1. CHARLES W. BENDER PATRICK LYNCH FILED 2. JOHN F. DAUM O'MELVENY & MYERS 3. 400 South Hope Street, 15th Floor AUG 1 5 1989 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 4. (213) 669-6000 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5. JOHN F. CLOUGH, III OF ALASKA RANDALL J. WEDDLE 6. Deputy FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES 550 W. 7th Avenue, Suite 1000 7. Anchorage, Alaska, 99501-8510 (907) 274-0666 8. Attorneys for Defendant 9. Exxon Corporation 10. Honorable H. Russell Holland 11. IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12. FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA 13. 14. In re No. A89-095 Civil 15. (Consolidated) The EXXON VALDEZ 16. 17. ANSWER OF EXXON This Document Relates to CORPORATION TO CLASS 18. Action No.: ACTION COMPLAINT FILED MARCH 31, 1989 A89-140 19. C.N. BUTCHER, et al., (P-97 through P-111) 20. 21. v. EXXON CORPORATION, et al., 22. (D-1 through D-5 and D-22) 23. 24. Exxon Corporation, also erroneously referred to in the 25. complaint as Exxon Co., USA and for convenience identified in 26.

10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

this answer as "Exxon", as its answer to the complaint herein admits, denies and alleges as follows:

As to each and every allegation denied herein for lack of information or belief, Exxon alleges that it is without knowledge or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense to Count I

- Denies for lack of information or belief each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 4.
 - Admits the allegations of paragraph 5. 5.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 6, except 6. admits that Alyeska is a Delaware corporation the stock of which is owned by the Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Pipe Line Company, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; that the Owners of Alyeska and their predecessors are permittees under the Agreement and Grant of Right-of-Way for Trans-Alaska Pipeline; that Alyeska operates the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System,

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

including the terminal at Valdez; and that Alyeska loaded the EXXON VALDEZ with North Slope crude oil at the Valdez terminal.

- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 7, 7. except admits that Exxon is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New Jersey with its principal place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10020, and that the principal business of Exxon is energy, involving exploration for and production of crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products, and exploration for and mining and sale of coal.
- 8. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 8, except admits that Exxon Shipping Company ("Exxon Shipping") is a Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business in the State of Texas, that Exxon Shipping operates in Alaska and owns a vessel known as the EXXON VALDEZ, and that Exxon owns all of Exxon Shipping's stock.
- 9. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 9, except admits that Exxon Company, USA is an unincorporated division of Exxon, that its headquarters is at 800 Bell Street, Houston, Texas, and that such division is responsible for the operation of Exxon's energy business within the United States.
 - 10. Admits the allegations of paragraph 10.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Denies for lack of information or belief each and 11-14. every allegation of paragraphs 11 through 14, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring this action on behalf of a class.

- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 15, 15. except admits that on March 23, 1989, the EXXON VALDEZ, which is approximately 987 feet long and weighs approximately 211,000 deadweight tons, left the southern terminal facility of TAPS, at the port of Valdez, Alaska, bound for Long Beach, California, and that the vessel was owned and operated by Exxon Shipping.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 16, 16. except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ was loaded with approximately 1.2 million barrels of crude oil which had been shipped from Alaska's North Slope through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline.
- 17. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 17, except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ was navigated through the harbor and Valdez Narrows under the direction of pilot William Edward Murphy, who disembarked at the pilot station at the southern end of the Narrows; that Captain Hazelwood was on the bridge of the vessel before pilot Murphy disembarked; and that Captain Hazelwood's duties aboard the vessel were within the scope of his employment by Exxon Shipping.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 18, 18. except admits that Captain Hazelwood left the bridge shortly before midnight, after Murphy's departure, leaving Gregory Cousins, the Third Mate, and Robert Kagan, the Helmsman, on the bridge; and that the performance by Cousins and Kagan of their duties aboard the vessel was within the scope of their employment by Exxon Shipping.

- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 19, 19. except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ went into the northbound traffic lane, after receiving Coast Guard permission to leave the normal southbound shipping due in part to reports of the presence of ice from a glacier.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 20 20-21. and 21, except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ proceeded in, and to the east of, the northbound shipping lane and subsequently struck Bligh Reef, which reef is depicted on charts, and that the collision ruptured some of the vessel's crude oil tanks.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 22 and 23.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 24, 24. except admits that the grounding of the EXXON VALDEZ resulted in the rupture of eight of the vessel's cargo tanks and the

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

discharge into Prince William Sound of approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil, the largest United States oil spill from a single vessel; and that before the grounding the vessel was carrying a cargo of approximately 53,000,000 gallons of crude oil.

- 25. Denies the allegations of paragraph 25, except admits that Alaska Governor Cowper declared a disaster emergency on or about Sunday, March 26, 1989.
- 26. Denies the allegations of paragraph 26 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.
- 27-30. Denies for lack of information or belief each and every allegation of paragraphs 27 through 30.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 hereof, as if set out in full.
 - Denies the allegations of paragraphs 32 and 33. 32-33.

	·
	5
	6
	7
	8
	5 6 7 8 9
	10
000	11
SO WEST SEVI (VE., SUITE 1000) ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3510 TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666	12
WEST SEVI IVE., SUITE CHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3 TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666	12 13 14 15 16
VI ie, ala ONE (90	14
SSO WEST SEVI ANCHORAGE, AL TELEPHONE (15
SSO WI	16
	17
	17 18
	19
	20
	21
	22

24.

25.

26.

1.

2.

3.

	34.	Denies	for 1	ack of	informa	ation of	r belief	f the
allegatio	ons of	paragra	aph 34	, excep	ot admi	ts that	the dam	nages
alleged 1	by plai	intiffs	were :	not cau	ised by	an act	of war.	,

35-36. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 35 and 36.

Defense to Count II

- 37. Answering paragraph 37, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 36, as if set out in full.
- 38. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 38, except admits that Exxon Shipping owns and operates the EXXON VALDEZ and was the employer of Captain Hazelwood, Gregory Cousins and the crew of the vessel.
- 39. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 39.
- 40. Denies the allegations of paragraph 40, except admits that the damages alleged by plaintiffs were not caused by an act of war.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

41.		Denies	for	lack	of	information	or	belief	the
allegations	of	paragra	aph 4	41.					

Denies the allegations of paragraph 42 insofar as 42. they pertain to Exxon, except admits that 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c), to the extent applicable, may impose strict liability on certain persons with respect to certain damages.

Defense to Count III

- 43. Answering paragraph 43, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 42, as if set out in full.
- Denies the allegations of paragraphs 44 through 44-47. 47 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 48 insofar as 48. they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company; denies for lack of information or belief said allegations insofar as they pertain to other defendants; and alleges that the notion of "enough equipment . . . to handle a spill of this size" is too vague to permit an intelligible response.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

49.	Denies	the	allegations	of	paragraph	49
-----	--------	-----	-------------	----	-----------	----

- 50. Denies the allegations of paragraph 50, except admits that dispersants cannot now be effectively used to disperse the oil.
 - 51. Denies the allegations of paragraph 51.
- 52. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 52 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company; and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants, except admits that it was the responsibility of certain defendants to make reasonable efforts to attempt to control the spread of the spill.
- 53. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 53 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company; and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants, except admits that Alyeska's oil spill contingency plan, which was approved by government authorities, recognized that it would be impossible totally to contain a catastrophic spill of this magnitude.

	6.
	6. 7. 8. 9.
	8.
}	9.
	10.
NE., SUITE 1000 ASKA 99501-3510	11.
SUTTE 1 501-35	12.
SO WEST SEVI NE., SUITE 1000 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3510 THI EPHANNE (007) 724-0864	12. 13.
\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\)	14.
550 WEST SEVI ANCHORAGE	15.
550 W	16. 17.
	17.
550 WEST SEVI ANCHORAGE, TELEBRON	18.
	19.
	20.
	21.
	18. 19. 20. 21. 22.
	23.

