



OIL SPILL RESTORATION PLANNING OFFICE

437 E Street, Suite 301 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

(907) 271-2461 FAX: (907) 271-2467

October 30, 1990

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Formats for submitting 1991 restoration project
or feasibility study proposals

FROM: Brian Ross, John Strand
Restoration Planning Work Group

TO: Principal investigators, peer reviewers,
and other restoration worksession participants

Attached you will find formats to be followed when submitting proposals to this office for 1991 restoration projects, feasibility studies, or natural recovery monitoring projects. Your write-ups will be used as the basis for describing potential 1991 projects in the draft Restoration Work Plan and 1991 Restoration Program to be published in the Federal Register in late December, 1990. Because this document will be distributed specifically for public review and comment, descriptions of injuries must remain brief and general in your initial write-ups. (The Legal Team will be reviewing all descriptions prior to publication, so it is safe to err for now on the side of describing injuries in somewhat more detail, rather than less.)

Overall, we are looking for 1-2 page project descriptions at this time. These write-ups must be submitted no later than November 14 in order for them to be considered for the 1991 program. We will be asking for detailed study plans at approximately the first of the year for those proposals that the Management and Legal Teams direct us to move forward with. The detailed study plans will not be for public distribution, and should contain more specific linkages to known injuries as part of their justifications.

We recognize that the time frame for developing these proposals is short. If we can be of any assistance or if there are any questions, don't hesitate to call your RPWG member, or contact me directly at this office. We look forward to receiving your proposals!

Format :
Proposed 1991 Restoration Project Description

Title:

Lead Agency:

Principal Investigator:

Introduction:

- Background
(including: link to known injury)
- Goal and Objectives
(Incl.: reasonable to implement considering expectations for natural recovery; importance of implementing or beginning to implement in 1991)

Methods:

- Including known technical feasibility
- Will not interfere with cleanup activities or ongoing NRDA studies

Duration and Scope:

Expected Results:

- Anticipation of net environmental benefits

Alternatives Considered:

- No Action: consequences of not implementing in 1991
- Other potential approaches to Goal and Objectives (why proposal is best approach currently available)

Cost:

Format:
Proposed 1991 Feasibility Study Description

Title:

Lead Agency:

Principal Investigator:

Introduction:

- Background
(Including: link to known or reasonably expected injury;
importance of target resource)
- Goal and Objectives
Incl.: likelihood of approach being applied as a full-scale
restoration measure if successful; importance of implementing
in 1991)

Methods:

- Ability to evaluate success of study
- Ability to reasonably determine feasibility after one year of study
- Will not interfere with cleanup activities or ongoing NRDA
studies

Duration and Scope:

Expected Results:

- Applicability of approach if successful

Cost of study:

Format :
Proposed 1991 Restoration Project Description,
Natural Recovery Monitoring Project

Title:

Lead Agency:

Principal Investigator:

Introduction:

- Background
(Including: link to known or reasonably expected injury;
importance of target resource)
- Goal and Objectives
(Incl.: importance of continuing to monitor the indicator of
injury/ongoing exposure; importance of implementing in 1991)

Methods:

Duration and Scope:

Expected Results:

Alternatives Considered:

- No Action: consequences of not implementing in 1991

Cost: