HARZA-EBASCO Susitna Joint Venture **Document Number**

Please Return To DOCUMENT CONTROL

> SEDIMENT DISCHARGE DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE SUSITNA RIVER BASIN, ALASKA, 1981-82

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OPEN-FILE REPORT 83-870

Prepared in cooperation with the ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

SEDIMENT DISCHARGE DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE

SUSITNA RIVER BASIN, ALASKA, 1981-82

By James M. Knott and Stephen W. Lipscomb

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OPEN-FILE REPORT 83-870

Prepared in cooperation with the ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

.

Anchorage, Alaska 1983

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JAMES G. WATT, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Dallas Peck, Director

For additional information write to:

District Chief U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Division 1515 E. 13th Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501 Copies of this report can be purchased from:

Open-File Services Section Western Distribution Branch Box 25425, Federal Center Denver, Colorado 80225 Telephone: (303) 234-5888

CONTENTS

~

Introduction	1
Description of area	1
Climate	1
Data collection and analysis	
	3
Sediment transport	3
Suspended-sediment discharge	3
Relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge .	4
Bedload discharge and hydraulic characteristics	5
	6
Bed-material data	7
Estimated sediment yield, May to September 1982	7
References	8

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1.	Map showing location, major streams, and U.S. Geological Survey streamgaging and sediment-sampling stations in the Susitna					
	River basin	v				
2-5.	Graphs showing relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge for:	v				
2		9				
2.						
J. 1						
	Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, 1982 water year					
	Susitna River at Sunshine, 1982 water year 12					
0-9.	Graphs showing cross sections and distribution of bedload					
<i>с</i>	discharge:					
ь.	Susitna River near Talkeetna,	• •				
	a. June 8, 1982	13				
	b. July 21, 1982	14				
	c. July 28, 1982	15				
	d. August 25, 1982	16				
	e. September 19, 1982	17				
7.	Chulitna River near Talkeetna,					
	a. June 9, 1982	18				
	b. July 20, 1982	19				
	c. July 27, 1982	20				
	d. August 24, 1982	21				
	e. September 18, 1982	22				
8.	Talkeetna River near Talkeetna,					
	a. June 9, 1982	23				
	b. July 20, 1982	24				
	c. July 28, 1982	25				
	d. August 24, 1982	26				
	e. September 20, 1982	27				
	c. Jeptember 20, 1302	41				

ILLUSTRATIONS--Continued

.

9.	Susitna River at Sunshine,	
	a. June 10, 1982	28
	b. July 19, 1982	29
	c. July 26, 1982	30
	d. August 23, 1982	31
	e. September 17, 1982	32
10-13.	Graphs showing relation between bedload discharge and water	
	discharge, 1982 water year:	
	Susitna River near Talkeetna	
11.	Chulitna River near Talkeetna	34
12.	Talkeetna River near Talkeetna	35
13.	Susitna River at Sunshine	36

TABLES


Table	1.	Suspended-sediment data for selected streams in the Susitna	
		River basin, 1981-82 water years	37
	2.	Hydraulic and bedload data for selected stations in the Susitna	
		River basin, 1981-82 water years	41
	3.	Bed-material data for selected sampling sites in the Susitna	
		River basin	43
	4.	Water discharge and estimated sediment yields at selected sites	
		in the Susitna River basin, May to September 1982	45

CONVERSION TABLE

Multiply	by	<u>to obtain</u>
foot (ft) square mile (mi ²) acre-foot (acre-ft) foot per second (ft/s) cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s) ton, short ton per day (ton/d) degree Fahrenheit (°F)	0.3048 2.590 1,233 0.3048 0.02832 0.9072 0.9072 °C=5/9 (°F-32)	<pre>meter (m) square kilometer (km) cubic meter (m³) meter per second (m/s) cubic meter per second (m³/s) megagram (Mg) or metric ton megagram or metric ton per day (Mg/d) degree Celsius (°C)</pre>

Milligram per liter (mg/L) is a standard reporting unit for which no inch-pound equivalent is used.

National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD of 1929): The reference surface to which relief features and altitude data related; formerly called mean sea level.



SEDIMENT DISCHARGE DATA FOR SELECTED SITES IN THE SUSITNA RIVER BASIN,

ALASKA, 1981-82

By James M. Knott and Stephen W. Lipscomb

INTRODUCTION

The Susitna River is one of the major rivers in Alaska, ranking fifth in drainage area and annual runoff. The upper reaches of the river are under consideration as possible sites for several dams and reservoirs that would be part of a large power-generation system in south-central Alaska.

This report presents a summary of sediment and hydraulic data collected at five sites in the Susitna River basin in the area between the proposed damsites and Sunshine (fig. 1). The data were collected during water years 1981-82 to determine total-sediment yield of the Susitna, Chulitna, and Talkeetna Rivers prior to any construction activities. The data-collection effort is part of a cooperative program between the Alaska Power Authority and the U.S. Geological Survey.

DESCRIPTION OF AREA

The Susitna River basin (fig. 1) lies on the southern flank of the Alaska Range in south-central Alaska. The basin, which has a drainage area of about 19,400 mi², is a contrast of steep rugged mountains towering above wide valley lowlands. Elevations range from 20,320 ft at Mt. McKinley to sea level where the Susitna River empties into Cook Inlet.

Tributaries to the Susitna River are commonly referred to as glacial or nonglacial streams. The nonglacial streams are noted for their clarity, even during intense summer rainstorms. Glacial streams are generally turbid throughout most of the open-flow season (May through October). The Susitna River and its larger tributaries are all affected to some degree by glacial runoff.

Because of the remoteness of the area and rugged landscape, population is sparse and development within the basin has been slow. The economy is based principally on recreation and tourism. The many forests, streams, and mountains are extremely popular with recreationists who enjoy the good hunting, fishing, and scenic beauty of the area.

CLIMATE

The climate of the Susitna River basin is divided into two broad categories according to maps prepared by Searby (1968). Higher elevations of the basin are included in the Continental Zone, where diurnal and annual temperature variations are great and precipitation is relatively low. Mean annual temperature ranges from 15 to 25° F (Hartman and Johnson, 1978). The lowlands lie in the Transition Zone where temperatures are less variable than in the Continental Zone. Mean annual temperatures temperatures from 25 to 35° F.

Climatological records for the Talkeetna weather station are probably representative of lowland areas. A summary of climatological data for this station (Selkregg, 1974) indicates that summer temperatures range from 38 to 62°F, winter temperatures range from -9 to 18°F, and extremes range from -44 to 85°F. Annual precipitation averages 28 in., about 60 percent of which is rainfall.

DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS

Systematic measurements of sediment discharge and hydraulic data were obtained at four sites in the basin beginning during the 1981 water year (October 1980 -September 1981) and intensifying during the 1982 water year (October 1981 -September 1982). During the 1982 water year, samples were obtained at weekly intervals from the Susitna, Talkeetna, and Chulitna Rivers near Talkeetna and from the Susitna River at Sunshine. The measurements were made to define the amount and distribution of sediment transport by the Susitna River and its major tributaries between Gold Creek and Sunshine (fig. 1). The program included:

- (1) Measurement of suspended-sediment concentration and discharge, bedload discharge, and channel cross-sectional dimensions at weekly intervals following spring breakup.
- (2) Analysis of selected samples for particle-size distribution.
- (3) Supplemental samples of streambed material.

Streamflow characteristics were defined from data available for existing streamgaging stations. At sampling sites that did not coincide with streamgaging sites, sufficient discharge measurements were obtained to develop stage-discharge relations. All measurements were made from a boat; either a cableway or sextant were used to ascertain stationing along the measuring section.

Suspended-sediment samples were collected with a standard depth-integrating P-61 sampler (Guy and Norman, 1970). Samples were collected at selected verticals in the stream cross section and analyzed to determine average values of suspended-sediment concentration and the particle-size distribution of sediment in the water-sediment mixture. Samples of suspended sediment contain particles (usually finer than 2.0 mm) transported in the stream between the water surface and a point about 0.5 ft above the streambed.

Sediment transported on or within 0.3 feet of the streambed was sampled using a bedload sampler (Helley and Smith, 1971, p. 1-18) designed for collecting coarse sediment (0.062-76.2 mm). Sampling time, number of sampling points, stream width and depth, and weight of dry sediment were recorded as a basis for calculating bedload discharge. Trap efficiency of the sampler was assumed to be 1.0. Characteristics of the Helley-Smith sampler and procedures for its use have not yet been fully evaluated. In the interim, the Geological Survey follows a provisional method (U.S. Geological Survey, written comm., 1979) based largely on field tests (Emmett, 1980).

A few bed-material samples were obtained at each site using a 6-inch diameter pipe dredge. At some sites, deep and swift waters, armoring, and the presence of coarse particles on the streambed made sampling difficult. Bed-material data presented in this report, although indicative of the sizes of particles present in the streambed

(less than 128 mm), may not be representative of actual particle-size distributions.

Measurements of depth and width at sampling sections were generally obtained during bedload measurements. Depths were measured by sounding with the Helley-Smith sampler at 16 to 25 verticals in the cross section. Stream width was determined from station markings on cableways or from sextant readings. Average velocity was determined by dividing the rated discharge of the stream by the cross-sectional area.

SEDIMENT DISCHARGE Sediment Transport

Sediment is transported in suspension, by rolling and bouncing along the streambed or as a combination of both. Suspended sediment, as the name implies, consists of particles which are transported in a stream while being held in suspension by the turbulent components of the flowing water. Coarse sediment that is transported on or near the streambed constitutes the bedload. Clay and silt particles usually are moved in suspension and gravel particles move on or near the streambed. Sand particles may be transported either as suspended load, as bedload, or both.

Suspended-Sediment Discharge

Suspended-sediment sampling for this study was initiated during the 1981 water year. Samples were obtained at monthly intervals at Susitna River at Gold Creek (15292000), Chulitna River near Talkeetna (15292400), Talkeetna River near Talkeetna (15292700), and Susitna River at Sunshine (15292780). In 1982, the program was modified to include weekly sampling at the Chulitna, Talkeetna, and Sunshine sites and to establish a new site, designated "Susitna River near Talkeetna" (15292100). Sediment-transport rates for the new site are more comparable to those for the other sites than is the site at Gold Creek because of its closer proximity to the other sites.

Suspended-sediment data obtained during the 1981-82 water years are listed in table 1. Comparison of data from the five sites indicates both similarities and differences between the amount of sediment transported by the Susitna River and its tributaries.

During the winter period (November - March) suspended-sediment concentrations are generally less than 10 mg/L at all sampling sites. The rivers are generally ice covered and streamflow is at its annual minimum. Precipitation is stored as snow or ice, and glacier melting is at a minimum.

Spring breakup usually occurs in May. Concentrations of suspended sediment increase rapidly to several hundred milligrams per liter soon after the breakup period. Samples collected in late May and early June typically contain a large percentage of sand, which may indicate that coarse sediment is being primarily eroded from stream channels or banks. Water levels are generally high during this period. Large parts of the river flood plain are covered by ice, so that flow is confined and diverted toward the other bank. Bank erosion by ice-block abrasion may be severe. Suspended-sediment concentrations at the different sampling sites are most variable during the summer (July-August). The larger concentrations typically occur during periods of storm runoff. The Susitna and Talkeetna Rivers are moderately affected by glacial runoff; glaciers account for 5 to 7 percent of the drainage areas. Concentrations for the sites on these rivers "near Talkeetna" (nos. 15292100 and 15292700) ranged from 90 to 768 mg/L during July and August 1982.

About 28 percent of the drainage area above the Chulitna River sampling site (15292400) is covered by glaciers. Concentrations of suspended sediment at this site ranged from 766 to 1,270 mg/L during July and August 1982. Concentrations during periods of maximum glacial melt were roughly equivalent to those during periods of storm runoff. During July and August 1982 suspended-sediment concentrations for the Sunshine site (15292780) ranged from 424 to 1,430 mg/L and represent a mixture of sediment and streamflow contributions from the Susitna, Chulitna, and Talkeetna Rivers near Talkeetna.

Particle-size data for July and August indicate significant differences in the composition of suspended sediment for the sampling sites. The Susitna River near Talkeetna typically transports the least percentage of sand (21 percent) compared to the Chulitna River (29 percent) and the Talkeetna River (55 percent). The Susitna River at Sunshine transports an average of 28 percent sand.

Relation Between Suspended-Sediment Discharge and Water Discharge

A common method for analyzing sediment-transport characteristics at a site is to construct a graph of sediment discharge versus water discharge. This relation is generally expressed as a plot on logarithmic paper and is referred to as a sediment-transport curve. Sediment-transport curves showing the relation between instantaneous sediment discharge and water discharge for the Susitna, Chulitna, and Talkeetna River sites are shown in figures 2-5. Similar curves were prepared for the silt-clay and sand fractions to examine supplied from glacial runoff and storm runoff. Only data for 1982 were used in developing the transport curves. Coefficients of determination (r^2) were computed from a least-squares fit of log-transformed values to provide a qualitative measure of the variance of sediment discharge to water discharge.

The transport curves should be considered representative only for sediment transport during the period of sediment measurement (June to September 1982). The curves are not applicable to winter periods (October to April). Although runoff during the 1982 water year was about average in total flow, maximum water discharges were considerably below extremes for the period of record and minimum flows were much greater than low flows for most years.

Suspended-sediment discharge characteristics were similar at all sampling sites. That is, that sediment discharge increased at about the same rates relative to increases in water discharge. Sediment discharge increased exponentially at a faster rate than increases in water discharge. Exponents of water discharge, Q, in the sediment transport relations (figs. 2-5) ranged from 2.11 for Susitna River at Sunshine to 2.37 for Chulitna River near Talkeetna; r² ranged from 0.75 to 0.91. Division of suspended sediment into silt-clay and sand fractions, however, indicated some extreme differences between individual sites.

