1	EXXON VALDEZ	OIL SPILL
2	TRUSTEE C	OUNCIL
3	Public Med	eting
4	Monday, Augus	t 23, 2004
5	9:00 o'clo	ck a.m.
6	EVOS Of	fice
7	441 West 5th Aven	ue, Suite 500
8	Anchorage,	Alaska
9	TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT	:
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: (Chairwoman)	MS. DRUE PEARCE Senior Advisor to the Secretary for Alaskan Affairs U.S. Department of Interior
12 13	DEPARTMENT OF LAW:	MR. GREGG RENKES Attorney General State of Alaska
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, National Marine Fisheries Svc:	
	STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME:	MR. KEVIN DUFFY Commissioner
	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, U.S. FOREST SERVICE	MR. JOE MEADE Forest Supervisor Forest Service, AK Region
	STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION:	

<sup>22</sup> Proceedings electronically recorded, then transcribed by:

<sup>23</sup> Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, 3522 West 27th,

<sup>24</sup> Anchorage, AK 99517 - 243-0668

1	MOLICARE	COINCIT	כיווים עווים	PRESENT:
1	TRUSTEE	COUNCIL	STAFF	PKESENT:

2 MS. GAIL PHILLIPS	Executive Director
3 DR. PHIL MUNDY	Science Director
4 MR. RICHARD DWORSKY	Science Coordinator
5 MS. CHERRI WOMAC	Administrative Assistant
6 MS. PAULA BANKS	Administrative Assistant
7 MS. BRENDA RAMOS	Administrative Assistant
8 MR. ROD BOCHENCK	Data Systems Manager
9 MR. MIKE SCHLIE	Data Systems Assistant
10 MR. PETER HAGEN	NOAA
11 MR. CRAIG TILLERY	State of Alaska
12	Department of Law
13 MR. DOUG MUTTER	Department of Interior
14 MR. MICHAEL BAFFREY	Department of Interior
15 MS. CAROL FRIES	AKDNR
16 MS. MARIA LISOWSKI	General Council's Office
17 (Telephonically)	Department of Agriculture
18 MR. STEVE ZEMKE	U.S. Forest Service
19 MS. GINA BELT	Department of Justice
20 MS. DEDE BOHN	U.S. Geological Service
21 MR. DAVE IRONS	U.S. Geological Service
22 MR. BRETT HUBER	ADF&G
23 MR. TONY DEGANGE	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Svc.
24 MS. CARRIE HOLBA	ARLIS Librarian

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order	04
3	Approval of Agenda	23
4	Approval of Meeting Notes (May, 19, 2004)	23
5		
6	PUBLIC COMMENT	
7	There was no public comment	
8		
9	Executive Director's Report	07
10	Discussion of Additional Funds for Weingartner FY05	28
11	Discussion of Kodiak Waste Management Project	30
12	Discussion of Funds to Partially Support American	
13	Fisheries Society meeting in Anchorage	51
14	Discussion of Request to Transfer Funds to NOAA	
15	for Project 040772	61
16	Discussion of Request to Reimburse the Nature	
17	Conservancy	63
18	Approval of Public Advisory Committee Appointees	68
19	Discussion of Budgets	70
20	Discussion FY 2005-2007 Draft Work Plan	155
21	Adjournment	169

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (Anchorage, AK 8/23/04)
- 3 (On record 9:05 a.m.)
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Good morning. Let's
- 5 call the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council meeting to
- 6 order. It is August 23rd, 2004. It's shortly after 9:00
- 7 a.m. Trustee council members present at the moment are
- 8 Ernesta Ballard, Commissioner of Adak; Kevin Duffy,
- 9 Commissioner of Alaska Department of Fish and Game; James
- 10 Balsiger, National Marine Fishery Service; myself, Drue
- 11 Pearce from the Department of Interior; and Joe Meade from
- 12 the Forrest Service. Our sixth trustee, Attorney General
- 13 Gregg Renkes is not present yet but we'll go ahead with the
- 14 first few -- we can't do the approval of agenda because we
- 15 don't have all of our members so we'll go to public
- 16 comment.
- 17 Is there -- do we need to ask who's on line
- 18 or can we just go to public comment? Do you want us to
- 19 ask? Okay, could we please have a tally of who's on
- 20 teleconference so we will know who we have. I understand
- 21 we have Chuck Meacham, is that correct, Chuck?
- MR. MEACHAM: That is correct, I'm on line.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. And who
- 24 else do we have on line?
- MR. MULLINS: I'm Ross.....

- 1 MR. ADAMS: I'm Ken Adams.
- 2 MR. MULLINS: ....Mullins in Cordova.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, one more time.
- 4 Ken Adams I heard, and who else?
- 5 MR. MULLINS: Ross Mullins in Cordova.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay.
- 7 MR. ADAMS: Yeah, I said Ken Adams in
- 8 Cordova as well. We're from north -- speaking from two
- 9 different locations but we're....
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay. Anyone else on
- 11 line?
- MS. LISOWSKI: Maria Lisowski.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay. Anyone else?
- 14 (No audible responses)
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, thank you very
- 16 much. Do we have public comment this morning from here in
- 17 the room?
- 18 (No audible responses)
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: There is nobody seeking
- 20 to comment here in Anchorage, what about on line?
- MR. ADAMS: No particular comments, we're
- 22 just.....
- MS. BYRD: Nancy Byrd in....
- MR. ADAMS: ....wanting to listen in.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, Nancy.

- 1 MS. BYRD: Yes, I'm sorry, I had my mute
- 2 button on earlier when I tried to tell you I was here but I
- 3 would like to comment briefly.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, Nancy, you have
- 5 the floor.
- 6 MS. BYRD: My name is Nancy Byrd, I am
- 7 president of the Prince William Sound Science Center in
- 8 Cordova. And I just would like to speak in support of the
- 9 proposed FY05 work plan and would also like to offer my
- 10 appreciation to the staff for their responsiveness to
- 11 comments that we made on the earlier draft of this plan.
- 12 While I would like to see funds adding to this work plan
- 13 for the project proposed by Dr. Thomas Kline, titled
- 14 exchange between the Gulf of Alaska and Prince William
- 15 Sound. I understand the budget limitations at this point
- 16 in time. Dr. Kline is working with Dr. Mundy to both
- 17 adjust his bid and respond to the reviewer's comments on
- 18 this project. And we hope that it can be a part of a later
- 19 EVOS work plan. Regarding a second project, the shore zone
- 20 mapping for Prince William Sound, I'm very pleased that
- 21 this project is now recommended for funding in the FY05 and
- 22 FY 06 work plan. You may know this project began this
- 23 summer already with support provided from the Prince
- 24 William Sound Regional Citizen's Advisory Council. And the
- 25 maps/data collected will proof very useful for a wide

- 1 variety of purposes, from oil spill response to regional
- 2 planning.
- Finally I wanted to thank the Trustee
- 4 Council for adopting a process providing multi-year support
- 5 for research work. It's far more effective than the annual
- 6 appropriations of the past that the process used in the
- 7 past. Thanks for this opportunity to comment and thanks
- 8 again to the staff for their responsiveness to our previous
- 9 comments.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. Are there
- 11 any questions for Nancy? If not, I heard the telltale beep
- 12 that someone else came on the line. Is there someone else
- 13 who would like to provide public comment by teleconference?
- 14 (No audible responses)
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Hearing none, one more
- 16 time here in Anchorage. Anyone to provide public comment?
- 17 If not, we'll close the public comment and go to the
- 18 Executive Director's report, please.
- 19 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Madame Chairman.
- 20 The first item under the Executive Director's report is a
- 21 report of the PAC meeting that was held on July 21st, we
- 22 had an excellent PAC meeting. And I would like to call on
- 23 the PAC chairman, Chuck Meacham, to give a report on that
- 24 meeting.
- 25 MR. MEACHAM: Would you like me to do that

- 1 right now?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, please.
- 3 MR. MEACHAM: All right. First of all, I
- 4 would refer you to our July 21st PAC meeting summary.
- 5 That's probably in a tab there somewhere. But we have a
- 6 current membership of 17 and 14 of those were represented
- 7 at our PAC meeting, either in person or by teleconference.
- 8 Three were absent. So we had a good strong meeting. I
- 9 would note as well that Craig Tillery dropped by and we
- 10 greatly appreciated his attendance there for a period of
- 11 time while we met. That's always nice for the PAC to see.
- 12 We did take public comment and during the
- 13 public comment period, two people provided information on
- 14 specific projects. One was Ross Mullins, a fisherman from
- 15 Cordova and PI of another EVOS funded project. And he
- 16 spoke in favor of the Kline proposal. And we also heard
- 17 from Gail Vick of the Gulf of Alaska Coastal Communities
- 18 Coalition. And she spoke in favor of the project that they
- 19 had submitted.
- We noted as a PAC that there were 29
- 21 proposals and if the PAC were to go through each and every
- 22 one of those, there would have been less than 10 minutes to
- 23 devote to each proposal. And with 14 people there, each
- 24 making comments, it was unlikely that we would really be
- 25 able to do any of the proposals justice or even make it

- 1 through. So what we did, individual PAC members actually
- 2 identified those proposals, where they were in disagreement
- 3 with either the STAC Science Director or Executive Director
- 4 recommendations or they also identified projects that they
- 5 had strong feelings about and wanted to express either
- 6 positively or negatively. We in general felt it would be
- 7 of marginal benefit to spend much time on proposals on
- 8 which everyone was in agreement.
- 9 So first I'll just summarize our key points
- 10 and then go into some more additional detail on our
- 11 meeting. There were four projects identified where the PAC
- 12 recommendations were different from either the STAC Science
- 13 Director or Executive Director. One of those was the
- 14 Schoch proposal for which we supported funding. And
- 15 perhaps I think now that is universally supported. The
- 16 second was the Matkin proposal and we gave that
- 17 considerable discussion, which is outlined in our meeting
- 18 summary. But we simply could not reach consensus on the
- 19 Matkin proposal.
- 20 The third proposal was the Kline proposal
- 21 was the Kline proposal, for which we supported partial
- 22 funding now and consideration for additional funding later
- 23 on. And again, I'll provide some additional detail on that
- 24 shortly. The last proposal where we had a difference of
- 25 opinion with the staff there was the Logerwell proposal.

- 1 And for that particular proposal, we recommended do not
- 2 fund.
- 3 So I'd like to return now to our meeting
- 4 summary, where additional detailed information is
- 5 presented. And on Page 3 of that summary, we identify
- 6 individual proposals and some of the discussion that
- 7 occurred. With regard to the Schoch proposal, our
- 8 recommendation was in support of this project and we
- 9 provided additional direction that they needed to
- 10 collaborate with Alyeska on data exchange. We felt there
- 11 was additional information there that could be put to use.

12

- We discussed the Vick proposal. We did
- 14 agree with the staff, Executive Director and others in
- 15 terms of do not funding this proposal. But we also
- 16 encouraged Vick to work with McNutt and to submit a revised
- 17 proposal for FY 06. And we're suggesting that the Trustee
- 18 Council should strive to keep the coastal communities group
- 19 as an active participant in this EVOS work.
- 20 We discussed the Cooper proposal as well
- 21 and agreed that this project should be funded and similar
- 22 ones should be considered for Kodiak and for the Prince
- 23 William Sound area. As I indicated, we talked about the
- 24 Matkin proposal and had considerable discussion about it.
- 25 In the end we were unable to reach consensus. There were

- 1 members of the PAC that felt strongly it was best unfunded
- 2 and others that felt it was a high public profile issue and
- 3 should be funded but we as a PAC, did not reach consensus.
- 4 But we had a good open airing of the issues.
- 5 On the Bodkin proposal, the PAC recommended
- 6 that we fund this project or that you fund this project.
- 7 On the Kline proposal, we encourage funding for that
- 8 portion of the project that included the processing and
- 9 analysis of the existing samples that were collected and
- 10 that additional funding would be contingent upon the
- 11 availability of appropriate equipment being obtained before
- 12 the sampling period. And then recommended that the Trustee
- 13 Council consider a special allocation at that time for
- 14 funding the project.
- On the Otis proposal, we recommended do not
- 16 fund but the feeling was that herring are very important to
- 17 investigate and while we're unsure that this specific
- 18 technique is the best one to use, we encourage the project
- 19 investigator to take a look at the reviewer comments and
- 20 resubmit the project perhaps as a pilot study again next
- 21 year. And we further commented that the Trustee Council be
- 22 encouraged to consider herring proposals in the future
- 23 since this is still an injured species.
- 24 With regard to the Moffitt proposal, we
- 25 support its funding but there was question regarding the

- 1 timing of funding for insertion of the tags and then that
- 2 portion of the funding to actually look at the returning
- 3 fish. We didn't quite understand how that would happen
- 5 different year. But we do support the proposal.
- 6 With regard to the Logerwell proposal, here
- 7 we were at odds with all the other recommendations, at
- 8 least at that time and we recommended do not fund. And the
- 9 details again are outlined in our meeting summary. There
- 10 was a bit of discussion on the Irons proposal and the PAC
- 11 recommended that that be funded as well. With regard to
- 12 the remaining proposals, we had concurred with the
- 13 Executive Director's recommendations. Now some additional
- 14 discussion did take place on the McNutt and Shumacher
- 15 proposals, Szarzi and Willette proposals, and the Brodie
- 16 proposal. And those discussions are included in our
- 17 meeting summary on Page 5.
- 18 I would really like to thank you, the
- 19 Trustees, for your positive comments about the PAC and PAC
- 20 participation at your previous meeting. I think that
- 21 encouragement motivated the PAC to conduct one of the best
- 22 meetings that I've had the opportunity and privilege to
- 23 chair here in this last session. So your comments were
- 24 appreciated and I hope we've done a good job for you. We
- 25 sure gave it our best shot. And that concludes my meeting

- 1 summary.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you, Mr. Meacham.
- 3 Are there any questions? I would note that we have been
- 4 joined by the Attorney General. Any questions for Mr.
- 5 Meacham? Yes?
- 6 MS. BALLARD: I just have a comment. Since
- 7 I know it's on our agenda today to renew the PAC or to do
- 8 the new PAC assignments and I know Chuck has chaired for
- 9 some time. I want to thank him in the event he might not
- 10 be the chair in the future. I have no idea, Chuck, what
- 11 will transpire there, but it's appropriate to thank you for
- 12 the efforts you've put in.
- MR. MEACHAM: Thank you very much. It's
- 14 truly been a privilege.
- 15 MR. MEADE: I would certainly second that.
- 16 This is Joe Meade and in the time I've been here, Chuck,
- 17 you have been a source of invaluable information for me
- 18 getting myself up to speed with what it is that the board
- 19 focuses on and certainly in gleaning the insights from the
- 20 Public Advisory Committee.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Any other comments?
- 22 (No audible responses)
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you very much,
- 24 Chuck.
- MR. MEACHAM: Thank you.

- 1. MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chuck.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ARLIS.
- 3 MS. PHILLIPS: Madame Chairman, would you
- 4 call -- there's more people that came on line. If you
- 5 would ask.....
- CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, I understand that
- 7 we have more people who have joined us on line.
- 8 Previously, Mr. Mullin, Mr. Adams, Ms. Byrd identified
- 9 themselves. Who else is on line?
- 10 MS. MITCHELL: Tracy Mitchell with Kodiak
- 11 Island Borough.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, Tracy. Thank
- 13 you. Anyone else?
- 14 MS. SIGMUND: Marilyn Sigmund from the
- 15 Center for Alaskan Coastal Studies.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Good morning, Marilyn.
- 17 Thank you. Anyone else that you know of, Gail?
- MS. PHILLIPS: I just heard two.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, thank you.
- 21 Executive Director's report is an update on the ARLIS move.
- 22 You do have a report in your binder from Carrie Holba, our
- 23 librarian, as to the move and I would like to ask her to
- 24 come forward and just give a brief update as to where we
- 25 are today with the ARLIS move.

- 1 MS. HOLBA: Good morning. Well, as you
- 2 know, ARLIS is moving onto the University of Alaska
- 3 Anchorage campus as part of the new library complex there.
- 4 We'll be occupying 20,000 square feet and we're in the
- 5 process of moving right now. We began the move on Monday,
- 6 August 9th and it's still underway. We're hoping that the
- 7 mover's portion will be complete by the end of the week and
- 8 of course then the library staff will have a lot more work
- 9 to do before we reopen on September 7th.
- 10 We're about three-quarters of the way
- 11 complete with moving the contents. We've moved our
- 12 journals collection, our reference collections, special
- 13 collections, two-thirds of the circulating collection and a
- 14 third of the cataloguing backlog in our store room. We've
- 15 also moved the contents of the power files. This includes
- 16 the Trustee Council public record and other EVOS materials.
- 17 And we've moved most of the offices and work stations.
- 18 We still have to move our map cases, the
- 19 remaining store room contents, our collections of furs,
- 20 skulls, mounted birds and environmental education kits, the
- 21 remaining circulation collection and some furniture and
- 22 equipment. After that, anything that we will no longer
- 23 need will be surplused or discarded. Our shelving, which
- 24 was in poor condition, and our power files will be
- 25 dismantled and taken to metal recycling. The map cabinets,

- 1 we have 10 of those that we've acquired from various
- 2 agencies over the years and they were in various states of
- 3 disrepair. But we've refurbished them and they've been
- 4 painted electro-statically to match and look uniform.
- 5 They'll be housed in wooden enclosures that were custom
- 6 made by Bailey's furniture and that will provide a uniform
- 7 counter top to create a work space where people can pull
- 8 out maps and spread them out to work on.
- 9 Two of the map enclosures will have locking
- 10 doors and those will house the EVOS map cases so that those
- 11 materials are secure after hours. The power file contents
- 12 have been moved over to the new library and 30 new locking
- 13 file cabinets were delivered on Friday. Now my job is to
- 14 move the contents from, there are 135 boxes, into those
- 15 filing cabinets. So that's going to keep me busy for
- 16 awhile. There has been a contract in place now for the
- 17 construction and installation of a custom display case that
- 18 will go across the front window of the library and that
- 19 will house some of our mounted birds.
- The computer network has been moved and is
- 21 up and running and stable. Most of the staff computers are
- 22 set up. There were some delays in this process, primarily
- 23 due to delays by the UAA IT services staff but our network
- 24 administrator personally moved to the server and there was
- 25 very little down time for the ARLIS website.

- Our telephone system is currently in place.
- 2 We're required to use the university phone utility. So
- 3 this means that our phone numbers will change to 786
- 4 numbers however we will be having our main ARLIS numbers
- 5 forwarded so that anyone who currently has our numbers will
- 6 be able to dial those and get us in our new location. And
- 7 right now there are some problems with that forwarding but
- 8 the phone people are working on that and that should be
- 9 resolved soon.
- 10 We're having patron counters installed at
- 11 the four entrances into the ARLIS space. These will count
- 12 entrances and exits from each of those entrances and allow
- 13 us to track usage in the space and those are in the process
- 14 of being installed right now.
- 15 We took efforts to inform our patrons of
- 16 what was happening with the move and how to find us in our
- 17 new location. There's information on our website,
- 18 including a map to our new location. Prior to the move we
- 19 sent out email announcements to the founding agency staff
- 20 patrons so that they could plan their research needs for
- 21 our closure. We also have paper handouts, including a map,
- 22 and these were distributed with each checked out book prior
- 23 to the move and they're also available on the front door at
- 24 the old location. And there was a voice mail announcement
- 25 on our ARLIS line, notifying people of our move and how to

- 1 find us.
- We had some security issues. We thought
- 3 that the fire doors to the space would be down while we
- 4 were moving in and that would kind of keep people out of
- 5 the space so that we didn't have to secure everything right
- 6 away as that is difficult while you're moving things in.
- 7 But that was not the case. The UAA staff did put up velvet
- 8 ropes across the entrances and employees only signs and in
- 9 addition, we sort of piled up tables and boxes across those
- 10 entrances to discourage people from coming in. It's also a
- 11 hazard for people to be in there when the large moving
- 12 carts are going through the library.
- During the intersession, the library
- 14 building is open from 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 o'clock p.m. and we
- 15 have an ARLIS employee present on the premises at all of
- 16 those hours. August 26th, the hours will return to 7:30
- 17 a.m. to 11:00 o'clock p.m. but by that time, we hope to
- 18 have all of the materials that we're worried about secured.
- 19 Namely, computers, our special collections, furs and
- 20 skulls, walrus tusks and things of that nature.
- 21 As I said, we plan to reopen September 7th,
- 22 which is the Tuesday after Labor Day weekend and we'll
- 23 reopen at 8:00 a.m. Prior to that, we hope to give our
- 24 founder's board a tour of the new space, once we're a
- 25 little prettier. And the Trustee Council members are most

- 1 welcome to attend as well. There will be a grand opening
- 2 for the entire library complex, and that is scheduled for
- 3 October 8th, and that will include ARLIS, the University of
- 4 Alaska Anchorage APU Consortium Library, the Health
- 5 Sciences Information Center and the Alaska Moving Images
- 6 Preservation Association.
- 7 And I'd just like to say that moving a
- 8 library is difficult under the best of circumstances. We
- 9 have a really wonderful, hard working staff at ARLIS and
- 10 we've been most fortunate to have an excellent crew of
- 11 movers. And these two groups have made the move about as
- 12 smooth as it could be under the circumstance. We'd also
- 13 like to take this time to thank our founding agencies and
- 14 the Trustee Council for their support and patience during
- 15 our move. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. Any
- 17 questions?
- 18 MR. RENKES: And you're going to be
- 19 finished by the last week of August?
- 20 MS. HOLBA: Yeah, we will be open September
- 21 7th, so....
- MR. RENKES: September 7th, okay.
- MS. HOLBA: .....until that time, we'll be
- 24 frantically putting things away.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Carrie.

- 1 MS. HOLBA: Okay, thank you very much.
- MS. PHILLIPS: The next item under the
- B Executive Director's report is a draft meeting time line.
- 4 If you'll turn to the tabbed item on that, tentative
- 5 meeting dates. One of the thing that staff has been
- 6 working on lately is a matrix of all the jobs that we're
- 7 responsible for and trying to set that into a time line so
- 8 that we can all keep on track. And we've prepared a draft
- 9 time line of meeting dates for you so that you have some
- 10 idea of when your services are going to be needed during
- 11 the coming year. And at this point in time, it's still in
- 12 a draft form.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Any questions or
- 14 comments?
- MR. RENKES: We'll have a chance to look at
- 16 this and then provide you some feedback?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mark your calendars.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Right.
- MR. RENKES: Yeah.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, science
- 22 coordinator.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, and the last thing on
- 24 my report is I'd to introduce our new science coordinator,
- 25 Richard Dworsky. Richard, if you would stand up, please.

- 1 We're very please to have Richard on line and I know he's
- 2 going to be a big asset to this organization.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Welcome. Do you have
- 4 any comments you'd like to make to the Council?
- 5 MR. DWORSKY: Well, it's a pleasure to be
- 6 here. The Council has done just an absolutely incredible
- 7 amount of work in the intervening years and I look forward
- 8 to help you continue that process and help you make some
- 9 conclusionary discussions where the Council and the --
- 10 where you want to go in the future. My resume is in the
- 11 book. I've been here 25 years. I worked for BLM for --
- 12 retired from BLM as their project manager and their chief
- 13 of planning. I have advance degrees. It's a pleasure to
- 14 be here.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. Any
- 16 questions? Mr. Balsiger?
- DR. BALSIGER: I got a bachelor's in
- 18 forestry from Michigan Tech in '66, so we're -- different
- 19 university.
- MR. DWORSKY: Close enough.
- DR. BALSIGER: Yeah, okay.
- MR. DWORSKY: Close enough.
- DR. BALSIGER: So you can feel at home a
- 24 little bit.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anything else?

