25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2									Page
3					SE(CTION I			
4				D. D. D. D. W. L.			8 D D D 7777 8 M D	D. CONTRACTOR	
5		CLAIMS	CASE,	PARTY			ABBREVIATE		_
6	1.	Natur	e of c	ace an	d Propose	1 Overall	Order of P	roceedina	1
7	1.				-			_	_
8		1.1 1.2	Glaci Ident	er Bay ificat	Oil Spill ion of Iss	l sues			. 1
	{	1.3	Adjud				3 <u>.</u>		. 2
9		1.4	Phase	I (Compensato	ory Damage	es Issues	• • • • • • • • •	2 2 3
10		1.6	Phase	11	Liability	y and Puni	itive Damag	es Issues.	4
		1.7	Phase	II	Trials		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	. 4
11	2.	Ident	ificat	ion of	Parties		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •	5
12		2.1	Plain	tiffs			• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	5
13			2.1.1	Dri	ft Netter:	3			5
14			2.1.2	Set	Netters		• • • • • • • • • •		. 5
, ,			2.1.3	Ten	ders food Proce		•••••		
15			2.1.4	Sea	TOOU PLUCE	255015	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	
16		2.2	Defen	dants			• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	. 6
17	}		2.2.1		sel Defend			• • • • • • • • •	
					TAPS Fund		Defendants		
18	į				er Defenda				
19			2.2.5	Ind	ividual Do	efendants	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	
		2.3	Unite	d Stat	es Govern	nent	• • • • • • • • •		. 8
20	3.	N h h = o		State	ment of C	laima			. 9
21	٥.					raims		• • • • • • • • •	_
22		3.1	Basis	of Cl	aims		• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	. 9
23			3.1.1		intiffs' (on Plaintif	fg'	9
24			J. 1. 6.	Cla				••••••	. 9
25									
26									
}	1								

	3.2 3.3	Legal Theories Injuries Asserted by Pla	intiffs	P
4.	Abbre	eviated Statement of Third	-Party Claims	11
	4.5 4.6	and Indemnification TAPS' Claims Claims Against the United Claims by the United Sta- Claims in the Limitation Tesoro's Claims SOHIO's Claims Against We	d States Government	11 12 12 12 13 13
OI	NT STAI	TEMENT OF ISSUES	•••••	14
5.	Plair	ntiffs' Issues	••••••	14
5.	Defer	ndants' Legal and Factual	Issues	14
	6.1	Issues to be Addressed in	n Phase I (Compensatory	
	6.2	Damages Issues to be Addressed in	n Phase II (Liability and	14
	6.3	Punitive Damages)	s Issues	15 16
		SECTION	111	
OVI	ERNING	RULES AND PROTOCOLS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18
7.			Civil Procedure, Federal	
•	Rules	of Evidence and the Local	Rules of the United	
		es District Court for Alask	:a	18
	7.1 7.2	Applicable Rules Plan Not Affect Parties'	Substantive Rights	18 18
	7.3 7.4	Leave to Request Relief	rom Plan	18
_			tion	19
В.	Liais	son Counsel	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19
	8.1 8.2	Appointment Changes in Liaison Counse	:1	19 19
	8.3	Duties of Liaison Counsel		19

1		8.4	No Admi	ssion of Joint Regreement to Appoin	esponsi	bility o	r Liabil	ity	To the
2		8.5	Plainti Defenda	ffs' Liaison Cour ints' Liaison Cour	nsel nsel			• • • • •	20 20 20
3	10.00	8.7		ent Liaison Couns Service List	sel				20 21
4	9.	Sched	uling of	Depositions				• • • • •	21
5		9.1		ion Discovery					21
6 7		9.2	Individ	itracking of Depo ual Fishermen Pla nd Emergency Noti	aintiff	s			23 23
′									23
8	10.		ional Di ctions	scovery Procedure	es Rela	ting to			24
9				ication System n for Production		uments .			24 26
10									
1				Usual Procedures Burdensome Produ					26 26
2		10.3	Documen	t Depository					27
3			10.3.1	Optional Electic	on to U	se Centr	_	_	27
5			10.3.2			dures fo	r the		27
6		10.4	Use of Documen	Stipulations To 1	Identif	y and Au			27
7	100		10.4.1	Governed by Fede	eral Ru	les of E	vidence		27
8	3 24 10		10.4.2		of Stip	ulations			27
9				Master	-	• • • • • • • •			28
20		10.5	Dispute	s Regarding Privi	leged	Document	s	• • • • •	28
21			10.5.1 10.5.2 10.5.3		vilege				28 29 29
23	11.	Addit	ional Ru	les Relating to 1	Interro	gatories			30
24		11.1		entation of Gener nal Interrogatori					30 30
25									

1			11.2.3	Repetitive Production	e Interroga	tories tories or Requ	ests for	31
2			11.2.5 11.2.6	Concurren	t Written D	iscovery Allow	ed	31 31
3			11.2.0	Answers	ication of E	arlier Respons		31
5	12.				ction of Do	cuments, Time for Resp	oonding	32
6				nt of Obje r Answerin		sponding		32 32
7	13.	Exper	t Witness	ses		• • • • • • • • • •	•••••	33
9						n		33 34
10						itionssitions		34 35
11		13.3	Rules Go	overning E	xpert Witne	ss Depositions	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	35
12 13	14.		Relating ional Par			gs and Joinder		36
14	·	14.1	Amendmer	nt of Plea	dings			36
15	15.	Juris	dictional	l, Disposi	tive and Su	mmary Judgment	Motions	38
16		15.1 15.2	Rule 12	Motions f	or More Def	ons inite Statemen Process or Ser	it, to	38 38
17		15.3				al Motions		39
18			15.3.1 15.3.2	Phasing Motions f	or Diemieca	l and Summary		39
19			13.3.2	Judgment	OI DIBMIBBO	1 and Summary		39
20				15.3.2.1 15.3.2.2		t Requiring Di and Summary Ju		39
21				13.3.4.2		quiring Discov		39
22		15.4 15.5				ermitted		41 42
23		15.6	Motions	for Defau	lt Under Ru	le 55	• • • • • • • •	42
24								
25								
26								

	4 i						
1	16.	Resol	ution of	Discovery	Disputes	••••••	42
2		16.1	Discove	ry Confere	nces	••••••	42
3			16.1.2	Obligatio	n to Confer	nts	42 42
4			16.1.3			tion to Confer and of Disagreement	43
5	17.	Appoi	ntment o	f Discover	y Special Ma	aster	44
6		17.1		on of Mast		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	44
7		17.2 17.3				ecial Master	44 45
8						scovery Disputes	45
9			17.3.2	Presentat Master	ion of Disc	overy Disputes to	45
10				17.3.2.1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45
11				17.3.2.2		Hearing of Discovery	46
12				17.3.2.3	Hearings	Master	46 47
13			17.3.3			ns of the Discovery	-,
14			17.3.3		aster		47
15				17.3.3.1 17.3.3.2	Finality Written Dec	cision Filed With Court	47 48
16				17.3.3.3	Appellate 1	Procedure	48 48
17				17.3.3.4		le 5 Applies to Appeals	49
18	18.	Coord	ination	with State	Proceeding:	S	49
19				ings Invol f Alaska A		dual Plaintiffs	49 49
20					SECTION IV		
21	19.	Phase	I: Dis	covery and	Trial of P	rivate Plaintiffs and	
22		the U	SG's Com	pensatory	Damage Clair	ms	50
23		19.1 19.2				e I se I	50 50
24			USG's C	ompensator	y Damage Tr:	ialaintiffs for Trial	50 51
25		-/17					<i>J</i> 1

