13.08.01 – Reading File February 2000

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Judith E. Bittner

State Historic Preservation Officer

Alaska Department of Natural Resources

FROM:

Molly McCantingen

Executive Director

RE:

Authorization to Implement the Local Display Facilities Proposal

Solicitation and Selection Process

DATE:

February 28, 2000

In accordance with Appendix B, Section 3.1.2 of the grant between Alaska Department of Natural Resources and Chugachmiut, Inc., executed on 10/14/99, I authorize you to proceed with implementation of the Local Display Facilities Proposal Solicitation and Selection Process submitted to you by Gerald Pilot on February 22, 2000, provided the proposed Request for Proposals explains the uncertain status of proposals for a local display facility in Seward. Specifically, I request that an explanation similar to the following statement be added as a second paragraph in the **Background** section on page 3:

The grant agreement between Chugachmiut and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources authorizes funds for local display facilities in only seven communities: Chenega Bay, Cordova, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seldovia, Tatitlek and Valdez. Chugachmiut initially proposed to establish a regional archaeological repository in Seward with a local display area in the Railroad Depot and storage in the Orca Building. Recently, Chugachmiut modified its proposal to consolidate the repository in the Orca Building and eliminate the Railroad Building component. Chugachmiut further proposes a reduction of \$230,000 in funding for the repository and a reallocation of these funds to a separate local display facility in Seward. The *Exxon Valdez* Trustee Council has not approved the proposed reallocation of funds, but is expected to discuss this issue after consideration of the Repository Business Plan in April or May 2000.

I further request insertion of a statement similar to the following after the first sentence under **Eligibility** on page 4:

Although proposals for a local display facility in Seward will be accepted and evaluated, no further action will be taken on such proposals until the *Exxon Valdez* Trustee Council authorizes reallocation of funds for a separate local display facility in Seward.

I offer the following suggestions to improve the accuracy and clarity of the Request for Proposals:

- p. 3 The Project, line 4: "that there will be continue to be..."
- p. 6 **Contact List**, <u>Existing Museums</u>: The Valdez Museum has recently hired Tabitha Gregory as Museum Director.
- p. 7 **Application Procedures**: Item 7i requires that each proposal include a copy of the 10-page proposal evaluation form. It would be more efficient for Chugachmiut to provide copies of the proposal evaluation form to each reviewer rather than require that the form be incorporated into the proposal itself.
- p. A-1 **Letter of Intent**: Change the date of the Pre-proposal Workshop to March 30, 2000.

Cc: Veronica Christman
EVOS Project Manager

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

To: Judy Bithner	Number: 269.8	908
To: Judy Bither From: Molly McCammon	Date: 7eb, 28,2	000
Comments:	Total Pages: 3	
Please forward to	Ms. Battner	
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW		
Document Sent By:		
3.16.00		

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 tax:907/278-7178



EAX COVER SHEET

To: Judy Bithner Number: 269,8908
From: Molly MS Cammon Date: 7eb. 28,2000
Comments: Total Pages: 3 with cover.
Please forward to Ms. Bittner
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW
Document Sent By:
3-16-93
Foderal Trusteog State Trusteos
U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Department of Agriculture Alaska Department of Fish and Game Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

CONFIRMATION REPORT

02-28-00 10:48A ID: 19072767178

NAME: EVOS TO

TYPE : TRANSMISSION

NO. TIME	DIAL NO	. REMOTE STATION	PAGES JOB I	NO. RESULT
01 10:4	7A MANUAL	2698908	3/ 3 655	0K

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



DISCUSSION GROUP ON FUTURE OF SMALL PARCEL PROGRAM Summary of January 24, 2000 meeting

(prepared by Sandra Schubert)

Attending:

Glenn Elison, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (by phone)

Carol Fries, Alaska Department of Natural Resources

Randy Hagenstein, The Nature Conservancy

Ken Holbrook, U.S. Forest Service

Mark Kuwada, Alaska Department of Fish and Game Molly McCammon, Executive Director, Trustee Council

Brad Meiklejohn, The Conservation Fund

Sandra Schubert, Restoration Director, Trustee Council

Steve Shuck, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Claudia Slater, Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Alex Swiderski, Department of Law

Purpose:

To identify issues that need to be addressed in considering whether to modify the governance and administration of the TC's small parcel program.

Summary:

McCammon described 3 possible scenarios the TC might consider:

- 1. "hands on" (status quo)
- 2. "hands off" (program funds, administration, and governance would be transferred to a non-profit organization)
- 3. hybrid (some administrative functions would be delegated or contracted to a non-profit; Council would continue to manage funds and authorize appraisals and purchases)

Meiklejohn stated three advantages of Conservation Fund, that probably apply to other national level land conservation organizations, including the Nature Conservancy and perhaps Trust for Public Land:

- 1. ability to garner matching funds (a key feature of Conservation Fund's program is developing financial partnerships with foundations, private businesses, etc. to maximize the purchasing leverage of each contributor)
- 2. track record of purchasing at below-appraised-value (because of tax breaks received on donations, capital gains considerations, estate planning strategies, etc.) [NOTE: Follow-up letter from Meiklejohn (2/2/00) states that nationwide the Conservation Fund has protected over

Alaska Department of Law

2 million acres at less than 50% of the fair market value of that land]
3. ability to move more quickly than government when parcels become available. Conservation Fund has a large revolving fund it can borrow against, so money is always at hand. Hagenstein added that the Nature Conservancy has a similar \$1 billion endowment.

Discussion followed regarding:

Parcel evaluation process: Hagenstein said North American Wetlands Conservation Grants might serve as model. Considers (1) amount of funds being leveraged (i.e., the higher the match the better), (2) public support as evidenced by the number of funding partners on board, and (3) benefit to the resource. Points are awarded, parcels are ranked accordingly, and all parcels that can be afforded from the first priority on down are purchased. Nature Conservancy's current evaluation process factors in biological values, imminent threat and funding opportunities. Meiklejohn said Conservation Fund functions more as a service provider, facilitating acquisition of parcels identified by government agencies and others. Regarding parity among agencies (i.e., distributing available funds among Trustee agencies) and agency priorities, McCammon stressed that parcel prioritization should be based on protection of resources and habitat values, not on ensuring that each agency receives equal funding.

Appraisal: Shuck stated that federal government requires full appraisal, even if land is a gift (Holbrook said appraisal of gift not required by USFS). Hagenstein said Nature Conservancy will typically get a restricted appraisal report and pay the costs of a full appraisal report if needed (e.g., if using a federal funding source that requires a full report). Meiklejohn noted that Conservation Fund doesn't have a formal appraisal review process like the governments do. Hagenstein said the Nature Conservancy has a review process through its legal department, but appraisals are not "peer reviewed" by other appraisers.

<u>Title:</u> Holbrook said government agencies have more stringent guidelines than non-profits as to what type of title is acceptable.

<u>Current TC policy on reciprocal conservation easement:</u> Hagenstein said non-profits could implement this policy.

<u>Public involvement:</u> Meiklejohn said Conservation Fund meets quarterly in Washington, D.C. Nature Conservancy's Alaska Chapter trustees meet 3 times a year in Alaska (meetings of the Board of Governors are typically in Washington, D.C.); public notice is not provided. There was also discussion about non-profits not wanting to shoulder the burden of an exhaustive public process.

<u>Administrative costs:</u> Meiklejohn described Conservation Fund's letter of understanding with ADFG regarding the Kenai River lands purchased with SB 183 funds. The letter basically said that if Conservation Fund was successful in acquiring certain properties, ADFG was interested in buying the properties from them. Letter allowed for

Conservation Fund to be reimbursed up to appraised value -- Conservation Fund typically negotiated purchase price below appraised value, and was paid by ADFG that amount plus Conservation Fund's costs up to appraised value. Shuck pointed out that federal law requires purchases of Native allotments to be at fair market value (not below).

Other differences between government and non-profit process: Shuck pointed out that federal rules require physical inspection of parcels both pre- and post-acquisition, whether purchase or gift. Would be other government expenses, too, even under "hands off" scenario -- for example, hazardous materials inspection, title review and insurance, sometimes an appraisal, possibly NEPA.

"Hands on" vs. "hands off" vs. "hybrid": Hagenstein said Greatland Trust might serve as model -- Army Corps collects wetlands mitigation fee, which then goes to the Trust with guidelines for spending but with very little oversight. He also suggested TC funds be used as a carrot and challenge to the conservation community to match TC funds with private funds with a goal of doubling the initial amount. [NOTE: Follow-up letter from Meiklejohn (2/2/00) indicates Conservation Fund's willingness to manage all aspects of a habitat protection program, including fund management (in partnership with Key Bank), property identification, public notice, and property acquisition. Follow-up e-mail from Hagenstein (2/21/00) indicates Nature Conservancy's willingness to manage all aspects of a program, if the public involvement process were efficient and streamlined. He suggests an open, competitive process administered by a non-profit would ensure TC funds are highly leveraged and focused on the most biologically important and threatened parcels.]

How non-profit board might interact with TC: Hagenstein said that under the hybrid scenario, Nature Conservancy board would likely need to approve each purchase transaction, but can't imagine board failing to approve a purchase the TC wants.

<u>Land ownership:</u> Hagenstein said Nature Conservancy prefers not to own lands because management costs are high, but does own some parcels in Alaska for which there is no other logical landowner.

How much money comes into Alaska annually for land acquisition: Meiklejohn estimated about \$1 million, not counting TC funds.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dede Bohn / DOI-USGS Liaison

FROM:

Molly McCaminon

Executive Director

RE:

Authorization -- Project 00327

Pigeon Guillemot Restoration Research at the Alaska SeaLife Center

DATE:

February 24, 2000

The purpose of this memorandum is to formally authorize work to proceed on Project 00327/Pigeon Guillemot Restoration Research at the Alaska SeaLife Center. The work must be performed consistent with the revised Detailed Project Description submitted February 24, 2000 and the revised budget dated February 22, 2000.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Ken Holbrook / USFS

FROM:

Molly McCalminon

Executive Dikector

RE:

Partial Authorization -- Project 00256B / Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf

Lake

DATE:

February 23, 2000

The purpose of this memorandum is to formally authorize work to proceed on all but the construction component of Project 00256B/Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake. As directed by the Trustee Council, authorization for the construction phase of the project will be provided once detailed engineering drawings of the fish pass are provided to the Restoration Office.

All work on this project must be performed consistent with the Detailed Project Description submitted October 18, 1999 and the revised budget dated July 27, 1999.

cc: Claudia Slater, ADFG Liaison

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Craig Matkin, Pl

FROM:

Molly Metammon

Executive Director

RE:

Authorization -- Project 00012A-BAA / Comprehensive Killer Whale

Investigation in Prince William Sound

DATE:

February 22, 2000

The Trustee Council approved funding for Project 00012A/Comprehensive Killer Whale Investigation in PWS contingent on the submittal of four manuscripts promised in the FY 98 and FY 99 Detailed Project Descriptions:

- (1) Dave Scheel, et al. Critical habitats of transient killer whale groups in PWS.
- (2) Lance Barrett-Lennard, et al. Genetic isolation between sympatric populations of killer whales in PWS.
- (3) Lance Barrett-Lennard, et al. Effective population sizes, patterns of gene flow, and prospects for recovery of AB pod and AT1 assemblage killer whales in PWS.
- (4) Lance Barrett-Lennard. Niche partitioning and population segregation of killer whales in PWS.

The Scheel manuscript (#1 above) has been submitted to *Marine Mammal Science*. Although the other manuscripts are not complete, Lance Barrett-Lennard has provided a detailed accounting of their status. It is my understanding that the populations paper (#2) and the gene flow paper (#3) will be submitted shortly and as attachments to the killer whale annual report (due April 15, 2000) and the niche partitioning paper (#4) will be submitted in late summer or fall 2000.

I am persuaded that adequate progress is being made toward completion of these manuscripts. The purpose of this memorandum is to formally authorize work to proceed on Project 00012A. All work must be performed consistent with the revised Detailed Project Description and budget submitted July 19, 1999.

In evaluating any proposal for continuing work in FY 01 there are several issues that will be of special interest. Those issues include progress on the publication of the manuscripts noted above, whether sufficient numbers of samples exist or could be

collected to complete some of the analyses, e.g., paternity analyses, originally envisioned by Dr. Barrett-Lennard, and a clear articulation of proposed further goals for this work and its relationship to restoration.

cc: Dr. Bob Spies, Chief Scientist Lance Barrett-Lennard, UBC Bruce Wright, NOAA Liaison

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



TO:

Community Facilitators

FROM:

Molly McCammor

Executive Director

RE:

Funding Proposals for Fiscal Year 2001

DATE:

February 18, 2000

The enclosed booklet explains how to submit a funding proposal to the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council. The process is basically the same as last year. I just want to remind you of a few things.

- Please call Hugh Short or me if you have any questions about the proposal process or want help in putting together a proposal. Our phone number is 907-278-8012, or 1-800-478-7745 toll free.
- The court settlement with Exxon Corporation says that the Trustee Council may spend funds only to restore, replace, enhance, or acquire the equivalent of natural resources injured as a result of the oil spill or the reduced or lost services provided by such resources. Page 44 of the enclosed booklet lists the injured resources. Funds spent to restore subsistence, which is considered a "lost service", must aim to restore the resources used for subsistence.
- Pages 37-65 of the booklet list everything that should be included in a proposal. However, if you prefer, you may instead write a letter to the Trustee Council describing your proposal. In your letter, talk about (1) which injured resource the proposal would benefit, (2) what work or activity the proposal would involve, (3) who you would like to have perform that work, and (4) how much you think the proposal would cost. If necessary, Trustee Council staff will work with you to further develop the proposal so that its technical merit and cost can be fully evaluated.
- All proposals and letters must be received at the Trustees' Anchorage office by <u>Friday, April 14, 2000</u>.

