

for Rearing Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Turbid Susitna River Habitats



TK 1425

.58

A68 no.2846 ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME SUSITNA HYDRO AQUATIC STUDIES REPORT SERIES

Document No. 2846 Susitna File No. 4.3.1.6 TK 1425 .58 A68 NO. 2846

## ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME SUSITNA HYDRO AQUATIC STUDIES

**REPORT NO. 8** 

Availability of Invertebrate Food Sources for Rearing Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Turbid Susitna River Habitats

Prepared for:

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY 334 W. FIFTH AVE. ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

June 1985

NOTICE

ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS CONCERNING THIS REPORT SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY SUSITNA PROJECT OFFICE

# PREFACE

This report is one of a series of reports prepared for the Alaska Power Authority (APA) by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) to provide information to be used in evaluating the feasibility of the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Project. The ADF&G Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies program was initiated in November 1980. Reports prepared by the ADF&G Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies program prior to 1983 are available from the APA. Reports prepared after 1983 are sequentially numbered as part of the <u>Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic</u> Studies Report Series. Titles in this report series are:

Report Number	Title	Publication Date
1	Adult Anadromous Fish Investigations: May - October 1983	April 1984
2	Resident and Juvenile Anadromous Fish Investigations: May - October 1983	July 1984
3	Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Investigations: May - October 1983	September 1984
4	Access and Transmission Corridor Aquatic Investigations: May - October 1983	September 1984
5	Water Aquatic Investigations: September 1983 - May 1984	March 1985
6	Adult Anadromous Fish Investigations: May - October 1984	1985
7	Resident and Juvenile Anadromous Fish Investigations: May - October 1984	1985
8	Availability of Invertebrate Food Sources for Rearing Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Turbid Susitna River Habitats	1985
9	Summary of Salmon Fishery Data for Selected Middle Susitna River Sites	1985

This report, Report Number 8, summarizes the results and findings of the juvenile chinook salmon food availability study conducted during the 1984 open water (May - October) field season.

ო

# AVAILABILITY OF INVERTEBRATE FOOD SOURCES

### FOR REARING JUVENILE CHINOOK SALMON

### IN TURBID SUSITNA RIVER HABITATS

1985 Report Number 8

## by

Tim F. Hansen and J. Craig Richards

# Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies Third Floor, Michael Building 620 East Tenth Avenue Anchorage, Alaska 99501

# ABSTRACT

Benthic and drifting invertebrates were sampled from May through October 1984 to evaluate available fish food resources and the gain and loss of benthic invertebrate habitat resulting from changes in flow. Four side channel and side slough sites were sampled at head and mid-section locations using drift nets and modified Hess type samplers. Juvenile chinook salmon were also sampled using electro-fishing techniques to correlate the available food sources with that being utilized.

A total of 52 invertebrate taxa were identified in drift and benthic samples, with Chironomidae being the dominant taxa. The proportions of numbers of invertebrates found in the stomachs of juvenile chinook salmon were closely correlated with the proportions of invertebrates available in the drift. Drift samples collected under breached conditions indicated that invertebrates were being transported from the mainstem into the side channels and side sloughs. The quantity of drifting invertebrates in side channels and side sloughs under unbreached conditions was negligible compared to the drift under breached conditions when total drift was considered.

Habitat suitability criteria were developed and weighted usable area was estimated for invertebrates which were common to drift, benthos, and the diet of juvenile chinook salmon by behavioral type (i.e. burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler). The densities of each of the behavioral types generally correlated with water velocity and substrate type. Depth of water did not appear to be an important factor influencing the density of organisms. Water velocities less than 0.4 ft/sec and substrates comprised of silts and sands generally supported the

i

highest mean densities of burrowers which were made up primarily of Chironomidae. Rubble substrates with components of large gravel or cobble and water velocities between 1.6 ft/sec and 2.6 ft/sec generally supported the highest mean densities of swimmers and clingers. Sprawlers did not appear to preferentially utilize any particular substrate or water velocity.

Projected weighted usable area for each of the behavioral types was clearly a function of mainstem discharge. The minimum controlling mainstem discharge for each of the study sites generally produced the greatest amount of burrower habitat weighted usable area. The maximum amount of weighted usable area for swimmer, clinger, and sprawler habitat at all study sites was reached at a mainstem discharge above 25,000 cfs.

In conclusion, naturally fluctuating mainstem flows which occasionally inundated sampling sites appeared to maintain a diverse benthic fauna and appeared to provide drifting food organisms within sampling sites thereby contributing to the overall rearing potential of these sites for juvenile chinook salmon.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**6** 

Ì

-

**(111)** 

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	xii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 METHODS	5
2.1 Field Sampling	- 5
2.1.1 Study Site Selection. 2.1.2 Invertebrate Drift. 2.1.3 Benthic Invertebrates. 2.1.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon. 2.1.5 Turbidity.	5 5 12 12 14
2.2 Laboratory Analysis	14
2.2.1 Sample Handling and Storage 2.2.2 Invertebrate Identification and Enumeration	14 14
2.3 Data Analysis	15
2.3.1 Invertebrate Drift 2.3.2 Benthic Invertebrates	15 16
2.3.2.1 Standing Crop Estimation 2.3.2.2 Suitability Criteria Development 2.3.2.3 Weighted Usable Area	16 17 21
2.3.3 Invertebrate Larval Development 2.3.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon	22 22
3.0 RESULTS	23
3.1 Invertebrate Drift 3.1 Benthic Inertebrates	23 28
3.2.1 Benthic Habitat Suitability Criteria	30

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

The of contract,	Page
3.2.1.1 Depth 3.2.1.2 Velocity 3.2.1.3 Substrate	30 45 46
3.2.2 Benthic Weighted Usable Area Projections	47
3.3 Invertebrate Larval Development	52
3.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon Diet	52
3.5 Turbidity at Study Sites and Mainstem Susitna River	52
4.0 DISCUSSION	57
4.1 Available Food Sources for Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Side Channels and Side Sloughs	57
4.2 Effects of Flow on the Distribution and Abundance of Benthic Invertebrates in Side Channels and Side Sloughs	59
4.2.1 Habitat Suitability 4.2.2 Weighted Usable Area	59 60
4.3 Utilization of Available Food by Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Side Channels and Side Sloughs	62
4.4 Conclusions and Future Research	63
5.0 CONTRIBUTORS	66
6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	67
7.0 LITERATURE CITED	68
8.0 APPENDICES	73
Appendix A Study Site Hydrographs, Rating Curves, and Discharge Data	A-1
Appendix B Benthic and Drift Invertebrate Data	B-1
Appendix C Results of the Multiple Regression Analysis of Drift Data	C-1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

**ورب** ۲ - ۲ - ۲ ۲ - ۲ - ۲ - ۲

**1** 

**1989**2000

-

, ,

1

₽**₽**₽\$

<del>خم</del>م

Appendix D	Formula for Calculating the Shannon-	Page
	Index	D-1
Appendix E	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Stomach Content Data	E-1
Appendix F	Weighted Usable Area Projection Data	F-1
Appendix G	Water Turbidity Data	G-1

# LIST OF FIGURES

Rase I

# Page

3	Map of the middle Susitna River showing the four Food Availability Study sampling sites, 1984	Figure 1
6	Map of Slough 9 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through September, 1984	Figure 2
7	Map of Side Channel 10 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling loca- tions, June through September, 1984	Figure 3
8	Map of Upper Side Channel 11 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through August, 1984	Figure 4
9	Map of upper Side Channel 21 and Slough 21 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through September, 1984	Figure 5
10	Invertebrate sampling gear used in the Food Availability Study, 1984. Adapted from Merritt and Cummins (1978)	Figure 6
26	Scatter plots of standardized drift densities (no/1000 feet of water) of eight inverte- brate groups, head numbers vs. IFG-4 numbers. Densities are transformed log <sub>e</sub> (x+1)	Figure 7
27	Scatter plots of standardized drift densities (no/1000 feet of water) of eight inverte- brate groups, head numbers vs. IFG-4 numbers. Densities are transformed log <sub>e</sub> (x+1)	Figure 8
31	Average density of benthic fish food orga- nisms (no./yd <sup>2</sup> ) by behavioral type in riffle, run, and pool habitats in side channels and side sloughs, from June 24 to July 10 and August 23 to September 7, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Behavioral groups with fewer than five individuals per square yard are not shown.	Figure 9
32	Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	Figure 10

vi

## LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

#### Figure 11 Average number of swimmer invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna 33 River, Alaska, 1984..... Figure 12 Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 34 Figure 13 Average number of sprawler invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 35 Figure 14 Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 36 Figure 15 Average number of swimmer invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle 37 Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... Figure 16 Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 38 Figure 17 Average number of sprawler invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 39 Figure 18 Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle 40 Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... Figure 19 Average number of swimmer invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 41 Figure 20 Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984..... 42

### vii

### Page

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

Figure 21	Average number of sprawler invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	43
Figure 22	Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Slough 9 modelling site	48
Figure 23	Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Side Channel 10 modelling site	49
Figure 24	Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Upper Side Channel 11 modelling site	50
Figure 25	Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Side Channel 21 modelling site	51
Figure 26	Percent composition of invertebrates in benthic, drift, and juvenile chinook stomach content samples taken at FAS sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	54
Figure 27	Percent composition of aquatic insect behaviroal groups in benthic drift, and juvenile chinook stomach content samples taken at FAS sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	55
Figure 28.	Percent of total numbers of aquatic and terrestrial insect groups in juvenile chinook salmon stomachs from FAS sites, June through September 1984, middle Susitna River, Alaska	64

Page

# LIST OF APPENDIX FIGURES

# APPENDIX A

Figure A-1	Hydrograph (discharge versus time) for June - September 1984 for the Susitna River at Gold Creek (RM 136.5), Slough 9 (RM 128.3), and Side Channel 10 (RM 133.8) A-3
Figure A-2	Hydrograph (discharge versus time) for June - September 1984 for the Susitna River at Gold Creek (RM 136.5), Upper Side Channel 11 (RM 163.0), and Side Channel 21 above over flow channel A5 (RM 141.8)
Figure A-3	Rating curve for predicting flow at Slough 9 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 19,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs A-5
Figure A-4	Rating curve for predicting flow at Side Channel 10 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 19,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs A-6
Figure A-5	Rating curve for predicting flow at upper Side Channel 11 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 13,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs
Figure A-6	Rating curve for predicting flow at Side Channel 21 above Channel A5 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 20,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs A-8

# <u>Page</u>

# LIST OF TABLES

# Page

Table 1	Food availability study sampling dates, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	11
Table 2	Substrate classification scheme utilized to evaluate substrate composition at each benthic sampling point (Vincent-Lang et al. 1984)	13
Table 3	Invertebrate taxa grouped by behavioral type (Merritt and Cummins, 1978)	18
Table 4	Depth and velocity increments used for suita- bility criteria development	20
Table 5	Substrate class groupings used for suita- bility criteria development	20
Table 6	Relative density of invertebrate drift per cubic yard of water by site and drift net location, June through August 1984, middle Susitna River, Alaska. R=Rare (0.001-0.009/ yd <sup>3</sup> ), S=Sparse (0.010-0.099/yd <sup>3</sup> ), C=Common (0.100-0.999/yd <sup>3</sup> ), A=Abundant (1.000-9.999/ yd <sup>3</sup> ).	24
Table 7	Relative density of benthic invertebrates per square yard by site, June through September, 1984 middle Susitna River, Alaska. R=Rare (0.1-0.9/yd <sup>2</sup> ), S=Sparse (1.0-9.9/yd <sup>2</sup> ), C=Common (10.0-99.9/yd <sup>2</sup> ), A=Abundant (100.0- 999.9/yd <sup>2</sup> ).	29
Table 8	Suitability criteria values for invertebrate behavioral groups for depth, velocity, and substrate type, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	44
Table 9	Percentage of early, middle, and late instar larval aquatic insects and the total number of individuals examined ( ), middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Individuals examined from April, May, September, and October samples are from synoptic surveys	53
Table 10	Standardized densities (no/1000 feet <sup>3</sup> ) of drifting invertebrates (Invert.) and adult aquatic insects (Adult) at head and IFG-4 sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	58

х

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

Table 11Diversity ±S.E., eveness (Poole 1974),<br/>density, and number of taxa of benthic inver-<br/>tebrate communities from riffle, run, and<br/>pool habitats in side channels and side<br/>sloughs of the middle Susitna River, Alaska,<br/>1984. Density and number of taxa are<br/>reported as the average number per square<br/>yard ±98% confidence interval.....

61

Page

# LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

# APPENDIX A

Table A-1	Side slough and side channel water surface elevation and flow measurements, and the corresponding mean daily Susitna River discharges at Gold Creek (USGS 15292000) used to construct rating curves for the four FAS sites
	APPENDIX B
Table B-1	Occurrence of invertebrates by life stage (i=immature, p=pupa, a=adult) and sample type (B=Benthos, D=Drift, F=Fish Stomach) at four sample sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984
Table B-2	Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Slough 9, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult
Table B-3	Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Side Channel 10, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult
Table B-4	Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Upper Side Channel 11, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult
Table B-5	Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Upper Side Channel 21, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult
Table B-6	Densities (no./yd <sup>3</sup> of water) and rates (no./ min.) of invertebrate drift during June, July, and August at slough and side channel head and IFG sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984 B-14

Page

Table B-7	Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Slough 9, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984 B-1	<u>je</u> 16
Table B-8	Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Side Channel 10, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984B-1	17
Table B-9	Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Upper Side Channel 11, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984B-1	18
Table B-10	Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Side Channel 21, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984B-1	19
	APPENDIX C	
Table C-1	Analysis of Variance C-	-3
Table C-2	Results of Student's t-test C-	-3
Table C-3	Analysis of variance for new hypothesis C-	-4
Table C-4	Results of Student's t-test for new hypothesis C-	-4
	APPENDIX E	
Table E-1	Number and kind of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) from the stomachs of juvenile chinook salmon caught by electrofishing and drift nets at invertebrate sampling sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984	-2
	APPENDIX F	
Table F-1	Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Slough 9 F-	-3

- Table F-2Projections of gross area and WUA<br/>(ft sq/1000 ft) of benthic invertebrate<br/>habitat at Side Channel 10..... F-5
- Table F-4 Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Side Channel 21..... F-8

Table G-1 Turbidity values in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) from five locations, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.....

M

-201-1

1

ric turbidity

G-2

J

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Habitat variables such as cover, riparian vegetation, water depth and velocity, and food supply have all been determined to be important variables influencing the overall suitability of instream habitats for rearing juvenile salmon. Although there is no definite evidence that any of these variables is the ultimate factor limiting the carrying capacity of a particular habitat for rearing by juvenile salmonids, it is clear that the availability of suitable food is of considerable importance.

Food sources utilized by juvenile salmon have generally been found to consist of aquatic invertebrates which inhabit the various niches of the instream environment. Many researchers have examined the instream variables which influence the distribution and abundance of these invertebrate food organisms and have concluded that water depth, water velocity, and substrate type are three of the most important controlling factors (Kimble and Wesche 1975; Cummins 1975). There is some controversy, however, as to which of these factors exerts the greatest control. It is likely, however, that invertebrate species select their habitats on the basis of combinations of the above factors rather than on the basis of the factors individually (Ulfstrand 1967). Ulfstrand based this conclusion on the ability of different combinations of depth, velocity, and substrate to entrap debris which could be used as food by invertebrates.

Additional studies have suggested that optimum invertebrate habitat could be identified according to combinations of available depth, velocity, and substrate type. Pearson et al. (1970) suggested that optimum habitat conditions for invertebrate organisms were reached when streamflows resulted in the greatest amount of riffle-like habitat having water velocities of approximately 2.0 feet per second (ft/sec). Banks et al. (1974) made optimum streamflow recommendations for invertebrate habitat by assuming that the most preferred streamflow would be that which would provide the maximum surface acreage with water velocities of 1.5-3.49 ft/sec and depths of 0.50-2.99 feet. The California Department of Fish and Game (1975) based streamflow recommendations for invertebrate habitat on habitat curves with streamflow as the independent variable generated from weighted depth, velocity, and substrate measurements collected along transects. Newell (1976) used linear regression analysis with streamflow as the independent variable to predict macroinvertebrate densities at different flows in the Yellowstone River, Montana.

One of the most recent predictive modelling procedures for describing benthic invertebrate habitat has been developed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Instream Flow Group (IFG) (Judy and Gore 1979). The IFG used many of the same modelling techniques which were developed for evaluating instream fish habitat for the assessment of the instream flow requirements of benthic invertebrate habitat (Bovee and Cochnauer 1977, Bovee and Milhous 1978, Bovee et al. 1979 and Bovee 1979). These modelling techniques utilize water depth, velocity, and substrate type as the dominant hydraulic variables to quantify the responses of benthic invertebrate habitat to changes in streamflow.

Information concerning the density and the number of different kinds of invertebrate foods available to rearing juvenile salmon and the habitat requirements of these invertebrate organisms is not well known for the Susitna River as only limited studies of invertebrate organisms have been conducted to date (ADF&G 1977, 1978 and 1983a). The studies conducted to date have been limited to describing the diet of juvenile chinook, coho, and sockeye salmon and the kinds of invertebrate foods available to them. No habitat modelling evaluations have been conducted describing the density and flow requirements of invertebrates in habitats utilized by juvenile salmon.

This report presents the results of the 1984 Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Aquatic Studies Program Food Availability Study (FAS). The study was designed to quantify invertebrate habitat and the invertebrate food organisms available to juvenile chinook salmon in selected side channel and side slough habitats of the middle Susitna River at different mainstem flows. Side channel and side slough habitats of the middle Susitna River were selected as evaluation habitats as these habitat types are located along the lateral margins of the river flood plain and are subject to dewatering if naturally occurring summer discharges are significantly reduced by the proposed hydroelectric facility. Juvenile chinook salmon were selected as evaluation species as they have been shown to utilize these habitats for summer rearing (ADF&G 1983b, Schmidt et al. 1984).

The FAS was divided into three parts: 1) an evaluation of invertebrate drift; 2) an analysis of the flow requirements of macrobenthos; and, 3) a confirmatory study of juvenile chinook feeding habits. The specific objectives of the three part study were to:

- 1. Evaluate the available food sources in selected mainstem affected side channel and side slough habitats and verify their relative importance to juvenile chinook salmon;
- 2. Evaluate the relative importance of the contribution of mainstem invertebrate drift in selected mainstem affected side channel and side slough habitats;
- 3. Estimate the response of selected groups of invertebrates from selected mainstem affected side channel and side slough habitats to various water depths, velocities, and substrate types; and,
- 4. Quantify the area of habitat usable to selected invertebrate groups at different mainstem discharges in selected mainstem affected side channel and side slough.

Three side channels and one side slough were selected for study between River Mile (RM) 129 and RM 142 (Figure 1). These study sites were selected to utilize previously established IFG modelling transects located in areas found to contain significant numbers of juvenile chinook salmon. Data collected within the study sites included:



Figure 1. Map of the middle Sustina River showing the four Food Availability Study sampling sites, 1984.

benthic and drift invertebrate samples and point specific water depth, mean column water velocity, and substrate composition. These data were combined with existing hydraulic simulation model data to estimate the response of invertebrate habitat to changes in discharge. In addition, juvenile chinook salmon were collected for stomach content analyses to verify food habitats.

