EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL 1 TRUSTEE COUNCIL 2 Public Meeting 3 Tuesday, October 29, 2002 8:00 o'clock a.m. 4 441 West 5th Avenue, Suite 500 5 Anchorage, Alaska 6 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 7 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, MR. JAMES W. BALSIGER National Marine Fisheries Svc: Administrator, AK Region 8 (Chairman) 9 STATE OF ALASKA -MR. CRAIG TILLERY Assistant Attorney General DEPARTMENT OF LAW: 10 State of Alaska 11 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, MS. MARIA LISOWSKI for MR. DAVE GIBBONS U.S. FOREST SERVICE 1.2 Forest Supervisor Forest Service AK Region 13 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. FRANK RUE 14 OF FISH AND GAME: Commissioner 15 (Telephonically) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. DRUE PEARCE 16 Senior Advisor to the Secretary for Alaskan 17 Affairs, U.S. Department of Interior 18 MS. MICHELE BROWN 19 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner 20 21 22 23 Proceedings electronically recorded, then transcribed by: 24

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, 3522 West 27th,

Anchorage, AK 99517 - 243-0668

25

l l			
1	TRU	STEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT:	
2	MS.	MOLLY McCAMMON	Executive Director
3	MS.	PAULA BANKS	Administrative Assistant
4	MS.	CHERRI WOMACK	Administrative Assistant
5	MS.	DEDE BOHN	U.S. Geological Service
6	MR.	KEN HOLBROOK	U.S. Forest Service
7	MS.	CAROL FRIES	ADNR
8	MR.	ALEX SWIDERSKI	Department of Law
9	MS.	GINA BELT	Department of Justice
10	MR.	DOUG MUTTER	Department of Interior
11	MR.	BILL HAUSER	ADF&G
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	1		
18			

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order	04
3	Approval of Agenda	04
4	Approval of Meeting Notes (August 6, 2002)	06
5	Executive Director's Report	06
6	PUBLIC COMMENT	
7	Mr. Rick Steiner	08
8	Ms. Theresa Obermeyer	14
9	Northern Afognak Package	16
10	PWS 05 (Duck Flats) and PWS 1010 (Jack Bay) ratify motion to extend	41
11	STAC process and appointments	41
12	Meeting Recessed	43
13		
14		
15		
16		
L7		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 8:09 a.m.)

1.2

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Good morning,
everybody, I'd like to call to order this October 29th
meeting of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council.
This morning we have Maria Lisowski representing Forest
Service, Craig Tillery from the State....

MR. RUE: Law, please.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: State Law.

MR. TILLERY: Close enough.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Drue Pearce from

Department of Interior, Frank Rue from the State Department

of Fish and Game and Michele Brown from DEC, and I'm Jim

Balsiger who will chair the meeting from NOAA Fisheries.

The first item is the approval of the agenda. There are 10 items on the agenda; is there any comment?

MS. PEARCE: Move to approve.

MS. BROWN: Second.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, there's one small change to the agenda. Under item number 5, the Scientific and Technical Subcommittee process and appointment, in order to do a stipend to our STAC members, the Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee, we need to have them for designated contractors, so it'll be just a

simple motion for five people to be specified so we can do 1 direct contracts with them. 2 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, so we'll discuss 3 that when we get there. If there's nothing else, does the 4 motion to approve still stand? 5 MS. PEARCE: So moved. 6 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And the second from Ms. 7 Brown, I believe. 8 MS. BROWN: It's me, it still stands. 9 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any objection? 10 (No audible response) 11 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, the agenda is 12 approved. 13 The second item is the approval of the 14 meeting notes from August 6th, 2002. Any comment on that? 15 Any discussion or comments? That meeting was chaired by 16 Ms. Pearce last -- two months ago. 17 MS. PEARCE: No, Cam sat in for me. 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: You're right, I don't 19 know why I said what I did, it was not chaired by Ms. 20 Pearce. 21 22 MS. McCAMMON: It was chaired by Mr. 23 Tillery. 24 MR. TILLERY: Move to approve.

MR. RUE: Second.

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Motion to approve and seconded. Is there any objection?

(No audible response)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, then we'll adopt those Council notes from the 6th.

The third item is the Executive Director's report. Ms. McCammon.

Mr. Chairman, I just have a MS. McCAMMON: short report. Just to let you know that on October 1st the investment fund was divided into two funds at that time, the Research Fund and the Habitat Fund, and then again on October 15th the Habitat Fund was divided again into the Koniag Fund and the Habitat Fund, so 29.8 million was transferred on that date into a separate Koniag Fund and those funds will be accounted for separately. So when you start getting reports you will actually be getting reports on the three subaccounts. And as of those dates interest will be either accrued or deducted, depending on how investments do, from those accounts in proportion to how much was in there to start with. So that has occurred and you should be getting the first reports probably about mid-November on that.

MR. RUE: Could you tell me again the split, it was 29 point something in the Koniag?

