## EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL 1 TRUSTEE COUNCIL 2 Public Meeting 3 Wednesday, September 3, 2003 4 11:00 a.m. EVOS Office 5 441 West 5th Avenue, Suite 500 6 Anchorage, Alaska 7 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 8 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, MR. JAMES W. BALSIGER National Marine Fisheries Svc: Administrator, AK Region 9 (Chairman) 10 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. KEVIN DUFFY 11 OF FISH AND GAME: Commissioner 12 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, MR. JOE MEADE U.S. FOREST SERVICE Forest Supervisor Forest Service AK Region 13 14 TELEPHONICALLY 15 STATE OF ALASKA -MR. GREGG RENKES DEPARTMENT OF LAW: Attorney General State of Alaska 16 17 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. DRUE PEARCE Senior Advisor to the 18 Secretary for Alaskan Affairs. 19 U.S. Department of Interior 20 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MS. ERNESTA BALLARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner 21 2.2

Proceedings electronically recorded, then transcribed by: Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, 3522 West 27th,

Anchorage, AK 99517 - 243-0668

23

24

25

1	TRU	STEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT:	
2	MS.	GAIL PHILLIPS	Executive Director
3	DR.	PHIL MUNDY	Science Director
4	MS.	CHERRI WOMAC	Administrative Assistant
5	MS.	PAUL BANKS	Administrative Assistant
6	MS.	BRENDA HALL	Administrative Assistant
7	MR.	ROB BOCHENEK	Data Systems Manager
8	MR.	PETER HAGAN	NOAA
9	MS.	GINA BELT	U.S. Department of Justice
10	MS.	DEDE BOHN	U.S. Geological Service
11	MR.	KEN HOLBROOK	U.S Forest Service
12	MR.	CRAIG TILLERY	State of Alaska Department of Law
13	MD	DOLLG MILLED	_
14	MR.	DOUG MUTTER	Department of Interior
15	MS.	CAROL FRIES	AKDNR
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order	04
3	Approval of Agenda	08
4	Approval of Meeting Notes (July 24-25, 2003)	46
5	PUBLIC COMMENT	
6	Ms. Theresa Obermeyer	09
7	Executive Director's Report	17
8	NOAA/NOS Grant	47
9	Discussion and Approval of Administrative Budgets	56
LO	Adjournment	124
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 11:04 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: This is the September 3rd, 2003 meeting of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council. I'm Jim Balsiger, the administrator for the National Marine Fishery Service in Alaska, the Federal Department of Commerce Trustee and I have agreed to chair this today and it will give me two Fed chairs in a row, so that means, probably, for several fiscal years now I'll be off the hook for chairing.

(Laughter)

1.1

1.8

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Let's see, we have an agenda, do we have any comments on the agenda?

MS. BALLARD: Jim.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes.

MS. BALLARD: Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I can hear you fine.

MS. BALLARD: Okay. I'm learning how the mute button works on this phone. If we have time at the end I would like to take up the conversation that you just had with us about the chairmanship. I'd like to just talk about maybe making the chairmanship rotation a little easier, a little more effective. It's not time critical, if we run out of time, if everyone's exhausted, I just want to put it on the next agenda, but I'd like to talk about it

sometime. 1 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. So shall we add that before the possible executive session or just..... 3 I don't care, it doesn't 4 MS. BALLARD: 5 matter to me. 6 I've added it to CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. 7 my list then. MS. BALLARD: All right. And if people are 8 9 worn out, just -- we'll wait and do it next time. 10 I have a question. This is MS. PEARCE: 11 Drue. What's our expectation in terms of length of the 12 meeting? I'm asking because of the NFL kickoff on the Mall 13 this week they're shutting down buildings tomorrow 14 afternoon, but this evening they've asked us to be out of 15 parking garages and out of the way, between 5:30 and six. 16 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: NFL, did you say, 17 football? 18 MS. PEARCE: Yeah. It doesn't make any 19 sense, but don't worry about it. 20 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, Gail, did you 21 have a thought on the likely duration? 22 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, depending on the 23 debate on the budgets, I thought we probably would be done 24 about 3:00 o'clock. The business would happen before the

noon break, we'd do a working lunch, if that's all right

25

with everybody, and go right into the business after everybody gets their lunches, so we should be done by three.

MS. PEARCE: I didn't realize we were going to go that late.

MS. PHILLIPS: And depending on the debate on the budget it may not last that long.

MS. PEARCE: Okay. Well, is the budget an action item today?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

MS. PEARCE: Okay. I will -- okay.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That would have been my guess as well, I guess, other than some of the staff in the audience, I've got the most experience here at the table, but partly because nobody else has been here a lot, it's hard to see how engaged we're likely to become on these issues, so 3:00 o'clock is probably a good guess.

Anything else on the agenda?

MR. DUFFY: No.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, can we adopt the agenda? Any objection to adopting the agenda.

MR. DUFFY: This is Kevin, move to approve the agenda as amended.

MS. BALLARD: May I offer a comment? I don't have the agenda and so if somebody would read it to

me. Molly [sic] made an effort to estimate what it would be and made it a booklet for me, but I'm sure I'm in favor of it, I'd just love to have one of you read it out loud.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will read it. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: think we decided, not definitively, but at least for this meeting, to publish everything on the Web so people could get it, rather than mail things around, but the agenda consists of a call to order, approval of the agenda and approval of the meeting notes from the last meeting we had on July 24th and 5th. The second item is public comment, which is scheduled for 11:05 and we're slightly past that. The third are Executive Director comments from Ms. Phillips with several items; the first is domain name change, that's on the Website domain name. Second an investment training seminar, designed to smarten up the people on the Investment Working Group, third is the 15th anniversary planning for such an event, because in March of 2004 will be the 15th anniversary of the Trustees. Fourth being staff vacancies and recruitment notice that will be out for data analyst/programmer. The fifth bullet under the Director's comments is the fiscal year '04 Work Plan, and I think we just have a preliminary look at that right now rather than adopting it. The next item is the June 6-8 and August 14th PAC meeting summaries, and Brett Huber, I believe, will be here to present those to us. And the last

item is the Restoration Office remodel. And then a working lunch is proposed.

The fourth main item on the agenda is a discussion of the NOAA/NOS grant, it's some \$745,000, I believe, was in -- well, it was NOAA's budget and I think there was some question about whether the State wanted to receive that money to help fund Trustee projects, so that's item four. The fifth one is a discussion and approval of the administrative budgets. And these are action items because the Trustee Council runs out of money without approving these budgets at this meeting. And there's four of those, the first one is administrative, second one is data management, the third one is ARLIS and that's ARLIS Library as opposed to Arlis Sturglewski. And the fourth one is science management.

And then it suggests that there be a possible executive session before adjournment. And then Ernesta added some logistics about how we might ease this chairmanship transfer so I don't have to tell corny jokes at the starts of all the meetings. And that's it.

MS. BALLARD: Thank you. And knowing it's on the Web, I'll put the Web on my computer, I didn't realize it was there, I was counting on the e-mailed stuff.

MR. RENKES: This is Gregg Renkes, I just wanted to mention that I joined the call.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Thank you, 1 2 Gregg. If we're through with the agenda then, we come into the public comment period. So is there anyone on the phone 3 that would like to make a public comment? 5 (No audible response) 6 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I hear no one on the 7 phone, so is there anyone in the audience here who would care to make a public comment? 8 MS. OBERMEYER: Mr. Balsiger. Am I 10 pronouncing your name correctly? 11 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: It's very close, thank 12 you. 13 MS. OBERMEYER: Theresa Obermeyer, and how 14 are you doing, sir? 15 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I'm fine, please have a 16 chair and.... 17 MS. OBERMEYER: I wanted to -- I am always 18 motivated by Representative Phillips because she's so 19 marvelous. And so you people are really smart, you hired 20 somebody really nice. And I wanted to just -- and I'm sorry, I'm missing Ms. Ballard, who I really haven't gotten 21 22 to know. Is Ms. Ballard on line? MS. PHILLIPS: She's on line. 23 24 MS. OBERMEYER: And Mr. Renkes? 25 MS. PHILLIPS: (Nods in the affirmative)

MS. OBERMEYER: And I hope -- I'm going to give you, Gail, two extra copies for them. What this is, ladies and gentlemen, is this is about institutional memory. And, of course, my experiences in Alaska began when there were five of us in 1979 at the University of Alaska that were all locked out of our office. If you'll forgive me, I don't think that much has changed at the university except now it is all military generals that are double, triple and quadruple dippers, if you know the expression.

1.2

1.3

1.6

But to just to mention, at that time they literally had printed forms and they called each one of us in separately and they checked off how much they were going to pay each one of us to leave the state. I was the only one -- I looked at them and I walked out, the rest of them packed their bags and got out of here. And, of course, this is what I'm left with. You know what we should do, and I'm not going to do it because I paid for this, is just rip this stuff up. This, ladies and gentlemen, especially for the people -- is Ms. Pearce on line?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.

MS. OBERMEYER: Oh. Drue, good morning, I didn't know you were on line. This, of course, is my three [sic] attempt to be heard by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, beginning with my civil suit against the

university. And just to mention, it's about this book. This bound volume that is now tattered and torn because three weeks after my husband's lead case in the Summary of American Law that goes to every testing and licensing issue in our great nation was published [sic]. This was published on April 18th, 1986 and you can see the stamp of my civil suit, my not-for-publication ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which was on May 15th, 1986.

Let me mention, I didn't even connect these things at the time. Now, I know it's because of this book. But I didn't really -- I didn't focus it on it at all, because I'm a happy person, I didn't really worry about it, but I did have to write a check for \$17,161 and I want my money back. I mean, nothing's changed, look at the records. Now, I've tried -- I've had four attempts to go to the U.S. Supreme Court. In my case it's my good name versus the United States of America. And my husband separately has tried twice to go to the U.S. Supreme Court in his case. It's Thomas S. Obermeyer versus The Alaska Bar Association. I'd be glad to bring those documents. That's why I bring the things that I bring.

It's really -- where I live there's nothing fair. There's no decency and there isn't thinking. I could never have imagined. I really remember the date that

I -- I decided to just put my own letterhead up because I knew that I was an individual and I was an honest person. But it's kind of the rub of Alaska, it's all -- Alaska is about truth. The Native corporations, the unions, et cetera, et cetera. And it's time now for individual leadership, would be my point. You know, of course, we have a Website, it's right here at the top. I hope and I truly believe that judges and lawyers all over our great nation have downloaded every file in our Website. I'm praying so, because guess what, we're waiting Bar results. You see, my husband has been licensed in a state that has reciprocity with Alaska for 13 years. He was licensed there when I was elected to the Anchorage School Board. Ι was on the Anchorage School Board from 1990 until 1994. I'm absolutely positive that we don't have fair elections where I live. I'd be glad -- I have a file on my Website, in fact, I have two files, one is about my U.S. Senate races and the other one is about my municipal races. Please download that stuff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You see, I'm motivated when I read that Exxon is playing more games. There is not a practice of law in Alaska, there are a handful of lawyers that have unfathomable amounts of money that are only enriching their private bank accounts, that's the Permanent Fund Board. And when I read that Robin Taylor, the second State

Senator, resigned his office to get Eric Wolforth's retirement, because he's the attorney for the Public Employment Retirement System. Can we stop? I mean, it's like -- it's scary to me that everybody caves in. I'd like to, but I can't. I know there isn't a reasonable life because of the things that have gone on and because of my husband's case.

So we're again waiting for Bar results. My husband shouldn't of had to take this test. He should have been admitted here by reciprocity 13 years ago.

And I just like to come and say hello and explain what could have been possible. I'd like all of us now to get this little book, that is the Todd

Communications Directory of Attorneys. I hope the 60member legislature gets these. I have given out over a hundred of them. That's \$3,500 and I'm not giving them out anymore because what do those people do with them? I have no idea. But I know there is not really a living law in Alaska. There's a lot of nice people and we all deserve better. Let's make sure that that happens now.

And, again, forgive me. I mean the last time I came I beat the rap. If you remember I was jailed on June 26th again. I taught at a jail for almost six years, that was McLaughlin, and now I've been jailed five times.

I mean, Mr. Ballinger [sic], why? How can 2 this have been possible..... CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Obermeyer.... 3 MS. OBERMEYER: .....with people that have 4 5 intelligence? I don't understand it. I can truly say I 6 really believe that many others, had they been put through the things my husband and I have been put through, would have responded the same way we have. You see, you cannot 8 quit. I'm an American and I've never done anything unethical. I have had millions of dollars spent on me. 10 11 we know how much -- like, for example, a seven-day jury trial. I have one jury. How much that costs? 12 I have had 13 three non-jury Federal criminal trials. I have had three 14 hearings with the Violent Crimes Compensation Board. I had 15 six kangaroo courts by the Municipal Board of Ethics. have been defamed to the point of ridiculous, please don't 16 17 believe in our media. 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Could you.... 19 MS. OBERMEYER: But it's time for Exxon to 20 pay up. 21 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: ....summarize? We appreciate your comments, but..... 22 23 MS. OBERMEYER: You know, it's really about

lawyers and the summary is make sure that -- you don't even

have to be in existence and that Exxon pays their bill.

1

24

25

You know, it's like they rule all of us and we're the ones that live here.

1.8

So there's really not a summary because I know the living law, I'm not trying to use or manipulate anyone, I'm simply trying to be what I am. I'm a college administrator with a Ph.D.

And, oh, last point. I am a broken record. I live in the only state in the United States that doesn't have a law school. And Gregg, who is on the phone, I hope you'll check, Gregg, because it's such a good summary. And this is in the spring 2003 directory, because -- Todd keeps changing the page because I always bring up this page. This is a summary of the practice of law in Alaska. In this directory it's on page 79. We have 494 in-state -- I'm sorry, excuse me. In-state we have 2,263 attorneys, active out of state is 464. We gave our state away to the most powerful law firms in the United States. And then we have in our state that work for Gregg -- Gregg, you only have 465 people working for you. They do high level coffee drinking.

And then we have more oil development than the state of Texas....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I wonder if you could wrap up, please.