Because of the limited number of invertebrates per unit area at each sampling site, a somewhat different approach to grouping invertebrates was utilized in the study over that suggested by Judy and Gore (1979). Whereas Judy and Gore constructed preference curves for species of benthic invertebrates representing different functional groups, curves in this study were constructed for groups of invertebrates representing behavioral types which reflect basic habitat preference (e.g., burrowing organisms might prefer smaller substrate size classes).

The findings of this study should provide resource managers with the information necessary for a better understanding of the mainstem discharges required for the maintenance of adequate production of fish food organisms in juvenile chinook salmon rearing areas.

## 2.0 METHODS

## 2.1 Field Sampling

### 2.1.1 Study Site Selection

Juvenile salmon distribution and abundance studies in the middle Susitna River have shown that juvenile chinook salmon utilize mainstem affected side channel and side slough habitats for summer rearing (ADF&G 1983b, Schmidt et al. 1984). For this reason, four sites (Figure 1) representing a cross section of the side channel and side slough habitats available to rearing juvenile chinook salmon in the middle Susitna River were chosen for study. The sites selected for study were: Side Slough 9 (RM 128), Side Channel 10 (RM 134), Upper Side Channel 11 (RM 136), and Side Slough 21 (RM 142). For purposes of this report, the Side Slough 21 site will be referred to as the Upper Side Channel 21 Site (i.e., the area is located at the mouth of Slough 21 in the Upper Side Channel 21 study site upstream of overflow channel A5).

Each of these sites are affected by mainstem discharge to varying degrees and contain existing hydraulic simulation model (IFG-4) transects which can be used for invertebrate habitat analysis. In previous studies, significant numbers of juvenile chinook salmon have been captured at each location (ADF&G 1983b, Schmidt et al. 1984). A complete physical description of each study site can be found in Quane et al. (1984b). Available hydrographs, rating curves, and discharge data for each of the study sites are presented in Appendix A.

#### 2.1.2 Invertebrate Drift

To evaluate differences between the number of invertebrates entering mainstem affected habitats and the number of invertebrates within mainstem affected habitats, invertebrate drift was sampled at two locations each of the four study sites. One pair of drift nets were located at the head of each study site where the mainstem breaches into the side slough or side channel, and another pair of nets were located within the IFG modelling study area (Figures 2 through 5).

Drift nets were constructed of 500 micron Nitex netting and measured 12 x 18 x 39 inches (Figure 6). The downstream end of each drift net consisted of a detachable collection bucket constructed of a 15 inch section of plastic pipe with 500 micron Nitex net windows and base. While in the water, each net was supported by two one inch diameter steel rods that were pounded into the substrate. Four three inch chrome rings, attached to the corners of each net frame, allowed easy setting and removal of nets from the steel rods.

To ensure the greatest catch size, drift was sampled during the evening, which is generally considered to be a period of increased activity for many aquatic invertebrate taxa (Hynes 1970, Waters 1972). Each site was sampled three times during the sampling season (Table 1). Nets were set approximately two hours before sunset for two consecutive days at each site. The sampling duration for each net pair was dependent on river stage and debris load and ranged from 0.12 hours to 1.20 hours. If the





σ

Figure 2. Map of Slough 9 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through September, 1984.



. . . . .

.....

and and

Figure 3. Map of Side Channel 10 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through September, 1984.

. .



. . . .

.....

1992 - 200 - **19** - 199

Figure 4. Map of Upper Side Channel 11 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through August, 1984.



Figure 5. Map of upper Side Channel 21 and Slough 21 showing invertebrate and juvenile chinook salmon sampling locations, June through September, 1984.

Q



Figure 6. Invertebrate sampling gear used in the Food Availability Study, 1984. Adapted from Merritt and Cummins (1978).

July June August September 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 24 25 26 27 28 678 9 10 11 12 13 14 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 23 24 8 9 10 Sampling Type SLOUGH 9 Benthic Drift X X х х X X X X х X Juvenile Chinook Х X X X X X X X X X X X X X X Temperature Turbidity X SIDE CHANNEL 10 Benthic X х X X Drift х X X X X Juvenile Chinook X X X X Temperature X X X X X X X X XX X Turbidity UPPER SIDE CHANNEL 11 Benthic X X X X X X X X Drift Juvenile Chinook X X X X X X X X X X X X X Temperature X X X X X X Turbidity SIDE CHANNEL 21 Benthic Drift X X X X X X X X Juvenile Chinook Temperature X X X X X X X X X X х X X X X Turbidity Х х Х

х

Food availability study sampling dates, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Table 1.

side slough or side channel being sampled was not breached, only the IFG-4 drift sampling location was sampled.

Water velocity and depth were measured in the center of each net opening at the beginning and end of each sampling period using a Marsh/McBirney electrical current meter and wading rod using procedures described in ADF&G (1984). The two depth and velocity measurements for each net were averaged and used to calculate the total volume  $(ft^3)$  of water filtered.

#### 2.1.3 Benthic Invertebrates

Benthic samples were collected along existing IFG-4 modelling transects at each sampling site twice during the open water season to determine invertebrate habitat preferences (Table 1). The number placement of samples taken at each study site during a sampling date was determined by the variety of microhabitat conditions available (i.e., the variety of depth, velocity, and substrate combinations present).

Benthic samples were taken with a 25 inch high 1.08 ft<sup>2</sup> cylindrical benthic sampler constructed of aluminum and covered with 500 micron Nitex netting (Figure 6). The same detachable collection bucket used on the drift nets was used on the benthic sampler.

Benthic samples were taken by forcing the sampler into the substrate to a depth of four inches and agitating the enclosed substrate by hand until all suspended materials were washed downstream into the collection bucket. When sampling large substrates such as boulders, the sampler was placed on the boulder surface and the substrate was scraped by hand to remove any invertebrates present. Similarly, the uppermost layer of medium sized substrates (eg. rubble, or cobble) were dislodged and all surfaces were scraped to remove invertebrates.

Point measurements of water depth and mean column water velocity were recorded prior to taking a benthic sample using a Marsh/McBirney electrical current meter and wading rod using methods described in ADF&G (1984). In addition, substrate type was visually determined while taking each sample using a thirteen class ranking system (Table 2). The location of each sample was determined by reading a fiberglass measuring tape stretched between the headpins of the IFG-4 modelling transect being sampled.

Additional benthic samples were collected in April, May, September, and October for determining invertebrate development using a kick screen similar to that described in ADF&G 1983a. These samples, however, were not used in the development of invertebrate suitability criteria.

## 2.1.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon

To compare the diet of juvenile chinook salmon with the composition of invertebrates in drift and benthic samples, juvenile chinook salmon were captured for stomach content analysis at each side channel and side slough study site. This information was used to supplement previously collected data on juvenile chinook salmon diet in the middle Susitna River (ADF&G 1978, ADF&G 1983b).

Table 2. Substrate classification scheme utilized to evaluate substrate composition at each benthic sampling point (Vincent-Lang et al. 1984).

IFG Code	Substrate Category	Size (inches)
1.0	silt	less than 1/32
2.0	silt - sand sand	1/32 - 1/8
4.0 5.0	sand - small gravel small gravel	1/8 - 1
7.0	large gravel - rubble	1 - 3
9.0	rubble - cobble	3 - 5
11.0 12.0	cobble cobble - boulder	5 - 10
13.0	boulder	greater than 10

Study sites were electrofished three times during the field season using a Coffelt (model no. BP1C) backpack electroshocker (Table 1). From each catch, four to seven juveniles were collected for future stomach content analysis. A small incision penetrating the body cavity was made superior to the pelvic girdle on the fish's left side to ensure adequate preservation of its stomach contents. The fish were then stored intact in 70% ethyl alcohol (ETOH).

### 2.1.5 Turbidity

Water samples for turbidity measurement were taken during both drift and benthic sampling at each study site. All samples were stored in 125 milliliter (ml) Nalgene bottles, kept cool in a darkened storage container, and analyzed within 72 hours of collection. Turbidity was measured in Nephalometric Turbidity Units (NTU) with an H.F. Instruments DRT-15B Portable Turbidimeter following procedures outlined in ADF&G (1984).

### 2.2 Laboratory Analysis

### 2.2.1 Sample Storage and Handling

All invertebrate samples were placed in polyethylene bags and preserved with 70% ETOH. Rose Bengal dye was added to the alcohol to dye invertebrates for easy sorting. Invertebrates were hand sorted from debris and stored in glass vials containing 70% ETOH for later identification and enumeration.

Juvenile chinook salmon preserved for stomach content analysis were measured for total length and their stomachs removed by making cuts at the anterior esophagus and pyloric sphincter. After removal, stomachs were stored in glass vials containing 70% ETOH for later invertebrate identification and enumeration.

### 2.2.2 Invertebrate Identification and Enumeration

Invertebrates from benthic, drift, and juvenile chinook stomach samples were identified to the family taxonomic level and counted. If identification to the family level was not possible, invertebrates were identified to order.

Invertebrates from juvenile chinook stomachs were counted using whole individuals when possible or body parts if items were partially digested or dismembered. Head capsules were used to count chironomid larvae (midges), whereas the head and thorax regions were used to count dismembered plecopterans (stoneflies) and ephemeropterans (mayflies). Other dismembered invertebrates were counted by piecing together identifiable body parts to estimate the kind and number of individuals present. Unidentifiable parts were not counted. Keys used to identify organisms include: Johansen and Thomsen (1934), Usinger (1956), Edmunds et al. (1976), Bauman et al. (1977), Wiggins (1977), Merrit and Cummins (1978), Pennak (1978), and Borror et al. (1981).

## 2.3 Data Analysis

### 2.3.1 Invertebrate Drift

In this study, density (i.e., number of individuals per unit volume of water), reported in English units (e.g., cubic feet and cubic yards), was used to describe the abundance of drifting invertebrates in samples. Densities were standardized by dividing the number of individuals in a taxa or group by the volume of water filtered. The relative density of an organism or group at a particular sample site was determined by placing the standardized mean density of that organism or group into one of four classes representing different orders of magnitude. The classes used were: Rare (0.001-0.009/yd<sup>3</sup>), Sparse (0.010-0.099/yd<sup>3</sup>), Common (0.100-0.999/yd<sup>3</sup>), and Abundant (1.000-9.999/yd<sup>3</sup>).

The differences in drift density at head and IFG-4 sampling locations within study sites was evaluated by placing sorted and identified invertebrates into eight taxanomic groups. The groups were: Collembola (springtails), Ephemeroptera (mayflies), Plecoptera (stoneflies), Trichoptera (caddisflies), Diptera larva (flies), Diptera adults, Other Insects, and Other Invertebrates. Multiple regression analysis was then used to determine the relationship that the quantity of invertebrate drift present at head sites has to that present at IFG-4 sites. The dependent variable in this analysis was drift numbers at the IFG-4 site and the independent variables were drift numbers at the head sites, volume of water filtered through nets at head sites, and volume of water filtered through nets at IFG-4 sites.

The original data were transformed using a logarithmic transformation  $(log_e)$  to reduce variance and skewness (i.e., log\_ [x+1] where x equals number of individuals) following procedures described in Steel and Torrie (1960). The general linear model tested was:

where:

 $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \varepsilon$ 

- β = intercept term; β<sup>0</sup> = regression coefficients (1,2,3); x<sub>1</sub> = transformed (log [x+1]) numbers of grouped drift invertebrates collected at the head site;
- x<sub>2</sub> = transformed (log [x]) volume of water filtered for drift sample collected at the head site;
- x<sub>3</sub> = transformed (log [x]) volume of water filtered for drift sample collected at the IFG-4 site;
- = transformed (log [x+1]) numbers of grouped drift invertebrates collected at the IFG-4 site; and

 $\varepsilon$  = Error term

The null hypothesis in this evaluation was: Numbers of drifting individuals in invertebrate groups at IFG-4 sites was not dependent on (related to) the numbers of drifting individuals in invertebrate groups at head sites, volume of water filtered at head sites, or volume of water filtered at IFG-4 sites.

To determine if the observed variations in the drift numbers at IFG-4 sites were due to any of the independent variables and not due to chance alone, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. The hypothesis tested was:

H<sub>0</sub>:  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$ H<sub>A</sub>:  $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \neq \beta_3 \neq 0$ 

The F test criterion was defined as:

F = <u>mean square error due to regression</u> residual mean square error

To determine if the partial regression coefficients had true values greater than zero, the Student's t test was applied (Steel and Torrie 1960). The hypotheses tested in this case were:

H<sub>0</sub>:  $J_1 = 0$ ,  $\beta_2 = 0$ ,  $\beta_3 = 0$ H<sub>A</sub>:  $\beta_1 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_3 \neq 0$ 

The test criteria are defined as:

 $t = \frac{\frac{\beta_{i}}{\beta_{i}}}{\frac{S_{\beta_{i}}^{\Lambda}}{S_{\beta_{i}}}}$ 

estimate of the partial regression coefficients

standard error of the estimate of the partial regression coefficient

The probability level used in both the F test and the Student's t test was  $\alpha=0.05$ .

To depict the relationship between drift density at IFG-4 sites and drift density at head sites, the drift data (counts) were plotted on a two dimensional cartesian plane. The counts were plotted in three ways: 1) head counts versus IFG-4 counts for all samples collected, 2) head counts versus IFG-4 counts for each sampling month, and 3) head counts versus IFG-4 counts for each sampling location. For these plots, the number of invertebrates in each group was standardized and multiplied by 1,000 to estimate the number of organisms caught per 1,000 cubic feet of water filtered through each net. Standardized data were transformed using the natural logarithm transformation ( $log_{a}$  [x+1]).

# 2.3.2 <u>Benthic Invertebrate</u>

### 2.3.2.1 Standing Crop Estimation

Benthic samples were used to estimate the standing crop of benthic invertebrates present at each of the four study sites. Mean densities (i.e., average number of individuals per unit area) reported in English units (e.g., square feet and square yards), were used to describe the abundance of individuals. Benthic invertebrates were first identified and counted for each sample. These counts represented the number of organisms or groups occurring in an area 1.08 foot square  $(ft^2)$ . The average number of organisms or groups per unit area was calculated by dividing the total number of an organism or group in all samples by the number of samples. The relative density of an organism or group at a particular study site was then determined by placing the calculated mean density of that organism or group into one of four classes representing different orders of magnitude. The classes used were: Rare  $(0.1 - 0.9/yd^2)$ , Sparse  $(1.0 - 9.9/yd^2)$ , Common  $(10.0 - 99.9/7d^2)$ , and Abundant  $(100.0 - 999.9/yd^2)$ .

The diversity (H') of the benthic invertebrate community in riffle, run, and pool habitats in the side channels and side sloughs was calculated using the Shannon-Weaver diversity index (Poole 1974). The evenness (J') of the benthic community was also calculated using an index which incorporates the value of H'. Both insect taxa and non-insect taxa were used in the calculation of the indices. The formulae for the Shannon-Weaver diversity index and the eveness index are shown in Appendix D.

### 2.3.2.2 Suitability Criteria Development

Weighted habitat criteria representing a particular species/life phase preference for a particular habitat variable were developed for benthic food organisms for input into a habitat simulation model used to calculate usable benthic invertebrate habitat area. Due to the small numbers of many of the benthic food taxa sampled and problems associated with interpreting numerous weighted habitat criteria for each taxa, weighted habitat criteria were only developed for four behavioral types of benthic food organisms: burrowers, sprawlers, swimmers, and clingers. The placement of a particular invertebrate taxa (i.e., family) into one of these behavioral types was based on information compiled by Merritt and Cummins (1978) who give a general description of the locomotive behavior of invertebrates at the family and sub-family level. In this study, the sub-family level of classification was referred to only when large families of invertebrates were being categorized. This was necessary because of the possibility of the presence of family members being of a different behavioral type than that described for the family as a whole. For example, when assigning Chironomidae to burrowers, the sub-families Deamesinae and Orthododinae were considered since these are the principle sub-families present in Susitna River samples (Milner pers. comm. 1984). These two sub-families were comprised primarily of burrower behavioral types. Table 3 lists each behavioral group, its general description, and the invertebrate taxa belonging to each category.

Weighted habitat criteria are typically expressed in the form of habitat curves which describe the relative usability of different levels of a particular habitat variable for a particular species/life phase, with the peak indicating greatest usability and the tails tapering towards less usable values. Curves are typically developed for each habitat variable considered to influence the selection of habitat for the species/life phase of interest. Three types of habitat curves are typically constructed: utilization, preference, and/or suitability. A detailed description of each curve type and its usage in habitat simulation models is presented in Vincent-Lang et al. (1984).

Behavioral Type	Description	Invertebrate Taxa
Burrowers	Inhabiting the fine sediments of streams (pools). Some construct discrete burrows which may have sand grain tubes extending above the surface of the substrate or the individuals may ingest their way through the sediments (examples: Diptera, most Chironominae, Chironomini-"blood worm" midges).	Tipulidae Chironomidae Psychodidae
Clingers	Representatives have behavioral (e.g., fixed retreat construction) and morphological (e.g., long, curved tarsal claws, dorso-ventral flattening and ventral gills arranged as a sucker) adaptations for attachment to surfaces in stream riffles (examples: Ephemeroptera, Heptageniidae; Trichoptera, Hydropsychidae).	Chloroperlidae Ephemerellidae Heptageniidae Hydropsychidae Perlodidae Rhyacophilidae Simuliidae Taeniopterygidae
Sprawlers	Inhabiting the surface of floating leaves of vascular hydrophytes or fine sediments, usually with modifications for staying on top of the substrate and maintaining the respiratory surfaces free of silt (examples: Ephemeroptera, Caenidae).	Capniidae Limnephilidae Nemouridae
Swimmers	Adapted for "fishlike" swimming in lotic or lentic habitats. Individuals usually cling to submerged objects, such as rocks (lotic riffles) or vascular plants (lentic), between short bursts of swimming (examples: Ephemeroptera in the families Siphlonuridae, Leptophlebiidae).	Baetidae Siphlonuridae

Table 3. Invertebrate taxa grouped by behavioral type (Merritt and Cummins, 1978).

•
In this report, utilization curves were modified using pertinent literature and professional judgement to define weighted habitat suitability criteria for selected behavioral groupings of benthic invertebrates. Weighted habitat suitability criteria were developed for the three habitat variables considered of greatest importance to benthic invertebrates: depth, velocity, and substrate. Due to the limited data base that could be used for the development of weighted habitat suitability criteria, benthic invertebrate data were pooled from all sites and both benthic sampling periods.

The first step in the development of weighted habitat suitability criteria involved the construction of utilization curves for depth, velocity, and substrate. Because depth and velocity were measured in the field to the nearest 0.1 ft and 0.1 ft/sec, respectively, the initial utilization plots were constructed using intervals having these values. However, since sample numbers were low within each of the measurement velocity and depth intervals and variances were high, intervals were grouped (Table 4). Grouping of intervals was done by best visual fit of the data by considering the relative number of samples representing each interval, the number of irregular fluctuations present among intervals, and the accuracy of the depth and velocity data collected.

Substrate was determined in the field according to numbered discrete substrate classes (e.g., silt, sand, gravel, etc.) defined in Table 2. Since sample numbers were low within these substrate classes and variances were high, substrate classes were grouped for the construction of the initial utilization plots (Table 5). As for depth and velocity, grouping of classes was done by best visual fit of the data by considering the relative number of samples representing each class, the number of irregular fluctuations present among the different classes, and the accuracy of the substrate data collected.

