SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

REPORTS BY POWER AUTHORITY AND ACRES EXTERNAL REVIEW PANELS 1980 - 82

Table of Contents

•

-

Section A: Reports by Power Authority Review Board Panel Section B: Reports by Acres External Review Panel



January 24, 1981 SUSITIVA HYDROFLECTRIC PROJECT EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL REPORT No. 1 On 22 Januar INTRODUCT ION The Panel mich with representations of The alaska Power authouty Braid and ito staff and representatives & acres american in anchorage on January 22-24, 1981 for descussions on studies for the Suanta Hydroclectric Project NAPA staff muchules gave the Panel a general trackground of the project and studies which are underway and Acres representatives described The concert status of These studies. a site inspection of the Operget was made on 23 January. Descrisario of the Panely findingly wird held in Elic morning of 24 January, and This report which seminarized the Panela opinions and recommendations was

prepared that aftern

ور به بو بو به الم المواد الم الموادية من معر الم المواد الم المواد الم المواد الم المواد الم المواد الم

ter et en de en de tre de flinkken innerhensken in yn in inner in yn yn innerhen. Ar yn yn innerhen in yn yn innerhen yn yn innerhen yn yn innerhen yn yn innerhen. Tre staat yn de tre de flinkken yn de tre de tre

an la gradiente de la construcción La construcción de la construcción d

• • • • • • • •

O GEOTECHNICAL INESTIGATIONS los recognize _____ that _____ field splarate program for the 1981. season - may be modified somewhat. Depending in relative miorities assigned & the Dauli- Canyon US. Watara Damirter as mult an FERC requirements to when the in much we would like to offer the following suggestions for your considerate . Devilé Canyon Site. The general geotechnical conditions at this site are reasonably mell known considering ster availability of wich outerop gologie mapping ... : schiduled for the comingprovide production desic mill shaned in lie carried inter information and at this time local geologic ... Thuctures will mapped and can lie projected to skear herei planned, already completodent the giolo geometry of cliere gods; frictures now glan he would the degree make the project; another grand alterate the tops of the project; wo o... 0. · . D. D Ω 0. .

upon de alune comments and especially because Duile anyor may be defended will with she futur It appears that the field program at watance requires a greater degree of study and the beinge planned for Devile' Canyon might hetter 2. Watana Site. of the pile geology meed further dampication.

3

in allition to glad the maplices suplices

- diag The field program ippleration program

at the domaite consists primarch. of geologic

mapping, boringe, and poinces_of_borrow material. te agre minde the general approach of acres suplacie placed upon defining the properties e - can a wear in - a sea and a command a faring man an farman san san a far water and a second part on a farman and a second for the second the right alutiment and upon the

cleambers location. The lined channel leaves the present crosses the right od abuttment exiting in. Tausena Creek, Rie liase of the channel is helieved to be about 400 for below reservoir level - and in _____help____filled with pervisus allurial deposits and perhaps : some placeal till. Ouro proposed seismic judgilung hor lier completed we ingged that at least two. horinge (rather than the currently implained) he drilled into this feature. Sampling of the don e. allunial mattriale elisable les done 50 Deque i refficient . To altain an undertime attinate permeatulet and a flow man a mill same to check analyzie made. . 59 Carpo of Engineeric cleannel

 \mathcal{O} stage in 1er project development an Deep-wet pumping that may be adminable. Vle proposed underground iliambiers are yoursearchy located in in the left abutment and lie between recomined zones poor quality rock. 09 presents little known og sere toch - conditions in tere actual chanter ite les jos ieconnend Hest two desperande hales be duilled early in Re summer program Dè crèse: the chamber site If rock conditions are generally good, then phone Att derign can proceed parihility level.

If not, and if serious concern exists about e technical failulity of the charlier the applanation adita mill lie required talance experience adits provide much much precise information on action produces and the and Pran larger nember of barings.

uill be required at Watana than currently planned included for Ne planse for ne-locatud De planse for boundary on and present to watan our preliminary estimate - might de met increase of about 250,000 ini- dueling : costs :



Seesmic Studies Since Alaska is one of the most recomically active area in the United Statue, the investigation for the Susitive project has appropriately divoked much attention to the project has appropriately divoked its would be constructed the location of active. Faulto which is would be constructed the location of active. Faulto which could affect the design of the daws and the determination of the intensity of ground abaking to which the project facility might be publicked.

7)

The study is on-going but to detter no fareto with known neeme displacement (i.e. displacement in the lest 100,000 years) here been formal to pins through the proposed aites for the dams. However four features in the viewelly the Walance, site and nui features in the viewelly the David Canyon site here been judged to reprine additional investigation to been judged to reprine effect on due design considerations.

In the vicinity of the Wabana daminite these features

- (2) the Susitna feature
- (3) the Fins feature and in the
- and (4) a feature disignabled KD3-7 which follows the channel of the Substra River in this area.

Of these features, the Talkesethan thrush fault and the

KD3-7 feature are likely to have greaterst superficance for the design of a dam at the Walance sete - the Talkeitna thurst because it could well determine the level of disign earthight shaking if it were found to be active and the KD3-7 feature because it preses .? durily through the proposed dam and civiled lead to a significant off-set potential if it's acturity while while the In general "hower; both the Watana dan and the Dwil Canyon dans appiar, on the busis of mesent wider. to be breaked within a revonic unit delignation the Talkeetna Terrain which seems it be a coherent unit he of lenown Lifault displacements within the crust and

outgriet only to major strain releases (major earchquahers) along the fault systems bounding the kenain . Within the Terrain minin strain releases causing mall earthquiles, appear to be occurring nandrinely within :: the most. If these conditions are confirmed by subsequent investigations the coned be considered a highly favorish feature of the project breathon. Under these conditions, the strongest carthopeter shaking which could afine sither of the proposed dams would be caused by a major earthquelle generated on the Renioff zone which underhis the Terrain Al- depetis varying from 25 miles in the southeastern part of the region to over 60 milio at the northwestern part of the region. The maximum accelenctions at the proprosed dam-sites for such an event one of the order of

 (\mathcal{S})

0.4g.

Present arcisments of the seismic geology and potent internsity of ground strating could be changed considered however if some of the more moniment features currents arnsidired to be madered, but arriconing which anotherable encentrainty on this question exists were formed to have undergon For de Watana dam, " recent displacements. L'the most improvant of these features appear to be the Talkeetna thrust and KD3-7 and it is therefore mygestul that " primary attention be directed to dell'immining the potential activity of these feature as early as possible in the 1831 study propria

It is also important to intablish that no active faulto pars through on in verig close moximity to the Divil Campon site since the presents of much faul could have a major effect, not only on the disign enterie, but also on the type of dame which could be constructed at this arts. At the present time, reservoir - unduced seismeetig dues not appear to be a significant protolime in oran of of whence of the Talkeline Tenain. This would chang however if active faulto; while found to exist in the vanety of the dam - sites and the clampcelion of the pointed acturity of the 13 feelines about which

uncubanty wists is unpoled for this recommendation of the pressive forly of the Talkethe Tensami Once the pressive forly of the Talkethe Tensami is exhibited, the pressive disper cubicity for the daws seen be established and puttable design predimos pelicited and validated. In the meantime, for preliminary planning purposes, it would seem appropriate to use a design cross section for the Watane dam pamilian to that used for the Dororiele Dam in Culiforn which has alreidy been subjected to be indepreting strong to which has alreidy been subjected to be indepreting strong to which can be strongest deviles of curst years of the Sustained which can be articipated for the daws of the Sustaine progrit.

D

NG HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY The field program for enveys a 2: callection of hydrologic data generally, appears to be adquate after analysis of available efisting and collected data it may be found nicesary to collect some additional field date, particularly with refect to downstream rines channel condition Particular attention abound be given to The following subjects: -21 Rescurres capacity. The reactions capaci Curves which have been used in th studice to date are based on survey maps with 50 and 100 food contour intervale. Recent surveyo have been completed from which more accurate capacity durace will be developed, 9 Those curves show actual receivain" capacities to be substantially level Oay 10 percent or more, than capacities which were need then the effect of The ameller expectice on project costs and licente chandel he determine In the case of Watana Dam The dam would nied to be said our cutat to retain The same power herefits. If the dames not raised, greates receipant drawdown would be required to maentain a hegh level of poner. production. Sufficient acheck aludia abould also be made to determine whether pomiller receiver capacities

Reservoir timber. Consideration shows be given to the possible blockage of spillways and receiver outlets ley large man of floating timber which in all probability would accur if a large amount, if not all of the reservour in cleared Thance of floating timber well have detrimed environmental effects as decined late in this report. Removie Slider. attrough The potential for clides in the reservoir which i rould cause execute height waver at the dame is not evident, This should lie verified by field investigations: Sutrogica Supervaturation. There should be no major publima with The hydraulic design of The spillways and onthe works, effect possibly for detrimental effects duce to introgen onpersaluration caused in flow thorngh deep all for high velocity flow with entrained air may cause execsive sutrojen to be introduced anto the flow which would be hamful to dometream fish. A flip bucket which produced a deep plunge pool may also priviluce a introgen supersaturation proble A flip bucket which would deflect flows honzontally ento the donation channel would michining this subles

but may cause excessive channel crosim domistren of the dam & is suggested that studies on the Colombia Pores by the Carpa of Engine to mininge the netsogen. supersaluration problem on The Columbra River be reviewed for . . quidance on whether there well he such a problem on The Susiline rives and j'if to, how best to revolue ist. Dounsheam River Channel The determinates Jutine Reproject effects on the downahream Suite Opines channel an extremely difficult task. Colimater can be made of the possible clanges in clannel regime due. To flow changes by sedement transport and flow analyses, but localized conditions often significantly affect channel changes. This may be particularly true in cald climater. \$3 would be well if analytical or altres contemplated dudies were supports by actual channel conditionis before and after project construction elasnic i

SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

The Panel is impressed will the many combinations of project wints being considered for determining the best system development. We believe that all verifice combinations. as being considered, The depth of studies for

13 3 and additions suggested in this reports should be sufficient for selecting the optimum development.



Environmental Guestims 1. Fishenes The two requirements will change many miles g reasoning grayling sites entre ungrounded still water that will pushilly support grayling and in descrid, when species Such a lake that, Asturately, gene valuon reach the upper Sucili Then for guarney. Torsible effecting the ungroundments will be down never, where breaking solmon ... Spawn in many trebutaries ... The altered glow regine following unifoundment, may change the stargegy of the channel as well as Il. chemity of the water , Missolved netrogen would be particularly hamful . Sittle out of provincelete matter might atte the behavior of solution gry. alte derived from other similar ingecondunte should be examined the autoepote : and menumeze probleme. 2. Weldhije

K J

The impoundant areas will striourly the lost to occupation by more existen bears at meny through an forced soy the higher shopen by deep some and resort to the rever trank, but this return and not be possible if the rever buch are glooded. There will be some definite loss in more neurobers around the impound mente. Downshim, the statilesotion of gloss may dampen the givener of ext-and-bill which is the primary growing remember of millow livowse of winter moose good. Additional releasting more members is possible the Christer will be little appeted by the impoundants of the water area are heart clear y delvis. However, when the timber is provide the impoundants there might be an unsightly targle of loss for the is primarity delvis a the might be an unsightly targle of loss for the impoundants.

emprudmente protocting, fiching, on general recreation of many tirds ad-small. Benier, " "wither fur-benness ad commones, prosed loie " The ampoundment avere as "hebitot", but The effect would be minimal in terms of regeoral welling promiticana. Waterfact might be recently affected in the Susitive delta area by reduction of flood flow in cummi, which normally fill many pocholes at office. 3. Recretions-(Occess wirds to The dam notes will perint public duting the Country. That currently is de fatto wildeness. This will give i many purple access to the screwoir's for julian A the meanding countryselle for henter and several increation. It will scenchice the welderness value:

End doubtless will lead to relaction an numbers & bog gave animale by increasing hunting pressure . Plaining for regulited recreational development is sugg 4. Some Further studies Recommended. a. Alesign Spillways to minimize netwogen whethe b. assentle data on downstream greats on salmon al on moore helitig at other injumlimite in civilar tension. d. Strily writer regener in Switten delta to see if reduced running flow in the material will materially affect waterpoul habits e. Hen recentional development of angeodomt accord to plange puties values and monemize adian presenterelyment. J. Additional commuta on eminountal mitten will follow receipt of register of on-going studies.



(16) The following are some of mj ineny preliminary & concerns in the anear of Demand and mankets for electricity Economic Evalue atem Financial viability I Demand und markets Both the ISER and subsequently modified ACRES' results show a high degree of uncertainty in the Range of projected demands for electricity by consumers in the Railbelt region . These rainge from a law of 5.2 6 w H to a high of 13,55 W with a 1980 consumption of 25 6 w H. Clearly this demand forecast has a lange I impore the meed for the SISTUNA report - which are -Guitomal -Guittinged good o the Brad immental questions ane a) Under the low demand forecant The project at all ?! b) if there is a need, can the project be enortantially delayed without an economic Bit environmental loss P

17 To refine the damps of lerecasts the approach for forecasting could be improved in several ways a specifically include the demand estimater Anchinese cannently has some of the least. costly electricity in the nation. as price increase several fold this well cleanly have a major impact on moderating demand, and not from both in the lower 48 and mi the promelanan up Concola. b) Perform a mente detailed mass tigation on the uses of electricity in the commercial perton and projected Reture demand, Include in this anellighter a companyin on a pet complexe leases frances in other states, and provinces of Cenals a major share of projected His rectory and This growth n projected for the a result of both implayment growth, and pet employee use. In the lower 48, with advent of high alashinitery. electricity pieces then has been an accelleration in conservation through technology, IBM! Honeyshall. ete ane: a frestring, and ashlering reductional why electricity and of

.

10 to 20.10 by me of control companyour of i that a forecast that assumes an include i m per employee une approprité? (8) c) Test the densitivity of the forceasts to a resembible set in certain energy interested moles-tries - such as - aluminen smiltig - ming - petrochamicalo There industries ty picelly dequere mone bene load energy and could affect the mir of power slants. manufactor of the high level of The "mentainty" be specifically metuled in all economic evaluations. Economie évaluations 11 The view of the economic analysis should initially be to test the seniclivity of the conclusion for a reasonable range of aremption enthe key variable in Sins the a capital project. (Sunition) and laugh capital but higher apprating cat, coal angon white, the sa cost of acostal ineeds to ben fully we are dealing with cen

(19) expenditure will also mipast the animets, "Some The proper. medi to paid for by the altimate customet in Sectural dollars, asseingteons on inflation near to be included economie analyses. Hawenet from a comparison nuerount, There inflated dellas con be derevented and for contant des 1980 Conis! The plead time in for completentant between the economic ainor hinanciel calculation. There are also some relates for costs and the nates if the coaliand gas alternativas, tagether with assumption on federal palicy a to the use of gass in parent generation. Again a name of cases need for be maile in this and as it unclass tomal ACRES madel for alerit in this economic eveleperteen I'd pleaned for averall AFCRES mon developping a set of cases to be le un by the model for persielilies, But mange af م به موجود با المراجع ا المراجع المراجع

60 les any molel has a set celeulation procedures that may be in enter it is admiable to tert the model against atter approaches, in this normal EPRECERS Pouler Research fratitide) - Ram developed other models that are currently being used by U.S. utilities. II Financial Viability This a presentiof reale and magnitude that would aurport the ability of any one underfuter Renena banda, quaranteer by the state and other printing meencommand meld yes V be fully explanded. working in this area, and cannot comment fulter That

Future Meetings Further meetings of the External Review Panel are planned and follows: March 20 and 21 - San Drancisco California - Anchnage Aleska June 3 h 6 Ochoren 6 to 8 - Anchrige, Alaska.