At Susitna River near Talkeetna, the amount of suspended sand carried by the stream increased at more than twice the rate of silt-clay with increases in water discharge. At the lowest discharge sampled, sand discharge was 1,090 ton/d compared to a silt-clay discharge of 8,840 ton/d. At the highest discharge sampled, sand and silt-clay discharges were both about 35,000 ton/d.

At the Chulitna and Talkeetna Rivers, sand and silt-clay discharges both increased at approximately the same rates. Silt-clay discharge increased at a slightly greater rate than sand discharge at the Chulitna River and at a slightly lower rate at the Talkeetna River.

At the Sunshine site, sand discharge increased at a much higher rate than silt-clay discharge. For all ranges of discharge sampled, however, the amount of sand transported was less than the silt and clay sized material.

Bedload Discharge and Hydraulic Characteristics

The bedload and hydraulic data for the three sampling sites near Talkeetna and the Susitna River at Sunshine are summarized in table 2. Bedload data are expressed both as a transport rate in tons per day and in terms of its particle size distribution, in percent finer than the indicated sieve size. Samples were collected monthly starting in July 1981 and weekly beginning in June 1982.

During the summer of 1981, bedload samples were collected at Susitna River at Gold Creek (table 2). In 1982 the sampling site was relocated downstream to the new station, Susitna River near Talkeetna. The bedload discharge for the Susitna River near Talkeetna ranged from 106 to 2840 ton/d during the 1982 water year. During this same period, the water discharge ranged from 16,900 to 44,400 ft³/s. A comparison of data from the two sites indicates that, for a given discharge, similar amounts of sediment are transported past either site. The grain-size distribution of bedload for both locations showed a fairly even mixture of sand and gravel at the beginning of the summer with a steady decrease in gravel-size material as the summer progressed and flows diminished. This trend was interrupted only during the major storms of the summer, which occurred near the end of July and in mid-September. During these periods of higher flows there is a shift to increasing grain size but the median values still remained in the sand range.

In 1982 the bedload discharge at the Chulitna River site ranged from 2560 to 18,300 ton/d, with water discharge varying from 12,500 to 33,400 ft³/s. The particle-size distribution on the Chulitna River tended toward a higher percentage of gravel than sand. A typical mixture of 30-40 percent sand and 60-70 percent gravel was fairly constant throughout the summer. Storm-runoff events produced only a slightly larger median particle size. Low flows seemed to produce variable results, sometimes increasing and sometimes reducing the median size of bedload.

In the 1982 water year, bedload discharge at the Talkeetna River site ranged from 243 to 5790 ton/d for flows ranging from 5960 to 19,100 ft³/s. The particle sizes on the Talkeetna River were typically 70-90 percent sand. Exceptions occurred during snowmelt runoff in early June. For this period the size distribution changed to about 65 percent gravel and 35 percent sand. During a September storm the amount of gravel again rose to 73 percent. In June and again in August and September bedload discharges typically ranged from 1000 to 2000 ton/d. For several

weeks in July bedload discharge decreased to less than 1000 ton/d. Even during the storm on July 27-28, when streamflow was 14,300 ft³/s at the time of the sampling, the bedload discharge was only 885 ton/d. Then in August it rose to its earlier levels and remained at those levels throughout the summer and fall.

At Susitna River at Sunshine in 1982, bedload discharge ranged from 1050 to 13,600 ton/d; streamflow from 38,500 to 99,000 ft³/s. During most of the 1982 sampling period (June-September), the total bedload discharge at the three upstream sites was two to five times larger than that at Sunshine. This indicates that the excess material, moved through the three sites above Talkeetna, is either deposited in the Susitna River between Talkeetna and Sunshine or in the Chulitna River downstream of the sampling site. The only exceptions to this were on July 26 and again on September 18, when the total of the three upstream sites was slightly less than that measured at Sunshine. These two dates correspond to the two peak flows at Sunshine during 1982. Thus, the data indicate that material deposited above Sunshine during low and medium flows is transported during high flows.

At Sunshine, the sand and gravel fractions of bedload discharge varied with season and water discharge. In the early part of June the mixture was about 20 percent sand and 80 percent gravel. This coincided with the high runoff flows during that period. Later during August, when the water discharge was low, the gravel proportion decreased to about 15 percent, with sand increasing to 85 percent. This mixture was affected during the storm events in July and September when gravel increased to 75 percent.

Selected channel cross sections for the four sites, with a corresponding plot of bedload discharge at individual sampling points, are shown on figures 6-9. In most cases the location of the active bedload movement is within the deeper part of the channel where the velocities are greatest. The bedload values for each individual point across the section were estimated during sampling, as most analyses were composited from samples obtained at more than one point. The estimated values were used, together with the actual weight of the cumulative sample, to give a weighted estimate of each point sampled in the cross section. This method gives a qualitative approximation for the lateral distribution of bedload movement.

Relation Between Bedload Discharge and Water Discharge

A relation can be defined between bedload discharge and water discharge, using similar methods as for suspended sediment. Log-transformed data and a least-squares method were used to obtain a best-fit line through the plotted points. Transport curves and corresponding equations describing the relations are shown in figures 10-13.

The small scatter of data points for the Susitna River near Talkeetna suggests that water discharge has a strong influence on bedload discharge; an increase in water discharge results in an exponential increase in bedload discharge. Data for the Chulitna and Talkeetna Rivers have considerably more scatter, indicating that bedload discharge is influenced by several factors. It is likely that glacial processes are partly responsible for this increased scatter. Other factors may include the available supply of coarse material, bedload-suspended sediment interaction (sand sizes), and timing of sampling visits with respect to storm events. Most visits in 1982 were made during recession periods after peak discharge or during extended base-flow periods.

During some periods when either glacial or storm processes were dominant, the slope for the bedload to water discharge relation was similar to that for suspended-sand discharge. Transport curves developed from graphical comparisons between bedload and suspended-sand discharge were used when coefficients of determination (r^2) for regression equations were unusually low.

BED-MATERIAL DATA

Bed-material samples, representative of the sediment occurring in the submerged parts of the river channels, were extremely difficult to obtain because the rivers were too deep and swift for direct access to streambeds. Samples, representative of particles finer than 128 mm, were obtained at Chulitna River near Talkeetna (15292400) and at most sampling points at Susitna River at Sunshine (15292780). A few samples were obtained at the Talkeetna River (15292700) and Susitna River near Talkeetna (15292100) sites. Most samples obtained at the latter sites consisted of a few coarse particles. Bed-material data for 1981-82 are listed in table 3.

