

12,11.05

Fiscal Year



Draft Work Plan

JUNE 2001



Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501 907-278-8012 800-478-7745 (in Alaska) 800-283-7745 (outside Alaska) restoration@oilspill.state.ak.us www.oilspill.state.ak.us

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game administers all programs and activities free from discrimination on the basis of sex, color, race, religion, national origin, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. For information on alternative formats available for this and other department publications, contact the department ADA coordinator at (voice) 907-465-4120 or (telecommunication device for the deaf) 1-800-478-3648.

This publication was released by the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council and produced at a cost of \$2.94 per copy.

Fiscal Year 2002

Draft Work Plan

June 15, 2001

Prepared by: Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council

DAVID ALLEN Director, Alaska Region U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service U.S. Department of the Interior

1

MICHELE BROWN Commissioner Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

FRANK RUE Commissioner Alaska Department of Fish & Game JAMES BALSIGER Director, Alaska Region National Marine Fisheries Service

DAVE GIBBONS Supervisor Chugach National Forest U.S. Department of Agriculture

CRAIG TILLERY Assistant Attorney General State of Alaska



PLEASE COMMENT

You can help the Trustee Council by reviewing this draft work plan and letting them know your priorities for Fiscal Year 2002. To be most useful, your comments should be received by the Council on or before July 18, 2001. However, all comments received prior to final action on the work plan, which is scheduled for August 6, 2001, will be reviewed by the Council. You can comment by:

1

Mail:	<i>Exxon Valdez</i> Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501 Attn: Draft Fiscal Year 2002 Work Plan
Telephone:	Telephone: (907) 278-8012 Toll free in Alaska: 1-800-478-7745 Toll free outside Alaska: 1-800-283-7745 Collect calls will be accepted from fishers and boaters who call through the marine operator.
Fax:	(907) 276-7178
E-mail:	sandra_schubert@oilspill.state.ak.us Attn: Sandra Schubert
Public Hearing:	Noon on July 18, 2001 Access to the public hearing will be available via teleconference to all communities and villages in the oil spill region. Contact Cherri Womac at the telephone numbers above if you would like to participate.

Fiscal Year 2002 Draft Work Plan June 2001

Table of Contents

<u>Page</u>

Letter to Readers	1
The Work Plan Process	3
Funding Caps	4
Preliminary Recommendations	5
Research, Monitoring, and General Restoration Projects	5
Other Projects	6
Highlights	7
Description of Projects and Recommendations 1	11
Spreadsheet A (Costs) A- Oil Injury A- Spill Recovery Monitoring A- Ecosystem Recovery & Function A- Spill General Restoration A- Spill General Restoration A- GEM Transition: Strategies to Improve Monitoring A- GEM Transition: Tools to Improve Monitoring A- GEM Transition: Synthesis & Retrospective Analysis A- GEM Transition: Long-Term Monitoring A- Habitat Protection & Improvements A- Data Management & Information Transfer A- Public Information/Science Management/Administration A- Projects Outside Draft Work Plan A-	-1 -2 -2 -3 -3 -4 -5 -5 -6
Spreadsheet B (Descriptions) B-	-1

Dear Reviewer,

Each year the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council funds activities to restore the resources and services injured by the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Public input is an essential part of the Trustee Council's decision-making process. This draft work plan has been prepared to solicit your comments on which activities to fund in Fiscal Year 2002 (FY 02). Comments on the draft plan will be most useful if received by July 18, 2001. However, comments will be provided to the Council up until August 6, 2001, when the Council is scheduled to make its decision on the FY 02 work plan.

FY 02 will continue the transition from the current restoration program to a long-term monitoring and research program designed to ensure the long-term health and conservation of the resources injured by the spill. In March 1999 the Trustee Council earmarked at least \$120 million of Restoration Reserve funds for a monitoring and research program for the northern Gulf of Alaska. Development of this Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program (GEM) is well underway. The draft science program, which was the first step in development of a monitoring plan, was peer reviewed by the National Research Council (NRC) this past winter. The plan itself will be submitted to the NRC for review in August 2001. The first invitation for proposals under GEM will be issued in 2002.

A number of proposals related to the development of GEM are recommended for funding. These include proposals to conduct analyses and syntheses of existing data sets (such as retrospective analyses of nearshore marine communities to investigate the relationship between productivity and climate), develop innovative tools and strategies to improve monitoring (such as testing remote sensing tools and developing a "ships of opportunity" approach to data collection), and initiate, on a very limited basis, collection of data that is expected to be key to GEM (such as oceanographic data at hydrographic station GAK 1 near Seward). In addition, funding to continue the GEM planning process and to provide for NRC review is included in the draft work plan.

The FY 02 draft work plan continues work on a number of injured species that still have not recovered from the effects of the oil spill. For example, continuation of projects that are exploring effects of oil contamination on pink salmon, sea otters, and harlequin ducks is recommended. It is expected that, even once funding has shifted to the Restoration Reserve and GEM is the main emphasis of the restoration program, some work related to lingering oil effects will continue.

The suite of projects recommended for funding in FY 02 continues the Trustee Council's commitment to community involvement in the restoration process. The Youth Area Watch, which involves local youth in ongoing restoration projects, and the Community Involvement project, which funds a network of local liaisons in oil spill communities, are both recommended for continuation. In addition, two projects that would help the Council prepare for community based monitoring under GEM – one is a feasibility study and the other would evaluate the effectiveness of an ongoing citizens' monitoring program – are also recommended for funding.

Also of interest, the funding recommendation includes three projects that would be conducted at the Alaska SeaLife Center in Seward. The Alaska SeaLife Center, which was funded in part by the Trustee Council, provides unique, technologically advanced facilities for research on marine mammals, fish, and seabirds.

A final comment concerns an activity that is not funded through this work plan, but which helps to complete the picture of the Trustee Council's restoration effort. The Council's program to protect habitats important to the recovery of injured resources and services continues to achieve its goals, with purchase agreements and conservation easements now having been negotiated for more than 643,000 acres of land. The Council's ongoing commitment to habitat is reflected in its March 1999 decision to earmark \$25 million of Restoration Reserve funds for long-term habitat protection. Just how these funds will be spent has not yet been determined.

I am interested in your thoughts and ideas in regard to the draft work plan, as well as on our restoration efforts in general. Comments on the work plan will be most useful if they are received by July 18. However, comments will be provided to the Trustee Council up until August 6, when the Council is scheduled to make its decision on the FY 02 work plan. See the "Please Comment" section opposite the table of contents for how to submit comments.

Sincerely,

Molly McCemma

Molly McCammon Executive Director

The Work Plan Process

Each year the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council funds activities to restore the resources and services injured by the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. This draft work plan describes restoration activities being considered for federal fiscal year 2002 (October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2002).

The Trustee Council has not decided which projects to fund. They will make their decision on August 6, 2001, using comments from the public and the Public Advisory Group, evaluations of independent scientific reviewers and legal advisors, and recommendations from the Executive Director.

Milestones in development of the FY 02 work plan are described in Table 1. The Trustee Council will make most of its funding decisions in August so that projects can begin on October 1.

	Feb. 15, 2001	Invitation to Submit Restoration Proposals for Federal Fiscal Year 2002 was issued.					
	April 13, 2001	The Restoration Office received 106 proposals requesting \$10.3 million for FY 02.					
	May 20-23, 2001	Chief Scientist and core reviewers met to discuss the scientific and technical merits of proposals.					
	June 7, 2001	Executive Director discussed proposals with Trustee agencies, Chief Scientist, and Public Advisory Group representatives and formed preliminary recommendations.					
→	June 15, 2001	<i>Draft Work Plan for FY 02</i> available for public comment.					
	July 18, 2001	Public Advisory Group will meet to advise Trustee Council on final work plan; meeting will include public hearing.					
	Aug. 6, 2001	Trustee Council is scheduled to meet to decide on <i>Final Work Plan for FY 02</i> ; public comment will be taken at meeting.					
	Oct. 1, 2001	FY 02 begins.					

Table 1. Milestones for FY 02 Work Plan

Funding Caps

As part of its decision to establish a long-term research and monitoring program, the Trustee Council adopted a long-term investment strategy which includes annual funding caps for FY 02 and all future years. The caps include both the work plan (all research, monitoring, and general restoration projects) and the public information/ science management/administrative costs of the program.

As illustrated in Table 2, for FY 02 the Trustee Council has adopted a cap of \$6.5 million. Roughly \$5 million of this will be available for the work plan, with the balance going to the public information/science management/administration costs of the program. The \$5 million for the work plan is less than what was approved for the FY 01 work plan, and probably slightly more than what will be available for the work plan under the FY 03 cap of \$6.0 million. Although the allocation between work plan costs and public information/science management/administration for FY 03 and future years has not yet been determined, it is expected that approximately \$5 million will be allocated to the work plan in FY 03.

Beginning in FY 03, the restoration program will rely solely on earnings from the Restoration Reserve. The Trustee Council adopted spending caps for FY 03 and FY 04, as illustrated below. In FY 05 and beyond, the cap will be determined by investment earnings – the Council's investment strategy provides for spending at a level not to exceed 4.5 percent of the average market value of the fund over the prior three to five years.

	Table 2. Work Plan Funding						
	Prior Year Authorizations: Work Plan Only						
	FY 96 \$18.2 million FY 97 \$16.2 million FY 98 \$14.0 million FY 99 \$11.6 million FY 00 \$ 8.4 million FY 01 \$ 5.9 million						
	Future Ca	ps: Work Plan & Public Info/Science Mgt/Admin					
-	FY 02 FY 03 FY 04 FY 05 FY 06 FY 07 +	 \$ 6.5 million \$ 6.0 million \$ 6.0 million \$ 5.6 million (estimate) \$ 5.7 million (estimate) \$ 5.8 million (estimate) 					

Table 2. Work Plan Funding

Preliminary Recommendations

This section summarizes the Executive Director's preliminary recommendations for FY 02. These recommendations are made for public review and may be revised before they are provided to the Trustee Council in early August.

Research, Monitoring, and General Restoration Projects

For FY 02, the Trustee Council received 106 proposals totaling \$10,253,000 for research, monitoring, and general restoration projects, which are the subject of this draft work plan. The Council has adopted a cap of about \$5 million for the FY 02 work plan. The Executive Director's preliminary recommendation of which proposals should be funded is summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Summary of Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation: Research, Monitoring, and General Restoration Projects

Category	Explanation	No. Proj.	FY 02 Cost
Fund	Project has high technical merit with significant contribution toward achieving restoration objectives. Project recommended for Trustee Council approval.	9	\$588,800
Fund Contingent	Same as above except that certain issues need to be resolved before funding is approved. Project recommended for Trustee Council approval if these issues can be resolved.	32	\$2,444,300
Defer Decision	A decision on whether or not to fund project in FY 02 cannot be made without more information. In many cases, needed information will not be available until after this summer's field season. For such projects, a recommendation will be made to the Trustee Council in December 2001.	18	\$1,749,100
	Total:	59	\$4,782,200
Do Not Fund	Project not recommended for funding in FY 02. In some cases, it is recommended that a project be reconsidered in the future. In other cases, the project is not legally permissible, has technical problems, or would not significantly contribute to restoration objectives.	47	\$0

The sum of the projects in the *fund, fund contingent,* and *defer decision* categories is \$4,782,200. This amount is within the \$5 million cap adopted by the Trustee Council. Prior to Council action on the FY 02 work plan, project budgets will be reviewed further for possible cost reductions. In addition, it is possible that some projects in the *fund contingent* category will not be funded because their issues will

not be resolved, and some projects in the *defer* category will not prove feasible or appropriate when additional information is evaluated. Finally, further review may result in some projects currently recommended for funding not being recommended in August, or not being approved by the Council.

Of the projects in the *fund, fund contingent,* and *defer decision* categories, many are continuing efforts also funded by the Trustee Council in FY 01. As illustrated in Table 4, several new projects are also being recommended for funding.

Table 4. Summary of Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation:
New and Continuing Projects (Fund, Fund Contingent, and Defer)

······································	Number of Projects Recommended for Funding	Total Cost of Projects Recommended for Funding		
New Projects	24	\$1,522,000		
Continuing Projects	35	\$3,260,200		

Other Projects

In addition to funding projects through the annual work plan, in FY 02 the Trustee Council will approve funds for public information/science management/ administration activities, habitat protection support (costs related to the Council's habitat protection program), and support costs for an ongoing capital project, the construction of an archaeological repository and local display facilities.

Table 5 summarizes these "other projects." Funds approved for these projects will be in addition to the \$5 million work plan. Public comment is being sought on these other projects as well as on the work plan itself.

Table 5.	Summary of Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation:				
Other Projects					

Project	FY 02 Request	FY 02 Exec. Dir. Recommendation
Public Information/Science Management/Administration (02100)	\$1,500.0	Fund, but continue budget review
Habitat Protection Support (02126)	unknown	Fund, but continue budget review
Archaeological Repository (02154)	\$29.1	Fund, but continue budget review

<u>Highlights</u>

Transition to GEM (Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring), a Long-Term Monitoring and Research Program

In recognition of the fact that complete recovery from the oil spill may not occur for decades, the Trustee Council established the Restoration Reserve to hold funds to be used for restoration after the last annual payment is received from Exxon Corporation in September 2001. The amount in the reserve is expected to total at least \$175 million in 2002, when funding for the restoration program will shift to the annual earnings of this fund.

In March 1999, the Trustee Council determined that the two primary uses of the Restoration Reserve funds will be a long-term monitoring and research program and additional habitat protection, especially for small parcels (under 1,000 acres each). The Council earmarked \$55 million for habitat protection. The remainder, an estimated \$120 million, was earmarked for long-term monitoring and research in the spill area and adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska under what has come to be called GEM, or the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program. It is intended to ensure the long-term health and conservation of the spill-affected marine ecosystem, as well as the resources injured by the spill.

Planning for GEM is currently underway under the leadership of the Trustee Council's Executive Director, Chief Scientist, and Science Coordinator. The draft science program, which was the first step in development of the GEM plan, was peer reviewed by the National Research Council (see Project /360). The plan itself will be submitted to the National Research Council for review in August 2001, and will also be available for public review on the Trustee Council's web site (<u>www.oilspill.state.ak.us</u>) during this period. The first invitation for proposals under GEM is scheduled to be issued in 2002.

FY 02 represents a transition year to GEM. The *FY 02 Invitation* invited proposals to conduct retrospective analyses and syntheses of existing data sets and historical records, develop innovative tools and strategies to improve monitoring, and design a community-based monitoring component for GEM. Nearly half of the proposals received for FY 02 fall into the "GEM transition" category, which also includes data management schemes and a very limited amount of data collection. Many of those proposals are recommended for funding.

For example, in regard to innovative tools and strategies, Project 02584 is a proofof-concept project for remote sensing tools. Projects 02614 and 02624 would develop the "ships of opportunity" approach to data collection by installing a thermosalinograph, fluorometer, and continuous plankton recorder on an oil tanker traveling between Valdez and Long Beach. Projects 02649 and 02656 would conduct retrospective analyses of sockeye populations and nearshore marine communities, respectively, to improve understanding of long-term change and investigate relationships between productivity and climate and other changes in the atmosphere/ocean system. Monitoring of some parameters that are expected to be key to GEM will also take place in FY 02, such as Project 02340, which collects oceanographic data at hydrographic station GAK 1 near Seward. This data is essential to understanding climatological forcing of productivity.

A number of other proposals submitted for FY 02 may be reconsidered in future years once GEM is further developed.

Lingering Oil: Studying Injury and Monitoring Recovery

A number of injured species still have not recovered from the effects of the oil spill, and several studies currently underway are recommended for continuation in FY 02. Work will continue under Project 02476 to validate the effects of oil contamination on pink salmon and under Project 02423 to explore links between oil exposure and the lack of population recovery in sea otters and harlequin ducks. In addition, Project 02543, which in Summer 2001 is conducting an assessment of remaining oil in Prince William Sound, will produce its results in FY 02.

In regard to recovery monitoring, some multi-year projects are recommended for closeout (that is, data analysis and results write-up) in FY 02. Monitoring may resume in FY 03, after results-to-date are synthesized and peer reviewed, but the closeout work proposed for FY 02 will allow the Trustee Council to assess whether monitoring should continue, and if so, with what frequency. Projects in this category include killer whale monitoring (Project 02012), harlequin duck monitoring (Project 02195).

It is expected that, even once funding has shifted to the Restoration Reserve and GEM is the main emphasis of the restoration program, some work related to lingering oil effects will continue.

Community Initiatives

A number of community proposals are recommended for continuation in FY 02. A network of ten local facilitators – liaisons between the Trustee Council, scientists, and villages in the spill area – would continue, with an emphasis on the development of the villages' natural resource programs and stewardship capacity (Project 02052). Continuation of Youth Area Watch programs in Prince William Sound, lower Cook Inlet, and the Kodiak area (projects 02210 and 02610) is recommended, as are efforts to enhance subsistence resources in the Kametolook River (Project 02247) and at Solf Lake (Project 02256B).

Two projects that will help the Trustee Council prepare for community based monitoring under GEM are also recommended for funding. Project 02561 would evaluate the feasibility of developing a community-based forage fish sampling

program. Project 02667 would analyze five years of data from Cook Inlet Keeper's Citizens Environmental Monitoring Program to determine the effectiveness of citizen-collected samples at detecting change in water quality over time.

Alaska SeaLife Center

Two projects currently in progress at the Alaska SeaLife Center are recommended for continued funding in FY 02: Project 02423/Population Change in Selected Nearshore Vertebrate Predators and Project 02558/Application of New Technologies for Monitoring Harbor Seal Health. One new project (Project 02674), which would follow up on pigeon guillemot work performed at the Alaska SeaLife Center in prior years, is also recommended for funding. The Trustee Council contributed \$26 million to construction of the center.

Habitat Protection

The Trustee Council funds the acquisition and protection of land in order to protect the habitat of injured resources and services. Project 02126 would cover the costs incurred by Trustee agencies in acquiring these lands – for example, appraisal reviews, hazardous materials inspections, and title reviews. However, in FY 02 most of the costs of the habitat protection program will be covered through a \$1 million grant to The Nature Conservancy and The Conservation Fund approved by the Trustee Council in January 2001. Under the grant, these two non-profits will purchase lands approved by and on behalf of the Council. The advantages these non-profit organizations bring to the program are an ability to respond more quickly than government to opportunities for acquisition of priority lands, to leverage resources by attracting matching funds, and to broaden the protection impact of dollars spent by achieving below-appraised-value purchases through the use of tax incentives and estate planning strategies.

Beginning in October 2002, the Trustee Council has designated \$25 million of Restoration Reserve funds for a long-term habitat protection program. Just how these funds would be spent has not been determined. There is a possibility that these funds also would be administered under a grant to a non-profit organization, but that decision has not yet been made.

As of June 2001, the Trustee Council has committed \$343 million to protect 635,770 acres of land in large parcels (over 1,000 acres each), including a highly productive estuary and several miles of intertidal shoreline within Kachemak Bay State Park; mature spruce forest and highly productive coastal habitat in what has now become Afognak Island State Park; inholdings within Kenai Fjords National Park and on adjacent islands within the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge; prime habitat on Shuyak Island and northern Afognak Island in the Kodiak archipelago; prime habitat for salmon, bald eagles, bears, and other species in the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge; and several parcels in Prince William Sound (Eshamy Bay, Jackpot Bay, Port Gravina, Sheep Bay, Windy Bay, Bligh Island, and Two Moon Bay) which have some of the highest restoration values in the spill area. Recent activity

includes the Council's offer to Koniag, Inc. to extend the existing nondevelopment easement along the Karluk and Sturgeon rivers on Kodiak Island. The offer has been accepted by the Koniag Board of Directors and negotiations to close the deal are in progress. The terms of the agreement include establishment of a fund that might be tapped for acquisition at Koniag's sole discretion at some date in the future.

The Trustee Council has spent \$20.4 million to protect 7,815 acres of land in small parcels (less than 1,000 acres each). Owners of 10 additional parcels (100 acres) have signed purchase agreements for a total of \$136,500. Offers on 18 other parcels are under review by landowners (1,048 acres, \$1.7 million). The Council is actively negotiating for the protection of over 400 additional acres in small parcels.

Public Information, Science Management, and Administration

Project 02100, which covers the Trustee Council's operating costs, is the largest project in this category. It includes funds for the independent scientific peer review of project proposals and results, the Council's 17-member Public Advisory Group, communication efforts such as the Council's annual report, operations and staff support for the Council itself, and a variety of other items. The cost of this project in FY 02 will remain at \$1.5 million, the same as in FY 01. Over the years, a concerted effort has been made to reduce the administrative costs of the EVOS program – from \$4.2 million in FY 95, \$3.4 million in FY 96, \$2.9 million in FY 97, \$2.8 million in FY 98, \$2.5 million in FY 99, \$2.0 million in FY 00, to \$1.5 million in FY 01. The cost is expected to decline again in FY 03.

Other projects in this category include Project 02535, which in FY 02 will complete a book-length manuscript that comprehensively describes the Trustee Council's activities from the time of the spill through FY 02, when the final payment from Exxon will be received, and Project 02360, which is funding the National Research Council (NRC) to conduct a technical review of the GEM plan. Continuation of the Council's funding contribution to ARLIS, the Alaska Resources Library and Information Services, is also recommended.

Description of Projects and Recommendations

A project-by-project list of the Executive Director's preliminary recommendations follows.

<u>Spreadsheet A</u> is a summary spreadsheet which shows FY 02 and FY 03 costs of research, monitoring, and general restoration projects recommended as *fund*, *fund contingent*, or *defer decision*. Only a few funding commitments are being made at this time for FY 03, and no commitments are being made for FY 04 and beyond. Funding for GEM (Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring), the Trustee Council's long-term monitoring and research program, will begin in FY 03 and planning for just what that program will include is still underway. Spreadsheet A is arranged by cluster (see below).

NOTE: A "\$0" in the spreadsheet means that no funding is recommended. A blank space means that the estimated funding level is not yet known or that a recommendation on funding has not been made.

<u>Spreadsheet B</u> describes each project received by the Trustee Council (research, monitoring, and general restoration projects as well as other projects), and contains the text of the Chief Scientist's and the Executive Director's preliminary recommendations. It also indicates who proposed each project, which Trustee agency would be responsible for project management, and whether the project is continuing (i.e., was also funded by the Council in FY 01) or new. Spreadsheet B is arranged in numerical order.

Description of Clusters

In Spreadsheet A, projects are organized in the following clusters of like projects. Cluster assignments are based on the underlying objective of each project or the type of activity the project would perform. These clusters are simply an organizational device to assist in presentation of the work plan, and do not bear on project funding decisions.

Oil Injury projects study the effects of oiling on injured species and whether oil is continuing to affect species recovery.

Spill Recovery Monitoring projects monitor the status of injured populations.

Ecosystem Recovery & Function projects take a broader view of recovery by considering oil effects as well as other possible influences (e.g., climate change, food supply, etc.).

Spill General Restoration projects improve the rate of natural recovery of injured species, enhance or replace injured species, or manage human use.

GEM Transition: Strategies to Improve Monitoring projects help develop costeffective, long-term sampling strategies for resource managers to use in counting and understanding the biology and habitats of resources of interest to the Trustee Council.

GEM Transition: Tools to Improve Monitoring projects help develop cost-effective data acquisition technologies for resource managers to use in counting and understanding the biology and habitats of resources of interest to the Trustee Council.

GEM Transition: Synthesis & Retrospective Analysis projects analyze and synthesize existing data sets and historical records in order to aid resource management decisions, lay a better foundation for GEM, or improve accessibility of research results.

GEM Transition: Long-Term Monitoring projects initiate or continue monitoring of some parameters that are expected to be key to GEM.

Habitat Protection & Improvement projects support the Trustee Council's habitat acquisition program. Funds for the acquisitions themselves are outside of and administered separately from funding for this work plan.

Data Management & Information Transfer projects improve the Trustee Council's data management system or increase access to data.

Public Information/Science Management/Administration projects inform the public of restoration activities, involve communities in the restoration process, plan for future restoration programs, or otherwise support Trustee Council operations.

.

