

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration

Draft 1994 Work Plan

**Prepared by:
Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustees
645 "G" Street
Anchorage, Alaska
99501
(907) 278-8012**

December 1994

RECEIVED
DEC 18 1993

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL
TRUSTEE COUNCIL
ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

The *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Trustee Council and the State and Federal Trustee Agencies conduct all programs and activities free from discrimination on the basis of sex, color, race, religion, national origin, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, or disability. For information on alternative formats available for this and other publications, please contact the ADA Coordinator at (voice) 907 278-8008 or (fax) 907 258-9860. Any person who believes s/he has been discriminated against should write to: ADEC, 410 Willoughby Avenue, Suite 105, Juneau, AK 99801; or O.E.O., U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240.

This publication was released by the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Trustee Council and produced at a cost of \$12.50 per copy. This document provides a report of the proposed activities of the Council. It was printed in Juneau, Alaska.

Dear Reviewer:

Attached is the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan which is a list of restoration projects currently under consideration for implementation in 1994. We request your comments on these projects.

In the fall of 1991, the United States of America and the State of Alaska settled their claims against the Exxon Corporation and Exxon Shipping Company for natural resource and service damages from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. In accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement and Consent Decree dated August 28, 1991, the undersigned three State and three Federal Trustee Council Representatives, in consultation with the public, are responsible for determining how restoration funds are to be spent. Restoration funds are being used to restore, enhance, replace, rehabilitate or acquire equivalent resources and services in Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska. Overall guidance for the yearly expenditure of restoration funds will be delineated within a Restoration Plan. The Restoration Plan will be a foundational document against which projects proposed for annual work plans will be developed. A draft Restoration Plan has been developed and any program of work for 1994 must be consistent with that draft plan.

Potential projects for implementation in 1994 were selected from a list of project titles encompassing all proposals received from the public and government agencies during the past several years. Project titles were developed into brief project descriptions and budgets and are presented as the Draft 1994 Work Plan before you now. We are seeking your comments to guide the Trustee Council in their final selection of projects to be conducted in 1994. Written comments, in order to be considered during the development of the final version of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration 1994 Work Plan, must be postmarked by **January 14, 1994**, and sent to the following address:

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council
645 "G" Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Please note: The cover of this document indicates whether it is an abridged version without the brief project descriptions. If you would like to obtain a copy of this document with the brief project descriptions or if you have any other questions concerning this document or its distribution please contact the Oil Spill Public Information Center, 645 "G" Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, or you may call:

(907) 278-8008
(800) 478-7745 (Alaska)
(800) 283-7745 (outside Alaska)

We appreciate your interest and look forward to your participation in this important process.

Sincerely,



Michael A. Barton
Regional Forester
Alaska Region
Forest Service
U.S. Department of Agriculture



Charles E. Cole
Attorney General
State of Alaska



Paul D. Gates
Regional Environmental Officer
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Department of Interior



Steve Pennoyer
Director
Alaska Region
National Marine Fisheries Service



Carl L. Rosier
Commissioner
Alaska Department of Fish and Game



John A. Sandor
Commissioner
Alaska Department of Environmental
Conservation

COMMENT SHEET
EXXON VALDEZ RESTORATION
1994 DRAFT WORK PLAN

You are invited to share your ideas and comments on the Draft 1994 Work Plan. You may use this tear sheet to present your views. If needed, use the space on the back or attach additional sheets. Please fold, staple, and add a postage stamp. Thank you for your interest and participation. Comments must be postmarked by January 14, 1994.

Additional Comments:

----- (fold here) -----

Return Address:

Place
Stamp
Here

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council
645 G Street
Anchorage, AK 99501

Attn: Draft 1994 Work Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Document	5
Draft Restoration Plan	5
Civil Settlement and Restoration Fund	6
Categories of Restoration	8
Trustee Council	8
Past Expenditures	9
Assumptions	11
For More Information	12

SYNOPSIS OF PROPOSED PROJECTS

NATURAL RESOURCES

Recovering Resources	15
Resources Not Recovering	17
Recovery Unknown	24

OTHER RESOURCES

Archaeological Resources	25
--------------------------------	----

SERVICES

Subsistence	26
Commercial Fishing	27
Recreation and Tourism	29
Passive Use	31

MULTIPLE RESOURCE PROJECTS	31
----------------------------------	----

SUMMARY TABLES

PROJECTS BY RESOURCE CATEGORY	35
PROJECTS BY RESTORATION CATEGORY	41
PROJECT BUDGET SUMMARY	46
PROJECT BUDGETS - 1993 REPORT COSTS AND 1994 FIELD WORK COSTS	52

(NOTE: The following pages are not included in the abridged version)

APPENDIX

PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS	59
94007: Site Specific Archaeological Restoration	60
94015: Archaeological Site Stewardship Program	66
94020: Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal Communities	70
94039: Common Murre Population Monitoring	76
94040: Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies	82
94041: Introduced Predator Removal	86
94043: Cutthroat Trout and Dolly Varden Habitat Restoration in Prince William Sound	90

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

94064: Harbor Seals Habitat Use and Monitoring	96
94066: Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring	104
94068: Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment - Feasibility Study	110
94070: Restoration of High Intertidal Fucus	116
94081: Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams	124
94083: Monitoring of Oiled and Treated Shorelines	128
94086: Herring Bay Experimental and Monitoring Studies	134
94090: Mussel Bed Restoration and Monitoring	142
94092: Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring	146
94102: Marbled Murrelet Prey and Foraging Habitat in Prince William Sound	150
94110: Habitat Protection - Data Acquisition & Support	154
94126: Habitat Protection and Acquisition Fund	160
94137: Stock Identification of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook, and Coho Salmon in Prince William Sound	164
94139: Salmon Instream Habitat and Stock Restoration	170
94147: Comprehensive Monitoring Program	178
94159: Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys	184
94163: Forage Fish Influence on Recovery of Injured Species	190
94165: Herring Genetic Stock Identification in Prince William Sound	196
94166: Herring Spawn Deposition and Reproductive Impairment	202
94173: Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring	210
94184: Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pink Salmon in Prince William Sound	214
94185: Coded-wire Tagging of Wild Pink Salmon for Stock Identification in Prince William Sound	220
94187: Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation Tool to Reduce Wild Stock Salmon Exploitation	226
94189: Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in Prince William Sound	232
94191: Oil Related Egg and Alevin Mortalities	238
94192: Estimation of Straying Rates Among Hatchery and Wild Pink Salmon Populations in Prince am Willi: Sound	244
94199: Alaska Marine Research Institute	250
94200: Public Land Access and 17(b) Easement Identification	254
94216: Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development	258
94217: Prince William Sound Area Recreation Implementation Plan	262
94237: River Otter Recovery Monitoring	268
94241: Rockfish Management Plan Data Development	274
94244: Harbor Seal and Sea Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance	278
94246: Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring	282
94255: Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration	286
94258: Sockeye Salmon Overescapement	294
94259: Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration	304
94266: Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal	310
94272: Chenega Chinook Release Program	316

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

94279: Subsistence Food Safety Testing	320
94280: Spot Shrimp Survey and Juvenile Shrimp Habitat Identification	326
94285: Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring	330
94290: Hydrocarbon Data Analysis and Interpretation	334
94316: Shoreline Trash Cleanup	338
94320: Ecosystem Study Plan	342
94345: Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Peninsula	346
94386: Artifact Repository - Planning and Design	350
94417: Waste Oil Disposal Facilities	354
94419: Leave No Trace Educational Program	358
94420: Recreation Information Center at Portage	364
94421: Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration	368
94504: Genetic Stock Identification of Kenai River Sockeye Salmon	384
94505: Habitat Protection Info. for Anadromous Streams & Marbled Murrelets	388
94505A: Stream Habitat Assessment	390
94505B: Marbled Murrelet Habitat Identification	392
94505C: Stream Channel Typing	396
94506: Pigeon Guillemot Recovery	400
 ADMINISTRATION AND PROJECT SUPPORT	
Administration-General	403
Project Support	404
1994 Administrative and Restoration Team Support Budgets	405
 INDEX	 408




Figure 1: This map shows the spill area. The spill area includes all of the shoreline oiled by the spill, severely affected communities, and adjacent uplands to the watershed divide.

INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Document

In 1989, the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill contaminated over 1,500 miles of Alaska's coastline to some degree. Crude oil killed birds, mammals, and fish, and disrupted the ecosystem in the path of the oil. In 1991, Exxon agreed to pay the United States and the State of Alaska \$900 million over ten years to restore the resources injured by the spill, and the reduced or lost services (human uses) they provide. Approximately \$600 million remains available to fund restoration activities, and of that amount, approximately \$90 million is available for restoration activities this year.

This Draft 1994 Work Plan describes projects proposed for restoration funding for this year. The Trustee Council has not indicated which of these projects they believe should be conducted in 1994, but is relying on public comment and scientific peer review to assist its decisions. For the Trustee Council to use your comments when determining which projects to fund, **your comments must be postmarked by January 14, 1994.**

This volume contains:

- tables summarizing proposed 1994 restoration projects;
- a summary of the proposed restoration projects and how they relate to the resources and services injured by the spill;

Separate companion volumes available at libraries throughout Alaska contain:

- descriptions of the individual restoration projects (including administrative and support projects)
- detailed budgets for each of the proposed projects.

Draft Restoration Plan

In November 1993, the Trustee Council adopted the Draft *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Plan. The Draft Restoration Plan is an interim document that will be used to guide the Draft 1994 Work Plan. Approved projects must be consistent with the policies and guidance of the Draft Restoration Plan. Currently, an Environmental Impact Statement is being written for the draft plan. Upon its completion, the impact statement and the Draft Restoration Plan will be circulated for public review. Until that time, a copy of the Draft Restoration Plan is available from the Oil Spill Public Information Center.

This *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan is part of a series of plans prepared by the State and Federal Trustees for the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Previous plans include:

- State/Federal Natural Resources Damage Assessment Plan for the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill, August 1989
- The 1990 State/Federal Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan for the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill
- The 1991 State/Federal Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Plan for the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill
- The *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1992 Work Plan
- The *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1993 Work Plan

Civil Settlement and Restoration Fund.

Projects approved from this draft plan will be funded from the Restoration Fund. This fund originates from the 1991 civil settlement that resolved the claims of the United States and the State of Alaska against Exxon for recovery of civil damages resulting from the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill.

On September 25, 1991, the State of Alaska, the United States and Exxon Corporation agreed to settlement terms of \$900 million for civil damage claims arising from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. On October 8, 1991, the U.S. District Court approved this settlement. The funds will be received by a joint trust fund over a ten year period and will be administered by the State and Federal Trustees. The spending guidelines for the civil settlement monies are set forth in the Memorandum of Agreement and Consent Decree that was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Alaska, United States vs. State of Alaska, A91-081 CIV ("Memorandum of Agreement"), and approved and entered by United States District Judge H. Russel Holland on August 28, 1991. Through this agreement the United States and the State of Alaska resolved their claims against each other and agreed to act as co-trustees in the collection and joint use of all natural resource damage recoveries resulting from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill.

Exxon must make ten annual payments totaling \$900 million. The first payment was made in December 1991; the last payment is due in September 2001. As of October 1993, three payments totalling \$340 million have been received. The terms of the Consent Decree and Memorandum of Agreement require that funds paid by Exxon must be used to reimburse the federal and state governments for the costs of cleanup, damage assessment, and litigation. Settlement funds remaining after the reimbursements are to be used for purposes of restoration.

The Memorandum of Agreement provides the rules for spending the restoration funds. These rules are as follows:

- Restoration funds must be used "...for the purposes of restoring, replacing, enhancing, or acquiring the equivalent of **natural resources** injured as a result of the oil spill and the reduced or lost **services** provided by such resources..."
- Restoration funds must be spent on restoration of natural resources in Alaska unless the Trustees unanimously agree that spending funds outside of the state is necessary for effective restoration.
- All decisions made by the Trustees (such as spending restoration funds) must be made by unanimous consent.

The Memorandum of Agreement and other settlement documents define a number of important terms.

"Restore" or "Restoration" means:...[A]ny action, in addition to response and cleanup activities required or authorized by state or federal law, which endeavors to restore to their prespill condition any natural resource injured, lost, or destroyed as a result of the oil spill and the services provided by the resource or which replaces or substitutes for the injured, lost or destroyed resource and affected services. Restoration includes all phases of injury assessment, restoration, replacement, and enhancement of natural resources, and acquisition of equivalent resources and services.

Replacement or acquisition of the equivalent means compensation for an injured, lost or destroyed resource by substituting another resource that provides the same or substantially similar services as the injured resource.

Enhancement means any action that improves on or creates additional natural resources or services where the basis for improvement is the condition had the oil spill not occurred.

Natural resources means the land, fish, wildlife, biota, air, water, ground water, drinking water supplies, and other such resources belonging to or managed by the state or federal governments. Examples of natural resources are birds, fish, mammals, and subtidal plants and animals.

Archaeological sites and artifacts injured or destroyed by the spill are an allowable target for restoration.

In addition to restoring natural resources, funds may be used to restore reduced or lost **services** (human uses) provided by injured natural resources. These services include (1) active, direct uses such as subsistence, commercial fishing, recreation (including sport fishing, sport hunting, camping, and boating), and commercial tourism that were injured by adverse effects of the oil spill on the resources of the spill area, and (2) passive, indirect uses, such as those derived from the intrinsic value of knowing a resource exists (e.g., the knowledge that public land that was once considered pristine has been impacted by oil).

Categories of Restoration

This plan divides restoration activities into four categories:

- General Restoration
- Habitat Protection and Acquisition
- Monitoring and Research
- Public Information and Administration

General Restoration includes a wide variety of restoration activities. Some General Restoration activities will improve the rate of natural recovery by directly manipulating resources or the environment. Other activities protect natural recovery by managing human uses or reducing marine pollution. A few general restoration activities may involve facilities. Facilities may direct human use away from sensitive areas, support other restoration activities, or replace facilities needed for access that were injured by the spill.

Habitat Acquisition and Protection may include the purchase of private land or interest in land such as conservation easements, mineral rights, or timber rights. On public land, it may include recommendations for changing agency management practices. The object of protecting and acquiring land is to prevent further injury to resources and services, and to allow recovery to continue unimpeded.

Monitoring and Research includes gathering information about how resources and services are recovering, whether restoration activities are successful, and what continuing problems exist in the general health of the affected ecosystems. It provides important information to help direct the restoration program. In addition, it will provide useful information to resource managers and the scientific community that will help restore the injured resources and services.

Public Information and Administration includes activities required to prepare work plans, negotiate for habitat purchases, involve the public, and operate the restoration program. These are necessary administrative expenses that are not attributable to a particular project. The category includes these and other day-to-day public information functions such as responding to public inquiries.

Trustee Council

The Clean Water Act requires that the President and the Governor appoint natural resource trustees to oversee the restoration funds. In 1991, three federal and three state trustees were appointed to administer the Restoration Fund and to restore resources and services injured by the oil spill. The members are:

State of Alaska Trustees

- Commissioner of the Department of Environmental Conservation
- Commissioner of the Department of Fish and Game
- Attorney General

Federal Trustees

- Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior
- Secretary of the U.S. Department of Agriculture
- Administrator of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce

The Trustees created the Trustee Council to administer the Restoration Fund. The State Trustees serve directly on the Trustee Council. The Federal Trustees have each appointed a representative in Alaska to serve on the Council.

The Trustee Council uses funds from the civil settlement for activities to restore injured resources and services. It *does not* manage fish and wildlife resources or manage land. Fish and game management decisions are made by fish and game boards, or by appropriate federal or state agencies. The Trustee Council may fund research to provide information to those agencies or other groups.

Past Expenditures

Table 1 shows the amount of civil settlement funds available for restoration. Of the \$900 million from the civil settlement, approximately \$90 million is available to fund this year's restoration projects, and approximately \$560 million will be available to fund projects in future years. However, between \$40 million and \$70 million of these funds will be used to reimburse the governments for past expenses. Not all of these reimbursements will be taken this year.

Table 1. The Civil Settlement Funds as of November 1993

Figures in Millions of Dollars

Past Payments by Exxon	Past Reimbursements, Deductions, Withdrawals & Commitments
\$340 million	<p>\$251.3 million:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$139.1 to reimburse the federal and state governments for past damage assessment, clean-up, response, restoration, and litigation expenses; • \$39.9 deducted by Exxon for costs of cleanup completed after January 1, 1991; • \$12.7 for the 1992 work plan; • \$53.1 for the 1993 work plan (including Kachemak Bay purchase, and downpayment toward purchase of Seal Bay); • \$6.5 for interim funding for the 1994 work plan.
	Amount Available to fund Projects in this Work Plan
	Approximately \$90 million.
Future Payments	Future Commitments
\$560 million by 2001	Between \$40 and \$70 million, to reimburse the governments for past expenses.
	Total Remaining for Restoration after the 1994 Work Plan
	Approximately \$420 - \$490 million
Total Payments	Total Expenditures
\$900 million	\$900 million

Assumptions

The following assumptions were used to develop a list of projects in the Draft 1994 Work Plan. The guiding document for completing this Work Plan is the draft Restoration Plan.

A Restoration Plan is being developed that will describe the preferred options to be used to restore the natural resources and services injured by the oil spill. The Restoration Plan will be a foundational document from which projects proposed for annual work plans will be developed. In the current absence of such a plan, the Trustee Council solicited public comments to select projects for 1994 from a list of titles encompassing all proposals received during the past several years. The Trustee Council also established a list of assumptions which were used to gauge the appropriateness of particular projects. These assumptions were as follows:

1. A Restoration Plan will not be completed by the time the 1994 Work Plan needs to be approved, however, a draft Restoration Plan will be completed by the time the 1994 Work Plan is implemented. The Trustee Council can approve for implementation any appropriate restoration action prior to having a draft Restoration Plan in place if that action is time critical or represents a lost opportunity. Other approved restoration projects to be implemented must be consistent with the draft Restoration Plan.
2. The 1994 Work Plan will be required to include projects contained in the 1993 Work Plan which have not been completed.
3. Direct restoration and applied studies supporting restoration will be emphasized.
4. Identification and protection of critical habitat should proceed as rapidly as possible giving priority consideration to the habitat of species directly or consequentially injured by the spill.
5. Agencies will not be funded for projects unrelated to the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill or for costs that agencies would normally fund if the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill had not occurred.
6. Restoration projects will be limited to resources that have suffered injury, which is defined as:
 - A) direct mortality: animals killed by contact with oil or by the cleanup;
 - B) sublethal and chronic effects: injuries to a life stage such as eggs or larvae, but that may not result in mortality;
 - C) degradation of habitat: alteration or contamination of flora, fauna, and the physical components of the habitat;
 - D) reduction in the physical or biological functions performed by natural resources; or
 - E) the aesthetic, intrinsic, or other indirect uses provided by natural resources that have been significantly reduced.
7. Restoration activities will be restricted to the oil spill affected area.

8. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance, if required, must be completed on all projects prior to approval by the Trustee Council.

Agency acronyms which appear in the descriptions are as follows

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation	ADEC
Alaska Department of Fish and Game	ADF&G
Alaska Department of Natural Resources	ADNR
U.S. Department of Agriculture-Forest Service	USFS
U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	NOAA
U.S. Department of the Interior	DOI
Fish and Wildlife Service	DOI-FWS or USFWS
National Park Service	DOI-NPS

For More Information

Copies of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan (With Detailed Project Descriptions) and the Draft Budget for the 1994 Work Plan have been placed at the following libraries and teleconference sites:

LIBRARIES

A. Holmes Johnson Memorial Library
319 Lower Mill Bay Road
Kodiak, AK 99615
(907) 486-8686

Alaska Dept. of Environmental
Conservation Library
410 Willoughby Ave.
Juneau, AK 99801-1795
(907) 465-5006

Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game
Habitat Division Library
333 Raspberry Road
Anchorage, AK 99811-1599
(907) 267-2314

Alaska Resources Library
U.S. Bureau of Land Management
222 West 7th Ave., #36
Anchorage, AK 99513-7589
(907) 271-5025

Alaska State Library
Government Publications Services
P.O. Box 110571
Juneau, AK 99811-0571
(907) 465-2910

Auke Bay Fisheries Lab
Marine Fisheries Service Library
11305 Glacier Highway
Juneau, AK 99801-8626
(907) 789-6010

Cordova Public Library
P.O. Box 1170
Cordova, AK 99574
(907) 424-6667

Z. J. Loussac Library
Alaska Collection
3600 Denali Street
Anchorage, AK 99503-6093
(907) 261-2975

Mineral Management Service Library
949 East 36th Ave., Room 603
Anchorage, AK 99508
(907) 271-6435

University of Alaska - Anchorage
Consortium Library
3211 Providence Drive
Anchorage, AK 99508
(907) 786-1800

University of Alaska - Fairbanks
Elmer E. Rasmuson Library
310 Tanana Drive
Fairbanks, AK 99775
(907) 474-7481

U.S. District Court Library
222 West 7th Ave., P.O. Box 31
Anchorage, AK 99513-7586
(907) 271-5655

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1011 East Tudor Road
Anchorage, AK 99503
(907) 786-3358

Valdez Consortium Library
P.O. Box 609
Valdez, AK 99686
(907) 835-4632

Kuskokwim Consortium Library
Pouch 1068
Bethel, AK 99559
(907) 543-4516

TRUSTEE COUNCIL TELECONFERENCE SITES

Chenega Bay Volunteer Teleconference
Center
P.O. Box 60
Chenega Bay, AK 99574

Cordova Volunteer Teleconference Center
Cordova City Hall
Cordova, AK 99574
(907) 424-6200

Fairbanks Legislative Information Office
119 Cushman St., Suite 101
Fairbanks, AK 99701-2879
(907) 452-4448

Juneau Legislative Information Office
Goldstein Bldg., Suite 314
130 Seward St.
Juneau, AK 99801-2197
(907) 465-4648

Homer Teleconference Center
126 W. Pioneer, #4
Homer, AK 99603
(907) 235-7878

Kenai Peninsula Legislative Information Off.
3482 Kalifornsky Beach Rd., Suite A
Soldotna, AK 99669-9728
(907) 262-9364

Kodiak Legislative Information Office
112 Mill Bay Rd., Kodiak Plaza Bldg.
Kodiak, AK 99615-6431
(907) 486-8116

Seward Volunteer Teleconference Center
Seward Public Library
Seward, AK 99664
(907) 224-3646

Tatitlek - IRA Council Office
General Delivery
Tatitlek, AK 99677
(907) 325-2311

Valdez Legislative Information Off.
Room 13, State Court and Office Bldg.
121 Hazelet
Valdez, AK 99686
(907) 835-2111

City of Whittier
P.O. Box 608
Whittier, AK 99683
(907) 472-2337

Additional copies of the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan (With Detailed Project Descriptions) and the Draft Budget for the 1994 Work Plan may be obtained from:

Oil Spill Public Information Center
645 "G" Street
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
(907) 278-8008
800-478-7745 (Alaska)
800-283-7745 (outside Alaska)

SYNOPSIS OF PROPOSED PROJECTS

The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan proposes sixty-one projects in addition to administrative support. This chapter describes how proposed projects relate to restoration.

The structure of the chapter resembles that of the Draft Restoration Plan. Proposed projects are related to the resource or service they address. Resources and services are clustered into three categories: Natural Resources, Other Resources (Archaeological Resources), and Services. Some projects benefit multiple resources or provide general support for restoration efforts. For example, they may address all marine birds or increase research capacity. Projects of this sort are referred to often and are described under the heading, "Multiple Resource Projects."

Almost all habitat protection and acquisition actions will help multiple resources and services. However, specific purchases are not proposed as part of the work plan. The Trustee Council will determine what habitat to purchase or protect on a case-by-case basis using information presented in the *Comprehensive Habitat Protection and Acquisition Process: Large Parcel Evaluation and Ranking*, and public comments. Three habitat protection and acquisition projects are proposed as part of the work plan. One provides funding and staff support to complete habitat purchases. Because no specific purchases are proposed, the cost of this project is not determined. Two other projects allow staff to gather data to evaluate individual parcels for protection or acquisition. These projects are described under the heading "Multiple Resources Projects."

Detailed descriptions of proposed projects are presented in a companion document *Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan: (With Detailed Project Descriptions)*.

NATURAL RESOURCES

The Draft Restoration Plan proposes restoration strategies for injured resources and services. Restoration strategies for natural resources differ according to the degree of recovery. Consequently, projects proposed for the 1994 Work Plan are presented in three groups: those for recovering resources, those for resources that are not recovering, and those for resources whose recovery is unknown.

Recovering Resources

The following resources are currently believed to be recovering, and are expected to recover fully over time.

Bald eagles

Black oystercatchers

Killer whales

Sockeye salmon (Red Lake)

Restoration strategies for these resources will rely primarily on natural recovery. These

resources will be monitored to track the progress of recovery and detect major reversals. Protection of these resources will allow recovery of these resources to proceed unimpeded.

Monitor Recovery: Four projects will monitor recovery of individual species. These projects will monitor all recovering resources except bald eagles, which may have recovered already. Each project is described below under the resource it addresses. In addition, Project 94159 addresses multiple species and is described on page 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects." Relying primarily on surveys from boats, it will estimate the abundance and distribution of marine birds, including black oystercatchers, in Prince William Sound during the spring and summer.

Protect Resources: The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Of the resources that are recovering, three may benefit from habitat protection and acquisition: bald eagles, black oystercatchers, and sockeye salmon. Three habitat protection projects are proposed. Also, Project 94417 is proposed to reduce marine pollution through waste oil disposal facilities in communities in the spill area and would benefit all marine and intertidal resources. These projects are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Bald Eagles. Two hundred to 300 bald eagles may have been killed in the spill. However, population estimates since 1989 indicate that there may have been an increase in the bald eagle population since the previous survey conducted in 1984. Productivity also decreased in 1989, but appeared to have recovered by 1990. Recent surveys of population and productivity indicate that bald eagles may have recovered. Therefore, no monitoring projects are proposed for 1994.

Black Oystercatchers. Injury includes reduced population and differences in egg sizes between oiled and unoiled areas. Black oystercatchers are recovering, although they may still be exposed to hydrocarbons when feeding in intertidal areas. Two monitoring projects are proposed.

Project 94020, Black Oystercatcher Interactions with Intertidal. This project will monitor changes in the breeding population of black oystercatchers in oiled and unoiled areas of central Prince William Sound. It will also determine the extent to which black oystercatchers forage on oiled substrates and the effect of residual oil on growth rates.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 38.

Killer Whales. Thirteen whales disappeared from one pod in Prince William Sound between 1988 and 1990. The injured pod is growing again. One monitoring project is proposed.

Project 94092, Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring. This project will count the number of killer whales within the injured pod in Prince William Sound, identify changes in the structure of the pod, and determine reproductive rates and population trends.

Sockeye Salmon (Red Lake). The population of sockeye salmon in Red Lake, Kodiak Island, declined because of adult overescapement in 1989. More juveniles were produced than the plankton food base could support. The plankton population was reduced, thus decreasing fry survival. The Red Lake system may be recovering since the plankton

population has apparently recovered and fry survival improved in 1993. One project is proposed to monitor and confirm recovery.

Project 94258, Sockeye Salmon Overescapement. This project is a continuation of work begun in 1990, and will include limnological analyses, measurements of food availability and fry growth and survival, and counts of outmigrating smolt. Data will be compared to unaffected control lakes and, where possible, to prespill conditions. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game will provide funds to help support this project. (Project 94258 also applies to Kenai River sockeye salmon and appears again on page 23).

Resources Not Recovering

The following resources currently show little or no sign of recovery nearly five years after the spill.

Common murres	Pigeon guillemots
Harbor seals	Pink salmon
Harlequin ducks	Sea otters
Intertidal ecosystem	Sockeye salmon (Kenai River)
Marbled murrelets	Subtidal ecosystem
Pacific herring	

Restoration strategies for these resources will emphasize finding out why they are not recovering and eliminating threats to the remaining populations. Actions to promote recovery will be encouraged where there is knowledge about why recovery is stalled. In addition, these resources will be monitored to track changes in their condition.

Research Factors Limiting Recovery: Five of the projects look at factors limiting the recovery of individual resources, specifically harlequin duck, marbled murrelet, Pacific herring, pigeon guillemot, and pink salmon. These projects are described under the resources they address. In addition, Project 94163 will study how prey availability affects distribution, abundance, growth, and reproductive success of predators, notably common murres, harbor seals, marbled murrelet, pigeon guillemot, and pink salmon. Pacific herring will be studied as a prey species. Project 94163 is described on page 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Promote Recovery: Only two projects have been proposed primarily to accelerate recovery. Both address intertidal organisms.

Monitor Recovery: Eleven projects will monitor the recovery of individual resources that are not recovering. These projects are described under the resources they address. In addition, two monitoring projects address multiple species. Project 94159 will estimate the abundance and distribution of marine birds and sea otters in Prince William Sound during the spring and summer, relying primarily on surveys from boats. This project will monitor population levels and habitat use for common murres, harlequin duck, marbled murrelet, pigeon guillemot, and sea otters. Project 94320 will develop a study plan for ecosystem research. However, research projects generated from the ecosystem study plan will be submitted separately to the Trustee Council. Research is expected to begin this year. Projects 94159 and 94320 are

described on pages 33 and 31, respectively, under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Protect Resources: Fifteen projects would protect resources that are not recovering. They include projects to protect and acquire upland habitat, reduce marine pollution, improve fisheries management, and educate resource users. Fisheries management and education projects are described below under each resource they would address.

The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Of the resources that are not recovering, eight may benefit from this process: common murre, harbor seal, harlequin duck, intertidal ecosystem, marbled murrelet, pink salmon, sea otter, and sockeye salmon. Three habitat protection projects are proposed. The subtidal ecosystem depends on marine waters and subtidal lands, which are publicly owned. Project 94417 is proposed to reduce marine pollution through waste oil disposal facilities in communities in the spill area and would benefit all marine and intertidal resources. These four projects are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Common Murres. Breeding is inhibited in some colonies, although differences in breeding patterns may be attributable to conditions that existed before the spill. Five projects are proposed. Project 94163 will investigate whether the abundance and distribution of forage fish limit the recovery of resources including common murres. Projects 94039 and 94159 will monitor the recovery of common murres. Project 94040 will reduce disturbance of common murres through education. Project 94041 would accelerate recovery of common murres, but it is uncertain whether it complies with the Draft Restoration Plan.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94039, Common Murre Population Monitoring. This project will continue to monitor trends and variation in numbers, productivity, and timing of reproduction at colonies in the Barren Islands that were affected by the spill. Data collected in this study will be compared to prespill and postspill data collected at the Barren Islands.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94040, Reduce Disturbance near Murre Colonies. Disturbance during the breeding season can increase predation and reduce productivity. This project will educate tour operators, fishing charter operators, and commercial fishermen to reduce disturbance to murre colonies.

Project 94041, Introduced Predator Removal from Islands, described on page 34.

Harbor Seals. Census counts from 1990 to 1992 at haulouts in Prince William Sound indicate that the population may have stabilized. If the population has stabilized, normal growth may replace the animals lost. However, if the long-term decline continues, the affected population may not recover. Three projects are proposed. Project 94163 will investigate whether forage fish limit recovery of resources, including harbor seals. Project 94064 will monitor the recovery of harbor seals. Project 94244 will protect the recovery of harbor seals to some extent.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94064, Harbor Seal Habitat Use and Monitoring. This project will monitor the

number of harbor seals in oiled and unoled areas of Prince William Sound in order to determine population trends. It will also characterize habitat use, and hauling out and diving behavior of harbor seals so that important habitat can be identified and properly managed.

Project 94244, Seal and Otter Co-operative Subsistence Harvest Assistance. Many Native subsistence hunters within the spill area, concerned about the apparent decline in the numbers of harbor seals and sea otters, have voluntarily reduced their take of these resources in an effort to help their recovery. However, at present, there is no mechanism in place to evaluate the effectiveness of these efforts. This project will assess the impact of subsistence harvest on the recovery of these resources, and, if necessary, work cooperatively with subsistence hunters to find ways to reduce this impact.

Harlequin Ducks. There are indications of population decline and possibly reproductive failure in oiled areas. Two projects are proposed to monitor recovery. Project 94066 will also investigate possible causes limiting recovery.

Project 94066, Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring. This project will determine if harlequin ducks continued to be exposed to oil in Prince William Sound and will evaluate continued exposure to oil as a possible cause for reproductive impairment, pending the 1993 contaminant analysis. It will also monitor harlequin duck productivity and factors that may affect it.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 33.

Intertidal Ecosystem. Intertidal organisms were affected by both oiling and cleanup, particularly high pressure, hot water washing. The lower intertidal zone and, to some extent, the middle intertidal zone are recovering. Injuries persist in the upper intertidal zone, especially on rocky sheltered shores. Recovery of this zone appears to depend, in part, on the return of the seaweed *Fucus* (rockweed or popweed) in large numbers. Four projects are proposed. Projects 94083 and 94086 will monitor recovery. Projects 94070 and 94090 are intended to accelerate recovery.

Project 94083, Monitoring of Oiled and Treated Shorelines. Recovery of intertidal organisms is occurring, but has been slower at treated than at untreated sites. This project will track the return of marine life and the loss of oil at cleaned and uncleaned shorelines in Prince William Sound. It is the continuation of a study begun in 1990.

Project 94086, Herring Bay Experimental and Monitoring Studies. This project will monitor the natural recovery of algae and invertebrates. It will also quantify the structure, population dynamics, and reproductive potential of *Fucus* in oiled and control sites to assess recovery rates, especially in upper intertidal areas. This is a long-term project that began shortly after the spill in 1989.

Project 94070, Restoration of High Intertidal *Fucus*. This project will create a model to predict which high intertidal areas have depressed *Fucus* populations due to the spill and subsequent cleanup, determine rates of recovery for those areas, and initiate a pilot project of transplanting *Fucus* on an injured beach near Knight Island.

Project 94090, Mussel Beds Restoration and Monitoring. This project will reduce hydrocarbon levels in oiled mussel beds by temporarily removing mussels, replacing

oiled sediments, and returning the mussels. It will also monitor treated and untreated mussel beds to document the differential rates of recovery.

Marbled Murrelets. This species was in decline before the spill and declined even further because of the spill. The causes of the prespill population decline are unknown, but the decline is expected to continue. Four projects are proposed. Projects 94163 and 94102 will investigate why marbled murrelets are not recovering. Project 94159 will monitor recovery of many species, including marbled murrelets. Project 94505 requests funding to complete reports on a 1993 project.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species. For a description, see page 33.
Project 94102, Marbled Murrelet Prey and Foraging Habitat in Prince William Sound.

This project will identify prey species, locate foraging areas, determine foraging patterns, and characterize important feeding habitat of murrelets. It will complement Project 94163, Abundance and Distribution of Forage Fish, by studying how murrelets use the forage fish resource and factors influencing prey availability for murrelets during nesting and chick rearing.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 33.
Project 94505, Habitat Protection Information for Anadromous Streams and Marbled Murrelets. This is the completion of a project that identified habitat features of high density nesting habitat and evaluated radio telemetry techniques for this species. This year's funding will support writing of the final reports.

Pacific Herring. Studies have demonstrated egg mortality and larval deformities. Populations may have declined, but there is uncertainty as to the full extent and mechanism of injury. However, the stocks in Prince William Sound do not appear to be healthy. Project 94165 would fund research on the population structure of herring. The information from this project may eventually be used to protect recovering stocks by improving management of the herring fishery in Prince William Sound. Project 94166 would also provide information useful for improving fisheries management, would help to monitor recovery, and would investigate factors limiting recovery. Project 94163 may provide important information on the abundance, distribution and ecological role of herring.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species, described on page 33.

Project 94165, Herring Genetic Stock Identification in PWS. This pilot project will test techniques that should provide information on the genetics of Prince William Sound herring populations that could minimize harvest pressures on injured stocks. If the study is able to distinguish herring stocks, harvests can be managed to target fishing on healthy stocks, thus taking pressure off recovering stocks while still maintaining a viable fishery.

Project 94166, Herring Spawn Deposition and Reproductive Impairment. The primary purpose of this project is to protect injured herring stocks from overharvest. Information collected by SCUBA divers on the density and extent of herring spawn can be used to estimate the number of spawning herring present, and then set appropriate harvest levels. Optimal fishery management would combine spawn deposition data with the information on genetic stock identification from Project 94165. Data on spawn deposition can also be used to assist in gauging recovery. The project can also provide

a better understanding of persistent injury to herring by gathering laboratory data on possible genetic effects caused by exposure of herring eggs and larvae to varying amounts of oil. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute funds to help support this project.

Pigeon Guillemots. This species was in decline before the spill and declined further as a result of the spill. The causes of the prespill population decline are unknown, but the decline is expected to continue. Five projects are proposed. Project 94041 would accelerate recovery, but it is uncertain whether it complies with the Draft Restoration Plan. Project 94163 will investigate whether forage fish limit the recovery of resources, including pigeon guillemot. Project 94173 will study factors limiting recovery, as well as monitor recovery. Project 94159 will monitor many species, including pigeon guillemot. Project 94506 would complete an earlier monitoring project.

Project 94041, Introduced Predator Removal from Islands, described on page 33.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94173, Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring. This project will survey the breeding population of pigeon guillemots at all major colonies in Prince William Sound, determine diets and foraging areas, monitor reproductive success, identify predators, and determine if there is persistent oiling of guillemot eggs.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94506, Pigeon Guillemot Recovery. This is the completion of a project that identified breeding areas of pigeon guillemots in Prince William Sound. This year's funding will support writing the final report on data collected in summer 1993.

Pink Salmon. Studies have demonstrated egg mortality, fry deformities, and reduced growth and early marine survival in juveniles. Populations may have declined, but there is uncertainty as to the full extent and mechanism of injury. However, the stocks in Prince William Sound do not appear to be healthy. Project 94191 would investigate why wild pink salmon stocks are not recovering. Project 94189 would fund research into the population genetics of wild pink salmon. Information from this project may eventually be used to protect wild stocks through improved fisheries management. Project 94163, which looks at forage fish abundance and distribution, could also answer questions about pink salmon recovery and may also extend beyond Prince William Sound. The other projects are designed to protect recovering wild stocks through improved fisheries management.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species, described on page 33.

Project 94184, Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pinks in Prince William Sound. In 1992, the Trustee Council funded a project for placing coded wire tags in Prince William Sound pink salmon released from hatcheries. This project would recover those tags from returning fish. It will provide information on the contribution of hatchery fish to the commercial fishery, by time and area. This information will be used to adjust commercial fisheries in-season to more selectively target hatchery fish and avoid harvest of injured stocks of wild pink salmon. Private non-profit hatcheries and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute funding to help support this project.

Project 94185, Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Pinks for Stock Identification. This project involves placing coded wire tags on wild Prince William Sound pink salmon fry, as they

leave their native streams. The tags will be recovered from the fish that are either caught in the commercial harvest or return to spawn in 1996. This information will be used to adjust commercial fisheries in-season to more selectively target hatchery fish and avoid harvest of injured stocks of wild pink salmon.

Project 94187, Otolith Marking - In-Season Stock Separation. This will begin implementing the technique of otolith marking as a more cost-effective tool than coded-wire tagging for stock identification. Hatchery fish will be marked, released, and eventually recovered under future funding to provide information on stock composition. Once fully implemented, the technique can be used as a relatively inexpensive way to adjust commercial fisheries in-season to more selectively target hatchery fish and avoid harvest of injured stocks of wild Prince William Sound pink salmon.

Project 94189, Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in Prince William Sound. This project will use genetic analysis to provide information on the population genetics of wild pink salmon. Data will be used to establish the existence and location of any wild stocks of Prince William Sound pink salmon that do not interbreed with other stocks in the area. These genetically unique stocks would then be protected by adjusting fisheries management guidelines to redirect harvests, thus preserving genetic diversity. Loss of genetic diversity decreases the long-term viability of populations and could impair the ability to recover from spill-related injuries and other environmental disturbances. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute funding to help support this project.

Project 94191, Oil Related Egg and Alevin Mortalities. This project continues to investigate factors limiting recovery of wild pink salmon by providing information on persistent egg mortalities in Prince William Sound. The project will attempt to determine if elevated egg mortalities in 1989 and 1990 were caused by oiling, and if elevated egg mortalities in oiled streams in 1991 and 1992 were caused by genetic damage to eggs due to oiling. This project will also monitor recovery by examining egg mortality rates in oiled and unoled spawning streams. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute funding to help support this project.

Project 94192, Evaluation of Hatchery Straying on Wild Pinks in Prince William Sound. This project will study the straying of hatchery fish into wild streams and the straying of wild stocks between streams. Completion of the project is contingent upon performing analyses funded under Project 94189. The data may be used to alter hatchery release strategies to avoid straying of hatchery fish, since it is possible that genetic interchange between hatchery and wild fish reduces the fitness of wild stocks and hinders recovery. Private non-profit hatcheries and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute some of the data and labor necessary for this project.

Sea Otters. The population of sea otters does not appear to be recovering, but is expected to eventually recover to prespill levels. Exactly what population increases would constitute recovery is uncertain, as there are no population data from 1986 to 1989 and the population may have been increasing in Eastern Prince William Sound during that time. In addition, only large changes in the population can be reliably detected with current measuring techniques. However, there are recent indications that the patterns of juvenile and mid-aged mortalities are returning to prespill conditions. Three projects are proposed. Projects 94246 and 94159 will monitor recovery. Project 94244 will improve understanding of the impacts of human harvest of sea otter and may protect their recovery to some extent.

Project 94246, Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring. This project will monitor the abundance and distribution of sea otters in Prince William Sound. through application of an aerial survey technique. It will also monitor trends in mortality by analysis of age, gender, and location of recovered carcasses. It will also evaluate pathological conditions through analysis of blood samples and examination of tissues.

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. For a description, see page 33.

Project 94244, Seal and Otter Co-operative Subsistence Harvest Assistance. Many Native subsistence hunters within the spill area, concerned about the apparent decline in the numbers of harbor seals and sea otters, have voluntarily reduced their take of these resources in an effort to help their recovery. However, at present, there is no mechanism in place to evaluate the effectiveness of these efforts. This project will assess the impact of subsistence harvest on the recovery of these resources, and, if necessary, work cooperatively with subsistence hunters to find ways to reduce this impact.

Sockeye Salmon (Kenai River). In part because of fisheries closures in 1989, the number of returning adult salmon exceeded the fry rearing capacity of the lakes in the Kenai River system. Smolt production declined from 30 million in 1989 to six million in 1990 and continued to decline to less than one million in 1992 and 1993. Project 94258 would monitor recovery, and the other two projects would protect recovering sockeye stocks through improved fisheries management.

Project 94258, Sockeye Salmon Overescapement. This project will monitor the recovery of juvenile sockeye in selected nursery lakes where spill-related overescapements occurred, including Kenai and Skilak Lakes. This is a continuation of work begun in 1990, and will include limnological analyses, measurements of food availability and fry growth and survival, and counts of outmigrating smolt. Data will be compared to unaffected control lakes and, where possible, to prespill conditions. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game will provide funds to help support this project. (Project 94258 applies to Red Lake sockeye salmon and also appears on page 17.)

Project 94255, Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration. This project will provide an in-season management tool that can be used to prevent overharvest of injured Kenai River sockeye populations. The project will use genetic stock information from Project 94504 to identify Kenai River stocks in mixed-stock Cook Inlet fisheries, and to monitor the harvest of the various stocks while the season is in progress. This will allow fisheries managers to protect injured Kenai River fish while allowing fishermen to harvest surplus fish from healthy stocks from the Kasilof and Susitna Rivers. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game would contribute funding to help support this project.

Project 94504, Genetic Stock Identification of Kenai River Sockeye. This is a closeout of a previously funded project, and will provide genetic stock information necessary for Project 94255. The study will characterize genetic differences between the major Cook Inlet sockeye stocks. The result will be an improved, in-season management tool to protect injured Kenai River fish while allowing fishermen to harvest surplus fish from healthy stocks from the Kasilof and Susitna Rivers.

Subtidal Ecosystem. Recovery of subtidal organisms varies by location and species. Some subtidal organisms, like eelgrass and certain species of algae, appear to be recovering.

Certain subtidal organisms, like leather stars and helmet crabs, showed little sign of recovery through 1993. One project is proposed to monitor subtidal sediments. No project is proposed to monitor subtidal organisms this year.

Project 94285, Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring. This project will monitor subtidal sediments off Kodiak Island, the Kenai Peninsula, and the Alaska Peninsula. It will determine the concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons present in these sediments. This will be the first assessment of the contamination of subtidal sediments outside Prince William Sound since 1990.

Recovery Unknown

It is not currently known whether the following resources are recovering because insufficient data are available.

Clams
Cutthroat trout
Dolly Varden

River otter
Rockfish

Until more is known about the nature and extent of injuries and the degree of recovery for these resources, restoration strategies for these resources will rely primarily on natural recovery, aided by monitoring and protective measures.

Monitor Recovery: Projects are proposed to better define the injury to river otter and rockfish and to monitor their recovery. Project 94081 will improve understanding of the injury to clams by investigating the effect of sediment disturbance on clam recruitment.

Protect Resources: The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Of the resources whose recovery is unknown, four may benefit from this process: clams, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden, and river otter. Three habitat protection projects are proposed. Also, Project 94417 is proposed to reduce marine pollution through waste oil disposal facilities in communities in the spill area and would benefit all marine and intertidal resources. These projects are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects." In addition to these four multiple-purpose projects, Project 94241 will protect rockfish through improved fisheries management.

Clams. Littleneck clams and butter clams on sheltered beaches were killed by oiling and cleanup activities. In addition, growth appeared to be reduced by oil, but determination of sublethal or chronic effects of oiling is awaiting final analyses. Recruitment of juvenile clams may also be impacted by disturbance of sediments caused by beach cleanup. Project 94081 would monitor recovery by investigating the effects of sediment disturbance on clam recruitment.

Project 94081, Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams. The high pressure, hot water wash used during cleanup activities killed clams and removed the fine-grained sands used as habitat by juvenile clams. This project will provide information on the recovery of clam populations by monitoring recruitment success on different beaches. The

results will indicate if oiling or beach cleanup created disturbances resulting in poor clam recruitment.

Cutthroat Trout and Dolly Varden. Growth rates for these species are slower in oiled areas than in unoiled areas. There are no projects specifically for Dolly Varden and cutthroat trout, other than Project 94043, listed under Recreation and Tourism on page 30. Monitoring and protection of these species will be covered under the general projects for habitat protection and restoration monitoring.

River Otters. River otters feed in the intertidal and shallow subtidal areas and may still be exposed to hydrocarbons in the environment. There are differences between oiled and unoiled areas in some indicators of health, feeding habits, and other aspects of river otter biology. These differences may indicate an effect of the spill. One project is proposed to better define the nature of the injury to river otters and monitor recovery.

Project 94237, River Otters Recovery Monitoring. This project will determine population trends and assess recovery by monitoring river otter diets and the use of latrine sites in oiled and unoiled areas. This project is based on work initiated in 1990 that documented long-term sublethal, population effects and will include an analysis of annual harvests to determine whether management actions are required to assist population recovery.

Rockfish. This species was exposed to hydrocarbons and showed lethal and sublethal effects. Furthermore, spill-related closures to salmon fisheries increased fishing pressures on rockfish which may be affecting their population. However, the extent and mechanism of injury to this species is unknown. Project 94241 is proposed to better define the nature of the injury to rockfish and protect them from overharvest through improved fisheries management.

Project 94241, Rockfish Management Plan Data Development. Rockfish are long lived, slow growing and, reproduce at a slow rate, and are thus subject to overfishing. The project would analyze the population size, growth rates, age structure, mortality rates and the effects of fishing. This information would be useful for managing commercial and sport fisheries to avoid overharvest while rockfish recover.

OTHER RESOURCES

Archaeological Resources

Injury to archaeological resources stem from increased looting and vandalism of sites and artifacts, and erosion within and around the sites resulting from cleanup activities. In addition, archaeological artifacts may have been oiled. Injuries attributed to looting and vandalism still occur. These injuries diminish the availability and quality of scientific data and opportunities to learn about the cultural heritage of people in the spill area.

Restoration strategies for archaeological resources are to repair spill-related injury to

archaeological sites and artifacts, protect sites and artifacts from further injury, and monitor recovery.

Repair Injury: Project 94007 will repair injured archaeological sites.

Protect Sites and Artifacts: Projects 94015 and 94386 will protect sites and artifacts from further damage.

Monitor Recovery: No projects are proposed for monitoring recovery of archaeological resources. Projects to monitor archaeology may be proposed in the future.

Project 94007, Site-specific Archaeological Restoration. Twenty-four sites are known to have been injured by cleanup activities or vandalism related to the spill. One hundred and thirteen other sites are thought to have been similarly affected. This project completes site-specific restoration at nineteen sites known to have been injured. Site-specific restoration plans for these sites were completed last year. The sites are located in Prince William Sound, the Kenai Peninsula, Kodiak, and the Alaska Peninsula.

Project 94015, Archaeologic Site-stewardship. This project will provide training materials and training to citizen volunteers who will monitor archaeological sites in order to prevent vandalism. Citizens in Kachemak Bay, Prince William Sound, Kodiak, and the Alaska Peninsula have indicated an interest in participating in this program.

Project 94386, Artifact Repositories - Planning and Design. This project will fund planning for a system of heritage centers in several spill-affected communities to locally house archaeological artifacts, including those taken from injured sites. The project will analyze needs and possible uses of existing facilities, and evaluate the need for new structures and for operation and maintenance. The community artifact repositories will be coordinated with existing facilities at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, the Alaska State Museum, and the proposed Kodiak Artifact Repository.

SERVICES

Subsistence

Persistent injuries to subsistence users are caused by the scarcity of some subsistence resources and a lack of confidence in the safety of traditional foods. Each year that subsistence harvesting remains below prespill levels compounds the injury to subsistence users, their communities and their culture.

Restoration strategies for subsistence are to promote recovery of subsistence as soon as possible, remove or reduce residual oil if it is cost effective and less harmful than leaving it in place, protect subsistence resources from further degradation, and monitor recovery.

Promote Recovery: In addition to projects that benefit subsistence resources, five projects are proposed to promote recovery of subsistence. They are described below. Important subsistence resources injured by the spill are harbor seals, sea otters, clams and other intertidal organisms, Pacific herring, pink salmon, and sockeye salmon.

Remove or Reduce Residual Oil: Project 94266 will remove or reduce residual oil on beaches important for subsistence use, provided it is cost-effective and less harmful than leaving it in place. Project 94090 would remove oil from mussel beds.

Protect Subsistence Resources: The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Subsistence resources and use areas would benefit from this process. Three habitat protection projects are proposed. Furthermore, Project 94417 is proposed to reduce marine pollution through waste oil disposal facilities in communities in the spill area and would benefit all marine and intertidal resources, which may be important for subsistence. These four projects are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Monitor Recovery: No project is proposed to monitor subsistence directly, although many projects will monitor recovery of subsistence resources. Projects to monitor recovery of subsistence may be proposed in the future, possibly through a comprehensive monitoring project or an ecosystem study plan. These projects are described on page 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Project 94068, Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment. In some cases, high-pressure washing of beaches during cleanup removed the fine-grained sands used as habitat by juvenile clams. This project will test a method for restoring intertidal clam populations. The project monitors recruitment of Littleneck clams at two beaches where sand is added and compares it to three control sites. If the project is able to show that replacing fine sediments on these beaches enhances recruitment success, population recovery of intertidal clams on cleaned beaches could be accelerated.

Project 94090, Mussel Beds Restoration and Monitoring, described on page 19.

Project 94272, Chenega Chinook Release Program. This project, in cooperation with the Village of Chenega Bay, would establish a remote release run of Chinook salmon at a location near Chenega Bay. The run is projected to provide 40,000 pounds of salmon annually. By enhancing chinook populations, it would provide an alternative food source for people whose subsistence resources were damaged by the oil spill.

Project 94279, Subsistence Food Safety Testing. This project would complete food safety testing begun in 1993, and fund meetings to discuss results in native villages. The program works with affected subsistence communities to test subsistence foods for oil contamination. It includes the villages of Chenega Bay, Tatitlek, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seward, Larsen Bay, Karluk, Old Harbor, Akhiok, Port Lions, and Ouzinkie. Communities may be dropped or added depending on village interest.

Project 94266, Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal, described on page 31.

Commercial Fishing

Below average returns of Kenai River sockeye are predicted for 1994 and beyond. Poor returns of pink salmon and herring in Prince William Sound caused severe impacts to commercial fisheries. While it is unclear to what degree the problems in Prince William Sound were caused by the spill, continuing injuries to commercial fishing may cause hardships for fishermen and related businesses. Each year that commercial fishing remains below prespill levels compounds the injury to the fishermen and, in many instances, the

communities in which they live or work. Restoration strategies for commercial fishing are to promote recovery of commercial fishing as soon as possible, protect commercial fish species from further degradation, and monitor recovery.

Promote Recovery: In addition to projects that benefit commercial fish resources, six projects will promote recovery of commercial fishing. They are described below. Important commercial fish resources are pink and sockeye salmon, Pacific herring, and rockfish.

Protect Resources: The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Commercial fish resources would benefit from this process. Three habitat protection projects are proposed. Furthermore, Project 94417 is proposed to reduce marine pollution through waste oil disposal facilities in communities in the spill area and would benefit all marine and intertidal resources, which may be important for commercial fishing. These four projects are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Monitor recovery: No project is proposed to monitor commercial fishing directly, although many projects will monitor recovery of commercial fish resources. Projects to monitor recovery of commercial fishing may be proposed in the future, possibly through a comprehensive monitoring project or an ecosystem study plan. These projects are described on page 32 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Project 94137, Stock Identification of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook, and Coho Salmon in Prince William Sound. This project involves recovering coded-wire tags from previously tagged hatchery stocks of chum, sockeye, chinook and coho. The tags will be recovered during commercial and cost-recovery fisheries and will provide information on the relative contributions of hatchery and wild stocks to the fishery. This information will be used to manage the fishery to more selectively target hatchery stocks, which can sustain higher harvest levels. The benefit for restoration would be increased harvest levels for commercial fishermen to replace lost opportunities.

Project 94139, Salmon Instream Habitat and Stock Restoration. This project will provide access to or improve stream habitat for four salmon species, including pink and sockeye. Six restoration sites have been identified in Prince William Sound, Lower Cook Inlet and Afognak. The project will result in increased populations of these species. Since the quality and availability of instream habitat is not known to be a limiting factor for the recovery of these species, the primary benefit to restoration will be to improve commercial, sport and subsistence fishing opportunities by enhancing populations.

Project 94259, Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration. This project will restore the natural productivity of Coghill Lake and provide a source of sockeye for commercial, sport and subsistence users. The project involves fertilizing the lake to provide nutrients for zooplankton fed upon by sockeye fry rearing in the lake. It includes monitoring the effects of fertilization on the limnology, zooplankton abundance and species composition, and sockeye fry growth and overwinter survival. Increased growth and production of fry will result in larger adult returns. Since a spill-related injury of Coghill Lake sockeye stocks has not been conclusively demonstrated, the primary restoration benefit is to provide an alternate harvest source to replace lost fishing opportunities.

Project 94280, Sound Spot Shrimp Survey and Juvenile Shrimp Habitat Identification. This

project will provide data on the abundance and life history of spot shrimp. The information could then be used to manage sustainable commercial harvests of the population. Since spill-related injury of spot shrimp has not been conclusively demonstrated, the primary restoration benefit is to provide an alternate harvest source to replace lost fishing opportunities for commercial fishermen. Other resources depending on spot shrimp for food would also benefit.

Project 94345, Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Peninsula. This project will improve the ability to estimate numbers of returning pink and chum salmon, and will allow more accurate interpretations of historic data. Estimations of pre- and post-spill salmon returns have been made using aerial observations, but this project will improve the accuracy of aerial estimates using weir counts and stream life surveys. It will provide a better means of establishing and tracking population trends and improve fisheries management. Although persistent injury has not been documented for salmon in this area, stocks are depressed. Improved management can increase populations and benefit Lower Cook Inlet fisheries.

Project 94421, Common Stock Hatchery Restoration. Prior to the oil spill, salmon released by hatcheries contributed heavily to commercial fisheries (up to 85% of salmon catches in Prince William Sound) and were a major source of food for many injured species. Because of recent poor returns to hatcheries, sale of returning fish has been insufficient to finance future hatchery operations. This project will provide operating capital to continue hatchery operations in 1994. Fish produced will help restore commercial fisheries and provide a larger food base for the injured predator species of the spill area.

Recreation and Tourism

Recreation and tourism are dependent upon restoration of the fish and wildlife resources that they rely upon. Restoration of these resources is discussed elsewhere in the chapter.

Restoration strategies for recreation and tourism are to preserve or improve the recreational and wilderness values of the spill area, remove or reduce residual oil if it is cost effective and less harmful than leaving it in place, and monitor recovery.

Preserve or Improve Recreational or Wilderness Values: The Habitat Protection and Acquisition process evaluates upland parcels for possible acquisition and protection. Most protection and acquisition actions will benefit recreation. Three habitat protection projects are proposed and are described on pages 32 and 33 under "Multiple Resource Projects." Additional projects are being considered to preserve or improve recreational values in the spill area. They are described below.

Remove or Reduce Residual Oil: Project 94266 will remove or reduce residual oil on beaches important for recreational use, provided it is cost-effective and less harmful than leaving it in place.

Monitor Recovery: No project is proposed to monitor recreation and tourism directly, although many projects will monitor recovery of recreational resources. Projects to monitor recovery of recreation and tourism may be proposed in the future, possibly through a

comprehensive monitoring project or an ecosystem study plan. These projects are described on page 32 under "Multiple Resource Projects."

Projects for Prince William Sound. In the 1993 work plan, the Trustee Council funded the Prince William Sound Area Recreation Project. That project directed the USDA Forest Service and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources to develop an integrated approach for implementing restoration projects to restore recreation and tourism in Prince William Sound. The two agencies held public meetings and workshops, and conducted a recreation survey to identify and rank projects to restore recreation and tourism in Prince William Sound. These projects will be submitted separately to the Trustee Council once the Prince William Sound Recreation Project is completed. Project 94217, Prince William Sound Area Recreation Implementation Plan, continues the recreation project to prepare a final report. Projects 94200 and 94316 are also being considered by the Prince William Sound Recreation Project and may be submitted to the Trustee Council through that effort.

Project 94217, Prince William Sound Area Recreation Implementation Plan. This project continues and completes Project 93065, funded in 1993 as the Prince William Sound Recreation Project. It takes the work of that project to the final report stage. A list of projects for 1994 will be submitted to the Trustee Council in November. A final report will be available next April.

Project 94200, Public Land Access and 17(b) Easement Identification. This project will identify easements and publish an atlas showing land ownership and legal public access for Prince William Sound, Kodiak, and the Alaska Peninsula. The information provided is intended to reduce trespass and land-use conflicts between private landowners and the general public. It will improve recreation and tourism by letting people know where public land or access exists. The project is also being evaluated as part of the public process for the Prince William Sound Area Recreation Project.

Project 94316, Shoreline Trash Clean-up for Oil Spill Area. This project will organize a one-time volunteer effort for one weekend in May 1994 to clean-up beaches that have been identified as having large concentrations of garbage either from shore use or by marine deposition. It may not cover some beaches that require more extensive work to remove existing trash. It will also design a volunteer system that could be used for future years — similar to the adopt-a-highway program.

Other Recreation Projects. In addition to projects identified by the Prince William Sound Area Recreation Implementation Project, habitat protection and acquisition projects, and the fish and wildlife projects described elsewhere in this chapter, projects described below are intended to improve recreation and tourism values of the spill area.

Project 94043, Cutthroat Trout and Dolly Varden Restoration in Prince William Sound. This project involves improving habitat for these species using a variety of instream habitat techniques. The instream techniques will stabilize banks, provide cover and areas for resting, feeding and spawning. Since instream habitat quality is not known to be a limiting factor in recovery, the primary benefit to restoration will be to improve sport fishing in Prince William Sound by locally enhancing fish populations.

Project 94216, Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development. The project extends the work being completed for Prince William Sound throughout the spill area. For the spill area

outside Prince William Sound the project will identify long-term goals for restoring recreation and tourism, and develop and evaluate project proposals for the 1995 work plan.

Project 94266, Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal. For a description, see below on this page.

Project 94419, Leave No Trace Education Program. This project would teach recreational users of Prince William Sound how to minimize their impacts on the environment.

Pamphlets, videos and classes would be developed. Recovering species and habitats in areas of the Sound used for recreation would benefit from the program.

Project 94420, Recreation Information Center at Portage. This project would fund a recreation information center at the Portage train station. The information center would educate the public about the effects of the spill on recreation and provide information about the many recreational opportunities that currently exist. Recreational users and the communities and businesses that benefit from tourism would benefit from this project.

Passive Use

Passive use of resources includes the appreciation of the aesthetic and intrinsic values of undisturbed areas, the value derived from simply knowing that a resource exists, and other nonuse values. Injuries to passive uses are tied to public perceptions of injured resources. Any project that aids recovery of injured resources or prevents further injuries will assist recovery of passive use values. No project has been identified which benefits only passive uses without also addressing injured resources.

MULTIPLE RESOURCE PROJECTS

Several projects support one or more parts of the restoration program. They are not aimed at restoring a specific resource or service injured by the spill, but instead, they support restoration activities for a variety of resources and services.

Shoreline Assessment — Removing Oil. One project is proposed to assess oiled shorelines and would accomplish additional cleanup in limited circumstances — where removing or reducing residual surface oil is cost effective and less harmful than leaving it in place. Removing residual oil would primarily benefit frequently used subsistence and recreation areas.

Project 94266, Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal. This project will survey shorelines treated during spill response activities to document the amount of remaining oil and determine its effect on shoreline activities. It will focus on areas that received the heaviest surface oiling during the spill — Prince William Sound and the outer Kenai Coast. This project will continue work completed every year since the spill.

Planning for Monitoring and Research Projects

Project 94320, Ecosystem Study Plan. In September 1993, the Trustee Council approved \$100,000 for planning an Ecosystem Study in Prince William Sound. The ecosystem study plan will identify research and monitoring projects totalling approximately \$5

million. The projects will provide understanding of the numerous ecological influences on the injured resources and services. The information gained from these will permit more effective restoration and management. The proposed individual projects will be separately submitted to the Trustee Council.

Project 94147, Comprehensive Monitoring Program. This project will provide planning and coordination for a comprehensive monitoring and research program. The project will identify which resources will be monitored, and will specify how, what, and where data will be collected. It will also include design of a quality assurance program, a strategy for review and update, and design for data management. The project will incorporate the results of Project 94320, described above.

Facilities to Support Monitoring and Research

Project 94199, Alaska Sea Life Center. This project would fund design and construction of the Alaska Sea Life Center in Seward. The Sea Life Center would facilitate other research and monitoring projects by providing a location, logistical support, and facilities that do not now exist in Alaska. It also provides two other spill-related benefits. It will include an area for rehabilitation of birds and marine mammals in the event of another spill or other catastrophe, and an area for education to increase knowledge about spill-related ecosystems. Additional funding is available through a \$12.5 million grant from the criminal restitution fund and private contributions.

Habitat Protection and Acquisition Projects. Of the three projects below, the first provides funding and staff support to complete habitat purchases; the other two allow staff to gather information for the Trustee Council to use when deciding which parcels to negotiate for protection. The following injured resources might benefit from the purchase of private land or property rights: pink and sockeye salmon, Dolly Varden and cutthroat trout, herring, bald eagle, black oystercatcher, common murre, harbor seal, harlequin duck, marbled murrelet, pigeon guillemot, river otter, sea otter, intertidal organisms, and archaeological sites. Subsistence, commercial fishing, recreation and tourism may also benefit.

Project 94126, Habitat Acquisition and Project Fund. This project establishes a fund for use in purchasing habitat during FY 1994. In addition, it provides funds for agency and contractual support necessary to complete site-inspections, appraisals, and other activities necessary to complete habitat negotiations and purchase agreements. The cost for Fiscal Year 1994 will not be known until decisions are made about individual parcels for protection or acquisition.

Project 94110, Habitat Acquisition — Data Acquisition and Support. This project provides staff support and data acquisition for habitat protection and acquisition. Staff have completed evaluation of privately owned parcels in the spill area larger than 1,000 acres whose owners have indicated an interest in having their lands evaluated for the protection and acquisition program. This project would provide further analysis of large parcels in response to comments or a need for more information. It will also provide an evaluation of the habitat benefits of small parcels and any additional unanticipated large parcels in the spill area and negotiation support.

Project 94505, Information Needs for Habitat Protection Assessment. This project finishes a project begun in 1993. The project surveys lands being evaluated for habitat protection and acquisition to determine the number and extent of anadromous streams and habitat use by marbled murrelets.

Projects to Reduce Marine Pollution. The project below is proposed to reduce marine pollution that may affect resources and services injured by the spill.

Project 94417, Waste Oil Disposal Facilities. In spite of regulations and enforcement actions, a substantial (but unknown) amount of waste oil finds its way into the marine environment. This project would fund a pilot program, based on a request for proposals, to create waste oil recycling or disposal programs in communities.

Multi-resource Monitoring and Research Projects

Project 94159, Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys. This project will conduct spring and summer boat surveys to determine the population and distribution of the following injured resources: black oystercatchers, pigeon guillemots, marbled murrelets, and sea otters. The survey will also document population and distribution of other marine birds. This survey continues work completed in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1993.

Project 94163, Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species. The abundance and distribution of forage fish is likely to have a significant affect on the recovery of injured resources. Changes in the distribution and numbers of these species may account for a reason that some injured resources are declining. This project will determine the timing, spatial distribution, and the number of important forage fish species in Prince William Sound. It will also determine how they affect distribution of the predator resources. This project will provide important information for the following injured resources: harbor seals, pigeon guillemots, marbled murrelets, common murre, herring, and pink salmon.

Predator Removal on Seabird Colonies

Project 94041, Removal of Introduced Predators. This project entails shooting, trapping, or possibly poisoning introduced foxes on Chernabura and Simeonof Islands in the Shumagin Islands, which are close to the oil spill area. The foxes remain from fur farming operations started before 1930. Removing foxes would increase local populations of common murre, pigeon guillemots, black oystercatchers, and other seabird species. The project would also benefit seabirds not injured by the spill. It is not known whether the birds that nest on these islands migrate into the spill area.

General Scientific Support

Project 94290, Hydrocarbon Data Analysis and Interpretation. Since 1989, NOAA's Auke Bay laboratory has been the central location for analyzing and storing hydrocarbon samples, and for maintaining a database to store the information for use by scientists, resource managers, and the public. The information is used to determine the source of oil (i.e., is it *Exxon Valdez* oil?), and other restoration questions. This project would fund NOAA to maintain the database, add data from the 1994 projects, and to help with interpretation of hydrocarbon results for project scientists. This project is expected to continue at approximately the same funding level (with adjustments for inflation) for the remainder of the settlement period.

SUMMARY TABLES

The following tables were created to assist you in evaluating the emphases and direction of proposed 1994 projects. Some of the project titles have been abbreviated or slightly modified in order to fit in the tables, but the project numbers are consistent throughout the work plan.

Table 1 lists projects proposed for each injured resource and service. The table also divides injured resources according to their recovery status, i.e., recovering, not recovering, or recovery status unknown. For example, you could consult Table 1 if you wanted to see all projects proposed for pink salmon, or if you were interested in all projects proposed for resources that are not recovering.

Table 2 groups the proposed projects according to the four restoration categories in the Draft Restoration Plan; General Restoration, Habitat Protection and Acquisition, Monitoring and Research, and Public Information and Restoration. The table also indicates total proposed expenditures in each restoration category.

Table 3 lists the proposed projects in numerical order. If you know the number, but not the name of the project, Table 3 will help you find the project title and budget information.

Table 4 lists the proposed projects in numerical order and gives the budget amounts separated into two categories: (1) the amount requested to complete the reports and/or studies of 1993 data, and (2) the amount requested for 1994 field work. This information will assist you in determining how much of the proposed budget is to be used for the 1994 field work and how much will be earmarked for report preparation or data analysis of data collected during 1993.

