Marine Science in Alaska: 2004. Symposium January 12-14, 2004 Hotel Captain Cook Anchorage, AK

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

Hosted by: Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council, North Pacific Research Board, Alaska Ocean Observing System, NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center, Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Alaska SeaLife Center, and Kachemak Bay Research Reserve.

- 11-1-1-

all in

all the

11.100

Marine Science In Alaska 2004 Symposium January 12-14, 2004 Hotel Captain Cook, Anchorage, Alaska

Monday, January 12

1:00 pm - 2:00 pm Convene Symposium - Ball Room (Fore Deck)

1:00 pm – 1:15 pm	Welcome to the Marine Science in Alaska 2004 Symposium – Gail Phillips, Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council

1:15 pm – 2:00 pm Keynote: Einstein's Eclipse and Maury's Logs – Searching for General Laws Governing Marine Ecosystem Phenomena Steven Murawski, National Marine Fisheries Service, Woods Hole, Massachusetts

2:00 pm - 5:30 pm Program Overviews - Ball Room (Fore Deck)

- 2:00 pm 2:15 pm NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center Richard Marasco
- 2:15 pm 2:30 pm North Pacific Research Board Clarence Pautzke
- 2:30 pm 2:45 pm *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council's Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program (GEM) Phillip R. Mundy

2:45 pm – 3:15 pm Break - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

- 3:15 pm 3:30 pm Alaska Ocean Observing System Molly McCammon
- 3:30 pm 3:45 pm Oil Spill Recovery Institute G. Carl Schoch
- 3:45 pm 4:00 pm Alaska SeaLife Center Research Program Shannon Atkinson
- 4:00 pm 4:15 pm Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center at the University of Alaska Fairbanks Vera Alexander and Heather McCarty
- 4:15 pm 4:30 pm NOAA Office of Arctic Research John Calder

Monday, January 12

4:30 pm – 4:45 pm	Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, Alaska Department of Fish and Game - Terry Thompson and W. Scott Pegau
4:45 pm – 5:00 pm	Alaska SeaGrant College Program – Brian Allee
5:00 pm – 5:15 pm	NOAA's Undersea Research Program – Raymond C. Highsmith
5:15 pm – 5:30 pm	University of Alaska's Fishery Industrial Technology Center – Scott Smiley

5:30 pm – 7:30 pm Reception and Poster Session - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

Tuesday, January 13

Session I: CLIMATE CHANGE. Moderator: Mark Johnson, University of Alaska Fairbanks. This session includes talks on the impacts of changing climatic conditions on marine ecosystems and resources. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

8:00 am – 8:25 am	Recent Shifts in the State of the North Pacific and Bering Sea. James E. Overland, NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
8:25 am – 9:00 am	Summary of Results of a Synthesis Workshop on the Climate Regime Shift Hypothesis of the Steller Sea Lion Decline. Arthur J. Miller, University of California San Diego/Scripps
9:00 am - 9:20 am	<i>Ecosystem Indicators of Climate Change.</i> Patricia A. Livingston, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
9:20 am – 9:40 am	Environmental Predictors of Walleye Pollock Recruitment in the Eastern Bering Sea. Franz J. Mueter, University of Washington
9:40 am - 10:00 am	A CPR-Based Survey to Monitor the Gulf of Alaska and Detect Ecosystem Change. Sonia Batten, Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science

10:00 am – 10:20 am Break - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

Tuesday, January 13

Session 2: OCEAN OBSERVING SYSTEMS. Moderator: Molly McCammon, Alaska Ocean Observing System. This session focuses on local and regional ocean observing efforts as part of the national effort to establish a national observing system. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

10:20 am – 10:40 am	Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) Enhancement of the Arctic Observing System. Ignatius Rigor, University of Washington
10:40 am - 11:00 am	Long-term Measurements on the Bering Sea Shelf: Is the Southeastern Shelf Warming? Phyllis Stabeno, NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
11:00 am – 11:20 am	Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program: Monitoring Near-Surface Temperature, Salinity, and Fluorescence in the Northern Pacific Ocean. Stephen Okkonen, University of Alaska Fairbanks
11:20 am – 11:40 pm	Prince William Sound Ocean Observing System. Nancy Bird and Walter Cox, Prince William Sound Science Center
11:40 am – 12:00 pm	Status Report on SEA-COOS: Southeast Atlantic Coastal Ocean Observing System. Francisco Werner, University of North Carolina

12:00 pm – 1:00 pm Lunch (provided) - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck) Keynote: Alliance for Coastal Technology. Marlin Atkinson, University of Hawaii

Session 3: OIL SPILL IMPACTS. Moderator: Robert Spies, Applied Marine Sciences. This session focuses on the current state of knowledge regarding impacts and restoration from the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

1:00 pm – 1:05 pm	Introduction: Exxon Valdez Oil in the Nearshore Environment and its Effects. Robert Spies, Applied Marine Sciences
1:05 pm – 1:25 pm	Exxon Valdez Oil in the Nearshore Environment of Prince William Sound – Persistence and Chemistry. Jeffrey W. Short, Auke Bay Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
1:25 pm – 1:40 pm	Recent Exposure of Nearshore Predators to Exxon Valdez Oil. Brenda E. Ballachey, U.S. Geological Survey

Tuesday, January 13

1:40 pm – 2:00 pm	<i>Status of Sea Otter Recovery in Western Prince William Sound.</i> James L. Bodkin, U.S. Geological Survey
2:00 pm 2:20 pm	Process of Harlequin Duck Population Recovery in Oil Contaminated Nearshore Environments. Dan Esler, Simon Fraser University

2:20 pm - 2:40 pm Break - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

Session 4: FISHERIES OCHANOGRAPHY. Moderator: Bernard A. Megrey, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center. This session ties together species-specific research with physical, chemical and biological oceanography. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

2:40 pm – 3:00 pm	Predictability of Prey Available to Free-Ranging Steller Sea Lions at Varying Spatial Scales. Michael Sigler, Auke Bay Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
3:00 pm – 3:20 pm	An Examination of the Maturation of Walleye Pollock, Therafra Chalcogramma, in the Eastern Bering Sea in Relation to Temporal and Spatial Factors. Jennifer Stahl, University of Alaska Fairbanks
3:20 pm – 3:40 pm	Jellyfish Impact on Food Web Production and Ecosystem Structure in the Southeastern Bering Sea. Alan Springer, University of Alaska Fairbanks
3:40 pm – 4:00 pm	Shallow Nearshore Fish Assemblages around Steller Sea Lion Haulouts near Kodiak, AK. Brenda Konar, University of Alaska Fairbanks
4:00 pm – 4:20 pm	Reconstructing Sockeye Populations in the Gulf of Alaska Over the Last Several Thousand Years. Bruce Finney, University of Alaska Fairbanks
4:20 pm – 4:40 pm	DNA Analysis of the Origins of Chinook Salmon Bycatch in the Alaska Trawl Fisheries. Anthony Gharrett, University of Alaska Fairbanks
4:40 pm – 5:00 pm	<i>Environmental Cues for Togiak Herring Spawning.</i> Naoki Tojo, University of Alaska Fairbanks

Tuesday, January 13

5:00 pm – 5:20 pm Integration of Marine Bird and Mammal Observations with the Pacific Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Program: Seasonal Variability in Ecosystem Structure. William J. Sydeman, PRBO Conservation Science

5:20 pm – 7:00 pm Dinner break (on your own)

SPECIAL SESSION: KILLER WHALES AND STELLER SEA LIONS. MODERATOR: Sue Moore, NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

7:00 pm - 7:15 pm	Kill Rates and Prey Preferences of Southeast Alaska Transient Killer Whales (Orcinus orca). Marilyn E. Dahlheim, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center
7:15 pm - 7:30 pm	Feeding Ecology of Transient Killer Whales in the Northern and Eastern Gulf of Alaska. Janice Straley, University of Alaska Southeast
7:30 pm - 7:45 pm	Abundance and Distribution of Killer Whale Ecotypes in Central and Western Alaska (Kenai Fjords to Central Aleutians). John Durban, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
7:45 pm - 8:00 pm	Whale Killers? Transient Killer Whales in the Eastern Aleutians. Craig Matkin, North Gulf Oceanic Society
8:00 pm - 8:15 pm	The Collapse of Pinniped and Sea Otter Populations in the North Pacific Ocean - An Ecological Legacy of Industrial Whaling? Alan Springer, University of Alaska Fairbanks
8:15 pm - 8:30 pm	Commercial Whaling and "Whale Killers": A Reanalysis of Evidence for Sequential Megafauna Collapse in the North Pacific. Paul Wade, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
8:30 pm - 8:45 pm	Are Killer Whales the Main Reason for the Decline of the Marine Mammal Population in the North Pacific? A Russian Perspective. Alexander Burdin, Visiting Scientist, Alaska SeaLife Center

Wednesday, January 14

Session 5: SPECIES AT RISK. Moderator: Rosa Meehan, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This session focuses on research results related primarily to birds and mammals. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

8:00 am – 8:20 am	<i>Eider Update.</i> Tuula Hollmen, Alaska SeaLife Center
8:20 am - 8:40 am	Status and Trends of Selected Marine Mammals in Alaska: Steller Sea Lions, Northern Fur Seals, Cook Inlet Beluga Whales, and North Pacific Right Whales.
	J. Ward Testa, National Marine Mammal Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries Alaska Fisheries Science Center
8:40 am – 9:00 am	Preliminary Results of At-Sea Capture and Satellite Tracking of Short-Tailed Albatrosses in Alaska. Gregory Balogh, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
9:00 am – 9:20 am	Capture and Holding of Transient Juvenile Sea Lions: The Transient Project. Jo-Ann Mellish, University of Alaska Fairbanks and Alaska SeaLife Center
9:20 am – 9:40 am	Sea Otter Populations in Alaska: A Focus on Southwest. Angela M. Doroff, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

9:40 am - 10:00 am Break - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

Session 6: Applied Fisheries Science and Management Issues. Moderator: Rich Marasco, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center. This session focuses on applying research to address fisheries management issues. Ball Room (Fore Deck).

10:00 am - 10:20 am	Did Bottom Trawling in Bristol Bay's Red King Crab Brood-Stock Refuge Contribute to the Collapse of Alaska's Most Valuable Fishery? C. Braxton Dew, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
10:20 am - 10:40 am	Producer Cooperatives and Producer Organizations. Gunnar Knapp, University of Alaska Anchorage

Wednesday, January 14

10:40 am – 11:00 am	Monitoring Dynamics of the Alaska Coastal Current and Development of Applications for Management of Cook Inlet Salmon.
	T. Mark Willette, Alaska Department of Fish and Game
11:00 am - 11:20 am	Disentangling the Relative Roles of Subsistence Harvest and Natural Factors in Altering Rocky Intertidal Food Webs and Ecosystem Productivity on the Kenai Peninsula. Anne Salomon, University of Washington
11:20 am - 11:40 am	The Fishery Interaction Team: Investigating the Potential for Commercial Fishing to Compete with Endangered Steller Sea Lions for Shared Prey. Elizabeth A. Logerwell, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
11:40 am 12:00 pm	Application of New Sonar Technology to Reducing Salmon Bycatch in Pollock Trawl Fisheries. Craig S. Rose, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center
12:00 pm – 12:20 pm	Sperm Whale and Longline Fisheries Interactions in the Gulf of Alaska. Janice Straley, University of Alaska Southeast
12:20 am - 1:30 pm LUN	ICH (Provided) Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)
Voum	ator Structure of Lynground CLOPEC Broch W. Francisco Warnon University of North Carolina, Chair

Keynote: Status of International GLOBEC Program. Francisco Werner, University of North Carolina, Chair, GLOBEC International Scientific Steering Committee.

Session 7: UNDERSEA RESEARCH AND OCEAN EXPLORATION. Moderator: Raymond C. Highsmith, University of Alaska Fairbanks and NOAA's Undersea Research Program. This session focuses on current technological advances that have furthered our knowledge of the ocean, especially ocean habitat. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

1:30 pm – 1:50 pm	Research on Benthic Habitat at the NOAA Fisheries Auke Bay Laboratory. Jonathan Heifetz, Auke Bay Laboratory, NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center
1:50 pm – 2:10 pm	<i>Biologic Exploration of Canada Basin.</i> Katrin Iken, University of Alaska Fairbanks

9

¥

Wednesday, January 14

 2:10 pm – 2:30 pm
 2:30 pm – 2:50 pm
 2:30 pm – 2:50 pm
 Pilot Nearshore Habitat Mapping Using Acoustic and Visual Techniques in Bristol Bay, Alaska. John R. Harper, Coastal and Ocean Resources, Inc.

2.50 pm – 3:10 pm Break - Ball Room (Aft and Mid Deck)

Session 8: ECOSYSTEMS MODELING AND DATA TRANSFER. Moderator: Lyn McNutt, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Geophysical Institute. This session highlights the use of models and ecosystem indicators as information products for the public and resource managers and users. Ball Room (Fore Deck)

3:10 pm – 3:30 pm	Climate Change Effects on Fisheries Recruitment: Comparative Analysis of Statistical Tools to Detect Relationships and Forecast Future Recruitment States. Bernard A. Megrey, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center			
3:30 pm – 3:50 pm	Responses of Ichthyoplankton Biodiversity and Dynamics to Environmental Change. Wiebke J. Boeing, NOAA Fisheries' Alaska Fisheries Science Center			
3:50 pm – 4:10 pm	Detecting Change in the Bering Sea Ecosystem. Sergei Rodionov, NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory			
4:10 pm – 4:30 pm	Predicting State-Dependent Foraging and its Ecological Consequences: Harbor Seals Amidst Predators in Prince William Sound. Alejandro Frid, Simon Fraser University			

4:30 pm - 5:30 pm Concluding Panel providing summation of symposium - Ball Room (Fore Deck)

Marine Science In Alaska: 2004 Symposium COMMENT AND EVALUATION FORM

Dear Attendee: Your comments are requested on any or all of the following questions.

- 1. Did you find the symposium valuable overall? Which of the sessions or papers was most valuable or interesting to you?
- 2. Do you have any suggestions for outstanding keynote speakers for future symposia?
- 3. How could the poster session be improved? Should we allow posters for topics of marine research, other than just those listed as main sessions for this symposium?
- 4. Would you like this symposium to be an annual event, or every two years? And was the length of the symposium 3 days OK or should it be expanded to allow more sessions?
- 5. What issues/topics would you like to see addressed at a future symposium?
- 6. Please provide any additional comments on the symposium that might be helpful in planning future events.

If you are available to be a peer reviewer for Alaska research programs such as GEM, NPRB, and AOOS and are not already on our peer review list, please pick up a form at the registration desk. If you have questions, please contact Rob Bochenek at (907) 278-8012 (EVOSTC). We deeply appreciate your help.

Notes and Contacts:					

		~			







The symposium sponsors recognize the value of your time and commitment to advancing the marine sciences in Alaska. Your participation in this conference is critical to our mutual success! Plesae accept our sincere gratitude for attending the:

Trustee Council

Marine Science in Alaska: 2004 Symposium











Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program



A program of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council • www.oilspill.state.ak.us

HOW WILL GEM WORK? Beginning with the mission and goals developed by the Trustee Council for the GEM program, a working concept about how the Gulf of Alaska ecosystem works is developed. Specific key questions about the working concept, or central hypothesis, will be addressed in each of four habitat types through research and monitoring. The first tool for answering these questions is synthesis, which pulls together existing information on a specific question. Synthesis serves a process called "gap analysis" which involves identifying missing information and locating institutional partners for research, and evaluation and analysis of current scientific knowledge. A database also serves the gap analysis as a tool to identify the projects that are already in place.

HOW GEM COMPONENTS FIT TOGETHER



GEM AT A GLANCE

- The 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill led to a record \$900 million settlement to restore the public's natural resources injured by the spill.
- GEM program is the ultimate legacy of the oil spill restoration program.
- GEM is funded with \$120 million endowment from the remaining *Exxon Valdez* oil spill settlement funds.
- Annual budget: approximately \$5-6 million.

Another important component of the GEM program is the use of computer models, which can:

- represent and examine complex relationships;
- distinguish variability from actual patterns;
- prioritize the most important factors in a system; and
- predict the likely course of future events.

The synthesis, gap analysis and modeling processes will be the primary tasks in the early implementation phase of the GEM program. To prepare for long-term monitoring, short-term research projects will help determine how to answer the most important questions at the lowest cost. Short-term research will also help reveal the core variables, locations and methodology to use in long-term environmental monitoring. Other research will focus on food web dynamics, plankton dynamics, weather, nutrient transport and human impacts.

Monitoring will provide multi-decadal data sets for scientists, resource managers, conservation groups and the public. Given the complexity and size of the Gulf of Alaska, GEM monitoring will include core monitoring done by GEM scientists and partnership monitoring with other organizations A multi-purpose website will provide raw data, maps, diagrams and graphs.

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT. Extensive community involvement will be central to the GEM program. Citizen volunteers will assist in observations and data gathering and Alaska Natives will be consulted for traditional ecological knowledge. Strong community involvement will permit the program to compile a more extensive and expansive database.



O

<

Π

ス

Π

Ş



MISSION

The mission of GEM is to sustain a healthy and biologically diverse marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska and the human use of the marine resources in that ecosystem through greater understanding of how its productivity is influenced by natural changes and human activities.

GEM PROGRAM GOALS

- Detect annual and long-term changes in the marine ecosystem
- Understand the causes of these changes
- Inform the public, resource managers, policymakers and industry about what is happening in the gulf
- Solve problems arising from human activities and help regulators improve resource management
- Predict the status and trends of natural marine resources

WHAT IS GEM? Imagine a marine research program in one of the world's most productive ecosystems that had indefinite, guaranteed funding. This is the Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research (GEM) program, a long-term commitment to gathering information about the physical and biological components that make up a world-renowned marine ecosystem. What makes GEM unique is that it incorporates interagency cooperation and collaboration, public involvement and accessible, informative data and information on the Gulf of Alaska ecosystem. The flagship of the GEM program will be a core monitoring program, which, when combined with the monitoring efforts of other resource agencies and research entities, will help detect environmental change over time and greatly expand understanding of the Gulf of Alaska ecosystems. The program will also include short- and long-term research using the latest technological breakthroughs in marine science. With these, the GEM program will provide a better understanding of the complex processes in the ocean, an understanding that will help us enjoy the great productivity and biodiversity of Alaska's oceans for generations to come.

WHY GEM? The northern Gulf of Alaska is one of the world's most productive ecosystems. Biological production in the gulf provides hundreds of millions of dollars annually in income from the seafood, recreation and tourism industries, as well as the subsistence resources on which many Alaskans depend. The gulf contains 25 species of marine mammals, 26 species of



seabirds and 287 known species of fish, and the surrounding area is home to more than half of Alaska's human population. Ultimately, it is our understanding of the Gulf of Alaska and our ability to share information that will determine the future of the gulf ecosystem and the human activities that depend on it. To continue these activities into the future, we must be able to detect environmental change and distinguish between human-caused impacts and natural forces.

2

HABITATS COVERED BY GEM. The GEM program will take place in watersheds, the intertidal and subtidal zones, the Alaska Coastal Current and offshore habitats. These systems are

highly interdependent.



Relationships are everything. The marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska depends on the nature of connections between heat and salt distribution, insolation, energy flow, biogeochemical cycling and food web structure. Natural forces and human activities bring about changes in the populations of birds, fish, shellfish and mammals by altering these connections.

CHANGING POPULATION LEVELS

Some species in the Gulf of Alaska appear to be doing well, while others have declined and still others have almost disappeared:

Population declines

Shrimp Red king crab Black-legged kittiwakes Common and thick-billed murres Cormorants Sea lions Harbor seals Fur seals Beluga whales Common loon Some salmon populations

Population increases

Most salmon populations Cod Pollock Flatfish (arrowtooth flounder) Sea otters (not on Aleutians) River otters Bald eagles Sea urchins Sharks

WHEN CLIMATE REGIMES SHIFT





72 74 76 78 80 82 84 86 88 90 92

Shrimp populations have decreased dramatically since about 1977-78, while cod and pollock (gadids) and flatfish such as arrowtooth flounder now dominate much of the Gulf of Alaska. The '77-'78 shift mirrors a warming trend within a cycle of cold and warm periods known as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO). Scientists hypothesize that each cold or warm climate regime favors certain species and harms others. Weather patterns may help explain some biological trends. When weatherrelated changes can be ruled out, the focus turns to human causes. Scientists now think we may currently be experiencing another regime shift back to cooler ocean conditions, with apparent increases in shrimp and some crab species.







GULF OF ALASKA FACTS

- Steep mountains along the coast of the eastern Gulf of Alaska catch significant rainfall.
- The Gulf of Alaska region is still tectonically active, creating and altering habitats.
- The Aleutian Low Pressure system dominates the Gulf of Alaska climate, influencing storm tracks, air temperature, wind velocities and ocean currents.
- Clouds cover the ocean 60 percent of the time.
- Glaciers cover 20 percent of the gulf watersheds, forming the third largest ice field in the world.

GEM SCIENCE. The GEM approach recognizes that science-based management of marine resources requires an ecosystem-wide approach that takes into account complex processes and dynamic relationships. This can best be accomplished through long-term monitoring and studies repeated over time.

NATURAL CHANGES. It is becoming increasingly clear that climate and oceanography play major roles in controlling biological processes and populations of fish and wildlife important to humans. The set of climatic conditions – also known as the "regime" – at any given time is determined by several processes occurring simultaneously, but on different time scales. Most of these have to do with astrophysical cycles such as variability of the earth's orbit around the sun, the tilt of the earth and the amount of solar radiation entering the atmosphere. They include the El Niño/La Niña Southern Oscillation, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation and global warming, all of which operate on different time scales. The cycle works this way:

Large-scale physical processes change the heat content of the atmosphere and ocean, thereby
 altering currents and climate, which in turn
 change populations of fish, birds and mammals.
 Understanding the relationships among these processes will provide a context for evaluating the potential impacts of human activities.

POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF HUMAN

ACTIVITIES. Human activities play a prominent role in the Gulf of Alaska and may have unintended consequences on the overall ecosystem dynamics. Fishing may deplete nutrients and fish stocks, alter sea floor habitat and even affect non-commercial species such as the natural predators of these fish. Tourism may disturb wildlife and add sewage and gray water to marine habitats. Oil spills and chronic leaks occur frequently on a small scale, putting harmful contaminants in the water. Subsistence puts pressure on populations of marine mammals. The pressure may be insignificant when populations are large, but have a substantial effect when populations decline. The goal is not to stop people from these activities, but rather, to help resource managers set reasonable standards that ensure these activities are sustainable.

PHYTOPLANKTON FEED THE SEA

Phytoplankton are the foundation of all life in the sea as we know it. Phytoplankton are microscopic floating plants that convert ocean nutrients and solar energy into a food source that supports the entire ecosystem. As larger organisms eat the plankton, they are in turn eaten by still larger animals such as salmon, seabirds and killer whales.

Phytoplankon require a balance of mixing between ocean layers – so nutrients get to the sunlit layers – and stability to keep them in the sunlight. Each spring, the ocean is stratified into layers of different densities and salinities, providing ideal conditions for annual plankton blooms. GEM research will investigate the conditions that cause these blooms and the efficiency with which higher organisms can integrate this explosion of food into the food web.







THE GEM CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATION.

While the northern Gulf of Alaska ecosystem is extremely complex and much remains to be learned, scientists have already compiled an in-depth understanding of the region. The adjacent graphic illustrates the dynamic interface in the Gulf of Alaska between forces on land and at sea. This conceptual foundation serves as a working hypothesis for how the ecosystem works. It will be tested and subsequently updated through GEM monitoring and research projects.

OCEANOGRAPHY AND CLIMATE.

The boundary mountains on land catch precipitation and airborne contaminants from the Aleutian Low Pressure system. Freshwater runoff strengthens the Alaska Coastal Current and carries runoff and contaminants from the watersheds. Meanwhile, weak seasonal upwelling and perhaps lunar forcing bring nutrients and old carbon upwards through the photic boundary where wind-driven mixing and surface currents bring them to where there is enough sunlight for phytoplankton to use the nutrients in photosynthesis. This often occurs where there are underwater structures called "sills" that push currents toward the surface. Winds from the Aleutian Low Pressure system create two counteracting forces. Turbulence keeps nutrients in the photic zone, while shoreward transport causes downwelling, which carries nutrients and plankton out of the photic zone.

THE FOOD WEB. Zooplankton link this primary productivity to the production of forage fish, which are the basis for production of larger fish, birds, marine mammals and humans. As surface animals die, they become the detritus that sinks to the ocean floor to feed species in benthic, or bottom-dwelling, communities. Throughout their lifecycle, salmon(shown in pink) link different parts of this ecosystem, migrating as fry from the watersheds all the way to the far offshore region and then back again to spawn as adults.

HUMAN ACTIVITIES. Meanwhile, human activities such as tourism, recreation, commercial and sport fishing, and subsistence all depend on these systems and processes occurring in the gulf. At the same time, these activities affect these processes by removing marine organisms, altering coastal habitat, changing animal behavior and depositing waste and contaminants into the marine environment.







SCIENCE

7

Nearshore

DESCRIPTION. Intertidal and subtidal areas of the nearshore habitat are brackish and salt-water coastal habitats which extend offshore to 20 meters in depth. These shallow areas are some of the most productive habitats in the Gulf of Alaska and may be the most threatened. These habitats were the most severely affected by the Exxon Valdez oil spill and many still harbor oil. In general, these areas have abundant invertebrates such as barnacles, crabs and shell-

fish and juveniles of many species.

SIGNIFICANCE. Nearshore habitats provide

Terrestrial and aquatic birds, mammals, invertebrates,

large fish and even humans depend on food from these

rich meeting places of sea and river nutrients. In addition to their importance as feeding grounds, these areas

provide nurseries for young marine organisms, unique

seaweed production. At the same time, contaminants

such as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) may be

found in high concentrations in several invertebrate

habitats for specialized animals and are major sources of

important feeding grounds for larger animals.

Examples of nearshore monitoring topics:

- Species abundance and composition over time
- How larvae of intertidal animals use currents to disperse throughout the intertidal and subtidal
- Origin and fate of contaminants in shellfish and other invertebrates

MARINE MAMMALS IN THE HABITATS OF THE GULF OF ALASKA

Each species of marine mammal uses the Gulf of Alaska habitats differently. Sea otters and harbor seals are important predators in the intertidal and subtidal habitats, while Steller sea lions occupy the Alaska Coastal Current. Killer whales and Beluga whales move throughout the intertidal, subtidal and offshore regions. Some species of marine mammals are permanent residents of the northern Gulf of Alaska, while others migrate extensively throughout the year. For example, harbor seals, which stay year round, are possibly more affected by climatic and prey changes because they depend solely on this region for their food. Populations may be declining because the current warming regime has changed the abundant prey sources to species that are less nutritious.

Sea otters play a crucial role in maintaining biodiversity in the nearshore habitats. Sea otters prey on sea urchins which, when uncontrolled, sharply reduce nearshore marine plants such as kelp. By keeping the sea urchin populations in check, sea otters control the distribution of many plant and animal species.









I

 \triangleright

 \triangleright

S



Watersheds

DESCRIPTION. Watersheds are freshwater and terrestrial habitats from the mountains to the extent of a river's plume. Links between watersheds and marine areas have been recognized for a long time, but new discoveries are heightening awareness of how strong these links are. The Alaska Coastal Current, for example, is completely dependent on incoming freshwater from rivers, streams and non-point runoff in the gulf.

CONNECTIONS. Watersheds provide rearing habitat for anadromous fish and seabirds such as murrelets and their rivers are pathways for nutrient exchange between terrestrial and marine ecosystems. Woody debris and vegetation from land are also imported to the marine environment, providing a carbon source and habitat for some species. Rivers also deposit iron, sediments and sometimes pollution



SALMON - A VITAL LINK BETWEEN WATERSHEDS AND OCEANS



After salmon return to watersheds to spawn, their carcasses deposit significant amounts of marine nitrogen into river ecosystems. Nitrogen is a limiting factor for plant growth in many of these systems, so the health of riparian habitats may depend on the salmon returning to spawn. What happens to riparian habitats if salmon numbers change?

and contaminants, all of which have varying effects on the sea life downstream. As rocks are worn down by glaciers and weathering, rivers carry minerals and silt to the ocean. Development and clear cut logging can affect watersheds by removing vegetation and increasing soil erosion. Contaminants found in watersheds may be of local origin, and indeed, most contaminated watersheds are located near towns and cities. However, contaminants also are brought by atmospheric processes from as far away as Asia. So far, contaminants from far-away sources have been detected only at very low levels.

Examples of watershed monitoring topics:

- Two-way nutrient and contaminant flow between watersheds and ocean
- Variation in precipitation and stream flow in Gulf of Alaska watersheds
- System-wide effects of physical variables on aquatic and marine organisms

Alaska Coastal Current



S

1

m

1

Ι

DESCRIPTION. Just beyond the subtidal zone up to about 30 miles offshore flows the Alaska Coastal Current. This low-salinity channel extends from the mouth of the Columbia River to the end of the Alaska Peninsula. The current is shaped by the tremendous influx of freshwater from the glaciers and thousands of streams flowing into the gulf. Because it is fed in part by ice melt, the current flows at its maximum in late summer and at its minimum in winter. The Alaska Coastal Current is an ever-changing part of the gulf that plays many important ecological roles. For example, it supplies plankton to Prince William Sound and carries fish and invertebrate eggs

SEABIRDS IN THE INTERTIDAL, SUBTIDAL AND ALASKA COASTAL CURRENT



For several decades scientists have noticed a broadscale decline in seabird abundance in the gulf, although there are notable exceptions in some localities. Population declines have been noted especially in

Marbled Murrelet

loons, cormorants, mergansers, puffins, gulls, kittiwakes, terns and auklets. Species such as kit-

tiwakes and murres can be useful indicators of regional marine productivity because their food supply also feeds many other birds, mammals and fish. By assessing the type and quality of food eaten by indicator species, sci-



Black-legged Kittiwakes

entists can glean information about the region's



Common Murre

overall marine productivity. GEM may contribute to understanding the origins of differences in diet between bird species as well as the effects of variability in prey abundance on seabird breeding biology and population dynamics.



from one place to another. However, the same coastal flow that benefits so many species may also distribute marine pollutants as seen in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. A future toxic spill could be spread across the entire gulf by this current.

A KEY HABITAT. The success of many species depends on the specific shape of the current, which is influenced by climate, season and sea-floor topography. Juvenile pollock are kept in areas rich in food supply by eddies, circular side currents formed as larger currents move around land masses. Oceanographic features can have a major influence on biological production in the water column, so understanding how they work provides an important piece of the ecological puzzle.

Examples of coastal current monitoring topics:

- Variability of its physical attributes over different time scales
- Effects of climate on current shape and behavior
- Nutrient supply to the photic zone where phytoplankton may uptake them
- Effects of current behavior on productivity
- Effects of current behavior throughout the food web
- Populations and feeding behavior of fish, seabirds and marine mammals

10

Offshore



As the North Pacific Current moves east toward the coast, much of it becomes the northward-flowing Alaska Current which flows west along the continental shelf break toward the Aleutian Islands.

DESCRIPTION. The offshore region refers to the continental shelf break and the Alaska gyre, a large-scale counterclockwise circulation off the coast. Most large animals of the outer continental shelf and deep sea are fish, the most common being flounder, ocean perch, pollock, halibut and cod. Salmon also use this habitat before they return to the watersheds to spawn. One of the most important processes in this part of the gulf is upwelling, which occurs slowly in the middle of the gyre and at a higher rate in the summer over the shelf break. This upward lift pulls rich deep-sea nutrients to the surface where they can be used by photosynthetic phytoplankton, the primary producers of the marine ecosystem. This process is mediated by climate, especially the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, which can slow down or speed up the wind-driven transport (and perhaps the supply) of deep water nutrients across the shelf to support inshore production. Offshore currents may also carry pollutants originating from as far away as Asia or from deep-ocean dumping and accidents at sea.

Offshore research and monitoring are more difficult due to distance from shore. However, instruments located on ferries, tankers and cruise ships may be useful to obtain offshore data on temperature, salinity, detritus and ocean nutrients. Collected over the longterm, this type of data can put together a big picture of the oceanographic characteristics of the Alaska gyre and the way it changes. A clearer picture of the gyre will permit better understanding of how offshore changes might affect productivity throughout the gulf ecosystem.

Examples of offshore monitoring topics:

- The effect of climate on nutrients
- How plankton abundance changes over time
- Shoreward flow of carbon produced by plankton
- Variability of the shape and strength of the Alaska gyre
- Variability of offshore temperature, nutrients, salinity and detritus

FOOD WEB INTERMEDIATES

Macrozooplankton such as krill serve as intermediate links in the marine food web between primary producers and higher-level consumers. Forage fish – usually the smaller, less commercially important species – convert the krill into a food source that larger fish, birds and marine mammals can eat. Baleen whales skip this step, feeding directly on the macrozooplankton. GEM is interested in these tiny creatures, barely visible to the naked eye, because their role in the ecosystem is still only vaguely understood.



Macrozooplankton







Sea lions



I

D

Π

D

S

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council

441 West 5th Avenue, Suite 500 Anchorage, Alaska 99501-2340 PRSRT STD U.S. Postage **PAID** Permit No. 1013 Anchorage, AK

GEM SCHEDULE

- March 1999: Trustee Council decides to endow GEM program.
- 2000: Draft GEM program developed.
- 2000-2002: Intensive review by public, resource agencies, user groups, scientists and the National Research Council.
- Fall 2002: GEM program officially begins, focusing on synthesis of existing data.
- 2003: Pilot monitoring projects begin.
- 2003-2007: Components added until program fully implemented.

ADMINISTRATION

GEM is administered by the staff of the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council with funding approved by the Trustee Council. Trustees are:

- U.S. Department of the Interior
- U.S. Department of Agriculture
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
- Alaska Department of Fish and Game
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
- Alaska Department of Law

GEM is a program of the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council Phone (907) 278-8012 • Fax (907) 276-7178 • www.oilspill.state.ak.us

This Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring Program brochure was released by the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and produced at a cost of \$1.42 per copy. It was printed in Anchorage, Alaska.

The *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council conducts all programs and activities free from discrimination, consistent with the Americans with Disabilities Act. This publication is available in alternate communication formats upon request. Please contact the Restoration Office at (907) 278-8012 to make any necessary arrangements. Any person who believes she or he has been discriminated against should write to EVOS Trustee Council, 441 West 5th Ave., Suite 500, Anchorage, AK 99501; or O.E.O., U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

MARINE SCIENCE in ALASKA

2004 Symposium

Abstracts







JOINT SCIENTIFIC SYMPOSIUM:

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council - Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring North Pacific Research Board NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center Alaska Ocean Observing System Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks Oil Spill Recovery Institute Alaska SeaLife Center Kachemak Bay Research Reserve

Marine Science in Alaska 2004 Joint Scientific Symposium Sessions

Monday, January 12

Afternoon

PROGRAM OVERVIEWS

Evening

• RECEPTION AND POSTER SESSION

Tuesday January 13

Morning

- CLIMATE CHANGE
- OCEAN OBSERVING SYSTEMS

Afternoon

- OIL SPILL IMPACTS
- FISHERIES OCEANOGRAPHY

Evening

• KILLER WHALES AND STELLER SEA LIONS

Wednesday January 14

Morning

- SPECIES AT RISK
- APPLIED FISHERIES SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT ISSUES

Afternoon

- UNDERSEA RESEARCH AND OCEAN
 EXPLORATION
- ECOSYSTEMS MODELING AND DATA
 TRANSFER

POSTER: Fisheries Oceanography

Maps of Salinity, Nitrate and Chlorophyll over the Gulf of Alaska Continental Shelf

Edward D. Cokelet¹, Calvin W. Mordy² and Phyllis J. Stabeno¹

¹NOAA/PMEL

²Univ. Washington/JISAO

Edward.D.Cokelet@noaa.gov, Calvin.W.Mordy@noaa.gov, Phyllis.Stabeno@noaa.gov

The goal of this GLOBEC and GEM research is to relate basic physical and chemical parameters to the ecosystem in the Gulf of Alaska (GoA). We measured sea-surface water temperature, salinity, nitrate, chlorophyll and currents on a NOAA Ship *Miller Freeman* cruise covering the continental shelf from Kodiak Island to Yakutat, 19 July-9 August 2003. Ninety-eight CTD casts provided vertical profiles.

The salinity is higher (32-33 psu) over the basin and lower (20-32 psu) over the continental shelf owing to dilution by freshwater runoff. A brackish portion of the Alaska Coastal Current hugs the coast after leaving Prince William Sound. We produce maps of nitrate in the GoA for the first time. During this summer cruise, higher nitrate (4-11 μ M) and higher chlorophyll (1.5-3.5 μ g/L), HNHC, predominate in the basin with lower nitrate (0-2 μ M) and lower chlorophyll (0-1 μ g/L), LNLC, on the shelf. This is because basin nutrients are mixed up from below the pycnocline to replenish the surface and support primary production under summer conditions. However on the shelf, surface nutrients were depleted by the spring bloom and cannot be as easily replenished from deeper waters due to enhanced stratification owing to fresher surface water.

There are important exceptions to HNHC in the basin and LNLC on the shelf. Within a large, 300-km diameter eddy in the basin, measurements show that nitrate and chlorophyll values are lower than in the surrounding basin waters. This is because the anticyclonic eddy contains shelf water that was pulled offshore when the eddy formed near Yakutat. Conversely, there are isolated high-chlorophyll regions on the shelf. These occur around islands and over shallow banks where strong tidal currents mix nutrient-rich water from below, fueling phytoplankton growth. One region corresponds to high nitrate, but another to low nitrate. In the high-nutrient case around the Barren Islands, the vertical flux from mixing apparently kept pace with the bloom. In the low-nutrient case over Portlock Bank, the bloom stripped the nutrients from the slightly stratified surface water.

Program Overviews Monday 12 January 2004 1:00 – 5:30 PM

Welcome to the Marine Science in Alaska 2004 Symposium Gail Phillips

Keynote Address: Einstein's Eclipse and Maury's Logs -- Searching for General Laws Governing Marine Ecosystem Phenomena Steve Murawski

NOAA Fisheries Alaska Fisheries Science Center Richard Marasco

North Pacific Research Board Clarence Pautzke

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program (GEM) Phillip R. Mundy

Alaska Ocean Observing System Molly McCammon

Oil Spill Recovery Institute G. Carl Schoch

Alaska SeaLife Center Research Program Shannon Atkinson

The Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center, University of Alaska Vera Alexander and Heather McCarty

NOAA Arctic Research Office John A. Calder

Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, Alaska Department of Fish and Game Terry Thompson and Scott Pegau

Alaska Sea Grant College Program Brian Allee

NOAA's Undersea Research Program Raymond C. Highsmith

The University of Alaska's Fishery Industrial Technology Center Scott Smiley

Welcome to the Marine Science in Alaska 2004 Symposium

Gail Phillips

Executive Director Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council Gail_phillips@oilspill.state.ak.us

Opportunities for marine sciences in Alaska have never been better and the importance of the marine sciences to the economic well being of Alaska and to the growth of Alaska's economy has never been greater. The recent Steller Sea Lion Initiative brought more than \$100 million to the study of sea lions, fisheries and the ecosystems that support them. The Steller sea lion has come to symbolize the often tight linkages between marine sciences and the Alaskan economy. The Aleutian sea otter or the harbor seal may be the next marine mammal species on which good scientific information will be needed to enable economic activities to proceed in ways that do not put the health of our ecosystems at risk. Opportunities for expanding marine science in Alaska are growing as a result of new federal initiatives from the "Four-Ns" – Navy, NOAA, NASA and NSF. The diverse marine science programs of the state agencies, the universities, and the Four-Ns are now starting to work more closely together and the Symposium is but one example of that cooperation. Programs emphasizing real time monitoring of our nation's coastal environments, such as the Integrated and Sustained Ocean Observing System (IOOS) at the national level are working through programs at the state level, such as the Alaskan Ocean Observing System (AOOS). The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council conducted pioneering marine ecosystem-level studies in southcentral Alaska in order to learn how to restore species injured by oiling, and to measure recovery of those species. The Council now looks forward to continuing its work in concert with the many partners represented at the Symposium.

Program Overviews: Keynote Address

Einstein's Eclipse and Maury's Logs – Searching for General Laws Governing Marine Ecosystem Phenomena

Steve Murawski

National Marine Fisheries Service Woods Hole, MA 02543 Steve.murawski@noaa.gov

Marine ecosystem research in the 21st century is characterized by unprecedented technical abilities to perform precise measurements, over broad spatial scales, and at increasingly frequent intervals. Data collection capabilities and opportunities have expanded as society's interests in the oceans have fostered a science-based approach to management of ocean resources (as opposed to a negotiation-based approach). Collection, archival and dissemination of physical and biological data products are justified on their own merits for many purposes such as resource inventory, extraction control, and marine safety. However, within these data products, and those we will envision and implement, lie the pieces of many puzzles by which we can hopefully discern process from pattern. Increasingly, society has pressed marine science for better predictive capabilities and integration of constituent parts of systems. This level of understanding can only occur if we can decipher the governing processes and interrelationships that dictate the trajectories we see. Two approaches to developing the underlying laws governing observed phenomena are embodied in the history of science. An empirical test of the Einstein's general theory of relativity was conducted using starlight information collected during a solar eclipse. The opposite approach is embodied in Matthew Fontaine Maury's discerning of circulation patterns in the world's oceans based on mining of countless ship logbook data collected over decades. These two approaches illustrate the duality of theory and data necessary to push many of our investigations from observation to understanding and prediction.

NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center

Richard Marasco

Resource Ecology and Fisheries Management Division NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center <u>Rich.marasco@noaa.gov</u>

As a result of recent increases in funding for research on Steller sea lions, substantial progress has been made in our understanding of the factors that caused their population to decline since the 1970s and may also threaten their recovery. Six factors have been identified: Predation, Disease, Human-caused direct mortality, Climate Change, Contaminants and Competition with fisheries. Each of these factors has contributed directly or indirectly to sea lion mortalities or can reduce their reproductive success. While the first four factors have been affecting sea lion populations to varying degrees for tens of thousands of years, it is only in the last several decades that the last two factors have been added.

To determine why the sea lion population declined, it is necessary to understand the amplitude and frequency of the long-term factors and place the potential effects of additional factors within this context. Toward that end, the Steller sea lion research program at the AFSC has 5 elements:

- Steller sea lion core studies
- Fisheries interactions
- Forage fish and prey availability
- Biophysical and climate research
- Predation

The AFSC funds studies within each element based on their relevance in addressing one or more of the six factors affecting the sea lion population. In addition, the AFSC coordinates its research with those of our partners in Steller sea lion research in the North Pacific: Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Alaska SeaLife Center, University of Alaska, North Pacific Universities Marine Mammal Research Consortium, Alaska Fisheries Development Foundation, Prince William Sound Science Center and the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council.

One of the main tasks at the AFSC is the assessment of groundfish populations in the eastern Bering Sea, Aleutian Islands and the Gulf of Alaska in support of the scientific management of fisheries. Survey and fishery databases on fish abundance, distribution and age structure, many in excess of 20 years in duration, provide a rich contextual background to the sea lion research studies. Through these combined Steller sea lion and groundfish research efforts, the AFSC is laying the foundation for the incorporation of ecosystem considerations in fisheries management.

North Pacific Research Board

Clarence Pautzke

North Pacific Research Board 1007 W. 3rd Ave., Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501 cpautzke@nprb.org

The North Pacific Research Board (NPRB) was created by Congress in 1997 to recommend marine research activities to the Secretary of Commerce, funded through a competitive grant program using part of the interest earned from the Environmental Improvement and Restoration Fund (EIRF). Its enabling legislation stipulates that EIRF-based funds will be used "...to conduct research activities on or relating to the fisheries or marine ecosystems in the north Pacific Ocean, Bering Sea, and Arctic Ocean (including any lesser related bodies of water)." Further, NPRB must strive "...to avoid duplicating other research activities and shall place a priority on cooperative research efforts designed to address pressing fishery management or marine ecosystem information needs."

The Board's mission is to build a clear understanding of the North Pacific, Bering Sea, and Arctic Ocean ecosystems that enables effective management and sustainable use of marine resources. Toward that end, NPRB supports high quality research projects that will improve the:

- Understanding of the dynamics of the North Pacific marine ecosystem and use of the resources;
- Ability to manage and protect the healthy, sustainable fish and wildlife populations that comprise the ecologically diverse marine ecosystems of the North Pacific, and provide long-term, sustained benefits to local communities and the nation; and
- Ability to forecast and respond to effects of changes, through integration of various research activities, including long-term monitoring.