•

	FY 02 Preliminary Recommendation					
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-	03 .
Oil Injury		\$1,031.2	\$572.2	\$36.0	\$608.2	
02190	Linkage Map for the Pink Salmon Genome	\$168.0	\$168.0		\$168.0	Fund contin/ Defer
02476	Effects of Oiled Incubation on Salmon Reproduction	\$39.8	\$39.8	\$36.0	\$75.8	Fund
02486-BAA	Links: Persistent Oil in Mussel Beds & Predators	\$170.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02492	Were Pink Salmon Embryo Studies Biased?	\$24.0	\$24.0	\$0.0	\$24.0	Fund
02538	Methods to Discriminate Herring Stocks	\$47.3	\$47.3	\$0.0	\$47.3	Fund contingent
02543	Oil Remaining in the Intertidal	\$113.1	\$263.1		\$263.1	Fund contin / Defer
02593	River Otter Synthesis	\$143.6	\$30.0	\$0.0	\$30.0	Fund contingent
02639	Testing Spill Impact Hypotheses	\$71.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02657	Genomic Stress Response in Sea Otters	\$43.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02663	Watchdog Tool for Monitoring	\$180.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02673	Continuing Decline of Pigeon Guillemots	\$28.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
Spill Recov	very Monitoring	\$939.0	\$601.3	\$0.0	\$601.3	
02012-BAA	Killer Whale Investigation	\$74.8	\$35.0	\$0.0	\$35.0	Fund contingent
02144	Common Murre Population Monitoring	\$14.8	\$14.8	\$0.0	\$14.8	Fund
02159	Seabird Boat Surveys	\$194.1	\$194.1		\$194.1	Defer; lower priority
02245	Community-Based Harbor Seal Biosampling	\$26.8	\$26.8	\$0.0	\$26.8	Fund contingent
02333	Sea Otter Monitoring	\$100.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02407	Harlequin Duck Population Dynamics	\$68.7	\$30.0	\$0.0	\$30.0	Fund contingent
02441-BAA	Harbor Seal Diet: Lipid Metabolism & Health	\$68.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02457-BAA	Monitoring Fall-Winter Herring Biomass	\$86.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund

. . ____

FY 02 Preliminary Recommendat			nmendation	1		
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-	
02462	Effects of Disease on Herring Recovery	\$77.4	\$77.4	\$0.0	\$77.4	Fund contingent
02558	Harbor Seals: New Technologies for Monitoring Recovery	\$133.5	\$128.4		\$128.4	Fund contingent
02574-BAA	Bivalve Recovery on Treated Beaches	\$94.8	\$94.8		\$94.8	Defer
Ecosystem	Recovery & Function	\$1,322.8	\$541.5	\$0.0	\$541.5	
02163-BAA	Alaska Predator Ecosystem Experiment (APEX)	\$31.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02163M	APEX: Additional Manuscripts	\$82.5	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$50.0	Fund contingent
02195	Pristane Monitoring in Mussels	\$55.0	\$20.0	\$0.0	\$20.0	Fund contingent
02320	SEA: Printing Final Report	\$6.2	\$6.2	\$0.0	\$6.2	Defer
02372	Steller Sea Lion Monitoring	\$250.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02396	Shark Assessment	\$29.2	\$25.6	\$0.0	\$25.6	Fund contingent
02401	Spot Shrimp Population	\$27.2	\$25.5	\$0.0	\$25.5	Fund contingent
02423	Population Change in Nearshore Vertebrate Predators	\$361.6	\$329.5		\$329.5	Fund contingent
02452-BAA	Prey and Predators of Pink Salmon Fry	\$38.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02479	Seabirds: Food Stress & Survival/Reproduction	\$75.0	\$55.0	\$0.0	\$55.0	Fund contingent
02503	Orca Inlet Restoration	\$100.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02546	Harbor Seals: Metabolic Responses	\$50.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02617	Standing Stock and Zooplankton Production	\$86.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02659-BAA	Manuscripts: SEA & NVP Avian Predation	\$29.7	\$29.7	\$0.0	\$29.7	Defer
02669	Hooligan Research	\$100.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
Spill Gener	al Restoration	\$528.2	\$43.5	\$0.0	\$43.5	
02247	Kametolook River Coho Salmon	\$34.0	\$28.0	\$0.0	\$28.0	Fund contingent

-

-

.

•

	FY 02 Preliminary Recommendation					
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-03 .	
02256B	Solf Lake Sockeye Salmon Stocking	\$20.0	\$15.5	\$0.0	\$15.5	Fund contingent
02416	O'Brian Creek Enhancement	\$64.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02507	Nuckek Subsistence Camp	\$125.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02662	Restoration by Manipulation	\$103.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02677	English Bay Sockeye Enumeration	\$182.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
GEM Trans	sition: Strategies to Improve Monitoring	\$868.6	\$144.6	\$0.0	\$144.6	
02395	Nearshore Monitoring Design	\$92.0	\$55.0	\$0.0	\$55.0	Fund contingent
02532	Coupling of Oceanic & Nearshore	\$121.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02556	Mapping Marine Habitats	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02565	Controlling Forces in Kachemak Bay	\$49.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02569	Monitoring Workshop	\$15.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02601-BAA	Methodological Data Gaps	\$189.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02604	Gear Selectivity in Trawl Surveys	\$52.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02612	Marine-Terrestial Linkages in Kenai River Watershed	\$44.6	\$44.6	\$0.0	\$44.6	Defer
02644	Molecular Biomarker Technique for Assessing Stress	\$114.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02648-BAA	Adaptive Sampling	\$56.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02674-BAA	Pigeon Guillemot Restoration Techniques	\$83.6	\$45.0		\$45.0	Fund contingent
GEM Transition: Tools to Improve Monitoring		\$764.2	\$381.2	\$17.1	\$398.3	- <u>-</u>
02404	Testing Archival Tag Technology in Alaska Salmon	\$104.6	\$104.6	\$0.0	\$104.6	Fund
02434	Seabird Monitoring: East Amatuli Island Video	\$4.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02584	Airborne Remote Sensing Tools	\$118.4	\$75.0		\$75.0	Defer

÷

•

		FY 02	<u>I</u>	Preliminary Recor	nmendation	1
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-	03 .
02614	Monitoring Temperature, Salinity, and Fluorescence	\$38.2	\$38.2	\$17.1	\$55.3	Fund contingent
02618-BAA	Tide Rip Front Variability	\$11.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02624-BAA	Ships of Opportunity: CPR-Based Plankton Survey	\$133.4	\$133.4	\$0.0	\$133.4	Defer
02627-BAA	Symbiotic Acoustic Signal Processor	\$171.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02640	High Frequency Surface Wave Radar Test	\$129.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02671-BAA	Ships of Opportunity: Kachemak Bay & Lower Cook Inlet	\$53.1	\$30.0	\$0.0	\$30.0	Fund contingent
GEM Trans	sition: Synthesis & Retrospective Analysis	\$1,104.0	\$472.7	\$18.0	\$490.7	
02578	Macrofauna Annotated List	\$38.3	\$35.0	\$0.0	\$35.0	Defer; lower priorit
02597-BAA	Ocean Color Time Series of PWS	\$28.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02600	EVOS Synthesis, 1989-2001	\$151.6	\$151.6		\$151.6	Defer
02622	Digital ESI Maps: Cook Inlet/Kenai Peninsula	\$36.6	\$36.6	\$0.0	\$36.6	Defer; lower priorit
02636-BAA	Ecosystem Recovery: Spill-Impacted Communities	\$360.0	\$50.0		\$50.0	Defer
02649	Reconstructing Sockeye Populations	\$102.8	\$100.9	\$0.0	\$100.9	Fund contingent
02656	Nearshore Analysis: Archaeology & Isotopes	\$98.6	\$98.6	\$18.0	\$116.6	Fund contingent
02664	Retrospective Analysis of Seabird Data	\$287.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
GEM Trans	sition: Long-Term Monitoring	\$1,628.9	\$599.7	\$11.6	\$611.3	
02210	Youth Area Watch	\$106.1	\$106.1	_	\$106.1	Fund
02340-CLO	Long-Term Oceanographic Monitoring (GAK 1)	\$20.7	\$77.8	\$0.0	\$77.8	Fund contingent
02552-BAA	Exchange Between PWS and GOA	\$102.5	\$102.5	\$0.0	\$102.5	Defer
02561	Community-Based Forage Fish Sampling	\$54.3	\$54.3	\$11.6	\$65.9	Fund
02589-BAA	PWSRCAC Long-Term Monitoring	\$233.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund

-

4

.

		FY 02	<u>F</u>	Preliminary Reco	mmendation	
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-	03
02603	Ocean Circulation Model	\$73.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02609	Long-Term Temperature/Salinity Monitoring	\$59.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02610	Kodiak Island Youth Area Watch	\$128.3	\$61.8		\$61.8	Fund contingent
02628-BAA	Resurrection Bay Contaminant Survey	\$128.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02633	Kodiak Region Water Quality	\$446.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02634	STAMP	\$54.9	\$54.9	\$0.0	\$54.9	Defer; lower priori
02667	Effectiveness of Citizens' Environmental Monitoring	\$16.7	\$16.7	\$0.0	\$16.7	Fund contingent
02678-BAA	Use of Commercial Fisheries Bycatch for Scientific Gain	\$128.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02680	Persistent Organic Contaminants in Alaska Fishes	\$75.6	\$75.6	\$0.0	\$75.6	Defer
02681	Placeholder: Nearshore/Intertidal Monitoring		\$50.0		\$50.0	Defer
Habitat Pro	otection & Improvements	\$141.0	\$141.0	\$0.0	\$141.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
02621	Kenai River Flats Conservation Easement	\$141.0	\$141.0	\$0.0	\$141.0	Defer
Data Mana	gement & Information Transfer	\$1,044.4	\$221.1	\$0.0	\$221.1	
02290	Hydrocarbon Database	\$35.0	\$35.0		\$35.0	Fund contingent
02455	GEM Data System	\$105.0	\$105.0		\$105.0	Fund
02475-BAA	GEM Data System Specification	\$250.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02536	Heritage Data Management System	\$118.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02608	Archiving of Nearshore & Deep Benthic Specimens	\$111.8	\$65.0	\$0.0	\$65.0	Fund contingent
02637	Early Life History Database	\$143.7	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02643	Environmental Specimen Bank Program for GEM	\$85.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund
02646-BAA	Interactive Database on Alaskan Seaweeds	\$58.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund

		FY 02	Preliminary Recommendation					
Proj. No.	Project Title	Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY 02-	-03		
)2655-BAA	Transition Support for the GEM Data Manager	\$120.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund		
2668	Interactive Water Quality and Habitat Database	\$16.1	\$16.1	\$0.0	\$16.1	Defer		
Public Info	rmation/Science Management/Administration	\$1,290.7	\$1,063.4	\$0.0	\$1,063.4			
)2052	Community Involvement	\$214.2	\$180.0		\$180.0	Defer		
2250	Project Management	\$200.0	\$200.0		\$200.0	Fund contingent		
2350	ASLC Bench Fees	\$300.0	\$300.0		\$300.0	Fund contingent		
2360-BAA	Guidance for Future Research Activities	\$90.1	\$90.1	\$0.0	\$90.1	Fund		
2535	EVOS Trustee Council Final Report	\$50.1	\$50.1	\$0.0	\$50.1	Fund		
2550	ARLIS	\$144.3	\$93.2	-	\$93.2	Fund contingent		
2570	Book on EVOS Science for General Readers	\$47.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund		
2629-BAA	Paradigm for Ecosystem Monitoring	\$95.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	Do not fund		
2630	Planning for GEM	\$150.0	\$150.0		\$150.0	Fund contingent		
	Total:	\$10,663.0	\$4,782.2	\$82.7	\$4,864.9]		

. .

.

.

.

SPREADSHEET A: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION / PROJECTS OUTSIDE DRAFT WORK PLAN

		FY 02	Prelimina	ry Recommend	ation	
Project Title		Request	FY 02	FY 03	Sum FY02-03	Recommendation
eral Restoration		\$29.1	\$29.1		\$29.1	
Archaeological Repository Support Costs		\$29.1	\$29.1		\$29.1	Fund OUTSIDE
rotection & Improvements						
Habitat Protection Support						Fund OUTSIDE
formation/Science Management/Admini	istration	\$1,500.0	\$1,500.0		\$1,500.0	
Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin.		\$1,500.0	\$1,500.0		\$1,500.0	Fund OUTSIDE
	Total:	\$1,529.1	\$1,529.1		\$1,529.1	
	eral Restoration Archaeological Repository Support Costs rotection & Improvements Habitat Protection Support formation/Science Management/Admini	eral Restoration Archaeological Repository Support Costs rotection & Improvements Habitat Protection Support formation/Science Management/Administration Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin.	Project Title Request eral Restoration \$29.1 Archaeological Repository Support Costs \$29.1 rotection & Improvements \$29.1 Habitat Protection Support \$1,500.0 Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin. \$1,500.0	Project TitleRequestFY 02eral Restoration\$29.1\$29.1Archaeological Repository Support Costs\$29.1\$29.1rotection & Improvements\$29.1\$29.1Habitat Protection Support500.0\$1,500.0Formation/Science Management/Administration\$1,500.0\$1,500.0Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin.\$1,500.0\$1,500.0	Project TitleRequestFY 02FY 03eral Restoration\$29.1\$29.1\$29.1Archaeological Repository Support Costs\$29.1\$29.1rotection & Improvements\$29.1\$29.1Habitat Protection Support\$1,500.0\$1,500.0Formation/Science Management/Administration\$1,500.0\$1,500.0Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin.\$1,500.0\$1,500.0	Project TitleRequestFY 02FY 03Sum FY02-03eral Restoration\$29.1\$29.1\$29.1\$29.1Archaeological Repository Support Costs\$29.1\$29.1\$29.1rotection & Improvements\$29.1\$29.1\$29.1Habitat Protection Support\$1,500.0\$1,500.0\$1,500.0Public Info./Science Mgt./Admin.\$1,500.0\$1,500.0\$1,500.0

How to Read Spreadsheet B – Description of Projects and Recommendations

Proposer	The individual, organization, or Trustee agency that submitted the project proposal.
Lead Agency	The Trustee agency (DOI, NOAA, USFS, ADEC, ADFG, or ADNR) to which the project will be assigned for project management purposes.
New or Cont'd	Whether or not the project is the continuation of a project funded by the Trustee Council in FY 01. Also, what year FY 02 is in the Council's funding of the project, followed by the total number of years Council funding is expected to be sought (e.g., 3rd year of a 3-year project).
FY 02 Request	The amount of funding requested by the project proposer for fiscal year 2002 (October 1, 2001 - September 30, 2002).
FY 02 Recom.	The Executive Director's preliminary recommendation of the amount of funding that should be approved for the project for FY 02.
FY 03 Request	For multi-year projects, the amount of funding requested by the project proposer for fiscal year 2003 (October 1, 2002 - September 30, 2003).
FY 03 Recom.	For multi-year projects, the estimated project cost for FY 03, based on the Executive Director's preliminary recommendation for FY 02.
Abstract	A brief summary of the project.
Chief Scientist Recommendation	The text of the Chief Scientist's recommendation on the project's technical merit.
Executive Director Recommendation	The text of the Executive Director's preliminary recommendation on project funding for FY 02.

,

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd		FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02012-BAA	Photographic and Acoustic Monitoring of Killer Whales in Prince William Sound and Kenal Fjords	C. Matkin/North Gulf Oceanic Society	NOAA	10th y	\$74.8 r.	\$35.0	\$74.9	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	nendation		Executive Director	's Prelimina	ary Recomme	ndation
AB resident transient po Sound/Kena occurred on the photo-id monitoring v systems. T	will continue the monitoring of the damaged pod and the potentially endangered AT1 pulation as well other Prince William ai Fjords killer whales. Monitoring has a yearly basis since 1984. Methods include entification of individual whales and acoustic with remote and vessel-based hydrophone he project continues interpretation of current s data as well as data collected with other		in Prince W has made n ng the popula g killer whate aska. It is not on an annua and AT1 gr hale ecology loseout only	illiam a najor a ations c e n t clear T al k roup, p could s in FY p	Fund closeout of this and approval of a re- and budget that redu- only (roughly \$35,00 nanuscripts (mating This project has prov- ong-term effects of the ods of killer whales ourveys do not appea- ood and AT1 group.	vised Detaild loce the proje 0) and (b) so systems an vided valuab he oil spill o in Prince W	ed Project De ect scope to c ubmittal of ov id niche partit ile information in resident an /illiam Sound.	scription loseout erdue ioning). n about the d transient Annual

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02052	Community Involvement/Planning for GEM	P. Brown- Schwalenberg/CRRC	ADFG	8th yr.	\$214.2	\$180.0	\$0.0	

Project Abstract

In FY 02, this project will continue to actively involve residents of Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, Port Graham, Nanwalek, Cordova/Eyak, Seward/Qutekcak, Seldovia, Valdez, Kodiak Island Region/Ouzinkie, and the Alaska Peninsula Region/Chignik Lake in the restoration program through a network of local facilitators. In addition, the project will work to address the future of community involvement with regard to the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program. In FY 02, the project will focus on three objectives: (a) designing a community based research and monitoring program, (b) identifying specific research and monitoring activities that fit within the GEM program, and (c) developing possible pilot projects for FY 03.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

The community involvement project is a very valuable part of the restoration program. In principle, this proposal makes sense--i.e., to develop community monitoring plans and Tribal Natural Resource Management Plans that have tangible linkages to GEM. If successful, these links will contribute greatly to the community involvement and public participation objectives of GEM. However, this project's track record in producing products could improve, and it is essential that the project leaders foster realistic expectations as they attempt to define meaningful community involvement. There are objectives for FY 02 that were also in the FY 01 proposal and several overdue reports. There are also FY 00 objectives that have not been met. Defer funding pending receipt of clarification on these issues.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Defer decision on funding this project to December, pending submittal and review of additional information that clarifies (a) progress made toward completion of FY 00 project tasks, (b) progress made toward completion of FY 01 project tasks, (c) the schedule and strategy for completion of the Tribal Natural Resource Management Plans, and (d) the roles of the contractors in FY 01 and proposed for FY 02. If funded, funding will be contingent on (a) a reduced budget for the expected amount and (b) submittal of overdue reports (00052, 01131, 00610). This project was originally designed to facilitate communication among the Trustee Council, scientists, and residents of the spill area in regard to the restoration effort. As the Council's efforts have shifted from restoration to long-term monitoring, the project's emphasis has shifted to providing technical assistance to five pilot communities (Tatitlek, Port Graham, Nanwalek, Ouzinkie, Cordova/Evak) to participate in the development of GEM and to further develop their natural resource programs and stewardship capacity. FY 02 was expected to be the final year of Council support. However, some kind of community effort should be a future part of GEM.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.	
02100	Public Information, Science Management, and Administration	All Trustee Council Agencies	ALL		\$1,500.0	\$1,500.0			
managem the restora Trustee C Executive public invo participatio (PAG), and restoration outside of	<u>Project Abstract</u> ct provides overall support for science ent, public involvement, and administration of ation program. This includes funding for the ouncil staff working at the direction of the Director, the scientific peer review process, olvement efforts including the active on of the 17-member Public Advisory Group d Trustee agency participation in the n program. [Note: This project will be funded the regular FY 02 work plan of research, J, and general restoration projects.]	Chief Scientist's Recomm Proposal not reviewed.	n <u>endation</u>	Fund a million provid impler projec plan o	Executive Director's Preliminary Recommenda Fund at FY 02 projected level of approximately \$1 million, but continue budget review. This project provides overall support for administration and implementation of the restoration program. [Note: project will be funded outside of the regular FY 02 plan of research, monitoring, and general restorat projects.]				
Trustee ag the Truste outside of	Habitat Protection and Acquisition Support Project Abstract ct will cover certain expenses incurred by gencies in receiving title to parcels acquired by e Council. [Note: This project will be funded the regular FY 02 work plan of research, g, and general restoration projects.]	<u>Chief Scientist's Recomr</u> Proposal not reviewed.	nendation	Executive Director's Preliminary Recomm Fund, but continue budget development and FY 02, most habitat program activity will occ grant to The Nature Conservancy and The C Fund approved by the Trustee Council Janu 2001. The Council's resolution identified so support activities that will continue to be con the land managing agencies (e.g., appraisal review, hazardous materials inspection, and compliance), and the costs of those activitie funded through this project. However, beca to be purchased under the grant have not y identified, agency costs cannot be identified [Note: This project will be funded outside of FY 02 work plan of research, monitoring, an				eview. In r under a onservation ry 16, e specific ucted by eview, title NEPA will be se parcels t been t this time. he regular	

•

4

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02144	Common Murre Population Monitoring	D. Roseneau/USFWS	DOI	7th yr. 7 yr. project	\$14.8	\$14.8	\$0.0	\$0.0
will censu the FY 01 of analyzin comparing populatior and other Barren Isi recovery s	Project Abstract provide closeout funds for this project, which s the Chiswell Islands murre colonies during field season. The close-out work will consist ng the data collected during FY 01 and g these results with previous postspill n counts, running a power analysis using these murre population count data (e.g., from the ands), and writing a final report discussing the status of murres at this injured nesting location e spill area.	will be useful in refining census in understanding variability in m	necessary to th og effort. The we expensive, and nel. The results nds in abundar complex as a we methodologies nurre population nended last yea	e Fund pr ork is project o Chiswel of the be usefunce at understa hole of Alask s and ns in ar, a	oject closeou censused the I Islands in F ul in refining o anding variat	it, including common m Y 01. The r census met	ary Recomme power analys nurre colony a results of this hodologies an e populations	is. This It the project will Id in
02154	Archaeological Repository, Display Facilities, and Exhibits for Prince William Sound and Lower Cook Inlet	J. Bittner/ADNR	ADNR		\$29.1	\$29.1		
million for archaeolo lower Coc communit display in the Counc funding fo administra managem be fundeo research, Detailed F	<u>Project Abstract</u> y 1999, the Trustee Council authorized \$2.8 a grant to Chugachmiut, Inc. to develop an gical repository for Prince William Sound and ok Inlet, local display areas in seven ties in those regions, and traveling exhibits to the local facilities. The resolution also states cil's intent to provide a reasonable amount of or project management and agency general ation (GA). This project will provide project nent and GA funds for FY 02. [This project will doutside of the regular FY 02 work plan of monitoring, and general restoration projects. Project Description and budget under ent; expected FY 02 cost is \$29,100.]	Chief Scientist's Recor	<u>mmendation</u>	Fund, b This pro archaeo traveling [Note: T FY 02 w	ut continue b ject will prov logical repos g exhibits bei his project w	udget devel ide essentia itory, local o ng develope ill be fundeo esearch, mo	opment and r opment and r oversight for display facilitie d under Proje d outside of th onitoring, and	eview. r the es, and ect 99154. le regular

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New o Cont'o		FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02159	Surveys to Monitor Marine Bird Abundance in Prince William Sound During Winter and Summer 2002	D. Irons/USFWS	DOI	9th yr		\$194.1	\$194.1	\$25.0	·
abundance William Sou previous su 65 bird and Data collect from summ collected in in winter and ducks are ir black oyster in summer. murres are guillemots a oiled areas declining the surveys thro	Project Abstract will conduct small boat surveys to monitor of marine birds and sea otters in Prince and during March and July 2002. Seven rveys have monitored population trends for 8 marine mammal species in the sound. ed in 2002 will be used to examine trends er 1989-2002 and winter 1990-2002. Data 2000 indicate that bald eagles are increasing d summer throughout the sound, harlequin ncreasing in the oiled area in winter, and rcatchers are increasing thoughout the sound Common loons, cormorants, and common showing no trend in the oiled area; pigeon and marbled murrelets are declining in the of the sound; and Kittlitz's murrelet is roughout the sound. Results of these bugh 1998 have been published. [Note: This requested \$25,000 for FY 04.]	relatively expensive, and it is not should not be part of normal age	ind proposed he of populations of narine birds and nd. It is still not requently as is ent continuing noiled areas in th . The project is clear why this ta	of pending availability of funds. The Trustee Cou supported boat surveys of marine birds and ma in Prince William Sound since the time of the s These surveys have been the primary means of monitoring the recovery of a suite of coastal bir other wildlife. However, as the transition to GE begins, there is a question about whether it is a task that the surveys continue to be done every two			ember, uncil has nammals spill. of irds and EM essential o years. routine gency's		
02163-BAA	Alaska Predator Ecosystem Experiment in Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska (APEX)	D. Duffy/Paumanok Solutions		9th yr 9 yr. j		\$31.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom						ry Recomme	
/163, which (foraging) e Cook Inlet, biologies, in compared v of fish to ca distribution determination recovery of project lead	will fund a third closeout year for Project used seabirds as probes of the trophic nvironment of Prince William Sound and comparing their reproductive and foraging icluding diet. These measurements were with hydroacoustic, aerial, and net sampling librate seabird performance with fish and abundance. This allowed a on that food played a major role in limiting the seabirds from the oil spill. In FY 02, the ler will prepare a semi-popular account of the implications of the project.	A popular account of the findings project would be useful. However project investigators have not fin their data and synthesizing the fi across studies. In addition, the i last year that a scientific synthes prepared in FY 02 following com report and summary papers curr and there is no mention of this in not fund this project in FY 02, bu proposal for a scientific synthesis following completion of the final of publication of the summary paper	er, the APEX ished analyzing ndings within or nvestigators agr is volume would pletion of the fin ently underway, the proposal. I t possibly consid s volume in FY (report and	reedi lbe al Do der 03	reviewed (th but has not papers are f is premature products fro follow-up pr Project Des synthesis (a multi-year, r synthesis sh	ne final re yet been to be com e to pursu om this pr roduct, as scription (I a book or multi-face hould the	port was du completed; npleted by S ue developm oject. Furth described i Project 0116 special jour eted project.	ermore, the n the FY 01 I 33), was a sc nal publicatio Only followi uncil conside	30, 2000 scientific , 2001), it onal expected Detailed ientific on) of this ng such a

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02163M	Numerical and Functional Response of Seabirds to Fluctuations in Forage Fish Density	J. Piatt/USGS		9th yr. 9 yr. project	\$82.5	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
manuscript The main fi collection o continuing i surveys for characterize aspects of	Project Abstract t will fund preparation of synthesis s for this component of the APEX project. eld program occurred in 1995-1999, with f data on seabird survival and stress in 2000-2001. The work involved at-sea forage fish and seabirds and some ation of oceanography, while measuring seabird breeding biology and foraging adjacent colonies.	Chief Scientist's Re This is a sound and logical of project. The principal invest excellent job of taking an eo understanding issues highly Council. The long list of pub attests to its scientific succe publication effort is very imp and accountability of the EV program. Fund.	conclusion of a large igator has done an cosystem approach to relevant to the Trus plications and theses ess so far. This portant to the credibil	Fund cor reduced o of 1.5 mo tee \$50,000) chapter, Protocols ity which thi received	ntingent on (budget that onths of pers , (b) submit 00479/Food s), and (c) s s principal ir funding und	a) submittal reflects the sonnel time al of overdu Stress, 005 Jobmittal of the vestigator a ler 01163/Al	ry Recomme and approva Trustee Cour per manuscri e reports (00 i01/Seabird M ne four manu- ind his reseau PEX Summar er 30, 2001).	l of a ncil's policy pt (roughly 163/APEX Monitoring scripts for rch team
02190	Construction of a Linkage Map for the Pink Salmon Genome	F. Allendorf/Univ. Montana		7th yr. 7 yr. project	\$168.0	\$168.0	\$80.3	
conducted a linkage may on traits tha (e.g., growt the 1999 co collected fro Resurrectio Genotypes adults to tea and other li and egg siz	Project Abstract t will complete the analysis of experiments at the Alaska SeaLife Center that use the p to test for effects of regions of the genome at are important to recovery of pink salmon h and survival). Sexually mature adults from ohorts produced from wild pink salmon om Likes Creek are expected to return to on Bay in August and September 2001. in released fry will be compared to returning st for genetic differences in marine survival fe history traits (e.g., body size, egg number, ze). [Note: This project, which was scheduled t in FY 02, is now requesting \$80,300 for FY	Chief Scientist's Re This project has already pro- including a large number of salmon genome. The rema determining the relationship survival and mapped genes success of the project in car released in 2000 from the A and returning to upper Resu least 200 fish need to be ca conclusions about the relation contingent on evaluation of summer of FY 01.	oduced a linkage may genes in the pink ining objectives, is between growth ar depend entirely on pturing experimental laska SeaLife Cente urrection Bay in 2001 ptured to draw onships. Fund	 Fund intersubmittal amount; and December the capture of fish experiment or more function or more function in the selection of more function in the selection of the	erim amound and approvidefer decisi- er, pending effort. If at least of funds to be and will proce- of funds to be aber 2001 a fish are not project close- t for unders hat affect gr ng done undo on for experi- t to fisheries actions. For the gene p ted to their e- ng into streat	(roughly \$4 ral of an inter- on on balan- outcome of east 200 fish eed as proper- eapproved nd project cl captured, th eout in FY 0 anding the owth and su ler this proje- ments to an manageme- example, a ool in a way environment	by the Truste	gent on or this to her 2001) d, the d, the d, with the de Council 03. If 200 ds will be dt is of pink ition, the hs chery/wild ish vild fish h hatchery of wild

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02195	Pristane Monitoring in Mussels	J. Short, P. Harris/NOAA	NOAA		\$55.0	\$20.0	\$55.0	\$0.0
				7th yr.				