- 1 MR. DWORSKY: It's not as cold here.
- MR. MEADE: Just note that I'm pleased that
- 3 Dr. Balsiger didn't recognize the importance of a forestry
- 4 background.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Great. Thank you and
- 6 welcome.
- 7 MR. DWORSKY: Yes, ma'am.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Let's go back now to
- 9 Item 1 on our agenda. After the call of order was the
- 10 approval of the agenda. Do we have a motion on the agenda?
- 11 Mr. Duffy.
- 12 MR. DUFFY: Well, I would like to propose a
- 13 modification to the agenda to move the executive session,
- 14 which is listed as number 11, move that up immediately
- 15 following the conclusion of the Executive Director's
- 16 report.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Would you make that in
- 18 the form of a motion?
- MR. DUFFY: Move to amend the Trustee
- 20 Council agenda to move the executive session to number 4 on
- 21 the list following the Executive Director's report.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And then leave
- 23 everything in order?
- MR. DUFFY: And everything else will be in
- 25 order.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion, do we
- 2 have a second?
- 3 MR. MEADE: I'd be pleased to second that.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a second by Mr.
- 5 Meade. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
- 6 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 8 (No audible responses)
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The ayes have it so the
- 10 agenda has been approved as amended and we also need to
- 11 approve our meeting notes of May 19th, 2004. That was the
- 12 joint meeting. Madame, as the Executive Director, are
- 13 there any notations or changes that you know of?
- MS. PHILLIPS: No, there aren't.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, do we have a
- 16 motion to approve?
- MR. DUFFY: I would move to approve the May
- 18 19th Trustee Council PAC meeting notes in front of us.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion, do we
- 20 have a second?
- MS. BALLARD: Second.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And seconded by
- 23 Commissioner Ballard. All those in favor say aye.
- IN UNISON: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I'm sorry, Mr.

- 1 Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: I was wondering what -- I
- 3 noted the PAC has comments here of several pages that I
- 4 assume that we're not including in this motion. I think
- 5 they're valuable but I don't know that we need to adopt
- 6 those as part of this. I wanted to clarify if the motion
- 7 included those. And I presume the motion includes just
- 8 these pages which are the meeting notes but not these
- 9 comments or whatever they're called.
- 10 MR. DUFFY: That's correct. My intent was
- 11 to approve the meeting notes. Only I would also note for
- 12 the Trustee Council that in comparing some notes that I
- 13 took to the meeting notes, the order or the timing didn't
- 14 seem to be entirely consistent but I think they captured
- 15 the flavor of the discussion. So with that, I was willing
- 16 to move forward approving them.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So we have a motion on
- 18 the table to approve the minutes, that's we're approving,
- 19 not necessarily the associated notes. We have a second by
- 20 Ms. Ballard. We had a question, is -- all those in favor,
- 21 aye.
- 22 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 24 (No audible responses)
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: With that, the minutes

- 1 are approved, which would take us to executive session.
- 2 Madame Executive Director, what is our timing for a break?
- MS. PHILLIPS: We do need to break at 10:00
- 4 o'clock for purpose of taking a photograph. So we'll go
- 5 until then.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay. Mr. Duffy, are
- 7 you going to make the motion for executive session or
- 8 who's....
- 9 MR. DUFFY: Sure.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....who has that?
- 11 Well, what is our expected timing so we can let people
- 12 know?
- 13 MR. DUFFY: I would guess 11:00 o'clock,
- 14 since we're taking a break in the middle of executive
- 15 session for a photograph, I would venture to say we'll be
- 16 back on the record as a Trustee Council public meeting
- 17 about 11:00 o'clock, would be my guess.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And what staff would
- 19 you propose to have with us in executive session?
- MS. PHILLIPS: We would need the legal
- 21 staff.....
- MR. DUFFY: Legal staff.
- MS. PHILLIPS: ....with us.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, and what about
- 25 individual staff from nine of the 12 agencies?

- 1 MR. DUFFY: I'm comfortable with staff
- 2 representing the agencies sitting in on the executive
- 3 session, personally.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay, Mr. Duffy, do you
- 5 have a motion?
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Move to have the Trustee
- 7 Council go into executive session at 9:30 a.m. and to
- 8 reconvene the public meeting at approximately 11:00 a.m., I
- 9 should say, and 9:30 a.m.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And I believe our
- 11 motion has to have a purpose.
- 12 MR. DUFFY: The purpose of the executive
- 13 session was to discuss some legal matters in front of the
- 14 Trustee Council as well as personnel issues.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion to go
- 16 into executive session. Do we have a second?
- MR. TILLERY: Second.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a second. All
- 19 those in favor?
- 20 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 22 (No audible responses)
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: With that, we will go
- 24 into executive session. I expect us not to come back into
- 25 full public session until at least 11:00 o'clock. And with

- 1 that, we're in executive session.
- 2 (Off record 9:35 a.m.)
- 3 (On record 12:50 p.m.)
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We'll come back to
- 5 order. It's 10 minutes until 1:00 and we do need a motion
- 6 to go back into open session. Commissioner Duffy.
- 7 MR. DUFFY: Madame Chair, I move to
- 8 conclude executive session and go back into the general
- 9 open session at 10 till 1:00.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Do we have a second?
- 11 MR. TILLERY: Second.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a second. All
- 13 those in favor say aye.
- MR. TILLERY: Madame Chair, can I just
- 15 point out that during the executive session the Council
- 16 discussed those issues. It mentioned legal matters and
- 17 personnel matters before we went into session.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor of
- 19 going back into public session?
- 20 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Or anyone opposed?
- 22 (No audible responses)
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: With that, we would
- 24 also note for the record, that Mr. Tillery is presently
- 25 sitting in the State seat of the Department of Law. We are

- 1 at Item Number 4, discussion and approval of additional
- 2 funds for Weingartner Fiscal Year '05 project. There is a
- 3 tab. Madame Executive Secretary. Nuicter
- 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Madame Chairman.
- 6 an increase of \$6,297 for this project, which is long term
- 7 monitoring of Alaska coastal currents. I would ask Paula
- 8 Banks to come forward and give a brief explanation and
- 9 answer any questions on this. Come forward, please.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Ms. Banks.
- MS. BANKS: Good afternoon. For the
- 12 record, my last name is Banks, B-A-N-K-S. This is an '04
- 13 project. They're requesting additional funds for '05 of
- 14 \$6,267. And this is an increase over what they originally
- 15 had requested in '04 and actually they didn't actually
- 16 request any monies for '05 and '06. It was decided during
- 17 the discussion of the work plan in '04 that we would give
- 18 them additional funds for '05 and '06 and it was the
- 19 Council's decision to just give them what they had asked
- 20 for in '04 and that the PI would come back with a revised
- 21 budget. And the revised budget was received late in '04.
- 22 And when they reviewed what they were going to do in '05
- 23 and '06, they found that they would need additional --
- 24 \$6,300, basically. And that was an increase in personnel
- 25 to justify, you know, cost of living increase and a slight

- 1 increase in travel. And then for a research vessel, the
- 2 Little Dipper. Originally in '04, the project was approved
- 3 late in the year and so there wasn't as many months on the
- 4 research vessel as there will be in '05. And so they
- 5 needed extra funds for that. So that's what they're asking
- 6 for.
- 7 There was a change in '06 as well, but
- 8 that's a decrease and it won't -- it doesn't require
- 9 Council approval for reduction. But this is in addition to
- 10 the 75,000 that they had originally requested.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Are there questions for
- 12 Ms. Banks?
- MS. BALLARD: Are you ready for a motion?
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We are, I believe.
- MS. BALLARD: Move approval.
- MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: There's a motion to
- 18 approve and a second. Any discussion?
- 19 (No audible responses)
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor,
- 21 signify by saying aye.
- 22 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 24 (No audible responses)
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries. Next

- 1 is the discussion and approval of the extension of the
- 2 Kodiak Waste Management Project.
- 3 MS. PHILLIPS: Madame Chairman, I would ask
- 4 Brenda to come forward and give a brief introduction of
- 5 this project and then call on the Kodiak folks.
- 6 MS. RAMOS: I'm here to introduce the
- 7 Kodiak Island Waste Management Project. Here today to give
- 8 a short presentation is Pat Carlson with the Kodiak Island
- 9 Borough, he's the manager. And also, I'm not sure if Tracy
- 10 -- are you on line?
- 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello.
- MS. RAMOS: Tracy?
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Tracy, are you on line?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Is that Tracy on line?
- MS. RAMOS: She may not be on line right
- 16 now but'I'll try and call her. She is the project manager
- 17 since '02 for the project with EVOS and with the Kodiak
- 18 Island Borough.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Carlson.
- 20 MR. CARLSON: Madame Chair, members of the
- 21 Council, with your indulgence, could I hand out -- this is
- 22 kind of a synopsis. I believe this is in your packets but
- 23 it's a shorter synopsis of the presentation I'll be putting
- 24 on. Is this working, ma'am?
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: That's -- yes, so --

- yes.
- 2 REPORTER: It's only for recording.
- 3 (Pause)
- MR. CARLSON: While we're waiting, with Δ
- your indulgence, you know, a lot of people ask not -- I
- think a lot of us were here in '89, I had just taken a job
- in Kodiak a month before the Exxon Valdez oil spill. You
- 8 know, having worked in the oil field industry with the tugs
- and barges, I had been exposed to what that means to have a
- 10 vessel that size run aground. And as bad as it was, and it
- 11 was horrible in Valdez, the problem we had in the Kodiak
- 12 region was we were downstream.
- 13 And we received stuff that they had -- a
- 14 lot of folks called mosse or mosse patties, which sounds
- 15 fairly benign but it's not. A lot of us, certainly my two mouse

  16 kids, were playing in the mose and a piece of mose the
- 17 size of your thumbnail would make you look like you had
- 18 your hands dipped in crank case oil. It was that special,
- 19 I'd guess you'd call it, because the way it reorganized
- 20 itself chemically. And a big morse patty was obviously a
- 21 big mess.
- 22 The point I'm making to that though is that
- 23 I think of the about 900 miles of coastline that we have in
- 24 the Kodiak Island Borough, which includes parts of the
- 25 Alaska peninsula, we had far less of it that escaped the

1 oiling. All of it virtually received the moose and in some

- 2 cases direct impact. So for that reason, the Kodiak
- 3 region, also because it's so dependent on fisheries, has
- 4 always been heavily concerned and heavily involved with the
- 5 issue. I've got a map here that we put together back in
- 6 the summer of '89 and it was drawn from all the multiple
- 7 databases and obviously everybody had different stories.
- 8 But it shows the react -- what happened
- 9 with the shoreline surveys. And if you can see the areas
- 10 in red, you can see why the Kodiak region is considered
- 11 obviously intricately related to the oil spill.
- 12 That being said, we're here today -- I'm
- 13 here today actually on behalf of our smaller communities.
- 14 This waste management plan was put forward as a progressive
- 15 relationship between Kodiak Area Native Association, which
- 16 is our regional housing authority -- I mean, I'm sorry, our
- 17 regional Native association for handling the civil and
- 18 social affairs of the communities, tribal organizations.
- 19 The Kodiak Island Borough, the State of Alaska through
- 20 Department of Environmental Conservation and the United
- 21 States Coast Guard. The original plan is very
- 22 comprehensive, very well done. Took awhile to do. It's
- 23 paid for. And we have been attempting in fits and starts
- 24 for initially at least to follow that plan.
- 25 And if I may, did you notice -- like I

- 1 said, this plan is extended I think two other times. But
- 2 it's the -- the decision is that it would end in 2004
- 3 without an extension. When I started my job as manager in
- 4 2002, we worked with the various folks involved and created
- 5 what I considered to be traction for the program. And
- 6 we've moved forward on a number of different issues that
- 7 are in the plan since then. The major change that we had
- 8 was to move from a contractor to a full time -- well, it's
- 9 the staff person that's 50 percent for the borough services
- 10 and then 50 percent for funding this project. It's totally
- 11 related. And that's Tracy Mitchell, who's on line, and she
- 12 can answer technical questions, should you have them.
- Our concern is that now after a couple of
- 14 years of traction, despite the history of the program
- 15 having taken awhile to get to that point, we would lose
- 16 what we consider to be a sound exit strategy. Our simple
- 17 request would be that you allow us to move forward with the
- 18 existing funds and the existing strategy for closeout and
- 19 allow us one more year to complete that. So that would put
- 20 us into the fall of next year.
- 21 Now as you know, the intent of the program
- 22 was to basically address marine pollution from land based
- 23 resources, enhance waste management practices in the
- 24 smaller communities and secure participation by local
- 25 communities to be involved in the decision making process.

- One of the reasons I thought I'd come up
- 2 here today and I really appreciate you taking the time to
- 3 allow me to speak, is whatever happens with this program, I
- 4 hope you go away with knowing that this was a positive
- 5 result. That this was money well spent. If for no other
- 6 reason is this program will give you the opportunity to
- 7 study what your solutions or partial solutions are in small
- 8 communities that have a very different way of approaching
- 9 their political environment. These are communities that
- 10 range from being totally Native, IRA run to ones that are
- 11 fairly cosmopolitan at the ferry, at the power grid system.
- 12 Like Kodiak, they're small but -- and they're largely, you
- 13 know, taxable property and a completely different
- 14 environment. And then all in between.
- 15 The one thing they do all have though is
- 16 not only a large Native heritage but a fisheries
- 17 background. Our communities are based on fishing. Seventy
- 18 percent of our local economy, it's been documented by
- 19 independent studies, is directly related to the fishing
- 20 industry.
- 21 One of the most damaging things that
- 22 happened after the oil spill, despite the pollution, was
- 23 the social degradation to some of our communities. The
- 24 environment, the social environment, the fabric of those
- 25 communities was torn apart between those that did and those

- 1 that didn't work with the system and those that were
- 2 heavily hurt. And in all cases, virtually the whole
- 3 economy was shut down. You take 70 percent of your economy
- 4 and you say there's no fishing, it goes through the whole
- 5 economy.
- 6 Certainly the Exxon money did come back
- 7 into that economy to offset that to some degree but as a
- 8 bureaucrat, I can tell you my pay didn't go up. I mean,
- 9 there's a lot of residual effects throughout the economy
- 10 that didn't participate in that.
- So one of the good things that this program
- 12 brought was recognizing that the folks that live in these
- 13 communities want to be environmentally safe and sound.
- 14 They want to have a clean environment. But largely, either
- 15 they don't know how to or they haven't been given the
- 16 resources. And this program, the fundamental philosophy
- 17 was that we would move forward in a way that would allow
- 18 them to do that. It was intentionally designed so that the
- 19 Kodiak Island Borough would not receive or participate in
- 20 the benefits directly of this program. Our benefit is
- 21 serving our citizens in enhancing the environment of those
- 22 communities, which as a fishery based economy, we're hyper-
- 23 sensitive to.
- 24 The success that we've had has been to do
- 25 all of those things. But most importantly, it's been able

- 1 to create what we call the Kodiak Island Village
- 2 Environmental Council. That's made up of those folks, not
- 3 bureaucrats like me, not people from the big city or
- 4 technicians. These are made up of citizens in those
- 5 communities that care about their communities and are
- 6 willing and have taken the time and effort to learn about
- 7 how to have positive and efficient and effective and long
- 8 term ways to address their waste management practices.
- 9 Now the things that we've been able to put
- 10 together here -- and again, I recognize Tracy Mitchell in
- 11 her efforts but again, the people in (background noise -
- 12 sirens). In Kodiak, if you get a siren, you -- like whose
- 13 house is that? That we really moved forward in lock step
- 14 with QIVEC. One of the things that we do, is we bring
- 15 folks together. They can sit down in an environment,
- 16 typically in Kodiak but also out in the other communities,
- 17 to talk through their issues and to compare notes and to
- 18 basically meet and greet and know and understand each other
- 19 and recognize what they're up against. All of us have
- 20 various degrees of obviously monetary stress right now and
- 21 how to address that and do the best you can is a huge issue
- 22 in these communities. But we're getting to the point here
- 23 where we've been able to stockpile most of our stuff for
- 24 the big move.
- But before we got to that, we had to do

- 1 things like hazardous household waste containers. So we
- 2 could compile them, we could move the batteries out by
- 3 compiling those. We had sent drum crushers into the
- 4 communities so that they could cut the lids off the drums
- 5 and then mash them flat. Then we pallatize them, strap
- 6 them down, and then we can take them out when they're ready
- 7 to be picked up.
- 8 The big thing we're moving forward now, is
- 9 we've had the communities all had ROLO qualified folks in
- 10 their communities that help and work with us at the
- 11 landfill and in our operation to go ahead and handle the
- 12 fluid removal, because it's not like the good old days,
- 13 you've got to get all that junk out of those cars and four-
- 14 wheelers and various boats and whatever we've got to deal
- 15 with. This is an example of QIVEC going through the
- 16 process of what is a car dump. There's different names for
- 17 them but you can see, you get a variety of vehicles there.
- 18 All of the communities have these to some degree, even the
- 19 ones without roads. But not to the degree that those that
- 20 have a road system would. This is the example of fluid
- 21 removal, it's one of our low cost solutions in the
- 22 community. It's hand pumped, it addresses the need to get
- 23 those fluids out. Obviously they worked together on how to
- 24 do that.
- This is what we call a burn box. Now we've

- 1 got a few different versions of this. This is the big one
- 2 and this one is in Larson Bay. Again, this is addressing a
- 3 bear concern. Larson Bay has a cannery, they have a large
- 4 amount of daily refuse that goes into their facility. The
- 5 old methods of dumping burn or slit burning, which is where
- 6 you have slit, a trench, and you dump it in there and burn
- 7 that, don't work because we have too many of these. We got
- 8 about 4,000 of those guys and gals. And I can remember
- 9 pulling up to the Larson Bay dump with garbage in the back
- 10 and the neat thing was you didn't have to unload the truck,
- 11 the bear would do it for you. So it's a pretty dangerous
- 12 situation and folks in the community, it's to the point
- 13 where they're -- in some communities they're so afraid of
- 14 the bears that they won't go up to the dump. So the dump
- 15 ends up being right at the edge of town because of the bear
- 16 problem.
- 17 So the solution that we tested in the
- 18 Kodiak Island landfill where we had a sow and four cubs
- 19 hanging out, was with this very relatively inexpensive bear
- 20 fence. And it's worked out, it's -- we don't have the
- 21 bears anymore. And we had them climbing in the dumpsters
- 22 but they've learned their lesson with this bear fence that
- 23 shocks them when they touch it. Otherwise it's benign or
- 24 as benign as one of those get. And it's a 12 volt system,
- 25 it's relatively inexpensive.

- 1 So we're moving forward with that as part
- 2 of our agendas, to get these landfills fenced. We have
- 3 folks trained in the communities to know what to do and
- 4 what their options are with these landfills. And then
- 5 they're also working with things like this. Now these are
- 6 waste oil burner, they create heat. They're in the city
- 7 buildings in these communities and they all have been
- 8 trained on how to use them and that's where you go when
- 9 you change your oil in your truck or your boat. And
- 10 throughout the community, including Kodiak, it's like, why
- 11 didn't we always do it that way. I mean, it's not news to
- 12 us anymore but it was kind of a neat idea when we first
- 13 started it.
- This is a battery dump, as you can see. In
- 15 our country, we burn up a lot of batteries. When I was in
- 16 the Coast Guard, I'll be honest, we used to throw them over
- 17 the side. We didn't know we weren't supposed to do that.
- 18 And that's the Coast Guard. So the world's changed quite a
- 19 bit. Obviously batteries are very dangerous. Now we have
- 20 in the communities different totes and stockpiles for them
- 21 and a process for getting them out of those communities.
- 22 This is a small burner, this would be for
- 23 the communities of Akhiok and Karluk, are getting these.
- 24 The reason they're on tires and on a trailer is so you can
- 25 move it and it's all part of the strategy with the bears

- 1 and making it more flexible for the community. And again,
- 2 so you can see the solution that worked here is not the one
- 3 that works in the other community, say at Larson Bay, where
- 4 there's a cannery and bigger volumes.
- 5 So I'll be straight forward with you and
- 6 I'm not here to dodge anything. There's been gaps in this
- 7 program. We've had difficult times getting things started.
- 8 In many of these communities it's 80 relationships and 20
- 9 percent effort. To the extent that the borough was
- 10 involved, we've dropped our ball a couple of times. And
- 11 then there's been coordination and logistical changes. DEC
- 12 oversight, both philosophically and economically I'm sure
- 13 has changed and gone through their issues.
- 14 So the big struggle is how do we get a
- 15 sense of synergy and move forward? Well, we're there now
- 16 and I think that's the big concern we have, is that we
- 17 would lose this program when it's so close to being
- 18 accomplished to the point where I think everybody could be
- 19 really proud of being a part of it. We believe this
- 20 extension allow for an orderly transition and ensure these
- 21 communities have a long term ability to maintain their
- 22 community in the way that they wish to.
- The big thing we got going, as I said, is
- 24 the scrap metal. And again, the piles vary from the towns,
- 25 depending on their reliance on vehicles, is largely the

- 1 problem. We've got a lot of them pulled and cleaned up.
- 2 We've got a lot of them stockpiled. And the intent would
- 3 be, as part of this program, is do the final run, if we
- 4 can, on these communities, to get that scrap metal out, is
- 5 about the last thing we do but it was the least dangerous
- 6 and most benign toxic material in these communities. So it
- 7 felt -- it was down our list from day one.
- 8 This shows the original authorized budget
- 9 of about -- as I recall, it was about 1.7 million. We
- 10 spent about a third of that to date. So these graphs will
- 11 be interesting to you. Now this shows, obviously, a
- 12 relatively large amount for scrap metal removal. That
- 13 would be the right hand pie. Kodiak Island Borough, our
- 14 day to day management and oversight for staff is expensive,
- 15 obviously. And then the purple is the landfill
- 16 construction and fencing.
- 17 And I'll bring up a good example of these
- 18 community solutions. We have the community of Ouzinkie who
- 19 has a landfill that's rock. And they had a need for rock
- 20 for their sewer and water system. So we hooked up with the
- 21 Native tribal housing authority who needed rock for their
- 22 new sewer system and worked under the existing permit to do
- 23 a new slit trench for Ouzinkie to do their burn for their
- 24 landfill. So everybody got what they want and it costs
- 25 half as much as it normally would. And again, it's a

- 1 creative solution that works for that particular community.
- 2
- The lesson that we're learning here and we
- 4 hope we can document is that we believe these lessons can
- 5 be taken throughout the state of Alaska. We've always been
- 6 kind of a study environment for statewide issues pertaining
- 7 to rural communities because we have such a cosmopolitan
- 8 mix. And all from, you know, roads, no roads, boats, no
- 9 boats.
- This is our expenditures to date by 2004.
- 11 And you can see the only winner on this the borough. And
- 12 that's because of the term of this. The ongoing day to day
- 13 structural costs of maintaining the bureaucratic oversight,
- 14 because it's been so long, has not been moved into the
- 15 solution of what we're trying to do here. And so you can
- 16 see why that's such a bigger piece of the slice but on the
- 17 other hand we're only about 30 percent spent. And we've
- 18 been trying to mitigate the use of the funds and be smart
- 19 about it. That's the other reason why there's a lot not
- 20 spent at this point. But if we received the extension, we
- 21 believe, and the dark brown is the contingency, that we
- 22 could complete the pie and you can see how dramatically
- 23 that money is invested back out into the solutions for
- 24 landfills, scrap metal removal, getting the hazardous
- 25 wastes out of the community. So we're that close to taking