25.

26.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

54. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 54 insofar as it pertains to Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company; and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants.

55-56. Denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 55 and 56.

Defense To Count IV

- Answering paragraph 57, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 56 hereof, as if set out in full.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 58, 58. except admits that public records purport to show that Hazelwood had been convicted for driving while under the influence of alcohol.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 59, 59. except admits that Captain Hazelwood had placed the navigation of the vessel in the charge of Cousins, and that Cousins was directing the navigation of the vessel when it ran aground.

	1.
	2.
	3.
	4.
	5.
	6.
	7.
	8.
	9. 10.
	10.
	11.
9990	12.
97) 274	13.
TELEPHONE (907) 274-066	14.
ELEPH	15.
-	15. 16. 17. 18.
	17.
	18.
	19.
	20.
	21.
	22.

24.

25.

26.

60-65. Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 60 through 65.

Defense To Count V

- 66. Answering paragraph 60, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 65 hereof, as if set out in full.
 - 67. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 67.

Defense To Count VI

- 68. Answering paragraph 68, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 67 hereof, as if set out in full.
 - 69. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 69.

Defense To Count VII

70. Answering paragraph 70, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission,

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 69 hereof, as if set out in full.

- 71. Admits the allegations of paragraph 71.
- 72. Denies the allegations of paragraph 72.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 73, except 73. admits that Exxon owned the crude oil cargo aboard the EXXON VALDEZ, and that Exxon Shipping controlled said cargo just prior to its release into Prince William Sound.
- 74. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 74, except admits that the oil spill was not caused solely by an act of war or an act of God.
 - 75. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 75.
- 76. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 76, except admits that AS 46.03.822, to the extent applicable and not preempted by federal law, may impose strict liability on certain persons for certain types of damages.

OOGAN & HOLMES WE, SUITE 1000 KA 99501-3510 FAULKNER, BANFIF 550 WEST SEV.

Def	ense	to	Count	VITT
Der	CILDE		COUIL	V I I I

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

1.

77. Answering paragraph 77, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 76, as if set out in full.

7.

8.

9.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 78 through 78-81. 81.

10.

11.

Defense to Count IX

12. 13.

14.

15.

Answering paragraph 82, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 81, as if set out in full.

16. 17.

18.

19.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 83 through 83-87. 87.

20.

General Denial

22.

23.

24.

21.

Denies each and every other allegation in plain-88. tiff's complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.

25.

26.

ST SEV| NE., SUITE 1000 ORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3510 HEBIONIE (0073) 274 0444

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

Affirmative and Other Defenses

89. The complaint and each count thereof fails to state claims upon which relief can be granted.

- 90. Exxon is informed and believes that plaintiffs lack standing to claim or recover damages based on the allegations of the complaint.
- 91. Independent of any legal obligation to do so,
 Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for
 economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill, and are
 incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill.
 Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full
 amount of all such payments in the event that plaintiffs' claims
 encompass such expenditures.
- 92. Certain persons engaged or employed in connection with activities related to containment and clean up of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other activities. Payments received by such persons are a set off against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.

25.

26.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

93. To the extent that plaintiffs were able to mitigate damages and failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable for such avoidable losses.

94. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are unconstitutional under the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.

- 95. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein and as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as to Exxon. Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.
- 96. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.
- 97. Numerous persons and entities have filed class action lawsuits against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment in such other lawsuits against Exxon and

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

in favor of plaintiffs herein, such judgment will be res judicata as to the claims of plaintiffs herein.

- 98. Numerous persons and entities have filed other lawsuits against Exxon, and various other defendants, and to the extent there is a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing claims made by plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein is barred to the extent that it would represent a multiple recovery for the same injury.
- Some or all of plaintiffs' claims for damages may 99. be barred or reduced by the doctrine of comparative negligence.
- 100. The amount of liability, if any, for the acts alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).
- If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil 101. or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for 102. punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to protection of subsistence interests.

- Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are precluded by the Alaska statutory scheme for civil and criminal penalties.
- Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under 104. state law are preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.
- 105. Certain claims asserted by plaintiffs are not ripe for adjudication.
- Those portions of AS 46.03 that were enacted 106. after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10, and if applied to Exxon would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.
- Exxon and Exxon Shipping have acted pursuant to 107. government approval and direction with regard to the containment and clean-up of the oil spill.

2	
۷.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
	-14

25.

26.

1.

108. Plaintiffs fail to satisfy the requirements for the injunctive relief they seek.

109. The corporate members of the purported class(es) herein lack the capacity to commence and maintain this action insofar as they have failed to allege and prove that they have paid their Alaska biennial corporate taxes last due and have filed biennial reports for the last reporting period.

110. Claims by some persons or entities who may be within the purported class(es) herewith have been settled and released.

<u>Prayer</u>

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

- That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no relief, legal or equitable;
- 2. That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action; and

	1.	Ш
	2.	
	3.	
	4.	
	5.	
	6.	
	7.	
	8.	
	9.	
	10.	
	11.	
2002	12.	
ELECTIONE (901) 2/14-000	13.	
	14.	
	15.	
	16.	
	17.	
	18. 19.	
	19.	
	20.	
	21.	
	22.	
	23.	
	24 .	
	25.	
	26.	11

	3.	For	such	other	and	further	relief	as	the	Court
deems	just and	prop	per.							
DATED:	August	15,	1989			Respect	fully s	subi	nitte	ed,

CHARLES W. BENDER
PATRICK LYNCH
JOHN F. DAVM
O'MELVENY MYERS

By John F. Dann

JOHN F. CLOUGH, III RANDALL J. WEDDLE FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES

By Randall J. Weddle

Attorneys for Defendant Exxon Corporation . .

1. CHARLES W. BENDER PATRICK LYNCH FILED 2. JOHN F. DAUM O'MELVENY & MYERS 3. 400 South Hope Street AUG 1 5 1989 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 UNITED STATES PISTRICT COURT 4. (213) 669-6000 5. ALASKA JOHN F. CLOUGH, III RANDALL J. WEDDLE --- Deputy 6. FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES 550 W. 7th Avenue, Suite 1000 7. Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3510 (907)274-06668. Attorneys for Defendant 9. Exxon Corporation 10. Honorable H. Russell Holland 11. IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12. FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA 13. In re 14. The EXXON VALDEZ No. A89-095 Civil 15. (Consolidated) This Document Relates to Action No. 16. 17. A89-145 ANSWER OF EXXON CORPORATION TO CLASS Gerald E. Thorne, et al., (P-65 through P-67) ACTION COMPLAINT FILED 18. APRIL 5, 1989 19. Exxon Corporation, et al., (D-1 through D-4; D-7 through D-9 20. and D-18) Exxon Corporation, for convenience identified in this 21. answer as "Exxon", as its answer to the complaint herein admits, 22. 23. denies and alleges as follows: 24. 25. 26.

w

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

As to each and every allegation denied herein for lack of information or belief, alleges that it is without knowledge or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense To First Cause of Action

- Answering paragraph 1, Exxon is not required to 1. respond to the allegations in paragraph 1, but admits that the action purports to be a class action.
- 2. Answering paragraph 2, admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.
- Answering paragraph 3, Exxon is not required to 3. respond to the allegations of paragraph 3.
- 4. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 4, except admits that this action may be brought in this district and that Exxon does business in this district.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Denies for lack of information or belief the 5. allegations of paragraph 5.

