at this time the black bears and brown bears are using the same spring food resources that are available earliest on the south-facing slopes along the Susitna River and its tributaries: carrion, newly-emerged plants, overwintered berries, and moose calves.

This same pattern is not evident for the Devils Canyon impoundment. This is probably because of the very

small area that would be inundated by this impoundment(only 3.3% of the area within 5 miles of the Susitna River along the reach of the River that would be inundated by the Devils Canyon impoundment) (Table 52). In the spring period when the Devils Canyon impoundment zone is most used(May 1-June 30), observed use was lower than expected values for zone 1 for the comparison between zones 1 and 2(Table 52). In the area around the Devils Canyon impoundment the distribution of acceptable black bear habitat is much wider than farther upstream and as a result dependence of the immediate vicinity of the river is less in the lower portion of the study area.

## I. Black Bear Predation Rates

As discussed earlier in this report for brown bears (Section VII-I), radio-marked black bears were intensively monitored in spring 1984 and again in midsummer. Predation rates by black bears on ungulates (Tables 53 and 54) was lower than for brown bears (Tables 27 and 28). Black bears killed at least 2.1 calves/100 visual observations in the spring while brown bears killed at least 5.5/100 visual observations. These are minimum values because not all kills could be observed or identified. These data will be more completely analyzed for the final report, but it is clear that black bear bear predation on moose

calves is significant in the study area and that a complete elimination of brown bears from the study area would not eliminate predation losses to bears. The degree to which black bear predation is additive or compensatory to brown bear predation is not clear from the preliminary data analysis. I suspect that moose calf losses to black bear predation is largely additive to losses to brown bear predation but that if brown bears were greatly reduced in numbers that some compensatory increase in black bear predation would occur. This would be because black bears would probably range more widely and would likely frequent habitats they currently tend to avoid because of the dangers of encountering brown bears in these habitats.

#### VIII. REFERENCES CITED

- Miller, Sterling D. and Dennis C. McAllister. 1982. Susitna

  Hydroelectric Project. Phase I Final Report, Big Game

  Studies, Volume VI Black Bear and Brown Bear. 233 pp.
- Miller, Sterling D. 1983. Susitna Hydroelectric Project.

  Phase II Progress Report, Big Game Studies, Volume VI

  Black Bear and Brown Bear. 99 pp.
- Miller, Sterling D. 1983. Susitna Hydroelectric Project.

  Phase II Progress Report, Big Game Studies, Volume VI

  Black Bear and Brown Bear. 174 pp.
- Miller, Sterling D. and Warren B. Ballard. 1982. Density and Biomass estimates for an Interior Alaskan Brown Bear,

  <u>Ursus arctos</u>, Population. Canadian Field-Naturalist
  96(4):448-454.

# IX. TABLES

Table 1. Brown bears captured in Susitna Dam Studies as of July, 1985

		Capture				<b>"</b>	
attoo	Sex	Age	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	<u>Comments</u>
(277)	F	10.5	225*	4/10/80		1065/1066	w/2 ylgs, not marked, collar shed 80/81 den
(278)	M	9.5	375*	4/19/80			capture mortality
(279)	M	9.5	400*	4/20/80		1100/1099	collar shed by 6/12/80, recaptured 5/18/83, shot 9/84
280	M	5.5	300*	4/20/80		1097/ <del>1098</del>	recollar next spring
214	M	4.5	300*	4/22/80		1072/ <b>1071</b>	collar shed 9/9/80, recaptured 6/85
281	F	3.5	250*	4/22/80		1 <mark>6175</mark> /15950	not turgid, see 5/81 recapture
282	M	4.5	325*	4/22/80		1079/1080	see 6/82 recapture
283	F	12.5	280*	4/22/80		690/689	w2 @2.5: 284 and 285
(284)	M	2.5	180*	4/22/80		1074/1073	w/283 see 5/5/81 recapture
285	M	2.5	180*	4/22/80		687/688	w/283
286	M	3.5	264	5/1/80		1081/1082	
292	F	3.5	174	5/2/80		1322/1321	Turgid
293	M	3.5	277	5/2/80		1116/1115	
294)	W	10.5	607	5/2/80			died on 8/6/81 recapture
(295)	M	12.5	589	5/3/80	•	1303/1304	collar shed by 5/4/80
299	F	13.5	285	5/4/80		1109/1110	w/2 ylgs, turgid, recaptured 5/7/81
(297)	M	1.5	65	5/4/80		(1301/1302)	w/299, shot by hunter on 9/18/81
298	M	1.5	65	5/4/80		1318/1317	w/299
306	F	3.5	163	5/4/80		1319/1320	turgid
(A80E	M	6.5	480	5/6/80		(1126/1125)	shot 9/83
308B)	F	5.5	240	5/6/80		1096/1095	turgid(?) - died on 8/6/81 recapture
309	M	12.5	600	5/6/80		1117/1118	collar shed by 5/14/80, recaptured 6/85
312)	F	10.5	319	5/7/80		$\frac{1312}{1311}$	W/311
(311)	M	2.5	227	5/7/80			shot on 9/16/80
313 314	F F	9.5	286	5/7/80		1119/1120	w/314 @2.5
314	r F	2.5 2.5	154 90*	5/7/80 5/7/80		1049/1050 1127/1128	w/313, recaptured 6/1/85
313 284#2)	r M	3.5	125	5/5/81		1074/1073	alone, recaptured 5/18/83
204#27 331)	· F	6.5	172	5/5/81		(1296/1295)	near 283 w/2c, shot by hunter on 5/18/81 w/332 and 333, died August 1982
	r M	2.5	79	5/5/81		(1215/1216)	
(332) (333)	M	2.5	67	5/5/81	. :	(1240/1239)	<pre>w/331 and 333, shot by hunter on 9/5/82 w/331 and 332, shot by hunter on 9/3/81</pre>
(333) 334	F	10.5	325	5/5/81		1292/1291	estrus, missing in 1982
335	F	3.5	194	5/5/81		1220/1219	recaptured 5/14/83, age changed + 1 '83 tooth
281#2	F	4.5		5/6/81		1201/1202	estrus? recaptured 5/15/83
283#2	F	13.5	261	5/6/81		1089/1090	w/338 and 339, recaptured 5/14/83
338	F	0.5	12	5/6/81		1224/1223	w/283, sex switched to female
339	M	0.5	13	5/6/81		1222/1221	w/283, recaptured 6/85, sex switched to male
312#2	F	11.5	280	5/6/81		1300/1299	w/2c @0.5 (not captured), recaptured 5/14/83
313#2	F	10.5	284	5/6/81		1120/1119	w/336, recaptured 5/14/83
336	F	0.5		5/6/81		1237/1238	w/313, not drugged (abandoned)
337	F	13.5	321	5/6/81		1294/1293	w/3c reunited on 5/9/81, recaptured 5/14/83
340	F	3.5	190	5/6/81		1225/1218	not estrus, recaptured 5/15/83
280#2	M	6.5	394	5/7/81		1097/1267	w/F 341, recaptured 5/16/83
341	F	6.5	224	5/7/81		(1208/1207)	w/M 280, collar failed, recaptured 6/81
299#2	F	14.5	291	5/7/81		1109/1110	w/2 @2.5 (297 and 298 - not recaptured),
	= .						not estrus, recaptured 8/6/81
342A)	M	2.5	220	5/7/81		1228/1227	alone, see 5/25/82 recapture, died 7/84
344	F	5.5		5/8/81		1204/1203	w/2 cubs subsequently, recaptured 5/14/83
345)	M	7.5	495	5/8/81			capture mortality
308B) #2		6.8		8/6/81		·	recapture mortality
299#3	F	14.8		8/6/81		1109/1110	collar replaced, recaptured 5/18/81

		Capture					
Tattoo	Sex	Age	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	Comments
202#2		4 0		0/6/01		150 710	1115/111611
293#2	M	4.8	<u>-</u>	8/6/81	•	150.710	1115/1116 collar replaced, recaptured 5/18/83
(294#2)	M	11.8		8/6/81			recapture mortality
347	M	14.8	500*	8/6/81		(1234/1233)	collar shed 9/81, recaptured 6/9/85
(342A#2)		3.5	250*	5/25/82		1228/1227	collar replaced, died 7/84
(373)	M	9.5	450*	6/11/82		** **	no tattoo, w/G283 (F), collar shed 6/83
282#2	M	6,5	350*	6/11/82		529/1643	recapture of marked bear, shed collar, recaptured 5/84
379	F	5.5	300*	6/11/82	•	1595/1585	w/2@c, Downstream study
(380)	F	15.5	275*	6/12/82		(1588/532)	w/2@1, not captured, shot 9/83
381	F	3.5	200*	6/12/82		533/1592	alone, recaptured 5/18/84
313#3	F	12.5	300*	5/14/83	6259	same	w/2@1
382	M	1.5	66	5/14/83	12546	2135/2134	w/313 and 383, recaptured 5/18/84
(383)	F	1.5	53	5/14/83	12542	(2490/2491)	w/313 and 382, died unknown causes
283#3	F	15.5		5/14/83	6340	same	w/cub #3
(003)	F	0.5		5/14/83	1024	(1360/1359)	w/283, special cub collar, no tattoo, cub eaten
337#2	F	15.5		5/14/83	6309	same	w/385@2
385	F	2.5	60	5/14/83	(15210-12548)	(1695/1694)	w/337, breakaway 5B collar, recaptured 6/85, tags replcd.
(312#2)	F	13.5	350*	5/14/83	(6342)	(1299/1300)	w/386@2, died 5/16/84
386	M	2.5	200*	5/14/83	15 <del>212-</del> 12545(Imp)	2146/2141	w/312, breakway 5B collar, dispersed
344#2	F	7.5	325*	5/14/83	10445	same	w/2@O, not captured
335#2	F	5.5		5/14/83		same	no radio in chopper
335#3	F	5.5	236	5/16/83	15276	same	alone, one year added to '81 age based on '83 tooth
388	F	14.5	450*	5/14/83	6988	2478/2477	w/388 and 389@2, recaptured 5/16/84
(389)	M	(2.5)	135	5/14/83	(15214-12544)	2170/2171	w/388 and 390, breakaway 5B collar, died 10/83
390	М	2.5	125*	5/14/83	15211-12543	2148/2147	w/388 and 389, breakaway 5B collar-shed
340#2	F	5.5	250*	5/15/83	(15285)	same	recaptured 5/17/84, collar replaced 6/85
384	F	12.5	300*	5/15/83	15279	2499/2500	w/391, 392, 393@2
(391)	M	2.5	140*	5/15/83	(15213)	(2078/2079)	w/384 et al., breakaway 5B collar, shot 9/84
(392)	M	2.5	140*	5/15/83	(15246)	(2111/2110)	w/384 et al., breakaway 4B collar, shot 5/84
393	F	2.5	105	5/15/83	15247	1589/1598	w/384 et al., breakaway 4B collar
293#3	. м	6.5	439	5/15/83	15291	same	
(394)	F	6.5	250*	5/15/83	(15277)	(1693/1692)	w/cub #4, shot 9/84
(004)	F	0.5	10	5/15/83		(1358/1357)	w/394-chewed on, no tattoo, died later
(395)	F	3.5	175*	5/15/83	(15289)	(2415/2416)	alone, regular 6B collar, shot 9/4/83
281#3	F	6.5	325*	5/15/83	(15284)	same	w/2@0 (#5 and #6), recollared 5/17/84
(005)	M	0.5	8.5	5/15/83	(1023)	(1350/134)	w/281, expandable cub collar, no tattoo, eaten
(006)	F	0.5	8.3	5/15/83	(1026)	(1346/1345)	w/281, expandable cub collar, no tattoo, eaten
280#3	M	8.5	482	5/16/83	(15290)	same	recaptured 6/85
396	F	13.5	274	5/16/83	14885	1685/1684	w/2@2 (397, 398)
397	F	2.5	132	5/16/83	14005	(2493/2492)	w/396, recaptured 6/4/85
398	F	2.5	135*	5/16/83		2105/2104	w/396
399	М	9.5	600*	5/17/83	(15278)	2087/2108	recaptured 5/15/84
400	M	_	542		(15275)		
299#4	F	20.5 16.5	275*	5/17/83 5/18/83	15283	2132/2133	recaptured 5/18/84
	r M					Same 13/7/13/0	w/3@0, darted in den, recaptured 5/15/84
418 419	M M	0.5	13* 13*	5/18/83 5/19/93	1024	1347/1348	w/G299, special cub collar, shed 10/83, old #7
	m M	0.5	13* 13*	5/18/83 5/18/83	1025 1022	1342/1343	w/G299, special cub collar, old #8
417		0.5				536/535 1653/1100	w/G299, special cub collar, shed 7/83, old #9
(279#2)	M	12.5	700*	5/18/83	( <u>1033</u> 9)	1653/1100	recapture, previous shed collar, recaptured 5/16/84
315#2	F	5.5	203	5/18/83	15288	Same	estrus, alone, just marked previously
403	F	6.5	275*	5/18/83	15275	1564/1565	w/2@O, not captured, Downstream
407	F	4.5	220*	5/19/83	2905	2401/1543	alone, downstream, recaptured 6/85

Weight estimated, ( ) indicates shed collar or dead bear, # recapture, - collar or mark replaced subsequently,

Table 2. Predicted and observed spring 1984 reproductive status of radio-collared female brown bears.

ID	1984 age	Predicted* 1984 status	Comments	Observed 1984 status
281	<b>7</b>	cubs	lost '83 litter(2) in May	2 cubs
283	16	cubs	lost '83 litter(1) in May, bred	alone
394	7	cubs	lost '83 litter(1) in May, bred	alone
312	14	cubs	weamed 1@2 in '83, bred	3 cubs
337	16	cubs	weaned 1@2 in '83, bred	2 cubs
384	13	cubs	weaned 3@2 in '83, bred	2 cubs
388	15	cubs	weaned 2@2 in '83, bred	2 cubs
396	14	cubs	weaned 2@2 in '83, bred	1 cub
315	6	cubs	first litter?	alone
335	6	cubs	first litter	2 cubs
340	6	cubs	first litter, bred in '83	2 cubs
381	5	cubs	first litter	alone
407**	5	cubs	alone in '83, first litter?	alone
299	17	3 ylgs	had cubs in '83	3 ylgs
344	8	l ylg	had cubs in '83	l ylg
403**	7	l ylg	had cubs in '83	· l ylg
313	13	w/1@2	with 1@1 in '83	w/1@2
379**	7	w/1@2	with ylgs in '83	2/1@2
385	3	barren	weaned from G337 in '83	barren
393 (missing?	) 3	barren	weaned from G384 in '83	NA

<sup>\*</sup> See Table 6 in Miller (1984:78)

<sup>\*\*</sup> bear occurs in the downstream study area

Table 3. Predicted spring 1985 reproductive status of radio-collared female brown bears.

ID	1985 age	Predicted* 1985 status	Comments	Observed 1985 status
281	8	cubs	lost '83 & '84 litters in May, bred in '84	2 cubs
283	17	cubs	litter was expected in '84, bred	2 cubs
388	16	cubs	lost '84 litter in May, bred	. 2 cubs
396	15	cubs	lost litter(1) in May	2 cubs
315	7	cubs	first litter was expected in '84	NA (missing)
381	6	cubs	first litter was expected in '84, bro	ed 2 cubs
407**	6	cubs	first litter was expected in '84	alone
379**	8	cubs	weaned 1@2 in '84	alone
313	14	cubs	weaned 1@2 in '84, bred	NA (missing)
344	9	cubs?	lost 101 in May, bred	NA (missing)
425	A	cubs	bred in <sup>1</sup> 84	2 cubs
337	16	w/2@1	2 cubs in 184	2 ylgs
384 (missing	r) 14	w/2@1	2 cubs in *84	NA
335	7	w/2@1	2 cubs in '84	2 ylgs
340	7	w/2@1	2 cubs in '84	2 ylgs
423	. <b>A</b>	w/3@1	3 cubs in 184	3 ylgs
299	18	w/3@2	3@1 in '84	NA (missing)
403	8	w/1@2	l@1 in '84	alone?
420	A	w/2@2	w/ylgs in '84	w/2@2
385	4	barren	barren in '84	alone

<sup>\*</sup> January, 1985

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS	Usable Summary
207(1978, 11)	3(1978)	When last seen on 10/7/78 had all 3 cubs on 5/31/79 had only one yearling which stayed with her until last observation on 9/12/79	2 of 3 lost
213(1978, 10)	2(1979)	lost apparent yearling due to 1978 capture, had newborns when transplanted in 1979, lost these 8-16 days after release, bear apparently died in study area after return	none-transplant bias
231(1979, 13)	3(1979)	Turgid in 1978, bred, lost 2 of 3 cubs by 11 June 1979, survivor lived at least until last observation on 3 August 1979 (no exit data in 1980)	2 of 3 lost
206(1978, 13)	3(1979)	lactating female with male in 1978, during last observation prior to shedding collar the cubs were not seen but undergrowth was thick (6/17/79)	none
313(1981, 10)	1(1981)	bear had a 2-y offspring in 1980, lost cub (possible capture-related)	<pre>l of l lost (capture related?)</pre>
313(1982, 11)	2(1982)	both survived	0 of 2 lost
312(1981, 11)	2(1981)	had a 2-year old in 1980, lost 1 cub by 6/18, other weaned in 1983	l of 2 lost
312(1984, 14)	3(1984)	capture-related losses (collared)	none
283(1981, 13)	2(1981)	weaned 2 at 2 in 1980, lost 1 cub by 9/1 other lost as yearling	1 of 2 lost

Table 4. (cont'd)

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS	Summary
283(1983, 15)	ļ (1983)	killed by brown bear by 5/17/83, cub was collared	l of l lost
337 (1981, 13)	3(1981)	cubs and female reunited, 1 cub lost in 81/82 den, other 2 survived to exit (1 weaned in 1983, other lost as ylg.)	l of 3 lost
337 (1984,16)	2(1984)	both survived to den, collared cubs	0 of 2 lost
344(1981, 5)	2(1981)	both lost in '82 as yearlings	0 of 2 lost
344(1983, 7)	2(1983)	lost l in early July - other survived to den exit	1 of 2 lost
379(1982, 5)	2(1982)	both survived	0 of 2 lost
341(1981, 6)	2(1982)	survived until 7/15/82 when bear was lost	none
299(1980, 13)	1(1982)	bear weaned 2 @ 2 in 1981, cub lost by 6/9/82	l of l lost
299(1983, 16)	3(1983)	all cubs collared, alive to den exit	0 of 3 lost
281(1983, 6)	2(1983)	both killed by brown bear by 6/1/83, cubs collared	2 of 2 lost
281(1984, 7)	2(1984)	lost both in May, one suspected killed by brown bear, other unknown (accidental drowning?), collared cubs	2 of 2 lost
394(1983, 6)	1(1983)	lost (capture related?) by 5/16, bred	l of l lost (capture related?)

Table 4. (cont'd)

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS	Summary
403(1983, 6)	2(1983)	lost 1 in Sept., other ok to exit	l of 2 lost
384(1984, 13)	2(1984)	survived to Sept. at least	0 of 2 lost
396(1984, 14)	1 (1984)	lost in May	l of l lost
335(1984, 6)	2(1984)	both survived to den	0 of 2 lost
340(1984, 6)	2(1984)	both survived to den, collared cubs	0 of 2 lost
388(1984, 15)	2(1984)	capture-related losses (collared)	none
423(1984, A)	4(1984)	one died in July (collared), others ok to den	l of 4 lost
Summary			
No. of cubs No.	of litters mean	·	n first year of life = 40% sibly capture-related)
59	28	2.1 (1-4)	

Table 5. Summary of Nelchina Basin brown bear litter size data for litters of yearlings (based on spring observation of radio-collared bears).

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS	Summary
220(1978, 5)	1 (1978)	ylg entered den and was weaned in 1979, bred	0 of 1 lost
221(1978, 8)	2(1978)	survived, weaned in 1979	0 of 2 lost
234(1978, 5)	2(1978)	Paxson dump bear, lost apparent ylgs between 6/23/78 and 8/4/78, reportedly had cubs in August 1979, radio failed	none
240(1979, 5)	2(1979)	bear transplanted with ylgs, not known if ylgs, survived to return to expt. area, bear was alone on 7/18/80	none
244(1979, 6)	1(1979)	thin female transplanted with ylg, ylg. survived at least 21 days, female bred, but alone in July and August 1980	none-transplant bias
251(1979, 10)	2(1979)	very large yearlings lost 10-17 days after transplant, bear had no cubs in 1980 (August)	none, transplant bias
254(1979 <b>, 9</b> )	2(1979)	female died after transplant (ylgs??)	none
261(1979, 7)	2(1979)	lost I ylg between I and 7 days after transplant, other survived at least until Sept., didn't return to study area	none-transplant bias
269(1979, 16)	2(1979)	transplanted, returned to study area with female, no cubs on 9/29/80, shot in fall 1981 reportedly without cubs	none, transplant bias
274(1979, 11)	1(1979)	transplanted, no radio	none
207(1978, 11)	1(1979)	survived unt11 9/12/79	0 of 1 lost
231(1978,12)	1(1979)	survived until 8/79	none
213(1978, 10)	1(1978)	apparent ylg was not captured, had cubs following year	l of l lost (capture related?

ហ

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS	Summary
277(1980, 10)	2(1980)	ylgs. visually aged, not captured, survived to enter den, no exit data as bear shed collar in den	0 of 2 lost
299(1980, 13)	2(1980)	both survived, weaned next year	0 of 2 lost
299(1984, 17)	3(1984)	all survived with internals to den	0 of 3 lost
312(1982, 12)	1(1982)	survived, weaned next year	0 of 1 lost
283(1982, 14)	1 (1982)	lost by 5/18/82	l of l lost
337(1982, 14)	2(1982)	lost 1 by 6/17/82, other survived	l of 2 lost
380(1982, 15)	2(1982)	both survived to den entrance, at least l exited den and was weaned	0 of 2 lost
344(1982, 6)	2(1982)	lost 1 by 6/17, other by 7/26/82	2 of 2 lost
344(1984, 8)	1(1984)	lost 1 in May, sibling lost year before	l of l lost
313(1983, 12)	2(1983)	lost 1 (surgery related?) by 6/2/83, other survived thru Oct.	0 of 1 lost
379(1983, 6)	2(1983)	lost 1 in June-Sept. period	1 of 2 lost
Summary			<u> </u>
No. of yearlings	No. litters	mean litter size (range)	
40	24	1.7 $(1-3)$ 7 of 24 lost = 29	9%

Table 6. Summary of Nelchina Basin brown bear litter size data for litters of 2-year olds (based on observation of radio-collared bears).

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS
204(1978, 7)	2(1978)	weaned by 6/19/78, bred
283(1980, 12)	2(1980)	weaned in mid-June, bred, new litter next year
312(1980, 10)	1(1980)	weaned right after capture in May, new litter in 1981
312(1983, 13)	1(1983)	weaned by 6/13, bred
313(1980 <b>, 9</b> )	1(1980)	weaned by May, bred, new litter in 1981
313(1984, 13)	1(1984)	weaned in May, bred
220(1978, 5)	1(1979)	weaned by 6/17, bred
221(1978, 8)	2(1979)	
269(1979, 16)	2?(1980)	
299(1980, 13)	2(1981)	weaned in 5/81, new litter in 1982
337 (1983, 15)	1(1983)	weaned by 5/15, bred
384, 1983, 12)	3(1983)	weaned by $6/13$ , one of these 3 may not have been part of this litter, bred
388(1983, 14)	2(1983)	weaned by 6/13, bred
396(1983, 13)	2(1983)	weaned by 6/1, bred

(continued on next page)

TADIE O. ICOME G	Τź	ab	16	≘ 6	. (	con	t	•	d	١
------------------	----	----	----	-----	-----	-----	---	---	---	---

BEAR ID(year-age)	LITTER SIZE (year)	COMMENTS
331(1981, 6)	2(1981)	weaned by 6/15, bred, no cubs in 1982, died in 1982 (reason?)
379(1984, 1)	1(1984)	apparently weaned cub (time?), bred
Summary		
No. of 2-year olds	No. of li	tters Mean litter size(range)
26	16	1.6(1-3)

Table 7. Brown bear offspring survivorship and weaning, GMU 13 studies. (Excludes bears transplanted in 1979).

	MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured)									
year	G207 (11 in 1978)	G220 (5 in 1978)	G221 (8 in 1978)	G204 (7 in 1978)	G321(12 in 1978)					
1978	3 cubs, April-Oct.	l ylg., May-Oct.	2 ylgs., May-Oct.	2 @ 2 in May, weaned in June and bred	bred					
1979	1 ylg., May-Sept. 2 ylgs., lost in 78/79 den?)	1 @ 2, weaned in June	2 @ 2 weaned in May, radio failure	no data	2 of 3 cubs lost in June, 1 survived April-Sept.					
1980	no data	no data	no data	no data	no data					

	MOTHER'S ID (age in year when first captured)										
year	G277(10 in 1980)	G312(10 in 1980)	G299(13 in 1980)	G313(9 in 1980)	G283(13 in 1980)	G281(3 in 1980)					
1980	2 @ 1 survived April thru August, collar shed in den	weaned 1 @ 2 in May breeding not observed	2 of 2 ylgs. survived May-Oct.	weaned 1@2in May, bred	weaned 2 @ 2 in June, bred	not estrus					
1981	no data	<pre>1 of 2 cubs lost in June, other survived May- Oct.</pre>	weaned 2 @ 2 in May and bred	1 @ 0 lost in May (?capture related?)	1 of 2 cubs lost in Aug., other survived	estrus, bred					
1982	no đata	yearling survived	lost 1 of 1 @ 0 in June	2 @ 0 survived	lost 1 @ 1 in May, bred	alone, bred					
1983	no data	weaned 1 @ 2 in June, bred, off- spring=G385, transmitted	3 @ O survived (w/collars)	<pre>1 @ 1 lost in June (trans- mitted inter- nally), sibling survived</pre>	lost 1 @ 0 in May, bred. lost cub had transmitter	2 @ 0 lost in May, bear predation, not seen breeding					
1984 (to Oct.)	no data	w/2 @ O-bear killed in May	<pre>3 @ 1 survived (w/internals)</pre>	1 @ 2 weaned in May, bred	alone, bred	2 @ O lost in May, bred					

(table continued on next page)

ŭ
σ

	·	<u></u>	MOTHER'S ID	age in year when fir	st captured)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
year	G331(6 in 1981)	G334(10 in 1981)	G341(6 in 1981)	G337(13 in 1981)	G344(5 in 1981)	G335(2 in 1981)	G340(3 in 1981)
1981	2 @ 2 weaned in May, bred	weaned 1 @ 2 in May, bred, bear missing since Sept.	alone, bred in May	lost 1 @ 0 in winter den, 2 survived	2 @ 0 survived	weaned from mother	alone
1982	no cubs, bred, died in July (reason?)	no data	had 2 @ 0 thru July, bear missing subsequently	lost 1 @ 1 in June other survived	lost 1 @ 1 in May, lost other in early July	alone, bred	alone
1983		no data	no đata .	weaned 1 @ 2 in May, bred	2 @ 0, lost 1 by late June, other survived	alone, bred	alone, bred
1984 (to Oct)	<u>-</u> -	no data	no data	w/2 @ 0, collared, both survived	1 @ 1 lost in May, bred	w/2 @ 0 thru Oct.	w/2 @ 0, survived to Oct.
			MOTHER'S ID (age i	n year when first ca	ptured)		
year	G380(5 in 1982)	G394(6 in 1983)	G384(12 in 1983)	G379(5 in 1982)	G388(14 in 1983)	G381(3 in 1982)	_
1982	2 @ 1 survived until denning, one may have died in den	no data	no đata	2 @ O survived	no data	alone	
1983	at least 1 @ 2 weaned in May, possibly both. shot in Sept.	<pre>lost 1 @ 0 in May (?capture related possible?), bred</pre>	1 3 @ 2 in June,	1 of 2 survived, lost 2 (June~ Sept.)	weaned 2 @ 2, bred	alone	
1984 (to Oct.	.)	alone, shot	w/2 @ 0 thru Sept., missing	Probably weaned 1 @ 2 after May 23	w/2 @ 0 - cap- ture-related cub loss, bred	alone, bred	
			MOTHER'S ID (	age in year when fir	st captured)		- 
year	G396(13 in 1983	G403 (6 in 1983) G3:	15(5 in 1983) G385(2	in 1983) G407(4 i	n 1983) G420(A in 1	984) G423(A in 1984)	) G425(A in 1984)
1983	weaned 2 @ 2 in		one, bred weamed		no data	no data	no data
1984 to Oct.	May, bred lost litter of 1 @ 0 in May, breeding?		mother one, breeding alone seen	alone	w/2 @ 1 thro	4 @ 0, one lost in July, others survived to Oct	5

Table 8. Summary of known losses from brown bear litters of cubs and yearlings. Losses dated from emergence in year indicated to emergence the following year.

Year of emergence	losses of cubs	losses of yearlings
1978	2 of 3 lost (G2O7)	0 of 3 lost (G221, G220)
1979	2 of 3 lost (231#)	0 of 1 lost (G207##)
1980	no data	0 of 4 lost (G299, G277*)
1981	4** of 10 lost (G312, G313, G283, G337, G344)	no data
1982	1*** of 5 lost (G299, G313, G379)	4 of 8 lost (G312, G283, G337, G344, G380****)
1983	6' of 11 lost (G283, G344, G299, G281, G394, G403)	2 of 4 lost (G379, G313")
	4 of 15 lost (281, 337, 335, 340, 384###, 396, 423)	<pre>1 of 6 lost (299, 344, and 420; 403 not included because of no visuals after April)</pre>
TOTALS:	19 of 47 lost = 40%	7 of 26 lost = 27%
Excluding possible capture-related deaths and incomplete data:	15 of 38 lost = 39%	6 of 21 lost = 29%

- # last observation on 8/3/79
- ## last observation on 9/12/79
- ### last observation on 9/6/84
- \* G277 shed collar in den so family status in spring 1981 was not determined, assumed 2 offspring were alive at emergence in 1981.
- \*\* One lost cub may have been capture-related (from litter of 1 with G313).
- \*\*\* From litter of one with G299 (bears not handled).
- \*\*\*\* G380 had 2 yearlings thru den entrance in 1982, only one was verified with her in spring 1983 but both were counted as surviving.
- ' One lost cub may have been capture-related (from litter of 1 with G394).
- '' One of G313's yearlings died within 1 month of surgery to install internal transmitter (other survived), assumed this death was not surgery-related.

Table 9. Morphometrics of brown bear cubs-of-the-year handled in GMU 13, 1978-1984

CUB	MOTHER'S	DATE	a sa sa	1705 / 11 \	COMMENTES
ID	ID	HANDLED _	SEX	WT(lbs)	COMMENTS
001	G213	22 May 1979	M	10.0	transplanted, see Ballard et al. (1980)
002	G213	22 May 1979	M	10.0	
	G207	27 May 1978	M	12.0	see Spraker, et al. (1981)
	G207	27 May 1978	F	12.0	
G338		6 May 1981	M	12.0	ear tagged
G339		6 May 1981	F	13.0	ear tagged
G336	G313	6 May 1981	F	Calling accom	cub abandoned?, ear tagged
003	G283	14 May 1983	F	<b>39-30</b>	collared
004	G394	15 May 1983	F	10.0	neck=230mm, ear tagged
005	G281	15 May 1983	M	8.5	collared
006	G281	15 May 1983	F	8.3	collared
418 419 417	G299 G299 G299	18 May 1983 (den) 18 May 1983 (den) 18 May 1983 (den)	M		neck=225mm, collared neck=245mm, collared neck=225mm, collared
016 017	G388 G388	16 May 1984 16 May 1984	M F	13.5	collared, 13.5 lbs (5/29/84) collared
021	G281	17 May 1984	M	14.0	collared, neck = 250mm
022	G281	17 May 1984	M	13.5	collared
008	G337	17 May 1984	F	12.3	collared, neck = 220
009	G337	17 May 1984	F	11.5	collared, neck = 230
023	G340	17 May 1984	?	16.5	collared
024	G340	17 May 1984	?	14.0	collared
025	G423 G423	18 May 1984 18 May 1984	M F	7.0 -	collared, smallest of 4 in litter not collared
018	G312	16 May 1984	F	17.0	collared
019	G312	16 May 1984	M	16.0	collared
020	G312	16 May 1984	M	17.0	collared

Totals: 14 males and 11 females

Table 10. Morphometrics of brown bear yearlings handled in GMU 13, 1978-1984

YLG	MOTHER'S	DATE HANDLED	SEX	WT(lbs)	COMMENTS
ID	ID.	CIANULED	JEA	MI(ID2)	COMPLENTS
G232 G235		23 June 1978 23 June 1978	F F	100(est.) 100(est.)	Spraker, et al. (1981)
G238 G239		23 May 1979 23 May 1979	M F	95 65	transplanted, see Ballard et al. 1980
G245	G244	24 May 1979	F	46	transplanted, op cit.
G252 G253		27 May 1979 27 May 1979	M M	134 139	transplanted, op cit.
G256 G257		27 May 1979 27 May 1979	M M	47 47	transplanted, op cit.
G262 G263		2 June 1979 2 June 1979	M M	90 87	transplanted, op cit.
G270 G271	<del>-</del>	6 June 1979 6 June 1979	F F	100 95	transplanted, op cit.
G275	G274	7 June 1979	M	68	transplanted, op cit.
G297 G298		4 May 1980 4 May 1980	M M	65 65	tagged tagged
G382 G383		14 May 1983 14 May 1983	M F	66 53	implant transmitter implant transmitter
G417 G418 G419	G299	15 May 1984 15 May 1984 15 May 1984	M M M	94 86 84	<pre>implant transmitter (small) implant transmitter (large) implant transmitter (small)</pre>
G421	G420	17 May 1984	M	78	sibling not captured, large implant and breakaway.

Totals: 15 males and 7 females

Table 11. Number of Susitna river crossings by radio-marked brown bears, 1980-1984.

	Yr. Initial	Initial No. of River Crossings							
Bear ID	capture (age)	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Comments		
ales									
189	1983(2)	-	<b>*</b>	-	1	<b>*</b>	388's cub, died fall '83		
190	1983 (2)	~	-	-	0	0	388's cub, missing 5/84		
91	1983 (2)	-	-	. ••	1 .	-	384's cub		
192	1983 (2)	•	-	-	0	, ess	384's cub		
93	1983 (2)	-	-	- '	4 /	-	384's cub, missing **		
93	1980(3)	2	0	1	2	<u>-</u>	Wide-ranging		
14	1980(4)	0	-			· -	shed collar in '80		
99	1983 (4)	-	-	-	4	2	active		
80	1980(5)	2	10	3	8	5	active, missing 10/84		
08A	1980(6)	0	-	-	-	-	Missing in '80, shot in '83		
82	1982 (6)	-	-	. 6	4	6	active		
79	1980(9)	0	-	-	3	4	shot (hunter) 9/84		
73	1982 (9)	-		3	0	-	shed collar		
94	1980 (10)	1	0	-	-	- '	recapture mortality		
95	1980(12)	1	-	-	-	606	shed collar in '80		
09	1980(12)	0	0	•	-	-	shed collar in '81		
47	1981 (14)		0	-	~	<b>u</b> n	shed collar in '81		
00	1983 (20)	-	-	_	1	6	active		
42A@	1981 (2)	-	1	0	2	0	capture mortality 7/84		
82	1983(1)	-	_	-	-	6	active		
.22	1984 (A)		<b>-</b>	-		10	active		
otal males		6	11	13	30	39			

or In	Yr. Initial	1980	1981	f River Cros	sings 1983	1984	Comments
ar ID	capture (age)	1980	1901	1962	1963	1904	Connients
males							
5	1980(2)	÷	-		4	2	radio-collared in 1983, active
5	1983 (2)	-	-	-	0	0	337's cub, missing 10/84
5	1983 (2)	-	-	-	0	-	shot (hunter) 5/84
ı	1980(3)	1	6	5	6*2	6*2	cubs killed by other bears (83 & 84)
5	1981(3)	~	0	0	0	0*2	334's cub, active
0	1981(3)	0	6	8	4	2*2	active
ı	1982(3)	-	-	4	1	8	active
5	1983 (3)	-	-	-	1	-	shot (hunter) '83
8 <b>B</b>	1980(5)	5	7	-	-	-	recapture mortality
4	1981 (5)	-	0*2	0 <sub>y2</sub>	0*2	o <sub>y1</sub> '	active, missing 9/84
1	1981 (6)	*	· 4 <sub>+2</sub>	3	uie.	-	died July 1982
l	1981 (6)	-	9	0*2	-	-	missing 1982 **
4	1983 (6)	-	-	-	10	3	lost cub as capture mortality?, shot (hunter) 9/84
3	1980(9)	0	0	0*2	<sup>2</sup> y1	0	active, missing 10/84
7	1980 (10)	0 <sub>y2</sub>	_	-	~	-	collar shed in 1980
2	1980(10)	0	0*2	0 <sub>y1</sub>	0+1		capture mortality
4	1981 (10)	-	0 <sub>+1</sub>	-	-	=	missing 1982 **
3	1980(12)	0+2	0*2	4	2	2	1983 cub killed by another bear
4	1983 (12)	-	<b>-</b>		0*2-3	0*2	active, missing 9/84
9	1980 (13)	<sup>2</sup> y 2	2	2	0*3	<sup>6</sup> у3	active
7	1981 (13)	-	0*3	0 <sub>y 2</sub>	0	0*2	active
6	1983 (13)	-	_	-	0*1	0	

	Yr. Initial			f River Cros				
Bear ID '	capture (age)	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984		Comments
888	1983 (14)	-	-	-	0+2	0*2		active
180	1982 (15)	-	-	0 <sub>y2</sub>	0	<b>-</b>		shot
107 @	1983 (4)	-	-	-	0	0		active
379 @	1982 (5)	-	-	1*2	<sup>5</sup> y 1	4+1		active
103 @	1983 (6)	<del>-</del>	-	-	1*2	6 <sub>y1</sub>		active
120	1984 (19)	-	-	-		6 <sub>y2</sub>		active
123	1984(A)	-	-	-		2*4		active
125	1984(A)					. 0	N.	active
Cotal females		8	34	27	36	47	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
otal both se	xes		14	45	40	66	86	

· @ = Downstream bears

Reprod. status as of 31 May:

\* = cub

y = yrlg

+ = 2 yr old

<sup>\*\*</sup> possible unreported hunter kill, collar failure, or emigration.

Table 12. Number of observations of radio-marked brown bears (older than 2.0 years) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

TIM	E PERIOD	ZONE 1 (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 mile	s) TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	6	1	8	9	24
2.	May 1-15	12	8	19	69	108
3.	May 16-31	31	27	65	108	231
4.	June 1-15	70	67	. 154	89	380
<b>5.</b>	June 16-30	45	35	104	69	253
6.	July 1-15	6	8	39	37	90
7.	July 16-31	4	14	61	42	121
8.	August 1-15	4	11	41	44	100
9.	August 16-					·
	March 31			97	168	313
	TOTALS	204	193	588	635	1620
Are	a within zone	-		•		
	(km²)	159.32	327.07	1233.51	-	1719.00
	%	9.26	19.02	71.72	<b>***</b>	100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

Períod	ZO obs.	NE 1 E(x)	Z0 .obs	ONE 2 . E(x)	Z( obs	ONE 3 . E(x)	X²	d.f.
All months	204	91.2	193	187.4	588	706.4	160**	2
April 1-June 30	164	60.4	138	124.0	350	467.6	209**	2
July 1-March 31	40	30.8	55	63.3	238	238.8	3.9	2

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 13. Number of observations of radio-marked male brown bears (older than 2.0 years) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

TIM	E PERIOD	ZONE 1 (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 miles)	TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	4	0	3	3	10
2.	May 1-15	6	3	7	15	31
3.	May 16-31	9	13	23	24	69
4.	June 1-15	15	27	55	30	127
5.	June 16030	16	12	25	21	74
6.	July 1-15	2	3	9	10	24
7.	July 16-31	3	3	16	10	32
8.	August 1-15	1	2	8	11	22
9.	August 16-		_			
	March 31		6	20	60	94
	TOTALS	64	69	166	184	483
Are	a within zone	2				
	(km <sup>2</sup> )	159.32	327.07	1233.51	1	719.00
	%	9.26	19.02	71.72		100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

	zo	NE 1	zo	NE 2	ZONE 3		
Period	obs.	E(x)	obs.	E(x)	obs. E(x)	X <sup>2</sup>	d.f.
All months	64	27.7	69	56.9	166 214.4	61.1**	2
April 1-June 30	50	20.2	55	41.5	113 156.4	60.4**	2
July 1-March 31	14	7.5	14	15.4	53 58.1	6.2**	2

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 14. Number of observations of radio-marked female brown bears (older than 2.0 years) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

TIM	E PERIOD	ZONE 1 (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 miles)	TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	2	1	5	6	14
2.	May 1-15	6 .	5	13	42	66
3.	May 16-31	22	14	26	67	129
4.	June 1-15	53	27	81	47	208
5.	June 16-30	24	24	62	36	146
6.	July 1-15	4	4	23	20	51
7.	July 16-31	1	9	37	22	69
8.	August 1-15	3	7	25	26	61
9.	August 16- March 31	21	14	55	86	176
	TOTALS	136	105	327	352	920
Are	a within zone (km²)		327.07	1233.51	<b></b> 1	719.00
	%	9.26	19.02	71.72	und inside	100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

Period	ZON obs.	<u>E(x)</u>	Zobs	ONE 2 . E(x)	Z(obs	ONE 3 . E(x)	X2	d.f.
All months	136	52.6	105	108.0	327	407.4	148**	2
April 1-June 30	107	33.8	71	69.4	187	261.8	180**	2
July 1-March 31	29	18.8·	34	38.6	140	145.6	6.3**	2

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 15. Number of observations of radio-marked female brown bears with coy (on 15 June) within nestled proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activies are not included).

TIM	E PERIOD	ZONE 1 (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 miles)	TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	0	0	0	1	1
2.	May 1-15	0	0	1	12	13
3.	May 16-31	0	. 0	16	17	33
4.	June 1-15	2	13	18	13	46
5.	June 16-30	5	. 9	17	12	43
6.	July 1-15	0	. 1	7	7	15
7.	July 16-31	0	2	8	11	21
8.	August 1-15	0	2	8	7	17
9.	August 16- March 31	_1	2	22	26	51
	TOTALS	8	29	97	106	240
Are	a within zone (km²)		327:07	1233.51		1719.00
	%	9.26	19.02	71.72	citio entre	100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

Period	ZO obs.	NE 1 E(x)	ZO	NE 2 E(x)	ZO obs.	NE 3 E(x)	X2	d.f.
All months	8	12.5	29	25.5	97	96.0	2.1	2
April 1-June 30	7	7.5	22	15.4	52	58.1	3.5	2
July 1-March 31	1	4.9	7	10.1	45	38.0	3.0	2

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 16. Chi square test of null hypothesis that the proportion of observations in impoundment proximity zones is the same, for a group of radio-marked female brown bears, during years when they have cubs-of-the-year ("coy") as during years when they do not. (Includes both impoundments, lumps years 1980-1984, cub status is of 15 June, and observation associated with den-related activities are not included).

	Females withou	t coy	Females with	coy
	No. of observations	%	No. of observations	Expected number of observations*
Proximity Zone 1 (inundation area)	59	18.7	8	30.1
Proximity Zone 2 (impoundment shore-line - 1 mile)	58	18.4	32	29.4
Proximity Aone 3 (1-5 miles from impoundment shore-line)	198	62.9	120	100.6
Totals:	315	100%	160	160.1

Chi Square, 2 d.f =20.2\*

#### BEARS INCLUDED:

Bear ID	years without coy	years with coy
283	80, 82, 83, 84	81
299	80, 81, 82, 84	83
312	80, 82, 83	81, 84
313	80, 81, 83, 84	82
335	81, 82, 83	. 84
337	82, 83	81, 84
340	81, 82, 83	84
341	81	82
344	82	81, 83
384	83	84

<sup>\*</sup> significant, P less than 0.01

Table 17. Number of observed and expected observations of radio-marked brown bears (excluding females with coy and bears less than 2.0 years old) within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Devils Canyon impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

TIME PERIOD	ZONE 1 (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 miles)	TOTAL
All males	4	17	38	107	166
All females	10	76	165	174	425
All females wit	thout 10	76	161	158	405
TOTAL	.S				
Area within zon (km²)		164.78	689.01	; ;	882.71
<b>%</b>	3.28	18.67	78.06		100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

Sex group	obs.	NE 1 E(x)	ZO obs.	NE 2 E(x)	Z( obs	ONE 3 E(x)	X <sup>2</sup>	d.f.
Males and females w/o cubs (whole year)		10.0	93	57.1	199	238.9	30.8**	2
Males (whole year)	4	1.9	17	11.0	38	46.1	3.0	2
Females w/o cubs	10	8.1	76	46.1	161	192.8	25.1**	2

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

	Den	Bear		Elevation	ı Slope	Aspect			Width	Ln.	HAMBER Width		Total Length		
OUG DENS	No.	ID No.	Exit	(Feet)	(Degrees)	(True N.)	Vegetation	(cm.)	(cm.)	(cm.)	(cm.)	(cm.)	(cm.)	(Yes/No	) Comments
FEMALES With offspri	na (0 e	vit)													
w/2 @0	14	G283(sp.)	13	3900	28	192	Tussock grass	-	83	-	138	-	196	No	Spring den/collapsed
w/2 @O	16	G283 (wt.)	13	3725	26	210	Willows	76	64	239	203	92	291	No	Winter den
w/1 @0	22	G313	10	5150	35	166	Tussock/rock slide	e -	-	-	104	-	410	No	Collapsed
w/3 @0	24	G337	13	4825	31	252	Tussock/lg. rocks	5 <b>7</b>	69	-	152	90	219	No	
w/2 @0	30	G344	5	4760	. <del>-</del>	153		-	-	-	-	-	-	_	Collapsed/not visited
w/2 @0	31	G312	11	4900	-	145	Tundra/rock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Collapsed/not visited
w/2 @1*	25	G277	11	4925	45	93	Moss/rock slide	-	-	-	165	-	207	No	Collapsed
w/2 @2	28	G299	14	4660	25	138	Tundra/rock	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
w/2 @O	42	G331	7	3950	30	213	Willow, Grass	67	52	117	127	84**	290	No	Collapsed
w/2 @0	44	G313	11	4575	34	182	Grass	102**	-	-	-	-	230	No	Collapsed
w/1 @1	47	G312	12	4925	27	201		_	-	_	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
w/2 @1	52	G344	. 6	4250	26	202	Grass	49	65	-	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
w/2 @0	54	G341	7	4575	45**	118**		-	-	-	-	-	-	- '	Collapsed/not visited
w/1 @0	59	G299	15	3525	31	156	Willow, Alder	58	69	151	136	101	350	No	
w/2 @1	37***	?	?	2075	36	346	Alder	53**	79	-	-	-	-	No	Partially collapsed
w/3 @0	76	G299	16	4150	17	189	Tundra	64	76	-	-	-	-	No	Spring den, collapsed
w/3 @0	78	G299	16	3975	27	220	Tundra	-	66	-	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
w/2 @1	87 <sup>***</sup>	G379	6	1375	28	218	Alder	-	-	102	221	86	345	No	Collapsed
w/2 @1	89***	G379	6	1050	42	40	Alder, Ferns	-	76 <b>*</b> *	<b>'</b> –	-	-	-	No	Spring den, collapsed
w/2 @1	102	G313	12	4750**	35**	23**	Tundra	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
w/l @O	103	G283	15	3725	39	176	Tundra, Willows	61	69	103	101	-	177	No	
w/2 @0	104	G281	6	4575	33	198	Tundra	58	56	136	88	-	136	No	Collapsed
w/1 @2	105	G <b>33</b> 7	15	5150 <b>**</b>	45**	336 <b>**</b>	Tundra	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
w/1 @2	107	G3 <b>3</b> 7	15	4900**	35 <b>**</b>	34**	Tundra	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	Spring den, collapsed

ō

	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	Elevation (Feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect	Vegetation	Ht.	RANCE Width (cm.)		Width (Cm.)	Ht.	Total Length (cm.)	Previous Used? (Yes/No	
/1 @2	108	G312	13	4540**	40**	51**	Tundra, Grass	-	_	+	-	+	-	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	109	G344	7	4750**	50**	101**	Tundra		-	••	-	-		-	Collapsed
/2 @0	112	G384	. 13	4125	11	69	Tundra	72	78	212	135		275	No	Partially collared
/1 @1	117	G344	. 8	4525	30**	98	Tundra	_	-	-	-	_	- '.	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	118	G335	6	3500	30**	303	Alder/shrub	, <b>.</b>	-		· <u>-</u>	· -	-	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	· 119	G388	15	3 700	33	73	Tundra		-	-	-	-		-	Collapsed
/2 @0	120	G340	6	4450	30	283	Tundra/rocks	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	121	G340	6	3 2 7 5	34	249	Tundra	62	96	96	109	113	163	Yes	Spring den
/3 @1	124	G299	17	3725	34	274	Grass/willow	-	-	_	-	-		-	Collapsed
/1 @0	125	G396	14	4550	25	238	Tundra/grass/rock		-	-	-	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	Collapsed
/1 @2	133	G313	13	4150	35	238	Tundra	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	134	G281	7	4550	20	202	Tundra	-	-	· -	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
/2 @0	135	G337	. 16	5000	40	193	Tundra/rock	-	-	-	-	-	•		Collapsed
/2 @2	153***	G379	7	2250	26	103	Alder/grass	-	-	-	-	-	-	<del>un</del>	Collapsed
/o	· 23	G281	4	4700	39	142	Tussock/rock slide	-	61	-	**	-	-	No	Collapsed
/o	5 ·	G308b	6	2330	26	358	Alder	69	82	112	112	110	230	No	
/o	46	G340	4	5150	-	-		-	-	**	-	-	-	-	Not visited
/o	56	G335	3	3525	32	261	Willow, Alder	47	39	-	· <b>-</b>	-	224	No	Partially collapse
/o	79	G335	4	4350	60 <b>**</b>	354**		-	**	-	<u>.</u> .	-	-	No	Collapsed
/o	106	G340	5 .	4950**	<b>4</b> 5**	306 <sup>**</sup>	Tundra	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	Collapsed
/o	111	G381	4	4500**	30**	62 <sup>**</sup>	Tundra	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>6-8</b>	Collapsed
/o	122	G381	5	4300	28	205	Tundra	_	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	Collapsed
/o	131	G283	16	3450	32	75	Tundra/alder	-	-	~	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
LES	1	G280	6	3950	32	158	Tundra/grass/rock	48	86	-	231	-	269	No	Collapsed
•	15	G284?	3	3990	23	216	Tundra/grass	56	83	135	154	77	239	No	ID uncertain

(continued on next page)

Table 18. (continued)

									RANCE		CHAMBER				
	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	Elevation (Feet) (	Slope Degrees)	Aspect (True N.)	Vegetation	Ht. (cm.)	Width (cm.)	Ln. (cm.)	Width (cm.)	Ht. (cm.)	Length (cm.)	Used? (Yes/No	
	29	G294	11	2650	30	146	Alder/grass	52	80	· -	157	89	188	No	Partially collapsed
	36***	G342A	3	2375	31	288	Alder	38	71	81	86	94	124	No	Partially collapsed
	60	G280	7	4125	26	210	Grass, Willow	-	-	-	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
	94***	G342	6	2525	26	299	Alder	66**	74		84	81	147	No	Collapsed
	86	G282	7	3200	33	46	Alder, Willow	• -	-			***	- '	No	Collapsed
	110	G280	8	3950**	26	54	Grass, Willow			-	-	-	<b>-</b> .	-	Collapsed
	123	G280	9	2950	40	278	Willow/tundra	•	-		<del>-</del>	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	Collapsed
	132	G279	13	3625	40	258	Willow/tundra	-	-	. <b>-</b>	-	-	-	-	Collapsed
DUG DENS															
UNKNOWN SEX/II	17	-	-	3925	33	192	Willow	61	62	154	162	122	220	No	
	26	-	-	4090	29	162	Willow/grass	73	65	-	-		171	No	Partially collapsed
	27	- '	~	4125	26	140	Willow/grass		58		-	68	-	No	Partially collapsed
	53	-	_	4350	31	195	Grass	-	_	_	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
	77	-	-	4050	29	169	Tundra	-	61	-	-	-	-	No	Collapsed
NATURAL CAVITY FEMALES	***														
w/1 @2	101	G380	16	3900	31	60	Tundra	54	112	132	143	109	290	-	Slightly excavated
UNKNOWN CAVITY FEMALES	TYPE														
w/4 @O	149	G423		3500**			Tundra	-	-	-		-		-	Not located
w/l @l	155***	G403	7	2450		343		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not located
w/o	137	<b>G38</b> 5	3				<b>~</b> -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not located
w/o	139	G315	6					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not located
w/o	148	G394	7	3000**	<b></b>	208**		-	-	-	-	-	***	-	Not located
w/o	150	G <b>407</b>	6					;-	-	-	<del>-</del>	-	-	-	Not located
w/l yrl	41	G283	14	4000	26	161		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not visited
w/2 @2	48	G337	14	5050	45**	253**	<b></b>	· -	Ĺ		· -	- ·	-	· -	Not located
	45	G281	5	4575**	25	176	Grass	-		-	-	-	_	<b>-</b> .	Not located

Table 18. (continued)

	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	Elevation (Feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect (True N.)	Vegetat	ion	Ht.	RANCE Width (cm.)	Ln.			Total Length (cm.)	Previous Used? (Yes/No)	•	
MALES	136 151	G399 G342	10 7	 					-	-	-	-	<b>-</b>	-		Not located	
* Entered d ** Approxima *** Downstrea	te value	yearlings	s, shed co	ollar in de	n so exit	not observed	•		45 a . 76,	re 1981 78, 87,	1/1982 89,	101, 10	02, 10	2, 103,	105, 103	53, 41, 48,	79,
	16, 22, 24 re 1980/19		25, 28,	23, 5, 1,	15, 29, 17	, 26		Dens No.	112, 122,	117, 1	18, 1 123, 1	19, 120	, 121	e 1982/ , 124, , 137,	125, 133,	, 134, 135, 1 , 150, 136, 1	153, 151

Table 19. Brown bear dem entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1983/84.