(21)

The Panel expresses its appreciation to the members and steff of the Aleske Power tomorrow and the steff of Acres American Inc. for the many conitiesies exclanded to the Panel during the source of the 3-day meeting

June 5, 1981

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL

REPORT NO. 2

INTRODUCTION

The Panel met with representatives of the Alaska Power Authority, Acres American, Terrestrial Environmental Specialists, Inc., and the Fish and Game Department in Anchorage on June 3-5, 1981 for discussions of on-going studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project. On June 3rd, representatives of Acres American, TES and ADF&G described the current status of these studies, after which separate group discussions were held on geotechnical, hydraulics and hydrology, and environmental subjects to review specific problem areas in more detail. A site inspection was made by Dr. Merritt on June 4th and 5th to review the field geotechnical exploration program. Dr. Rohan met with representatives of Battelle on June 2nd, Chugach Electric Association on June 4th and Union Oil on June 5th to discuss alternatives to the Susitna project. This report, which summarizes the Panel's opinions and recommendations, was prepared on June 4th and 5th and discussed with representatives of the Power Authority staff and Acres. Dr. Seed was not able to attend the meeting.

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS

Following Dr. Merritt's 1½ day visit to the Watana and Devil Canyon sites, discussions were held at High Lake concerning the on-going field program and preparation of information appropriate for the feasibility design. The following comments summarize these discussions and are offered to aid in the timely completion of the field program.

<u>General</u> - The preparation of finalized geologic maps and profiles is not keeping pace with the rapid accumulation of field information. This situation is compounded by the recent acquisition of a large quantity of field geologic data collected by previous Corp of Engineers work which was never reduced and presented in final form by the Corps. Moreover, the original Corps boring logs need to be reviewed (re-logged) to assure that all field information is presented in a consistent manner.

A schedule for completion of the various phases of work for the summer program has been prepared to assure that the necessary information is analyzed in time for the next phase of feasibility design. The External Review Panel will be prepared to review this work during our October meeting.

Watana Site

Field geologic mapping is underway, the results of which will be used to best locate the remaining exploratory borings. Present structure layouts indicate that the "Fins" shear zone should not intersect any tunnels or open cuts. Special attention is being given to the projection of the "Fingerbuster" shear zone concerning its possible intersection of the downstream portion of the tailrace tunnels. Present information suggests that this zone lies downstream of the proposed underground powerhouse; however, exploratory borings are planned to confirm this interpretation.

Additional seismic surveys will be done to better define the geometry of the buried channel on the right abutment and additional borings and pumping tests are planned for the next phase of exploration.

Devil Canyon Site

The geologic mapping is well advanced at this site and no new shear zones have been identified on the abutments. Boring BH-7 has confirmed the presence of a shear zone (previously recognized) beneath the topographic lineation on the left abutment. This feature wll be receiving careful attention during the upcoming Task 4 study.

Numerous open stress relief joints have been recognized in the upper portion of both abutments and are apparently more prevalent on the left side. The field geologists will be mapping these features in detail to assist in preliminary layouts of the required excavation for the arch dam.

Four borings remain to be drilled at Devil Canyon; 2 will pass beneath the river to explore for geologic structures and 2 more drilled into the abutments near the river to determine general rock quality. If the river hole in progress encounters favorable conditions, then the second hole may not be required for the feasibility design. Considering the excellent rock exposures, the two remaining borings may best be drilled at the upper elevations (on the left side) rather than close to the valley bottom as presently planned. These holes should be directed to cross the stress relief joints to determine their presence at depth. The drill advance can be carefully watched to determine the presence of open joints. A borehole camera would provide the most direct method of assessing the presence and magnitude of these features and is being considered by Acres' personnel.

SEISMIC STUDIES

Seismic studies have evaluated all known and detectable faults and lineaments in the project area. The 1981 field program calls for a study of thirteen features identified as signifcant in the 1980 investigations.

In order to firm up design for the major structures in the project, it is essential that conclusions regarding the significance and impact of each of

these features be reached as soon as practicable. Delay in completing this work and evaluating the parameters required for design will have an important effect on meeting the project schedule.

HYDRAULICS AND HYDROLOGY

The field program for surveys and collection of hydrologic data is considered to be adequate for the current feasibility study. Modifications to the original scope of work involve studies of navigation effects. However, after analyses of available existing data and data to be collected, it may be found necessary to collect some additional short-term information to firm up tentative conclusions in one or more areas. Specific comments on some areas of data collection are presented below.

Flood Flows

Stream flow data are being obtained at a sufficient number of existing, reactivated and newly installed (ging stations throughout the drainage area to enable a reliable determination of flood flows. Studies to date indicate that the Corps PMF is about 20,000 cfs too low. A report on flood discharges will be issued for review in a few weeks. Some 80 water level cross-sections have been taken in the Susitna River. HEC programs are being developed for free surface and ice covered water levels for various size floods. Reports will be issued on free surface water levels in July and ice covered conditions somewhat later. These studies should establish reliable bases for determining river tailwater levels at the dams and water surface profiles in downstream reaches of the Susitna River.

Sediment Data Collection

The river seliment measuring program has not been started. This program should be defined and started as soon as possible under the guidance of the USGS or a private river sediment expert. It is essential that bed load measurements be made during this runoff season to enable a reasonable assessment of the effects that depletion of sediment loads by construction of the dams would have on downstream river conditions. The Panel is concerned that the necessary sediment data may not be available in time for inclusion into the June 30, 1982 feasibility report.

Reservoir Capacity

Recent reservoir surveys have been completed from which more accurate capacity curves have been developed. At Watana, the revised curve indicates one to two percent less reservoir capacity between elevations 1700 and 2100, but the capacity is essentially the same as shown by the original curve at maximum pool elevation 2200. This small difference does not require revisions in the design development studies. However, the revised capacity curve should be used in final design.

At Devil Canyon, the revised reservoir capacity curve based on the latest

survey indicates significantly greater capacity than the initial capacity curve, being approximately 30 percent greater at elevation 1500. Since power operation would be near maximum pool nearly 100 percent of the time, the revised greater capacity would have little influence on design development studies. However, the greater capacity curve should be used in final design and reservoir filling and drawdown studies.

Energy Output

The firm energy output for the Watana/Devil Canyon system has been determined by routing actual stream flows which occurred for the 1969-79 period through the system. Since this was by far the period of lowest stream flow over 70 years of record, the Panel concurs that this is a satisfactory basis for establishing firm energy output.

DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

Acres described various alternative schemes for optimizing design of the main dams, coffer dams, saddle dams, spillways, power facilities and diversion tunnels for the two dams. The Panel was very impressed with the many specific alternatives which will be studied to arrive at the most functionally satisfactory and economical plan. We desire to emphasize, however, that full consideration should be given to the effects on ease of construction and construction schedules, as well as costs, for the various alternatives. Specific comments follow on some of the design features that will be considered in the optimization studies.

Multiple Level Outlets

There is some question whether multiple level outlets will be required in the power intakes, particularly at Watana Dam. Some experience in several Alaska lakes indicates that a marked thermal stratification may not occur in the two reservoirs and that the reservoir waters may never be free of turbidity, in which case multiple level outlets would not effectively enhance downstream water temperatures or quality. The Panel is of the opinion that sufficient studies should be made of other lakes to make a better assessment of what is most likely to occur in Watana and Devil Canyon reservoir. If the studies are inconclusive, then the Panel suggests that multiple level outlets be provided at both dams, since their costs would not be excessive and prototype experience may prove them to effectively enhance water temperatures and quality downstream of the dams. An exception to this statement, however, is that in the event Devil Canyon will be constructed earlier than anticipated due to greater power demand, then multiple level outlets may not be required at Watana Dam.

Low Level Outlet

Acres has given preliminary consideration to providing low level outlets at both dams for lowering the reservoirs in the event of an emergency. Based

on general guidance information used by the Corps of Engineers, a low level outlet capacity of approximately 100,000 cfs would be required. This would require construction of an additional large gated tunnel at great cost. A low level outlet was provided at Mica Creek Dam in British Columbia by providing a tunnel plug and gates in the diversion tunnel which would allow substantial lowering of the reservoir in a period of 8 months. The Panel believes that this type of low level outlet should be installed in the diversion tunnels at Watana and Devil Canyon. This low level outlet would provide for regulation of initial reservoir filling, minimum flow release when the powerhouse is not in operation and emergency lowering of the reservoir over a substantial period of time for repairs in the event that seepage problems should develop.

Service Spillway

One alternative scheme for Watana provides for a service spillway with a stilling basin designed for a 1 in 10,000 year flood and a fuse plug spillway to handle additional flows up to the PMF. While there may be some reduction in cost by reducing the size of the service spillway and increasing the size of the fuse plug spillway, the Panel is of the opinion that the service spillway should not be made smaller than required for a 1 in 10,000 year flood. However, some reduction in cost can be made by designing the stilling basin to function as a hydraulic jump basin for a smaller discharge, say 50 percent of the 1 in 10,000 year flow, and sweep out of the basin for larger discharges, if this would not endanger the stilling basin structure.

Spillway Outlets in Arch Dam

Although technically feasible, the Panel suggests that consideration be given to eliminating the spillway outlets through the arch dam at Devil Canyon and the concrete lined plunge pool near the toe of the dam by increasing the size of the service spillway. If there is not a substantial increase in cost, the Panel would prefer to eliminate the outlets through the arch dam.

Watana Dam

An embankment structure has been selected for feasibility studies at the Watana site. It appears that very little effort has been expended to study other types of dams for this site. A preliminary design has been prepared for an arch dam, but, to our knowledge, essentially no attempt has been made to compare the cost of these two structures, to evaluate construction time or difficulties, or to otherwise evaluate potential alternatives.

As a basis for proceeding with feasibility studies, we consider it important that economic comparisons be prepared for viable alternative dam types for the Watana site.

Devil Canyon Dam

An arch dam appears to be the most appropriate structure for the Devil Canyon site. This conclusion has been reached by essentially all investigators, and, we assume, is based on comparisons with other dam types for

the site.

Acres has developed a satisfactory arch dam design for the Devil Canyon site. Stress levels appear to be acceptable for all normal loading conditions studies. A dynamic response spectrum analysis, assuming 0.5 gravity ground acceleration and a 5 percent damping rate, was conducted. The resulting stresses indicate that construction joints in the upper part of the dam would open intermittently. Some horizontal surface cracking may also occur on both faces.

We believe this loading to be extremely conservative. A damping rate of 10 percent is more appropriate for this situation, and a ground acceleration no greater than 0.4 gravity appears to be more realistic.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

Substantial progress is being made in the study of various environmental considerations, such as the current status of fish and wildlife populations, cultural resources (archaeologic remains), vegetation types, and alternative location of access roads. Some crucial environmental issues, however, have not been adequately addressed. These will require extra attention in the 1981 field season. In this category are downstream effects of the dams on the river channel itself with potential secondary effects on fisheries and wildlife, effects of the dams on water turbidity, and possible effects of leaving standing timber in the impoundment areas.

Fisheries

Studies of fish population in the Susitna River Basin were late in starting in 1980, but considerable data were accrued through the fall and winter (1980-81). An accelerated program is underway in June 1981, which by 1982 should yield a preliminary picture of the existing situation.

The Susitna River above Devil Canyon apparently supports a substantial population of grayling, but few if any salmon are able to ascend the stream. Presumably, the grayling and probably lake trout will thrive in the impoundments. The question of whether they will constitute an important recreational fishery depends on the ultimate clarity or turbidity of the impounded waters. Even if the water is turbid, there will be some sport fishing at the mouths of clear streams entering the impoundments.

The lower Susitna River and its many tributaries and back waters carry substantial populations of salmon that support an important commercial fishery in Cook Inlet, as well as a sport fishery in the river channels and at the river mouth. There are additional populations of grayling and rainbow trout in many of the tributaries. On-going studies are intended to shed light on the relative importance of the various tributaries, backwaters and main channels in supporting fish life. Of particular significance in this regard is gaining an understanding of the possible effects of the impoundments on downstream hydrology. This can best be prognosticated by measuring the bed load of sediment now carried by the Susitna and its various tributaries. When the silt load from the upper Susitna is cut off by the dams, what will be the changes in the conformation of the lower river and the chemistry and turbidity of the water? Data on bed load must be obtained before this important issue can be predicted.

Wildlife

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game is making commendable progress in studying populations of moose, caribou, black and grizzly bears, wolves and dall sheep. The moose will be directly affected by loss of winter range in the Watana impoundment. In time, there may be a compensatory development of new willow stands bordering the impoundment. Black bears will be all but eliminated from the Watana impounded area by flooding of denning areas and loss of protective timber. Caribou may be somewhat affected by disruption of seasonal migration to calving grounds. Dall sheep, grizzly bears, and wolves will probably be only peripherally affected by disturbance of their wilderness habitat.

The University of Alaska and the Alaska Cooperative Wildlife Research Unit are studying populations of furbearers, non-game mammals, and birds. As far as we know these studies are processing satisfactorily.

ΰ,

Downstream Hydrology

Change in the amount of bed load carried by the Susitna River may affect fisheries and wildlife in a number of ways. There is some indication that the backwaters and billabongs of the lower Susitna may be important rearing areas for juvenile salmon. Summer flooding of these backwaters, sloughs, and ponds creates extensive waterfowl habitat. Peak floods cut into timber stands and deposit open bars which are colonized by willows that constitute winter forge for moose. Understanding the dynamics of the lower river is essential in predicting long-term effects of the Susitna project on wildlife.

The need for additional hydrologic studies - especially bed load studies was discussed in the March meeting of the External Review Panel in San Francisco. But as of June 1981, no firm plan of action has been implemented. The Panel urges immediate action to assure that some useful data on bed load will be available for consideration in October, 1981. Without it, there will be no way that downstream effects can be evaluated.

