EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL TRUSTEE COUNCIL

TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEETING Tuesday, July 2, 1997 10:30 o'clock a.m.

Fourth Floor Conference Room 645 G Street Anchorage, Alaska

TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

STATE OF ALASKA -DEPARTMENT OF LAW: MR. CRAIG TILLERY
Trustee Representative
for the Attorney General
(Chairman)

STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME:

MR. FRANK RUE Commissioner (Telephonically)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR:

MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS Special Assistant to the Assistant Secretary

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE - U.S. FOREST SERVICE

MR. PHIL JANIK Regional Forester

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - NMFS:

MR. STEVE PENNOYER
Director, Alaska Region

STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION:

MS. Ginny Fay for Commissioner Brown

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Proceedings electronically recorded then transcribed by: Computer Matrix, 3520 Knik Ave., Anchorage, AK - 243-0668



	,	
1	TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT:	
2	MS. MOLLY McCAMMON	Executive Director EVOS Trustee Council
3	MR. ERIC MYERS	Director of Operations EVOS Trustee Council
5	MS. REBECCA WILLIAMS	Executive Secretary EVOS Trustee Council
6 7	MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI	State of Alaska Department of Law
8	MR. BUD RICE	National Park Service
9	MR. JIM WOLFE	U.S. Forest Service
10	MR. DAVE GIBBONS	U.S. Forest Service
11	MR. BILL HINES	National Marine Fishery Service
13	MS. CLAUDIA SLATER	Alaska Department of Fish and Game
14	MS. GINA BELT	Department of Justice
15	MS. CAROL FRIES	Department of Natural Resources
16		
17	·	- ·
18		•
19		
20	·	
21		
22		

1	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	PAGE:
2	Ms. Nancy Barnes	22
3	Mr. John Johnson	25
4	Mr. Henry McKarka (ph)	28
5	Representative Mark Hodgins	29
6	Mr. Chuck Meacham	30
7	Mr. Brian Lettich	31
8	Mr. Rick Steiner	, 33
9	Letter from President Jimmy Carter	33
10	Mr. Dune Lankard	34
11	Mr. Carl Becker	39
12		
13		
14		•
15		
16		•
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

(On record - 10:47 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: We can begin this meeting of the Exxon Valdez Trustee Council, July 2nd, 1997. This is a continuation meeting from a previous meeting. Present are Ginny Fay representing the Department of Environmental Conservation; Craig Tillery representing the Department of Law; Deborah Williams with the Department of Interior; Steve Pennoyer from the National Marine Fishery Service; Phil Janik from the Forest Service; and Frank Rue is not here physically but is on line in Juneau representing as the Commissioner of the Department of Fish and Game.

The first order of business would be the approval of the agenda, and I just want to clarify the agenda, we are running a little late getting started, but we got a couple of items. The Executive Director's Report, there will be a discussion of the proposed Eyak land acquisition and, if there's time, of the KAP 91 proposed acquisition followed by a public comment period at 11:30. There will -- any action on the Eyak would not be taken until following the public comment period.

And with that explanation, is there a motion?

MR. JANIK: I so move.

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Anyone opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, the agenda is approved. The next item is the approval of the May 23rd and June 23rd meeting notes.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Move to approve.

MR. PENNOYER: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Anyone opposed?

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The meeting notes are approved. Our next item would be the Executive Director's Report. Ms. McCammon.

MS. McCAMMON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just wanted to call your attention to a couple of items in the packet. One is the transcript of the public meeting that was held on May 29th in Seward. If you weren't able to attend I think it would be very helpful to get some sense of the discussion from the public at that meeting.

I also have copies of the meeting summaries of the two most recent Public Advisory Group meetings for your information and those are the meeting in March and a recent meeting in May. And the Public Advisory Committee is meeting again on July 16th, we're having a public hearing at 7:00 o'clock the evening of July 15th to take comments on the recommendations for the Draft Work Plan and then the Public Advisory Group will meet the next day and make their final recommendation to the Council

on that.