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Pages 26-28 of the booklet describe the subsistence projects currently underway with Trustee Council funds and those that are expected to continue in 2001. Pages 31-34 describe projects related to GEM (Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring), the Council's long-term research and monitoring program, and include a request for proposals to develop community-based marine monitoring programs.

Paul Panamarioff, Pres Ouzinkie Tribal Council PO Box 130 Ouzinkie, AK 99644-0130

Charlie Hughey, EVOS CIF Valdez Native Tribe PO Box 1108 Valdez, AK 99686

Virginia Aleck PO Box 18 Chignik Lake, AK 99548-0018

Carl Wassilie Qutekcak Native Tribe PO Box 1467 Seward, AK 99664

Bob Henrichs, Pres Native Village of Eyak Tribal Council PO Box 1388 Cordova, AK 99574-1000

Gary Kompkoff, Pres Tatitlek Village IRA Council PO Box 174 Tatitlek, AK 99677-0170

Ponpkoff, Jr Chenega Bay IRA Council PO Box 8034 Chenega Bay, AK 99574

Walter Meganack, Jr.
Port Graham Village Council
PO Box 5573
Port Graham, AK 99663-5573

Margaret Roberts, Pres Kodiak Tribal Council PO Box 1974 Kodiak, AK 99615

Nancy Yeaton Nanwalek Traditional Council PO Box 8028 Nanwalek, AK 99603

Lillian Flysaas
St. VillageTribe
Pt. /er L
Seldovia, AK 99663

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 16, 2000

Agnes Mendelson 76 De Bell Drive Atherton California 94027-2253

Dear Agnes:

I received your fax today asking about video tapes on the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill. I suggest you try and get a copy of a 28 minute tape titled "Legacy of an Oil Spill: 10 Years After Exxon Valdez" from the Alaska Resources Library and Information Services via an interlibrary loan. See below.

The Oil Spill Public Information Center library collection now resides within the Alaska Resources Library and Information Services (ARLIS). The library collection is available for check-out to Anchorage area residents, with the exception of rare materials, maps, and reference books. *Out-of-town borrowers may check out materials through interlibrary loan from their local library*.

The library catalog is available for searching at the ARLIS web site at: http://www.arlis.org

After you have searched the ARLIS library catalog and have identified items that you wish to borrow, contact your local library to request them through interlibrary loan (ILL). After ARLIS staff receives the ILL request from your local library, they will mail the materials to your library and you will then check them out from and return them to your local library.

Good luck to you.

Rebecca Williams

Administrative Manager

rebecca_williams@oilspill.state.ak.us

Rebecco Williams

Agnès Mendelson 76 De Bell Drive Atherton, CA 94027-2253 (650) 853-1343 Fax: (650) 853-1345

To:

Restoration Office

Date:

February 15, 2000

Time:

11:30 p.m.

Number of Pages (including cover sheet): 1

Hello. I am a college student doing a presentation on the Exxon Valdez and wondered if your organization had a videotape on the Exxon Valdez story that I could purchase? If not, any ideas where I could find one?

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

To: Agnès Mendelson	Number: 1.650.853.1345
	Date: February 16, 2000
Comments:	Total Pages: with cover.
Please forward	l or hold for
ms, mendelson.	
The	ank you
1.800. 283. 7	1745
1. 800. 202,	
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW 10	
Document Sent By: Rebecca	
2.46.00	

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Sulte 401, Anchorage, AK 98501-3451 907/278-8012 (8x:907/278-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

To: Agnes Mendelson Number: 1.650.853.1345
From: Resecca Williams Date: February 16, 2000
Comments: Total Pages: 2 with cover.
Please forward or hold for
ms. mandelson.
Thank you
1.800. 283, 7745
1.800.265, (775)
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW _17.0
Document Sent By: Rebecca
9-19 -99
Federal Trustees State Trustees
U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Department of Agriculture Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

CONFIRMATION REPORT

02-16-00 03:16P ID: 19072767178

NAME: EVOS TC

TYPE : TRANSMISSION

NO. TIME DIAL NO. REMOTE STATION PAGES JOB NO. RESULT 01 03:15P MANUAL 16508531345 2/ 2 652 0K

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Jim Ayers Chief of Staff Office of the Governor PO Box 110001 Juneau, AK 99811-0001

Dear J

Thank you for kicking off the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It certainly kept the audience there well past adjournment time.

I hope we can continue our discussion about making science relevant. I'll be in Juneau in the next few week. See you then. In the meantime, I hope things aren't too wild with the session.

Best Wishes,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Lisa Kaaihue Regional Citizen's Advisory Council 750 West 2nd Avenue, Suite 100 Anchorage, AK 99501

Male Mc Cam

Dear Lisa:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCardmon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 11, 2000

Jacqui Jacobson c/o Seward Middle/High School PO Box 1049 Seward, AK 99664

Dear Jacqui:

You and your fellow students did a great job presenting results and benefits from the Youth Area Watch.

I hope these experiences lead to a future interest in science as a career.

Good luck to you.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Molly Wilam

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 11, 2000

Heidi Schilling c/o Seward Middle/High School PO Box 1049 Seward, AK 99664

Dear Heidi:

You and your fellow students did a great job presenting results and benefits from the Youth Area Watch.

I hope these experiences lead to a future interest in science as a career.

Good luck to you.

Sincerely, Wely Mc Cam

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 11, 2000

Nicole Sertorelli c/o Seward Middle/High School PO Box 1049 Seward, AK 99664

Dear Nicole:

You and your fellow students did a great job presenting results and benefits from the Youth Area Watch.

I hope these experiences lead to a future interest in science as a career.

Mc Cam

Good luck to you.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Carl Rutz Ballast Water Treatment Facility 300 Dayville Road Valdez, AK 99686

Dear Carl:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

MM/cw

Cul- themes from but being but the last with graph of white graph of the wind of the winds.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Vera Alexander UAF/School of Fisheries & Ocean Sciences PO Box 757220 Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220

Dear Vera:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarlynon
Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Dan Hull 1930 Villages Scenic Parkway Anchorage, AK 99516

Dear Dan:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Epulled Comments, Dan.

Therefore
M. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Pat Norman, President Port Graham Corp PO Box 5569 Port Graham, AK 99603-5569

Dear Pat:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

MM/cw

thanks Put. Dust comments!

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Arliss Sturgulewski 2957 Sheldon Jackson St Anchorage, AK 99508-4469

Dear Arliss:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Thereshiful and as always.

pereptine, as always.

M. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

MM/cw

Federal Trustees State Trustees

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



James Brady ADFG 333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, AK 99518-1599

Dear James:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Be sure to let us know what you think.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

MM/cw

Alaska Department of Law

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



David Banks Director of Science and Stewardship The Nature Conservancy 421 West 1st Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear David:

Thank you for participating in the panel "The Value of GEM to the People of Alaska" during the 2000 Workshop last month.

Your comments were very thoughtful and contributed to a stimulating discussion. It's encouraging to see so much public interest in this program. I do hope you continue to stay involved as it develops further.

The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website, www.oilspill.state.ak.us, by March 3. Be sure to let us know what you think. let's keep in touch on this, David.

Again, thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Anne Hollowed NMFS/NOAA 7600 and Point Way NE, Bin C15700, Bldg #4 Seattle, WA 98115

Dear Anne:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Pat Livingston Alaska Fisheries Science Center 7600 Sand Point Way Seattle, WA 98115-0070

Dear Pat:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

Wely Mc Camm

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



David Welch High Seas Salmon Research Pacific Biological Station Nanaimo, British Columbia V9R 5K6 CANADA

Dear David:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Milly Mc Cemm

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Allen Macklin NOAA/PMEL 7600 Sand Point Way, NE Seattle, WA 98115

Dear Allen:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Gordon Kruse ADFG/Com Fish PO Box 25526 Juneau, AK 99802-5526

Dear Gordon:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Good gib, contin! Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Suzanne Marcy US Environmental Protection Agency 222 West 7th Avenue, Box 19 Anchorage, AK 99513

Dear Suzanne:

Thank you for providing a very informative address during the 2000 Restoration Workshop last month. The information you provided will be especially helpful as we move forward in further developing the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) concepts.

I look forward to continued collaboration with you in this effort. The next draft of GEM should be posted on our website by March 3. I'd appreciate any thoughts you might have following that.

Marks, Jugane Excellent! Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Russell Kunibe ADEC 555 Cordova Street Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Russell:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCardmon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Evelyn Brown UAF-IMS-SFOS PO Box 85344 Fairbanks, AK 99708-3444

Dear Evelyn:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Brenda Ballachey, PhD 6 Varbay Place NW Calgary, Alberta T3A OC8 CANADA

Dear Brenda:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCardinon
Executive Director

Melly Mc Came

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



R. Ted Cooney, PhD PO Box 486 Chateau, MT 59422

Dear Ted:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon
Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Thomas Dean, PhD Coastal Resources Assoc 1185 Park Center Drive, Suite A Vista, CA 92083-8304

Dear Thomas:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarnmon
Executive Director

Weley M. Cam

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



David Cameron Duffy, PhD **Paumanok Solutions** 660 Ilikai Street Kailua, HI 96734

Dear David:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop. Thinks of the gorgers

Sie! It fell sweet in

Shows of Mourain

Awell of M

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon

Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Kathryn J. Frost ADF&G Div of Wildlife Conservation 1300 College Road Fairbanks, AK 99701-1559

Dear Kathy:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Executive Director

Red in touch

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



David Irons, PhD USFWS 1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Dear David:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCardmon Executive Director

Mely McCamo

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Lloyd Lowry ADF&G 1300 College Road Fairbanks, AK 99701-1551

Dear Lloyd:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

MM/cw

Janus perfectly well Janus perfectly you just, why did a great your

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Walter Meganack, Jr.
Port Graham Village Council
PO Box 5569
Port Graham, AK 99662-5569

Dear Walter:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Michael O'Meara Pratt Museum 3779 Bartlett Street Homer, AK 99603

Dear Michael:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

MM/cw

Excellent job!

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Charles "Pete" Peterson, PhD University of North Carolina Institute of Marine Science 3431 Arendell St Morehead City, NC 28557

Dear Pete:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarnmon

Executive Director

MM/cw

- Peter. Peter.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



John F. Piatt, PhD Alaska Science Center, NBS 1011 E Tudor Road Anchorage, AK 99503

Dear John:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Stanley Rice, PhD NOAA NMFS Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Hiighway Juneau, AK 99801

Dear Jeep:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

MM/cw

og equipment failure.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Daniel D. Roby, PhD OR Coop Wildlife Research Unit, OSU 104 Nash Hall Corvallis, OR 97331-3803

Dear Dan:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely, We Came

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Jeffrey W. Short NMFS/Auke Bay Laboratory 11305 Glacier Hwy Juneau, AK 99801-8626

Dear Jeff:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Vicki Friesen, PhD
Dept of Biology
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6 CANADA

Nolly Milann

Dear Vicki:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Paul Anderson NMFS Kodiak Lab PO Box 1638 Kodiak, AK 99615

Dear Paul:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly Mc Jammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Jim McCullough ADF&G/CFMD 211 Mission Road Kodiak, AK 99615-6399

Dear Jim:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Nancy Yeaton Nanwalek Traditional Council PO Box 8028 Nanwalek, AK 99603

Dear Nancy:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Dery Polished

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly MoCammon

Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Lowell H. Suring Chugach National Forest 3301 C Street, Suite 300 Anchorage, AK 99503

Dear Lowell:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

Melly Mc Camm

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Ted Otis ADF&G 3298 Douglas Road Homer, AK 99603

Dear Ted:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely.

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Melly Mclanin

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Jane DiCosimo North Pacific Fisheries Management Council 605 W 4th Avenue, Suite 306 Anchorage, AK 99501-2252

Dear Jane:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Nolly McCam

MM/cw

Great job, Lanes Marko. M.,

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Kelly Zeiner ADNR 550 W 7th Avenue, Suite 1400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Kelly:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Doug Eggers ADF&G/Com Fish PO Box 25526 Juneau, AK 99802-5526

Dear Doug:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Welle McCamm

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

February 11, 2000



Kelly Wolf Youth Restoration Corps PO Box 2416 Kenai, AK 99611

Dear Kelly:

Thank you for your address at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. The information included in your presentation will be useful as the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program develops further.

The next draft of GEM will be posted on our website by March 3, 2000. I look forward to your comments as well as your continued involvement in our program.

Again, thank you for taking the time to speak at our workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 10, 2000

Alaska Survey Committee USGS 4230 University Drive, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99508

Dear Committee Members:

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to identify issues that would be important for the USGS in Alaska to address. As most of you know, the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council has committed funding to endow a long-term research and monitoring effort in the northern Gulf of Alaska. It is the Council's intent that these funds be used to leverage funding from state and federal agencies, universities, the National Science Foundation, private entities and others in order to address consensus priorities for monitoring and research for the Gulf in a more comprehensive fashion.

Major issues so far identified under GEM program development are:

- 1. understanding the sources of changes in living marine resources
- 2. distinguishing natural from human induced changed in living marine resources
- 3. developing baseline monitoring programs to detect change in living marine resources

Our draft program is available at www.oilspill.state.ak.us, with a new version expected to be posted in early March.

