

Authority Care Ave.

Callon, A'uaka, 99501



2.64

· ... to

Acres American Incorporated 1000 Liberty Bank Building Main at Court Buffalo, New York 14202 Telephone (716) 853-7525

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

SUSITNA HDYROELECTRIC PROJECT

EXTERNAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING #1

JANUARY 22 - 24, 1981

INFORMATION PACKAGE - VOLUME I



19

 \bullet

G

0

M

Rent

R

9

Acres American Incorporated 1000 Liberty Bank Building Main at Court Buffalo, New York 14202 Telephone (716) 853-7525

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

.

Ē

- Project Status Report, January 1981
- Support Material To Tasks 4 and 5 Seismic Studies & Geotechnical Exploration

A

- Support Material To Task 6 Design Development
- Support Material To Task 7 Environmental Studies

VOLUME II

- Copies of Slides Presented by Acres



PROJECT STATUS REPORT, JANUARY 1981



Ð

PROJECT STATUS REPORT, JANUARY 1981



6-25

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT PROJECT STATUS REPORT, JANUARY 1981

The Power Authority's External Review Board for the Susitna Project will be first convened in Anchorage January 22 through 24, 1981. This Project Status Report is intended as background data on the Acres American study work to date.

The Plan of Study is subdivided into 13 Tasks covering specific areas of study. The report is presented on a Task by Task basis.

Task 1- Power Studies

New Street Land

Task 1, as now comprised, is substantially complete. A review of the energy forecasts for the Railbelt region prepared by the Institute for Social and Economic Research (ISER) has been completed. The results are summarized in the attached Figure 4.5. Issue of a detailed report is imminent. Forecasts of peak electrical demand for the ISER energy forecasts have been completed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants under subcontract to Acres. The attached Table 3.2.12 presents the forecast for the Railbelt for the ISER "medium" forecast.

Task 2 - Surveys and Site Facilities

A 40-man camp was opened at the Watana dam site in March 1980. Camp construction was undertaken by the Cook Inlet Region Inc. - Holmes & Narver joint venture through various native owned construction companies, all under subcontract to Acres. The camp is currently operated and maintained by the nativeowned KNIK/A.D.C. Aerial photography and control network surveys for the project area were undertaken by R&M Consultants under subcontract to Acres, and are substantially complete with the exception of the proposed dam and reservoir areas. These surveys are scheduled for early 1981.

Access road studies are in progress.

Task 3 - Hydrology

Available information on previous hydrologic studies and data have been assembled and reviewed. An index of available data has been produced and issued to study team members.

An extensive field data collection network has been established and data collection is well underway (see attached figure). The USGS has restarted two discontinued stream gaging stations and installed one new one. R&M Consultants have established and are operating a new gaging station at the Watana site. They have also installed six automatic climatic stations throughout the basin and have developed computer programs to process the large volumes of data on temperature, precipitation, wind, solar radiation and humidity collected from these stations. In addition to the above, the data network incorporates water



ALTERNATIVE UTILITY SALES FORECASTS

TUR



YEAR	TOTAL GENERATION (MWhx1000)	PEAK LOAD (MW)	LOAD FACTOR (%)
1978*	3258	. 604	61.6
1980*	3467	641	61.7
1981	3676	680	61.7
1982	3884	719	61.7
1983	4093	758	61.6
1984	4301	797	61.6
1985*	4510	836	61.6
1986	4605	854	61.6
1987	4701	872	61.5
1988	4796	889	61.6
1989	4892	907	61.6
1990*	4987	925	61.5
1991	5209	966	61.6
1992	5431	1007	61.6
1993	5653	1049	61.5
1994	5875	1090	61.5
1995*	6097	1131	61.5
1996	6348	1178	61.5
1997	6599	1225	61.5
1998	6851	1272	61.5
1999	7102	1319	61.5
2000*	7353	1366	61.4
2001	7577	1408	61.4
2002	7802	1450 -	61.4
2003	8026	1491	61.4
2004	8251	1533	61.4
2005*	8475	1575	61.4
2006	8743	1625	61.4
2007	9011	1675	61.4
2008	9278	1724	61,4
2009	9546	1774	61.4
2010*	9814	1824	61.4

TABLE 3.2.12. YEARLY ESTIMATED PEAK LOADS (Total Railbelt Region) ISER MEDIUM FORECAST

*Computed value. All others interpolated.

1

I

L

P

I

1

L

L





K \odot (APPROL) STATIONS STREAMFLOW GAGING WATER LEVEL MOW COURSE 0 PROPOSED SNOW COURSE WATER QUALITY SEDIMENT DISCHARGE IN-CLOUD ICING FREEZING MAIL SNON CREEP CLIMATE PROPOSED CUMATE -0 WATER TEMPERATURE T · 4 · · · · ·

crest level indicators and suspended sediment and water quality sampling in the Susitna River, a large number of snow course stations throughout the basin and specialized equipment to measure transmission line icing loads. The field program also incorporates an extensive river ice monitoring program for freeze-up and break-up periods.

Initial desk studies have been completed. These have involved the generation of 30 years of monthly streamflow data at potential reservoir sites in the basin using statistical correlation techniques as well as a reasessment of the flood peak frequency relationships at the Watana and Devil Canyon dam sites. Based on available information, preliminary wind speed and ice load design criteria have been established for transmission lines. A preliminary assessment of the potential impact of the project on the morphology of the lower Susitna River (i.e. below Talkeetna) is in progress.

Task 4 - Seismic Studies

ľ

] -

Seismic studies are being performed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants under subcontract to Acres. Subtasks 4.01 through 4.07 scheduled for the 1980 season have been completed with the exception of low sun angle photography. This work was held up due to inclement weather and will be performed during the 1981 spring season. The results of these studies are being incorporated in an "Interim Seismic Studies" report draft which is currently under review. Subtask 4.08 - preliminary Analysis of Dam Stability has been initiated.

The investigation conducted to date has included review of available geologic and seismologic literature and data; monitoring microearthquake activity for three months within approximately 30 miles of Watana and Devil Canyon sites with a 10-station microearthquake network; a preliminary assessment of the potential reservoir induced seismicity; interpretation of existing remotely sensed data; geologic field reconnaissance of faults and lineaments within approximately 60 miles of the Watana and Devil Canyon sites and preliminary estimates of characteristics of earthquakes at the two sites.

Preliminary results of these studies suggest that the Talkeetna Terrain, a tectonic unit in which the site is located, is relatively stable. The major strain release occurs at the boundaries of the terrain along the Denali-Totschunda and Castle Mountain faults and the Benioff zone. There has been no major historical earthquake within this terrain and studies conducted during 1980 have found no evidence of recent displacement along any fault or lineament within the Talkeetna Terrain.

The microseismic network data suggest that the seismicity within the Talkeetna Terrain can be clearly delineated as crustal events occurring at depths less than 18 miles and as Benioff zone events occurring at greater depths. The depth of Benioff zone at the dam sites is estimated to be 34 to 40 miles. There is no apparent association of microearthquake activity with any significant fault or lineament.



The preliminary assessment of reservoir induced seismicity has been made by reviewing world-wide data. Based on the relatively large volume and the depth of the reservoirs, there is potential for reservoir induced seismicity should it be determined that tectonic related faults with recent movement are located within the vicinity of the reservoirs. However, as pointed out earlier, studies completed to date have produced no evidence of any recent displacement along any fault or lineament within this region.

and balance

Additional studies will be undertaken during 1981. These studies will include detailed geologic investigations on 13 significant features identified during the 1980 activities, additional air photo analysis and low sun angle photography. Recommendations will be made for the installation of a long-term seismologic network. The results will be analyzed to refine the earthquake design parameters and maximum credible earthquake and to assess the stability of dams and the soils along the transmission corridor and the access routes.

Task 5 - Geotechnical Studies

â

7

T

I

0

Subtasks 5.01, 5.03 and 5.04 have been completed. Subtask 5.02 - Photo Interpretation is being performed by R&M Consultants under a subcontract to Acres and a major portion has been completed. Subtask 5.05 - Exploratory Program Design for 1981 is currently being finalized.

An extensive search for available geological and geotechnical data was made. All available data on regional and site geology and subsurface explorations for the Devil Canyon, Watana, Vee Canyon and Denali sites was collected and reviewed. Geologic mapping was performed using helicopter reconnaissance at Devil Canyon and Watana dam sites and reservoirs, and selected areas adjacent to the reservoirs. Ground traverses, bedrock mapping and joint mapping was done for selected areas near the dam sites.

Diamond core drilling was performed by R&M and included three NX size holes at Watana and three holes at Devil Canyon site totaling approximately 3,800 feet of drilling. Downhole geophysical survey was done for five of these six holes by EDCON under a subcontract to R&M. All six holes were water pressure tested to determine water losses and permeability. Borehole temperature probes and multiple tip piezometers were installed in borehole BH-6 at Watana and boreholes BH-1 and BH-4 at the Devil Canyon site. (See attached figures).

Seismic refraction survey was performed for the two dam sites and the borrow areas along eleven separate traverses. The work was performed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants under subcontract to R&M. A total of 27,800 feet of refraction line was surveyed.

Auger drilling was conducted in the borrow areas for both sites. At Watana, four auger holes were drilled in borrow area D (source for core material) and nine holes in borrow area E (source for filter material and concrete aggregate). At the Devil Canyon site, two auger holes were drilled in alluvial fan just upstream from the dam site. The drilling was performed using a platform mounted CME-45 drill rig and an eight inch O.D. continuous flight auger. Extreme





difficulties were encountered due to hard or gravel/cobble ground conditions. For this reason, the scope of 1980 borrow area investigations was modified considerably. This work will now be performed during 1981 winter season using different drilling equipment. Soil samples were obtained from borrow areas for classification and testing. Selected samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content, Atterberg limits, grain size and compaction.

Results of geologic mapping, subsurface investigations are being analyzed and integrated with data collected from seismic refraction, downhole geophysics and results of previous investigations. The data is being compiled in a report to be issued summarizing the 1980 activities. The plans for 1981 activities are being formulated on the basis of these data and the engineering design requirements.

Task 6 - Design Development

Susitna Basin Development Studies

 \mathbb{O}

All previous Susitna Basin planning and ergineering design studies have been reviewed. Appropriate information such as cost estimates, energy and power production figures and engineering layouts nave been assembled.

A preliminary evaluation of the tunnel alternative to the Devil Canyon dan has been completed. The best tunnel scheme, based both on economic and environmental criteria, involves a 250 ft. high re-regulation dam located some 16 miles downstream from the Watana site. One 40 ft. diameter or two 30 ft. diameter power tunnels 14 miles long divert the water from this dam to a 300 MW powerhouse located just upstream from the current Devil Canyon site (see attached figure).

Comparison of this scheme with the Watana/Devil Canyon dam alternative indicates that the costs are approximately 10% higher and that the energy yield is 15% lower. However, it is anticipated that the environmental impact of the tunnel scheme would be less.

Studies aimed at developing an optimum Susitna Basin development plan are currently being wrapped up. Initially the 12 dam sites previously identified within the basin were selected (see attached Figure 1). Field reconnaissance trips and a study of topographic mapping did not indicate that any addit onal sites warranted attention. A two step screening process involving economic, environmental and minimum total capacity requirement criteria was applied to reduce these 12 sites to the four more promising alternatives. These involve the Watana and Devil Canyon sites or alternatively the High Devil Canyon and Vee sites. Detailed energy simulation and cost studies were carried out for the above short listed schemes. The economics of staging of the dams at Watana and High Devil Canyon was also investigated. These studies included developing general arrangements for staging both a fill and a concrete dam at Watana. The results of these studies indicate the following:

- as a first stage development, High Devil Canyon appears to be slightly more economic than Watana; however, the Watana/Devil Canyon scheme is a



1. - N - I



CANTWELL 2915

DAMSITE

FIGURE I

NAME & LOCATION OF USGS GAGING STATION

Ô



more cost effective method of developing a larger portion of the basin potential;

- the most appropriate Susitna Basin development scheme involves a full height Watana dam (full supply level 2200 ft.) with an installed capacity of 400MW.

This would be followed by construction of the Devil Canyon dam (full supply level 1440 ft.) with an installed capacity of 400MW. An additional 400MW could be installed at Watana and 200MW at Devil Canyon should the system require additional capacity.

It should be noted that the above conclusions are preliminary and are currently being subjected to a thorough in-house review. Also, the dam heights and installed capacities specified are approximate. Additional studies will be undertaken during 1981 to further refine these values.

More detailed engineering studies have been conducted at the Watana and Devil Canyon dam sites.

At the Watana site preliminary cost comparisons between a concrete arch dam and a fill dam have been completed and indicate that the fill dam is more economical. Work has been started on a conceptual design of the fill dam cross section. 0

At the Devil Canyon site the relative economics of various dam types is not that clear cut. Therefore, more refined general arrangements are being developed for the three dam types being considered, i.e.,

- Concrete thin, double curvature arch dam;
- Concrete gravity arch dam;
- Rockfill dam.

R L

L'ALL

Stress analyses (using a finite element program) have been carried out for the various concrete dam types being considered. The WPRS (formerly the USBR) arch dam design tools, the ADSAS stress analysis and HEATFLOW thermal analysis computer programs have been set up and are being used to refine some of the arch dam concepts being considered.

Generation Planning Studies

In order to undertake the generation planning studies information was developed on alternative generation facilities such as thermal and alternative hydroelectric. The potential for load management and conservation was also considered.

Capital cost estimates for thermal generating units including 100,250 and 500MW coal fired, 250MW combined cycle, 75MW gas turbine, and 10MW diesel plants have been finalized. As assessment of fuel values and availability has also been completed. The fuels considered include coal, natural gas, and oil.

18 . S. .

A short list of hydroelectric options has been developed. Initially, a list of 91 potential sites was obtained from COE and Alaska Power Administration study results. Utilizing economic and cost criteria, these sites were screened down to a short list of 10 sites including 2 projects in the O-25MW capacity range, 6 in the 75-100MW and 2 in the 100+ MW range. Conceptual layouts and costs were developed for each of these sites. Computer simulations of energy and power production have also been completed.

Environmental input was provided to both the screening of the hydroelectric sites and to determine general impacts associated with the thermal facilities. The Susitna Steering Committee has been involved in the screening of the hydroelectric sites. Their final evaluation of the short listed sites is still pending.

An assessment of the potential for load management and energy conservation has been completed. Conceptual approaches for including load management and energy conservation in the generation planning studies have been formulated, possible effects in reducing energy consumption assessed and modified forecasts have been produced.

The planning methodology for the Susitna project was developed. Basically, it calls for the planning of the Susitna Basin development, i.e. the determination of the best dam sites, dam heights, installed capacities and on-line dates using economic parameters. (i.e. a discount rate of 3% and a general escalation rate of 0%). Financial analyses (using an interest rate of 10% and a general escalation rate of 7%) would then be performed on the selected generation plans.

Initial generating system analyses utilizing economic parameters for the with and without Susitna plans for the low, middle and high load forecasts have been completed. The computer model used is a production costing model developed by General Electric (OGPV). The with Susitna options include both the Watana-Devil Canyon plans as well as the High Devil Canyon-Vee plans. A range of different arrangements for staging of project components involving both staging of dam and powerhouse construction were reviewed.

Indications are that Susitna hydro projects are extremely economic when compared to thermal alternatives. Staging of dam construction at the Watana site does not appear to be economic.

Task 7 - Environmental

Study plans and detailed procedure manuals have been reviewed by the intergovernmental Susitna Hydro Steering Committee and FERC. Field programs for collecting environmental baseline data have commenced in all major disciplines. The 1980/81 winter fisheries program commenced in November and initial steps have been undertaken to develop a detailed instream flow program. Numerous big game species have been collared and radio-tracking continues. A wildlife mitigation task force is in the process of being established. Bird and nongame mammal studies have included a survey of intensive study plots and measurement of habitat variables. Land use studies involved agency/landowner interviews and a history of the area was developed by interviewing various people.

Constant and the second second

A reconnaissance level archaeological survey of the project area included identification of sites requiring intensive testing in 1981 and the clearance of boreholes, seismic lines, borrow areas and helicopter landing zones. Potential recreation areas have been identified, five conceptual recreation plans have been developed, and a recreation questionnaire distributed. Vegetation habitat mapping has been conducted at various scales throughout the study area. Wetland maps for the impoundment and borrow areas are in the final stages of preparation. Environmental input has been provided into preliminary screening of access road and transmission line corridors. Most likely socioeconomic impacts have been identified and the development of detailed socioeconomic profiles commenced.

Environmental input to the Task 6 basin planning and design studies is being provided on a continual basis. To date the tunnel alternatives have been assessed, Susitna development options have been compared and preliminary environmental design criteria have been established.

Task 8 - Transmission

D

A review of the Interim Feasibility Report for the South Central Railbelt Area prepared by the COE as well as the IECO report has been completed. The purpose of the review was to eliminate some of the less attractive corridors and to identify corridors that require further study.

A review of the load forecasts produced by ISER and Commonwealth Associates and the Woodward-Clyde peak demand forecast has been completed.

A preliminary corridor screening study has been completed and a short list of corridors was selected for further study. These were located on 1:63360 scale maps which were available as input to environmental biological and foundation studies. (See attached figure) The preliminary center lines of the routes have also been identified. A draft report discussing these screening activities has been completed and is currently being reviewed in house. The issue of a detailed report is immenent.

Electrical system data was obtained by R. W. Retherford Associates from the various utilities in the Railbelt area and made available to Acres for the system studies. The data received was analyzed as to the generation loads and transmission systems.

Studies have been initiated to determine likely points for interconnecting existing utilities to the Susitna transmission lines.

Investigation of transmission line capabilities and voltage level requirements were commenced. Preliminary load flows of various transmission line configuration have been carried out. All these studies have considered full Susitna development at a 1500MW upper limit. Studies are now being initiated for 400 and 800MW developments.



Task 9 - Construction Cost Estimates and Schedules

Other than some preliminary data gathering and an assessment of data needs no work has been done as yet.

Task 10 - Licensing

3

Continued contact with FERC is being maintained and a comprehensive set of technical memoranda outlining the required input procedures for the individual study tasks to the license exhibits have been prepared and issued to study team members.

Task 11 - Marketing and Finance

A procedures manual outlining the table of contents for the Project Overview Report has been finalized and draft sections of the report have been produced. Preliminary financial analysis of the Susitna project have been comed out and a financial computer model has been set up. Conceptual financing plans for the project are currently being modeled.

Task 12 - Public Participation Program

Throughout the year Acres and subcontractors have provided input to the public participation program conducted by APA. To date Acres has attended one official public meeting and two workshop sessions. In addition, Acres has responded, on an as requested basis, to questions on the project submitted to APA as part of their Action Response Program.

Task 13 - Administration

Study schedule and cost control procedures have been developed and implimented. A project procedures manual has been prepared and issued. The latest study schedule is attached.



REMAINING WORK FROM DECEMBER 1, 1980

ACKES AMERICAN SUSITNA HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECT C P M SCHEDULE

ACSE190

24

ŧ.

DESCRIPTION

محمد محمد ورجد وحد				
100	TCONTNATION OF NOOT		ርርሮሮ!	
108	IFKNINATIUN KEFUKI			CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
2022	FIELD LARF DEEKALIDAD			
203	ACOUFFLI & CHENDLINI OFN710C		XXX 1	
204			CCCCI	
200	DIGHT OF FULLY	FIN	<i>x</i> x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x	
200	STTE SPECIFIC SUBUEYS	51	XXXXXXXX L	
207	STIE SPECIFIC SNRUFYS	FTN	XXXX L	
201	ATE EMPLOY & MAPPING-1980	FIN	XXX L	
2082	AIR FHOLOS & HAFFING-1981			
210	ACCESS ROAD	S 1	XXXXX	
210	ACCESS EDAL	CT-1	-1, XX	
510	ALCESS RUAT	FIN		
511	HAP & PHOTO SEARCH		XXXXXXXXXX	
212	FIELD RECON FOR RSRVR CLEAR	ST	XXX	
212	FIELD KECON FOR RSRVR CLEAR	FIN	A XXXXXX L	
213	HENTBILITY AND DISPOSAL STRY		XXXXX	
214	CST ESTMTS RSVR CLEARING	ST	XX	1.
214	CST ESTMIS RSVR CLEARING	FIN	XXX XXX	L.
215	SLOPE ERDSIDN & STRLTY STUDY	ST	XXXX L	
215	SLOPE FROSION & STELTY STUDY	FIN	N. XXXX L	
216	HYUROGRAPHIC SURVEYS	FIN	XXXXXXXXXXXX L	
301	PEVIEW AVAILABLE MATERIAL	FIN	N XXXX L	YXXXXXX
3022	FIELD DATA INDEX OPERATION		χχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχχ	AAAAAAA
3032	FIELD DATA COLLECTION 80-81	FIN	N ELELELELELELELELELELELELELELELELELELEL	
3033	FIELD DATA CULLECTION 81-82	51	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX
3033	FIELD DATA CULLECTION 81-02	CTH		
3041	WATER REFERENCE OF ANALYEIC	E TH		
3042	WATER ROAD STREE HAM 1010	C1-		
3043	WATER ADALD ALGEAVUIN DIDAT	řŤ-	-2. CCCCL	
3043	HATCH DEDCE PERFUTE STUDY	řt-	-3. CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	
3043	WHICK READE ACCEPTION STUDY	FIN		CL
3045	HATER REPOS-PREVENST PROJECT	ST	XXXX	
7044	PATER REPOS-PRESENST PROJECT	FIN	N	
2041	HATER REFE BLACIAL STUDIES			CULUCULULULUL
2051	FI ODUS-EREDUENCY ANALYSTS		XX	
2055	FLOORS PHE REVIEW		XXXXXX L	· ·
7657	FLOODS-RESERVOIS ROUTING	C1-	-1, XXXXXXXXXX	L .
3053	FLOODS-RESERVOIR ROUTING	FIN	N .	L
2041	HYDELSXICE-CHANNEL NTR LULS	C1-	-1000000000000	
3001	HYDRI STITE-CHANNEL WIR LVLS	FIN	N, CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	JULLUL
2057	HYDRATCE REAVE FREEHED		, XXXXXX L	
3053	HYDRATCE-RESER SLIDE SURGE	ST	XXXXXXXXXX I.	
3041	HTUR&ICE-KESFE SLIDE SURGE	F1N	N . XXXXXXX L	
3064	HYDRAICE-RSVR TEMP REGIME		XXXXXXXX L	
3071	SETTIMENT YIELD & DEPOSITION	ST	XXXX L	an a
3071	SEDIMENT VIELD & DEPOSITION	FIN	N , XXXXXX	. 1

PAGE 1 TIME NOW 1DEC80

I A Y

AP211	ACRES ANERICAN SUSIINA	HYDRO-ELECTRIC FROJECT C F M SCHEDULE	PAGE 2 TIME NOW IDEC80
ncour	UESCRIPTION	80 B1 DEC JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB I 00122011200120012301220112001220122011230122011200123012201120012 18529529629632963063074185185296307307417418529629630741841851852	HAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG 2012201120112301220112001 1852952963074174185296296
3072 3072 3072 3082 3082 309 3101 3101	RIVER MORFHOLOGY RIVER MORFHOLOGY KIVER MORFHOLOGY TRANSMSN LINE-DET FARAMIR IRANSMSN LINE-DET FARAMIR ACCESS ROADS HYDROLOGY LWR SUSIINA STUDIES-FRELIM LWR SUSIINA STUDIES-FRELIM	ST XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1
3102 402 403 404 403 404 403 407 408 408 409 410 411 412 412 412 412 413 413 414	LWR SUSTINA STUDIES-FOLLOWUP SHORT TERM MONITORNG FROGRAM FRELIM RESERVE INDUCD SEISHC REMOTE SENSING IMAG ANALYSIS FRELIM EVALUAIN&REFORT-DRAFT FRELIM GROUND MOTION STUDIES UAM STABILITY DAM STABILITY LONG TERM MONITORING FROGRAM RESERVOIR INDUCED SEISHICITY SEISMIC GEOLOGY-FIELD STUDY EVALUATION & REFORT DRAFT EVALUATION & REFORT DRAFT EVALUATION & REFORT DRAFT GROUND MOTION STUDIES GROUND MOTION STUDIES DAM STABILITY CONSULTING	FIN L FIN XXX L FIN XXX L FIN CCCL CCCCCCCCC CT-1. CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	
415 502 504 505 505 505 506 505 5081 5082 5082 5082 5082 5082 5082 5083 5082 5083 5083 5083 5083 503 503 503 503 504 604 6052 5052 5052	SOIL SUSCEPTITY-SEISMIC FAIL AIR PHOTU INTERPRETATION 1980 EXFLORATION PROGRAM 1981 PROGRAM DESIGN 1981 PROGRAM DESIGN 1981 EXFLORATION PROGRAM 1981 EXFLORATION PROGRAM 1981 EXFLORATION PROGRAM 1982-4 PROGRAM DESIGN DATA ASSEMBLY-1980-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY-1980-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY-1980-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY-1981-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY-1981-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY FINAL-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY FINAL-DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY FINAL DRAFT DATA ASSEMBLY FINAL DEVELOPMENT EVAL ALT SUSTINA DEVELOPMENT SELECT FINAL REPORT	FIN XX L XX L ST XXX L FIN XX L FIN X X L FIN X X X L FIN X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	

- internet in the second

E

PAGE 2 TIME NOW IDEC80

AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG 22011201123012201120012 29529630741741852962963



-

AC	ACRES AMERICAN SUSITN SH190	A HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECT C F N SCHEDULE
	DESCRIPTION	B0 B1 B2 DEC JAN FER MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MA 001220112001200123012201120012201220123012201120012301220112001200
$\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\ 60\\$	52 SELECT FINAL REPORT 5 STAGED DEVELOPMENT ALTS 5 STAGED DEVELOPMENT ALTS 7 FRELIM WATANA DAM ALTERNATE 7 FRELIM WATANA DAM ALTERNATE 7 FRELIM WATANA DAM ALTERNATE 7 FRELIM WATANA DAM ALTERNATE 8 FRELIM DEVIL CANYON DAM ALT 9 FRAB WATANA DESIGN CRITERI 9 FRIAB WATANA DESIGN CRITERI 10 FRAB DEVIL CANYN DESGN CRI 10 FRAB DEVIL CANYN DESGN CRI 11 FRELTM DESIGN WATANA DAM 11 FRELIM DESIGN WATANA DAM 12 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 23 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 24 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 25 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 26 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 27 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 27 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 28 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 29 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 29 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 20 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 27 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 28 FREL DESIGN DEVIL CANYON DA 29 FREL DESIGN NATANA DAM 20 FREL DESIGN NATANA DAM 20 FREL DESIGN NEVIL CANYON DA 20 FREL DESIGN NEVIL CANYON DA 20 FREL DESIGN NEVIL CANYON DA 27 FREL DESIGN NEVIL CANYON DA 28 FREL DESIGN NEVIL CANYON DA 29 FREL DESIGN NATANA SFILWA 30 DAM SFLECTION REFORT-DRAFT 40 SPILLWAY DESIGN CRITERIA 51 LWAY DESIGN NATANA SFILWA 51 LWAY SELECTIN REFRI-DRAFT 52 ACCESS & CANF FACILITIES 53 ACCESS & CANF FACILITIES 54 WATANA HUVERSION SCHEMES 55 WATANA HUVERSION SCHEMES 55 WATANA HUVERSION SCHEMES 56 OF VIL CANYON HUVERSN SCHEMES 57 WATANA HUVERSION SCHEMES 58 OFI WATANA FOWER DEVELOPMEN 59 OFI WATANA FOWER DEVELOPMEN 50 OFI WATANA FOWER DEVELOPMEN	FIN . XXXXL FIN . XXXX FIN . XXX SCT-2: XXXXXXXXX CT-3: X CT-1: XXXXX CT-2: XXXXXXXXX CT-1: XXXXXXXX CT-1: XXXXXXXX CT-1: XXXXXXXXX CT-1: XXXXXXXXX AST X L CT-1: XXXXXXXXX AST X L CT-1: XXXXXXXXX AST X L CT-1: XXXXXXXXX YEIN: XXXXXXXXXX ST CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

... 新宅 いせやさのきゃす

PAGE 3 TIME NOW 1DEC80

AR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG 0122011201123012201120012 8529529630741741852962963

BUDITYO BODITYO BODITYON BODITYON BODITYON <th>ACRES AMERICAN</th> <th>SUSIINA HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECT C P M SCHEDULE</th> <th></th> <th>FAGE TIME NOW 1DE</th>	ACRES AMERICAN	SUSIINA HYDRO-ELECTRIC PROJECT C P M SCHEDULE		FAGE TIME NOW 1DE
624 UPT NEVL CANYN POWER DEVELOP ST XXXXX L 624 OPT DEVL CANYN POWER DEVELOP FIN , XXXXX L 625 OPTIMIZE DAM HFIGHTS ST XXXXX L 625 OPTIMIZE DAM HFIGHTS CT-1. XXXXX L 625 OPTIMIZE DAM HEIGHTS CT-1. XXXXX L 625 OPTIMIZE DAM HEIGHTS FIN . L XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX L 625 OPTIMIZE DAM HEIGHTS FIN . XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX L 626 FREL DESGN WATAN POWER DEVEL ST . XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	DESCRIPTI	80 B1 D N DEC JAN FEB MAR APR 001220112001200123012 185295296296329630630	- MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV 2011200122012201123012201120012 7418518529630730741741852962963	82 DEC JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL 23012201120012001220112011230122011 30741841851852185295296307417418525
628 POUER DEVELOPHNI REPRITING FT FIN 629 WATAMA GENERAL ARRANDEEHENT FIN 630 DEVL CAN GENERAL ARRANDEEHENT FIN 7 330 DEVL CAN GENERAL ARRANDEEHENT FIN 7 330 DEVL CAN GENERAL ARRANDEEHENT FIN 7 331 FROLECT FEASTBL REFORT-IRAFT ST 7 431 FROLECT FEASTBL REFORT-IRAFT TI 7 431 FROLECT FEASTBL REFORT-IRAFT CCCL 431 FROLECT FEASTBL REFORT-IRAFT CCCL CCCL 432 THERMAL GENERATION REFORT-IRAFT CCCL CCCL 433 FROLECT FEASTBL REFORT FERT CCCL CCCL 433 Edminit GENERAT CCCL CCCL 433 Interration REFORT	624UPT JEVL CANYN POWER625OPT DEVL CANYN POWER625OPT INIZE DAM HEIGHTS625OPTIMIZE DAM HEIGHTS626FREL DESGN WATAN POW626FREL DESGN WATAN POW627FREL DES DEVL CAN PO627FREL DES DEVL CAN PO627FREL DES DEVL CAN PO627FREL DES DEVL CAN FO628FOWER DEVELOFMNT REF628FOWER DEVELOFMNT REF629WATANA GENERAL ARRAN629WATANA GENERAL ARRAN629WATANA GENERAL ARRAN630DEVL CAN GENERAL ARRAN630DEVL CAN GENERAL ARRAN631FROJECT FEASIBL REFO631FROJECT FEASIBL REFO632THERMAL GENERATION R6342GENERAT FLAN ANALY \$6352GENERAT FLAN ANALY \$6362GENERAT FLAN ANALY \$6362G	DEVELOF ST . DEVELOF FIN . ST XXXXXX CT-1. FIN . ER DEVEL ST . ER DEVEL ST . JR DEVEL ST . ST DRAFT CT-1. ST DRAFT FIN . ST DRAFT CT-2. ST DRAFT FIN . ST DRAFT CT-4. ST DRAFT FIN . ST DRAFT CT-1. TORAFT CT-2. ST DRAFT FIN . SOURCE FIN XXXXX L JE ENAT CT-1. REFORT CT-1. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX		L XXXXXX L XXXXX L CCCL CCCL CCCL CCCL CCCL L L CCCL L L CCCL L L L L L L L L L L L L L

FAGE 4 TIME NOW 1DEC80

IAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG 0122011201123012201120012 8529529630741741852962963

A SAME

	ACRES AMERICAN SUSITNA	HYDRO-ELECTRIC FROJECT C F M SCHEDULE	
ACS	8199 DESCRIPIION	80 81 DEC JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG 0012201120012001230122011200122012201123 1852952962963296306307418518529630730741	82 SEF OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAR AFR 0122011200123012201120012001220112 17418529629630741841851852185295296
703 706	3 CULTURAL-OFTIMIZED DESIGN 3 CULTURAL-OFTIMIZED DESIGN	SJ XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
706	3 CULIURAL-OFTIMIZED DESIGN		
707	1 LAND USE ALTERNATIVE SITES	FIN .	\mathbf{L} is the second s
707	2 LAND USE PRELIM ALTERNATIVES 2 LAND USE PRELIM ALTERNATIVES	ST XXXXXXXX CT-1. XXXXXXX	
207	2 LAND USE FRELIM ALTERNATIVES	FIN	
207	3 LAND USE OPTINIZED DESIGN 3 LAND USE OPTIMIZED DESIGN	ST XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	****
707	3 LAND USE OFTIMIZED DESIGN	FIN	
708	RECREATION FLAMMING	FIN .	XXXXXL
709	1 TRANS LINE ASSESS SCREENING	XXXXXXXXI	
710	1 FISH ECOLOGY ALTERNATV SITES	st xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	
710	1 FISH ECOLOGY ALTERNALV SITES	C1-1. XXXXXXXXXX	
710	2 FISH ECOLOGY PRELIM ALTERNAL	st xxxxxxx	, È
710	2 FISH ECOLOGY FRELIM ALTS 5 FISH ECOLOGY FRELIM ALTERNAL	CT~1. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
710	3 FISH ECOLOGY OFTIMIZED DESCH	ST XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	L
710	3 FISH ECOLOGY OFTIMIZED DESGN 3 FISH ECOLOGY OFTIMIZED DESGN	FIN .	
711	I WILDLIFE ECOLOGY ALTER SITES	SI XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
711	1 WILM, IFE ECULUGY ALTER STIES 2 WILDLIFE ECOLOGY PRELN ALTER	ST XXXXXXX	$\mathbf{L} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{L} \\ \mathbf{L} \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{L} \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{L} \right\} \right\} \right\} \right\} = \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \left\{ \mathbf{L} \right$
211	2 HILDLIFE ECOLOGY FRELM ALTER	CT-1. XXXXX	(XXXXX
711	3 WILDLIFE ECOLOGY PRELIA DEFENSION	ST XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Ē
711	3 WILDLIFE ECOLOGY OFTIN DESCH	CT-1.	*****
712	1 MANT LCULOGY ALTERNIV SITES	st xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	
712	1 FLANT ECOLOGY ALTERNTV SITES	FIN . ST XXXXXXX	
212	2 FLAHI ECOLOGY FRELM ALTERNAL	CT-1, XXXX)	(XXXXX
712	2 FLANT ECULUUT FRELM ALTERNAT 3 FLANT FCOLOGY UPTIMIZE DESGN	ST XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	
712	3 FLANI ECOLOGY OF TIMIZD DESGA	C)-1.	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
712	ACCESS RU ENVIRONMENT ANALYS	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	L L
/15	PREF FOR FERC EXHIBIT-DRAFT	SI XXXXXXXXX CI-1	
715	FREF FUR FEEC EXHIBIT-DRAFT	FIN.	Ē
801	SELECT INITIAL CORRIDORS	ST XXXXXXXL ETN XXXXXXX	
802	1 LUAD FLOW ANALYSIS	ST XX L	
80.2	I LOAD FLOW ANALYSIS	FIN, XXXXXX L	

1

FAGE 5 TIME NOW 1DECBO

K

R AFR HAY JUN JUL AUG 122011201123012201120012 529529630741741852962983

L

L

ŧ

1.00

CE

(____)

3

1

Г

- C

¢	.			
		ACRES AMERICAN SUSITNA	HYD	RO-ELECTRIC FROJECT
	ACSB1	DESCRIPTION		80 B1 DEC JAN FEB MAR AFR MAY JUN JUL AUG SEP OCT NOV DEC JAN FEB MAF 0012201120012001230122011200122012201123012201120012301220112001200
	$\begin{array}{c} 80221\\ 80221\\ 80222\\ 803\\ 803\\ 803\\ 803\\ 803\\ 804\\ 804\\ 804\\ 805\\ 806\\ 807\\ 901\\ 902\\ 903\\ 9041\\ 902\\ 903\\ 9041\\ 905\\ 1002\\ 10023\\ 1004\\ 1004\\ 1004\\ 1004\\ 1005\\ 1005\\ 1005\\ 1005\\ 1006\\ 1007\\ 1008\\ 1009\\ 1010\\ 1001\\ 1004\\ 1005\\ 1006\\ 1007\\ 1008\\ 1009\\ 1010\\ 1001\\ 1001\\ 1001\\ 1001\\ 1001\\ 1000\\ $	FRELIMINARY ELEC SYSTEM FRELIMINARY ELEC SYSTEM FRELIMINARY ELEC SYSTEM FRELIMINARY ELEC SYSTEM RECOMMEND ELEC SYS FINAL ROUTE SELECTION 1981 FINAL ROUTE SELECTION 1981 FINAL ROUTE SELECTION 1981 IOWER HARDWRE SCONDUCTR STUDY IOWER HARDWRE SCONDUCTR STUDY IOWER HARDWRE SCONDUCTR STUDY SUBSTATIONS SUBSTATIONS SUBSTATIONS SUBSTATIONS SUBSTATIONS SUBSTATIONS STATCH CTR 1 COMMUNICATNS TRANS LINE COST ESTIMATES TRANS LINE COST ESTIMATES ASSEMBLE COST-SCHEDULE DATA ASSEMBLE COST-SCHEDULE DATA ASSEMBLE COST-SCHEDULE DATA FREP FRELIM CST ESTIMATES COST ESTIMATE UPDATES ENGR COST 1 SCHEDULE FRELIM ENGR COST 2 SCHEDULE FINAL CONTINGENCY ANALYSIS IMFACT OF NEW FERC REGULATION IST UPDATE-REGULATORY REQ DATA FROM OTHERS COORD EXHIBIT PREPARATION COORD EXHIBIT PREPARATION FREPARE EXHIBIT D 1 E FREPARE EXHIBIT T FREFARE EXHIFT	ST	XXXXXXXXXXX CCCCCCCCC XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	1101 1102 11031 11031 11032 1104 1104	INTERNAL REFORTS ALI POUR SECE RISK ANAL-PREL ALI FOUR SECE RISK ANAL-PREL ALI FOUR SECE RISK ANAL-PREL ALI FOUR SECE RISK ANAL-REFN BASE PLAN RISK ANALYSIS BASE PLAN RISK ANALYSIS	ST FIN ST FIN	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

. الجرأ المعد



ACRES AMERICAN SUSITNA HYDRO-ELECTRIC FROJECT C P H SCHENULE ACSE190 80 81 DESCRIPTION SUSIINA FINANCE RISK ANALYSIS 1105 RESOLUTION TAX ISSUE INENTIFY FARTIES INTEREST 1106 1107 **REVENUE ASSURANCE** 1108 LIAISON AFA BOND UNDERWRITER 1109 CONDUCT PUBLIC MEETING #2 CONDUCT PUBLIC MEETING #3 12022 12023 XXXX XXXX CONDUCT WORKSHOPS 1,2,3 XXXX 12031 CUNIFICT NORKSHOFS 4,5,6 FREF FUBLISH DISTRIB MATERIAL FREF MAINTAIN ACTION LIST 12032 XXXXXXXXXXXXXX 1204 1205 PROJECT PROCED MANUAL-UPDATE 13013 SCHEDULE CONTROL SYS UPDATE 13042 COST CONTROL SYSTEM-UP MANFOWER LOADING SCHED-UPDATE 13052 13062 SUB CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION 1310 XXX FROJECT COMPLETE XXX

မ္မ

PAGE TIME NOW 1DEC80

SUPPORT MATERIAL TO TASKS 4 & 5 SEISMIC STUDIES GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION



13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Q,

1

1.0 Excerpts from the Plan of the Study

- 1.1 Executive Summary
- 1.2 Task 2
- 1.3 Task 4
- 1.4 Task 5

2.0 Task 4 Information

3.0 Task 5 Information

4.0 Internal Review Board Meeting Notes, July 23, 1980

5.0 External Review Board Meeting Notes, October 21-23, 1980

6.0 Report by Specialist Consultant Panel

7.0 Appendix D, 1978 Corps of Engineers Report

3.0 Excerpt from "Preliminary repot of the recent Geology of the Proposed Devil Canyon and Watana Dam Sites, Susitna River, Alaska" by Kachadoorian and Moore

9.0 Excerpt from "Earthquake Assessment at the Susitna Project, Alaska", by Krinitzsky



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Open Letter to the Public Acknowledgement

[•]

•)

S

1

IJ

1

I

1

1

÷.