- 6. Denies the allegations of paragraph 6 except admits that Exxon Corporation is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of New Jersey, with its principal place of business in New York at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10021, and that the principal business of Exxon Corporation is energy, involving exploration for the production of crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products and exploration for the mining and sale of coal; and that Exxon owned the crude oil which was transported on the EXXON VALDEZ and spilled in the Sound.
- 7. Denies the allegations of paragraph 7, except admits that Exxon Shipping is a Delaware Corporation, that Exxon Corporation owns all of Exxon Shipping's stock, that its principal place of business is Texas and that it is the owner and operator of the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 8. Denies the allegations of paragraph 8, except admits that Alyeska Pipeline Service Company ("Alyeska") is a Delaware corporation the stock of which is owned by the Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Pipe Line Company, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; that the owners of Alyeska are permittees under the Agreement and Grant of Right-of-Way for Trans-Alaska Pipeline; and that Alyeska operates the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System; that Alyeska was formed by its then owners to construct, operate and maintain TAPS; and that Alyeska maintains an oil spill contingency plan.

- 9. Denies the allegations of paragraph 9, except admits that Joseph Hazelwood was an employee of Exxon Shipping and was the captain of the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 10. Denies the allegations of paragraph 10, except admits that Gregory Cousins is an employee of Exxon Shipping, was Third Mate on the EXXON VALDEZ, and was the officer on the bridge when the vessel ran aground on Bligh Reef.
 - 11-12. Admits the allegations of paragraphs 11 and 12.
- Denies for lack of information or belief the 13-19. allegations of paragraphs 13 through 19, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring this action on behalf of a class.
- 20. Denies the allegations of paragraph 20, except admits that March 23, 1989, the EXXON VALDEZ, which is a single

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

hull vessel approximately 987 feet long, and owned by Exxon Shipping, was loaded with approximately 1.2 million barrels of oil at the Port of Valdez terminal facility of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, which is operated by Alyeska; and that the oil had been shipped through the Trans-Alaska Pipeline.

21. Denies the allegations of paragraph 21 except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ departed the Port of Valdez loaded with approximately 1.2 million barrels of oil, that the vessel passed through the Valdez Narrows, and that Captain Joseph J. Hazelwood, who was on the bridge of the vessel when the harbor pilot disembarked at Rocky Point, went below deck at around 11:50 P.M., leaving Gregory Cousins, the third mate, as the officer on the bridge.

22. Denies the allegations of paragraph 22, except admits that the U.S. Coast Guard gave the EXXON VALDEZ permission to leave the southbound shipping lane for reasons that included earlier reports that it contained ice that had calved from a glacier to the northwest, that the vessel travelled through the northbound lane and subsequently struck Bligh Reef shortly after midnight, that the vessel was outside the shipping lane when it struck Bligh Reef, that Captain Hazelwood was not on the bridge when the vessel struck Bligh

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Reef, and that Bligh Reef is depicted on charts and marked by a buoy.

23. Denies the allegations of paragraph 23, except admits that tests reportedly done on Hazelwood more than ten hours after the accident were initially reported as showing a blood alcohol level exceeding Coast Guard regulations; and that Hazelwood has been discharged by Exxon Shipping and has been charged with criminal offenses in connection with the accident.

- 24. Denies the allegations of paragraph 24, except admits that a seaman who sued Exxon Shipping in 1982 asserted in a deposition that Hazelwood had been drinking on board a vessel, and that public records purport to show that Hazelwood has been convicted for driving while under the influence of alcohol.
- 25. Denies the allegations of paragraph 25, except admits that William Edward Murphy piloted the EXXON VALDEZ from the Port of Valdez to Rocky Point, where he departed the vessel.
- 26. Denies the allegations of paragraph 26, except admits the grounding on Bligh Reef punctured eight of the vessel's oil tanks, and that approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were spilled into Prince William Sound.

The state of the s

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27. Denies the allegations of paragraph 27 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants; except admits that the owners of Alyeska, as permittees under the Agreement and Grant of Right-of-Way for Trans-Alaska Pipeline, expressed the intent to employ all practicable means and measures to preserve and protect the environment, to balance environmental amenities and values with economic practicalities and technical capabilities, and to construct, operate and maintain TAPS in accordance with sound engineering practice.

28. Denies the allegations of paragraph 28, except admits that following the spill certain officials of Exxon and Exxon Shipping publicly admitted responsibility for any legal obligations to those who suffered damage.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 29 through 32 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants.

Denies for lack of information or belief the 33. allegations of paragraph 33, except admits that birds and fish migrate to Prince William Sound, many beginning in the Spring,

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

that the Sound has herring and salmon spawning areas, and that many species of fish life are found in the Sound, including crab, shrimp, halibut, Pacific cod, sablefish and other bottom fish.

Denies for lack of information or belief the 34. allegations of paragraph 34, except admits that the spill occurred at a time when some fishers were preparing for the herring season, and that the State of Alaska closed some fisheries following the spill.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 35 through 38.

- 39. Answering paragraph 39, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 38, as if set out in full.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 39, except 40. admits that AS 46.03.822, to the extent applicable and not preempted by federal law, may impose strict liability on certain persons with respect to certain damages.

FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES 550 WEST SEVENTH AVE., SUITE 1000

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Defense to Second Cause of Action

41. Answering paragraph 41, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 40, as if set out in full.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 42 and 43 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense to Third Cause of Action

- Answering paragraph 44, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 43, as if set out in full.
- Denies for lack of information or belief the 45. allegations of paragraph 45.
- Denies the allegations of paragraphs 46 through 46-49. 49.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

<u>Defense</u>	to	_Four	th C	Cause	of	Act:	<u>i on</u>

50. Answering paragraph 50, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 49, as if set out in full.

51. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 51.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 52 and 53 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense to Fifth Cause of Action

54. Answering paragraph 54, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 53, as if set out in full.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 55 through 55-61. 61 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and

denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

General Denial

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

62. Denies each and every other allegation in plaintiffs' complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.

Affirmative and Other Defenses

- The complaint and each count thereof fails to 63. state claims upon which relief can be granted.
- 64. Exxon is informed and believes that plaintiffs lack standing to claim or recover damages based on the allegations of the complaint.
- 65. Independent of any legal obligation to do so, Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill and are incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill. Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full amount of all such payments in the event that plaintiffs' claims encompass such expenditures.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

66. Certain persons engaged or employed in connection with activities related to containment and cleanup of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other Payments received by such persons are a setoff against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.

- 67. To the extent that persons able to mitigate damages failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable to such persons for avoidable losses.
- 68. Claims by some persons or entities who may be within the purported class have been settled and released.
- 69. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are unconstitutional under the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.
- 70. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.

- 71. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.
- 72. Numerous persons and entities have filed lawsuits against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment or judgments in such other lawsuits against Exxon and in favor of persons whose claims are encompassed in this action, such judgment or judgments will be res judicata as to the claims of such persons herein.
- 73. Numerous persons and entities have filed other lawsuits against Exxon and various other defendants, and to the extent there is a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing claims made by plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein is barred to the extent that it would represent a multiple recovery for the same injury.
- 74. Some or all of plaintiffs' claims for damages may be barred or reduced by the doctrine of comparative negligence.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

- 75. The amount of liability, if any, for the acts alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).
- 76. If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- 77. Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to protection of subsistence interests.
- 78. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are precluded by the Alaska statutory scheme for civil and criminal penalties.
- Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under 79. state law are preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.

80. Certain claims asserted by plaintiffs are not ripe for adjudication.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

1.

2.

after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10 of the United States

Constitution, and if applied to Exxon would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

82. Certain theories of relief may not be maintained because these theories are based upon the exercise by Exxon of federal and state constitutional rights to petition the federal and state governments with respect to the passage and enforcement of laws.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

against Exxon, based on the same facts alleged herein, and said action is pending in this Court and is numbered A89-111. Exxon is entitled to have the within duplicative action abated, or, in the event of any recovery by plaintiffs in such other action as compensation for the damages alleged herein, Exxon is entitled to a setoff in the full amount of said recovery.