We see the USGS, through its Biological Resources Division, as being a major player in this effort, particularly in working with Restoration Office staff and the US Fish and Wildlife Service to develop monitoring plans for seabirds and sea otters. In addition, USGS is expected to play a key role in developing a synthesized vision of the Gulf of Alaska ecosystem, in setting research and monitoring priorities, and in developing shared data systems. USGS could also be helpful in coordinating the Council's program with other efforts in the Bering Sea, Arctic, and Southeast Alaska.

We would very much like to see these items on a list of USGS priorities for the next decade.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

mm/raw

Fels. 20.



United States Department of the Interior

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 4230 University Drive, Suite 201 Anchorage, Alaska 99508-4664 FEB 0 3 2000

February 2, 2000



Dear Ms. McCammon:

The goal of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) is to improve our response to the natural-resources research needs of the communities and organizations that we serve. We request your help in identifying the most important issues that could be addressed, at least in part, using objective scientific data gathering and research in Alaska. We will use the information that you provide to re-evaluate the USGS structure and scientific program in Alaska. Your response is requested by February 20, 2000.

Please identify one to five issues that your organization will face in the next 10 years, which would benefit from USGS involvement. The issues could reflect concerns that USGS is already addressing, or they might identify new topics. Although we are interested in issues that can be addressed through the work of a single thematic division (Biology, Geology, Water Resources, or National Mapping), we are also asking for your insights regarding issues that could be better addressed through an "integrated approach." Such an approach could draw from several thematic disciplines to produce more comprehensive results.

We will synthesize and review the letters in February. At that time, we will contact you for discussion and clarification as needed. A report describing the identified issues will be prepared for the Director of the USGS by March 31, 2000.

In summary, we are asking for short paragraphs that describe the nature and scope of the issues of concern to your organization, by February 20. Please address your letter to:

Alaska Survey Committee
USGS
4230 University Drive, Suite 201
Anchorage, Alaska 99508

10

or if you prefer, use email addressed to: bertrand@usgs.gov

Please contact any of us (listed on back of letter) with questions or concerns. Thank You.

The Alaska Survey Committee

Alaska Survey Committee Members

Gordon L. Nelson State Representative District Chief Water Resources (907) 786-7111 glnelson@usgs.gov

Alison Till
Alaska Section Chief
Minerals Resource Program
Geology
(907) 786-7444
atill@usgs.gov

Tom Miller Acting Scientist In Charge Alaska Volcano Observatory (907) 786-7443 tmiller@usgs.gov

energa y sountee joern ook Magaileera operation ook William Seitz
Alaska Biological Science Center Director
Biological Resources
(907) 786-3512
william_seitz@usgs.gov

Mark Shasby Chief National Mapping (907) 786-7000 shasby@usgs.gov

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/27

907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

Dede Bohn USGS Biological Resources Division 1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Dear Dede:

Thank you for serving as poster session chair at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. We received positive feedback from several workshop participants about the poster session and I was personally impressed with how smoothly it all came together. It was clear that you put a significant amount of thought and effort into preparing for and executing the session.

Thanks again. I truly appreciate the commitment you made to helping ensure a successful workshop.

Sincerely,

Molly McCartimon Executive Director

SS:cw

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

Bill Hauser Alaska Dept of Fish and Game 333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, Alaska 99518-1565

Dear Bill:

Thank you for contributing your time as a projectionist at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. It was a true multimedia event this year and your assistance helped keep things running smoothly.

Thanks again.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

Jennifer Arnold Habitat Restoration Center, NMFS 1315 East-West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910

Dear Jennifer:

Thank you for contributing your time as a projectionist at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. It was a true multimedia event this year and your assistance helped keep things running smoothly.

Thanks again.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarnmon Executive Director Come bouh and!

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

Jennifer Allen Alaska Digital Graphics PO Box 212806 Anchorage, Alaska 99521

Dear Jennifer:

Thank you for contributing your time as a projectionist at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. It was a true multimedia event this year and your assistance helped keep things running smoothly. you sand Jup!

Thanks again.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

Ally McCan

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

Rob Suryan USFWS-Migratory Bird Management 1011 E Tudor Road Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Dear Rob:

Thank you for contributing your time as a projectionist at the recent 2000 Restoration Workshop. It was a true multimedia event this year and your assistance helped keep things running smoothly.

Thanks again.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 9, 2000

James Adams, President Alaskan Earth Development Corporation PO Box 8712252 Wasilla, AK 99687

Dear Mr. Adams:

The purpose of this letter is to confirm that the Trustee Council has received your nomination under the Small Parcel Habitat Protection Program and will evaluate it for its restoration value. For future reference, the parcel has been assigned the number "KEN275".

To date, several hundred small parcel nominations have been submitted to the Trustee Council and over 7,200 acres have been purchased. The Council has authorized \$2.5 million to purchase another 1,400 acres in specific parcels and purchase negotiations on these parcels are currently underway. The Council does not expect to authorize much, if any, additional funding for small parcels until the year 2002, when the final settlement payment from Exxon Corporation is received and funding for the restoration program shifts to the Restoration Reserve. In March 1999, the Council earmarked \$55 million in Restoration Reserve funds for habitat protection, especially for small parcels. Until 2002, however, the Council will continue to review and evaluate parcel nominations, such as yours, and may decide to pursue acquisition of key parcels that are found to have especially significant value for the restoration and protection of the biological resources and human services injured by the oil spill.

If you have questions about the Small Parcel Habitat Protection Program or the status of your parcel, please contact Sandra Schubert at the Anchorage Restoration Office.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 8, 2000

Barbara Rudio, Chair Kodiak State Parks Citizens Advisory Board 1400 Abercrombie Drive Kodiak, AK 99615

Dear Barbara:

Thank you for writing to express the support of the Kodiak State Parks Citizens Advisory Board for protection of the lands at Termination Point. At its January 31, 2000 meeting, the Trustee Council extended the consideration date for purchase of Termination Point to June 15, 2000. The Council and I are truly hopeful that a protection agreement for these valuable lands can be reached before that time.

Again, I appreciate your taking the time to share your views.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 8, 2000

Timothy L. Joyce Chairman Prince William Sound/Copper River Regional Fisheries Planning Team POB 1110 Cordova, Alaska 99574

Dear Mr. Jeyce:

Thank you for your letter regarding the completion and testing of a Prince William Sound pink salmon forecasting model. We agree with you about the importance of completing this work. In the Invitation for Proposals for FY2001, the attached language is included, soliciting proposals to complete and further advance some of the SEA models. If you know of anyone who has the expertise to do this kind of work and may not be on our mailing list, please let us know. We'll make sure he or she gets a copy of the Invitation.

Again, thank you for your interest in our program.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

enclosed

mm/raw

From Invitation to Submit Restoration Proposals for FY 01

Develop Models of Production for Pink Salmon, Herring and Other Species. In FY 01, the Trustee Council will consider proposals to continue development of a series of interacting numerical models that simulate the dynamic processes limiting survival of juvenile pink salmon, herring, and ecologically related species within Prince William Sound. SEA (\320) was conducted to improve understanding of the biological and physical processes that limit production of pink salmon and herring in the sound in order to enable the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to better enhance, manage or otherwise restore pink salmon and herring production in the region. Now that the initial SEA project has been completed, the Council wishes to build upon past efforts by continuing to develop and refine mathematical and conceptual models of production of pink salmon, herring, plankton, and other species and physical processes. The models should incorporate food web dynamics and atmospheric-ocean physics in order to permit understanding of historical time series of abundance ("hindcasting"), present abundance and distribution of juveniles ("now-casting"), and forecasting of recruitment of adult pink salmon and herring to fisheries. The models would advise development of the Council's long-term monitoring program (GEM, Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring). Proposals should be presented in a time step-wise fashion that identifies costs for each step to permit budgetary flexibility.

Burney Burney Commencer Co

PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND/COPPER RIVER

REGIONAL FISHERIES PLANNING TEAM

January 25, 2000

Molly McCammon 645 G St., Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501 REGERM

JAN 28 2000

-

Dear Ms. McCammon:

Please accept this letter as one of support for funding the completion and testing of the Prince William Sound pink salmon forecasting model prepared by Vince Patrick. As you know, the Prince William Sound/Copper River Regional Fisheries Planning Team supports projects that will provide for improved management and planning for fisheries resources. A reliable pink salmon forecasting model would greatly enhance the planning ability of Prince William Sound salmon related industries. The SEA project developed this model, but it has yet to be completed and actually used. The 1999 forecast, using models based on historical survival information, predicted a return of 32 million pink salmon. The actual return totaled over 50 million pink salmon. Clearly, improved forecasting is needed to allow both industry and management to be better prepared to utilize a valuable resource.

Sincerely.

Timothy L. Joyce

Chairman PWS/CR RPT

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

John Schoen, Ph.D. Senior Scientist Alaska State Office National Audubon Society 308 G Street, Suite 217 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Dear Dr. Schoen:

Thank you for your January 27 letter regarding the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program. Your comments are much appreciated as we begin to work on the next draft.

At its January 31 meeting, the Trustee Council gave conceptual approval to the enclosed draft executive summary and proposed revisions to the October 22, 1999 review draft.

It is our goal to have a new version of GEM posted on our web site in early March. I'll make sure you're notified of when that happens. In the meantime, if you have any additional comments, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarrmon .

Executive Director

1. ely Me Can

enclosed

mm/raw

DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GULF ECOSYSTEM MONITORING

A SENTINEL PROGRAM

to watch over the health of the northern Gulf of Alaska ecosystem

January 17, 2000

Within the northern Gulf of Alaska, including Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet, Kodiak and the Alaska Peninsula, offshore and nearshore marine, estuarine, freshwater and terrestrial environments interact with geologic, climatic, oceanographic, and biologic processes to produce highly valued natural bounty and exceptional beauty. The Gulf of Alaska is a major source of seafood for the entire nation, as well as for Alaska Natives, who rely on it for subsistence and cultural purposes. It is also part of the "lungs" of the planet for recycling of oxygen and carbon to and from the atmosphere; habitat for diverse populations of fish, marine mammals and seabirds; and a source of beauty and inspiration for those who love nature. As a result of both human influences and natural processes, these important attributes are now experiencing significant change.

Fifty-four percent of the state's 621,000 permanent residents live within the geographic area of the northern Gulf of Alaska and the nearby population centers of Anchorage and Wasilla. More than one million tourists visit this each year. The private sector economy of Alaska depends heavily on extraction of natural resources from this region, primarily oil and fish, followed by timber and minerals. Crude oil and fuel tanker traffic, increasing tourism and recreational use, expanded road building, increased commercial and sport fishing pressure are all human activities that could affect the marine resources and ecosystem of the northern Gulf of Alaska. In addition, recent evidence of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals in fish and wildlife tissues in the gulf indicate that this region is not immune from worldwide concerns about potential effects of contaminants on marine organisms and on human consumers, particularly Alaska Native subsistence users.

Populations of important marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska have undergone major changes, especially since the late 1970s. Salmon catches of all species, and especially sockeye, have remained near record levels for two decades, with annual catches significantly greater than those in the three decades ending in 1979. Shrimp and red king crab have fallen to extremely low levels in the gulf since 1980, in sharp contrast to the very high levels in the two prior decades. Kodiak's red king crab fishery, once among the world's richest, has been completely closed since 1984. As shrimp and crab declined, cod, pollock and flatfish such as arrowtooth flounder have rapidly increased. Some marine mammals associated with the gulf, such as sea lions, harbor seals and overwintering fur seals have steadily declined since 1980. Other species such as sea otters and elephant seals have been on the rise for more than a decade. Colonies of seabirds such as kittiwakes, common murres and cormorants have shown declines since about 1980 in some coastal localities such as Prince William Sound and central Cook Inlet, but not in others. Overall, many species and populations associated with nearshore habitats in the Gulf of Alaska have declined since about 1977, whereas species and populations having access to offshore gulf habitats have generally increased.

DRAFT PROPOSED REVISIONS TO OCTOBER 22 VERSION OF GEM DOCUMENT

JANUARY 17, 2000

ADD EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

This section provides the general background and rationale for the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (and Research) Program. The following major revisions are proposed:

- 1. Add general discussion of major goals to first section of introduction. These are to:
 - DETECT: Serve as a sentinel (early warning) system by detecting annual and long-term changes in the marine ecosystem from coastal watersheds to the central gulf;
 - b. UNDERSTAND: Identify causes of change in the ecosystem, including natural variation, human influences, and their interaction;
 - c. PREDICT: Develop capacity to predict status and trends of natural resources for use by resource managers and consumers;
 - d. INFORM: Provide integrated and synthesized information to the public, resource managers, industry and policy makers in order for them to respond to changes in natural resources:
 - e. SOLVE: Develop tools, technologies, and information that can help resource managers and regulators improve management of marine resources and address problems that may arise from human activities.
- 2. Revise C. Human Uses and Activities

 Strengthen identification of potential impacts of human activities throughout the GEM region. Reorganize the section by issues, such as resource consumption, pollution (including contaminants), and habitat loss and degradation. Include discussion of key known or suspected stressors to important ecological resources—contaminants, fishing, oil and gas development, tourism, mining, logging, road construction, urbanization.
- 3. Add to section E on Fishery and Ecosystem-Based Management potential effects on productivity of harvests by recreational, charter and commercial fisheries.



ALASKA STATE OFFICE

308 G Street, Suite 217 Anchorage, AK 99501

Tel: (907) 276-7034 Fax: (907) 276-5069

January 27, 2000

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501 DECEIVED JAN 2 7 2000

. Din Valdez († Truster Osono).