		19	83 Entrance		198	4 Emergenc	e	D	ays in De	n
Bear ID	<u>Sex</u>	earliest	latest	Mid.	earliest	latest	Mid.	Min.	Max.	Mid.
G2 <b>79</b>	M	26 Sep	24 Oct	10 Oct	3 Apr	18 Apr	11 Apr	162	205	184
G <b>280</b>	M	5 Oct	25 Oct	15 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	176	208	192
G281	F	26 Sep	24 Oct.	10 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	227	208
G282	• M	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	3 Apr	7 Apr	5 Apr	162	215	189
G283	. <b>F</b>	26 Sep	5 Oct.	1 Oct	18 Apr	10 May	29 Apr	196	227	212
G293	M	27 Sep*				-;- <sup>-</sup>				
G299	F	27 Sep*	24 Oct*	11 Oct*	8 Apr	18 Apr	13 Apr	167	204	186
G <b>31</b> 3	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	204
G315	F	26 Sep	24 Oct	10 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	177	217	197
G335	F	15 Sep	26 Sep	6 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	217	238	228
G337	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	204
G340	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	10 May	17 May	14 May	199	225	212
G342	М	26 Sep*	14 Nov*	21 Oct*	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	168	227	197
G344	F	27 Sep*	14 Nov*	25 Oct*	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	168 .	226	196
G <b>379</b>	F	24 Oct	14 Nov	25 Oct	3 Apr	18 Apr	11 Apr	141	177	159
G381	F	25 Oct*			18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr		188	
G <b>384</b>	F	5 Oct	25 Oct	15 Oct	10 May	28 May	19 May	198	236	217
G385	F	26 Sep*	24 Oct*	10 Oct*	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	227	208
G386	М	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct				==		
G <b>38</b> 8	F	26 Sep*	15 Nov*	21 Oct*	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	167	227	197
G <b>39</b> 0	M	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	3 May	1 May	189	211	200
G <b>391</b>	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct				~-		
G <b>3</b> 93	F	27 Sep*			· *****				-	
G394	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	204
G396	F	27 Sep*	25 Oct*	11 Oct*	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	176	216	196
G399	M	5 0ct	25 Oct	15 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	176	208	196
G400	M	, 27 Sep*	24 Oct	11 Oct*	18 Apr	10 May	24 Apr	177	226	202
G <b>4</b> 03	F	24 Oct	14 Nov	4 Nov	3 Apr	18 Apr	11 Apr	141	177	159
G <b>4</b> 07	F				18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr			
G423	F	~ ~	<del></del>		16 May	17 May	17 May			
	Mean	3 0ct	23 Oct	15 Oct	23 Apr		29 Apr	178	215	198
	"S"	7.8	10.9	7.1	12.0	11.2	11.4	18.0	16.2	15.
•	n	18	18	18	26	26	26	23	24	23

Table 20. Brown bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1984/85.

•		1	84 Entrance		198	5 Emergenc	e	D	ays in De	n
Bear ID	Sex	earliest	latest	Mid.	earliest	latest	Mid.	Min.	Max.	Mid
G280	M	11 Oct		(missing)	•					
G281	<b>F</b>	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G282	M	7 Nov	?	(unconfirmed)						
G283	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct	•					
G299	<b>F</b> .	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct	•					
G313	F	1 0ct		(missing)						
G315	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct					•	
G335	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct .						
G337	<b>F</b>	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G340	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct			•	•		
G344	F			(missing)						
G <b>37</b> 9	F	1 0ct	11 Oct	6 Oct		•				
G381	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G384	F	-		(missing)						
G385	F	11 Oct		(missing)						
G388	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G396	F	21 Sep	11 Oct	1 Oct (shed?)						
G399	М	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G400	M	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G403	F	7 Nov	13 Nov	10 Nov						
G382	M	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G407	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G420	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G422	М	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G423	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
G425	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
	Mean	11 Oct	23 Oct	17 Oct						
	"S"	9.7	6.8	7.6					•	
	n	24	20	20						

Table 21. Distances between den sites (miles) used in different years by radio-collared brown bears. Based on principle winter den, early spring dens not considered.

Bear ID	Age	80/81 to 81/82	80/81 to 82/83	80/81 to 83/84	81/82 to 82/83	81/82 to 83/84	82/83 to 83/84	80/81 to 84/85	81/82 to 84/85	82/83 to 84/85	83/84 to 84/85	<del>x</del>	s
FEMAL	ES					<del></del>							
G283	13 in'81	3.2	2.4	1.6	5.3	4.9	1.7	3.4	3.5	5.8	4.4	3.6	1.5
G313	10 in'81	4.1	4.4	3.4	6.7	1.0	5.7	· ;	: <b>-</b>	<b>-</b> .		4.2	2.0
G337	13 in 81	3.3	2.4	1.9	3.7	3.1	0.6	4.2	1.0	4.7	4.1	2.9	1.4
G344	5 in'81	3.1	1.5	3.8	1.6	1.2	2.5	<b>-</b> .	· <u>-</u>	~	-	2.3	1.0
G299	14 in 81	8.9	6.7	7.1	3.5	3.5	0.5	11.3	2.7	6.2	6.1	5.7	3.2
G281	4 in 81	1.9	1.7	1.7	0.2	0.2	0.1	2.7	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.3	0.9
G335	4 in 182	<del>-</del> '	· <del></del>	_	2.4	2.0	0.9	_	1.4	1.5	1,9	1.7	0.5
G340	4 in 182	-	-	-	0.3	17.7	17.6		18.1	18.0	0.6	12.0	9.0
G312	11 in'81	2.1	0.6	. <del>-</del>	1.6	-	-	· <b>-</b>	_	-	-	1.4	0.8
G379	6 in'83	_		. —	-	-	5.3		-	5.3	0.5	3.7	2.8
G315	2 in 80							<del>-</del>	-	-	0.8	-	-
G381	3 in'82							galage.	-	2.8	2.5	2.7	-
G388	14 in'83							-	-	. ***	0.8	-	-
G396	9 in'83							***	-	-	9.0	-	-
G403	4 in 83							-	_	_	2.2	-	-
G407	4 in'83							-	-	-	5.1	-	_
(FEMA	LES) $\bar{x} = s = s$	3.9 2.3	2.8	3.3	2.7	4.2 5.7	3.9 5.5	5.4 4.0	4.7 6.6	5.7 5.3	3.0 2.6	$\bar{x}$ (n=7) Range = 0	7)= 3.8 s = 4.0 .1-18.1

(table continued on next page)

Table 21 (cont'd)

Bear ID	Age	80/81 to 81/82	80/81 to 82/83	80/81 to 83/84	81/82 to 82/83	81/82 to 83/84	82/83 to 83/84	80/81 to 84/85	81/82 to 84/85	82/83 to 84/85	83/84 to 84/85	x	5
MALES		,											
G280	6 in'81	8.1	6.3	6.0	2.0	2.5	0.5	-	esants .	-	-		-
G342	3 in'82	-	-	-	1.3	7.1	7.4	-	<b>-</b>	_	-	_	-
G282	7 in'83	-	-	-		-	4.5	-	. <del></del>	4.6	1.2	4.6	-
G399	20 in'83	<b>-</b> ,	<b>-</b>	<u> </u>						_	1.5	-	_
G400	6 in'8 <u>3</u>			-						_	1.2		
(MALES	S)	4.3	3.3	3.6	2.6	4.3	3,9	· , <del>-</del>	_	4.6	1.3	<b>x</b> (r	=14)=3.9
	g =	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.0	5.1	5.1		. <del>-</del>	_	0.8		s = 2.7
												Range	=0.5-8.1
Both S	Sexes $\bar{x}$ =	4.3	3.3	3.6	2.6	4.3	3.9	5.4	4.7	5.6	2.7	<u>x</u> (1	I=91)=3.8
	s ≈	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.0	5.1	5.1	4.0	6.6	5.0	2,4		s = 3.8
												Range	=0.1-18.1

Table 22. Status of brown bears first marked in 1978. (A=alive, T=transplanted in 1979, NR=no return, R=returned, ND=no data available, F=shot in fall season, Sp=shot in spring season).

Bear#	Sex/age	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Upper	Susitna Expt. Area								
209	M/5 in 178	A	T,NR	A	Shot-F	_	_	-	-
212	F/10 in '78	A A	A	Ä	A A	Shot-F	-	-	_
217	M/3 in '78	Ä	A	Shot-F	-	-	-	-	_
219	F/4 in '78	Ä	A	A	A	Shot-F	-	-	_
218	M/4 in '78	Ä	T,R	Shot-F	-	-	-	-	-
214	M/4 in '78	Ä	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
230	M/9 in '78	A	T,Shot-Sp		-	-	-	-	-
211	M/4 in '78	A	T,NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
216	M/11 in 78	A	T,NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
210/2		Ä	T,ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
215	F/2 in '78	A	T,NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
213	F/10 in 78	Ä	T*	-	-	-	-	-	-
		•	-						ļ
Not U	Ipper Susitna Expt. A	Area	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•	•				
205	M/4 in '78	A	A	A	A	A	Shot-Sp	_	-
206	F/13 in '78	A	A	A	Shot-F	-	-	-	_
201	M/10 in '78	- A	Ä	Ä	A	A	Shot-Sp	_	_
202	F/8 in '78	Sbot-F		-	41. 	-	-		-
221	F/8 in '78	A	A	A	A	Shot-Sp	_	-	-
228	M/7 in '78	Ä	Ä	A	Ä	A	Shot-Sp	-	_
227	M/9 in '78	Ä	A	Ä	A	Ä	A	Shot-F	-
224	M/2 in '78	Ä	A	Ä	Ä	A	Ä	Shot-Sp	
207	F/11 in '78	Ä	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND ND	ND
208	F/12 in '78	Ä	Ä	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
220	F/5 in '78	Ä	A	ND	ND	ИD	ND	ND	ND
222	M/11 in '78	À	ND	ND	ND .	ND	ND	ND	ND
234	F/5 in '78	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	NTD	ND	MD
200	M/7 in '78	Ä	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
204	F/7 in '78	A	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
225	M/4 in '78	Ä·	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
231	F/12 in '78	A	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
	No. Bears	<del></del>	<del></del>	***************************************					
poten	itially alive in								ļ
	includes ND (M:F)	29(16:13)	27*(16:11)	26 (15:11)	24(13:11)	22(12:10)	19(11:8)	16(8:8)	14(6:8)
<del></del>			epidential in the control of the con					37,172,74	
	marked bears known								
shot	in year (M:F)	1(0:1)	1(1:0)	2 (2:0)	2(1:1)	3(1:2)	3 (3:0)	2(2:0)	ND_
% of	potentially alive								
	known shot in year	3%	4%	8%	8%	14%	16%	13%	ND
	lative % (min.) of								
	ed bears shot (N=28)	3%	7%	14%	21%	32%	43%	50%	ND

Not Included:

the contract and the contract of the contract of

Subadults @2 in 1978, = 203, 223 (all ND)
Subadults @1 in 1978 = 232 (ND)
\* suspected mortality of 213 in 1979, not included as alive in 1979 or subsequently

Table 23. Status of brown bears first captured in 1979 (all were transplanted from upper Susitna drainage).

(A-alive, NR=no return, R=returned, ND=no data available, F=shot in fall season, SP=shot in spring season). Does not include transplanted bears first captured in 1978 (see Table 13). ND in year of capture indicated bear was not collared or soon shed its collar and no subsequent data were collected.

Bear ID	Sex/age	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
246	M/3 in '79	Shot-F	-	_	_		_	_
247	M/8 in '79	A	A	A	A	Shot-F	-	_
243	M/2 in /79	Ä	Ä	Shot-F	-	-	-	_
265	M/4 in '79	A	Shot-Sp	_	_	_	-	`_
268	M/4 in 179	A	Shot-Sp	-	-	_	-	_
269	F/18 in '79	A .	A·	Shot-F	<b>.</b>	-	-	_
270	F/1 in '79	A	Shot-F	-	-	_	_	_
272	M/9 in '79	A	A	A	Shot-F	_	==	-
260	M/4 in '79	A	A	A	A	Shot-F	-	_
240	F/5 in '79	A,R	A	A	A	A	Shot-Sp	-
241	M/3 in '79	A,ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
249	M/5 in '79	A ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
258	M/21 in 179	A,ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
2 <del>64</del>	F/4 in '79	A ND	ND	ND	ND ·	ND	ND	
267	F/4 in '79	A,ND	ND	ND	ND.	ND	ND	
2 <b>74</b>	F/11 in '79	A,ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ND	
276	M/4 in 179	A,ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID	
236	F/5 in '79	A,R	ND.	ND	ND	ND	ND	
237	M/10 in '79	A,R	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
244	F/6 in '79	A,R	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	
251	F/10 in '79	A, R	A	NID	ND	ND	ND	
273	F/3 in 179	A,R	A	A	·A	A	A	A
248	F/4 in '79	A,NR	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
261	F/7 in '79	A,NR	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	
Max. No. potentia in year	Bears ally alive includes ND (M:F)	24 (12:12)	23(11:12)	20(9:11)	18(8:10)	17(7:10)	14(4:10)	13(4:9)
No mark	ked bears							
	not in year (M:F)	1(1:0)	3 (2:1)	2(1:1)	1(1:0)	2 (2:0)	1(0:1)	ND
Known %	of potentially al	ive						
bears sh	not in year	4%	13%	10%	6%	12%	7%	ND
	ive % (min.) of mears shot (N=24)	4%	17%	25%	29%	38%	42%	ND

Not Included: Subadults @2 in 1979 = 259

Subadults @1 in 1979 = 275, 262 or 263, 256, 257, 252, 253, 245, 271, 239, 238.

Table 24A. Status of Brown Bears first marked during Su-Hydro Studies, 1980-1983. (A=alive, ND=no data available, F=shot in fall season, SP=shot in spring season). ND in year of capture indicates bear was not collared or soon shed its collar and no subsequent data were collected.

Bear ID	Sex/age	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	198
1980 capt	· nrec		• .				
1500 0050							
277	F/10 in '80	A	ND	ND	ND	ND	
279	M/9 in '80	A·	<b>A</b>	A	A	Shot-F	-
280	M/5 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	A
281	F/3 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
282 .	M/4 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
283	F/12 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
284	M/2 in '80	<b>A</b> .	Shot-F	-	-	•	-
286	M/3 in '80	A	A	A	A	Shot-F	-
292	F/3 in '80	NID:	ND	ND	ND	ND	
293	M/3 in '80	A	A	A	A	MD	
294	M/10 in '80	A.	Died in Aug.	•		•	-
295	M/12 in '80	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
299	F/13 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
297	M/1 in '80	A	Shot-F	•	•	<del>-</del>	<b>6</b> 9 .
306	F/3 in '80	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
308a	M/6 in '80	A	A	A	Shot-F	=0	
308b	F/5 in '80	A	Died in Aug.	•	-	•	•
309	M/12 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	A
311	M/2 in '80	Shot-F		-	-	-	-
312	F/10 in '80	A	A	A	A	Died-NS	
313	F/9 in '80	A	A	A	A	A A	•
314	F/2 in '80	A	A	A .	A	A	A
315	F/2 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	A.
, LJ	1/2 th 00				A	Α	
1981 capt	ures		••				
331	F/6 in '81	_	Ä	Died in Aug.	-	<del></del>	€
332	M/2 in '81	-	A	Shot-F	-	-	_
333	M/2 in '81	-	Shot-P	•	_	-	_
334	F/10 in '81	. <b>-</b>	lost in Septshot?	-	-	-	-
335	F/2 in '81	-	A	A	Α .	A	A
337	F/13 in '81	-	A .	A	A	A	A
339	M/O in '81	cub	ylg	A	A	A	A
340	F/3 in '81	-	A	A	A	A	A
341	F/6 in '81	•	A	A	A	A	A
342a	M/2 in '81	-	A	A	A	Died-NS	•
344	F/5 in '81		A	A	A	Lost in	
						Sept., shot?	
347	M/14 in '81	_	A	A	A	A	A

Table 24A. (cont.)

Bear ID	Sex/age	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
1982 captu	res						
						-	
373	M/9 in '82	-	-	A	*		
379**	F/5 in '82	•		A	A	A	
380	F/15 in '82	-	-	A	Shot-F	-	•
381	F/3 in '82	-	-	A	A	A	
1983 captu	res	•					
385	F/2 in '83	er en	. '	-	A	A	A
386	M/2 in '83	-	-	•	A	Shot-Sp	<u></u>
388	F/14 in '83	-	-		A	A	_
389	M/2 in '83	mar j	-	•••	A, Died Oct.	-	-
390	M/2 in '83	<u>-</u>	-	•	A	ND	
384	F/12 in '83	-	-	-	A	Lost in	
<b>4</b> 5.	.,				-	Sept.,	_
391	M/2 in '83	-	-	-	A	Shot-F	-
392	M/2 in 183	-	-	-	A	Shot-Sp	-
393	F/2 in '83	-	•	-	A	NTD	
394	F/6 in '83	-	-	-	A	Shot-F	-
395	F/3 in '83	-	-	-	Shot-F	-	
396	F/13 in '83	-	-	-	A	A	A
397	F/2 in '83	-	-	-	A	A	A
398	F/2 in '83	-	-	-	A	A	A
399	M/9 in '83	-	•	-	A	A	A
400	M/20 in '83	-	-	•	A	A	
403**	F/6 in '83	-	-	-	A	A	
407**	F/4 in '83	ino	-	-	A	A	A
1984 captı	ires						
420	F/A in '84	<b></b>	-		-	A	
422	M/A in '84	-	-	-	-	A	
423	F/A in '84	•	-	-	-	A	
425	F/A in '84	-	eq.	-	-	A	
382	F/2 in '84	-	-	-	-	A	

Table 24A. (cont.)

Bear ID Sex/age	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
A. Max. No. marked bears potentially alive in year, includes ND. Excludes						
tagging and natural						
mortalities (M:F)	23 (13:11)	31(14:17)	30(12:18)	44(18:26)	45(16:29)	37(12:25)
B. No. KNOWN shot						
in year (M:F)	1(1:0)	3 (3:0)	1(1:0)	3(1:2)	6 (5:1)	ND
Min. % known shot (B/A)	4%	10%	3%	7%	13	ND
C. No. known shot plus suspected (unreported) shot in year (M:F)	1(1:0)	4(3:1)	1(1:0)	3(1:2)	8 (5:3)	ND
Probable min. % shot (C/A)	4%	13%	3%	7%	18	ND
D. No. bears known alive (excludes ND, died,		:				
lost, cubs or ylgs)	20		27	40	36	ND
Probable % shot (C/D)	5%	15%	4%	8%	22%	ND
Cumulative % shot (based on bear-years available,		;				
from row A).	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	ND

Not Included:

Subadults @2=1980: 285,

1983: 397 & 398 both recaptured in 1985

Subadults @1=1980: 298;

1983: 382;

1984: 421, 417, 418, 419

<sup>\*</sup> Shed collar, had no eartags or tattoo so was not recognizable as a marked bear subsequently

<sup>\*\*</sup> Downstream study area

Table 24B. Summary of Tables 22-24, hunter killed brown bear marked in GMU 13.

	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Maximum No. of marked								
· ————————————————————————————————————								
bears potentially								
alive in year (include								
N.D.) (M:F)	28(15:13)	51(28:33)	72 (39:34)	75 (36:39)	70(32:38)	80 (36:44)	75(28:47)	64(22:42)
No. marked bears								
shot in year* (M:F)	1(0:1)	2 (2:0)	6 (5:1)	7 (5:2)	5 (3:2)	8 (6:2)	11(7:4)	ND
			. ,					
Min. % of marked	and the second of the second o							
bears shot in year	4%	4%	8%	9%	7%	10%	15%	ND
20020 1000 11 700							194	
% males in population								
	E 49.	E E9.	E #0.	400	169.	A E 9.	3.70	M
of marked bears	54%	55%	54%	48%	46%	45%	37%	ND
01 In hamment	~						1070	1004
% males in harvest								-1984
of marked bears	0	100%	83%	71%	60%	75%	64%	70%

<sup>\*</sup> includes row C in Table 15

Table 25. Annual use of Prairie Ck. area by radio-collared brown bears during July and August king salmon spawning period (1980-1985). Reproductive status reflects July data for females (c=newborn cubs).

ales (age in year irst captured)	1980	1981**	1982	1983	1984***	1985****
14 @ 4(80)	no	shed	•	•	-	no
79 @ 9(80)	ND(shed)	ND.	ND.	yes	yes	dead
80 @ 5(80)	no	no	no	no	no	no collar
82 @ 4(80)	-	•	yes	yes	yes	yes
93 @ 3(80)	yes	yes	yes	no	(shed)	-
94 @ 10(80)	yes	yes	- (dead)	-	-	-
42a*@ 2(81)	-	no ····	no	no .	yes (dead)	-
73 @ 9(82)		••	yes	ND(shed)	-	-
82 @ 2(84)	-	essi	-	-	-	yes
86 @ 2(83)	- · · · · · ·		-	no	dead	-
89 @ 2(83)	-	sab	-	no	dead	-
90 @ 2(83)	-	•	-	no	missing	-
91 @ 2(83)	-	40	sae	no	dead	-
92 @ 2(83)	•	æ	•	no	d <b>ead</b>	-
99 @ 9(83)	-	-	-	yes	yes	missing
00 @ 20(83)	<b>a</b>	•		no	no	missing
22 @ A(84)	-	=	-	-	yes	dead
27 @ A(85)	-	-	_	-	-	yes
ubtotals for ALES: o. using Prairie Ck.						
(males)	2	2	3	3	4	3
otal No. of collared						-
males	4	4	5	12	8	4
o. collared males excluding subadult	` ,, - =		·			
dispersers	4	3	4	7	8	4
ubadult dispersers o	ut					
f study area Bear ID)	-	342a	3 <b>42a</b>	342a, 386, 389,	-	•
				391, 392		
males using Prairie k. (excludes dis-						
v. (everages are						

Table 25. (cont.)

