EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL 1 TRUSTEE COUNCIL 2 Teleconference/Public Meeting 3 Monday, November 4, 2002 8:00 o'clock a.m. 441 West 5th Avenue, Suite 500 5 Anchorage, Alaska 6 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 7 TELEPHONICALLY 8 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, MR. JAMES W. BALSIGER National Marine Fisheries Svc: Administrator, AK Region 9 (Chairman) 10 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, MR. DAVE GIBBONS U.S. FOREST SERVICE Forest Supervisor 11 Forest Service AK Region 12 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. FRANK RUE OF FISH AND GAME: Commissioner 13 14 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MS. MICHELE BROWN OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner 15 IN PERSON 16 STATE OF ALASKA -MR. CRAIG TILLERY 17 DEPARTMENT OF LAW: Assistant Attorney General State of Alaska 18 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. DRUE PEARCE 19 Senior Advisor to the Secretary for Alaskan Affairs, 20 U.S. Department of Interior 21 22 23 24 Proceedings electronically recorded, then transcribed by: Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, 3522 West 27th, Anchorage, AK 99517 - 243-0668 25

1	TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT:	
2	MS. MOLLY MCCAMMON	Executive Director
3	MS. CHERRI WOMAC	Administrative Assistant
4	MR. BILL HAUSER	ADF&G (Telephonically)
5	MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI	Department of Law
6	MR. DOUG MUTTER	Department of Interior
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	, and the second	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order (Reconvene)	04
3	Northern Afognak Package	04
4	Subcommittee Appointments	18
5	Public Advisory Committee Appointments	33
6	Adjournment	37
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 8:05 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If there are no objections from any of the present Trustees, we'll reconvene the meeting of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council. It's November 4th, Monday morning. Frank Rue, Dave Gibbons, Drue Pearce, Craig Tillery and Jim Balsiger present. And please give us the new information on the North Afognak purchase.

MS. McCAMMON: Go ahead, Alex.

MR. SWIDERSKI: This is Alex Swiderski. I have tried, with Molly's assistance, to fax or e-mail to everyone who's not in the room, actually two drafts of a resolution....

MS. McCAMMON: Is this Michele Brown on here?

MS. BROWN: Yes.

MS. McCAMMON: Okay, thanks.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay. We've just started. Like I say, I've tried to fax or e-mail to everyone two different drafts of a resolution that I have been working on, along with The Conservation Partners, over the past week. Primarily we cleared up quite a number of typos and wording issues and the main issue that remains is that normally the Trustee Council will not pay more than the

fair market value and the estimate of fair market value is determined by a reviewed and approved appraisal. Conservation Partners do not want us to say that within the resolution, so what I did was write paragraph (d) of the resolution, which is on Page 5, and say that all expenditures by the Council are contingent upon approval of the appraisal, which is now under review by State and Federal appraisers, without reduction in the estimates of fair market value contained therein. Because sometimes, within the course of reviewing an appraisal, the values do I recognize that what this means is that if the value goes down by a dollar, it would have to come back to the Council. I talked about that with The Conservation Partners. They still want the language written that way, even though I think there is some likelihood that those values could change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that addressed all of the issues except for Parcel 6, because there's no existing appraisal on Parcel 6. And the next sentence is the way I propose to deal with it. An appraisal of Parcel 6 must be completed and approved by the State and Federal review appraiser prior to any expenditure of funds for its acquisition. Any expenditure must not exceed the estimated fair market value of Parcel 6 as determined by the approved appraisal. The Conservation Partners did not want that sentence in

because, again, it is a reference to not paying more than fair market value.

1.0

1.5

So they have proposed the language in the second draft of the resolution, which you should also have, and there they took the last clause of that sentence out and inserted a sentence that says: upon completion and approval of such appraisal the Trustee Council will confirm or amend the value for Parcel 6 in paragraph (a). Commits the Council to taking further action on Parcel 6. It's maybe a little ambiguous about what happens if the Council is unable to reach concurrence on what to do with respect to Parcel 6. I would think that means that we would not go forward with any expenditure on Parcel 6 absent affirmative approval or amendment to the value in Parcel 6.

MR. RUE: Can I ask a question.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes.

