EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL TRUSTEE COUNCIL 2 3 Teleconference Meeting Tuesday, February 29, 2000 4 1:00 o'clock p.m. 5 Fourth Floor Conference Room 645 G Street 6 Anchorage, Alaska 7 8 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: 9 STATE OF ALASKA -MR. CRAIG TILLERY 10 DEPARTMENT OF LAW: Trustee Representative (CHAIRMAN) for the Attorney General 11 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - NMFS: MR. STEVE PENNOYER (Telephonically) Director, Alaska Region 12 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. ROB BOSWORTH for 13 OF FISH AND GAME: MR. FRANK RUE (Telephonically) Commissioner 14 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR: MS. MARILYN HEIMAN (Telephonically) Special Assistant to the Secretary for Alaska 16 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE -17 MR. DAVE GIBBONS U.S. FOREST SERVICE Trustee Representative (Telephonically) 18 STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT 19 MS. MARIANNE SEE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: for MS. MICHELE BROWN Commissioner 20 21 22 23 24

Proceedings electronically recorded, then transcribed by: Computer Matrix, 3522 West 27th, Anchorage, AK - 243-0668

1	TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT:	
2	MS. MOLLY McCAMMON	Executive Director
3	MS. TRACI CRAMER (Telephonically)	Director of Administration
4	MS. SANDRA SCHUBERT	Director of Restoration
5	MS. REBECCA WILLIAMS	Administrative Manager
6	DR. BOB SPIES (Telephonically)	Chief Scientist
7	DR. PHIL MUNDY	Science Coordinator
8	MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI	State of Alaska Department of Law
9	MR. KEN HOLBROOK	U.S. Forest Service
10	MS. CATHERINE BERG	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Svc.
11	MR. BARRY ROTH	U.S. Department of Interior
12	MS. CLAUDIA SLATER	ADF&G
13		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	Call to Order	04
3	Approval of Agenda	04
4	Approval of January 31, 2000 Meeting Notes	05
5	Public Comment	
6	Ms. Teresa N. Obermeyer	05
7	Executive Director's Report	13
8	Deferred FY2000 Work Plan Projects	16
9	Draft Investment Policies	21
10	Recess	30
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 1:10 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's 10 after, so I'm going to go ahead and call the meeting to order of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council, scheduled for February 29th at 1:00 p.m. We have myself, Craig Tillery for the Department of Law; Marianne See is sitting in for the Department of Environmental Conservation; Rob Bosworth is for the Department of Fish and Game; Dave Gibbons for the United States Forest Services; Steven Pennoyer for NMFS; and Barry Roth is standing in temporarily for Marilyn Heiman for the Department of Interior.

I guess we'll just go ahead and run through the approval of the agenda; is there a motion?

MS. SEE: Motion to approve.

MR. PENNOYER: So moved.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there a second?

MR. ROTH: Second.

MR. GIBBONS: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there any

objection or any discussion of the agenda?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Any objection of approving

23 of the agenda?

MR. PENNOYER: No.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the agenda is

approved.

The second item of business is the approval of the January 31st, 2000 meeting notes; is there a motion?

MS. SEE: Motion to approve.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's been moved to approve the meeting notes; is there a second?

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, it's been moved and seconded, is there any discussion of the January 31st, 2000 meeting notes?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there anyone opposed to approval of those notes?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none, the meeting notes are approved.

What I think we'll do is skip the Executive Director's report for the moment until Marilyn gets on line and we'll go right into public comment period. We'll start here in Anchorage, is there anyone in Anchorage that would like to make a comment? Ms. Obermeyer, would you....