1 2			19.4.1 19.4.2 19.4.3	January 3 May 30, 1 June 30,	990 1990		• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• •	51 51 52
3			19.4.4	Reasonabl Plaintiff Substitut	e errores	5 10	26160			• • • •	• •	52 52
4				19.4.5.1	Plaintii							
5				19.4.5.2		ment	of Pla	ainti	ffs		• •	53
6					Designat Under §	19.4	.2			• • • •	• •	54
7				19.4.5.3	Replacer Groups		or Al				• •	55
8	20.	First	Round of	f Discover	y in Phas	se I	• • • • •			• • • •	• •	56
9		20.1	Commence		in Tail		 Danad					56 56
10		20.2	_	f Discover	_							
11	21.	Secon	d Round o	of Discove	ry in Pha	ase I	• • • •	• • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •	• •	56
12		21.1 21.2	Commence Scope of	ement f Discover	y in Seco	ond R	ound.					56 56
13	22.	Third	Round of	f Discover	y in Phas	se I	• • • • •	• • • •		• • • •	• •	57
15		22.1 22.2 22.3		n ing of Exp	ert Depos		 ns				• •	57 57 57
16	23.			Time Allow	ed for D							- 0
17		of Pla					• • • • •			•		58
18	24.	Prepa	ration a	nd Lodging	of Preti	rial	Order	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• •	58
19		24.1 24.2		e ied Pretri	al Order		• • • • •		• • • • •			58 58
20				Purpose			• • • • •	• • • • •		• • • •	• •	58
21			24.2.2	Contents					• • • • •		• •	59
22				24.2.2.2	Witness Exhibit	Iden	tific	ation	• • • •	• • • •	• •	59 59
23				-	List of List of							59 59
,,												

- 1	í i		
1	25.	Establishment of Deadlines for Pretrial Motions, Submission of Pretrial Order, and Filing Jury	
2		Instructions and Motions in Limine	60
3		25.1 Deadlines for Filing Pretrial Motions	60 60
4		25.3 Exhibits, Witness Lists and Trial Briefs	60 61
5	26.	Setting Trial Date	61
6	27.	Post Trial Discovery on Remaining Plaintiffs' Claims	61
7 8	28.	Summary Adjudications by the Court of Remaining Compensatory Damage Claims	61
9	Appe	ndix A - Proposed Protective Order	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

SECTION I

NATURE OF CASE, PARTY IDENTIFICATION AND ABBREVIATED STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

Pursuant to the Court's Pretrial Order Number 1 (herein "PTO 1"), the parties, by and through their respective counsel, submit the following Case Management Plan ("Plan") for the future conduct of and fair administration of this proceeding and its just resolution.

- 1. Nature of Case and Proposed Overall Order of Proceeding.

 This Section of the Plan is designed to give the court an overview of the parties' proposal for managing this consolidated action.

 This Section is not intended to control other, more specific provisions of the Plan nor to affect the terms of other stipulations or agreements between and among the parties being contemporaneously presented to the court. The stipulations and the Plan are, however, mutually dependent; the parties' agreements will be deemed null and void unless the court adopts the Plan and approves the stipulations.
 - 1.1 Glacier Bay Oil Spill. These consolidated actions arise out of an oil spill in July 1987 involving the Tanker Vessel Glacier Bay.
 - 1.2 <u>Identification of Issues</u>. The parties have identified in Section II the issues which each currently believes exist. The parties reserve the right to identify

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 1

West House

additional issues from time to time for incorporation into any pretrial order the court enters under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16.

- 1.3 Adjudication of Case in Phases. In order to simplify resolution of this action, the parties propose to the court that the action be divided into two phases, the first focusing on plaintiffs' and the United States' compensatory damage claims, and the second on plaintiffs' punitive damage and any remaining statutory or common law claims and the contribution, reimbursement, subrogation and indemnity claims of the various defendants and third/fourth-party defendants inter se.
- 1.4 Phase I -- Compensatory Damages Issues. The focus of
 Phase I will be:
 - a) Which plaintiffs may properly assert claims for compensable damages;
 - b) Which of plaintiffs' damage claims are legally cognizable; and
 - c) What evidence exists to substantiate such claims. To facilitate this proceeding, and in accordance with and subject to the terms of the Case Management Stipulation submitted contemporaneously herewith, West, Trinidad, and The TAPS Fund will stipulate to facts giving rise to strict liability against them under TAPAA, and Trinidad and SOHIO, solely as a guarantor of Trinidad under state law, will stipulate to facts giving rise to strict

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 2

 $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{n}^{0} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{w}_{N_{\mathbf{w}}}}$

4

6

14

17

25

26

We How

liability under AS 46.04.040 and 46.03.822. Motion practice, discovery, trials and summary adjudications will be limited to the issues presented by those questions, and the parties will, consequently, avoid liability discovery. Phase I discovery shall begin around November 21, 1989 and be completed by October 15, 1990.

1.5 Phase I Proceedings. Phase I of the Plan is primarily designed to bring the plaintiffs' and United States' compensatory damage claims into a settlement posture at the earliest possible opportunity. Plaintiffs and defendants have differing views on plaintiffs' entitlement to recover and the ...antum of certain elements of compensatory damages they seek in this action. Accordingly, to the extent claims are not settled, the parties propose proceeding with three separate jury trials involving approximately forty-eight (48) plaintiffs, fairly divided among the various plaintiff groups (as set forth in § 2.1), and a bench trial of the United States' affirmative claims (in accordance with § 19.3, infra) in Phase I leading to Rule 54(b) judgments on the amount of various plaintiffs' and the United States' compensatory damages. The parties will, thereafter, begin submitting the remaining claims

MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 3

- 1.6 Phase II -- Liability and Punitive Damages Issues. The focus of Phase II will be to address all liability issues, including any remaining compensatory damage issues not resolved in Phase I, plaintiffs' right to recover punitive damages against Trinidad, West of England, J. Nichols and ITOPF as well as trial of the defendants' and third/fourth-party defendants' damage, reimbursement, subrogation, indemnity and contribution claims. Plaintiffs, as part of this Plan, agree to dismiss with prejudice all punitive damage claims against all present defendants other than West and Trinidad. parties will begin conferring with respect to a plan for the conduct of discovery and trial in that Phase within ninety (90) days of the completion of the third trial of Phase I. However, discovery can begin in Phase II ninety days after the third Phase I trial regardless of whether the parties have yet agreed on a plan.
- 1.7 Phase II Trials. The parties shall confer, in connection with the Phase II planning process, with respect to how trials should proceed in that Phase.

41 36⁷⁷ H ¹¹(⁷⁷

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2. Identification of Parties.

- 2.1 Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are individual fishermen, tenders and processors, all of whom claim to have sustained damage because of the effects of the spill. There will be about 700 individual claims joined in this consolidated action. The defendants are removing all related state actions, and the parties will proceed with all discovery in this proceeding, other than the State of Alaska action which will remain in state court. For purposes of this proceeding, the plaintiffs can be generally grouped as follows:
 - 2.1.1 <u>Drift Netters</u>—are those 1987 permit holders in Upper Cook Inlet joined in this proceeding, and others claiming under these permit holders, who use medium—size fishing vessels equipped with gill nets which the fisherman drift.
 - 2.1.2 <u>Set Netters</u>—are those 1987 permit holders in Upper Cook Inlet joined in this proceeding, and others claiming under these permit holders, who set their gill nets at or near the shore.
 - 2.1.3 <u>Tenders</u>—are those joined in this proceeding who purchased fish in 1987 from Drift Netters or Set Netters and sold the fish to Seafood Processors.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 5

See House

2.1.4 <u>Seafood Processors</u>—are those joined in this proceeding who purchased fish in 1987 from Drift Netters, Set Netters and/or Tenders and who prepared the fish for delivery to third-party purchasers.

- 2.2 <u>Defendants</u>. Defendants are parties whom plaintiffs andthe United States (herein "USG") claim bear liability for the oil spill under federal and state common and statutory laws. Defendants can generally be grouped as follows:
 - Vessel Defendants—defendants who have been joined in this proceeding because of plaintiffs' and/or the USG's allegations that they had some interest by charter in T/V Glacier Bay. These include: Kee Leasing, Inc. ("Kee"); Mathiasen's Tankers Industries, Inc. ("Mathiasen"); Glacier Bay Transportation Corporation ("GBTC"); and Trinidad Corporation ("Trinidad") (collectively "The Owner Interests"). Trinidad entered into a Tanker Time Charter Party for the vessel with S.P.C Shipping, Inc. ("SPC") ("the Time Charterer") on or about June 27, 1986, and SPC entered into a Tanker Voyage Charter Party on

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 6

23 24

22

25

26

June 27, 1986, with Tesoro Alaska Petroleum Company ("Tesoro"). Tesoro owned the oil spilled by the Glacier Bay. The USG has also sued Glacier Bay, in rem.