PROJECTS BY RESOURCE CATEGORY

TABLE 1

1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY

RESTORATION STATUS		1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY			Location***		Restoration Category*			Requested FFY 94**
Resources and Services	Project Number	Project Title					G	M	H	
RESOURCES RECOVERING										
Bald Eagles		No specific projects for Bald Eagles								
Black Oystercatcher See also:	94020 94041 94159	Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal <i>Introduced Predator Removal from Islands (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i>			PWS		M			\$148.9
Killer Whales	94092	Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring			PWS		M			\$163.1
Sockeye Salmon (Red Lk) See also:	94258	<i>Sockeye Salmon Overescapement (Resources Not Recovering - Sockeye)</i>								
RESOURCES NOT RECOVERING										
Common Murres See also:	94039 94040 94041 94159 94163	Common Murre Population Monitoring Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies <i>Introduced Predator Removal from Islands (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>			Kodiak Kod, Ken, AkP	G	M			\$227.2 \$44.8
Harbor Seals See also:	94064 94244 94163	Harbor Seal Habitat Use and Monitoring Seal & Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>			PWS PWS, Kenai	G	M			\$270.2 \$54.5
Harlequin Ducks See also:	94066 94159	Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i>			PWS		M			\$286.9
Intertidal Ecosystem	94070 94083 94086 94090	Restoration of High Intertidal Fucus Monitoring of Oiled & Treated Shorelines Herring Bay Experimental & Monitoring Studies Mussel Bed Restoration & Monitoring			PWS PWS PWS PWS, AkP	G G	 M M	 		\$285.8 \$616.6 \$729.4 \$774.8

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*G = General Restoration, M = Monitoring and Research, H = Habitat Protection

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

***PWS = Prince William Sound, Ken = Kenai, Kod = Kodiak, AkP = Alaska Peninsula

TABLE 1

1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY

RESTORATION STATUS		1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY					
Resources and Services	Project Number	Project Title	Location***	Restoration Category*			Requested FFY 94**
				G	M	H	
RESOURCES NOT RECOVERING (Continued)							
Marbled Murrelets See also:	94102 94159 94163	Murrelet Prey & Foraging Habitat in PWS <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>	PWS		M		\$231.5
Pacific Herring See also:	94165 94166 94163	Herring Genetic Stock Identification in PWS Herring Spawn Deposition & Reproductive Impairment <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>	PWS PWS	G	M		\$62.2 \$466.3
Pigeon Guillemots See also:	94173 94506 94041 94159 94163	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring Pigeon Guillemot Recovery <i>Introduced Predator Removal from Islands (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>	PWS PWS		M M		\$201.1 \$13.9
Pink Salmon See also:	94184 94185 94187 94189 94191 94192 94163	Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pinks in PWS Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Pinks for Stock ID Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in PWS Oil Related Egg & Alevin Mortalities Evaluation of Hatchery Straying on Wild Pinks in PWS <i>Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species (Multi-Resource Project)</i>	PWS PWS PWS PWS PWS PWS	G G G		M M	\$244.4 \$286.0 \$179.7 \$171.2 \$782.9 \$640.5
Sea Otters See also:	94246 94159 94244	Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring <i>Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys (Multi-Resource Project)</i> <i>Seal & Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance (Resources Not Recovering - Harbor Seals)</i>	PWS		M		\$418.7
Sockeye Salmon (Kenai River)	94255 94258 94504	Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration Sockeye Salmon Overescapement Genetic Stock ID of Kenai River Sockeye	Kenai Kenai, Kodiak Kenai	G G		M	\$406.1 \$854.9 \$262.2
Subtidal Ecosystem	94285	Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring	Ken, Kod, AkP		M		\$629.2

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*G = General Restoration, M = Monitoring and Research, H = Habitat Protection

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

***PWS = Prince William Sound, Ken = Kenai, Kod = Kodiak, AkP = Alaska Peninsula

TABLE 1

1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY

RESTORATION STATUS		1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY					Restoration Category*		Requested FFY 94**	
Resources and Services	Project Number	Project Title			Location***		G	M	H	
RESOURCE RECOVERY UNKNOWN										
Clams See also:	94081 94068	Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams <i>Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment (Services - Subsistence)</i>			PWS			M		\$206.7
Cutthroat Trout See also:	94043	<i>Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS (Services - Recreation & Tourism)</i>								
Dolly Varden Trout See also:	94043	<i>Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS (Services - Recreation & Tourism)</i>								
River Otter	94237	River Otter Recovery Monitoring			PWS			M		\$156.7
Rockfish	94241	Rockfish Management Plan Data Development			PWS, Kenai			M		\$233.2
OTHER RESOURCES										
Archeological Resources	94007	Site Specific Archeological Restoration			Spill area		G			\$485.6
	94015	Archeological Site Stewardship			Spill area		G			\$217.7
	94386	Artifact Repositories - Planning & Design			Spill area		G			\$243.3
SERVICES										
Subsistence See also:	94068	Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment			PWS		G			\$36.4
	94272	Chenega Chinook Release Program			PWS		G			\$57.4
	94279	Subsistence Food Safety Testing			PWS, Ken, Kod		G			\$379.2
	94090	<i>Mussel Bed Restoration & Monitoring (Resources not Recovering - Intertidal Organisms)</i>								
	94266	<i>Shoreline Assessment & Oil Removal (Multi-Resource Project)</i>								

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*G = General Restoration, M = Monitoring and Research, H = Habitat Protection

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

***PWS = Prince William Sound, Ken = Kenai, Kod = Kodiak, AkP = Alaska Peninsula

TABLE 1

1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY

RESTORATION STATUS		1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESOURCE CATEGORY						Restoration Category*			Requested
Resources and Services	Project Number	Project Title			Location***			G	M	H	FFY 94**
SERVICES (Continued)											
Commercial Fishing	94137	Stock ID of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook & Coho in PWS			PWS		G				\$261.6
	94139	Salmon Instream Habitat & Stock Restoration			PWS, Ken, Kod		G				\$572.6
	94259	Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration			PWS		G				\$324.1
	94280	Spot Shrimp Survey & Juvenile Shrimp Habitat ID			PWS			M			\$232.2
	94345	Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Pn			Kenai		G				\$219.2
	94421	Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration			PWS, Ken		G				\$5,336.8
Recreation and Tourism	94043	Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS			PWS		G				\$182.7
	94200	Public Land Access 17(b) Easement ID			PWS, Ken, Kod				H		\$38.1
	94216	Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development			Kod, Ken, AkP		G				\$164.6
	94217	PWS Area Recreation Implementation Plan			PWS		G				\$91.2
	94316	Shoreline Trash Cleanup			PWS		G				\$38.6
	94419	Leave No Trace Educational Program			PWS		G				\$167.7
	94420	Recreation Information Center at Portage			PWS, Ken		G				\$100.8
	See also: 94266	Shoreline Assessment & Oil Removal (Multi-Resource Project)									
Passive Use		No specific projects for Passive Use									

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*G = General Restoration, M = Monitoring and Research, H = Habitat Protection

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

***PWS = Prince William Sound, Ken = Kenai, Kod = Kodiak, AkP = Alaska Peninsula

PROJECTS BY RESTORATION CATEGORY

TABLE 2
1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESTORATION CATEGORY

Project Number	Project Title	Project Location		Authorized FFY 93*	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
	RESTORATION CATEGORY SUMMARY					
	GENERAL RESTORATION PROJECTS			\$3,560.8	\$13,876.8	\$5,582.6
	HABITAT PROTECTION AND ACQUISITION PROJECTS			\$1,296.7	\$2,154.8	\$217.4
	MONITORING AND RESEARCH PROJECTS			\$5,304.0	\$12,772.3	\$10,266.5
	PUBLIC INFORMATION AND ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS			\$5,975.7	\$5,535.5	\$4,525.9
	TOTAL			\$16,137.2	\$34,339.4	\$20,592.4
	GENERAL RESTORATION PROJECTS					
94007	Site Specific Archeological Restoration	Spill area		\$260.1	\$485.6	\$337.4
94015	Archeological Site Stewardship	Spill area		\$0.0	\$217.7	\$210.4
94040	Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies	Kenai, Kodiak, Ak Pen		\$0.0	\$44.8	\$34.1
94041	Introduced Predator Removal from Islands	Ak Pen		\$0.0	\$146.6	\$80.0
94043	Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS	PWS		\$0.0	\$182.7	\$0.0
94068	Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment	PWS		\$0.0	\$36.4	\$36.4
94070	Restoration of High Intertidal Fucus	PWS		\$0.0	\$285.8	\$172.5
94090	Mussel Bed Restoration & Monitoring	PWS, Ak Pen		\$668.4	\$774.8	\$395.9
94137	Stock ID of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook & Coho in PWS	PWS		\$126.4	\$261.6	\$261.6
94139	Salmon Instream Habitat & Stock Restoration	PWS, Kenai, Kodiak		\$0.0	\$572.6	\$202.2
94166	Herring Spawn Deposition & Reproductive Impairment	PWS		\$0.0	\$466.3	\$459.8
94184	Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pinks in PWS	PWS		\$220.0	\$244.4	\$244.4
94185	Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Pinks for Stock ID	PWS		\$0.0	\$286.0	\$286.0
94187	Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation	PWS		\$0.0	\$179.7	\$184.8
94192	Evaluation of Hatchery Straying on Wild Pinks in PWS	PWS		\$0.0	\$640.5	\$641.7
94216	Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development	Kenai, Kodiak, Ak Pen		\$0.0	\$164.6	\$136.7
94217	PWS Area Recreation Implementation Plan	PWS		\$72.3	\$91.2	\$0.0

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 2
1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESTORATION CATEGORY

Project Number	Project Title	Project Location		Authorized FFY 93*	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
GENERAL RESTORATION PROJECTS (Continued)						
94244	Seal & Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance	PWS, Kenai		\$0.0	\$54.5	\$54.5
94255	Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration	Kenai		\$760.0	\$406.1	\$406.1
94259	Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration	PWS		\$205.4	\$324.1	\$322.1
94266	Shoreline Assessment & Oil Removal	PWS, Kenai		\$524.2	\$973.3	\$40.1
94272	Chenega Chinook Release Program	PWS		\$10.7	\$57.4	\$36.0
94279	Subsistence Food Safety Testing	PWS, Kenai, Kodiak		\$307.1	\$379.2	\$421.5
94316	Shoreline Trash Cleanup	PWS		\$0.0	\$38.6	\$0.0
94345	Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Pn	Kenai		\$0.0	\$219.2	\$219.1
94386	Artifact Repositories - Planning & Design	Spill area		\$0.0	\$243.3	\$0.0
94417	Waste Oil Disposal Facilities	Spill area		\$0.0	\$232.2	\$399.5
94419	Leave No Trace Educational Program	PWS		\$0.0	\$167.7	\$0.0
94420	Recreation Information Center at Portage	PWS, Kenai		\$0.0	\$100.8	\$0.0
94421	Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration	PWS, Kenai		\$0.0	\$5,336.8	\$0.0
94504	Genetic Stock ID of Kenai River Sockeye	Kenai		\$406.2	\$262.2	\$0.0
CATEGORY TOTAL				\$3,560.8	\$13,876.8	\$5,582.6
HABITAT PROTECTION AND ACQUISITION PROJECTS						
94110	Habitat Protection - Data Acquisition & Support	Spill area		\$0.0	\$678.6	\$144.5
94126	Habitat Protection & Acquisition Fund	Spill area		\$0.0	\$1,032.1	\$0.0
94200	Public Land Access 17(b) Easement ID	PWS, Kodiak, Ak Pen		\$0.0	\$38.1	\$72.8
94505	Information Needs for Habitat Protection	Spill area		\$1,296.7	\$406.0	\$0.0
CATEGORY TOTAL				\$1,296.7	\$2,154.8	\$217.4

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 2
1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESTORATION CATEGORY

Project Number	Project Title	Project Location	Authorized FFY 93*	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
MONITORING AND RESEARCH PROJECTS					
94020	Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal	PWS	\$120.6	\$148.9	\$19.6
94039	Common Murre Population Monitoring	Kodiak	\$177.2	\$227.2	\$30.0
94064	Harbor Seal Habitat Use and Monitoring	PWS	\$230.5	\$270.2	\$78.4
94066	Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring	PWS	\$300.0	\$286.9	\$231.6
94081	Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams	PWS	\$0.0	\$206.7	\$206.7
94083	Monitoring of Oiled & Treated Shorelines	PWS	\$0.0	\$616.6	\$286.6
94086	Herring Bay Experimental & Monitoring Studies	PWS	\$470.5	\$729.4	\$729.4
94092	Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring	PWS	\$127.1	\$163.1	\$171.2
94102	Murrelet Prey & Foraging Habitat in PWS	PWS	\$0.0	\$231.5	\$55.2
94147	Comprehensive Monitoring Program	Spill area	\$237.9	\$112.9	\$295.0
94159	Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys	PWS	\$262.4	\$286.2	\$43.3
94163	Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species	PWS	\$0.0	\$606.6	\$709.3
94165	Herring Genetic Stock Identification in PWS	PWS	\$0.0	\$62.2	\$0.0
94173	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring	PWS	\$0.0	\$201.1	\$17.0
94189	Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in PWS	PWS	\$0.0	\$171.2	\$171.8
94191	Oil Related Egg & Alevin Mortalities	PWS	\$911.3	\$782.9	\$669.2
94199	Alaska Marine Research Institute	Spill area	\$0.0	TBD****	TBD****
94237	River Otter Recovery Monitoring	PWS	\$0.0	\$156.7	\$157.3
94241	Rockfish Management Plan Data Development	PWS, Kenai	\$0.0	\$233.2	\$233.2
94246	Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring	PWS	\$264.3	\$418.7	\$70.6
94258	Sockeye Salmon Overescapement	Kenai, Kodiak	\$930.1	\$854.9	\$591.5
94280	Spot Shrimp Survey & Juvenile Shrimp Habitat ID	PWS	\$0.0	\$232.2	\$232.2
94285	Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring	Kenai, Kodiak, Ak Pen	\$1,000.8	\$629.2	\$129.3
94290	Hydrocarbon Data Analysis & Interpretation	Spill area	\$105.5	\$130.2	\$138.3
94320	Ecosystem Study Plan	PWS	\$0.0	\$5,000.0	\$5,000.0
94506	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery	PWS	\$165.8	\$13.9	\$0.0
CATEGORY TOTAL			\$5,304.0	\$12,772.3	\$10,266.5

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 2
1994 PROJECTS LISTED BY RESTORATION CATEGORY

Project Number	Project Title	Project Location		Authorized FFY 93*	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
PUBLIC INFORMATION AND ADMINISTRATION PROJECTS						
940ED	Executive Director's Office	Spill area		\$2,391.1	\$2,340.6	\$2,133.7
940FC	Finance Committee	Spill area		\$145.4	\$165.1	\$170.0
94PAG	Public Advisory Group	Spill area		\$0.0	\$181.9	\$189.1
940RT	Restoration Team Support	Spill area		\$3,439.2	\$2,847.9	\$2,033.2
CATEGORY TOTAL				\$5,975.7	\$5,535.5	\$4,525.9
The Trustee Council is developing a new management structure. As a part of that development, the Public Information and Administration budget will be reduced by a minimum of 15% from the amount shown in this table.						

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

PROJECT BUDGET SUMMARY

TABLE 3
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	NEPA Cost*	Authorized FFY 93**	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
94007	Site Specific Archeological Restoration	ADNR	\$0.0	\$87.2	\$230.4	\$278.1
		USFS	\$13.9	\$27.3	\$130.4	\$32.2
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$34.4	\$12.1	\$0.0
		DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$111.2	\$112.8	\$27.1
		Project Total	\$13.9	\$260.1	\$485.6	\$337.4
94015	Archeological Site Stewardship	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$132.4	\$130.1
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$33.8	\$30.5
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$25.7	\$26.5
		DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$25.9	\$23.4
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$217.7	\$210.4
94020	Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$120.6	\$148.9	\$19.6
94039	Common Murre Population Monitoring	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$177.2	\$227.2	\$30.0
94040	Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$44.8	\$34.1
94041	Introduced Predator Removal from Islands	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$146.6	\$80.0
94043	Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS	USFS	\$3.5	\$0.0	\$182.7	\$0.0
94064	Harbor Seal Habitat Use and Monitoring	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$230.5	\$270.2	\$78.4
94066	Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$300.0	\$252.5	\$200.0
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$34.4	\$31.6
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$300.0	\$286.9	\$231.6
94068	Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment	ADF&G	\$2.0	\$0.0	\$36.4	\$36.4
94070	Restoration of High Intertidal Fucus	ADF&G	\$5.0	\$0.0	\$285.8	\$172.5
94081	Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$206.7	\$206.7
94083	Monitoring of Oiled & Treated Shorelines	NOAA	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$616.6	\$286.6
94086	Herring Bay Experimental & Monitoring Studies	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$470.5	\$729.4	\$729.4
94090	Mussel Bed Restoration & Monitoring	NOAA	\$0.0	\$566.4	\$354.6	\$296.1
		ADEC	\$5.0	\$0.0	\$350.2	\$26.1
		DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$102.0	\$69.9	\$73.7
		Project Total	\$5.0	\$668.4	\$774.8	\$395.9
94092	Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring	NOAA	\$0.0	\$127.1	\$163.1	\$171.2

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*NEPA costs are for FFY 94. These amounts are not included in the FFY 94 request.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 3
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	NEPA Cost*	Authorized FFY 93**	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
94102	Murrelet Prey & Foraging Habitat in PWS	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$231.5	\$55.2
94110	Habitat Protection - Data Acquisition & Support	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$476.6	\$83.0
		ADEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$12.8	\$0.0
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$120.3	\$33.4
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$25.2	\$9.5
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$43.6	\$18.7
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$678.6	\$144.5
94126	Habitat Protection & Acquisition Fund	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$298.9	\$0.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$488.0	\$0.0
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$245.2	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$1,032.1	\$0.0
94137	Stock ID of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook & Coho in PWS	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$126.4	\$261.6	\$261.6
94139	Salmon Instream Habitat & Stock Restoration	USFS	\$3.0	\$0.0	\$181.5	\$80.7
		ADF&G	\$3.0	\$0.0	\$391.1	\$121.5
		Project Total	\$6.0	\$0.0	\$572.6	\$202.2
94147	Comprehensive Monitoring Program	NOAA	\$0.0	\$237.9	\$112.9	\$295.0
94159	Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$262.4	\$286.2	\$43.3
94163	Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species	NOAA	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$455.4	\$592.0
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$95.4	\$117.3
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$55.8	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$606.6	\$709.3
94165	Herring Genetic Stock Identification in PWS	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$62.2	\$0.0
94166	Herring Spawn Deposition & Reproductive Impairment	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$279.4	\$282.4
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$186.9	\$177.4
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$466.3	\$459.8
94173	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$201.1	\$17.0
94184	Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pinks in PWS	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$220.0	\$244.4	\$244.4
94185	Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Pinks for Stock ID	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$286.0	\$286.0
94187	Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$179.7	\$184.8
94189	Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in PWS	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$171.2	\$171.8

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*NEPA costs are for FFY 94. These amounts are not included in the FFY 94 request.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 3
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	NEPA Cost*	Authorized FFY 93**	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
94191	Oil Related Egg & Alevin Mortalities	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$553.5	\$408.8	\$330.2
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$357.8	\$374.2	\$339.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$911.3	\$782.9	\$669.2
94192	Evaluation of Hatchery Straying on Wild Pinks in PWS	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$640.5	\$641.7
94199	Alaska Marine Research Institute	ADF&G	TBD****	\$0.0	TBD****	TBD****
		USFS	TBD****	\$0.0	TBD****	TBD****
		DOI-FWS	TBD****	\$0.0	TBD****	TBD****
		Project Total	TBD****	\$0.0	TBD****	TBD****
94200	Public Land Access 17(b) Easement ID	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$38.1	\$72.8
94216	Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development	DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$85.0	\$67.8
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$79.6	\$68.9
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$164.6	\$136.7
94217	PWS Area Recreation Implementation Plan	USFS	\$0.0	\$43.0	\$44.2	\$0.0
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$29.3	\$47.0	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$72.3	\$91.2	\$0.0
94237	River Otter Recovery Monitoring	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$156.7	\$157.3
94241	Rockfish Management Plan Data Development	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$233.2	\$233.2
94244	Seal & Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$54.5	\$54.5
94246	Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$264.3	\$418.7	\$70.6
94255	Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration	ADF&G	\$5.0	\$760.0	\$406.1	\$406.1
94258	Sockeye Salmon Overescapement	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$930.1	\$854.9	\$591.5
94259	Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$180.1	\$189.8	\$189.8
		USFS	\$0.0	\$25.3	\$134.3	\$132.3
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$205.4	\$324.1	\$322.1
94266	Shoreline Assessment & Oil Removal	ADEC	\$5.0	\$466.7	\$860.5	\$26.1
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$11.5	\$12.1	\$0.0
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$11.5	\$25.3	\$0.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$11.5	\$12.1	\$0.0
		DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$11.5	\$51.3	\$14.0
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$11.5	\$12.1	\$0.0
	****To Be Determined	Project Total	\$5.0	\$524.2	\$973.3	\$40.1

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*NEPA costs are for FFY 94. These amounts are not included in the FFY 94 request.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 3
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	NEPA Cost*	Authorized FFY 93**	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
94272	Chenega Chinook Release Program	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$10.7	\$57.4	\$36.0
94279	Subsistence Food Safety Testing	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$212.6	\$233.0	\$283.2
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$94.5	\$146.2	\$138.3
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$307.1	\$379.2	\$421.5
94280	Spot Shrimp Survey & Juvenile Shrimp Habitat ID	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$232.2	\$232.2
94285	Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring	NOAA	\$0.0	\$544.0	\$387.3	\$129.3
		ADEC	\$0.0	\$69.6	\$21.4	\$0.0
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$387.2	\$220.4	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$1,000.8	\$629.2	\$129.3
94290	Hydrocarbon Data Analysis & Interpretation	NOAA	\$0.0	\$105.5	\$130.2	\$138.3
94316	Shoreline Trash Cleanup	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$35.7	\$0.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$2.9	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$38.6	\$0.0
94320	Ecosystem Study Plan	NOAA	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$2,500.0	\$2,500.0
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$2,500.0	\$2,500.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5,000.0	\$5,000.0
94345	Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Pn	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$219.2	\$219.1
94386	Artifact Repositories - Planning & Design	ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$223.8	\$0.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$11.3	\$0.0
		DOI-NPS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$8.3	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$243.3	\$0.0
94417	Waste Oil Disposal Facilities	ADEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$232.2	\$399.5
94419	Leave No Trace Educational Program	USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$161.9	\$0.0
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5.8	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$167.7	\$0.0
94420	Recreation Information Center at Portage	USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$100.8	\$0.0
94421	Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$5,336.8	\$0.0
94504	Genetic Stock ID of Kenai River Sockeye	ADF&G	\$0.0	\$406.2	\$262.2	\$0.0

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*NEPA costs are for FFY 94. These amounts are not included in the FFY 94 request.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

TABLE 3
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	NEPA Cost*	Authorized FFY 93**	Requested FFY 94	Estimated FFY 95
94505	Information Needs for Habitat Protection	USFS	\$0.0	\$480.3	\$194.1	\$0.0
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$481.4	\$137.5	\$0.0
		DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$335.0	\$74.5	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$1,296.7	\$406.0	\$0.0
94506	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery	DOI-FWS	\$0.0	\$165.8	\$13.9	\$0.0
940ED	Executive Director's Office***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$336.7	\$661.7	\$444.1
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$576.4	\$637.6	\$638.3
		USFS	\$0.0	\$1,328.0	\$1,041.3	\$1,051.3
		DOI	\$0.0	\$150.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$2,391.1	\$2,340.6	\$2,133.7
940FC	Finance Committee***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$29.3	\$27.1	\$29.1
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$20.3	\$20.6	\$20.9
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$17.5	\$32.1	\$32.5
		USFS	\$0.0	\$32.4	\$36.9	\$37.2
		DOI	\$0.0	\$20.0	\$15.7	\$17.7
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$25.9	\$32.7	\$32.5
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$145.4	\$165.1	\$170.0
94PAG	Public Advisory Group***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$30.0	\$31.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$28.4	\$30.5
		DOI	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$123.6	\$127.6
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$181.9	\$189.1
940RT	Restoration Team Support***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$864.6	\$635.9	\$448.9
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$577.8	\$465.4	\$421.7
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$501.7	\$478.2	\$349.6
		USFS	\$0.0	\$825.8	\$615.6	\$341.8
		DOI	\$0.0	\$285.1	\$272.0	\$168.2
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$384.2	\$380.9	\$303.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$3,439.2	\$2,847.9	\$2,033.2
		Total	\$45.4	\$16,137.2	\$34,339.4	\$20,592.4

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

*NEPA costs are for FFY 94. These amounts are not included in the FFY 94 request.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1993 (October 1, 1992 - September 30, 1993)

The FFY 93 authorized amount does not include projects that were not continued into FFY 94.

PROJECT BUDGETS - 1993 REPORT COSTS AND 1994 FIELD WORK COSTS

TABLE 4
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER
Report Preparation and Field Work Costs

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)		1993 Report Preparation FFY 94 **	Field Work FFY 94	Total Requested FFY 94
94007	Site Specific Archeological Restoration	ADNR		\$50.8	\$179.6	\$230.4
		USFS		\$0.0	\$130.4	\$130.4
		DOI-FWS		\$12.1	\$0.0	\$12.1
		DOI-NPS		\$91.5	\$21.3	\$112.8
		Project Total		\$154.4	\$331.2	\$485.6
94015	Archeological Site Stewardship	ADNR		\$0.0	\$132.4	\$132.4
		USFS		\$0.0	\$33.8	\$33.8
		DOI-FWS		\$0.0	\$25.7	\$25.7
		DOI-NPS		\$0.0	\$25.9	\$25.9
		Project Total		\$0.0	\$217.7	\$217.7
94020	Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$17.3	\$131.6	\$148.9
94039	Common Murre Population Monitoring	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$26.9	\$200.3	\$227.2
94040	Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$44.8	\$44.8
94041	Introduced Predator Removal from Islands	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$146.6	\$146.6
94043	Cutthroat & Dolly Habitat Restoration in PWS	USFS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$182.7	\$182.7
94064	Harbor Seal Habitat Use and Monitoring	ADF&G	Project Total	\$89.3	\$180.9	\$270.2
94066	Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring	ADF&G		\$104.9	\$147.6	\$252.5
		NOAA		\$34.4	\$0.0	\$34.4
		Project Total		\$139.3	\$147.6	\$286.9
94068	Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$36.4	\$36.4
94070	Restoration of High Intertidal Fucus	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$285.8	\$285.8
94081	Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$206.7	\$206.7
94083	Monitoring of Oiled & Treated Shorelines	NOAA	Project Total	\$0.0	\$616.6	\$616.6
94086	Herring Bay Experimental & Monitoring Studies	ADF&G	Project Total	\$198.0	\$531.4	\$729.4
94090	Mussel Bed Restoration & Monitoring	NOAA		\$138.6	\$216.0	\$354.6
		ADEC		\$0.0	\$350.2	\$350.2
		DOI-NPS		\$19.5	\$50.4	\$69.9
		Project Total		\$158.1	\$616.7	\$774.8
94092	Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring	NOAA	Project Total	\$33.7	\$129.4	\$163.1

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

Data analysis and report preparation for 1993 field work.

TABLE 4
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER
Report Preparation and Field Work Costs

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)		1993 Report Preparation FFY 94**	Field Work FFY 94	Total Requested FFY 94
94102	Murrelet Prey & Foraging Habitat in PWS	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$231.5	\$231.5
94110	Habitat Protection - Data Acquisition & Support	ADNR		\$0.0	\$476.6	\$476.6
		ADEC		\$0.0	\$12.8	\$12.8
		ADF&G		\$0.0	\$120.3	\$120.3
		USFS		\$0.0	\$25.2	\$25.2
		DOI-FWS		\$0.0	\$43.6	\$43.6
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$678.6	\$678.6
94126	Habitat Protection & Acquisition Fund	ADNR		\$0.0	\$298.9	\$298.9
		USFS		\$0.0	\$488.0	\$488.0
		DOI-FWS		\$0.0	\$245.2	\$245.2
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$1,032.1	\$1,032.1
94137	Stock ID of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook & Coho in PWS	ADF&G	Project Total	\$46.7	\$214.9	\$261.6
94139	Salmon Instream Habitat & Stock Restoration	USFS		\$0.0	\$181.5	\$181.5
		ADF&G		\$0.0	\$391.1	\$391.1
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$572.6	\$572.6
94147	Comprehensive Monitoring Program	NOAA	Project Total	\$0.0	\$112.9	\$112.9
94159	Marine Bird & Sea Otter Boat Surveys	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$38.5	\$247.7	\$286.2
94163	Forage Fish Influence on Injured Species	NOAA		\$0.0	\$455.4	\$455.4
		ADF&G		\$0.0	\$95.4	\$95.4
		DOI-FWS		\$0.0	\$55.8	\$55.8
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$606.6	\$606.6
94165	Herring Genetic Stock Identification in PWS	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$62.2	\$62.2
94166	Herring Spawn Deposition & Reproductive Impairment	ADF&G		\$0.0	\$279.4	\$279.4
		NOAA		\$0.0	\$186.9	\$186.9
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$466.3	\$466.3
94173	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring	DOI-FWS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$201.1	\$201.1
94184	Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pinks in PWS	ADF&G	Project Total	\$47.8	\$196.6	\$244.4
94185	Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Pinks for Stock ID	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$286.0	\$286.0
94187	Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$179.7	\$179.7
94189	Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in PWS	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$171.2	\$171.2

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

Data analysis and report preparation for 1993 field work.

TABLE 4
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER
Report Preparation and Field Work Costs

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	1993 Report Preparation FFY 94**	Field Work FFY 94	Total Requested FFY 94
94191	Oil Related Egg & Alevin Mortalities	ADF&G NOAA Project Total	\$139.1 \$143.0 \$282.1	\$269.7 \$231.2 \$500.8	\$408.8 \$374.2 \$782.9
94192	Evaluation of Hatchery Straying on Wild Pinks in PWS	ADF&G Project Total	\$0.0	\$640.5	\$640.5
94199	Alaska Marine Research Institute	ADF&G USFS DOI-FWS Project Total	\$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0	TBD**** TBD**** TBD**** TBD****	TBD**** TBD**** TBD**** TBD****
94200	Public Land Access 17(b) Easement ID	ADNR Project Total	\$0.0	\$38.1	\$38.1
94216	Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development	DOI-NPS ADNR Project Total	\$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0	\$85.0 \$79.6 \$164.6	\$85.0 \$79.6 \$164.6
94217	PWS Area Recreation Implementation Plan	USFS ADNR Project Total	\$17.5 \$28.8 \$46.3	\$26.7 \$18.2 \$44.9	\$44.2 \$47.0 \$91.2
94237	River Otter Recovery Monitoring	ADF&G Project Total	\$0.0	\$156.7	\$156.7
94241	Rockfish Management Plan Data Development	ADF&G Project Total	\$0.0	\$233.2	\$233.2
94244	Seal & Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance	ADF&G Project Total	\$0.0	\$54.5	\$54.5
94246	Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring	DOI-FWS Project Total	\$207.4	\$211.3	\$418.7
94255	Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration	ADF&G Project Total	\$121.0	\$285.1	\$406.1
94258	Sockeye Salmon Overescapement	ADF&G Project Total	\$238.0	\$616.9	\$854.9
94259	Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration	ADF&G USFS Project Total	\$76.6 \$0.0 \$76.6	\$113.2 \$134.3 \$247.5	\$189.8 \$134.3 \$324.1
94266	Shoreline Assessment & Oil Removal	ADEC ADF&G ADNR USFS DOI-NPS NOAA Project Total	\$33.1 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$0.0 \$33.1	\$827.4 \$12.1 \$25.3 \$12.1 \$51.3 \$12.1 \$940.2	\$860.5 \$12.1 \$25.3 \$12.1 \$51.3 \$12.1 \$973.3
	****To Be Determined				

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

Data analysis and report preparation for 1993 field work.

*To Be Determined

TABLE 4
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER
Report Preparation and Field Work Costs

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)		1993 Report Preparation FFY 94**	Field Work FFY 94	Total Requested FFY 94
94272	Chenega Chinook Release Program	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$57.4	\$57.4
94279	Subsistence Food Safety Testing	ADF&G		\$56.9	\$176.1	\$233.0
		NOAA		\$54.0	\$92.2	\$146.2
			Project Total	\$110.9	\$268.3	\$379.2
94280	Spot Shrimp Survey & Juvenile Shrimp Habitat ID	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$232.2	\$232.2
94285	Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring	NOAA		\$209.4	\$177.9	\$387.3
		ADEC		\$21.4	\$0.0	\$21.4
		ADF&G		\$220.4	\$0.0	\$220.4
			Project Total	\$451.2	\$178.0	\$629.2
94290	Hydrocarbon Data Analysis & Interpretation	NOAA	Project Total	\$74.7	\$55.5	\$130.2
94316	Shoreline Trash Cleanup	ADNR		\$0.0	\$35.7	\$35.7
		USFS		\$0.0	\$2.9	\$2.9
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$38.6	\$38.6
94320	Ecosystem Study Plan	NOAA		\$0.0	\$2,500.0	\$2,500.0
		ADF&G		\$0.0	\$2,500.0	\$2,500.0
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$5,000.0	\$5,000.0
94345	Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Pn	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$219.2	\$219.2
94386	Artifact Repositories - Planning & Design	ADNR		\$0.0	\$223.8	\$223.8
		USFS		\$0.0	\$11.3	\$11.3
		DOI-NPS		\$0.0	\$8.3	\$8.3
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$243.3	\$243.3
94417	Waste Oil Disposal Facilities	ADEC	Project Total	\$0.0	\$232.2	\$232.2
94419	Leave No Trace Educational Program	USFS		\$0.0	\$161.9	\$161.9
		ADNR		\$0.0	\$5.8	\$5.8
			Project Total	\$0.0	\$399.9	\$167.7
94420	Recreation Information Center at Portage	USFS	Project Total	\$0.0	\$100.8	\$100.8
94421	Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration	ADF&G	Project Total	\$0.0	\$5,336.8	\$5,336.8
94504	Genetic Stock ID of Kenai River Sockeye	ADF&G	Project Total	\$262.2	\$0.0	\$262.2

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

Data analysis and report preparation for 1993 field work.

TABLE 4
1994 PROJECTS LISTED IN NUMERICAL ORDER
Report Preparation and Field Work Costs

Project Number	Project Title	Agency(s)	1993 Report Preparation FFY 94**	Field Work FFY 94	Total Requested FFY 94
94505	Information Needs for Habitat Protection	USFS	\$194.1	\$0.0	\$194.1
		ADF&G	\$137.5	\$0.0	\$137.5
		DOI-FWS	\$74.5	\$0.0	\$74.5
		Project Total	\$406.0	\$0.0	\$406.0
94506	Pigeon Guillemot Recovery	DOI-FWS	\$13.9	\$0.0	\$13.9
940ED	Executive Director's Office***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$661.7	\$661.7
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$637.6	\$637.6
		USFS	\$0.0	\$1,041.3	\$1,041.3
		DOI	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$2,340.6	\$2,340.6
940FC	Finance Committee***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$27.1	\$27.1
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$20.6	\$20.6
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$32.1	\$32.1
		USFS	\$0.0	\$36.9	\$36.9
		DOI	\$0.0	\$15.7	\$15.7
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$32.7	\$32.7
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$165.1	\$165.1
94PAG	Public Advisory Group***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$30.0	\$30.0
		USFS	\$0.0	\$28.4	\$28.4
		DOI	\$0.0	\$123.6	\$123.6
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$181.9	\$181.9
940RT	Restoration Team Support***	ADEC	\$0.0	\$635.9	\$635.9
		ADF&G	\$0.0	\$465.4	\$465.4
		ADNR	\$0.0	\$478.2	\$478.2
		USFS	\$0.0	\$615.6	\$615.6
		DOI	\$0.0	\$272.0	\$272.0
		NOAA	\$0.0	\$380.9	\$380.9
		Project Total	\$0.0	\$2,847.9	\$2,847.9
		Total	\$3,273.4	\$31,298.2	\$34,339.4

Dollar Amounts are shown in thousands of dollars.

**Federal Fiscal Year 1994 (October 1, 1993 - September 30, 1994)

Data analysis and report preparation for 1993 field work.

APPENDIX: PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS AND BUDGETS

Brief project descriptions and preliminary budgets have been developed for sixty-one field projects and four administrative projects which are now being considered for the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill 1994 Work Plan. The Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Restoration Draft 1994 Work Plan is divided into two parts. The first part contains summary documents and tables which show how the proposed projects address the restoration objectives identified by the Draft Restoration Plan and the associated project costs. Descriptions of the projects are given in greater detail in this appendix. The projects are arranged in numerical order, but project numbers are not sequential because of the manner in which projects were chosen for inclusion herein. Many more projects were suggested than were actually included in this plan for public review and comment. Projects which relate to the same resource or service are not necessarily numerically grouped. Table 1, starting on page 36, groups projects by resource or service. In addition, an **Index** is provided this year to help you find those projects which relate to the species or subjects of interest to you.

Please examine these descriptions and their budgets and provide comments to the Trustee Council using the tear-out form at the beginning of this document or blank paper. Brief budgets appear at the end of each project description. The title and the budget pages for each project show one or more Trustee Agencies as lead and cooperating agencies and may leave the impression that the monies for each project will be spent within the agencies. Though this is true in many cases where the agency mandate and personnel skills are mirrored by project objectives, projects to be performed by non-agency investigators will nevertheless be administered by one of the Trustee Agencies and Trustee funds will pass through this agency to the investigators. However, a number of these studies, if funded by the Trustee Council, will be seeking non-agency investigators through the Request For Proposal (RFP) process. In any of these cases, the budgets appear to be associated with a Trustee Agency because funding will be administered through the agency whether that agency actually performs the project or not.

Your comments are important and will be considered by the Trustee Council in determining the suite of projects to be performed in 1994.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Site Specific Archaeological Restoration

Project Number: 94007

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: DOI-NPS, USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$485.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$337.4K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Cook Inlet/Kenai Peninsula, Kodiak/Alaska Peninsula regions

INTRODUCTION

Assessment of all existing and accessible oil spill response documentation has revealed that there is solid evidence for substantive injury to 24 known archaeological sites that can be directly linked to the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. The sources of injury include oiling, oil spill beach clean-up actions, and vandalism. Of these identified sources, clean-up activities and vandalism appear to have resulted in the most clear-cut cases of injury to archaeological sites (e.g., loss of diagnostic artifacts, illegal excavation, disturbance of human remains). In June 1992 the Trustee Council convened a multi-agency panel of experts in the archaeology of the oil spill region, chaired by Martin McAllister. This panel gave thorough review of all available oil spill injury data and concluded:

1. Nineteen known archaeological sites were injured by cleanup activities or vandalism related to the oil spill.
2. Based on the total known sites and projected archaeological sites in the oil spill pathway supplied by Exxon Company contractors and a GIS/statistical study by the State University of New York, it is estimated that approximately 112 sites were injured by oil spill cleanup, vandalism, or oiling from the spill.

The purpose of this project is to conduct site-specific restorative actions at injured archaeological sites on federal or state lands within the oil spill pathway. Thirteen of the nineteen sites documented as injured were scheduled for attention during 1993. Restoration plans were to be produced for most sites as part of 1993 activities. Only a few sites were completely restored. Physical stabilization began on some sites during 1993 but restoration is not complete. Guidance for the proposed work is drawn from Section 14 of the

Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA). None of the planned work duplicates previous studies. The present work plan is based on the findings of those studies and carries out recommendations to the next level of restoration.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources to be restored by this project are archaeological sites injured during the cleanup phase of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Of 19 sites identified as adversely impacted by cleanup activity; four sites occur in the Prince William Sound area, four sites occur in the Kenai Peninsula area; and 11 sites occur in the Kodiak Island/Alaska Peninsula area. All were identified by the archaeology panel as impacted by vandalism or clean-up activities. Restoration examinations during the FY93 project are providing plans for restoring the sites during FY94 or have initiated restoration to be further addressed during the proposed activities.

B. Objectives

The objective of the project is to conduct site-specific restorative action at injured archaeological sites. Detailed work plans by each agency will be completed by February 28, 1994. Fieldwork will be initiated by June 1, 1994. Draft reports will be completed by December 1, 1994, and final reports completed by May 31, 1995.

C. Methods

The FY94 phase of archaeological site restoration will involve completing damage assessment at sites not adequately examined previously. Restoration will be the major activity under the project at sites where assessment was initiated or completed during the FY93 phase. Restoration will include the following measures:

1. Further analysis of injury at the balance of identified sites with no identification of additional sites.
2. Recovery analysis and curation (and where appropriate, repatriation) of any remaining archaeological resources that were exposed to or disturbed by oil spill related injury.
3. Data recovery to compensate for the loss of important archaeological information at injured sites and/or the stabilization and physical repair of disturbed areas within injured sites.

After completion of the assessment and treatment of previously known injured sites in 1994, work will be proposed for 1995 to discover additional injured sites, assess the nature and extent of the injury, and carry out appropriate treatment. The favored approach will be a "find

Project Description

and restore strategy." A problem-oriented research design will be developed to guide this inventory. The search will employ a stratified-random survey methodology to target the most likely zones to contain injured archaeological sites in need of treatment. Continuation of the oiling assessment and initiation of this work will depend on review of the first two years of the project and approval from the Trustee Council.

D. Location

This project will occur in remote areas of Prince William Sound in the oil spill pathway, on the outer coast of the Kenai Peninsula, in the Kodiak Archipelago, and on the Alaska Peninsula shore of Shelikof Strait.

E. Technical Support

Tests for the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons and radiocarbon dating at injured archaeological sites are the technical support items for this project. An estimated 100 samples of sediment from sites examined under this project will be examined by HPLC/UV fluorescence. An estimated 20 radiocarbon samples will be processed from the examined sites in order to test whether radiocarbon dating has been affected.

F. Contracts

Contracts anticipated under this project are for boat and airplane charters and contract award will follow standard agency procedures. All activities will be accomplished in-house by agency archaeologists. Those archaeologists have been actively involved in damage assessment and prior restoration efforts and are more knowledgeable of the resource than most non-agency archaeologists. They will provide continuity in the restoration process.

SCHEDULES

Approval of detailed work plans	March 31, 1994
Technical support and transportation contracts finalized	May 1, 1994
Fieldwork startup	June 1, 1994
Draft final report	December 31, 1994
Final report completed	May 31, 1995

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The federal agencies will continue to perform archaeological work necessary to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act required by normal, non-spill related activities. Those include examinations of permit locations and facility maintenance activities. No archaeological activities are anticipated in the spill area which will duplicate the proposed restoration work. No other archaeological activity is planned by the State in the spill area.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The FY93 work which is preliminary or identical to the FY94 project, was determined by the National Park Service to not constitute a major federal action affecting the quality of the human environment. The U.S. Forest Service will provide the environmental analysis for the FY94 project which is also anticipated to not be a major federal action.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The final report will consist of a standard archaeological project report as described by the Secretary of the Interior's standards for reporting. A draft of the final report will be submitted following receipt of results from sediment and radiocarbon analysis (approximately December 31, 1994).

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADNR	USFS	NPS	FWS	TOTAL
Personnel	91.3	49.8	15.7	10.5	167.3
Travel	5.9	0.0	7.7	0.0	13.6
Contractual	101.7	52.8	79.0	0.0	233.5
Commodities	7.8	10.8	2.5	0.0	21.1
Equipment	2.9	5.8	0.0	0.0	8.7
Capital outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	209.6	119.2	104.9	10.5	444.2
General Administration	<u>20.8</u>	<u>11.2</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>1.6</u>	<u>41.4</u>
Project total	230.4*	130.4	112.8*	12.1	485.6
NEPA Compliance	13.9 (USFS)				

* The amount requested for the ADNR and NPS portions of this project in FY94 includes 50.8 (ADNR) and 76.1 (NPS) that was previously authorized but not spent in FFY93.

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Archaeological Site Stewardship Program

Project Number: 94015

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: DOI-FWS, DOI-NPS, USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$217.7K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$210.4K

Project Startup Date: February 1994

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Oil Spill Area

INTRODUCTION

The Archaeological Site Stewardship program funded during FY92 developed documentation for training local residents of the oil spill area to monitor archaeological sites which are being actively looted and eroded. The 1964 earthquake caused subsidence and exposure of many coastal archaeological sites in the spill area, some of which were additionally injured during clean-up activities of the response phase. The *Exxon Valdez* oil spill brought hundreds of people into the spill area for response and damage assessment, thereby increasing public knowledge of the locations of archaeological sites. Looting and vandalism of sites in the spill area have increased. Vandalism of archaeological sites is often caused by individuals who are interested in artifacts but who are unaware of the injury caused by removing artifacts or disturbing the site. Vandalism results in the irretrievable loss of information from injury sites. Vandalized sites cannot be returned to their original condition, and the most effective counter to continuing vandalism is protection through public education and increased oversight of the sites.

Site stewardship is the recruitment, training, coordination, and maintenance of a corps of local interested citizens to watch over nearby archaeological sites. Site stewardship programs in Arizona, Arkansas, and Texas have successfully reduced the incidence of vandalism of archaeological sites. A stewardship program for the area affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill was initiated in 1992, and this proposed project would continue that program.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The sites to be monitored by local residents will be identified by land owners and managers on the basis of past and ongoing vandalism. These are already known to vandals; thus, the sites targeted will not increase existing vandal activity. Response in the Kachemak Bay, Prince William Sound, Kodiak, and the Alaska Peninsula areas indicated that residents of those areas are interested and ready to participate in this program.

B. Objectives

The objective of this project is to develop a site stewardship program to protect known archaeological sites from vandalism. Training materials for steward training will be printed and purchased by March 31, 1994. Training will be conducted between March 31, and April 30, 1994. Stewards will monitor identified sites during the 1994 field season and submit reports by September 15, 1994. A report will be compiled by the Statewide Coordinator and submitted by December 1, 1994.

C. Methods

The stewardship program is based on functioning programs in Arizona and Texas but modified for local use. The program will be managed by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, State Office of History and Archaeology (SOHA), with assistance from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the U.S. Forest Service, and the National Park Service during program implementation. The SOHA will have ultimate management responsibility for the program. The SOHA will employ a State Coordinator to coordinate and administer the network of site stewards and steward coordinators.

Agencies with land management responsibilities in the spill area, including the U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, and private landowners interested in participating in the stewardship program will enter into agreements with SOHA. The program will employ volunteers to watch assigned sites and report any changes or disturbances to the sites. A local coordinator will guide day to day efforts and recruit and train new stewards. Local coordinators will also make recommendations on future actions to land owners and the State Coordinator. The State Coordinator will provide overall direction for the program. Land owners and managers will identify sites for monitoring, help select and train stewards, and provide technical advice and assistance.

D. Location

The program is proposed to operate out of the communities of Homer, Chignik, and Chenega initially. The Statewide Coordinator will be based in Anchorage after FY 1994. Expansion of the program into other communities such as Tatitlek and Port Graham is

Project Description

anticipated once the program is active and can be shown to be advantageous to the other areas.

E. Technical Support

No technical support beyond the computers currently available in the Statewide Coordinator's office is necessary.

F. Contracts

Contracts are required for printing of training materials and possibly boat contracts to access site areas. Contracts will be awarded following standard agency procedures.

SCHEDULES

Steward training complete	April 30, 1994
Steward reports to Statewide Coordinator	September 15, 1994
Final Project Report	December 1, 1994

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

No agencies currently have existing programs. The Fish and Wildlife Service and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources are closing out the FY92 development phase of the project.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

There are no anticipated environmental impacts of the project as it will not disturb land, water, or air. It is anticipated that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will request a categorical exclusion.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The project final report will be a compilation of stewards' observations and recommendations, as well as a synthesis of their findings by the Statewide Coordinator. The Statewide Coordinator will also provide a program analysis and recommend changes to make it more effective and responsive to local needs. The final report will include a summary of program expenses.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADNR	FWS	USFS	NPS	TOTAL
Personnel	76.5	10.0	17.4	4.5	108.4
Travel	17.5	14.2	1.6	16.5	49.8
Contractual	20.0	0.0	9.6	2.5	32.1
Commodities	5.5	0.0	1.9	1.5	8.9
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	119.5	24.2	30.5	25.0	199.2
General Administration	<u>12.9</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>18.5</u>
Project total	132.4	25.7	33.8	25.9	217.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0				

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Black Oystercatcher Interaction with Intertidal Communities

Project Number: 94020

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$148.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$19.6K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 1.5 years

Geographic Area: Field data collection - Prince William Sound, AK; data analysis - Anchorage, AK; hydrocarbon analysis - GERG, Texas A&M University

INTRODUCTION

Black oystercatchers were directly and indirectly affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Oystercatchers died as a result of contact with crude oil. Additionally, disturbance caused by shoreline oiling and the subsequent cleanup disrupted the breeding activities of oystercatchers in Prince William Sound (PWS) during 1989 and 1990. Because black oystercatchers take five years to reach sexual maturity, reproductive losses incurred in 1989 and 1990 could lead to low recruitment and a population decline in 1994 and 1995. Although the number of breeding pairs increased on Green Island (oiled) from 1989 to 1992, it decreased on Knight Island (oiled) from 1991 to 1992.

Black oystercatchers were also affected indirectly by the spill. Since 1991, concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons in mussel beds have been monitored in PWS. Hydrocarbon concentrations in some mussels and their underlying substrates remained relatively unchanged between 1991 and 1992 and visible signs of oil were still present in substrates in 1993. Continued hydrocarbon contamination of invertebrates could provide chronic exposure of intertidal consumers to oil. The obligate use of intertidal foraging areas by black oystercatchers make them susceptible to chronic exposure from oiled prey.

In 1992, 10 sediment samples from oystercatcher feeding sites on Knight Island had detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons. Within sites which supported dense mussel beds the byssal thread mat clearly retained underlying oil. However, detectable concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons not only occurred in dense mussel beds but also in substrates that supported only moderately dense aggregations of mussels and lacked a well-developed byssal mat. Thus, the potential for exposure of oystercatchers to persistent oil extends beyond dense, oiled mussel beds and may even include persistent oiling in other prey species. Other intertidal invertebrate species were also collected for hydrocarbon

analysis in 1993 to ascertain the relationship between other prey species and persistent oiling.

Black oystercatchers did not avoid feeding in or delivering prey to chicks from persistently oiled substrates. During 1991 and 1992, chicks raised in nesting territories that included persistently oiled substrates gained weight slower than chicks raised in unoiled territories. This occurred despite being provided more food. Low weight gain coupled with high food consumption is suggestive of oil ingestion. To verify exposure to oil, fecal samples of oystercatcher chicks were collected in 1992 and 1993, and these are currently undergoing analysis to determine hydrocarbon concentrations.

The occurrence of detectable amounts of hydrocarbons in fine sediments underlying mussel beds scattered throughout PWS indicates that breeding oystercatchers are subject to broad risks of chronic oil exposure. Little is known on how reduced chick growth rates translate to overwinter survival of chicks and, eventually, recruitment into the breeding population. The summer of 1994 represents the first year that oystercatcher chicks fledged in the oil spill year of 1989 have the potential to enter the breeding population. Continued individual and population monitoring is needed to determine the magnitude and duration of chronic effects of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill on black oystercatchers in PWS.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resource and/or Associated Service

The resources to be studied are black oystercatchers inhabiting territories that include oiled mussel beds, and possibly, other contaminated prey, and those inhabiting unoiled territories within PWS.

B. Objectives

1. To determine if continued persistence of hydrocarbons in mussel beds, and other fine-grained substrates is being transferred to oystercatcher chicks via the food chain and is responsible for depressed growth rates during the 1994 breeding season.
2. To determine the extent of use of oiled substrates by foraging oystercatchers during June and July of 1994.
3. To monitor changes in the breeding population of black oystercatchers in oiled and unoiled areas of central PWS.

C. Methods

Observations of feeding adults will be made to document specific areas of potential exposure. All feeding sites suspected of containing oil will be thoroughly searched for the presence of oil. A list of beach segments containing visible or olfactory signs of oil will be compiled and transmitted to NOAA for inclusion as oiled mussel bed sampling sites.

To determine exposure to oil, fecal samples of chicks, beginning when they are seven days old, will be collected at seven-day intervals. Feces will be placed in a solution of dichloromethane and kept in frozen storage. All samples from each nest site will be pooled, to maximize the chance of detecting hydrocarbons, and submitted for hydrocarbon analysis. Fecal samples will be sent to the Geochemical and Environmental Research Group of the Texas A&M University for gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy determination of aliphatic hydrocarbon (AH) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) concentrations. Indices of oil contamination of feces of chicks raised in territories that include oiled substrates will be contrasted with those raised in unoiled territories.

Analyses of regurgitated prey items and blood samples have been considered as alternatives to collecting fecal samples. In both instances, these techniques were deemed inappropriate for small chicks that might already be stressed. Because hydrocarbons are identifiable in the feces of birds, the ease of collecting the material makes fecal sample analysis the most viable technique for establishing the link between oiled mussel beds and oil in the tissue of oystercatchers.

The effects of oil exposure on oystercatcher chicks will be assessed by measuring weights, tarsus lengths and bill lengths of all chicks present at the nest site at seven-day intervals. An index of growth (instantaneous change in weight/instantaneous change in tarsus length) will be contrasted between chicks raised at chronically oiled and those raised at unoiled sites.

The number of breeding pairs occurring on Green and Montague islands, where work has been conducted since 1989, and on Knight Island will be counted to monitor population changes and recruitment into the breeding population. Counts in 1994 will be graphically compared to counts made in previous years.

D. Location

Primary study sites will include Green, Knight, and Montague Islands within PWS, Alaska.

E. Technical Support

Hydrocarbon analysis and interpretation of results from fecal samples, mussel, and sediment samples will be performed by Texas A&M University and NOAA, as appropriate.

F. Contracts

Food, lodging, freezer storage, and laboratory space at the study site (vessel) will be awarded through a competitive bid. FWS currently does not have a vessel available that can support a long-term research project in PWS.

Analysis of *Exxon Valdez* tissue samples collected by FWS for the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons, including analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy of oystercatcher feces, was awarded to the Geochemical and Environmental Research Group of the Texas A&M University through a competitive, multi-year contract. The complexity of analytical procedures to determine hydrocarbon contamination and the specialized equipment needed to conduct analyses necessitates an outside contract.

Vessel maintenance and repair that requires expertise and equipment beyond what is available at the Regional Office of FWS is awarded to local Anchorage businesses through competitive, multi-year bids. Work on vessels used in this project is performed by Magnum Marine and Sea Marita Boatworks.

Warehouse space, for the storage of vessels and equipment, was awarded to an Anchorage facility through an open, multi-year competitive bid.

SCHEDULES

1994

Feb 1 - May 20	Logistical planning and safety training
May 21 - Aug 10	Field data collection
Aug 11 - Oct 31	Data entry, data analysis and fecal sample analysis
Nov 1 - Jan 29	Draft 1993 report writing and internal review

1995

Jan 30	Draft report submitted for peer review
Mar 30	Peer review comments returned to USFWS
May 31	Final report submitted for peer review

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

No other agency program monitors the interaction of black oystercatchers and oiled prey resources in the spill area. The boat survey project monitors the population of oystercatchers in PWS on a large scale. Logistical support, including a 25' boat (\$70K) and various field camp needs (\$5K), is provided by the USFWS.

Project Description

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This study is non-intrusive and primarily involves observations and infrequent handling of live birds. No birds will be collected. Samples of oystercatcher fecal material and food items will be collected for analysis of hydrocarbon content. Based on a review of CEQ regulation 40 CFR 1500-1508, this study qualifies for a categorical exemption from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, in accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A report that summarizes the 1994 data and compares it to data collected in previous years will be prepared and submitted for peer review by the designated deadline.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	FWS
Personnel	73.4
Travel	4.1
Contractual	48.0
Commodities	7.0
Equipment	2.0
Capital Outlay	0.0
Sub-total	134.5
General Administration	14.4
Project Total	148.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Common Murre Population Monitoring

Project Number: 94039

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$227.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$30.0K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 4 years

Geographic Area: Field work will be conducted on East Amatuli and Nord islands in the Barren Islands, northwestern Gulf of Alaska, and data will be analyzed at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge office in Homer, Alaska.

INTRODUCTION

Murres (*Uria* spp.) were heavily affected by the T/V *Exxon Valdez* oil spill (EVOS). Prior to the event, about 250,000 common and thick-billed murres (*U. aalge* and *U. lomvia*) nested at 27 colonies in the area of the western Gulf of Alaska covered by the spill. When winds and currents swept spilled oil through the region during April and early May, large numbers of these diving, fish-eating alcids were already aggregating in pre-breeding concentrations in waters near the nesting colonies and many of these birds died during the spill. About 75% of the 35,000 bird carcasses recovered during and shortly after the event were murres, and estimates of murre losses were in excess of 100,000 individuals. After the oil spill, fewer murres were found at the Barren Islands colonies compared to historical data, and timing of breeding was later than historical pre-spill dates. Also, based on Nord Island data, production of chicks was almost zero in both 1989 and 1990, and still low in 1991 and 1992 compared to colonies outside the spill zone.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project is designed to continue to monitor trends in numbers, productivity, and phenology of common murres at colonies in the Barren Islands that were affected by the spill.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Common murres are the injured resource that will be studied. Restoration monitoring of common murre colonies in the Barren Islands will provide further information on the degree of injury sustained by these Gulf of Alaska populations. It will also provide important information on rates of recovery of murre populations and supply a basis for testing hypotheses on why nesting events may have been delayed at these colonies after the spill (e.g., abnormally late egg-laying dates). Also, data obtained during the work can be used to better predict the extent of injuries to nesting populations during future spills (e.g., losses of birds, recovery rates).

B. Objectives

The primary objectives of this project are to monitor trends in populations numbers, productivity, and timing of breeding activities of common murres in the Barren Islands, and to compare these parameters with pre- and post-spill Barren Islands murre data and data from murre colonies outside the spill zone. The population numbers, productivity, and phenology data will be collected during June - September, FY94.

C. Methods

All murres, with the exception of chicks, will be counted on population plots established in 1989-1992 by the USFWS, a series of plots established by University of Washington researchers in 1990-1992, and several new plots established by the USFWS in 1993. The counts will be made from boats and will follow standard accepted seabird colony census protocols that take into account timing of breeding activities, time of day, and number of observers. All plots will be counted more than once, weather permitting, and subsets of plots in each plot series will be counted several additional times (up to 10) during the census for purposes of statistical analysis.

Information on timing of nesting events and reproductive success of murres will be collected from a series of land-based plots established in 1989-1992 and several additional productivity/phenology plots setup in 1993 on Nord and East Amatuli islands. Observers will make regular visits to the plots during late June - early September to obtain data on egg-laying, hatching, fledgling, numbers of eggs and chicks per nest site, and numbers of birds and adult pairs.

Murre count data will be used to test the null hypothesis that there is no evidence that populations have increased in the Barren Islands since the oil spill. The sample unit will be the sum of the counts of birds on all plots on a given day. ANOVA will be used to determine if counts differ among years. Multiple comparisons will also be made if the null hypothesis is rejected. Regression analysis will be conducted to evaluate trends in numbers over the years since the spill.

Project Description

Data on reproductive success and timing of nesting events will be compared with similar pre- and post-spill information collected at the Barren Islands by USFWS and University of Washington investigators and with data from other colonies. These data will also be used to continue monitoring post-spill trends in reproductive and phenological parameters. Statistical analysis will be employed as appropriate to make comparisons between years and between colonies.

No other alternatives are available to conduct the monitoring work and collect the types of information needed for comparisons with previous years. Methodologies used for censusing populations and measuring productivity are designed to detect and describe long-term trends. These methods follow standard procedures and protocols developed by seabird biologist working at large nesting colonies over the past 15 years.

D. Location

The murre restoration monitoring work will be conducted in the Barren Islands, located about 75 km south-southwest of Homer, Alaska between the Kenai Peninsula and the Kodiak Archipelago. Specific study sites are located on Nord and East Amatuli islands and East Amatuli Light Rock.

E. Technical Support

None

F. Contracts

A vessel will be chartered to supply camp operations and support population counts in the Barren Islands. It will be procured through standard competitive bid processes used by the Department of Interior. In addition, possibly two Student Conservation Association volunteers, will be contracted to assist field crews.

SCHEDULES

FY94 Milestones:

- | | |
|----------------|--|
| Oct - Dec 1993 | Analyze 1993 data and prepare draft and final reports of 1993 activities. |
| Jan - Mar 1994 | Prepare final report of 1993 activities; review and revise previous reports on murre restoration work to consolidate data collected by the USFWS and Exxon, archive data and population plot photos, recruit temporary personnel, plan field operations. |

Apr - Jun 1994	Refine study plan, purchase equipment and supplies, hire temporary field personnel, train seasonal employees.
Jun - Sep 1994	Occupy field camps at Ushagat and East Amatuli islands to obtain data on reproduction success and timing of nesting events at plots on East Amatuli and Nord islands.
Jul - Aug 1994	Conduct population census work at East Amatuli and Nord islands and East Amatuli Light Rock.
Sep 1994	Clean and store equipment.

FY95 Milestones:

Oct - Dec 1994	Analyze 1994 data and prepare draft report of 1994 activities.
Jan - Mar 1995	Prepare final reports of 1994 activities, archive data and plot photos.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

As part of existing Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge programs, murre populations at locations outside the spill zone will be monitored in a similar fashion for comparison.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This study is a non-intrusive study and relies on observation from boats and from observation points removed from nesting ledges. Based on a review of CEQ regulation 40 CFR 1500-1508, this study has been determined to be categorically exempt from the requirements of NEPA.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A. Backup strategy

In the event either the program manager or the project leader leaves before the project is completed, the remaining member of the study team will assume the other person's duties until a replacement can be hired.

Project Description

B. Quality assurance

Product quality will be ensured by: 1) using experienced personnel to collect and analyze data, 2) following accepted standard procedures and protocols, and 3) monitoring data entry procedures.

C. Products

A draft report of 1993 monitoring activities will be completed by December 31, 1993 and a final report of those activities will be produced by March 30, 1994. Also, a draft report of 1994 monitoring activities will be completed by December 31, 1994 and a final report of those activities will be produced by March 30, 1995.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	108.4
Travel	14.5
Contractual	57.0
Commodities	14.4
Equipment	12.6
Capital Outlay	0.0
Subtotal	<u>206.9</u>
General Administration	20.3
Project Total	<u>227.2</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Reduce Disturbance Near Injured Murre Colonies

Project Number: 94040

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$44.8K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$34.1K

Project Startup Date: November 1993 **Duration:** 3 Years

Geographic Area: Affected murre colonies from Seward to Chignik and the adjacent towns and villages

INTRODUCTION

Common murres were severely injured as a result of the spill. Over 100,000 murres were killed by the oil, and counts of birds at colonies within the trajectory of the oil indicate reduced populations after the spill. These diving seabirds have continued to demonstrate abnormal breeding behavior and low reproductive output at several sites since the spill.

Murres normally nest in dense aggregations, presumably an adaptation, which reduces the rate of predation of eggs and chicks. Social behavior within aggregations apparently is important in stimulating the onset of laying, and there is a tendency for laying within aggregations to be synchronous. Murres often respond to abrupt, loud noises by panic flights from nesting cliffs. They are especially prone to panic flights when they are not incubating an egg or brooding a chick. If a small percentage of the murres in an aggregation have laid and a panic flight occurs, eggs tend to be abandoned temporarily. In contrast, after a substantial proportion of birds have laid, incubating birds are more likely to remain with eggs even when non-breeders and pre-breeders fly. In most locations, eggs left unattended are taken by avian predators (e.g., gulls, ravens). If food is adequate and eggs are lost early in incubation, murres will lay a second clutch of eggs about 14 days after eggs are lost. Nevertheless, a lower proportion of chicks fledge from second eggs than from first. The result of panic flights, especially when such flights occur during early incubation, is reduced productivity.

The purpose of this project is to facilitate recovery of murre colonies showing indications of injury from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill by reducing disturbance of the colonies. Disturbance often results in panic flights from the cliffs, breaking of eggs and exposure of eggs to predators.

This is proposed as a three-year project designed to use public education to reduce disturbance by those who visit affected murre colonies. Fishing charter operators, wildlife tour operators, and commercial fishermen would be the primary target audiences. Meetings, news releases, radio spots, exhibits at fishing gear shows, and other media would be utilized to educate the target public on the impact of their actions on murre nesting success and a need to support recovery of spill-impacted populations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Reducing disturbance near breeding colonies during the breeding season should enhance productivity by diminishing the panic flights which leave eggs and chicks exposed to predators. Reducing disturbance may also accelerate the return to an earlier nesting phenology by reducing the proportion of pairs that are forced to lay a second clutch of eggs. The timing of chick hatching is presumably timed to coincide with maximum food resources needed to successfully rear chicks. A return to normal timing would, therefore, favor higher reproductive output and foster restoration of populations to former levels. Other seabird species would also benefit by a reduction in disturbance of the colonies.

There are few reasonable proactive approaches that will aid restoration of murres; however, minimizing disturbance will likely result in increased hatching success of murre eggs. Further, if eggs laid early are not lost, the timing of breeding events should ensure the maximum survival of young.

B. Objectives

The objectives are to ensure that 90% of wildlife tour operators, 70% of fishing charter operators, and 70% of commercial fishers in the affected area are: (1) aware that murres were injured in the spill, (2) aware that murres are experiencing difficulty recovering, (3) informed of actions that are disturbing to murres, and (4) informed that they can help murres recover by not disturbing colonies.

C. Methods

The public awareness campaign would include development of a brochure, articles in community and industry newspapers and magazines, presentations to communities and industry groups, exhibits at commercial fishing shows, radio and television spots, and automated slide shows at existing visitor contact centers in Homer, Kodiak, and Seward. The thrust of this campaign would be to solicit the target populations' cooperation in protecting injured murre colonies from further disturbance. Recommendations would be provided on how users may conduct activities in a less disturbing manner (such as gaffing rather than shooting halibut adjacent to bird colonies). The target audience would include tour boat and fishing charter operators from Seward, Seldovia, Homer, and Kodiak, as well as commercial

Project Description

fishers who operate near the colonies. Most commercial fishers live in the Kodiak, Homer, Seward, Seldovia, and Chignik areas. An additional target audience would be aircraft pilots operating in the colony areas who would be contacted through flying services and the Federal Aviation Administration.

D. Location

Activities would be conducted in the Homer-Anchor Point area, Seldovia, Seward, Kodiak, and Chignik. The colonies for which protection will be sought are Ugaiushak Island, Puale Bay, the Barren Islands, the Triplet Islands, Cape Resurrection, and the Chiswell Islands.

E. Technical Support

Existing U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service visitor centers in Kodiak and Homer and the National Park Service visitor center and/or the state's Marine Science Center in Seward would be used for exhibits, information distribution, and possible meeting sites. An effort would be made to include the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the National Marine Fisheries Service in disseminating information to commercial fishers. Existing law enforcement programs will be incorporated into the project but no new regulations are proposed.

F. Contracts

A contract for printing the brochure would be awarded competitively.

SCHEDULES

February - April 1994	Advertise and hire a lead person, solicit coordination with cooperators, plan strategies, produce brochure, schedule and develop presentations and slide show
April - August 1994	Make presentations, attend Fish Comm and other fishing shows, distribute information at visitor centers, prepare and distribute news releases
September 1994	Analyze program effectiveness, recommend modifications

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service does not have any existing programs to work with commercial fishers or charter fishing guides. Existing Service visitor centers in Homer and Kodiak could be used to support the program as well as the Kenai Fjords National Park visitor center in Seward and the Marine Science Center in Seward. The dollar value of this visitor center support is approximately \$20,000.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Based on a review of CEQ Regulation 40 CFR 1500-1508, this study has been determined to be categorically excluded from NEPA requirements.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Another restoration project, monitoring of the affected colonies, would provide a basis for judging the effectiveness of this project by supplying data on murre nesting success. Copies of all written materials, meeting notes, and slide programs would be submitted.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	DOI
Personnel	27.4
Travel	5.0
Contractual	4.0
Commodities	1.0
Equipment	3.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	40.4
General Administration	4.4
Project Total	<u>44.8</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Introduced Predator Removal from Islands

Project Number: 94041

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$146.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$80.0K

Project Startup Date: February 1994

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Western Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

Red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and arctic fox (*Alopex lagopus*) were introduced to most of the islands in southwestern Alaska for fur farming prior to 1930. These introduced predators reduced populations of native birds including black oystercatchers (*Haematopus bachmani*), common murre (*Uria aalge*), and pigeon guillemots (*Cephus columba*), species injured by oil from the T/V Exxon Valdez spill. Removal of foxes would allow remnant populations of injured species to increase or extirpated species to recolonize. The islands selected for restoration are Chernabura and Simeonof in the Shumagin group at the western edge of the trajectory of the oil, and Kagamil in the eastern Aleutian Islands, an island where fox predation is likely now limiting murre populations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Injured species affected by this project include the following: black oystercatcher, common murre, and pigeon guillemot. Other species that will benefit include storm-petrels, cormorants, Aleutian Canada geese, dabbling ducks, diving ducks, ptarmigan, shorebirds, jaegers, gulls, ancient murrelets, and tufted puffins.