Since being organized in 2001, NPRB has funded over \$8 million in new research supported by EIRF funds in 2002 and 2003, and hopes to fund another \$3-5 million in research beginning in 2004. Descriptions of approved projects are available at <u>www.nprb.org</u>. In addition to annual calls for research proposals, the NPRB currently is engaged in a science planning process with the National Research Council which will provide a comprehensive, long-range science plan and associated research priorities in 2005.

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program (GEM)

Phillip R. Mundy

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council Phil_mundy@oilspill.state.ak.us

The mission of the Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research (GEM) Program is to sustain a healthy and biologically diverse marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska and the human use of the marine resources in that ecosystem through greater understanding of how its productivity is influenced by natural changes and human activities. The five general goals of the GEM Program are to 1) Serve as a sentinel (early warning) system by detecting annual and long-term changes in the marine ecosystem, from coastal watersheds to the central gulf, 2) Identify causes of change in the marine ecosystem, including natural variation, human influences, and their interaction, 3) Provide integrated and synthesized information to the public, resource managers, industry, and policy makers in order for them to respond to changes in natural resources, 4) Develop tools, technologies and information that can help resource managers and regulators improve management of marine resources and address problems that may arise from human activities, and 5) Develop the capacity to predict the status and trends of natural resources for use by resource managers and consumers. The geographic scope of GEM is focused on the area defined by the trajectory of the 1989 oil spill - from the heart of Prince William Sound southwestward to the northern Gulf of Alaska, Kenai Peninsula, Cook Inlet, Kodiak and the upper Alaskan Peninsula. Projects are organized by four key habitat types, Watersheds, Nearshore, Alaska Coastal Current, and the Offshore. Key partners in implementing GEM include most of the partners in AOOS, including the Kachemak Bay Research Reserve (Alaska Department of Fish and Game), Prince William Sound Science Center, University of Alaska School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, and National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA) and National Ocean Service (NOAA). For further information contact the author. The web address for the GEM is http://www.oilspill.state.ak.us/gem/index.html.

J

Alaska Ocean Observing System

Molly McCammon

C C C C C

Alaska Ocean Observing System mccammon@aoos.org

<u>Program Mission and Goals:</u> The Alaska Ocean Observing System (AOOS) is part of a growing national network of integrated ocean observing systems that will improve our ability to rapidly detect changes in marine ecosystems and living resources, and predict future changes and their consequences for the public good. When fully developed, AOOS will serve as the Alaska connection for a national network of observing systems; systematically deliver both real-time information and long-term trends about Alaska's ocean conditions; and be a valuable service for mariners, scientists, industry, resource managers, educators, and other users of marine resources. As currently envisioned, AOOS will facilitate the coordination and integration of regional observing systems in the 1) Arctic Ocean, Beaufort and Chukchi Seas, 2) Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, and 3) Gulf of Alaska.

AOOS will provide a centralized location for data from new buoys, providing wind and current speed and direction, wave height, sea temperature and salinity, and more; enhancements to existing NOAA weather buoy data for specialized local needs; processed satellite data providing Alaska-wide information on sea-surface temperature, ocean color (chlorophyll) and wind; geographically comprehensive surface current data from high frequency radar; and data about fish, birds and marine mammals, the environmental effects of human activities, and any other information that can be used with the physical data to predict future changes to the ocean ecosystem.

The goals of the national Integrated Ocean Observing System are to 1) improve the safety and efficiency of marine operations; 2) more effectively mitigate the effects of natural hazards; 3) improve predictions of climate change and its effects on coastal populations; 4) improve national security; 5) reduce public health risks; 6) more effectively protect and restore healthy coastal marine ecosystems; and 7) enable the sustained use of marine resources. Alaskans must help prioritize the goals for the Alaska regional system.

Geographic scope: coastal waters of EEZ surrounding state of Alaska.

Key partners: NOAA, University of Alaska, North Pacific Research Board, Alaska SeaLife Center, *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council, Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, USGS, Minerals Management Service, Arctic Research Commission, Coast Guard, Prince William Sound Science Center/OSRI, Barrow Arctic Science Consortium, State of Alaska, Department of Energy, and other agency, industry, and private sector entities.

<u>Contact information</u>: Alaska Ocean Observing System, 1007 West Third Avenue, Suite 100, Anchorage, AK 99501, Phone: 907-770-6543, Fax: 907-278-6773, <u>mccammon@aoos.org</u>. <u>Website</u>: <u>www.aoos.org</u>

Oil Spill Recovery Institute

G. Carl Schoch

Science Director, Oil Spill Recovery Institute <u>cschoch@pwssc.gen.ak.us</u>

The Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI) is a funding program operating out of the Prince William Sound Science Center in Cordova, Alaska. The mission of this program is to support research, education, and demonstration projects addressing oil spills in Subarctic and Arctic oceans. The program was authorized by Congress through the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, but funded projects were not initiated until 1998. Since then, OSRI has awarded an average of \$1M per year to support a wide range of projects including: education programs, graduate research fellowships, numerical modeling of atmospheric and oceanic circulation, observational oceanography and meteorology, ecological monitoring of zooplankton, nekton, birds, and marine invertebrates, and developing new oil spill response and recovery technologies. As a leader in piloting and developing designs for larger scale ocean observing systems, OSRI is establishing Prince William Sound as a demonstration site for a node in the Alaska Ocean Observing System. Lessons learned in this relatively protected and accessible corner of the Gulf of Alaska, can potentially be scaled up to other high latitude coasts. OSRI is currently authorized through 2012, and will continue developing the ocean observing infrastructure for PWS, thus providing a rare opportunity for long-term physical and biological monitoring efforts.

<u>Address:</u> Oil Spill Recovery Institute P.O. Box 705 Cordova, AK 99574 Telephone: 907.424.5800 Fax: 907.424.5820 <u>Website:</u> www.pwssc.gen.ak.us

Alaska SeaLife Center Research Program

Shannon Atkinson

Science Director Alaska SeaLife Center Seward, Alaska Shannon Atkinson@alaskasealife.org

From its inception, the Alaska SeaLife Center's main mission was studying the changes in Alaska's marine ecosystems through the development of a world-class research setting designed to attract respected scientists. State-of-the-art laboratories and access to Alaska animals for research projects, particularly those that are listed under the U.S. Endangered Species Act or whose populations are declining, are critical components of the Center's research program. During the first two years of operation, the research department was staffed primarily with visiting scientists. Now the Center's grants fund about 30 staff members. Visiting scientists, however, remain an important part of the Center's research activities. A stable staff has allowed the research department to gain from familiarity with the facility and Alaska resources. A close relationship with the University of Alaska Fairbanks remains an integral part of the Center's research program.

PARTNERSHIPS: Partnerships and collaborations have grown significantly over the past years. The Center continues to work closely with both state and federal agencies who manage Alaska's marine mammals, seabirds and fish to obtain specific permits for research projects. The partnership also includes learning from state and federal biologists and scientists experienced in Alaska's marine wildlife. A significant number of universities also participate in projects, and the involvement has expanded to include a growing international presence, including projects with Japan, Russia, Australia, Canada and Argentina. A special ongoing relationship with Alaska Native organizations, such as the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission, helps to integrate customary and traditional science into the Center's research program. Traditional ecosystem knowledge is important in helping to determine changes in the ecosystems and their cause and effect.

FOCUS: The focus of Alaska SeaLife Center research is undergoing changes as the research program matures. Research was primarily oil-related, the result of a significant amount of funding coming from the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Council (EVOS). Projects completed with EVOS funding have provided a significant amount of knowledge and experience now being applied to other species. Overall, the research program is moving away from single-species study and towards more integrated ecosystem studies.

CURRENT PROJECTS: While research projects involve a wide variety of Alaska's marine mammals, birds and fish, Steller sea lions are a primary research focus. Studies have shown that the decline in western Alaska has been dramatic, as much as 80 percent. Three resident Steller sea lions and three harbor seals are available to support research projects. Staff is developing a permit application to the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Association (NOAA) to allow for the collection of young Alaskan harbor seals that will support a long-term captive seal energetics, growth and sexual
maturity project. One major new research project, the Eider Research Program, began in 2001, but became operational in 2002. The goal of the program is to become increasingly involved with research efforts relevant to the conservation of Steller's and Spectacled Eiders in Alaska. These ducks are listed under the Marine Mammal Protection Act as threatened. The goal is to help reverse the trend of decline so that the birds can be "delisted".

CONTACT: For more information, please go to www.alaskasealife.org.

The Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center at the University of Alaska Fairbanks

Vera Alexander and Heather McCarty

School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences University of Alaska Fairbanks <u>vera@sfos.uaf.edu</u>, rising@ptialaska.net

The Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center (PCCRC) was founded within the School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences (SFOS) at the University of Alaska Fairbanks in February 2000. The background is as follows: In December 1998, the seven Bering Sea pollock catcher/processor companies formed the Pollock Conservation Cooperative (PCC), a harvesting cooperative designed to rationalize the offshore midwater pollock fishery. Subsequently, PCC signed an agreement with the University of Alaska Fairbanks to form the PCCRC, to address the further goal of supporting marine research in the North Pacific Ocean and the Bering Sea. Funded by annual contributions of \$1 million from PCC member companies, the Research Center is administered by SFOS. Proposals to the Center are evaluated for scientific merit through a peer review process, and prioritized by a six-member Advisory Board, which is comprised of two members representing the University, three members representing the PCC, and one representing fisheries management agencies. The Dean of SFOS reviews these recommendations and selects the projects to be funded. The PCCRC Board agrees on research priorities for each funding cycle; for the 2004 awards the priorities are:

- The impact of fishing on the habitat of species of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, and on the ability of that habitat to support diverse natural communities, with a particular emphasis on corals and sponges
- Improvements in fishery stock assessment models
- Improvements in the mitigation, sampling and identification of salmon bycatch in the fisheries
- Pollock stock dynamics, and improved coordination in pollock management in the U.S. and Russia exclusive economic zones
- The sustainability of the northern fur seal and other marine mammals
- Assessment and reduction of interaction between fishing vessels and sea birds in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands.

To date, the Center has funded 24 research projects and a seafood technical training program at the University. In addition, a research endowment has been created to support future marine research into perpetuity, and also an endowment fund to establish a chair in oceans policy at the University of Alaska School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences.

NOAA Arctic Research Office

John A. Calder

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Arctic Research Office John.Calder@noaalgov

The NOAA Arctic Research Office has recently been realigned within NOAA's overall climate program. Thus the historical focus of the Office on "ecosystems, contaminants and climate" will be replaced with an emphasis on climate issues of Arctic relevance. The US Climate Science Program Strategic Plan will provide overarching guidance. The US interagency Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) provides additional scientific guidance. Both of these documents recognize that climate is not just a physical science issue, but that biological and socio-economic issues are also critical. Over the next several years, the Arctic Research Office will focus on studies of Arctic sea ice, Arctic atmospheric observations, and ecosystem response to climate change in the northern Bering and Chukchi Seas and Arctic Ocean. Partnerships with other US agencies, academia and other countries will be essential for conducting this work. This work will focus on achieving defined objectives and outputs, with the long-term goal of specifying and implementing climate-quality observing systems for sea ice, atmosphere and marine ecosystems.

Kachemak Bay Research Reserve Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Terry Thompson¹ and Scott Pegau²

¹ Acting Reserve Manager and Education Coordinator, Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division

² Research Coordinator, Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Sport Fish Division

terry thompson@fishgame.state.ak.us, scott_pegau@fishgame.state.ak.us

The Kachemak Bay National Estuarine Research Reserve, known locally as the Kachemak Bay Research Reserve (KBRR) is the only fjord in the National Estuarine Research Reserve (NERR) system of 26 sites. The reserve system was established across the nation for long-term stewardship, research and education purposes. Designation of KBRR in 1999 was a result of efforts by local citizens who wanted to protect the Bay's qualities and sustain the area's economy, and resource managers who have worked to protect the Bay.

The mission of the Kachemak Bay Research Reserve is to "enhance understanding and appreciation of the Kachemak Bay estuary and adjacent waters to ensure that these ecosystems remain healthy and productive." To achieve this mission the Reserve has identified the following goals:

- Increase understanding of the natural and human processes occurring in the coastal environment.
- Foster responsible stewardship of the coastal environment.
- Foster a public that is involved with and supportive of Reserve activities.

The Reserve's science program is studying the Bay and its surrounding watershed. Areas of emphasis include circulation, primary production, nutrients and wetlands. The education and science programs are integrated – facilitating the transfer of knowledge to people of all ages.

Partnerships are critical to meeting the goals established for the Reserve. Many opportunities exist for partnerships – either those that naturally present themselves and those that are opportunistic. The Reserve currently partners with many different local, regional, state and national entities.

The Reserve's designated boundaries encompass 4,000 km2 (365,000 acres) of terrestrial and marine habitats. The science program region of emphasis will extend to the east as far as the Pye Islands in Kenai Fjords National Park, to the south as far as Shuyak Island in the Kodiak Archipelago, to the west across Cook Inlet, and to the north as far as Kasilof. As a sentinel site for the region, the KBRR will serve a vital function by serving the scientific and management communities with consistent long-term datasets.

Additional information can be found at <u>www.kbayrr.org</u> or call (907) 235-4799.

Alaska Sea Grant College Program

Brian Allee

Alaska Sea Grant College Program University of Alaska Fairbanks brian.allee@sfos.uaf.edu

The Alaska Sea Grant College Program (ASGCP) has operated in the state for 33 years essentially assisting Alaska in the wise use and conservation of our remarkable marine resources. This has been accomplished through a strategically directed program of research, education, marine advisory services and information transfer to a diverse group of marine constituents in Alaska's coastal communities. As an example of the scope of the program, the ASGCP has helped the seafood industry develop new harvesting and processing methodology, provided food safety training and protocols, trained graduate students through grants directed at greater understanding of our marine ecosystem, produced the Arctic Science Journeys radio series and coordinated the Lowell Wakefield Fisheries Symposium Series. The author will provide more specific details of the diversity of the research portfolio during the oral presentation.

The mission of ASGCP is, as part of the national network of universities, to develop and support research, education, and outreach programs that enhance the wise use and conservation of coastal and marine resources. By assessing the nation's colleges and universities, this federal partnership with the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) increases the "understanding, assessment, development, utilization, and conservation of the nation's ocean and coastal resources by providing assistance to promote a strong education base, responsive research and training activities, and broad and prompt dissemination of knowledge and techniques". The practical reality of developing an effective statewide approach demands a strategic plan, the essential elements of which are: Economic leadership, Coastal Ecosystem Health and Public Safety and Education and Human Resources.

The ASGCP is a partnership with NOAA and all three University of Alaska campuses in addition to state and federal management agencies, local municipalities, numerous non-government organizations, councils and commissions and private and non-profit corporations. The principal contact for the ASGCP is director Brian Allee located at the University of Alaska Fairbanks in the School of Fisheries and Wildlife. The web site address for the ASGCP is http://www.uaf.edu/seagrant/.

NOAA's Undersea Research Program

Raymond C. Highsmith

University of Alaska Fairbanks highsmith@guru.uaf.edu

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is responsible for the assessment, protection, development and utilization of U.S. underwater resources. Thus, NOAA established an Undersea Research Program (NURP) consisting of six regional centers and a technology institute for support of *in situ* research and technology development. NURP is a comprehensive underwater research program that places scientists underwater, directly through the use of submersibles, underwater laboratories and advanced wet diving, or indirectly by using remotely operated vehicles (ROVs), autonomous underwater vehicles (AUVs) and seafloor observing systems.

The West Coast and Polar Regions Undersea Research Center in the School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences at UAF serves the U. S. west coast and both polar regions. The Center's mission is to promote, facilitate and support undersea research in Alaska and elsewhere in its region. The Center supports highly rated, peer-reviewed proposals to conduct research utilizing undersea technology. Support provided may be for chartering undersea vehicles, supplies and equipment, travel and salaries for principal investigators, technicians and students. A call for proposals is distributed every May with submissions due in early September. A complete program description and proposal guidelines are available at our website: www.westnurc.uaf.edu.

Center programmatic themes include:

- Fisheries and Fisheries Habitat Research
- Shelf and Slope Ecology
- Ridge Crest Processes
- Marine Tectonics and Plate Boundary Processes
- Seamounts

MADERE CREATERE

 \sim

- Gas Hydrates
- Polar Research
- Cold-Water Diving
- Exploration Research

The Cold-Water Diving program is being developed at our Kasitsna Bay Laboratory on the south shore of Kachemak Bay near Seldovia. We are particularly interested in SCUBA-based research at the Lab as well as elsewhere in Alaska.

Contact information: (907) 474-5870, westnurc@guru.uaf.edu.

The University of Alaska's Fishery Industrial Technology Center

Scott Smiley

Director, University of Alaska - Fishery Industrial Technology Center 118 Trident Way, Kodiak AK. 99615 scott.smiley@uaf.edu

Alaska Statutes: AS 16.52.010 provided for the establishment of the Fishery Industrial Technology Center (FITC) as part of the University of Alaska. The statutes give guidance on the mission of FITC. Our current mission statement reads. "The Mission of the Fishery Industrial Technology Center is to increase the value of Alaska's Seafood Industry and Marine Resources through research, technological development, education and service."

A significant percentage of Alaskans live within ten miles of the ocean, and the seafood industry is the largest employment sector in Alaska. After petroleum, seafood brings more money to state coffers than any other enterprise. Seafood in Alaska is roughly a \$1 billion enterprise annually. In recent years, there have been significant changes in the economics of seafood. Wild salmon has lost much of its value to pen reared fish. A decline in the consumption of surimi-based products has reduced the value of the Alaskan pollock fishery, the largest on Earth. As Alaska progresses into this new century, how will we insure that our coastal communities, whose economic longevity is tied to seafood processing, will remain vital?

A tremendous amount of basic marine research is done in Alaskan waters towards developing an understanding of the dynamics of oceans and of various populations. – be they predator or prey. Yet, if Alaska is not vigilant about keeping our seafood industry productive through cogent applied research, what use will this basic research be to Alaska's coastal communities?

It can take years before the answers to basic research questions find applicability in the seafood industry. We have known that arrowtooth flounder (*Atheresthes stomias*) constitute a biomass of more than 2 million mt since 1995, and yet it is harvested only to a level of about 10% of the ABC. In this talk I review some of the research FITC has done in the past, how we prioritize research, and our focus for the future.

Climate Change Tuesday 13 January 2004 8:00 – 10:00 AM

Recent Shifts in the State of the North Pacific and Bering Sea James E. Overland, Sergei Rodionov and Nicholas A. Bond

Summary of Results of a Synthesis Workshop on The Climate Regime Shift Hypothesis of the Steller Sea Lion Decline Arthur J. Miller and Andrew W. Trites

Ecosystem Indicators of Climate Change Patricia A. Livingston, Jennifer Boldt and Anne B. Hollowed

Environmental predictors of walleye pollock recruitment in the Eastern Bering Sea Franz J. Mueter, Michael Palmer and Brenda L. Norcross

A CPR-Based Survey to Monitor the Gulf of Alaska and Detect Ecosystem Change Sonia Batten and David Welch

POSTERS

Deciphering Change in Climate and the Alaska Coastal Current over the Last 6,000 Years through Stable Isotopic Analyses of Archeological Material Gail V. Irvine, Scott J. Carpenter, Dan Mann and Jeanne Schaaf

Ocean circulation and freshwater discharge in the Gulf of Alaska Meibing Jin and Jia Wang

Pelagic Food Webs of Prince William Sound Thomas C. Kline, Jr.

Changing Patterns of Sea Ice Retreat in the Bering Sea: The Case of the Disappearing Ice Cover S. Lyn McNutt, James Overland, Phyllis Stabeno, Vera Alexander and Sigrid Salo

Using Gray Whales to Track Climate Change in Arctic Seas Sue E. Moore and Jacqueline M. Grebmeier

Analysis of the Marginal Ice Zone and the Distribution of *Phoca largha* During Both Cold and Warm Regimes in the Bering Sea C.M. Picco, S.L. McNutt, V. Alexander, S. Hills, R.R. Gradinger and L.T. Quakenbush

Historical and Regional Aspects of Major Changes in the State of the North Pacific Sergei Rodionov, James E. Overland and Nicholas A. Bond

Climate Change POSTERS (continued)

A Coupled Ice-Ocean Model in the Pan Arctic and North Atlantic Ocean. Part 1: Simulations of Seasonal Cycles Jia Wang, Bingyi Wu and Meibing Jin

Search for drivers and causes of climate scenarios: relationship between the Arctic Oscillation/Dipole Forcing and Arctic sea ice

Jia Wang, Bingyi Wu, Meibing Jin, Moto Ikeda and John Walsh

Recent Shifts in the State of the North Pacific and Bering Sea

James E. Overland¹, Sergei Rodionov² and Nicholas A. Bond²

¹ Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA

² Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle WA.

James E. Overland@noaa.gov, Sergei.Rodionov@noaa.gov, Nickolas.A.Bond@noaa.gov

The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) is considered a model of North Pacific climate variability on multi-decadal scales. It is recognized as major atmospheric, oceanographic, and ecological changes near 1976/77. Recent discussion is centered on whether such a shift, with a return to PDO negative conditions, occurred in 1999. The winter mean sea level pressure (SLP) and sea surface temperature (SST) fields for the 4year period 1999-2002, however, resemble neither the period before nor after the 1976/77 shift. One of the principal characteristics of this recent state is a north-south dipole in SLP with the negative center over the Bering Sea and the positive center over the eastern subtropical North Pacific. This dipole has allowed the northern North Pacific to continue to experience atmospheric forcing characteristic of after the regime shift of 1976/77. while the portion of the North Pacific south of 45°N and east of the dateline has resembled the forcing before the shift. The marine ecosystem of the North Pacific has thus responded with major changes along the west coast of the United States with increased productivity and the return of sub-Arctic species, while oceanographic conditions in the Gulf of Alaska and Sea of Okhotsk have remained in a state associated with an anomalously strong Aleutian low. The PDO model also has regional constraints. For example, the western Aleutian Islands had an almost linear cooling trend and increase in inter-annual and intra-annual variability over the last 40 years. The eastern Bering Sea ecosystem shifted from cold in winter through summer before 1977 to warm in winter after this period, i.e. a PDO influence. However, the 1990s were weakly cold in winter with an early warm spring, reflecting changes in the Arctic airmass. Now the Bering is showing a warm signature from winter through summer.

Summary of Results of a Synthesis Workshop on The Climate Regime Shift Hypothesis of the Steller Sea Lion Decline

Arthur J. Miller¹ and Andrew W. Trites²

¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography ²University of British Columbia <u>ajmiller@ucsd.edu</u>, trites@zoology.ubc.ca

The Cooperative Institute for Arctic Research (CIFAR) of the University of Alaska and NOAA's National Ocean Service has funded a group of proposals over the past two years that involve several projects related to the climate regime shift hypothesis of the decline of the Steller sea lion populations in the western Gulf of Alaska and the Aleutian Islands. In order to better understand the combined results of these efforts, CIFAR sponsored a synthesis workshop December 4-5, 2003, in Newport Beach, CA. The objectives were to discuss the implications of the suite of research results and to coordinate the writing of a journal article that synthesizes the results into a coherent picture of what we currently understand.

. .

Ţ

The participants described many aspects of climate variability over the Northeast Pacific on space and time scales relevant to the decline and discussed the biological response in terms of biogeography and the local sensitivities of various organisms to this physical forcing. The key issues needing to be explained were the temporal decreases in populations observed after the mid-1970s and the spatial west-to-east asymmetry of population changes in the Gulf of Alaska. Physical models were used to better understand the processes involved and to alleviate holes in the observational records. Whole ecosystem studies were discussed that follow the non-linear influence of climate forcing both upwards from the lowest trophic levels and directly at each trophic level, including anthropogenic effects. Paleo-studies provided a long-term perspective to the modern observed changes. Key new results included the recognition of 170W as a change-point from coastal to open-ocean conditions, the identification of the location that the Alaska Coastal Current dies out, and the demonstration that eddy variance distributions changed precipitously in the western Gulf of Alaska after the mid-1970s but remained stable on the eastern side.

The two speakers will present these and other issues discussed at the workshop from the physical oceanographic perspective and the Alaska ecosystem perspective, respectively.

Ecosystem Indicators of Climate Change

Patricia A. Livingston¹, Jennifer Boldt², and Anne B. Hollowed¹

()()()

¹NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115

² University of Washington/NOAA, Joint Institute for the Study of Atmosphere and Ocean, P.O. Box 354235, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98115, USA <u>pat.Livingston@noaa.gov</u>, Jennifer.boldt@noaa.gov, anne.hollowed@noaa.gov

The Ecosystem Considerations section of the Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Reports is provided annually to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council as a means of bringing ecosystem status and change information to the attention of fishery scientists and managers in the hope of building stronger links between ecosystem research and fishery management. The second purpose is to spur new understanding of the connections between ecosystem components by bringing together many diverse research efforts into one document. As we learn more about the role that climate, humans, or both may have on the system, we will be able to derive ecosystem indicators that reflect that new understanding. We report here on the latest climate and biological indicator trends seen in the Gulf of Alaska, Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands based on our 2003 update of this section, which is available on the web at: http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/refm/reem/Default.htm.

Here we report on NOAA's nationwide research program (Ecological Indicators) that is designed to bridge the gap between reports on ecosystem indicators and use of indicators in stock assessments. This program challenges investigators to test the utility of ecological indicators for improving predictions of future production, growth or distribution of fish stocks throughout the North Pacific. This critical analysis step provides stock assessment scientists and policy makers a quantitative measure of the level of uncertainty associated with predictions of future stock status. The indicators presented from both of these efforts include large-scale atmospheric indices, regional physical oceanographic variables, species-specific trends, biological community indicators, and ecosystem-level analyses. These indicators were contributed by numerous individuals from the Alaskan and NOAA research community; representing multiple federal, state, and university efforts to understand the effects of climate on ecosystem production.

Environmental predictors of walleye pollock recruitment in the Eastern Bering Sea

Franz J. Mueter¹, Michael Palmer² and Brenda L. Norcross²

¹Sigma Plus Statistical Consulting, 697 Fordham Drive, Fairbanks, Alaska, 99709 ²Institute of Marine Science, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, P.O. Box 757720, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-7220 USA <u>fmueter@alaska.net</u>, <u>Michael.Palmer@noaa.gov</u>, norcross@ims.uaf.edu

We developed a series of statistical models linking walleye pollock recruitment in the Eastern Bering Sea to climatic and oceanographic conditions at regional and larger spatial scales. Specifically, we modelled relationships between the recruitment or survival of larval and juvenile walleye pollock (ages 0-2), stock size, and relevant environmental variables using linear and non-linear models. Predictor variables were carefully selected based on four general hypotheses that have been advanced to explain variations in pollock recruitment. The cold-pool hypothesis of Wyllie-Echeverria and Wooster (1998) relates survival of larval and/or juvenile pollock to the severity of winter ice conditions and to the size and temperature of the resulting pool of cold bottom water on the shelf. Two prey availability hypotheses, incorporating aspects of the recently proposed oscillating control hypothesis of Hunt et al. (2002), related pollock survival to the presence or absence of an ice-related spring bloom and to summer stratification and temperatures on the middle shelf region. Finally, we examined the advection/predation hypothesis of Wespestad et al. (2000), which relates pollock recruitment to the degree of separation between juvenile and cannibalistic adults. The degree of separation, in turn, is believed to be related to the passive drift of larvae into favourable or unfavourable areas.

To examine the evidence for and against each of the proposed hypotheses we obtained relevant predictor variables based on the literature or from available data sets and constructed a limited set of statistical models of recruitment. These models will be used to assess the performance of each predictor variable and to assess the strength of evidence for a given hypothesis. The best models for each hypothesis will be combined into one or several models for predicting walleye pollock recruitment in the Eastern Bering Sea. The performance of the final predictive model(s) will be evaluated in a retrospective analysis and their use in stock assessment will be discussed.

A CPR-Based Survey to Monitor the Gulf of Alaska and Detect Ecosystem Change

Sonia Batten¹ and David Welch²

¹Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science, UK ²Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Canada <u>soba@mail.pml.ac.uk</u>, <u>welchd@pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca</u>

The Continuous Plankton Recorder has been deployed from Volunteer Observing Ships in the north Pacific to sample plankton on a routine basis beginning in 2000. These data, together with the results of the pilot program in 1997, are now sufficient to provide a measure of large-scale inter-annual variability in plankton quantity and community composition. Significant differences are apparent between the warm year of 1997 and the more recent cooler conditions holding since the regime shift of 1998/99. The recent high returns of salmon to the Columbia and Fraser Rivers coincides with the switch to plankton communities that are more favorable to salmon. During the 2003 field season of a subset of the collected samples were processed very rapidly (within a few weeks of collection), the data were compared to the existing database to provide an assessment of the current plankton community, and then summary results were posted on the project web site. As part of our presentation we will review the time series of plankton information and the conditions measured in 2003.

Deciphering Change in Climate and the Alaska Coastal Current over the Last 6,000 Years through Stable Isotopic Analyses of Archeological Material

Gail V. Irvine¹, Scott J. Carpenter², Dan Mann³ and Jeanne Schaaf⁴

¹US Geological Survey, Alaska Science Center

²University of Iowa

³University of Alaska, Fairbanks

⁴National Park Service, Anchorage

Changes in marine ecosystems occur on multiple scales of space and time. Historical records of atmospheric and ocean temperature changes indicate fluctuations of multiple periodicities; these may be linked to changes in the abundance of species or composition of marine assemblages. High resolution, retrospective climate and biotic data that span millennia are rare. We report on paleoceanographic/paleoclimatic data from our natural stable isotope (δ^{18} O and δ^{13} C) analyses of bivalve midden material from Mink Island (XMK-030), located in the Shelikof Strait of the Gulf of Alaska. These data provide insight into how climate and biological productivity of the Alaska Coastal Current (ACC) have changed over the last ~6,000 years.

Bivalve material from middens was selected for analysis based on its representation of well-documented climatic episodes. Results of the stable isotope analysis of ancient bivalve midden material contrasted with comparable analyses of modern material from the same site have revealed marked differences in ocean conditions through time. These differences are reflected in variation in both δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O isotope ratios from shell carbonates sampled at high resolution across individual shells of the butter clam, Saxidomus giganteus, and mussel, Mytilus trossulus. This high-resolution sampling provides sub-monthly data, and individual shells can provide data that cover multiple years. Modern material shows a relatively close association of ocean temperature/salinity, as indicated by δ^{18} O, and productivity, as suggested by the δ^{13} C values. This close association of isotopic patterns is not as sustained in ancient shells. Preliminary data from ancient shells indicate that climate change has had a profound impact on freshwater influx to the ACC and that productivity has varied markedly over the last 6,000 years. Especially striking are contrasts in the seasonal patterns and strengths of productivity and temperature/salinity indicated by Little Ice Age (~ 540 years before present [BP]) and Mid-Holocene Thermal Optimum (~5750 BP) shell material. Data from Medieval Warm Period material show different and somewhat more mixed patterns than the other two climate periods. The data from these different climate periods may help predict how climate parameters and ocean productivity may change in the future.

Ocean circulation and freshwater discharge in the Gulf of Alaska

Meibing Jin¹ and Jia Wang²

¹SFOS/IMS, University of Alaska Fairbanks ² IARC, University of Alaska Fairbanks <u>ffjm@uaf.edu</u>, jwang@iarc.uaf.edu

A high-resolution 3-D ocean circulation model of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) and a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) hydrological model were introduced. The model area covers the entire GOA, including PWS and Cook Inlet. The horizontal resolution of this model is 4'x2' minutes (about 3.7km at 60N). The most updated results of the circulation model and hydrological model were presented. Modeled transport of Alaska Stream compares well with observation at 180W. Regional model showed a relatively stable Alaska Stream and unstable Alaska Current with high ratio of eddy/mean energy.

Pelagic Food Webs of Prince William Sound

Thomas C. Kline, Jr

Prince William Sound Science Center tkline@pwssc.gen.ak.us

Food webs are intrinsically important for understanding changes in marine populations. Two food web parameters of importance are food chain length and source of production. Because approximately an order of magnitude is lost, in terms of matter or energy, with each feeding interaction, food chain length can determine productivity of higher trophic levels. High trophic level organisms, by definition, are those dependent upon long food chains. If food chain length increased, less energy and matter would be available to higher trophic levels. Conversely, if food chain length decreased, more energy and matter would be available. Productivity originating in the Gulf of Alaska can subsidize higher trophic levels in coastal bodies of water such as Prince William Sound. Gulf zooplankton production fluctuates on inter-decadal times scales. The available Gulf subsidy should fluctuate accordingly.

The natural abundance of nitrogen and carbon stable isotope ratios measured at the organism level reflected relative trophic level and production source, respectively. Stable isotope analysis was performed on pelagic organisms of Prince William Sound during the mid-1990's, a period of time when the second principal component of the north Pacific winter sea-surface temperature, a climatic indicator, was consistently negative. During this time the nitrogen stable isotope ratio (NSI) trophic level baseline indicator was consistent. Trophic levels based upon NSI were consistent with those produced by a mass-balance model. During the mid-1990s, 50% or more of Prince William Sound fish production was subsidized by Gulf production based upon carbon stable isotope ratio (CSI). The maximum Gulf subsidy occurred during 1995, when about nine-tenths of the carbon in Pacific herring and walleye pollock juveniles came from the Gulf. This analysis can serve as a base for future comparisons when climatic indicators are different. Future NSI and CSI analyses can be used to test for shifts in trophic level and subsidy, respectively, using this baseline.

Changing Patterns of Sea Ice Retreat in the Bering Sea: The Case of the Disappearing Ice Cover

S. Lyn McNutt¹, James Overland², Phyllis Stabeno², Vera Alexander³ and Sigrid Salo²

¹Geophysical Institute, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK ²Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA ³School of Fisheries and Ocean Science, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK lyn@gi.alaska.edu, <u>james.e.overland@noaa.gov</u>, <u>stabeno@pmel.noaa.gov</u>, <u>vera@sfos.alaska.edu</u>, salo@pmel.noaa.gov

In the Bering Sea, there is an important relationship between sea ice retreat the health of the ecosystem in general. Changes to the location, extent and condition of the sea ice during meltback, notably in the transition period from spring into summer, play an important role in heat and salt flux on the shelf, introducing cold (-1.7° C), fresh water, critical to density stabilization and primary productivity on the shelf. Until recently, studies of climate variability for the North Pacific and Bering Sea have focused on the winter season. While climate changes may not be as large in spring as winter, they can be observed in the sea ice record. Changes to the spring meltback patterns will impact the upper ocean and its biota. One of the key changes that affect the Bering Sea and Alaska is a shift toward warmer temperatures in spring. This has resulted in one week earlier ice melt in the Bering Sea in the 1990s relative to the 1980s and a two-week earlier snow melt at Barrow in the late 1990s. In essence, there is an earlier transition from winter to summer.

The changes to the ice retreat in the Bering Sea are most evident in the monthly averaged ice conditions for May. In this study we use sea ice records from the National Ice Center and the Special Sensor Microwave Imager (SSM/I) for 1972-2003. We find that there have been five distinct patterns of ice retreat for the Bering Sea, which sort themselves roughly into the following categories: south to north; east and west; west to east; east to west and extreme melt. Each provides evidence of changing patterns related to different atmospheric conditions: warm; cold; cool; and very warm. We will use a combination of modeled data and remote sensing information to describe how the meltback in the Bering Sea has changed from the 1970s to the present. This information will provide baseline data for investigating observed biophysical changes on the Bering Sea shelf, especially in the Northern Bering Sea near St. Lawrence Island and the Bering Strait.

Using Gray Whales to Track Climate Change in Arctic Seas

Sue E. Moore¹ and Jacqueline M. Grebmeier²

¹ NOAA/Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Mammal Laboratory ² Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee <u>sue.moore@noaa.gov</u>, jgrebmei@utk.edu

Climate warming has resulted in extreme seasonal retreats and thinning of sea ice in the western Arctic. However, other less obvious effects of warming on Arctic marine communities are difficult to discern. Because marine mammals are apex predators in the short food chains common to the Arctic, they can be good indicators of ecosystem response to climate change. Gray whales, due to their benthic foraging capability, may provide a clear link between atmospheric forcing and the pelagic-benthic coupling processes required to support a dense prey base. To explore this link, a 5-day aerial survey was conducted over the Chirikov Basin in the northern Bering Sea during summer 2002. In the 1980s, the Chirikov Basin was a prime gray whale feeding area, with an extremely productive benthic prey community. However, no comprehensive assessments of whale or prey distribution and abundance have occurred since then.

The 2002 survey for gray whales revealed restricted distribution in the basin and a 3 to17-fold decline in sighting rates compared to the 1980s. Many more whales were seen north of Bering Strait, where sighting rate was 0.49 whales/km compared to only 0.03whales/km in the basin. Available measurements of biomass suggest a downturn in prey abundance that began as early as 1983, when estimates of gray whale population size were still increasing. These data, and reports of hundreds of gray whales feeding in the south-central and northwest Chukchi Sea and southeast of Kodiak Island in the Gulf of Alaska, suggest that benthic communities in the Chirikov Basin may no longer support large aggregations of whales and that gray whales are foraging elsewhere. Since multi-decade time series data are available for the Chirikov Basin, long-term studies of this area are encouraged to investigate predator-prey responses to changing ocean climate.

Analysis of the Marginal Ice Zone and the Distribution of *Phoca largha* During Both Cold and Warm Regimes in the Bering Sea

C.M. Picco¹, S.L. McNutt², V. Alexander², S. Hills², R.R. Gradinger² and L.T. Quakenbush³

¹Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks ²School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks ³Alaska Department of Fish and Game <u>cpicco@gi.alaska.edu</u>, <u>lyn@gi.alaska.edu</u>, <u>vera@sfos.uaf.edu</u>, <u>shills@ims.uaf.edu</u>, rgradinger@ims.uaf.edu, <u>lori_quakenbush@fishgame.state.ak.us</u>

In the Bering Sea, physical processes associated with the continental shelf form a productive upwelling system known as the "Green Belt". In years of colder temperature regimes, when the sea ice reaches the continental shelf, this upwelling enhances the high productivity of the marginal ice zone (MIZ). In warmer temperature regimes this productivity enhancement is decoupled, affecting the amount of available nutrients for the ice edge community. Consequences from changes in primary productivity are intensified with increasing trophic levels. Fish populations concerned such as Arctic cod (*Boreogadus saida*) and walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) are important prey and/or competitors of the pagophilic spotted seal (*Phoca largha*). Spotted seals use packice on the marginal ice zone of the Bering Sea as a platform to feed, give birth, nurse their young and mate.

We hypothesize that recent changes in the extent and productivity dynamics of the MIZ has had strong effects on the distribution and community structure of the spotted seal, among others, migration patterns, nutritional status, and reproductive success. A retrieval of archival data will endeavor to determine how changes in ice substrate and prey dynamics of the Bering Sea have affected the distribution of the spotted seal. A comprehensive analysis to determine the location and status of the MIZ in the Bering Sea using SAR (Synthetic Aperture Radar) and AVHRR (Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) satellite sensor data from both cold (early 1970's) and warm (1990's) regimes will be plotted with archived spotted seal distribution data collected by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG).

Historical and Regional Aspects of Major Changes in the State of the North Pacific

Sergei Rodionov¹, James E. Overland² and Nicholas A. Bond¹

¹Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

²Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA. Sergei.Rodionov@noaa.gov, James.E.Overland@noaa.gov, Nickolas.A.Bond@noaa.gov

The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) is often considered as a model of North Pacific climate variability on multidecadal scales. It is well recognized that there were major atmospheric, oceanographic, and ecological changes near 1976/77, with a shift from the "negative" to the "positive" phase of the PDO. Recent discussion is often centered on whether such a shift, with a return to PDO negative conditions, occurred in 1999. The winter mean sea level pressure (SLP) and sea surface temperature (SST) fields for the 4-year period 1999–2002 as a whole resemble neither the period before nor after the 1976/77 regime shift. Our interpretation is that climate variations other than those strictly associated with the PDO characterize the recent state of the North Pacific. One of the principal characteristics of this state is the north-south dipole in SLP with the negative center over the Bering Sea and the positive center over the eastern subtropical North Pacific. This dipole has allowed the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea to continue to experience atmospheric forcing characteristic of that after the regime shift of 1976/77, while the portion of the North Pacific south of 40°N and east of the dateline has resembled the forcing before the regime shift. Moreover, the PDO appears to have limited relevance to the climate variability of the Aleutian Islands. The eastern Aleutian Islands, for example, demonstrate an abrupt shift to warmer conditions in the late 1970s. In contrast, the western Aleutian Islands did not experience such a shift. Instead, surface air temperature (SAT) variations in this region feature a cooling trend over the last four decades. These east-west differences in temporal SAT patterns may have implications for sea lion populations. Examining an ecosystem response to climate variations requires a comprehensive analysis of information pertinent to both basin-wide and regional forcing mechanisms.

A Coupled Ice-Ocean Model in the Pan Arctic and North Atlantic Ocean: Part 1: Simulations of Seasonal Cycles

Jia Wang¹, Bingyi Wu² and Meibing Jin²

¹International Arctic Research Center-FRSGC, University of Alaska Fairbanks ²Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks jwang@iarc.uaf.edu, ffmj@uaf.edu, wby@mail.iap.ac.cn

A coupled ice-ocean model (CIOM) is configured for the pan Arctic and North Atlantic Ocean (PANAO) with a 27.5 km resolution. The model is driven by the daily atmospheric climatology averaged from the 40-year NCEP reanalysis (1958-1997). The ocean model is the Princeton Ocean Model (POM), while the sea ice model is based on a full thermo-dynamical and dynamical model with plastic-viscous rheology. A sea ice model with multiple categories of sea ice thickness is utilized. We first focus on seasonal cycles of sea ice and ocean circulation. This model reasonably reproduces seasonal cycles of both the sea ice and the ocean. Climatological sea ice areas derived from historical data are used to validate the ice model performance. The simulated sea ice cover reaches a maximum of 14×10^6 km² in winter and a minimum of 6.7 $\times 10^6$ km² in summer, which are close to the 95-year climatology with a maximum of 13.3 $\times 10^{6}$ km² in winter and a minimum of 7×10^6 km² in summer. The simulated general circulation in the Arctic Ocean, the GIN seas, and northern North Atlantic Ocean are qualitatively consistent with historical mapping. We found that the winter low salinity or freshwater content in the Canada Basin tends to converge due to the strong anticyclonic atmospheric circulation that drives the anticyclonic ocean surface current, while summer low salinity or freshwater tends to spread inside the Arctic and exports out of the Arctic, due to the relaxing wind field. It is also found that the warm, saline Atlantic Water intrudes farther into the Arctic in winter than summer due to prevailing winter wind stress over the northern North Atlantic that is controlled by the Icelandic Low. Seasonal cycles of temperature and salinity at several selected representative locations reveals regional features that characterize different water mass properties.

Search for drivers and causes of climate scenarios: relationship between the Arctic Oscillation/Dipole Forcing and Arctic sea ice

Jia Wang¹, Bingyi Wu², Meibing Jin², Moto Ikeda³ and John Walsh⁴

¹International Arctic Research Center-Frontier Research System for Global Change, University of Alaska Fairbanks

² Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks

³Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan ⁴International Arctic Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks jwang@iarc.uaf.edu, wby@mail.iap.ac.cn, ffmj@uaf.edu, mikeda@hokudai.ac.jp, jwalsh@iarc.uaf.edu

Using a coupled ice-ocean model developed by Wang et al. (2002), we investigate the responses of the Arctic Ocean climate (or ice-ocean system) to the Arctic Oscillation (AO) and the second mode (or so-called dipole forcing, DF). Seven high AO index winters and six low AO index winters (similarly, the high and low DF index winters) were simulated by the coupled ice-ocean model under forcing provided by the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis. Statistical analyses and tests were applied to the composite differences between the high and low AO indices. For the high AO index phase that predominated during the 1990s, the results showed a reduction of sea ice in the Arctic Basin accompanied by an increase of sea ice in the Labrador Sea. This pattern resembles the North Atlantic Oscillation seesaw pattern (Roger and van Loon 1979; Wang et al. 1994). During the high AO phase, the Arctic surface salinity increases and the surface temperature decreases, implying that more new ice was produced. The enhanced ice production is a consequence of greater ice export from the Arctic Ocean in response to anomalous cyclonic wind stress. From the subsurface layer to the Atlantic water layer, there is also a seesaw pattern in ocean temperature between the Barents and the Labrador Seas. During the high AO phase, the model reproduces the anomalous temperature intrusion of the Atlantic Water. While both the anomalous surface wind stress and the thermodynamical forcing contribute to sea ice and ocean variability, statistical analyses (EOF, regression, etc.) and significance tests (T-test and F-test) show that the wind stress accounts for a greater portion of these changes during the high AO phase than the thermodynamical forcing. We found that sea ice export is closely related to the DF, rather than the AO.

