1	ALASKA OIL SPILL COMMISSION		
2			
3	August 11, 1989		
4	Kodiak Meeting		
5			
6			
7			
8	OIL SPILL COMMISSION MEMBERS		
9			
10	Walter B. Parker, Chairman		
11	Esther C. Wunnicke, Vice-Chairman		
12	Margaret J. Hayes		
13	Michael J. Herz		
1,4	John Sund		
15	Timothy Wallis		
16	Edward Wenk, Jr.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
23			
25			

1	WITNESS INDEX			
2				
3	FRITZ BRUNHOFF	PAGE	4	
4	ARNIE SCHRAM	PAGE	13	
5	FOREST GOULD	PAGE	18	
6	MIKE MILLIGAN	PAGE	21	
7	PAT MCCLAIN	PAGE	29	
8	LAURA HUGHES	PAGE	36	
9	THEODORE NYMAN	PAGE	44	
10	TRISHA GARTLAND	PAGE	52	
11	ROBERT MCCARRY	PAGE	60	
12	JAMES BOLERUD	PAGE	61	
13	BRYAN JOHNSON	PAGE	67	
14	DOLLY RIFT	PAGE	81	
15	MICHELE SAVIN	PAGE	93	
16	LACY BURNS	PAGE	95	
17	LUCIA AVITABILIA	PAGE	101	
18	DARRYL SHORT	PAGE	105	
19	JULIANNA CARLSON	PAGE	110	
20				
21				
22	•* • 3			
23				
23				
25				
,				

Paralegal Plus
L'aw Office Support
945 W. 12th Ave.
Anchorage, AK 99501
1907/272-2779

22

23

23

25

We'll have several meetings MR. PARKER: continuing in September and October on the same theme, we'll also have some workshops in October on the tanker operations, on response, on the institutions that govern the whole transportation of oil. The last stage of our operations developing recommendations which will be contained in the report that we'll make to the legislature on January 8. After we present that we still are in operation until February 15, which time will be used taking our recommendations once again to communities. addition the In to the affected communities we will have hearings in Juneau and also in Anchorage, possibly some other places in Alaska. On the report we'll also plan to have hearings westcoast terminals where Valdez shipments, fransico, Longbeach, or Los Angeles whatever. Then hearings also in Washington D.C. And we'll give the results of those hearings to the legislature and the governor and the congress on February 14, so in essence we'll be making two reports. First report and then the second report which will contain the results of six weeks of Secure Republic put on our recommendations. Do you want to say anything?

MS. HAYES: Well, I guess I'd just like to add an idea for your benefit of the way that we use the in-

formation that we've been gathering from the public at public meetings. What we have found in communities that we've been in have been very moving stories of peoples actual response and actual people sized problems the people have either solved or things that they've experienced. And that's helped the last few weeks shape the kind of studies we want our consultants to be working on. One example is the way the community strategy for dealing with this unexpected spill, unexpected influx of people and money into their communities, or substitution of one economy for another economy. And we've used the experiences that we've heard from Valdez, and Cordova, and from Seward and Homer, and Kenai, to help shape the way our consultants would be working and writing those stories up. And hopefully we'll be able to provide enough documentation for communities that are affected with any kind of event like this, of guidelines they can do to achieve certain goals. Another project that we've worked on since we've been talking to the public and getting an idea of the things that people have been concerned with or faced with has been the economic impacts that haven't been, aren't easily identified as something that Exxon isn't going to reimburse people for. So again learning more about the types of problems that

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

have been gendered by the spill and also by having the type of impact in some communities has been as though the entire pipeline construction process has been concentrated in just the sound for one summer so far. And so I wanted you to realize that the information that we are getting at the public meetings is definitely being rolled into what the commission is all about. And definitely change our ideas about what we think the recommendations will be come out of the commission. So I'd encourage you to come forward with the stories that you have to tell us and we're very interested in hearing what they are.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you Meq. The commission plans to, has been encouraging the oil Mayors in our discussions with them to develop as much economic background as possible. And also to detail the social problems that have been created in relation to the spill, they were doing that anyway. We encourage them to continue their efforts to the limit of their capabilities and urge the state agencies to do the same and we will do case studies on individual communities and the effect of the spill on them to explain that we So, who would like to come talk to are able to also. us first? Before we set up for the public testimony, that mike down there should just come up here.

23

23

25

might be more comfortable if people would just take to the table. This seems a little ominous down there than it is up here, so whoever wants to testify first, just come to the table. Yeah.

MR. BRUNHOFF: I gather we are on the air on public radio right?

MR. PARKER: I see a nod from the back of the room, we are in fact on the air.

MR. BRUNHOFF: My name if Fritz Brunhoff. a Kodiak resident, my profession is a construction worker, and I don't have something written here for the oil coalition. I would like to express that I think in the future, that one of the things that we need to do is have the oil companies set aside funds and mechanical methods of cleaning up an oil spill. And an independent contractor that is not affiliated with the oil companies should be hired. A bid should be put out so that this company whether it is on a two year contract basis five or a year, would have independent attitude toward cleaning up the oils, their responsibility as a company. Most companies that would get involved would. Their reputation depends on how they respond, and I think they would have a much greater incentive and maybe moral attitude towards responding to an oil cleanup. Whereas sometimes oil

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

companies, I can't say this for sure, may have less of an attitude towards something that happens when you deal with corporations. Sometimes what happens is your dealing with an inanity, something that doesn't have characters, or And that's actual moral concerns. something I would like to see as we develop a system for oil cleanup and prevention. That it is dealt with through an independent contractor or body separate from the oil companies, so that they are not influenced by that, which is easy to do. This country, this world is very dependent upon oil products. A lot of people don't realize how far oil products go into our daily basic livelihoods and, just functioning. So that's what I would like to see.

MR. PARKER: Okay thank you. Meg.

MS. HAYES: Mr. Brunhoff, we have been, many of us on the commission have been schooled quite intensely in the last couple of months about the way the existing process works with the federal on scene coordinator located in Valdez advising Exxon. Do you think the person, the entity, this independent group that you are talking about, would be reporting to a specific federal or state agency, or do you? How do you see the lines of authority go, are you suggesting taking Exxon out of loop, and going directly with

somebody like VECO?

3 4 5

7

9

8

10

12 13

1,4

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

23

25

Well, no. I don't. Well Gosh, I MR. BRUNHOFF: haven't thought about that in a great deal since. What I'm saying right here is maybe I'm incorrect. I'm not looking at the future, not right now at Exxon. A future oil spill, it could be BP, you know it could be any other company that is going in and taking oil out of Valdez. It could also be on the East Coast or down south in California. The coast guard which have always been here in Kodiak, a very wonderful body, I think. I think Admiral. Robins stated at least what I read in the paper in the Anchorage news today. He's kind of locked into the position where he in a coordinator, not a commander. Which restricts his ability a great deal, I think that an independent body, or a contractor does need to answer to either each state, as far as how they are set up, and how they are ready to respond. If they do not respond correctly, then they become liable as well. So as far as on a federal level I couldn't say, I have not been able to study that closely. I strongly feel that the different states, like here at borough or in certain areas they call counties. I think that those bodies should also have input on how it is done, and how it is controlled, or how it's answerable like being a resident here in Kodiak. You know Kodiak Island

25

Borough has been strapped by this situation, totally overtaxed our borough the personnel that are available, we don't have the funds available right now to deal with a situation like this, and I see a lot of them every day, and they are doing all they can. One of the problems I see, that I think would be cured by independent contractor. Exxon, they rotate people, they go home, get a rest, they come back. The people here in the borough, the local residents, we don't get a rest. We have to deal with it every day. I think independent contractor, if they were responsible, then they could come in and relieve the different people of some of these responsibilities rather than like Drum Selby, or Bob Brody have been putting in incredible hours, just on their normal job. Also to be able to deal with a disaster situation like this, than an independent contractor could relieve some of that, then the borough, or the city, or that state, could just oversee it with a coalition that would continue to exist. Possibly even they would be able to step in rather than the borough, but with their guidance and input manage that, so you don't stress any one agency out, which we're doing a tremendous job of doing, or Exxon has or whomever.

MR. PARKER: Yeah, you've brought up one of the

21

22

23

23

25

most important and most difficult test that we going to have to cope with in our recommendations. The legislature laid the base with its bill establishing an oil spill response corps, or a lot of possibilities. As you remember the independent contractors, many of them are setup to handle oil spill response in the rest of the state except for Valdez in the period of the late seventies and early eighties in response, so they were the backbone of the contingency plans develop or the shore oil development. And as off shore oil development kind of faded away by those independent contractors, most of them starved out. They had to mothball their equipment and go on bearing minimum staffs, and that was brought out at a Coast Guard simulation on an oil spill in the Peninsula in May of 1988. So whatever we do with independent contractors, we have to figure out a way of keeping them alive in the interim between spills when

MR. BRUNHOFF: Right. That's why I'm saying I think that the oil companies that are involved in the Alyeska system should be putting up the equipment and the money so that these companies, or company that have this contract do not go into that situation, do not get strapped financially. Obviously, we've learned from

they are not working.

this oil spill that letting something kind of slip back into the weims, to become dormant was a very incorrect thing to do. Although it is going to cost the oil companies money. I think Exxon, from what spent, can see that an ounce of prevention is well worth its while down the road. Even though maintaining Red O'Daire these companies and look at like rent a deer, the gentlemen that has gone out for oil fires. He's an independent contractor, and they pay him top dollars. It is the same situation. You have an oil spill like this, you have people that are trained, they are ready, that have the facilities to work with, the machinery, and the technology, which is obviously, we are way behind in. If the oil companies put the money up for the studies, they can do it within themselves, transfer it to an independent contractor, whatever. Then you have a company that has an incentive. They can go out there and they are on a basic financial backing of X number dollars per month. Okay if an oil spill happens, they will get SO much needed created incentive. Okay, if an oil spill happens, its ten thousand gallons, pays a million dollars, you can clean it up within a five day period. Its like what rent a deer has done, when push comes a shove, and they can't figure out themselves, they go to someone that can do

9

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

23 25 it, that's independent. Those people tend to be footloose and fancy free. They don't cater to any particular company. As far as in the oil business goes, but when they are in trouble, they go to people like that, and I think that is what we need to see happen with oil spills, not only in Alaska, but in our entire nation, and world wide.

MR. PARKER: Okay, I think the main thing is that you have to have an immediate response from a highly trained group that can be the immediately, and then if it gets away from you, like this one did, you've got to have larger organization which the oil spill response corps has envisioned. You know the problem, we had that backup organization in place, but some of it, as in Cordova had to just go out on its own, without any assurance of any liability, and do it on its own. I think the next time having those elements, from the population, people who can operate boats, and have been trained how to set booms and everything ready to go is of the utmost importance.

MR. BRUNHOFF: I think that is one of the great deficiencies in this situation, the fishermen here in Alaska weren't trained to work with booms, but they know the waters, and they know how to work with their

own mechanisms. It is like one step away from what they normally do. They didn't facilitate or draw on that resource, they tried to rearrange it, which I think was a major mistake. I could be incorrect, I'm not professional by any means. Again, one of the things that I think that happened during the onset of the oilspill, and within the first three to seven days afterwards, you have the state government, different agencies that are involved, the federal government, the Coast Guard. Political systems, different agencies, they have certain things they are allowed to do, they have very set quidelines they can deal in, so what you have is like a lot of people said, you have a lot of chiefs, and not enough indians. You have a lot of input, you had a lot of people saying you got to do this, but well, I'm not sure I can make that decision. With an independent contractor that is set up, his responsibility is that if there is an oil spill, he cleans it up. He responds immediately. He doesn't have to get direction from the Coast Guard, he doesn't have to get direction from the state, a borough, the federal government or any other entity. He has responsibility, he's contracted to do it. He responds immediately, it's his responsibility. Their system is set up, and he doesn't need input. I'm in construction.

1,4

 I don't need someone to tell me how to build a home, or building. If they want to hire me, it's based on my past reputation. I'll go out and I'll build a home. If I do it incorrectly, if I make mistakes, then they can approach me, and say okay we have a problem here, but they say do it, and I do it. I think that's what we need to see, and I think through an independent agency, an independent contractor, we would eliminate that situation which I think was a great deal of some of our problems that happened.

MS. HAYES: Uh, I noticed...for the audience I noticed on the list that there at least some agency people here, and I would...I noticed that everybody has said they wouldn't like to testify, but I'd sure appreciate somebody from an agency, or federal agency coming forward to explain to us how the Mac group has worked in Kodiak, if there is anybody willing to do that. Because I'm concerned a little bit about having someone who may not be real familiar with an area. I think your point about the fishermen here knowing the most about their waters I think is a real good point. But I think that I'd like to see the State, the Federal Agencies, or the landowners or some group of people that have some local expertise, that would be willing to advise this group that's putting it together. So

that we don't have some cowboy from Louisiana coming up sort of thinking that they can show how to do it in Kodiak.

MR. SCHRAM: Okay, can you rephrase that again just slightly. The specifics of what you are asking. Arnie Schram with DEC.

MS HAYES: Okay, when we've been in the other communities, we've talked to the MAC groups...have come forward and given us a little bit of information about how they were..we understand how they were put together as an advisory to the Federal Hansan Coordinator. But I'm curious about what kind of experiences been in Kodiak, whether you've...whether that process has gone smoothly here and when it was developed, and whether this would be helpful in Mr. Brunhoff's suggestion about having an independent contractor.