MR. RUE: Which document -- I'm looking through my e-mail and I got one from you, Alex, that says EVOS CC5.doc.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay, that's the one without the change.

MR. RUE: Okay, I don't have the one with the change then.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay.

MS. McCAMMON: Should be from Roy Jones, I forwarded it.

MR. RUE: Well, the one from Roy Jones didn't have an attachment to me. I'll look again.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I wonder, Alex, if you'd read that change once again, I may have it, but I'm not sitting where I can e-mail right now, but I do have the first one.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Sure, okay. I'll read it again. What I'll do is read -- it's now two sentenceS at the end of paragraph 6 and the first one says: an appraisal of Parcel 6 must be completed and approved by State and Federal review appraiser prior to any expenditure of funds for its acquisition. Then the new sentence. Upon completion and approval of such appraisal the Trustee Council will confirm or amend the value for Parcel 6 in paragraph (a).

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, just the last few words, will confirm or amend....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SWIDERSKI: The value for Parcel 6 in paragraph (a).

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, I got it, thank you.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay.

1	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any comment from any
2	Trustee?
3	MS. BROWN: Alex.
4	MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes.
5	MS. BROWN: This is Michele. I haven't
6	gotten any of the faxes, all I got is the printout from
7	Molly's e-mail.
8	MS. McCAMMON: That's all it is, is e-
9	mails.
.0	MR. SWIDERSKI: Yeah, it's all it got
.1	faxed to a couple of people because we couldn't get it to
.2	them by e-mail.
.3	MS. BROWN: Okay. So this is the only
4	thing?
.5	MR. SWIDERSKI: Right.
.6	MS. BROWN: Okay. Thanks.
-7	MR. RUE: So we are working off Roy
.8	Jones'
.9	MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes, that is the only
20	change well, he had one other change that's proposed in
21	paragraph 8, but it's very minor. The other changes the
22	rest of this is something that I've prepared, you know,
23	going back and forth with them and they asked to make this
24	one additional change. I don't have a particular problem,

but it does, with respect to paragraph 6, it does require

that you would come back and take further action, paragraph 6, before we can go forward.

MR. RUE: Are you saying that -- excuse me, this is Frank.

MS. McCAMMON: Parcel 6.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Parcel 6, I mean Parcel 6.

MR. RUE: Oh, okay.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I wonder if you could do that one more time so -- can we do the first five parcels without coming back?

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If things change with Parcel 6, because there is no appraisal, no matter what happens, it would have to come back?

MR. SWIDERSKI: That's right. No matter what hap -- the first five parcels, as long as the values in the appraisal don't change with the approval, and it gets approved, you don't need to take further action. On Parcel 6 you will need to take further action or Parcel 6 won't go forward.

MR. GIBBONS: So is there a way that we can delay Parcel 6 until we get an appraisal?

MR. SWIDERSKI: You could and we talked about that. They would prefer not to, but that was actually my suggestion.

MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: So then under (b) where it says all of the parcels in (a) have to be acquired as one acquisition, if we don't do Parcel 6, does that mean we can't go forward with anything else?

MR. SWIDERSKI: I think we should put an exception in there that if Parcel 6 isn't approved.....

MR. TILLERY: I think so, too, because I don't think Parcel 6 is the critical parcel.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yeah, right.

MR. RUE: So insert 6 along with 1, 4A, 5 or 6.

MR. SWIDERSKI: No. I think we almost have to do it as -- maybe at the end of the first sentence we put another sentence in here that says something like notwithstanding the above sentence, in the event that Parcel 6 is not approved for acquisition in accordance with paragraph (d), the acquisition can proceed without Parcel 6.

MR. RUE: Okay

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Alex, you think the notwithstanding language is better than to change the first sentence to say that 1, 4A, 5, 2A, 3A must be purchased as a group?