MS. McCAMMON: On October 1 it was 55

million went into Habitat Fund and everything else remains 1 in the Research Fund. 2 MR. RUE: Okay. Of the 55, 30..... 3 MS. McCAMMON: And then of the 55, 29.8 went into the Koniag Fund and the remainder 25.2 for other 5 habitat. 6 Thanks. 7 MR. RUE: MS. McCAMMON: And I also just want to note 8 there is another meeting scheduled on November 25th, and at 9 that time we primarily have scheduled action on Phase II, 10 partials for the FY03 Work Plan and then maybe a couple of 11 other items. So it is Monday of Thanksgiving week, we will 12 have two Trustees that will be calling in long distance, 13 Drue Pearce and Dave Gibbons will be calling in long 14 distance, so it would be great if the other four could be 15 here at that time. 16 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And what day did you 17 say that was? 18 MS. McCAMMON: Monday, November 25th. 19 20 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is this something we've known about for a long time or is this new? 21 MS. McCAMMON: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. 23 MR. RUE: You have. 24

MS. McCAMMON: Let's put it this way.

25

Ιt

25

at 8:15.

1

should have been on your calendar for a long time. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I didn't bring my calendar, I'm sure it is, I just couldn't remember. MS. McCAMMON: The only other thing I wanted to mention is you all should have gotten an invitation to visit Tatitlek to a Wisdom Keeper Conference on November 6th, 7th, and 8th. And if you haven't responded back to Chugach Regional Resources Commission, if you could as soon as possible, so they can get a head count on who's going to be attending that. And that's it for today. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: On the agenda, under the Director's report, it says investment report, that was the investment report? MS. McCAMMON: That was the investment report. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So are there any questions of the Executive Director? (No audible response) CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If none, do we have to approve this or do we just say that was a good job? MS. McCAMMON: CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That was a nice report.

That brings us to the public comment right

Do we have anyone on line who would like to make

a public comment?

MR. STEINER: Yeah, this is Rick Steiner here if possible.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Go ahead, please, Rick.

MR. STEINER: Yeah, good morning, folks. I just wanted to reiterate what was faxed over yesterday to the Trustee Council office and apparently it has been copied and distributed to you folks. It's regarding a Prince William Sound herring fishery buyback proposal as an EVOS restoration project. This is conceptual at this state, it's a discussion paper at best, it's a concept I've been circulating for the last month or so, mainly within the commercial herring fishing industry in Prince William Sound. And I should say that all the comments I've received to date have been very positive from gillnetters, pounders, seiners, even the processing sector and, of course, the marine conservation community on the concept.

As you know, you've downgraded the recovery status of Pacific herring at your last meeting, the August meeting, and herring are vital, critical prey for many marine mammals, seabirds and fish in the system and the problem with herring is certainly a huge problem for the recovery of the system as a whole.

I'm proposing, just for discussion purposes, I guess at this point, that we all take a look at

the potential for the Exxon Valdez Trustee process to purchase and retire or buyback, is the jargon of the day, the entire Prince William Sound commercial herring fishery, which includes, as I go through the short document there, about 106 seine permits, 24 gillnet permits, 128 pound permits and then the non-limited entry component of the fishery, which would be sort of a puzzle, I suppose, to sort out in this buyback issue, the wild roe on kelp harvest and the bait seine operators.

I think it's clear that this is an extraordinary situation, that the herring collapse in the Sound, it's not a normal herring issue anywhere statewide and it's a very reasonable use, I think, and many other people apparently as well, of Exxon Valdez Oil Spill restoration dollars. I sort of liken it, in a sense like the habitat protection, the tremendous habitat protection program you folks have done and that is if we can pay landowners to preserve the habitat on the land for restoration purposes, we ought to be able to pay the fishing industry to back off of this incredibly ecologically important resource.

I go through the discussion of dollars here, these are, of course, conceptual at this point and I just threw them out, basically, as a point of discussion, but my guess, talking with the industry, is somewhere --

today's permit values are rock bottom obviously, for obvious reason, and that, to some extent, is the market valuation of what these permits should be worth, what their earning potential is, et cetera. But I think, to be fair, it should be -- a buyback ought to somewhat more than that. Somewhere between the prespill value of the permits and where they are today. And I think this is a natural use of the reopener for unknown injuries damages that you are entitled to at the present time.

So my guess, my rough guess, is it's a 20 million to 30 million dollar deal, maybe more, maybe less, but there is -- at least at this point, as I say, I've only received positive comments back from the industry and others. And I respectfully request that the Trustee Council take a look at this opportunity and have your scientific staff conduct an ecological modeling exercise to maybe predict how this would -- what sort of response we would see over the coming decade with an unexploited herring stock. Which, of course, from the scientific standpoint, would be unprecedented to have an unexploited herring population virtually anywhere within their range, and so that way that we would have the control to understand other fishery management implications elsewhere.