Females (age in year first captured)	1980	1981**	1982	1983	1984***	1985***
273 @ 9(85)	-	-	-	•	-	no, alone
277 @ 10(80)	no?	ND-(shed)	ND	ND	ND	ND
281 @ 3(80)	no, alone	no, alone	no, alone	no, alone	no, alone	no w/2c
283 @ 12(80)	yes, alone	no, w/2c	yes, alone	yes, alone	yes, alon	yes, w/2c
299 @ 13(80)	no, w/2@1	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/3c	no, w/3@1	missing
308b @ 5(80)	yes, alone	no?, alone	-dead	-	•	-
312 @ 10(80)	no, alone	no, w/lc	no, w/1@1	no, alone	dead	
313 @ 9(80)	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/2c	no, w/1@1	no, alone	missing
314 @ 7(85)		•	-	_	<b>-</b>	no, alone
315 @ 2(80)	-	•	-	yes, alone	yes, alone	missing
331 @ 6(81)	• , ,	no, alone	-dead	-	-	-
334 @ 10(81)	-	no, alone	-missing	•	-	-
335 @ 2(81)	-	no, alone .	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/2c	no, w/2@1
337 @ 13(81)	-	no, w/3c	no, w/1@1	no, alone	no, w/2c	no, w/2@1
340 @ 3(81)	•	no, alone	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/2c	no, w/2@1
341 @ 6(81)		no, alone	no,w/2c	-missing	-	no, alone
344 @ 5(81)	•	no, w/2c	no, wl@l	no, alone	no, alone	missing
379* @ 5(82)	-	-	no, w/2c*	no, w/2@1*	no, alone?*	no, alone*
380 @ 15(82)	-	-	yes, w/2@1	yes, alone	dead	-
381 @ 3(82)	-		no, alone	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/2c
384 @ 12(83)	-	-	-	-	no, w/2c	missing
385 @ 2(83)	-	-	· <b>-</b>	no, alone	no, alone	no collar
388 @ 14(83)	•	•	-	no, alone	no, alone	no, w/2c
393 @ 2(83)	-	-	-	no, alone	dead	-
394 @ 6(83)	-	<b>-</b> .	-	yes, alone	yes - dead	-
395 @ 3 (83)	-	•	•	no, alone	dead	-
396 @ 13(83)	-	-	-	yes, alone	yes, alone	yes, alone
397 @ 4	-	-		100	-	yes, alone
398 @ 4	-	-		cs.	-	yes, alone
403* @ 6 (83)		-	-	no, w/2c*	no, w/1@1?*	no, alone
407* @ 4(83)	-		-	yes, alone*	yes, alone*	yes, alone
420 @ 19(84)	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	-	yes, w/2@1	yes, alone
423 @ A(84)	-	-		•	yes, w/3c	yes, w/3/@1
425 @ A(84)	-	_	_	•	no, alone	no, w/2c
437 @ 2 (85)		-	_	•	_	no, alone
447 @ A (85)	_	_	_	-	<b>-</b>	no, alone
					•	,

Table	25	(cont.	١
Table	23.	(CODE.	. )

Females (age in year first captured)	1980	1981**	1982	1983	1984***	1985***
Subtotals for FEMALES						
No. using Prairie Ck. (females)	2	0	2	6	7	77
Total No. of collared females	7	13	13	22	21	21
% females using Prairie Ck.	29	0	15	27	33	33
TOTALS:		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		**************************************		
No. bears using Prairie Ck.	4	2	5	9	11	10
No. bears radio-collared (excluding dispersing males)	d 11	. 16	17_	29	29	25
% bears using Prairie Ck.	36	13**	29	31	38	40

<sup>\*</sup> Bear occurs in the downstream study area

\*\* Poor monitoring conditions in 1981

\*\*\* Intensively monitored in 1984

\*\*\*\* No routine monitoring, monitored only on 7/23-27 and 8/6 because of study termination

Table 26A. Results of brown bear census on Prairie Creek in 1984. Flights started at 0800 hrs. and pilot Al Lee flew the plane. Bear IDs are given in parenthesis. Includes only bears older than 2.0.

		<del></del>	
Date of flight	7/29	8/1	
Minutes spent on survey	82	94	
Number of adult unmarked brown bears seen	14	17	
Number of marked bears seen (R)	1 (399)	2 (399, 407)	
Number of marked bears present but not seen	4 (407, 282, 394, 420)	2 (420, 394)	
Number of marked bears in the general areas but outside of search pattern	3 (315, 423, 396)	5 (282, 315, 42, 396, 283)	3,
	/DEW .CT	\0E% at\	
	(95% CI	) (95% CI)	
M (# of marks present) = C (# of bears seen) = R (# of marks seen) =	5 15 1	4 19 2	
(M+1)(C+1)(R+1) = N =	48 (12–180	) 33 (10–62)	
MC/R =	- 75	38	

Table 26B. Brown bear census on Prairie Creek, July-August 1985.

Parameter	7/23/85 PM	7/24/85 C	7/24/85 · PM	7/25/85 AM	7/25/85 PM	7/26/85 AM	7/26/85 PM	7/27/85 AM	8/6/85* PM
Time Start	1945	0752	1945	0755	2010	0753	2014	0755	1948
Time End	2108	0933	2145	1000	2148	0926	2155	0923	2144
Total minutes searching (additional minutes spent radio tracking)	83 (27)	101 (37)	120 (5)	125 (21)	98 (17)	93 (24)	101 (35)	88 (33)	116 (23)
number of black bears taken	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
A) Unmarked brown bears (≥2.0) spotted during search	4	5	16	16	12	8	17	9	11
B) Additional unmarked brown bears (≥2.0) spotted in search area during tracking	3	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	0
C) Total unmarked brown bears ( 2.0) verified as present (A+B)	7 .	5	16	16	14	10	20	9	11
D) No. of cubs w/bears in C (# litters)	0	2(1)	7 (4)	6 (3)	4 (3)	2 (2)	2(1)	0	3 (2)
E) No. of ylgs w/bears in C (# litters)	2	2(2)	3(1)	4(3)	2(1)	0	4(2)	3(2)	1(1)
F) Total unmarked bears verified as percent (C+D+E)	9	9	26	26	20	12	26	12	15
G) IDs of marked bears spotted (No. = "R")	282 =1	0	420,398 =2	398,420, 396 =3	<b>420</b> =1	420 =1	0	398 =1	407, 423 (w/3@1)=5
H) Total no. of bears spotted (F+G = "C"	) 10	9	28	29	21	13	26	13	20
<ul> <li>I) IDs of marked bears that were present in the search area that were not spotted during the search</li> </ul>	420,398, 396=3	420,398, 396,282 =4	396,282 =2	282 =1	398,396, 282 =3	398,396, 282 =3	398,420 282 =3	420,396, 282 =3	382,398,397 427,282,420 396,and 283 (w/2c)=10
J) Total no. of marked bears present in search area (none of these had cubs or ylgs) (G+I = "M")	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	<b>4</b>	15 (5@c)
K) IDs of marked bears present in general area but not in search area N=(M+1)(C+1)/(R+1)	397	383,397	382,397	397	397	397,382?	396,397, 382	382	
W= (W+1) (C+1)\ (K+1)	28	-	48	38	55	35	-	35	56

<sup>\*</sup> Flight on 8/6/85 was in a 180 w/3 observers and area was incompletely covered

Table 27. Results of intensive monitoring of brown bear predation rates during spring 1984. Bears were monitored twice/day from 5/29-6/7 and once/day from 6/8-7/1, conditions permitting. When two bears were on a kill each was credited with balf of the kill unless the bear that made the kill was known.

Bear I	ID Sex	Age	Repro. status	Obsv. period	No. of locations	No. of visuals	% visuals	No. calf moose kills	No. non-calf moose kills	No. species age unknown kills	No. of suspected kills	Total known/suspected ungulate kills
MALES 382	M	2		5/28-7/1	41	29	71	1	0	2	0	3
282	М	8	<del></del>	<b>6/1-</b> 7/1 less 6/8-6/15	25	20	80	2	0.5*	0	4	6.5
280	М	9		5/28-6/24 less 6/10-22	30	24	80	0	0	<b>o</b>	3	3
399	M	10		5/28-6/24	28	22	79	2	0	. 0	Ó	2
279	M	13		5/26-6/12	24	23	96	0.5	1	0	0	1.5
400	M	21		5/30-6/29	23	21	91	1	0	0	0	1
422	M	A		5/28-7/1	32	25	78	3	0	0	1	4
AI	LL MALES			*;	203	164	81	9.5	1.5	2	8	21
FEMALI 381	ES F	5		5/28-6/30 ess 6/11-6/22	24	21	88	1	0	1	0	2
281	F	. 7	estrus	5/26-7/1	39	26	67	1	0	0	1	2
313	F	13.	estrus	5/26-7/1	42	33	79	7.5	1	0	0	8.5
388	F	15	estrus	5/30-7/1	29	23	79	0	0	0	0	0
283	F	16	estrus	5/28-7/1	40	33	83	0	1	0	0	1
425	F	A		6/1-7/1 less 6/8-6/15	24	18	75	0	0.5*	0	0	0.5
Misc. (315,	marked : 344, 385	females 5, 394,	w/o off: 396)**	spring	24		<u>83</u>	0	_1_	_0	_1	
	Sul	totals	(FF w/o	offspring)	222	174	78	9.5	3.5	1	2	16
340	F	6	w/2@c	5/28-7/1	41	37	90	1*	2	0	0	3

(continued)

Bear ID	Sex	Age	Repro. status	Obsv. period	No. of locations	No. of visuals	% visuals	No. calf moose kills	No. non-calf moose kills	No. species age unknown kills	No. of suspected kills	Total known/suspected kills
Misc. fema (337, 423,			*		36	31	86	0	1	0	0	1 ,
299	F	17		5/28-7/1	38	36	95	2	0	0	0	2
420	F	A	M\ 5@T	6/1-7/1	37	33	89		<u> </u>	· · · · · ·		<u> </u>
	Subt	otal (	FF with	offspring)	152	137	90	7	<b>3</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	11
	ALL	FEMALE	S		374	311	83	16.5	6.5	1	3	27
ALL BROWN	BEARS	(BOTH	SEXES)		577	475	82	26	8	3	11	48

S	П	М	M.	41	Ţ۲
	u			ъ.	٠.

Category	Number of known kills/100 visuals	Number of known or suspected kills/100 visuals	Number of known moose calf kills/100 visuals
All males	7.9	12.8	5.8
All females	7.4	8.7	5.3
Females w/cubs	5.9	5.9	1.5
Females w/ylgs	8.7	8.7	8.7
Females w/offspring	7.3	8.0	5.1
All bears	7.8	10.1	. 5.5

<sup>\*</sup> Wolves were also seen at this kill along with the brown bear which had possession of the kill.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These individuals were not monitored intensively but were monitored occassionally during this study period.

Table 28. Results of intensive monitoring of brown bear predation rates during summer 1984. Bears were located once/day from 23 July through 1 August, conditions permitting.

Bear ID	Sex	Age	Repro. status	No. of locations	No of visuals (%)	No. of locations at salmon streams	No. of visuals at salmon streams (%)	Total known or sus- pected kills of ungulates
MALES							•	
282	M	8		9	4	9	4	0
382	M	2		5	1	0	0	0
280	M	9		4	1	0	0	0
399	M	10	र रह	9	5	9	5	0
279	M	13		6	3	6	3	0
400 .	M	21		6	0	0	0	0
422	M	A		6	5	0	. 0	1
342	M	5		5	1	5	_1	0
	Subt	otals fo	r males	50	20(40.0%)	29	13(44.8%)	1
FEMALES								
381	F	5	alone	4	0	0	o	0
281	F	7 -	alone	6	0	0	0 .	. 0
313	F	13	alone	6	2	0	0	0
388	F	15	alone	4	1	0	0	0
283	F	16	alone	8	2	1	1	. 0
425	F	A	alone	6	2	0	0	0
315	F	6	alone	8	5	8	· <b>5</b>	0
394	F	7	alone	. 8	1	8	1	0
396	F	15	alone	6	2	5	1	0

(continued)

Table 28. (cont'd)

Bear ID	Sex	Age	Repro. status	No. of locations (%)	No of visuals (%)	No. of locations at salmon streams	No at s	o. of locations salmon streams (%)	Total known or sus- pected kills of ungulates
407	F	6	alone	6	5 .	6		5	0
344 & 385	F		alone	2	2	<b>o</b> .		0	. 0
340	F	6	w/2@0	6	6	0		0	0
423	F	A	2/3@0	9	7	7		5	. 0
335	F	6	w/2@O	5	3	0	-	0	0
337	F	10 ·	w/2@O	2	2	0		0	0
299	F	18	w/3@1	6	6	0		0	. 0
420	F	A	w/2@1	_9	5	9	. :	5 ·	<u> </u>
	Subt	otals for	r females	101	51 (50.5%)	44	•	23 (52, 3%)	0
TOTALS FOR	ALL BE	ARS		161	71 (44.1%)	73		36 (49.3%)	1

Note that if the same ratio of kills to visuals observed in the spring (48:475) were present in the summer, then 7.2 kills would have been observed during the 71 visual observations made. Excluding the observations at salmon streams leaves only 35 visual observations and 3.5 kills would have been expected with this number of observations using the ratio of kills:visual observations observed in the spring.

		Capture		and the same of th	"	TI M	
Tattoo	Sex	<u>Age</u>	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	Comments
(287)	M	10.5	225*	5/1/80		1083/1084	Shot on 9/8/82
(288)	F	10.5	125*	5/1/80		1095/1083	w/2 ylgs, turgid, collar shed by 8/27/80
289	F	9.5	130*	5/2/80		1103/1104	w/2 ylgs, turgid, had 3 cubs in 1981, see 4/82 recapture
(290)	F	8.5	103	5/2/80		1306/1305	w/2 ylgs, turgid, see 8/6/81 recapture
(291)	M	(3.5)	73	5/2/80			Post-capture mortality
(296)	M	(10.5)	2 27	5/3/80			Capture mortality
(300)	M	(7.5)	274	5/4/80			Post-capture mortality
(301)	F	(7.5)	115	5/4/80		1043/1044	w/l ylg, turgid, had 2 cubs in 1981, see 3/83 recapture, shot 9/84
(302)	M	8.5	287	5/4/80		1106/1105	collar shed by 8/4/80, recaptured 5/9/81
(303)	M	(8.5)	217	5/4/80		( <del>1055</del> /1056)	shot 9/8/83
(304)	M	10.5	23.5	5/4/80		<u>1315</u> /1316	collar shed in 1982
(305)	M	(9.5)	217	5/5/80			Shot by hunter 8/30/80
(307)	M	2.5	105	5/5/80		1123/1124	Shot by hunter on 5/17/81
310	M	2.5	85	5/6/80		(1122/1121)	recaptured 6/85
(316)	<b>F</b> .	(12.5)	150*	5/7/80			w/1 newborn & 1 ylg shot by hunter 8/28/80
317	F	7.8	133	8/18/80		1195/1196	w/2 cubs, see 3/83 recapture
(318)	F	5.8	126	8/18/80		1046/1045	w/1 cub, immobilized in den 3/81, 3/83 and 5/85 recaptures, shed 7/83
(319)	M	3.8	174	8/18/80		1194/1193	died summer 1981
(320)	M	(4.8)	200*	8/18/80		2045 (2044	shot by hunter 9/9/80
321	F	10.8	175*	8/18/80		1243/1244	had 2 cubs in 1981, recaptured 5/15/83
(322)	M	4.8	154	8/19/80	•	1087/1088	w/324, collar shed in 80/81 den, see 5/26/82 recapture, died 1982
323	M	2.8	122	8/18/80		1200/1199	see 3/83 recapture
(324)	M	(5.8)	190	8/19/80		(1252/1251)	w/322, see 3/83 recapture, shot 9/84
(325)	F	11.8	164	8/18/80		<del>1191</del> /1192	collar shed in 80/81 den, see 8/6/81 recapture
(326)	F	(5.8)	125	8/19/80		1047/1040	w/2 cubs, shot by hunter 8/28/80
(327)	F	(5.8)	118	8/19/80		1247/1248	w/2 cubs, immobilized in den 3/81, 3/83
328	F	6.8	150	8/19/80		1246/1245	collar shed 81/82 den, recaptured 5/16/84
(303#2)	M	(8.8)	260	8/19/80		1266/1265	recapture, shot 9/8/83
329	F	1.3	15*	3/23/81		1266/1265	w/327 and sibling, w/heavy collar, see 4/82 & 3/83 recaptures
318#2 (330)	F M	6.3 1.3	31	3/25/85 3/25/81		same 1276/1275	in den w/318, died summer 1981
	M		165	5/7/81		1276/1275 1206/1205	cinnamon color, shot on 9/15/81
(342B) 343	M	(5.5) 5.5	184	5/7/81		1214/1213	alone, Devil Mountain, recaptured 5/16/83
(346)	M	(9.5)	175*	5/9/81		1226/1184	alone, see 3/83 recapture, died 6/84
302#2	M	9.5	300*	5/9/81		1257/1105	alone, old collar previously shed
(290#2)	F	9.8	160+*	8/6/81		1306/1279	neck infected, collar not replaced
(304#2)	M	11.8		8/6/81		1286/1316	collar replaced, shed 6/82
(325#2)	F	12.8	150*	8/6/81		1191/1192	second collar shed in 81/82 den
(303#2)	M	(9.8)	250*	8/7/81		(1055/1056)	collar replaced, shot 9/8/83
(287#2)	M	11.8	200*	8/7/81		(1083/1084)	collar replaced, shot on 9/8/82
(348)	М	9.8	300*	8/6/81		1131/1132	alone, shot on 9/82
349	F	4.8	170*	8/6/81		1326/1325	alone, see 3/83 recapture, shed 7/83, recaptured 5/16/84
329#2	F	2.3	29	4/1/82		same	recapture in den, see 3/83 recapture
289#2	F	11.3	112	4/1/82		same	recapture in den w/350 and 351
350	M	1.3	14	4/1/82		514/513	capture in den
351	M	1.3	16	4/1/82		516/515	capture in den, recaptured 6/4/85
(352)	M	2.5	100*	5/26/82			capture mortality
(353)	M	1.5	29	5/26/82			capture mortality of B301's yearling
354	F	5.5	150*	5/26/82		517/1600	w/2 cubs, recaptured 5/18/84
355	F	0.5	4*	5/26/82		518/519	w/354, no tattoo
356	M	0.5	4*	5/26/82		520/521	w/354, no tattoo
(357)	M	4.5	113	5/26/82		501/1651	died winter 82/83
	14	(6.5)	90*				
(322#2)	M	10.57	90"	5/27/82		1662/525	recapture, previous shed collar, died summer '82

d	D	
	٠.	

		Capture					
Tattoo	Sex	Age	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	Comments
359	М	4.5	118	5/27/82		512/1655	recaptured 5/15/84
(360)	M	7.5	250*	5/27/82		511/1657	, collar shed 6/84
361	F	7.5	175*	5/27/82		522/1596	see 3/83 recapture
362	F	2.5*	40*	5/27/82		503/504	no tattoo
363	F	4.5	120*	5/27/82		505/1593	
364	F	9.5	170*	5/27/82		521/1591	missing since Sept. 82, recaptured 5/18/84
(365)	M	5.5	100*	5/28/82		523/1626	downstream study, see 3/83 recapture-collar loosened, died 9/83
(366)	M	6.5	200*	5/28/82		538/1627	downstream study, shot on 8/5/82
(367)	F	4.5	100*	5/28/82	*	524/1579	downstream study, shot, see below - 4/16/83 recapture
(368)	F	3.5	110*	5/28/82			capture mortality, downstream study
369	F	4.5	90*	5/28/82		527/ <u>1578</u>	downstream study - age based on '83 tooth, recaptured 4/83, 4/84 tag shed 7/84
370	F	7.5	220*	5/28/82		528/1577	downstream study
(371)	M	2.5	150*	5/28/82			capture mortality, downstream study
372	F	9.5	135*	5/28/82		537/1576	downstream study
(374)	F	7.5	125*	6/11/82		(530/1584)	w/1@1, downstream study, recaptured 5/19/83, shot 9/83, aged + 1 ('83
375	F	9.5	160*	6/11/82		507/1630	w/3@l, downstream study, recaptured 5/19/83, age changed (+ 4)
376	F	6.5	125*	6/11/82		531/1587	w/1@1, downstream study, see 9/2/82 recapture
377	F	4.5	126	6/11/82		509/1659	downstream study, recaptured 5/19/83, age changed (- 1)
378	F	6.5	175*	6/11/82		510/1628	downstream study
376#2	F	6.7	160*	9/2/82		530/1584	recapture, slough 8B, snare
(301#2)	F	(10.3)	135	3/20/83	6298	same	w/2@0, recapture in den, collar shed 7/83, shot 9/84
317#2	F	10.3		3/23/83	6338	1547/1196	w/2@O, recapture in den
(318#2)	F	8.3		3/23/83	(6351)	same	w/2@O, recapture in den, shed 7/83
323#2	M	5.3		3/21/83	6264	1696/1650	recapture in den
(324#2)	M	8.3		3/22/83	(6443)	(1661/1251)	recapture in den, shot 9/84
329#3	F	3.3	56	3/22/83	same	same	recapture in den, old collar loosened
(327#2)	F	8.3		3/23/83	(6416)	same	w/2@O, recapture in den, died summer 1983
(346#2)	M	11.3		3/21/83	12449	same	recapture in den, died 6/84
(349#2)	F	6.3		3/22/83	(6446)	same	w/2@O, recapture in den, shed 7/83
361#2	F	8.3		3/21/83	(6305)	same	w/4@0, recapture in den, recaptured 4/84, 2/85
(365#2)	M	6.3		3/23/83	(same)	same	recapture in den, collar loosened, died 9/83
(379)	F	9.3		3/24/83	(6449)	none	w/3@0, captured in den #19, died 7/83
369#2	F	5.3		4/14/83	same	same	collar loosened in den, no cubs, recaptured 4/84
372#2	F	10.3		4/15/83	same	same	w/3@0, collar loosened in den
376#3	F	6.3		4/16/83	same	same	w/3@O, collar okay in den
370#2	F	8.3		4/16/83	same	same	w/2@0, collar loosened in den
(367#2)	F	5.3		4/16/83	(same)	same	collar loosened in den, no cubs, shot July 1983
378#2	F	7.3		4/16/83	same	same	w/2@O (not sexed or weighed), collar okay in den
387	M	4.5	175*	5/14/83	6288	2126/2127	
321#2	F	13.5	115	5/15/83	15286	same	had cubs (n=?), not captured
343#2	M	7.5	225*	5/16/83	15287	same	- <del>-</del> -
401	M	3.5	96	5/18/83	15280	2103/2102	<del></del>
402	F	10.5	130	5/18/83	3616	2373/2372	w/3@1, not captured, Downstream study
375#2	F	10.5		5/19/83	same	same	w/1@O, not captured, old collar loosened, age changed + 4 ('83 tooth)
(374#2)	F	8.5	120*	5/19/83	(same)	(same)	w/3@0, all captured, old collar loosened, shot 9/83, aged + 1
010	F	0.5		5/19/83		1351/1352	w/374, no tattoo
011	F	0.5		5/19/83		1354/1353	w/374, no tattoo
012	F	0.5		5/19/83		1356/1355	w/374, no tattoo
377#2	F	5.5		5/19/83	15282	same	alone, collar replaced, neck infected, age changed - 1 ('83 tooth)
404	F	11.5	135*	5/19/83	15272	2449/2450	w/1@0, captured, Downstream study, recaptured 3/85
013	F	0.5	10	5/19/83	10272	2449/2450	no tattoo, w/404, Downstream study
405	F	17.5	180*	5/19/83	6314	2418/2417	W/2@O, both captured, Downstream study
014	F	0.5	6.5	5/19/83	0514	1364/1366	w/405, Downstream study, no tattoo
015	F	0.5	6.0	5/19/83		1365/1366	w/405, Downstream study, no tattoo
		- • -				========	

		Capture					
Tattoo	Sex	Age	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	Comments
406	F	11.5	125*	5/19/83	15273	2444/2445	w/2@O, not captured, Downstream study
408	M	3.5	160*	5/19/83	15274	2119/2120	alone, Downstream study
409	F	5.5	90*	5/19/83	6310	1527/1526	alone, Downstream study
(410)	F	7.5	120*	5/19/83	(6262)	(1536/1537)	w/2@0, not captured, Downstream study, shot 7/19/83
411	F	8.5	130*	5/19/83	6402	1548/1549	w/2@1, not captured, Downstream study
363#2	F	6.3		4/6/84	6280	same	w/2@O, recaptured in den, replaced collar
303π2	M	0.3	6.0	4/6/84		12/20	w/363 in den, neck = 190mm
	M	0.3	6.8	4/6/84		11/24	w/363 in den, neck = 192mm
361#3	F	9.3		4/6/84		same	w/3@1, recaptured in den, collar good fit, replaced 2/85
412#2		1.3	30*	4/6/84		1678/2122	w/361 in den, neck = 285mm, 25+ lbs
413#2		1.3	30*	4/6/84		2476/2428	w/361 in den, neck = 286mm, 25+ lbs
414#2		1.3	19.5	4/6/84		2439/2432	w/361 in den, neck = 263mm
(360#2)	. r M	9.3	19.5	4/7/84	6307		recaptured in den, replaced collar, shed 6/84
329#4	F	4.3	75*	4/7/84	17919	same	
			-			same	recaptured in den #73, alone
289#3	F	13.3	 22 E	4/7/84	629 <b>1</b>	same	w/1@1, recaptured in den, collar replaced, recaptured 3/85
415	F	1.3	23.5	4/7/84		1582/1590	w/289 in den
369#3	F	6.3		4/8/84	6282	same	w/200, recaptured in den, replaced collar, ear tag 1578 found 7/84
	M	0.3	4.0	4/8/84		3/4	w/369 in den
(250(0)	F	0.3	3.8	4/8/84	(6310)	22/6	w/369 in den
(358#2)	F	(4.5)	70	5/15/84	( <u>6319</u> )	same	sex changed, died 8/84
359#2	М	6.5	131	5/15/84	6406	same	alone, collar replaced
302#3	M	12.5	350*	5/15/84	17920	same	old collar not working
416	M	9.5	230*	5/15/84	6312	2064/2054	(poor tooth age)
349#2	F	7.5	72	5/16/84	6316	1326/1325	old collar previously shed, recaptured 2/85
3 28#2	F	10.5	110	5/16/84	6451	1246/1245	old collar previously shed
364#2	F	11.5	108	5/18/84	6355	1591/526	old collar not working
354#2	F	7.5	108	5/18/84	6354	1600/517	with cubs
361#4	F	10.3	140*	2/25/85	6400	same	w/3@2 in den, collar applied loosely
412#3	M	2.3	<b>*</b> 08	2/25/85		same	w/361 in den, applied green visual dropoff
413#3	F	2.3	65*	2/25/85		same	w/361 in den, applied red visual dropoff
414#3	F	2.3	55*	2/25/85		same	w/361 in den, applied white visual dropoff
349#3	F	8.3		2/28/85	same	same	in den w/at least 200, collar loosened 1½
001	M	0.3	1.8	2/28/85			w/349, at least one sibling not handled
289#4	F	14.3		3/1/85	same	same	w/at least 200 in den, cubs not handled
3 28#3	F	11.3		3/29/85	same	same	w/3@0 in den, loosened collar 1½ notches, rubbed
002	M	0.3	5.0	3/29/85			w/B328 and siblings
003	M	0.3	4.1	3/29/85			w/B328 and siblings
004	F	0.3	4.1	3/29/85			w/B328 and stblings
404#2	F	13.3		3/30/85	same	same	w/3@O in den, collar fine
005	M	0.3	4.1*	3/30/85			w/B404 and siblings
006	M	0.3	4.1*	3/30/85			w/B404 and siblings
007	F	0.3 .	3.5*	3/30/85			w/B404 and siblings
(426)	M	(3.5)	75*	`6/1/85	÷-		capture mortality
428	M	6.5*	175*	6/1/85	6336	2109/2167	rot-away canvas spacer
430	M	A	285*	6/2/85	3603	2093/2088	rot-away canvas spacer
431	F	A	116	6/2/85	3617	1519/1520	
310#2	M	7.5	225*	6/2/85	6347	2185/2183	rot-away canvas spacer
432	F	A	124	6/2/85	6353	1558/1557	w/ylg. 434
434	F	1.5	33	6/2/85	==	1552/1572	w/B432
433	M	3.5*	68*	6/2/85		1647/2081	444
435	M	A	200*	6/2/85	6351	2182/2186	
436	M	2.5*	40*	6/3/85		- <del>-</del> /2121	w/B364-mother?
438	F	Α.	130*	6/3/85	6262	1516/1521	w/B439 & sibling (#444?)
439	M	2.5*	40*	6/3/85		/	w/B438-injured in left rear leg during darting
441	F	Ā	195	6/4/85	6307	2361/2362	

Table 29. (continued)

		Capture					
Tattoo	Sex	Age	Wt.	Date	Serial #	Ear Tags	Comments
351#2	М	4.5	140	6/4/85	** **	2169/2175	old tags left in too (516/515)
444	M	3.5*	78	6/4/85		2154/2153	drop-off visual collar
445	M	A	: 250*	6/4/85	6984	2068/2164	drop-off collar
(446)	F	A	99	6/5/885		/	capture mortality
448	F	A	100	6/5/85	15211	1544/1533	****
318#4	F	10.5		6/5/85		same	w/201 (not captured), recapture
449	M	A	165*	6/9/85		1640/2188	alone
451	F	?	54	6/10/85		2408/2484	alone

<sup>\*</sup> Weight or age estimated, ( ) shed or replaced collar or dead bear, # recapture, \_\_subsequently changed, Last Tattoo used = 425, last cub = 25.