Kind of in conjunction with the Work Plan at this point the Council is scheduled to meet on August 6th here in Anchorage to take final action on the Work Plan. We do anticipate that this year, I think, we'll be close to being able to take action on about 90 percent of the plan and actually defer only a few projects until December. So you should be able to get a good idea of what will be coming ahead in the Federal fiscal year '98.

Also in your packet is a copy of the financial report as of May 31st, 1997 showing the amounts in the liquidity account and also in the restoration reserve and also noting future Exxon payments.

Based on some discussion from various Council members and with the Department of Justice I have been meeting with the Alaska Department of Revenue and they are preparing a report for the Council with some possible options and recommendations on some different strategies for investments of not only the reserve funds but also the current funds in the liquidity account. And I'm hoping to get a report in the next couple of weeks, we'll take a look at it and see if there's any revisions from staff and then present that to the Council, hopefully at the August 6th meeting. What we're trying to do with this is to see if there's a way to still preserve security with the account but also increase the revenues that are generated

through the investments. So that hopefully we'll get something to you in the next couple of months.

On the habitat side we have in the packet two reports, one is on the status of the Small Parcel Program, the other is the status of the Large Parcel Program. Just to call your attention on the large parcel, a couple of items. The Chenega acquisition closed last week, title to those lands was transferred to the United States Government and the State of Alaska. Funds were transferred back to Chenega Corporation, so that is a done deal. And I think there should be a lot of congratulations to all of the staff on all sides who worked very hard to get this done, it was a very complicated deal. If you'll recall, the Council took action in May, it took a full year to actually get this acquisition completed but it is a done deal as of last week.

Also wanted to bring to your attention that we are continuing negotiations with Afognak Joint Venture. We have had two recent field trips with various groups of people out to see different portions of those lands on Afognak Island. One group went out over Memorial weekend, another group went out about 10 days ago. It was very helpful to actually get on the parcels that we've been discussing and look at the various -- the kinds of trees on there and the kinds of habitat. Mike Weidmer from the Department of Fish and Game has been devoting an extraordinary amount of time to our effort and we're very

in a second

appreciative of the Department's indulgence in this effort.

And it's been very helpful to actually -- as we get into more intense negotiations to actually see what we're talking about rather than just flying over the lands, which I think most of us have already done.

There is another trip scheduled for July 17th and 18th and those of you who were not able to make the past trips I strongly encourage you to go out if you at all can, it is really helpful to get a ground's eye view of these lands.

The other thing I did want to note is that there was a nomination for an additional large parcel acquisition from Leisnoi Corporation for a large amount of acreage, and I don't even have the total figure here, at Cape Chiniak which is south of Kodiak Island. Under our process in order to go forward the lands, first of all, have to be in a spill area, have restoration value, and third they have to have a potential managing agency willing to accept potential ownership of these lands. In the initial -- this is kind of the initial screening. That nomination did not pass the initial screening because neither the State nor the Federal agencies have any particular interests in long term acquisition or management of those lands. So I did want to bring that to your attention that at this point that nomination has not met our threshold criteria.

On the Small Parcel Program I also wanted to bring to

your attention two items. One is that the Roberts parcel, formerly the Schillings parcel is expected to close today and that transaction will be done. And then secondly there is a proposal, a nominated parcel that has been ranked and evaluated, it's called the Blondeau Parcel, it's 100 acres in Valdez, it was ranked low. It does have a sponsor, the Department of Natural Resources. The City of Valdez and various members of Valdez have generated a petition drive to bring this higher on the Council's evaluation. And I do have copies here of all of the materials that we've received so far on that. So I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

Does anybody have any questions on the status on any of the habitat activities at this point?

And the other item I did want to mention to you is the status of the Chenega Clean Up Project. Ginny Fay with the Department of Environmental Conservation did go out and observe the project last week, I will be going out next week to take a look at the project, and I've asked Ginny to give a report to the Council members on what's happening with that.

