

RPWG
I

RESTORATION PLANNING WORK GROUP
MAY 4, 1993
1:00 p.m.

ATTENDEES

Mark Kuwada
Chris Swenson
John Strand
Karen Klinge
Bob Loeffler
Carol Gorbics
Veronica Gilbert
Ray Thompson

DRAFT RESTORATION PLAN - General

Draft Restoration Plan will be delivered to the RT on 5/10. RT will forward to the TC. Comments schedule will be included.

TC will approve the plan on June 2nd. Plan will go to printer soon after.

CHAPTER I

Recommendation was made that public involvement and NEPA section be transferred from Chapter 1 to Chapter 6. Ray and Chris will work out which part of Chapter 1 is more important for Chapter 6.

Executive summary will be included.

The brochure will not be included with the draft plan.

The same questions from the brochure will be used for the comment sheet. There may be additional questions (option information). The group will discuss the questions first.

Recommendation was made to include more information along the lines for being more accountable for past expenses. Veronica will work with LJ to come up with this information.

The 1993 meetings will be added to the public comment part of the chapter.

The terms of the settlement will be defined in the text (replacement, enhancement, etc.).

CHAPTER II

Carol will work on using EIS chapter as a base. It will be

very short.

CHAPTER III

Recommendation was made to go back to an earlier version of Chapter III (2/1) with more detail and have John as director of revisions. The alternative is to go with the short version (brochure) with additional information, including some more detail and additional species. Bob Spies has to be comfortable with the additional paragraphs. John and Spies will discuss the additions. RPWG supported the shorter version. John and Mark will effect a revision for RPWG's review and concurrence. Veronica, Chris and Ray will do the same for services. John is the main contact with Spies. It will not go to the Restoration Team without Spies' review. It may not go on Monday.

Air is deleted because it is not an injured resource.

Endpoints for recovery need to be defined generically.

Change natural recovery table to estimated time to recovery.

CHAPTER IV

Chris will excerpt the evaluation of new options and put it in Chapter 6.

Karen will expand the criteria from the framework document and make crystal clear how the options are being used and what they mean. All the criteria was not used; only the guiding focus.

Karen will make clear that effectiveness will not apply to habitat protection.

GUEST CHAPTER

Chris and Karen will work on this chapter. Karen will finish fish, and Chris will do services.

The guest chapter will go in Chapter 4. Comments will be in to Karen by c.o.b. tomorrow.

CHAPTER V

Alternatives will be expanded by examples.

The titles (on monitoring) will be left as it appears in the brochure, except that "including service" will be added after ecological monitoring.

A caveat should be added that recovery is difficult to predict.

Bob will rewrite public education, and it will be included in this chapter under admin., but it will only include dissemination of information.

John's monitoring will be added to this chapter.

CHAPTER VI

Veronica and Chris will work out what public participation goes in this chapter. The past information will be in the introduction and the future will be in Chapter 6.

Carol will rewrite Option 33 to **not** include public information dissemination (OSPIC) but will include public environmental education and ways to educate the public on how they can aid recovery.

Add a generic paragraph to Chapter VI.

APPENDIX A

An introduction is needed. It will be short.

Organize the options into like groups. Use the brochure categories (mammals, fish, etc.). Where one option would benefit many categories, put it where its most logical and include a see also paragraph at the end of each section describing where other options are.

A crosswalk is needed for each service.

Brochure names need to be similar to the titles in the appendices. (So people reading brochure can find).

RPWG decided location information will be not included in the draft plan.

Order of magnitude cost will not be included.

Options 37 and 40 will be deleted. (Added to Appendix A).

The focus of an option can be expanded to be more generic to include any other research.

Veronica will add a small paragraph in the introduction describing that these could fall into different alternatives depending on the impact.

Option 50 was easiest to link to non-commercial species. It

might be ranked differently for commercial and non-commercial.
The wording will be changed to reflect this.

APPENDIX B

Art will meet with Veronica and Bob tomorrow.

Appendix B will include the information from Appendix A, Options 37 and 40.

SCHEDULE

Comments will be forwarded by c.o.b. on 5/5 (Wednesday). The revisions will be done by Friday morning. Carol will meet on Friday and Saturday to put it into a document. On Monday at 8:15 a.m. RPWG will review the document.

Public comment database will be discussed later, possibly on Monday.

FORMATTING

Ray will coordinate the feasibility with the printers and will see if newspaper format will work.

Meeting adjourned at 5:00.

RESTORATION PLANNING WORK GROUP AGENDA
MAY 4, 1993
1:00 P.M.

I. Draft Restoration Plan

- A. Chapter-by-chapter walk through of assembled draft document:
 - (1) Where are we?
 - (2) Where are the holes?
 - (2) What are the show stoppers, and how are they resolved?
 - (3) How do we deal with other comments?

- B. RPWG division of labor to complete Draft Restoration Plan:
 - (1) Who does what?
 - (2) When will next internal (RPWG) draft be ready?
 - (3) When do we deliver to RT?
 - (4) When do we deliver to TC?

- C. Technical details for final production:
 - (1) Formatting.
 - (2) Word processing.
 - (3) Editing.
 - (4) Illustration.
 - (5) Printing.

II. Public Comment Database

- A. Status of design for database.
- B. Data input.
- C. Technical advisors.
- D. How many responses received to date?