Water Chemistry and Turbidity

The water quality program is being prepared for Acres American by R & M Consultants. No results have been made available to the Panel, nor even a list of specific questions being investigated. From the standpoint of fisheries it is important to know what may be the future turbidity of the reservoirs and the Susitna River below. In summer, a substantial flow of turbid water will enter Watana Reservoir from the glacier above. Heavy materials will be deposited in the reservoir head, and smaller particles will be carried on toward the dam. To what extent will the water clear as it approaches Watana dam? Will the water in Devil Canyon reservoir be clear or cloudy? And what of water passing Devil Canyon dam into the mainstream of the river below through summer and winter alike? Clouded water blocks the passage of light and reduces or precludes the growth of phytoplankton which form the base of the aquatic food chain. The productivity of these waters for fish will be an inverse function of turbidity. Are adequate studies underway to prognosticate post-project water conditions?

Timber in Impoundment Area

At the January, 1981 meeting of the Panel, the suggestion was made that consideration be given to stripping the timber from areas to be impounded, for the purpose of reducing the load of floating trash in the reservoirs. Has this idea been considered? Has the cost been estimated?

Nitrogen Supersaturation

To protect fish life in the Devil Canyon reservoir and in the river below, the design of both dams - including penstocks and overflow structures must minimize or preclude the incorporation of nitrogen into solution if current studies by Mr. Milo Bell suggest this possibility.

Access Roads

Selection of the route or routes for constructing access roads should avoid, insofar as possible, disturbance of caribou or Dall sheep. These two species are expecially susceptible to environmental disturbance. The area south of the two reservoirs is of particular importance to sheep. The calving ground of caribou adjoins the upper reaches of Watana impoundment on the north.

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY AND FINANCING

Battelle Pacific Northwest is responsible, under separate contract, to review and analyze alternatives to the Susitna project. Dr. Rohan met on June 2, 1981 at Battelle's office with Mr. Swift, the project manager and several of his staff to review Battelle's progress and to gain a better understanding of their approach. Battelle has addressed its initial effort at understanding the gas supply situation, and in improving the demand forecasting methodology. Copies of working draft reports on these subjects are being forwarded for review by the External Review Panel. Because the results of the Battelle study will be employed in Acre's final report due in April 1982, it is recommended that the Alaska Power Authority monitor the timeliness and work quality of Battelle. From the initial Battelle meeting it was learned that Battelle's approach to comparing alternatives is not totally consistant with the work of Acres. In this respect, it clearly is advisable that Battelle and Acres meet in the near future to arrive at a common basis to make economic comparisons of the various alternatives.

Because of the high level of uncertainty in estimating a) the future markets for electricity, b) the capital costs and construction time to build power plants, c) the availability and prices for fossil fuels and, d) future regulatory environments, it is recommended that all economic analysis incorporate this uncertainty. Techniques for making economic comparisons under uncertainty are well known and include sensitivity analysis, probabilistic assessments and decision analysis. Acres' current approach needs some improvement as it is narrowly focused. The External Review Panel would like to review in October, progress in developing a consistant approach to evaluating alternatives under uncertainty.

The issue of financing mechanisms for the Susitna project and the corresponding electric rates to the customers needs further analysis. Because of the financial risks, it is likely that the Susitna project cannot be financed without support in the form of equity participation, guarantees and the like by the State of Alaska. A determination of available and likely financing mechanisms needs to be further developed by Acres and available for review in October.

If the Susitna project is financed through direct state funding, and the corresponding rates for electricity are set less than the cost of gas or oil heating, there will be economic incentives to convert to electric heat. This would greatly accelerate the demand for electricity and have a major impact on Susitna and other power projects. The full impacts of this case need to be investigated.

From an economic viewpoint, it appears that gas is the competitive alternative to the Susitna project. Chugach Electric Association, which represents about half the power requirements for the Railbelt region, is favorably disposed to this gas alternative. The gas reserve situation and future prices for gas needs further investigation. Particular emphasis should be given to understanding potential long term contracting agreements for gas from the oil and gas companies.

The Panel would like to examine the criteria that FERC will employ in the market and economic area to be certain that Acre's report fully addresses these issues.

AGENDA FOR NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Panel is tentatively scheduled for the week of October 5, 1981 at the Acres Buffalo location. The Panel desires to make the following recommendations regarding this meeting:

1. A site visit should be made by Panel members who desire to do so before the October 5th before the full meeting.

•

- 2. Geotechnical problems should be resolved and discussed in more detail.
- 3. Results of design development studies for various alternatives schemes should be discussed in more detail.
- 4. Environmental study results should be presented and discussed more fully.
- 5. Battelle should present the results of their studies for Panel consideration.
- 6. Consideration should be given to having a FERC representative attend the meeting if this will be useful in speeding up their review process and earlier license approval.

CLOSING REMARKS

The Panel expresses its appreciation to the staff of the Alaska Power Authority and the staff of Acres American Incorporated for the many courtesies extended during the meeting.

Merlin D. Copen

Jacob⁻H. Douma

A. Starker Leopold

Andrew H. Merritt

Dennis M. Rohan

H. Bolton Seed

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL REPORT NO. 3 DRAFT

INTRODUCTION

The third meeting of the External Review Panel for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project was convened on October 6-8, 1981 at the Acres American office in Buffalo. In addition to Panel Members, representatives of the Alaska Power Authority and Acres American were present. Various members of the Acres American staff presented discussions regarding progress in geotechnical areas, seismicity, hydraulics, hydrology, and design. The discussions were well prepared and presented in such a manner as to give a maximum amount of information in a reasonable time.

Prior to the meeting Panel Members received a document entitled "Susitna Hydroelectric Project, External Review Board, Meeting #3, Information Package, October 6-8, 1981". During the meeting other printed information was presented to the Panel as required.

The Panel appreciates the efforts of the Acres American Staff in planning and preparing for this very informative and successful meeting.

SEISMICITY AND SEISMIC GEOLOGY

Excellent progress has been made during the summer months in resolving most of the uncertainties regarding the possible presence of active faults in the vicinity of the dam sites, in developing an adequate model of the seismic geology of the region, and in assessing the maximum levels of earthquake shaking which could result from events occurring along the major seismic sources. These studies have led to the following preliminary conclusions:

WATANA DAM SITE

Four major lineaments were originally identified as being possible faults in the vicinity of the dam:

- (1) The Talkeetna Thrust Fault
- (2) The Fins Feature
- (3) The Susitna Feature
- (4) The Watana River Feature

Field geologic studies during the past several months have developed evidence indicating that:

- (1) The Talkeetna Thrust Fault is not an active fault.
- (2) The Watana River Feature is not a fault.
- (3) The Susitna Feature is not a fault.
- and (4) The Fins Feature may well be a fault but it is relatively short in length and, since there are apparently no other active faults in the area, it is very unlikely that it could be active. In any case its length would preclude the possibility of it being the source of a significant earthquake.

In consequence, there are apparently no active faults crossing the site and the major sources of earthquake shaking at the site may be attributed to earthquakes occurring on the Benioff Zone underlying the site at depth, the Denali fault, the Castle Mountain Fault, and smaller local earthquakes occurring with no apparent surface expression in the crust of the Talkeetna terrain. Considerations of fault distances and possible earthquake magnitudes leads to the conclusion that the approximate maximum levels of shaking will be due to the following sources:

Source	Closest	Distance	<u>Magnitude (Ms)</u>	Peak Acc. (Mean)
Benioff Zone	≈ 63	km	= 81 ₂	≈ 0.35g
Benioff Zone	≃ 48	kт	~ 71 ₂	≃ 0.32g
Denali Fault	≈ 7 0	km	≈ 8÷	≃ 0.22g
Local Event	*			an an an an an a r an

Seismic geology considerations have led Woodward-Clyde consultants to suggest that the maximum local earthquake which needs to be considered is a Magnitude 5½ to 6 event occurring at a distance of about 10 km from the site. Such an event would produce a peak acceleration (mean value) of about 0.35g and would therefore not be a controlling event. However, the Panel believes that in view of the past seismic history and other considerations it would probably be prudent to consider the possibility of a somewhat larger event at a slightly shorter distance. In which case the local earthquake would be responsible for the maximum accelerations likely to develop at the dam site. This does not mean nowever, that it will necessarily control the design.

For the Benioff Zone event, which seems to be controlling at this stage, the motions recommended by Woodward-Clyde Consultants for preliminary design evaluations appear to be entirely appropriate.

DEVIL CANYON SITE

At the end of 1980, nine lineaments were identified in the vicinity of the Devil Canyon site which could possibly be active faults. Field geologic studies during the past 6 months have led to the conclusion that only 3 of these features are faults, that the three features recognized as faults are inactive, and that in any case they are so short in length that they could not generate earthquakes which would be controlling events with regard to earthquake motions at the dam site. Thus since there are no active faults in the vicinity of the dam site, the design earthquake motions will be determined by similar considerations to those applicable for the Watana site. The Panel agrees with those conclusions.

3
Consideration of the most significant seismic sources of ground shaking leads to the following:

4

Source	Closest Distance	<u>Magnitude (Ms)</u>	Peak Acc. (Mean)
Benioff Zone	≃ 90 km	≃ 8 ¹ 2	≈ 0.3g
Benioff Zone	≈ 58 km	$= 7_{2}$	≃ 0.3g
Denali Fault	≈ 64 km	≃ 8+	≃ 0.24g
Local Event			

As for the Watana site, there is a need to establish very soon the significant characteristics of the local earthquake (in the crust of the Talkeetna Terrain) in order to finalize the seismic criteria to be used for project design.

In the light of the information presented at this meeting and on the basis of past experience, the Panel believes that through the use of appropriate design and construction procedures, dams with ample margins of seismic safety can be constructed at both sites. The Panel believes, however, that the question of seismic effects due to local crusted earthquakes should be resolved in the next few weeks so that more definitive design studies can be completed.

ROCK ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS

As a result of discussions during this meeting as well as observations made in the field by Panel member Merritt during the period of 23-25 September, we have the following comments regarding present designs.

WATANA

Every effort should be made to reduce the height of the cut slope at the inlet to the diversion tunnel. The structures can probably be moved closer to the river and perhaps shifted slightly in a downstream direction.

The surface excavation at the outlets of the tailrace tunnels and spillway structures is likewise very extensive. Further detailed examination is warranted to minimize possible slope stability problems. Recent borings in the proposed underground powerhouse site encountered a zone of soft hydrothermally altered diorite. This is not acceptable material to have in a major underground excavation. Some shifting of these openings is required. Considering all borings made in the right abutment, the general quality of the diorite is quite high and we foresee that acceptable rock can be found for the proposed structures.

DEVIL CANYON

The graywacke and argillite at this site appear to be of acceptable quality for the proposed underground structures. No major shear zones have been recognized in these areas. The underground openings have been oriented with respect to the major known joint systems and bedding planes. The present layout is acceptable and it is recognized that some slight shift could result based upon the results of future exploration.

The axis of the proposed surface spillway on the right abutment will nearly parallel the strike of the bedding of the rock. The required cuts will daylight the bedding which dips at about 50 degrees into the excavation. Potential major rock stability problems could result which might not be solved by simple rock bolting measures. This design likewise requires your review.

BURIED CHANNEL

÷

The results of all geophysical surveys completed to date have defined a major channel beneath the plateau on the right abutment at the Watana Site. The channel is approximately 15,000 ft wide when measured with respect to that portion of the bedrock channel below the proposed reservoir pool level. The deepest portion of the channel lies about 450 ft below pool level; however, perhaps as much as 60-70% of the channel lies 100 ft or less below maximum pool level.

The borings completed during the Corps of Engineers study indicated that the channel is filled with glacial till, outwash, and perhaps lacustrine deposits. The boring logs show that boulders (some as large as 12 ft) can be expected in these heterogeneous deposits, either as individual units or as thick layers. Contour maps made of the bedrock surface suggest a wide entrance channel or channnels upstream of the damsite and a relatively narrow exit into Tsusena Creek downstream of the damsite.

6

The buried channel on the north slope of the reservoir at Watana Dam is much greater in extent than was anticipated a year ago and represents one of the greatest uncertainties associated with the Watana Dam project. Major problems posed by the presence and extent of this channel are

- (1) The magnitude of possible seepage losses through the channel.
- (2) The possibility of piping within the channel resulting from seepage from the reservoir towards Tsusena Creek.
- (3) The possibility of seismic instability in the soils comprising the buried channel under strong earthquake shaking.

It appears that problems (1) and (2) above could be eliminated by construction of a cut-off wall and grout curtain through the soils filling the channel. However, the provision of such a cut-off would not solve any problems of seismic instability on the upstream side of the wall.

Since very little information is available concerning the nature of the soils forming the channel fill it is not possible to assess the magnitude of the seismic instability problem, if indeed it exists at all, or the need for an extensive cut-off wall, currently projected to be about 15,000 feet long and varying from a few feet to 450 feet in depth. However, it is clear that both the possibility of seismic instability and the cost of a cut-off would be dramatically reduced if the reservoir level were about 100 feet lower than currently planned. Such a lowering could reduce the length of the cut-off to about 4,000 feet, facilitate its construction and by lowering the water table in the soils, increase their seismic stability. In view of these advantages, together with the fact that economic advantages associated with the top 50 to 80 feet of Watana Dam do not appear to be very great, the Panel believes that careful consideration should be given to the potential benefits of reducing the height of Watana Dam by 50 to 100 feet. Such a reduced height might also facilitate layout problems for the dam.

The Panel cannot be sure that a reduction in dam height would be advantageous but believes that a careful study of the question is warranted in the next several months.

WATANA DAM EMBANKMENT

The Panel believes that the preliminary design section selected for Watana Dam is satisfactory and will produce a stable and economical structure. It is suggested however, that consideration be given to the following items:

- (1) If the shells are constructed of densely compacted gravel or rockfill and the core of a much more compressible sandysilky-clay, there is a danger of deleterious stress redistribution due to differential settlements. Thus consideration should be given to minimizing this possibility by:
 - (a) inclining the core slightly upstream, providing
 - this can be done without jeopardizing stability.

and/or

20°

đ

- (b) locating a relatively incompressible core material which is adequately impervious. Such a material appears to be available as a GC material in one of the borrow areas.
- (2) Deformations of the upstream shell of the dam due to strong earthquake shaking can be minimized either by densifying the shell material to such extent that high pore pressures cannot develop or by using highly pervious rock-fill which will dissipate any pore pressures resulting from earthquake shaking almost as rapidly as they develop. Consideration should be given to using gravel-fill and rock-fill in the upstream shell in such a way as to optimize their use from a seismic design point of view.
- (3) There is apparently ice in the rock joints in the abutments at Watana dam site and this will have to be thawed before grouting. It would be desirable to determine whether construction costs have allowed for this.
- (4) It appears that there may well be permafrost in the foundation soils for the saddle-dam. When this melts it could leave the soils in a very loose condition which may be adequate for static stability but inadequate for seismic stability. It would be desirable to explore this possibility further and examine the need for exacavation of frozen foundations soils prior to saddledam or dike construction.