1	MR. SWIDERSKI: Well	
2	MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman, I think the	
3	point of the 1, 4A and 5 is that they can actually go	
4	forward by themselves and we really don't want Parcel 6	
5	going forward by itself.	
6	MR. SWIDERSKI: Right.	
7	MR. TILLERY: It doesn't have the same	
8	value that those other two do.	
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I see. Thank you.	
10	MR. RUE: Got you.	
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other comments by	
12	Trustees?	
13	MR. RUE: If I could, this is Frank again.	
14	You all were way ahead of me earlier, you were awake and I	
15	was asleep, I guess. On the appraised value discussion you	
16	had earlier on, if you would just run quickly back through	
17	that?	
18	MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes.	
19	MR. RUE: What paragraph is that?	
20	MR. SWIDERSKI: This is also in paragraph	
21	(d), and it's the first sentence.	
22	MR. RUE: Hold on. On page	
23	MR. SWIDERSKI: Page 5.	
24	MS. McCAMMON: Five.	
25	MR. RUE: Okay.	

MR. SWIDERSKI: And it's the same in both versions. The first sentence reads: with the exception of Parcel 6, all expenditures are contingent upon approval of the above referenced appraisal of the parcels by the State and Federal review appraisers without reduction in the estimates of values contained therein. That is, as we speak, we have an appraisal that's being reviewed and all of the estimates of value in there are, you know, greater then one-half the amounts -- the purchase prices in paragraph (a) and the acquisitions can go ahead as long as the estimates and the value in the appraisal don't -- as long as the appraisal got approved, and the estimates of value don't go down.

MR. RUE: Okay.

MR. SWIDERSKI: And I should, just so you know, I think there is some possibility that the values will go down. I have looked at the appraisal, I'm not a review appraiser, there were things in there that surprised me and a review appraiser may look at them, understand them better than I do and not have a problem with, but that is, I think -- it seems to me to be a possibility.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And so, Alex, if that happens, depending on which parcel it is, it would have to come back before any purchase goes forward, because we're doing these in.....

MR. SWIDERSKI: Well, the way I read this, if the adjustment is not in Parcels 1, 4A or 5, those three could go forward. If it's in any of the others, none of the others could go forward. In other words, for example, if it was 2A or 2B and 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4B, 4C and 6 could not go forward.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: But we'd still be able to go with the first three.....

MR. SWIDERSKI: Right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: ....1, 4A and 5.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Right, which are the three that are the highest priority.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Right.

MR. SWIDERSKI: There are a number of other changes, the only one I'm going to draw your attention to is in paragraph 1. It originally stated that the seller -- it's about five lines down, had expressed a willingness to sell the land and timber. I changed that to an interest -- have expressed an interest in selling such lands and timber after discussion with The Conservation Partners, because it became apparent that for some of the sellers -- all of them, I think, have expressed interest in selling, none have expressed commitment that I'm aware of to sell at these prices.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Any other

comments or questions?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: I just wanted to note one thing, that when I originally saw a draft of this it had come back with sort of a time payment thing, where a certain amount of money would be available for the first two years, a certain amount would be available after a year, a certain other amount would be available about a year and a half or something like that. It was my view that that was unnecessary, I felt most of that would take care of itself naturally, and to the extent that it didn't, the value of these lands and price we were having to pay for them it was such a solid benefit to the Council and to the Restoration Program that I didn't think that was necessary and I thought it would be better to give them maximum flexibility by simply making the entire amount available for over two years. Therefore, I requested that be changed and it has been changed. I just wanted to note that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. So that was in -- as I recall that was in paragraph (a) and that's gone now?

MR. TILLERY: Right, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Anything

further?

MR. GIBBONS: Yeah, this is Dave. So what this basically does, then, would leave about 14 and a half or so million in the small parcel account in perpetuity for the purchase of small parcels in the future.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, this is Molly. It's 14 and a half million that's left in the account, there's no language that the Council has adopted that it be left there in perpetuity, but it's 14 and a half million in the account.

MR. GIBBONS: That's one of my concerns is that we maintain at least that because, I think, as Frank said last time, there's valuable small parcels, and I think there are, too, and we need some kind of account, you know, to purchase those, so that's the concern that I've got.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, this is Frank. I had that concern but I've seen how slowly or quickly the small parcel has been going. I feel like that's a good amount of funds to be available and that this is a significant enough deal that we should go ahead with it.

a bit of discussion on that at the last meeting where, I don't recall who, but a couple of people pointed out that the rate that we're purchasing small parcels that perhaps a 14 and half million dollar fund would be substantive enough

to expect that that would take care of it. Is there any more discussion along that line?