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Project Abstract

This project has focused on elucidating the transport mechanism of pristane from *Neocalanus ssp* copepods into mussels in Prince William Sound for the previous six years. In FY 00 and FY 01, the utility of monitoring the response of pristane in mussels to mass-release of juvenile pink salmon from Prince William Sound hatcheries was successfully initiated, using pristane concentration levels. This project will continue with this direction to assess feeding conditions for juvenile pink salmon during the critical period of initial marine residence, and will forecast survivals through this period. Forecasts will be compared to actual returns to assess reliability. [Note: The principal investigators have proposed that this project be continued indefinitely.]

This project has developed a relationship over the last several years between concentrations of pristane in mussels (an indicator of food availability) pinks in Prince William Sound. As expected, however, the results also indicate that there are other important determinants of juvenile pink salmon survival in the early marine phase (some of those factors have been modeled with some success under the SEA/Sound Ecosystem Assessment project). This is not surprising, as many other efforts elsewhere in the world have shown the difficulty of predicting recruitment in marine fishes. The model developed by this project has made a valuable contribution to identifying ecological interactions that influence pink salmon survival. To bring the project to a logical and useful conclusion, the principal investigator should synthesize project results in FY 02, including preparation of a final report and publication of the project results in the peer reviewed literature. It may be that the results of this project could be utilized in a longer-term effort to better characterize the crucial factors influencing fish recruitment in the system. Fund closeout.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

7 yr. project

This project has developed a relationship over the last several years between concentrations of pristane in mussels (an indicator of food availability) in the early growing season and survival of hatchery pinks in Prince William Sound. As expected, however, the results also indicate that there are other important determinants of juvenile pink salmon survival in the early marine phase (some of those factors have been modeled with some

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02210	Youth Area Watch	R. DeLorenzo/Chugach School District	ADFG	7th yr.	\$106.1	\$106.1	\$0.0	
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation		Executive Director	<u>'s Prelimina</u>	ry Recomme	ndation
with resear Trustee Co restoration skills to pa Youth cond	ct links students in the oil spill impacted area rch and monitoring projects funded by the buncil. The project involves students in the process and provides these individuals the rticipate in restoration now and in the future. duct research identified and delegated by prestigators who have indicated interest in	The Youth Area Watch has been successful project, probably the r the EVOS projects in terms of en facilitating positive participation in communities. The proposers see seventh year of funding for this p they have done a good job of obt	most success acouraging an n the affected ek what would roject. Howe	afulof pa d or beae dbeae≫ ver, So	und, including fundi articipation in JASC ganization dedicate nvironmental scienc kpedition "Frozen V outhcentral Alaska, evelopment and tea	N. JASON ed to educat ce and resea vorlds" will t and will inc	is a nonprofit tion in the are arch. Its 2002 ake place in lude curriculu	a of 2 m

principal investigators who have indicated interest in working with students. Youth Area Watch fosters long-term commitment to the goals set out in the restoration plan and is a positive community investment in that process. Participating communities in FY 02 will be Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, Cordova, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seldovia, Seward, Valdez, and Whittier.

successful project, probably the most successful of the EVOS projects in terms of encouraging and facilitating positive participation in the affected communities. The proposers seek what would be a seventh year of funding for this project. However, they have done a good job of obtaining supplemental or alternative funding and are cognizant of the need to continue to seek such funds as the restoration program moves toward implementation of GEM. The future of the project remains unclear. The proposal would be strengthened by giving more attention to the value of the data gathered by the young people and to the evaluations of participating investigators. However, this is a strong and successful effort, and it should continue. Fund.

Fund, including funding increment (\$9,700) for teacher participation in JASON. JASON is a nonprofit organization dedicated to education in the area of environmental science and research. Its 2002 expedition "Frozen Worlds" will take place in Southcentral Alaska, and will include curriculum development and teacher training. In general, Youth Area Watch involves local youth in restoration projects. In FY 02, youth in Chenega Bay, Cordova, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seldovia, Seward, Tatitlek, Valdez, and Whittier will participate. The Trustee Council's contribution to this project has declined each year since the project's inception, as the Chugach School District has obtained funds from other sources to sustain the program. FY 02 was expected to be the final year of Council support, but this might be the type of community effort that is appropriate under GEM.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02245	Community-Based Harbor Seal Management and Biological Sampling	V. Vanek/ADFG, M. Riedel/Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission	ADFG	9th yr. 9 yr. project	\$26.8	\$26.8	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Under this project, village-based technicians are selected by the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission and trained by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game a valuable resource. The scientific community has to collect biological samples from harbor seals. The samples are transported to Anchorage or Kodiak for further sampling and distribution to participating scientists for analysis and the University of Alaska museum for archiving. In FY 02, the sample collection program in Prince William Sound, lower Cook Inlet, around Kodiak Island, and along the Alaska Peninsula will continue. The Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission will produce and distribute a newsletter with of collection sites for the samples has not been summaries of the biological sampling program. FY 02 is updated. Also, in FY 01 the Trustee Council the closeout year for this project.

This has been a highly successful program for involving the subsistence community in research on benefited from obtaining samples of harbor seal tissues that were otherwise unavailable. A large number of projects have used samples from this activity in the past and there appears to be a use for statewide by the Alaska Native Harbor Seal analyzed in the future. However, the information in the Detailed Project Description with regard to the number of tissue types sampled and the distribution requested that this program coordinate with other statewide programs on harbor seals and this issue is not addressed in the proposal. Defer funding pending clarification of these issues.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund contingent on submittal and approval of a revised Detailed Project Description that updates information on (a) the number of seals and tissue types sampled, (b) the distribution of the samples collected, (c) the sample database, and (d) activities undertaken to integrate the EVOS biosampling program with efforts underway samples currently being archived and which may be Commission, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the United States Geological Survey, and others. This project will continue the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission's biological sample collection program for harbor seals in the spill area. This multi-year project has successfully provided samples to harbor seal researchers. FY 02 was expected to be the final year of Council support, but this might be the type of community effort that is appropriate under GEM.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02247	Kametolook River Coho Salmon Subsistence Project	J. McCullough, L. Scarbrough/ADFG	ADFG	6th yr. 6 yr. project	\$34.0	\$28.0	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Subsistence users from the Alaska Peninsula Native Village of Perryville have noted significant declines in the projects aimed at restoring damaged subsistence coho salmon run in the nearby Kametolook River since the oil spill. Criminal settlement funds were used in FY 96 to determine what method would best restore the river's coho salmon stock to historic levels. This project will provide funding through FY 02 for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to try conservative and safe restoration methods. In 1997, two instream incubation boxes were installed in the upper reach of the FY 02, including project closeout. Kametolook River. In 1998, 1999, and 2000 holding pens were also used. Due to continual low escapement of coho into the Kametolook River system, the project will be unable to achieve the goal of restoration within two life cycles of the fish. In FY 01, the project will expand to investigate nearby coho stocks as potential brood sources for rehabilitation of the Kametolook coho run.

This project is an integral part of a cluster of resources. Despite a limited success in restoring and supplementing Kametolook River coho thus far, the project is important because it directly addresses a subsistence issue, has strong community involvement, and holds potential for some success. There is a strong educational component as well. Fund final year of activities in

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund, including new objective related to investigating nearby coho stocks as potential brood sources. contingent on (a) resolution of budget questions and (b) submittal of 00247 annual report (due August 15, 2001). This project is working to enhance a small coho salmon run in the Kametolook River near the Alaska Peninsula village of Perryville as a replacement for other subsistence resources lost or reduced due to the oil spill. The project has a strong community involvement component. FY 02 is expected to be the final year of Trustee Council funding, even though it is unlikely that the run will be self sustaining in the foreseeable future.

02250	Project Management	All Trustee Council Agencies	ALL	\$200.0 \$200.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation	Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation
the state responsil managed Agreeme and Trus project m principal reviewing	nanagement represents those costs incurred by and federal Trustee agencies in fulfilling their bility to ensure that individual projects are d consistent with the Memorandum of ent and Consent Decree, the Restoration Plan, stee Council authorization. Tasks performed by nanagers include coordinating activities between investigators and the Restoration Office, g project expenditure activity, assisting in the nent of project proposals, and tracking project			Fund at projected level of \$200,000 contingent on submittal and review of individual agency project management budgets. The FY 02 funding level is a reduction from the amount approved for FY 01 (\$284,300), consistent with the reduction in the annual funding cap for the overall work plan. A decision on whether or not to provide any project management funds once funding has shifted to the Restoration Reserve (FY 03 and beyond) has not yet been made. Project management helps provide accountability for the work plan process.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead New Agency Cont	· · • •	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02256B	Sockeye Saimon Stocking at Solf Lake	D. Gillikin/USFS	USFS	\$20.0	\$15.5	\$4.5	\$0.0
			7th y	r.			

7 yr. project

Project Abstract **Chief Scientist's Recommendation** Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation This project will benefit subsistence users of western This project is an integral part of a cluster of Fund contingent on submittal and approval of revised Prince William Sound. There are two phases to the projects aimed at restoration of oil-damaged, Detailed Project Description and budget that reflect project. Phase 1, which began in FY 96, verified the subsistence resources in Prince William Sound. monitoring and final report writing only in FY 02 (and no ability of Solf Lake to support a sustainable population of Initial limnological studies and revitalization of the Trustee Council funding in FY 03). The funds sockeye salmon. Phase 2 included stocking the lake fishway to the lake have been completed, but requested in FY 02 to pay for stocking in FY 03, when with approximately 100,000 sockeye salmon fry, then changes in brood stock (from Eyak and Coghill Coghill stock may again be available, are not ensuring access to the lake for returning adult salmon. lakes) and unavailability of brood stock in FY 02 recommended for approval. This project is intended to The stocking program began in 1998 along with have raised questions about the ability of the project provide sockeye salmon as a replacement for resources modification to the two outlets to control water levels. to meet its objectives. In FY 01, the Trustee Council lost or reduced due to the oil spill. Recreational, The reconstruction of the fishway in the eastern channel requested preparation of the final report in FY 02, commercial, and subsistence fishers should all benefit was completed in the summer of 2000. Returning adult and this still seems appropriate. The proposed FY from the project. salmon to Solf Lake will be monitored starting in 2001 to 03 activities are not recommended for funding. evaluate the improvements. Fund as a closeout project. 02290 Hydrocarbon Database and J. Short, B. Nelson/NOAA NOAA \$35.0 \$35.0 \$35.0 Interpretation Service 11th yr. Project Abstract Chief Scientist's Recommendation Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation This ongoing project provides data and sample archiving The restoration program needs this project for FY Fund FY 02 only contingent on submittal and approval 02, as it maintains the integrity of the hydrocarbon services for all samples collected for hydrocarbon of a revised Detailed Project Description that adds as an analysis in support of Trustee Council projects. These database, makes new additions, and supplies objective evaluation of the needs and options for interpretative services. It is recommended that the data represent samples collected since the oil spill in long-term disposition of the database. The budget may Trustee Council fund this program through FY 02, to need to increase slightly to accommodate this additional 1989 to the present and include environmental and laboratory National Resource Damage Assessment and the end of the settlement period. However, the need objective. This project is the ongoing analysis and for this program has not been assessed with regard interpretation of hydrocarbon data for other Trustee restoration data. Additionally, this project provides to GEM and other priorities that will begin in FY 03. Council funded studies. However, the need for the interpretive services for hydrocarbon analysis, public releases of the hydrocarbon and pristane databases, Therefore, there should be no guarantee or database has not been assessed with regard to GEM. recommendations for funding beyond FY 02. Fund and storage and maintenance of the hydrocarbon and needs to be. sample archives. [Note: The principal investigator has contingent on addressing the long-term disposition proposed that this project be continued indefinitely.] of the hydrocarbon database.

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02320	Sound Ecosystem Assessment (SEA): Printing the Final Report	W. Hauser/ADFG	ADFG	8th yr. 8 yr. proj	\$6.2	\$6.2	\$0.0	\$0.0
Ecosystem integrated to exceed copying, bi provided in the encum	Project Abstract ct will print, bind and distribute the Sound in Assessment (SEA) final report. The final report is a required document expected 1,000 pages (some with color). Funding for inding and mailing the final report was in FY 00, but completion has been delayed and bered funds cannot be spent after June 30, e FY 00 unused funds will lapse.	Chief Scientist's RecommendationExecutive Director's Idistribute the Sound final report. The red document expected with color). Funding for e final report was on has been delayed and e spent after June 30,Producing the SEA final report is essential, and this proposal seeks only to reauthorize funding that has expired. The principal investigator should do ensure that the remaining chapter of the final report is completed so that the report can be produced and distributed. Fund.Defer decision on funding pending completion and when a better estimate amount of funds was pr Department of Fish and for printing the SEA final					oject to Dece view of the fin g costs can b der). This sa the Alaska h FY 00 (Proje but under sta , 2001. The e	mber, al report, e made me ect 00320) te rules expected
02333	Sea Otter Monitoring	B. Henrichs/Native Village of Eya	ak DOI	1st yr. 5 yr. proj	\$100.0	\$0.0	\$100.0	\$0.0
washing up problem is need to do these need submitted Detailed Pl prepared.	Project Abstract iters in Orca Inlet have been dying and p on the beaches the past few years. The getting worse. We know the cause. We some monitoring to find a way to prevent dless deaths. [Note: This proposal was as an idea; if recommended for funding, a roject Description and budget will need to be Funding (\$100,000 each year) has also been for FY 04, FY 05, and FY 06.]	Chief Scientist's Recon The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Serv aerial surveys in Orca Inlet usin each year since 1993. The data by high variance in some years, density estimates as high or po- anywhere in the North Pacific (r per square kilometer in Orca Inl for all of Prince William Sound of kilometer). Furthermore, any of mortality in Orca Inlet is likely no spill. Do not fund.	ice has condu- g non-EVOS fr a are character , with the 2000 ssibly higher the roughly 16 sea let vs. an avera of 1 per square bserved sea of	E cted Do unds Inle rized link add nan sun otters high age Pac tter	Executive Director not fund. Any obs t is likely not relat to the Council's r lition, results of U veys of Orca Inlet n or possibly high	erved sea o ed to the oi estoration o S. Fish and indicate 20	otter mortality spill, and this bjectives is w I Wildlife Serv 00 density es	in Orca s project's reak. In rice aerial timates as

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02340	Toward Long-Term Oceanographic	T. Weingartner/ UAF	ADFG		\$20.7	\$77.8		
	Monitoring of the Gulf of Alaska			5th yr.				

Project Abstract

Ecosystem

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

FY 02 will fund completion of the final report for this multi-year project. The fourth year of measurements will implementation. Further analysis of data from this be completed in September 2001 (or December 2001 if the GAK1 mooring is to be continued under the GEM program). After completion of the data collection phase, a final report and manuscript will be prepared. The manuscript will focus on freshwater variations on the Gulf of Alaska shelf, and will synthesize the data collected under this project with some of the retrospective efforts included in previous annual reports.

The results of this project are key to GEM project promises to reveal important relationships that would be key to monitoring the dynamics of the Alaska Coastal Current. The principal investigator for a peer reviewed journal in FY 02, which is highly desirable. At the same time, a new project (02609) is proposed that would continue the same set of measurements underway in FY 01 under Project 01340. Rather than closing out Project /340 and starting another, Project /340 should be continued with the following objectives: (a) produce annual report on FY 01 results, (b) prepare manuscript analyzing the relationship between atmospheric pressure, precipitation, and density structure of the Alaska Coasta Current as revealed by the GAK1 data, and (c) continue gathering data as proposed in Project 02609. Fund combination of this project and 02609 for combined amount.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund contingent on (a) submittal and approval of a revised Detailed Project Description and budget that provide for continued Trustee Council support of hydrographic station GAK1 and the accompanying retrospective analyses of the station's data record, proposes to do data analysis and write a manuscript including the new objective regarding the timing of the onset of stratification in the upper ocean in the spring and the manuscript identified by the Chief Scientist, and that address budget questions, (b) receipt of a description of the deployment procedure intended to insure against loss of data, and (c) submittal of overdue report on Project 00340. GAK1 provides a long-term data set that allows characterization of the Alaska Coastal Current, which is essential to understanding climatological forcing of productivity and will be important for GEM,

02350 Alaska SeaLife Center Bench Fees

Project Abstract

This project will pay for the use of labs and office space, This is an essential cost of doing business at the as well as other direct expenses, at the Alaska SeaLife Center for those projects funded by the Trustee Council that have a SeaLife Center component. Three FY 02 proposals include a SeaLife Center component: Project 02423/Population Change in Selected Nearshore Vertebrate Predators, 02558/New Technologies for Monitoring Harbor Seal Health, and 02674/Assessing Pigeon Guillemot Restoration Techniques.

ADFG

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Alaska SeaLife Center, and should be funded.

\$300.0 \$300.0

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund contingent on submittal of bench fee calculation by the Alaska SeaLife Center, and review by the relevant principal investigators and the Chief Scientist (the cost shown above is a placeholder). Of the three proposals submitted that would use the Alaska SeaLife Center in FY 02, all are recommended for funding. Prior to publication of the final work plan, when the bench fees have been finally determined, this project will be dismantled and the fees added to the individual research projects which they support. The Alaska SeaLife Center charges bench fees for use of its facilities by EVOS researchers.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02360-BAA	The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill: Guidance for Future Research Activities	C. Elfring/Polar Research Board, NRC	NOAA	3rd yr. 3 yr. project	\$90.1	\$90.1	\$0.0	\$0.0
and Board of have appoin scope, cont two GEM do draft Resea committee I November 2 process by Plan would Report prov program, in scale, data elements. T prepare a fi and Monitor and meets task will be for review. receive the begin our re committee v The report i	Project Abstract al Research Council's Polar Research Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology need a special committee to review the ent, and structure of the Trustee Council's ocuments, the draft Science Program and the rch and Monitoring Plan. To date, the nas provided guidance in two documents: a 2000 letter commenting on the schedule and which the draft Research and Monitoring be developed and a February 2001 Interim- riding detailed comments on the draft science cluding missions, goals, administration, management, and community involvement The committee's next and final task will be to nal report analyzing whether the Research ring Plan is complete, scientifically sound, the expectations of the Trustee Council. This conducted when the draft plan is available As currently scheduled, the committee will draft plan in August and hold a meeting to eview September 18-19, 2001. The will spend the fall preparing its final report. s expected to go to outside review in January e delivered to the Trustee Council in April	essential to the successful implem	participatio	on is Fund. T GEM. external Researc commer activities and Res	This project, y review of Gl th Council (N ts on the GE will include tearch Plan a	which is pro EM, began i IRC) has pro EM Science review of th and prepara	iry Recomme viding importa n FY 00. The ovided interim Program. FY e draft GEM tion of a final mmendations	Ant National N O2 Monitoring report

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New Cont		FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02372	Steller Sea Lion Monitoring	B. Henrichs/Native Village of Eyak	DOI			\$250.0	\$0.0	\$250.0	\$0.0
				1st y 5 yr.	r. project				,
	Project Abstract Chief Scientist's Recommendation Executive Director's Prelim					's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation	
placed on subsistence life will be closed. W Steller sea would fund submitted Detailed P prepared.	lions are on the decline and have been the endangered list. If this trend continues, the fishing for salmon, herring and other marine curtailed and some traditional areas may be the need to monitor the interaction between the lion and the fishing fleets. This proposal this interaction. [Note: This proposal was as an idea; if recommended for funding, a roject Description and budget will need to be Funding (\$250,000 each year) has also been for FY 04, FY 05, and FY 06.]	and commercial. The recent court National Oceanic and Atmospheric treatment of fishing interactions wit lions should result in sufficient scie analysis of how fishing affects Stel cover the needs identified by this p	e issue raise e, recreatio decision on c Administra th Steller se intific study ler sea lions	ed is mal, i the ation's ea and s to	recomme National (treatment well as th Steller se scientific	ndation. Th Dceanic and of fishing in e additional a lion studie study and a	d Atmospher nteractions v funds provi es, should re nalysis of ho	ntist's urt decision of ric Administra with Steller se ded by Congresult in suffici- ow fishing affe oncerns raise	ation's ea lions, as ress for ent ects
02395		T. Dean/Coastal Resources Associates, et al	DOI	1st y 1 yr.	r. project	\$92.0	\$55.0		\$0.0

Project Abstract

This project will produce a draft nearshore monitoring plan that provides a framework for future monitoring that projects 02395 and 02569/Workshop on Gulf of is practical, sensitive, and cost-effective. The process to Alaska Monitoring Network, with the overall be used in creating this plan will be to formulate hypotheses with respect to potential changes to the nearshore environment, identify questions that must be answered before a design can be developed to address these hypotheses, answer design questions by analyzing workshop, including funding for travel, (b) existing data or conducting directed field studies, and conduct cost-benefit analyses to identify the most powerful monitoring that can be incorporated into GEM. Workshops will be held during the course of plan development to seek input from the Trustee Council and Trustee Council staff in putting together the stakeholders.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

A combined proposal is requested to include objective of conducting a workshop to develop options for long-term monitoring of the nearshore/intertidal area. The revised proposal should include (a) community participation in the identification of the workshop objective as development of a range of options for intertidal monitoring design, for a network of sites, and broad community participation, (c) coordination with workshop, (d) demonstration of a working relationship with other institutions and scientists supportive of the objectives of the workshop, including a list of expected participants, and (e) the proposed management process for cooperatively preparing the resulting recommendations. Fund contingent on successful review of revised proposal.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund contingent on submittal and approval of a revised Detailed Project Description and budget (roughly \$55,000), developed in conjunction with the proposers of Project 02569/Workshop on Gulf of Alaska Monitoring Network (Schoch and Eckert), to use a workshop-based approach to develop options for long-term monitoring of the nearshore/intertidal area. The proposal should be modified as recommended by the Chief Scientist. The workshop may identify pilot or preliminary work to be invited on nearshore/intertidal monitoring later in FY 02 or FY 03. A small amount of funds have been set aside for this purpose in FY 02 (see Project 02681). Nearshore/intertidal monitoring is expected to be an integral part of GEM.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02396	Alaska Salmon Shark Assessment	J. Rice, L. Hulbert/NOAA	NOAA		\$29.2	\$25.6	\$0.0	\$0.0
				3rd yr.				
				3 yr. project				

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is a competently prepared proposal that will finish gathering data from tags deployed on sharks in FY 01, analyze the data, and produce a final work. Fund.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund contingent on resolution of budget questions. In FY 02, this project will analyze data from tags deployed in FY 01 that will pop up in FY 02, as well as from report. The investigators are well qualified to do the opportunistic aerial observations and shark stomachs contributed by fishermen and others. A final report will also be written. This project was undertaken because of an observed increase in the number of sharks in Prince William Sound in recent years.

and manuscript preparation for this two year study of salmon sharks in Prince William Sound. Funding will cover analysis and final write-up of (a) data transmitted from satellite tags deployed on salmon sharks that will be scheduled to transmit during winter and spring of 2002, (b) data transmitted from satellite tags deployed on salmon sharks that will transmit when sharks frequent surface waters during summer, and (c) stomach samples collected during 2001 field sampling and pre-arranged stomach sample collections from the Copper River gillnet fleet and the Prince William Sound salmon seine fleet during the 2001 commercial fishing season. The funding will also cover FY 02 Argos time, NOAA Joint Tariff Agreement costs for satellite tag data recovery, and contracted data analysis. The final report will describe salmon shark movements, habitat utilization, regional fidelity, and diet composition from data collected during the project.