- 2.2.2 Insurer and Statutory Defendants--defendants who have been joined in this proceeding because of plaintiffs' allegations that they provided insurance coverage or have statutory liability for damages resulting from the spill. These include: West of England Ship Owners Mutual Protection & Indemnity Association (Luxembourg) ("West of England"); and the Standard Oil Company ("SOHIO").
- The TAPS Fund. The Trans-Alaska Pipeline System 2.2.3 Fund ("The TAPS Fund") is a non-profit corporation which was created by the Act of Congress that authorized the construction of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. Pursuant to that legislation, the Fund is, subject to certain defenses and liability limits, strictly liable for economic damage claims arising from the discharge from a vessel of oil that has been loaded at the terminal facilities of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 7

- 2.2.5 Individual Defendants—defendants who have been joined in this proceeding because of plaintiffs' and/or the USG's allegations that they were personally responsible for the spill. These include: Mark Hawker (the vessel's master) and Andrew Subcleff (the vessel's pilot at the time of the incident).
- 2.3 <u>United States Government</u>—The USG seeks affirmative recoveries against various defendants and fourth-party defendants, including the <u>Glacier Bay</u>, <u>in rem</u>, on behalf of the U.S. Coast Guard ("USCG") and the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA"), both of which claim to have expended monies totalling approximately \$2 million in pollution cleanup costs.

 Three of the defendants, Trinidad, Tesoro, and West of England, have filed actions against the USG, alleging the USG caused or contributed to the oil spill as a result of allegedly defective surveys and charts.

We Harry

Basis of Claims.

 \parallel

3.1

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 9

- 3.1.1 <u>Plaintiffs' Claims</u>. On July 2, 1987, the Tanker Vessel <u>Glacier Bay</u> struck a submerged rock as the vessel anchored in Cook Inlet, Alaska. The <u>Glacier Bay</u> discharged crude oil on that day and for several days thereafter. Plaintiffs claim that the <u>Glacier Bay</u> discharged between 150,000 and 207,564 gallons, which plaintiffs allege caused environmental damage and financial injury to the plaintiffs.
- 3.1.2 <u>Defendants' Position on Plaintiffs' Claims</u>.

 Defendants believe the amount of crude oil discharged from the <u>Glacier Bay</u> did not exceed 60,000 gallons and contend that the economic loss damages sought by plaintiffs are for less in the aggregate than the plaintiffs claim.
- 3.2 <u>Legal Theories</u>. Plaintiffs assert a number of legal theories including:
 - 3.2.1 Strict liability under 43 U.S.C. §§ 1651-55

 against Trinidad, Kee, West of England, GBTC, SPC

 and SOHIO as alleged owners/operators of the

 vessel:
 - 3.2.2 Strict liability of The TAPS Fund under 43 U.S.C. §§ 1651-55;

1	3.2.3	Strict liability of Trinidad, Kee, GBTC,
2		Mathiasen, SPC, SOHIO, Tesoro, CIRO, West of
3		England, and Subcleff under AS 46.03.822 for
4		allegedly owning and/or having control over the
5		oil which spilled;
6	3.2.4	Common law abnormally dangerous activities (the
7		production, transportation, refining and handling
8		of crude oil) against all defendants except The
9		TAPS Fund;
10	3.2.5	Common law negligence of all defendants, except
11		The TAPS Fund, in the loading, transportation and
12		handling of crude oil and in the cleanup,
13		prevention and containment efforts following the
14		spill;
15	3.2.6	Common law nuisance and trespass claims against
16		all defendants, except The TAPS Fund.
17	3.2.7	Claims against West of England as beneficiaries
18		of its insurance contract with Trinidad;
19	3.2.8	Punitive damage claims arising out of the spill
20		and the cleanup thereof against Trinidad;
21	3.2.9	Punitive damage claims arising out of the cleanup
22		and bad faith claims settlement practices against
23		West of England; and
24		
25		

26

We Holly

- Injuries Asserted by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs claim each individual plaintiff sustained damage involving, in various ways, all or some of the following: closed, restricted and cancelled fishing periods; fouled gear; additional labor expense; loss of income due to disruption of fishing; drop in price; impacts from glut of fish and processing slowdowns due to the oil spill; lost profits; and emotional distress. Plaintiffs only seek punitive damages against Trinidad, the West of England, Nichols and ITOPF.
- 4. Abbreviated Statement of Third-Party Claims.
 - 4.1 Third-Party Claims and Crossclaims for Contribution and Indemnification. Various defendants and third-party defendants have asserted claims for their own damages and for indemnification and contribution against all or some of the other defendants and third-party defendants.

 $g_{i'}^{i'} \pi^{a_{i''}}$

- 4.3 Claims Against the United States Government. One or more of the defendants will claim that NOAA negligently failed to survey and properly chart the waters in which the spill occurred and that such negligence was either the sole cause or a proximate cause of the plaintiffs' damages and/or damages of one or more defendants.
- 4.4 Claims by the United States Government. The USG will, on behalf of the USCG and NOAA, seek to recover from one or more defendants and third/fourth-party defendants for approximately \$2 million in cleanup and response costs the USG allegedly incurred. The USG claims under the Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act ("TAPAA"), 43 U.S.C. § 1653(c); the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("FWPCA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321; the Refuse Act, 33 U.S.C. § 407; and general maritime and federal common law. addition, the USG seeks contribution under general maritime law.
- Claims in the Limitation Action. It is expected that one 4.5 or more of the defendants and third-party defendants will assert claims in the limitation action filed by Trinidad in this court.

Barbar wend to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

War Harry

- 4.7 SOHIO's Claims Against West of England. SOHIO has tendered to West of England all claims asserted against it in connection with its issuance of a statement of financial guarantee under AS 46.03.822, and West of England has agreed to accept the tender and fully indemnify SOHIO for those claims.
- 4.8 SPC's Claims. SPC has asserted claims against Trinidad and West of England arising from the Time Charter Party between or involving those parties which the parties have agreed to litigate, if necessary, in Phase II.

State Office

SECTION II

JOINT STATEMENT OF ISSUES

The following is a list of the major legal and factual issues in this proceeding as presently viewed by each of the parties. The parties reserve the right to supplement and revise this list as discovery proceeds.

5. Plaintiffs' Issues.

- 5.1 What amount of damage suffered by each of the individual plaintiffs was caused by the defendants?
- 5.2 Whether any of the Trinidad and/or the West of England should be liable to the plaintiffs for punitive damages and, if so, in what amount?
- 5.3 Whether correspondence between the defendants and the Bradbury, Bliss firm concerning the cleanup and subsequent handling of claims are discoverable?
- 5.4 Whether SOHIO's guarantee and the limitation bond stack?
- 6. Defendants' Legal and Factual Issues.
 - 6.1 <u>Issues to be Addressed in Phase I (Compensatory Damages)</u>.
 - 6.1.1 What law governs plaintiffs' claims?
 - 6.1.2 Which plaintiffs may make claims for recovery under TAPAA or Alaska strict liability statutes?
 - 6.1.3 Are the claims of second-tier claimants recoverable under (a) TAPAA or (b) the Alaska strict liability statute?

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 14

wen one

1		6.1.4	What types of damages (e.g. emotional distress)
2			are recoverable by plaintiffs under (a) TAPAA or
3			(b) the Alaska strict liability statute?
4		6.1.5	Are claims for prejudgment and post-judgment
5			interest, disbursements, costs and/or attorneys'
6			fees recoverable under (a) TAPAA or (b) the
7			Alaska strict liability statute?
8		6.1.6	Have plaintiffs adequately established (a) their
9			claimed damages and (b) that their claimed
10			damages were proximately caused by the Glacier
11			Bay oil spill?
12		6.1.7	Were plaintiffs' alleged damages caused by any
13			other event or events?
14		6.1.8	What defenses may be asserted to plaintiffs' and
15			the USG's claims?
16		6.1.9	What is the amount of the USG's recoverable
17			damages for pollution cleanup costs and expenses?
		6.1.10	Is the USG entitled to recover the full amount of
18			its "actual" pollution costs and expenses, or,
19			alternatively, only "reasonable" costs and
20			expenses?
21		6.1.11	What is the applicability of AS 09.17.010-900,
22			09.30.065, and 09.30.070?
23	6.2	Issues	to be Addressed in Phase II (Liability and
24		Punitiv	e Damages).
25		6.2.1	Under TAPAA, from whom may The TAPS Fund recover
26			for claims it has paid?
	CASE		

ional distress)

MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 15

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	
2	1
2	
2	_
2	
2	
2	2

6.2.2	What defendants are liable to plaintiffs under
	the TAPAA, Alaska strict liability statutes,
	common law, or general maritime law?