1.1

1.8

MR. GIBBONS: Well, I guess I just don't want to see in two more years another proposal come in for the remainder of the funding or whatever, that's a concern.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, Mr. Gibbons, we can all be aware of that, and it's on the record, but I guess it wouldn't be impossible unless we have a resolution to that effect.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, this is Frank. I hope we don't get into that issue today, I think we should face that if it comes up.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you, Mr. Rue. I don't think that we can get into it because we didn't have it on the agenda. I was just following up on Molly's notion that there isn't any rules on how to spend the remaining 14 and a half million.

MR. GIBBONS: Well, maybe we can talk about it on the 25th. I'll talk to Molly about that situation.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other points on the resolution?

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, are you looking for a motion?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I think it would be time appropriate for a motion if there are no other

comments, and I hear none, so.....

MR. GIBBONS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.

What is the wording that we're going to add for Parcel 6?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Would you repeat that,

Alex, please?

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yes. Are you asking for the wording in paragraph (d) or the wording I added in paragraph (a)?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Let's do both, just to make certain we're clear. The wording in (a), I think, is fairly easy.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Okay. I'm going to read -all right, I'll read beginning with the second sentence in
Parcel (d) [sic]. An appraisal of Parcel 6 must be
completed and approved by State and Federal review
appraiser prior to any expenditure of funds for its
acquisition. Upon completion and approval of such an
appraisal, the Trustee Council will confirm or amend the
value for Parcel 6 in paragraph (a). That's the wording in
paragraph (d).

In paragraph (b), I would add a second sentence that says: notwithstanding the aforesaid sentence, in the event that Parcel 6 is not approved for acquisition in accordance with paragraph (d), the remaining parcels may be acquired as above provided.

1	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Get that, Mr. Gibbons?
2	MR. GIBBONS: Yeah, I got it.
3	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other clarification
4	from anyone or comment?
5	(No audible response)
6	MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Rue.
8	MR. RUE: I move that we adopt Resolution
9	01 of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council regarding
10	North Afognak conservation package as amended.
11	MS. BROWN: Second. This is Michele.
12	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Second by Ms. Brown.
13	Any further discussion on the motion?
14	(No audible response)
15	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any objection
16	to the motion?
17	(No audible response)
18	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, the
1'9	Council approves that motion for acquisition of the North
20	Afognak lands. Thank you.
21	Let's see, we have two other agenda items,
22	we can do them in either order. The first is the
23	subcommittee membership. Molly, could you help us on that?
24	MS. McCAMMON: Yeah, the STAC
25	subcommittees, there is a memo in your package, we have a

recommendation from the STAC Committee on these. There are three subcommittees that they're recommending move forward at this time. One on habitat, one on lingering oil injury and one on data management and information transfer. We solicited nominees for these subcommittees and these were reviewed by the STAC and the nominations are enclosed here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the forwarding memo from myself to all of you, I had talked to a number of you about the recommendations. There were some issues that were raised, one was a concern about a watershed expert from Arkansas and the lack of an actual resource manager on the Habitat Subcommittee. There was also some discussion about whether it was appropriate, at this time, to have the subcommittees for three years, given that the program is kind of a trial and error process, moving forward, trying to figure out what's the best approach over the long term and it's very transitional. So there was some discussion about making these appointments for one year only. I should note that the North Pacific Research Board, last week, approved their Science Panel and did appointments there for one-year and two-year terms, so most of them are one-year terms, so they are, I think, thinking along a similar line.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I think, if I recall, that we approved exactly half of them for one year and exactly half for two years. Subsequently everyone would be

two years, then there would be half new persons and half old persons.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. McCAMMON: Right. And that's what we've done with our full Science Panel, too, the STAC Committee, there are two-year terms and four-year terms so, again, that was the same kind of approach, trying to get I don't think with a subcommittee like them staggered. this that we have quite as much issue of trying to keep -make sure there is that continuity because, in all likelihood, they will be there, they are term limited, like the STAC Committee would be, so it's kind of a different The main question with the Habitat Committee was more a question -- the original concept was to have four separate committees for each separate habitat, watershed, nearshore, Alaska Coastal Current and offshore, and upon further reflection the STAC, at this time, thought that that might be a little too much, that they actually preferred having one committee with a variety of expertise. And so they actually just recommended just one Habitat Committee. Over time, in another year, their recommendation could change on that, they may see a need to divide it up a little further and have more expertise or several committees there.