Dear EVOS Trustees:

These comments concern the October 22, 1999 review draft of the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring Program.

The Trustee Council's mission is to restore the environment injured by the oil spill to a world-renowned ecosystem. We greatly appreciate the council's balanced approach to restoration. The council's habitat protection and science programs have effectively aided restoration and have provided an invaluable legacy for the future. We are pleased and excited about the prospect of a long-term monitoring and research program that will build on and extend this legacy. The following comments do not address the scientific details of GEM, but more broadly address its purposes and possible pitfalls:

- We support the proposed mission of fostering a "healthy and biologically diverse marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska through greater understanding of how its productivity is influenced by natural changes and human activities." This mission is well conceived, highly relevant and important, and entirely consistent with the mission and balanced ecological approach adopted by the Trustee Council in its Restoration Plan (1994).
- One of the most difficult problems in developing GEM will be the temptation to try and serve too many purposes and interests. It is essential that GEM be strongly focused on the underlying theme of the influences of natural change and human activities on the marine ecosystem.
- We support the continued focus on the marine ecosystem of the spill area and adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska. There simply are not enough funds to extend GEM to other geographic areas, nor to upland areas, except to the extent that activities along adjacent coastlines and in spill-area watersheds may bear directly on the health of the marine ecosystem.
- Although it is very important that GEM identify the effects of human actions on the marine ecosystem, it is essential that it do so in the context of a balanced ecological monitoring program. Indeed, it will not be possible to detect or understand human influences without a basic ecological monitoring program that documents short- and long-term natural patterns and change in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.2

• Staying the course with long-term ecological monitoring is not necessarily easy because short-term benefits may not always be obvious to the public or policy makers. Long-term ecological data, however, are exactly what are missing for places like the Bering Sea. There never may be another opportunity to get such a program in place for the northern Gulf of Alaska, and no existing agency has a mandate to monitor the ecological pulse of this rich environment.

- It is appropriate and important that detection of contaminants in the environment and in selected indicator species be a part of GEM. It is our hope that a carefully targeted contaminants component can be integrated into the broader ecological monitoring program, which should be the core of GEM, and that the commitment to such a component will leverage additional resources from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, and other agencies responsible for public health and the environment.
- Because funds are limited—there will never be enough money to accomplish everything that one would like to do—it is important that GEM provide scientific leadership, coordination, and synthesis that will encourage and complement the participation of and commitments by government agencies, universities, and others.
- Although GEM is not a habitat protection program per se, we encourage the Trustee Council to provide for the identification of important, sensitive marine habitats. Such habitats can be identified through the synthesis of monitoring and research data (e.g., identifying areas at sea where surveys indicate consistent concentrations of feeding seabirds) or through directed research on the life histories and habitat requirements of important fish and wildlife resources.
- The Trustee Council has set a high scientific standard with its program, particularly as it has evolved since the *Restoration Plan* was adopted in 1994. It is critical that the program is continued with strong scientific leadership and outside peer review (including the pending National Research Council review). Although the Trustee Council should continue to be responsible for allocations of funds, we strongly encourage the council to retain a core staff that is independent of any of the trustee agencies and that it rely heavily on objective, scientifically rigorous recommendations, which are developed through a regular public workshop/workplan process.
- Finally, the Trustee Council has broken new ground in its efforts to inform and involve the public, including Alaskan Natives and other stakeholders. We support these efforts and urge that they be incorporated into GEM at a significant level. Part of this process should be the exploration of opportunities for "citizen science" (e.g., bird counts, sampling mussels), which has the benefit of involving the interested public while, at the same time, collecting valuable data. The opportunity to incorporate citizen science in GEM seems especially promising in the area of human impacts on the environment.

Regardless of one's perspective—conservation, industry, consumption, recreation, etc.—there is good reason to be excited about how GEM can contribute to the management, conservation, and sustained use of marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.3

We encourage the Trustee Council to continue to develop and refine GEM as presented in the October 1999 draft, and we look forward to participating in that process between now and FY 2003. Thank you for considering these comments.

John Schoen, Ph.D.

Sinceré

Senior Scientist

Alaska State Office

National Audubon Society

David Banks Director

Science & Stewardship

Alaska Field Office

The Nature Conservancy

Kris Balliet
Director

Alaska Field Office

Center for Marine

Conservation

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

David Banks
Director, Science & Stewardship
Alaska Field Office
The Nature Conservancy
421 West 1st Avenue
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Dear Mr. Banks:

Thank you for your January 27 letter regarding the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program. Your comments are much appreciated as we begin to work on the next draft.

At its January 31 meeting, the Trustee Council gave conceptual approval to the enclosed draft executive summary and proposed revisions to the October 22, 1999 review draft.

It is our goal to have a new version of GEM posted on our web site in early March. I'll make sure you're notified of when that happens. In the meantime, if you have any additional comments, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

enclosed

mm/raw

DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GULF ECOSYSTEM MONITORING

A SENTINEL PROGRAM

to watch over the health of the northern Gulf of Alaska ecosystem

January 17, 2000

Within the northern Gulf of Alaska, including Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet, Kodiak and the Alaska Peninsula, offshore and nearshore marine, estuarine, freshwater and terrestrial environments interact with geologic, climatic, oceanographic, and biologic processes to produce highly valued natural bounty and exceptional beauty. The Gulf of Alaska is a major source of seafood for the entire nation, as well as for Alaska Natives, who rely on it for subsistence and cultural purposes. It is also part of the "lungs" of the planet for recycling of oxygen and carbon to and from the atmosphere; habitat for diverse populations of fish, marine mammals and seabirds; and a source of beauty and inspiration for those who love nature. As a result of both human influences and natural processes, these important attributes are now experiencing significant change.

Fifty-four percent of the state's 621,000 permanent residents live within the geographic area of the northern Gulf of Alaska and the nearby population centers of Anchorage and Wasilla. More than one million tourists visit this each year. The private sector economy of Alaska depends heavily on extraction of natural resources from this region, primarily oil and fish, followed by timber and minerals. Crude oil and fuel tanker traffic, increasing tourism and recreational use, expanded road building, increased commercial and sport fishing pressure are all human activities that could affect the marine resources and ecosystem of the northern Gulf of Alaska. In addition, recent evidence of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals in fish and wildlife tissues in the gulf indicate that this region is not immune from worldwide concerns about potential effects of contaminants on marine organisms and on human consumers, particularly Alaska Native subsistence users

Populations of important marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska have undergone major changes, especially since the late 1970s. Salmon catches of all species, and especially sockeye, have remained near record levels for two decades, with annual catches significantly greater than those in the three decades ending in 1979. Shrimp and red king crab have fallen to extremely low levels in the gulf since 1980, in sharp contrast to the very high levels in the two prior decades. Kodiak's red king crab fishery, once among the world's richest, has been completely closed since 1984. As shrimp and crab declined, cod, pollock and flatfish such as arrowtooth flounder have rapidly increased. Some marine mammals associated with the gulf, such as sea lions, harbor seals and overwintering fur seals have steadily declined since 1980. Other species such as sea otters and elephant seals have been on the rise for more than a decade. Colonies of seabirds such as kittiwakes, common murres and cormorants have shown declines since about 1980 in some coastal localities such as Prince William Sound and central Cook Inlet, but not in others. Overall, many species and populations associated with nearshore habitats in the Gulf of Alaska have declined since about 1977, whereas species and populations having access to offshore gulf habitats have generally increased.

DRAFT PROPOSED REVISIONS TO OCTOBER 22 VERSION OF GEM DOCUMENT

JANUARY 17, 2000

ADD EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

This section provides the general background and rationale for the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (and Research) Program. The following major revisions are proposed:

- 1. Add general discussion of major goals to first section of introduction. These are to:
 - a DETECT: Serve as a sentinel (early warning) system by detecting annual and long-term changes in the marine ecosystem from coastal watersheds to the central gulf;
 - b. UNDERSTAND: Identify causes of change in the ecosystem, including natural variation, human influences, and their interaction;
 - c. PREDICT: Develop capacity to predict status and trends of natural resources for use by resource managers and consumers;
 - d. INFORM: Provide integrated and synthesized information to the public, resource managers, industry and policy makers in order for them to respond to changes in natural resources;
 - e: SOLVE: Develop tools, technologies, and information that can help resource managers and regulators improve management of marine resources and address problems that may arise from human activities.
- 2. Revise C. Human Uses and Activities

 Strengthen identification of potential impacts of human activities throughout the GEM region. Reorganize the section by issues, such as resource consumption, pollution (including contaminants), and habitat loss and degradation. Include discussion of key known or suspected stressors to important ecological resources—contaminants, fishing, oil and gas development, tourism, mining, logging, road construction, urbanization.
- 3. Add to section E on Fishery and Ecosystem-Based Management potential effects on productivity of harvests by recreational, charter and commercial fisheries.



ALASKA STATE OFFICE

308 G Street, Suite 217 Anchorage, AK 99501 Tel: (907) 276-7034

JAN 2-7 2000

Fax: (907) 276-5069

January 27, 2000

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear EVOS Trustees:

These comments concern the October 22, 1999 review draft of the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring Program.

The Trustee Council's mission is to restore the environment injured by the oil spill to a world-renowned ecosystem. We greatly appreciate the council's balanced approach to restoration. The council's habitat protection and science programs have effectively aided restoration and have provided an invaluable legacy for the future. We are pleased and excited about the prospect of a long-term monitoring and research program that will build on and extend this legacy. The following comments do not address the scientific details of GEM, but more broadly address its purposes and possible pitfalls:

- We support the proposed mission of fostering a "healthy and biologically diverse
 marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska through greater understanding of
 how its productivity is influenced by natural changes and human activities." This
 mission is well conceived, highly relevant and important, and entirely consistent with
 the mission and balanced ecological approach adopted by the Trustee Council in its
 Restoration Plan (1994).
- One of the most difficult problems in developing GEM will be the temptation to try and serve too many purposes and interests. It is essential that GEM be strongly focused on the underlying theme of the influences of natural change and human activities on the marine ecosystem.
- We support the continued focus on the marine ecosystem of the spill area and
 adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska. There simply are not enough funds to extend GEM
 to other geographic areas, nor to upland areas, except to the extent that activities
 along adjacent coastlines and in spill-area watersheds may bear directly on the health
 of the marine ecosystem.
- Although it is very important that GEM identify the effects of human actions on the
 marine ecosystem, it is essential that it do so in the context of a balanced ecological
 monitoring program. Indeed, it will not be possible to detect or understand human
 influences without a basic ecological monitoring program that documents short- and
 long-term natural patterns and change in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.2

Staying the course with long-term ecological monitoring is not necessarily easy because short-term benefits may not always be obvious to the public or policy makers. Long-term ecological data, however, are exactly what are missing for places like the Bering Sea. There never may be another opportunity to get such a program in place for the northern Gulf of Alaska, and no existing agency has a mandate to monitor the ecological pulse of this rich environment.

- It is appropriate and important that detection of contaminants in the environment and in selected indicator species be a part of GEM. It is our hope that a carefully targeted contaminants component can be integrated into the broader ecological monitoring program, which should be the core of GEM, and that the commitment to such a component will leverage additional resources from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, and other agencies responsible for public health and the environment.
- Because funds are limited—there will never be enough money to accomplish everything that one would like to do—it is important that GEM provide scientific leadership, coordination, and synthesis that will encourage and complement the participation of and commitments by government agencies, universities, and others.
- Although GEM is not a habitat protection program per se, we encourage the Trustee Council to provide for the identification of important, sensitive marine habitats. Such habitats can be identified through the synthesis of monitoring and research data (e.g., identifying areas at sea where surveys indicate consistent concentrations of feeding seabirds) or through directed research on the life histories and habitat requirements of important fish and wildlife resources.
- The Trustee Council has set a high scientific standard with its program, particularly as it has evolved since the *Restoration Plan* was adopted in 1994. It is critical that the program is continued with strong scientific leadership and outside peer review (including the pending National Research Council review). Although the Trustee Council should continue to be responsible for allocations of funds, we strongly encourage the council to retain a core staff that is independent of any of the trustee agencies and that it rely heavily on objective, scientifically rigorous recommendations, which are developed through a regular public workshop/workplan process.
- Finally, the Trustee Council has broken new ground in its efforts to inform and involve the public, including Alaskan Natives and other stakeholders. We support these efforts and urge that they be incorporated into GEM at a significant level. Part of this process should be the exploration of opportunities for "citizen science" (e.g., bird counts, sampling mussels), which has the benefit of involving the interested public while, at the same time, collecting valuable data. The opportunity to incorporate citizen science in GEM seems especially promising in the area of human impacts on the environment.

Regardless of one's perspective—conservation, industry, consumption, recreation, etc.—there is good reason to be excited about how GEM can contribute to the management, conservation, and sustained use of marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.3

We encourage the Trustee Council to continue to develop and refine GEM as presented in the October 1999 draft, and we look forward to participating in that process between now and FY 2003. Thank you for considering these comments.

flee/It

Sincerely

John Schoen, Ph.D. Senior Scientist Alaska State Office

National Audubon Society

David Banks

Director

Science & Stewardship

Alaska Field Office

The Nature Conservancy

Kris Balliet

Director

Alaska Field Office

Center for Marine

Conservation

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

Kris Balliet Director Alaska Field Office Center for Marine Conservation 425 G Street Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Dear Ms. Balliet:

Thank you for your January 27 letter regarding the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (GEM) program. Your comments are much appreciated as we begin to work on the next draft.