DEVIL CANYON DAM

Sufficient study has been completed to adequately support the present arch

dam design for feasibility purposes. However, the linear feature through the pond areas where the wing dam will be located should be further explored in the near future. Similar considerations to those discussed for the Watana Site should be given to the foundation soils under the Devil Canyon wing dam.

WATANA DAM DIVERSION TUNNELS

. ...

.

Two diversion tunnels are proposed for diverting up to a 1 in 50-year flood during construction of Watana Dam. One tunnel would be located at a low level so that it would flow full at all times. The second tunnel, located at a higher level, would have free flow. After diversion the lower tunnel would be plugged. Two plugs would be constructed in the upper tunnel with gated outlets through them to permit release of low flows until Devil Canyon is completed and serve to lower the reservoir in case of an emergency. The Panel concurs in the general concept of the diversion tunnels and modification of the high level tunnel for use as a low-flow and emergency release outlet, subject to refinements discussed by Acres.

WATANA DAM SPILLWAY

Spillway flows at Watana Dam would be handled by three separate flow release structures. Discharges corresponding up to a 1 in 100-year flood, would be released through a low-level tunnel controlled by three or more Howell-Bunger or similar valves located at the downstream end of the tunnel. Discharges corresponding to floods in excess of 1 in 100-years and up to 1 in 10,000-years would flow through an open chute spillway with a flip bucket. Discharges in excess of the 1 in 10,000-year flood up to the PMF would pass through a bypass channel controlled by a fuse plug.

The Panel concurs in the proposed concept of handling spillway flows. Release of floods up to 1 in 100-years by low level valves would maintain the nitrogen supersaturation level to an acceptable limit. The Panel suggests that fixed cone valves, as installed by the Corps of Engineers at New Melones Dam be used, since its greater rigidity makes it more suitable for high-head operation. The smaller spillway/chute flows reduce erosion in the downstream river channel. Hydraulic model tests will be required to determine the extent of material that should be pre-excavated in the plunge pool area. In view of the infrequency and short duration of spillway operation and the relatively high quality of rock in the steep river banks, the Panel is of the opinion that excessive erosion would not occur due to service spillway operation. With respect to the emergency spillway bypass channel, the Panel is concerned over the 45-ft height of the fuse plug. This high plug would need to be designed as a small earth dam to retain the power pool at maximum levels and also be capable of failure as a fuse plug when it is overtopped. It is suggested that the entrance to the bypass channel be widened, thereby requiring a smaller height of fuse plug. This would also reduce the amount of reservoir lowering in the event of fuse plug failure.

DEVIL CANYON DIVERSION TUNNEL

One diversion tunnel is proposed for Devil Canyon Dam to divert flows up to a 1 in 50-year flood during dam construction. The tunnel would be plugged after it is no longer needed for diversion. The Panel suggests that this tunnel could be used for spillway flow releases in an alternative spillway design discussed hereinafter.

DEVIL CANYON SPILLWAYS

As for Watana Dam, spillway flows at Devil Canyon would be handled by three separate flow release structures. Flows up to the 1 in 100-year flood would be released by four or five outlets through the base of the concrete arch dam controlled by Howell-Bunger or other type high pressure valves. Discharges in excess of 1 in 100-years and up to 1 in 10,000-years would flow through an open chute spillway with a high level flip bucket. Discharges in excess of the 1 in 10,000-year flood up to the PMF would pass through a bypass channel controlled by a fuse plug.

The Panel concurs in the concept of handling the spillway flows subject to one question discussed below. Release of small flows through valves at the base of the dam will prevent excessive nitrogen supersaturation in the downstream river channel, as well as reduce discharges and flow frequency and duration in the chute/flip bucket spillway, thereby reducing plunge pool erosion. Based on a ground and air inspection of the river channel at the Devil Canyon Site by Panel member Douma and Acres repre-

sentatives on September 17, 1981, the Panel is of the opinion that the very high quality rock in the canyon walls should not experience excessive erosion due to spillway operation. In this case, pre-excavation of streamed material and weathered rock is probably not required. The Panel is concerned, however, over the deep sidehill rock cut required for construction of the spillway chute. It suggests that consideration be given to an alternate plan of providing spillway tunnels, as required, instead of the chute spillway. In this alternate plan, the diversion tunnel and probably only one additional tunnel would be required. With respect to the emergency bypass channel spillway, the Panel is concerned over the 57-foot high fuse plug for the reasons stated for the Watana fuse plug. Consideration should be given to increasing the length and reducing the height of this fuse plug as described for Watana.

10

DEVIL CANYON POWERHOUSE TAILRACE

The Panel concurs in extending the tailrace for the Devil Canyon powerhouse about 1 1/4 mile to take advantage of the additional approximately 30 feet of head.

CLOSING REMARKS

The Panel requests that the topics raised in this report be thoroughly discussed in the next External Review Board Meeting tentatively scheduled for the week of January 11, 1982 in Anchorage.

The Panel greatly appreciates the many courtesies extended to it by the staff of the Alaska Power Authority and the staff of Acres American, Inc.

Merlin D. Copen

Andrew H. Merritt

Jacob H. Douma

H. Bolton Seed

SUSITNA HYDROFLECTRIC PROJECT EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL REPORT No. 4

-INTRODUCTION

ン

The fourth meeting of the External Review Panel for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project was convened on January 12 -13, 1982 at the Alaska Power Authority office in Anchorage. In addition to Panel Members, representatives of the Alaska Power Authority and Acres American staff presented $\int_{A}^{Z} \frac{\partial A}{\partial A} \frac{\partial A}{\partial A} \frac{\partial A}{\partial A}$ discussions regarding progress in geotechnical areas, seismicity, hydraulics, design and economics.

Prior to the meeting Panel Members received documents entitled "Susitna Hydroelectric Project, External Review Board, Meeting #4, Information Package, January 12013, 1982"; Susitna Hydroelectric Project, Acres Specialist Consultants Panel, Report, November 18, 1981"; "Final Report on Seismic Studies for Susitna Hydroelectric Project, February 1982, prepared by Woodward-Clyde Consultants".

The Panel appreciates the efforts of the Acres American staff in planning the meeting and proparing the discussions presented therein.

SEISMICITY AND SEISMIC GEOLOGY

The seismic geology and seismicity studies have progressed satisfactorily since the last meeting of the Panel. At that time, the major sources of earthquake ground motion had been determined and the only uncertainty was the establishment of the significant characteristics of local the how earthquake (occurring in the curve of the Talkeetna Terrain) which could affect the design of the dam.

Woodward-Clyde Consultants have addressed this issue in their draft of the "Final Report on Seismic Studies for Susitna Hydroelectric Project" and in their presentation at this meeting. They term this source "the detection level earthquake" and conclude that such earthquakes would have a magnitude of 6 and Oculd occur very close to either dam site.

Based on this conclusion and other known sources of earthquake ground motions, recommendations have been presented concerning the level of ground motions which project structures should be designed to withstand. These are resented in terms of mean response spectra and the Panel considers the recommendations from mean ground motions to be entirely appropriate. We would hike, however, that "critical" structures such as major dams are normally designed to withstand earthquake motions at the 80 percentile level and the characteristics of such motions should be developed and considered in evaluations of the seismic stability of the project structures.

H

.1

The Panel has considered the characteristics of possible motions resulting from earthquakes on the various sources (Benioff Zone, Denali Fault, Castle Mountain Fault, and Talkeetna Terrain) and concludes that it is feasible to design both the gravel-full dam at Watana and the concrete arch dam at Devil Canyon, as well as the appurtenant c_2f_{elg} withstand the effects of such earthquakes shaking.

WATANA DAM EMBANKMENT

The Panel believes that the design section for Watana Dam, presented at this meeting, is satisfactor and will produce a stable and economical structure. With regard to the questions raised in our previous report, we note that:

- It is proposed to construct the core with the well-graded glacial moraine material from Borrow Area "D". This material is satisfactorily for construction of an impervious core and further studies of its properties can be made in the design stage.
- (2) It has been decided to use an essentially vertical core with a viable sufficiently large to prevent arching of the core caused by differential sediments between the core and the shell materials.
- Elis It is proposed the the upstream shell be constructed by (3) compacted clean river alluvium gravels, this material ensure that wall a state toth of the material of being processed to remove all-fines_less-than _______size 315 ~ Site, them. 1 40 60 in order to provide a high cofficient of permeability and thereby facilitate rapid dissipation of any pore water pressures generated by seismic event. This treatment, together with placement in 2 ft. lifts, should ensure adequate stability for state and seismic 2 200 700 20 loading andehous.

(4) The crest level of the dam and associated reservoir levels have been lowered by 30 feet so that the saddle dike has no water-retaining function except in ten case of the probable maximum project flood. This change greatly reduces the significance of foundation stability associated with the thawing of permafrost zones in the foundation of the saddle dike after reservior filling.

BURIED CHANNEL

_3

In our Report No. 3, we noted potential problems posed by the buried channel to be as follows:

- magnitude of seepage losses through the mixed glacial and alluvial deposits.
- 2. piping of these materials towards Tsusena Creek.
- 3. seismic instability of the sails under strong carthquake shaking.

Acres has addressed these concerns and has concluded that seepage losses are not significant, piping can be controlled if necessary by filter blankets placed on the slopes is adjacent to liquefaction is not a problem, especially since the saddle dam has been reduced in height and the reservoir level dropped about 30 feet.

At this stage in the project, only limited information is available on the engineering and geological properties of the materials within the channel. Thus any present assessment of seepage, piping, and liquefaction potential is based upon the broadest assumptions.

The External Review Panel continues to believe that the

behavior of the buried channel under full reservoir as well as seismic events is important to the performance of the project. However, the lack of specifc knowledge of material properties at this time does not compromise project feasibility. In our opinion, technical solutions are available to handle the concerns mentioned above at a reasonable cost. These solutions might include a filter blanket, partial or complete cut-off, pumping toreduce porewater pressures, or possible densification of loose soils. The potential for liquefaction increases with the handlet highest of the reservoir or increase in water level in the channel sails. Thus any further economically justifiable reduction in dam height has positive geotechnical benefits.

The External Review Panel gives its qualified support to on-going exploration within the channel area. We agree with Acres that bearings are required to define the extent Use slap believe and properties of the various anticipated deposits, and that int, once defined, large-scale pumping tests will be required to determine general values of permeability. Acres has noted that buried channels have been found on other projects which have not permitted large water losses or caused piping whene the reservoir was filled. They have agreed to document these cases and pageent this information prior to our receipt of the feasibility report.

DEVIL CANYON DAM

_}

The Panel requested in its Third Report that the linear feature through the pond areas adjacent to the Devil Canyon damsite, where the wing dam will be located, the damset of the explored in the near future. Acres agrees that the investigation is necessary but, because of time $\frac{R^2 \alpha_1}{2}$ limitations, are unable to conduct this work prior to submission of their Feasibility Peport. They do not believe that delaying this investigation will afflect the feasibility of the project. We descript

WATANA DAM SPILLWAYS

In its Report No. 3, dated October 8, 1981, the Panel concurred in the concept of handling spillway flows at Watana dam by three separate flow release structures, as follows: Discharges corresponding to floods up to the 1 in 100-year flood through a tunnel controlled by downstream valves; discharges corresponding to floods in excess of 1 in 100 years and up to 1 in 10,000-years through a gated chute spillway with a flip bucket; and discharges in excess of the 1 in 10,000-year flood up to the probable maximum flood through an emergency fuse plug spillway.

The Panel suggested that fixed cone values be used instead of Howell Bunger values for the tunnel spillway since fixed cone values give better service for high \cdots operation. The Panel also suggested that consideration be given to adopting a wider entrance and lower fuse plug for the emergency spillway. These two suggestions have been $-\frac{1}{L_{L_c}}$ adopted. The Panel concurs in teh general layout of a manifold at the downstream end of the 28-foot diameter spillway tunnel with six 8-foot diameter conduits each terminating with a 96-inch fixed cone value. We also concur in the proposed wider entrance to the emergency spillway with the lower 31-foot high fuse plug.

The service spillway is designed so that in combination

with the tunnel spillway the 1 in 10,000-year flood will have a maximum reservoir elevation of 2193. The corresponding service spillway discharge is 114,000 cfs. Since the fuse plug crest would be at elevation 2200, flows through the service spillway would become larger than 114,000 cfs until the reservoir level increases to about 2202 when the fuse plug should have failed. The corresponding service spillway discharge at reservoir elevation 2202 would be 147,000 cfs, which is being used for design of the service spillway. Thus, the tunnel and service spillway would handle a flood somewhat larger than a 1 in 10,000-year flood. The Panel suggests that consideration be given to reducing the size of the service spillway so that in combination with the tunnel spillway the 1 in 10,000-year flood would have a maximum reservoir elevation of 2202.

WATANA SERVICE SPILLWAY CHUTE

2

Consideration should be given to providing concrete paving for a short distance on the invert of the approach channel upstream of the ogree crest. Four aeration slots in the chute invert should be located at approximately stations $5+\times00$, 10+00, 14+00 and 17+00. A small ramp should be located just upstream of each slot. The slots should be open on top and a bevelled curved surface should be provided from the downstream edge of the slots to the main invert slope. The slot design should be similar to that developed for Tarbela dam at Colorado State University in a 1:12 scale model and found to function satisfactorily in the prototype.

DEVIL CANYON SPILLWAYS

In its last report, the Panel suggested that fixed cone valves be used instead of Howell Bunger valves for the low level spillway outlets. The Panel also suggested that its '... entrance to the emergency spillway channel be widened and the fuse plug height be reduced. These suggestions have been adopted.

The Panel suggested that consideration be given to using one diversion tunnel and an additional tunnel instead of the gated chute service spillway. Acres has studied this alternative and found it to be significantly more costly. The Panel is satisfied that a tunnel spillway is not an economic alternative.

Ð

SEDIMENTATION AND RIVER MORPHOLOGY STUDIES

Panel member Douma meet with R & M Constultants, Inc and Acres representatives in Anchorage on December 9 and 10, 1981 to review reservoir sedimentation, sediment yield and river morphology studies for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project. A report dated December 10, 1981 was prepared and submitted to the Alaska Power Authority.

The report generally concurs with the study's main conclusions, as follows: 1) Reservoir sedimentation would be of no concern to the project as less than 5 percent of the reservoir storage would be depleted in 100 years; 2) it will be important to identify locations in the Susitna River main channel between Devil Canyon and the Chultina River conf uence where post-project channel conditions will be detrimental to the fishery and whether or not remedial work can be accomplished at reasonable cost to minimize damage to fish spawning areas; 3) stages of 1.5 to 3.5 feet lower, depending on the reach in the lower Susitna Piver, will occur after flow regulation which should not cause excessive flooding and navigation impacts; and 4) under post-porject conditions, the frequency of occurrence of dramatic changes in river morphology will decrease, resulting in a more stabilized flood plain, decrease in number of subchannels and/increase in vegetative cover.