- 1 the balance of the money, now that the framework is in
- 2 place, and moving it forward.
- And this is kind of an interesting one too.
- 4 This shows the authorized budget versus the total
- 5 expenditure expectations with the extension. And again the
- 6 total expenditure item shows a large amount for the borough
- 7 but that's because of the so many years that we've been
- 8 having this program. And again, it's not going to expend
- 9 all of the money but we reduce the contingency. And again,
- 10 these are estimates, it's not final amounts. It's
- 11 predicated on the budgets that were provided.
- 12 And I guess I'll summarize here. I think,
- 13 you know, what we've done despite the struggles that we've
- 14 all had, it accomplish I think to a large degree what the
- 15 intent of the trustees were and is. And I think better
- 16 than that, I think we've gone a long ways towards
- 17 constructing what I think everybody would agree is a good
- 18 vision of a communities that work between tribal and
- 19 municipal and state and borough governments in order to
- 20 accomplish something that's good for those citizens who
- 21 don't either have the time or the inclination to get into
- 22 all the politics of why the work's not getting done, they
- 23 just know it's not getting done. And I think if we can
- 24 show this and prove it and move forward, we'll all be
- 25 better off. And we certainly do thank the Council for all

- 1 your support in the past years and would appreciate you
- 2 extending this contract under the terms presented. Thank
- 3 you.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. Are there
- 5 questions?
- DR. BALSIGER: Drue.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes.
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Mr. Carlson, I guess what
- 11 the plan is, the community -- I think I saw that the
- 12 communities will pick up the funding of this in the out
- 13 years. Is that where the funding will come from?
- 14 MR. CARLSON: Yeah, the tough love of this
- 15 program is in direct compliance actually with the Denali
- 16 commission philosophy of sustainable. And that's why you
- 17 see the different solutions, like the smaller burner won't
- 18 work. And even though my buddy got a bigger one, this is
- 19 what makes sense for my community. So they tried to be
- 20 tailored not only to the size of the community but also to
- 21 the abilities and the needs so that long term they'd
- 22 continue to be able to maintain them.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Pat, nice to see you again.
- 25 The budget on this was about 1.7 million and even if we

- 1 grant the extension, you've got anticipated expenditures
- 2 over the next 13 months, you're still projecting an
- 3 approximate balance -- I want to make sure I get this -- of
- 4 over 400,000 that would not be expended on the program, is
- 5 that correct?
- 6 MR. CARLSON: Yes, sir, given the fact that
- 7 we'd be under those time lines. And so what we've done is
- 8 looked at the program and said well what are the key, you
- 9 know, ground zero events that we need -- that we believe we
- 10 really need to document a successful program. And that
- 11 generates about an estimate of 800,000.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay, thanks.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, Commissioner
- 14 Ballard.
- 15 MS. BALLARD: That was a very honest
- 16 presentation.
- 17 MR. CARLSON: Thank you.
- 18 MS. BALLARD: I appreciate it. I
- 19 appreciate the difficult situation that you're in with the
- 20 delay and the extraordinary therefore expenditure on behalf
- 21 of the borough. I think -- and Pat, I know you'll be
- 22 disappointed to hear this -- I think the smartest thing we
- 23 can do is close out the current project and encourage you
- 24 to reapply with a new time frame starting from beginning.
- 25 We need to be consistent with the way we handle other

- 1 projects and we have tried in my tenure here to be tough
- 2 with principal investigators and to impose a discipline
- 3 that says a project has a time line for a reason. It fits
- 4 into the scope. And I can see a good deal of
- 5 reorganization of the project so that it doesn't closely
- 6 resemble even its first stage.
- 7 And that would be my recommendation to the
- 8 Council, that we not grant this extension, understanding
- 9 that that closes this one out. But do that, and ask Gregg
- 10 for the right term, without prejudice, so that means you
- 11 can reapply. And if it then competes with current
- 12 projects, it obviously competed favorably three or four
- 13 years ago, five years ago, whenever it was initially
- 14 approved. If it competes favorably currently, it can be
- 15 re-approved next year and you can start with a new time
- 16 frame. That's my -- actually, if I need to make a motion
- 17 to that effect, that's what I'd do. That we not.....
- 18 MR. RENKES: What would be the impact of
- 19 that on your current activities?
- MR. CARLSON: Well, you know, I mean, we
- 21 were fully aware of the legitimate concerns of the Council
- 22 because this program is just sitting there, it didn't seem
- 23 like it was moving. We did move forward aggressively and
- 24 get traction about two years ago. The problem is, you
- 25 know, we can do a structural closeout of it but to do it by

- 1 September, we would leave projects hanging. The scrap
- 2 metal would still be in the communities, to the extent that
- 3 that's an issue. The hazardous waste materials, a lot of
- 4 things, because we're not a regional -- it's not a regional
- 5 borough function. We're doing this as our regional
- 6 government to assist those communities but the liability
- 7 for it and the responsibility lies with those communities.

8

- 9 So there was a couple of different
- 10 philosophies out there. One of them is to directly fund
- 11 the communities based on their budgets and allow them to
- 12 move forward on their own. The other philosophy was to
- 13 stop, reorganize, see where we're at. Of course,
- 14 obviously, we're pitching that you give us another year to
- 15 kind of close this thing out, one more shipping season.
- 16 The reality is -- I just gave you a very long answer and
- 17 I'm sorry -- is, you know, structurally, yeah, everybody
- 18 will go home at night but we're still going to have a lot
- 19 of stuff out in those communities, which I can give you
- 20 lots of excuses for that I don't think you want to hear.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions?
- 22 MS. BALLARD: I'll make my motion formally,
- 23 and that is, I think the action before us is to grant an
- 24 extension, that we not approve that extension. That's a
- 25 negative, you have to -- don't to have.....

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I think you need a
- 2 positive, yeah. The motion would be to approve the
- 3 extension and then there would be objection.
- 4 MS. BALLARD: Okay, so I don't make any
- 5 motion at all.
- 6 MS. PHILLIPS: Or you could make the motion
- 7 to approve and recommend a no vote.
- 8 MS. BALLARD: I'm not going to do that.
- 9 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.
- 10 MS. BALLARD: You politicians are good at
- 11 that kind of thing. Us non-politicians can't think that
- 12 quickly.
- MR. DUFFY: I'll recommend approval of the
- 14 extension as a motion.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion to
- 16 approve the extension. Do we have a second?
- DR. BALSIGER: I second.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Balsiger has
- 19 seconded. All those in favor of that motion, signify by
- 20 saying aye.
- DR. BALSIGER: Aye.
- MS. BALLARD: No.
- UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The no's have it.
- 25 Craig, do we have to do a.....

- 1 MS. BALLARD: We can't, it doesn't matter.
- 2 We can't -- without six votes, it doesn't -- wouldn't have
- 3 mattered if the ayes had it.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I just don't know
- 5 whether it has to be recorded.
- 6 MS. PHILLIPS: The vote has to be recorded.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Does it have to be
- 8 recorded?
- 9 DR. BALSIGER: So I caused trouble, huh?
- MR. RENKES: No, you didn't cause trouble.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: No, you didn't cause
- 12 trouble, I just didn't know the answer.
- MR. DUFFY: What was the vote again?
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Well, in that case we
- 15 need to call.....
- DR. BALSIGER: All you need to know is who
- 17 said the no.
- 18 MR. DUFFY: It was my motion. I would be
- 19 in favor of it.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Oh, he made the motion,
- 21 he did the second.
- MS. BALLARD: The no's were down here.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: She said no. There was
- 24 a no, so the motion does not carry.
- MS. BALLARD: There were two no's.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: There were two no's,
- 2 you're correct.
- 3 MS. BALLARD: Yeah.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The motion did not
- 5 carry. And is there an alternative motion? Mr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair, does it
- 7 require a motion to -- I don't believe it requires a motion
- 8 but our recommendation would be to reformulate the package
- 9 or bring it back without prejudice to the next funding
- 10 cycle but I don't believe that takes a motion.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: It doesn't.
- DR. BALSIGER: If it does, I'd be prepared
- 13 to make it.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: It does not require a
- 15 motion. Any further comment?
- 16 (No audible responses)
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay.
- 18 MR. CARLSON: Just to clarify, would we
- 19 coordinate them with Council staff on that?
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes.
- MS. BALLARD: Yes, with Gail.
- MS. PHILLIPS: And we will -- you'll need
- 23 to follow us up with the invitation and everything. So we
- 24 will -- I'll make sure that Brenda follows through.
- 25 MR. CARLSON: Okay, thank you for your

- 1 time.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you. Next is
- 3 discussion and possible approval of funds to support the
- 4 American Fisheries Society's scientific meeting in
- 5 Anchorage. Excuse me, Madame Executive Director, or does
- 6 Dr. Mundy want to speak to this?
- 7 MS. PHILLIPS: I will have Phil come up.
- 8 There's a request for \$10,000 to the American Fisheries
- 9 Society for a national meeting that's going to be held in
- 10 Anchorage next year. We would request 5,000 from this
- 11 year's budget, 5,000 from next year's budget. I'll let
- 12 Phil....
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Mundy, if you
- 14 wouldn't mind waiting just a moment. I know that many of
- 15 our agencies individually plus the Trustee Council I assume
- 16 are asked from time to time to make this sort of
- 17 expenditures to help fund meetings from environmental
- 18 conferences to -- there's a, I don't know, global
- 19 environmental conference up here next year sometime that I
- 20 know all the state and the federal agencies have been asked
- 21 to be a part of. Can you give us an idea? Do we know the
- 22 history of EVOS in terms of sponsoring these sorts of
- 23 meetings?
- 24 MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like to turn -- defer
- 25 that to Phil.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Mundy.
- 2 DR. MUNDY: Madame Chairman, members of the
- 3 Council. The question of the history of funding of
- 4 scientific meetings is, we have, in addition to our annual
- 5 January science meeting, we have from time to time funded
- 6 other meetings in the past. Since FY 2003, we have
- 7 increased the number of meetings that we're supporting.
- 8 And this is because we changed over from an external peer
- 9 review, which was a paid peer review, to an internally
- 10 managed voluntary peer review.
- And one of our key tools in moving this
- 12 from an outside paid review to an internally managed
- 13 voluntary peer review was to make ourselves known at
- 14 scientific meetings, to sign people up to be voluntary peer
- 15 reviewers to work with our grant and contract process.
- 16 This has been very successful. We have a very high rate of
- 17 return on our voluntary peer review. And I think this is
- 18 for two reasons. Number 1, people see the Oil Spill
- 19 Trustee Council and the work that it's doing as important
- 20 to their particular scientific professions as a result of
- 21 our presence at these meetings. And also, we have a pool
- 22 of willing purveyors. These are people who are -- we ask
- 23 them if we sent you an itemized review, would you be
- 24 interested in reviewing it. And so that they have to be in
- 25 our database as saying that they will do the review.

- So we, as I say, in the past, prior to FY
- 2 2003, we did occasionally support scientific meetings. We
- 3 have been supporting more scientific meetings and
- 4 particularly upper level, trying to get more at national
- 5 meetings and large regional gatherings and those sorts of
- 6 things in order to increase that pool. Because the rate of
- 7 no's on voluntary peer reviews is very high. So we have to
- 8 have a relatively large number of people in our peer review
- 9 or database in order to get the 50 to 100 peer outside peer
- 10 reviewers that we normally -- that we have used in the last
- 11 three years.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And a scientific
- 13 meeting is one where scientific papers that have been peer
- 14 reviewed are presented as opposed to, I don't know, fish
- 15 expo or something?
- DR. MUNDY: Oh, most definitely. These
- 17 would be meetings that are held under the auspices of a
- 18 scientific society, such as the American Fisheries Society.
- 19
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Questions for Dr.
- 21 Mundy?
- 22 MR. DUFFY: Just a comment, I'm not sure
- 23 it's a question for Phil at all. I do know that this
- 24 event, this national event, happens very rarely in Alaska.
- 25 I don't remember the last time we sponsored one. And I

- 1 also know from my agency standpoint and the many biologists
- 2 and fisheries managers, et cetera, that we employ, this is
- 3 a big deal to them and they're very supportive of this
- 4 national meeting here in Anchorage. I do have kind of a
- 5 question I think for the attorney. I guess Chief Executive
- 6 for the Department of Fish and Game had made a decision
- 7 relative to our contribution toward AFS. Does that put me
- 8 in a conflict situation, sitting on the Trustee Council.
- 9 If we've made a decision about supporting this, and I'm
- 10 just wondering about that, whether that puts me in a -- it
- 11 doesn't, okay.
- MR. RENKES: I don't think so.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay.
- MR. RENKES: Because you have the same
- 15 situation, a lot of research that's funded by the Council.
- 16 You're also participating in or research that's done by
- 17 your agency with Council funds and to the extent that
- 18 that's a conflict, I think everybody from the resource
- 19 agencies that, where there's cross-over work between what's
- 20 funded by the Council what you do would be conflicted. So
- 21 the idea of the Council originally was to have the resource
- 22 agency input so that you'd have that guidance in there.
- MR. DUFFY: Thank you, I just wanted that
- 24 clarification. We as a resource agency are contributing to
- 25 this as well. We thought it was a high enough priority

- 1 where I have the division of commercial fisheries and sport
- 2 fish, even under tight fiscal constraints, have identified
- 3 this as a budget component for the department.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Commissioner.
- 5 MS. BALLARD: My comments about this have
- 6 to do not only with this but also about our support for
- 7 AOOS and PICES, which shows up in the science component of
- 8 our budget. First, about this meeting, which isn't ongoing
- 9 operating support for another organization but is rather,
- 10 as Kevin just described, a really, only occasional
- 11 opportunity for us. I would rather do the support
- 12 specifically for the reasons of our joint interest in
- 13 science and not force it to fit the development of the peer
- 14 review network, which I'm going to get to in a minute.
- 15 It seems to me that on the peer review
- 16 network basis, we end up spending a great deal of money in
- 17 the expectation that you'll be able to make those contacts.
- 18 And I'd be curious if it isn't cheaper or more effective to
- 19 pay the peer reviewers. That if the right thing to do is
- 20 pay the peer reviewers, I'd rather be sure the money goes
- 21 directly to the pocket of the peer reviewer than to have
- 22 ourselves, in the case of the others, supporting their
- 23 operating budgets, which also shows up under the same line
- 24 item, I think, does it not. Isn't it the same -- I don't
- 25 mean the same line item but it's the same budget category.

- 1 DR. MUNDY: Chair, may I respond?
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Sure. I'm sorry, go
- 3 right ahead.
- DR. MUNDY: Okay, thank you. Yes, to
- 5 respond to Commissioner Ballard's concerns, I believe that
- 6 the AOOS item is a different sort of item. I wouldn't say
- 7 it was appropriate to mix the issue of AOOS support with
- 8 the American Fishery Society's support.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: Fair enough. Then I'll just
- 10 leave those comments till we get to the budget. But for
- 11 this, I don't -- if we agree we want to do this, I'd rather
- 12 not burden it with the peer review nexus. I'd rather say,
- 13 this is an important research function that's happening and
- 14 we want them to put our name up, you know, and be a
- 15 sponsor. I don't mind being a sponsor of something
- 16 important but I'd rather call it a sponsorship than a
- 17 networking thing.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, may I finish my
- 19 comment.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes.
- DR. MUNDY: Because I want to respond to
- 22 the issue of whether or not it's cheaper to do outside peer
- 23 review than to do these things. I, in each of the --
- 24 including this draft work plan, the last two draft work
- 25 plans, I've given my figures on the peer review of the

- 1 number of peer reviewers that are involved and the number
- 2 of outside peer reviews that are done. And we have done
- 3 that analysis based on a consulting fee of \$75 an hour,
- 4 which is quite a bit less actually, it's sort of than we
- 5 were paying the old peer reviewers. And I estimate that we
- 6 have received about \$300,000 in the last three review
- 7 cycles and services as a conservative estimate of the
- 8 amount of time that people have donated to the program.
- 9 At the peak of the paid peer review, when
- 10 we had a much higher volume than we have now, the cost of
- 11 the peer reviewers plus the administration of the peer
- 12 review, and that is paying an outside firm to do the
- 13 management of those peer review, we were spending 400 to
- 14 \$450,000 a year. So basically by chipping in 20 to \$25,000
- 15 a year to get our name on the program and to get our name
- 16 out there and to create the impression with the scientists
- 17 that we are doing work that's important to them and that we
- 18 are willing to support their work. As many of these
- 19 professional societies run on a shoe string, even though
- 20 they have big budgets for meetings because they have lot of
- 21 registration, they also try to keep the registration down
- 22 so that people like graduate students can afford to attend,
- 23 can afford to register and be part of the program. They
- 24 appreciate our contributions and also I, as I say, the
- 25 bonus that we get is being able to attract a large number

- 1 to our peer review database. So I think that is a -- I
- 2 would say that that is in fact a function of this
- 3 contribution.
- 4 MS. BALLARD: And fair enough, I know that
- 5 Phil has said that before and to me, if I heard you right,
- 6 you said \$300,000 over three cycles, which would be about
- 7 \$100,000 a year per cycle. And if I look carefully at the
- 8 budget, which I tried to do, there's almost that amount of
- 9 money, of our money, in support of other science
- 10 organizations. If you pick the travel pieces out and the
- 11 -- I mean, you're shaking your head but I've got them
- 12 marked and, you know, there's some here and some there.
- 13 And for me, I'd rather pay people directly
- 14 to do the work than hope that I get the good effect and
- 15 have the last control. And I'm not disputing that the
- 16 savings has been there, I'm simply saying that when we get
- 17 to the budget, I'll have some concerns about why we're
- 18 supporting other organizations. And if the principal
- 19 reason is the peer review network, then I'd like an
- 20 opportunity, and we'll take it when we get to the budget,
- 21 to discuss that.
- 22 For this, I don't have any trouble with the
- 23 AFM support. And I think that what Kevin and Phil have
- 24 both said about the uniqueness of having this meeting here
- 25 in Alaska. I know we had a food sanitation meeting here,

- 1 which is something important to me, and we were all so
- 2 excited we could barely contain ourselves, that these
- 3 people would really come to Alaska to talk about food
- 4 safety, so I appreciate that. And I don't mind spending
- 5 that money but my other issue I'll get to when we get to
- 6 the budget.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions? Dr.
- 8 Balsiger.
- 9 DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair, I'm looking
- 10 forward to that discussion because I think there's problems
- 11 with paying for peer review. But for the purposes of this
- 12 agenda item, I would make a motion that the Trustee Council
- 13 support the American Fisheries Society annual meeting in
- 14 2005 with \$10,000 to be divided equally between the '04 and
- 15 '05 budget.
- MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: 10,000, not five.
- DR. BALSIGER: 5,000 each for two years.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 20 second. Is there further discussion?
- 21 (No audible responses)
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor,
- 23 signify by saying aye.
- 24 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is anyone opposed?

- 1 (No audible responses)
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The motion carries.
- 3 \$10,000, 5,000 each year.
- 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you very much.
- 5 DR. BALSIGER: I believe that's what the
- 6 cover memo suggested.
- 7 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, sir.
- 9 MR. DUFFY: Just a quick clarification on
- 10 that. In looking over the ad previous to us getting here,
- 11 there was some confusion about the numbers and it looked to
- 12 me like there was separate requests for '04 and '05 as well
- 13 as the same figures covered in the admin budget but we got
- 14 a....
- MS. PHILLIPS: We've changed that.
- 16 MR. DUFFY: I just wanted to point out....
- 17 MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah.
- 18 MR. DUFFY: .....that we got a supplemental
- 19 when we got here that clarifies.....
- MS. PHILLIPS: Right, right.
- 21 MR. DUFFY: .....that it is in fact 10,000.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: That brings us to Item
- 24 7, discussion and approval of the request from the
- 25 Department of Law to transfer money to NOAA for sediment

- 1 sampling.
- 2 MS. PHILLIPS: We did receive a request to
- 3 transfer the funds from Department of Revenue to NOAA and
- 4 I'd ask Paula to come up and make brief comments. Gina,
- 5 can you help her? Brenda, would you go get Craig please?
- 6 MS. BELT: One of the projects that was
- 7 approved during I believe it was the May 14th meeting, '04
- 8 project, there was some.....
- 9 MR. MEADE: You'll need to speak up if you
- 10 can, I'm unable to hear you.
- MS. BELT: In one of the projects that was
- 12 approved at your May 14th meeting, there was a subcategory
- 13 of analysis of certain sediment samples and the proponent
- 14 of the project and I guess NOAA had a miscommunication
- 15 about who was going to do the sediment analysis. And so it
- 16 turns out that NOAA is willing to do the analysis but was
- 17 not given the money for it. So Craig and I have initiated
- 18 a transfer of the money from Department of Law to NOAA for
- 19 that purpose. There's no change in the amount of money
- 20 that's being spent, it's simply who is going to perform the
- 21 task. I don't know that it needs Trustee Council action
- 22 but if you want to ratify it, that's fine.
- 23 MS. BANKS: The reason why it needed
- 24 Trustee Council action was because it -- the state
- 25 procedural -- when the monies were authorized for the

- 1 project, they were given 100 percent to the state. And so
- 3 number of dollars. Well, on the books it shows that
- 4 they're spending the full amount that they were given.
- 5 And because we're taking -- originally it
- 6 should have been split. You know, part should have gone to
- 7 NOAA, the other part should have gone to the state. But
- 8 because it didn't, we have to back it up and it's not
- 9 really an errata court notice but it's a notice to the
- 10 court with documentation showing that those monies that
- 11 were given to Department of Law, a portion of that is
- 12 actually ending up going to NOAA. And so we're backing out
- 13 that amount out of the state spending. So that's why we're
- 14 coming to you for approval.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We've probably just
- 16 spent \$8,000 worth of time just talking about it.
- MS. BALLARD: What do I need to do?
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- 19 MR. DUFFY: I'm going to make a motion to
- 20 approve the request from the Department of Law to transfer
- 21 \$8,000 to NOAA for Project 04-0772, sediment sampling.
- MS. BALLARD: Second.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And there is a second.
- 24 MR. DUFFY: Thank you. I just want to make
- 25 sure for the record that we're clear and that this is

- 1 something that was requested by all the participants. It's
- 2 more than just an errata, it is a formal action I think we
- 3 should take so we should just document.
- 4 MS. BANKS: There is a resolution somewhere
- 5 being circulated for your signature on that as well.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor,
- 7 signify by saying aye.
- 8 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is anyone opposed?
- 10 (No audible responses)
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries. Item
- 12 8, reimbursement by Alaska Department of Natural Resources
- 13 to the Nature Conservancy for expenses.
- MS. PHILLIPS: I'd like Carol -- Brenda,
- 15 would you go get Carol Fries please. Thanks. And this one
- 16 is to cover additional costs of \$12,000 -- \$12,400 incurred
- 17 by The Nature Conservancy on small parcels Knol, Nakada,
- 18 McGee and Thompson and an extension to December 31st, 2004
- 19 to complete the transaction. You have a memo in your
- 20 packet regarding this issue from Carol Fries and I will
- 21 have her go over the details on it. You're up.
- MS. FRIES: This is the Nature
- 23 Conservancy....
- MS. PHILLIPS: Transfer....
- MS. FRIES: Okay. My name is Carol Fries,

- 1 I'm from the Department of Natural Resources and I'm trying
- 2 to find the document here. The request before you today is
- 3 for approximately -- I believe it's \$12,400. I don't have
- 4 it flagged here in the booklet. In March the Trustee
- 5 Council approved approximately \$202,000 to be paid from the
- 6 remaining grant authorization that was being managed by
- 7 Fish and Wildlife Service for the habitat acquisition
- 8 program.
- 9 And that was based on the estimates of
- 10 closing costs, existing expenses that The Nature
- 11 Conservancy had incurred and expected to incur when those
- 12 parcels which you authorized were transferred to the State
- 13 of Alaska. Fish and Wildlife Service, because of their
- 14 procurement regulations, cannot accommodate any expenses
- 15 past the termination of the grant, which was September 30th
- 16 of 2003, I believe.
- 17 So closing costs obviously will occur after
- 18 September 30th of 2003. They will occur when the property
- 19 is transferred to the state. And there will be things such
- 20 as -- and I have outlined those in the memo -- such as
- 21 there's property taxes, there is escrow, there's title
- 22 insurance. And so what we're requesting is that Fish and
- 23 Wildlife Service will be paying approximately 182,000 to
- 24 The Nature Conservancy. Of the 202,000 that you previously
- 25 authorized, there is approximately 20,000 that Fish and

- 1 Wildlife Service will lapse. We anticipate needing
- 2 approximately 12,000 to pay the remaining closing costs.
- 3 So it will be less than the total amount that you had
- 4 authorized in March.
- 5 But we just need to be able to have the
- 6 money come through DNR and be paid to TNC at closing. So
- 7 it's merely taking money that would be at Fish and Wildlife
- 8 Service, shifting it to DNR so that those costs can be
- 9 transferred at closing to cover TNC's expenses.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And any questions? Mr.
- 11 Duffy.
- 12 MR. DUFFY: Carol, a question for you in
- 13 looking over your budget that you provided for us there.
- 14 If these are projected future costs, there's probably a
- 15 possibility that the amount requested in TNC would be
- 16 higher than the 12,404.
- MS. FRIES: I don't think it's going to be
- 18 higher, we're....
- MR. DUFFY: Okay.
- 20 MS. FRIES: The estimates, I think, are
- 21 quite accurate. They may be over a little bit, I don't
- 22 want to be short but I think that's adequate.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay, I think you've responded
- 24 to my question. The concern I had from an efficiency
- 25 standpoint is, maybe we should, if the Trustee Council so

- 1 chooses, authorize a little higher so you don't have to
- 2 come back to us for another 500 or a thousand dollars. But
- 3 you think those projections are adequate then?
- 4 MS. FRIES: Yeah, I think they're fine.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions?
- 7 (No audible responses)
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is there a motion?
- 9 MS. BALLARD: Move approval of the proposal
- 10 from DNR to receive funds from us in order to reimburse The
- 11 Nature Conservancy.
- MR. RENKES: I'll second the motion.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion, we
- 14 also have a second. Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Clarification. Did that
- 16 motion, was it intended to include the extension to
- 17 December 31 of 2004?
- MS. BALLARD: Yes.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 20 second. All those in favor aye
- IN UNISON: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 23 (No audible responses)
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks, Carol.