ESTIMATED SEDIMENT YIELD, MAY TO SEPTEMBER 1982

The sediment yield from a drainage basin is commonly expressed in terms of weight (short or metric tons) or volume (acre-feet or cubic meters). Sediment yields may be estimated by several methods, depending generally on the amount and type of available data. If daily records of streamflow are available, but sediment discharge has been measured only infrequently, the method most commonly used involves defining a relation between instantaneous sediment discharge and water discharge and applying this relation to daily values of water discharge. This method was initially used to estimate sediment yield for this study.

At some sites, however, a single sediment-transport curve could not be applied for the entire period because of seasonal changes in the amount and particle-size distribution of sediment for given water discharges. At the Chulitna River site the scatter of bedload-discharge data was such that even the definition of a bedload-water discharge relation is subject to individual interpretation. Several alternative methods were selected to estimate sediment yield for the period May to September 1982.

Suspended-sediment yield was estimated using the Colby shift-control method (Colby, 1956). According to Colby, part of the scatter of sediment data in sedimenttransport relations is due to random or very short-term fluctuations in concentration, particularly the concentration of the coarse sediments. Part may be due to inflow from tributaries or an actual change that may persist for days, weeks, or seasons. In the opinion of the authors, most of the observed scatter is probably due to seasonal changes and complex mixing of sediment produced from glacial melt and storm runoff, and Colby's method would result in more accurate estimates.

Colby suggests that if a change in the relation persists for several days or more the transport curve could be shifted to pass through or near each individual measurement. The method is subjective in that judgment is used to decide whether the measurement is representative of an actual change or a random fluctuation. An important advantage in using this method is that the accuracy of fit of the transport-curve is of small importance.


Bedload yield also was estimated using the Colby shift-control method. At sites where the scatter in data on bedload discharge was extreme, the initial transport curve was constructed based on transport curves of suspended sand. Sedimenttransport curves were constructed for silt-clay, sand, and gravel components for both suspended-sediment and bedload discharge measurements.

Estimated sediment yields for the period May to September 1982 are given in table Total sediment yields (sum of bedload and suspended-sediment yield) for the 4. sites near Talkeetna ranged from 1.6 million tons for the Talkeetna River to 8.4 million tons for the Chulitna River. The Susitha River near Talkeetna transported about 2.8 million tons of sediment from May to September 1982.

Total sediment composition was predominantly silt-clay for the Susitna (71 percent) and Chulitna (61 percent) Rivers near Talkeetna and sand (54 percent) for the Talkeetna River. The amount of gravel ranged from 0.3 percent of total sediment yield for the Susitna River near Talkeetna site to 5.2 and 8.1 percent for the Talkeetna and Chulitna River sites respectively. The total sediment transported past the three sites near Talkeetna (12,800,000 tons) agrees reasonably well with that estimated for the site at Sunshine (13,000,000 tons). Examination of the bedload-size data, however, indicates that less than half of the gravel transported past the upper sites reached Sunshine during 1982.

REFERENCES

- Colby, B. R., 1956, Relationship of sediment discharge to streamflow: U.S. Geological Survey open file report, 170 p.
- Emmett, W. W., 1980, A field calibration of the sediment-trapping characteristics of the Helley-Smith bedload sampler: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1139, 44 p.
- Guy, H. P., and Norman, V. W., 1970, Field methods for measurement of fluvial sediment: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 3, Chapter C2, 59 p. Hartman, C. W., and Johnson, P. R., 1978, Environmental atlas of Alaska (2nd ed.):
- University of Alaska, Institute of Water Resources, 95 p.
- Helley, E. J., and Smith, Winchell, 1971, Development and calibration of a pressure- difference bedload sampler: U.S. Geological Survey open-file report, 18 p.
- Searby, H. W., 1968, Climates of the States -- Alaska: Environmental Data Service, ESSA, Climatology of the United States, no. 60-49.
- Selkregg, L. L., editor, 1974, Alaska regional profiles -- southcentral region: University of Alaska, Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center, 255 p.



WATER DISCHARGE, IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 2.--Relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge for Susitna River near Talkeetna, 1982 water year.





Figure 3.--Relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge for Chulitna

River near Talkeetna, 1982 water year.



WATER DISCHARGE, IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 4.--Relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge for Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, 1982 water year.




Figure 5.--Relation between suspended-sediment discharge and water discharge for Susitna River

at Sunshine, 1982 water year.




Figure 6a.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River near Talkeetna, June 8, 1982.




Figure 6b.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River near Talkeetna, July 21, 1982.




Figure 6c.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River near Talkeetna, July 28, 1982.

15

٠




Figure 6d.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River near Talkeetna, August 25, 1982.

•




Figure 6e.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River near Talkeetna, September 19, 1982.

٠.

.




Figure 7a.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Chulitna River near Talkeetna, June 9, 1982.




Figure 7b.-Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Chulitna River near Talkeetna, July 20, 1982.




Figure 7c.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Chulitna River near Talkeetna, July 27, 1982.






Figure 7d.-Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Chulitna River near Talkeetna, August 24, 1982.








Figure 8a.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, June 9, 1982.




Figure 8b.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, July 20, 1982.




Figure 8c.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, July 28, 1982.




Figure 8d.-Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, August 24, 1982.




Figure 8e.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Talkeetna River near Talkeetna, September 20, 1982.





Figure 9a.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River at Sunshine, June 10, 1982.



.

.

Figure 9b.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River at Sunshine, July 19, 1982.




Figure 9c.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River at Sunshine, July 26, 1982.

30




Figure 9d.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River at Sunshine, August 23, 1982.






Figure 9e.--Cross section and distribution of bedload discharge, Susitna River at Sunshine, September 17, 1982.




WATER DISCHARGE (Q), IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 10.-Relation between bedload discharge and water discharge, 1982 water year, Susitna River near Talkeetna (15292100).




WATER DISCHARGE (Q), IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 11.--Relation between bedload discharge and water discharge, 1982 water year, Chulitna River near Talkeetna (15292400). Transport curves based on assumed bedload-suspended sand relations. Equations obtained from least-squares analysis were not used (r² less than 0.10).



WATER DISCHARGE (Q), IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 12.--Relation between bedload discharge and water discharge, 1982 water year, Talkeetna River near: Talkeetna (15292700). Transport curve for Qsand based on assumed bedload-suspended sand relation. Equation obtained from least-squares analysis was not used ($r^2 = 0.08$).



WATER DISCHARGE (Q), IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND

Figure 13.--Relation between bedload discharge and water discharge, 1982 water year, Susitna River at Sunshine (15292780). Transport curve for Qsand based on assumed bedload-suspended sand relation. Equation obtained from least-squares analysis was not used $(r^2 = 0.07)$.