MS. OBERMEYER: I don't see an overwhelming throng, Mr. Tillery, I wonder why not. Would you forgive me, sir, I think everyone has been killed off, not only because of an oil spill but because the truth has to surface now.

```
Mr. Tillery, I was just going to look.....
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Excuse -- Ms. Obermeyer.....
2
                    MS. OBERMEYER: .....at your minutes.....
3
                    CHAIRMAN TILLERY: .....Ms. Obermeyer, you
   going to need to sit somewhere where there's a microphone.
5
                               That's all right, I can hear her.
                    REPORTER:
 6
                    MS. OBERMEYER: Oh, great, I'm so glad.
7
                    REPORTER:
                               I never have a problem with Teresa.
8
                    MS. OBERMEYER: Do you know that -- and let me
9
   mention that I'm passionate.
10
                    REPORTER:
                               I know you are, Teresa.
11
                    MS. OBERMEYER: I'm tired of deceit.
12
    I'm very proud. And just to bring up, you see, Mr. Tillery, I
13
   grew up in a courthouse, I'm a judge's daughter and I have five
14
    siblings that are attorneys. And I do always want to go back
15
    to my own growing up, because I'm one of 12 children, I'm the
16
          And my father was hard of hearing, so I'm very
17
   passionate and I'm tired. And the thing that I brought to
18
   Mr. Tillery today, and I'm not sure who to give it to. I gave
19
   Marianne one. And, Marianne, your last name?
20
21
                    MS. SEE:
                              S-E-E, it's on the sheet there.
                    MS. OBERMEYER: S-E-E.
22
23
                    MS. SEE:
                              Oh, it may not be.
                                                  S-E-E.
24
                    MS. OBERMEYER: No. Okay. And who are you
```

25

representing?

MS. SEE: Michele Brown, Commissioner of Environmental Conservation.

MS. OBERMEYER: Oh, sure. Marianne, who seems wonderful. I mean what I'm positive of is we've all been torn asunder, and I'm so turned off and yet what can I say,
Mr. Tillery, life is a great challenge.

What I just handed to Mr. Tillery, and I wanted to make sure that the wonderful attorney back here that works for a very outstanding law firm got one of these. I want us to focus on the fact that David Oesting, who was appointed by the courts in the Exxon Valdez -- now, he is not the lawyers [sic] for the Exxon Valdez, they're in Minneapolis and this guy Bryan -- I think his name is Bryan O'Neal, somebody O'Neal, he was the guy that was on 60 Minutes. Mr. Oesting wasn't on 60 Minutes, but Mr. Tillery, I want you to know something. Mr. Oesting should be bottled, he's such a great guy, and guess who he really is, he's the partner of John Dawson, who has sent me all the trespass notices with -- and, you know, I don't want to say if he set me up in the Anchorage Hilton on February 20th, 1998, ask him yourself, Dawson, D-A-W-S-O-N.

But I know Mitch was behind it. Now, do we know who Mitch is? Mitch Gravo, who makes almost 500,000 as a lobbyist. He should be behind bars. Mitch, of course, is a lobbyist for the municipality, now that's a frightening thought. And I don't know the man. When I see Mitch, I say hello to him. I

don't have any hatred in my heart against anyone, that goes without saying. But if you'll forgive me, go into David Oesting and simply bring up -- I, myself, have walked into several conferences and the money that is spent, and yet these lawyers haven't been paid. Now, all the details -- listen to the tape, figure it out.

2.0

You know, Mr. Tillery, you are an attorney and I respect your education. And I just believe you're a very fine gentleman and I just believe -- and I told Mr. Oesting, I am in luck [sic] to make sure that man gets paid. And why would I say that? Because, you see, Exxon -- and I told you before the meeting started, Exxon won't even admit the basic premises, and that is that Joe Hazelwood was drunk and, secondly, that he crashed a ship to cause the oil spill. They admit absolutely nothing. And I don't even know how many millions have already been spent on attorneys' fees, I'm really not sure exactly where the thing is, but it ridiculous. Am I wrong that it's 11 years later?

It all reminds of Tom Obermeyer, 16 years later. And we all sit here and we let all this go on. I don't know what to say anymore. But would you allow me, and going to this, I have gotten two notices on today's meeting and I just find it very offensive. I got one that had the names of you, the people that are involved in this, and then the second page was the list of who got the fax. And then I got the other one that

I had gotten about a week ago was the names of the people supposedly to get the fax and then the agenda. And I'm tired of this. Do we know, ladies and gentlemen, the law, ladies and gentleman, is crystal clear, there's no double talk in the law.

1.9

The law, ladies and gentlemen, is about the truth.