- 1 MS. FRIES: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Would the Trustees be
- 3 willing to consider moving the PAC approval up previous to
- 4 the internal budget so that a couple of people that I know
- 5 are here for the PAC pretty well could go back to their
- 6 real jobs?
- 7 MS. BALLARD: Certainly.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Does anybody mind?
- 9 Could we have a motion on the agenda to that?
- 10 MR. DUFFY: I move to modify the agenda to
- 11 take up item number 12 immediately and in concession with
- 12 the previously approved agenda to provide some efficiency
- 13 for those sitting in the audience waiting for this
- 14 decision.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Do we have a second?
- DR. BALSIGER: Second.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor?
- 18 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 20 (No audible responses)
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Thank you very much. I
- 22 appreciate that. So that brings us to Public Advisory
- 23 Committee. What's the pleasure? Do we just want a motion?
- 24 Do we want you all to speak to it?
- MS. BALLARD: Drue, I've got a list here

- 1 that I'd be willing to put out for consideration. I know
- 2 we all had a chance to look at these and we've had a good
- 3 deal of opportunity to discuss with ourselves and our
- 4 staff. So with the indulgence of the rest, I'll read this
- 5 slate.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay.
- 7 MS. BALLARD: I propose the following
- 8 names, Gary Fandrei -- and excuse me if I pronounce them
- 9 wrong -- Gary Fandrei, Tori Baker, Chuck Meacham, Robert
- 10 Kopchak, Ron Beck, Pat Laven, Martin Robards, Ed Zeine, Ed
- 11 Page, Randy Hagenstein, Stacy Studebaker, Larry Evanoff,
- 12 Pat Norman, Andy Teuber, Jason Brune, Brenda Norcross, John
- 13 Gerster, Mead Treadwell, Lisa Ka'aihue and Bob Patterson
- 14 for consideration by the rest of the Council. And I guess
- 15 we would forward those names then to the Secretary of
- 16 Interior.
- MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 19 second. Doug, does the motion have to attach them to a
- 20 particular seat or is it enough to forward the
- 21 nominating....
- MR. MUTTER: Yeah, we need to identify what
- 23 each is registered as. Do you have that?
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have that.
- 25 MR. MUTTER: If I can get that list, then

- 1 I'll forward that.
- MS. BALLARD: We have it.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So we don't have to go
- 4 back through it. Okay, perfect. So we have a motion
- 5 before us, is there discussion. Yes, Mr. Duffy.
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Yes, I would like to, just for
- 7 the record, I'd like to add that this -- there was
- 8 advertisements identifying that these positions were open.
- 9 There's a great deal of public interest expressed. We
- 10 received resumes, some people supporting themselves, others
- 11 supporting themselves with letters of reference. We have
- 12 quite a bit of detail on this. The Trustee Council staff
- 13 created a matrix with all the people who had applied,
- 14 identifying what area of expertise they were bringing forth
- 15 for consideration. In reviewing all of that information
- 16 and coming forward with a list of names I think has been a
- 17 very thorough job and I look forward to working with the
- 18 PAC next year.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Any other comments?
- 20 Mr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair, just also for
- 22 the record, I'll note that this summer we did have a joint
- 23 meeting with the PAC where we indicated to them how we
- 24 intended to use the PAC, how important and useful it was to
- 25 us. So this is a major issue for us to have a correct

- 1 selection of candidates by categories. So I look forward
- 2 to forwarding these to the Department of Interior and
- 3 working with them in the future.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Any comments? Are you
- 5 ready for the question? All those in favor, signify by
- 6 saying aye.
- 7 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 9 (No audible responses)
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: With that, the
- 11 nominations are approved by the Council and Mr. Mutter, if
- 12 you would like to take this, you can go do whatever magic
- 13 it is you do.
- 14 MR. MUTTER: Get back to my real work now.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I wondered who she was
- 16 talking about.
- 17 MS. BALLARD: Your day job.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yeah, right. Brings us
- 19 back to Item 9, discussion and approval of internal
- 20 budgets. Madame Executive Secretary.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, we'll start with the
- 22 operations budget, the administrative budget, budget 100,
- 23 and I'll call on Paula to come through and walk you through
- 24 the operations budget.
- 25 MS. PHILLIPS: Would it be your intent that

- 1 we make one motion for the entire budget or that we do
- 2 individual motions for each of the subcategories?
- 3 MS. PHILLIPS: It would be up to the
- 4 Council how they would prefer.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I don't remember
- 6 frankly how we've done it in the past and don't care. I'd
- 7 just like somebody to tell me what they want.
- 8 MR. DUFFY: I'll take a shot at it. I
- 9 would suggest -- I may suggest a different compilation of
- 10 the administrative budgets next year but for our purposes
- 11 today where we do have them broken out into specific
- 12 sections, I would recommend that we approve those
- 13 individually.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay.
- MR. DUFFY: And the reason I say that is
- 16 there may be, of about four of these that we have to look
- 17 at approximately, there may be a bit of discussion about
- 18 particular items in one of four but not affecting the other
- 19 three and I wouldn't want the whole thing to not be
- 20 approved because of some specific issues tailored to one
- 21 section. So taking them one at a time I think will work
- 22 for today.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay. So let's go to
- 24 the operations section first.
- MS. BANKS: Okay, I placed a revision in

- 1 front of your chairs on the table. Make sure you all
- 2 received that or not. There were some slight changes made
- 3 and whenever you're ready, just let me know.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Which -- the revisions
- 5 -- I'm just making sure I have the right piece of paper in
- 6 front of me. Okay, go ahead. Do we have it?
- 7 MS. BANKS: Okay, the proposal summary page
- 8 stays as is and then the -- are yours single sided or
- 9 double sided?
- 10 MR. DUFFY: Single.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Single.
- MS. BANKS: Okay, so then the next seven
- 13 pages, you can just pull out of there, that should not have
- 14 gone into there at all. That was the old form. And then
- 15 it starts out with GEM research plan. And on page 2.....
- MR. DUFFY: Will this -- if I could, Madame
- 17 Chair.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes.
- 19 MR. DUFFY: Paula, this is the handout that
- 20 was in front of us when we got here today that we're
- 21 referring to at this point or are we going to the no part.
- MS. BANKS: Let me see. Let me see the
- 23 front part. Yeah.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So we get rid of these
- 25 pages.

- 1 MS. BANKS: Correct. Yeah, one through
- 2 seven.
- 3 MS. BALLARD: Paula, will you be sure to go
- 4 through this with Joe's staff so that his book for their
- 5 records is correct?
- 6 MS. BANKS: Absolutely, you bet. You bet.
- 7 MS. BALLARD: Is it Steve that maintains
- 8 them?
- 9 MS. BANKS: Okay.
- 10 MS. BALLARD: We refer to these books later
- 11 and....
- 12 MS. BANKS: The first section, the one that
- 13 I had you remove was just a duplication basically of the
- 14 one that I replaced it with. I mean, it was already in
- 15 your packet. It was just an old form versus the new form
- 16 when we went to the new GEM forms. On Page 2, down about
- 17 to the bottom of your page, it talks about continued
- 18 habitat evaluations, appraisals and negotiations with
- 19 willing sellers. I left that part in there but I also
- 20 included to develop and establish a policy and procedure
- 21 for the habitat protection and small parcel acquisition
- 22 program. Because we're in a transition period for that.
- 23 That's been changed.
- 24 On the budget justification, on the first
- 25 page where it discusses personnel issues, I made some

- 1 slight changes in there. I didn't change any numbers, just
- 2 kind of changed some language where it was a little easier
- 3 to comprehend. And then on Page 2 of 26 of the budget, the
- 4 actual budget page under personnel, the justification and
- 5 then the numbers in the comments section didn't quite match
- 6 so I changed those numbers around. So you could replace
- 7 that. Actually you could just pull that whole section out
- 8 and replace it with what I put on your table.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: So all 26 pages come out.
- 10 MS. BANKS: Yeah, and you just replace it
- 11 with the packet that I gave you. I was just pointing out
- 12 some of the things that were changed.
- MS. BALLARD: Okay, I've got a question
- 14 about some of the -- but go ahead and finish your.....
- MS. BANKS: Okay.
- MS. BALLARD: ....bookkeeping, you
- 17 know.....
- 18 MS. BANKS: Do you want me just to go
- 19 through maybe some of the major changes between this year
- 20 and last year or how do you want me to do that?
- MR. DUFFY: Yeah, that would be useful.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I think that's probably
- 23 best.
- MS. BANKS: Some of the major changes is
- 25 that the Trustee Council requested assistance in travel and

- 1 I included it in the admin budget by allocating funds to
- 2 each individual agency. It's an estimate of what we think
- 3 the number of trips will be versus, you know, and including
- 4 approximately how much it will cost for each trip. There's
- 6 Anchorage. So those who live in Anchorage were given
- 7 monies as well. That's one significant change.
- 8 Last year we were budgeted for six
- 9 positions, this year it's budgeted for 4.2 positions.
- 10 There were two positions, well, actually one position that
- 11 was eliminated and then another position that was
- 12 transferred to the science management budget. Yes?
- 13 MR. DUFFY: I got a quick question.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Go ahead, Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: It's probably later on but,
- 16 Paula, where is the science coordinator position in?
- MS. BANKS: The science coordinator
- 18 position, I believe, is in the science management budget.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay. All right.
- MS. BANKS: A portion of it is in the
- 21 science management budget and a portion of it is in NOS
- 22 grant budget.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay.
- MS. BANKS: There appears to be a decrease
- 25 in personnel however the decrease is slight in comparison

- 1 to the number of employees that -- you know, the number of
- 2 people employed has changed. There's been an increase in
- 3 parking and just the general cost of living. Cost of
- 4 utilities and that sort of thing.
- 5 The American Fisheries Society meeting
- 6 support costs are included in the operational budget. And
- 7 originally there was \$10,000 in there. You approved that
- 8 for 10,000 total, 5,000 coming out of the '04 budget and
- 9 5,000 coming out of the '05. So there will need to be
- 10 adjustment made there. It's on Page 426. So that will be
- 11 5,000 instead of 10,000. So reduce that amount by that, by
- 12 5,000 under contractual. And the rest is pretty
- 13 straightforward.
- MS. BALLARD: Drue.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, I'm sorry. First
- 16 Commissioner Ballard and then Mr. Meade.
- 17 MS. BALLARD: Paula, I'm glad you replaced
- 18 the pages describing the small parcel project. Are we
- 19 going to get a report of the proceedings of the sub-
- 20 committee or the committee you had this summer?
- MS. BANKS: I'm going to turn that over to
- 22 Gail.
- 23 MS. PHILLIPS: You will. We got bogged
- 24 down trying to get all of this out. But we have had a
- 25 couple of meetings and will have another meeting to put

- 1 together a proposal to bring to the Trustees, hopefully in
- 2 the December meeting.
- 3 MS. BALLARD: And there's adequate funds in
- 4 here to be sure that that happens.
- 5 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- 6 MS. BALLARD: Whatever -- somebody
- 7 considers that part of their paid job?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: Good. All right.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And Joe.
- 11 MR. MEADE: Paula, in the budget as I read
- 12 through it, and if I'm not in the right section, just let
- 13 me know and I'll cue when it comes up appropriately. But
- 14 is this the section where it also discusses the collection
- 15 agreement and compensation to liaisons to the Trustees from
- 16 some of the agencies?
- MS. PHILLIPS: No.
- 18 MR. MEADE: No.
- MS. PHILLIPS: That's the 250 budget.
- 20 MR. MEADE: Okay, I'll wait till then,
- 21 thanks.
- MS. BANKS: Okay.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions on the
- 24 operations? Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Just to confirm. So there are

- 1 no vacant positions or additional positions anticipated for
- 2 the fiscal year under this budget?
- 3 MS. BANKS: Correct.
- 4 MR. DUFFY: Okay, thank you.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions? Dr.
- 6 Balsiger.
- 7 DR. BALSIGER: So, think a minute, Drue,
- 8 this is a -- these budget pages reflect the intention of
- 9 paying increased salaries to staff so I'm not sure this is
- 10 -- I'm treading on the edge of what might be personnel
- 11 issues. But does this constitute the Trustee's approval of
- 12 pay raises that you recommend based on some performance
- 13 evaluation that you did?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- DR. BALSIGER: So there is actual
- 16 performance evaluation for each of these people so that we
- 17 would know that they were successful and that's what lead
- 18 to these increased.....
- MS. PHILLIPS: Right.
- DR. BALSIGER: Thank you.
- 21 MS. BANKS: Not all of the positions....
- MS. PHILLIPS: Not for me.
- MS. BANKS: ....have been increased. Some
- 24 of these are an anticipated increase based on a successful
- 25 evaluation performance, yeah.

- 1 MS. BALLARD: Did we decide then we were
- 2 going to move each of these one by one?
- 3 DR. BALSIGER: I believe we decided we'd
- 4 approve this package.
- 5 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
- 6 DR. BALSIGER: This whole thing, 26 pages.
- 7 (Whispered conversation)
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I guess it's probably
- 9 inappropriate for us to have a side conversation. It seems
- 10 that the personnel component here, that by approving the
- 11 budget, we're also taking a personnel action and is that
- 12 what was intended or is there a still a role that you seek
- 13 from the Trustees in personnel action. Because you just
- 14 said, sort of half under your breath, not for you.
- 15 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, that mine hadn't been
- 16 done yet. My evaluation hadn't been done yet.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And maybe you're the
- 18 wrong person....
- 19 MS. PHILLIPS: It's anticipated being done.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....to ask but is
- 21 there an anticipation in here that there would be funds put
- 22 aside but that doesn't commit us to a course of action?
- MS. PHILLIPS: That is correct.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So the budget component
- 25 that's specifically Gail's is, we're approving a budget but

- 1 we haven't yet made the commitment to Gail for the increase
- 2 until there's some second.....
- 3 MS. BANKS: Correct.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....action process.
- 5 MS. BANKS: If that doesn't follow through
- 6 then what will happen is that there will be funds left. So
- 7 we won't utilize all the funds that are projected. This is
- 8 just a projection.
- 9 MR. RENKES: Gail, what is the process for
- 10 that?
- MS. PHILLIPS: After our meeting today
- 12 there will be an evaluation.
- 13 MR. RENKES: Oh, it's going to happen
- 14 today?
- MS. PHILLIPS: Well, if it's -- if we're
- 16 not too late.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Well, in the past
- 18 there's been a -- there were -- we used to do sub-
- 19 committees that did an evaluation. Mr. Duffy, are you
- 20 trying to speak to that?
- MR. DUFFY: Yes, Madame Chair.
- MS. BALLARD: Let him talk.
- 23 MR. DUFFY: We have a sub-committee, it's
- 24 Dr. Balsiger and I are the sub-committee.
- MR. RENKES: Okay.

- 1 MR. DUFFY: And we were anticipating a
- 2 performance evaluation discussion with Gail this afternoon
- 3 if we can get it done. If not, we'll probably have to do
- 4 it over the phone. She did provide us with a summary of
- 5 what she saw as her accomplishments during the year so
- 6 we're prepared to engage in that discussion. So I guess
- 7 what we're saying is, on the budget, that needs to occur in
- 8 a positive fashion in order for us to be endorsing this
- 9 budget change.
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: I realize now I was
- 13 presumptive in assuming that I would sit on this evaluation
- 14 sub-committee on behalf of the federal trustees. So I'm
- 15 willing to share that responsibility with other federal
- 16 trustees should they want to. But I was prepared to do the
- 17 evaluation with Mr. Duffy this afternoon.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I think when we did the
- 19 search for an executive director we gave you that job in
- 20 perpetuity.
- 21 MS. BALLARD: That's what you get for doing
- 22 a good job, Jim.
- DR. BALSIGER: Only as long as the GEM
- 24 model runs, if that's not perpetual then.....
- MR. DUFFY: Madame Chair, I've got one

- 1 other one and.....
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- 3 MR. DUFFY: .....I don't know if we're
- 4 crossing over into personnel issues. I don't think I am at
- 5 this point but when you see the list of positions, the way
- 6 that the state system works that they're tied to, you get a
- 7 step increase annually if you do positive work. There is
- 8 one situation here on the list here where in fact it's a --
- 9 I believe an override situation which is treated a bit
- 10 differently.
- MS. PHILLIPS: It is because that person --
- 12 in fact we have two employees -- are at the top of their
- 13 range for their steps. So then the question is, do you
- 14 take them up to the next level on it rather than holding
- 15 them at no increase in salary.
- 16 MR. DUFFY: That's correct but I just
- 17 wanted the Trustee Council to know that we're doing that.
- 18 So essentially they're in a longevity situation, is that
- 19 same....
- MS. PHILLIPS: Right.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay.
- MS. BANKS: Did you want me to go through
- 23 each individual one or -- because there's more than just
- 24 the one potential increase? Or how do you want me to do
- 25 that?

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Do you want to go
- 2 through each increase?
- 3 MS. BALLARD: No. No, I guess I don't know
- 4 how the rest feel. I'm prepared to make a motion that we
- 5 approve this budget with the explicit understanding that
- 6 this is a budget and not a personnel action and that any
- 7 necessary steps for personnel actions be conducted
- 8 appropriately.
- 9 DR. BALSIGER: Second.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: There is a motion on
- 11 the table with a second. Is there discussion?
- 12 (No audible responses)
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor
- 14 signify by saying aye.
- 15 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 17 (No audible responses)
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries. And
- 19 that brings us to project management.
- 20 MS. PHILLIPS: And project management
- 21 budget, I would ask Paula to stay at the table.
- 22 MS. BANKS: Project management under the
- 23 project management tab. Do you want me just to go
- 24 through....
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, please.

- 1 MS. BANKS: Project management of course is
- 2 the oversight of all the projects that have been authorized
- 3 in '04. And the figures include the anticipation of what
- 4 the Executive Director considered projects to be funded in
- 5 FY 05. And on Page 1 of the budget justification I have
- 6 split out to each individual agencies the number of
- 7 projects that are continued from '04 and the number of
- 8 projects that are new in '05.
- 9 In years past, the way project management
- 10 was figured was each agency that had oversight of a project
- 11 received one month's salary funding for each project they
- 12 responsible for. Last year and I believe the year before
- 13 that there was some very complicated formula whereby it was
- 14 based on the number of projects divided by the dollars that
- 15 they received, divided by the number of dollars that was
- 16 out -- it was crazy.
- 17 So I went back to the simple method of
- 18 doing one month funding for each project that was
- 19 recommended for funding. Because '04 was the first year we
- 20 did multi-funding projects, each agency is -- they still
- 21 have projects to be funded, even if there aren't any
- 22 projects recommended for funding in '05. Fish and Game has
- 23 12 projects from '04 and the Executive Director is
- 24 recommending funding for 11 new projects, which would give
- 25 them a total of 23 projects.