Relative utilization for each of these habitat variables was then derived by taking the total number of individuals within each new interval range of depth, velocity, or substrate class and dividing by the total number of samples having that same depth, velocity, or substrate range value. The resulting means (mean number of type individuals/sample) were plotted against their corresponding depth, velocity, and substrate range to provide utilization curves of the three habitat variables for all four behavioral types. To calculate a utilization index of 0.0 to 1.0 for the ranges in each histogram, each mean was divided by the largest mean determined on that histogram. In addition, a 95% confidence interval for the means was calculated for each range in the histograms.

Weighted habitat suitability criteria were then developed for each habitat variable for each of the four behavioral types based on the developed utilization curves, as modified using pertinent literature and professional judgement. In general, for ranges where utilization data were present, the utilization curve was used to define weighted habitat suitability criteria. For ranges which there was no utilization data, pertinent literature, professional judgement, and the general trends in the utilization data were used to define weighted habitat suitability

Increment Number	Depth (ft) Increment Range	Increment Number	Velocity (ft/sec) Increment Range
1 2 3 4 5	0.0 - 0.4 0.4 - 0.8 0.8 - 1.2 1.2 - 1.6 1.6 - 2.0	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	$\begin{array}{r} 0.0\\ 0.0 - 0.2\\ 0.2 - 0.4\\ 0.4 - 0.6\\ 0.6 - 0.8\\ 0.8 - 1.0\\ 1.0 - 1.2\\ 1.2 - 1.4\\ 1.4 - 1.6\\ 1.6 - 2.0\\ 2.0 - 2.6\end{array}$

# Table 4. Depth and velocity increments used for suitability criteria development

Table 5. Substrate class groupings used for suitability criteria development.

.

Class	Number	Class Range	Description
	1 2 3	1.0 - 4.0 5.0 - 7.0 8.0 - 10.0	Silt - Sand/Small Gravel Small Gravel - Large Gravel Large Gravel/Rubble - Rubble/Cobble
	4	11.0 - 13.0	Cobble - Boulder

criteria. Literature used to help in determining weighted habitat suitability criteria included: Kennedy 1976, Newell 1976, Bjornn et al. 1977, Gore 1978, Harris and Lawrence 1978, Hubbard and Peters 1978, Surdick and Gaufin 1978, Judy and Gore 1979, White et al. 1981, and Anderson 1982.

Mean water column velocities were measured in this study as opposed to point velocities at the substrate surface so as to validate the use of the resultant weighted habitat suitability criteria in the HABTAT model which uses mean water column velocities to project usable habitat area. Use of mean water velocities is consistent with that of other researchers involved with habitat simulation modelling for benthic invertebrates (Judy and Gore 1979).

### 2.3.2.3 <u>Weighted Usable Area</u>

The HABTAT habitat simulation model of the IFG (Milhous et al. 1981) was used to project weighted usable area (WUA) of benthic invertebrate habitat at each site. To calculate WUA, weighted habitat suitability criteria for depth, velocity, and substrate for each behavioral group were inputed using the standard calculation technique to calculate a joint preference factor (Judy and Gore 1979) along with the IFG-4 hydraulic simulation modelling details from 1983 for each study site (Vincent-Lang et al. 1984) into the HABTAT habitat simulation model.

Use of the physical simulation models developed during the 1983 open water field season (Vincent-Lang et al. 1984) was considered valid in this analysis although specific changes in channel geometry and morphology may have occurred at a particular study site as such changes probably reflect a dynamic, but generally stable equilibrium. Therefore, such changes are believed to exert only a limited influence on the long-term habitat availability at a study site, validating the use of the models in this analysis. A detailed explanation of the steps involved in calculating WUA is provided in Vincent-Lang et al. (1984).

Gross surface area at each study site and WUA for each behavioral group at each study site were projected over the range of site flows from 5.0-600.0 cfs at Slough 9, 5.0-100.0 cfs at Side Channel 10, 5.0-250.0 cfs at Upper Side Channel 11, and 5.0-400.0 cfs at upper Side Channel 21. Resultant WUA projections were then plotted as a function of site flow to graphically show the relationship between site flow and WUA for each behavioral group. In addition, gross surface area was plotted on each respective figure.

The relationships between WUA and gross surface area to mainstem discharge were also plotted for periods when the site flow was directly controlled by mainstem discharge. Additional plots using an expanded WUA scale were constructed for each site to better depict and compare trends of WUA as a function of mainstem discharge at and between study sites. The x-coordinate values on these plots were derived using site-specific flow/mainstem discharge rating curves presented in Appendix A.

#### 2.3.3 Invertebrate Larval Development

The amount of growth or development of the larva of hemimetabolous insects was determined by visual inspection of the amount of wing development within the wing pads. Three categories of larvae were determined: early instar (i.e., the insect shortly after hatching from the egg), middle instar, and late instar (the insect shortly before emergence as adult). If no wing pads were discernible or if no wing development was discernible within the wing pads, the insects were considered to be in the early instar stage. Middle instars were considered to be individuals having wing pads in which the developing wings had the appearance of venation. If wing pads contained flight wings which appeared near full development, the insects were considered to be in the late instar stage. Wing pads in this last stage of development appeared dark as a result of the tight folding of the flight wing inside the pads.

### 2.3.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon

The stomach content data from juvenile chinook salmon were pooled for all sites and sampling dates and grouped into the eight taxonomic categories listed in Section 2.3.1. Percent composition of each category was determined and displayed as pie diagrams. In addition to the taxonomic groupings, the benthic aquatic insects found in the juvenile chinook stomachs were grouped by behavioral type as shown in Table 3. The percent composition of each behavioral group was determined and also represented as pie diagrams. In addition to the pie diagrams, juvenile chinook salmon stomach content data were presented in the form of bar diagrams. For these diagrams, all sites were pooled for comparison of the relative contribution of the different taxonomic groups on the four sampling dates.

Benthic invertebrate and invertebrate drift data were also presented in pie diagrams for comparison with the juvenile chinook stomach content data. Pie diagrams of the benthic and drift data were made with the same eight taxonomic groupings and the four aquatic insect behavioral types.

#### 3.0 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Invertebrate Drift

Six orders, representing 30 families of aquatic and semi-aquatic insects, and eight orders not identified to the family level were collected within the four study sites during the 1984 open water study period. In addition, eleven non-insect aquatic and non-aquatic groups were also collected (Appendix Table B-1).

The most frequently occurring invertebrate groups in drift samples were dipteran flies and ephemeropterans (mayflies) with Plecopterans (stoneflies) being the third most frequently encountered insect group (Appendix Table B-2 through B-5). Chironomid flies and baetid mayflies made up the majority of individuals in Diptera and Ephemeroptera, respectively, whereas no family was dominant in Plecoptera. Chironomids were relatively abundant throughout the entire sampling period while ephemeropterans were relatively common only in early June. Plecopterans were more common in early August than in early June. The relative density of these three insect groups was generally greater at head sampling sites than at IFG-4 sampling sites (Table 6).

Scatter plots, showing the linear relationship between drifting invertebrates grouped as Collembola, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Diptera larvae, Diptera adults, Other Insects, and Other Invertebrates are shown in Figures 7 and 8. These two figures show the relationships of drifting invertebrates under breached conditions. The plots reveal in all cases that the numbers of individuals at IFG-4 sites increase as the number of individuals at head sites increase. The slope of the regression equation for all plots, however, suggest that proportionately fewer invertebrates were found in the drift at IFG-4 sampling sites than at head sampling sites. Coefficient of determination values  $(r^2)$  for the plots ranged from 0.14 to 0.89 with the upper Side Channel 21 data having the lowest value. This sampling location was frequently unbreached or at initial breaching during sampling periods resulting in few drift samples being taken at this location.

The results of the multiple regression F test indicated that the variation in drift numbers at the IFG-4 sites (y) could be "explained" by the variation in drift numbers at the head sites  $(x_1)$ , volume of water filtered at head sites  $(x_2)$ , and volume of water filtered at the IFG-4 sites  $(x_3)$ . However, the results of the Student's t tests indicated that the regression coefficient  $(\beta_2)$  for  $x_2$  was not significantly different from zero. Accordingly, a new general linear model was evaluated which did not utilize  $x_2$ . The new model was:

 $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_3 x_3 + \varepsilon$ 

where the symbols are the same as defined in section 2.3.1. The F test for this model indicated that the variation in drift numbers at the IFG-4 sites (y) could be "explained" by the variation in drift numbers at the head sites  $(x_1)$  and the volume of watered filtered from samples at the IFG-4 sites  $(x_3)$ . The Student's t test results for this model indicated that  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_3$  were significantly different from zero (at  $\alpha =$ 

Table 6.

Relative density of invertebrate drift per cublc yard of water by site and drift net location, June through August 1984, Middle Susitna River, Alaska. R=Rare (0.001-0.009/yd<sup>3</sup>), S=Sparse (0.010-0.099/yd<sup>3</sup>), C=Common (0.100-0.999/yd<sup>3</sup>), A=Abundant (1.000-9.999/yd<sup>3</sup>).

Cite	Slou	gh 9	Side Cha	nnel 10	Up Side Cha	per nnel 11	Sloug	jh 21
51 <b>Ce</b>	Head	186-4	head	114-4	Head	116-4	Head	1rG-4
INSECTA								
Protura	-		-		R	-	-	-
Collembola	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	-
Isotomidae	S	S	S	S	С.,	S	S	R
Poduridae	-	R	-	-	R	R	S	R
Sminthuridae	R	R	R	-	R	R	S	R
TOTAL Collembola	S	S	S	S	С	S	с	R
Ephemeroptera	-	-	· · · - ·	-	-	R	•	-
Baetidae	S	S	Ċ	S	- <b>A</b>	С	-	R
Ephemerellidae	S	S	S	5	S	R	S	R
Heptageniidae	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	R
Siphlohuridae	-	-	S	S	S	R	Š	-
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	s	S	с	S	A	с	S	R
			<u></u>			¢		
riecoptera	2	3	-	-	3	3	-	ĸ
	ĸ	ĸ	-	ĸ	ĸ	ĸ	-	к
Chloroperlidae	ĸ	к	5	ĸ	5	5	-	-
Nemouridae	. K	-	5	ĸ	5	2	-	ĸ
Perlodidae	5	к	5	к	5	к	5	R
Pteronarcidae Taeniopterygidae	S	- S	R	R	к -	 -	-	-
TOTAL Plecoptera	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Psocoptera	R	R	-	R	R	-	-	R
Thysanoptera	S	S	-	R	S	S	-	S
Hemiptera	R	S	S	R	R	R	R	R
Homoptera	S	R	S	R	S	S	-	S
Neuroptera	-	-	-	-		R	S	-
Coleoptera	S	s	R	S	S	S	S	R
Dytiscidae	-	-	-	-	R	R	-	-
Hydrophilidae	-	-	-	-	-	R	-	-
TOTAL Coleoptera	S	S	S	S	S	S	s	R
Trichoptera	S	S	-	-	R	R	-	S
Glossosomatidae	-	-		R	-	R	-	-
Hydronsychidae	S	R	S	ŝ	R	R	-	-
Limnephilidae	š	S ·	Ř	Ř	R	-	-	·R
Rhyacophilidae	-	-	-	-	. S	R	-	-
TOTAL Trichoptera	s	S	S	s	S	S	-	R
Lepidoptera	R	R	R	R	S	s	-	R

### Table 6 (Continued).

Site	Slough 9 Head	IFG-4	Side	Channel Head	10  FG-4	Side	Upper Channel Head	11 1FG-4	Slou	gh 21 Head	1FG-4
Diptera	S	R		S	-		s	S		S	R
Ceratopogonidae	R	R		R	S		S	R		S	S
Chironomidae Culeidae	A	C		A	C		A	A		C	A
Dixidae	-	-		-	-		R	R		-	-
Empididae	R	S		S	S		S	S		С	R
Muscidae	-			-			R	R		-	R
Psychodidae Simuliidae	-	ĸ		-	ĸ		R	R		-	-
Strationvidae	-	3 =		-	- -		R	-		-	к -
Svrphidae	-	-		-	-		R	R		-	-
Tipulidae	R	R		S	R		S	S		S	R
TOTAL Diptera	A	C		A	A		A	A		A	A
Hymenoptera	S	S		S	Ś		S	S		с	с
HYDROZOA	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	R
NEMATODA	-	-		S	R		R	R		-	-
OLIGOCHAETA	S	S		с	S		S	S		-	S
CRUSTACEA							-				
Cladocera	S	S		-	-		R	R		-	-
Podocopa	K	R		S	-		-	R		-	R
Amphipoda	-	-		-	-		R	R		-	-
TOTAL CRUSTACEA	S	S		S	S		S	S		-	R
ARACHNIDA	_	_		_	_						
Araneae	R	R		R	R		S	R		-	R
nuari	5	J		3	3		3	3		-	3
TOTAL ARACHNIDA	S	S		S	S		S	S		-	S
CHILOPODA	-	-		-	-		R	-		-	-
GASTROPODA	-	-		-	-		R	R		-	-



Figure 7. Scatter plots of standardized drift densities (no/1000 feet<sup>3</sup> of water) of eight invertebrate groups, head numbers vs. IFG-4 numbers. Densities are transformed log<sub>e</sub> (x+1).

ک 0



Figure 8. Scatter plots of standardized drift densities (no/1000 feet<sup>3</sup> of water) of eight invertebrate groups, head numbers vs. IFG-4 numbers. Densities are transformed  $\log_{e} (x+1)$ .

 ${\bf X}_{i}$ 

### HEAD VERSUS IFG DRIFT SAMPLES

0.05). Accordingly, at mainstem discharge levels which exceed controlling breaching values, there does appear to be a relationship between composition and abundance of the drift at the IFG-4 sites versus that at the head sites. The specific details of the general linear models summarized above are presented in Appendix C.

On 14 occasions, an invertebrate group was found only at the IFG-4 or the head sampling site during sampling periods. This phenomenon occurred among the groups Collembola, Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera, Diptera Larvae, and Other Invertebrates at least once at each of the four sampling reaches.

The density and rate of drift among the eight invertebrate groups is shown in Appendix Table B-6. This table includes densities of drifting invertebrate groups and rates of drift under breached and unbreached conditions. In general, the densities of drifting organisms and rates of drift were higher at head sampling sites than at IFG-4 sampling sites during periods of breaching. However, the rate of drift at the head or IFG-4 site was, in some instances, lower or higher than expected for the corresponding density for drifting organisms in the water columns. For example, in the Total Invertebrates category at the head sampling site in Slough 9 during the June 7-14 sampling period there were 1.49 organisms per cubic yard of water and a corresponding rate of drift of 11.98 organisms per minute. In comparison, during the August 9-16 sampling period the density of drifting organisms in a cubic yard of water was 3.03 organisms but with a lower corresponding drift rate of 8.91 organisms per minute (Appendix Table B-6). In another instance, while the density and rate of drift of invertebrates in the Total Invertebrates category at the head site of Side Channel 10 were both higher than that at the IFG-4 site during the June 7-14 sampling period, only the density measure was higher during the July 7-14 sampling period (Appendix Table B-6). The reason for this is that, though two equal volumes of water may have the same number of organisms, the rate at which the organisms contained within those volumes of water that pass a point will be different if the velocities of the water are different.

#### 3.2 Benthic Invertebrates

Benthos at the four study sites was dominated by aquatic insects (73%) and oligochaete worms (24%). The remaining 3% of benthos was made up primarily of flatworms (Turbellaria), nematodes, crustaceans, and mites (Acari), with gastropods (snails) and pelecypods (clams) being incidental. In all, six orders of aquatic and semi aquatic insects and seven classes of non-insects were identified (Appendix Table B-1).

The relative abundance of benthic invertebrates at study sites is shown in Table 7. The seasonal variation in numbers of invertebrates is indicated in Appendix Tables B-7 through B-10. In general, higher numbers of benthic invertebrates were present in study sites during late August and early September (late summer) than during late June and early July (early summer). Ephemeropterans and dipterans were the most common benthic invertebrates in early summer, whereas plecopterans and dipterans were the most common groups in late summer. Fewer dipterans were present in benthic samples in early summer than in late summer.

	Slough 9 RM 128.3	Side Channel 10 RM 133.8	Upper Side Channel 11 RM 136.0	Upper Side Channel 21 RM 141.8
INSECTA	e			
Collembola				
Isotomidae	R	-	R	R
Ephemeroptera				
Baetidae	S	S	S	S
Ephemerellidae	S	R	S	R
Siphlonaridae	s R	S R	S R	5 -
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	S	s	С	С
			<u></u>	······································
Cappiidae	ç	r	c	Р
Chloroperlidae	Š	S	S	S
Nemouridae	Ř	R	Š	Š
Perlodidae	ŝ	S	Š	Š
Taeniopterygidae	S	R	R	-
TOTAL Plecoptera	с	С	С	с
Coleoptera				
Dytiscidae	<b>→</b> <sup>1</sup>	-	-	R
Trichoptera				
Hydropsychidae	-	-	-	R
Hydroptilidae	-	-	•	R
Limnephilidae	S	S	R	C
Rhyacophilidae	R	-	S	-
TOTAL Trichoptera	S	S	S	C
Distance	•	<u>,</u>		
Ulptera Constanonacidos	0	· · · ·	D	_
Chinocomidae	π	- -	к С	-
Empididae				с С
Musoidae	n T	3	R T	5
Peychodidae	-	-	-	ה
Simuliidae	8	R	R	8
Tipulidae	Ř	ŝ	R	S
TOTAL Diptera	с	с	с	A
TURBELLARIA	-	-	S	S
NEMATODA	R	R	R	R
OLICOCHAETA	C	S	C	A
	-	-		· ·
CRUSTACEA	·		-	
LIAGOCERA Evenenada	x	-	-	-
Eucopepoda Podocopa	к -	- R	к -	ĸ
	R	R	R	R
	i v	i v	Ň	Ň
AKACHNIDA	•	D	P	e
Acara	π	R	π	3
CASTROPODA	-	-	R	-
	-	_	D	

Table 7. Relative density of benthic invertebrates per square yard by site, June through September 1984, middle Susitna River Alaska. R=Rare (0.1-0.9/yd<sup>2</sup>), S=Sparse (1.0-9.9/yd<sup>2</sup>), C=Common (10.0-99.9/yd<sup>2</sup>), A=Abundant (100.0-999.9/yd<sup>2</sup>).

Upper Side Channel 11 and upper Side Channel 21 typically had the highest numbers of benthic invertebrates present in the benthos. The most common benthic groups at these sites were dipterans and oligo-chaetes (Appendix Table B-8 and B-10).

Chironomid midges, oligochaetes, capniid stoneflies, and baetid and heptageniid mayflies were the most common benthic invertebrate families at the four study sites. High numbers of baetids and heptageniids were present in early summer, whereas capniids were most abundant in late summer. The highest numbers of chironomids occurred in late summer (Appendix Tables B-7 through B-10).