24.

25.

26.

	11
1.	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	
26.	

П

	84.	Exxon	and	Exxon	Sh	ipping	g have	acte	ed pu	ursuant	to
governme	nt app	roval	and	direct	ion	with	regard	to	the	contain	ment
and clea	n-up o	f the	oil	spill.							

85. The corporate members of the purported class(es) herein lack the capacity to commence and maintain this action insofar as they have failed to allege and prove that they have paid their Alaska biennial corporate taxes last due and have filed biennial reports for the last reporting period.

Prayer

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no relief, legal or equitable;
- 2. That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action; and

	- 1		
	1.	3. For such other and further relief as the Court	
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	2.	deems just and proper.	
	3.		
	4.	DATED: August 15, 1989 Respectfully submitted,	
	5.	CHARLES W. BENDER	
	6.	PATRICK LYNCH JOHN F DAUM	
	7.	O'MELYEN & MYERS	
	8.		
	9.	By John F. Daum	
1OLN	10.		
2001 0001 010	11.	JOHN F. CLOUGH, III RANDALL J. WEDDLE	
SUITE 9501-35 4-0666	12.	FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES	
, DOC 1 AVE., ASKA 9 807) 27	13.		
1. E.	14.	By Miller	
	15.	Randa I J. Weddle	
550 V	16.	Attorneys for Defendant Exxor Corporation	1
JLKN	17.	• ***	
FAI	18.		
19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24.	- 11		
	11		
	1		
	į,		
	}		
	1		
	26.		

26.

1.

CHARLES W. BENDER

FILED

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

As to each and every allegation denied herein for lack of information or belief, alleges that it is without knowledge or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense To Count I

- 1. Answering paragraph 1, Exxon is not required to respond to the allegations in paragraph 1.
- 2. Answering paragraph 2, admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action but denies all other allegations in paragraph 2.
- 3. Admits the allegations of paragraph 3, except denies that Exxon Co., U.S.A. is a properly designated defendant or that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4, admits that plaintiffs purport to bring claims for relief based on the grounds alleged, but denies that plaintiffs can state a claim for relief based on said grounds.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

	5.	Den:	ies e	each and	d eve	ry	allegati	.on	of pa	aragraph	-
except	admits	that	his	action	may	be	brought	in	this	distric	t
under 2	28 U.S.	C. § :	1391								

- 6-9. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 6 through 9.
 - Admits the allegations of paragraph 10. 10.
- 11. Denies the allegations of paragraph 11, except admits that Alyeska Pipeline Service Company ("Alyeska") is a Delaware corporation the stock of which is owned by the Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Pipe Line Company, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; that the Owners of Alyeska are permittees under the Agreement and Grant of Right-of-Way for Trans-Alaska Pipeline; and that Alyeska operates the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 12 except 12. admits that Exxon Corporation is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of New Jersey, with its principal place of business in New York at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10021, and that the principal business of Exxon Corporation is energy, involving exploration for the production

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

- admits that Exxon Company, USA is an unincorporated division of Exxon Corporation responsible for the operation of Exxon Corporation's energy business within the United States, and that its headquarters is at 800 Bell Street, Houston, Texas.
- 14. Denies the allegations of paragraph 14, except admits that Exxon Shipping is a Delaware Corporation, that Exxon Corporation owns all of Exxon Shipping's stock, that its principal place of business is Texas and that it is the owner and operator of the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 15-20. Answering paragraphs 15 through 20, admits that plaintiffs purport to define certain terms, but denies the allegations and denies that any subsequent use of those terms in the complaint is necessarily accurate or appropriate.
- 21. Denies the allegations of paragraph 21, except admits that on Thursday evening, March 23, 1989, the EXXON VALDEZ, which is approximately 987 feet long and weighs approximately 211,000 deadweight tons, left the Port of Valdez, Alaska, the southern terminal facility of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, bound for Long Beach, California.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

	22.	I	Denies	the all	legat	cions i	n para	graph	22, exce	pt
admits	that	the	EXXON	VALDEZ	was	loaded	d with	appro	ximately	1.2
million	barı	cels	of cr	ude oil	whi	ch had	been	shippe	d from	
Alaska	's Nor	rth S	Slope	through	the	Trans	-Alask	a Pipe	line.	

- 23. Denies the allegations of paragraph 23 except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ passed through the Valdez Narrows under the direction of a harbor pilot, who subsequently disembarked; that Captain Joseph J. Hazelwood was on the bridge of the vessel when the harbor pilot disembarked, and that Captain Hazelwood's duties as Master of the vessel were within the scope of his employment by Exxon Shipping.
- 24. Denies the allegations of paragraph 24, except admits that Captain Hazelwood left the bridge, leaving Gregory Cousins, the third mate, and Robert Kagan, the helmsman, on the bridge, and that the duties of Cousins as third mate and Kagan as helmsman were within the scope of their employment by Exxon Shipping.
- 25. Denies the allegations of paragraph 25, except admits that the U.S. Coast Guard gave the EXXON VALDEZ permission to leave the southbound shipping lane for reasons that included earlier reports that it contained ice that had calved from a glacier to the northwest.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

	26.	. I	Denies	the	allegat	cions	of	para	graph	26,	except	
admits	that	the	vesse	l tra	avelled	thro	ugh	the	north	bound	lane	and
subsequ	uently	st	ruck B	ligh	Reef.							

- 27. Denies the allegations of paragraph 27, except admits that the vessel was outside the channel when it struck Bligh Reef, which punctured some of the tanks and damaged a portion of the hull.
 - Denies the allegations of paragraphs 28 and 29. 28-29.
- 30. Denies the allegations of paragraph 30, except admits the grounding on Bligh Reef punctured eight of the vessel's oil tanks, causing the largest United States oil spill from a single vessel, and that approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were spilled into Prince William Sound.
 - Denies the allegations of paragraph 31. 31.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 32 for lack 32. of information or belief, except admits that Alaska Governor Cowper declared a disaster emergency on or about March 26, 1989.
- 33-37. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 33 and 37.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

38-46. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 38 through 46, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring an action on behalf of a class of persons and entities described in the complaint.

47. Answering paragraph 47, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 46, as if set out in full.

48-52. Answering paragraphs 48 through 52, Exxon is not required to answer allegations made against Alyeska. If response were required, Exxon denies the allegations in paragraphs 48 through 52.

Defense to Count II

- 53. Answering paragraph 53, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 52, as if set out in full.
- 54. Denies the allegations of paragraph 54, except admits that Exxon Shipping is the owner and operator of the EXXON VALDEZ.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

55.		Denies	for	lack	of	information	or	belief	the
allegations	of	paragra	aph	55.					

- 56. Denies the allegations of paragraph 56.
- 57. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 57, except admits that the events about which plaintiffs complain caused some damages to sea otters and birds.
- Denies the allegations of Paragraph 58, except admits that 43 U.S.C. Section 1653(c), to the extent applicable, may impose upon certain entities strict liability for certain damages.

Defense to Count III

the second secon

- 59. Answering paragraph 59, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 58, as if set out in full.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 60 insofar as 60. they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping and denies for lack of information or belief said allegations insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants.

26.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

	61.	Denies	the	allega	tions	of	parag	raph	61 i	nsofar	as
they	pertain	to Exxon	and	Exxon	Shippi	ng	and d	enies	for	lack	of
infor	mation o	r belief	said	alle	gations	s i	nsofar	as t	hey	perta	in
to Al	yeska or	other de	efend	lants.							