TABLE OF CONTENTS	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF PLATES	iii
	Page
A1 - PROGRAM OBJECTIVES	- 1-1
A2 - STUDY APPROACH	- 2-1
A3 - BUDGET SUMMARY	3-1
A4 - RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENT	- 4-1
A5 - DETAILED ACTIVITY DESCRIPTIONS	5-1
A5.1- IntroductionA5.2- Task 1: Power StudiesA5.3- Task 2: Surveys & Site FacilitiesA5.4- Task 3: HydrologyA5.5- Task 4: Seismic StudiesA5.6- Task 5: Geotechnical ExplorationA5.7- Task 6: Design DevelopmentA5.8- Task 7: Environmental StudiesA5.9- Task 8: TransmissionA5.10- Task 10: LicensingA5.11- Task 10: LicensingA5.12- Task 11: Marketing and FinancingA5.13- Task 12: Public Participation Program	5-1 5-2 5-25 5-50 5-105 5-129 5-193 5-289 5-303 5-313 5-363 5-379
A6 - POST LICENSE APPLICATION SUBMISSION ACTIVITIES	· 6-1
A7 - PROJECT SCHEDULES	7-1
A8 - LOGISTICAL PLAN	- 8-1





EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 - INTRODUCTION

Time

L'END.

1.1.1

-

5

22

....

1

H

This Plan of Study (POS) has been prepared by Acres American Incorporated in response to the request of the Alaska Power Authority to provide a program leading to a license application to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for construction of the Susitna Hydroelectric Project. Major contribucors to the plan and proposed participants in its implementation include R & M Consultants Incorporated (R & M), Terrestrial Environmental Specialists Incorporated (TES), Frank Moolin Associates (FMA), Woodward-Clyde Consultants (WCC), Salomon Brothers, and Cook Inlet Region Incorporated/ Holmes and Narver (CIRI/H & N).

The complete plan is made up of three major parts in addition to this executive summary. Part A sets forth the study plan itself and includes the establishment of program objectives, an overview of the study approach, a budget summary, a logistical plan, detailed activity descriptions, a proposed project schedule, and a summary assessment of work which must be continued beyond the point of license application. Part B is devoted to implementation of the plan. Key personnel assignments, an organizational structure, and coordination procedures are contained therein. Supplemental information in Part C provides supporting materials such as evidence of the qualifications of proposed corporate team members, detailed resumes for key project personnel, and similar items.

A STATE OF A STATE OF A STATE OF

2 - BACKGROUND

A series of studies conducted initially by the Bureau of Reclamation and subsequently by the Corps of Engineers led to the recommendation that a two dam system should be constructed on the Susitna River. The upper dam at Watana would be an 810-foot-high earthfill structure and the lower a 635-foot-high concrete thin arch dam at Devil Canyon. Transmission lines would extend north and south to Fairbanks and Anchorage respectively, for a total of 365 miles. The total project was selected by the Corps as the best of several alternatives to contribute to satisfaction of a projected energy demand of 15 billion kilowatt hours by the year 2000.

The Corps' recommendation resulted in Congressional authorization to proceed with detailed feasibility studies in a cooperative effort with the State of Alaska under provisions of Section 203(e) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1975. Should feasibility be demonstrated, study costs would be reimbursed by the State. Amendments are currently being sought to facilitate the funding process and expedite study initiation.

It is the intent of the State of Alaska, through the Alaska Power Authority, to undertake the detailed studies required before a definite construction decision can be made. An alternative to consummating the cooperative agreement with the Corps of Engineers is to finance the study entirely with State resources, contracting with a private engineering firm to do those

studies necessary to prepare and file a license application. Acres American Incorporated was selected as one of three private engineering firms to prepare plans of study.

- 2

3 - PROJECT OBJECTIVES

11.

1.

[14 [13]

--- The primary objectives of the proposed study are threefold: (1) Establish technical, economic and financial feasibility; (2) Evaluate the environmental consequences of designing and constructing the Susitna Project; and (3) File a complete license application with the FERC.

Included within these overall objectives are certain specific items which must be satisfied. This latter group includes assessment of alternatives, preparation of an optimal development plan, cost estimates, risk analysis, environmental and social factor evaluations, annual system power cost estimates, preparation of the application itself, a public participation program, preparation of a plan for financing, minimization of study costs consistent with the satisfaction of other objectives, and maximization of employment opportunities in Alaska--including affirmative actions for native hire.

4 - PROPOSED APPROACH

Acres proposes to accomplish the essential objectives of the POS within a period of two and one half years. January 1, 1980 is the projected start date. In order to satisfy all of the objectives within this time frame, Acres has assembled a team which brings to the Susitna project a unique combination of capability and expertise, largely Alaska based. The group provides:

- (i) A powerful design/project/construction management team experienced in studies, economic evaluation, risk analysis, alternatives assessments, licensing, design, financing and construction of large hydroelectric projects.
- (ii) Strong northern and subarctic experience.
- (iii) A skilled and readily available field exploration team with facilities, personnel and equipment experienced in all aspects of hydrologic and geotechnical design and exploration, particularly in the vicinity of the Susitna site.
- (iv) An exceptional team of environmental specialists with first-hand knowledge and experience of the project area and ready to work closely with state environmental agencies in effectively meeting the

requirements of the plan of study.

(v) A capability for detailed seismic studies by renowned experts as well as a comprehensive external review.

(vi) A logistic support capability which draws heavily upon the skills of Alaskan Natives whose land selections are in the project area.

(vii) Financial advice from an investment banking firm skilled in handling tax-exempt bond issues.

The combined knowledge, experience, and equipment of the Acres team will be brought to bear upon the project objectives through the accomplishment of thirteen specific tasks, each one of which is subdivided into a number of subtasks. In every case, task and subtask objectives are explicitly defined, as are proposed approaches, levels of effort, and schedules. The thirteen major tasks are:

Task 1 - Power Studies

Task 2 - Surveys and Site Facilities

Task 3 - Hydrology

· · ·

-

11

ACRES

- Task 4 Seismic Studies
- Task 5 Geotechnical Exploration
- Task 6 Design Development
- Task 7 Environmental Studies
- Task 8 Transmission
- Task 9 Cost Estimates and Schedules
- Task 10 Licensing
- Task 11 Marketing and Finance
- Task 12 Public Participation
- Task 13 Administration

Summary descriptions of the work to be undertaken in each of these Tasks are presented in Section 8 of this Executive Summary.

5 - COSTS AND SCHEDULES

The Budget Summary is shown in Table A.3.1. The entire POS calls for the expenditure of \$19.7 million in 1979 dollars over a period of two and one half years from study initiation to filing the license application for those minimum efforts necessary to establish feasibility from a technical, economic, and environmental standpoint.

An additional amount of \$3.4 million is required to conduct effective public participation, financing and local project management programs and to satisfy certain non-discretionary funding requirements.

Subsequent costs during the estimated 30-month period through award of the FERC license are estimated as \$ 26.2 million, including provision of an estimated \$8 million for the construction of a pioneer access road. The proposed project schedule is shown in Plate A2.1. Initial site facilities will be operational by March 1980 to support field investigations which will commence at that time. By the end of the first year of study, sufficient data will have been accumulated to make definitive recommendations as to continuation of field investigation efforts and development of conceptual designs along with initial mitigating measures. Field investigations will have been accumulated to grant. The second year will information will have been accured to permit the preparation of drafts for all required licensing exhibits by the end of the 29th month. Review will have been conducted throughout, both internally by panels of in-house experts and externally by independent review boards recommended to and selected by APA. Finalization

NAME OF A DESCRIPTION OF A
of the license application including all exhibits will occur in the 30th month and a final review will be conducted at that time.

- 4

6 - ANTICIPATED DIFFICULTIES

 $[\mathbf{0}]$

•)

di.....

the second

*

21

1

¥4.*

Throughout the development of the POS, a number of potential problems have been identified and the difficulties associated with managing their resolution have been noted. Certain areas in particular have been long standing concerns of many interested parties in Alaska:

- (1) The matter of generating a concept for optimal development calls for careful study of projected demand and alternative means of satisfying it. Without such a foundation, it is simply impossible to assure the Power Authority that the proper project will be planned in the right place and constructed in time to meet the energy needs of the Railbelt.
- (2) Data acquisition will present difficulties, for seasonal and weather constraints as well as certain land use restrictions will lead to peak loading of site support facilities and the necessity for use of special equipment not normally readily available in Alaska because of conflict.
- (3) The financial risks of such a large project must be reduced insofar as possible, for investor confidence is a prerequisite to successful financing. It follows that a set of dettiled risk analyses must be conducted concurrently with the development of designs.
- (4) Particularly important design problems to be resolved involve earthquake hazards, ice occurrence, slope stability in long narrow reservoirs, and the long term effects of silt deposition in the upper reservoir.
- (5) Careful and complete environmental studies will be required, yet the proposed study period is shorter than the time for some full cycle studies of certain species. A great deal of expertise is to be found in and should be sought from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, yet the objectivity of that agency insofar as reviews and approvals are concerned, must be retained. In addition, compliance with all applicable State and Federal environmental laws will require strong coordination efforts.
- (6) Preparation of the license application itself must be accomplished at the very time new FERC regulations will be promulgated.
- (7) Informing and involving the public is necessary and important, but

conflicting desires will be expressed and determination of how the public interest can best be served will be difficult.

(8) Control and coordination of the efforts of all parties involved in implementing this plan demands effective management.

7 - METHODS FOR RESOLVING DIFFICULTIES

Y

l și

.--

1

Jure sel

31

14.1

12

Certain unique features and approaches are woven into the fabric of the POS as the basis for resolving the various problem areas noted above. Even so, the requirement to maintain a high degree of flexibility in adapting to new problems is clear, for there is simply no way to anticipate every difficulty which may be encountered. The plan provides a large measure of flexibility and a well defined chain of command as well as positive steps for addressing the noted problem areas:

- 5

- (1) Careful studies of projected loads and possible alternatives for satisfying them will rely heavily upon use of models developed in Alaska for this purpose. Sophisticated computer programs which have been used by Acres with great success in the past in the analysis of major hydroelectric projects will also be employed. Power marketing studies and a careful analysis of non-hydroelectric alternatives will be undertaken by the Alaskan office of WCC, where intimate knowledge of the local scene is available. Insofar as hydroelectric alternatives are concerned, Acres will contribute its own extensive experience in planning, designing, and managing hydroelectric projects ranging in size from 500 kilowatts to thousands of megawatts. Optimal development of the Susitna River itself will flow naturally from these preliminary studies if the proposed project is shown to be the best alternative by the end of the first year of study.
- (2) Data acquisition will be accelerated because site facilities will be furnished on time and of sufficient capacity by CIRI/H & N, whose earlier experiences on the Trans-Alaska Pipeline and whose intimate knowledge of project lands selected by Native Corporations will be important factors. The question of equipment availability and its operation in a sub-arctic environment has been accounted for through selection of R & M to undertake major geotechnical investigations and surveys. R & M is the only firm in Alaska which is self-contained and fully equipped for the purpose.
- (3) Financial planning efforts by the prominent investment banking firm of Salomon Brothers will be complemented on the Acres team by the work of Mr. J. G. Warnock who successfully led the bond offering support documentation effort on the 5225 MW Churchill Falls project in Canada. The risk analyses will be undertaken by Dr. C. B. Chapman whose past experiences in this special area have supported certain large power projects in North America and abroad.
- (4) Of the many potential design problems, none is of more serious concern than seismicity. WCC (California) will conduct exhaustive studies in this area and their work will be subjected to close scrutiny and

confirmatory studies to be managed by an external board at a level of effort of \$1 million. Ice studies, slope stability studies, permafrost studies and sedimentation studies are included in the plan. Much of the field work will be undertaken by R & M and the primary design efforts by Acres. (5) The environmental effort will be conducted by TES whose core staff will be augmented by principal investigators from the faculty of the University of Alaska and other consultants with extensive Alaskan experience. ADF & G will be asked to conduct certain studies, but their objectivity will be preserved because they will be funded by and will report directly to APA in all such cases. Reviews, approvals, and coordination with ADF & G and with other State and Federal agencies will be sought throughout to minimize late stage non-concurrences. FERC has confirmed that all studies need not have been completed at the time of filing, provided that a plan for completing them is included in the application.

- 6

- (6) A separate small team has been set up to ensure close monitoring of the preparation of numerous exhibits as well as to evaluate new regulations as they are published. Acres will lead this effort and will seek advice from time to time from legal consultants.
- (7) A strong public participation program will include three major public meeting events and eight workshop sessions as well as a positive control on response to every public concern.
- (8) The management expertise gained in Alaska on large projects by FMA will be heavily relied upon in the establishment of cost and schedule controls as well as in the preparation of realistic construction cost estimates, schedules and projected cash flows.

8 - ACTIVITY DESCRIPTIONS

te

ار. امر

APPED

As stated in Section 4, the Acres approach to the Susitna POS will be in terms of a series of 13 tasks, each with its specific objectives. Summary descriptions of these tasks follow.

- 8.1 TASK 1: POWER STUDIES
 - (i) Task Objectives

To determine the need for power in the Alaska Railbelt Region, to develop forecasts for electric load growth in the area, to consider viable alternatives for meeting such load growth, to develop and rank a series of feasible, optimum expansion scenarios and finally to determine the environmental impacts of the selected optimum scenarios.

(ii) Task Output

The primary output of Task 1 will be a report dealing with the

selection and ranking of optimum system expansion scenarios for the Alaska Railbelt Region. The final version of this report will be submitted for review and approval by Alaska Power Authority on or about Week 43 of the Study. Preliminary findings of the study will be discussed with Alaska Power Authority on or about Week 30 of the Study. Such a discussion will center on whether or not work on the Susitna Development should continue or whether another, possibly more viable alternative should be examined. Design Transmittals outlining intermediate stages of the power studies will also be issued.

- 7

6

(iii) List of Subtasks

Subtask 1.01 - Load Forecasting Methodology Subtask 1.02 - Development of Load Growth Scenarios Subtask 1.03 - Selection of Alternatives Subtask 1.04 - Selection of Viable Expansion Sequences Subtask 1.05 - Expansion Sequence Impact Assessments Subtask 1.06 - Power Alternatives Study Report

8.2 - TASK 2: SURVEYS AND SITE FACILITIES

(i) <u>Task Objectives</u>

To provide for safe, cost effective, and environmentally acceptable logistical support of all project field activities; to conduct those surveys necessary to furnish data for use in other subtasks which must be performed prior to licensing; to resolve real estate issues associated with the proposed project in sufficient detail to permit preparation of Exhibit F of the FERC license application; and to undertake initial studies of proposed reservoir areas and access roads.

(ii) <u>Task Output</u>

The primary outputs of this task will be major portions of certain exhibits required for FERC license application and data which will be necessary inputs for many of the remaining exhibits. Specifically, this task will contribute to Exhibit D (demonstrating evidence of compliance with State water and land use laws), Exhibit E (providing water rights data and plans for perfecting rights to use water for project operation), Exhibit F (statement of land ownership). In addition, surveys and mapping will be essential portions of Exhibit J (general project map) and Exhibit K (detailed project map showing boundaries, survey data, land ownership, and feature locations). In addition to the data collection and exhibit preparation, a number of tangible products will be acquired or constructed and will generally be suitable for use during the post- application phase and beyond. In this latter category are included camp facilities, airfield, and similar semi-permanent items.

(iii) List of Subtasks

1

AGREG

Subtask 2.01 - Provision for Land Use Payments and Directed

Inspection Services Subtask 2.02 - Provision of Field Camps and Associated Logistic Support Subtask 2.03 - Design and Construction of Airstrip Subtask 2.04 - Land Status Research

Subtask 2.05 - Land Acquisition Analysis

Subtask 2.06 - Right-of-Entry

Subtask 2.07 - Site Specific Surveys Subtask 2.08 - Aerial Photography and Photogrammetric Mapping Subtask 2.09 - Control Network Surveys Subtask 2.10 - Access Roads Subtask 2.11 - Map and Photo Search Subtask 2.12 - Field Reconnaissance of Reservoir Areas

- 8

- Subtask 2.13 Marketability and Disposal Study for Reservoir Area
- Subtask 2.14 Cost Estimates for Reservoir Clearing
- Subtask 2.15 Slope Stability and Erosion Studies
- Subtask 2.16 Hydrographic Surveys
- 8.3 TASK 3: HYDROLOGY
 - (i) <u>Task Objectives</u>

The basic objectives of this task are to undertake and report on all hydrologic, hydraulic, ice, and climatic studies necessary to complete the feasibility design of the project and to provide sufficient material for the FERC license application.

(ii) Task Output

- Data Index System

A data index system listing all the available hydrologic and climatologic data will be compiled and circulated. Hard copies of the more relevant data items will be stored in the project office in Anchorage and copies made available to those requesting it.

All the additional hydrologic and climatologic field data collected as part of this study will be documented on either computer printout sheets or typewritten tables.

- Written Sections and Drawings for Inclusion in the FERC License Application
 - Exhibit H proposed reservoir operat ng rules, predicted reservoir behavior, and downstream water quality and flow conditions.
 - Exhibit I dependable power flow, critical design low flow period, flow duration curves and tailwater rating curves.
 - <u>Exhibit K</u> reservoir shorelines for maximum and minimum reservoir water levels and reservoir water level area and capacity curves.

Exhibit L - spillway design flood and capacity and freeboard allowance.



In the second

Harris I

- Hydrologic Appendix to Engineering Report

The detailed technical appendix will contain sections on the following type of studies: hydrology (resource and floods), reservoir operation, hydraulic, sediment yield, river morphology, ice engineering, and climatic studies for transmission line design and hydrologic and hydraulic studies for the access road.

- A Series of Design Transmittals

These will summarize the pertinent design parameters obtained from the studies outlined above.

(iii) List of Subtasks

1

Entrica

the work

10.000

۲. ۲. ۲.

1

- 3.01 Review of Available Material
- 3.02 Field Data Index and Distribution System
- 3.03 Field Data Collection and Processing
- 3.04 Water Resources Studies
- 3.05 Flood Studies
 - 3.06 Hydraulic and Ice Studies
 - 3.07 Sediment Yield and River Morphology Studies
 - 3.08 Climatic Studies for Transmission Line
 - 3.09 Access Road Studies

8.4 - TASK 4: SEISMIC STUDIES

(i) Task Objectives

To determine the earthquake ground motions which will provide the seismic design criteria for the major structures associated with the Susitna Hydroelectric Project, to undertake preliminary evaluations of the seismic stability of proposed earth-rockfill and concrete dams, to assess the potential for reservoir induced seismicity and landslides, and to identify soils which are susceptible to seismically-induced failure along the proposed transmission line and access road routes.

(ii) Task Output

The data collection programs and studies outlined in this task will be sufficiently comprehensive for FERC license applications.

Thorough presentations of conclusions, evaluations and data are also desirable for projects that are being carefully reviewed by permitting agencies. Woodward-Clyde Consultants has completed previous similar projects in Alaska and other states where permitting agencies, or other interested groups or agencies, are closely scrutinizing a project. Based upon our past experience, we believe that the Susitma Hydropower Project will undergo close scrutiny, and that the reports of the project should be complete and thorcugh. We propose to complete the reporting of the seismic geology and siesmology investigations with this philosophy as a guide. The primary products of this task will include:

- Technical reports containing thorough documentation of all work done during the first year.
- Final technical reports containing thorough documentation for all studies during the first two years.
- Monthly management reports during the course of the investigation.

The technical reports will be accompanied by geologic maps showing locations of all controlling features, fault lines, etc.

Management reports will deal with technical and financial progress with respect to plan.

(iii) List of Subtasks

Sudtask	4.01	÷	Review of Available Data
Subtask	4.02	-	Short-term Seismologic Monitoring Program
Subtask	4.03	-	Preliminary Reservoir Induced Seismicity
Subtask	4.04	-	Remote Sensing Image Analysis
Subtask	4.05	-	Seismic Geology Reconnaissance
Subtask	4.C6	1,00	Evaluation and Reporting
Subtask	4.07	1946.	Preliminary Ground Motion Studies
Subtask	4.08	÷	Preliminary Analysis of Dam Stability
Subtask	4.09	-	Long-term Seismologic Monitoring Program
Subtask	4.10		Reservoir Induced Seismicity
Subtask	4.11	-	Seismic Geology Field Studies
Subtask	4.12	-	Evaluation and Reporting
Subtask	4.13		Ground Motion Studies
Subtask	4.14		Dam Stability Consulting Services
Subtask	4.15	-	Soil Susceptibility to Seismically-
			Induced Failure

8.5 - TASK 5: GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION

(i) <u>Task Objectives</u>

To determine the surface and subsurface geology and geotechnical conditions for the feasibility studies of the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Project, including the access roads and the transmission lines.

(ii) <u>Task Output</u>

The primary outputs of Task 5 will consist of comprehensive documentation of geotechnical exploration undertaken at the Devil Canyon and Watana sites, reservoirs, and access roads and transmission line routes. This documentation will include the following:

- geologic maps
- geologic sections
- descriptive and graphic borehole logs
- descriptive test trench logse

A

AGRES

In the second

I. T. T.

IL MAL

77

58. 88

Kt and

1999 - 1997 - 19

C.

Î

- 11 - field inspection borehole and test trench logs - photogeologic maps borehole rock core photographs - low level air photointerpretation - seismic and resistivity bedrock profiles - radar imagery interpretation maps - geotechnical exploration program summaries (1980, 1981, 1982) - data summaries for -- in-hole seismic testing -- borehole camera studies -- laboratory testing. - geotechnical exploration summary reports (1980, 1981) (iii) List of Subtasks Subtask 5.01 - Data Collection and Review Subtask 5.02 - Photointerpretation Subtask 5.03 - Exploratory Program Design (1980) Subtask 5.04 - Exploratory Program (1980) Subtask 5.05 - Exploratory Program Design (1981) Subtask 5.06 - Exploratory Program (1981) Subtask 5.07 - Exploratory Program Design (1982) Subtask 5.08 - Data Compilation 8.6 - TASK 6: DESIGN DEVELOPMENT (i) Task Objectives To undertake planning studies, to evaluate, analyze and review all previous engineering studies related to hydroelectric development of the Upper Susitna River Basin and to develop preliminary engineering design and cost information for Watana and Devil Canyon Dam sites with all associated intake, outlet works, spillways and power facilities to allow preparation of a project feasibility report. (ii)Task Output The primary output of Task 5 will be a logical and systematic

development of the requisite project features. Alternative sites for dams and power developments will be evaluated. Alternative arrangements at each site will also be considered. One such alternative will involve a 30 mile long tunnel from Watana to Devil Canyon to eliminate the high dam at that site. A Development Selection Report will be issued on or about Week 65 of the Study for review and approval by Alaska Power Authority. Preliminary findings of the study will be discussed on or about Week 50, in order to establish whether or not work on two dam sites should continue or whether more viable alternatives exist and should be examined. Design transmittals will be issued at appropriate points in the study. All necessary input from parallel tasks including hydrology, geotechnical, economic, seismic survey and environmental studies will be factored into the planning studies and the development of the various features of the project. Engineering evaluation criteria and project definition will be developed. If sites are found to be technically viable, economically feasible and environmentally

* 1

Ŧ

1

AGRES

acceptable, additional studies and investigations will be conducted to establish the feasibility of the project and the optimum scale and sequence of development.

(iii) List of Subtasks

19

T

Subtask	6.01	مبيد	Review of Previous Studies
Subtask	6.02	 1994) -	Investigate Tunnel Alternative
Subtask	6.03		Evaluate Alternative Susitna Developments
Subtask	6.04	-	Evaluation of Arch Dam at Devil Canyon Site
Subtask	6.05	-	Development Selection Report
Subtask	6.06	-	Watana/Devil Canvon Staged Development Alternatives
Subtask	6.07	-	Preliminary Watana Dam Alternatives
Subtask	6.08	بىت	Preliminary Devil Canvon Dam Alternatives
Subtask	6.09		Fstablish Watana Design Criteria
Subtask	6.10		Establish Devil Canvon Design Criteria
Subtask	6.11	-	Preliminary Design Watana Dam
Subtask	6.12	÷	Preliminary Design Devil Canyon Dam
Subtask	6.13	-	Dam Selection Report
Subtask	6.14	-	Spillway Design Criteria
Subtask	6.15		Watana Spillway Alternatives
Subtask	6.16	-	Devil Canyon Spillway Alternatives
Subtask	6.17	-	Preliminary Design Watana Spillway
Subtask	6.18	-	Preliminary Design Devil Canyon Spillway
Subtask	6.19	-	Spillway Selection Report
Subtask	6.20	-	Access and Camp Facilities
Subtask	б.21	-	Watana Diversion Scheme
Subtask	6.22	-	Devil Canyon Diversion Scheme
Subtask	6.23		Optimize Watana Power Development
Subtask	6.24	-	Optimize Devil Canyon Power Development
Subtask	6.25	÷	Optimize Dam Heights
Subtask	6.26	***	Preliminary Design Watana Power Development
Subtask	б.27		Preliminary Design Devil Canyon Power Development
Subtask	6.28		Power Development Report
Subtask	6.29	- Ann	Watana General Arrangement
Subtask	6.30		Devil Canyon General Arrangement
Subtask	6.31	÷	Feasibility Report

8.7 - Task 7 - Environmental Studies

(i) <u>Task Objectives</u>

The environmental program is designed to evaluate primarily the Susitna Hydroelectric Project and associated facilities, with respect to environmental impacts. To accomplish this, a comprehensive program of studies has been developed in the following disciplines: socioeconomics, archaeological and historical resources, geology, land use and recreation, water resources, fish ecology, wildlife ecology and plant ecology. Access roads, site facilities and transmission corridors will also be studied for environmental compatibility.

- 12

The overall objectives of the environmental studies are to describe the existing environmental conditions, evaluate alternatives in light of the existing conditions and, for the selected alternatives, predict future conditions with and without the proposed project so that changes (impacts) caused by the project may be assessed. To

Subtask 2.15 - Slope Stability and Erosion Studies

(a) Objectives

Estimate the extent to which cleared slopes will maintain stability; estimate the risk that continued reservoir operation will cause one or more slopes to fail; and estimate costs of minimizing slope failure risks.

(b) Approach

Field data collected during the reconnaissance under Subtask 2.12 will be used as the basis for analyzing the potential for slope stability problems. To the extent that such problems appear to exist, alternative means of slope protection will be considered. It will be assumed that slope protection will be required if there is a danger of failure during continued operation.

(c) <u>Discussion</u>

Pal

Risk estimates developed during this study will be used ultimately in the risk analysis to ensure that all potential difficulties have been accounted for. The costs of providing appropriate slope protection necessarily become a part of the total project cost estimate to be considered ultimately in determining project financibility and viability.

Subsequent to subdission of the license application, much more detailed and vigorous erosion control studies will be required to minimize damage caused by a concentrated flow of water over newly constructed slopes or in areas where the natural vegetative cover has been removed. The objective of this post-application task will be to issue recommendations and delineate problem areas where an added degree of caution should be exercised. A two part study is contemplated to fulfill these needs. This task will be limited to the general site earthwork and is not intended to address erosion of the downstream channel of the dam site.

Input from the first phase of the detailed erosion study will come from an evaluation of soil types obtained from project test borings and laboratory test data. Air photo studies will also be used. It is presently anticipated that a sufficient number of test borings will have been drilled in other project tasks to accomplish this study without additional test borings. Nevertheless, samples of surficial soil may be collected for identification and classification purposes, and laboratory tests may be performed.

A report describing areas of varying degrees of erosion succeptibility will be prepared. Some of the factors that will be considered in this evaluation will be the soil type and its consistency. Included in this report will be a discussion of erosion control for general site grading.



A.5.5 - TASK 4: SEISMIC STUDIES

(i) Task Objectives

To determine the earthquake ground motions which will provide the seismic design criteria for the major structures associated with the Susitna Hydroelectric Project, to undertake preliminary evaluations of the seismic stability of proposed earth-rockfill and concrete dams, to assess the potential for reservoir induced seismicity and landslides, and to identify soils which are susceptible to seismically-induced failure along the proposed transmission line and access road routes.

(ii) Task Output

F

The data collection programs and studies outlined in this task will be sufficiently comprehensive for FERC license applications.

Thorough presentations of conclusions, evaluations and data are also desirable for projects that are being carefully reviewed by permitting agencies. Complete reporting of the seismic geology and seismology investigations will be made with this philosophy as z guide. This task will be conducted primarily by Woodward-Clyde Consultants with review by Acres and field support by R&M Consultants. The ground motion study data will be utilized in Task 6 for design studies. Identification of seisimically susceptible soils for the <u>road and transmission routes will be inputs to Task 2</u> and 8 studies. Field activities will be coordinated with the Task 5 activities.

The primary products of this task will include:

- Technical reports containing thorough documentation of all work done during the first year.
- Final technical reports containing thorough documentation for all studies during the first two years.
- Monthly management reports during the course of the investigation.

The technical reports will be accompanied by geologic maps showing locations of all controlling features, fault lines, etc.

Management reports will deal with technical and financial progress with respect to plan.



Subtask 4.01 - Review of Available Data

(a) Objective

To acquire, compile and review existing data and identify the earthquake setting of the Susitna River basin area.

(b) Approach

 Data obtained under this subtask will be used to plan the details of the seismologic investigations (Subtasks 4.02, 4.03, 4.09 and 4.10) and the seismic geology field reconnaissance (Subtask 4.05). Available geological, seismological, and geophysical data for the region will be gathered from sources such as Woodward-Clyde files, the Department of Geologic and the Geophysical Institute of the University of Alaska, the Alaska Geological Survey, the U.S. Geological Survey and the major colleges and universities involved in research pertinent to the project. In addition, researchers with on-going programs of study will be contacted and the current status of their research will be obtained by discussions and written correspondence.

The acquisition of geological data will be concentrated on structural features of the earth that may represent major active faults. The geomorphic expressions of these features will also be identified from the available data.

Geophysical data regarding the structure of the earth will be acquired and reviewed. Regional gravity and magnetic data are particularly useful in identifying major discontinuities in the crust of the earth. These discontinuities may be along faults that could produce large earthquakes and surface fault ruptures. If available, other types of geophysical data such as seismic refraction, seismic reflection and electrical resistivity may also be of use in identifying major active faults.

Seismological data will be acquired for the project area. This data includes historical information on past earthquakes, instrumental data from the Geophysical Institute of the University of Alaska, and regional instrumental data from the U.S. Geological Survey.

The geological, seismological and geophysical data will be compiled in order to obtain a thorough current knowledge of the tectonics of the Susitna River area. The end product will consist of maps that identify faults, lineaments, and epicenter clusters or alignments identified by others. These maps will provide a basis for the proposed geological and seismological studies.

In addition to the data acquired for the project area, data relating to reservoir-induced seismicity will also be compiled. The worldwide data on reservoir-induced seismicity will provide a partial basis for evaluating whether or not induced earthquakes may be generated in the Susitna River area. Woodward-Clyde Consultants has an extensive file on world-wide data on reservoir-induced earthquakes, and is currently being retained for further research in reservoirinduced seismicity by the U.S. Geological Survey. The specific products of this subtask include:

- Historical earthquake map and catalog

A catalog of reported earthquakes with magnitude 4.0 and larger from 1899 to the present will be prepared for the region within 200 miles of the site. For the larger earthquakes in the period, the geologic and engineering effects will be discussed. Data quality as a function of time will be evaluated to estimate the completeness level of the catalog with respect to magnitude, focal depth and spatial location.

- Summary of recent regional monitoring

Microearthquake monitoring by the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute and the U.S. Geological Survey will be reviewed and summary plots of seismicity data will be prepared. Results and interpretations based on these data will be reviewed with appropriate personnel in governmental and academic organizations. Of particular importance is evaluation of the accuracy of focal depth determinations based on these network studies.

- Tectonic model

Based on available seismologic and geologic data, a preliminary kinematic tectonic model will be developed for the region within approximately 200 miles of the site. This model will be modified as needed by studies in later subtasks and will provide the basis for understanding the interrelated geologic source areas for future earthquake activity in the Alaskan interior. Applications and implications of seismic gap theory will be considered.

(c) <u>Discussion</u>

0

ľ

The seismicity and seismic sources of the Alaskan interior have only recently begun to be studied in significant detail. Interest in the seismicity of continental Alaska was stimulated by the major 1964 earthquake and involved the initiacion of regional microearthquake monitoring and the augmentation of geological investigations to improve understanding of the tectonics of Alaska.

The seismological environment of the Susitna Project is characterized by two major earthquake sources:

- shallow earthquake activity occurring along crustal faults such as the Denali fault, with depth of focus less than approximately 12 miles; and
- earthquake activity in a Benioff zone which has a depth range of 30 to 90 miles and is associated with the subduction of the Pacific plate beneath Alaska.

Geological studies are used, along with seismological data, to investigate the shallow earthquake sources. The deeper-focus earthquake sources are not directly expressed at the earth's surface and must be investigated using seismological data combined with a kinematic understanding of the prosent-day tectonic activity of the Alaskan interior. The occurrence of past large earthquakes within the region, such as the 1904 and 1912 magnitude 7 to 8 earthquakes, indicates that both the shallow and deeper seismic zones may have the potential for generating earthquakes with ground motions significant to the project.

The Susitna River area is within a zone of active seismicity that extends from the Aleutian trough on the south into central and northern interior Alaska. Woodward-Clyde Consultants has previously conducted regional studies of seismic geology and seismicity over broad regions of Alaska. The past regional evaluations have been for the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, the proposed Offshore Continental Shelf regions surrounding Alaska, and for the proposed Alcan Gas Pipeline. These past regional studies provide data regarding the earthquake sources in Alaska, and they also provide up-to-date knowledge of the current status of research in the area.

0.55

5 -S

િં હ

(d) <u>Schedule</u>

F

F

Weeks O through 22

(a) Objective

(¢)

 $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$

·

Establish initial monitoring system, obtain and analyze basic seismologic data on potential earthquake sources within the Susitna River area and supply information required to implement a more thorough long-term monitoring program (Subtask 4.09).

(b) Approach

This subtask involves two major packages of work:

(1) Analysis of Existing Data

Further limited analysis of existing regional earthquake data will be undertaken to enable sufficiently accurate and appropriate selection of maximum earthquake sources and associated attenuation relationships. Source studies will be carried out on several of the largest historical earthquakes, including the 1904 and 1912 events, in order to constrain their location, Vocal depth and causative geological structure. The maximum earthquake potential of the subduction zone beneath the Susitna site is poorly understood, and it will be of significant value to use the historical data to properly characterize this source. These studies will also be directed to the evaluation of the seismic attenuation characteristics of deeper earthquakes to enable the proper utilization of the results of the Alaskan (OASES study by Woodward-Clyde Consultants (1978) and other studies in selecting appropriate attenuation relationships required for Subtask 4.07 and 4.13.

(2) Establishment of a Monitoring Network

Since the study area is in a remote but seismically active area additional detailed earthquake source data will be collected by installing and operating a localized microearthquake recording network.

The network will be established and operated during the summer of 1980. The area covered will include the region within approximately 30 miles of the dam sites. Eight to ten recorders with station spacing of 5 to 10 miles will be installed to record microearthquake activity down to magnitude of 1.0 or less. Low-power radio telemetery will be used to make the field operation as efficient as possible. Helicopter support will be used for installation and maintenance.

Initial station deployment will be guided by the information obtained during the data review (Subtask 4.01). It will be required to monitor known significant geologic features, such as the Susitna fault.

During the course of the study, some of the stations may be moved to study specific areas of activity. Data analysis will be carried out to locate active seismic sources and evaluate their spatial extent and focal depth. These analyses will also be used to establish causative stress orientations based on focal mechanism studies, to evaluate seismic attenuation, and to evaluate the statistical features of the microearthquake activity.

Specific results to be obtained relative to source and wave propagation assessment include the association of larger earthquakes (such as the 1904 and 1912 events) with probable source structures, depth determination of the Benioff Zone of deeper seismic activity and attenuation characteristics of subduction zone earthquakes. Seismic source location in terms of maximum earthquake potential in the Benioff Zone will be performed. Comparisons will be made with seismic activity in other comparable tectonic areas to assess attenuation and maximum earthquake potential. The scope of these studies will be modified as necessary on the basis of the results obtained as the work progresses.

Liaison will be maintained with data collection by the University of Alaska Geophysical Institute and the U.S. Geological Survey. The recording period is initially planned as three months; however, if this should need to be modified, appropriate recommendations will be made during the course of the study.

(c) <u>Discussion</u>

F

The present location and focal mechanism level using the Geophysical Institute network is approximately magnitude 2-1/2 or larger. The data obtained from the proposed monitoring program will supplement the existing regional network operations and will provide needed accuracy and detection threshold. In addition, the results obtained will provide the information needed to accurately site the long-term network stations (Subtask 4.09) and to select appropriate instrumentation. They will also aid in planning the seismic geology reconnaissance (Subtask 4.05).

(d) Schedule

Weeks 21 through 52

Subtask 4.03 - Preliminary Reservoir Induced Seismicity

(a) Objective

Te

Evaluate the potential for the possible future occurrence of reservoir-induced seismicity (RIS) in the Susitna Project area.

(b) Approach

05 The results of this evaluation will be used to establish scenarios of possible outcomes of the occurrence reservoir induced seismicity. Woodward-Clyde Consultants has recently completed a major analysis of geologic, seismologic and hydrologic factors associated with past cases of reservoir-induced seismicity. The results of this study .also will be applied to the known factors for the Susitna project in order to statistically relate the Susitna Project to the potential for RIS. The resulting potential will be evaluated in terms of possible scenarios for the occurrence of induced activity, and the possible outcome of such occurrences will be discussed.