Table 30. Predicted and observed spring 1984 reproductive status of radio-collared female black bears.

ID	1984 age	Predicted* 1984 status	Comments	Observed 1984 status
321	14	cubs	lost '83 litter in May	2 cubs
349	7	cubs	apparently lost '83 litter, shed collar recaptured 5/84	alone
354	7	cubs	weaned '83 yearlings	2 cubs
363	6	cubs	alone in 183	2 cubs
369**	6	cubs?	first litter expected in '84	2 cubs (Aug.)
377**	6	cubs	apparently lost '83 litter, shed collar	alone***
402**	11	cubs	weaned '83 yearlings	alone
409**	6	cubs	apparently alone in '83	NA
411**	9	cubs	weaned '83 yearlings	2 cubs
289	13	1 ylg	cubs in 183	w/1@1
317	11	l ylg	cubs in '83	w/1@1
361	9	3 ylgs	cubs in '83	w/3@1
375**	11	1-2 ylgs	cubs in '83	w/2@1
376**	8	3 ylgs	cubs in '83	w/3@1
378**	8	2 ylgs	cubs in '83	w/2@1
404**	12	1-2 ylgs	cubs in '83, last seen in July '83	NA
405**	18	2 ylgs	cubs in '83	w/2@1
406**	12	2 ylgs	cubs in 83	w/2@1
329	4	barren?	first litter expected in 1985	barren

See Miller (1984:117)
bear occurs in the downstream study area
heard at least one cub in den on 4/8/84, none seen post-exit

Table 31. Predicted and observed spring 1985 reproductive status of radio-collared female black bears.

D	1985 age	Predicted* 1985 status	Comments	Observed 1985 status
49	8	cubs	cubs expected last year	2 cubs
02**	12	cubs	cubs expected last year	2 cubs
89	14	cubs	ylgs last year, bred	2 cubs
17	12	cubs.	ylgs last year, bred	2 cubs
61	10	cubs	3 ylgs last year	w/3 @2 in den
64	9	cubs	ylgs in last year	alone (? w/1@2?)
75**	12	cubs	ylgs last year	NA (shot)
76**	9	cubs	ylgs last year	alone?
78**	9	cubs	ylgs last year	1 cub
04**	13	cubs	status in '84 unknown - should have had ylgs	3 соу
05**	19	cubs	ylgs last year	w/2@2
06**	13	cubs	ylgs last year	missing
77**	7	cubs	last year's litter possibly lost in den	2 cubs
29	5	cubs ?	first litter expected	alone
28	11	cubs	bred in '84	3 cubs
21	15	1 ylg	cubs in 184	l ylg
54	8	1-2 ylgs	2-1 cubs in '84	alone
63	7	2 ylgs	cubs in '84	2 ylgs
69**	7	2 ylgs	cubs in '84	l ylg +
09**	7	ylgs ?	'84 status unknown, should have had cubs	alone
11**	10	2 ylgs	cubs in '84	2 ylgs

predicted in January 1985

\*\* bear occurs in the downstream study area

\*\*\* heard at least one cub in den on 4/8/84, none seen past exit

Table 32. Summary of black bear litter size data based on observations of bears with litters of newborn cubs.

B289 (10 in spring '81)  B289 (12 in spring '83)  2 lost 1 cub in Sept., other survived to den exit  B301 (8 in spring '81)  2 both survived to yearling age  B301 (10 in spring '83)  2 (in den) [2 at exit]  B317 (7 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B317 (10 in '83)  2 (in den) [2 at exit]  B318 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B318 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  B328 (7 in summer '81)  2 (summer)  B328 (7 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B329 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B320 (11 in August, 2 survived  both survived to yearling age  both survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture  both lost 1 in June, other survived to den exit  both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar  bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  bar shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81)  2 bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  bo cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84)  2 lost l of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  both survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  first litter, no cubs in summer [8] or spring '83, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in July - No ylgs on 5/84	MOTHER'S ID (age-year)	LITTER SIZE	COMMENTS
B301 (8 in spring '81) 2 both survived to yearling age  B301 (10 in spring '83) 2(in den) [2 at exit] female shed collar  B317 (7 in summer '80) 2(summer) initial capture in summer, both survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture  B317 (10 in '83) 2(in den) [2 at exit] to den exit  B318 (5 in summer '80) 1(summer) survived  B318 (8 in '83) 2(den) [2 at exit] both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar  B328 (7 in summer '81) 2(summer) bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81) 2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84) 2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83) 2(den) cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83) 2(den) first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B289 (10 in spring '81)	3	lost 1 in August, 2 survived
B301 (10 in spring '83)  2 (in den) [2 at exit]  B317 (7 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  initial capture in summer, both survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture  B317 (10 in '83)  2 (in den) [2 at exit]  B318 (5 in summer '80)  1 (summer)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  B318 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  B328 (7 in summer '81)  2 (summer)  2 (summer)  3 bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar  B328 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  3 bred in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81)  2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82,no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84)  2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  6 irst litter, no cubs in summer  181 or spring '82, cubs apparently 182 or spring '82, cubs apparently 183 or spring '82, cubs apparently 185 or spring '82, cubs apparently 186 or spring '82, cubs apparently 187 or spring '82, cubs apparently 1	B289 (12 in spring '83)	2	<del>-</del>
[2 at exit] female shed collar  B317 (7 in summer '80) 2(summer) initial capture in summer, both survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture  B317 (10 in '83) 2(in den) [2 at exit] lost l in June, other survived to den exit  B318 (5 in summer '80) 1(summer) survived  B318 (8 in '83) 2(den) both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar  B328 (7 in summer '81) 2(summer) bred in 1980. Lost l by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81) 2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84) 2 lost l of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83) 2(den) cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83) 2(den) first litter, no cubs in summer  [0 at exit?] first litter, no cubs in summer  [18] or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B301 (8 in spring '81)	2	both survived to yearling age
survived to fall, cubs not seen with bear at initial capture  B317 (10 in '83)  2 (in den) [2 at exit]  B318 (5 in summer '80)  1 (summer)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  B318 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  B328 (7 in summer '81)  2 (summer)  B328 (7 in summer '81)  2 (summer)  B326 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B327 (11 in spring '81)  B328 (11 in spring '81)  2 (summer)  2 (summer)  2 (summer)  3 (summer)  4 (summer)  5 (summer)  6 (summer)  7 (summer)  8 (summer	B301 (10 in spring '83)		
[2 at exit] to den exit  B318 (5 in summer '80) 1 (summer) survived  B318 (8 in '83) 2 (den) both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar  B328 (7 in summer '81) 2 (summer) bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80) 2 (summer) bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81) 2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84) 2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80) 2 (summer) both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83) 2 (den) cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83) 2 (den) first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B317 (7 in summer '80)	2(summer)	survived to fall, cubs not seen
B318 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit] both lost by 6/6/83 apparently, shed collar  B328 (7 in summer '81)  2 (summer) bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer) bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81)  2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84)  2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer) both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit] cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den) first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B317 (10 in '83)		
[2 at exit] shed collar  B328 (7 in summer '81) 2(summer) bred in 1980. Lost 1 by 7/29/81, shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) bear shot in 1980, cubs may have been adopted by B317  B321 (11 in spring '81) 2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82,no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84) 2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80) 2(summer) both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83) 2(den) cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83) 2(den) first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B318 (5 in summer '80)	l(summer)	survived
shed collar in den (not sure if survived until exit)  B326 (5 in summer '80)	B318 (8 in '83)		
B321 (11 in spring '81)  2 no cubs in summer 1980, both cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84)  2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  B327 (8 in '83)  2 (den)  [2 at exit]  Cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den)  [0 at exit?]  first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B328 (7 in summer '81)	2(summer)	shed collar in den (not sure if
cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82, no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter early in 1983, bred in 1983  B321 (14 in '84)  2 lost 1 of 2 by 6/29, other survived to den entrance  B327 (5 in summer '80)  2(summer)  both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83)  2(den)  [2 at exit]  cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2(den)  [1 at exit]  first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B326 (5 in summer '80)	2(summer)	
B327 (5 in summer '80)  2 (summer)  both survived to yearling age  B327 (8 in '83)  2 (den)  [2 at exit]  cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den)  first litter, no cubs in summer '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B321 (11 in spring '81)	2	cubs lost by 8/24/81, no litter in '82,no litter verified in 1983 but may have lost a litter
B327 (8 in '83)  2 (den) [2 at exit]  cubs survived into June, female died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den) [0 at exit?]  '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B321 (14 in '84)	2	
[2 at exit] died in July  B349 (6 in spring '83)  2 (den) first litter, no cubs in summer [0 at exit?] '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B327 (5 in summer '80)	2(summer)	both survived to yearling age
[0 at exit?] '81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in	B327 (8 in '83)		
	B349 (6 in spring '83)		'81 or spring '82, cubs apparently lost in May '83, collar shed in
B354 (5 in '82)  2 both survived to den entrance, at least 1 ylg. at exit in '83  (table continued on next page)	B354 (5 in '82)		at least l ylg. at exit in '83

Table 32. (cont'd)

Table 32. (cont d)		
MOTHER'S ID (age-year)	LITTER SIZE	COMMENTS
B354 (7 in '84)	2	May have lost 1 by den enterence date.
B361 (8 in '83)	4(in den) [3 at exit]	lost 1 in den prior to exit, others survived to den exit in '84
B370 (8 in '83)	2(in den) [2 at exit]	bear missing after 5/23/83, cubs alive at that time
B363 (6 in '84)	2 (in den) [2 at exit]	None lost to den entrance
B369* (6 in '84)	2 (in den) [2 at exit]	None lost to den entrance
B372* (10 in '83)	3(in den) [3 at exit]	lost 1 in early July, others survived to 7/20, female lost in Sept., '83.
B374* (7 in '83)	3	think lost 2 in July, bear shot in Sept., '83.
B375* (6 in '83)	2	both survived to exit in '84.
B376* (5 in '83)	3(in den) [3 at exit]	all survived to exit in '84.
B377* (5 in '83)	[1-2??] NOT COUNTED	cubs may have been lost prior to or during capture, cubs not seen during capture but saw at least 1 cub 9 days earlier on 5/10/83
B377 (6 in '84)	some (in den) [0 at exit]	heard at least 1 cub in den, none seen at exit.
B378* (7 in '83)	2(den) [2 at exit]	both survived to '84 den exit.
B379 (9 in '83)	3(den) [2 at exit]	lost all cubs by 5/23/83, bred again, died in July
B404* (11 in '83)	1	survived thru 7/20/83 at least, not seen in '84.
B405* (17 in'83)	2	both survived to den exit in '84
B406* (11 in '83)	2	both survived to den exit in '84.
B409* (7 in '84)	?	not observed in '84.
B410* (7 in '83)	2	both survived thru June, bear
B411* (9 in '84)	2	shot in July status at entrance into '84 den. unk.

Table 32 (cont'd)

Total number of cubs	number of litters mean	litter size (range)	comments(includes)
69	32	2.2(1-4)	all cub litters counted at earliest observation
54	25	2.2(1-3)	spring observations only (w/o den data or summer litters)
60	26	2.3(1-4)	earliest observation excluding summer litters
31	13	2.4(2-4)	observations in dens only

<sup>\*</sup> Downstream study area

Table 33. Summary of black bear litter size data based on observations of bears with litters of yearlings.

MOTHER'S ID (age-year)	LITTER SIZE	COMMENTS
B288 (10 in 1980)	3	Bred in 1980, ylgs. with female into August, shed collar in 1980
B290 (8 in 1980)	2	weaned by 6/23/80, bred in 1981, collar removed on 8/5/81 (neck scarred)
B289 (9 in 1980)		weaned by 5/22/80, bred, 3 cubs in '81
B289 (13 in 1984)		with mom to Sept., bred in June.
B289 (11 in 1982)	2(in den)	weaned by $6/9/82$ , bred, had 2 cubs in 1983
B301 (7 in 1980)	1	weaned by $6/12/80$ , bred, had 2 cubs in 1981
B301 (9 in 1982)	2	weaned by $6/17/82$ , bred, had 3 cubs in 1983
B317 (8 in 1981)	2	weaned by 6/18/81, bred, 1 ylg returned and was with female until 9/9/81, no cubs in 1982
B317 (11 in 1984)	1	weaned in June, bred
B318 (6 in 1981)	1 (den)	ylg (B330) weaned by 5/29/81, bred, ylg died by 8/24/81, no (reason?) cubs in 1982, bred again, 2 cubs in 1983
B327 (5 in 1981)	2(den)	ylg B329 and sibling, sibling weaned by 6/5/81, B329 by 6/21, bred, no cubs in 1982, bred again, cubs in 1983
B354 (6 in 1983)	1(?)	at least 1 ylg exited den (perhaps both?), weaned by 6/2/83
B364 (8 in 1984)	3	2 weaned early, bred, still with one in September.
B402* (10 in 1983)	3	weaned in early July
B411* (8 in 1983)	2	weaned after 6/13

Table 33. (cont'd)

MOTHER'S ID (age-year	ar) LITTER S	IZE COMMENTS	
B361 (9 in 1984)	3	with mom to October '84.	
B375* (11 in 1984)	2	weaned in June	
B376* (8 in 1984)	3	weaned 2 in June, 1 with mom in October.	
B378* (8 in 1984)	2	Not seen after June	
B404* (12 in 1984)	[?]	'84 status not verified	
B405* (18 in 1984):	2	with mom into August	
B406* (12 in 1984)	2	weaned by September	
Total number of ylgs. observed		mean litter size (range) comments	-
42		2.0(1-3) all litters with ylgs. counted	

<sup>\*</sup> Downstream study area

Table 34. Summary of known losses of black bear cubs-of-the-year. Losses calculated during first season out of den (in dens or at emergence from dens as cubs to entrance into dens as cubs)

Year	Upstream study area	downstream study area	Both areas
1980	no data	no data	
1981	4 of 9 lost (289, 301, 321, 328)	no data	4 of 9 lost
1982	0 of 2 lost (354)	no data	0 of 2 lost
1983 complete data	8 of 13 lost (289, 317, 361, 349)	1 of 12 lost (375, 376, 377**, 378, 405, 406)	9 of 25 lost
1983 incomplete data*	[2 of 2 lost (318]	[3 of 6 lost (372, 374)]	[5 of 8 lost]
1984 complete data	l of 4 lost (321, 363)	0 of 2 lost (369)	1 of 6 lost
1984 incomplete data*	[1 of 2 lost (354)]	[1 of ? lost (377)]	[1 of 2 lost]
TOTALS (all years)	13 of 28 = 46% lost	l of 14 = 7% lost	14 of 42 = 33% lost

<sup>\*</sup> incomplete data resulted from not observing the family status of the bear before it entered its winter den, shed collars, collar failures, or early hunter kills. Tabulated losses occurred prior to loss of the female to these causes. These are not included in totals.

<sup>\*\*</sup> B377 may have lost 2 of 2 rather than the 1 of 1 tabulated in 1983, the initial litter size was not known with certainty.

Table 35. Sex ratio and morphometrics of black bear cubs-of-year handled in the Susitna Hydro Project.

						•
CUB	MOTHER'S	DATE				
ID	ID	HANDLED	SEX	WT(lbs)	COMMENTS	
TD		HANDLED	JEA	MI (IDS)	COMMENTS	
355	B354	26 May 1982	F	áig <del>y S</del> i	ear tags	
356	B354	26 May 1982	M		_	
330	0004	20 Hay 1902	FI		ear tags	
	в301	20. March 1983 (den)	F	2.6		
	B301	20 March 1983 (den)		2.5		
	2301	20 1121011 1909 (4012)	•	2.5		
	в361	21 March 1983 (den)	M	3.5		
*	B361	21 March 1983 (den)		3.8		
	B361	21 March 1983 (den)		3.5		
-	B361	21 March 1983 (den)		2.8		
		22 222 27 (222,				•
-	B349	22 March 1983 (den)	F	3.5		
-	B349	22 March 1983 (den)		3.4		
	B317	23 March 1983 (den)	M	4.3	neck=175mm	
engrana.	B317	23 March 1983 (den)		4.3	neck=180mm	
						:
	В318	23 March 1983 (den)	M	2.8		
	B318	23 March 1983 (den)		2.7		·
	B327	-23 March 1983 (den)	M	5.3	neck=190mm	
	B327	23 March 1983 (den)	F	4.5	neck=180mm	
	В379	24 March 1983 (den)	M	2.8		•
100100	B379	24 March 1983 (den)	M	3.3		
	B379	24 March 1983 (den)	M	3.3		
	B372	15 April 1983 (den)	F	3.7		
	B372	15 April 1983 (den)	F	4.1		
	B372	15 April 1983 (den)	M	4.5		
					_	
	B376	16 April 1983 (den)		6.0	neck=190mm	
	в376	16 April 1983 (den)		5.5	neck=190mm	
	В376	16 April 1983 (den)	F	5.8	neck=190mm	
-	B370	16 April 1983 (den)		7.5	neck=200mm	-
	В370	16 April 1983 (den)	F	7.0	neck=190mm	
		10.11	_		•	
010	B374	19 May 1983	F		neck=175mm, e	
011	B374	19 May 1983	F		neck=200mm,	
012	В374	19 May 1983	F		neck=195mm, e	ear tags

(table continued on next page)

Table 35 (cont'd)

CUB	MOTHER'S	DATE			
ID	ID	HANDLED	SEX	WT(lbs)	COMMENTS
		10.14	_	10.0	1 012
013	B404	19 May 1983	F	10.0	neck=215mm, ear tags
014	B405	19 May 1983	F	6.5	neck=180mm, ear tags
015	B405	19 May 1983	F	6.0	neck=175mm, ear tags
		•			• 0
	B363	6 April 1984 (den)	M	6.0	neck=190mm
	B363	6 April 1984 (den)	M	6.8	neck=192mm
<del></del>	B369	8 April 1984 (den)	M	4.0	
	B369	8 April 1984 (den)	F	3.8	
	B349	28 Feb. 1985 (den)	M	1.8	very small, eyes closed,
					sibling not handled
	в328	29 March 1985 (den)	M	5.0	
	B328	29 March 1985 (den)	M	4.1	
	B328	29 March 1985 (den)	F	4.1	
	B404	30 March 1985 (den)	M	4.1*	
	B404	30 March 1985 (den)	M	4.1*	
	B404	30 March 1985 (den)	F	3.5*	•
					·

Totals: 19 males and 25 females, In dens=18 males and 18 females.

<sup>\*</sup> Estimated

SMIL09 SM-1 page 3

page 3
Table 36. Morphometrics of black bear yearlings handled in the Susistna Hydro Project.

YLG ID	MOTHER'S ID	DATE HANDLED	S	EX WT(1bs)	COMMENTS
B329	В327	23 March 1981	(den)	F 15 (est.)	tagged and collared
в330	B318	25 March 1981	(den)	м 31	tagged and collared
в350	В289	l April 1982	(den)	M 14	ear tagged
B351	B289	1 April 1982	(den)	M 16	ear tagged
B353	B301	26 May 1982	•	м 29	with mother, capture mortality
B412.,	B361	6 April 1984	(den)	м 30*	
B413	B361	6 April 1984	(den)	F 30*	
B414	B361	6 April 1984	(den)	F 19.5	
B415	B289	7 April 1984	(den)	F 23.5	Neck=299mm

Totals: 5 males and 4 female

Table 37. Summary of apparent natural mortalities of radio-collared adult bears. Susitna Hydro project. Includes black bears ≥1 year of age and brown bears ≥2 year of age.

Bear ID	sex/age (at death), reprod. status	Comments
Black bea	urs	
B291	M/3	Died 2-28 July, 1980, 2 months after capture, cause of death unknown.
B300	M/7	Died 6-14 May, 1980, 2-10 days after capture, cause of death unknown but capture myopathy possible (M99/Rompun used, immobilization and recovery were apparently normal).
B288	F/10 with 3c	Not sure bear died but suspect that it did and collar was moved away from carcass by predator. Probably died 22-27 August, 1980, 6 months after capture.
B319	M/4	Died 29 July-4 August, 1981, 11 months after capture, cause unknown.
B330	M/1	Died 17-24 August, 1981, 5 months after capture in den with mother and sibling, apparently killed and eaten by predator. Radio-collared female sibling survived (B329).
B357	M/4	Died winter of 1981, 6 months after capture, apparently killed by another bear (species?) at or near its den and eaten.
B322	M/6	Died 24-29 June, 1982, 4 weeks after recapture (was very skinny and weighed an est. 90 lbs), cause unknown.
B327	F/8 with 2c	Died 20 June-1 July, 1983, 4 months after recapture in den, killed by predator (probably bear) but not eaten (cub defense?).
B379	F/9 with 3c	Died early July, 1983 (?), 3 months after recapture in den, canine punctures in scapula, in brown bear habitat, lost cubs earlier. Suspect was killed by brown bear.
B365	M/6	Died Oct. 1983, 9 months after recapture in den. Scavenged (killed?) by wolves. Guess may have been wounded by hunter (no evidence). Good condition.
B346	M/12	Died in May 1984, eaten by unknown predator-suspect a brown bear.
Brown bea	ers	
G331	F/7	Died 1-31 July, 1982, 14 months after capture, cause of death unknown, had no cubs in 1982 but should have (weaned 2@2 in 1981). Bones not scattered. Weighed 284 lbs. on 5/81 (large).
G389	M/2	Died early October, 1983. Cause undetermined.

Table 38. Status of black bears marked during Su-Hydro studies, 1980-1983. (A=alive, ND=no data, F=shot in fall season, Sp=shot in spring season, S=Summer capture or mortality).