- 62. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 62.
 - 63. Denies the allegations of paragraph 63.
- 64. Denies the allegations of paragraph 64 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping and denies for lack of information or belief said allegations insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or the defendants.
- 65-68. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 65 through 68.
- 69-70. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 69 and 70 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping and denies for lack of information or belief said allegations insofar as they pertain to Alyesha or other defendants.
 - Denies the allegations of paragraphs 71 and 72. 71-72.

Defense to Count IV

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

73. Answering paragraph 73, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 72, as if set out in full.

74. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 74, except admits that public records purport to show that Captain Hazelwood has been convicted for driving while under the influence of alcohol.

75-82. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 75 through 82.

Defense to Count V

- 83. Answering paragraph 83, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 82, as if set out in full.
 - 84. Denies the allegations of paragraph 84.

TO SECURE A LITERATURE CHARLE

50 WEST SEVENTH AVE, SUITE 1000

Defense to Count VI

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

85. Answering paragraph 85, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every denial, admission and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 84, as if set out in full.

86. Denies the allegations of paragraph 86 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information as belief insofar as they pertain to Alyeska or other defendants.

Defense to Count VII

- Answering paragraph 87, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 86, as if set out in full.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 88, except 88. admits that A.S. 46.03.826(4)(B) defines the term "hazardous substance" as including oil, that approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were discharged into the Prince William Sound as a result of the grounding of the EXXON VALDEZ.
 - 89. Denies the allegations of paragraph 89.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	90.	Der	ies '	the a	llegati	lons	of pa	arag	raph	90	exce	pt
admits	that :	Exxon	owne	d the	crude	oil	and '	that	Exx	on S	Shipp	ing
control	lled t	he cru	ide o	il im	mediate	ely p	prior	to	its	rele	ease	into
the Pri	ince W	illian	Sou	nd.								

The second of th

- 91. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations in paragraph 91, except admits that the oil spill was not caused solely as a result of an act of war.
- 92. Denies the allegations of paragraph 92 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and denies said allegations for lack of information and bleief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.
- 93. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 93, except admits that AS 46.03.822, to the extent applicable and not preempted, may impose strict liability for certain types of damages.

Defense to Count VIII

94. Answering paragraph 94, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every denial, admission and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 93, as if set out in full.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

95-98. Denies the allegations in paragraphs 95 through 98 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense to Count IX

99. Answering paragraph 99, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 98, as if set out in full.

100-103. Denies the allegations in paragraphs 100 through 103 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense to Count X

104. Answering paragraph 104, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 103, as if set out in full.

Denies the allegations in paragraphs 105 105-107. through 107 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501-3510

and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense to Count XI

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

108. Answering paragraph 108, realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 107, as if set out in full.

109-112. Denies the allegations in paragraphs 109 through 112 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

General Denial

113. Denies each and every other allegation in plaintiffs' complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.

Affirmative and Other Defenses

114. The complaint and each count thereof fails to state claims upon which relief can be granted.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

115. Exxon is informed and believes that plaintiffs lack standing to claim or recover damages based on the allegations of the complaint.

- 116. Independent of any legal obligation to do so, Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill, and are incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill. Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full amount of all such payments in the event that plaintiffs' claims encompass such expenditures.
- 117. Certain persons engaged or employed in connection with activities related to containment and cleanup of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other activities. Payments received by such persons are a setoff against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.
- To the extent that persons able to mitigate 118. damages failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable to such persons for avoidable losses.
- 119. Claims by some persons or entities who may be within the purported classes have been settled and released.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

120. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are unconstitutional under the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.

121. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as to Exxon. Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.

122. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.

against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment or judgments in such other lawsuits against Exxon and in favor of persons whose claims are encompassed in this action, such judgment or judgments will be res judicata as to the claims of such persons herein.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

124.	Numerous persons and entities have filed other
lawsuits agains	st Exxon and various other defendants, and to the
extent there is	s a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing
claims made by	plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein
is barred to th	he extent that it would represent a multiple
recovery for th	he same injury.

4 - Title

*** 27

- 125. Some or all of plaintiffs' claims for damages may be barred or reduced by the doctrine of comparative negligence.
- The amount of liability, if any, for the acts 126. alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).
- If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil 127. or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- 128. Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to protection of subsistence interests.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

	12	29.	Plaint	iffs'	clai	ms for	puni	tive	damag	jes	are	pre-
cluded	by	the	Alaska	statu	tory	scheme	for	civil	and	cri	imina	al
penalti	les.	•										

- 130. Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under state law preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.
- 131. Certain claims asserted by plaintiffs are not ripe for adjudication.
- 132. Those portions of AS 46.03 that were enacted after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, and if applied to Exxon or to Exxon Pipeline would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.
- Certain theories of relief may not be maintained 133. because these theories are based upon the exercise by Exxon of federal and state constitutional rights to petition the federal and state governments with respect to the passage and enforcement of laws.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	134.	Plaintiff	s fail	to	satisfy	the	requirements	for
the	injunctive	relief th	ey see	k.				

- Exxon and Exxon Shipping have acted pursuant to government approval and direction with regard to containment and clean-up of the oil spill.
- 136. ANILCA, 16 U.S.C. § 3111, et seq., provides the exclusive federal vehicle for Alaskan Natives and rural Alaskans to seek protection for federally recognized subsistence interests allegedly harmed by the oil spill, and therefore all other alleged federal bases to recover any such losses are barred.

Prayer

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

- That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no 1. relief, legal or equitable;
- That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action; 2. and

- 1	1						
1.		3.	For	such	other	and	further relief as the Court
2.	deems	just and	pro	per.			
3.							
4.	DATED:	August	15,	1989			Respectfully submitted,
5.							CHARLES W. BENDER
6.							PATRICK LYNCH JOHN F DAUM
7.							O'MELVERY SAMVERS
8.							
9.							John F. Daum
10.							
11.							JOHN F. CLOUGH, III
12.							RANDALL J. WEDDLE FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN &
13.							HOLMES
14.							
15.							By Randall F. Weddle
16.							Attorneys for Defendant Exxon
17.							Corporation
18.							
19.							
20.							
21.							
22.							
23.							
24.							
25.							
26.							

24.

25.

26.

1. CHARLES W. BENDER PATRICK LYNCH FILED 2. JOHN F. DAUM O'MELVENY & MYERS 3. 400 South Hope Street AUG 1 5 1989 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 4. (213) 669-6000 DISTRICT COURT 5. JOHN F. CLOUGH, III RANDALL J. WEDDLE --- Deputy 6. FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES 550 W. 7th Avenue, Suite 1000 7. Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3510 (907) 274-0666 8. Attorneys for Defendant 9. Exxon Corporation Honorable H. Russell Holland IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA In re The EXXON VALDEZ No. A89-095 Civil 15. (Consolidated) This Document Relates to Action No. 16. ANSWER OF EXXON 17. A89-118 W.B.T.J. Sigler, et al., CORPORATION TO CLASS (P-68 through P-70) ACTION COMPLAINT FILED 18. APRIL 7, 1989 Exxon Corporation, et al., 19. (D-1 through D-3; D-7 and D-8)20. Exxon Corporation, for convenience identified in this 21. answer as "Exxon", as its answer to the complaint herein admits, 22.

denies and alleges as follows:

As to each and every allegation denied herein for lack of information or belief, alleges that it is without knowledge

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense To Count I

- 1. Answering paragraph 1, Exxon is not required to respond to the allegations in paragraph 1, except that it admits that the action purports to be brought on behalf of a class.
- Answering paragraph 2, admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.
- Answering paragraph 3, admits that plaintiffs 3. purport to bring claims for relief based on the grounds alleged, but denies that plaintiffs can state a claim for relief based on said grounds.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 4 except admits that his action may be brought in this district, and that Exxon does business in this district.
- 5-7. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 5 through 7.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

- 8. Admits the allegations of paragraph 8, except denies that Exxon controls the oil that was transported by the EXXON VALDEZ.
 - Admits the allegations of paragraph 9. 9.
- 10. Denies the allegations of paragraph 10, except admits that Alyeska Pipeline Service Company ("Alyeska") is a Delaware corporation the stock of which is owned by the Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Pipe Line Company, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; that Alyeska operates the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, including the terminal facilities at the Port of Valdez; and that Alyeska is responsible for maintaining an oil spill contingency plan.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 11, except admits that Joseph Hazelwood was an employee of Exxon Shipping, and Captain of the EXXON VALDEZ when it ran aground on Bligh Reef.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 12, except 12. admits that Gregory Cousins is an employee of Exxon Shipping and was third mate on the EXXON VALDEZ when it ran aground on Bligh Reef.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	13.	Denies	for	lack	of	information	or	belief	each	and
every	allegatio	n of p	arag	raph	13.					