And so the one, in particular, for one year only might be the Habitat Committee. The other, Lingering

Oil Injury, is certainly going to be here for at least another four years or so, through the reopener window and maybe longer, as long there's existing oil injuries that's noticeable. And then the Data Committee, of course, is going to be in existence probably forever. An ongoing need.

So the recommendation would be for the Habitat

Committee -- the recommendations are in front of you, Vern

Byrd from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Robyn Hannigan

from the University of Arkansas, Mimi Hogan from Chugach

Regional Resources Commission, Henry Huntington, a

consultant here in Anchorage, Eric Knudsen with U.S.G.S,

Alaska Science Center, Lyman McDonald, a consultant from

Seattle who done a lot of work on the nearshore with the

Department of Interior biologists. Bernie Megrey from

National Marine Fisheries Service, Alaska Fishery Science

Center in Seattle. Jennifer Nielsen, U.S.G.S. fishery

specialist here, and Susan Saupe from Cook Inlet Citizens

Advisory Council. Tom Weingartner, University of Alaska

Fairbanks with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and

Kate Wynne, University of Alaska Fairbanks, FITC in Kodiak.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Rue, thank you.

MR. RUE: Yeah, this is Frank Rue. Is it appropriate now for me to suggest substitution? I have a

suggestion.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes. Let me say one thing first. I think I misspoke on what the North Pacific Research Board did relative to their Science Panel. There are no one-year terms, I don't remember exactly how it works, but I know we're only going to have to face membership issues every two years, so there's a staggering.....

MR. RUE: Yeah, I think for the first time we decided we'll split half of them the first time they come up, it'll be one year and then they have to come up again, so half of them will have a one-year life.

MS. McCAMMON: But after that they'll be two-year terms.

MR. RUE: Right.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, I'm not sure that that's right, but at least they're supposed to be staggered.

MR. RUE: But that's what we did.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, thank you. I think we probably would want a motion on membership changes so we that we can keep this clear.

MR. RUE: Well, I'm not sure we have a motion on the table I can amend, but I understand. Well, before we do that. As I look at the make-up of the STAC

and I look at the subcommittee to work with the STAC on the habitat issues, I feel like we got a lot of offshore experience, et cetera, but I don't see a lot of upstream experience, on-the-ground experience. I think Robyn Hannigan may be a good theoretical ecosystem biologist from Arkansas, but I think we need someone who's been on the ground, who understands issues and watersheds, who's gotten their boots muddy in Alaska and has the link between sort of land and management issues and research. And this isn't self-serving, I think Bob Clark who worked for Sportfish Division at Fish and Game has just been -- he was the regional supervisor for Southcentral region, he just took a job with the Department as a fisheries scientist. head of the research for Sportfish in Southcentral before he became the regional manager and now he's back into the So he, I think, brings sort of a knowledge science role. of Southcentral watershed issues and experience, you know, on the ground, habitat issues, fisheries management issues. He's a good scientist, he works well with other folks. would suggest substituting him for Robyn Hannigan as someone who knows what's going on on the ground, but also is a scientist and a theoretical thinker, if you will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you, I appreciate that. Let me ask Molly, we're discussing personalities now, not staff or anything, but is it appropriate to do

this in a public meeting?

MS. McCAMMON: I believe so, unless there's something in particular you wanted to talk about. I'd refer to the lawyers. Craig.

MR. TILLERY: It could be done in executive session, but it doesn't have to be.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: All right, then thank you for that information. So, Mr. Rue, I think then to properly proceed, if you could make a motion including your nominee, it would be a way to focus our discussion.

MR. RUE: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I move that we accept the recommendation of the STAC Committee for the Habitat Subcommittee with exception of substituting Bob Clark for Robyn Hannigan.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there a second?

MR. TILLERY: Second.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Motion is made and seconded to accept the recommendation with the one substitution as noted. Is there any discussion of this?

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I already spoke to the motion before making it, so if folks have questions about anything I said, I'd certainly entertain it.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are there any questions?

(No audible response)

1	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other comments at
2	all on the motion?
3	(No audible response)
4	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are we ready for a
5	vote?
6	MS. McCAMMON: I guess the question I would
7	have would be for one year, just to verify that? This
8	particular committee would be one year?
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: What was your thought,
10	Mr. Rue, or did you have any on that?
11	MR. RUE: I didn't have a strong feeling.
12	On one side I think it's going to be hard to get anybody to
13	volunteer for this stuff and then go through it again in a
14	year. I don't know, this is a pretty good slate of people,
15	I wouldn't mind putting them on for two, just so we don't
16	have to do this every year, but I guess I'm flexible. It's
17	not like it's a critical decision-making body that's
18	politically charged or anything.
19	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other thoughts on
20	that from the Trustee Council?
21	(No audible response)
22	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So, Mr. Rue, the sense
23	of your motion, then, is that it's for a two-year term?
24	MR. RUE: That would be what I would say,
25	yeah. As an advisory committee to a STAC, I think that

works.

MS. McCAMMON: It would be consistent then with the Public Advisory Committee for coming back at that time, too, two years from now.

MR. RUE: Yeah, and I think that we've seen that you get some change every time, but these committees don't need -- I don't think need significant rollover because I don't think they're making decisions that all of us feel are politically charged or whatever.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Then the motion will be to have the subcommittee to the STAC consisted of Vern Byrd, Bob Clark, Mimi Hogan, Henry Huntington, Eric Knudsen, Lyman McDonald, Bern Megrey, Jennifer Nielsen, Susan Saupe, Tom Weingartner, Doug Woodby and Kate Wynne for a term of two years. Is there any other discussion?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are we ready for a vote?

MR. RUE: Call the question.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We have the motion on the floor, is there any opposition to the motion?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing no opposition, the motion passes. That slate of candidates is our subcommittee to the STAC.

1 Let's see, we have one other issue, which 2 is.... 3 MS. McCAMMON: Well, there are two other 4 subcommittees that are recommended. If you look on Page 7 5 is the Data Management and the recommendations there for 6 the Data Management and Information Transfer Subcommittee 7 are Rob Cermak, Carol Fries, Jay Johnson, Russell Kunibe, 8 Mark Shasby and Hank Statscewich. And I would like to add 9 Bob Walker from Alaska Department of Fish and Game to that. And these, with the exception of -- I think, Rob Cermak and 10 11 Hand Statscewich are in Fairbanks, but the others are all in Anchorage, 12 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So it would be a seven-13 person subcommittee, also subcommittee of STAC? 14 15 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we 16 17 adopt the list as amended by Molly. 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there a second? This is Michele. 19 MS. BROWN: Second. 20 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you, Ms. Brown. 21 And the motion is made and seconded to adopt the subcommittee as contained in the minutes, with the addition 22 23 of Bob Walker from ADF&G. Is there any discussion? MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I assume this would 24

25

be a two year?

1	MS. McCAMMON: Yes.	
2	MR. RUE: Good.	
3	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, this is also for	
4	two years. Any other comments?	
5	(No audible response)	
6	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are we ready for a	
7	vote?	
8	(No audible response)	
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any opposition	
10	to the motion to adopt this seven-person subcommittee on	
11	data management and information transfer?	
12	(No audible response)	
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing no opposition,	
14	the motion passes. We have our Data Management	
15	Subcommittee. Molly.	
16	MS. McCAMMON: Moving to Page 9, it's the	
17	Lingering Oil Effects Subcommittee and the nominations	
18	there are Jim Bodkin, Walther Cox, Judy McDowell, Allan	
19	Mearns, Stanley Rice and Jeff Short.	
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any discussion of these	
21	candidates or a motion?	
22	MS. PEARCE: Molly.	
23	MS. McCAMMON: Yes.	
24	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please.	
25	MS. PEARCE: Mr. Chairman.	

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please, Ms.

Pearce?

б

.8

MS. PEARCE: Yes, it is. I have to admit that I don't know much about this subcommittee but I noted that Patrick Norman with the village of Port Graham had -- I guess these nominations are people who actually expressed an interest; is that a fair statement?

MS. McCAMMON: Right.