Ocean Observing Systems Tuesday 13 January 2004 10:20 AM – 12:00 PM

Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) Enhancement of the Arctic Observing System

James Morrison and Ignatius Rigor

Long-term Measurements on the Bering Sea shelf: Is the southeastern shelf warming? Phyllis Stabeno, Jeff Napp, J. E. Overland and Terry Whitledge

Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program: Monitoring nearsurface temperature, salinity, and fluorescence in the Northern Pacific Ocean Stephen Okkonen

Prince William Sound Ocean Observing System Nancy Bird and Walter Cox

Status report on SEA-COOS: SouthEast Atlantic Coastal Ocean Observing System Francisco Werner and Harvey Seim

POSTERS

Aerial Observations of Zooplankton using LIDAR James H. Churnside and Richard E. Thorne

A Numerical Hydrological Model for Freshwater Discharge into the Gulf of Alaska Jia Wang, Meibing Jin, Dave Musgrave and Moto Ikeda

Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) Enhancement of the Arctic Observing System

James Morrison and Ignatius Rigor

Polar Science Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, University of Washington morison@apl.washington.edu, jgnatius@apl.washington.edu

The Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) has been conceived as a broad, interdisciplinary, multiscale program of long-term observations (including paleo and historical), analysis, and modeling with a core aim of understanding the complex of significant, interrelated, pan-Arctic changes that have occurred in recent decades (Unaami). This complex of changes is affecting every part of the Arctic environment and is having repercussions on society. ____'

--'

Ĵ

)

. /

_ /

.

E

The SEARCH Strategy includes a detailed list of activities required to address the SEARCH goals. The activities are grouped into eight activity areas:

- Arctic System Reanalysis (ASR) will assimilate data into models of various components of the Arctic system to produce optimum estimates of key variables.
- Detecting and Quantifying Unaami (DQU) and Related Modes of Variability will use paleoclimate, historical, and archeological records as well as more recent observations to better define the scope of Unaami and its relation to other decadal modes of variability.
- Social and Economic Interactions (SEI) will examine the interactions of the physical and biological elements of Unaami with social and economic systems.
- Large-scale Atmospheric Observatories (LAO) will make large-scale atmospheric observations and includes the use of several large land-based stations around the Arctic.
- Distributed Marine Observatories (DMO) will make large-scale atmospheric (surface), oceanographic, sea ice and ecosystem observations in the marine environment.
- Distributed Terrestrial Observatories (DTO) will make large-scale atmospheric (surface), hydrological, glaciological, and ecosystem observations in the terrestrial environment.
- Linkages and Global Coupling (LGC) will use modeling and analysis to elucidate the connections between Unaami and global climate and the connections within the Arctic system as they pertain to Unaami.
- Social Response (SOR) will research social and economic adaptation to climate change in the past and apply research on Unaami to economic and social concerns in the future.

Generally, the SEARCH activities should include many existing activities and enhance these to provide our descendents with the understanding and long-term records they will need to deal with a changing environment. The SEARCH web page can be found at:<u>http://psc.apl.washington.edu/search/index.html</u>.

Long-term Measurements on the Bering Sea shelf: Is the southeastern shelf warming?

Phyllis Stabeno¹, Jeff Napp², J. E. Overland¹ and Terry Whitledge³

¹ Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA

² Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries

³ Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks

phyllis.stabeno@ noaa.gov , jeff.napp@ noaa.gov , terry@ims.uaf.edu

Biophysical measurements have been made at mooring Site 2 (56.88°N, 164.03°) almost continuously since 1995. providing the longest near continuous time-series of biophysical variables on the Bering Sea shelf. A series of moorings have also been deployed at Site 4 (57.85°N, 168.87°W) since 1995, providing almost five years of more sporadic data. Added to data collected by the moorings, water property measurements have been made along the 70-m transect since 1995. Such long-term observations provide critical data that allow characterizations of interannual variability, quantification of regime shifts and climate change.

Temperature records from Site 2 reveal a large seasonal cycle. The wellmixed water column is of January persists until buoyancy is introduced to the water



Figure 1. Bathymetry of the southeastern Bering Sea. The location of Sites 2 and 4 and the monitoring line occupied during BS FOCI and SEBSCC. A mooring is no longer maintained at Site 3, but hydrographic and plankton samples are still taken at this location when adequate funding is available.

column either through ice melt or solar heating. The water column exhibits a well-defined two-layer structure throughout the summer consisting of a 15-25 m wind mixed layer and a 35-40 m tidally mixed bottom layer. Deepening of the mixed layer by strong winds and heat loss begins as early as mid August, and by early November the water column is again well mixed.

During any given year marked variations are superimposed on annual cycle. For instance, the lack of ice over the southeastern shelf during recent winters resulted in significantly higher ocean heat content during summer. A marked warming during winter in ocean temperatures has occurred during the last three years (2002 was cooler than the other two years, but still warmer than in 1995-1999). Surface air-temperature has shown similar warming patterns. The mid to late 1990s were characterized by earlier spring transitions resulting in above average spring and summer air temperatures. During

the last three years, in addition to positive surface air temperature anomalies during the spring and summer, winter anomalies are also positive.

These warmer conditions can trigger changes in the Bering Sea ecosystem. A possible indicator of such a change was the occurrence of coccolithophore blooms over the Bering Sea shelf during the summers of 1997-2001, and its reappearance this September. Another possible indicator is the northward migration of the population of snow crab, Chionoecetes opilio from 58° to 60° N (>200km).

Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program: Monitoring near-surface temperature, salinity, and fluorescence in the Northern Pacific Ocean

Stephen Okkonen

Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska Fairbanks okkonen@alaska.net

Near-surface temperature and salinity fields in the northern Gulf of Alaska exhibit well-defined seasonal cycles. Lowest temperatures are observed in the late winter and the highest temperatures are observed in mid-autumn. The lowest salinities are observed in mid-autumn and the highest salinities are observed in late winter and early spring. Large-scale temperature and salinity differences between the shelf break and northern Prince William Sound are roughly 3°C and 4 psu, respectively. Prominent fronts occur near the shelf break and Hinchinbrook Entrance. A weaker front is sometimes observed in northern Prince William Sound outside of Valdez Arm.

.

2

- -

. -'

. '

~_'

κ., ^γ

`;

.

1

Prince William Sound Ocean Observing System

Nancy Bird and Walter Cox

Prince William Sound Science Center bird@pwssc.gen.ak.us, cox@pwssc.gen.ak.us

The Prince William Sound Ocean Observing System (PWSOOS) is a long-term program of the Prince William Sound Science Center to deliver key information on physical and biological conditions to a wide variety of end users. The system combines near real-time and conventional ocean and meteorological observations to provide measured conditions, with a suite of numerical models to provide atmospheric and oceanographic forecasts. This information includes raw data on environmental conditions, such as wind speed, air temperature, precipitation, ocean currents, ocean temperature, tide height, and water salinity as well as modeled forecasts of anticipated conditions. Ongoing efforts seek to combine biological observations of important fisheries stocks (such as herring population dynamics in PWS) with the physical modeling program. The ultimate goal of these efforts is to improve our ability to predict natural changes.

PWSOOS builds upon a science foundation developed through the Sound Ecosystem Assessment research program (funded by the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council) in the mid 1990's and the Prince William Sound Nowcast-Forecast program (funded by the Oil Spill Recovery Institute) begun in 1998. These programs both targeted similar goals: developing an ecosystem level understanding of the Prince William Sound region through integrated physical and biological research efforts.

At its most basic level PWSOOS consists of three primary elements, data collection activities (such as weather monitoring for gathering atmospheric data, ocean observing for collecting oceanographic data, and acoustic monitoring for the collection of biological data), data modeling (simulations of past, present and future ocean and atmospheric conditions with plans underway to model key biological information), and an information dissemination component (delivery of information to end-users via the Internet – www.pwsoos.org - and other means).

Status report on SEA-COOS: SouthEast Atlantic Coastal Ocean Observing System

Francisco Werner and Harvey Seim

Marine Sciences Department CB# 3300, U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27517 cisco@unc.edu, hseim@email.unc.edu

The SouthEast Atlantic Coastal Ocean Observing System (SEA-COOS, www.seacoos.org) is a regional partnership that has initiated an integrated coastal ocean observing system for a four-state (North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia and Florida) region of the southeast coastal U.S. The long-term intent of SEA-COOS is to establish a regional coastal ocean observing system that will be part of the coastal component of the national Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS) envisioned by Ocean.US. SEA-COOS was initiated in September 2002 with funding from the Office of Naval Research (ONR) as a coordinating and enhancing effort between several existing subregional-scale efforts in the southeast, the Sea Grant Offices from the four states, and a number of federal agencies. The essential elements of an observing system, the region-wide observations, overlapping circulation models, data management capabilities, governance, and outreach and education activities of SEA-COOS, at present and planned for the coming year will be briefly described.

POSTER: Ocean Observing Systems

Aerial Observations of Zooplankton using LIDAR

James H. Churnside¹ and Richard E. Thorne²

¹NOAA Environmental Technology Laboratory ²Prince William Sound Science Center james.h.churnside@noaa.gov, thorne@pwssc.gen.ak.us

An aerial survey of Prince William Sound was performed on 14 May 2002 using the NOAA Fish LIDAR. The flight was made just after a surface survey on 10-12 Maythat measured zooplankton concentrations in the Sound using acoustics and sampling. Sampling revealed that *Neocalanus* was the primary constituent of zooplankton in the Sound. The concentrations were measured in 8 areas of the Sound using a 420 kHz echosounder as the primary instrument. Lower frequencies were also used to correct for the return from fish in the beam. The largest concentrations of zooplankton were observed in the eastern part of the Sound, including the main basin and the Hinchenbrook Entrance. Using a technique common in acoustics, we applied a threshold to the LIDAR data to remove the return from low-level scatterers. Since the LIDAR target strength of plankton has yet to be measured directly, we varied the threshold level and compared the results with the acoustic results in the 8 areas. The best agreement was found with a threshold level of 2.75, relative to the background scattering level. With this threshold, the correlation between the LIDAR and echosounder results was 0.78.

POSTER: Ocean Observing Systems

A Numerical Hydrological Model for Freshwater Discharge into the Gulf of Alaska

Jia Wang¹, Meibing Jin², Dave Musgrave² and Moto Ikeda³

 ¹International Arctic Research Center-Frontier Research System for Global Change, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775-7335
²Institute of Marine Science, University of Alaska FairbanksFairbanks, AK 99775-7220
³Graduate School of Environmental Earth Science Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan jwang@iarc.uaf.edu, ffinj@uaf.edu, musgrave@ims.uaf.edu, mikeda@hokudai.ac.jp

Freshwater discharge into the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) has an important effect on the coastal circulation. In order to incorporate freshwater discharge into a 3-D ocean circulation model with both point source (from big rivers) and line source (from gridded coastline), a digital elevation model (DEM) was developed to calculate freshwater discharge into GOA under forcing of daily air temperature and precipitation data from NCEP/NCAR reanalysis during 1958-98. This GOA-DEM includes glacier and snow storage and melting processes. Coastal freshwater discharge into GOA displays a very strong seasonal cycle and interannual variation. The comparison of simulated runoff with gauged (observed) river runoff was conducted for two major rivers (Copper River and Susitna River), showing a good agreement on seasonal cycle and interannual variability. The simulated annual mean of the total freshwater discharge into GOA ranges from 19,000 to 31,000 m³s⁻¹ (with a mean of 23,100 m³s⁻¹) for the period of 1958-98, consistent with the previous estimates $(23,000 \text{ m}^3 \text{s}^{-1})$ by Royer (1982). In the winter season (November to April), precipitation is mainly stored as snow, and freshwater discharge remains as a small base flow with some occasional changes by short time temperature rise. Freshwater discharge starts to rise sharply from May due to increasing precipitation and rising temperature over freezing point, and remains high from June through September because of snow melting from lower to higher altitude and some melting glaciers. In October, the discharge decreases rapidly to a basic flow as the temperature drops below freezing point.

Freshwater discharge into GOA can be divided into the point source (big rivers) and the line sources (ungauged numerous small streams and creeks due to melting of snow and glaciers). The model shows that five major rivers (point source) account for about 50.6% of the total drainage areas, while the line source accounts for 49.4% of the drainage area. However, our new finding is that the point (line) source accounts for 26% (74%) of the total discharge runoff. Thus, discharge from line sources (ungauged small rivers, streams, and creeks) is 2.8 times greater than the point source (five large rivers). This model makes it possible to investigate the effect of freshwater discharge on the coastal current with realistic freshwater input at each grid point (i.e., the line source) into a 3-D circulation model of GOA.

Oil Spill Impacts Tuesday 13 January 2004 1:00 – 2:20 PM

Exxon Valdez Oil in the Nearshore Environment of Prince William Sound: Persistence and Chemistry Jeffrey W. Short

Recent Exposure of Nearshore Predators to *Exxon Valdez* **Oil** B.E. Ballachey¹, J. L. Bodkin¹, D. Esler² and P.W. Snyder³

The Status of Sea Otter Recovery in Western Prince William Sound J. L. Bodkin¹, B.E. Ballachey¹, and P.W. Snyder²

The Process of Harlequin Duck Population Recovery in Oil Contaminated Nearshore Environments Dan Esler

POSTERS

Cytochrome P4501A Induction in Oil-Exposed Pink Salmon Embryos Predicts Reduced Survival Potential MG Carls, RA Heintz, GD Marty and SD Rice

Recovery of pink salmon spawning habitat after the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill MG Carls, SD Rice, GD Marty and DK Naydan

The role of copepods in the distribution of hydrocarbons Switgard Duesterloh, Thomas C. Shirley, Jeffrey W. Short and Mace G. Barron

Trophic dynamics of intertidal mudflats: Copper River Delta Sean P. Powers, Mary Anne Bishop and Charles H. Peterson

Oil Spill Impacts

• Exxon Valdez Oil in the Nearshore Environment of Prince William Sound: Persistence And Chemistry

Jeffrey W. Short

Auke Bay Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA

We estimated the amount of oil remaining in Prince William Sound, Alaska, 12 years after the 1989 Exxon Valdez spill to assess its importance as a long-term reservoir of toxic hydrocarbons. We found oil on 77 of 91 beaches randomly selected according to their oiling history. Surface oiling was recorded for randomly placed quadrats, which were then excavated and examined for subsurface oil. The cumulative area of beach contaminated by surface or subsurface oil was estimated at 11.3 ha. Surface oil varied little with tide height, but subsurface oil was more prevalent at the lower tide heights. This unexpected distribution of subsurface oil with respect to tide height is probably a result of interactions between beach porosity, oil viscosity and capillary forces. The mass of remaining subsurface oil is conservatively estimated at 55,600 kg. Analysis of terpanes indicated over 90% of the surface oil and all of the subsurface oil was from the Exxon Valdez, and that Monterey Formation oil deposited after the 1964 Alaska earthquake accounted for the remaining surface oil. These results indicate that oil from the Exxon Valdez remains by far the largest reservoir of biologically available polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on beaches impacted by the spill, and that biota dependent on these beaches risk continued exposure.

Oil Spill Impacts

Recent Exposure of Nearshore Predators to Exxon Valdez Oil

B.E. Ballachey¹, J. L. Bodkin¹, D. Esler² and P.W. Snyder³

¹ Alaska Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey

1011 E. Tudor Rd, Anchorage, Alaska 99503

² Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Department of Biological Sciences

Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada

 ³ School of Veterinary Medicine, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
Brenda ballachey@usgs.gov, james bodkin@usgs.gov, desler@sfu.ca,

snyderp@purdue.edu

Through 2003, oil from the 1989 Exxon Valdez spill still could be found along shorelines of western Prince William Sound. Some nearshore vertebrate predator species, including sea otters and harlequin ducks, remain below prespill abundance, and exposure to residual oil is thought to be a factor constraining recovery of these species. To assess bioavailability of residual oil, we have used the cytochrome P450 1A (CYP1A) biomarker which, if elevated, is a specific indicator of exposure to aromatic hydrocarbons. In previous studies (1995-99), CYP1A was measured in a suite of vertebrate predators that inhabit nearshore areas: river otters, sea otters, pigeon guillemots, harlequin ducks, Barrow's goldeneyes and masked greenlings. All six species showed evidence of oil exposure, with species that consume invertebrate prey, including sea otters and harlequin ducks, apparently at greatest risk. We have continued to monitor CYP1A levels in harlequin ducks (sampled in 2000-2002) and sea otters (sampled in 2001-2003), comparing individuals in oiled and unoiled areas. During this period, CYP1A levels in harlequin ducks in oiled and unoiled areas have converged, indicating abatement of oil exposure for this species. For sea otters, results through 2002 show elevated CYP1A levels persisting in the oiled area, but there is some suggestion that exposure has decreased over time. Our data indicate that nearshore vertebrates were exposed to residual Exxon Valdez oil far longer than anticipated, that population recovery was constrained by chronic exposure to residual oil, and that we may be seeing reductions in exposure after 14 years, suggesting that direct effects of oil may be diminishing. However, full recovery of some populations may not occur until exposure to residual oil is completely over; the time scale required for that to occur is uncertain.

Oil Spill Impacts

The Status of Sea Otter Recovery in Western Prince William Sound

J. L. Bodkin¹, B.E. Ballachey¹, and P.W. Snyder²

 ¹ Alaska Science Center, US Geological Survey 1011 E. Tudor Rd, Anchorage, Alaska 99503
² School of Veterinary Medicine, Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana 47907
james bodkin@usgs.gov, Brenda ballachey@usgs.gov, snyderp@purdue.edu

Data accumulating through at least 2001 document the persistence of unexpected volumes of Exxon Valdez oil in the intertidal sediments of Prince William Sound (PWS) shorelines most severely affected by the spill. The sea otter (Enhydra lutris) is a nearshore marine mammal that spends significant amounts of time excavating sediments in the shallow subtidal zone to retrieve clams, a preferred invertebrate prey that sequesters environmental hydrocarbons. Results of population surveys demonstrate that while recovery of sea otter populations may be evident at the scale of PWS, within those areas where shorelines were most heavily oiled, recovery remains incomplete. Data on prey availability, body condition and activity budgets do not implicate food as a factor limiting recovery. From 1996-2002, sea otters at heavily oiled northern Knight Island had significantly higher CYP1A levels than otters from unoiled Montague Island, and in 2001-2003, sea otters at Knight were found to have a relatively high incidence of liver abnormalities. However, during the period of study, we have seen a decline in the CYP1A measures from the oiled area, and prior differences in serum enzymes indicative of liver damage have diminished. Based on models incorporating sea otter foraging behavior, prey selection, and sea otter abundance, we propose that by foraging in intertidal sediments, sea otters may be responsible for the liberation of residual oil and therefore are effectively restoring oiled habitat. However, they may be suffering prolonged injury from chronic exposure to lingering oil encountered during foraging activities. Further, variation in individual foraging strategies likely results in markedly variable exposure to oil, accounting for the highly skewed distribution of CYP1A values measured among individuals at Knight Island.
Oil Spill Impacts

The Process of Harlequin Duck Population Recovery in Oil Contaminated Nearshore Environments

Dan Esler

Centre for Wildlife Ecology, Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada desler@sfu.ca

The 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill resulted in contamination of the nearshore environment of Prince William Sound, an important wintering area for harlequin ducks. The effects of the spill on wildlife populations varied by species, but the harlequin duck was one for which long-term, population-level effects were documented. These effects included reduced overwinter survival of females in oiled areas, as well as strong evidence of continued exposure to oil, based on induction of cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A). No evidence of recovery was detected through 1998. During winters 2000-03, we followed up on these studies, collecting the same data endpoints. By 2003, we found that female survival and CYP1A induction were similar between oiled and unoiled areas, suggesting that spill related injury was no longer occurring. This series of studies, along with parallel studies of sea otters, is an unprecedented documentation of the recovery process and timeline for oil spill injured wildlife. The time necessary for injury to abate was more than a decade, much longer than the conventional assumption that oil spill effects are negligible after a year or two. In addition, detailed work on harlequin duck dispersal in Prince William Sound revealed extremely high site fidelity and documented that harlequin duck aggregations are demographically isolated at small geographic scales (10s of kilometers). This suggests that recovery to pre-spill numbers, even in the absence of continued direct effects of the spill, may take decades.

POSTER: Oil Spill Impacts

Cytochrome P4501A Induction in Oil-Exposed Pink Salmon Embryos Predicts Reduced Survival Potential

MG Carls,¹ RA Heintz,¹ GD Marty² and SD Rice¹

 ¹National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory
 ² Department of Anatomy, Physiology, & Cell Biology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis mark.carls@noaa.gov, ron.heintz@noaa.gov, gdmarty@ucdavis.edu, jeep.rice@noaa.gov

Cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A) induction in pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) embryos exposed to crude oil is causally linked to adverse effects at cellular, organismal, and population levels in pink salmon and can be used to predict these responses. When combined with the results of this experiment, designed to examine CYP1A induction during embryonic stages and growth after emergence, results from a series of experiments spanning four other brood years demonstrate that CYP1A induction is related to a variety of lethal and sublethal effects, including poorer marine survival, reduced growth, poorer predator avoidance, and abnormalities. The lowest observed effective total polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (TPAH) concentration in water causing significant physiological responses, including reduced size, was the same as that causing CYP1A induction (< 0.94 $\mu g/L$). Thus, CYP1A induction is not only a biomarker, it can be considered a bioindicator; induction in early life stages implies long-term negative consequences for the individual and the population.

POSTER: Oil Spill Impacts

Recovery of pink salmon spawning habitat after the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill

MG Carls,¹ SD Rice,¹ GD Marty² and DK Naydan²

 ¹National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory
 ² Department of Anatomy, Physiology, & Cell Biology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis <u>mark.carls@noaa.gov, jeep.rice@noaa.gov, gdmarty@ucdavis.edu,</u> <u>dknaydan@ucdavis.edu</u>

Intertidal sediment surrounding many pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) spawning streams in western Prince William Sound was contaminated by the Exxon *Valdez* oil spill. Biochemical and egg-dig evidence suggested that oil reduced the survival of pink salmon embryos for several years. Previous research also demonstrated that dissolved oil can be transferred to developing embryos from surrounding oiled sediment via drainage of interstitial water as a result of tidal cycling and hydraulic gradients. In this study, completed a decade after the spill, we sampled stream water for the presence of oil using passive membrane sampling devices, collected sediment and pink salmon eggs for hydrocarbon analysis, and examined alevins for induction of cytochrome P4501A (CYP1A). Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) consistent with Exxon Valdez oil were verified in the water of one of six heavily impacted streams; total PAH concentrations were greatest in the lower intertidal. Similarly distributed total PAH in a second stream suggested possible contamination. Oil was not detected in the remaining four streams. Induction of CYP1A in alevins from the two streams with oil was lowest in water above mean high tide and increased downslope. Because our samples were all selected from heavily oiled streams, we infer that most pink salmon spawning habitat either has recovered or is recovering.

The role of copepods in the distribution of hydrocarbons

Switgard Duesterloh¹, Thomas C. Shirley², Jeffrey W. Short³ and Mace G. Barron⁴

¹Department of Fish and Game, 211 Mission Road, Kodiak, AK 99615

²Juneau Center School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 11120 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801

³National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory, 11305 Glacier Highway, Juneau, Alaska, 99801

⁴P.E.A.K. Research, 1134 Avon Lane, Longmont, CO 80501

 $\underline{switgard_duesterloh@fishgame.state.ak.us}, \underline{fftcs@uaf.edu}, \underline{jeff_short@noaa.gov}, macebarron@hotmail.com}$

Copepods may provide a significant pathway for the concentration and transfer of polyaromatic compounds (PAC) to higher trophic level consumers. PAC dissolved from weathered crude oil are more persistent in the environment and have much higher toxicity than the lighter, more volatile fractions of crude oil. Because of their polarity they tend to accumulate in bio-lipids. Subarctic copepod species can contain up to 80% of their body dry weight in lipids and have a high surface area to volume ratio. Thus, PAC accumulation is rapid and bioaccumulation factors are in the order of 500-8000, depending upon species and lipid content.

While direct, toxic effects of oil on copepods have been reported in the order of 10 mg/L, toxicity increases substantially in the presence of natural ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Phototoxic effects to the copepods *Calanus marshallae* and *Metridia okhotensis* were observed at concentrations of $\sim 2\mu g/L$ total dissolved PAC followed by 4-8 hours of exposure to ambient daylight. Responses included mortality, immobilization and discoloration of lipid sacs. Further experiments tested the interaction effects of various concentrations of PAC dissolved from weathered Alaska North Slope crude oil and subsequent exposure to sunlight with and without the UVB component to the copepods *Neocalanus flemingeri* and *N. plumchrus*. Phototoxicity was found to be a linear function of the product of light intensity and PAC concentration.

This research has shown that copepods could potentially provide a mechanism for the concentration of dissolved PAC from the water and its transfer into pelagic and benthic food chains.

、シ

POSTER: Oil Spill Impacts

Trophic dynamics of intertidal mudflats: Copper River Delta

Sean P. Powers¹, Mary Anne Bishop² and Charles H. Peterson³

¹ University of South Alabama & Dauphin Island Sea Lab, Dauphin Island, AL

² Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, AK

³ Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, NC

spowers@disl.org, mbishop@pwssc.gen.ak.us, cpeters@email.unc.edu

Vast expanses of intertidal mudflats serve as a critical link in the food web of nearshore communities along the southcentral Alaska coastline. One of the largest expanses of intertidal mudflats occurs in the Copper River Delta and southeastern Prince William Sound (Orca Inlet). The Delta's intertidal mudflats and network of sloughs serve as a critical connection between the Gulf of Alaska and the vast expanse of wetlands, rivers, lakes and glaciers in the Copper River basin. The rich abundance of benthic invertebrates residing within the mudflats provides foraging habitat for a variety of migratory and resident consumers. Building upon biological field surveys conducted from 2000-2002, we initiated a large-scale, multidisciplinary investigation of the intertidal, soft-bottom community of the Copper River Delta and southeastern Prince William Sound in 2003. The largely "bottom-up" approach supported by EVOS-GEM (physical/chemical parameters - phytoplantkon/epibenthic production invertebrate production) is balanced by the "top-down" (predators - benthic invertebrates) focus of a companion project funded by the Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute that examines predator dynamics.

Temporal changes in the food web are common as a result of the large number of highly migratory species that pass through the Delta. Included in these migrants are 3 species of salmon (sockeye, coho, and chinook) and 4 million shorebirds, the largest spring concentration in the Western Hemisphere. A gradient of community change exists from the more brackish, highly turbid areas influenced by the discharge of the Copper River, to the higher salinity, less turbid mudflats characteristic of southeastern Prince William Sound. This gradient, which influences primary production, can be used to examine the dynamics of intertidal communities under the influences of changing environmental conditions and may provide valuable insight in predicting community response to changes in local and regional forcing.

Fisheries Oceanography Tuesday 13 January 2004 2:40 – 5:20 PM

Predictability of Prey Available to Free-Ranging Steller Sea Lions at Varying Spatial Scales Michael F. Sigler, Scott M. Gende and David J. Csepp

An Examination of the Maturation of Walleye Pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, in the Eastern Bering Sea in Relation to Temporal and Spatial Factors Jennifer Stahl and Gordon H. Kruse

Jellyfish impact on food web production and ecosystem structure in the southeastern Bering Sea Alan Springer

Shallow Water Nearshore Fish Assemblages Around Steller Sea Lion Haulouts Near Kodiak, Alaska Brenda Konar, Cathy Hegwer, Sue Hills and Kate Wynne

Reconstructing sockeye populations in the Gulf of Alaska over the last several thousand years Bruce Finney

DNA analysis of the origins of Chinook salmon bycatch in the Alaska trawl fisheries Tony Gharrett

Environmental Cues for Togiak Herring Spawning Naoki Tojo, Gordon H. Kruse and David L. Musgrave

Integration of Marine Bird and Mammal Observations with the Pacific Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Program: Seasonal Variability in Ecosystem Structure William J. Sydeman, Peggy P. Yen, K. David Hyrenbach, Mike Henry, and Ken H. Morgan

POSTERS

Benthic Forage Species of Southeast Alaska David J. Csepp

Distribution, Migration, and Relative Abundance of Juvenile Salmon in the Eastern Bering Sea

Edward V. Farley, Jr, James M. Murphy, Lisa Eisner, Jamal H. Moss and John H. Helle

Alaskan Groundfish Feeding Ecology: An OBIS Information System Dale Kiefer, Vardis Tsontos, Frank O'Brien and Patricia Livingston

Fisheries Oceanography POSTERS (continued)

Ichthyoplankton Abundance, Distribution and Assemblage Structure in the western Gulf of Alaska during Autumn 2000 & 2001 Jennifer A. Lanksbury, Janet Duffy-Anderson and Kathyrn L. Mier

Distribution of Juvenile Pink Salmon in the Gulf of Alaska Relative to Surface Salinity, and Potential Implications for Foraging and Growth Opportunities Jamal H. Moss, Edward D. Cokelet, Angela Middleton, Edward V. Farley, James Murphy, John H. Helle and David A. Beauchamp

Comparison of Analytical Techniques for Nutritional Quality Determination L. Schaufler, C. Beck, D. Herman, J. Kennish and J. Vollenweider

Lipid Class and DNA Analysis as a Means to Examine Energy Allocation in Walleye Pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) Johanna Vollenweider, Ron Heintz and Lawrence Schaufler

Topographic Effects on Pelagic Early Juvenile Walleye Pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma***)** M. Wilson, K. Mier, J.P. Pääkkönen, and K.B. Bailey

Predictability of Prey Available to Free-Ranging Steller Sea Lions at Varying Spatial Scales

Michael F. Sigler¹, Scott M. Gende² and David J. Csepp¹

 ¹ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Association, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 11305 Glacier Highway, Juneau, Alaska 99801-8626
 ² National Park Service, Glacier Bay Field Station, 3100 National Park Road, Juneau, Alaska, 99801

Mike.Sigler@noaa.gov, Scott_Gende@nps.gov, Dave.Csepp@noaa.gov

Steller sea lions face many constraints in finding patchily distributed food in a three-dimensional water space. We examined the characteristics of fish species at several spatial scales that may facilitate efficient foraging for free-ranging Steller sea lions in southeastern Alaska, and use observations of foraging sea lions to examine their effectiveness in locating high-energy prey patches. Herring and walleye pollock made up the dominant prey species in our study area. At the largest spatial scale, prey energy was highly variable across months, peaking in December and January during both years, due mostly to the presence of large schools of herring during these months. Combining all prey species, predictability varied among months, but was highest from November to February, regardless of spatial scale. However, the relationship between predictability and spatial scale differed among species. Predictability of herring, which were more patchily distributed, was generally asymptotic, peaking at a spatial scale of 11.0 km^2 , whereas walleye pollock were most predictable at a scale of 2.1 km², reflecting a more uniform distribution. Steller sea lions were able to locate prey patches that were highest in energy density during most months. Predictability of high-energy prey, such as herring, at relatively small spatial scales may facilitate efficient foraging by Steller sea lions and play a central role in nutritional health for stable or increasing sea lion populations.

An Examination of the Maturation of Walleye Pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, in the Eastern Bering Sea in Relation to Temporal and Spatial Factors

Jennifer Stahl and Gordon H. Kruse

Juneau Center, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 11120 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 9982 j.stahl@uaf.edu, <u>Gordon.Kruse@uaf.edu</u>

Walleye pollock, *Theragra chalcogramma*, is the most numerous fish species and supports the most valuable commercial fishery in the eastern Bering Sea (EBS). Pollock maturity is an important parameter in calculations of spawning biomass used to set annual total allowable catches. However, maturity has not been assessed for EBS pollock since 1976, and potential interannual and geographic variation across continental shelf was not considered. Our main objective is to determine correct maturity schedules for EBS pollock. Maturity rates, age, and length (L_{50}) at 50% maturity will be estimated, and temporal and spatial variation will be identified for use in future stock assessments. Fish lengths and visual maturity stage data were collected from 4,996 and 5,201 pollock in 2002 and 2003, respectively, by quality control personnel aboard Pollock Conservation Cooperative (PCC) – member trawlers during the winter "A" fishing season. Ovary tissue was collected from 173 pollock for histological analysis to confirm appropriateness of visual staging methods. Logistic regression and geographic information systems (GIS) are being used to estimate potential geographic variation in maturity rates L_{50} . Also, maturity data collected by NMFS during 1976 and during more recent hydroacoustic surveys will be analyzed together with our 2002-2003 data to estimate temporal shifts. Histological analysis indicates that, of the ovaries visually classified as "developing," 16% were at immature oocyte stages and 84% were at stages corresponding to primary yolk sac or more advanced oocytes. Given vagaries of interpreting whether "developing" fish will spawn in spring of the current year on the EBS shelf with fish in more advanced maturity stages, statistical analysis was performed with two different assumptions - fish staged as "developing" were considered as either mature or immature. Based on preliminary results, we estimated $L_{50} = 38$ cm for 2002 and 2003 when developing was analyzed as immature, and $L_{50} = 34$ cm for 2002 and 35 cm for 2003 when developing was considered as mature. When completed, this study should provide valuable maturity data for estimation of spawning biomass. Inclusion of geographic or temporal variability in maturity will improve future stock assessments.

Shallow Water Nearshore Fish Assemblages Around Steller Sea Lion Haulouts Near Kodiak, Alaska

Brenda Konar, Cathy Hegwer, Sue Hills and Kate Wynne

School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences University of Alaska Fairbanks <u>bkonar@iliamna.ims.uaf.edu</u>, <u>fsclh@uaf.edu</u>, <u>shills@ims.uaf.edu</u>, <u>ffkmw@uaf.edu</u>

In the Gulf of Alaska at Kodiak Island, the decline of Steller Sea Lions and their lack of recovery have caused much concern. Since juvenile sea lions have limited dive depth profiles and potentially use nearshore fish to supplement their milk diets during weaning and to practice foraging techniques, a study to expand on the knowledge of fish availability around haulouts was undertaken. During 2001 and 2002, five SCUBA based surveys were conducted in the nearshore waters adjacent to two Steller sea lion haulouts at multiple depths to quantify seasonal fish diversity, abundance and species composition. Habitat information including macroalgal species identification, cover of understory, substrate, and benthic invertebrate faunal composition were taken concurrent with the fish surveys to determine similarity of fish habitat between all sites. Identical fish and benthic surveys were conducted at two control rocky headland areas, which had similar exposure, but were not historical Steller sea lion haulouts. The results of this study showed that while a similar fish assemblage was found at all sites, significant differences in fish composition and abundance existed between Steller sea lion haulouts and nonhaulout controls. These differences are primarily due to an absence of rockfish and an abundance of greenling at the haul-outs sites. Also, patterns in fish assemblages were found at certain depths and seasons, particularly at the 9m, 15m and 21m depths during the summer sampling periods. Lower abundance of fishes and more even distribution patterns were seen in the winter months. Overall differences in the fish assemblages do not appear to be driven by algal habitat differences, but substrate may be playing a small role.

Environmental Cues for Togiak Herring Spawning

Naoki Tojo¹, Gordon H. Kruse¹ and David L. Musgrave²

¹University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, Juneau Center, 11120 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801 ²University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute of Marine Science, P.O. Box 757220, Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220 <u>ftnt@uaf.edu</u>, <u>Gordon.Kruse@uaf.edu</u>, <u>musgrave@ims.uaf.edu</u>

Our goal is to understand underlining mechanisms and to develop predictive models for Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi) spawning activity in the Togiak District, Bristol Bay, Alaska. The Togiak herring fishery is the largest herring fishery in Alaska. Advance knowledge of herring spawning timing is essential to ADF&G fishery managers, fishermen, and processors because the fishery for roe-bearing herring takes place for a brief period in spring, the timing of spawning varies widely on an annual basis, and expensive field operations by managers and industry must be mobilized to operate in this remote area. We use Geographic information Systems (GIS) to develop spatially and temporally explicit models of several features of the Togiak herring population: (1) spawning timing, (2) spawning locations, (3) pre-spawning school dynamics, and (4) roe content. From our ADF&G collaborators, we collected historical aerial survey records of the distribution of pre-spawning herring schools and spawning locations, as well as supplementary data from other forage fish studies in the region. Geospatial databases have been created in formats compatible with ArcGIS. We have also collected various physical oceanographic and climatic data, primarily from IMS and NOAA. Variables were chosen for their potential influence on herring spawning dynamics. We have conducted exploratory analysis leading up to subsequent detailed statistical hypothetical testing of alternative models. Based on preliminary results, we speculate that thermal stratification is the most important oceanographic variable associated with herring spawning dynamics. Temperature may act in several ways, including regulation of the physiological processes associated with gonadal development - and serving as a proximal cue for spawning. Here, we report on our project progress.

Integration of Marine Bird and Mammal Observations with the Pacific Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Program: Seasonal Variability in Ecosystem Structure

William J. Sydeman¹, Peggy P. Yen¹, K. David Hyrenbach^{1,2}, Mike Henry¹, and Ken H. Morgan³

¹Marine Ecology Division, PRBO Conservation Science, 4990 Shoreline Highway, Stinson Beach, California 94970 ²Duke University Marine Laboratory, Beaufort, North Carolina 28516 ³Candian Wildlife Service, Sidney, British Columbia V8L 4B2 <u>wsydeman@prbo.org</u>, ppyen@prbo.org, khyrenba@duke.edu, morgank@pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca

In 2002, we established an ocean-scale, inter-disciplinary, ecosystem-oriented monitoring program for the North Pacific Ocean and southern Bering Sea by integrating observations of marine birds and mammals with ongoing plankton studies along a 7,500 km "great circle" transect from British Columbia to Japan. With support from the NPRB, we have now completed 5 surveys along this transect, spanning June 2002 - October 2003. Studies reveal striking differences between seasons and basins (e.g., Gulf of Alaska vs. Bering Sea vs. western North Pacific) in marine bird, and to a lesser extent, marine mammal communities, and their associations with plankton communities and plankton abundance as derived by the CPR. We also found within-basin variation in marine bird communities. The seasonal dispersion of North Pacific seabirds appears to vary spatially and temporally in relation to satellite-sensed temperature (AVHRR) and chlorophyll (SeaWIFS) measurements. Seasonal variability in plankton-seabird-mammal community organization may have been typical or unusual due to the "state" of the ocean in 2002-2003, which was characterized by a moderate El Nino event. Future studies will allow us to investigate plankton to top predator ecosystem structure over multiple time scales from seasonal to interannual.

۰. ۱

Benthic Forage Species of Southeast Alaska

David J. Csepp

Auke Bay Fisheries Laboratory, National Marine Fisheries Service 11305 Glacier Hwy, Juneau, Alaska 99801 <u>Dave.Csepp@noaa.gov</u>

Steller sea lion prey studies have been conducted since May 2001 in southeastern Alaska. Acoustic surveys are conducted monthly in Lynn Canal and quarterly in Frederick Sound using a 38 kHz split-beam mobile system. Midwater trawl surveys are conducted quarterly using a 150 ft. headrope and small-mesh codend liner. Our surveys found a group of poorly studied yet abundant forage species associated with the bottom at depths greater than 100 meters. These species appear acoustically as a cloudy layer with myctophids as the dominant species with many other common and rare species.

Distribution, Migration, and Relative Abundance of Juvenile Salmon in the Eastern Bering Sea

Edward V. Farley, Jr, James M. Murphy, Lisa Eisner, Jamal H. Moss and John H. Helle

National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center Auke Bay Laboratory, 11305 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801 Ed.farley@noaa.gov, jim.Murphy@noaa.gov, lisa.eisner@noaa.gov, jamal.moss@noaa.gov, jack.helle@noaa.gov

Scientists from the NMFS, Auke Bay Laboratory, Ocean Carrying Capacity (OCC) program conducted surveys of juvenile salmon in the eastern Bering Sea during 2000 to 2003. The current research is part of a larger Bering Sea ecosystem study that includes member nations (Canada, Russian, Japan, and United States) of the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC), titled Bering-Aleutian Salmon International Survey (BASIS), developed to clarify the mechanisms of biological response by salmon to the conditions caused by climate change. The goal of OCC/BASIS is to understand mechanisms underlying the effects of environment on the distribution, migration, and growth of juvenile salmon along the eastern Bering Sea shelf. In particular, that ocean conditions in the first few months after juvenile salmon leave freshwater can significantly impact their ocean survival. Specific objectives of OCC/BASIS research cruises are to determine migration and distribution of juvenile salmon stocks, measure early marine growth, and determine relative abundance. Results of OCC/BASIS research cruises indicate that juvenile salmon are widely distributed across the eastern Bering Sea shelf; species specific distributional patterns of juvenile salmon can exist and that these distributional patterns are likely related to their principal prey sources (i.e age-0 pollock for juvenile sockeye and chum salmon, larval and juvenile sandlance for juvenile chinook); oceanographic characteristics can influence distribution and migration pathways (i.e. juvenile salmon appear to avoid areas of intense coccolithophore blooms); and the size and relative abundance of juvenile sockeye and chum salmon was largest during 2002 and 2003 and that age 1.0 juvenile sockeve salmon tend to comprise the largest component of catch during the Fall survey.

Alaskan Groundfish Feeding Ecology: An OBIS Information System

Dale Kiefer¹, Vardis Tsontos¹, Frank O'Brien¹ and Patricia Livingston²

¹System Science Applications, 15323 Bestor Blvd, Pacific Palisades, CA 90272 ²Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NMFS, NOAA, Seattle WA Please reply to <u>tsontos@usc.edu</u>

With support from Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council, we will soon begin developing an OBIS (Ocean Biogeographic Information System) data node containing information characterizing the distribution and feeding ecology of Alaskan groundfish in relation to environmental parameters. Using established OBIS tools and protocols for Web-based access to biogeographic datasets, this information system will archive, analyze, and provide a means to distribute via the Internet information on the spatial and temporal distribution of a large number of groundfish and associated prey species sampled in the Gulf of Alaska, Aleutian Island waters, and the Bering Sea by NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center (AFSC). This biogeographic information system will include data on the gut contents of specimens as well as environmental information characterizing the habitats of the species. The system will also provide a detailed account of interspecific and environmental interactions that are integral to ecosystem-based fisheries assessment and management approaches. Biological databases used in this project will derive from AFSC, while environmental information will come from databases at the Pacific Marine Ecological Laboratory, AFSC and other on-line sources. Datasets employed are diverse in nature, and will include satellite imagery, hydrographic and fishery surveys data. Since the project has not yet begun, we will present at the symposium three examples of our recent projects, two Alaskan projects, SALMON and Ghost Net, and our OBIS mapping server. These projects are good examples of the Alaskan Groundfish Feeding Ecology Information System we will start in May.

Ichthyoplankton Abundance, Distribution and Assemblage Structure in the Western Gulf of Alaska during Autumn 2000 & 2001

Jennifer A. Lanksbury, Janet Duffy-Anderson and Kathyrn L. Mier

NOAA/Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA, 98115 Jennifer.Lanksbury@noaa.gov, Janet.Duffy-Anderson@noaa.gov, Kathy.Mier@noaa.gov

High spring abundance of ichthyoplankton in the Shelikof Strait sea valley, Gulf of Alaska has been well documented, but comparatively little work has been done to characterize fall assemblages in this region. In September 2000 and 2001, Tucker trawls (333 µm mesh) were conducted as part of two surveys designed to sample young of the year and forage fishes. Ichthyoplankton data from these collections were analyzed to 1. describe ichthyoplankton assemblages in the Shelikof sea valley in fall (September), 2. examine interannual variation in assemblages between 2000 and 2001 and 3. relate observations to oceanographic conditions. Taxa with the highest frequency of occurrence in 2000/2001 included Mallotus villosus (94/87%), Hexagrammos lagocephalus (57/45%), Sebastes spp. (35/47%) and Bathymaster spp. (27/57%). Through the use of clustering techniques and ordination, three identifiable larval assemblage structures were found. Near shore and offshore assemblages appear well defined in both years, while a loosely associated assemblage occurs in the center of lower Shelikof Strait sea valley. The spatial distribution of these assemblages is explored in relation to bathymetry, flow regime and temperature. Links between species abundance/distribution and available food sources will also be explored. Future studies will include a comparison to the spatial distribution of larval fish species around southeast Kodiak Island, including historical (fall 1978) and recent (fall 2002) ichthyoplankton catch data.