- 6.2.3 What defendants are liable to plaintiffs for negligence, and what is the comparative negligence of each defendant?
- 6.2.4 What defendants are liable to plaintiffs under the doctrine of ultra-hazardous activity?
- 6.2.5 What defendants are liable to plaintiffs for nuisance?
- 6.2.6 To what extent are the rights and obligations of any party affected by the Limitation of Liability Act?
- 6.2.7 To what extent, if any, are Trinidad, the West of England, or other persons not presently parties liable for punitive damages?
- 6.3 United States Government's Issues.
 - 6.3.1 What is the nature and extent of liability of certain defendants for damages under TAPAA in excess of \$14 million?
 - 6.3.2 What is the interrelationship of the various statutes and causes of action pled by plaintiffs and the USG and the extent of liability of various defendants for damages (i) up to and including \$14 million; (ii) in excess of \$14

te in the contract of the cont

DECIMAL TO THE TENT			
1			million but below \$100 million; and (iii) in
2			excess of \$100 million?
3	6.3	3.3	What is the relative priority of parties to first
4	-		payment of damages from The TAPS Fund?
5	6.3	3.4	Do the parties who have filed a petition for
6			limitation of liability have any right to do so,
7			and, if so, are those parties entitled on the
8			merits to exoneration from or limitation of
9			liability?
10	6.3	3.5	What is the liability and amount of damages owed
11			to the USG by each of the parties sued by the USG
12			on its claims?
13	6.3	3.6	Are any of the claims asserted against the USG
14			jurisdictionally barred as a result of the
15			discretionary function exception to the waiver of
16			sovereign immunity?
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 17

SECTION III

GOVERNING RULES AND PROTOCOLS

- 7. Application of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for Alaska.
 - Plan or by any other orders entered by the court, all discovery, pretrial, trial and other procedural matters shall be undertaken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (herein "Federal Rules"), the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims of the Federal Rules, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Local Rules of the United States District Court for Alaska (herein usually referred to as "General Rules" or "Local Rules") to the extent applicable. Unless otherwise modified by any subsequent order entered by the court, the procedural requirements of PTO 1 are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
 - 7.2 Plan Not Affect Parties' Substantive Rights. Nothing set forth in this Plan shall be deemed to affect any substantive right, defense or claim, to constitute any admission of fault or liability or to waive any claim, defense or substantive right by or of any party hereto, except as otherwise expressly provided herein.
 - 7.3 <u>Leave to Request Relief from Plan</u>. Subject to the requirements of the Federal Rules, Local Rules or

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 18

#_{#**************}

governing substantive law, nothing in this Plan shall preclude the parties from seeking leave of court to request relief from the operation or effect of this Plan.

7.4 <u>Manual for Complex Litigation</u>. The parties and the

Discovery Special Master shall be guided by the <u>Manual</u>

for Complex Litigation (Second) ("MCL").

8. Liaison Counsel.

- 8.1 Appointment. The plaintiffs and defendants shall each, respectively, designate one or more lawyers who shall serve as liaison counsel with the court, each other and the USG's counsel.
- 8.2 <u>Changes in Liaison Counsel</u>. The parties may change their respective designation of liaison counsel from time to time by giving all parties and the court ten days written notice thereof in the manner provided by PTO 1.
- 8.3 <u>Duties of Liaison Counsel</u>. Liaison counsel shall have the following duties:
 - 8.3.1 Maintain and distribute to their respective cocounsel and opposing liaison counsel an up-todate service list upon request;
 - 8.3.2 Receive and distribute, as appropriate, court orders, pleadings and correspondence;
 - 8.3.3 Coordinate with opposing liaison counsel, USG counsel, counsel for The TAPS Fund, if specifically requested, and others not so

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 19

11 a(C)

- Undertake such other responsibilities as their 8.3.4 respective co-parties may request or which may be directed by the court.
- No Admission of Joint Responsibility or Liability from 8.4 Agreement to Appoint Liaison Counsel. Any party's agreement to proceed with liaison counsel shall not constitute any admission of joint responsibility or liability for the actions or omissions of any party represented by liaison counsel.
- 8.5 Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel. Plaintiffs have appointed Brian O'Neill of the law firm of Faegre & Benson as plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel.
- 8.6 Defendants' Liaison Counsel. Defendants have appointed John Treptow of the law firm of Atkinson, Conway & Gagnon as defendants' Liaison Counsel.
- 8.7 Government Liaison Counsel. In all matters relating to the USG, R. Michael Underhill, or another attorney from the Department of Justice, Torts Branch, shall represent the interests of the USG and shall perform all duties that normally would be owed to other litigants in a civil The Department of Justice Attorney assigned as USG Liaison Counsel (presently R. Michael Underhill) will

16

18

21

22

23

24

- 8.8 <u>Master Service List</u>. All liaison counsel shall be added to the Master Service List maintained by the court clerk, if not already included.
- 9. Scheduling of Depositions.

- 9.1 Deposition Discovery. To the maximum extent possible, all deposition discovery in this matter shall be scheduled at a time and place most convenient to counsel and the witnesses involved. In the absence of an express agreement of the parties or third-party objections, the following guidelines shall control such discovery:
 - 9.1.1 Depositions of Alaska-based party witnesses shall be scheduled in Anchorage, Alaska.
 - 9.1.2 Unless otherwise agreed, depositions of nonAlaska based party witnesses shall be scheduled
 in a large metropolitan city of the state in
 which the witness resides which has major air
 line service to and from Anchorage. The parties
 may ask the Discovery Special Master to establish
 further guidelines on the conduct on such
 discovery.
 - 9.1.3 Depositions of third-party nonexpert witnesses, unaffilated with any party hereto, shall be

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 21

24 25

26

scheduled in accordance with the previous two sections wherever reasonably possible.

Absent express agreement of the deponent and 9.1.4 attending parties, all depositions shall commence no earlier than 9:30 a.m and conclude for that day no later than 5:00 p.m., with reasonable breaks to accommodate the witness, counsel and the court reporter. Any party which anticipates conducting a multi-day deposition of a witness shall notify all parties thereof in its notice, and every effort shall be made to complete such deposition at the designated location and within the agreed time to avoid the necessity of reproducing a witness for later deposition. If any other party attending the deposition believes that its examination may extend the deposition beyond the notice period, that party shall notify all other parties in writing thereof at the earliest possible time before the deposition is scheduled to begin.

To the extent possible, Liaison Counsel and 9.1.5 counsel for the USG shall attempt to schedule depositions of designated experts for the parties either in Seattle, Washington or Anchorage, Alaska. The party or parties whose expert(s) is

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 22

THOUSE,

or are being deposed shall ordinarily be responsible for paying the expert's fees for attending the deposition, including airfare, lodging and other ordinary reasonable expenses.

- 9.1.6 A party may take depositions by video tape, if special notice is given of such intention. The party requesting to video tape the deposition shall bear all costs of production, and the court reporter shall prepare a written transcript at the expense of that party. Each party requesting a copy of the video tape or written transcript shall bear the usual and ordinary copying charges for such.
- 9.1.7 Depositions shall be taken on no more than ten
 (10) court days for every twenty (20) court days.

 The parties shall attempt to divide their time equitably.
- 9.2 No Multitracking of Depositions Except for Individual

 Fishermen Plaintiffs. Depositions may not be scheduled simultaneously except in the case of individual fishermen plaintiffs which, after the first group of such depositions have been taken, should be taken as expeditiously as possible.
- 9.3 <u>Usual and Emergency Notice of Depositions</u>. Ordinarily, all depositions shall be noted at least twenty (20) days

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 23

in advance. If a witness becomes ill or it becomes clear that a witness will not be available for discovery or trial unless his or her deposition is promptly taken, a party may note a deposition on shorter notice. Leave must be secured from the Special Discovery Master only where a party objects to such emergency discovery. Even in such emergency situations, Liaison Counsel and counsel for the USG shall make every effort to schedule the deposition at the most convenient time and place for counsel and the witness.