This analysis will result in a quantitative assessment of the potential for the occurrence of reservoir-induced seismicity as a result of the damming of the Susitna River. A comparison will be made of depth, volume, regional stress, geologic setting and faulting at the Susitna dam sites with the same parameters as the world's deep and/ or very large reservoirs. Based on this comparison, the probability of reservoir-induced seismicity at the Susitna dam sites will be assessed.

A description of known cases of RIS emphasizing the relationship between filling of the reservoir and the length of time to the first and largest earthquakes and the relevance of these data to the Susitna dam sites will be discussed.

Scenarios will be presented that discuss possible courses of action that can be taken if RIS is anticipated or detected during filling of the reservoir.

(c) Discussion

The activities associated with this task will be closely coordinated with the hydraulic studies aimed at assessing the potential impact on the reservoir water level of a reservoir-induced slide. (See Subtask 3.06).

(d) Schedule

Weeks 23 through 50

Subtask 4.04 - Remote Sensing Image Analysis

(a) <u>Objective</u>

Select and interpret available remote sensing imagery to identify topographic features that may be associated with active faulting.

(b) Approach

A.

Data obtained under this subtask will be used during the Seismic Geology Reconnaissance (Subtask 4.05) and the Seismic Geology Field Studies (Subtask 4.11) to identify youthful faults that may produce future earthquakes and future surface fault ruptures. Remote sensing imagery and aerial photography relevant to approximately(100 km) radius about the dam site will be selected for a lineament analysis. This remote sensing data includes available Landsat, SLAR (sidelanding airborne radar), Skylab photography; high altitude U-2, or RB-57 color infrared photographs, and black-and-white aerial photographs. The remote sensing and high altitude imagery and aerial photographs will be interpreted in terms of the geology, geomorphology and structure of the study region.

Interpretation will help to identify lineaments and other features that may be related to active faults. Seismicity clusters and alignments identified during the seismicity evaluation in Subtask 4.02 will be compared with the lineaments identified by the imagery interpretation and the known faults on existing maps to assess the possible relationship of the epicentral locations, surficial lineaments and mapped faults. The imagery interpretation will be conducted by geologists experienced in lineament evaluation and in the recognition of features associated with active faults. It will be important to distinguish these lineaments from similar features that result from non-tectonic geologic processes.

(c) Discussion

, 0n

The activities in this task will be closely coordinated with the photo interpretation studies being conducted for the dam site, reservoir and constructed material areas (Subtask 5.02) to ensure that information requests and malyses are not duplicated. Following an initial aerial and ground reconnaissance it may be decided that low-sun-angle aerial photography should be acquired for specific geomorphic features that may be fault-related. For this purpose, low-sun-angle color infrared and black-and-white photography at a scale of approximately 1:24,000 is proposed. This has proven exceedingly valuable in delineating subtle topographic features that may be fault-related. The long shadows cast by the low-sun-angle highlight subtle topographic features related to faults, such as scarps or offsets, that would be undetectable with conventional vertical aerial photographs.



Color infrared photography has also proven extremely useful in delineating subtle features in the terrain such as a contrast in vegetation or in surface moisture. Such features are often associated with faults where ground water is either closest along the fault zone or on only one side of the fault.

Ę

(

A map of lineaments within 100 km of the project area will be produced as a guide for Subtasks 4.05 and 4.11. The lineament map will be supplemented by mapped faults from Subtask 4.01, in order to compare known faults with lineaments of various origins.

(d) <u>Schedule</u>

E

Aerial photographs will be ordered during the first month. The analysis will be performed during weeks 10 through 26.



(a) Objective

Perform a reconnaissance investigation of known faults in the Susitna River area, and of lineaments that may be faults, identify active faults and establish priorities for more detailed field investigations.

(b) Approach

E

ľ

THE A

`}

This task will utilize the data obtained from Subtask 4.01 and the aerial photographic interpretations outlined in Subtask 4.04 as a basis for planning aerial and ground reconnaissance.

The aerial reconnaissance will systematically cover all lineaments and faults identified in previous subtasks. A field analysis will be made in order to identify whether or not each feature may be an active fault capable of impacting the project area due to its being gassociated with a large earthquake or capable of producing a future surface fault rupture. Features within 60 miles of the project area will be studied during the reconnaissance, with each lineament and fault being identified by number. In addition, regional reconnaissance of major features such as the Denali fault and the Castle Mountain fault which may extend as far as 200 miles from the project area will be investigated. Interpretations regarding the origin of each feature will be made by expert seismic geologists with past experience on similar projects. Those features that are interpreted to originate from youthful faulting, or features of unknown origin that may be due to youthful faulting, will be studied further in the field and subjected to reconnaissance-level geologic mapping.

The reconnaissance-level geologic mapping will be oriented toward identifying whether or not the bedrock units near the feature suggest the presence or absence of a fault. In addition, the Quaternary geomorphic surfaces and stratigraphic units in proximity to each feature will be studied to aid in identifying whether or not faulting has occurred in young units. The reconnaissance-level mapping, at a scale of 1:63,360, will aid in identifying those features that will require detailed study during the field season of 1981.

These activities will be coordinated with the geologic mapping tasks associated in Subtask 5.04.

(c) <u>Discussion</u>

The Susitna River area is in a complex tectonic area that is poorly known geologically. Previous work by Kachadoorian and Moore emphasized the structural complexity of this area, and the large number of linear features at the surface that may be due to faulting or to other origins. These surface features require field investigation to identify their origins. In order to identify the origins of some features, it may require detailed mapping, trending, borings, or geophysical data. Despite thorough investigations, however, it may not be possible to obtain definitive information regarding the origins of all the lineaments.

(

Woodward-Clyde Consultants has conducted seismic geology reconnaissance investigations over large regions of Alaska and in many other seismically active areas of the world. Based upon that experience, we estimate that reconnaissance-level investigations as proposed in this subtask will define the origins of about 90 percent of the lineaments identified on remote sensing images. If these features are considered to be controlling faults for the design of dams and other important facilities, further detailed investigations will be undertaken in the Seismic Geology Field Studies, Subtask 4.11.

The products of this subtask will consist of a map that identifies recently active faults and features of unknown origins that may be faults significant to one or more dam sites and other critical facilities. In addition, all field observations will be tabulated for each lineament studied, and preliminary estimates of the maximum credible earthquake and faulting, along with the recurrences of faulting, will be made for each active fault and other features that may be faults.

(d) Schedule

E

ľ

ľ

P

I.

F

L

Weeks 24 through 39

This task can begin after Subtask 4.04 is complete. Subtask 4.02 should either proceed concurrently with this subtask or it should precede this subtask.

Subtask 4.06 - Evaluation and Reporting

(a) Objectives

Complete a preliminary evaluation of the seismic environment of the project, define the earthquake source parameters required for earthquake engineering input in design and document the studies in reports suitable for use in design studies (Task 6).

(b) Approach

Ľ

F

目に

NAME OF

The second second

THE.

The approach of this subtask will be to provide a probabilistic analysis of earthquakes concerning control of active faulting, and to estimate maximum credible earthquakes for each active fault. These analyses will be completed by an interdisciplinary team utilizing the reconnaissance-level information obtained from Subtask 4.01 to 4.05. Reporting will be in a format suitable for use in selecting the design basis earthquakes, and will include thorough documentation that will be suitable for FERC and peer group review.

(c) <u>Discussion</u>

A panel of leading experts in seismology investigation and seismic design of major structures will be convened during this activity to review and comment on all study work undertaken and the findings thereof.

Overall management and coordination of Subtasks 4.01 to 4.05 is also incorporated in this subtask.

(d) Schedule

Weeks 18 through 52

Subtask 4.07 - Preliminary Ground Motion Studies

(a) Objective

Undertake a preliminary estimate of the ground motions (ground shaking) to which proposed project facilities may be subjected during earthquakes.

(b) <u>Approach</u>

Res .

·

Dec

The ground motion characteristics to be estimated include peak parameters (peak accelerations, velocities, and displacements), r sponse spectra (describing the frequency content of ground shaking) and significant duration (describing the time duration of strong ground shaking). This initial assessment of ground motions will be made using information from the seismic geology (Subtask 4.05) and seismology (Subtask 4.02) studies. The ground motion estimates will be refined if necessary on the basis of additional information gathered during the second year. (See Subtask 4.13).

In consideration of ground motions, the terms "seismic exposure" and "seismic risk" are sometimes used interchangeably. However, for the purposes of this proposal they have two distinctly different meanings:

- "Seismic Exposure" is used to define the nature of the earthquakeinduced ground motion characteristics at a specific site;
- "Seismic Risk" is used to define the risk as the probability of structural damage or destruction by an earthquake at the project site. It reflects the degree to which the structure has been designed to cope with earthquakes.

Ground motions will be estimated using a probabilistic approach, usually called a seismic exposure analysis. In this approach, the probability of exceeding various amplitudes of ground motion is estimated, taking into account the frequency of occurrence of earthquakes from all significant seismic sources and the attenuation of ground motion from each source to the locations of project facilities. Earthquakes of various magnitudes, up to the magnitudes of maximum credible events, will be considered. Attenuation relationships will be derived from examination and analyses of earthquake recordings made in similar tectonic environments and in similar subsurface geologic conditions, including available recordings from Alaska. WCC has recently conducted a comprehensive state-of-the-art analysis of seismic exposure in Alaskan offshore areas (OASES, 1978). The results and data of this previous study, which included assessment of activity for major onshore faults (e.g., Denali Fault, Castle Mountain fault) as well as offshore faults (e.g., Benioff zone), will be extremely valuable to the progress study.

The end products of this subtask will consist of estimates of the probability of exceedence during selected time periods (e.g., 100 years) of various levels of ground motions at the locations of each proposed major dam and other major facilities. For the long transmission lines and major access roads, the probability estimates will be given for appropriate segments of the systems. Probability levels and corresponding amplitudes of ground motions that may be considered in selecting project seismic design criteria will be discussed. For the dams, ground motion criteria will be consistent with ground motions associated with maximum credible earthquakes. For less critical project components, ground motion characteristics having a higher probability of exceedence would be used as design criteria.

(c) Discussion

It is widely recognized that neither the occurrence of future earthquakes nor the resulting ground motions at a site can be predicted with great accuracy even when the best available data and technology are employed. The fact is recognized in the above approach and considerable attention will be devoted to determining the reliability of the estimated design criteria.

The key interrelationships of this subtask and others are the following:

Projections of earthquake recurrence and identification of maximum credible earthquakes is an essential input to this subtask and will be accomplished in Subtask 4.06. The results of this subtask constitute essential input to Subtask 4.08 (Preliminary Analysis of Dam Stability) and Subtask 4.15 (Identification of Soils Susceptible to Seismically Induced Failure Along the Transmission Line and Access Road Routes).

The products of this task include the following:

- Estimates of the probability of exceedence during selected time periods (e.g., 100 years) of various degrees of ground motion at the location of each proposed major dam and other major project components.
- A discussion of and recommendations for project ground motion design criteria.
- (d) Schedule

Weeks 24 through 52

		TASK DESCRIPTION		1980																	
	D			FED	MAR	APR	млу	JUH	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DE	jah	FEB	HAR	APR	MAY	JUN	
	4 01	DATA REVIEW		113 EX2	(133732)	TZIEN	[13] GL						•			1					
	402	SHORT-TERM MONITORING PROGRAM					EL1	15-1270/13				erry e	EPU DI		-					*	
2007	4 03 4. 10	RESERVOIR INDUCED SEISMICITY						III ANI			LINIA 67.		 								
עבואאטר	4.04	REMOTE SENSING IMAGE ANALYSIS			ESA	-TTUNET:		KENA BUD													
0,067 8	4.05	SEISMIC GEOLOGY RECONNAISSANCE						B CEE	era me	באתמודענ	ESTÀ REA										
SMIC GE	4.06 4,12	, EVALUATION & REPORTING					5	CIII.I.I		120023	EILIZEIT.		37.00021		excan	655723	EISTIL	<u>KISAZU</u>	6021123	E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	-
1	4.09	LONG-TERM MONITORING PROGRAM																			
	4.11	SEISMIC GEOLOGY FIELD STUDIES															L'AL	in ee			
		REVIEW MEETINGS					4132			EEZ				13 13							
シンドレーン	4.07, 4.13	GHOUND MOTION STUDIES						m			-imitati	-Arbinel	ilà cur	A BAILTI						1 55	1
PKE ENG	4.08, 4.14	DAM STABILITY												F IL:	MILLE IN	2/15/3115	arrower's	NIC NICK			The second secon
	4.15	SOILS SUSCEPTIBLE TO SEISMICALLY - INDUCED FAILURE												••••••							

5-104

а С

PLAN OF STUDY PLATE T4 1 + TASK 4 SCHEDULE

								ي بي دي
19	81				2		a to	
JUN	JUL	AUB	SEP	ocr	NOV	DEC		
				0				6. W =
							ele.	y
		13 E	TER-SIN					ين کور ا
<u> </u>					4			
	•							
REPER	. STALL	PZEES			, Kank atulan s	11 7777 22		
					••••			
1117755	- HINSING AL		RECENT L					
		22				E .31		
1 5	INE EXILE	TELL		ai b atu	NTA C	S 8772		
				(1523) (1523)	arica fica	-1 9 1111		
			SULTER FILE					

A.5.6 - TASK 5: GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION

(i) Task Objectives

To determine the surface and subsurface geology and geotechnical conditions for the feasibility studies of the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Project, including the access roads and the transmission lines.

(ii) Task Output

3

The Task 5 studies will be designed to provide input to the Task 6 design studies and will provide support to the Task 4 studies.

The primary outputs of Task 5 will consist of comprehensive documentation of geotechnical exploration undertaken at the Devil Canyon and Watana sites, reservoirs, and access roads and transmission line routes. This documentation will include the following:

- geologic maps
- geologic sections

- descriptive and graphic borehole logs

- descriptive test trench logs
- field inspection borehole and test trench logs
- photogeologic maps
- borehole rock core photographs
- low level air photointerpretation
- seismic and resistivity bedrock profiles
- radar imagery interpretation maps
- geotechnical exploration program summaries (1980, 1981, 1982)
- data summaries for
 - -- in-hole seismic testing
 - -- borehole camera studies
 - -- laboratory testing.
- geotechnical exploration summary reports (1980, 1981)

(iii) List of Subtasks

Subtask 5.01 - Data Collection and Review Subtask 5.02 - Photointerpretation Subtask 5.03 - Exploratory Program Design (1980) Subtask 5.04 - Exploratory Program (1980) Subtask 5.05 - Exploratory Program Design (1981) Subtask 5.06 - Exploratory Program (1981) Subtask 5.07 - Exploratory Program Design (1982) Subtask 5.08 - Data Compilation

(iv) Subtask Scope Statements

For the purposes of this Plan of Study, the geotechnical exploratory programs are essentially divided into first-, second- and third-year stages (1980, 1981 and 1982). Exploratory work to be undertaken in 1982 and beyond is not included in Task 5 activities. Preparation of the program for 1982 is nevertheless included on the understanding that the 1982 program will be initiated prior to submission of the FERC license application, but is not an essential prerequisite

5-105

to that submission. The 1980 geotechnical exploration program will be designed to identify and investigate in limited detail those geological and geotechnical conditions which will significantly affect the feasibility of the proposed dam projects. Limited preplanning opportunities and climatic constraints are such that investigations in 1980 will be somewhat limited in scope, and the data limited in detail. Emphasis will therefore be placed on identifying and investigating to the maximum extent the most adverse geotechnical conditions encountered.

The objectives of the 1981 geotechncial exploration program will be to investigate in more detail those geological and geotechnical conditions, both general and adverse, which will significantly affect the design and construction of the proposed dam projects. Exploration along the routes selected for the access roads and transmission lines will also be undertaken in 1981. Although the scope of the exploratory work and the data produced in 1981 will still be somewhat limited, the exploratory program will be designed to establish with reasonable confidence the feasibility and total cost of the project, access roads and transmission lines. The exploratory program in 1982 will be yet more detailed. This and subsequent programs will be aimed at providing greater certainty in the design of major dams and structures with a view towards further ensuring the safety of structures while minimizing potential project cost overruns due to unforeseen geotechnical design conditions. The geotechncal exploration programs will be specifically designed to be complementary to the work already completed.

A LOUGH AND A

The geotechnical exploration programs in the field will also be severely constrained by difficulties of access and maneuverability of equipment imposed by weather conditions and the requirements for environmental preservation. Full account has been taken of these constraints in developing this Plan of Study.

A detailed discussion of the individual subtasks follows. It should be stressed that the exploration program design is based on the assumption that Watana and Devil Canyon are the selected sites.



Subtask 5.01 - Data Collection and Review

(a) <u>Objective</u>

Collect and review all existing geological and geotechnical data pertaining to the Susitna Project area, including the access road and transmission line corridors and the Susitna River basin.

(b) Approach

ľ

Data to be collected at this stage include, but are not limited to the following:

- previous regional and site geological mapping and studies

- published or unpublished geological and geotechnical data and reports from federal, state, academic or private sources
- air photos and high level ERTS photos of the project area, including the proposed access road and transmission line
- geophysical survey, remote sensing and seismicity studies and data pertaining or relevant to the project

A short field visit will be made to the proposed damsites for preliminary geologic interpretation. This will assist in making the preliminary damsite and dam alignment selections in Task 6. This in turn will determine the design of the exploratory investigation program. The data and results of review will be assembled into a brief report with appropriate appendices. These documents will be made available for subsequent use by all project design and study groups.

Borehole rock cores from previous investigations will also be examined in Anchorage. Contacts will be made with the University of Alaska to gather geologic and geotechnical data. A check will be made for mining interests in the project areas. Data pertaining to geological and geotechnical problems associated with the construction of large embankments, access roads and transmission lines will be collected. Discussions will be held with the U.S Corps of Engineers concerning details of the past field studies.

This task will be undertaken by Acres' Anchorage staff with appropriate support from R&M Consultants.

(c) Schedule

Week 0 through 9



Subtask 5.02 - Photointerpretation

(a) Objective

Perform air photointerpretation and terrain analysis of the Watana and Devil Canyon damsite areas, reservoir areas, construction material borrow areas and access road and transmission line corridors, and identify adverse geological features and geotechnical conditions that would significantly affect the design and construction of the project features.

(b) Approach

ľ

Photointerpretation will be based on available air photography obtained under Subtask 5.01, and new aerial photos of a larger scale obtained under Task 2 for the damsites, reservoirs, and construction materials borrow areas, access road and transmission line corridors.

The initial photoanalysis will utilize existing air photos obtained either from private or government sources. These photos are believed to be high level and consequently small scale. They will, however, serve to establish preliminary surface geology, including geomorphology, geologic history, glacial geology, lithology and stratigraphy, structural geology, permafrost characteristics and geohydrology and engineering geology. Land forms will be identified. Alluvial or glaciofluvial deposits of previous sand and gravel, glacial deposits of impervious till and floodplain deposits of poorly drained, compressible silty materials will be located. The distribution, quality and stratigraphic relationships of rock types will be identified.

Photo analysis will also be used to generally delineate or infer permafrost areas and buried channels. Groundwater regimes will also be studied and unstable and/or erodible slopes identified.

A short field study will be required to verify the photointerpretation analysis. This will be performed early in the first field season (1980).

(c) Discussion

New air photos produced under Task 2 will be available at the end of the first field season. These low level, high resolution, large scale photos will have two purposes:

 preparation of second year exploratory investigation program
 production of accurate topographic maps on which to base subsequent geological mapping and design studies.

Photointerpretation under this subtask will be undertaken by Acres' Anchorage staff and closely coordinated with the photointerpretation work done by WCC (Subtask 4.05) in order to eliminate unnecessary duplication of work.

5-108

1.

The results of photointerpretation will be documented in the form of brief summary reports and appended photographs and maps to highlight the principal findings.

-

(d) <u>Schedule</u>

REDOWN

TANK TANK

P. Statute

and the second second

×.

Weeks 5 through 41



Subtask 5.03 - Exploratory Program Design (1980)

(a) Objective

¢\$,

\$ 050 8

Design the geotechnical exploratory investigation programs for 1980 for Watana and Devil Canyon damsites, dam construction materials, and reservoir areas, and along the access road route.

(b) Approach

The design of the various exploratory investigations will be based on the results of the data collection and review study (Subtask 5.01) and the air-photo interpretation study (Subtask 5.02). Input from the preliminary access road studies under Task 2 will also be required.

Generally, these exploratory investigations will consist of geologic mapping, auger drilling and sampling, test trenching, seismic and resistivity studies, airborne radar imagery techniques and laboratory testing. In cases where environmental damage is a problem or accessibility is poor, test trenches will be replaced by shallow auger drilling by helicopter. The design will specify the following details:

- area to be geologically mapped

- position and extent of seismic and resistivity lines
- areas to be investigated by airborne radar imagery techniques
- types and numbers of laboratory tests.

Investigations for access roads will be confined to geologic mapping and radar imagery. Table A5.4 and A5.5 detail the type and extent of investigations and laboratory testing that are currently proposed elsewhere. The design of the exploratory investigations will be flexible enough to permit changes during the execution of the work. These changes will become evident as the field studies proceed.

(c) Discussion

> Work under this subtask will be performed by Acres' Anchorage staff with support in logistical planning provided by R&M and close liaison with WCC.

> In the design of the exploratory investigations, full advantage will be taken of the extensive investigations previously undertaken. These include drilling, test pitting, geologic mapping and seismic surveys by the US Corps of Engineers at Watana damsite, and the drilling investigations and seismic studies at Devil Canyon by the US Corps of Engineers and the US Bureau of Reclamation.

Watana Site

At the Watana damsite area, 17 boreholes have been drilled for a total of 3,340 feet and 11 boreholes have been drilled, totalling 1,815 feet in the right bank spillway and buried channel area. Reconnaissance reservoir mapping and fault mapping has been performed by Kachadoorian. A total of 19 auger and diamond drill

5-110

TABLE A5.4

7.14

Tan Anna Anna

i ji

5-111

0

PROPOSED GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATORY PROGRAM - 1980

П		PROJECT STRUCTURE	S/FACILITIES
Area	Exploration	Devil Canyon Dam & Reservoir	Watana Dam & Reservoir
Damsite	Geologic Mapping	yes	yės .
	Geophysical (seismic and resistivity)	 3 - 900 ft. lines at buried channel site 3 - Oblique 450 ft. lines across river channel 2 - 1,000 ft. lines on right abutment 	 5,000 ft: line at proposed spillway site Oblique 1,500 ft. lines across river within upstream portion of dam
	Diamond Drilling	1000 ft.	600 ft.
	Airborne radar imagery	+ 3,500 ft. at right and left abutment and saddle dam site	+ 4,000 ft. at right and left abutments
Dam Con- struction Materials		One established and two new borrow areas	Four established and two new borrow areas
nucci iuis	Geologic Mapping	yes	yes
	Portable Auger Drilling	20 - 10 ft. deep holes in the two proposed borrow areas	20 - 10 ft. deep holes in the two proposed borrow areas
	Geophysical (seismic and resistivity)	<pre>2 - 1,000 ft. lines in the two prc- posed borrow areas</pre>	2 - 1,000 ft. lines in the two proposed borrow areas
	Test Trenches	30 trenches in the three borrow areas	30 trenches in threen of borrow areas
	Airborne Radar Imagery	6 - 1,000 ft. lines in the three borrow areas	8 - 1,000 ft. lines in four of the borrow areas
Reservoir	Geologic Mapping	yes	yes
Basin	Portable Auger Drilling	10 - 10 ft. deep holes	10 - 10 ft. deep holes
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Geophysical (seismic)	2,000 ft.	6,000 ft. at site of right bank relict channel
	Diamond Drilling	100 ft.	.100 ft.
	Airborne Radar Imagery	10,000 ft.	20,000 ft.

4 C.

benning the termining and an experimental states (such neurosciencies de	POWER STRUCTURES/FACH_ITTES									
Area	Type of Exploration	Uevil Canyon Dam & Reservoir	Watana Dam & Reservoir	Uther						
Damsite	Geologic Mapping	yes	yes							
	Diamond Drilling	<pre>4 holes in right abutment (power- house and dam) 4 holes in left abutment (saddle dam and diversion tunnel) 3 holes in riverbed*</pre>	<pre>2 holes in relict channel, right abutment 2 holes in right abutment spillway and dam) 2 holes in left abutment (power- house and dam)**</pre>							
	In-hole Seismic Borehole Camera Test Trenching	1500 ft. 1500 ft. 15 trenches	1000 ft. 1200 ft. 15 trenches							
Dam Construction Materials		Three borrow areas from 1980 program plus two new areas	Six borrow areas from 1980 program plus two new areas							
	Auger Drilling	10 - 30 ft. deep holes	12 - 30 ft. deep holes							
	Diamond Brilling Test Trenching	10 - 50 ft. deep holes in five borrow areas 30 trenches in two new areas	12 - 50 ft. deep holes in six borrow areas 30 trenches in two new areas							
Reservoir Basin	Geologic Mapping Portable Auger Drilling Diamond Drilling Geophysical/Seismic Reservoir Slope Monitoring	yes 10 - 10 ft. deep holes 3 - 100 ft. deep holes, 1 - 200 ft. 1000 ft. 1 - 200 ft. slope indicators	yes 10 - 10 ft. deep holes 3 - 100 ft. deep holes, 1 - 200 ft 1000 ft. 1 - 200 ft. slope indicator							
Access Road Route (Approx. 50 miles)	Geologic Mapping Airborne Radar Imagery Portable Auger Drilling Hollow Stem Auger) Diamond Drilling)			ACCES yes 10 mi 25 -						

TABLE A5.5 PROPOSED GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATORY PROGRAM - 1981

5-112

Service Contraction

ACCESS ROAD yes 10 miles (20% of total length) 25 - 10 ft. deep holes 15 - 50 ft. deep holes o

holes and 26 test pits have been made in the construction material areas. A total of 69,600 feet of seismic surveys has also been completed.

These investigations have tentatively shown the Watana site to be suitable for an earth and rock-fill dam. The dam foundation contains small shear zones but no major shear zones have been found. Construction materials appear to be available and suitable. Although the important Susitna fault traverses the reservoir, no active faults have as yet been proven in the reservoir. There has been a suggestion that the Tsusena Creek alignment downstream of the dam may represent discontinuity of some kind. Discontinuous permafrost exists locally. Overburden depth in the riverbed at the site appears to be less than 80 feet. A deep buried and potentially leaky channel exists in the right abutment.

Further studies at Watana are required to prove the absence of major faults in the riverbed and in the abutments, to delineate permafrost zones and identify its characteristics, prove the availability and suitability of the construction materials, confirm good quality rock in the spillway and powerhouse area and define the buried channel and identify its geohydrologic properties.

- Devil Canyon Site

21

At the Devil Canyon damsite, 13 boreholes totalling 1,350 feet have been drilled in the dam area and another eight boreholes totalling 735 feet have been drilled in the left abutment buried channel area. Nineteen test trenches have been excavated in potential borrow areas. A total of 3,300 feet of seismic surveys have been performed. Although there has been little geologic mapping of the abutments at Devil Canyon, the investigations have shown this site to be suitable for a concrete gravity structure.

Major shear zones have not been found in the dam foundation area but minor shear zones are present. Although no active faults have been found in the reservoir, a deep buried channel exists in the left abutment. Some potential construction material areas have been identified.

Further studies at Devil Canyon are required to prove the absence of major faulting in the riverbed and abutments or active faults in the reservoir. Studies are also needed to determine the site geology in more detail, to delineate and evaluate the left abutment buried channel and to prove the availability and suitability of construction materials.

(d) Schedule

Weeks 12 through 20

5-113

Subtask 5.04 - Exploratory Program (1980)

(a) <u>Objective</u>

Perform initial surface and subsurface investigations at Watana and Devil Canyon sites and reservoir areas and access road routes to establish general and specific geological and foundation conditions.

(b) Approach

The program will essentially be designed to

- obtain more details on the surface and subsurface geology and foundation conditions at the Watana and Devil Canyon damsites.
- complete the preliminary evaluation of the availability and suitability of the various construction materials required, i.e. fine and coarse aggregate, fine and coarse rockfill, impervious earth fill, pervious and semipervious granular fill and riprap.
- determine the surface geology and geotechnical conditions in limited detail to the Watana and Devil Canyon reservoir areas.
- provide preliminary geologic assessments of the proposed access road routes.

Field work programs will generally be designed by Acres' Ancherage office personnel with input from the Buffalo design group as needed. Seismologic input will be provided by WCC and logistical support by R&M. All field operations will be performed by R&M with appropriate technical inspection and supervision by Acres and to a lesser extent the WCC staff.

(c) Damsites

The proposed exploratory investigations will supplement previous work in establishing general and specific surface and subsurface geologic and foundation conditions at the Devil Canyon and Watana damsite areas.

The investigations will comprise geologic mapping, diamond drilling, geophysical, seismic and resistivity studies and airborne radar imagery, to substantiate and augment the available information on

- depth, distribution, type, stratigraphy and properties of overburden
- distribution, type, quality, degree of weathering and permeability of bedrock

 location, orientation, width, continuity, filling characteristics and capability of major discontinuities in bedrock such as faults

5-114

(

 orientation, frequency, opening, continuity and filling of joints in bedrock

 permafrost characteristics including location, temperature profile and soil type

- groundwater regime

ľ

Ľ

3

Emphasis will be placed on locating and studying adverse geological features. Such features will include faults, excessive depths of overburden in riverbeds and buried channels which will significantly effect the design and cost of a dam project at a given site.

The geologic mapping at Watana and Devil Canyon damsites will be undertaken to supplement and verify the previous geological mapping carried out by the U.S. Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Geological Survey (Kachadoorian).

The photointerpretation (Subtask 5.02) will be checked in the field, and adverse geologic features and conditions suggested in the photointerpretation will be investigated on the ground. The geologic mapping will utilize the most recent topographic maps. Aerial photos and survey lines normal to the river will be used as reference in the field. The geologic mapping will be performed primarily by Acres' Anchorage office personnel with assistance from R&M.

Geophysical seismic refraction and resistivity studies will be carried out primarily to determine bedrock depth in deep overburden areas such as buried relict channels and the riverbed area. This work will be done at both damsites. Seismic work can be misleading in permafrost regimes and resistivity provides a reasonable alternative.

Bedrock depth profiles will be prepared from these studies. Airborne radar imagery will be used to delineate the areas of permafrost. The geophysical work, including the interpretation, will be undertaken by R&M, with review and liaison by Acres' Anchorage office personnel.

(d) Construction Materials

The exploratory investigations for construction materials will comprise geological mapping, portable auger drilling, geophysical seismic and resistivity studies, test trenching and laboratory testing.

The geologic mapping, drilling, trenching and geophysical work will generally be used to establish the limits, depth, stratigraphy, type and properties of the borrow materials. The limits, type and properties of potential quarry rock will be similarly determined. The explorations will also serve to verify the photointerpretation and previous studies by the Corps of Engineers. Groundwater and permafrost conditions will be investigated and extensive soil sampling undertaken. Rock outcrops will be mapped and test trenches excavated by small track-mounted backhoes to a depth of about 13 feet.
Geophysical techniques such as seismic refraction and resistivity will be used to prove the depth of the potential borrow materials and the groundwater depth. Airborne radar imagery or low sun angle air photos will be used to assist in identifying the permafrost areas.

A moderate amount of laboratory testing of the borrow material will be conducted at this stage. The testing will comprise routine soil identification tests including unit weight, moisture content, consistency, Atterberg limits and gradation.

Standard Proctor compaction tests will also be performed on pervious and impervious material and permeability of compacted impervious materials assessed. Some dynamic shear strength tests under high confining pressures will also be performed on impervious and pervious materials. Potential concrete aggregate samples will be tested for sodium sulfate soundness, acidity and Los Angeles abrasion characteristics.

All field exploration work under this subtask will be undertaken by R&M. Laboratory testing on borrow material will be performed by R&M with some assistance from WCC .

Design liaison, supervision and review will be provided by Acres' Anchorage office personnel.

(e) Reservoir Areas

Ľ

I

The exploratory investigations to be carried out for the reservoir areas will include geologic mapping, portable auger drilling and geophysical seismic refraction surveys.

The primary aim will be to map those geological features and geotechnical conditions in the reservoir area which may seriously affect the reservoir performance. Such features may include previous buried channels or faults in the reservoir rim which may jeopardize the reservoir watertightness, faults which may be activated under reservoir impounding and natural slopes which may become unstable or erodible with reservoir impounding or reservoir drawdown. (

The geologic mapping will be on a reconnaissance scale. The airphoto interpretation (Subtask 5.02) will be checked on the ground and specific adverse features suggested in the photointerpretation will be investigated. The distribution, type and properties of overburden and bedrock materials will be checked against the photointerpretation. Portable auger drills will be used to drill shallow holes to assist in establishing the subsurface geology and geologic history. Low sun angle air photos or airborne radar imagery techniques will be utilized to help delineate general permafrost areas which may cause unstable slopes once the reservoir is impounded. Specific test areas will be identified in which auger borings utilizing a modified CRREL core barrel will be used to sample permafrost. Thermal probes will

be installed in the holes to determine temperature profiles.

5-116

No buried channels have been found to date in the reservoir rim. If such channels are suggested in the photointerpretation, geophysical seismic studies will be initiated to determine the depth and nature of the overburden and channel widths.

A relatively minor amount of laboratory testing will also be undertaken in this phase. This will comprise routine soils identification tests on those samples taken in the reservoir studies.

All field and laboratory work undertaken under this subtask will be performed by R&M. Design liaison, supervision and review will be provided by Acres' Anchorage office personnel.

State Production of the Pro-

(f). <u>Schedule</u>

I,

Ľ

I

Weeks 20 through 40



TASK 4 - SEISMIC STUDIES

I

I

I.

Ĩ.

Seismic studies are being performed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants under subcontract to Acres. Subtasks 4.01 through 4.07 scheduled for the 1980 season have been completed with the exception of low sun angle photography. This work was held up due to inclement weather and will be performed during 1981 spring season. The results of these subtasks are being incorporated in an "Interim Seismic Studies" report draft for which is currently under review. Subtask 4.08 - preliminary Analysis of Dam Stability has been initiated.

The investigation conducted to date has included review of available geologic and seismologic literature and data; monitoring microearthquake activity for three months within approximately 30 miles of Watana and Devil Canyon sites with a 10-station microearthquake network; a preliminary assessment of the potential reservoir induced seismicity; interpretation of existing remotely sensed data; geologic field reconnaissance of faults and lineaments with approximately 60 miles of Watana and Devil Canyon sites and preliminary estimates of characteristics of earthquakes at the two sites.

Preliminary results of this study suggest that the Talkeetna Terrain, a tectonic unit in which the site is located, is a relatively stable tectonic unit. The major strain release occurs along the boundaries of the terrain along the Denali-Totschunda and Castle Mountain faults and the Benioff zone. There has been no major historical earthquake within this terrain and studies conducted during 1980 have found no evidence of recent displacement along any fault or lineament within the Talkeetna Terrain.

The microseismic network data suggest that the seismicity within the Talkeetna Terrain can be clearly delineated as crustal events occurring at depths less than 18 miles and as Benioff zone events occurring at greater depths. The depth of Benioff zone at the dam sites is estimated to be 34 to 40 miles. There is no apparent association of microearthquake activity with any significant fault or lineament.

The preliminary assessment of reservoir induced seismicity (RIS) has been made by comparing the world-wide RIS data with the proposed reservoirs. Based on the relatively large volume and the depth of the reservoirs, there is a high likelihood of the RIS provided tectonic related faults with recent movement are found to be present within the hydrologic regime of the reservoirs. However, as pointed out earlier, studies completed to date have produced no evidence of any recent displacement along any fault or lineament within this region.

Additional studies will be undertaken during 1981. These studies will include detailed geologic investigations on 13 significant features identified from 1980 activities, additional air photo analysis and low sun angle photography and recommendations for long-term seismologic network. The results will be analyzed to define the earthquake design parameters and maximum credible earthquake (MCE) and to assess the stability of dams and the soils along the transmission corridor and the access routes.





TAS IJD ES S F S K 4: S Μ ſ

<u>SIJBTASK</u>

9

R

Ľ

ľ

Ľ

4.01	REVIEW OF AVAILABLE DATA
4.02	SHORT-TERM SEISMOLOGIC MONITORING PROGRAM
4.03	PRELIMINARY RESERVOIR INDUCED SEISMICITY
4.04	REMOTE SENSING IMAGE ANALYSIS
4.05	SEISMIC GEOLOGY RECONNAISSANCE
4,06	EVALUATION AND REPORTING
4,07	PRELIMINARY GROUND MOTION STUDIES
4,08	PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF DAM STABILITY
4.09	LONG-TERM SEISMOLOGIC MONITORING PROGRAM
4.10	RESERVOIR-INDUCED SEISMICITY
4.11	SEISMIC GEOLOGY FIELD STUDIES
4.12	EVALUATION AND REPORTING
4,13	GROUND MOTION STUDIES

4.14

DAM STABILITY CONSULTING SERVICES

4.15 SOIL SUSCEPTIBILITY TO SEISMICALLY-INDUCED FAILURE

3





Sittask No.	Subtask Title	Octective
4.01	Review of Available Data	Acquire, compile, and review existing data and identify the earthquake setting of the Susitna River.
4.02	Short Term Seismology	Establish initial monitoring system, obtain and analyze basic seismologic data on potential earthquake sources within the Susitna River area, and supply information required to implement a more thorough long-term monitoring program.
4.03	Preliminary Reservoir Induced Seis- micity	Evaluate the potential for the possible future occurrence of reservoir-induced seismicity (RIS) in the Susitna project area.
4.04	Remote Sensing Image Analysis	Select and interpret available remote sensing imagery to identify topographic features that may be associated with active faulting.
4.05	Seismic Geology Reconnaissance	Perform a reconnaissance investigation of known faults in the Susitna river
		be faults, identify active faults, and establish priorities for more detailed field investigations.
4.06	Evaluation and Reporting	Complete a preliminary evaluation of the seismic environment of the project. Define the earthquake source peri- meters required for earthquake engi- neering input in design, and document the studies and reports suitable for use in design studies.
4.07	Preliminary Ground Motion Studies	Undertake a preliminary estimate of the ground motions (ground shaking) to which proposed project facilities may be subjecte during earthquakes.
4.08	Preliminary Analysis of Dam	Make preliminary evaluations of the seismic stability of proposed earth,

9



.

rockfill and/or concrete dams during maximum credible earthquakes.

Weta and a Mathematical and

1980 SEISMIC STUDIES

I. GENERAL

I,

L

Ľ

- WCC Project Team
- Status of 1980 Activities
 - Monitoring of Program
- II. SUSITNA VALLEY SEISMIC SETTING
 - Seismotectonic Setting
 - Available Historical & Instrumented Records
 - Limitations of the Record Data

III. PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM

- Definition of Seismic Event
- Source of Seismic Event
- Surface Rupture Potential

IV. STATUS OF PROGRAM

- Office Studies
- Field Studies
- Microseismic Network
- V. DISCUSSIONS



WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS PROJECT ORGANIZATION

10 June 1980

1

146582-4000

- TYPES OF EARTHQUAKES

1.25

0 0

ſ

Q

- SHALLOW EARTHQUAKE N.A/PACIFIC PLATE CONTACT
- SHALLOW WITHIN N.A. PLATE CRUST
- DEEP ORIGINATING IN BENIOFF ZONE



EVALUATION OF EARTHQUAKE SOURCES

- HISTORICAL SEISMICITY
- REGIONAL TECTONICS

19

• >

9

٩

/

Ï

No.