MR. SCHRAM: Okay. The Inner Agency Group does have some real value in that it brings a lot of different types of resource people together in one place to resolve problems. It's also a source of confusion of course. There's so many agencies that have an interest. Some agencies concern with bird population for example, would not choose to use a particular type of technique in an area that might be very effective for cleaning oil. An example would be a snare. Snare's

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

could conceivably catch a bird, however had they been apploid affectively at the outset of the spill a lot of the oil out there would not be bouncing along from beach to beach in the Kodiak zone. The Inner Agency Groups also can confound the effort. In this situation, one of the big problems was with our Inner Agency Group...it is an advisory group to the Coast Guard, and it is strongly dominated by the Coast Guard. It doesn't have total flexibility with which make recommendations. In one instance we recommended a high priority for cleanup onto Tugiak Island. That was summarily removed from the priority listing. It wasn't that it wasn't acted upon, it was just removed from the priority list arbitrarily.

MS. HAYES: That was a decision made by the Federal....

MR. SCHRAM: That was a decision made somewhere in the federal organization, Which of course is within their rights. They're setting the rules, and they can operate any way they choose, and they can regard or disregard our advice. I think that perhaps the best way, in a future situation to do this, would be to put together one agency from the ground up, as quickly as possible. With representatives from all the agencies that existed as one entity, and not as many separate

entities that always have some room for confusion and disagreement between them, whether State, Federal, or whatever. And give them some authority to continue, to pursue the cause of treating the spill, without the potential for those conflicts.

MR. BRUNHOFF: Maybe that person, or that entity could man either governing body over like an independent contractor, or is that a ...how do you feel about that?

MR. SCHRAM: I think it would have to answer to elected officials somewhere along the line.

MR. BRUNHOFF: Yeah, I agree with that.

MR. PARKER: I think that making that kind of tie is very possible. One thing that all the MAC Groups, and all the communities have identified is the kind of tension that Arnie just spoke to, which is pretty well dictated by the different missions which agencies are given and the fact that the Federal On Scene Coordinator is a coordinator and not a commander. We had a lot of discussion about this in Cordova yesterday with the Congressman, and the Congress is obviously moving towards a strong Federal Commander. That's what their thinking is at the moment...and uh, other uh, this is the best way to go. Needs a great deal more debate based upon what we've learned in all

the communities from the Exxon-Valdez operation, because there were also a good deal of discussions about the problems of having a federal commander who had authority over, and could in fact set aside both State and local regulations when they were a problem in cleaning up an oil spill, and I don't think Congress is prepared to move that far, and if their not, why gets you back to the problem with state and local regulations do interfere with oil spill cleanup as Arnie just defined. Or if indeed within the Federal structure...if the Feds have a problem, why uh, between themselves, why uh, how do you override it. So that, I think you know, there is certainly a role for the independent contractor on this. The danger being, if we're good enough in preventing oil spills, he may starve to death between spills, so we have to figure out some way of keeping him in place in response the same way we do fire departments. There's obviously a strong role for having a strong corps of people who know how to work on the water and who have had at least some initial training in setting booms. Who's going to run all this is going to be a tough call, but we're going to rely, as Meg said on the input from those of you who have been involved in this and working it, so we can make our recommendation from the...having all

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1,4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

this time.

23 25 MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you Fritz.

MR. BRUNHOFF: Thank you.

the information possible available to us.

um, and I agree with you in certain aspects. In every

area that you're going to have an oil spill, its on a

shoreline. In every area that you have a shoreline, you

have some sort of fishing industry. So always, if you

do not have all the facilities, or equipment there, you

have another resource to draw on. I think that is

what's failed very drastically here in this oil spill.

It's a system that's already setup and it functions on

its own. You could easily instead of catching fish,

coordinate that by staying with that basic system, and

I think you are very correct. I think that independent

responsible to a body, but again I think like we had

this time. Too many chiefs...so if a body can be formed

that represents all the different agencies, and their

concerns. The independent contractor is responsible to

them, and how they perform or do not perform. I think

you'd resolve a great deal of problems that we've had

If I can make a comment there,

Independent contractor

MR. BRUNHOFF:

oil.

Okay,

an

contractor needs, like Arnie said...it has

MR. PARKER: Thank you Arnie.

23

25

inadequate just like their response to the oil spill I

believe was woefully inadequate. I don't know, I just

uh, I believe they need to take a real serious look at

for

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

who, rather than...or take at who was historically involved in the fisheries, rather than just...they've chose to deal with permit holders and as a result of that the permit holders have not necessarily put the people on their claims who would have been the people actual fisheries. in the Who actually fishes determined by the riggers of the fishery. As a result of the oil spill, and of having a paper fishery, we've ended up with people who should be compensated not being compensated, and other people receiving compensation who wouldn't have even been involved in the fishery if we had of actually fished.

MR. PARKER: I talked that over with several people this morning before we left Anchorage response to the article that was in this mornings daily news on that subject, and my best advice right at this moment is that we pull together very quickly a group from the affected communities and get advice from the limited entry commission and fish and game the people who have historically regulated both the taking and economic side of the fishing industry, and set them down with Exxon's claims people, and I'd say it shouldn't take more than a good, solid one day workshop if you've got everybody at the table very quickly. Because obviously the situation as I understood it does

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

23 25

need resolving quickly and has to be done. We can only give advice on this, but that's the best advice I've been able to think of on one mornings reaction to what I heard about it.

I think this is the kind of thing MS. HAYES: Mr. Chairman, that we ought to include in our report when we discuss the types of economic impacts that weren't reimbursed by Exxon. For your information, we're forward looking. We're trying to figure out some schemes that will work better for the future if another one of these events happens, and so if prevention measures fail, and we do have another oil spill, I'm giving some guidelines for possible Legislation would be one of the things we'd be looking at.

MR. Ι PARKER: think your point, who historically has been in the fishery is the strongest There certainly must be a reasonable way of determining that. We all know there's a lot of people involved in the fisheries who are not permit holders. I think that's what we've got to address very quickly, so I'll certainly... I discussed it with the oil spill coordinating office this morning, and I'll certainly follow it up with more discussions with them, and with other agencies, other state agencies concerned with fisheries in the state, to see what their thoughts are on it.

2

MR. GOULD:

: Okay, thank you.

You bet.

3

MR. PARKER:

4

MS. HAYES: Thanks very much.

5

MR. PARKER: Okay, Mike Milligan. Hello Mike.

6

7

•

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

23

25

MR.MILLIGAN: My name is Mike Milligan, I was a crewman during the 1987 glacier bay up in Cook Inlet. I'm a crewman on a salmon boat here. I'm also a vessel owner, and father of five here in Kodiak. We've been economically impacted as well as anyone, but I have some impressions that I'd like to share with you. In 1907 Leffingwell, a man named Leffingwell surveyed the Arctic Coast for the U.S. Geological survey. He was the person that discovered the Geological formations that led them to believe that there would be oil at Prudhoe, and about a mile from his cabin on Flatsman Island, Exxon has a well. It's currently capped right now. I mention this because I see this commission as kind of a survey, a pioneer commission, that hopefully is paving new ground I think as we sit here in this multi-million dollar auditorium that was built with oil money. I think we've reached the point where the honeymoon is over with the oil industry in Alaska, but definitely not the marriage. Things that I'm hearing from the commission, and what I'm hearing from people are total-

ly of a defensive nature looking to the Exxon-Valdez, Glacier Bay. Trying to prevent the scenarios from repeating themselves. And I would like to see the commission work more towards establishing state of the art legislation that would probably be used as a quideline for the rest of the country. We get so much of our oil spill, or oil legislation, a bulk of it comes out of the Gulf of Mexico, Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, from an industry that saw most of its growth in the 1920's. Alaska is at a point, and America is at a point where I think that if we would look more to the future, we could begin to address some of the issues that aren't just going to effect a few thousand people in Kodiak or a few thousand people in the Gulf of Alaska, but are beginning to effect the Earth. They wouldn't surprise me at all to hear that the pipeline just broke. There's a lot of sulfur. Most of our oil is very low grade oil. It's very new oil. It wouldn't surprise me at all to hear that the pipeline just broke, and there's oil gushing all over the North Slope, or anywhere along the terminal. So far during this oil spill the most effective means we've had to remove the oil out of the water has been to let it evaporate. We've removed most of the toxic substances out of the oil by letting it sit there and evaporate.

21

22

23

23

25

And that of course is no longer a problem for just of Alaska, its been added the atmosphere. What I'm afraid is that the commission could end up being such a defensive mode is that it could end up making the world safe for oil spills. I really don't want to see anymore oil spills. I think it's obvious that we can't depend on that anymore, but when you have a dozen tankers idling outside of engine broke entrance, and the oil is spreading throughout the sound, and it's on its way to Kodiak, I think there is better pressures that we can put on the oil industry. And I think that if Alaska can take a look to the future, and making the oil industry an ecologically viable as possible, I think that it will streamline the oil industry world wide. And it will streamline it a national basis. on I'd encourage the commission to look at it on that broader sense.

MR. PARKER: Well thank you, you've touched the chairman at least, on a spot that is close to his heart. Before the Alyeska terminal opened up, the State of Alaska did make an effort which I shared at that time, with the tanker standards task force to substantially upgrade the tankers that were going to operate from Valdez. Because it was very clear to us

that the existing situation was not going to upgrade them, neither the industry, nor the Coast Guard was going to make an effort to ensure that the tankers operating from Valdez were at the top of the state of the art. We took that effort in four years a long way. Through the port administration, through half of the Carter Administration, and almost...we achieved some successes, in that the system which began operating at Valdez was probably quite a bit better than it would have been had we not gone into operation, had the State not put pressure on the Federal Government and on the Valdez shippers. The system that began at Valdez, has obviously to everyone, in the twelve years eroded away. And the result was the Exxon-Valdez spill, but that was not the best system that we were aiming for. improvements in tanker holds and tanker navigation, and tanker power plants and most important in the way in which tankers are crewed and operated, and indeed the management which is brought to them by their respective companies. We didn't get as far as we wished to go on those. We thought we were riding high in the Carter Administration, the President appointed the task force chaired by his closest confident Burt Lance who headed the office management and budget at that time. And by Brock Adams, his secretary of transportation, and we

thought we were really going to get double bottoms at least, if not double hulls. And get redundancy built into the tankers, because that is what oil tankers lack, is redundancy in their operations, so that if something fails there in nothing there to replace it. The Coast Guard disagrees with me adamantly on this, and we've already had some fairly tough discussions about it. When I said, you know, the basic principle under which the oil tanker is constructed is the cheapest possible hull to carry the maximum amount of oil possible with the cheapest possible power plant, and the least redundancy, and the smallest crew that you can get by with. The Coast Guard Admiral before us at that time took some umbrage at that, but I'll still stand by that particular statement, that is what has driven the industry ever since the economics of oil in the long haul from the Persian Gulf to Europe and the East Coast of North America began to be the driving force behind tanker design. What happened then was that one of those unfortunate accidents of history, Burt Lance had a little banking scandal and went back to Georgia and was no longer the President's closest advisor. Broch Adams had some problems with President, and didn't have the power at the White House had, and the Coast Guard and the State Department

22

23

23

25

went to the Oval Office and said we need one more try with the International Maritime Organization International Standards. And went to London in 1978 and didn't do very well, I thought they did well. think they did, but we didn't get the improvements that we wanted at that time. But, the one reason for being in this commission, and the strongest reason for being that we identified at our first meeting was prevention. That means improving the tankers, and the crews that operate them to the maximum that we can identify as the state of the art right now. After that long speech I'll give my colleague a chance to say something.

MS. HAYES: Mr. Milligan, I'm sorry, at the beginning did you say you were a crewman on the Glacier Bay?

MR. MILLIGAN: No, I was a crewman on a salmon boat in Cook Inlet.

MS. HAYES: Okay, so you were affected by that spill too.

MR. MILLIGAN: Yes.

MS. HAYES: Also, I wanted to make sure that I understood your charge to the commission. You said...you made the analogy about...by looking at this beautiful auditorium that the honeymoon is over but the marriage isn't... are you suggesting that we sue for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

23

25

divorce...are you suggesting that we have a reexamination of our energy policy?

I don't think we'd be able to MR. MILLIGAN: sue for divorce. I don't think it's an option, and as you know, there's a lot of oil potential up here and all of its not on State land. Most of its on Federal land. No, I...It would be nice if we could, but I think we're going to have to except the fact that the United States just uses a lot of oil, and as long as there is a lot of it in Alaska, we're going to have an oil industry here whether you like it or not. I just hope that the commission looks at the broader sense. they're going to decide that they don't want tankers at all and they're going to build a pipeline through Canada, I want to see the stacks on the stripping McKenzie River plants in the closely monitored. Those...all spills aren't in the water, all spills aren't oil...oil related from the oil industry. What I in the is would like to see long run Federal that regulate national oil regulations companies abroad. I don't want to see Exxon spilling oil in Indonesia any more than they spill it in Prince Williams Sound. The potential I see for this commission in this State, in the Country, is to very firmly affect the oil industry world wide. I think a lot of the oil

23

25

industry will be receptive to this too. They want to have a clean business also. MR. PARKER: Well thank you for that, we'll do our darndest. I uh...Glacier Bay, any thing else you'd care to share with us on Glacier Bay? We're going to investigate that after math of Glacier Bay just to compare what went on after that, and to see whether it stimulated anything that led up to Exxon-Valdez and so forth.

MR. MILLIGAN: Part of the...I think if, uh, we hadn't had such a rosy salmon season following the spill, I think a lot of us up in the inlet would had done a better job raising the stink and probably would have been able to put more things in place that maybe would have prevented this one. Right after the spill, this spotter pilot for our salmon group was one of the first people to report the spill. The tanker had holed itself in the lower inlet, and ran all the way up the inlet dripping oil. I'm not really clear exactly what happened at the dock, but nobody really knew about it until our spotter pilot called it in to the department. I flew over that spill with the spotter pilot I think about two days after the spill we were looking for salmon. What impressed me was seeing the curve of the globe off in the distance, and oil practically everywhere you could see, and you here on the news that

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

they've got a skimmer on it. To hear that there is a skimmer on it might sound good in the news paper, but when you see it, it's kind of like seeing somebody at the end of a gymnasium with a vacuum cleaner telling you they're cleaning up the gym you know. We definitely need more skimmer capacity, but I...at any rate. I'd like to thank you guys for taking your time, I see in here that you're not getting paid, so anyway.