B. Objectives

To restore native bird populations, particularly those injured by the spill, by removing introduced predators. Oystercatchers, murre, and guillemots are expected to increase substantially within 3 to 5 years after the removal of foxes.

C. Methods

All introduced foxes on selected islands will be killed by shooting and trapping. If authorization is obtained, M-44 cyanide devices will also be employed. Non-lethal methods are infeasible because it would be difficult to live-trap all the animals. It would also be prohibitively expensive to translocate the animals, even if a suitable release site were found.

Oystercatchers will be monitored by counting birds along entire coastlines of each island at least twice during the breeding season (May-July). Pigeon guillemots will be counted, within 2 hours of sunrise, around the periphery of each island at least twice monthly May - June. At Kagamil, murres will be counted on at least 5 days in July (mid-incubation to early chick-rearing). Counts will be made between 11:00 - 18:00 hours, the period of day when attendance is most stable at the colony. These counts will provide a basis for measuring the success of the project.

D. Location

Chernabura and Simeonof islands are near the edge of the path of the oil, and increases in populations of injured species there would aid in the restoration of populations in the spill area. Kagamil Island is west of the oil trajectory, but opportunities to enhance murre populations there could partially compensate for the loss of murres in the spill area.

E. Technical Support

None.

F. Contracts

None. The Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge (AMNWR), where all sites occur, has been involved in removing introduced foxes from islands in the past and possesses the expertise and logistic capabilities to accomplish the task. The AMNWR has successfully removed introduced species on Igitkin Island, Nizki Island, and Ushagat Island in the Gulf of Alaska. The species were successfully removed the first year with the results verified through an assessment program the next year. The AMNWR estimates that removal of introduced predators on the islands within this proposal should only take one year with verification of the results during the following year.

Project Description

SCHEDULES

Feb - Mar 1994	Plans and preparations for field camps (e.g., ordering supplies, hiring personnel, arranging logistics, training)
Apr 1994	Training
Apr - May 1994	Trapping crews placed on islands
May - Jul 1994	Fox removed, and target bird species monitored
Aug 1994	Crews picked up and return to Homer
Aug - Sep 1994	Equipment maintenance and storage, report preparation
Oct 1994	Draft report submitted to review process
Feb - Mar 1995	Plans and preparations for field camps
Apr 1995	Training
May - Aug 1995	Islands rechecked to be sure no foxes remain and target bird species monitored for responses.
Sep - Nov 1995	Equipment maintenance and storage, final report preparation
Dec 1995	Final report submitted

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

USFWS will provide field camp equipment including: boats, motors, tents, radios, etc., (approximately 50K), some ship time (approximately 20K), bunkhouse space (approximately 2K), and office space (approximately 5K), warehouse storage (approximately 2K), and staff time to recruit and train field personnel. No other fox removal efforts are planned in FY94 in the western Gulf of Alaska.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

NEPA compliance has already been completed on this project through the preparation of a 1985 Environmental Assessment addressing fox removal throughout the Aleutians and Alaska Peninsula areas and through the completion of the Comprehensive Conservation Plan for the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge completed in 1988. These reviews included

consideration of the use of M-44. No additional NEPA analysis or review would be required if this project were approved.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A report will be submitted at the end of the 1994 summer field season detailing results of predator removal efforts and providing data on oystercatchers, guillemots, murre and other nesting birds. After islands are rechecked in 1995, a final report will be submitted.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	DOI
Personnel	78.0
Travel	26.2
Contractual	0.0
Commodities	15.0
Equipment	15.7
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	134.9
General Administration	11.7
Project Total	<u>146.6</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Cutthroat Trout and Dolly Varden Habitat Restoration in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94043

Lead Agency: USFS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$182.7K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$ 0.0

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Although cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden overwinter and spawn in freshwater, these fish use nearshore and estuarine habitat for feeding throughout their lives. The highest concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon metabolites in bile of all fish sampled in 1989 were found in Dolly Varden. The larger cutthroat trout also showed higher levels of mortality in oiled than in unoiled areas.

Tagging studies demonstrated that the annual mortality of adult Dolly Varden in oiled areas was 32 percent greater than in unoiled areas. In 1989-1990, there was 57 percent greater mortality, and in 1990-1991, a 65 percent greater mortality, in oiled streams versus unoiled streams. Due to uncertainties of straying these figures are not realistically significant.

Cutthroat trout growth rates in oiled areas were 68 percent in 1989-1990 and 71 percent in 1990-1991 of those in unoiled areas. Although concentrations of bile hydrocarbons were greatly reduced in 1990 and 1991, indicating less exposure to oil, it is unclear why differences persist in survival rates between oiled and unoiled streams.

Prince William Sound (PWS) is the northern extent of the range of cutthroat trout. The cutthroat stocks known to exist within PWS are few in number, rarely number more than 1,000 individuals, and are geographically isolated from each other. Of 143 streams surveyed for spawning salmon in PWS in 1989, only 10 contained anadromous cutthroat trout. These fish have a limited home range and do not migrate over great expanses of water. These small populations are vulnerable to exploitation and habitat alterations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden will primarily benefit. Sport fishing for these species will improve.

B. Objectives

The objectives are to restore, improve, and enhance cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden habitat in PWS.

C. Methods

This project entails the use of some or all of the approved instream habitat techniques, including: channel blocks, boulder placement, cover logs and root wads, tree cover, bank cribs with cover logs, logs and bank shelters, single-wing and double wing deflectors, deflectors and cover logs, channel constrictors, cross logs and revetments, wedge dams, and K dams.

Channel Blocks:

Channel blocks consolidate braided channels into a single, deeper channel and, subsequently, create additional fish holding habitat. These structures may also be used to maintain stream meanders where flood flows have eroded a channel through the meander. These structures hold normal or moderately high flows in the meander channels, but still allow flood waters to overflow in the blocked channels.

Boulder Placement:

Boulders provide overhead cover and resting areas. Depth is also increased by scouring as a result of reduced channel capacity and increased velocity.

Cover Logs and Rootwads:

These structures provide overhead cover in sections of stream where existing water depth may be adequate but cover is lacking.

Tree Covers:

Trees placed in proper locations provide excellent overhead cover and an ideal substrate for aquatic organisms. In addition, trees serve as deflectors which constrict wide, shallow channels and increase stream velocity. This results in sediment flushing and the creation of deeper scour pools.

Bank Cribs with Cover Logs:

These structures protect unstable banks, while at the same time providing excellent overhead cover for fish.

Log and Bank Shelters:

Log and bank shelters provides overhead cover. Some streambank protection is also provided, although less than with cribs. Brush and other woody material attached to the platforms provide additional benefit by harboring insects and other fish food organisms.

Single-Wing Deflectors:

These structures constrict and divert water flow so that stream meanders and pools are formed by scouring and relocation of fine sediment and gravel.

Double-Wing Deflectors:

Double-wing deflectors create midchannel pools through scouring action in shallow sections of streams.

Deflectors and Cover Logs:

Deflector and cover logs are similar to a single-wing deflectors. Cover logs ensure bank stability where suitable boulders, tree stumps, or stable banks are lacking.

Channel Constrictors:

These structures serve as modified deflectors designed to create overhead cover similar to that provided by undercut banks.

Cross Log and Revetment:

Cross log and revetments create scour pools by the action of water pouring over or under cross logs. Revetment logs create overhead cover and protect the bank at the same time.

Wedge Dam and K Dam:

These structures create pools or deeper water through scouring action in shallow sections of stream. In continuous, steep gradients, the short, upstream break in gradient also provides resting area, often holding more fish than the deeper pool below. The quiet water above the structure and the edges of the pool below also act as a trap for organic material used as food by stream invertebrates.

Gabions:

Where proper size rocks are available, gabions can be used in lieu of logs for structure designs such as deflectors, dams, and bank abutments.

D. Location

Eshamy River, Eshamy Bay, western shore of PWS

Gumboot Creek, Eshamy Bay, western shore of PWS

Stream Number 508, Eshamy Bay, western shore of PWS

Stream Number 509, Eshamy Bay, western shore of PWS

Otter Creek and Lake, Bay of Isles, Knight Island

Sockeye Creek and Lake, Bay of Isles, Knight Island

Miners Creek and Lake, Unakwik Inlet, northern shore of PWS

Shrode Creek and Lake, western shore of PWS

Rocky Creek, Rocky Bay, northwestern shore of Montague Island

E. Technical Support

None.

F. Contracts

None.

Project Description

SCHEDULES

Table 1: Anticipated schedule of events for proposed projects.

Activity	Time Period
NEPA scoping & writing	10/93 - 01/94
Habitat surveys	02/94 - 07/94
Stream monitoring	02/94 - 06/94
Final design & planning	02/94 - 06/94
Project construction	05/94 - 08/94
Estimate fish abundance & distribution	08/94 - 11/94
Analyze data, write project report	10/94 - 04/95
Submit annual project report	04/95

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

An EA will be required for each of the projects. Land owners at each location are as follows:

Project Location	Land Owner
Eshamy Creek & Lake	Chenega Village Corp., coordination with land owner
Gumboot Creek	Chenega Village Corp., coordination with land owner
Stream Number 508	Chenega Village Corp., coordination with land owner
Stream Number 509	Chenega Village Corp., coordination with land owner
Otter Creek and Lake	USFS land, within Wilderness Study Area
Sockeye Creek and Lake	CAC land, within Wilderness
Miners Creek and Lake	USFS land, within Wilderness Study Area
Shrode Creek and Lake	USFS land, within Wilderness Study Area
Rocky Creek	USFS land

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The number and distribution of cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden will be monitored in the study areas and a report will be submitted to the Trustees.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFS
Personnel	112.6
Travel	0.8
Contractual	14.3
Commodities	17.7
Equipment	19.4
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	164.8
General Administration	<u>17.9</u>
Project Total	182.7
NEPA Compliance	3.5

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Harbor Seals Habitat Use and Monitoring

Project Number: 94064

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$270.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$78.4K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Harbor seals are year-round residents of Prince William Sound (PWS) where they pup, breed, molt, and can often be seen hauled out on rocks, reefs, beaches, and glacial ice. During extensive surveys of PWS in 1991, 2,500 harbor seals were counted on haulouts. Another 1,700 were counted in the Copper River Delta and Orca Inlet. From 1984 to 1988, harbor seal numbers at trend sites in PWS declined by over 40% due to unknown causes. The decline continued in 1989-1990, exacerbated in oiled areas by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill; 1990 counts were 57% lower than in 1984. Molting surveys in 1991 and 1992 suggest that numbers may have stabilized. However, pupping counts continued to decline: 1992 counts were 29% lower than those in 1990.

Harbor seals are important to Alaskan native residents of PWS for subsistence use. In 1985-1988, harbor seals made up 13%-27% of the total harvest of subsistence foods in Tatitlek and Chenega Bay. In addition to subsistence use, harbor seals are watched by tourists and other recreational users of PWS. Some harbor seals interact with--and are incidentally killed--by commercial fishing activities. Like all marine mammals, they have federal protection under the Marine Mammal Protection Act. If the decline continues or if current population data are not available, harbor seals could be placed in a more restrictive legal classification.

Following the spill, counts of harbor seals at oiled trend-count sites declined by 43%, compared to 11% at unoiled sites, suggesting that over 30% of the seals at oiled haulouts died due to the spill. It is likely that 200-300 harbor seals were killed by the spill in PWS. Harbor seals encountered oil in the water and on haulouts and some became heavily oiled. Abnormally behaving seals were observed in oiled areas. Some oiled seals developed serious and probably lethal lesions in the brain. Elevated levels of hydrocarbons in bile of seals from oiled sites clearly indicated that seals had been exposed to and had assimilated oil into their tissues.

This study will take place in PWS and will provide information on trends in abundance, biology of the seals, and insight into possible causes for the decline. Data will benefit residents of Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, and other PWS communities that use harbor seals for subsistence. This data will also benefit PWS fishermen by ensuring that unnecessarily restrictive incidental take measures for harbor seals are not implemented due to lack of data. Information from this study may lead to management actions which will ensure that human activities do not have further impacts on harbor seals.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources/services which may benefit from this study include harbor seals, subsistence users, tourism and recreational viewing of harbor seals, and fisheries as they are also affected by harbor seal population status.

It cannot be assumed that the number of seals in oiled areas will return naturally to pre-spill levels. It is necessary to have current data to know whether seal numbers in PWS have stabilized or are continuing to decline following the spill. However, count data alone are of little help in explaining causes for the decline or designing conservation and management measures to facilitate recovery. There is no information on site fidelity, movements between sites, seasonal changes, habitats used for feeding, or feeding behavior. It is clear based on data from harbor seals that were satellite-tagged in spring 1992 and 1993 that some seals in PWS make unexpectedly long movements in relatively short periods of time, and that PWS seals spend more time in the Gulf of Alaska and the Copper River Delta than was anticipated. Areas of particular biological significance must be identified and appropriately managed in order to augment recovery in any way possible.

Under federal law, subsistence is the priority use of marine mammals. Data on seal abundance should be made available to the appropriate management agencies and shared with PWS residents so that hunters can self-regulate the seal harvest to ensure that the harvest is sustainable. If data are not current and adequate to determine that subsistence takes and fisheries removals are sustainable, this could result in very restrictive incidental take regulations for PWS salmon fisheries. While it is not clear what caused the declines prior to the spill, there is little question that the spill compounded the decline. Consequently, post-spill monitoring must continue until residual effects of the spill on harbor seal abundance are no longer evident.

B. Objectives

This study is designed to monitor the abundance and trends of harbor seals in oiled and unoled areas of PWS in order to determine population trends following the spill. Additionally, this study will characterize habitat use and hauling out and diving behavior of harbor seals so that important habitat can be identified and properly managed. The objectives are as follows:

Project Description

1. Conduct aerial surveys of harbor seals at 25 trend count sites in PWS during pupping and molting in 1994;
2. Compare data from these surveys to data collected following the spill to determine whether counts of seals are increasing or decreasing;
3. Describe hauling out and diving behavior of satellite-tagged seals in PWS relative to date, time of day, and tide;
4. Describe use and frequency of movements between haulouts; and
5. Determine movement patterns within PWS and between PWS and adjacent areas.

C. Methods

The 1994 field study will include aerial surveys and satellite tagging. At the end of the 1994 season, data will be evaluated to determine whether another field season is desirable. If not, final data analysis and reporting will take place in 1995. Harbor seal abundance will be monitored by flying aerial surveys during pupping (June) and molting (August/September). A fixed-wing aircraft will be used to fly a survey of 25 trend count sites at an altitude of 700 feet. These 25 sites have been used for PWS harbor seal trend counts since 1984, including Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) and restoration studies in 1989-1993. The observer will count all seals and photograph large groups. Pups will be counted separately in June. Attempts will be made to survey each site 7-10 times during a survey period in order to reduce statistical variance of the counts. Methodology and observers will be the same as those used in 1989-1993. Several surveys will also be conducted of seals in the Copper River Delta to facilitate understanding of the relationship between seal counts in PWS and the Delta. Counts will be compared to data collected prior to and during the spill in order to document whether and how rapidly recovery in the oiled area occurs. Project investigators will travel to Chenega Bay and Tatitlek at least once each year to exchange information with village residents about survey results.

Satellite-linked time-depth recorders (Platform Terminal Transmitters or "PTTs") will be attached to 12 seals per year (6 each in spring and autumn) at a variety of locations in PWS in order to better evaluate geographical and seasonal differences in movements and behavior. Seals will be caught by entanglement in nets placed near haulouts and PTTs will be glued to their backs with epoxy resin. Each PTT will transmit signals to polar-orbiting satellites whenever the seal is hauled out or when it surfaces for a sufficient time. Sensor information will indicate when the animal is hauled out and dive depth and duration. PTTs will be shed during the annual molt in autumn. This project has a high probability of success. During 1991-1993, PTTs were attached to 14 seals and data were received for 3-67 days. Several seals made substantial movements within PWS and to the Gulf of Alaska and the Copper River Delta.

Aerial survey data will be analyzed using the means of site counts. Between-year comparisons of pup production and abundance during the fall molt will be done using logit and loglinear categorical models and bootstrapping. Data on geographic location and movements will be plotted using a computerized Geographic Information System (GIS). Rates of movement and average lengths and depths of dives will be calculated depending on location, date, and size of the seal. Hauling out periods relative to tidal stage will be examined by analyzing sensor data that indicates whether the seal is on land or at sea.

D. Location

Aerial surveys will be conducted of 25 trend count sites in PWS. Tagging work will take place at a variety of locations, with particular effort to attach tags to seals in southwestern PWS.

E. Technical Support

Computer, GIS, and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) Division of Wildlife Conservation. No hydrocarbon analyses will be needed.

F. Contracts

Cost of acquiring PTT data from Service ARGOS are paid through a contract with the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). This contract covers all ADF&G Wildlife satellite tagging projects and is processed by the Division of Wildlife Conservation. Charter aircraft for surveys will not require a contract. Vessel support for tagging work will utilize a small vessels contract which is completed by the Principal Investigator. Satellite PTTs will be purchased under contract award from Wildlife Computers. This project will contribute funds to a Reimbursable Service Agreement (RSA) with University of Alaska, Fairbanks for blood physiology work on harbor seals in PWS, southeastern Alaska, and the Kodiak area.

SCHEDULES

This project will be conducted during 1994, with either a recommendation for additional field monitoring or submission of a final report in 1995. Aerial surveys will be conducted during June and August/September of each year. Each survey period will be 7-14 days, depending on weather and tides. One of the investigators will visit Chenega Bay and Tatitlek once a year to discuss survey results with residents. Satellite tags will be attached during 10-14 day periods in May and September of each year. Because a lead time of 3-6 months is required to obtain PTTs, they will have to be ordered by January 1994. Satellite data acquisition costs must be prepaid in early 1994. Data are received monthly and preliminary analysis will begin as soon as data diskettes are received. Final analyses cannot be completed until the PTTs have ceased to function (April-June 1995). A report of field activities will be submitted in

Project Description

letter form within 30 days following any field activity. An annual progress report will be submitted by February 28, 1995 if requested by the Restoration Team, but due to the potential receipt of data as late as June, 1995, a final report may be delayed until December 31, 1995. Results will be prepared for publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

Dates	Activity
Jan, Feb 1994	Order PTTs
Feb 1994	Coordination meeting
Feb 1994	Reserve 1994 ARGOS satellite channels
May 1994	Attach six PTTs
June 1994	Conduct trend count pupping surveys
Aug-Sept 1994	Conduct trend count molting surveys
Sept 1994	Attach six PTTs
Ongoing, 1994-95	Retrieve ARGOS data
Oct-Nov 1994	Analyze survey data
Ongoing, 1994	Analyze satellite data
Jan, Feb 1995	Prepare final 1994 report
Feb 28, 1995	Submit final 1994 report
Jan-June 1995	Final data analysis
May-Aug 1995	Prepare final project report
Aug 31, 1995	Submit draft project report
Nov 1995	Revise final project report
Dec 31, 1995	Submit revised final project report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The Division of Wildlife Conservation of ADF&G will not be conducting any other studies of harbor seal biology in PWS during 1994. The ADF&G will, however, be conducting harbor seal surveys and satellite tagging studies in southeastern Alaska and near Kodiak during 1994. All harbor seal studies will be closely coordinated in an attempt to better understand the overall health status and reasons for declines of harbor seals in PWS and other areas.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

NOAA is the lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. NOAA determined that the harbor seal study (Project No. 94046) met NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process and does not require an environmental assessment dated 18 December 1992. As required by the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), ADF&G has been authorized under Permit No. 770 to instrument up to 100 harbor seals with PTTs during the period 1992-1995. All MMPA permit applications are reviewed by federal agencies and the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission and are available for review by state agencies and the public through a Notice of Receipt published in the Federal Register.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The PWS harbor seal project will be coordinated by the principal investigator who is a Marine Mammals Biologist with the ADF&G Division of Wildlife Conservation in Fairbanks. The PWS study will be one of three components in a statewide harbor seal study. The other two components are being managed by the Division of Wildlife Conservation in Anchorage. The involvement of these key personnel as a team will ensure that methodology for aerial surveys, satellite telemetry, data analysis, and other aspects of these projects will be consistent and coordinated. All three individuals were involved in 1991-1993 PWS harbor seal surveys and tagging studies and thus are thoroughly familiar with the proposed field activities.

Field trips to conduct surveys and attach PTTs will be scheduled 3-6 months in advance. A coordination meeting will be conducted to ensure availability of personnel and field logistics. Supervisors of support personnel have been consulted to determine their availability to this project. The ADF&G Fairbanks office has a GIS system available for project use. The investigators are highly qualified personnel with many years of experience conducting contractual research on marine mammals. They have a long track record of timely completion of high-quality work, and are recognized worldwide as experts in their field.

The final products to be generated will include a final report presenting methods, results, discussion of results, and conclusions regarding this study. The report will include analysis of aerial survey results in comparison to historical data from 1984 and 1988-1993. Data on movements and diving and haulout behavior will be presented as tables, graphs and figures. One of the investigators will travel to Chenega Bay and Tatitlek at least once per year to share the results with residents of these PWS communities.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	98.6
Travel	11.6
Contractual	82.7
Commodities	56.7
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	249.6
General Administration	<u>20.6</u>
Project Total	270.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Harlequin Duck Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94066

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: NOAA

Cost of Project, FY94: \$286.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$231.6K

Project Startup Date: October 1, 1993

Duration: 4 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The *Exxon Valdez* oil spill significantly affected harlequin ducks. The coastal zones in western Prince William Sound (PWS) and the Kodiak Archipelago were directly impacted by substantial amounts of oil during the spill. In addition to the direct mortality of at least 400 harlequins, oil spill surveys indicated a population decline in the spill region, fewer potential breeders during pre-nesting, very little nesting activity near streams, and only a few broods within oiled areas of the spill region from 1990 to 1992. In contrast, harlequin ducks reproduced in unoled areas of eastern PWS and their population has remained relatively stable.

Poor reproduction is a significant and unexpected long-term effect. Because some harlequins spend their entire lives in the oil spill area, where they breed, feed, and over-winter, it is important to investigate oil-related impacts and to monitor this segment of the population. Non-resident harlequins, as well as other seaducks that over-winter in oiled areas may be similarly affected. Because these ducks breed in areas remote from the oil spill, it is impractical to study them.

Harlequin ducks are intertidal-feeding diving ducks. Both resident breeders and a wintering population are found in PWS. The residents breed along forested streams within a few kilometers of salt water, molt in secluded bays and lagoons, and roost on offshore rocks. Broods are found with hens on salt water in late summer. Wintering harlequin ducks breed alongside mountain streams elsewhere in Alaska, arrive on the south coast in October, and depart in May. Evidence from this study and the literature indicates harlequin ducks show a high degree of fidelity to both breeding and wintering areas.

Damage assessment studies of harlequin ducks through 1992 have been limited to PWS except for some contaminant studies around Kodiak Island in 1990. The reproductive impairment of harlequin ducks in the oil spill area may be a chronic effect of petroleum

exposure through contaminated food from intertidal feeding areas. Harlequins collected in 1989-90 in western PWS and southwest Kodiak contained oiled food items in their gullets, or evidence of petroleum in liver tissues and bile. Harlequins depend year-round on a variety of intertidal invertebrates, resources that were heavily contaminated during spill. Blue mussels are an important prey species. Blue mussels are known to concentrate and hold pollutants in their tissues. Over 50 blue mussel beds currently retain oil in western PWS. Petroleum trapped in the sediments beneath the byssal thread mats is unweathered and retains toxic components for many years. Restoration Study #103 documented high concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) remaining in mussels, byssal thread mats, and underlying substrates in western PWS.

Experimental studies reported in the literature show that single small doses of petroleum can cause a variety of physiological effects in waterfowl and seabirds, including injury to vital endocrine functions that regulate metabolism and reproduction. Small amounts of experimentally applied crude oil showed rapid and complete cessation of breeding in some seabirds. In addition, oil ingestion may affect birds' ability to depurate environmental pollutants and may trigger a downward spiral in general health and condition.

The most important conditions for success are as follows: (1) establishment of a monitoring program for summer population structure and detection of reproductive effort, and (2) mitigation of physiological impairment that may result from contaminated foods in their environment. Otherwise, improvements in productivity from enhancement efforts will be undocumented or ineffectual. Continuation of the 1991-1993 breeding bird and brood surveys is necessary to detect recovery or decline of breeding harlequins. Collateral data on their habitat requirements and use patterns will prove valuable for evaluating habitat acquisitions and guiding continued restoration programs.

Evidence of oil ingestion and physiological effects on harlequin reproduction have been investigated through 1993. If effects are indicated four years after the spill, in-depth studies may be warranted and the importance of remedy for contaminated intertidal feeding sites could become paramount for harlequins. As a matter of policy, contaminated blue mussel beds were not to be cleaned as part of the spill response activities. Some of these intertidal sites remain heavily contaminated. National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) studies of intertidal zone recovery and contamination of invertebrates are a vital corollary to the harlequin duck project.

Because of the consequences of continued harlequin duck reproductive failure, it is particularly important to understand what factors are responsible for limiting reproduction. Given the lack of recovery and the suspected high degree of site fidelity of harlequins, it cannot be assumed that the population in oiled areas will return to pre-spill levels. In fact, the population may continue to decline because of a limited recruitment. It is necessary both to continue monitoring population and reproductive trends and to identify what factors may be limiting recovery.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The goal of this project is to continue monitoring harlequin duck productivity and factors that may affect it. Proposed surveys will provide trend indices to breeding as well as opportunistic data on other avian species that summer in PWS. Specific information on habitat associations and structure of the breeding population will provide a measure of recovery or guide development of further investigations. Such information will be useful for evaluating habitat acquisitions, intertidal habitat restoration projects that benefit a variety of species (e.g., shorebirds, sea otters), and managing human interactions with wildlife in the spill region.

B. Objectives

The objectives of this study are to: (1) document abundance, distribution, and age-sex structure of the pre-nesting population in April-June breeding bird surveys; (2) document annual harlequin production and post-breeding abundance through brood surveys; (3) strengthen the database on coastal habitat use patterns by correlating survey observations to classified shorelines; and (4) pending 1993 results of contaminant analysis of harlequin tissues and blood chemistry, document continued exposure of sea ducks to oil and physiological links to reproductive impairment through blood and tissue sampling.

C. Methods

This project uses established methodology derived during previous harlequin duck damage assessment studies and restoration studies throughout PWS including comprehensive boat surveys of shorelines and suitable breeding streams during April-June. Extensive shoreline brood surveys will be conducted by boat during late July and August. Results from the oil spill area will be compared to 1990-93 results and to data collected in unoiled areas of eastern and southern PWS. Habitat use associations will be recorded during both surveys and integrated with a database being developed from previous work.

Contingent on 1993 results indicating evidence of continued oil ingestion by harlequins or physiological anomalies related to reproduction, an effort may be mounted to sample blood and/or tissues from breeding harlequins in 1994. Blood samples could be analyzed for normal blood parameters and abnormalities. Presence of elevated levels of haptoglobins and interleukins in blood sera or positive P450 enzyme activity may indicate continued petroleum exposure.

D. Location

The proposed project will be conducted in the oil spill area of Prince William Sound and unoiled eastern PWS from Valdez to Cordova.

E. Technical Support

Dr. D. M. Fry will conduct necropsies in the field, provide blood chemistry interpretation following analysis of clinical chemistry by California Veterinary Diagnostics, West Sacramento, California, perform plasma electrophoresis for evidence of protein changes, and provide histologic interpretation of tissues. NMFS Auke Bay Laboratory will perform hydrocarbon analyses of any food items and tissues that are collected.

F. Contracts

A contract will be issued for technical support to the University of California, Davis.

SCHEDULES

Because recovery of the breeding harlequin duck population is expected to be slow, this monitoring program is projected to require additional four years. Work proposed beyond 1994 should be derived from adaptive planning. This project will be conducted during the 1994-1995 field season, with survey effort focused on April-June and July-August periods. Interim analyses and reporting will occur throughout 1994 and early 1995. Laboratory analyses should be completed by December 1, 1994. Report preparation will begin in September, and a final report for the 1994 season will be completed before January 30, 1995.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

There are no other agency or non-agency contributions to this project during the period of October 1, 1993 to September 30, 1994. ADF&G will not conduct activities related to the harlequin duck resource for this time period in the oil spill area.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife (FWS) is the lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets FWS agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

This study will be conducted and managed by the Division of Wildlife Conservation, Waterfowl Program, under supervision of the Waterfowl Coordinator. Data collection will be accomplished by Division staff during field periods, with data analyses and reporting assigned to appropriate project participants. The Waterfowl Coordinator will be responsible for

Project Description

administrative and technical aspects of the project, including planning and budget preparation, tracking expenditures, personnel assignments, contract oversight, and quality control of products.

Data collection will be controlled by employee training, supervision and compliance with methods and techniques described in SOP's. Chain-of-custody procedures as outlined in State/Federal Damage Assessment Plan: Analytical Chemistry QA/QC are being followed. Samples and data will be archived at the Department of Fish and Game. The products of this study will be a final report with maps, figures, and tables.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	153.6	26.0	179.6
Travel	10.5	0.0	10.5
Contractual	43.2	0.0	43.2
Commodities	19.1	4.5	23.6
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	226.4	30.5	256.9
General Administration	<u>26.1</u>	<u>3.9</u>	<u>30.0</u>
Project Total	252.5	34.4	286.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Deposit Sand to Promote Clam Recruitment - Feasibility Study

Project Number: 94068

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$36.4K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$36.4K

Project Startup Date: May 1994

Duration: 2-3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the proposed work is to test a practical method for restoring clam populations to the intertidal habitats injured during the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill and clean-up operations. High pressure hot water (HPHW) was a treatment extensively used in 1989 for cleaning heavily oiled beaches in Prince William Sound (PWS). This treatment is estimated to have killed > 70% of the hardshell clams remaining on the beaches several months after the spill. In addition, HPHW stripped the treated beaches of fine sediments, washing them from the upper and mid-intertidal zones to the lower intertidal and subtidal, and leaving a rubble of coarse sediments and cobble in its wake. Loss of these fine sediments has been identified as the proximate cause for the documented recruitment failure of intertidal clams at cleaned beaches. Recovery of this species is important for subsistence and recreational users and for a variety of birds and mammals that use the intertidal region to obtain prey, particularly juvenile sea otters. Recovery is not expected until a suitable sediment regime is re-established on beaches which were treated with HPHW.

The purpose of the proposed work will be to answer the question: Can intertidal clam recruitment success and population recovery be enhanced by replacing fine sediments on HPHW treated beaches? This project has significant implications for the sea otters of PWS which rely almost exclusively on clams and mussels for prey. Juvenile otters and mothers with pups forage extensively in the intertidal zone for *Protothaca* (littleneck clams), *Saxidomus* (butter clams) and *Mya* (soft-shell clams). Recent studies show that juvenile otters continued to have a lower than normal survival rate since the spill. This project may be combined with Project 94081.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The recruitment of intertidal clams on cleaned beaches will remain low until a substrate of appropriate grain size is re-established, either naturally or via restoration efforts. This project will test that hypothesis using a rigorous experimental design. The approach will be to add fine sediments to plots on washed beaches, and then compare recruitment success and recovery between these and control plots. Control plots will consist of: HPHW plots without sediment addition, oiled plots not treated with HPHW, and plots not oiled and not cleaned with HPHW. Parameters to be measured at all plots will include clam size and species distribution, and sediment grain size. This approach will provide answers to the following specific questions within 2-3 years:

- (1) Can infaunal recruitment be enhanced by adding fine sediments to beaches stripped by HPHW cleaning?
- (2) What is the natural clam population recovery rate on cleaned beaches?
- (3) What is the natural sediment recovery rate on cleaned beaches?
- (4) Is sediment addition an effective means of clam habitat restoration that could and should be applied on a wide scale in PWS?

This proposal represents one of the few active restoration alternatives available to address the damage caused by the spill. Recovery of intertidal infauna will not only be of benefit to sea otters, but also to the numerous birds, fish and mammals that are known to forage in this formerly rich habitat. If this pilot study is successful, the restoration concept could then be considered for wider application.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Large numbers of intertidal clams and their habitat were destroyed during the HPHW clean-up operations following the oil spill. These clams (particularly littlenecks) and other intertidal infaunal invertebrates (e.g., echinoid worms) are important prey items for several species of marine mammals and birds that were severely injured during the spill, especially juvenile sea otters, river otters, and harlequin ducks. Replacement of the fine-grained sediment structure to formerly rich and productive beaches will greatly accelerate the recovery of these key prey species populations and restore the forage value of these habitats for other wildlife.

B. Objectives

The primary objective of the project will be to accelerate the already demonstrated slow recovery of intertidal clams to beaches treated with HPHW. The hypothesis is that the slow recovery is due to larval recruitment failure as a result of the loss of fine-grained sediments washed from the beaches during cleanup. If this is true, then depositing finer sand on the beaches should result in greatly enhanced larval recruitment and population recovery within one or two years. The proposed project is a small-scale feasibility study to test the beach restoration concept. If successful, the approach can then be expanded over a much broader geographic range.

Project Description

C. Methods

It is known from other studies that littleneck clams have been recruiting to unoiled and untreated beaches as well as oiled beaches not treated with HPHW. These same studies have documented recruitment failure only at beaches treated with HPHW (Category 3) where only coarse sediments remain. This study will focus only on Category 3 beaches to assess the value of restoring fine sediment to the intertidal zone.

The approach will be to select two of the Category 3 beaches already identified in Houghton et al.'s 1993 study for sediment addition. At each of these sites several, 10 m² plots will be established as control and treatment plots. Three of the treatment plots will receive sediment pumped up from the subtidal using a gasoline powered, diver-operated gold dredge. Three additional treatment plots will receive sediment from adjacent terrestrial sources. No sediment will be added to the 3 control plots.

The sediment grain size and littleneck clam population (size distribution and abundance) will be sampled within each plot prior to the addition of the sediment. Plots will be resampled at one year intervals for two years. At these times recruitment success of littleneck clams and other invertebrate species will be monitored, as well as the persistence of the added sediments in the treatment plots and the natural recovery of fine sediment to the control plots. The project will require a time commitment of two weeks in the field per year for 2-3 years. The month of June is ideal to maximize the good weather window and to implement the study prior to the major spawning time of littleneck clams.

D. Location

Various locations in PWS.

E. Technical Support

A minimum of one, preferably two small boats (13 to 25 ft.), SCUBA compressor, sediment dredge and field camp support for 2 weeks are required. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service may be able to provide this equipment.

F. Contracts

A contract will be made with Moss Landing Marine Labs and awarded through the San Jose State University Foundation. The Moss Landing Marine Laboratory will supply all personnel and diving and sampling equipment for the project.

SCHEDULES

Activity	1994			1995			1996		
	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Dec</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Dec</u>
Preparation	X			X			X		
Field work		X			X			X	
Data analysis	X-----X			X-----X			X-----X		
Annual reports				X			X		
Draft final report									X

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is the lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project will require an Environmental Assessment.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Annual reports describing the work completed to date and clam recruitment success will be provided each December following commencement of the project. A draft final report will be submitted during December of the final year of the project. The final report will be completed within one month following the return of the draft report.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	3.4
Travel	0.0
Contractual	30.4
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	33.8
General Administration	<u>2.6</u>
Project Total	36.4
NEPA Compliance	2.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Title: Restoration of High Intertidal *Fucus*

Project Number: 94070

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$285.8K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$172.5K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Knight Island, Prince William Sound.

INTRODUCTION

Marine intertidal communities were the largest single category of habitat affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Experiments conducted at Herring Bay, Knight Island, and throughout the spill impact area since 1990 clearly indicate that one of the consequences of the oil spill and resultant clean-up activities was serious injury to intertidal algal populations, especially in the mid- to upper-intertidal zones. The dominant member in this community is the rockweed, *Fucus gardneri*, which provides habitat and food for a variety of invertebrates. These invertebrates in turn serve as an important food source for marine mammals, birds, and fishes.

Surveys made during 1992 and 1993 show that the *Fucus* populations in the high intertidal (top one meter of the intertidal zone) in many areas of Prince William Sound (PWS) still have not recovered. These habitats remain as bare rock with sparse barnacle and littorine populations. The barren areas are predominately on south-facing rocky slopes in sheltered habitats. One area at the north end of Herring Bay has been extensively studied since 1991. Quadrat counts of individual *Fucus* plants at this site show that there has been virtually no recruitment into the top 0.5 m of the intertidal through the spring of 1993. In the zone from the 0.5 meter vertical drop (MVD) to the 1.0 MVD, *Fucus* densities are very low and did not increase between 1992 and 1993. The plants counted in these quadrats were generally newly recruited plants and did not produce any significant percent cover. This site is a region subject to the greatest solar insolation during the summer months. In addition, it is in a location protected from heavy wave action so there is no wave spray to moisten and cool the rock surface.

Other habitats of this type were identified using the Geographic Information System (GIS) database established by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources (ADNR). The GIS system was used to integrate information on the geographical distributions of factors important in defining these habitats such as oiling category, shoreline aspect, shoreline slope,

and exposure regime. At least 12 miles of shoreline that fall into this habitat category have been identified.

This project will better define the amount of shoreline where *Fucus* has re-established itself, and expand, based on 1993 results, tests of a method of restoring *Fucus* populations to these areas using biodegradable erosion-control fabric. Tests are still underway as this proposal is being written; however, a request for proposals (RFP) will be issued to begin small scale restoration actions based on 1993 results.

Fucus is a relatively slow-growing plant. One growing season is needed for newly settled embryos to grow to a size that can be seen in the field (1 to 2 mm in length). Another season is needed for the plants to recruit into the juvenile population and be expected to produce an adult plant. Because of this slow growth, final results on the experimental plots set out in 1993 will be unknown until late in 1994. However, at this time a small-scale pilot project based on the current preliminary results is worth the effort and expense for implementation in 1994.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Resources to be restored are the biota in the upper intertidal in sheltered rocky areas that were affected by the oil spill and clean-up activities. The focus will be on restoring *Fucus* populations and subsequent monitoring of dependent biota. Restoration of *Fucus* will allow reestablishment of the upper intertidal community and use of this area by invertebrates, birds and mammals.

B. Objectives

1. To create a model of affected shorelines that will predict which high intertidal areas will have depressed *Fucus* populations due to the oil spill and subsequent cleanup.
2. To determine the rates of recovery, if any of *Fucus* populations in those affected upper intertidal zones selected in objective "1." above.
3. To initiate small scale restoration actions to accelerate the recovery of *Fucus* in selected intertidal zones.

C. Methods

1. Model of affected shorelines/rates of recovery. Using information from the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, at least 5 impacted sites plus two sets of controls will be identified in order to quantify the density of *Fucus* in the upper intertidal. The sites will be randomly chosen from the list of sites available in each category. The position of the sites will be located with large scale site maps produced from the GIS database and GPS positioning. At each site *Fucus* density and percent cover in the upper 1 meter of the intertidal will be quantified and a map of the upper boundary of the "*Fucus* zone" will be drawn. This zone will be defined by a continuous cover of adult *Fucus* plants. These sites will be monitored annually to ascertain rates of natural recovery.
2. Restoration experiments. During the past two summers hundreds of artificial substrates seeded with *Fucus* embryos were transplanted. These substrates consisted of unglazed tiles, epoxy plates, and plastic dishes. They were placed in a variety of sites in both the upper and lower intertidal. Very few of these substrates, however, successfully produced juvenile plants. The primary reason for embryo mortality on these plates appears to be desiccation and the effects of high substrate temperature. The unglazed tiles and plastic dishes were flat in texture and would dry out during tidal exposures. The epoxy plates had shallow grooves which retained some moisture, but the grooves were too wide in relation to their depth to retain sufficient water to keep the embryos moist for a high tide cycle on a sunny day. Similar results showing microhabitat temperature as the primary factor controlling embryo survival have been shown for *Pelvetia* populations.

Transplants of fertile adult *Fucus* plants into the upper intertidal to serve as sources of eggs to establish new populations have been only partially successful. The transplanted *Fucus* quickly became desiccated and died, but there is some evidence that eggs released from these plants were able to germinate and grow.

The recovery of *Fucus* at sites with a south aspect can be enhanced by providing microhabitats with conditions more suitable for embryo survival and growth, i.e., higher moisture and lower substrate temperatures. Those conditions will be provided using biodegradable erosion-control fabric. There are many versions of this product developed for a variety of terrestrial applications. Preliminary studies have shown that jute fibers do not persist more than a few months in the marine environment, and a fabric composed of coconut fiber may be the best material for the restoration.

A preliminary study conducted in Herring Bay during June of 1993 showed that temperatures on the rock below a coconut fiber mat during low tide were significantly lower than on an adjacent bare rock. This site was in the upper intertidal at the north end of Herring Bay which suffered a complete loss of *Fucus*.

The highest temperature observed on the bare rock during a sunny day was 35 degrees C.

The experiment itself will consist of the deployment of erosion control fabric in one meter wide swaths in an affected area of the upper intertidal zone. The studies on *Fucus* egg dispersal in Herring Bay have shown that there are relatively few eggs reaching upper intertidal substrates in oiled areas. This potential egg dispersal problem will be avoided by seeding the erosion-control fabric with *Fucus* embryos. Unseeded strips of fabric will be used to test whether embryo seeding is necessary to produce new populations of plants in these environments. Control plots will consist of areas without attached fabric. An initial trial experiment was deployed in Herring Bay in 1993 and will be expanded and monitored in subsequent seasons. *Fucus* densities in each experimental site will be monitored at the beginning and the end of each summer season using 9, 100 sq cm quadrats placed randomly in each 1 meter-wide test strip. Because results from related experiments performed in Herring Bay indicate it will take three years before *Fucus* plants will mature, these sites will be monitored for three summer seasons after deployment.

The most time consuming and expensive part of a major restoration project with erosion-control fabric will be attaching the fabric to the substrate. Screws and small patches of epoxy putty will be used to secure the fabric to the rock substrate. The cost effectiveness of this procedure for large scale restoration will be assessed.

3. Pilot Scale Project. An impacted beach near Knight Island will be selected from existing GIS and DNR data bases for the sites of a pilot scale restoration project. Transplants of fertile *Fucus* will be made to this beach at regular intervals in areas with and without strips of biodegradable fabric attached. The exact configuration for the locations and attachment of the fabric and for the location and attachment of the plants will be determined based on the results of current, on-going experiments. The methodology will entail drilling holes in the substrata for attachment of the fabric between the +7 and +10 foot tidelines. Transplanting will be performed early in the summer, and augmented as necessary throughout the season. Monitoring of the recruitment and growth of juvenile *Fucus* will be performed using randomly placed 100 sq cm quadrats. The site will be monitored for three summer seasons after deployment.

D. Location

The project will be undertaken at intertidal sites around Knight Island (especially Herring Bay) and nearby islands in PWS. The project's benefits will be realized at all upper intertidal sites in which *Fucus* populations are depressed. The associated animal and plant communities in the sub-, inter- and supra-tidal will benefit by this restoration project.

E. Technical Support

GIS services from ADNR will be necessary to predict and locate potential sites for population surveys and restoration activities.

F. Contracts

The University of Alaska-Fairbanks (UAF) will determine the shorelines with high intertidal areas with depressed *Fucus* populations due to the oil spill or cleanup activities, determine the rates of *Fucus* recovery, and select locations for restoration actions. The UAF will continue tests of recovery methods if warranted following the 1993 field season (the UAF has been the cooperating agency in this and closely related studies since 1990). A request for proposals (RFP) will be issued and a contract awarded to perform the pilot restoration portion of this project. The contractor will work under the guidance of the UAF researchers to apply appropriate restoration methods in the locations identified by UAF.

SCHEDULES

FY94

- Oct. 93 - Jan. 94: Complete analysis and report from 1993 field season
- Nov. 93 - Mar. 94: Begin *Fucus* seeding experiments
Refine GIS model and select potential field sites
Set up logistics for 94 field season
- Mar. 94 - May 94: Ship supplies to staging area at Seward
Preliminary outplant experiments at Juneau
Ship seeded fabric
- June 94: Field work - experimental setups, preliminary population assessment, fabric deployment
- July 94: Assessment of June field work
- Aug. 94: Field work - population monitoring
- Sep. 94: Begin data compilation and analysis

FY95

Oct. 94 - Jan. 95: Complete analysis and report from 1994 field season
Prepare for phased expansion of pilot project or initiation of full scale restoration effort as warranted by results to date.

Summer 95: Phased expansion of pilot project or initiation of full scale restoration effort as warranted.
Continued monitoring of experiments and sites. Two data acquisition trips.

FY96

Implement full scale restoration project if not begun in 1995.
Continued monitoring of test and implementation activities + annual report

FY97

Continued monitoring of implementation activities + annual report

FY98

Final project report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The UAF conducts the Herring Bay Experimental and Monitoring Studies (Project 94086) in PWS from which this project has evolved. The ADF&G has no other similar normal agency projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project will require an Environmental Assessment.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Annual final reports on each season's field work will be prepared by March 1, following the field season. A final project report will be completed by May 1, 1998.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	6.7
Travel	0.0
Contractual	260.4
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	267.1
General Administration	18.7
Project Total	285.8
NEPA Compliance	5.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Recruitment Monitoring of Littleneck Clams

Project Number: 94081

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$206.7K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$206.7K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 3-4 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The *Exxon Valdez* oil spill and clean-up effort in Prince William Sound (PWS) injured existing stocks of littleneck clams. Since then, there has been a failure of recruitment to this once subsistence-harvested population. The high-pressure, hot water flushing action used during clean-up activities removed the fine-grained substrate used as habitat by these clams.

In addition to restoring the subsistence harvest of littleneck clams, this project has implications for the PWS sea otter. These bivalves are an important item in the diet of female otters with juveniles that forage in the intertidal areas.

The natural replenishment of beach sediments, which appears to be very slow, needs to be augmented. This project will test a restoration plan that will do that. Juvenile clam recruitment will be determined by in-situ sampling intertidally as well as subtidally using SCUBA. Recruitment at different sites will be determined and compared on: (1) oiled sites that were cleaned, (2) oiled sites not actively cleaned, and (3) control sites unaffected by the spill.

Clam spawning in PWS takes place from June to September followed by a three week planktonic larval stage before settlement to the benthos. Low temperatures limit clam recruitment and growth in PWS, as this is the extreme limit of the littleneck clam range. The 1993 water temperatures in PWS appear to be rather warm, thus we expect that spawning, subsequent growth as plankton, and recruitment to the benthos to have had optimal conditions. Poor survival during the first winter has been identified as a limiting factor for PWS littlenecks. This project may be combined with Project 94068.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The clam stocks were decimated by the clean-up effort following the spill. Littleneck clams are a heavily-used subsistence resource and an important prey for mammals and birds that were affected by the spill. Restoration action via substrate relocation presumably will enhance recruitment of affected clam populations.

B. Objectives

The recruitment process will be assessed to determine the need to facilitate recovery of littlenecks.

C. Methods

Assessment of the recruitment from the 1993 spawning will be made during the Spring 1994 phase of the project. Sites selected for monitoring will be prepared at this time as well, in anticipation of 1994 recruitment. Young-of-the-year clams will be measured during a late survey of the sites. Survival of the 1994 year class will be measured August 1995. Recruitment and survival of the 1995 year class will be measured in a similar fashion in 1996.

1. Natural recruitment and survival to the subsequent year from spawnings taking place in 1993, 1994, and 1995 will be assessed (except for the 1993 spawning where only survival into 1994 will be measured). Intertidal and shallow subtidal sampling at 0.0 to 0.5 mean low low water (MLLW). This is the peak level of juvenile littleneck clam distribution as determined by Paul and Feder, using standard techniques (randomly placed 0.25 m² quadrats followed by laboratory analysis of sieved samples) during late summer surveys following settlement of young of the year.
2. Recruitment data will be compared in: (a) oiled sites that were not subject to spill cleanup activities, (b) oiled sites where the substrate was denuded by cleaning, and (c) unoiled control sites.
3. Recruitment will be compared in the 3-way experiment described above to ascertain the best course of action for further enhancement of the stocks.
4. The natural replenishment of substrate will be assessed by collecting and comparing sediment samples in treatments (a) to (c) listed above using standard marine geological techniques (Van Veen grab samples and laboratory analysis).

Project Description

D. Location

Sites will be selected to match the sampling design described in above.

E. Technical Support

A chartered vessel capable of deploying a Van Veen grab sampler will serve as a floating laboratory during field sampling. Small boats will be used to access the sites. Equipment needed includes pumps, screens, tidal height equipment and quadrats.

F. Contracts

Contractors to perform this project will be solicited by the state Request For Proposals process.

SCHEDULES

	94			95			96			97
	June	Aug	Dec	Apr	Aug	Dec	Apr	Aug	Dec	Apr
Field work	x	x		x		x				
Data analysis		x-----x		x--x			x----x			
Draft final report			x		x				x	

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

The appropriate scientific sampling permit will be obtained from the Alaska Department of Fish & Game before sampling. All operations aboard the research vessel will conform to U.S. Coast Guard safety standards.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A rigorous quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program will ensure the reliability and validity of field and laboratory data. All sample collection, labeling, preservation and storage in the field will be carried out by a team of three. Chain-of-Custody forms that accommodate the standard signatory policy will always accompany the benthic samples and sediment hydrocarbon samples until processing has been completed. A procedure of double checking all labeling and entry of data in field notebooks and data forms will be performed by a member of the team that did not complete the original field notebook.

Draft final reports describing the work completed to date and littleneck clam recruitment success will be provided each April. The final report will be completed 60 days after receiving peer review comments.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	6.7
Travel	0.0
Contractual	186.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	192.7
General Administration	<u>14.0</u>
Project Total	206.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Monitoring of Oiled and Treated Shorelines

Project Number: 94083

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$616.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$286.6K

Project Startup Date: March 1994

Duration: 3 years with possibility of follow up in 1999

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

This project is documenting the natural recovery of shoreline ecosystems in Prince William Sound (PWS), especially those shorelines impacted by oil cleanup activities. Specifically, this project entails tracking the return of marine life and the loss of oil, at cleaned and uncleaned shorelines. The project has been formally underway since the spring of 1990. The year 1994 marks the fifth summer of continuous monitoring and the sixth summer since the spill. Recovery is occurring, but has been slower at treated than at untreated sites.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The targeted resources are the intertidal biotic communities and the associated physical environment at selected beaches in PWS.

B. Objectives

The objectives of this monitoring and assessment project are as follows:

1. determine whether or not initial treatment enhanced or delayed recovery of shoreline ecosystems;
2. evaluate temporal changes in physical characteristics of selected shorelines that were oiled;

3. describe the course and nature of geomorphological, chemical, and biological recovery at study sites;
4. provide managers with information supporting response and restoration policies and alternative actions; and
5. develop and refine generalized tools for monitoring environmental effects of oil spills.

The first and second objectives above have been addressed during the first four years of this project (1990-1993). The remaining objectives are longer term in nature and are targeted for the 1994-1996 work. In addition to the broader objectives, this project will also provide synoptic views of the PWS environment during each field excursion.

The value of this project to the Restoration Program as a whole can be defined in both general and specific terms. From a broader perspective, the NOAA study offers an established long-term monitoring and assessment. At a more focused level, the project constituents, within the biological, geomorphological, or chemical studies, provide fundamental information relevant to restoration of specific resources.

Although similar in general concept to other monitoring efforts funded by the Restoration Program, this project is unique in several ways:

1. It incorporates treatment as a variable in assessment of effects and recovery.
2. It explicitly considers the role of physical conditions such as beach morphology and residual oil chemistry in determining biological conditions at the study sites.
3. It incorporates quantitative assessment of biological, geomorphological, and chemical conditions in 1989, providing important information on conditions in the initial months of the spill. The data included some prespill and pre-treatment assessments.
4. Peer review of the project is undertaken every year, to ensure that the general approach, methods and results are scientifically sound. A new peer review group is selected each year to provide fresh insights and perspectives.
5. The project is flexible in its design and approach, so that it can be adapted to provide the most useful information for a given period of recovery in PWS. Although a core program of activities that yielded a wealth of information on effect and the recovery process has been retained to date, other components have been eliminated when judged to be unproductive. Still others have been added when project results have suggested an area of concern. The adaptive approach of this study allows it to be of greatest relevance to established goals and objectives, as well as to any new ones that are identified in the future.

C. Methods

Biological: This project, for which NOAA assumed sponsorship in 1990, will continue at approximately 30-35 sites stratified by two major categories of variables: shoreline type (e.g., mixed-soft, boulder/cobble, and rocky) and oiling/treatment category (e.g., unoiled, oiled, treated, and untreated with high-pressure hot-water washing). There is a minimum of three sites for each combination of treatment and shoreline types. Each site includes biological and chemical surveys at three tidal elevations (upper, mid-and low intertidal). At each elevation, the abundance and cover of plants and animals are measured in quadrants randomly located along permanent study transects. Infauna are sampled from multiple cores taken at middle and lower transects.

Geomorphological: This component was initiated by NOAA in 1989, and is designed to track changes in the physical (geomorphological and chemical) environment of 18 sites in PWS. More specifically, changes in beach profile, grain or clast size, as well as location and degree of oiling are described and quantified at sites that represent a range of beach types and oiling and treatment histories.

Chemical: Composite samples of surface and subsurface sediments are collected at each elevation for chemistry, as are mussels and clams from each site as appropriate or available. Discrete special studies to assess bioavailability of residual oil or other conditions of interest are also incorporated into the biological component.

D. Location

Study sites are located within western PWS, along the path of most significant impact from the *Exxon Valdez* spill. One unoiled control site, at Sheep Bay, is located in eastern PWS.

E. Technical Support

An integral part of this program is the explicit incorporation of chemical analysis and interpretation into both the biological and geomorphological components. This analysis will require both laboratory and interpretive chemistry support. An in depth statistical study utilizing all available data will be considered as a cooperative effort between the Institute for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry at Western Washington University and NOAA. This analysis will define the most sensitive indicators of recovery, and provide guidance for future monitoring efforts. While analysis is a funded task for 1993, further funding is necessary to incorporate 1994 data.

F. Contracts

Technical support will be provided by contractor; for biology, for geomorphology, and for chemistry.

SCHEDULES

May-June, 1994	Field Mobilization
July, 1994	Field Sampling Trip in PWS
July-October, 1994	Laboratory and Data Analyses
August, 1994	Cruise Reports Due
December, 1994	Draft Technical Reports Due for Trustee Peer Review

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

This program has existed under NOAA sponsorship since 1990 and in 1993 is funded by Federal annual restitution funds. Certain deliverables for 1993 work, such as draft and final reports, are scheduled for October and December 1993, respectively.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This is a field research project in which routine data collection will take place which is limited in context and intensity. Consequently, this project is categorically excluded from being required to provide an Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental Assessment. NOAA will serve as the lead in NEPA compliance.

As is established practice for this program, landowners for sampling sites in PWS will be informed of sampling activities to take place in 1994, and any required sampling permits will be obtained prior to field mobilization. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will be similarly informed and any wildlife-related access restrictions will be observed. A State of Alaska collection permit will be obtained.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A final report will be prepared for peer review and the Trustee Council will be prepared. In addition, monthly reports from primary technical contractors will ensure that milestones will be met.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA
Personnel	75.0
Travel	10.0
Contractual	493.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	5.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	583.0
General Administration	33.6
Project Total	<u>616.6</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Herring Bay Experimental and Monitoring Studies

Project Number: 94086

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$729.4K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$729K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 4 years

Geographic Area: Herring Bay, Knight Island, Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Following the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill and subsequent clean-up activities, research was conducted within the intertidal zone throughout the oil-affected regions in Prince William Sound (PWS), Cook Inlet - Kenai Peninsula (CIK), and Kodiak - Alaska Peninsula (KAP) during the Coastal Habitat Injury Assessment project (CHIA). The Herring Bay Experimental and Monitoring Studies were conducted entirely within Herring Bay, Knight Island, PWS. Both data sets show clear injury to intertidal invertebrates and algae, especially in the mid- to upper-intertidal zones. Experiments in Herring Bay have concentrated on understanding recruitment and community structuring processes. The dominant organism in this community is the seaweed *Fucus gardneri*, constituting up to 90% of the algal biomass. Because of its abundance, this alga serves as habitat and food for a variety of invertebrates. Invertebrates, in turn, serve as an important food source for marine mammals, birds, and fishes. The Herring Bay study is designed to examine the impact of oil on relationships among intertidal invertebrates and plants, and to provide detailed monitoring of the recovery of intertidal communities over the long term.

Results from recent studies indicate that plants and animals living in the upper portion of the intertidal zone suffered the most extensive injury and have shown the least recovery. *Fucus* was severely injured by the oil spill and subsequent clean-up efforts. In some areas, entire *Fucus* beds were decimated by the combined effects of oil and cleanup, leaving many beaches virtually devoid of upper intertidal *Fucus*. Natural recovery of *Fucus* beds has been slow. It may take up to ten years for *Fucus* to fully recover by natural means. Current data indicates that recolonization of injured shorelines was beginning to occur in 1992. In some areas the density of large *Fucus* plants was greater at oiled sites than at control sites; while in other areas densities at oiled sites continue to be depressed. Several invertebrate species, especially the limpets *Tectura persona* and *Lottia pelta*, have shown lower densities at oiled sites compared to those on control sites, probably due to a lack of food and shelter normally provided by *Fucus*. Barnacles recruit on oiled surfaces, but their settlement rate is low. Our

studies show poor subsequent survival of barnacles that settle on oiled tiles. The CHIA study found significantly higher densities of *Chthamalus dalli* on oiled sites than control sites for the 2nd and/or 3rd meter vertical drop in all three regions. In undisturbed systems, *Chthamalus* species tend to be restricted to the highest zones in the intertidal, as they are excluded by the superior space competitors, *Balanus glandula* and *Semibalanus balanoides*, in the lower intertidal. *Chthamalus dalli* appears to be the barnacle species that initially benefitted from the free space created by the oil spill and clean-up activities. Recruitment monitoring and post-settlement survival studies within the barnacle zone to determine the fate of *C. dalli* relative to *B. glandula* and *S. balanoides* will continue.

Mussel size and age data for sites sampled during the CHIA study indicate that mussels of a given age tend to be larger on oiled sites relative to control sites. These size differences may be due to natural growth rate differences. On oiled sites in the CIK region, many key intertidal species had a higher biomass and abundance than on control sites, especially in coarse-textured habitats. Most of the oiled, coarse-textured sites were located on the outer Kenai Peninsula coast where they are exposed to major currents. The mussel growth, abundance, and biomass data suggest that sites that were the richest and most productive due to prevailing currents tended to be the ones most likely to be oiled. This question will be addressed in Herring Bay by attempting to correlate water motion with recruitment and mussel growth rates. Preliminary results from the ongoing mussel study in Herring Bay indicate that water flow is greater at oiled sites. The possibility that oiled sites are more productive than non-oiled sites must be investigated because of the extensive use of matched oiled and unoled site pairs in damage assessment and in establishing projections of recovery times and determining recovery endpoints. This knowledge will also be useful in designing monitoring studies and assessing impacts of future perturbations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resource targeted for this study is the intertidal community within the spill impacted area, using Herring Bay as the experimental and monitoring site. To fully understand the dynamics of recovery, it is essential that monitoring of the intertidal zone continue. Monitoring until population densities stabilize at oiled sites will allow a more thorough assessment of the original injury observed. If there are differences between recovery end-points at oiled sites and paired control sites, a correction for the difference in the initial analyses can be made. Pre-spill densities of many organisms are expected to be greater at oiled sites than at the control sites.

B. Objectives

1. Quantify recruitment rates, survivorship, and population dynamics of barnacles and other invertebrate species, such as limpets and littorines, at matched oiled and non-oiled sites.

2. Compare mussel and barnacle recruitment rates and mussel and *Fucus* growth rates relative to water motion on matched oiled and control sites.
3. Monitor the natural recovery of the algal community and quantify the structure, population dynamics, and reproductive potential of *Fucus* in oiled and control sites to assess recovery rates, especially in the upper intertidal areas.
4. Assess the competitive interactions between *Fucus* and other algal species in recolonizing bare patches in the upper intertidal.
5. Oiling and current speed will be independently varied by using multiple oiled and unoiled sites with different current conditions.

C. Methods

1. Population dynamics of *Fucus*, sessile invertebrates, and grazers will continue to be quantified in established quadrants at six pairs of oiled and unoiled sheltered-rocky and coarse-textured sites. Organisms will be counted within six quadrants that have been permanently established within each of the first three meters of vertical drop below mean higher high water. The quadrants will be visited twice during the summer and the number of *Fucus* plants counted and size-frequency determined. Reproductive status and condition of the plants will also be recorded. Limpets, *Nucella spp.*, and *Littorina sitkana* will be counted, and subsamples of each will be measured. In addition, the populations of the major adult barnacle species will be monitored on three oiled sites to determine if the ratio of *Chthamalus dalli* to the two dominant species, *Balanus glandula* and *Semibalanus balanoides*, changes over time. On each site, four quadrants at each of the 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5 meter vertical drops were permanently marked during the 1993 field season. One section of each quadrant was scraped of all barnacles. An adjacent section was left unscraped. Both the scraped and unscraped quadrants will be monitored for recruitment of juveniles and abundance of adult barnacles.
2. Mussel recruitment (size-frequency distribution) will continue to be studied within the mussel band on three matched pairs of sites. In order to determine if there is a difference in growth rates between the oiled and control sites, mussel tagging experiments that were initiated in 1993 will continue. Indirect growth rate estimates, as determined by shifts in mussel size-frequency distributions (collections made twice during the field season), will be compared to direct growth measurements of individual mussels of varying sizes in order to resolve conflicting or inconclusive results previously reported. Quadrants were permanently marked along each of four transects on each site during the 1993 field season. All mussels in each quadrant were collected and are being analyzed for size frequency distributions. The quadrants will be monitored for

recruitment into the cleared area. In addition, new quadrants will be scraped within the mussel zone on transects placed one meter to the left of the old transects on each site. As mussel larvae tend to settle temporarily on filamentous algae, filamentous algal cover will be determined within each meter of vertical drop from mean higher high water during each field visit. Subsamples will be collected to determine the number of young mussels that have settled onto the algae.

3. Water motion studies will continue on sites where recruitment and growth studies are being monitored. Dissolution cylinders of calcium sulfate will be prepared by mixing Plaster of Paris and pouring it into plastic molds. Replicate cylinders will be deployed in the intertidal at each site in conjunction with recruitment and growth studies. Corrections will be made for cylinders deployed at different tidal heights and thus exposed to the tide for differing lengths of time. The data analyzed to date for cylinders deployed in 1993 show very low variance among replicates. The physical oceanographic survey of Herring Bay initiated in September 1993 will continue during 1994. The three dimensional flow field will be measured using an Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler (ADCP). The combined study will provide critical information on general circulation patterns, as well as how the tidal cycle influences water motion in Herring Bay during the spring and summer months.
4. Development of *Fucus* germlings to mature plants is important in their recovery since only mature plants can release eggs. Continued monitoring of the growth of established plants is necessary to determine if the higher growth rates at oiled sites will slow to rates similar to the control sites, indicating recovery of the population. To assess growth rates and more accurately estimate recovery time from germling recruitment to mature plant, the growth of established *Fucus* plants of various sizes in all tidal levels at oiled and control sites in Herring Bay will continue to be measured. This study is a continuation of studies initiated in 1991 and the same plants will be used. Tagged plants in three size classes (2-4.5 cm, 5-9.5 cm, and >10 cm) located at three tidal levels will be measured. Plants will be measured twice throughout the summer.
5. Evidence indicates that ephemeral algae colonized better in areas devoid of *Fucus*; while in areas where the *Fucus* beds remained relatively intact, ephemeral algae were less abundant. *Fucus* may release allelochemicals which inhibit the establishment of other algae. To investigate this, monitoring of cleared plots with various sized buffer zones that were established in 1993 will continue. Each replicate consists of four plots, one for each buffer zone treatment plus an unmanipulated control. Circular buffer zones of 50 cm, 1 m and 2 m were cleared around monitored plots. The sampling area consists of a cleared 25 cm radius circle. Percent cover, understory cover, and primary space occupancy will be recorded.

Project Description

6. The settlement rate of *Fucus* eggs has been significantly lower at oiled sites compared to control sites. Because settlement of *Fucus* eggs is one of the limiting factors in *Fucus* recovery, monitoring of the number of eggs settled on oiled and control beaches over a 24-hour period will continue. Grooved plexiglass plates (5x7 cm) will be placed at three tidal levels (0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 MVD) at four pairs of sheltered-rocky sites. Each site will have four transects with three plates, one at each tidal level. After 24 hours, plates will be collected and the eggs will be counted.

D. Location

The proposed monitoring studies will be conducted in the Herring Bay and Knight Island area of Prince William Sound. Intertidal studies were initiated in Herring Bay in May 1990 and have continued through the 1993 field season. Herring Bay was heavily oiled in 1989 and was a central area for clean-up efforts. The bay was chosen for experimental studies because of its oiling history and close proximity to non-oiled sites used as controls. By monitoring populations within Herring Bay, the dynamics of recovery in the intertidal community within the spill impacted area will be better understood.

E. Technical Support

Principal Investigators from the University of Alaska, School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, will cooperate to provide expertise on different aspects of the intertidal study: invertebrate and algal taxonomy and ecology. All mobilization/demobilization efforts associated with the charter vessel will be accomplished through the Seward Marine Center in Seward, Alaska. In addition to the Principal Investigators, a research associate, four technicians and two graduate students will participate in field work, laboratory analysis, and data entry. After the field season, sample and data analysis will take place at the School of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, University of Alaska-Fairbanks and the Juneau Center for Fisheries and Ocean Sciences, using available computers and established data management services. Geographic Information System (GIS) services will be needed from the Alaska Department of Natural Resources to prepare publication quality maps of the sites (Federal Fiscal year 1995).

F. Contracts

The primary contract for this project will be for the use of a research vessel able to support the field work in Herring Bay. The vessel must be able to meet all University safety requirements and be of sufficient size and configuration to meet the needs of the science specified above. Bid specifications will be drawn up and a request for proposals (RFP) will be sent out to prospective bidders. Proposals will be handled according to standard University procurement procedures. After inspection of the top ranking vessels, a final selection will be determined and an award made to the bidder with the lowest cost that has met all of the proposal requirements.

SCHEDULES

During the Summer of 1994 there will be four trips to Herring Bay of nine to fourteen days in duration. The first trip will be slightly longer than the rest, as over-winter experiments need to be checked and possibly re-established. The trips will occur during low tide cycles each month from June through September. The proposed schedule is as follows:

Oct.-Jan.	Prepare detailed study plans and Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for experiments and initiate procurement procedures for charter vessel
Feb.-May	Finalize SOP, sign charter contract
Mar.-May	Purchase/prepare field gear
June-Sep.	Field sampling period
Sep.-Oct.	Data analysis/interpretation
Nov.-Dec.	Report preparation/writing
Dec.	Submit draft report to ADF&G and Peer Reviewers
Jan.-Feb.	Submit final report 45 days after draft is returned

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

Scientific collection permits will be obtained prior to the start of the field season from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The Coordinating Principal Investigator, will be responsible for the overall completion of the proposed project. He will oversee the design of the experiments, data analysis, and the preparation of the final report. He will also be responsible for budget management, administering contracts, and coordinating the research efforts with the other investigators. The Co-Principal Investigator, along with other staff, will be responsible for drafting SOPs, establishing and monitoring experiments, analyzing data, and writing reports. Dr. Mark Johnson will be in charge of all data collection and analyses pertaining to the ADCP survey.