And, of course, can we remember -- can we remember that Tom

Obermeyer, who works right across the way, go over and meet my
husband, Tom Obermeyer, the smartest man I know. He's on the
seventh floor, he works there at the Municipal Attorney's

office. Meet him yourself, but I also know this, the British
have bought both Lawyers Co-op that published my husband's

case. Bankcroft Whitney, West Publishing, it's all owned by
Thompson International. I don't know if you know these things,

Mr. Tillery. These things motivate me so much.

I am an American and I mean I think of the BP Teachers of Excellence. Now, that would never had happened if I was on the school board. That began the month I left the Anchorage School Board. That is a foreign oil company using American teachers.

And I didn't mean to belabor it, but what do you think? I really think it's possible. Can we start with the fact that I am running for a non-partisan office, it has nothing to do with partisan politics. And, Mr. Tillery, I wanted to be able -- since we are allowed, as Americans, to talk about this election freely in our places of business. May I pass this

out? And I don't see the other nine candidates, where are they?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. If you could --you sort of went past that five minutes, if you could kind of
bring it to a conclusion, I'd appreciate it.

MS. OBERMEYER: Oh, yes. And would you, lastly -- what I was paging though when you began is the minutes, because I consider the minutes so very important. And I would like my comments not to be just testimony, but because I have learned so much and I tell the truth. Don't ever think I believe I'm always right or I'm always even correct, but I know this, I will not intentionally deceive you. And so I know that my comments in the past have not been transcribed and -- you know, the main thing though is that Mr. Oesting's comments be transcribed. My comments are not important.

But, you know, would you forgive me, Mr. Tillery, weren't you here in '96, when Cliff Groh and Cliff Groh, Jr. were paid off?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I was here in '96, yes, ma'am, when....

MS. OBERMEYER: Yes, and they were paid off.
When I was the Democratic nominee to the U.S. Senate, you let
them -- you were sitting here and they were sitting here, Cliff
Groh, Jr. You know, I'm trying not to speak ill of the dead,
but Mr. Groh, Sr., his name is on Ted Steven's case, he was the

reciprocity chairman for a man that has been in the U.S. Senate for, like, 34 years that wasn't even licensed to practice law 2 here fairly as long ago as 1950. 3 So, Mr. Tillery, have you read Ted Stevens' case? CHAIRMAN TILLERY: No, ma'am. 5 MS. OBERMEYER: I know I've given it to you 6 many, many times. Mr. Tillery, the British own us. 7 don't stop and join hands with Americans, how could it possibly -- you haven't read that case? I mean, I know I've given it to you many, many times. It's the Pacific Reporter. 10 11 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. MS. OBERMEYER: It was published on August 8th, 12 1960, you don't remember it? 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I don't recall reading it, 14 but if you could..... 15 MS. OBERMEYER: So you don't -- do you keep a 16 file on the things I give you? 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: They're kept here in the 18 office. 19 20 MS. OBERMEYER: But you don't even keep any files of your own? 21 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: No, I don't keep any of this stuff in a file. 23

MS. OBERMEYER: And, you see, that I don't

believe in. You are in charge, you are the Exxon Valdez Oil

24

25

Spill Trustee Council. But I think you'll remember, 1 Mr. Tillery, that years ago I brought up that all of you are 2 public employees. There's no independence of thought. And so that's why I've been put through the things that I've been put through. 5 Would you allow me? I'm going to follow up by leaving 6 a voice mail at your office and I'm asking that you read Tom 7 Obermeyer's case, it's right here in your Directory of Attorneys, have you seen my husband's ad? Have you seen my 9 husband's ad? 10 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: No. 11 MS. OBERMEYER: Right here on page 9. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. 13 MS. OBERMEYER: Do you have a directory? 14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I do. 15 MS. OBERMEYER: Would you look at that? 16 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I will do that. 17 MS. OBERMEYER: After 16 years, I mean, 18 Mr. Tillery, I'm tired. 19 But you have a great meeting. 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: 21 MS. OBERMEYER: And thanks so much. 22 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much, 23 24 Ms. Obermeyer. Thank you.