MS. OBERMEYER: .....where they have 64,000

1 attorneys. Did you get those numbers, sir? 2 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I heard them, yes. MS. OBERMEYER: Did you understand what I 3 4 mean? 5 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, ma'am. MS. OBERMEYER: Did you have a question, 6 7 sir? 8 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: No, but I think the agenda would require you to summarize and conclude. 9 10 MS. OBERMEYER: No, I just didn't believe you really understood because I do believe this, sir, you 11 and I are very similar, we're both nice, we're decent, 12 13 we're Americans and that's what motivates me. You must 14 have read a headline and so you really didn't write the 15 numbers down. And I'm sorry for that. I simply want to 16 make sure you know we have 2,200 in-state attorneys. 17 have more oil development than the state of Texas, where 18 they have 64,000 attorneys. So I hope you'll think about 19 that. 20 And, then, if any of your colleagues had a 21 question, I'd be glad to field them. Did anyone have a 22 question? Mr. Duffy, a good Irishman? 23 MR. DUFFY: No, ma'am. 24 MS. OBERMEYER: I'm Irish. And, of course,

I am Br'er Rabbit in the briar patch. But how could this

25

still be going on? So have a great meeting, I don't really stay too long. I know Gail is being so neat. And, Gail, good luck, I wish you very well. And it's great that you moved to Anchorage, I hope to see you around town.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you very much.

Any other public comment from this room?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And anyone on the phone?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not then we'll conclude public comment.

Ms. Womac pointed out to me that I had an old agenda and the new agenda would have had one more item under the Executive Director comments, which would be a discussion of the workshop on the North Pacific Ecosystem Status Report. So with that we come to item three, which is the Executive Director comments. Ms. Phillips, please.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Jim, very much. It's one month that I've been on the job and I just wanted everybody to know that I really love this job, it's been great. It's been a wonderful opportunity to learn so much, try to learn so much so quickly, and I'm just having a really great time. And I want to thank the Trustee Council for the opportunity that you have given me for this.

Before I get into my Trustee comments, I do want to make some very important comments about the staff of the Trustee Council. This staff has been absolutely exceptional all during the transition period and since that time. And I just want to express my appreciation very, very much to the entire staff. I don't know what would have happened if you hadn't had such a great group of really dedicated people that wanted to keep the operation going and wanted to keep things in order and on line. And I just wanted to express my appreciation to Phil Mundy, our Science Director, to Rob Bochenek, our Data Management person, to our Administrative assistants, Brenda Hall, Cherri Womac and Paula Banks, they have all done a tremendous job.

And one of the thing that I will be doing in the Trustee Council meetings is for those areas that they are the expert on or that they have control over in their jobs, we'll have them doing reports, you won't be listening to just me, but they will be bringing up the points that they are working on. And, so, thank you all the staff. And I hope everybody has a chance to personally express your appreciation to them.

We have staff meetings that we discuss a lot of things going on around us and one of the things that came up, not only from the staff, but also from the public,

was why we still have a domain name that says oil spill. We're almost 15 years past the oil spill, we are past restoration, we're into research and monitoring and I would like to have the name of our organization -- domain name changed to EVOS rather than oil spill. So it would be EVOS.state@alaska.us. It's easy to do, I've already talked to Rob, our data management person, about making the official change and just wanted to let the Trustee Council know that unless they have great objections to the change, I would like to make that change.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Shall we consider that right now? What's the Trustees' thoughts on that? Mr.

Meade.

MR. MEADE: Gail, I'm fairly new to the Board of Trustees and actually, as you mentioned, the domain name being oil spill. And, of course, we're rounding up here almost to the 15th anniversary of the oil spill. I concur with your thinking, the only question I'd have is EVOS simply is Exxon Valdez Oil Spill; is there a name that would be more pertinent to what our future is and the future investments in research and monitoring or should it, indeed, stay with EVOS? That would be my only question, is that yet a better, more appropriate name, that really speaks to the future?

MS. PHILLIPS: I looked for the easiest one

rather than having a long, you know, long title.

1.2

MR. MEADE: Right, I understand.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah. And since the whole organization is still the Trustee Council.

MR. MEADE: EVOS, right.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. That's why I kind of thought that would be a good one.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other comments?
(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, then I think the sense is that the Trustees are indifferent -- well, maybe in support of, I guess, rather than....

MS. PHILLIPS: Sure. Okay, great. The next item that we want to talk about is our investment training seminar. The Investment Working Group needs to have periodic training in investment policies and I've asked Paula to step up and make comments about the training seminar that we are planning.

MS. BANKS: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Good morning.

MS. BANKS: It's Paula Banks for the record, it's B-A-N-K-S. I set up an investment training for September 26th, I've e-mailed everyone inviting the Trustee Council and the Investment Working Group to the training seminar. And, basically, what we're going to be

going over is the market scenario and what's expected in the future.

O'Leary from Callan Associates is going to be giving the seminar and then after the training we will have a two-hour Investment Working Group meeting where we will discuss the asset allocation policy and the payout schedule for -- because things are changing. In FY06 we're going to a percentage rather than a set schedule, so we're going to be discussing that and we'll bring that to the Trustee Council at the next meeting.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any questions for

Ms. Banks?

MR. DUFFY: Just a question, again, who's doing the training for the investment board?

MS. BANKS: I believe it's Michael

O'Leary.....

MS. PHILLIPS: Michael O'Leary.

MS. BANKS: ....with Callan Associates.

MS. PHILLIPS: And he does the Permanent Fund Board. Two of the members of the Permanent Fund Board are on our Investment Working Group. And we're actually piggy-backing on the Permanent Fund Board's meeting, which will be in the next day or so after that or before that. So we got him at a pretty good price because we were able

to piggy-back with them.

MR. DUFFY: Okay. Thanks, Paula. And that training is here in Anchorage?

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. It'll be in this room.

MS. BANKS: Yes, here in this office, starting about 9:00 o'clock. I expect it to go up until one or so and then the Investment Working Group meeting starting around 1:30, going for about two hours.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Can you remind me, who is on the Investment Working Group? Ms. Phillips mentioned there were two members from the Permanent Fund.

MS. BANKS: Let's see.....

MR. RENKES: Mr. Chairman, this is Gregg Renkes.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes.

MR. RENKES: I don't know about the the other folks on the phone, but I'm having a hard time hearing the other person that's talking. I can hear you fine and the other Trustee, but I can't hear whoever you're asking questions.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Ms. Paula Banks is advising us about the scheduling of the Investment Working Group and we'll try to get her amplified a little more, I guess.

MR. RENKES: Okay, thanks.

MS. BANKS: Sorry about that, Gregg, there's not a microphone at the table, Cherri's getting one now, so -- is that any better?

MR. RENKES: Oh, that's a lot better.

MS. BANKS: All right. We have Bruce
Nesslage, Peter Bushre, Gary Bader, Barry Roth, Bob Storer
and I believe it would be Gregg Renkes or Craig Tillery.
Craig was on the Investment Working Group and I'm not sure
if that is going to be continuing or not, and then Jim
Balsiger.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. I knew I was on it. Unfortunately I won't be able to make that meeting because of an earlier commitment, but I'm glad that this has been arranged.

MR. RENKES: When is the date of the meeting? I'm sorry.

MS. BANKS: September 26th, it's going to be at the Trustee Council office here in Anchorage. It's going to start around 9:00 in the morning. I will be sending out an e-mail to everyone, too, confirming that.

MR. RENKES: Okay. Either Craig or I will be there.

MS. BANKS: Okay, great.

MS. PHILLIPS: It would be our intent, also, to do periodic training for the Investment Working

Group.

If there are no further questions of Paula, the next item is the 15th anniversary of the oil spill, which is March 2004. I've asked Cherri to come forward and do a recap of what we kind of did for the 10th anniversary and then we'd just like to get a feel from the Trustee Council as to how much emphasis you want us to place on the 15th anniversary, what kind of things you'd like to see done, so we can -- I know that we need to start planning that right away.

MS. WOMAC: Hi, my name is Cherri Womac and it's W-O-M-A-C. For the 10th anniversary we produced a video that was about 30 minutes that was shown on PBS and we also sent it out to a number of the Alaska schools. And then any Outside schools that called -- heard about it, called and requested it, we mailed it to them. The workshop that year was about five days, which is a little bit longer than we had done in the past, which is about three. And the first day was dedicated to the scientists and people speaking on projects and the science that's been done, more in a layman's terms. We invited school children to come in and listen and families to bring their kids. And then the next couple of days were more geared towards the scientists and the interaction that we usually have with them.

We also had a lot of media that covered the event, leading up to the workshop itself and, the preceding year, they came in and did a lot of filming, interacted with the office and went out, I believe, with some of the different principal investigators to some of the sites that some of the projects were being done.

So that's basically what we did. So I don't know for the 15th if you want to go to that extent or to something like we done in the past.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any questions from the Trustees?

MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Cherri, the 30-minute video you did, I should have observed it, but I haven't seen it, what did it specifically focus on? Was it the science or....

MS. WOMAC: It showed the spill and then it showed some of the injured resources or the animals and then it also went to some of the communities and showed how the communities had used money that they had received to upgrade things that had been injured. It was about 30 minutes and it ended with -- it's been a couple of years since I looked at it, but it ended on, you know, an upward note as to what had been accomplished over that 10-year period.

MR. DUFFY: If I could?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Of course.

MR. DUFFY: And what sort of a response did you receive from the public on the video, if any?

MS. WOMAC: I think we received good comments and I think they felt it was well done and that it did a good overview. It's not -- you know, 10 years in 30 minutes is kind of hard to do.

MR. DUFFY: Yeah.

MS. WOMAC: But we do have extra copies still in the office if you're interested in seeing it sometime.

MR. DUFFY: Thank you.

MS. BALLARD: Jim, this is Ernesta.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Ernesta.

MS. BALLARD: As the sort of owner of the responsibility here for oil spill planning and for prevention and response organization in the state, I guess I feel that, if we feel compelled to do something at all, I think we ought to try to make clear to the viewer how much has changed and what the current, both Federal and State, legal expectation and regulatory response has been. I'm a little concerned 15 years later of leaving the impression that the exact set of circumstances that occurred could occur again. There's no question we could have oil spills,

we have oil spills every day, but the regulatory system and the legal framework that are in place is vastly different.

In some parts of the state, I think, that our prevention programs are better than our response programs and then, in other parts of the state, I think differently. In Prince William Sound, I think the prevention program is really outstanding. So I guess I would weigh in that if we feel compelled to do anything that we take advantage of what has changed and communicate that without minimizing the fact that spilling oil is still possible, because human error is always -- you know, we can always have another mistake or another accident, but it would be different than the one that occurred before.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other comments?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: How do you wish to dispose of this item? It's September, March is only six months away, I guess, so we could make a decision later on as to the level, I guess. It would, perhaps, be useful to see a proposal and budgets, but what's the wishes of the Trustees?

MS. BALLARD: My wish is, if it's the wish that something be done, that DEC be given a fairly active

role in communicating what the status of oil spill contingency planning is in the state of Alaska. It's not work that I seek right now, but I certainly don't want to present to the public the images of a 15-year-old event without pretty heavy emphasis on what we've done to respond to it.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Meade.

I quess for me, I take a look MR. MEADE: at this from an angle of public affairs and marketing and it's 15 years past the oil spill; is there an objective here to be accomplished in a communication outreach initiative? And if it is, it should center around that and so -- in my short time here on the Board, Jim, the most important thing I see us beginning to focus on is a shift, if you will, from where we've been with critical habitat, a shift from where we've been in land acquisition and more focused towards research. Perhaps both short-term research that's important to communities and subsistence users and longer-term research, like the NOAA grant, we'd put into So depending on the decision, we may also culminate yet today, it seems if we have an outreach effort it could adhere to the focus that the Board of Trustees looks to see a shift to, as we move into, if you will, this longer-term research and monitoring and what that is telling us and how it is, you know, providing information and science that has

merit for the state and for the residents.

I'm struggling, I guess, a bit to find out just what we anchor to. I think I'm right where, if I understand Ernesta's plea, it's don't anchor back to the oil spill, that was 15 years ago and so to me it would be important we try to tie ourselves down to or anchor to something that's relevant to where we're moving into the future with the Board of Trustees and investments.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, this wasn't listed as an action item, but is the sense of this is that we'd like the staff to perhaps outline where this might go so we can consider in October whether we want to spend time on it?

MR. MEADE: I think it needs a bit of staff work. So we want to know if there is an objective that we should anchor to, that we wanted to market and communicate it out and then tease out of that what and how we might approach it.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So then we have at least two thoughts on that. Ms. Ballard's, where the oil spill has led to different regulatory and legal structure that may offer protections we didn't have back then. And Mr. Meade's point that the emphasis of the Council and spending is money is less on habitat and immediate oil removal, those kinds of things, and more on monitoring and

forward looking kinds of research. So if you can work on something that seems to deal with....