MS. HAYES: Thanks very much.

Well, I can't think of a better MR. PARKER: use for our time, at least this year. Thanks again. Okay, Pat McClain.

MR. McCLAIN: My name is Pat McClain, and I'm a Kodiak resident for approximately fifteen years, and for the last three or four months I've been doing work on Tugidak Island, which Exxon does not want to clean up. I've been through many, many, many meetings with them, and there's been a lot of promises made, but they've never ever done anything on it. It is getting very serious down there, I talked to some of my people this morning, and in the last two days they've picked up between, this is six people, they've picked up between three and four thousand founds of moose, and dead birds, sea otters. It is a real serious problem down there.

MR. PARKER: Wh

What are they doing?

25

WEll, Exxon has agreed to pick it MR. McCLAIN: up, and their boat, the Fish and Game boat has been picking things up. There's only one point on the island they can do it, and that's in the lagoon. Its called Pickup Point, and it's the only place on the whole Island you can land a boat safely. So its...proximity of getting around that island and working is just about impossible doing it in a boat. You have to do it from a land based operation. But it has killed everything on it, a lot of birds. They're saying a couple of thousand birds, I would say fifteen thousands birds have died down there, as much as they say the whole impact is. I've got pictures of piles of birds. Nine dead whales you can't talk about, that's kind of serious there. A person I wished he could be here today is John Garber, but he had to go to work for VECO so he could make enough money to live all winter. He lives on Tugidak year round, and I have a copy of his log here, that when the oil first hit, and when he was crying for help, and not getting anything but saying, "Yeah, we'll be on the scene." It never happened, and I don't know the reason why...I heard the reason that they weren't going to clean it up is that there was a Federal Guide order from the U.S. Attorney General's Office, because

of the dead whales, that there was a lawsuit over that. So we could not talk about the whales. There's seals molting down there that have oil all over There's, everything's got oil on it, nothing's clean. They're going to be leaving here now. This is what I don't understand, they're letting them leave, what was it, Admiral Youlst said that Exxon would do anything that they told them to do. They just said they're leaving on the fifteenth whether or not it is cleaned up or not. It doesn't make sense. Tugidak is a very critical are for fishing. Its got a crabber running down there. There's a lot of crabbing going on. And it affecting everything. The way that island situated, the water swirls in that area, so you get a lot of death down there. Plus, the crew that's working down there were led to believe by me, through Exxon that they were going to be paid, and Exxon denies that they ever said a word about it. So they are still working with no thought of getting a paycheck. I don't believe in anything that Exxon says. I think they are a bunch...very dishonest people. I believe that the Federal Government should take this over and do it right, clean it up. This oil is going to be here for a long, long time. The oil that was from the French, it took five years before it dissipated enough where they

that Kodiak would be as impacted as it has been by what happened up in Prince Williams Sound. I'm sure that there's a lot of people who are looking a little farther over their shoulder than they used to be. My back yard just got a little bit bigger than it was before.

8 9

7

10 11

13

12

15

16

18

19

20

21

22 23

23

25

14 17

MR McCLAIN: Its not very comical, but at the beginning of this, well it would never make it up this far. They're going to contain it. They've got sweepers down there. I think this cleanup up here is really a farce, because they're not even trying to clean up the big stuff there. They clean up tar balls, and with a force of four hundred and some people, covering seven thousand miles of beaches. That is just unreal. You could work them night and day for ten years and they couldn't get it. It needs a lot more people, and it needs to be done right. I need the sweepers to pick them up out here, and they haven't used one here, I don't believe they have. If the Coast Guard let's them get out of here, they'll never be back.

MR. PARKER: Yeah, the State has asked them to stay at our teleconference, which some of you may have heard of thursday last...thursday from a week ago from yesterday, we strongly asked them to maintain a strong presence over the winter. Yesterday in Cordova,

22

23

23

25

subject was brought up again, and all three times, both at our meeting with Otto Harrison, the head of the Valdez office, skated off the question. Bill Stevens, the president of Exxon yesterday in Cordova, skated off whether they would really stay or not, and he did that before in the congress, two weeks ago the congressional hearings. I would say that, based on everything I have heard, both in our hearings, and in the Congressional hearings, that there is not yet any strong commitment to stay that I have heard. How about you Meg, have you heard any strong.....

MS. HAYES: Anybody making reservations.....

MR. PARKER: Yeah, its been kind of skating around it, and they've been asked very strongly. We asked very strongly, the Congressman asked them very strongly, but they have not committed yet.

MS. HAYES: Mr. McCLAIN I thought I understood this process, and obviously I didn't. How come Exxon has decided that you shouldn't be paid, your crew shouldn't be paid for picking up oil. They say that we were never hired, even though they sent me to their claims office, and told me how to fill out the claim, so we would be reimbursed. Then evidently, something went wrong with that, and they just kind of kept telling me, well, we've got to clean up the island

sooner or later, and you live there, you'll clean it up. I was informed that if it was ever cleaned up, it would be done by VECO. VECO does all cleanup.

MS. HAYES: Would you be willing to say for us, what you think you're loosing this summer?

MR. McCLAIN: Well, I can tell you how much I've borrowed this summer, I borrowed \$56,000 dollars to do this on their promises.

MS. HAYES: I'm not familiar with the names of all our islands, is this the same island that the gentlemen from DEC identified as one that was targeted by the local MAC group for cleanup.

MR. McCLAIN: Yes, its one of the Trinity Islands, 110 miles south of here. Its a national wildlife refuge. It is a beautiful little island, except its not a very friendly island. Its not a place to go...it blows hard, and it storms. It is one of the best crabbing areas for dungeness, which they are fishing now. It does have a nice lagoon in it, but you can't bring a boat into it very easily at all.

MS. HAYES: Thank you very much.

MR. MCCLAIN: Thank you.

MR. PARKER: Yeah, well keep on this, we heard also that the Barons, there is no cleanup proceeding on the Barons, and we'll do our best to keep the pressure

23

25

on to see that the Bird Islands, which are obviously difficult of access...but which do need to be cleaned are cleaned up. Okay, Laura Hughes.

MS. HUGHES: Well, Walter, you and I have been doing this for twenty years, its not going to ever get over is it?

MR. PARKER: Well, Alaska will continue producing oil for the next hundred years unless we find a new means of propulsion and heating, so, who can say.

MS. HUGHES: Who can say, you and I have to keep meeting like this. I came to Kodiak three months ago from Sitka. I lost my family in February, nothing to do, and I did a tremendous amount of archealogical work as you know Walter, during the land claims. I talked to Exxon, and they said if you come up, then we'll give you a job. I feel they probably have forgotten more about the archaeology of Kodiak than anybody knows. Ι felt Ι could very constructive. I got here, and they found out that yeah, I really did know more than anybody else, so I was not welcome. I've just stayed, and tried to become part of things, knowing that if this happened to southeastern, we would expect this area to help us. We have had one very positive thing that southeast villages took it upon themselves, knowing that there is only about six

left to gather food. To collect subsistence foods, and Mayor Andy Lee, of Angoon arrived in Port Graham last night with salmon seaweed and berries. And it was kind of interesting that in the process of the gathering, Angoon was able to close their police department. Since then I've had calls from Hoonah, my hometown Skagway, Haines, Kluckwan, Kake, the City and Borough of Sitka, and Craig, that are all in the process of collecting to send the food up here, which is great, because there's... everything in the island is dead. Walter, I went out looking for my native foods myself, and everything was dead. I've eaten subsistence foods all my life, and my health is not good, because I'm not getting them. There is nothing here to eat, of the type of food that we're used to. The thing that I'd talked to your me, and people different times when you told me that you had subpoena powers, was please lift the gag order on these whales. There's somewhere between twenty-four and twenty-eight dead whales on these islands. In last nights paper there was an article about a sow bear that had been found dead at Uganik, was two yearling cubs, and there was no evidence of any qunshot, fight or anything. She's dead. We need to know what's killing these animals. Kodiak has four times the bird and

death of Prince Williams Sound. According to Fish and Wildlife, it has doubled since the start of this spill. Mr. McClain who just spoke before me was talking about the Shearwaters. Ι quess there's thousands thousands of dead Shearwaters on Tugidak. This is unusual, because you usually can't kill those things with an ax. The foxes are dying, the beaver. I've seen two dead deer. We need to know , positively, is this oil related, or is this Exxon's favorite statement. It's a natural phenomena. We're still waiting to get tests back on food stuff from the villages. I think these are major concerns. Nobody's talking about what we are going to do to replace these things, and I think that's where the commission comes in. You have the power to start something in progress for rebuilding. Instead of everybody being so depressed and unhappy, maybe we could get a little joy out of thinking that next year we can rebuild. Bring baby birds from someplace else and start over again, just to put it in a nutshell. Also, they announced in the newspaper on Monday I believe, that Kodiak has four hundred thirtyone workers. There's three thousand miles of lightly oiled beach. You have pictures of some of the light oil. Four hundred thirty-one people can't do it, when they've got nine thousand in Prince Williams Sound. The

village people aren't allowed to go out, the seiners aren't allowed to go on the beaches although they are extremely experienced. The set netters are being rotated. The crews are being cut back. We don't understand it, and maybe you can get some answers. I ought to send that one to Bush so he can see what happened to our national symbol.

MR. PARKER: Laura, Exxon sent a group out to the villages on our last visit here. They were here during the briefing. Have you heard anything of the results of that group which was sent out to tell everyone that there was no problem with contamination of their subsistence resources. I haven not had any feedback on their efforts.

MS. HUGHES: I talked to two people from Ouizinkie just before I came to this meeting, they still don't know if the food is okay, and they're not eating it. In fact the two that I met with, Andy Anderson, the head of the native organization, and Zack Chuchunof, the Mayor. They're talking about taking their boats, going to southeastern to get foods. Going to Bristol Bay for seafood, or shellfish because I guess they haven't had a red tide yet. No one is convinced that the foods are safe to eat.

MR. PARKER: Okay, we uh....

23

23

25

MS. HUGHES: I think we've been waiting for three months for tests back from some laboratories, and are still waiting.

MR. PARKER: Okay, well uh, we're going to ask Exxon directly about that, but I'm interested about getting as much information as I can on that particular effort before I sit down with them again.

MS. HAYES: You've brought up a couple of things that we've...that have echoed what other people have said, although you put a new twist on it. I'm going to have to think about this a little bit. One of the observations that I made early on in our public meetings was that it seemed that the state government had funded a great deal of money for assessing the damage to fish and wildlife populations, and actually seemed almost skiddish about funding anything to deal changes that the human population experiencing as part of the spill. You've pointed out to me that these are related, but I will remind myself to continue to ask Fish and Game, as well as DEC, the great amount of money that is being spent on liability assessment, and also on the claims that the state and federal agencies will be making about what damages I'11 incurred. be asking also kind what questions...how they're going to use this information

23

25

for making management decisions. As you pointed out, next year, hopefully, we can start doing something to replace it. Not just merely limit the damage, but hopefully beginning to have some scheme for bringing some of these things back. Nobody has talked to us about that yet, and I'm glad you brought it up. I'll try to remember that.

It has to be addressed, because MS. HUGHES: you could smell in the wind today the change in the weather. Winter is coming. That was the fall smell today. There's about six weeks left. The winter going to be extremely difficult. People don't have food, they don't have money. Exxon has even done the villages the favor of taking their driftwood down to Oregon to turn it into presto logs, for another Exxon subsidiary company of course. People need something to look forward to, or they're never going to make it through the winter. You and I have talked privately, and at great length about the mental attitudes, and the increase in Alcoholism, the increase in child abuse. These are such critical concerns, and I do have to say at this point... I would like to interject, and make this part of the record. Thank God for Mike Harmon in Juneau, this man is spending twenty three and a half hours a day working on these problems. He's in constant

touch, and he's uplifted me so much morally, I just can't tell you how I feel about this guy.

MR. PARKER: well, Mike and I have done a lot of things together. I always go back to him when something tough needs doing. He's been trying to get here, he got weathered out on his last effort to uh.....

MS. HUGHES: Yes, he slept in the airport, on the floor....

MR. PARKER:and uh, he is still trying, so. I'm very glad that Harmon is working in the oil spill, coordinating office too.

MS. HUGHES: And I'd also like to compliment DEC. This is really an outstanding group of people. They try and elay our fears. They work hard...I think the last time you were here Walt, they were working at ten o'clock at night. They really try desperately to make us understand that something really might be edible, although they're not quite sure. They are just great people to work with. It's nice to have them when you are faced with the Gestapo tactics effects...that's all I can say the last group of people that acted like Exxon were the Gestapo.

MR. PARKER: Regarding your archaeology, Edgar Blochford testified at Cordova yesterday that he was

able to get a reburial on one of the beach cleanups. They washed out a burial sight, and he was able to get them to have another burial, complete with necessary religious rights, and I think he got that out of Exxon, so uh.....

MS. HUGHES: That's very interesting....

MR. PARKER: You might give Edgar a call.....

MS. HUGHES: I will, I'll call him tonight, that's really good news. If there's any way at all the commission can bring more state archaeologists in under the Department of Natural Resources, we'd be very grateful. Young Dave Mcmahan is here all by himself. A fellow named Reager is coming in so Dave can take a few days off. They've got a lot of...well, this is really the archaeological heart of Alaska. This is Alaska's Egypt. You can't walk ten feet without into something of great value, and the pre-history is incredible. The state has to cough up the money to get in more archaeologists under DNR.