	Water tem-			Sediment	C. Burnt					Suspe	nded se	diment				
Station name	pera- ture	Date of	Discharge	concen- tration	Sediment discharge			Doncant	finar	than ci	to indi	cated	in mill	imotors		
and number	(°C)	collection	(ft ³ /s)	(mg/L)	(ton/d)	0.002	0.004	0,008	0.016	0.031		0.125	0 250	0.500	1.000	2.000
and number	19	COTTECTION	(112-73)	(109/1)	(ton/u)	0.002	0.004	0,000	0.010	0.031	0.002	0.125	0.230	0.500	1.000	2,000
Susitna River		1980	9,060	13	318											
at Gold Creek	4.0	Oct. 7	-													
(15292000)		1981														
	.0	Jan. 16	2,080	1												
	.0	Feb. 12	2,200	2	12						~ -					
	.0	Mar. 24	1,680	2	9.1			•								
	10.0	May 27	15,900	164	7,040	8	10	14	19	26	37	51	79	98	100	
	12.5	June 23	17,800	327	15,700	26	37	46	57	64	70	77	86	98	100	
	10.5	July 21	42,500	680	78,000		17	23	31	39	49	58	80	97	100	
•	12.0	Aug. 27	26,600	158	11,300	7	10	21	27	36	49	64	86	100		
	. 5	Sept. 28	8,540	44	1,020											
		1982														
	. 0	Jan. 20	2,310	2	12											~ -
	.0	Mar. 3	1,070	1	2.9											
	.0	Mar. 30	1,520	8	33											~-
	5.0	May 27	23,600	524	33,400						26	43	76	96	99	100
	10.0	July 1	24,500	303	20,000	29	40		55		69	76	88	99	100	
•	10.5	Aug. 19	13,200	2 38	8,480	36	51		71		84	87	95	100		
	7.5	Sept. 16	34,600	812	75,900						45					
Susitna River		1982														
near Talkeetna	6.0	June 3	35,800	769	74,300	8	, 10		16		31	48	78	100		
(15292100)	7.5	June 9	46,600	548	68,900	11	14		24		46	59	32	100		
(/	8.0	June 15	24,200	181	11,800						40	47	75	100		
	10.0	June 22	37,000	4 38	43,800	13	16		27		46	59	- 82	100		
	11.5	June 30	30,200	438	35,700	22	34		52		73	79	90	100		• -
	14.5	July 8	20,700	145	8,100		~-				76	80	92	100		
	12.0	July 14	30,800	768	63,900	30	42	50	59	71	80	87	94	100		
	13.5	July 21	24,900	383	25,700	. 29	35		56		72	78	86	97	100	
		July 28	30,800	461	38,300	21	27	32	44	58	68	75	88	99	100	
	13.0	Aug. 4	22,700	341	20,900	30	39		63		- 17	82	90	100		
	10.0	Aug. 10	20,000	289	15,600	30	43		71		87	90	96	100		
	10.5	Aug. 18	17,700	285	13,600	43	51	54	77	88	92	93	97	100		
	12.0	Aug. 25	16,800	219	9,930	32	44		68		89	92	97	100		
	9.0	Aug. 31	19,300	251	13,100	23	29		48		72	80	94	109		
	6.5	Sept. 19	28,700	442	34,300	33	41	47	53	60	67	74	88	99	100	

.

Table 1.--Suspended-sediment data for selected stations in the Susitna River basin, 1981-82 water years

	Water tem-			Sediment	C					Suspe	nded se	diment				
Station name	pera- ture	Date of	Discharge	concen- tration	Sediment discharge			Percent	finar	than ci	za indi	catod	in mill	imotors		
and number	(°C)	collection	(ft ³ /s)	(mg/L)	(ton/d)	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.016	0.031	0.062		0.250		1.000	2.000
				<u> </u>	1											
Chulitna River		1980														
near Talkeetna (15292400)	5.0	Oct. 22 1981	4,530	47	575 .											
	.0	Jan. 14	1,620	3	13											
		Feb. 10	1,540	5	21											
		Mar. 25	1,150	7	22											
		May 18	11,700	500	15,800	17	26	35	43	51	59	67	79	94	100	
	8.0	June 23	22,100	1,420	84,700		34	46	56	64	70	75	84	94	99	100
		July 20	34,000	1,010	92,700	16	24	35	46	55	62	71	86	98	100	
	14.5	Aug. 24	23,500	782	49,600	11	17	24	30	37	42	47	64	88	100	
		Sept. 28 1982	5,950	129	2,070						53					
		Mar. 2	789	4	8.5											
		Apr. 8	1,100	383	1,140											
	6.0	June 4	11,500	424	13,200	22	32	37	46	54	59	68	88	99	100	
	6.5	June 9	16,900	760	34,700	19	27		41		77	83	96	99	100	
	4.5	June 16	14,500	428	16,800	24	36		48		62	68	84	100		
	7.5	June 22	19,500	880	46,300	19	25	32	39	47	58	64	75	98	100	
	7.0	June 29	29,000	1,600	125,000	34	45	56	62	70	77	83	94	100		
	9.0	July 7	20,700	1,000	55,900	26	36	51	60	69	78	84	93	100		
	6.5	July 13	22,700	1,270	77,800						71	76	83	99	100	
	9.0	July 20	23,100	1,140	71,100	30	44	54	65	- 11	78	84	92	100	~ ~	
	6.0	July 27	31,900	1,110	95,600	16	25	30	42	51	60	70	85	98	99	100
	8.0	Aug. 3	23,300	803	50,500	24	33	42	55	67	73	77	87	99	100	
	6.0	Aug. 11	21,300	766	44,100	23	34	40	51	60	68	75	85	99	100	
	5.0	Aug. 17	21,900	1,180	69,800	25	37	48	59	68	75	80	87	97	100	
	5.5	Aug. 24	18,200	830	40,800	24	34	42	54	65	75	81	93	100		
	6.0	Sept. 1	17,300	506	23,600	17	26		42		64	68	84	100		
	5.0	Sept. 18	29,200	1,680	132,000	33	43	52	58	68	74	86	96	99	100	

•

٠

.

Table 1.--Continued

. .