MS. PHILLIPS: I will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks. The next item of discussion is staff vacancies. There have been three resignations in staff over the past about 10 months, I believe. And when I said the existing staff, the current staff, have done a yeoman's job, each one of them took on duties and responsibilities of the three staff people that left this organization. There's one staff person, however, that we just -- we don't have enough hands and minds and eyes to fill that position, and that is an extra person in data management. We are absolutely strapped there and so we made the decision to go ahead and hire a data analyst/programmer, and that will help Rob. Rob is trying to do everything right now and it's just impossible for him to get that all done and we don't have anybody on staff that can take that responsibility on. So we are in the process of putting together recruitment advertisements and sending them out to hire a data analyst/programmer to assist not only with Rob in just the general operation of our administration, but also in the technical aspect of the Work Plan, getting everything from the Work Plan on the computers. And when Rob discusses his budget, a little bit

1	later, he'll go into the detail on that.	
2	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any questions on that?	
3	(No audible response)	
4	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I have a comment. So	
5	then this vacancy announcement, the recruitment, is	
6	basically contingent on the approval of some of these	
7	budgets that we're going to get to very shortly.	
8	MS. PHILLIPS: We have money in the current	
9	budget. Paula, is this correct? We have money in the	
10	current budget, it was already budgeted for the data	
11	analyst person. The current budget for this year has two	
12	people in data management and that position has been vacant	
13	now for 10 months.	
14	MS. BANKS: (Nods in affirmative)	
15	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: All right.	
16	MS. PHILLIPS: Next year's budget will have	
17	the approval for two people also.	
18	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: This is too remedial to	
19	ask in public, but fiscal year starts October 1; is that	
20	correct?	
21	MS. PHILLIPS: Right.	
22	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any	
23	questions?	
24	MS. PHILLIPS: And we geared the	
25	recruitment to start October 1st, so we will actually have	

1	a savings in data management, not in gray hairs, but in		
2	dollars for 2003.		
3	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.		
4	MR. DUFFY: Just on that, Gail, or Paula or		
5	whoever, if you had three resignations over the last, did		
6	you say 10 months approximately?		
7	MS. PHILLIPS: Uh-huh. (Affirmative)		
8	MR. DUFFY: Do you have a vacancy factor		
9	there or some saved funds from these vacancies that you		
10	will be rolling over into the next fiscal year? How do we		
11	treat that in the Council process?		
12	MS. PHILLIPS: Paula, can you		
13	MS. BANKS: Those funds lapse.		
14	MR. DUFFY: They do lapse?		
15	MS. BANKS: Yes.		
16	MR. DUFFY: As part of the Federal system?		
17	MS. BANKS: Right.		
18	MR. DUFFY: September end of September,		
19	start of October they lapse?		
20	MS. BANKS: Right.		
21	MR. DUFFY: Okay.		
22	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: But that money doesn't		
23	go away, does that go back into		
24	MS. BANKS: No, it goes back into		
25	(indiscernible - away from microphone)		

MR. DUFFY: It goes back into the fund, okay. All right. Good.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay?

MR. DUFFY: Thanks.

1.8

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So we'll deal with that more directly, I guess, when we get to the budgets.

MS. PHILLIPS: To the budget, right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: And I would ask Phil to come forward and do us just a brief update on where we are in the Work Plan. The 2004 Work Plan will be on the agenda for the October meeting, but I'd like him to come and give a brief update on where we are with the process of the projects, et cetera.

DR. MUNDY: Thank you, Gail. Mr. Chairman, Trustee Council members, for the record my name is Phil Mundy, I'm the Science Director for the Trustee Council. We are on target to deliver a draft Work Plan to you so that it can be adopted -- considered for adoption on October the 3rd. We received 61 proposals and those proposals have been out for independent peer review. We've had over a hundred volunteer scientists participate in that effort and we've also had our Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee consider each of these 61 proposals and they have formulated recommendations.

In addition to that, the Executive Director and I have discussed each of these proposals and formulated a draft recommendation from the Executive Director for you, which is now in your hands and posted on our Website. So the process now is to receive public comment, receive comments from Trustees and from individuals and agencies and then we'll be revising our draft recommendations and delivering them to you in the middle of September for your consideration for adoption in October.

1.3

Thank you. Those are my comments, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Questions from the Trustees?

MR. DUFFY: I got a comment.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Phil, in that process, just a simple one, so I understand, if you get public comments relative to these 61 proposals in this interim period, do you factor those into your final set of recommendations that comes to Gail, is that how that works, the public input process?

DR. MUNDY: Yes, most certainly.

MR. DUFFY: And, then, as well, we'll have access to those types of comments when we take our actions in October, we'll be able to look at that information on

the Website, I assume, or not?

1.1

DR. MUNDY: Ordinarily those -- if there's a comment that is really telling and it factors into a change in the decision, that would normally be addressed in the Executive Director's recommendation so that you would see that in your Work Plan, your proposed Work Plan, prior to the October meeting. We have not in the past posted the comments we receive from the public on the Web, that would not be much of an additional expense or problem to do so, if that was your pleasure.

MR. DUFFY: Thank you. I wasn't asking for that, I'm just trying to understand the process. So, in October we'll have the benefit of the Scientific and Technical Panel's review, as well as their view and your consultation with the Executive Director and that will be the information we'll use to make our decisions in October?

DR. MUNDY: That's correct. And in draft....

MR. DUFFY: And public comment.

DR. MUNDY: Yes, that's correct, and in draft you do have most of that now with the exception of what we received in public comments since the draft Work Plan was distributed.

MR. DUFFY: Right. I should have said I do have that, I just haven't read it yet, but I will between

now and October.

DR. MUNDY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other Trustee questions or comments?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Then, Dr. Mundy, how is the public aware that this is on the Website, just by them monitoring the Website or has it been -- is there a well advertised note that says take a look because we're interested in your comments?

DR. MUNDY: No, we've sent a note by email, notified over 3,000 people who are, you know, on our mailing list and we may have taken other steps of which I'm not aware, but to my knowledge we notified people on the Web. And I can tell you from the telephone calls I've been getting it seems to have been widely understood that it's out there.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. And the second question that I have, I notice on page 9, sort of as a summary of the total amount of money we have and so '04 there's roughly \$5,000,000 and '05 it's going to be two and a quarter million and then '06 it's going to be 2,000,000. So I know this isn't exactly a prospective budget item, but could you comment on the impact of the program of having the research over the next two years compared to this

year's fund level?

1.2

DR. MUNDY: Right. The reason that we're bringing up for consideration in the draft, at least, roughly three and a half million dollars worth of proposals for consideration and roughly \$1.5 million in other types of supporting projects, such as administration and science management and so forth, which totals, as you pointed out, Mr. Chairman, about \$5,000,000 roughly, a little above it, is because we're implementing -- this is the first full implementation of the GEM Program. This is the first time that we will have predominantly GEM projects for your consideration and we'll be pursuing primarily GEM activities during FY04.

Those activities, some of them are simply one-time research projects and that's the reason that the amount declines in FY05 and FY07. And also lingering oil studies, which are expected to decline in the future, are only budgeted for one year at a time. And the lingering oil studies make up a substantial portion of that \$3.5 million, at least in the draft.

So we expect to have a substantial amount of flexibility in the future, out years, FY05 and FY06, to continue the implementation of the GEM Program.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Thank you. Thank you very much.

то

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Thanks, Phil.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That would bring us to the PAC meeting reports.

MS. PHILLIPS: The next item, and Brett Huber was not able to be here today, I've asked Paula to give the report on the PAC meetings of June and August 14th.

MS. BANKS: I contacted Chuck Meacham as well and he was not able to attend either, so I was the lucky one here. The message basically was that the PAC supported the administrative budget as drafted and they saw value in accepting in the NOAA grant. Brett Huber attended both the STAC and the PAC meeting and there were incredible parallels in opinions and recommendations on the proposals and that the PAC supported the STAC recommendations.

Let's see. The PAC also supported the Trustee Council in standing firm on the delinquent report policy regarding annual and final reports of PIs that were submitting proposals for FY04 and to stand firm on that policy, not to issue or allocate any funds to those projects of those PIs, you know, who have delinquent reports.

MR. MEADE: PIs?

MS. BANKS: Public investigator or.....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Principal.

MS. BANKS: Principal investigator, sorry.

The Public Advisory Committee also supported the Council to continue to pursue opportunities under the Reopener Clause.

There was a request, however, that -- or they wanted to convey to the Trustee Council the PAC's continuing interest in the Reopener issue and wanted to ask the Trustees if they would like the Public Advisory Committee to deliberate further and make a formal recommendation on this.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Could you repeat that?

They asked the Trustees....

MS. BANKS: If they would like the Public Advisory Committee to deliberate further, to discuss the Reopener further, and to make any formal recommendations on this or if it was best that they just state that they support that.

And, let's see, the Community Involvement Plan was well done. A price tag still needs to be added to that and that criteria needs to be established for a future Community Plan and that the PAC wants and would like to be involved in that process, to continue to be involved with that process.

And that was, basically, the specifics, the highlights, that Mr. Huber wanted me to announce to the Trustee Council. So, any questions?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Are there

comments or questions for Ms. Banks from the Trustees?

(No audible response)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I hear none. Thank you very much.

MS. BANKS: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks, Paula. The next item on my comments are Restoration Office remodel. know, every time a woman enters into a room or into a new house, you got to change the furniture. The first impression I had coming into this office was not very user friendly. You come into the office and you look right into a store room and then look into a records keeping room and you have no clue of where the receptionist is until you walk down a long hall. And so I asked Brenda to work with the building people to try to get us some prices on just remodeling our front entryway as you come through the door, that you walk into a nice receptionist area, rather than storage rooms and a blank hallway.

We've gotten prices from the people that have worked on the building, ranging from about \$12,000 for just taking out some walls and putting in a basic space to about \$20,000 if we want them to build built-ins for all the equipment. We have plenty of furniture in this place, we don't need any built-ins built. So I'm thinking just adding a little bit more because, you know, they always

come down with a low ball. It would probably cost about \$15,000 to remodel our entryway and make it a nice entryway and a public sitting area, which will do several things. It will take off a lot of congestion from the middle of the work area, it'll make a much nicer and user friendly, visitor friendly, area for people to come into, it would be an area for them to wait if they're maybe going to meet with people or people coming to the meetings. I just feel it would make our whole appearance a lot more professional and a lot friendlier than it is now.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Comments from Trustees?

MR. MEADE: I guess the only comment I

would have, Gail, is do we have very much walk-in traffic?

I've only been here a couple of visits, so I really don't

have a gauge. Obviously we have these meetings, as we're

conducting now held here, so just in that it seems like

having a reasonable entrance space, reception and greeting

area would be important. I don't know the traffic flow

outside of hosting these meetings.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah. There isn't a lot, but there is some. I know when I first came in I was just totally blown away about where do I go? Where do I go?

MR. MEADE: I kind of blindly walked around

(Laughter)

until I heard somebody, so.....

Yeah, right. So I would 1 MS. PHILLIPS: just like to make that better. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So the 15,000, is that in one of these budgets we're going to review later? 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. We have money already 5 in the existing budget for 2003 that can cover that, we 6 7 don't need to require additional funds and it doesn't need 8 to be part of a new budget. 9 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So, I quess the obvious question is what was it intended for in the existing budget 10 11 that it didn't get spent on? MS. PHILLIPS: I think probably salaries on 12 13 personnel that quit. And Paula will..... The Scientific and Technical 14 MS. BANKS: Advisory Committee did not (indiscernible -- away from 15 16 microphone) 17 REPORTER: I can't hear you. 18 MS. BANKS: The Scientific and Technical 19 Advisory Committee did not use as much monies as they had anticipated using and so we do have some residual, some 20 21 monies left over from that, so..... CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: 22 Thank you. 23 MS. BANKS: Okay. 24 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Thanks. And the last one on my comments is brief comments from Phil on the North 25

Pacific Ecosystem Status Report. Just brief comments, Phil, if you wouldn't mind.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. MUNDY: Thank you, Gail. Mr. Chairman, this is Phil Mundy again for the record. We've been participating the last two years in the development of the North Pacific Ecosystem Status Report and we got into this because we were developing a state of the Gulf of Alaska report for the purposes of GEM and found that people were looking to us for a model because they were developing an Ecosystem Status Report for the entire North Pacific. we felt that it was in our interest to get together with other groups around the Pacific Rim and develop some standards for reporting and see if we could develop some standard measures of ecosystem performance. And this is primarily intended for a general scientific audience and for the public and we hope, particularly, that the natural resource managers will come to take advantage of this report.

I have seen a preview of this, it was not a smooth process because we have Koreans and Chinese,

Japanese, Russians, people from the United States and

Canada all sitting down, and Mexico at this time, all sitting down trying to figure out what we can use for common measures for ecosystem performance. We're finding some patterns that are very familiar to everyone and also

some evidence that we've had a substantial change in the climate, which everyone can agree on, or mostly agree on. And that these bodes probably some negative changes for salmon managers and optimistically some positive changes for people who are worried about things like Stellar sea lions.

So that's where we are on that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any comments or

questions?

1.7

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy, this other group that you mentioned, you didn't say PICES, but that is the connection?

DR. MUNDY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'm sorry, that is the -- the group that is facilitating putting all these people together is the North Pacific Marine Science Organization is their formal name and their nickname, their acronym, which as near as I can tell really doesn't stand for anything, is P-I-C-E-S, PICES.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And I think I saw somewhere in one of these budget sheets that we have a contract with PICES for some \$26,000 or whatever pursuing us.

DR. MUNDY: Sixteen.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Sixteen thousand?

1 DR. MUNDY: Sixteen six, 16.6. 2 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So, is that money that 3 PICES gave us to do this or is that what we're providing to support the PICES Project? 4 5 DR. MUNDY: That's money that we're providing to support PICES, primarily in terms of meeting 6 7 support and a contribution towards putting together the report itself. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Ms. 10 Phillips, anything else on your items? 11 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Phil. No, that 12 concludes my remarks. 13 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. I noticed 14 that I actually skipped over one of the items, agenda item 15 number one, which was the approval of the meeting notes for 16 July 24th and 25th. And I also see that I have two or 17 three copies here, so does that mean I was supposed to have distributed these or does everyone have them? 18 19 MS. PHILLIPS: I think they were on 20 everybody's discs. 21 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: In that case, can we 22 consider these for adoption? 23 MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman, I've looked them 24 over, I would move to adopt the minutes from July 24th. 25 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Is there a

second? 1 MS. BALLARD: I'll second it, this is 2 Ernesta. 3 4 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Moved and seconded. Ts 5 there any opposition to adopting the July 24th and 25th 6 notes? 7 (No audible response) 8 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing no opposition, 9 those are adopted. That should move us to the agenda to 10 the point where it says working lunch is provided. I see 11 it's very close to 12:00 o'clock, so we could -- if the 12 food is here we could take 15 or 20 minutes and come back 13 and start the agenda again. 14 That would be great. MS. PHILLIPS: 15 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. 16 MR. RENKES: You're going to work through 17 lunch or take a break for lunch? 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I think we're going to take 15 minutes or so, Mr. Renkes, and then intend to work 19 20 while we're finishing the lunch in order to get done with 21 this as soon as we can. 22 MR. RENKES: Okay. Well, you're making me 23 hungry. 2.4 MS. PHILLIPS: So Drue can go to her

25

football games or something.

MS. PEARCE: Not going to a game, it's that they're closing down the Mall and they want us out of the building. I'm trying to figure out if I could possibly call in from my cell phone, although my reception isn't that great, which is what I'm worried about.