Okay. Are there any questions or comments for

25

Ms. Obermeyer? 1 (No audible responses) 2 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Did someone join us, 3 perhaps, Marilyn? Perhaps, yes. 5 MS. HEIMAN: CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Marilyn is here. 6 there anyone else in Anchorage who would like to make a public comment? (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there anyone on the line 10 who would like to make a public comment? 11 (No audible responses) 12 MS. OBERMEYER: Who is representing Ms. Heiman, 13 if I may ask? 14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. Heiman is..... 15 MS. OBERMEYER: Oh, she's there, there's no one 16 in for her? 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: 18 19 MS. OBERMEYER: Okay. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you then. 20 will close the public comment period at this time. And we have 21 skipped over the Executive Director's report, we will go back 22 to that now. Ms. McCammon. 23 24 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, it's going to be very brief. Mainly, to point out in your binders that there 25

are recent financial reports as of January 31st, 2000. And also a quarterly project status summary as of December 31st, 1999.

I also wanted to let you know that a copy of the draft audit for fiscal year 1999 is being circulated to agency staff for their review and so you should be seeing the results of that audit fairly soon here.

The other item that I did want to mention is that I think I had briefed most of you that we did meet with Koniag yesterday, we have another session scheduled for tomorrow morning. At this time there won't be a need for an executive session, but I would ask that perhaps at the end of this meeting rather than adjourning that we recess it in case we do have to go into executive session later this week.

And that's it.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much. The next item of business is the deferred FY2000 Work Plan projects.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Can I ask a question of the Executive Director on her report?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes, Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Molly, in the quarterly project status summary, after reading through that, I feel we're well

on track on reports and progress on filing through reports on work we already funded; is that true or do you need to point anything out to us?

1.0

2.1

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Pennoyer, I think we've made a lot of progress and I think for the most part we are on track. There continue to be a number of very, very, overdue reports from the early to mid-'90s that we have reached agreement on getting draft reports by certain dates, and those have slipped, some of them considerably by a number of years. We need to revisit those and take another look at them. For the most part I think the principal investigators have left, they're no longer there, it's asking someone else to do the report. It continues to be somewhat of a problem, but I will get back to you individually on that. But for the most part I would say things are on track.

MR. PENNOYER: So by the next meeting maybe you'll be able to tell us where we're going? And I understand the -- and I don't think any of these affect the current projects in terms of our funding resolution, do they?

MS. McCAMMON: That's correct, they don't.

MR. PENNOYER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there other questions or comments for the Executive Director?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Looking at the

Deferred FY2000 Work Plan projects, the first project is 0423, NVP Sea Otters. Ms. McCammon, are you going to describe that, please?

MS. McCAMMON: Yes, Mr. Chairman. And I should mention that we have both Dr. Spies and Dr. Mundy here.

Dr. Mundy is here in Anchorage with us and Dr. Spies is on teleconference, and so they would be available to answer any questions as we go through these.

Project 423 was one that actually wasn't on the prior list, but it had been in the original proposal and this is a follow-up to the NVP project. Some additional modeling work this fall indicated the importance of sea otter carcass work in determining the recovery success and status of population of sea otters in Prince William Sound. Based on some new information that was brought to us by the Department of Interior, we thought it was appropriate that this project go forward.

The full request is for about \$28,000, however, the Department is absorbing a good portion of those costs and so the request is only for an additional \$14,800. In reviewing this with the Chief Scientist we do believe that this is appropriate and would recommend that it be funded.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. And are there any questions or comments from Council members about 0423?

(No audible responses)

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, move we approve the request.

MR. BOSWORTH: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. It's been moved and seconded to approve the request; is there discussion?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. All in favor of approving the request signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed?

(No opposing responses)

1.1

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the request is approved. The next -- or the only other deferred project is 0396 Sharks.

