BEAR HARVEST

The second second

Personal Communications

MARZA-EBASCO SUSITNA JOINT VENTURE

PERSONAL COMMUNICATION REPORT

PERSON CONTACTED Sterling Miller, Big Game Biologist	TASK NUMBER	4
AFFILIATION Alaska Dept. of Fish & Game, Anchorage.	DATEApril 19	
HARZA-EBASCO REPRESENTATIVE Chuck Elliott	PHONE NUMBERext	t. 318
COPIES TO R. Fairbanks, R. Suttle, R. Lindsay		
SUBJECT Bear harvest in the project area.		
in the project area. I commented that in his 1982 repthe average harvest of black and brown bears in Game 38 bears, respectively. I asked him if this had characteristical and brown bear hunt and that the regulations. He provided the data given below. Mille	oort (see footnote) Management Unit 13 aged. He replied yeare had been change) he stated that 3 was 66 and es, that in 1980 ges in the
harvest in 1983 and 1984 was due to changing the hunt one brown bear per person every four years to one bro the increase in 1984 black bear harvest was due to a	ing regulations from bear per year.	rom allowing He also felt
1984 were allowed to bait-in black bears. HARVEST OF BROWN AND BLACK BEA	ARS IN GMU 13 FROM	1980 TO 1984

Harza-Ebasco
Susitna Joint Venture
Document Number

Please Return To
DOCUMENT CONTROL

HARVEST OF	BROWN AND	BLACK BEARS	IN GMU	13 FROM	1 1980 TO	1984	
Year	Brown Bears			В	Black Bears		
1980		84			87		
1981		81			93		
1982		80			73		
1983		119			57		
1984		124			102		
AVERA(GE	98			83		

Miller also commented that the current harvest level (since 1980) can not be considered "well below the sustainable harvest level" for brown bears. This is contrary to what was stated in the 1982 report, but with the change in hunting season and regulations there has been a change in the average harvest.

Report referred to: Miller, S.D., and D.C. McAllister. 1982. Susitna Hydroelectric Project, Phase I Final Report, Big Game Studies, Vol. VI, Black bear and brown bear. Alaska Dept. Fish and Game, Anchorage. 233pp.