The mean density of benthic invertebrates commonly preyed on by juvenile salmonids are presented by behavioral type, according to macrohabitat (i.e., slough or side channel) and microhabitat type (i.e., pool, riffle, or run) in Figure 9. In general, the data showed that side slough macrohabitats had higher densities of benthic invertebrates than side channel macrohabitats. The data also showed that riffles were the only microhabitat type in which all four behavioral types were present in densities over five individuals per square yard. Pools had the least number of behavioral types. Burrowers, comprised primarily of chironomid midges, were typical in each of the microhabitat types but were most common in pools. Burrowers in riffle and run habitats were probably represented by a different assemblege of chironomid species than that in pool habitats. These reophilous chironomids would probably fall under a different behavioral type, such as sprawlers, if a taxonomic level other than family were used to categorize invertebrates. Clingers which include such families as Heptageniidae (Ephemeroptera), Hydropsychidae (Trichoptera), and Simuliidae (Diptera), and swimmers and sprawlers which include Baetidae (Ephemeroptera: swimmer), Nemouridae (Plecoptera: sprawler), and Limnephilidae (Trichoptera: sprawler) occurred in both riffle and run microhabitats but were more common in riffle microhabitat types.

#### 3.2.1 Benthic Habitat Suitability Criteria

Utilization histograms for the habitat variables of depth, velocity, and substrate were constructed for the four benthic invertebrate behavioral types: burrowers, swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers (Figures 10-21). These utilization curves were then modified using pertinent literature and professional judgement to derive weighted habitat suitability criteria (Table 8) for input in the HABTAT habitat simulation model. The derivation of the weighted habitat suitability criteria for each habitat variable and each behavioral grouping is presented below.

### 3.2.1.1 <u>Depth</u>

Based on frequency analysis and professional judgement, the depth utilization histograms for the four behavioral types (Figure 10-13) did not appear to show that a clear relationship existed between the densities of benthic organisms present and the ranges of depth utilized. Because of this, a suitability index value of 0.00 was assigned to a depth of 0.0 ft. and a suitability index value of 1.00 was assigned to



Figure 9.

9. Average density of benthic fish food organisms (no./yd<sup>2</sup>) by behavioral type in riffle, run, and pool habitats in side channels and side sloughs, from June 24 to July 10 and August 23 to September 7, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Behavioral groups with fewer than five individuals per square yard are not shown.

## BURROWER

### Depth Suitability Curve



### DEPTH (ft)

Figure 10. Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

### SWIMMER





ယ ယ

## CLINGER



Figure 12. Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

## SPRAWLER

Depth Suitability Curve



Figure 13. Average number of sprawler invertebrates per benthic sample for each depth increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

ω 5

### BURROWER



Figure 14. Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

မ မ

### SWIMMER

Velocity Suitability Curve



Figure 15. Average number of swimmer invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

## CLINGER

### Velocity Suitability Curve



Figure 16. Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each velocity increment, with hand fitted suitabiltiy curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

### SPRAWLER

Velocity Suitability Curve



### BURROWER





Figure 18. Average number of burrower invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

SWIMMER

Substrate Suitability Curve



Figure 19. Average number of swimmer invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

4-1-1

### CLINGER



Figure 20. Average number of clinger invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

### SPRAWLER

Substrate Suitability Curve



Figure 21. Average number of sprawler invertebrates per benthic sample for each substrate increment, with hand fitted suitability curve, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

Table 8.	Suitability	criteria	values	for	invertebrate	behavioral	groups	for	depth,	velocity,	and	substrate	type,	middle	Susitna
	River, 1984.	•													

		Burrower				Clinger		Sprawler	
	feet	suitability	feet	suitability	feet	suitability	feet	suitability	
Depth	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00	
•	0.1	1.00	0.1	1.00	0.1	1.00	0.1	1.00	
	10.0	1.00	10.0	1.00	10.0	1.00	10.0	1.00	

	Burrower		Swimmer			Clinger	Sprawler		
	ft/sec	suitability	ft/sec	suitability	ft/sec	suitability	ft/sec	suitability	
Velocity	0.0	1.00	0.0	0.02	0.0	0.03	0.0	1.00	
-	0.5	0.19	0.9	0.16	0.9	0,23	3.0	1.00	
	2.3	0.19	· 1.8	0.54	1.5	0.49	4.0	0,0Ò	
	3.0	0.00	2.2	1.00	1.8	1.00			
			3.0	0.54	2.3	0.90			
			4.3	0.00	3.0	0.10			
					4.0	0,00			

	Burrower		S	wimmer		Clinger	Sprawler		
	code	suitability	code	suitability	code	sultability	code	suitability	
Substrate	1.0	1.00	1.0	0.00	1.0	0.03	1.0	0.24	
	5.0	0.26	4.0	0.00	3.0	0.03	3.0	0.24	
	13.0	0.26	6.0	0.83	6.0	0.52	6.0	0.83	
			9.0	1.00	9.0	1.00	9.0	1.00	
			12.0	0.25	12.0	0.42	12,0	0.43	
					13.0	0.42	13.0	0.43	

all depths greater than 0.0 ft. In this way, any wetted area could be considered usable habitat to the four behavioral types.

#### 3.2.1.2 Velocity

The velocity histograms (Figure 14-17) for each of the behavioral types, with the exception of sprawlers, revealed that a clear relationship existed between the densities of organisms present and incremental changes in water velocity. The derivation of the velocity suitability criteria for each behavioral type is presented below.

The relationship between sprawler densities and water velocity was not clearly defined by the utilization curve (Figure 17). Early instar sprawlers were dominant at low velocity (0.0 to 0.6 ft/sec) whereas middle and late instar sprawlers were dominant at high water velocities (1.6-2.6 ft/sec). This coupled with the overall total small catch of sprawlers did not lead to a clear velocity utilization pattern for sprawlers. However, because sprawlers appeared to be distributed over the entire range of velocities observed and no pattern in the distribution was apparent, a suitability index of 1.00 was assigned to the overall range of water velocities from 0.0 to 3.0 ft/sec. In the way, any moving water could be considered usable habitat. Four feet per second was used as an endpoint as this velocity was considered that which becomes uninhabitable by sprawler type organisms (Harris and Lawrence 1978, Surdick and Gaufin 1978).

The velocity utilization histogram for burrowers (Figure 14) showed greatest densities at a water velocity of 0.0 ft/sec. As a result, this velocity was assigned a suitability index of 1.00. This is supported by findings of other researchers who have shown similar results for benthic invertebrates belonging to the burrower behavioral type (White et al. 1981, Anderson 1982). A suitability index of 0.19 was assigned to the range of water velocities from 0.5 ft/sec to 2.3 ft/sec based on the utilization data. The utilization of these water velocities by invertebrates categorized as burrowers is probably due to the presence of chironomid species which would have been categorized under a different behavioral type, such as sprawlers, if a taxonomic level lower than family were used to classify individuals. For this reason, all the invertebrates utilizing the range of velocities from 0.5 ft./sec. to 2.3 ft./sec. are probably not true burrower types even though categorized as such. However, such a system of categorization (e.g., all chironomids categorized as burrowers) was used because it simplified the analysis of data yet grouped the majority of individual belonging to a family under one behavioral type. A suitability of 0.0 was assigned to 3.0 ft/sec as Anderson (1982) showed that Chironomidae, a common burrow type organism, had the lowest mean number of individuals at this velocity.

The assignment of velocity suitability indices for swimmers generally followed the utilization histogram for this behavioral grouping. Outside the range of utilization data available, suitability indices were assigned based on literature. A water velocity of 3.0 ft/sec was assigned a suitability index of 0.54 based on findings by Judy and Gore (1979) and Anderson (1982). A suitability index of 0.0 was assigned to a velocity of 4.3 ft/sec as this is considered the limit of water velocities inhabitable by swimmer type organisms (Judy and Gore 1979).

The observed utilization patterns for clingers in this study (Figure 6) generally compared well with work done by Newell (1976), Anderson (1982), Judy and Gore (1979). Therefore, corresponding suitability values were assigned based on the utilization histogram for this behavioral group. Newell's (1976) and Andersen's (1982) findings were used to describe suitability beyond the range of the utilization data. Based on their findings, a velocity of 3.0 ft/sec was assigned a suitability index of 0.10 and 4.0 ft/sec was assigned a suitability index of 0.00.

#### 3.2.1.3 Substrate

All benthic invertebrate behavioral groups showed relationships between densities of benthic organisms and substrate size. Based on the utilization histogram, burrowers had their highest densities in silt to sand/small gravel substrates (Figure 18). This coupled with findings by Kennedy (1967) and Bjornn et al. (1977), which support burrower type benthic invertebrates' utilization of fine substrates, lead to the assignment of a suitability index of 1.0 to silt substrates. Because utilization of small gravel through boulder substrates was fairly uniform, a suitability index of 0.26 was assigned to this range of substrate sizes. The uniform utilization is likely due to the presence of more than one species of chironomids.

The assignment of substrate suitability indices for swimmers generally followed the utilization histogram for this behavioral grouping (Figure 19). Because the highest densities of swimmers were on large gravel/rubble to rubble/cobble substrates, this substrate class was assigned a suitability index of 1.00. Assignments of suitability indices for other substrate classes generally followed the utilization histogram for this behavioral grouping. These substrate utilization trends compare well with results obtained by Bjornn et al. (1977) and Judy and Gore (1979) for swimmer type benthic invertebrates.

Substrate utilization results for clingers were also similar to results obtained by Bjornn et al. (1977). As with swimmers, large gravel/rubble through rubble/cobble substrate had the highest densities of clingers (Figure 20). Assignments of suitability indices for other substrate classes generally followed the utilization histogram for this behavioral grouping.

Sprawler densities were also highest on large gravel/rubble through rubble/cobble substrate (Figure 21). As a result, this substrate class was assigned a suitability index of 1.00. Assignment of suitability indices on the tails of the sprawler utilization histogram generally followed the utilization data. These results agree well with findings by Merritt and Cummins (1975) and Anderson (1982) for sprawler type benthic invertebrates.

#### 3.3.2 Benthic Weighted Usable Area Projections

Projections of the gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow in Slough 9, Side Channel 10, Upper Side Channel 11, and Upper Side Channel 21 are shown in Figures 22-25 and Appendix F. For the range of site flows at each study site that are directly controlled by mainstem discharge, the gross surface area and WUA projections as a function of mainstem discharge are also presented.

Typically, projections of gross surface area at each of the study sites increase over the range of site flows and mainstem discharges modelled. The most rapid increases in gross surface area generally occur at the lower site flows prior to each site becoming breached and subsequently controlled by mainstem discharge. Subsequent to the site flows becoming controlled by mainstem discharge, the increases in gross surface area begin to level off.

The projections of WUA of swimmer, clinger, and sprawler habitat at each study site generally followed similar trends as the projections of gross surface area with the exception that WUA projections peaked or leveled off at some site flow/mainstem discharge. In contrast, the projections of burrower WUA typically decreased over the range of site flows/mainstem discharges modelled. Typically, the projection of WUA of each of these behavioral groups were less than 30% of the projected gross surface area.

The WUA for swimmer, clinger, and sprawler habitat in Slough 9 peaked at a mainstem discharge between 28,000 and 30,000 cfs (Figure 22). The maximum WUA for sprawler habitat, however, was approximately double the maximum WUA of either swimmer or clinger habitat. In contrast, WUA of burrower habitat decreased over the entire range of mainstem discharges modelled. The initial and controlling breaching discharges for Slough 9 are 16,000 and 19,000 cfs, respectively.

The WUA of swimmer, clinger, and sprawler habitat did not peak at any of the mainstem discharges modelled in either Side Channel 10 or Upper Side Channel 11 (Figures 23 and 24). The WUA for these behavioral groups increased with increasing mainstem discharge. In contrast, burrower WUA remained relatively constant in Side Channel 10 and declined in Upper Side Channel 11. The controlling mainstem breaching discharge at Gold Creek for Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 11 are 19,000 cfs and 16,000 cfs, respectively.

The amount of WUA of swimmer, clinger, and sprawler habitat in Upper Side Channel 21 peaked at an approximate mainstem discharge of 31,800 cfs. The maximum amount of WUA for sprawler habitat, however, was approximately triple the amount of WUA of either clinger or sprawler habitat. Burrower WUA peaked at 21,000 ft<sup>2</sup> at an approximate mainstem discharge of 24,000 cfs. The controlling mainstem breaching discharge at Upper Side Channel 21 is 24,000 cfs.



SLOUGH 9

Figure 22. Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Slough 9 modelling site.



Figure 23. Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Side Channel 10 modelling site.



Figure 24. Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Upper Side Channel 11 modelling site.



UPPER SIDE CHANNEL 21



17 - Ver Beihauste unter

·····





O CLINGER WUA

△ SPRAWLER WUA

Figure 25. Projections of gross surface area and WUA of burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler invertebrate habitat as a function of site flow and mainstem discharge for the Side Channel 21 modelling site.

### 3.3 Invertebrate Larval Development

The results of the examination of wing pads from individuals from five families of Plecoptera and four families of Ephemeroptera are shown in Table 9. These data reveal that high proportions of Capniidae and Taeniopterygidae were in late instar larval stages in late April and mid May. Nemouridae was probably in the adult and egg stages during this time period. Proportionately high numbers of early and middle instar individuals of these stonefly families were present during June through early October.

During late April and middle May, Chloroperlidae and Perlodidae had a proportionately high number of middle instar individuals present. All three instar groups were present among the Chloroperlidae from June through early September. Over half the individuals in Perlodidae were middle and late instar individuals in June through mid July. In August and early September, all the individuals in Perlodidae were early instar.

High proportions of middle instar individuals were present among the Ephemeroptera in late April and mid May. There were no late instar individuals identified among the four families of Ephemeroptera for these two time periods. From June through mid July, high proportions of middle instar Baetidae and early instar Heptageniidae and Ephemerellidae were recorded. Through August and early September Ephemeropteran families had individuals which were mostly early instars.

#### 3.4 Juvenile Chinook Salmon Diet

Seventy two juvenile chinook salmon ranging in total length from 38 mm to 85 mm (1.49 in. - 3.35 in.) with a mean total length of 53 mm (2.09 in.) were collected for stomach content analysis. The fish were captured under both turbid and non-turbid water conditions over all substrate types. Mean water velocities and water depths under these conditions ranged from approximately 0.0 ft/sec to 1.5 ft/sec and 0.2 ft to 2.0 feet, respectively. The majority of fish were captured at the head of pools or runs adjacent to faster water velocities.

The juvenile chinook salmon stomachs examined contained twelve orders of invertebrates consisting of eleven insect orders and one non-insect order (Appendix Table E-1). The eleven insect orders were identified to fifteen families. The majority of juvenile chinook salmon stomachs examined contained food items. Only two of the stomachs examined were empty. Figure 26 shows the percent contribution of the total numbers of seven different invertebrate taxonomical groups. Figure 27 shows the percent contribution of sixteen benchic invertebrate families grouped into the four behavioral types used in WUA calculations. Figures 26 and 27 also show the percent contributions for invertebrates in benchos and drift samples.

#### 3.5 Turbidity at Study Sites and Mainstem Susitna River

Water samples were collected for measurement of turbidity at Slough 9, Side Channel 10, Upper Side Channel 11, and upper Side Channel 21 from

Table	9.
-------	----

**P** 

**NHOR** 

586

(araa)

) Second

**8900** 

Percentage of early, middle, and late instar larval aquatic insects and the total number of individuals examined ( ), middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Individuals examined from April, May, September, and October samples are from synoptic surveys.

Family/Date	April 25-26	May 15	June 7 - July 14	August 9 - September 9	October 10-11
Nemouridae Early Middle Late	(1) 100 	(0)  	(22) 95  5	(27) 74 26 	(0)  
Capniidae Early Middle Late	(41)  5 95	(3)  100	(5) 60  40	(237) 99 1 	(31) 58 42
Taeniopterygidae Early Middle Late	(142) 81 19	(5) 20 80	(2) 100  	(111) 100  	(831) 99 1 
Chloroperlidae Early Middle Late	(9) 11 78 11	(1) 100	(71) 41 49 10	(35) 74 9 17	(0)
Perlodidae Early Middle Late	(30) 30 70	(0)	(74) 49 46 5	(24) 100 	(3) 33 67
Baetidae Early Middle Late	(123) 13 87	(1) 100	(399) 21 71 8	(19) 63 32 5	(4) 100 
Heptageniidae Early Middle Late	(10) 100	(0)  	(168) 74 16 10	(63) 51 40 9	(8) 50 38 12
Ephemerellidae Early Middle Late	(22)	(0)  	(89) 96 4	(31) 84 16 	(1) 100 
Siphlonuridae Early Middle Late	(2)	(226) 13 87	(17) 41 59	(3) 100 	(0)



**9934000** 

Other Insects 0%





Figure 26. Percent composition of invertebrates in benthic, drift, and juvenile chinook stomach content samples taken at FAS sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.


. Hodyne

**#** 

fançat

(and a second

**(1795)** 

pesso

**Karkete** 

Juvenile Chinook Stomach Contents Burrowers 87% Clingers 6% Sprawlers 1% Swimmers 6%

Figure 27. Percent composition of aquatic insect behavioral groups in benthic drift, and juvenile chinook stomach content samples taken at FAS sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. June 7 to September 9, 1984. Turbidity measurements of water from the main channel of the Susitna River were taken monthly at Gold Creek by the U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Section from May 31 to September 28, 1984. Appendix F-1 shows the turbidity values obtained for each of these locations during the invertebrate sampling period.

Turbidity values ranged from one to 344 NTU (Nephelometric Turbidity Units) at IFG-4 sites and from 28 NTU to 320 NTU at head sites. Side channel and side slough head sites generally had higher turbidity values than IFG-4 sites. The IFG-4 sampling site in Upper Side Channel 11 had the highest turbidity values. Turbidity values at the IFG-4 transect site in Upper Side Channel 21 were relatively low by comparison.

The breached or unbreached condition of Slough 9, Side Channel 10, Upper Side Channel 11, and Upper Side Channel 21 at the time of water samples were collected for turbidity measurement is also shown in Appendix F-1. Slough 9 and Upper Side Channel 11 were almost always breached during water sampling. Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 21 were frequently unbreached.

### 4.0 DISCUSSION

### 4.1 <u>Available Food Sources for Juvenile Chinook Salmon in</u> Side Channels and Side Sloughs

The scatter plots of log transformed invertebrate drift data (Figures 7 and 8) indicate that, under breached conditions in side channels and side sloughs, drifting invertebrates (e.g., invertebrates drifting in response to changes in light conditions) at IFG-4 sites were similar to those at head sites and that the density of drifting invertebrates at IFG-4 sites was only slightly less than that at head sites. The data also reveal that at or near breaching discharges, fewer drifting organisms were observed at the IFG-4 sites than at head sites, whereas during unbreached conditions, IFG-4 sites had more than the few or no drifting invertebrates expected (Table 10). Based on this, it is concluded that the invertebrate drift measured at IFG-4 sites located in middle Susitna River side channels and side sloughs is usually governed by the breaching flows of the mainstem. These flows presumably transport drifting invertebrates from the mainstem into the side channels and side sloughs where they become available as potential food for juvenile salmonids. Whether these invertebrates originate in the mainstem could not be determined by this study.

In terms of availability, these drifting invertebrates may be of greater importance to the feeding juvenile salmonids when their rate of drift (i.e., the number of drifting invertebrates passing a point per unit of time) is increased. This generally occurred when sample sites were breached or at breaching and was generally the result of increased water velocity from either large volumes of water inundating sample sites or from small volumes flowing rapidly over the the various study site substrates. This increased drift rate, which results during mainstem flows that just breach side channels or side sloughs, may be more beneficial to feeding fish than the drift which occurs at other times, since water in the study sites under these conditions is less turbid enabling fish to more easily see their prey.