MS. FAY: I was out last Wednesday and they were just finishing up cleaning one of the beaches and so I didn't see them in complete operation, they were mostly just winding up, but they were probably at pretty much 90 percent capacity. There were a couple of things that I was really impressed with -- was just how much oil is still out there and

when you walk through there you can't really -- if you are an untrained eye, which I would say I would qualified as when I first got there, you can't really tell that much until you start moving rocks. Because it was definitely like an inch thick asphalt topping and then once you were persistent enough to pry some of that off there was a lot of very oozy brown deep mud that when NOAA went out and were excavating they found about that's in some places up to a foot deep.

ign day i

So I was impressed with how much oil there was and how effective they were being with removing it. The crew was working very hard and the thing was that I was really impressed with is my image of the project was very different than it actually being carried out. They have basically been using absorbent pads and picking up almost all the oil and PES-51 before it even reaches the water's edge. So I think the effectiveness of the oil removal and the impact it is having is much less than I had anticipated.

The other thing that I was really impressed with is how

-- I mean the project has really been embraced by the

community, they're fully engaged, it's -- they might have some

Foss people there who are there as technical advisors but they

are not dominating the project, it's really being run on the

beach by the villagers of Chenega, they're working real hard

and they're very proud of it. They're -- I think it's going

very well. I think they're working real hard, long days, about

10 to 12 hour days for 10 days at a time and I know that's probably a little bit different than the pace of life in most villages, but assuming they can keep up that hard work effort, which is more than -- I mean, I think it'll go -- it's going very well.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any questions of Ginny or....

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman. So, Ginny, the status is they've done how many beaches now, one, and they're shifting to another; is that the.....

MS. FAY: Well, I just read -- reviewed our consultant's weekly report which I get and they're done with the first long beach segment. They are about -- and they're about halfway done with the second large beach segment. And I think they're expected to finish that this week and then they start going to some more of the sort of pocket beaches that are a little bit smaller, but they're pretty much on schedule.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, one additional question. And you mentioned that by use of absorbent pads very little, if anything, is actually even getting in the water. They're still boomed off of that though and what is in the water is being picked up?

MS. FAY: Right, they're completely boomed off. What happens is there's like a header hose that comes across the top of the beach that they start flushing with ambient sea

water, the beach before they start, and then as they use the air-knife injection they have a whole -- half of their crew is basically using absorbent pads to pick up the oil, PES and water mixture as it comes down. So it's not even reaching the boom, but the boom is there and being left in place and there's -- you can just look and there's -- I had this image of there being all this oil and sheening and all this stuff reaching the water, but it's just not reaching it, so I was very pleasantly surprised.

Vi AV

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any further questions? Commissioner Rue, do you have any questions?

MR. RUE: Nope, I'm -- that's interesting.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. Ms. McCammon, is there anything additional?

MS. McCAMMON: That's it. That concludes my report.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. At this time we would move to a discussion of the Eyak land acquisition. Mr. Janik.

MR. JANIK: I think what we would like to do with this is have Alex and Jim Wolfe and Dave Gibbons give us a description of just what has been laid out here on the table in terms of both an understanding of the restoration values of the Eyak parcels that are under consideration as well as the resolution that the Council will be addressing today in terms

of the specifics of the understanding of the negotiations and the deal. So, Jim, I don't know how you want to handle this with the three of you but....

MR. WOLFE: I'll start, but we've lost part of our forum the we were working with here. Let me go ahead and get started. Let's see, there are small maps that maybe you could hand out.

MS. McCAMMON: Yeah.

1.2

MR. WOLFE: It works better that way. Thank you. I'll try to kick it off here. We'll review the details of the map as well as the restoration benefits report. But before we did that I wanted to just acknowledge and express my appreciation for being able to present this package to the Trustee Council today and at the same time to express our appreciation to the board members and their representatives from the Eyak Corporation for staying with us in this effort all these years. Some of us sitting around the table and around this room have been discussing the Eyak negotiation for the better part of four, maybe five, years at least. And it really is a pleasure to see things -- it really pleases me to see things starting to come together and I think we have a very good package to present to the Council today.