At its January 31 meeting, the Trustee Council gave conceptual approval to the enclosed draft executive summary and proposed revisions to the October 22, 1999 review draft.

It is our goal to have a new version of GEM posted on our web site in early March. I'll make sure you're notified of when that happens. In the meantime, if you have any additional comments, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon **Executive Director**

heley Me (

enclosed

mm/ray

DRAFT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GULF ECOSYSTEM MONITORING

A SENTINEL PROGRAM

to watch over the health of the northern Gulf of Alaska ecosystem

January 17, 2000

Within the northern Gulf of Alaska, including Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet, Kodiak and the Alaska Peninsula, offshore and nearshore marine, estuarine, freshwater and terrestrial environments interact with geologic, climatic, oceanographic, and biologic processes to produce highly valued natural bounty and exceptional beauty. The Gulf of Alaska is a major source of seafood for the entire nation, as well as for Alaska Natives, who rely on it for subsistence and cultural purposes. It is also part of the "lungs" of the planet for recycling of oxygen and carbon to and from the atmosphere; habitat for diverse populations of fish, marine mammals and seabirds; and a source of beauty and inspiration for those who love nature. As a result of both human influences and natural processes, these important attributes are now experiencing significant change.

Fifty-four percent of the state's 621,000 permanent residents live within the geographic area of the northern Gulf of Alaska and the nearby population centers of Anchorage and Wasilla. More than one million tourists visit this each year. The private sector economy of Alaska depends heavily on extraction of natural resources from this region, primarily oil and fish, followed by timber and minerals. Crude oil and fuel tanker traffic, increasing tourism and recreational use, expanded road building, increased commercial and sport fishing pressure are all human activities that could affect the marine resources and ecosystem of the northern Gulf of Alaska. In addition, recent evidence of persistent organic pollutants and heavy metals in fish and wildlife tissues in the gulf indicate that this region is not immune from worldwide concerns about potential effects of contaminants on marine organisms and on human consumers, particularly Alaska Native subsistence users.

Populations of important marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska have undergone major changes, especially since the late 1970s. Salmon catches of all species, and especially sockeye, have remained near record levels for two decades, with annual catches significantly greater than those in the three decades ending in 1979. Shrimp and red king crab have fallen to extremely low levels in the gulf since 1980, in sharp contrast to the very high levels in the two prior decades. Kodiak's red king crab fishery, once among the world's richest, has been completely closed since 1984. As shrimp and crab declined, cod, pollock and flatfish such as arrowtooth flounder have rapidly increased. Some marine mammals associated with the gulf, such as sea lions, harbor seals and overwintering fur seals have steadily declined since 1980. Other species such as sea otters and elephant seals have been on the rise for more than a decade. Colonies of seabirds such as kittiwakes, common murres and cormorants have shown declines since about 1980 in some coastal localities such as Prince William Sound and central Cook Inlet, but not in others. Overall, many species and populations associated with nearshore habitats in the Gulf of Alaska have declined since about 1977, whereas species and populations having access to offshore gulf habitats have generally increased.

DRAFT PROPOSED REVISIONS TO OCTOBER 22 VERSION OF GEM DOCUMENT

JANUARY 17, 2000

ADD EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

I. INTRODUCTION

This section provides the general background and rationale for the proposed Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring (and Research) Program. The following major revisions are proposed:

- 1. Add general discussion of major goals to first section of introduction. These are to:
 - DETECT: Serve as a sentinel (early warning) system by detecting annual and long-term changes in the marine ecosystem from coastal watersheds to the central gulf;
 - b. UNDERSTAND: Identify causes of change in the ecosystem, including natural variation, human influences, and their interaction;
 - c. PREDICT: Develop capacity to predict status and trends of natural resources for use by resource managers and consumers;
 - d. INFORM Provide integrated and synthesized information to the public, resource managers, industry and policy makers in order for them to respond to changes in natural resources;
 - e: SOLVE: Develop tools, technologies, and information that can help resource managers and regulators improve management of marine resources and address problems that may arise from human activities.
- 2. Revise C. Human Uses and Activities Strengthen identification of potential impacts of human activities throughout the GEM region. Reorganize the section by issues, such as resource consumption, pollution (including contaminants), and habitat loss and degradation. Include discussion of key known or suspected stressors to important ecological resources contaminants, fishing, oil and gas development, tourism, mining, logging, road construction, urbanization.
- 3. Add to section E on Fishery and Ecosystem-Based Management potential effects on productivity of harvests by recreational, charter and commercial fisheries.



ALASKA STATE OFFICE

308 G Street, Suite 217 Anchorage, AK 99501 Tel: (907) 276-7034

JAN 2 7 2000

Fax: (907) 276-5069

January 27, 2000

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401 Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear EVOS Trustees:

These comments concern the October 22, 1999 review draft of the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring Program.

The Trustee Council's mission is to restore the environment injured by the oil spill to a world-renowned ecosystem. We greatly appreciate the council's balanced approach to restoration. The council's habitat protection and science programs have effectively aided restoration and have provided an invaluable legacy for the future. We are pleased and excited about the prospect of a long-term monitoring and research program that will build on and extend this legacy. The following comments do not address the scientific details of GEM, but more broadly address its purposes and possible pitfalls:

- We support the proposed mission of fostering a "healthy and biologically diverse marine ecosystem in the northern Gulf of Alaska through greater understanding of how its productivity is influenced by natural changes and human activities." This mission is well conceived, highly relevant and important, and entirely consistent with the mission and balanced ecological approach adopted by the Trustee Council in its Restoration Plan (1994).
- One of the most difficult problems in developing GEM will be the temptation to try
 and serve too many purposes and interests. It is essential that GEM be strongly
 focused on the underlying theme of the influences of natural change and human
 activities on the marine ecosystem.
- We support the continued focus on the marine ecosystem of the spill area and adjacent northern Gulf of Alaska. There simply are not enough funds to extend GEM to other geographic areas, nor to upland areas, except to the extent that activities along adjacent coastlines and in spill-area watersheds may bear directly on the health of the marine ecosystem.
- Although it is very important that GEM identify the effects of human actions on the
 marine ecosystem, it is essential that it do so in the context of a balanced ecological
 monitoring program. Indeed, it will not be possible to detect or understand human
 influences without a basic ecological monitoring program that documents short- and
 long-term natural patterns and change in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.2

• Staying the course with long-term ecological monitoring is not necessarily easy because short-term benefits may not always be obvious to the public or policy makers. Long-term ecological data, however, are exactly what are missing for places like the Bering Sea. There never may be another opportunity to get such a program in place for the northern Gulf of Alaska, and no existing agency has a mandate to monitor the ecological pulse of this rich environment.

- It is appropriate and important that detection of contaminants in the environment and in selected indicator species be a part of GEM. It is our hope that a carefully targeted contaminants component can be integrated into the broader ecological monitoring program, which should be the core of GEM, and that the commitment to such a component will leverage additional resources from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, and other agencies responsible for public health and the environment.
- Because funds are limited—there will never be enough money to accomplish everything that one would like to do—it is important that GEM provide scientific leadership, coordination, and synthesis that will encourage and complement the participation of and commitments by government agencies, universities, and others.
- Although GEM is not a habitat protection program per se, we encourage the Trustee Council to provide for the identification of important, sensitive marine habitats. Such habitats can be identified through the synthesis of monitoring and research data (e.g., identifying areas at sea where surveys indicate consistent concentrations of feeding seabirds) or through directed research on the life histories and habitat requirements of important fish and wildlife resources.
- The Trustee Council has set a high scientific standard with its program, particularly as it has evolved since the *Restoration Plan* was adopted in 1994. It is critical that the program is continued with strong scientific leadership and outside peer review (including the pending National Research Council review). Although the Trustee Council should continue to be responsible for allocations of funds, we strongly encourage the council to retain a core staff that is independent of any of the trustee agencies and that it rely heavily on objective, scientifically rigorous recommendations, which are developed through a regular public workshop/workplan process.
- Finally, the Trustee Council has broken new ground in its efforts to inform and involve the public, including Alaskan Natives and other stakeholders. We support these efforts and urge that they be incorporated into GEM at a significant level. Part of this process should be the exploration of opportunities for "citizen science" (e.g., bird counts, sampling mussels), which has the benefit of involving the interested public while, at the same time, collecting valuable data. The opportunity to incorporate citizen science in GEM seems especially promising in the area of human impacts on the environment.

Regardless of one's perspective—conservation, industry, consumption, recreation, etc.—there is good reason to be excited about how GEM can contribute to the management, conservation, and sustained use of marine resources in the northern Gulf of Alaska.

GEM Comments p.3

We encourage the Trustee Council to continue to develop and refine GEM as presented in the October 1999 draft, and we look forward to participating in that process between now and FY 2003. Thank you for considering these comments.

John Schoen, Ph.D.

Sincerely

Senior Scientist
Alaska State Office

National Audubon Society

David Banks

Director

Science & Stewardship

Alaska Field Office

The Nature Conservancy

Kris Balliet

Director

Alaska Field Office

Center for Marine

Conservation

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451

907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Trustee Council Members

FROM:

Molly Macampaon

DATE:

February 7, 2000

RE:

Investment Policy Comments

This is just a quick reminder that comments regarding the draft Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council Investment Policy should be sent to me by Friday, February 11, 2000 if at all possible. This will give me enough time to work through any issues that are identified. It would also be most helpful if your agency attorneys (and the Department of Justice) could identify any issues and concerns by that time also.

Thanks for all your help on this.

January 31, 2000

Trustee Council discussed the Draft Investment Policies of the Joint

Trust Fund.

February 11, 2000 Submit comments regarding the Draft Investment Policies to the

Restoration Office.

February 29

Trustee Council adopts Investment Policies.

March 2000

Trustee Council discusses income producing obligations and other

instruments and securities for purpose of developing an Asset Allocation Plan. Consider transfer of funds to interim account.

April 2000

Trustee Council adopts an Asset Allocation Plan and discusses the

roles of the investment consultant and investment managers.

May 2000

Trustee Council selects an investment consultant.

June 2000

Trustee Council selects investment managers in consultation with

the investment consultant.

July 2000

Transfer Joint Trust Funds and implement the Asset Allocation

Plan.

Agency Liaisons

Maria Lisowski, U.S. DOA

Barry Roth, USDOI

Gina Belt, U.S. DOJ

mm/raw

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

TO TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS

Dave Gibbons

Michele Brown

Marilyn Heiman

Frank Rue

Steven Pennoyer

Craig Tillery



PROM: Molly Mª Cammon

DATE: Jebruary 7, 2000 TOTAL PAGES: 2

ccid to	Agency Liaisons	
	Gua Belt	
	Maria Usowski	
	Barry Roth	

TRUSTEE COUNCIL ALTERNATES

Rob Bosworth Dan Easton Barry Roth

Bill Hines, Bruce Wright Alex Swiderski

HARD COPY TO FOLLOW FAX SENT BY:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Alaska Department of Law

TX/RX NO.

9762

INCOMPLETE TX/RX

17:48

TRANSACTION OK

[09] 19075867589

[13] 19077896608

[15] 2698918

[17] 2713992

[18] 2672464

[20] 7863350

[21] 2572517

[22] 7863636

[26] 2697508

[28] 19075867249

[29] 19074652332

[30] 19074655177

[31] 19074655070

[35] 19253737834

[36] 2787022

[38] 2715827

[43] 19075867251

[45] 12022083877

[67] 2714102

JUNEAU OFFICE

BRUCE WRIGHT

CAROL FRIES

GIBBONS/HOLBROOK

C. SLATER

C.BERG

B.RICE

D.BOHN

MARIANNE SEE

S. PENNOYER

FRANK RUE

DAN EASTON

BROWN

B.SPIES

ALEX-CRAIG

G.BELT

M.LISOWSKI

B.ROTH

M HEIMAN

ERROR

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

James Liszka, Chair Department of Philosophy University of Alaska Anchorage, AK 99501

Dear Mr. Liszka:

This is to confirm my participation in the proposed Summer Institute at UAA in June of 2001, "Environmental Ethics and Issues: Alaska as a Case Study." I would be available to speak on the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill.

Please keep me apprised as details on the institute firm up, especially the dates for the oil spill presentation. If I can be of any further assistance, do not hesitate to contact me at 278-8012.

Sincerely,

Molly McCardmon
Executive Director

Bio on Molly McCammon Executive Director Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council

Molly McCammon has been with the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council for six years, five as Executive Director. An Alaska resident since 1973, she has more than 20 years experience working on resource policy issues for the legislative and executive branches of government, as well as private non-profits. She received her degree in journalism from the University of California at Berkeley.