Anyway, I think, you know, I'm available for questions and for other deliberation. I would also

1	encourage that all components of the industry and community
2	be involved in the development of this concept if it is to
3	go forward.
4	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, thank you, Rick.
5	MR. STEINER: Sure.
6	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any questions of
7	Mr. Steiner?
8	(No audible response)
9	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, thank you. Is
10	anyone else on line with a public comment?
11	(No audible response)
12	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, is there anyone
13	in the audience here that would like to make a comment?
14	(No audible response)
15	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, we'll close the
16	public comment period.
17	That brings us to the executive session
18	scheduled to last approximately a half an hour.
19	MS. McCAMMON: Probably longer.
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Probably longer. So
21	how is the best way to deal with the public and the
22	attendees?
23	MS. McCAMMON: What we would do is close
24	the door and have the public could stay out the door.
25	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So we just alert them

1	it's likely to be 9:00 o'clock for their planning:
2	MS. McCAMMON: Probably.
3	MR. RUE: When are folks here for Afognak?
4	MS. McCAMMON: Yeah. And we need a motion,
5	too.
6	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: To go into
7	MS. McCAMMON: Executive session.
8	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER:executive session.
9	MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Tillery.
11	MR. TILLERY: I would move that we go into
12	executive session for purposes of discussing habitat
13	protection, financial issues, other issues, as well as
14	personnel issues.
15	MS. BROWN: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Motion is made and
17	seconded to go into executive session; is there any
18	objection?
19	(No audible response)
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, we will go into
21	executive session. I gather we turn the phone off at this
22	time?
23	MS. McCAMMON: Yes.
24	(Off record - 8:20 a.m.)
25	(On record - 10:09 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Can we come back into public session, please? Let's see, we spent nearly an hour and 20 minutes, I believe, in executive session. We're coming out of that executive session now, in which we had discussed personnel matter and some financial matters related to habitat negotiations. Now we're back on the public record.

1.5

With the leave of the Trustee Council, we will move to agenda item 6, which is habitat protection and there's four items there, but, again, with leave of the Council members.....

MS. OBERMEYER: Sir, are you going to have public comment, belatedly? Theresa Obermeyer. Good morning. Would you have few moments for public comment?

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Obermeyer, we had a public comment period at 8:15.

MS. OBERMEYER: And I waited all this time. I don't know whether you would.....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Could you give us one minute of public comment?

MS. OBERMEYER: Oh, sure.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, I'm going to time it so it can be done.

MS. OBERMEYER: Theresa Obermeyer and good to see all of you. Of course, I have written so much now

and I'm getting a little tired. I, sir, am an American and when I get a Bar Rag that's all about our judges entertaining a bunch of foreign Russians and they just got back from a junket to Russia and they don't help their neighbor down the street. This is getting so incomprehensible to me. I don't know whether you people notice all this. I live in a place where our entire congressional delegation -- we have Ted who put Ben, his son, in Drue's seat in the State Senate. We have Frank that is going to appoint Lisa to the U.S. Senate if he becomes governor. And we have Don Young who's son-in-law, Art Nelson, is running against Harry Crawford. I mean, in my life, how could this have been possible? I know I'm not going to let it happen.

I'm one human, I have given you our website, I'd really like for our website to be put on yours, so that Exxon can pay up. I think it would happen if all those people that are hitting your website saw our website, I think the whole matter would be resolved. Let's do that now. I understand that -- I just remember that you get 115,000 hits a week and all these people are sitting here waiting for their greenbacks. I've watched this stuff for seven or eight years and I'm really getting a little tired now. I know you said in executive session you're going to pay everybody off.

I mean, here's my final joke. They're all on sunny beaches and I haven't even gotten a job yet. But it's not funny. This is our great nation and I'm only one person, all I can do is look at you and let you know that it's time for something fair. It's time for leadership, it's time to not only be worried about our retirement, our second, third and fourth retirement, it's time for leadership. I don't have any answers.

And I would be more than happy to field questions if anyone had any. But in the meantime, you've got our website, I'd love it and I ask that you connect it to yours. Let's make Exxon pay up.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you, Ms.

Obermeyer.

MS. OBERMEYER: And thank you for hearing

me.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are there any

questions?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: If not, then we will conclude the second public session, thanks. And, again, if we can, let's move to agenda item 6. The fourth subcategory there is the Northern Afognak package, let's start with that. Ms. McCammon.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, about a year

ago or over a year ago you were presented with a potential package to complete the protection of the northern half of Afognak Island. At that time you passed a resolution in support of private efforts to raise funds to do that. The private parties have been working with the landowners and with the foundation sector attempting to reach agreements on the purchase price for those lands and also to raise funds to accomplish that. They have now put together a proposal that they would like to present formally to the Trustee Council for your consideration. We've had some legal review of it already, but I think at this time we would like to hear directly from the proposers.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Please, who would make that presentation? State your name for the record, please.

MR. COLE: Yes, my name is Charles Cole, C-O-L-E. I'm an attorney with law offices in the city of Fairbanks, Alaska. I have been asked by The Conservation Partners of the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust, The American Land Conservancy and the Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation to assist them in the formulation of this proposal, which is now before you. You have, in essence, this proposal list for the acquisition by the Trustee Council to link together the two large tracts of land which have been acquired by the Council in 1992 and 1997. And we have here a map which shows those tracts which are being presented to you for

your acquisition.