This project will fund a closeout year of data analysis

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New o Cont		FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02401	Assessment of Spot Shrimp Abundance in Prince William Sound	C. Hughey/ Valdez Native Tribe, C. O'Clair/ NOAA	NOAA	4th y 4 yr.	\$27.2 r. project	\$25.5	\$0.0	\$0.0
and detern population Alaska De to determi recovering ADF&G in apparent of Prince Wil 1992 to 19 weight of The increa fund close	Project Abstract ect is estimating the abundance of spot shrimp mining the structure of the spot shrimp in Prince William Sound. It augments curren epartment of Fish and Game (ADF&G) surveys ine whether the spot shrimp population is g from depletion. Project results and those of a 1999 and 2000 indicate a cessation in the decline of spot shrimp abundance in western illiam Sound that had taken place between 998, and a slight increase in the number and spot shrimp per pot in 1999 compared to 1998 ase was markedly greater in 2000. FY 02 will eout, produce manuscripts, and provide input evelopment of a shrimp management plan with	gather supplemental information of abundance in Prince William Soun	r project to n spot shrir	mp oseout.	Executive Director Fund closeout of thi budget questions. T abundance of spot s determine whether t openings for subsist commercial fishing. resources list. How Restoration Plan all resources not on the injured resource or s services of subsiste project is a joint effor National Oceanic ar Auke Bay Lab.	s project cor his project is shrimp in Pri he populatio ence, perso Shrimp are ever, the Tru ows restorat e list if the ac service; this nce and con ort of the Value	atingent on re- studying the nce William S n can sustain nal use, and not on the inj istee Council' ion actions to ction will bene project will be mercial fishir dez Native Tri	solution of sound to seasonal ured s address fit an enefit the ng. The ibe and the

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02404	Testing Archival Tag Technology in Coho Salmon	J. Nielsen/USGS-BRD	DOI	2nd yr.	\$104.6	\$104.6	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Archive tags with temperature and light-geolocation sensors will be monitored for post-smolt coho salmon in Cook Inlet. Light/location relationships specific to the Gulf of Alaska developed under Project 00478 will be applied in this study of movement and migration paths for coho salmon during maturation in ocean environments in Cook Inlet. Salmon for this study will be reared in captivity (at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game hatchery at Fort Richardson) to 1+ year of age (200-250mm) and released in Cook Inlet as part of the department's Ship Creek sport-fishing hatchery release. FY 01 includes pilot studies of tag retention, behavior, and growth for coho in captivity. Ship Creek coho will be tagged mid-May. A spring release experiment in the first year will be contingent on the successful implementation and retention of these tags. Surveys for early jack recoveries will be done at the Ship Creek weir and among sport fishers. Monitoring for adult tag recoveries will be done in the coho commercial fishery in Cook Inlet and the derby sport fishery on Ship Creek. Archive tagged fish will be used to document coho salmon use of marine habitats, migration routes, contribution to the sport fishery, and hatchery/wild interactions for salmon in Cook Inlet.

This is an excellent project whose results will provide important information for defining the geographic location of coho habitat and sampling the physical characteristics of the habitat. It is on track for accomplishing its objectives and is being managed by an excellent investigator. The studies of tag retention, behavior, and growth of captive juveniles are underway and the results are of the community should be conducted to increase potential for tag returns. Recommend continued funding as requested.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

2 yr. project

Fund. In FY 01, the Trustee Council funded a pilot tag retention, behavior, and growth study to further test the development and application of archive tag technology, which has great promise for a variety of species. The pilot study has been completed, and a release experiment is already underway in FY 01. FY 02 would provide funding for continuation of the release experiment. The final report on this project will be promising. Additional advertising to various portions submitted in FY 04, with all FY 03 and FY 04 costs being covered by the U.S. Geological Survey/Biological Resources Division (USGS-BRD). USGS-BRD is making a significant financial contribution to this project in FY 01 and FY 02 as well.

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02407	Harlequin Duck Population Dynamics	D. Rosenberg/ADFG	ADFG		\$68.7	\$30.0	\$43.0	\$0.0
				3rd yr. 4 yr. proje	ct			
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Re	commendation	<u>Ex</u>	ecutive Directo	r's Prelimina	iry Recomme	ndation
unoiled ar surveys to areas. Po recruitmer areas in P populatior	Prince William Sound while increasing in reas. This project will conduct late-winter boat of assess the recovery of ducks inhabiting oile opulation structure, abundance, and int will be compared between oiled and unoile Prince William Sound to assess trends, in dynamics, and the progress of recovery. The ill also help identify changes to the Gulf of	 should increase understand populations in Prince Williar the oil spill. However, in FY should be discontinued and 	ether these project ling of harlequin du n Sound in relation 02, data gathering an assessment au n for long-term	s repor uck surve n to \$30,6 J due s nd data Willia	te the project's t, including ass y design for lor 00) and (b) sub September 200 on harlequin du m Sound in rela-	essment an og-term mon omittal of 00 1. While this icks and the ation to the o	d reevaluatior itoring (rough 273 and 0040 s project provi ir populations	n of a Ily I7 reports, ides usefu in Prince opment of
Alaska ec between r	osystem and improve the ability to differentia natural and man-caused population changes.				oint than collec			
Alaska ec between r FY 02 will	osystem and improve the ability to differentia		USFS					
Alaska ec between r FY 02 will	osystem and improve the ability to differentia natural and man-caused population changes. be the final year of field work for the project.	te			oint than collec	tion of addit	ional data.	-
Alaska ec between r FY 02 will 02416	osystem and improve the ability to differentia natural and man-caused population changes. be the final year of field work for the project.	te	USFS ecommendation	this p 1st yr. 1 yr. proje <u>Ex</u>	oint than collec	tion of addit \$0.0	ional data. \$0.0 ary Recomme	\$0.0

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02423	Patterns and Processes of Population Change in Selected Nearshore Vertebrate Predators	J. Bodkin, D. Esler/USGS-BRD, T. Dean/CRA, Inc.	DOI	4th yr. 5 yr. project	\$361.6	\$329.5	\$250.0	
from the oil oil exposure the intent o these spec 02, sea otte distribution survival rate patterns of production. the relation experiment relationship	Project Abstract and harlequin ducks have not fully recovered spill. This project will explore links between e and the lack of population recovery, with f understanding constraints to recovery of ies and the nearshore environment. In FY er work will include aerial surveys of and abundance, estimates of age-specific es, and examination of spatial and temporal change in abundance in relation to prey Harlequin duck field studies will examine ship between survival and CYP1A. Captive s on harlequin ducks will examine the s between oil exposure and CYP1A and metabolic and behavioral consequences ex	Chief Scientist's Recommunitoring and laboratory dosing en- Alaska SeaLife Center. The goals basically sound and the information obtained valuable to the needs of the Council and to those trying to under otters, ducks, and the nearshore enew objective to examine interanning growth rates of clams is not compenent be funded. Since the Council in commitment to fund beyond FY 02 restoration program transitions to the project in FY 02 (conclusion of analysis, and preparation of final republications). There may be some another year of harlequin duck field that determination will be made for harlequin duck recovery status new would be another year of field work the closeout year, depending on the revised proposal.	k project wi experiments of this project in that will b he Trustee erstand sea cosystem. ual variabili elling and si nakes no , as the GEM, the sed propos component field work, port and justification d work in F ¹ lowing a re- st yearFY c on harleque e results of	Execu th field Fund con- at the Detailed bect are the Chie e related to compone will be co The determin hould importan Predator species, SeaLife data n for Y 03; view of 03 uins or that	ntingent on s Project Des f Scientist's o growth rate ent in FY 02 ontinued or o nation will be n recovery sin t extension project (Pro sea otters a	submittal and cription and concerns (de of clams and concerns (de of clams and closed out in made follow tatus next ye of the Nears of the Nears of the Nears of the Nears not harlequir h fees will not	budget that a elete new obj nd close out s quin duck cor FY 03; this ving a review ear. This proj hore Vertebra ork on two sti n ducks. [Not eed to be add	a revised address ective sea otter mponent of ect is an ate ill-injured e: Alaska

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02434	Design of a Video System for Remotely Monitoring Seabirds at East Amatuli Island	A. Kettle/USFWS		1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$4.3	\$0.0	\$1.1	\$0.0
have occas seabird bre it is possibl compromis makes it po plots to the augment fie collection to This projec research au	Project Abstract 1990's, rough seas at East Amatuli Island sionally blocked access to cliff plots where eeding and population size data are collected; e that in the future weather patterns could e datasets. Recently developed technology ossible to transmit video images of the cliff East Amatuli field camp. This could eld observations and allow safe data to continue through periods of rough seas. t will design requirements for such a system, and price available components, and the price for contractual system design and	purpose of decreasing lost data of	tions for of bird colonies e project's inten on an injured erms of restoral	ns for Do not fund. The Trustee Council funder bird colonies on video setup on East Amatuli Island in FY roject's intended 99434) to transmit images from the seab an injured the Pratt Museum in Homer. This project ns of restoration, first step in establishing a similar system				
02441-BAA	Harbor Seal Recovery: Effects of Diet on Lipid Metabolism and Health	R. Davis/Texas A&M		4th yr. 3 yr. project	\$68.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
were taken not be com the Trustee and five ma provide a b lipid and ho Analysis of the tempor under differ	Project Abstract t will complete the analysis of samples that by this project in earlier years, but that could pleted due to a shortage of funds available to a Council in FY 01. In addition, a final report anuscripts will be prepared. The results will better understanding of the nutritional role of ow it changes with diet in harbor seals. the remaining samples is needed to resolve al scale of changes in fatty acid composition rent diets, and will allow better interpretation a for wild harbor seals.	Chief Scientist's Recommendation The Trustee Council's primary interproperty this project, the laboratory verificary profiles in harbor seals on differing appears to have received less at objectives in the project. While the about the quality of the work constituent are many administrative quarter are many administrative quarter are many administrative quarter are analysis of harbor seal tiss profiles only using sample number proposed and close out project in fund.	terest in funding ation of fatty aci ng fish diets, tention than othe nere is no questi ducted to date, lestions regardin as FY 01 was stigators should ues for fatty acid ers originally	d Do not fu d of diet or received er investiga ion recomme should fin g acid prof proposed	nd. This stu lipid metab closeout fur tor shouid p ended by the nish analysis iles only usi	idy, which is olism and h nds in FY 01 roceed with e Chief Scie s of harbor s	investigating eaith in harbo . The princip closeout in f ntist, investig seal tissues fo numbers origin	the effect or seals, oal FY 01. As ators or fatty

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposér	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02452-BAA	Assessing Prey and Competitor/Predators of Pink Salmon Fry	R. Thorne/PWSSC	NOAA	2nd yr. 1 yr. project	\$38.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
walleye poll forcing varia program to 2000 by a p Spill Recove Response V of Fish and to expand th interaction v of pristane of salmon fry s design and	Project Abstract nows that macro zooplankton and adult ock densities are the primary biological ables effecting pink salmon fry survival. A make these estimates was initiated in spring artnership of organizations including the Oil ery Institute (OSRI), Sound Emergency rehicle System, and the Alaska Department Game. The Trustee Council provided funds his effort in 2001 (Project 01452), including with Project 01195 which is studying the use concentration in mussels to estimate pink survival. FY 02 funding will finalize the survey recommend procedures as a potential GEM, OSRI, or a combined institutional program.	02195 is not recommended for co 02, the data that would be collect is of lower priority for FY 02. Do	ment and testi le to gather da n of key preda information wo ed data from g to estimate f or forecasts of ecause Projec ontinuation in f ed by this proj	ng of Do not f ta 01 in co tors Monitori buld closeout this proj- ry closed c adult funded i t submitta FY fish bion ect project, surveys data on	und. This pr njunction wit ng. Because t in FY 02, th ect is of lowe out as planne n the future, al of a report nass) from th which is perf in Prince Wi	oject was fu h Project 011 Project 011 e data that we r priority. The d in FY 01. the funding that include that include that include forming sprin lliam Sound seasonal van	195/Pristane 95 is recomm would be colled the project sho If this project would be con s the reduced ort (Project 0 ng hydroacou , is designed riation of pred	year in FY nended for ected by ould be should be tingent on data (i.e., 1452). The stic to provide
02455	GEM Data System	Restoration Office	ADFG	2nd yr.	\$105.0	\$105.0		
GEM. Funct system man developing	Project Abstract will continue work on the data system for ling was provided in FY 01 to hire a data ager to provide the leadership necessary for this essential part of the GEM program; ected to occur in Summer 2001.	Chief Scientist's Recomr Proposal not yet available for revi management will be a critical con	iew. Data	Exect Fund, bu M. provide data ma	ut continue b funding for ti	udget review ne GEM dat ected Summ	ry Recomme w. This projec a manager; h ner 2001. Th	ct will iring of the

.

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New of Cont		FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02457-BAA	Monitoring the Fall-Winter Herring Biomass to Track the Recovery of the Prince William Sound Herring Stock	R. Thorne/PWSSC	NOAA	1st y 2 yr.	r. project	\$86.0	\$0.0	\$85.6	\$0.0
declined ab virtual state	Project Abstract population in Prince William Sound has out fifty-fold since the oil spill and is in a of collapse. Recent infrared scanning ve revealed intense predator activity on	Chief Scientist's Reco This project would track the fa herring in Prince William Sour The project objective is to doo mortality in adults, which may	all biomass of P nd, which is fea cument overwin	sible. tering	Do not f Council recomm	und. A work in Novembe endations fo	shop spons r 2000 resul r future herr	ry Recomme ored by the T ted in several ing research, In addition, th	rustee and this
surveys hav overwinterin several pre- oil-damaged in this declin maintained Alaska Dep critical state health of the effort by inc			be significant. Bry the most impust prior to spaying. In addition, is established in a veral years. The not a priority in	oortant wning herring a series e the	proposa proposa order to herring, importar adult bic winter/e recomm the herri in recen subpopu	I was not am l is for a fall document of and the revie t information mass just pr arly spring. endations in ing spawning t years, use ulations of he	ong them. survey (Octoverwintering ewers have n from a ma for to spawr The Noveml cluded ASA biomass in of otoliths ar erring within	In addition, th ober/Novemb mortality in a	is er) in idult the most andpoint is e kshop to estimate m Sound entify ad aerial

.

.

.

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.	
02462	Effects of Disease on Pacific Herring Population Recovery in Prince William Sound	G. Marty/Univ. of California, Davis		4th yr. 4 yr. project	\$77.4	\$77.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	
has not reco 1993. The A predicts that several year and researc between dis and this info forecast pop of Pacific he ecosystem, fisheries wo	Project Abstract herring population of Prince William Sound overed from severe population decline in Alaska Department of Fish and Game now t fisheries closed since 1999 will not open for rs. Long-term systematic disease monitoring h since 1994 has shown a clear relationship ease prevalence and population change, ormation significantly improves the ability to pulation change. Because of the importance erring in the Prince William Sound and the importance of this project to marine rldwide, an additional year of disease study to ensure seamless flow of data from this EM.		has resulted ies and also tence use. The provide nine y ise information ve study ever a Following the nated to be inderstand how opulation size raints and othe clude a thermore, othe ystem health sis (e.g., food se and potent	in Fund cor 01 was e project, a ears final repo n, now requ collection is support b determin w of the Pri e herring p ner level eve National ner (new pro January 2 complem tial	ntingent on r xpected to I and addition ort) were pro- lesting supp and the Ch be granted. e whether d ince William opulation bi r recorded. Science For ject dates w 2007), has e	resolution of be the final y al funds for wided in FY ort for an ac nief Scientist This project isease conti Sound herr omass in the A substantia undation, up rould be Feb enabled the	ry Recomment budget quest year of funding closeout (prep 01. The invectional year recommends is designed to nues to limit r ing population e sound is at al grant from for renewal t ruary 2002 th investigators pulation mode	tions. FY g for this paration of stigator is of data s that this that this recovery n. The the lowest the his year prough to perform	
02475-BAA	GEM Data System Specification	S. Marley/ECOlogic Corp.		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$250.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	
Systems Re for GEM. T performed, definition ap necessary to	Project Abstract will produce the Operations Concept and equirements Specification for the data system his project will capitalize on the work already and through a detailed requirements proach, will develop the detailed description o release a formal Request for Proposals e permanent system.	Chief Scientist's Recommendation The proposal emphasizes the arch the GEM data system and the impo- understanding the needs of users, principal investigator is extremely the proposal appears to make inadeque support personnel. In addition, the appears to be premature until the se more fully defined. Do not fund.	endation ival function o ortance of The cost of f nigh, and the late use of proposal	Execu of Do not fu manager the scope of proposal	. project <u>Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation</u> Do not fund. This project is premature until a GEM data manager is hired (expected Summer 2001) and the scope of GEM is more fully defined. At that time, a proposal such as this may be solicited.				

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		lew or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.		
02476	Effects of Oiled Incubation Substrate on Pink Salmon Reproduction	R. Heintz/NOAA		4th yr. 5 yr. project	\$39.8	\$39.8	\$36.0	\$36.0		
reproduction exposure to Examination to produce exposed with in clean wathin spring 20 the end of when they project will viable offsp F2 generation unexposed demonstra	Project AbstractChief Scientist's Recommendationons are maintained through successful ction; this project is designed to determine if e to oil impairs pink salmon reproduction.This continuing project will test whether all of the data pointing to multi-generational effects of PAH exposure from the spill on pink salmon can beFull					s validating t hk salmon, tl injury and r	ry Recomment he effects of hus contributin ecovery statu t is scheduled	oil ng to our ıs of this		
02479	Effects of Food Stress on Survival and	J. Piatt/USGS-BRD, A. Kitaysky/Univ. of Washington		4th yr. yr. project	\$75.0	\$55.0	\$0.0	\$0.0		
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recon			tive Director	r's Prelimina	ry <u>Reco</u> mme	ndation		
Traditional field methods of assessing effects of fluctuations in food supply on the survival and reproductive performance of seabirds may give equivocal results. This project will apply an additional toolthe measure of stress hormones in free-ranging seabirds. Food stress can be quantified by measuring base levels of stress hormones such as corticosterone in the blood of seabirds, or the rise in blood levels of corticosterone in response to a standardized stressorcapture, handling and restraint. These techniques will be applied to seabirds breeding in lower Cook Inlet and captive birds will be used for controlled experiments. This project provides a unique opportunity for a concurrent field and captive study of stress in seabirds.		This proposal is for funding to s publish the results of three prior stress hormones in seabirds. T work are relevant to interpreting of murres and other seabirds at for design of a GEM monitoring two of the eight manuscripts pro- long-term effects of early nutriti- cognition and sexual maturation (Task III in the synthesis outline Project Description)are of low be deleted. Two manuscripts a previous years. Fund contingen- these manuscripts.	years of work on the results of this the recovery stand also, potentially protocol. Howev posedthose on onal stress on of young seabire in the Detailed er priority and sho re overdue from	Fund clos and man approval tus budget (r y, scope as er, submittal the 00479/fo and man and seas under Pro- buld of cortico tool to m of relevant	seout of this uscripts) co of a revised oughly \$55, recommen of overdue od stress, 0 uscripts (se sonal dynam oject 00479 osterone, a to onitor seabi to interpretin	s project (pre ntingent on d Detailed Pr 000) that re ded by the (reports (00 0501/seabir asonal eleva nics of cortic). This proje piochemical rd populatio ng the recov	501/seabird monitoring prot onal elevation of corticoste s of corticosterone, both fu This project is exploring the			

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02486-BAA	Links Between Persistent Oil in Mussel Beds and Predators	S. Rice/NOAA, T. Dean/Coastal Resources Associates, S. Jewett/UAF	NOAA	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$170.8	\$0.0	\$130.0	\$0.0
impacts on i inferred, but Significant o persisted to expected, ar of vertebrate that oiled be contaminatio for future mo event of futu the past, this between per	Project Abstract en oil-contaminated mussel beds and nfauna and vertebrate predators have been have not been definitively demonstrated. il concentrations in some mussel beds have present, much longer that originally nd may explain contemporary observations e predator exposure to oil. The possibility ds are long-term sources of vertebrate on was unanticipated, and has implications onitoring and response decisions in the tre spills. In a more holistic approach than in s project will examine evidence for links rsistence of <i>Exxon Valdez</i> oil in mussei a, and in nearshore vertebrate predators.	Chief Scientist's Recomm This project would further investigations of remaining oil in the Sound intertidal zone, much of wh found at relatively high concentration beds. The proposal does not present argument for how the results from be interpreted on the scale of the of example, how much feeding do have sea otters, and Barrow's goldeney mussel beds as opposed to outside compare the amounts of oil remain beds with those in other intertidal a areas? These questions are hard without answering them the results cannot be effectively tied to evider oil exposure. Given the cost of this uncertainties in interpretation, and commit funds into FY 03, this is a not fund.	ate the Prince Willi ich can still l ions in muss ent a compe small areas entire sound arlequin ducl es do in oile e them? Ca ning in muss and subtidal to answer, l s of this proj- nce of contin s proposal, to the need to	Exec Do not am betwee be not ant sel explain lling exposu can a numb . For for that (s, d n we sel but ect ued he	cutive Directo fund. This pro- icipated, have ongoing obso re to oil. How per of technica reason it is a	bject would s beds and not been st ervations of vever, the Cl al concerns	study possible predators whi udied directly vertebrate pro nief Scientist about the pro	inks ich were , and may edator has raised

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02492	Were Pink Salmon Embryo Studies in Prince William Sound Biased?	J. Thedinga/NOAA	NOAA	2nd yr. 2 yr. project	\$24.0	\$24.0	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

Effects of the oil spill on wild pink salmon embryo survival in Prince William Sound are disputed among government- and industry-sponsored researchers. Exxon contends that the government's conclusions that reduced embryo viability in oiled streams was caused by of egg opacity after shocking, and is addressing persistent oil contamination were biased because sampling times were earlier in oiled streams than in reference streams. Experimental studies to determine the ability to discriminate eggs killed by sampling (shock mortality) and previously dead eggs were conducted to help ascertain if estimates of embryo survival in the sound were accurate or biased. Preliminary results indicate that shock resistance of eggs increased in a sigmoidal fashion from the end of September to mid November and that the timing of egg examination after being pumped from a stream is critical in differentiating shocked eggs from previously dead eggs. By removing eggs pumped from stream gravel soon after sampling, shocked eggs were easily discernible and could easily be separated from previously dead eggs. These results suggest that further examination of procedures used for egg sampling in the sound following the oil spill would not help clarify the controversy over potential biased estimates of egg survival.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This study addresses some crucial questions of potential bias in evaluation of pink salmon embryo mortality in the field samples collected 1989-94. This study has apparently resolved the time course potential observer bias in evaluating embryo mortality. Publishing the results of these studies as soon as possible is crucially important to as proposed.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Fund closeout of this project (final report and two manuscripts). Exxon contends that the governments' conclusion that reduced embryo viability in oiled streams was caused by persistent oil contamination were biased due to sampling timing. In FY 01, the Trustee Council initiated this study to determine if estimates of pink salmon embryo survival following the oil spill were accurate. Based on the preliminary understanding injury to pink salmon. Fund closeout results, the claims advanced by Exxon appear to be invalid and experimental conditions do not permit further investigation. The principal investigator requested funds for closeout only.