February, 2000

Molly McCammon James Liszka [afjjl@UAA.ALASKA.EDU] From: Friday, January 28, 2000 9:10 AM nt: Molly McCammon Re: query concerning participation in Summer Institute/UAA oubject: Molly, Many, many thanks for your willingness to participate in the Summer Institute. I think it will be grand. I need a small favor though. The proposal requires letters of commitment from all participants. Would it be possible to send a brief note to that effect. If it is not too inconvenient, could you also send just a short, concise professional biography. All of that could be faxed to 786-4383, if that's convenient. Best wishes, Fax to 786-4383 James Molly McCammon wrote: > James, > > From your description of the institute, I would be happy to participate. Please keep me informed as plans progress. > Sincerely, > Molly McCammon ----Original Message---rom: James Liszka [mailto:afjjl@UAA.ALASKA.EDU] > Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2000 12:36 PM > To: molly_mccammon@oilspill.state.ak.us > Subject: query concerning participation in Summer Institute/UAA > Dear Ms. McCammon, > I'm presently preparing a grant proposal through the National Endowment > for the Humanities to hold a Summer Institute at UAA in June of 2001. > The title of the Institute will be "Environmental Ethics and Issues: > Alaska as a Case Study." The proposal invites 25 college instructors > across the county, who have an interest in environmental ethics, to > participate in lectures, presentations, workshops, etc. related to this > issue. The institute lasts 5 weeks. Each week will involve a visiting > national scholar in this area. Also each week we will have an > environmental issue serve as a case study for reflection and > consideration. One such issue we've planned is the Exxon Valdez Oil > Spill. I was hoping that you would be willing to participate on a panel > or give a presentation on this matter, especially in regard to recent > developments. Walt Parker has agree to participate, and I'm waiting for > a response from Art Davidson. > With your expertise, experience and special knowledge, this would prove > to be an exciting and informative event. It would afford a unique > opportunity for these college instructors. Bringing this information

> Best wishes,
 ames Liszka, Chair
 epartment of Philosophy
> UAA

> back to the classroom I'm sure would have a fruitful result.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

Carol Ann Kompkoff Chenega Bay IRA Council POB 8079 Chenega Bay, Alaska 99574-8079

Paul Panamarioff Ouzinkie Tribal Council POB 130 Ouzinkie, Alaska 99644

RE: Project 00481 / Documentary Film on the Oil Spill Impacts on Subsistence Use

of Intertidal Resources

Dear Ms. Kompkoff and Mr. Panamarioff:

The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council approved additional projects for the Fiscal Year 2000 Work Plan at its meeting on January 31, 2000. I am pleased to inform you that the Council approved funding in the amount of \$8,600 for Project 00481/Documentary Film on the Oil Spill Impacts on Subsistence Use of Intertidal Resources. This includes \$7,500 in direct project funds and \$1,100 in agency administrative costs. A copy of the Council's action on your project is enclosed.

Before a project may begin, the lead agency for the project must provide documentation to the Executive Director showing that the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) have been met. You will receive authorization from the Executive Director to begin the FY 00 project as soon as NEPA compliance is documented. If you have any questions, please contact the Trustee Council liaison for your lead agency.

Projects approved for FY 00 are approved in the expectation that they will be funded to their completion. However, the Trustee Council will annually evaluate a project's future funding needs based on its progress or results to date, overall restoration needs, and restoration funding constraints. The future years' funding projection for your project (including agency administrative costs) is \$111,800 for FY 01; this will be reviewed again next year.

Thank you for your participation in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill restoration program. We appreciate your continued interest, and look forward to working with you this coming year.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon
Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Claudia Slater, ADFG Liaison

mm/raw

OUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJEC TRUSTE FY 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total * FY00-02	
00481	Documentary Film on the Oil Spill Impacts on Subsistence Use of Intertidal Resources	G. Evanoff/Chenega Bay IRA Council, P. Panamarioff/ Ouzinkie Tribal Council	ADFG	New 1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$0.0	\$8.6	\$111.8	\$0.0	\$120.4	
	Project Abstract	Chief Scien	Chief Scientist's Recommendation				Trustee Council Action			

Project Abstract

This project will produce a 27 minute documentary film on the impacts of the oil spill on the subsistence use of intertidal resources, including mussels, clams, chitons, and octopus, by residents of two predominantly Alaska Native communities: Chenega Bay in Prince William Sound and Ouzinkie on Kodiak Island. This project will build on two previous subsistence documentaries (projects 96214 and 98274) and will focus on the use of resources in the intertidal, the area hardest hit by oil, and broaden the discussion by bringing in the perspective of the residents of Chenega Bay, the first community directly in the path of the spilled oil, and Ouzinkie, the first Kodiak-area community to see the oil arrive. The documentary will compare the impact the spill has had on the use of intertidal resources in each community as well as the ongoing EVOS restoration efforts to help residents mitigate these impacts.

Unier Scientist's Recommendation

This project would document impacts of the oil spill Chenega Bay and Ouzinkie areas. The documentary film would supplement two previous films funded by the Trustee Council on the spill's impacts to harbor seals and Pacific herring/nearshore resources. Fund if funds are available within the Council's target for the work plan.

Trustee Council Action

Fund. This project, which is patterned after two on the subsistence use of intertidal resources in the previous video projects funded by the Trustee Council (96214/Harbor Seals and 98274/Herring and Nearshore Resources), is designed to contribute to the restoration of intertidal resources and subsistence uses by transmitting local knowledge about these resources to the scientific community and others. The earlier recommendation on this project was to postpone its consideration until FY 01 because some of the items to be addressed in the video (paralytic shellfish poisioning, residual oil in Prince William Sound) would be more appropriately addressed a year from now. However, providing a small amount of start-up funding in FY 00 will allow preproduction activities to take place so that production itself can get underway at the beginning of FY 01.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

Jennifer L. Nielsen, Ph.D. BRD - USGS 1011 East Tudor Road Anchorage, Alaska 99503

RE: Project 00478 \ Testing Satellite Tags as a Tool for Identifying Critical Habitat

Dear Dr. Nielsen:

The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council approved additional projects for the Fiscal Year 2000 Work Plan at its meeting January 31, 2000. I am pleased to inform you that the Council approved funding in the amount of \$106,100 for Project 00478\Testing Satellite Tags as a Tool for Identifying Critical Habitat contingent on submittal and approval of a revised Detailed Project Description and budget that emphasize the development of light interpretive algorithms for the Gulf of Alaska, delete the field work component, and reflect any relevant findings presented at the upcoming international symposium on tagging fish. Funding includes \$77,000 in direct project funds and \$29,100 for Alaska SeaLife Center bench fees (this amount includes \$1,900 in administrative costs for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game). A copy of the Council's action on your project is enclosed.

In addition to satisfying the conditions specified above, before a project may begin the lead agency for the project must provide documentation to the Executive Director showing that the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) have been met. Any delay in satisfying the conditions or documenting NEPA compliance will delay start of the project. If you have any questions, please contact the Trustee Council liaison for your lead agency.

Thank you for your participation in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill restoration program. We appreciate your continued interest, and look forward to working with you this coming year.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon
Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Dede Bohn, DOI-USGS Liaison

mm/raw

TRUSTEE COUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECTS / FY 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00478	Testing Satellite Tags as a Tool for Identifying Critical Habitat	J. Nielsen/USGS-BRD	DOI	New 1st yr. 1 yr. project	\$0.0	\$106.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$106.1
	Project Abstract	Chief Scie	Trustee Council Action						

Project Abstract

The definition of "critical habitat" in the marine environment is essential to the development of reserves or protected areas in relationship to a sustainable commercial or sport fishery. This project will investigate the temporal and spatial distribution of one key fish species, the Pacific halibut. Technology needed to monitor individual fish will be tested and applied. Satellite pop-up and archival satellite tags will be used on live halibut, monitoring their seasonal movements and critical habitats in nearshore and marine environments in the Gulf of Alaska.

NEEDS REVISION ONCE REVISED DPD RECEIVED.

This is a very good proposal by a highly qualified investigator. Satellite tag technology would contribute greatly to understanding more about important wide-ranging stocks of fish in the Gulf of is also apparent that tagging technology needs further laboratory-based validation for local symposium on tag technology are available.

Trustee Council Action

Fund contingent on submittal and approval of (a) a revised Detailed Project Description that emphasizes the development of light interpretive algorithms for the Gulf of Alaska, deletes the field work component, and Alaska and what is needed for their conservation. It reflects any relevant findings presented at the upcoming international symposium on tagging fish and (b) a revised budget that does not exceed \$106,100 application. Defer decision until results of upcoming (including the \$29.1 in Alaska SeaLife Center bench fees for this project). The project, which would test satellite tag technology for its utility in defining critical habitat, is intended to improve understanding of certain stocks of fish in the Gulf of Alaska. [NOTE: Funding includes \$29.1 for Alaska SeaLife Center bench fees.]

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

Dan Gillikin Glacier Ranger District USFS POB 129 Girdwood, Alaska 99587

Patrick Shields
Liminology Laboratory
Alaska Department of Fish & Game
3428 Kalifornsky Beach Road #8
Soldotna, Alaska 99669

RE: Project 00256B \ Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake

Dear Mr. Gillikin and Mr. Shields:

The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council approved additional projects for the Fiscal Year 2000 Work Plan at its meeting January 31, 2000. I am pleased to inform you that the Council approved funding in the amount of \$159,500 for Project 00256B\Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake contingent on (1) receipt of a letter from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game explaining why the genetic risks of the stocking under this project are considered to be very low and (2) provision of detailed engineering drawings of the fish pass prior to construction. Funding includes \$147,400 in direct project funds and \$12,100 in agency administrative costs. A copy of the Council's action on your project is enclosed.

In addition to satisfying the conditions specified above, before a project may begin the lead agency for the project must provide documentation to the Executive Director showing that the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) have been met. Any delay in satisfying the conditions or documenting NEPA compliance will delay start of the project. If you have any questions, please contact the Trustee Council liaison for your lead agency.

Projects approved for FY 00 are approved in the expectation that they will be funded to their completion. However, the Trustee Council will annually evaluate a project's future funding needs based on its progress or results to date, overall restoration needs, and

restoration funding constraints. The future years' funding projection for your project is \$40,000 in FY 01 and \$40,000 in FY 02 (including agency administrative costs); this will be reviewed on an annual basis.

Thank you for your participation in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill restoration program. We appreciate your continued interest, and look forward to working with you this coming year.

Sincerely,

Molly McCammon Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Ken Holbrook, USFS Liaison

mm/raw

TRUSTET COUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECTO 'FY 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00256B	Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake	D. Gillikin/USFS, P. Shields/ADFG	USFS	Cont'd 5th yr. 7 yr. project	\$0.0	\$159.5	\$40.0	\$40.0	\$239.5

Project Abstract

This project will benefit subsistence, recreation, and commercial users of western Prince William Sound. There are two phases to the project: Phase 1, which began in FY 96, verified the ability of Solf Lake to support a sustainable population of sockeye salmon. Phase 2 included stocking the lake with approximately 100,000 sockeye salmon fry, then ensuring access to the lake for returning adult salmon. The stocking program began in 1997 along with modification to the two outlets to control water levels. However, further modifications to the eastern channel are still required to ensure adult returns to Solf Lake.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is the proposed continuation of a sockeye supplementation project for Solf Lake. Enhanced production of sockeye salmon in the lake may be of importance to subsistence users, and should provide substantial recreational benefits for the expected increased number of visitors to Prince William Sound in the near future. Funds in FY 00 will be used to complete improvements to the channel providing access to Solf Lake for returning adults, to continue stocking the lake with sockeye fry, and to monitor food resources in the lake for rearing salmon. Project funding should be contingent on provision of detailed engineering drawings for the fish pass prior to construction. Fund.

Trustee Council Action

Fund contingent on (a) receipt of a letter from the state geneticist at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game explaining why the genetic risks of the stocking under this project are considered to be very low and (b) provision of detailed engineering drawings of the fish pass prior to construction. This project is intended to provide sockeye salmon as a replacement for resources lost or reduced due to the oil spill. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has determined that Solf Lake can support a sustainable run of 10,000 sockeye salmon. Stocking began in FY 98; the first adult sockeye are expected to return in FY 02. Recreational, commercial, and subsistence fishers should all benefit from this project.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



February 7, 2000

Lee Hulbert NMFS Auke Bay Lab 11305 Glacier Highway Juneau, Alaska 99801

RE: Project 00396 / Diet, Trophic Interactions, and Historical Trends in Occurrence of

Salmon Sharks, Sleeper Sharks, and Spiny Dogfish in Prince William Sound and the

Eastern Gulf of Alaska

Dear Mr. Hulbert:

The Trustee Council acted on additional proposals for the FY 00 Work Plan on January 31, 2000. This letter is to inform you that the Council voted to defer action on Project 00396/Diet, Trophic Interactions, and Historical Trends in Occurrence of Salmon Sharks, Sleeper Sharks, and Spiny Dogfish in Prince William Sound and the Eastern Gulf of Alaska pending further consideration. The Council is tentatively scheduled to reconsider the project at their upcoming meeting on February 29, 2000. A copy of the Council's action on the project is enclosed.

In anticipation of your project being considered at that time, please submit a revised Detailed Project Description and budget. The Detailed Project Description should focus on the predation role of sharks relative to other top-level predators in the Prince William Sound ecosystem, in particular the question of competition for prey, and should address the methodology questions raised by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The budget should not exceed the \$86,000 earlier proposed.

Thank you for your participation in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill restoration program. A copy of the Trustee Council's action on your project is enclosed. If you have questions, please contact the Trustee Council liaison for your lead agency.

Sincerely,

Molly McCarhmon Executive Director

Enclosure

cc: Bruce Wright, NOAA Liaison

Melly M'Cam

mm/raw

TRUSTEE COUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECTS / FY 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00396	Diet, Trophic Interactions, and Historical Trends in Occurrence of Salmon Sharks, Sleeper Sharks, and Spiny Dogfish in Prince William Sound and the Eastern Gulf of Alaska	L. Hulbert/NOAA	NOAA	New 1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$0.0		,	\$0.0	\$0.0
		011-60							

Project Abstract

The revised proposal will investigate spatial and temporal movements, residency, diet composition, ecology, and trophic impacts of salmon sharks and Pacific sleeper sharks in Prince William Sound and will quantify refinements to shark parameters in the ECOPATH model (Project /330). The project will assess work to provide a larger context for the study evidence of ecological implications of shark populations on the recovery of oil spill injured species through fatty acids and stable isotope tracer analyses and use of simulations based upon the refined ECOPATH model. Acoustic and satellite-linked telemetry will be utilized to determine shark movements and migrations, critical feeding areas and depths, and behavioral data. The research will address the role of the predominant shark species in the dynamic trophic structures in the Prince William Sound region.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is a well conceived proposal for work on two species of sharks that appear to be of growing well integrated with other efforts in fisheries results, rapid improvements in tag technology will make the work more useful at a later time, and more time is needed to determine whether sharks should be a component of GEM (Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring, the Council's long-term research and monitoring program that is currently under development). Do not fund.