MR. MEADE: Well, Drue, I've been back in D.C. and lived through the snow low and the furlough and, you know, snowflakes close the city down and now I understand the NFL closes the city down.

MS. PEARCE: At this time it did.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. So we should reconvene, I guess, approximately 12:15 if that suits the Trustees.

(Off record - 12:00 p.m.)

(On record - 12:26 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: While a couple of people still finish their cookies, let's see if we can start with the agenda. We finished the first three full items, the next one, which is an action item, is the NOAA/NOS grant. Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. I'm going to ask Paula again to come up and give the details on the NOAA/NOS grant. We do have a grant that has been offered to us, we need an action by the Trustee Council to either accept or not accept that grant. It will affect the budget whether

or not we accept it or not, and I'll have Paula present the details.

MS. BANKS: As you all have heard and I've been trying to kind of piece together what has happened in the past to lead up to having the opportunity to accept the NOAA grant. And I believe at the last Trustee Council meeting the Trustee Council was skeptical about accepting the grant without information on really what that meant, that perhaps there might be strings attached. And so the development of, you know, what's been happening since then is that the grant is now at Fish and Game, it has been kind of massaged, reworked, the application process has been submitted and the grant is available to us.

There was some concern about receipt authority, Federal receipt authority. EVOS has \$100,000 in Federal receipt authority for FY04. Receipt authority is something that can be requested as we go along. I've been discussing that with Department of Fish and Game -- let me grab my notes on this here. Margie Ridgeway, which handles the receipt authority and the monies for Fish and Game. EVOS is now under the same BRU as the Commissioner's office and there has been some discussion that there may be some additional receipt authority through the Commissioner's office that we'll be able to utilize. In addition to that, we can request more receipt authority.

The receipt authority works on the State fiscal year, while we work on the Federal fiscal year, it ends June 30th. And so those monies for that receipt authority that we have, we'll utilize and expend a certain amount of monies within that time frame, well, then new receipt authority begins as of July 1st, which is still our FY04. It's rather complicated, but the bottom line and the answer from headquarters was it can be done. So the money is there, it's supplementing our administrative budget, which gets our data management and allows us additional monies to help us streamline what we've got going on now so that, in the future, things will level out and we'll be able to save money in the long run.

It's \$745.1 thousand to be expended over a three-year period. The first year, in FY04, we have budgeted in 248.3 thousand and it has been anticipated to be utilized in all the administrative budgets, the 100 budget, the data management budget and the science management budget by taking a portion of salaries and shifting it over to spending it -- or using the NOAA funds, spending those NOAA funds on those items. And each one of us, Phil and Rob, will go through our budgets and it will show and reflect what we anticipate using that budget on or those monies for.

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Questions or comments from the Trustees?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUFFY: I just have to add to what she said in terms of -- and I'm sure that Gail is familiar with this process, but in terms of receipt authority, if we choose to accept this grant and within the State system annually we get receipt authority and then we can spend Federal money up to that authority. If we hit that cap or get close to it, you need legislative approval for additional Federal receipt authority. In the interim, when the Legislature is not in town, there is a Legislative Budget Audit Committee, we would need to take that request to increase our Federal receipt authority to them for approval. She is right that Kevin Brooks in his shop has indicated to me that we can get that accomplished if we move forward on this grant, so I don't think there's an administrative hurdle that we can't overcome fairly easily for receipt of this money if we choose to take it.

MS. BALLARD: Jim, this is Ernesta. I think I was the one that was the most baffled by this and I remain baffled at a grant which materializes with no apparent application where we fill in the paperwork after the fact and is available for general administrative purposes. There are many agencies that would love to get their hands on that kind of money. I just still need to

understand a little bit better how it is that EVOS is in the grant application and receipt business in competition, perhaps, with others. It just -- it's a bit embarrassing to me to have the budget depth that EVOS HAS and be in receipt of basically \$750,000 of money which has no apparent purpose other than to underwrite daily operations. I need to understand it better.

MS. PHILLIPS: I would like to call Phil Mundy up to answer that question.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy, please.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks. Paula, just go ahead and stay there. Okay. Thanks.

DR. MUNDY: Thank you, Gail, thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Council. For those of you on the telephone, this is Phil Mundy speaking. I would take issue with Commissioner Ballard's characterization of the money as for no apparent purpose. While we were not able to pinpoint the specific origin of the money within what they're calling now inside the Beltway, the plus-up process, that is the add-on process. I'm certain that it came out of our efforts over the last four years to work with development of the Integrated and Sustained Ocean Observing System, which has come out of the National Ocean Research Leadership Committee, NOLRC, which is a Cabinet level group of administrators which had been tasked by the

Executive Branch with bringing together the marine science programs in the United States and coordinating them and creating some efficiencies to save monies in these areas.

The GEM Program has been cited as an example for coastal ocean observing programs nationally and internationally, so we are well known.

The reason that the money is going into administration is because of the financial nature of the Trustee Council. And we had some trouble explaining this to the National Oceans Service, who is administering the money for us. We don't write checks here at the Trustee Council, we have to do business through agencies and through contracts. So what they apparently had in mind at the Coastal Services Center of National Oceans Service, which is NOAA, was that we would go out, purchase some buoys, charter some ships, take some observations. We told them that the quickest way we could do that would be to put money into our administrative program, freeing up money that would have otherwise been spent not on observing systems, but on all of the things that we do to make it possible to have those observing systems out there.

So, in essence, we are applying this across our different contracts in order to free up money that can then be put into the GEM Program, into the observing system. So, as a consequence of putting this \$248,000 into

administration this year and into administrative support services that we distinguish from administration, we will, thereby, have \$248,000 to apply directly to the GEM Program and we'll be \$248,000 closer to implementing the GEM Program at the end of this year. And I'm saying 248 because we have split the total amount and applied it over a three-year period, using roughly the same amounts of funds from the NOS grant in each of those three years.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you, Dr. Mundy.

Were you able to identify any legislative history or floor comment that suggest that -- I agree with Trustee Ballard that it would be really useful to be able to show that it just didn't show up out of the blue and it was something we tried to get and there was some thought behind it.

DR. MUNDY: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I do not have that information. We made several attempts to get that information and so far we've not been successful.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Thank you.

Ms. Ballard, any other comments on that?

MS. BALLARD: No, thanks. Thanks, Phil. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thanks a lot, Phil.

Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Phil, that was a good explanation, I understand. So if you're going to use this money for the internal workings of the organization that

frees up money relative to the GEM Program. The projects 1 that we would consider funding relative to that would be 2 projects that we would look at in October; is that how this 3 4 all fits together? 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, in other words, the type of activities we'll be doing we'll look at in October with this freed-up money?

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman. Yes, Commissioner Duffy, that's correct.

MR. DUFFY: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So I believe that we need a motion on this in order to include this -- we need a motion to accept this to work it into our budget as indicated.

MR. MEADE: Jim, I'll so move. The comment before I make that motion, though, is I was going to validate what my observation is and it's really a win/win, we have an opportunity here, through the efforts with the staff and with NOAA, to have a grant that's going to basically offset our administrative costs so we can put more of our tangible EVOS resources into project outcomes. And those outcomes are yet subject to our -- as a new Board of Trustees, a reflective Board, being able to provide leadership in and address. Nothing is cast in stone at this point, there's a lot of flexibility in being able to craft the associated infrasusaries [sic] in GEM as we look

at the October package; is that correct? 1 2 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That's my understanding. 3 MR. MEADE: With that I so make a motion 4 5 that we accept the grant as discussed. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there a second? 6 7 MR. DUFFY: I'll second that. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And to be clear, this 8 9 does not necessarily mean that we're approving the subsequent budgets we're going to look at that contains 10 parts of this NOS money, we're going to look at those 11 12 individually, but right now we've agreed that we'll accept 13 the grant. 14 Any other comments from the Council? 15 (No audible response) 16 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any objection 17 to the motion? 18 (No audible response) 19 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, then we have 20 moved to accept the NOS grant. And thank you, Dr. Mundy, 21 for that explanation. Even though it was fairly simple, it 22 helped me a lot to understand this offset and it was a 23 useful concept.

MR. MEADE:

too, Mr. Chairman, that I applaud the diligent efforts of

24

25

I might also just acknowledge,

the staff. I know coming from an agency that is often looking for alternative funding sources, as well as from some non-profit background, it takes a lot of due diligence to be able to find and finesse those types of opportunities and it simply expands our ability to what we have -- flexibilities and now due in October we've got all the more flexibility to do more opportunity to help make a benefit for folks associated to our role.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: The next action item for the Trustee Council to take up is discussion and approval of administrative budgets. And I will call each one of the budget items to the table and have the person that is working most closely with them run through, give you a preliminary outline of what that budget is and run through the budgets with you answering any questions that anybody may have on each one. So I'm going to ask Phil to come up first and we'll take up the science management budgets, budget number 630 and 630A.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So, just to clarify, we're skipping, for the moment, the administrative and data management and ARLIS and going to Dr. Mundy.

MS. PHILLIPS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, thanks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, if I may again, for the people on the telephone, this is Phil Mundy speaking. The science management and lingering oil programs is going to be budgeted at a level of about \$640,000 in our request for FY04. And we have the lead on the program today because we have, in essence, taken a program that was a restoration program, an oil spill damages program, if you will, an oil spill restoration program and we're transitioning it into a long-term research and monitoring program to help us understand the fate of injured species. In so doing we had to change the procedures and the approaches to doing business substantially. And for that reason we separated out this budget that we call scientific management from the 100 budget and this contains three people, the science coordinator position, this contains a data analyst/computer programmer position and it contains an administrative assistant position. And my salary is not covered under this, it's in the 100 budget being considered part of the sort of overhead, if you will, of operating the program.

Now, what we do in the science management program, our challenge was to figure out how to move from a paid peer reviewed system, a system where we basically were processing a very large number of proposals, 150, 160

proposals per cycle. And we're trying to replace that with a more National Science Foundation style review process where the reviewers are not paid and we're processing a relatively smaller number of proposals in any given time frame.

So the science management budget here basically relates to the three parts of our annual cycle, that is, the invitation for proposals, which typically comes out in February, the receipt and processing of those proposals, including the peer review, which normally takes place from May through August, and then the preparation of the draft Work Plan and the subsequent invitation and the cycle continues through that process of invitations, proposals, draft Work Plan throughout the year.

In the process of preparing the invitation we consult the public and we also consult the scientific community and use our subcommittees, so you'll see the Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee and the subcommittees featured in this budget. One of their roles is to advise us on what to ask for next, what do we need to conduct the program in the coming fiscal year. We also incorporate public comment in that.

In the proposal process, data management is featured prominently because we have automated the process substantially and a good deal of our proposal/peer review

process is done now directly on the Web, where people don't submit paper reviews or even e-mail reviews, they simply log on to our Website and enter their peer review of the document. This has saved us a lot of time and effort. The STAC is also heavily involved in the review of the proposals. Every proposal has a primary and secondary STAC reviewer.

1.4

We also receive lingering oil proposals and those lingering oil proposals are processed somewhat differently in that they are still part of the, very much, the Restoration Program and also are relevant to concerns, litigation, sensitive concerns, such as the Reopener. We have those processed by a Lingering Oil Subcommittee, which is chaired by Dr. Bob Spies, and Dr. Bob Spies is under contract to us to provide peer review services for those proposals and to otherwise provide peer review services during the balance of the year, such as conducting a workshop or two for us to decide what we're going to do in the subsequent season.

In the preparation of the draft Work Plan, following a review of the proposals, the Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee develops a recommendation which is then goes directly to the Executive Director, who makes her recommendation on that.

So this is sort of the background on the

scientific management program under GEM. We have two pieces here, the 630 and the 630A. And 630A is just simply we've backed out personnel, travel and contractual costs from the original 630 budget into a portion that we propose that the NOS grant pay for, so that's the distinction between the two. You'll find in the 630 budget only the science coordinator's salary is covered and in the 630A you'll find a portion of the data analyst/computer programmer position and the administrative assistant.

1.7

So, Mr. Chairman, Executive Director Phillips, that's my report.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Comments or questions? Mr. Meade.

MR. MEADE: Following our last discussion, Phil, the one thing that stands out to me in accepting the grant, we also then need to be sure we're enhancing or accomplishing a certain set of monitoring expectations. I presume your budget here that you've proposed, builds in and is responsive to the interest that NOAA has in seeing that we've been able to incrementally increase some research monitoring effects?

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, in response to Mr. Meade's question, that's correct, that's exactly what we've done.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Other Trustee questions

or comments on budgets?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DUFFY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Dr. Mundy, the question I have is, I got a full set of tables here, but on one it talks about the -- I think it's Dr. Bob Spies' position, it's contractual at \$95,000 and then some general administration. Is that a permanent full-time position? How do you consider that contract, is it -- could you describe the nature of that to me a bit, please?

DR. MUNDY: Yes. Mr. Chairman, the contractual, which is handled by the Department of Natural Resources as our contracting agent, is a personal services contract for which we do have a detailed budget and basically the service provided by Dr. Spies are also backed up by his own staff at his firm, Applied Marine Sciences, we may receive some help in terms of data processing when we need it. We may receive some clerical support, such as scheduling meetings, scheduling workshops. This will cover travel for some workshop participants if we're dealing with a lingering oil issue. So this is a contractual amount that is used to pay for a broad ranges of goods and services that are related to the lingering oil spill investigations and Dr. Spies' management of those lingering oil spill investigations.

MR. DUFFY: Thank you.

MS. BALLARD: Jim, this is Ernesta. On that same subject, as I recall at our April meeting, we expressed a mutual frustration that we don't yet have the sort of summary report from Dr. Spies that would show what we've actually learned. Will this \$95,000 get us there or will that take more than this?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy.

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, thank you. This \$95,000 really does not bear on that specific report.

There's a separate contractual item that you'll be considering on October the 3rd, you'll be asked the approve on October the 3rd, which will provide that document. That document, however, is not expected to be produced, at least not in a form other than draft, before about September of next year.

MS. BALLARD: The synthesis, is that what we're talking about?

DR. MUNDY: Yes, the synthesis project that Bob Spies is involved in. This money, and I should have pointed it out earlier, this \$95,000 is for an amount not to exceed. I don't believe that in the last two years Dr. Spies has actually spent all the money that he might have spent on our behalf in this capacity. But this, again, this budget item is simply for chairing the Lingering Oil

Subcommittee and providing goods and services relevant to managing that part of the program.