MS. PEARCE: I noted that he did and it would seem to me that on the Lingering Oil Effect
Subcommittee we have a number of people, scientists,
working both in the Sound and also out of state, but it
might be very useful to have somebody from one of the
villages that was affected working with us on that
subcommittee. And if the subcommittee doesn't do what I
think it does from its name, perhaps I'm mistaken, but it
would seem that the people who live in the area should be a
part of sending us advice on those lingering effects. So I
would wonder if we could please add Patrick Norman to the
list for the Lingering Oil Effects Subcommittee.

MS. McCAMMON: Now, he's been recommended for the Public Advisory Committee.

MS. PEARCE: Right. And so you don't want somebody on both?

MS. McCAMMON: Well, it's fine, I don't

think there's any problem with that. I should also mention 1 2 that Dr. Bob Spies is also the Chair of the Lingering Oil Committee, so he is also a member, but that was done by the 3 4 Council earlier. 5 It would be, if he is agreeable to doing 6 something like that or we're actually having a meeting with 7 a group of people, maybe we should ask if himself or 8 someone else. We do have -- Walter Cox is from Cordova, 9 it's not a village, but is working with the Science Center, 10 the Oil Spill Recovery Institute from Cordova. 11 could see if Pat Norman wanted to do it or someone else in 12 his place. Mr. Chairman, I really appreciate 13 MR. RUE: 14 Drue's suggestion. Could we make a motion that had Pat 15 Norman there with.... 16 MS. McCAMMON: Contingent on? 17 MR. RUE: Contingent on him willing to do it and, if not, bring a name back to us? 18 19 MS. McCAMMON: Sure. 20 MR. RUE: Would that be all right.? 21 MS. PEARCE: Sure, that would be fine with 22 me. 23 MR. RUE: Good. I think that's a good

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. Would someone be

24

25

thought.

prepared to make such a motion? 2 MS. PEARCE: So moved, Mr. Chairman. 3 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. So the motion is to adopt -- or is there a second? 4 MR. RUE: 5 Second. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Motion is made and 6 seconded to adopt the six names contained in our binder 7 8 with adding a seventh name, Patrick Norman, contingent on his interest and his availability and should he not be we 9 10 would strive to find a similar village person for the 11 committee. Is that the nature of the motion? 12 MS. PEARCE: Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And this is for two 13 years? 14 15 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. Is there any other 16 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: discussion? 17 18 (No audible response) CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I would just note that 19 half of this committee is NOAA people. I don't have a 20 problem with that, but I just wanted to make that clear in 21 22 case anyone hadn't noticed. Jeff Short, the last person listed on that page, is NOAA, even though it doesn't say 23

MR. RUE: We're watching you, Mr. Chairman.

that, so I wanted to point that out.

1

24

1	We're counting.
2	(Laughter)
3	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any other
4	discussion?
5	(No audible response)
6	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are we ready for a
7	vote?
8	(No audible response)
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any opposition
10	to the motion?
11	(No audible response)
12	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, these
13	seven people or possibly a substitute for Patrick Norman
14	will be our Lingering Oil Effects Subcommittee.
15	Anything else on the subcommittees, Molly?
16	MS. McCAMMON: No, that's it.
17	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Then our third item is
18	the PAC Subcommittee. Is it a subcommittee, just a PAC
19	Committee?
20	MS. McCAMMON: It's the PAC, it's Public
21	Advisory Committee.
22	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.
23	MS. PEARCE: Mr. Chairman, this is Drue, I
24	have a motion if you're ready.
25	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Pearce.

MS. PEARCE: Thank you. I would move the following individuals to be members of our PAC, and these are two-year terms; is that correct, Molly?

Yes.

MS. PEARCE: Tori Baker representing

commercial fishing; John Devens for regional citizens advisory councils; Gary Fandrei, aquaculture and mariculture; Dr. John Gester, public at large; Charlie

Hughey, subsistence; Brett Huber, sporthunting and fishing;

Robert Kopchak, public at large; Patrick Lavin,

MS. McCAMMON:

conservation and environment; Charles Meacham, science

12 tech; Brenda Norcross, science tech; Pat Norman, Native

landowner; Captain Ed Page, marine transportation; Martin

Robards, conservation and environment; Gerald Sanger,

commercial tourism; Stan Senner, conservation and

environment; Scott Smiley, public at large; Stacy

Studebaker, recreational users; Mike Vigil, tribal

government; Kate Williams, science tech; and Ed Zeine,

19 local government.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUE: I second that.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Ms. Pearce,

would you read that one more time, please?