Land to the state of the state

- 14-19. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 14 through 19, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring this action as a class action.
 - 20. Admits the allegations of paragraph 20.
- 21. Denies the allegations of paragraph 21, except admits that after the vessel navigated through Valdez Narrows, Captain Hazelwood left the bridge, leaving Gregory Cousins, the third mate, as the officer on the bridge.
- Denies the allegations of paragraph 22, except admits that the vessel struck Bligh Reef shortly after midnight on March 24, 1989, and that said reef is outside the traffic shipping lanes.
- 23. Denies the allegations of paragraph 23, except admits that tests were done on Hazelwood more than ten hours after the accident, that Hazelwood was subsequently discharged by Exxon Shipping, and that he has been charged with criminal charges in connection with the accident.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	24.	Deni	es the	allega	ations	of p	paragra	iph 2	4,	exc	∍pt
admits	that p	ublic :	records	purpo	ort to	shov	w that	Haze	elwo	od 1	has
been c	onvicte	d of d	riving	while	under	the	influe	ence	of	alc	ohol.

- 25. Denies the allegations of paragraph 25, except admits that the grounding damaged the vessel, and that approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were spilled into Prince William Sound.
- 26. Denies the allegations of paragraph 26, except admits that certain officials of Exxon and Exxon Shipping Company accepted responsibility for any legal obligations to those who suffered damage.
- 27-29. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 27-29 for lack of information or belief.
- 30. Denies the allegations of paragraph 30, except admits that Alyeska was unable totally to contain and clean up the spill.
- Denies for lack of information or belief the 31. allegations of paragraph 31, except admits that Coastal Alaska is a biologically rich ecosystem and that birds and fish migrate in the area.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

32-33. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 32 and 33.

Denies the allegations of paragraph 34, except admits that AS 46.03.822, to the extent applicable and not preempted by federal law, may impose strict liability with respect to certain types of damage.

The same of the sa

General Denial

Denies each and every other allegation in plain-35. tiffs' complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.

Affirmative and Other Defenses

- The complaint fails to state claims upon which 36. relief can be granted.
- 37. Exxon is informed and believes that plaintiffs lack standing to claim or recover damages based on the allegations of the complaint.
- Independent of any legal obligation to do so, 38. Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill, and are incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill. Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

amount of all such payments in the event that plaintiffs' claims encompass such expenditures.

39. Certain persons engaged or employed in connection with activities related to containment and cleanup of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other Payments received by such persons are a setoff against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.

- 40. To the extent that persons able to mitigate damages failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable to such persons for avoidable losses.
- Claims by some persons or entities who may be 41. within the purported class have been settled and released.
- 42. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are unconstitutional under the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.
- 43. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as to Exxon. Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.

- 44. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.
- 45. Numerous persons and entities have filed lawsuits against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment or judgments in such other lawsuits against Exxon and in favor of persons whose claims are encompassed in this action, such judgment or judgments will be <u>res judicata</u> as to the claims of such persons herein.
- 46. Numerous persons and entities have filed other lawsuits against Exxon and various other defendants, and to the extent there is a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing claims made by plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein is barred to the extent that it would represent a multiple recovery for the same injury.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

		17.	Some	or	all	of	plainti	ffs	claims	for	damages	may
be	barred	or	reduced	by	the	d	octrine	of	comparat	ive	negligen	ce.

- 48. The amount of liability, if any, for the acts alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).
- 49. If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- 50. Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to protection of subsistence interests.
- Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are pre-51. cluded by the Alaska statutory scheme for civil and criminal penalties.
- Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under 52. state law preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.

Sec. 10

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

		53.	Certain	claims	asserted	рÀ	plaintiffs	are	not
	£ - 34		ication						
ripe	IOL	aajua.	ication.						

- 54. Those portions of AS 46.03 that were enacted after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, and if applied to Exxon would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.
- Plaintiffs have filed an identical class action 55. against Exxon, based on the same facts alleged herein, and said prior action is pending in this Court as Action A89-117. is entitled to have this duplicative action abated, or, in the event of any recovery by plaintiffs in said Action A89-117 as compensation for the damages alleged herein, Exxon is entitled to a setoff in the full amount of such recovery.
- 56. The Fund established under the Trans-Alaska Pipeline authorization Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c), may be strictly liable for some or all of the damages alleged by plaintiffs. This action should not proceed in the absence of the fund's joinder as a defendant.

25.

57. Exxon and Exxon Shipping have acted pursuant to government approval and direction with regard to containment and clean-up of the oil spill.

<u>Prayer</u>

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no relief, legal or equitable;
- 2. That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action; and

1.	11
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	
11.	
12.	
13.	
14.	
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	

The state of the s

	•			other	and	further	relief as the Court
deems	just ar	nd pro	per.				
DATED:	Augus	st 15,	1989			Respect	cfully submitted,
						CHARLES PATRICE JOHN F. O'MELVE BY JOHN F. RANDALI FAULKNE HO By	John F. Daum CLOUGH, III J. WEDDLE CR, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & CLOUGHS Randall J. Weddle Eys for Defendant Exxon

Note that the form was the specified the s

Comment of the second

TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666

134

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense To Count I

- Answering paragraph 1, Exxon is not required to respond to the allegations in paragraph 1, except that it admits that the action purports to be brought on behalf of a class.
- Answering paragraph 2, admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action.
- Answering paragraph 3, admits that plaintiffs purport to bring claims for relief based on the grounds alleged, but denies that plaintiffs can state a claim for relief based on said grounds.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 4 except admits that his action may be brought in this district, and that Exxon does business in this district.
- Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraph 5.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

6. Admits the allegations of paragraph 6, except denies that Exxon controls the oil that was transported by the EXXON VALDEZ.