MS. BALLARD: Jim, I have a number of other questions, shall I just go on?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, go ahead, Ms. Ballard.

MS. BALLARD: Gail or Phil, could you explain how travel for all of the various committees is compensated? This travel budget is enormous by the standards that we're accustomed to elsewhere in the State. And I know it's based on previous experience, so you must have a pretty good idea of where it will all be spent.

DR. MUNDY: Yes, the language, Commissioner Ballard. Sorry, Mr. Chairman, if I may?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please.

DR. MUNDY: Commissioner Ballard, the language in our detailed project description uses terms up to for amounts. These amounts are normally not exceeded. We use a workshop process in that we -- one of our challenges is to bring together scientists and members of the public and people from communities all around the spill area, you know, to work on various aspects of the program. We use a workshop environment, a workshop process for that. We're bringing in our principal investigators who have existing contracts with us, normally their costs would be

borne by their project and we would not pay those. On the other hand, if we brought in someone from a community or a scientist who is not working for us or a scientist who is working for us but who has not budgeted for that activity or was not asked originally to do that activity, then we would cover their travel costs and per diem only.

So basically we -- this is based on past experience, it is based on what we've done in the past. This year of FY2003 was quite unusual in many respects, as I believe everyone knows, and we really didn't engage in a workshop process to the extent that I had hoped that we would. In the next three years as we do our research and we get ready to make some long-term funding decisions on monitoring at the end of FY2006 we'll be needing to bring together a lot of people and to discuss the programs. And then I would expect after that three-year period that the number of workshops that we would be conducting would decrease.

MS. PHILLIPS: I might just add, also,
Commissioner Ballard, that the travel expenses covers the
STAC, the Science Technological Committee. Also all the
expenses of travel for the Public Advisory Committee and
every other year the Public Advisory Committee outreach to
another community in the spill area, so those travel costs
are considerable just in themselves.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Ms.

Ballard.

MS. BALLARD: Well, I won't belabor all of them, because others may want to ask, but on a much smaller scale there's a consulting or some other sort of a contract with somebody named Dede Bohn or D. Bohn. Who is this and what is this coordinate workshop item?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy.

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Commissioner Ballard, that's with Dede Bohn, who is within the Department of Interior, U.S.G.S. and she is a long-time liaison between Department of Interior and our program, who over the years has proven highly expert in helping us to capture the results from our workshop, that is when we bring people together and we have an exchange of ideas it's very important for us to get that into a useful format and to get it out to all the people who need to use it. And rather than hiring a profession facilitator, in the past it's been our practice to ask Dede Bohn to handle it for us. We're hoping that in the course of doing our workshops in FY2004 that Dede will provide that same service for us.

MS. BALLARD: Gail, as a matter of observation, then, if you are, in fact, being able to -- because you had staff turnover, it might be a skill set that you would want to consider for the Council if what

Phil says is true and that much of the work of the next three years is going to be in this workshop format, this might be a skill we ought to have in-house.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. Thanks.

MS. BALLARD: I guess, Jim, I don't have any other questions. I'll say again, the level of ability to spend money to get results at EVOS is, at this moment, in contrast, rather stark contrast, to the level at the State, so it's kind of difficult not to sort of gasp when one looks at these opportunities. I realize that EVOS is ongoing and is engaged over many years and has now a good approach to soliciting the stakeholder input and everything else, but it's kind of eye popping, I must say.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other Trustee comments?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I have just a couple of comments and questions. I looked at the travel as well and I'm a fan of participation in scientific meetings, but it kind of stands out when you have Seoul, Korea, Vancouver, Washington, D.C. several times. So you said this year was unusual, Dr. Mundy, would you expect that that same level of foreign travel would be involved in the '04 budget?

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, there is one foreign trip that I know of, but that is not to be paid for

by EVOS, it would involve my time. It's to be paid for by the U.S. GOOS Steering Committee. I'm the EVOS Trustee Council representative to that PICES meeting in Seoul, Korea in October, but I'm also the representative of the U.S. GOOS Steering Committee to the meeting. And the U.S. GOOS Steering Committee has agreed to pick up the cost of that travel.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The rest of the travel really falls into the category of building the peer review base for the peer review program, that is, getting people to sign up for our peer review database. The scientific meetings are a broad variety of scientific meetings that we attend, and those are where they are. So sometimes they do involve international travel. But the idea has been, particularly in the last two years, is to make the contact, build the visibility for the program so that when we call on these people, we drop in out of the sky and we say would you give us four hours of your time or would you give us eight hours of your time to do a peer review for our program that they'll be sympathetic to the GEM Program because they know what it is and they know what we're trying to do. And we found a lot of acceptance in the scientific community for the idea of a long-term monitoring program.

And also that they will, in fact, step up to the plate and donate their time and give us a peer

review. We used about 220 volunteer peer reviewers just in the last two cycles. And would expect to continue that in the future.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Thank you. And just some picky things perhaps, but on one of these pages it says AO support is 32K, but then it also says that the AOS annual budget is roughly 200,000 with the balance contributed by a grant from NOS. So is this the NOS grant that comes to the Trustee Council that pays to money AOS above the 32,000 that's paid out of the normal EVOS budget?

DR. MUNDY: No, Mr. Chairman, that is another separate NOS grant, that doesn't have anything to do with our NOS grant.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I see, thank you. You mentioned that detailed budgets are available for Dr.

Spies' contract. I don't know if I need the details, but I think it would be useful to have at least an explanation of some of the things that you gave us orally here so that it would be easier for us to understand and explain where that \$95,000 goes. And I don't know if you can do that at this meeting before we vote on it or what the other Trustees think about it. Maybe the explanation was enough, but I think it's useful for the record to know -- to be able to point to those things, so we understand what that 95,000 is for.

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, I can provide that information in a spreadsheet, however, the narrative for that would take a little longer. I can incorporate that into our --we have a detailed write-up on each of our budgets and I can incorporate that at your request into that write-up and provide that to you. It would take a few days however.

2.5

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. And, let's see,
I guess we're moving towards adoption of this as a budget
and if we do that, do you view that as -- I don't know if
this is for the Executive Director or you, do you view that
as approval also of hiring the science coordinator or
approval of that position or don't you think you need our
approval for the science coordinator? What's the approach
to that?

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman, I didn't want to make any recommendations to the Trustee Council on staff until I had been here for a little while and can really, in depth, evaluate the staffing needs, so we don't -- although there's a budget item in there for that, I will -- after I have a good handle on what our needs are for staffing I would come back to the Trustee Council.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. But by adopting this budget we would be then setting aside the money that would fund that position should you decide to come back and

ask approval for hiring that position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. PHILLIPS: Right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Thank you. Any other comments from the Trustees?

MR. MEADE: Jim, I only have one comment or maybe I should be more formal, Mr. Chairman. And it's just an observation at this point, so I don't want it to come across in any other form other than just intuitive, but I also think I'm hearing some of the same questioning from Commissioner Ballard, and that's just to be sure that we're really focusing on a bias for results, that -- and I'm not wanting to imply that there's anything but that here. My urging is to ensure that we're really providing for impacted communities and residents in the state of Alaska some long-term benefit and outcome from what it is that EVOS has been established to do. And if the most efficient and the most effective and peer review process to do that is the foreign travel and the costs for the participatory engagement then that is what we should do, but I really feel it's important that we ensure that that is the right investment, the efficient investment to be sure that we're maximizing the outcome of these very important resources to gains to the impacted communities and to residents of the state of Alaska. So, not being familiar enough yet with the operation, the day-to-day operation and the purpose in

approach, I really can't scrutinize other than just to urge that we be sure we are as, you know, as individuals supported through both taxes and EVOS settlement, that we're really focusing on gaining results in the most efficient and effective manner.

Commissioner Ballard, I assume that kind of touches on some of your same apprehensions and I guess I'm sharing that same feeling in wanting to be sure that we're -- that we are scrutinizing this, that we don't want to tie your hands, we really do want to do the work that EVOS has been set up to do, but being new, I yet can't scrutinize, in my own mind, and be confident that it's occurring, other than I do know that the costs do -- you know, as a Federal employee it's surprising to me the amount of flexibility that we have here with EVOS in comparison with the agency I work for. But if that's what we need to do the job that we've been essentially chartered to do, then that's what we should be doing. I just urge we be efficient about it.

MS. BALLARD: Joe, I appreciate your support there and I guess ultimately, and perhaps at the next meeting when we look at the Work Plan, we will have to go over for the new Trustees, which are the majority of us, the relationship between the long-term monitoring goals of GEM and its alliance with the global scientific community and exactly what Joe just described, and that's the

communities and the people of the state of Alaska that depend on the resources. And I'm having a lot of trouble with that connection, you all know that, it's not the first time I said it. We'll just motor along and get to that next meeting, I guess.

MR. MEADE: Yeah, I mean, in concept, if I'm to reply, I'm in support of GEM, from what I've been briefed is to contain. I just want to be sure it is relevant for impacted communities, relevant for Alaska and relevant for our charter.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. I think those are good comments from both of you. I had a similar feeling, of course, the reason I wanted to ask Dr. Mundy some of the questions, and he has all the right answers. And we don't want to generate more paper, he probably could have written it all down and we'd have a larger notebook, but there's a balance between providing enough information so we're comfortable and being able to recognize that those goals are being met.

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: I just wanted to bring to everyone's attention that you did have a two-page document, a spreadsheet, from Bob Spies on his -- the budget proposal. You should each have that and then I would

1 request that Phil do a narrative on that. If you don't have it -- Cherri, would you make copies for everybody, 2 please? 3 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: It's quite possible I 4 5 had it, but I missed then.... 6 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, thanks. 7 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: ....so that would be 8 great. 9 MS. PHILLIPS: And then we will provide a narrative. 10 11 MS. BALLARD: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, Ms. Ballard. 12 13 MS. BALLARD: Gail, next time when we send 14 the pieces out by e-mail, I am perfectly happy to download 15 them, but if we could have each one numbered in some way, 16 then Cherri can kind of do a check before the meeting and 17 say, do you have all of these items? It was a little hard 18 this time to tell what we actually had because they kept 19 coming. 20 MS. PHILLIPS: Sure. 21 MS. BALLARD: And I don't mind that, I'm 22 happy to be the printer of last resort here in the

MS. PHILLIPS: We'll do that and being this was our first meeting where we did not send out the great

23

24

25

department, but....

big -- mail out the great big notebooks to everybody. We will do that and make sure that we got everybody on track. It will save quite a bit of money in postage if we do it this way.

MR. MEADE: Mr. Chairman, I'll also follow Commissioner Ballard's remarks there. It was very helpful for me to get it electronically, I applaud that. For me not reading hardcopy I prefer digital communication, but it would be helpful to maybe have some protocols, we were receiving things as late as this morning, it was difficult for me with the rest of my work to be able to pull those down, read them and feel as though I had what I needed to be prepared to come today, so maybe in future we should set some protocol for when the package in its entirety is distributed by, so we're not getting it at the last minute. Not, again, to criticize because I know we were getting the information out and I rather have it than not but, you know.

MS. PHILLIPS: Sure. Yeah, that's a good point.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any further comments?
(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: This budget, 04630 and 630A contains quite a few details about participation in workshops, support of AOS, personnel salaries and if

there's no questions on that, we're in position to have a motion to approve that part of the budget for fiscal '04.

MR. MEADE: I'll be pleased to make a motion to so support. And it's because I do support the broad goals of GEM. Again, I just ask that we do be thinking of that efficiency and relevancy to impacted communities. With that I'd make a motion that we accept the budget as submitted.

MS. PEARCE: I would second that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We have a motion, and it is seconded, to adopt the budgets for the 04630 and 630A as submitted and explained here. Is there any opposition to that motion?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, that budget is adopted. Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you very much,
Mr. Chairman. I would call, then, Paula next to do the
administrative budget, which is budget number 100.

Thank you, Phil.

MS. BANKS: For the benefit of those on line, this is Paula Banks again. I'd like to start out, Mr. Chairman, by saying that we have made great efforts to reduce our budget from last year and the previous year's. In the proposal summary page, on page 1 of that, you can

see in the past eight years we've gone from 3.4 million down to 863,000, which is a huge, huge difference. Last year in FY03 we had 1.1 million for the project total for the admin budget, for 100. We had reductions in travel, we've had reductions in printing costs, postage. We have eliminated one position, the admin manager position, and shifted nine months of Cherri Womac's salary to science management budget, which part of that grant will help absorb some of that cost.

The staff that the admin budget supports is the Executive Director position, the Science Director position. We have a vacant position, which is the Program Director position, which will be determined later on, but we have budgeted it just to give us that flexibility if we do fill that position. The administrative assistant for Cherri Womac, like I said, nine months of that wage has been shifted to the science management budget, three months of which will be paid for by the admin budget. Brenda Hall, that full-time position, will be paid for by this 100 budget. My position, administrative assistant position, my wage is paid out the general administration that is generated by the project total, so it's not really included in the cost, but it is kind of in the long run.

The travel costs, the Public Advisory

Committee last year had its own project, it was a Project

1700, it was part of the 100 budget, but it had been separated out. This year what we've done is we've just combined it all giving us more flexibility for travel. This last year we had a field trip that we had budgeted the year before and so by combining these budgets, like I said, it gives us more flexibility.

1.8

And then under contractual, just the basics, running of the office. We've got the FY2003 audit contract, which is the bulk of that contractual expense and then just the basic running of the office, postage, parking, printing publications, desktop publications, equipment maintenance, staff training, transcription services, so on and so forth. And then the office supplies and equipment. And, basically, that's it for that.

Then we got the U.S.G.S. through the Department of Interior, covers our lease, there's an expense in there of 141.2. There is no -- or just a small amount of general administration included in that and that's for Bruce Nesslage, the Federal Budget Officer and Doug Mutter, the Public Advisory Committee Regional Environmental Assistant.

And that's it.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any comments or questions?

MR. DUFFY: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Just off the top of my head.