Those were tracts which in 1992 Governor Hickel and the members of the Trustee Council at that time seriously wanted to acquire. And the reason that in 1992 the governor and members of the Council wanted to acquire those tracts is because they linked together the parcels which I say they are on the east and the west, actually what I would call to the northwest and southeast on Northern Afognak Island. Those tracts are among the highest, if not the very highest, tracts from the standpoint of natural resources in the area.

I'd like this map here, which we'll show and present to you here, which shows the Trustee Council habitat section.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Cole, I think we're losing the record, I don't know if you can take the microphone with you. Thank you.

MR. COLE: Well, as you can see there's the Shuyak Islands and then there's this parcel here what I call the northwest, the parcels on the southeast. And these proposed acquisitions would acquire all of these parcels which are in between. They are very high habitat protection program. I'm sure you people know as much, if not more, about it than I do. And the various several tracts which are presented there are contained in the draft

resolution, which perhaps you have seen.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I personally feel it would be a serious mistake on the part of the Council not to acquire these parcels at this time. So why do I say that? Well, first, A, because of the high habitat protection values which are there, number 1. And number 2 is putting together this proposal has not been easy, there are about six or seven or eight different vendors, sellers, that were involved in this transaction. And I think this is a case where, clearly one of the few examples, so to speak, where the whole is greater than the sum of its parts. I mean it would be, in my view, a tragedy if those lands linking together the two large State tracts were not acquired because eventually the unitary value of those tracts are going to be dissipated, those lands will be cut and we will be without the linkage of those two tracts.

I think that it will be impossible ever to acquire these tracts again. I think this is the last time that the Council will have the opportunity to acquire these tracts. And why do I say that? Well, I mean, time moves on, these landowners will decide to harvest those lands, they will perhaps have other uses for those lands, they may be carved up, put out into smaller tracts, and so the opportunity to acquire them will be lost. I think it's very important to acquire the watersheds which lie at the

base of each of those two bays which are shown there.

Those, obviously, in this area and in this area, you can see them on the maps, the rivers which flow into those bays.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's all I want to say. If there are any questions, I would be pleased to respond to them. price is there, you have it. It's not been easy to put together. My sense was that I know the Council has set aside 25 million for the small tract acquisitions, okay. Now, this sort of breaches that resolution or that plan. Is there good cause, as lawyers would say, to do that? I think so for a couple of reasons, A, the value of these collective tracts, number 1. And probably the inability to ever acquire them again. I think a very great benefit to this transaction is that 50 percent of the purchase price is being contributed. That's never happened before, I And I don't think it's going to happen again. I know that there is some question about the language in the proposed resolution, I think that's something that staff should be able to work out and acquire.

I want to say a word about appraised value. You know, I've always had in my mind a serious question whether these lands should be acquired simply for appraised value. I was one of the people, along with others, who negotiated the agreement for the settlement of the Exxon

Valdez spill and the language of the decree, which forms the basis for this whole Trustee Council. And you will recall that that decree provides that these funds shall be used for the restoration, replacement and enhancement of the damaged resources. This is a classic case for the fulfillment of that basic goal that this whole Trustee Council, this whole process has been put together for. And I think that we should fulfill that premise in this situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, if you simply take the thought that we will buy lands only for their appraised value, I think that misses the boat. I mean, I may be talking sort of wildly here, because I recognize that some of those propositions have, at one time, been considered by the Council and adopted, although it's not been consistly followed in other acquisitions. And if you appraise lands only at their fair market value for a willing buyer and a willing seller, what you really miss is the fact that these lands are not being acquired in a commercial transaction. The lands are being acquired for the fulfillment of the purposes of the Clean Water Act and the decree in the settlement in this case. And so the appraised value does not consider the value of particular tracts of land to the fulfillment of the purposes of restoring and enhancing and replacing the damaged resources. And appraisers are precluded in

developing their appraisal from considering that. So when you have a situation like this, if you simply look at the appraised value of those lands which are the subject of this proposal, what you really miss is the value of those lands to the State parks which adjoin on the east, the northwest and the southeast. So it would be, I think -- I'm going to be a little careful about the language I use right here, and simply say an error, if I may say so, to ignore that as the Council considers the price for which it should consider paying for these lands. Because I think, as I said, these lands have a much greater value to the fulfillment of the Clean Water Act and the judicial decree than simply their appraised value.

So that's all I wish to say at this time. If you have any questions, I'd be pleased to respond to them.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Are there any questions from the Council? Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: Yeah, I'd like to start.