Given that, I would like to let Alex, he's ready, to present the basic details on the map of the deal. Do you want to talk and let me....

MR. SWIDERSKI: Yeah, why don't we do that.

MR. WOLFE: I can be happy doing that.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, members of the Trustee Council, members of the public and particularly members of the Eyak Corporation Board of Directors, I would like, initially, to echo the remarks of Jim Wolfe and thank the Eyak Board for the remarkable persistence and patience that they have shown over these past four years, and longer, in getting us to the situation where we are able to present to you a proposal for an acquisition that I think more than adequately addresses the requirements of the Council and the Board and shareholders of the Eyak Corporation.

The proposed acquisition if for a total -- or will result in the protection of a total of 75,425 acres. Of that, more than 55,000 acres will be fee. The fee acquisition areas are at Port Gravina, Sheep Bay, the Rude River area. And by the way there in the red hatch marks on the map which will be attached to the proposed resolution, which is identified as Exhibit A, also at Windy Bay, Canoe Passage and what we have called the Eyak core lands, including the lands extending down the Eyak River.

There is 6,667 acres in conservation easement, all of that land will have permitted public access. It's primarily located around the eastern portion of Simpson Bay. There's a little in the core lands that will also be conservation

easement land.

MR. WOLFE: At the head of Eyak Lake.

MR. SWIDERSKI: That's right, at the head of Eyak Lake.

In addition, there are 13,401 acres that will be timber conservation easement lands in the Orca Narrow/Nelson Bay area. And finally Eyak has agreed to make available to public access 1,250 acres of the land where the Council -- or the Forest Service, pursuant to a Council resolution, acquired timber rights only at Bomb Point and in addition has agreed to include a 40 acres fee site within the Bomb Point site. Significantly the lands in Port Gravina and Windy Bay will have no development sites and no homesites locate in them. The Sheep Bay lands will have no development sites in addition to those which are already there. There are two that are currently in existence and there will be restrictions on the nature of the development on those sites.

Eyak has agreed to limit the number of shareholder lease sites on the fee lands and conservation easement lands to no more than 95 sites in the areas that are designated in yellow on Exhibit A. They're primarily in Simpson Bay and there in a number of areas on Hawkins Island. And specifically no more than six sites in the fee lands on the eastern -- I'm sorry, on the western shore of Simpson Bay. There will be no homesite leases in Windy Bay or Port Gravina.

Eyak will retain a five acre access site for bear viewing in Sheep Bay. Eyak will also retain in the core area four sites at Power Creek for the power -- it's actually two sites, I think, at Power Creek for the power facility. A cultural spirit camp near Crystal Falls on the Eyak River and a gravel pit site located on the development lands. And in addition Eyak will retain two sites for mariculture -- to support mariculture facilities, one of which may be located in the fee lands in west Simpson Bay, that site would be in near proximity to the homesite easement -- homesite lease sites in west Simpson Bay if it's there.

And I think that pretty much describes the package. If the Council has any questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any questions from Council members?

MR. WOLFE: Probably one addition is there is a limited conservation easement along the lower portion of this fee lands here for cultural reason.

MR. SWIDERSKI: That's correct.

MR. WOLFE: Yeah. That hasn't been fully detailed at this point.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue, I'm not sure if you have a map. Have you been able to follow this or....

MR. RUE: I am working from memory but I have a

pretty good memory that -- following it.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Do you have other....

MR. JANIK: I would just -- at the time appropriate, Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that we give the Eyak Board a chance to introduce themselves. I noticed a good number of them are here this morning and whether we do that during public comment or just before or now, I'll leave that to your discretion.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I was thinking what we might do is do that during the public comment period and allow them to sort of lead off and make any comments they would have that would be appropriate.

MR. JANIK: And I think now we're going to get a description of the restoration values of the parcels being considered for acquisition. Dave Gibbons is going to do that. Thank you, Alex, Jim.