Distribution of Juvenile Pink Salmon in the Gulf of Alaska Relative to Surface Salinity, and Potential Implications for Foraging and Growth Opportunities

Jamal H. Moss¹, Edward D. Cokelet², Angela Middleton¹, Edward V. Farley¹, James Murphy¹, John H. Helle¹ and David A. Beauchamp³

 ¹National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory, 11305 Glacier Hwy, Juneau, AK 99801-8626
 ²National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115-6439
 ³U.S. Geological Survey, Washington Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit University of Washington, School of Aquatic and Fisheries Sciences, Box 355020, 1122 NE Boat Street, Seattle, WA 98195

NOAA's R/V Miller Freeman and R/V Alpha Helix participated in a coordinated oceanographic and fisheries sampling effort on the Alaskan continental shelf near the Seward Line on 30-31 July 2003. On this occasion the brackish Alaska Coastal Current (ACC, 27 psu surface salinity) ran near shore and was separated from saltier midshelf water (31 psu) by a frontal region (29 psu) a few kilometers in width. Sampling in all three zones consisted of CTD casts, Multiple Opening/Closing Net and Environmental Sensing System (MOCNESS) hauls and juvenile salmon trawls. Juvenile pink salmon diet was quantified onboard Miller Freeman, and potential zooplankton prey items were collected via MOCNESS onboard Alpha Helix. The observations were designed to determine how juvenile pink salmon are distributed relative to surface salinity and to assess the energetic costs and/or benefits associated with physical and biological conditions across a salinity gradient. The working hypothesis was that juvenile pink salmon would be confined to the ACC and would not be found farther offshore. However, juvenile pink salmon were found both in the ACC and offshore thus negating the hypothesis. The species composition and abundance of zooplankton from samples taken from each oceanographic station will be quantified and used to assess relative consumption demand in relation to relative prey supply. Juvenile pink salmon catch, size, diet, and thermal experience data were used in a bioenergetics analysis to estimate site-specific consumption demand.

Comparison of Analytical Techniques for Nutritional Quality Determination

L. Schaufler¹, C. Beck², D. Herman³, J. Kennish⁴ and J. Vollenweider¹

¹NOAA/NMFS Auke Bay Laboratory
² Alaska Department of Fish and Game
³ NOAA/NMFS Montlake Laboratory
⁴ University of Alaska, Anchorage
<u>Lawrence.Schaufler@NOAA.gov</u>, <u>Charlotte_Beck@fishgame.state.ak.us</u>, <u>Dave.Herman@NOAA.gov</u>, <u>afajmk@uaa.alaska.edu</u>, Johanna.Vollenweider@NOAA.gov

We compared various analytical methods used to assess the nutritional composition and quality of Steller sea lion and other marine predator diets. Diet quality determination may include measurement of lipid and protein content, fatty acid composition, and proportions of lipid types (triacylglycerol, phospholipid, etc.) in prey items. However, there are a variety of analytical instruments and protocols available for these measurements and they can provide variable results. Consequently, analytical results for a given sample may differ between laboratories. We analyzed standard reference materials in several laboratories using different protocols and instruments. Results were compared for precision and accuracy both within and between analysis labs. We observed protocol-dependent differences in proximate composition, lipid class distribution, and fatty acid profile within laboratories and differences among laboratories using the same protocols. Understanding the scale of variation due to differences in analytical methods is a critical consideration when compiling and comparing data between laboratories and published literature.

Lipid Class and DNA Analysis as a Means to Examine Energy Allocation in Walleye Pollock (Theragra chalcogramma)

Johanna Vollenweider, Ron Heintz and Lawrence Schaufler

Auke Bay Lab, NOAA Fisheries, US Department of Commerce Juneau, Alaska Johanna.Vollenweider@noaa.gov, <u>Ron.Heintz@noaa.gov</u>, Lawrence.Schaufler@noaa.gov

Bioenergetic condition of forage fish plays an important factor in life history strategies and survival. Juvenile fish must allocate energy between conflicting demands for growth and storage, while adults must acquire energy for reproduction. We examined how energy was allocated in walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) by measuring the proportion of calories allocated to structural elements and triacylglycerols. In addition we monitored instantaneous growth by measuring RNA:DNA ratios of whole fish homogenates. Three age classes of pollock (young-of-the-year, juvenile, and mature) were examined over the period of a year. Different energy allocation strategies were observed among age classes. At any time during the year energy stores (triacylglycerols) were greatest for the oldest fish. Juveniles and young-of-the-year demonstrated a tightly coupled inverse relationship between growth and energy storage, with peak growth in the summer and peak energy stores in the winter. Growth of mature pollock declined over the summer and increased through the winter and into spring. Energy stores of mature pollock followed a seasonal pattern similar to that of growth, indicating that energy storage was no longer coupled to growth. These data suggest immature fish must sacrifice either growth or energy storage while mature pollock are able to maintain both processes simultaneously.

Topographic Effects on Pelagic Early Juvenile Walleye Pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*)

M. Wilson¹, K. Mier¹, J.P. Pääkkönen², and K.B. Bailey¹

¹NOAA/Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA, 98115 ²Dept. Env. and Biol. Sci., P.O. Box 35, FIN-40014, University of Jyväskylä, Finland <u>matt.wilson@noaa.gov</u>, <u>kathy.mier@noaa.gov</u>, <u>Jaripaa@bytl.jyu.fi</u>, <u>kevin.bailey@noaa.gov</u>

Small pelagic fishes often play a pivotal role in structuring ecosystem food webs due to their mid-level trophic position. As zooplanktivores, these 'forage' fishes are sensitive to geographic variation in hydrography (e.g., fronts, localized upwelling) that induce spatial variation in zooplankton composition and abundance. In the Gulf of Alaska, principal forage-fish species include juvenile walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma), capelin (Mallotus villosus), and eulachon (Thaleichthys pacificus). Our poster illustrates some of our research, funded in part by the Steller Sea Lion Research Initiative and the North Pacific Research Board, to better understand geographic and annual variation in forage-fish productivity. During the first two years of study, 2000 -2001, the biomass of early juvenile (age-0) walleye pollock was highest in areas of intermediate (100 - 200 m) water depth. However, no consistent associations were detected between age-0 biomass and water temperature, salinity, potential prey biomass, or potential competitor biomass. Similar analyses are being conducted on the biomass of capelin, eulachon, and older walleye pollock. Data available from September 2003 will be included. In addition, geographic variation in fish diet and water temperature is being examined using bioenergetics models to estimate fish growth and prey consumption in relation to prey standing stock and hydrographic features.

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions Tuesday 13 January 2004 7:00 – 9:00 PM

Kill Rates and Prey Preferences of Southeast Alaskan Transient Killer Whales (Orcinus orca)

Marilyn E. Dahlheim, Dave K. Ellifrit and Paula A. White

Feeding Ecology of Transient Killer Whales in the Northern and Eastern Gulf of Alaska

Janice Straley, Craig Matkin, Dena Matkin, Lance Barrett-Lennard and Christine Gabriele

Abundance and Distribution of Killer Whale Ecotypes in Central and Western Alaska (Kenai Fiords to Central Aleutians)

John Durban, Paul Wade, Alex Zerbini, Janice Waite and Marilyn Dahlheim

Whale Killers? Transient Killer Whales in the Eastern Aleutians Craig Matkin, Lance Barrett-Lennard, Damian Power and Lori Mazzuca

The Collapse of Pinniped and Sea Otter Populations in the North Pacific Ocean: An **Ecological Legacy of Industrial Whaling?**

J. A. Estes, T. M. Williams, A. M. Springer, G. B. van Vliet, D. F. Doak, E. M. Danner, K. A. Forney and B. Pfister

Commercial Whaling And "Whale Killers": A Reanalysis of Evidence for Sequential Megafauna Collapse in the North Pacific

Paul Wade, Lance Barrett-Lennard, Nancy Black, Robert Brownell Jr., Vladimir Burkanov, Alexander Burdin, John Calambokidis, Sal Cerchio, Phil Clapham, Marilyn Dahlheim, John Ford, Nancy Friday, Lowell Fritz, Jeff Jacobsen, Thomas Loughlin, Mark Lowry, Craig Matkin, Dena Matkin, Amee Mehta, Sally Mizroch, Marcia Muto, Dale Rice, Donald Siniff, Robert Small, Gretchen Steiger, Janice Straley and Glenn Van Blaricom

Are killer whales the main reason for the decline of the marine mammal population in the North Pacific: a Russian perspective Alexander Burdin

POSTERS

Causes of Early Pup Mortality at a Steller Sea Lion Rookery (Eumetopias jubatus) in the Northern Gulf of Alaska John Maniscalco and Shannon Atkinson

Contaminant Levels in Russian Killer Whales (Orcinus orca) Matt Myers, Alexander Burdin and Shannon Atkinson

Ά

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Kill Rates and Prey Preferences of Southeast Alaskan Transient Killer Whales (Orcinus orca)

Marilyn E. Dahlheim¹, Dave K. Ellifrit² and Paula A. White³

¹National Marine Mammal Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 ²Center for Whale Research, 359 Smuggler's Cove Road, Friday Harbor, WA 98250 ³Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, 3101 Valley Life Sciences Building, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

marilyn.dahlheim@noaa.gov; dellifrit@hotmail.com; PAW@carnivoreconservation.com

Killer whale (Orcinus orca) predation has been implicated in the decline of harbor seals (Phoca vitulina), Steller sea lions (Eumetopias jubatus), and sea otters (Enhydra lutris) in western Alaska. Until now, calculation of kill rates for cetaceans have rarely been attempted due to inherent difficulties in maintaining contact with the predators, and the lack of persistence of prey remains following a kill. Empirical data on prey preference is rare even for terrestrial studies, yet both rate and preference are vital to describing predator-prey relationships. Between 1991 and 2003, killer whale surveys were conducted each year throughout the inland waterways of Southeast Alaska. Utilization of a dedicated research vessel over the thirteen-year period provided us with the unique opportunity to follow killer whales over extended periods of time. Herein, we present empirical data on transient killer whale predation gathered during 285.5 hours of direct observation representing 34 predation events. Based on these data, a kill rate of 0.78 prey items/24-hr period/whale was calculated. Transient killer whales targeted several species of marine mammals and sea birds. We compare kill rates of killer whales with data from the literature on terrestrial group-hunting species, and discuss whether optimal foraging theory applies to killer whales. This is the first attempt to quantify kill rates of any marine mammal through continuous and direct observation of predatory behavior. Information presented here will hopefully contribute to assessing the impact that killer whales may be having on marine mammal populations in western Alaska.

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Feeding Ecology of Transient Killer Whales in the Northern and Eastern Gulf of Alaska

Janice Straley¹, Craig Matkin², Dena Matkin², Lance Barrett-Lennard³ and Christine Gabriele⁴

¹University of Alaska Southeast Sitka Campus ²North Gulf Oceanic Society ³Vancouver Aquarium and University of British Columbia ⁴Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve <u>Jan.Straley@uas.alaska.edu</u>, <u>comatkin@xyz.net</u>, <u>denamatkin@hotmail.com</u>, <u>barlenl@vanaqua.org</u>, Chris_Gabriele@nps.gov

COUNTRY OF COUNTRY CONTRACTION CONTRACTICON CONTRACTICO

In the northern Gulf of Alaska (NGOA, Prince William Sound-Kenai Fjords), we identified three haplotypes and two populations of transient killer whales: 1) AT1, which totaled 22 whales in the 1980s have since declined to 8 whales and 2) GOA, which consists of whales with two similar haplotypes and totaled 38 whales. In the eastern GOA (EGOA, northern southeastern Alaska) there are, also, transient killer whales with three haplotypes and two populations: 1) GOA (both haplotypes) and 2) West Coast (WC), which range further south, as well. There were 19 GOA and 123 WC transient killer whales seen in the EGOA during our study over the past 20 years. The GOA transients were sighted first in the EGOA in 1995. This may reflect a movement into this area, perhaps from the NGOA, where only 8 whales were seen in recent years.

In the NGOA, about 10% of encounters were with transients and less than 5% of the number photographed was transient killer whales. In the EGOA, 71% of the encounters were with transients and 57% of the total number photographed was transient killer whales.

In the NGOA, there may be diet specialization by population. AT1s were seen killing mostly harbor seals (34%) and Dall's porpoises (38%) out of 32 observed kills since 1984. GOA transients were seen killing Steller sea lions 5 times and Dall's porpoises once since 1997. In the EGOA, insufficient data exists to discern if there are diet preferences for each population. Predation events (kills) were observed 76 times since 1980. Harbor seals were killed most often (29%) with Steller sea lions (18%), harbor porpoise (17%) and Dall's porpoise (7%) killed to a lesser extent. In both areas, sea birds, sea otters and large whales were harassed but rarely killed.

The observations of higher numbers of transient killer whales in the EGOA, where mostly increasing prey populations exist, argues against killer whale predation as the cause of the western Alaska Steller sea lion decline, although it can not be ruled out that predation by killer whales is impeding the recovery of this population.

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Abundance and Distribution of Killer Whale Ecotypes in Central and Western Alaska (Kenai Fjords to Central Aleutians)

John Durban, Paul Wade, Alex Zerbini, Janice Waite and Marilyn Dahlheim

National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA John.Durban@noaa.gov, Paul.Wade@noaa.gov, Alex.Zerbini@noaa.gov, Janice.Waite@noaa.gov, Marilyn.Dahlheim@noaa.gov

Information on the abundance and distribution of killer whales in central and western Alaska is required to assess the impact of killer whale predation on other marine mammal populations and evaluate the interaction between killer whales and commercial fishing activities. Although killer whale population size and stock structure is relatively well known for the waters of southeastern Alaska and Prince William Sound, very little information exists for killer whales inhabiting Alaskan waters west of Kodiak Island. We present results from three years (2001-2003) of an ongoing study to provide baseline data on the distribution, abundance and stock structure of killer whales in nearshore (<30nm) waters from the Kenai Fjords in the east to Tanaga Pass in the central Aleutians. Dedicated large-ship surveys, combined with opportunistic sighting effort during other research cruises, have provided data from 173 different killer whale sightings. It was possible to approach the whales on 107 of these sightings (termed "encounters), during which we obtained more than 20,000 identification photographs and 88 tissue samples using remote biopsy techniques. Based on analysis of these photographic and genetic data, three distinct killer whale ecotypes have been found to use this region. These ecotypes overlap in their distribution, but appear to differ in their feeding ecology in a manner consistent with observations from other areas in the NE Pacific. "Residents" and "offshores" are thought to feed primarily on fish, in contrast to "transients" that have only been observed to prey upon other marine mammal species. The majority (81) of encounters have been with resident whales, in contrast to only 3 groups of offshores and 23 groups of transients. Killer whales were always encountered in groups, although the ecotypes differed in their grouping patterns. Offshores were encountered in large groups (median 40, range 13-60), residents in groups averaging 18 (range = 4-90) individuals, and transients in smaller groups of around 4 (range 2-30) individuals. To account for differing detectability of these ecotypes, we are currently estimating abundance using two different approaches: distance sampling based on line-transect sightings data and markrecapture analysis based on photographic identification data.

Whale Killers? Transient Killer Whales in the Eastern Aleutians

Craig Matkin¹, Lance Barrett-Lennard², Damian Power¹ and Lori Mazzuca³

¹North Gulf Oceanic Society, Homer, Alaska 99603 ²Vancouver Aquarium and Marine Science Center

³National Marine Mammal Laboratory NOAA Fisheries 301 Research Ct Kodiak 99615

During June, July and August of 2002 and 2003 we operated two 32' vessels for total of 60 days per vessel in the June, July, and August from Unimak Island to Umnak Island in the Eastern Aleutians investigating killer whale population structure and ecology. In May 2003, an additional 20 days of surveys were conducted in the and False Pass/Unimak Pass region. Goals included the 1) separation of residents, transient and offshore ecotypes using association, genetics, and acoustics, 2) enumerating each ecotype using photoidentification, and 3) examining distribution and feeding habits. During the June through August surveys we found far more residents (over 500 individuals identified) than transients (41 individuals identified). However during 12 encounters in May 2003 in the False Pass/Unimak Pass region only transient killer whales were observed and photographed. Despite poor sea conditions, 148 killer whales were photographed during the encounters, with a total of 85 unique individuals, and only 3 of these whales matched individuals that were seen in the region in summer months of 2002-2003. Group sizes were as large as 28 whales. Biopsies indicated they were of mixed transient haplotypes (GOA type1, and AT1 type). On four occasions the whales appeared to be feeding on submerged whale carcasses, two samples from these feeding bouts have been genetically identified as grey whales. Carcasses were apparently on the bottom in relatively shallow water and we suspect that they were revisited by the whales over a period of days. In summer transient killer whales have been seen to prev upon or harass northern fur seals, Dall's porpoise, minke whales, and humpback whales although sea conditions have made observations challenging. Steller sea lions are notably lacking from the list of prey items, despite our operations adjacent to rookeries and haulouts in the region. The spring predation on grey whales suggests another instance of seasonal feeding specialization by killer whales. Historical information from local residents of False Pass suggest that this predation pattern has occurred for many years.

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

The Collapse of Pinniped and Sea Otter Populations in the North Pacific Ocean: An Ecological Legacy of Industrial Whaling?

J. A. Estes¹, T. M. Williams², A. M. Springer³, G. B. van Vliet⁴, D. F. Doak², E. M. Danner², K. A. Forney⁵ and B. Pfister⁶

¹United States Geological Survey, ²University of California Santa Cruz, ³University of Alaska Fairbanks, ⁴Auke Bay, AK, National Marine Fisheries Service- ⁵Santa Cruz and ⁶Seattle

Harbor seal, Steller sea lion, and sea otter populations have sequentially collapsed over large areas of the northern North Pacific Ocean and southern Bering Sea during the last several decades. A variety of evidence indicates that top-down forcing associated with increased predation by killer whales drove the sea otter collapse. In contrast, a bottom-up nutritional limitation mechanism induced by physical oceanographic changes or competition with fisheries has long been thought to be largely responsible for the pinniped declines. With recent reports suggesting that the weight of evidence for the population change in sea lions is more consistent with top-down forcing, we propose that killer whale predation may have also contributed to the pinniped declines. We suggest that the decimation of the great whales by post-World War II industrial whaling greatly reduced the sustainable biomass of marine mammals in the North Pacific ecosystem, thus causing the foremost natural predator of marine mammals, transient killer whales, to begin feeding more intensively on the smaller and less sustainable pinnipeds and sea otters. The timing of these events, information on the abundance, diet, and foraging behavior of both predators and prey, and feasibility analyses are consistent with this hypothesis. Daily caloric requirements of killer whales, caloric value of prey items, and demographic changes in marine mammal populations were used to assess the potential impact of killer whales on sea otter and Steller sea lion populations in the Aleutian Islands. The results show that fewer than 40 killer whales could have caused the recent Steller sea lion decline in the Aleutian archipelago; a pod of 5 individuals could account for the decline in sea otters and the continued suppression of sea lions. With the collapse of the historical prey base of killer whales due to human whaling, this study suggests that a sequential dietary switch from high to low caloric value prev could initiate the observed declines.

Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Commercial Whaling And "Whale Killers": A Reanalysis of Evidence for Sequential Megafauna Collapse in the North Pacific

Paul Wade¹, Lance Barrett-Lennard², Nancy Black³, Robert Brownell Jr.⁴, Vladimir Burkanov, Alexander Burdin, John Calambokidis, Sal Cerchio⁵, Phil Clapham⁶, Marilyn Dahlheim¹, John Ford, Nancy Friday¹, Lowell Fritz¹, Jeff Jacobsen⁸, Thomas Loughlin¹, Mark Lowry, Craig Matkin⁹, Dena Matkin¹⁰, Amee Mehta¹¹, Sally Mizroch¹, Marcia Muto¹, Dale Rice¹, Donald Siniff¹², Robert Small¹³, Gretchen Steiger, Janice Straley¹⁴ and Glenn Van Blaricom¹⁵

¹ National Marine Mammal Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries, Seattle, WA

² Vancouver Aquarium, Vancouver, B.C.

³ Monterey Bay Cetacean Project, Monterey, CA

⁴ Southwest Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries, Pacific Grove, CA

⁵ Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

⁶ Northeast Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries, Woods Hole, MA

⁸ Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA

⁹North Gulf Oceanic Society, Homer, AK

¹⁰ North Gulf Oceanic Society, Gustavus, AK

¹¹ Boston University Marine Program, Woods Hole, MA

¹² University of Minnesota, St. Paul., MN

¹³ Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Anchorage, AK

¹⁴ University of Alaska Southeast, Sitka, AK

¹⁵ University of Washington, Seattle, WA

 $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})))$

Springer et al. (2003) hypothesize that sequential declines in North Pacific populations of seals, Steller sea lions, and sea otters were due to increased predation by killer whales, following the removal by commercial whaling of baleen whales as the killer whales' primary food source. Regardless of whether or not killer whales have caused or contributed to the decline of species such as sea otters, we conclude there is little evidence to suggest this would have occurred due to a lack of available cetacean prev. We re-examined trends in abundance and biomass of potential marine mammal prev of killer whales in 3 regions (Aleutians/Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and S.E. Alaska to California). We suggest that top-down forcing by killer whales is an unlikely common explanation for all of the reported declines, for several reasons. First, the spatial and temporal patterns of regional population trends are more complex than Springer et al. suggest and in many cases are inconsistent with their killer whale hypothesis. Many pinniped and sea otter populations are stable or increasing in areas where extensive whaling occurred and large numbers of transient killer whales exist. Two points are most striking. First, since commercial whaling ceased all prey species have increased substantially along the west coast of the U.S. and Canada despite the presence of a large mammal-eating killer whale population. Secondly, in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands region, the substantial biomass of fur seals available throughout the time period provides no justification under the hypothesis for a switch to sea otters. Among whales, gray

whales have increased steadily since the 1940s, populations of humpback and fin whales have increased substantially, and minke whales have likely always been abundant. Thus, to suggest that baleen whales have been unavailable as potential prey during much of the period concerned is not correct. The hypothesis also ignores small cetaceans that are known killer whale prey but have remained abundant in much of the eastern North Pacific (notably Dall's porpoise). Finally, we question the assumption that adult large whales were ever a significant prey item for killer whales in the high-latitude habitats in which the purported declines have occurred. Evidence from field observations, stomach contents, and from scarring on baleen whales strongly suggests that when killer whales in these regions attack whales, they prey primarily upon minkes and on calves of species such as gray and humpback whales. 0000000000

1000000000

0 0

POSTER: Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Causes of Early Pup Mortality at a Steller Sea Lion Rookery (*Eumetopias jubatus*) in the Northern Gulf of Alaska

John Maniscalco¹ and Shannon Atkinson^{1,2}

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (i) \in (i)$

¹Alaska Sealife Center, PO Box 1329, Seward, Alaska 99664 ²University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775 <u>john maniscalco@alaskasealife.org</u>, shannon_atkinson@alaskasealife.org

A small (ca. 80 breeding animals) Steller sea lion rookery has been studied over the years 2001 through 2003 using a remote-video camera system. At least half of the breeding females were tracked during each breeding season using natural markings and several measures maternal investment were recorded. Estimates of pup loss during the first few months of life were consistent from year to year at 19.2%, 20.3% and 19.7% from 2001 through 2003, respectively. However, the causes of these losses varied. During 2001, pup losses were attributed solely to orca predation. In 2002, orca predation was not a factor and 11 out of 13 pup losses during that season were attributed to storm waves during the month of June. Orca predation and an unknown factor killed most of the pups in 2003. The unknown factor caused seven deaths in pups aged 2 days to approximately 2 months of age. As an interesting coincidence in 2003, several pups also had lesions consistent with a type of calicivirus on their flippers to a much greater extent than observed in previous seasons. Only one death in each of 2002 and 2003 was attributed to abandonment. Early mortalities of 20% in Steller sea lions are not unusual although a combination of the above causes in any one year may result in a greatly diminished cohort.

POSTER: Killer Whales and Steller Sea Lions

Contaminant Levels in Russian Killer Whales (Orcinus orca)

Matt Myers¹, Alexander Burdin² and Shannon Atkinson³

¹University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK and the Alaska Sealife Center, Seward AK ²The Alaska Sealife Center, Seward AK

³ University of Alaska Fairbanks, AK and the Alaska Sealife Center, Seward AK <u>matthew_myers@alaskasealife.org</u>, <u>alexander_burdin@alaskasealife.org</u>, shannon_atkinson@alaskasealife.org

Recently, much attention has been focused on contaminants in killer whales (Orcinus orca) in the eastern North Pacific Ocean. Studies done with animals from Washington State to the Gulf of Alaska have found contaminants loads to be high in this apex predator. In order to identify if animals in the western Pacific were also high, opportunistic contaminant sampling of orcas in the Starichkov Island area near Eastern Kamchatka in the Russian waters of the North Pacific Ocean was completed on 13 animals during the summer season of 2002. When animals were encountered, a blubber biopsy was removed by a dart shot form a crossbow and the animals were photographed. Location, age (as adult, juvenile, young, calf and unknown), gender and behavior were noted. Blubber samples were analyzed for toxicity caused by the accumulation of organochlorines (OCs), such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and 1,1,1-trichloro-2.2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethane or dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT). The procedure employed was a HPLC/PDA (high-performance liquid chromatography with photodiode array detection) method that was developed to rapidly screen for toxic "dioxin-like" CBs and congeners. Sum PCBs ranged from 12 to 3400 ng/g lipid weight with an average load of 678 ± 276 ng/g lipid weight. On average DDT levels were higher with an average of 834 ± 329 ng/g lipid weight and a range of 22 to 3700 ng/g lipid weight. In other studies, mammal eating whales had considerably higher levels of all contaminants. As it is unknown if these whales were mammal eaters or fish eaters, a direct comparison can not yet be made. Still, levels in the whales investigated are relatively low suggesting that animals in the western North Pacific may not be exposed to as much pollution as their eastern counterparts.

Species at Risk Wednesday 14 January 2004 8:00 – 9:40 AM

Eider Update Tuula Hollmén

Status and Trend of Selected Marine Mammals in Alaska: Steller Sea Lions, Northern Fur Seals, Cook Inlet Beluga Whales and North Pacific Right Whales J. Ward Testa, Rolf R. Ream, Rod Hobbs and Paul R. Wade

Preliminary Results of At-Sea Capture and Satellite Tracking of Short-Tailed Albatrosses in Alaska Gregory Balogh and Robert Suryan

Capture and Holding of Juvenile Steller Sea Lions: The Transient Project Jo-Ann Mellish, Shannon Atkinson and Michael Castellini

Sea Otter Populations in Alaska: a Focus on Southwest Angela M. Doroff, Douglas M. Burn, Verena Gill, and Rosa Meehan

POSTERS

Pregnancy Rates of Harbor Seals in Alaska

Anne Hoover-Miller, Maki Kurihara, Suzanne Conlon, Kendall Mashburn and Shannon Atkinson

Geological Structuring of Subtidal Habitat and Its Influence on the Foraging Strategy of Sea Otters: Simpson Bay, Prince William Sound, Alaska Christian Noll, Timothy Dellapenna, Andrea Gilkinson, Randall Davis, Heidi Pearson and Fred Weltz

Magnitude and Sources of Variability in Steller Sea Lion (Eumetopias jubatus) Prey Quality

Johanna Vollenweider and Ron Heintz

Species at Risk

Eider Update

Tuula Hollmén

Alaska SeaLife Center and School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, P.O. Box 1329, Seward, AK 99664 tuula hollmen@alaskasealife.org

Two of the four species of eiders found in Alaska are listed as threatened under the U.S. Endangered Species Act. Spectacled eiders (*Somateria fischeri*) were listed in 1993 due to significant declines in numbers of nesting birds in western Alaska, and the Alaska breeding population of Steller's eiders (*Polysticta stelleri*) was listed in 1997 due to reductions in its nesting range. Additional declines have been observed within common eider and king eider populations, raising concerns about the status of all species of eiders in the northern hemisphere. The causes of the declines and reduced breeding range are largely unknown. Furthermore, relatively little is known even about the basic biology of eiders as compared to other, more intensively studied waterfowl.

Eiders are associated with marine environments of the northern hemisphere, and winter, molt, and nest in geographically remote locations Recent declining trends in numbers of eiders and other species of sea ducks have lead to increasing research efforts to understand current threats to wild populations and to aid in their recovery. Several factors affecting the survival of eiders have been identified and several recovery actions have already taken place, including the designation of critical habitat for both species of threatened eiders by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 2001.

Also in 2001, the Alaska SeaLife Center (ASLC) initiated an eider research program with a focus on research on Steller's and spectacled eiders. The specific goals of the ASLC eider program are to develop and maintain a captive eider program, to develop laboratory and field projects investigating factors affecting eider populations, and to serve the needs of the federal and state programs and the eider recovery teams. The ASLC has established captive sea duck facilities that currently hold flocks of three species of eiders, including both species of the threatened eiders. Ongoing research focuses on eider reproduction and artificial propagation techniques, nutritional physiology and foraging, endocrinology, contaminants, and disease ecology. 0000000000

Species at Risk

Status and Trend of Selected Marine Mammals in Alaska: Steller Sea Lions, Northern Fur Seals, Cook Inlet Beluga Whales and North Pacific Right Whales

J. Ward Testa¹, Rolf R. Ream², Rod Hobbs² and Paul R. Wade²

¹National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center c/o Marine Mammals Management-USFWS, 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

²National Marine Mammal Laboratory, Alaska Fisheries Science Center 9600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115 ward.testa@noaa.gov, rolf.ream@noaa.gov, rod.hobbs@noaa.gov,

paul.wade@noaa.gov

10000000000 0000000 The trends and current status of four prominent marine mammal populations considered at risk in Alaska are reviewed. The western stock of Steller sea lions, considered endangered under ESA, showed some evidence of arrested decline, or even slight recovery in parts of its range in the most recent surveys (2002), but continued decline in the far west. Production in northern fur seals, depleted under the MMPA, declined on the Pribilof Islands at a 5% annual rate from 1998-2002 after nearly 20 years of relative stability. Since its inception in 1982, the small population of fur seals at Bogoslof Island has grown rapidly, but has not been surveyed since 1997 when >5,000 pups were born. The small population of beluga whales in Cook Inlet was listed as depleted under the MMPA, and the subsistence harvest was voluntarily curtailed. Surveys since 1999 indicate that the population is no longer in decline, but it is too early to be sure that a recovery is underway. We have little information to assess the status of Northern right whales, but they are highly endangered (probably < 100). Small numbers, mostly of males, have been observed in the Bering Sea in recent years, and the first calf was seen in 2002.

÷

Species at Risk

Preliminary Results of At-Sea Capture and Satellite Tracking of Short-Tailed Albatrosses in Alaska

Gregory Balogh¹ and Robert Suryan²

¹U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage Fish and Wildlife Field Office, 605 W 4th Ave. Rm G-61, Anchorage, AK 99501 USA ²Oregon State University, Hatfield Marine Science Center, 2030 S.E. Marine Science Dr., Newport, OR 97365 USA greg balogh@fws.gov, rob.suryan@oregonstate.edu,

The Short-tailed Albatross (*Phoebastia albatrus*) Recovery Team has identified as a research priority the determination of important marine habitats for this highly pelagic species. Without this information, we cannot fully understand spatial and temporal interactions between short-tailed albatross and commercial fisheries that occasionally take this species in their gear. Investigation into the distribution and movement of large, pelagic avian species is best addressed using satellite telemetry. However, conducting satellite tracking studies of short-tailed albatross is challenging because access to the animals is difficult. Disturbance of birds on the primary breeding colony in Japan (Torishima) is restricted. Capture of birds at sea is less restricted, but has never been successfully attempted.

We undertook at-sea capture efforts to deploy PTTs on short-tailed albatrosses in the Aleutian Islands, Alaska, in August 2003. The two-week cruise included eight full or partial work days (60 hrs total), mostly in Seguam Pass (eastern Aleutians). We recorded 35 short-tailed albatross sightings of at least 17 different individuals. We captured and deployed PTTs on four birds; two hatch year birds, one second year bird, and one adult (23-year-old). Within 3.5 months, we obtained over 2,200 locations, primarily from three birds. Two of the PTTs were still operational as of 24 November, 2003. Most position fixes were in the Bering Sea and along the Aleutian Islands. In November, 2003, two of the birds began moving south on opposite sides of the Pacific Ocean; with position fixes off the Kurile Islands (Russia), British Columbia (Canada), and Washington and Oregon (USA).

Species at Risk

Capture and Holding of Juvenile Steller Sea Lions: The Transient Project

Jo-Ann Mellish^{1,2} Shannon Atkinson^{1,2} and Michael Castellini¹

¹School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks AK 99775

²Alaska SeaLife Center, Seward, AK 99664

000000

joann_mellish@alaskasealife.org, shannon_atkinson@alaskasealife.org, mikec@ims.uaf.edu

It is widely recognized that the western stock of the Steller sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus) has been steadily and rapidly declining over the past few decades. Current research suggests that the juvenile age class (1-4yr) may be the most vulnerable cohort due to nutritional stress and other compounding factors. Difficulties faced by researchers include remote habitat, large size, and the tendency for this age class to remain at sea for extended periods. Animals sampled in the wild are typically only caught once, providing only a static view in time. There are no currently available captive research animals within this age group in the United States. The goal of the transient project is to provide a unique and critical resource to researchers in this field, that is, access to juvenile Steller sea lions for comprehensive longitudinal research. Groups of up to four juvenile Steller sea lions are transported from the wild to the specialized ASLC holding facility for periods of up to three months, during which time they are involved in numerous complementary projects designed to assess the health status, body condition, stress response, reproductive endocrinology, epidemiology, nutritional capacity and foraging behavior of juvenile Steller sea lions. Animals will also be monitored post-release via various internal and external data loggers. The transient juvenile program currently integrates twelve funded projects with ten principal investigators and seven institutions.

Species at Risk

Sea Otter Populations in Alaska: a Focus on Southwest

Angela M. Doroff¹, Douglas M. Burn¹, Verena Gill¹, and Rosa Meehan¹

Marine Mammals Management U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 7 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, Alaska 99503 angela doroff@fws.gov, douglas burn@fws.gov, rosa meehan@fws.gov

Sea otters have exhibited a remarkable capacity to recolonize former range after having been depleted to only 1,000-2,000 otters world-wide. During the 1980s, the sea otter population in Alaska was growing rapidly. Between 1986 and 2001, however, the sea otter population declined dramatically throughout southwest Alaska. The area of decline ranges from the Near Islands to the Kodiak archipelago. During the 1990s, the sea otter population in the Aleutian Island chain declined at a rate of 17.5% yr⁻¹ and overall, counts decreased by 70% throughout the archipelago by 2000. In 2000, we estimated the population to be only 10% of the potential carrying capacity, representing an estimated loss of 65,000 sea otters from the archipelago in 8yrs. Between 2000 and 2003, counts declined by 63% at 6 index sites in the west and central Aleutian Island chain. Based on surveys conducted in 2000 and 2001 of the Alaska Peninsula, the sea otter population declined by 27-49% along the northern Peninsula and 93-94% along the southern Peninsula since 1986. Sea otters were concentrated in bays and lagoons of the Peninsula, whereas historically, large rafts were distributed offshore as far as 50km. In the Kodiak archipelago, sea otter abundance estimates have declined 56% at an estimated rate of 6.7% yr^{-1} since 1989. As of 2001, there has been no population range expansion documented and overall density has decreased in the nearshore habitat in the Kodiak archipelago. In the region of decline, sea otter forage habitat is highly variable (rocky, mixed, and soft sediment substrates) and the population status (relative to equilibrium density) was varied. Sea otter population declines are similar in all areas surveyed in the following ways 1) severity, 2) the decline occurred within similar time periods, and 3) severe declines of pinniped populations have occurred in the same general region. In 2001, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service designated the southwest sea otter population stock is a candidate species for listing under the Endangered Species Act. The population declines in southwest Alaska are one of the most significant conservation issues in our time for the northern sea otters.
POSTER: Species at Risk

Pregnancy Rates of Harbor Seals in Alaska

Anne Hoover-Miller¹, Maki Kurihara², Suzanne Conlon¹, Kendall Mashburn^{1,3} and Shannon Atkinson^{1,3}

¹Alaska SeaLife Center, P.O Box 1329, Seward, AK 99664-1329,

²1384-108 Suneori Tsugugashima-shi Saitama 350-2213 Japan
³Univ. of Alaska, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, Fairbanks, Alaska 99775-7220 anne_hoovermiller@alaskasealife.org, makikuri@hawaii.edu, suzanne_conlon@alaskasealife.org, kendall_mashburn@alaskasealife.org, shannon_atkinson@alaskasealife.org

Age-specific pregnancy rates and incidences of reproductive failures in seals affect population growth rates. We examined 54 reproductive tracts, obtained from subsistence harvested seals between January 2000 and May 2002 by the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission's (ANHSC) Biosampling Program. A portion of our study assessed age-specific pregnancy rates by gross dissection. Ages of seals were determined by sectioning of canine teeth (ADF&G, unpublished). Ten tracts that were insufficiently complete or did not have associated age data were not included in this analysis. 26 reproductive tracts were obtained from Prince William Sound, and 18 were from other locations in Alaska ranging from Bristol Bay to southeast Alaska.

Pregnancy rates for the 26 seals in Prince William Sound were 0% for 0-2 year olds (n=8), 50% for 3-year-olds (n=2), 67% for 4-year-olds (n=3) and 100% for seals 6 years and older (n=13). Primiparous seals ranged from 3-6 years old. Reproductive failure occurred in one 4-year-old seal that showed evidence of a uterine bacterial infection.

Pregnancy rates for the 18 seals harvested elsewhere in Alaska also showed pregnancy rates of 0% for 0-2 year olds (n=9). None of the 3-year-olds (n=3) were pregnant and two showed evidence of vaginal infections. All of the 7-14 year-old seals harvested after implantation in October (N=3) were pregnant but the pregnancy status of an additional 7-year-old could not be determined as implantation may not have occurred. A single 26-year old showed a failed pregnancy.

These data represent seals across a broad geographic range. Although samples sizes are too small for conclusive comparisons, they do not suggest marked differences in pregnancy rates from previous studies. Of the 3-4 year-olds (n=8) reaching reproductive maturity, 3 showed evidence of vaginal or uterine infections. The continued contributions of reproductive tracts through the ANHSC biosampling program are essential for more comprehensive assessments of current reproductive rates and causes of reproductive failures in seals throughout Alaska.

POSTER: Species at Risk

Geological Structuring of Subtidal Habitat and Its Influence on the Foraging Strategy of Sea Otters: Simpson Bay, Prince William Sound, Alaska

Christian Noll¹, Timothy Dellapenna¹, Andrea Gilkinson², Randall Davis², Heidi Pearson² and Fred Weltz³

 ¹ Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University
 ² Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences, Texas A&M University
 ³ Alice Cove Research Station cnoll@ocean.tamu.edu, dellapet@tamug.tamu.edu, akgilkinson@hotmail.com

Beginning in the summer of 2001, Simpson Bay, in Prince William Sound Alaska, has been the site of an on-going interdisciplinary investigation of the foraging strategies of sea otters. By bringing together a team of marine biologists and geologists, we have coupled extensive observational analyses of sea otter behavior with a geophysical and geological investigation of the bay. The result is a comprehensive framework for investigating sea otter/benthic community interactions in the subtidal environment. Simpson Bay is part of an interconnected array of fjords, bays, islands and open water which was selected as a study site for its high density of sea otters, its protected open water area and the logistical support provided by the Alice Cove Research Station. Fjord morphology within the bay creates an estuarine environment with a spring tidal range in excess of 5 meters.

The geophysical component of the study combined multiple techniques to characterize subtidal habitats. A side scan sonar mosaic was generated for the entire subtidal area of the bay, along with a high-resolution bathymetric map. Sonar images in shallow water environments show high backscatter, which indicates coarse sediments, bedrock outcrops, and moraine deposits. Sonar images of deeper portions of the bay show low backscatter and are associated with fine grain material. In addition, 275 surface sediment grab samples were collected to investigate the surficial geology and delineate habitat heterogeneity in the fjord. Although Simpson Bay has not had a tidewater glacier in recorded time, most sediment samples contain large, angular clasts suggesting ice rafted glacial debris. High-resolution seismic data from a CHIRP subbottom profiler and short (1-2m) cores will be used to create a temporal record of sedimentation rates, large-scale events and glacial retreat. The biological component of the study is an ongoing effort to correlate sea otter feeding strategies to the subtidal environment. Preliminary results indicate that depth most influences sea otter feeding strategies. Further investigation needs to be undertaken to look at the attributes of Simpson Bay's benthic community that allows it to support such a large prey population in such a small area.

POSTER: Species at Risk

Magnitude and Sources of Variability in Steller Sea Lion (Eumetopias jubatus) Prey Quality

Johanna Vollenweider^{1,2} and Ron Heintz¹

¹Auke Bay Lab, NOAA Fisheries, US Department of Commerce, Juneau, Alaska ²Juneau Center, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks Johanna.Vollenweider@noaa.gov, Ron.Heintz@noaa.gov

Recent population declines of piscivorous predators across multiple taxa in the North Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea have led to the investigation of nutritional stress as a plausible cause. Bioenergetic models, which are particularly sensitive to prey quality inputs, require detailed information regarding the magnitude and sources of variability of prey body composition. Proximate composition and energy density of several important Steller sea lion (Eumetopias jubatus) prey species, including walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma), Pacific herring (Clupea harengus), eulachon (Thaleichthys pacificus), capelin (Mallotus villosus) and Pacific hake (Merluccius productus) were evaluated through a systematic experimental design. For a given species of fish, proximate composition varied significantly, particularly lipid content which varied by an average of 35-fold among individuals within a species, with over 100-fold differences among individual mature pollock. Seasonal effects primarily accounted for variability within species. A general cyclical trend of body composition was observed, with increasing lipid stores (and consequentially energy density) throughout the summer coincident with intense feeding activity, peaking in the fall or winter, and subsequently declining to a minimum immediately prior to or following spawning. Shifts in peak condition among species caused the relative ranking of prey to alternate depending upon season, with no one species remaining a superior source of lipid or energy content. Other factors such as gender, location and size had minimal effect on body composition. The relatively large fluctuations in proximate composition have important ramifications for piscivorous predators, which may experience relatively large differences in nutrient content of a single species depending on the time of year they are consumed.

ί

Applied Fisheries Science and Management Issues Wednesday 14 January 2004 10:00 AM – 12:20 PM

Did Bottom Trawling in Bristol Bay's Red King Crab Brood-Stock Refuge Contribute to the Collapse of Alaska's Most Valuable Fishery? C. Braxton Dew and Robert A. McConnaughey

Producer Cooperatives and Producer Organizations Ralph Townsend and Gunnar Knapp

Monitoring Dynamics of the Alaska Coastal Current and Development of Applications for Management of Cook Inlet Salmon T. Mark Willette and W. Scott Pegau

Disentangling the Relative Roles of Subsistence Harvest and Natural Factors in Altering Rocky Intertidal Food Webs and Ecosystem Productivity on the Kenai Peninsula

Anne Salomon, Jennifer Ruesink, Nick Tanape, David Glahn, Paul McCollum and Henry Huntington

The Fishery Interaction Team: Investigating the Potential for Commercial Fishing to Compete with Endangered Steller Sea Lions for Shared Prey Elizabeth A. Logerwell

Application of New Sonar Technology to Reducing Salmon Bycatch in Pollock Trawl Fisheries

Craig S. Rose

Sperm Whale and Longline Fisheries Interactions in the Eastern Gulf of Alaska Janice Straley, Victoria O'Connell, Linda Behnken and Sarah Mesnick

POSTERS

Recent Changes in Gulf of Alaska Community Structure Paul J. Anderson and David R. Jackson-

Trawl Comparisons and Fishing Power Corrections for the *F/V Northwest Explorer*, *R/V TINRO*, and *R/V Kaiyo Maru* During the 2002 BASIS Survey James Murphy, Olga Temnykh, Tomonori Azumaya, Edward Farley, Jamal Moss and John Helle

Evaluation of Two Methods to Discriminate Pacific Herring (*Clupea pallasi***) Stocks Along the Northern Gulf Of Alaska** Ted Otis and Ron Heintz

Did Bottom Trawling in Bristol Bay's Red King Crab Brood-Stock Refuge Contribute to the Collapse of Alaska's Most Valuable Fishery?