MS. McCAMMON: Yes, Mr. Chairman, this proposal has been before us several times. The last project proposal was received in this office last week. We had it reviewed by several reviewers and the recommendation of the Chief Scientist is to fund it, but basically to reduce the scope of the project and to fund only one year at this time and base the second-year funding on seeing how the objectives of the first year are accomplished. The Chief Scientist is here, he can go into more detail, if you'd like, on the scope of the project, but the recommendation is to fund contingent on submission and approval of a revised detailed project description and budget which

reduces the scope to salmon sharks only with focus on abundance relevant to ocean warming and added objective related to crayfish populations. Funding for continued work in FY01 may be considered following review of results from the FY00 effort.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you. Are there questions or comments for either Ms. McCammon or Dr. Spies?

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, if I could.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: I believe that basically the focus concept is certainly appropriate. I believe that the ancillary work on sleeper sharks and it was most sampling on surveys of opportunity by either Fish and Game or ourselves or the Halibut Commission and I still continue to believe the sleeper shark part is important. I think there's been major changes out here and we're reaching shark abundances that are, frankly, not heard of in other areas and the people we talk to about presence of sharks at this level predator in their ecosystem, so -- but I think the idea of focus for the first year, looking at what we have and then coming back and reevaluating for the second year is a good one and I certainly propose that we go ahead and do it, take the recommendation.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Other questions or comments from Council members?

MR. BOSWORTH: This is Rob and I also think that both the Chief Scientist's recommendation and the

Executive Director's recommendation are good ones and we should go forward with those recommendations. We certainly concur with the need for information and also the need for focus. The staff that I've spoken with are concerned that the original proposal was overly ambitious and for that reason, and others, the Chief Scientist's recommendation and the Executive Director's recommendation seem to be the right approach from our perspective. So we'll support that.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you. Are there other questions or comments?

(No audible responses)

1.0

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I had one question which was the -- even though we're reducing the scope, the price remains the same; is that....

MS. McCAMMON: That was part of the concern about the project is, given the amount of money that was available, it seemed definitely overly ambitious, so I think the scope is now more appropriately tied to the amount of funding.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

MS. McCAMMON: So there may be some minor reductions, but I wouldn't anticipate much.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions or comments from Council members?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there a motion?

MR. BOSWORTH: Move to adopt.

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Are there -- is there

discussion?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. We actually have something of a form motion for these projects to include a discussion of the principal investigator's status on reports and so forth and, perhaps, someone might want to make that motion, I could give that to Ms. See, that might be a more appropriate way to spell out exactly what the motion is, if that's all right?

MR. BOSWORTH: Would you like me to retract my original motion or how do you want to do that?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah, I think it might be appropriate if you could retract that motion. And this motion, I think, will actually supersede the previous motion in that it deals with both of the recommendations.

MR. BOSWORTH: Okay, fine. Well, then with the concurrence of the second then I will retract my original motion.

MR. PENNOYER: I concur.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. See.

MS. SEE: Okay. Then I would like to move that

the Trustee Council adopt the recommendations for additional FY000 deferred projects as outlined in the spreadsheets dated February 28th, 2000 with the following conditions. One, if a principal investigator has an overdue report from the previous year, no funds may be expended on a project involving the PI, unless the report is submitted or a schedule for submission as approved by the Executive Director. And, two, a project's lead agency must demonstrate that requirements of NEPA are met before any project funds may be expended with the exception of funds spend to prepare NEPA documentation.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there a second?

MS. HEIMAN: Second.

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, is there any

discussion of the motion?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, it's been moved and seconded, all in favor signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the motion, as read, passes. That motion will cover all of the deferred projects -- both of the deferred projects.

The final item on the agenda is Draft Investment

Policies. Everyone should have -- I think we kind of went through this, everyone should have received a copy of the policies in the notebook. There also was faxed around a two-page sheet that had some changes to the section entitled "Indemnification" in the last three or four hours. I think everybody either has that or -- Ms. Heiman, do you have that fax?

MS. HEIMAN: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Ms. McCammon, is

Ms. Cramer going to walk us through this or how do you want to
do this?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I could start through this. We also have in Juneau Bob Storer and Peter Bushre available on line if there are any questions on this. What is in the notebook is actually very similar to the investment policy that was presented to the Council at its last meeting and discussed at that time. There have been some minor changes since that time. On page 2 there's some language that was added to clarify that the Executive Director consults with the Investment Working Group and that basically the Investment Working Group is providing advice to the Executive Director.