The standardized drift data also showed that Ephemeroptera, especially of the family Baetidae, and Plecoptera were numerically important drift components during mid June and mid August, respectively. Chironomid midges were the most consistently numerous family of invertebrates present in the drift from June through August. There is some evidence that this pattern in the drift, especially for Ephemeroptera, is related to the presence of proportionately large numbers of near emerging adults. Perry and Huston (1983) found that the drift rates of invertebrates below Libby Dam in the Kootenai River, Montana were higher during months when common species were near emergence. Hynes (1970), after reviewing the literature, stated that distinct downstream movement of some species of Simuliidae, Ephemeroptera, and Plecoptera shortly before emergence as adults was a widespread phenomenon. Examination of wing pad development among families of Ephemeroptera in this study showed that this group had proportionately more middle and late instar individuals present during June and early July than during August. Ephemeropterans reached their highest densities in the drift and benthos within this same period.

	HEA	Upper Side Channel 11 HEAD IFG-4				EAD Side Ch	annel_21	FG-4	HE	AD S1o	ugh 9 IFG	-4	HE	<u>Şide Ch</u>	annel 10 IFC	G~4
Date	Inverta	Adu1t <sup>b</sup>	inverta	Adultb	invert <sup>a</sup>	Adultb	Inverta	Adu1t <sup>b</sup>	Inverta	Adult	Inverta	Adultb	Inverta	Adult	Invert <sup>a</sup>	Adult
June 7-8	143	23	47	22	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
June 9-10 <sup>C</sup>	-	-	• •	•	185	315	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
June 11-12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	23	13	8	-	-	-	-
June 13-14 <sup>0</sup>	-	-	,-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	153	20	110	18
July 7-8	42	26	30	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	, <b>-</b>
July 9-10 <sup>0</sup>	•	-	-	-	16	39	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
July 11-12	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	9	52	23	-	-	-	-
July 13-14 <sup>c</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	22	6	7	4
August 9-10	65	83	43	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
August 11-12	-	-	-	-	_e	_•	53	204	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-
August 13-14	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	60	65	31	-	-	-	-
August 15-16	-	·	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	_e	_0	13	26

Table 10. Standardized densities (no/1000 feet<sup>a</sup>) of drifting invertebrates (invert)<sup>a</sup> and adult aquatic insects (Adult)<sup>b</sup> at head and IFG-4 sites, middle Susitna River, 1984.

includes non insect adults and larva, terrestrial insects, and aquatic insect larvae includes adult aquatic insects only at breaching point sampled one day at head site no sample, unbreached condition a

b

c d

е

The relatively high densities of Plecoptera in the drift in early-August may be a result of the higher numbers of early instar individuals in the benthos. Early instar Plecoptera were common in the drift during this time. Waters (1972), in reviewing the literature, found that some species of insects have been observed to have their greatest drift rate during early life cycle stages.

Besides behavioral drift from the mainstem, there is another possible kind of drift that could occur in side channels and side sloughs which would make invertebrates available as food. This drift is termed catastrophic drift (Waters 1972). Catastrophic drift can occur under two circumstances: 1) when there is physical disturbance of the bottom fauna, usually by a flood event (Anderson and Lehmkuhl 1968, Scullion and Sinton 1983); or 2) under conditions of receding water as a result of reductions in flow (Minshall and Winger 1968, White et al. 1981). Though both circumstances could initiate catastrophic drift in any of the four study sites, there is the possibility that conditions are ideal for drift of this nature to occur as a result of the first circumstance in Upper Side Channel 21. In Slough 9, Side Channel 10, and Upper Side Channel 11 catastrophic drift could possibly occur as a result of the second circumstance. An increase in the amount of potential fish food organisms made available through catastrophic drift of the first circumstance, however, is probably not of significance in the four study sites under current conditions. However, any catastrophic drift which does occur within the four study sites is probably masked by the volume of behaviorally drifting invertebrates immigrating from the mainstem. In Slough 9, Side Channel 10, and Upper Side Channel 11 it is likely that catastrophic drift occurs but probably is limited to a few occurrences during the entire open water season and then possibly only in August or September during receding flows.

### 4.2 <u>Effects of Flow on the Distribution and Abundance of Benthic</u> Invertebrates in Side Channels and Side Sloughs

Categorizing important fish food organisms into behavioral groups proved to be a valuable tool in projecting the habitat preferences and weighted usable habitat area when the mean density of these organisms was less than 500 individuals per square yard. By grouping organisms on a behavioral basis, it was possible to evaluate group preferences for specific velocities and substrate types which otherwise would be undetectable if organisms were treated on a taxonomic basis.

### 4.2.1 Habitat Suitability

Four behavioral groups of benthic invertebrates were identified which reflected basic habitat preferences: burrower, swimmer, clinger, and sprawler. In general, burrowers were reflective of slower deeper waters, such as pools, and swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers were reflective of faster shallower waters, such as riffles and runs. Pool-like habitats are typical of the backwater zones at the mouths of side channels and side sloughs whereas, riffle and run habitats are more typical of the head and middle portions. The relationship between behavioral type and habitat type are likely the result of morphological and physiological adaptations of benthic organisms to their environment. For example, swimmers and clingers (which include baetid and heptageniid mayflies), are fusiform and dorsoventrally flattened respectively and usually have higher oxygen requirements than other insects (e.g. Chironomidae) and therefore would more likely be found in faster flowing water (Hynes 1970). Burrowers on the other hand are cylindrical in shape and are adapted for digging in fine mineral or organic substrates (e.g. silt and sand). This group would more likely be found in slower moving waters such as pools.

The numerical productivity and community structure of invertebrates in riffle, run, and pool habitats of side channels and side sloughs of the middle Susitna River in presented in Table 11. In general, riffle and run habitats had a more diverse and evenly distributed assemblages of Numerically, pool habitats appeared to be the more taxa than pools. productive habitat during late summer. Production based on this measure, however, is not conclusive and riffles and runs are probably more important on a biomass scale. Hynes (1970) states that in general riffles are more productive than pools, in part because of the diverse number of microhabitats which could be occupied by organisms of various The partial diversity (i.e., the diversity based on gross sizes. taxonomic identifications), evenness, and mean number of taxa calculated for riffles appears to substantiate Hynes' conclusion. The diversity, eveness, and number of taxa in riffles and runs were consistently higher than in pools, probably because of the limited number of microniches available to invertebrates in this habitat type.

#### 4.2.2 Weighted Usable Area

Projections of weighted usable area (WUA) for the four behavioral groups are a measure of the amount of riffle-like and pool-like habitat made available to colonizing organisms at various site flows and mainstem discharges. At all four study locations, burrower WUA generally decreased with increasing site flows and mainstem discharge. Upper Side Channel 11 and Upper Side Channel 21 were the only two locations which had an increase in the amount of burrower WUA between initial and controlling discharges. These changes in WUA are probably the result of changes in the area of backwater zone at each study site. Apparently, the hydraulic conditions of these zones begin to simulate those of a deep run at mainstem discharges above those which initiate controlling flow through side channels and side sloughs.

The amount of WUA for swimmer, clinger, and sprawler behavioral groups peaked at a mainstem discharge between 28,000 cfs and 31,200 cfs in Slough 9 and Upper Side Channel 21. The high amount of sprawler habitat at these two sites and at Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 11 is probably a reflection of this behavioral groups use of a wide range of velocities and substrates during the course of its life history. Sprawlers were comprised primarily of stoneflies from the families Capniidae and Nemouridae.

The habitats used by swimmer and clinger behavioral groups were less varied than those utilized by sprawlers which used a wide range of velocities. The suitability indices for swimmers and clingers showed a Table 11. Diversity ± S.E., evenness (Poole 1974), density, and number of taxa of benthic invertebrate communities from riffle, run, and pool habitats in side channels and side sloughs of the middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Density and number of taxa are reported as the average number per square yard ±98% confidence interval.

·	Diversity (H'± S.E.)	Evenness (J')	Density (no./yd²)	No. Taxa	No. Samples
	· · · ·		<u>Early-Mid Summer<sup>a</sup></u>	e en angen se se an	<u>, dea - Kolonakina, annakina, ar o' </u>
Side Sloughs <sup>b</sup>					
Riffle <sup>C</sup> Run <sup>d</sup> Pool <sup>e</sup>	2.43 ± 0.06 2.60 ± 0.09	0.59 0.64 	434.3 ± 393.1 151.2 ± 90.7	5.9 ± 2.5 4.1 ± 1.8 	15 23 
Side Channels <sup>f</sup>					
Riffle <sup>C</sup> Run <sup>d</sup> Pool <sup>e</sup>	2.91 ± 0.09 2.64 ± 0.13	0.72 0.72	95.8 ± 44.5 46.2 ± 24.4	4.0 ± 1.3 2.7 ± 0.8 	24 26 
			Late Summer <sup>9</sup>		
Side Sloughs <sup>b</sup>					
Riffle <sup>C</sup> Run Pool <sup>e</sup>	1.90 ± 0.10 1.64 ± 0.06 0.72 ± 0.15	0.48 0.39 0.25	317.5 ± 331.0 163.0 ± 76.4 195.7 ± 383.0	4.0 ± 2.4 2.7 ± 0.5 2.7 ± 3.3	9 44 6
Side Channels <sup>f</sup>		· · ·			
Riffle <sup>C</sup> Run <sup>d</sup> Pool <sup>e</sup>	2.55 ± 0.09 1.70 ± 0.09 0.69 ± 0.11	0.62 0.40 0.22	165.5 ± 79.8 153.7 ± 87.4 286.4 ± 270.5	4.6 ± 1.4 3.0 ± 1.0 3.0 ± 2.1	19 31 7

<sup>a</sup> Samples taken 6/24/84 through 7/10/84.

<sup>b</sup> Samples taken at Slough 9 and Side Channel 21 transects.

 $^{
m c}$  Samples taken at transects having an average depth  $\leq$  0.33 feet and an average current velocity  $\geq$  0.33 feet per second.

d Samples taken at transects having an average depth between 0.34 feet and 0.99 feet and an average current < 0.33 feet per second.

<sup>e</sup> Samples taken at transects having an average depth  $\geq$  1.00 feet and an average current velocity < 0.33 feet per second.

<sup>f</sup> Samples taken at Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 11 transects.

<sup>g</sup> Samples taken 8/23/84 through 9/7/84.

marked preference for velocities between 1.8 ft/sec and 2.2 ft/sec and substrates comprised primarily of rubble. This preference resulted in a distinct increase in WUA for mainstem discharges up to 28,000 cfs and 31,200 cfs at Slough 9 and Upper Side Channel 21, respectively, at which point WUA began to decline.

Projections of WUA for swimmers and clingers did not show a peak for Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 11. This was probably the result of the limitations of the hydraulic model for these two study locations which do not permit predictions of WUA at mainstem discharges beyond 25,300 cfs and side channel flows beyond 100 cfs in Side Channel 10 and 250 cfs in Upper Side Channel 11. The mainstem discharge at which WUA for swimmers and clingers reaches a maximum in these two side channels is not known. However, the greatest amount of WUA projected was at a mainstem discharge between 25,200 cfs and 25,500 cfs.

### 4.3 <u>Utilization of Available Foods by Juvenile Chinook Salmon in Side</u> Channels and Side Sloughs

The 1984 FAS and previous Susitna River studies (ADF&G 1978, ADF&G 1983a) have shown that juvenile chinook salmon rearing in the sloughs and side channels of the middle Susitna River feed on a wide variety of aquatic and terrestrial invertebrates (Appendix Table B1). Of the invertebrates utilized, chironomid adults and larvae (burrowers) were numerically dominant in all previous Susitna River diet studies of juvenile chinook salmon. Loftus and Lenon (1977) determined that chironomidae were the most important family of food organisms for chinook salmon smolts in the Salcha River, Alaska. Similar results have been obtained by other researchers (Becker 1973, Dauble et al. 1980, Burger et al. 1982).

Although the family Chironomidae was found in this study to be the most numerically dominant taxa in the diet of Susitna River juvenile chinook salmon, numerical abundance alone does not necessarily correspond directly to relative importance (Lagler 1956). The majority of chironomids fed on by juvenile chinook salmon in this study were relatively small (1-5 mm in length) and would probably displace a volume of water measuring at least one order of magnitude less than that displaced by middle instar ephemeropterans and plecopterans (swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers). Based on this, it is felt that other aquatic insect taxa, primarily plecopterans and ephemeropterans, are more numerous in the diet of juvenile chinook salmon than numerical abundance indicates. Plecopterans and ephemeropterans were the most numerous invertebrates in the diet of juvenile chinook salmon next to chironomids in this and the previous ADF&G (1983) Susitna River diet studies and in Loftus and Lenon's (1977) Salcha River Study.

Everest and Chapman (1972), Becker (1973), and Loftus and Lenon (1977) have determined juvenile chinook salmon feed primarily on aquatic invertebrate drift and floating adult insects. Their findings correspond well with the results of this study which show a closer relationship between drift catch (includes floating insects) and juvenile chinook stomach contents than between stomach contents and benthic catch (Figure 26, Appendix Table A-1). For example, invertebrates from the adult Diptera category (primarily chironomids) and Other Insects category (primarily homopterans) made up 29% and 5% respectively of the juvenile chinook salmon diet and were available only as drift. In contrast, organisms occurring in the benthos but not selected as food included the Oligochaeta. Though this group comprised 27% of the Other Invertebrates category which in turn made up 27% of the benthic catch, none of these organisms were found in juvenile chinook salmon diet. This compares with the previous ADF&G (1983) diet study which reported few oligochaetes in the stomachs of juvenile chinook salmon. Finally, benthic invertebrates that were not readily found in the drift, did not appear to a significant extent in the juvenile chinook salmon diet. The major invertebrate groups (e.g., Chironomidae, Ephemeroptera, and Plecoptera) which have been reported as being good drifters (Hynes 1970) which were present in samples in this study were, however, consumed by juvenile chinook salmon.

The availability of different aquatic insect groups during the growing season of juvenile chinook salmon may be an important factor in the rearing capacity of Susitna River slough and side channel habitats. As discussed in Section 4.1, middle and late instar ephemeropterans (swimmers and clingers) and plecopterans (clingers and sprawlers) are available in significant numbers as drift in June. Large numbers of early instar plecopterans show up in the drift in August. Adult and larval chironomids are available as drift from June through August, with the proportion of adult chironomids increasing as the summer progressed. Juvenile chinook salmon food utilization generally followed these trends. Middle and late instar plecopterans and ephemeropterans were consumed primarily in June, early instar plecopterans were important in August, and chironomid adults and larvae were consumed during the entire open water season. Larvae from Chironimidae were consumed in early summer while higher proportions of adults were consumed during the latter part of summer (Figure 28).

### 4.4 Conclusions and Future Research

Four major conclusions can be drawn from the results of this study. First, the diet composition of juvenile chinook salmon is closely correlated with invertebrate drift composition and, to a lesser extent, to benthos composition, with midges from the family Chironomidae (Diptera) being the chief food organism of juvenile chinook salmon.

Secondly, invertebrate drift under breached conditions in study side channels and side sloughs of the middle Susitna River appeared to be governed by mainstem flows which transport drifting invertebrates into the side channels and side sloughs. Under breached conditions, the drift occurring in the study side channels and side sloughs could be considered negligible when compared to the drift occurring under unbreached conditions when total drift is considered. The drift in both cases was dominated by midges from the family Chironomidae (Diptera), mayflies (Ephemeroptera) from the family Baetidae, and stoneflies (Plecoptera).

Thirdly, it was determined that categorizing invertebrate taxa by behavioral type (i.e. by burrower, swimmers, clingers and sprawlers) was



1984 JUVENILE CHINOOK SALMON DIET

Figure 28. Percent of total numbers of aquatic and terrestrial insect groups in juvenile chinook salmon stomachs from FAS sites, June through September 1984, middle Susitna River, Alaska.

64

a valuable means for projecting benthic invertebrate WUA when the density of a majority of species averages less than ten individuals per 1.08 ft<sup>2</sup>. It was found that water depth did not appear to be an important factor governing the overall distribution of any of the behavioral groups, but that water velocity and substrate type appeared to affect the distribution of most behavioral groups. Water velocities less than 0.4 ft/sec and substrate types comprised mostly of silt and sand (less than one eighth inch diameter) correlated well with high numbers of burrowers whereas rubble (three inches to five inches in diameter) substrates with components of large gravel (one inch to three inches diameter) or cobble (five inches to ten inches diameter) correlated with high numbers of swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers. Water velocities between 1.6 ft/sec and 2.6 ft/sec correlated well with high numbers of swimmers and clingers. Sprawlers did not appear to utilize any particular velocity over another.

Lastly, it can be concluded that WUA at each of the study sites for each of the behavioral groups clearly was a function of site flows and mainstem discharge. The minimum controlling mainstem discharge for a side channel or side slough generally produced the highest WUA for burrowers. A controlling mainstem discharge of 25,000 cfs generally produced the maximum WUA for swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers in Side Channel 10 and Upper Side Channel 11. The maximum WUA for swimmers, clingers, and sprawlers in Slough 9 and Upper Side Channel 21 was produced at a controlling mainstem discharge of 29,000 cfs and 31,000 cfs, respectively.

In light of the above conclusions, naturally fluctuating flows of the mainstem Susitna River appear to increase total drift in side channels and side sloughs and subsequently the drift food supply for juvenile chinook salmon living in these turbid water mainstem affected habitats. Such periodic fluctuations also maintain drift for the continuous recolonization of mainstem affected habitats by invertebrates.

From the above discussion, the natural question arises: how are the invertebrates which are transported into side channel and side sloughs, influenced by mainstem discharge fluctuations when domiciled in the mainstem Susitna River itself? Answers to this and other questions can only come with further study of the density responses of invertebrates domiciled along mainstem shorelines to varying frequencies of watering and dewatering as a result of naturally fluctuating discharges.

## 5.0 CONTRIBUTORS

## Project Leader

Aquatic Habitat and instream Flow Project Leader

### Principal Investigators

Editors

## Statistical and Data Analysis

Graphics

### Typing

Douglas Vincent-Lang

Tim F. Hansen J. Craig Richards

Douglas Vincent-Lang Joseph Sautner

Allen Bingham Paul Suchanek Andrew Hoffman Tim Quane Tommy Withrow Teri Keklak Alice Freeman Katrin Zosel

Carol Hepler Roxann Peterson

Skeers Word Processing Anneliese Kohut Bobbie Sue Greene

### 6.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this study was provided by the Alaska Power Authority. Harza-Ebasco Susitna Joint Venture supported and helped draft the final study proposal. The authors also wish to express their gratitude to Dana Schmidt and Christopher Estes for their insight in initially proposing this study and to Sandy Sonnichsen for her help in reviewing the initial proposal.

Diane Hilliard provided the technical expertise in recalibration and use of the IFG-4 hydraulic models employed in this study. We also appreciate the cooperation of E. Woody Trihey and Associates during the data collection, data analysis, and review of the report drafts.

### 7.0 LITERATURE CITED

Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 1977. Preauthorization assessment of the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Projects: preliminary investigations of water quality and aquatic species composition. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Anchorage, Alaska.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1978. Preliminary environmental assessment of hydroelectric development on the Susitna River. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Anchorage, Alaska.

\_\_\_\_\_\_. 1983a. Susitna Hydro aquatic studies phase II basic data report. Volume 3 (1 of 2). Resident and juvenile anadromous fish studies on the Susitna River below Devil Canyon, 1982. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Anchorage, Alaska.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1983b. Susitna Hydro aquatic studies phase II basic data report. Volume 4 (1 of 3: Parts I and II). Aquatic habitat and instream flow studies, 1982. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1984. Susitna Hydro aquatic studies (May 1983 - June 1984). procedures manual (1 of 2). Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Anchorage, Alaska.