Project Description

Quality control for counting organisms will occur through multiple counts on site. Technicians are experienced in identifying algae and invertebrates in the field. Spot checks will occur throughout the season to monitor their accuracy. Database programs have been established to enter data from ongoing experiments since 1990. Statistical methods used to analyze the data have been reviewed by WEST, Inc., a statistical consulting firm sub-contracted during several past oil spill studies, including the Herring Bay project during 1990-1992. Data analysis procedures will retain as much continuity as possible with previous Herring Bay data, making it possible to make direct comparisons over time.

After the last field trip in September, the effort will shift toward the completion of all data analysis and interpretation and integration of results into a draft report to be submitted by December. A final report will be submitted 45 days after receiving comments from the peer reviewers. The final report will include complete documentation of the methods used for sampling and those used for data analysis, documentation on the location of sites, and summary findings for each of the specific study components. Relevant background information, discussions on methodologies, techniques, equipment, analyses, and interpretations of the results will also be included.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

ADF&G

Personnel	10.1
Travel	0.0
Contractual	682.4
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	0.0

Subtotal 692.5

General Administration 36.9

Project Total 729.4

NEPA Compliance 0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Mussel Bed Restoration and Monitoring

Project Number: 94090

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agencies: ADEC, DOI-NPS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$774.8K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$395.9K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Oil spill impacted areas of Prince William Sound, Kenai and Alaska Peninsulas and Kodiak Island.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The persistence of *Exxon Valdez* crude oil underlying some dense mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*) beds in Prince William Sound (PWS), began to cause concern among scientists and managers from state and federal agencies after exploratory field surveys in 1991. Field surveys were conducted 1992-1993 to establish the geographic extent and intensity of oiling of contaminated mussel beds by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's (NOAA) Auke Bay Laboratory in PWS, and by DOI's National Park Service (NPS) along the Kenai and Alaska Peninsulas. NOAA also examined within-bed petroleum hydrocarbon (HC) distribution, conducted minimally intrusive site manipulation at three oiled beds in 1992, transplant tests in 1993, and tested mussels for effects of chronic exposure to HCs.

Results to date indicate: (1) persistence of oil under mussel beds continues, (2) mussels and sediments from oiled mussel beds continue to be the highest contamination sites in PWS, and (3) oiled mussels continue to be the most likely route of oil exposure to higher level predators.

In 1994, restoration of the oiled mussel beds will be initiated. Mussels will be temporarily removed, oiled sediments replaced, and mussels returned. Other methods of accomplishing reduction in HC levels will be considered. There will be continued monitoring of treated and untreated beds to document the differential rates of recovery.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The presence of substantial levels of petroleum hydrocarbons persisting under dense mussel beds in PWS and the Gulf of Alaska provides a continuing, potential source for HCs to enter the food chain, impacting higher consumers, i.e. harlequin ducks, oystercatchers, juvenile

otters, and humans. There is a possible link in the presence of oiled mussels with disrupted reproduction in harlequin ducks and increased mortality in oystercatcher chicks.

B. Objectives

1. To remove petroleum hydrocarbons from oiled mussel beds. This procedure may take multiple forms; the primary technique will be a mechanical process of removing oiled sediments and replacing them with clean sediments. (ADEC lead)
2. To measure recovery in levels of petroleum hydrocarbons in mussels and underlying sediments in oiled mussel beds in PWS, treated under Objective (A) and in those oiled beds for which treatment is not appropriate. (NOAA)
3. To measure recovery in levels of petroleum hydrocarbons in mussels and underlying sediments in oiled mussel beds along the Kenai and Alaska Peninsulas and in the Kodiak region. To document, quantitatively and qualitatively, the location, persistence, and weathering of oil from the spill outside PWS. (NPS)
4. To measure the physiological and reproductive injury in mussels chronically exposed to petroleum hydrocarbons. (NOAA)

C. Methods

Sediments and mussels are collected for hydrocarbon analyses from identified oiled mussel beds. Sampling methods and protocols have been developed and established which allow inter-year comparisons to detect changes in concentrations. Sediments are screened by ultraviolet fluorescence (UVF) to estimate total petroleum hydrocarbons. Mussels and selected sediments will then be analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) to measure individual aromatic and alkane analytes. These procedures have been developed by previously funded *Exxon Valdez* Restoration Projects.

Physical removal of oiled sediments is proposed for the cleaning portion of this study. Candidate sites will be identified from existing 1991-1993 hydrocarbon data. Additional selection criteria for this process will include density of mussels (and presence/absence and quantity of interspersed cobble and boulders), exposure of mussel beds to natural weathering processes, presence of other topographical features, and other parameters which would influence the success of this procedure at a particular site. The mussel layer will be (1) temporarily removed, (2) the underlying oiled sediments will be removed and dispersed, (3) clean sediments obtained from adjacent, clean areas will be placed in the void, and (4) the mussels will be returned (feasibility tests in 1993 indicates that mussels will reattach themselves to suitable substrate during the subsequent tidal cycle). HC levels in both sediments and mussels will be monitored.

Project Description

To measure physiological and reproductive health of chronically exposed mussels, tests will include measuring feeding rates, growth rates, reproductive indices, and evaluation of tissue abnormalities by histopathological examination.

D. Location

The entire oil spill impacted area.

E. Technical Support

Technical support will be provided by the lead and cooperating agencies.

F. Contracts

Helicopter and vessel charters, procured by standard agency procedures, will be necessary to conduct the field portions of this study. Field workers will be obtained through contracts with the local community.

SCHEDULES

October 1, 1993 - January 31, 1994

- UVF and GC/MS analyses of sediments/mussels collected 1993.

- Hydrocarbon data analyses

- Data compilation and analyses on biological parameters

January 31, 1994 - April 15, 1994

- Data analyses for chemical and biological parameters

- Interim report preparation.

- Preliminary planning for 1994 field season, including preparation of contracting materials

April 15, 1994 - June 1, 1994

- Field planning and selection of sites for cleaning

June 1, 1994 - August 31, 1994

- Field season for all objectives

- Planning for FY95 studies.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Partial salaries for management of this study will be contributed by NOAA for the Auke Bay Laboratory's (ABL) Restoration Program Leader and the Project Leader of this study; and for

the Project Leader of the NPS portion - \$30K and \$10K, respectively. Wet lab and chemistry lab facilities at ABL will be involved at an estimated \$50K over and above any reimbursement.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Restoration of mussel beds will probably require an Environmental Assessment.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

An interim report is scheduled for April 15, 1994. Periodic reports will be submitted as required. Several manuscripts are being prepared for publication in the *Exxon Valdez* Symposium proceedings.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA	ADEC	NPS	TOTAL
Personnel	181.1	122.7	36.9	340.7
Travel	28.3	6.2	3.9	38.4
Contractual	34.0	183.1	20.2	237.3
Commodities	74.2	7.0	2.0	83.2
Equipment	7.5	0.0	0.0	7.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	325.1	319.0	63.0	707.1
General Administration	<u>29.5</u>	<u>31.2</u>	<u>6.9</u>	<u>67.7</u>
Project Total	354.6	350.2	69.9*	774.8
NEPA Compliance	0.0			

* The amount authorized for the NPS portion of this project in FY94 includes \$18.0K that was previously authorized but not spent in FY93.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Killer Whale Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94092

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$163.1K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$171.2K

Project Startup Date: October 1993 **Duration:** 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) inhabit all oceans of the world. Population estimates, based on photo-identification studies, are available for four North Pacific regions, and these are: inland waterways of Washington, British Columbia, southeast Alaska, and Prince William Sound (PWS). Current killer whale population estimates for PWS are 11 resident pods, representing 245 whales, and eight transient pods, representing 52 whales. Of these killer whale pods, AB pod is the most often encountered pod in PWS. AB pod, had 36 whales when last sighted before the spill in September 1988. When sighted on March 31, 1989, seven days after the spill, seven individuals were missing. Six additional whales were missing from AB pod in 1990. A killer whale monitoring project in 1993 will determine recovery of AB pod through the summer of 1993. The proposed project will monitor the continued recovery of AB pod.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Killer whales, recreation, tourism.

B. Objectives

The purpose of this study is to obtain photographs of individual killer whales in AB pod and to document natural recovery. Photographs collected will be compared to the National Marine Mammal Laboratory's (NMML) photographic database for the years 1989 to 1991 to determine if changes continue to occur in whale abundance, pod integrity, mortality and natality rates. Individual objectives are as follows:

1. Count the number and individually identify killer whales within AB pod.
2. Identify changes in pod structure and integrity.
3. Determine killer whale reproductive rates and trends in abundance for AB pod within PWS.

C. Methods

1. Field studies will be conducted by NOAA and contract personnel who have recognized expertise in the study areas of concern. A shore-based camp, equipped with a suitable small boat for whale identification work, will be used in PWS to conduct photo-identification studies on killer whales from July to September 1994. Study areas will be similar to those worked when assessing injury to killer whales from 1989 through 1991 and 1993. The camp will be fully self-contained with necessary items for safety and staffed by at least two biologists. For consistency in data collection, key personnel remain in the field throughout the study period. Weather permitting, field personnel will spend an average of 8 to 10 hours per day conducting boat surveys searching for AB pod. When encountered, other pods of killer whales should be photographed as well. Specific areas, known for whale concentrations, are investigated first. However, if reports of whales are received from other sources, those areas are examined. If AB pod is not located in "known" areas and opportunistic sighting reports are not available; a general search pattern is developed and implemented. Travel routes typically taken by AB pod will be surveyed. When whales are sighted, researchers stop further search efforts and approach the whales to collect photo-identification information. When whales are encountered, researchers select a vessel course and speed to approximate the animals' course and speed to facilitate optimal photographic positioning.
2. Association patterns of individual whales/maternal subgroups will be examined to evaluate the current social structure of AB pod. Whale association patterns will be compared to the four-year database available at NMML (1989-1991 and 1993) to determine if changes have occurred in AB pod structure and integrity.
3. Mortality (number of missing whales) and natality (number of births) will be calculated from the 1994 season through photo-identification studies. The 1994 vital rates will be compared to NOAA's historical database on PWS killer whales to determine trends in abundance.

D. Location

This project will concentrate in western PWS. Support services (food and lodging) will most likely be sought from Chenega.

Project Description

E. Technical Support

None.

F. Contracts

The vessel charter, technical support (photography), and support services (food and lodging) will be contracted.

SCHEDULES

1 Apr 1994	Contract negotiation
1 Jun 1994	Select contractor
15 Jul 1994 to 15 Sep 1994	Field research
30 Dec 1994	Draft report
15 Feb 1995	Final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

No other NOAA projects exist for identifying killer whales in PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This is a field research project in which routine data collection will take place which is limited in context and intensity. Consequently, this project is categorically excluded from being required to provide an Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental Assessment. NOAA will serve as the lead in NEPA compliance.

Permits required by the Marine Mammal Protection Act will be obtained prior to the field season.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

30 Dec 1994	Draft report
15 Feb 1995	Final report

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

NOAA

Personnel	48.9
Travel	5.6
Contractual	89.0
Commodities	6.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	149.5
General Administration	<u>13.6</u>
Project Total	163.1
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Marbled Murrelet Prey and Foraging Habitat in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94102

Lead Agency: USFWS/ DOI

Cooperating Agencies:

Cost of Project, FY94: \$231.5K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$55.2K

Project Startup Date: 2/94 **Duration:** 1 year (Federal fiscal year)

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Alaska

Introduction

Marbled Murrelets (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) are the most abundant seabird in Prince William Sound (PWS) in the summer; however, these birds have declined significantly since the early 1970's. Although murrelets suffered high mortality in the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill, the spill cannot account for the 65% reduction in numbers observed in post-spill years. In other areas of its range, the marbled murrelet has declined due to the loss of old-growth forest nesting habitat, but a comparatively small proportion of potential nesting habitat has been harvested in PWS to date. Thus, the decline of murrelets in the Sound appears to be more complex, and may be food related. As the most abundant apex predator in the PWS marine ecosystem, the murrelet is an important indicator of the health of the marine environment. Additionally, recovery of the murrelet population will depend on the availability of forage fish such as sandlance, capelin, herring and pollock, and the accessibility of good foraging habitat near nesting areas. There is limited information on the prey species used by murrelets, but the spacial and temporal relationships between foraging and nesting habitats for murrelets are unknown.

Project Description

This project will use observations of individual murrelets to monitor the use of resources during the breeding season in PWS. We will identify prey species, locate foraging areas, determine foraging patterns from known nesting areas, and characterize important feeding habitat of murrelets. Individual murrelets will be followed by radiotelemetry, and supplementary data will be obtained by observations with binoculars and spotting scopes. The results will compliment other potential studies of forage fish abundance and distribution in relation to oceanographic characteristics. While forage fish studies increase our knowledge of prey species and their availability, they will not provide information on how murrelets use the forage fish resource and what defines prey availability for murrelets during

nesting and chick rearing. This project will identify the relationship between murrelet nesting and foraging areas and determine if murrelets are selecting specific types of marine habitats for foraging.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resource addressed in this study is the marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) and the forage fish and marine habitats they depend on.

B. Objectives

1. Determine prey types used by murrelets during the breeding season in PWS.
2. Determine the foraging range and patterns of dispersal from nesting areas for murrelets in PWS.
3. Characterize foraging habitats used by individual murrelets during the breeding season.

C. Methods

Prey species will be identified by observations of foraging murrelets on the water using spotting scopes and binoculars. Capture and radio-tagging techniques used successfully in the 1993 murrelet restoration pilot study, will be used for the telemetry portion of the study. This project will be coordinated with other seabird foraging studies and the NMFS forage fish study.

Individually radio-tagged murrelets will be monitored between nesting and foraging areas. The 1993 pilot study, although a small sample size, has already provided some basic information on foraging distribution of murrelets in late summer. By starting the field season during incubation, individuals can be followed throughout incubation, chick rearing and post-fledgling periods. At minimum, general nesting locations will be identified and the foraging distances mapped by following birds from boats and aircraft. Where possible, detailed observations will be made of their foraging site, such as distance from shore, water temperature, turbidity, salinity, currents, and presence of prey species (using hydroacoustic equipment). The foraging locations will be digitized to a GIS and overlaid with bathymetric and other available oceanographic data to define general characteristics of foraging areas. Foraging behavior of the murrelet, such as length of foraging bout, dive times and success rate will also be measured.

D. Location

The primary study areas in PWS will be selected from previously used study areas with known nesting sites and where murrelets have been successfully captured. These sites include Unakwik Inlet, Naked Island, and Knight Island. Potential sites may also be selected

Project Description

from areas where other seabird studies or forage fish studies are to be conducted, such as Icy Bay and Orca Inlet.

5. Technical Support

MBM/GIS mapping support and AFWRC assistance will be used in identification of prey species and training in interpretation of sonar data..

6. Contracts

The capture and radio-tagging of murrelets will be contracted to qualified professionals, and will include the support vessel required for this portion of the project. Prey samples may be identified and measured under contract to the University of Alaska or other private consultant. A portion of warehouse rental fees will be covered by this project for equipment storage and preparation.

SCHEDULES

Fiscal 1994

April-May	Contracting, hiring of biotechnicians, safety training, boat and data collection equipment preparation, field training.
June	Capture and radio-tagging of murrelets, tracking by air and boat.
July-Aug	Continue tracking of murrelets by air and boat. Detailed observations of foraging murrelets, collection of prey samples.
Sept	Data entry and analysis of prey samples, digitizing of locations of radio-tagged murrelets.

Fiscal 1995

Oct-Dec	Analysis and report writing.
Jan	Draft report.
March	Final report.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

There is no existing program within the USFWS or NMFS to monitor forage fish or murrelet foraging requirements in PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The radio-tagging portion of this study is designed to minimize the potential for accidental death of the birds during handling, and the birds will be released unharmed. As a scientific study, it is categorically excluded from the requirements of NEPA, in accordance with CEQ regulation 40 CFR 1508.4.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Personnel will be trained to identify both *Brachyramphus* species and juveniles using photographs, study skins, and training sessions in the field. Training sessions will also be given for conducting at-sea counts and the identification of fish (held by murrelets on the water) using spotting scopes. The murrelet capture and radio-tagging personnel will be in continuous contact with the principal investigator to monitor their methods, success rate and advise on plans. All data will be entered into a computer database and archived at USFWS. Reports will be peer reviewed.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	119.3
Travel	4.0
Contractual	71.8
Commodities	10.3
Equipment	8.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	213.4
General Administration	18.1
Project Total	231.5
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Habitat Protection - Data Acquisition & Support

Project Number: 94110

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: ADEC, ADF&G, DOI-FWS, USFS, DOI-NPS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$678.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$144.5K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

The objective of habitat protection is to identify and protect essential wildlife and fisheries habitats and associated services injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Protection of these habitats prevents additional injury to these resources and services supported by them while recovery is taking place.

In 1993 the Restoration Team's Habitat Protection Work Group (HPWG) conducted a survey and assessment of selected parcels of private land within the oil spill zone. The lands were scored, ranked and mapped using the Trustee Council approved Interim Evaluation Process to determine the value of these areas to injured resources and services and the benefits that could be achieved through habitat protection. The evaluation was done using a variety of available data and information gathered from various agencies and technical experts, data collected during The Nature Conservancy's workshop, Natural Resource Damage Assessment reports, and field visits.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project will provide the logistical and technical support necessary for the Technical Analysis Subgroup of the Habitat Protection Work Group to survey, identify and assess the upland and nearshore habitats of the nineteen, linked resources and services injured by the oil spill. The chief goals of this 1994 project would be to fill in data gaps, complete field surveys and evaluations, design projects and map evaluation units. Existing information, newly acquired survey data and evaluation results would be integrated and mapped using GIS, data management and project/document tracking databases. The initial product will be a comprehensive, evaluation document that contains analyses of all large parcels of private lands (owned by willing participants) within the spill zone and recommendations for habitat

protection on these lands. The documents will contain prioritized restoration units mapped in color.

Another aspect of this project would involve site inspections to evaluate the efficacy of various options that arise during negotiations. These site visits, which would occur subsequent to the first rounds of negotiations, may be required to ensure that the proposed protection options adequately meet stated restoration objectives. Site inspections would necessarily be conducted when the option is less than acquisition of full title, e.g., a conservation easement that allows the landowner to retain development rights that might adversely impact injured resources or services.

The element of the Comprehensive Process that concentrates on the larger parcels will be completed by late Fall of 1993. There are, however, advantages to protection of multiple, small areas. Once this first round of evaluations is complete and submitted to the Trustee Council, a *small parcel paradigm* will be developed and used for the evaluation and ranking of small parcels. The development of this evaluation system and its implementation will take place during the remainder of 1994. The product of this evaluation will be a comprehensive, evaluation document that contains analyses of all small parcels of private lands greater than 160 acres (owned by willing participants) within the spill zone and recommendations for habitat protection on these lands. The documents will contain prioritized restoration units mapped in color.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The affected injured resources and associated services are listed below. Habitat protection objectives and benefits for each of these resources and services would differ depending on the particular parcel and the options acquired; however, general objectives and benefits are outlined below.

Pink salmon, sockeye salmon, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden, herring: Ensure maintenance of adequate water quality, riparian habitat and intertidal habitat for spawning and rearing.

Bald eagle: Ensure maintenance of adequate nesting habitat and reduce disturbance in feeding and roosting areas.

Black oystercatcher: Reduce disturbance to feeding and nesting sites.

Common murre: Reduce disturbance in nearshore feeding areas and near nesting colonies.

Harbor seal and sea otters: Reduce disturbance at haul-out sites, pupping sites, and in nearshore feeding areas.

Harlequin duck: Ensure maintenance of adequate riparian habitat for nesting and brood rearing, and reduce disturbance to nearshore feeding, molting, and brood-rearing habitats.

Project Description

Intertidal/subtidal biota: Maintain water quality along shorelines and reduce disturbance in nearshore areas.

Marbled murrelet: Ensure maintenance of adequate nesting habitat and reduce disturbance to nearshore feeding and broodrearing habitats.

River otter: Ensure maintenance of adequate riparian and shoreline habitats for feeding and denning.

Recreation: Maintain or enhance public access for recreational opportunities, and reduce disturbances that would create visual impacts.

Wilderness: Maintain wilderness qualities, and reduce impacts to wilderness qualities.

Cultural resources: Maintain or reduce disturbance to cultural resource sites.

Subsistence: Ensure subsistence opportunities in known harvest areas.

B. Objectives

1. Evaluation, restoration unit design, scoring and ranking of selected private parcels (ADFG, ADNR, USFS, FWS).
2. Design and test of small parcel evaluation paradigm/method (ADFG, ADNR, USFS, FWS).
3. Data collection, interpretation, sorting, management, programming, and mapping (ADNR & ADFG).
4. Site reconnaissance on Kodiak Island, Afognak Island, Alaska Peninsula, Kenai Peninsula and PWS (ADFG, ADNR, USFS, FWS).
5. Site inspections and evaluation of protection options (project specific) (ADFG, ADNR, USFS, FWS).
6. Development of comprehensive analysis document for Trustee Council review (ADFG, ADNR, USFS, FWS).

C. Methods

Existing data and data obtained by Habitat Protection Work Group in 1993 will be analyzed to fill data gaps to the maximum extent possible. This will include some additional

programming, database management, and GIS work to sort data and to map resource information where appropriate.

The Habitat Protection Work Group Technical Analysis Subgroup has a need to catalog and manage all the documents that have been amassed to date. They also will acquire all documents listed within the Nature Conservancy (TNC) workshop manuals as well as reports from the scientific literature. This will be done using OSPIC expertise and staff. Document and project tracking databases will be designed to handle raw data and specific project information.

Site reconnaissance visits and on-site option evaluations will be conducted by the Habitat Protection Work Group Technical Subgroup using standard evaluation formats developed by this subgroup. Travel will be done via air and boat charters to be determined on a site-specific basis.

Documentation and final report preparation will be accomplished by Habitat Protection Work Group staff. Final products may be sent out to a printer on an as needed basis.

D. Location

The analysis will cover all selected lands within the oil spill zone. These lands are located within Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, Kodiak/Afognak Archipelago and on the Alaska Peninsula.

E. Technical Support

Technical support is needed from OSPIC to catalog and manage documents required by this project and acquire documents related to this project.

Alaska Department of Fish and Game and Department of Natural Resources will provide computer support for programming and data management.

F. Contracts

Reimbursable services agreements will be issued to divisions of participating agencies and private contractors to provide services specified under technical support.

SCHEDULES

Field surveys and assessments will be scheduled as a function of:

1. Review of 1993 surveys and evaluations,
2. Results of on-going and future negotiations with landowners, and
3. Addition of lands from landowners who have agreed to participate in the program.

An initial comprehensive evaluation report on selected parcels will be provided to the Trustee Council in December 1993. Design and test of small parcel evaluation methodology will be completed in Winter 1993-1994. Survey and evaluation of small parcels will be completed during summer 1994.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

During FY94, the federal and state agencies involved in this project will contribute to this project, information and expertise associated with normal operations. This project will synthesize this information and develop an effective knowledge base specific to the goals and needs of Habitat Protection and the comprehensive parcel evaluation.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Environmental documentation will need to be conducted on a project/parcel specific basis as the Trustee Council approves proceeding with negotiations.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

1. Computer databases easily accessible with resource information for lands within the spill zone.
2. Cataloged and organized library containing all resource documents required by this project.
3. Site survey reports for all available lands within the spill zone.
4. Video and color photographs of surveyed units.
5. Color maps depicting restoration units and surrounding lands.
6. Comprehensive analysis documents for all available lands within the spill zone.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADNR	ADEC	ADF&G	USFS	FWS	TOTAL
Personnel	87.6	0.0	51.9	9.8	27.2	176.5
Travel	17.2	0.0	17.2	8.6	8.6	51.6
Contractual	330.0	12.0	34.5	4.5	3.0	84.0
Commodities	4.6	0.0	6.5	0.5	0.5	2.1
Equipment	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	440.4	12.0	110.1	23.4	39.3	625.2
General Administration	36.2	0.8	10.2	1.8	4.3	53.4
Project Total	476.6	12.8	120.3	25.2	43.6	678.6
NEPA Compliance	0.0					

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Habitat Protection and Acquisition Fund

Project Number: 94126

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: USFS, DOI-FWS, DOI-NPS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$1,032.1K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$TBD

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Kodiak Island Borough, and Alaska Peninsula

INTRODUCTION

The objective of habitat protection is to identify and protect essential wildlife and fisheries habitats and associated services injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Protection of these habitats, prevents additional injury to the resources and services while recovery is taking place.

In 1993 the Restoration Team's Habitat Protection Work Group conducted a survey and assessment of selected parcels of private land within the oil spill zone. The lands were evaluated, ranked and mapped using the Trustee Council approved Interim Evaluation Process to determine the value of these areas to injured resources and services, and the benefits that could be achieved through habitat protection. Following that ranking the Trustee Council started negotiations on several parcels to provide habitat protection. Successful negotiations were conducted with owners of inholdings within Kachemak Bay State Park and on northern Afognak Island.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purpose of this project is to facilitate the purchase of habitat protection rights by the Trustee Council. In addition, this project will provide information necessary to develop post-acquisition management recommendations consistent with restoration objectives for the acquired interest in a particular parcel. Site inspections may be necessary during the final negotiation process and also during the development of post-acquisition management recommendations.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The affected injured resources and associated services are listed below. Habitat protection objectives and benefits for each of these resources and services would differ depending on the particular parcel and the options acquired, however, general objectives and benefits are outlined below.

Pink salmon, sockeye salmon, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden, herring: Ensure maintenance of adequate water quality, riparian habitat and intertidal habitat for spawning and rearing.

Bald eagle: Ensure maintenance of adequate nesting habitat and reduce disturbance in feeding and roosting areas.

Black oystercatcher: Reduce disturbance to feeding and nesting sites.

Common murre: Reduce disturbance in nearshore feeding water and near nesting colonies.

Harbor seal and sea otters: Reduce disturbance at haul-out sites, pupping sites, and in nearshore feeding areas.

Harlequin duck: Ensure maintenance of adequate riparian habitat for nesting and brood rearing and reduce disturbance to nearshore feeding, molting, and brood-rearing habitats.

Intertidal/subtidal biota: Maintain water quality along shoreline and reduce disturbance in nearshore areas.

Marbled murrelet: Ensure maintenance of adequate nesting habitat and reduce disturbance to nearshore feeding and broodrearing habitats.

River otter: Ensure maintenance of adequate riparian and shoreline habitats for feeding and denning.

Recreation: Maintain or enhance public access for recreational opportunities, reduce disturbances that would create visual impacts.

Wilderness: Maintain wilderness qualities, reduce impacts to wilderness qualities.

Cultural resources: Maintain or reduce disturbance to cultural resource sites.

Subsistence: Ensure subsistence opportunities in known harvest areas.

Project Description

B. Objectives

The Habitat Protection and Acquisition Fund Project will be used for acquiring lands or partial interests in lands that contain habitats linked to resources and/or services injured by the oil spill. The Trustee Council will consider purchasing habitat protection rights using the following tools: fee acquisition, conservation easements, acquisition of partial interests, cooperative management agreements, and others.

C. Methods

Funds from this project will be used to acquire full title or partial interests in lands, subject to approval by the Trustee Council, that contain habitats linked to resources and services that were injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Acquisition of lands or interests in lands will be accomplished according to accepted realty principles and practices. All acquisitions will require title evidence, appraisals of fair market value, litigation reports, hazardous substances surveys, legal review of title, and negotiations. Some acquisitions may require land surveys and additional ecological surveys. Post-acquisition management surveys will be conducted by the Habitat Protection Work Group Technical Analysis Subgroup using standard evaluation formats developed by this subgroup. Travel will be done via air and boat charters to be determined on a site-specific basis. This fund allows for expenditure of funds for the activities noted above, once a specific parcel has been approved for acquisition/protection by the Trustee Council. Following purchase, acquired parcels (or interest in parcel) will be managed by the appropriate resource agency in a manner that is consistent with the restoration of the affected resources and/or services. The Trustee Council will decide which agency will manage the land or may create a new management authority.

D. Location

The analysis will cover all selected lands within the oil spill zone. Lands are located within Prince William Sound, Kodiak/Afognak Archipelago and on the Alaska and Kenai Peninsulas.

E. Technical Support

Appropriate federal agencies and the Alaska Department of Natural Resources will provide support for title searches, appraisals, and hazardous substances surveys.

F. Contracts

Contracted support is expected for appraisals of fair market value, litigation reports, legal title reviews and other contracts deemed necessary by the Trustee Council.

SCHEDULES

Dependent upon negotiations with landowners.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Habitat Protection - Acquisition Fund activities will coordinate with and consider ongoing agency activities whenever possible.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Environmental documentation will need to be conducted on a project/parcel-specific basis as the Trustee Council approves proceeding with negotiations.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Performance monitoring procedures are currently being developed.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

The allocation of Joint Trust Funds to this project is presented below.

	ADNR	USFS	USFWS	TOTAL
Personnel	17.1	30.0	126.6	173.7
Travel	12.8	33.0	27.7	73.5
Contractual	249.0	400.0	20.0	669.0
Commodities	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5
Equipment	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
Capital Outlay	<u>TBD*</u>	<u>TBD*</u>	<u>TBD*</u>	<u>TBD*</u>
Subtotal	278.9	463.0	224.8	966.7
General Administration	20.0	25.0	20.4	65.4
Project Total	298.9	488.0	245.2	1,032.1

NEPA Compliance To be determined

* The dollar amount for FFY 94 capital outlay and FFY 95 costs are to be determined (TBD) based on Trustee Council actions.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Stock Identification of Chum, Sockeye, Chinook, and Coho Salmon in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94137

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$261.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$261.6K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 Years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Recent wild stock salmon production (exclusive of pink salmon) in Prince William Sound (PWS) ranged from 800,000 to 900,000 chum salmon, 300,000 to 500,000 sockeye salmon and 10,000 to 20,000 coho and chinook salmon. Up to 75% of wild pink and chum salmon spawn in intertidal areas, with the greatest proportion of intertidal spawning occurring in streams flowing into the southwestern portion of PWS. Oil from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill was deposited in intertidal spawning areas used by pink and chum salmon and may have adversely affected spawning success and early marine survival for these species. Also, emergent fry and smolt of all salmon species from throughout PWS migrated through and reared in areas contaminated by oil. The suite of injuries already identified led to a decline in the size and overall well-being of wild pink salmon populations. These effects may persist for several years. Adult returns and tag recoveries for sockeye, chum, and chinook which return at older ages are not complete, and the full extent of injury to these species is not yet known.

Salmon stocks impacted by the spill are heavily exploited in commercial, sport, and subsistence fisheries. Their restoration can most effectively be achieved through more sensitive management of the commercial fishery. The injured populations exist in fisheries dominated by hatchery and wild stocks from unaffected areas of the Sound. The management of this mixed stock fishery has historically been based on maintaining good temporal and spatial distribution of spawning escapement for groups of stocks in eight major fishing districts. The success of this management effort relies upon the manager's ability to control stock specific exploitation rates. Restoration based on such a management strategy will require even more accurate inseason catch stock composition estimates if lower harvest rates are to be achieved for injured wild stocks versus unimpacted wild stocks or hatchery stocks.

The foundations for this project were firmly established in feasibility studies begun in 1986 and extending through 1988. During the damage assessment process for the spill, large scale tagging and recovery projects were instituted and perfected under Natural Resources Damage Assessment (NRDA) Fish/Shellfish (F/S) Study #3. Damage assessment funds were expended for tagging hatchery releases of sockeye, coho, and chinook salmon in 1989 and 1990 and releases of chum salmon in 1990. Tag recovery efforts for wild and hatchery salmon were funded by damage assessment funds in 1989, 1990, and 1991 and by restoration funds in 1993.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project is designed to provide estimates of hatchery and wild fish contributions to commercial and cost recovery fisheries in PWS by recovering coded wire tags from all salmon species other than pink salmon. These estimates will allow fisheries managers to monitor the size and health of wild salmon populations and lessen interceptions of wild fish in mixed stock fisheries, thus helping wild stocks to recover more quickly. The project will be administered and supervised by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G).

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Sockeye salmon *Oncorhynchus nerka*, chum salmon *O. keta*, chinook salmon *O. tshawytscha*, and coho salmon *O. kisutch* in Prince William Sound, Alaska and the commercial, subsistence and sports fisheries they support would benefit from this study. The contribution estimates will allow fisheries managers to lessen interceptions of wild fish in mixed stock fisheries and to achieve desired escapement levels of wild salmon.

B. Objectives

1. Sample 25% of the sockeye, chum, chinook and coho salmon catches from commercial and cost recovery fisheries in PWS for coded wire tags.
2. Sample approximately 95% of the hatchery sockeye, chum, chinook and coho salmon brood stock in PWS for coded-wire tags.
3. Make inseason estimates of the temporal and spatial contributions of tagged hatchery stocks of sockeye, chum, chinook and coho salmon to PWS commercial and hatchery harvests based on the number of tags detected in adipose-clipped fish recovered during catch sampling.
4. Provide timely inseason estimates of hatchery and wild stock contributions to harvests by time and area to fisheries managers so they can closely regulate exploitation of injured wild stocks.
5. Use data from decoded tags recovered from commercial catches, cost recovery harvests, and hatchery brood stock to verify or adjust inseason contribution estimates.
6. Estimate marine survival rates for each uniquely coded hatchery release group where possible.

C. Methods

Tag recoveries will be made from a stratified random sample. Fisheries will be stratified by district, discrete time segments and processor. For each stratum, 25% of the sockeye, chum, chinook and coho salmon commercial harvest and cost recovery harvest will be scanned for fish with a missing adipose fin. Catch sampling will be conducted in processing plants located in Cordova, Valdez, Anchorage and Whittier. Broodstock sampling will also occur at 3 PWS hatcheries. A minimum of 50% of the daily broodstock requirements at each hatchery will be scanned for fish with missing adipose fins.

In the catch, cost recovery and broodstock samples, the total number of fish scanned and the total number of fish with missing adipose fins will be recorded. The heads will be removed from fish with missing adipose fins. Each head will be tagged with uniquely numbered strap tags. Recovered heads will be shipped to the Tag Lab in Juneau for decoding and data posting. The information will then be sent to Cordova for data analysis.

D. Location

Sampling of salmon catches from commercial and cost recovery fisheries will occur in shore-based processing plants in Cordova, Valdez, Whittier, and Anchorage. There will also be sampling in Seward, Kenai, and aboard floating processors if significant numbers of PWS salmon are processed at those locations. Extraction and decoding of tags will be accomplished by the ADF&G coded wire tag lab in Juneau. All data analyses will be completed in Cordova with assistance from Anchorage-based ADF&G biometrics staff.

E. Technical Support

ADF&G will supply biometrics support to ensure that project methods and data analyses will provide inseason stock contribution estimates at levels of accuracy and precision required for management of wild stocks in PWS.

F. Contracts

Air charter and vehicle rental contracts will be needed for this project. ADF&G will administer and supervise the project.

SCHEDULES

Dates	Activity
May 15-Sept 30, 1994	Tag recoveries in commercial fisheries, cost recovery harvests, and brood stocks. Inseason catch stock composition estimates by time and area for management of commercial and cost recovery fisheries.
November 30, 1994	Draft summary report
January 15, 1995	Final Report

Overall project design, supervision, coordination, data analyses, and reporting will be the responsibility of the ADF&G. They will supervise all the day-to-day project activities, complete inseason analyses for the ADF&G Area Management Biologist, and take the lead on all post season analyses and reporting. Biometrics support approval of project design and quality control procedures, review of all data analyses, and editorial support for project reports will be done by the ADF&G Anchorage Regional Office.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Coded wire tags recovered by this project are applied by Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation and Valdez Fisheries Development Association at their expense. The annual cost of tag application by these private non-profit corporations is approximately \$160,000.

Project Description

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

All sampling activities for this project occur within fish processing plants or fish hatcheries. No specific permits are required. ADF&G will coordinate with the Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation and Valdez Fisheries Development Association with respect to locating samplers in their respective fish hatcheries.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

ADF&G will be responsible for all data collection, analyses, and report writing on this project. ADF&G is also responsible for integration of information from this project into their inseason fisheries management decisions. The ADF&G Principal Investigator will design the study, oversee data collection, and provide the ADF&G Area Management Biologist for salmon with inseason catch contribution estimates for hatchery and wild stocks and make recommendations about management actions required to reduce exploitation on injured wild stocks.

Personnel policy, purchasing practices, field camp operations, safety procedures, and project administration will be in compliance the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Manual of Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Data collection procedures are similar to those used in NRDA F/S Study #3. These procedures have been thoroughly reviewed by the NRDA peer review process and approved by the Restoration Team.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

ADF&G	
Personnel	208.9
Travel	1.8
Contractual	17.2
Commodities	1.2
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	229.1
General Administration	32.5
Project Total	<u>261.6</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Salmon Instream Habitat and Stock Restoration

Project Number: 94139

Lead Agency: USFS

Cooperating Agency: ADF&G

Cost of Project, FY94: \$572.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$202.2K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Lower Cook Inlet, Kodiak Island Area

INTRODUCTION

This project is the result of a three-year survey of the oil-spill impact area to identify appropriate and cost-effective instream habitat restoration and enhancement techniques for salmon. The most promising instream habitat restoration projects are included in this package. Four projects have been identified in Prince William Sound, one project has been identified in Lower Cook Inlet, and one project has been identified on Afognak Island. These projects are intended to replace injured salmon spawning habitat by providing access to existing habitat or creating new habitat in areas where suitable groundwater resources exist. Projects by area are as follows:

Prince William Sound	Spawning Channel at 6.5 mile on the Richardson Highway
	Montague Island Chum Salmon Restoration
	Otter Creek Barrier Bypass
	Shrode Creek Barrier Bypass
Lower Cook Inlet	Spawning Channel at Port Dick
Afognak Island	Barrier Bypass at Little Waterfall Creek

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Mile 6.5 Richardson Highway Spawning Channel:

The project at 6.5 mile Richardson Highway near Valdez will benefit pink, coho, and chum salmon. The spawning habitat created by the project will likely support 7,186 pink, 5,134 chum, and 822 coho salmon spawners. These species are utilized for sport fishing, viewing, and commercial fishing in the Valdez area. Recreational fishing, tourism, and the commercial fishing industry will benefit from this project.

Montague Island Chum Salmon Restoration:

Prior to the 1964 earthquake, Montague Island streams accounted for nearly 8% of the total chum salmon production in Prince William Sound. Habitat alterations caused by the uplift, combined with a number of environmental and man-induced factors, led to the virtual extirpation of chums on the island. Many of the historic chum salmon producing streams on Montague Island were moderately to lightly oiled by the Exxon Valdez oil spill. This project will reestablish chum salmon on Montague Island and rehabilitate 10 miles of stream habitat for fish and wildlife. In addition, at least one artificial spawning channel will be created.

Otter Creek Barrier Bypass:

The project will provide access for all salmon, trout and char by designing and constructing a fish pass at the barrier falls on Otter Creek. Presently there is an Alaska steep pass, built in 1982, on a barrier falls near the mouth that allows cutthroat trout access past the falls. A July 1991 monitoring trip by the US Forest Service indicated, however, that all fish would be unable to move past a small vertical falls above the steep pass. Additionally, there are two five foot cascades that could be modified for easier passage to allow access to a 55 acre lake and a 3 acre pond.

Shrode Creek Barrier Bypass:

The Shrode Creek fishway was initially constructed in 1962 to bypass a 10 ft. barrier falls and provide consistent access to Shrode Lake and two small unnamed lakes. These lakes are utilized by sockeye, coho, and pink salmon, as well as cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden. Chum salmon are also present in the creek. A 1991 inspection indicated the need for immediate replacement of the gabion baskets as many salmon were impaled and gilled by the deteriorating gabions. The lower concrete wall has been undercut by the current and needs to be replaced.

Port Dick Spawning Channel:

The project at Port Dick on the outer Kenai Peninsula will benefit pink and chum salmon through creation of habitat that will support up to 1,500 spawners. This drainage system was

Project Description

chosen because it is one of the most productive pink and chum salmon streams in Lower Cook Inlet, and it was moderately to heavily oiled by the spill.

Little Waterfall Creek Barrier Bypass:

The project at Little Waterfall Creek on Afognak Island will provide consistent access to spawning habitat with a capacity for 24,000 pink salmon and an undetermined number of coho salmon. The average escapement has been 6,000 pink salmon. Little Waterfall Bay was also oiled by the spill.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Six fish species will benefit including sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, chum salmon, cutthroat trout and Dolly Varden. Commercial and sport fisheries will be improved.

B. Objectives

1. Construct three spawning channels and three barrier bypasses in the oil spill impacted area.
2. On Montague Island, rehabilitate 10 miles of stream habitat for fish and wildlife to accelerate natural stream stabilization and promote healthy riparian forest.
3. Evaluate the success of the projects by estimating numbers of spawning salmon utilizing improved habitat and the number of fry produced each year.
4. Conduct necessary project maintenance each year.

C. Methods

Mile 6.5 Richardson Highway Spawning Channel:

The project at 6.5 mile on the Richardson Highway will increase the amount of available spawning habitat through construction of five channels intersecting the existing stream channel. Each channel will be from 200 to 300 m in length and 4 m wide. Approximately 5,135 m² of spawning habitat will be created. Groundwater data from this site indicates that the quality of spawning habitat created will be very high.

Montague Island Chum Salmon Restoration:

Projects will include instream structure placement, spawning channel(s), various spawning and rearing habitat improvement structures and development of riparian forest management direction. Riparian forest management will include tree planting and tree thinning of selected zones.

Otter Creek Barrier Bypass:

A fishpass will be designed and constructed to overcome a 1.5 m falls. Two 2 m cascades will also be modified for easier passage. The water level in a jump pool will be raised by means of gabions.

Shrode Creek Barrier Bypass:

Gabion baskets will be replaced and a new cement wall will be constructed.

Port Dick Spawning Channel:

The project at Port Dick on the outer Kenai Peninsula will increase the amount of available spawning habitat through construction of a spawning channel immediately above the high tide zone. The channel will be 150 m in length and 4 m wide. Approximately 600 m² of spawning habitat will be created. Fry will be planted in the channel to accelerate the rate of colonization.

Little Waterfall Creek Barrier Bypass:

The project at Little Waterfall Creek on Afognak Island will provide access to a significant amount of pink and coho spawning habitat above a migratory barrier. The existing barrier bypass structure at this site is not operating to its full potential. Barrier bypass improvements at Little Waterfall Creek will focus on construction and modification of the present bypass structure at the third upstream barrier. The bypass grade will be reduced by removing the existing concrete resting tanks and extending the bypass to lower the gradient. This will require adding a 9.1 m extension to the bypass, two resting tanks, and an entrance tank.

D. Location

Area	Project Type	Location
Prince William Sound	spawning channels	tributary of the Lowe River near mile 6.5 on the Richardson Highway, NW of Valdez.
	instream structures, spawning channel & riparian management	streams located on the northeast and western shore Montague Island
	barrier bypass	Otter Creek, Bay of Isles, Knight Island
	barrier bypass	Shrode Creek, Long Bay, Culross Passage
Lower Cook Inlet	spawning channel	Port Dick on the outer Kenai Peninsula

Project Description

Afognak
Island

barrier bypass

Little Waterfall Creek on Afognak Island

E. Technical Support

None.

F. Contracts

The construction of some projects will be contracted to private construction contractors. Project maintenance and evaluation will generally be conducted by agency staff.

SCHEDULES

Each project will involve construction and a subsequent five-year period of evaluation to determine the success of habitat improvement. This time period is necessary for projects that produce chum and sockeye salmon that will not return as adults until age 4 or 5. The anticipated schedule of events for the first year of the project is described in Table 1.

Table 1. Anticipated schedule of events for proposed instream habitat enhancement projects.

Activity	Period	AREA		
		PWS	LCI	AFOG
Groundwater monitoring	11/93 - 6/94		X	X
Project construction	5/94 - 8/94		X	X
Plant eyed eggs	8/94 - 11/94			X
Estimate spawner abundance	8/94 - 11/94	X	X	X
Submit annual project report	4/95	X	X	X
Estimate egg-to-fry survival	3/95 - 5/95	X	X	X

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Shrode Creek, Otter Creek and Little Waterfall Creek have existing fish passes. The Shrode Creek fishway was originally constructed in 1962 and was modified during 1965-1967. This fishway has required substantial maintenance since the 1980's. The Otter Creek steep pass was constructed in 1982. Little Waterfall Creek fish passes were constructed in the 1970's and 1980's. During 1987 through 1990, chum salmon fry were released at Chalmers River on Montague Island. Adult chum salmon have been observed in Chalmers River since 1990. Straying chum salmon have been observed in two other streams on the island.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The USFS is the lead Federal agency for NEPA compliance.

Project	Land Owner	NEPA STATUS
Spawning Channel at 6.5 mile on the Richardson Highway	State land	EA required
Montague Island Chum Salmon Restoration	USFS land	covered by existing EA
Otter Creek Barrier Bypass	CAC land	EA required
Shrode Creek Barrier Bypass	USFS land	maintenance project, categorical exclusion
Spawning Channel at Port Dick	State land	EA required
Barrier Bypass at Little Waterfall Creek	Koniag Regional Corp. land	maintenance project, categorical exclusion

PERFORMANCE MONITORING**Mile 6.5 Richardson Highway Spawning Channel:**

The number of spawners and fry will be counted each year. Out migrating fry will also be coded-wire tagged to allow estimation of total adult production from the project.

Montague Island Chum Salmon Restoration:

The total number and distribution of chum salmon spawners will be estimated.

Otter Creek Barrier Bypass:

Salmon, trout and char utilizing the steep pass will be monitored.

Project Description

Shrode Creek Barrier Bypass:

Migrating salmon, trout and char will be observed at the fish pass to gauge ease of access.

Port Dick Spawning Channel:

The success of the project at Port Dick will be evaluated by counting the number of spawners utilizing the channel and estimating egg-to-alevin survival in the channel each year.

Little Waterfall Creek Barrier Bypass:

The success of the project at Little Waterfall Creek will be evaluated by counting the number of spawners utilizing habitat above the barrier and estimating egg-to-alevin survival each year.

An annual report submitted in April, 1995 will detail the number of spawners utilizing each project during 1994. Reports submitted in subsequent years will detail egg-to-alevin survival and estimated adult production from each project.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K):

	USFS	ADF&G	TOTAL
Personnel	83.3	58.5	141.8
Travel	4.2	12.0	16.2
Contractual	32.5	285.9	318.4
Commodities	32.9	3.9	36.8
Equipment	13.9	2.0	15.9
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	166.8	362.3	529.1
General Administration	<u>14.7</u>	<u>28.8</u>	<u>43.5</u>
Project Total	181.5	391.1	572.6
NEPA Compliance	3.0	3.0	6.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Comprehensive Monitoring Program

Project Number: 94147

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agencies: USFS, DOI-FWS, DOI-NPS, ADFG, ADEC, ADNR

Cost of Project, FY94: \$112.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$295.0K

Project Startup Date: July 1994 **Duration:** 8 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

The *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council will implement a comprehensive and integrated monitoring program for natural resources and services (human use) injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. This program will follow the progress of recovery, evaluate the effectiveness of restoration activities, and document long-term trends in the condition of resources and services affected by the spill. Resulting information will guide restoration activities during the recovery phase of the spill, provide information useful to the long-term management of resources and services in the spill area, and improve upon the environmental baseline from which the impacts of other disturbances are assessed.

Because of the complexities of both institutional and technical issues associated with developing a meaningful monitoring program for the spill area, a phased approach was undertaken. In Phase 1, a conceptual design was developed that can be used by the Trustee Council as a guide to decision making about monitoring activities and provides the criteria and procedures desirable for implementing specific monitoring plans. It also established the relationships among those who require and those who produce monitoring information, as well as establishing how monitoring is integrated and coordinated among the various activities. It was the intent of this effort to guide the development of a Recovery Monitoring Plan in Phase 2.

In Phase 2, a technical design for each monitoring element (sediments, invertebrates, fish, birds, mammals, and associated services [commercial fishing, tourism, recreation, subsistence]) is being developed that specifies how, when, and where data will be collected, analyzed, interpreted, and reported. Phase 2 also will include the design of a rigorous quality assurance program, a strategy for review and update, and a design for a data management system. Phase 3 is full implementation of monitoring and research based on the designs and strategies established in Phase 1 and Phase 2. The comprehensive monitoring plan will be developed in FY94 for implementation in FY95.

This proposed project addresses the need to provide central coordination and management during implementation of this multifaceted program in FY95. A small amount of planning funds are requested in FY94 to prepare for the FY95 program.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The spill area includes at least five distinct environments affected by oil and clean-up, or important to the recovery of injured resources, habitats and services. These are: marine, freshwater stream, river and lake, and adjacent upland. Injured species and habitats associated with these environments that, at a minimum, may be monitored include, marine mammals (harbor seal, killer whale, sea and river otter), birds (common murre, marbled murrelet, pigeon guillemot, black oystercatcher, harlequin duck), fish (sockeye salmon, pink salmon, cutthroat trout, Dolly Varden, Pacific herring), intertidal, and subtidal. Archaeological sites and artifacts as well as designated wilderness areas also should be addressed. This does not preclude monitoring other biological as well as, chemical and physical components of the spill area that are integral to monitoring the overall health of the affected ecosystem.

Principal services lost or reduced as a consequence of the spill that may require monitoring include recreation (e.g., boating, camping, sport fishing and hunting), commercial fishing, commercial tourism, subsistence, and passive uses (aesthetics).

B. Objectives

This project will initiate the planning and coordination necessary to begin the Comprehensive Recovery Monitoring Program in FY95. The Comprehensive Recovery Monitoring Project slated for FY95 requires some initial expenditures which will set up an overall management program, a Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) program to ensure the quality of monitoring data, and establish a coordination and management program to review all stages of program design and implementation.

C. Methods

In order to successfully implement an ambitious and wide-ranging program as contemplated, a high degree of organization is needed to create the design, analyze, interpret and disseminate the data generated, and to ensure that all aspects of the program are carried out as intended.

1. Management

It will be the responsibility of the Trustees to develop a management structure during FY94 to ensure that decisions are made logically in a scientifically defensible manner and that activities are directed towards established goals and objectives.

2. Funding

A monitoring program plan and funding request will be developed for presentation to the Trustee Council for consideration in each annual work plan. Annual monitoring plans and budgets will be consistent with guidance established in the Phase 2 - Detailed Recovery Monitoring Plan.

3. Data Dissemination

A data management system will be developed that can be readily accessed by researchers, program managers, and the public. What is intended is a system to provide information on ongoing and completed monitoring and research projects, such as an on-line "electronic bulletin board" where users can conduct searches of a database containing detailed summaries of monitoring program elements.

4. Quality Assurance

The Recovery Monitoring Program will be performed according to appropriate standards that assure accuracy, precision, representativeness, comparability, and completeness of technical work. The basis of these standards will be a QA/QC Program that will be prepared by the Trustee Agencies.

5. Peer Review

A peer review panel will review all stages of program design and implementation. This panel will include the Chief Scientist; it will be small (6-10 members); and, the expertise of it's membership will reflect the topic of the proposal, report, or issue being evaluated or discussed. The Chief Scientist will maintain a pool of reviewers from which to select the membership of panels.

The peer review panel will be asked periodically to evaluate the future direction of the recovery monitoring program. With the assistance of the Chief Scientist, an annual meeting could be organized for purposes of reviewing recent past results and addressing the next years monitoring needs.

6. Competitive Process

Competitive bidding processes will be used to obtain the most qualified natural and social scientists to conduct monitoring where practical. Contracting support will be provided by the participating lead Trustee Agency. It is assumed that the Trustee Agencies will conduct some of the monitoring themselves and will reserve the right to determine which monitoring components are better conducted by outside organizations.

D. Location

The central database and administrative record will be housed and serviced in one central location, possibly from the Trustee Council Restoration Office in Anchorage. Other records (project files) will be maintained at various Trustee Agency offices.

E. Technical Support

Computer services will be required to set-up (includes training) and maintain the "electronic bulletin board" housing the Recovery Monitoring Program database.

F. Contracts

FY94 costs include an allocation (not to exceed \$50K) to continue a contract for consultant services to complete development of the Recovery Monitoring Plan.

SCHEDULES

Implementation of a management program will begin in August 1994. Work will begin concurrently on the design of the data management system and QA/QC Program. It is anticipated that these management tools will be in-place by October 1994.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

There is no existing agency program.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

NEPA requirements for the Comprehensive and Integrated Recovery Monitoring Program will be addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement for the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Plan. This will not, however, obviate the responsibility for each Trustee Agency to conduct additional NEPA reviews as various components of the comprehensive program is implemented.

Project Description

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

An independent peer review panel will be selected by the Trustee Council to determine if recovery monitoring plans, projects and related activities are implemented as designed and in compliance with the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Restoration Plan.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA
Personnel	21.0
Travel	20.0
Contractual	60.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	4.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	105.5
General Administration	<u>7.4</u>
Project Total	112.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Marine Bird and Sea Otter Boat Surveys

Project Number: 94159

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$286.2K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$43.3K

Project Startup Date: January 1994 **Duration:** 1.25 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The waters and shorelines of Prince William Sound (PWS) support abundant marine bird and sea otter populations throughout the year. Potential injuries to marine birds from exposure to the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill included, but were not limited to, death, changes in behavior, and decreased productivity. Post-spill surveys, identical to this proposal, suggested that the population abundance of sea otters and several marine bird species declined as a result of the spill. Nearly 30,000 bird and 900 sea otter carcasses were recovered following the spill. Of these totals, 3,400 bird and 490 sea otter carcasses were from PWS. Based on modeling studies using carcass search effort and population data, an estimated 375,000 - 435,000 marine birds were killed in PWS and the northern Gulf of Alaska by the oil spill. The majority of these birds were murres. The number of sea otters killed in PWS by the spill was estimated to be 2,800 otters. These estimates may be low because they only include direct mortality occurring in the first five months after the spill and do not include chronic effects or loss of reproductive output.

The USFWS conducted boat surveys of marine bird and sea otter populations in PWS in 1972-73, 1984-85, and several years following the spill (1989, 1990, 1991 and 1993). These surveys documented overall declines in several PWS marine bird populations between the early 1970s and the years after the spill. Declining species or species groups were cormorants, scoters, black oystercatcher, scaup, glaucous-winged gull, black-legged kittiwake, Arctic tern, pigeon guillemot, marbled murrelets, Kittlitz's murrelets, and northwestern crow. Differences were also detected between the oiled area and the non-oiled areas. Nine species or groups (cormorants, harlequin duck, black oystercatcher, pigeon guillemot, northwestern crow, loons, mew gull, Arctic tern, and scoters) declined more in the oiled area. Specific studies of three of these species (i.e. harlequin duck, black oystercatcher, and pigeon guillemot) corroborated the population changes found by the boat surveys. Data from the boat surveys documented declines in sea otter density and abundance in shoreline habitats of PWS following the spill. A continuing pattern of

significantly lower sea otter densities in oiled coastal areas was detected, suggesting an increase mortality or displacement of sea otters from these areas.

Monte Carlo simulations using these data examined the estimated power of the abundance estimates. The simulations showed that power increased substantially for simulated sampling regimes in which data were collected every year rather than every other year over a 9-year period.

This project has several benefits. Population estimates are required to determine whether recovery is occurring or if declines are continuing. This project will benefit marine birds and sea otters by revealing species that show continuing injury due to the spill; this information is necessary to plan meaningful restoration actions.

This project will also provide valuable information on the distribution and habitat use of these species. Survey data from this project have been used for these purposes by investigators of harlequin ducks, marbled murrelets, black oystercatchers, and sea otters. Survey methods are flexible enough to provide for collection of more detailed information, such as age class data, if such information is requested by other investigators.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources to be studied are marine and coastal birds and marine mammals in PWS waters and shores.

B. Objectives

The purpose of this study is to monitor the recovery of species whose populations may have declined due to the spill by obtaining annual estimates of the summer and winter populations of marine birds and sea otters in PWS.

1. Determine distributions and estimate abundances, with 95% confidence limits, of marine birds and sea otters in PWS during summer and winter.
2. Determine the recovery of marine bird species populations that declined more in oiled areas than in unoiled areas of PWS since the early 1970's, specifically cormorants, harlequin ducks, black oystercatchers, pigeon guillemots, northwestern crow, mew gulls, Arctic tern, and scoters.
3. Support restoration studies on harlequin ducks, black oystercatchers, pigeon guillemots, marbled murrelets, and other marine birds and sea otters by providing data on population changes and distribution within PWS.

4. Identify critical habitats of PWS species of special concern, especially areas that may be purchased and thus protected.

C. Methods

1. Sampling Methods

Survey methodology will remain identical to that of post-spill surveys conducted in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1993. For these surveys, we will use three USFWS 25-foot Boston Whalers.

A stratified random sampling design with shoreline, coastal-pelagic and pelagic strata will be used. The shoreline stratum includes all water within 200 m of shoreline, the strip of land within 100 m of the shore, and air space to an altitude of 100 m. Irons divided the shoreline stratum of PWS into 742 transects, then in 1989, we selected a random sample of 25 % of these transects. Since 1990, we have surveyed all transects sampled in summer 1989, plus 25 additional transects or 28% of the transects surveyed by Irons. The additional transects were randomly selected from the western Sound to increase the precision of estimates for the oiled area. For the March survey, the number of transects are reduced to 13 % of the total because weather delays often extend the time required to complete the survey.

Both coastal-pelagic and pelagic strata consist of plots of water delineated by 5-minute latitude and longitude intervals and exclude any water within 200 m of the coast. Coastal-pelagic plots intersect more than 1 nm (nautical mile) of shoreline, while pelagic plots intersect less than 1 nm of shoreline. Two north-south transect lines located 1 minute inside the east and west boundaries of each pelagic and coastal-pelagic plot are surveyed. When a plot is too small to contain 2 transects due to intersection with land, it is combined with an adjacent plot.

2. Data Analysis

As in previous surveys, we will use a ratio estimator to estimate population abundance. Summer and winter population estimates for each species or species group will be added to other post-oil spill population estimates to determine population trends. Regression analyses will be used to document the recovery of injured species and population changes of other species.

3. Alternatives

Aerial surveys are a potential alternative to these boat surveys. The major disadvantage to this method is the bias caused by observers being unable to see all birds present. Counts from aerial surveys are usually much lower than those from boat-based surveys, creating higher variances in population estimates. Aerial surveys in PWS have often required the same amount of time to complete because of the weather, thus aerial surveys offer no temporal advantage over boat-based surveys.

D. Location

This study will be conducted in PWS. The entire Sound, including oiled and unoiled areas, will compose the study area.

E. Technical Support

All technical aspects, such as GIS, will be conducted by project personnel, therefore outside technical support is not needed.

F. Contracts

This project includes two contracts of approximately \$36,000 to provide logistical support for the smaller Boston Whalers. A vessel large enough to provide lodging and meals for 9 people and 300-350 gallons of fuel per day will be chartered for logistical support and safety during the surveys.

SCHEDULES

1994	Jan. - Feb.:	Prepare Detailed Study Plan, hire personnel, make logistic arrangements for winter survey
	Mar. 1 - 20:	Conduct winter survey
	Apr. - June:	Data entry, make logistic arrangements for summer survey
	Jul. 5 - 25:	Conduct summer survey
	Aug. - Nov.:	Equipment storage, data entry, data analysis and report writing
	Dec. 15:	Draft Report to Oil Spill Office
1995	Feb. 1:	Draft Report to Peer Review Committee
	Mar. 31:	Final Report complete

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Migratory Bird Management has been involved in several studies in the PWS area, including marbled murrelets, black oystercatchers, black-legged kittiwakes and pigeon guillemots. In addition to our studies in PWS, we are presently conducting similar work in lower Cook Inlet, another region of the spill zone, but not with oil spill restoration funds.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This study relies on observations from boats and is a non-intrusive study. Based on a review of CEQ regulation 40 CFR 1500-1508, this study qualifies for a categorical exclusion from the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act, in accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

To ensure that project design and procedures are followed, (1) all crew members will partake in training surveys prior to initial surveys, (2) one person on each boat will be responsible for maintaining consistent data collection procedures, (3) standardized forms will be used during data collection, (4) data forms will be checked at the end of each day to ensure the integrity of the data, and (5) all data entered into the computer will be carefully checked for errors. An observer manual, describing data collection methods, was developed to maintain consistency in data collection over time and among observers. Use of GPS technology, for finding and checking transect coordinates, will help insure data accuracy as well.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	164.2
Travel	14.5
Contractual	41.0
Commodities	13.0
Equipment	26.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	258.7
General Administration	<u>27.5</u>
Project Total	286.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Forage Fish Influence on Recovery of Injured Species

Project Number: 94163

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agency: ADF&G

Cost of Project, FY94: \$606.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$709.3K

Project Startup Date: April 1994

Duration: 5 Years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and adjacent Gulf of Alaska waters

INTRODUCTION

A better understanding is needed of how prey availability affects distribution, abundance, growth and reproductive success of apex predators. Efforts to restore predatory species affected by the oil spill, particularly harbor seals, pigeon guillemots, marbled murrelets, common murre, and salmon, could be delayed or completely unsuccessful without understanding distribution, abundance, and availability of important forage fish including herring, pollock, sand lance, and capelin.

The overall forage fish project will evaluate existing field methods used in determining distribution, abundance, availability, and class composition of forage fish. Provisions will be included to model effects of changing oceanographic regimes on forage fish species' distribution, abundance, and productivity. Oceanographic regimes will be characterized by measuring, at a minimum, currents, salinity, and temperature.

A sampling program will be designed to provide distribution, densities and species composition of forage fish species. This project will also provide information on sex, age, growth, food habits, recruitment, and mortality of forage fish species. Field surveys will determine locations of where apex predators forage and the distribution, abundance, and availability of forage fish of both nearshore and offshore waters within PWS and adjacent Gulf of Alaska waters. Ecosystem models to estimate biomass and productivity of forage fish species will be selected, evaluated, and refined.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION**A. Resources and/or Associated Services**

This project will concentrate on determining distribution, abundance, and availability of important prey species (e.g., herring, pollock, sandlance, capelin, euphausiids, copepods) to predatory species affected by the oil spill (i.e. harbor seals, pigeon guillemots, marbled murrelets, common murre, and pink salmon). Diet overlap and prey selection among forage fish species will also be examined. This information, trophic position and niche overlap among species, will be used to establish the basic structure of the ecosystem model. The models of changing oceanographic regimes and prey species productivity and distribution would be necessary for understanding recovery of predatory species, and useful in guiding recovery activities.

B. Objectives

1. Determine temporal and spatial distribution, abundance, and availability of important prey species (e.g., herring, pollock, sandlance, capelin, euphausiids, copepods) in PWS.
2. Determine how important biotic and abiotic factors affect both short- and long-term distribution and abundance of prey species in the oil spill area.
3. Determine how predator distribution, abundance, and foraging strategy coincide with forage fish distribution and abundance.
4. Evaluate existing field methods used in determining distribution, abundance and availability of forage fish.
5. Design and verify, with field tests, a sampling program which determines densities and species composition of forage fish relative to the locations of their predators including marine mammals, marine birds, and salmon. Begin reconnaissance surveys in selected areas of PWS.
6. Characterize oceanographic measurements including, at a minimum, currents, salinity, and temperature.
7. Initiate development of ecosystem models to estimate productivity of forage fish.
8. Determine forage fish prey as determined from stomach content analysis for fish collected from nearshore and offshore sites, and estimate degree of diet overlap among species.

C. Methods

Various sampling techniques will be evaluated including hydroacoustics, side-scan sonar, mid-water trawls, purse seines, and small mesh beach seines. Specific methodology will be determined through competitive bidding and contract negotiation.

Juvenile forage fish will be sampled in offshore areas using a mid-water trawl net and in nearshore areas using a purse seine. Each species will be identified and length and weight measured on a minimum of 150 individuals randomly selected from each species in each sample. Fifteen fish from each species will be preserved in 10% buffered formaldehyde from each sample for later analysis of stomach contents. Samples of 15 individuals will be obtained for each available species from 75 sites in PWS. Samples for stomach contents analysis will be collected between 1500 and 2100 hours each day within a two week period during May 1994. Laboratory analysis of stomach samples will consist of enumeration and identification of prey to the lowest possible taxonomic level. Total stomach contents weight will be estimated by the sum of the average weight of all prey items in the stomach. The proportion of total stomach contents weight in each prey category will be estimated. Analysis of variance on the ranks will be used to test for differences ($P=0.05$) in prey composition among species. The prey electivity index will also be estimated using data obtained from zooplankton samples collected during fish sampling. Electivity indices will be compared among species.

Additional components of the study will be formulated for implementation in future years. Experts will be brought together as appropriate for development and review of the program. Participants to administer the components will be identified along with potential funding source.

D. Location

This project will concentrate its initial activities within PWS; however, some sampling design feasibility work may be performed in Gulf of Alaska waters adjacent to the Sound.

E. Technical Support

This project will generate data which will be useful to the monitoring projects and studies currently underway in PWS. In order to insure access to these data, the information collected from this project will be incorporated into the Comprehensive Monitoring Program data base sponsored by the Trustee Council.

F. Contracts

A consultant will be asked to:

1. Evaluate existing field methods used in determining distribution, abundance, and availability of forage fish (e.g., high-frequency quantitative acoustic sampling).
2. Design and implement a sampling program to, at a minimum, provide for determining densities and species composition of important invertebrate species (euphausiids, copepods, etc.). For fish species, provide for determining sex, age, growth, recruitment, mortality, etc.
3. Characterize oceanographic regimes by measuring, at a minimum, currents, salinity, and temperature.
4. Determine locations of where apex predators forage and conduct field surveys to validate productivity models of nearshore and offshore waters within PWS and the waters of the Gulf of Alaska adjacent to PWS.
5. Develop ecosystem models to estimate productivity of important prey species (both invertebrate and vertebrate forms), including provisions to model affects of changing oceanographic regimes on prey species productivity.

SCHEDULES

Soon after the contractor is established (approximately April 1994), work will begin on evaluating existing field methods used in determining distribution, abundance, and availability of important prey species. In conjunction with this, the contractor will begin to develop generic ecosystem models to estimate productivity of important forage fish species, and to design a sampling program which fulfills requirements of the ecosystem models. By May 1, 1994, these activities should be advanced enough to allow for the startup of initial field reconnaissance surveys to begin determining locations of forage fish and to validate effectiveness of survey techniques for future use. The productivity models will be refined each year as additional field data is obtained. Annual reports (first annual report due April 1995) will include progress on refining the productivity models. A project status report will be due Dec. 1994.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

ADF&G, PWSAC, VFDA, and UAF participate in the Cooperative Fisheries and Oceanographic Studies. Existing data collection program includes: monthly zooplankton sampling and CTD surveys of PWS; continuous temperature monitoring

Project Description

(0-90m C-LAB buoy in central PWS); bi-weekly zooplankton sampling (0-20m) at all PWSAC and VFDA hatcheries; juvenile salmon sampling to estimate growth and feeding habits (May-July); application of coded-wire tags to juvenile salmon to estimate early-marine growth and survival to adult; and, satellite IR image processing for May-July each year.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project will fall under the categorical exclusion within NEPA. A major component of this project is essentially a planning exercise, and the other components are routine environmental sampling for which no requirement to undertake an additional National Environmental Policy Act review is necessary. NOAA will serve as the lead for NEPA compliance.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A project status report will be submitted by the contractor in Dec. 1994 which discusses existing field methods used in determining distribution, abundance, and availability of important prey species, and the process and justifications for selected survey techniques. The report will present and discuss the results of the field surveys including locations of forage fish and, when possible, the biomass of these species and forage fish prey as determined from stomach content analysis. The report will also describe and evaluate ecological models to estimate productivity of important prey species, and a sampling program to fulfill requirements of ecological models. Annual reports, (first annual report due April 1995) will include progress on refining the productivity models.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA	ADF&G	USFWS	TOTAL
Personnel	66.0	74.2	40.4	180.6
Travel	10.0	0.7	4.0	14.7
Contractual	350.0	2.4	0.0	352.4
Commodities	0.0	3.3	5.3	8.6
Equipment	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	426.0	84.1	49.7	559.8
General Administration	<u>29.4</u>	<u>11.3</u>	<u>6.1</u>	<u>46.8</u>
Project Total	455.4	95.4	55.8	606.6
NEPA Compliance	0.0			

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Herring Genetic Stock Identification in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94165

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$62.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Herring are a major resource in Prince William Sound (PWS) from both a commercial and ecological perspective. The timing of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill (EVOS) overlapped the annual spring migration of herring spawners to nearshore staging areas. Over 40% of the herring spawning staging and egg deposition areas (42 of 98 miles) and over 80% of the documented summer rearing and feeding areas were light to heavily oiled prior to the spawning events. As a result, herring encountered oil during each of their four life stages in 1989 and, to a lesser extent, in 1990. Adult herring traversed oil sheens and mousse while traveling northward and eastward. Eggs were deposited on oiled shorelines and were coated by sheen through tidal action while incubating. Larvae hatched that contained lipophilic petroleum hydrocarbons in their yolk sacs and encountered sheen near the surface while in their most sensitive stage. Post-larval or juvenile herring swam through and remained near lightly to heavily oiled shorelines, regularly encountering sheen, mousse, and dissolved oil particulates and components throughout the summer while feeding in shallow nearshore bays and passes.