Let's see on page 4 there was a change from a citation, the statutory citation, was clarified there.

On page 6 it was clarified that the Investment Working Group may brief the Council at the Executive Director's request

and/or at the request of a member of the Investment Working
Group, but basically it's a reporting and advising group to the
Executive Director.

Another -- there's significant changes on page 9 and 10 in the section on Indemnification, but I'll wait and,
Mr. Tillery, you can go through those.

On page 11 and -- basically page 11 there's some reductions, basically, in some of the language regarding the State Code of Ethics and basically the statutory citation was used as a reference.

And the other major change was on page 16 and at that time -- or at this time the recommendation is to not set in this resolution the annualized return. In the earlier draft it had been recommended at four percent, but to leave that for further discussion about asset allocations and adopt it at a later time. And this will be discussed at the March 16th meeting.

So with that, those are the changes in the draft since the Council last saw it with the exception of pages 9 and 10 regarding indemnification.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I'll just go briefly through the changes on 9 and 10. There is a general change in the number of places in that section. There was -- the word fiduciaries was used. As a technical matter that is the correct terminology, fiduciaries and fiduciaries of state fund

are both terms defined in AS 37.10.071, however, because fiduciaries also have sort of a common law fiduciary connotation we felt that it would be more precise to use the fiduciaries of a state fund when it is referring to a fiduciary under that statue, so that was just sort of a change to make that.

In the last line of the first paragraph, the -- it talks about the desire of the state trustees to hold appointed investment managers and other appointed fiduciaries to high standards including in contract language with such retained consultants. It was felt that it was confusing, although appointed was intended to be the same as retained, it was felt that it was confusing, it was felt that it might be considered more of a government type action as a opposed to a retention which would be retaining a private consultant. And so, therefore, it was changed to retained where it said appointed just to clarify that we are talking about investment managers who were hired by the Council, not State or Federal employees.

The change in the next paragraph, there was a reference to AS 37.10.280, that was a mistaken reference, that statute really only refers to the Alaska State Pension Board and this was changed. Instead of saying that the State is required by that statute to note that the Trustee Council may wish to ensure that trust assets and its own services are protected and, in that respect, may purchase insurance or provide for

self insurance to provide for the acts, including various fiduciary acts, errors and omissions. And the last sentence talking about the law making a requirement about insurance is again deleted because that law is not applicable to the Trustee Council.

In the following paragraph the first sentence remains intact and the second and third sentences are deleted. The reason for that is that it says, it is believed the State and Federal Trustees are not subject to liability based upon a breach of duty. And that is something that we are currently looking into, but at this point I don't believe that that's probably a correct statement, at least with respect to the State Trustees. And so it was felt it was better not to have it in there.

And then there's a statement the United States and its officers are not entitled to indemnification under AS 37.10.071 and again, that may not be true depending upon whether the United States and its officers are subject to liability under AS 37.10.071(d).

So just took those two out and in the final paragraph was simply some changes were made to clarify that a fiduciary of a state fund is someone provided by law to manage investments and inserted the words, in an account invested by the State of Alaska, to clarify what that is.

Anyway, those are the changes under Indemnification.

Are there questions or comments from Council members?

(No audible responses)

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, the only other thing I wanted to bring up was that there was a request by Trustee Commissioner Rue at our last meeting to investigate the possible use of socially conscious investments. At the request of the Council this is being looked at by the Department of Law and we anticipate that there will be an opinion on it. That opinion is not available at this point, but there has been some preliminary legal review and the preliminary legal review indicates that it will probably not be legal for at least the State Trustees, under State law, to base their investment policy on anything other than the prudent investor rule or the financial benefit of the trust.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well....

MS. McCAMMON: But you're doing the legal opinion, so you can clarify that.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY:just a point of clarification. A request for legal opinion has been submitted by Commissioner Brown and it has not come to us yet. Based upon the discussions at the last meeting we have looked at this issue and while we can't say definitively until we actually receive the request and go through and review it and go through the proper procedures for an opinion, the preliminary information suggests that under either State or Federal law it

would not permissible to take into account social issues in making investment decisions. That would be the State's view, the Federal attorneys could, obviously, differ, but my understanding is that they generally concur with that assessment. Anyway, that's the current status of that request.