- SEISMIC GEOLOGY ACTIVE FAULTING
- MICROEARTHQUAKE STUDIES



MICROEARTHQUAKE STUDY OBJECTIVES

- LOCATIONS AND FOCAL DEPTHS OF MICROEARTHQUAKES
- STYLE OF FAULTING

II.

F/Barrad

Î

- STRESS ORIENTATION
- GEOLOGIC ASSOCIATIONS OF MICROEARTHQUAKES
- SOURCE AND WAVE PROPAGATION CHARACTERISTICS



LOCATION MAP OF UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA AND

NOAA SEISMOGRAPH STATIONS IN ALASKA

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS 14658A December 1980

I

FIGURE 4-4













I

J

I

THE REAL

MAX. CREDIBLE EQ SUMMARY *

12

WATANA SITE

<u>FEATURE</u> NO.	NAME	DIST (MI.)	MAX CRED. <u>EQ</u>	SURFACE RUPTURE POTENT IAL
AD5-1 HB4-1	CASTLE MTN. McKINLEY STRAND	65 40	7.2 8.4	
KC4-1 KD3-3 KD4-2D KD4-27	TALKEETNA THRUST SUSITNA FAULT LINEAMENT FAULT	4 2 1.2 0	7.5 7.6 5.8 6.4	? X
HA4-3 KD3-2 KD3-7 KD5-3	LINEAMENT FAULT LINEAMENT FAULT	8 2.5 0 11	7.2 7.0 7.3 7.4	X

* PRESENTED TO CONSULTING PANEL COTOBER, 1980

and the second secon
1
and the second

I

Д

MAX. CREDIBLE EQ SUMMARY *

12

DEVIL CANYON SITE

<u>FEATU</u> NO.	IRE NAME	DIST (MI.)	MAX CRED. EQ	SURFACE RUPTURE POTENTIAL
AD5-1 HB4-1	CASTLE MTN. McKINLEY STRAND	72 40	7.2 8.4	
КС4-1 КD5-43 КD5-44	TALKEETNA THRUST LINEAMENT LINEAMENT	17 0 0.3	7.5 4.5 4.6	X X
KD5-2 KD5-3 KD5-9 KD5-42 KD5-44 KD5-44 KD6-4	FAULT FAULT LINEAMENT LINEAMENT LINEAMENT LINEAMENT	3.5 9.5 2.5 0.5 1.2 1.0	5.6 7.4 6.9 6.2 6.9 7.2	X

* PRESENTED TO CONSULTING PANEL OCTOBER, 1980





EXPLANATION:

*41 - Nurek (USSR) depth is in excess of 285 m.

Deep and/or very large reservoir

Accepted case of RIS, maximum magnitude ≥ 5 Accepted case of RIS, maximum magnitude 3-5 Accepted case of RIS, maximum magnitude ≤ 3 Questionable case of RIS Not RIS

PLOT OF WATER DEPTH AND VOLUME FOR WORLWIDE FOR WORLDWIDE RESERVOIRS AND REPORTED CASES OF RIS

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS 14658A December 1980



FIGURE 10-1



[]

Ľ

PRELIMINARY RESERVOIR INDUCED SEISMICITY PROBABILITY ASSESSMENT

丛 二



14653A - 4000

6

 (\bullet)

Ģ

[]

Π

ſ

10 June 1980

1

Subtasks 5.01, 5.03 and 5.04 have been completed. Subtask 5.02 - Photo Interpretation is being performed by R&M Consultants under a subcontract to Acres and a major portion has been completed. Subtask 5.05 - Exploratory Program Design for 1981 is currently being finalized.

An extensive search for available geological and geotechnical data was made. All available data was collected and reviewed on regional and site geology and subsurface explorations for Devil Canyon, Watana, Vee Canyon and Denali sites. Geologic mapping was performed using helicopter reconnaissance at Devil Canyon and Watana sites, reservoirs and selected areas adjacent to the reservoirs. Ground traverse, bedrock mapping and joint mapping was done for selected areas near the dam sites.

Diamond core drilling was performed by R&M and included three NX size holes at Watana and three holes at Devil Canyon site with a total of approximately 3,800 l.f. of drilling. Downhole geophysical survey was done for five of these six holes by EDCON under a subcontract to R&M. All six holes were water pressure tested to determine water losses and permeability. Borehole temperature probes and multiple tip piezometers were installed in borehole BH-6 at Watana and boreholes BH-1 and BH-4 at Devil Canyon site.

Seismic refraction survey was performed for the two dam sites and the borrow areas along eleven separate traverses. The work was performed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants under subcontract to R&M. A total of 27,800 l.f. of refraction line was surveyed.

Auger drilling was conducted in the borrow areas for both sites. At Watana, four auger holes were drilled in borrow area D (source for core material) and nine holes in borrow area E (source for filter material and concrete aggregate). At Devil Canyon site, two auger holes were drilled in alluvial fan just upstream of the dam site. Drilling performed using a platform mounted CME-45 drill rig and eight inch O.D. continuous flight auger. Extreme difficulties were encountered due to hard or gravelly-cobbly ground conditions. For this reason, the scope of 1980 borrow area investigations was modified considerably. This work will now be performed during 1981 winter season using different drilling equiptent. Soil samples were obtained from borrow areas for classification and testing. Selected samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content, Atterberg limits, grain size and compaction.

Pesults of geologic mapping, subsurface investigations are being analyzed and integrated with data collected from seismic refraction, downhole geophysics and results of previous investigations. The data is being compiled in a report to be issued summarizing the 1980 activities. The plans for 1981 activities are being formulated on the basis of these data and feasibility design requirements.

<u>TASK 5: GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION</u>

<u>SUBTASK</u>

Î

5.01	DATA	COLLECTION	AND	REVIEW

5.02 PHOTOINTERPRETATION

5.03 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM DESIGN (1980)

5.04 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM (1980)

5.05 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM DESIGN (1981)

5.06 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM (1981)

5.07 EXPLORATORY PROGRAM DESIGN (1982 - 1984)

5.08 DATA COMPILATION



	-	ر را می ید
198	0	

	1981	
 · · · · · ·		نوينې و

TASK	TASK DESCRIPTION		1500							1981														
		J	F	М	A	M	J	J	A	S	0	N	D	J	F	м	A	М	J	J	A	S	0	N
1-13	MILESTONES	CO ST		ICE					susi GO/	TNA NO-	BAS - GO	IN I					DE SE	VELC LECT	PME ION					
	POWER STUDIES	Million State	615.00	LOA	D FC	DREC	AST		AI	TER	NATI	VES												
2	SURVEYS & SITE FACILITIES	CAM	IPS ,				<u></u>		SURV	EYS,	NUO	US	CAN	P (OPEI	RATI	ON -			SEL	ECT	ACC	ESS	RO
3	HYDROLOGY		50000	DATA	R.E	/IEW		, 11	ISTA		TION	S	DAT	A CO	PRO		N, PE	l Roce G B	SSIN STL	I IG &	ANA	LYSI	6	
4	SEISMIC STUDIES		DA	TA R	EVIE	₩,		-REI] LIM] 	I NARY	(EV/						MON SYS	ITOR TEM					REFI EVAL	
5	GEOTECHNICAL EXPLORATION	REVI	EW	l P	LAN			PR	I OGR/	M.	REI	PORT		PR	OGR4	H M		N L		SI Pf	UMME ROGR.	ER AM	R	EP
6	DESIGN DEVELOPMENT								antesa	DEV	/ELO	PME	NT	SELE	сті	, NC								
123 7	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES	PL	ANNI	ING	-	Q.HSTX		spaters.	-15434943	PE	RFOF	I {M FI	ELD	STL	DIE:	1 5 					-	-		
8	TRANSMISSION			DA	TA R	EVIE	W .	, Ci	ORRI	DOR	SEL	ECTI	ON	4.2° 447	and a						RO		SEL	EC
9	COST ESTIMATES & SCHEDULES			D			ρy										(DATA -			-			
10	LICENSING		TAY			DAT	A Storyc	i Nexta	lananai i			- CO	NTIN		DA ⁻)N					
	MARKETING & FINANCING		MA	RKE	T IS:	SUES		4 75566 0		RISK			S	-0704880	RI	SK A	NAL	INA ISIS	-		Current The	DOC		
12	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (4)	1	PM	054	W M	S J	A A STRATE	1	vsi	45 5 461	V	vs	MARCINC!	PM	M) NG	Y	S S			-	NS.	
13	ADMINISTRATION	C. ZL CPL HAL		PRO	CEDU	JRES	ui ni m					-4			APP	LY F	PROC	EDU	RES -					

- Andrewski -

* Waterson







POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, AREAS OF CONCERN

DEVIL CANYON

- 1) Accessibility of canyon walls
- 2) Abrupt bend in the river, bedrock beneath point bar
- 3) Semi-impervious or impervious material for saddle dam

WATANA

1

1

- 1) Exit of buried channel on the Susitna River
- 2) Potential faults or shears "Fins" to Tsusena Creek "Fingerbuster"
- 3) Thickness of andesite at Quarry 'A'
- 4) Alternate source of impervious material
- 5) Reservoir mudslumps/permafrost
- 6) Slide block
- 7) Faulting in Fog Creek

DEVIL CANYON

STRUCTURE: 635' HIGH CONCRETE GRAVITY-ARCH DAM

INVESTIGATION:

USBR 1957-'58; COE 1978

22 BOREHOLES 20'-150' DEEP

19 TRENCHES AND TEST PITS

3300 LF SEISMIC

LAB TESTS

Number of

PETROGRAPHIC

ELASTIC PROPERTIES

UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH SIGNIFICANT FEATURES:

35' ± ALLUVIUM OVER BEDROCK SHEAR ZONES AND FAULTS - BOTH ABUTS. 3 JOINT SETS (PROM N25⁰W) BEDDING DIPS SOUTH 35'-50' WEATHERED ROCK 8.5 AT 40 MI. OR 7.0 AT 10 MI. EARTH QUAKE

MATERIAL SOURCES:

CONCRETE AGG, AND EMBANKMENT

MTLS. READILY AVAILABLE 1000' U/S

MARGINAL FREEZE/THAW RESISTANCE

IMPERVIOUS - PROCESSED

PROBLEMS:

Ŀ

LEFT ABUT.

SOUTHERLY DIPPING BEDS REQUIRED EXTENSIVE DENTAL WORK

DEVIL

ROCK SUPPORT REQUIRED

THRUST BLOCK - ANCHOR (DEEP)

RIGHT ABUT.

BEDDING DIPS APPROXIMATELY 60° SE (UNFAVORABLE)

SHEAR ZONES - PARALLEL TO THE RIVER

SADDLE DAM

E-W TRENDING BURIED CHANNEL

90' OVERBURDEN

PERMAFROST

DEEP CUTOFF

POSSIBLE SHEAR ZONE

UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES:

ROCK IMPROVES WITH DEPTH DIVERSION TUNNEL LINING REQUIRED



SUMMARY:

10

3

ľ

F

F

EXTENSIVE GROUTING

DETAILED FOUNDATION AND ABUTMENT EXPLORATION REQUIRED

PILOT TUNNELS RECOMMENDED

AMPLE AGGREGATE AVAILABLE, PROCESSING REQUIRED



DEVIL CANYON

A LAND ST P.

LITHOLOGY:

F

E

ľ

P

Argillite and Graywacke (Phyllite)

STRUCTURE:

Joints:

Major:	N25 ⁰ W	80 ⁰ E
Minor:	Sub-par	rallel Bedding
Chaque	N90 ⁰ E N90 ⁰ E	Steep to north 15°N to 15°S
snears:	N ⁰ 25 ⁰ W	80 ⁰ NE to Vertical
Bedding:	N90 ⁰ E	55 ⁰ -75 ⁰ S

SURFICIAL MATERIALS:

River alluvium - estimated 35' - max. depth to rock 85' Overburden on abutements: insignificant Buried Channel - up to 90 feet of overburden (1293') Point Bar (Fan) Deposit PERMAFROST

DEVIL CANYON DAMSITE SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY

2

15

Seismic Line	Location	Approx. Length	Primary Objectives	<u>Remarks</u>
SL-1	Lt. Abut.	900'	Investigate overburden thickness, relict channel, possible shear zone (saddle dam).	
SL-2	Lt. Abut.	900'	Investigate overburden thickness, relict channel, possible shear zone (saddle dam).	
SL-3	River	300'	Investigate overburden thickness, channel shape (cofferdam).	Shoot in winter off river ice.
SL-4	River	3001	Investigate overburden thickness, channel shape (dam foundation).	Shoot in winter off river ice.
SL-5	River	300'	Investigate overburden thickness, channel shape (cofferdam).	Shoot in winter off river ice.

trance.

DEVIL CANYON DAMSITE PROPOSED DRILLING PROGRAM

່

Hole No.	Location	Approx. Elev.	Azimuth	Inc.	Hole Length	Primary Objectives	<u>Special Testing</u>
BH-1	Rt. Abut.		225 ⁰	60 ⁰	600-600'	Geologic structure, rock quality (powerhouse).	Perm, camera, Geo- physics, ther- misters.
BH-2	Rt. Abut.		240 ⁰	65-75 ⁰	350-450'	Geologic structure, rock quality (dam foundation).	Perm, camera, geo- physics, ther- mistor, piezometer.
BH-3	Lt. Abut.		45 ⁰	70 ⁰	500-600'	Geologic structure, rock quality (dam foundation, diversion tunnel).	Perm, camera.
BH-4	Lt. Abut.		150 ⁰	б0 ⁰	400-600'	Geologic structure (shear zone/old channel), correlate w/seismic.	Perm, camera, geophysics.
BH-5	River-Rt.		225 ⁰	70 ⁰	460-500*	Overburden thickness, possible faulting in river channel.	Perm, camera.
BH-6	River-Lt.			Vert.	190-150'	Overburden thickness, foundation and permeability for cofferdam.	Permeability.
BH-7	River-Lt.			Vert.	100-150'	Overburden thickness, geologic structure (may be inclined if necessary).	Perm, camera.
BH-8	River-Rt.		45 ⁰	60 ⁰	100-150'	Overburden thickness, geologic structure, rock quality (draft tubes).	Perm, camera.
BH-9	River-Lt.			Vert.	100-150'	Overbruden thickness, foundation permeability for cofferdam.	Permeability

SUMMARY

9

5

A

ايندى بېترى سىرنىي

9

1980 DRILLING PROGRAM - DEVIL CANYON

	BH-1	BH-2	<u>BH-4</u>
BEARING ANGLE	S23 ⁰ E 670	N 600	S15 ⁰ 년 60 ⁰
	RIGHT ABUTMENT	RIGHT ABUTMENT	LEFT ABUTMENT
DEPTH	750 (690 VERTICAL)	656 (563 VERTICAL)	500 (434 VERTICAL)
OVERBURDEN	12 FT GRAVEL	SHALLOW 2 FT	12 FT
WEATHERING	25 FT	20 FT	15 FT
25DROCK	PHYLLITE- ARGILLITE, BELOW 385 (355) CONGLOMERATE	PHYLLITE 7 GRANODIORITE) AT 650 FT	METASEDIMENTARY: ARGILLITE TO GREYWACKE
ATER LOSS	55- 85) 100-130) 250-265) 280-295) FRACTURE 460-490) ZONES 595-615) WITH HIGH 655-670) WATER TAKE	REHEALED FRACTURES AND FILLED JOINTS THROUGHOUT, HOWEVER WATER CURCULATION GOOD AND LOW PERM- EABILITY TEST RESULTS	175-185 INTERMITTENT SHEAR ZONES HARD PHYLLITE FAIRLY GOOD WATER CIRCULATION THROUGHOUT
SPECIAL FEATURES	CONGLOMERATE AND POSSIBLE FLOW PODS AREAS - EXTENT NOT KNOWN		DRILLED SUBPARALLEL TO THE BEDDING - NO MAJOR SHEAR ZONES ENCOUNTERED










UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

N.

ENGINEERING GEOLOGY REPORT FEASIBILITY STAGE DEVIL CANYON DAM DEVIL CANYON FROJECT Ş.

I

1

1

3

Alaska Geologi: Beport No. 7

Alasha District Beauguarters Juneau, Alaska March 1960



CONTENTS

•

io.

ľ

ľ

F

ľ

ð

0

ADETIGCU	
	- 0
Purpose of the Report.	· <u> </u>
Investigations Feriorma	<u> </u>
Purpose of the Drilling	2
Terrain Conditions	3
Location (Plate 1, Figure 1)	3
Topography (Figure 2)	4
Climate (Tables I and II)	4
Table I: Climatic DataDevil Canyon Area	6
Table II: Climatic DataFreeze and Ice Conditions	7
Vegetation	8
General Geology	9
Bedrock	9
Structure (Figure 3)	9
Permairost	10
Recent Deposits	10
Moraines	11
Outwesh	11
Terraces	11
Telus and rubble	12
Swamp deposits	12
Seismic Activity (Figure 60-2)	12
Engineering Geology	12
Reservoir	12
Demsite	13
Left Abutment (Plate 17)	13
"Spillway" Saddle	14
Right Abutment (Plate 20)	15
River Section	15
General	15
Powerhouse	16
Underground Powerhouse	16
Spillway and Diversion Tunnels	17
Highway Geology	18
The Lover Route	18
The Upper Route	19
Access Road Tunnel	19
Construction Materiels	20
Government Camp	20
Construction Materials	21
Concrete Aggregate and Pervious (Figure 3)	21
Impervious Material	21
Concrete Water Supply	22
Differencies	20



,CONTENTS -- Continued

F

_**L**

2

	Page
	22
	20
	200
Explanation of Geological Terms Used	
Alluvium	
Bogs	
Moraine, end and lateral	23
Muskeg	, 23
Slides and slumps	, 23
Terraces	. 23
Till, rubble	23
Till. sendy	, 23
Till. unsorted	, 23
Vegetation	23
Permatrost	24
Coological Log of the Transmission Boutes	24
Conclusions	26
	27
Appendix	
Uriginal Geologic Logs of Exploration (O drawings)	1. 1
Concrete Laboratory Report No. 0-933 (Rock core tests)	A-L
Petrographic Memorandum No. 57-35 (Outcrops)	A-D
Petrographic Manorandum No. 57-70 (Rock cores)	5-(
Petrographic Memorandum Ho. 57-79 (Rock cores)	8-8
Petrographic Memorandum No. 58-152 (Rock cores)	A-O
Petrographic Memorandum No. 59-3 (Aggregate)	A-13
Plates (Photographs)	
Plete 1Airphoto of Project Area	
Plete 2Smell airstrip upstream from exis.	
Plate 3Looking upstream at fan	
Plate 4Aerial of axis and saddle lakes	
Plate 44Saddle area on left abutment. Looking upstream	
from near outlet of large lake	
Plate 5Turnulance in river	
Plete 6-Tunical recetation on left ehitrent	
Plate 7. Tomiani regetation on might phytoset	
Disto 8. Norstation in militon on right chutmont	
Piete O Vestation in guilles on right E doment	
Plate 9vegetation along access road (about 3 miles from	
Flate 10Typical outwesh in trench between Spillway Lake	
and Saddle	
Plate 11Talus at toe of left abutment	2
Plate 12Prominence at top of left abutment showing dip o:	ſ
beds into abutrent. This knob is typical of	
loose blocks requiring scaling	
n en	

11

- ----

CONTENTS--Continued

```
Plate 13 -- Typical major joints. Face of left abutment
  Plate 14--Closeups of shear zone exposed in S-4 on right
              ebutment
  Plate 15--Test Pit K-94 on left abutrant saddle
  Plate 15 -- Face of aggregate trench upstream from axls and above
              13D STEE
 Plate 17-left abutment. Taken from Triangulation Station Rays
 Plate 15--Shear zone on left abutment near DH-11
 Plate 19--Left abutment. Closeup of face showing jointing
 Plate 20--Right abutment
 Plate 21 -- Axis. looking upstream from below DH-11
 Plate 22--Core from DH-1. Illustrates fracturing and jointing
 Plate 23 -- Surface poverhouse site. Looking upstream
 Plate 24--Intake greas for spillvay and diversion tunnels
 Plate 25--Outlet of diversion tunnels. Looking downstream
 Plate 25 -- Proposed access road route. Aerial view
 Plate 27 -- Small lakes about 3 miles from camp
 Plate 28 -- Road cut in morainal deposits on present access road
 Plate 29--Typical materials in esker upstream from axis on
              left side of river
 Flate 30--Typical terrain at proposed campaite-ridge in center
              of photo
 Plate 31--Looking downstream at axis and possible compsites
 Plate 32--Aerial of terrain near Wasilla
 Plate 33-- Looking west scross Susitna River at MP-245.9 near
              Talkeetne
 Plate 34--Looking NW from Curry Ecross Susitna River
 Plate 35--Gold Creek Station
 Plate 36--Chulitne River
 Plate 37--Nenana River at MP-353.6
 Plate 38--Slide caused by thaved permafrost at MP-349.5
 Plate 39--Terrain at Healy, MP-358
 Plate 40--Typical muskes terrain near Clear MP-405
 Plate 41--Terrain at Nenana MP-422
Figures
 Figure 1--Vicinity Map (Small scale)
 Figure 2--Vicinity Map (Large scale)
 Figure 3--Areal Geology and Location of Exploration
 Figure 4--Geology of Left Abutment--Isometric View
 Figure 5--Geologic Sections AA and BB
 Figure 5--Geologic Sections CC, DD, and D'D
 Figure 7--Geologic Sections Along River
 Figure 8--Geology of Underground Fowerhouse
 Figure 9--Geology of Transmission Lines: Anchorage to Talkeetna
 Figure 10--Geology of Transmission Lines: Talkeetna to Lagoon
 Figure 11--Geology of Transmission Lines: Lagoon to Fairbanks
```

Figure 12--Geology of Transmission Lines: Cantwell to Denali Damsite Figure 60-2--Location of Earthquake Epicenters and U. S. Weather Stations

111

(9)

DEVIL CANYON PROJECT DEVIL CANYON DAM FEASIBILITY REPORT ENGINEERING GEOLOGY APPENDIX

Abstrect

It is believed sufficient geological and laboratory studies were performed to provide adequate data for feasibility designs and estimates. Both abutments of the damsite are almost devoid of overburden; the rock is a very hard phyllite. It is complexly fractured, and broken by three joint sets. The bedding dip causes the strate on the left abutment to overhang the Susistna River. At least 35 or more feet of broken, loose blocks of phyllite will have to be removed to provide a satisfactory dam foundation on the abutments. The center portion of the dem axis is underlain by 35 or more feet of alluvial materials; the exact thickness of the deposit and the water depth at the axis could not be determined. No major geological problems appear to exist; some dental work will be required in shear and fault zones that interlace both abutments. Extensive grouting will be required to effectively seal the foundation.

360

 $\{l\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

The rock in the right abutment spillway and diversion tunnels appear sufficiently competent to minimize the need for extensive steel supports. The earth dike required on the left abutment saddle will be founded on a deep deposit of highly compact, partially pervious glacial deposits. A surface powerhouse at the toe of the right abutment will require extensive scaling of the cliffs above it and some deep footings to reach firm rock under the river. The geological situation appears excellent for the consideration of an underground powerhouse on the right abutment; the problems involved appear less costly than those likely to be encountered in a surface powerhouse.

Ample supplies of concrete aggregate are available close to the dam. Impervious materials can be obtained only by selective processing of the glacial debric in the general area. There are ample supplies of materials for construction of either an "Upper" or "Lower" access road from Gold Creek. Portions of the proposed transmission lines to Anchorage, Fairbanks, and Denali Dam will traverse permafrost, muskeg and bogs, and mudflows and landslides.

Considerable additional detailed foundation investigation will be required prior to completion of final designs.



GENERAL GEOLOGY

The area has been extensively glaciated. Giant striations evidenced by numerous east-west depressions (Plate 1) can be attributed to glacial erosion of steep-dipping metamorphic beds with an east-west strike and a varying hardness.

Bedrock

The bedrock generally is termed a <u>phyllite</u>, probably of Mesozoic age. (In one of the drill cores at the dam a small fossil was found; this was sent to the Alaskan Branch of the USGS who have tentatively identified it as being of Cretaceous age.) This rock has a bedded and laminated appearance similar to the shale from which it was derived; however, these small laminae generally are at an angle to the strike and dip of the present messive beds. The rock is very hard and brittle (see Appendix--Laboratory Report No. C-933). It contains <u>numerous quartz</u> stringers that were injected soon after consolidation of the beds and again after the beds were upturned to their present position. <u>Detailed</u> descriptions of the phyllite and complementary rocks are in the petrographic memorands in the appendixes of this report.

Structure (Figure 3). Throughout the immediate area, the bedrock has an approximately east-west strike with a dip of 55° to 75° south (Plate 12). It is difficult to obtain accurate dips because of the cross-laminations and accompanying jointing in the outcrops.

One well-developed and two poorly-developed sets of joints occur in the damsite area. The <u>master joint set</u> has a strike that averages <u>N25W</u> but varies between N10W and N45W (Flate 13). The dip of this set sverages 80° east but varies from 75° east to vertical. These joints generally are spaced about 4 to <u>5 feet apant</u>, elthough from 2-inch to 15-foot spacing has been noted. Many of these joints are filled with quartz that often contains minute pyrite crystals. The two minor joint sets strike-almost parallel to the bedding; one of them is almost horizontal but with occasional dips between 15° north and 15° south and an east-west strike. The other set has a steep north dip. The spacing of these minor joints varies from 3 inches to as much as 30 feet in the set that-strikes east-west. A fourth, apparently isolated, joint set strikes N55E, dips 80° north, and has a spacing of from 3 to 15 feet.

Several vell-developed shear or fault zones occur in the .cliffs on both sides of the canyon. They all appear to have a general strike of \$25E and a dit of from 80° northeast to vertical.

On the left abutment, the larger zones contain gouge up to 2 feet thick. The zones on the right side of the canyon, although containing intensely sheared rock, generally are very tight, well healed, and extremely hard (Plate 14). These zones have caused the formation of steep V-shaped gullies in the canyon walls. Their trace above the canyon rim is concealed by overburden. Because of the lack of marker beds, it was not possible to determine if the faulting resulted in major displacement of the beds.

Permafrost

F

ľ

þ

According to present information on the limits of continuous permafrost in Alaska and as a result of our investigations, the damsite area appears to be south of this zone. It is, however, in the zone of <u>sporadic permafrost</u>. Shallow and apparently thin lenses and pockets of permafrost were encountered occasionally in the road construction. These sporadic occurrences were evidenced by lenses of ice, frozen soil, and continuously thawing frozen ground. Permafrost was not encountered in the bench area adjacent to the left abutment. It is doubtful if any permafrost occurs at the damsite proper although there may be occasional pockets in the dike area south of the left abutment. Temperature measurements were not made in the drill holes on the axis because practically all of them were in hard rock; thus, even if permafrost was present, it would have no destructive influence on the proposed structures.

Recent Deposits

The areas north and south of the canyon rim often are mantled with glacial and nonglacial deposits of Quaternary age. The glacial materials primarily consist of outwash (Plate 10) and moraines (Plate 16); these are composed of erratic lenses and layers of sand, rounded to angular gravel and cobbles, boulders, a small amount of silt, and considerable rock flour. Some of the older glacial deposits exhibit considerable weathering; this is evidenced by heavy iron stains and the almost complete alteration of pebbles and cobbles. The nonglacial materials primarily are river terraces and fans, talus (Plate 11), outwash, and swamp deposits. Their constituents range from rock flour and silt to sand, gravel, and boulders.

In many areas it is not possible to immediately differentiate the glacial and nonglacial materials; a distinction generally can be made only by a broad inspection of the morphological characteristics of the various types of deposits. The most distinguishing feature of the nonglacial deposits is their common occurrence in strate or layers. However, this same identification method seldom can be applied to the fine-grained materials because turbulence in



the depositing waters has resulted in minute crossbedding and folding of these materials.

A third category of unconsolidated deposit is alluvial material now being deposited or moved by the Susitna River. For the most part, this deposit is granular with numerous boulders, and heavily contaminated by rock flour.

F

h

Moraines. These glacial deposits predominate north of the Susitna River and cover a considerable portion of the area south of the river. They are from a few inches to several feet thick. Owing to comparatively recent surface erosion processes, they have lost distinguishing surficial characteristics. They contain materials ranging from rock flour up to boulders 8 feet in diameter (Plate 15). In the mapped area, there was a high percentage of material larger than 4 inches in diameter, and the largest boulder seen was 3 feet. Because of the high content of rock flour, and with the exception of occasional granular pockets or stringers of sand, the moraines should be impervious.

Outwash. A thin layer of this material mantles a portion of the westernmost part of the bench south of the left abutment. Elsewhere in this area, the outwash may be as much as 90 feet thick but is veneered by moraine. It consists primarily of fine- to medium-grain sand, with rounded to subrounded pebbles, cobbles, and boulders up to 14 inches in diameter. The material apparently was deposited in a V-shaped valley in front of an advancing glacier (Plate 10). The weight of the glacial ice and subsequently deposited morainal material consolidated the outwash to a relatively high density.

Terraces. River-deposited terraces occur south of the river in the eastern part of the mapped area. They are composed of coarse and fine sand, subrounded to rounded gravel, and boulders up to 3 feet in diameter. The terrace gravels on the canyon floor (referred to throughout this report as a "fan") extend to about 65 feet above river level, with an unknown thickness below river level. The gravel in the fan at the floor of the canyon is overlain by 3 to 5 feet of clean, medium- to coarse-grained sand. Approximately 210 feet of gravel occurs above river level in the terrace remnant upon which Triangulation Station Ho is located (Plate 16).

The terraces formed by Cheechako Creek occur about 22 feet below the fan terrace on the floor of Devil Canyon and about 27 feet below the first terrace. The highest Cheechako terrace is a flat about 270 feet wide, and the lower and youngest terrace is about 140 feet wide. The gravel in these terraces is coarser than the



gravel in the previously mentioned Susitna River terraces. Granite boulders up to 10 feet in diameter mantle the surface.

Talus and rubble. This unsorted, engular to subangular material occurs in the southwestern part of the left abutment bench, with occasional deposits near the base of the gullies and cliffs in the canyon (Plate 11). This material is derived from the adjacent outcrops, and the blocks range from a few inches to 15 feet in maximum dimension. The deposits in the bench area probably do not exceed 10 feet in thickness, whereas those in the canyon sverage about 20 feet in thickness and may be as much as 40 feet.

Swamp deposits. Rimming the lakes on the bench of the left abutment and in areas of poor drainage are deposits of moss and shrubs mixed with fine sand and silt. These deposits generally are less than 3 feet thick and are underlain by moraine and outwash.

Seismic Activity (Figure 60-2)

h

The demsite is in a zone of major seismic activity. According to U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey reports, U. S. Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 69 (1912), and Gutenberg and Richter's book, Seismicity of the Earth and Associated Phenomena, there have been at least 45 earthquake epicenters within a radius of 150 miles of the site. Twelve of these quakes had a Richter-Gutenberg magnitude of 2.0 or greater. The remaining 33 earthquakes had a magnitude of 5.0 to 6.9. Two epicenters were located within 25 miles of the site. On May 29, 1931, an earthquake of unknown magnitude occurred near the Chulitha River about 15 miles northeast of the site; on July 3, 1929, a 5.5-magnitude earthquake occurred near the Talkeetna River about 25 miles southeast of the site. Some of these shocks caused considerable major damage to structures in Anchorage and Fairbanks, and major earth movements and landslides along the highways and railroad.

ENGINEERING GEOLOGY

Reservoir

Time limitations and access difficulties did not permit a ground inspection of the reservoir basin. However, aerial reconnaissance and a study of existing geological data indicate the reservoir basin will be tight. Since the basin is rimmed by the high uplands of the Talkeetna Mountains, there appears no possibility of loss into other drainage basins. Any ground-water percolation into fractures in the reservoir rock would be stored and would drain back

12

into the reservoir during low water.

Extensive dense timber occurs along the lower elevations in the reservoir basin. The vegetation is similar to that described in previous parts of this report.

Damsite

Left Abutment (Plate 17)

P

h

F

The most critical engineering geological problems occur on this side of the canyon. These are a result of the overhanging cliffs formed by the southerly dipping beds; and what appears to have been more structural disturbance in this abutment (shown in DH-9 and DH-10 and in Figure 4). Faulting has caused shear zones that are approximately normal to the river (Plate 18) and also roughly parallel the canyon rim. The sheared rock is not well healed; thus, extensive fracturing with open crevices is common. However, pressure tests in DH-9 and DH-10 did not result in severe water losses, even in faulted zones in the holes. DH-8 apparently encountered only minor faulted areas and showed heavy water losses to a depth of about 118 feet; but, from this point to the bottom of the hole at 150 feet, or within about 15 feet of the cliff face, there was practically no water lost in the pressure tests. (This angle hole was drilled toward the cliff face for the specific purpose of determining the decrease or increase in fracture openings in the direction of the cliff face.) DH-1 apparently encountered little or no faulting; however, severe water losses occurred throughout all but the last 10 feet of the hole.

The overhanging beas on this side of the canyon have resulted in large blocks (Plate 6) that, in some cases, are distinctly separated from the adjacent bedrock. Some of these blocks are as much as 25 feet in horizontal dimension and 50 feet in vertical dimension. During small earthquake tremors, slight movements of these blocks can be felt. Because of these loose blocks and the variable results from the water pressure tests, shelves ("Litchens") were excevated into the abutment face (Figure 4). The initial plan was to determine the depth to sound rock from the canyon face. The first shelf, S-1, was started about 60 feet below the canyon rim on the nose of a ridge downstream from the downstream toe of the dam. This excavation had to be abandoned before reaching unbroken, massive rock, because the blasting loosened great quantities of loose rock above the shelf. Loose rock for about 10 feet in a horizontal direction was removed before the excavation had to be abandoned. Excavation then was started at S-2, about 170 feet below the canyon rim on the cliff face (Plate 19) of the left abutment. This excevation also had to be halted because each blest loosened increasing quantities of rock above the shelf, and it became too democracy for further work. This chalf is believed to

have actually penetrated about 20 feet into the cliff face; however, an accurate measurement or a log of the shelf was not possible because of the covering of loose rock after the final blast. Removal of this loose material could not be attempted because of danger from overhanging blocks.

Besed upon the aforementioned investigations, it is estimated that a minimum of 35 to 50 feet of rock will have to be removed from the present surface before firm rock is reached. It will not be possible to obtain a "clean" excavation surface because of the blocky and overhanging nature of the formation; therefore, extensive dental work may be required. for news for fill hands + house by optiming for them.

The left abutment of the arch is near a fault zone tranding southeast from DH-9 and the shear or fault zone that roughly parallels the canyon rim in this same area (Figure 4). Shifting the left abutment upstream would require construction of a thrust block (because of the loss of topographic elevation), but the thrust from the arch then could react against a considerably larger and firmer rock mass.

"Spillvey" Saddle

1

-Because of favorable topography, it initially was planned to use a controlled overflow spillway chute across the morth-south saddle to the left of the left abutment (Plate 4 and 4A). Several drill holes in this saidle disclosed a drep buried channel apparently striking about east and west through the lake-filled depressions on both sides of the sadale (Figure 5, Section EB) and overlying a severely faulted area. The maximum depth of the valley fill in this channel is about 90 feet. The fill material is composed primerily of well-consolidated outwash, with about a 10-foot veneer of moraine (Plate 15). Continuous strata of pervious material probably occur in the outwash. The moraine veneer may have sufficient impervious material to form a moderately effective blanket. It is necessary to effectively seal this channel fill, as the lowest point in the underlying bedrock surface is almost 170 feet below the maximum water surface in the reservoir. The percolation path from the reservoir probably would not exceed 3,000 feet.

The lack of suitable foundation and other design considerations resulted in the arandonment of this saddle area for a spillway. However, since the lowest elevation in the saddle is 1375, it will be necessary to construct a dike across it approximately 800 feet long with a maximum height of 80 feet. The area selected for the dike is slightly upstream from the saddle (Figure 5, CC). Because



the foundation materials have been compacted by relatively high ice loads, they appear to be satisfactory insofar as bearing capacity is concerned for the aforementioned dike. Water measurements in the drill holes throughout the left abutment areas indicate the ground water is tributary to the small lakes in the depression. This also may indicate that some of the underlying materials are relatively impervious.

Right Abutment (Plate 20)

Only a minor amount of dental work should be required for the dam foundation in this abutment. The abutment is intersected by shear zones striking almost normal to the stream; however, Shelves S-3 and S-4 disclosed that the rock in these zones is competent with only thin scams of gouge (Plate 14). The only problem of any magnitude will be the scaling of locae blocks in the knife ridges on the right abutment in order to protect the powerhouse and dam excavation against rockfalls. Seepage through the abutment should be an acceptable minimum as the joints on this side of the canyon are well healed. The dip of the bedding is favorable for shaping this abutment for the arch (Figure 5, Section AA).

River Section

S.

The second s

The high velocity of the river in the canyon made it impracticable to drill holes in the center of the river. An attempt was made to determine at least a rough bedrock profile under the river by means of angle holes drilled from each bank. Because of vertical cliffs to the water edge (Plate 21), it was not possible to drill intersecting angle holes: That is, holes started on directly opposite sides of the river drilled at angles to intersect each other under the river. The drilling that was accomplished appears to give an approximation of the maximum depth to bedrock beneath the river (Figure 7). According to this drilling, the maximum depth to bedrock from the water surface is about 65 feet (Figure 7, Section BB). Because it was not possible to measure the depth of water, the streamfill can be estimated only roughly as about 35 feet in maximum thickness. According to pressure tests in these holes, severe fractures and men joints occur from the bedrock surface to about 25 feet below this surface. Below this 25-foot depth, however, the rock tightens and only nominal water losses occurred (Figure 7, Sections AA, BB, CC). None of the holes indicated faulting paralleling the river.

General

To expose firm foundation rock, particularly on the left

abutment, will require the removal of up to 35 or 50 feet of loose



International Advanta

blocks. There is no appreciable depth of weathered rock. Because of the extensive fracturing and open joints (Plate 22) considerable grout take can be expected. Such grouting is necessary to consolidate the fractured rock and reduce seepage through the foundation.

- Laboratory tests (Appendix--Laboratory Report No. C-933) on cores representative of the foundation rock have disclosed that Young's modulus for unfractured foundation rock exceeds 7,000,000 psi. Poisson's ratio is about 0.18. The unconfined compressive strength is as high as 37,000 psi. These tests disclosed that the rock acts primarily as an elastic material and practically no permanent "set" occurs. Although these initial tests indicate excellent foundation conditions, it is highly desirable that during final design investigations, in-situ measurements are taken of the elastic properties of the rock. This recommendation is based upon the fact the severely fractured in-place condition of the rock may result in lower moduli than obtained in the laboratory tests.