Anderson, J.W. 1982. Water velocity as a regulator of zoobenthic distributions in a stream riffle. New Mexico State University, Las Cruces. Unpublished Masters Thesis. 75pp.

Anderson, N.H. and D.M. Lehmkuhl. 1968. Catastrophic drift of insects in a woodland stream. Ecology 49:(2)198-206.

- Arctic Environmental Information and Data Center. 1980. Environmental studies of the proposed Terror Lake Hydroelectric project, Kodiak Island, Alaska. Instream Flow Studies. University of Alaska, Anchorage, 197p.
- Banks, R.L., J.W. Mullan, R.W. Wiley, and D.J. Duffek. 1974. The Fontennelle Green River trout fisheries considerations in its enhancement and perpetuation, including test flow studies of 1973. USFWS, Salt Lake City, Utah. 74pp.
- Baumann, R.W., A.R. Gaufin, and R.F. Surdick. 1977. The stoneflies (Plecoptera) of the Rocky Mountains. American Entomological Society, Philadelphia. Memoirs number 31. 208p.
- Baxter, R.M. 1977. Environmental effects of dams and impoundments. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics. 8:255-283.
- Becker, C.D. 1973. Food and growth parameters of juvenile chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus tshyawytscha</u> in Central Columbia River. NOAA Fish. Bull. 71(2):387-400.

- Belsley, D.A., E. Kuh, and R.E. Welsch. 1980. Regression diagnostics: identifying influential data and sources of collinearity. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Bjornn, T.C., M.A. Brusuen, M.P. Molnau, and J.H. Milligan, 1977. Transport of granitic sediment in streams and its effects on insects and fish. University of Idaho, Moscow. Project B-036-IDA USDI. 43 pp.
- Borror, D.J., D.M. DeLong, and C.A. Triplehorn. 1981. An introduction to the study of insects. Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia. 827p.
- Bovee, K.D. and T. Cochnaur. 1977. Development and evaluation of weighted criteria, probability-of-use curves for instream flow assessments: Fisheries. Instream Flow Information Paper No. 3. FWS/OBS-77/63. December 1977.
- Bovee, K.D. and R. Milhous. 1978. Hydraulic simulation in instream flow studies: theory and techniques. Instream Flow Information Paper No. 5. FWS/OBS-78/33. August 1978.
- Burger, C.V., D.B. Wangaard, R.L. Wilmot, and A.N. Palmisano. 1982. Salmon investigations in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1979-1981. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Fishery Research Center, Alaska Field Station. Anchorage, Alaska. 181pp.
- Cummins, K.W. 1975. Macroinvertebrates. p 170-198 in: River Ecology. B.A. Whitton (editor). Studies in Ecology, Volume 2. University of California Press, Berkeley. 725pp.
- Dauble, D.D., R.H. Gray, and F.S. Page. 1980. Importance of insects and zooplankton in the diet of O-age chinook salmon <u>Oncorhynchus</u> <u>tshawytscha</u> in the central Columbia River. Northwest Science. 54(4):253-258.
- Draper, N.R. and H. SMith. 1981. Applied regression analysis. Second edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Edmunds, Jr., G.F., S.L. Jensen, and L. Berner. 1976. The Mayflies of North and Central American. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. 330p.
- Everest, F.H. and D.W. Chapman. 1972. Habitat selection and spatial interaction by juvenile chinook salmon and steelhead trout in two Idaho streams. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 29:91-100.
- Giger, R.D. 1973. Streamflow requirements for salmonids. Oregon Wildlife Commission Lab Final Report. Project AFS-62-1. 117pp.

Gore, J.A. 1978. A technique for predicting instream flow requirements of benthic macroinvertebrates. Freshwater Biology 8:141-151.

- Harris, T.L. and T.M. Lawrence. 1978. Environmental requirements and pollution tolerance of Trichoptera. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA-600/4-78-063. 310p.
- Hoaglin, D.C., F. Mosteller, and J.W. Tulkey. 1983. Understanding robust and exploratory data analysis. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Hubbard, M.D. and W.L. Peters. 1978. Environmental requirements and pollution tolerance of Ephemeroptera. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnat, Ohio. EPA-600/4-78-061.
- Hynes, H.B. 1970. The Ecology of Running Waters. University of Toronto Press. Toronta, Canada. 555pp.
- Johansen, O.A. and L.C. Thomsen. 1934. Aquatic Diptera. Entomological Reprint Specialists, Los Angeles. 425p.
- Judy, R.D. and J.A. Gore. 1979. A predictive model of benthic invertebrate densities for use in instream flow studies. USFWS Instream Flow Group, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Kennedy, H.D. 1967. Seasonal abundance of aquatic invertebrates and their utilization by hatchery-reared rainbow trout. Technical Paper 12. U.S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife, Washington, D.C. 41 p.
- Kimble, L.A. and T.A. Wesche. 1975. Relationships between selected physical parameters and benthic community structure in a small mountain stream. Water Resources Series No. 55, University of Wyoming, Laramie. 64pp.
- Lagler, K.F. 1956. Freshwater Fishery Biology, 2nd ed. Wm. C. Brown Co. Publishers, Dubuque. 421pp.
- Loftus, W.F. and H.L. Lenon. 1977. Food habits of the salmon smolts, <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u> and <u>O. keta</u>, from the Salcha River, Alaska. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 106(3):235-240.
- Merritt, R.W. and K.W. Cummins (editors) 1978. An introduction to the aquatic insects. Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque. 441p.
- Minshall, G.W. and P.V. Winger. 1968. The effect of reduction in stream flow on vertebrate drift. Ecology 49(3):580-582.
- Milhous, R.T., D.L. Wegner, and T. Waddle. 1981. User's guide to the physical habitat simulation system. Instream Flow Information Paper No. 11. Report FWS/OBS 81/43. USFWS Instream Flow Service Group, Washington DC.
- Milner, A.M. 1985. Personnal Communication. E. Woody Trihey and Associates. Anchorage, Alaska.

Newell, R.L. 1976. Yellowstone River Study - Final Report. Montana Department of Fish and Game and Intake Water Company. 97pp.

- Ott, A.G. and K.E. Tarbox. 1977. Instream flow, applicability of existing methodologies for Alaska waters. Prepared for the ADF&G and DNR. Woodward Clyde Consultants, Anchorage, Alaska. 70pp.
- Pearson, L.S., K.R. Conover, and R.E. Sams. 1970. Factors affecting the natural rearing of juvenile coho salmon during the summer low flow season. Oregon Fish. Comm., Portland, Oregon. Unpublished paper. 64pp.
- Pennak, R.W. 1978. Freshwater invertebrates of the United States, 2nd Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 802p.
- Poole, R.W. 1974. An introduction to quantitative ecology. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Incorporated, New York. 532 pp.
- Quane, T., P. Morrow, and T. Wighrow. 1984a. Stage and discharge investigations. Chapger 1 in 1984 Report No. 3: Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Investigations (May - October 1983). Estes, C.C. and D.S. Vincent-Lang, eds. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Anchorage, Alaska.
  - \_\_\_\_\_, I. Queral, T. Keklak, and D. Seagren. 1984b. Channel geometry investigations of the Susitna River basin. Chapter 2 in 1984 Report No. 3: Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Investigations (May - October 1983). Estes, C.C. and D.S. Vincent-Lang, eds. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Anchorage, Alaska.
- R&M Consultants, Incorporated. 1984. Susitna hydroelectric project water balance studies of middle Susitna sloughs. R&M Consultants Incorporated, Anchorage. Draft Report.
- Schmidt, D.C., S.S. Hale, P. Suchanek, and D.L. Crawford, editors. 1984. Resident and juvenile anadromous fish investigations (May -October 1983). Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Report No. 2. Prepared for Alaska Power Authority. Anchorage, Alaska.
- Scullion, J. and A. Sunton. 1983. Effects of artificial freshets on substratum composition, benthic invertebrate fauna and invertebrate drift in two impounded rivers in mid-Wales. Hydrobiologia 107:261-269.
- Steel, R.G. and J.H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Incorporated, New York.
- Surdick, R.F. and A.R. Gaufin. 1978. Environmental requirements and pollution tolerances of Plecoptera. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio. EPA-600/4-78-062. 417p.

- Ulfstrand, S. 1967. Microdistribution of benthic species (Ephemeroptera, Pelcoptera, Trichoptera, Diptera: Similiidae) in lapland streams. Oikos 18:293-310. Copenhagen.
- Usinger, R.L. (editor). 1956. Aquatic insects of California University of California Press, Berkeley. 508p.
- Vincent-Lang, D., A. Hoffmann, A.E. Bingham, C. Estes, D. Hilliard, C. Steward, E. Woody Trihey, and S. Crumley. 1984. An evaluation of chum and sockeye salmon spawning habitat in sloughs and side channels of the middle Susitna River. Chapter 7 in 1984 Report No. 3: Aquatic Habitat and Instream Flow Investigations (May - October 1983). Estes, C.C. and D.S. Vincent-lang, eds. Alaska Department of Fish and Game Susitna Hydro Aquatic Studies. Anchorage, Alaska.
- Waters, T.F. 1972. The Drift of Stream Insects. Annual Review of Entomology. 17:253-272.
- White, R.G., J.H. Milligan, A.E. Bingham, R.A. Ruediozer, T.S. Vogel, and D.H. Bennett. 1981. Effects of reduced stream discharge on fish and aquatic macroinvertebrate populations. Project B-045-IDA. U.S. Department of the Interior. Office of Water Research and Technology. 283pp.
- Wiggins, G.B. 1977. Larvae of the North American caddisfly genera (Trichoptera). University of Toronto Press, Toronto. 401p.

## 8.0 APPENDICES

**A**rtes

		<u>Page</u>
Appendix A	Study Site Hydrographs, Rating Curves and Discharge Data	A-1
Appendix B	Benthic and Drift Invertebrate Data	8-1
Appendix C	Results of the Multiple Regression Analysis for drift data	C-1
Appendix D	Formulae for Calculating the Shannon- Weaver Diversity Index and Eveness Index	D-1
Appendix E	Juvenile Chinook Feeding Data	E-1
Appendix F	Weighted Usable Area Projection Data	F-1
Appendix G	Water Turbidity	G-1

## APPENDIX A

# Study Site Hydrographs, Rating Curves and Discharge Data

in No.

### APPENDIX A

Appendix A contains a hydrograph for each of the FAS sampling sites and the mainstem Susitna River at Gold Creek for the 1984 open water season (Appendix Figures A-1 and A-2). Also included are the rating curves (Appendix Figures A-3 through A-6) and the discharge data (Appendix Table A-1) used to generate the hydrographs. A narrative of the stepwise procedure used to develop the hydrographs is also presented.

#### Hydrograph Development

grosse,

Discharge was measured twice at Slough 9 and once each at Side Channel 10, Upper Side Channel 11, and upper Side Channel 21 according to procedures outlined in ADF&G (1984). These discharges were taken at study sites to combine with 1982 and 1983 ADF&G discharge data for developing rating curves for describing the relationship between mainstem discharge and side channel or side slough flow.

Rating curves were developed for defining the relationship between mainstem discharge and side channel or side slough flow at all four study sites according to procedures described in ADF&G (1984). These rating curves were used to construct hydrographs for side channel or side slough flows for the period of June 1 through September 30, 1984. Flows above the recommended predictive range of a site respective rating curve were estimated using the rating curve equation. The highest flow measured below controlling breaching mainstem discharge was used to state the upper limit of base flow in a side channel or side slough. These flows are published in Quane et al. (1984) and R&M Consultants (1984).



Appendix Figure A-1. Hydrograph (discharge time) for June - September 1984 for the Susitna River at Gold Creek (RM 136.5), Slough 9 (RM 128.3), and Side Channel 10 (RM 133.8).



Appendix Figure A-2. Hydrograph (discharge versus time) for June - September 1984 for the Susitna River at Gold Creek (RM 136.5), Upper Side Channel 11 (RM 163.0), Upper Side Channel 21 above over flow channel A5 (RM 141.8).

A -4



Appendix Figure A-3.

fistion.

Rating curve for predicting flow at Slough 9 at Mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 19,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs.



Appendix Figure A-4.

**Fire** 

Rating curve for predicting flow at Side Channel 10 at Mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 19,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs.



Appendix Figure A-5. Rating curve for predicting flow at upper Side Channel 11 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 13,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs.



Appendix Figure A-6.

Rating curve for predicting flow at Side Channel 21 above Channel A5 at mainstem discharges at Gold Creek between 20,000 cfs and 35,000 cfs.

## Appendix Table A-1.

Side slough and side channel water surface elevation and flow measurements, and the corresponding mean daily Susitna River discharges at Gold Creek (USGS 15292000) used to construct rating curves for the four FAS sites.

	Date	Time	WSEL (ft)	Stream Flow (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge (cfs)
Side Slough 9 (Gage 128.3Sl)	830730 840812 820720 830607 830630 820920 820715 820623 820918 830809 840825	0930 1455 a 1225 1030 1520 a 1305 1547 1300	593.37 593.84 593.92 593.96 594.00 594.15 594.10 594.27 594.42 595.25 595.87	7.8 44.4 28.0 89.0 77.4 148.0 108.0 182.0 232.0 501.5 800.0	19,100 19,000 22,900 23,000 24,700 24,700 25,600 27,000 26,800 29,900 29,800
Side Channel 10 (Gage 133.8S3)	840812 830726 830803 830724 830629 830808 830810 830826	1645 1530 1745 1620 1630 1235 1120 1605	654.64 654.72 655.15 655.57 655.84 656.30 658.26 657.97	4.7 8.0 31.6 80.0 93.9 266.6 781.3 803.0	19,000 19,400 21,600 22,700 26,800 26,000 31,900 31,700
Upper Side Channel 11 (Gage 136.2S1)	840814 830712 830720 830727 830608 830629 830808 830810 830826	1130 1145 0945 1130 1550 1255 1400 1346 1745	681.01 681.35 681.34 681.38 681.63 682.13 682.24 682.87 682.93	12.3 54.0 56.6 59.6 110.0 335.0 403.0 735.6 777.5	16,100 19,700 18,600 18,500 22,000 26,800 26,000 31,900 31,700
Side Channel 21 (Gage 140.6S7)	820919 830630 830605 820917 840826 830809	1220 1130 1500 1540 1015 1315	744.59 744.73 745.33 745.80 746.13 746.08	10.0 10.9 74.0 157.0 240.0 332.0	24,100 24,700 30,000 32,000 31,700 29,900

a No data

inde a

A - A

# APPENDIX B

# Benthic and Drift Invertebrate Data

### APPENDIX B

### Benthic and Drift Invertebrate Data

Appendix B contains the invertebrate catch data for benthic and drift samples at the four FAS sites. Appendix Table B-1 lists the occurrence of invertebrate taxa in the three types of samples: benthic, drift, and juvenile chinook salmon stomach content. Appendix Tables B-2 through B-5 contain drift catch data for each site. Appendix Table B-6 lists drift densities and rates for eight invertebrate groups. Appendix Tables B-7 through B-10 list benthic catch data for each site.

	SLO RM	ough 128.	9	Side Channel 10 RM 133.8		Si	Upper Side Channel 11 RM 136.0		1	Side Channel RM 141.8						
INSECTA								•								
Protura					•					D						
Collembola <sup>a</sup>			F				F.			D	F				-	
isotomidae	B	D				Ð			B	D			в	D		
Poduridae		D					-			D				D		
Sminthuridae		D				D				D				D		
TOTAL Collembola	В	D	F			D	F		B	D	F		B	D		
Ephemeroptera <sup>a</sup>	•		i F						_	a D	1 F		_			
Baetidae	í B	i B	1a D	1 F		1 B	D	î F		1 8	ia D	î F		í B	a D	í F
Ephemerellidae	1 B	1 D	1 F		B	1a D	1 f		1 B	1 D	ז F		i B	a D	F	
Heptageniidae	B	D	F		B	1a D	1 F		B	1a D	F		1 B	a D	F	
Siphlonuridae	B	*-			B	1 D			B	1 D	ו F ז		Ť	D 1a	F	
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	B	D	F		B	D	F		B	D	F		B	D	F	
Plecoptera <sup>a</sup>	f	f D f	i F		Ŧ	f	i F		í	i D ia	i F	-	i B i		i F	
Capniidae	B i	D 1			B f	Ď	i F		1 8 4	D	F	a F i F	1 B	a D	i	
Chloroperlida <del>e</del>	B 1	Ď			B	Ď			B	D ia	F		8 i		F	
Nemouridae	B i	D	Ŧ		B ī			B D	ia	E	B i	a				
Perlodidae	В	Ď	F		8	Ď	F		Ġ	D	F		B	D	F	
Pteronarci dae	i	Ŧ			Ŧ	ŧ			ł	D	ŕ					
Taeniopterygidae	B	Ď			B	Ď			B							
TOTAL Plecoptera	i B	i D	i F		i B	i D	i F		i B	ia D	ia F		i B	ia D	i F	
Psocoptera		a D				a D					a F					
Thysanoptera		Ð	F			D	F			D	F			D	F	
Hemiptera		D	,			D				D	F			D		
Homoptera		D	F			D	F			D	F			D	F	

Appendix Table B-1. Occurrence of invertebrates by life stage (i=immature, p=pupa, a=adult) and sample type (B=Benthos, D=Drift, F=Fish Stomach) at four sample sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

Appendix Table B-1 (Continued).

	SLough 9 RM 128.3	Side Channel 10 RM 133.8	Upper Side Channel 11 RM 136.0	Side Channel RM 141.8
Neuroptera Coleoptera <sup>a</sup> Dytiscidae	jaa DF	a D	D iaia DF ia D	D a D i B
Hypdrophilidae TOTAL Coleoptera	ia a D F	a D	1 D iaa DF	ia BD
Trichoptera <sup>a</sup>	ia i DF		i ipa ia BDF	i i B D
Glossosomatidae Hydropsychidae Limnephilidae Hydroptilidae	i i D F i i i B D F	p D i D I i i B D F	ia D i i D F i ip B D	i 8 1piip 8 DF i 8
Rhyacophilidae TOTAL Trichoptera	i ia f BDF	i ipi BDF	j i ipa ia 8 D F	ipi ip BDF
Lepidotera	a D	a D	ia i D F	a i D F
Diptera <sup>a</sup> Ceratopogonidae Chironomidae Culicidae Dixidae	ipaia BDF ia BD ipipaipa BDF	a a D F ia D ip ipa ipa B D F	i îpa ia B D F i a B D ip îpa ia B D F a D i D	ipaa BDF a D ipipaipa BDF
Empididae Muscidae Psychodidae Simuliidae	ip fa ip B D F i i D F i ipa i B D F	i ipa ia BDF pa D i ipa a BDF	i ia pa B D F i D ip pa B D i ipa B D i j	iaa BDF iiii BDF BB BD BD
Stratiomyidae Syrphidae Tipulidae	ip ipa B D	ip ip 8 D	b i D i ipa B D	ip pa B D
TOTAL Diptera Hymenoptera	ipipaipa BDF aa DF	ipipaipa BDF aa DF	ip 1pa ipa 8 D F a a D F	ipipaipa BDF aa DF

## Appendix Table B-1 (Continued).

	SLough 9 RM 128.3		Side ( RM	Side Channel 10 RM 133.8			Upper Side Channel 11 RM 136.0			Side Channel RM 141.8		
TURBELLARIA							В		•	В		
NEMATODA	в			В	D		В	D		В		
OL I GOCHAETA	8	D		B	D		В	D	·	В	D	
CRUSTACEA												
Amphipoda								D				
Cladocera	В	D	F					D			:	
Eucopepoda	B	D			D		8	D		B		
Podocopa		D		8	D		В	D			D	
TOTAL CRUSTACEA	B	D	F	8	D		В	D		В	D	
ARACHNIDA											· · · · ·	
Acari	В	D		8	D		В	D		В	D	
Araneae		D	F		D	F		D	F		D	F
TOTAL ARACHNIDA	B	D	F	В	D.	F	B	D	F	В	D	F
CHILOPODA								D				
CASTROPODA							В	D				
PELECYPODA							B					
HYDROZOA											D	

<sup>a</sup> Identified to Order only.