CLOSING REMARKS

The Panel is of the opinion that the topics discussed in the this report must be resolved in the very near future. It is therefore suggested that Panel Members meet with the Acres Specialists Consultants Panel on February 17-18, 1982 in Buffalo to reach agreement with Acres American on the unresolved issues.

The Panel appreciates the courtesies extended to it by the Alaska Power Authority and Acres American, Inc.

RECEIVED

April 15, 1982

APR 1 9 1982

AGRES AMERICAN INCORPORATED PEPORT TO BOARD OF DIRECTORS, ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

From

EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL, SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT

After reviewing the comprehensive Feasibility Report prepared by Acres American Inc., the External Review Panel offers to the Alaska Power Authority the following unanimous comments on the proposed Susitna Hydroelectric Project:

- It is recognized that the project will have environmental 1. impacts on wildlife, fisheries, and botanical resources. However, the extent and severity of these impacts appear to be relatively small and furthermore many of these environmental losses can be mitigated in full or in part.
- The high dams proposed for Watana and Devil Canvon can be 2. designed to safely withstand the maximum anticipated earthquake forces.
- The proposed design adequately responds to the hydrologic 3. environment in terms of spillway capacity and dependability.

ALASKA POWER

SUSITNA

FILE P5700.

SEQUENCE NO.

ä

OR.A.

INI ORA

J.C.W

1/37: ICAN

5 2 L L X

V.JWY

JES

IPGH

ENS SNT

DWL

MRV

1100

V.mn.

NXC KCY

W FILE

AXCIP-HEDTUEZ

X6 1. 1. - - 2. 1.1-1

F.7.1-7.7

INITIAL

U.F.

. 13.46

- If the project is financed at an opportune time when bond 4 interest rates and oil revenues are favorable, the potential long term benefits of the Susitna project will be considerable.
- Accordingly we consider that the overall impact of the project 5. on the State of Alaska could be attractive.
- To this end we endorse the plan to apply in September 1982 for 6. a permit from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
- Moreover, we endorse the proposal to proceed with site inves-7: tigations and design of the project, with concurrent work on some of the critical environmental studies, particularly those concerning downstream effects of the dams on the stream and its fish life.
- The arrival of any opportune time to proceed with construction 8. will depend on critical issues of finance and marketing of power which cannot now be accurately forecast. Our recommendation is that tender documents with all supporting geotechnical investigations and design studies be developed. We estimate that a total period of three to four years will be required for this phase of work. The project will then be ready to be implemented whenever the financial climate for fuke) contracting becomes favorable. The advantages of proceeding in this manner are:

- The economic benefits of being ready for financing; (1)
- (2) the momentum of the ongoing study and an informed
- staff; and
- the ability to avoid a crash design program. (3)

The disadvantage is the small risk of loss of the design costs in the event that, for some reason, the project is never built.

- We recommend that the Alaska Power Authority develop a de-9. tailed business plan which incorporates a financing and marketing plan into an overall business strategy. The plan would describe the critical events that need to be accomplished, the interrelationship of these events, the approach to accomplishing these goals, the management and control practice that are appropriate, the most economic financing strategy, and power alternatives if the Susitna project is delayed or the demand forecast changes.
- 10. This Panel is of the opinion that the economic climate will eventually indicate that it is advisable to proceed with the construction of the Susitna project and at that time it will be in the best interests of the State of Alaska to develop this important natural resource.

Malin D. Babeur Meritin D. Copen

Jacob H. Douma

a. Stathen Leopold

Andrew H. Merritt

Dennis M. Ronan A. Bolton Leca

ALASKA POWER AUTHORITY

334 WEST 5th AVENUE - ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

Phone: (907) 277-7641 (907) 276-0001

April 14, 1982

Mr. Charles Conway, Chairman Alaska Power Authority 334 West Fifth Avenue, 2nd Floor Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Dear Mr. Conway:

In response to your letter of February 3 to members of the Alaska Power Authority External Review Panel for the Susitna Project and your request for a critical evaluation of the Acres American Inc. Feasiblity Report and findings and the responses of individual Panel members to specific questions, we offer the following attached comments on the various aspects of the study.

It has been a pleasure working with members of the Alaska Power Authority staff and Acres American, Inc. on this important study and we would like to express our appreciation to you and all concerned for the help and support we have received in preparing our reports and recommendations over the past two years.

Sincerely,

EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL - MEMBERS

Merlin D. Copen

Attachment: as stated

Douma

Bolton Seed

H.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

Development of the Susitna Hydroelectric Project will impact the environment of the Susitna basin in a number of ways. The two reservoirs will inundate substantial areas which now support forests and some kinds of wildlife; the construction camps, roads, and transmission lines will disturb various upland ecosystems; and the flow of the Susitna River below the dams will be modified as salmon spawning and rearing habitat. A number of on-going studies have shed considerable light on existing animal populations and vegetational types. Although some information is still far from complete, it is possible now to anticipate some of the impacts that the project will impose on these In the aggregate, the total impact will be relatively communities. small. Moreover, by judicious management, it will be possible to mitigate some of the habitat losses by improving habitats elsewhere. The discussions which follow summarize the environmental problems as they are now understood.

Reservoir Areas

The two impoundments, with an aggregate area of about 71 square miles, will obviously be converted from terrestrial to lacustrine habitat with a loss of all the plants and wildlife that use these areas Among the larger animals whose numbers will be reduced are now. moose, black bear, and several species of mustelid fur-bearers. A wide variety of small birds and mammals will be evicted. Yet most of these species are common in this part of Alaska; there are no known endangered species of either plants or animals. In the case of the moose, it is proposed to manipulate vegetation along the lower Susitna, by burning or mechanical means, to create more winter range and hence to increase moose populations there to compensate for losses of moose in the impoundment areas. A somewhat reduced moose population in the upper Susitna basin might mean some reduction in the dependent wolf population. The Watana impoundment intersects a migration route used by the Nelchina caribou herd. Although caribou swim well, and easily cross natural water barriers, there is a possibility that ice shelving along the shore of the Watana reservoir might interfere with caribou movements. If such a problem is detected, the ice shelf could presumably be blasted. Of greater importance, perhaps, is the necessity to clear and remove all the timber from the impoundment areas to preclude the formation of floating log jams that could create a truly dangerous barrier to migrating caribou.

The upper Susitna River supports several native fish, of which the grayling is the primary game species. Although the river habitats that are inundated will be lost to grayling production, it is possible that the reservoirs themselves may support modest populations of grayling and perhaps lake trout.

Downstream Effects

Below the Devil Canyon dam the flow of the river will be substantially altered from its natural cycle. High summer flows will be captured in the reservoirs to supply winter discharge. The reduced summer flows in the river might adversely affect salmon spawning and rearing habitat as far downstream on the confluence with the Chulitna River, near Talkeetna. Side sloughs that are used as spawning areas by chum and sockeye and as rearing areas by juvenile coho and chinook will be cut off from flushing flows which normally occur at high levels of discharge. Considering the total runs of salmon that spawn in the Susitna drainage and its tributaries, the proportions that utilize the reach between Talkeetna and Devil Canyon are as follows (figures from Schmidt and Trihey):

Species	Total Susitna runs (approx.)	Percent	tage spawning e Talkeetna
Coho	33,000		88
Chinook	76,000		28
Sockeye Pink (odd	340,000		18
years)	113,000		38
Chum	286,000		15% •

Chum and coho salmon are the two species that might be adversely affected by construction of the dams. There are good prospects for mitigation of those potential losses. Thirty-two sloughs have been identified along this stretch of the river. Mechanical opening of intake channels might permit flushing flows at discharge levels planned for normal power production. Occasional higher flows might, be released, if needed. Additionally, artificial spawning channels might be constructed. If proper multiple outlet structures are installed in the dams, water temperature can be regulated as well as flows. Much of the silt in the upper river will settle in the reservoirs, resulting in clearer water flowing from Devil Canyon dam, which may be highly advantageous for rearing of young salmon. All of these mitigation measures could preserve the salmon runs at nearly pre-project levels, or potentially at even higher levels. Below Talkeetna, no significant changes in the salmon habitat are anticipated.

Elimination of peak floods may result in stabilization of bars, islands, and river banks in the river bottoms below Devil Canyon Dam, with the result that riparian forest may develop in areas now in willow brush. Such advance in plant succession will be unfavorable to moose, since willow is a prime winter food. This trend can be reversed by a program of logging of the bottomland forest or by judicious controlled burning.

Summary

Considering the environmental impacts as a whole, and the possibilities for partial mitigation, it does not appear that environmental considerations should preclude the development of the Susitna Project.

GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS

General

The External Review Panel, as a group and individually, has visited the proposed dam sites, inspected the rock formations, reviewed the results of the exploration program, and read the interpretations and conclusions presented by Acres in their Feasibility Report. We recognize that the site exploration has been done in various stages over the past years and note that the Feasibility Report has included the pertinent portions of these earlier studies.

We conclude that the amount of site geologic investigations completed for the Feasibility Report is adequate to effectively preclude unknown geotechnical conditions which would have a major adverse impact on project design and costs.

Geology and Project Layout

The geologic conditions revealed in outcrops and borings are generally very favorable for the structures required for the project. Where local shear zones or other areas of poorer quality rock have been identified, the proposed project features have been positioned to avoid them to the degree possible. For example, the diversion tunnel inlet structure at Watana has been moved downstream to avoid the "Fins" feature, the major underground chambers at Watana have been moved to the right abutment to avoid the "Fingerbuster" shear zone, and the orientation of the open cuts and underground chambers have been located where possible to obtain the most favorable orientation with respect to the joints and shear zones and thereby avoid major rock stability problems.

The very good rock conditions revealed in the borings are favorable for the major underground openings proposed and we foresee that the excavation and support of the chambers will proceed using well established construction methods. We expect that subsequent exploration will provide the information required to establish the most favorable final position for the chambers as well as providing more detailed information on the most appropriate excavation and support methods for the large diameter tunnels and high slopes.

Special Geologic Conditions

The results of the exploration program at both sites have revealed no geologic structures that can not be handled by conventional methods. Moreover, the field work has been sufficiently widespread to embrace the general geologic conditions so that no major adverse feature is likely to have been overlooked.

One of the most important geologic aspects that will receive careful attention during future field work is the buried or relict channels on both abutments at Watana. To date the studies have identified a deep channel on the right side that passes between Deadman's and Tsusena Creeks that has been filled with varied glacial deposits. The geometry of the channel and general nature of the deposits have been defined by geophysical surveys and borings. More recent studies on the left side in the Fog Lakes areas indicate that a similar channel exists here also.

The importance of this channel and its deposits for the Watana site are threefold: 1) magnitude of seepage, 2) piping of materials towards Tsusena Creek, and 3) seismic instability of the soils under strong earthquake shaking. These items have been fully addressed in our meetings with Alaska Power Authority and Acres and among other items, modifications have been made in the level of the reservoir to decrease the height of water against the saddle dike on the right It is clear that further field studies are required (and are side. planned) to assess the importance of the above mentioned three However, as has been clearly pointed-out in previous factors. reports, we believe that there are technically and economically viable solutions to these potential problems. Acres and their External Review Panel hold the same opinion. For the various possible solutions, estimates have been developed and are reflected in the project costs. We believe that the estimate is reasonable and should cover possible contingencies that may develop as more information becomes available.

SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

The Susitna Project is clearly located in an area of potentially strong seismic activity and must be designed to safely withstand the effects of earthquakes. For this reason, a greater than normal effort has been devoted during the feasiblity studies to determining the pos-

sible sources and magnitudes of seismic events which could affect the project and the intensity of shaking which these events could produce at the proposed sites for Watana Dam and Devil Canyon Dam.

The extremely comprehensive studies of the seismicity of the project area are probably more extensive than those conducted for any other hydropower project in the world. They have been conducted by a highly competent group of earth scientists and engineers and they have identified the major potential sources of seismic activity, the potential magnitudes of earthquakes which oculd occur on these sources and the levels of ground shaking which could occur at the project sites as a result of the largest earthquakes likely to occur on these sources.

Design ground motions for the requip d studies have been selected with a degree of conservatism appropriate for critical structures, taking into account the possibility of a great earthquake (Magnitude 8.5) occurring on the Benioff Zone underlying the dam-sites as well as the possibility of local earthquakes (Magnitude about 6 1/4) occurring within a few kilometers of either of the sites.

Watana Dam

The preliminary design of the Watana Dam is a high embankment dam with gravel shells and an impervious central core. The design is similar to that successfully used for other very high dams (Oroville Dam in California and Mica Creek Dam in British Columbia, for example) and generally considered to be the most desirable for embankment dam construction. Sources of the required types of soils have been located and investigations have shown that ample quantities are available.

The proposed section of the dam is appropriately conservative with a proven capability to withstand normal loadings and excellent characteristics to enable it to withstand any anticipated earthquake loading. The proposed design is in fact very similar to that of Oroville Dam in California which has probably been subjected to more detailed analysis of seismic stability than any embankment dam in the These studies have shown that the Oroville Dam would be stable world. even if a Magnitude 8 1/4 earthquake should occur within a few The controlling design earthquake for kilometers of the dam-site. Watana Dam is comparable in magnitude but is source is located about 65 kms from the Watana site so that the shaking intensity is less than that used in the Oroville Dam investigation. Furthermore, the proposed materials for construction of the upstream shell of Watana have equally desirable characteristics as the Oroville Dam shell materials. Consequently, there is no reason to doubt, and preliminary analysis by Acres American, Inc., confirm that, with appropriate attention to engineering details, the proposed Watana Dam section will be able to withstand the effects of the conservatively evaluated earthquake shaking with no detrimental effects.

Devil Canyon Dam

The proposed design of Devil Canyon Dam is a concrete arch and an evaluation of the design is presented in the following section. With regard to earthquake-resistant design, dynamic analyses have been made to determine the stresses developed by conservatively-selected design earthquakes: a magnitude 8 1/2 event occurring at a distance of 90 kms and a local earthquake of magnitude 6 1/4 occurring very near the dam-site. The computed stresses are with the acceptable limits for concrete arch dams.

Furthermore, the ability of such dams to safely withstand extremely strong earthquake shaking has been demonstrated by the excellent performance of the Pacoima Dam in California in the San Fernando earthquake of 1971. This 350 ft. high dam safely withstood the effects of a Magnitude 6 1/2 earthquake occurring directly below the dam and producing some of the strongest earthquake motions ever recorded. This full scale test of a prototype structure provides convincing evidence that such dams can be designed to safely withstand the effects of strong earthquake shaking.