In 1993, the total observed spawning population was less than one-third of preseason predictions and the average sizes of herring in each age class were some of the smallest on record. Of the four commercial herring fisheries which normally occur each spring, guideline harvests were attained for only one gear type (gillnet sac roe). Purse seine sac roe fishermen, who typically average about 66% of the annual harvest, did not realize any harvest due to low commercial quality and low abundance of herring. A preliminary pathology study implicated viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) as a potential source of mortality. Recovery from oil-related injuries may be impacted by other factors such as VHS.

Determining genetically derived stock structure is will aid the success of many fisheries or restoration programs. Consistent overexploitation of mixed stocks leads to the demise of less productive stocks. Unfortunately, defining the stock structure of herring has been

particularly difficult. There is evidence that herring home, but straying may also be substantial. Morphological differentiation of herring from discrete geographic regions has been used as evidence for the existence of genetically distinct stocks. But much of this variation may be environmentally mediated and has not been confirmed with genetic data.

Previous surveys of herring using the genetic techniques of allozyme electrophoresis have generally revealed differentiation only over broad geographic regions. Two distinct races of Pacific herring (Asian/Bering Sea - eastern North Pacific) have been defined, with further subdivision between Gulf of Alaska and more southerly North Pacific stocks. However, more recently, genetic divergence using allozyme markers has been described among local spawning populations of Pacific herring in the vicinity of northern Japan. This project would investigate whether similar genetic divergence exists in PWS herring projects.

An explosion of new genetic techniques has occurred in recent years as a result of recent advances in molecular biology. The utility of these newer techniques to detect fine genetic structure in Pacific herring has not been properly assessed. We propose to use a combination of current allozyme techniques combined with mitochondrial and nuclear DNA techniques to more accurately define the stock structure of herring from the EVOS-affected area. The data can also be used to estimate the stock composition of non-spawning aggregations contributing to the fisheries in Prince William Sound. If this project documents distinct stocks in PWS, the stock structure data will be essential to the stock assessment model in PWS and therefore the development of a restoration plan.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Pacific herring *Clupea harengus pallasii* are a major resource in Prince William Sound (PWS) from both commercial and ecological perspectives. Five commercial herring fisheries in PWS have an average annual combined ex-vessel value of \$8.3 million. Pacific herring provide important forage for many species including some species severely injured by the EVOS. Predator species include humpback whales, seals, sea lions, gulls, sea ducks, shorebirds, halibut, salmon, rockfish, and other fish. In addition, several thousand pounds of herring and herring spawn-on-kelp are harvested annually for subsistence purposes and form an important part of the local native culture of Chenega and Tatitlek.

The goal of this project is to improve the accuracy of current stock assessment methods and models, thus improving resource management. Incorporating genetically-derived stock structure information is crucial to the success of any fisheries or restoration program. Improved accuracy will allow fishery managers to make appropriate adjustments of fishing quotas to harvest the maximum available surpluses with the lowest possible risk of overharvest, injury to the resource, or economic loss to the fishing industry. Because commercial and subsistence herring harvests represent substantial contributions to local economies, intensive management is expected to benefit all communities in PWS.

Project Description

Restoration efforts can be directed and evaluated through improved fishery management and continued resource monitoring.

B. Objectives

A study to test for genetic heterogeneity among spawning aggregations of Pacific herring within PWS and adjacent areas will be initiated. The objectives of the study are to

1. Identify the most promising of the currently available molecular techniques for stock analysis. Techniques under consideration include DNA sequencing of mitochondrial and nuclear regions, mini satellite analysis (analysis of regions with variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR)), RAPD analysis (random amplified polymorphic DNA markers), and restriction analysis of mitochondrial DNA.
2. Attempt stock identification using allozyme protein electrophoresis.
3. Evaluate the utility of the allozyme and the other chosen molecular techniques to discriminate among putative herring stocks within and adjacent to PWS.

A comprehensive survey of the entire EVOS-affected areas may be proposed in future years pending the results of Objective 2.

C. Methods

Field collections of spawning Pacific herring will be made from four representative sites within PWS. The collection sites will be chosen to maximize the potential genetic differentiation among the aggregations. A sample of 100 individuals will be collected from each aggregation. Tissue extracts from muscle, liver, eye, and heart will be collected. Extracts from individual fish will be preserved in both alcohol and in liquid nitrogen.

A request for proposals will be issued for the molecular analyses to be conducted at the contractor's facilities. Allozyme electrophoretic analysis will be conducted by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) following standard protein electrophoretic techniques.

The specific molecular techniques to be investigated will be chosen based on: 1) a review of the current literature and recently available research results and 2) responses and qualifications of competitive bidders.

D. Location

Field research will be conducted within the confines of PWS. Exact locations will depend upon the distribution of spawning herring. Laboratory studies and data analysis will be conducted at the ADF&G area office in Cordova and the regional office in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

Personal computers purchased under previous studies are adequate to complete this project. Data will be archived in accordance with standardized procedures set up for handling *Exxon Valdez* oil spill related databases.

F. Contracts

Proposals for development and survey of molecular techniques will be contracted to 1 or more qualified lab(s) using the State of Alaska competitive bid process.

SCHEDULE

Prepare, advertise, award contract	Oct 1993-Jan 1994
Collection of baseline samples	April 1994
Laboratory analyses	May-Oct 1994
Evaluation of results	Sept-Dec 1994
Preparation of final report	Jan-March 1995

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The statewide genetics laboratory within the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development is located in Anchorage and is well equipped for allozyme and DNA studies. Current staff include geneticists, a genetics biometrician, and laboratory technicians. Collection of specimens and biological data will be coordinated by the ADF&G's ongoing herring research program in PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is the lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

The proposed studies provide for data collection and field sampling programs. No environmental effect of these programs occurs beyond that of traditional fisheries management data collection activities. These activities are within existing collecting permits

Project Description

or Federal special use permits issued to the ADF&G for scientific data collection. No other permits or other coordination activities are involved.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Project performance will be monitored through checks and balances of the Alaska State Accounting System within the ADF&G Divisions of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development (CFMDD), Habitat and Restoration, and Administration, and within the Department of Administration. Administrative provisions for hiring of personnel and contracting are covered in state standard operating procedures and administrative regulations and are monitored within the State of Alaska hiring and administrative chains of command. Hiring for new positions will follow state guidelines. Temporary reassignment of duties for existing permanent and seasonal CFMDD personnel in Cordova and Anchorage may be used as needed. Project time frames for reports and analyses will be maintained through proper planning and integration of these activities within the existing CFMDD administrative structures.

Scientific and technical aspects of the study are subject to internal review within the CFMDD reporting system. Publications are submitted through an internal peer review process with the major findings submitted to peer reviewed journals. Reports, work plans, and study design are subject to the peer review process established by the EVOS Trustee Council. Annual status reports will be generated with publications being provided in peer review journals and scientific symposia as significant findings are obtained. A final report will be issued upon completion of the final year of field data collection.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	20.0
Travel	1.0
Contractual	31.0
Commodities	5.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	57.0
General Administration	<u>5.2</u>
Project Total	62.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Herring Spawn Deposition and Reproductive Impairment

Project Number: 94166

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: NOAA

Cost of Project, FY94: \$466.3K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$459.8K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: Three years

Geographic Area: Herring Spawning Grounds Throughout Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The *Exxon Valdez* oil spill coincided with the spring migration of Pacific herring, *Clupea harengus pallasii*, to spawning grounds in Prince William Sound (PWS). Adult herring swam through oiled waters on their way to nearshore staging areas. Studies of oil spill injuries to herring were initiated in 1989. Research continued through 1992 with contributions from both state general funds and the Trustee Council. Significant histopathological injury was measured in adults collected in oiled areas in both 1989 and 1990 confirming exposure of the fish to toxins. Oiling of over 40% of the spawning areas (42 of 98 miles used) caused elevated levels of physical and genetic abnormalities in newly hatched larvae and reduced hatching success of the embryos. Over 80% of the summer rearing and feeding areas of herring were oiled in 1989 (based on oil trajectory and historic fisheries records to 1914). Mortality of young herring was statistically greater in oiled areas than in unoiled areas in 1989 and 1990, and sublethal effects were measurable in larvae and adults in 1989 and 1990. Persistent sheening from beaches and cleaning operations in 1989 and 1990 continued to expose adult and juvenile herring to oil. Laboratory exposure of pre-spawning adult herring to oil show high concentrations of hydrocarbons in the ovarian tissue. Laboratory studies measuring the effect of known doses of oil on newly hatched larvae provided a direct link between estimated doses of oil measured in PWS and the level of injury observed in samples collected from the field. Although herring survival varies greatly under normal conditions, abundance for the 1989 year class is extremely low. The oil spill may have contributed to the poor returns.

One hypothesis is that injury to germ tissue caused by exposure to oil would result in non-viable embryos and larvae. Consequently, a pilot experiment to measure the ability of herring from this age class to produce viable offspring was conducted in 1992. Hatching success of eggs collected from fish spawning in previously oiled areas was less than half that of eggs collected from fish spawning in pristine areas.

In 1993, the total observed spawning population was less than one third of pre-season predictions, and the average sizes of herring in each age class were some of the smallest on record. Of the four commercial herring fisheries which normally occur each spring, guideline harvests were attained for only one gear type (gillnet sac roe). Purse seine sac roe fishermen, who typically average about 66% of the annual harvest, did not realize any harvest whatsoever, due to low commercial quality related to fish size and low abundance of herring. A preliminary pathology study implicated viral hemorrhagic septicemia (VHS) as a potential source of mortality and stress. It is not clear whether the spill may be implicated, although numerous studies have shown that previous exposure to toxins can reduce immunity to disease.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Herring are a major resource in PWS from both commercial and ecological perspectives. Five commercial herring fisheries there have an average annual combined ex-vessel value of \$8.3 million. Herring also provide important forage for many species including some species severely injured by the spill. Predator species include humpback whales, seals, sea lions, gulls, sea ducks, shorebirds, halibut, salmon, rockfish, and other fish. In addition, several thousand pounds of herring and herring spawn on kelp are harvested annually for subsistence purposes and form an important part of the local Native culture of Chenega and Tatitlek.

The primary goals of the proposed project are to improve the accuracy of fisheries management of the PWS herring resources and to determine whether genetic injury to early life stages of herring can be caused by exposure of pre-spawning adult, egg, and larval stages to oil and relate this injury to larval survival potential. Accurate and precise estimation of herring abundance is crucial to the improvement of management accuracy. Improved accuracy will allow fishery managers to make appropriate adjustments of fishing quotas to harvest the maximum available surpluses with the lowest possible risk of overharvest and additional injury to the resource, or of underharvest and economic loss to local communities. Because commercial and subsistence herring harvests represent substantial contributions to local economies, intensive management is expected to benefit all communities in PWS. Modification of management actions to more conservative harvests may be necessary if the stocks appear to be suffering from reproductive impairment.

B. Objectives

Specific objectives of this project include the following:

1. Estimate the biomass of spawning herring in PWS using SCUBA diving spawn deposition survey techniques such that the estimate is within $\pm 25\%$ of the true value 95% of the time.

Project Description

2. Estimate the proportion of eggs removed from the spawning areas due to wave action or predation between the time of egg deposition (spawning) and the time of hatching.
3. Determine whether herring eggs and larvae exposed to oil suffer genetic injury.

C. Methods

Estimates of spawning biomass will be included in ongoing Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) investigations of age structured analysis of PWS herring stocks to project the biomass of herring returning to spawn (run biomass) in 1995. This forecast of run biomass will be used directly to set guideline harvests for herring commercial fisheries. Spawning biomass estimates and results from laboratory studies will be combined with information from previous herring research studies to continue evaluation of oil spill-related injury to the resource and to grossly assess the progress of resource rehabilitation. However, results from the proposed project are likely to have only limited utility to assess resource rehabilitation without additional knowledge of stock discreteness and mixing and recruitment processes.

Specific methods to be used for spawn deposition surveys will be similar to methods established in previous studies. Aerial surveys conducted as a regular part of commercial fishery management activities will be used to estimate the extent and distribution of herring spawn and to provide the basis for locating survey transects at nearshore spawning grounds. SCUBA divers will conduct surveys along transects to estimate the number of herring eggs deposited on vegetation and bottom substrate. Preserved samples of eggs attached to vegetation will be collected and retained for later laboratory analysis. Field estimates of the number of eggs attached to the vegetation will be compared to more rigorous laboratory egg counts to calculate diver-specific and vegetation-specific bias.

Representative samples of spawning herring will be collected for determination of age, weight, length, and sex as part of regular ongoing data collection programs. Egg counts adjusted for measured diver and substrate bias will be combined with estimates of the extent of total spawning area and area sampled to estimate the total number of eggs deposited in PWS. The spawning biomass required to produce this total will be calculated from total egg deposition, average fish size and sex ratio for 1994, and average fecundity at size measured in previous studies.

Estimates of natural loss of eggs due to predation and mechanical disturbance will be used to adjust spawning biomass estimates from spawn deposition surveys. Egg loss sampling sites will be established at three depths along several transects at each major spawning concentration. Divers will estimate the number of eggs contained within replicate quadrats at each site every four days and samples will be collected and preserved for laboratory validation of diver estimates.

Hydroacoustic (sonar) and seine surveys of herring in winter feeding areas and of herring migrating into spring spawning areas were considered as an alternative method for assessing biomass. However, the suitability of using hydroacoustic methodology for PWS herring has not been demonstrated, and results from previous hydroacoustic surveys were not credible due to poor sample design and inappropriate gear. Improved hydroacoustic techniques have a high probability of successfully yielding cost-effective, real-time estimates of herring biomass. But demonstrating suitability could take from one to three years of technique development and would require research on stock discreteness and distribution to be meaningful. Spawn deposition was selected because the methods and applicability are well established and data from previous studies are available with which to compare estimates.

Genetic effects will be measured by exposing herring adults, eggs and juveniles to oil. Pre-spawning adults will be collected by purse seine shortly before they spawn. They will be maintained in the laboratory for 1 to 2 weeks, then exposed to oil. Before and after exposure, tissues (ovary, liver, muscle, and neural) will be collected for hydrocarbon analysis by gas chromatography and for mixed function oxidase (MFO) analysis. Bile will be collected to compare laboratory results with past and future bile measurements from field collected herring. After exposure adults will be artificially spawned at several different times to optimize hatching success in controls. Eggs will be maintained in clean running seawater until hatch. Samples will be collected for determination of genetic aberrations at larval life stages, and several biological parameters such as survival, hatch timing, and growth will be monitored. Hatched larvae will be fed rotifers (a small invertebrate); feeding incidence will be routinely recorded. Mortality will be estimated at the endpoint. Samples will be collected for genetic analysis and growth. This experiment will continue approximately 3 weeks beyond larval hatch and terminate before larger food is required.

There will be two types of oil exposure, water soluble fractions of oil (WSF) and ingestion of oil. The WSF exposure will provide continuity with past research and serve as a reference with which to compare ingestion results. Ingestion of oil is a possible route of contamination of herring in Prince William Sound. Exposure by introducing a surface slick of oil above schooling herring, or by direct coating are impractical.

In year 2, exposures will begin in egg and larval stages; observation parameters will be the same as in year 1. In year 3, no new reproductive impairment lab work will be conducted, only the completion of chemical analyses and report writing

D. Location

Field research will be conducted within the confines of PWS. Exact locations will depend upon the distribution of spawning herring. Laboratory studies and data analysis will be conducted at the ADF&G area office in Cordova and regional office in Anchorage and at the NOAA laboratory in Auke Bay.

E. Technical Support

Administrative support will be provided by ADF&G and the Alaska Department of Administration (ADA) staff. Because these studies will be integrated with existing ADF&G research and management programs, project leaders, divers, seasonal field staff, and biometrics staff are not fully funded by this project and are supported primarily with State General Funds. Laboratory processing of calibration and egg loss samples will be completed in the Cordova office lab by ADF&G seasonal personnel funded by this project. The Cordova lab is currently inadequate and is scheduled to be remodeled, using State funds, to meet Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) regulations. Additional costs are expected to be incurred to ensure that work is completed in time for the 1994 field season. In the event that remodelling is not completed on time, it will be necessary to rent other facilities such as lab space at the Prince William Sound Science Center in Cordova. Data will be archived by project staff in accordance with standardized procedures set up for handling oil spill related databases.

Auke Bay chemists will participate in the reproductive impairment experiment, including dosing, and analyze samples for hydrocarbons. Computer services, data archiving, and GIS mapping are all services available in-house at the Auke Bay Laboratory.

F. Contracts

Field data collections for each study component will require the use of contracted vessels secured through an open competitive bid process. Ample biometrics support is not currently available within ADF&G to support sample design and data analysis for the egg loss component, and an attempt will be made to recruit a qualified biometrician. However, if a qualified person cannot be secured within ADF&G, this work will be subcontracted. A purse seiner will be contracted to collect the adult herring required for the reproductive impairment experiments. Contractors may be solicited to perform analyses of genetic aberrations, MFO, histopathology, and bile.

SCHEDULES**Spawn Deposition and Egg Loss:**

Nov. 1993 - Feb. 1994	Initiate vessel charter bids and contract. Secure divers, ensure certification requirements are met or in progress. Complete data review and sample design for egg loss study. Complete sample design for diver calibration. Track and facilitate Cordova lab remodeling.
Mar. 1994	Complete any necessary diver certifications. Order spawn deposition laboratory supplies and field supplies. Complete Detailed Study Plan. Hire technician to finish maintenance and assembly of dive gear.
Apr. 1 - 5 1994	Complete all hiring of field personnel and arrange for arrival of divers. Complete vessel contract.
Apr. 1, 1994	Diver training/refresher/orientation. Set up laboratory.
Apr. 5 -15, 1994	Initiate diving/field data collection (at onset of spawning). Set up egg loss sites and begin diving.
May 1-12, 1994	Complete field activities. Begin lab processing of calibration samples.
May 30, 1994	Complete data entry of diver estimates.
May - June 1994	Maintain, repair, and store diving gear.
June 1994	Complete calibration sample processing.
June 30, 1994	Data entry of calibration samples. Initiate data analysis.
July 15, 1994	Complete egg loss sample processing and data entry.
Aug. 1, 1994	Preliminary biomass estimate.
Sep. 1, 1994	Finalize estimate of spawning biomass.
Nov. 15, 1994	Finalize projection of 1995 run biomass.
Dec. 1994	Complete final reports.

Project Description

Reproductive Impairment:

Mar. - May 1994	Expose adults to oil.
Mar. 1994 - Jan. 1995	Analyze hydrocarbons, histopathology, MFO, and genetic aberrations.
Jan. 1995	Complete final 1994 report.
April - June 1994	Expose eggs and larvae to oil.
Mar. 1994 - Jan. 1995	Analyze hydrocarbons, histopathology, MFO, and genetic aberrations.
Jan. 1996	Complete final 1995 report.
Aug. 1996	Complete reproductive impairment final project report.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Age, sex and size information for spawning escapements and catches will be collected through an ongoing ADF&G research program. Aerial surveys conducted by ADF&G in conjunction with management of commercial fisheries will be used to document spawning distribution and intensity. Biometrics and biological staff time for review of proposals, study plans, and routine analyses for biomass estimates and review of reports will be provided by existing ADF&G personnel. The Cordova lab is currently inadequate and is scheduled to be remodeled, using State funds, to meet Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA) regulations.

The NOAA will contribute 9 man-months of salary plus wetlab space, equivalent to \$42k.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

These activities are within existing collecting permits or federal special use permits issued to ADF&G for scientific data collection. No other permits are required.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Scientific and technical aspects of the study will be subject to an internal peer review process within ADF&G's Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division and NOAA's Auke Bay Laboratory's reporting systems. Work plans, study design, and final reports will be subject to the peer review process established by the Trustee Council and the Chief Scientist. Significant findings presented in final reports will be submitted for publication in peer reviewed journals and presentation at scientific symposia as they are obtained.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	166.9	92.6	259.5
Travel	4.8	3.1	7.9
Contractual	64.9	50.0	114.9
Commodities	9.3	23.8	33.1
Equipment	3.9	0.0	3.9
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	249.8	169.5	419.3
General Administration	<u>29.6</u>	<u>17.4</u>	<u>47.0</u>
Project Total	279.4	186.9	466.3
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Pigeon Guillemot Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94173

Lead Agency: USFWS

Cooperating Agency:

Cost of Project, FY94: \$201.1K **Cost of Project, FY95:** 17.0K

Project Startup Date: 10/93 **Duration:** 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound: Naked Island group; Fool, Jackpot, and Bligh Islands. Other guillemot colonies may be selected after further analysis of 1993 data.

INTRODUCTION

Pigeon guillemots (*Cepphus columba*) were injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill and have continued to show a population decline in Prince William Sound (PWS) since 1989. An estimated 2,000 to 3,000 guillemots were killed as a direct result of the spill, 33% of the 1991 estimated PWS population. Data from boat surveys indicate that the PWS summer guillemot population declined from 15,000 in 1972 to about 6,600 in 1991. Pigeon guillemot productivity and prey studies were conducted at Naked Island in 1978-81 and 1989-1990. Productivity rates differed significantly between oiled and unoled colonies in 1989 and 1990. Similarly, shoreline censuses of Naked Island in 1989 and 1990 showed a significant decline in guillemot numbers along oiled shorelines. Latent affects from the spill may not show up for several years because guillemots do not breed until they are three or four years old. A colony survey was initiated in 1993 to locate breeding sites of guillemots in PWS. Approximately 1,800 guillemots were found at 160 colonies.

Reasons for the guillemot decline, beyond the immediate effects of the spill, are not well understood but may be related to a decrease in forage fish availability or increased predation at nest sites. One goal of this study is to determine the productivity and diets at colonies in PWS to determine reasons for the decline. Extending productivity and diet studies beyond Naked Island will test whether data collected in the past is representative of the Sound as a whole. Further monitoring of pigeon guillemot productivity and diets at other important sites in PWS will clarify reasons for the decline and enable resource managers to recommend restoration measures for pigeon guillemots.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will benefit guillemots by identifying factors which might be causing a population decline in PWS. Knowledge gained by monitoring guillemot populations might apply to other colonial seabirds in PWS.

B. Objectives

1. Census the breeding population of pigeon guillemots at all major colonies in PWS.
2. Determine diets and foraging areas of pigeon guillemots from key colonies, including Naked Island.
3. Monitor reproductive success at key colony areas, including Naked Island.
4. Identify predators of eggs, chicks and incubating adults and identify guillemot populations most susceptible to predation.
5. Determine whether there is persistent internal or external oiling of guillemot eggs.

C. Methods

The largest colony areas discovered in the 1993 census will be surveyed again in 1994 to monitor the population trend of pigeon guillemots in PWS. Colonies will be surveyed at periods of peak attendance in late May and early June following the protocol used by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the 1993 census. The outer coast of Hinchinbrook and Montague islands will be surveyed from the air for large concentrations of guillemots.

Foraging areas of guillemots from Naked, Fool, Jackpot, and Bligh Islands will be determined by radio tracking and by observations of color-marked birds. Diets during chick feeding will be studied to compare prey selection and feeding rates with nesting success in different areas of PWS. Methods will be comparable with past feeding studies at Naked Island. Reproductive success will be monitored at Naked, Fool, and Jackpot islands, using methods refined during past Naked Island studies. The present study will compare productivity in different parts of the Sound and on Naked Island with past years.

Predators and types of nest sites and colonies that are most susceptible to predation will be identified during reproductive studies for comparison with prior data from Naked Island and elsewhere. Ten eggs from failed nests will be collected for hydrocarbon analysis. Field work for the entire project will extend from early May to late August.

Project Description

D. Location

All major colonies located during the 1993 nesting colony survey throughout the Sound will be censused. More intensive studies of foraging, diets, and productivity will be located either at or on waters surrounding Naked, Fool, Jackpot, and Bligh islands, and/or wherever the guillemots may be discovered foraging. This project will identify guillemot restoration needs at different locations in the Sound. No areas or communities will be adversely affected by this project.

E. Technical Support

Ten unhatched eggs will be collected for hydrocarbon contamination analysis (both internal and external) to determine whether persistent oiling of eggs has continued beyond 1990.

F. Contracts

A multi-year contract has been awarded to Texas A & M for hydrocarbon analysis.

SCHEDULES

Milestones

Feb. 1-Apr. 31, 1994	Prepare detailed study plan and hire personnel, make logistic arrangements for summer field work and conduct safety training for all field personnel.
May 1-Aug. 31, 1994	Finalize preparations for field, conduct field work, clean and repair field equipment.
Sept. 1-Dec. 15, 1994	Data entry, analysis and report writing.
Dec. 15, 1994	Draft report due to Oil Spill Office.
Feb. 1, 1995	Draft report due to Peer Review Committee.
Apr. 31, 1995	Final report complete.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Currently, no ongoing program to monitor pigeon guillemots exists other than the Sound-wide boat surveys. Guillemot studies in the Sound, however, have previously been conducted through the Office of Migratory Bird Management (MBM). Some field, safety and survival gear will be provided by MBM.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Based on a review of the CEQ regulation 1500-1508, this study has been determined to be categorically excluded under the requirements of NEPA in accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A report that summarizes the 1994 data and compares it to data collected in previous years will be submitted by the designated deadline.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	132.0
Travel	5.7
Contractual	23.6
Commodities	13.3
Equipment	5.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	179.6
General Administration	<u>21.5</u>
Project Total	201.1
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Coded Wire Tag Recoveries from Pink Salmon in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94184

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$244.4K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$244.4K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Wild stock production of pink salmon in Prince William Sound (PWS) has ranged from 10 to 15 million fish in recent years and represents an important component of the commercial fishery on this species. Much of the spawning for pink salmon (up to 75% in some years) occurs in intertidal areas. These areas are susceptible to marine contaminants and there is strong evidence the March 24, 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill adversely affected egg success and early marine survival in PWS.

Salmon populations impacted by the oil spill are heavily exploited by commercial fishermen, and their restoration can most effectively be achieved through more accurate management of the commercial fishery. The stocks in areas heavily impacted by the spill are present in fisheries dominated by hatchery and wild stocks from unaffected areas of the Sound. Management of this mixed-stock fishery has been historically based on run entry patterns and escapement goals for each of the eight commercial fishing districts. The success of this management strategy relies upon the manager's ability to control stock-specific exploitation rates. Restoration premised on stock-specific management of the commercial fishery for reduced exploitation of impacted stocks will require even more accurate inseason catch stock composition estimates if different harvest rates are to be achieved for injured wild stocks versus unimpacted wild stocks or hatchery stocks.

The foundations for this project were firmly established in feasibility studies which were conducted beginning in 1986 and extending through 1988. During the damage assessment process large-scale tagging and recovery projects were instituted and perfected by Natural Resources Damage Assessment (NRDA) Fish/Shellfish (F/S) Study #3. Damage assessment funds were expended for tagging hatchery releases of pink salmon in 1989 and 1990 and wild populations of pink salmon in 1990 and 1991. Tag recovery efforts for wild and hatchery pink salmon were funded by damage assessment funds in 1989, 1990, and 1991 and by restoration funds in 1992. Following the loss of funds for further tagging of

hatchery stocks of pink salmon in 1990, the private non-profit aquaculture associations in PWS have continued to tag pink salmon releases at their own expense. The Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation (PWSAC), the Valdez Fisheries Development Association (VFDA), and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) pooled their resources to come up with approximately half of the funds required to field a full fledged pink salmon tag recovery effort in 1993, with the Trustee Council providing matching funds. This proposal is to request the same matching funds for the 1994 season. The poor salmon returns in 1993 and the associated loss of revenue may render PWSAC and VFDA unable to contribute funds. If that is the case, it is hoped that the Trustee Council will fully fund this project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project will provide estimates of hatchery and wild fish contributions to commercial and cost recovery fisheries in PWS. These estimates will allow fisheries managers to lessen interceptions of wild fish in mixed stock fisheries. The project is funded by the ADF&G (\$81.6K), PWSAC (\$100.0K), VFDA (\$26.2K), and the Trustee Council (\$244.4K). The project will be administered and supervised by the ADF&G.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources to benefit from this project are pink salmon, *Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*, in Prince William Sound, Alaska. The contribution estimates will allow fisheries managers to lessen interceptions of wild pink salmon in mixed stock fisheries and to achieve escapement levels of these wild salmon.

B. Objectives

1. Sample approximately 15% of the pink salmon catches from commercial and cost recovery fisheries in PWS for coded wire tags;
2. Sample approximately 95% of the hatchery pink salmon brood stock in PWS for coded-wire tags;
3. Make inseason estimates of the temporal and spatial contributions of tagged hatchery stocks of pink salmon to PWS commercial and hatchery harvests based on the number of tags detected in adipose-clipped fish which are recovered during catch sampling;
4. Provide timely, inseason estimates of hatchery and wild stock contributions to harvests by time and area to fisheries managers so they can closely regulate exploitation of injured wild stocks;

Project Description

5. Use data from fully decoded tags recovered from commercial catches, cost recovery harvests, and hatchery brood stock to verify or adjust inseason contribution estimates;
6. Estimate marine survival rates for each uniquely coded hatchery release group and;
7. Write a final report which summarizes temporal and spatial distributions of hatchery and wild contributions to commercial and cost recovery harvests in PWS, survival estimates by stock, and fisheries management actions taken to reduce the exploitation on wild stocks based on in-season catch stock composition estimates.

C. Methods

Tag recoveries will be made from a stratified random sample. Fisheries will be stratified by district, discrete time segments and processor. For each stratum, 15% of the pink salmon commercial harvest and the cost recovery harvest will be scanned for fish with a missing adipose fin. Catch sampling will be conducted in processing plants located in Cordova, Valdez, Anchorage, Whittier, Kenai and on floating processors. Broodstock sampling will also occur at all four PWS pink salmon hatcheries. A minimum of 50% of the daily broodstock requirements at each hatchery will be scanned for fish with missing adipose fins.

In the catch, cost recovery and broodstock samples, the total number of fish scanned, and the total number of fish with missing adipose fins will be recorded. The heads will be removed from fish with missing adipose fins. Each head will be tagged with uniquely numbered strap tags. Recovered heads will be shipped to the Tag Lab in Juneau for decoding and data posting. The information will then be sent to Cordova where data analysis will be performed.

D. Location

Sampling of salmon catches from commercial and cost recovery fisheries will occur in shore-based processing plants in Cordova, Valdez, Whittier, and Kodiak and on floating processors in PWS. Extraction and decoding of tags will be accomplished by the ADF&G coded wire tag lab in Juneau. All data analyses will be completed in Cordova with assistance from Anchorage-based ADF&G biometrics staff.

E. Technical Support

ADF&G biometrics support will be used to ensure that project methods and data analyses will provide inseason stock contribution estimates at levels of accuracy and precision required for management of wild stocks in PWS.

F. Contracts

Matching funds from PWSAC and VFDA will be conveyed to ADF&G through cooperative agreements.

SCHEDULES

Date(s)	Activity
June 20 - Sept 15, 1994	Tag recoveries in commercial fisheries, cost recovery harvests, and brood stocks. Inseason catch stock composition estimates by time and area for management of commercial and cost recovery fisheries.
Nov 30, 1994	Draft Report
Jan 30, 1995	Final Report

Overall project design, supervision, coordination, data analyses, and reporting will be the responsibility of Principal Investigator Sam Sharr, the ADF&G Fisheries Biologist Salmon Research Project Leader for PWS. Carol Peckham, an ADF&G Salmon Research Biologist, will be the Project Leader, supervising all the day-to-day project activities, completing inseason analyses and all post season analyses and reporting. The Principal Investigator and the Project Leader will receive approval of project design and quality control procedures and review all data analyses and editorial support for project reports from an ADF&G Biometrician based in the ADF&G Anchorage Regional Office.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Coded-wire tags recovered by this project are applied by PWSAC and VFDA at their expense. The annual cost of tag application by these private non-profit corporations is approximately \$160,000. The ADF&G operates a laboratory to dissect coded wire tags from fish heads, read and analyze them. While this project does include salary for personnel to do this work, equipment costs are minimal because of the laboratory's pre-existence.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

All sampling activities for this project occur within fish processing plants or fish hatcheries. ADF&G will coordinate with PWSAC and VFDA with respect to locating samplers within their respective fish hatcheries.

Project Description

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The ADF&G will be responsible for all data collection, analyses, and report writing in this project. ADF&G is also responsible for integration of information from this project into their inseason fisheries management decisions. The ADF&G Principal Investigator will design the study, oversee data collection, and provide the ADF&G Area Management Biologist for salmon with inseason catch contribution estimates for hatchery and wild stocks and make recommendations about management actions required to reduce exploitation on injured wild stocks and will report on the success of management actions.

Personnel policy, purchasing practices, field camp operations, safety procedures, and project administration will be in compliance with the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Manual of Standard Operating Procedures. Data collection procedures are similar to those used in NRDA F/S Study #3. These procedures have been thoroughly reviewed by the NRDA peer review process and approved by the Management Team.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

ADF&G	
Personnel	172.5
Travel	12.6
Contractual	21.6
Commodities	10.3
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	217.0
General Administration	<u>27.4</u>
Project Total	244.4

NEPA Compliance 0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Coded-wire Tagging of Wild Pink Salmon for Stock Identification in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94185

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$286.0K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$286K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Wild stocks of pink salmon (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) are a critical component of the entire Prince William Sound (PWS) ecosystem. Extensive seaward migrations of pink salmon fry serve as both the dominant predators on zooplankton populations and as important prey for other fishes and birds. Historically, an average of 10 to 15 million pink salmon return from the high seas to spawn in PWS's hundreds of streams. These migrations are vital in sustaining a wealth of species that depend upon pink salmon to transport nutrients and energy from feeding grounds in the north Pacific to nearshore waters, freshwater streams, and upland ecosystems of PWS. In the past three years, the number of adult pink salmon returning to PWS have dramatically declined. Total returns of pink salmon have fallen from 1991's record high return of over 40 million fish to a near-record low return in 1992. Preliminary 1993 results indicate that this year's pink salmon return will continue this downward trend despite the strong parent year return in 1991 and continuous, steady, hatchery production from the four PWS pink salmon hatcheries.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) intent to restore future PWS pink salmon escapements to historic levels will require both conservative and precise fisheries management strategies. Restoration efforts based upon inseason evaluation and manipulation of the commercial fishing fleet depends upon the manager's ability to identify and selectively reduce harvests on injured stocks. Management actions designed to protect wild pink salmon from overharvest in fishing districts with weak returns is the best option presently available to restore oil-impacted stocks from the southwest district of PWS. Stocks in that district have experienced higher egg mortalities, larval deformities, and lower juvenile growth rates than stocks from unoiled streams and hatcheries. There is also evidence that oiled stocks may also have persistent genetic injury which has resulted in reduced egg survival in generations following the spill. Natural recovery from oil-related injuries will be hindered by extensive exploitation by the commercial fishing fleet targeting on hatchery

stocks which in recent years have dominated the total return. The dominance by hatchery fish of the total return has further compounded the complexity of making precise management decisions that would positively benefit individual wild stocks. Evidence from Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) Fish/Shellfish (F/S) Study #3 in 1991 and 1992 suggests that spawning populations of wild fish in PWS were subjected to a high degree of straying by hatchery populations. Extensive straying by tagged wild stocks was also documented both years. The magnitude and range of straying by both hatchery and wild pink salmon stocks may be the primary influence in the success or failure of restoration efforts directed at wild stocks.

This project will provide marked wild fish of known origin for recovery in the commercial catch, natal and non-natal spawning streams, and hatchery broodstock collections. Stock specific harvest information from coded-wire tag recoveries will provide inseason estimates of stock abundance, timing and eventually total return and survival for tagged stocks. Stock specific information will be used to establish optimal exploitation rates, investigate straying, and evaluate current and future restoration efforts.

Alternative approaches considered included applying tags to wild fish at more locations while foregoing total outmigration enumeration. This approach was rejected. Without total enumeration of a stream's outmigration, tag recoveries would not be representative of the entire population.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Previous NRDA studies (F/S #1,#3) demonstrated the technical feasibility of applying coded-wire tags to wild pink salmon fry. Recoveries of tagged fish from the commercial catch and from numerous streams have demonstrated that accurate estimates of stock abundance, timing, survival, and straying can be obtained using this technology. Accurately estimating these parameters will be necessary in order to gauge the success of management actions designed to restore injured populations.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The potential biological and economic benefits that would be attained from consistently strong returns of wild pink salmon provide compelling arguments for improving our understanding of the resource. The ability of managers to maintain healthy stocks of wild pink salmon will directly benefit the resource and indirectly other species that rely on the injured resource. Strong wild stock returns to all districts of PWS would enable the commercial fishing fleet to fully utilize the hatchery enhanced component of the pink salmon return and any wild stock surplus, without putting injured stocks at further risk. Strong returns would also benefit the diversity of species that fully utilize pink salmon.

B. Objectives

The primary objective of the wild stock tagging project is to provide marked fish of known origin for eventual recovery in either the commercial catch or the escapement. Specific objectives are to:

1. Enumerate and characterize the outmigration of wild pink salmon fry from two streams during both an even and an odd year.
2. Tag a representative subsample of pink salmon fry at each location, each season.

C. Methods

The total outmigration of wild pink salmon fry from two streams will be enumerated using fry weirs. A representative subsample will be marked and tagged with half-length coded-wire tags. A minimum of 100,000 fry per stream will be tagged.

D. Location

Two representative spawning streams, one in eastern PWS and one in the southwest district will be selected as study streams. Selection will depend upon a stream's 1993 escapement and our ability to totally enumerate the outmigration at that location.

E. Technical Support

Minimal technical support will be needed to complete the desired objectives of this project. Tag code validation and data archiving by the ADF&G Tag Lab will be the primary technical support needed for this project.

F. Contracts

Site selection may involve streams surrounded by private landowners or federally protected lands. Lease agreements may need to be established depending upon site selection.

SCHEDULES

October 1993 - February 1994: Study site selection and preparation, equipment preparation, seasonal personnel recruitment.

March 1994: Field work begins. Camps and fry weirs set up.

April 1994 - June 1994: Coded-wire tagging representative subsamples of wild pink salmon fry from two streams for later recovery from the commercial catch and the escapement (Projects 94-184 & 94-192).

FY 95: Same timeline and objectives as FY94.

Overall project supervision will be under the direction of the PWS Area Research Biologist. Hiring, training, and coordinating all field activities will be under the direction of a Fisheries Biologist. Field activities will be performed by seasonal Fish and Wildlife Technicians. The field work for this project will take place in the spring, both in 1994 and 1995. Winter conditions dominate the weather in PWS during this time of year. Field camp startup in March will require vessel support as will camp breakdown at the end of the project. Weekly supply flights are planned to support activities at the two field camps.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

This project will not receive any other agency contributions or support during FY 1994. The wild stock coded-wire tagging project is a stand-alone project. Results from the 1994 tagging program will be seen in the 1995 adult pink salmon return.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The ADF&G will obtain Title 16 permits for both intertidal fry weirs. All sampling of salmon fry is covered by an ADF&G biological collection permit. Necessary lease agreements with private land owners will be arranged by project personnel and reviewed for approval by the ADF&G Administration's Division of Leasing and the Department of Law. Proposed camps and weirs are not permanent structures and will be removed at the termination of the project.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

Project Description

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Personnel policy, purchasing practices, field camp operations, safety procedures and project administration will be in accordance with the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division Standard Operating Procedures Manual. Data collection and quality control procedures will be similar to those used for the wild stock tagging component of NRDA F/S Study #3 and in accordance with ADF&G Tag Lab database requirements. These procedures have undergone thorough review by ADF&G biometrics staff and by the Restoration Team peer review process. A report of the success of management actions based on this data will be prepared.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	176.8
Travel	1.5
Contractual	38.0
Commodities	35.0
Equipment	5.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	256.8
General Administration	<u>29.2</u>
Project Total	286.0
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page is left intentionally blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Otolith Marking - Inseason Stock Separation Tool to Reduce Wild Stock Salmon Exploitation

Project Number: 94187

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$179.7K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$184.8K

Project Startup Date: February 1994

Duration: 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project is develop and test the feasibility of large scale otolith mass marking as an inseason stock separation tool for salmon in Prince William Sound (PWS). Inseason stock separation data will be used by fishery managers to reduce fishery exploitation rates on injured wild salmon stocks in Prince William Sound (PWS). Coded-wire tags are presently used for this purpose, but otolith marking is expected to provide similar information at a lower cost. Otoliths are small bones in the inner ear of fish. Systematic changes in water temperature during egg incubation causes formation of bands of light and dark material in the otolith similar to the growth rings of a tree. These induced marks can be used to identify hatchery-produced salmon in the fishery. Because all hatchery-produced salmon are marked using this technique, the cost of catch sampling is expected to be reduced, and the precision of inseason stock composition estimates is expected to be improved. This project will solve the problems necessary to apply otolith marking on a production scale for inseason stock separation. In PWS, fishery managers must have inseason stock composition data to direct fishery exploitation in mixed-stock fisheries away from injured wild salmon stocks.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Development of a precise and inexpensive stock separation tool will benefit wild salmon, because fishery managers will have a more powerful tool to reduce fishery exploitation on injured wild stocks. The reduced cost of otolith marking compared with coded-wire tagging will allow restoration of salmon stocks with less impact on funds available for other species. The technique will initially be developed for pink salmon in PWS, but will likely be used for

other salmon species in the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill impact area in the future. The communities of Homer, Seward, Valdez, and Cordova will be most affected by this project, because the economy in these communities is based on the salmon resource.

B. Objectives

The following objectives will be achieved during the project:

1. Apply otolith marks to all pink salmon embryos rearing in the Armin F. Koernig (AFK) and Cannery Creek (CCH) hatcheries.
2. Develop an otolith banding code system that can be rapidly deciphered in a cost-effective manner.
3. Develop a rapid and cost-effective otolith mass processing technique.
4. Develop a catch sampling program and statistical method that will provide reliable estimates of stock composition.

C. Methods

This project will be conducted cooperatively by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) and Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation (PWSAC). PWSAC will mark otoliths of all pink salmon embryos in the AFK and CCH hatcheries in the fall of 1994. The necessary equipment will be installed in the summer of 1994, and marking will begin after the embryos have passed the eyed stage of development. Heated water will be introduced at the hatchery head troughs allowing treatment of millions of pink salmon embryos simultaneously.

An otolith code system based upon the United Parcel Code System is envisioned for PWS pink salmon. The code system will consist of two bands composed of multiple rings and one inter-band space in the pre-hatch zone of the otolith. Eight unique codes are possible using this system. The purposes of this component of the project will be to (1) determine the minimum number of rings needed in a band to distinguish it from natural marks, and (2) determine the minimum ring-count difference needed to visually distinguish a narrow band from a wide band using mass processing techniques. Narrow and wide inter-band spaces will be made as different as possible within the 30 day marking window available between the eyed and hatch stages. Samples will be collected from incubators at AFK and CCH to estimate the proportion of embryos that are marked and validate that each code was properly applied. This information will be used to estimate 'mark loss' by comparing the proportion of embryos and adults marked in each code. A reader's ability to distinguish marked otoliths among unmarked otoliths in a blind test will be used to estimate the proportion of embryos marked. A systematic sample design will be used to collect 2,800 embryos from incubators at the hatcheries one month prior to the outmigration. Two

Project Description

hundred embryos will be collected from 20 streams during the annual pre-emergent fry survey conducted by ADF&G, and 100 embryos will be randomly collected from the other hatcheries. Embryos collected from all sources will be combined to construct sets ($n=400$) of otoliths for blind tests. A total of 56 blind tests will be conducted, two replicate tests using each of the samples ($n=100$) collected from incubators. Otoliths will be ground on one side only and viewed through a dissecting microscope. The reader will identify each otolith specimen as either unreadable, unmarked, or as belonging to one of the eight codes applied at AFK and CCH hatcheries. Because this technology is new to this area, it will be initiated at only these two PWS hatcheries at this time. As expertise is developed, the remaining PWS hatcheries will presumably adopt this technique.

When otolith marked fish return as adults in 1996, 400 fish that have been coded-wire tagged and otolith marked will be recovered from each of the 8 code groups for use in blind tests to determine the limits of code detection in adults. The manpower and time required to conduct the tests will be recorded. This study will be conducted concurrently with an evaluation of at least two otolith mass processing techniques. Chi-square analysis will be used to evaluate the performance of each otolith code and the detection limits between codes. Beginning this project in 1994 therefore implies a Trustee Council commitment to train people and purchase equipment for otolith reading in subsequent federal fiscal years.

Several otolith mass processing techniques will be evaluated using replicate blind tests. The set of otoliths used in the blind tests will consist of 400 from each otolith/CWT code group released from AFK and CCH, 2,400 from wild pink salmon, and 400 from other hatcheries. Each blind test will be conducted with 600 otoliths, but the number of otoliths from each of the 7 otolith/CWT code groups and the number of wild and hatchery unmarked otoliths will be varied. Different readers will perform replicate tests using each sample preparation technique. The time and manpower required to process and read the otoliths in each blind test will be recorded. Chi-square analysis will be used to evaluate the performance of each technique.

The feasibility and cost-effectiveness of sampling the commercial catch for otoliths will depend upon whether a truly random sample can be collected from the fishery. Several sampling techniques will be evaluated in 1995 using fin-clip experiments to determine if a truly random sample is obtained from each tender load. For each experiment, technicians will (1) monitor the total number of fish placed into a tender and (2) add 1,000 fish with clipped dorsal fins to the tender load. The arrangement of the fin clipped fish in the load will be varied for different experiments.

When otolith marked fish return as adults in 1996, a catch sampling program will be conducted to (1) estimate the variance of otolith marked fish within and among tenders as well as between processors and (2) estimate the cost function for catch sampling. This information will be used to develop an optimum allocation of sampling resources among tenders and processors. Monte Carlo simulation techniques will be used in conjunction with the data collected in this study to assess sampling power and determine appropriate sampling levels. The data required for these analyses will be obtained by sampling the

commercial catch in Cordova. It is anticipated that approximately 14,280 otoliths will be collected from 28 tenders. Otolith readers will identify each specimen as either unmarked or as belonging to one of the 8 codes applied at the hatcheries. The manpower and time required to process and read the otoliths will be recorded. Chi-square analysis will be used to test for differences in the proportion marked between tenders within processors and fishing periods. A Student's t-test will be used to test for differences in the proportion marked between processors.

The information obtained from the tender, processor, and fishing period comparisons along with the data collected during the evaluation of the sampling techniques will be used in a post-season sensitivity analysis. This analysis will provide insight into the sampling levels required to adequately estimate stock compositions. The information on sampling costs obtained from this study will be expanded for the desired sampling levels and decisions will be made as to the cost effectiveness of the otolith approach.

D. Location

This project will be conducted in the PWS region. This region is uniquely situated to develop an otolith mass marking program because a catch sampling program is in place to recover coded-wire tagged salmon. This program has produced a wealth of information and expertise that will greatly facilitate development of an otolith mass marking program. Embryos will be marked at the AFK and CCH hatcheries operated by the PWSAC. The salmon catch sampling program will be developed in conjunction with seafood processors in Cordova.

E. Technical Support

Data archiving services will be required to insure that all information obtained from this project is adequately documented and catalogued.

F. Contracts

This will be a cooperative project conducted jointly by the ADF&G and the PWSAC.

SCHEDULES

This project will be conducted over one pink salmon life cycle. Embryos will be otolith marked in the fall of 1994. The adult fish from the 1994 year class will return to PWS as adults in the summer of 1996.

Project Description

Table 1: Schedule of activities over the duration of the project (1994-1996).

Date	Activity
2/94 - 8/94	Install water heating equipment at AFK and CCH hatcheries
10/94 - 3/95	Apply otolith banding codes to embryos at AFK and CCH hatcheries
2/95 - 4/95	Apply coded-wire tags to 8 otolith treatment groups at AFK and CCH hatcheries
7/95 - 9/95	Develop a method to collect random otolith samples from tender boats
8/96 - 10/96	Evaluate otolith banding code system and mass processing techniques
8/96 - 12/96	Design a program for collecting otolith samples from the commercial salmon catch

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The existing ADF&G fishery management program in PWS will provide salmon catch data needed to complete this project. The ADF&G pre-emergent fry program will provide otolith samples from wild salmon stocks in PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A project report will be submitted by February 1 of each year.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	13.3
Travel	0.0
Contractual	153.5
Commodities	0.2
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	167.0
General Administration	<u>12.7</u>
Project Total	179.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Pink Salmon Stock Genetics in Prince William Sound

Project Number: 94189

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$171.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$171.8K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Historically, approximately 500 million wild pink salmon fry emerged from streams throughout Prince William Sound (PWS) each year to migrate seaward. Adult returns of wild pink salmon averaged from 10 to 15 million fish annually. These returning wild stock adults convey essential nutrients and minerals from the marine ecosystem to estuaries, freshwater streams, and terrestrial ecosystems. Both juveniles and adults are important sources of food for many fishes, birds, and mammals. Wild pink salmon also play a major role in the economy of PWS because of their contribution to commercial, sport, and subsistence fisheries in the area.

Wild stock pink salmon suffered both lethal and sublethal injuries as a result of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Pink salmon embryos and alevins suffered increased mortality and diminished growth due to oil contamination of rearing and spawning areas. Elevated mortality of embryos in the oiled streams has continued through 1993, raising the possibility of oil-induced genetic injury. Also, in 1989 the commercial harvest of pink salmon had to be shifted away from the hatchery and wild stocks in the oiled areas to target only the wild stocks in eastern PWS. This resulted in over-harvest and depletion of these stocks evidenced by general run failures of eastern PWS stocks in 1991. Wild stock run failures in the southwest area of the Sound have continued through the 1992 and 1993 fishing seasons.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

An understanding of the population genetics of affected pink salmon populations will be used to guide restoration management decisions (including those regulating commercial harvest). Genetic monitoring and risk assessment are also required in order to evaluate any supplemental restoration programs. This monitoring and risk assessment is analogous to the process currently being conducted to evaluate supplemental restoration of injured stocks on the Columbia River by the Northwest Power Planning Council. Our goal is to provide the

basis for key management decisions by defining the genetic structure of representative populations from throughout PWS, measuring both within and between population diversity.

The information generated from this study will improve our understanding of the underlying mechanisms causing injury or limiting populations. The status of wild pink salmon populations was a concern prior to the oil spill. The documented injury to these populations further increases the concern and the need to understand the underlying population structure and amount of gene exchange among populations. Reproductively isolated populations are by definition self-recruiting--the adults generally do not stray to repopulate depleted areas. Therefore, basing management decisions on known population structure is critical for successful restoration. Harvest adjustments must be made upon known population genetic units in order to restore those reproductively isolated units.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

In this study, pink salmon in PWS will be investigated.

B. Objectives

Specific project objectives are to:

1. Define the genetic structure of pink salmon stocks in the spill-affected area in order to better direct harvest management decisions made for restoration purposes on a stock-specific rather than species-specific basis.
2. Provide information needed for genetic risk assessment and genetic monitoring of supplementation programs (e.g., as a result of Restoration Project 94105) to guide stock-specific restoration and enhancement.

C. Methods

Tissues for baseline genetic data will be collected from up to 100 individuals from each of 30 spawning aggregations each year. This will include two hatcheries and 28 wild-stock streams in the affected areas of Prince William Sound. Pink salmon have a two-year life cycle. Even and odd-year pink salmon are genetically distinct, so both must be sampled. Sampling will be designed to include both early and late stocks and intertidal and upstream-spawning stocks. Tissue samples from heart, liver, muscle, and aqueous humor from each individual will be immediately frozen on liquid nitrogen and returned to Anchorage for storage at -80° C.

Sampling will be done in coordination with other restoration programs in order to reduce costs and facilitate cross-referencing of biological data. For example, suitable samples from odd-year stocks are already available from tissue collections made as a part other studies. Samples for even-year stocks would be collected as a part of Restoration Project #94192.

Genetic data will be collected using the techniques of allozyme electrophoresis on all samples. A pre-oil spill database of allozyme frequencies exists for Prince William Sound pink salmon which facilitates analyses of potential changes of population structure and gene flow. A pilot study using DNA techniques will be conducted on a subset of samples. The ADF&G anticipates contracting the laboratory analyses to a qualified bidder or bidders. Data analyses will be conducted by ADF&G, and data will be merged into the state and federal interagency databases.

D. Location

The field portion of this project will be conducted in Prince William Sound (based out of Cordova), and the data analyses will be completed in Anchorage. The project outcome will influence the long-term viabilities of wild stocks in PWS which will in turn affect the economies of the fishing communities therein.

E. Technical Support

Administrative support is provided by the Administrative, Habitat and Restoration, and Commercial Fisheries Development and Management Divisions staff of ADF&G. The project leader is fully funded with general funds from the State of Alaska. Project assistants are not fully funded by this project and are also largely supported with general funds. These studies are integrated with ongoing studies by the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division. Consequently, the investigations have been integrated into the normal operations of these divisions for efficiency in completing the objectives of these studies.

F. Contracts

The ADF&G anticipates awarding a contract in the approximate amount of \$90.0K for allozyme analysis. Pilot work on DNA analyses will not exceed \$20.0K.

SCHEDULES

Sept.-Nov. 1993	Prepare, advertise, and award contract for lab analyses
Jan.-Apr. 1994	Lab analyses (odd-year samples)
Apr.-June 1994	Data analyses
July-Aug. 1994	Additional field collections
March 1995	Draft status report for FY 1994
June 1995	Final status report for FY 1994
Sept.-Dec. 1995	Lab analyses (even year)
Jan.-Mar. 1996	Data analyses (even year)
March 1996	Draft status report for FY 1995
June 1996	Final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The ADF&G spends approximately \$30.0K annually on PWS field studies and \$500.0K annually on other non-oil spill related genetics studies.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from NEPA compliance.

The studies proposed provide for data collection and field sampling programs. This project will have no environmental effect beyond that of traditional fisheries management data collection activities. These activities are authorized by existing collecting permits or Federal special use permits issued to the ADF&G for scientific data collection. No other permits or other coordination activities are needed.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The performance monitoring of this project is through the checks and balances of the State of Alaska Accounting System within the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development, Habitat and Restoration, and Administration Divisions of the Department of Fish and Game and the Department of Administration. Contractual compliance, personnel hiring, EEO compliance, and other administrative provisions are within the State of Alaska hiring and administrative chains of command and covered in standard operating procedures and administrative regulations. Replacement personnel are readily available by reassignment from permanent and seasonal staff within the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division of the ADF&G in Cordova and Anchorage when temporary problems are encountered. Filling new positions follows state hiring guidelines when permanent vacancies occur. Project time frames for reports and analysis are maintained through proper planning and integration of these activities within the existing administrative structure of the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division.

The scientific and technical aspects of the study are subject to internal review within the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division. Publications are submitted through an internal peer review process with the major findings submitted to peer review journals. Reports, work plans, and study design are subject to the peer review process established by the Trustee Council and the Chief Scientist's office. This year's oil spill related genetics studies were presented at the international symposium "Genetics of Arctic and Subarctic Fish and Shellfish" and are currently undergoing external review.

This study provides the basis for the management programs being developed under other oil spill restoration projects. Final annual reports will be generated and presented in peer review journals and scientific symposia, as significant findings are obtained. The final project report will be issued upon completion of the final year of field data collection.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	36.2
Travel	3.0
Contractual	112.2
Commodities	6.5
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	157.9
General	<u>13.3</u>
Administration	
Project Total	171.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Oil Related Egg and Alevin Mortalities

Project Number: 94191

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: NOAA

Cost of Project, FY94: \$782.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$669.2K

Project Startup Date: October 1, 1993 **Duration:** 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Each year approximately one-half billion wild pink salmon fry emerge from the streams of Prince William Sound (PWS) and migrate seaward. Adult returns of wild pink salmon to PWS average from 10-15 million fish annually. These huge outmigrations of wild pink salmon and subsequent adult returns play a major role in the PWS ecosystem. Both juveniles and adults are important sources of food for many fish, birds and mammals. Adults returning from the high seas also convey needed nutrients and minerals from the marine ecosystem to estuaries, freshwater streams, and terrestrial ecosystems. Wild pink salmon also play a major role in the economy of PWS because of their contribution to commercial, sport and subsistence fisheries in the area.

Up to 75% of pink salmon spawning in PWS occurs in intertidal areas. In the spring of 1989, oil from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill was deposited in layers of varying thickness in intertidal portions of many western PWS streams used by spawning salmon. Pink salmon eggs and fry rearing in these intertidal areas appear to have been adversely affected by the oil. Salmon egg mortalities were 67%, 51% and 96% higher in oiled streams than in comparable and nearby unoiled streams in 1989, 1990, and 1991 respectively. Differences between oiled and unoiled streams in 1989 and 1990 were confined to intertidal spawning areas and may be attributed to direct lethal effects of oil. Large differences observed across all tide zones in 1991 may be the consequence of injury to germ cells of the adults which originated from the 1989 brood year when egg and larval exposures to intertidal oil were greatest. A consequence of this genetic injury may be persistent sterility and reduced returns per spawner for populations from oiled streams.

The proposed damage assessment and resource monitoring study is a continuation of past work. It will consist of field and laboratory studies conducted in western PWS and additional laboratory studies at the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) research facility at Little

Port Walter in southeastern Alaska. Results of the project will direct future restoration efforts for pink salmon and may impact future harvest management strategies in PWS fisheries.

The project will continue to monitor egg mortalities in the oiled and unoiled wild pink salmon streams previously studied, examine stream characteristics unrelated to oiling which may help to explain the observed differences in egg mortality, and provide a laboratory evaluation of the 1989 and 1990 field results. The laboratory evaluation will also test the hypothesis that oil contamination during incubation can result in functional sterilization.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This study will investigate pink salmon in PWS.

B. Objectives

Component A - Recovery Monitoring of Injury to Pink Salmon Eggs and Preemergent Fry in PWS.

1. Estimate the density, by tide zone, of preemergent fry in 48 streams and eggs in 31 streams using numbers of live and dead eggs and fry.
2. Estimate egg mortality and overwinter survival of pink salmon eggs in both oil contaminated and unoiled (control) streams.
3. Assess any loss in adult production from changes in egg mortality or overwinter survival using results of past Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) studies.

Component B - Evaluation of Injury to Pink Salmon Gametes in PWS.

1. Determine whether the increased pink salmon egg mortalities observed in oil contaminated streams in 1989, 1990, 1991, and 1992 can be attributed to the physical characteristics of study streams.

Component C - Laboratory Evaluation of Injury to Pink Salmon Eggs and Preemergent Fry Exposed to Oiled Incubation Substrate.

1. Determine survival, genetic injury hydrocarbon uptake, mixed function oxidase activity, and sublethal teratogenic effects from long-term exposures to oil in eggs exposed from fertilization to emergence.

Project Description

2. Determine growth characteristics from each exposure group from juvenile stage to maturity.
3. Assess whether differences exist among exposure groups with respect to fecundity, fertilization rate, genetic injury, and sub-lethal teratogenic effects in the second generation progeny through swim-up (the stage at which fry leave the gravel and are no longer in intimate association with oiled substrate).

Combining Field Observations and Laboratory Results.

1. Determine if the elevated egg mortalities in 1989 and 1990 were potentially caused by oil in the environment.
2. Determine if the elevated egg mortalities in oil contaminated streams in 1991 were potentially caused by genetic injury to 1989 eggs.

C. Methods

Component A - Recovery Monitoring of Injury to Pink Salmon Eggs and Preemergent Fry in Prince William Sound.

A systematic sampling program stratified by stream and tide zone will be used to collect egg and fry density and survival data from 10 oil contaminated and 15 control sites sampled previously in NRDA Fish/Shellfish Study #2, Restoration Project #R60C, and Restoration Project #93003. Sampling will consist of egg sampling conducted in late September and early October, and fry sampling in mid-March. Egg and preemergent fry data will be summarized by date, stream, level of hydrocarbon impact, and stream zone. Density estimates will be used to assess adult spawning success. Relative numbers of live and dead eggs and fry will be used to test for continued reductions in survival in oil-contaminated streams.

Component B - Evaluation of Injury to Pink Salmon Gametes in Prince William Sound.

This project will continue to monitor the incubation of the intra-stream crosses made during Restoration Science Study #93003. Eggs from the crosses will be incubated through hatching in a controlled laboratory environment. Egg mortalities will be compared for all crosses. Crossing results will be compared to results from field studies to determine the effect of stream characteristics on egg mortality differences previously observed between oiled and unoled sites.

Component C - Laboratory Evaluation of Injury to Pink Salmon Eggs and Preemergent Fry Exposed to Oiled Incubation Substrate

This project will evaluate the degree of injury to embryos incubating in oiled substrate and determine the subsequent effects on fertility. Incubating pink salmon eggs will be exposed to

oiled gravel in incubators from fertilization to emergence. Surviving fry will be grown to maturity and crossed with partners incubated under the same dose of oil. Relationships between dosage and fertility will be observed in addition to relationships between dosage and developmental success.

D. Location

Component A. All egg and preemergent fry monitoring will take place in PWS.

Component B. The experiment designed to evaluate the effects of environment on egg mortality will use gametes taken from salmon from streams in Western PWS. The resulting embryos will be incubated at the Armin F. Koernig hatchery.

Component C. The experiment designed to test the effects of oil-contaminated incubation substrate on gamete viability will be performed at the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) laboratory at Little Port Walter, Baranof Island, southeastern Alaska.

All work on the assessment of genetic injury will be performed at the regional office of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

A biometrician will ensure that the study design provides a reasonable chance of reaching a defensible conclusion.

A flow cytometry specialist will ensure proper tissue collection and preparation procedures, operate the flow cytometer, and assist in histogram interpretation and analysis. Flow cytometry is used to detect genetic injury by measuring chromosome breakage and recombination.

A chemist is required to establish a dosing protocol, determine hydrocarbon concentrations, and evaluate results of hydrocarbon analysis.

F. Contracts

Contracts will be required for histopathological and mixed-function oxidase work. It is essential that the methodologies used to analyze the data for this controlled experiment be consistent with the methodologies used in the NRDA analyses.

Project Description

SCHEDULES

Component A:

Oct 1-15, 1993	Egg deposition sampling
Oct 30-Dec 30, 1993	Analysis of egg data and completion of FY93 final report
Mar 15-Apr 10, 1994	Preemergent fry sampling
May 1-Sep 1, 1994	Analysis and summarization of preemergent fry data

Component B:

Oct 1-Nov 15, 1993	Monitor incubators and collect data
Nov 15, 1993-Jan 30, 1994	Analyze data and prepare annual report

Component C:

Time Period	1992 Brood Year	1993 Brood Year
Oct 1, 93-Apr 15, 1994	Culture in netpens	Continue incubating eggs
Apr 15-Sept 1, 1994	Spawn mature adults	Pond and PIT tag
Sept 1, 1994-Apr 15, 1995	Incubate F1	Culture in netpens
Apr 15-Sept 1, 1995	Analyze incubation	Spawn mature adults data from F1, prepare report
Sept 1, 1995-Apr 15, 1996	N/A	Incubate F1
Apr 15-Aug 1, 1996	Analyze incubation data from F1, prepare final report	

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

An additional \$30.0K will be provided by the ADF&G through normal operating funds. This amount is budgeted to cover the normal preemergent fry sampling program which has been conducted annually since 1961.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Egg and preemergent fry sampling will require an ADF&G Title 16 permit and ADF&G biological collections permit. Transportation of wild gametes to the Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation hatchery will require an ADF&G Fish Transport Permit for each stock and a permit alteration may be required to rear and incubate the wild eggs at the hatchery.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

This will be a joint project between ADF&G and NMFS. ADF&G will be the lead agency for overall program management and genetic injury determinations. ADF&G will be responsible for data collection, gamete fertilization, and incubation in Components A and B. NMFS will be responsible for oil exposures, chemistries, fish culture, and hydrocarbon end points in Component C. Both agencies will have statistical analysis responsibilities, particularly with the experimental designs. Both agencies will have joint responsibilities for combining the lab and field results to reach a conclusion in the study.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	267.4	213.7	481.1
Travel	10.1	21.4	31.5
Contractual	58.0	50.0	108.0
Commodities	27.0	38.5	65.5
Equipment	2.1	15.0	17.1
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	364.6	338.6	703.2
General Administration	<u>44.2</u>	<u>35.6</u>	<u>79.7</u>
Project Total	408.8	374.2	782.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Estimation of Straying Rates Among Hatchery and Wild Pink Salmon Populations in Prince William Sound.

Project Number: 94192

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$640.5K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$641.7K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Each year approximately one-half billion wild pink salmon fry emerge from streams throughout Prince William Sound (PWS) and migrate seaward. Adult returns of wild pink salmon average from 10 to 15 million fish annually. These huge outmigrations of wild pink salmon and subsequent adult returns play a major role in the PWS ecosystem. Both juveniles and adults are important sources of food for many fish, birds, and mammals. Adults returning from the high seas also convey needed nutrients and minerals from the marine ecosystem to estuaries, freshwater streams, and terrestrial ecosystems. Wild pink salmon also play a major role in the economy of the Sound because of their contribution to commercial, sport, and subsistence fisheries in the area.

Wild pink salmon stocks in oiled portions of PWS have experienced higher egg mortalities, larval deformities, and lower juvenile growth rates than stocks from unoiled streams and hatcheries. There is also evidence that they may also have persistent genetic injury which has resulted in reduced egg survival in generations following the spill. Wild stocks most impacted by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill are also subject to excessive exploitation in mixed stock fisheries of western PWS which are targeting on large hatchery returns. Furthermore, coded-wire tag recovery results from Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) Fish/Shellfish Study #3 and Restoration Studies #9 and #60B indicate that injured wild salmon streams located on hatchery stock migratory corridors in western PWS experience a high incidence of genetic interchange as a result of straying from the burgeoning hatchery populations. Ample evidence in the literature suggests that hatchery fish can be poorly adapted to wild conditions and that genetic interchange between hatchery and wild stocks may lead to reduced fitness of wild stocks. The combined effects of oil exposure, excessive harvest, and genetic burden on wild fish may result in an overall reduction in population size, genetic diversity, and fitness of PWS salmon populations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project will consist of field studies which provide accurate estimates of hatchery pink salmon straying rates into wild salmon streams and straying rates between wild salmon populations in PWS. Data for straying of wild pink salmon are contingent upon funding of proposed Restoration Study #94185 (Coded Wire Tagging of Wild Stock Pink Salmon in Prince William Sound). This project will also collect a portion of samples to be used to identify and monitor the genetic structure of pink salmon populations. Analysis of the genetics samples will be completed by the proposed Prince William Sound Pink Salmon Stock Genetics Project (#189).

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This study is directed at PWS wild pink salmon *Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*. The project may result in altered hatchery release strategies in PWS fisheries and will contribute to the natural recovery process for PWS pink salmon populations. Straying data will be used in conjunction with genetic data to develop alternate hatchery production strategies to reduce hatchery straying into wild spawning areas and develop criteria for wild stock sanctuary areas where straying is already minimal or does not occur.