C. Braxton Dew and Robert A. McConnaughey

National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA braxton.dew@noaa.gov, bob.mcconnaughey@noaa.gov

The 1976 Magnuson Act effectively eliminated the Bristol Bay no-trawl zone known as the Pot Sanctuary. Implemented by the Japanese in 1959, the boundaries of the Pot-Sanctuary refuge closely matched the well-defined distribution of the red king crab population's mature-female brood stock, thus affording a measure of protection to the reproductive potential of the stock. In 1980, the point at which the commercial harvest of Bristol Bay legal-male red king crab reached an all-time high after a decade-long increase, domestic bottom trawling in the brood-stock sanctuary began in earnest with the advent of a U.S.-Soviet, joint-venture, yellowfin sole fishery. In 1980, the first year of trawling in the Pot Sanctuary, the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands (BSAI) red king crab bycatch increased by 371% over the 1977-79 average; in 1981 the BSAI bycatch increased another 235%. As the number of unmonitored domestic trawls in the brood-stock area increased rapidly after 1979 and anecdotal reports of "red bags" (trawl cod-ends plugged with red king crab) began to circulate, the proportion of males in the mature population (0.25 in 1981 and 0.16 in 1982) jumped to 0.54 in 1985 and 0.65 in 1986. It is unlikely that normal demographics caused this sudden reversal in sex ratio. Our hypothesis is that alternating, sex-specific sources of fishing mortality were at work. Initially there were ten years (1970-1980) of increasing, male-only exploitation, followed by a drastic reduction in the male harvest after 1980 (to zero in 1983). Then, beginning around 1980, there was an increase in bottom trawling among the highly aggregated, sexually mature female brood stock concentrated within the Unimak-Amak area at the western end of the Alaska Peninsula, an area documented by previous investigators to be the most productive spawning, incubating, and hatching ground for Bristol Bay red king crab. There has been considerable discussion about possible natural causes (e.g., meteorological regime shifts, increasing rates of predation, epizootic diseases) of the abrupt collapse of the Bristol Bay red king crab population in the early 1980s. Our discussion focuses on the association between the overharvest of male crab in the directed fishery, the onset of large-scale commercial trawling within the population's primary reproductive refuge, and the population's collapse.

J

,

;

1

- 、

Producer Cooperatives and Producer Organizations

Ralph Townsend¹ and Gunnar Knapp²

¹University of Maine ²University of Alaska Anchorage Ralph Townsend@umit.maine.edu, Gunnar.Knapp@uaa.alaska.edu

In June 2003 the University of Alaska Anchorage Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER) hosted a workshop on fisheries self-governance. Funding for the workshop was provided by the Pollock Conservation Cooperative Research Center and the University of Alaska.

"Fisheries self-governance" refers to formal and informal arrangements under which groups of fish harvesters are creating organizations and writing contracts to govern themselves, with and without formal recognition by government management agencies. These include cooperatives, formal contractual agreements, industry-only management committees, and (in some cases) informal agreements. Workshop participants from universities, governments, and industry discussed examples of fisheries self-governance in a number of fisheries around the world, including Bering Sea pollock, Alaska weathervane scallop, Chignik salmon, Oregon whiting, New Zealand orange roughy, New Zealand scallop, New Zealand lobster, Atlantic Canada offshore scallops, British Columbia geoducks, Matjes herring, Oregon Yaquina Bay herring, and Hawaii lobsters.

Virtually all forms of fisheries self-governance arose within some kind of limited entry/property rights management program. Self-governance usually involves contracting among the limited set of participants, using traditional contract law. Most cases involved relatively small numbers of fishermen, probably because the transactions costs of negotiating and enforcing contracts increase with the number of participants. The advantage that drives self-governance is that the industry can often negotiate rules more efficiently, can negotiate rules that would be difficult for regulatory agencies to reach (and in some cases, as under the U.S. ITQ moratorium, illegal for agencies), and can enforce rules at lower cost. For example, flexible area closures may be very difficult under regulatory structures with complicated notice-and-hearing procedures, but simple for an industry with a few participants.

Fisheries self-governance structures often include rules that have significant environmental benefits. Some of these environmental benefits arise because the industry benefits directly from the more productive environment, as when harvests of small fish are avoided. In other cases, as in avoiding by-catches or incidental takes, the industry is able to avoid more onerous externally imposed rules. Self-governance can provide a flexible alternative to traditional regulatory structures.

The workshop papers are now being edited for future publication as a book. Copies of presentation and other information about the workshop are available at www.iser.uaa.alaska.edu/projects/coops.htm.

Monitoring Dynamics of the Alaska Coastal Current and Development of Applications for Management of Cook Inlet Salmon

T. Mark Willette¹ and W. Scott Pegau²

¹ Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game, 43961 Kalifornsky Beach Rd, Ste B, Soldotna, Alaska 99669-8367

²Kachemak Bay Research Reserve, 2181 Homer, Alaska 99603 mark willette@fishgame.state.ak.us., spegau@coas.oregonstate.edu

This project uses a vessel of opportunity to collect physical oceanographic and fisheries data along a transect across lower Cook Inlet from Anchor Point to the Red River delta. Logistical support for the field sampling is provided in part by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game which has chartered a vessel annually to fish along this transect each day during July providing inseason projections of the size of salmon runs returning to the inlet. The project provides an opportunity for long-term monitoring of oceanographic conditions in Cook Inlet as part of these ongoing fisheries surveys. Investigators are analyzing physical oceanographic data collected by the project to improve management of Cook Inlet salmon through improved inseason salmon run. projections. Several hypotheses regarding effects of changing oceanographic conditions on salmon migratory behavior will be tested: (1) salmon migration is delayed when fish encounter strong salinity gradients, (2) interannual changes in freshwater outflow from upper Cook Inlet or the northward extent of the Alaska Coastal Current affect salmon migratory timing, (3) the variance of relative salmon density is a function of salmon abundance and the structure of tide rips, and (4) salmon use tidal currents in upper Cook Inlet to facilitate their northward migration. The oceanographic data collected by the project will also provide for valuable validation of remote sensing products, improved understanding of ocean dynamics in lower Cook Inlet, and a highly powerful statistical evaluation of oil spill risk analysis models.

Disentangling the Relative Roles of Subsistence Harvest and Natural Factors in Altering Rocky Intertidal Food Webs and Ecosystem Productivity on the Kenai Peninsula

Anne Salomon¹, Jennifer Ruesink¹, Nick Tanape², David Glahn³, Paul McCollum⁴ and Henry Huntington⁵

¹University of Washington, Department of Biology ²Nanwalek Native Village ³Port Graham Native Village

⁴Chugach Regional Resources Commission

⁵Huntington Consulting

salomon@u.washington.edu, ruesink@u.washington.edu, paul.mccollum@acsalaska.net, hph@alaska.net

The removal of a strongly interacting species by fishing can fundamentally alter coastal food web structure and function. Although current fisheries science is increasingly recognizing the ecosystem-level effects of fishing, the mechanisms involved remain elusive in part because systems are large, effort is widespread, natural forcing functions are prevalent and manipulations of effort at the appropriate scale are not always tractable. On the rocky shores of the outer Kenai Peninsula the black chiton, Katharina *tunicata*, known locally as 'Bidarki', remains an important traditional subsistence food source for Sugpiag Natives. Elsewhere, this strongly-interacting benthic grazer is known to have dramatic impacts on the community structure, dynamics and productivity of temperate rocky intertidal food webs. We evaluated the relative roles of Katharina harvest versus natural factors (predation by sea otters, variable recruitment and wave force) in altering the density and size structure of Katharina. Furthermore, we quantified the direct and indirect effects of exploitation on the composition and productivity of this coastal marine food web. Large *Katharina* (> 70 mm) become increasingly rare at sites that are more frequently visited for traditional subsistence harvest, however sea otter presence is greater and recruitment is lower at these sites thereby synergistically contributing to lower densities of the chiton. Primary production (kelp growth) and algal and invertebrate assemblages vary among heavily, moderately and unharvested sites. Our comparisons of community structure and productivity across sites cannot resolve causation because of covariance among the factors that could influence community structure (i.e. high human harvest and sea otter predation, low recruitment, reduced wave exposure). However, experimental removals of Katharina, now in process, will help determine its interaction strength. Two large-scale adaptive management harvest experiments plus a series of small-scale removal experiments across sites of varying levels of wave exposures and predation will allow us to elucidate and predict the indirect, food web effects of subsistence harvest and/or natural factors that reduce Katharina densities. Finally, demographic parameters of Katharina at both harvested and unharvested sites will provide information to facilitate the sustainable harvest and conservation of this ecologically and culturally important species.

The Fishery Interaction Team: Investigating the Potential for Commercial Fishing to Compete with Endangered Steller Sea Lions for Shared Prey

Elizabeth A. Logerwell

NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center Libby.Logerwell@noaa.gov

The Fishery Interaction Team (FIT) at the Alaska Fisheries Science Center (National Marine Fisheries Service, USA) was formed in 2000 to investigate the potential impact of commercial fishing on the spatial distribution and abundance of marine fishes. FIT researchers are presently interested in interactions between commercial fisheries and endangered Steller sea lions. Specifically, we are conducting experiments to determine whether commercial fishing operations potentially impact the foraging success of sea lions either through disturbance of prev schools or through direct competition for a common prey. The objectives of our current research projects are to: 1) test the hypothesis that commercial fishing results in depletion or disruption of prey fields at the spatial scale of tens of nautical miles and the temporal scale of weeks, and 2) evaluate the efficacy of management measures designed to mitigate competition between commercial fishing and sea lions. This presentation will provide a brief overview of our three field investigations and summarize results to date. Particular attention will be paid to the results of our research on the efficacy of trawl exclusion zones in the Aleutian Islands. Trawl exclusion zones were put into place around several sea lion rookeries in response to concerns about localized depletion of Atka mackerel, the primary prey of sea lions in the Aleutians. In order for the zones to be effective at maintaining sufficient prey for Steller sea lions there should be little movement of fish from inside to outside the zones and the abundance of fish within the zones should be high. Results to date suggest that in some areas there is little fish movement from inside to outside the exclusion zones and fish abundance inside is high. In other areas, the opposite appears to be true - fish movement is high and abundance inside exclusion zones is low. These differences may be due to differences in the size and location of trawl exclusion zones between areas. The implications of these results for the design of protective measures such as trawl exclusion zones and marine protected areas will be discussed.

Application of New Sonar Technology to Reducing Salmon Bycatch in Pollock Trawl Fisheries

Craig S. Rose

RACE Division, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries craig.rose@noaa.gov

Trawl modifications to reduce salmon (Oncorhynchus sp.) bycatch in Alaska's walleye pollock (Theragra chalcogramma) fisheries are being jointly developed by the fishing industry and scientists from the Alaska Fisheries Science Center. Critical to this effort is the ability to observe the response of both species to trawl components during capture without artificial light. The necessary capability has been provided by development of a highresolution, rapid-update sonar. Initial observations with this sonar indicated that salmon used their superior swimming ability to move back and forth in the trawl, while pollock were limited to very brief forward movements. Design concepts to exploit this difference to allow salmon escape were provided through consultation with trawl skippers and builders. Specific designs were developed and tested, first in a flume tank and then in the field. Sonar and video observations confirmed salmon and pollock reactions to several device configurations and a single design was selected for performance testing. Tests under commercial conditions, using a recapture net to retain escaping fish, estimated that 12% of the salmon were able to escape, while 98% of the pollock were retained. Behavior observations during those tests indicated several options for improving species separation. Further tests will be conducted beginning in January 2004.

Sperm Whale and Longline Fisheries Interactions in the Eastern Gulf of Alaska

Janice Straley¹, Victoria O'Connell², Linda Behnken³ and Sarah Mesnick⁴

¹University of Alaska Southeast Sitka Campus ²Alaska Department of Fish & Game

³Alaska Longline Fishermen's Association

⁴Southwest Fisheries Science Center

Jan.Straley@uas.alaska.edu, victoria_oconnell@fishgame.state.ak.us, alfafish@ptialaska.net, Sarah.Mesnick@noaa.gov

Sperm whales have learned to take sablefish, a natural prey, off longline gear in the Gulf of Alaska. Reports of depredation were first noted in 1978 and have steadily increased in frequency and severity, with a notable increase since the late 1990s, likely due to the lengthening of the fishing season. No mortality or serious injury has yet occurred, although mortalities and serious injury of sperm whales have occurred in other areas of the world due to similar fisheries interactions. In Alaska, depredation has created economic loss for fishermen and presents fisheries managers with a difficult assessment problem because the amount of sablefish mortality caused by sperm whales is unknown. Through cooperative research with fishermen, government and scientists, our ultimate goal is to provide recommendations for strategies to reduce or eliminate depredation on longline gear by sperm whales.

During the first year of this study, we collected information, with collaboration from ten fishermen from the southeastern Alaskan fishing fleet, about interactions with sperm whales, including the timing of interactions seasonally and diurnally. We collected photographs of 17 individual sperm whales occurring near longline vessels, and at least that many were involved in depredation, on sablefish and halibut between March and August 2003. Three whales were resighted twice and one whale was resighted four times. Ten genetic samples were taken from a total of six different whales; all of which were male. We found that sperm whales were vocally active around longline vessels deploying and hauling in gear, making short pulses called 'clicks' that are generally believed to allow the whales to navigate, detect, and forage on individual prey items-in this case, hooked fish. Future plans include adding a passive acoustic component to track whales, longline gear and longline vessels simultaneously and obtain acoustic profiles of each vessel. We hope, eventually, this research will: define the scope of the problem; help identify stock structure and the ecology of this endangered species; provide baseline information needed for studying depredation mechanisms and cues; and, finally, develop solutions to reduce negative interactions.

Recent Changes in Gulf of Alaska Community Structure

Paul J. Anderson¹ and David R. Jackson²

へんしてなべんのののつ

¹ Kodiak Fisheries Research Center, 301 Research Court, Kodiak, Alaska 99615
 ² Alaska Department of Fish and Game, 211 Mission Road, Kodiak, Alaska 99615
 paul.j.anderson@noaa.gov, Dave_jackson@fishgame.state.ak.us

Significant changes in community structure of the benthic and epi-benthic community have been observed in the area adjacent to Kodiak Island area since 1998. Recent results from the ongoing long-term small-mesh trawl survey which has been conducted in the Gulf of Alaska for more than 50 years (1953 -2003) indicates partial community structuring is taking place in the Kodiak Island region. In general, shrimp and forage fishes are increasing and some, but not all, predatory fishes are declining or shifting their distribution. Several species of Pandalid shrimps have increased in overall abundance in some Kodiak Island and adjacent Alaska peninsula bays. Analysis of size structure of the pandalid shrimp population gives some clues to the age of relatively. dominant year classes. It appears that most of the biomass is the result of the formation of strong year-classes since 1998. This coincides well with observations of the PDO index that indicate cooler ocean conditions in the northeast Pacific Ocean since 1998. It appears that pandalid shrimp are good indicators of changing ocean conditions. There has also been an observed increase in the overall abundance of eulachon (especially of young of the year size classes) in most sampled areas. Less spatial segregation in eulachon abundance is apparent in recent survey data when compared to other increasing species groups.⁻ While some surveyed locations show significant community composition changes, other areas remain virtually unchanged from earlier recent surveys. Spatial segregation of community composition trends needs to be studied in order to understand driving mechanisms that may be controlling these changes.

Trawl Comparisons and Fishing Power Corrections for the *F/V Northwest Explorer*, *R/V TINRO*, and *R/V Kaiyo Maru* During the 2002 BASIS Survey

James Murphy¹, Olga Temnykh², Tomonori Azumaya³, Edward Farley¹, Jamal Moss¹, and John Helle¹

¹NMFS, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Auke Bay Laboratory, 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801, USA

²Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-Centre), 4 Shevchenko Alley, Vladivostok 690950, Russia

³Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, Fisheries Research Agency, 116 Katsurakoi, Kushiro, Japan

Multi-vessel surveys are an integral component of the BASIS (Bering-Aleutian Salmon International Survey) research program, requiring the standardization of catch rates between vessels. Fishing power models were developed for this purpose using data from a joint trawling experiment in the Bering Sea between September 12 and September 18, 2002. The Kaiyo maru (Japan) and the Northwest Explorer (United States) completed joint trawling at five stations, the Northwest Explorer and the TINRO (Russia) completed joint trawling at six stations, and all three vessels completed joint trawling at one station. Trawls differed in their headrope length and number of wingtips; trawls were configured with different bridle lengths, warp lengths, door sizes, and footrope weights; and vessels differed in their size and horsepower. These differences resulted in differences in sampling depth (vertical opening of the trawl), trawl width, warp length, and trawling speed. Immature chum salmon (Oncorhynchus keta), sockeye salmon (O. nerka), chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha), and juvenile Atka mackerel (Pleurogrammus *monopterygius*) were the primary species and life-history stages caught during the trawl comparisons; models were constructed for each of these species. Generalized linear models were used to fit fishing power models to catch and catch rates with a robust maximum likelihood approach. Results from fishing power models indicate that the Kaivo maru had the greatest fishing power for both catch and catch rates for all species. followed by the TINRO and the Northwest Explorer. The TINRO and the Northwest Explorer were most similar in their fishing power for salmon, whereas the Kaiyo maru and TINRO were most similar in their fishing power for Atka mackerel. Fishing power corrections were larger for catch than catch rates due to different effort levels by each vessel. Catch rate fishing power coefficients for all species were significant at the p<0.10 level; however, only Atka mackerel was significant at the p<0.05 level. Fishing power coefficients for catch for all species except sockeye salmon were significant at the p < 0.10level; Atka mackerel and chinook salmon were significant at the p<0.05 level. Fishing power-models were able to provide reasonable corrections for the different pelagic trawls used by BASIS vessels, and will enable us to jointly analyze catches from all three vessels.

Evaluation of Two Methods to Discriminate Pacific Herring (*Clupea pallasi*) Stocks Along the Northern Gulf Of Alaska

Ted Otis¹ and Ron Heintz²

(

¹Alaska Department of Fish and Game ²NOAA Fisheries – Auke Bay Laboratory Ted_Otis@fishgame.state.ak.us, Ron.Heintz@NOAA.Gov

Understanding the stock structure of northern Gulf of Alaska (NGA) herring is relevant to how these exploited populations are assessed and managed. We evaluated the capabilities of otolith microchemistry and heart tissue fatty acid profile to identify the stock of origin for herring sampled from four focal spawning aggregations in the NGA, and two outside the NGA (Sitka and Togiak). Otolith microchemistries were measured (ppt) using an electron microprobe equipped with four wavelength dispersive spectrometers. Fatty acid profiles were identified by gas chromatography and flame ionization of lipids purified from whole hearts. MANOVA revealed significant differences in the otolith microchemistries of herring from the three regions: Sitka, Togiak and (P<0.0001). However, discriminant function analysis (DFA) indicated that otolith microchemistries could not be used to discriminate herring from the NGA from those of the other regions. Similarly, differences were detected among the four focal spawning aggregations sampled in the NGA, but these could not be reliably discriminated into a priori groups. Analysis of the fatty acid data revealed significant regional differences among the herring from Sitka, NGA and Togiak (P < 0.0001) and also among the four NGA stocks (P < 0.0001). The DFA demonstrated that fatty acid profiles could be used to correctly identify unknown samples from the four NGA groups more than 90% of the time. These data demonstrate the potential for using heart tissue fatty acid profiles to discriminate among Pacific herring stocks on relatively fine spatial (< 100 km) scales.

Undersea Research and Ocean Exploration Wednesday 14 January 2004 1:30 – 2:50 PM

Research on Benthic Habitat at the NOAA Fisheries Auke Bay Laboratory

Jonathan Heifetz, Robert P. Stone, Patrick W. Malecha, Dean L. Courtney, Jeffrey T. Fujioka, and Phillip W. Rigby

Biological Exploration of Canada Basin

Katrin Iken, Bodil Bluhm, Rolf Gradinger, Russ Hopcroft, Terry Whitledge, Ian McDonald, Mike Vecchione, David Stein

Effects of Chronic Bottom Trawling on the Size-Structure of Soft-Bottom Benthic Invertebrates in Bristol Bay

Robert A. McConnaughey, Stephen E. Syrjala and C. Braxton Dew

Pilot Nearshore Habitat Mapping Using Acoustic and Visual Techniques in Bristol Bay, Alaska

Brian D. Bornhold, John R. Harper, and Kel Kopeck

POSTERS

NaGISA: Natural Geography In Shore Areas Katrin Iken and Brenda Konar

Multibeam Sonar Mapping of Deep Coral Habitat in the Central Aleutians Jennifer R. Reynolds, Jonathan Heifetz, Douglas A. Woodby, Robert Stone, Tracy L. Vallier and H. Gary Greene

Research on Benthic Habitat at the NOAA Fisheries Auke Bay Laboratory

Jonathan Heifetz, Robert P. Stone, Patrick W. Malecha, Dean L. Courtney, Jeffrey T. Fujioka, and Phillip W. Rigby

Auke Bay Laboratory, NOAA Fisheries Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 11305 Glacier Highway, Juneau, AK 99801, U.S.A.

jon.heifetz@noaa.gov, bob.stone@noaa.gov, pat.malecha@noaa.gov, dean.courtney@noaa.gov, jeff.fujioka@noaa.gov, phillip.rigby@noaa.gov

Since 1996, the Auke Bay Laboratory has been conducting research on the effects of fishing gear on benthic habitat. Most of this research has focused on the effects of bottom trawls because this gear is the most controversial due to documented changes in species composition and diversity and a reduction in habitat complexity associated with use of this gear type. This research has been in response to the need for information to develop appropriate measures for minimizing the adverse impacts of fishing on habitat, as required in the Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Management Act. Research has focused on 1) understanding the direct effects of bottom trawling on seafloor habitat; 2) the associations of fish and invertebrate species with habitat features that may be affected by fishing gear; 3) development of analytical tools to assess habitat impacts and evaluate proposed mitigation measures; and 4) life histories of habitat-forming benthic invertebrates. The purpose of this talk is to provide an overview of research on benthic habitat being conducted by the Groundfish Assessment Program at the Auke Bay Laboratory. Highlighted is new research that focuses on coral and sponge habitat in the Aleutian Islands. This research will provide the first detailed mapping of coral and sponge habitats for the Aleutian Islands, where species diversity is unusually high and where incidental mortality of corals and sponges is a challenging problem in the area's fisheries that use bottom contact gear. The goal of this multidisciplinary research is to construct a statistical model to predict coral and sponge distribution as a function of measurable environmental characteristics, and if successful, this predictive model can be used to inform management decisions for protecting corals and sponges in areas lacking detailed mapping and dive-supported observations.

Biological Exploration of Canada Basin

Katrin Iken¹, Bodil Bluhm¹, Rolf Gradinger¹, Russ Hopcroft¹, Terry Whitledge¹, Ian McDonald², Mike Vecchione³, David Stein³

¹University of Alaska Fairbanks, Institute of Marine Science, Fairbanks, AK 99775

² Texas A&M University, Corpus Christi, TX 78412

³ NOAA/NMFS National Systematics Laboratory, Washington, DC 20013-7012 iken@ims.uaf.edu

In August 2002, the NOAA Ocean Exploration Program funded a multidisciplinary cruise to the deep Arctic Canada Basin. Focal points of the biological studies were water column productivity, sea ice communities, zooplankton and nekton communities, benthic communities and the interconnecting trophic web structure. Imaging tools, such as the ROV "Global Explorer" or video recordings under the sea ice proved to be powerful tools in giving first impressions of this under-explored high Arctic ecosystem.

Carbon and nitrogen productivity of the water column was measured at 13 locations including three ice stations. A chlorophyll maximum was observed at many stations at 50-60 m. Primary production under the ice is about an order of magnitude lower than in open water. The occurrence and behavior of sympagic amphipods and Arctic cod were studied. Amphipods were less abundant than reported from other parts of the Arctic, but occurred in mean abundances between 1 and 23 m⁻² at each station. Small schools of Arctic cod were discovered in narrow wedges along the ice edges, which were documented for the first time as important fish habitat. An unexpected high abundance of small-bodied copepod species was found, the importance of which has not been pursued in the Arctic. In situ observations suggested a more abundant assemblage of gelatinous taxa than expected, with many species having distinct depth ranges, some extending to the bottom of the basin. Macro-infauna was sampled by box core between 640-3250m. Total abundances and biomass were highest in the shallow Amundsen Gulf and lowest in the deep basin. Polychaetes and crustaceans were most abundant while polychaetes and mollusks dominated the biomass. ROV surveys revealed epifauna where hard substrate was available for attachment. Species diversity of fishes was low with only six putative species; diversity varied among stations sampled. Qualitative ROV video analysis suggests that demersal nekton may be selecting habitats based on the presence or absence, or density, of other benthic forms. Stable isotope analysis suggests that most of the primary production is consumed by water column grazers and that the benthos relies more on refractory material received from sinking grazers and their products.

Effects of Chronic Bottom Trawling on the Size-Structure of Soft-Bottom Benthic Invertebrates in Bristol Bay

ہے۔ ر

Robert A. McConnaughey, Stephen E. Syrjala and C. Braxton Dew

NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle, WA

bob.mcconnaughey@noaa.gov, steve.syrjala@noaa.gov, braxton.dew@noaa.gov

Although chronic bottom trawling reduces benthic biomass, it is generally unknown whether this represents a decrease in numbers of individuals or a decrease in mean body size. This distinction is important because body size directly affects the fitness of individuals, thereby influencing the structure and function of populations, communities and ecosystems. Using comprehensive historical effort data, adjacent untrawled (UT) and heavily trawled (HT) areas were identified along the boundary of a long-standing no-trawl zone in Bristol Bay, a naturally disturbed offshore area of the eastern Bering Sea. The study site is shallow (44-52 m) with a sandy substrate, ubiquitous bottom ripples, and strong tidal currents. A modified research trawl was used to collect 42 HT-UT paired samples of benthic epifauna and infauna. These data were used to compare mean sizes (kg) of 16 invertebrate taxa. On average, fifteen of these taxa were smaller in the HT area and the overall HT-UT difference in body size was statistically significant (P=0.0001). However, only the whelk Neptunea (P=0.0001) and the Actiniaria (sea anemones; P=0.002) were significantly smaller in the HT area after correcting for multiple tests. Mean size of red king crab was 23% greater in the HT area (P=0.17). Supplemental length-frequency data indicate that substantially fewer small red king crab, rather than more large individuals, occupy the HT area (P=0.0001). We consider these results in combination with biomass differences reported previously and draw general conclusions about the status of these populations. Overall, the observed effects are generally consistent with theoretical expectations but were probably limited in magnitude by site-specific factors. Finally, a large number of within-year, within-taxon comparisons of mean body size was made using 1982-2001 NMFS trawl survey data collected in the same closed area. These comparisons indicate natural variability of body size in untrawled areas is large relative to the observed HT-UT differences due to chronic bottom trawling. Unfortunately, our ability to interpret the observed effects is limited because so little is known about the invertebrate taxa studied, not to mention the complex linkages amongst them and with federally managed groundfish.

Pilot Nearshore Habitat Mapping Using Acoustic and Visual Techniques in Bristol Bay, Alaska

Brian D. Bornhold¹, John R. Harper¹, and Kel Kopeck²

¹Coastal and Ocean Resources Inc., Sidney, British Columbia ² Terra Remote Sensing Inc., Sidney, British Columbia brian@coastalandoceans.com, john@coastalandoceans.com, kelvin.kopek@terraremote.com

A pilot field program was undertaken in northern Bristol Bay to assess the utility of using a combination of acoustic (sidescan sonar) and visual (seabed video) techniques for nearshore habitat mapping and monitoring. Three sites were selected in coastal embayments: western Ungalikthluk Bay, northeastern Summit Island and western Metervik Bay. Sites were surveyed from the intertidal zone to maximum water depths of about 15 m.

This pilot project has demonstrated the efficacy of using a combination of sidescan sonar and towed seabed video imagery for habitat delineation in nearshore areas. Sidescan sonar provides complete seafloor acoustic coverage for mapping substrate types. Ground-truth for substrate interpretations and biological data are provided by video imagery collected with the Seabed Imaging and Mapping System (SIMS, a towed video system). High-frequency (390 kHz) sidescan sonar can be used to map eelgrass distributions. The study showed that sufficient precision exists to use sidescan, ground-truthed by visual data, for monitoring longer-term changes in the distribution of eelgrass.

The combination of geological data with biological data from towed video allowed habitat associations to be delineated. The following common associations were identified by the dominant floral components in Ungalikthluk and Metervik Bays: (1) Eelgrass – sandy gravel, (2) Bladed kelps - sandy gravels (with filamentous red algae), (3) Foliose red algae – muddy-sandy gravel and (4) Coralline algae – bouldery/cobbly sandy gravel (with green urchins and bryozoans).

The videography classification was evaluated for monitoring potential. Assessments of errors associated with video mapping revealed: positional errors of $\pm 4m$ (95% confidence), no significant intra-classifier error (one mapper classifying replicate images) but some differences of inter-classifier error (two mappers classifying the same imagery). Classifier error was resource-dependent (e.g., some species are more easily classified than others). Sensitivity analysis of eelgrass mapping data suggests that the technique is robust enough to detect quite small changes in eelgrass covers; cover changes of less than 10% can be detected under most conditions.

NaGISA: Natural Geography In Shore Areas

Katrin Iken and Brenda Konar

Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks iken@ims.uaf.edu

The potential loss of marine biodiversity has recently spurred an increasing number of studies to identify the importance of biodiversity for ecosystem functioning. Coastal marine biodiversity has the potential to be high when the three-dimensional structure of macroalgal habitats and seagrass communities is present. These shallow water coastal areas also are the areas most impacted by humans, resulting in potential severe effects on near-shore biodiversity. Within the last decade, the need for nearshore biodiversity studies on a large spatial or even global scale has become increasingly obvious. Comparative studies are often hampered by the use of varying methods. For a comparative biodiversity assessment of various spatial and temporal scales, a unified approach is needed.

NaGISA is the Initial Field Project for the Census of Marine Life (CoML). established to examine nearshore biodiversity in macroalgal and seagrass communities on a global scale. The overall goal of this project is to sample globally using protocols developed by the Census of Marine Life program. The NaGISA standard protocols are used to select large core areas and replicate sample sites within the core areas. Standard protocols also are used for sampling. There are two levels of sampling with increasing difficulty. Non-destructive sampling involves the use of photography and observational techniques within random quadrats. Destructive sampling involves the clearing of macrophytes, small macrobenthos and meiobenthos within random quadrats. All flora and fauna are sorted and quantified. Various diversity indices are applied and voucher specimens are made. All data are stored in an international database.

In Alaska, NaGISA sampled in the summer of 2003 with the assistance of local communities, kids groups and university classes. Within each of the larger core areas of Prince William Sound, Kodiak Island, and Kachemak Bay, three rocky bottom sites and one seagrass site were sampled. Over 550 samples are currently being sorted and the first subset of organisms has been sent to taxonomists for verification. A second year of sampling will occur next summer at these same sites to determine annual variation.

Multibeam Sonar Mapping of Deep Coral Habitat in the Central Aleutians

Jennifer R. Reynolds¹, Jonathan Heifetz², Douglas A. Woodby³, Robert Stone², Tracy L. Vallier⁴ and H. Gary Greene⁴

 ¹University of Alaska Fairbanks, School of Fisheries & Ocean Sciences
 ²NOAA Fisheries, Auke Bay Laboratory
 ³Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Juneau
 ⁴Moss Landing Marine Laboratory, Moss Landing, CA jreynolds@guru.uaf.edu, Jon.Heifetz@noaa.gov, doug_woodby@fishgame.state.ak.us, Bob.Stone@noaa.gov, tracy_geo@yahoo.co.uk, greene@mlml.calstate.edu

Recent concern about the role of deep coral species in marine ecosystems, and questions about their vulnerability to disturbance by fishing gear, have prompted an investigation of the distribution of deep coral in the central Aleutian region of Alaska. Recovery of corals by trawl surveys and bycatch in fishing gear indicates that the Aleutians, home to major fisheries, may harbor the highest abundance and diversity of temperate water corals in the world [Heifetz, 2002]. We have initiated a multidisciplinary study to identify habitat associations of the coral and sponge species, with the goal of constructing a predictive model for the distribution of these species on a regional scale. The Aleutian Island chain extends 1600 km westward from the Alaskan mainland; our study focuses on the 500 km central section between Seguam Pass (174 W longitude) and Petrel Bank (180 W longitude). The study combines seafloor characterization by multibeam sonar mapping and supplementary geological information, with visual observations and sampling using the manned submersible Delta (2002-2004) and remotely operated vehicle Jason II (in 2004).

The Aleutian Islands rest on a submerged shallow platform, the Aleutian Ridge, which forms the boundary between the Pacific Ocean and the Bering Sea. The two flanks of the ridge thus face different water masses and current regimes. The seafloor texture and morphology are controlled by local geology. General seafloor categories include slopes mantled by young volcanic debris from the Aleutian arc volcanoes; the shallow, current-swept platform with extensive bedrock outcrops; sediment-covered slopes with sand and mud waves, gullies, and slumps; and deep canyons that cut layers of marine and volcanic sedimentary rock. Fishing pressure varies across the region for both ecological and regulatory reasons. In June 2003, we conducted multibeam bathymetry and backscatter surveys of 17 representative sites, using a combination of 100 kHz and 24 kHz sonar systems. This presentation will focus on the results of the multibeam mapping, with examples of dive observations from the manned submersible Delta. Additional resources: <u>http://www.afsc.noaa.gov/abl/MarFish/coral.htm</u>

Ecosystem Modeling and Data Transfer Wednesday 14 January 2004 3:10 – 4:30 PM

Comparative Analysis of Statistical Tools to Identify Recruitment-Environment Relationships and Forecast Recruitment Strength Bernard A. Megrey, Yong-Woo Lee and S. Allen Macklin

Responses of Ichthyoplankton Biodiversity and Dynamics to Environmental Change Wiebke J. Boeing, Janet T. Duffy-Anderson and Kevin M. Bailey

Detecting Change in the Bering Sea Ecosystem Sergei Rodionov, James E. Overland and Nicholas A. Bond

Predicting State-Dependent Foraging and its Ecological Consequences: Harbor Seals Amidst Predators in Prince William Sound Alejandro Frid, Greg Baker, Larry Dill and Gail Blundell

POSTERS

North Pacific Ecosystem Theme Page and Metadatabase Kimberly Y. Bahl, S. Allen Macklin and Bernard A. Megrey

A ShoreZone Mapping Protocol for Use in Mapping Regional Variations of Nearshore Habitat along the Gulf of Alaska Coast John Harper, Susan Saupe and Mary Morris

Oil Spill Modeling With and Without Sea Ice Cover in the Beaufort Sea Meibing Jin and Jia Wang

ShoreZone Mapping in the Gulf of Alaska: Linking Intertidal Species Assemblages from Ground Surveys to Regional Mapping Mary C. Morris, Susan M. Saupe and Mandy R. Lindeberg

マン

Ecosystems Modeling and Data Transfer

Comparative Analysis of Statistical Tools to Identify Recruitment-Environment Relationships and Forecast Recruitment Strength

Bernard A. Megrey¹, Yong-Woo Lee² and S. Allen Macklin³

¹ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fisheries Science Center, 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle, WA 98115, USA ² Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and the Oceans, P.O. Box 354235, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

³ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, 7600 Sand Point Way NE., Seattle, WA 98115, USA bern.megrey@noaa.gov, YongWoo.Lee@noaa.gov, allen.macklin@noaa.gov

The collective impacts of regime shifts, large multidecadal-scale forcings of marine ecosystems (such as those attributed to the PDO), and natural and man-made influences on variability in fish populations and future states of ecosystems are widely recognized as important areas of study. However, the complexity of the problem often seems beyond the capabilities of traditional statistical analysis paradigms. There may be limitations in theoretical development, inadequate length of time series, the need to partition already short time series into segments representing identified regimes, lack of degrees of freedom, or the inability to meet required assumptions.

This study examines the utility of five separate statistical procedures to identify relationships between recruitment and the environment. Because we can never really know the parameters or underlying relationships of actual data, we chose to use simulated data with known properties and different levels of measurement error to test and compare the methods, especially their ability to forecast future recruitment states. Methods examined include traditional nonlinear regression, multinominal logistic regression, General Additive Models, Generalized Neural Networks, and Probabilistic Neural Networks. Each are compared in their ability to recover known patterns and parameters from the simulated data, as well as to accurately forecast future recruitment states.

Responses of Ichthyoplankton Biodiversity and Dynamics to Environmental Change

Wiebke J. Boeing¹, Janet T. Duffy-Anderson² and Kevin M. Bailey²

¹Joint Institute for the Study of Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington ²Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Wiebke.Boeing@noaa.gov, Janet.Duffy-Anderson@noaa.gov, Kevin.Bailey@noaa.gov

Consequences of the 1976 climatic regime shift in the North Pacific Ocean on the ecosystem were only recognized at higher trophic levels almost a decade afterwards. Lower trophic levels should be most responsive and reflect changes due to environmental perturbations before they propagate upwards, making them potentially useful as early indicators of ecosystem perturbations. The goal of our study is to create a variety of sensitive indices that could be valuable for assessing ecosystem integrity and predicting ecosystem change by exploring lower trophic level dynamics, especially the ichthyoplankton. We are analyzing over 24 years of ichthyoplankton data from the Gulf of Alaska (collected by the Recruitment Processes Program of the Alaska Fisheries Science Center) to develop new metrics to evaluate ecosystem change. We standardized abundance data for each of 77 ichthyoplankton taxa and clustered them into 18 functional groups with the Bray-Curtis distance measure and Flexible Beta linkage method. Variance Partitioning Analysis stressed the importance of geographical and seasonal processes for ichthyoplankton dynamics. Therefore, we used seven geographical strata within the Gulf of Alaska and focused on the May ichthyoplankton assemblage for further analyses. Response variables (abundance of species and functional groups, survival index, diversity, zooplankton volume) were linked to environmental explanatory variables (pacific decadal oscillation, temperature, freshwater runoff, upwelling, retention and wind indices) by canonical correspondence analysis and a multiple regression model. The ichthyoplankton assemblage seems especially sensitive towards temperature indices and survival and diversity indices are probably more reliable indicators than abundance or biomass alone.

Ecosystems Modeling and Data Transfer

Detecting Change in the Bering Sea Ecosystem

Sergei Rodionov¹, James E. Overland² and Nicholas A. Bond¹

¹Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean, University of Washington, Seattle, WA.

²Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, NOAA, Seattle, WA.

Sergei.Rodionov@noaa.gov, James.E.Overland@noaa.gov, Nickolas.A.Bond@noaa.gov

Ĵ

こうううご

Regime shifts are rapid reorganizations of a marine ecosystem from one relatively stable state to another. A life span of such regimes may vary from several years to several decades. A number of methods have been developed to detect regime shifts (or discontinuities) in time series. A review of those methods showed that all of them have the same problem, namely, a drastic deterioration of the test statistics toward the ends of time series. Consequently, a substantial amount of data (at least 5-10 years) must be collected before a regime shift can be detected. As a result, those methods are not suitable for a real-time detection and monitoring of regime shifts. In attempt to overcome this problem, a new method utilizing some ideas of a sequential analysis has been developed. The SARS (Sequential Analysis of Regime Shifts) method works as follows. When a new observation arrives. SARS checks whether it represents a statistically significant deviation from the mean value of the previous regime. If it does, this year is marked as a potential shift, and subsequent observations are used to confirm or reject this hypothesis. An index characterizing the magnitude of the shift (a cumulative sum of the normalized anomalies relatively to the new level) is calculated for each year after the regime shift. The value of the index is compared with its historical values to determine the probability with which the index can reach the level characteristic of major regime shifts. The SARS method is applied to the Bering Sea ecosystem. The ecosystem is characterized by 44 indices broken into five categories: climate indices, atmosphere, ocean, fishery, and biology. All these indices are available from the Bering Climate web site (www.BeringClimate.noaa.gov). Special attention is paid to the ecosystem changes occurring around 1989 and in recent years. In an overall sense, the state of the Bering Sea ecosystem has not undergone a major change since the regime shift of 1976/77.

Ecosystems Modeling and Data Transfer

Predicting State-Dependent Foraging and its Ecological Consequences: Harbor Seals Amidst Predators in Prince William Sound

Alejandro Frid¹, Greg Baker², Larry Dill¹ and Gail Blundell³

¹Behavioural Ecology Research Group, Simon Fraser University
 ²School of Computing Sciences, Simon Fraser University
 ³Alaska Department of Fish & Game

We present a dynamic state variable model of foraging decisions by harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) exposed to predation risk from sleeper sharks (*Somniosus pacificus*) and transient killer whales (*Orcinus orca*). The focus is on adult female seals trying to maximise reproduction by surviving to the pupping season with as high level of energy reserves as possible. A threshold level of energy reserves is required for reproduction, and increments above this level yield higher offspring survival. The model system is Prince William Sound, Alaska, where killer whale predation is common and harbour seals have been declining over the last two decades. During this period, sleeper sharks appear to have become more abundant and the structure of the forage fish community has changed. Thus, we seek insights into:

- How interactions between food distribution and risk from multiple predators might influence seal behaviour, energy gain, and fitness.
- The effects of internal state (energy accumulated, oxygen level, time remaining to reproduction) on risk taking and predation rates experienced by the seals.
- How sharks and transient killer whales might indirectly affect fish populations by reducing both the per capita foraging rates and density of seals.

North Pacific Ecosystem Theme Page and Metadatabase

Kimberly Y. Bahl¹, S. Allen Macklin² and Bernard A. Megrey¹

¹ NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center, Seattle WA
² NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory, Seattle WA
<u>Kimberly.Bahl@noaa.gov</u>, <u>S.Allen.Macklin@noaa.gov</u>, <u>Bern.Megrey@noaa.gov</u>

The North Pacific Ocean Theme Page (NPOTP) and the North Pacific Ecosystem Metadatabase (NPEM) are one-stop resources for researchers, managers, educators, and administrators addressing North Pacific marine science topics. The theme page is a forum for accessing, presenting and discussing historical information, and for exploring new ideas, plans and research results. The metadatabase is a dynamic catalog of environmental information (including listings from NPRB, EVOSTC, Steller Sea Lion Research Program, FOCI, etc.) and is made available to users through the Internet. Together the NPOTP and the NPEM provide synergy among data producers, data archivists, and data users by making available to people with varying backgrounds and intents the information they need to produce the building blocks from which societal advances are made.

A ShoreZone Mapping Protocol for Use in Mapping Regional Variations of Nearshore Habitat along the Gulf of Alaska Coast

John Harper¹, Susan Saupe² and Mary Morris³

¹ Coastal and Ocean Resources Inc., Sidney, British Columbia

² Cook Inlet Citizens Advisory Council (CIRCAC), Kenai, Alaska

³ Archipelago Marine Research Ltd., Victoria, British Columbia

john@coastalandoceans.com, saupe@circac.org, marym@archipelago.ca

A rapid survey and mapping technique involving the use of oblique aerial video imagery has been used to map resources on over 5,000 km of shoreline in the Gulf of Alaska during 2001, 2002 and 2003. Geomorphic and biotic categorization of coastal habitat uses the Alaska ShoreZone Mapping Protocol, which is based on the ShoreZone system that is widely used throughout the Pacific Northwest (approximately 40,000 km of shoreline mapped in Washington and British Columbia). There are several unique aspects of the mapping system: (a) digital imagery has been web-posted allowing public access of the low-tide imagery, (b) exposure levels are determined from biotic assemblages within each shore unit, (c) regional distributions in biotic communities. including wetlands, red algae, brown algae, eelgrass, and kelps, are mapped and (d) manmade disturbances are characterized. Detailed definitions and descriptions of intertidal classifications are provided by coordinated on-the-ground surveys. The hierarchical nature of the classification makes it a powerful habitat management tool, and data have been used in spill response planning, research, essential fish habitat documentation, stewardship programs and regional land-use planning. Example queries of data from the northern Gulf of Alaska, show that wetlands occur along ~20% and eelgrass along 7% of those shorelines and geographical differences in the distributions of kelp.

The protocol (Harper and Morris 2003) specifies a set of standards for intertidal and nearshore mapping so that (a) users have a clear understanding of the assumptions and methods incorporated into the mapping data and (b) future mappers have guidelines to ensure mapping consistency among agencies and mappers.