- 7. Admits the allegations of paragraph 7.
- 8. Denies the allegations of paragraph 8, except admits that Alyeska Pipeline Service Company ("Alyeska") is a Delaware corporation the stock of which is owned by the Amerada Hess Pipeline Corporation, ARCO Pipe Line Company, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., Exxon Pipeline Company, Mobil Alaska Pipeline Company, Phillips Alaska Pipeline Corporation, and Unocal Pipeline Company; that Alyeska operates the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System, including the terminal facilities at the Port of Valdez; and that Alyeska is responsible for maintaining an oil spill contingency plan.
- 9. Denies the allegations of paragraph 9, except admits that Joseph Hazelwood was an employee of Exxon Shipping, and Captain of the EXXON VALDEZ when it ran aground on Bligh Reef.
- 10. Denies the allegations of paragraph 10, except admits that Gregory Cousins is an employee of Exxon Shipping and was third mate on the EXXON VALDEZ when it ran aground on Bligh Reef.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

	11.	Denies	for	lack	of	information	or	belief	each	and
every	allegation	on of pa	arag	raph	11.					

- 12-17. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 12 through 17, except admits that plaintiffs purport to bring this action as a class action.
 - 18. Admits the allegations of paragraph 18.
- 19. Denies the allegations of paragraph 19, except admits that after the vessel navigated through Valdez Narrows, Captain Hazelwood left the bridge, leaving Gregory Cousins, the third mate, as the officer on the bridge.
- 20. Denies the allegations of paragraph 20, except admits that the vessel struck Bligh Reef shortly after midnight on March 24, 1989, and that said reef is outside the traffic shipping lanes.
- 21. Denies the allegations of paragraph 21, except admits that tests were done on Hazelwood more than ten hours after the accident, that Hazelwood was subsequently discharged by Exxon Shipping, and that he has been charged with criminal charges in connection with the accident.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	22.	Denies	the	allega	tions	of	paragraph 2	2,	except
admits	that pu	blic re	cords	purpo	ort to	sho	ow that Haze	elwo	ood has
been c	onvicted	of dri	ving	while	under	the	einfluence	of	alcohol.

The same was the same to be a second to be a second

- 23. Denies the allegations of paragraph 23, except admits that the grounding damaged the vessel, and that approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were spilled into Prince William Sound.
- 24. Denies the allegations of paragraph 24, except admits that certain officials of Exxon and Exxon Shipping accepted responsibility for any legal obligations to those who suffered damage.
- 25-27. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 25-27 for lack of information or belief.
- 28. Denies the allegations of paragraph 28, except admits that Alyeska was unable totally to contain and clean up the spill.
- Denies for lack of information or belief the 29. allegations of paragraph 29, except admits that Coastal Alaska is an ecosystem and that birds and fish migrate in the area.
 - 30-31. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 30 and 31.

32. Denies the allegations of paragraph 32, except admits that AS 46.03.822, to the extent applicable and not preempted by federal law, may impose strict liability with respect to certain types of damage.

General Denial

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

33. Denies each and every other allegation in plaintiffs' complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.

Affirmative and Other Defenses

- 34. The complaint fails to state claims upon which relief can be granted.
- 35. Exxon is informed and believes that plaintiffs lack standing to claim or recover damages based on the allegations of the complaint.
- Independent of any legal obligation to do so, 36. Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill, and are incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill. Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full amount of all such payments in the event that plaintiffs' claims encompass such expenditures.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Certain persons engaged or employed in connection 37. with activities related to containment and cleanup of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other Payments received by such persons are a setoff activities. against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.

VALUE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

- 38. To the extent that persons able to mitigate damages failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable to such persons for avoidable losses.
- 39. Claims by some persons or entities who may be within the purported class have been settled and released.
- Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are un-40. constitutional under the United States Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.
- 41. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as to Exxon. Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.

- 42. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.
- Numerous persons and entities have filed lawsuits 43. against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment or judgments in such other lawsuits against Exxon and in favor of persons whose claims are encompassed in this action, such judgment or judgments will be res judicata as to the claims of such persons herein.
- Numerous persons and entities have filed other lawsuits against Exxon and various other defendants, and to the extent there is a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing claims made by plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein is barred to the extent that it would represent a multiple recovery for the same injury.
- 45. Some or all of plaintiffs' claims for damages may be barred or reduced by the doctrine of comparative negligence.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

The amount of liability, if any, for the acts 46. alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).

- 47. If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- 48. Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to protection of subsistence interests.
- 49. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are precluded by the Alaska statutory scheme for civil and criminal penalties.
- 50. Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under state law preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.
- 51. Certain claims asserted by plaintiffs are not ripe for adjudication.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

52. Those portions of AS 46.03 that were enacted after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10 of the United States

Constitution, and if applied to Exxon or to Exxon Pipeline would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.

53. The Fund established under the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c), may be strictly liable for some or all of the damages alleged by plaintiffs. This action should not proceed in the absence of the Fund's joinder as a defendant.

54. Exxon has acted pursuant to government approval and direction with regard to containment and clean-up of the oil spill.

Prayer

The same of the sa

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

 That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no relief, legal or equitable;

	- 1	 	
	1.	2.	That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action;
	2.	and	
	3.	·	
	4.	3.	For such other and further relief as the Court
	5.	deems just and	proper.
	6.		
	7.	DATED: August	15, 1989 Respectfully submitted,
	8.		CHARLES W. BENDER
	9.		PATRICK LYNCH JOHN F. DAUM
	10.		O'MELVENY SI MYERS
	11.		MMX
9999	12.		By John F. Daum
37) 274.	13.		
8) 190 180	14.		JOHN F. CLOUGH, III
TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666	15.		RANDALL J. WEDDLE FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN &
~	16.		HOLMES
	17.		
	18.		By Randall Weddle
	19.		Attorneys for Defendant Exxon
	20.		Corporation
	21.		
	22.		
	23.		
	24.		
	25.		
	26.		

26.

CHARLES W. BENDER PATRICK LYNCH 2. JOHN F. DAUM O'MELVENY & MYERS 3. 400 South Hope Street FILED Los Angeles, California 90071-2899 4. (213) 669-6000 5. AUG 1 5 1989 JOHN F. CLOUGH, III RANDALL J. WEDDLE UNITED SIMPS COURT 6. FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN & HOLMES DISTRIPT DE ALASKA 550 W. 7th Avenue, Suite 1000 7. Anchorage, Alaska 99501-3510 (907) 274-0666 8. 9. Attorneys for Defendant Exxon Corporation 10. Honorable H. Russell Holland 11. IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12. FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA 13. 14. In re No. A89-095 Civil 15. (Consolidated) The EXXON VALDEZ 16. ANSWER OF EXXON CORPORATION TO FIRST 17. This Document Relates to AMENDED COMPLAINT Action No .: FILED APRIL 7, 1989 18. 19. A89-106 MARTIN GORESON, et al. (P-30 through P-39); 20. 21. v. EXXON USA, INC., et al. 22. (D-1 through D-6). 23. 24. Exxon Corporation, also erroneously sued herein as

"Exxon USA, Inc.," for convenience identified in this answer as

us

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

"Exxon", as its answer to the complaint herein, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

As to each and every allegation denied herein for lack of information or belief, Exxon alleges that it is without knowledge or information sufficient categorically to admit or deny the said allegation at this time, wherefore it denies each said allegation using the phrase "denies for lack of information or belief."

Defense To First Cause of Action

- 1-2. Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 and 2 except admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter and that the matter is within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of this Court.
- 3-4. Denies for lack of information or belief the allegations of paragraphs 3 and 4.
- 5. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 5, except admits that Exxon is a New Jersey corporation qualified to do business in Alaska; that Exxon Company, U.S.A., erroneously designated as "EXXON U.S.A., Inc.," is an unincorporated division of Exxon; and that Exxon Shipping

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Company ("Exxon Shipping") is a Delaware corporation qualified to do business in Alaska.

- 6. Denies the allegations of paragraph 6, except admits that Exxon Shipping is the owner of the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 7. Denies the allegations of paragraph 7, except admits that Exxon owns all of the stock of Exxon Shipping.
- 8. Denies the allegations of paragraph 8, except admits that the EXXON VALDEZ is registered as a United States vessel and is owned by Exxon Shipping.
 - 9-10. Admits the allegations of paragraphs 9 and 10.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 10 hereof, as if set out in full.
- 12. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 12, except admits that Exxon Shipping owns and operates the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 13. Denies the allegations of paragraph 13, except admits that on March 24, 1989 the EXXON VALDEZ was en route from

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System terminal at the Port of Valdez, Alaska, to Long Beach, California.