I've been involved in this for a long time. These lands are among the finest lands that the Council has had the opportunity to deal with. This opportunity with the private/government partnership is the finest single opportunity we've had to deal with lands. The question is, of course, how would you make this work? And I have a

couple of questions that would help me a little bit on that. One, how long would you anticipate from the time the -- if the Council were to say we would make money available, how long would you anticipate before you would actually need that money?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COLE: Well, let me address that in an uninformed fashion as I can. And I think that this -roughly this proposed transaction involves slightly more than 20 million dollars, okay? About 20. Slightly over 10 million of that is the Koniag parcels, that's the timber and this tract here. And so you're really dealing with two groups, essentially, 10 million and 10 million, broadly I think, subject to what Mr. Jones might say, is speaking. that the Koniag money, that 10 million, would probably be a year and a half, maybe two years away, maybe a little bit more before that money would be required in order to conclude the transaction. Why? Well, because it just seems to take a long time to get these things done, sort of mechanically. And I think probably the other 10 million would be required -- what would we say in.....

MR. JONES: Very soon. I think you could do it over this next six to eight months. You could close on all the rest of them is our best judgement, each....

MR. COLE: This is Roy Jones speaking.

MR. JONES:each Native corporation

needs to go through their approval process, they need to formally consider it. They've all been informed of what is on the table today. Our impression is that they feel that what we are proposing that they are part of is a responsible price point, they could not go with the appraised value, it would not work for them.

MR. COLE: The answer is, in a word, maybe

MR. COLE: The answer is, in a word, maybe one year for 10 million and maybe two million [sic], plus or minus, for the other 10 million.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Two years, plus or minus, you mean?

MR. JONES: On 4A, B and C.

MR. COLE: Yeah.

MR. RUE: 4A, B, C and 5?

MR. JONES: We think everything else could be gotten in the first year is our sense.

MR. TILLERY: And in the interim there would be no further development or cutting on this land?

MR. JONES: That ought to be part of it. The transactions would stop everything.

MR. COLE: The answer is yes.

MR. TILLERY: If you look at these -- if you go back to this map that I'm sort of holding up, I don't know if that's the same one you got back there.

MR. JONES: Let's get the other one there,

which I think is.....

MR. TILLERY: It's a little different, because on this map, if you look at the blue, that is Afognak Island State Park.

MR. COLE: Yes.

MR. TILLERY: It's presently cut -- it's got the parcels we purchased are not part of it, the ones over here on Shuyak Strait, the ones along -- I forget which bay that is, Tonki Bay, Tonki Cape. And then these lands. Would you and the other people involved in The Conservation Partners support the extension of Shuyak Island State Park to include all of these lands that the Council has been involved with on Northern Afognak?

MR. COLE: The answer, I like to give unequivocal answers, is yes. I learned that in Juneau when dealing with questions from Senator Pearce.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: That's all, Mr.

Tillery?

MR. TILLERY: (Nods affirmatively)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other questions?

Mr. Rue.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I agree with Mr. Tillery in terms of the values and the long-term interest and I also really appreciate the effort of the private

interests to come forward and bring their cash to the table along with their interest. Just so I'm clear, you're saying it may take two years for parcels 4A,B and C, as we got on our map? And 5A and B; is that what I heard?

MR. JONES: No.

MR. RUE: No. What would.....

MR. JONES: Everything -- 5A, I think, because it's already owned by Koniag, as I understand it. That one, if they accept the purchase prices, and nothing has been approved right there, then it seems everything except for A, B and C could probably go to closing within a year and then 4A and B from a year to a maximum of two years is our guess right now.

MR. RUE: Okay, that clarifies that point for me. Thank you. The other question related as to perhaps your sense of the ability of the private parties in this to raise funds to match us, how is that looking out there?

MR. COLE: I would say Mr. Williams should respond to that, but I think it's looking good, pleased to say. But here's what I want to add because he may not add what I want to say, but.....

(Laughter)

MR. COLE: Well, here's the thing, you know, like I say, Ted Turner used to have seven billion

dollars, you know, he has a lot of money to throw around and now he's, for lots of reasons, he's down to about a billion, single billion. And so what's happened is this latest dot com, you know, free-for-all has really made their work in getting together these monies much harder. And we've heard from Washington, D.C. that the same is true there, I mean, this budget is going to get tighter and tighter. So it's rather important for that reason, as part of the overall necessity, or thought about getting this done now, is that the money is sort of there now and it may dry up when people, you know, start toting up their losses.

So, Mr. Williams, would you like to.....

MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Glenn Williams with The American Land Conservancy and fund raising is tough business for sure. And in tough economic times it's even more difficult. The compelling nature of this project being able to connect to magnificent State park units, fulfilling the goals of the Trustee Council going back 10 years, it's a very compelling idea and we've had really tremendous success when you consider the circumstance that we're in. We've been out trying to orchestrate funding for this project with no agreement with the landowner, no commitment from the Trustee Council, no purchase established, I mean kind of out in outer space and we're able to still bring close to four million dollars to the

table at the front end. Our sense is if we can.....

MR. COLE: Is that in your pocket now, so to speak? Committed?

MR. WILLIAMS: I mean, not here, but yeah.

MR. COLE: Yeah, yeah, okay. It's a done

deal to that extent.