Oil Spill Modeling With and Without Sea Ice Cover in the Beaufort Sea

Meibing Jin¹ and Jia Wang²

¹ SFOS/IMS, University of Alaska Fairbanks ² IARC, University of Alaska Fairbanks ffjm@uaf.edu, jwang@iarc.uaf.edu

Using station wind data and simulated surface current, sea ice velocity and ice concentration from a coupled ice-ocean model, we conducted a series of simulations of oil spill released in different time with and without sea ice cover. The results show significant seasonal and interannual variability of the oil spill trajectory under simulated ice conditions. Sea ice cover can affect oil spill trajectory by reducing wind effects on sea surface current, sea ice flow and oil spill velocity. Ice flows dominate the oil spill movement during the summer.

ShoreZone Mapping in the Gulf of Alaska: Linking Intertidal Species Assemblages from Ground Surveys to Regional Mapping

Mary C. Morris¹, Susan M. Saupe² and Mandy R. Lindeberg³

¹ Archipelago Marine Research Ltd., Victoria, British Columbia

² Cook Inlet Citizens Advisory Council (CIRCAC), Kenai, Alaska

³ National Marines Fisheries Service, Auke Bay, Alaska

marym@archipelago.ca, saupe@circac.org, mandy.lindeberg@noaa.gov

Using an innovative database design, on-the-ground observations of intertidal biophysical characteristics from over 50 shore stations in south central Alaska are used to define and describe characteristics mapped during an aerial mapping program conducted in the same area. These descriptions provide the 'bottom-up' link to the definitions of intertidal attributes used during the ShoreZone biophysical mapping project underway along northern Gulf of Alaska coastlines. The ShoreZone mapping project uses low-tide aerial video imagery to classify and map intertidal geomorphology and biota across wider geographic ranges. The ground station database is spatially linked to over 4,500 along-shore mapping units, including estuaries and exposed rocky coasts from Cook Inlet to Port Bainbridge in Prince William Sound.

The shore station database was used to determine the species composition and geomorphic characteristics of features identified during the aerial classification. Illustrated descriptions of 17 'biobands' (characteristic species assemblages visible in aerial imagery) and 11 'habitat classes' (summary categories of intertidal biophysical characteristics) were defined. The database will provide user-friendly definitions of the habitats and species assemblages, as well as links to information about dominant individual species and type locations.

OSRAT TWO-YEAR REPORT 2004 - 2002

Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute

CONTENTS

Director's Report	,1
Advisory Board	.2
Mission & Goals	.4
History	.5
Applied Technology	.6
Predictive Ecology	10
Education & Outreach	14
Fellowships & Internships	18
Finances	20
Journal Publications	24
Other Published Materials	26
Staff	29

Photo credits: Karl Becker, Nancy Bird, Allen Marquette, Shari Vaughan, Beth Trowbridge and John Sarvis

S

R

July 22, 2003

The OSRI research program was extremely busy during 2001 and 2002 with a broad variety of technology, ecology and education projects funded, summaries of which are found in this report. Grant awards reached their highest level in 2001, both in overall number of projects funded, 29, and in annual spending of just over \$1.5 million. In contrast, average annual spending between the fiscal years 1998 and 2002 was \$1 million.

A major premise underlying OSRI's programs is the need to understand the interconnectedness of the marine ecosystems and how biological patterns are controlled. It is this kind of knowledge that was missing after the 1989 Exxon Valdez oil spill. As stated in a recent National Research Council report prepared for OSRI, "Without this fundamental knowledge, predictions, prevention, response, remediation and resource damage assessment can be in jeopardy of being ineffective or inaccurate and thus potentially wasting financial resources and putting natural resources at risk."

The Nowcast Forecast System (NFS) for Prince William Sound, developed primarily by OSRI since 1999, has made good progress toward gaining that fundamental knowledge. NFS today includes three modeling components that can provide nowcasts, forecasts and hindcasts on the oceanographic currents, the atmospheric conditions and oil spill trajectory and chemical fates and effects in the Prince William Sound region. This information recently became available to the public through a web site called the Prince William Sound Ocean Observing System, www.pwsoos.org. In the next few years, the NFS will add a biological model focused on Pacific herring and work will continue to further verify the models and make more information available to users.

OSRI focuses on applied research and looks for tangible product results, such as Environmental Sensitivity Index maps and workshop publications. OSRI's fellowship program supports three to four students each year whose research will contribute to increasing that fundamental knowledge base necessary to effectively respond to oil spills. In future years, OSRI will continue to build partnerships with other non-profits, industry and agencies to both extend its limited budget and ensure that the issues and projects of most concern are addressed.

I encourage you to visit the new OSRI website at www.pws-osri.org, and send me your comments and suggestions.

Sincerely,

Nancy Bird Director



OSRI Advisory Board Membership June 2003



Federal Representatives

John Calder, Ph.D., Chair Director, Arctic Research Office Oceanic & Atmospheric Research/NOAA Silver Spring, Maryland



State Representatives

Carol Fries Natural Resources Manager Alaska Dept. of Natural Resources Anchorage, Alaska



Douglas Mutter Department of Interior Anchorage, Alaska



Leslie Pearson Prevention & Emergency Response Program, Alaska Dept. of Environmental Conservation Anchorage, Alaska



Capt. Jack Davin U.S. Coast Guard, 17th District Juneau, Alaska



Oil & Gas Representatives

Edmond Paul Thompson Manager of Crisis and Emergency Response Marine Affairs Alaska BP Oil Shipping Anchorage, Alaska



Doug Lentsch General Manager Cook Inlet Spill Prevention & Response Inc. Nikiski, Alaska



Mark Fink Habitat Biologist Alaska Dept. of Fish & Game Anchorage, Alaska

At-large Representatives





Susan Saupe (March 2003 – present) Director of Science and Research Cook Inlet Regional Citizens' Advisory Council Kenai, Alaska



Fishing Industry Representatives

R.J. Kopchak Cordova, Alaska

Virginia Adams Kodiak, Alaska (Not pictured)



Alaska Native Representatives

Gail Evanoff Chenega Bay, Alaska

Glenn Ujioka

Cordova, Alaska (Not pictured)



Non-voting Representatives

John Goering Ph.D. Professor Emeritus Institute of Marine Science University of Alaska Fairbanks, Alaska



Walter B. Parker Prince William Sound Science Center Board of Directors, Anchorage, Alaska John Goering, Ph.D., Committee Chair Professor Emeritus University of Alaska Fairbanks

Ted Cooney, Ph.D. Professor Emeritus University of Alaska Fairbanks

Raymond Jakubczak, Ph.D. Liberty Project Permitting Manager BP

Lee Majors Planning and Development Manager Alaska Clean Seas

Alan J. Mearns, Ph.D. Senior Staff Scientist Hazardous Materials Response Division NOAA

3

Phillip R. Mundy, Ph.D. Science Director Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council

Stanley (Jeep) Rice, Ph.D. Alaska Fisheries Science Center Auke Bay Laboratory National Marine Fisheries Service

Thomas C. Royer, Ph.D. Dept. of Oceanography Old Dominion University, Virginia

OUR MISSION & GOALS



H

N

0

(1)

R

AR

111

3

0

R I

S

he purpose of the Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI) is to support research, educational projects and demonstration projects, all of which are designed to address oil spills in Arctic and sub-Arctic marine environments.

Authorized by Congress through the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (and amendments passed in 1996 and 2002), the OSRI programs are determined by a 16-member Advisory Board with assistance from a Scientific and Technical Committee. The OSRI is administered through the Prince William Sound Science Center (PWSSC), a non-profit research organization founded in 1989 to facilitate and encourage ecosystem studies in the Greater Prince William Sound region.

Annual work plans are adopted by the OSRI Advisory Board, usually at their fall meeting held in September, and are based on four goals. The annual work plan determines the issuance of proposal solicitations. Proposals are accepted from individuals, organizations and businesses nationally and internationally. After peer review, award of proposals is determined by the Science Director in consultation with the Scientific and Technical Committee and, for proposals over \$100,000, by the Advisory Board.

Understand

Attain a four-dimensional (meaning time and 3-dimensional space – x, y, z coordinates) interdisciplinary understanding of Prince William Sound to enable detection and prediction of spill-related impacts and subsequent recovery.

- Design Nowcast/Forecast observation and modeling system, demonstrate its utility, and seek long-term operational funding. (www.pwsoos.org)
- Conduct environmental research.
- Profile potential impacts on the economy, life-style and well-being of communities and resource users in Prince William Sound.

Respond

Enhance the ability of oil spill responders to mitigate impacts of spills in Arctic and sub-Arctic marine environments.

- Fill knowledge gaps on behavior of spilled oil.
- Fill knowledge gaps on use and effectiveness of specific mitigation techniques.
- Identify and evaluate new prevention and response technologies.

Inform

Disseminate information and educate the public on the issues of oil spill prevention, response and impacts.

- Publish scientific and technical results in the open literature.
- Brief oil spill responders on OSRI products and assist to include them in operational activities.
- Facilitate the exchange of information and ideas.
- Provide graduate and undergraduate fellowships and internships.

Partner

Partner with other organizations to take advantage of pooled funding, facilities, knowledge and experience.

- Collaborate with other partners in achieving a longterm coastal and ocean observing system for Alaska.
- Coordinate with the efforts of other related programs, such as the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program and programs of the North Pacific Research Board (NPRB).
HISTORY

n Alaska, 1989 is remembered as the year of the Exxon Valdez oil spill. In the science world, it was two Lyears later, 1991, that an international group of marine scientists identified a need to understand how global change affects the abundance, diversity and productivity of marine populations. They concluded that our ability to predict natural changes in marine animal population is very limited and initiated the GLOBEC (Global Ocean Ecosystem Dynamics) program. GLOBEC aims to advance our knowledge of the structure and functioning of the world's oceans and the ocean's response to physical forcing. It identifies the development of numerical models that assimilate real-time environmental information to nowcast and forecast natural physical and biological conditions. These models will improve our ability to predict marine animal population change, and that predictive ability is a prerequisite for assessing anthropogenic impacts such an oil spill on marine ecosystems. In turn, improved predictive abilities will result in much more effective oil spill prevention and response strategies.

The Prince William Sound (PWS) Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI) was authorized by the United States Congress through Title V of the Oil Pollution Act of 1990. Between 1992 and 1995, Congress appropriated \$500,000 to OSRI. Since 1996, when amendments instituted a funding mechanism for OSRI, the program has received annual interest earnings from a \$22.5 million trust held by the U.S. Treasury. In 2002, Congress again amended OPA90 to extend OSRI's program through the 2012.

OSRI published its first strategic plan for oil pollution research and development in 1995 (Thomas et al. 1995). This plan divided oil spill problems into the risk of a spill and the costs of a spill (response and damage). Recognizing GLOBEC's conclusions about our weak ability to make physical and biological predictions, and the consequential impact on our understanding of damages caused by oil spills, the OSRI program incorporates GLOBEC's goals and approach to improve prediction of natural changes. This approach also improves our assessment of costs, a key element in identifying the best oil spill prevention and response technologies.

At a 1997 workshop hosted by OSRI to review its oil spill R&D plans, the Sound Ecosystem Assessment (SEA) research program was featured as an application of GLOBEC goals to the PWS region. SEA investigators presented descriptions of new tools to predict changes in circulation and physical conditions, plankton production and selected fish populations in the Sound. The prototype models demonstrated by the SEA program represented potential tools for OSRI to develop and use to identify best techniques for oil spill prevention and response.

Since 1998, OSRI has awarded an annual average of \$1 million supporting a wide range of projects. The primary focus of many OSRI-funded projects is to build a nowcast-forecast system in Prince William Sound and contribute to improving our prediction of marine ecosystem changes. OSRI promotes team-research that includes researchers, users and managers and a multi-disciplinary approach that includes physicists, biologists and technologists.

Prior to 2003, the OSRI work plan categorized projects into three program areas (technology, ecology and education) and the Advisory Board promoted a goal of allocating funding among the three areas on a 40:40:20 ratio.

In 2002, the Board solicited a program assessment by the National Academies' Polar Research Board (PRB). In response to the PRB report, published in early 2003, the OSRI Advisory Board deleted the three program areas, revised its Strategic Plan and adopted four goals summarized as understand, respond, inform and partner.

Applied Technology

Nowcast/Forecast System for Ocean Circulation and Surface Winds: Numerical Modeling*

SR

0

The numerical ocean circulation model – based on the Princeton Ocean Model (POM) - is at the center of the Prince William Sound Nowcast/Forecast System (NFS). The overall goal is to develop a predictive capability for estimating the currents and temperature and salinity structure of Prince William Sound in near real-time. The Prince William Sound POM was validated using historical data from the Sound Ecosystem Assessment (SEA) program and the University of Alaska Fairbanks' Institute of Marine Science. It is forced by near real-time measurements of winds and sea level and by monthly means of surface heat flux and throughflow at Hinchinbrook Entrance. The model is capable of producing animated current, temperature and salinity maps, animated particle trajectories, and transects of temperature, salinity and currents. Results are archived and are available on a website. A working firstgeneration system has been demonstrated.

The ocean circulation model has been linked with the SINTEF Oil Spill Contingency and Response (OSCAR) chemical fates visualization model. A meso-scale atmospheric model is being developed which will be linked with the ocean circulation model. Future plans also include linking the ocean circulation model with a biological nutrient plankton zooplankton detritus (NPZD) model.

*See following section re "Meso-scale Atmospheric Modeling"

2001 Grant Award

 University of Miami-Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, Florida \$150,000

2002 Grant Award

 University of Miami-Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, Florida \$150,000

R

-



Participants at the Nowcast/Forecast System workshop, June 2003, included representatives of ocean observing systems in Maine, Texas and Oregon.

Meso-scale Atmospheric Modeling with Nowcast/Forecast Capability for Prince William Sound

The objective of this project is to develop a high-resolution numerical weather simulation/prediction system for the Prince William Sound region. At the heart of this system is the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS), a multi-purpose numerical prediction model. The proposed modeling system uses real-time observational data sets and large-scale forecast model data obtained from the National Weather Service and some other sources to build initial and boundary conditions for RAMS simulations. The RAMS forecasts are available in the form of graphical products on the Alaska Experimental Forecast Facility web page. Gridded data sets are also available for use by researchers. This atmospheric model is linked with the ocean circulation model for Prince William Sound.

2002 Grant Award

University of Alaska, Anchorage, Alaska \$114,816

Prince William Sound Tide Height & Meteorological Data Collection

This project provides for the installation and for the ongoing operation and maintenance of telemetered and automated tide gauges and meteorological data collection stations within Prince William Sound. During the summer and early fall of 2002, tide stations were installed at Grass Island on the Copper River Delta, Pigot Point, Tatitlek and Chenega Bay. Meteorological stations were installed at Grass Island, Tripod Hill (Cordova), Pigot Point, Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, and Nuchek. This information is used for multiple purposes; as part of the OSRI Nowcast Forecast effort, the meteorological data will be utilized in forcing and validating models (atmospheric and oceanographic) and as data sets for use in ecological research efforts, to provide information on environmental conditions to increase safety of navigation, for operational support in the event of a spill, and as a resource for improving local climatological predictions. This project is part of a larger effort to expand the comprehensive physical dataset available to researchers, the general public and oil spill responders. Numerous cooperators and partners are contributing in-kind services to this project including the Chugach School District, G.W. Scientific, Chenega Bay, Tatitlek and Nuchek, and the U.S. Coast Guard.

2001 Grant Awards

- G.W. Scientific, Fairbanks, Alaska \$129,280 (Note: Includes \$20,000 matching grant from the State of Alaska for the Grass Island site)
- Prince William Sound Science Center \$3,500
 (calibration costs for SeaCat instruments)

2002 Grant Award

• G.W. Scientific, Fairbanks, Alaska \$30,000

Mechanical Oil Recovery in Ice Infested Waters (MORICE)

Initiated in 1995, this multi-phase program develops technologies for the effective recovery of spilled oil in iceinfested waters. MORICE is a multi-national effort involving researchers from Norway, Canada, Germany and the United States. The first two phases of the program included literature reviews, and qualitative laboratory testing. Two prototype systems were developed during the third phase of the project. These included a lifting grated belt and a brush-drum system. OSRI became a partner during the fourth phase in which design work and field-testing of the equipment were carried out. The fourth phase also included designing a working platform to incorporate the ice processing and oil recovery components. The fifth phase focused on testing of modifications to the components that did not perform well during phase 4. OSRI continued funding for phase 6 of this project in FY01 and FY02. Phase 6 includes full-scale testing of the oil recovery system developed by MORICE at the OHMSETT facility in Leonardo, Virginia.

2001 Grant Award

K

0

LI]

er.

K

E A

>

3

K

S

• SINTEF Applied Chemistry, Trondheim, Norway \$80,000

2002 Grant Award

• Minerals Management Service, \$35,000



Oceanographer Shari Vaughan prepares a drifter buoy for deployment.

Implementation of RESPONSE Software for Managing Oil Spill Logistics

This project supports installation at all of the state's oil spill cooperatives of RESPONSE software for managing oil spill logistics. The RESPONSE system, developed by E.A. Renfroe, was chosen as the software system to be utilized by the Alaska Statewide Oil Spill Response Resource Database. The establishment, operation and maintenance of the Alaska Statewide Oil Spill Response Resource Database is governed by a cooperative agreement among the following organizations:

- Prince William Sound Oil Recovery Institute
- Alaska Clean Seas
- Alaska Chadux Corporation
- Cook Inlet Spill Prevention and Response, Inc (CISPRI)
- Southeast Alaska Petroleum Resource Organization (SEAPRO)
- Alyeska Ship Escort Response Vessel Systems
- E.A. Renfroe & Co.
- U.S. Coast Guard, Seventeenth District
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region 10, Alaska Operations Office)
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation
- U.S. Navy Supervisor of Salvage

2001 Grant Award

- SEAPRO, Ketchikan, Alaska \$60,633
- Alaska Chadux Corporation, Anchorage, Alaska \$59,833
- CISPRI, Nikiski, Alaska \$60,633

Oil Spill Response and Prevention Transponder System for the State of Alaska

This project examines the potential for establishing a reliable transponder system for vessels operating within the state of Alaska and suitable for operations in the higher latitude regions of Alaska and in harsh weather conditions. The equipment must be suitable for installation and operation on all vessels, including smaller fishing vessels. It must have the capability to transmit vessel identification, location, type and size, in addition to meteorological data collected by vessels, to the appropriate agencies, the oil spill response community and the public.

2001 Grant Award

• Marine Exchange of Alaska, Juneau, Alaska \$24,995

Evaluation of Anchor Systems used in Geographic Response Strategies:

This investigation built and tested various anchor systems for use in the Kachemak Bay Geographic Response Strategies (GRS) area. These anchor systems are for placement during an oil spill of floating oil boom to exclude or divert floating oil from a selected area. Nine sites within the Kachemak Bay GRS region were noted as requiring large anchor systems. The anchor systems developed through this project were to be left in Homer for use in spill response training and during actual spill events.

2002 Grant Award

• Cook Inlet Spill Prevention & Response, Inc. \$6,160

Ice Detection Project

Cook Inlet and Prince William Sound are home to major marine ports, petroleum development, large-scale commercial fisheries, cruise ship activities, and spectacular natural resources. However, ice in the traffic lanes can impair safe and efficient navigation. The ability for realtime ice detection in shipping lanes would reduce the risk of oil outflow from tankers. This joint project was developed by a multi-stakeholder working group and includes the installation of a conventional radar system at Reef Island in Prince William Sound. The installation will provide real-time monitoring of ice conditions to enhance control of vessel movements by USCG Vessel Traffic System in Valdez, Alaska. The installation on Reef Island will operate for a five-year period and will provide a platform for Phase II of the UHF radar tests and other research and development to further enhance ice detection capabilities. Making the marine transportation system safer, and thereby reducing the likelihood of spills, is the most effective measure for reducing oil spill consequences.

2001 Grant Award

PWS Regional Citizens' Advisory Council, Valdez, Alaska \$100,000

PREDICTIVE ECOLOGY

Nowcast/Forecast System for PWS Ocean Circulation and Surface Winds: Observational Oceanography*

K

0

ш

K

d'

EA

3

2

K

S

0

Observations of currents, temperatures and salinity in Prince William Sound are collected seasonally on oceanographic cruises as part of the nowcast/forecast system (NFS). These observations insure that the nowcasts and forecasts made by the numerical calculation model accurately represent the physical conditions in PWS. Research cruises for data collection are conducted about four times each year. Measurements of currents, temperature, and salinity are collected over all depths in the central basin of PWS and at Hinchinbrook Entrance. The analyzed data are used to create maps and sections of currents, temperature and salinity for comparison with the circulation model output. The Alyeska Ship Escort Response Vessel System (SERVS) donates ship time for these oceanographic cruises. Future plans include expanding the survey area to western PWS, and deploying satellite tracked drifting buoys for continuous sampling of near-surface currents throughout the year.

The numerical ocean circulation model is forced by actual measurements of physical variables. Some measurements are available in near real-time (e.g., winds, sea level) and some (e.g., surface heat flux, throughflow at Hinchinbrook Entrance) are obtained from previously analyzed data sets. The NFS Observational Oceanography component expanded in 2002 to include near real-time measurements of more variables and more locations in PWS through OSRI funding support in FY02 for drifting buoys, coastal tide gauges, and additional meteorological stations. Additionally, work is proceeding to developing links with other research groups (i.e. GLOBEC) and with operational groups (i.e. NWS, NOAA, USCG) to sustain the NES

*See also "Applied Technology" section.

2001 Grant Award

Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, AK \$150,000

2002 Grant Award

• Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, AK \$150,000

Biological Monitoring of Intertidal Resources at Risk to Oil Spills: Monitoring of Marine Invertebrates in Relation to Shorebird Use on the Copper River Delta

This project characterizes the spatial and temporal patterns of intertidal marine invertebrates on the mud flats of the Copper River Delta. The 2001 research program focused on shorebird-benthic invertebrate interactions, and also executed a number of experiments designed to examine predation. In 2002 the primary focus shifted to demersal predators-benthic invertebrate intereactions. Field work included the collection of several hundred invertebrate core samples and small otter trawl tows to collect bottom fish and crabs. Both the invertebrate and bottom fish collections represent the first time these communities have been sampled on the Copper River Delta. Other aspects of the study include primary production and nutrient measurements and stable isotope analysis performed to more accurately characterize the food web of the Delta.

2001 Grant Award

- Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$80,215
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, North Carolina \$19,785

2002 Grant Award

- Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$76,681
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Morehead City, North Carolina \$23,310





Shorebirds depend on the rich resources of the Copper River Delta for refueling during their annual migration.

Remote Sensing Using Lidar

This project will test the effectiveness of lidar to survey herring and marine mammal populations and to monitor returning salmon in the Copper River. If it proves effective, it may be adopted as a management tool for Prince William Sound and the Copper River. The flights in PWS will be coordinated from surface surveys using acoustics, infrared imagery, and sampling. Investigations will include whether fixed wing aircraft rather than helicopters are effective for conducting the surveys, to what depths and in what water conditions the lidar is effective, and whether marine mammals in the vicinity of herring schools at night can be detected.

SR

N

K

m

A

R

R

TT I

P

RT

In the Copper River, a smaller effort will be focused on developing a tool for monitoring returning salmon. The shallowness and turbidity of the water in the delta region renders traditional acoustic and video methods fairly ineffective. The performance of both lidar and low-frequency acoustics will be evaluated.

2001 Grant Award

 NOAA Environmental Technology Lab, Boulder, Colorado \$100,000

2002 Grant Award

 NOAA Environmental Technology Lab, Boulder, Colorado \$100,000

Biological Monitoring of Herring and Pollock in Prince William Sound

During February and March of 2001 and 2002. herring and pollock biomass were estimated using scientific acoustic equipment. This is a cooperative project with ADF&G. Both ADF&G vessels and locally-charted fishing vessels were used on the surveys. Survey areas were determined using all available aerial, vessel and historic data. Acoustic data were collected using a current model echo sounder with a GPS receiver, a downward-looking transducer within a towed body and a computer hardware and software onboard the vessel. The acoustic data were converted into estimates of fish density and biomass within

Biological Monitoring of Spring Zooplankton and Nekton

In the springs of 2001 and 2002, the biomass of zooplankton and nekton were estimated using scientific acoustic equipment. Acoustic data were collected using echo sounders operating at 38, 120 and 420 kHz with a GPS receiver. Three PWS-wide surveys were conducted each year. Zooplankton composition was determined using plankton nets. The surveys were conducted aboard the SERVS vessel, Valdez Star. This is a cooperative project with the Alaska Department of Fish & Game and Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation.

2001 Grant Award

Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$75,000

2002 Grant Award

Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$75,000





Oil tankers use the Knowles Head anchorage while waiting to load at the Alyeska terminal in Valdez.

Environmental Sensitivity Mapping: Southeast Alaska

The goal of this project is to complete and produce Environmental Sensitivity Index (ESI) Shoreline Habitat Classification maps begun by NOAA in 1992, as well as update and prepare new biological resource maps for specific areas in Southeast Alaska following the existing format of NOAA maps already delivered. Shoreline habitat classification, including designation of certain human use features and database production, will be conducted for the incomplete coastal areas of the USGS quads. New and updated resource maps will be produced for additional areas.

2001 Grant Award

SEAPRO, Ketchikan, Alaska, \$20,000.

Environmental Sensitivity Mapping: Coastal Northwest Alaska

The purpose of this multi-year, cooperative project is to develop environmental sensitivity index (ESI) maps, including geographic information system (GIS) digital databases of the entire Alaskan coast. In 2001, the project focused on the Northwest Alaska coastal area, highlighting biological habitats and life stages, wildlife concentrations, shoreline geomorphology/sensitivity, and human-use resources, all of which are particularly sensitive to oil spills. NOAA leads this project. In 2002, the project focused on the Yukon-Kuskokwin Delta region. The information will be rendered into poster-sized maps on a 1:250,000 scale to correspond with the USGS quads. There will also be digital products consisting of an electronic map image, GIS data and GIS digital files.

0

S

R

-

N

K

E A

F

R

E

P

0

R

-

2001 Grant Award

 National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington \$60,000

2002 Grant Award

 National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, Washington \$60,000

PUBLIC EDUCATION & OUTREACH PROGRAM

Science of The Sound

This is an ongoing educational program that provides quality, formal and informal science programs to the communities of Prince William Sound. The program includes the Discovery Room science enrichment program for children in grades K-6, and the Summer Science Camp for middle school and high school age children.

2001 Grant Award

K

EP

K

X

~

YE

14

K

S

0

 Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$28,000

2002 Grant Award

 Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska \$24,000

Peer Listener Trainer Video

This project will assist Alaskan communities in preparing for the socio-economic impacts of a major technological disaster. The goal of the project is to develop a high quality, effective, video training series based upon one of the mitigation strategies (peer listening) as described in the guidebook "Coping with Technological Disasters". The OSRI grant will assist in funding production costs for this video.

2001 Grant Award

PWS Regional Citizens' Advisory Council, Valdez, Alaska \$10,000



Campers explore the intertidal zones on the beaches near Cordova.

Oil Spill Response Standards/Protocols for Wildlife

The purpose of this project is to organize and conduct a workshop to develop draft national standards/protocols (i.e. husbandry, veterinary care, and training/certification of personnel) to prevent oiling of migratory birds, and for capturing, stabilizing and treating oiled migratory birds.

2001 Grant Award

- Production Plus, Anchorage, Alaska \$21,222
- Participant travel costs: \$8,000

Research and Development Priorities: Oil and Ice

The first objective of this project is to identify the critical deficiencies in the current state of knowledge concerning all aspects of oil spill response in ice-covered waters. The starting point will be a review of all papers presented at the International Oil and Ice Workshop held in April 2000 in Anchorage, Alaska. Priorities will then be assigned to specific research and development efforts that could be taken to address these deficiencies. The overall intent will be to produce a document that can be used to improve the future research and development efforts in the areas of arctic oil spill prevention and response.

2001 Grant Award

• DF Dickins Associates, Ltd., Escondido, California \$12,400

Dialogue on Alaska

This project provided tuition support for a weekend retreat organized by the Institute of the North and focused on Alaska's future.

Institute of the North \$3,000

Environmental Educators of Southcentral Alaska Workshop

This purpose of this project is to organize and oversee the facilitation of a workshop for science and environmental educators in Anchorage, Alaska during April 2001. The goal of the workshop is to plan and begin implementation of a regional education program that will enhance citizens' appreciation for the ecosystem around them. The workshop will include a focus on oil pollution prevention and response educational issues.

2001 Grant Award

 Alaska Natural Resources and Outdoor Education Association, Anchorage, Alaska \$11,845

SR

15

Participant travel: \$8,000

The Orca Project

This project stems from the stranding and subsequent death of a killer whale at Hartney Bay in Cordova. The initial effort of this project will be to rearticulate the Orca skeleton with community and student volunteers. The next step will be to design and assemble an interpretive display that will enhance community and public understanding of marine mammals, habitat and conservation, and oil spill prevention. The final display of the Orca skeleton will be incorporated into local, sustainable environmental education curriculums.

2001 Grant Award

H

K

0

ш

×

AR

щ

>

0

-

K

O S

The Native Village of Eyak, \$10,196

From the Forest to the Sea

This project supports a residential science summer camp that is jointly conducted by the Prince William Sound Science Center and the U.S. Forest Service. Located on the Copper River Delta, the camp is attended by children ages 7-14 from throughout Alaska.

2002 Grant Award

Prince William Sound Science Center, \$10,000

Trans-Alaska Pipeline Review

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC) initiated a public review of the Alyeska Trans-Alaska Pipeline Plan to ensure that adequate oil spill prevention and response capabilities are in place to protect the sensitive environments of Prince William Sound and the portion of the Gulf of Alaska related to the Copper River and the Lowe River drainages. The Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council (RCAC) conducted a thorough review of the plan, focusing particularly on the Copper and Lowe River drainages. OSRI supported this review as part of its educational efforts to inform regional residents about oil spill prevention and response capabilities. The RCAC review document was made available RCAC member organizations and others, on request. It included recommendations for improvements in the prevention and response system.

2001 Grant Award

 PWS Regional Citizens' Advisory Council, Valdez, Alaska \$5,000

The Native Village of Eyak, U.S. Forest Service and PWS Science Center/OSRI collaborated in re-articulating the skeleton from a beached orca named Eyak.

Oil Dispersion Analysis Workshop

This multi-year project is to examine the relative impacts of various spill response methods and technologies within Prince William Sound. The effort will use the best available modeling techniques for estimating the net environmental effects of alternate responses to specific spills. The project is designed to involve as many stakeholders as are willing to participate. A series of public meetings and scientific panels are to be scheduled through which the modeling efforts and the issues surrounding the impacts of dispersant use can be assessed by the stakeholders and decision makers of the region.

In 2002, OSRI solicited proposals for a facilitator to conduct the first workshop of the series designed to introduce the project to stakeholders and determine their interest in participation and the next steps in the process.

2002 Grant Award

- Don Aurand, Ecosystem Management & Associates, Inc. \$5,485
- Lisa O'Brien, Alaska Training & Consulting; and Hilary Hardwick, Production Plus \$6,500

Intensive Observation Periods (IOP) Workshop

This workshop brought modelers, oceanographers and others with oceanographic data collection expertise together to review active studies and near future plans of ongoing observational and modeling studies of the oceanic circulation of Prince William Sound and the adjacent Alaska Shelf. The workshop goal was to develop scientific goals and objectives, and outline a program of long-term observations and IOPs as a cooperative research project over a five-year period. IOPs are purposeful process studies and experiments of limited duration but include substantial enhancement of sensing systems and platforms.

2002 Grant Award

 Rosentiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, \$40,315

Office and lab facilities of the OSRI and PWS Science Center

Office and lab facilities of the OSRI and PWS Science Center are at the entrance to Cordova's boat harbor.

Development of FY00 Annual Report

A grant was awarded for compilation, publishing and distribution of the FYOO Annual Report for the Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute. This included all design work, preparation, artwork, printing and distribution.

2001 Grant Award

• Jumping Mouse Productions, Cordova, Alaska \$12,000

Newsletters

A grant was awarded for compilation, publishing and distribution of two four-page newsletters for the Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute. This included all design work, preparation, artwork, printing and distribution.

2001 Grant Award

• Jumping Mouse Productions, Cordova, Alaska \$9,600

Fellowships

Switgard Duesterloh

School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska, Juneau. Duesterloh is a doctoral student whose thesis focuses on how petroleum hydrocarbons affect zooplankton in different seasons of the year.

2001 Fellowship award: \$25,906 2002 Fellowship Award: \$18,000

Sean P. Powers

RT

A

щ

R

AR

ш

2 - Y

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina. Dr. Powers is a post-doctoral fellow whose research monitors inter-tidal marine invertebrates used by shorebirds of the Copper River Delta. Powers works with principal investigators Dr. Mary Anne Bishop and Dr. Charles Peterson.

2001 Fellowship Award: \$25,000 2002 Fellowship Award: 25,000



John Ash

Scott Polar Institute, University of Cambridge, England. Ash is a doctoral student whose work focuses on the management of environmental risk associated with the development of oil on the Alaskan Arctic littoral.

2001 Fellowship Award: \$24,500

Heidi Hansen

University of Wyoming. Hansen is a Masters degree student under Dr. Merav Ben-David. Hansen's research topic addresses DNA typing of river otters in Prince William Sound. 2002 Fellowship Award: \$24,235

Zinglong Wu

Rosentiel School of Marine & Atmospheric Science, University of Miami. Wu is a pre-doctoral student studying oceanographic modeling under Dr. Christopher N.K. Mooers. His present research addresses issues with boundary conditions for the development and implementation of an extended domain Princeton Ocean Model for Prince William Sound. 2002 Fellowship Award: \$24,500

OTHER

Review of the Oil Spill Recovery Institute's Arctic and Subarctic Research Program

The Polar Research Board of the National Academies of Science conducted a review of the research program and technology development activities of the Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI). The purpose of this review was to explore whether the research and activities to date address the OSRI mission statement; to assess whether the research and activities are of good quality, effective and efficient; to determine whether the grant award process is sound; to consider whether existing planning documents set an appropriate course for the future; to offer recommendations for future directions; and, to comment, if possible, on mechanisms to increase responses to OSRI's calls for proposals.

2001 Grant Award

 Polar Research Board, National Academies, Washington, D.C. \$100,000



S

R

N

YEAR

RE

5

R

4

FINANCIALS

Funds for the Oil Spill Recovery Institute were authorized by the United States Congress through the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA'90) and amending legislation passed in 1996. The Prince William Sound (PWS) Science Center, a non-profit research and education institution in Cordova, Alaska, administers the OSRI programs as directed by OSRI's Advisory Board.

The PWS Science Center receives the interest earnings from a \$22.5 million trust held by the U.S. Treasury and dedicated to finance the OSRI programs. The interest earnings equal about \$1 million per year and will continue through the federal fiscal year 2012. The original source of the \$22.5 million trust fund was the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) fund which is now part of the National Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund.

The following pages include the Statements of Financial Position for the Prince William Sound Science Center and the Financial Position and Statement of Activities related to OSRI programs for the fiscal years 2001 and 2002.

Professional audits of the PWS Science Center's financial records, including the OSRI program fund, are completed annually by a nationally recognized accounting firm. The FY01 audit was completed by KMPG and Mikundra Cottrell completed the most recent audit for FY02. Copies of the audited financial statements are available on request to Penelope Oswalt, Finance Director, PWS Science Center, P.O. Box 705, Cordova, AK 99574, or e-mail penya@pwssc.gen.ak.us.

Summary of OSRI program expenditures FY01 and FY02

Program Areas	FY01	FY02
Administration	272,381	254,349
Applied Technology	521,729	602,136
Predictive Ecology	573,272	689,297
Public Education & Outreach	248,355	173,779
Other Programs	0	125,716
TOTALS	\$ 1,845,277	\$ 1,615,737

PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE

(d.b.a. Prince William Sound Science Center)

Statement of Financial Position Including the Oil Spill Recovery Institute September 20, 2002 and 2001

	General	Plant	Program	Totals	
	Fund	Fund	Funds	2002	2001
Assets:	and a second				
Cash \$	31,396		197,149	228,545	146,527
Accounts receivable	57,419			57,419	135,261
Investments			2,754,888	2,754,888	3,420,289
Accrued interest receivable			30,252	30,252	9,928
Grants receivable			575,003	575,003	756,633
Prepaids and other assets	55,749	1993		55,749	44,636
Other receivables	1,574		신 사람이 많은 사람	1,574	2,102
Due from other funds	222,834	"是""我们的第一	169,887	392,721	423,307
Leasehold	145,500		1.	145,500	163,500
Property and equipment, net of					
accumulated depreciation		208,897		208,897	202,815
Total assets \$	514,472	208,897	3,727,179	4,450,548	5,304,998
Liabilities:					in all the
Accounts payable \$	6,625			6,625	164,988
Wages, taxes and benefits payable	190,623			190,623	179,648
Deferred revenue	State State State		2,384,785	2,384,785	3,269,221
Due to other funds	169,887		222,834	392,721	423,307
Total liabilities	367,135		2,607,619	2,974,754	4,037,164
Net assets:					
Temporarily restricted	145,500			145,500	163,500
Unrestricted _	1,837	208,897	1,119,560	1,330,294	1,104,334
Total net assets	147,337	208,897	1,119,560	1,475,794	1,267,834
Total liabilities & net assets \$	514,472	208,897	3,727,179	4,450,548	5,304,998

SRI 21 2-YEAR R

EPORT

0

PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE

(d.b.a. Prince William Sound Science Center) Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI) Programs Combining Statement of Financial Position Years ended September 30, 2002 and 2001

	OSRI 2002	OSRI 2001
Assets:		
Cash	\$ 197,149	146,527
Accounts receivable	이상이는 것같아요. 이사 등을	89,079
Investments	2,754,888	3,420,289
Accrued interest receivable	30,252	9,928
Due from other funds	156,388	64,324
Grants receivable	352,169	416,493
Total assets	\$ 3,490,846	4,146,640
Liabilities - deferred revenue	\$ 2,371,286	3,250,378
Unrestricted net assets Total liabilities and unrestricted	1,119,560	896,262
net assets	\$ 3,490,846	4,146,640

Oil Spill Recovery Institute (OSRI) Programs Combining Statement of Activities Year Ended September 30, 2002 and 2001

\mathcal{I}	Consolidated OSRI 2002	Consolidated OSRI 2001
Changes in unrestricted net assets:		
Grants and contributions		and the second second
Federal \$	1,901,309	1,635,140
Interest	153.090	143.330
Unrealized gains on investments	70,208	88,883
Total revenues \$	2,124,607	1,867,353
Expenses:		
Salaries and benefits	489,931	552,414
Supplies	36,345	7,984
Professional services	8,430	10,735
Subcontracts and charter costs	18,979	48,234
Telephone	22,423	27,135
Utilities	6,566	6,000
Insurance	2,517	2,123
Advertising	822	167
Postage and freight	1,686	3,165
Printing, publications and copying	3,966	5,632
Equipment maintenance	1,970	2,406
Equipities and equipment rent	9 172	250
Other	6 084	2 213
Travel	65 955	35 324
Equipment	00,700	(96)
Grants awarded	1,113,272	835,980
Total expenses before interfund		
facility and equipment		
costs and indirect costs	1,788,118	1,539,666
Interfund facility and equipment costs	13,140	13,140
Indirect costs	81,903	77,819
Total expenses	1,883,161	1,630,625
Increase in unrestricted net assets	241,446	236,728
Net assets at beginning of year	896,262	664,049
Transfers to Plant Fund	(18,148)	(4,515)
Net assets at end of year \$	1,119,560	896,262

н

JOURNAL PUBLICATIONS 2001 AND 2002

- Bang, I., S.L. Vaughan, and C.N.K. Mooers, 2002: Initial Steps Toward Validation of a Seasonal Cycle Simulation for Prince William Sound Circulation (Flow and Mass) Fields. Cont. Shelf Res., (submitted).
- Ben-David, M., G.M. Blundell, and J.E. Blake. 2002. Post-release survival of river otters: effects of exposure to crude oil and captivity. Journal of Wildlife Management 66: 1208-1223.
- Ben-David, M, L.K. Duffy and R.T. Bowyer. 2001. Biomarker responses in river otters experimentally exposed to oil contamination. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 37: 489-508.
- Bishop, MA. and S.P. Green. 2001. Predation on Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasi*) spwan by birds in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Fisheries Oceanography 10 (Supply.1): 149-158.
- Churnside, J.H., J.J. Wilson and V.V. Tatarskii. 2001. Airborne lidar for fisheries applications, Opt. Eng. 40, 406-414.
- Churnside, J.H., and J.J. Wilson. 2002. Airborne lidar imaging of salmon, Opt. Eng. (submitted).

X

REP

N.

YEA

2

SR

- Cooney, R.T., J.R. Allen, MA. Bishop, D.L. Eslinger, T. Kline, B.L. Norcross, C.P. McRoy, J. Milton, J. Olsen, V. Patrick, A.J. Paul, D. Salmon, D. Scheel, G.L. Thomas, S.L. Vaughan, T.M. Willette, 2001. Ecosystem controls of juvenile pink salmon (Onchorynchus gorbuscha) and Pacific herring (Clupea pallasi) populations in prince William Sound, Alaska. Fisheries Oceanography, 10 (Suppl. 1), 1-13.
- Gay III, S.M. and S.L. Vaughan, 2001: Seasonal Hydrography and Tidal Currents of Bays and Fjords in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Fisheries Oceanography, 10 (Suppl. 1), 159-193.
- Mooers, C.N.K. and I. Bang. 2003. Implementation of POM made for an extended PWS domain, Journal of Physical Oceanography.
- Mooers, C.N.K., I. Bang, and S.L. Vaughan, 2001: Experience with the Prince William Sound Nowcast/Forecast System. In: Proceedings of the Fourth American Meteorological Conference on Coastal Atmospheric and Oceanic Prediction and Processes, Nov. 6-9, 2001, St. Petersburg, FL, pp J9 - J12.
- Powers, S.P., M.A. Bishop, J.H. Grabowski, C.H. Peterson. 2002. Intertidal benthic resources of the Copper River Delta, Alaska, U.S.A. Journal Sea Research 47: 13-23.
- Thomas, G.L, J. Kirsch and R.E. Thorne. 2002. Ex situ target strength measurements of Pacific herring and Pacific sand lance, North American Journal of Fisheries Management 22:1136-1145.

Thomas, G.L. and R.E. Thorne. 2001. Night-time predation by Steller sea lions. Nature 411:1013. June 28, 2001.

- Vaughan, S.L., C.N.K. Mooers, and S.M. Gay III, 2001: Physical Variability in Prince William Sound during the SEA Study (1994-1998) Fisheries Oceanography, 10 (Suppl. 1), 58-80.
- Wang, J., Jin, M., Patrick, E.V., Allen, J.R., Eslinger, D.L., Mooers, C.N.K., and Cooney, R.T., 2001. Numerical simulations of the seasonal circulation patterns and thermohaline structures of Prince William Sound, Alaska. Fisheries Oceanography, 10 (Suppl. 1), 132-148.
- Willette, T.M., R.T. Cooney, V. Patrick, D.M. Mason, G.L. Thomas and D. Scheel. 2001. Ecological processes influencing mortality of juvenile pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Fisheries Oceanography, Vol. 10, Suppl. 1, p. 14-41.

PUBLISHED ABSTRACTS, PRESENTATIONS, VIDEOS & TECHNICAL REPORTS

Alaska Natural Resource and Outdoor Education. 2001. Science and Environmental Education Programs in Southcentral Alaska:Forging a New Alliance. Summary report of the OSRI Educator's Workshop held August 2001, Anchorage, Alaska.

Ben-David M., G. M. Blundell, and J. E. Blake 2001. Post-release survival of river otters: effects of exposure to crude oil and captivity. The Wildlife Society 8th Annual Conference, September 2001, Reno, Nevada.

Ben-David M., G. M. Blundell, and J. E. Blake 2001. Post-release survival of river otters: effects of exposure to crude oil and captivity. Oiled Wildlife Care Network Symposium, May 2001, Sacramento, California.

Ben-David M., L. K. Duffy, and R. T. Bowyer. 2001. Responses of river otters to oil contamination: a controlled study of biomarkers and diving physiology. Otter Colloquium and IUCN/SSC - Otter Specialist group Meeting, January 2001, Valdivia, Chile.

Ben-David M., G. M. Blundell, and J. E. Blake 2001. Post-release survival of river otters: effects of exposure to crude oil and captivity. Otter Colloquium and IUCN/SSC - Otter Specialist group Meeting, January 2001, Valdivia, Chile.