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: You read it very good, but I missed one name.

1	MS. PEARCE: You did, which one did you	
2	miss?	
3	(Laughter)	
4	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: It wasn't Baker,	
5	Devens, Fandrei, Gester, Huber, Hughey, Meacham, Norcross,	
6	Norman, Page, Robards, Sanger, Senner, Studebaker, Chenega,	
7	Williams or Zeine.	
8	MR. RUE: Smiley. You missed Smiley.	
9	MS. McCAMMON: Scott Smiley.	
10	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I got that and there's	
11	still one more that I missed.	
12	MS. PEARCE: Patrick Lavin.	
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Patrick Lavin. Oh, I	
14	see him. Okay, thank you. Any comments or discussion from	
15	the Trustees?	
16	MS. PEARCE: I would just say that we will	
17	duly send the letters to the Secretary of Interior for her	
18	to approve.	
19	MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, this is Molly.	
20	I should note, we're already trying to get a meeting	
21	together for December 3rd and 4th, so when we do send out	
22	letters it will be contingent on Secretarial approval. And	
23	if that doesn't happen, then it will be mainly a workshop.	

all of the subcommittees that they get.....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is that the same for

24

1	MS. McCAMMON: No, it's the Public Advisory
2	Committee is the only one that's a FACA-type committee, so
3	the others aren't.
4	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I see. Thank you. Any
5	other comments?
6	(No audible response)
7	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are we ready for the
8	vote?
9	(No audible response)
10	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any opposition
11	to the motion to name this is it a 20-member committee?
12	MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: As our PAC?
14	(No audible response)
15	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing no opposition,
16	the PAC will be constituted of these 20 members with
17	affiliations as read by Ms. Pearce.
18	Is there any other business?
19	MS. PEARCE: Can I ask one question,
20	please, Mr. Chairman?
21	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, please.
22	MS. PEARCE: I got my faxed copy of the
23	letter from Rick Steiner, but I missed the Anchorage Daily
24	News article, so, Molly, could you tell me what was in the
25	Daily News that caused Rick to send us this letter?

MS. McCAMMON: Well, the Daily News article said that the Trustee Council gave it a cool reception -no, that I said the Trustee Council gave it a cool reception, which I never did. I said they were -- the reporter asked me if the Council had any questions and I said no, but we had a full agenda. And did they give you any follow-up? No, but, you know, it was just given to them during public comment. But I did note that other similar type proposals had circulated earlier on in the Trustee Council process, permit buybacks, specifically for pink salmon buyback, pink salmon permit buyback, and that there had always been issues with them. First of all the costs, secondly legal issues about how you do buybacks, because a lot of the proposal had only been for buying back like half the permits, how do you choose which half and does that really accomplish your goal. And then thirdly that the purpose of the Trustee Council and the funds was restoration of natural resources and that most buyback proposals tended to be for the purpose of benefitting the fishermen or the industry.

MS. PEARCE: Okay. I was just curious.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other

(No audible response)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussion?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other business for

-	the council!
2	(No audible response)
3	MS. McCAMMON: We could recess until
4	November 25th.
5	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I would be prepared to
6	consider a motion to adjourn the meeting.
7	(Laughter)
8	MR. RUE: So moved.
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there a second?
10	MS. BROWN: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any opposition?
12	(No audible response)
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, this meeting is
14	adjourned. Thank you very much for your very fine
15	participation and cooperation.
16	MR. RUE: Thank you, all. And thanks to
17	Alex for his good work.
18	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Yes, thanks, Alex. And
19	we'll see you on the 25th.
20	(Off record - 8:47 a.m.)
21	(MEETING ADJOURNED)
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 1 CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2 SS. 3 STATE OF ALASKA 4 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court 5 Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify: 6 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 37 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting 7 recorded electronically by me on the 4th day of November 8 2002, commencing at the hour of 8:05 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability. 9 THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the 10 request of: EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 451 W. 5th 11 Avenue, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99501; 12 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 9th day of November 13 2002. 14 15 AND CERTIFFED TO BY: SIGNED 16 17 eph 🗭. **K**olasinski ary Public in and for Alaska 18 Commission Expires: 04/17/04 19 20 21 22

23

24