1.2

MR. WILLIAMS: Funding from private foundation sources and, in fact, to give you a sense of the competitive nature of this project as to projects around the country, we might even ask Mike Roy with Fish and Wildlife Service about -- who has seen one of the grant applications that we submitted for this project and how that fares, you know, compared to other national priorities, if that would be helpful.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Well, let's see if there is a Trustee that wants to hear that. But I think Mr. Rue's question was how is the private fund raising going and.....

MR. RUE: It sounded like I got an answer, which is you're doing pretty well with a very nebulous proposal and now you've got something hard you can go and -- if we acted you'd have an easier time.

MR. WILLIAMS: This would enable a funder to, as it were, make the buzzer shot to complete this tremendous project.

MR. COLE: I'd like to add that they're doing some very interesting things by way of carbon substitution, you know, and that one of the things that enables them to pursue the carbon substitution track possibilities is because the size of this proposed acquisition, they could not do it if it were just smaller tracts.

б

And I think that one of the great things about this is, look at all the coastline that's being acquired here, you know, because really the essential value, in my view, is the coastline and, of course, the timber rights, but other than the timber and the coastline, I mean, you know, it doesn't have the great attraction it does, but it's the coastline and the preservation of the watersheds that make it totally so highly desirable.

Commissioner Rue knows more about that, by far, than I do, but it's just my sense of looking at it.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any more questions or do you want a different witness?

MR. RUE: That's all for me. No, that got my....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Any other questions from the Trustees?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I have one that was

raised by your statement about carbon substitution. I don't know what that means, so I'm afraid that some smokestack in Utah is giving money to this foundation and they're allowed to pollute down there because they're doing something good in Alaska; is that what carbon substitution is?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COLE: I'll let....

MR. WILLIAMS: The example that we're working off of is kind of where the Bush administration and the Department of Interior are at on carbon sequestration. The project which you may have seen some press about it in your briefing materials, Entergy, a power company in the Midwest that has been very aggressive in this regard because of their shareholders who want them to be leaning forward and being prepared for any potential regulatory requirements, companies are voluntarily going out and doing offset -- carbon offset project, where they're investing in both development mechanisms within their plant and also going out reforesting areas or protecting areas from deforestation to create carbon credits to bring their own emissions down to typically 10 percent below 1990 levels. Right now in the United States it is a voluntary program, there's now 12 different state programs that are coming on line, so it's unclear whether -- if the protocol comes into force internationally and we are not a part of it, will we

develop a national program? We don't know. Will there be state programs? Apparently. But for the time being companies want to get experience in doing these projects, want the good PR in doing these projects and want to get their own emission structure into alignment.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other questions? Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: Just one last one. In terms of the sequencing of acquisitions, my understanding is that you want the ability to make the purchases in different blocks, you talked about different times. comfortable with a requirement that would allow or would require what are the more important parcels for Council, specifically 1 and 4A and the timber tracts, to be coming at any time, but for everything else to have to be sort of part of a unit? I guess, to be a little bit blunt, I'm not sure that we wanted to end up purchasing Delphin Point, which has been heavily logged, and then that's it, and then the money turned back to us. In the meantime we got Delphin Point, which is an isolated piece of logged land in the middle. Is that kind of a requirement that would be put in a resolution workable?

MR. COLE: Mr. Jones says yes.

(Laughter)

MR. COLE: You want another answer?

1	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Rue.
2	MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, my only question, I
3	guess, are we going to have other presentations or is this
4	our only chance to ask questions? Are others going to come
5	up and give us any further information?
6	MR. COLE: Only if you wish it, sir.
7	MR. RUE: I see.
8	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. So, Mr.
9	Rue, if you have other questions
10	MR. RUE: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER:those that may
12	make them bring up other people.
13	MR. RUE: I'm thinking hard.
14	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: I thank you very much
15	and we'll
16	MR. COLE: I just continue to say I think
17	that this, in a sense, should be conditioned to this
18	all of these tracts be acquired, essentially, because I
19	think piece-mealing this would, you know, be a mistake. I
20	don't know if I'm sort of speaking out of school, but I
21	think that's important to Mr. Williams and his group, is
22	that not so?
23	MR. WILLIAMS: And to the funders.
24	MR. COLE: That's what I'm saying, it's

25 25 just a hard sell to 44 and may not get it done if it's not,

you know, that nice neat linkage put together. You know, half a link doesn't really get that chain fixed, you know, you just need the entire link to get it done and I think that's important, I think the Council should seriously -- is that -- Mr. Jones, are you on board with that?

б

MR. JONES: Yeah, I would take anything, but I think that's right.

MR. COLE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you very much.

Oh, I'm sorry, one more minute. Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: I'm now confused. Are you saying it would be useful in our resolution if we require this entire package to go before any of the Council's money was spent?

MR. JONES: Can I....

MR. COLE: Mr. Jones has a reservation on that. Not maybe all, but the core needs to be done in my view, and I think that's what Mr. Williams is saying, right?

MR. WILLIAMS: Right.

MR. COLE: Yeah, okay.

