FILED Douglas J. Serdahely, Esq. BOGLE & GATES MAY 1 7 1989 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 600 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-4557 DISTRICT OF ALASKA Py _____ Deputy Attorneys for defendants Exxon Corporation, Exxon Shipping Company Exxon Company, USA, Exxon Shipping Company, as owner of the Exxon Valdez and/or Exxon Pipeline Company IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA In re No. A89-095 Civil the EXXON VALDEZ (Consolidated) Re Case No. A89-096 STIPULATION BETWEEN P-13 THROUGH P-15, D-1 THROUGH D-6, D-10 AND D-22 REGARDING DOCUMENT PRESERVATION ORDER Plaintiffs Cruzan Fisheries, Inc., et al. (P-13 through P-15), defendants Exxon Corporation, Exxon Shipping Company, Exxon Company, USA, Exxon Shipping Company, as owner of the Exxon Valdez and Exxon Pipeline Company ("Exxon") (D-1, D-2, D-5, D-6 and D-10), defendant Alyeska Pipeline Service Company ("Alyeska") (D-3), Trans-Alaska Pipeline Liability Fund ("TAPAA Fund") (D-4), and defendant State of Alaska ("State") (D-22) hereby stipulate as follows:

BOGLE & GATES

10 Ith Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 276-4557

STIPULATION REGARDING DOCUMENT PRESERVATION ORDER -1-DJS290B1 1. Pursuant to this Court's Order No. 3, the parties hereby stipulate to meet as soon as practicable within the next two weeks to discuss, "fine tune" and redraft the defendants' proposed order as suggested by the Court.

· .

2. Until such time as this Court has issued its final document retention order herein, the parties further stipulate that duplicate copies of electronically recorded or transmitted messages need not be preserved so long as one exact copy of such message is preserved, whether in "hard copy" form or by electronic message.

DATED: May $/ \frac{7}{7}$, 1989

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN C. DHARR

₿y: Laurence Keyes

Attorneys for plaintiffs Cruzan Fisheries, Inc., Stanley Norris Grove and Anthony Grove (P-13 through P-15)

DATED: May 17, 1989

BOGLE & GATES

Servelie Douglas J. Serdahely Richard M. Clinton

Attorneys for defendants Exxon Corporation (D-1), Exxon Shipping Company (D-2), Exxon Company, USA (D-5) Exxon Shippping Company, as owner of the Exxon Valdez (D-6) and Exxon Pipeline Company (D-10)

BOGLE & GATES

50 10 → 3th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 276-4557

STIPULATION REGARDING DOCUMENT PRESERVATION ORDER -2-DJS290B1

..... DATED: May (7, 1989 BURR, PEASE & KURTZ, P.C. By: Charles P. Attorneys for defendant Alyeska Pipeline Service Company (D-3) DATED: May 17, 1989 GROH, EGGERS & PRICE By: Attorneys for defendant Trans-Alaska Pipeline Liability Fund (D-4)PRESTON, THORGRIMSON, ELLIS DATED: May ___, 1989 & HOLMAN Ву:___ (Лот USED Michael N. White Attorneys for defendant State of Alaska (D-22) BOGLE & GATES th Avenue STIPULATION REGARDING DOCUMENT (907) 276 4557 PRESERVATION ORDER -3-DJS290B1

	(.	(
	DATED: May <u>17</u>, 1989	DOUGLAS B. BAILY ATTORNEY GENERAL
	FILED MAY 191989 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASKA BI Deputy	By: <u>Craig J. Tillery</u> Craig J: Tillery Attorneys for defendant State of Alaska (D-22)
	IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED at Anchorage, Alas	DER ska, this Honorable H. Russel Holland ted States District Judge
BOGLE & GATES	STIPULATION REGARDING DOCUMENT PRESERVATION ORDER - DJS290B1	-4

	(
•			
	Douglas J. Serdahely, Esq. Bogle & Gates 1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-4557 Richard M. Clinton, Esq. Bogle & Gates The Bank of California Center 900 West 4th Avenue Seattle, Washington 98164		
•	(206) 682-5151 Attorneys for defendants Exxon Corporation, Exxon Company, USA, Exxon Shipping Company, Exxon Shipping Company, as owner of the EXXON VALDEZ and Exxon Pipeline Company		
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA		
	In re) No. A89-095 Civil the EXXON VALDEZ) (Consolidated))		
	Re Case No. A89-096		
	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE		
	STATE OF ALASKA) : ss. THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT)		
	Joy C. Steveken, being first duly sworn, upon oath,		
	deposes and says: that she is employed as a legal secretary in		
	the offices of Bogle & Gates, 1031 West 4th Street, Suite 600,	÷	
BOGLE & GATES		:	
1) 4th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 276 4557	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE -1- DJS232AJ		

Anchorage, Alaska 99501; that service of the Stipulation Between P-13 Through P-15, D-1 Through D-6, D-10 and D-22 Regarding Document Preservation Order has been made upon all counsel of record based upon the court's Master Service List of May 9, 1989 on the 17th day of May, 1989 via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid.

Siteveken

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 17th day of May,

Notary Public for Alaska My Commission Expires : 3/73/93

BOGLE & GATES

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE DJS232AJ

1989.

-2-

Ċ	C C
	FILED
1	MAY 1 9 1989
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
- 3	DISTRUCT OF ALASKA B1 DEPUTY
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
. 8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA
9	
10	In re)
11	the EXXON VALDEZ) No. A89-095 Civil
12) (Consolidated))
13	
14	ORDER NO. 4
15	(P-65 Motion to Remand Case No. A89-145 Civil)
16	(1-05 Hotton to Remand Case No. Ros 149 CIVII)
17	Plaintiff Gerald E. Thorne and his co-plaintiffs have
18	moved to remand Case No. A89-145 Civil, which had been Alaska
19	Superior Court Case No. 3CO-89-29 Civil, for the reason that
20	plaintiff inadvertently styled his case so as to include the
21	Trans-Alaska Pipeline Liability Fund (D-4), whereas the body of
22	the complaint contains no claim against said defendant. D-4 does
23	not object to the remand on the condition that plaintiffs file a
24	notice of dismissal as to it. Such a notice of dismissal has
25	been filed. The case is therefore subject to remand insofar as
26	D-4.

AO 72 (Rev.8/82) •

ORDER (P-65 Motion to Remand Case No. A89-145 Civil) Page 1 of 2

However, it has been pointed out to the court that other defendants have joined in the removal, and may be entitled to insist that this case remain in federal court. Having reviewed plaintiffs' complaint, it is the court's tentative conclusion that the complaint states only state law or common law causes of action, not federal questions. Moreover, and at least superficially, there would not appear to be diversity of citizenship between the plaintiffs and all of the defendants.

The defendants remaining in Case No. A89-145 Civil shall show cause, on or before June 5, 1989, why this case should not be remanded to the Superior Court for the State of Alaska. If any defendant shall make a filing in response to the foregoing, plaintiffs may respond thereto on or before June 20, 1989.

DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 18 th day of May, 1989.

States Distri ge

PURSUANT TO THIS COURT'S PRETRIAL ORDER,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 22

23

24

25

26

ORDER (P-65 Motion to Remand Case No. A89-145 Civil) Page 2 of 2