MR. GIBBONS: Thank you. Trustee Council, public, I'd like to briefly mention some of the values, restoration values, of the land that is depicted on the map here. It's been discussed with you previously so I'm not going to go into great length, which I could do. There's some extremely valuable habitat for the injured resources and services on the map and I'd like to just highlight some of the things.

The first is that the general character of the land is low forested hills dissected by steams, marshes and muskegs. It has a broken pattern and it is very diverse and that creates some of the good habitat, it's a diverse type habitat for many different types of species. To be specific, we had the interagency group review the habitat values of the lands and they ranked the habitat as either low value, moderate value or high value. And I'm just going to touch on the high values depicted here but I want you to remember there's also moderate values and lower values for species that I won't mention, so there's -- it's a broad range of values, but for the injured species, such as pink salmon, Sheep Bay, Windy Bay and Canoe Pass all provide extremely valuable habitat for pink salmon, as well as Olson Bay.

Sockeye salmon, Eyak Lake, Power Creek and the other core parcels provide important spawning and rearing habitat for sockeye salmon. Cutthroat trout very important in Deep and Windy Bay, Power Creek, Eyak Lake and some other core parcels that are valuable for Dolly Var -- for cutthroat trout. And the same for Dolly Varden, the same parcels, they seem to overlap. Pacific herring, extremely important in Outer Sheep Bay. A very important spawning area, habitat for Pacific herring. Bald eagles are found throughout the parcels, they're scattered, there's nesting in perch trees throughout the parcels. It's hard to detail those but they're extremely

diverse. Black oystercatcher are extremely important in Sheep Bay. A critical habitat area for black oystercatchers. Harbon seals use Sheep Bay and Canoe Pass extensively; haul out areas and also feed areas. And Harlequin Ducks are important -- are found in Sheep Bay and Port Gravina, in extremely high....

Intertidal and subtidal biota are important throughout the region, there's a diverse habitat from sandy to rocky to bedrock, so there's a diverse type habitat for the intertidal and subtidal biota. Marbled murrelets extremely important in Orca Narrows, use it for nesting and feeding. River otters, Port Gravina, Power Creek, Eyak Lake and the core parcels as well as the Rude River. River otters are frequent throughout the area. Sea otters, Sheep Bay, Deep and Windy Bays, East Simpson Bay and West Simpson Bay are used by sea otters. Wilderness, the wilderness character is extremely valuable in Port Gravina and Sheep and -- excuse me, in Windy and Deep The cultural resources are found throughout the are but Bays. I think it was mentioned earlier here along the Lower Eyak River, the mouth of Power Creek are extremely important and those recognized in the deals with -- in the negotiations with Eyak. And subsistence basically all parcels are used for some type of subsistence.

There are over 80 anadromous salmon streams in the area, a high number. I mentioned bald eagles, those are very important. There's known feeding and latrine areas throughout



1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the area for river otters. I will make one comment that

Eastern Prince William Sound has the highest concentration of
sea otters in the Sound so they're concentrated in the eastern
side. The Eyak lands are surrounded by national forest lands
of high scenic value so this would add to the scenic -- combine
the scenic value of the lands. It's uses for wilderness-based
recreation, hunting, fishing, sea-kyaking and camping.

.20

One thing that wasn't mentioned, on the core parcel there's Cordova's water, city water supply is identified on -next to the core parcel there, that's kind of a long strip as well as the power supply up on the other side of the lake, so it provides resources for the City of Cordova.

And basically I just want to end that the scenic and wildlife and fish values are extremely high. And I was one of the ones that has been dealing with this for four of five years and I'm extremely pleased to be here and present this information. So I'll answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there any questions from Council members? Commissioner Rue, do you have any questions?

MR. RUE: No, I think Dave did a great job of summarizing the values. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Dave. Mr. Wolfe.