- 1 And the rest is basically self explanatory.
- 2 NOAA seven projects from '04 and eight projects from '05,
- 3 giving them a total of 15 and so on. Now it's one month of
- 4 funding for every project approved up to one year. And
- 5 what would be done in the case that -- these budgets would
- 6 be sent out to each individual agency and let's say, for an
- 7 example, like Fish and Game has 23 projects, if they came
- 8 back to us and said, there's like no way we can handle all
- 9 these projects with just 12 months worth of funding, we
- 10 need an assistant or we need, you know, someone on a part-
- 11 time basis to assist or whatever. And then they would
- 12 propose, you know, an increase over 12 months and then that
- 13 would be presented to the Council and they would have an
- 14 option to either approve or deny.
- 15 So it's up to 12 months funding. And they
- 16 would also receive general administration funds, which the
- 17 general administration funds basically covers the
- 18 accounting, you know, the person who answers the phone, you
- 19 know, the person who deals with the paperwork. It's just
- 20 the overhead expense of that.
- 21 And the Department of Law has opted to
- 22 waive their privilege of accepting any project management
- 23 funds at this time but has agreed to maintain their reports
- 24 and oversight. Yes, Joe.
- 25 MR. MEADE: This is where I wanted to ask a

- 1 question and it's not to suggest a different process for
- 2 this budget submittal or request.
- 3 MS. BANKS: Okay.
- 4 MR. MEADE: I would offer that agencies
- 5 that don't necessarily have projects such as the Forest
- 6 Service still have staff responsibility. I know Steve
- 7 Zemke spends at least one-twelfth of his work here in doing
- 8 the necessary review of projects, the review of budgets,
- 9 the review of project submittals and his briefings to
- 10 myself so that we're able to be staying fully engaged in
- 11 the -- a variety of activities that EVOS does. So I don't
- 12 know that just funding based on project is an equitable way
- 13 to make that decision. Again, I flag it but I don't feel
- 14 it's an issue that needs to be resolved today as it relates
- 15 to this budget submittal.
- MS. BANKS: Okay.
- MR. MEADE: I thought we had discussed that
- 18 actually at our very recent board meeting. I was surprised
- 19 to see it didn't come forward with any different solution.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Commissioner Ballard.
- 21 MS. BALLARD: I don't recall discussing it.
- 22 Had it been brought up, I would have chimed in and agreed
- 23 that the challenge to the non-project management agencies,
- 24 which are Joe's and mine, of keeping up with this stuff is
- 25 huge, huge. And if we're going to be good trustees, we're

- 1 basically using state general fund -- I don't know what
- 2 you're using but I'm using state general fund money to
- 3 support the analytical work the we do.
- 4 MR. MEADE: Could I use the state general
- 5 fund?
- 6 MS. BALLARD: No.
- 7 MR. MEADE: The National Forest System
- 8 would appreciate it.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: Yeah, I'm sure they would but
- 10 it is a big responsibility and in fact that work being
- 11 performed by Pete and Brett is over and above what this is
- 12 compensation for. Because this is compensation
- 13 specifically for these actual ongoing research projects.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: True.
- MS. BALLARD: The staffing to the Council
- 16 is unaccounted for anywhere. And Drue, for that matter,  ${\tt I}$
- 17 guess.
- 18 MR. RENKES: I have a hard time hearing
- 19 down here, so what's the proposal?
- MS. BALLARD: We haven't made one....
- MR. RENKES: Oh, okay.
- MS. BALLARD: ....we're just whining right
- 23 now. This is sort of.....
- 24 MR. MEADE: We're officially whining that
- 25 in the past we talked about support provided by each of our

- 1 agencies towards the separate that's not accurately
- 2 captured here. And recognizing this is not probably the
- 3 right forum for making a change, I wanted to send a flag up
- 4 the pole that we need to look at this as a policy issue as
- 5 a board to discern if we should be compensating employees.
- 6 Right now Steve Zemke, a National Forrest Employee in
- 7 National Forrest pay is, you know, contributing
- 8 significantly from the Chugach National Forrest budget for
- 9 the purposed of EVOS that's not charging as work. And I
- 10 hear Ernesta stating the same with DEC.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I would -- well, we
- 12 don't have a motion on the table, correct?
- 13 MS. BALLARD: Till you make one.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I can't make a motion
- 15 but I have a suggestion. My suggestion would be that we
- 16 ask Paula to survey the agencies, and not forget the
- 17 Department of Justice, to get a feel for the amount of time
- 18 that our staff, outside of managing projects that our staff
- 19 works on EVOS related activities, as a course of business.
- 20 And certainly we each probably have some level that goes
- 21 above and beyond just the project management. I'm sure we
- 22 do.
- 23 And perhaps at the December meeting, bring
- 24 back the survey results and if there's a suggestion that
- 25 the Executive Director might have for some sort of

- 1 compensation for those activities, I think we're going to
- 2 be surprised at how much time is spent, and if indeed it
- 3 was rational for the Council to pay for travel for the
- 4 Trustees then I think it's only rational to also reimburse
- 5 agencies for the amount of time that's spent.
- 6 This work doesn't come free to either the
- 7 state or the federal agencies. That is above and beyond
- 8 the project management. I think the system we have set up
- 9 for project management is probably fairly adequate. You
- 10 might not believe so but it would seem to be. Dr.
- 11 Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Are you thinking including
- 13 cost adjustment for the Trustee's salaries for that time
- 14 that the Trustees spend on these materials?
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We trustees, no.
- MS. BALLARD: No.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Your Trustee
- 18 responsibility.....
- 19 MR. MEADE: Staff assistance.
- 20 DR. BALSIGER: Comes with the territory.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....I think in
- 22 each case comes with the territory of the decisions that
- 23 have been made.
- 24 DR. BALSIGER: Well, I'm somewhat relieved
- 25 to hear that but I'm still wondering about the accounting

- 1 nightmare of, for example, Department of Justice attorney
- 2 and how that gets back into the system. But I guess that
- 3 the survey probably is fine to do.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Well, I actually wasn't
- 5 thinking so much that we try to do an accounting and decide
- 6 how to figure out how to send Department of Justice an
- 7 hours worth of compensation for work that Gina does, I was
- 8 thinking more in terms of figuring out what on an
- 9 annualized basis, what's the best guesstimate of how much
- 10 of her time we take. And then we perhaps, if we so choose,
- 11 we perhaps actually send Department of Justice that amount
- 12 of money ahead of time and to each of our agencies too, to
- 13 cover the costs that are above and beyond project
- 14 management for your staff and Joe's staff and everybody
- 15 else's staff.
- 16 Because I do think we have an inadequate
- 17 situation set up and it would be useful to know what we're
- 18 spending.
- 19 MS. BALLARD: Spoken as a good missions and
- 20 measures advocate.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: There you go. But our
- 22 positions are -- the departments are designated in
- 23 individual -- you know, your secretary decides who it's
- 24 going to be as a designee, so.....
- MR. RENKES: How does -- Brett, with the

- 1 Department of Law right now, do we have a reimbursable
- 2 services agreement with EVOS. Are we billing you for our
- 3 time?
- 4 MS. BANKS: No.
- 5 MS. BANKS: Is Craig billing his time?
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I don't think so.
- 7 MS. BANKS: No. No, you've opted not to.
- 8 MR. RENKES: I just didn't realize that.
- 9 We do -- you know, most of our client....
- 10 MS. BALLARD: Welcome to the problem. Now
- 11 he's going to wake up down there.
- 12 MR. RENKES: Most of our client agency, I
- 13 just figured we -- you know, on the legal side, since most
- 14 of the work that we do is billed to other state client
- 15 agencies, I assumed that we were billing EVOS. So thanks,
- 16 Joe, because I.....
- MS. BALLARD: You are. You're billing all
- 18 the state agencies for the work you're doing on EVOS
- 19 because it's in your overhead rate. If you're not billing
- 20 it directly it's.....
- MR. RENKES: That's -- okay.
- MS. BALLARD: So basically -- I mean, in
- 23 fairness, the rest of the state budget is paying the
- 24 expense that you're not billing directly. And that's my
- 25 dilemma too.

- 1 MR. RENKES: Well, that's not necessarily
- 2 true -- or to get into the weeds on this but we have a
- 3 significant general fund component that we just take on
- 4 obligations like this and eat that, that don't go into our
- 5 overhead rate.
- 6 MS. BALLARD: Then it's general fund, which
- 7 is what mine is. Because I couldn't put it any place else.
- 8 So basically the state general fund, without revealing that
- 9 to the legislature or anybody else, is picking up it. And
- 10 I think if you did add it up, it's a lot of money. It's an
- 11 undisclosed large amount of money.
- MS. PHILLIPS: We will prepare something
- 13 for the December meeting.
- 14 MR. DUFFY: If we did that information in
- 15 front of us today, if I'm understanding the cycle, this
- 16 would be the point in time where we would makes some
- 17 suggested modifications to the project management budget
- 18 for the year to address it, correct?
- MS. BANKS: Yes. Yes.
- 20 MR. RENKES: You know, as we work, I'd like
- 21 to maybe work toward, instead of waiting for some kind of
- 22 audit, you know, we're not billing the time of the lawyers
- 23 as we work on some of the issues that we've raised here.
- 24 Yeah, I'd like to give the authority to the Executive
- 25 Director to enter into these more traditional reimbursable

- 1 services agreements with Justice and the Department of Law
- 2 because, you know, at least in those two cases, they're not
- 3 supporting me so much in my role as a trustee with here,
- 4 they're actually supporting the work of the Trustee Council
- 5 and the staff on the issues that come up.
- 6 So they're almost staff of the Council and
- 7 I think we ought to have -- and, you know, we could easily
- 8 -- we have a rate and we -- I know Justice and Law -- I
- 9 think Justice does but you record your time, don't you?
- 10 Yeah. We record our time. So we have a, you know, already
- 11 a structure in place for doing it, it's just almost an
- 12 aberration that we're not billing EVOS for the time that we
- 13 spend supporting the staff and the work of Gail and the
- 14 Council.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We could then eat into
- 16 our budget pretty quickly. Mr. Duffy.
- 17 MR. DUFFY: Just so as an interim measure
- 18 if we do this survey and talk to the agencies represented
- 19 here, including Department of Law, we would have a handle
- 20 on those costs for the December meeting. I think that's
- 21 what we're asking Gail to get a handle. Then you could
- 22 propose something to address your situation at that point.
- 23
- 24 MR. RENKES: I guess I don't understand why
- 25 we'd want to wait. It's just that.....

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We want to know how
- 2 much we're getting for free from you before we approve
- 3 going into an agreement.
- DR. BALSIGER: Or how much it costs. We
- 5 might stop asking you questions.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yeah, right. Mr.
- 7 Meade.
- 8 MR. MEADE: I just wanted to ask Gregg if
- 9 he would be also including our office of general counsel in
- 10 that select group of legal advisors that are looking to
- 11 find avenue for quick compensation here. We also have.....
- MR. RENKES: Well, I was trying to separate
- 13 folks that are directly supporting the work of the staff
- 14 and the Executive Director and the Council as a whole
- 15 versus.....
- MR. MEADE: Versus OGC which is more
- 17 representing the Department of Agriculture's.....
- MR. RENKES: Right.
- 19 MR. MEADE: ....legal interest, okay.
- 20 MR. RENKES: Right, right. And a distinct,
- 21 just like we pay the staff of the Trustee Council, you
- 22 know, the federal and state support that's directly
- 23 provided to the Trustee Council as a whole as opposed to an
- 24 individual trustee should be paid. I don't think it's, you
- 25 know, some astronomical number. Because I know Craig is

- 1 the only one doing this and he's working on a lot of other
- 2 things for DEC and other client services. A portion of his
- 3 time.
- 4 MR. MEADE: I'm in general concurrence with
- 5 you, I just wanted to understand how you saw our different
- 6 departments of office to general counsel. I would presume
- 7 I would ROGC just the same as my special assistant would
- 8 both audit their time accordingly and follow suit with the
- 9 Executive Director's effort separate from your suggestion
- 10 as it relates to the legal advisors that are directly
- 11 working on behalf of the Executive Director.
- MR. RENKES: And the Council, yeah, as part
- 13 of the settlement agreement.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I know for example in
- 15 the past the Department of Interior's solicitors have done
- 16 some negotiations and the actual writing on some of the
- 17 earlier purchase agreements. So there was a substantial
- 18 cost in some cases.
- 19 Jim.
- DR. BALSIGER: We have general counsel in
- 21 Juneau that advising me from time to time on things that
- 22 would not fall into this category but Craig O'Connor, who
- 23 was also general counsel has provided advice to the whole
- 24 group, so I presume you're looking at his time as well, an
- 25 estimate.

- 1 MR. MEADE: Madame Chair.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: This is going to be a
- 3 fun survey.
- 4 MR. RENKES: Well, can we give the
- 5 Executive Director the authority to sort this out and to
- 6 the extent that there's work being, particularly legal work
- 7 being requested or provided in the support of the work of
- 8 the staff and the Trustee Council on a day to day basis,
- 9 that just like any other agency, you have the opportunity
- 10 to work out some kind of reimbursable services arrangement
- 11 with the folks providing that support. And then separately
- 12 we do an accounting of each agency and how much, you know,
- 13 time and effort work staff is spending supporting the work
- 14 of the Trustee. Was that your proposal, Joe, that you
- 15 were....
- MR. MEADE: Yeah.
- 17 MR. RENKES: Yeah, and we do it for the
- 18 December meeting, two different problems.
- 19 MR. MEADE: And to be clear, if I may, the
- 20 last I am -- is worried about overly bureaucratic,
- 21 cumbersome processes. More principally it's an audit
- 22 principle we should be able to identify where work is
- 23 accomplished and charge this work. And we're really not
- 24 following that financial control, so.....
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Ms. Banks, you have a

- 1 comment?
- MS. BANKS: Yes. Procedurally it would be
- 3 a lot cleaner to just amend the 250 budget and give each
- 4 agency a dollar figure based on, you know, the percentage
- 5 of their time and the estimated salary of the individual
- 6 who would be providing the assistance to the Council.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So we can approve the
- 8 budget today and amend it in December? That's probably the
- 9 cleanest -- but that doesn't speak to the Attorney
- 10 General's request, which is that we just generally give
- 11 Executive Director the authority to enter into an agreement
- 12 for those legal services.
- MS. BANKS: Or there could be an
- 14 anticipated, you know, number of hours with your
- 15 administrative department. I know, I can't remember
- 16 exactly what it was and I won't tell you what the figure is
- 17 but, you know, based on the percentage or number of hours
- 18 you anticipate giving throughout the year and.....
- 19 MR. RENKES: Yeah, we just -- that's what
- 20 we usually do. We just negotiate an agreement with you and
- 21 it would have a cap on it then we'd bill against that cap.
- 22 MS. BANKS: Right or I could just put it
- 23 into the budget and then it would be sitting in your system
- 24 and you'd be able to access it that way.
- MR. RENKES: Right.

- 1 MS. BANKS: Rather than going through the
- 2 route of submitting an invoice and then going through that,
- 3 it would be less cumbersome. But we could do it either
- 4 way.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So that means it's back
- 6 to the....
- 7 MR. RENKES: Do you have the authority to
- 8 figure that out or do we have to take some action with
- 9 respect to the budget?
- MS. BANKS: To figure what out?
- 11 MR. RENKES: To sit down, get whatever the
- 12 cap is that would applicable to the law or -- Department of
- 13 Law or Department of Justice and enter into an agreement.
- 14 Do you have that authority or do you need us to do
- 15 something now with respect to the budget to have that
- 16 authority?
- MS. BANKS: There would have to be -- the
- 18 Council would have to approve funds for that, yes. And so
- 19 it would be the same as to whether or not -- you know, it
- 20 would be the same thing. Yeah, either amending this or
- 21 approving additional funds to, you know, to do a contract
- 22 with the Department of Law.
- MR. RENKES: Okay.
- MS. BALLARD: Can't it then wait, all wait
- 25 till December after Gail does her homework?

- 1 MR. RENKES: Okay, we'll put an agreement
- 2 together and have it for December.
- 3 MS. BALLARD: I'm never going to buy a used
- 4 car from you, you drive a hard bargain. I want the money
- 5 now.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: That brings us back to
- 7 the project management budget that is before us, which we
- 8 anticipate we'll be amending in December but we do have
- 9 this one.
- 10 MS. BALLARD: So we have a motion on the
- 11 floor.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We don't have a motion
- 13 yet. I don't think.
- MS. BALLARD: I made a motion.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Oh, did you, I'm sorry.
- MS. BALLARD: Oh no, no. That was the
- 17 other one.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: No, not on this one.
- 19 You did on the other one.
- 20 MS. BALLARD: I'm sorry, yeah, Paula still
- 21 isn't....
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Paula.
- MS. BANKS: One other thing when
- 24 considering approval of this budget, the anticipated
- 25 projects that the Executive Director recommended for

- 1 funding are included in these figures. So just wanted to
- 2 make you aware of that.
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So they may be high.
- 4 MS. BALLARD: Paula, don't I understand
- 5 that the actual remittances would be based on the number of
- 6 projects that come in to -- that aren't approved. I picked
- 7 up what you just said, that there are -- the entire set of
- 8 proposed projects is in here so if any less than that, the
- 9 budget would exceed the prospective actual reimbursement.
- 10 But did I not also understand you to say that it was on an
- 11 actual billed basis or an actual.....
- MS. BANKS: No, it's not on an actual
- 13 billed basis. These monies that are in this budget will
- 14 actually be taken and given to that agency and then they
- 15 will charge their salaries against that lump of money. So
- 16 for an example, Fish and Game has 12 projects from '04,
- 17 they're going to get 12 months of funding if this budget is
- 18 approved. NOAA has seven projects from '04. If nothing is
- 19 approved in '05, they'll get at least seven months salary.
- 20 If any additional projects are approved for '05, those
- 21 projects will be added to their number of projects that
- 22 they have to approve and they'll receive additional one
- 23 month salary for each project that it's approved in '05.
- MS. BALLARD: So you'd have to have an
- 25 approved project before you up the ante.

- 1 MS. BANKS: Correct.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: But you can still
- 3 approve it as is.
- 4 MS. BALLARD: You can approve it as is
- 5 because it doesn't commit you to any number of approved
- 6 projects.
- 7 MS. BANKS: Correct.
- 8 MS. BALLARD: Yes, that's what I
- 9 understood.
- 10 MS. BANKS: And we -- you know, I can
- 11 adjust it based on the number of projects that are
- 12 approved.
- MR. MEADE: Per agency.
- MS. BANKS: Per agency, exactly.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Okay. Further
- 16 discussion?
- MS. BANKS: If I get your blessing to do
- 18 that.
- 19 MS. BALLARD: So move approval of the
- 20 project's budget.
- MR. MEADE: I second it.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and
- 23 second. Seeing no discussion, all those in favor say aye.
- IN UNISON: Aye.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?

- 1 (No audible responses)
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries with the
- 3 expectation that we'll have an amendment before us at the
- 4 December meeting. That brings us to data management.
- 5 MS. PHILLIPS: And I would call on Rob
- 6 Bochenek to come up and go through the data management, our
- 7 data manager. Thanks, Paula.
- 8 MR. BOCHENEK: Hi.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Hi.
- 10 MS. BALLARD: Hi.
- 11 MR. BOCHENEK: I thought maybe it would be
- 12 important to kind of discuss some of the responsibilities
- 13 and duties of data management before I got into the budget.
- 14 Basically I think there's four specific responsibilities
- 15 that are actually performed by data management in the EVOS
- 16 Trustee Council. That includes specifically the primary
- 17 responsibilities to create a data archiving system for all
- 18 the data produced from EVOS sponsored projects.
- 19 Secondly, network, robust -- a robust, a
- 20 creation of a kind of robust network system for the office.
- 21 We're charged with keeping file servers, web servers and
- 22 various internet servers and things like that up and
- 23 running. Doing the research on these pieces of equipment
- 24 and also performing the procurement processes and
- 25 documenting these things and keeping patches and everything

- 1 kind of running smoothly.
- 2 Our third responsibility is specific to
- 3 user support. We assist EVOS staff in utilizing the
- 4 technology that they do just to perform their jobs and
- 5 assist them in finding new technology, getting training for
- 6 the products that they need to do and basically just help
- 7 assist the staff in performing their jobs.
- 8 The fourth responsibility is actually
- 9 modeling the business processes associated with the EVOS
- 10 business cycle. This is where a lot of the development
- 11 has occurred in the last year. In order to kind of
- 12 expedite all this administrative kind of jobs that we've
- 13 all been doing, we've been attempting to create some type
- 14 of centralized system that tracks proposals as they come in
- 15 the door in response to our RFP all the way through the
- 16 various ratification processes and then modeling the
- 17 various management criteria that we have to follow after
- 18 those proposals get funded. And this includes electronic
- 19 documents, funding distributions per agencies, basic
- 20 budgetary documents. Everything that's basically included
- 21 in the work plan was actually generated from a database.
- This system right now is enabled through
- 23 the web, through three specific types of interfaces. You
- 24 have an internet, intranet and an extranet. Right now the
- 25 internet and the intranet have been created. These are

- 1 systems which allow -- the internet is a system which
- 2 allows the public to view various information that we
- 3 produce and documents and just view the status of the GEM
- 4 program in general.
- 5 The intranet is a web system that's limited
- 6 to -- its accessibility is limited to within the EVOS
- 7 office, so it's for staff here. It's a common place for
- 8 all of us to basically store information concerning
- 9 proposals. It gives you accountability in terms of your
- 10 job and basically your various responsibilities. And also
- 11 offers a management tool in that you can observe the flow
- 12 of information and basically assess how people are
- 13 performing their jobs and recording the various management
- 14 aspects of each project.
- The extranet is the next phase of the
- 16 development of this business system. And what it's going
- 17 to include is a web space for liaisons and Trustee Council
- 18 members to access on the web and to be able to monitor the
- 19 status of projects, proposals, information, budgetary
- 20 figures and so forth. We're looking to deploy the
- 21 extranet, which is for people outside of the office, but
- 22 limited to people who have log ins. Probably within about
- 23 six months.
- If there's any questions on the duties, I
- 25 could answer those or I could go straight to the budget.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- 2 MR. DUFFY: Yeah, Rob, just a quick
- 3 question for you on the intranet. I know it's something
- 4 we've been working on in our department but do you have a
- 5 -- does the organization have a set of internal protocols
- 6 on what your posting requirements on your intranet?
- 7 MR. BOCHENEK: Posting requirements on the
- 8 intranet.
- 9 MR. DUFFY: Intranet.
- 10 MR. BOCHENEK: Okay, I'm unfamiliar with
- 11 posting requirements.
- 12 MR. DUFFY: Well, you said that it was --
- 13 the intranet was a tool you could use to track what the
- 14 employees are doing and what issues they're working on and
- 15 I'm just wondering if there's a set of rules about the type
- 16 of information they provide on the intranet.
- 17 MR. BOCHENEK: Oh, absolutely. It's all
- 18 quality controlled, quality assured. You're only allowed
- 19 to enter in specific information. It's not just -- it's
- 20 not like a Word document, it's actually pages and database
- 21 structures that are set up to model the various processes
- 22 that each project goes through. Like final reports,
- 23 tracking deliverables, things like that.
- 24 What we've run into in the past is most of
- 25 this information has been tracked individually in

- 1 spreadsheets and in people's own filing mechanisms. And
- 2 so, when for instance, there's a staffing change or for
- 3 instance when a staff member is out of the office, it's
- 4 very hard to track down this information and determine what
- 5 type of methods were used to track this information. But
- 6 this is a common informational web space that everyone can
- 7 understand but you actually have your own specific area
- 8 where you're responsible for the information but you have
- 9 access to all the information, so.....
- 10 MR. DUFFY: Thank you, I appreciate that
- 11 explanation and the language posting requirements was my
- 12 language only. I know it probably doesn't fit in with what
- 13 you do for a living.
- MR. BOCHENEK: Can I go on to the budget?
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, please.
- 16 MR. BOCHENEK: Okay. We've been trying
- 17 really hard to reduce costs and be as economical as
- 18 possible in terms of data management. And we, by --
- 20 of certain scientific hardware, we were able to kind of
- 21 share the cost on some of our equipment purchases. The
- 22 National Pacific Research Board contributed around four --
- 23 I think \$3,000, covering about a third of the cost of a
- 24 data server which is going to house all of our MEGADATA
- 25 concerning the data being collected by EVOS sponsored

- 1 projects. And it's creating protocols and standards for
- 2 MEGADATA that are going to be combined with both AOOS,
- 3 NPRB, AYK and GEM.
- We're looking to develop this system using
- 5 open source database technology. The reason why we're
- 6 doing this is it's a lot more difficult to work with but
- 8 should be distributable and given away for free to help
- 9 assist other agencies deal with their data management
- 10 problems.
- 11 I'll go specifically to personnel and line
- 12 by line on just budget categories. Right now we have 1.3
- 13 FTE's allotted for personnel. The reason why the one-third
- 14 is nine months the salary for the analyst programmer
- 15 position is going to be funded through the NOS grant and
- 16 take specifically out of science management budget. So the
- 17 cost for this position has actually moved to science
- 18 management. So I just -- make sure that you guys aren't
- 19 confused, you probably were not.
- Travel, we've reduced by about \$3,000.
- 21 Most of this travel is for attending professional
- 22 conferences and also we're traveling down to get some
- 23 specific training on this open source software that we're
- 24 going to be employing on our data server. The course and
- 25 travel down for this instructional course is going to run

- 1 around \$4,000 for each analyst, the analyst programmer and
- 2 myself, the data manager. The reason why it's so expensive
- 3 is there's not any type of training like this offered
- 4 anywhere regionally and there's only a few places in the
- 5 world you can possibly get it.
- The alternative was using a technology that
- 7 wasn't open source, such as an Oracle database and the
- 8 licensing for that would run around \$80,000. So instead of
- 9 pursuing that for a proof of concept design, we decided to
- 10 go with open source, to test those out first.
- 11 Contractual, we're down to around 6,000
- 12 from 8,000 and that just includes some additional training.
- 13 The training that I was just speaking of was bought with
- 14 surplus end of the year funds from last year's budget.
- 15 We're actually under -- we have some cash left over from
- 16 last year, we didn't spend the entire 156K.
- 17 Commodities are basically broke down to
- 18 software upgrades, tapes for our backup server and just
- 19 another laptop for data management to use while travelling.
- 20 Equipment, general administration, all
- 22 But it looks like in personnel, we have our analyst
- 23 programmer II cited to be promoted from an AP II to an AP
- 24 III. And that I understand earlier you were -- the Council
- 25 was discussing that that is a personnel issue but this

- 1 budget reflects that increase, that promotion.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Questions? Mr. Duffy,
- 3 then Mr. Balsiger.
- 4 MR. DUFFY: So Rob, FY 04 to FY 05, that
- 5 Page 1 of your budget reflects the difference, is that
- 6 correct? Just for you and Michael's costs?
- 7 MR. BOCHENEK: Yes, yes, Michael is slated
- 8 to be promoted from an AP II to an AP III.
- 9 MR. DUFFY: Okay, and I do get confused
- 10 about the way that these positions are moved around to
- 11 different funding sources. So we don't understand all
- 12 that, we are confused when you talk about that. But one
- 13 thing that caught my attention is just Page 5 of 5, you've
- 14 got a -- it says FY 04 project budget and then authorized
- 15 FY 03, then gives a series of figures.
- 16 MR. BOCHENEK: Right.
- 17 MR. DUFFY: Was this the cost for '03 only
- 18 and you're comparing '03 to '04 and '05 on Page 1 or what
- 19 is that?
- MR. BOCHENEK: I think that this may be a
- 21 remnant but I believe that figure is what was budgeted in
- 22 FY 03. But that was not what was spent in FY 03, it was
- 23 much less than that.
- MR. DUFFY: Okay. All right, thanks.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.