MR. PARKER: Okay, I'll rely on my ex-DNR colleague to contact some of her old colleagues and see what we can do there.

MS. HUGHES: Even if they're interns. There's quite a...interns are always available.

MR. PARKER: Yeah, we used a lot of interns on

3

4

5

6

•

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

the pipeline. Interns work hard, and still have lots of enthusiasm.

MS. HUGHES: I thank you for coming.

MR. PARKER: Thank you Laura. Theodore Nyman.

MR. NYMAN: Hello.

MR. PARKER: Hello.

MY name is Theodore Nyman. I am the MR. NYMAN: Secretary of the Kodiak Crewmembers Association. What I brought here today was some information regarding what the Kodiak Crewmembers Association has been doing in the community to address some of the problems related to the oil spill. I've got a packet information which we have been distributing to local crewmembers, and permit holder boat owners. Which I think is pertinent to the oil commission, and also to other members of the community. I would like to read this cover letter. I've got two cover letters here I'd like to read. It gives you a brief, well not a very brief, but an overview of what the association has been doing. Also contained in this packet are some of the forms which I can make available to you as well...for your analysis.

MR. PARKER: Okay, I'm sure the radio audience will be interested in knowing what's in those letters, so go ahead.

MR. N	YMAN:	Okay,	The Kod	liak C	rewmemb	ers
Association i	s formin	ng, in	part,	to pr	resent	an
organized, ar	nd unifi	ed bod	y for	negoti	ation	of
crewmen's's cl	aims with	Exxon.	Exxon i	s payi	ng poul	try
sums to permit	holders	and cre	ews at t	his tim	ne. And	at
this time, ma	king no	stipula	tions to	o deal	with	the
unemployed cre	wmember.	Many cr	ewmen wh	o would	d norma	11y
be working, bu	ilding up	a winte	er grub s	stake,	are liv	ing
on limited mea	ns, and t	rying t	o cope w	ith the	e expen	ses
of living in	town, an	d not	on the	fishing	groun	ds.
These people m	nust rece	ive inte	erim cla	ims. Fi	ishing	for
survival in a	decent man	nner. To	accomp]	lish th	is, we	are
compiling info	rmation f	rom cre	wmen, an	d all	fisheri	es,
who feel that	they are	affected	d by the	Exxon-	Valdez	oil
spill. Include	ed in th	nis pac	ket are	some	pertin	ent
questionnaires	. The ar	nswers	to whic	h will	be v	ery
helpful in	negotiati	lon wi	th Exx	on. W	ith t	his
information, w	e'll be al	ble to p	paint an	accura	te pict	ure
of the crewmen	bers situ	nation,	and hope	efully	facilit	ate
accurate and	timely	monet	ary se	ettleme	nts. '	The
information i	n the	question	nnaires	may k	oe hig	hly
personal. I as	sure you	that an	y who fi	ll ther	n out,	the
information re	eceived w	ill be	held i	n the	strict	est
confidence amo	ng the of	fficers	and sta	ff of t	the Kod	iak
Crewmembers As	sociation	, KCA, ι	ised gene	erally	as stat	is-

tics or anonymously, and specific examples. Your input will become and intrecal part of the package presented to Exxon for settlement negotiation. We stress that all the information submitted to the KCA be completed with care and accuracy to ensure proper representation. Green crewmembers, whether employed on a fishing vessel or not, should also complete these forms. While their cases may be harder to document, they too are important part of our fisheries. They represent a significant part of the work force needed to harvest all of Alaska's fisheries. Without them the fleet could not operate at its current level. Cooperation for unified front is the primary tool we have to use against Exxon. Exxon certainly has a well research ed plan for dealing with the impacted communities, and splitting our forces seems to be foremost on their agenda. KCA recognizes this, and is concerned establishing and maintaining a viable and organized body to see this ordeal through to its conclusion. We are working with groups such as the UFMA, the Seiners Association, and the Kodiak Island and Alaska Native Coastal Coalition. Contact with these groups has been established, and our future cooperative efforts holds much promise for attainment of fair compensation. Included in our packet, are forms of intent, or consid-

eration to hire. To be used by crewmen, who have been able to sign onto a boat this summer, due to the oil spill. They should be presented to the appropriate skippers and returned to us. Our legal counsel has advised us that this information will be helpful in mounting the Unemployed Crewmen's Case VS. Exxon. Compiled with the remainder of the packets information we'll be able to paint a clearer and broader picture for Exxon of traditional crewmen's employment opportunities. In addition, it would help the Kodiak Crewmember's Association immensely, if local Liaisons could be established between communities and headquarters in Kodiak. The liaison officer would be responsible for form distribution, collection, and forwarding to our Post Office Box. Also he, or she could help us, or keep us abreast of any local developments that may have a bearing on the outcome on our present situation. as liaison officer, you may also asked to come to Kodiak for participation be important negotiations in organizational meetings with Exxon. Please make these forms available to crewmembers in your area. They may be returned to the Kodiak P.O. Box 8375 for processing. Thank you for your cooperation on such complex and difficult issue. Signed James Bouler, the chairman of the Kodiak Crewmembers Associa-

25

1

2

3

tion, Patrick Daily, Vice Chairman, and Theodore Nyman, the Secretary. The second document I'd like to read, is a brief explanation of the forms that we have in this packet, and some filling out information. Precede?

MR. PARKER: Yeah, if you think it'll contribute to....

Okay, I wrote this letter about a MR. NYMAN: week ago, and when I wrote it, I had the feeling that Exxon was going to deal with the people who have fallen the cracks. Through this current through process. However, as in the Anchorage times today, they have stipulated that they will not make any changes in their policy to deal with unemployed crewmen at this moment. we feel that if that is the case, unemployed crewmen, and crewmen alike must join our organization, and fill out the appropriate forms, so that we can, in a worst case scenario, enter litigation with Exxon to receive some compensation for you. What you need to do in order to join our association is this. Fill out and return a completed crewmen's questionnaire, a form which documents your past fishing history. Make sure this document is clear and concise, and that all the questions are answered completely. Number two, fill out and return a completed intent to hire form. The importance of this is to convey to Exxon is that you

indeed would've found employment had there not been an oil spill this summer. Please note on the form there are two different choices for the boat owner. Quote, "would have hired, would consider hiring". KCA feels that the "would have hired" statement is better, and would probably make your case stronger, however, "would consider hiring" statement, also shows the boat owner's intent, and will also be beneficial. Number three, pick up and get filled out a boat owner, permit holder questionnaire. This form is needed to document the fishing industry's hiring processes, and the existence of crewmember turnover. These forms need to be brought to the boat owners, along with the intent to hire forms, so that your case can be properly documented. KCA will take this information, tabulate it, and make a presentation to Exxon officials in the near future. The KCA feels that it would be best to get the above documentation to boat owner, permit holder questionnaire, and the intent to hire form from the same boat owner. This will provide continuity to your file, and make the compensatory process easier, however if this is not possible, having forms filled out by separate boat owners will also be adequate. It important to convey to the boat owner, that when he fills out these forms for you, he is in no way going to

21

22

23

23

25

place this current claim with Exxon in jeopardy. We must work together with the boat owners, for it is in both of our interests to attain compensation for all of those involved in the commercial fishing industry. It KCA's sincere is the hope that through this documentation, the chances of attaining monetary compensation for unemployed crewmen will be greatly increased and come to pass in the near future. Also included in this packet is a...its a not complete mailing list of the KCA's current membership, and if you just look down at, briefly that you'll see the number of unemployed people that jump out at you. Currently we have about, we're running about thirtyfive percent of our membership are unemployed. These people are documented fishermen. They've participated in fishing in Kodiak, and Alaska for the past, some of them the past five or ten years, who are without jobs. feel that they need to be compensated somehow

MR. PARKER: Okay, Meg do you....

because of this oil spill episode.

MS. HAYES: How long has your organization been in existence?

MR. NYMAN: Our organization formed, originally in the middle of June.

MS. HAYES: And these, the list of people that

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

23 25 you just showed us are people that are already members of your organization?

Yes maam., but this is not a MR. complete list, we've got approximately hundred fifty names right now, and are in the process of collecting these forms, which are at the following locations. If you are a crewmen and haven't attended our meetings, we're having another meeting, Sunday at 7pm at Tony's Bar, the bar will be closed, and set up for a meeting. we will have forms there, however if you can't make the meeting, there are forms at the General Store Chiniak, in Bells Flats, the convenience store, you can pick up forms there, also at the local watering holes, the B&B, Tony's, and I believe that's it. Those are places in town where you can go, and talk to the bar maid, or to the clerk behind the counter, and ask them for these forms, and get them filled out.

MR. PARKER: The bulk of your members that are employed, are they fishing, or are they working the spill?

MR. NYMAN: I'd say the bulk of them are, in some capacity, working the spill, or else out on charter.

MR. PARKER: Okay, anything else Meg? Okay, thanks very much. You know as I said earlier, we'll do

what we can to get the...pull together a group to get some action out of the state on this. I think it is a very similar situation to what the oil Mayors faced, when they tried to get an agreement with Exxon, on what the reimbursement was going to be to the communities. So we've been working that particular problem for three months without a great deal of success, but we will as well as the mayors problem to the limit that we can. Its an area where everybody's pressure has got to be brought in so we'll weigh in with everyone else, but I can't promise that we have any magic solutions anymore than anyone else, but we do have access to the powers that be in Juneau, so we'll make them aware of our feelings on this.

Okay, well I'll leave these forms with you, and thank you for letting me address this

MR. PARKER: Okay, next, Tricia Gartland. Come

I've prepared a statement, can I

Sure, go ahead, read it.

MS. GARTLAND: And I brought you this also.

Yeah, okay. Could you state your name again? I'm not sure I got your last name.

MS. GARTLAND: My name is Tricia Gartland.

2

Gartland, okay. MR. PARKER:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

25

22

A ten year resident of Kodiak GARTLAND: Island. On March 24, the Exxon Valdez, rammed into Bligh Reef exposing eleven million gallons of crude oil, and exposed the truth that this nation is grossly unprepared to effectively contain and recover a spill of this magnitude. The catastrophic nature of the Prince William Sound oil spill has served to reveal the shallowness and superficiality of so much of our daily lives, our preoccupation with an enslavement of means to a neglect of ends. During the first ten days of the oil spill, the people of Kodiak received many confusing messages. Alaskan's chief Oceanographer, Dr. Thomas Royer, stated that the oil spill was in a collision course with Kodiak Island. as we heard these words, we were reading in the Fisherman's Journal, that Exxon had refused the help of Marco Boat Builders in Seattle. Bill Lurch, head of Marco's pollution control division is seattle, had built twenty- four class V skimmers for the U.S. Navy. Each one capable of recovering two-hundred gallons of oil per minute. I quote Mr. Lurch, "We've offered to help, but Exxon won't let us help." We fully recognize that picking up the oil is relatively the easiest part

of the battle. The critical link, obviously, is some place to offload this prodigious recovery. This is probably the only spill in the world with thirteen empty ships standing by. Totally equipped to receive oil, even dirty oil laden with oil and debree. More critically, the Navy was not able to provide much help, since President Bush withheld Federal assistance until two weeks after the spill. Consequently, twenty-two class V skimmers stayed on stand-by for two weeks. If the oil companies and leaders of this nation continue to demonstrate this type of key stone cop response, surely our welcome on this earth is numbered. I believe denial has played a large part in Exxon's response. A denial that a spill could ever occur, a denial that there were resources available to respond to this spill. A denial that this oil spill would reach and pollute Kodiak Island. Once the oil escaped Prince Williams Sound, it was only a matter of time before Kodiak Island and the Alaskan Peninsula would serve as a convenient, giant absorbent pad for the Nations largest oil spill. On page 5 of Exxon's response plan for Kodiak Island, Otto Harrison states that, through continued cooperation with local teamwork, and resident, our mutual goal can be accomplished. Had Exxon truly listened to local residents, I believe the

impact on Kodiak Island would have been less severe. But Kodiak Island was denied this environmental respect. The Kodiak sane fleet working together with our larger vessels, could have made a serious dent in free floating oil recovery. Instead the smaller boat sat in the harbor, and the larger vessels working the Shelikoff Straits, had one containment vessel offload their recovery. I believe Kodiak Island was denied a serious free floating oil recovery plan, and had been continually neglected in its needs as an area seriously impacted by this spill. As a local resident who has watched Exxon's response since late March, the Harrison memo came as no surprise. Once again a denial that Kodiak was impacted and needed assistance past September 15. Does Mr. Harrison believe that all the oil remaining in the water, and on the beaches will disappear on September 16? Wouldn't life be grand if it did? The time has come for people of Alaska to begin to take back our power, and make it visible through public authority. Public authority, which has the oil spill catastrophe demands, rededicate itself must to democratic principles, amongst which it is now clear, the maintenance of cultural and ecological integrity must be given a position of the first rank. One of the questions that has bounced around like a hot potato has

been, "Who will except the responsibility to see that the job is done, and the job is done right?" To date, I have not seen anyone truly except this responsibility. I would like to see citizens advisory groups formed in each affected community. Allow the people affected by this spill, to have a say in monitoring the operation, and provide advice and recommendations. We are already seeing volunteer groups starting clean-up operations to fill the large gaps Exxon will leave. An example is in Morse Cove, around Port Dick. These people feel that too much oil has been left for mother nature to clean up. Hence, they will do it themselves without Exxon. God bless them, and their tremendous spirit. a winter task force is needed in Kodiak. The oil is continuing to coat our beaches, marine mammals will continue to be affected, and the oil spill is still in a dynamic state. I feel the clean-up operation is far from over. Exxon wants out, and I'm counting the days to when Exxon will pull stakes and leave. If the clean-up operation is placed on a local level, I feel all the people who care will be allowed to participate. The operations will be a lot more flexible, our community can ban together and begin to heal from this tremendous disruption. I would like to see a more direct communications begin between the State and the

people of Kodiak on an on going basis. This has been a week link throughout the entire spill. This oil spill is far from over, we all know this. If the people of this state are allowed, we can begin to maintain a cultural and ecological integrity. An integrity Exxon does not have. An integrity which has been lacking since March 24th.