B. Objectives

1. Sample approximately 75 percent of the salmon carcasses in 120 pink salmon spawning streams for coded-wire tag recovery.
2. Estimate straying rates of hatchery stocks of pink salmon into wild pink salmon streams in PWS from coded-wire tag recoveries in wild pink salmon streams.
3. Estimate the straying rates of wild populations of pink salmon between streams from coded-wire tag recoveries in wild salmon in spawning streams.
4. Collect genetics samples for a pink salmon genetic monitoring project.
5. Use straying and genetics data to define the stock structure of pink salmon and assess the genetic risks associated with large scale hatchery releases.
6. Write a final report which summarizes straying rates of hatchery and wild pink salmon populations, summarizes the spatial distribution of hatchery and wild pink salmon strays, reports the total contribution of hatchery strays to spawning escapements in wild pink salmon streams, synthesizes straying and genetic data in a discussion of probable stock structure models for pink salmon populations, and discusses the relevance of straying and genetic data with respect to wild stock fitness, hatchery release policies, and fisheries management strategies.

C. Methods

Pink salmon carcasses will be sampled for coded-wire tags in approximately 120 spawning streams. Tag recoveries will be accomplished by 7 two-person crews which conduct ground surveys on approximately 120 pink salmon spawning streams. Crews will be housed on the Alaska Department of Fish & Game (ADF&G) R/V *Montague* and one additional chartered vessel. Crews will access streams using small skiffs. Streams will be surveyed once per week during periods of peak salmon returns. All carcasses will be enumerated and examined for the absence of an adipose fin which denotes the possible presence of a coded-wire tag. Heads from fish with the adipose fin missing will be sent to the ADF&G Coded-Wire Tag Lab in Juneau for tag extraction and decoding. All carcasses will be marked to avoid duplicate counting and examination on subsequent surveys. Data from decoded tags will be used to estimate the straying rates of tagged stocks and the contribution of straying hatchery and wild fish to wild stock escapements.

Tissue samples for genetic analyses will be taken from live, spawned out fish in a representative subset of the surveyed streams. Proposed Restoration Study #94189 (Pink Salmon Stock Genetics) will process genetics samples and use results to help define the genetic structure of pink salmon populations and identify reproductively isolated populations. Results of coded-wire tag recovery data will be analyzed and used with genetic data to identify areas with no evidence of straying which could be designated as genetic sanctuaries and could be protected by future management actions and altered hatchery release strategies. Those oiled areas with documented high levels of straying should be monitored to examine the long-term effects of straying and the resultant wild/hatchery salmon hybridization on the overall fitness of wild stock populations.

D. Location

This project will be located in PWS. It will benefit pink salmon resources in that area and the commercial fisheries which they support. Almost all project funds will be spent to support field studies based out of Cordova and will contribute to the economy of that community. Tags from heads removed during stream surveys will be removed and decoded by the ADF&G Coded-Wire Tag Laboratory in Juneau. Data analyses and reporting will be completed in Cordova with the guidance and assistance of ADF&G regional biometrics staff based in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

The large data bases generated from these field studies will require computer and data archiving services comparable to those provided to the ADF&G by the NRDA F/S Study #30, including hardware support, programming, database design and management, database documentation, and data archiving.

F. Contracts

This project requires no professional service contracts. There are vessel and air charter contracts.

SCHEDULES

Dates	Activity	Logistics Needs
July-Sept 1994	Data collection from tag recovery activities	Vessel charter for tag recovery crews, vessel, and air charter for support
Sept-Nov 1994	Data analysis for 1994	
December 1994	Final Report for 1994	
July-Sept 1995	Data collection from tag recovery activities	Vessel charter for tag recovery crews, vessel and air charter for support
December 1995	Final Report for 1995	
July-Sept 1996	Data collection from tag recovery activities	Vessel charter for tag recovery crews, vessel and air charter for support
June 1997	Final Project Report	

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Estimates of overall straying rates into PWS wild streams will ultimately incorporate aerial estimates of escapement to those streams. Aerial data for PWS pink salmon streams are collected annually by ADF&G at a cost of approximately \$23.0K as part of their funded pink salmon management program. This survey program has been supplemented in recent years by approximately \$5.0K donated by local fish processors and commercial fishing groups. Coded-wire tags to be recovered from hatchery fish which stray into wild streams are applied annually by Prince William Sound Aquaculture Association at a cost of approximately \$120.0K and by Valdez Fisheries Development Association at a cost of approximately \$40.0K.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The ADF&G already has Title 16 permits for all of the streams proposed for tag recovery surveys. Corp of Engineers permits are not required since no structures will be constructed and none of the streams are navigable. All sampling on anadromous systems is covered by an ADF&G biological collection permits.

Project Description

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Personnel policy, purchasing practices, field camp operations, safety procedures, and project administration will be in compliance with the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Standard Operating Procedures Manual. Data collection procedures are similar to those used in NRDA F/S Study #3 and Restoration Studies #9 and #60B. These procedures have been thoroughly reviewed by the ADF&G biometrics staff and the NRDA peer review process and approved by the Restoration Team.

Results of field studies in the summers of 1994 and 1995 will be reported in final annual reports in December of 1994 and 1995. A final project report which summarizes 1996 results and synthesizes results from all three years will be completed in June of 1997.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	337.0
Travel	5.3
Contractual	139.9
Commodities	44.0
Equipment	54.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	580.2
General Administration	<u>60.3</u>
Project Total	640.5
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Alaska Marine Research Institute

Project Number: 94199

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agencies: NOAA and DOI-FWS

Cost of Project, FY94: To be determined

Cost of Project, FY95: To be determined

Cost of Project, FY96: To be determined

Project Startup Date: February 1994 **Duration:** 4 years

Geographic Area: Spill Area

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this project is to provide the planned Alaska Marine Research Institute with the scientific equipment and materials necessary to meet monitoring, restoration, rehabilitation and research objectives that promote long-term recovery and health of the marine ecosystem injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. This Institute will be jointly planned by the University of Alaska, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, City of Seward and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service. Information learned through the research Institute would be useful not only in the oil spill area of Alaska but throughout northern latitudes.

This marine research institution will provide a unified northern marine ecosystem program of marine research and monitoring. The Institute will provide a needed research facility for restoration work related to the spill. Operational support for the facility will come from project grants secured by staff scientists, from public donations and from other sources.

In 1993, the Alaska State Legislature appropriated from the *Exxon Valdez* criminal restitution fund \$12.5 million to the Alaska Department of Administration for payment as a grant under AS 37.05.315 to the City of Seward "for development of a center for education and research related to the natural resources injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill" (Sec. 2, Ch. 79, SLA 1993). This money will be used to initiate construction. A master business plan is being prepared for the Alaska Marine Research Institute in consultation with the University of Alaska. The Trustee Council is being requested to provide funding for the acquisition and installation of laboratory equipment and materials for research.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Alaska Marine Research Institute is planned as an on-going scientific research institute which will, as a central part of its mission, enhance and provide facilities and personnel, necessary to meet monitoring, restoration rehabilitation and research objectives that promote long-term recovery and health of the marine ecosystem injured by the oil spill. It would be located on waterfront property previously donated by the City of Seward. A preliminary design of the center was prepared by Cambridge Seven Associates. The design anticipates that the Alaska Marine Research Institute will operate in cooperation with the University of Alaska-Fairbanks' Seward Marine Center. Operated by the Institute of Marine Sciences, the Seward Marine Center has an international reputation as a unique subarctic research institution devoted to fish, invertebrates, and medical research.

The design for the Institute will include marine mammal pools of varying sizes to hold different species of marine mammals and pools to accommodate diving and wading birds. A 5,000ft² building will provide wet and dry labs that would enable researchers to bring birds and mammals into a controlled environment. Office space and state-of-the-art data processing facilities and equipment will also be available for researchers and graduate students. The design will also include a variety of tanks, pools and cages of varying sizes that will be available to house marine mammals, seabirds, fish and invertebrates. A 5,000ft² hospital containing a medical treatment center, small clinical laboratory, and intensive care pens for pinnipeds, otters, and seabirds will be part of the rehabilitation area.

It is expected that many of the animals housed in these facilities will be of great interest to the public. Though the Trustee Council is not being asked to fund a visitor center or public aquarium, the facilities could be configured to accommodate this aspect also if funding can be obtained from other sources.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The Alaska Marine Research Institute would aid recovery of marine mammals, seabirds and other marine life injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill through facilitating research, monitoring, restoration and rehabilitation of these species. The recovery of harbor seals and marbled murrelets is particularly uncertain because their populations were in decline before the oil spill occurred.

In the course of pursuing the restoration of the spill-impacted ecosystem, the Institute will provide ancillary benefits not specifically targeted by restoration projects. The presence of the Institute will promote interest in and knowledge about the marine and coastal ecosystem, especially its resources affected by the spill, and create and nurture expertise needed for understanding Alaska's marine environment.

B. Objectives

The Alaska Marine Research Institute is planned as an on-going scientific research institute which will, as a central part of its mission, enhance and provide facilities and personnel necessary to meet monitoring, restoration rehabilitation and research objectives that promote long-term recovery and health of the marine ecosystem injured by the oil spill. The portion of this project that the Trustees are being asked to fund and which assists in meeting this goal is the acquisition and installation of the state-of-the-art scientific equipment and materials needed to make the Institute a world class research and restoration facility. It is the intent of this proposal being presented to the Trustee Council to request funding for only that portion of the Alaska Marine Research Institute project which is consistent with the spending guidelines for the civil settlement monies set forth in the Memorandum of Agreement and Consent Decree filed with the United States District Court for the District of Alaska, United States vs. State of Alaska, A91-081. Acquisition and installation of scientific equipment and materials used for the conduct of restoration, research and monitoring projects appears to be consistent with that decree.

C. Methods

The Alaska Marine Research Institute will be developed in four phases.

- Phase I: Project identity, master plan, and economic evaluation (in progress)
- Phase II: Pre-construction, scoping and design (March 1994)
- Phase III: Site development and detailed design (Summer 1994)
- Phase IV: Construction and acquisition and installation of research equipment and materials (Summer 1994 - Spring 1997)

Funding will be provided by a number of sources including the criminal settlement fund, joint trust funds, and private contributions. The total cost of the project has not yet been determined. Funding for Phase II, Phase III and the construction portion of Phase IV has been committed through the \$12.5 million legislative appropriation and private contributions. Joint Trust Funds would finance the acquisition and installation of equipment and materials part of Phase IV.

D. Location

The Alaska Marine Research Institute will be located in Seward. Its services will be available to the entire spill area and the North Pacific region.

E. Technical Support

None required.

F. Contracts

The State is expected to award a grant to the City of Seward for the use of these funds to construct the research and restoration facility. No other state contracts are anticipated.

SCHEDULES

The goal of the Institute's sponsors is to open the doors of the Alaska Marine Research Institute in Spring 1997. In FY94, restoration funds would be used primarily for identification, scoping and design of lab facilities, equipment and materials. This effort would begin in February 1994 and conclude in September 1994. In FY95, FY96 and FY97, facility construction, and acquisition and installation of research equipment and materials would occur. Staffing and start-up would occur as facility completion warrants and as funding becomes available.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The Alaska Marine Research Institute would be located adjacent to and cooperate with the Institute of Marine Science's Seward Marine Center. Research, monitoring, rehabilitation and restoration efforts will operate with the advice and cooperation of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The master plan, which will be completed about February 1994, will identify all permits required. The project is expected to require a Section 404 permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and may require authorization from the Environmental Protection Agency (NPDES permit) for water discharge, depending on the type of water system selected for the facility. Because a decision to fund this project is considered a major federal action, it will require formal documentation of compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act. Depending on the outcome of the environmental assessment, an Environmental Impact Statement may be required. On behalf of the Trustee Council, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration will be the lead agency for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Periodic reports from the project manager and financial audits will be the primary means of monitoring performance.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

Budget amounts for the 1994 fiscal year have yet to be determined. NEPA costs are estimated to be \$5K.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Public Land Access and 17(b) Easement Identification

Project Number: 94200

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$38.1K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$72.8K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Kodiak Island Borough, and Alaska Peninsula

INTRODUCTION

There are over two million acres of private land in the spill area. Much of it belongs to Native corporations. Public easements over native land were reserved under Section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. These are called "17(b) easements." Navigable waterbodies, roads, trails, docks, airports, and other public easements also provide public access across private land.

Public land records typically do not contain reliable information about 17(b) easements, and title, and navigability for waterbodies. To obtain current information about roads, trails, docks, airports, and other public easements one must usually consult several different sources.

This project will provide accurate information about land status and legal public access. Ready access to this information could enhance recreation in the spill area by reducing conflicts between recreation users and private landowners. It will benefit recovery of other injured resources and associated services by improving the analysis of candidate lands for habitat protection and acquisition, logistics planning for restoration projects, and public land management.

In 1990, ADNR's Division of Land completed manual mapping of land status, 17(b) easements, and title navigability for PWS. However, this information was never digitized or published. The previously collected data need to be updated with (1) conveyances after 1990 and (2) other forms of legal public access. Digitization will the data for use in a geographic information system and accelerate the process of publication for use by the general public. Little of this information has been compiled or digitized for Kodiak Island Borough.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Prince William Sound Access Atlas and the Kodiak / Alaska Peninsula Access Atlas will provide users with a graphic depiction of land ownership and legal public access at a scale of 1" to a mile as well as written narratives of each easement. These projects consists of four parts:

1. Field verification of potential 17(b) easements to public lands that currently have inadequate access. This step is contemplated only for certain lands selected by Eyak Corporation in Prince William Sound.
2. An inventory of land status, 17(b) easements, roads, trails, docks, airports, and navigable waterbodies.
3. Entry of this data into a geographic information system using ARC/INFO.
4. Publication of an atlas depicting legal public access.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Accurate information about legal public access across private land would aid recovery of injury to recreation in the spill area by showing people where they can legally camp or cross private land to uplands, streams, lakes, and other public lands.

B. Objectives

The primary objective of this project is to reduce land use conflicts between private landowners and the public in PWS and the Kodiak Island Borough. It achieves this objective through widely disseminating accurate information about legal public access across private land. A secondary objective of this project is to improve the quality and accessibility of data for use by government agencies in restoration planning and land management. It achieves this objective by developing databases on geographic information systems for two of the regions in the spill area.

C. Methods

1. Complete negotiations for easements to public lands that currently have inadequate access. In FY94, such negotiations would be conducted with Eyak Corporation.
2. Digitally transfer state land status to the geographic information system.
3. Complete land status by digitizing additional detail if necessary.

Project Description

4. Acquire current federal land status from the Bureau of Land Management on tape and load into the geographic information system.
5. Obtain from the state Division of Water its inventory of navigable waters and annotate hydrology data to the geographic information system.
6. Digitize 17(b) easements.
7. Inventory other public easements and digitize the information.
8. Prepare and publish an atlas of land status and legal public access at a scale of 1" to a mile (1:63,360).

D. Location

This project will address PWS, the Kodiak Island Borough, and that part of the Alaska Peninsula which includes Chignik, Chignik Lagoon, Chignik Lake, Perryville, and Ivanof Bay. An easement atlas for the Kenai Peninsula Borough was prepared in conjunction with the Kenai Area Plan for state lands and will be published in September 1993. With the completion of the two proposed projects, the entire spill area would have coverage.

E. Technical Support

The geographic information system support required for this project will be funded entirely through the proposed budget. No additional technical support is required.

F. Contracts

Both atlases will be printed under contract. Each contract will be competitively bid. The cost estimates in the budget are based on actual costs incurred in printing the Kenai Easement Atlas.

SCHEDULES

February 1994 - June 1994	Inventory and digitize (or digitally transfer) data.
July - September 1994	Prepare and publish Prince William Sound Access Atlas.
October 1994 - June 1995	Inventory and digitize (or digitally transfer) data.
July - September 1995	Prepare and publish Kodiak / Alaska Peninsula Access Atlas.

All personnel assigned to this project are in the Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Land.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

During FY94, the Alaska Department of Natural Resources will contribute staff to negotiate with the Eyak Corporation for easements to public lands that currently have inadequate access. It will also audit state land selections in PWS to refine land status information. The U.S. Forest Service is currently marking 17(b) easements within PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project is categorically excluded from formal documentation in an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement. No permits are required.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Before an atlas is printed, the staff of the Trustee Council will have two weeks to review a draft. The purpose of this review is to ensure consistency with the database developed during the *Exxon Valdez* restoration process, including accurate depiction of land acquired through the restoration process, e.g., Seal Bay on Afognak Island. By September 30, 1994, the Division of Land will provide to the staff of the Trustee Council 25 copies of the Prince William Sound Access Atlas and one copy of the digital data for this atlas. By September 30, 1995, the Division of Land will provide to the staff of the Trustee Council 25 copies of the Kodiak / Alaska Peninsula Access Atlas and one copy of the digital data for this atlas.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

The allocation of restoration funds to this project is presented below. The Division of Lands will cover all other miscellaneous expenses associated with this project.

	DNR
Personnel	9.0
Travel	1.0
Contractual	25.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	35.0
General	<u>3.1</u>
Administration	
Project Total	38.1
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Gulf of Alaska Recreation Plan Development

Project Number: 94216

Lead Agency: DOI-NPS

Cooperating Agency: ADNR

Cost of Project, FY94: \$164.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$136.7K

Project Startup Date: March 1994

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Spill Area excluding Prince William Sound. This study will address the area in regions including Southern Kenai Peninsula, Cook Inlet, Kodiak Region and the Alaska Peninsula.

INTRODUCTION

Recreation along the outer coastal waters extending southwest from Prince William Sound (PWS) past Kodiak Island and north into Cook Inlet were directly affected by the spill in a variety of ways. Recreation opportunities vary from high quality land and water based wilderness activities to road accessible recreation from communities within the spill area. Visitor use patterns, experiences, opportunities, and services changed as the result of the spill. Some of the injury to recreation is due to oiled beaches or oiled wildlife and some due to perceptions of actual or expected experiences.

Land and water recreation management in the study area is complex because several agencies are involved, and both public and private lands were affected by the spill. Some information collection efforts and studies have been conducted in the spill area. There are differences between interest groups, agencies, and the public on how much, if any, development of recreation facilities or opportunities should be supported by restoration funds. The purpose of this study will be to evaluate the recreational uses which may have been affected by this event, and report the possible remedies for restoration of recreation services within three sub-regions of the study area. In addition, the intent of this study is designed to compliment the on-going effort in PWS undertaken by the Trustees in 1993.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The study team will be composed of a small group of recreation experts from the Department of the Interior, National Park Service, and the State of Alaska's, Department of Natural Resources, who will describe the recreational resources and services which were injured as a result of the oil spill. The intent of the study will be to work with agencies and publics to further develop and evaluate potential ways that recreational resources and services can be restored.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The recreation management in the spill area will be evaluated and goals developed to restore injured recreation resources, opportunities and services. Specific project proposals for restoring the injured recreation resources, opportunities and services will also be developed. The pros and cons of special area designations will be addressed where appropriate.

The benefits of this study will give the Trustee Council a means to restore injured recreation resources, and services. With the implementation of projects considered by this study, individual recreation users, tourism businesses, and communities will benefit.

B. Objectives

1. Identify long term goals and objectives for recreation restoration within specific regions of the spill area:

Region I Gulf of Alaska (Seward to the Barren Islands)
Region II Kodiak Island and the Alaska Peninsula within the Kodiak Borough
Region III Cook Inlet (Barren Islands to Kenai)
2. Coordinate opportunities for recreation restoration with Federal, State, Local, and private land managers having an interest in public recreation resources and services.
3. Reach consensus for recreation restoration projects.
4. Develop and evaluate integrated recreation restoration project proposals for FY 1995 and beyond for consideration by the Trustee Council.

C. Methods

Recreation specialists and planners with site specific knowledge about the outer coastal regions will review information collected on recreation as part of the Draft Restoration Plan and from other public and private sources.

Project Description

Regional representatives will be identified and selected to coordinate public and agency participation within each of the three regions. An implementation program for recreation restoration will be developed.

D. Location

Most of the written work will be performed in Anchorage offices. Meetings will be held in several of the communities within the spill area. Meetings with individuals or groups will be held at locations most convenient to them. Telephone conferences will be used when practical.

E. Technical Support

Technical support will be needed to input information from the recreation questionnaire and data analysis of that questionnaire along with the compilation of public comment. Support will also be needed from ADNR & GIS for working maps of the spill area and details of the regions for use in meetings to identify and map potential project locations.

F. Contracts

Both technical support and aircraft charters are anticipated to complete this study.

SCHEDULE

March 1994	Begin Study
Ongoing	Conduct inventory, user surveys, agency reviews, initial public contacts. Determination of recreational resources affected by the spill
May 94	Begin meetings with community groups
October 94	Draft Study Report
April 1995	Final Report and Findings

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources has received \$4.7M dollars from the Criminal Settlement to conduct recreation activities within the oil spill affected area. Information gained from this project will be used, in part, to provide a focus for these expenditures.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project is categorically excluded from formal documentation in an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under Department of Interior Department Manual, 516 Chapter 2 Appendix 1.6. No other permits are required. No ground disturbing activity is proposed. Project is for recreation implementation development. Projects developed from this project may require environmental analysis or permits before implementation.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING**FY94 BUDGET (\$K)**

	DOI-NPS	ADNR	TOTAL
Personnel	58.1	52.3	110.4
Travel	8.1	6.3	14.4
Contractual	6.1	11.7	17.8
Commodities	1.6	1.5	3.1
Equipment	2.0	0.0	2.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	75.9	71.8	147.7
General Administration	<u>9.1</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>16.9</u>
Project Total	85.0	79.6	164.6
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Prince William Sound Area Recreation Implementation Plan

Project Number: 94217

Lead Agency: USDA-FS

Cooperating Agency: ADNR

Cost of Project, FY94: \$91.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 8 months

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

This project is the continuation and completion of project 93065-Prince William Sound Recreation. The objectives of the project are to develop a list of prioritized recreation restoration projects, identify and describe potential special designations, and identify real or perceived injury to the recreation resource and services in Prince William Sound (PWS). Restoration management goals and objectives for recreation in PWS will also be developed based on injuries identified. This project is currently in the public participation stage with additional public participation and meetings planned through November, 1993.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project is focused on restoration of recreation. The most significant part of this project will identify, prioritize, and describe potential recreation restoration projects through public participation. Meetings with interested groups and individuals have taken place with additional meetings scheduled through mid-September. A workshop is planned for November 5 and 6, 1993, which will bring all interested parties together to develop a project list. Evaluation criteria have been developed for use at this meeting to rate and prioritize projects. Additional meetings are being scheduled in the Anchorage area.

In addition to developing projects, potential areas requiring special designation are being identified. A preliminary list of possible designations has been developed. During public meetings, comments about special designations are being gathered. The final project report will include the findings of the special designation research.

A specific statement of injury to recreation in PWS will be developed. This statement will be used as the basis for future recreation restoration activities. Currently, the project has identified existing management goals and objectives from public land management plans. These tend to be broad in scope and not specific to recreation restoration.

B. Objectives

The objectives of this project remain the same as in 1993, with only slight modification in emphasis:

1. Develop recreation resource and service projects for FY 1994 and beyond that can be implemented by the Trustee Council for restoration in PWS. An initial list of projects with complete project descriptions will be provided by November 22, 1993 for inclusion in the FY94 Work Plan. Additional projects will be identified, prioritized, and listed in the final project report.
2. Identify all possible special designations that could be applied to PWS. This would include State, Federal or other yet unknown designations. A preliminary draft of possible special designations will be available September 30, 1993 with the summary of findings included in the final project report.
3. Identify the real or perceived injury to recreation in PWS and develop long-term recreation restoration goals and objectives for the management of PWS. A statement of the injury to recreation will be completed. Goals and objectives will be identified in the project report.

C. Methods

The methods described in the 1993 project description (93065) are being implemented. A mass mailing was sent out in early June to inform people of the project and begin the process of soliciting comment and project ideas. In Addition, people were asked if they would like to fill out the *Exxon Valdez* Restoration Plan Recreation Questionnaire. Returned questionnaires have been added to the data base. Public meetings soliciting ideas from people on possible recreation restoration projects are ongoing with meetings planned through September. Initial work has been done to develop the special designations report and identify recreation restoration management goals and objectives. Information collected at each public meeting is being recorded and will be summarized before the workshop in November and for the project report.

The implementation phase of projects for recreation restoration will not be managed by this project work group. After the November workshop, project descriptions will be prepared for the highest rated projects and submitted to the Trustee Council by November 22, 1993. All other projects and the final project report will be submitted by April 15, 1994. The Trustee Council will decide which, if any, of the restoration projects identified will be funded.

D. Location

Meetings are being held in all communities in PWS as well as Seward and Anchorage. Additionally, meetings with individuals or groups are being held at locations most convenient to them, although typically in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

Technical support will be needed to input information from the recreation questionnaire and analyze questionnaire data. Support will also be needed from ADNR to develop working maps of PWS for use in meetings and for identifying and mapping potential project locations.

F. Contracts

Contractual funds will be utilized to provide charter aircraft for travel to villages in PWS, meeting space for the November 5-6 workshop, and a meeting facilitator for the workshop.

SCHEDULES

A schedule of the major milestones for project tasks, public meetings, analysis, and final reports is presented in Table 1. Initial data collection began in April, 1993. Meetings with the different interest groups began in June and will continue through September. A list of all the projects proposed by the public will be prepared for the November 5-6, 1993 workshop. Complete project descriptions for the best projects identified will be submitted by November 22, 1993 for the FY 94 Work Plan. All other projects identified will be listed and prioritized as a part of the project report, April 15, 1993. Investigations of possible special designations began in April, 1993. A preliminary draft of possible designations will be available the end of September, 1993. Complete findings and recommendations will be a part of the project report. Development of goals and objectives began in June, 1993. A statement of injury to the recreation resource and services will be completed in September, 1993. A summary of the findings related to injury and any restoration goals and objectives will be included in the project report.

Table 1. Project Schedule.

Date	Accomplishment												
	Complete major collection of data, projects submitted, surveys, etc. Additional data collection will be ongoing as necessary.												
June 1, 1993	Begin meetings with interest groups. Continues through October, 1993 Currently scheduled: <table> <tr> <td>July 21-23</td><td>Valdez</td></tr> <tr> <td>July 27</td><td>Chenega Bay</td></tr> <tr> <td>August 6</td><td>Seward</td></tr> <tr> <td>August 10-11</td><td>Cordova</td></tr> <tr> <td>August 16</td><td>Whittier</td></tr> <tr> <td>August 24</td><td>Tatitlek</td></tr> </table>	July 21-23	Valdez	July 27	Chenega Bay	August 6	Seward	August 10-11	Cordova	August 16	Whittier	August 24	Tatitlek
July 21-23	Valdez												
July 27	Chenega Bay												
August 6	Seward												
August 10-11	Cordova												
August 16	Whittier												
August 24	Tatitlek												
September 1, 1993	Draft statement of injury to recreation resources and services of PWS												
September 30, 1993	Final statement of injury to recreation resources and services of PWS												
October 1, 1993	Draft management goal and objectives for PWS												
October 30, 1993	Preliminary list of project proposals and descriptions												
November 5-6, 1993	Workshop with all interested groups												
November 22, 1993	Project descriptions for FY94 Work Plan												
February 15, 1994	Draft Project Report for peer review												
April 15, 1994	Final Project Report												

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources has been funded for \$4.75M of the criminal settlement to conduct recreation restoration activities within the spill area. The Chugach National Forest manages PWS with primary management emphasis on recreation and fish and wildlife management. Cabin maintenance, trail maintenance and development, mooring buoy placement, and cultural resources site identification, protection, and interpretation are some of the major tasks performed each year. The Forest Service has allocated approximately \$90K per year for the management of recreation within PWS. Additional funds have been allocated for fish and wildlife management. The Chugach

Project Description

National Forest Land Management Plan is scheduled for revision starting in 1995, and goals and objectives identified by this project may be adopted in the revised plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project is categorically exempt from formal documentation in an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under Forest Service regulations [FSH 1909.15 31.1a(3)]. No other permits are required. No ground disturbing activity is proposed. This project is for recreation implementation development. Projects developed from this project may require environmental analysis or permits before implementation.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Products provided from this project include:

1. Complete project descriptions for the most desirable recreation restoration projects for the FY 1994 Work Plan, based on the public involvement process being used.
2. A final project report describing the process followed, contacts made, workshop results, possible special designations, and a listing of all projects identified by priority.
3. A statement of injury to the recreation resource and services of PWS.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFS	ADNR	TOTAL
Personnel	27.4	32.5	59.9
Travel	1.9	1.9	3.8
Contractual	6.8	7.0	13.8
Commodities	3.5	0.2	3.7
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	39.6	41.6	81.2
General Administration	<u>4.6</u>	<u>5.4</u>	<u>10.0</u>
Project Total	44.2	47.0	91.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: River Otter Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94237

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$156.7K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$157.3K

Project Startup Date: February 1994

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Esther Passage and Northern Knight Island areas.

INTRODUCTION

River otters (*Lutra canadensis*) suffered direct mortality during the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. A river otter damage assessment study (TM3), initiated in 1989, documented subsequent long-term sublethal effects. Although lacking pre-spill data, areas within Prince William Sound (PWS) were known to support good populations of river otters. Mark-recapture studies in 1990 revealed no significant differences in population size between oiled and unoled study areas. However, a single-year census would be unable to detect oil-related trends.

Other signs of injuries included changes in home range size, habitat use, and latrine abandonment. Home ranges of otters from oiled areas were twice as large as those from unoled areas. Otters from oiled areas selected habitat differently. Latrine sites, which are an index to abundance, were abandoned more often in 1991 in oiled than in unoled areas, suggesting there may have been a delayed response in river otter populations from exposure to crude oil. Differences in rates of fecal deposition between oiled and unoled latrine sites in Herring Bay suggest otters use heavily-oiled areas less often.

Effects from exposure to crude oil were indicated in blood and fecal samples. Increased levels of haptoglobins and interleukin were found in the blood of live-captured otters from oiled areas as compared to unoled areas one and two years after the spill. Elevated levels of these blood values indicate trauma and a weakened immune system. Male river otters in 1990 had significantly lower body mass in oiled areas. By summer 1990, there were significant declines in the number of species in otter diets in oiled areas. Relative abundance of prey remains in otter feces showed strong differences between oiled and unoled areas.

River otters in PWS feed primarily on marine benthic fishes, as well as on marine gastropods, bivalves, and crustaceans. Otters are especially sensitive to pollutants in aquatic environments, including hydrocarbons. Long-term exposure to crude oil is thought to have adverse effects on marine fishes. Similarly, bivalves are directly injured by oiling and may

accumulate hydrocarbons. Thus, river otters and their principal foods were suspected to possibly suffer from short- and long-term effects of the oil spill.

Population recovery monitoring will determine whether there is ongoing injury. Monitoring results will influence management decisions affecting river otter recovery.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

River otter latrine sites are activity centers that provide the opportunity to monitor population trends and provide insights into the lower trophic levels that support otters. Once established by otters, sites are seldom abandoned unless significant changes occur in the otter density or habitat. In 1991, latrine sites in areas within the path of the spill were abandoned at nearly four times the rate documented in unoiled area. During the previous damage assessment study, intensive study areas were established in oiled and unoiled otter habitat. All latrine sites that were found in the two intensive study areas (131 in the oiled area, 113 in the unoiled area) and are available for continued use in this study. Use of these sites by otters in 1990 and 1991 is documented and will provide the base for quantifying differences in otter use. Otter use and diet composition will be compared in the oiled and unoiled study areas, and any changes with time since the oil spill will be determined. Annual monitoring of latrine use will provide information on population trends within both study areas. The population trend within the oiled study area can be used as an indicator of the status of otter populations in other areas impacted by the spill. Trend data from an unoiled area will be necessary to separate the effects of weather from residual impacts of oil on population trends.

Otter feces gathered in the oiled study area showed a significant decrease in the richness and diversity of diet one year after the spill. A similar dietary change did not occur in the unoiled study area. Additional feces samples are available to continue to monitor the status of dietary composition and change since 1990. Additionally, river otter diets can reflect the recovery of prey populations at lower trophic levels. A return to the 1989 composition in the feces could reflect that prey species abundance is comparable to pre-spill conditions.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources/services which may benefit from this study include river otters and human uses of the species.

B. Objectives

This study is designed to monitor river otter diets and the use of latrine sites in oiled and unoiled areas of PWS in order to determine an index of otter abundance and to assess recovery. River otters are indicator species for intertidal and subtidal communities. The objectives are: (1) to determine the annual level of latrine site use by river otters in the northern Knight Island study area and compare that use with data from the Esther Passage

Project Description

study area, (2) to monitor the richness and diversity of otter diets in oiled areas by scat analyses and determine whether the otter diet in the oiled study area has returned to a prey composition comparable to that of 1989 or the current status in unoiled habitat, (3) to monitor the annual harvest with emphasis on harvest size and the presence or absence of subadult animals from areas impacted by the spill.

C. Methods

The methodology for collecting and cleaning otter scats and for determining latrine site abandonment will follow those used previously in the river otter damage assessment study. The same intensive study areas will be monitored. Field investigations of approximately two weeks each will occur in early June for collection of winter scat samples and in early September 1994 for collection of summer scat samples and determination of latrine site abandonment. Areas used as latrine sites are readily recognizable and have been identified in previous research. In addition to fecal droppings, otters keep the trails and a core area free of non-woody vegetation and light litter fall from the forest canopy. By summer, a lack of continued use will produce regrowth of vegetation and many small branches laying in formerly cleared areas. Because latrine sites are not located randomly and regular use by otters is easily visible, establishment of new sites or reestablished use of abandoned sites can also be documented. The trend in latrine site use will be determined and used as an indicator of the trend in the otter population.

Scats will be analyzed for prey composition. A sub-sampling system will be developed that allows a more statistically powerful analysis of dietary data than in the damage assessment study. These procedures will then be applied to 1989, 1990, and 1992 scat samples in storage, allowing statistical comparisons of scat materials between study areas (oiled vs. non-oiled) and years (1989, 1990, 1992, and 1994). Scats collected in early spring of 1989 represent the pre-spill diet of otters and are a standard that can be used to evaluate recovery. Trend information will be established for both study areas and evaluated for a pattern of convergence to document recovery.

Trappers are required to bring river otter pelts into the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) for harvest sealing. Standard fur sealing procedures will be used for harvest data. ADF&G fur sealing records for Game Management Unit 6 will be reviewed. Hide measurements will provide data on reproductive success. With an adequate sample size, it may be possible to identify subadults and confirm that pups are surviving their first winter and that recruitment into the population is occurring.

At the end of the 1994 field season, data will be evaluated to determine whether another field season is desirable. If not, final data analysis and reporting will take place in 1995.

D. Location

Field investigations will be conducted in an oiled study area located in Herring Bay, Lewis Bay, Disk Island, and western Ingot Island and an unoiled study area in Esther Passage and northern Esther Island.

E. Technical Support

None.

F. Contracts

A contract for a vessel to support field work will be necessary. A Reimbursable Service Agreement (RSA) may be used for scat analysis.

SCHEDULES

This project will be conducted during 1994 and 1995, with either a recommendation for additional field studies or submission of a final project report in 1996.

Feb 1, 1994.	Cleaning and preliminary processing of scat samples collected in 1992. Equipment, reference library, and materials purchase. Initiate vessel charters and hiring of technicians. Develop subsampling procedures.
June 1-14, 1994.	Initial field trip; collect scats and remark and plot locations of latrine sites as necessary.
June - Dec.	Scat analysis.
Sept. 1-14, 1994.	Second field trip; obtain site abandonment data and collect scats.
Feb. 28, 1995.	Annual final report on latrine site abandonment, food habits, and harvest for the 1993-94 trapping season.

Schedule of field activities and analysis will be repeated in 1995. A final project report will be submitted by April 15, 1996.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

No other studies on river otters will be conducted in 1994 by the ADF&G. The ADF&G has fur sealing regulations for river otters, allowing the acquisition of harvest data.

Project Description

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets USFS agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The final products to be provided will include a final report presenting methods, results, discussion of results, and conclusions regarding this study. The report will include analysis of scats collected in 1992 and a comparison of results to data from 1989-1992. The former Principal Investigator for the damage assessment study will provide input, be available for consultation, and participate in field activities.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	94.7
Travel	3.1
Contractual	32.7
Commodities	6.5
Equipment	3.2
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	140.2
General Administration	16.5
Project Total	156.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Rockfish Management Plan Data Development

Project Number: 94241

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$233.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$233.2K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound/Outer Kenai Peninsula

INTRODUCTION

Rockfish harvests increased four-fold due to closures of the commercial salmon and shellfish fisheries following the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. In addition, rockfish mortalities attributable to oil ingestion were observed immediately after the spill. Subsequent histopathological sampling indicated continuing injury. Little information is available on rockfish abundance and composition in the spill-impact area. Lacking data on population abundance, composition, and production, an estimate of maximum sustainable yield is unknown. Because rockfish are long-lived, grow slowly, and mature late in their lives, depressed populations recover very slowly. Even with the curtailment of all human use, the impacts and rebuilding of a severely depleted rockfish population may continue for many years. This project will estimate rockfish population size and composition from which a management plan for long-term sustainable yield will be developed.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resources/services which may benefit from this study are the rockfish populations in Prince William Sound (PWS) and waters of the outer Kenai Peninsula and the ecological components and fisheries dependent upon these populations.

B. Objectives

The goal of this project is to develop a plan that modifies human use to provide for restoration of rockfish resources in the area impacted by the spill. Objectives of this study are as follows:

1. Describe the biological characteristics of the rockfish resources. Through port sampling and fisheries-independent test fishing, describe stock composition, mortality, growth, relative abundance, and relative recruitment.
2. Clarify rockfish stock definition. Genetic studies which document the population structure within and outside of the study area will be used to identify self-recruiting populations and levels of gene flow in rockfish species.

C. Methods

Sampling activities will be restricted principally to the rockfish species most common in commercial and sport harvests; black rockfish (*Sebastes melanops*), yelloweye rockfish (*S. ruberrimus*), rougheye rockfish (*S. aleutianus*) and quillback rockfish (*S. maliger*).

1. Species, sex, size, and age data will be collected from commercial landings at Whittier, Seward, Cordova, and Valdez where rockfish are delivered from the spill-impacted area. Otoliths will be collected for determination of age. Observers placed aboard commercial vessels will quantify the magnitude and composition of discards. Test fishing, using commercial longline and jig gear, will produce fisheries-independent stock composition data.
2. Species-specific estimation of potential productivity will be made using: (1) age structured analysis of lightly exploited stocks and (2) empirical relationships based on population simulations using catch and fishing mortality.
3. Genetic structure and gene flow will be estimated using allozyme electrophoresis and DNA analyses of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA. Rockfish samples will be collected from five sites within the spill-affected area. During the first year, up to three representative rockfish species will be chosen. For each species, approximately 100 individuals will be sampled from up to five sites. Sites will be chosen to maximize the geographic representation within the spill-affected areas. Sites outside the spill area will be used for comparison. All specimens will be analyzed for allozyme electrophoresis. DNA analyses will be performed on a subsample of the 100 specimens.
4. Biological data from current and historical landings (ADF&G fish ticket system) will be analyzed over time and area to describe human use patterns.

Project Description

D. Location

The project will be conducted in PWS and waters of the outer Kenai Peninsula. Areas where rockfish resources are taken for commercial, sport, or subsistence purposes, particularly Southcentral Alaska communities, will benefit from this project.

E. Technical Support

Determination of stock composition relies upon rockfish otolith aging by the ADF&G aging laboratory. Genetic analysis will be done by the ADF&G genetics laboratory. ADF&G biometrics staff will provide input into sampling strategies and direct stock structure modeling.

F. Contracts

Because deliveries from commercial fisheries are often more indicative of market conditions than the actual catch, and rockfish discarded at sea generally suffer 100% mortality, fishery-independent catch sampling is critical to understanding the rockfish population structure. A chartered vessel, independent of the commercial fishery, will be used for test fishing.

Proposals for development and survey of molecular genetic techniques will be solicited from qualified labs using the State of Alaska competitive bid process.

SCHEDULES

March-September 1994	Sample collection
April-August 1994	Otolith reading
August-September 1994	Preliminary data and stock analysis
August-September 1994	Final 1994 Report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The ADF&G will monitor commercial groundfish harvest data using ADF&G fish ticket landing records. Incidental rockfish harvest in the PWS sablefish fishery will also be sampled.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is the lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA's agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

All performance evaluation products and reports will be reviewed by senior regional research staff prior to submission to the Trustee Council and Chief Scientist.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	117.0
Travel	10.4
Contractual	48.2
Commodities	21.7
Equipment	15.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	212.3
General Administration	<u>20.9</u>
Project Total	233.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Harbor Seal and Sea Otter Co-op Subsistence Harvest Assistance

Project Number: 94244

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$54.5K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$54.5K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 3 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Lower Kenai Peninsula

INTRODUCTION

The populations of harbor seals and sea otters in Prince William Sound (PWS) and adjacent waters were injured as a result of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service estimates that between 3,500 and 5,500 sea otters were killed by oil in the first months after the spill, and sea otters were still being injured by oil in the environment three years later. The case for a population level oil spill injury to harbor seals is less clear. Harbor seal populations throughout the Gulf of Alaska are known to have been in decline before the spill. This decline continues, but it is difficult to determine how much, if any, is due to the effects of oil. However, it is known that harbor seals were exposed to *Exxon Valdez* crude oil in PWS. It is estimated that 345 harbor seals suffered direct mortality. Harbor seals also suffered sub-lethal effects, including mild corneal damage, nerve damage, and brain lesions.

Many Native subsistence hunters within the spill area, concerned about the apparent decline in the numbers of harbor seals and sea otters, have voluntarily reduced their take of these species in an effort to help their recovery. However, at present, there is no mechanism in place to evaluate the effectiveness of these efforts.

Some data are available on marine mammal harvests in the spill area. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) Division of Subsistence, has collected information on the numbers of harbor seals and sea otters harvested by subsistence users living in several communities in the spill region for both pre-and post-spill years. In 1993, the Division of Subsistence, in cooperation with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) and Ruralcap, also undertook a project to collect more detailed information on the timing and composition of subsistence harvests of harbor seals and sea lions (but not sea otters), including figures for those animals struck and lost. The USFWS operates a sea otter tagging program, which gathers information on sea otter harvests, including the location where animals are taken.

Some information is available on harbor seal and sea otter populations in this region. The ADF&G's Division of Wildlife Conservation, working with the NMFS, has conducted a count of harbor seals in both the oiled and unoled areas of PWS, along with other research aimed at assessing the health of the harbor seals (Restoration Project Number 93046). The USFWS has continued to monitor the recovery of sea otters in oiled areas by determining their abundance, distribution, and mortality (Restoration Project Number 93043).

This project will: (1) compile all of the available information; (2) gather additional data as needed; (3) analyze and interpret the data in cooperation with the appropriate agencies and native groups; and (4) produce a set of recommendations regarding harbor seal and sea otter harvesting to guide subsistence users who want to voluntarily change their harvesting practices to help these two species recover.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The goal of the project is to assess the impact of subsistence harvests of harbor seals and sea otters on the recovery of these species, and, if necessary, work cooperatively with subsistence hunters to find ways to reduce this impact.

B. Objectives

Produce a set of recommendations for subsistence users of harbor seals and sea otters by September 1994.

C. Methods

The project involves compiling information from a variety of sources. Sea otter tagging data collected by the USFWS will be used to estimate both the number of sea otters taken, and the locations in which they were hunted. The Division of Subsistence is already conducting a survey in the oil spill-impacted communities to gather information on the numbers of harbor seals harvested, including a breakdown by life stage and sex of the animal, and an estimate of the number struck and lost. A section would be added to the questionnaire on location of harvest for this species. This would also increase the length of time it takes to conduct each interview. Information collected by the ADF&G, the USFWS, and the NMFS on the biology and population characteristics of harbor seals and sea otters in the oil spill-impact area will also be used. The Habitat and Restoration Division will contract with a qualified organization, such as the Alaska Sea Otter Commission or Ruralcap, to assist in the interpretation of the biological and population data and to assess the potential effects of the harvest on the health of the populations.

When the data is compiled and analyzed, an ad hoc committee would be convened to evaluate the accumulated information and make recommendations to subsistence users.

Project Description

Such a committee would be composed of representatives of appropriate agencies, including the ADF&G, USFWS, and NMFS, as well as native organizations, including the Alaska Sea Otter Commission, Ruralcap, the Chugach Regional Resources Commission, and the village and traditional councils of the area. Recommendations of the ad hoc group would be presented to subsistence users both in an informational newsletter, and in community meetings. Any changes to the harvest would be voluntary, as the ad hoc group would have no authority to compel any changes.

D. Location

The study area will include PWS and the lower Kenai Peninsula.

E. Technical Support

None required.

F. Contracts

The analysis and interpretation of the biological and population data would be contracted to one or more qualified organizations, such as Alaskan Native organizations or the Alaska Sea Otter Commission because of their ties to the native communities.

SCHEDULES

May 1994:	Finish collection of harvest information for 1993
July 1994:	Finish compilation of biological and population data
August 1994:	Convene ad hoc group to evaluate data
September 1994:	Make recommendations to subsistence harvesters
	Produce informational newsletter
	Hold community meetings
	Submit final 1994 report

A second year of harvest monitoring and evaluation of new biological and population data will be needed to complete the project.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

This project will take advantage of several existing programs, both within the ADF&G and other agencies as outlined in the introduction to this project.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The USFWS is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets USFWS requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

No permits are required.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A database will be compiled for this project. The ad hoc group will form a set of recommendations for subsistence users of the two species. An informational newsletter will be produced. A report will be completed at the end of each project year.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	32.0
Travel	5.0
Contractual	10.0
Commodities	2.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	49.0
General Administration	<u>5.5</u>
Project Total	54.5
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Sea Otter Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94246

Lead Agency: DOI-FWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$418.7K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$70.6K

Project Startup Date: April 1994 **Duration:** 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Sea otters (*Enhydra lutris*) are a well-known marine mammal species in Alaska. They historically occurred throughout coastal waters of the Pacific, but as a result of fur harvests in the 18th and 19th centuries, they came close to extinction. They have since increased in abundance and distribution, and presently are found in most coastal areas of southern Alaska. Sea otters prey on a variety of invertebrate species, including mussels, clams, crabs, and sea urchins.

Acute losses of sea otters associated with the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill probably ranged from 3,500 to 5,000 animals. Natural Resource Damage Assessment studies on (1) sea otter abundance in Prince William Sound (PWS), (2) age distribution of sea otter carcasses recovered in oiled areas, and (3) first-year survival of juvenile sea otters in PWS suggested that oil-related injury to the population persisted through 1991.

Data collection in 1992 was limited; however, age distributions of carcasses recovered in 1992 suggested mortality was returning to a pre-spill pattern. In addition, a juvenile survival study conducted by USFWS in 1992-93 indicates improved rates of first year survival compared to 1990-91. Analyses of blood of sea otters caught in oiled areas in 1992, however, showed that approximately 25% of the adult otters have significant elevations in serum enzymes associated with liver and kidney damage. Restoration studies underway in 1993-94 will supply additional information on population size and distribution. The restoration project proposed herein for 1994-1995 will monitor the population to assess whether or not there is evidence of recovery and obtain data to evaluate condition of sea otters and possible pathologies that may be contributing to continued injury in the population.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will monitor sea otters.

B. Objectives

1. Monitor Spring/Summer 1994 abundance and distribution of sea otters in PWS for comparison with previously collected data.
2. Monitor 1994 mortality trends in sea otters such as age class, gender, and location.
3. Investigate pathological conditions of sea otters in PWS. Determine if the pathological conditions observed in 1993 still exist.

C. Methods

1. Abundance and distribution of sea otters in PWS will be monitored through application of aerial surveys developed by USFWS (with partial support of restoration funds) in 1991-1993.
2. Mortality of sea otters will be evaluated by examining the age distribution of beach-cast carcasses retrieved on annual beach walks. Sea otter age will be determined, as in the past, by tooth cementum analysis.
3. Pathological conditions of sea otters will be examined by (1) analysis of hematology and serum chemistry in blood samples collected from live sea otters and (2) gross examination of carcasses and histologic examination of tissue samples collected from carcasses of sea otters taken by subsistence hunters. No alternative methods exist for collection of data.

D. Location

Field work will take place in PWS. Data entry, analysis, and report preparation will take place in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

None needed.

Project Description

F. Contracts

Contracts of \$0.4 to \$0.8K each will be required for determination of age from teeth, for blood analysis, and for necropsies of any intact carcasses that may be recovered.

SCHEDULES

1. Field work - April 15, 1994 to September 15, 1994:

April/May - Beach walks for carcass retrieval.

July - Blood collection from live sea otters.

August - Aerial surveys for abundance and distribution monitoring.

by September - Collection of carcasses from subsistence takes will depend on hunters but we anticipate obtaining samples by September.
2. Analysis of biological samples (blood, teeth, histopathology) - July to October, 1994.
3. Data entry and analysis - August to November, 1994.
4. Draft reports will be completed by January 16, 1995.
5. Final reports will be completed by March 31, 1995.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The USFWS covers salary for two investigators during their contributions to this project (primarily the aerial surveys) - total estimated agency contribution is \$14.9K.

The USFWS will be radiotracking juvenile sea otters in PWS on a bi-weekly basis until June 1994, to measure second year survival rates and movements.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

A Marine Mammal Permit is required for handling sea otters and collection of blood; a permit which covers this work has already been issued. Other components of the study involve collection of samples from sea otter carcasses and do not require an additional permit. The aerial survey relies on observations from a small airplane and is non-intrusive.

Based on a review of CEQ regulation 40 CFR 1500-1508, this study has been determined to be categorically exempt from the requirements of NEPA, in accordance with 40 CFR 1508.4.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Final reports provided by March 31, 1995, will demonstrate that project objectives have been met.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	255.0
Travel	11.4
Contractual	88.4
Commodities	19.5
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	374.3
General Administration	<u>44.4</u>
Project Total	418.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Kenai River Sockeye Salmon Restoration

Project Number: 94255

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agencies: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$406.1K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$406.1K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 2 Years Remaining of 5

Geographic Area: Upper Cook Inlet, north of a line from Anchor Point to the Red River Delta-Field work will be based out of Soldotna; lab and portions of the data analyses will be conducted in Anchorage.

INTRODUCTION

Sockeye salmon, *Oncorhynchus nerka*, which spawn in the Kenai River system were injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Greatly reduced fishing time in Upper Cook Inlet (UCI) due to the spill caused sockeye salmon spawning escapement levels in the Kenai River to exceed that desired by three fold. The biological impact of spill on Kenai River sockeye salmon stocks may be one of the most serious documented. Data collected by Natural Resource Damage Assessment Fish/Shellfish Study #27, *Sockeye Salmon Overescapement*, indicated greatly reduced survival of juvenile sockeye salmon during the winter-spring rearing period beginning with the 1989 parent year. The extremely high escapement may have initially produced more rearing juvenile sockeye salmon than could be supported by nursery lake productivity.

In general, when rearing salmon abundance greatly exceeds lake carrying capacity, the species and size composition of prey resources are altered which affects all trophic levels. Because of such changes, juvenile sockeye growth is reduced, freshwater mortality is increased, greater proportions of fry remain in the lake for another year of rearing, and smolt condition is reduced and marine mortality is increased.

Limiting sockeye salmon fry production by closely regulating the number of spawning adults may be the only way to restore the productivity of these rearing areas. Sockeye smolt outmigrations in the Kenai River were severely reduced in 1991 (1989 parent year) and have continued to decline through 1993. The number of adult sockeye salmon returning from the 1989 overescapement in the Kenai River is expected to be low. Starting in 1994, a dramatic reduction or complete elimination of Kenai River sockeye salmon harvests may be necessary to ensure even minimally adequate escapements.

Sockeye salmon harvested from the mixed-stock fishery of Cook Inlet include fish from the Kenai, Kasilof, and Susitna Rivers. In order to effectively manage the harvest of injured stocks, Restoration Science Studies R53/R59/93012/93015 were implemented in 1992 and 1993. These studies use genetic stock identification (GSI) techniques to identify Kenai River stocks in mixed stock Cook Inlet fisheries. Area managers will use this information to modify fishing areas and openings in order to facilitate the harvest of surplus Kasilof and Susitna River stocks while protecting Kenai River stocks. This project is the continuation of those projects through fiscal year 1994.

Attempts to use stock identification to manage harvests of Cook Inlet sockeye salmon in the past have relied on scale growth patterns. However, the accuracy of the scale technique alone has not been reliable, and it is insufficient to permit the in-season protection of the injured Kenai River stocks. GSI techniques rely on genetic variation to discriminate between populations of organisms. This method has recently been applied in other areas as an in-season fisheries management tool, and it has proven to be extremely effective for allocating and adjusting the harvest of fish stocks intercepted in mixed-stock fisheries such as those that occur in Cook Inlet. Once a data base has been established, GSI techniques should provide a mechanism for in-season management on a stock-specific basis. This will allow managers to control the harvest of Kenai River sockeye salmon and facilitate their recovery.

A pilot study was conducted during 1993, prior to the return of the first impacted stocks anticipated in 1994 (age-5 sockeye salmon from the 1989 parent year). Two fishery samplings were completed using the genetic baseline collected during the 1992 field season. In both cases the laboratory and statistical analysis were completed within 48 hours. Preliminary estimates indicate that this type of information can be sufficiently precise to inform fishery managers on current stock composition in Cook Inlet.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Restoration of Kenai River sockeye stocks will benefit subsistence, sport, and commercial fishermen in coastal communities throughout Cook Inlet, from Homer north through Anchorage to Tyonek. In 1992 nearly 10,000 families obtained subsistence permits to harvest salmon in UCI, most targeting Kenai River sockeye salmon. The most recent statistics indicate that nearly 100,000 sport anglers fished the Kenai River for salmon in 1990, spending \$38 million in 1986 dollars. Forty percent of those anglers were from out of state. Of the 1,323 permits licensed to commercial fish in UCI, 80% are fished by state residents with the remaining predominately from Pacific Coast states. The average exvessel value (1987-1991) of the UCI commercial salmon harvest was \$ 67.8 million.

B. Objectives

The goal of this project is to restore Kenai River sockeye salmon injured by the oil spill. This will be accomplished through improved stock assessment capabilities, more accurate regulation of spawning levels, and modification of human use. The specific objectives are to:

1. Use GSI algorithms to estimate the proportion of Kenai River stocks in mixed stock fisheries so that managers may modify area and time of harvest to protect damaged stocks while targeting surplus Kasilof River and Susitna River stocks. Genetic data will be obtained each week from samplings of the mixed-stock fisheries occurring in 1994-1996. Stock composition estimates will be provided within 48 hours post-fishery.
2. Provide accurate estimates of abundance of Kenai River sockeye salmon within Cook Inlet through hydroacoustic assessment techniques.

C. Methods

Stock Identification

Efforts to develop a comprehensive genetic database of sockeye salmon stocks in Cook Inlet will continue. In 1992, baseline genetic data was collected using allozyme analyses from 28 subpopulations from the Kenai, Kasilof, and Susitna Rivers. Beginning in 1993, samples from the UCI commercial harvest were analyzed to estimate the composition of the fisheries. Additional sockeye salmon are being collected from approximately 38 baseline subpopulations in 1993. An additional 10 sites will be chosen each year (1994-1995) to supplement or confirm those previously sampled. This information will enable Area Managers to identify Kenai River fish occurring in the mixed-stock commercial fishery and thus harvest surplus stocks of sockeye salmon while providing protection to injured stocks destined for the Kenai River.

Mixed stock fishery samples will be collected twice weekly from selected drift or set gillnet fishery openings occurring during the July fisheries (1994-1996). Muscle, liver, heart, and eye tissue will be taken from individual fish and examined for discriminating gene markers.

Genotypic and allelic frequency estimates will be calculated from allozyme electrophoretic data for each baseline and mixed-stock sample at every gene locus examined and will be used to identify discrete spawning populations. Stock components of mixed fishery samples will be estimated using a conditional maximum likelihood algorithm. Fishery composition estimates will be available within 48 hours following the fishery so that management decisions can be based on the actual composition of the fisheries.

Representative individuals will be screened for DNA-level markers. Total genomic DNA will be extracted and amplified through polymerase chain reaction techniques using various mitochondrial and nuclear primers. Restriction analyses as well as sequencing studies will be

performed. Maximum likelihood simulation studies will be performed to test the additional resolution that could be provided by the DNA-level data. DNA data will be collected from the fishery samples as scientifically and logistically feasible.

Offshore Test Fish Program

The sockeye salmon total run to UCI has been estimated early during the season by test fishing between Anchor River and Red River delta. Northward migrating sockeye salmon are captured with a drift gill net at a series of stations. Salmon are identified to species and sex, and length measurements are recorded. Estimates of total sockeye salmon return are made several times during the season by estimating expected total test fishery catch per unit of effort for the season and catchability of sockeye salmon in the test fishery. Analysis of historical data indicates that existing sampling effort and catch has not been proportional to abundance. To assess run size more accurately, additional sampling effort will be added to the existing program. In 1992, hydroacoustic equipment and techniques were tested in UCI offshore waters. Results of this work indicated that hydroacoustic techniques could detect salmon and provide a population estimate for "in season" management use. However, the primary limitation identified in the study was vessel speed relative to limitations (signal/noise ratio) of the hydroacoustic gear. Examination of the data set indicated that a minimum of 12 orthogonal transects sampled over 48 hours within Cook Inlet would be needed to provide a useable estimate of adult salmon abundance. In 1993 an increase of vessel speed to 10 km/hr was attempted while completing the 12 randomly selected transects. In addition to this effort the vessel and hydroacoustic gear were deployed for 6 days in conjunction with the existing Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) test fish vessel at Anchor Point. The estimates of salmon abundance were compared with the test fish catch for preliminary development of a program to estimate sockeye salmon hydroacoustic targets.

D. Location

Upper Cook Inlet, north of a line from Anchor point to the Red River Delta. Field work will be inlet-wide and based out of Soldotna. Lab work and a portion of the data analyses will be conducted in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

Administrative support is provided by the Administrative, Habitat and Restoration, and Commercial Fisheries Development and Management Divisions staff of the ADF&G. Project Leaders and their assistants are not fully funded by this project and are supported with general funds from the ADF&G. These studies are integrated with ongoing studies by the Commercial Fisheries Division. Consequently, the spill investigations have been integrated into the normal operations of these Divisions for efficiency in completing the objectives of these studies.

Project Description

F. Contracts

A sole source contract is proposed (value not to exceed \$50.0K) to be awarded to *BioSonics Inc.* for continuing work in UCI with hydroacoustic equipment. *BioSonics Inc.* was awarded the original contract in 1992 and 1993 through competitive bid procedures. The experience gained, and the recent purchase of *BioSonics Inc* equipment for this project, make them the logical contractor for the continuation of these studies.

SCHEDULES

Fishery sample collection	June-July, 1993
Baseline sample collection	Aug-Sept 1993
Laboratory analyses of baseline & fishery samples	July 1993-Apr 1994
Prepare, advertise, and award contract for UCI hydroacoustic survey	Jan-April 1994
Fishery sample collection	June-July 1994
Hydroacoustic assessment	July 1994
Baseline sample collection	Aug-Sept 1994
Laboratory analyses of mixtures; numerical analyses of stock structure; modelling of 1994 fishery samples	May-Sept 1994
Final report from hydroacoustic survey contractor	February 1995
Draft annual report for FY94	March 1995
Final annual report for FY94	June 1995
Baseline analyses, inseason analyses,	Oct 94-Sept 1995
Baseline analyses, inseason analyses, final project report	Oct 95-Sept 1996

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

For FY94 the Division of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development (excluding programs in Sport Fish and Subsistence Divisions of ADF&G) will have the following general

fund programs: Area management (\$238K), Research to include catch sampling, escapement monitoring, and offshore test fishing (\$480K), biometric, technical, and regional support (\$250K), and the statewide genetics laboratory in Anchorage (\$500 K).

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The studies proposed provide for data collection and field sampling programs. No environmental effect of these programs occurs beyond that of traditional fisheries management data collection activities. These activities are within existing collecting permits or Federal special use permits issued to the ADF&G for scientific data collection. No other permits or other coordination activities are involved.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Administrative aspects (personnel, expenditures, etc.) follow the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Standard Operating Procedures Manual. The scientific and technical aspects of the study are subject to internal review within the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division and the Habitat and Restoration Division, Restoration Section. Publications are submitted through an internal peer review process with the major findings submitted to peer review journals. Reports, work plans, and study design are subject to the peer review process established by the Trustee Council and the Chief Scientist. This year, technical aspects of the overescapement studies' findings to date, and future plans were reviewed by a panel of international sockeye salmon researchers in a special session of the Kokanee and Sockeye Salmon workshop sponsored by the Northern Pacific International Chapter of the American Fisheries Society at Vancouver, B.C. in March, 1992. These studies provide the basis for the management programs being developed under this restoration project. Annual final reports will be generated with publications being provided in peer review journals and scientific symposia, as significant findings are obtained. The final project report will be issued upon completion of the final year of field data collection.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	208.0
Travel	9.1
Contractual	90.1
Commodities	37.4
Equipment	24.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	368.6
General Administration	<u>37.5</u>
Project Total	406.1
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Sockeye Salmon Overescapement

Project Number: 94258

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$854.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$591.5K

Project Startup Date: October 1, 1993 **Duration:** 3 years

Geographic Area: Upper Cook Inlet and Kodiak Island

INTRODUCTION

This study is a continuation of the oil spill damage assessment program initiated in 1990 with modifications based on FY93 study results. Recommendations provided by an international review team of sockeye salmon experts have been incorporated.

In 1989, commercial fishing for sockeye salmon was curtailed in Upper Cook Inlet (UCI), the outer Chignik districts, and the Kodiak areas due to presence of oil in the fishing areas from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. As a result, the number of sockeye salmon entering four important sockeye producing systems (Kenai/Skilak, Chignik/Black, Red, and Frazer Lakes) and two less important lake systems (Akalura and Afognak or Litnik Lakes) greatly exceeded levels that are thought to be most productive. Sockeye salmon spawn in lake-associated river systems.

Overly-large spawning escapements may result in poor returns by producing more rearing juvenile sockeye than can be supported by the nursery lake's productivity. This project continues examining the effects of large 1989 spawning escapements on the resulting progeny and associated foraging habitat for a select subset of the above mentioned sockeye nursery lakes. Three impacted lake systems where the 1989 escapements were more than twice the desired levels (Kenai/Skilak in UCI; Red and Akalura Lakes on Kodiak Island) were selected. Upper Station Lake, which is near the two impacted lakes on Kodiak, did not receive a large escapement and has been examined as a control. Because of significant differences in the basin morphology and limnology, Upper Station has proved relatively ineffective as a control. Beginning in 1994, Frazer Lake will be used for future comparisons. Because this lake has undergone detailed study in the past and has continued funding for other sources, minimal funding is necessary to provide for data collection to insure comparisons with Akalura and Red Lakes. Similarly, Tustumena Lake on the Kenai Peninsula received normal escapements and is used as a control for the Kenai River system lakes.

In addition, the study proposal reflects results of data collected in the spring of 1993. Continued poor smolt production from the Kenai River is suggested, despite normal smolt production from Tustumena Lake, the control for this system. The 1992 and 1993 preliminary data indicate Red Lake zooplankton communities and nutrient levels have recovered to the level measured in 1986, prior to the spill. Smolt numbers appear to be lagging but adult forecasts for returns in 1994 suggest Red Lake escapement goals will be met. Therefore, management actions will be used as the primary method for restoration. Smolt numbers will be used to forecast future returns to provide assistance in future harvest management decisions. Akalura Lake demonstrated poor zooplankton densities and low smolt numbers. A restoration plan for Akalura Lake will be produced during the winter of 1993-94 based on analysis of data collected to date.

The 1993 smolting information from the Kenai system lakes indicates poor smolt production. In addition, the Russian River smolt project indicated very poor production, suggesting this system may also be below production expectations. This system was first identified as having suspect production when the 1992 smolt count from the lower Kenai failed to produce sufficient numbers of age 2 smolt to account for historic Russian River smolt migrations. This project suggests further investigations into the Russian River are warranted. Research on the Chignik ecosystem is conducted by the Fisheries Research Institute (FRI) of the University of Washington and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game using General Fund monies. The scope of this research is sufficient to monitor ecosystem disturbances and spill funding is not being requested for Chignik research.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Sockeye salmon stocks on Kodiak Island and the Kenai Peninsula are being examined. These stocks support commercial and sport fisheries worth several hundred million dollars annually. These studies will monitor the extent of the damage to these stocks and attempt to establish the mechanism that led to stock collapse. These studies follow the pattern established in the original 1990 study plan with modifications to accommodate recent findings.

B. Objectives

The following objectives have been altered based on input from peer reviewers of the 1992 progress report and proposed revisions to the 1994 study program:

1. Estimate critical biological attributes (number, age, size) of both resident and migrant juvenile sockeye in overescaped and normal escaped sockeye salmon nursery lakes of the Kenai Peninsula and Kodiak Island.

Project Description

2. Determine effects on smolt production and subsequent adult returns caused by large escapements resulting from fishery closures after the spill. These effects will be inferred by studying the changes in the rearing capacity of selected nursery lakes which were either affected or unaffected by the spill. Data used for these inferences include:
 - a. age and growth of juveniles and smolts
 - b. nursery area nutrient budgets and plankton populations
 - c. seasonal, diel, and vertical distribution of zooplankton species which are the known prey of sockeye salmon in Skilak, Kenai, and Tustumena Lake
 - d. seasonally available zooplankton biomass in these lakes and the relationship of this biomass to ambient temperature and light.
3. Develop a pilot research project to determine the cause of the decline and potential restorative actions.

C. Methods

For each of the lake systems identified, the response (abundance, growth, and freshwater age) of rearing juveniles will be studied. Because of the significance and magnitude of the findings on Red Lake, and on Skilak/Kenai Lakes, these studies will continue until observed effects on growth and the limnetic community of the lake ecosystems recovers to pre-spill conditions.

The timeline of the 1993 studies is outlined on Figure 1. This table depicts the sampling schedule for the integrated limnological studies and fisheries studies on the Kenai Peninsula. The total number of juvenile sockeye in the Kenai Peninsula lakes will be estimated through hydroacoustic surveys conducted during all years up until recovery of the system is observed. Age and size information will be obtained from samples of juvenile sockeye collected from concurrent mid-water trawl netting surveys. Survey transect designs for hydroacoustic sampling and tow netting have been established for Kenai and Skilak lakes and Tustumena Lake. Depending on densities of rearing juvenile sockeye salmon, estimates of fish densities will be made for each transect either by echo integration or by echo counting. Total fish population estimates will be computed by summing transect populations along with 95% confidence intervals. Additional studies of the vertical distribution of Skilak Lake sockeye will be conducted simultaneously with population estimates. An additional sampling period--for vertical sampling only--is scheduled for November, 1993.

Freshwater growth and age of sockeye salmon rearing juveniles from all study systems will be determined from scale and possibly otolith measurements made either by direct visual analysis of scales or using an Optical Pattern Recognition system. In cases where data are available (e.g., Kenai and Skilak Lakes and Tustumena Lake), growth of progeny from the 1989 spawning escapements will be compared with growth or size of progeny during prior years.

The total number of smolt migrating from each system will be estimated with a mark-recapture study using inclined plane traps. Smolt will be captured in traps, sampled for age and size information, marked with Bismark Brown Y (a biological dye), and transported upstream of the traps and released for subsequent recapture. Periodic retesting will determine the capture efficiency of the traps under changing river conditions during the spring. Total population estimates (with 95% confidence intervals) will be made using catch efficiencies. Smolt size and age information will be calculated weekly. Smolt programs consistent with those for the study lakes are continuing for Tustumena Lake.

On the Kenai River, the smolt operation will require expansion to include the Russian River. This lake system apparently now is the dominant producer of sockeye salmon smolt and is upriver from the current smolt project on the mainstem Kenai River. To determine the production of smolt from the Kenai River mainstem, estimates of smolt production from the Russian River lake system must be completed to separate normal Russian River production from the smolt production of sockeye salmon rearing in Skilak and Kenai Lakes. These methods are being established to insure that current projections of smolt production from the Kenai River lake systems are not an artifact of some unknown sampling bias.

The current length frequency data on sockeye smolts at the mainstem Kenai River smolt traps indicates that we may be missing a portion of the Russian River smolt outmigration. With the excellent genetic separation of Russian River sockeye from mainstem Kenai fish, separation of these two components in the catch should be possible. This will allow for a better total smolt estimate and verification of the Russian River smolt trap data.