Other structures

In final design careful attention will have to be given to the earthquake-resistant design of other features of the project including spillways, powerhouses, intake structures, etc. The safe design of these structures is well within the state-of-the-art of engineering design for the anticipated levels of earthquake shaking and should present no major problems with regard to unacceptable levels of damage or public safety.

Uncertainties in Design

Probably the greatest uncertainty with regard to seismic design is in the required treatment of the buried channel on the right bank of the Watana reservoir. This uncertainty stems mainly from the fact that it has not been possible at this stage of project development to ascertain by borings the types of soils filling the buried channel and their engineering characteristics.

However, this is not a major problem since even if very unfavorable characteristics are assumed for these soils (and this will not necessarily be the case), remedial design measures have been explored and developed to eliminate any problems which could arise. Provisions for the costs of these measures are included in the cost-estimate even though the mitigation measures themselves, which may not be required, are not presented in the feasibility design reports.

Conclusion

P.4 1 -

In summary, it may be stated that the feasibility studies for the Susitna Project included an extremely comprehensive investigation of the seismicity of the project area and the development of design concepts for the major critical structures which, with appropriate attention to details in the final design and construction, should certainly eliminate any concerns regarding the provision of an adequate level of public safety and the prevention of any significant damage to the project as a result of earthquake effects.

DEVIL CANYON DAM

The Devil Canyon Damsite is ideally suited for an arch dam. The canyon is narrow and V-shaped. The abutment rock is sound and competent.

Devil Canyon arch dam has been designed and analyzed by use of the Arch Dam Stress Analysis System (ADSAS) computer program, which is the computerized version of the Trial Load Method of Analysis. This method was developed by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation and has been thoroughly examined by rigorous mathematical analyses. In addition, results from this method have been successfully compared with structural models and prototypes in service.

The design selected for Devil Canyon is a thin double curvature arch. It is curved in both horizontal and vertical planes to produce the most efficient distribution of stresses possible under the site and loading conditions to which it may be exposed at this site.

The static loading conditions examined are the most severe combinations of gravity, reservoir and temperature loads anticipated at the site. The resulting stresses indicate a factor of safety greater than four, based on the anticipated compressive strength of concrete in the structure. The maximum tensile stresses occur on the downstream face of the arch, where, if cracking were to occur, no damage would result. The magnitudes of tensile stresses indicated will not occur since a redistribution of load in the dam will result as such stresses develop.

The dynamic loads applied to the dam are considered to be very conservative. Even so the resulting stresses will not cause serious damage to the structure. The analytical method used for stress studies is based on elastic theory. If the stresses indicated should occur, contraction joints in the upper part of the dam may open momentarily but would not result in major release of water or permanent damage to the structure.

The preliminary design for Devil Canyon Dam does, in every respect, respond to the seismic environment of the site.

With proper construction control, the dam will provide adequate safety under all loading conditions. It is extremely important that the very best construction techniques be employed in this dam. Proper concrete mix designs, consistent consolidation of the concrete and careful treatment of the rock contact and construction joints are of the utmost importance. The resulting concrete must be a homogeneous and isotropic product.

There are always risks of inadequate or inconsistent construction practices which would present problems in the behavior of a dam. Fortunately an arch dam has the capability of distributing load from weak areas to stronger, more capable concrete. This is not meant to excuse any but the best concrete control possible, because any weaknesses are not acceptable in this important structure.

Additional foundation investigations and insitu measurements will be required before a final design for Devil Canyon Dam is completed. Deformation moduli, joint orientation and continuity, and shearing resistance along joints will be required. Because of the preliminary nature of the present studies, such investigations are not considered necessary at this time. Instead, conservative assumptions have been made to assure a safe and satisfactory structure.

The proposed foundation treatment, consisting of consolidation and curtain grouting and adequate drainage, is satisfactory.

The engineering consultant has used adequate conservatism throughout the design for Devil Canyon Dam. Very little change from the preliminary design is anticipated for a safe and efficient final design for Devil Canyon Dam.

HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Flood Potential

The engineering consultant's assessment of the flood potential in the project area has properly identified the potential magnitudes and frequencies of flood flows.

The assessment utilized all available precipitation, snow survey and stream gaging data for stations within and adjacent to the Susitna River Basin. The probable maximum flood is based on the most critical combination of precipitation, snow melt, infiltration losses and flow concentrations that is reasonably possible. The hydrologic analyses are in accordance with accepted engineering practice which has been developed in the United States and is being used in many parts of the world.

Spillway Capacity and Dependability

The proposed design adequately responds to the hydrologic environment in terms of spillway capacity and dependability.

Both Watana and Devil Canyon dams will have low-level valvecontrolled outlets to pass the once in 50-year flood, a gate controlled chute spillway in combination with the valve outlets would pass the once in 10,000-year flood and a fuse plug emergency spillway in combination with the valve outlets and chute spillway would pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dams. Similar valve outlets and emergency spillways have been constructed and operated elsewhere with successful service. There is no reason to believe that they would not be successful at the Susitna project.

Public Flood Safety

The proposed project adequately protects public safety in terms of the flood danger and there are no increased flood risks inherent in building the project.

The reservoirs will be drawn down in winters providing significant amounts of reservoir capacity for storage of summer floods. Virtually all normal river flows would pass through the powerhouses with very little spillway operation. Peak discharges for major floods would be reduced substantially. Consequently, project operation would enhance the public safety by reducing the magnitude and danger of floods in the lower Susitna River.

Spillway capacities and heights of dams are designed with conservative safety factors. The dams and water conveyance structures are designed and would be constructed with high safety factors in accordance with best engineering practice. For these reasons, there would be no increased flood risk inherent in building the project.

Project Damage or Shutdown

There is no reason to expect that the project would experience damage and/or require shutdown as a result of floods.

Major floods may cause some cavitation erosion in spillway chutes, river bank and bed erosion downstream of flip buckets and valve outlets, and erosion in the unlined emergency spillway channel. Because of the infrequent occurrence and relatively short duration of major floods, none of these types of damage would become so extensive during any single flood to require project shutdown.

One or more of the valve controlled low-level outlets may sustain damage during a major flood requiring temporary shutdown for repairs. This shutdown would not significantly affect flood regulation since each outlet discharges a small percentage of the total flood flow.

As the powerhouses will be underground, floods would not cause them to be damaged or shutdown.

Design and Operation Assumptions

The engineering consultant has not made any major assumptions regarding design, operational mode, etc. of water conveyance structures that lack a satisfactory level of conservatism.

The low-level outlets, main spillways, and fuse plug emergency spillways have all been designed in accordance with current engineering practice which is based on conservative assumptions. Fixed cone valves are superior to any other type of valve for high-head operation. Air slots will be provided in spillway chutes to prevent cavitation erosion by high velocity flow. Pre-excavated plunge pools and/or bank protection will be provided downstream of flip buckets and fixed cone valves to prevent excessive streambed and bank erosion. The fuse plugs are designed conservatively to withstand reservoir pressures until they are overtopped and then wash out rapidly to activate emergency spillway operation. The assumption that excessive erosion would not occur in the unlined emergency spillway channel is conservative in view of the mild channel slope and favorable rock quality.

The proposed operation of the water conveyance structures is believed to be the most reasonable and practical operational mode which provides a satisfactory level of conservatism with respect to downstream effects and project safety.

Reservoir Sedimentation

The effects of reservoir sedimentation have been properly assessed in design of the project.

Based on conservative values of the sediment inflow and reservoir trap efficiency, less than 5 percent of Watana reservoir would be filled in 100 years, and deposits in Devil Canyon would be less than 25 percent of that deposited in Watana reservoir. A large percentage of the sediment would be deposited in the dead storage portion of the reservoirs. Reservoir sedimentation is not a controlling factor in project design as larger reservoirs or higher dams are not required and power production due to reservoir sedimentation would not be affected for well over 500 years.

Potential Downstream Effects

The proposed design and operation of the water conveyance structures adequately addresses potential downstream effects on river morphology, fisheries and wildlife.

Multi-level intakes will be provided for the power intakes and/or low-level outlets, as necessary, to permit release of reservoir water in the temperature range suitable for the downstream fishery. The valved outlets will discharge into relatively shallow basins, thereby preventing nitrogen supersaturation conditions harmful to fish. Spillway flip buckets and plunge pools will be designed to minimize nitrogen supersaturation. Their infrequent operation of once in 50 years would also greatly reduce any potential for serious effects on fish by nitrogen supersaturation. Planned increased reservoir releases during critical spawning periods together with remedial river channel work in spawning areas would minimize detrimental effects. caused by lower river water levels due to project operation. While turbidity levels of reservoir releases would be sharply reduced in the summer, winter turbidity levels may be above natural levels due to suspension of fine sediments in the reservoirs; but this is not believed to be significant. Project operation will cause the following additonal effects in the Susitna River downstream of Devil Canyon Dam:

- Eliminate and/or reduce thickness of ice cover for 20 to 30 miles downstream of Devil Canyon Dam in the winter due to release of reservoir flows above freezing temperatures which would prevent river crossings over ice by some wildlife and humans.
- Sediment loads would be reduced in the Susitna River upstream of the confluence with Talkeetna causing some degradation of river channels.
- 3) Sediment loads would be essentially unchanged below the confluence because of the extremely large volume of sediment in the flood plain and contributed by tributary streams below the Talkeetna confluence.
- 4) Summer water stages in the lower Susitna River will be reduced by 1.5 to 3.5 feet which would reduce flooding in some areas and should not cause major impacts on navigation and other river operations.

- 5) The lower river will become more stabilized, resulting in a decrease in the number of small subchannels and an increase in vegetative cover.
- 6) The absence of annual floods may result in some loss of new lands for moose browse.

In summary, the potential downstream effects do not appear to be of such significance as to seriously jeopardize project construction.

Mitigation Measures in Water Conveyance Structures

Based on successful experience at other projects, mitigation measures that will be incorporated in the design of the water conveyance structures should be reliable and effective.

Multi-level intakes would have ports at several reservoir levels and a gate control system which would permit reservoir water to be released at the best possible temperatures suitable to the downstream fishery. The fixed cone valve sizes and operating heads for the Susitna project are well within their acceptable limits. Additional reliability of operation is provided by the use of 5 and 6 valved outlets at Devil Canyon and Watana, respectively. This enables continued operation at a high level of reservoir release in the event that one or two outlets would need to be closed. Operation of the valved outlets, as proposed, will reduce operation of the main spillway to once in 50 years, thereby reliably and effectively minimizing nitrogen supersaturation effects on the downstream river fishery.

Conclusions

In summary, it may be stated that the feasibility studies for the Susitna Project includes a thorough development of hydrologic aspects of the Susitna River and the development of design concepts for the major water conveyance structures which, with appropriate attention to details in the final hydraulic design, would assure an adequate level of public safety against flooding and the prevention of excessive detrimental downstream effects on river morphology, fisheries and wildlife.

MARKETS, ECONOMICS AND FINANCE FOR THE PROJECT

This section responds to the basic issues of the macroeconomic forces impacting the economic viability of the project, the future demand for power, economic measures and risks for the project, financial
opportunities and problems, marketability of power and suggestions for an overall strategy.

Macroeconomics

Two factors, future world oil prices and market rate of interest strongly impact (if not dominate) the economic and financial viability of the project. Both of these factors are in a large measure outside the control of the Alaska Power Authority.

Oil prices strongly affect the State's revenues, which in turn influence the State's economy, the rate of economic development in Alaska and correspondingly the future demand for power. These prices, through competitive market forces, establish the long run competitive price of natural gas and influence the price of coal and thus strongly influence the costs of thermal alternatives to the Susitna Project. These same prices affect State revenues and available funding from the State for the project, and the marketability of power.

More than 90% of the direct costs of operating a hydro facility are interest charges. The market rates of interest, thus strongly determines the cost of the Susitna Project and its relative economics.

The Susitna project is economically attractive in an environment of rising oil prices and low interest rates. Interest rates for State Government bonds are the highest they have been in fifty years. With a growing surplus of crude on world oil markets, the spot prices of crude have declined and future price trends are uncertain.

Demand For Power

We have reviewed the range of demand forecasts developed by ISER and Battelle and employed by Acres in their report and it is our opinion that these forecasts appear reasonable. Actual growth rates will probably lie between the expected and low cases. This is true because essentially all of the power will serve the residential and commercial market, which tracks population and employment trends.

Economics of the Susitna Project

The present value of the cost of the Susitna Project versus another source of power is related to the time horizon of the evaluation and the discount rate. The time horizon is important because the economics may be different depending on the period of evaluation. Work done by Acres and Battelle, and supported by our independent evaluation show that over a 30 year period through the year 2010, the Susitna project would probably yield no net benefits. With current interest rates and oil prices, over a thirty year period, power from the Susitna could very likely be more costly than a thermal alternative.

However, hydro projects usually have long useful lives of many decades, and over a 60 year period, the Susitna project appears to be economically attractive.

With this framework, there is a value trade-off for Alaskans to choose between

Receiving the current benefits from funds that would be invested in the Susitna Project

or

Investing and receiving the potential long term benefits of hydro power in the next century.

Sensitivity and Risk Analysis

÷.

The net economic benefits for the Susitna project versus alternatives are highly sensitive to load forecasts, real discount rates, fuel escalation costs, capital costs of the project, and financing strategies.

For the Acres' base case analysis, which has escalating energy prices of 9-10% per year based on inflation of 7% per year and an implied interest rate of 10%, the net gain over a 60 year period is about \$1.3 billion (1982). The investment in the Susitna Project corresponding to this gain is \$5.1 billion (1982). If the load forecast follows a low growth scenario, the net gain is reduced to nearly zero, or if the discount rate is reduced to 12% (5% real) the project would yield a loss of \$500 million or more.

If the fuel costs escalated at an inflation rate of 7% per annum, the impact would also be a loss of \$1.1 billion dollars. Conversely, if the escalation rate for fuel is 10%, the impact would be a net sum of about \$1.5 billion. If the capital costs of the project were 20% more than estimated, the cost of the Susitna Project and a thermal alternative would be essentially the same.

There is a wide range of possibilities for forecasts of these variables and corresponding values for the net benefits or losses. Through a probabilistic assessment of each of these variables, Acres estimated that there is about 25 - 30% chance for a net loss and a 70 - 75% chance for a net gain. These assessments were made in an environment of increasing oil prices and medium increases in load, and did not directly account for the financing and marketing risks in these economic analysis. If we include these factors in todays environment, the risks increase although the weight of the economics still slightly favors the Susitna Project.

The major economic risks for the project are:

- (1) Inability to obtain favorable bond rates and corresponding high financing charges for the project.
- (2) Lower than expected energy price increases could make the project economically nonviable.
- (3) Capital cost estimates may be too low, placing severe financial strain on the project.
- (4) Possible opportunity losses, that is, foregoing the benefits of other investments in Alaska, for example, industrial development in enterprises which might generate net revenues or a stable long term employment base. The Susitna project would generate jobs during construction. However, in the long term during operation, the number of jobs added to Alaska's economy is minimal.
- (5) Difficulty in entering into long term contracts for the power.
- (6) A possible combination of the above.