MR. PARKER: You have the support of the audience. You certainly have our support in some of those points you made. Thank you for the history of what went on at Kodiak from your viewpoint. Meg?

MS. HAYES: Well, there are several questions that you raised that I want to ask you about. The thirteen empty ships standing by. Those were the tankers that were waiting to get into Valdez. And also, at the end you said you thought there should be more direct contact between the State and the people in Kodiak. Could you describe for me what kind of contact has gone on already, and what kind of problems you've established, because you haven't had closer contact.

MS. GARTLAND: I don't feel hardly any contact is made between the state and the people of Kodiak. We've had one teleconference with the Governor, and he's been here once. Certainly, in this situation, there should be an ongoing communications between the

23

23

25

support, a lot more pressure could have been put on, for example, in the free floating oil recovery, there's no reason why the Kodiak seine fleet was not out there picking up the oil. Had we had more support and pressure, quite possibly it could have happened, but it never happened. So, examples like that. The tie par, the tie par has been an on going battle since the beginning. Had more pressure been put on possibly, we could have used it more effectively.

MS. HAYES: I think that one of the great tragedies of the Exxon oil spill, has been willingness of local people, and as many people have pointed out, local fishermen who already know the waters, to not be tapped effectively when their, perhaps their usefulness was most appropriate. understand that under the new Alyeska spill plan, that that group of volunteers is more effectively...is anticipated to be more effectively used. And I also understand that there is a legislation that was passed last session that would set up, um, I don't know what you'd want to call it, maybe local disaster groups.

MS. GARTLAND: Yes, well Senator Mccowsky has a marine oil terminus, citizens environmental oversite counsel act, and that if if goes, will be a tremendous help. I believe the Cordovan's in 77 had a proposal

which if it had gone, or maybe this wouldn't have happened.

MS. HAYES: When we were in Cordova, we found that the little fax sheet that Cordova has been publishing all through the spill, has been very helpful in trying to get good information out to local residents. Was something like done here in Kodiak?

MS. GARTLAND: A local fax sheet?

MS. HAYES: Or some....

MS. GARTLAND: Well we have our meetings, Kodiak has a public...an oil spill meeting twice a week now, and that's been most helpful. Thank you.

MS. HAYES: Thanks very much.

MR. PARKER: Thank you. Okay, Robert McCarry.

MR. McCARRY: I lived and worked in Alaska for close to ten years as a commercial fisherman. I'm a little beefed with Exxon about a lot of different things, maybe claims is one of them. I want more to be addressed to the future to fish enhancement. They can pull out September 15th, maybe there'll be oil on the beaches, and we'll all clean it up, pull together. What's going to happen to the future of my fisheries, I want to see more done for the future of my fisheries.

MR. PARKER: I would hope that a lot of others would too. I don't know if anybody has had a chance

21

22

23

23

25

1

at...either in Fish and Games Fred Devasion, or any of Aquaculture Associations. Ι know we're all so we'll try to run with addressing this, that statement. Not particularly the Oil Spill Commission, whole...everybody interested but hopeful the in Alaska's fisheries will. Okay, James Bolerud.

MR. BOLERUD: Afternoon, sir. Pleasure to have you here.

MR. PARKER: Did I get your last name right?
Bolund.

MR. BOLERUD: Bolerud. A nice Norwegian name for you today. I have a couple of statements I'd like to read, and then possibly, a brief hand written spill that I made here while I was sitting in the audience, and I have a document here for you to glance over at while I read this proposal. Okay. As an Alaskan, an elected representative of a growing association here are my viewpoints, both future and present. I support the Borough's request for a bounty system clean-up operation. I would like to add some support this proposal. Exxon should be items to responsible to post performance bonds at monthly intervals, at a half a million dollars per month, or as the bounty process takes off. This would accomplish two things. Firstly, Exxon's public image would be protect-

23

23

25

Secondly, it would keep the oil bounty machine running smoothly. To add more substance to the issue, I these large mud boats reason why incinerators could not be leased with option to buy to the borough. A State-wide emergency task force team has previously proposed by Senator Frank Mccowsky must be implemented. I see no reason why these boats could not generate revenues toward funding a State-wide cleanup fleet. These boats could generate incomes year round in a number of capacities. In this way the fleet could sustain itself, when being not directly involved in a clean-up. For example, five or so years from now, should Alaska not experience another spill, these boats could provide a fund for financing a clean-up effort should one occur. Thank you.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you.

MR. BOLERUD: Do you have any comments on that?

MS. HAYES: Yes, Mr. Bolerud....

MR. BOLERUD: Here's the document.

MS. HAYES: Just looking really quickly at your...at this proposal about the oil bounty fishermen.

MR. BOLERUD: Right, that's the Borough...my support....

MS. HAYES: I don't know if anyone at the borough has...was listening yesterday when we were in

1<u>4</u>

Cordova. Commissioner Kelso said that DEC had gotten the nod from the Governor to not only support with money, but support with people in assistance, local initiatives for the oil spill clean-up. You might encourage the Borough

to approach that avenue. That might be something that would be fruitful for this particular project.

MR. PARKER: Yeah, I thought the bounty system, when the borough first proposed it, was in the best traditions in the capitalist system. Why not go ahead with it was my attitude at the time. So hopefully things are moving.

MR. BOLERUD: Well, I brought the bounty system issue to the table, personally myself, in several public forums. I directed questions to the Coast Guard, and to the borough, and to the whole panel of them there, as to the reason why this system was not implemented. I asked them questions like, was it an insurance problem, is it a liability problem, what is it that has to give here to get this is operation. They would simply shrug their shoulders and give me no answer at the time, was the response that I kept consistently getting whenever I would bring this issue to the public forum. I felt that it was kind of sideline, put on the back burner, so to speak. I'd like

21

22

23

23

25

to reiterate on that a little bit, the little spill that I have here. Exxon drug its feet since March 24. The two fold reason for this. One, twenty years from now, Exxon will say that the clean-up effort was not effective, therefore that makes it non-cost effective, so why should we have to pay for it? You guys should have to pay us back, but we can't let you keep that money that we gave you back in 1989, after all, we suffered a great loss, and little effect on the outcome. The input into to the effort has dictated the failure because the inputees wanted it to fail, period! So down the road, they could lay claim to the work of mother nature, and use it as a skapegoat. Secondly, after destruction of the fishery people, industry, ect., Exxon could easily move in and purchase large portions on Kodiak for little outlay. Additionally, the federal front, they could push for the opening of oil leases in the Shelikoff that the oil companies have wanted to lay their hands on since they moved here in

MR. PARKER: Okay, thanks again Mr. Bolerud, and, we're interested to see whether the State's statement yesterday will result in going ahead with the bounty system.

the early 70's. Get Exxon out of Kodiak now.

MR. BOLERUD: I just feel the need for speed,

so to speak in the issue. Because here we're going to drag our feet for another month until September 15. Split a wedge further into the economic structure of this town by dividing these user groups, and these personnel that utilize the fishery throughout entire copeleco here in Kodiak, not only the subsistence guy here too. Here you've driven this wedge, and the fall of the person that drove the wedge is not important. The important thing is, is to remove that wedge, put this system in action immediately, get Exxon out of here, get their boats from them, utilize their boats. Keep the effort going. Get everybody employed. I mean we've been three months negotiating, you said yourself, you've been negotiating for three months, trying to get them to set up an emergency relief status type of claim. They're just shutting the door on it, they're only going to give up a hundred fifty million dollars. I think was the figure that John Peavey used this morning. Correct me if I'm wrong, but...and this is just absolutely ludicrous, you just have a situation where you super accelerated certain groups of people, and then you've super decelerated other groups by not even allowing them to compete viably like they normally would during the course of a summer here on the island. You haven't even let them

compete, and yet, you've decelerated them. Now, you've told them that one week before, that your going to give them some monetary compensation for the people that went through the cracks. The next week, it comes down from the high command that no monetary compensation will take place for these emergency relief funds. So, I mean, here's a two part deal, and you're just going to let them keep going for another month. I say get them out of here now.

MR. PARKER: When you say get them out of here now, you mean, get who out?

MR. BOLERUD: Get Exxon completely out of here, if they want to leave their claims office, fine. As far as I'm concerned the claims situation is a joke. Its a small monetary compensation for the loss of our ability to compete, and our ability, so to speak, breath the open air, and unwind during the summer. Its a lot of mental anguish. I say just get them out of here, and meet them in court if you want to sue them for your claim. Get their clean-up operation out of here. Put it into the hands of the borough. Make Exxon post a performance bond for every month that this operation is in progress, to keep it going, and that's it.

MR. PARKER: Okay, that's an interesting alternative to offer them if they want to leave Septem-

ber 15 to put up that performance bond to cover winter operations. I'll take that one under consideration. Okay, Bryan Johnson.

MS. HAYES: For the audiences information, we just were informed that the plane to supposed to take us away today broke. I've never heard of a plane breaking in Kodiak in the sunshine, maybe it decided it wanted to stay.

MR. PARKER: It very may well be. When they say the plane is broke, I don't want to enquire too much. Especially an airplane you just got off of.

MS. HAYES: Yes.

MR. JOHNSON: My name is Bryan Johnson. I'm trying to figure out what I'm going to say here. A couple of people have already voiced some of the feelings I've had, and one of it is that in the very beginning, when we had the first oil spill meeting here. There was a tremendous amount of energy, and a tremendous amount of ideas that the community was putting together, and coming up with just out of the woodwork. There was...you could see that there was alot you could do, and then when this Exxon and everything started walking in, and usurped the control. Literally taken the town, is what it feels like. I mean, all the people in this town, when they need to do something,

they need to go ask Exxon. It feels like they almost have to be asking permission every time they come up with an idea, and it seems like there has been a lot of ideas to come up. It has been sat on, and scrunched. It just seems that the vitality, like you take the vitality of a fishing community, and you look at the structure of the organization that is already here when you take five hundred same boats, and hundred fifty, two hundred tenders, just in the salmon fishery, the canneries. The moving of parts, and equipment, and organizing, and Fish and Game, and everything. That there is a tremendous structure that is already here that is used to dealing with the weather, and dealing with the conditions, and its already here. The people, you know all the expertise is here, as far as moving people, and moving gear, and making things happen. It seems like it has just been taken away from this community. Its...you know...its the feeling is...who...are we a democracy anymore, are we run by Exxon. It has come up here a couple of times, people ask where is the State? Well, I can't exactly say, you know, name down incidence, but I've also felt, you know, where is the State. Where is this iron...when we go asking, we want to see things happen. Why can't we see this in putting Exxon, so to speak, up against the

wall, and say you will do this, you will do that. Instead of telling Exxon, it seems like Exxon telling everybody else what to do. I just feel like maybe with the Governor, or something like this, we want to see him here more. We want to see in the very beginning, people were saying shut down the pipeline. Get Exxon out of there, and just put them up against the wall, and say, take care of this. Use a little bit more weight. Throw the weight around a little. It seems like Exxon is in control of everything. It seems like everybody's even...State wise, and even with the Coast Guard, I'm wondering who is controlling this whole The Coast Guard keeps telling us Exxon isn't thing? leaving, Exxon says it is leaving. We hear all of these mixed things back and forth. I'm just wondering, who really is pulling the strings for this whole thing? It seems like a lot of little things where Exxon spending money in the community is just trice management. People make a little noise, give them a little money to do something, and get them out of town, get them out of the way. I'd really like to see them being held a little bit more, they get this thing cleaned up in the very beginning, they said. President Bush said, no one is leaving until the Sound is cleaned up. We've heard this, and it was quoted in the newspaper a while back, where they said it is going to be taken care of, and now we hear that it is not. When they leave, whether they do or not, some organization has got to step in and take it over, and they've got to submit the bill for it. They've also... they sent... you've probably seen the little pamphlets they've sent around down South to all their card holders, saying that there was no free floating oil as of May 20, something like this. Only two percent of Alaskan Coast ten percent of Prince Williams Sound which is affected. These are the things that they are telling people down South. You talk to the family or friends down South, and they say, oh, is Kodiak affected by this whole thing? And it seems to me that's its a whole...news...and P.R. thing. This is what the whole thing is all about. I just came back from a three week charter up on the north end of Ognak, we were doing some clean-up work. We got in last night, and I've seen lots of otters swimming in sheen. I've seen lots of new dead birds coming in. We were with the seine group that was drawing out of the spittoon so to speak, for three week charter here. This thing is still dynamic, it is still happening. When I hear all this demobilization and all this wind-down stuff. I'm going, there's a lot work to do guys. I feel a lot of people in this

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

town, if they were...this actual community was managing the whole thing, then a lot more would happen. Its just like...in a lot of ways their hands are tied. Just coming back to this seiner issue again, we're supposed to be out there following tide lines with dip nets. We follow all these tide lines in, and you go through the kelp beds, and you dip out the kelp beds, and you watch and you see the tideline go right up on a little bite. The size of this stage. You're not supposed to go on that beach and do anything. You sit there and that oil lays. We didn't see a clean-up crew the whole time we were up there. We saw miles, and miles and miles of area that's impacted. Not one clean-up crew, and we're told there is an adequate amount of clean-up effort here. There's thousands of miles of coastline in this area. I've been around this island many times. In the mainland, and many of the other areas. I'm being told that four hundred fifty, or five hundred people is enough. They took forty thousand people to just to start the clean-up in France. I was told by a Exxon person a while back, where we have ten thousand people working. It's still nothing close to the coast line we're dealing with here. The main thing that I feel, is that all the control has been taken away from the Sometimes I'm feeling it is taken away communities.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

23

25

from the State. We've completely lost control of our situation here. Which sometimes I feel we can deal with a lot better. When you've been in the situation like where we were, I can't go up on this beach, and pick up this oil, and I know that no one else is going to do it. I quess I'd like to see if this ever happens again, all the resources of the communities used. The communities can hire their own experts. There's experts everywhere, and everybody has a different opinion. Let the oil company, or let Exxon, whoever, let them foot the bill. Let the communities, and the state call the shots in clean up effort.