MR. JONES: I think the waterfall piece,
Mr. Tillery, we think, assuming that all of the Native
corporations agree with this value, and we talked to each
one over this weekend, our sense is that they will be

there, but until they take that step we don't know. But my quess is that one could be done in a matter of months, okay? Going through all the working with Alex and Ms. Lisowski and others to put the transaction together. Chuqiak and their parcel in Uganik, they have to make their decisions, but our guess is that some or all of that would be ready to go at the same time. Same thing with Ouzinkie, same thing with the two timber parcels is our guess. only thing that I don't see being able to go at the same time over the next six or eight months, my quess would be is 4A, B and C, and that's something that gets into the whole HAV dissolution and partitioning. It's something you all can't control, we can't control. So if there's one parcel that I would urge not required, not to be in the first wave, if you will, would be that one, simply because nobody can guarantee that that will happen in that time Ultimately, will it come? Mr. Richardson and the ALC team and Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation briefed Koniag yesterday and the preliminary feedback was positive but, again, they have to go through their internal procedures before, you know, they can conclusively make some judgments on it. But our sense is all of these others could go in a relatively short, within this next six or eight months to a year time frame, other than 4A, B and C.

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tim, anybody disagree on.....

MR. COLE: Well, I want to comment on that. It's not just the first wave, you know, and what gets done in the first wave, but it's the package, in my view. And I don't want to speak out of school with Mr. Jones, but.....

7 7

MR. JONES: Everything should be included in the....

MR. COLE: Yeah, because -- see what I'm driving at? I mean, that 4A up there, that and the timber over there that's part of the linkage, you know, and to do the transaction without putting the linkage in, you know, the Council should seriously consider that, because that's an integral part of this proposed transaction, I think. It is from the standpoint of Mr. Williams and his group because fund raising without 4A is perilous, would that be a good description of it?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MR. RICHARDSON: If I might add.....

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Richardson.

MR. RICHARDSON: Tim Richardson with the Kodiak Brown Bear Trust. The connectivity of the entire package is very important to fund raising. The phasing of transaction wouldn't necessarily preclude that if agreements with landowners to not cut or subdivide in the interim were in force and we showed progress.

And just to answer one question about

Afognak Joint Venture, they are a signed option contract entity in this process, so Koniag and Afognak Joint Venture are both signed to an option contract.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you.

MR. TILLERY: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Tillery.

MR. TILLERY: I guess my position would be that I think the whole deal really should go together.

However, I am comfortable with either parcel 1, parcel 4A or parcel 5 going separately because they fit with our existing landholding, the protection we've already done.

They sort of complete some of those things. The rest of it, to me, needs to go as part of the deal. Now, I guess, I'm a little confused that I'm sort of hearing that either they won't -- you're better off if we buy into everything -- we require everything to go, maybe or everything but 4A, B and C, I'm not sure.

MR. RICHARDSON: A resolution of endorsement of the entire package with an ability to move parcels in sequential order, with your priorities....

MR. TILLERY: With my priorities I just described?

MR. RICHARDSON: Yes.

MR. TILLERY: Okay.

MR. RICHARDSON: Yeah, I would consider 2

and 3, but that's for the judgment of the Trustee Council.

They're small -- it would complete your waterfall and your west coast on Delphin Bay on the west side.

MR. TILLERY: Okay.

MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Further questions?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay. I'm going to remind the Council that we have a time-certain schedule at 10:30, which is only three or four minutes away. Is there a thought as to how we should proceed? Mr. Rue.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I guess I'm very supportive of trying to move forward and see if we can come up with a package that is acceptable to everyone, but I don't know that we can do it -- we only have another hour and half in today's meeting is my understanding.

MS. McCAMMON: Three minutes.

MR. RUE: Three minutes in today's meeting, so clearly we're not going to get it done today. I'm not sure, procedurally, what we should do in terms of putting a resolution on the table and have it worked out or whether we should -- I guess my suggestion is that we ask staff to work with the parties and see if they can come up with a resolution, answer some of the questions we discussed here today, come up with a resolution and then schedule a

discussion of the Council within a time certain, perhaps next week by teleconference if folks can move quickly, and have paper for us to consider -- have a resolution for us to consider in a week, because I think time is important here. And then schedule it for discussion, pending staff work and a resolution by the parties. And make it clear to people that we are willing to get back together in a week to consider something in the form of a resolution, but not do it today, I don't think we're ready to do that today.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: I guess I would speak in support of this, I think this is an extraordinary opportunity for us, extraordinary parcels, extraordinary partnership and time is of the essence for any number of reasons and, you know, there's some contingencies that have to be worked out in the resolution language, but I would urge that, you know, staff do this, that they do this very quickly and that we have this before us by teleconference or whatever as quickly as possible.