Bear ID	Sex/Age	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Upstream	Study Area						
287	M/10 in '80	A	A	Shot-F	-	-	40
288	F/10 in '80	A(shed)	ND	ND	ND	ND	
289	F/9 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
290	F/8 in '80	A	A(remvd)	ND	ND	ND	•
301	F/7 in '80	A	A·	A	A (shed)	Shot-F	
302	M/8 in '80	A	A	A	A	A	
303	M/8 in '80	A	A	A	Shot-F	-	-
304	M/10 in '80	<b>A</b> .	A	A(shed)	ND	ND	
305	M/9 in '80	Shot-F	- '	•	-	-	-
307	M/2 in '80	A	Shot-S	-	-	-	-
310	M/2 in '80	ND	ND	ИD	ND .	ND	
316	F/12 in '80	Shot-F	-	mo	-	-	-
317	F/7 in '80	A-S	A	A	A	A	
318	F/5 in '80	A-S	A	A	ND-shed	ND	
319	M/3 in '80	A-S	died	-	-	-	-
320	M/4 in '80	Shot-F	<b>d</b>	•	-	-	-
321	F/10 in '80	A-S	A cubs	A	A	A	
322	M/4 in '80	A-S	A	died	-	-	-
323	M/2 in '80	A-S	A	A	Shot-F	-	-
324-	M/5 in '80	A-S	A	A	A	Shot-F	•
325	F/11 in '80	A-S	A	Shed	ND	ND	
326	F/5 in '80	Shot-F	-	-	-	-	-
327	F/5 in '80	A-S	A	A	Died-S	-	-
328	F/6 in '80	A-S	A	A	A	A	
329	F/1 in '81	-	A	A	A	A	
330	M/1 in '80	•	died-S	-	-	-	
342b	M/5 in '81	•	Shot-F	-	-	•	
346	M/9 in '81	-	A	A	A	died	-
348	M/9 in '81	==	A-S	Shot-F	-	-	
349	F/4 in '81	•	A-S	A	A	A	
354	F/5 in '82	•	-	A	A	A	
357	M/4 in '82.	-	-	died-W	-	-	
358	M/2 in '82	-	-	A	A	died-F	***
359	M/4 in '82	40	-	A	A	A	
360	M/7 in '82	<b>50</b>	-	A	A	A	
361	F/7 in '82	-	-	A	A	A	
362	F/2 in '82	-	-	ND	ND	ND	
363	F/4 in '82		•	A	A	A	
364	F/9 in '82	-	-	A	A	A	
379	F/9 in '83	<b>60</b> 0	-	-	died-S	-	
387	F/4 in '83	-	-	-	A	A	
401	M/3 in '83	-	-	· <b>-</b>	A	A	
416	M/A in '84	-	-	-	-	A	

		1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Upstrea	am subtotals	•	<u>.</u>				
						•	,
	No. bears		•				
_	ially alive						
	des ND) in year						
	des natural	. 04/20 101					
mortali	ities (M:F)	24(12:12)	24(12:12)	30(13:17)	28(11:17)	25(8:17)	ND
No. kno	own shot (M:F)	4(2:2)	2(2:0)	2(2:0)	2(2:0)	2(1:1)	ND
No. add	ditional bears						
	ted shot (M:F)	0	0	0.	0	0	ИD
% known	n or suspected shot	178	8%	7%	7%	8%	ND
Downstr	ream Study Area		,				
343	M/5 in '81	•	A	A	A	A	
365	M/5 in'82	<b>.</b>	-	A.	Died-F	-	-
366	M/6 in 182	· 🖦	· <del></del>	Shot-F	-	-	_
367	F/4 in '82	•	-	A	Shot-S	-	-
369	F/4 in '82		-	<b>A</b> .	A	A	
370	F/7 in '82	-	-	A	(Shot?)-S	-	-
372	F/9 in '82	•	•	A	(Shot?)-S	-	-
374	F/7 in '82	<b>æ</b> ÷	<b></b>	A	Shot-F	_	-
375	F/5 in '82	ten:	-	A	A	A	
376	F/6 in '82	-		A	A	A	
377	F/5 in '82	•	_	A	A	A	
378	F/6 in '82		-	A	A	A	
402	F/10 in '83	•	_	<b>es</b>	A	A	
404	F/11 in '83		_	-	A	A	
405	F/17 in '83	-	•		A	A	
406	F/11 in '83	-	_	_	A	A	
408	M/3 in '83	<b>.</b>	-	_	A	A	
409	F/5 in '83	-	<b>6</b>	-	A	A	
410	F/7 in '83	-	•	-	Shot-S	•	-
411	F/8 in '83	-	-		A	A	
	ream.subtotals						······································
	o. bears potentially				د.		
	(includes ND) in yea				·		
	des natural mortalit	les)	1/1 01	10/2 0	70/0	10/0	N.D.
(M:F)		-	1(1:0)	12(3:9)	18(2:16)	13(2:11)	ND
No. kn	own shot (M:F)	•	0	1(1:0)	3 (0:3)	0	ND
N/A = 4.	ditional bears						
	ted shot (M:F)	_	0	0	2(0:2)	0	ND
Suspeci	cca shot (Mir)	<del></del>		<u> </u>	#\V•&/	<u> </u>	1117
% know	n or suspected shot	-	-	8%	28%	0	ND

<b>4</b>	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
Upstream & Downstream Areas	s Combined					
Total bears potentially alive in year (excludes natural mortalities, includes ND) (M:F)	24(12:12)	25(13:12)	42(16:26)	46(13:33)	38(10:28)	ND
No. known shot (M:F)	4(2:2)	2(2:0)	3(3:0)	5(2:3)	2(1:1)	ND
No. additional bears						
suspected shot (M:F)	0	0	0	2 (0:2)	0	ND
		•				
% known or suspected shot	17%	_8%	7%	15%	5%	ND

		Trai	nsect 1		******	Transect 4				Transect 2			
Location Elevation Aspect Slope	and Oshetna (upstream) vation 2325 feet ect 218° pe 8°					Confluence of Susitna R. and Deadman (downstream) 2100 feet 239° 4°				Vee Canyon- Oshetna Ck. (upstream) 3050 feet 216° 5°			
Vegetation type Date	8/21/82	8/18/83	8/23/84	8/30/85	8/21/82	8/18/83	SB 8/22/84	8/30/85	8/21/82	8/18/83	8/23/84	8/30/85	
an and an annual control of the cont			0/ 20/ UZ	01 301 03	0, 41, 04	J, 20, 44	J/ 22/ VE	0/ 30/ 03	0, 21, 02	0/ 20/ 03	3/23/03	0/ 50/ 0.	
Blueberries (Vaccin	nium uligi 303	lnosum) 238	110	160	32	41	45	34	489	1104	287	333	
range (no/plot)	303 1-191	0-120	0-38	6-26	32 0-8	41 0-19	45 1-11	34 0-11	489 0-164	59-202	287 4-66	0-119	
S.D.	57	39	11	8	3.2	6.2	3.0	3.7	54.9	53.6	23.3	36.2	
% canopy cover:	•			-		0,2	3.0	5.,	24.5	55.0	23,5	50.2	
mean	21.2	24.0	21	60	31	22.5	30.5	35.0	36.0	41.0	24.5	40.0	
range	5-60	10-40	10-40	40-90	15-70	10-60	15-40	20-50	5-80	15-70	5-55	15-70	
S.D.	15.9	11.3	10.2	19.3	17.9	15.9	8.6	12.5	24.6	19.3	16.9	18.1	
Lowbush cranberry	(V. vitis	-14202)		.*									
No. berries	28	94	109	199	0	127	302	19	45	604	688	908	
range	0-15	0-23	0-100	0-58	<del>-</del>	0-114	0-283	0-19	0-16	4-109	3-140	6-206	
S.D.	5.1	9.1	31.3	19.6		35.6	88.9	-	-	36.7	51.3	67.5	
% canopy cover:	•••		:				,					-,,-	
mean	3.4	15.1	24.5	26.0	3.9	9.3	10.1	7.0	6.7	36.5	40.5	23,5	
range	0-10	1-50	0-55	10-60	0-15	0-25	0-30	0-30	2-10	15-80	15-85	5-70	
S.D.	3.5	14.8	16.7	17.6	5.1	11.7	12.2	8.6	3.0	19.6	24.4	23.2	
Crowberries (Empet	rim nigrii	n }									<del></del>		
No. berries	17	=′ 65	0	8 -	112	614	145	178	200	452	26	672	
range/plot	0-10	0-39	_	_	0-58	0-261	0-68	0-56	0-50	<b>0</b> -169	0-14	0~251	
S.D.	3.1	13.0		-	17.9	80.8	21.3	21.8	19.7	52.8	4.5	78.5	
% Canopy cover:		•											
mean	2.9	8.0	8.0	3.0	10.2	18.5	38	51 .	10,9	18.0	25.0	22.5	
range	0-10	0-30	0-30	0-30	0-30	5-35	5-80	20-70	0-50	0-50	0-60	0-60	
S.D.	3.4	8.9	9.8	6.3	10.2	11.1	25.5	14.5	14.5	17.5	21.3	21.4	
Bearberry (Arctosta	aphylos u	/a-ursi)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<del></del>	
No. of berries	22	22	9	0	0	O	0	0	0	0	1	0	
range/plot	0-20	0-19	0-6	_	-	-	-	_	-		_	٠ 🕳	

	Transect 3  Middle Deadman~  Watana Camp (downstream)								
Location									
Elevation	2450 feet								
Aspect			201°						
Slope			7°						
Vegetation type	· <b>B</b>								
Date	8/21/82	8/18/83	8/22/84	8/30/85					
Blueberries (Vaccinium uligin	ocum)								
No. berries	77	297	175	281					
range (no/plot)	0-31	0-119	1-43	2-68					
S.D.	11.7	39.4	15.2	24.1					
% canopy cover:	41.0	22.4	13.2	24.1					
mean	57.0	44.5	52.0	68					
range	15-80	30-70	20-80	50-90					
S.D.	23.0	15.0	21.4	13.2					
Lowbush cranberry (V. vitis-									
No. berries	23	102	35	<b>27</b> 5					
range	0-15	0-33	0-55	0-97					
S.D.	-	11.5	5.5	33.8					
% canopy cover:									
mean	8.7	20.0	23	15.5					
range	0-30	10-60	10-70	10-30					
S.D.	8.6	15.5	18.0	7.3					
Crowberries (Empetrum nigrum)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
No. berries	1	344	14	10					
range/plot	_	0-128	0-7	<b>-</b>					
S.D.	<b>-</b> .	40.1	_	-					
% Canopy cover:									
mean	0.4	16.5	9.5	4.0					
range	0-2	0-30	0-55	0-20					
S.D.	-	11.1	17.2	6.6					
Bearberry (Arctostaphylos uva	-urs()	······································		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
No. of berries	0	0	0	0					
range/plot	-	_	_	~					
- ander bro c									
			<del></del>						

<sup>\*</sup> Transect #2 was clearly in a birch shrub type although according to the vegetation map it was in woodland black spruce (WSB).
\*\* Not in same place as previous years probably - couldn't find flagging

Berryweights on 8/18	8/83=	on 8/23/84 =	on 8/30/85 =
for V. vitis-idaea	130 gms/1000	128 gms/1000	131/1000 (N=1399)
for V. uliginosum	304 gms/1000	346 gms/1000	253/1000 (N=808)
for E. nigrum	260 gms/1000	217 gms/1000	212/1000 (N=868)

Table 40. Subjective characterization of berry abundance in the upstream study area since 1980.

Year	Characterization of Berry Abundance	Comments
1980	normal	No special effort was made to evaluate berry abundance, black
		bears were very common in the shrublands adjacent to forested
	•	habitats and in forested habitats.
1981	very poor	Extensive unanticipated movements of radio-marked black bears
		in late summer provided first clue that something was amiss.
		On the ground inspection supported hypothesis that blueberries
	•	were very scarce. Bears were in very poor condition the
		following spring in both upstream and downstream area. Three
		marked black bears died (Table 34) in 1981 following the
		summer berry failure. Bears were common in semi-open shrub-
		lands.
1982	slightly subaverage	Berry transects supported hypothesis that berries were more
		abundant in shrublands than in adjacent forests. Low repro-
		ductive success evident in spring 1982 and bears tended to be
		very skinny. In summer bears foraged in shrublands but there
		appeared to be many fewer bears in the study area than in
		1980. Would have concluded a massive emmigration in 1981
		except that the marked bears that moved away had all returned.
		Possibly there was an increased mortality rate resulting from
		the 1981 berry failure. One marked bear died in 1982 compared
		to 3 in the previous and following years. Mortality could
		have been most marked on subadults, only 2 of these were
•		radio-marked.

(cont'd on next page)

Characterization of

Year Berry Abundance

Comments

1983 above average

Berry transects suggest more berries than in 1982, especially crowberries and lowbush cranberries. Although not evident in the transect data it appeared that blueberries were locally very abundant in forested habitats and bears did not have to, and didn't, move into the shrubland habitat types to forage for berries in late summer. Some black bears expected to produce their first litters in 1983 failed to do so suggesting delayed age of first reproduction may have resulted from 1981 berry failure. Appeared to be many fewer bears present than in 1980. Craig Gardner noted that along the Denali highway "Berries were very abundant along the Denali Hwy from Paxton to the McClaren River."

1984 below average

Berry transects support substantially fewer blueberries and crowberries in upstream areas, about average in downstream areas. Berries appeared to be very abundant in highly localized pockets, more patchy than is typically the case. Black bear movements appeared normal but some brown bears made atypically large movements in fall 1984. Between Paxton and the McClaren River, Craig Gardner (pers. comm.) reported "Berries were less abundant than in 1983 but more abundant than in 1981."

1985

In the vicinity of Watana Camp berries appeared to be slightly below average in abundance. In more upstream habitat they appeared to be slightly above average. Saw nowhere where blueberries were really thick, pretty well dispersed. Along the Denali Hwy both Craig Gardner and Jack Whitman noted independently that berry crops "appeared to be a bust" - very few were seen.

Table 41. Home range sizes for the Su Hydro downstream black bears.

	198		1983		
ID (age in first year monitored)	Observation Period (No. of Locations)	Home Range (km²)	Observation Period (No. of Locations)	Home Range (km²)	Comments
MALES					
408 (3)			May-Oct (16)	227	
365 (5)	May-Sep (11)	656	May-Sep (15)	252	died 9/83
366 (6)	May-Aug (10)	136	shot 9/82		
FEMALES					
369 (4)	May-Sep (18)	10	May-Oct (20)	26	
367 (4)	May-Sep (17)	18	May-Jul (9)	4	
377 (4)	Jun-Sep (15)	12	May-Oct (18)	25(w/cubs)*	
109 (5)	790 <del>(**</del>	and the	May-Oct (16)	26	
376 (6)	Jun-Sep (13)	21	May-Oct (21)	34 (w/3@c)	
378 (6)	Jun-Sep (14)	. 8	May-Oct (20)	10(w/2@c)	
370 (7)	May-Sep (18)	16	May [4]	(w/cubs)	lost 5/83
374 (7)	malfunction[3]	- <del>-</del>	May-Sep (16)	30(w/3@c)	shot 9/83
<b>1</b> 10 (7)	ps, 6m	<b>≠</b> i	May-Jul (9)	19(w/2@c)	shot 7/83
111 (8)			May-Oct (17)	31	
372 (9)	May-Sep (17)	56	May-Aug (13)	76 (w/2@c)	løst 9/83
375 (9)	Jun-Sep (16)	17	May-Jul (9)	4 (w/2@c)	
402 (10)			May-Oct (17)	13	:
104 (11)	<b></b> .		May-Oct (16)	36 (w/1@c)	
406 (11)			May-Oct (17)	18(w/2@c)	
405 (17)	-		May-Oct (17)	25(w/2@c)	
x(all females) = S.D. = range =	(16.0) 1.9 (13-18)	19,8 15.3 8-56	(15.7) 4.0 (9-21)	25.1 17.3 4-76	
x(all males and S.D. = range =	females) = 14.9 2.9 (10-18)	95.0 200.9 (8-656)	15.7 3.7 (9-21)	50.4 73.2 (4-252)	

<sup>\*</sup> litter lost in May

SMIL12 SM-3/page 7

Table 42. Home range sizes for Su-Hydro upstream study area black bears. (Includes individuals with 5 or more relocations).

-	1980	EN AND LEASURY IN THE COLUMN TO THE COLUMN T	1981		1982		1983	
Bear ID (age @ capture)	Obs. Period Ho (No. locations)	me Range (km²)	Obs. Period Homosomer (No. locations)	ne Range (km²)	Obs. Period Ho (No. locations)	me Range (km²)	Obs. Period (No. location)	Home Range (km²)
Males 330 (1)			May-Oct (14 )	10	dead 7/81		Dir tes da	
323 (2)	Aug-Oct (6)	20	May-Oct (19)	383	May-Oct (20)	1126	May-Sep (17)	1089(shot 9/83
358 (2)					May-Oct (17)	11	May-Oct (17)	53
319 (3)	May-Jul (6)	67	May-Jul (10)	43	đeađ 7/81			·
401 (3)							May-Oct (18)	91
291 (4)	May-Jul (7)	20	Dead 7/80		·			
322 (4)	Aug-Oct (5)	10	Shed 12/80		May-Jul (7)	21	dead 7/82	
359 (4)			<del>-</del>		May-Oct (18)	83	May-Oct (19)	154
357 (4)					May-Oct (18)	11	dead 10/82	
387 (4)			<b></b>				May-Oct (16)	164
324 (5)	Aug-Oct (6)	29	May-Oct (20)	248	May-Oct (21)	140	May-Oct (17)	170
342B(5)			May-Sep (40)	611	shot 9/81			
343 (5)			May-Oct (16)	289	May-Oct (19)	370	May-Oct (20)	501
302 (8)	May-Jul (6)	4	May-Oct (36)	326 (sheđ)	May-Jul (11)	51	missing	
303 (8)	May-Oct (15)	95	May-Oct (18)	93	May-Oct (20)	74	May-Aug (11)	43(shot 9/83
305 (9)	May-Aug (9)	48	shot 8/80					
346 (9)			May-Oct (16)	62	May-Oct (22)	91	May-Oct (16)	119
348 (9)			Aug-Oct (7)	389	May-Jun (9)	136	shot 9/82	
287 (10)	May-Oct (17)	· 136 <b>*</b>	May-Oct (15)	268*	May-Sep (18)	250	shot 9/82	
304 (10)	May-Sep (15)	35*	May-Oct (18)	41*	shed 7/82			<del></del>
	(9.2) 	46.0 42.0	(18.3)	230.3 184.5	(16,7)	197.0 311.0	(16.8)	) 253.8 343.4
range =	(5-17)	4-136	(7-40)	10-611	(9-22)	11-1126		

SMIL12 SM-3/page 8

Table 42. (continued)

Bear ID (age @ capture	1980 Obs. Period H	lome Range (km²)	1981 Obs. Period H (No. locations)	ome Range (km²)	1982 Obs. Period Ho (No. locations)	me Range (km²)	Obs. Period (No. locations)	Home Range (km²)
FEMALES						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
329 (1)			May-Oct (19)	15	May-Oct (19)	9	May-Oct (18)	24
363 (3)	M = 17			-	May-Oct (18)	20	May-Oct (18)	21
349 (4)			Aug-Oct (6)	36	May-Oct (20)	47	May-Jul (8)	16(shed)
318 (5)	Aug-Oct (6)	25 (w/1@c)	May-Oct (20)	1036	May-Oct (20)	472	May-Jul (7)	4(shed)
327 (5)	Aug-Oct (6)	3 (w/2@c)	May-Oct (35)	31	May-Oct (19)	34	May-Jul (9)	6 (dead)
354 (5)					May-Oct (19)	65(w/2@c)	May-Oct (17)	62
328 (6)	Aug-Oct (6)	4	May-Oct (19)	28 (w/2@c)	shed 12/81			
301 (7)	May-Oct (20)	18	May-Oct (15)	13 (w/2@c)	May-Oct (18)	18	May-Jul (9)	(w/2@c)(shed)
317 (7)	Aug-Oct (6)	4 (w/2@c)	May-Oct (19)	14	May-Oct (18)	44	May-Oct (19)	17(w/l@c)
360 (7)					May-Oct (20)	145	May-Oct (19)	299
361 (7)			<b></b> ,		May-Oct (18)	88	May-Oct (16)	60(w/3@c)
290 (8)	May-Oct (18)	45	May-Aug (15)	116	collar removed	'		
289 (9)	May-Oct (14)	43	May-Oct (20)	26 (w/3@c)	May-Oct (20)	29	May-Oct (17)	19(w/2@c)
364 (9)					May-Sep (16)	122	lost 9/82	
379 (9)							May-Jul (8)	29(w/2@c)(dead)
288 (10)	May-Aug (16)	7	shed 8/80					
321(10)	Aug-Oct (6)	3	May-Oct (14)	771 (w/2@c)	May-Oct (20)	14**	May-Oct (18)	29
325 (11)	Aug-Oct (6)	8	Aug-Oct (9)	136	shed 12/81 & 12/	80		
x(all		16 16 3-45	(16.7)  (6-34)	200 355 12-1036	(18.9)  (16-20)	85.2 123.7 9-472	(14.1)  (7-19)	45.2 78.5 4-299
x(all Males &	Females) = (9.8)	31 35 3-136	(17.9)  (6-40)	216.7 273 10-1036	(17.8)	133.9 236.3 9-1126	(15.2)	130.5 243.8

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes atypical location of 80/81 den \*\* Cubs lost in Aug.

Bear ID	Yr. initial capture (age)	1980	No. river cr 1981	ossings by up	ostream bears 1983	1984	Comments
		1960	1901	1902	1703	1904	Comments
<u>Males</u> (upstre							
416	1984 (A)	-	-	-	-	1	active
330	1981(1)	-	0	*	-	-	318's cub, died fall '81
323	1980(2)	2	4	2	3	-	-dead (in hunter's cabin)
358	1982 (2)	-		0	2	0 .	natural mortality 7/84
319	1980(3)	4	3	-	-	-	dead, 9/81
401	1983 (3)	-	•	•	2	8	active
291	1980 (4)	0	<b></b>	<b>6</b> 00	-	-	dead 8/80
322	1980 (4)	0	•	1		<b>10</b>	dead 6/82, (shed collar '81, recap '82)
320	1980(4)	1	Ø66	-	-	-	shot (hunter) 9/80
357	1982 (4)	-	-	4	=	-	dead 3/83
359	1982 (4)	-	-	0	0	8 .	active
387	1983 (4)	-	•	-	0	0	active
324	1980(5)	0	4	4	4	0	shot (hunter) 9/84
342B	1981 (5)	-	0	-	-	-	shot (hunter) 9/81
343	1981 (5)	-	3	3	2	4	active
300	1980 (7)	-	-	-	-	-	dead 5/80
360	1982 (7)	-		2	4	. 0	shed collar 4/84
302	1980(8)	0	12	2	-	2	collar shed '80; recaptured but radio failure in 1982
303	1980 (8)	2	0	0	0	•	shot (hunter) 9/83
305	1980 (9)	2	gras.	-	-	•	shot (hunter) 8/80
346	1981 (9)	-	2	4	8	0	natural mortality 5/84
348	1981 (9)	-	2	1	-	-	shot (hunter) 9/82
287	1980 (10)	0	2	2	-	-	shot (hunter) 9/82
304	1980(10)	0	0	11	-	_	shed collar 5/82
Total males (upstream)	. =	11	32	26	25	23	

	Yr. Initial			ossings by up	stream bears	<u> </u>	•
Bear ID	capture (age)	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Comments
<u>Females</u> (upstro	eam)						
329	1981 (1)	-	2	2	5	10	327's cub
349	1981 (4)	-	0	0	0	0	shed collar 7/83
363	1982 (4)	-	-	0	0	0*2	active
379	1983 (4)	-	-	-	0	-	dead; possibly killed by other bears
318	1980(5)	0*1	0	0.	0	-	shed collar
326	1980(5)	0	-		-	•	shot
327	1980(5)	1*2	8 <sub>y1</sub>	7	1*2	-	dead 7/83
354	1982(5)	-	-	0*2	0	0*2	active
328	1980(6)	-	0*2	0	-	0	shed collar 1982, active
364	1982 (6)	-		7	-	6 <sub>y1</sub> .	missing ** 9/82
301	1980(7)	2	0*2	0	<b>~</b>	<u>-</u>	shed collar 8/83
317	1980(7)	0*2	0 <sub>y1</sub>	0	0*1	<sup>0</sup> у1	active
361	1982(7)	-		2	0*3	<sup>0</sup> у3	active
290	1980 (8)	4*1	. 0	-	-	<u>.</u>	not recollared (infected neck)
289	1980 (9)	4	0*3	<sup>0</sup> y1	1*2	<sup>5</sup> y1	active
288	1980(10)	0*3	·	-	-	-	shed collar 9/80
321	1980 (10)	0	2*2	0	0	0*1	active
325	1980(11)	0	2	-	-	-	shed collar 1981, 1982
316	1980(11)	0	22	-		<u> </u>	shed collar 1981, 1982
Total females (upstream)		11	14	18	7	21	
Total both sex (upstream)	es	22	46	44	32	44	•

(continued)

Tá

				•		
Table 43. (cont	Inued)					SMIL SM-
Bear ID	Yr. Initial capture (age)	No. of Rive	r Crossings by dow 1983	nstream Bears 1984	Comments	Diti-
Males	capeare (age)			1703	Condiencs	Transfer to the second of the
(downstream)						
408	1983 (3)	-	0	2	active	
365	1982 (5)	0	0	~	dead 9/83	
366	1982 (6)	1	-		shot 8/82	
Total Males		1	0	2		
Females (downstream)						
	1002/21	•	•			
369	1982(3)	0	0	0*2	active	
367	1982(4)	0	0	-	shot ("DLP")	
377	1982 (4)	2	3	3	active	
409	1983 (5)	-	0	0	active	
376	1982 (6)	<sup>2</sup> y1	<sup>4</sup> *3	<sup>2</sup> y3	active	
378	1982 (6)	0	0*1	0 <sub>y2</sub>	active	
410	1983 (7)	-	0	<b>=</b>	shot ("DLP" 7/83)	
374	1982(7)	0	0*3	<u>.</u>	shot 9/83	
370	1982(7)	Ó	$o_{\star_2}$	~	missing**	
411	1983 (8)	-	²y2	2*2	active	
375	1982(9)	5	4*1	3 <sub>y 2</sub>	active	-
372	1982(9)	O.	0*2	# #	missing**	
402	1983 (10)	-	2 <sub>y</sub> 3	2	active	
404	1983 (11)	-	2 <sub>*1</sub>	2	active	
406	1983 (11)	-	o <sub>*2</sub>	о <sub><b>у</b>2</sub>	missing 10/84	
405	1983 (17)	-	<b>-2</b>	y 2 0 73	active	
Total females						
(downstream)		9	17	14		
Total both sexes (downstream)		10	17	16		

<sup>\*\*</sup> possible unreported hunter kill, collar failure, or emigration.

Reprod. status: \* = cub of year

y = yrlg.