.

.

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02503	Orca Inlet Restoration	B. Henrichs/Native Village of Eyak	DOI	1st yr. 5 yr. project	\$100.0	\$0.0	\$150.0	\$0.0
used to sup residents of supplied ve area resulte expanding of shallowing of waste dump come up wi when we we submitted a Detailed Pro prepared.	Project Abstract has become barren over the years. While it ply many of the subsistence resources to the f Eyak/Cordova, in recent years it has ry little. The 1964 earthquake raising the ed in a die-off of clams and crab. The of the sea otters accelerated this. The of the inlet combined with the increase of fish bed has resulted in a dead bay. We need to th a plan to restore Orca Inlet to what it was ere children. [Note: This proposal was is an idea; if recommended for funding, a oject Description and budget will need to be Funding (\$150,000 each year) has also been or FY 04, FY 05, and FY 06.]	linked to the Trustee Council's rest objectives. In addition, it could ent costs over a long period of time. D	a Inlet are as not been oration ail considera	Do not fu to the Tru addition, able period of	nd. The pro ustee Counc it could enta	oject's conce cil's restorati ail considera -term monite	ry Recomme opt has not be on objectives ble costs ove oring of sea o	een linked . In er a long
02507	Nuchek Subsistence Camp	B. Henrichs/Native Village of Eyak	DOI	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$125.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm		Execut			ry Recomme	
foods have are spendin subsistence would allow changes. M ancestry ba Corporation annual spiri location for was submit	of the oil spill the availability of subsistence changed. The residents of the spill region ig more time gathering traditional e foods. A subsistence camp at Nuchek the youth and elders to address these Many of the people in the region trace their tack to Nuchek. As Chugach Alaska has built a facility at Nuchek and holds t camps, this would be an appropriate this subsistence camp [Note: This proposal ted as an idea; if recommended for funding, Project Description and budget will need to be	The proposers have requested tec in preparing a proposal for a subsi- Nuchek. Insufficient detail is prese evaluate the proposal. Presumabl would help subsistence users under to changes in their subsistence res concept may have had some merit immediately following the oil spill, k the oil spill the justification is not co fund.	stence camp ented here to y such a car erstand and cources. This in the years out 12 years	o at camps ar o methods np youth is c adapt Trustee (is found not s Camp wa after funds wit	nd other act of harvestin clear. Howe Council in th t to be legal as funded in h the expec	ivities that te og and other wer, propos- e past for si ly permissib 1995 and 1 tation that fu	ortance of sub each tradition subsistence als submitted ubsistence ca le. The Nuch 996 with EVC unding in futur Alaska Corpor	al skills to to the imps were ek Spirit DS criminal re years

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.	
02532	Coupling of Oceanic and Nearshore: The Search for Indicator Species	G. Irvine/USGS	DOI	1st yr. 1 yr. projec	\$121.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	
abundanc processes realm, and GEM, (b) nearshore longer-ten mechanis directiona	Project Abstract ect will (a) identify nearshore species whose es are coupled with low-frequency dynamic s (e.g., regime shifts) occurring in the oceanic d that could serve as sentinels of change for examine other types of trends occurring for e species with historical records (e.g., m decline, increases, etc.), and (c) propose ms that could be responsible for cyclical or I changes in species abundances, thereby processes that could also be monitored.	Chief Scientist's Recomm This is an interesting approach to important suite of questions about fluctuations in inshore and offshore work would possibly be useful to in GEM in the future. However, the q large and complex to be answered the limited effort proposed. Further ability of the methods to allow dete climatic signal given the confound other forces on the population to b not fund.	answering a linkage betwee production nplementation ladequately er, I question section of the ng nature of	n Do not ween a prop . The resear on of and co o effort p with raised the	fund. This prosal submitted ch question en mplex to be a proposed. In a	or's Preliminary Recommendation proposal is a scaled-down version o ed and not funded in FY 01. The embodied in the proposal is too larg answered adequately with the limite addition, the Chief Scientist has pout the project's methods.			
02535	EVOS Trustee Council Restoration Program Final Report	J. Hunt/EVOS Restoration Office	ADFG	2nd yr. 2 yr. project	\$50.1	\$50.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	
<u>Project Abstract</u> This project will provide a final report for the activities of the Trustee Council, starting with the earliest damage assessment efforts and ending with the FY 02 Work Plan and disbursements of the final payment from Exxon. It will also include a complete history of the litigation leading to the civil settlement, which funds the Council. This project will increase public awareness and understanding of EVOS restoration activities, policies, and procedures. It will provide agencies and groups (facing a similar trustee situation) with a detailed history of the <i>Exxon Valdez</i> Oil Spill Restoration process, including highlights and pitfalls, so that others can benefit from lessons learned in the groundbreaking EVOS effort. This published history will include references and an index.		Chief Scientist's Recomm This is the second year of a project decade-long restoration program f settlement of the governments' cla Exxon. This project will help bring EVOS experience in the minds of t that sense it helps restore lost pass Further, the EVOS program and pain in terms of the nation's environment should be documented both for his also in the event that similar situation future. The principal investigator is am concerned that there is insuffice budgeted for travel. Fund.	t to report of ollowing ims against closure to the public, a sive uses. rocess are u that history a story's sake ions arise in s excellent, l	Exe Exe by Exe Exe Exe Exe Exe Exe Exe Exe	. project <u>Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation</u> Fund. This project is designed to increase public awareness and understanding of EVOS restoration activities, policies, and procedures through publicate of a report that comprehensively describes the True Council's activities from the time of the spill through 02, when the final payment from Exxon will be rece				

.

. .

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.			
02536	Synthesis of Spill Damaged Resource Information into the Heritage Data Management System	T. Gotthardt, K. Boggs/UAA	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$118.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0			
pertaining t oil spill into (HDMS). H Conservand throughout information species and conservation affected res linkage of E conservation effectivenes	Project Abstract t will synthesize conservation information o species and ecosystems damaged by the the Heritage Data Management System IDMS is part of an effort by The Nature cy and 86 Natural Heritage Programs the Western Hemisphere to document on terrestrial and nearshore endangered d ecosystems. It is the largest biodiversity on effort of its kind. The incorporation of spill sources information into HDMS would ensure EVOS information to broader based on efforts. The project will also evaluate the ss of using HDMS as an integral tool within	Chief Scientist's Recomm Among other objectives, this proje widely available some of the scien Trustee Council's restoration effor a great public service. However, t premature until a GEM data mana options for information manageme under GEM have been developed.	ct would make tific data from ts. This would he project is ger is hired ar ent and transfe	e Do not fu the manager d be initial task options fo nd GEM. Th er Heritage	nd. This pro is hired (ex ks of the da or information his should in Data Manag	oject is prem pected Sum ta manager on managen oclude consi gement Syst	Preliminary Recommendation of is premature until a GEM d of ted Summer 2001). One of manager will be development management and transfer un ide consideration of using the nent System and NatureServ EM information management				
02538	ck the recovery status of injured resources. Evaluation of Two Methods to Discriminate Pacific Herring Stocks along the Northern Gulf of Alaska	T. Otis/ADFG, R. Heintz/NOAA		2nd yr. 2 yr. project	\$47.3	\$47.3	\$0.0	\$0.0			
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm		• • •	tive Director	's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation			
This project will perform a comparative investigation of two promising stock identification techniques for Pacific herringelemental analysis of otoliths and fatty acid profile analysis of select soft tissues. Limited samples from Sitka Sound, Prince William Sound, Kamishak Bay Kodiak Island, and Togiak will be collected and analyzed to determine if stock differences are detectable by each procedure, and at what scale. Successful results from this pilot study should be followed up with future evaluations of the temporal and structural (i.e., sex, age, maturity) stability of these biomarkers.			ng aggregatio or manageme project is on igators are nvironmental o collections are pret the result Investigators the amount o y to meet y es in order to	ons, (99347, 0 ent origin for allow incr mixing of data assist in t e rearing at are of	Executive Director's Preliminary Recommenda and contingent on submittal of overdue reports 9347, 00476). The ability to determine the stock igin for herring sampled during field investigatio ow increased understanding of the distribution ixing of northwest Gulf of Alaska herring stocks sist in the identification of important habitats an aring areas for individual populations.						

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02543	Evaluation of Oil Remaining in the Intertidal from the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill	J. Short/NOAA	NOAA	2nd yr. 2 yr. project	\$113.1	\$263.1	\$0.0	
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's	Exec	utive Director	r's Prelimina	iry Recomme	ndation	

This project will assess the amount of oil remaining from The public and the Trustee Council want to know as Fund original request (\$113,100 for data and chemical the oil spill on shorelines within Prince William Sound in FY 01. A stratified random sample of shoreline will be intensively sampled for surface and subsurface oil to estimate length of oiled shoreline, area and volume of oiled sediment, and volume of oil. Approximately 8 km will be sampled by digging about 8,000 pits to discover and quantify subsurface oil. In FY 02, Phase III of this project will be devoted to data and chemical analysis, preparation of a final report, and journal publications. No fieldwork is proposed for FY 02.

accurately as can be estimated the amount of oil that remains in Prince William Sound. This continuing project will provide the answer in as rigorous a manner as possible. It is also appropropriate to set aside funds for possible follow-up work on residual oil in FY 02, depending on a review of the preliminary results, which are expected November 2001. Fund original request; defer decision on follow-up funding.

analysis, final report preparation, and journal publications) contingent on submittal of overdue report (00195) and manuscript (00598). Defer decision on possible additional funding (the \$150,000 shown above is a placeholder) until December, pending review of the preliminary results of the survey of remaining oil underway in Summer 2001. The survey is assessing the surface area and volume of shoreline in Prince William Sound still contaminated with Exxon Valdez oil. The results may warrant further investigation of remaining oil or the possible effects of remaining oil on injured species, and I recommend that funds be set aside for this purpose. Surveys outside of Prince William Sound are not anticipated -- the Council funded a final comprehensive assessment of oil around Kodiak in FY 95 and along the Kenai and Alaska peninsulas in FY 99.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02546	Assessing Harbor Seals: Methods to Identify Metabolic Responses to Environmental Change	M. Castellini/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$50.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
testing for a monitoring seals. Muc this method technique to animals bac values. The Identity" an Iong-runnin conduct the	Project Abstract t will provide final design and sensitivity a sampling scheme and software approach to population-wide health patterns in harbor h like the concept of genetic fingerprinting, I uses a novel blood chemistry fingerprinting hat can easily separate subpopulations of sed on a suite of 20-30 blood chemistry e proposers termed this method "Metabolic d intend to use it as the core of a g GEM proposal. The FY 02 project will e pre-development testing of the method and hgth and robustness.	Chief Scientist's Rec This proposal is for the devel chemistry profile method whic subpopulations and/or fitness investigator is a very accomp mammal biologist. The propo- appears to be a potentially po- supplement earlier work on re differences and geographic d habits that are known to exist northern Gulf of Alaska. Furt this concept as proposed is li assessing marine mammal p- of Alaska. However, the mos for accomplishing this work m with concerned resource mar Do not fund.	opment of a blood ch may charactering of individuals. T lished and able m based methodology owerful tool that ca egional genetic ifferences in food for harbor seals her development kely appropriate f opulations in the ca anay be in partners	d Do not f ze blood ch he harbor s aarine been su y manage buld in the of or Gulf tegy hip	und. This pr nemistry prof seals, would	oposal, whi ile for identi have been r partnership	ry Recomme ch would deverying subpopu- nore attractive with the reso	elop a llations of e if it had
02550	Alaska Resources Library and Information Services (ARLIS)	All Trustee Council Agencies	ADFG		\$144.3	\$93.2		
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Rec	ommendation	Exect	utive Directo	r's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
Alaska Řes (ARLIS). A information In addition, reports and	t is the Trustee Council's contribution to the ources Library and Information Services RLIS serves as a central access point for generated through the restoration process. ARLIS acts as the public repository for other materials generated as a result of the image assessment, and restoration efforts e spill.	The Alaska Resources Librar Services (ARLIS) performs as providing world-wide access to voluminous materials general EVOS experiencespill respond assessment, restoration, etc. these materials advances the objectives, and requests for E ARLIS are significant, about 1 This project should be funded more difficult question is how and, over the longer term, wh appropriate. Fund.	h important servic to what are now ted from the whole onse, damage The availability of full range of reco EVOS materials a 15% of all library of through FY 02. ARLIS relates to	e by Resourd continge e budget t Council of reduced overy ARLIS p t other ma uses. assessn The original t GEM 01 only	es Library a ent on submi hat reflects t contributions further as th provides an in aterials produced nent and res funding com	nd Informati ttal and app his reduced in FY 03 and the transition mportant set uced throug toration pro- mitment to A LIS might re	n at the Alask on Services (roval of a revi scope. Trus nd beyond ma to GEM is co vice for docu h the damage cesses. The ARLIS was the late to the GE	ARLIS), ised ay be mpleted. ments and council's rough FY

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02552-BAA	Exchange Between Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska	S. Vaughn/PWSSC	NOAA	3rd yr. 3 yr. project	\$102.5	\$102.5	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

One of the least understood physical processes that influence the biological components of Prince William Sound is the exchange between the northern Gulf of Alaska and Prince William Sound. This project will document the interannual variability in water mass exchange between the sound and the adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska at Hinchinbrook Entrance, and identify mechanisms governing this exchange. The project will deploy an upward looking ADCP mooring in Hinchinbrook Entrance to create time series of velocities and the sound. Key to the limitations has been lack spanning three years. The mooring will be equipped with a CTD to create a time series of deep temperature and salinity. To identify the dominant factors that govern column are not sampled by the ADCP. The principal component of GEM, the Chief Scientist has identified a Prince William Sound/Gulf of Alaska exchange, the mooring velocity and deep temperature/salinity time series will be combined with meteorological and physical data collected under other research programs already in progress.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Fixed instrumentation in Hinchinbrook Entrance is key to understanding the circulation and productivity of Prince William Sound and the Alaska Coastal Current. The Trustee Council has funded this project after the end of SEA (Sound Ecosystem Assessment, Project /320) in order to provide a continuing record. It is recognized that the single mooring has serious limitations for characterizing the exchange between the Alaska Coastal Current of summer/fall data due to battery-life limitations. investigator was to pursue other sources of funds to number of concerns with project implementation. address these limitations but additional funding has not been identified. Furthermore, there are overdue reports and manuscripts and no published papers over the past five years. Defer decision until above issues can be resolved.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Defer decision on funding this project to December, pending satisfactory resolution of the technical issues raised by the Chief Scientist and further review of the principal investigator's publication record. If funded, funding will be contingent on (a) receipt of a description of the deployment procedure intended to insure against loss of data and (b) submittal of the overdue report on Project 00552. This project has continued data gathering and analysis from the Hinchinbrook Entrance buoy that was begun under SEA (Sound Ecosystem Assessment, Project /320). Although a buoy at Additionally, the upper forty-five meters of the water Hinchinbrook Entrance is expected to be an important

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02556	Mapping Marine Habitats: The First Step in a Spatially Nested Monitoring Program		ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	Exect	utive Directo	r' <u>s Prelimina</u>	ry Recomme	ndation	

Groups, individuals, and programs as diverse as natural resource agencies, local governments, researchers, conservation advocates in Cook Inlet and Kachemak Bay, and GEM can benefit from a comprehensive, high resolution database of shoreline and nearshore habitats, and from information on the physical changes seen through time. At present, no such detailed database or monitoring program exists within the Gulf of Alaska. This project will use a method adopted along the US west coast to gather such habitat information in a cost-effective yet detailed manner. The method relies on a nested hierarchical nearshore classification based on the physics of the environment to select replicate shore sites for monitoring algal and invertebrate diversity.

The GIS database of physical habitat features for intertidal and subtidal lands in Kachemak Bay could be a valuable baseline, and learning how to measure nearshore habitats in Kachemak Bay could provide a good starting point for intertidal monitoring for GEM. However, this project is premature considering the current status of GEM development. A workshop to develop options for long-term monitoring of the nearshore/intertidal under GEM is recommended for funding (Project 02395), and the proposer should participate in that workshop in order to integrate Kachemak Bay monitoring with broader GEM goals. The workshop may identify pilot or preliminary work to be invited on nearshore/intertidal mointoring later in FY 02 or FY 03, and a small amount of funds have been set aside for this purpose in FY 02 (see Project 02681). Do not fund.

Do not fund. This proposal is premature pending development of options for long-term monitoring of the nearshore/intertidal under GEM. A workshop for this purpose is recommended for funding under Project 02395. The workshop may identify pilot or preliminary work to be invited on nearshore/intertidal mointoring later in FY 02 or FY 03, and a small amount of funds have been set aside for this purpose in FY 02 (see Project 02681). The proposer should attend and participate in the workshop as recommended under Project 02395. This project would build a spatially comprehensive database of the geomorphology and physical attributes of subtidal and intertidal habitats in Kachemak Bay and quantify the physical attributes that force spatial variation in diversity of fish, invertebrate, and algal populations.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02558	Harbor Seal Recovery: Application of New Technologies for Monitoring Health	S. Atkinson/UAF	ADFG	2nd yr. 3 yr. project	\$133.5	\$128.4	\$27.1	
technologi	Project Abstract of will investigate the potential for new es to assess and monitor the endocrine and	Chief Scientist's Rec The establishment of normal and immune system measure	ranges of endocri	ne Fund cor itial (currently	ntingent on (/ underway)	a) satisfacto and (b) sub	ry Recomme bry peer revie mittal and ap	w proval of a
technologie immune sy of harbor s triiodothyrc gluconeog immunoglo burden of c assessmen as seals th for rehabili those failin assessed,	es to assess and monitor the endocrine and vstems as diagnostic measures of the health eals. Analysis of thyroxine (T_4), onine (T_3), and cortisol (primary metabolic and enic hormones), and measurement of obulins (IgG, IgM, and IgA) and the body organochlorine contaminants will provide an ht of both permanently captive seals as well at are brought into the Alaska SeaLife Center tation. Once the profiles of healthy seals and g to thrive in their natural environment are these techniques will be evaluated for routine	and immune system measure for monitoring the health of m northern Gulf of Alaska. The animals at the Alaska SeaLife unique opportunity. Fund con project contingent on satisfac	es has great poten harine mammals in use of rehabilitate e Center offers a htinuation of this	itial (currently the revised b ed employin Center to seals. [N	y underway) budget for th g new techro assess and ote: Funding	and (b) sub e expected hologies at the d monitor the g for Alaska		proval of a project is aLife irbor ter bench
this specie		D. Roseneau/USFWS	DOI	<u>,</u>	\$54.3	\$54.3	\$11.6	\$11.6
	a Community- Based Forage Fish Sampling Project for GEM			1st yr. 2 yr. project		•••••		•••••
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Rec	ommendation	Execu	tive Director	's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
project's fiv from sport- populations forage fish area during help asses community long-term f results are begin desig fish monito capelin and Bay/lower	et is based on the recently completed APEX ve-year pilot study that used stomach contents caught halibut to sample forage fish s. The project will monitor long-term trends in populations in several regions of the spill g GEM. The project will provide information to is and understand the types and levels of participation that may be available for forage fish monitoring studies. Also, if project favorable, the information can be used to gning cost-effective, community-based forage oring studies to track long-term trends in d sand lance stocks in the Kachemak Cook Inlet, Resurrection Bay, Kodiak Island, william Sound regions.	temporal and spatial scales. make a strong contribution to feasibility of community base	adance over large The work would al o understanding the d sampling progra nsition. The princip	commun so long-term e successf ms, Ecosyste bal to unders sampling importan investiga coordina Developr that the T 02 is not relevant	ities to exploin forage fish fully begun us m Experime standing the programs i t part of GE tor's visits to ted with the ment Directo frustee Cou in the partic to forage fis that might b	ore involving monitoring inder APEX ent, Project / feasibility o n general, a M transition o communiti Trustee Color (Project / ncil's interes cular data th h, but in the e developed	sit 11 spill-are local resider studies, build (Alaska Pred /163). It will of f community- nd therefore . The principa es should be uncil's Comm 052). It should st in this project at might be gas techniques a f in regard to onent for GEM	nts in ls on work lator contribute based is an unity d be noted ect in FY athered ind designing

4

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02565	Bottom-Up vs. Top Down: What Forces Control Variability in Kachemak Bay?	C. Schoch/Kachemak Bay Research Reserve	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$49.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
on rocky and study the rel (current patt distributions populations is to underst oceanograp communities partner with funded by th Administration	Project Abstract will establish intertidal and subtidal transects d sediment shores in Kachemak Bay and will ationship between bottom-up controls erns, nutrient concentrations, phytoplankton) and the spatial patterns of adult and their larvae over time. The primary goal and the interaction of the nearshore hic environment with coastal marine s in the Gulf of Alaska. The project will existing research and monitoring programs e National Oceanic and Atmospheric on in Kachemak Bay and will adopt protocols y PISCO (Partnership for the nary Study of Coastal Oceans).	implemented in the future, althou methodology needs to be more fi One of the potential strengths of actual measurement of larval red be understood in the broader cor oceanographic forcing. Overridin the proposal is premature with re development of GEM. Results of conducted pursuant to projects 0 Long-Term Monitoring in the Nea	herit and coul- ligh the ully develope this project is cruitment that ntext of g these cond spect to activities 2395/Plannir arshore and would need to	d be Do not f intertida d. goal of f might marine o develop litions, a future the mett Project o be includ	und. This pr I and subtida urthering und re oceanogra communities ment of GEN year, the Ch nodology be D2395/Plann ore and Proje	oject, which Il transects i derstanding aphic enviro , is prematur 1. If this pro ief Scientist further deve ing for Long	ry Recomment would establin Machemak I of the interact nment with co re with respect posal is result has recomment has recomment oped and res -Term Monito apping Marine	ish Bay with a tion of the bastal ot to bomitted in ended that sults from ring in the
02569		C. Schoch/Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, G. Eckert/UA	ADFG S	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$15.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
(North Pacifi (Partnership Oceans) in t and shorelin oceanic regi intertidal and such prograt a workshop the Gulf of A develop a co and monitor A network o concert to a	Project Abstract kcellent research models such as PICES ic Marine Science Organization) and PISCO for the Interdisciplinary Study of Coastal he Lower 48 that integrate oceanographic e components to study the effects of me shifts on recruitment and growth of d shallow subtidal organisms. However, no m exists in Alaska. This project will convene to bring together researchers from across laska region and the U.S. west coast to pordinated research program for research ing the neashore ocean of the North Pacific. f local research organizations acting in dopt standardized protocols to address estions at multiple spatial scales is	<u>Chief Scientist's Recomr</u> Combine some concepts with Pro Project 02395 for recommendation	oject 02395.	See Do not fi concept	und as a sep	arate projec	r <u>y Recommer</u> t, but combin e Project 023	e some

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02570	Book on EVOS Science for General	S. Loshbaugh/Freelance Writing	ADFG		\$47.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Readers			1st yr.				
				1 yr. project				

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

Project Abstract

This project will produce a publication-ready, book-length manuscript about the scientific and restoration projects following the oil spill. Written for the intelligent lay reader, it will emphasize the cutting-edge quality, adventurous experiences, ethical issues and lucid, non-technical explanations of findings. Based on interviews, symposium presentations and review of the technical literature, it will include discussion of scientists' personal motivations, partnerships between Western and indigenous knowledge systems, legal entanglements, technical advances, the interdisciplinary ecosystem approach, and the implications both process and findings hold for future research design, science in the public arena, and the environment.