Paula, did the PAC, that group, the expenditures on an annual basis, have they been fairly steady the last few fiscal years or have they gone down or up or can you comment on that? I was just curious. I know I'm a supporter of that process, I was just wondering how their budget has been over the last few years, fluctuating up or down or steady or.....

MS. BANKS: Right. It depends on the number of meetings and the number of Public Advisory

Committee members that attend the meetings. There is some fluctuation to that, like last year we spent 8.8, you know, \$8,000 on travel. Last year being FY02. FY03 we spent somewhat more monies on that because of the field trip and other things, so depending on what involvement. I see that what we are going to be doing is having more community involvement. There was some talk about the Public Advisory Committee maybe wanting to go out to some of the communities and do some outreach there and so, you know, that cost would increase slightly and that's why there was a slight increase to 20,000. I think it's a total of 20.2 or something like that.

MR. DUFFY: Yeah, total 20,000. But you're not seeing a dramatic increase in expenditures for that

effort?

2.2

MS. BANKS: No, absolutely not, no.

MR. DUFFY: Okay. All right.

MS. BANKS: No. As a matter of fact, we've tried to streamline and reduce costs as much as possible.

MS. BALLARD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please.

MS. BALLARD: How does that process work, Paula? If the Public Advisory Committee wants to have an outreach trip, how do they gain approval? Do they have to submit a budget request to the office there, to us? How does that work?

MS. BANKS: No. What we did is if there's a meeting or, you know, they're requesting travel, that request has to go through the Executive Director. The Executive Director then at that point would approve that. Generally an estimate of travel costs would be presented to her before she was -- you know, to make her recommendation or approval on recommending approval for that. Does that make sense?

MS. BALLARD: Yeah, sort of. There must be some parameters, some guidelines, some planning that happens.

MS. BANKS: There are a couple of meetings that the Public Advisory Committee will be having actual

in-person meetings and those things have been budgeted in for that, but by combining the Public Advisory Committee and the 100 budget travel, it gives us a little more flexibility. If that's the direction the Public Advisory Committee is wanting to go with more community outreach and, basically, the discretion of the Executive Director.

MS. BALLARD: Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So on that topic, it sounds as if the Executive Director believes she has the ability to meld these different categories, so if you have 45,000 for travel and 150 for contractual, do you feel a need to stay within those budget categories or can you combine them together and using your wisdom redistribute it to travel and contractual arrangements? And when we do the audit, does it look at the way you spent the money relative to the budget that was put forward or only to the bottom line?

MS. PHILLIPS: I believe in speaking with the auditor that they look at the bottom line to make sure that we are within those parameters. However, I think the flexibility that Paula was talking about is melding the travel budget for the PAC with the travel budget for the 100 budget, not changing categories as much as melding those two travel budgets, so we can have flexibility there. But definitely -- I believe with the Memorandum of

Agreement the PAC is required to meet several times per year.

MS. BANKS: There's four meetings.

MS. PHILLIPS: Four meetings per year, so that is under -- there's a limitation there or there's an expectation there from the Memorandum of Agreement that they do meet in public and that we -- this budget has to cover those costs.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, thank you. My question was probably out of order, but I was curious and I wasn't sure another place to ask it as to whether you -- you know, in the Federal government we have object classes, so if you have certain amount scheduled for travel, you can't buy pencils with it and I was wondering if you felt the same obligation to stay within these categories in your budget or not and whether the auditors look at that.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I would do my best to stay within those categories just because that's the way I also look at budgeting.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other Trustee comments?

MS. BALLARD: Yes, I have one more,
Mr. Chairman, just an information comment. I cannot easily
understand the difference between the multi-Trustee agency
summary and the Trustee agency summary. I see it has

something to do with Fish and Game, but I don't get the 1 quiding principle. 2 3 If I may, Mr. Chairman? MS. BANKS: CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Ms. Banks. 4 5 MS. BANKS: The multi-Trustee agency 6 summary is a summary of all of the projects or all of the 7 components of the 100 budget. ADF&G, the 702.5 thousand 8 and then the Department of Interior for the lease and then 9 Bruce Nesslage's support and Doug Mutter's support. And what the multi-Trustee summary is, is it basically does 10 11 that, summarizes all of those into one spreadsheet, so it's on one sheet. So if you look at the page 2 of 10, it's got 12 13 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, it has that separated out, the 702.5 and that would be going though the 14 15 Department of Fish and Game to administer, whereas the 100 16 and what is it 60,000 would be going through the Department

of Interior to administer.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BALLARD: So we don't have a separate page on that, we just subtract it out?

MS. BANKS: There is a separate page on that, that is page 7 of 10.

MS. BALLARD: I'm sorry, I guess I missed it when I was....

MS. BANKS: That's okay.

MS. BALLARD: Oh, I see. And then do those

all add back to the original total? 1 MS. BANKS: Yes, they do. 2 3 MS. BALLARD: Okav. 4 MS. BANKS: If you look on the very front page, the multi-Trustee agency summary, 1 of 10, up at the 5 very top, almost in the center of the page you have ADF&G 6 7 702.5 and then further to the right you have Department of Interior, it says 160.8. 8 9 MS. BANKS: Uh-huh. 10 MS. BANKS: You total those two together and you'll come out with 863.3. 11 12 MS. BALLARD: 863.3 13 MS. BANKS: Right, if you add in the GA. 14 MS. BALLARD: Okay, thanks. 15 MS. BANKS: You're welcome. 16 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other comments? 17 (No audible response) 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: One more from me then, 19 I think it is remarkable it went from a \$3.4 million 20 administrative budget to 860,000, but part of that is because some of these salaries have moved to different 21 22 pages and so it's -- we don't really know how much it's 23 changed. It didn't go away just because the NOS grant is 24 paying for it, for example. Is that correct?

MS. BANKS: No.

That is correct.

25

However,

1 the staff, and I'm quessing at this point, but I believe we had a staff of 15, 16, I know we reduced that dramatically 2 and we're down to just a few of us, so..... 3 4 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. 5 appreciate.... 6 MR. MEADE: And liaison positions, pretty 7 much, have been phased out as I was briefed as well, is 8 that.... MS. BANKS: That is correct. 9 10 MR. MEADE: Okay. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And then on this, as 11 12 the last one, it identifies two vacant positions, if we adopt this are we -- is it your understanding that we're 13 14 approving your recruitment and hiring of these two or are we setting aside funds again in this case just like the 15 other one? 16 That is my understanding, 17 MS. PHILLIPS: that we're setting aside the funds, just earmarking those, 18 19 in case.... 20 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: With the expectation in 21 this case. MS. PHILLIPS: With the expectation, but as 22 23 of this point I have not made any judgments nor recommendations yet to you folks. 24

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

25

1	MR. DUFFY: So just to clarify, so that
2	will fall in the category that Ms. Phillips described where
3	she wants to spend some time taking a look at staffing
4	loads
5	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
6	MR. DUFFY:responsibilities and then
7	come back to us with any recommendations on positions. So
8	within that if you decided you wanted to move forward on
9	filling on one of those two or both of those positions you
10	would talk to the Trustee Council?
11	MS. PHILLIPS: Right.
12	MR. DUFFY: Okay. Thanks.
13	MS. BANKS: Mr. Chairman, if I may?
14	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Banks.
15	MS. BANKS: There was I see an error on
16	here, the vacant position for Executive Director, it should
17	be Phillips on that spot.
18	MS. PHILLIPS: Yea.
19	(Laughter)
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, I was waiting for
21	her to come back and ask for approval to fill that.
22	MS. PHILLIPS: But I was looking at that
23	salary there, too, you know.
24	MR. DUFFY: I assume that salary has
25	been

1 (Laughter) 2 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other Trustee comment? 3 4 (No audible response) 5 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, are we prepared 6 to adopt the 040100 administrative budget? 7 MR. DUFFY: I would move to adopt the 040100 budget. 8 9 MR. RENKES: T second. 10 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Moved and seconded to 11 adopt the 0100 with the explanations we've received. 12 there any opposition to that? 13 (No audible response) 14 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, the 15 040100 budget as presented here is adopted. Ms. Phillips. 16 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Paula. I would call Phil to come back and present the ARLIS budget. 17 that would be number 4055. 18 19 MR. MEADE: Mr. Chairman, just for point of 20 clarification for myself. As I read through the ARLIS 21 submittal, I thought we had reached a decision in a recent 22 Trustee Council meeting on supporting this request. I 23 remember coming in discussing the need for additional 24 library service with the 15th-year anniversary. Is this

just a validation of that or what was it that -- if we

25

could have clarification as to what it was we reviewed in the past, that would help me as well.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Dr. Mundy.

DR. MUNDY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My recollection is by no means complete and I'd call on Cherri Womac to listen to this and add if she has additional comments, is that the Trustee Council approved in concept the addition of a librarian's services for the purposes of handling increased library traffic during the 15th anniversary and that that part of it has been approved. I will be reporting on the base budget plus that addition for FY04. And I see, for the people on the telephone, Cherri Womac is nodding that that seems to be correct.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So did you have more on the ARLIS Library or is that it?

MS. PHILLIPS: Go ahead.

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, I was just going to -- again, you've been given the details in writing, what I wanted to do is just briefly provide some background. That in the early days of the Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Restoration Program we had an enormous challenge in keeping track of information. We had tens of thousands of pages of information and data coming out of projects, some of which was for litigation and then later on was for restoration. And so we had a task and actually

had in-house librarians to take care of that. Over time control of that information has been transferred to the ARLIS system and we have joined and made a contribution for a librarian, and that's Carey Hoba, to acknowledge our stake in the ARLIS system and the amount of utilization we do put on that.

1.7

In addition, as we've mentioned, they're adding .75 FTE, nine months of another librarian because of the expected increase in the traffic related to the 15th anniversary of the oil spill. The FY04 project total is 161,000.

That's my report, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any comments from the Trustees? Mr. Meade first.

MR. MEADE: Yes, thank you. Dr. Mundy, I just wanted to acknowledge to the Trustees as I have been over to ARLIS as well recollect the discussion we had at a prior meeting. I really find great merit and value for a lasting legacy for the documentation of what's occurred over the past 14 years captured there. And I think that should be a legacy that we should support and be able to take advantage of as, I would assume, you would see it linking up pretty importantly to some of your outcomes as you go through with implementation of GEM, so it seems to me that this relationship with the library, both its hard

copy as well as its digital repositories will be invaluable for researchers, academicians and students into the future as they look back over the tenure of elements that have been managed by this Board of Trustees. If I have captured, you know, correctly what the facility is about and what our support to that is, it seems like an excellent relationship.

1.0

MS. PEARCE: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Pearce.

MS. PEARCE: Thank you. Unfortunately they're clearing our parking garage, I have to go move my truck, it'll take me probably 10 minutes to be back on line on the cell phone. I wonder if you could defer the vote on this one, go ahead and discuss the next one and then I'll be back on line and we can do the vote.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: All right. Well, let me ask. Do the Trustees find that an acceptable procedure to excuse Ms. Pearce for 10 minutes.

MR. RENKES: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, we'll excuse you for 10 minutes, Ms. Pearce, and wait for you to come back to vote.

(Ms. Pearce goes off line)

MR. DUFFY: Can we continue asking questions on this one?

MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman, if I could make one comment also?

1.4

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: On the ARLIS Library, I went over to the ARLIS meeting the other morning, their general board meeting with all the different libraries and they are in the process now of getting ready for the move into the new facility, which is the old University of Alaska Library. When the new University of Alaska Library comes on line which is occurring right now, the ARLIS Library will be moved into the old section and it'll give considerably more space and better work environment over there than what we have today, it's going to be absolutely a wonderful facility.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy has a question. It sounds like Ms. Pearce is interested this, so I'm wondering if she wants -- I don't know what her interest is, whether she's supportive or not or just curious, but I wonder if we shouldn't wait on this until she's back on line.

Mr. Duffy, what was the nature of your question?

MR. DUFFY: My question had to do is you got this -- and I just want to be clear and understand how this works. You've got these founding members that pay for

the annual operation of the library, which I'm a supporter of this library concept for sure. And in the narrative you describe public access and how the facility is used. Is anyone restricted from using this and/or if people who aren't a founding agency come in and want information are they required to pay a fee to be able to participate in this resource or not?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy or Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Just from my own experience, no, that they don't have to pay a fee to go in and use it. They need a library card and as a user they are -- a card is created for them, but it's not a pay-type thing. A lot of the private sector business, whether they be the sciences or whatever in town go use that for background information for specific jobs, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy.

DR. MUNDY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would add to that that the founders also get additional services that ultimately translate into reduced costs for them. For example, copying, location of documents, search services and so forth, personal services from the librarians that amount to in-kind reduction in costs for the operation.

MR. DUFFY: Okay. Yeah, I just was looking for an affirmation of that's how the process works. So,

for example, any member of the public who had a library card and wanted to come in and look at something that happened in Prince William Sound or shorebirds or something, has access. Similarly, if someone from the Department of Environmental Conservation wants to access the catalogues and look up information there's no restricted access. Or the Department of Natural Resources, any of those people are free just like members of the public to access this information.

MS. PHILLIPS: Right.

MR. DUFFY: Okay.

MS. PHILLIPS: And much of it is on line and they're putting more and more of it on line as they go along, but also because it is a combination of so many different libraries there's a huge amount of historical value there that is all in one place now, not just the spill.

MR. DUFFY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I have a couple of questions. Do we have any idea what the total ARLIS budget is and what fraction EVOS is being asked to pick up?

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, I do not. I do know that the Executive Director attends board meetings for ARLIS and is privy to that information and that this budget was prepared in view of that information. However, I do

not have that specific information with me.

MS. PHILLIPS: I will find it out.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And I understand the logic behind, more or less, doubling the '03 budget to accommodate the 15th year anniversary expected increase in traffic in '04, but that same budget increases in '05 and '06 which will be the 16th year and the 17th year, so wouldn't, prospectively, we expect our contribution to go down in '05 and '06?

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, without commenting on the expectation, because expectations in that regard, I would say that we are commenting here only on the FY04 budgets. It's my understanding that in terms of all of the administrative budgets, since we are in the process of planning and shaping the program and working under the direction of a new Executive Director, it's my understanding that these are FY04 budgets. I understand that ARLIS has submitted some requests for out years, but we are not considering those at this time.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thanks.

MS. PHILLIPS: Good answer.