Table 1Continued

	Water tem-			Sediment						Suspe	nded se	diment				
	pera-	0	0.1	concen-	Sediment			D 4	<i>c</i> :							
Station name	ture	Date of	Discharge	tration	discharge	0.000	0.004	Percent								
and number	(°C)	collection	(ft³/s)	(mg/L)	(ton/d)	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.016	0.031	0.062	0.125	0.250	0.500	1.000	2.00
falkeetna River		1980														
laikeetha kiver Jear Talkeetha	4.0	0ct. 8	3,340	20	180				-							
(15292700)	4.0	1981	3,340	20	100											
152927007	.0	Jan. 17	659	9	16											
	.0	Feb. 11	530	2	2.9											
	.0	Mar. 26	556	4	6.0											
	8.5	May 29	7,300	222	4,380						44	59	86	98	100	
	10.0	June 24	7,750	407	8,520	15	17	29	43	56	65	74	85	98	100	
	9.0	July 22	15,700	498	21,100						42					
	10.0	Aug. 28	9,900	447	11,900	8	16	27	37	46	55	64	82	100		
	1.5	Sept. 28		61	496						53					
	1.5	1982	3,010	. 01	170											
		Mar. 3	260	1	.70											
		Apr. 9	432	2	2.3											
		June 1	9,440	333	8,490						32	48	70	92	99	100
	4.0	June 2	17,900	1,340	64,800						45					
	6.0	June 9	14,200	302	11,600						28	40	66	100		
		June 16	11,400	171	5,260						29	44	68	92	100	
	7.0	June 23	12,400	171	5,730						29	42	63	100		
	9.5	June 29	10,700	309	8,930						42	59	82	100		
		July 2	8,240	204	4,540						29	37	65	100		
	13.0	July 7	6,750	90	1,640						36	46	67	99	100	
	10.0	July 13	8,880	226	5,420						64	72	92	100		
	13.0	July 20	8,400	2 26	5,130						69					
	9.0	July 28	14,200	696	26,700	17	22	27	35	47	56	66	79	94	100	
	11.0	Aug. 3	8,980	206	4,990						40	56	74	100		
	9.0	Aug. 10	6,980	203	3,830						32	43	62	100		-
	9.0	Aug. 17	6,230	212	3,570						41	54	74	100		
		Aug. 24	5,920	179	2,860						51	62	79	100		
	8.5	Aug. 31	9,120	276	6,800						32	46	82	100		
	6.0	Sept. 17	17,000	612	28,100	7	9		16		34	48	73	92	100	
	6.0	Sept. 20		301	12,000						32	41	66	91	96	100

	Water tem-		<u>.</u>	Sediment				•		Suspe	ended se	diment				
Station name and number	pera- ture (°C)	Date of collection	Discharge (ft³/s)	concen- tration (mg/L)	Sediment discharge (ton/d)	0.002	0.004	Percent 0.008	finer 0.016	<u>than si</u> 0.031	<u>ze indi</u> 0.062		<u>in mill</u> 0.250	imeters 0.500	1.000	2.000
				1												
Susitna River		1981						•								
at Sunshine	.0	Mar. 25	3,800	2	21											
(15292780)	9.0	May 28	41,500	508	56,900	15	21	29	37	45	58	71	86	98	100	
	11.5	June 25	55,000	735	109,000		36	49	60	69	75	81	9 0	9 9	100	
	10.5	July 23	86,300	713	166,000		23	32	40	50	57	68	87	99	100	
	11.5	Aug. 28	62,400	625	105,000	13	24	36	47	54	60	70	80	100		
	1.5	Sept. 29	19,100	76	3,920						57					
		1982														
	.0	Mar. 2	2,660	1	7.2											
		June 3	73,800	847	169,000						42	62	85	97	99	100
	7.5	June 10	64,500	414	72,100	16	20		32		52	62	95	100		
40	7.0	June 17	50,800	360	49,400						35	42	62	100		
0	7.0	June 21	78,300	683	144,000	17	20	27	37	48	60	76	93	100		~ ~
	11.0	June 28	75,700	702	143,000	25	33	43	53	62	73	82	92	100		
		July 2	58,700	659	104,000	32	41	49	57	66	72	78	90	100		
	10.0	July 6	46,600	503	63,300	25	40	45	54	62	67	72	84	100		
		July 12	59,800	800	129,000						75	82	90	100		
	9.5	July 19	60,800	548	90,000	27	39	47	60	69	78	85	93	99	100	
	9.5	July 26	96,800	1,430	374,000	13	18	27	36	47	59	74	90	99	100	
	11.0	Aug. 2	62,400	704	119,000						61					
	10.5	Aug. 9	54,000	813	119,000	28	33	43	55	66	75	81	89	100		
	10.5	Aug. 16	47,800	726	93,700	37	42	55	67	77	83	88	93	100		
	10.0	Aug. 23	38,600	527	54,900	27	41	50	62	73	81	86	94	100		
	9.0	Aug. 30	39,800	424	45,600	19	25	34	49	62	72	80	90	9 9	100	
	7.0	Sept. 15	70,100	1,620	307,000	6	9	11	22	39	60	79	91	99	100	
	6.5	Sept. 17	86,500	1,300	304,000	28	38	46	54	65	72	82	94	99	100	

.

Table 1.--Continued

.

		Water	Average		Average		Bed load		P	artic	le-s	ize di	istri	butio	n of	bed se	diment		
Station name and number	Date	discharge (ft³/s)	depth (ft)	Width (ft)	velocity (ft/s)	Slope (ft/ft)	discharge (ton/d)	.062	<u>Percen</u> .125	tage, .25	<u>by</u>	<u>weight</u> 1.0	$\frac{t_{1}}{2.0}$	ner t	<u>han s</u> 8.0	<u>ize (n</u> 16.0	m) ind 32.0	licated 64.0	76.0
Station name and number	Date	(11-/5)	111		(11/5)	(11/11)		.002	.125	. 25		1.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	10.0	32.0	04.0	70.0
Susitna River at	1981																		
Gold Creek (15292000)	July 22	37,200					1,970			2	20	28	33	36	38	44	61	89	100
	Aug. 26	25,900					350			5	41	51	55	58	59	66	72	82	100
	Sept. 28	8,540					1.3			15	78	88	97	100					
Susitna River near	1982																		
Talkeetna (15292100)	June 3	35,800	7.76	625	7.38		2,840			3	37	47	48	49	52	54	58	74	100
х <i>У</i>	June 8	44,400	8.26	660	8.15	0.0014	1,500		1	3	53	63	69	71	75	79	86	100	
	June 15	24,200	5.27	619	7.42		831				24	32	32	33	35	38	44	76	100
	June 22	37,000	7.37	645	7.78	.0015	992			2	47	58	60	60	61	61	62	64	100
	June 30	30,200	6.52	623	7.44	.0018	442			1	33	39	40	41	43	46	84	100	
	July 8	20,800	5.15	596	6.78	.0013	324				65	94	96	97	99	99	100		
	July 14	30,800	6.66	622	7.43	.0014	906			1	51	71	74	75	77	81	90	100	
	July 21	25,000	5.87	603	7.06	.0015	360			1	65	90	92	93	94	96	100		
	July 28	30,800	7.28	618	6.84	.0016	600			2	70 78	85 98	86 99	88	91	93 100	100		
	Aug. 4	22,800 20,200	5.53 5.07	604 596	6.82 6.68	.0014 .0013	215 282			2	66	98 94	99 96	99 96	99 96	97	100		
	Aug. 10 Aug. 18	17,800	4.96	590	6.45	.0013	106			1	69	94	90	100	 90	97	100		
	Aug. 18 Aug. 25	16,900	4.50	557	6.68	.0013	110			i	69	97	99	100					
	Aug. 31	19,400	4.74	585	7.00	.0013	188		1	i	73	95	97	97	98	98	100		
	Sept. 19		6.06	616	7.75	.0014	372			2	63	78	80	80	82	84	91	100	
Chulitna River near	1981																		
Talkeetna (15292400)	July 22	31,900	10.90	420	6.97		2,970			2	15	22	26	30	45	70	93	96	100
Turkeeding (Torse 100)	Aug. 26	22,500	10.24	295	7.45		3,870			ī	12	19	27	40	56	73	89	97	100
	Sept. 29		5.95	215	4.69		2,900				15	29	44	55	77	91	99	100	
	1982	-					•												
	June 4	12,500	6.50	343	5.61	. 00080	11,400			1	14	28	35	54	74	90	99	100	
	June 9	17,200	8.01	347	6.19		18,300			1	15	38	47	54	67	82	95	100	
	June 16	14,600	7.33	345	5.77	.00068	11,400			1	11	40	52	63	74	83	93	100	
	June 22	19,400	8.07	357	6.74	. 0012	10,200			1	28	53	58	64	71	79	91	100	
	June 29	28,900	9.46	389	7.85	.0014	13,000			2	26	61	65	70	77	84	91	100	
	July 7	20,600 .	8.23	357	7.01	.0012	9,610			1	16	43	49	58	71	84	96	100	100
	July 13	22,800	8.67	375	7.02	.0011	9,110			1	11	20 35	24 40	34 45	50 57	69	88 85	99 100	100
	July 20	23,100	8.94	368 405	7.02 8.19	.0012	13,800			1	12 15	28	35	45	53	67 63	84	100	
	July 27 Aug. 3	33,400 23,500	10.07 8.22	377	7.58	.0014 .0014	6,900 7,490			1	16	38	46	42 53	53 62	75	90	98	100
	Aug. 3 Aug. 11	23,500	8.25	361	7.28	.0014	9,670				13	30	35	41	51	67	90 90	100	
	Aug. 17	22,000	8.50	361	7.17	.0010	12,100			1	12	39	46	54	66	80	93	100	
	Aug. 24	17,900	7.99	358	6.26	.0010	7,560			i	12	25	29	37	52	70	91	100	
	Sept. 1	17,100	7.68	354	6.29	.00092	7,480			i	17	40	56	64	75	86	95	100	
	Sept. 18		9.16	391	8.27	.0012	2,560			1	22	36	41	45	53	64	82	100	