MR. WOLFE: One last shot, see if I can take us
through to the offer. As you heard the purchase of these lands
are in our opinion an appropriate means to restore a portion of

the injured resources and services in the oil spill area. And the acquisition is consistent with the Restoration Plan that we all approved and are working under. Normally the government makes offers based on the estimated fair market value of the lands considering all the factors involved. In this case the government's estimate of the fair market value for the property being considered is 11.8 millon for the lands, timber conservation easements and conservation easements, plus there's an estimated -- the government's estimated value for timber between five and \$30,000,000.00, depending upon the market situation at the time.

It is based on negotiations and considering all the values and benefits to restoration the negotiators are recommending to the Council an offer of 45 million to be paid out over six payments over a five year period with a present net value of approximately \$40,000,000.00. This offer is, in our opinion, a reasonable price given the significant natural resources and service values that are protected on the lands, generally in the low probability of significant development on a large portion of the timber conservation easement lands.

This area, as Dave indicated earlier, was impacted significantly by the spill and we believe this effort will help to restore both the resources and the services that were impacted over time.

I guess that would conclude our position at this point

and recommendations I should say.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wolfe. Thank you, Mr. Janik. We got about 10 minutes before public comment. What I would propose to do at this point is sort of complete this Eyak packet by going ahead and recognizing the Board and having them go head and address us if they have statements that they would like to make. Nancy Barnes is with us as, I believe, President of the Board of Directors. If you could introduce the other members of the Board and if there are some member, yourself included, that wish to make statements this would be an appropriate time to do it.

MS. BARNES: Okay, first, my name is Nancy
Barnes, I'm President of the Eyak Corporation Board of
Directors. And I'd like to introduce, first of all, the Board
of Directors, I think all but one are here in person with me
today, the other one is in Cordova. We got Catherine Anderson,
one of our Board members. Our Chairman, John Johnson. James
McDaniels, Verla Club, Berle Parsons, Beverly Babbitt and
Mildred Johnson.

And at this time I've got some prepared remarks, I'd just like to go ahead and read. And I'll have those available for the Council.

Members of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council, ladies and gentlemen.

And I would like to recognize four Eyak Corporation

elders who are here with us today. We have Marie Lange I believe is here, our elder Marie Smith, my mother Anna Mae Barnes and Patricia Borer. Oh, I'm sorry and Vi Tiedeman is here also with us, excuse me.

On behalf of the Board of Directors of our village corporation, I'd like to thank each of you for your patience, understanding and commitment to the development of a habitat conservation land package that is fair to the public's interest as well as to that of our shareholders.

I would like to express also our appreciation to the negotiating teams for both sides. To Council negotiators Molly McCammon, Jim Wolfe, Alex Swiderski, Dave Gibbons and John Harmening. To our negotiating team also of Roy Jones, Clare Doig, Walt Ebell and Larry Landry.

I would like to publicly express our Board's special appreciation to our Corporation's General Manager, Brian Lettich, who has played such a pivotal role in assisting and guiding our Board in reaching the agreement before you today.

With this agreement, not only will our lands be protected for the future generations but at the same time we will be protecting the critically important subsistence resources of those lands.

The public will gain access to thousands of acres of land for hunting, fishing, camping and other outdoor recreational uses and will ensure the conservation in

perpetuity of fish and wildlife habitat lands and to resources injured by the 1989 oil spill.

1.3

The proceeds of the sale of a portion of our Corporation's land will go a long way toward helping out our village corporation achieve some of the underlying goals of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. Number 1, the protection of and commemoration of the rich and diverse culture heritage of our people. Number 2, the retention of the Corporation in Native ownership for the benefit of our children and their children. And number 3, the building of a sustainable economic future for the Corporation and its shareholders through careful planning and wise use of the proceeds from this transaction.

What we are achieving together here is historic. My late father, Cecil Barnes, who is the founding father of the Eyak Corporation and the Chugach Native Region, would be proud of this agreement before us and of those who have contributed to its success.