Appendix Table B-2.

...

Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Slough 9, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		Head		1 FG-4					
-	lune	hilv	August	luce	luly	August			
Water Filtered (f+3)	13.064	4.088	2.697	12 221	2 975	2,805			
	15,007	+,000	23001		23722				
INSECTA									
Collembola		•							
lectoridae	5	•		6	2				
i su comi dae	5	4		0	4	4			
	6			7		1			
Smintnuridae					I				
TOTAL Collembels	•	~		10					
IVIAL COTTEMDOTA	3	2		10	4	I			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Eshamanan									
Epnemeroptera	10 (2)			-	103				
Baetidae	19 (2)	4 (1)		5	(1)	4			
Ephemerellidae	1	3	2		11	2			
Heptageniidae	3	7	4	4.	4				
			-	-		-			
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	23 (5)	20 (1)	6	9	15 (1)	6			
-									
<b>a</b> 1 <b>a</b>					•				
Plecoptera	_	9			31				
Capniidae	1				(1)	3			
Chloroperlidae	1	2		1					
Nemouridae	1								
Perlodidae	4	6	1	1		1			
Taenioptervoidae			30			38			
·									
TOTAL Pelcoptera	7	17	31	2	31 (1)	42			
·	-					<b>A</b>			
rsocoptera			3			1			
Thus as as here a	10		-	42	4				
inysanoptera	10		2	13	I	I			
Hambabaaa				-	•				
nemiptera	4	2			2				
14		•		_	-				
нопортега		2	13	1	2	2			
		······							
Colecaters	9			15	2	4			
corectices a	0			15	3	I			
Trichantora	1	22			24				
In chopuera	1	22	-		24	4			
nydropsychidae			20	•	4	1			
Limnephiliaae	· .		20	I	I	44			
TATAL Tadabastas	1	22	<b>17</b>	•	25	h E			
IVIAL IFICNOPTERA	I	<b>44</b>	21	I	23	40			
	4		4	•					
Lepidoptera	1		i i	I					
	1			·					
Distera	( 4 )		(3)	(2)	(1)				
Canatonocooidee		1 (1)	(3)	(4)	1 / 21				
Chinopoguinuae	212(269)	61 /22)	574571	91 (105)	27 (55)	6 (96)			
	212(200)	01 (34)	5(157)		37 (33) /EN	0 (00)			
Develoridae		(1)		1 (2)	(2)	· • (1)			
rsychodidae	02 /171	10 (1)	h /43	h /3)	- 143	4 /11			
	92 (1/)	10 (1) 1	4 (1)	4 (5)	(1)	(1)			
tipulidae	<b>5</b> (1)'	1		1.					
	207/2011	72 /251	0/161)	07/4491	20 100	0 /001			
IVIAL DIPTERA	307(291)	13 (35)	2(101)	0/(112)	JO (04)	0 (00)			

.

### Appendix Table B-2 (Continued).

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Head	•		IFG-4					
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	June 13,064	July 4,088	August 2,697	June 13,321	<u>July</u> 2,925	August 2,805				
Hymenoptera	21	•	30	20	12 .	12				
OLIGOCHAETA	8	5	1	2	1	4				
CRUSTACEA Cladecera Eucopepoda Podocopa	1 11	5 11 2	5 8 1	3	6 8 2	54 1				
TOTAL CRUSTACEA	12	18	14	3	16	55				
ARACHNIDA Acari Araneae	4	5 1	1 1	1	2 1	4				
TOTAL ARACHNIDA	6	6	2	2	3	4				
FISH Alevin	1	1			1					

<sup>a</sup> identified to Order only.

Appendix Table 8-3. Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Side Channel 10, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult.

		Head	•		IEC-h	
-	hune	head	August	luce	110-4	Anoust
Water Filtered (f+3)	1 574	7 613	<u>Adgust</u>	2 228	5 292	Raz
nacer fiftered (it )	T			5350	5,232	0.52
INSECTA						
Collembola						
isotomidae	2			11		
Sminthuridae	1			••		
	•					
TOTAL Collembola	3			11		
	-			-		
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Ephemeroptera						
Baetidae	12	4		14	3	(1)
Ephemereilidae	1	2		_	3 (3)	
Heptageniidae	8			5	1	
Siphlonuridae	2			6		
TOTAL Colorestant		~		AP	7 (2)	(4)
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	25	0		25	7 (5)	(1)
·						
Plecoptera				_		
Capniidae				2		
Chloroperlidae	1	1		1	1	
Nemouridae	2			1		
Perlodidae	2	1		1		
Taeniopterygidae		1			1	
TATAL Pleasaters	5	3		5	2	
				J	<b></b>	
Psocoptera					1	
				<b>.</b> .		
Thysanoptera				3		
Hami atona	4	4		4		
nemiptera	• 1	•		1		
Homontera	2			1		·•
(ionopce) a	2			•		1
Coleontera	1			5		
	•			•		
Trichoptera						
Glossosomatidae				1		
Hydropsychidae		6			8	
Limnephilidae	1					(1)
TOTAL Trichostors	1	£		1	• ·	(1)
	1	0		I	0	(1)
Lepidoptera	1			1		(1)
·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Díptera <sup>8</sup>		(2)				
Ceratopogónidae	.1	~~/		3 (1)	(2)	(2)
Chironomidae	142 (28)	10 (6)		227 (51)	11 (8)	3 (18)
Fmnididae	1 (1)			5 (2)	(10)	J (10)
Psychodidae	• (•)			2 11	()	
Simuliidae	27 (3)			46 (5)		
Tipulidae	1	1		2		
	•	•		-		
TOTAL Diptera	172 (32)	11 (9)		285 (60)	11 (20)	3 (20)
• • • • • • •	• v			• •	•••	· ·
Appendix Table B-3 (Continued).

		Head		IFG-4	
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	<u>June</u> 1,574	July August 1,613	June 3,338	July 5,292	August 892
Hymenoptera	1	4	1	4	2
NEMATODA	2,		2		
OL I GOCHAETA	20	1	19		1
CRUSTACEA Eucop <del>e</del> poda Podocopa	3 2	2	3	2	
TOTAL CRUSTACEA	5	2	3	2	
ARACHNIDA Acari Aran <del>cac</del>	3 · 1	1	2 1		5
TOTAL ARACNIDA	4	1	3		5
FISH Alevin	1		1		

<sup>a</sup> Identified to Order only.

Appendix Table B-4. Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at Upper Side Channel 11, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult.

		Head	August	1100		August
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	21,530	4,699	4,096	23,211	6,226	5,490
Protura	2					
Collembola <sup>a</sup>	-					1
Isotomidae	204	2	2	76	4	
Poduridae	11			3	1	2
Sminthuridae	3			5		•
TOTAL Collembola	220	2	2	84	5	3
Ephemeroptera <sup>a</sup>					(1)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Baetidae	1,226	29 (1)	2	154	17 (1)	3
Ephemerellidae		6	7		5	3
Heptageni i dae	79	12	17.	11	12 (1)	10
Siphlonuridae	43			3		
TOTAL Ephemeroptera	1,348	47 (1)	26	168	34 (3)	16
Plecoptera <sup>a</sup>		1	48		3	45
Capniidae	1 (1)			1	2 (2)	
Chloroperlidae	64	7	6	12	2	1
Nemouridae	64 (11)	_	2	26 (2)	1	2
Perlodidae	6	7	8	. 3		
Pteronarcidae	2		-			
TOTAL Plecoptera	137 (12)	15	64	42 (2)	8 (2)	48
Psocoptera	5		2		- <u>n</u>	
Thysanoptera	18	6	1	10	4	
Hemiptera	3	2		4	1	
Homoptera	8	5	14	7	3	15
Neuroptera			<u> </u>		1	
6-1	<b>2</b> 4	•		0	<i>I</i> .	
Dutiecidae	- 44	4		7	4	
Hydrophilidae	4	ŝ		4	1	
					• •	
TOTAL Coleoptera	26	3		11	5	- 
Trichoptera <sup>a</sup>	(1)		5	1		3
Glossosomatidae			_	1	(1)	
Hydropsychidae	· •		5		1	
Limnephilidae	5		2	E		
муасортт наае	14			U	•	
TOTAL Trichoptera	15 (1)		12	8	1 (1)	3
l enidentere	91			14		
Lepidopcera	41			14		

B-10

Appendix Table B-4 (Continued).

		Head			IFG-4	
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	<u>June</u> ) 21,530	<u>July</u> 4,699	August 4,096	June 23,211	<u>July</u> 6,226	August 5,490
Diptera <sup>a</sup> Ceratopogonidae Chironomidae Culicidae	21 (20) 17 883(322)	(4) 73(110)	(3) 113(239)	13 (10) 1 (1) 572(444)	1 (6) (4) 68(237) (1)	(4) 131 (249)
Empididae Psychodidae Simuliida Tipulidae Dixidae Muscidae Stratiomyidae	17 (3) 10 90(128) 63 (3) 3 1 1 2	4 (7) 14	6	20 (1) 2 (1) 24 (59) 26 (2) 2 1	(11) 21 (5) 1 (4)	1 5
TOTAL Diptera	1,108(476)	91 (121 )	119(342)	663 (518)	91 (268)	137 (253)
Hymenoptera	29	10	8	14	9	· 5
NEMATODA	1		1	2	1	1
OL I GOCHAETA	82		7	27	5	1
CRUSTACEA Cladocera Eucopepoda Amphipoda	1	4 4	5 3	7 1	5 5	2
TOTAL CRUSTACEA	1	8	8	8	10	2
ARACHNIDA Acari Aranea <del>e</del>	23 19	6 1	1	18 10	5 1	2
TOTAL ARACHNIDA	42	7	1	28	6	2
CHILOPODA	3	•				
GASTROPODA	2		1	1		1
FISH Alevin Juvenile salmon	1	2			1	

<sup>a</sup> Identified to Order only

B-11

Appendix Table B-5. Total numbers of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) in drift samples collected at upper Side Channel 21, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984. Terrestrial insect groups and non-insect groups are not differentiated by larvae or adult.

·		Head	·	IFG-4	·····
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	June54	July August	June 9,693	July 5,808	August 5,190
INSECTA					
Collembola					
Isotomidae	1	2			1
Poduridae Smiathucidae	1	1		4	1
San number ade	•				I
TOTAL Collembola	2	3	· · · · ·	4	2
Ephemeroptera	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Baetidae		(4)	•		(2)
Hentageniidae		(1)			3 2
Siphlonuridae	1	<b>、</b> ,,			<b>-</b> .
		101			- /->
TUTAL Ephemeroptera	1	(2)			5 (2)
Plecoptera <sup>a</sup>					5
Capniidae			(1)		1 (1)
Perlodidae		(1)			
TOTAL Plecoptera		(1)	(1)		6 (1)
Psocoptera					5
Thysanoptera			1		7
Hemiptera		1			1
Homoptera	·			1	9
Neuroptera		1			
Coleoptera	2	1	1		2
Trichoptera Limnephilidae				1	18
Lepidoptera					4
Diptera <sup>a</sup>	(1)	(1)		(2)	(4)
Ceratopogonidae	• • •	(1)		(3)	11
Chironomidae	2 (5)	(8)	2 (23)	4 (10)	42(1047)
⊂mpididae Simuliidae	1 (11)	(13)	(1)	(1)	(3) (3)
Tipulidae	1	(2)	(17		(,
TOTAL Diptera	4 (17)	(31)	2 (24)	4 (16)	53(1057)

B-12

(20.20

### Appendix Table B-5 (Continued).

		Head		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FG-4	
Water Filtered (ft <sup>3</sup> )	June 54	JuTy 864	August	June 9,693	July 5,808	August 5,190
Hymenoptera	1	8		2	8	85
HYDROZOA		·				2
OLIGOCHAETA					1	36
CRUSTACEA Podocopa			•			1
ARACHNIDA Acari Aran <del>cac</del>					15 2	37 2
TOTAL ARACHNIDA					17	39

<sup>a</sup> identified to Order only.

Appendix Table B-6. Densities (no./yd<sup>3</sup> of water) and rates (no./min.) of invertebrate drift during June, July, and August at slough and side channel head and IFG sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

		June	7-14	July	7-14	August	9-16
-		Density	Rate	Density	Rate	Density	Rate
Collembola	S1.9 Head	0.02	0.15	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.02	0.17	0.04	0.11	0.01	0.03
	S.C.10 Head	0.05	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	ILS C 11 Head	0.09	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1 FG-4	0.10	0.93	0.02	0.16	0.01	0.10
	S.C. 21 Head	1.00	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.03
Ephemeroptera	S1. 9 Head	0.06	0.47	0.15	0.61	0.06	0.18
	FG-4	0.02	0.15	0.15	0.42	0.06	0.18
· .	S.C.10 Head	0.39	3.07	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	1 69	13 23	0.05	0.20	0.03	0.01
	1FC-4	0.20	1.87	0.16	1.12	0.07	0.57
	S.C. 21 Head	0.50	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.12
Plecoptera	SI.9 Head	0.01	0.12	0.11	0.44	0.31	0.91
	IFG-4	0.01	0.03	0.30	0.84	0.40	1.24
· .	SI 10 Head	0.09	0.67	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.04	0.33	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00
	U.S.C. II Head	0.19	1.40	0.05	0.47	0.34	2.13
	S.C. 21 Head	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00
	1 FG-4	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.12
Trichoptera	S1.9 Head	0.01	0.02	0.15	0.61	0.27	0.79
	IFG-4	0.01	0.02	0.23	0.66	0.43	1.32
	S.C.10 Head	0.02	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00
	1FG-4 11 S.C. 11 Hord	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.16	0.03	0.01
		0.02	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.40
	S.C. 21 Head	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.09	0.30
Diptera Larvae	S1.9 Head	0.63	5.12	0.48	2.03	0.09	0.26
	1 FG-4	0.18	1.45	0.35	1.00	0.08	0.24
	S.C.10 Head	2.95	22.93	0.18	0.18	0.00	0.00
		2.31	19.00	0.06	0.22	0.09	0.03
	0.5.C. 11 Head	1.39	10.86	0.52	2.84	0.63	5.9/
	S C 21 Head	2 00	0 13	0.39	2.04	0.0/	4.33 0 00
•	1FG-4	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.28	0.90
Diptera Adults	S1.9 Head	0.60	4.85	0.22	0.94	1.61	4.74
	1 FG-4	0.23	1.87	0.59	1.68	0.85	2.59
	S.C.10 Head	0.55	4.27	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00
	1FG-4	0.49	4.00	0.10	0.40	0.61	0.22
-	U.S.C. 11 Head	0.60	4.6/	0./0	3./8	1.20	8.U/ 9.47
	S.C. 21 Head	8.50	0,57	0.97	1.03	0,00	0.00
	1FG-4	0.07	0.43	0.10	0.25	5.501	7.62
					~. ~~		

Appendix Table B-6 Continued.

Aleren a

finit

		June	7-14	July	7-14	August	9-16
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Density	Rate	Density	Rate	Density	Rate
Other Insects	S1.9 Head	0.10	0.83	0.03	0.11	0.52	1.53
	1 FG-4	0.12	0.95	0.18	0.53	0.15	0.47
	S.C.10 Head	0.10	0.80	0.08	0.08	0.00	0.00
	IFG-4	0.10	0.80	0.03	0.10	0.09	0.03
	U.S.C. 11 Head	0.14	1.10	0.15	0.81	0.14	0.87
	I FG-4	0.07	0.67	0.11	0.78	0.10	0.67
	S.C. 21 Head	1.50	0.10	0.34	0.37	0.00	0.00
	I FG-4	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.58	1.85
Other	S1.9 Head	0.05	0.43	0.19	0.81	0.17	0.50
Invertebrates	IFG-4	0.01	0.12	0.18	0.53	0.61	1.85
-	S.C.10 Head	0.53	4.13	0.07	0.07	0.00	0.00
	I FG-4	0.22	1.80	0.01	0.04	0.18	0.07
	U.S.C. 11 Head	0.16	1.28	0.09	0.47	0.10	0,60
	FG-4	0.08	0.74	0.10	0.69	0.03	0.23
	S.C. 21 Head	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	I FG-4	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.28	0.41	1.30
Total invertebrates	S1. 9 Head	1.49	11.98	1.34	5.64	3.03	8.91
	1 FG-4	0.58	4.75	2.02	5.76	2.60	7.94
	S.C.10 Head	4.68	36.40	0.74	0.73	0.00	0.00
	1 FG-4	3.44	28.33	0.30	1.16	1.06	0.39
	U.S.C. 11 Head	4.46	34.89	1.83	9.94	2.70	16.97
	1 FG-4	1.88	17.91	1.98	14.28	2.39	16.20
	S.C. 21 Head	13.50	0.90	1.50	1.60	0.00	0.00
	1FG-4	0.90	0.55	0.31	0.80	6.94	22.2

	7/6/84 18 samples	9/9/84 24 samples
INSECTA		
Collembola Isotomidae	-	1 (1)
Fonemerontera		
Baetidae	9 (5)	1 (1)
Enhemerellidae	27 (8)	1 /1
Hentageniidae	11 (5)	- (17
Siphlopuridae	-	1 (1)
Total Ephemeroptera	47 (8)	3 (3)
Plecoptera		
Capniidae		50 (8)
Chloroperlidae	4 (2)	3 (3)
Nemouridae	-	2 (1)
Perlodidae	11 (6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Taeniopterygidae	-	12 (3)
Total Plecoptera	15 (7)	67 (9)
Trichoptera		
Limnephilidae	-	11 (4)
Rhyacophilidae	-	2 (2)
Total Trichoptera	-	13 (5)
Diptera	2 (2)	
Ceratopogonidae	1 (1)	-
Chironomidae	60 (13)	415 (19)
Empididae	4 (1)	-
Simuliidae	1 (1)	-
Tipulidae	-	4 (3)
Total Diptera	68 (13)	419 (20)
NEMATODA	1 (1)	1 (1)
OLIGOCHAETA	76 (9)	15 (7)
CRUSTACEA		
Cladocera	-	1 (1)
Eucopepoda	-	3 (3)
Total CRUSTACEA	-	4 (3)
ARACHNIDA		
Acari	1 (1)	-

Appendix Table B-7. Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Slough 9, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

Appendix Table B-8. Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Side Channel 10, Middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