Thank you. I think that all of us MR. PARKER: who've been through this in various ways, will come away from the feeling that we don't want to ever be in this particular situation again. Especially, not just dealing with the actual oil, but dealing with a sense of loss of control, which is strong in all of the other communities. You've expressed it...today its the strongest its been in Kodiak, for me. I felt it very strongly in Valdez. Not so strongly in Cordova, Seward, or Homer, but probably the strongest other than in Valdez, is what I've heard here today. Meg?

MS. HAYES: I just was looking for my notes from yesterday, because the Oil Spill Commission has

tried, like other people, to try to get some kind of agreement, a commitment from Exxon to come back. What we heard yesterday, we were members of the audience for a change, rather than having to sit on the stage. Commissioner Kelso announced that Exxon had agreed to do what the U.S. Coast Guard required next year, after the winter. That there certain level was a of monitoring that was going to continue on. commitment to protect the key resources, hatcheries, that people have worked so hard for. There was a commitment, and I heard the Admiral with my own ears say that if Exxon didn't do it, he would do it. And he'd send them the bill. The other thing that was encouraging, to this commission anyway, because it was the first movement we had heard about that, was that Commissioner Kelso said that the Coast Guard agreed to determine what needed to be done next spring. Based on consultation, and consentient with the State. The word consentient, which I had to look up in the dictionary, to make sure I understood it, implied more agreement, or more consideration on what the State had requested or required than anything I had heard before. On any of the Commission meetings that we've held. Your point about the State loosing control of this is one thing that has troubled the Commission a great deal. It's

23

25

something that would take a great deal of legislation expense to follow through. The people in Alaska are always down on growing government. It may be necessary to have some kind of special State organization, or local organization, to deal with this kind of spill, if we are to rest from private companies. The clean-up of this kind of disaster.

To tell you the truth, if the MR. JOHNSON: State was in charge, I'd feel more in control of it. Exxon, where are they, who are they. Another thing, that's fine next year, but what about now? Places we took the small river boat, we'd go into little tiny bites, and you could see down in the water, you can see oil on the bottom, and you get more into in brackish water, you see all this stuff plastered all over the place, okay, fine, what's going to happen with this stuff when it sits all winter long. We're being told that the high energy beaches are going to pound it all out, it's going to break down, it's going to eventually end up in these small little catcher areas too. I can't...you know...as far as stopping the whole cleanup effort, the 15 of September. How many people in the seine or fishing fleet are out hunting, and November, and December, and going out fishing in January. Come they're coming up with... it's just like in the

seine fleet like they told everybody they can't go out with a rifle on the boat. That's sort of a lower fortyeight thing maybe, but a rifle is part of your survival equipment. If you end up piled up on the beach somewhere, you're going to need that. These are the kind of ways where you feel like just...everything Alaska about this, or just Kodiak is being slowly taken away. The things that have developed over common sense, the way the fishing works is over common sense. You just go out there, you look what needs to be done, you do it. You don't have a bureaucracy, or somebody with a piece of paper telling you, you can't do this, you can't do that. You just go out and you do what needs to be done. It's really hard to sit out there and see all this crap on the beach and know that you can't go out...you're not supposed to go out and deal with it. It's going to have to sit there, and go through...you know, there's been months, and months, and months of arguments already. I was out for three weeks, I came back, and heard some of the meeting on the radio today, and I says, the same questions are being pushed. Nothing has happened. Little concessions have been given. Somebody told me this is like a battle, it's like a war, you're dealing with something. Something like that, you use every available resource, and we're

not using it. As a lot of people feel like they don't really want to get this stuff cleaned up. This is just a little show for the public. Sometimes I feel that way when you don't use every resource. It's hard to sit there and see all this oil on the beach, and know that no one is going to go out there and pick that up. You see this stuff getting baked on, you can see it running Getting into things, you can see that right around town. Next year when they come out, a lot of this stuff is going to be buried even further, they're going to say, just like somebody said earlier. Oh, look what mother nature did. Also, one thing that noticed, when you sit there on the anchor, and after a few good days of rain, you can see, when you go in close to the source, sheen coming off the beach. As a fisherman, I don't want to be sitting here doing this next year. There isn't time...cut this crap about wait until next year to do stuff. It's now, and I've been saying this all summer long. Everybody out here has been saying this all summer long. We're still having to say it, and we're not seeing it. The time for, like we said, since March and May, the time for talking is over, we need to move. There's a lot we can do. Being told you can't do this, you can't do that, there is a lot we can do. The prime for everything is to clean up

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

23 25 the mess out there.

MR. PARKER: As I conveyed to Exxon on our last meeting with them, and have conveyed to the Governor, the worst possible scenario is that Exxon does leave September 15, which I believe is still the plan. The demobilization has started. Does leave only a minimal presence here. Come next spring, if, in fact, nature has not taken care of the oil, either by weathering, evaporation, or burying, and its zero tolerance is still in effect, and substantial fisheries, fishing districts are still shut down, you know, it's going to be a very bad political scene for all concerned. I've urged Exxon to take that into consideration in viewing their own considerable political future and stakes in this State. As a seventeen percent owner of Prudhoe, and other valuable oil properties in this State, it hehoove would ooz them to listen to their own P.R. and be a good corporate citizen. We will have a teleconference with all the communities on September 17, 7:30pm, which is being broadcast by most of the public radio stations in that area... August 17, did I say, sorry, August 17, of which I think probably we will focus on beach cleanup again. And I'll start it of with a brief report on how I understand the status of beach clean-up at that time, and then turn it over to the communities to re-

23

25

1

2

3

port in on what their views are at that time. I think we'll follow up with another one, I think we'll have them at two weeks intervals between them, and September 15, just to keep the pressure on so that at least that if Exxon does leave, it won't be too easy for them.

One more question, if I run into MR. JOHNSON: people who are sitting out, and they are tending log booms, they're doing work, and they're all up in the air when they'll be done, and they're all the same feeling, there's lots of work yet to be done. Is there something, or where would the state be, Exxon just pulls out, and goes, they'll leave a void. There's a momentum here, and stuff that's already lot of happening. Could there be something set up, run by the State, or whoever, or local governing bodies, paid for of course, by Exxon, just to fill in that, and keep things moving, instead of just stopping. There's a big, you know, taking all this stuff apart and getting all this equipment off of boats is going to take a lot of time and a lot of energy. When that energy could be spent in continuing on and doing what needs to be done. We need like somebody, you know, if we throw them out, or whatever, or they leave, something that takes over from a more local level, State or local communities, and manage that, and keep it going, there's stuff you

can do all winter long.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

23

25

I'll take that up with Admiral MR. PARKER: State on-scene and Steve Provant, the coordinator, both the first of next week, and the...get answers to you at the September, their October...I'm getting...we'll get our months in shape, the August 17 teleconference, the thing, is I asked Exxon and the Coast Guard to give me their estimates of what demobilization would cost, and what it would cost to remobilize in the spring. Neither of them would particular question, that but have answer asked...they have both replied to, in part, to twelve questions we asked them on beach clean-up. D.C. doesn't have their answers in, hopefully they'll have them in in time for next weeks teleconference, so we can also report on what the differing views of the world are from the three major organizations. We asked EPA to come to our beach clean-up party, and they refused to come, that they had nothing to contribute. But I've written them another letter, asking them to please reconsider, that they do have something to contribute, because their administrator, Mr. Riley showed up in Williams Sound Prince last week, saying that bioremediation is the answer. He was sure that the job, and since they have bioremediation will to

25

that strong feeling, why, I think they something to contribute to this discussion. We sent them the twelve questions again, and to answer. On bioremediation, I am not particularly convinced. One of the congressmen from Louisiana pointed out that there was an effort made to do some testing on bioremediation ten years ago, which official wildlife service the wouldn't allow. Ι remember bioremediation from the Santa Barbara spill, in 1969, when there was a young lady from USC faculty, who was pushing it as the answer at that time. So I hope to pull together a history of what bioremediation is all about, because it has been around for the last twenty years, and is not something particularly new, and I've heard what EPA, and Exxon have had to say about it, and we'll check up on that. It seems strange that we do have to go all the way to France for the fertilizer, but, its been a strange summer so far, so that is just another strange fact in a strange summer.

MR. JOHNSON: What, on this stuff, we keep hearing about stuff that they've tried, and maybe in the Gulf Coast of Santa Barbara is a lot different conditions than here. How's this stuff going to work with much colder weather?

MR. PARKER: To be fair to them, they said they did a test plot down in Prince William Sound, and it

came out okay, so we'll check up on that too. What I don't want, is...I guess where my resentment comes is all of a sudden, bioremediation is sprung out of the blue as the answer, just about the time it is convenient for Exxon to have a reason for leaving September 15, and that's where my uneasiness comes from in this whole situation.

MR. JOHNSON: Everything they do in the Sound ends up on our beach, so. It is a concern here too.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you. Next, Dolly Rift. Yeah. Hello Dolly.

MS. DOLLY: Hi.

MR. PARKER: Things better since the last time we talked?

MS. DOLLY: I've got a few more grey hairs. More wrinkles under the eyes. I stayed up until 4 o'clock last night, because I realized that this was an opportunity to try to put into words that...of all the stress and everything that we've been up against, and at that time, at 4 o'clock in the morning, beat and tired and everything. I realize that there aren't any words. Its hoping that there is that there is the understanding, and I've met with you before, and I have faith that you've probably read through a lot of the things that I've said. It's kind of lengthy, and maybe

it's my urgency that the impact of what we're going through so well understood. That we have all passed the problems, and provide some answers. Because we've been so saturated with the oil, that we understand the problem so well, that we don't have the energy to find any solutions, and we need help. I understand that that's what your role is. Is to accumulate all this information, and provide something that will work for us.

MS. HAYES: That's what we're going to try to do.

MS. DOLLY: Well, here goes.

MR. PARKER: Okay.

MS. DOLLY: "I wish at times, that this hold I had to our environment would not let me go. Sometimes, like right now, the burden seems too heavy. The birds, the whales, the otters, the fish, the deer, on and on. It's given so freely, so unselfishly, to provide us with the richness. Sharing without taking. Now mother nature gasps for breath, and gradually looses its embrace to her children. She has grown to be a victim to this oil. She bleeds uncontrollably, and we carry the carcasses, wipe the rocks, and scoop what we can out of the oil. I've listened to mother nature's warnings, due to this creeping death. She's tried to

warn us, as she expresses the concerns of the carcasses of the birds, the otters, the whales, ect. Silently we listen, silently we cry, our anger has no place to go. We are living within the window of opportunity of Exxon. Mother nature lives within the same window. She has no lawyers, no money, only evidence of death and destruction. She has hidden nothing, and requested little. Exxon pulled the shades on its windows, deposed enough sunlight to keep us surviving. We run to the light, and find shadows. Continually living within the shadows of the valley of this death. Mother nature hides nothing. Her never ending trust to us to take care of her. Bleeding, but still exposing her sunlight for us. I look to my children's eyes for strength. Continually searching for answers. Afraid of the truth, but afraid more for our future. I can't run, there's no place to run. No place to hide. I can't sleep in this silence. I'm consumed by this oil. Not by choice. I don't choose this walk, I have no choice. I was born of this land, these waters. I've ingested the food, the wisdom, the peace of mind that this environment has I'm infested given. as mother nature suffocating, and gasping for breath. Putting a price to our environment is comparable to putting a price on human lives. There is no price. The silence reflects