Powerhouse

Present plans establish the powerhouse location along the toe of the right wall of the canyon, downstream from the dam (Plate 23, Figure 3). This will require extensive excavation into the right abutment to obtain sufficient room for the structure (Figure 5, Section AA). Considerable scaling will be required on the cliffs and knife ridges above this excavation to provide protection during construction and to prevent major rockfalls during operation. The powerhouse and tailrace structures should be founded on the bedrock below the streamfill.

Underground Powerhouse

The restricted topography, the possible need for extensive scaling, and favorable geological conditions indicate the <u>desira-</u> bility of considering an underground powerhouse in the right <u>abut-</u> ment. The unusual competency of the rock indicates the possibility of excavating an underground chamber with a minimum of roof and wall support (Figure 8). Additional investigation of the chamber site by deep drill holes and a pilot tunnel will be required to fully outline potential roof and wall support problems. Because portions



of the excavation will be below river level, extensive grouting may be necessary for the lower part of the chamber to prevent water inflows through the open fractures.

The topography on the right side of the river is particularly favorable for the construction of short penstock and tailrace tunnels. The drilling done to date lends further support to an underground plant as the core indicates the rock tightens with depth and the fracturing decreases. Furthermore, the size of installation required for a 580,000-kw powerplant may preclude the construction of a surface plant because of numerous and costly excavation difficulties that will result from the steep terrain and extensive fracturing of bedrock near the surface.

Spillway and Diversion Tunnels

In present plans, these structures are located in and on the right abutment (Figure 6, Section DD and D'D). The spillway intake can be founded on bedrock with a minimum amount of overburden excavation. Rock cuts of 1/4:1 to 1/2:1 should be feasible in the intake area (Plate 24). Some difficulty may be experienced with overexcavation on the southeast or left side of the excavation, owing to the adverse dip of the beading. Prior to final design of the intake excavation, sufficient drilling should be performed in this area to determine the depth of overburden and extent and severity of the jointing and fracturing in the bedrock. Because cuts of 50 and more feet in height will be required, extensive jointing in the rock on the right side of the intake may result in movement of loose blocks during construction. This problem is not believed to be too serious as no clay seams are known to exist in the bedrock.

The diversion and spillway tunnels will penetrate the same type of rock. Nothing is known at present of the characteristics of the phyllite bedrock at diversion tunnel gradient; however, as mentioned in the powerhouse discussion, it is believed that this rock would have a minimum of fracturing and be exceedingly competent for tunnel operations. With the exception of steel supports for about 100 feet of the inlet (Plate 24) and outlet areas and in narrow shear zones, rock bolting for about 75 percent of the tunnel length should be adequate. This bolting is suggested because of the blockiness caused by the three joint sets. If the nature of the shear zones on this abutment is the same at tunnel depth as it is at the surface, these zones should offer only minor difficulty in the tunnel excevation.



The occasionel open joints, surface springs, and snowmelt indicate that minor waterflows will be encountered in the tunnels. As the rock is very competent, none of these flows should be of sufficient magnitude to seriously interfere with construction.

One problem requiring further study is the closeness of the large diameter tunnels. The rock is of a nature that would transmit blasting vibrations with only nominal dampening effects. Therefore, blasting in one tunnel could cause rockfalls in the adjacent tunnel. Extensive rock bolting would offer considerable protection against such an eventuality.

The comments made in regard to the spillway intake excavation also would apply to the excavations required for the intakes and outlets of the tunnels. The only difference may occur at the outlet of the tunnel if it daylights into an open channel cut through a talus deposit of undetermined depth (Plate 25).

Highway Geology

Two possible routes have been suggested for the access highway to the damsite. One route would closely parallel the existing road high on the slope of the Talkeetna Mountains, whereas the second or lower route would be along the south bank of the Susitna River.

3

The Lower Route

[•

• }

h

The lower route will traverse terrace deposits immediately south of the river from Gold Creek to about MP-6, with a stretch of bedrock from MP-2.5 and MP-3. At MP-6, the road leaves the river and climbs a bench that may require numerous switchbacks because of the steep gradient:. From the top of the bench to the damsite, the road will follow the south rim of the canyon; although long stretches of bedrock will occur, swamp and muskeg areas will have to be bypassed (Plate 17). This section of the road also will have to bridge deeply incised gullies.

The lower route will require placement of embankments on swamp and muskeg areas. It is believed that such areas are probably not over 15 or 20 feet deep; thus the soft material could be completely removed, or consolidated by overloading of the embankment. The latter method has been used with considerable success in similar situations, particularly when it is accompanied by the detonation of low-velocity charges along the toes of the proposed embankments and at varying depths from the surface to underlying sound material.











WATANA

in or a

?

Ł

STRUCTURE: 810' HIGH EARTH DAM
INVESTIGATION:
1950-'53, USBR, RECONNAISSANCE
1975, COE, RECONNAISSANCE
D&M, 22500LF SEISMIC
1978 COE 28 BOREHOLES 30' TO 600' DEEP
27 TEST PITS
18 AUGER HOLES
S&W 47665LF SEISMIC
INSTRUMENTATION
10 PIEZOMETERS
13 TEMP, PROBES
SIGNIFICANT FEATURES
300' - 600' WIDE VALLEY 30 ⁰ - 60 ⁰ slopes
40' - 80' OVERBURDEN
5' - 40' WEATHERED ROCK
ALLUVIUM - FROZEN TO SOFT
RELICT CHANNEL UP TO 454' DEEP; FILLED WITH GLACIAL AND ALLUVIAL MTLS.
NEAR VERTICAL SHEAR ZONES

THE "FINNS" AND "FINGERBUSTER"

WATANA

MATERIALS:

家

(A. 10)

ROCKFILL AND AGG. - QUARRY "A" AND/OR "B"

CORE - BORROW AREA "D"

FILTER/AGG. - BORROW AREA "E"

LAB TESTING

BORROW AREA "D" COMPOSITE

GRADATION

TRIAXIAL

COMPACTION

CONSOLIDATION

PERMEABILITY (MINUS 1")

SPECIFIC GRAVITY

BORROW AREA "E"

GRADATION

PETROGRAPHIC ON SAND

PROBLEMS:

BURIED CHANNEL - PERVIOUS ZONES

PERMAFROST - DISCONTINUOUS, DEEP IN LEFT ABUT.; WITHIN 10 OF FREEZING

ARTESIAN PRESSURES

SUSITNA FAULT?

TALKEENTA THRUST THRU RESERVOIR

WATANA

UNDERGROUND STRUCTURES

ROCK CONDITIONS - FAVORABLE SOME SUPPORTS REQUIRED

<u>SUMMARY</u>

l 🚺

and the second

ľ

No.

ADDITIONAL EXPLORATION

GENERAL - ROCK STRUCTURE

- RIVER CHANNEL

- FOG LAKE FAULTING, LEAKAGE

RIGHT ABUT, - SLIDE BLOCK (?)

- OVERBURDEN THICKNESS

LEFT ABUT, - PERMAFROST

BORROW AREAS - LAKE DEPOSITS

SPILLWAY - BURIED STREAM CHANNEL

DOWNSTREAM - SUSITNA FAULT?

WATANA

LITHOLOGY:

5

0 2 0

Dam Site

Diorite, Quartz Diorite, Granodiorite Andesite Porphyry

Quarry A

Andesite Porphyry Rhyodacite

Surrounding Area Volcanic Sediment Basalt

Argillite

STRUCTURE:

Joints:

Major: N35⁰W 73⁰NE Minor: N70⁰W 51⁰NE

Shears:

"Fins" to Tsusena Creek "Fingerbuster"

SURFICIAL MATERIALS:

Susitna/Tsusena Confluence - Glaciolacustrine Sands Borrow 'D' & 'H' - Till Borrow 'E', River Alluvium

RESERVOIR:

Overburden in Lower Reservoir - Till Lacustrine Sands and Silts

成化

WATANA RESERVOIR

PREVIOUS SITE INVESTIGATIONS

Geologic Mapping:

Corp of Eng.; 1978

LITHOLOGY:

Τę.

Diorite, Argillite

Tertiary Sediments, Basalt Flows

Metavolcanics

Schist

STRUCTURE:

Talkeetna thrust

SURFICIAL MATERIALS:

Till, Outwash, Glaciolacustrine, Sands and Silts PERMAFROST



WATANA EMBANKMENT QUANTITIES (COE)

9

Þ

Ð

ા. કો 5

NAN PAR

K

20

đa,

J

MATERIAL	QUANTITY (CY)	POSSIBLE SOURCE
IMPERVIOUS	7,373,000	BORROW AREA D
SEMI PERVIOUS	6 077 000	BORROW AREA D
FINE FILTER	5 621 000	BORROW AREA E
COARSE FILTER	2,201,000	QUARRY A, B OR BORROW AREA E
ROCKFILL	36 297 000	RUARRY A OR B
RIPRAP	223 000	QUARRY A OR B
TOTAL	57 792 000	



WATANA DAMSITE PROPOSED DRILLING PROGRAM

29

Hole No.	Location	Approx. Elev.	Azimuth	Incl.	Hole Length	Primary Objectives	<u>Special Testing</u>
BH-1	Rt. Abuı		225 ⁰	60 ⁰	250-300'	Geologic structure, velocity discontinuity.	Permeability.
BH-1	Rt. Abut.		45 ⁰	55 ⁰	200-500'	Geologic structure, gradational contact.	Permeability, camera.
BH-3	Rt. Abut.			Vert.	50-100'	Overburden thickness, correlate w/seismic.	
BH-4	Rt. Abut.			Vert.	200-300'	Overburden thickness, correlate w/seismic.	
BH-5	Rt. Abut.			Vert.	100-200'	Overburden thickness, correlate w/seismic.	
BH-6	Rt, Abut		225 ⁰	60 ⁰	740'	Geologic structure (fault in river channel?)	Perm, camera, geophysics therm- mistor/piezometer.
BH-7	Lt. Abut.			Vert.	100-150'	Overburden thickness, geology and structure.	Perm, thermistor, slope indicator.
BH-8	Lt. Abut.		60 ⁰	60 ⁰	600-750'	Geologic structure (powerhouse).	Perm, camera, geo- physics, thermo- mistors.
BH-9	River D/S		••••••	Vert,	100-150'	Overburden thickness, foundation and perm, for cofferdam.	Permeability.
BH-10	River D/S			Vert.	100-150'	Overburden thickness, foundation and perm. for cofferdam.	Permeability.

WATANA DAMSITE SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY

Seismic Line	Location	Approx. Length	Primary Objectives	<u>Remarks</u>
SL-1	Rt. Abut.	6600'	Investigate buried channel, overburden thickness, velocity anomaly, alt. spillway rte.	
SL-2	Rt. Abut.	4400'	Investigate buried channel, overburden thickness, velocity anomaly, alt. spillway rte.	
SL-3	River	2200'	Investigate overbruden thickness, rock quality (intake, access/diversion tunnels, river channel.	
SL-4	River	1109'	Overbruden thickness (core zone) channel shape.	Shoot in winter off river ice.
SL-5	River	1100'	Overbruden thickness, channel shape.	Shoot in winter off river ice.

SUMMARY

S.C.

Ô,

1

1

ŧ

1980 DRILLING PROGRAM - WATANA

Ċ

5

Ċ.

BORING	BH-2	BH-6	BH-8
BEARING ANGLE	N45 ⁰ E 55 ⁰	545 ⁰ W 60 ⁰	N60 ⁰ E 60 ⁰
	INTO RIGHT ABUTMENT	RIGHT ABUT- MENT UNDER RIVER	TOWARDS RIVER - WET MUSKEG AREA
DEPTH	401 FT (328 VERTICAL)	740 FT (640 VERTICAL)	376 FT (326 VERTICAL)
OVERBURDEN	5 FT	8 FT	8 FT
WEATHERING	100 FT ALTERED	25 FT ROCK	0-25 FT ANDESITE 50-55 FT OLD DIORITE SURFACE
EEDROCK	ANDESITE) 120- DIORITE) 150 CONTACT PHASE	GRANODIORITE	ANDESITE) CON- DIORITE) TACT 45- 50 FT
ER LOSS	SHEAR ZONE 90-133 POOR WATER CIRCULATION	ALTERED ZONES: 50-70 FT 150-160 FT 320-335 FT 440-500 FT FRACTURED AND HIGHLY ALTER- ED ZONES	ALTERED DIORITE ZONE APPROXI- MATELY 50-70 FRACTURE ZONES APPROXIMATELY 1 FT, WATER CIRCULATION GOOD IMPLYING TIGHT, NO WATER P TEST DATA AVAILABLE
SPECIAL FEATURES	QUITE HIGHLY	QUITE HIGHLY	



JOINTED AND CALCITE COATED WITH NEARLY VERTICAL ORIENTATION









WATANA MAX SECTION.

PRELIMINARY CROSS - SECTION WATANA DAM - ROCKFILL SUSITNA HEP FEASIBILITY STUDY OCTOBER, 1980

SCALE: 1" = 100' H \$ V

9

5. 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 - 1910 -




Envelope of gradation curves derived from tests of samples from test pits 8 thru 19, Borrow area D.



Rose Diagram

Watana Left Abutment 200' upstream from the fins

Sh

00

1

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}}_{i}$

270⁰ -

•)

R

•

I

1

F

F

Ĺ

3

Major N20⁰W, N75⁰E Minor N65⁰W



1







VEE CANYON

STRUCTURE: 470' HIGH EARTH DAM INVESTIGATION:

1960-'62 USBR

States 1

Ker L

M

Ľ

13 BOREHOLES, 1646LF, 180' MAX.

16 DOZER TRENCHES

SIGNIFICANT FEATURES:

VERY STEEP CANYON (800')

125' OVERBURDEN

POOR QUALITY ROCK

SADDLE DAM

400' OVERBURDEN

PERMAFROST TO 60'

ROCKLINE BELOW EXISTING RIVER V.C.

67

MATERIAL SOURCES:

NOT DELINEATED

GLACIO - FLUVIAL FOR EMBANKMENT

RIVER CHANNEL FOR AGG.

PROBLEMS:

SE

Į 🖗

ľ

Ľ

P

L

ROCK SLOPE STABILITY - EXCAVATION LEFT ABUT - HEAVY TALUS PERMAFROST POOR ROCK QUALITY - HEAVY TUNNEL SUPPORTS 400' OVERBURDEN UNDER SADDLE DAM VEE

SUMMARY:

ADDITIONAL EXPLORATION REQUIRED. SITE UNSUITABLE FOR CONCRETE DAM

DENALI

STRUCTURE: 235' HIGH EARTH DAM

INVESTIGATION:

P

2

ſ

1958-'59 USBR

5 BOREHOLES APPROXIMATELY 200' DEEP

14 TEST PITS

LAB TESTS

CONSOLIDATION

GRADATION

INDEX TESTS

PETROGRAPHIC

SIGNIFICANT FEATURES:

RELATIVELY LOOSE SANDS AND GRAVELS OF UNKNOWN THICKNESS PERVIOUS STRATA - RIGHT ABUTMENT 100' <u>+</u> PERMAFROST - BOTH ABUTS, COMPRESSIBLE STRATA - BOTH ABUTS, MAXIMUM EARTHQUAKE MAGNITUDE 8.5 AT 40 MILES



DENALI

MATERIAL SOURCES:

PERVIOUS - ADEQUATE SUPPLY, 0.5 TO 5 MILE HAUL IMPERVIOUS - PROCESS FROM TILL

PROBLEMS:

4

6

C

DEEP PERMAFROST

COMPRESSIBLE FOUNDATION MTLS.

EXCESSIVE FOUNDATION TREATMENT

PERVIOUS STRATA - RIGHT ABUT,

DEEP CUTOFF

LIQUIFACTION

SUMMARY:

1.

MOVE SITE 8000' D/S EXTENSIVE FIELD INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRED SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT INTERNAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING #1 GEOTECHNICAL AND SEISMIC ASPECTS

ľ

Ľ

JULY 23, 1980

NOTES ON MEETING

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Agenda of First Internal Board Review Meeting Objectives of Meeting Summary of Meeting Attachment A - Existing Geotechnical & Seismic Data Viewgraphs Attachment B - 1980 Geotechnical Program Attachment C - 1980 Seismic Studies Viewgraphs

O

and the second

F

I

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

AGENDA OF IST INTERNAL

BOARD REVIEW MEETING - GEOTECHNICAL

AND SEISMIC ASPECTS

Time & Location:

Wednesday, July 23, 1980, 8:30 a.m. (all day) Board Room, Niagara Falls Office Ontario, Canada

In Attendance:

ľ

	Pro	oject Team	
	J.	Lawrence	
	С. J.	Debelius Gill	
	I. V.	Hutchison Sinah	
	S.	Thompson	
~		unnk plan for	1020 .

Review Board

111(-

D. MacDonald J. MacPherson L. Wolofski D. Hepburn H. Eichenbaum

n. ElChenbaum

<u>Purpose</u>: To review work plan for 1980 Task 4 (Seismic Studies) and Task 5 (Geotechnical Investigations) and preparation for External Review Board Meeting (tentatively scheduled for late August).

Moderator: John D. Lawrence

Agenda:

Time	Topic	<u>Speaker</u>
8:30 AM	Introduction	J. D. Lawrence / 2 ri here zete
9:00 AM	Existing geologic, geotechnical & seismic data	S. Thompson & V. Singh
10:00 AM 10:15 AM	Break 1980 Geotechnical Field Program	J. Gill
12:00 1:00 PM	Lunch 1980 Seismic Studies	V. Singh
2:00 PM 2:30 PM	Discussion Wrap-up & Summary of Board Views	
3:15 PM 3:30 PM	Break Scope and schedule of External Rev Panel Meeting	View

1 1

NOTE: Speakers are requested to hand out detailed agendas of their presentations during the meeting.

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

INTERNAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING #1, JULY 23, 1980

PRIMARY OBJECTIVES

- 1. Familiarization
- 2. Review of:

ľ

Ł

- proposed dam locations and types (preliminary concepts only)

- geotechnical exploration program scope and schedule
- proposed seismic and reservoir induced seismicity programs
- potential tunneling problems (preliminary concepts only)
- 3. Recommendations for scope of first external review panel meeting (late August)

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT INTERNAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING #1, July 23, 1980 ACRES CONSULTING SERVICES OFFICE, CANADA SUMMARY

In Attendance:

Project Team

J. Lawrence C. Debelius J. Gill R. Henschel I. Hutchison V. Singh S. Thompson J. Hayden

Review Board

August 4, 1980

P5700.13.10

- D. MacDonald
- J. MacPherson
- L. Wolofsky

Introduction

John Lawrence began the meeting with introductions of all participants, followed by a brief summary of the agenda and speakers. A series of slides and talk was used to give general background on the Susitna Study Project, the various subcontractors forming the study team, and their role in overall project. This portion was concluded with slides taken along the Susitna River Valley, starting in glacial headwaters and progressing into the lower river basin. John Hayden gave a brief summary of the current status on all the various subtasks, with the exception of Tasks 4 and 5 which were discussed later in detail.

Existing Geologic, Geotechnical and Seismic Data

Virendra Singh summarized the geotechnical data currently available for the four sites of interest; i.e., Denali, Vee, Devil Canyon and Watana. This data includes a summary of investigation completed by others at each site, significant features identified and conclusions about each site. (see attached copies of view graphs.) Some additional data had only recently been received and review and compilation (subtask 5.01) had been consequently delayed.

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd page 2 Stewart Thompson gave a brief review of the regional and site specific geology for both proposed damsites. The geologic history is very complex and not well understood. Information at both sites is somewhat limited due to poor rock exposures. Field mapping program of damsites and reservoirs is currently planned but access is very difficult. A series of slides were presented showing general conditions and geology. At Devil Canyon there is believed to be a relict channel and possible shear zone on the left abutment which needs further investigation as it may have serious impact on site suitability or type of dam. Also, possible stress relief features (open fractures) exist in the left abutment which need to be drilled and verified. It was recommended that in order to prove the abutment suitable for an arch dam it may be necessary to excavate adits in due course. Slope stability in the reservoir also needs to be investigated. Permafrost conditions, thick overburden and steep slopes combined with thawing and wave action produced by reservoir can potentially result in localized beaching, slides and slope failures. There is a need to identify potential problem areas and evaluate effects of such failures. It was suggested that such slides will most probably occur and the effort in the study should be directed towards a means of handling the problem. The large waves created by earthquakes or landslides was discussed. Adequate freeboard would have to be maintained in the reservoir to handle such cases.

1980 Geotechnical Field Program

Jim Gill reviewed the geotechnical program as originally developed for the Plan of Study and the permitting requirements for the program. BLM is lead agency, however, most activities are located on native lands. There is presently a problem with the Chickaloon Village lawsuit over disputed

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL METTING - cont'd land claims which is interfering with field programs. We are not allowed to work on disputed lands until the matter is settled. The major area affected is borrow area G at Devil Canyon (see map). Based on a review of existing data, budget and logistics, the original program as developed in the Plan of Study was revised for 1980 with the intention of providing an increased amount of diamond drilling this year with sufficient work in borrow areas to confirm materials and overlap next years program. The revised program as shown in Figs. 1 thru 5, and detailed in Tables 1 thru 4 was discussed.

Following the recent site visit by S. Thompson, L. Wolofsky and P. Morris, the program was reviewed and revised somewhat further. Based on their recommendations the total number of diamond drill holes to be completed this year was revised to 3 at each site. (Watana BH-6, 2 & 8; Devil Canyon-BH 1, 2, & 4.) The philosophy behind this change was to reduce the expenditures during 1980 while still maximizing the data obtained, and leaving enough flexibility to allow for changes in layout which may result from Task 6 studies and which would then be investigated in 1981. Presently BH-6 at Watana is complete and BH-2 is underway. The auger drilling program is complete, but had some difficulties as the materials generally contained boulders, particularly in borrow area E, and it was not possible to get holes as deep as originally planned. This results in need for deep test pits (probably in fall/winter) to obtain samples for lab testing. Other areas which require some further discussion and development include: - application of SLAR and low sun angle photos for identification of perma-

frost

- high moisture contents (>7%) from thawing frozen materials in borrow areas will make handling and suitability of materials very questionable.

page 3

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd page 4 -intrumentation consisting of thermal probe and piezometers has to be evaluated further and the type and means of installation resolved.

- existing piezometers installed by the Corps of Engineers should be reinstated and read if possible. Interpretation of readings is currently difficult as riser pipes are filled with diesel fuel.
- possibility of using technical climbers at Devil Canyon for mapping.
 <u>Discussion</u>: A general discussion of the morning's topics raised the following points.
- at Devil Canyon there is a need to look at earth/rockfill dam alternatives and possible borrow sources for construction materials.
- all available geotechnical data pertaining to Devil Canyon is to be reviewed in Buffalo and commented on by the end of September.

III.

E.

- methods of sampling permafrost in rock and the significance to design need to be reviewed. Past projects have used "chiller" set-up with good results.
- There is a question of what temperature to use for solution to prevent formation of ice during drilling.
- spillway designs and locations need to be determined at both sites.
- it is desirable to minimize 1980 program and keep enough money and flexibility to allow for layout changes in structures. Emphasize features this year which will have a major impact on site suitability.
- there is a need to advance layout studies to late 1980 to allow sufficient time for design of 1981 investigation program.
- tunnel alternative layouts are underway. Any investigation (for tunnel)
 will be done in 1981, but will be a major change to the original Plan of
 Study.
- there is a need to resolve which load growth forecast the dam designs

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd

are to be based on. It is possible to have range of schemes for various forecasts.

D

 \bigcirc

- the earthquake factor to be used in design has to be established so preliminary work can start. A figure of 0.68 mentioned in previous Corps reports is a peak acceleration for 1 cycle and not for periods of strong ground motion which is likely to be 1/2 to 2/3 of this. An acceleration of 0.5 g is considered adequate for preliminary design. The impact of such a factor on dam design should be evaluated as soon as possible.

1980 Sesmic Studies;

Virendra Singh summarized the seismological studies presently being performed by Woodward-Clyde Consultants, which include installation of a micro seismic monitoring network and identification and evaluation of potential activity of faults within the project area. The primary objective of these studies is to define the maximum probable earthquake distance from sites and attenuation at the sites such that an appropriate earthquake factor and gound motion can be selected for design. WCC is also supposed to evaluate potential for reservoir induced seismicity. It is expected that a site meeting in late August will be held by WCC with a preliminary report in October and a final report in November (see viewgraphs).

Discussion

There was some discussion about reservoir induced seismicity (RIS). WCC Preliminary evaluation of historical data indicates about a 90% probability of reservoir induced seismicity for Watana and a 50% probability for Devil Canyon. General consensus was that (RIS) would occur, but that magnitude of resultant earthquake would be less than the maximum probable design earthquake and should therefore not have any significant affect on design. SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd

Ľ

WCC studies are geared toward developing the maximum probable earthquake in project area and attenuation curves to each site. Acres is to select design earthquake. It is considered that three months of monitoring of the micro-seismic network would be sufficient this season, and that it is not necessary to monitor all winter. Reservoir induced seismicity is a potential psychological problem to people rather than a design problem. There is some concern over the Susitna fault as to whether or not it really is a fault, and if so, whether it is active. The location is within about 2-3 miles of Watana damsite.

There was considerable discussion over what earthquake factor to use in preliminary design. Previous reports give values up to 0.68g, which is greater than any known values used for existing dam designs. It was felt that this value is the maximum peak acceleration for one cycle and not the value for the period of strong ground motions of significant duration which would be used for design. Normally the value for design would be 2/3 to 1/2 of the peak. It was suggested that value for preliminary design should be 0.5g and it would be worthwhile to examine literature on existing dams in high seismic areas to get a feel for what effect it will have on the design of Watana or Devil Canyon. After reviewing the problem in-house the next step would be to consult outside expertise via the proposed review panel. A recent ICOLD report has case histories of large dam failures in China due to earthquake. It includes very detailed analysis of failure mechanisms which might prove useful.

There is a need to develop approximate layouts of both developments by early '81 so that investigation programs can be developed. It was generally considered better to spend extra time in the office now (earlier than originally scheduled) developing layouts based on assumptions rather

page 6

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd than having to potentially waste time in the field on exploration of non-feasible schemes.

Conclusions

Wrap-Up - Some of the key points which came out of the meeting were:

- The schedule for layout studies must be re-examined and accelerated, such that preliminary layouts are available in early '81. This will allow for flexibility in the design of the '81 drilling program.
- The type, layout and discharge channels for spillways must be examined.
- 3) Earthquake factor to be used in preliminary design must be deter mined.

Very little precedence exists for such high seismic regions. It was suggested that we assume 0.5g until more data from WCC becomes available in the near future. Acres should review current designs for dams in highly seismic areas with the possibility of requesting outside opinions/expertise.

- 4) Devil Canyon will require adits to verify abutments for an arch dam prior to design. In the original POS it had not been planned to use adits until Phase II work. It will be possible to use borehole data and down-hole camera, geophysical logging and instrumentation both to verify that the site appears suitable and that adits should subsequently be used to confirm this.
- 5) To apply for the FERC license there has to be sufficient data for a specific dam layout at a specific site to prove feasibility. Some flexibility may be allowed for relatively minor changes after licens ing, but a major change such as type of dam, or location may not be acceptable to FERC. It presently appears that it is not possible to

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd

prove suitability of Devil Canyon site for arch dam by mid 1982 in view of the need for adits not currently scheduled. Therefore it will probably be necessary to submit a license application for both dams with a type of dam other than an arch at Devil Canyon, or submit separate applications as data becomes available. It remains to be determined if there is any way to delay submission of Devil Canyon section of license application to allow sufficient time to satisfactorily prove the suitability of the Devil Canyon site for an arch dam or other dam type. There is also a problem with licensing if investigations prove that one of the sites is not suitable, and a new site has to be investigated. Data must be reviewed as it becomes available and discussions held with FERC people has been very cooperative in this respect thus far.

page 8

5) The question of reservoir slope stability and how we are going to handle it needs to be addressed further. From preliminary site reconnaissance it is obvious that beaching, thawing and slope instability will occur with reservoir filling. There is a need to identify those area which are likely to present problems, and to determine what effects they will have on the reservoir and what measures, if any, have to be taken. This problem will be aggravated by the proposed 100-150 foot annual fluctuation in reservoir levels at Watana. Aesthetically it could be a problem but should not have serious engineering impacts on operation of the reservoirs. It was proposed that an in-house review be made of reports for similar projects to determine what alternatives have been used.

SUSITNA INTERNAL REVIEW PANEL MEETING - cont'd

External Review Panel

At present the status of the External Review Panel, originally scheduled for late August, is unclear. A five member review panel was recommended to APA by Acres. These recommendations are currently being reviewed by the APA Board of Directors. The last word was that APA may appoint another firm to interface with the panel. It is likely that this firm would then have Acres make a presentation to the panel and then make its own recommendations to APA based on finds by the review panel. Scheduling of all this is still undecided as the other firm has not been selected yet. It was suggested that earliest possible meeting might be in late September. In light of this situation it was suggested that we (Acres) should recommend to APA a separate meeting of a smaller panel of outside consultants (possibly members scheduled for the APA review panel) in the near future to review our programs, since the external APA review panel may be too late to accomplish anything useful. This matter was to be looked into further by John Lawrence.

<u>Closing</u>

Another meeting of the Internal Review Panel and Project Team was tentatively scheduled for later this year to review the completed field data and earthquake data from Woodward-Clyde.

If possible, site visits for review panel member will be arranged at convenient times in the summer program, with possible on-site meetings.

Reported by julie 11 Robert Henschel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Objectives

APR the .

5

S

- II. General Information
- III. Agenda for October 21, 1980 Activities
- IV. Agenda for October 22, 1980 Activities
- V. Agenda for October 23, 1980 Activities
- VI. Notes on October 21, 1980 Meeting by J. D. Lawrence
- VII. Notes on October 23, 1980 Meeting by R. Henschel
- VIII. Copies of overhead projector slides presented.
 - IX. Report by Consultants Panel

I. OBJECTIVES

[]

S

The objectives of this series of meetings are to familiarize the Specialist Consultants Panel with the Susitna Hydroelectric Project feasibility studies currently being undertaken by Acres, to review with the panel the available geological, geotechnical and seismic information, to discuss the ongoing planned geotechnical and seismic investigations and the preliminary design studies for the Eevil Canyon dam, currently proposed as an arch, and the Watana rockfill dam. Particular items of concern which will be reviewed arer

- the upcoming decision on whether or not to adopt an arch dam alternative for the Devil Canyon site.
- the scope of geotechnical exploration activities at Devil Canyon and Watana sites in the winter of 1980-81 and summer of 1982.
- the method of screening identified seismic features for further examination and the scope of seismic exploration activities in 1981.
- the upcoming decision on whether or not to adopt a tunnel alternative development in lieu of the Devil Canyon Dam.

II. GENERAL INFORMATION

In Attendance:

AcresAPAJ. LawrenceE. YDr. J. HaydenR. MJ. GillD. WA. Tawil	ould <u>R&M</u> G. Smith Iohn J. Brown Iozniak	<u>WCC</u> Dr. W. Savage Dr. J. Lovegreen	Specialist <u>Panel</u> Dr. R. W. Peck Dr. A. J. Hendron M. A. Copen
V. Singh J. Henschel M. Bruen			
<u>Date</u> 10/20	Time & Location	<u>Activity</u> Travel to Ancl	norage, AK
10/21	8:30 A.M., Acres Anchorage Office (all day)	Presentation (Seismic and C formation	of Geotechnical, ivil design in-
10/22	8:00 A.M. (tentativ weather permitting all day)	ve, Field visit, I - inspect Watana Canyon, inspec site. Return	Fly Watana camp, a site, fly Devil ct Devil Canyon to Anchorage via

Date
10/23Time & Location
8:30 A.M. - Anchor-
age office (all day)Activity
Panel review and discussions10/24.....Return travel

£.

 $\mathbf{A}_{i,j}$

E ri

111. OCTOBER 21, 1980 ACTIVITIES

I

4

Presentation of geological, geotechnical & seismic information		
<u>Moderator</u> : John	D. Lawrence	
Agenda:		
Time	Topic	Speaker
8:30 A.M.	Background to Susitna	E. Yould
8:45 A.M.	Introduction to Acres Plan of Study	J.D. Lawrence/J.W. Haya
9:15 A.M.	Introduction to geotechnical	J.D. Gill
9:30 A.M.	Geologic Information/ Previous Work	M. Bruen
	a. Regional Geology	
	 Bedrock Geology - Csejtey, Turner Glacial Geology - Peue, Kan 	Turner & Smith and rlstrom
	b. Site Specific	
	1. Devil Canyon geologic mapping – Kac drilling – U.S.B.R., 1 Seismic – Shannon & W	chadoorian, 1957 1957 ilson, 1978
	2. Watana reconnaissance - U.S.H Corp geologic mapping - Con drilling - Con seismic - Dan - Sha	B.R., 1950, 1953 of Eng., 1975 op of Eng., 1978 of Eng., 1975 nes & Moore, 1975 annon & Wilson, 1978
	 Watana Reservoir geologic mapping - Con brief description of joints-fractures-fac permafrost 	rp of Eng., 1978 lithology, structure, ults, surficial materia
10:00 A.M.	Geotechnical Information - V. Sing	Jh
	 Lab testing Instrumentation Geotechnical Evaluation of Press 	evious Work

A.

	С С	
<u>Time</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Speaker</u>
10:15 A.M.	Break	
10:30 A.M.	1980 Geologic Mapping Program	J. Gill/M. Bruen
	Objectives	
	A. Confirm previous work	
	B. Expand area of geologic mapp	Ding
	C. Provide geologic information optimization of type and loc	n necessary for cation of dams
	D. Identify potential problems study in 1981	needing further
	A & B (above)	
	 Watana dam site Devil Canyon dam site Watana Reservoir Devil Canyon Reservoir/1 	「unnel Routes
	 lithology, structures (j shears), surficial mat 	ioints, fractures, erials, permafrost
	C & D (above)	
$\left(\right)$	- Problem areas, areas of	concern
[] 1:00 P.M.	1980 Geotechnical Exploration	J. Gill/R. Henschel
11:30 A.M.	Air Photo Interpretation Discussion	J. Brown
12:00 Noon	Lunch	
1: 3 0 P.M.	Planned Geotechnical Investigations	V. Singh/R. Henschel
2:30 P.M.	Break	
2:45 P.M.	Seismic Information & 1980 Program	W. Savage/J. Lovegreer
3:45 P.M.	1981 Seismic Program	
4:15 P.M.	Discussions	• • • • • • • • • • • • •
4:55 P.M.	Review of Field Recon- naissance Trip/ October 22	J. D. Gill
5:00 P.M.	Meeting Adjourns	
الحالية المراجع المراجع المراجع . 1996 - من المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع . 1996 - من المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع .	n an an an an an an an an an ann an an a	

Q.

FIL

 $\hat{\Sigma}$

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FIRST SPECIALIST CONSULTANTS PANEL MEETING October 21, 1980

P5700.13.40

SUMMARY NOTES (by J. Lawrence)

In Attendance:

ľ

 \bigcirc

6

I.

L

Consultants Panel

Dr. R. B. Peck Mr. M. D. Copen Dr. A. J. Hendron Jr.

Alaska Power Authority E. P. Yould R. J. Mohn T. McGuire D. Wozniak

Acres American Inc.

Woodward-Clyde

J. D. Lawrence Dr. J. W. Hayden J. D. Gill B. Brownfield A. Tawil V. Singh M. Bruen R. Henschel W. Savage J. Lovegreen

G. Smith R. Brown

R&M

OCTOBER 22, 1980 ACTIVITIES

Field reconnaissance (weather permitting)

Organizer & Team Leader: - Panel Members - Other Participants to be Selected

V. OCTOBER 23, 1980 ACTIVITIES

Moderator: J. W. Hayden

Agenda:

IV.

	Speaker	Topic		Time
Наус	erations - J.D. Lawrence/J.W.	Project Design Conside Introduction	А.М.	8:00
	V. Singh	Watana Dam Design	A.M.	8:30
		Discussion	A.M.	9:00
		Break	А.М.	10:00
	J. D. Lawrence	Devil Canyon Dam	A.M.	10:15
		Discussion	A.M.	11:00
		Lunch	Noon	12:00
	J. W. Hayden	Tunnel Alternatives	P.M.	1:00
		Discussion	Р.М.	1:30
		Panel Review & Report	P.M.	2:00 I
	J. W. Hayden	Tunnel Alternatives Discussion Panel Review & Report	P.M. P.M. P.M.	1:00 1:30 2:00

OCTOBER 24, 1980 ACTIVITIES: Travel

Introduction by J. Lawrence 1. Background to Susitna by E. Yould 2. Summary of participating subcontractors, Acres project group structure 3. and Perspective of POS by J. Lawrence Summary of Acres POS by J. Hayden 4. 5. Geotechnical field activities (by J. Gill): - Introductions to Anchorage staff - Schedule of work (POS slide) - List of Task 4 Sub-tasks - List of Task 5 Sub-tasks 5.01 complete - data available from USBR/C of E 5.02 Photointerpretation by R&M in hand 5.03 Complete - review of previous work 5.04 1980 Program complete, evaluation in hand 5.05 In hand (1981 program design) - Exploratory program scope - in terms of \$ expenditures by activity, excluding design office support and logistical support (see Section VIII page 25) - Test pits in borrow areas partly replaced by reversed circulation drilling because of boulder problems - 35mm Slides ·BLM permits, etc. ·24 hour-day diarond drilling ·Helicopter support - Watana Site: ·Fins and fingerbuster features - diorite - Watana •Spillway to left of buried valley - Devil Canyon Site: ·Left abutment lineament ·3 drillholes and USBR data available ·Difficult access conditions ·Denali fault slides ·Southerly abutments - 50 to 100 feet deep permafrost 6. Presentation of Geologic Mapping Program (by M. Bruen) - (including existing data available from previous work) - Regional geology - Talkeetna Mts. and Susitna area Slide of geologic mapping (regional) ·Denali fault 43 miles north ·Castle Mountain fault 75 miles south ·Susitna fault - questionable? - Devil Canyon geology (Kachadoorian/USBR/Shannon & Wilson) ·Since showing DC geology ·Phillite (Kachadoorian) i.e. metamorphosed argillite and graywacke (brittle)

-

•Numerous shears, mainly left abutment, up to 2 feet gouge .Thin overburden (tills), 85' thick in buried channel - drilling/ geophysical) ·Permafrost not found by USBR - Watana geology (USBR, old/C of E, recent) •Drilling by C of E 1978 and seismic lines -OMB criticised C of E for insufficient drilling (\$3,000,000 in 1978 including logistics) Slides showing Watana geology ·Diorite - Andesite Porphyry Dikes (downstream of dam) •40 - 80' thick overburden, generally less than 20' on abutments - 'Buried channel postulated by others as a buried fault (WCC). - Reservoir geology map - C of E - slide 7. Presentation of Geotechnical Investigations by others (by V. Singh) - Watana: ·Slide showing previous Watana exploration •57 million cubic yards of material needed Materials exploration/testing by C of E (slide) ·Potential problems identified: - Buried channel - previous zones? - left abutment permafrost - artesian pressures - Susitna fault? - Talkeetna thrust Recommended exploration: - general river channel/Fog Lakes area - right abutment slide block and overburden - left abutment - permafrost - borrow areas - Susitna fault - Buried river channel · Impervious core material - well graded ·Slide of fill quantities required (57.8 million c.y. total) - Devil Canyon: Slide showing previous USBR exploration •Earthquakes 8.5 @ 40 mi., 7.0 @ 10 mi. (i.e. 0.68 peak acceleration at site) ·Appears to be no rationale for floating earthquake assumption ·Potential problems identified (see Section VIII page 66) •Recommended exploration: - Pilot tunnels - Detailed foundation/abutment exploration - Curtain/consolidation grouting probably required. 8. Presentation of 1980 Mapping Program (by M. Bruen) - Objectives (slide) - DC geology (slide) . No bedding plane strips observed on south side

 Access problems in area (Chicaloon) ·Wind rose diagrams (see Section VIII, pages 31-33) ·Slides of rock faces, etc. ·Open joints 80' to 90' back from edge of cliff in left abutment Example slide of rock slides (in DC reservoir) ·Concerns will be predominantly in rock faces, not overburden - Watana geology - (slide) •Wind rose diagrams (See Section IX, pages 37-39) •Slide of "fins" Tsusena Creek - oxidized exposures - (Gouge?) - 2 slides ·Borrow area H for till identified if another source needed, 35' thick at exposure (See Section VIII, page 53), Edge of Borrow area D - some outwash (slide) ·Borrow area E - west of Tsusena Creek, evidence of buried channel-(not same as spillway buried channel) •Numerous mud flows in Watana Creek area (slide) .Major ice (permafrost) exposures found <u>above</u> DC/Watana reservoir areas Potential Problems - (see slide, Section VIII, page 40) - Note that reservoir beaching/mudslides not as great a concern as rock slopes - Reservoir fluctuation 100' per year - Reservoir fill schedule 2 to 212 years (C of E) Presentation of Air Photo Interpretation (by J. Brown, R&M) - Land form analysis by color aerial photography - Terrain unit method (special purpose term to define land forms to depth of 20 to 25') •Buried channels ·Permafrost •Erodible materials - Approach - look at field units and check in field - Chart existing different terrain units - No attempt yet to describe bed-rock areas other than "hard/soft" - Probably not useful for cone material identification - Attempted to note fine/coarse borrow characteristics - Buried channel orientation different from others at Watana Lunch 10. Presentation of 1980 Geotechnical Exploration Results - J. Gill ·Program designed before completion of air-photo interpretation and design concept still being finalized Introduction to scope of exploration at D.C./Watana ·50% of total diamond drilling done in 1980 ·Less than 50% of total augur drilling done in 1980 - R. Henschel Watana program, developed with C of E recommendations in mind: •3 boreholes completed ·Longvear 34 ric used ·All holes water pressure tested, pressures 20/30 psi, max. 200 psi

at depth (12 psi per foot of depth.)