	6/26/84 32 samples	9/8/84 21 samples
INSECTA		
Ephemeroptera		
Baetidae	23 (9)	7 (3)
Ephemerellidae	1 (1)	
Heptagen11dae	24 (13)	1 (1)
Sipnionuridae	- 48 (15)	3(2) 11(3)
Total chienel optela	40 (13)	
Plecoptera		
Caphiidae	-	145 (15)
Chloroperlidae	8 (6)	7 (6)
Nemouridae	-	1 (1)
Perlodidae	7 (6)	-
Taeniopterygidae	-	3 (2)
Total Plecoptera	15 (9)	156 (17)
Trichoptera		
Limnephilidae	<b>•</b>	10 (7)
Diptera	1 (1)	
Chironomidae	43 (16)	157 (18)
Empididae	-	9 (6)
Simuliidae	4 (4)	- , ,
Tipulidae	-	7 (5)
Total Diptera	48 (16)	173 (21)
NEMATODA	1 (1)	3 (3)
OLIGOCHAETA	6 (3)	18 (9)
CRUSTACEA		
Podocopa	-	1 (1)
ARACHNIDA	•	· ·
Acari	-	1 (1)

# Appendix Table B-9.

Total	numbers of	benthic	invertebr	ates and	l the	numbe	er of	samples	( ) in
which	each taxa m	ras found	at Upper	Side Ch	i enns	11,	middle	Susitna	River,
Alaska	1984.								-

	7/9/84 27 samples	8/23/84 36 samples
INSECTA		
Collembola		
lsotomidae	7 (2)	-
Ephemeroptera		
Baetidae	31 (9)	1 (1)
Ephemerellidae	23 (12)	7 (5)
	24 (9)	1 (1)
Total Ephemeroptera	79 (16)	9 (7)
Plecoptera		
Capniidae	-	31 (13)
Chloroperlidae	17 (10)	12 (8)
Nemouridae Boglodidae	1 (1)	17 (7)
Taegiontervaidae	- (5)	2 (2)
Total Plecoptera	33 (17)	65 (16)
Trichophtera	2 (2)	-
Limnephilidae	-	14 (11)
Total Trichophtera	2 (2)	14 (11)
Diptera	1 (1)	
Ceratopogonidae	•	2 (2)
Chironomidae	118 (22)	586 (28)
Emploidae Pevebodidae	•	$\frac{2}{2}$ (2)
Simuliidae	1 (1)	2 (2)
Tipulidae	i (i)	2 (2)
Total Diptera	121 (23)	594 (30)
TURBELLARIA	8 (6)	24 (5)
NEMATODA	1 (1)	4 (4)
OLIGOCHAETA	40 (9)	92 (20)
CRUSTACEA		
Eucopepoda	-	2 (2)
ARACHNIDA		
Acart	4 (4)	2 (2)
GASTROPODA	-	1 (1)
PELECYPODA	1 (1)	-
	•	

B-18

	6/24/84 20 samples	8/24/84 35 samples
INSECTA		· · ·
Collembola Isotomidae	-	5 (4)
Ephemeroptera Baetidae Ephemerellidae Heptageniidae Total Ephemeroptera	64 (17) 2 (2) 45 (10) 111 (17)	2 (1) 1 (1) 3 (2)
Plecoptera Capniidae Chloroperlidae Nemouridae Perlodidae Total Plecoptera	1 (1) 	6 (5) 1 (1) 4 (2) 3 (3) 14 (8)
Coleoptera Dytiscidae	2 (2)	-
Trichoptera Hydropshchidae Hydroptilidae Limnephilidae Total Trichoptera	3 (1) 1 (1) 49 (8) 53 (8)	1 (1) - 62 (18) 63 (19)
Diptera Chironomidae Empididae Muscidae Psychodidae Simuliidae Tipulidae Total Diptera	7 (4) 244 (18) 5 (4) - 2 (2) 8 (4) 259 (18)	1 (1) 448 (33) 5 (5) 1 (1) 2 (2) - 4 (2) 460 (33)
TURBELLARIA	49 (7)	8 (3)
NEMATODA	1 (1)	-
OLIGOCHAETA	451 (17)	. 286 (26)
CRUSTACEA Eu copepoda	1 (1)	1 (1)
ARACHNIDA Acari	7 (4)	3 (2)

Appendix Table B-10. Total numbers of benthic invertebrates and the number of samples ( ) in which each taxa was found at Side Channel 21, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

### APPENDIX C

# Results of the Multiple Regression Analysis for Drift Data

ß

### APPENDIX C

#### Results of the Multiple Regression Analysis for Drift Data

Appendix C presents the results of the analysis of variance for calculating the F values in the two multiple regression analyses. Also shown are the results of the two sets of t tests run on the regression coefficients. A statement of the hypothesis being tested is also presented.

Hypothesis: The numbers of drifting invertebrate at IFG-4 sites was not dependent (related) upon the numbers of drifting invertebrates at head sites, the volume of water filtered at head sites, or the volume of water filtered at IFG-4 sites.

1) 
$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$$
  
 $H_A: \circ_1^1 \neq \beta_2^2 \neq \beta_3^2 \neq 0$ 

Table C-1. Analysis of Variance.

Source of Variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Mean sum of squares	F value
Regression Error	3 132	222.203 57.262	74.068 0.434	170.741
Total	135	279.465		

The critical value of F at 3 and 132 d.f. and  $\alpha = 0.05$  is  $\approx 2.68$ . Since the calculated F is 170.741 we reject the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) and accept the alternate hypothesis (H<sub>A</sub>).

2)  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 0, \beta_3 = 0$ 

H<sub>A</sub>: 
$$\beta_1 T$$
 Me  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_3 \neq 0$ 

Table C-2. Results of Student's t-test.

Variable	Coefficient estimate	Standard error of estimate	t value	
×1	β <sub>1</sub> = 0.808	0.093	18.90	
×2	β <sub>2</sub> = 0.095	0.058	1.65	
×3	$\beta_3 = -0.345$	0.085	-4.05	

The critical value of t at 132 d.f and  $\alpha$  = 0.05 is  $\approx$  1.98.

Since the calculated t value for  $J_2$  does not exceed the critical value (ignore signs) we fail to reject the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) of no difference from zero for the relationship with volume of water filtered

at the head site. Accordingly, a new model was evaluated which did not utilize  $x_2$ . The new model was:

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_3 x_3 + \epsilon$$

where the symbols are as defined in Section 2.3.1.

The new hypotheses tested:

1)  $H_0: \beta_1 b \beta_3 = 0$  $H_A: \beta_1 \neq \beta_3 \neq 0$ 

Table C-3. Analysis of Variance for new hypothesis.

Source of Variation	d.f.	Sum of squares	Mean sum of squares	F value
Regression Error	2 133	221.017 58.448	110.508 0.439	251.464
Total	135	279.465		

The critical value of F at 2 and 133 d.f. and  $\alpha = 0.05$  is  $\cong 3.07$ . Since the calculated F is 251.464 we reject the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) and accept the alternate hypothesis (H<sub>A</sub>).

- 2)  $H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \beta_3 = 0$ 
  - $H_{A}: \quad \beta_{1} \neq 0, \quad \beta_{3} \neq 0$

Table C-4. Results of Student's t-test for new hypothesis

Variable	Coefficient estimate	Standard error of estimate	t value	
×1	β <sub>1</sub> = 0.841	0.038	22.06	
×2	$\beta_3 = -0.310$	0.083	-3.73	

The critical value of t at 133 d.f. and  $\propto = 0.05$  is  $\approx 1.98$ . Since the calculated t values for the two regression coefficients exceeds the critical value (ignore signs) we reject the null hypotheses ( $H_0$ ) of no

difference from zero. The final linear model with estimates of coefficients is:

$$y = 2.684 + 0.841x_1 - 0.310x_2 + \varepsilon$$

Note, that extensive residual analysis as outlined by Draper and Smith (1981) and Hoaglin et al. (1983) was completed on this final model. This analysis indicated that residuals were approximately normally distributed, residuals were not related to either estimated values of y or original values of  $x_1$  or  $x_3$ ; and that no one point or groups of points unduly affected the relationship (i.e., had outstanding values of leverage Belsley et al. [1980]). Accordingly, the model described above is deemed "valid".

### APPENDIX D

Formula for Calculating the Shannon-Weaver Diversity Index and Evenness Index

### APPENDIX D

#### Formula for Calculating the Shannon-Weaver Diversity Index and Evenness Index

Appendix D contains the formula for calculating the Shannon-Weaver diversity index and evenness index (Poole 1974) used to describe the benthic invertebrate communities in riffles, run, and pool habitats in side channels and side sloughs. 1) Shannon-Weaver index (H')

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_i \log_2 P_i$$

where s = number of taxa
P = proportion of the total number of individuals
i consisting of the ith taxa (i.e., Family, Order)

2)

variance of Shannon-Weaver index (var (H'))

$$var(H') = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \log_2^2 P_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \log_2 P_i)^2}{N}$$

where N = total number of individuals

3) standard error of H'

4) evenness (J')

$$J' = \frac{H'}{\log_2 S}$$

# APPENDIX E

Juvenile Chinook Salmon Stomach Content Data

Appendix Table E-1. Number and kind of invertebrate larvae and adults ( ) from the stomachs of juvenile chinook salmon caught by electrofishing and drift nets at invertebrate sampling sites, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

	_Slot (14_1	igh 9 fish)	Side Channel 10 (14 fish)	Upper S Channel (19 fi	ide Upper Side 11 Channel 21 sh) (20 fish)	Drift Net (5 fish)
INSECTA						
Collembola	1		7	3	-	-
Ephemeroptera Baetidae Ephemerellidae Heptageniidae Siphlonuridae	9 4 3 -		10 8 1 -	26 2 2 4	4 4 1 1	5 - -
TOTAL Ephemeropter	a 17		26	35	10	5
Plecoptera Capniidae Chloroperlidae Nemouridae Perlodidae	111	- <u>1</u>	73  2 1 5	35 ( 2 18 (	4 1) - 1 - 2) 5	39 - - -
TOTAL Plecoptera	113		81	55 (	3) 10	39
Thysanoptera	5		1	3		1
Hemiptera	. <b>-</b>		-	1	-	-
Homoptera	_ 5		23	10	34	<sup>.</sup> 1
Coleoptera	. `	(2)	(1)	-	-	-
Trichoptera Hydropsychidae Limnephilidae	2		- <b>4</b>	() -	2)	-
TOTAL Trichoptera	3		4	4	4	-
Lepidoptera	2		-	2	-	<sup>.</sup> 1
Diptera Chironomidae Empididae Miscidae Psychodidae Simuliidae	4 101 2 - 3 2	(2) (85) (7)	1 (4) 374(107) 1 (10) - - (1)	3 (1 44 (3 3 (3 - - -	5) (6) 5) 404(259) 0) (15) 18 - -	1 (2) 23 (52) - -
TOTAL Diptera	112	(94)	376(121)	50 (8	0) 422(281)	24 (54)
Hymenoptera		(2)	(5)	(	2) (2)	(2)
CRUSTACEA						
Cladocera			-	-	-	-
ARACHNIDA						
Araneae	1		2	-	1	1

E-2

# APPENDIX F

Weighted Usable Area Projection Data

#### APPENDIX F

### Weighted Usable Area (WUA) Projection Data

Appendix F presents invertebrate behavioral group WUA and gross area projections for each of the study sites at various side channel and side slough site flows. Corresponding mainstem discharges for site flows at or above controlling breaching are also listed.

Site Flow (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Area	Burrower WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUA
5		64481	27126	1127	1403	28194
10	` <b></b>	70947	26912	1507	1889	33032
15		74170	24867	1805	2265	34925
20	19695	78065	23022	2095	2625	36439
25	20275	80268	21529	2407	3006	37827
30	20762	83525	20171	2719	3394	39365
35	21182	85352	18881	3036	3779	40691
40	21554	87186	17700	3341	4157	41952
45	21886	88402	16842	3606	4501	42684
50	22189	89986	16020	3877	4852	43418
60	22721	92398	15008	4423	5570	45042
70	23182	96544	14404	5012	6313	47020
80	23588	98312	14041	5592	7019	48908
90	23952	100229	13866	6181	7761	50412
100	24283	101929	13739	6769	8497	51382
125	23998	105280	13639	8385	10539	53577
150	25598	108189	13284	10124	12790	55257
175	26117	110150	13038	12010	15086	56568
200	26575	111734	12871	14063	17474	57715
250	27357	114982	12944	18379	21915	60254
300	28014	118473	13020	22240	24465	61942
350	28582	120769	13079	24923	24097	63457

Appendix Table F-1. Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1,000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Slough 9.

1

and a second

1

. Second

in the second second

#### Appendix Table F-1. Continued.

Site Flow (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Area	Burrower WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUA
400	29083	122670	12492	25537	22388	64068
450	29532	124344	11711	24881	19899	63869
500	29939	128544	11339	23786	17653	62585
550	30313	129888	11505	22251	15407	60368
600	30658	131216	11486	20439	13517	57721

interned.

and and

-- site flow not controlled by mainstem discharge

1

Side Channel (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Area	Burrower WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUĄ
5		44519	6369	3436	4987	31787
10	19534	51396	6291	4988	6963	37662
15	20413	57069	6142	6356	8713	41667
20	21060	60975	6029	7587	10805	45103
25	21577	63253	5916	8649	13136	46919
30	22008	64655	5877	9782	15041	48343
35	22379	66581	5893	11117	16254	49622
40	22706	67914	5951	12436	17411	50355
50	23263	70782	6182	14165	19124	52987
60	23728	73925	6233	15107	19549	55189
70	24128	78243	6783	15995	20081	58485
90	24796	85177	7400	17485	20689	63452
100	25081	88501	7851	18322	21224	65736

Appendix Table F-2. Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1,000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Side Channel 10.

present.

-- site flow not controlled by mainstem discharge

Site Flow (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Area	Burrower WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUA
5		55198	12730	1156	1985	26663
10		64423	13509	1711	2944	30773
15		70364	14171	2208	3783	34486
20	16152	74134	14277	2741	4616	37427
25	16810	78120	13884	3239	5358	39117
30	17367	81321	13691	3776	6156	41398
35	17853	85287	13583	4335	6993	43662
40	18284	86115	13556	4803	7686	45033
45	18674	86902	13412	5222	8340	45731
50	19029	87618	13238	5610	9043	46177
60	19660	91321	13042	6391	10682	47485
70	20210	94446	13102	7273	12270	49498
80	20698	96357	13201	8263	13641	51103
90	21139	99027	13226	9327	14808	52643
100	21541	100245	13239	10323	15822	54112
110	21912	103388	13255	11261	16694	55394

Appendix Table F-3. Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1,000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Upper Side Channel 11.

10.01

#### Appendix Table F-3. Continued.

Site Flow (cfs)	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Area	Burrower WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUA
120	22255	104770	13296	12126	17677	56839
130	22576	106149	13277	12913	18742	57885
140	22877	107433	13285	13615	19806	59120
150	23162	108614	13245	14349	20737	59949
175	23809	111336	13145	16113	22617	61692
200	24385	113641	12936	17314	24329	62983
225	24904	115707	12747	18263	25737	64044
250	25378	117635	12614	19315	26556	64781

-- site flow not controlled by mainstem discharge

Line No.	Site Discharge	Mainstem Discharge	Gross Burrower Area WUA	Swimmer WUA	Clinger WUA	Sprawler WUA
5		48143	19202	692	1084	19395
10	24138	54765	21041	1133	1552	21946
15	25009	57589	20105	1450	1952	23266
20	25647	58996	18263	1803	2481	24545
25	26152	60280	16945	2040	2777	24913
30	26572	60942	15719	2288	3061	25241
35	. 26933	62571	14633	2536	3341	25516
40	27249	65457	14226	2720	3579	26066
45	27531	67779	13998	2948	3839	26710
50	27786	70378	14194	3175	4071	27309
60	28232	71364	13713	3615	4546	27936
70	28616	73227	13094	4025	5058	23276
80	28952	75853	13149	4413	5577	28839
90	29251	77232	12923	4832	6078	<sup>°</sup> 29503
100	29522	78424	12485	5258	6600	30284
200	31367	86757	11417	8064	8988	35549
300	32499	89749	10853	7425	8535	35660
400	33327	92325	9897	6684	8057	34884

Appendix Table F-4. Projections of gross area and WUA (ft sq/1,000 ft) of benthic invertebrate habitat at Side Channel 21.

-- site flow not controlled by mainstem discharge

F-8

# APPENDIX G

-

-----

Water Turbidity Data

Mainstem IFG-4 Breached Head Mainstem Discharge (cfs) at Gold Creek (NTU) (NTU) Time (NTU) (Yes/No) Location Date \_\_ª 27 38 21500 Slough 9 840611 2100 Y \_\_a \_\_a 36<sub>a</sub> (River Mile 128.3) 2200 22 21300 840612 Υ 840706 1530 124 22300 Y \_\_a 160 840711 2130 152 23100 Y \_a 840712 130 156 2130 21900 Y \_\_a 840813 2030 100 152 17600 Y \_\_8 130<sub>a</sub> 840814 2000 70 16100 Y \_\_a N 840909 1150 1 10600 \_\_a \_\_a 840613 2130 24 56 \_\_\_a 25900 γ Side Channel 840614 2100 120 31500 Y <u>, a</u> \_\_a 10 840626 1520 136 26600 Υ \_\_a (River Mile 133.8) 840713 2100 138 138 21200 Ŷ \_\_a 86<sub>a</sub> 840714 2130 77 21200 Y -\_a 840815 2000 2 15100 Ν \_\_a \_\_a 840816 2000 1 14500 Ň \_\_8 \_\_a 840908 1110 1 10900 Ν \_\_a --a 2235 840607 46 19300 Upper Y \_\_a Side 840608 2200 44 48 20300 Y \_\_a 2100 Channe1 840707 138 140 21900 Y 162 \_\_\_a \_\_a 840708 2100 142 Y 11 21500 \_\_â 140 (River Mile 136.0) 840709 1122 21400 Y \_\_a 320 840809 2030 344 24500 Y \_\_a 304<sub>a</sub> 840810 2015 248 24000 Y \_\_a 108 840823 1202 17900 Y \_\_a \_\_<sup>a</sup> N<sub>b</sub> Yb Upper 840609 2100 1 21100 \_\_a \_\_a 28<sub>a</sub> 840610 2130 2 21900 Side Channel 840624 1140 152 30000 Y \_\_a \_\_a Ň<sub>ь</sub> Yb 840709 2100 2 21400 21 188<sub>a</sub> \_\_a (River Mile 141.8) 840710 2130 8 21200 .\_a 15 840811 2000 22500 N \_\_\_`a \_\_8 840812 2000 2 19000 Ν \_\_ª \_\_a 66 840824 1215 22700 Υ \_\_a \_\_<sup>a</sup> \_\_a 10<sup>C</sup> 840531 0840 Mainstem 12600 \_\_a .\_a 110<sup>c</sup> 70<sup>c</sup> .\_a 840627 1300 28700 at \_\_a \_\_a \_a Gold Creek 840725 1230 22800 -\_a 130<sup>c</sup> 8<sup>c</sup> \_a \_\_a (River Mile 136.6) 840823 1345 17900 \_\_a \_\_a 1300 840928 7320

Appendix Table G-1. Turbidity values in nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) from five locations, middle Susitna River, Alaska, 1984.

a b No data

At point of breaching.

c U.S.G.S (1985) Provisional Water Resources Data, Alaska, Water Year 1984 (in press).

Ģ 2