25

the morning of mother nature. The carcasses reflect man's addictive insistence to succeed, to broaden the window of opportunity. We're tasting our desire to have it all, and in our desire, are loosing it all. Mother nature keeps trying to teach us, and we keep running to the window of opportunity. I realize now the silence, not only of mother nature, but of our people. Who dares to look into the window of our environment? Who dares to see what she has to show, and listen to her cries? Who dares to protect her, and bury her dead? I've listened. I've dared to see, dared to look. Here's the reflection of what I've witnessed. The birds don't sing anymore. The whales don't dance on the ocean. Baby sea otters, looking for their mothers. Seagulls, having their last meal on an oily shore, with many others to follow. The morning breeze brings the smell death to our nostrils. The night time brings still more silence. Even our tears have grown silent. We die with this silent day. Look for a bird, a whale, a bear, a deer, anything to signify life. Capture the moment while you can, tomorrow may bring more silence. What words can you express our losses, and our fears? We have been beaten, demoralized, and subdued to beggars, asking for a parcel of bread to feed her children. Join us at her table of mourning. Eat of the food and celebrate this

day. My stomach aches with each bite I take of this food. I continually write, trying to find the words to explain. Feeble attempts made to reflect this day. tremble as I stand before this window opportunity with the shade pulled down. My heart beats fast each day as it approaches Exxon's departure. Where they leave us to bury our dead, to feed our children. We're having to justify our existence in addition to defending our environment. Demands made by exposing sensitivity to the environment provided, not only for the people in the villages, but Exxon as well. We have enjoyed the plentiful oceans, skies, and wildlife, and had to turn our back while she suffers. I'm sad, not so much for our loss, but for Exxon's abilities to see our own umbilical cord to the environment. Who will replace the loss to our oceans, our skies, and our land? If Exxon can't understand the lives that depend on this environment, then can they understand the fact that these carcasses have been aborted by the oil. Will Exxon be allowed to continue to turn their backs, and pretend not to see? Who here represents the native people? The people of Kodiak. Where is their voice? Why are they kept silent, and apart from these meetings? Are we so dispensable as the whales, the birds, the fish, the deer, sea otter, and

many others who await their incineration? Who will consider our fate? Who dares to protect the victims of this oil? Will we be kept in our separate corners for ever? We have been tossed to the winds and forgotten. Do we fear in silence forever? Have you not realized by now, the fate of our people as the earth crumbles beneath our feet? I pray to my ancestors that have gone before me, and look to God to find the words demanded by you to define our losses. Realizing that these are yours too. We're at the mercy of you who refuse to accept the destruction and imbalance caused by this oil. It will take great strength to recognize the reflection in the mirror. Look in the mirror, and dig deep within yourself. Don't create an image that isn't there. Act on what you see. The environment is a reflection of who we are. We can't ignore reflection we see. We have to life with it, today, tomorrow, forever. Α have time in and sense we Additional whales memorial. have been reported Tugidak, bringing the present count of dead whales up to twenty-five. These are recent deaths that have occurred, due to the gag order, we are unable to discuss this issue. Yet, realize that these deaths are indicative of cantimanants in the oil washing up on our shores. We have continued to count birds,

otters, deer, fox, and numerous other carcasses in lieu of the fact that we've been informed that the oil is now weathered, and less toxic. The dead count on Kodiak is three to four times that of Prince Williams Sound area, yet we are continuously assured that the oil continues to weather. No one is able to determine without a doubt, that the oil non-toxic or hazardous. Due to the fact the no one claims to have the expertise to do so. We've had to rely on our own expertise of understanding on what is normal, and what is not normal within this environment. As the environment deteriorates, we so to deteriorate. We realize that given time, and due to the dependence on these waters, we too may be victimized by the ingestion of this oil. Never has there been an oil spill of this magnitude within these currents, and waters. Never have the experts had to deal with people who subsist so heavily on the very waters who bleed this oil. No history has been recorded on the effects to human beings concerning regular consumption of food from the environment once contaminated by oil of this nature. We therefore are quinea pigs within a giant experiment, where facts are made to fit the hypothesis made. In our frustration of our loss, we fight an invisible enemy, and suffocate in the air polluted with politics. We walked the quite

beaches, and pray for the few birds that have been able to escape death another day. We look to the enormous whales now rotting away against the shores, getting beat up by the waves, as we are beat by the unknowns. From time in memorial, the Aleuts, native people of this island have made their livelihood from the water and land. Fishing and hunting, and commercially processing sea foods, and land foods for personal use, and for barter, are rooted deeply within their culture. They are experts in catching and processing salmon and other sea foods. The traditional art of respect for mother nature, animals and fish, and especially the elder of the people. Who are in the highest regard is the mainstay of the culture. Sharing of nature's bounty with the less fortunate and needy, is an integral part of the culture. These values are tied to their fishing and hunting practices. The removal of a peoples way of making a living, and completely undermining a major cornerstone of their culture, is a highest price, short of life, that a people can be asked to pay. This situation represents culture, general side, or ethnical Exxon, side. The difficulty is to make counterparts of the people's deteriorating aware situation, so that a just, and satisfactory resolution will be affected once they are adequately informed. The

1

2

3

5

right to subsistence is secured under article one, sub part two, of the international covenant on civil unrights, which states in no case may a people beat the pride of its own means of subsistence.

As well as article twenty three of the universal declaration of human rights. A responsibility is given to protect these rights reflected since time in memorial. It's past time that the people outside of Alaska heard the cries of the Alaskan people who are living within the reality of this Exxon-Valdez oil spill. As many of you are aware, the oil spill dropped 24, our foods basket, March 1989, inflicted destruction, not only on our environment but on many human lives. I am one of many human beings from this Kodiak who have suffered demoralization, physical exhaustion due to the oil spill clean up efforts witnessed. I'm a native Alaskan from this Kodiak, who have spent all my life island of understanding this environment, and using the knowledge handed down by man's ancestors for hundreds of years to live and care for these lands and oceans. I'm not rich by monetary means, but I have become rich in knowledge from the surroundings from which I depend on. My three children look to me for my ability to subsist off these waters, and learn from these lessons that mother nature

has taught us. We love this land. It has brought us tools to survive, and prepare for future generations. Now those tools have been broken, and we ask ourselves, how are we to prepare for a future for our children, and a strong foundation for our people. Subsistence has been a reflection of who we are as a native people. As people who subsist off these lands and waters. Many of our lands have been taken away, or destroyed, which has left us to find sanctuary in our subsistence lifestyle. The environment is our bible from which we refer to, and memorial to our ancestors. Many graveyards, artifacts, and archaeological sites stand by these waters, and echo the cries of our ancestors who are witnessing the damage continue, as the oil keeps coming on our front yard. My people have been fishing for oil instead of fish. We're not fishermen. We have been picking up carcasses, which are in the thousands, and put them in a place referred to as the morque, or oil men. There is no burial for these victims, the only burial rests in the empty bellies of our children and our elders. Our archaeological sites have been exposed, and many of the artifacts taken from the sanctuary, the resting places are no longer sacred, no longer quiet. They have been opened up, and are bleeding. Our past has been exposed. Our present uprooted, and our future

lies at the mercy of Exxon, who promised to make us whole. Grown men have cried, as children tried to shoulder the pain of their losses. The elders wipe rocks, and pick up the carcasses, trying to restore their waters and lands so their great grand children can enjoy the freedoms of their culture as they did. We hold back our tears in fear our children will see, and silently walk in the night, as we grow faint from exhaustion. Our tears can be reflected in our eyes. Deep within our souls we cry. Our land and waters have been broken, and now our spirits have become broken, our voices tremble, as we speak to the authorities who promise to make us whole. I look to my grandfather, my grandmother, who are buried on the hill of village of old Karlak. I look to my ancestors who are buried in this graveyard. I look for the strength to endure this catastrophe. I pray for eyes to se, ears to listen, and hearts to grasp for the real story of Kodiak Island. The people have become the victims, and hold the truth in their eyes. Exxon is going to abandon us, we are having to be our strongest at our very weakest moment. We are continued to pick up the carcasses, and wipe the rocks with rags. Our children's bellies will hungry this winter. Our elders will scavenge for driftwood that has not been taken from our beaches to

warm themselves from the cold. They won't profit from the tons of driftwood that will be taken outside by Exxon to be made into presto logs. Who will remember us when Exxon goes home? Where have all the birds gone? The kelp beds are empty. The whales wash upon the shores of our villages. The deer and many other species that wait to meet their destiny. Who will replace our losses? Who will feed our children? We have survived for our children, and have nothing to feed them. Our voices are kept from the ears of the ones who need to hear. We have been made Exxon employees, and live on the promise that they made to make us whole. We are fishermen, fathers, mothers, elders, children, men and fighting for women who our lives. We are to death in this oil that enters suffocating waters. Exxon and its counter parts have caused us to be divided, and invaded our communities with our oil. Their politics and promises. We want to live again. We want to fish. We want to feed our children. We want our environment restored, in order that we may survive, and begin to recooperate from this catastrophe. I speak from the eyes that I've seen that have witnessed the destruction that continues to take place. I write with the tears of the people who are wiping the rocks with rags. I write for the future of my own children who

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

23

25

look to me for a place to hide from this oil. I speak the truth for your ears to listen. I have no more to loose but your willingness to hear our real story of Kodiak Island.

MR. PARKER: Thank you, Dolly, that was a great job. Really fine. Meg.

MS. DOLLY: I'd like to mention one thing. I realize that there has been some concern of why the villages are quiet. I want to emphasize that that quiet means something. We are very concerned about the subsistence, and our livelihoods. At the present time, our only means of survival is to hang on to the jobs that we have, and watch out for each other. Their silence doesn't mean that they don't care, they do. It's a big responsibility to try to encompass all the fear that we are dealing with, and to hold that much fear inside, and not be able to talk about it, is unbearable. When you travel out, and you look at these people, realize that even though they don't speak, they are concerned, and they care, and they're very fearful, and they're depending on you to understand our losses, and what kind help we need.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you. Michael Savin.

MS. SAVIN: It's really Michelle.

MR. PARKER: Michelle, Okay.

21

22

23

23

25

MS. SAVIN: I am a resident, and a business owner. I have been fearful for this town, my business, and the way of life of this islands residence. I feel the oil has ruined the economy, and the lives of the people of Kodiak. I feel that Exxon, the Coast Guard, and the State could have been more responsive. I thank the borough, Mayor, the Seiners Group, the Tie Par workers, the clean up crews of both land and sea, and the Kodiak Island area native and coastal coalition. Among many others, who have helped by their selfless devotion in trying to get the oil off the land and out of the water. I have been misled, lied to, ignored, and given the run around by Exxon over my environmental and human concerns. I feel that tie par works, let's use it. The bioremediation, bacteria fertilizer, or whatever you want to call it. It scares the hell out of me. We must continue trying, as it will be we, the people of Kodiak, who will remain with the oil on our doorsteps. We must stick together, and help each other

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you. I'm uh...others are concerned about bioremediation. Meg.

to get the oil picked up and to continue surviving.

MS. HAYES: Thank you very much.

MR. PARKER: I have, uh, some people indicated they want a copy of the transcript, or tape. Copy of

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

23

25

the tapes are available from our recorder back there, Jim Psyches, I believe they are still eight dollars a copy. Is that right Jim? Seven, okay, seven dollars a copy. So anyone who wants tapes, simply contact him. I have several maybe's, probably's, not sure's, so. I will ask if anyone else now wishes to testify? Anyone else? Yes.

MS. BURNS: Hi.

MR. PARKER: I'm glad you decided to.

MS. BURNS: Well, I was not sure. My name is Lacey Burns, and we have a salmon perseine operation in Kodiak. I'd like to read a letter that I wrote to the editor of our paper here in town, and also to several other newspapers and publications. We'd like concerning a enlighten Exxon, few of the problems this community has experienced over the past few months. Due to the double enemy as we now see it. The oil spill, and Exxon's irresponsible management, and lack of foresight. We have a salmon perseine, and herring gill net operation here in Kodiak. Normally, by this time of year, we're feeling relatively whole. Spiritually, physically, emotionally, socially, and economically. Contrary to John Herrington's now laughable promise of Exxon will make you whole. You presently have on your hands several hundred boat owners, permit holders, hundreds of crew members, throngs of villagers, and community members who are feeling the pinch, and who are not addressed fairly in your claims process, who feel emotionally fried. Who have had their lifestyle, hopes and dreams disrupted this summer, and for probably years to come. Have lost hope, and being able to work honestly this year to get off Exxon's claims dole. Whether Exxon intentionally, or unintentionally created this bogus operation is not the issue. The reality is you did. Exxon's oil spill nearly five months ago, has cost us dearly. It took away our opportunity to make a living, and Exxon's management of this crisis in Kodiak has created serious discreptancies's by giving some, non-residents included, the opportunity to work on top of a claim. And others, the only other option, to sit through a bleak summer. Even as the oil continued to pour down Shelikoff, beaches, the to bombard our ultimately, take our fishery from us. In retrospect, what you have done, is to doubly deny us the chance for employment. Your windows of opportunity did not include room for impacted Kodiak residents. Especially the salmon and herring fleet. An equal opportunity for clean up work was not even offered. Even though the beaches and waters are as seriously oiled in May, if

8

5

10 9

12

13

11

1.4 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2323

25

not worse. You did not take care of, and make whole the impacted fishermen as you did in Prince Williams Sound. Where every vessel was put on charter, where they even had a salmon fishery.

obvious the Kodiak salmon is season devastated by your spill, and you could see the necessity to only put twenty seine boats in mid July, as our openings were going down the tubes. These twenty boats volunteered to democratically rotate so that others could earn a living, and do something to take care of the problem. That seems like a real simple idea, but Exxon still doesn't get it. Your system of irresponsible management has penalized the fishermen who wanted to fish from April on, and keep their lifestyle disrupted, as most herring and salmon boats tried to do. Trying to get on a charter was a full-time frustrating job, and also took you out of the fishery. There were no lists, in fact, some boats backwards in position for being hired. Your system gave lucrative charters to some vessels in April and May, and on who had nothing to loose from the closing and cancellation of the herring and salmon seasons. You lack the foresight to see that Kodiak circumstances justified a massive clean up effort, just like Prince Williams Sound's. Since April 13, we've been trying to

adjust to the effects of your spill through the mounting pressure and stress. Herring fishing areas were shut down. Prices for herring plumited, we lost crew, in May to VECO. Test boats were sent out in May, before the Halibut opening, to see if we would even have an herring fleet continued fish in opening. The to unnatural circumstances in the face of many growing problems right along side chartered vessels of the same size, who had no qualms relating about the Exxon gravy train. In May, John Herrington continued to deny that our beaches were oiled, or that it would cause problems for the salmon fishery. We continued to herring fish. By the time the halibut announcement rolled around, the entire mainland, some west side, and north end bays were close. Not from harvest, but from the effects of your spill. By June, we're under a tremendous strain. A few of the chartered vessels who didn't have herring permits, and didn't halibut fish, came off charters to go salmon fishing with a good Exxon season in their pockets, which would equal any good salmon year. By June, we were to be ready for the regular salmon openings, and were put on a one day notice, until the twenty third of June. We had no choice, but to stay on notice. We could not seek other employment. Exxon and VECO had a file of hundreds of boats ready and willing

to clean up Exxon's mess. Yet, Exxon continued to push for a normal harvest under their rules. With dirty fish tenders, and John Herrington continued to invalidate the seriousness of the oil. Seiners were told that there were no openings for work of boats of their size. By June, large vessels, and set-netters have negotiated clean up work with Exxon, and yet Exxon still refused to take a serious look at several hundred seiners that are ideally suited to travel and clean up their own Finally, when the larger vessels waters. whining about their contracts, the seiners could no longer stand it, and unified, and ingeniously showed Exxon how to clean up their own oil. Exxon responded to hire twenty more vessels out of an idle fleet to show us how serious they were about cleaning up our beaches. So, by August 6, it was obvious to all of us how poorly you've managed this crisis as the culprits of this tragedy. And have compounded a disaster in March, into a living nightmare in August for our community and island. Your claims process tries to fit a variety of people into your niche, and doesn't address the myriad which beset people, especially circumstances fishermen who are inherently diverse, and have changing seasons. Your hiring process has guaranteed some a double season and a chance to upgrade substantially in

21

22

23

23

25

the same fishery, yet sets others back in the ages economically. Instead of magnanimously trying to help the communities and individuals involved, you filled our lives with turmoil and uncertainty, disrupting us emotionally, socially, and economically. Your policies have been successful in that respect. In all tragedies, the true human spirit emerges in the form of courage, dignity, honesty, pride, and in our case, the love for our island and its wildlife. That you can't take from us. We will be a stronger community, even after you've pulled out, leaving us holding the bag. Because you've taught us how to unify, and showed us our strengths and weaknesses, which included the danger of complacency. We need to look for solutions by seriously perusing other alternative energy sources, and by conserving fuel. We'll have to make difficult choices to undue our dependency on oil, and we won't forget the nations worst oil spill to date. And, that's Lacey Burns, and Chris Burns.