9.

Slides of borehole logs (prelim.)

•BH - 6 no major shear zones found, some small shears identified

•BH - 2, Finger burster location - identified as a major shear zone •No test data available -- difficulty with caving even with grouting

(Water loss significant - couldn't get packers down.)

•BH - 8, left abutment (powerhouse) - no shear zone found at contact between andesite/diortie.

 A. Hendron suggested we attempt to intersect possible channels in the diorite near the andesite contact which may cause problems with tunnel excavation

Geophysical logging done - results not available yet.

- Borehole photography unsuccussful

- No instruments in at Watana yet

- Equipment awaited (Piezometers, thermister string)

•Mixed success in reading old C of E instruments

 Seismic lines completed, indicating buried channel, layers of till, outwash, alluvium

•Quarry source B not investigated but considered doubtful.

•Diorite exposures in Deadman Creek

•Borrow Area D - outwash? Need more test data to reliably determine extent usable as core material.

•Borrow Area E - boulder problem restricted boring. High water table (8' depth) will restrict exploitation. More exploration needed up Tsusena Creek.

*R. Peck suggested consideration of Area E material for dam shells. "There are few dams this high made of rockfill, which haven't had longitudinal cracks - there are many made with gravels which have not".

- Devil Canyon Program

L

3 drill holes completed

•BH2 - intersected granodiorite at 63' depth

•BH4 - no shear found - argillites/phillites, some slippage observed along bedding planes

•BH1 - argillites/phillites (el. 1450)

Difficult access

Geophysical logging not yet available

Borehole camera unsuccessful

Instrumentation done (piezometers, thermister strings)

Permafrost cement used for grouting

Borrow area - access restricted but not material shortages noted.
 Seismic lines completed

11. Proposed 1981 Program

- No question about removing Watana riverbed materials, therefore extensive exploration unwarranted.

.10:1 ratio dam:spillway cost at Watana

.PMF 200,000 cfs (approx.), 100,000 cfs spillway capacity for 100 year flood

- Revets circulation drilling to be done in buried channel and borrow areas in 1931 (winter)

- Fins at Watana need study
- Left bank at D. C. needs study

- Consider excavating with bulldozers in borrow area E to obtain better samples etc.

12. Presentation of Seismic Program

- J. Lovegreen

·100 km radius adopted for features

 Castle Mountain to South, Denali to North are known to be "Faults with recent displacements"

 LANDSAT imagery - 215 features screened on basis of length and distance more than 10 km from sites.

 DC - 2 features with moderate to high likelihood of displacement (yellow, "indeterminate A" concern for seismic and potential for surface rupture

•Watana "indeterminate A"

- "Susitna Fault" (?)

- "Talkeetna Thrust"

- Buried channel/"Fin structure"

 "KD3 - 7" (blue) - WCC think this is a figment, but it will be evaluated

•Ranking on basis of likelihood of recent displacement (need to decide level of risk)

•Much more evidence for "Talkeetna Thrust" than there is for "Susitna Fault" for which no evidence has been found to date

 Low sun angle photograph, to be done next Spring, will allow refinement but probably not change conclusions.

- W. Savage

 Message not coming through - approach was most conservative - nothing was left out which could be a seismic source

A. H. Hendron commented that he could not see how the remaining questions can be satisfactorily dealt with in one more year of field work

.10 seismographs installed (including DC/Watana sites)

 Peak ground motion acceleration of 0.75 has come out of very preliminary calculations and cannot be eliminated at this time.

 R. Peck commented that from a deterministic standpoint, a fault is "dead" or it isn't. One cannot evaluate it in terms of probability. The structure must be designed for an appropriate ground motion.

•A Talkeetna Fault Report was written by the academic community in May 79, on the Brockson Gulf/Denali intersect (publication due in one year) Differential movement of about 2 cm (3-1) is postulated as being taken up by the "Talkeetna Fault". If discounted, Talkeetna Fault magnitude reduces to about 7.4.

 Recurrence interval should not be a consideration for features which may severely impact project structures. It is more important to investigate critical "yellow" features to determine whether or not they exist. VII. SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT FIRST SPECIALIST CONSULTANTS PANEL MEETING October 23, 1980

SUMMARY NOTES (by R. Henschel)

In attendance:

1

N

0

0

.

Consultants Panel

Dr. R. B. Peck Mr. M. D. Copen Dr. A. J. Hendron Jr.

Acres American Inc.

J. D. Lawrence Dr. J. W. Hayden J. D. Gill B. Brownfield A. Tawil V. Singh M. Bruen R. Henschel

Alaska Power Authority

11

D. Wozniak
1. Presentation by J. Hayden

- Brief review of design consideration in site selection and proposed schemes - i.e. combinations of dams, etc. (Subtasks 6.01, 6.02, 6.03, 6.04)

2. Presentation by V. Singh

- Watana Dam Design -

Reviewed Corps design and sections (2 viewgraphs) J. Lawrence raised the question of the need for a cut-off under the coffer dams. General agreement that they would probably be necessary. Present Acres thinking about design based on data available (See Section VIII)

- Foundation exploration work

- Embankment design

- Design approach
- Liquifaction of foundation materials during earthquake.
- 3. <u>Presentation by A. Tawil</u>
 - Proposed cross section of Watana Dam presented
 - Need to incorporate features to defend against eartnquakes
 - Exploration has indicated:
 - a) Foundation has up to 80' alluvial material pervious, inadequate shear resistance
 - b) Bedrock Excellent shear strength, moderately high permeability $(10^{-4} 10^{-6})$, probable local zones higher than 10^{-4} , weathering
 - of no major consequence, 2 or more shear zones on right abutment
 - c) Construction materials All required materials are available around the site. Core material is the most serious question, season is very shor
 - Extent of design considerations:
 - a) Design is for about 750 feet of nead
 - b) Extent of excavation of overburden materials to be determined.
 - c) Water tightness of coffer dams (100' + high structure) will require upstream till blanket and/or positive cut off plus dewatering of excavation
 - Important questions on which panel input is solicited:
 - a) Amount of overburden excavation to be performed:
 - 1:1 slope under most of dam
 - 1:1.5 remove all of materials
 - b) Treatment of bedrock foundation under core and filter zones:
 - removal of weathered bedrock under core? (not necessary other means of treatment, e.g. cleaning, slush grouting and consolidation grouting)
 - triming and shaping of abutments required, right abutment in particular
 - c) Geometry of Core:
 - Slightly sloping upstream?
 - Width of the should be generous, about 60% of head.
 - Material is of good gradation, no plasticity, minimal adequate permeability.
 - · Possibility of flaring core at abutments

 d) Water content of core materials: Need some flexibility - place core somewhat above optimum - Design for settlement e) Width of filters - 100 feet upstream, 150' downstream f) Filter gradation important - to guard core g) Transition zone - bridge gradation gap between rockfill and filt h) Outside slope on shells - 1:2.25 upstream, 1:2 downstream i) Materials for shells - what to use? rockfill from excavation Sands and gravels ease of placement (rockfill placed in winter easier than sand/gravel) j) Width of crest (C of E 10 feet, probably 2.5 feet necessary) k) Freeboard requirements: combination of grouting and relief holes will be required work to be performed independently of fill placement 	er
 Resonses by Panei A. Hendron - Question, Why downstream boundary of core is inclined slightly upstream. A. Tawil - There is a tendency towards tension in lower core if in downstream. R. Peck - Width and configuration of zones will be dependent on material properties. A. Hendron - With downstream slope on core - if shell settles it will tend to compress core, rather than place it in tension/ R. Peck - Dependent on which settles most. J. Lawrence - Is there a need for grouting and drainage galleries? General agreement that they will be required. R. Peck - It is correct to assume (at this stage) that the materia in the river channel should be removed. Materials in dam should be selected for both static and dynamic behavior and then select where to use them in the dam. It may be desirable to make at least half of the upstream shell o gravel rounded rather than rockfill - dilitant materials are need modest strains. (Rockfill is not dilatant) Use it in downstream also, but this is not as important. Heights of dam will justify material to be used. It should be primarily a gravel dam, with rockfill in some places, rather than the reverse. Spiilway location and design - Tsusena Creek totally inadequate - rock excavation may not be as great as everyone is currently thin spillway may be much closer to dam, with little rock excavation. We should identify construction materials and then develop a sect the dam - concentrate on exploration of river channel as a source construction materials, and not with intent of defining liquifact potential for leaving in place. Upstream use cobble fill (dilatant material) in high stress areas Downstream - make sure rockfill does not get wet - still better to use cobble fill as much as possible 	clined f ed under shell type of the king ion for of ion

L

L

`...^{*}

4.

 V. Singh - Reviewed material properties (C of E data) Need to compare Acres laboratory test data with C of E data Question of internal instability of material (Sherard) - Potential piping problem?

 R. Peck - No evidence for this in western tills - should not be a problem:

- These problems developed in materials which were not tills! were outwash materials, gap graded, where piping could develop.
- However, we should assume that it could happen and design for it.
 The gradation curve for Area D is about as good a core material as you could hope to find
- May require processing of material
- Plasticity characteristics of material<200 sieve (Area D) -
- has some plasticity. Need to determine how thick it is and over what area it can be excavated and transported without exposure to rain. A good thickness is essential so that the face of the excavation in the pit can be small.

'E)

- Exploration should establish depth and a real extent of steep slopes.
 Need to look for older tills, which would be nearer optimum M.C., and avoid ablation tills and outwash
- Regarding placement moisture content, there should not be a problem placing at slightly above optimum, but at or slightly below optimum is preferable. For dam configuration shown, strength of core is immaterial to overall stability of embankment.
- •Regarding use of more plastic materials at abutments, it is desirable to use material which is the same as the core, but more plastic phases of that material.
- Regarding crest details, it is too early at this stage to be specific.
 A fairly wide crest is required. It is beneficial to keep the slope
- to the crest. The core should be protected from freezing/frost. •Regarding analysis of data for earthquake, not much emphasis required at this early stage. Concentrate on proper foundation treatment and zoning aspects initially.

5. Presentation on Tunnel Alternatives (by John Hayden)

- Reviewed present activities, etc. (see viewgraphs, Section VIII)
- A. Hendron expressed concern about a possible channel filled with andesite along the tunnel alignment.
- M. Bruen indicated diorite intrusives of tertiary age and older volcanic which may exist, should be elevated and exposed!
- Most viable alternative schemes appear to comprise a 200' high regulation dam just upstream of Devil Creek with tunnel to just below Portage Creek.
- Very preliminary comparisons indicate this to be a reasonable alternative to Devil Canyon Dam, but needs considerably more study.
- No geological explanation is currently available as to why the river gradient is so steep in this section. J. Brown suggested from air photos it might be due to a change from a lake basin area into a bedrock area. J. Lovegreen suggested that in glacial times a

blockage may have prevented flow through D.C. and a change in river course created. Development of a steep gradient occurred to get back down to the required level. This would not be related to the geology in particular. A. Hendron suggested it may be possible to look at the gradient which would have existed with drainage out through Stephan Lake. This would also aid in evaluating the "Susitna Fault" question (not clear how this would be done!)

6. Presentation on Devil Canyon Dam Design (by J. Lawrence)

China on

- Sub-task 6.04, Feasibility of arch dam at D. Canyon, to determine: Feasibility

Economic viability

- Evaluation Sequence (See Section VIII Viewgraphs)
- Geotechnical Information: Early geologic review by Acres led to concern about adequately
 - establishing feasibility prior to license application:
 - Linament in left abutment (lake) requires explanation
 Acres internal review panel felt that adits would be required to confirm site prior to license application. Plan to cost out adits. (Approximately \$1 to 2 x 10⁶ currently indicated.)
 - Alternatives to arch dam such as a rockfill dam are also being evaluated for comparison.
- Review of USBR and C of E Designs -

Some concern about energy dissipation of spillway discharge. USBR/ C of E designs are not considered adequate.

- Acres has developed a concrete gravity-arch design layout for analysis (Section VIII, pages 104-106) (based on similar Karun Project studies) A long stilling basin with an "over-the-dam" spillway is currently proposed for conceptual design purposes. Series of pseudostatic stress analyses performed, using the finite element "ADAP" program.
- Results of analyses to date and assumptions used (see Section VIII, pages 107-130)
- Thin Arch Stress levels, both tensile and compressive, are locally high in places but can be made acceptable with better design.
- Comment by M. Copen Shaping will help a lot. Temperature loading will be more severe than earthquake loading. The USBR computer program (called "HEATFLO") is available to analyze temperature gradient effects
- 7. Discussion on arch dam design
 - M. Copen on the question of adits at D.C., they are not necessary at this time (although they will be later) Drill holes will provide adequate data for licensing purposes.
 - V. Singh- on geology/geotechnical considerations, the orientation and characteristics of exploration program with adits was intended to investigate controlling structural features.
 - M. Copen stability of the abutments needs detailed work, but not necessary to excavate adits at this time.

- R. Peck - How would you propose to go about excavating adits?

- M. Copen - What do you have to gain at this stage from adits?

- V. Singh Joint/shear zone conditions, filling, material, etc.
- M. Copen I would prefer more drill holes rather than adits at this time. On the basis of available data there is nothing to prohibit arch dam construction at D.C. May not get data from adits that you want.
- A. Hendron You should use available data for analyses and then take extreme values to check sensitivity.
- J. Lawrence We would use analyses to assess which features cause most concern and orientate adits accordingly.
- M. Copen Adits may provide data on continuity of features (which drill holes would not).
- A. Hendron Adits are more appropriate at Watana if anywhere to prove investigate shears (e.g. fins, fingerbuster)
- R. Peck Rock conditions at the underground powerhouse need to be evaluated further, if necessary with adits.
- A. Hendron Rock conditions at the 2 sites are quite different.
 R. Peck There is serious concern about the shears at Watana, and their potential impact on the underground powerhouse and permanent support of structures.
- A. Hendron You can excavate an underground powerhouse at Devil Canyon, but maybe not at Watana! Adits are needed to prove feasibility.

8. Additional Comments

- E. Yould stated that he is prepared to contest high earthquake accelerations quoted by Kachadoorian if Acres/WCC work shows lower figures appropriate.
- E. Yould and M. Copen expressed support for thin/thick arch at D.C.
 M. Copen would also be prepared to consider an arch alternative at Watana. ("Thin" = top ratio 0.2)

bottom

J. Lawrence indicated that Acres would base its recommendations on WCC/Acres analyses for earthquakes rather than C of E Reports (0.68g)
E. Yould advised that C of E found that a rockfill dam at D.C. was

most expensive of any alternatives (no published information).

PROJECT DATA

ALC: NO

Manal .

Į.

ľ

L

Drainage Area sq.mi.	<u>Watana</u> 5180	Devil Canyon 5810
Average Discharges cfs	8140	9230
1:50 yr Discharges cfs	82,600	94,400
PMF cfs	230,000	270,000
50 year sediment Accumulative Acft	204,000	252,000
DAM		
Туре	Fill	Concrete
Height ft.	810	650
Crest Length ft.	3450	1370
Crest Elevation ft.	2200	1450
RESERVOIR		
Area - Ac	43000	7550
Storage Acft	21,000,000	1,100,000
Installed Capacity	790 mw	780 mw
Firm Energy	3.0x10 ⁹ Kwh	3.25 x 10 ⁹ Kwł
No	2	

1

X. REPORT BY SPECIALIST CONSULTANTS PANEL

Ł

>

şů

25 October 1980

نى ئ

Mr. John Lawrence Project Managar Acres American Inc. 900 Liberty Bank Building Buffalo NY 94202

Subject: Susitna Project FirstSpecialist Consultants Panel Meeting October 20 through 24, 1980

Dear Mr. Lawrence:

Introduction

The undersigned members of the Panel visited the site on October 22, were briefed in the office of Acres American Incorporated on October 21 and 23, and had previously reviewed a package of information dated October 1980. This report presents our consensus of the information obtained and suggestions regarding future investigations on the project.

We consider the Susitna Project, as now conceived, to be viable and worthy of continued investigation.

General Geology and Seismology

The WCC presentation dealt with the well known features such as the Denali fault, the Castle Mountain fault, the Border fault and the Talkeetna fault; as well as the hypothesized "Susitna fault" and other linears defined in the WCC study to date. The Denali fault, Castle Mountain fault, and the Border fault are all well known, recent, active features that show evidence of displacing or offsetting Pleistocene features. The magnitude and minimum distances to the site of credible events on these structures are not controversial and design motions predicted from events on these structures are relatively straightforward. The possible influence of the Talkeetna John Lawrence

fault and the Susitna linear on the design motions needs more study. The Talkeetna fault is a relatively old thrust fault which brings Triassic volcanics and Permian strata from the southeast over Cretaceous argillites on the northwest side of the fault. Although this feature does not appear to cut Pleistocene deposits, WCC has tentatively assigned to the feature a magnitude There is 7.5 to 7.9. event at a distance of 4 mi from Watana Dam. a good possibility that this is an old feature that may not be a "capable" structure. Thus it is of very high priority to perform detailed field work along this structure to investigate 'the age of overlying materials not displaced by this fault or to define the observed offsets of formations of known age that cross the fault. Observations in the Watana creek area may prove to be of great value since Tertiary deposits appear to cover both the Cretaceous argillites and the Triassic volcanics in this area.

-2-

Field studies also need to be conducted along the Susitna linear to establish if it is a real feature which has experienced offset and, if so, what is the evidence of the time of last movement and of the magnitude of the offset.

Other linears or possible faults close to Watana Dam should be investigated to such an extent that a statement can be made as to whether the feature is truncated by Pleistocene or older geologic formations.

If possible, a statement should be made regarding any possible structural explanation for the two clusters defined from the micro-earthquake observations.

Engineering Geology and Rock Engineering

The "fins" and "finger busters" in the Tertiary diorites as well as other rock ribs exposed in the canyon indicate that there are wide shear zones in the diorite intrusion. More exploration in the form of borings and possibly adits are necessary in the right abutment area to confirm that the rock quality is good enough to permit a reasonably accurate estimate of the cost of an underground powerhouse. Preliminary observations indicate that the construction of an underground powerhouse at Watana may be difficult or infeasible due to the wide shear zones. Reorientation of the powerhouse to minimize wall and roof instability may lead to unfavorable orientations for the penstocks.

25 October 1980

John Lawrance

in the second

Additional exploration of the relationship of the Tertiary clastic volcanics and andesites to the underlying diorite downstream of the dam on the right abutment is also necessary to evaluate the possible effects on the tailrace tunnels and on the possible long power tunnel. The andesites may fill an old buried valley in the diorite.

-3-

An estimate of the tunneling difficulty for the long power tunnel alternative can only be made after the various formations and the nature of the contacts between formations are mapped from Watana Dam to the downstream end of the tunnel. First priority should be assigned to this mapping for Scheme 3.

The argillite formation of Devil Canyon appears suitable for an underground powerhouse. More exploration is needed to delineate the rock quality and orientation of fractures and shears to permit an optimization of the orientation and to aid detailed roof and sidewall design.

The nature of the sheared and weathered zone of the band in the river just upstream of the Devil Canyon site needs to be studied to determine the nature and possible origin of the feature.

Watana Site

General. Although an embankment dam with a height of about 800 ft would be comparable to the highest in North America and among the highest in the world, we consider the topography and available materials favorable to the construction of Watana Dam. The foundation and abutment conditions, although not yet fully explored, present no known unusual difficulties. We believe that further investigations of seismicity are most unlikely to indicate unfavorable features for which adequate provisions cannot be made in design. We believe that emphasis in the next exploratory phase should be placed on defining the boundaries of the pluton and the nature and effects of its contacts with the adjacent rocks in the general vicinity of the damsite.

Spillway. We concur that the spillway should not discharge into or through the buried valley to the right of the dam, and believe that a layout entirely in rock, closer to the dam, should be adopted. As the geologic situation becomes better defined, an upstream shift in the axis of the dam may prove advisable. John Lawrence

Reservoir Slides. Our overflight of the reservoir area for several miles upstream of the dam indicated to us that the topography and the nature of the materials near the reservoir rim are such that major landslides into the reservoir, such as to endancer the dam or control works, is remote even under seismic conditions. Therefore, we consider that special investigations of this possibility are not needed to establish the feasibility of the project.

- 4 -

<u>Cross Section and Materials</u>. We concur that a conventional embankment dam section with near-central core is appropriate. For estimates, the upstream and downstream slopes of 2.25:1 and 2:1 are reasonable. We would prefer that the downstream slope of the core be at least slightly positive to assure that settlement of the shalls would induce compression in the core.

We consider that the riverbed alluvium should be removed beneath the core, filters, and transitions, and within a zone defined by lines extending from the outer edges of the crest downward at slopes of 1.5:1. For the feasibility studies we consider it advisable to assume that the material will be removed beneath the remainder of the embankment except where needed to support the cofferdams. Whether some of this material can remain can best be decided during the required excavation of the central portion.

We consider rounded gravels, cobbles, and bouldars to be superior to rockfill for the shells of such a high dam and suggest that the upstream shell, in particula., should consist primarily of rounded material beneath a near-surface zone of rockfill that may serve as riprap. Such material, which does not suffer corner-breakage on saturation, reduces the likelihood of longitudinal cracking near the crest and tends to dilate under small strains. The latter property substantially increases the resistance during seismic shaking. Downstream of the core, use of rounded materials near the transitions is also advantageous, but corpacted rockfill in a substantial portion further downstream will be satisfactory to accommodate suitable material from structural or other required excavation.

In our judgment, static and dynamic analyses can be deferred until the general quality and availability of borrow materials has been established. To this end the emphasis in the next exploratory phases should be placed on determining the character of 0.02

25 October 1980

1_ the riverbed materials, particularly their grain-size, and on the extent and thickness of lodgment till deposits that might be suitable for core. Attention should be given to locating deposits of sufficient thickness to permit exploitation in near-vertical faces so that the moisture content will be increased as little as possible before and during excavation and transportation. The possibility of routinely processing all or most of the alluvium for optimum use in the dam should be considered.

- 5 -

Continuing investigations of the permafrost conditions in the south abutment are considered of high priority.

Devil Canyon Site

We have visited Devil Canyon Site and have examined the angineering and geologic data pertinent to it. We consider the site to be well suited for the construction of an arch dam.

Adits are not considered to be essential for further definition of foundation characteristics prior to a feasibility determination. Additional boring and laboratory investigations will be necessary to define the locations, directions and characteristics of joints and shears.

The possibility of surface rupture at the Devil Canyon Site must be resolved.

A more sophisticated arch dam design based on well formulated criteria should be prepared. Such a design should be supplemented by well documented and generally accepted analytical methods. This is considered to be necessary to establish the economic feasibility of the project.

Yours very sincerely,

Merlin D. Esper Merlin D. Copen Alfred J. Hendron Jr.

A. J. Handron, Jr.

RBP/ajj

SECTION D

. .

R

Co

Kelbedur

ETRO-UTORN

E-BALLER

PLANAL PLANAL

ALL'S PREMA

B. S. Line and

tucity .

and the second

South L

FOUNDATION AND MATERIALS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Page
SUMMARY OF CHANGES Changes to the 1976 Interim Feasibility Report Changes in Design	D-1 D-1 D-1
REGIONAL GEOLOGY Physiography Inferred Geologic History Regional Tectonics Seismicity Rock and Soil Units Rock Structure	D-4 D-4 D-6 D-6 D-7 D-8
DEVIL CANYON Seismic Refraction Survey Material Requirements	D-10 D-10 D-10
WATANA SITE Scope of Investigations Field Reconnaissance Test Pits Seismic Refraction Investigations Instrumentation Site Geology Introduction Foundation Conditions Valley Wall Conditions Relict Channel Spillway Permafrost Ground Water Reservoir Geology Dam Design Dam Foundation Treatment Embankment Design Powerhouse and Underground Structures Intake Structure Spillway Seepage Control, Relict Channel	D-12 D-12 D-12 D-12 D-13 D-13 D-13 D-17 D-17 D-17 D-17 D-21 D-22 D-22 D-23 D-24 D-25 D-26 D-26 D-26 D-27 D-29 D-29 D-30 D-30



TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont)

9

The second

The second second

Page Item D-31 Construction Materials D-31 Material Requirements Sources of Materials D-31 D-31 General, Rock Shell D-31 D-33 Core Material D-34 Filter Materials D-35 Concrete Aggregates Gradation Envelopes

LIST OF CHARTS

Number	Title	Page
D-1	Soils Gradation Envelope - Borrow Area E	D-37
D-2	Soils Gradation Envelope - Borrow Area D Test Pits 8 through 19	D-38
D-3	Gradation Envelopes - Borrow Area E Superimposed on Fine Filter	D-39
D-4	Gradation Envelopes - Fine Filter and Impervious Core	D-40
D-5	Gradation Envelopes - Coarse Filter and Borrow Area E	D-41
D-6	Gradation Curve - Composite Sample No. 1	D-42
D-7	Gradation Curve - Composite Sample No. 2	D - 43
D-8	Specific Gravity and Permeability Report	D-44
D-9	Compaction Test Report - Method A	U-45
D-10	Compaction Test Report - Method D	U-40
D-11	Triaxial Compression Test Report 1 - Q lest, Composite Sample No. 1, 3.5% W.C.	D-47
D-12	Triaxial Compression Test Report II - Q Test, Composite Sample No. 1, 7.5% W.C.	D-48
D-13	Triaxial Compression Test Report III - Q Test, Composite Sample No. 1, 11.5% W.C.	D-49
D-14	Triaxial Compression Test Report IV - R Test, Composite Sample No. 1, 7.5% W.C.	D-50
D-15	Triaxial Compression Test Report IV - Back Pressure and Pore Pressure Test Data	D-51
D-16	Triaxial Compression Test Report V - R Test, Composite Sample No. 1, 3.5% W.C.	D-52
D-17	Triaxial Compression Test Report V - Back Pressure and Pore Pressure Test Data	D-53

Larry.

Linna di

「いい」

ijį

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

CHANGES TO THE 1976 INTERIM FEASIBILITY REPORT

In 1978, The Alaska District, Corps of Engineers, performed additional field explorations and geologic studies to verify the feasibility of the Watana damsite. As a result of these studies, considerably more information is now available concerning the site and the regional geology of the area. Therefore, the entire sections on Regional Geology, pages D-1 through D-9; Watana Site, pages D-10 through D-12; and the paragraph on Seismology at Devil Canyon, page D-7, of Appendix D, Foundations and Materials, of the 1976 Interim Feasibility Report are deleted and replaced by this supplemental report. No changes to the Vee Canyon and Denali sites have been made. Plate D-3, Watana - Site Plan and Centerline Profile is deleted and replaced with revised drawings. Several new plates showing geologic sections, borrow areas, and exploration logs have been added. These are listed in the index.

CHANGES IN DESIGN

F

As a result of the additional field exploration and geologic studies, a more knowledgeable assessment of the proposed project can now be made. A summary of the items which reflect changes to the 1976 Interim Feasibility Report, or reinforct the basic concepts of that report follows.

1. Nothing was found during this phase of the study to cast doubt on the feasibility of a dam at the Watana damsite. All exploration and geologic studies reinforced the concept that a large earth and rockfill or a concrete gravity dam could be built in this general vicinity.

2. Detailed surveys were performed at the Watana site. It was found that the topography used for the 1976 report was in error by approximately 15 feet. Therefore, the elevations shown on the plates or sections in this supplement are 15 feet lower than those shown in the 1976 report. The detailed survey showed the valley section to be a little wider than previously assumed and therefore, the crest length of the dam and the total quantities within the dam are somewhat larger.

3. The explorations at the damsite indicate that the rock is as good or better than previously assumed. Foundation rock is considered adequate to support either an earth-rockfill structure or a concrete gravity dam. To support this conclusion, the regional and site geology as well as the rock structure are discussed in much greater detail in this supplemental report. 4. The 1976 report recognized that the Watana damsite is an area of marginal permafrost and, therefore, permanently frozen ground could be expected in the vicinity. In the 1978 exploration program, specific locations of permafrost were identified and a number of temperature measuring devices were installed. The earlier assumption that permafrost does exist over much of this area was confirmed; however, it was determined that this is a very "warm" permafrost, ranging from 0° C to -1° C. Premafrost was encountered in bedrock in the left abutment of the dam and its effects on the grouting in this area are discussed in this supplemental report. Permafrost was also encountered in the impervious borrow area; however, because of its marginal temperature, it tends to be soft and can be easily excavated. A more detailed discussion is contained in the body of this report.

5. The 1975 report envisioned rather large amounts of gravel available for construction of the shells of the dam and limited amounts of impervious core material. The recent explorations indicate that this is not the case since gravels in large quantities were not verified but large quantities of impervious core material were discovered near the damsite. Because of the apparent shortage of gravel and an excess of impervious material, the dam section has been completely revised. The gravel shells have been changed to rock shells. This change to rockfill has allowed the use of a somewhat steeper slope on the upstream face of the dam. A large portion of the rock will come from required excavation of the spillway. The remainder will come from excavation of underground facilities and access roads and from a large borrow source on the left abutment.

6. The foundation excavation has been increased to require the entire foundation of the dam to be stripped to bedrock. The 1976 report envisioned excavation to bedrock under the core and filters only. However, because the evidence of the limited drilling performed is inconclusive, it was considered adviseable to require removal of in situ gravels beneath the entire embankment. If additional drilling supports a less conservative approach, the change can be made under subsequent feature design.

7. The core has been widened somewhat from that shown in the 1976 report and a zone of semipervious material, approximately of the same width as the core, has been added. This was done because large amounts of semipervious material are available and estimates show that it can be placed within the dam at a considerably lower cost than the rock shell material. The total thickness of these impervious and semipervious zones was determined by considering their effect on total stab liby of the dam and the difficulties of placing materials which require careful moisture control in the arctic environment. Laboratory tests performed on these materials indicate that optimum moisture will be a rather critical factor in their compaction. Therefore, the use of such materials has been held to within reasonable limits.

8. The 1976 report showed a vertical access shaft to the low-level drain system which passed through the embankment of the dam. This has now been changed to a tunnel through the right abutment, thereby eliminating any structures in the dam embankment.

Te

9. A grout gallery has been added to the lower portions of the dam to facilitate grouting and to accommodate the process of thawing the permafrost. Use of the gallery will allow embankment placement and curtain grouting to proceed simultaneously, resulting in a shortened construction schedule. The gallery will also provide for "read-out" stations for instrumentation in the foundation and lower levels of the embankment and for general access.

10. The spillway location as shown in the 1976 report has been shifted southwest to a location which insures rock cut for its entire length. The rock and overburden material from this large excavation will be utilized in the dam embankment.

11. The 1976 report discusses a potential problem of seepage along a relict channel in the right abutment. The 1978 explorations verified the existence of this channel; however, studies indicate that it is not a problem and, therefore, no remedial action is required.

12. The diverison tunnel portals have been shifted to ensure their location in reasonably sound rock.

13. Professional services of Ellis Krinitzsky of the Waterways Experiment Station and Reuben Kachadoorian and Henry J. Moore from the U.S. Geological Survey were obtained by contract to perform seismic studies and evaluate the earthquake risk at these sites. Their work was divided into two phases. Kachadoorian and Moore of USGS performed the field reconnaissance to look for active faults and other geologic hazards. Krinitzsky's work was aimed at assessing the potential earthquakes which could be associated with such faulting. The UCSS report recognized that this is a highly seismic region; however, the geologic reconnaissance of the proposed Devil Canyon and Watana damsites and reservoirs did not uncover evidence of recent or active faulting along any of the known or inferred faults. In their work they did not uncover evidence of the Susitna Fault, which was previously thought to exist a short distance west of the Watana damsite. Krinitzsky's work assessed the possible occurrance of earthquakes at the damsite and the motions that are likely to be associated with earthquke activity. His findings indicate that the design of the proposed dams to withstand such activity is within the state of the art of seismic design.

14. In the fall of 1978, the consulting firm of Shannon & Wilson was engaged to perform refraction seismograph work at both the Watana and Devil Canyon damsites. This work supplemented the drilling information. The location maps and seismic velocity profiles from the Shannon & Wilson report are included as Exhibit D-1 to this appendix.

REGIONAL GEOLOGY

PHYSIOGRAPHY

•

Ĩ

and the second

Y

The area of study is located within the Coastal Trough Province of southcentral Alaska. The Susitna River is a glacially fed stream which heads on the southern slopes of the Alaska Range, and flows by way of a continuously widening valley to the tidewaters of Cook Inlet. Within the upper 200 river miles, the Susitna passes through a variety of land forms related to the lithology and geology of the region. From its proglacial channel in the Alaska Range, it passes through a broad, glaciated, intermontane valley characterized by knob and kettle topography and by braided river channels. Turning westward along the northern edge of the Copper River lowlands, the river enters a deep, V-shaped valley and traverses the Talkeetna Mountains, emerging into an outwash plain and broad valley which it follows to the sea.

Three regional topographic lows, still identifiable today, are the Susitna R'ver-Chulitna River area downstream of the Devil Canyon site, the middle reach of the Susitna River from Prairie Creek to Watana Creek, and the Oshetna River area at the Susitna Big Bend. These may represent drainage base levels that existed during the glacial periods. Whether they were interconnected at one time is not known since glaciation has modified the original drainages. One possible interpretation is that the ancestral Susitna River may have followed the course of the present Watana Creek and continued southwest along an ancestral valley through the area now occupied by Stephan Lake, Prairie Creek, and the Talkeetna River.

The Susitna River, presently incised 500 feet into that broad, ancestral, U-shaped valley, makes two sharp right-angle turns downstream of Watana Creek in the Fog Creek area and leaves the ancestral valley to flow westward into the steep, V-shaped Devil Canyon area. Glaciation probably blocked its former southwest course forcing the river to find a new outlet in Devil Canyon. Once established in a westward course, the Susitna River downcut its channel rapidly and became entrenched in Devil Cinyon.

INFERRED GEOLOGIC HISTORY

The upper Susitna River basin is a complex geologic area with a variety of sedimentary, igneous, and metamorphic rock types. These range from Pennsylvanian to Pleistocene in age and have undergone at least three major periods of tectonic deformation.

The first major tectonic upheaval in the Susitna area occurred in mid to late Jurassic time and consisted of large plutonic intrusions accompanied by uplift and intense metamorphism. Erosional remnants of these intrusives include amphibolites, greenschists, diorites, and acidic granitic types in the upper Watana reservoir areas. This uplift, and subsequent erosional period, was followed by marine deposition of argillite and graywacke in late Cretaceous. These rocks are exposed in the northwestern half of the upper Susitna basin and include the phyllites of the Devil Canyon site.

The second major tectonic event occurred in middle to late Cretaceous. Most of the structural features in the Talkeetna Mountains, including thrust faulting, complex folding, and uplift, occurred at that time. As a result of the thrust faulting, Pennsylvanian and Permian volcanic flows and tuffs were thrust over the much younger late Cretaceous argillite and graywacke.

In early Tertiary, approximately 65 million years ago, the northwestern portion of the upper Susitna basin was intruded by plutons of igneous rock. The diorite pluton that underlies the Watana site is one of these intrusives. Deposition of undifferentiated volcanic flows, pyroclastics, and associated near-surface intrusives occurred concurrent with and following the intrusion of the plutons.

The third major tectonic event was a period of extensive uplift and erosion in middle Tertiary to Quaternary. Uplift of 3,000 feet has been measured in the southern Talkeetna Mountains. The widespread erosion that occurred during this period removed thick rock sequences from the Susitna basin area.

Glaciation has been the prime erosion agent during the past several million years. At least two, and probably more, periods of glaciation occurred within the upper Susitna basin area. The central and eastern portions of the area may have been partially covered by glacial lakes during the latter glaciations. Renewed uplift in late Pleistocene rejuvenated the erosion cycle until the streams, with their increased gradients, became incised within "aciated valleys. The area currently is undergoing continued stream erosion, and is covered in many areas with a veneer of glacial and alluvial clay, silt, sand, and gravel deposits.

REGIONAL TECTONICS

000

The arcuate structure of southcentral Alaska reflect both the magnitude and direction of regional tectonic forces caused by the collision of the North American and Pacific Plates. The Talkeetna Mountains and adjacent Susitna River basin are believed to have been thrust northwestward onto the North American Plate from their parent continental blocks. It was this thrusting action which caused most of the structural features now seen in the upper Susitna basin.