Bishop, M.A. and Powers, S.P. 2002. Shorebird use in relation to marine invertebrate distribution on the Copper River Delta. Paper presented at Alaska Bird Conference, March 2002, Fairbanks, Alaska.

Bishop, M.A., Powers, S.P., Peterson, C.H. 2002. OSRI Annual Progress Report, April 2001-March 2002: Monitoring marine invertebrates in relation to shorebird use on the Copper River Delta.

Bishop, M.A., N. Warnock, and J.Y. Takekawa. 2002. Mexico to Alaska: spring migration of Dunlin and Dowitchers. Third North American Ornithological Conference, 24 - 28 Sept 2002, New Orleans, LA. Abstract only.

Bishop, M.A., Powers, S.P., Peterson, C.H. 2001. OSRI Annual Progress Report, April 2000-March 2001: Monitoring marine invertebrates in relation to shorebird use on the Copper River Delta.

Carrio, G.C., Saleeby, S.M., Cotton, W.R. and Olsson, P.Q. 2002. Interactive-nested grid coupling of RAMS and CICE, Proceedings of the Fifty-third Arctic Science Conference Sept. 18-21, 2002, Fairbanks, Alaska. 77.

Churnside, J. and Veenstra, T. 2002. OSRI Annual Progress Report. Spring 02 lidar survey results in Prince William Sound. 33 pg.

Churnside, J. and Veenstra, T. 2002. OSRI Annual Progress Report. Spring 01 lidar survey results in Prince William Sound. 15 pg.

Clesceri, E.J., Grabowski, J.H., Powers, S., Peterson, C.H., Martens, C.S., Bishop, M.A. 2001. Carbon and nitrogen isotope tracing of organic matter flow in two intertidal estuaries of Southcentral Alaska. Paper presented at North American Benthological Society meeting, June 2001, LaCrosse, Wisconsin.

DF Dickins Associates Ltd. 2002. Development of a Draft Research Agenda for Oil Spill Response in Ice-Covered Waters. Final Technical Report prepared for PWS OSRI, March 2002. 33 pg.

Duesterloh, S. 2002. The role of copepods in the distribution of hydrocarbons. Annual research progress report presented at the annual meeting of the University of Alaska Coastal Marine Institute. February 2002, Fairbanks, Alaska.

Duesterloh, S. 2001. Photoenhanced toxicity of oil to copepods. Presentation at the 4th annual Student Symposium of the American Fisheries Society Student sub-unit of the Alaskan Chapter. November 2001, Juneau, Alaska.

Gay III, Shelton M. 2002. Deep water exchange and renewal within small fjords of Prince William Sound, Alaska in relation to large scale advective processes. Paper presented at American Society of Limnology & Oceanography and American Geophysical Union Ocean Sciences Program, Feb. 11-15, 2002, Honolulu, Hawaii.

Mooers, C.N.K., and Bang, I. 2002. OSRI Annual Progress Report, March 2001-Feb. 2002, Nowcast/Forecast Ocean Circulation System modeling project.

Mooers, C.N.K., and Bang, I. 2001. OSRI Annual Progress Report, March 2000-Feb. 2001, Nowcast/Forecast Ocean Circulation System modeling project.

Olsson, P.Q. 2002. High resolution numerical simulations of terrain-influenced weather in Prince William Sound and Cook Inlet, Proceedings of the 53rd Arctic Science Conference, Sept. 18-21, Fairbanks, Alaska. 172.

Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council, 2002. Peer Listener Training Video, in four segments. This 2.5 hour video is a training tool based upon the "Coping with Technological Disasters: Peer Listener Training Program."

Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council, 2001. Comments on the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System Pipeline Oil Discharge Prevention and Contingency Plan - General Provisions, Region 4 and Region 5. Submitted to Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Sept. 27, 2001. 21 pg.

Research Planning, Inc. 2002. Sensitivity of Coastal Environments and Wildlife to Spilled Oil. Coastal Resources Inventory and Environmental Sensitivity Maps - Southeast Alaska. Publication supported by Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Southeast Alaska Petroleum Resource Organization, Inc. and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Research Planning, Inc. 2001. Sensitivity of Coastal Environments and Wildlife to Spilled Oil. Coastal Resources Inventory and Environmental Sensitivity Maps - Aleutians West Coastal Resources Service Area, Alaska. Publication supported by Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Alaska Coastal Management Program, Alaska CHADUX Corporation and U.S. Coast Guard.

Research Planning, Inc. 2001. Sensitivity of Coastal Environments and Wildlife to Spilled Oil. Coastal Resources Inventory and Environmental Sensitivity Maps - Aleutians East Borough, Alaska. Publication supported by Oil Spill Recovery Institute, National Fish and Wildlife Foundation, Alaska CHADUX Corporation and U.S. Coast Guard.

Sensitivity of Coastal Environments and Wildlife to Spilled Oil. Northwest Arctic Alaska. 2002. Publication supported by Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Bering Straits Coastal Resources Service Area, Northwest Arctic Borough, Cominco Mining Company, North Sound Economic Development Corporation, Alaska CHADUX Oil Spill Cooperative, NANA Regional Corporation, Alaskan Civil Air Patrol, National Marine Fisheries Service, and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Shirley, T.C., Duesterloh, S. 2001. An experimental approach to investigate seasonal differences in the role of zooplankton in the distribution of hydrocarbons. In University of Alaska Coastal Marine Institute Annual Report No. 8, pg. 39-47. OCS Study MMS 2001-02, University of Alaska Fairbanks and USDOI, MMS, Alaska OCS Region.

Thomas, G.L. and R.E. Thorne Acoustical-optical assessment of Pacific herring and their predator assemblage in Prince William Sound, Alaska. ICES Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics and Aquatic Ecology, Montpellier, France, June 2002 (ICES J. Mar. Res.)

Thomas, G.L., R.E. Thorne and W.R. Bechtol. 2000. Developing an effective monitoring program for Pollock in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Electronic Proceedings, ACOUSTGEAR 2000, International Symposium on Advanced Techniques of Sampling Gear and Acoustical Surveys for Estimation of Fish Abundance and Behavior, Hakodate, Japan, October 20-21, 2000, 8 pg. Thorne, R.E., 2003. Factors governing pink salmon survival in Prince William Sound, Alaska. Paper given at 21st Pink and Chum Salmon Workshop, Victoria, B.C., February 2003. 9 pg.

Thorne, R.E. 2003. Biological Monitoring of Herring and Pollock in Prince William Sound, Annual Progress Report to the Oil Spill Recovery Institute Contract # 0-10-15, Prince William Sound Science Center, 48 p.

Thorne, R.E. 2003. Biological Monitoring of Spring Zooplankton and Nekton in Prince William Sound, Annual Progress Report to the Oil Spill Recovery Institute Contract # 02-10-16, Prince William Sound Science Center, 60 p.

Thorne, R.E. and G. L. Thomas A multi-frequency approach to synoptically assess zooplankton and fish abundance and distribution in Prince William Sound, Alaska, ICES Symposium on Fisheries Acoustics and Aquatic Ecology, Montpellier, France, June 2002 (ICES J. Mar. Res.)

Thorne, R.E. and G.L. Thomas 2001. Monitoring the juvenile pink salmon food supply and predators in Prince William Sound. Pages 42-44, in R. Beamish, Y. Ishida, V. Karpenko, P. Livingston and K. Myers, Workshop on factors affecting production of juvenile salmon: comparative studies on juvenile salmon ecology between the East and west North Pacific Ocean, Technical Report 2, North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission, Vancouver, B.C.

Thorne, R.E. 2002. Biological Monitoring of Herring and Pollock in Prince William Sound, Annual Progress Report to the Oil Spill Recovery Institute Contract # 00-10-03, Prince William Sound Science Center, 33 p.

Thorne, R.E. 2002. Biological Monitoring of Spring Zooplankton and Nekton in Prince William Sound, Annual Progress Report to the Oil Spill Recovery Institute Contract # 00-10-04, Prince William Sound Science Center, 34 p.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Best Practices for Mirgratory Bird Care During Oil Spill Response. Proceedings document of a Feb. 2001 workshop sponsored by OSRI's Mirgratory Bird Standards and Protocols Project, Anchorage, Alaska.

Vaughan, S.L. and S.M. Gay, 2002: Exchange between Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska. Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Project Final Report (Restoration Project 01552), Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska, 99574.

Vaughan, S.L. 2002. OSRI Annual Progress Report, Feb. 2001-Jan. 2002: Nowcast/Forecast System for Ocean Circulation and Surface Winds: Observational Oceanography. 20 pg.

Vaughan, S.L., 2001: SEA/OSRI Observations of Prince William Sound Circulation. In: Final Proceedings of the Prince William Sound Meteorology Workshop, Dec. 12-14, 2000, Anchorage, Alaska. Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute, Cordova, AK.

Vaughan, S.L., 2001: A Nowcast/Forecast System for Prince William Sound: Observational Oceanography. Presented at the Fifth International Marine Environmental Modeling Seminar (IMEMS 2001), Oct. 9-11, 2001, New Orleans, LA.

Vaughan, S.L. 2001. OSRI Annual Progress Report, Feb. 2000-Jan. 2001: Nowcast/Forecast System for Ocean Circulation and Surface Winds: Observational Oceanography.

Vaughan, S.L. and S.M. Gay, 2001: Exchange between Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska. Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Project Final Report (Restoration Project 00552), Prince William Sound Science Center, Cordova, Alaska, 99574.

Warnock, N., M.A. Bishop, and J.Y. Takekawa. 2002. Spring shorebird migration from Mexico to Alaska: Final Rept. 2002. Pt. Reyes Bird Observatory, Stinson Beach, CA. 22 pp.

Warnock, N., M.A. Bishop, and J.Y. Takekawa. 2001. Spring migration of Dunlin and Dowitchers along the Pacific Flyway 2001. Pt. Reyes Bird Observatory, Stinson Beach, CA. 16pp.

0

OSRI STAFF



Nancy Bird Director (2003 - present)



G.L. Thomas, Ph.D. Director (1992 - 2002)



Walter Cox Technology Coordinator (1992 – 2003)

Carrier and the second second

Strates Barrow and Strates and



Penny Oswalt Finance Director (1992 - present)



Prince William Sound Oil Spill Recovery Institute

PO Box 705 Cordova, Alaska 99574 (907) 424-5800 frontdes@pwssc.gen.ak.us www.pws-osri.org



Molly McCammon, Director 1007 West Third Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 <u>mccammon@aoos.org</u> Phone: (907) 770-6543 Fax: (907) 278-6773 www.aoos.org

What is AOOS?

The Alaska Ocean Observing System is part of a growing national network of integrated ocean observing systems that will improve our ability to rapidly detect changes in marine ecosystems and living resources, and predict future changes and their consequences for the public good. When fully developed, AOOS will

- Serve as the Alaska regional node for a national network of observing systems;
- Systematically deliver both real-time information and long-term trends about Alaska's ocean conditions and marine life;
- Provide to the public Internet access to cost-free data and information on coastal conditions; and
- Supply tailored products to meet the needs of mariners, scientists, industry, resource managers, educators, and other users of marine resources.

What kinds of oceanographic information?

AOOS will provide a centralized location for

- Data from platforms such as buoys, providing wind and current speed and direction, wave height, sea temperature and salinity, and more;
- Enhancements to existing NOAA weather buoy data for specialized local needs;
- Processed satellite data providing Alaska-wide information on sea-surface temperature, ocean color (chlorophyll) and wind;
- Geographically comprehensive surface current data from high frequency radar;
- Data about fish, birds and marine mammals, the environmental effects of human activities, and any other information that can be used with the physical data to predict future changes to the ocean ecosystem.

Why do we need this information?

The goals of the national Integrated Ocean Observing System are to

- Improve the safety and efficiency of marine operations;
- More effectively mitigate the effects of natural hazards;
- Improve predictions of climate change and its effects on coastal populations;
- Improve national security;
- Reduce public health risks;
- More effectively protect and restore healthy coastal marine ecosystems; and
- Enable the sustained use of marine resources.

Alaskans must help prioritize the goals for the Alaska regional system.

Don't we have this capability today?

We do not. Historically, government agencies have had the responsibility of gathering these observations, but the agencies have had neither sufficient funding nor discretion to

mount comprehensive long-term collection efforts or tailor data collection to meet practical local needs. Hence, many observation and information gaps exist in Alaska. As uses of the marine environment increase, the broader, ecosystem-based decisions expected in the future will require more systematic, coordinated databases.

Who will use AOOS?

- Mariners, fishermen and subsistence users who daily must make decisions that affect their livelihood and safety;
- Search and rescue operations planning effective strategies to save lives;
- Scientists studying Alaska's ocean ecosystems;
- Coastal security operations ensuring the safety of Alaska's ports and waters;
- Resource managers seeking ways to use and sustain resources for the future;
- Educators seeking to convey the complexity and connectedness of Alaska's oceans; and
- All those who ply Alaska's oceans for their livelihood, subsistence or recreation.

Who will make this happen?

- Regionally, a partnership has been formed to promote development of a regional program in Alaska. Partners include the State of Alaska; federal agencies, such as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Department of Interior; academic institutions, including the University of Alaska; research organizations, such as the North Pacific Research Board, the Alaska SeaLife Center, the Prince William Sound Science Center, the Arctic Research Commission, and the Barrow Arctic Science Consortium; and industry groups, including fisheries and aquaculture associations.
- Nationally, the effort is being led by the Ocean.US Office under the National Oceanographic Partnership Program. Legislation creating the national system and associated regional systems has passed the U.S. Senate (S. 1400), calling for a \$140 million commitment to ocean observing by 2006, with \$50 million for regional efforts.
- Internationally, a Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS) steering committee is working to link U.S. national efforts to the existing global observation network.

What is being done in Alaska?

- Alaska is developing a functioning program in anticipation of funding for the national effort.
- The partners have committed two years of funding to plan for and develop AOOS. An office has been established in Anchorage and a director hired to facilitate development of the program.
- The partners have developed an interim governance structure, beginning with a Memorandum of Agreement.
- Potential users of AOOS shippers, fishermen, subsistence harvesters, the oil industry, resource managers, and researchers will review existing data collection, identify what priority needs are not being met, and develop pilot projects.
- A business plan for implementing AOOS and ensuring its long-term sustainability will be developed with the assistance of the University of Alaska.

Are You Interested in Serving as a Peer Reviewer?

The Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) Program, Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim Sustainable Salmon Initiative (AYK SSI), and the North Pacific Research Board (NPRB) are seeking peer reviewers to update and enhance its database of scientific and technical reviewers. As you may know, our organizations rely on volunteer peer reviewers in scientific specialty areas to help insure the integrity of our programs, and to maximize the funds available to scientists for research and program implementation.

Your participation is important to our success and we highly value the time you contribute. If you fill out this form you will be contacted via e-mail with an online survey which will provide information to assist us in matching you to proposals which are in need of review. The survey will take a few minutes to fill out and will greatly assist us in utilizing your skills effectively and efficiently. In order to make performing technical reviews a less time consuming task, our programs limit the number of pages in a proposal and use online evaluation forms to streamline review submittals. We also strive to limit the number of reviews per evaluator and the number of requests to an individual per year.

Please fill out the fields below and mail/fax to:

Rob Bochenek

441 West 5th Avenue Suite 500 Anchorage AK, 99501-2340 Fax(907) 276-7178

NAME: _____

CONTACT NUMBER:

EMAIL:

Thank you for your consideration of our request. We appreciate your help.

Dr. Phil Mundy, Science Director Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Program

Dr. Clarence Pautzke, Executive Director North Pacific Research Board

Dr. Joseph Spaeder, Staff Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim Sustainable Salmon Initiative

Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring – www.oilspill.state.ak.us

Imagine a marine research program in one of the world's most productive ecosystems that had indefinite, guaranteed funding. This is the Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research (GEM) program, a long-term commitment to gathering information about the physical and biological components that make up a world-renowned marine ecosystem. What makes GEM unique is that it incorporates interagency cooperation and collaboration, public involvement and accessible, informative data and information on the Gulf of Alaska ecosystem.

North Pacific Research Board – www.nprb.org

The North Pacific Research Board (Board) was created by Congress in 1997 to recommend marine research initiatives to the U.S. Secretary of Commerce (Secretary), who is charged with making final funding decisions. The Board has 20 members hailing mainly from Alaska, Washington and Oregon. Its staff and home office are located in Anchorage, Alaska.

The Board's overall mission is to develop a comprehensive, high caliber, science program that provides better understanding of the North Pacific, Bering Sea, and Arctic Ocean ecosystems and their fisheries. Its work will be conducted through science planning, prioritization of pressing fishery management and ecosystem information needs, coordination and cooperation among research programs, competitive selection of research projects, enhanced information availability, and public involvement.

Arctic-Yukon-Kuskokwim Sustainable Salmon Initiative-www.aykssi.org

The AYK SSI is a cooperative salmon research planning and funding initiative aimed at addressing the need for expanded research on declined salmon stocks in the AYK region. Through this initiative, native regional organizations have joined with state and federal agencies to form an innovative partnership to cooperatively address salmon research and restoration needs. This partnership includes the Association of Village Council Presidents (AVCP), the Tanana Chiefs Conference (TCC), Kawerak, Inc., Bering Sea Fishermen's Association (BSFA), Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), US Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS), plus additional native, governmental and NGO ex-officio partner institutions. The purpose of the AYK SSI is to foster expanded fishery research in order to help understand the causes of the decline of these stocks and to support sustainable salmon management in the region. The Initiative will accomplish this through: 1.) funding high quality research projects addressing pressing fisheries information needs in the region, and; 2.) facilitating coordination and cooperation among research and management institutions by developing a dynamic, comprehensive, long range Research and Restoration Plan for the region.

January 12-14, 2004

Kenneth Adams

Co-PI PWS Fisheries Research Application and Planning Box 1855 Cordova, AK 99574

Email kadams@gci.net Phone: 907-424-5456 Fax

Shannon Atkinson

Science Director/Professor of Marine Science Alaska SeaLife Center, UAF 301 Railway Ave. POB 1329 Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email: shannon_atkinson@alaskasealife org Phone: 907-224-6346 Fax: 907-224-6360

Brenda Ballachey

Research Physiologist USGS Alaska Science Center 1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: bballachey@shaw.ca Phone 907-786-3480 Fax: 907/786-3636

Paula Banks

Admin Manager EVOS 441 West 5th Ave., Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email paula_banks@evostc.state.ak.us Phone 907-265-9331 Fax: 907-276-7178

Sonia Batten

Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science c/o 4737 Vista View Crescent Nanaimo, BC V9V 1N8

Email: soba@mail.pml.ac.uk Phone 250-756-7747 Fax: 250-756-7747

Alan Bennett

Long-term Monitoring Coordinator NPS 240 West 5th Ave Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: alan_bennett@nps gov Phone. 907-644-3681 Fax:

Beverly Agler

ADFG 10107 Bentwood Place Juneau, AK 99801

Email: bev_agler@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-465-3498 Fax: 907-465-2765

Marlin Atkinson

Professor Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology PO Box 1346 Kaneohe, HI 96744

Email: mja@hawaii.edu Phone: 808-235-2224 Fax: 907-224-3538

Gregory Balogh

Endangered Species Branch Chief U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 605 W. 4th Ave.Rm G-61 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: greg_balogh@fws.gov Phone 907-271-2778 Fax 907-271-2786

Joe Banta

Project Manager PWSRCAC 3709 Spenard Rd Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: banta@pwsrcac org Phone. 907-277-7222 Fax: 907-277-4523

John Bauer

ESIV ADEC 555 Cordova Anchorage, AK 99501

Email[.] John_Bauer@dec.state ak.us Phone. 907-269-7522 Fax:

Laurel Bennett

Aquatic Ecologist NPS, Southwest Alaska Network 240 W. 5th Ave Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: laurel_bennett@nps gov Phone: 907- 644-0869 Fax: 907-644-3809

Jon Agosti

Hatchery Manager Qutekcak Shellfish Hatchery PO Box 369 Seward, AK 99664

Email: qshatch@arctic.net Phone: 907-224-5181 Fax:

Edward Backus

Vice President, Fisheries Ecotrust PO Box 5015 Charleston, OR 97420

Email: ebackus@ecotrust org Phone: 541-266-9106 Fax

James Balsiger

Regional Administrator - Alaska NOAA, NMFS 709 W. 9th St. Room 453 Juneau, AK 99801

Email[,] jim.balsiger@noaa.gov Phone: 907-586-7221 Fax: 907-586-7249

Naomi Bargmann

Student Trainee in Biology USGS - Alaska Science Center, UAA USGS - BSO - ASC1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503-6119

Email: nbargmann@usgs.gov Phone 907-786-3904 Fax: 907-786-3636

Ted Bence

ExxonMobil Upstream Research Co. PO Box 2189 URC S-169 Houston, TX 77252-2189

Email: a.edward.bence@exxonmobil com Phone: 713-431-4294 Fax: 713-431-6310

Dan Bevington

Planner/Coastal District Coordinator Kenai Peninsula Borough 144 N. Binkley Soldotna, AK 99669

Email. dbevington@borough.kenai.ak.us Phone: 907-262-4441 Fax 907-262-8618

January 12-14, 2004

Nancy Bird

President Prince William Sound Science Center P.O. Box 705 Cordova, AK 99574

Email bird@pwssc gen ak.us Phone: 907-424-5800 x 225 Fax

Gail Blundell

PI, Harbor Seal Research Program ADFG P.O. Box 240020 Douglas, AK 99824-0020

Email gail_blundell@fishgame state ak us Phone 907-465-4345 Fax: 907-465-4272

Wiebke Boeing

Aquatic Ecologist NOAA / Alaska Fisheries Science Center 7600, Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115 Email: Wiebke.Boeing@noaa.gov Phone 206-526-4790 Fax. 206-526-6723

Michelle Boykins

1089 Georgetown Rd Norfolk, VA 23402

Email: none Phone. 757-718-4049 Fax none

Liz Bradfield 7004 Meteor Ct Anchorage, AK 99504

Email lizbradfield@hotmail.com

Phone: 907-338-7004 Fax:

Phil Brna

Fish & Wildlife Biologist USFWS 605 W. 4th Ave, Rm G-61 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email[,] phil_brna@fws.gov Phone[,] 907-271-2440 Fax[,] 907-271-2786 Mary Anne Bishop Ecologist Prince William Sound Science Center PO Box 705 Cordova, AK 99574

Email: mbishop@pwssc.gen.ak.us Phone. 907-424-5800x 228 Fax 907-424-5820

Rob Bochenek

Data Systems Manager EVOS 441 W 5th Ave, Ste 500 Anchorage, AK 99501-2340

Email rob_bochenek@evostc state.ak.us Phone: 907-278-8012 Fax. 907-276-7178

Dede Bohn

USGS 1011 E. Tudor Rd. Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: dede_bohn@usgs.gov Phone 907-786-3685 Fax 907-786-3636

Larry Boyle

Fishery Biologist ADFG 333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, AK 99518

Email larry_boyle@fishgame state.ak.us Phone: 907-267-2382 Fax:

Christopher Brewer

student Whitman College P.O. Box 110925 Anchorage, AK 99511

Email. brewerrc@whitman edu Phone: 509-522-5434 Fax:

John Brown

ESIV ADEC-PERP 555 Cordova Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. John_Brown@dec state ak.us Phone. 907-269-7688 Fax:

Arny Blanchard

Program Manager IMS, UAF 245 O'Neill Building SFOS/UAF . Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email arnyb@ims uaf.edu Phone 907-474-1123 Fax:

James Bodkin

Research Scientist USGS Alaska Science Center 1011 E. Tudor Rd. Anchorage, AK 99503

Email. james_bodkin@usgs.gov Phone: 907-786-3550 Fax: 907-786-3636

Rob Bosworth

Southeast Alaska Program Director The Nature Conservancy 119 Seward St., #2 Juneau, AK 99801

Email rbosworth@tnc org Phone 907-586-8621 Fax 907-586-8622

Mary Bozza

Research Associate Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 301 Railway Ave Seward, AK 99664

Email: mary_bozza@alaskasealife.org Phone: 907-224-6380 Fax 907-224-6320

Lawson Brigham

Director, Alaska Office U.S. Arctic Research Commission 420 L Street, Suite 315 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email usarc@acsalaska net Phone: 907-271-4577 Fax 907-271-4578

Patty Brown-Schwalenberg

Executive Director CRRC 4201 Tudor Centre Drive, Suite 300 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email alutuqpride@crrcalaska.org Phone. 907-562-6647 Fax 907-562-4939

۲. ۲

January 12-14, 2004

Vladimir Burkanov Marine Biologist Natural Resources Consultants, Inc. 7600 Sand Point Way, NE Building 4 Seattle, WA 98155

Email: vladimir burkanov@noaa.gov Phone: 206-526-4298 Fax 206-526-6615

John Calder

Director NOAA Arctic Research Office 1315 East West Highway Rm 11362R/ARC Silver Spring, MD 20910

Email John.Calder@noaa.gov Phone: 301-713-2518 ext 146 Fax: 301-713-2519

Mark Carls

Fishery Biologist NOAA / NMFS / Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Hwy. Juneau, AK 99801

Email[·] mark.carls@noaa.gov Phone: 907-789-6019 Fax: 907-789-6094

Bob Childers

Deep Ocean Eye 406 G St, Suite 303 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email childers@alaska.net Phone[.] 907-646-2332 Fax.

Robert Clark

Fisheries Scientist ADFG 333 Raspberry Rd. Anchorage, AK 99518

Email: bob_clark@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-267-2222 Fax: 907-267-2422

Cathy Coon Fishery Analyst NPFMC 605 W. 4th Ave, Suite 306 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: cathy.coon Phone[.] 907-271-2809 Fax: 907-271-2817

Jennifer Burns

University of Alaska Anchorage Department of Biological Sciences 3211 Providence Drive Anchorage, AK 99508

Email: jburns@uaa.alaska edu Phone: 907-786-1527 Fax: 907-786-4607

Don Calkins

Steller Sea Lion Program Manager Alaska SeaLife Center P.O. Box 1329 Seward, AK 99664

Email: don_calkins@alaskasealife.org Phone[.] 907-224-6325 Fax. 907-224-6320

Michael Castellini

Director IMS, UAF University of Alaska Fairbanks Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: mikec@ims.uaf.edu Phone[.] 907 474 6825 Fax. 907-474-7204

Jeff Childs

Marıne Fish & Wildlife Biologist MMS 949 E. 36th Avenue, Room 300 Anchorage, AK 99508-4363

Email Jeff Childs@mms.gov Phone: 907-271-6690 Fax 907-271-6507

Ross Coen

MA student UAF POBox 756460 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: ftrac@uaf edu Phone: 907-474-7126 Fax

Ted Cooney

Oceanographer UAF Retired PO Box 486 Choteau, MT 59422

Email: windsong@montana.com Phone: 406-466-5155 Fax.

Brian Bue

ADFG P.O. Box 641 Palmer, AK 99645

Email[•] brianb@alaska.net Phone[•] 907-745-0182 Fax.

Vernon Byrd

Supervisory Wildlife Biologist USFWS 95 Sterling Hwy. Homer, AK 99603

Email: vernon_byrd@fws.gov Phone 907-235-6546 Fax: 907-235-7783

David Carlile

ADFG-CF Mail Stop 11001255 W 8th St. P.O. Box 25526 Juneau, AK 99802-5526

Email: dave_carlile@fishgame state.ak.us Phone[.] 907-465-4216 Fax:

Glenn Chen

Fisheries Biologist BIA 3601 C Street, Suite 1100 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: Phone: 907-271-4111 Fax: 907-271-1748

James Churnside NOAA Environmental Technology Laboratory

NOAA ETL ET 2325 Broadway Boulder, CO 80305

Email. james.h.churnside@noaa.gov Phone 303-497-6744 Fax: 303-497-5318

Edward Cokelet NOAA, PMEL 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115-6439

Email: Edward.D.Cokelet@noaa.gov Phone. 206-526-6820 Fax 206-526-6485

Montreal, Quebec, Canada H2L 3M6

Email: robertc@macumbainternational.com

Phone 514-521-8303 Fax: 514-521-0260

Robert Cornellier

Macumba International

3862 12th Avenue

Clara Crosby

Anchorage, AK 99501

Phone: 907-269-1069 Fax

Email. clara_crosby@dec.state.ak us

555 Cordova

ESII

ADEC

Journalist

January 12-14, 2004

David Cormany

Marine Biologist NMFS 222 W 7th Ave, Rm 517 PO Box 43 Anchorage, AK 99513

Email: dave.cormany@noaa gov Phone 907-271-3024 Fax. 907 271-3030

Walter Cox

PWSSC P.O. Box 705 Cordova, AK 99574

Email. cordovacox@hotmail.com Phone: 907-424-5800 Fax

Marilyn Dahlheim

Marine Mammal Biologist NMFS, NMML 7600 Sand Point Way NE #4 Seattle, WA 98115

Email: marilyn dahlheim@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-4020 Fax 206-526-6615

Jenny DeGroot

Research Technician Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1831 Seward, AK 99664

Ernail: jenny_degroot@alaskasealife org Phone 907-224-6377 Fax:

Barbara Doak

BTLC 1310 H Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email[.] Phone: 907-279-6778 Fax

Sherry Dugan

Teacher, Project Wild Presenter Girdwood Science Camp P.O. Box 636 Girdwood, AK 99587

Email sme@alaska.net Phone: Fax

Amalie Couvillion

Conservation Science Director The Nature Conservancy 715 L Street, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email acouvillion@tnc.org Phone. 907-276-3133 x103 Fax. 907-276-2584 1

Paula Cullenberg

Associate Professor UAA, Marine Advisory Program 2221 E Northern Lights #110 Anchorage, AK 99516

Email: anpjc@uaa alaska.edu Phone: 907-274-9691x 122 Fax: 907-277-5242

Tony DeGange

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email tony_degange @fws.gov Phone: 907-786-3492 Fax 907-786-3306

Braxton Dew

Ph.D. Fisheries Scientist NMFS, AFSC NMFS/AFSC, Bldg. 4 P.O Box 15700 Seattle, WA 98115-6349

Email: braxton dew@noaa.gov Phone 206- 526-4132 Fax. 206-526-6723

Switgard Duesterloh

Assistant Area Management Biologist ADFG 211 Mission Road Kodiak, AK 99615

Email: switgard_duesterloh@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone 907-486-1802 Fax: 907 486 1841

Ginny Eckert

UAS 11120 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email[·] ginny.eckert@uas alaska edu Phone 907-465-6450 Fax[·] 907-465-6447

1305 West Seventh Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501

Birchtree Cove Studio

Nora L. Deans

President

Email. brchtreecove@aol com Phone 907-278-8002 Fax. 907-278.2035

John Devens

Executive Director PWS RCAC / PAC member PO Box 770 Valdez, AK 99686

Email: devens@pwsrcac org Phone 907 834-5060 Fax. 907 835-5926

Angela Doroff

Wildlife Biologist USFWS Marine Mammals Management 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email. angela_doroff@fws gov Phone: 907-786-3803 Fax: 907-786-3816

John Durban

Marine Mammal Biologist NMFS, NMML 7600 Sand Point Way NE #4 Seattle, WA 98115

Email: john.durban@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-4539 Fax 206-526-6615

- 11

January 12-14, 2004

Dan Esler

University Research Associate Centre for Wildlife Ecology,Simon Fraser Univ. Centre for Wildlife Ecology 5421 Robertson Road Delta, BC V4K 3N2

Email. desler@sfu.ca Phone 604-940-4652 Fax: 604-946-7022

Jim Estes

UCSC 100 Schatter Rd. Long Marine Lab, Rm 251 Santa Cruz, CA 95064 Email. Jestes@cats.ucsc edu Phone 831-459-2357 Fax: 831-459-2249

Tamra Faris

NEPA Coordinator NOAA Fisheries - AFSC 709 W. 9th Street P.O. Box 21668 Juneau, AK 99802

Email⁻ tamra faris@noaa gov Phone 907-586-7645 Fax. 907-586-7249

Bruce Finney

Professor, Institute of Marine Science UAF PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775 Email. finney@ims.uaf.edu Phone 907-474-7724 Fax: 907-474-7204

Alejandro Frid

PhD candidate Simon Fraser University Dept. Biological Sciences Simon Fraser University Burnaby, BC V5A 1S6

Email[•] ilexp@yknet.yk.ca Phone: 604-291-5864 Fax[•] 604-291-3496

Dale Gardner

Regional Planner ADEC 555 Cordova St. Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: dale_gardner@dec state.ak us Phone: 907-269-7682 Fax 907-269-7648

Dave Eslinger

Oceanographer NOAA Coastal Services Center 2234 S. Hobson Ave Charleston, SC 29405

Email Dave Eslinger@noaa.gov Phone: 843-740-1270 Fax 843-740-1290

Lisa Etherington

Marine Ecologist U.S. Geological Survey USGS Glacier Bay Field Station P.O. Box 140 Gustavus, AK 99826

Email: letherington@usgs gov Phone: 907-697-2625 Fax: 907-697-2654

Ed Farley

Mathematical Statistician NOAA AFSC Auke Bay Laboratory 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email. ed farley@noaa gov Phone: 907-789-6085 Fax: 907-789-6094

Cathleen Flanagan

Biohydrologist The Nature Conservancy 715 L Street, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. cflanagan@tnc.org Phone: 907-276-3133 x111 Fax: 907-276-2584

Carol Fries

Project Coordinator ADNR 550 W. 7th Ave., Suite 1400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email carol_fries@dnr state ak.us Phone 907-269-8425 Fax 907-269-8918

Bonita Geary

Owner Technical Writing and Editing Services 3621-A Carleton Ave. Anchorage, AK 99517

Email: bogeary@ptialaska.net Phone: 907-248-3351 Fax:

Ben Enticknap

Fisheries Project Coordinator Alaska Marine Conservation Council PO Box 101145 Anchorage, AK 99510

Email ben@akmarine.org Phone. 907-277-5357 Fax:

Sandy Eslinger

Coastal Specialist South Carolina Sea Grant 287 Meeting Street Charleston, SC 29401

Email: sandy.eslinger@scseagrant org Phone 843-727-2078 Fax. 843-727-2080

Gary Fandrei

Executive Director Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association 40610 Kalifornsky Beach Rd. Kenai, AK 99611-6445

Email: gfandrei@ciaanet.org Phone[.] 907-283-5761 Fax. 907-283-9433

Ferg Ferguson

Asst Director BTLC POB 241174 Anchorage, AK 99524

Email: claraspring@att.net Phone. 907-279-1069 Fax:

Nora Foster

Affiliate University of Alaska Museum 2998 Gold Hill Road Fairbanks, AK 99709

Email: swamprat@mosquitonet.com Phone: 907- 474-9557 Fax

Lowell Fritz

Fishery Biologist NMML, AFSC, NMFS 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: Lowell.Fritz@noaa.gov Phone: 206-526-4246 Fax:

Joy Geiselman

Deputy Chief, Biology USGS 1011 E. Tudor Rd.MS 701 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email: joy_geiselman@usgs.gov Phone: 907-786-3668 Fax 907-786-3636

John Gerster

EVOS PAC, NPRB Board Northwest Medical 2841 DeBarr Rd.Suite 22 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email jgerster@alaska net Phone 907-276-6301 Fax 907-264-1541

Karen Gillis

Program Director Bering Sea Fishermen's Association 725 Christensen Drive, #3 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email[,] karen@cdqdb.org Phone[,] 907-279-6519 Fax[,] 907-258-6688

Judy Gottlieb

Associate Regional Director NPS Alaska 240 W 5th Av anchorage, AK 99501

Email Judy_Gottlieb@nps gov Phone 907-644-3505 Fax

Mary Grisco

PO Box 202045 Anchorage, AK 99520

Email: megrisco@ak net Phone 907-258-1896 Fax: 907-258-1897

Randy Hagenstein

Conservation Director The Nature Conservancy 715 L Street; Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email rhagenstein@tnc.org Phone: 907-276-3133 ext 119 Fax[.] 907-276-2584

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Scott Gende

Ecologist NPS Glacier Bay Field Station 3100 National Park Road Juneau, AK 99801

Email. Scott_Gende@nps.gov Phone: 907-364-1591 Fax 907-364-1540

Tony Gharrett

UAF PO Box 210082 11120 Glacier Highway Juneau, AK 99821 Email. ffajg@uaf.edu Phone 907-465-6445 Fax 907-465-6447

Elizabeth Goodrich

Admin Clerk EVOS 441 W 5th Ave, Ste 500 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email[.] Phone 907-278-8012 Fax: 907-276-7178

Allison Graves

GIS Specialist Nuna Technologies PO Box 1483 Homer, AK 99603

Email nunatech@usa net Phone 907-235-3476 Fax

John Haddix

Biological Technician USFWS 1011 E Tudor Rd, MS341 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email John_Haddix@fws gov Phone 907-786-3808 Fax: 907-786-3816

Brenda (Hall) Ramos

Admin Officer EVOS 441 W. 5th Ave., Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: brenda_hall@oilspill state.ak.us Phone: 907-278-8012 Fax: 907-276-7178

Brandee Gerke

Fisheries Biologist NOAA Fisheries, Alaska Region PO Box 21668 Juneau, AK 99802-1668 1

Email. brandee.gerke@noaa.gov Phone: 907-586-7248 Fax: 907-586-7012

Verena Gill

Wildlife Biologist USFWS- Marine Mammmals 1011 East Tudor Road, MS 341 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: verena_gill@fws.gov Phone 907-786-3584 Fax 907-786-3816

Tracey Gotthardt

Zoologist Alaska Natural Heritage Program, UAA 707 A. Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email antg@uaa alaska.edu Phone: 907-257-2782 Fax:

Ben Greene

Independent Fisheries Biologist 8901 Sultana Dr. Anchorage, AK 99516

Email greene@gci.net Phone: 907-344-2939 Fax.

Peter Hagen

NOAA, Fisheries NMFS- Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email: Peter.Hagen@noaa.gov Phone. 907-789-6096 Fax 907-789-6608

Donald Hansen

Wildlife Biologist MMS 949 E. 36th Ave. RM 308 Anchorage, AK 99508-4363

Email: Don.Hansen@mms.gov Phone: 907-271-6656 Fax 907-271-6805
January 12-14, 2004

Laura Hardin

Fisheries Biologist
BIA
3601 C Street, Suite 1100
Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: Phone: 907-271-4111 Fax: 907-271-1748

Jon Heifetz

Fishery Research Biologist NMFS - Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Highway Juneau, AK 99801

Email. jon.heifetz@noaa gov Phone: 907-789-6054 Fax 907-789-6094

Heidi Herter

Guide Alaska Sea Kayakers-Whittier, AK 4321 Rabbit Creek Rd Anchorage, AK 99516

Email heidiherter@yahoo.com Phone. 907-345-1861 Fax 345-9634

Carrie Holba

Reference Services Coordinator ARLIS 3150 C Street, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: carrie@arlis org Phone: 907-271-4511 Fax: 907-271-4742

Tuula Hollmen

Elder Research Program Manager Alaska SeaLife Center 301 Railway Ave. POB 1329 Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email: tuula_hollmen@alaskasealife.org Phone: 907-224-6300 Fax. 907-224-6360

Charlie Hughey

PAC member Valdez Native Tribe P.O.B 1108 Valdez, AK 99686-1108

Email: vntevos@cvinternet.net Phone: 907-835-4951 Fax: 907-835-5589

John Harper Marine Geologist Coastal & Ocean Resources, Inc. 214 - 9865 W. Saanich Rd Sidney, BC CANADA V8L 5Y8

Email: john@coastalandoceans.com Phone: 250-655-4035 Fax: 250-655-1290

Ron Heintz

Fishery Research Biologist NOAA Fisheries- Auke Bay Laboratory 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email ron heintz@noaa.gov Phone: 907-789-6058 Fax. 907-789-6094

Ray Highsmith

Professor/Director West Coast & Polar Regions Undersea Research Center SFOS UAF Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220 Email: highsmth@guru.uaf edu Phone. 907-474-7836 Fax: 907-474-5804

Kenneth Holbrook

Restoration Manager USDA Forest Service 3301 C Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: kholbrook@fs.fed.us Phone: 907-743-9519 Fax: 907-743-9476

Anne Hoover-Miller

Harbor Seal Program Manager Alaska SeaLife Center P.O. Box 1329 Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email: anne_hoovermiller@alaskasealife.org Phone[.] 907-224-6331 Fax[.] 907-224-6320

Henry Huntington

Huntington Consulting 23834 The Clearing Dr. Eagle River, AK 99577

Email: hph@alaska.net Phone 907-696-3564 Fax. 907-696-3565

Sue Hazlett

Ph.D. student UAF P.O. Box 83965 Fairbanks, AK 99708

Email: shazlett@hotmail.com Phone 907-459-8559 Fax

Jennifer Henderson

Environmental Specialist ADEC 555 Cordova St , 2nd floor Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: Jennifer_Henderson@state.ak.us Phone[.] 907-269-7532 Fax: 907-269-7648

John Hill

NOAA Fisheries 3226 Upland Dr. Anchorage, AK 99504

Email. john c hill@noaa.gov Phone. 907-271-5006 Fax: 907-271-3030

Davin Holen

Subsistence Resource Specialist Division of Subsistence, ADFG 333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, AK 99518

Email davin_holen@fishgame.state ak us Phone: 907-267-2807 Fax: 907-267-2450

Brett Huber

EVOS Restoration Program Coordinator ADFG 441 West 5th Ave., Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501-2340

Email. Brett_Huber@fishgame.state ak us Phone 907-265-9329 Fax: 907-276-7178

Katrin Iken

School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences UAF PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: iken@ims.uaf.edu Phone: 907-474-5192 Fax: 907-474-5804

Gail Irvine

U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center 1011 E. Tudor Rd. Anchorage, AK 99503

Email. gail_irvine@usgs.gov Phone: 907-786-3653 Fax: 907-786-3636

Danielle Jerry

Chief, Division of Natural Resources U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1011 E. Tudor Rd Anchorage, AK 99508

Email Danielle_Jerry@fws.gov Phone 907-786-3335 Fax 907-786-3905

Philip Johnson

Environmental Contaminants Coordinator USFWS Fisheries and Habitat Conservation 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: philip_johnson@fws.gov Phone: 907-786-3483 Fax: 907-786-3350

Lisa Ka'aihue

PWSRCAC 3709 Spenard Anchorage, AK 99503

Email kaaihue@pwsrcac org Phone[.] 907-277-7222 Fax: 907-277-7222

Simon Kinneen

Fishery Biologist NSEDC 420 L Street, Suite 310 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: simon@nsedc.com Phone 907-274-2248 Fax 907-274-2249

Kim Kloecker

Biologist USGS 1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: kim_kloecker@usgs.gov Phone: 907-786-3480 Fax: 907-786-3636

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Lianna Jack

Executive Director The Alaska Sea Otter and Steller Sea Lion Commission 6239 B Street, Suite 204 Anchorage, AK 99518

Email ljack@gci net Phone: 907-274-9799 Fax. 907-274-9022

Meibing Jin

Research Assist. Prof. SFOS, University of Alaska Fairbanks PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email ffjm@uaf edu Phone. 907-474-6877 Fax 907-474-7204

Shelley Johnson

Program Coordinator Alaska Oceans Program 441 W 5th Avenue, Suite 402 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: shelley@alaskaoceans.net Phone: 907-929-3553 Fax: 907-929-1562

Mala Kalyan

Environmental Specialist ADEC, SPAR-PERP 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: mala_kalyan@dec.state ak us Phone[.] 907-269-7435 Fax: 907-269-7648

Ron Klein

Program Manager ADEC 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. ron_klein@dec.state.ak.us Phone 907-269-7595 Fax.