MR. PARKER: Thank you Lacey. The dangers of complacency are something else that we are going to have to work on hard. Yet, it's easy to say that you should be complacent, but you can't spend your life prodding your government to do the right thing. To watch over multi-national, to make sure they do the

right thing, which is what the government is supposed to do: Hopefully, we'll find some middle road here, where citizens don't have to spend all their time on keeping the government up to snuff, but to me, it's the hardest answer we're going to have to come up with. How do you keep up from sliding into complacency, as we did before. We came up to a peak, kind of at the opening of the Valdez terminal, where things were working pretty way, and then everything just dribbled away for twelve years. We're going to be giving that a lot of thought. Be listening closely to anybody who has any ideas on how do you avoid complacency the next time around. So there is no next time around. Anyone else? Okay, we have, I believe, a young lady coming down here, then I believe the gentleman in the middle there. Hi.

MS. AVITABILA: Hi. The job I do I see a lot of....

MR. PARKER: What's your name?

MS. AVITABILA: Lucia, Lucia Avitabila.

MR. PARKER: Okay, how do you spell that?

MS. AVITABILA: A-V-I-T-A-B-I-L-A.

MR. PARKER: Okay, thank you.

MS. AVITABILA: Okay, the job I do I see a lot of oil spills. I see a lot of chemical spills. I see a lot of pollution. I live in New York right now, and I'm

just going to tell you what's happened in Kodiak now, isn't going to end with this. It's going to keep happening, and maybe what I'm talking about is really vague, because I'm not addressing the specific issue that all you people are addressing. This is not the last oil spill that is going to happen up here in Alaska. And the reason is not because of Exxon, it's not because of the Coast Guard, it's not because of DEC, it's because we're blaming everyone else for something that we all are apart of. I mean, how many of us haven't bought Exxon gas? Really, I want to see, has anyone here never bought Exxon gas.

MR. PARKER: Me.

MS. AVITABILA: Except for him. I mean, it's something we're all going to have to deal with in the future. I know I sound like a radical, but I'll tell you I'm being a realist. Two hundred years ago, New York Harbor didn't look anything like it looks right now. It's a crying shame. When I got up here to Kodiak, I had to come seventy five decibals down to talk to the taxi driver, in a civil tongue. New York, it's a beautiful place, as far as the people, believe it or not. Environmentally, it's a mess. So is Newark, and so are the areas around it. Pennsylvania is getting that way, hazardous material dumping. This is not the last

oil spill you're going to see, and as this area grows, you're going to start seeing more and more problems arise. I know ya'll have the problems to deal with now, as far as people getting paid, people getting work, because you people have children and everything like that, and someday I want to have children, but this is not the last time this is going to happen. We all can't keep looking for somebody else to make the decisions to do this, and to do that. To find a better energy source, to find a better way to...we all have to start with ourselves, I'm being honest, because, if we don't, in seventy five years, the lower forty eight is going to be a mess, and it's going to start coming up here. I don't have to tell you about the ozone depletion, all that kind of stuff that's going on, but it's really happening. Someday I'd like to have kids. If you throw trash on the ground, if you drive your car where you could walk maybe half a mile, or ten feet. If you do things like that, if you, if you work on a boat...I lived on a boat for two years, I know what goes on on a boat. People here and there, oil goes over, this goes over, oops, oops, you know. It's we have...we can't keep blaming everybody else. In this instance, the blame is getting put on a lot of people, but eventually it has got to come back to ourselves. Our government is

23

23

25

run by ourselves. If we just sit back and they're going to take care of it, they're going to do something about...we are them. We are the people who are going to make a difference. Individually, we each have to become our own private environmentalists. If we don't, I don't think it's so much that we're history, but I think our lives are going to be radically altered, and the lives of our children, they're not going to have places like Kodiak, they're not going to have anything like this. Because it's all getting out of control, because people are blaming everyone else. I think it's time we take a look at ourselves as far as what we're doing... I mean, I do the same thing, I mean, I'm a hipocrite, you know. I'll take my car to work instead of taking a subway, but you know, it's not the last oil spill that's going to happen in Kodiak, and complacency, as far as the government, only runs as far complacency as ourselves. Like this man was as the saying about complacency. I just wanted to say that.

MR. PARKER: Thank you Lucia. You're right, everyone has to be a private environmentalist for it to work. Meg, did you have anything you wanted to ask the young lady?

MS. HAYES: I'll do it after the meeting.

MR. PARKER: I'll do it after the meeting. Sir.

22

23

23

25

By the way, I know some people who will only give us about twenty five years, so your seventy five was probably unenthusiastic.

MR. SHORT: My name is Darryl Short. I've been a commercial fisherman in Kodiak for twenty years. This summer I've been on charter for Exxon most of the summer, so I feel like I'm unqualified to say a few things about what went wrong. A lot of the things I've got written down here have been covered, so I won't repeat a lot of the stuff. It's just a couple of points I think are important. One is psychological impact of this I don't think has been adequately addressed from the beginning. In case this should happen again, or any emergency of this sort. I think it's imperative that funds be established right away to take care of the people that are involved, and to fun all services that are involved with the psychology of the people. Exxon has been confronted with this, they've refused to put any money out last I heard to fund any of this. But it's pretty obvious from the increase in suicides, and increase in domestic violence this summer, that this community has been very strongly affected by the events that are going on here. I want to stress again, that there should be more local control over what happens in an oil spill that,

2

3

5

obvious that a lot of the screw ups that have happened this summer is because of a lot of local knowledge, and people that have come from outside areas. Exxon seems to have made a concerted effort to hire a lot of people that have worked for them in the past from Louisiana, and New Orleans, and other areas. This has been just another one of their failures. I don't want to condemn Exxon anymore, they're already condemned. They've been a failure, and that's a fact as far as I'm concerned. I just hope we can learn from this, and if this should again do the right thing. One other happen suggestion that I have is that the control thing is very important. Like Brian said, the control has been taken out of our hand, all the way around. Exxon has usurped all the control from everybody apparently. There hasn't been any strong influence from the State, or the federal government to take this control from them. They've made a really good effort at producing a lot of good PR for themselves, but not at cleaning up any oil. I would suggest that in case of another oil spill, there be a definite control placed in the hands of possibly a triumpherate, that's three people, one from the Coast Guard, a knowledgeable local person, and a State representative. That would have the absolute control over the clean up. One of the biggest problem

23

23

25

of the clean up, is that there hasn't been anyone to answer questions, and nobody that could make the big decisions that needed to be made. That's about all I have.

Your idea of a triumpherate, is an MR. PARKER: interesting one. Certainly one we've used in a lot of similar situations. We'll certainly take that into account. The point you brought up on the psychological impact. One of the things, from my perception, the State did right when director of emergency services, Herb Martin, it is per survey on Cordova, he picked up that there were severe problems there, so he went and hired a psychologist who specializes in treating entire communities that are in an excited state of trauma. People at Cordova were very thankful for this man spent several days with them, and gave them a assistance, and getting over a very bad period, and they...he was a tremendous help to them in that. outcome of that was that the director of emergency services got in trouble with the legislature for not hiring somebody from the university of Alaska. The didn't University of Alaska have anybody that specialized in providing that emergency psychological services. There was very few people in the world who do this. Because he was director of emergency services, he

22

23

23

25

knew where they were. That's the probably the reason, because he got in trouble for doing the right thing, is probably the reason why the other communities didn't have the services of the same gentleman. I just bring that up as one of the little side notes that...this sort of thing that we pick up in our hearings.

MS. HAYES: I'd go along with Walt on that one. In fact, One of the differences that's real obvious from the type of emergency this fellow had dealt with before, was that they were a clear point and time. An earthquake, it happens, and when you know in a short period of time what the damages. The destruction of the wreck of the challenger was an emergency, disaster that happened. But you knew within a short period of time what the damage was. It seems to me that what happened here wasn't that kind of disaster. It's the kind of disaster that the real damage might not have been done yet. It may not be evident for years. How people react to that, how they respond to it is probably different than the kind of point and time kind of disaster. It also seems to me that the Alaska Legislature is nervous about dealing with psychological problems, and in fact has had some fears that our commission would go out and hire some of psychologists. We need to be sort of cognizant, and

20

21

22

23

23

25

aware of those kind of human needs that come up, and we certainly would like to address that in our recommendations for future events of this nature. To be sure to have somebody on the spot when you can.

I think one of the tragedies that MR. SHORT: happened from this oil spill, the oil spill is a big enough tragedy by itself, but Exxon, the way they've handled it, has compounded the tragedy, and has caused just as much damage from the way they've handled it in the local communities. I'm not talking about environmental damage, of but psychological course, damage.

MS. HAYES: Yeah, I agree with you. I was in Fairbanks when the pipeline was being constructed, and at that time our community, had a group of people that they hired as socio-economic scientists. To chart the progress of the community. What happened to the people that lived there. I'm only regretful that somebody hadn't done something similar for this one. We could learn so much from them what happened, if somebody had done that.

MR. SHORT: It seems like it's been pretty well ignored from the things I've heard.

MS. HAYES: They spent a lot of money on Fish and Game.

MR. SHORT: Yeah.

2

MR. PARKER: Thank you, Darryl.

3

MR. SHORT: Thank you.

4

MR. PARKER: Anyone else? A young lady back

5

there. Hello.

6

7

7

8

_

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

23

25

MS. CARLSON: My name is Julianna Carlson. I'm a Kodiak resident. Kodiak was a beautiful island, with clean air and water. With an abundance of birds, rare and unusual, and Alaskan animals. Kodiak was one of the two most economically viable communities in Alaska, because of our fisheries. The oil spill tragedy came to this great land, the last frontier, in the early spring of 1989. Exxon is, and has been here for a while, whatever their motives, this spill hasn't been cleaned up. Very little has been cleaned. Exxon is going now, September 15, or before, and hopefully now, we the people of Kodiak, and Alaska can determine our own destinies. The clean up effort needs to be determined by the people, not by outside groups, and not the guilty party. The who, what, when, and how, needs to be determined by the people through citizen advisory groups, and their representatives. The cost and reimbursment paid by the responsible parties. We need to have the finances and means now to dispose of the

waste after Exxon leaves. We, the people of Kodiak, and

23

22

23 25 used in the clean up. Bioremediation studies indicate that it is dangerous itself, and it doesn't detoxify the heavier aeromatic hydrocarbons that dangerous. Also the chemical Chorexit, I'm not sure of my pronunciation, that is toxic itself, is being tested now by Exxon. We need to carefully monitor and study any of these new chemicals before we ever put them into our waters, and possibly destroy more life. We, the people of Kodiak need to decide, in a democratic way who, what, how, and how many boats are chosen or peoples to work on the clean up of the oil spill. As the seine boats were chosen by the people of Kodiak, and not the way the larger boats were chosen. We, the Kodiak, people of Alaska, and can determine destinies and clean up the oil ourselves. We need to look for other energy sources, and all of us need to be environmentally conscious, and we need to be well informed, and involved in the world. I think we are our brothers keepers.

Alaska need to be involved in determining the methods

MR. PARKER: Thank you very much. It was uh...Meg?

MS. HAYES: Thank you very much.

MR. PARKER: Anyone else? I'd like to thank the people of Kodiak for coming and sharing their thoughts,

and very deep, obviously very deep feelings with us. I most sincerely hope we can come through for you, and in our recommendations chart out a better future. We'll certainly give it all we've got. Meg?

MS. HAYES: Well, I agree with Walt. I'd also like to remind the people in the audience that the Oil Spill Commission will pledge to do our best to come up with the recommendations that make sense. Not only to prevent future disasters, but also to have a better way of responding to them. I want to remind you that our term is up February 15. In the long term, it's not the Oil Spill Commission that is going to make any changes. It's going to be the people that continue to demand that the Legislature, both on the national, and the federal level, do something to change the systems that resulted in a spill like the Exxon-Valdez. We'll try to do our best, if you'll try to remember to complacency. To do your best in bringing those messages home to people who can make the changes that need to be done. Thanks.

MR. PARKER: Thank you again, Juli. This hearing of the Oil Spill Commission is adjourned.

* * * END OF DAY * * *

23

22

23

25