Management of Economic Risks

Many of these risks can be managed, thereby substantially increasing the possibility of favorable economics for the project. The essence of this management is (1) timing and (2) additional low-cost studies.

A strategy of waiting patiently for favorable bond interest rates and an increase of oil prices would substantially reduce the risks. Taking a long term view, over say ten years, there is a strong possibility that interest rates will decline giving the Power Authority a window to obtain inexpensive financing. Correspondingly in the same time frame, it is likely that oil prices may start to rise again. In order to finance and start construction when these favorable events occur requires positioning now. This includes obtaining in advance all permits and licenses, and completing the engineering design and environmental studies.

To further reduce the risks, it is recommended that the Power Authority develop a business plan which would, among other things, identify viable power alternatives if the Susitna project is delayed or the demand forecast changes.

Financing

In the current inflationary environment, the Susitna Project would probably need state goverment participation of about 50% of the project's value -- \$2,500,000,000 in 1982 dollars and more than \$3,500,000,000 in actual costs. Because of the high level of risks, the debt portion of the project would probably require implicit or explicit state guarantees, or possible general obligation bonding. The State of Alaska effectively takes all the risk on the entire cost of the project including potential bonding of \$2,800,000,000 in 1982 dollars and a correspondingly greater numbers of actual dollars.

A combination of escalating construction costs, high interest rates, and declining state revenues could put a revenue cash flow squeeze on the project. Positioning, patience and timing are critical to minimizing this risk.

These are some major opportunities in the financing area including the arbitraging of funds during the construction period or obtaining low cost debt financing. For example, if the project could be financed today at the lower rates that prevailed in 1977 and 1978 (7 to 8%), the present value of the costs could be reduced by about \$1,500,000,000 (1982 dollars). A recurrence of low rates would markedly affect the financing of the project.

The tactics and strategy for financing needs further study and should be developed in the business plan.

Marketability

The power from the Susitna Project probably could not be sold unless it were less costly than alternatives. Anchorage, Fairbanks, and other regions within the Railbelt Area have different power sources and, correspondingly, different cost bases for power. This means that if uniform electric rates were used for Susitna power, the cost of power may be pegged to the least costly alternative. This would further exacerbate the financing and contracting problems.

A solution lies in organizational changes and a possible state referendum to gain support from the interested parties. This problem of marketing needs further study in the suggested business plan.

16

SECTION B

1

REPORTS BY ACRES EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL

Es

9

25 October 1980

Mr. John Lawrance Project Managar Acres American Inc. 900 Liberty Bank Building Buffalo NY 94202

Subject: Susitna Project First Specialist Consultants Panel Meeting October 20 through 24, 1980

Dear Mr. Lawrence:

Introduction

.

The undersigned members of the Panel visited the site on October 22, were briefed in the office of Acres American Incorporated on October 21 and 23, and had previously reviewed a package of information dated October 1980. This report presents our consensus of the information obtained and suggestions regarding future investigations on the project.

We consider the Susitna Project, as now conceived, to be viable and worthy of continued investigation.

General Geology and Seismology

The WCC presentation dealt with the well known features such as the Denali fault, the Castle Mountain fault, the Border fault and the Talkeetna fault; as well as the hypothesized "Susitna fault" and other linears defined in the WCC study to date. The Denali fault, Castle Mountain fault, and the Border fault are all well known, recent, active features that show evidence of displacing or offsetting Pleistocene features. The magnitude and minimum distances to the site of credible events on these structures are not controversial and design motions predicted from events on these structures are relatively straightforward. The possible influence of the Talkeetna

fault and the Susitna linear on the design motions needs more study. The Talkeetna rault is a relatively old thrust fault which brings Triassic volcanics and Permian strata from the southeast over Cretaceous argillites on the northwest side of the fault. Although this feature does not appear to cut Pleistocene deposits, WCC has tentatively assigned to the feature a magnitude 7.5 to 7.9. event at a distance of 4 mi from Watana Dam. There is a good possibility that this is an old feature that may not be a "capable" structure. Thus it is of very high priority to perform detailed field work along this structure to investigate the age of overlying materials not displaced by this fault or to define the observed offsets of formations of known age that cross the fault. Observations in the Watana creek area may prove to be of great value since Tertiary deposits appear to cover both the Cretaceous argillites and the Triassic volcanics in this area.

Field studies also need to be conducted along the Susitna linear to establish if it is a real feature which has experienced offset and, if so, what is the evidence of the time of last movement and of the magnitude of the offset.

Other linears or possible faults close to Watana Dam should be investigated to such an extent that a statement can be made as to whether the feature is truncated by Pleistocene or older geologic formations.

If possible, a statement should be made regarding any possible structural explanation for the wo clusters defined from the micro-earthquake observations.

Engineering Geology and Rock Engineering

The "fins" and "finger busters" in the Tertiary diorites as well as other rock ribs exposed in the canyon indicate that there are wide shear zones in the diorite intrusion. More exploration in the form of borings and possibly adits are necessary in the right abutment area to confirm that the rock quality is good enough to permit a reasonably accurate estimate of the cost of an underground powerhouse. Preliminary observations indicate that the construction of an underground powerhouse at Watana may be difficult or infeasible due to the wide shear zones. Reorientation of the powerhouse to minimize wall and roof instability may lead to unfavorable orientations for the penstocks. Additional exploration of the relationship of the Tertiary clastic volcanics and andesites to the underlying diorite downstream of the dam on the right abutment is also necessary to evaluate the possible effects on the tailrace tunnels and on the possible long power tunnel. The andesites may fill an old buried valley in the diorite.

An estimate of the tunneling difficulty for the long power tunnel alternative can only be made after the various formations and the nature of the contacts between formations are mapped from Watana Dam to the downstream end of the tunnel. First priority should be assigned to this mapping for Scheme 3.

The argillite formation of Devil Canyon appears suitable for an underground powerhouse. More exploration is needed to delineate the rock quality and orientation of fractures and shears to permit an optimization of the orientation and to aid detailed roof and sidewall design.

The nature of the sheared and weathered zone of the bend in the river just upstream of the Devil Canyon site needs to be studied to determine the nature and possible origin of the feature.

Watana Site

General. Although an embankment dam with a height of about 800 ft would be comparable to the highest in North America and among the highest in the world, we consider the topography and available materials favorable to the construction of Watana Dam. The foundation and abutment conditions, although not yet fully explored, present no known unusual difficulties. We believe that further investigations of seismicity are most unlikely to indicate unfavorable features for which adequate provisions cannot be made in design. We believe that emphasis in the next exploratory phase should be placed on defining the boundaries of the pluton and the nature and effects of its contacts with the adjacent rocks in the general vicinity of the damsite.

Spillway. We concur that the spillway should not discharge into or through the buried valley to the right of the dam, and believe that a layout entirely in rock, closer to the dam, should be adopted. As the geologic situation becomes better defined, an upstream shift in the axis of the dam may prove advisable.

- 3-

<u>Reservoir Slides</u>. Our overflight of the reservoir area for several miles upstream of the dam indicated to us that the topography and the nature of the materials near the reservoir rim are such that major landslides into the reservoir, such as to endanger the dam or control works, is remote even under seismic conditions. Therefore, we consider that special investigations of this possibility are not needed to establish the feasibility of the project.

-4-

<u>Cross Section and Materials</u>. We concur that a conventional embankment dam section with near-central core is appropriate. For estimates, the upstream and downstream slopes of 2.25:1 and 2:1 are reasonable. We would prefer that the downstream slope of the core be at least slightly positive to assure that settlement of the shells would induce compression in the core.

We consider that the riverbed alluvium should be removed beneath the core, filters, and transitions, and within a zone defined by lines extending from the outer edges of the crest downward at slopes of 1.5:1. For the feasibility studies we consider it advisable to assume that the material will be removed beneath the remainder of the embankment except where needed to support the cofferdams. Whether some of this material can remain can best be decided during the required excavation of the central portion.

We consider rounded gravels, cobbles, and boulders to be superior to rockfill for the shells of such a high dam and suggest that the upstream shell, in particular, should consist primarily of rounded material beneath a near-surface zone of rockfill that may serve as riprap. Such material, which does not suffer corner-breakage on saturation, reduces the likelihood of longitudinal cracking near the crest and tends to dilate under small strains. The latter property substantially increases the resistance during seismic shaking. Downstream of the core, use of rounded materials near the transitions is also advantageous, but compacted rockfill in a substantial portion further downstream will be satisfactory to accommodate suitable material from structural or other required excavation.

In our judgment, static and dynamic analyses can be deferred until the general quality and availability of borrow materials has been established. To this end the emphasis in the next exploratory phases should be placed on determining the character of

John Lawrence

f

Ľ the riverbed materials, particularly their grain-size, and on the extent and thickness of lodgment till deposits that might be suitable for core. Attention should be given to locating deposits of sufficient thickness to permit exploitation in near-vertical faces so that the moisture content will be increased as little as possible before and during excavation and transportation. The possibility of routinely processing all or most of the alluvium for optimum use in the dam should be considered.

-5-

Continuing investigations of the permafrost conditions in the south abutment are considered of high priority.

Devil Canyon Site

We have visited Devil Canyon Site and have examined the engineering and geologic data pertinent to it. We consider the site to be well suited for the construction of an arch dam.

Adits are not considered to be essential for further definition of foundation characteristics prior to a feasibility determination. Additional boring and laboratory investigations will be necessary to define the locations, directions and characteristics of joints and shears.

The possibility of surface rupture at the Devil Canyon Site must be resolved.

A more sophisticated arch dam design based on well formulated criteria should be prepared. Such a design should be supplemented by well documented and generally accepted analytical meth-This is considered to be necessary to establish the economic ods. feasibility of the project.

Yours very sincerely,

Merlin D. Copen Merlin D. Copen Alfred J. Hendron gr.

A. J. Hendron, Jr.

e Bleck

RBP/ajj

RECEIVED FEB 2 G 1981

21 February 1981

Mr. John Lawrence Project Manager Acres American Incorporated 900 Liberty Bank Building Buffalo NY 94202

Subject: Susitna Project Second Specialist Consultants Panel Meeting February 17 and 18, 1981

Dear Mr. Lawrence:

Introduction

2

The members of the Panel visited the office of Acres American in Buffalo on February 17 and 18, 1981. Information regarding progress on the Susitna Project since the First Panel Meeting was provided to each Panel Member prior to this visit.

Dr. L. R. Sykes participated in the discussions on February 17, but because of other commitments was unable to remain in Buffalo on February-18 and therefore did not assist in preparing this report.

This report presents our consensus of the information obtained and suggestions regarding future investigations on the project.

General Geology and Seismology

The comments on geology and seismology in the Panel latter of 25 October 1980 remain the view of the Panel and are neither repeated nor changed as of the date of this report. Since October of 1980 WCC have indicated that the maximum ground acceleration at both Devil Canyon and Watana Dam sites from a magnitude 8.5 earthquake on the Benioff zone would be on the order of 0.40 g. In addition, an earthquake of magnitude 8.5 on the Denali

John Lawrence

.

Fault would produce about 0.20 g at each site. These values seem reasonable to the Panel. Comments on this subject are also given in the report by Dr. Sykes dated 4 February 1981.

The main items of work that remain to be resolved are the investigation of features and hypothesized features that pass near or through the dam sites. Such features for Watana Dam are:

- (1) The Talkaatna Overthrust (see letter of October 25).
- (2) KD3-7, a linear drawn on the basis of air and satellite photography through the Watana dam site parallel to the Susitna River.
- (3) The Susitna feature, another linear which has been drawn to the northwest of Watana dam site.

As stated previously, geologic field work needs to be done to substantiate if there is a feature; if there is, how continucus it is and what is its date of last movement. Of all the items listed above, the Talkeetna Overthrust is the only well defined tectonic feature, and the effort is definitely justified to gather evidence on the date of last significant movement. Up to the present, no other feature mentioned above has been substantiated by direct field evidence.

Sykes (February 1981) states that the 1912 and 1943 earthquakes indicate that a floating earthquake of magnitude 6.5 should be considered in the Talkeetna terrain. On this premise, the need to investigate some of the shorter linears dissappears unless they are in the immediate area of the dam sites and could result in the offsetting of the proposed structures. In this connection, it is suggested that the recurrence interval be computed for a floating earthquake of magnitude 6.5 occurring within a distance of 10 km of the Watana Site, taking into account the area of the Talkeetna terrain, the period of observation, and the 1912 and 1943 observations. It is suggested that both WCC and Dr. Sykes independently assess the probability of occurrence of this floating earthquake closer than 10 km to the site.

The foregoing comments lead us to the following suggestions and conclusions concerning the preliminary budget layout for 1981 activities being considered for the WCC affort.

John Lawrence

We believe that the main effort should be devoted to Activity (1), field mapping and Quaternary geology, particularly to develop the geological structure near the Watana site, to reach conclusions regarding the nature of the linears or other features close to the site (KD3-3, KD3-7), and to obtain whatever pertinent data can be assembled regarding the time since any nearby proven faults were active (whether post-Pleistocene, Tertiary, etc., without reference to an arbitrary age such as 100,000 years). To the extent that trenching at critical points may aid the mapping and dating, we consider it to be a desirable adjunct, not a primary effort directed toward determining an age older or younger than 100,000 years.

-3-

In view of the possibility that the application for license may include the two-dam project, we favor a similar effort for the Devil Canyon site (Activity 2).

We do not endorse the proposed calibration effort to test out the efficacy of dating procedures. We question whether remote sensing will provide further useful information, and we consider that geophysical and seismic refraction surveys should be utilized primarily to extend the limits of knowledge of buried channels or other low-velocity zones already discovered, and especially to explore the depths and areal extent of the buried channels close to the dam sites, where they may influence the layouts of the projects with respect to diversion, spillways, power plants and water passages, and foundation conditions. In short, we believe the expenditures for these vital purposes should be primary objectives, and that inferences drawn therefrom regarding seismicity should be considered as useful by-products.

We believe the funds proposed for Activities (3), (8), (9), and (15) could better be spent as an increased effort under Activities (1) and (2). Modest expenditures under Activities (4), (5), possibly (6), (11), and (17) appear appropriate. Activity (10), installation and operation of a seismic network in 1981, would be desirable for developing background information prior to reservoir filling, but in view of the likelihood of a period of nearly 10 years before filling, the item could be deferred. The network could possibly then be established under the aegis of a permanent agency.