Table 2.--Hydraulic and bedload data for selected stations in the Susitna River basin, 1981-82 water years

Table	2(Continued	
-------	----	-----------	--

		Water	Average		Average		Bedload									hed se			
		di scharge	depth	Width	velocity		discharge		Percen							ize (m			
Station name and number	Date	(ft³/s)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/s)	(ft/ft)	(ton/d)	.062	.125	.25	.5	1.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	16.0	32.0	64.0	76.
Talkeetna River near	1981																		
Talkeetna (15292700)	July 21	16,800	8.63	351	5.54		2,340		1	12	46	54	56	57	59	64	78	97	100
	Aug. 25	9,900	5.19	335	5.69		756			5	68	85	87	88	89	91	93	100	
	Sept. 29	2,910	3.07	310	3.05		25			6	86	99	100						
	1982																		
	June 2	19,100	7.11	357	7.52		2,800a		1	3	35	90	94	96	97	100			
	June 9	14,000	6.03	350	6.64	.00096	5,790			1	12	30	34	36	41	56	85	100	
	June 16	11,400	5.63	350	5.79		1,630				13	31	35	38	41	46	59	86	100
	June 23	12,400	5.73	344	6.29		1,410			1	32	60	64	66	71	82	98	100	
	June 29	10,900	5.70	349	5.48		620			2	44	73	76	77	79	83	91	100	
	July 7	6,840	4.35	331	4.75		1,080 -				39	91	93	93	93	94	96	100	
	July 13	9,020	4.78	341	5.53		243			18	66	89	91	92	93	95	96	100	
	July 20	8,560	4.83	344	5.16		516			1	42	64	65	65	65	65	67	100	
	July 28	14,300	6.26	348	6.56		885			3	52	81	85	88	90	92	95	100	
	Aug. 3	9,140	4.83	344	5.51		802			2	38	62	64	65	67	69	78	84	10
	Aug. 10	7,070	4.35	338	4.81		2,470			1	55	97	98	99	99	99	100		-
	Aug. 17	6,260	3.83	337	4.85		2,380			1	23	82	93	96	98	99	100		
	Aug. 24	5,960	3.73	335	4.77		1,800				14	84	95	97	98	99	100		-
	Aug. 31	9,200	4.53	351	5.79		1,460			1	18	84	92	93	94	95	99	100	-
	Sept. 20	14,600	6.55	348	6.40	.00049	2,740			1	12	26	27	28	33	49	82	100	
Susitna River at	1981				-						-								
Sunshine (15292780)	July 22	89,000	12.73	990	7.06		3,540		1	13	42	47	49	54	60	70	85	100	~-
•	Aug. 26	61,900	9.99	975	6.36		3,040		1	22	76	79	81	83	87	92	98	100	
	Sept. 30	19,100	7.70	583	4.25		385			7	62	70	70	72	73	77	83	100	-
	1982																		
	June 3	71,000	10.20	1,020	6.83		6,080			2	15	22	26	27	30	38	64	100	-
	June 10	64,700	10.10	1,020	6.28	.0015	13,600			2	12	17	17	18	20	29	54	96	10
	June 17	50,700	8.98	967	5.84	.0014	1,870			2	47	65	65	66	66	69	75	100	-
	June 21	78,900	12.18	1,010	6.41	.0018	2,510		1	12	18	50	51	53	57	62	70	95	10
· ·	June 28	75,400	11.10	1,000	6.79		6,390			3	17	22	23	25	27	46	64	100	-
	July 6	46,700	8.94	900	5.80	.0014	6,020			2	35	46	47	49	57	71	86	100	-
	July 12	59,200	9.67	939	6.52	.0015	3,800			3	52	75	- 77	80	85	88	96	100	-
	July 19	61,500	9.70	1,000	6.34	.0022	3,960			2	40	54	58	62	69	75	84	87	10
	Julý 26	99,000	14.55	1,010	6.73	.0024	8,750			2	18	28	30	33	39	53	77	97	10
	Aug. 2	63,600	10.30	1,000	6.17	.0022	3,480			4	60	73	74	74	75	78	93	97	10
	Aug. 9	53,800	9.40	950	6.02	.0019	5,220	1	1	5	62	81	82	83	85	89	94	100	-
	Aug. 16	48,100	9.39	859	5.96	.0016	2,740			2	61	83	84	85	86	92	98	100	-
	Aug. 23	38,500	8.52	685	6.59	.0017	1,050			1	55	85	88	89	90	92	92	100	
	Auğ. 30	39,200	8.81	675	6.59	.0015	1,480	1	2	4	44	63	64	64	65	66	70	100	
	Sept. 17	87,400	13.30	1,000	6.57	.0022	8,120			1	12	20	23	26	37	60	78	100	

.