MS. BALLARD: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Ms.

Ballard.

MS. BALLARD: The employees whose resumes

are included, are these employees who have been selected by the management at the library and who are dedicated to our work or are they selected by us? Or by you, Kevin, I guess they're your employees theoretically.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We're kind of thrown,
Ms. Ballard, because I don't believe we have resumes. We
must not have downloaded enough.

MS. PHILLIPS: They're in the back of your packet, for Carey Hoba and Celia Rosen.

DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman, I can respond to that.

MR. DUFFY: Yeah, I would appreciate it if Phil would respond to that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy.

DR. MUNDY: Okay. Carey Hoba has long been associated with the oil spill office and moved -- it's my understanding, and I'm by no means a complete historian here, it's my understanding that Carey moved with the collection, more or less. And she has long been dedicated to our work at the library, although she does fulfill other purposes there, including being a supervisor.

Celia Rosen is a Fish and Game employee and a librarian who is also expert in the oil spill and restoration documents. Celia is a specialist in the fish and game area and is highly familiar with the work that was

done on fish and wildlife in the restoration program, under 1 2 the oil spill program. MS. BALLARD: So who makes the 3 determination about the assignment of their daily work and 4 evaluates their performance and who's responsible for them? 5 DR. MUNDY: Mr. Chairman. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Dr. Mundy. Commissioner Ballard, Celia 8 DR. MUNDY: 9 Rosen, to the extent that she's -- the .75 FTE, since she's obligated under this contract, would be answering to Carey 10 Hoba for oil spill responsibilities. Carey Hoba answers to 11 12 the Executive Director to the extent that she's funded under this contract. 13 1.4 (Ms. Pearce back on line) 15 MS. BALLARD: To the Executive Director 16 being Gail or being the Executive Director of the library? 17 DR. MUNDY: No, the Executive Director 18 being Gail. 19 MS. BALLARD: Okay. 20 MS. PHILLIPS: And, Ernesta, we include her 21 in our staff meetings when we have staff meetings and she is considered one of the staff of EVOS. 22 23 MS. BALLARD: Okay, thanks. This is Drue, I'm back on. 24 MS. PEARCE:

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, thank you, Drue.

25

We have continued -- in spite of your recommendation, we have continued to discuss the library project. Did you have some questions or comments on that?

MS. PEARCE: No, I don't. I'm very familiar with ARLIS and very supportive.

1.5

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any other comment on -- let's see, this is 040550.

MR. RENKES: This is Gregg Renkes.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Gregg.

MR. RENKES: I'm not questioning the expenditure, I think it's a good thing, but just so that I understand as we look at the constraints on funding, and this has come up with Trustee Ballard's comments in the past. You know, we cannot -- I continue to try to understand what is an appropriate expense for the Trustee Council and what's an inappropriate expense when it comes to funding the activities of other agencies. And I should probably just have another conversation with Craig about this, but in this instance we're funding activities that would not otherwise be funded by other State agencies; is that right?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Gregg, to a point that is correct, however, with the Memorandum of Understanding and Memorandums of Agreement that created EVOS we must keep

	these public records. And we have a legal requirement to
2	maintain all the records of all the functions that we're
3	doing here. And I believe that is one of the differences
4	in us having ARLIS as part of our organization versus
5	another State agency that may not be required to do so.
6	MR. RENKES: So we're just paying our share
7	that reflects the core responsibility of the Trustees?
8	MS. PHILLIPS: That's correct.
9	MR. RENKES: Okay, that's all. I don't
10	want to drag it out, I just wanted to understand that.
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, on that point, it
12	was part of the reason I asked if we knew the overall
13	budget, which would help us be comfortable with the fact
14	that we're paying our share. I don't need that today,
15	but
16	MS. PHILLIPS: I will get that for you.
17	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Anything else on the
18	ARLIS budget?
19	(No audible response)
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, are we prepared
21	to adopt that budget?
22	MR. DUFFY: I move to approve to Project
23	Number 040550, the ARLIS.
24	MR. MEADE: I second that.
25	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Moved and seconded, is

there any opposition to adopting 040550, the ARLIS budget?

(No audible response)

1.4

2.0

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, that's adopted. Ms. Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Thanks. And I would ask Rob to come up and present the last budget, which is the data management budget.

MR. BOCHENEK: This is Rob Bochenek, B-O-C-H-E-N-E-K. And before I go into the 040455 budget I'd like to kind of give you guys a background into data management, basically discuss some of the responsibilities, duties and also aspirations of our kind of section of EVOS.

To quote the NRC review of the GEM Program, the data produced by GEM will be the legacy of the program and it's very important that the information that is collected is archived and stored correctly. And that information is collected in a manner in which we are able to integrate it with other information and also provide access to it.

Basically there are two responsibilities for data management, one is to the GEM Program and the other one is to EVOS, the Trustee Council Office in support of technological endeavors. First, I'd like to kind of break down basically what data management needs to do for the GEM Program itself.

Data management is charged with creation of a data system and this data system is working to work with principal investigators to ensure that the information they collect is collected in the manner in which it can be absorbed into a centralized computing system. Once the information is collected and absorbed into a centralized computing system, policy makers, scientists and the public will be able to access the information.

We are able to do this through the GEM data policy, which is a living document which dictates standards on data collection and also mandates that researchers submit their information to the GEM data manager. Stemming from the GEM data policy is GEM data plans, which are individual working agreements between PIs, made between the PI and the data manager, to ensure that they're collecting information correctly and describing it with the correct metadata. The idea is to, of course, amass this large quantity of information, we're going to be collecting information over the next hundred years if GEM is to kind of proceed as it's going right now.

This is very critical that in the start up of GEM that these standards be set up and kind of -- well, these standards need to be defined by working with other agencies, in addition to other efforts which are occurring on a national level to do kind of the same thing that GEM

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

is doing. And Phil Mundy discussed a little bit of this with the IOOS, which is the Integrated Oceanographic Observing System, and these are efforts which are occurring down in the Lower 48 right now to organize and centralized oceanographic information. This is going to be a distributed network of information in the sense that there's going to be different localities holding large sources of information. They're going to be heterogenous in that they don't require any agency or any specific data archival location to conform with any type operating systems or any type of computer hardware or any type of data collection format. It's kind of a Utopic view of the future of information technology and it's something that's probably going to come to fruition within the next six to It's very important that GEM and efforts like eight years. AOS work with these other systems coming up on line so that when they do come on line we can easily plug into them.

Another responsibility of GEM data management is basic technological support of the EVOS staff and this includes network administration, database administration and also user support. And I know you're all familiar with -- there's a lot of viruses and so forth going around right now, this is a pretty serious responsibility in order to ensure a secure network and also kind of technological environment. In addition to serving

as a network administrator and database administrator, data management must also provide the programmatic structure to support the automating of certain staff positions that have been lost due to attrition. This is specific to Sandra Schubert's position as Program Director. And, basically, a lot of her duties after her leaving the organization last May have been automated into dynamic Web applications so that as a result of that generally it doesn't require virtually any staff work in order to facilitate her responsibilities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As of now we are in a semi-automated state with the review and proposal kind of administration We're looking in the spring of this year to fully automate it so that we will have a proposal, the RFP when PIs are deciding to attempt to get funding from EVOS that they will log into our Website, create a profile and then submit their entire proposal on line and this will reduce administrative workload even more. Let's see. Yeah, basically I will go now into the 040455 budget. And on the personnel, it looks like we're asking for a \$97,200 and that's including the NOS grant. Nine months of the analyst/programmer position that we're planning on hiring coming October 1st will be subsidized by the NOS grant. The other three months, I think, is going into the administrative budget. It's actually figured in here as

2 it should be in the admin budget and it looks like it's in 3 here also, but it shouldn't be. Is that true? 4 It's in the admin budget. DR. MUNDY: 5 MR. BOCHENEK: It's in the admin budget. 6 Well, it's represented here, it shouldn't be. 7 MR. DUFFY: Would you cover that issue again, Rob, so we're clear? 8 9 MR. BOCHENEK: Okay. If you look under the 10 personnel we have 151,200. 11 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That's fiscal year '03. 12 MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, excuse me, 97,200. 13 And if you look on the second page of the budget, the 14 vacant position, analyst/programmer III, as in 18(a), it 15 says for monthly costs 5.6. It doesn't look like we have a 16 breakdown for FY03. This is not the current budget. 17 That's too bad. Well, we're going to have to go from the budget justification, I'm sorry. 18 19 MR. DUFFY: Rob, I think you're okay here, 20 it looks like it lays out properly to me, proceeding 21 through these, because you got 97,000 in personnel and this 22 is for FY04 and then on the second page you have the data 23 systems manager, 12 months and the analyst/programmer three

months for 97.2.

24

25

personnel and I think there's kind of a typo here, because

1

MR. BOCHENEK: Right. Now, the months

budgeted for the analyst/programmer III should be -- nine 1 months of it should be taken up by the NOS grant and three 2 3 months should be taken up by the admin budget. MR. DUFFY: I got it. 4 5 MR. BOCHENEK: And I wish Paula was 6 here.... 7 MS. PHILLIPS: Paula, would you come in, please and join Rob at the desk there. 8 9 MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, I want to know if you 10 can verify is three months of the analyst/programmer 11 position III going to be covered under the admin budget or no? Or is it just the nine months for the NOS grant? 12 13 heard you reference three months of it is going to be under the admin budget. 14 1.5 MS. BANKS: For the analyst/programmer 16 position? 17 MR. BOCHENEK: Uh-huh (Affirmative) 18 MS. BANKS: I was referring to Cherri 19 Womac's position. 20 MR. BOCHENEK: Oh, I thought I heard you 21 reference that. 22 MR. DUFFY: It's okay, we just want to 23 clarify it so we know what we're approving. 24 MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, I would like to 25 clarify it also.

MR. DUFFY: It's not a big deal.

MS. BANKS: I'm sorry. And, Mr. Chairman, in the 040630A budget, which is the support -- the NOS grant support for the science management budget, you see under the personnel costs that the analyst position, nine months of that position will be covered under that budget.

MR. BOCHENEK: So for three months, this is correct then?

MS. BANKS: That is correct.

MR. BOCHENEK: Okay. I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, it's confusing. And the personnel budget also includes my total salary, none of that is going to be covered under the NOS grant.

Travel is \$19,200 and that's comprised of office data management staff travel to Juneau, Fairbanks and various data conferences and meetings. That's to meet with other data managers and kind of network and also ensure that our data standards are in line with theirs, so that when our system comes on line that we can intercommunicate.

There's a \$13,000 allotment for Data

Management Subcommittee meeting travel and this includes a
group of experts in the field of data management from
around the nation and people who represent efforts like
TOOS and GOOS and other agencies to come in in order to

make sure that our data management standards are, of course, in line also.

1.5

2.0

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So on that point, are we paying -- who is the subcommittee that travels for 13, we're not paying the travel for the other people coming in.

MR. BOCHENEK: Excuse me?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: You have a \$13,000 budget for Data Subcommittee; is that a subcommittee only in the Trustee Council staff here?

MR. BOCHENEK: No, no.,

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So we're paying the travel of everyone?

MR. BOCHENEK: We're paying the travel of experts in the field to come to -- it will be a workshop, for instance.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MR. BOCHENEK: Contractually we have \$8,000 and that's to cover training. And training in the technology field is fairly expensive, it runs around \$1,500 to \$2,000 per week. Training is very important for IT professionals in order to ensure that they keep their skills honed and also to kind of immerse themselves in new technology that's emerging. I know you guys are well aware that it seems like every week something new is coming out, something new fangled and better and it's very important

that we keep on the cutting edge in terms of development of this data, because this is the cutting edge.

Commodities, \$20,600, \$10,000 of that is to software upgrades and licenses, which is just general maintenance to ensure that we stay legal within the restrictions of licensing guidelines. And then \$2,600 for tapes for tape backup just to ensure that our data is robustly secured and also that we keep our integrity. And then \$8,000 is going to a Linux development server. Right now we are specifically a Windows based network administration, but we will be moving towards an open source platform, which is a more scientific structure, so we're going to be testing some of that equipment here pretty soon.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any questions,

comments?

I'm staying away from whether Microsoft is scientific or not.

(Laughter)

MR. DUFFY: I've actually got a couple.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: Thanks. You talked, Rob, in your presentation about your goal of submitting proposal on line, I assume that all comes with a database. What's your time frame for getting that accomplished, is that going to

happen this fiscal year?

MR. BOCHENEK: Yes, yes, I think by the beginning of the next proposal cycle that it should be functioning. This proposal cycle we automated certain aspects of the proposal process and created an intranet system for inneroffice use. Basically we weren't able to -- we didn't have enough time to really test the system, but it seems to be working and we generated -- basically the entire draft Work Plan was generated from a database by the click of a button. And that was fairly successful and I think that with a little bit of development time and a little bit of this off time occurring before the next proposal cycle that we can easily get this system up and running and actually tested to ensure that it's going to be viable.

MR. DUFFY: Okay. And just quickly, moving from a Windows based system to this open source platform you talked about.

MR. BOCHENEK: Yes.

MR. DUFFY: Does that inhibit accessibility by the other agencies that participate?

MR. BOCHENEK: It actually augments accessibility. Open source platforms are much more readily accessible, especially database systems. We're going to keep a Windows based system for all kind of administrative

duties, dealing with interoffice file sharing, e-mail and 1 2 so forth, but our scientific data itself is going to be 3 stored on a Linux/Unix based system. 4 MR. DUFFY: Okay. And one more question, 5 to the extent that we get these insidious worms and stuff coming through our systems, are you tied into the State's 6 7 system.... MR. BOCHENEK: 8 I am. 9 MR. DUFFY: .....where you're sharing 10 information and then doing appropriate firewalls and stuff 11 through the State system? 12 MR. BOCHENEK: Yes. Yes, we are behind the 13 State firewall, but I don't know if you had had some 14 experience in the past, but the State firewall really isn't 15 as robust as.... 16 Fire window. MR. DUFFY: 17 MR. BOCHENEK: Yes, I agree. 18 (Laughter) 19 MR. BOCHENEK: And we're actually -- and 20 due to that we have a firewall device and we're going to 2.1 install our own here. 22 MR. DUFFY: Okay, thanks. Thank you, Mr. 23 Chairman. 24 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Meade. 25 Inside that fire window that MR. MEADE:

you described, as you move towards open source, one aspect I'd ask you to be sure and be considering, I'm sure you probably already are, and that is the Section 508 accessible requirements to that data as well since you have made such an important point of the information being a future for GEM and how we will be able to interact both 7 with our work forces within the agencies, within our citizen groups, but also the public at large. Section 508 ensures that we design that system and structure to be sure adaptive devices can gain access, we just wouldn't to 11 neglect to keep that in your thinking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Meade, would that be Section 508 of a particular law?