- DR. BALSIGER: This may be for you but you
- 2 said that there's -- through NPRB you have 4,000 for
- 3 servers which kind of caught my ear. Are there other --
- 4 because I don't think it shows up in the budgets -- are
- 5 there other grants or projects or funded proposals that
- 6 EVOS has that don't show up in the budget? Probably not
- 7 for you but....
- 8 MR. BOCHENEK: For instance, you mean money
- 9 coming into EVOS?
- DR. BALSIGER: Yeah, for example -- yeah.
- 11 MR. BOCHENEK: The NOS grant is the only
- 12 one I'm aware of.
- DR. BALSIGER: But there's a separate
- 14 section on that. So the -- but this -- so there's no big
- 15 deal to get 4,000 from NPRB, it just caught my eye.
- MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, and actually the
- 17 purchasing of that server, in terms of orchestrating the
- 18 fiscal movement of those monies, was probably more
- 19 expensive in terms of time spent in trying to -- you know,
- 20 the time of -- the amount of administrative jiggling we had
- 21 to do in order to get NPRB's money just to pay for this.
- 22 We just wanted to make an example that we're attempting to
- 23 coordinate.
- 24 DR. BALSIGER: And if I could, where do you
- 25 work in things like aging of your computers, because

- 1 there's no equipment money here at all, like to replace
- 2 your machines.
- 3 MR. BOCHENEK: Our servers, right now,
- 4 basically they're about a year old. When I first came into
- 5 this office about two years ago, we didn't have servers.
- 6 We didn't have some file servers.
- 7 DR. BALSIGER: So all the PC's on
- 8 everybody's desk, you'll just, you know, is going to be a
- 9 big budget item in two years.
- 10 MR. BOCHENEK: We have a -- I mean,
- 11 bas.....
- DR. BALSIGER: One laptop.
- MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, one laptop and then
- 14 there -- each individual budget includes some money for
- 15 hardware and software upgrades. We have 10,000
- 16 specifically for software upgrades and licenses. Now that
- 17 probably should also say hardware. And that \$10,000 will
- 18 probably be spent on, like say a video card would go out or
- 19 a network card or something like that.
- DR. BALSIGER: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Who owns the server?
- 22 The NPRB?
- MR. BOCHENEK: The stipulation kind of
- 24 governing the location and ownership of the server is
- 25 detailed in a memorandum of agreement that is signed by

- 1 Gail Phillips for the EVOS Trustee Council and then
- 2 Clarence Pautzke, the National Pacific Research Board. It
- 3 will reside within this organization until this
- 4 organization doesn't exist anymore. And then after that,
- 5 there was a -- I'm not completely sure on the legal
- 6 verbiage in terms of, we sent it to the lawyers and they
- 7 referred, you know, they sent it back to me and I agreed
- 8 with it. I'm not sure exactly. Well.....
- 9 MS. PHILLIPS: What it says?
- 10 MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, right. But what it
- 11 does say is the server will reside in this office as long
- 12 as.....
- MR. MEADE: EVOS office.
- MR. BOCHENEK: ....we exist. In EVOS
- 15 office as long we.....
- MR. MEADE: As long as EVOS exists.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We understand exactly
- 18 what you're talking about.
- MR. MEADE: By then it will have outlived
- 20 its life.
- MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah.
- MS. BALLARD: They probably don't
- 23 understand you any better so.....
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: What sort of interface
- 25 then does this server have say with ARLIS and with -- I

- 1 know that we send materials and -- to ARLIS. Will they
- 2 have access if I walk into ARLIS, will I have access to
- 3 their system?
- 4 MR. BOCHENEK: Well, this server is --
- 5 yeah, are you talking about the server that was purchased
- 6 between the National Pacific Research Board and GEM? This
- 7 server is a development MEGADATA and date server. So it's
- 8 specifically to house scientific data, basic measurements,
- 9 taxonomic measurements, and possibly gridded satellite
- 10 data. I don't think that it's going to be interfacing with
- 11 ARLIS exactly because generally I think you're going to be
- 12 -- in ARLIS you're performing bibliographic searches or
- 13 you're performing index searches. This is actually going
- 14 to house raw measurements.
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And who will have
- 16 access to those raw measurements? Everyone who's
- 17 doing.....
- MR. BOCHENEK: No, it's a complicated
- 19 architecture but the server itself is not a user interface.
- 20 It's not going to provide a user interface. It's a data
- 21 repository and it holds data and creates a protocol in
- 22 which you can access that data. So interested individuals
- 23 or agencies or bodies can build their own user interface to
- 24 access that resource. The idea being anyone who is
- 25 interested in displaying or analyzing or synthesizing data

- 1 produced by EVOS or NPRB has access to it. Now how you're
- 2 going to display that data or represent that data or
- 3 visualize that data is probably up to you.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is that going to be
- 5 free access? Do we charge for it?
- 6 MR. BOCHENEK: You know, we have not come
- 7 to that fork in the road yet but I would assume, it would
- 8 be my desire that it would be free. But I -- that's
- 9 probably a policy issue. What we're more concerned with is
- 10 the proof of concept. Is building the system and then
- 11 showing that you can provide universal access to the data.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: But certain security things you
- 14 guys are insuring with this system. In other words, those
- 15 -- as an example, a principal investigator wants to get in
- 16 and look at some data and then do whatever he wants to do
- 17 he or she wants to do with it.
- MR. BOCHENEK: Right.
- MR. DUFFY: The actual taxonomic data and
- 20 other information in your MEGADATA base is not able to be
- 21 manipulated within the database that you hold, right?
- MR. BOCHENEK: No, no, it's a
- 23 crystallized.....
- MR. DUFFY: Okay.
- MR. BOCHENEK: ....I mean, it's frozen,

- 1 it's not.....
- MR. DUFFY: I didn't know the right term
- 3 but I was....
- 4 MS. BALLARD: Crystallized.
- 5 MR. DUFFY: Crystallized, okay. Thank you.
- 6 MR. MEADE: I make a motion.
- 7 MR. DUFFY: I said posting, like the old
- 8 accounting days.
- 9 MR. MEADE: I post a motion that we accept
- 10 the data budget as submitted.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is there a second?
- MS. BALLARD: Second.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion.....
- MS. BALLARD: I'd like to add too, that was
- 15 a very good presentation. Thank you, Rob. You made it
- 16 understandable.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 18 second. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
- 19 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 21 (No audible responses)
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The motion carries.
- 23 See what three undergraduate degrees will do for you.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Our next budget is the ARLIS
- 25 budget and I'd ask Carrie to come forward.

- 1 MS. HOLBA: The 550 budget represents.....
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I just tried to get you
- 3 a new computer. Or a new server.
- 4 MS. HOLBA: The 550 budget and project
- 5 proposal represents the request for the Trustee Council's
- 6 continuing participation as a founder in the Alaska
- 7 Resources Library and Information Services.
- 8 MS. BALLARD: I can barely hear you.....
- 9 MS. HOLBA: I'm sorry.
- MS. BALLARD: ....even though you're
- 11 sitting right. That won't do any good, you've just got to
- 12 do it.
- MS. HOLBA: Our project proposal represents
- 14 our request for the Trustee Council to continue to
- 15 participate as a founder in the Alaska Resources Library
- 16 and Information Services. And in doing so, we're
- 17 requesting that the Trustee Council fund one FTE librarian
- 18 and provide a cash contribution in the amount of \$30,000
- 19 that this year would be spent on security camera system for
- 20 our new location.
- 21 I explained in our proposal that the floor
- 22 plan in the library complex is an open floor plan and does
- 23 not provide doors that can be locked after ARLIS hours.
- 24 The library building itself is open until 11:00 o'clock at
- 25 night. ARLIS does not have enough people to staff all of

- 1 the hours that the building is open. We are concerned
- 2 about security.
- 3 The founder's board provided money for high
- 4 density shelving that will lock and we can store our
- 5 special collections in there and that will help. The
- 6 security cameras will also help with keeping things safe.
- 7 The proposal includes cameras, digital recorder and
- 8 monitors. There will be one monitor at the ARLIS reference
- 9 desk and a second monitor at the UAA Consortium Library
- 10 circulation desk. And they would monitor the cameras after
- 11 ARLIS hours.
- 12 This budget request represents seven
- 13 percent of the total ARLIS budget and that contribution
- 14 level for the Trustee Council is down from 10 percent last
- 15 year.
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Questions. Dr.
- 17 Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Thank you. So the seven
- 19 percent of the total budget coincidentally exactly matches
- 20 your salary or do we appreciate benefits of the library
- 21 without paying for it.
- MS. HOLBA: The seven percent of the total
- 23 ARLIS budget, the Trustee Council makes an in-kind
- 24 contribution of my time to ARLIS. And then that actually
- 25 works out to 5.5 percent. The other one and half percent

- 1 is from the cash contribution for the cameras. That totals
- 2 seven percent.
- 3 DR. BALSIGER: And so how does your
- 4 personnel performance system work? Do you get rated and do
- 5 you ever get a raise or is it always going to be the same
- 6 forever?
- 7 MS. HOLBA: Yes, I do and the Executive
- 8 Director performs my performance evaluations.
- 9 DR. BALSIGER: This Executive Director?
- MS. HOLBA: Yes, yes.
- DR. BALSIGER: I see.
- 12 MS. HOLBA: I also should mention that I'm
- 13 a member of the ARLIS management team and we also perform
- 14 performance evaluations for each other. So each year I get
- 15 a performance evaluation from everyone else on the
- 16 management team and those I forward to Gail.
- DR. BALSIGER: I see. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other comments or
- 19 questions? Joe.
- 20 MR. MEADE: I think I overheard your soft
- 21 voice say at the very end that you also see a 10 percent
- 22 reduction in the overall costs. Is that affiliated to no
- 23 longer needing a part-time librarian associated to this
- 24 past year's efforts with the anniversary?
- 25 MS. HOLBA: Yes, to clarify, the Trustee

- 1 Council last year provided 10 percent of the ARLIS budget.
- 2 This year they are hopefully providing seven percent.
- 3 MR. MEADE: Seven. Okay, so a difference
- 4 of three percent.
- 5 MS. HOLBA: And that is because they are
- 6 not funding the Fish and Game library.
- 7 MR. MEADE: Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Additional questions?
- 9 Mr. Duffy.
- 10 MR. DUFFY: This may be for Gail but we've
- 11 got a proposed three year budget in front of us. Are we
- 12 being asked to approve this for three years or just for FY
- 13 05.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Just for this year.
- 15 MR. DUFFY: Just for FY 05, okay. And one
- 16 other note, and I think it was consistent with Jim's,
- 17 Carrie, and that is you're on the system where you do get a
- 18 merit increase each but yet I -- for the three year period,
- 19 I see that not reflected in your projected salary. So you
- 20 might want to consider that for next fiscal year, just so
- 21 it's accurately reflected.
- 22 But generally speaking, the ARLIS program
- 23 has taken I think a very good step forward merging with the
- 24 university system. Sort of a comprehensive effort. It has
- 25 resulted in some reduced cost to the Trustee Council and I

- 1 think it's a good thing. And I personally am going to be
- 2 supportive of the one time security camera request. I know
- 3 that was an issue for the Trustee Council as we were making
- 4 this transition last year. I'm not sure that last part was
- 5 a question, but.....
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Further comments?
- 7 (No audible responses)
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Do we have a motion?
- 9 MR. DUFFY: I would move to approve the FY
- 10 05 ARLIS budget as proposed.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is there a second?
- MR. MEADE: I'll second that.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Seconded by Mr. Meade.
- 14 Discussion?
- 15 (No audible responses)
- 16 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor say
- 17 aye.
- 18 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 20 (No audible responses)
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries. Thank
- 22 you, Carrie, being so patient.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Our next budget is the
- 24 science management budget, budget 630, and I would ask Phil
- 25 to come and make a presentation.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Why don't we take a
- 2 five minute break if people don't mind before we launch
- 3 into this one.
- 4 (Off record 2:48 p.m.)
- 5 (On record 3:02 p.m.)
- 6 DR. BALSIGER: Let's start again. We're
- 7 just at the science management budget. Maybe I've got the
- 8 wrong title but Dr. Mundy is up. Descriptive enough?
- 9 DR. MUNDY: That's it. That's what we do.
- 10 Shall I begin, Mr. Chairman.
- DR. BALSIGER: Yes, please.
- DR. MUNDY: All right, the science
- 13 management budget behind the tab that's marked science
- 14 management has been completely re-written this year in
- 15 response to some changes that have been identified in the
- 16 draft work plan and also in the narrative for the
- 17 management program. This science management budget
- 18 together with some costs for personnel that are included in
- 19 the NOS budget basically addresses seven objectives and
- 20 they're -- allow me to walk through them with you because
- 21 they are -- as I say, this has been completely re-written
- 22 this year. I know that many of the administrative budgets
- 23 in the past have -- stay the same for a long period of time
- 24 and they look very much the same from year to year. This
- 25 one is quite a bit different this year.

- 1 The seven objectives are, number 1,
- 2 providing scientific information for the conclusion of the
- 3 court settlement phase of restoration through
- 4 implementation of the GEM program, which is long term
- 5 monitoring of the continuing effects of oil on injured
- 6 resources. Peer review of proposals and work products.
- 7 Management and integration of project information.
- 8 Reporting of results. Personnel supervision. Office
- 9 systems development in cooperation with data management and
- 10 external scientific liaison. And these functions are
- 11 explained in a good deal of detail inside the document.
- 12 I draw your attention next to Page 5 of the
- 13 narrative. And again, I realize that this is supposed to
- 14 be a budget presentation and I'll get to the budget. But
- 15 since this has been completely re-written, I wanted to draw
- 16 your attention to the most important features. You'll see
- 17 over under project design under objectives, we've laid out
- 18 roughly, in chronological order, all of the things, the
- 19 tasks that we do during the year, such as under objective
- 20 one, revising the science plan, developing the invitation
- 21 and so forth. And then to the right of each objective
- 22 you'll see a -- either a bracket or parenthesis and it will
- 23 have the initials of the position that is primarily
- 24 responsible for the tasks or in some cases there will be a
- 25 series of initials. You can see here under 1.1 we have

- 1 revised the science plan and then on the right hand side is
- 2 SD, that means science director is primarily responsible
- 3 for the overall task. And within that task we have the
- 4 science coordinator, the project manager and the assistant
- 5 to the director. These are all positions that are covered
- 6 either in the science management budget or in the NOS
- 7 grant.
- 8 So the detail objectives will take you over
- 9 through -- that's a lot of detail -- over through Page 9,
- 10 to the top of Page 9. Then on Page 9 you'll see that we
- 11 have called for a number of workshops this year. Workshops
- 12 are a tool that we use in the restoration program fairly
- 13 frequently. We did not do so the last year because these
- 14 are generally organized and led by the science coordinator
- 15 position and that was vacant last year. But we use
- 16 workshops to bring together groups of people. We typically
- 17 get a lot of volunteer labor from the Trustee Council
- 18 agencies in the form of their scientists and others. And
- 19 this year we're looking at a series of workshops, one on
- 20 injured species. We'll do a series of four workshops.
- 21 We're looking at lingering oil recap here later this fall.
- 22 A workshop on the nearshore in cooperation with a series of
- 23 other workshops that are being conducted by contractors.
- 24 Watershed workshop, modeling and STAC workshops are all
- 25 planned. Purposes of these and expected outcomes of these

- 1 workshops are all detailed in this plan.
- 2 Over on Page 11 you'll see the
- 3 organizational chart that's established by the Executive
- 4 Director. And I'll point out that the science director in
- 5 the data management positions in the organizational chart
- 6 on Page 11 are not covered by this budget. They are in
- 7 other budgets, the data management budget and the 100
- 8 administrative budget. In the science manager budget and
- 9 the companion NOS budget, the science coordinator position,
- 10 the analyst programmer position are covered. And then the
- 11 administrative position on the right hand side, the upper
- 12 right hand side, it's occupied by Cherri Womac is also
- 13 covered partially under the NOS budget.
- 14 So that's the chain of command. For the
- 15 principal person in the science program, being the science
- 16 director or the science coordinator, the data management
- 17 head and the program manager. Over on Page 12 we have a
- 18 matrix here, a box. And the rows are our seven objectives
- 19 that have been outlined in the work plan. And the columns
- 20 are the positions that I've just -- the four positions I've
- 21 just outlined. And this gives an approximate layout of
- 22 where people spend their time in terms of FTE's, so you can
- 23 get an idea of the relative amount of time that's required
- 24 by each of those seven objectives by project personnel.
- 25 So with that, we can go over to the budget

- 1 pages here. And I just wanted to bring up some of the key
- 2 points in the budget pages here. The overall project total
- 3 has....
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Just a moment, Dr.
- 5 Mundy.
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Phil, just real quickly back on
- 7 12, just the table you have the seven objectives and then
- 8 you have the science director, science coordinator.
- 9 Explain to me how the numbers work here. Just so I
- 10 understand what you're trying to lay out. I appreciate the
- 11 perspective but I don't quite get it.
- DR. MUNDY: Okay, Madame Chair, the
- 13 Commissioner Duffy is referring to the table, the matrix
- 14 that has the rows, the seven objectives, scientific
- 15 information, peer review, so forth, down to external
- 16 scientific liaison is the rows. And then in the columns
- 17 you have the positions, the science director, the science
- 18 coordinator, the data manager and the program manager. And
- 19 this shows the number of FTE's and we've laid out a -- one
- 20 FTE is 230 days of effort, 46 business weeks. .02 FTE's is
- 21 approximately one business week. And on that basis we've
- 22 shown, for example, if you look in the box in the upper
- 23 left hand corner, the science director would spend about 20
- 24 percent of an FTE on the scientific information objective.
- Now if you want to know in more detail, you

- 1 go over to that objective in the objective section over
- 2 here that starts on Page 5 and you look for the SD on the
- 3 right hand end of the bracket. And so you'll see exactly
- 4 what that means to spend .2 FTE's on whatever. And so
- 5 we've laid this out in that way.
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Thanks, Phil, I got it now. So
- 7 if you sum each column, it's a hundred percent of a time?
- DR. MUNDY: It should, except for rounding
- 9 errors.
- 10 MR. DUFFY: Right.
- DR. MUNDY: It should be roughly a hundred
- 12 percent of time.
- 13 MR. DUFFY: And for the data manager,
- 14 because he spends time also I presume.
- DR. MUNDY: Right, not the -- yeah, thanks
- 16 very much. The data manager only has certain tasks within
- 17 our program. And the data manager is not funded here. The
- 18 science director is not funded here either but spends just
- 19 about all that position on the science management program.
- 20 This also reminds me, if I may Madame Chair, that the -- in
- 21 general the budget items are usually linked to an objective
- 22 so that as we move over to the first budget page marked one
- 23 of 13 and then flip through the budget, you'll notice for
- 24 example on Page 3 we have, under description, we're talking
- 25 here about travel costs and travel and so forth. The first

- 1 line there reads travel for Trustee Council office science
- 2 staff and then it links the objectives that are covered by
- 3 that travel so that you can find out exactly what we're
- 4 traveling for.
- 5 Back on Page 1 of 13, I point out that the
- 6 total requested this year is \$416,000, which does not
- 7 include the NOS funding, which is detailed in another
- 8 budget. But this is the, in terms of the Trustee Council
- 9 contribution to this program, this is the third annual
- 10 decline. It's gone from 551 in FY 03, you can see the
- 11 figure here; 462 for FY 04 and it's now 416. The
- 12 reductions are outlined pretty much throughout the budget.
- 13 The explanations for these are down under the comments
- 14 section, but I will go over those.
- The personnel has significantly increased,
- 16 primarily because we moved the program manager position
- 17 from the administrative budget into this budget to reflect
- 18 the supervision of that position in the science management
- 19 program. And also there were additional costs associated
- 20 with the science coordinator position. The travel has
- 21 declined significantly because we have -- are looking at
- 22 other projects to pick up part of the budget on the
- 23 workshops and the workshops are the primary -- have the
- 24 primary requirement for travel money.
- 25 Contractual has declined significantly.

- 1 One part of that is that we had contract with Sea Grant
- 2 last year to publish the GEM program document and we expect
- 3 that out by April of next year. Optimistically we could
- 4 have a significantly near publication date. So those
- 5 contractual costs have declined. So that's pretty much the
- 6 highlights of the budget and I'll stop there and see if
- 7 there are any questions on this or the NOS grant.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Questions? Yes.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: When you say highlights then,
- 10 unless we have questions, we won't go through the detailed
- 11 pages so I should ask my questions here? Is that the way
- 12 we're going to do it?
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, if it pleases the
- 14 Council, yes.
- 15 MS. BALLARD: Yeah, I think in the interest
- 16 of time, that would be fine with me.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: That's fine.
- 18 MS. BALLARD: I'll now go to some of the
- 19 questions I asked earlier. With both AOOS and PICES -- I
- 20 want to be sure I'm saying the right thing. It seems in
- 21 this budget we've got an actual operating support amount
- 22 allocated to them. And Gail I called you and asked you
- 23 about this, the AOOS support and RSA with the University of
- 24 Alaska -- and I'm on Page 4 of 13 -- and the PICES annual
- 25 mid-year workshop support.