Table 44. Scat analyses of brown bear and black bear scats collected in the Su-Hydro study area, 1984. (Analyses done by Paul Smith, ADF&G, Soldotna). Values are % volume (T=trace, 2=6-25%, 3=26-50%, 4=51-75%, 5=76-100%).

ate	Species of		Sample														·			_	
ollected	bear	Place	No.	Comments	1	2 ·	3	4	5	6	9	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
ummer - Fa	all - Sloughs	<del></del>		/		<u> </u>		-										· <del></del> .	<u></u>		
3/3/84	?	upstm	6	1700' elev.		2		2									T				4
3/5/84	?	upstm	19	Watana Camp	2	2					3					T				3	
3/5/84	?	upstm	4	Watana Camp		T										2	T			5	
3/15/84	?	dstm	55	Lane Ck.					4									2	2		
3/15/84	3	đstm	60	Slough 8B					3		3			*					2		
3/15/84	?	dstm	64	Portage Ck. S.					5										T		
3/15/84	?	dstm	65	McKensie Ck.					5								,				
/15/84	?	dstm	66	Lane Ck.					5										T		
3/16/84	?	dstm	28	Slough 28					5								T	T			
3/16/84	?	dstm	29	Slough BA					4					T				2			
3/16/84	?	dstm	30	Slough A					4					_				2	2		
3/16/84	BKB	dstm	31	Slough 9					3							T		3	2		
3/16/84	?	dstm	32	Slough A					3		-			T		_		3	T		
3/16/84	?	dstm	33	Slough A					3					•			i	3	T 2		
3/16/84	ş	dstm	34	Slough 11					3		T					T	T	3	Ť		
3/16/84	3	dstm	35	Slough 8A					3		_					-	_	3	_		
3/16/84	?	đstm	36	Slough 9A					5				T					_	T		
3/16/84	?	dstm	37	Slough 11					Ā		T		-					2	$\bar{2}$		
3/16/84		ástm	38	Slough 11					Ã		-							2	2		
3/16/84	?	đstm	39	Slough 9A	T				5									Ī	_		
8/16/84		dstm	40.	Slough 21	2				2		2						T	- 2	2		
3/16/84	,	dstm	41	Slough 21	-				2		2		T				•	2	2		
8/16/84	?	dstm	42	Slough 21					÷		•		•					•	2		
3/16/84	?	dstm	43	Slough 21	2				3		2								Ť		
3/16/84	?	dstm	44	Slough 21	2				5		4								T T		
3/16/84	\$	đstm	45	4th July Ck.					<i>3</i>							•		3	Ť		
3/16/8 <b>4</b>	\$	dstm	46	Slough 8A					A		T					•		2	•		
3/16/84	\$	dstm	47	Slough 11					3									2	5		
3/16/84		dstm	48						Z Tr								T	3	T		
3/16/84	; ?	dstm	49	Slough 8A Slough 9A					2									3	3		
3/16/84 3/16/84	, ,		50						3									3	3		
3/16/84 3/16/84	Š E	dstm dstm		Riverbank					3									3			
3/16/84 3/16/84			51 52	Slough 8A					T.		m							2			
) 10/ 04 ) 16 / 04	?	dstm	52 53	Slough 8A	m				3		T							Ť	2		
3/16/84	?	dstm	53	Slough 8A	T				ą									r	4		
3/16/84	3	dstm	54	5th July Ck.					Ď	-									2		
3/16/84	′ 3	dstm	56	5th July Ck.		T			2	3								•	3		
3/16/84	. 3	dstm	57	5th July Ck.					3									2	2		
3/16/84	?	dstm	58	5th July Ck.					_									2 .	4		
3/16/84	?	dstm	62	Slough 9					2									3	2		
3/16/84	BKB	đstm	61	Slough 8A					2					2				3	Ī		
3/16/84	3	dstm	59	Slough A					5									T	T		
3/16/84	?	dstm	63	Slough 9	_	_			5											_	
3/23/84	3	upstm	15	E. Fk. Watana	2	T					3						_			3	
3/23/84	?	upstm	16	E, Fk. Watana	3	T					3						T			3	

Table 44 (cont'd)

No. to	Coorden of		Comple																	
Date Collected	Species of bear	Place	Sample No.	Comments	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
SPRING SAM	PLES										<del>.,</del>						200-100			
5/15/84	BRB 299	upstm	7	Susitna		2		4				T	•							
5/15/84	BRB 418	upstm	5	y1g w/299		5						T								
5/15/84	BRB 417	upstm	11	ylg w/299		T		3				3					T	•		
5/15/84	BRB 419	upstm	12	ylg w/299		•	•	5				T					T			
5/15/84	BRB 399	upstm	14	Susttna		T					3	4		•						
5/16/84	BRB 312	upstm	8	Stomach		T					T		5		,					
5/16/84	BKB 349	upstm	1.	Anal plug																
5/18/84	BRB 422	upstm	9	On old moose																
		_		k111		2		2				4					T			
5/27/84	BRB	upstm	10	On calf kill		T		2				5					T			
5/27/84	BRB	upstm	21	On calf kill		2		2				3					T			
5/29/84	BRB cub	upstm	3	Abandoned cub				3		2		T					T	2		
5/30/84	BRB	upstm	17	On calf kill	2							5					T			
5/31/84	BRB	upstm	2	On calf kill				4				T		2		T				
5/31/84	BRB	upstm	13	On calf kill	5	2						T					T			
5/31/84	BRB	upstm	18	On calf kill	2	2		2			3	3					T			
6/20/84	BKB	upstm	20	den of B401	3	3		2			-	T					T			
		•			•	_		_				_								

- Equisetum spp. (horsetail)
   Lichens
- 9. Grasses or sedges
- 19. Clover (Trifolium spp.)

## Berries

122

- 2. Vaccinium vitis-idea (lowbush cranberry)
- 4. Empetrum nigrum (crowberry)
  5. Oplopanax horridus (Devil's Club)

- 6. Arctosptaphylos alpina (bearberry)
  7. Vaccinium uliginosum (blueberry)
  18. Strepotpus amplexifolius (watermelon berry)
  17. Other berries

Sambucus racemosa (red elderberry)
Oxycoccus microcarpus (bog cranberry) Sorbus scouplina (Greene Mt. ashberry)

Sheperdia canadensis (soapberry) - #42

Cornus canadensis (Cornus berry)

Vaccinium ovalifolium (early blueberry)
Viburnum edule (highbush cranberry)

Ribes triste (red current)

## Animal Matter

- 11. Moose
- 12. Hare or ground squirrel, misc.
- 13. Feathers
- 14. Fish
- 15. Insects

16. Other Misc.

Table 45. Salmon abundance in downstream sloughs and streams, 1981-1984.

			No. Adult Salmo		
AREA	RIVER MILE	1981(N**)	1982 (N**)	1983 (N**)	1984 (N**)
Slough 21	141.0	747 (5)	2424 (9)	1904 (13)	7197 (9)
Slough 11	135.3	5483 (9)	4806 (11)	5067 (23)	9749 (8)
Slough 8A	125.1	1283 (5)	1804 (10)	843 (20)	3054 (8)
Slough 20	140.0	27 (2)	220 (7)	201 (20)	695 (4)
Slough 9A	133.3	484 (6)	146 (3)	217 (3)	574 (5)
Moose Slough	123.5	555 (5)	115 (7)	392 (15)	405 (5)
Slough 8B	122.2	1 (1)	190 (6)	240 (6)	1749 (8)
Slough 8C	121.9	(0)	105 (3)	(0)	416 (5)
Slough 17	138.9	169 (7)	29 (4)	182 (8)	240 (4)
Slough 15	137.2	1 (1)	178 (3)	20 (5)	611 (1)
Slough B	126.3	NA	225 (6)	9 (1)	196 (5)
Slough 9	128.3	380 (5)	911 (6)	1081 (9)	499 (3)
Slough 6A	112.3	27 (3)	101 (4)	2 (1)	3 (1)
Sloughs A & A'	124.7	437 (10)	(0)	528 (16)	338 (5)
Slough 8	113.7	858 (5)	(0)	(0)	193 (6)
Slough 9B	129.2	678 (7)	(0)	(0)	181 (3)
Slough 19	139.7	84 (6)	(0)	18 (6)	147 (7)
Slough 22	144.5	NA	NA.	274 (4)	199 (3)
Mainstream Zone 3	135.2	NA	NA	252 (2)	No data
Slough 2	100.2	44 (5)	0	103 (4)	287 (9)
Indian River***	138.6	232 (7)	6703 (12)	7958 (16)	14898 (9)
Lane Ck	113.6	569 (7)	2508 (11)	118 (9)	2837 (9)
4th of July Ck.	131.0	247 (6)	2832 (11)	636 (9)	6160 (7)
Little Portage Ck.	117.7	NA ·	407 (9)	10 (2)	384 (7)
Lower McKenzie Ck.	116.2	97 (6)	492 (6)	46 (6)	1067 (7)
5th of July Ck.	123.7	2 (1)	224 (4)	24 (4)	834 (5)
Skull Ck.	124.7	24 (3)	36 (4)	1 (1)	216 (3)
Portage Ck.	148.9	22 (1)	2238 (7)	4651 (13)	15319 (19)

Table 45. (cont'd)

			No. Adult Salmon		
AREA	RIVER MILE	1981 (N**)	1982 (N**)	1983 (N**)	1984 (N**)
Gash Ck.	111.6	258 (2)	163 (3)	3.5 (2)	711 (7)
Slash Ck.	111.2	. NA	6 (1)	2 (1)	8 (2)
Whiskers Ck.	101.4	212 (7)	626 (5)	273 (9)	899 (11)
Jack Long Ck.	144.5	1 (1)	54 (7)	19 (5)	27 (3)
Deadhorse Ck	120.9	0	NA	NA	378 (2)
Upper McKenzie Ck.	116.7	0	24 (2)		23 (3)
Chase Ck.	106.9	328 (8)	332 (8)	26 (5)	1523 (9)
Gold Ck.	136.7	0	37 (3)	51 (3)	83 (1)
Sherman Ck.	130.8	32 (4)	40 (4)	, (0)	126 (3)

<sup>\*</sup> These data sum all live and dead fish (Chinook, Sockeye, Pink, Chum, and Coho Salmon) recorded by Su-Hydro AA personnel (ADF&G) during stream surveys. Different areas were surveyed from 1 to 11 times during the year which contributes to variation observed between areas and between years in this data, survey conditions also varied. Note that the same fish would likely be recorded numerous times in replicate surveys.

<sup>\*\*</sup> N is the number of surveys conducted where salmon were enumerated, surveys where no salmon were seen are not counted.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The portion of the Indian River evaluated by Fisheries personnel varied in 1981 and 1982. Most fish were found in 1982 in a tributary about ½ mile up from the mouth (Crowe, per. commun.) during our investigation of the Indian River we did not observe this location.

Table 46. Characteristics of black bear dens in the Susitna study area during winters of 1980/1981, 1981/1982, 1982/1983, 1983/84, 1984/85.

	Den No.	Bear I		Eleva- tion (feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect (True N)	****	Canopy Tree overage	ENTE Ht. (cm.)	RANCE Width (cm.)	Ln. (cm.)	HAMBER Width (cm.)	Ht.	Total Length (cm)	Previously Used? (Yes/No)	A	В	C
NATURAL CAVIT	IES ffantin	a lat n	w4+1															
w/2 cubs	8	B321	11	2825	42	96	Alder	0	79	26	127	68	71	610	Yes	2	No	-
w/2 cubs	19	B328	7	1950	40	106	Alder	0	41	93	*	-		-	Yes	4	No	-
w/1@1	32	B328	8	2075	64	214**	Alder/Birch/Moss	50	49	39	84	54	44	180	Yes	3	No	-
w/2@0	73###	B327	8	2070	58	158	Alder	90	43	41	249	91	58	328	Yes	4	-	Yes
w/1@0	88###	B375	6	875	26	158	Alder/Birch/Spruce	85	- ,	-	-	-	-	-	Yes	2	-	-
w/3@0	92###	B374	7	1825	22	241	Alder/Willow	30	41	48	1220	-	-	1220	Yes	1	-	-
w/3@0	93sp.	B374	7	1775	42	92	Alder/Grass	60	33	81	-	-	36	117	Yes	-	-	-
_ w/2@0	113	B354	5	2650	40	307	Spruce/D. Birch/Gra	ss 10	64	34	179	99	66	480	Yes	2	No	-
125 w/1@1	129	B289	13	1875	49	137	Aspen/Willow/Alder	55	55	32	327	40	64	327	Yes	2	-	Yes
w/2@1	168	B363	7	3000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-,	No
w/2@1	169	B354	8	3140	27	295	Shrub/Tundra	0	38	50	172	111	69	-	Yes?	3	-	No
w/1@1	172*	B321	15	2845	47	276	Shrub/Tundra	0	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	No	-
2/3@0	180	B328	11	2095	57	177	Alder/Birch	0	57	54	137	54	76	229	Yes?	4	No	-
w/2@1	184	B411	10	1490	38	345	Alder/Birch	10	40	32	132	82	58	212	Yes	2	-	-
w/2@0###	158***	B289	9	1960	47	135	Alder/Birch	15	22	42	219	73	74	390	Yes	3	-	Yes
FEMALES w/	o offsp 85*	ring (a B377	t exit) 6	2270	47	15	Alder/Grass	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0 11	33	B318	7	1890	41	249	Birch	0	51	43	69	76	62	654	Yes	3	No	-
? collar shed in de	n 6	B325	12	1490	`30	66	Birch/Alder/Spruce	50	49	27	100	74	55	113	Yes	2	No	-
	115	B348	4	3125	38	77	Shrub	20	106	33	146	73	80	475	Yes	2	-	-
	144	B376	7	2075	23	73	Alder/Grass	30	53	43	189	96	75**	433	Yes	3	-	No
	185	B405	19	1985	18	353	Alder	0	38	58	232	103	61	336	Yes	3	-	-
	191*	B375	12	1700	45	6	Alder (continued o	0				_	-	-		_		

Table 46.	(continue	d)		Eleva-				% Canopy	ENIMT	ANCE	,	CHAMBER		Total	Previously			
	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	tion (feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect*	**	Tree Coverage	Ht.	Width (cm.)	In.	Width (cm.)	Ht.	Length (cm)	Used? (Yes/No)	A	В	С
MALES		<u> </u>															<del></del>	
	7#	B287	11	1700	46	58	Cottonwood/Willow/ Birch	′ 50	62	44	122	89	42	-	Yes	2	No	-
	9###	B324	6	2240	. 30	336	Alder	0	38	34	137	70	45	-	Yes	3	No	-
	10#	B303	8	1690	50	296	Willow/Alder/Asper	ı -	93	36	108	82	94	869	Yes	1	No	-
	13*	B304*	11	4340	24	300	Rock pile/Tundra	0	·	-	-	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	?*		No	-
	18*	B322*	5	1840	53	46	Alder/rock slide	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ <b>*</b>	-	~	Yes
	###49***	B323	4	1950	-	124	Spruce/Birch	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	Yes
	51	B323	5	2370	30	56	Spruce/Birch	0	38	53	-	-	48	-	Yes	4	-	No
	66	B343	7	1900	60	288	Alders	40	76	86	-	<del>-</del>	71	488	Yes	3	No	-
126	95	B360	8	2150	48	41	Birch/Spruce	40	81	38	_	64	97	465	Yes	3	-	Yes
O1	157	B401	4	1700	41	92	Birch/Spruce	80	51	30	134	63	71	280	Yes	2	-	Yes
	96	B <b>346</b>	11	2200	42	86	Alder/Birch/Spruce	40	46	48	211	185	91	318	Yes	5	_	Yes
	98	B359	. 5	1875	30	306	Birch/Spruce	55	58	39	216	89	51	272	Yes	3	-	Yes
	100	B358	3	3450	30	171	Alder/Tundra	0	20	53	-	_	-	-	No	5	_	No
	156	B408	4	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	<b>-</b> ,	-	-	-
	167	B387	6	3500	39	205	Alpine tundra	0	40	56	145	106	74	421	Yes?	3	-	No
	173	B359	7	2435	43	84	Birch	60	52	49	143	69	74	283	Yes	4	No	-
UNKNOWN SEX	X 72	_	-	2370	30	56	Spruce/Birch	0	41	23	_	58	89	1068**	Yes	3	-	No
HOLLOW TREE FEMALES ( w/?@O	ES (status at 146	exit) B377	6	650	Ō	flat	Cottonwood/Alder/F	ern 90	_	36	-	89	-	_	Yes	3	_	-
w/2@1	154*	B378	8	2200	<u>-</u>	106	Cottonwood/Alder/E	Birch -	_	-	-	-	-	-	Unk.		-	-
w/o	145	B402	11	625	0	flat	Cottonwood/Alder/F	ern 100	63	27	80	102	_	_	Yes	2	_	_

	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	Eleva- tion (feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect* (True N)	**	% Canopy Tree Coverage	Ht. (cm.)	Width (cm.)	Ln.	HAMBER Width (cm.)	Ht.	Total Length (cm)	Previously Used? (Yes/No)	A	В	С
OUG DENS																		
FEMALES w/c w/2 cubs	offsprin 2	ng (at 6 B301	exit) 8	2065	34	79	Alder/Birch	90	49	43	97	92	51	151	Yes	3	_	Yes
w/3 cubs	4#	B289	10	2000	18	99	Alder/Willow/Spruc	e 70	39	72	142	127	55	290	No	1	-	Yes
w/2 ylgs	11	B317	8	2050	36	334	Alder	0	27	41	93	93	78	128	No	3	No	_
w/l ylg	12	B318	6	2725	24	10	Dwarf Birch/Moss/ Tundra	0	24	42	95	84	40	145	No	5	No	-
w/2 ylgs	21##	B327	6	2000	35	267	Alder/Birch	80	22	59	163	203	116	198	?	4	_	Yes
w/2 ylgs	50	B301	9	2275	43	115	Cottonwood/Spruce	20	28	56	76	136	98	193	Yes	2	-	No
w/2@0	68*	B318	8	1975	32	248	Alder/Spruce	20	-	-	_	· +	-	366	-	3	No	-
w/2@0	69	B317	10	1820	35	276	Birch	40	46	43	_	122	58	51	No ·	4	No	-
w/2@0	70	B301	10	2400	26	18	Alder/Birch	90	43	66	-	160	41	188	-	4	-	No
w/2@0	74*	B349	6	3250	38	133	Alder	0	-	74	-	119	43	188	No	3	-	No
w/4@O	75	B361	-	2300	21	161	Alder/Spruce	70	27	69	114	114	72	173	Yes	2	-	No
w/2@0	81	B289	12	1960	24	238	Alder	70	38	58	142	107	72	173	Yes	2	-	Ye
w/2@0	83	B370	8	1750	31	100	Alder/Birch	90	30	38	119	130	71	124	No	3	-	-
w/3@0	84	B372	10	1825	17	298	Alder/Birch/Spruce	90	36	43	76	206	60	119	No	3	-	-
w/2@0	90	в378	4	1225	34	186	Alder/Fern	90	30	79	117	147	76	185	No	2	-	_
w/3@0	91	B376	-	1425	24	39	Alder/Birch	-	38	69	84	91	74	170	Yes	3	- '	-
FEMALES w/c	offsprin 97*	ng (at 6 B354	exit) 6	2375	24	267	Willows/Alder	0	33	38	-	-	-		No	-	_	-
w/2@0	114	B363	6	2375	13	124	Willow/Spruce/Alde	r 25	39	45	123	110	60	206	No	3	-	No
w/3@1	127	B361	9	1950	9	87	Spruce/Birch/Aspen	90	41	51	150	125	80	208	Yes	2	-	Ye
w/?@0	138*	B321	14	2225	5	78	D. Birch/Willow/Sp	ruce 25	-	-	-	_	50**	232**	Unk.	5	No	_
w/2@0	141	B369	6	1300	~	-	Alder/Birch	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	Unk.	4	- ,	-
w/2@1	143	B405	18	1550	24	10	Alder/Birch/Spruce		36	59	190	127	66	190	No	4		

Table 46.	(continu	ed)		#12 · · · =					*****		_			<b>.</b>				
	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	Eleva- tion (feet)	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect*	** Vegetation	% Canopy Tree Coverage	Ht.	Width (cm.)	Ln.	HAMBER Width (cm.)	Ht.	Total Length (cm)	Previously Used? (Yes/No)	A	В	С
FEMALES	w/offspri					12240 117	regeration	coverage	, C.I.I.	(CMI)	· Cant /	(CMIS)	Canay	(City	(105/110)			
w/3@2		B361	7	2440	26	218	Alder	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	No?	1	-	No
w/1@2?	174	B364	12	2145	22	214	Spruce-Birch	40	33	39	110	113	73	183	No?	2	· <b>-</b>	Yes
w/2@O	181	B317	12	2055	32	175	Alder-Birch	20	50	59	152	133	.78	152	No	3	No	-
w/3@O	186	B404	13	1975	26	45	Alder-Spruce	10	27	67	193	91	72	193	Yes	3	-	-
w/2@0	187	B402	12	1910	21	21	Alder	0	38	63	130	98	54	134	No?	3	-	-
w/2@O	188*	В377	7	1500	35	286	Alder	0	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
w/2@1	198*	B369	7	1100	-	-	Alder-Birch	~	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
w/2@O	203*	B289	14	1600	, <b>-</b>	-	Spruce	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FEMALES w	/o offspi 34	ing (at B321	exit)	2125	22	72	Alder	10	29	43	99	118	79	193	No	2	No	_
œ	43	B317	9	2250	8	41	Dwarf Birch	0	32	36	92	89	63	150	No	2	No	_
	55	B349	5	2650	21	95	Alder/Spruce	10	39	54	56	92	55	124	No	_	-	No
	58	B327	7	1675	26	209	Birch/Alder	70	35	49	86	73	61	160	No	3	_	Yes
	67	B369	5	1410	21	326	Grass/Alder/Spruce	25	36	51	_	91	71	104	No	3	_	_
	80	B329	3	1725	31	276	Alder	90	24	43	102	84	53	165	No	5	_	Yes
	82	B367	5	1960	30	211	Alder/Fern	80	36	38	102	130	81	152	No	4	_	-
	99*	B363	5	2775	21	65	Alder	90	30	74	-	112**	53**	94**	No	3	-	No
	142	B <b>41</b> 1	9	1475	7	353	Alder/Birch/Spruce	100	34	57	139	117	57	220	Yes	3	-	-
MALES	### 20**	* B323*	3	1950	71	64	Alder/Birch/Spruce	80	166	25	217	76	36	454	Yes	3	_	Yes
	35	B304	12	1650	36	327	Birch	25	53	147	100	173	-	660	Yes	2 .	No	-
	38*	B343	6	1200	39	201	Birch/Alder/Spruce	60	35	62	_	_	_	~	No	?	_	-
	39	B348	10	1375	43	128	Birch/Spruce	20	57	91	116	172	183	530	Yes	1	_	-
	57	B302	10	2025	41	124	Spruce/Birch	40	55	63	94	138	101	188	Yes	2	_	Yes
	71	B365	6	900**	10**	-	Alder/Birch/Spruce	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	· <b>-</b>			
	116*	B387	5	3375	25	359	Alder/D. Birch	80	age)	40	_	-	_		No	4	<u>-</u> .	No_

Table 46.	(continue	ed)		Eleva-				% Canopy	DAIDE	RANCE		HAMBER		M-1-1	Description 1			
	Den No.	Bear ID No.	Age at Exit	tion	Slope (Degrees)	Aspect* (True N)		Tree Coverage	Ht. (cm.)	Width (cm.)	in. (cm.)	Width (cm.)	Ht. (cm.)	Total Length (cm)	Previously Used? (Yes/No)	A	В	c
MALES (c	continued) 126*	) · B359	6	2375	0	257	Spruce/D. Birch	50	_	_	_	_	-	354**	No	2	_	No
	128	B360	9	2150	14	127	Alder/Spruce	110	54	57	90	160	84	146	No	3		Yes
	159	B302	13	2030	29	282	Alder	0	47	77	142	111	64	200	Yes	2	-	Yes
	202*	B416	10	<b>17</b> 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- ,	-	-	-	-	No	-
SPECIES UNK	NWOND 3	_	-	2340	35	170	Dwarf birch	0	50	54	-	_	_	170	No	-	-	No
UNKNOWN CAV	ITY TYPE																	
MALIES	40	B324	7	1400**	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	?	-
	51###	# B346	10	2370**	30	56**	Spruce/Birch	0	38	53	-	-	48	-	Yes	7		No
	62	B319	4	1600**	60**	34**	Spruce/Alder	-	-	-	-	-	-	d=	-	~	-	-
12 FEMALES	65*	B329	1	1900**	45**	304**		-	_	-	-	-	-	Yes	-			
	63*	B290	9	1850**	15**	349**		-	-	-	onio .	-	-	-		-	-	No
	64*	B290	9	1700**	15**	304**		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	No
w/1@0	190*	B378	9	2000	62	196	Alder	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-
UNKNOWN SEX	61	?	?	2400	35**	191**	Spruce/Alder/Biro	h 80	-		-	-	-	-	No	4	_	No

## Table 46. (continued)

- \* Actual den site not found or too difficult to enter or collapsed
- \*\* Approximate value
- A Subjective characterization of quality, 1 = highest and 5 = lowest.
- B Will be flooded by Devil's Canyon impoundment?
- C Will be flooded by Watana impoundment?
- \*\*\* Den not located first year known but thought to be the same location as subsequently found den. 158=171.
- \*\*\*\* Mag.  $N+28^{\circ}$  = True N. of hillside
- # Used by the same bear two consecutive winters
- ## Used by the offspring during natal winter and subsequent winter ### Used by different radio-collared bear during subsequent winter

- Dens No. 8, 19, 6, 7, 9 10, 13, 18, 2, 4, 11, 12, 21, 20, 62, 63, 64 used during winter of 1980/1981.
- Dens No. 32, 33, 50, 34, 43, 55, 58, 35, 38, 39, 57, 40, 49, 51, 61, 65, 7, 9, 10, 4, 21, used during winter of 1981/1982.
- Dens No. 73, 88, 92, 93, 85, 51, 66, 95, 96, 98, 100, 72, 68, 69, 70, 74, 75, 81, 83, 84, 90, 91, 97, 67, 80, 82, 99, 71, 10, 7, 9, 19 used during winter 1982/1983.
- Dens No. 113, 129, 20, 115, 144, 49, 146, 154, 145, 114, 127, 138, 141, 143, 142, 116, 126, 128, 140, 152, 156, 147, 9, 51, 88, 92, and 73 used during winter 1983/84.
- Dens No. 168, 169, 172, 180, 184, (158), 185, 191, 167, 173, 160, 174, 181, 186, 187, 188, 198, 203, (159), 202, 190, (85), (49), (74), used during winter 1984/85

Table 47. History of den use by individual radio-marked black bears, 1980/81 - 1983/84.