The proposer, who has a science background and considerable effort in outlining a book on the EVOS experience and restoration science program. Such a book could help bring closure to the oil-spill experience and restoration program, which would be helpful and timely. However, the scope of the book is overly broad--for example, mixing spill response and restoration science--and the timetable (Project /600) is also under consideration by the is unrealistically short. Also, the budget does not anticipate any costs for subsidizing publication, which seems likely unless the author can interest a major publisher in this account. This project overlaps substantially with another one (Project /535) already funded by the Trustee Council, and much of the need for the research proposed here could be short-circuited by waiting for more technical syntheses on the restoration program to be completed. Do not fund.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Do not fund. Although this proposal is much improved considerable experience in journalism, has invested over the version submitted last year (a detailed outline and a draft of the opening pages of the book have been included), the proposed contents overlap substantially with the Trustee Council final report being prepared under Project /535. The part that does not overlap is the scientific synthesis, which might be better handled by more experienced scientific writers. Such a proposal Council.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		ew or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02574-BAA	Assessment of Bivalve Recovery on Treated Mixed-Soft Beaches in Prince William Sound	D. Lees/Littoral Eco.& Environ. Services		st yr. ? yr. project	\$94.8	\$94.8	\$35.3	
assemblage high-pressur damaged in This project injury to thes conclusions considerable areas of the these beach ability to sup vertebrate p ducks. The for remediat	Project Abstract 1989 through 1997 suggest that bivalve s on beaches in Prince William Sound with re hot-water washing remain severely terms of species composition and function. will assess the generality of this apparent se assemblages. A finding that our are accurate will indicate that a e proportion of mixed-soft beaches in treated sound remains extremely disturbed and that es are functionally impaired in terms of their port foraging by damaged nearshore redators such as sea otters and harlequin study will also provide insight into the need fon of beaches to restore biodiversity and hese assemblages.		pling initiated un pheric am to document eanup on 5. This would allo ZMAT studies to phic range withir fine sediments b quent biological pterm effects of ed and the princi to past comment f the effort. evidence that the wed literature of most value to be uncertainty ov asts some doubt er pending	der Defer de pending that addr developn w preparati be proposal National y HAZMAT shoreline the thus allow pal geograph nts endeavo	cision on fur review of a esses the C nent of shor on of results would exter Oceanic an program to e cleanup or wing the res nic range. T	nding this pr revised Deta chief Scientis eline treatm s for peer re nd sampling d Atmosphe o document o populations ults to be ge	ry Recomment oject to Dece iled Project D st's concerns ent history an viewed literate initiated under ric Administra continuing eff of important meralized over a worthwhile	mber, Description (further id ure). This er the ation's fects of bivalves, er a larger
02578	The Marine Macrofauna of Prince William Sound: An Annotated List	N. Foster, H. Feder		st yr. yr. project	\$38.3	\$35.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation	<u>Execu</u>	tive Directo	r's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
biogeograph animal spec compiled as of nonindige important inf	at present basic taxonomic and ic information at the species level for 1,645 ies from Prince William Sound have been part of research on potential introductions nous species. This project will make this formation available to a wider group of ling EVOS stakeholders.	I would recommend careful cons proposal. Its priority ranking may justify its support for FY 02. It is essential piece of work. Fund low	y be high enougł worthy but not a	n to pending n continge would pr of Prince	availability on nt on resolu oduce a put William So	of funds. If fi tion of budg blication on t und, using d	oject to Dece unded, fundin et issues. Thi he marine ma lata compiled s species in t	g will be s project acrofauna through

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02584	Evaluation of Airborne Remote Sensing Tools for GEM Monitoring	E. Brown/UAF, J. Churnside/NOAA	ADFG	1st yr. 3 yr. project	\$118.4	\$75.0	\$240.0	
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomme	endation	Execu	tive Director	's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
for GEM mo interpretatio package con biological fe infrared radi (c) two three color (chloro schools, and digital video project will u and interpre	will evaluate airborne remote sensing tools initoring, including a biological/ecological in of the data collected. The instrument insists of (a) a pulsed lidar to map subsurface atures day to a maximum of 50 m, (b) an ometer to map SST day (similar to AVHRR), e-chip digital video systems to map ocean ophyll), birds, mammals, surface fish d ocean frontal structure, and (d) an infrared to map birds and mammals at night. The use shipboard and buoy data for validation tation of remote sensed data. [Note: The FY ir 3 of the project) has not been provided.]	The development of monitoring too or other remote sensing techniques valuable for GEM. The proposal is and broad-ranging, and it seems up project objectives can be achieved work for remote sensing technique difficult and expensive. A more limit objectives focused on proof-of-con appropriate. Defer pending review proposal that addresses proof-of-c assessment of support from other delivery of past due reports by the investigator (Brown).	s could be very ambition nlikely that a . Development is is frequent ited set of cept might b of a revised oncept only, agencies, ar	ery pending n bus and budg ill proof-of-of- ent information the funded, f description pe insure ag report (99 remote s nd GEM. The cost-effection	review of a r jet that (a) ra concept (rou on on financ unding will b on of the dej jainst loss of 9375). This ensing instru- ne FY 02 Inv	evised Deta educe the pr ighly \$75,00 ial support f e contingen ployment pro f data and (t project wou umentation a vitation invite	oject to Dece iled Project I roject's focus 0) and (b) ind rom other en t on (a) rece ocedure inter b) submittal o Id explore air as a monitori ed proposals chnologies th	Description to clude more tities. If pt of a ided to f overdue borne ng tool for to develop
02589-BAA	PWSRCAC - EVOS Long Term Environmental Monitoring Program	J. Devens/ PWSRCAC	NOAA	1st yr.	\$233.3	\$0.0	<u> </u>	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomme	endation	•	tive Director	's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
measureme program site Kenai Penin objective is for the collection and mussel impacts of control will provide greater efficient that has bee	will provide essential long-term baseline nts of hydrocarbon levels and sources at es within areas of Prince William Sound, sula, Kodiak, and Gulf of Alaska. The to provide a more comprehensive program ction of baseline data in subtidal sediments tissue that can be used to determine il sources on the ecosystem. This project an improved link to recovery status and iency in hydrocarbon sampling and analysis en ongoing since 1993 under the auspices of Villiam Sound Regional Citizens Advisory	The partnership proposed in this pasense as we move into GEM. How proposal is premature because the activities (ecosystem components contaminants of interest, where to when) has not been defined. In ad questions of cost effectiveness, int collection activities with other GEM whether annual collections are requilimate questions to be addressed monitoring. Do not fund.	roject may m vever, the scope of G to be measu measure an dition, there egration of component uired, and th	nake Do not fu William S EM (PWSRC ired, hydrocar d only to se are PWSRC is prema s,	nd. This pro Sound Regic AC) program bon levels to ediments als AC may be	oject would nal Citizens n of long-ter additional s o. While a	expand the F ' Advisory Co rm sampling sites and fror partnership v der GEM, thi	Prince ouncil of n mussels vith the

.

.

7

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02593	River Otters and Fishes in the Nearshore Environment: A Synthesis	S. Jewett/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$143.6	\$30.0	\$33.1	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	endation	Exec	utive Director	r's <u>P</u> relimina	ry Recomme	ndation
and fishes i NVP/025 (N (Alaska Pre (Sound Eco organization specialized and availab dependence of schooling intertidal/de and synthes distributions social comr	will integrate data collected on river otters in Prince William Sound through efforts of the earshore Vertebrate Predator), APEX/163 dator Ecosystem Experiment), and SEA/320 system Assessment) projects. Social and population dynamics of river otters, fish-predators, are dependent on abundance lity of fishes. This project will test the e of sociality in river otters on the availability fishes and the contribution of mersal fishes to the diet of solitary otters, ize the data on the effects of fish on otter sociality with that on the effects of nunication of otters on nutrient transports beach-fringe forests.	This is an innovative and thoughth investigators with a proven track re- this species and system. The pro- conceived and well written. This pro- possibly provide an alternative exp phenomena previously observed a the spill, as well as make a contrib- understanding how the environme behavior of river otters. Fund conti- revised proposal that focuses on the river otter sociality only and at a su cost.	ecord of stud posal is well oject could anation for and attributed ution toward nt affects ngent on a ne manuscrij	ying Detailed scope to otter so \$30,000 to through s (025/Ne Predato Ecosyst ot on Otters t wer procedu manuso	d Project Des o manuscript ciality) at a m)). This proje earlier Trust earshore Vert or Ecosystem tem Assessm o Oil Contam ares allow 1.5 cript; some ac e because of	cription and #1 only (for ouch reduced ect will draw ee Council f ebrate Pred Experiment hent, 348/Re ination). Th 5 months of dditional fund	d approval of budget that r age fishes an d cost (roughl on data colled unded projec ator, 163/Alas , 320/Sound esponses of R le Council's b personnel tim ds may be wa t of data analy	educe the d river y cted ts ska tiver udget e per urranted in
02597-BAA	Ocean Color Time Series of Prince William Sound	S. Pegau/ OSU	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$28.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	endation	Exec	utive Directo	r's Prelimina	iry Recomme	ndation
concentration general use images of the William Sou University of the current mapped into possibility of the tempora This data so base of the	will develop a time series of chlorophyll ons and other ocean color products for . The time series will include full resolution the coastal waters of Alaska and Prince and in particular. SeaWiFS data collected at f Alaska-Fairbanks will be processed with state of the art algorithms. The data will be o regional areas at 1 km resolution. The f adding CZCS and OCTS data to increase al extent of the time series will be examined. at will allow investigators to examine how the food chain (phytoplankton) has varied asonally, and annually during the life of these	This is a good proposal in both me objectives, but it does not carry the regarding what kinds of research w by having the SeaWiFS data on a resolution (1 km vs. the 10 km cur The proposal is poorly coordinated scientists and programs, and pren GEM implementation. Do not fund	e burden of p vould be ena finer spatial rently availat with regiona nature in tern	roof time-se bled ocean o Scientis ble). resoluti al premati	ries database color products at has questic	e of 1-km res s for the Gul oned whethe ary. In addit	create and m solution SeaV f of Alaska. T r this degree ion, the proje ementation.	ViFS The Chief of

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02600	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R. Spies/EVOS Chief Scientist, et al	ADNR	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$151.6	\$151.6	\$307.4	,
12 years of p assessment anthropogen northern Gul be incorpora that will eithe as a whole v effort will be	Project Abstract will synthesize the significant results from post-spill study in the EVOS damage and restoration programs as they relate to ic and natural forcing factors influencing the f of Alaska. The results of the synthesis will ted into a series of interrelated manuscripts or be submitted to a journal for publication olume, or to a publisher as a book. This one of the major products of the EVOS rogram and help set the foundation for			t. Defer dec pending of integrate decade's synthesis the public rigorous	cision on fur completion of what has be worth of sc could fulfill about the	nding this pr of review. T een learned ience follow at least two EVOS legac	ry Recomment oject to Dece here is a need from more the ing the oil spill purposes: (a y in a scientif d (b) provide a	mber, d to an a I. Such a) inform ically
02601-BAA	GEM Transition: Addressing Methodological Data Gaps	T. Kline/ PWSSC	NOAA	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$189.5	\$0.0	\$85.0	\$0.0
has shown the northern Gull affect recruit Prince Willia to measure a landed fish a shifts. Accor abundance t processes a fish and othe long-term ma presently da that can be a GLOBEC an (a) address i macro-zoopi	Project Abstract arch using natural stable isotope abundance nat the advective regime connecting the f of Alaksa with Prince William Sound may ment and nutritional processes in fish. m Sound isotope data has also been used relative trophic level. The trophic levels of appear to undergo long-term systematic dingly, GEM will need to use stable isotope o address the effects of advective and anthropogenic trophic level effects on er ecosystem components as part of ponitoring studies. However, there are ta gaps in the stable isotope methodology addressed within the next year using d OSRI sampling platforms. This study will nter-species isotope effects among ankton taxa and (b) develop non-lethal pling for fishes.	Chief Scientist's Recomm This proposal would explore the a natural stable isotope abundance spatial and temporal changes in m trophic level. The investigations w current work being carried out in th program by the principal investiga investigator is well qualified with a publication record in the restoration Although trophic level shifts in ma may indicate basic changes in occ is not certain that monitoring of thi occur in GEM. Proposal is prema	pplication of data to estab nacrozooplan yould comple he GLOBEC tor. The reasonable on program. crozooplankt ean productiv is indicator w	Do not fu recomme ikton macrozoo ment productiv monitore GEM is fu con vity, it	nd based or endation. Al oplankton m ity, it is not	n Chief Scie though tropi lay indicate certain that t M. This pro	ry Recommen ntist's nic level shifts basic change his indicator posal is prem	s in s in ocean will be

-

• •

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.			
02603	Implementation of an Ocean Circulation Model: A Transition from SEA to GEM	J. Wang/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$73.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0			
.	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom					ry Recomme				
in the Gulf order to co biological r including P horizontal r 3.7km at 6 Alaska Cur wind stress	nodel. This model will cover the entire gulf, rince William Sound and Cook Inlet. The esolution of this model is 4'x2' minutes (about D"N). This model will be forced by tides, the	have an overall physical model of needs to be established with wid from the oceanographic and clir communities. The model propose may not be the optimal modeling	del. If GÉM is to of the system, to der representat natological sed here may o g approach for all possible sidered prior to f any	b Prince W his under SE ion /320) and State Sin r this stage the physical all possit through a the ocea The prop	Villiam Soun EA (Sound E d continued nulationsto e of GEM tra model of the ble modeling a process in nographic a boser should	d circulation cosystem A under Proje the Gulf of ansition. If (system, a options sho volving wide nd climatolo participate	ect, which would expand the inculation modeldevelop system Assessment, Proj der Project 01389/3-D Oc a Gulf of Alaska, is prema sition. If GEM is to develop ystem, a thorough evaluate ptions should first be under ving wide representation for climatological communities articipate in the GEM mod urly in FY 02 (see Project 0				
02604	Gear Selectivity in Traw! Surveys along the Northern Gulf of Alaska	W. Bechtol/ADFG	ADFG	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$52.1	\$0.0	\$15.0	\$0.0			
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation		tive Directo	r's Prelimina	iry Recomme	ndation			
long-term r populations ecosystem of Alaska. different tra lower Cool and uses a representa The secon larger-mes catching su Compariso these two	t will explore approaches to developing nonitoring techniques for forage fish is in Cook Inlet, an area representative of conditions and changes in the northern Gulf Time series data are available for two awi surveys conducted in Kachemak Bay in the Inlet. One survey series dates to the 1970's small-mesh trawl that catches species tive of the underlying forage base in this area. d survey series, dating to 1990, uses a h trawl fished closer to the bottom and ubstantially different species composition. n of the catch composition time series from survey types will allow determination of gear between these trawls.	This proposal identifies an impo selectivity, but there is substanti among experts on the methodol associated with comparative sel suggests that the results from the be definitive. Do not fund.	al disagreemer ogical problem lectivity studies	nt and large s catchabil . This regard to not However	-mesh traw ities of thes monitoring , due to me parative sel	l surveys to e two botton techniques thodological	compare sma determine re n trawl desigr for forage fish concerns ass ies, funding is	lative is in n. sociated			

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02608	Permanent Archiving of Specimens Collected in Nearshore and Deep Benthic Habitats	N. Foster/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$111.8	\$65.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
marine in EVOS as environm and 1995 samples f deep ben there will	Project AbstractChief Scientist's RecommendationExecutive Director's Preliminary Recommendationoject will support acquisition and archiving of invertebrate specimens collected as part of assessment studies in Prince William Sound and mental monitoring in Port Valdez between 1990 95. Specimens represent a time series of s from eelgrass habitats, kelp forest habitats, and enthic communities. As a result of these efforts, ill be an improved set of baseline data for the biota of Prince William Sound.Archiving these specimens only.Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation Detailed Project Description and budget that (project's scope to the archiving of nearshore/subtidal specimens are of a higher priority. Fund revised proposal that limits activity to nearshore/subtidal specimens only.Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation Detailed Project Description and budget that (project's scope to the archiving of nearshore/subtidal specimens only.Long-Term Temperature/SalinityT. Weingartner/UAFADFG\$59.8\$0.0\$15.5						a revised a) limit the subtidal rify how ecimens thwhile Project at the could serve	
02609		T. Weingartner/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$59.8	\$0.0	\$15.5	\$0.0
Current <u>Project Abstract</u> Interannual variations in temperature, salinity, and their vertical distribution on the northern Gulf of Alaska shelf reflect environmental changes that might affect this marine ecosystem. This variability needs to be quantified and understood based on extended time series such as the 30-year record at hydrographic station GAK1 near Seward. This project maintains this time series and will continue to quantify the variability and understand the sources of it. It will also begin to document interannual variations in near-surface (upper 10 m) stratification and the timing of the spring bloom or the inner shelf. The data and associated analyses are suggested as being an important component to the development of the GEM program.		Chief Scientist's Re Fund under continuation of f Project 02340 for recommer	Project 02340. Se	Exect ee This proj	ect has bee		ry Recomme with 02340. on.	

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02610	Kodiak Archipelago Youth Area Watch	T. Schneider/Kodiak Island Borough School District	ADFG	3rd yr.	\$128.3	\$61.8	\$57.7	

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This project will engage students in projects with goals aligned with the general restoration efforts of the Trustee the youth of Kodiak Island in the restoration Council. Students and site coordinators will conduct interviews with local experts and document traditional ecological knowledge, publishing it in a School District oral history magazine. Participation of Youth Area Watch adults and students in the annual Academy of Elders/Science Camp will be strongly encouraged. Such asks for a doubling over the expected budget. Fund 2001). As with the Prince William Sound Youth Area participation will serve as another avenue for more tribal members to learn about restoration efforts, scientific monitoring techniques, and occupations related to such work. The value and implications of traditional ecological knowledge will be strongly emphasized throughout the implementation of the project.

program. The project is in its third and final year, although funding is requested for FY 03. The success of students from this program in the regional Kodiak Science Fair is admirable and attests to the value of this program. The proposal at a reduced amount.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

This is a popular and successful program to involve Fund contingent on submittal and approval of a revised Detailed Project Description and budget that (a) reflect the expected amount of funding (\$61,800), (b) further describe student activities underway in FY 01, and (c) clarify in which EVOS projects the students will participate in FY 02. Funding is also contingent on submittal of the 00610 annual report (due June 30, Watch (Project \210), on which this project is modeled, Trustee Council funding is to be a contribution to the program and strong financial support from the school district and/or other funding sources is expected. This project is designed to involve local youth in restoration projects. FY 02 was expected to be the final year of Council support. However, some kind of community effort should be a future part of GEM.

S. Okkonen/UAF

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02612	Detecting and Understanding Marine-Terrestrial Linkages in the Kenai	W. Hauser/ADFG	ADFG	1st yr.	\$44.6	\$44.6	\$0.0	\$0.0
	River Watershed			1 yr. projec	:t			
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's I	Recommendation	Exe	ecutive Directo	r's Prelimina	iry Recomme	ndation
to serve a scientists i terrestrial	ct will provide matching funds for a coordinate multidisciplinary team of agency-supported that is designing a study of marine and nutrient cycling in the Kenai River watershed.	or This project will develop to inputs of marine nutrients the Gulf of Alaska. There GEM activities. The project patential as well as scient	in watersheds adjac fore, it should aid fu ct has substantial so	cent to and re ture provid ientific basis		sed Detailed rough explai and that pre	Project Desc nation of the s sents the sci	ription that scientific entific

The oil spill curtailed commercial fishing on the river in 1989, causing changes in productivities of sockeye salmon and other species, in addition to allowing a massive input of marine nutrients born by the unharvested salmon. The watershed is also at some risk from anthropogenic activities including habitat degradation, increased utilization and invasive species. Studies on watersheds of the Pacific Northwest suggest there may be cascading impacts when marine derived nutrients normally supplied by salmon carcasses are diverted from an ecosystem. When nutrients normally supplied by salmon are withdrawn, productivity of the entire watershed is expected to be diminished.

02614 Monitoring Program for Near-Surface Temperature, Salinity, and Fluorescence in the Northern Pacific Ocean

Project Abstract

This project will use a thermosalinograph and fluorometer, to be installed on a crude oil tanker, to acquire continuous, long-term measurements of the near-surface temperature, salinity, and fluorescence fields along the tanker route between Valdez, Alaska and Long Beach, California.

.

potential, as well as scientific support and financial participation by concerned agencies and organizations in the region. This is a community based monitoring effort with substantial community cost sharing. However, the scientific framework and rationale need considerable development in relation discussion group on the Kenai River watershed, is to the GEM conceptual model. Defer pending development of GEM plan and evaluation of revised dynamics in the watershed and the role of marine proposal that provides a more thorough explanation derived nutrients in the ecosystem. of the scientific basis.

framework in the context of the GEM conceptual model. In addition, the responsibilities of the various participants in the project need to be clarified, as does the availability of funds from other sources. This project, which is the outgrowth of a multidisciplinary designed to increase understanding of food-web

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

ADFG

1st yr.

2 yr. project

This is an innovative proposal to determine the feasibility of taking frequent surface ocean measurements of temperature, salinity, and fluorescence on oil tankers traveling from Alaska to California. This would provide a stream of data on ocean conditions in Alaskan waters that would be extremely useful to GEM and supplement data taken by satellites and from fixed buoys on the GAK-1 line and data from NE GLOBEC (Global Climate Change) transects. Fund.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

\$38.2

\$17.1

\$17.1

\$38.2

Fund contingent on receipt of a description of the deployment procedure intended to insure against loss of data. This project will install a thermosalinograph and fluorometer on a crude oil tanker traveling between Valdez and Long Beach. Vessels of opportunity such as this are a cost-effective method that may be useful to GEM, and proposals to place oceanographic instrumentation packages on ships of opportunity were specifically invited in the FY 02 Invitation. The data collected by this project on ocean conditions in Alaskan waters will be extremely useful to GEM.

_ .__

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		lew or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02617	Standing Stock and Secondary Production of Zooplankton in Prince William Sound	R. Hopcroft, K. Coyie/UAF		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$86.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
variability of the success Systematic s waters of Pr 1997 with th although the that same ye comparable project will s current sam direct compa with detailed	Project Abstract ng the seasonal cycles and inter-annual zooplankton is essential for understanding of higher vertebrate trophic levels. sampling of the zooplankton in central ince William Sound was discontinued in e completion of the SEA project (/320) and e Gulf of Alaska GLOBEC program began in ear, its sampling techniques are not to the SEA and earlier data sets. This et the stage for GEM activities by enhancing pling within the GLOBEC program to allow arison to earlier data sets, and integrate this I analysis of recent nearshore zooplankton Prince William Sound Aquaculture hatcheries.	approaches (like this proposal)	amendation port a substantial cooplankton ound, for comparis DBEC on the she ward and with kton monitoring DEM, but any ould collect data ekton, and fish. If the examine some so that when the monitoring, there characteristics of samples, locat , taxa) of that a well-coordinate f is more importa me in order to ha	Execu Do not fu will likely son data colle if well-coor be devele on t	ind. Althoug be a part of action at this	gh a plankto GEM, it is p s time. A pla	ry Recommer n monitoring p premature to i an for a met surveys n	program nitiate
02618-BAA	Measurements of Tide Rip Front Variability in Cook Inlet	S. Saupe/CIRCAC		1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$11.7	\$0.0	\$3.7	\$0.0
thermosaling of near-surfa	<u>Project Abstract</u> will use a vessel-mounted ograph to acquire long-term measurements ace temperature and salinity to identify the location and intensity of tide rip fronts in	<u>Chief Scientist's Recon</u> The proposal does not make a restoration objectives, as spill re the mission of the Trustee Cour program is fairly inexpensive, th about the technical feasibility ar of the data. Do not fund.	compelling link to esponse is not wi ncil. While the lere are questions	bo not funds and Res s tide rip fr g prevention funds ca addition, the proje the data. explore w that migh	Ind. This pr alinograph to ponse, Inc. (onts in Cool on and respondent not be use the Chief S ct's technica However, f with Council of be mutual	oject would o assist Coo (CISPRI) in k Inlet in ord onse capabi d for prepar- cientist has al feasibility the propose staff possib ly beneficial	ry Recomment purchase a k Inlet Spill Pridentifying var er to improve lities. Trustee ation for future raised questic and potential r should contial r should contial in terms of C ring and GEM	revention iability of spill council e spills. In ons about biasing of nue to d.ideas IRCAC's

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02621	Kenai River Flats Conservation Easement and Public Education	M. Kuwada/ADFG	ADFG	1st yr.	\$141.0	\$141.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
				1 yr. project				.

Project Abstract

This project will protect approximately 600 acres of wetlands on the Kenai River Flats near the city of Kenai. The acquisition of a conservation easement for the property and construction of a boardwalk will protect sensitive coastal wetlands, high value waterfowl habitat, and two anadromous fish streams, and will provide new educational and recreational opportunities for the public. The conservation easement will be purchased by The Conservation Fund using already-approved funds from a long-term protection of Kenai River resources, but North American Wetlands Conservation Act grant. The easement will specify that the property be preserved in a case for how the proposed boardwalk and viewing natural state and protected against incompatible development. A boardwalk and viewing platform will be constructed using EVOS funds to provide recreational birdwatching and educational opportunities. The boardwalk and viewing platform are essential for obtaining the City of Kenai's support for the conservation easement.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

The Trustee Council has made a tremendous investment in the Kenai River through habitat protection and restoration as well as through fisheries research and management. Yet there are still significant needs and opportunities to help maintain and restore fisheries resources and recreation services on this world class salmon stream. This project would probably contribute to the proposal itself presents a less-than-compelling platform would do that. Moreover, as presented, the linkages to resources and services injured by the oil spill is weak or absent. Do not fund as proposed.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Defer decision on funding this project to December, pending receipt of further information. This project may be of important restoration benefit, but the proposal does not clearly describe how the proposed boardwalk and viewing platform would contribute to the Trustee Council's restoration objectives. In addition, indications of community and agency support, including from the Alaska Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, are not provided. If funded, funding would be contingent on satisfactory NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) review. This project would complement an effort currently underway with other funds (National Wetlands Conservation Act) to acquire a conservation easement on 600 acres on the Kenai River Flats. Protection of the Kenai River has been a high priority of the Trustee Council. The sort of improvement proposed in this project is similar to the improvements constructed under Project /180 (Kenai Habitat Restoration and Recreation Enhancement).