a Estimated

42

							Bee	d mate	erial		_			
	Date of	Samp1ing			ent fi	ner th	nan siz							
Station name and number	collection	point	0.062	0.125	0.25	0.50	1.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	16.0	32.0	64.0	128.0
Susitna River at	1981													
Gold Creek (15292000)	Sept. 28	100a										~~		100
	Juper Lo	1306												
		160b												
		190b												
		220b												
		250b												
		280b												
		310a									0	1	27	100
		370b												
Susitna River near	1982													
Talkeetna (15292100)	July 28	120b												
	•	200ь										~-		
		290ь												
		410a									0	100		
		550a											0	100
	Aug. 4	130b												
	Ū	210b												
		310c									0	7	53	100
		400c								0	1	6	42	100
		540ь												
	Sept. 19	140a										0	18	100
		210a											0	100
		300a									0	4	30	100
		430a									0	2	19	100
		570a										0	5	100
Chulitna River near	1981													
Talkeetna (15292400)	Sept. 29	90c						0	7	52	81	94	100	
		110c			0	1	1	2	10	57	92	100		
		130c			0	2	5	15	30	68	90	100		
		150c			0	2	10	18	30	59	83	98	100	
		170c			0	4	60	76	79	84	91	99	100	
		190c			0	1	26	47	53	65	78	94	100	
		210ь												
		230c	0	2	24	84	100							
	1982										_			
	July 27	180c					0	1	3	15	46	71	89	100
		240c					0	1	5	18	44	72	93	100
		290c		•	0	5	29	34	36	42	52	67	100	
		330c										5	24	100
		380c			0	2	5	6	6	8	13	36	87	100

Table 3.--Bed-material data for selected sites in the Susitna River basin

.

[Sampling point stationing from left bank]

a Few particles obtained, non-representative sample
b Streambed too coarse for obtaining samples
c Representative sample obtained for particles finer than 128 mm

٠

Table 3.--Continued

							Bee	d mat	erial					
	Date of	Sampling		Perc	ent fi	ner th	an si	ze in	dicat	ed, iı	n mill	imeter	S	
Station name and number	collection	point	0.062	0.125	0.25	0.50	1.0	2.0	4.0	8.0	16.0	32.0	64.0	128.0
Talkeetna River near	1981													
Talkeetna (15292700)	Sept. 29	60a											0	100
	ocpor as	90c				0	3	8	8	8	8	8	13	100
		120c									Ő	2	52	100
		150c								0	ĩ	3	100	
		180a									Ō	7	100	
		210a									ŏ	2	18	100
		240a				<u> </u>						Ō	11	100
		270a										ŏ	45	100
		300c										Õ	35	100
	1982	0000										Ũ		100
	July 28	50b				_ <i>2</i>								
		70b		·										
		110c		0	1	7	50	74	84	91	95	100		
		180c								Ō	4	25	100	
		240a									Ó	7	100	
		300a										Ó	100	
		340b												
	Sept. 20	• • • •												
		40ь												
		80c	·									0	6	100
		140c							0	5	22	65	100	
		200c								0	4	38	80	100
		270c								0	1	3	30	100
Susitna River at	1981													
Sunshine (15292780)	Sept. 30	4 90a											0	100
,		560a	• -									0	58	100
		625a										0	100	
		690a									0	18	100	
		755a						~ -			0	41	100	
		820c		0	2	47	64	67	69	74	86	96	100	
		885a										0	36	100
		950a				~ ~						0	52	100
	1982													
	July 26	230c								0	2	18	100	
		530c									0	8	54	100
		650c		· 							Ō	Ã.	31	100
•		800c			0	1	3	5	11	23	38	53	62	100
		830c								0	1	15	100	
		900c				0	2	4	6	12	23	64	100	

[Sampling point stationing from left bank]

a Few particles obtained, non-representative sample
b Streambed too coarse for obtaining samples
c Representative sample obtained for particles finer than 128 mm

	Drainage area		Water discharge	Suspe sedimen	ended t (tons)	Redload	(tons)		Total sed	iment (tons	······
Station name and number	(mi ²)	Period	(acre-ft)	Silt-clay	Sand	Sand	Gravel	Silt-clay	Sand	Gravel	Total
Susitna River near Talkeetna (15292100)	6,320	May June July August September May - September	920,000a 1,700,000a 1,500,000a 1,000,000a 1,000,000a 6,200,000a	200,000 450,000 670,000 310,000 330,000 1,960,000	100,000 350,000 210,000 49,000 140,000 849,000	3,000 12,000 11,000 3,900 4,400 34,300	900 5,400 1,900 90 1,000 9,290	200,000 450,000 670,000 310,000 330,000 1,960,000	100,000 360,000 220,000 53,000 140,000 873,000	900 5,400 1,900 90 1,000 9,290	301,000 815,000 892,000 363,000 471,000 2,840,000
Chulitna River near Talkeetna (15292400)	2,570	May June July August September May - September	386,700 1,092,000 1,575,000 1,252,000 1,085,000 5,390,700	90,000 880,000 1,900,000 1,000,000 1,200,000 5,070,000	40,000 400,000 750,000 400,000 490,000 2,080,000	30,000 210,000 140,000 110,000 57,000 547,000	50,000 220,000 190,000 150,000 70,000 680,000	90,000 880,000 1,900,000 1,000,000 1,200,000 5,070,000	70,000 610,000 890,000 510,000 550,000 2,630,000	50,000 220,000 190,000 150,000 70,000 680,000	210,000 1,710,000 2,980,000 1,660,000 1,820,000 8,380,000
Talkeetna River near Talkeetna (15292700)	2,006	May June July August September May - September	203,700 770,200 680,900 447,100 568,600 2,670,000	30,000 150,000 310,000 56,000 82,000 628,000	30,000 250,000 200,000 82,000 160,000 722,000	2,000 36,000 29,000 54,000 18,000 139,000	2,000 45,000 11,000 4,700 21,000 83,700	30,000 150,000 310,000 56,000 82,000 628,000	32,000 290,000 230,000 140,000 180,000 872,000	2,000 45,000 11,000 4,700 21,000 83,700	64,000 485,000 551,000 201,000 283,000 1,580,000
Susitna River at Sunshine (15292780)	11,100	May June July August September May - September	1,633,000 3,738,000 3,876,000 2,083,000 2,906,000 14,236,000	400,000 1,500,000 2,800,000 1,800,000 1,900,000 8,400,000	200,000 1,200,000 1,400,000 600,000 820,000 4,220,000	6,000 45,000 78,000 60,000 52,000 241,000	10,000 130,000 74,000 14,000 43,000 271,000	400,000 1,500,000 2,800,000 1,800,000 1,900,000 8,400,000	210,000 1,200,000 1,500,000 660,000 870,000 4,440,000	10,000 130,000 74,000 14,000 43,000 271,000	620,000 2,830,000 4,370,000 2,470,000 2,810,000 13,100,000

٦

Table 4.--Water discharge and estimated sediment yields at selected sites in the Susitna River basin, May to September 1982

a Estimated