Engineering Geology and Rock Engineering

All comments from the October 25, 1980, report apply unchanged. It is falt that at least two borings are necessary in the area of the underground powerhouse at Watana Dam. Although exploratory adits will yield the best information on the feasibility of the underground powerhouse, it would be preferable not to spend the money on the adits at this time. It is suggested that a layout be considered for evaluation which includes a surface powerhouse, in order that the relative economics of the surface and underground layouts can be compared before large sums are expended to investigate the underground powerhouse further.

Since it has been found that the andesites immediately downstream of Watana Dam are extrusive, it is again emphasized that the base of the andesites and the underlying weathered surface on the diorite should be more extensively investigated to evaluate the possibility that tunnels may intersect this unconformity. This feature could affect tailrace tunnels from an underground powerhouse or power tunnels to a surface powerhouse. Borings to investigate the nature of this contact should be given a higher priority than Boring B-11 presently proposed for the "fins" area of Watana.

Additional borings supplemented by seismic exploration would be desirable to delineate an approximate width of the buried channel just upstream on the right bank of WATANA Dam site. Eventually percolation tests and pumping tests to determine the permeability of the channel should be conducted. Piezometers should also be placed at several locations in the buried channel between the Susitna River and Tsusena Creek to learn about any possible existing hydraulic gradients in the present condition of the channel.

At the Devil Canyon site, two angle borings have been proposed on the left river bank, one dipping beneath the river and the other into the canyon wall. The boring dipping beneath the river is intended to check the possibility of a shear zone beneath the river. Both borings are intended to explore the geologic structure as well. Inasmuch as the most prominent jointing, and some observable shears seem to be oriented perpendicular to the axis of the river, such borings may not disclose them. On the other hand, one or both borings might fortuitously be located entirely in one of the shears characteristic of metamorphic rocks and might give an erroneous conception of the rock mass. We suggest that the need for these borings be reviewed and that, if they are deemed necessary, they be oriented to cross the geologic structure.

Watana Dam Layout

Several preliminary layouts were presented and discussed for an embankment dam at Watana. We concur that an external cross

John Lawrence

section similar to that at Oroville rapresents a satisfactory and conservative starting point. A dam with these slopes requires a long diversion tunnel, whereas the length of the tunnel is constrained by the configuration of the river and the quality of the rock near the portals. The position of the cofferdams, similarly constrained, may in part determine the amount of riverbed alluvium that can be excavated beneath the dam. The depth of alluvium will also be a significant factor in this determination. Hence, determination of the configuration of the river bottom and of the depth and character of the alluvium are considered matters of high priority for 1981. A Becker drill, perhaps of large diamater, may prove useful in riverbed exploration.

-5-

We concur that an ample allowance for blanket and curtain grouting, for foundation treatment, and for drainage of foundation and abutments should be made in the preliminary estimates. A decision regarding the adoption of drainage or grouting galleries can and should be deferred until more is known regarding the character of the rock.

An embankment dam has been investigated in some datail for the Watana site. To provide a reasonable evaluation of alternative design possibilities, a thin double curvature arch dam design should be prepared and studied. The geological and topographical conditions at the Watana site appear to be satisfactory for a structure of this type.

Devil Canyon Dam Design

An acceptable arch dam design has been prepared for the Devil Canyon site. Stress analyses were made for normal full reservoir and maximum drawdown with appropriate concrete temperatures. The stresses computed for these conditions are satisfactory.

Some minor changes in the design can be made with minimal effort and should improve the structural behavior while reducing the concrete volume required for the dam.

An analysis indicating the effects on the design of earthquake should be made when appropriate ground accelerations are determined. Response spectra analyses are satisfactory for this stage of development.

John Lawrence

-00

.

2

21 February 1981

Yours sincerely,

Merlin D. Copen Merlin D. Copen alfred D. Antrop. Alfred J. Hendron Jr. Ragh Bled

Ralph Peck Β.

RBP/ajj

Mr. John Lawrence Project Manager Acres American Inc. 900 Liberty Bank Building Buffalo NY 94202

Subject: Susitna Project Specialist Consultants Panel Meeting No. 4 November 18, 1981

Dear Mr. Lawrence:

INTRODUCTION

On this date, Profs. Hendron and Peck met in Buffalo to discuss certain geotechnical features of the project. Briefing and discussions followed the attached agenda.

This letter was drafted in the Acres American office at the end of the meeting and was finalized by the undersigned shortly thereafter.

WATANA CORE MATERIALS

The well graded materials from borrow area D are suitable for use in the core of Watana Dam; current thought regarding filter requirements for well graded materials should be taken into account in the design of the filters (John Lowe III, 4th Nabor Carrillo Lecture, 1979). The well graded materials from borrow area H are also suitable and have some plasticity which possibly makes them slightly more desirable when considering design against piping. However, the clayey materials may be more compressible than the materials from area D; also, they may exist at water contents too high to be placed at the desired densities and there will be little possibility of drying them during the construction season. In summary, both materials are acceptable on the basis of present information. More information is necessary on insitu water contents and desired densities in the dam before the final selection can be made properly.

WATANA DAM SHELL MATERIALS

We feel that the dam would perform better statically if river gravel and cobbles were used for the upstream shell, because rock fill dams over about 500 ft high usually develop longitudinal cracks upon first filling due to additional breakage at sharp contacts on saturation. Zones of processed gravel could be provided to eliminate the fines and assure higher permeabilities if excess pore pressures are thought to be a problem during earthquakes. It is possible that too low an

-2-

assumed stiffness for the compacted river gravels may be a cause for the high pore pressures computed in dynamic analyses. Stiffness values for these materials could be approximated by back calculation from the observed settlement of Portage Mountain Dam in which both processed and pit-run compacted gravels were used.

WATANA CORE GEOMETRY

. . .

Although static analyses may indicate that a more favorable stress distribution is achieved if the core is sloped upstream (on the assumption that the core is more compressible than the shells), we feel that a central core is preferable under earthquake conditions because the shells will probably shake down more than the core. Thus the downdrag on the core will tend to produce higher vertical stresses in the core and so reduce the probability of cracking.

WATANA RELICT VALLEY

Control of seepage through this buried valley is required for safety; the cost of the lost water is of little import because the seepage loss merely offsets the requirement for a minimum downstream flow. Three alternatives have been considered:

-3-

1) An upstream blanket over the entire inflow area. This would be costly and, in fact, impractical because of the limitation on its extent imposed by the entrance to the diversion works.

-4-

2) A cutoff across the pervious channel. This would be extremely costly and probably ineffective. For practical reasons it would hardly be possible to construct a slurry wall deeper than 200 ft. Attempts to create a grouted alluvial cutoff between the bottom of the wall and bedrock would have small chance for success in view of the likelihood of encountering permafrost and in view of the great variation of permeability likely to exist. If such a cutoff were to be provided, it would be necessary to monitor points of possible emergence of seepage downstream in the <u>Talkeetna</u> valley and, in all probability, to protect part of the area by filter blankets. In our judgment no further consideration should be given to the cutoff alternative.

3) Prevention of piping or backward erosion by providing suitable filters in the zone of seepage emergence in the <u>Tal-</u> <u>keetna</u> valley. This can be done, as the need is demonstrated, in the following steps:

* Nove Tsusena valley - Elaman 12.7.81

a) Establish the location and regime of springs that presently exist in the area of possible emergence, and install and observe piezometers at suitable locations prior to reservoir filling.

b) If discharges appear or increase during reservoir filling (or thereafter as permafrost zones melt), or if piezometric levels so indicate, cover the emergence areas with filter drains. If seepage emerges high above the <u>*</u> Talkeetna valley bottom, consideration can be given to directing the seepage into lower strata by means of filter wells and providing filter protection for the lower strata.

We consider this alternative to be the most positive control measure. It will, in addition, be the least costly. Similar treatment would be necessary to a lesser extent even if one of the other alternatives were adopted. The procedure requires a period of surveillance, adequately funded, for several years until conditions stabilize, including the melting of permafrost until thermal equilibrium develops. It also requires maintaining the ability at site to execute the measures that may be found necessary. It should be noted, however, that the requirements of surveillance and capability of

* Note Tensena valley - Elemene 19/1/21

~5~

remedial work would exist in any event, in view of the remoteness and rigorous climatic conditions at the site.

SADDLE DIKE AT WATANA RELICT VALLEY

In view of our preference to eliminate the cutoff in the valley, the design of the saddle dike would not be premised on the incorporation of the cutoff in its foundation. The relatively low head across the dike would permit conventional seepage control. However, consideration must be given to the possible existence and thawing of permafrost zones in the foundation after the reservoir has risen and to the influence of liquefiable zones. Exploration is presently inadequate to determine if such zones exist. If the maximum reservoir level would be no higher than the natural saddle, these considerations would become insignificant. We believe the proposed studies of reservoir elevation will be useful to determine if there is an optimum level at which most of the project benefits may be retained while the problems of the dike can be substantially reduced.

WATANA UPSTREAM COFFERDAM

We are concerned about the space limitation that may require steepening the downstream slope of this cofferdam if the bedrock in the river should be lower than anticipated where the main-dam excavation would occur adjacent to the cofferdam. We also have concern that constructing the proposed cutoff to rock beneath the cofferdam may involve delays due to its depth and to obstructions in the alluvium. We suggest that the cofferdam design be studied further.

-7-

PERFORMANCE OF CONCRETE DAMS

We believe it would be pertinent to review the experience in arctic climates of concrete dams, including the long-time history of several dams in Norway. (For example, Heggstad and Myran, Investigations on 132 Norwegian Concrete Dams, 9th Congress Large Dams, Q34, R28, Istanbul 1967; Berdal and Kiel, Skogfoss Hydroelectric Power Station, Norway/USSR; Civil Engineering Works, Proc. Inst. CE, Vol. 30, pp. 271-290, Feb. 1965, discussion Vol. 33, pp. 481-491, March 1966.) This information would be pertinent to several features of the project, including possible consideration of a concrete-faced rockfill dike at the side channel to the left of the Devil Canyon site. Yours sincerely,

alfel J. Hendron Ø1. A. J. Hendron, Jr.

Leck

Peck Β. Ra

RBP/ajj

¥.

SUSITNA HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT ACRES AMERICAN EXTERNAL REVIEW PANEL REPORT NO. 4

INTRODUCTION

The Acres American External Review Panel for the Susitna Hydroelectric Project met with the Alaska Power Authority Review Panel on February 18, 1982. The Acres External Review Panel had convened independently on February 17. Both meetings were conducted at the Acres American offices in Buffalo.

In addition to Panel Members, Robert Mohn of the Alaska Power Authority and representatives of Acres American were present.

The objective of these meetings was to discuss the few remaining topics regarding the project which require resolution. Various members of Acres American staff presented discussions regarding geotechnical questions, seismicity hydraulics and design.

The Panel appreciates the courtesies extended to it by Acres American and the planning and preparation of discussions presented in the meetings.

Buried Channel

Regarding the feasibility of Watana Dam, it is our opinion that the possible seepage losses through the buried channel are not large enough to impact the feasibility of the project. Moreover, possible piping of alluvial materials can be controlled, if necessary, by weighted filter blankets placed on the slopes between the reservoir and Tsusena Creek. The cost of providing the downstream filter should be considered in the feasibility report.

The present reservoir elevation of 2185 is low enough such that the water is not required to be permanently supported by the freeboard dike. In fact, the free-board dike will not be required to resist differential water levels for the PMF (el. 2202) because the lowest point above the relict channel is elev. 2202.

Recently, the possibility of liquefaction of the uppermost layers of the buried channel fillings has been raised. If these materials liquified, and if large volumes of these materials could move under the gentle slopes shown in attached section W-16 of Figure 6.34, Task 5 Report; then it would be hypothetically possible to breach the reservoir. Recent stratigraphy has been developed for the buried channel which is shown in attached Figure 1. As shown in Fig. 1, the lower unit K is the buried alluvium, unit J is a preloaded till, unit Jl is an interglacial alluvium, unit I is a preloaded till, unit A an alluvium, unit G is a waterlain till or lucustrine deposit, and units A,B,C,D,E and F are more recent outwash deposits. It is highly unlikely that liquefaction could be a problem from the top of unit I downward as shown in the cross section given in Figure 2.

The alluvium in stratum H will be saturated by the reservoir, however, and more information is needed to conclude whether liquefaction is or is not a problem in stratum H. Stratum H is buried beneath the water laid till unit G, which indicates it was saturated under the water levels which produced unit G and was probably subjected to earthquakes during that time period. Further development of the pleistocene geology may clarify this point. The strata above unit G are outwash materials and more information is required on density, gradations, and blow counts in order to make definite comments on liquefaction susceptability. Because of the above, it is advisable to consider the possible remedial action shown in Fig. 3 where in the worst case, a compacted dike would be placed in a trench excavated down to the top of the overconsolidated till, (Unit I). The costs of this remedial action should be included in the feasibility report, but the decision to employ or omit this possible remedial action must be delayed until after more investigations are conducted in the area of the buried channel.

At its meeting held on February 18, 1982 the APA review panel made recommendations concerning the design earthquake motions for Devil Canyon concrete arch dam. We concur with these recommendations.

Alfred J. Hendron

Kalsh Blick

Ralph B. Peck

Merlin D. Copen Merlin D. Copen

QUATERNARY STRATIGRAPHY OF THE BURIED CHANNEL AREA

112 - 112 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114 - 114

1. No.

SYMBOL	UNIT	MAXIMUM THICKNESS	MATERIAL TYPE
A & B	SURFICIAL DEPOSITS	5'	ORGANIC SILTS AND SANDS WITH COBBLES AND BOULDERS.
`C .	OUTWASH	18′	SILTY SAND WITH GRAVEL AND COBBLES.
. D 	ALLUVIUM & FLUVIAL DEPOSITS	15'	SAND, SILT WITH OCCASIONAL GRAVEL
E	OUTWASH	- 55'	SILT, SAND, GRAVEL, COBBLES,
F			
G	TILL/WATERLAIN TILL	65'	CLAYEY SILTY SAND, WITH GRAVEL AND COBBLES, OFTEN PLASTIC.
Н	ALLUVIUM	40'	SILT, SAND AND GRAVEL, SORTED.
	TILL	60'	SILT, SAND, GRAVEL, COBBLES, POORLY SORTED.
J	INTERGLACIAL ALLUVIUM	45'	SAND, GRAVEL WITH OCCASIONAL SILT, SORTED.
· J .	TILL	60'	SILT, SAND, GRAVEL COBBLES, POORLY SORTED.
K	ALLUVIUM	160'	GRAVEL, COBBLES, BOULDERS, FEW FINES.





VERTICAL EXAGGERATION = 2

WATANA RESERVOIR FREEBOARD DIKE **CROSS SECTION**





FIGURE 3

BURIED CHANNEL ALTERNATIVE SOLUTION



"THE FINS" TO TSUSENA CREEK SHORTEST FLOW PATH SECTION W-16

> PART OF FIGURE: 6:34. TASK 5 REPORT