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02622	Digital Maps from Existing Seasonal Environmental Sensitive Area Maps: Cook Inlet/ Kenai Peninsula	J. Whitney/NOAA	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$36.6	\$36.6	\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

A series of national standardized digital map products will be produced form the existing seasonal environmental sensitive index (ESI) maps for Cook Inlet/ Kenai Peninsula made by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) in 1994. A four map seasonal series was originally developed for Cook Inlet by the NOAA Hazardous Materials Response and Assessment Division in the ArcInfo digital format with the output and distribution primarily being poster maps at a scale of 1:450,000. Since then, combined with greater demand for digital products, NOAA's digital ESI products have greatly expanded. This project will transform the existing Cook Inlet/Kenai Peninsula digital data into a four-tiered nationally standardized set of digital map products with the deliverable being 100 CDs. These will be the same products that were recently provided for Prince William Sound under Project 99368.

This project would transform the existing Cook Inlet/Kenai Peninsula digital data into a four-tiered nationally standardized set of digital map products with the deliverable being 100 CDs. A similar product was provided by the contractor for Prince William Sound under Project 99368/Prince William Sound Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Maps. The utility of having the maps on CDs would expand their accessibility, but there are no immediate use or user groups identified. Further there is no cost sharing provided by the agency. Poster maps also funded under Project 99368 have not yet been delivered. Fund lower priority.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Defer decision on funding this project to December, pending availability of funding. If funded, funding would be contingent on (a) consideration of creating the maps on the World Wide Web rather than on CD, (b) addition of other reviewers, e.g., U.S. Forest Service and the Oil Spill Recovery Institute, and (c) receipt of the poster maps due under Project 99368/Prince William Sound Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Maps. This project would convert the existing Cook Inlet ESI seasonal summary maps to the 1998 national standardized format (Full GIS, Desktop Mapping, Free ESI Viewer, and PDF ESI Navigator) in an effort to make the maps more accessible.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		w or ont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02624-BAA		S. Batten/SAHFOS, D. Welch/DFOC		st yr. yr. project	\$133.4	\$133.4	\$0.0	\$0.0
plankton mo ships of opp marine food understood, climate char the atmosph populations, groundfish. many of the of Alaska and climate. Shi platform for build on rece	Project Abstract presents the rationale for developing a unitoring program for the Gulf of Alaska using ortunity. Plankton are a critical link in the chain whose dynamics are poorly but respond rapidly and unambiguously to nge and form the link between changes in here and valuable upper trophic level such as salmon, herring, shrimp, and The proposal reviews the evidence that most valuable marine resources in the Gulf e strongly influenced by changes in ocean the scale monitoring and this project will ent experience gained with CPR (continuous corders) in the North Pacific to prepare for	Chief Scientist's Recomm This kind of programinstruments opportunitywill likely be the way long-term oceanic monitoring prog Ałaska. The largest tankers are n by the weather, so rather continue be expected. Questions of spatia coverage must be evaluated, how understanding of how the planktor only be as good as the sampling of Fund, but defer until December in the availability of cost sharing with Research Board (NPRB).	ed ships of to establish a gram in the Gulf not hindered muc bus sampling car I and temporal rever, since the n is distributed w design permits. order to assess	Executive Director's Preliminary Recorr Defer decision on funding this project to D pending more information on the availabil this purpose from the North Pacific Reservance funded, funding will be contingent on (a) a description of the deployment procedure insure against loss of data and (b) resolut questions. This project would fund continue traveling Valdez to Long Beach and on a along a Vancouver, B.C. to Kamchatka m				mber, f funds for Board. If pt of a ided to of budget n of a tanker ond vessel oring line. ed in FY search a EM, and ation
02627-BAA	A Symbiotic Acoustic Signal Processor to Increase Stock Assessment Effort	J. Dawson/BioSonics, Inc.		st yr. yr. project	\$171.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Processor (S resolution di existing ship over an Ethe processing s to store geo established collected and abundance a areas. The system that does not req underwater f	Project Abstract will develop a Symbiotic Acoustic Signal SASP) system, consisting of a high gital sonar receiver that attaches to an aboard echo sounder and routes the output ernet connection to displays, storage, and systems. This system provides the capability referenced raw digital acoustic data in an scientific format to PC hard disk. The data d analyzed using this system can determine and distribution of stocks within the sampled design philosophy provides a low-cost is extremely simple for a skipper to operate, puire dry-dock installation or towing of an transducer sled, and does not effect the the currently installed echo sounder.	Chief Scientist's Recomm This proposal requests funds to h apply state-of-the-art techniques f species-specific estimates of fish ships of opportunity. GEM may de ships-of-opportunity program to co different observations. This may be hydroacoustic data. However, the this time preclude development of as that proposed. Do not fund.	elp develop and for real-time, biomass using evelop a ollect a variety of well include a plans for GEM	Do not fu and test echo sou (ships-of of fish bio data acq at <i>Invitation</i> GEM, it i	ind. This pr a symbiotic inders instal -opportunity omass. Pro uisition tech b. However,	oject would sonar receiv led on comr) for collectii posals to de nologies we at this point to take on o	ry Recommendesign, manu- ter that attach nercial fishing ng real-time evelop cost-ef re invited in the in the develop development	Ifacture, nes to g vessels estimates fective he FY 02 opment of

.

~

.

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02628-BAA	Resurrection Bay Contaminant Survey	P. Homan/Qutekcak Native Tribe	NOAA		\$128.8	\$0.0	\$9.1	\$0.0
				1st yr. 2 yr. project				
protecting R Immediate s industry, fish leaky septic large ships s This project samples fron contaminant pesticides, a results of the	Project AbstractChief Scientist's RecommendationExecative Tribe would like to lead the way in tesurrection Bay from pollution and misuse. sources of pollution in the bay include heries, wastewater treatment discharge, systems, boat harbor, coal terminal, and such as barges, ferries, and cruise ships. will collect twenty ocean floor sediment m Resurrection Bay and analyze them for ts including metals, coliform bacteria, and other persistent organic pollutants. The e analyses will be publicized via public eports, and a website.Chief Scientist's RecommendationExecA properly designed sediment survey can provide valuable information about contaminant sources. This proposal is a good first attempt, but it has significant problems as written: (a) sampling methods are unspecified, (b) quality assurance procedures are not described, (c) collection and management costs are high, and (d) there is no identified expertise to interpret the data. Do not fund.Exec					oject, which mples for ev urrection Ba ty of pollutar is likely to i and will be d ns, this prop evelopment. questions at ds and the a ser should p	y, was initiate nts that may b nclude some lesigned to tal bosal is prema in addition, t bout the samp inalytes propo articipate in the orkshop to be	and ed by local be entering ke into ature at he Chief lling used for ne
02629-BAA	Development of a Paradigm for Ecosystem Monitoring	R. Thorne/PWSSC	NOAA		\$95.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
	Cosystem Monitoring			1st yr. 1 yr. project				
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm		•			ry Recommer	
recommend efficiency an recommend we believe th issues regar methods ide 1990's, such correlation-b prediction-ol and more. O William Sou Institute, and	will evaluate the GEM draft plan and draft ations to GEM that would improve research ad focus. The National Research Council ed a list of modifications to GEM. However, hat they missed some potentially serious rding the limitations to existing science entified by GLOBEC planners in the early as the limitations of measurement, based analyses, uncoupled bervation, the individual-organism approach, Our experience with programs of the Prince and Science Center, Oil Spill Recovery d Sound Ecosystem Assessment addressed a with some success.		M personnel anism differe nities for M during FY of workshops	is to Prince W personne funding f 02 Recover s in insights a y, to GEM pro such inpu Further o continue evaluatio	filliam Sound of to formally rom the True / Institute (C are welcome cess, and s ut have been lialogue and in FY 02 (se	d Science C evaluate th stee Counci SRI). PWS contributio everal oppo provided c cooperation e Project 0 underway l	provide fundir enter (PWSS le GEM plan, I and the Oil S SC's experient is to the Count rtunities for conver the last two n is expected 2630). Formational 2360).	C) with joint Spill nces and ncil's pontributing vo years. to al

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02630	Planning for Long-Term Monitoring and Research Program	Restoration Office	ALL		\$150.0	\$150.0		<u> </u>
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation	<u>Exec</u>	utive Director	's Prelimina	<u>ry Rec</u> omme	ndation
estimated a a long-term area and a Developme Monitoring in FY 99 ar draft GEM and submit review. In Council's re Program is Monitoring will be com	999, the Trustee Council earmarked an \$120 million of Restoration Reserve funds for a monitoring and research program in the spill adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska. ent of what is now called the Gulf Ecosystem and Research (GEM) program was initiated and will continue through FY 02. In FY 00, a Science Program (April 2000) was developed tted to the National Research Council for FY 01, follow-up on the National Research ecommendations on the GEM Science s occurring. Development of a draft and Research Plan is underway in FY 01 and apleted in FY 02. This project is accomplished a combined efforts of the Restoration Office Scientist.	Trustee Council's decision to de \$131 million of Restoration Reserved long-term monitoring and resear adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska will include (a) a modeling works FY 02, (b) revisions to the Gulf E and Research Plan following rev Research Council, (c) developm information/advice, science man administrative components of G development of the first GEM inv released in Spring 2002.		and approval of a budget. This project ary to carry out the licate approximately rve funds in support o ch in the spill area and The effort in FY 02 nop to be held early in cosystem Monitoring ew by the National ent of the public agement, and M and (d)				
02633	Acquisition of Chemical, Physical, and Biological Information on Kodiak Regional Water Quality	R. Ward/Kodiak Area Native Association	ADEC	1st yr.	\$446.6	\$0.0		\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recom	mendation	<u>Exec</u>	<u>utive Director</u>	's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation
This project will (a) develop nearshore monitoring stations to gather information on species composition and rates of settlement of shellfish, barnacles, algae, and other important marine organisms, (b) develop monitoring stations for remote telemetry of temperature, salinity, currents, zooplankton densities, and other data relevant to fisheries and oceanographic investigations, and (c) develop methods for utilization of satellite imagery technology through coordination with NASA.		This proposal identifies importan community-based sampling of b physical variables. Participation community based sampling is de GEM. Costs identified are very h program. Greater coordination, o integration of proposed activities parts of the community on Kodia Alaska Department of Fish and o Marine Fisheries Services, and t Industrial Technical Center, need the scientific plan. The proposal respect to GEM planning. Propo encouraged to participate in GEI workshops during FY 2002. Do n	iological and of Kodiak in esirable within high for a GEM cooperation, an with those of o k, such as the Game, the Natio the Fisheries d to be develop l is premature wo osers are M planning	commur environr respect monitori d are enco ther worksho onal ed in				

- -

.

-

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02634	Expanding the Seabird Tissue Archival and Monitoring Project (STAMP) Program for GEM	D.Roseneau/USFWS, G.York/BRD, P.Becker/NIST		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$54.9	\$54.9	\$0.0	\$0.0
Seabird Tiss (STAMP) in developing le samples for locations and developing le Gulf of Alast existing sam	<u>Project Abstract</u> will lay the ground work for expanding the sue Archival and Monitoring Project the spill area. The project will include ocal community networks for collecting the project, adding more seabird colony d species to the existing STAMP program, ogistical plans for expanding STAMP in the ka, and completing analytical work on aples to provide a database that will be used ong-term monitoring plan for GEM.	Chief Scientist's Recom This proposal has objectives tha premature with respect to GEM, appropriate way to proceed wou characterize the spatial and tem contaminants in seabirds and to based on the results of the analy appropriate to fund the objective contaminant analysis of murre en Leveraging from other sources of persistent organic pollutant (PO be found. Fund lower priority.	amendation at appear to be The most Id be to poral variability of design the prog ysis. It may be relating to furth ggs at East Ama dedicated to	Execut Defer dea pending a be contin of Detailed pram the Chief an analys er contamin atuli. further co East Ama puld This proje and Moni	cision on fu availability o gent on sub Project Des Scientist's sis of the sp ants in seal ontaminant a atuli Island; ect would ex	nding this pr of funds. If f omittal and a cription and concerns (b atial and ter birds; delete analysis exc secure addi xpand the S oct (STAMP)	ry Recomment roject to Decer unded, fundin upproval of a r budget that a ase program nporal variable objectives re ept for murre tional funding eabird Tissue in the spill ar	mber, g would evised ddress design on lity of lated to eggs at sources). Archival
02636-BAA		K. Adams, B. Perrine, R. Mullins/Cordova		1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$360.0	\$50.0	\$334.2	
marine syste as well as fo successes o within reach. the spill-imp realizing the the involvem long term fin risksto be o project well o partnership o our common	Project Abstract securing and sustaining the recovery of the em is a first priority for the Trustee Council or the spill-impacted region. Given the of the Council's Restoration Plan, that goal is . The economies and the communities of acted region are the natural partners for goal. In this regard, commercial fishing has nent, resources, and motivationthrough ancial positions and committed financial one of the most effective partners. This develop a plan and demonstrate that a can accomplish significantly more toward in goal than is possible through the same expended independently.	Chief Scientist's Recom As I understand this proposal, it fishing community's perspective accomplishments of the restorat explore how to incorporate new into management practices. A "f view of EVOS research and rest application, would be an interest contribution. This project could a partnership with professional fis important in the development of would benefit from more focus a with other synthesis efforts. Defi and evaluation of revised, more a reduced cost.	<u>imendation</u> would provide th on the scientific tion program, an scientific results "ishing industry" ults, and their ting and valuable also build a hers, which will the GEM. The proper and coordination er pending receip	Execution Execution Execution Defer dec pending of fishing co and intera results in e addition, Prince W pe osal	cision on fur clarification S program ommunity's action with f to fisheries this could fo	nding this pr of the projec could benef perspective ishers on ho manageme orm a found	ry Recomment oject to Decent of s objectives it from the corr on restoration ow to incorport ow to incorport nt practices. If ation for work GEM develop	mber, and cost. mmercial results ate the In ing with

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02637	Online Early Life History Database for the Northeast Pacific Ocean, Gulf of Alaska and Southeast Bering Sea	J. Duffy-Anderson/NOAA		1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$143.7	\$0.0	\$1.2	\$0.0
database for from the nor southeast Be collection inf and ichthyop searchable, provide glob platform for managers a	Project Abstract will develop a public, online, early life history r more than 20 years of ichthyoplankton data theast Pacific Ocean, Gulf of Alaska, and ering Sea. The database will merge sample formation with a larval identification guide blankton distributional atlas into a internet-based database. This database will al access to these resources, providing a the generation of hypotheses and offering nd other users access to accurate, relevant on ichthyoplankton distributions in Alaska.	not immediately related to EVOS objectives and is wider than the of GEM. The work could aid GE in the future in the northern Gulf Partnerships for funding with the	ile endeavor but S recovery geographic scop M modeling effo f of Alaska. e North Pacific	it is Do not fu which wo be cruise da rts archive s GEM. Ho GEM mo Do resubmitt	nd. The ge ould create a ta with a lar ome ichthyc owever, suc deling effort	ographic sc database r val identifica plankton sa h a databas s in the futu ture, funding	nerging ichthy ation guide as imples, is bro e might be us re. If this pro g contribution	oject, yoplankton s well as ader than seful to posal is
02639	Field Experiments for Testing Spill-Impacts Hypotheses from Long-Term Monitoring	G. Shigenaka/NOAA HAZMAT		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$71.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
(NOAA) initia test hypothe cleanup. Th tests the hyp has caused intertidal cor experiment, that shorelin grain size st has been de fundamental experiments monitoring p project will p while transiti Kachemak B	Project Abstract Il Oceanic and Atmospheric Adminstration ated two intertidal experiments in 2000 to sees concerning long-term effects of oil spill be first experiment, located in Kasitsna Bay, bothesis that aggressive shoreline cleanup unnatural long-term cycling in rocky mmunities, <i>Fucus</i> in particular. The second in lower Herring Bay, tests the hypothesis e washing on oiled beaches physically alters ructure to the extent that biological recovery elayed and infaunal communities are lly altered. Although both of these s were begun under NOAA's long-term program, that program has ended. This permit annual sampling and data collection ioning the Kasitsna Bay project to the Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve er Herring Bay project to alternative funding 003.	Chief Scientist's Recom This is an interesting and well pr monitor two field experiments to of injury that might explain long- spill and cleanup on the intertida questions about the experimenta during the review with regard to <i>Fucus</i> experiment and temporal response expected. The propos evidence that the washing experi grain sediment to the extent that clean up operations in 1989 and	resented proposi- test mechanism term effects of the al zone. There we al design raised spatial scale in the seale in the ters did not provi- riment removed t mimicked the	al to Do not fu experime the Atmosph ere Restorati with the p this activit restoration de shifts to (fine	nd. This pre- ents begun in eric Administ on. The Ch project's exp ity is not a p on program	oject would n 2000 by th stration's Of ief Scientist erimental d riority at this	ry Recomme continue two le National Ou fice of Respo has identified esign. Furthe stage of the ee Council's	field ceanic and nse and d concerns ermore,

-

×.

....

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02640	High Frequency Surface Wave Radar Test in Prince William Sound	A. Kotlarov/Alaska Marine Technology Corp.	NOAA	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$129.5	\$0.0	\$128.4	\$0.0
William So high-freque advanced f understance the sound, information models suc Deleersnijc operating, f data about Observatio direction, d complete s capable of	Project Abstract at will analyze surface currents in Prince und with a portable short-range, ency surface wave radar system. Use of this echnology will increase knowledge and ling of the overall distribution of currents in and will add significantly to existing about the sound's circulation obtained from ch as those developed by Wang, ler, Mooser and others. Once deployed and this system will provide real-time and archived ocean surface currents in the sound. ns will include current speed, current iversion flow, and upwelling dynamics. The ystem will consist of two radars that are measuring current vectors in real time out to	Chief Scientist's Reco While new radar techniques s useful, until a clearer need for demonstrated the linkage of th restoration objectives is weak. technical issues that are not a proposal. Do not fund.	uch as this migh these data is his proposal to . There are many	onExecutive Director's Preliminary Registrationmight be a isDo not fund. This proposal, which we short-range, high-frequency surface we to provide data about ocean surface of William Sound, does not demonstrated				loy a lar system in Prince ed for s
02643	of fifty miles. Design of the Environmental Specimen Bank Program for GEM	P. Becker/NIST	DOI	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$85.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
plan for an GEM speci contaminar provide org identificatio banking pro and freque network wit with GEM, policy, iden platforms (communitie	Project Abstract t will develop a design and implementation Environmental Specimen Bank component to fically designed for environmental ints monitoring and research. This plan will ganizational framework, facility requirements, on of specimens of interest, collection and bitocols, recommendations on specimen sizes incy of collections, establishment of database th other kinds of archival facilities associated recommendations on specimen access stification and development of collection including partnership with local Alaska Native es), and cost estimates for instituting and g an Environmental Specimen Bank system	monitoring network that may be The project team is highly qua GEM planning it is not possible scope of the proposal is appro-	systematically contaminants be of interest to C lified. At this sta e to determine if	Exec Do not f bank fo SEM. specime ge of facility r the and anr ind. this stat Any effo coordin	fund. This pr r GEM, and r en types, coll equirements nual costs. T ge of GEM pl orts in this re- ated with the	oject would nake recom ection and b , tracking da his may be anning the p gard in the fi joint state/fe	ry Recomme design a spe mendations o panking proto tabases, acci a worthwhile to oroposal is pro uture should l ederal/Alaska ty committee.	cimen on cols, ess policy, task, but at emature. be Native

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02644	Molecular Biomarkers as a New Technique for Assessing Physiological Contaminant Stress	G. Shigenaka/NOAA HAZMAT	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$114.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
evaluation/v (based on the biomarkers) stress; and inhabiting sr Sound and he fuel oils or a monitoring the this specific bridge to GE useful inform residing in s	Project Abstract thas two primary objectives: first, a targeted validation of new monitoring technology he measurement of a series of molecular) to assess extent and source of biological second, the linking of stress in mussels mall boat harbor areas in Prince William lower Cook Inlet to contaminant type (i.e., antifouling paint components). The tool has the potential for application beyond setting (and particularly as a transitional EM), but the work as proposed will provide nation on the biological status of mussels six small boat harbors in Prince William lower Cook Inlet.	Chief Scientist's Recomm This proposal would use state-of- detect effects of contaminants. He presentation of the measures proj contaminant stress provide little to list of markers or the means to ev them. Do not fund.	<u>nmendation</u> of-the-art methods to However, the roposed to detect a to justify the large <u>Executive Director's Preliminary Recomme</u> Do not fund. The Chief Scientist raised techr concerns about this project, which would focur refine validation of DMBS analysis (Downs M Biomarker System) as a tool to detect effects					
02646-BAA	Information Dissemination through the Web: Developing an Interactive Database on Southcentral Alaskan Seaweeds	G. Hansen/OSU, M. Stekoli/UAS	NOAA	1st yr. 3 yr. project	\$58.0	\$0.0	\$37.5	\$0.0
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	nendation	Execul	ive Director	's Prelimina	ry Recommer	ndation
integral com They are the animals and indigenous p identification people. In o project will p images and identification query Alask traditional us final product species are	benthic marine algae or seaweeds are an apponent of Alaska's nearshore ecosystem. It have long been used as part of the diet of peoples. Surprisingly, the correct in of most algal species is still elusive to many order to begin to overcome this problem, this produce a Web-based database of algal distributions that will facilitate species ins. With this as a reference, the project will a Native communities for information on the ses of the species and add this data to the t. The website will develop incrementally as added and comments from users are d. [Note: This project also requested \$26,900]	suffice to form a record against we ecological change can be assessed product may be relevant to GEM i making commitments to a web-ba time seems premature. Do not fur	eaweeds of ng case for res. The past ations of chec ne algae shou hich subseque ed. This type n the future, to used atlas at to	recomme proposers manuscri klists microalga uld from Proj ent Assessm of which will out should fo his ecologica	ndation. Th s in FY 01 (I pt on the oc ae in the spi ect CH1A/C ent. The re consist of o rm an adeq	Project 0155 courrence an Il area, base coastal Habi viewers felt checklists ar uate record	Council suppo 51) to prepare ad distribution ad on 7,300 sp	a of marine pecimens uscript, scriptions,

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02648-BAA	Cost Effective Data Acquisition Using Adaptive Sampling and Combining Information Strategies	D. Dorsett/Baylor Univ.	NOAA	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$56.2	\$0.0	\$58.1	\$0.0
adaptive sar information f be used in G will be docur of data colle methods of o determined a utilization.	Project Abstract will analyze data acquired in a pilot study of hpling by FOCI in 1999 to provide or designing adaptive sampling methods to EM. Detailed adaptive sampling methods nented to enhance cost effective methods ction. In a second phase, statistical combining data from different sources will be and documented for further efficient data	the methodological detail to be p Do not fund, but consider revise	cally sound ada ne GEM researd ysis of adaptive principles of how EM would be t proposal is too for GEM, and is properly evaluat d proposal in F	ptive Do not fur resubmit addresses more app methodol adaptive s acks sample si ted. data colle	nd. Howev a proposal s the Chief ropriate for ogical detai sampling, ir tes and allo cted during useful in GE	er, the propo for consider Scientist's c GEM and a I). In genera which the p ocating samp the survey, EM, would b	al, an analysis procedure for oling effort de and how this e very worthw	raged to 3 that a focus s of selecting pends on method <i>t</i> hile.
02649	Reconstructing Sockeye Populations in the Gulf of Alaska over the Last Several Thousand Years	D. Finney/UAF	ADFG	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$102.8	\$100.9	\$0.0	\$0.0
changes in s (Prince Willia River waters This new dat at Karluk Lal is: What is th populations contribute to providing a h and by devel	Project Abstract will reconstruct the last 2,000 years of ockeye salmon abundance in Eshamy Lake am Sound) and Upper Russian Lake (Kenai hed) by analyzing ¹⁵ N in lake sediments. a will be synthesized with ongoing studies ke (Kodiak Island). The research question ne normal variability in sockeye salmon n the Gulf of Alaska? This research will development of the GEM program by istorical perspective on present conditions oping new hypotheses about the climatic pulation fluctuations in Gulf of Alaska	Chief Scientist's Recom This proposal will use stable nitr to reconstruct the historical varia of marine nitrogen to four lake s area: Eshamy Lake in Prince W Russian Lake on the Kenai Pent Lake on Kodiak Island. Past wor investigators has demonstrated sockeye salmon runs to lakes and the variability in the nitrogen isol sediments deposited at the time The work of Francis and Hare h that salmon populations fluctuat with the Pacific Decadal Oscillat relationship then presents the re- needed to provide a historical co- understanding how the marine e change naturally in the future un conditions. This work will supple ongoing work of a similar nature systems and thereby provide a re- picture of fluctuations. Fund.	rogen isotope ra ation in contribu- ystems in the s illiam Sound, U insula, and Karl rk by these that fluctuations re approximated tope ratios in of salmon return as clearly show e in concordance ion. This etrospective tool ontext for ecosystem is like ader various clin ement independ in other local la	atios Fund con tions project wi pill abundance pper develop h uk atmosphe is response s in proposals d by and histor rns. n ce l ely to natic ent ake	tingent on r Il conduct a ce in certain hypotheses are/ocean s sive to the <i>l</i>	esolution of retrospection lakes in the about how of ystem affect FY 02 Invitation and synthest	ry Recomment budget quest ve study of so a spill region a hanges in the salmon popu- tion, which inv size existing of	tions. This ockeye and e ulations. It <i>v</i> ited