Two major tectonic features bracket the basin area. The Denali Fault, about 43 miles north of the damsites and active during the Holocene, is one of the better known Alaskan faults. A second fracture, the Castle Mountain Fault, is 75 miles south of the river basin. The Susitna basin is roughly subdivided by the northeast-southwest trending Talkeetna Thrust, which roughly parallels the location of the Susitna Fault, as referred to in the 1976 Interim Feasibility Report. The Talkeetna River is a surface expression of the southern portion of both structures; however, Kachadoorian and Moore were unable to locate evidence of faulting in the Tsusena Creek area and, therefore, expressed doubt that the Susitna Fault exists. They found evidence of movement in the Talkeetna River and Watana Creek valleys and postulated that the Talkeetna Thrust could be a projection of this feature. Such a projection passes about 4 miles to the south of Watana damsite. The major alpine orogeny which formed many of the basins' present northeastsouthwest trending compressional structures occurred in conjunction with the Talkeetna Thrust in late Cretaceous. Another contemporary zone of intense shearing, roughly parallel to the Talkeetna Thrust, is located about 15 miles east of the Talkeetna Thrust.

Two poorly exposed normal faults of probable Cenozoic age have been projected from gravimetric data as occurring in the Chulitna River valley about 15 miles northwest of the proposed Devil Canyon damsite. These faults have the northeast-southwest trend typical of the major structures within the area. No faults with recent movement have been observed within the upper Susitna River basin.

SEISMICITY

.

A seismological assessment of the basin area was prepared by Dr. E.L. Krinitzsky of the U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station in the summer of 1978, under contract with the Alaska District, H

E

Corps of Engineers. Field reconnaissance to look for active faults and other geological hazards was conducted by U.S. Geological Survey under the direction of Reuben Kachadoorian and Henry J. Moore. These reports are included as Exhibits D-3 and D-2 in this appendix. They recognize that the Devil Canyon and Watana damsites are in a region of high seismicity and major faults. However, the geologic reconnaissance of the proposed Devil Canyon and Watana damsites and reservoir areas by the USGS experts did not uncover evidence of recent or active faulting along any of the known or inferred faults. The tectonic framework of the region is not well understood because of the lack of local seismic monitoring stations. Present knowledge indicates that historical earthquakes in the area often have hypocenter depths in excess of 50 km. Such events are associated with movement along the Benioff zone and often are not directly associated with local surface faulting. The Denali Fault in the Alaska Range, approximately 43 miles to the north, is the dominant surface feature in this area. The Susitna Fault, previously thought to exist west of the Watana damsite, was not confirmed in recent geologic mapping by the USGS team, nor did they find any evidence of faulting in the river channel at either of the damsites. The results of the core drilling and geologic reconnaissance at the damsite are strong evidence that no major faulting exists under the Watana damsite. The lack of significant shearing in DH-21, the 600-foot cross river hole, reinforces this conclusion.

Krinitzsky's work assessed the possible occurrence of earthquake activity based on the USGS field work. He assumes an earthquake of magnitude 8 along the Denali Fault, however, these motions are not critical when attenuated to the damsites. To account for the possibility that a major active fault could exist near the damsites, Krinitzsky has assigned a "floating" earthquake of magnitude 7 which could occur in the near vicinity of the dam. This generates the most severe design motions. The rational for the "floating" earthquake and a table of associated motions is included in his report (Exhibit D-3). This criteria is within the state of the art for earthquake design for large dams, and therefore, should not preclude proceeding with detailed design of the projects.

ROCK AND SOIL UNITS

P

The proposed Watana damsite and reservoir area is underlain by a complex series of metamorphic, igneous, and sedimentary rock. Specific formation names have not been applied to most of these units and they are instead assigned lithologic descriptions for correlation and mapping purposes. The distribution of various rock units that underlie the proposed reservoir are shown on Plate 5. Following is a brief description of the various rock units, beginning at the upper end of the reservoir and proceeding downstream to the damsite. Additional information and descriptive details concerning the rock units are included in the U.S. Geological Survey's Open File Report 78-558-A, <u>Reconnais</u>sance Geologic Map and Geochronology, Talkeeina Mountains Quadrangle,

Northern Part of Anchorage Quadrangle, and Southwest Corner of Healy Quadrangle, Alaska, by Csejtey, et. al., 1978. This report is included at the back of this appendix as Exhibit 5.

The upper reaches of the reservoir are underlain by an amphibolite unit. These are metamorphic rocks including greenschists, diorites, and local marble interbeds. Directly downstream of this unit is a zone of granitic types that are exposed north of the river at elevations above the proposed reservoir level.

The oldest rocks exposed within the area are farther downstream within the middle reservoir reaches and include both volcanics and limestone units. The volcanics consist mostly of metamorphosed basalt and andesite flows and tuffs that outcrop in the vicinity of Jay Creek and downstream from Kosina Creek. The limestone unit consists of marble interbeds that occur locally within the volcanics. The volcanics are overlain farther downstream by a volcanic unit of younger age consisting of a series of metamorphosed basaltic flows with interbeds of chert, argillite, and marble. This unit is exposed both near the mouth of Watana Creek and on the higher slopes west of Watana Creek. A much younger series of interbedded conglomerates, sandstones, and claystones is exposed along the lower reaches of Watana Creek directly upstream from its mouth.

The downstream reaches of the reservoir area are underlain by a sequence of argillites and graywackes. Exposed within the immediate damsite area is a granitic body intruded into these metasediments. It consists primarily of diorite with upstream and downstream margins that include associated schist, gneiss, and composite igneous and metamorphic rock types. Andesite flows and dikes are associated with this diorite pluton.

Other granitic intrusives occur east of the reservoir area. Locally, these intrusives are overlain by a series of younger igneous flows and tuffs and related shallow intrusives.

Overburden units in the proposed reservoir area include deposits of glacial till and drift with associated outwash and lake sediments, colluvium including slopewash and talus, alluvium and local slide debris.

ROCK STRUCTURE

F

T

F

1

Rocks within the reservoir area have undergone a complex deformation sequence, including uplift, intrusion, thrust faulting, folding, shearing, and associated metamorphism. The most significant structural feature within the reservoir area is the Talkeetna Thrust which strikes northeastward across the lower reservoir area and is roughly parallel

No the lower reaches of Watana Creek. The Talkeetna Thrust, within the Watana reservoir area, has displaced the volcanic unit over the much younger metasediments.

A northeast striking shear zone that dips steeply southeasterly, and is roughly parallel to the Talkeetna Thrust, crosses the reservoir area about 15 miles east of the Talkeetna Thrust near Kosina Creek. Whether this shear zone represents a significant feature is not known.

The most significant rock structure in the immediate dam area is the intrusive diorite pluton of Tertiary age. It is observable for 4 miles parallel to the river and 2 miles north and south and is probably of great depth. Upstream and downstream border zones developed with several different metamorphic and igneous rock varieties. Two distinct northwest trending shear zones have been mapped in the vicinity of the damsite. One is 3,400 feet upstream and the other 2,500 feet downstream from the proposed dam axis. Attitudes vary with strikes ranging from N 40° W to 60° W and dips from 70° to 90° either SW or NE. The two shears can be seen in the right valley wall, but not on the left valley wall. The left wall is obscured by a slide block at the upstream shear, and the left wall at the downstream shear has a rock face that parallels the shear direction making observations difficult. The upstream shear zone has been named "The Fins," and has an observable width in excess of 400 feet. It includes seven near vertical rock fins averaging 5 to 25 feet in width bounded on both sides by altered and crushed rock. The downstream shear zone, named "Finger Buster", is somewhat less distinct and is partially covered by slope debris. It has an estimated width of 300 feet. Another northwest trending shear zone, similar to the two shears mentioned above, occurs downstream from the damsite in the vicinity of Tsusena Creek.

Fracture patterns including both joints and local shears have been mapped within accessible areas in the vicinity of the damsite. Details of this mapping are shown on Plates D-3 and D-4. Fractures include both cooling type jointing and structural deformation jointing resulting from the regional tectonic forces of uplift and thrust faulting. Shear, tension, and relief joints resulting from unloading by erosion of overlying sediments and/or melting of glacial ice are all present within the damsite area. A joint diagram plotted on an equal area ster: ographic projection is shown in Figure D-6. The dominant fracture orientation is to the northwest, but fractures strike in several directions. The major joint sets are N 50° W and the minor joint sets are N 30° E as observed within the area.

DEVIL CANYON

SEISMIC REFRACTION SURVEY

1

K

F.

A

T

L.

During September 1978, seismic refraction surveys were undertaken at Watana and Devil Canyon damsites by Shannon and Wilson, geotechnical consultants. At Devil Canyon, the seismic survey consisted of three lines, each approximately 1,100 feet long. One of these lines was located near the proposed alinement of the saddle dam on the left abutment and the remaining two lines were located near an abandoned airstrip on the alluvial fan at the confluence of Cheechako Creek and the Susitna River (see Plate D-1). The seismic line near the centerline of the left abutment saddle dam was alined to expand information derived from drilling accomplished on this site by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (USBR) in 1957. The refraction profile correlated well with the top of rock from the drilling data (see Sheet No. 10, Exhibit D-1). A lower velocity zone of rock sandwiched between competent phyllite indicates the possibility of a shear zone at the low point of the saddle. This correlates with hole DH-6 which indicated shearing in the 20 feet of bedrock penetrated by the boring.

The seismic lines on the Cheechako Creek aggregate deposit were alined to establish the depth to bedrock beneath these deposits and thereby confirm the quantity of material available for borrow. The velocities for the material in the alluvium indicate that the area is composed of a layer of sands and gravels or glacial materials several hundred feet thick overlying bedrock. This confirms the existence of material well in excess of the requirements for the project.

The location map and seismic velocity profiles from the Shannon & Wilson report and included in Exhibit D-1 to this appendix.

MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS

Concrete Requirements

Material requirements for Devil Canyon dam are based on a concrete gravity dam. Under this proposal approximately 2.6 million cubic yards of concrete will be required, most of which will be mass concrete. The remainder will be structural concrete for the appurtenant structures to the dam, including the powerplant. With stockpile losses, this amount of concrete will require approximately 3 million cubic yards of processed aggregate.

The USBR located an extensive deposit of material which will yield concrete aggregate of adequate quality in an alluvial fan approximately 1,000 feet upstream of the proposed dam axis. The fan was formed at the confluence of Cheechako Creek and the Susitna River.

Thirteen test pits and trenches were dug in the fan area by Bureau of Reclamation personnel in 1957. About 1,300 pounds of minus 3-inch material was tested by the USBR for basic aggregate suitability studies. An additional 200 pounds of material was collected by Corps of Engineers personnel in 1975 from the existing Bureau test pits and the riverbank. This material was tested by the North Pacific Division Materials Laboratory in 1978.

If the excavation of materials is confined to that part of the alluvium located above river level (elevation 910 to 920 feet) with conservative back slopes through the ridges and benches, approximately 6,000,000 cubic yards of material is available in this location with all the resulting excavation in the reservoir area. Scismic refraction surveys indicate that usable gravel exists to approximately elevation 870 feet, so additional material could be retrieved if needed by bailing from below the water surface. Placement of the coffer dam, sizing of the diversion tunnel, and the ability to control the flow in the river at Watana dam will ultimately affect the method of exploitation of this source.

The locations of the test pits are shown on Plate D-1 and the detailed logs can be found in the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation's <u>Alaska</u> <u>Geologic Report #7, Devil Canyon Project</u>, dated March 1960. Laboratory investigations of the aggregate samples were reported in USBR Report #C-932 by their Concrete Laboratory Branch, dated 21 December 1959.

Petrographic analyses of the fine (sand sized) particles and coarse (gravel size) particles indicate that the sands and gravels in the fan are composed of quartz diorites, diorites, granites, andesites, dacites, metavolcanic rocks, aplites, breccias, schists, phyllites, argillites, and amphibolites. The gravel particles are stream worn and generally rounded in shape. The sand grains vary from nearly rounded to sharply angular in shape, averaging subangular. The specific gravity (BSSD) of the material ranges from 2.68 to 2.80.

Results from both labs indicate that the material in the Cheechuko Creek fan is of adequate quality for use as concrete aggregate.

Embankment Material Requirements

The saddle dam on the left abutment, associated with the concrete gravity dam, will require approximately 835,000 cubic yards of material. These materials will be obtained from the same sources as discussed in the Interim Feasibility Report.

WATANA SITE

SCOPE OF INVESTIGATIONS

Field Reconnaissance

E

P

17

С 0

Geologic reconnaissance and mapping of the reservoir area and damsite were conducted concurrently with subsurface investigations throughout the spring and early summer of 1978. The work of the geologic teams was made easier in the early spring as rock outgrops were not obscured by the leaves on the trees and the dense ground foliage. Through the months of March and April, geologic mapping of the lower canyon was done from the frozen surface of the river, which allowed access to areas otherwise inaccessible after the ice had melted and high summer flows on the river had begun. Within the damsite area the primary purpose was to find, identify, and trace the surface expressions of discontinuities and shear zones as an aid in directing the drilling program and to provide preliminary geologic mapping of the site. Within the reservoir area, the primary thrust of the reconnaissance was toward identification of slopes, which by reason of shape, structure or overburden mantle could develop minor slumps and slides as a result of permafrost degradation or seismic action.

Borings and Test Pits

During 1978, explorations were conducted in the dam foundation and relict channel area. Core borings in the valley walls and floor were used to explore the quality and structure of the foundation rock and to obtain representative samples for testing. Borings in the relict channel area were used to define the depth of overburden, the extent of permafrost, the location of the water table and to examine, by drilling and sampling, the nature and condition of the materials.

Shallow auger holes were also used to determine the extent of deposits in the borrow areas and to verify the existence of quantities necessary for embankment construction.

Locations of explorations are shown on Plate D-2. Logs are shown on Plates D-19 through D-37; and core photos are shown on Plates D-38 through D-45.

Test pits were dug in potential borrow areas utilizing tractormounted backhoes. Bulk sack samples were retrieved from each test pit for testing later at the North Pacific Divison Materials Laboratory in Troutdale, Oregon.

A total of 27 test pits were dug in four areas as follows:

1. The mouth of Tsusena Creek (Borrow Area 'E') - 6 test pits.

2. The glacial till borrow area (Borrow Area 'D') - 14 test pits.

Upper Tsusena Creek, north of Tsusena Butte, (Borrow Area 'C') 1 test pit.

4. Middle Tsusena Creek - 6 test pits.

The locations of Test Pits 1 through 5 and 8 through 21 are shown on Plates D-12 and D-11. The remainder of the test pits are located in areas which are not presently considered as borrow areas; however, they may be located on Plate D-2. The logs of all the test pits are shown on the appropriate borrow area Plates D-19 through D-22.

Seismic Refraction Surveys

F

-

A seismic refraction exploration program consisting of 22,500 lineal feet of seismic refraction lines was completed by Dames and Moore, Consultants, in 1975. Results of those investigations were presented as Exhibit D-1, Section D, Foundation and Materials, in the 1976 Interim Feasibility Report. In the fall of 1978, an additional seismic refraction survey was completed by Snannon and Wilson, Consultants, which includes 47,665 feet of seismic refraction lines. Locations of these additional seismic explorations are shown on Plate D-2, and the location map and seismic velocity profiles are presented as Exhibit D-1. The survey confirmed the findings of the Dames and Moore study. It confirmed the existence of a buried channel in the relict channel area and in general supported conclusions relating to shear zones in the abutments as interpreted from the recent core borings and geologic reconnaissance. The Shannon and Wilson survey also confirmed the existence of large quantities of borrow materials on Tsusena Creek in the proposed borrow area.

1

Instrumentation

Instrumentation conducted under this phase of the project consisted of the installation and data reading of ground water measurement devices, temperature logging devices, and the recording of the ambient temperature.

<u>Ground Water</u>: All piezometers installed were of the open well point type and were filled with diesel oil where they extend through permafrost zones to prevent freezing. A total of 10 piezometers were installed at the following locations.

	TABLE D-1		
Surface <u>Elevation</u>	Tip <u>Elevation</u>	<u>Date Set</u>	<u>Size</u>
2,340 2,340	2,271.0	26 Apr 19 Aug	4" 1-1/2"
2,207	2,123.8	30 May	1-1/2"
2,172	2,107.0	21 Jun	1-1/2"
2,167	2,136.3	8 Jun	1-1/2"
2,099	2,053.8	5 Jun	1-1/2"
2,202	2,188.6	20 Jun	1-1/2"
2,200	2,189.0	20 Jun	1-1/2"
2,151	2,109.0	3 Jul	1-1/2"
2,229	2,005.5	3 Aug	1-1/2"
2,295	2,229.5	11 Aug	1-1/2"
	Surface Elevation 2,340 2,207 2,172 2,172 2,167 2,099 2,202 2,200 2,151 2,229 2,295	Surface Tip Elevation Elevation 2,340 2,271.0 2,340 2,295.2 2,207 2,123.8 2,172 2,107.0 2,167 2,136.3 2,099 2,053.8 2,200 2,189.0 2,151 2,109.0 2,295 2,295	Surface Tip Elevation Elevation Date Set 2,340 2,271.0 26 Apr 2,340 2,295.2 19 Aug 2,207 2,123.8 30 May 2,172 2,107.0 21 Jun 2,099 2,053.8 5 Jun 2,200 2,188.6 20 Jun 2,200 2,189.0 20 Jun 2,201 2,109.0 3 Jul 2,202 2,189.0 20 Jun 2,203 2,005.5 3 Aug 2,205 2,295 11 Aug

No.

In the second

RELIE

STATES OF

CELL.

Sec.

E. J

E

C. Marin

P

F

Ì.

¥.+

All locations are shown on Plate D-2 and Plate D-11. Plotted data is shown on Plates D-16 through D-18.

<u>Subsurface Temperature</u>: The principal temperature logging device consisted of a 3/4-inch galvanized pipe, with the lower end capped and sealed. The pipe was filled with a mixture of ethylene glycol and water (50/50) or arctic grade diesel fuel. Readings were taken using a digital volt-ohm meter and a single thermister which was lowered into the pipe.

At location DR-26 both a 3/4-inch galvanized and a 1-1/2-inch PVC pipe were installed to determine if readings could be duplicated in a pipe of larger diameter. A total of 14 devices were installed at the locations shown in Table D-2.

T	AB	LE	D-	-2

•

P

H.

F

-

1.

Le.

Location	Date Installed	Length	Stick Up	Buried Depth	Fluid
AP-8 AP-9 DH-12 DH-23 DH-24 DR-18 DR-19 DR-22 DH-28 DR-26	23 Jun 23 Jun 3 Jul 17 Jul 1 Aug 21 Jun 3 Jul 3 Aug 30 Aug	54' 21' 129' 76' 86' 251' 83' 492' 124'	4.2' 3.2' 1.8' 0.5' 1.2' 3.4' 3.9' 2.0' 1.0'	58.9' 17.8' 127.2' 75.5' 84.8' 247.6' 79.1' 490.0' 123.0'	Jiesel Diesel Antifreeze Antifreeze Diesel Diesel Antifreeze Antifreeze
(3/4" pipe) DR-26	11 Aug	68'	3.8'	64.2'	Antifreeze
(1-1/2" pipe) DR-14 DH-21 DH-25	11 Aug 19 Aug 23 Aug 15 Aug	99' 65' 160' 80'	3.4' 2.8' 2.0' 4.0'	95.6' 62.2' 158.0' 76.0'	Antifreeze Antifreeze Antifreeze Antifreeze

All locations are shown on Plate D-2 and Plate D-11. The plotted temperature data can be found on Plates D-13 through D-15.

A second type of temperature logging device, installed at DR-22, consisted of a multipoint thermistor string. The purpose of this installation was to act as a check against the 3/4-inch fluid filled devices described above.

Ambient Temperature: The ambient temperature was obtained using a standard high-low Mercury thermometer placed in the shade on the right abutment riverbank approximately 4 feet above the ground. Prior to this phase of the project, there was no ambient temperature data available for this section of Alaska. Data obtained is shown on Table D-3. TABLE D-3

1

1.

. .

1 1 1

1. 45

 ð

<u>Date</u>	<u>High °F</u>	Low °F	Date	<u>High °F</u>	Low °F
23 Mar 78 24 Mar 78 25 Mar 78 27 Mar 78 28 Mar 78 29 Mar 78 30 Mar 78 31 Mar 78 3 Apr 78 3 Apr 78 3 Apr 78 5 Apr 78 5 Apr 78 5 Apr 78 9 Apr 78 10 Apr 78 11 Apr 78 12 Apr 78 13 Apr 78 14 Apr 78 15 Apr 78 15 Apr 78 16 Apr 78 17 Apr 78 18 Apr 78 20 Apr 78 21 Apr 78 22 Apr 78 23-24 Apr 78 23-24 Apr 78 24 Apr 78 25-26 Apr 78 23-24 Apr 78 25-26 Apr 78 23 Apr 78 10 May 78 11 May 78 12 May 78 13 May 78 14 May 78 15 May 78 15 May 78 16 May 78 17 May 78 16 May 78 17 May 78 18 May 78 19 May 78 19 May 78 19 May 78 10 May 78 17 May 78 18 May 78 19 May 78 19 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 21 May 78 20 May 78 21 May 78 22 May 78 21 May 78 21 May 78 22 May 78 21 May 78 22 May 78 21 May 78 23 May 78 24 May 78 25 May 78 26 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 21 May 78 22 May 78 23 May 78 24 May 78 25 May 78 26 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 21 May 78 21 May 78 21 May 78 21 May 78 21 May 78 22 May 78 21 May 78 23 May 78 24 May 78 25 May 78 26 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 27 May 78 20 May 78 20 May 78 21 May 7	22 24 22 26 35 31 28 36 33 41 43 38 44 40 98 34 48 44 57 59 64 27 52 72 65 64 60 70 70 70 70 70	$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 13\\ 19\\ 10\\ 13\\ 6\\ 5\\ 5\\ -4\\ 3\\ 4\\ 20\\ 11\\ 28\\ 10\\ 13\\ 20\\ 15\\ 30\\ 32\\ 38\\ 29\\ 21\\ 20\\ 24\\ 25\\ 30\\ 32\\ 26\\ 32\\ 30\\ 32\\ 34\\ 30\\ 31\\ 36\\ 32\\ 30\\ 37\\ 37\\ 24\\ 43\\ 36 \end{array} $	<pre>23 May 78 24 May 78 25 May 78 26 May 78 27 May 78 28 May 78 29 May 78 30 May 78 30 May 78 31 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 4 Jun 78 5 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 3 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 1 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 2 Jun 78 3 Jun</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 60\\ 60\\ 61\\ 41\\ 54\\\\ 58\\ 63\\ 66\\ 54\\ 58\\ 68\\ 57\\ 66\\ 72\\ 62\\ 57\\ 58\\ 52\\ 61\\ 63\\\\ 55\\ 59\\ 62\\ 57\\ 62\\ 70\\ 62\\ 70\\ 62\\ 73\\ 70\\ 66\\ 71\\ -59\\ 58\\ 66\\ 78\\ 74\\ 78\\ 82\\ 84\\ 80\\ 71\\ 68\\ 66\end{array}$	39 32 40 3- 33 360 38 1 89 44 39 44 30 43 33 - 68 73 1 37 40 39 2 - 00 7 5 5 7 5 9 6 2 8 6 2 8 6 4 9 4 4 9 0 4 33 - 6 87 31 4 37 4 4 39 4 4 9 0 4 33 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 4 4 9 0 4 4 9 0 4 4 33 - 6 87 31 - 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 31 - 6 87 31 - 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 31 - 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 31 - 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 31 - 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 5 9 6 2 8 - 6 87 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 7 5 9 6 2 8 5 9 6 2 8 5 9 6 2 8 5 9 6 2 8 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 2 8 6 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 5 9 6 5 5 9 6 5 5 5 5

D-16

· . ·

ŝ,

£.

ł.

£.

(HERE'S

ŧ

Accuracy of Subsurface Temperature Data: Resistance measurements were obtained using a Keithley volt-ohm meter, which allowed readings to the nearest ohm. With a span of 225 ohms per degree centigrade, 1 ohm represents 0.005° C. The temperature data in this report has been reported to 0.01° C and is reliable to that degree of accuracy. To verify the accuracy of each thermister, its resistance was measured in an ice bath. It was found that the thermistors are very stable and do not tend to drift from their original resistance at 0.00° C.

General Comments

アに

P.

ŢŢ.

臔

Lu

100

The drilling in the permafrost was performed with core drills and rotary drills, which introduce a large amount of heat into the ground. Where the permafrost temperature is only slightly below the freezing point, this tends to melt the permafrost and makes identification very difficult. Therefore, the drilling operation may or may not reflect the existence of permafrost, and it is necessary to rely heavily on the instrumentation for a true evaluation of the location and depth, at which permafrost exists. By December of 1978, the temperature logging devices may not have stabilized due primarily to the fact that the drilling method used was rotary with drilling "mud" as the circulation medium, which tends to thaw the permafrost. Upon inspection of the plotted data for the locations in this area it can be seen that the temperatures are gradually approaching the 0° C point. Through a continual program of monitoring these points, a great deal can be learned about "freeze back."

At location DR-26, 3/4 inch and 1-1/2 inch pipes were installed to determine if convection currents in the pipe would affect the accuracy of the near surface readings. It can be seen from the temperature plots, shown on Plates D-13 through D-15, that there is a degree of convection in the upper zones, while with depth the two readings are very similar. At location DR-22, the string had 14 thermistors in a 150 foot length. The data obtained from this string has not been included in this report since its reliability is in question. This is due to damage received during installation as well as the tact that the thermistors are of a lower quality and adequate calibration could not be obtained prior to installation. At location DH-12 the 3/4-inch pipe temperature logging device was lost when it was decided that the borehole camera should be run in this boring. At location DH-25 no data is available because the 3/4-inch pipe froze :p during installation.

SITE GEOLOGY

Introduction

The river valley at the site has a V-shaped lower or bottom canyon deeply incised into an upper, much broader, U-shaped river valley of considerable extent and width.

The lower river valley floor ranges from 300 to 600 feet wide and has side slopes of 35 to 60 degrees with locally scattered rock outcrops that rise in near vertical cliffs. The incised portion of the canyon extends from subriver level upward about 500 feet to approximate elevation 2,000 feet, where it ranges in width from 1,500 to 3,000 feet. Above elevation 2,000 feet, there is a distinct flattening of the valley slopes and the area broadens out into a very wide former river valley. Width of this former valley base level is from 8 to 10 miles in the lower reservoir area, narrows to about 1 mile in the midreservoir area upstream of Jay Creek and widens to more than 20 miles in the upper reaches of the reservoir.

Foundation Conditions

2

L ##

The site was mapped and explored with 17 core holes, 12 of which are on the dam axis shown in this report. Six of the holes are angle holes, five were drilled normal to the dominant structural trend, and one drilled across the river valley. The exploration plan with hole locations is presented on Plate D-2.

The river valley is filled with alluvium consisting of gravels, cobbles, and boulders in a matrix of sand or silty sand. Overburden depths in the valley bottom range from 40 to 80 feet and may exceed 100 feet in places. Overburden depths on the valley slopes range up to 10 feet deep on the left abutment and up to 20 feet on the right abutment. However, overburden upstream of the left abutment is more than 56 feet deep.

٠,

Overburden on the valley slopes is mostly glacial debris and talus consisting of various gravel and sand mixtures and some silts, with cobbles and small boulders. The underlying rock is diorite, granodiorite, and quartz diorite with local andesite porphyry dikes and more widely scattered minor felsite dikes. Most of the rock, although fractured, is relatively fresh and hard to very hard within 5 to 40 feet of top of rock. Overburden and rock stripping depths along the dam axis are shown in cross section on Plate D-7.

Fractures are closely to moderately spaced at the bedrock surface, generally becoming more widely spaced with depth. Fracture zones found at all depths tend to be tight or recemented with calcite or silica. The northwest trending joints and high angle shears mapped in the rock outcrops are found at different depths within most drill holes and range from single fractures to broken zones more than 20 feet thick. Broken rock within the shear zones is locally decomposed but consists mainly of moderately hard to very hard fragments. Many fractures have thin clay gouge seams and slicken sides. Pyrite and chlorite mineralization is found as coatings on many fracture surfaces. Shears are

spaced from a few feet to more than 100 feet apart, and since the shears are mostly vertical, greater lengths of sheared material were recovered in vertical drill holes. In addition to the shears, primary and rehealed breccia zones occur in some areas adjacent to the andesite porphyry dikes. Most of these rehealed breccias are relatively competent rock, but a primary breccia zone downstream of the axis on the left abutment includes locally decomposed materials.

Valley Conditions

Ne

L

1

1

The river valley bottom was explored with six core drill holes. Three holes are on the axis and three are about 1,000 feet downstream of centerline in the toe area. River alluvium varied in depth from 44 to 78 feet. This alluvium consists of gravels, cobbles, and boulders imbedded in sands with local gravelly or silty sand lenses. The gravels and larger sizes are mostly subrounded to rounded with occasional largeboulders. Most large sizes are of dioritic composition, but metamorphic and other rock types were also noted. Most of the gravels are fresh, but a few are coated with plastic fines. Alluvial materials in some areas were frozen to depths in excess of 50 feet and possibly all the way to bedrock at the time of drilling.

The bedrock is a diorite that in most holes is very closely fractured in the upper 10 to 20 feet. Fractures become more widely spaced with depth; however, local zones of closely spaced fractures occur throughout. Joints are both open and rehealed or cemented with calcite and silica. The rock below river level is mostly fresh and hard to very hard. Shear zones occur in several of the holes and include some thin clay gouge coatings and slickensides. Soft chloritic materials were also encountered in one shear zone, and iron staining with pyrite mineralization is common. It should be noted that DH-21 was drilled essentially across the river from the left to the right abutment. No major fault or significant change in materials was seen although six minor shear zones were encountered in the hole. Most of these zones are less than 3 feet thick, whereas, some of the vertical holes penetrated sheared material for distances of more than 10 feet. This confirms the near vertical nature of most shearing. Geologic mapping in rock exposures along the riverbank also indicates the near vertical nature of shearing. An andesite porphyry dike was penetrated at depth by DH-21. This dike has an apparent thickness of about 13 feet, and the contacts with the diorite are tight and contain no notable planes of weakness.

The left abutment was explored with five drill holes, three on the dam axis and one each upstream and downstream of the embankment. Overburden depths in the downstream hole and the three axis holes are less than 10 feet. This overburden consists of small subangular to subrounded boulders in silt, sand, and gravel. Overburden in DH-28, located approximately 1,000 feet downstream of the axis at elevation 1,971 feet,

consists of 6 feet of silty clay overlaying 2 feet of sand. DH-25, located about 750 feet upstream of the axis at elevation 2,045 feet, penetrated a vertical depth of 56 feet of glacial and alluvial deposits and had not yet encountered rock when it was abandoned. Overburden in DH-25 consists primarily of gravelly, silty sand with boulders to a depth of 15 feet, underlain by gravelly, clayey silt. Gravels are subrounded to rounded and the clayey silts are stiff and plastic.

ľ

ľ

1

.

Rock in the three axis holes is a hard quartz diorite, whereas in DH-28 downstream of the embankment, it is an andesite porphyry. The relationship between the quartz diorite as a plutontic rock and the andesite porphyry as a surface flow rock is not clearly understood. This contact area between the two type rocks is in the location of the underground powerhouse and will be closely explored during design investigations. It is assumed the underground powerhouse will be located in the dioritic rock. Weathering is primarily staining on fracture surfaces. Fracture spacings vary from very close to moderately spaced; spacing increases with depth.

Fractured zones, encountered in all holes, are from less than 1 to more than 20 feet thick and are separated by from 10 to more than 50 feet of relatively undisturbed rock. Many fractures include thin seams of clay gouge, slickensides, secondary pyrite, and breccia. DH-28, downstream of the embankment, appears to have been drilled in an andesite porphyry breccia contact zone adjacent to the diorite pluton. Much of the core is brecciated, moderately weathered to highly altered, and recovered in small fragments. Several zones of clay gouge were noted.

Right abutment conditions were explored with six core drill holes along the proposed dam axis. Three of these holes were angle holes drilled normal to the dominant structural trends. Overburden depths within the six holes range from 4 to 20 feet, with the greater depths in the holes farthest upslope. Overburden consists of gravelly sand with cobbles and small boulders.

Bedrock is moderately hard, but weathered, closely fractured and locally sheared in the upper 10 to 40 feet. The rock is diorite or quartz diorite with zones of quartz diorite breccia. The quartz diorite breccia is healed, probably formed during emplacement, and is not considered a zone of weakness.

Fractured zones encountered during drilling are similar to those noted on the left abutment. Shears range up to 22 feet thick and are separated from each other by about 10 to 100 feet of competent rock. Very thin films of clay gouge and slickensides occur on some fracture surfaces. Iron staining occurs on many fracture surfaces and fine disseminated pyrite mineralization occurs more widely.

D-20

Relict Channel Area

1

The relict channel is a suspected ancestoral Susitna River channel north of the right abutment under the broad terrace area between Deadman and Tsusena Creeks. Ground surfaces within the Relict Channel area are between elevation 2,100 and 2,300 feet along low elongated ridges and shallow depressions. This area was originally explored with two seismic lines and the results presented in the Feasibility Report, Appendix 1 as Exhibit D-1. Subsequent 1978 explorations include 1,814 linear feet of drilling, borrow explorations near Deadman Creek and 23,600 feet of seismic refraction lines. The 11 drill holes range from 21 to 494 feet in depth and were mostly noncore rotary holes supplemented with drive samples and some bedrock coring. The results of these 1978 explorations confirm the existence of the deeply buried bedrock surface depression discovered during the 1975 seismic investigations. The lowest bedrock elevation encountered in drilling was in DR-22 at 1,775 feet, MSL or 454 feet below ground surface.

Overburden consists of both glacial and alluvial materials occurring in varying sequences that are difficult to correlate with the limited drilling to date.

Outwash occurs over much of the area, consisting of gravelly, silty sands or silty, gravelly sands in varying proportions, with some local cobbles and boulders and more widely scattered clay lenses. These materials are mostly loose and the fines are predominantly nonplastic.

Glacial till is the most abundant overburden material found within the relict channel area. These tills occur in three separate sequences in the deepest drill holes, separated by lenses of alluvial materials. The near surface tills are normally consolidated while the tills from greater depths are highly over consolidated and dense. It is quite probable that this over consolidation was caused by glacial loading in the geologic past. All of the tills contain fines that are nonplastic or only moderately plastic. Smaller gravel sizes are rounded, while larger sizes are more subrounded to subangular. Materials are poorly sorted with little or no indication of bedding. The tills vary considerably in thickness from only a few feet to a maximum of 163 feet in DR-18.

Apparent river deposited alluvial lenses which represent interglacial periods, separate many of the till units. These deposits consist of sandy gravels with some silts. Sandy alluvial units have a tendency to cave during drilling and several appear to have relatively high permeabilities. Most of these river deposits were less than 50 feet in thickness but in DR-22, directly above bedrock, the alluvial unit was 159 feet thick.

At least two deposits of lake sediments were encountered during drilling. The larger of these was named "Lake Woller" and occurs in DR-13, DR-15, DR-26, and DR-27 in varying thicknesses. Maximum thickness is 60+ feet in DR-13. Lake Woller deposits appear to be confined between elevations 2,240 and 2,305 feet. Another apparent lake deposit was penetrated in DR-18 and DR-20. Maximum thickness of this deposit is 33 feet and appears to be confined between elevations 2,130 and 2,190 feet. Both lake deposits may represent either quiet lake deposition during an interglacial period, or possibly proglacial lakes formed during glacial retreats. The lake deposits consist primarily of highly to moderately plastic clays and silts with local gravel and sand lenses.

Spillway

F.

P

The original location of the Saddle Spillway in the Interim Feasibility Report, Appendix I, Plate D-3, was found to lie directly upon two adverse structures. The overburden depths increased from 9 feet at DR-17 on the left side of the proposed alinement to 231 feet at DR-18 on the right or east side of the spillway. This depth of overburden prevailed throughout the length of the spillway, including the proposed gate structure area.

The glacial tills, clay, and intermittent sand lenses of the overburden would have required additional excavation and flatter sideslopes. Added expense would also have resulted from increased foundation requirements for the gate structure and from the full length lining which would have been required in the spillway channel. To avoid these disadvantages a change of the channel alinement was made.

i

The new proposed alinement lies approximately 800 feet laterally to the left (southwest) of the original design and will be in rock cut from inlet to final outlet at Tsusena Creek. This alinement will also avoid potential structural problems from the second adverse structure, the shear zone titled "The Fins" (Plate D-4) which will now parallel the spillway for its entire length. Rock quality is such that excavated rock will be used as dam shell rock.

As a result of the move, it is anticipated that sound bedrock will be encountered at a maximum depth of 25 feet at the gate structure and will continue down spillway for at least 2,500 feet. As the spillway dips down to Tsusena Creek, deeper glacial till is again encountered, so the final section of the outflow may not be totally founded on bedrock. The plunge pool at Tsusena Creek will be contained by existing rock cliffs.
Permafrost

P

The Watana damsite lies within the discontinuous permafrost zone of Alaska. For this reason it is to be expected that permafrost would be found during the exploratory effort, particularly on north facing slopes and areas where arctic vegetation has effectively insulated the ground surface. Depths of permafrost within the discontinuous zone are variable and often change drastically within short distances depending on exposure, ground cover, soil characteristics and other factors.

Permafrost conditions at Watana as indicated by the exploratory work done to date appear to be typical for the zone. The left abutment which faces north and is either continuously shaded or receives only low angle rays from the sun was explored with core drilling equipment. Five holes were drilled and pressure tested by pumping water into the drill holes at selected intervals using a double packer. Observation of drill water returns and pressure tests showed that permafrost exists for the entire depth of the holes. Holes drilled in the right abutment, where the sun's rays are most effective, did not indicate any permafrost. Within the relict channel areas, on the terrace north of the right abutment, indications of permafrost were observed as reflected by ground water conditions and water table measurements, drill action, and sampling. Drill hole DR-27 was sampled and ice lenses were retrieved from a depth of 30 through 36 feet. Permafrost was also encountered during test pit activities. However, in general, permafrost in the spillway and relict channel area, while encountered as near as I foot to the surface, is expected to be confined to a relatively shallow layer. This expectation has been reinforced by the fact that ground water has been encountered at various depths. In order to study the thermal regime of the permafrost and to more accurately define the lower limits of the frozen zone, temperature probes were installed at 13 locations. These locations are shown on Table 1 under the heading "Instrumentation" and the graphs of readings taken to date are shown on Plates D-13 through D-15. It is still too early to reach definite conclusions from the limited data obtained since installation due to the fact that heat was introduced into the regime by drilling and equilibrium may not yet be reestablished. However, it appears that the readings do support the conclusion that permafrost is not as widespread or as deep as was previous believed.

Of equal significance is the fact that the temperature probes indicate that the temperatures within the permafrost are generally within 1 degree of freezing. Construction in cold regious has shown that, within this range, materials can be excavated with considerably less dificulty than in areas where the permafrost temperatures are lower. Particularly in borrow areas, where a rather large area can be exposed, degradation is rapid and by alternating from side to side in the area, the material can be ripped, left exposed to the sun for a few hours and then handled in the normal fashion. The fragile nature of the permafrost regime as indicated by temperature studies will be of prime importance in the scheduling related to foundation grouting. Permafrost barely within the frozen range will be much easier to thaw and foundation grouting will be facilitated.

