RESTORATION PLANNING WORK GROUP/RESTORATION TEAM
DECEMBER 11, 1992
12:10 P.M.

Attendees

John Strand
Ray Thompson
Sandy Rabinowitch
Kathy Chorostecki
Maria Lisowski
Byron Morris
Ken Rice
Bob Loeffler
Veronica Gilbert
Mark Brodersen
Dave Gibbons
Jerome Montague
Henry Gerke

Per the request of the Trustee Council, the Restoration Team and RPWG met to develop a revised schedule which integrates the Environmental Impact Statement with the Restoration Plan in a shorter time.

Ken stated what will be effective is getting a document out in March which allows a high level of public involvement. Sharon Saari's schedule assumes that if she gets the alternatives in March, she can have a publishable document by June. Ken stated if the alternatives are provided to her, she could possibly get a document out sooner; however, Ken stated he will not put the burden of speeding up the document on the EIS team because we created the burden ourselves. Maria suggested using a Federal Register Notice to outline the alternatives. There is no reason to put out a draft Draft Restoration Plan in March. Ken stated if we have a Draft Restoration Plan and EIS by early June, that takes two months off the schedule. Jerome asked why a final could not be done by the end of August. Ken stated you can't take comments, respond to them, and show how they were integrated into the EIS in that period of time. It could not be done.

Ken stated that one alternative is to publish a Draft Restoration Plan and let the EIS plan catch up; however, this doesn't buy any time. Veronica asked what the Trustee Council expects. Ken stated they expect a plan by December of 1993.

Bob stated the preferred alternative has no effect on the schedule. Byron suggested delaying the plan until the EIS is ready to go out. Dave asked by what date a DEIS could be done. Ken stated he was told by June. Dave suggested amending the schedule as follows: June 7 - Publish DEIS and Draft Restoration Plan; August 7 - September 1 - Analyze comments; September 1 - November 1 - Revi-

sions to plan and EIS; November 10 - Trustee Council approval of Final EIS and Restoration Plan.

Ken stated that three weeks will be needed to analyze comments. Bob stated that all the new schedule gains is three to four weeks. Mark stated that he does not understand the hang up over two months. Maria stated she saw the hang up as the fact that the EIS and Restoration Plan schedules are out of sync, and there are two different comment periods. Ken stated we buy public goodwill by going out with an information package by March. The package could include the alternatives. Veronica stated she does not understand why everything could not be distributed at public meetings by April. Mark stated that those meetings do not do any good because there isn't time to put the information into the June documents. Maria stated the EIS team developing the DEIS needs time to do their draft analysis. Mark stated the Trustee Council does not care if we go out in March, just as long as the EIS and the plan are in sync. Bob stated that you go out with a plan in April and get comments back and go out with the draft June 7. Dave stated the Trustee Council just wants the EIS and the plan done at the same time. Bob asked if we find a way to give the EIS team the alternatives before Christmas, can they get it done? Sandy stated the potential exists to renegotiate their ability to get the work done. Ken stated he is comfortable with a June date.

Maria stated public comment doesn't have to impact getting out a draft plan because it won't be integrated until the final plan comes out. Dave stated what is critical is when you get the final plan done.

The following schedule was agreed upon:

A *REVISED* SCHEDULE for the
RESTORATION PLAN and ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

January 1993	Restoration Team, Trustee Council review alternatives.
Late February 1993	**Trustee Council revises and approves alternatives.**
March 24	Alternatives information package.
May 16	**Trustee Council approves Draft Restoration Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement.**
June 7	Publish Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Restoration Plan.
June 7 - Aug. 7	Public comments and public review of Draft Restoration Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (60 days).
Aug. 7 - Sept. 1	Analyze public comments.
Sept. 1 - Nov. 1	Revise Environmental Impact Statement and Restoration Plan including response to comments.
Nov. 10	**Trustee Council approval of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Restoration Plan.**
Nov. 25	Publish and distribute Final Environmental Impact Statement and Final Restoration Plan.
Nov. 25 - Dec. 25	30-day notification period for the Environmental Impact Statement.
Dec. 27	Adopt Final Plan and Record of Decision.

Maria stated the important aspect of the above schedule is that it integrates the two processes. A draft of the schedule was reviewed by the Restoration Team and RPWG, and final copies were prepared for distribution to the Trustee Council and the public.

Meeting adjourned at 1:00.