- 14. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 14, except admits that Exxon owned the cargo carried by the EXXON VALDEZ.
- 15. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 15, except admits that Alyeska operates the terminal facility at the Port of Valdez, and that Alyeska formulated an oil spill contingency plan, applicable, in part, to possible oil spills in Prince William Sound.
 - 16. Admits the allegations of paragraph 16.
- 17. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 17, except admits that, on March 24, 1989, the EXXON VALDEZ left the south bound channel, in part to avoid ice, and ran aground on Bligh Reef and that, as a result of the grounding, approximately 258,000 barrels of crude oil were discharged into Prince William Sound.
- Denies for lack of information or belief each and 18. every allegation of paragraph 18.
 - 19. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 19.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Answering paragraph 20, Exxon realleges and 20. incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 though 19 hereof, as if set out in full.

Denies the allegations of paragraphs 21 and 22, except admits that Joseph Hazelwood was an employee of Exxon Shipping and that his duties aboard the EXXON VALDEZ were within the scope of his employment.

Defense To Second Cause of Action

23. Answering paragraph 23, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 22 hereof, as if set out in full.

Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 24 24-26. through 26.

Defense To Third Cause of Action

Answering paragraph 27, Exxon realleges and 27. incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 26 hereof, as if set out in full.

TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 28 28-30. through 30 insofar as they pertain to Exxon and Exxon Shipping, and denies said allegations for lack of information or belief insofar as they pertain to other defendants.

Defense To Fourth Cause of Action

- 31. Answering paragraph 31, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 hereof, as if set out in full.
- Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 32 32-33. and 33.

Defense To Fifth Cause of Action

- 34. Answering paragraph 34, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 hereof, as if set out in full.
 - Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 35. 35.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

Defense To Sixth Cause of Action

36. Answering paragraph 36, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 35 hereof, as if set out in full.

37. Denies each and every allegation of paragraph 37.

Defense To Seventh Cause of Action

38. Answering paragraph 38, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 hereof, as if set out in full.

39. Answering paragraph 39, alleges that Exxon is not required to respond to the allegations in said paragraph 39.

Defense To Eighth Cause of Action

40. Answering paragraph 40, Exxon realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every admission, denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 39 hereof as set out in full.

	1.	41-42. Denies the allegations of paragraphs 41 and 42.
	2.	
	3.	Defense To Ninth Cause of Action
	4.	
	5.	43. Answering paragraph 43, Exxon realleges and
	6.	incorporates herein by reference each and every admission,
	7.	denial and allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 42
	8.	hereof, as if set out in full.
	9.	
	10.	44-45. Denies each and every allegation of paragraphs 44
	11.	and 45.
999	12.	
77) 27 4.	13.	46. Answering paragraph 46, Exxon is not required to
TELEPHONE (907) 274-0666	14.	respond to the allegations of paragraph 46.
EL EPH	15.	
- -	16.	General Denial
	17.	
	18.	47. Denies each and every other allegation in plain-
	19.	tiffs' complaint that was not specifically admitted herein.
	20.	
	21.	Affirmative and Other Defenses
	22.	
	23.	48. The complaint and each count thereof fails to
	24.	state claims upon which relief can be granted.
	25.	
	26.	
	Į	

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	49.	Exxon	is	informed	and	believes	that	plaintiffs
lack	standing	to clai	im o	or recove	dan	mages bas	ed on	the
alleg	gations of	the co	gmc	laint.				

- 50. Independent of any legal obligation to do so, Exxon Shipping and Exxon are voluntarily paying claims for economic loss allegedly caused by the oil spill and are incurring other expenses in connection with the oil spill.

 Exxon and Exxon Shipping are entitled to a setoff in the full amount of all such payments in the event that such plaintiffs' claims encompass such expenditures.
- 51. Certain persons engaged or employed in connection with activities related to containment and clean up of the oil released from the EXXON VALDEZ were thereby able to avoid or mitigate damage from the interruption of fishery and other activities. Payments received by such persons are a set off against losses, if any, resulting from the interruption of fishery and other activities.
- 52. To the extent that persons able to mitigate damages failed to do so, defendants cannot be held liable to such persons for avoidable losses.
- 53. Plaintiffs' claims for punitive damages are unconstitutional under the United States Constitution, including,

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

without limitation, Article I, Section 8; Amendment V; and Amendment XIV; and the Alaska Constitution, including, without limitation, Article I, Section 7 and Article I, Section 12.

The speciment with the property of the second secon

- 54. The damages alleged in the complaint were caused, in part, by the action of others not joined as defendants herein as to whom a right of contribution or indemnity should exist as Exxon may seek leave of Court to join such additional persons as third party defendants on the basis of further discovery herein.
- 55. Plaintiffs' claims sound in maritime tort and are subject to applicable admiralty limits on recovery of damages for remote economic loss unaccompanied by physical injury to person or property.
- 56. Numerous persons and entities have filed lawsuits against Exxon relating to the oil spill, some of whom purport to represent the plaintiffs in this action. In the event of any judgment or judgments in such other lawsuits against Exxon and in favor of persons whose claims are encompassed in these actions, such judgment or judgments will be res judicata as to the claims of such persons herein.
- 57. Numerous persons and entities have filed other lawsuits against Exxon and various other defendants, and to the

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

extent there is a recovery in said other lawsuits encompassing claims made by plaintiffs herein, recovery on the claims herein is barred to the extent that it would represent a multiple recovery for the same injury.

- 58. Some or all of plaintiffs' claims for damages may be barred or reduced by the doctrine of comparative negligence.
- 59. The amount of liability, if any, for the acts alleged is controlled by statute, including, without limitation, 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c) and AS 09.17.010, .060 and .080(d).
- If punitive damages were to be awarded or civil or criminal penalties assessed in any other lawsuit against Exxon relating to the oil spill, such award bars imposition of punitive damages in this action.
- Some of plaintiffs' claims, including claims for 61. punitive damages, are preempted by the comprehensive scheme of federal statutes and regulations, including its system of criminal and civil penalties, sanctions and remedies relevant to the oil spill, and its scheme relevant to the protection of subsistence interests.

1

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

	62	2.	Plaint	iffs'	clai	ms for	puni	itive	damag	es a	are	pre-
cluded	by t	the	Alaska	statu	tory	scheme	for	civi	l and	cri	mina	al
penalt	ies.											

- 63. Plaintiffs' claims for compensatory relief under state law are preempted by federal statutory and common law schemes for compensatory relief.
- 64. Certain claims asserted by plaintiffs are not ripe for adjudication.
- 65. Those portions of AS 46.03 that were enacted after the oil spill constitute an unlawful bill of attainder violative of Article I, Section 10 of the United States Constitution, and if applied to Exxon would also violate the due process clauses of the United States and Alaska Constitutions, and the contract clause of the United States Constitution.
- 66. Exxon and Exxon Shipping have acted pursuant to government approval and direction with respect to the containment and clean-up of the oil spill.
- 67. The corporate plaintiffs herein lack the capacity to commence and maintain this action insofar as they have failed to allege and prove that they have paid their Alaska biennial

corporate taxes last due and have filed biennial reports for the last reporting period.

Prayer

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

WHEREFORE, Exxon prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That plaintiffs take nothing and be granted no relief, legal or equitable;
- That Exxon be awarded its costs in this action; 2. and

1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.

26.

3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: August 15, 1989

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES W. BENDER PATRICK LYNCH JOHN F. DAUM O'MELVENY & MYERS

John F. Daum

JOHN F. CLOUGH, III
RANDALL J. WEDDLE
FAULKNER, BANFIELD, DOOGAN &
HOLMES

By Randall S. Weddle

Attorneys for Defendant Exxon Corporation