

RPWG Meeting-January 5, 1993

Attendees-John Strand, Sandy Rabinowitch, Veronica Gilbert, Ray Thompson, Carol Gorbics, Chris Swenson

1. Database and Alternative Development (Karen and all)

Rough version of interviews and database. A single response for each species needs to be developed which reconciles all the existing information. Karen is working on that and trying to ensure that it is compatible with the database. Everything should be entered by Friday. RPWG will need to look at it all on Monday. Karen will work with Carol, Chris and Mark as she reconciles the database.

Goal is a working database by week of January 11. Alternative development should occur quickly after that.

Alternatives and corresponding option numbers should be sent to RT by January 15. Additional information will be provided to RT and Walcoff as it is developed.

A social benefit component of the database was discussed. RPWG needs to discuss this further. This will also be discussed with Reckhow on January 6. The treatment of social benefits may need to be different because of the variability of views. (ie. recreation survey showing highly variable views towards things like building cabins--some respondents believed it would be highly positive while other respondents believed it would be highly negative.) Veronica suggested that maybe our criteria were too broad and could be revised to better approach services. (ie. it is relatively easy to evaluate whether an option enhances accessibility, however, it is harder to evaluate whether accessibility is good or bad. So perhaps the alternatives could reflect only whether or not accessibility is included rather than if it is good or bad.)

Additional information on services needs to be included in the database. RPWG needs to further address this issue.

Ray suggested that RPWG should avoid doing too much analysis of the impacts since that is an EIS issue. Veronica pointed out that we need to do enough analysis to structure the alternatives.

Action Items:

a-RPWG members dedicate several hours on January 11 to review status.

b-RPWG meeting on January 13 and 14 to reanalyse alternatives.

c-January draft of alternatives to RT by January 15.

d-Include "Services and the Database" on a future agenda.

2. Status of Projects - Cost (Veronica)

It has been updated and provided to Reckhow. We will discuss this with Reckhow. Feasibility study costs should be included in the cost estimates. If they are not, RPWG members should ensure that they are added.

**continue to develop costs on a per unit basis, but before we complete the draft of the alternatives, RPWG will need to determine the minimum effective level that each of the options need to be implemented.

Action Items:

a-RPWG members should ensure the costs they provided include feasibility study costs.

b-Have CACI staff work with the raw interview forms to pull out information on the effectiveness of the options.

3. Status of Projects - Geography of restoration implementation (Carol)

Affected area map has been provided to RT. They will be discussing at their January 8 RT meeting. The RPWG recommendation will likely be revised by the RT.

Comments have been received back from several RPWG members. The information will be compiled and provided back to RPWG by January 11.

4. Status of Projects - Injury assessment table (Carol)

Injury assessment table has been provided to the RT. They will be discussing it at their January 8 RT meeting. DOI has recommended that it not be provided to the PAG at the January 5/6 meeting.

Action Items

a-Develop the list of population level injured species.

5. Status of Projects - Injury assessment text/services (Sandy)

Recreation-Veronica is working on
Subsistence-John is working on. Draft has been provided to subgroup. Comments should be provided to John.

Archaeology-Sandy has completed and will provide for draft review. Commercial fishing-Summary table, but text not yet written.

Wilderness/intrinsic values-Summary table, but text not yet

written.

Will this information be included in the March description of alternatives? Yes, likely as a summary table rather than full text.

Action Items:

a-Drafts to subgroup by January 15.

b-Subgroup package to RPWG by January 22.

6. Draft of Injury Assessment Text - Resources

Spies has completed the marine mammals, half-way through the birds and has started the terrestrial mammals. RPWG is concerned that this is going to delay the overall schedule. We will request that Dave Gibbons provide a deadline to Bob Spies (January 22).

Action Items:

a-John will coordinate with Dave Gibbons to provide a letter to Bob Spies which provides a deadline to draft needed chapters by January 22.

7. January 6-7, 1993 PAG meeting presentation

RPWG was planning on providing the injury summary table to the PAG at this meeting, however, the RT has not approved the release.

Action items for the PAG meeting:

a-the RPWG will request that Dave Gibbons educate the PAG as to the schedule changes that the TC has mandated.

b-the RPWG will describe the package of information that will be available in March ("alternatives recommendation package").

8. January Trustee Council Meeting

The RPWG will be on the agenda to discuss the status of the Restoration Plan.

Action item: Determine what we need to prepare for the TC.

9. TC Comments on Outline and Alternative Themes

Action item: We will discuss after reviewing the Pennoyer and McVee comments.

10. Endowment

Action item: We will wait for a status report from Bob Loeffler.

11. Symposium

John will be giving a paper on status of restoration in the plenary session.

12. Monitoring Program Design

John will be meeting with Parametrix this week to review the overall program and schedule. NOAA is negotiating a MOU with ADEC to cover the additional funds that will be needed.

13. Format of March Deliverables

Action item: To be discussed at a later meeting.