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02655-BAA	Transition Support for the GEM Data Manager	C. Falkenberg/ECOlogic Corp.	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$120.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
FY 02 in orc system. Ta a GEM data and the inte Although the Project 0044 anticipate th	Project Abstract will support the GEM data manager during ler to ease the transition to the GEM data sks will address the challenge of formulating system, the rescue of legacy EVOS data, gration of the administrative databases. ese are the priorities that have emerged from 55/Evaluation of a Data System for GEM, we hat the data manager will set the final d select one or more of the tasks proposed.	the degree and extent to which su needed depends on the experient of the person eventually hired to b	rt to the GEI M data syste essary. How uch support ce and cred ce the data EM develop support aft	M data Do not f em. manage vever, time, on is manage entials may be at that ti ment 02630/0 er the written i	und. This pr or is hired (ex ce the exper or are known needed will a me will be to GEM Plannin	oject is prer pected Sum ience and c the degree also be know include con g. The cost	ry Recomme nature until a mer 2001). A redentials of f to which sucl vn. The likely tractual funds of this proposi the personnel	GEM data At that the data h support y approach s in Projec sal as
02656	Retrospective Analysis of Nearshore Marine Communities Based on Analysis of Archaeological Material and Isotopes	G. Irvine/USGS, J. Schaaf/NPS	DOI	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$98.6	\$98.6	\$18.0	\$18.0
•			a revised pro ssues of ed by the ormation on d of the princ	Exect posal Fund co Detailed Scientis the investig paleoce improve nearsho relations proposa	I Project Des I Project Des t's concerns ators' creder anographic s understandi re marine co ship betweer ive to the FY	submittal an cription that (interpretation tials in paleo studies). The option of long-te option of lo	any Recomme d approval of addresses the oclimatology a is project is d arm change in and investigat and climate. <i>n</i> , which invite size existing of	a revised ne Chief aphy and and esigned to n te the lt is ed

•

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02657	Analysis of Genomic Stress Response in Sea Otters	C. Mohr, J. Stott/UC Davis, B. Ballachey/USGS	DOI	1st yr.	\$43.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0

1 yr. project

Project Abstract

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

In summer 2001, as part of Project 01423, sea otters will This proposal would measure gene expression in be captured in oiled and unoiled areas of Prince William Sound for assessment of CYP1A levels. This project will complement Project 01423 by applying novel, highly sensitive molecular techniques for the measurement of health status, toxicant exposure, and metabolic processes in the sea otter. The project will characterize and compare the genomic stress response in peripheral those animals. The observations driving the studies blood mononuclear cells by examining the differential expression of a suite of key genes that are indicators of immunological, cellular, and metabolic responses to stress. The results of the study will enhance understanding of the status of recovery of sea otters in western Prince William Sound, and physiological factors that may be involved in constraining recovery.

peripheral blood mononuclear cells of sea otters from three sites in Prince William Sound. representing oiled and unoiled (reference) areas. It is thought that differences in expression of the selected genes will indicate whether the continuing exposure to oil might be linked to health effects in are the elevated levels of CYP1A expression in sea otters from some areas, and the evidence suggesting lack of growth and/or "poor health" of sea otters from oiled areas. It is of some interest to the Trustee Council to determine if there are indications of low level chronic stress, including immune disorders, linked to continuing oil exposures in sea otters in western Prince William Sound. However, there is yet no proof of principle that the novel methods proposed here measure responses that are induced by oil exposure, although such information would be gathered during the course of the project. In addition, the technical approach is incompletely described, and it is uncertain if the molecular techniques for detecting immunological responses can be successfully carried out as proposed. Do not fund.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

Do not fund. This project, which would use blood drawn from sea otters under Project 01423, is intended to determine whether continuing exposure to oil might be linked to health effects in those animals. However, the Chief Scientist has raised concerns about the proposed methods and whether the techniques can be successfully carried out as planned.

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02659-BAA	Preparation and Publication of Results from SEA and NVP Avian Predation Studies	M. Bishop/PWSSC	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$29.7	\$29.7	\$0.0	\$0.0
the work from study (Project the work from study (Project submitted to publication of	Project Abstract will prepare (a) two manuscripts based on m the Avian Predation on Herring Spawn ct /320) and (b) one manuscript based on m the Avian Predation on Blue Mussels ct /025). The three manuscripts will be peer reviewed journals for publication. One on avian consumption of herring spawn is press in <i>Fisheries Oceanography</i> .	Chief Scientist's Recomm This proposal would fund an addi manuscripts based on work in the Ecosystem Assessment, Project (Nearshore Vertebrate Predators) projects. The principal investigate publication record and would likel manuscripts. However, it is not c proposal what previously unpublis herring roe predation would appe proposed manuscripts. It is also r aspect of blue mussel predation w subject of the third manuscript. D submission of a revised proposal justification and detail.	tional three e SEA (Sound /320) and NV /320)	Defer dec pending s P Project D unpublish manuscri continger of this pro on wo	tive Director cision on fur submittal an description to ned materia pts propose nt on provisio oposer's Pro	cember, I Detailed busly of the three yould be uired copies		
02662	Natural Life Restoration by Manipulation	J. Rusher/Rusher's Services	ADEC	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$103.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
sensitive are Quality contr weathered o movement o weathered o	Project Abstract will place bait in pits of beaches and bas where weathered oil may remain. Fol testing of the bait would be done to tell if il is in the process of degrading by the f worms in the beach. The toxicity of il will also be identified. This bait of worms could accelerate the degradation	Chief Scientist's Recomm This proposal does not describe a achieving project objectives, mak evaluation impossible. Do not fun	a methodology ing proper	<u>Execut</u> y for Do not fu lacks a d	Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation			ar and

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		ew or ont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02663	"Watchdog Tool" for Sampling and Monitoring	J. Rusher/Rusher's Services		st yr. yr. project	\$180.9	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
placed on s where weat control testi if weathered	<u>Project Abstract</u> tool called the "Watchdog Tool" will be urface or pits of beaches and sensitive areas hered oil may be leaching out. Quality ng of the "Watchdog Tool" will be done to tell d oil is leaching out or coming in from as. This project will also identify the toxicity d oil.	Chief Scientist's Recomm It is unclear as to what is being put the watchdog tool?) and how the applied to meet the objectives of may be leaving sediments and its description of methodology, prope proposal is impossible. Do not fur	roposed (what is "tool" is being detecting oil that toxicity. Without er evaluation of tl	Do not fu descriptio it. a	ind. This pr	oposal is un	ry Recommen clear and lack ecessary for	ks a
02664	Retrospective Analysis of 30 Years of Seabird Distribution and Diet Data	J. Piatt/USGS		st yr. yr. project	\$287.6	\$0.0	\$230.0	\$0.0
marine envi the abundar seabirds in over the par analyzed be This project sets and cre assessing p populations some basic shifts on die	Project Abstract e excellent indicators of change in the ronment. An enormous amount of data on nce, distribution and dietary habitats of Alaska have been gathered at great expense st 30 years, but most of it has not been eyond the scale at which it was gathered. will compile some historical seabird data eate accessible data archives as a tool for nast and future human impacts on seabirds , a foundation for future studies, and to test hypotheses about the effects of regime et and distribution of seabirds in Alaska. project also requested funding (\$120,000) for	Chief Scientist's Recommendation Since OCSEAP (Outer Continent Environmental Assessment Progra there has been an enormous and gathered on marine birds and die need to integrate these database them to learn about the relationsh numbers and distributions, diets, oceanographic parameters and fe retrospective analysis may prove important in the development of C premature until the synthesis and components of GEM are more we investigators for this project are s is high, and there are several adm questions about the budget. Do r proposed.	al Shelf ram) in the 1970s ount of data ts. There is a s and to analyze nips among seab and eatures. Such to be very SEM, but is research ell defined. The uperb, but the co ninistrative	Do not fu significar for the no received entities. Ind Research has seve 00479/fo This is a the budg database distribution seabirds FY 02 Int synthesiz this prop	Ind. This pro- note for the B parthern Gulf if it had sigr One future (h Board. In ral overdue od stress, 00 very expense et are uncle esone on s ponthrough retrospective and various vitation invite ze existing d osal may be	oposal, which ering and C of Alaska, w ificant cost- cossibility is addition, the reports (001 0510/seabing sive project, ar. This proj eabird diet a compilation e analyses of oceanogra ed proposals ata sets and premature	ry Recomment thas broad hukchi seas a vould have be sharing from the North Par- e principal invo 63/APEX cha d monitoring p and some as ect would cre and one on per of existing da of relationship phic parameters to analyze a d historical rec until the synth re more well of	as well as een better other cific estigator apter, protocols). pects of ate two elagic ata, and s between ers. The and cords, but nesis and

[Note: This project also requested \$120,000 for FY 04.]

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.		
02667	Effectiveness of Citizens' Environmental Monitoring Program	S. Mauger/Cook Inlet Keeper	ADEC	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$16.7	\$16.7	\$0.0	\$0.0		
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	endation	Exec	utive Directo	r's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation		
Cook Inlet Program, t community Alaska. Ke sampling fr selection a objectives quality ove Partners (H Waterways Conservati monitoring	et will analyze five years of past data from Keeper's Citizens' Environmental Monitoring he first consistent, credible, and coordinated -based water quality monitoring program in eeper's stream ecologist will determine if requency, methods, parameters, and site re effective at meeting the monitoring of detecting significant changes in water r time. The results will assist Cook Inlet Kenai Watershed Forum, Anchorage a Council, Wasilla Soil and Water on District) in refining their community efforts and may lead to future -based monitoring programs.	bring Keeper's Citizens' Environmental Monitoring ated Program to detect change in water quality parameters. The Keeper program is an effective model for community-based sampling and this proposal is a good preparation for community based monitoring within GEM. Fund contingent on receipt of revised proposal clarifying the statistical			letecting significant change in water quality over e. This project is good preparation for commu- ed monitoring under GEM.					
02668	Developing an Interactive Water Quality and Habitat Database and Making it Accessible on the Web	J. Cooper/Cook Inlet Keeper	ADEC	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$16.1	\$16.1	\$0.0	\$0.0		
	Project Abstract					ector's Preliminary Recommendation				
database of manageme agencies c water quali objective is useful to do managers, shared inte be viewed photos, and and meanin better unde	t partners have come together to form a committee to create a consistent data an equally share, report, and review their ty and habitat data. The committee's to make data more accessible and more ecision makers, stakeholders, resource and the public. The committee will uplink a eractive database on the Internet where it can and queried with GIS watershed maps, d graphs so that it is user-friendly, educational ngful. Access to this data will help facilitate a erstanding about threats to, and solutions for, ty and habitat.	The Trustee Council has spent \$1 last three years to create the Cook Management and Monitoring Syste part to address the needs identifie The proposal does not make a cor why CIIMMS, or the other systems serve as the necessary vehicle for exchange goals identified. Defer p clarification of this issue.	Ciniet Inform em (CIIMMS d in this proj nvincing cas s listed, cann meeting the	ation pending b), in about th lect. quality c e for Manage lot which th e data investm	resolution o le relationshi latabase and ment and M le Trustee C	f the Chief S p between the CIIMMS (C onitoring Systo ouncil has mo oject has go	oject to Dece ccientist's con nis proposed ook Inlet Info stem, Project nade a major od cost sharir	cerns water rmation /391), in financial		

-

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02669	Hooligan Research	B. Henrichs/Native Village of Eyak	DOI		\$100.0	\$0.0	\$100.0	\$0.0
				1st yr. 2 yr. pre	oject			
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recommendation Executive Director's Preliminary Rec						endation
selling perm past two yea tell us what subsistence marine mar have been r because the sense to sta the commer of those sto research on commercial traditional s was submitt	Department of Fish and Game has been hits to commercially harvest hooligan for the ars. We are concerned because they cannot the biomass is. Hooligan are a traditional e food and a forage food for birds, fishes, and nmals, including Steller sea lions. There no commercial herring openers in years, ey have been over-fished. It doesn't make art a commercial fishery on hooligan when rcial fishery on herring resulted in a depletion cks. This project proposes independent hooligan to see if it can sustain a harvest and still maintain the stocks for ubsistence harvest. [Note: This proposal ted as an idea; if recommended for funding, Project Description and budget will need to be	does not present any specifics that evaluated. Do not fund.	esources that. The pro	nat is a the posal av foi co co co va of		est of hoolig ish for subs cles, and rec ine if hoolig While this of fisheries r is the functi ka Departm	an may threa stence users quests that re- an can sustai may be a legi esources amo on of the Alas ent of Fish an	and as and as search be n a timate ong ska Board d Game,

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.	
02671-BAA	Coordinating Volunteer Vessels of Opportunity to Collect Oceanographic Data in Kachemak Bay and Lower Cook Inlet	D. Stram, C. Schoch/Kachemak Bay NERR	NOAA	1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$53.1	\$30.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	
Reserve will data from sh community i charter boat temperature Bay. Drift ca surrounding infer regiona characteristi existing stat projects to e water quality	Project Abstract eeper and the Kachemak Bay Research coordinate the collection of oceanographic hips of opportunity and with extensive local nvolvement. Instruments installed on s will be used to collect time-series of and salinity from transects along Kachemak ards will be deployed seasonally at locations the region. Collected data will be used to al water circulation and mixing cs. These data will also be correlated with ionary sensors and volunteer-monitoring xpand spatial and temporal knowledge of and mixing patterns and their relationships real of larvae and pollutants in the region.	Chief Scientist's Recomm The work proposed could be a pio community involvement in scientif acquisition. Methods would be de would allow community-based effor important gaps. A revised propos would de-emphasize data collection the initiation of the project and foc developing logistics for a network opportunity, (b) participation of the oceanographic community in iden variables and locations for samplin implementation of QA/QC procedu collection and geolocation. Fund a contingent on review of revised pr incorporating above issues.	neering effo ic data veloped that orts to fill al is needed on and analy us on (a) of local ships broader tifying the typ ng, and (c) ures for data at a reduced	rt in Fund cor Detailed \$30,000) analysis that network identifies s of sampling data colle pes of proposal specifica	Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation in contingent on submittal and approval of a revis betailed Project Description and budget (roughly 30,000) that deemphasizes data collection and nalysis and focuses on the logistics of developing a etwork of local ships of opportunity, develops rocedures for data collection and geolocation, and dentifies the types of variables and locations for ampling. Vessels of opportunity are a cost-effective ata collection method that may be useful to GEM, a roposals related to ships of opportunity were pecifically invited in the <i>FY 02 Invitation</i> .				
02673	Continuing Decline of Pigeon Guillemots in the Oiled Portion of Prince William Sound	D. Irons/USFWS, D. Roby/OSU	DOI	1st yr. 5 yr. project	\$28.7	\$0.0	\$29.5	\$0.0	
	Project Abstract	Chief Scientist's Recomm	endation		tive Director	r's Prelimina	ry Recomme	ndation	
Sound since compounde Taken toget since 1972, will investiga decline of gu previous wo factors are o increased pu year the stur analyses for project also	emots have declined 56% in Prince William the <i>Exxon Valdez</i> oil spill. This is d on a 73% decline from 1972 to 1989. her pigeon guillemots have declined 88% and the decline is continuing. This project ate factors that are causing the continued uillemots in Prince William Sound. From rk we suspect one or more of three major causing the decline: reduced prey base, redation, or continuing oil effects. The first dy will focus on food and predation, as oil effects is more expensive. [Note: This requested funding for FY 04 (\$30,500), FY), and FY 06 (\$32,500).]	This proposal from highly qualified would perform long-term monitoring guillemot populations in Prince Wi relatively low cost. This may be the monitoring that could be included especially with the proposed match the agency. However, it would be begin the project in FY 02 as the in long-term ecological change in the environments have yet to be deter Do not fund.	ng of pigeon Iliam Sound e type of in GEM, hing funds fi premature to ndicators of e nearshore	of pigeor at a determin populatio included rom indicator monitor l	n guillemot r le if poor pro on decline, n under GEM	nonitoring a oductivity is o nay be the ty . However, m ecologica	would fund fi t Naked Islan causing the c ype of monito it is prematur I change that	d to ontinued ring that is re until the	

-

-

÷

.

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Loug	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02674-BAA		J. French/Pegasus Enterprises, G. Divoky/UAF	NOAA	1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$83.6	\$45.0		
projects initia preliminary of feathers as in contamination determine su SeaLife Cent and success Alaska will b colonies in the for their attra geographica of the guif for	<u>Project Abstract</u> will (a) monitor pigeon guillemot restoration ated between 1998-2000 and (b) conduct a examination of the utility of guillemot indicators of ecosystem variability and on. Censuses of Resurrection Bay to urvivorship of birds fledged from the Alaska ater will be conducted and the occupancy s of artificial nest sites erected in the Gulf of be monitored. Established man-made he gulf will be visited to assess the reason activeness to guillemots. Temporal and a variation in the structure and contamination bod web will be examined through isotopic etal analysis of recently collected pigeon athers.	Chief Scientist's Recommend This is an interesting proposal from investigators to do follow-up work of EVOS projects. It proposes to dete fledging of guillemots at the Alaska and provision of artificial nest sites establishment of an enhanced pige population in Resurrection Bay. The would monitor pigeon guillemots ref Resurrection Bay and at other sites evaluation of occupancy of various sites, which would provide worthwh monitoring of restoration actions. To components of this project (objecting seem less compelling, or best carrif context of a broader GEM effort in contingent on favorable review of a for objectives 1 and 2 only and only Bay.	well-qualifie on two past ermine wheth a SeaLife Cer might lead to con guillemot his proposal eturning to s, including artificial nes- hile performat The other ves 3 and 4) ied out in the the future. Fu	Executed Executed Fund correct Detailed Detailed (survival boxes arrect only. With the effect technique t SeaLife of may be of results. need to boxes und posal	ntingent on s Project Des that reduce and recruitr tion of pigeo d social attr th this reduc tiveness as e of the 65 r Center unde considered f [Note: Alask	submittal and cription and the project' nent of capti n guillemots action array ced scope, th a pigeon gu nest boxes ir r Project /32 ollowing a re	ry Recommend d approval of budget (roug s scope to ob ve raised bird with artificial s) in Resurrence project will illemot restor istalled at the r. Funds for eview of the F enter bench f	a revised hly jectives 1 ls) and 2 nest ction Bay evaluate ation Alaska FY 03 Y 02

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02677	English Bay River Sockeye Salmon Enumeration Project	C. Kvasnikoff/Nanwalek IRA Council	ADFG	1st yr.	\$182.0	\$0.0	\$109.9	\$0.0

Project Abstract

This project will allow for improvements to and continuation of smolt and adult sockeye enumeration in the English Bay River drainage. Available funds have become scarce and the Nanwalek Salmon Enhancement Project has been forced to narrow its focus to absolutely essential components of the project that result in adult returns. The enumeration of out-migrating smolts and returning adult sockeye escapement is very important to village project personnel and Alaska Department of Fish and Game area management staff but without additional funding, these important tasks will not be able to continue. This project will help to improve the weir equipment and monitoring technology to enable more consistent and accurate data collection.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is a well-presented proposal, but the technology it describes, although theoretically possible, is difficult and expensive to implement. A link to restoration objectives is not clearly established and normal agency management is a question here. The project appears premature in the context of GEM community-based monitoring development. Do not fund.

Executive Director's Preliminary Recommendation

2 yr. project

Do not fund. This project would continue the sockeye salmon project begun by the Chugach Regional Resources Commission (CRRC) in 1990, which involves incubating eggs from English Bay Second Lake at the Port Graham hatchery and net-pen rearing the fry back at Second Lake. The project also includes monitoring smolt outmigration, adult escapement, and key parameters (age, weight, etc.). The Chief Scientist has raised questions regarding the project's feasibility. In addition, taking over the continuing components of this project from CRRC at this late date in the restoration process is not a priority for the Trustee Council.

٠

Υ.

- ---

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer		New or Cont'd	FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02678-BAA		W. Wilson/LGL Alaska Research Associates		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$128.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
commercial knowledge of in the Gulf of comprehens types, seaso regional byca purposes. P members of statistically-v spatial scale conducted to and procedu preservation for data anal also be deso	Project Abstract will investigate the feasibility of using fisheries bycatch to increase scientific of rare and infrequently-studied icthyofauna f Alaska. Initial efforts will include a ive overview of commercial fisheries, vessel ons, and locations most likely to yield atch samples useable for scientific vilot research will be conducted with selected the fishing community to develop a valid experimental design at appropriate s. Sampling protocols will then be o field-test the design. Additional methods res will be described for the identification, , and vouchering of specimens. Methods ysis and reporting of geospatial data will eribed. A final report will evaluate the boccol and specify a future full-scale study	Chief Scientist's Recomm This project would explore the fea commercial fishing bycatch as a n Gulf of Alaska fishes to gather info species composition, distributions structure. A program such as this component of GEM, although issu gear-type variation and accurate n bycatch remain to be addressed if results are to be achieved. Also, G posed the questions that this projec The fact that this project would rel participation of community fishers The scientific team is highly qualifi work, which may be appropriate for Do not fund.	sibility of using neans of samp rmation about and age could be a use es related to eporting of quantitative EM has not ect would addre y on the is a strong plu ed to perform	Do not fui about how the project would cor eful captured strong co on comm ess. s. this	nd. The Ch v quantitativ ct's relations nduct oppor as bycatch	or's Preliminary Rec Chief Scientist has ra tive results would be nship to GEM. The ortunistic sampling o h in groundfish fishe nvolvement compon ers).	oncerns ned and , which pecies as a	
02680	Remote Delivery of Persistent Organic Contaminants in Alaska Fishes	S. Rice, J. Short, A. Moles/NOAA		1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$75.6	\$75.6	\$0.0	\$0.0
organic cont year classes geographic a including per and chlorina known implic measured in salmon retur salmon retur measure of f	Project Abstract will determine the distribution of persistent aminants in the flesh and ovaries of different of chinook salmon from four major areas of Alaska. A suite of contaminants, sticides, Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), ted and unchlorinated hydrocarbons, with cations for aquatic and human health, will be two age classes of salmon. These will be ming after only a year in saltwater and ming after 3-5 years. This will give some the extent of atmospheric distribution of d agriculture pollutants over a range of	organic pollutants) in an important over a wide geographic area. Two areas are outside of the spill area. interest by GEM in collecting data	vestigators to Ps (persistent seafood produ of the samplin There will be regarding the Ps in the Gulf of will likely be ma gencies with a ontaminant public health.	Defer dec pending c sources. g submittai an project wo returning of sites outs ade rivers. Th are impor the stock.	ision on fur leterminatio If funded, for of overdue buld sample to the Kena ide of the s he flesh is ir tant to the s . It is anticip ng role in th	nding this pr on of availab unding will b reports (00 e the flesh a pill areathe mportant to survival and pated that G	ry Recomment oject to Dece ility of funds f e contingent 95, 00598). Ind ovaries of er rivers, as we yukon and to consumers; the success of p EM will have nonitoring and	mber, rom other on This salmon vell as two Jnuk ne ovaries rogeny of a

٠

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New Con		FY02 Request	FY02 Recom.	FY03 Request	FY03 Recom.
02681	Placeholder: Nearshore/Intertidal Monitoring	TBD		1st	yr.				
nearshore/ 02. Howey developme nearshore/ options for 02 under F funds for p	Project Abstract oposals to conduct some form of intertidal monitoring were submitted for FY ver, those proposals are premature pending ent of a long-term monitoring scheme for the intertidal area. A workshop to develop long-term monitoring will be held early in FY Project 02395. This project simply reserves isossible nearshore/intertidal monitoring work 02, should the workshop recommend that be invited.	Chief Scientist's Rea This project is simply a place nearshore/intertidal monitori depending on the results of under Project 02395.	holder for potent	1	Defer de nearsho under P recomm under G worksho prelimin	ecision on fu pre/intertidal project 02395 nendations fo EM have be op will recom	nding this pr workshop re has been h r nearshore, en develope mend a sma begin in FY (/intertidal mo d. It is possil all amount of 02. The \$50,0	for funding nitoring ble that the pilot or

-

.

.

4

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 4