Gunnar Knapp

Professor of Economics, UAA Institute of Social and Economic Research 3211 Providence Drive Anchorage, Alaska 99508

Email Gunnar.Knapp@uaa.alaska.edu Phone: 907-786-7717 Fax:

David Janka

Owner/Operator Auklet Charter Services P.O. Box 498 Cordova, AK 99574-0498

Email: info@auklet.com Phone 907-424-3428 Fax

Mark Johnson

UAF/IMS PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: johnson@ims uaf edu Phone: 907-474-6933 Fax 907-474-7204

Peter Jones

Regional Budget Officer NOAA, NMFS/Alaska Region PO Box 21668 Juneau, AK 99802-1668

Email: peter.d.jones@noaa gov Phone: 907-586-7280 Fax 907-586-7255

Arthur Kettle

Biological Science Technician Alaska Maritime NWR U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 95 Sterling Hwy. #1 Homer, AK 99603

Email. Arthur_Kettle@fws.gov Phone: 907-235-6546 Fax 907-235-7783

Tom Kline

Prince William Sound Science Center POB 705 Cordova, AK 99574

Email: tkline@pwssc gen ak us Phone 907-424-5800 x233 Fax 907-424-5820

Gary Kompkoff

President Tatitlek Village IRA Council P.O. Box 171 Tatitlek, AK 99677

Email uppasboy@yahoo.com Phone. 907-325-2311 Fax. 907-325-2298

5 1 2 2 2 1 1

January 12-14, 2004

Tamara Kondratyuk Research Scientist Purdue University 2422 Temple Court West West Lafayette, IN 47906

Email: kondratt@purdue.edu Phone: 765-494-0226 Fax: 765-494-1285

Gordon Kruse

School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences UAF 11120 Glacier Highway Juneau, AK 99801

Email: Gordon.Kruse@uaf.edu Phone: 907-465-8458 Fax: 907-465-8461

Barat LaPorte

Patton Boggs LLP 601 W. 5th Ave., Ste. 700 Anchorage, AK 99502

Email: blaporte@pattonboggs.com Phone. 907-263-6300 Fax. 907-263 6345

Aquilina Lestenkof

Co-Director Tribal Government of St. Paul's Ecosystem Conservation Office PO Box 86 St. Paul Island, AK 99660

Email: aquilina@tdxak.com Phone 907-546-2641 Fax: 907-546-2655

Maria Lisowski USDA Office of the General Counsel P.O. Box 21628 Juneau, AK 99802

Email: maria.lisowski@usda.gov Phone. 907-586-8826 Fax:

Paul Lowry

Physical Scientist MMS - DOI 949 E. 36th Avenue, Room 308 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email: Paul.Lowry@mms.gov Phone: 907-271-6574 Fax:

Robert Kopchak

PAC member EVOS PO Box 1126 Cordova, AK 99574

Email ecotrust@ctcak.net Phone. 907-424-3541 Fax.

Thomas Kuckertz

Project Manager Prince William Sound Regional Citizens' Advisory Council PO Box 3089 Valdez, AK 99686

Email: kuckertz@pwsrcac.org Phone: 907-834-5050 Fax. 907-835-5926

Patrick Lavin

NWF 750 W. 2nd Ave., Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: lavin@nwf.org Phone: 907-339-3909 Fax:

Mandy Lindeberg

Research Biologist NOAA Auke Bay Laboratory Auke Bay Laboratory 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email Mandy.Lindeberg@noaa gov Phone: 907-789-6616 Fax: 907-789-6094

Patricia Livingston

NOAA Fisheries - AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: pat livingston@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-4242 Fax 206-526-6723

Paul MacGregor

General Counsel At Sea Processors Association 999 Third Ave.Suite 4200 Seattle, WA

Email. pmacgregor@mundtmac.com Phone: Fax:

Brenda Konar

Asst. Professor School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: bkonar@guru uaf edu Phone: 907-474-5028 Fax: 907-474-5804

Melinda Kramer

Marine Program Associate Pacific Environment 1440 Broadway, Suite 306 Oakland, CA 94612

Email: mkramer@pacificenvironment.org Phone: 510-251-8800 ext. 303 Fax: 510-251-8838

Jennifer Lanksbury

Research Fisheries Biologist NOAA, AFSC 7600 Sandpoint Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: Jennifer.Lanksbury@noaa.gov Phone[.] 206-526-4275 Fax: 206-526-6723

Roger Lee

Bioenvironmental Engineer USAF Elmendorf 3870 Reflection Drive Anchorage, AK 99504

Email rogerlee@gimail af mil Phone: 907-552-3951 Fax:

Sandra Lindstrom

University of British Columbia #3529 - 6270 University Blvd. University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4

Email: sandracl@interchange.ubc.ca Phone[•] 604-822-3349 Fax: 604-822-6089

Elizabeth Logerwell

Research Fishery Biologist NOAA AFSC F/AKC2 P.O. Box 15700 Seattle, WA 98115

Email: libby.logerwell@noaa.gov Phone: 206-526-4231 Fax: 206-526-6723

January 12-14, 2004

Allen Macklin

NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115-6349

Email: allen macklin@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-6798 Fax: 206-526-6485

Andrew Malavansky

Island Sentinel St. George Traditional Council PO Box 940 St. George Island, AK 99591

Email Malavansky@hotmail.com Phone 907-859-2205 Fax: 907-859-2242

Suzanne Marcy

Senior Scientist for Arctic EPA 222 West 7th Avenue #19 Anchorage, AK 99513

Email: marcy suzanne@epa.gov Phone 907-271-2895 Fax 907-271-3424

Craig Matkin

Marine Mammal Biologist North Gulf Oceanic Society 2030 Mary Allen Ave Homer, AK 99603

Email comatkin@xvz net Phone 907-235-6590 Fax: 907-235-6590

Bob McConnaughey

Research Fishery Bioologist NOAA AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115-6349

Email bob mcconnaughey@noaa gov Phone. 206-526-4150 Fax. 206-526-6723

Chuck Meacham

Vice Chairman EVOS PAC 533 Main Street Juneau, AK 99801

Email ffcpm1@uaf.edu Phone. 907-463-3335 Fax 907-563-3335

Steve MacLean

Wildlife Biologist LGL Alaska Research Associates, Inc. 1101 East 76th Ave. Suite B Anchorage, AK 99518

Email smaclean@lol com Phone: 907-562-3339 Fax: 907-562-7223

John Maniscalco

Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 Seward, AK 99664

Email: john_maniscalco@alaskasealife.org Phone 907-224-6378 Fax 907-224-6320

Dan Martinez

Research Analyst TASSC 6239 B St, Ste 204 Anchorage, AK 99518

Email danmartinez@gci net Phone 907-274-9799 Fax 907-274-9022

Molly McCammon

Executive Director Alaska Ocean Observing System 1007 West Third Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email mccammon@aoos oro Phone: 907-770-6543 Fax 907-278-6773

Sheela McLean

Public Information Officer NOAA Fisheries, NMFS PO Box 21668 Juneau, AK 99802

Email sheela mclean@noaa gov Phone: 907-586-7032 Fax: 907-586-7249

Rosa Meehan

Chief, Marine Mammals Division U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email. rosa_meehan@fws.gov Phone 907-786-3349 Fax 907-786-3816

Alan Maki

Environmental Advisor **ExxonMobil** 3301 C Street, Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: al w maki@exxonmobil.com Phone 907-564-3702 Fax 907-564-3789

Richard Marasco

Director Resource Ecology and Fisheries Management ADFG 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 4 Seattle, WA 98115

Email: rich.marasco@noaa gov Phone 206-526-4172 Fax. 206-526-6723

Gary Marty

UC - Davis VM-APC1 Shields Ave. Davis, CA 95616

Email: gdmarty@ucdavis.edu Phone. 530-754-8062 Fax: 530-752-7690

Paul McCollum

Fisheries and Natural Resource Biologist CRRC P.O. Box 2790 Homer, AK 99603

Email. paul.mccollum@acsalaska.net Phone: 907-235-4271 Fax 907-235-0588

S. Lyn McNutt

Research Associate Professor Geophysical Institute, UAF 903 Kovukuk Drive Fairbanks, AK 99775-7320

Email: lyn@qi alaska.edu Phone 907-474-6077 Fax 907-474-7290

Bernard Megrey

MNFS, AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: bern.megrey@noaa.gov Phone 206-526-4147 Fax: 206-526-6723

Jo-Ann Mellish

Assistant Research Professor UAF, Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 301 Railway Avenue Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email. joann_mellish@alaskasealife org Phone: 907-224-6324 Fax: 907-224-6320

Jennifer Mondragon

Ecologist USGS 3100 National Park Road Juneau, AK 99801

Email jennifer_mondragon@usgs gov Phone 907-364-1579 Fax: 901-364-1540

Terri Morganson

Account Manager ESRI 8130 Evans Circle Anchorage, AK 99507

Email tmorganson@esri.com Phone 907-644-8470 Fax: 907-644-6928

Monty Moose

COC 441 West 5th Ave., Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99507

Email: nugget@mosquito.net Phone: Fax:

Phillip Mundy

Science Director Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring 441 W. 5th Aveune Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: phil_mundy@evostc state ak us Phone: 907-278-8012 Fax:

James Murphy

Fisheries Research Biologist NMFS 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email: jim murphy@noaa.gov Phone 907-789-6651 Fax: 907-789-6094

2004 Marine Science Symposium

24.697

January 12-14, 2004

Larry Merculieff

Project Science Advisor Alaska Native Science Commission 429 L St. Anchorage, AK 99501

Email Imerculieff@netscape.net Phone 907-258-2672 Fax

Sue Moore

Director, National Marine Mammal Laboratory NOAA, NMFS 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email sue.moore@noaa gov Phone. 206-526-4047 Fax 206-526-6615

Mary Morris

Coastal Marine Biologist Archipelago Marine Research Ltd. 200 - 525 Head Street Victoria, BC Canada V8M 1E5

Email: marym@archipelago.ca Phone 250-383-4535 Fax: 250-383-0103

Franz Mueter

JISAO,UW 697 Fordham Drive Fairbanks, AK 99709

Email: fmueter@alaska.net Phone: 907-779-8815 Fax: 907-479-8815

Lisa Munger

graduate student Scripps Institution of Oceanography Scripps Institution of Oceanography/UCSD9500 Gilman Drive, Mail Code 0205 La Jolla, CA 92093-0205

Email: Imunger@ucsd.edu Phone: 858-534-5755 Fax: 858-534-6849

Doug Mutter

Regional Environmental Assistant U.S. Department of the Interior 1689 C Street, Room 119 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: douglas_mutter@ios.doi.gov Phone: 907-271-5011 Fax: 907-271-4102

Art Miller

Scripps Institution of Oceanography Climate Research Division SIO-UCSD 0224 La Jolla, CA 92093-0224

Email ajmiller@ucsd.edu Phone: 858-534-8033 Fax: 858-534-8561

Calvin Mordy

Oceanographer JISAO, UW NOAA/PMEL 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: Calvin W Mordy@noaa.gov Phone: 206-526-6870 Fax: 206-526-6744

Jamal Moss

Research Fisheries Biologist NMFS Auke Bay Laboratory 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801 Email Jamal Moss@noaa.gov Phone: 907-789-6609 Fax

Ross Mullins

Co-PI PWS Fisheries Research Application and Planning PO Box 436 Cordova, AK 99574

Email: rmullins@gci net Phone: 907 424-3664 Fax 907 424-3937

Steve Murawski

NMFS 166 Water Street Woods Hole, MA 02543

Email: steve.murawski@noaa.gov Phone, 508-495-2303 Fax:

Matthew Myers

Alaska SeaLife Center 301 Railway Ave. Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email: matthew_myers@alsakasealife.org Phone 907-224-6374 Fax: 907-224-6320

January 12-14, 2004

Bonita Nelson

Fishery Biologist NOAA - Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email. bonita.nelson@Noaa gov Phone: 907-789-6071 Fax. 907-789-6094

Jennifer Nielsen

Fisheries Supervisor USGS Alaska Science Center 1101 East Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email jennifer_nielsen@usgs gov Phone 907-786-3670 Fax: 907-786-3636

Brenda Norcross Professor / GEM STAC Co-Chair UAF P.O. Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220

Email norcross@ims.uaf.edu Phone 907-474-7990 Fax 907-474-1943

Ron O'Dor

Senior Scientist Census of Marine Life/GEM STAC 1201 New York Ave. NW, Suite 420 Washington, DC 20005

Email rodor@coreocean.org Phone. 202-448-1233 Fax: 202-332-9751

Stephen Okkonen

IMS, UAF Box 1025 Kasılof, AK 99610

Email[·] okkonen@alaska.net Phone[·] 907-283-3234 Fax: 907-283-3234

Donna Parker

Arctic Storm 81 Big Bear Place NW Issaquah, WA

Email: dparker@arcticstorm com Phone 206-547-6557 Fax:

Patricia Nelson

Region Finfish Research Supervisor ADFG 211 Mission Road Kodiak, AK 99615 ş

Email: patti_nelson@fishgame state ak us Phone 907-486-1852 Fax: 907-486-1841

Lynn Noel

Senior Project Scientist ENTRIX, Inc. 3701 E. Tudor Road, Suite 208 Anchorage, AK 99507

Email[.] inoel@entrix com Phone. 907-563-0438 Fax: 907-563-0439

Kris Norosz

Government Affairs lcicle Seafoods, Inc. P.O. Box 1147 Petersburg, AK 99833

Email krisn@icicleseafoods.com Phone 907-772-4294 Fax 907-772-4472

Doug O'Harra

Anchorage Daily News POB 149001 Anchorage, AK 99514

Email do'harra@adn.com Phone: 907-257-4334 Fax 907-258-2157

James Overland

PMEL/NOAA 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email: James.E Overland@noaa gov Phone 206-526-6795 Fax: 206-526-6485

Walter Parker

PAC member North Pacific Research Board 3724 Campbell Airstrip Road Anchorage, AK 99504

Email: wbparker@gci.net Phone: 907-333-5189 Fax 907 333-5153

Juniper Neill

Project Manager NOAA Office of Global Programs 1100 Wayne Ave., Suite 1225 Silver Spring, MD 20910

Email juniper neili@noaa gov Phone. 310-427-2089 ext. 176 Fax: 310-427-2082

Tom Dunning Newbury

Oceanographer MMS 949 E. 36th Ave. (3rd Floor) Anchorage, AK 99508-4363

Email. thomas.newbury@mms gov Phone 907-271-6560 Fax[.]

Christian Noll

Graduate Student Texas A&M University 7302 Heards Ln Apt 932 Galveston, TX 77551

Email cnoll@ocean tamu edu Phone 205-821-3322 Fax

Karen Oakley

Fish and Wildlife Biologist USGS Alaska Science Center 1011 E. Tudor Rd. MS 701 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email karen_oakley@usgs gov Phone 907-786-3579 Fax 907-786-3636

Tom Okey

UBC, Fisheries Centre Lower Mall Research Station 2259 Lower Mall Vancouver BC V6T 1Z4

Email: t okey@fisheries ubc ca Phone: 604-822-1636 Fax 604-822-8934

Alexander Panamaroff III

Environmental Specialist Kodiak Area Native Association 3449 Rezanof Drive East Kodiak, AK 99615

Email[,] alex.panamaroff@kanaweb.org Phone, 907-486-9824 Fax 907-486-9898

Tony Parkin

Project Manager PWS RCAC POB 3089 Valdez, AK 99686

Email: parkin@pwsrcac.org Phone: 907-834-5030 Fax.

Drue Pearce

Sr Advisor to the Secretary for Alaska Affairs DOI 1849 C Street, NW, MS-6020 Washington, DC 20240

Email. Drue_Pearce@ios doi.gov Phone: 202-208-4177 Fax: 202-219-0229

Larry Peltz

Marine Habitat Resource Specialist NMFS 222 W. 7th Avenue, #43 Anchorage, AK 99513

Email: lawrence.peltz@noaa.gov Phone: 907-271-1332 Fax: 907-271-3030

Bob Petit

Environmental Specialist ADEC 555 Cordova St , 2nd floor Anchorage, AK 99501

Email Robert_Petit@state.ak us Phone: 907-269-7544 Fax: 907-269-7648

Ken Pitcher

Marine Mammal Biologist ADFG 525 West 67th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99518

Email ken_ptcher@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-267-2363 Fax: 907-267-2859

Tim Ragen

Scientific Program Director U.S. Marine Mammal Commission 4340 East-West Highway, Room 905 Bethesda, MD 20814

Email: tragen@mmc.gov Phone: 301-504-0087 Fax: 301-504-0099

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Clarence Pautzke

NPRB 1007 W. 3rd Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Email: cpautzke@nprb.org Phone. 907-278-6772 Fax: 907-278-6773

Leslie Pearson

Program Manager ADEC- PERP 555 Cordova Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Email: Leslie_Pearson@dec.state ak us Phone: 907-269-7543 Fax:

Michael Pendergast

Computer Scientist Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 Seward, Alaska 99664-1329

Email: mikep@alaskasealife.org Phone: 907-224-6335 Fax: 907-224-6320

Gail Phillips

Executive Director EVOS 441 West 5th Ave., Ste 500 Anchorage, AK 99501-2340

Email. gail_phillips@evostc.state.ak.us Phone: 907-278-8012 Fax: 907-276-7178

Jacqueline Poston

Region 10 Arctic Program U. S. Environmental Protection Agency 222 W. 7th Ave., #19 Anchorage, AK 99513-7588

Email: poston jacqueline@epa.gov Phone: 907-271-3541 Fax. 907-271-3424

Michael Rehberg UAA

Biological Sciences 3211 Providence Drive Anchorage, AK 99508

Email: michael_rehberg@uaa alaska.edu Phone: 907-786-4768 Fax

Bob Pawlowski

General Manager St. George Chadux Corporation 2600 Denali Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: bob.sgch@ak net Phone: 907-272-9886 Fax 907-272-9855

W. Scott Pegau

KBNERR 95 Sterling Hwy, Suite 2 Homer, AK 99603

Email scott_pegau@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-235-4654 Fax: 907-235-4794

Kim Peterson

Vice Provost for Research Office of Academic Affairs, UAA 3211 Providence Dr. Anchorage, AK 99508-4614

Email peterson@uaa.alaska.edu Phone 907-786-4833 Fax: 907-786-1426

Candace Picco

Graduate Student School of Fisheries and Ocean Science, UAF 903 Koyukuk Drive Fairbanks, AK 99775-7320

Email: lyn@gi alaska edu Phone. 907-474-6077 Fax 907-474-7290

Sean Powers

Assistant Professor University of South Alabama Dauphin Island Sea Lab 101 Bienville Blvd. Dauphin Island, AL 36528

Email: spowers@disl.org Phone: 252-808-3820 Fax:

Steve Reifenstuhl

Operations Manager Northern Southeast Regional Aquaculture Assoc. 1308 SMC Rd Sitka, AK 99835

Email: steve_reifenstuhl@nsraa.org Phone: 907-747-6850 Fax: 907-747-1470

January 12-14, 2004

William "Bud" Rice

Environmental Protection Specialist NPS 240 West 5th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. bud_rice@nps.gov Phone[.] 907-644-3530 Fax: 907-644-3814

Michelle Ridgway

Oceanus Alaska 119 Seward Street, Suite 9 Juneau, AK 99801-1268

Email[.] oceanus@ptialaska net Phone: 907-463-6782 Fax 907-463-6781

Gordon Robilliard

Vice President ENTRIX, Inc. 715 121st Street NW Gig Harbor, WA 98332

Email grobilliard@entrix.com Phone. 253-858-2114 Fax. 253-853-5909

Sergei Rodionov

JISAO/PMEL 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email[.] Sergei Rodionov@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-6211 Fax:

Becky Romano

Toxicologist URS Corporation 2700 Gambell St, Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email becky_romano@urscorp com Phone: 907-261-9748 Fax:

Craig Rose

Research Fishery Biologist NOAA, AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Bldg 4 Seattle, WA 98115

Email craig.rose@noaa.gov Phone 206-526-4128 Fax. 206-526-6723

Jeep Rice

EVOS studies program manager Auke Bay Lab, NOAA 11305 Glacier Highway Juneau, AK 99801

Email jeep.rice@noaa.gov Phone. 907-789-0867 Fax

Monica Riedel

Executive Director ANHSC 800 E Dimond Blvd. Suite 3-590 Anchorage, AK 99515

Email monicariedel@gci net Phone: 907-345-0555 Fax 907-345-0566

Linda Robinson

Community Liaison PWS Regional Citizens' Advisory Council 3709 Spenard Rd. Anchorage, AK 99517

Email robinson@pwsrcac.org Phone. 907-277-7222 Fax 907-277-4523

Gilbert Roetman

Chairman North Star Media Institute Box 111284 Anchorage, AK 99511-1284

Email gilbertr@gci net Phone. 907-566-5641 Fax 907-346-1442

William Rome

FSO-PE,PS Owner: Willard Island Video Prod. U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary 16924 Kiyona Circle Eagle River, AK 99577

Email wrome@webtv.net Phone. 907-694-7984 Fax

Thomas Royer

Professor Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography Old Dominion University 768 W. 52nd St. Norfolk, VA 23508-2055

Email: royer@ccpo.odu edu Phone 757-683-5547 Fax: 757-683-5550

John Reynolds

Chairman Marine Mammal Commission 1600 Ken Thompson Parkway Sarasota, FL 34236

Email. reynolds@mote.org Phone 941-388-4441 Fax 941-388-5225

Cecil Rich

Nongame Biologist ADFG 333 Raspberry Rd. Anchorage, AK 99518

Email. cecil_rich@fishgame.state.ak us Phone 907-267-2122 Fax: 907-267-2464

Ignatius Rigor

Research Scientist Polar Science Center / APL, Univ of Washington 1013 NE 40th St. Seattle, WA 90105

Email ignatius@apl washington edu Phone: 206-685-2571 Fax. 206-616-3142

Conce Rock

Oil Spill Consultant Levenson Brown Consulting at BP Exploration (AK) 4311 Mars Drive Anchorage, AK 99507

Email: rockcc@gci.net Phone 907-440-8102 Fax.

Roger Green

Affliliate ENRI of UAA 6212 Spruce Meadows Drive Anchorage, AK 99507

Email: rgreen@uwo.ca or anrhg@uaa.alaska edu Phone 907-743-0626 Fax: 907-743-0626

Shelley Romer

Environmental Scientist/Planner APU / LDN 2004 W. 46th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99517

Email: shelleyromer@hotmail.com Phone. 907-563-0195 Fax

Jennifer Ruesink

Assistant Professor UW Box 351800 Seattle, WA 98195

Email: ruesink@u.washington.edu Phone: 206-543-7095 Fax: 206-616-2011

Gerald A. Sanger

PAC Member PO Box 707 Whittier, AK 99693

Email: sea@alaska.net Phone 907-472-2312 Fax: 907-472-2312

Michael Schlei

Analyst/Programmer EVOS 441 W. 5th Ave.Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. michael_schlei@evostc state ak us Phone: 907-278-8012 Fax 907-276-7178

John Schoen

Senior Scientist Audubon Alaska 715 L St., #200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: jschoen@audubon org Phone: 907-276-7034 Fax: 907-276-5069

William Seitz

Director, Alaska Science Center USGS 1011 E. Tudor Rd. Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: william_seitz@usgs.gov Phone 907-786-3385 Fax: 907-786-3636

Stan Senner

PAC member Audubon Alaska, 715 L Street, Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: ssenner@audubon.org Phone 907-276-7034 Fax: 907-276-5069

2004 Marine Science Symposium

1 1 4 4 3

January 12-14, 2004

Sheryl Salasky Youth Area Watch program coordinator Chugach School District

9312 Vanguard Dr, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99507

Email salasky@alaska.net Phone: 907-522-7400 Fax: 907-522-3399

Susan Saupe

Director of Science and Research Cook Inlet RCAC 910 Highland Avenue Kenai, AK 99611

Email saupe@circac.org Phone: 907-283-7222 Fax 907-283-6102

Teri Schneider

Educator/Coordinator KIBSD/KAYAW Rural Schools Office 722 Mill Bay Road Kodiak, AK 99615

Email: tschneider@kodiak.k12.ak.us Phone[.] 907-486-9276 Fax: 907-486-9085

Tylan Schrock

Executive Director Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 Seward, AK 99664

Email: peggy_hilliard@alaskasealife.org Phone: 907-224-6349 Fax: 907-224-6360

Igor Semiletov

IÅRC/UAF 930 Koyukuk Dr POB 757335 Fairbanks, AK 99775

Ernail: igorsm@iarc.uaf.edu Phone. 907-474-6286 Fax 907-474-2679

Lisa Sette

Biologist Lindblad 7004 Meteor Court Anchorage, AK 99504

Email: sette13@hotmail com Phone 907-338-7004 Fax⁻

Anne Salomon.

UW Univ of Washington, Dept of Biology PO BOX 351800 Seattle, WA 98195-1800

Email: salomon@u.washington.edu Phone: 206-685-6893 Fax: 206-543-3041

Lawrence Schaufler

Research Chemist Auke Bay Lab, NOAA 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email. Lawrence Schaufler@NOAA.gov Phone: 907-789-6016 Fax: 907-789-6094

G. Carl Schoch

Science Director PWSSC/OSRI P.O. Box 705 300 Breakwater Ave Cordova, AK 99574

Email: cschoch@pwssc.gen.ak.us Phone 907-424-5800 x 234 Fax: 907-424-5820

Jim Seeb

ADFG 333 Raspberry Rd Anchorage, AK 99518

Email: jim_seeb@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone 907-267-2385 Fax

Sonya Senkowsky

Science Writer AlaskaWriter PO Box 140030 Anchorage, AK 99514

Email: sonya@alaskawriter.com Phone 907-830-7355 Fax. 801-751-4911

Vladimir Sevostianov

Commander Islands & BC Nature Protection and Conservation P.O. Box 5482 Victoria,, BC V8R 6S4

Email. seaotter3@hotmail com Phone 250-348-9056 Fax

January 12-14, 2004

Gary Shigenaka

NOAA, Office of Response &

Restoration/HAZMAT Division

7600 Sand Point Way NE

Email gary shigenaka@noaa gov

Phone. 206-526-6402 Fax 206-526-6329

Center for Alaskan Coastal Studies

Phone. 907-235-6667 Fax. 907-235-6668

Univ. AK. Fishery Industrial Technology Center

Marine Biologist

Seattle, WA 98115

Marilyn Sigman

Executive Director

Homer, AK 99603

Email cacs@xyz net

Scott Smiley

Fish Tech Center

118 Trident Way

Brad Smith

NOAA Fisheries

Bob Spies

PO Box 315

222 W. 7th, Box 43

Anchorage, AK 99513

Email: Brad.Smith@NOAA.gov

Applied Marine Sciences

Little River, CA 95456

Email. spies@amarine.com

Phyllis Stabeno

Seattle, WA 98115

7600 Sand Point Way NE

Email phyllis.stabeno@noaa.gov

Phone. 206-526-6453 Fax: 206-526-6485

NOAA

Phone: 907-271-3023 Fax 907-271-3030

Phone 707-937-6212 Fax 707-937-6212

Chairman, EVOS Lingering Oil Subcommittee

Biologist

Kodiak, AK 99615

Email. scott smiley@uaf.edu

Phone. 907 486 1500 Fax: 907-486-4540

Director

PO Box 2225

Whit Sheard

Fish Conservation Program Manager The Ocean Conservancy 425 G St. Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email chinook@acsalaska net Phone: 907-258-9943 Fax

Michael Sigler

Mathematical Statistician NMFS - Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801

Email Mike Sigler@noaa gov Phone: 907-789-6037 Fax: 907-789-6094

Bob Small

Marine Mammals Coordinator ADFG 1255 West 8th Street Juneau, AK 99802

Email[.] bob_small@fishgame.state ak us Phone. 907-465-6167 Fax: 907-465-6142

Corinne Smith

Conservation Planner The Nature Conservancy 715 L Street Anchorage, AK 99507

Email corinne_smith@tnc org Phone 907-276-3133 Fax

Mark J. Spalding

Senior Program Officer Alaska Oceans Program 441 W 5th Ave., Suite 402 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email mspalding@ucsd.edu Phone: 907-276-1917 Fax:

Tania Spurkland

Retired Science teacher 6751 W. Dimond Blvd. Anchorage, AK 99502

Email tspurk@alaska.net Phone 907-248-5095 Fax 907-276-6013

Jeffrey Short

Auke Bay Lab, NMFS, NOAA 11305 Glacier Highway Juneau, AK 99801-8626

Email: Jeff.Short@noaa.gov Phone: 907-789-6065 Fax: 907-789-6094

Scott Sloane

Environmental Specialist ADEC 410 Willoughby Ave., Suite 303 Juneau, AK 99801-1795

Email: scott_sloane@dec.state.ak.us Phone 907-465-5307 Fax 907-465-5274

Caryn Smith

Oceanographer MMS 949 E. 36th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99508-4363

Email: caryn.smith@mms.gov Phone 907-271-6659 Fax 907-271-6805

Rex Snyder

Project Coordinator ANHSC 800 E. Dimond Suite 3-595 Anchorage, AK 99515

Email: rexsnyder@gci.net Phone. 907-345-0554 Fax

Alan Springer

UAF 1708 Marmot Hill Road Fairbanks, AK 99709

Email ams@ims.uaf.edu Phone: 907-474-6213 Fax: 907-474-7204

Jennifer Stahl UAF, SFOS PO Box 210546 Auke Bay, AK 99821

Email j stahl@uaf edu Phone: 907-898-7811 Fax. 2

ì

Carol Stephens

Senior Laboratory Technician Alaska SeaLife Center PO Box 1329 301 Railway Ave Seward, AK 99664-1329

Email: carol_stephens@alaskasealife.org Phone 907-224-6351 Fax: 907-224-6320

Jan Straley

Assistant Professor of Marine Biology UAS 1332 Seward Ave. Sitka, AK 99835

Email: Jan.Straley@uas.alaska.edu Phone: 907-747-7779 Fax: 907-747-7741

Joe Sullivan

Attorney Mundt MacGregor LLP 999 Third Avenue Suite 4200 Seattle, WA 98104

Email jsullivan@mundtmac.com Phone 206-624-5950 Fax: 206-624-5469

William Sydeman

Point Reyes Bird Observatory 4990 Shoreline Highway Stinson Beach, CA 94970

Email: wjsydeman@prbo.org Phone: Fax:

Terry Thompson

Education Coordinator KBNERR 95 Sterling Highway, Suite 2 Homer, AK 99603

Email terry_thompson@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-226-4656 Fax: 907-235-4794

Craig Tillery

Chief Assistant Attorney General State of Alaska Department of Law 1031 W. 4th Ave., Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: craig_tillery@law.state.ak.us Phone: 907-269-5274 Fax: 907-278-7022

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Cory Stephens

Electronic Marketing Specialist -Alaska SeaLife Center 301 Railway Ave. PO Box 1329 Seward, AK 99664

Email: cory_stephens@alaskasealife.org Phone: 907-224-6397 Fax: 907-224-6320

Diana Stram NORPAC 605 West 4th Ave, Suite 306 Anchorage, AK 99501-2252

Email: diana.stram@noaa.gov Phone: 907-271-2809 Fax: 907-271-2817

Jake Sweet UAA 3543 Newcomb Drive Anchorage, AK 99808

Email: jakesweetak@yahoo.com Phone. 907-337-1917 Fax:

Jim Taggart

Research Ecologist USGS 3100 National Park Road Juneau, AK 99801

Email. jim_taggart@usgs.gov Phone: 907-364-1577 Fax 901-364-1540

Jules Tileston USCG, Whittier Floatilla 4780 Cambridge Way Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: julest@gci.net Phone 907-561-0540 Fax: 907-563-2747

Naoki Tojo

SFOS, University of Alaska Fairbanks Juneau Center 11120 Glacier Hwy. Juneau, AK 99801

Email: n.tojo@uaf.edu Phone: 907-465-8453 Fax: 907-465-6447

Serena Sterrett

SCEP U.S. Army Corps of Engineer P.O. Box 6898 Elmendorf AFB, AK 99506-6898

Email. Serena E Sterrett@poa02.usace army mil Phone: 907-753-2819 Fax. 907-753-5567

Arliss Sturgulewski

3201 C Street, Suite 405 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: a.sturgulewski@swallingcpas.com Phone: 907-561-5286 Fax:

Brad Swenson

Vice-President North Star Media Institute Box 111284 Anchorage, AK 99511-1284

Email: gilbertr@gci.net Phone: 907-566-5641 Fax: 907-346-1442

Ward Testa

NMFS 1011 E. Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Email[.] Ward Testa@noaa gov Phone: 907-786-3831 Fax:

Peg Tileston

Chairperson Alaska Common Ground 4780 Cambridge Way Anchorage, AK 99503

Email: pegt@alaska.net Phone: 907-561-0540 Fax: 907-563-2747

Andrew Trites

Research Director NPUMMRC 6248 Biological Sciences Rd, Hut B-3, Rm 18 Univ of British Columbia Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4

Email: trites@zoology.ubc.ca Phone: 604-822-8181 Fax: 604-822-8180

Thomas Van Pelt

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS-Migratory Bird Management 1011 East Tudor Rd. MS201 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email thomas_van_pelt@fws.gov Phone: 907-786-3675 Fax:

R.V. Winkle

717 Longbeard Lane Sleepy Hollow, CA 90210

Email: slumber@timewarp com Phone: Fax:

Jia Wang

Acting Group Leader, Arctic Modeling and Diagnos IARC/FRSGC,UAF 930 Koyukuk Dr. Farbanks, AK 99775-7335

Email: jwang@iarc.uaf edu Phone 907-474-5685 Fax. 907-474-2643

Cisco Werner

Professor Marine Science Department Marine Sciences, CB # 3300 U of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27517

Email. cisco@unc edu Phone: 919-962-0269 Fax 919-962-1254

Peter Wilkniss

President Polar Kybernetes International, LLC 1305 West Seventh Avenue Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: polarki@aol.com Phone 907-278-2085 Fax 907-278-2035

Margaret Williams

Director, Bering Sea and Russia Programs WWF 1250 24th Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Email: margaret.williams@wwfus.org Phone. 202-778-9573 Fax. 202- 822-3451

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Gus Van Vliet

PO Box 210442 Auke Bay, AK 99821

Email Phone 907-789-5624 Fax

Paul Wade

Cetacean Assesment & Ecology Program NMM Lab, AFSC NOAA Fisheries 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email paul.wade@noaa.gov Phone: 206-526-4021 Fax 206-526-6615

Jon Warrenchuk

Marıne Conservation Coordinator Oceana Suite 418, 175 South Franklın Juneau, AK 99801

Email jwarrenchuk@oceana.org Phone: 907-586-6744 Fax

Frank Wesser

Environmental Specialist III ADEC 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: frank_wesser@dec state.ak.us Phone. 907-269-3062 Fax 907-269-7648

Mark Willette

ADFG 43961 Kalifornsky Beach Rd, Ste B Soldotna, AK 99669

Email: mark_willette@fishgame state.ak us Phone. 907-262-9368 Fax: 907-260-2911

Donna Willoya

Research Coordinator AK Sea Otter & Steller Sea Lion Commission 6239 B St, #204 Anchorage, AK 99518

Email: dwilloya@gci net Phone. 907-274-9799 Fax: 907-274-9022

Gale Vick

Executive Director GAK Coastal Communities Coalition PO Box 201236 Anchorage, AK 99520

Email. goaccc@alaska.net Phone: 907-561-7633 Fax 907-561-7634

Coowe Walker

Watershed Coordinator KBNERR 95 Sterling Highway, Suite 2 Homer, AK 99603

Email coowe_walker@fishgame state ak us Phone: 907-235-4799x5 Fax:

Kate Wedemeyer

Fisheries Oceanographer MMS 949 E 36th St, #308 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email. kate.wedemeyer@mms.gov Phone 907-271-6424 Fax. 907-271-6507

John Whitney

Scientific Support Coordinator for Alaska NOAA Hazmat 510 L St., #100 Peterson Tower Anchorage, AK 99501

Email john whitney@noaa.gov Phone: 907-271-3593 Fax: 907-271-3139

Mike Williams

Marine Mammal Biologist LGL Alaska Research Associates 1101 E. 76th St, Suite B Anchorage, AK 99518

Email mwilliams@lgl.com Phone: 907-562-3339 Fax: 907-562-7223

Bill Wilson

Protected Resources Coordinator NORPAC 605 W. 4th Ave, Suite 306 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email bill wilson@noaa gov Phone: 907-271-2809 Fax 907-271-2817 •

5

Matt Wilson

Fishery Biologist, Research NOAA, AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115

Email. matt.wilson@noaa gov Phone: 206-526-6522 Fax. 206-526-6723

Scott Wolfe

Wildlife Biologist II ADFG 525 W. 67th Avenue Anchorage, AK 99518

Email: scott_wolfe@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone[.] 907-267-2393 Fax.

Maureen Yap

645 G St #857 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. msyap@aol.com Phone 907-248-1183 Fax

Harry Young

ES III ADEC 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Email. harry_young@dec state ak.us Phone: 907-269-7542 Fax: 907-269-7648

۰.

Stephen Braund

GEM STAC member Stephen Braund & Associates PO Box 1480 Anchorage, AK 99510

Email. srba@alaska.net Phone[.] 907-276-8222 Fax: 907-276-6117

2004 Marine Science Symposium

January 12-14, 2004

Mark Wipfli

Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research UAF Institute of Arctic Biology 216 Irving I Building Fairbanks, AK 99775

Email: mark wipfli@uaf edu Phone: 907-474-7661 Fax.

Cherri Womac

Admin Assistant EVOS 441 West 5th Avenue, Suite 500 Anchorage, AK 99501-2340

Email: cherri_womac@evostc.state ak us Phone: 907-265-9339 Fax: 907-276-7178

Chaille' Yasuda

Member Nome Eskimo Community 211B McCarrey #16 Anchorage, AK 99508

Email: chailleyasuda@hotmail com Phone: 907-338-4930 Fax: None

Phillip Zavadil

Co-Director Tribal Government of St. Paul's Ecosystem Conservation Office PO Box 86 St. Paul Island, AK 99660

Email: pazavadıl@tdxak.com Phone: 907-546-2641 Fax 907-546-2655

Evie Witten

Director Alaska Field Office WWF 406 G Street, Suite 303 Anchorage, AK 99501

Email: wwf@acsalaska.net Phone: 907-279-5504 Fax: 907-279-5509

Doug Woodby

Fisheries Scientist ADFG P.O. Box 25526 Juneau, AK 99802

Email: doug_woodby@fishgame.state.ak.us Phone: 907-465-4210 Fax:

Shikada Yoshi

Consulate-General of Japan 3601 C Street, Suite 1300 Anchorage, AK 99503

Email shıkada@alaska.com Phone: 907-562-8424 Fax: 907-562-8434

Edward Zeine

PAC member PO Box 34 Cordova, AK 99574

Email edward@ctcak.net Phone: 907-424-3192 Fax.

`

₹

٠

First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Dianne Dubic AK Saltwater Charters PO Box 584 Seward, AK 99664 907-224-7254 <u>florette@ptialaska.net</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Katherine Rowell 307Arctic Blvd. # 1257 Anchorage, AK 99503 907-243-7370
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Mike Bradley ANMC 4201 Tudor Dr., Suite 125 Anchorage, AK 907-743-3563 mjbradley@anmc.org	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Peggy Osterback Aleut Marine Mammal Commission PO Box 920045 Dutch Harbor, AK 99692 907-581-5324 907-581-5325 pho@arctic.net
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Joel Cusick NPS 205 W. 5th St. Anchorage, AK 99509 907-644-3549 Joel_Cusick@nps.gov	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Tim Veenstra Airborne Technologies 4338 Gunflint Trail Wasilla, AK 99654 907-357-1500 907-357-1501 tveenstra@atiak.com
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Alexander Burdik ASLC 907-244-6332 <u>alexander_burdik@alaskasealife.org</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	James Browning ADF&G 333 Raspberry Rd. Anchorage, AK 99518 907-267-2173 james_browning@fishgame.state.ak.us
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Max Malavan?? 907-859-2205 907-859-2242	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Steve Heimel APRN 810 E 9th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99501 907-263-7415 907-263-7425 Steve@aprn.org

ł

_ _ _ .

•

,

First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Charles Miller Oregon State University COAS/OSU Corvallis, OR 92333 541-737-4524 541-737-2064 cmiller@coas.oregonstate.edu	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Earl Krygier ADF&G 333 Raspberry Rd. Anchorage, AK 907-267-2111
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Margaret Williams World Wildlife Fund	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Pete Kompkoff Chenega IRA Council PO Box 8045 Chenega, AK 907-575-9001 907-573-5120 pete.kompkoff@earthlink.net
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Robert Winfree NPS 240 W 5th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99501 907-644-3516 907-644-3816 robert_winfree@nps.gov	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Tom Dean Coastal Resources 5674 El Lamino Rd., #M Carlsbad, CA 92008 760-603-0612 <u>coastal_resources@sbcglobal.net</u>
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Jack Colonell URS 2700 Gambell St., Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99503 jack_colonell@urscorp.com	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	John Gauvin NPRB 2104 SW 170th St. Burien, WA 98166 206-660-0359 gauvin@seanet.com
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Nancy Gove ADF&G 2014 Slonegate Anchorage, AK 99515 907-267-2138 nancy_gove@fishgame.state.ak.us	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Bobi Rinehart NPMSF 1900 W. Emerson Pl., Suite 205 Sea, WA 98119 206-780-8279 bobi@donobi.net

٠

1

First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Lawson Brigham US Arctic Research Commission 420 L St., Suite 315 Anchorage, AK 99501	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Joel Markis Student 7661 E. 17th Ave. Anchorage, AK 907-333-7657 j_markis@hotmail.com
First Name [•] Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Jan Jacobs PCCRC 2025 First Ave., Suite 900 Seattle, WA 98121 206-253-2641 206-448-0303 jan.jackobs@americanseafoods.com	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Glenn Seaman 1435 Bay Ave. Homer, AK 99603 907-235-2157 glennseaman@homernet.net
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Barbara Bennett Princess Cruises 7201 S. Park Dr. Anchorage, AK 99516 907-345-6205 <u>aknaturalist@aol com</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Steve Zemke Chugach National Forest 3301 C. Street Anchorage, AK 99503 907-743-9521 907-743-9480 szemke@fs.fed.us
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Mike Brubaker Aleutian Pribilof Island Association Anchorage, AK 99501	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Karin Holser Pribilof Island Stewardship Program PO Box 306 St. Paul Island, AK 99660 907-546-9913 ext-265 907-546-2210 kholser1@yahoo.com
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Tom Gelatt ADF&G 525 W 67th Ave. Anchorage, AK 99518 <u>tom_gelatt@fishgame.state.ak.us</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Cleve Cowler US DOI 949 E. 31th Anchorage, AK 99508 907-271-6617

First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Bob Henrichs Eyak PO Box 1388 Cordova, AK 907-424-7738 907-424-7739 moose@nveyak.org	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Dave Roseneau Alaska Maritime Nat'l Wildlife Refuge 2355 Kachemak Bay Dr, Ste 101 Homer, AK 99603 907-235-6546 907-235-7783 dave_roseneau@fws.gov_
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Carl Kava TASSC 6239 B Street #204 Anchorage, AK 99518 907-274-9799 907-274-9022 <u>ckava@gci.net</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Brian Allee Alaska Sea Grant/UAF PO Box 755040 Fairbanks, AK 99775
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Donna Willoya TASSC 6239 B St # 204 Anchorage, AK 99518 907-274-9799 907-274-9022 dwilloya@gci.net	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Brent Fuenty The Ocean Conservancy 425 G St., Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-230-5703 bfuenty@acsalaska.net
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Gail Hansen PO Box 231295 Anchorage, AK 99523 907-522-4449	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Henry Tomingas Ocean Explorers PO Box 111321 Anchorage, AK 907-783-1221 907-783-1221 henry@tomingas.com www.ocean-explorers.com
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Nancy Yaw-Davis Cultural Dynamics 719 N St., Suite 3 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-258-6680	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Joe Moore The Ocean Conservancy 425 G St., Suite 400 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-230-5703 <u>coho@acsalaska.net</u>

. . -

First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Kevin Adams Alaska Fisheries Dev. Foundation 907-276-7315 <u>mjones@afdf.org</u>	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Marina Nedom ADF&G 2501 Brooke Dr. Anchorage, AK 99517 907-267-2368
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Shelton Gay III PWSSC PO Box 714 Cordova, AK 99574 907-424-5800 ext-241 907-424-5820 shelton@pwssc.gen.ak.us	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Sue Hills UAF PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220 907-474-5106 <u>s_hills@uaf.edu</u>
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Steven Barbeaux NMFS, AFSC 7600 Sand Point Way NE Seattle, WA 98115 206-526-4211 206-526-4066 steve.barbeaux@noaa.gov	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Kathy Kuletz USFWS 104 E Tudor Anchorage, AK 99503 907-786-3453 <u>kathy_kuletz@fws.gov</u>
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Matt Cronin Entrix Inc. 3071 E Tudor Rd. Anchorage, AK 99507 907-563-0438 907-563-0439 mcronin@entrix.com	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	
First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	Kari Kaflinger Sea State Inc. PO Box 74 Vashon, WA 98070 206-463-7370 206-463-7371 karl@seastateinc.com	First Name: Last Name: Affiliation: Address: Phone: Fax: Email	