- 1 And I find in the narrative the
- 2 explanation, I think. And generally it says that it's in
- 3 our interest to support the cost of core mission related
- 4 scientific and policy meetings and symposia and AOOS in
- 5 general. And you referred me, Gail, to the April 23rd,
- 6 2003 meeting. I reviewed that meeting, I reviewed the
- 7 minutes of that meeting and the subsequent meeting and I
- 8 don't find that we actually agreed that it was an
- 9 appropriate use of our money to support another
- 10 organization's operating budget.
- 11 And when we were talking about the
- 12 Fisheries meeting, I agreed that that made sense, that was
- 13 a one time event. But I'm not confident we should be
- 14 supporting an operating budget. And if the principal
- 15 reason here as I was asking Phil an hour or so ago is that
- 16 we're actually building our peer review network, then
- 17 ultimately I'd like to get back to that issue and say, you
- 18 know, what's the best way to do that. I'm not comfortable
- 19 using settlement money to support another organization,
- 20 even if it's mission and core purpose related to ours.
- 21 The PICES, I can't quite tell whether we're
- 22 supporting a meeting or a workshop or if we're -- if that's
- 23 really just an offset against their own operating expenses.
- 24 So I can't really tell on that. I got my PICES out and
- 25 brought it and read it. I don't understand that one

- 1 either.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, may I clarify?
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, please.
- DR. MUNDY: Yes, Commissioner Ballard, I
- 5 think that the AOOS -- first of all the PICES is for two
- 6 workshops, one that occurs in conjunction with the annual
- 7 and one that is a mid-year monitoring workshop. But let me
- 8 stress that these are monitoring workshops and these are
- 9 for amounts not to exceed. And the way that we typically
- 10 contribute to the operating costs of these workshops is we
- 11 bring scientists who have a relevant technology that we're
- 12 interested in, we pay their travel costs. Their agencies
- 13 will donate their time. And particularly if we're bringing
- 14 scientists from places like Russia, they are very much
- 15 under funded and so we get a lot of expertise for just the
- 16 cost of a plane ticket.
- 17 So the, for example, our program on the
- 18 Alaska Marine Highway System, our monitoring program, we
- 19 use to provide background information for many of our
- 20 injured species was developed in this way two years ago by
- 21 a workshop bringing in experts from England and Japan who
- 22 had ongoing operational ferry monitoring systems that had
- 23 been in place for a decade. And much of what our jumping
- 24 off point for that project was through that vehicle.
- 25 So the PICES is meeting support, monitoring

- 1 support and again I stress, these are for amounts not to
- 2 exceed. AOOS has not to do with the peer review system.
- 3 AOOS is a completely separate matter in my mind. It had
- 4 never been justified in this budget in terms of peer
- 5 review.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.
- 7 DR. BALSIGER: Well, perhaps you could
- 8 justify AOOS. I more or less understand it because we also
- 9 contribute to it but I think for the purposes of the
- 10 record, explain why it's appropriate to give the 30,000.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Go ahead.
- DR. MUNDY: Okay, right. Let me start by
- 14 stressing that as a Trustee Council staff person, I do what
- 15 I think it is the Council has told me to do. And in this
- 16 case we were told very clearly early on in my tenure here
- 17 that we were to avoid duplication of effort. We were to
- 18 promote efficiency. We were to cooperate with other
- 19 agencies to the full extent possible. And indeed here,
- 20 I'll draw your attention to Page 2, the abstract for the
- 21 0630 project. It says external scientific liaison supports
- 22 the voluntary peer review system and it develops and
- 23 maintains consistency leverages.
- One of the -- okay, eliminates redundancy,
- 25 leverages available funding through coordination with key

- 1 organizations. Now again, some of these organizations are
- 2 a factor in the peer review system and some of these
- 3 organizations factor in eliminating redundancy and
- 4 leveraging available funding through coordination with key
- 5 organizations. The AOOS operation is an extension of our
- 6 staff. We have a position here called a science
- 7 coordinator and that science coordinator this year will be
- 8 responsible for keeping in touch with the results from
- 9 approximately 49 projects. And having that available in
- 10 various reports or just simply orally to any member of the
- 11 public and certainly Council members and agency liaisons on
- 12 request.
- So the ability to have an organization out
- 14 there that coordinates marine science in Alaska,
- 15 particularly our interest is of course Southcentral Alaska,
- 16 the oil spill affected areas, is a tremendous advantage.
- 17 For example the AOOS has coordinated a series of workshops
- 18 on current modeling. And you'll recall that last time we
- 19 considered a modeling proposal and talked about the uses of
- 20 modeling and the question was raised by counsel about
- 21 whether or not other agencies had certain models and the
- 22 degree to which they would be willing to contribute those
- 23 models to our effort.
- 24 The current modeling workshops that have
- 25 been conducted by AOOS have added some value for us because

- 1 they have brought these people together in a place and when
- 2 they didn't have travel money, it was at their expense.
- 3 And we are able to go to those workshops and get that
- 4 information and work with those people. So I see AOOS as a
- 5 -- as long as we are working, you know, towards the
- 6 integration, eliminating redundancy, leveraging available
- 7 funding through coordination with other agencies, I see
- 8 AOOS contributions are a relatively small price to pay for
- 9 having our staff extended in that direction.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger, I came
- 11 away from that Pacific Research Board meeting thinking that
- 12 I understood that AOOS is still in the process of trying to
- 13 get the funding to be a monitoring system or an observing
- 14 system and isn't yet much of anything except people who are
- 15 consistently going out and trying to get the funding to be
- 16 that entity. Am I correct?
- DR. BALSIGER: Well, I think.....
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I mean, there was some
- 19 question about federal funding coming through and the
- 20 Oceans Commission and.....
- DR. BALSIGER: You're certainly correct
- 22 that AOOS and all of its supporters of which has a -- it's
- 23 got like a 16 or 20 member governing board -- has
- 24 expectations of large federal funds in the out years. And
- 25 so many of those groups on the governing committee have

- 1 contributed funds so that AOOS can exist for the purpose of
- 2 planning and looking forward to receiving these funds. But
- 3 I think they also, as Phil -- Dr. Mundy has said, they're
- 4 doing some functional things in the meantime, rather than
- 5 just waiting around until there's large federal
- 6 appropriations.
- 7 And it's hard -- I guess we could have them
- 8 come in here and tell us how much of the funds that we
- 9 contribute is used or it's planning for the future on the
- 10 expectation that they'll have funds versus what they're
- 11 actually doing as a science enterprise right now. But
- 12 there's some mix of that at least.
- 13 DR. BALSIGER: So Dr. Mundy, if the Trustee
- 14 Council chose not -- and separate these two issues -- chose
- 15 not to make this contribution to PICES, which is
- 16 approximately 20-some thousand dollars, or the contribution
- 17 to AOOS, if we chose that that was not an appropriate
- 18 expenditure of these funds, what would be the impacts to
- 19 those organizations?
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, Mr. Commissioner,
- 21 the PICES contribution is \$15,000, \$7,500 in workshop
- 22 support for two workshops. Basically that would mean that
- 23 we would have the workshops and they would be composed of
- 24 the scientists who are assigned by their agencies to attend
- 25 and who are there for other purposes and have their own

- 1 travel funding. We wouldn't have the capacity to invite,
- 2 if we had specific expertise that we looking for, we
- 3 wouldn't have the capacity to invite those people. The
- 4 PICES secretariat itself has very small amounts of funding,
- 5 as you probably know, Mr. Commissioner, because Alaska
- Department of Fish and Game is a participant in this
- 7 process.
- 8 So this a way of having some flexibility in
- 9 terms of pursuing issues as they arise in the monitoring
- 10 community. This has been a very valuable exercise for our
- 11 program because you have access to people who are doing
- 12 monitoring in the entire North Pacific. U.S. federal
- 13 agencies, also state agencies plus the other governments
- 14 involved in the North Pacific in monitoring. And that's
- 15 what first attracted us to this because this is a very good
- 16 place to coordinate and to find out about new technology
- 17 and what other people are doing and planning.
- 18 So as far as AOOS goes, Mr. Commissioner, I
- 19 couldn't tell you what the impact of that would be. I know
- 20 that the idea was to have the members put together the
- 21 funding and attempt to pursue the Integrated Sustained
- 22 Ocean Observing funding. But also, the idea behind the
- 23 AOOS in my mind never -- our participation, that was never
- 24 justified by pursuing federal funding at all.
- 25 Five years ago when we started to put

- 1 together the long term monitoring strategy for injured
- 2 species in the oil spill affected area that we call GEM, we
- B went out to try to find figures and information on what
- 4 other people in the, just the Northern Gulf of Alaska were
- 5 doing in terms of marine monitoring. And we were surprised
- 6 to find out that there isn't any central clearinghouse.
- 7 There isn't any organization which to you can consult.
- 8 And even agencies such as NOAA, the one
- 9 part of NOAA does not necessarily know what another part of
- 10 NOAA is doing. I'm sorry, did I -- since I'm answering the
- 11 Commissioner of Fish and Game, perhaps I certainly wouldn't
- 12 say the one part of ADF&G doesn't know what the other part
- 13 of ADF&G....
- MR. DUFFY: Well, I would understand that
- 15 if you said that.
- DR. MUNDY: Yes, yes.
- 17 MR. DUFFY: But I just didn't know about
- 18 NOAA.
- 19 MS. BALLARD: But you're not getting money
- 20 to fix the problem.
- DR. MUNDY: So we've -- you know, going
- 22 into this, you know, had I been asked for a justification
- 23 for the AOOS funding, I'd say that it is in terms of
- 24 regional cooperation, coordination and integration of
- 25 marine research in the -- from our perspective in the

- 1 Northern Gulf of Alaska. And from the state perspective,
- 2 you know, the state ocean policy perspective, it's
- 3 statewide in the state of Alaska and we play a small part
- 4 in that.
- 5 So the federal funding for IOOS and the
- 6 implementation of the ocean commission report is to coin a
- 7 phrase, pie in the sky. We will have maybe some money from
- 8 that in FY 2007 and maybe not. But this regional
- 9 cooperation, regional planning effort has started and died
- 10 a number of times in the past. For example, the Alaska
- 11 Regional Marine Research Plan in 1992, which was a very
- 12 good start but was also unfortunately an unfunded mandate.
- 13 And that's -- we do reference that in the GEM program
- 14 document.
- 15 So the idea that people will get together
- 16 and build on each other's strengths and coordinate and
- 17 cooperate a marine research is a dream that we've had many
- 18 times in the past but never realized it. So that in my
- 19 mind is what AOOS is all about.
- 20 MR. DUFFY: So just to follow up, so the
- 21 money really goes toward the organizational meetings of the
- 22 AOOS, the participating agencies in AOOS at this point in
- 23 time and it also goes to fund an executive director, part
- 24 of this money, as well as trips back to Washington D.C. to
- 25 try and play on the IOOS circuit and to try and get some

- 1 funding for Alaska, is that accurate?
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, Mr. Commissioner,
- 3 as far as I know.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Commissioner Ballard.
- 5 MS. BALLARD: I find myself here in a very
- 6 interesting position. You may recall the first time that
- 7 the NOS draft came up, I was very uncomfortable about us
- 8 being a grant recipient. And there was a lot of confusion
- 9 about where this money had come from since, in large part
- 10 because of work that Pete did. We've all come to
- 11 understand the considerable efforts that have gone on in
- 12 the IOOS arena back in Washington and the appropriation
- 13 that was made for these start up expenses and the
- 14 identification of the national recipients, the list of
- 15 which was provided in the legislation that Pete provided to
- 16 us that included Wood's Hole and all sorts of places around
- 17 the country that were players in the OOS game.
- 18 And then the second year -- and that's how
- 19 we ended up -- although we're not an OOS officially. And
- 20 then since then I think a couple of things have happened to
- 21 put us in this very odd position today. The lawyers have
- 22 begun to advise us that we're probably not a grant
- 23 receiving agency and probably should never have taken the
- 24 \$750,000 grant that probably should have gone to AOOS. And
- 25 in fact last summer I specifically recommended that the

- 1 Hinchinbrook project grant go to either AOOS or Nancy. And
- 2 I think it is going to Nancy, is it not?
- 3 So here we are with \$750,000, which I'm
- 4 still embarrassed that we have because I don't think we
- 5 should have it, and yet we're funding to some degree AOOS
- 6 that ought to have that money. And I'm also uncomfortable
- 7 about that. So I -- I mean, I just don't see where it's
- 8 appropriate for us to be giving -- we're not a granting --
- 9 in that sense. Our business, we're not a United Way.
- 10 We're not in the business of raising money for other people
- 11 so that they can pursue their mission, we're trying to get
- 12 our job done.
- So I find us in a very odd position here.
- 14 Molly should have the \$750,000 that we have. We've got
- 15 that money and then this small amount shows up here and I
- 16 don't like voting for it either. So I don't know what to
- 17 do. I'm going to wait till somebody makes a motion and
- 18 then decided. But I just -- when I read the budget
- 19 narrative on the NOS grant, it just makes me extremely
- 20 uncomfortable that we're deferring costs of a settlement
- 21 that we have plenty of money to implement with money that
- 22 has been lobbied for by this organization which you
- 23 correctly described Phil and Jim as being struggling to get
- 24 national attention focused on the goals of the Ocean
- 25 Commission Report and all the others. This is a very

- 1 confusing situation.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, could I.....
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Go right ahead.
- DR. MUNDY: Point of information. At the
- 5 time that the grant that we're talking about here, we're
- 6 referring to as the NOS grant, recall that this is money
- 7 that is spread over a three year period that originated,
- 8 the paperwork, back in FY 03 and the first funding year in
- 9 FY 04. There was no AOOS organization.
- 10 So the history on that is that as far as
- 11 the national IOOS group, as far as the Ocean.us was
- 12 concerned, GEM and the Trustee Council was the only
- 13 operation. You say we're not an official ocean observing
- 14 system, the National Federation of Oceans Observing Systems
- 15 is in the process of being organized. So strictly
- 16 speaking, there aren't any official ocean observing system
- 17 organizations in the United States yet. So they have --
- 18 basically they're putting the funding in to try to generate
- 19 groups that will qualify as parts of the national
- 20 federation.
- 21 So as a point of information, the grant was
- 22 given to EVOS, I believe, although I am -- I'm not certain
- 23 that I know that but in any event, other regions of the
- 24 country were getting very large amounts of money. So if
- 25 you lay out all of the areas around the country, all the

- 1 geographic regions, you look at how much money they were
- 2 being given, \$750,000 was probably a token amount passed
- 3 out on a geographic basis.
- 4 MS. BALLARD: On the list that Peter
- 5 produced, it was half. The typical grant was a million
- 6 five and ours was 750. I mean, I'm just going on the
- 7 legislature -- or the appropriations language that he
- 8 produced. And yes, we were smaller and I understand the
- 9 sequencing but it's very odd to find ourselves in this
- 10 position. So I'm done with my comments.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other comments?
- 12 (No audible responses)
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: A university is
- 14 probably the least efficient place to put any organization.
- 15 Kevin.
- MR. DUFFY: Phil, are you done or are you
- 17 still going through the budget?
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chairman, Mr.
- 19 Commissioner, I'm just -- I'm through with my presentation.
- 20 I'm just here to answer questions if needed.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Yeah, I got a quick one. Just
- 23 explain to me on Page 8 of 13 what that is. Applied marine
- 24 sciences, scientific oversight of lingering oil effects.
- 25 Eight of 13 in your budget forms.

- 1 DR. MUNDY: Right, I don't need a page --
- 2 yeah, that's our lingering oil subcommittee that is the --
- 3 we still have specialized needs in terms of lingering oil,
- 4 oil toxicology in those areas. And lingering oil is one of
- 5 the subcommittees that's identified in the science
- 6 management budget back on Page 15. You'll see the
- 7 organization of the scientific committees. We pulled all
- 8 of the watershed, the nearshore and the offshore and ACC up
- 9 into habitat subcommittee in order to save costs. But the
- 10 oil effects subcommittee is chaired by Dr. Spies and this
- 11 is a contract, again, the way that I look at it, is for an
- 12 amount not to exceed. Because we call on Dr. Spies as
- 13 needed and Dr. Spies calls on people as he needs them and
- 14 so this covers those costs.
- 15 MR. DUFFY: So is it his personnel costs or
- 16 is it a combination of his personnel and subcommittee
- 17 travel or what is it, I don't know.
- DR. MUNDY: The contract -- we have a
- 19 detailed budget on this, Mr. Commissioner, that we can make
- 20 available but it is primarily his personnel cost, the
- 21 personnel cost of his contractors, his travel costs and any
- 22 travel costs associated with non-agency members of the oil
- 23 affect subcommittee.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.
- 25 DR. BALSIGER: So on that subcommittee, is

- 1 there product that comes from the subcommittee or do they
- 2 just provide oversight to those scientists who are funded
- 3 to do lingering oil studies?
- 4 DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair. They provide --
- 5 their product -- actually it's services. Their peer review
- 6 services is the primary thing that I call on them for. For
- 7 example, we still have final reports coming in from several
- 8 years ago, three and four years ago on oil spill toxicology
- 9 type areas and single species oil spill damages. And we
- 10 generally send those out to Dr. Spies to have him review it
- 11 because he has reviewers who have been working with him for
- 12 years on these topics and it's very efficient and
- 13 inexpensive to have them go through it as opposed to
- 14 sending it out to a new person. So we still send those
- 15 final reports to him.
- This last spring, for example, when we had
- 17 some interim projects, some mid-year projects on lingering
- 18 oil effects that we, the Council, voted on in May, we --
- 19 Dr. Spies led that peer review and it was under this
- 20 contract that we paid for his services.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions?
- 22 (No audible responses)
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: What's the pleasure of
- 24 the Council.
- MS. BALLARD: I'll move approval of this

- 1 budget without the direct support line for AOOS.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is there a second?
- 3 MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 5 second.
- 6 MR. MEADE: Question.
- 7 MS. BALLARD: You're ready for the question
- 8 or you have a question?
- 9 MR. MEADE: Discussion. No, discussion.
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Discussion. Yes,
- 11 please. Go ahead.
- MR. MEADE: Discussion.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Go ahead.
- 14 MR. MEADE: And you'll highlight for me the
- 15 amount that's in that line item.
- MS. BALLARD: \$32,000.
- 17 MR. MEADE: The struggle I have is I also
- 18 recognize the importance in the role today for
- 19 collaboration. And the best I've been able to glean both
- 20 last year and this with this line item is it's about
- 21 fostering and encouraging that collaboration. I don't want
- 22 to be -- I don't want to create consternation in not having
- 23 consensus over that point but I guess I just want it to
- 24 reflect my concern for the amount of investment here to
- 25 leverage our ability through collaboration seems to me to

- 1 be an important value in the role of public service.
- 2 And even though the EVOS board here is not
- 3 a public service agency, we certainly are entrusted on the
- 4 public's behalf to carry out much the same principles. So
- 5 I struggle on this on in that I see value through
- 6 partnership collaboration and through leveraging our
- 7 ability through the skills of others. Presuming that's
- 8 what this is, and that's what I've best -- I've been able
- 9 to glean, it seems appropriate but I would not.....
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Commissioner Ballard.
- 11 MS. BALLARD: Joe, the reason I -- and I
- 12 understand what you say, but my understanding of AOOS
- 13 structure is that it has a board formed of contributing
- 14 agencies and I happen to have an analysis here provided by
- 15 my staff that lists the current signators. And all of
- 16 these other organizations, including federal agencies,
- 17 although there are no state agencies participating yet,
- 18 have an appropriate accountability mechanism by which to
- 19 make a decision like this. I don't think we do. I don't
- 20 think we have a basis on which to contribute settlement
- 21 money to somebody else's organizational expenses.
- 22 My motion has nothing to do with the merits
- 23 of AOOS. If DEC or DNR or F&G want to support AOOS, we
- 24 have the proper way to do it and that's through our state
- 25 agencies where we can reveal to the legislature that that's

- 1 a priority that we have. We're accountable to the
- 2 settlement and I just have difficulty -- we don't fit.
- 3 We're not like these other programs. So that's why I feel
- 4 the way I do. I made my statement earlier saying that I
- 5 would have happily had the entire \$750,000 gone to AOOS.
- 6 I'm not trying to deprive AOOS of its money, I'm pointing
- 7 out that I don't think it's an appropriate way for us to
- 8 proceed.
- 9 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Comment.
- 10 MS. BALLARD: But I put it on the table to
- 11 see whether we agreed.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Well, Commissioner Ballard,
- 14 would there be room, I guess, if the coordination and
- 15 collaboration that Mr. Meade spoke to furthered our ability
- 16 to track restoration efforts or allowed us to avoid
- 17 duplication of efforts that others have made relative to
- 18 restoration or is -- so is -- I guess I'm asking if it's
- 19 more of a failure to tie this to the restoration process or
- 20 is it more attached to our, I guess, funding another
- 21 organization. That's an awkward question but I.....
- 22 MS. BALLARD: No, I understand your
- 23 question. I guess my answer to it is I have a simple
- 24 report here. I asked somebody in my office to tell me who
- 25 was involved to one degree or another in areas that overlap

- 1 with us and I have just a preliminary. The Arctic Research
- 2 Commission, IOOS, AOOS, the North Pacific Research Board,
- 3 us, the Prince William Sound Science Center, OSRI, the Gulf
- 4 of Alaska Coastal Communities Coalition, Coastal America
- 5 and the Alliance for Coastal Technology.
- This was just preliminary, just get me
- 7 something to get started. We can't possibly buy our way
- 8 into coordination with all of those. You know, we don't
- 9 have enough money and time to be in MOU's signatories.
- 10 It's a drop in the bucket to give \$32,000 of our money in
- 11 the hope of avoiding overlap. I mean that can't be the
- 12 sole justification. Because if we're trying to avoid
- 13 overlap, we've got a huge job in trying to harness this
- 14 impressive effort which is underway in the marine and ocean
- 15 science related area. So I get back to stick to my
- 16 knitting. You know, I just get back to trying to focus on
- 17 the job with us.
- 18 If I thought that \$32,000 would avoid
- 19 overlap, I'd be recommending we'd do it everywhere. I
- 20 don't think \$32,000 is anywhere enough to avoid overlap.
- 21 There is overlap all over already. One of the ways I count
- 22 on being sure that we do the right job is I observe that
- 23 Phil and Gail are active, going to these meetings, sitting
- 24 on -- Phil sits on all the boards. You and Kevin sit on
- 25 all the rest of them. You know, that we try to make some

- 1 sense out of this but not with \$32,000.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Balsiger.
- 3 DR. BALSIGER: I think most of those
- 4 organizations probably are related to AOOS or familiar with
- 5 it. So I don't argue that 32,000 is going to coordinate
- 6 everyone but if it allows to participate in AOOS, it may
- 7 allow us to participate with all the data sets that all of
- 8 those groups provide to the system.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: I mean, Rob told it -- we're
- 10 going to make our data available free, which I think was
- 11 correct. I appreciated that part of his presentation. I
- 12 assume that no one in this network has any interest in
- 13 denying participation for lack of money. I mean, if that
- 14 was the case, that would be a very sorry state of affairs.
- 15 You didn't pay so you can't play. I mean, I'm not afraid
- 16 of that. But I just don't -- I can't match the \$32,000 to
- 17 the enormity of the potential overlap and I don't see that
- 18 we're holding AOOS -- you know, we're not the sole thing
- 19 keeping it alive. They've got to get their own steady
- 20 source of funding and to me we shouldn't be part of the
- 21 operating sources of funds for another agency.
- MR. DUFFY: Question.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: All those in favor,
- 24 signify by saying aye.
- 25 IN UNISON: Aye.