10. Additional Discovery Procedures Relating to Document Productions.

10.1 Identification System.

- 10.1.1 All documents produced, except the claims files provided by the plaintiffs through informal discovery, will be sequentially numbered using a Bates stamp, computerized labels or similar marking system by the party producing the document.
- 10.1.2 Each party will use the prefix agreed upon between Liaison and USG Counsel plus a number intended to give the document a means of unique identification. In the case of the plaintiffs, documents produced by an individual plaintiff (other than claims files referenced in § 10.1.1) shall be produced in such manner that the

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 24

profes

documents can be identified as that person's records (e.g., use of the plaintiff's last name, where unique, together with the identifying number). Plaintiffs and defendants shall also mark each record produced (other than claims files referenced in § 10.1.1) by marking the document with the "P" or defendant specific prefix and any additional suffix necessary to identify the source of the document (e.g., "CG" would mean that plaintiffs secured the record from the U.S. Coast Guard). Liaison and USG counsel shall reach written working agreements and provide same to all parties setting forth the necessary identifying information to avoid confusion, delay and prejudice to any party.

10.1.3 All documents obtained from a third party in the course of discovery or through a Rule 45 subpoena shall be marked and numbered in a fashion similar to that provided in § 10.1.2, except the parties, through their respective Liaison Counsel, shall agree upon a prefix designation in advance for that party's records. The party subpoening records from a third party shall bear the responsibility of making sure that such documents are properly marked.

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 25

- 10.2.1 <u>Usual Procedures</u>. In responding to a Request for Production of Documents, a party shall not be obliged to produce more than two sets of copies of all responsive documents. One set shall be for plaintiffs and one for defendants. Documents shall be made available for inspection either at location(s) upon which the parties mutually agree and/or a document depository, whichever the requesting party desires. Each party shall ordinarily bear the cost of copying and reasonable staff charges for assembling, stapling and copying its own documents.
- 10.2.2 Burdensome Production. If a party feels that compliance with § 10.2.1 would be unduly burdensome, it may ask any requesting party to share the cost of copying and assembling the documents. Disputes regarding such matters shall be handled as a discovery dispute in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Plan.

10.3.1 Optional Election to Use Central Depository

Records. Either plaintiffs or defendants may
establish a document depository at a location in
Anchorage, Alaska.

- 10.3.2 Cost Sharing and Procedures for the Document

 Depository. The parties electing to create a

 document depository shall be solely responsible

 for paying the cost thereof and shall abide by

 whatever procedures upon which such parties agree

 for copying documents and using the facility.
- 10.4 Use of Stipulations To Identify and Authenticate Documents.
 - 10.4.1 Governed by Federal Rules of Evidence. All issues relating to the identification or authentication of or other foundational requirements for the admissibility of documents shall be governed by the Federal Rules of Evidence.
 - 10.4.2 Permissive Use of Stipulations. To the extent possible, the parties shall use stipulations of document custodians and otherwise stipulate to the foundational requirements for the admissibility of documents during discovery and for trial exhibits and avoid unnecessary depositions or other discovery on such matters.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 27

Hotel

10.4.3 Preliminary Rulings by Discovery Special Master.

Where a dispute exists between the parties regarding the need for additional discovery regarding the identification or authentication of documents, the parties shall submit that dispute to the Discovery Special Master prior to trial whenever reasonably possible. The procedures governing the resolution of discovery disputes by the Discovery Special Master set forth in this Plan shall apply to the resolution of such disputes.

10.5 Disputes Regarding Privileged Documents.

10.5.1 Assertion of Objection. Any party objecting to the production of documents on grounds of privilege or work product shall identify the document sufficiently to permit the Discovery Special Master and the court to consider the objection, including the identity of the author, the date of its preparation, the person(s) to whom the document was disclosed and, if so ordered by the Discovery Special Master or the court, the document itself. No party shall normally be required to identify correspondence,

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 28

٥-

memoranda and other written communications
between counsel for the present parties and their
respective clients with respect to this
litigation. If, however, a party believes it
needs additional disclosure with respect to such
documents, it may petition the Discovery Special
Master for disclosure of such.

- 10.5.2 Nonwaiver of Privilege. Failure to assert a privilege as to one document or communication shall not be deemed to constitute a waiver of the privilege as to any other document or communication so protected, even involving the same subject matter, unless the Discovery Special Master or this court rules that the partial disclosure made would make a failure to disclose the remaining documents or communications manifestly unfair and prejudicial or that such privilege was waived as a matter of substantive law.
- 10.5.3 Resolution of Disputes. As with other discovery matters, the parties shall abide by the procedures set forth in this Plan for resolution of discovery disputes in resolving disputes pertaining to privileged or work product documents or other communications.

11. Additional Rules Relating to Interrogatories.

- 11.1 Supplementation of General Rules. The parties have agreed to supplement General Rule 8. Except where specifically provided by this Plan, however, General Rule 8 shall otherwise govern.
- 11.2 Additional Interrogatories Allowed. During any phase of this litigation and only to the extent generally pertinent to the issues arising in that phase of the proceedings, the parties may serve interrogatories as follows:
 - 11.2.1 Plaintiffs, as a group, may serve no more than 25 interrogatories, including any subparts, on each defendant they have sued.
 - 11.2.2 Each defendant and third/fourth-party defendant, including the USG, may serve 25 interrogatories on any of the other parties hereto, except the plaintiffs. With respect to the fishermen plaintiffs, defendants and third/fourth-party defendants, as a group, shall serve no more than 25 interrogatories, including any subparts, to be answered by the plaintiffs as a group. In addition, the defendants and third/fourth-party defendants as a group may serve up to an additional 10 interrogatories on each processor and tender plaintiff which each such plaintiff shall separately answer.

MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 30

11.2.3	Contention Interrogatories. Contention
	interrogatories, as discussed in Federal Rule
	33(b) and § 21.463 of the MCL, may only be served
	by a party which has sought and received
	permission from the Discovery Special Master to
	do so after disclosing the content of such
	interrogatories to the Discovery Special Master.

- 11.2.4 Repetitive Interrogatories or Requests for

 Production. No party shall be required to answer
 a particular interrogatory or respond to a
 request for production more than once. It shall
 be a sufficient answer or response to identify
 the duplicate discovery request and the party's
 answer or response thereto.
- 11.2.5 <u>Concurrent Written Discovery Allowed</u>. The parties shall be free to conduct concurrent written discovery.
- 11.2.6 Supplementation of Earlier Responses and Answers.

 The parties shall be under a duty, in addition to that set forth in Federal Rule 26(e), to seasonably provide additional information and documents in regard to any interrogatory or request for documents which come to light after the date on which said party first responded and on or before the date of the discovery cutoff

provided for in this Plan, which information or documents would have been provided had the information or documents then been known or available.

- 12. Objections to the Production of Documents, Interrogatories and Admissions and Time for Responding.
 - 12.1 Statement of Objections. Any party objecting to any requests for production or admission and/or interrogatories must file those objections within forty-five (45) days of the date from which counsel for that party actually receives the written discovery request. Disputes concerning such objections shall be resolved as a discovery dispute in accordance with the procedures provided by this Plan.
 - anything in the Federal or General Rules to the contrary, a party's response to a request for production of documents and/or interrogatories is due forty-five (45) days after such written discovery requests are actually received by counsel for said party. Where requested, parties shall accommodate one another and allow reasonable, limited extensions of time in which to respond to all discovery requests and avoid involving the court or the Discovery Special Master in a resolution of such disputes. Such accommodation shall include executing appropriate stipulations and/or waiving the requirements of Federal or Local Rules where appropriate.