			1980/81			1981/82			1982/8	83		1983/8	, <b>4</b> *	1984/85*
		Cavity		**	Cavity		**	Cavity		**	Cavity		**	,
Bear No	Sex	Туре	Den#	Assoc	Туре	Den#	Assoc	Type	Den#	Assoc	Type	Den#		Status
287	M	Natural	7	w/o	Natural	7	w/o	Dead						
289	F	Dug	4	w/3@O	Dug	4	w/2@1	Dug	81	w/2@0	Natural	129	w/l@l	
290	F	<b>-</b> ,	63,64	w/o	Released									
301	F	Dug	2	w/2@0	Dug	50	w/2@1	Dug	70	w/2@0	Shed			Dead
302	M	Dug	57	w/o	Shed									
303	M	Natural	10	w/o	Natural	10	w/o	Natural	10	w/a	Dead			
304	M	Natural	13	w/o	Dug	35	w/o	Shed		~~-				
317	F	Dug	11	w/2@1	Dug	43	w/o	Dug	69	w/2@0	Natural	20	w/1@1	
318	F	Dug	12	w/1@1	Natural	33	w/o	Dug	68	w/2@0	Shed			
319	M	-	62	w/o	Dead					*				
321	F	Natural	8	w/2@0	Dug	34	w/o	Natural	7	w/o	Dug	138	M\360	
322	M	Natural	18	w/o	Shed & Dea	ıd								
323	M	Natural	20	w/o	Natural	49	w/o	Natural	51	w/o	Dead-~			
324	M	Natural	9	w/o	Dug	40	w/o	Natural	9	w/o	Natural	9	w/o	Missing
325	F	Natural	6	w/o	Natural	9	w/o	Shed						
327	F	Dug	21	w/2@1	Dug	58	w/o	Natural	73	w/2@0	Dead			Den #32?
328	F	Natural	19	w/2@0	Natural	32	w/1@1	Shed						4
329	F	Dug	21	w/mom & sibling	Dug	65,21	w/o	Dug	80	w/o	Natural	73	w/1@1	Den #158***
330	M	Dug	12	w/o	Dead			÷======						
343	M				Dug	38	w/o	Natural	66	w/o	unk		w/o	
346	M				Natura1	51	w/a	Natural	96	w/o	Natural	51	w/o	Dead
348	M				Dug	39	w/o	Dead					~	
349	F				Dug	55	w/o	Dug	74	w/2@0	Shed			Recapture Den #74?
354	F		•					Dug	97	w/1@1	Natural	113	w/2@O	.5
358	M							Natural	100	w/o	Natural	115	w/o	Dead
359	M	•						Natural	98	w/o	Dug	. 126	w/o	
360	M							Natural	95	w/o	Dug	128	w/o	Shed
361	F			•				Dug	75	w/4@0	Dug	127	w/3@1	
<b>3</b> 63	F							Dug	99	w/o	Dug	114	w/2@0	
365	M							Dug	71	w/o	Dead			
367	F							Dug	82	w/o	Dead		~=~=~=	
369	F							Dug	67	w/o	Dug	141	w/2@0	
370	F							Dug	83	W/2@0	Missing			
372	F							Dug	84	w/3@0	_			
374	F							Natural	92	w/3@0	Dead			
<b>375</b>	F							Natural	88	w/2@0	Natural	88	w/2@1	Natural 88 w/2@1
•								-1					**	

Ä

Table 47. (Continued)

			1982/83			1983/84*		1986	4/85 <sup>*</sup>
		Cavity		**	Cavity		**	· ·	
Bear No.	Sex	Туре	Den#	Assoc	Туре	Den#	Assoc	Status	
376	F	Dug	91	w/3@0	Natural	144	w/o	Den #85	
377	F	Natural	85	w/o	Tree	146	w/?@O		
378	F	Dug	90	w/2@0	Tree	154	w/2@1	:	
379	F	Natural	19	w/3@0	Dead				
387	M				Dug	116	w/o	•	
401	M		•		Natural	157	w/o	Den #49	
402	F				Tree	145	w/o		
404	F				Natural	92	w/o	•	
405	F				Dug	143	w/2@1		
406	F	•			Unk	140	w/2@l		
408	M				Natural	157	w/o		
409	F				Unk	152	w/o		
410	F				Dead				
411	F				Dug	142	w/o		
416	M								
364	F								

<sup>\*</sup> most 84/85 Data are unavailable

<sup>\*\*</sup> Associations are at time of emergence

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Den 158 was capture site of B289 (mother of B329) in spring 1980. Den not flagged until winter 84/85, assumed was 79/80 den of B289

Table 48. History of use of individual black bear dens by radio-marked black bears, 1980/81 - 1984/85 (blanks indicate no data available, den not revisited and no radio-marked bear there).

Den No.	Den Type	Flooded	Location **	* 80/81	81/82	82/83	83/84	84/85
158	Dug	Yes	W	[B289 in 79/80 spring w/2@1]	Unk. 80/81. 81/82			B329 femal
2	Dug	Yes	W	B301 female w/2@0	Vacant	Vacant	Vacant	
4	Dug	Yes	W	B289 female w/3@0	B289 female w/2@1	Vacant	Vacant	Vacant
6	Nat	No	D	B325 female w/o	· -			
7	Nat	No	D	B287 male	B287 male	B321 female w/o		
8	Nat	No	D	B321 female w/2@0				
9**	Nat	No	D	B324 male	B325 female w/o	B324 male	B324 male	Vacant
10	Nat	No	D	B303 male	B303 male	B303 male	Vacant	
11	Dug	No	D	B317 female w/2@1				
12	Dug	No	D	B318 female w/1@1 (B330 male)	Collapsed	*	•	
13	Nat	No	D	B304 male		•		
18	Nat	Yes	W	B322 male				
19	Nat	No	D	B328 female w/2@0	:	B379 female w/3@0		
20	Nat	Yes	W	B323 male			B317 female w/1@1	Vacant
21	Dug	Yes	W	B327 female w/B329@1	B329 female w/o	Collapsed		
32	Nat	No	Ð	•	B328 female w/101	Vacant		Vacant
33.	Nat	No	D		B318 female w/o	•		
34	Dug	No	D		B321 female w/o			
35	Dug	No	D		B304 male	Vacant		
38	Dug	No	DS		B343 male	Collapsed		
39	Dug	No	DS		B348 male	Vacant		
40	_	Yes	Ð		B324 male			
43	Dug	No	D		B317 female w/o			
49	Nat	Yes	W		B323 male(?)			B401 male
51*	Nat	No	W		B346 male	B323 male	B346 male	
50	Dug	No	W		B301 female w/2@1	Vacant	Vacant	
55	Dug	No	W		B349 female w/o			
57	Dug	Yes	W		B302 male	Vacant	Vacant	Vacant
58	Dug	Yes	W		B327 female w/o	Vacant		
61	Dug	No	W	-	Unmarked BKB			
62	_	No	Ð	B319 male				
6 <b>3</b>	•	No	D	B390 female w/o	-			
64	-	No	D	B390 female w/o				
65	-	Yes	W		B329 female w/o			
66	Nat	No	Ð			B343 male		
67	Dug	No	DS			B369 female w/o		
- 68	Dug	No	D		•	B318 female w/2@0	Collapsed	- 140
<u>69</u>	Dug	No	D			B317 female w/2@0		
70	Dug	No	W			B301 female w/2@0 \	/acant	Vacant
71	Dug	No	DS			B365 male		

Den No.	Den Type	Flooded	Location ***	80/81-81/82	82/83	83/84	84/85
72	Nat	No	W		Unmarked BKB		
73	Nat	Yes	W		B327 female w/2@0	B329 Female w/1@1	Vacant
74	Dug	No	W		B349 female w/2@0		B349?
75	Dug	No	W		B361 female w/4@0		
80	Dug .	Yes	₩ .		B329 female w/o		
81	Dug	Yes	₩		B389 female w/2@0	Vacant	
82	Dug	No	DS		B367 female w/o		
83	Dug	No	D <b>S</b>		B370 female w/200		
84	Dug	No	D <b>S</b>		B372 female w/3@0	•	
85	Nat	No	DS		B377 female w/o	•	B376?
88	Nat	No	DS		B375 female w/200	B375 female w/2@l	
90	Dug	No	DS		B378 female w/200		
91	Dug	No	DS		B376 female w/3@0		
92	Nat	No	DS		B374 female w/3@0	B404 female w/o	
93 sprin		No	DS		B374 female w/3@Q	# = 0 = <b>ZOMM</b> = <b>W</b>	
95	Nat	Yes	W		B360 male	Vacant	
96	Nat	Yes	M		B346 male		
97	Dug	No	W		B354 female w/1@1	Collapsed	
98	Nat	Yes	W		B359 male	Vacant	Vacant
99	Dug	No	W		B363 female w/o	Collapsed	
100	Nat	No	W		B358 male	Collapsed	
113	Nat	No	Ŵ			B354 female w/2@0	
114	Dug	No	W			B363 female w/2@0	Vacant
115	Nat	No	W			B358 female w/o	
116	Dug	No	W			B387 male	Collapsed
126	Dug	No	W			B359 male	Collapsed
127	Dug	Yes	W			B361 female w/3@1	Vacant
128	Dug	Yes	W.			B360 male	
129	Nat	Yes	W			B289 female w/1@l	Vacant
157	Nat	Yes	W			B401 male	Tucuit.
138	Dug	No	Ď			B321 female w/?@0	Collapsed
140	Dug -	No	DS			B406 female w/2@1	Corrapsed
141	Dug	No	DS			B369 female w/200	
142	Dug	No	DS			B411 female w/o	
143	Dug	No	DS DS			B405 female w/201	
144	Nat	No	DS DS			B376 female w/201	
145	Tree	No	DS			B402 female w/o	Vacant
747	1166	NU	US			DACT TOWNETS ALO	Aacant

Table 48. (Continued)

Den No. D	Oen Type	Flooded	Location ***	80/81 - 82/83	83/84	84/85	
146	Tree	No	DS		B377 female w/?@0	Vacant	
147	-	_	D		B343 male		
152	-	No	DS		B409 female w/o		
	Tree	No	DS		B378 female w/2@1		* *
156	Nat	No	DS		B408 male		

<sup>\*</sup> Attempted initial denning location for B323, B346, & B360 in 1982/1983. B346 & B360 subsequently moved.

## SUMMARY OF TABLE:

103 dens identified to date throughout entire study area (reused dens counted only once).
51(49.5%) dug dens, 40(38.8%) natural cavity dens, 9(8.7%) unknown cavity type. 3(2.9%) tree dens.

Watana dens (	N=44)	Devils Canyon	dens (N=30)	<u>Downstream</u> de	ns (N=29)
				Tree	3(10.3%)
Dug	24 (54.5%)	Dug	10(33.3%)	Dug	17 (58.6%)
Natural	18(40,9%)	Natural	13(43.3%)	Natural	9(31.0%)
Unknown	2(4.5%)	Unknown	7 (23.3%)		
Flooded	24(54.5%)	Flooded	1 (3.3%)	Flooded	0(0.0%)
Not flooded	20(45.5%)	Not flooded	28 (93.3%)	Not flooded	29(100.0%)
		Unknown	1 (3.3%)		

<sup>\*\*</sup> Attempted denning location for B324 & B325 in 1981/1982. B324 subsequently moved.

DS= Downstream of impoundment zone. \*\*\* W= Watana,

Table 49. Black bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1983/64.

		19	83 Entrance	9	198	4 Emergence	8	Da	Days in Den		
Bear ID	Sex	earliest	latest	Mid.	earliest	latest	Mid.	Min.	Max.	Mid.	
B289	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	10 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	208	
B317	F	26 Sep	5 Oct	1 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	208	227	217	
B321	F	26 Sep	5 Oct	1 0ct	10 May	16 May	13 May	218	233	225	
B324	M .	15 Sep	27 Sep	21 Sep	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	216	238	227	
B329	M	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	177	208	192	
B343	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	24 Apr	30 Apr	27 Apr	183	208	195	
B346	M	16 Sep	27 Sep	22 Sep	18 Apr	10 May	29 Apr	204	237	220	
B354	<b>F</b> .	27 Sep	5 Oct	1 Oct	10 May .	15 May	13 May	218	231	225	
B358	F .	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	203	
B359	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	203	
B360	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	7 Apr	18 Apr	13 Apr	<b>166</b> .	196	181	
B361	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	177	208	192	
B363	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	203	
B369	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	10 May	23 May	17 May	199	231	215	
B375	M	26 Sep	5 Oct	1 0ct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	196	217	206	
B376	M	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	203	
B377	F	15 Sep	26 Sep	21 Sep	10 May	23 May	17 May	240	251	239	
B378	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	188	218	203	
B387	M	5 Oct	25 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	189	218	203	
B401	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	7 Apr	18 Apr	13 Apr	166	196	181	
B402	F	26 Sep	5 Oct	1 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	208	224	217	
B404	F	26 Sep	5 Oct	1 0ct	10 May	23 May	17 May	218	240	229	
B405	F	5 Oct.	24 Oct	15 Oct	10 May	23 May	17 May	199	231	215	
B406	F	5 Oct	25 Oct	15 Oct	18 Apr	30 Apr	24 Apr	176	208	192	
B408	M	5 Oct	25 Oct	15 Oct	30 Apr	10 May	5 May	188	218	203	
B409	F	, 26 Sep	5 Oct	1 Oct	10 May	23 May	17 May	218	240	229	
B411	F	5 Oct	24 Oct	15 Oct	10 May	23 May	17 May	199	231	215	
	Mean	2 Oct	16 Oct	8 Oct	29 Apr	10 May	4 May	196	222	209	
	"s"	6.6	10.6	8.3	9.9	9.9	9,9	17.7	13.5	14.9	
	· n	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	

Table 50. Black bear den entrance and emergence dates, winter of 1984/85.

		19	84 Entrance	)	198	Emergenc	e		Days in Den	
Bear ID	Sex	earliest	latest	Mid.	earliest	latest	Mia.	Min.	Мах.	Mld.
B289	F	1 0ct	11 Oct	6 Oct	: '					,
B317	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct						
B321	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct	•					
B329	M	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
B343	M	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct		•		•		
B354	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct	•					
B359	M	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct			÷			
B361	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct				, e		
B363	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	- 6 Oct		, , ,				
B369	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct			1	•		
B375	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct				•		
B376	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
B377	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct	•					
B378	F	21 Sep	1 Oct	26 Sep		,				
B387	M	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct						
B401	М	1 Oct	24 Oct	13 Oct						
B402	F	24 Oct	7 Nov	31 Oct						
B404	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
B405	F	21 Sep	1 0ct	26 Sep						
B406	F	21 Sep	Missing							
B408	M	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
B409	F	11 Oct	24 Oct	18 Oct						
B411	F	1 Oct	11 Oct	6 Oct						
B328	F	6 Sep	21 Sep	14 Sep						
B349	F	1 0ct	11 Oct	6 Oct						
B364	F	21 Sep	1 0ct	26 Sep						
B416	М	21 Sep	1 0ct	26 Sep						
B302	M	1 0ct	24 Oct	13 Oct	,				•	
	Mean	3 Oct	15 Oct	9 Oct						
	"S"	9.5	10.5	9.9						
	n	28	27	27						

Table 51. Number of observations and percent (in parenthesis) of radio-marked black bears within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Watana impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

TIME	PERIOD	ZONE			NE 2 e-1 mile)		NE 3 miles)		E 4 5 miles	) TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	6	(100)	0		0		0		6
2.	May 1-15	31	(44)	31	(44)	8	(11)	. 0		70
3.	May 16-31	84	(55)	55	(36)	13	(9)	Ö		152
4.	June 1-15	142	(55)	69	(27)	43	(17)	6	(2)	260
. 5.	June 16-30	74	(36)	79	(39)	49	(24)	3	(1)	205
6.	July 1-15	25	(32)	30	(38)	23	(29)	1	(1)	79
7.	July 16-31	50	(40)	46	(37)	28	(23)	.0		124
8.	August 1-15	5 40	(39)	41	(40)	22	(21)	0		103
9.	August 16-3	37	(30)	44	(36)	40	(33)	2	(2)	123
10.	Sept. 1-15	24	(29)	34	(41)	23	(28)	2	(2)	83
11.	Sept. 16- March 31 TOTALS	<u>38</u> 551	<del>(38)</del> <del>(42)</del>	40	(40) (36)		(22) (21)	0	(1)	100 1305
	within zone (km²)	159	.32	327	. 07	123:	3.51	.==		1719.00
	<b>%</b>	9.2	9	19.	02	71.	72	. +6=		100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

	$\frac{\text{ZONE 1}}{\text{obs. } E(x)}$	ZONE 2 obs. E(x)	$\frac{\text{ZONE 3}}{\text{obs. } E(x)}$	X2	d.f.
All months, 3 zones	551 119.6	469 245.6	271 926.0	2,222**	2
All months, zones 1 & 2 only	551 334.1	469 685.9		210**	1

<sup>\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Table 52. Number of observations and percent (in parenthesis) of radio-marked black bears within nestled impoundment proximity zones of the Devil's Canyon impoundment (den-related activities are not included).

TIME	PERIOD	ZONE l (impoundment)	ZONE 2 (shore-1 mile)	ZONE 3 (1-5 miles)	ZONE 4 (over 5 miles)	TOTAL
1.	April 1-30	0	1	0	0	1
2.	May 1-15	. 2	33	16	<b>, 2</b>	53
3.	May 16-31	2	43	43	0	88
4.	June 1-15	8	70	86	0	164
5.	June 16-30	3	45	75	2	125
6.	July 1-15	0	21	29	1	51
7.	July 16-31	0	13	33	1	47
8.	August 1-15	0	17	17	2	36
9.	August 16-3	1 2	18	26	2	48
10.	Sept. 1-15	1	13	13	3	30
11.	Sept. 16- March 31 TOTALS	0 18 (3)	18 292 (43)	16 354 (52)	<u>2</u> 15 (2)	<u>36</u> 679
	within zone (km²)	28.92	164.78	689.01		882.71
	. %	3.28	18.67	78.06		100.0

Value of Chi Square test of the null hypothesis that the use of each zone is equivalent to expected values based on the area of each zone for:

		ONE 1 E(x)	$\frac{\text{ZONE 2}}{\text{obs. } E(x)}$	$\frac{\text{ZONE 3}}{\text{obs. } E(x)}$	X <sup>2</sup>	d.f.
All months, 3 zones	18	21.8	292 124.0	354 518.3	275**	2
May 1-June 30 3 zones	12	9.9	146 56.6	145 236.5	177**	2
May 1-June 30 2 zones	12	23.6	146 134.4		6.7**	1

<sup>\*</sup> \_ reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.10

<sup>\*\*</sup> reject null hypothesis, p less than 0.05

Bear ID	Sex	Age	Repro. status	Obsv. period	No. of locations	No. of visuals	% visuals	No. calf moose kills	No. non-calf/ moose kills	No. species/ age unknown kills	No. of suspected kills	Total known/suspected kills
MALES 401	м	4	do 84	5/28-7/1	38	24	63	0	0	0	0	0
387	M	5		5/28-7/1	38	36	95	1	0	0	0	1
359	М	6		5/28-7/2	40	33	83	1	0	0	0	1
302	M	12	1	5/29-7/1 less 6/10 <b>-</b> 6/2:	27	22	81	3 .	0	0	0	3
416	M	A		5/28-7/1	39	36	92	0	0	0	0	0
Misc. mal	.e* 324	1			3	3	100	0	0	0	0	0
	ALL	MALES			185	154	83	5	0	0	0	5
FEMALES 329	F	4	estrus	5/28-7/1	42	32	76	1	0	0	0	1
358	F	4	estrus	5/28-7/1	32	23	72	1	0	0	0	1
349	F	7	estrus	5/28-7/1	40	29	73	0	0	0	0	0
328	F	10	estrus	5/28-7/1	41	32	78	0	0	0	0	0
364	F	11	estrus	5/28-7/1	41	38	93	1	0	0	1	2
361	F	9	w/3@1	5/28-7/1	38	31	82	0	0	0	0	0
317	F	11	w/1@1	5/28-7/1	41	33	80	0	0	0	0	0
289	F	13	w/1@1	5/28-7/1	43	36	84	0	0	0	0	0
Misc. Fem	males*				22	17	77	0	0	0	0	0
321, 354,	ALL	FEMALE	4S	•	340	271	80	3	0	0	1	4
ARY	ALL	BLACK	BEARS		525	425	81	8	0	0	1	9

Number of known moose calf kills/100 visuals

3.3

2.1

Number of known or

suspected kills/100 visuals

3.3 1.5

2.1

\* These individuals were not monitored intensively during this period

Number of known

3.3

1.1

1.9

kills/100 visuals

140

Category All males

All females

ALL BLACK BEARS

Table 54. Results of intensive monitoring of black bear predation rates during summer 1984. Bears were monitored once/day from 23 July through 1 August, conditions permitting.

Sex	Age	Repro. status	Obsv. period	No. of locations	No. of visuals	% visuals	Total known/suspected kills of ungulates *
	<u> </u>					· -	
M	12		7/23-7/30	6	5	83.3	0
M	4		7/23-7/30	6	3	50.0	0
M	6		7/23-7/30	6	4	66.7	0
M	5		7/23-7/30	4	1	25.0	0
M	4		7/23-7/30	6	4	66.7	0
М	A		7/23-7/30	<u>_6</u>	<u>5</u>	84.3	0
Subto	al for male	e <b>s</b>		34	22	64.7	0
F	13	w/1@1	7/23-7/30	6	5	83.3	0
F	11	w/1@1	7/23-8/1	6	3	50.0	0
F	10	alone	7/23-7/30	6	5	83.3	0
F	4	alone	7/23-7/30	6	4	83.3	0
F	7	alone	7/23-7/30	6	5	83.3	0
F	9	w/3@1	7/23-7/30	6	6	100.0	0 ·
F	8	alone	7/23-7/30	6	3	50.0	0
F	14	alone	7/23-8/1	3	2	67.7	0
F	7	w/2@0	7/24 & 8/1	2	2		0
F	6	w/2@0	7/24 & 8/1	2	2	<del> </del>	0
Subtot	al for fema	ales		<u>49</u> 83	37	77.6	0 ,
	M M M Subtot	M 12 M 4 M 6 M 5 M 4 M A Subtotal for male F 13 F 11 F 10 F 4 F 7 F 9 F 8 F 14 F 7 F 6	M 12 M 4 M 6 M 5 M 4 M A Subtotal for males  F 13 w/1@1 F 11 w/1@1 F 10 alone F 4 alone F 7 alone F 9 w/3@1 F 8 alone F 14 alone F 14 alone F 7 w/2@0	M       12        7/23-7/30         M       4        7/23-7/30         M       6        7/23-7/30         M       5        7/23-7/30         M       4        7/23-7/30         M       A        7/23-7/30         M       A        7/23-7/30         Subtotal for males         F       13       w/1@1       7/23-7/30         F       10       alone       7/23-7/30         F       4       alone       7/23-7/30         F       9       w/3@1       7/23-7/30         F       9       w/3@1       7/23-7/30         F       8       alone       7/23-7/30         F       14       alone       7/23-7/30         F       14       alone       7/23-8/1         F       7       w/2@0       7/24 & 8/1         F       6       w/2@0       7/24 & 8/1	Sex       Age       status       period       locations         M       12        7/23-7/30       6         M       4        7/23-7/30       6         M       5        7/23-7/30       4         M       4        7/23-7/30       6         M       A        7/23-7/30       6         M       A        7/23-7/30       6         F       13       w/1@1       7/23-7/30       6         F       10       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       4       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       7       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       9       w/3@1       7/23-7/30       6         F       8       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       8       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       14       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       8       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       7       4       alone       7/23-7/30       6         F       14	M         12          7/23-7/30         6         5           M         4          7/23-7/30         6         3           M         6          7/23-7/30         6         4           M         5          7/23-7/30         4         1           M         4          7/23-7/30         6         4           M         A          7/23-7/30         6         5           Subtotal for males         34         22           F         13         w/1@1         7/23-7/30         6         5           F         11         w/1@1         7/23-8/1         6         3           F         10         alone         7/23-7/30         6         5           F         4         alone         7/23-7/30         6         4           F         7         alone         7/23-7/30         6         5           F         8         alone         7/23-7/30         6         6           F         8         alone         7/23-7/30         6         6           F         8         alone	Sex         Age         status         period         locations         visuals           M         12          7/23-7/30         6         5         83.3           M         4          7/23-7/30         6         3         50.0           M         6          7/23-7/30         6         4         66.7           M         4          7/23-7/30         6         4         66.7           M         A          7/23-7/30         6         5         84.3           Subtotal for males         34         22         64.7           F         13         w/1@1         7/23-7/30         6         5         83.3           F         11         w/1@1         7/23-8/1         6         3         50.0           F         10         alone         7/23-7/30         6         5         83.3           F         7         alone         7/23-7/30         6         5         83.3           F         9         w/3@1         7/23-7/30         6         5         83.3           F         9         w/3@1         7/23-7/30 <td< td=""></td<>

<sup>\*</sup> Note that if the same ratio of kills to visuals observed in the spring (8:425) were present in the summer, then only 1.1 kills would have been expected to be found during the 59 summer visuals.