MR. MEADE: Yeah, Section 508 of the Voc Rehabilitation Act.

> CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

I'm sure that Rob is familiar MR. MEADE: with the requirements therein. And while we're in a Microsoft platform we have no problem achieving that, it's when we go to the Linux server that I shudder.

MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah. The idea with the Linux server is, of course, that that is the back end of the system and that you begin to put layers on top of that and those layers, of course, can be Microsoft layers. And the idea is just for the data archiving that Linux is a

much more robust environment. Even on the back end of Microsoft their servers are Unix.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy, please.

MR. DUFFY: Thank you. One more. In this data subcommittee that you talked about, you talked about a potential workshop and you talked about accessing database managers with expertise nationally. Are you not able to find the expertise within this.....

MR. BOCHENEK: No, there are local individuals that we're interested in having work with -like there was a -- I was hired on in October and at that time there was the initialization of a Data Management Subcommittee and that committee has not really me being new to the job and attrition of, at that time, current data manager, Bob Walker, and then as a result of this proposal process. And a lot of the data system development has been put on hold and other duties more pressing as kind of registration of these proposals, covering the Program Director position and just basically getting this draft Work Plan out has not allowed any work to be done in data management. Also a lot of getting the network up to scale, basically five servers I've purchased and installed and configured them and I'm still not a fully network secure environment as we stand right now. And what needs to happen is either I need to stop sleeping and not really

going home or we need to hire someone to assist in those duties so that we can kind of focus on these higher level endeavors.

But back to the Data Subcommittee meeting, we're going to be bringing that -- getting that group together. Of course, it's going to include people from U.S.G.S, Fish and Wildlife Service, DEC, a couple names like Carol Fries, Russell Kinube, like to have them involved. Jay Johnson from Fish and Wildlife Service, but then there's some other individuals who are outside of the state, such as Steve Hankin of the IOOS, Integrated Oceanographic Observing System Steering Committee, that we want to definitely have him invited and some other individuals too. If we could get representatives from UAF also, they have a pretty serious data center started up there, maybe Buck Sharpton and Lyn McNutt and Karen Natts and individuals like that.

MR. DUFFY: Just to follow up.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, go ahead.

MR. DUFFY: Rob, I'd just encourage you to the extent that there's that kind of expertise in state, in any of the Trustee agencies or others or the university system, I would encourage you to rely on that expertise to the extent you can to help you do your job.

MR. BOCHENEK: Yes.

1 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other questions or comments? 2 3 (No audible response) 4 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I have one perhaps. 5 Are all the IT functions of the office, then, your 6 responsibility, e-mail, computers that won't turn on and 7 all that kind of stuff? 8 MR. BOCHENEK: Excuse me? 9 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Computers that won't 10 boot up and stuff, that's all your problem? 11 MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, everything is covered 12 by me. 13 MS. PHILLIPS: New Executive Director's 14 unfamiliar with. 15 MR. BOCHENEK: I mean, that might not seem 16 like a fairly -- there's a lot of responsibilities and I 17 know that you're well aware that they're generally 18 specialized, either they're developers, they're database 19 administrators, they're network administrators or they're 20 user support technicians. We're a small staff here, but 21 because we're trying to leverage technology to do a lot 22 more of the duties that used to be covered by 10 staff 23 members, those responsibilities for Internet technologists, like myself, are -- getting over my head, the system is 24

beginning to boil over and we're right on -- we seem to be

always on the brink of some catastrophe but it hasn't occurred yet. So I don't know if I'm doing a really good job or if I'm just getting really lucky.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thanks. Anything else?
(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, I believe....

MR. MEADE: Jim.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Meade.

MR. MEADE: To follow up, you've a couple of times highlighted the workload, pace and the challenge, does this budget -- is the analyst in this budget, is that going to bring more than the band-aid that you're needing to be able to get in front of the challenge?

MR. BOCHENEK: Well, I think that GEM data management is probably one of the most important aspects -- maybe I have a very -- what I'm trying to think of?

MR. MEADE: Pollyanna view?

MR. BOCHENEK: Yeah, right. Exactly. But I think GEM data management is going to be one of the most important aspects of the GEM Program in the sense that want people to be able to access and use the information that we harvest from these projects. And if we're unable to access the information I think that GEM has really failed, you know, we don't want this information to be collected and then put on someone's shelf as kind of a token, we want to

provide a common centralized location where people can come to and access this information. Policy makers, commercial fishing, you know, scientists and also the public. In addition to that we need to start thinking about building data products from the data. It's one thing to get a streaming line of data or a series of salinity measurements or, you know, taxonomic troll information, scientists are very interested in that, but it's also very, very important to produce data products from this information so that teh commercial fishing industry can use this information, a lot of managers can be able to access it and determine whether or not quotas and so forth can be raised or lowered, we want to be able to provide an easy gateway and mechanism to produce data products.

1.0

1.5

MR. MEADE: I really like your vision and I see it as incredibly important connection to where GEM is going to go if GEM is going to really be a legacy, as you outlined in your opening couple of statements. I guess the question is, does this get you -- you're struggling with the workload that you've got, and I don't mean -- I mean that with sincerity that you've got a large task and challenge ahead, is this going to help you or is this going to perpetuate the same workload and challenge?

MR. BOCHENEK: Well, I think that we don't want to grow too big, too fast and to be honest I don't

think that we're going to see the entire GEM data system come into, you know, become a physical entity for probably four to five years. And a lot of research and development needs to be put into structuring it correctly. If it's not structured correctly it's going to fail in 10 years, it's something that needs to persist for a lot longer than that. And the biggest most important thing is making sure this system can plug into these larger systems that are coming on line in the Lower 48 right now and can intercommunicate with them. And we'd much rather wait an additional year or two to get full functionality and full scale ability out of a system then we would just to produce some flashy product in three years or two years.

1.0

Does that answer your question?

MR. MEADE: Well, I, again.....

MR. BOCHENEK: Oh, I'm sorry, well, I also see GEM data management growing. I think that, for instance, it took me around nine months to actually figure what I was supposed to do and now I'm feeling like I have a firm grasp on what needs to be done. And in order to impart that information upon additional assistants is going to be difficult and it's going take time, I think that if, for instance, I was to hire an additional programmer, two programmers, that might not -- that might be more of a negative effect than a positive effect. And so I think

that this needs to kind of come on gradually and maybe next year's budget you'll see and it might be a lot bigger and hopefully then you'll support it, we'll see.

MR. MEADE: But this is going to be a help get to you the tools you need to do the job you have -- that Gail's asked you to do and.....

MR. BOCHENEK: Yes. Oh, yeah, I think that products from GEM data management will not just double with the additional staff person but will quadruple. The reason why is I and the additional assistant will be able to focus on things instead being spread too thin.

MR. MEADE: Good. That's what I was looking to hear, is this going to get you out of the circumstances of constantly feeling spread too thin and having the data at some level of this.

MR. BOCHENEK: Right. That's one of the things, I think, that is really important, that's really been neglected lately is the GEM Data Management Plan and actually dealing with the interesting stuff, which is the information being produced by GEM.

MR. MEADE: Well, keep the vision up there, I like it.

MR. BOCHENEK: Right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MS. BALLARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

	Effica.
2	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Ms.
3	Ballard.
4	MS. BALLARD: The transmission has become
5	very garbled, I don't know if that's the case for Drue and
6	for Gregg.
7	MR. RENKES: Little background noise for
8	me, but I can still hear pretty well.
9	MS. BALLARD: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I believe that Drue
11	dropped her cell phone in an aquarium some place.
12	MS. BALLARD: That's the way it sounded.
13	MS. PEARCE: It's raining.
14	(Laughter)
15	(Off record comments)
16	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other comments on
17	the data management budget?
18	(No audible response)
19	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, we're in a
20	position to accept it or what's your pleasure?
21	MS. PHILLIPS: Thanks, Rob.
22	MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman, I'd move to
23	approve Project Number 040455, data management and
24	information transfer budget for Federal fiscal year 04.
25	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there a second?

MR. MEADE: I'd be pleased to second that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So we have a motion

made and seconded to approve the data management budget, 040455, as presented and explained to us. Any opposition?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none we will

approve that. All the budgets are approved.

We have two things left on the agenda, one is the proposed discussion of the chairmanship, which we can do. And the other is the potential need for an executive session that might address financial, personnel or litigation issues. What's the pleasure of the Council in terms of the order of those or the need for the executive session?

MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman, if I could?
CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Duffy.

MR. DUFFY: I would suggest that we entertain a discussion of the chairmanship issue, as requested by Commissioner Ballard, number 1, and number 2, I would encourage the Trustee Council to consider an executive session for a short period of time, I know from the State's perspective there is some potential litigation related issues that we would like to discuss.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Is that okay with other Council members?

Drue.

MR. MEADE: It's okay with me, I'd just like to ask Drue, being that she's the one on the East Coast, four hours behind us, you know, if we were to, you know, perhaps curtail the chairperson discussion to the next session that probably wouldn't hurt us and it might give Drue a bit of an evening. So I just throw it out there and ask Drue for any input.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We're at your whim,

MS. PEARCE: My whim is to get out of this rain storm. Actually, if you wouldn't mind doing that, I would appreciate it, it would help me.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So doing that would be to put on the agenda for the October 3rd meeting a discussion of chairmanships and the way we rotate or don't rotate them I guess. And instead, with an appropriate motion, we'd go into an executive session.

MS. PEARCE: That is correct. And we won't make you chair again.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yeah.

MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we proceed to executive session.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And that motion would include the need for it because it's a litigation....

MR. DUFFY: Litigation and personnel

1	matters.
2	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Is there a
3	second?
4	MS. BALLARD: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: The motion is made and
6	seconded to go into executive session for the purpose of
7	personnel and litigation issues, so we'll do that as soon
8	as we
9	MS. PHILLIPS: Maybe take a one or two
10	minute break and then
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Do we have to do
12	something different to the phone to get
13	MS. PHILLIPS: We will and then we'll have
14	to clear the room.
15	(Off record - 2:07 p.m.)
16	(On record - 2:59 p.m.)
17	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We need a motion to
18	come back into session.
19	MR. DUFFY: I move to come back into public
20	session.
21	MS. BALLARD: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any opposition?
23	(No audible response)
24	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, then we're back
25	in public cassion having concluded the evecutive session

where we discussed personnel and litigation issues. Now, we have a question from Council member Ms. Ballard about what the nature of the resolution that was faxed down. So, Paula, can you tell us what that is?

MS. BANKS: The resolution is to approve the expenditure or the allocation for the Restoration Funds for the administrative budgets that were previously approved, the admin budget, the 0100, the science management budget, the 04630, the data management budget, the 0455, and the ARLIS budget, 04550.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And the 04360A.

MS. BANKS: And it addresses the NOAA grant fund separately.

MR. DUFFY: Mr. Chairman, we acted as a Trustee Council individually on each of these budget items, do we need to act once again on the resolution or do we just sign concurring with our previous actions? I don't know how we do that.

MS. PHILLIPS: Maybe, Cherri, do you have -- can you give us some background on that?

MS. WOMAC: I don't know, because I haven't dealt with that (indiscernible - away from microphone) I believe that this way that the court requested, so that's why it needs the signatures.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: It needs the signatures

1	clearly but do we need a motion to adopt this whole
2	resolution is the question, I guess.
3	MR. MEADE: I make a motion that if we so
4	need a motion that we motion to so do the motion if it's
5	needed. So moved.
6	MR. DUFFY: And I'll second that motion as
7	needed, Mr. Chairman.
8	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Ms. Banks,
9	before we vote did you want to
10	MS. BANKS: Mr. Chairman, I believe in the
11	past that there was resolutions that were adopted in the
12	past for the appropriation and allocation of funds.
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: By motion?
14	MS. BANKS: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. So in that case,
16	Mr. Meade, we have determined that we need this motion so
17	take that as the appropriate motion and seconded by Mr.
18	Duffy. Is there any opposition to the adoption of the
19	resolution by the Trustees?
20	(No audible response)
21	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none we will
22	adopt this resolution and we'll affix our signatures to it.
23	Is there any other business?
24	MR. MEADE: I have none.
25	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER. On the phone any other

1	business?
2	MR. RENKES: Nope, none here.
3	MS. BALLARD: Not for me.
4	MS. PEARCE: Nope.
5	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Then, thank you very
6	much for hanging on the phones, I know that's hard even
7	though that saves a trip, it's still a pain to listen all
8	afternoon, so I appreciate your attentiveness. Just in
9	closing, I also thank the staff that stepped up and made
LO	this transition pretty smooth, I think that was great. I
L1	appreciate and applaud the effort, so thank you very much.
L2	
L3	And we'll be adjourned. Now, I have to
L4	have a motion to adjourn, probably.
L5	MS. BALLARD: So moved.
L6	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Moved.
L7	MR. DUFFY: I second with one comment. I'm
8.	encouraged to hear the remarks from the new Executive
L9	Director about your working relationship with the staff and
20	I look forward to participation in this process.
21	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any opposition to
22	adjourn?
23	(No audible response)
24	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, we're
, =	finiahod Goo wow on Oatobor 3rd

## 1 CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 SS. STATE OF ALASKA 3 4 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court 5 Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify: 6 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 124 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon 7 Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded electronically by me on the 3rd day of September 2003, commencing at the hour of 11:04 a.m. and thereafter 8 transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability. 9 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the 10 request of: 11 EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 451 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 12 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 13th day of 13 September 2003. 14 15 AND CERTIFIED TO BY: 16 eph P. Kolasinski 17 Notary Public in and for Alaska Commission Expires: 04/17/04 18 19 20 21 22

23

24