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 32

...a_{g/h}

13. Expert Witnesses.

13.1 Expert Witness Identification. Unless otherwise expressly modified by agreement of the parties or the terms of this Plan, at least one hundred fifty (150) days prior to the cutoff of discovery in any phase of these proceedings, plaintiffs, and any other party seeking affirmative relief arising from the issues addressed in that phase of these proceedings, shall disclose, in writing, and without the necessity of interrogatories being first served, the identity of the experts they plan to call at trial and the information called for by Federal Rule 26(a)(4)(A)(i) including, without limitation, the identity of all materials on which the expert's opinion is based (including publications or treatises relied on by the expert). In addition, the disclosing party shall make any documentary material reviewed, prepared or considered by the expert, which has not previously been produced, available for inspection and copying at least ten (10) days prior to the scheduled date for the expert's deposition. Defendants, and any party defending a claim for affirmative relief, shall disclose the same information regarding their experts at least ninety (90) days before the cutoff of discovery in that phase and make available the same documents described above at least ten (10) days before the

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 33

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 13.2 Expert Witness Depositions. Depositions of expert witnesses shall proceed in the following manner unless modified by agreement of the parties or the terms of this Plan:
 - Primary Expert Depositions. Depositions of plaintiffs' experts, the USG's experts and the experts of any other party seeking affirmative relief in that phase of the proceedings, shall take place over the first forty-five (45) day period assigned for expert witness deposition. If an expert is not available during that time period, plaintiffs and/or the USG shall make every effort to arrange for his or her deposition at the next available time. Plaintiffs and the USG shall then have the next forty-five (45) days in which to depose defendants' experts. If a defense expert will not be available during that time, the defendants shall notify the plaintiffs

- 13.2.2 Rebuttal Expert Depositions. Depositions of plaintiffs' and the USG's rebuttal experts shall take place following defendants' experts' depositions in the next available thirty (30) day period. If an expert is not available during that time period, plaintiffs and the USG, as applicable, shall make every effort to arrange for his or her deposition at the next available time. Plaintiffs and the USG shall then have the next thirty (30) day period in which to depose defendants' surebuttal experts. If a defense expert will not be available during that time, the defendants shall notify the plaintiffs and the USG well in advance and arrange a convenient time for conducting such examination.
- 13.3 Rules Governing Expert Witness Depositions. Expert witness depositions shall, except as governed by these specific provisions, proceed in accordance with the terms of this Plan, including the provisions hereof regarding the place and time for taking depositions and resolution of discovery disputes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14.1 Amendment of Pleadings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 14.1.1 Plaintiffs and the USG shall have ninety (90) days from the date the court approves this Plan to amend their complaints to assert any additional claims or bring in additional parties without leave of court. No claim shall be added thereafter by plaintiffs and/or the USG, unless the court, after notice and hearing, concludes that plaintiffs did not know, and with the exercise of reasonable diligence could not have known of such claim, or that other grounds, contemplated by Rule 14(a) or 14(c), justify such amendment. Notwithstanding the above, the plaintiffs, without a court order, may add additional persons as fishermen plaintiffs, in conformance with the provisions of PTO 1, through the filing of an appropriate summary document reflecting such joinder.
- 14.1.2 Defendants shall have twenty-five (25) days from the date of service in which to answer any amended complaint filed hereinafter by plaintiffs for which the court grants leave to amend.

- 14.1.4 The court shall hear motions for leave to amend any pleading in accordance with General Rule 5(B) unless otherwise stipulated by the parties.
- 14.1.5 Within one hundred eighty (180) days from the date the court approves this Plan, defendants and third/fourth-party defendants shall file for leave to join any additional parties to this consolidated action and/or to add any additional defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims and third-party type claims. No claim, defense or party shall be added thereafter by defendants and/or third/fourth-party defendants, unless the court, after notice and hearing, concludes that defendants and third/fourth-party defendants did

- 14.1.6 In the event that the court grants any motion(s) to amend any of the pleadings in this action, each party shall have twenty-five (25) days in which to file their reply or answer, as may be appropriate, and to assert such additional defenses, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party type claims to which such amended pleading gives rise.
- 15. Jurisdictional, Dispositive and Summary Judgment Motions.
 - 15.1 Personal Jurisdictional Motions. Any party challenging the personal jurisdiction of this court over it shall file its motion for dismissal within forty-five (45) days after the court approves this Plan or such party is first joined hereto, whichever last occurs.
 - And Insufficiency of Process or Service. All motions for more definite statement, to strike, for insufficiency of process or service, or other waivable motions under Federal Rule 12 shall be brought no later than forty-five (45) days after the court approves this Plan or the moving party is first joined hereto, whichever last occurs.

国建州李州,3000年,1950年,

15.3.1 Phasing. No party may move for summary judgment during any phase of these proceedings which either (a) does not relate to an issue pertinent to that phase; or (b) requires discovery for its resolution which goes beyond allowable discovery in that phase and cannot be supported by evidence otherwise or previously developed.

15.3.2 Motions for Dismissal and Summary Judgment.

Motions Not Requiring Discovery.

Motions not requiring discovery or

which the parties agree can be

presented on the record then available

shall be filed, unless otherwise

provided in this Plan, no later than

ninety (90) days prior to the trial

date in these proceedings.

15.3.2.2 <u>Dismissal and Summary Judgment Motions</u> Requiring Discovery.

15.3.2.2.1 Any party which believes that it is entitled to dismissal or summary judgment on one of more of its claims or defenses may file such motions at any time, unless otherwise provided herein.

The moving parties are encouraged

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 39

11,

oppose such motion at the earliest possible opportunity of the moving party's intentions, and the court should consider such notice in connection with any subsequent request for additional time by an opposing party.

- 15.3.2.2.2 The moving party shall cooperate
 with any party opposing such
 motion to facilitate discovery to
 oppose such motion during the
 next forty-five (45) day period
 after its motion is filed, if
 such discovery has not already
 been had.
- 15.3.2.2.3 Any request for additional time shall be supported, as required by Federal Rule 56(e).
- 15.3.2.2.4 The court will endeavor to advise the parties as soon as reasonably possible of its rulings on any motions for additional time or

15.3.2.2.5 Except as modified herein,
briefing and argument on such
motions, as with all other
motions in this matter, shall
proceed in accordance with the
General Rules and PTO 1, unless
the court grants leave for
noncompliance.

any other provision of the Plan, during any Phase of the Plan (including Phase I), any party may file motions seeking (1) dismissal of the Limitation of Liability action pursuant to Rule 12; (2) posting of additional security by the limitation plaintiffs pursuant to Supplemental Admiralty Rule F(7); (3) determination of questions of law relating to the scope of recovery under TAPAA; (4) definition of the classes of plaintiffs entitled to recovery under TAPAA or the Alaska strict liability statute; and/or (5) rulings on the scope of Trinidad's and SOHIO's obligations relating to the state law guaranty.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 41

- other provision of the Plan, during any Phase of the Plan (including Phase I), any party may move for default against any non-answering party pursuant to Rule 55(a) and Rule 55(b).
- 16. Resolution of Discovery Disputes.
 - 16.1 Discovery Conferences.
 - 16.1.1 Compliance Requirements. Prior to filing any motion regarding discovery under the Federal or Local Rules, including Federal Rules 25 to 37, inclusive, or Rule 45, the parties must fully comply with the provisions of this Plan.
 - 16.1.2 Obligation to Confer. Before filing of any motion to compel or oppose discovery, the parties shall confer in good faith about the dispute and attempt to resolve their differences. At least ten (10) court days before submitting any dispute

to the Discovery Special Master cr the court (in the case of USG discovery disputes), or such shorter time as the Discovery Special Master or the court (in the case of USG discovery disputes) may order under exigent circumstances, the moving party shall file a statement setting forth the matters upon which the parties were unable to agree.

16.1.3 Exceptions to Obligation to Confer and Filing of Statement of Disagreement.

16.1.3.1 The obligation to confer and to file a statement of disputed matters shall not apply to disputes arising during depositions regarding questions which a witness refuses to answer. The parties shall discuss the matter off the record and attempt to agree on a resolution of the dispute. If they are unable to agree, the parties shall notify the Discovery Special Master as soon thereafter as reasonably possible, and he or she shall rule on the matter. part of his or her ruling, the Discovery Special Master shall provide which party(ies) shall reimburse him or her for his/her costs and expenses. To

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 43

26

,'G.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

parties shall try to resolve all such disputes during the course of the deposition by telephone conference with the Discovery Special Master and not reserve such objections for "further proceedings" at a "later date."