MS. McCAMMON: So at this time it would be my recommendation to move forward with the investment policies as we have them before us and when that legal opinion is available bring that issue back to the Council and if there's any additional action at that time it could be either adopted into an amendment to this or taken up at that time.

MR. BOSWORTH: Yeah, this is Rob, I've got a question. Because we know what's going to be in the legal opinion, why do we need the legal opinion?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Bosworth, we don't know, at this point, what's going to be in the legal opinion, we're still looking at it. The legal opinion -- this is only one of six questions that we were requested to give our opinion on.

MR. BOSWORTH: Oh, I see, okay, I didn't understand that. I just was not interested in making more work for somebody unnecessarily.

MS. McCAMMON: I think, though, this is an important question that while it's raised now, it might also be raised by other Council members in the future and that it would be useful to have this on the file and on the record.

MR. BOSWORTH: Yeah, okay, fair enough. 1 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there other questions or 2 3 comments? (No audible responses) 4 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, I assume that the 5 proposal would be to adopt the -- it would appropriate, at this 6 time, to adopt the investment policies, if that's the Council's 7 wish; is there a motion? 8 MR. BOSWORTH: So moved. 9 MR. PENNOYER: Second. 10 MS. SEE: Second. 11 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there any further discussion on the motion? 13 14 (No audible responses) CHAIRMAN TILLERY: All in favor of the motion 15 signify by saying aye. 16 17 IN UNISON: Aye. CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed? 18 (No opposing responses) 19 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. The investment 20 policies, as described, are adopted. 21 22 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, it would be my intent to get a clean draft of this redone and circulated. I 23 think it would be good to have a page at the end where it's 24 just clearly indicated that it was adopted on a certain day and 25

even have the Trustees sign that page, just so we have that in our files also.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. That would be appropriate.

That is the last item of business on the agenda, other than the possible executive session. As Ms. McCammon indicated earlier, events suggest that it wouldn't really be very fruitful to have an executive session unless one of the Council members wishes to do so at this time.

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, not hearing a clamor to do that then I think what would be appropriate would be to sort of defer the executive session perhaps to a later meeting this week, next week or sometime in the near future and for that purposes I think it probably best that we recess this meeting.

Ms. McCammon.

1.8

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, just one quick item. Just to remind folks that the next meeting is scheduled for March 16th in Juneau. At that time we do have a fairly lengthy briefing planned on asset allocation issues. There will also be a public hearing on GEM, the Gulf Ecosystem Monitoring and there will be a draft document before you that we are hoping for final adoption as the proposal to go forth to the National Research Council for their review. We will have

1	that revised draft available on the web Monday at this time, so	
2	that will be out there. And we'll get an agenda out to	
3	everyone with the time and location in the next week or so.	
4	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Unless there's any	
5	other business, is there a motion?	
6	MR. PENNOYER: Move we recess the meeting until	
7	the next session.	
8	MS. HEIMAN: Second.	
9	MS. SEE: Second.	
10	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It's been moved and	
11	seconded; is there any discussion?	
12	(No audible responses)	
13	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none, all in favor	
14	signify by saying aye.	
15	IN UNISON: Aye.	
16	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed?	
17	(No opposing responses)	
18	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The meeting is recessed.	
19	Thank you very much.	
20	(Off record - 1:45 p.m.)	
21	(MEETING RECESSED)	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CERTIFICATE	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
3	STATE OF ALASKA)	
4	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify:	
5		
6	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 30 contain a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Teleconference Meeting recorded electronically by me on the 29th day of February 2000,	
8	commencing at the hour of 1:10 p.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability.	
9	THAT the transcript has been prepared at the request of:	
LO L	EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501;	
L2	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 4th day of March 2000.	
L3	SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:	
L4	SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:	
L5	Jose Kolasirsh	
L6	Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 04/17/00	
L8	WILLIAM B KOL	
L9		
20	NOTARY DIBLIC	
21	PUBLIC *	
22		
23		
24		