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Ms. McCammon, procedurally, how would we do this?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, what I would recommend is that there are two items that you have to act on today, but otherwise recess the meeting until -- and we'll try to schedule it as soon as possible, whether it's

Thursday, Friday, Monday, by teleconference, but as soon as 1 we can get the six of you together by teleconference, but 2. to recess the meeting until that time and have this on the 3 agenda. 4 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: And so.... 5 MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman. 6 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Mr. Rue. 7 MR. RUE: Would we do that at the end of 8 this meeting, we actually just recess this meeting rather 9 than adjourn? 10 MS. McCAMMON: Yeah. 11 MR. RUE: Okay. Good. 12 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Okay, so for this 13 agenda item we just stop right now and move on to the 14 Right. MS. McCAMMON: Right. 15 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We're not tabling it or 16 anything like that? 17 MS. McCAMMON: No. No. 18 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: We're just -- okay. 19 20 Mr. Rue. MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I quess I would 21 just like to give folks my compliments in terms of the work 22 they've done and the effort they've done. It is unique to 23

have the private partnership working with us. I appreciate

the landowners' willingness to consider this, because I

24

25

think it could be a good project for everyone in the long 1 run. So I appreciate and I hope everyone -- it sounds like 2 everyone is committed to working through this and seeing if 3 we can't come up with a good deal for everyone. So my 4 thanks to folks who have worked on it. 5 CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Anything 6 7 else from the Trustees on that? Otherwise we understand that we will keep this live until whenever we can 8 Thank you. reconvene. 9 That does not quite complete that agenda 10 item, but we have scheduled agenda item number 8, which is 11 a meeting with tribal representatives of the oil spill 12 region, so Ms. Pearce. 13 MS. PEARCE: If you would go to four, I'll 14 make a motion to.... 15 MS. McCAMMON: We could that by 16 teleconference, too. 17 MS. PEARCE: Okay, that's fine. 18 MS. McCAMMON: There are two small items. 19 MS. PEARCE: I thought you wanted to do

that today.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. McCAMMON: No, that can go Monday, too.

MS. PEARCE: Okay.

MS. McCAMMON: The two items, Mr. Chairman, that need to happen today, Prince William Sound 05, Duck

Flats and Prince William Sound 1010, Jack Bay, you need to 1 ratify the motion to extend until October 31st. In talking 2 to The Nature Conservancy, they would actually extend it to 3 December 31st. So it would be a motion to approve 4 extending the offer on those two parcels until December 5 6 31st. CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: You're suggesting we do 7 that right now? 8 9 MS. McCAMMON: Yes.

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we extend the date for -- or ratify our motion to extend the date for Prince William Sound 1010 and Prince William Sound 05 until 12/31/02.

MS. BROWN: Second.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Motion is made and seconded to extend to the end of this calendar year. Is there any discussion?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any objection?
(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, that motion is approved. So we extend that deadline.

MS. McCAMMON: Okay. And, Mr. Chairman, the second thing that I would appreciate action on today is to approve naming these five people, Warren Wooster, Steve

1	Braund, Brenda Norcross, Charles Miller and Rohald O'Dor,
2	as STAC members for the purposes of named recipient
3	contracts, so we can pay them directly the stipends and
4	travel.
5	You need to say so moved.
6	MS. BROWN: So moved.
7	MR. RUE: So moved. Second.
8	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any
9	discussion?
10	(No audible response)
11	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Just one point. This
12	is the entire STAC Committee, those five?
13	MS. McCAMMON: No, the other two are
14	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Are agency
15	MS. McCAMMON: Phil Mundy and Bill Sietz
16	and Bill Sietz is agency.
17	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Any other
18	discussion?
19	(No audible response)
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any objection
21	to this motion?
22	(No audible response)
23	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, that
24	motion passes.
25	MS. McCAMMON: And then everything else

1	would carry forward until the rest of the agenda
2	until
3	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: So the joint meeting is
4	not part of this meeting, so we is the point at which we
5	recess?
6	MS. McCAMMON: You could recess now and
7	then this is more of an informal meeting/discussion.
8	MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I move that we
9	recess today's meeting until the Executive Director gets us
10	back together to discuss the pending agenda items that we
11	didn't complete today.
12	MS. BROWN: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Thank you. Per record
14	that we have established that's hopefully within a week,
15	maybe less than that.
16	MS. McCAMMON: Right.
17	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Is there any objection
18	to that?
19	(No audible response)
20	CHAIRMAN BALSIGER: Hearing none, we stand
21	recessed until the Executive Director gets us out of
22	recess.
23	(Off record - 10:35 a.m.)
24	(Meeting Recessed)

1	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)) ss.
3	STATE OF ALASKA)
4	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
5	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
6	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 43 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon
7	Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded electronically by me on the 29th day of October 2002,
8	commencing at the hour of 8:09 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability.
9	
10	THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request of:
11	EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 451 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 500, Anchorage, Alaska 99501
12	
13	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 2nd day of November 2002.
14	
15	signed and centraled to by:
16	La Phelin D
17	Jøseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska
18	My Commission Expires: 04/17/04
19	WALL BY COMMENTAL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
20	
21	PUBLIC !
22	THE REPORT OF MANAGEMENT OF MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF TH
23	Section of the sectio