- 16.1.3.2 Notwithstanding the provisions of
 16.1.3.1, if the Discovery Special
 Master cannot hear the dispute when
 called or is unable to resolve it at
 that time, such matter shall be brought
 on for hearing as a discovery dispute
 in the regular course after a discovery
 conference as set forth in this Plan.
- 17. Appointment of Discovery Special Master.
 - 17.1 <u>Selection of Master</u>. Pursuant to PTO 1, the parties have selected David Ruskin to serve as Discovery Special Master, subject to approval by this court.
 - 17.2 Compensation of Discovery Special Master.
 - 17.2.1 The Discovery Special Master shall be compensated for his or her services on the basis of time spent and reimbursement for his or her ordinary and reasonable expenses actually incurred, including travel and lodging.

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 44

- 17.2.3 While the USG has no objection to utilization of a Discovery Special Master, the USG may be prohibited by applicable, nonwaivable regulations from paying the fees and expenses of such Master. If the USG asserts that position, all discovery disputes, as defined by this Plan, involving the USG shall be brought before the court instead of the Discovery Special Master after the parties confer as required by § 16.1 of the Plan.
- 17.3 Duties of the Discovery Special Master.
 - 17.3.1 Initial Ruling on Discovery Disputes. The

 Discovery Special Master will initially address
 and decide all discovery disputes in accordance
 with the procedures established by this Plan.
 - 17.3.2 Presentation of Discovery Disputes to Master.
 - 17.3.2.1 Filing. After the discovery conference, the parties shall file their motions for resolution of discovery disputes with the Discovery Special Master and the Court Clerk.

. 70.

17.3.2.2 Timing of Hearing of Discovery

No motion for resolution of Disputes. a discovery dispute shall be brought on for hearing on less than ten court days notice, unless truly exigent circumstances require, the court or Discovery Special Master otherwise order, or this Plan so provides. moving party shall be responsible for ascertaining the availability of the Discovery Special Master and counsel for at least one of the principal parties from which discovery is sought on the date of the hearing. Five (5) court days prior to the hearing, the party against which such discovery is sought shall file its response to the motion. The moving party shall file its reply no later than two (2) court days before the hearing.

17.3.2.3 Hearings. The Discovery Special Master may conduct all hearings on discovery disputes by telephone conference call or in person, depending on the availability of counsel, the nature of

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 46

18 Killing Comment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

the motion and the needs of the Discovery Special Master.

- 17.3.2.4 Rulings by Master. The Discovery Special Master shall rule upon all discovery disputes by issuing a written decision, which may be in summary form, no later than the fifth court day after the hearing. If the Discovery Special Master requires additional time, he/she shall so advise the parties involved by no later than the fifth day and shall provide a date certain for his/her ruling.
- 17.3.3 Appeals from Decisions of the Discovery Special Master.
 - 17.3.3.1 Finality. Unless appealed as provided for herein, the rulings of the Discovery Special Master on discovery disputes shall be final. As part of its order approving this Plan, the court shall provide that failure to appeal such ruling shall not constitute a failure to preserve such issue for purposes of any later appeal to any appellate court and that the rulings of the Discovery Special Master on

- 17.3.3.2 Written Decision Filed With Court. The written ruling of the Discovery Special Master shall be filed with the court and served as provided in PTO 1 and this Plan and on any parties' counsel specifically appearing in connection with that ruling.
- 17.3.3.3 Appellate Procedure. Any party
 aggrieved by a ruling of the Special
 Discovery Master may file a motion to
 set aside or modify the decision. Such
 motion must be filed within ten court
 days of the moving party's receipt of
 the written decision.
- 17.3.3.4 Stay Pending Appeal. Until the court has ruled on such appeal, the party affected by such ruling need not comply therewith to the extent and only to the extent of its specific objection to the ruling.

18. Coordination with State Proceedings.

- 18.1 Proceedings Involving Individual Plaintiffs. All individual plaintiffs whose suits arise out of the Glacier Bay incident and whose civil actions have been consolidated with this matter, shall be bound by the provisions of this Plan. Defendants are removing the remaining actions, and the parties believe all plaintiffs whose cases are subsequently removed will agree to be bound by this Plan during the course of these proceedings.
- 18.2 <u>State of Alaska Action</u>. The State of Alaska has informed the parties it will not participate in this proceeding.

 The State does not intend to be bound by the provisions of this Plan, although the State will consider joint depositions on a case-by-case basis.

SECTION IV

- 19. Phase I: Discovery and Trial of Private Plaintiffs and the USG's Compensatory Damage Claims
 - 19.1 Scope of Proceedings in Phase I. For purposes of Phase I, West, Trinidad, The TAPS Fund and SOHIO, as a guarantor only under state law, have admitted facts sufficient to give rise to liability to the plaintiffs and the USG for compensatory damages pursuant to the Case Management Plan Stipulation. Phase I will consist of the discovery, preparation and trial of plaintiffs' compensatory damage claims and the USG's cleanup claims.
 - 19.2 Case Management Goals of Phase I. The goal of Phase I is to accomplish an efficient and expeditious final resolution of all of plaintiffs' and the USG's compensatory damage claims. This will be accomplished, in large part, by trying to three juries certain plaintiffs' compensatory damage claims in three groups of sixteen (16) plaintiffs, and submission of all remaining compensatory damage claims to the court for summary adjudication without a jury after the first three jury trials have been completed. There will be limited discovery allowed after the third trial and prior to submission of claims to the court.
 - 19.3 <u>USG's Compensatory Damage Trial</u>. If the USG's claims are not fully settled before the conclusion of discovery in Phase I, the USG's remaining claims shall be tried before

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 50

the second private plaintiffs' trial takes place. Such trial shall be to the court as an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules and shall not be decided or determined by any jury hearing any trial of the private plaintiffs' claims, either directly or as an advisory jury. The court shall conduct a separate trial on the USG's claims.

- 19.4 <u>Duration and Selection of Plaintiffs for Trial</u>. The schedule for completion of Phase I discovery is October 15, 1990. This schedule is premised upon discovery beginning on or about November 21, 1989, and the following tasks being completed by the indicated dates.
 - 19.4.1 January 30, 1990. Plaintiffs shall designate the three groups of 8 plaintiffs whose compensatory damage claims will be tried and the order in which trials of those three groups will proceed.
 - 19.4.2 May 30, 1990. Defendants shall designate three groups of 16 plaintiffs whose compensatory damage claims will be tried and the order in which trial of those groups' claims shall proceed. The defendants shall have the further right to designate subgroups of plaintiffs in making their selection, and plaintiffs shall be obligated to make their final selection, as called for by \$ 19.4.3, from those subgroups.

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 51

医水胃 10

Reasonable Efforts to Select Representative 19.4.4 Plaintiffs. The parties agree to make reasonable efforts in selecting plaintiffs for the jury trials provided for by this Plan to ensure that the three plaintiffs' groups fairly and equitably represent both the different groups of plaintiffs (Set Netters, Drift Netters, Tenders and Seafood Processors) and the types of claims the individual plaintiffs have asserted, so that the parties and/or the court can meaningfully rely upon the different jury verdicts in evaluating the remaining claims. With regard thereto, the parties reserve the right to petition the court for adjustment of the groups, including the addition of other plaintiffs, to make the selected groups fairly representative.

19.4.5 <u>Substitution of Plaintiffs</u>. In the event that one or more plaintiffs are no longer available for trial (due to settlement, death, disability or other similar reason), the following procedures shall apply:

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

19.4.5.1 Plaintiffs Selected by Plaintiffs Under If a plaintiff selected by § 19.4.1. the plaintiffs under § 19.4.1 becomes unavailable, as defined herein, plaintiffs shall designate another similarly situated plaintiff to replace that plaintiff. If that plaintiff's deposition has not been taken, plaintiffs shall make reasonable efforts to arrange for such to occur at the earliest opportunity, subject to counsels' availability. In addition, if the unavailable plaintiff was selected for one of the earlier trials, then a plaintiff in one of the later trials shall be substituted for the unavailable plaintiff, and the newly designated plaintiff shall replace the plaintiff whose trial is moved forward. In no event shall any newly designated plaintiff's case proceed to trial unless defendants have had at least sixty (60) days notice and, in addition, been afforded an opportunity to conduct discovery on such

23

24

25

26

plaintiff's claims which is generally consistent with the discovery conducted of the claims of other plaintiffs whose claims will be submitted to the juries.