The smolt data for 1993 indicates that the Russian River lake systems may be experiencing declines in production similar to the mainstem Kenai River. Therefore, to evaluate the current production potential and impacts of large escapements, limnological and fry hydroacoustic/tow net surveys of the Russian lakes are proposed. Techniques duplicate those used on other systems.

In the two Kenai Peninsula lakes, late fall sampling of fry will be conducted. Based on peer review comments, hydroacoustic studies of fry abundance will be conducted into the fall 1993 period, to track and sample the juvenile fish until cold weather prevents further studies. This is based on the assumption that most of the density dependent mortality occurs in early winter.

Studies on Kodiak Island will be reduced because of recent findings which indicate recovery is occurring. These include elimination of the smolt weir counts on Red River; relying instead on mark/recapture studies with smolt traps to estimate smolt abundance in 1993. In 1992, the hydroacoustic surveys were eliminated on these lakes because of interference of sticklebacks with the population estimates. Samples of fall fry for age, weight, and length will continue to be collected. The variation and differences in Upper Station Lakes suggest this system is inadequate as a control for Red Lake and Akalura Lake. Therefore, Frazer Lake will be used as a control in the future. Monitoring of this system is primarily conducted using general fund expenditures of the ADF&G. A minor modification will be made to the program

Project Description

to insure compatibility with the monitoring continuing on Akalura and Red lakes. Funding from these studies will be used to augment the regular smolt monitoring program. A second inclined plane trap will be used to ensure that adequate samples are obtained to accurately describe smolt population numbers and age, weight, and length characteristics. This will insure precision levels similar to the Red and Akalura lakes studies. The continued poor smolt production in the spring of 1993 (preliminary data - 400,000 Red Lake, 70,000 Akalura) suggest continued monitoring of these systems is warranted.

Limnological data will be collected to monitor the response of the lakes to high juvenile rearing densities and their recovery once escapement levels decline. Figure 1 provides a timeline of these studies and reflects the integration with the fisheries investigations previously discussed. These data will be used to estimate carrying capacity parameters of euphotic volume, nutrient budgets (carcass enrichment), and zooplankton biomass, body-sizes, and composition shifts. Approximately six limnology surveys will be conducted at two stations, to determine zooplankton species abundance and body-sizes, nutrient chemistry, and phytoplankton abundance for Kenai/Skilak, Tustumena, Red, and Upper Station Lakes.

In cases where seasonal data are available (i.e., Kenai, and Skilak Lakes), limnological parameters taken during residence of the juveniles from the 1989 spawning escapements will be compared to parameters within these systems during prior years.

Although Kenai River system smolt enumerations and fall fry estimates during 1991, 1992 and the spring of 1993 produced very low numbers, zooplankton biomass estimates in Skilak Lake, the major sockeye salmon producer, have not undergone similar levels of decline. To further understand the mechanism that may regulate the survival of sockeye salmon juveniles in this lake, early spring tow netting for juvenile salmon was conducted. Failure to collect significant numbers prompted limited distribution studies of juvenile sockeye in the lakes. These data indicated concentrations during the day near 40m but in very low abundances. These findings prompted limited vertical sampling of the zooplankton community to determine depth distribution. During the day, most of the zooplankton biomass was concentrated at the same depth as the fish with increased surface concentrations during the night. Since light extinction during the spring occurred at a depth of 15m and the lake was isothermal at 2.5°C, this distribution pattern seemed peculiar. Since sockeye salmon are principally sight feeders, this indicated that much of the biomass was unavailable for feeding. The control lake, Tustumena, indicated that the same species of zooplankton did not exhibit a similar vertical distribution. One possible mechanism that would explain the difference is food satiation. Due to heavy cropping of the zooplankton community in Kenai and Skilak Lakes, the zooplankton may respond by no longer competing for limited food resources and are able to find sufficient food while spending relatively short amounts of time at the depths where they are susceptible to sight feeding predators (sockeye salmon). Thus, although the high turbidity and cold temperatures of Tustumena produce more limited biomass of zooplankton, their continual presence in the surface light layer makes them much more vulnerable to feeding sockeye than in Kenai and Skilak Lakes. The relationship between the higher cropping rate on the egg-bearing component of the zooplankton population and the loss of needed lipids for overwintering survival of juvenile sockeye salmon is also being examined.

To further the understanding of the mechanism causing sockeye salmon decline, much more intensive sampling of the diel and seasonal distribution of plankton in the glacial lakes of the Kenai Peninsula was initiated in the summer of 1993 by use of a towable optical zooplankton counter (OPC). Ongoing studies will determine if this device will meet expectations. This program will be continued in 1994 if successful. These data will be coupled with sampling of rearing sockeye salmon fry in the lakes by means of trawl net developed by Biosonics, Inc., capable of sampling differential depths. The collected fish will be sampled for age, weight, and length, lipid content, and stomach contents.

In Skilak Lake and Tustumena Lake during each of these four sampling periods, zooplankton and sockeye salmon diel migration will be estimated at one location. This will involve continuous sampling with the OPC over various depths and a concurrent hydroacoustic survey for one 24 hour cycle.

The above data will be integrated with the other information to develop a seasonal model of food availability within the euphotic zone of the lake to predict fish biomass production from these systems. The studies will begin in early 1993 and continue for a minimum of one calendar year.

The holistic approach proposed here involves several evaluation procedures to assess the effects of sockeye salmon overescapement. First, fresh-water production from the 1989 escapements will be assessed in Kenai/Skilak, Red, and Upper Station Lakes. This will be accomplished through analysis of growth, freshwater survival (in particular, overwinter survival), and freshwater age of sockeye smolt populations. Any anomalies will be determined by analysis of freshwater growth recorded on archived scales, historical freshwater age composition, and modelled freshwater survivals; and from results of previous studies as well as the 1991 smolt characteristics from each of the study systems. Also, planktonic food sources will be assessed through estimation of zooplankton prey biomass and diversity of species. Some of these analyses have been completed.

Second, future sockeye salmon production from the 1989 parent year and subsequent parent years will be estimated based on spawner/recruit relationships. Losses of adult sockeye production from subsequent parent years may result from negative effects caused by progeny of the 1989 escapement on the lake's carrying capacity. The spawner/recruit relationships will be estimated from historical stock specific return data (where available), and generalized spawner/recruit data scaled to the carrying capacity parameters (i.e., euphotic volume and zooplankton biomass) of the nursery lakes where stock specific return data are not available. If it is determined that in any of the affected systems, the density dependent effects are occurring outside of the traditional models, the effects will be isolated by examining a broader time window of the rearing life history of these species.

Third, experimental and empirical sockeye life history/production models will be used to compare salmon production by life-stage at escapement levels consistent with management goals to the 1989 escapements. These models will be refined by use of food availability data obtained through the vertical sampling studies initiated in 1992. Additionally, in the case

Project Description

of the Kenai system, the 1989 escapement effects will be viewed independently of the effects on previous brood years with high escapement.

D. Location

Study locations are on Kodiak Island and the Kenai Peninsula.

E. Technical Support

Administrative support is provided by the Divisions of Administrative , Habitat and Restoration (H&R), and Commercial Fisheries Management and Development (CFMD) staff of the ADF&G. Project Leaders and their assistants are not funded by this project. They are supported with general funds from the State of Alaska. Most laboratory analyses are conducted by the CFMD Limnology laboratory in Soldotna. These studies are integrated with ongoing studies by the CFMD on Kodiak Island and the Kenai Peninsula. These studies have different objectives (i.e., to manage, enhance, and rehabilitate common property salmon fisheries), but use the same techniques and data collection methods. Consequently the spill investigations have been integrated into the normal operations of these divisions for efficiency in completing the objectives of these studies and the general mission of these agencies.

F. Contracts

Technical support for specialized analyses are conducted by reciprocal service agreements with the University of Alaska at Palmer (lipid analysis) and Fairbanks (Nitrogen 15 analysis). These contracts were issued in 1992 and 1993 to provide specialized analysis not routinely used by the CFMD Limnology laboratory.

SCHEDULES

The chart in Figure 1 on the next page outlines the FY94 study plans. These plans are subject to minor modification following completion of data analysis during the winter of 1993-94.

[illegible]

*The Skilak Hydro December work was proposed for 1994.



Field activities

Construction, preseason/postseason activities Data analysis

Data analysis

Project Description

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The ADF&G has ongoing commercial fisheries research operations on the Kenai and Kasilof River, Frazer Lake, Red River, Akalura Lake, Upper Station Lake, and Afognak Lake. The ADF&G and FRI research currently being conducted at Chignik Lake is sufficient and does not require additional Trustee Council funding. In addition, there are ongoing data collection activities from Hidden, Karluk, and Spiridon lakes relating to the limnology of these systems. The following is a synopsis of projects funded by the State of Alaska General Fund (in \$K):

Kodiak Island	
Kodiak Bio Rehabilitation	174.1
Spiridon Lake Assessment-	42.8
Frazer Lake /smolt operation	36.6
Kodiak Finfish research	154.4
Kodiak Frazer/Upper Station	
In-season forecasting	31.5
Kodiak Major System Weirs-	168.2
Total	607.6
Kenai Peninsula	
Statewide Limnology Staff (Part)	160.0
Limnology & Estuarine Studies	100.0
Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association	
Contracts (Hidden Lake-Others)	18.0
Kenai River Sonar	45.4
Kasilof River Sonar	28.7
Soldotna Research Staff	203.1
Stock Identification,	
Upper Cook Inlet	56.9
Total	612.1
Grand Total	1,219.7

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The field activities of this project are authorized under existing state scientific collecting permits and federal special-use permits for scientific data collection. New programs on the National Wildlife Refuge are updated through permit amendments as needed. No other permits or other coordination activities are involved.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for the project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Administrative aspects (personnel, expenditures, etc.) follow the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Standard Operating Procedures Manual. This project is one of over 15 currently maintained by the CFMD Division Limnology Section and is administered accordingly. Quality control of the laboratory is conducted routinely, and follows methods outlined in the laboratory manual referenced in this report. The laboratory is rated annually by the U.S. Geological Survey, by conducting tests on blind samples provided by this group. Replicates are routinely run to cross-check analytical techniques.

This year, technical aspects of the studies findings to date and future plans were reviewed by a panel of international sockeye salmon researchers in a special session of the Kokanee and Sockeye Salmon workshop sponsored by the Northern Pacific International Chapter of the American Fisheries Society at Vancouver, B.C. in March, 1992. Annual reports will be prepared. The final project report will be issued following completion of the last year of field data collection.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	602.8
Travel	13.5
Contractual	71.2
Commodities	49.5
Equipment	22.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	759.5
General Administration	<u>95.4</u>
Project Total	854.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Coghill Lake Sockeye Salmon Restoration

Project Number: 94259

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$324.1K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$322K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

This project aims to restore the natural productivity of Coghill Lake and the resident sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) population through lake fertilization. The Coghill Lake sockeye salmon stock historically supported important sport and commercial fisheries. Sockeye salmon returns to Coghill Lake have declined in recent years from a historical average of 250,000. In 1993, only 9,000 sockeye entered Coghill Lake to spawn. It is possible that juvenile salmon were affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill which may have contributed to the decline of the Coghill sockeye stock. Salmon migration patterns indicate that juvenile sockeye smolt from Coghill Lake likely migrated through oil-contaminated areas in western Prince William Sound (PWS). Juvenile salmon similar in size to Coghill smolts used oiled nearshore nursery habitats. The growth and survival of juvenile salmon using these habitats was reduced by oil contamination from the spill. The Coghill Lake stock is presently at dangerously low levels. Action must be taken to restore the stock before any further decline occurs. Lake fertilization techniques have been successfully applied in Alaska and elsewhere to restore the productivity of sockeye salmon rearing lakes. The production of sockeye salmon populations is closely linked to the productivity of lakes where the fish rear for 1 to 3 years. The availability of food in rearing lakes determines the growth and size of smolts that emigrate to sea. Smolt size in turn determines ocean survival and subsequent adult returns. The fry food resources in Coghill Lake are currently very low. As a result, the lake cannot support large numbers of fry and the smolts are very small. Fertilization is needed to increase lake productivity and boost fry food abundance. As the natural productivity of the lake is restored, the sockeye salmon spawning population will be allowed to gradually increase. This approach will prevent overgrazing of restored zooplankton stocks and allow a gradual transition from fertilization by man to natural input of nutrients from salmon carcasses.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will restore an important natural resource and resource service in the spill-affected area. Restoration of the Coghill sockeye stock will further provide natural resource services to replace those once provided by other injured stocks. The communities of Anchorage, Whittier, Valdez, and Cordova will benefit from this project. Coghill Lake sockeye have been heavily used by sport fishermen travelling from Whittier by boat and from Anchorage by air. Commercial fishermen from all of these communities have historically fished the Coghill Lake sockeye salmon stock. Restoration of Coghill Lake sockeye salmon will further improve management of important sockeye and chum salmon stocks returning to hatcheries in western PWS.

B. Objectives

The goal of this project is to restore the natural productivity of Coghill Lake and the resident sockeye salmon population through use of established lake fertilization techniques. The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) will apply fertilizer to the lake each summer for 5 years. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) will conduct limnological and fisheries studies needed to monitor and refine the fertilization program. These studies will focus on the effects of fertilization on primary and secondary production and the growth and survival of juvenile sockeye salmon in the lake. The ADF&G component of the project will achieve the following objectives each year:

1. Apply fertilizer to Coghill Lake and elevate the productivity of the lake ecosystem.
2. Monitor the residence time of nutrient-enriched water in the lake.
3. Determine the effect of fertilization on primary and secondary production.
4. Determine the effect of fertilization on the food consumption, growth, and condition of sockeye salmon fry.
5. Determine the effect of fertilization on the overwinter survival, age, size, and condition of smolts emigrating from the lake.

C. Methods

Lake fertilization is recommended for 1 salmon life cycle (5 years) to elevate the productivity of the lake and the resident salmon population. Approximately 273 mg m² of phosphorus will be added to the lake each year. An additional 2,273 mg m² of nitrogen will be added each year to maintain an 18:1 ratio of nitrogen to phosphorus. A pharmaceutical-grade liquid fertilizer will be applied from a low-flying aircraft. Application will consist of 6 to 9 passes of

Project Description

5-minute duration 1 day each week. Fertilizer will be applied from the end to June to early September.

The effect of lake fertilization on primary and secondary production will be assessed by comparing limnological data collected before and after fertilization. Five years of limnological data collected monthly at Coghill Lake is available for the comparison. Limnological sampling will be conducted twice each month at 3 stations. Dissolved oxygen concentrations will be measured from the surface to a depth of 60 m. Eight one-liter water samples will be collected from the 1 meter stratum, chemocline, and monimolimnion. Water samples will be analyzed for the following parameters: conductivity, alkalinity, calcium, magnesium, turbidity, total iron, filterable reactive phosphorus, total phosphorus, nitrate and nitrite, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, total nitrogen, and reactive silicon. Zooplankton samples will be collected at 11 stations twice each month. Zooplankton abundance and species composition will be estimated from replicate counts of organisms in 1 ml subsamples. Zooplankton dry weight and biomass will be estimated by regression analysis using body length measurements on 30 individuals from each taxa. Light penetration will be measured at 1 meter increments from the surface to a depth equivalent to 1% of the surface light level. Euphotic zone depth will be estimated from light measurements. Water temperature in the epilimnion and water level will be continuously monitored by electronic recorders moored at 1, 10, 20, and 30 meter depths.

The habitats used by sockeye salmon fry in the lake will be determined from visual surveys, beach seine and tow net catches, and hydroacoustic surveys conducted in July, August, September, and October. A 120-kHz dual-beam echosounder will be used to determine the distribution and abundance of juvenile sockeye salmon each month. Ten samples of 10 juvenile sockeye salmon will be collected from various habitats during each survey for later analysis of stomach contents and growth.

The effect of the fertilization program on food consumption will be assessed by testing for changes in prey composition and feeding rate over time as the fertilization proceeds. Stomach analysis will be conducted on juvenile sockeye ($n=100$) collected during each survey. Prey items in the stomach will be identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level. Prey body weight will be estimated by regression analysis using body length measurements on at least 30 individuals from each taxa. Total stomach contents weight will be estimated by the product of abundance and mean body weight for each taxa. Analysis of variance on the ranks will be used to test for differences ($P=.05$) in the proportion of stomach contents weight in each taxonomic group between months and years. Analysis of covariance will be used to test for differences ($P=.05$) in total stomach contents weight between months and years.

The effect of the fertilization program on fry growth will be evaluated by testing for changes in temperature-specific growth between years. Juvenile sockeye salmon growth will be estimated from body weight measurements and estimated age from time of outmigration into the lake. Otolith microstructure analysis will be used to estimate age from time of outmigration. Otolith increment count and radius length will be measured on each otolith ($n=100$) collected during monthly surveys. An exponential growth model will be used to

estimate fish growth. Analysis of covariance will be used to test for differences ($P = .05$) in temperature-specific growth between years.

The effect of the fertilization program on survival of juvenile sockeye salmon will be evaluated by testing for pre- and post-fertilization differences in overwinter survival, fall fry condition, and size-at-age. Overwinter survival of juvenile sockeye will be estimated from fall fry and spring smolt population estimates. Fall fry population size will be estimated with a 120 kHz echosounder towed along 10 randomly selected transects. A mid-water trawl will be used in conjunction with the hydroacoustic surveys to determine species composition, age, and size of fish targets. Sockeye salmon smolts emigrating from the lake will be enumerated using inclined-plane traps. The traps will be operated continuously from early May through June. The catch efficiency of the traps will be determined by mark-recapture analysis. Age composition and size will be estimated from smolt samples ($n=40$) collected each day. Analyses of variance and covariance will be used to test for pre- and post-fertilization differences ($P = .05$) in age composition and smolt condition, respectively.

D. Location

This project will be conducted at Coghill Lake which is located on the eastern side of Port Wells in the northwest region of PWS.

E. Technical Support

Data archiving services will be required to adequately document and maintain data obtained from this project.

F. Contracts

A private company will be contracted to apply fertilizer to the lake. The agencies involved in this project do not have the equipment or expertise required to complete this phase of the project.

SCHEDULES

This project will be conducted over a 5-year period which corresponds to the generation time for Coghill Lake sockeye salmon. Lake fertilization is expected to elevate lake productivity until carcasses from adult spawners can once again contribute significantly to the nutrient load in the lake. Project activities will take place throughout each year (Table 1).

Project Description

Table 1: Annual schedule of project activities (1994-1997).

May - June	Enumerate outmigrant smolts and estimate smolt age and size
June - October	Apply fertilizer each week and conduct limnological sampling
June, Aug., Oct.	Determine fish habitat use and sample for otolith and stomach analysis
October	Estimate fall fry population size using hydroacoustic techniques
June - October	Conduct laboratory analyses of limnological, otolith, and stomach samples
October-Dec.	Analyze data and prepare annual report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The ADF&G operates a weir on Coghill River for enumerating adult salmon returning to spawn. The annual operating cost of the weir project is \$18.5K.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The USFS is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. The USFS has conducted an Environmental Assessment to evaluate the various options for rehabilitating Coghill Lake and the resident sockeye salmon population. The assessment has found no significant effects and no EIS was required.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A project report will be submitted by February 1, 1995 detailing the results of the FY 1994 season.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	USFS	TOTAL
Personnel	114.9	11.6	126.5
Travel	2.0	0.0	2.0
Contractual	42.6	111.4	154.0
Commodities	10.1	1.7	11.8
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	169.6	124.7	294.3
General Administration	<u>20.2</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>29.8</u>
Total	189.9	134.2	324.1
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Shoreline Assessment and Oil Removal

Project Number: 94266

Lead Agency: ADEC

Cooperating Agencies: ADF&G, ADNR, USFS, DOI, NOAA

Cost of Project, FY94: \$973.3K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$40.1K

Project Startup Date: February 1, 1994

Duration: 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska. The principal survey locations are Knight, Latouche, Evans, Elrington, Green, and Disk Islands in Prince William Sound and Tonsina Bay, Windy Bay and Chugach Bay in the Gulf of Alaska. These areas are in proximity to Chenega Village, Whittier, Port Graham, Seward and Homer.

INTRODUCTION

Shorelines treated during spill response activities need to be monitored to ensure recovery is proceeding at an acceptable rate and surveyed for emerging subsurface oil brought to the surface as a result of winter storms.

Shorelines treated in 1992 and earlier and other oiled sites need to be evaluated to determine the response to previous treatment, or if additional activities are required to restore resources and services. State and federal technical experts with *Exxon Valdez* spill experience will evaluate shorelines for the presence of *Exxon Valdez* hydrocarbons. The goal of the evaluation will be to document the amount of remaining hydrocarbons and determine the effect of the remaining oil on shoreline activities. Residents of the area continue to express uncertainty about the health of subsistence resources, and that uncertainty has led to changes in traditional subsistence patterns. Recreational users have expressed continuing concern about the visual impact of surface oil on the quality of the recreational experience. In addition, recreational users have placed a high priority on removing rebar, flagging, signs, back-stakes, and other shoreline debris left on shorelines by clean-up and damage assessment crews.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will examine shorelines and determine if resources and services are still impacted by *Exxon Valdez* oil and assess the need for additional treatment. The public, landowners

and resource managers need to have current and accurate field information for operation and management. If resources are impacted and need to be restored, technical experts need to survey the sites and determine the best course of action to correct the problem to ameliorate further injury. Public complaints about the presence of hydrocarbons can be investigated, the questioned area assessed and the criticism addressed through the framework of this project. Information collected by this project will assist Trustee Council review of other projects submitted for funding by providing current, accurate information about shoreline conditions. Accurate field information will be used by Restoration Team members to identify areas with persistent hydrocarbon concentrations that may affect other restoration activities.

B. Objectives

The overall purpose of the project is to determine the status of shoreline recovery and if additional restoration activities are required. The project objectives are to assess the shoreline hydrocarbon concentrations and, where appropriate, to carry out necessary treatment either during the survey or following the survey using local work crews to perform the identified work. The shoreline assessment will use the process developed and refined since the 1989 spill:

1. Survey shorelines for the presence of *Exxon Valdez* hydrocarbons and/or clean up detritus
2. Determine if resource uses are affected by hydrocarbons
3. Locate and remove debris left by clean-up and/or study teams.
4. Perform light duty manual treatment to restore resource use if necessary and feasible
5. Write work orders for local crews to treat the shoreline if necessary.
6. Document field activities.

C. Methods

The Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, in conjunction with the other Trustee Agencies and in consultation with the U.S. Coast Guard, will review the 1993 shoreline survey information and produce a list of subdivisions to be surveyed in 1994. The list will then be released for public review and comment.

In the field, agency technical experts and the upland landowners will assess the shoreline segments and document oiling conditions. The survey team will be berthed on a vessel and use skiffs to access the shoreline. Float planes will provide logistics support. Previous *Exxon Valdez* assessment surveys have used these logistics as the most cost effective and time efficient support structure. Agency representatives will be chosen for their environmental and habitat experience. Each person will have extensive *Exxon Valdez* spill experience. Surveys will be conducted daily during both low tide windows unless adverse weather conditions develop.

Project Description

Field information will be recorded on forms standardized during previous surveys to facilitate comparison and compatibility with the existing databases.

The next phase is the restoration of resources and services, if necessary. Agency personnel (with input from the upland landowner) will determine if treatment is necessary based on established state and federal standards. Such a determination would include consideration of the resources impacted by the oil, the area and concentration of remaining oil, the cost effectiveness and technical feasibility of restoration, the services (such as subsistence) provided by the shoreline segment, and a reasonable expectation that the treatment or oil removal will not cause more injury than allowing the oil to remain in place. Such a determination would be made by the Trustee agencies in consultation with the Chief Scientist.

Any light duty restoration work that is determined to be necessary would be completed during and after the survey by the survey crew (which has proven to be the most cost effective method of treatment). Any restoration activities done in oiled mussel beds will be conducted in conjunction with Project # 94090 to ensure appropriate treatment methods are used and to monitor the effectiveness of treatment.

The need for shoreline treatment work in 1994 cannot be determined until the 1993 shoreline assessment is completed and the results of several damage assessment and restoration studies become available this winter and next spring. Because of the necessity of preplanning logistics support, we will assume limited restoration activities will be necessary. If restoration activities are found to be unnecessary, logistics support will not be used, and the money will be returned to the Trustee Council for use in other restoration activities. If additional work is found to be necessary at a level greater than initially authorized, additional funds will be requested from the Trustee Council to expand the effort.

D. Location

This project will assess *Exxon Valdez* impacted shorelines in Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska. The principal areas are Knight, Latouche, Evans, Elrington, Green, and Disk Islands in Prince William Sound and Tonsina Bay, Windy Bay and Chugach Bay in the Gulf of Alaska. These areas are in proximity to Chenega Village, Whittier, Port Graham, Seward and Homer.

E. Technical Support

The project will require data processing support to update existing files detailing the conditions of the specific beach segments surveyed. Detailed data related to the amount of oiled gravel removed from each beach will also be entered into the data base.

F. Contracts

Local communities for labor
Aircraft for logistics
Vessel for transportation and housing
Vessel to remove collected oil from cleanup areas
Rehabilitation of contaminated gravel

SCHEDULES

February 1994	Coordination and planning with participating agencies
March 1994	Negotiate contracts with communities for crews
April - May 1994	Procure supplies Obtain Haz-Mat training
June - August 1994	Staff and crews in field 1. surveys 2. oil removal 3. locate and remove debris
August 1994	Remove oiled gravel from sound
September 1994	Record updating and data entry
October - November 1994	Report to Trustees

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

As in prior years, permits and notifications will be required by several permitting agencies. All permits will be obtained prior to commencement of field work.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A list of segments which will be assessed during the project will be prepared and distributed prior to shoreline assessment. A report detailing restoration actions at each shoreline segment will be produced following completion of the field work.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC	ADF&G	ADNR	USFS	DOI	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	184.2	10.5	21.0	10.5	14.5	10.5	251.2
Travel	22.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	3.9	0.0	26.4
Contractual	579.7	0.0	0.3	0.0	25.0	0.0	605.0
Commodities	14.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	4.0	0.0	18.3
Equipment	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	808.8	10.5	22.1	10.5	47.4	10.5	909.8
General Administration	51.7	1.6	3.2	1.6	3.9	1.6	63.5
Project Total	<u>860.5</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>25.3</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>51.3</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>973.3</u>
NEPA Compliance	5.0						

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Chenega Chinook Release Program

Project Number: 94272

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$57.4K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$36.0K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 4 years

Geographic Area: Crab Bay near Chenega Bay Village in Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

In 1992, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G), in cooperation with the residents of the village of Chenega Bay, proposed to the Exxon Valdez Trustee Council that local salmon runs be established at Crab Bay, near the village. The purpose of the release is to develop an alternative food source for people whose subsistence resource was damaged by the oil spill. The original proposal called for the first release to occur in 1993, but timing requirements for planning and permitting caused the proposed release to be delayed until 1994.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The residents of Chenega and local commercial and sports fishermen will be the principal beneficiaries of this project because of their proximity to the proposed release site.

B. Objectives

The objective of the release program is to develop a return of 2,000 adult chinook beginning in 1998 with fewer numbers of younger fish returning in 1996 and 1997. At an average of 20 pounds per returning chinook, Chenega Bay residents can expect to harvest 40,000 pounds of salmon annually. The residents of Chenega and local commercial and sports fishermen will be the principal beneficiaries because of their proximity to the proposed release site.

C. Methods

Beginning the spring of 1994, 50,000 chinook salmon smolts will be taken from the Wally Noerenberg Hatchery operated by Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation (PWSAC) and transported to the release site via barge and special tank carrier. The smolts will then be fed and reared for approximately two to three weeks before being released to the marine environment. Technical support for the incubation, hatching, and feeding of the salmon will be provided by PWSAC. Harvest of the adult salmon will be done by village residents.

D. Location

The location for the release is in Crab Bay, located near the village of Chenega Bay.

E. Technical Support

Technical support for the project will be provided by PWSAC. Feeding at the release site will be accomplished by the residents of Chenega.

F. Contracts

Contracts to implement the release program are as follows:

1. Contract with PWSAC for the delivery of healthy smolt to the release site.
2. Contract between PWSAC and Chenega Corporation for the fabrication of the net pens (if specifications for the net pens permit local fabrication).
3. Contract between Chenega Corporation and PWSAC for the feeding of smolt and the proper placement of the net pens.

SCHEDULES

The schedule for the proposed project is as follows:

<u>Activity</u>	<u>Begin</u>	<u>Complete</u>
Egg Take	7/92	8/92
Incubation	8/92	3/93
Outmigration	3/93	4/93
Rearing	4/93	5/94
Fabricate Net Pen	3/94	5/94
Transporting	5/94	6/94
Set Net Pen	5/94	5/94
Feed & Imprint Smolts	5/94	6/94
Release Smolts	6/94	6/94
Dismantle/Remove Net Pen	6/94	6/94

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. The project requires an Environmental Assessment (EA). That EA is presently being developed. Permits, forms for completion and approval, and fees which may be required to conduct this salmon release program include:

1. ADF&G hatchery permit alteration request (PAR)
2. ADF&G fry transport permit (FTP)
3. Alaska Coastal Management Program Coastal Project Questionnaire (DCG)
4. Tidelands Use permit, Alaska Department of Natural Resources (ADNR)
5. COE permit for anchoring structures
6. Letter of non-objection from upland owners (ADNR)
7. Insurance certification (ADNR)
8. Bond, CD, or certified check (ADNR)
9. Annual fee (ADNR)
10. Document handling fee (ADNR)

PWSAC will obtain all necessary permits.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The number of adult chinook returning annually to Crab Bay will indicate the degree of success for the project.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	3.4
Travel	0.0
Contractual	50.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	53.4
General Administration	<u>4.0</u>
Project Total	57.4
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Subsistence Food Safety Testing

Project Number: 94279

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: NOAA

Cost of Project, FY94: \$379.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$421.5K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 1 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak Island.

INTRODUCTION

Subsistence uses of fish and other wildlife constitute a vital natural resource service that was injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Data collected by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) Division of Subsistence demonstrated this injury. Annual per capita subsistence harvests declined dramatically (from 12 to 77 percent decline compared to pre-spill averages) in 10 of the communities in the path of the spill during the first year after the event. While some of these communities' harvests demonstrated a limited recovery in the second post-spill year, harvest levels in other affected communities showed no signs of recovery. Concern over the long-term health effects of using resources from the spill area, a loss of confidence on the part of subsistence hunters and fishermen in their own abilities to determine if their traditional foods are safe to eat, and a perceived reduction in available resources all contributed to the reduced harvest levels.

In 1993, the Trustee Council provided funding to restore the subsistence uses of fish and wildlife damaged by the spill. Community meetings were held in order to identify and map the specific areas and resources of continued concern to subsistence users. In 1993, samples of subsistence species representative of those cited in community meetings as being of continuing concern were collected from harvest areas identified during the community meetings. Community representatives assisted in site selection and the collection of samples. By January 1, 1994 the test results will have been presented during another series of community meetings. In 1994, the collection and testing of subsistence food samples will continue. Additional community meetings will be organized and informational newsletters produced to report the test results and provide health advice.

In the past couple of years, data from communities in the spill-affected area suggests that dissemination of information, community involvement, and food safety testing has had an effect. Subsistence harvest levels have increased, although they are still below pre-spill levels, and the number of households reporting as their primary concern the contamination of

their subsistence foods has decreased. A final year of hydrocarbon testing will contribute significantly to restoring confidence and subsistence harvests and will also allow the communities to be involved with the closure of this phase of the project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The goal of the project is to restore the subsistence uses of fish and wildlife damaged by the spill. A return to greater use of subsistence foods could be beneficial for the physical and emotional health of community residents who have been thrust into an increased reliance on commercially prepared food due to a distrust of traditional subsistence food sources following the spill. The aversion to commercially prepared food applies especially to the elders, who were the most accustomed to subsistence resources through a lifetime of reliance on locally-harvested wild resources. Younger people will also benefit, as a resumption of subsistence activities will allow them the opportunity to learn the skills necessary to live in these rural, subsistence-based communities.

This project answers the need to continue to monitor the risks to human health from the oil spill. This is consistent with the goal of restoring human services of the natural resources damaged in the oil spill. It also addresses the need to restore the natural resources and the services these resources previously provided to subsistence users.

B. Objectives

The primary objective is to restore subsistence harvest of fish and wildlife. This is the second year of a two and a half year project. The following dates refer to the completion of activities for fiscal year 1994. All subsistence food samples will be collected by September 30, 1994. Testing will be completed by November 30, 1994. The last round of community meetings to report test results and interpretations will take place in December 1994.

C. Methods

Community meetings will be held in the following 11 communities, Chenega Bay, Tatitlek, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seward, Larsen Bay, Karluk, Old Harbor, Akhiok, Port Lions, and Ouzinkie, to identify specific harvest areas and resources of continued concern to subsistence users. Other communities may be added if such concerns are noted by Subsistence Division researchers during community visits. Those communities where no concern is indicated (by lack of attendance at the community meetings and lack of other communication) will be dropped from the study.

Samples of subsistence foods will be collected from representative harvest areas identified as either containing persistent oiling or of special importance to subsistence users. Most sampling sites will be sites not previously included in the project. Because uptake and

Project Description

accumulation of hydrocarbons is influenced by temperature as well as the reproductive cycle, the fish and shellfish will be tested at different times of the year. There will be two sampling periods during the study; spring and fall. The selection of species for sampling will take into account concerns expressed in the community meetings, as well as the results of tests on the samples collected in 1993. Community representatives will again assist in site selection and the collection of samples.

As part of the 1993 project, bile and blubber samples were taken from 5 harbor seals, and samples of skin and internal organs were collected from 20 ducks. These samples came from animals harvested by subsistence hunters for food. The hunters were asked to write an evaluation of the general health of each animal, including condition of the liver and other internal organs. Test results from these samples will be available before the start of the 1994 field season. Based on these test results, the Subsistence Division, in consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service's (NMFS) Northwest Fisheries Center Laboratory and the affected communities, will determine whether further testing of seals and ducks is warranted.

The collection of finfish and shellfish samples will be done by the Pacific Rim Villages Coalition (PRVC) under a cooperative agreement. If seal and duck samples are to be tested, the collection will be carried out by local subsistence hunters in cooperation with ADF&G personnel. Testing of all samples will be done by the NMFS Laboratory, to provide consistency with earlier studies undertaken by the Division of Subsistence and Exxon. The interpretation of test results will be undertaken by the Oil Spill Health Task Force.

The communication of test results and evaluation of the effectiveness of the program will be done by the Subsistence Division. Communication of the test results to residents of the impacted communities will require the production of a quarterly Subsistence Division newsletter. It is important that the findings of restoration studies be integrated into this communication effort. As this information is released, it is likely to cause renewed concern among subsistence harvesters. It is not always possible to anticipate the effect a technical report, or the media accounts derived from it, will have in these communities. The newsletter will serve to put this information in context for subsistence users, following an evaluation of the information by the Oil Spill Health Task Force. It will also be important to follow distribution of the newsletter with formal and informal community visits. The purpose will be to develop a dialogue between the researchers and the communities regarding the study findings.

The Division of Subsistence, in cooperation with the Oil Spill Health Task Force and its other member organizations, successfully carried out a similar program for three years. The Task Force called together a Toxicological Expert Committee, which was able to formulate health advice for subsistence harvesters in the oil spill impact area. Through the Oil Spill Health Task Force newsletter, this information was communicated to the affected communities. However, the work is not complete. The limited access to damage assessment studies created the impression in most communities that the task force did not base its conclusions on a complete assessment of all data.

By involving subsistence users in decisions affecting mitigation, and the monitoring, enhancement, and replacement of the natural resources, the recovery of the resources upon which subsistence users rely will be accelerated. There is a need in these communities to actively participate in restoration of the environment. This project provides for such involvement. This, combined with effective communication of information concerning the safety of the resources, should cause subsistence harvests to begin to approach pre-spill levels and reduce anxiety about their use. If this occurred, it would lead to a reduced reliance on commercially-purchased foods. It would also restore the communities' abilities to pass on skills and knowledge associated with using subsistence foods.

D. Location

Field work will be conducted at subsistence harvest areas in PWS, the Kenai Peninsula, and Kodiak Island. Samples will be tested and results analyzed in Seattle. The communities of Chenega Bay, Tatitlek, Nanwalek, Port Graham, Seward, Larsen Bay, Karluk, Old Harbor, Akhiok, Port Lions, and Ouzinkie will be involved. Other communities may be added if similar concerns are identified.

E. Technical Support

Hydrocarbon analysis will be conducted by the NMFS Laboratory in Seattle.

F. Contracts

Samples will be collected by the PRVC under a cooperative agreement. The PRVC is a coalition of the village corporations of Chenega Bay, Tatitlek, Port Graham, and Nanwalek and is endorsed by the village councils of the same communities. The subsistence uses of all of these communities were impacted by the spill. By working with the PRVC, community participation in the project will be increased. Typesetting and printing of four issues of an informational newsletter will be contracted by competitive bid.

SCHEDULES

February 1994	Informational newsletter issued
May 1994	Informational newsletter issued
June 1994	Collect subsistence food samples for testing
August 1994	Informational newsletter issued
September 1994	Collect subsistence food samples for testing
November 1994	Data summary, draft report (estimate 8 weeks for peer review), informational newsletter issued
January 1995	Final report on fiscal year 1994 activities

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Project Description

The ADF&G Division of Subsistence maintains an ongoing program of monitoring subsistence harvests in communities throughout Alaska, including the spill area. The Division is currently involved in a joint project with the U.S. Minerals Management Service, which involves administering two surveys, one on subsistence and personal use harvests and the other on social effects of the spill. Information from this joint study will guide the subsistence restoration efforts.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is the lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency guidelines for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

A scientific collection permit will be obtained from the ADF&G to allow for the collection of fish and shellfish samples. Permits will not be needed to collect seal and duck samples since they will be taken from animals killed by subsistence hunters for food.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Four informational newsletters will be produced, summarizing project findings and test results. A final report and data summary will also be provided at the conclusion of fiscal year 1994 activities.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	NMFS	TOTAL
Personnel	95.3	95.0	190.3
Travel	25.0	0.0	25.0
Contractual	90.1	3.0	93.1
Commodities	2.0	33.7	35.7
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	212.4	131.7	344.1
General Administration	20.6	14.5	35.1
Project Total	<u>233.0</u>	<u>146.2</u>	<u>379.2</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Spot Shrimp Survey and Juvenile Shrimp Habitat Identification

Project Number: 94280

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$232.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$232.2K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: 5 years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The spot shrimp (*Pandalus platyceros*) is one of five species of pandalid shrimp that inhabit the waters of Prince William Sound (PWS). Before a significant decline in abundance, these animals supported both commercial and recreational fisheries. Although the recreational fishery remains open, the commercial fishery has been closed in the majority of PWS since 1988. Spot shrimp were a very important part of the food web supporting many of the species injured by the Exxon Valdez oil spill, but their populations in western Prince William Sound have shown no signs of recovery and may have declined further even in the absence of commercial fishing. If these populations did rebound to former levels, they would promote the recovery of both the Prince William Sound ecosystem and commercial fishing.

Spot shrimp distribution extends from California to Alaska, yet comparatively little is known about the life history of this animal at the northern extreme of its range in Alaska. Recovery of PWS spot shrimp relies on full understanding of this shrimp's life history. Life history information coupled with abundance indices will provide the components for management of the PWS spot shrimp population which is needed to promote recreational and commercial fisheries.

Specifically, this project will provide annual abundance indices for PWS spot shrimp. The project will estimate juvenile spot shrimp growth, identify juvenile rearing areas, and migration and recruitment of juveniles from these areas to adult habitat. Variation in timing of migration and recruitment will be documented over time. A mark recapture experiment will be implemented on adult shrimp to estimate growth, survivorship, population abundance and range of movement. Synthesis of these parameters and a conservative management strategy will yield a sustainable PWS spot shrimp resource if natural recovery occurs. More active restoration methods may be suggested by the results of this project if they appear to be warranted.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will provide the information necessary to develop a plan for recovery of depressed spot shrimp populations and a management plan for sustainable harvests of this resource. Commercial, sport, and subsistence users will benefit from implementation of such a plan, as well as the other ecological resources that depend on spot shrimp for food.

B. Objectives

1. Establish a relative abundance index for both juvenile and adult spot shrimp within PWS.
2. Determine the relationship between relative abundance indices and absolute population abundance of adult spot shrimp through analysis of pot survey and mark recapture data.
3. Document the distribution and movement of both juvenile and adult spot shrimp within PWS over the specified study period.
4. Determine growth rates of both juvenile and adult spot shrimp within PWS.

C. Methods

Adult and juvenile spot shrimp will be sampled utilizing modified shrimp pots designed to minimize escapement of small shrimp. Pots will be fished in systematic transects throughout northern and western PWS in appropriate habitat and depth ranges. Three shrimp assessment surveys will occur annually; the first in late winter/early spring before egg release, the second in early summer, and the third in mid fall. Shrimp catches will be tallied and subsamples of the catch will be sampled for size and biological data.

A mark and recapture spot shrimp tagging study will be conducted in conjunction with the pot surveys. Tagging rates will be determined pending further biometric review and development of a sampling design. A tag retention study and mortality study will be contracted for with an independent, qualified laboratory. Juvenile shrimp will be sampled by use of collecting devices deployed throughout PWS. Monthly inspections of each of the collector sites will be conducted.

Catch rates from the adult pot survey will be analyzed to determine the relative index of abundance. If possible, a Peterson, or other estimator, will be applied to the tagging data to obtain the total population estimate. Analysis of the tagging data will also provide an estimate of growth rates.

Project Description

D. Location

The project will be undertaken in northern and western PWS which are the major locations of spot shrimp. Locations to be sampled include Unakwik Inlet, Port Wells, Port Nellie Juan, Perry Island, Knight Island, Green Island and the southwest passages of PWS. Communities that will benefit from improved management and a sustainable harvest include Whittier, Seward, Anchorage, Valdez, Cordova, and the villages of Prince William Sound.

E. Technical Support

Biometric support will be provided by staff of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) regional office and the office of the Chief Fisheries Scientist. Statistical analyses will include critique of existing tagging data, review of proposed tagging program, analysis of resultant data from tagging program and index of abundance, conversion of index to an estimate of absolute abundance, and analysis of growth and movement data.

F. Contracts

The University of Alaska Seward Marine Laboratory will be contracted to conduct a tag retention study. Vessel contracts will include charter of a 50 foot commercial fishing boat for pot surveys and a 30 foot gillnetter for the juvenile collectors.

SCHEDULES

Nov. 1993:	Index survey and tag application.
Feb. 1994:	Index survey.
May 1994:	Index survey and deployment of artificial collectors. Collectors will be retrieved and redeployed during all ensuing surveys and as often as possible during other department field projects that can accommodate a project biologist.
Oct. 1994:	Index survey and tag application.
Dec. 1994:	1994 Report to Trustee Council.
1995 and 1996:	Continuation of 1994 cycle.
1997:	Analysis of collected data and final project report.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The ADF&G conducts a limited shrimp pot survey in November of each year for assessment of spot shrimp in PWS.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is lead Federal agency of National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets NOAA agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process.

No additional Federal, State or local permits will be required by this project.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A final report will be prepared for peer-review and the presentation to the Trustee Council.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	110.1
Travel	6.0
Contractual	80.0
Commodities	8.0
Equipment	6.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	210.1
General Administration	<u>22.1</u>
Project Total	232.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Subtidal Sediment Recovery Monitoring

Project Number: 94285

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agencies: ADEC, ADF&G

Cost of Project, FY94: \$629.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$129.3K

Project Startup Date: March 1993

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

Subtidal sediments were found to be contaminated by oil at five locations in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) in 1989. Although hydrocarbon contamination was usually confined to shallow sediments (0-3 m) in GOA, sediment contamination reached a depth of 20 m in at least one location (Chugach Bay). Recovery rates of subtidal marine sediments contaminated by petroleum hydrocarbons at the latitude of GOA are poorly known. Recovery to background levels of hydrocarbons in subtidal sediments at the contaminated sites in GOA should be investigated. The purpose of this project will be to determine the amount of oil remaining in the subtidal environment at the GOA locations formerly contaminated by oil and to compare that amount of oil with that at comparable locations in Prince William Sound.

This study will provide the first assessment since 1990 of the contamination of subtidal sediments by *Exxon Valdez* oil outside Prince William Sound (PWS). The study will provide information on environmental hydrocarbon concentrations of use to the study on subsistence food [Project #94279] and the clam study (Project #94081).

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resource that will be monitored is subtidal sediments in the bathymetric depth range of 0 to 100 m on Kodiak Island, the Kenai Peninsula, and the Alaska Peninsula. Demersal fish, benthic invertebrates, certain diving birds, and mammals prey on organisms associated with subtidal sediments. Investigators attempting to restore or monitor recovery of populations of these organisms following the spill will need to know the concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons present in these sediments.

B. Objectives

1. Determine the composition and concentration of petroleum hydrocarbons from the spill in intertidal and subtidal sediments (0-100 m) in the GOA by GC/MS.
2. Compare concentrations of hydrocarbons in subtidal sediments outside PWS with concentrations at comparable depths inside the PWS.
3. Compare concentrations of hydrocarbons in subtidal sediments outside PWS in 1994 with concentrations found at the same stations in 1989 and assess the extent of recovery of those sediments.
4. Complete hydrocarbon analysis of sediments collected in PWS in July 1993.

C. Methods

The methods will be similar to those employed for sediment sampling under the NRDA Subtidal Study #1. These methods are summarized briefly below. Sediment samples will be collected at one intertidal station and five subtidal stations. Intertidal collections will be made at a single tidal height in the range of +1 to -1 m relative to mean lower low water (MLLW) depending on the distribution of fine sediments. Three samples, each a composite of 8 subsamples, collected randomly along a 30 m transect laid parallel to the shoreline will be taken at each intertidal site. These samples will be collected at low tide or by divers.

Subtidal sediment collections will be made at 3, 6, 20, 40, and 100 m below MLLW. Collections at 3, 6, and 20 m will be made by divers on transects laid along the appropriate isobath and sampled in the same way as described above for the intertidal transects. Samples taken at depths below 20 m will be collected with a Smith-McIntyre grab. Three grabs will be taken at each depth. Four subsamples will be removed at randomly selected points within each grab. The subsamples will be combined to form one sample per grab.

All samples collected by hand (including those removed by hand from the Smith-McIntyre grab) will be taken from the surface (top 0-2 cm) of the sediment column. Samples taken by hand in the intertidal region will be collected using a chrome-plated brass core tube (3.6 cm inside diameter) and chrome plated spatula. Each subsample will be transferred to a sample jar using the spatula. The core tube and the spatula will be washed, dried and rinsed with methylene chloride between samples. Sample jars will be cleaned to EPA specifications for hydrocarbon sampling. The jars will be fitted with teflon lined caps also cleaned to EPA specifications. Samples will be placed in coolers with ice immediately after collection and will be frozen within an hour. Appropriate blanks will be collected at each site. Chain of custody procedures will be followed after collection of all samples.

D. Location

This project will be undertaken at 8 sites in the GOA (2 reference sites and 6 contaminated sites) and 4 sites in PWS (2 reference sites and 2 contaminated sites). The sites will be Black Bay, Tonsina Bay, Windy Bay, Chugach Bay, Shuyak Bay, Hallo Bay, Katmai Bay, Wide Bay, Moose Lips Bay, Northwest Bay, Olsen Bay, and Sleepy Bay. All sites were sampled once in 1989 and in 1990 under the NRDA program (except for Wide Bay which was sampled only in 1989).

E. Technical Support

The project will require technical support in hydrocarbon chemistry (UV spectrophotometry and gas chromatography/mass spectrometry). The chemical analysis will be performed at the Auke Bay Laboratory.

F. Contracts

A contract will be required to charter a vessel capable of accommodating four scientists and equipped to conduct diving operations and operation of benthic grabs and with freezer space adequate for storing sediment samples. The contract will be awarded by competitive bid.

SCHEDULES

Sediment sampling will be conducted in July 1994. Chemical analyses will be completed by November 1994. Data compilation and analysis will be completed by March 1995. A progress report will be submitted in November 1994 and a final report will be completed by April 1995. A vessel charter will be required to support the field sampling (see Contracts above).

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The agency will contribute, partially, the management salaries of three investigators (about \$34K). The project will pay the salaries required for field work, analytical chemistry and data analysis. During the period October 1, 1993 to September 30, 1994 the agency will complete hydrocarbon analysis, data compilation and analysis, and report writing for the field study conducted in Prince William Sound in July 1993.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project will fall under the categorical exclusion within NEPA. The project will involve routine environmental sampling for which no requirement to undertake National Environmental Policy Act review is necessary.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

A project status report that will present the results of the field sampling and will give the progress on the chemical analyses and data compilation, analysis and interpretation will be submitted in November 1994. A final report detailing the distribution of petroleum hydrocarbons at previously contaminated sites in the northeastern Gulf of Alaska and comparing the concentrations with those at comparable depths at selected sites in PWS will be submitted by April 1995.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA	ADEC	ADF&G	TOTAL
Personnel	230.9	0.0	6.7	237.6
Travel	13.0	0.0	0.0	13.6
Contractual	60.0	20.0	198.8	278.8
Commodities	44.0	0.0	0.0	44.0
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	348.5	20.0	205.5	574.0
General Administration	<u>38.9</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>14.9</u>	<u>55.2</u>
Project Total	387.4	21.4	220.4	629.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0			

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Hydrocarbon Data Analysis and Interpretation

Project Number: 94290

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$130.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$138.3

Project Startup Date: October 1, 1993 **Duration:** 6 years

Geographic Area: Oil Spill Impacted Area

INTRODUCTION

The Auke Bay Laboratory (ABL) has provided data archival and interpretive services for environmental samples that have been collected and analyzed for hydrocarbons in support of the *Exxon Valdez* NRDA and restoration efforts. The samples derive from all projects, investigators, and agencies (including both State of Alaska and Federal agencies) that have collected samples for hydrocarbon analysis. The general purpose of this project is to continue to make a large, comprehensive, hydrocarbon database available to Principal Investigators, resource managers, and the public by providing user-friendly services. The hydrocarbon database contains sample collection and chemical analyses information from thousands of samples from 1989 to the present. Briefly, the database contains:

A. Sample Collection

Information for >39,000 samples including major sample types of sediment, tissue, water, and oil.

B. Hydrocarbon Analysis

Information for >10,000 samples, each sample analyzed has results for 63 analytes plus quality assurance data.

C. Bile and HPLC Analysis

More than 2,000 samples.

D. Data in Support of NRDA and Restoration Projects Over the Period 1989-1993

This project will provide the following:

1. Continued use and access of NRDA and restoration hydrocarbon data
2. Expansion of the hydrocarbon database with new restoration data resulting in a consistent database allowing comparison of NRDA and restoration results.
3. Interpretation of hydrocarbon results for PI's managers, and the public.
4. Continued quality control of sample storage, and hydrocarbon analyses.

Interpretive services include hydrocarbon data interpretation to identify probable sources of hydrocarbons found, evaluation of new hydrocarbon data for evidence of systematic bias, hydrocarbon data editing according to consistent criteria and hydrocarbon data mapping to facilitate identification of temporal and geographic trends of these data. The results of these efforts provide numerical correlates that are directly related to oil, and that may be used by PI's of other Restoration projects, by other governmental agencies, and by the general public, to assess associations of observed biological effects with concentrations of *Exxon Valdez* oil. These archival and interpretive services have been provided by staff at ABL for hydrocarbon samples generated for the *Exxon Valdez* NRDA effort, who have developed automated computer methods to ensure that the various criteria are consistently applied to these data, and which result in computer-generated maps of the final results. The purpose of the presently proposed project is to integrate these additional data with the *Exxon Valdez* NRDA hydrocarbon database, and to continue to provide interpretive services, thereby ensuring that hydrocarbon data resulting from Restoration efforts are directly and unequivocally comparable with the existing data.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

Data associated with hydrocarbon samples will be added to the existing *Exxon Valdez* database. Principle investigators from all projects collecting hydrocarbon samples will be assisted by this project through archival, interpretation, and mapping of their data. Data archival will include maintenance of a Rbase database with sample collection information and hydrocarbon results with future migration to an Oracle database server. This database allows inventory of hydrocarbon sample collection, and retrieval of collection and hydrocarbon results for PI and management use. Data interpretation will include examination of the data for evidence of systematic bias, which will provide the basis for an evaluation of data quality, and a probability based determination of sources of hydrocarbons found in

Project Description

samples. Finally, maps of specific hydrocarbon samples will be provided on request by principal investigators, government agencies, or the general public.

B. Objectives

The objective of this project is to continue to apply and extend hydrocarbon interpretation methods and data archival developed in NRDA assessments to samples analyzed for the Restoration effort, and to insure the comparability of analytical and interpretive results with those of the NRDA effort.

C. Methods

Procedures developed during the NRDA effort will be followed in this project. Incoming samples are inventoried and collection information is entered into a database located at Auke Bay, AK. Hydrocarbon results returned from analytical laboratories are also added to the database. Hydrocarbon data will be evaluated using methods described in the final reports of Exxon Valdez NRDA project Subtidal #8 (in prep.). These methods were developed specifically for *Exxon Valdez* NRDA hydrocarbon data.

D. Location

The project will be undertaken at the Auke Bay Laboratory in Juneau Alaska.

E. Technical Support

This project will provide it's own technical support including chemical, mapping and database needs.

F. Contracts

No contracts are anticipated.

SCHEDULES

This project is an ongoing service task and therefore has few set milestone dates. All of the methods, including computer software written specifically for these tasks, have already been developed, tested, and applied. The requested funds are entirely for continuation of these services for additional data that will be produced by Restoration projects. A final examination of hydrocarbon data will be performed for all hydrocarbon data received as of September 15, 1994, and will be summarized in a report that will be completed by September 30, 1994. Interpretations and maps of hydrocarbon data will be provided on request. Sample data entry and interpretation depend on the timeframe of sample receipt, and analysis.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

ABL will contribute, partially, the salaries of the Habitat Program Manager, other ABL P.I.'s, and chemists for policy and management of the database and hydrocarbon interpretation (\$37K).

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This is not a field study nor does it have any significant effect on the environment. Consequently, an Environmental Impact Statement or Environmental Assessment need not be provided.

All federal, state, and local laws are followed in the management of chemical analysis.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The portion of this project examination of hydrocarbon data for systematic bias is, in itself, a performance monitoring function. The performance of the methods developed for examination and interpretation of these hydrocarbon data have already been verified. Database integrity is assured by signed reviews of data entered by principal investigators. We will continue to follow all quality assurance procedures outlined in NRDA efforts.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA
Personnel	104.5
Travel	4.0
Contractual	0.0
Commodities	3.5
Equipment	2.5
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	114.5
General Administration	<u>15.7</u>
Project Total	130.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Shoreline Trash Cleanup

Project Number: 94316

Title: Shoreline Trash Cleanup

Project Identification Number: 94316

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$38.6K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0K

Project Startup Date: February 1994

Duration: 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound.

INTRODUCTION

Oil from the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill that still remains on beaches and trash (such as plastics, styrofoam, and other man-made products that wash ashore) detract from the aesthetics of Alaska's shoreline. These diminished aesthetics reduce the quality of recreational experiences dependent on beach resources. By improving the aesthetic appeal of a beach, recreational experiences are improved. Removing persistent oil and shoreline trash will improve aesthetics thereby providing a better recreational experience. This project is a revision of an original \$18 million endowment proposition submitted to the Trustee Council from a private interest. The PWS Recreation Restoration Workshop prioritized shoreline cleanup as the third highest recreation restoration priority in the Sound.

This project will remove shoreline trash from many of the recreational beaches in Prince William Sound. This project addresses only one region of the spill affected area. Projects such as this should be carried out for the remainder of the spill area. If the Gulf Restoration Project (94216) is approved, this type of project can be considered within that project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associate Services

The Shoreline Trash Cleanup project will restore in part the injured recreational aesthetics of regionally important recreation beaches. Removing the beach trash will improve the recreational experience of visitors. It will offset the decrease in aesthetics caused by the oiling of beaches. Commercial ecotourism groups will also benefit by the cleaner beaches. Not only recreational users will benefit by the cleaner beaches, but recovering wildlife that might become entangled in the plastic trash will also benefit.

B. Objectives

1. Identify recreational beaches in Prince William Sound that have large concentrations of trash either from shore use or by marine deposition.
2. Organize a one time volunteer effort on one weekend in May 1994 for the cleanup of the beaches compiled by objective (A).
3. Design a volunteer system for cleaning beaches that could be used in future years. This idea being similar to the adopt-a-highway program. Ideally this project should be done once a year.

C. Methods

ADNR would contract this project to a non-profit interest group for completion of the objectives. Objective (A) would be accomplished by contacting key recreation user groups for information on trash collector beaches that are also used for recreation. This information would be compiled into a list of prioritized beaches that need trash cleanup. An estimated time and number of people to clean these beaches will be determined. Land managers would be contacted for permits required to do this activity.

Objective (B) would be accomplished through phone and personal contacts. A project leader would be paid to lead the volunteer effort. Additional community representatives would be established to head the clean-up efforts in their area. Volunteers would be organized and assigned beaches for a one day or one weekend cleanup effort. Volunteer charter boats or fishing boats would be arranged for hauling people and trash. Cities would be contacted for permission for trash disposal.

Volunteers would collect trash from the beaches and dispose of it in an appropriate manner. Volunteer labor and boats would be used most efficiently to clean the most beaches possible. Boats used to haul trash would be reimbursed for their gas expenditures. Bags would be supplied for trash collection and transport.

D. Location

This project will be directed from a non-profit group's office during the coordination phase. Actual cleanup activities will be carried out throughout the Sound on specific beaches identified from objective (A).

E. Technical Support

None needed.

F. Contracts

This project will be done by contract because of time constraints on State personnel. To make the best use of volunteers, the timing of the project needs to be just before the summer season. This is one of the busiest times for the state agency field personnel. They may be able to help on the cleanup weekend but don't have the time for the recruitment of volunteers. The contract should be issued to a non-profit organization that has contacts in most of the communities and is concerned with the recreation and aesthetics of the spill area. Wording in the contract should accomplish the objectives by the means described in the methods section. The contract will specify which organization will fulfill the objectives and a project leader.

SCHEDULES

Feb. 15, 1994	Solicit bids for contract
Feb. 28, 1994	Contract awarded.
March 1, 1994	Start information gathering to accomplish objective (A), make contacts with city trash collection facilities for agreements, start recruitment of volunteers.
April 4, 1994	List of prioritized beaches and plan of cleanup weekend developed. Acquire permits from appropriate agencies for beach cleanup.
May 7-20, 1994	Actual field work done on beaches during one weekend in May. Trash hauled to appropriate locations.
September 15, 1994	Final report to Trustee Council on project accomplishments completed. Included in report will be a recommendation how an Adopt-a-Beach program (objective C) could be implemented in future years and cost involved.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

The state may pick up some minimal beach trash as part of patrols of State Park areas. The Chugach National Forest staff and occasionally permit holders pick up trash from recreation sites. These agencies may be able to participate in the cleanup weekend in some areas within their respective jurisdictions.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This action falls within the USFS NEPA implementation regulations for a categorical exclusion under FSH31.1b5 - Repair and maintenance of a recreation site.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

This project will be coordinated by the project leader appointed in the contract. The final products will be the cleaned beaches and a report describing an Adopt-a-Beach program that could be implemented in future years. Project monitoring and coordination with the Restoration Team will be done through Marty Rutherford, State of Alaska, DNR. Contract compliance will be completed by an appointed State Project Manager.

Quality assurance can be measured by observing the beaches cleaned and reading the report. Success of the project will be determined by the ability of the contractee to get volunteer workers and boats for the cleanup weekend.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	DNR	USFS	TOTAL
Personnel	2.5	2.5	5.0
Travel	0.0	0.0	0.0
Contractual	30.7	0.0	30.7
Commodities	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	33.2	2.5	35.7
General Administration	<u>2.5</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>2.9</u>
Project Total	35.7	2.9	38.6
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Ecosystem Study Plan

Project Number: 94320

Lead Agency: NOAA

Cooperating Agencies: ADFG

Cost of Project, FY 94: \$5,000K

Cost of Project, FY 95: \$5,000K

Project startup date: January 1994

Duration: 2 years

Geographic area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

The Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) rules which guided assessment of damage from the oil spill resulted mainly in studies that assessed changes in abundance and other characteristics of individual resources. This was the only practical approach to assessing the spill's effects, as there was insufficient knowledge of marine ecosystem function to determine whether basic relationships between species had been altered. Notable exceptions were the upper intertidal zone and the sockeye salmon rearing lakes on the Kenai Peninsula. The extensive effort in damage assessment is almost complete now, and a great deal of information is available on injuries to a variety of species. These studies, however, were never designed to produce an understanding of how Prince William Sound (PWS) functioned as a biological system. For example, primary production by phytoplankton was not measured. The continued decline of many species of sea birds and mammals, the large unpredicted changes in fisheries stocks, and the slow recovery of the intertidal zone from the oil spill are indications that there may be problems with the "health" of PWS. At the same time, it is becoming increasingly clear that in ecosystems where resources are being harvested, where habitat is threatened, and where contamination by oil and other pollutants is a continuing threat, that management should evolve towards comprehensive ecosystem management. In addition, recent large-scale oscillations in oceanographic conditions in the eastern and north Pacific Ocean have likely had profound effects on marine productivity. A better understanding of how the PWS ecosystem functions could facilitate integrated management of its resources and enhance our knowledge of the impacts of natural changes in the Gulf of Alaska on PWS.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The resource to be studied is the PWS ecosystem.

B. Objectives

1. To formulate a conceptual model of how PWS functions by integrating existing data and carrying out new studies.
2. To develop the predictive capability to estimate the annual abundance and productivity of major biotic resources, including fisheries stocks in PWS.

C. Methods

This short proposal will act as a place holder for funding and signal the intention of the Trustee Council to sponsor a major ecosystem study in PWS. The planning effort will take place during the fall and winter of 1993-1994, with field efforts to begin in the spring of 1994. Scientists from a wide variety of organizations will be consulted (e.g., the University of Alaska, the Prince William Sound Science Center, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Prince William Sound Aquaculture Association, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Prince William Sound Citizens Advisory Council.) In addition, participation by groups such as fishermen's associations is welcome. A workshop will be held in late 1993, and written input and information supplied by participants will be utilized to formulate a scientific study plan.

D. Location

The study will initially be limited to PWS, except to the extent that important process (e.g., strength of the Alaskan Coastal Current) outside the Sound need to be studied to predict events in the Sound.

E. Technical Support

Not yet determined.

F. Contracts

To be determined.

SCHEDULE

1993

September-October	Consult with interested individual scientists and organizations.
November-December	Conduct Workshop(s)

1994

January	Recommended study plan from peer review panel submitted to Trustees
June-July	Implementation of study elements
December	Draft reports prepared from first field season's effort. Preparation of objectives for 1995 work

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project should qualify for a categorical exclusion under NEPA.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

An independent group of experts will be empaneled to provide peer review. These experts will help guide the development of an overall ecosystem study plan to ensure that the studies are integrated, to the maximum extent possible, with programs being carried out in PWS.

The draft ecosystem study plan will be submitted to the public and to the Trustee Council in early 1994. Funding mechanisms have not yet been determined, but it is anticipated that there will be competition among different organizations interested in performing specific research projects. Some work will be allocated to agencies with the expertise to perform the work.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	NOAA	ADF&G	TOTAL
Personnel	0.0	0.0	0.0
Travel	0.0	0.0	0.0
Contractual	2,438.7	2,438.7	4877.4
Commodities	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	2,438.7	2,438.7	4,877.4
General Administration	<u>61.3</u>	<u>61.3</u>	<u>122.6</u>
Project Total	2,500.0	2,500.0	5,000.0

NEPA Compliance 0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Salmon Spawning Escapement on the Lower Kenai Peninsula

Project Number: 94345

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$219.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$219.1K

Project Startup Date: July 1994

Duration: 2 years

Geographic Area: Lower Kenai Peninsula (Streams draining into Gulf of Alaska)

INTRODUCTION

Oil from the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill contaminated the intertidal areas of some lower Kenai Peninsula streams draining into the Gulf of Alaska. Both pink (*Oncorhynchus gorbuscha*) and chum (*O. keta*) salmon spawn within the intertidal zone of streams and were vulnerable to detrimental effects of oil contamination. Although good catch records are available, accurate spawning escapement estimates cannot be made without good estimates of the residence time (stream life) of salmon within these streams and a knowledge of aerial observer bias. Lack of this information makes it impossible to accurately estimate total pink and chum salmon production from these streams and to determine whether productivity has been affected by the oil spill. The primary objective of this project is to improve the accuracy of spawning escapement estimates by estimating stream life and aerial observer bias for pink and chum salmon in several lower Kenai Peninsula streams. This information will be used to adjust past years escapements, estimate salmon production, and determine whether productivity (number of adult progeny produced by each spawning salmon) was different prior to and after the oil spill. Furthermore, since these salmon fisheries are managed to achieve escapement goals, recovery of injured stocks will be enhanced through both a better understanding of stream production and precise manipulation of escapements. Pink salmon studies conducted in 1990 for Humpy Creek, on Kachemak Bay, and Port Dick Stream, on the Gulf of Alaska, indicated that stream life was 21 days, which was 3.5 days greater than the 17.5 day assumption used to generate escapement estimates for lower Kenai Peninsula streams. However, data gathered over several years from a greater variety of streams is needed to determine stream life accurately.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will benefit pink and chum salmon spawning in lower Kenai Peninsula systems. Wild populations of pink and chum salmon provide vital sources of energy to lower Kenai Peninsula marine and freshwater ecosystems and form the basis of important subsistence, commercial, and recreational fisheries. In 1988, the year prior to the spill, the ex-vessel value of commercially harvested wild and enhanced salmon stocks from this area exceeded \$8.2 million.

B. Objectives

The primary objective of this project is to obtain accurate estimates of pink and chum salmon stream life (the average number of days an adult salmon lives in a stream prior to death) for lower Kenai Peninsula streams and to calibrate aerial surveys to true escapement numbers. This information will be used to recalculate historical escapement and production estimates so that differences in salmon production prior to and after the oil spill can be examined.

C. Methods

Weirs will be placed on three Lower Cook Inlet streams during the salmon migration to obtain a total enumeration of spawners to each system. Weir crews will conduct daily foot surveys of each study stream to count the number of live salmon, the number of dead salmon, and the number and color codes of marked salmon. Dead salmon will be counted and recorded and removed from the stream. Aerial surveys of each stream will be conducted on a weekly basis. A subsample of the returning pink and chum salmon will be marked by attaching color-bar-coded disk tags to their backs. A unique three position color-bar combination code will be used for each marked salmon, and a different background color will be used for each sex and each tagging event. Two tagging events will be conducted in each study stream: the first during the second week of July, and the second during the second week in August. Stream residence time will be estimated using weir and tagging data while aerial observer bias will be assessed by a comparison of weir counts and aerial observations made during the regular ADF&G aerial escapement surveys.

D. Location

The streams where weirs will be placed for this study will be selected from the following lower Kenai Peninsula streams draining into the Gulf of Alaska: (1) Windy Creek (both Left and Right), (2) Rocky River, (3) Port Dick Creek, (4) Island Creek, (5) Nuka Island and James Lagoon, and (6) Desire and Delight lakes system.

Project Description

E. Technical Support

Biometric support will be provided by regional staff. Analytical methods will build on those previously developed for Lower Cook Inlet and PWS escapement studies.

F. Contracts

Air charters for aerial observers.