Trustee Council Action

Defer decision on funding this project until a revised Detailed Project Description and budget are submitted ecological importance in Prince William Sound. It is and approved. The revised Detailed Project Description should focus on the predation role of sharks relative to research. However, there is little ongoing ecological other top-level predators in the Prince William Sound ecosystem, in particular the question of competition for prey, and should address the methodology questions raised by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The budget should not exceed the \$86.0 originally proposed. Sharks appear to be of growing ecological importance in the sound.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Restoration Work Force

FROM:

Molly McCampon

Executive Nilector

RE:

Authorization to Spend: FY 00 Work Plan

DATE:

February 7, 2000

At its January 31, 2000 meeting, the Trustee Council approved an additional \$268,100 for three projects as part of the FY 00 Work Plan. As in the past, a letter of authorization from the Executive Director will be required on each project before spending can occur. The Council's project approval was subject to the following conditions: timely completion of late reports, NEPA compliance, and any additional conditions specified in the individual project recommendations. Authorization letters will be prepared as soon as these conditions are met.

Letters are being mailed out under my signature to each PI whose project was considered by the Council on January 31, notifying them of the Council's action and explaining the conditions for Executive Director authorization. Agency liaisons will be copied on these letters, as appropriate. I expect the PIs to work through the liaisons if they have questions about late reports, NEPA, special conditions, or any other aspect of the project approval process.

Late Reports

The Trustee Council adopted a motion directing the Executive Director to withhold authorizations to spend FY 00 project funds until late reports have been submitted. The motion reads:

If a Principal Investigator has an overdue report from a previous year, no funds may be expended on a project involving the PI unless the report is submitted or a schedule for submission is approved by the Executive Director.

A list of late reports is attached. Defined as "late" are reports (1) that have not yet been submitted to the Chief Scientist or that were reviewed by the Chief Scientist, returned to the PI for revision longer ago than six months, and have not been revised and resubmitted to the Chief Scientist and (2) for which an extended due date has not been approved by the Executive Director.

NEPA Compliance

The Trustee Council adopted a motion directing the Executive Director to withhold authorizations to spend FY 00 project funds until NEPA compliance is documented. The motion reads:

A project's lead agency must demonstrate to the Executive Director that requirements of NEPA are met before any project funds may be expended (with the exception of funds spent to prepare NEPA documentation.)

A draft list of projects requiring NEPA documentation is attached. For continuing projects, a CE or EA should already be on file here at the Restoration Office for FY 99. In these cases, the lead NEPA agency needs to simply confirm that the CE or EA already on file applies as well to the project activity that will be conducted in FY 00. For new projects, the attached list identifies a NEPA lead agency based on past practice. If you have questions or changes to any of the information on the list, please contact Sandra Schubert.

Special Conditions

A few projects have special conditions or contingencies that must be met before FY 00 work can proceed. Any such conditions are spelled out in the Trustee Council Action field on the attached spreadsheet.

Attachments:

List of late reports

NEPA compliance spreadsheet

Trustee Council Action spreadsheets

Overdue Repo. ... (as of 1/31/00)

Agency	Project Number	Pi	Final or Annual	Project Title	Status of Report
ADEC	99514	See	Final	Lower Cook Inlet Waste	Delayed plan/report new expected Merch 2000
ADEC	99314	266	rillai	Management Plan	Delayed; plan/report now expected March 2000
ADFG	B11	Rothe	Final	Harlequin duck damage	Peer reviewed; returned to PI for revision 8/19/98
7.5.0	5.1	Roule	, mai	assessment	Tool reviewed, retained to 1 7 for revision of 1970
ADFG	FS13	Baker	Final		Peer reviewed; returned to PI for revision 11/11/98
, -		;- :		bivalves	
ADFG	93033-1	Rothe	Final	Harlequin duck - Afognak	Peer reviewed; returned to PI for revision 11/14/95;
				habitat assessment/PWS	most recent due date was 7/1/98
				production	
ADFG	93033-2	Rothe	Final	Harlequin restoration	Never submitted; most recent due date was 7/1/98
ADFG	96258A-1	Tarbox	Final	Sockeye: Kenai	Never submitted; was due 1/1/98 (with manuscript)
ADFG	96258A-2	Swanton	Final	Sockeye: Kodiak	Never submitted; was due 10/30/97
ADFG	97165	Seeb	Final	Herring genetics	Peer reviewed; returned to PI for revision 6/29/99
ADFG	97254	Edmundson	Final	Delight & Desire lakes	Peer reviewed; returned to PI for revision 11/2/98
ADFG	98196	Habicht	Final	Pink salmon genetics	Never submitted; was due 4/15/99
ADFG	98320	Cooney, et al	Final	SEA	Never submitted; was due 6/15/99
ADFG	99252	L. Seeb	Final	Pollock component:	Never submitted; was due 9/30/99
				genetics project	
ADFG	99311	Kline	Final	Herring productivity	Never submitted; was due 9/30/99.
45115					
ADNR	99314	Cushing	Final	Homer Mariner Park	Never submitted; was due 9/30/99
DOI	98286	Henrichs	Final	Elders/Youth Conference	Never submitted; was due 9/30/98
NOAA	99468	Thomas	Final	Herring synthesis	Never submitted; was due 11/30/99
USFS	98145	Reeves	Final	Cutt/dolly populations	Never submitted; was due 9/30/99
USFS	99381	Bishop	Final	Seabird colony status	Never submitted; was due 9/30/99
The follow					/ more than 6 months ago:
	98247	McCullough		Kametolook River	Submitted for peer review 6/29/99
	98256B	Gillikin		Solf Lake	Submitted for peer review 4/28/99
	98291	See	Final	Chenega shoreline oiling	Submitted for peer review 6/25/99
	98347	Heintz	Annual	Diet composition	Submitted for peer review 6/8/99

NEPA COMPLIANCE -- FY 00 WORK. _AN (projects approved 1/31/00)

Proj.No.	Project Title	New or Cont'd	<u>Lead</u> Agency	NEPA Lead Agency	For Continuing Projects: Prior Year NEPA	NEPA Status: FY 00 Activity
00256B	Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake	Cont'd	USFS	USFS	CE	
00478	Testing Satellite Tags as a Tool for Identifying Critical Habitat	New	DOI	DOI		
00481	Documentary Film on the Oil Spill Impacts on Subsistence Use of Intertidal Resources	New	ADFG	DOI?		

TRUSTEI UNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECTS Y 00 WORK PLAN

Proj. No.	Project Title	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Deferred to Jan.	APPROVED 1/31/00	FY 01 Estimate	FY 02 Estimate	Total FY00-02	Trustee Council Action
00256B	Solf Lake Sockeye Salmon Stocking	USFS	Cont'd	\$0.0	\$159.5	\$159.5	\$40.0	\$40.0	\$239.5	Fund contingent
00396	Salmon Shark, Sleeper Shark, Spiny Dogfish	NOAA	New	\$0.0	\$86.0			\$0.0	\$0.0	Defer
00478	Testing Satellite Tags	DOI	New	\$0.0	\$106.1	\$106.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$106.1	Fund contingent
00481	Documentary on Intertidal Resources	ADFG	New	\$0.0	\$8.6	\$8.6	\$111.8	\$0.0	\$120.4	Fund
	ר	rotal:		\$0.0	\$360.2	\$274.2	\$151.8	\$40.0	\$466.0	

August/December Total:

\$8,033.7

TOTAL FY 00 WORK PLAN:

\$8,307.9

TRUSTE DUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECT -Y 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00256B	Sockeye Salmon Stocking at Solf Lake	D. Gillikin/USFS, P. Shields/ADFG	USFS	Cont'd 5th yr. 7 yr. project	\$0.0	\$159.5	\$40.0	\$40.0	\$239.5

Project Abstract

This project will benefit subsistence, recreation, and commercial users of western Prince William Sound. There are two phases to the project: Phase 1, which began in FY 96, verified the ability of Solf Lake to support a sustainable population of sockeye salmon. Phase 2 included stocking the lake with approximately 100,000 sockeye salmon fry, then ensuring access to the lake for returning adult salmon. The stocking program began in 1997 along with modification to the two outlets to control water levels. However, further modifications to the eastern channel are still required to ensure adult returns to Solf Lake.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is the proposed continuation of a sockeye supplementation project for Solf Lake. Enhanced production of sockeye salmon in the lake may be of importance to subsistence users, and should provide substantial recreational benefits for the expected increased number of visitors to Prince William Sound in the near future. Funds in FY 00 will be used to complete improvements to the channel providing access to Solf Lake for returning adults, to continue stocking the lake with sockeye fry, and to monitor food resources in the lake for rearing salmon. Project funding should be contingent on provision of detailed engineering drawings for the fish pass prior to construction. Fund.

Trustee Council Action

Fund contingent on (a) receipt of a letter from the state geneticist at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game explaining why the genetic risks of the stocking under this project are considered to be very low and (b) provision of detailed engineering drawings of the fish pass prior to construction. This project is intended to provide sockeye salmon as a replacement for resources lost or reduced due to the oil spill. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game has determined that Solf Lake can support a sustainable run of 10,000 sockeye salmon. Stocking began in FY 98; the first adult sockeye are expected to return in FY 02. Recreational, commercial, and subsistence fishers should all benefit from this project.

DUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECT TRUSTE **FY 00 WORK PLAN**

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00396	Diet, Trophic Interactions, and Historical Trends in Occurrence of Salmon Sharks, Sleeper Sharks, and Spiny Dogfish in Prince William Sound and the Eastern Gulf of Alaska	L. Hulbert/NOAA	NOAA	New 1st yr. 2 yr. project	\$0.0			\$0.0	\$0.0

Project Abstract

The revised proposal will investigate spatial and temporal movements, residency, diet composition, ecology, and trophic impacts of salmon sharks and Pacific sleeper sharks in Prince William Sound and will quantify refinements to shark parameters in the ECOPATH model (Project /330). The project will assess work to provide a larger context for the study evidence of ecological implications of shark populations on the recovery of oil spill injured species through fatty acids and stable isotope tracer analyses and use of simulations based upon the refined ECOPATH model. Acoustic and satellite-linked telemetry will be utilized to determine shark movements and migrations, critical feeding areas and depths, and behavioral data. The research will address the role of the predominant shark species in the dynamic trophic structures in the Prince William Sound region.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This is a well conceived proposal for work on two species of sharks that appear to be of growing ecological importance in Prince William Sound. It is well integrated with other efforts in fisheries results, rapid improvements in tag technology will make the work more useful at a later time, and more time is needed to determine whether sharks should be a component of GEM (Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring, the Council's long-term research and monitoring program that is currently under development). Do not fund.

Trustee Council Action

Defer decision on funding this project until a revised Detailed Project Description and budget are submitted and approved. The revised Detailed Project Description should focus on the predation role of sharks relative to research. However, there is little ongoing ecological other top-level predators in the Prince William Sound ecosystem, in particular the question of competition for prey, and should address the methodology questions raised by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The budget should not exceed the \$86.0 originally proposed. Sharks appear to be of growing ecological importance in the sound.

TRUSTE DUNCIL 1/31/00 ACTION ON DEFERRED PROJECT FY 00 WORK PLAN

Proj.No.	Project Title	Proposer	Lead Agency	New or Cont'd	Approved Aug/Dec	Approved 1/31/00	FY01 Estimate	FY02 Estimate	Total FY00-02
00478	Testing Satellite Tags as a Tool for Identifying Critical Habitat	J. Nielsen/USGS-BRD	DOI	New 1st yr. 1 yr. projec	\$0.0 t	\$106.1	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$106.1
	Project Abstract	Chief Scie	ntist's Rec	ommendatio	<u>n</u>	Trustee Council Action			
environn or protec commer the temp species, monitor Satellite on live h and critic	nition of "critical habitat" in the marine nent is essential to the development of reserves cted areas in relationship to a sustainable coloral and spatial distribution of one key fish the Pacific halibut. Technology needed to individual fish will be tested and applied. pop-up and archival satellite tags will be used alibut, monitoring their seasonal movements cal habitats in nearshore and marine nents in the Gulf of Alaska. REVISION ONCE REVISED DPD RECEIVED.		lite tag ted o understa ging stock needed fo at tagging pased valid decision u	chnology wou anding more a as of fish in the or their consectechnology nation for local antil results of	ld about e Gulf of ervation. It leeds al f upcoming le.	revised Detailed the developme Gulf of Alaska, reflects any relinternational syrevised budger (including the Stees for this prosatellite tag techabitat, is interstocks of fish in the stocks of fish in the stocks of the statellite tag techabitat, is interstocks of fish in the stocks of the statellite tag techabitat, is interstocks of fish in the stocks of the statellite tag techabitat, is interstocks of fish in the statellite tag techabitat, is interstocks of the statellite tag techabitat.	ed Project Desent of light into deletes the f evant finding imposium on that does no \$29.1 in Alask oject). The p chnology for it nded to impro n the Gulf of a	al and approval scription that enerpretive algorithical work composited at tagging fish and exceed \$106, as SeaLife Centroject, which wo understanding Alaska. [NOTE eaLife Center beautife Ce	nphasizes nms for the onent, and the upcoming d (b) a 100 ter bench build test ing critical ng of certain : Funding
00481	Documentary Film on the Oil Spill Impacts on Subsistence Use of Intertidal Resources	G. Evanoff/Chenega Bay IRA Council, P. Panamarioff/ Ouzinkie Tribal Council	, ADFG	New 1st yr. 1 yr. projec	\$0.0 t	\$8.6	\$111.8	\$0.0	\$120.4

Project Abstract

This project will produce a 27 minute documentary film on the impacts of the oil spill on the subsistence use of intertidal resources, including mussels, clams, chitons, and octopus, by residents of two predominantly Alaska Native communities: Chenega Bay in Prince William Sound and Ouzinkie on Kodiak Island. This project will build on two previous subsistence documentaries (projects 96214 and 98274) and will focus on the use of resources in the intertidal, the area hardest hit by oil, and broaden the discussion by bringing in the perspective of the residents of Chenega Bay, the first community directly in the path of the spilled oil, and Ouzinkie, the first Kodiak-area community to see the oil arrive. The documentary will compare the impact the spill has had on the use of intertidal resources in each community as well as the ongoing EVOS restoration efforts to help residents mitigate these impacts.