19.4.5.2 Replacement of Plaintiffs Designated by the Defendants Under § 19.4.2. plaintiff selected by the defendants under § 19.4.2 becomes unavailable, as defined herein, defendants shall designate another similarly situated plaintiff to replace that plaintiff. Plaintiffs shall have ten (10) days within which to object in writing to that selection; if no objection is so made, the plaintiff replaces the unavailable plaintiff. If plaintiffs object, then defendants shall select another similarly situated plaintiff, and that selection shall be final. that plaintiff's deposition has not been taken, plaintiffs shall make reasonable efforts to arrange for such to occur at the earliest opportunity, subject to counsels' availability.

addition, if the unavailable plaintiff was selected for one of the earlier trials, then a plaintiff in one of the later trials shall be substituted for the unavailable plaintiff, and the newly designated plaintiff shall replace the plaintiff whose trial is moved forward. In no event shall any newly designated plaintiff's case proceed to trial unless defendant have had a least sixty (60) days notice and, in addition, been afforded an opportunity to conduct discovery on such plaintiffs' claims which is generally consistent with the discovery conducted of the claims of other plaintiffs whose claims will be submitted to the juries.

19.4.5.3 Replacement or Alteration of Groups.

If the parties agree or the court orders a change in the composition of the groups, the parties shall accommodate each other to permit reasonable discovery on any plaintiffs' claims which have not yet been had.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 55

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

。 計劃中華計劃以東京

- 20.1 <u>Commencement</u>. The initial round of discovery shall begin on the date the court adopts and orders implementation of the Plan.
- 20.2 Scope of Discovery in Initial Round. During Round 1, the parties shall focus on assembling all pertinent documents including those from third parties, propounding and responding to interrogatories as allowed by the Plan, and identifying witnesses who may have relevant knowledge.

 Depositions of records custodians may proceed to the extent required to secure and properly authenticate a party's records.
- 21. Second Round of Discovery in Phase I.
 - 21.1 <u>Commencement</u>. The Second Round shall begin on February 1, 1990.
 - 21.2 Scope of Discovery in Second Round.
 - 21.2.1 The purpose of the Second Round is to secure information regarding the issues raised by Phase I which is not obtained through written discovery or the informal exchange of documents.
 - 21.2.2 During the Second Round of discovery, depositions shall cover plaintiffs and fact witnesses who have knowledge and information relevant to proof of plaintiffs' damage claims.

CASE
MANAGEMENT PLAN
Page 56

- 22.2.2 Disclosure of expert witnesses and depositions of
- 22.2.3 Supplementation of all written discovery as required by this Plan; and
- 22.2.4 Requests for admission, which shall be served no later than July 15, 1990. The provisions of this Plan pertaining to interrogatories shall also apply to requests for admission, except to the specific extent this Plan otherwise provides.
- 22.3 Scheduling of Expert Depositions. During Phase I, the parties have agreed not to make designations of rebuttal or surebuttal expert witnesses as allowed by the Plan.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hence, there shall be a single designation by plaintiffs and the USG and a single designation by defendants in accordance with the terms of § 13 of the Plan.

Depositions of the plaintiffs' and the USG's experts shall begin approximately 90 days before October 15, 1990 and conclude about 45 days later; thereafter, defendants' experts' depositions shall be scheduled.

- 23. Expansion of Time Allowed for Discovery or Modifications of Plan. The parties may agree to expand the time allowed by this Plan for conducting discovery in any phase by jointly petitioning the Discovery Special Master for a continuance. In addition, any party or parties may individually or jointly request such relief. However, the Discovery Special Master shall not allow a continuance without a showing of good cause and prejudice.
- 24. Preparation and Lodging of Pretrial Order.
 - 24.1 <u>Schedule</u>. After the completion of the Phase I discovery, and for a period of thirty (30) days thereafter, the parties shall confer with respect to preparation of a joint pretrial order under Federal Rule 16.
 - 24.2 Simplified Pretrial Order.
 - 24.2.1 <u>Purpose</u>. The pretrial order shall be prepared to facilitate trial proceeding in an orderly manner and shall not be a substitute for the pleadings

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 58

or otherwise an argumentative statement of any party's position.

24.2.2 Contents.

Commence of the last of the

- 24.2.2.1 Witness Identification. Each party shall identify the witnesses (expert and lay) each party plans to call and the order in which they will probably testify, along with a very brief summary of their testimony (not to exceed ten lines).
- 24.2.2.2 Exhibit Identification. Each party shall identify the exhibits they plan to offer, including all demonstrative exhibits, and shall, consistent with the provisions of § 10.4 hereof, agree to the admissability of such exhibits to the greatest extent possible.
- 24.2.2.3 <u>List of Admitted Facts</u>. The parties shall attempt to agree on admitted facts.
- 24.2.2.4 List of Issues to be Resolved. Each party shall submit to the other a list of the issues which will be tried in that phase of these proceedings. The parties shall attempt to agree on a

joint list; however, any party shall be free to submit a list of issues which it believes will be addressed in that phase of the proceedings.

- 25. Establishment of Deadlines for Pretrial Motions, Submission of Pretrial Order, and Filing Jury Instructions and Motions in Limine.
 - 25.1 Deadlines for Filing Pretrial Motions.
 - 25.1.1 Except as otherwise specifically provided in this Plan, all nondispositive motions shall be filed and noted for hearing no later than thirty (30) days before the cutoff of discovery in any phase of these proceedings. This limitation shall not apply to discovery disputes which arise during that time period or to motions in limine.
 - 25.1.2 All dispositive motions shall be filed and noted for hearing no later than ninety (90) days before the scheduled trial date, unless leave of Court is secured for a later hearing.
 - 25.2 <u>Jury Instructions</u>. Jury instructions, if needed, shall be filed in accordance with General Rule 15 at least twenty (20) court days prior to the scheduled trial date.
 - 25.3 Exhibits, Witness Lists and Trial Briefs. Trial exhibits, witness lists and trial briefs shall be filed in accordance with General Rules 10, 11 and 12, except that the deadline for doing so shall be twenty (20) court days prior to the scheduled trial date.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 60

Sharp to an arrangement of the second

- 26. <u>Setting Trial Date</u>. The Court shall hold a trial setting conference in this matter. Any party may apply to the court for a trial date(s) at such time as the court allows.
- 27. Post Trial Discovery on Remaining Plaintiffs' Claims. Ninety (90) days after the conclusion of the third jury trial in Phase I, the parties may commence Phase II discovery and limited discovery on any plaintiffs' compensatory damage claims not fully settled. With regard to Phase II, the parties, as set forth in this Plan, shall confer with respect to a Phase II discovery and trial case management plan and present same to the court for its approval. Phase I discovery shall, however, continue for as long as is necessary to complete resolution of the compensatory damage claims of the plaintiffs.
- 28. Summary Adjudications by the Court of Remaining Compensatory

 Damage Claims. After the parties have completed the additional
 limited discovery called for by § 27 of the Plan on plaintiffs'
 remaining compensatory damage claims, such claims shall be
 presented to the court for summary adjudication by the court.

 The parties agree that the court shall resolve such claims as
 expeditiously as possible, bearing in mind the objective of
 fairness to and justice for the parties.

CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 61

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this	28 day of November, 1989.
2		
3	1011 4	Rain ONEW
4	John A. Treptow	Brian O'Neill
5	ATKINSON, CONWAY AND GAGNON Liaison Counsel for Defendants	FAEGRE & BENSON Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs
6		I wa her
7		R. Middael Underhill
8	•	Attorney for the United States Torts Branch, Civil Division
9		U.S. Department of Justice
10	084/03717/AH2	
11		
12		
13	Service of the foregoing has been	
14	plan has been made upon all counsel of record based upon the court's	
15	Master Service List of 10/05/ 89.	
16		
17	John A. Treptow	
/18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
	CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN Page 62	•