SCHEDULES

14 January 1994	Order supplies and equipment
15 April 1994	Hire seasonal technicians
31 May 1994	Receive all ordered marking supplies
11 July 1994	Begin first tagging event
8 August 1994	Begin second tagging event
15 Sept. 1994	Complete foot surveys of streams
31 Jan. 1995	Data analysis completed
31 May 1995	Status report completed

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

ADF&G currently uses a program of fixed wing aerial (\$26.9K) and foot (\$32.8K) surveys to assess salmon spawning escapement into Lower Cook Inlet management area systems. Aerial surveys are generally made on a weekly basis, while foot surveys are generally conducted on a biweekly basis. This project will expand the existing agency program in order to restore Lower Kenai Peninsula streams injured by the spill.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) is lead Federal agency for National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance for this project. This project meets FWS agency requirements for Categorical Exclusion from the NEPA process. Permits from and coordination with the U.S. National Park Service (NPS) will be required to conduct stream life studies in Ecstasy, Desire and Delight Lakes, and James Lagoon.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

At the end of each year of study, results and stream life estimates for each species and system will be summarized in a progress report. At the completion of this project, stream life estimates will be used to adjust past years escapement and production data. This

information will then be examined to determine whether productivity of these stocks was different before and after the oil spill.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	95.0
Travel	2.1
Contractual	20.0
Commodities	20.4
Equipment	66.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	203.5
General Administration	<u>15.7</u>
Project Total	219.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Artifact Repository - Planning and Design

Project Number: 94386

Lead Agency: ADNR

Cooperating Agencies: DOI-NPS, USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$243.3K **Cost of Project, FY95:** \$TBD

Project Startup Date: February 1994 **Duration:** 1.5 years

Geographic Area: Spill Affected Area

INTRODUCTION

Increased public knowledge about archaeological site locations and increased site vandalism as a result of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill have made native and non-native people in the Prince William Sound (PWS) and Gulf of Alaska (GOA) areas more concerned with protecting cultural resources. The increasing loss of artifacts and disturbance of graves can be offset by preserving artifacts and interpreting native heritage within the region. Communities within the spill-affected area are increasingly concerned that archaeological materials remain or at least are regularly returned to their area of origin for display. To do that and comply with federal and state curation requirements, specific display and repository facilities are needed. Placing artifacts in a local repository, interpreting cultural resources as a means of educating residents and area visitors about practices of the past is one means of lessening the sense of cultural loss due to the oil spill and creating a sense in PWS and GOA communities that damage to cultural resources has been counteracted.

This project, identified by local residents of PWS and other areas as an urgent need, is for planning a series of heritage centers in several oil-affected communities. Public opinion surveys identified this as a priority in Chenega, Tatitlek, Whittier, Cordova, Valdez, Larsen Bay, and Port Graham. Local residents see the need for a place to house cultural objects from local sites and as a place to view and share their endangered heritage. Because of the wide range of potential facilities and roles of this program, an exploration of options and specific goals within each community is necessary to determine what kind of facilities are best suited for the various locations and purposes. The community artifact repository project will be coordinated with existing curatorial facilities at the University of Alaska - Fairbanks, the Alaska State Museum and the proposed Kodiak Artifact Repository funded by the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustees in FY 93. Analysis of needs, possible use of existing facilities, evaluation of the potential need for new structures, means of long-term perpetuation of the facilities with appropriate displays, integration with existing museum programs and costs of the various options is the focus of this project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Personnel for this project will be a planner, assisted by a cultural resources specialist and an advisory panel of local residents. They will assess facilities and program needs to make this project a success in local communities. Maintenance and perpetuation of the facilities and programs within the facilities once built is a major element in the planning effort.

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project will target the endangered heritage of the PWS and GOA residents. It will provide a comprehensive means to house and protect prehistoric and historic artifacts. It will also design a place to hold and pass to future residents, the heritage objects of past and current PWS and GOA people.

B. Objectives

Develop draft plan for heritage centers and repositories by March 1, 1994; review of plan by local residents, November, 1994; final plan completed by May, 1995.

C. Methods

Project staff will write a plan, identifying several alternatives, for the development of heritage centers and repositories in PWS and GOA communities. Existing programs and services will be identified and evaluated for support and coordination with the proposed project. Several site visits and public meetings will be made to work with individual communities on the goals and acceptable means of project implementation, identifying the special facility needs and circumstances of each participating community, and providing information on the state and federal requirements that need to be integrated into this project. Architects will be used to develop design criteria and costs for new construction or modifications to existing buildings for use as a repository. Museum professionals will be consulted on needs for facility operator training, management systems development and integration with existing public museum programs. The planner and cultural resource specialist will work closely with the citizens' advisory group throughout the project.

D. Location

Gathering of recommendations in communities in the oil spill-affected areas with coordination and design work in Anchorage.

E. Technical Support

Technical support will be needed during architectural consultations for recommended facilities, curators of the University of Alaska and Alaska State Museum and other museum professionals. Integration with existing museum programs will provide one means of perpetuating benefits of this project beyond the funding possible through restoration funds.

Project Description

F. Contracts

Consultation with architect for facility plans and costs to be awarded following standard State of Alaska contracting procedures. Museum consultation will be arranged through standard reimbursable-services-agreements between ADNR and the subject museum or through standard contracting procedures. Logistic needs for this project will be met by air charter, and scheduled air service.

SCHEDULES

Initial plan options	4/94
Public information meetings	4/94 - 5/94
Draft recommendations	10/94
Final recommendations	5/95

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

No agency programs exist for this type of project.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project will not affect the human environment and the U.S. Forest Service will prepare the NEPA categorical exclusion.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The final recommendations on this project will be a design for heritage centers/repositories in the local communities with recommended uses, cost estimates, means of funding operation, means of interacting with existing museums (repositories), obtaining or developing displays, and locations for the facilities. The detailed set of options ready for final presentation to the communities will be complete at the end of FY94 and will demonstrate performance to that date. The final recommendation and funding request to establish the facilities in May 1995 will demonstrate completion.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADNR	USFS	NPS	TOTAL
Personnel	143.5	6.4	5.0	154.9
Travel	30.0	2.0	2.5	34.5
Contractual	25.0	1.8	0.0	26.8
Commodities	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
Equipment	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	200.5	10.2	7.5	218.2
General Administration	<u>23.3</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>25.1</u>
Project total	223.8	11.3	8.3	243.3

NEPA Compliance 0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Waste Oil Disposal Facilities

Project Number: 94417

Lead Agency: ADEC

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$232.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$399.5K

Project Start up Date: February 1, 1994

Duration: Multi-year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

Vessels in Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska, especially in the zone affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill, generate large quantities of used motor oil and other lubricants. In spite of regulations and enforcement actions to the contrary, a substantial (but unknown) amount of this waste oil finds its way into the marine environment. During the recovery phase of the spill it is desirable to eliminate additional sources of hydrocarbon contamination to the marine environment. The ports of Whittier, Homer, Seward, and Valdez all support increasingly large fleets of pleasure and recreational craft in addition to the resident and transient commercial fishing fleets. Cordova and Kodiak are seasonally among the busiest fishing ports on the West Coast. Villages such as Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, Port Graham, English Bay, and the Kodiak Island villages are home port for small-scale commercial fishing and subsistence-use vessels.

Proper disposal of used oil has long been viewed as a problem throughout the area. Handling, storage, and transportation of used oil has carried considerable cost and potential liability, especially under now-outdated federal regulations that routinely placed almost all waste oil under hazardous waste handling regulations. While some communities have waste oil collection facilities, others do not. Even at these few sites with collection facilities what to do with the waste oil once it is collected remains a major problem.

Nationwide, regulatory and financial issues have discouraged people from properly disposing of waste oil; more often than not, waste oil was illegally dumped in landfills, sewer systems, or other open sites. In 1992, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimated that 170 million of the 190 million gallons of waste oil generated in the nation found its way into the environment due to improper disposal; this represents approximately 16 times the amount of oil spilled by the *Exxon Valdez*. On August 12, 1992, EPA changed its classifications regarding waste oil recycling and disposal, eliminating many of the regulatory

disincentives frustrating the development of good waste oil handling and disposal in the nation.

The change in federal rules offers the Trustee Council an opportunity to support a project that would reduce the amount of waste oil entering the marine environment in the area affected by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Reducing or eliminating other sources of hydrocarbon contamination in the spill area is desirable as it will help resources injured by the spill recover quickly.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The entire restoration effort would be enhanced by the successful implementation of this project. By providing an environmentally acceptable method of waste oil disposal the continuing introduction of hydrocarbons into the marine environment would be reduced thus permitting natural recovery to continue as quickly as possible.

B. Objectives

To reduce the incidental introduction into the spill area ecosystem by providing a preferred method of disposal of waste oil products.

C. Methods

This project would create a waste oil recycling and/or disposal pilot program in a few communities that wish to participate. Depending on the success of the program this year, it will be proposed for expansion in future years. Communities could propose to use marine pollution control grants from the Trustee Council to purchase equipment for recycling and/or disposing of waste oil depending on what method(s) the community felt most appropriate to the local conditions. Volume of waste oil, distance from recycling centers, the need or opportunity for re-use of oil, and the costs (in terms of both money and mechanical complexity) of continuing operation would be among the criteria used to evaluate proposals from the communities.

Communities wishing to participate in this program would submit proposals. An evaluation committee would review the applications for technical and regulatory feasibility. Awards would be made and the communities would begin installation.

These facilities would be wholly owned by the local organization or government that applied for the funding. Maintenance and operation would be paid by the communities through user fees, assessments, or cost-recovery plans (e.g., reuse of waste oil for heating municipal facilities) depending on the wishes and resources of the communities. The facilities would be monitored, information collected, and a report prepared detailing the success or failure of the project.

Project Description

D. Location

Communities within the spill affected area.

E. Technical Support

A small amount of computer support would be required in collecting the data reported by the grantees and storing it in a data base. The information would be utilized in preparing a report for the Trustees as to the relative success of the project.

F. Contracts

Six contracts (or grants) will be let for the best project proposals. A contract with an accounting firm to oversee expenditures and pay appropriate bills incurred by participating communities will be needed.

SCHEDULES

February 1994	Prepare proposal packets and scoring criteria
March 1994	Send out proposal packets to communities and advertise
April 1994	Receive submittals, convene proposal evaluation committee, review and rank proposals, notify recipients, negotiate grant/contract awards
May - June 1994	Communities proceed with equipment purchases and development
July - August 1994	Project manager visit communities
September 1, 1994	Receive first project reports from communities
December 1, 1994	Receive second operations report from communities

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

The Trustee Council would provide grants to individual communities. Contract/grant stipulations would require that these communities comply with all applicable NEPA regulations.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

On-site visits will be made by the Project Manager. Fiscal oversight will be contracted with an accounting firm. Participating communities will develop individual reports. Individual reports will be compiled into a formal report for submission to the Trustees.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC
Personnel	49.6
Travel	19.9
Contractual	142.9
Commodities	2.4
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	214.8
General Administration	<u>17.4</u>
Project Total	232.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Leave No Trace Educational Program

Project Identification Number: 94419

Lead Agency: USDA-Forest Service

Cooperating Agencies: ADNR

Cost of Project, FY94: \$158.7

Cost of Project, FY95: \$95.6

Project Startup Date: January 1994

Duration: Two Years

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

Through a Memorandum of Understanding signed in the spring of 1993, the National Outdoor Leadership School (NOLS) became a partner with the U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, and Bureau of Land Management in the national Leave No Trace (LNT) program. Leave No Trace is designed to educate outdoor enthusiasts on the best minimum-impact techniques and ethics available for recreational use of America's wildlands. NOLS, as the leader in backcountry education and leadership, is committed to developing and distributing LNT curriculum and educational materials in all major backcountry recreational environments nation-wide.

NOLS seeks funding from the Trustees, through a contract with the USDA-Forest Service, for a three phase Leave No Trace educational project. The phases can be funded and conducted as a whole or as separate and distinct projects. The three proposed phases also address a comprehensive variety of target audiences and learning styles while keeping to NOLS' traditional strength and philosophy: hands-on education works.

This project was ranked second in a public participation workshop as a part of the Prince William Sound (PWS) Recreation Project Work Group. Public comment received by the PWS Recreation Project Work Group indicates that education is an effective tool to reduce impacts of the users of PWS.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The three phases of the Leave No Trace program for Prince William Sound serve to minimize the impacts caused by kayakers, tour groups, hunters, and other recreationist. This is particularly important on the Sound where the spill has changed the natural character and resilience of many beaches and bays. Changes in the traditional recreation patterns and locations caused by the spill mean that formerly pristine or infrequently used areas are now

receiving heavier use. Additionally, with increased notoriety as a result of the spill, more people are coming to the Sound. The effect of this increased and concentrated recreational use can be mitigated through education using common themes and valid research.

B. Objectives

1. Through education, reduce impacts of recreation users on recovering resources, especially in areas that are experiencing increased or new use resulting from changed use patterns.
2. Educate a wide array of user groups in PWS on minimum-impact techniques and ethics.
3. Create a contingent of educators in Alaska who can train others in minimum-impact techniques.

C. Methods

The methods used to educate recreation users of Prince William Sound on minimum-impact techniques and ethics is accomplished in three phases.

Phase I:

During the summer of 1994, NOLS will reproduce and distribute 10,000 *Leave No Trace Outdoor Skills & Ethics Temperate Coastal Zones* booklets which present the principles, ethics, and techniques of minimum-impact sea kayaking in southern Alaska. Guides, outfitters, hunters, tour group operators, and user groups will be targeted for this written information.

During the early spring of 1994, NOLS staff will adapt the existing *LNT Outdoor Skills & Ethics: Temperate Coastal* booklet into a shorter pamphlet format designed for casual users such as tour participants, clients and interested travelers to the area. NOLS will work with the Forest Service, Alaska Department of Natural Resources, and organized user groups to distribute 50,000 copies of the LNT Coastal pamphlet during the 1994 and 1995 recreational seasons.

Phase II:

Over a two-season period, NOLS will train 100 grant-funded user group representatives as Masters of Leave No Trace. The LNT Masters program is a six-day field course which thoroughly covers the techniques and ethics of minimum impact use for a given environment and also teaches the Masters how to present LNT to others. This proven curriculum and pyramid-style of intensive training has the goal of improving the use and preservation of recreational lands and coasts.

Project Description

Targeted participants will have strong boating or kayaking background, be interested in education, and will be in positions where they can teach others. Chugach National Forest and AK Department of Natural Resources should enact measures requiring certain types of commercial permit holders and employees to go through this course.

Phase III:

In order to educate recreationists before they enter Prince William Sound in 1995, NOLS will create a brief (20 minute) educational video on Leave No Trace techniques and ethics in Prince William Sound and other similar temperate coastal environments. Two hundred fifty videos will be provided at no cost to education programs, user groups, youth organizations, federal and state agencies, oil spill response agencies, Alaska Native corporations, outfitters and tour operators in PWS and in the communities of Valdez, Whittier, Tatitlek, Chenega Bay, and Cordova. Additional videos will be provided for sale to the public through NOLS and the Forest Service. This video will utilize a professional production company and use the existing NOLS productions of *Soft Paths* and *Canyon Soft Paths* as basic models.

D. Location

This project will focus on Prince William Sound. The lands and communities used by recreationist in Prince William Sound will benefit by this educational program. The principles of leave no trace also apply to areas outside of PWS and the program could be expanded, with additional funding, to cover the entire spill-affected area.

E. Technical Support

None Needed.

F. Contracts

Because the education materials and programs were developed by NOLS staff, they are the logical entity to implement this program. This project will be completed by NOLS through a Chugach National Forest contract. Chugach National Forest will administer the contract and assure that objectives are met.

SCHEDULES

1/94	Contract between NOLS and Chugach National Forest.
4/94	Create short pamphlet on LNT Outdoor Skills and Ethics.
4/94	Identify target participants for the LNT Masters Program.
5/94 - 9/94	Distribute short pamphlet and booklet on LNT Outdoor Skills and Ethics.
5/94 - 8/94	Conduct five LNT Masters Training Session in PWS.
11/94	Progress Report submitted.
12/94	Develop Challenge Cost Share Agreement with Forest Service for video production.
3/95	Video script and storyboards completed.
4/95 - 9/95	Filming for video.
5/95 - 9/95	Continue distribution of pamphlet and booklet.
5/95 - 8/95	Conduct 5 more LNT Masters Training Session in PWS.
11/95	Video completed and distributed.
12/95	Final project report due.

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM:

The LNT Trace program developed by NOLS is an important program that supports the management of the USDA Forest Service and the State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project is categorically excluded from formal documentation in an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement under Forest Service regulations [FSH 1909.15 31.1a(3)]. No other permits are required. No ground disturbing activity is proposed. Project is for public information and training.

Project Description

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

This project will be coordinated by the Forest Service and a project leader from NOLS. The final products will be the distribution of the *Leave No Trace Outdoor Skills & Ethics: Temperate Coastal Zones* booklets and pamphlets, the creation and distribution of the LNT Video, 100 people educated in the LNT Masters program, and progress and final reports. Project monitoring and coordination with the Trustees will be done through the recreation staff in the Supervisors Office of Chugach National Forest. Contract compliance will be completed by the same.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFS	ADNR	TOTAL
Personnel	10.0	5.0	15.0
Travel	1.5	0.0	1.5
Contractual	139.2	0.0	139.2
Commodities	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sub-total	150.7	5.0	155.7
General Administration	21.6	0.4	22.0
Project Total	172.3	\$ 5.4	177.7
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Recreation Information Center at Portage

Project Identification Number: 94420

Lead Agency: USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$100.8K

Project Startup Date: January 1994 **Duration:** 1 year

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and Kenai

INTRODUCTION

The Prince William Sound Tourism Coalition (PWSTC) currently leases space in a building owned by Major Marine Tours at the Portage Train Station. The building is shared by Major Marine Tours, Alaska Railroad, and the PWSTC. In this building the PWSTC created and staffed an information office on a daily basis for the purpose of educating people of the recreation resources available in PWS and the services available in the communities of Whittier, Valdez, Cordova, Chenega Bay and Tatitlek. Additionally, a primary function was to dispel notions that the Sound was no longer a pristine recreation resource as a result of the 1989 *Exxon Valdez* oil spill.

An average of 150 people per day visited the PWSTC Recreation Education Information Center. The Board of Directors for the PWSTC decided to seek funding for the permanent establishment of the center. This decision was based on the unanimous support of the membership, the public's need for accurate information regarding the condition of PWS and the recreation opportunities available.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

There was documented financial harm to the communities, businesses and individuals that benefit from tourism trade in PWS as a result of the spill. There continues to be injured perceptions of available recreation opportunities in PWS as the result of the spill. The intention of this project is to seek funding to insure support for these businesses and communities in the marketing and sales of their services and products as well as the overall promotion of Prince William Sound and the recreation experiences available.

B. Objectives

1. Reduce perceptions of injury to the available recreation opportunities in PWS after the 1989 oil spill.
2. To educate public on the effects and current status of the spill and increase public awareness of what resources and services are still available.

C. Methods

Through acquisition and accompanying upgrades of the building in Portage, the PWSTC is guaranteed a long term space for the recreation education information center and allows them to upgrade into the larger space. Education upgrades include a small theater for viewing videos on the oil spill and current conditions of the Sound as well as maps and display racks for brochures and informational material.

D. Location

The building is located at the Portage Train Station which is the major access point into western PWS. The distribution of information is more useful in Portage than in Whittier in order to reach many of the people that will not venture in to Whittier because of misconceptions of spill damage. It is located next to the Seward Highway which is a major travel route for vacation travelers. The information distributed here may benefit Whittier, Valdez, Cordova, Chenega, and Tatitlek.

E. Technical Support

None needed.

F. Contracts

This project should be done through a sole source contract with the PWSTC. The information center is already started by this coalition and its Board of Directors have agreed to fund the maintenance and operations of the center in the future. This coalition is a 501 C3 corporation (non-profit).

SCHEDULES

April 1994	Purchase of building.
June 1994	Upgrades of building (handicap ramps, center modifications).
August 1994	Final Report.

Project Description

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

Chugach National Forest Service has a visitor center at Girdwood.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project would not require an EA or EIS because there will be no new land modification.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

USDA

Personnel	10.0
Travel	2.0
Contractual	81.6
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	0.0

Sub-total	93.6
-----------	------

General Administration	7.2
------------------------	-----

Project Total	100.8
---------------	-------

NEPA Compliance	0.0
-----------------	-----

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Common Property Salmon Stock Restoration

Project Number: 94421

Lead Agency: Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Cooperating Entities: Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation (PWSAC)
Valdez Fisheries Development Association (VFDA)
Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association (CIAA)

Cost of Project, FY94: \$5,336.8K

Project Startup Date: February 1, 1994 **Duration:** Eight months

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and Lower Cook Inlet, North Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

Alaska's salmon, including those produced in wild streams, state hatcheries and private non-profit hatcheries, are considered the common property of all Alaska residents. Common property salmon fisheries supported by fish produced from all of these sources were injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. In recent years, the collapse of common property fisheries in Prince William Sound and Lower Cook Inlet have created a particular hardship on commercial fishermen. In particular, the pink salmon produced by Prince William Sound and Lower Cook Inlet streams and hatcheries held a major role in sustaining the ecosystems of these areas by their contribution to the food web. Other species of salmon produced here have also contributed, but to a lesser degree. By incubating, rearing and releasing salmon eggs, fry and smolt at hatcheries, this project would restore the common property fisheries to their pre-spill levels and would assist the commercial fisheries and impacted predator species in the spill area.

In 1971, the Division of Fisheries Rehabilitation, Enhancement and Development (FRED Division) of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) was created by the Alaska State Legislature. The legislature directed the division "through rehabilitation, enhancement, and development programs to do all things necessary to insure perpetual and increasing production and use of food resources of Alaska waters." In other words, the common property fisheries.

In 1973, the United Fisherman's Association (UFA) was formed, organizing commercial fishermen at the state level for the first time. Fishermen's groups such as UFA were a driving force behind Alaska's salmon hatchery programs. This group, along with others, believed it would take artificial propagation as well as some restrictive regulations to bring the

commercial harvest level back up from an annual harvest level of 23 million fish between 1973 and 1975.

In 1973, the legislature implemented limited entry in the commercial salmon fishery with the long term goal of increasing economic returns to commercial fishermen. With the limited entry program in place, legislators felt more confident about expanding the hatchery program because the economic benefits of a rehabilitated fishery resource would not be dissipated among an ever-increasing number of fishermen. At this time, legislators also began to accept that nongovernmental hatcheries had much to recommend them from the perspective of public finance issues: the operation of private hatcheries could be funded from the harvest of returning fish and from tax assessments on the fishermen who had access to the hatchery production, thus shifting the cost of the facilities from the shoulders of the general public to the people who derived benefits directly from them. Thus, fisheries organizations and other Private Non-Profit (PNP) groups were encouraged to build and operate PNP hatchery facilities. The 1974 Alaska State Legislature authorized the Commissioner of ADF&G to issue permits to PNP corporations for the construction and operation of salmon hatcheries.

As the PNP hatchery program developed and hatchery technology progressed, it became evident that the cost of developing viable salmon hatcheries was far greater than was initially expected. In 1974 funds became available through the Renewable Resources Development Fund that was established that year. Additional state loans for construction of PNP hatcheries became available in 1975 when the commercial fisheries loan program was expanded to include hatcheries. The following year, a separate fisheries enhancement loan program was established.

Another positive step toward the long range goal of increasing the commercial salmon harvest occurred in 1974 with the passage of the Magnuson Act. This created a 200 mile limit along Alaska's coastline where foreign registered boats could not fish.

In 1976, Alaska legislation was passed creating Regional Aquaculture Associations that were responsible for the regional planning and coordination of salmon enhancement activities. The legislature felt that comprehensive planning on the regional level; primarily, careful hatchery site selection, would help mitigate potential problems such as intermingling of hatchery and wild stocks.

All of this legislation and funding set the stage for the development of the public and private hatchery programs that developed during the 70's and 80's.

The hatchery program in Alaska went from five operating facilities in 1971 to thirty-eight in 1990. In the early stages of this development, the majority of the hatcheries were built and operated by the State of Alaska. For example of the twenty-six facilities in 1980 eighteen were publicly funded and operated and eight were privately funded and operated. By 1985 the number had increased to thirty-six (twenty public and sixteen private). It was a cooperative effort to build and maintain the common property salmon stocks of Alaska.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The primary goal of the proposed project is to maintain operations of seven hatcheries in Prince William Sound and Lower Cook Inlet, in order to promote recovery of the common property salmon stocks to their pre-spill levels. The direct beneficiaries are the commercial, recreational and subsistence fishermen, as well as local populations of fish, birds and mammals dependent on salmon. Achievement of this goal is explained in the following three plans put together by the corporations that now operate these seven hatcheries. Four of these seven hatcheries are owned by the State of Alaska which currently makes bond payments on three of them. At the time of the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill, three of the four state hatcheries were operated by the State of Alaska using General Fund monies. Because of the cooperative relationship between private non-profit hatcheries and the state in producing common property fisheries (as described in the history of Alaska salmon aquaculture above), operation of these facilities, which remain in the ownership of the State, was given to the private non-profit (PNP) aquaculture facilities with the proviso that operation costs could be recovered by the harvest of salmon for this purpose only in special harvest areas. This is same procedure used by the PNP's to recover operating costs for the facilities they own as well as operate. In 1993, sales from returns of adult salmon to these special harvest areas were insufficient to meet operating costs. Because of poor returns in previous years reserve accounts have been depleted to the extent that the PNP's could not make up this shortfall from reserves. For Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation and Cook Inlet Aquaculture Association, accepting the responsibility for operating state facilities has increased the budget shortfalls for their entire organizations.

The monies being requested from the Trustee Council are for operating expenses only. None of these monies will be used to retire loans or to make interest payments on loans. Further, none of these monies will be used to replenish contingency reserve accounts. The monies being requested from the Trustee Council are only for operating budget shortfalls, not the entire operating budget where the aquaculture associations have some operating monies. Specific budget details will be provided to the Trustee Council in the near future. Any monies recovered by the associations through litigation against Exxon, Alyeska, or subsidiaries thereof as regards to the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill will be used to repay these project costs to the Trustee Council.

PLAN I - PRINCE WILLIAM SOUND AQUACULTURE ASSOCIATION

INTRODUCTION

This plan advocates maintaining the existing salmon enhancement and restoration system operated in the Prince William Sound bioregion by the Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation. The aquaculture corporation was created under the Private Nonprofit Hatchery Act (AS Title 16.10.8) to "rehabilitate the state's depleted and depressed salmon fishery." For 18 years, this restoration/enhancement system has sustained and augmented the salmon resources in the Sound. Pre-spill, healthy natural and hatchery stocks of all five species of Pacific salmon supported a strong commercial fishing industry of 800 permit holders and 20 processing plants, and the economies of six Sound communities. In addition, the salmon resources fostered a growing sport fishing industry, and provided the basis for the subsistence lifestyles of the region. At various life stages, salmon are a food source for birds, marine mammals and other fishes in the PWS and North Gulf of Alaska ecosystem. During the ten years prior to 1989, the average annual return of all salmon to the PWS management region was 22 million fish.

Total natural and hatchery salmon returns have dwindled to 10.5 million in 1992 and 7.0 million in 1993, in response to ecosystem changes that require further investigation. The Draft Restoration Plan lists pink salmon and herring in PWS as "non-recovering." The damaged salmon resources and the lost services provided by those resources have heavily impacted all user groups. Revenues to the aquaculture corporation, primarily dependent on sales of returning fish, have fallen far short of what is necessary to maintain its restoration and enhancement efforts. While the extent of short- and long-term damage to the Prince William Sound ecosystem is still being assessed, it is more important than ever to the people of the Sound that the salmon enhancement programs be maintained to both restore and replace these lost resources and services.

Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation (PWSAC) was founded by the fishermen, processors and communities of Prince William Sound in 1974, following several years of low salmon returns, to restore and enhance the salmon resources of the region. The private, non-profit, regional aquaculture association began with one pink salmon hatchery, and during the subsequent ten years built a second, multi-species hatchery, largely with state aquaculture loans. During the same period, the state of Alaska built and operated three salmon hatcheries in the Prince William Sound area. As state revenues declined, the state shifted the cost and responsibility of its 3 state hatchery operations in PWS to the private sector. This in addition to its capital construction debt have greatly increased PWSAC's financial responsibilities since 1989.

Pre-spill, the combined production of the five hatcheries in the Prince William Sound/Copper River region contributed substantially to the salmon harvest, particularly to the commercial catch. In the commercial fishery prior to 1989, hatcheries produced up to 75% of the pink salmon catch, 25% of the chum and sockeye, and smaller percentages of coho and chinook.

Project Description

Wild returns of pinks ranged from average to record highs. However, in 1991, an aberrant return of adult pink salmon, spawned in the parent year of 1989, came in late and dark, and millions went unsold. In 1992, the wild and hatchery pink salmon return was approximately one-third of the projected size; in 1993, pinks came back at about one-fifth of their expected strength, and wild chum returns were far under projections. The 1993 wild and hatchery sockeye returns to the Sound were less than half the expected strength.

These failed salmon returns to Prince William Sound, coupled with deflated fish prices, resulted in financial disaster for commercial fishermen and for PWSAC in 1991, 1992, and 1993. In an effort to understand the ecosystem of the Sound and determine the causes of the failures, the fishermen and PWSAC have joined in a bioregional coalition -- Prince William Sound Fisheries Ecosystem Research Planning Group (PWSFERGP) -- encouraged and funded by the Trustee Council. While the scientific questions are being answered, the role of the salmon enhancement programs in research, restoration and replacement of lost services must be maintained. PWSAC is requesting \$3.9 million from the Trustee Council to fund its FY94 revenue shortfall. This request is not intended to set a precedent for operational funding by the Trustee Council.

Endeavoring to maintain operations, PWSAC has cut its budgets for each of the last three years, has committed the remainder of its contingency funds, and is actively pursuing additional funding sources, as well as means of increasing revenue. On PWSAC's behalf, the Department of Commerce has asked that \$4 million in aquaculture loan funds be included in the Governor's FY94 supplemental budget request to the Legislature. The chance of success of this request is very unsure, given the present condition of the State's finances. Litigation claims settlements from Alyeska and Exxon could increase revenue, although it is questionable when and by how much. In addition, this year the PWSAC Board of Directors, in an effort to achieve better value for fish sold, authorized a product development and marketing project, supported by the Department of Commerce and the Governor. Additional revenues resulting from any of these efforts would reduce the amount of PWSAC funding sought from the Trustee Council.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The primary goal of the proposed project is to maintain operations of the hatcheries in Prince William Sound, in order to promote recovery of the populations and distributions of injured salmon resources to their pre-spill levels, and/or replace those resources.

B. Objectives

Specific objectives of this project include the following:

1. Maintain the current salmon restoration and enhancement program in PWS by preventing the closure of all salmon hatcheries and their ability to replace, restore and enhance lost services and resources to pre-spill conditions.
2. Replace, restore, and enhance lost and damaged salmon resources to return salmon productivity within the PWS ecosystem to pre-spill levels and thereby maintain those indigenous mammal, bird and fish species dependant on salmon.
3. Replace, restore and enhance lost and damaged resources and services to pre-spill conditions which support the consumptive and non-consumptive human users of the PWS salmon resource including primary users (subsistence, commercial, sport, etc.) and secondary users and beneficiaries (processors, transportation and PWS communities).

C. Methods

Based on long-term average survival rates, the PWSAC hatchery system expects annual adult salmon production of approximately 21 million pink salmon, 2 million chums, about 1 million sockeye salmon and lesser numbers of coho and chinook salmon. However, production expectations following the spill have been downgraded/reduced, based on recent run failures.

Armin F. Koerning Hatchery (Evans Island) produces pink salmon. The oldest hatchery in the PWS salmon hatchery system, the AFK program has enjoyed more than 15 years of operational success with run declines only experienced following the spill. With an annual operational budget of \$800,000, the program is capable of producing approximately 6 million adult pink salmon under normal conditions.

Wally Noerenberg Hatchery (Esther Island) produces pink, chum, coho and chinook salmon. The facility and salmon program is one of the more complex and advanced in North America. The annual operational budget is \$1,600,000. The program normally has the capacity to produce approximately 9 million pink salmon, 2 million chum, 40,000 chinook and 200,000 coho salmon. The coho and chinook program provides sport fisheries with releases of young salmon at Cordova, Whittier and Valdez. The hatchery site is also a significant sport fishery.

Main Bay Hatchery (Western PWS) is a State hatchery contracted to PWSAC. It is a sockeye salmon program on the leading edge of sockeye salmon hatchery technology. The program currently has the capacity to produce nearly 1.2 million adult sockeye, including first

Project Description

generation Coghill and Eshamy Lake stocks used in a long-term program to rehabilitate the Coghill and Eshamy lake systems. The annual operating budget is \$850,000.

Cannery Creek Hatchery (Unakwik Inlet) is also a State hatchery contracted to PWSAC. This program produces pink salmon and normally has the capacity to produce 6 million adult pink salmon. The operating budget is \$750,000.

Gulkana Hatchery (Gulkana River, Copper River Basin) is the third State hatchery contracted to PWSAC. The program is located on the Gulkana River and produces sockeye salmon for harvest within the Copper River system. The program produces approximately 200,000 sockeye annually and contributes to a significant sport, subsistence and personal use fishery on the Copper and Gulkana rivers, along with a commercial harvest on the Copper River delta. This production has provided replacement of resources degraded within PWS. The annual operating budget is \$250,000.

Coghill Smolt Project The release of 800,000 sockeye smolts annually at Coghill River is integral to the restoration program cooperatively funded by USDA Forest Service, ADF&G and PWSAC. PWSAC provides the wild stock smolts, while other agencies provide limnological research, fish enumeration and lake fertilization to rehabilitate the lake productivity (project 94259). The operating budget is \$70,000. If the PWSAC operating budget shortfall of \$3.9 million is not approved by the Trustee Council, PWSAC requests that this portion be considered and approved separately as it complements project 94259.

General Methods

In methods annually employed at all facilities, brood stock are harvested by contracted seiner at the four PWS hatcheries and held until spawning maturity. Eggs are taken from the females and fertilized at a 1:1 female to male ratio. Incubation and rearing takes place at the hatchery sites. Stocks such as pink and chum salmon which enter the marine environment as fry are released into the spring plankton bloom. Coho, chinook and sockeye salmon are reared for an additional year and released as smolts.

Remote releases of salmon are conducted to rehabilitate wild stocks such as the Eshamy and Coghill programs. Approximately 700,000 Eshamy stock smolts are released annually at Eshamy Lagoon to rehabilitate Eshamy Lake.

Coho and chinook remote release programs are conducted to provide resources and services to salmon resource users at Cordova, Whittier and Valdez. These programs annually cost PWSAC approximately \$25,000. In addition, a recent proposal to provide replacement of lost subsistence resources and services in the vicinity of Chenega will likely be fulfilled.

D. Location

Four hatcheries are located in PWS, and one on the upper Copper River system. In addition, remote release sites include Cordova, Whittier, Valdez, Eshamy Lake, and Coghill Lake. New release sites have been investigated at Port Chalmers (Montague Island), Naked Island and Port Wells, for remote releasing salmon to restore fisheries to historic locations which have been closed due to weak wild stock returns.

E. Technical support

The PWSAC salmon program receives technical support from permitting agencies, University of Alaska Fairbanks, and PWS Science Center. ADF&G reviews project applications from numerous biological and management perspectives. The ADF&G pathology lab, genetic lab, and coded wire tag lab are among specific expertise areas overseeing the hatchery salmon program. Cooperative ecosystem component studies are conducted with UAF and PWSAC to better understand environmental conditions affecting salmon and predator-prey relationships. ADEC provides technical support on water quality issues, whereas the Forest Service and DNR provide technical support on terrestrial and tidelands concerns. The Cordova ADF&G staff are in constant communication with PWSAC staff to monitor marine conditions, wild stock productivity, adjust harvesting to match production levels and fishery concerns, and provide technical guidance in hatchery practices.

F. Contracts

PWSAC requires the use of contracted vessels for harvesting brood stock and cost recovery fish, and for support of remote release operations. PWSAC also contracts vessels for hauling, placement and retrieval of barrier seines, buoys and anchors as needed, and barge services for hauling salmon fry/smolts and hatchery supplies. Air charter services are contracted for personnel, supplies and remote field transportation.

SCHEDULES

Incubation, outmigration, rearing, release: Oct 1993 - May 1994

Incubate eggs/embryos; enumerate, tag and outmigrate fry to rearing pens; rear fry; clean and sterilize incubation units; rear smolts; assess marine plankton abundance, release fry and smolts.

Adult returns, brood stock and cost recovery harvest: June 1994 - Sept 1994

Contract harvest vessels; place and secure brood barrier seines; harvest adult fish; spawn fish and incubate eggs/embryos; market sales fish.

In addition to the annual hatchery cycle, administrative cycles include budgeting (October-November); project permitting (December-March); annual hatchery reporting (October-December); annual hatchery planning (December-February); seasonal personnel hiring

Project Description

(January-July); sales fish marketing (ongoing); construction, maintenance and supplies transportation (ongoing).

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Permitting is a significant aspect of the PWSAC salmon program. Hatcheries must receive extensive permitting prior to construction which address water use and quality, land use, wild stocks and other concerns. Each salmon project must receive ADF&G review and permitting with scrutiny for genetic and disease histories, wild stock interactions, fishery management implications and common property benefit. Hatchery and remote release sites also require permitting from Department of Army Corp, DNR Lands Division, Forest Service special use or EA permitting if necessary, and ADF&G fry transport permits. Certain projects may require more thorough analysis such as the Main Bay Hatchery EIS.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Under mandate of law, the Regional Planning Team (RPT) for PWS is required to produce a comprehensive salmon plan. The RPT is composed of ADF&G staff appointed by the Commissioner and representatives from the regional salmon association. The RPT develops specific salmon rehabilitation and enhancement objectives which receive public review and approval by the ADF&G Commissioner. These objectives set the direction, production limits, and often specific projects for salmon rehabilitation or hatchery enhancement. Hatchery permits are issued which comply with agency regulation and address regional objectives. Rehabilitation and enhancement programs are reviewed by the RPT and recommended to the Commissioner. Annual review of accomplishments by Department personnel and the RPT, and recommendations for program revisions are processes to guide salmon programs and maintain performance within permitting requirements.

BUDGET NARRATIVE

Since the 1991 season, PWSAC has been faced with continual revenue shortfalls due to extreme and unusual variations in the quantity, quality, size and market value of their returning cost recovery fish. From 1991 through June 1993 these shortfalls were covered through the use of a contingency fund established and added to during years of surplus.

PWSAC's FY94 budget includes debt payment of \$637,000, administrative costs of \$1.2 million, in-house repair and maintenance of \$500,000, plus direct operating costs of our enhancement program of \$3.9 million. This \$3.9 million includes \$70,000 in costs associated with the Coghill Lake program, for which a separate funding request has been submitted. It does not include any funds for the Chenega chinook remote release program. In addition, PWSAC has committed to an aggressive market development project with the realization that value-added products will play a large part in returning economic viability to the fishery in PWS. Total projected cost of this project is \$1.0 million. Product sales of up to \$800,000

have been projected. Because of the developmental nature of this project, we realistically cannot consider these funds "in the bank" until they become fact. **However, receipts from sales will be used to address operating shortfalls in lieu of using Trustee Council monies rather than to create a contingency reserve account or to make principle or interest loan payments.**

Many uncertainties face PWSAC over the next several months. We have spent all revenues from last summer and in November began spending the remainder of our reserve funds. We currently project, that if the marketing project performs fully as budgeted and we have no emergency situations at our remote sites, that we will, at best, have zero funds to carry us past June 30, 1994. Our situation is further complicated by the fact that the major portion of our annual expenditures occur in the first three months of our fiscal year (July through September) when our fish return and are either spawned or sold. With no funds left to enter the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1994, except those that might be collected from fish sales after the year begins, we will be at great risk in the case of another revenue shortfall.

FY94 PROJECT BUDGET (\$K)

Personnel	2,213.0
Travel	83.0
Contractual	445.0
Commodities	<u>1,185.0</u>
	3,926.0

PLAN II - VALDEZ FISHERIES DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION

INTRODUCTION

Solomon Gulch Hatchery, located in the Eastern District of Prince William Sound, has been in operation since 1977. This facility has grown from a very modest 10 million egg facility to one of the largest Pink salmon producing hatcheries in the State of Alaska. The 1993 return of Pink salmon, while insufficient to cover the needed revenues for operations in FY94 did provide sufficient spawners to allow us to reach our permitted egg level of 230 million green eggs.

Valdez Fisheries Development Association has experienced revenue shortfalls each of the last three years, requiring us to request assistance from the State of Alaska revolving loan fund during each of those years. A steady decline in the numbers of returning adult Pink and Chum salmon to Port Valdez culminated in 1993 with a return that was 60% below what was projected to return.

While Pink and Chum salmon produced at Solomon Gulch Hatchery contribute significantly to the commercial harvest in the eastern district of Prince William Sound, the Pink salmon returns have also fostered one of the most unique and successful sport fishing programs

Project Description

found anywhere in the State of Alaska. The annual harvest rate of pink salmon by shore-based sport fishermen exceeds 100,000 fish.

Failed salmon returns to the Port Valdez area have resulted in financial disaster for commercial fishermen and charter boat operators and for VFDA in 1991, 1992 and 1993. The need for assistance is paramount in keeping this successful program.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The primary focus of the proposed project is to maintain operations of the Solomon Gulch Hatchery program to help foster the recovery of the common property fisheries, both commercial and sport, in eastern Prince William Sound. None of this money will be used for debt retirement.

B. Objectives

The objectives of this project are to collect and incubate the permitted egg levels assigned to this facility. Those levels being 230 million Pink salmon eggs; 18 million Chum salmon eggs; 2 million Coho salmon eggs and 200 thousand Chinook smolts.

C. Methods

The accepted methods for fish culture described in the Annual Management Plan for Solomon Gulch Hatchery will be used. These methods conform to those methods approved by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

D. Location

Solomon Gulch Hatchery is located in Port Valdez between the Alyeska Marine Terminal and Petro Star Refining and is a successful example of the cooperation between a large industrial complex and a resource producer.

E. Technical Support

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Prince William Sound Aquaculture Association and Valdez Fisheries Development Association biologists all provide technical support to this facility.

F. Contracts

Valdez Fisheries requires the use of contracted fishing vessels for harvesting cost recovery fish and for support of our remote release operations.

SCHEDULES

Incubation, outmigration, rearing, release: Oct 1993 - May 1994

Incubate eggs/embryos; enumerate, tag and outmigrate fry to rearing pens; rear fry; clean and sterilize incubation units; rear smolts; assess marine plankton abundance, release fry and smolts.

Adult returns, brood stock and cost recovery harvest: June 1994 - Sept 1994

Contract harvest vessels; place and secure brood barrier seines; harvest adult fish; spawn fish and incubate eggs/embryos; market sales fish.

In addition to the annual hatchery cycle, administrative cycles include budgeting (October-November); project permitting (December-March); annual hatchery reporting (October-December); annual hatchery planning (December-February); seasonal personnel hiring (January-July); sales fish marketing (ongoing); construction, maintenance and supplies transportation (ongoing).

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

Environmental analysis of the hatchery stocking program is included in ADF&G's Statewide Stocking Plan.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

Personnel	379.9
Travel	7.0
Commodities	193.5
Contracts	29.0
Utilities/Insurance	148.6
Equipment and repairs	<u>210.4</u>
Total	968.5

PLAN III - COOK INLET AQUACULTURE ASSOCIATION

INTRODUCTION

Tutka Lagoon Hatchery has been producing pink salmon since the mid 1970's. Originally constructed and operated by ADF&G, operating responsibility (but not facility ownership) was transferred by contract to CIAA in 1991.

Since 1991 CIAA has operated Tutka Lagoon Hatchery under Alaska Law as a private nonprofit hatchery. The operating principle of a private nonprofit hatchery is that numbers of

Project Description

returning fish should be abundant enough to provide both sufficient revenue to carry on hatchery operation and significant contribution to common property fisheries.

Since taking over operation of Tutka Lagoon Hatchery CIAA has experienced adult returns so small that there has been virtually no contribution to the common property fisheries and insufficient revenue to support continued hatchery operation. Pink salmon fry released in 1987 and 1988, the two years immediately prior to the spill, experienced release to return survival rates of 3.7 and 6.1% which resulted in adult returns of 920,000 and 950,000 respectively.

Pink salmon fry released in 1989 experienced a survival rate of 0.8%; only 250,000 fish returned. Fry released in 1990 experienced a 1.1% survival rate; 320,000 fish returned. Fry released in 1991 experienced a 1.6% survival rate; 460,000 adult fish returned. Fry released in 1992 experienced a 2.4% survival rate; 775,000 adult fish returned. The low survival rates of fry released since the spill has caused fish sale revenues to be less than hatchery operation expense. The financial drain has finally exhausted CIAA's financial reserves for Tutka Lagoon Hatchery.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The goal of this project is to maintain operation of Tutka Lagoon Hatchery in order to help promote recovery of common property pink salmon stocks to pre-spill levels. Recovery of these stocks benefits commercial, recreational and subsistence fishermen as well as local populations of fish, birds and mammals which prey on migrating fry and adults.

B. Objectives

The objectives of this project are to collect and incubate up to 120M pink salmon eggs and release up to 100M Pink salmon fry into the waters of Tutka Bay.

C. Methods

Standard methods will be used to culture pink salmon. Standard methods are described in CIAA's Annual Management Plan for Tutka Lagoon Hatchery as approved by ADF&G.

D. Location

Tutka Lagoon Hatchery is located in lower Cook Inlet, on the southern shore of Kachemak Bay across from Homer.

E. Technical Support

CIAA biologists, the ADF&G fish pathology and genetics laboratories, and the Cook Inlet Seiners Association all provide technical support to CIAA's Tutka Lagoon Hatchery.

F. Contracts

A contract will be issued for logistical and technical support to the Cook Inlet Seiners Association of Homer, Alaska. Local vessel and aircraft charters are also required to support normal operations of the Tutka Hatchery.

SCHEDULES

Incubation, outmigration, rearing, release: Oct 1993 - May 1994

Incubate eggs/embryos; enumerate, tag and outmigrate fry to rearing pens; rear fry; clean and sterilize incubation units; rear smolts; assess marine plankton abundance, release fry and smolts.

Adult returns, brood stock and cost recovery harvest: June 1994 - Sept 1994

Contract harvest vessels; place and secure brood barrier seines; harvest adult fish; spawn fish and incubate eggs/embryos; market sales fish.

In addition to the annual hatchery cycle, administrative cycles include budgeting (October-November); project permitting (December-March); annual hatchery reporting (October-December); annual hatchery planning (December-February); seasonal personnel hiring (January-July); sales fish marketing (ongoing); construction, maintenance and supplies transportation (ongoing).

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

CIAA operates Tutka Lagoon Hatchery under a contract with ADF&G. CIAA is a qualified Regional Aquaculture Association operating under Alaska's private nonprofit hatchery statutes. CIAA's operation of Tutka Lagoon Hatchery fully complies with Borough, State and Federal law. Environmental analysis of the hatchery stocking program is included in ADF&G's Statewide Stocking Plan.

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Through auditing CIAA's operating contract and administering the Alaska private nonprofit hatchery program, ADF&G will, in the course of normal business, monitor CIAA's performance as a hatchery operator.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

None of the following budget would be used for debt retirement because CIAA has no Tutka Lagoon Hatchery debt load.

Personnel	145.3
Travel	11.2
Contractual	43.0
Commodities	215.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	414.5
Less Fish Sale Revenue	- <u>104.2</u>
Project Total	310.3

FY94 BUDGET (\$K), ALL PARTS COMBINED

Operating expenses for all three aquaculture associations have been combined in the budget which follows.

	ADF&G
Personnel	13.4
Travel	0.0
Contractual	5,204.8
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	\$5,218.2
General Administration	<u>118.6</u>
Project Total	\$5,336.8
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Genetic Stock Identification of Kenai River Sockeye Salmon

Project Number: 94504

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$262.2K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0

Project Startup Date: September 1993

Duration: Closeout

Geographic Area: Kenai Peninsula Region

INTRODUCTION

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project to identify Kenai River sockeye salmon stocks.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The information gathered during this project will aid in management of Kenai River sockeye salmon to restore and/or provide a replacement for salmon stocks injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project report.

B. Objectives

This project identifies Kenai River, Kasilof River, and Susitna River salmon stocks in order to protect Kenai stocks and allow commercial harvest of other healthy stocks. As a closeout project, the funding includes laboratory analysis of samples collected in summer 1993. A final report will be prepared for the peer-review process and presentation to the Trustee Council.

C. Methods

Laboratory analysis of allozymes identifying various stocks will be done by the ADF&G Genetics laboratory. The analysis will involve thousands of samples collected in summer 1993 during Project #93012.

D. Location

Kenai Peninsula.

E. Technical Support

Computer, GIS, and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Lab work will be performed at the ADF&G Genetics laboratory.

F. Contracts

Maintenance contracts for laboratory equipment. Phone, postage, and other office maintenance contracts.

SCHEDULES

Table 1. Schedule of activities from September 1993 through April 1994:

October 1993-April 1994	Final data analysis
May-June 1994	Prepare final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project received a categorical exclusion under NEPA compliance regulations in 1993.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	186.5
Travel	12.0
Contractual	10.0
Commodities	25.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	233.5
General Administration	<u>28.7</u>
Project Total	262.2
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Habitat Protection Information for Anadromous Streams and Marbled Murrelets

Project Number: 94505

Lead Agency: USDA-FS

Cooperating Agency: ADF&G, USDI-F&WS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$406.0K

Cost of Project, FY95 \$0.0K

Project Startup Date: October 1993

Duration: Closeout project

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project, 93051, to collect habitat information to restore resources and services injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. Information on Marbled Murrelet nesting habitat, and anadromous streams was collected during the 1993 field season. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project reports.

B. Objectives

The primary objectives of this project are as follows:

1. survey anadromous fish distribution and document the total number and extent of anadromous fish streams on lands being considered for habitat protection
2. determine habitat features that are reliable indicators of high density murrelet nesting areas in the spill-affected area
3. determine the feasibility of using radio telemetry to determine nesting habitat of murrelets in the spill-affected area
4. develop channel typing procedures that will allow comparative evaluation of stream habitat on private and public lands.

C. Methods

Data analysis and mapping will be performed. Final reports will be prepared.

D. Location

Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska

E. Technical Support

Computer, GIS, and statistical support will be provided by agency personnel. Some training of project personnel on GIS will be required.

F. Contracts

Maintenance contracts for field and office equipment will be needed.

SCHEDULES

October 1993 to March 1994

Final data analysis

March 1994 to April 1994

Produce final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project was categorically exempt from documentation in an EA or EIS under Forest Service NEPA implementing regulations in 1993.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G	USFS	USFWS	TOTAL
Personnel	112.7	150.7	63.0	326.4
Travel	0.0	3.3	0.0	3.3
Contractual	5.5	7.9	0.2	13.6
Commodities	1.2	2.0	1.0	4.2
Equipment	0.8	7.0	0.8	8.6
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	120.2	170.9	65.0	356.1
General Administration	<u>17.3</u>	<u>23.2</u>	<u>9.5</u>	<u>49.9</u>
Project Total	137.5	194.1	74.5	406.0
NEPA Compliance	0.0			

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Stream Habitat Assessment

Project Number: 94505A

Lead Agency: ADF&G

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$137.5K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0

Project Startup Date: September 1993

Duration: Closeout

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska

INTRODUCTION

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project to assess instream habitat in order to restore resources and services injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. Resources and/or Associated Services

The information gathered during this project will aid in habitat protection for resources and services injured by the spill. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project report.

B. Objectives

The primary objective of this project is to survey anadromous fish distribution and document the total number and extent of anadromous fish streams on candidate lands. A final project will be produced for the peer-review process and presentation to the Trustee Council.

C. Methods

Data synthesis and map digitizing will be performed at ADF&G's Habitat and Restoration division. Maps showing the location and extent of streams surveyed during the 1993 field work will be verified and/or modified as needed. The streams will be added to the ADF&G Anadromous Stream Catalog. Project personnel will coordinate with the Trustee Council Habitat Protection Staff to identify anadromous fish habitat on private candidate lands.

D. Location

Prince William Sound and the Gulf of Alaska.

E. Technical Support

Computer, GIS, and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's (ADF&G) Division of Habitat and Restoration.

F. Contracts

Maintenance contracts for field and office equipment.

SCHEDULES

Table 1. Schedule of activities from September 1993 through April 1994:

Oct. 1993 - Mar. 1994	Final data analysis
Apr. - June 1994	Produce final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project received a categorical exclusion under NEPA compliance regulations in 1993.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADF&G
Personnel	112.7
Travel	0.0
Contractual	5.5
Commodities	1.2
Equipment	0.8
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	120.2
General Administration	17.3
Project Total	<u>137.5</u>
NEPA Compliance	0.0

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Marbled Murrelet Habitat Identification

Project Number: 94505B

Lead Agency: DOI-USFWS

Cooperating Agency: USFS

Cost of Project, FY94: \$138.3K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0

Project Startup Date: September 1993

Duration: Closeout

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, and the Kodiak Island and Alaska Peninsula Regions

INTRODUCTION

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project to identify candidate areas within the spill-affected region for habitat protection/acquisition in order to restore the Marbled Murrelet populations.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Resources and/or Associated Services

The information gathered during this project will aid in restoration of marbled murrelet populations injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project report.

2. Objectives

A final report will be prepared for the peer-review process and presentation to the Trustee Council.

3. Methods

The report will present information collected in summer 1993 during Project #93051.

4. Location

Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, and the Gulf of Alaska.

5. Technical Support

Computer and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.

6. Contracts

Software maintenance.

SCHEDULES

Table 1. Schedule of activities from September 1993 through April 1994:

Oct. 1993-Mar. 1994	Final data analysis
Mar-Apr. 1994	Prepare final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project received a categorical exclusion under NEPA compliance regulations in 1993.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS	USFS	TOTAL
Personnel	63.0	46.8	109.8
Travel	0.0	3.3	3.3
Contractual	0.2	2.5	2.7
Commodities	1.0	2.0	3.0
Equipment	0.8	2.0	2.8
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	65.0	56.6	121.6
General Administration	<u>9.5</u>	<u>7.2</u>	<u>16.7</u>
Project Total	74.5	63.8	138.3
NEPA Compliance	0.0		

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Stream Channel Typing

Project Number: 94505C

Lead Agency: USFS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$130.3K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0

Project Startup Date: September 1993 **Duration:** Closeout

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, and the Kodiak Island and Alaska Peninsula Regions

INTRODUCTION

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project to determine forest cover characteristics of streams on lands being considered for habitat protection/acquisition.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Resources and/or Associated Services

The information gathered during this project will aid in restoration of resources and services injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. This project will aid in the restoration of anadromous fish and marbled murrelets. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project report.

2. Objectives

A final report will be prepared for the peer-review process and presentation to the Trustee Council.

3. Methods

The report will present information collected in summer 1993 during Project #93051.

4. Location

Prince William Sound, Kenai Peninsula, and the Gulf of Alaska.

5. Technical Support

Computer and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the United States Forest Service.

6. Contracts

A contract will provide for training in Geographic Information System data entry and digitizing methods for the data gathered during the 1993 field season.

SCHEDULES

Table 1. Schedule of activities from September 1993 through April 1994:

Oct. 1993-Mar. 1994	Final data analysis
Mar-Apr. 1994	Prepare final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project received a categorical exclusion under NEPA compliance regulations in 1993.

Project Description

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFS
Personnel	103.9
Travel	0.0
Contractual	5.4
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	5.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	114.3
General Administration	<u>16.0</u>
Project Total	130.3
NEPA Compliance	0.0

This page intentionally left blank.

EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Title: Pigeon Guillemot Recovery

Project Number: 94506

Lead Agency: DOI-USFWS

Cooperating Agency: None

Cost of Project, FY94: \$13.9K

Cost of Project, FY95: \$0.0

Project Startup Date: September 1993

Duration: Closeout

Geographic Area: Prince William Sound

INTRODUCTION

This project is the closeout of an ongoing project to identify breeding areas of pigeon guillemots in Prince William Sound which may need protection or other restoration action.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Resources and/or Associated Services

The information gathered during this project will aid in management of pigeon guillemot populations injured by the *Exxon Valdez* oil spill. The current funding request is for closeout costs and preparation of the final project report.

2. Objectives

A final report will be prepared for the peer-review process and presentation to the Trustee Council.

3. Methods

The report will present information collected in summer 1993 during Project #93034.

4. Location

Prince William Sound (primarily the western portion).

5. Technical Support

Computer and statistical support will be provided by project personnel from the Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.

6. Contracts

None.

SCHEDULES

Table 1. Schedule of activities from September 1993 through April 1994:

Oct. 1993-Mar. 1994	Final data analysis
Mar-Apr. 1994	Prepare final report

EXISTING AGENCY PROGRAM

None.

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE/PERMIT/COORDINATION STATUS

This project received a categorical exclusion under NEPA compliance regulations in 1993.

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	USFWS
Personnel	9.7
Travel	2.7
Contractual	0.0
Commodities	0.0
Equipment	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>
Subtotal	12.4
General Administration	<u>1.5</u>
Project Total	13.9
NEPA Compliance	0.0

ADMINISTRATION AND PROJECT SUPPORT

A. Administration-General

It is the intent of the Trustee Council to make use of existing agency administrative structures to keep administrative costs to a minimum. Each of the Trustee agencies already has administrative, personnel and financial management systems that will be used to the maximum extent possible for this purpose. Each project is assigned to a lead agency to provide oversight and the necessary financial structure. The Trustee Council recently adopted a 12 month Administrative Budget with two alterations which are not reflected in the budget figures which follow: (1) the existing budget has been reduced by 17% for the first 3 month period of the fiscal year and, (2) a minimum of a 15% reduction will be made in the remaining 9 month budget period as a new organizational structure is implemented. Administrative costs include the following:

1. Office of Executive Director - The budget for the Executive Director includes salaries, benefits, travel, office space, supplies, printing costs, contractual services, utilities, and other such items as may be necessary for efficient operation of the Trustee Council, Executive Director staff, and work groups as needed.
2. Restoration Team - A new organizational structure is being developed which will replace the Restoration Team in order to improve the efficiency of Trustee Council staff.
3. Financial Committee - The membership of this Committee is comprised of three State and three federal representatives. The committee reports directly to the Trustee Council and is responsible for developing fiscal procedures, adherence to the procedures, and ensuring overall fiscal standards for accountability, and efficiency. The budget for this standing committee includes personnel related costs, travel, contractual items, commodities, equipment and other miscellaneous items to support the respective Trustee agency personnel.
4. Public Advisory Group - The Public Advisory Group consists of 17 members, plus two ad-hoc members from the State Legislature, representing 12 principal interest groups and five members from the public-at-large. Staff support for the Group is provided by the Administrative Director's Office. The role of this group is to provide advice to the Trustee Council and Restoration Team on such items as the annual work plans, budgets and the Restoration Plan. The budget includes some of the administrative support expenses for the Group.

B. Project Support

1. Chief Scientist & Peer Reviewers - The Trustee Council and the project principal investigators need access to the best possible scientific knowledge and understanding concerning injured resources and services. This information has been provided continuously by the chief scientist and expert peer reviewers since early in the injury assessment process started in 1989. It is essential that this expertise be retained on an upon-request basis to provide the unbiased scientific review and continuity essential to perform the best possible scientific work.

2. Work Groups - The functions of these groups will be maintained but the actual groups will be either dissolved or combined into the new management structure.

- a. 1994 Work Plan - The objective of this work group is to develop a 1994 Work Plan through a process of public solicitation of proposals, analysis and evaluation. Personnel related costs, travel, per diem and printing are the costs involved. A Final Work Plan is scheduled to be completed in January 1994. The Group will then start on development of the 1995 Work Plan concurrent with the completion of the 1994 Work Plan.
- b. Habitat Protection - This Work Group has many objectives related to restoration through habitat protection such as the development of a Comprehensive Parcel Ranking Analysis for both small and large parcels, characterization of essential habitats linked to injured resources and services, and compilation of existing data sources and identification of needed data. All Trustee agencies are involved. Budgets reflect personnel, travel and per diem costs.

1994 Administrative and Restoration Team Support Budgets

PROJECT	ADEC	ADF&G	ADNR	USFS	DOI	NOAA	TOTAL
940ED - Executive Director's Office	661.7	0.0	637.6	1,041.3	0.0	0.0	2,340.6
940FC - Finance committee	27.1	20.6	32.1	36.9	15.7	32.7	165.1
94PAG - Public Advisory Group	30.0	0.0	0.0	28.4	123.6	0.0	181.9
940RT - Restoration Team Support	635.9	465.4	478.2	615.6	272.0	380.9	2,847.9

940ED - Executive Director's Office

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC	ADNR	USFS	TOTAL
Personnel	179.0	11.4	102.0	292.4
Travel	29.4	0.0	20.3	49.7
Contractual	330.0	600.0	869.8	1,799.8
Commodities	33.9	0.0	0.0	33.9
Equipment	47.0	0.0	4.0	47.4
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	619.3	611.4	992.1	2,222.8
General Administration	<u>46.0</u>	<u>26.2</u>	<u>45.2</u>	<u>117.4</u>
Project Total	665.3	637.6	1037.3	2,340.2

Project Description

940FC - Finance Committee

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC	ADF&G	ADNR	USFS	DOI	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	18.6	13.2	24.6	24.9	13.5	22.2	117.0
Travel	3.6	3.5	3.6	8.1	2.0	6.8	27.6
Contractual	1.5	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	3.0
Commodities	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.8
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	24.2	18.5	28.4	33.2	15.7	29.4	149.4
General Administration	<u>2.9</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>3.7</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>3.3</u>	<u>15.7</u>
Project Total	27.1	20.6	37.1	36.9	15.7	32.7	165.1

94PAG - Public Advisory Group

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC	USFS	DOI	TOTAL
Personnel	0.0	0.0	31.8	31.8
Travel	0.0	0.0	87.0	87.0
Contractual	28.0	19.8	0.0	47.8
Commodities	0.0	7.2	0.0	7.2
Equipment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	28.0	27.0	118.8	173.8
General Administration	<u>2.0</u>	<u>1.4</u>	<u>4.8</u>	<u>8.2</u>
Project Total	30.0	28.4	123.6	182.0

940RT - Restoration Team Support

FY94 BUDGET (\$K)

	ADEC	ADF&G	ADNR	USFS	DOI	NOAA	TOTAL
Personnel	330.4	324.7	338.0	327.7	251.1	243.9	1,815.8
Travel	77.8	50.4	26.5	81.2	8.8	80.0	324.7
Contractual	137.9	23.8	41.0	137.6	0.0	5.5	345.8
Commodities	20.8	10.1	14.4	7.3	0.7	3.0	56.3
Equipment	9.8	6.0	4.7	3.0	0.0	11.5	35.0
Capital Outlay	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>	<u>0.0</u>
Sub-total	576.7	415.0	424.6	556.8	260.6	343.9	2,577.6
General Administration	<u>59.2</u>	<u>50.4</u>	<u>53.6</u>	<u>58.8</u>	<u>11.4</u>	<u>37.0</u>	<u>270.4</u>
Project Total	635.9	465.4	478.2	615.6	272.0	380.9	2,848.0

INDEX

Subject	Page
Administration	
Budget	405
General	403
Project Support	404
Alaska Marine Research Institute (94199)	250
Archeology	
Artifact, repository planning and design (94386)	350
Site restoration (94007)	60
Site stewardship (94015)	66
Bird	
Colonies	
Disturbance reduction (murres, 94040)	82
Predator removal (94041)	86
Survey, marine boat (94159)	184
Black Oystercatcher (see Oystercatcher, black)	
Char, Dolly Varden	
Habitat restoration (94043)	90
Chinook Salmon (see Salmon, Chinook)	
Chum Salmon (see Salmon, Chum)	
Clams	
Recruitment	
Monitoring (Littlenecks, 94081)	124
Sand deposition (94068)	110
Coded Wire Tag	
Pink salmon	
Recoveries from (94185)	214
Tagging wild stocks (94185)	220
Common Murres (see Murres, Common)	
Cutthroat Trout (see Trout, Cutthroat)	
Director, Executive	403

Subject	Page
Dolly Varden (see Char, Dolly Varden)	
Duck, Harlequin Monitoring (94066)	104
Ecosystem Study plan (94320)	342
Executive Director (see Director, Executive)	
Finance Committee	403
Food Safety Testing, Subsistence (94279)	320
Forage Fish Injured species, influence on (94163)	190
<i>Fucus</i> Restoration, high intertidal (94070)	116
Guillemot, Pigeon Monitoring (94173)	210
Recovery (94506)	400
Genetics Herring (94165)	196
Salmon, pink (94189)	232
Salmon, sockeye (94504)	384
Habitat Information needs (94505)	388
Protection Acquisition fund (94126)	160
Data acquisition and support (94110)	154
Murrelets, Marbled (94505B)	
Restoration Cutthroat trout (94043)	90
Dolly Varden char (94043)	90
Salmon (94139)	170
Shrimp, spot, juvenile (94280)	326
Streams Assessment (94505A)	390
Channel Typing (94505C)	400
Harbor Seals (see Seals, Harbor)	

Subject	Page
Herring	
Reproductive impairment (94166)	202
Spawn deposition (94166)	202
Stock identification, genetic (94165)	196
Herring Bay	
Experimental Studies (94086)	134
Monitoring (94086)	134
Hydrocarbon	
Data analysis and interpretation (94290)	334
Institute, Alaska Marine Research (94199)	250
Killer Whales (see Whales, Killer)	
King Salmon (see Salmon, Chinook)	
Littleneck Clams (see Clams)	
Land	
Habitat Acquisition (94126)	160
Public, access easement identification (94200)	254
Marbled Murrelets (see Murrelets, Marbled)	
Monitoring (see also by species)	
Comprehensive (94147)	178
Murrelets, Marbled	
Monitoring (94102)	150
Murres, Common	
Population monitoring (94039)	76
Disturbance reduction near colonies(94040)	82
Mussels	
Beds	
Monitoring (94090)	142
Restoration (94090)	142
Oil	
Hydrocarbon data analysis and interpretation (94290)	334
Removal, shoreline (94266)	310
Waste disposal facilities (94417)	354

Subject	Page
Otolith Marking	
Stock separation, inseason (94187)	226
Otter, River	
Recovery monitoring (94237)	268
Otter, Sea	
Recovery monitoring (94246)	282
Subsistence harvest assistance (94244)	278
Survey, boat (94159)	184
Oystercatcher, black	
Intertidal interactions (94020)	70
Pacific Herring (see Herring)	
Pigeon Guillemot (see Guillemot, Pigeon)	
Project Support	404
Public Advisory Group	403
Recreation Plan	
Education Program, Leave No Trace (94419)	358
Gulf of Alaska, development (94216)	258
Prince William Sound, implementation (94217)	262
Recreation Information Center at Portage (94420)	364
Red Salmon (see Salmon, Sockeye)	
Restoration Team	
Budget	405
Rockfish	
Management plan development (94241)	274
Population dynamics (94241)	274
Rockweed (see <i>Fucus</i>)	
Salmon	
Instream habitat restoration (94139)	170
Stock restoration	
Common Property (94421)	368
General (94139)	170

Subject	Page
Salmon, Chum	
Stock Identification (94137)	164
Salmon, Chinook	
Chenega release program (94272)	316
Stock Identification (94137)	164
Salmon, Pink	
Alevin mortalities (94191)	238
Coded wire tag	
Recoveries from (94184)	214
Tagging wild (94185)	220
Egg mortalities (94191)	220
Genetics, stocks (94189)	232
Otolith marking for inseason stock separation (94187)	226
Straying, hatchery, effects on wild stocks (94192)	244
Stream evaluation on the Lower Kenai Peninsula (94345)	346
Salmon, Sockeye	
Genetic stock identification (94504)	384
Overescapement (94255)	294
Restoration	
Kenai River (94255)	286
Coghill Lake (94259)	304
Stock Identification (94137)	164
Seals, Harbor	
Habitat Use (94064)	96
Monitoring (94064)	96
Subsistence harvest assistance (94244)	278
Sediments, Subtidal Monitoring (94285)	330
Shrimp, Spot	
Juvenile habitat (94280)	326
Survey (94280)	326
Shoreline	
Assessment (94266)	310
Monitoring (94086)	134
Oil removal (94266)	310
Trash cleanup (94316)	338

Subject	Page
Sockeye Salmon (see Salmon, Sockeye)	
Streams (see also Salmon, Pink)	
Evaluation on the Lower Kenai Peninsula (94345)	346
Subsistence	
Food safety testing (94279)	320
Harvest assistance, seal and sea otter (94244)	278
Subtidal	
Sediment, recovery monitoring (94285)	330
Trout, Cutthroat	
Habitat restoration (94043)	90
Whales, Killer	
Monitor recovery (94092)	146