- 1 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 2 (No audible responses)
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries.
- DR. BALSIGER: If I could, just for the
- 5 record, so Commissioner Ballard, science doesn't get done
- 6 by one person looking at one thing one time. So I'm always
- 7 a little nervous when people worry about several people
- 8 looking at the same thing. So there's a sense of overlap
- 9 meaning if someone did one study, no one ever has to do
- 10 that again. And basically, that's not the way science
- 11 works and I'm sure you're not implying that but.....
- MS. BALLARD: I'm not.
- DR. BALSIGER: ....rather than leave the
- 14 audience with the impression that we can so divide this up
- 15 that if EVOS funds a study in one particular area that
- 16 nobody ever has to drive across that patch of ocean again.
- 17 The system doesn't really work that way.
- 18 MS. BALLARD: I understand that and thank
- 19 you for the clarification.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: And that brings us to
- 21 the NOS grant.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Phil, if you will continue,
- 23 please.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, you have a tab
- 25 marked NOS grant here and the narrative on this NOS grant

- 1 basically carves out portions of what you've already seen
- 2 in the science management budget and asks NOS to pay for
- 3 it. When we got the NOS grant, we were not expecting it,
- 4 we had not solicited it and we didn't know exactly what to
- 5 do with it so our immediate sort of response to this was to
- 6 simply say, okay, well if they want to help establish a
- 7 long term monitoring program in association with the
- 8 Trustee Council's interests in Southcentral Alaska, we'll
- 9 just have them defray some of our administrative costs
- 10 because we expect the administrative costs for science
- 11 management to be fairly high until about 2007 so we simply
- 12 split this into three pieces that would end at the end of
- 13 FY 06.
- 14 We're -- and getting together the long term
- 15 monitoring program. We've held a lot of workshops, we've
- 16 had a lot of extra meetings and we had a lot of extra
- 17 expenses. So we used the NOS money to basically to defray
- 18 our operating costs. So I'm going to skip through the
- 19 narrative and move straight to the budget.
- 20 And the primary thing, as you can see from
- 21 looking at Page 1 of 13, the primary thing we pay for out
- 22 of this is personnel costs. We do have some travel and
- 23 other costs in here, however the bulk of the budget is tied
- 24 up in personnel costs. The personnel involved are the
- 25 science coordinator, the administrative assistant and the

- 1 data analyst who works under the data assistance manager.
- 2 And these positions are in there for three-quarter time.
- 3 We also put in this budget STAC
- 4 compensation because we do pay an honorarium to STAC
- 5 members who are eligible to receive it. Governmental
- 6 members of course are not. And we also expect to have a
- 7 relatively high level of STAC activity through the end of
- 8 FY 06 and after that time we would expect their annual
- 9 requirements to be quite a bit less. And so that's why
- 10 that's in here under the contractual. So at that point
- 11 I'll stop and see if there are any questions.
- 12 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Questions? Mr. Duffy.
- MR. DUFFY: Yeah, I'll start out. Phil,
- 14 projecting out, I see you got a few positions who are
- 15 funded with this project so theoretically in three years,
- 16 when the NOS grant runs out, then we'll have to be looking
- 17 at modifications to either the science budget or the
- 18 increases in the science budget or the program management
- 19 budget to cover these costs that have now been put off onto
- 20 the NOS grant. Is that what I would read, being here four
- 21 years from now, we'll probably be dealing with that
- 22 situation?
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chairman, Mr.
- 24 Commissioner, yes, that's correct, however I would also
- 25 note that we expect the program to be quite a bit different

- 1 in two years. That's what we're planning for. We have put
- 2 projected costs in the draft work plan for you for
- 3 administration however beyond FY 06, I wouldn't think that
- 4 those were particularly realistic because we will need to
- 5 restructure the program at that time. That's what all of
- 6 our planning and our automation of our office operations
- 7 are geared towards.
- 8 MR. DUFFY: And one other question. The
- 9 travel costs, to me they seem quite high. So you're
- 10 talking about almost \$86,000 over a three year period for
- 11 these efforts. Maybe that's more of a statement than it is
- 12 a question. It's on Page 18.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, Mr. Commissioner,
- 14 that's one way to describe them. What I would -- look at
- 15 them as \$28,000 a year and it's mainly an amount not to
- 16 exceed to cover movement of STAC members and subcommittee
- 17 members and so forth.
- 18 MR. DUFFY: Okay, stated differently,
- 19 28,000 a year seems high to me.
- 20 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions or
- 21 comments. Dr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: I'm sorry, I've lost track
- 23 of this. Was there one \$750,000 NOS grant and it was in
- 24 '03 or fiscal '04.
- DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, Dr. Balsiger.

- 1 There is one \$750,000 grant in the first year, that grant
- 2 was 2004, it runs through 2006. This is the -- FY 05 is
- 3 the second year of that.
- 4 DR. BALSIGER: But the appropriation that
- 5 contained it, was it the fiscal '03 appropriation bill that
- 6 you finally got the grant in place for EVOS funds, it was
- 7 for four, five and six? My vote doesn't hinge on that, I
- 8 was just trying to recall, so it's not important.
- 9 DR. MUNDY: Madame Chair, if I could defer
- 10 that question to Paula Banks. I only know that we initiate
- 11 -- we started the paperwork on this thing in FY 03 and we
- 12 got it in FY 04, so I really don't know which federal
- 13 authorization.
- 14 DR. BALSIGER: That's fine. I don't need
- 15 to know that.
- MS. BALLARD: Good answer, Paula.
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Other questions? Are
- 18 we ready for a motion?
- MS. BALLARD: Move approval.
- MR. MEADE: Second.
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Is there a second? I
- 22 didn't hear. Did somebody second it?
- DR. BALSIGER: I'll second.
- MR. MEADE: I said I second.
- 25 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: I'm -- okay. There is

- 1 a motion and a second. All those in favor, signify by
- 2 saying aye.
- IN UNISON: Aye.
- CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 5 (No audible responses)
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: That is approved.
- 7 Brings us to the draft work plan.
- 8 MS. PHILLIPS: We'll just have Phil stay
- 9 right at the table. However you want to go in. He's got a
- 10 presentation to make before he start -- before you
- 11 consider.
- 12 DR. MUNDY: We go to the draft work plan
- 13 now.
- 14 (Pause whispered conversations)
- 15 MS. BALLARD: Do we need to do at eases?
- 16 Can we have a.....
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes, we can.
- DR. BALSIGER: Yeah, can we take a five
- 19 minute break?
- MS. BALLARD: If we could have a five
- 21 minute at ease here, Gail, so we can get ourselves
- 22 organized. Sure, not a problem. Thank you all for
- 23 approving the budgets, I appreciate that.
- 24 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: For those of you on
- 25 line, we'll be back shortly before 4:00 o'clock

- 1 (Off record 3:57 p.m.)
- 2 (On record 4:04 p.m.)
- 3 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The final thing on
- 4 today's agenda is the 2005 through 7 draft work plan and
- 5 the budget sending recommendations. Commissioner Duffy.
- 6 MR. DUFFY: Yeah, thank you, I'd like to
- 7 start out if I could. I'm not sure that the Trustee
- 8 Council at this point needs a detailed presentation from
- 9 Dr. Mundy. And the reason that I say that primarily
- 10 because he and the staff have done such an excellent job of
- 11 getting this information out to the Trustee Council members
- 12 and their staff in an early time frame from where we've had
- 13 quite a bit of time to review the information in front of
- 14 us, the set of proposed funding by category.
- Back in I think about May 19th, the Trustee
- 16 Council talked about these issues and the state talked
- 17 about some of what they saw as state priorities, focusing
- 18 more on restoration and lingering oil and some of those
- 19 issues coupled with the federal perspective. The RFP has
- 20 gone out. We've received proposals back. We have the
- 21 benefit, and it's been in front of us for awhile, of the
- 22 recommendations from the science director. We've had the
- 23 recommendations from the Executive Director. We have the
- 24 recommendations in writing as well as a oral presentation
- 25 today from the PAC. And in addition to that, the science

- 1 director did meet with the agency staff and reviewed the
- 2 proposed set of projects. And following that, the agency
- 3 staff came back and advised us on the status of things
- 4 individually as agencies. I know on the state side we've
- 5 had a chance to talk about a number of issues and the
- 6 balance of interest between the long term monitoring of the
- 7 GEM program but not losing sight and focus on restoration
- 8 and lingering oil related issues. And I really think we're
- 9 at the point where we don't need a detailed presentation
- 10 but I think we can engage in a discussion of which projects
- 11 the Trustee Council thinks merit funding at this point in
- 12 time.
- 13 In addition, today we instructed our staff
- 14 to meet in the other room and to review all of the
- 15 information that I just have articulated, in particular,
- 16 the recommendations from the science director, the
- 17 executive director and the PAC and to come back with us, if
- 18 possible, with a consensus set of recommendations for the
- 19 Trustee Council to consider by funding category. And I
- 20 believe that the staff were able to come up with that
- 21 consensus recommendation and I think that would be the
- 22 starting point for our discussion, to see where we can get
- 23 in terms of funding projects.
- 24 And with that in mind, I believe Dr.
- 25 Balsiger has that list in front of him, as well as us, and

- 1 we may be in the position to put mo here -- at least my
- 2 suggestion would be to put a motion on the table that
- 3 identifies those projects, have some discussion and move
- 4 forward in that manner. Madame Chair.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger.
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair, I will make a
- 7 motion but just prior that, as Mr. Duffy said, there was a
- 8 lot of effort by the Trustee Council staff and its various
- 9 bodies and the agency people prior to today. And they
- 10 started -- they had in mind a set of projects that they
- 11 recommended and called it their work plan and they had a
- 12 feeling for how it all worked together. Now when we modify
- 13 that slightly by this motion, should it pass, there's --
- 14 Dr. Mundy, for example, and the rest of the science group,
- 15 haven't been able to anticipate what our set of projects,
- 16 how they would all fit together.
- So part of my motion, I'm not quite sure
- 18 how I'm going to word it, is going to be that we need to
- 19 have an evaluation of this package brought to us probably
- 20 in December and in the circumstance where perhaps we've
- 21 done damage to the work plan but haven't recognized it
- 22 because we're aren't as intimately involved as Dr. Mundy
- 23 was, they can alert us to that. Not necessarily we'd fund
- 24 anything else but at least so that we would have a report
- 25 on what they believe as our selection of projects does to

- 1 the overall status of the work plan. If that's fair. But
- 2 before I make that motion, I'd like to have that discussed
- 3 iust a little bit.
- 4 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Commissioner.
- 5 MS. BALLARD: I understand exactly your
- 6 concern and one of the things I'm impressed by in Phil's
- 7 budget is the determination to go ahead with the science
- 8 plan review. And it seems that those two things should
- 9 dovetail. That the science plan review and a critique, if
- 10 you want, of the rationale of the continuing work be
- 11 married up together so that we do exactly what you want,
- 12 Jim. And maybe your motion would be in the form of
- 13 endorsing as rapid movement on the science plan review plan
- 14 is possible, particularly in light of the fact that the
- 15 Council might embark on a slightly different set of
- 16 projects than was recommended by the science staff. Is
- 17 that the spirit that you're.....
- DR. BALSIGER: That -- exactly. And
- 19 perhaps I'll just make the -- with the -- I'm going to make
- 20 a motion for which projects we should fund and then perhaps
- 21 follow up with a second motion because I don't want to get
- 22 all fowled up on the wording.
- 23 So if I could, Madame Chair. I recommend
- 24 that for the '05 restoration work plan that the Trustee
- 25 Council fund the following projects. These will be on the

- 1 Alaska Coastal Current theme. That would be Matkin's
- 2 project, monitoring killer whales. Under the community
- 3 involvement theme, that's Baird's project connecting with
- 4 coast walk. Under lingering oil effects, Irons' project,
- 5 marine bird abundance. Rosenberg's harlequin duck
- 6 population dynamics. And Short's monitoring of
- 7 anthropogenic hydrocarbons.
- 8 Under the management strategy, Otis'
- 9 project, temporal stability of fatty acids in herring.
- 10 Willette's project, salmon smolt monitoring. Under the
- 11 modeling area, Adams' project, pink salmon survival models.
- 12 Moffitt's project, Sea S-E-A pink salmon survival model.
- 13 Under the nearshore area, Bodkin's project, nearshore
- 14 monitoring plan and Hoover-Miller's harbor seal monitoring.
- 15 And also Saupe's shoreline mapping in Kodiak. That's the
- 16 extent of my motion, I recommend we fund those.
- 17 MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 18 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 19 second. Is there discussion?
- DR. BALSIGER: Madame Chair, this
- 21 collection of proposals funds something in each of those
- 22 areas that, with the exception of the synthesis, which I
- 23 would suggest then we defer and I'll try to cover that in a
- 24 subsequent motion unless someone else wants to make it for
- 25 me. And it didn't fund anything in watersheds but it's a

- 1 collection of good projects and I think that it will allow
- 2 the Trustee Council to move forward, embracing parts of the
- 3 GEM model but certainly including those high priority
- 4 programs that have to look at the other restoration
- 5 activities.
- 6 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Further discussion.
- 7 Mr. Meade.
- MR. MEADE: I'll point out that as I
- 9 understand it as well, this retains our flexibility and our
- 10 options next year. This would not push the cap and also
- 11 over obligate or obligate us to having no discretion. Next
- 12 if we have any areas that the Council do feel it's
- 13 important areas to place some focus in on some of the more
- 14 short term lingering effect kind of issues, so.....
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Further comments? Are
- 16 we ready for the question? All those in favor, signify by
- 17 saying aye.
- 18 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 20 (No audible responses)
- 21 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: The motion carries.
- 22 Dr. Balsiger, did you have another comment?
- DR. BALSIGER: I don't have this written
- 24 out so I'll probably word it clumsily but in recognition of
- 25 the fact that this selection of projects is slightly

- 1 different than that proposed in the well thought out work
- 2 plan, we would ask our science director to evaluate this
- 3 plan in context of developing the science plan that he has
- 4 indicated in the document that he will and bring that to us
- 5 at our December meeting.
- 6 MS. BALLARD: Second.
- 7 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion and a
- 8 second. Any comment?
- 9 (No audible responses)
- 10 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Are you ready for the
- 11 question? All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
- 12 IN UNISON: Aye.
- 13 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Anyone opposed?
- 14 (No audible responses)
- 15 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Motion carries. Any
- 16 other comment?
- 17 MR. RENKES: I have a question for Dr.
- 18 Mundy. What's the schedule for completing the rewrite of
- 19 the science plan? And it looks like that that's going to,
- 20 you know, involve a fair amount of -- require a fair amount
- 21 of Trustee involvement as well as the STAC. And in the
- 22 discussions we've had, we feel like we really wish we had
- 23 that now. So there's some urgency to it because it's going
- 24 to help communicate to the public research community, you
- 25 know, what the immediate midterm objectives of the Trustee

- 1 Council are with respect to the scientific research.
- DR. MUNDY: Yes, Mr. Renkes. We plan to
- 3 have the science plan rewritten. About this time next year
- 4 we'll try to bring it forward in time for the Trustee
- 5 Council to consider it before action is taken on the FY 06
- 6 work plan so that it will be on the table and you'll be
- 7 aware of its contents. And yes, as I told the agency
- 8 liaisons on our meeting on July the 15th, this is an
- 9 excellent opportunity for Trustee Council agencies to get
- 10 involved in the science plan because we try to build the --
- 11 the science plan says what we're going to do, why we're
- 12 going to do it and when we're going to do it, what the
- 13 sequence is.
- 14 And so it's the logical jumping off point
- 15 for the invitation, which determines what kind of proposals
- 16 we get. So it will be -- we plan this to be roughly a year
- 17 long project to rewrite the science plan.
- 18 MR. RENKES: Well, I'd encourage you, to
- 19 the extent you can have it done, sometime in advance of
- 20 this meeting next year so that we can, you know, reflect on
- 21 that and work through this process based on that plan as
- 22 something of a road map. And also use it as a tool to
- 23 engage the Trustees as well as the science community during
- 24 the course of this next year on this, you know, transition
- 25 phase that we're in that you've described in the work plan.

- 1 We had a little concern about the -- I do,
- 2 I'm a little concerned about the characterization, sort of
- 3 what we're doing now, what the transition is and where
- 4 we're headed with respect to restoration activities. And
- 5 some of it is just the language, and it's not a criticism,
- 6 it's just the sensitivity, is all. And where we say we're
- 7 concluding the court settlement process and we're
- 8 concluding the court settlement phase, we're moving into a
- 9 long term monitoring phase of restoration and there is some
- 10 transition that's going to occur. That's what I read.
- I think this draft work plan is very well
- 12 written and it really lays things out but I'm not -- I have
- 13 a hard time as a lawyer trying to fit that in to what
- 14 actually is occurring under the settlement. And when we
- 15 complete restoration, well then we of course will complete
- 16 it, I understand long term modeling is part of the
- 17 restoration process. So I would characterize, you know,
- 18 the phases in terms of completing the court settlement
- 19 phase and moving to another phase because there may not be
- 20 another phase, other than the court settlement phase
- 21 itself.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Mundy.
- DR. MUNDY: Yes, Mr. Renkes, I understand
- 24 that perhaps the -- this is a semantic issue because
- 25 basically what we're trying to do as a staff -- again, I

- 1 stress that we try to do what the Trustee Council tells us
- 2 to do. And all we're doing is providing information so
- 3 over the next two years they will allow us to understand
- 4 the status of the injured resources and to have whatever
- 5 information might be necessary within the next two year
- 6 time frame.
- 7 In addition, should the decision be made to
- 8 do a long term monitoring program, we want to be prepared
- 9 to launch that because it has been a five year effort to
- 10 get to the point where we are now. So that should we
- 11 decide, we can't just decide on September the 30th of 2006
- 12 that we want to do long term monitoring because they you'd
- 13 have a -- roughly a five year hiatus before you'd start
- 14 that program.
- 15 So again, our job is to provide information
- 16 on injured species and fate and effects of oil to the
- 17 extent that that might be necessary over the next two
- 18 years, choosing my words carefully. And also to be ready
- 19 to launch a credible long term monitoring program that has
- 20 a scientific in-census, should that be given to us.
- MR. RENKES: Well, I think the science plan
- 22 could be, you know, a real great opportunity to sort of
- 23 increase the level and understanding about sort of what
- 24 we're doing in the near term and how that transitions into
- 25 the long term efforts that we have and be the glue, I

- 1 think, that can get us past some of the -- you know, we've
- 2 obviously had some discussion and agreement about the value
- 3 of different individual pieces of research with respect to
- 4 where we're going and I think the science plan can help
- 5 smooth through this transition. And not only the plan
- 6 itself but the process of getting to it over the next
- 7 years. That's my comment.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Mr. Meade, did you have
- 9 a comment?
- 10 MR. MEADE: Actually, I just wanted to
- 11 build on the conversation here because it I think really
- 12 fits well, the interests in common that collectively the
- 13 board of trustees have shared. And to hear Dr. Mundy state
- 14 that clearly the next two years is some real important
- 15 short term research that leads into long term. I think
- 16 Gregg is much inferring the same and that to me was the
- 17 real important outcome for us, was to recognize the
- 18 importance in both. That we have shared interests in both
- 19 the short term need as well as the recognition for the long
- 20 term research as well. So for me that really captures it
- 21 well and the encouragement I wanted to offer and it's
- 22 already been stated, and that's to engage the board of
- 23 trustees early and often through ourselves or through our
- 24 staff as you begin to move forward in that evaluation and
- 25 revitalization of the science plan.

- 1 But also on behalf of the board of
- 2 trustees, I think I'd amiss if we didn't share an expressed
- 3 thank you to Dr. Mundy and the full staff associated with
- 4 putting the proposal together as well as a sincere
- 5 appreciation to the public advisory committee as well for
- 6 their insights and advice. The maturation of the PAC
- 7 information, the maturation of what Dr. Mundy presented and
- 8 the advice that we were able to solicit through our own
- 9 staff and counsel have really given us that ability to
- 10 recognize those mutual interests in bringing forward a
- 11 proposal. So I just think it's a good win-win that
- 12 everybody can feel that there's some real success in as we
- 13 position the program this year.
- 14 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Dr. Balsiger, I'd just
- 15 like to make a clarification for the record of your motion
- 16 on the work plan. The motion that you made included for
- 17 those projects that have expenditures for '05, '06 and/or
- 18 '07. Your motion included those out year expenditures, is
- 19 that correct?
- DR. BALSIGER: Yes, I think that we always
- 21 have the understanding that a project has to produce in
- 22 order to get the out years but that was the intention.
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Sure. Okay, just
- 24 double checking. Further business to come before the
- 25 Council.

- 1 MS. PHILLIPS: I have nothing further.
- MS. BALLARD: I have one comment that may
- 3 help in the tentative meeting dates. It doesn't matter
- 4 that I am unable to come to the December 3rd meeting but I
- 5 am not. I can be sure that Kurt comes but I can't and I
- 6 think you knew that.
- 7 MR. MEADE: I can echo a lack of
- 8 availability for December 3rd as well.
- 9 MS. BALLARD: Right. I wanted to check. I
- 10 didn't have my calendar out this morning when we had that
- 11 item.
- MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, we'll try to work on
- 13 another date.
- MS. BALLARD: Right. I'd be happy to have
- 15 somebody else here.
- MS. PHILLIPS: And then we're still
- 17 planning on doing a second week in October trip to Prince
- 18 William Sound, that's if we can work out with those that
- 19 didn't get the chance to go.
- DR. BALSIGER: In October or September?
- MS. PHILLIPS: October.
- MS. BALLARD: Can we go back again?
- 23 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: Yes.
- 24 MS. PHILLIPS: We have to wait till after
- 25 Joe gets married.

- 1 MR. MEADE: And I'll be the pilot.
- 2 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have three
- 3 resolutions that need to be signed so.....
- 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Oh yes, right.
- 5 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....Trustees please do
- 6 not leave until....
- 7 MS. PHILLIPS: Do not leave.
- 8 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: ....two of them in
- 9 fact I think are ready.
- MS. WOMAC: Well, not those.
- 11 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: No, they're not ready.
- 12 Never mind. Cherri is going to put them in front of our
- 13 noses.
- MS. WOMAC: Those are just copies for you
- 15 to read. This one is (indiscernible away from
- 16 microphone).
- 17 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: So are we going to sign
- 18 today or not -- yes, sign.....
- 19 MS. BALLARD: Yeah, we move to adjourn in
- 20 that case.
- MR. DUFFY: Second.
- 22 CHAIRWOMAN PEARCE: We have a motion to
- 23 adjourn and a second. Let me congratulate Joe on his
- 24 upcoming nuptials. And have a great time. We're
- 25 adjourned.

1	(Off record - 4:23 p.m.)
2	END OF PROCEEDINGS

_	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
3	) ss.
4	STATE OF ALASKA )
5	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for
6	the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
7	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
8	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 169
9	contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon
10	Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded
11	electronically by me on the 23rd day of August 2004,
12	commencing at the hour of 9:05 a.m. and thereafter
13	transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability.
14	THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the
15	request of:
16	EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 451 W. 5th
17	Avenue, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99501;
18	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 3rd day of
19	September 2004.
20	SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:
	$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$
21 22	Jøseph P Kolasinski
23	Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 03/12/08
	Commission Expires. 03/12/00
	Maria P. ROLANDIII
	NOTARY E
	* PUBLIC +
	THE OF A PARTY.