Chief Scientist's Recommendation

This project would document impacts of the oil spill on the subsistence use of intertidal resources in the Chenega Bay and Ouzinkie areas. The documentary film would supplement two previous films funded by the Trustee Council on the spill's impacts to harbor seals and Pacific herring/nearshore resources. Fund if funds are available within the Council's target for the work plan.

Trustee Council Action

Fund. This project, which is patterned after two previous video projects funded by the Trustee Council (96214/Harbor Seals and 98274/Herring and Nearshore Resources), is designed to contribute to the restoration of intertidal resources and subsistence uses by transmitting local knowledge about these resources to the scientific community and others. The earlier recommendation on this project was to postpone its consideration until FY 01 because some of the items to be addressed in the video (paralytic shellfish poisioning, residual oil in Prince William Sound) would be more appropriately addressed a year from now. However, providing a small amount of start-up funding in FY 00 will allow preproduction activities to take place so that production itself can get underway at the beginning of FY 01.

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



MEMORANDUM

TO:

Restoration Work Force

FROM:

Molly McCAmphon.

Executive Director

RE:

Small Parcel Program -- June 15 Deadline

DATE:

February 4, 2000

The Trustee Council, at its January 31, 2000 meeting, specified that all funds currently designated for specific small parcels would be undesignated effective June 15, 2000. This decision effectively gives the agencies and the landowners until June 15 to close deals on those parcels currently in the works. Any funds still unspent as of June 15 would be available for redesignation by the Council to the same or other parcels or to some other purpose.

Attached is the list of parcels for which funds are currently designated.

Also attached is a list of possible additional small parcels. This list was distributed at the recent Council meeting. If your agency would like any parcels added to the list, please let me know.

cc: Alex Swiderski, Alaska Department of Law

SMALL PARCEL PROGRAM -- STATUS OF FUNDS FEBRUARY 4, 2000

Amount of funds designated for small parcels through 2002:

\$6,314,900

Per TC's 3/1/99 resolution (includes \$500,000 for support costs). This amount ("\$6.32 million") is also specified in Senator Murkowski's investment legislation.

Funds already spent:

(\$951,200)

KAP 95 / Inga	84.0
KAP 134 / Ignatin	72.3
KAP 220 / Ayakulik	80.0
KAP 226 / Karluk Lag.	240.0
KEN 1052 / Salamatof	33.5
KEN 1084 / Morris	38.0
Support costs FY 00	373.5
Eyak support costs	29.9

Total unspent:

\$5,363,700

The \$5,363,700 unspent balance is currently designated as follows. At its 1/31/00 meeting, the TC decided that any of these funds still unspent as of 6/15/00 would be undesignated. The TC will then decide whether to redesignate the funds for the same or other parcels, or for some other purpose.

Purchase agreements signed but deals not closed: TOTAL		
PWS 1056 / Blondeau		\$626.8
KAP 126 / Christiansen: 3 Saints Bay	<i>'</i>	\$72.0
KAP 1089 / LBS Christensen		\$13.0
KAP 1090 / LBS Naumoff		\$16.0
KAP 1091 / LBS Easter		\$18.0
KAP 1092-07 & 2024 / 17 LBS parce	ls	\$254.0
Offers made but not yet accepted by landowners:	TOTAL	\$120.0
PWS 1028 / Valdez Duck Flats		\$120.0
Offers expired or otherwise need revision:	TOTAL	\$1,992.0
KAP 145 / Termination Point		\$1,865.0
KAP 2008-11,13,15,17 / 7 KIB tax pa	rcels	\$102.0
KAP 2012 / KIB Brown's Lagoon		\$12.0
KAP 2026 / LBS Christensen		\$13.0
Funds earmarked but no offers made:	TOTAL	\$2,251.9
PWS 05,06,1010 / Duck Flats & Jack	Bay	\$880.0
KEN 1086 / Stariski Creek	•	\$500.0
Larsen Bay Shareholder (LBS) balan	ce	\$331.0
Kodiak Island Borough (KIB) Tax bala		\$238.7
Tatitlek homesites		\$205.6
Support costs balance (FY 01 & 02)		\$96.6

SMALL PARCEL PROGRAM ADDITIONAL PROTECTION POSSIBILITIES January 25, 2000

NOTE: This is not an all inclusive list. It identifies those parcels that have been brought to the attention of the Restoration Office.

(1) ADNR has requested (11/1/99) that the following parcel be considered a Parcel Meriting Special Consideration:

KEN 146 / Hopkins (Kachemak Bay) 80 ac. score 3

- (2) DOI has identified over 2,500 additional acres of inholdings (roughly 35 small parcels) in the Kodiak, Alaska Peninsula, and Alaska Maritime national wildlife refuges which they would like to acquire. Estimated value of these parcels is nearly \$4 million. In addition, DOI continues to be interested in the 9,070-acre Spirit Lake parcel in the Kenai refuge (estimated value \$4.5 million).
- (3) If the state/Old Harbor Native Corporation land exchange is completed, ADNR has indicated interest in acquiring some of the roughly 8-10 remaining inholdings in the Kiliuda Bay area. The following inholdings currently have willing sellers: [NOTE: Appraisal of exchange lands due 3/1/00]:

KAP 1087 / Chokwak (Kiliuda Bay) 160 ac. score 3 KAP 1256 / Erickson (Shearwater Bay) 120 ac. score 3 KAP 2027 / Capjohn (Kiliuda Bay) 160 ac. score 9

- (4) As part of the Old Harbor acquisition, the Old Harbor Native Corporation agreed to preserve 65,000 acres of land on nearby Sitkalidak Island as a private wildlife refuge. The corporation is seeking compensation for providing a stronger conservation easement than originally planned.
- (5) If the Koniag Phase II purchase is completed, DOI has indicated interest in some additional Koniag inholdings in the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge that would be considered as part of the entire package.
- (6) USFS has indicated that Tatitlek homesite parcels, beyond what the \$205,600 allocated for this purpose will buy, may be available.
- (7) Karluk Village Council has hired Walt Ebell to begin discussions of protection of approximately 1,800 acres of Village Council lands, including the weir site (KAP 150). An additional 650 acres of Village Council lands, all within the Kodiak refuge, around Sturgeon, Grant, and Halibut lagoons might also be considered as part of this package.
- (8) Lesnoi, Inc. has reportedly expressed interest in pursuing development of a package that would include Termination Point, Long Island (KAP 1058), and

- potentially thousands of acres in the Chiniak area. Termination Point and Long Island are high priorities of ADNR.
- (9) Over the long-term, it is possible that the remainder of Pauls and Laura lakes, Afognak Lake, additional AJV lands, and additional lands along the Kenai, Kasilof and Anchor rivers may become available. Additional inholdings within the national wildlife refuge system and the national parks (Lake Clark and Kenai Fjords) may also become available, as may other parcels, such as Middleton Island south of Prince William Sound.

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

- lan a lazer	
To: O steve shuck	Number:
	mubert Date: 2-4-00
Comments:	Total Pages: with cover.
FYI-+	his is being marked
	luis is being maried Lestonation Work Force day
· to	day.
HARD COPY TO FOLLO	w
Document Sent By:	
3-16-99	•

HAX PORMPLANTS

42-Eleson Shuck 786-3901

2 001

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO.

9744

CONNECTION TEL

7863901

CONNECTION ID

START TIME

02/04 15:13

USAGE TIME

02'59

PAGES

5

RESULT

OK

Ø 001

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO.

9745

CONNECTION TEL

7863640

CONNECTION ID

G.ELISON

START TIME

02/04 15:27

USAGE TIME

03'01

PAGES

5

RESULT

OK

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET AX COMPLETE

To: Craig tilley	Number:
From: sandin ochuber	t_Date: 2-4-00
Comments:	Total Pages: with cover.
FYI - this is	3 being marked to
PWF toda	s being married to
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW	-
Document Sent By:	•
3-16-99	

4001

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO.

9743

CONNECTION TEL

2787022

CONNECTION ID

ALEX-CRAIG

START TIME

02/04 15:09

USAGE TIME

03'00

PAGES

5

RESULT

OK

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council 645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

unitaria.	
GAV	COMPLEXA
S.WY	(Y. IRAIDI ANADA

To: michael baffrey	_Number:
	Date: 2-4-00
Comments:	Total Pages: with cover.
This is being Work Force to	mailed to Restoration
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW	_
Document Sent By:	
3-16-99	

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO.

9742

CONNECTION TEL

2714102

CONNECTION ID

M HEIMAN

START TIME

02/04 15:07

USAGE TIME

01'55

PAGES

5

RESULT

OK

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



Restoration Office Tentative Meeting Schedule

February 2000

- Restoration Work Force FY01 Invitation
- 10 Public Advisory Group, teleconference, - GEM
- Trustee Council teleconference, investments (Tentative date)

March 2000

13-20*Trustee Council meeting on asset allocation investments & GEM

April 2000

- Public Advisory Group teleconference on GEM, public advice, community involvement, & public education
- Trustee Council meeting, investments

May 2000

Trustee Council meeting, investments

June 2000

RWF finalize draft recommendation on Draft FY01 Work Plan

Trustee Council meeting, investi

July 2000

- 19 Public meeting on Draft FY01 W
- Public Advisory Group Draft F\ *20
- 21 RWF, Draft FY01 Work Plan

August 2000

Trustee Council meeting on Dra

September 2000

January 2001

16-26 Two days will be Annual Worksh

* tentative meeting dates

For more information on any of the above meetings, please contact the Restoration Office.

Post-it* 7664 @3M 1993

State Trustees

2/3/00 F:\MOLLY\MTGSCHED.RWF

Please... Read Handle Approve And... Forward From: Return original Keep or Toss Date: 2/3/2000 Review with Me

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



Restoration Office Tentative Meeting Schedule

February 2000

- 3 Restoration Work Force FY01 Invitation
- 10 Public Advisory Group, teleconference, GEM
- TBD Trustee Council teleconference, investments (Tentative date)

March 2000

13-20*Trustee Council meeting on asset allocation investments & GEM

April 2000

- Public Advisory Group teleconference on GEM, public advice, community involvement, & public education
- TBD Trustee Council meeting, investments

May 2000

TBD Trustee Council meeting, investments

June 2000

- 7 RWF finalize draft recommendation on Draft FY01 Work Plan
- TBD Trustee Council meeting, investments

July 2000

- 19 Public meeting on Draft FY01 Work Plan, 7 p.m.
- *20 Public Advisory Group Draft FY01 Work Plan
- 21 RWF, Draft FY01 Work Plan

August 2000

3 Trustee Council meeting on Draft FY01 Work Plan

September 2000

January 2001

16-26 Two days will be Annual Workshop

* tentative meeting dates

For more information on any of the above meetings, please contact the Restoration Office.

2/3/00 F:\MOLLY\MTGSCHED.RWF

645 G Street, Suite 401, Anchorage, AK 99501-3451 907/278-8012 fax:907/276-7178



FAX COVER SHEET

To: Restoration Work Fore	ce	Date: 416 3, 2000	
From: Ribicea		Total Pages:	
Comments:			
Lentative	meeting	schedule	
for EUR	20	schedule	
	·		
RESTORATION WORK FORCE MEMBERS INCLUDE:			
Bruce Wright	Bill Hauser	Dede Bohn	
Carol Fries	Claudia Slater	Marianne See	
Catherine Berg Bonnie MacElmurry	Bob Spies Bud Rice		
HARD COPY TO FOLLOW	<i>NO</i> F	FAX SENT BY: Kursu	

9/29/99pdb

TX/RX NO.

9721

INCOMPLETE TX/RX

12:01

TRANSACTION OK

[09] 19075867589

JUNEAU OFFICE

r

[13] 19077896608

BRUCE WRIGHT

[15] 2698918

CAROL FRIES

[16] 2672450

RITA MIRAGLIA

[17] 2713992

GIBBONS/HOLBROOK

[18] 2672464

C.SLATER

[20] 7863350

C.BERG

[21] 2572517[22] 7863636

B.RICE

[26] 2697508

D.BOHN

-

B. SPIES

MARIANNE SEE

[35] 19253737834

ERROR