As explorations at the damsite continue, the installation of frost probes will be expanded to provide detailed knowledge of the extent of existing permaforst areas as well as their condition. A discussion of design type of probes installed and the degree of accuracy to be expected from data readings can be found under "Instrumentation."

Ground Water

K

["

1.7

1

Ła

Ł.

L.L

Ground water conditions in the terrace area north of the spillway alinement were examined during exploratory drilling, but the use of drilling mud used for most of the rotary drilling made direct water table measurements difficult. Pervious zones were occasionally encountered where loss of drilling mud was noted. Examples are DR-22 where mud losses were experienced of approximately 50 gallons per foot of hole drilled between elevations 2,025 and 2,000 feet and losses of approximately 14 gallons per foot of hole drilled between elevation 1,940 and 1,855 feet. In a very few instances water tables could be measured at the time of drilling. A notable example of artesian head was measured while drilling DR-13 and DR-14. In both of these holes the ground water was under sufficient head to rise from elevation 2,240 and 2,270 feet, respectively, to elevation 2,300 \pm feet when the overlying clay layer was penetrated by the drill.

A discussion of the overburden units encountered in the terrace area can be found under the heading "Relict Channel Area." It will be noted in that discussion that at least two deposits of lake sediments were encountered which appear to be rather extensive. As might be expected, perched water was encountered above the higher deposit, Lake Woller, in some holes because of the impermeability of the material. In the alluvial zones between the lake deposits water war usually encountered although, as previously noted, in only one instance was this water under artesian head. Below the lower lake deposit, approximate elevation 2,190 feet, the glacial tills were very compact and can be expected to be relatively impervious. The over consolidation of these materials as previously stated is probably due to being overloaded by the weight of ice in glacial times.

The significance of ground water conditions in this area lies in the fact that the deep deposits in the relict channel area will be under a head of approximately 400 feet from the proposed Watana reservoir. The decision as to whether or not an impervious cutoff across this channel is necessary depends on the pervious nature of the materials

encountered. While a more detailed program of exploring, sampling, and testing will be undertaken to ensure that pervious layers will not present a seepage danger in this area, it is presently believed that no impervious barrier is required. A more detailed discussion of the rationale in support of this belief can be found under the heading "Seepage Control, Relict Channel."

Reservoir Geology

R

12

Ð

The Watana reservoir includes seven general zones of geology, as indicated by Plate D-5 (Watana Reservoir Surficial Geology). Glacial fill, outwash, and proglacial lake deposits predominate in the meandering reaches of the river upstream of the Oshetna River confluence. The next zone extends downstream along the incised channel to Jay Creek and Kosina Creek, and includes localized sedimentary and alluvial units with metamorphics such as the Vee Canyon schist. The predominating dioritic gneiss and amphibolite is laced with bands of mica schist, pyroxenite, and augen gneiss that are inferred to correspond with contact and shear zones trending northeast. The area around Jay and Kosina Creeks and downstream to Watana Creek includes two zones with outcrops of high grade schist and basalt flows at the river level. The surrounding nills are composed of volcanics with limestone interbeds on the south, and mixed volcanics and near surface intrusives to the north for a minimum of 10 miles. The Watana Creek area consists of basalt flows and semiconsolidated predominately clastic sediments overlain by thick glacial and outwash deposits. This area also contains the Talkeetna Thrust as identified by the U.S. Geological Survey. Downstream of Watana Creek lie the remaining two units, starting with moderately metamorphosed sediments (phyllite, argillite, graywacke) with two bands of schist. The final unit starts just upstream of Deadman Creek and includes all materials downstream to Fog Creek below the damsite. The predominate types are the diorites, granites, and migmatites of the damsite pluton.

0

The Watana reservoir includes many permafrost areas, especially on north facing slopes. Frozen overburden will tend to slough as the reservoir is filled and the permafrost degrades. Since most of the lower canyon elevations are covered with only shallow overburden deposits, sloughing will be minor and have minimal effects upon the reservoir. Deep overburden deposits, mostly of glacial origin, occur above approximate elevation 2,000 feet where the slopes flatten out into a broad river valley base level. Most of these glacial deposits will be stable due to the flat topography.

Some rock and overburden landslide deposits have occurred within the reservoir area. One such slide deposit, known as the "Slide Block," is located upstream of the axis on the south bank opposite "The Fins" shear. Several old and potential landslides are identified by Kachadoorian and Moore in their reconnaissance of the project area.

In general terms, the geology in the immediate damsite is controlled by the diorite intrusive believed to be the top of a stock which uplifted the surrounding sediments and volcanics and was later eroded by glaciers. Subsequent glacial and stream deposition has masked much of the flat upland areas and stream valleys.

DAM DESIGN

P 2

·

F

1+

1

Dam Foundation Treatment

Main Dam: Foundation conditions are more than adequate for construction of an earth-rockfill dam. The underlying rock is a diorite or granodiorite which, in nonfractured fresh samples, had unconfined compressive strengths that ranged from 18,470 to 29,530 psi. Only the uppermost 20 to 40 veet of this rock is closely fractured and sufficiently weathered to require removal within the core area. Stripping depths along the centerline section are shown on Plate D-7. Stripping to sound foundation rock is required for the entire length and width of the impervious core. Foundation treatment within the rock excavation area will include removal of all loose and highly fractured rock and soft materials, cleanup, and dental treatment. If there are any zones where more than an 8 foot width of soft materials is removed, the dental concrete will be contact grouted to the adjoining rock. Stripping to rock will also be required under the remainder of the embankment area. However, in this area excavation will not include removal of the inplace rock. Only the loose and severly weathered surface rock will be removed. Steep or overhanging rock walls will be trir and to a smooth shape for proper placement of embankment materials. Exploratory drilling in 1978 has shown the materials in the river channel to be a well graded mixture of gravels and cobbles as good, or better, than the materials that would be used to replace them. As the exploration program continues, these gravels will be more completely explored and it may be demonstrated at that time that there is no need for their removal beneath the shell zones. Should this prove to be the case, the change can be made during feature design.

ł

Provision has been made for a 6- by 8-foot concrete grouting gallery with concrete lining to be constructed in foundation rock under the impervious core. This gallery will begin at elevation 1,900 feet on the left abutment and will terminate at elevation 1,800 feet on the right abutment. It will provide access for drilling and grouting which, in some areas may be delayed to allow thawing of permafrost. Access to the gallery will be provided from the powerhouse on the left abutment and, by adit, from the downstream toe of the right abutment. Grouting will be on a single line of holes utilizing split spacing, stage grouting techniques. Grout holes will be slanted upstream and may be included

to intercept the dominant high angle northwest tending fracture system. Preliminary fout hole depths are estimated at two-thirds the height of the embandment to a maximum depth of 300 feet with primary spacing of 20 feet, secondary spacing of 10 feet, and tertiary spacing of 5 feet with additional holes as required.

Determination of final grout hole depths, spacing, inclination, grout mixtures, and grouting methods will be dependent on the results of future explorations, permeability studies, test grouting, and permafrost thawing investigations.

Rock permeability test results are shown on the drill logs presented on Plates D-28 through D-37. Coefficients of permeability (K) were computed in feet per minute times 10^{-4} . Permeability coefficients ranged from 0.0 to 23.1 and average 4.9 for those holes that were tested.

Drill holes in the left abutment area indicated very low permeability due to permafrost. River section hole DH-1 had variable permeability coefficients that range from 0.48 to 2.52 and averaged 1.98. Drill water returns in the river holes were quite variable throughout the entire hole depths and tended to drop off to low percentages at the greater depths in the axis area. Right abutment drill holes had permeability coefficients that ranged from 0.0 to 23.09 and averaged 5.47. DH-10 was the only hole tested that had relatively low permeability coefficients throughout. Drill water returns had similar patterns with variable percentage losses. DH-7 and DH-9 had 0 percent returns throughout and DH-8 and DH-11 maintained high percentages of drill water returns throughout.

The existence of permafrost in the left abutment and the possibility of minor amounts in the right abutment necessitates assessment of the problem of thawing a zone in the foundation bedrock sufficiently wide and deep to allow proper installation of the grout curtain. In anticipation of this need, the U.S. Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory was asked to do a desk study on thawing the permanently frozen bedrock. The Technical Note which was submitted in response to the request is included as Exhibit D-4.

Embankment Design

F.

Design of the dam embankment at Watana damsite has been based on the availability and proximity of construction materials in addition to their suitability as engineering materials. As a result of these considerations, the embankment contains a central section consisting of an impervious core buttressed on the downstream side by a semipervious zone.

This central section is supported, both upstream and downstream, by suitable fine and coarse filters and rockfill shells. A typical cross-section of the embankment is shown on Plate D-9.

ľ.

1.4

The impervious core and semipervious zone will be constructed using the glacial till which is readily available in the area. The semipervious material will be obtained by selecting the coarser grained materials while the finer materials will be placed in the impervious zone. These materials, as discussed under "Embankment Materials," have been shown by exploration and test to be a well graded mixture, which, when compacted, has a very good shear strength and a high degree of impermeability. Tests have shown that this material is quite sensitive to moisture control; therefore, special attention must be paid to this aspect of the design and construction. The 14,000,000 cubic yards required are available within a very reasonable haul distance and will only require removal of oversize boulders prior to use.

The fine filter material can be obtained from the gravelly sand deposit at the mouth of Tsusena Creek. Chart D-3 shows an envelope of gradations from this source superimposed onto the envelope for the fine filter as established by engineering design criteria. This comparison indicates that the Tsusena Creek source can provide material within the ranges of sizes necessary to protect the core and semipervious zone against piping or migration of fines into the filter material.

Proven sources of gravel which can yield large quantities of material are scarce within short haul distances of the project. For this reason, the decision was made to use material from the rockfill source as a coarse filter. Chart D-5 is an envelope of the required gradation which will provide proper filtering action for the fine filter material. A curve has been superimposed on this envelope which represents the materials expected from the rockfill source. As indicated, the rockfill will provide the proper filter action. The maximum size material in the coarse filter and the lift thickness for placement will, of course, be limited to ensure design criteria are met.

The decision to utilize rockfill rather than gravel for the embankment shells was made when reconnaissance and exploration indicated that dependable deposits of gravels which would provide the necessary quantities could not be verified within reasonable haul distances of the damsite. On the other hand, rockfill can be readily obtained as discussed under "Embankment Materials." Riprap for wave protection can be obtained from the same source.

It is recognized that the 1 vertical on 2 to 2.25 horizontal sideslopes shown on the typical cross section for the dam are conservative for a rockfill dam, and, if rockfill is used, these slopes will be refined in accordance with sound engineering practice. Refraction seismic

lines in the borrow areas show velocities which could represent large deposits of gravels or glacial materials but rather extensive explorations will be required to verify the true nature and quantity of the materials. Should these explorations reveal that suitable gravel deposits in the area are sufficiently extensive to provide the large quantities required for the dam shell sections, the gravel will be used in preference to borrowing quarried rock for rockfill.

Powerhouse and Underground Structures

b.

. . .

An underground powerhouse is well suited to meet the restrictions of subarctic weather and other environmental factors. Topographically, the narrow Susitna Canyon is well situated for this type of underground construction. The diorite pluton that underlies the foundation area is expected to be competent for excavation and support of underground facilites, but the location and design of the various structures may have to be adjusted in some areas. "The Fins" and "Fingerbuster" Shear Zones shown on Plate D-3 and discussed in paragraph "Rock Structure" are the two most significant shears within the damsite area. Other northwest trending steep angled minor shears involving displacements of a fraction of an inch up to a few feet are common in the site area and were noted in many of the drill holes. These minor shears appear to represent mass adjustments to regional stress and compensation can be made for them in design and construction of the underground structures.

Prior to powerhouse excavation, exploratory adits located near the crown of the various chambers will be driven to confirm final design criteria. The chambers will be constructed with straight walls as required for maximum dimensions, and not notched or cut irregualarly for support of interior powerhouse facilities. Rock support will include pattern bolts consistent with wall and crown conditions. Use of steel channeling and remedial concrete is anticipated in local areas where fallout may occur or in fracture zones having a substantial width of crushed rock. Wire mesh will be utilized where necessary as a temporary facility prior to placing concrete. A thin layer of wire reinforced shotcrete may be placed on the main powerhouse chamber walls and crown as a protective measure against rock raveling. Additional shotcrete will be utilized, as required, to seal surfaces and retain rock strengths. Construction methods in the large chambers will include controlled blasting and rock removal in lifts from the top downward. Gutter and floor sloping for drainage will be provided in the interior structures between chambers.

Intake Structure

Consolidation grouting may be necessary for the intake structure foundation and the bridge pier footings. The higher bridge pier footings will also be recessed into sound rock. Tunnel portals will be designed so that there is a minimum of two tunnel diameters of sound rock above the heading where they go underground. Initial tunnel support will be by pattern bolts, with steel channeling and wire mesh where necessary in closely fractured areas. Major shear zones will require steel supports. Hydraulic and geologic considerations will necessitate final concrete linings for all but the access tunnels, and steel liners for the penstocks. Grout rings will be required in the penstock portal areas.

The two diversion tunnels are to be separated by a minimum of four tunnel diameters to provide greater structural stability. Downstream diversion tunnel portals will have to be located to avoid the "Finger Buster" shear zone to insure adequate portal construction conditions.

Spillway

K

The gated spillway has been relocated about 800 feet southeast of the alinement presented in the 1976 report so that it will be constructed in a through rock cut. The spillway will be unlined beyond the spillway gate structure and apron. The new spillway alinement extending from the Susitna north valley wall to Tsusena Creek and the spillway gradient are shown on Plates B-2 and B-5. It is anticipated that, with the exception of minor amounts of waste, all the excavated materials from the spillway will be used in the dam embankment. The major part of the excavation is in rock and this material will be used in the shell sections. The overburden materials are glacial till which, when separated from the boulders can be used in the impervious or semipervious zones.

Seepage Control - Relict Channel

The relict channel area is an overburden terrace underlain by a bedrock depression, and extends northward from the right abutment for about 6,000 feet. This terrace is composed of glacial till, some of which has been reworked by alluvial action. For this reason, consideration was given to the possibility of seepage through the area where rock contours are below the proposed reservoir elevation. However, preliminary seepage calculations indicate that even in the relict channel area, where the head differential approaches 350 feet, and using a very conservative 'k' value of 500 feet per day, the seepage would be less than 0.02 cubic feet per second per foot of width for a pervious layer assumed to be 80 feet thick. Assuming such a layer to be 200 feet wide, the seepage would be in the order of 4 cubic feet per second, which is a minor amount. The exit velocities associated with such seepage would be too low to cause serious piping or erosion. Investigations during the summer of 1978 support this conclusion. In holes DR-13 and DR-14, located in the vicinity of Borrow Area "D," ground water was encountered in alluvial layers between elevation 2,240

and 2,280 feet with an artesian head which exceeded the proposed reservoir level by 100 feet. In spite of this high head condition, no evidence was found indicating seepage out of this layer into either Deadman Creek or Tsusena Creek. Indeed, it is probable that the effect of this artesian water, which evidently has its access to the alluvial layer in the upper reaches of Tsusena or Deadman Creek, would be to resist flow from the reservoir into the aquifer. Because mud losses in DR-22, located at the center of the relict channel, indicated the possibility of permeable layers at approximate elevations 1,900 and 2,000 feet, a falling head permeability test was performed at this hole. The permeabilities calculated from this test are a further indication the seepage through the terrace would be minor or nonexistent. Consequently, it was unnecessary to include any cutoff through the saddle and relict channel area.

CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

Material Requirements

Embankment: Approximately 57,792,000 cubic yards of embankment materials will be required to construct an earthfill dam at Watana site. The impervious core is estimated to require 7,373,000 cubic yards and the semipervious fill zone 6,077,000 cubic yards of material. The fine filters are estimated to require 5,621,000 cubic yards of material and the coarse filters 2,201,000 cubic yards. The pervious rock shells, which make up the largest portion of the dam, will require approximately 36,297,000 cubic yards. Slope protection on the upstream side of the dam is estimated to require 223,000 cubic yards of riprap.

Sources of Materials

<u>General</u>: Several sources of embankment materials were investigated in the damsite area. These sources included two quarry locations which could yield rock shell and coarse filter materials, a source of glacial till which could produce core material, and two areas containing relatively clean sands and gravels for the fine filter material. Additional embankment materials will be generated by required excavation for the dam foundation, underground facilities, and the spillway channel. All rock excavation from the spillway channel will be incorporated into the rock shell zone of the dam. The overburden encountered in the excavation for the spillway channel will be glacial till which can be processed by removal of oversize material for use as core material.

Rock Shell Materials: Rock shell materials may be obtained from two quarry locations shown on Plates D-10 and D-11.

Quarry sites were located on the left abutment of the dam (Quarry Source 'A') and in the northwest quadrant of the confluence of Deadman Creek and the Susitna River (Quarry Source'B'). The Quarry Source (A) on the left abutment is an outcrop of igneous rock ranging in elevation from approximately 2,300 to 2,630 feet. The total volume of the hill above the surrounding terrain is approximately 200 million cubic yards of rock. Development would consist of open faces on the north flank of the dome with the final quarry floor at an elevation of 2,300 feet. This type of development would maintain the visible profile of the hill essentially as it is now. The resulting quarry floor could provide an ideal site for parking areas, visitor facilities, and perhaps, the switchyard.

The material in the hill is a diorite on the western side and a rhyodacite porphory on the eastern half. The appearance of outcropings and exposed faces of each material indicates that the hill is composed of sound rock.

FILL

蘭門

F

The product of this quarry will be used for the rockfill shell zones of the dam and in the coarse filter and riprap. This site (Quarry 'A') represents the nearest source of adequate quantities of rock materials for the dam. From the approximate center of the quarry to the approximate center of the dam is a distance of 4,000 feet and movement of material would be downhill. If properly developed, virtually all of the material removed from the quarry will be used in the dam and the oversize material, overburden and weathered waste material can be disposed of immediately adjacent to the quarry in the reservoir area upstream of the dam.

The quarry source at the confluence of Deadman Creek and the Susitna River (Source 'B') could be developed by excavating rock from the open faces visible on Deadman Creek and continuing the development of a face to the westward, maintaining the face between elevation 1.700 and 2,000 feet. Stripping and clearing would be minimized by developing a long, narrow quarry paralleling the river and using the quarry floor as a haul road for the length of development. If exploited in this way, the quarry could yield 17,000,000 cubic yards of material.

The rock exposed in this area is a moderately weathered diorite. The product of this quarry could be used on the rockfill shell sections of the dam. The distance from the center of the Quarry 'B' to the center of the dam is approximately 2 miles.

The only reason for utilizing this quarry source instead of the Quarry 'A' on the left abutment would be the lessened environmental impact since the quarry at Deadman Creek would be entirely in the reservoir area. However, since the haul distance is greater and the

net environmental impact of the Quarry 'A' on the left abutment is small, this area is a less desirable source of embankment materials.

it of

<u>Core Material</u>: Impervious and semipervious materials can be excavated from the glacial tills which are present at the damsite. The most logical source of glacial till appears to be in an area denoted as Borrow Area 'D' which lies between Deadman Creek and the saddle on the north side of the dam (see Plate D-11).

Exploration in this area was accomplished by drilling with a trackmounted, self-propelled auger and a Failing 1500 rotary drill, by test pitting with a backhoe, and by use of seismic refraction methods. Five holes were completed using the air rotary drill, 14 holes were completed using the auger, 14 pits were completed with the backhoe, and 4 seismic refraction lines were extended across the proposed limits of the borrow area. The material in the area is composed of a surface layer of natural ground cover of roots and moss, approximately 2 feet of boulders and organic silts underlain by the tills which are classified as gravelly silty sands. The tills range from 15 to 25 feet thick and usually overlie a clay, sandy gravelly clay and silty sandy gravel.

Sack samples from the test pits (in Borrow Area D) were tested at the North Pacific Division Materials Laboratory to determine gradations, compaction, consolidation characteristics, permeability, and triaxial shear strength.

Gradation tests were run on each sample from each test pit. An envelope of the gradation curves derived from the tests of samples from Test Pits 8 through 19 is shown on Chart D-2. Because the range of gradations of materials from the test pits centrally located in the area is limited, a composite sample was formed. Use of a composite sample was necessary to provide adequate material for a representative testing program since retrieval of large bulk samples from the site was not possible.

The coefficient of permeability (K_{20}) for the minus 1-inch fraction of the till material, compacted to 95 percent of maximum density with an optimum water content of 7.5 percent equals 10.90 X 10⁻⁶ cm/sec. This relatively low coefficient of permeability is coupled with an adequate shear strength at the optimum water content, acceptable consolidation values even when loaded to 32 tons/sq ft and a narrow band of gradation throughout the central portion of the outlined borrow area. The shape of the compaction curves indicates that moisture content is critical in obtaining maximum densities with a pronounced peak at the relatively low optimum moisture content of 7.5 percent. The results of the triaxial compression tests indicate that in the unsaturated and undrained condition the glacial tills will be sensitive to moisture contents higher than optimum but that if placed on the dry side of optimum they will maintain strength essentially equal to those obtained when placed at optimum.

12

The results of this testing program indicate that the glacial tills can be placed and compacted to provide a suitable material for both the impervious and semipervious zones. The specifications will need to provide for close controls of the moisture content and the qualityassurance programs will have to be adequately staffed to provide for careful checks of moisture content in the pervious and semipervious fill. Detailed laboratory reports of the tests conducted are included as Charts D-6 through D-29.

The materials from Borrow Area D can be used with very little processing. The ground cover and organic silts and boulders will be stripped from the surface and disposed of as designated near the mouth of Deadman Creek in the reservoir area. The remainder of the material can be utilized in the core of the embankment if oversize (12 inch plus) material is removed by mechanically raking in the pit or on the embankment fill. Less than 10 percent of the material will be too large to use in the core. Since removal of only the silty, sandy gravel above the clays will result in the floor of Borrow Area 'D' being above reservoir elevation, it will be necessary to contour and seed the borrow area after the completion of removal of materials as a restoration measure. Approximately 630 acres will be restored.

Filter Material: The nearest source of clean sands and gravels for use in the fine filter of the embankment dam is an alluvial deposit formed by materials washed out of Tsusena Creek and deposited at the confluence of Tsusena Creek and the Susitna River on the right bank of the Susitna (Borrow Area 'E', see Plate D-12). Haul distance to the dam ranges from 3 to 5 miles. This area was explored by digging 5 test pits to a depth of 8 feet using a backhoe mounted on a small tractor.

The material in this area is composed of approximately 2 feet of organic, sandy silt overlaying 6 feet of clean, well graded sands and gravels having maximum size particles of up to 4 inches in diameter. The materials are sound, well rounded particles. The bottoms of the test pits indicate the possibility that the materials deeper than 8 feet below the ground surface contain up to 50 percent of boulders in excess of 8 inches in diameter and ranging up to 24 inches in diameter. The 6 feet of material which lies above the boulders may be used in the embankment with required processing limited to some blending and removal of material larger than 12 inches to produce fine filter material. An envelope of gradation curves derived from tests of samples from TP-1 through TP-5 is shown in Chart D-1. All of the samples are from the first 8 feet of material. All of this material lies above

the water table and can be taken by front loaders. The quantity of material available in the first 8 feet is approximately 3.7 million cubic yards. After the boulders are encountered at a depth of 8 feet, the oversize material will have to be removed and material below the water table will have to be bailed from the area. A dike will be maintained to separate the borrow operations from the river so that all turbidity created by the excavation of materials will be filtered or settle prior to entering the Susitna River. In terms of grading, particle soundness and proximity, this area represents an excellent source of essential filter materials.

The second area in which clean sands and gravels were located is in the upper reaches of Tsusena Creek, north of Tsusena Butte (Borrow Area 'C'). The materials are sound, well rounded particles and are well graded with maximum sizes generally less than 4 inches. Considerable exploratory effort would be necessary to ensure quality and quantity of materials before this could be considered an acceptable source. Because of the haul distance of 12 miles, this source will not be considered unless further explorations and testing indicate that adequate materials may not be obtained from the sources closer to the damsite.

Exploration at Site 'C' was accomplished by digging one test pit, reconnaissance of the area on foot and from helicopter, and with a seismic survey.

Concrete Aggregates: Approximately 310,000 cubic yards of concrete will be required to construct the appurtenant structures for an embankment dam at Watana damsite. Most of this will be structural concrete placed in tunnel linings, the powerplant, gate structures, intake structures, and spillway channel lining. Maximum size aggregate will be 3 inches in all but the smaller structures or those with closely spaced reinforcing. The most readily available source of concrete aggregate is available at the confluence of Tususena Creek and the Susitna River (Borrow Area 'E'). The materials from the first 8 feet in the alluvium can be utilized with only limited screening. As oversize materials are encountered at greater depths, the larger particles will be crushed for use in the concrete aggregate, thereby achieving maximum utilization of gravels from the area and also to increase the tensile strain resistance of the concrete which will lessen problems with thermal cracking in the more massive sections. Since Borrow Area E represents the most economical source of concrete aggregate and the nearest acceptable source of essential filter material, maximum utilization of the material in this area is required.

A petrographic analysis of sands and gravels from Borrow Area E was conducted by the Missouri River Division Laboratory at Omaha, Nebraska. The results show the material to be approximately 70 percent

granitic rock with the remainder composed of basalt, andesite, and ryholite. Chert is present in such small quantities as to be nondele-terious.

D

l.

 \mathcal{O}

(

The quarry site on the left abutment (Quarry Source 'A') is considered an alternate source of concrete aggregate. If material from the quarry were used in the embankment dam aggregate could be produced by placing a crushing and screening plant in the quarry and producing the concrete aggregate incidental to the production of embankment material. The concrete aggregates would be produced from the diorites in the quarry to avoid the potential of problems caused by the reaction of the alkalis in the concrete with the rhyodacite porphory in the eastern half of the hill.

The materials in upper Tsusena Creek (Borrow Source 'C') would produce excellent concrete aggregate; however, because of the haul distance involved (10 miles), it is not anticipated that this source would be exploited to produce concrete aggregate unless embankment materials are also taken from the same source.

It is anticipated that because of the relatively small quantities of required concrete aggregate compared to the large quantities of the various classes of embankment materials, that concrete aggregates will be produced incidental to the production of embankment material and stockpiled adjacent to the batch plants used.

The first concrete required on the project will be that required to line the diversion tunnels and form gate and trashrack structures for river diversion. The aggregate for this work could be produced from Borrow Area E with a resulting haul distance of 2.3 miles.







3. 3

Carlo and the state of the second second







the second second states and share the second se

UFOLD

12

8.5 **1**

AUTC

A ANUA

FFFF

a marine the state



Ľ

-

U 1 - 144

	LE GEND SURFACE LINE
• •	TOP OF ROCK LINE
** *	FOUNDATION BEDROCK EXCAVATION LINE
-	SHEAR ZONE
D/S	DOWNSTREAM
U/S	UPSTREAM
07 B	OVERBURDEN
W.E.	WATERS EDGE
DH	DRILL HOLE
I.E	INVERT ELEVATION
	TOP OF ROCK
	EXCAVATION LINE

NOTE: TOP OF ROCK LINE & EXCAVATION LINE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

2500	FOR LOCATION OF CROSS-SECTION SEE PLATE D-4
-2400	나는 것은 아이들은 것은 것이 있는 것이 같아. 이 가지 않는 것이 않는 것이 같아. 이 가지 않는 것이 않이 않는 것이 않이 않는 것이
.2300	
.2200	
-2100	
-2000	
.1900	
.1800	
.1700	
1600	
.1500	
1400	
-1300	
1200	
.1100	
.1000	
.900	
.000	•

SOUTHCENTRAL RAILBELT AREA, ALASKA SUPPLEMENTAL FEASIBILITY STUDY UPPER SUSITNA RIVER BASIN WATANA DAM SECTION ALONG DAM AXIS ALASKA DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS ------

PLATE D-T

....

• *

ËNG

8.5 S F

AUTO

MANU

FEEL



PRELIMINARY REPORT OF THE RECENT GEOLOGY OF THE PROPOSED DEVILS CANYON AND WATANA DAMSITES, SUSITNA RIVER, ALASKA

by

Reuben Kachadoorian and Henry J. Moore

ABSTRACT

At the request of the Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Geological Survey conducted a reconnaissance of the recent geology of the proposed Devils Canyon and Watana damsite areas, Susitna River, Alaska. The purposes of the reconnaissance were to look for active faults and other geologic hazards. Field work by the Geological Survey was conducted between July 25, 1978 and August 7, 1978 using a helicopter which was shared jointly and in cooperation with personnel of the Corps of Engineers.

The geologic reconnaissance of the proposed Devils Canyon and Watana damsite and reservoir areas did not uncover any evidence for recent or active faulting along any of the known or inferred faults. Recent movement of surficial deposits has occurred as the result of mass wasting processes and, possibly, by seismic shaking and minor displacements of bedrock along joints.

Landsliding has occurred in the past and future landsliding appears probable. The occurrence of unconsolidated glacial debris, alluvium, and Tertiary sediments at elevations below the proposed reservoir water levels may slump and slide into the reservoirs when they are inundated. Some of these sediments may be permanently frozen and, locally, may be

ice-rich which increases the probability of slumping and sliding when the sediments are thawed by the water impounded behind the dams.

Alerta a

J.

The tectonic framework of the Devils Canyon and Watana damsite areas is not well understood. The present knowledge of the area indicates that the seismicity of the region ranges in depth from less than 10 km to greater than 175 km.

Additional detailed geologic and seismic studies are necessary in order to reliably evaluate the potential geologic hazards in the region of the proposed dam and reservoir sites.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The conclusions presented in this report are based on a reconnaissance study of the proposed Devils Canyon and Watana dam and reservoir sites, and, therefore, should be considered to be preliminary. A thorough evaluation of the geotechnical problems of the proposed dam and reservoir sites will require more data. It will be necessary to (1) map the Healy, Alaska, Quadrangle, at a scale of 1:250,000, from the Talkteena Mountains Quadrangle to the Denali Fault, about 80 km (48 miles) north of the damsites, (2) map the proposed Devils Canyon and Watana damsites at an appropriate scale to determine the bedrock structure and distribution of unconsolidated sediments overlying the bedrock, (3) map the reservoir sites at a scale of 1:63,360 in order to (a) establish the type and distribution of unconsolidated sediments and bedrock, (b) locate additional potential landslide areas, and (c) determine the nature and distribution of permafrost, (4) initiate a seismic monitoring program of the dam and reservoir areas, (5) continue the active fault study, (6) redetermine the altitudes of the Vertical Angle Benchmarks, and (7) collect detailed data on the suspended loads and bed loads of the Susitna River in order to determine if the reservoir filling rates are acceptable.

No.

PART VI: CONCLUSIONS

58. The geological-seismological investigations to date were made on reconnaissance levels. The Devils Canyon and Watana damsites are in a region of high seismicity and major faults. However, no movements were found on the faults that might be indicative of earthquakes. Also, no seismic activity was identified as associated with these faults, though the data suffers from inexactness in the accuracy of locations. No active faults were found at the damsites. Active faults of appreciable length are required if large earthquakes are to be generated in close proximity of the proposed structures.

59. The area was provided with a floating earthquake of magnitude 7 placed at a short distance from the damsites. The magnitude 7 is in conformity with general fault lengths in this area and with worldwide experiences between such faults and resulting earthquakes. However, further field studies will be made to determine conclusively whether or not there are faults closer to the sites with possible more severe motions. An earthquake of magnitude 8 from the Denali fault at a distance of 80 km was evaluated by attenuating the event to the damsites.

60. Peak motions were assigned for the earthquakes following the practices of the Corps of Engineers. The magnitude 7 earthquake near the damsites has motions that are: acceleration 0.68 g, velocity 68 cm/sec, displacement 30 cm, and duration 12 sec. An earthquake at the Denali fault attenuated to the sites provides motions of 0.28 g, 40 cm/sec, 22 cm, and 10 sec.

61. A closer specification of which sets of peak motions to apply and the appropriate time histories will await further field studies.

62. Possible induced seismicity from reservoir loading is not a factor needing additional design but is accounted for in the existing motions. However, water waves from possible earthquake-triggered land-slides and possible overstressed conditions in rock pose problems for which at present there is a paucity of data and a need for further evaluation.

62

لتسا

SUPPORT MATERIAL TO TASK 6 DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

SUSITNA DEVELOPMENT PLANS

<u>P1an</u>	<u>Stage</u>	<u>Construction</u>	Incremental Capital Cost \$ Millions (1980 Values)	Const. Period* _Yrs	Earliest On-line Date	Operation Full Supply Level Ft.	Maximum Seasonal Drawdown Ft.	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Average</u>	Plant Factor
1	1	Wətana 2223 ft 800MW	1860	9	1993	2200	150	2669	3252	46.4
	2	Devil Canyon 1458 ft 600MW	1000	6½	±1996	1438	150	<u>2640</u>	<u>2975</u>	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1400MW	2860				ie	5309	6227	49.9
2A	1	Watana 2060 ft 400MW	1570	8	1992	2000	100	1708	2109	60.2
	2	Watana raise to 2223 ft	360	3		2200	150	961	881	
	3	Watana add 400MW capacity	130	3	·	2200	150	0	262	HE
	4	Devil Canyon 1458 ft 400MW	900	6 ¹ ź	±1996	1438	150	2640	2975	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1200MW	2960	يعمر يعين		an an an Anna an Anna Airtíne Anna Anna Anna Airtíne Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna		5309	6227	59.2
ЗА	1	Watana 2223 ft 400MW	1740	9	1993	2200	150	2669	2990	85.3
	2	Watana add 400MW capacity	150	3		2200	150	0	262	
	3	Devil Canyon 1458 ft 400MW	900	6 ¹ 2	±1996	1438	150	2640	<u>2975</u>	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1200MW	2790		~-		nin anti.	5309	6227	59.2

 5

 \square

5

 SUSITNA DEVELOPMENT PLANS (cont'd) - 2

<u>Plan</u>	Stage	Construction	Incremental Capital Cost \$ Millions (1980 Values)	Const. Period Yrs.	Earliest On-line Date	Operation Full Supply Level Ft.	Maximum Seasonal Drawdown Ft,	Firm	<u>Average</u>	Plant Factor %
4	1	High Devil Canyon 1734 ft 800MW	1500	10	1994	1712	150	2546	3615	51.6
	2	Vee 2348 ft 400MW	1060	7		2328	150	<u>1323</u>	<u>1292</u>	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1200MW	2560	4. part (ma				3869	4907	46.7
5	1	High Devil Canyon 1630 ft 400MW	1140	7	1992	1610	100	1849	2106	60.1
	2	High Devil Canyon add 400MW capacity raise dam to 1734 ft	500±	3		1712	100	697	· 1509	
	3	Vee 2348 ft 400MW	1060	7	·····	2328	150	1323	<u>1292</u>	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1200MW	2700		: ني مور ا			3869	4907	46 , 7
6A	1	High Devil Canyon 1734 ft 400MW	1390	8	Start 1992	1712	150	2397	2732	78.0
	2	High Devil Canyon add 400MW capacity	140	5		1712	150	534	1276	
		Portage Creek 150MW	650±	- 			5			
	3	Vee 2348 ft 400MW	1060	7		2328	150	1437	1536	
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1350MW	3240				-	4428	5544	46.9

00295

SUSITNA DEVELOPMENT PLANS (cont'd) - 3

<u>Plan</u>	Stage	<u>Construction</u>	Incremental Capital Cost \$ Millions (1980 Values)	Const. Period Yrs.	Earliest On-line 	Operation Full Supply Level Ft.	Maximum Seasonal Drawdown Ft.	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Average</u>	Plant Factor
7	1	High Devil 1734 ft 800MW	1500	10	1994	1712	150	2546	3615	51.6
	2	Olson 150MW	650±	5		1020		385	393	
	3	Vee 2348 ft 400MW	1060	7		2328	150	1497	<u>1536</u>	÷
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1350MW	2920					4428	5544	46.9
Tunnel	1	Watana 2223 ft 400MW	1740	9	Start 1993	2200	150	2669	2990	85.3
	2	Watana add 450MW capacity	150	3		2200	150	0	262	
	3	Tunnel 300MW re- regulation dam 30MW	1220	5		1475		2003	<u>2014</u>	*
		TOTAL SYSTEM 1180MW	3110				a → → ,	4672	5266	50.9

* Excluding period required to construct access roads.

7700	1 7	• •	7 .	1
IAD	LC			L
Statistics.	-	-	-	-

Information on the Devil Canyon Tunnel Schemes

	Dovil Conven		Tunnel Scheme	2	
	Dam	1	2	3	4
Reservoir Area (Acres)	7, 500	320	0	3,900	0
River Miles Flooded	31.6	2.0	0	15.8	0
Tunnel Length (Miles)	0	27	29	13.5	29
Tunnel Volume (Yd³)	0	11,976,000	12,863,000	3,732,000	3,563,000
Compensating Flow Release					
(cfs)	0	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Dwnstream ¹ Reservoir Volume (Acre-Feet)	1,100,000	9,500		350,000	
Downstream Dam Height (feet)	635	75		245	TT TR
Typical Daily Range of Dis- charge from				•	
Devil Canyon Powerhouse (cfs)	6,000 to 13,000	4,000 to 14,000	4,000 to 14,000	8,300 to 8,900	3,900 to 4,200
Approximate Maximum Daily Fluctuations in					
Reservoir (feet)	2	15	Fa P	4	en e

4

¹ Downstream from Watana.

[]

TABLE 8.1

1

Devil Canyon Tunnel Schemes Costs, Power, Output and Average Annual Energy

	In <u>Capa</u> <u>Watana</u>	stalled <u>city (MW)</u> <u>Devil Canyon</u>	Increase ¹ in Installed Capacity (MW)	Devil Canycn Average Annual Energy (GWH)	Increase ¹ in Average Annual Energy (GWH)	Tunnel Scheme Total Costs (\$ X 10 ³)	Cost ³ of Additional Energy ¹ (mills/kWh)
Scheme 1	800	550	550	2,050	2,050	1,979,000	42.6
Scheme 2	70	1,150	420	4,750	1,900	2,317,000	52.9
Scheme 3 ²	850	330	380	2,090	2,016	1,221,000	26.9
Scheme 4	800	365	365	2,490	890	1,494,000	73.6

¹ Increase over single Watana (E1, 2200) 800MW development with an average annual energy production of 3250 Gwh.
² Includes power and energy produced at re-regulation dam.

³ Energy cost is based on an economic analysis (i.e. using 3% interest rate) as discussed in Section 9.5,

SUPPORT MATERIAL TO TASK 7 ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES





の教育の



.

1

5. No 449%

Partial listing of study information containing environmental components

- Acres American Susitna Plan of Study February 1980

E

Ľ

Č,

- Environmental Procedure Manuals for Subtasks 7.05, 7.06, 7.07, 7.08, 7.10, 7.11 and 7.12
- Environmental Procedure Manual for Subtask 7.09 available in February 1981
- Review of the Environmental Procedure Manuals as prepared by the Susitna Hydro Steering Committee
- APA response to the Steering Committee comments available in February 1981
- Preliminary Environmental Assessment of Tunnel Alternatives Internal draft report prepared by TES
- Susitna Project Overview Report available in March 1981
- 1980 Environmental Annual reports available in March 1981
- Susitna Development Selection Report available in March 1981
- Fisheries Instream Flow work plan available April 1981