The final decision on whether to approve this agreement will be in the hands of our shareholders, as it should be, through a shareholder vote once the remaining details are worked out. That vote is to be taken after a recommendation of the members of our Board of Directors, who are here today, will help determine the direction and future of the Eyak Corporation.

This moment has been a long time coming. We are so



pleased that through the diligence and good faith of the Trustee Council and our Corporation, we have reached this milestone.

We look forward to working with you and your representatives to pursue the remaining steps in seeking further necessary consideration and implementation of this agreement.

Thank you very much.

At this time I would just like to ask our Chairman, do you have any remarks you'd like make. John Johnson.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Nancy.

MS. BARNES: Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: Members of the Council, this isn't my spot to sit like this, but anyways, my name is John Johnson, I'm the Chairman of the Eyak Corporation and I just got a short couple of things to say. First of all, I had my doubt whether this day would come and now that it has I'm relieved that we're here.

I believe in considering this, that habitat will be conserved in this agreement and it would be very good for our shareholders, community of Cordova, tourism businesses and commercial fishing.

And the effort has had a wide spread support from the Cordova Chamber of Commerce, Cordova Fisherman's United, the Prince William Sound Development Council, the conservation



community and the City of Cordova.

We believe the agreement is a fair one on both of our sides and interests. Our Board will consider it and I will urge that it be taken to the shareholders for their consideration. We appreciate your perseverance in working on this agreement with us and look forward to the completion of its consideration.

And, again, I say I'm glad we're here at this point, it's been a long, long road and thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.

CORDOVA LIO: This is the Cordova moderator and we're having difficulty hearing the speakers. Perhaps they could move closer to the microphone, they're breaking up.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, either we'll turn the microphone up or we'll move it a little bit closer or something.

Thank you very much and I certainly want to express the Council appreciation for so many members of the Board coming here in person to be with us today.

At this time is there anything additional on this matter?

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Oh, I'm sorry this isn't on this matter, it was another matter before public testimony starts

officially. You want to mention....

MR. JANIK: Mr. Chairman, I do have a few comments but I prefer to make those after the public comment period.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well my proposal would be to go ahead and start public testimony unless you have something you wish to.....

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, I was just going to inquire, we had the KAP 91 on there before public testimony, are we just going to not do this at this time or is that included?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I don't believe we're going to have time to do it before public testimony begins, really, in five minutes. I assume, Ms. Williams, we couldn't complete that in five minutes or could we?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: I think we probably could complete it in five minutes.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Would you like to have that discussion now or....

MR. PENNOYER: I'm not sure we can do it, if you....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: I feel very comfortable with going forward with Eyak, keeping on this topic.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, I think we're on a theme so.....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yes, I think we're on a good track.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Why don't we do that then.

So why don't we go ahead and start with public comment. My understanding is that we have Cordova, Juneau, Kenai and Seward on line. Is there anybody else that's come on line since then?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: And I assume there's also people in Anchorage that would like to speak and we'll get to everybody. Why don't we begin with Cordova. Are there people in Cordova who would like to speak, and if so, could someone come forward. Come to the mic.

MR. McKARKA: Yes, this is Henry McKarka (ph), shareholder of Eyak. Do you read?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yes, we hear you very well. Go ahead. And we've asked because of time considerations if people could limit their comments to about three minutes per speaker. I'd appreciate your indulgence on that. And go ahead, please.

MR. McKARKA: Yes, I'm very pleased that the Trustees have arrived at a conclusion of the faithful negotiations with the Eyak Corporation after all these years. And I'm hopeful that you would do the best in their interests. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you very much. Is

there anyone else in Cordova who would like to speak at this 1 time? 2 CORDOVA LIO: No. 3 Okay, is there anyone in CHAIRMAN TILLERY: 4 Kenai who would like to make a comment at this time? 5 REPRESENTATIVE HODGINS: This is Representative 6 Mark Hodgins, I was just -- had one question and that was 7 basically are we -- does the price include the subsurface 8 rights? And other than that there's no one else here to 9 testify. 10 Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Janik. 12 MS. McCAMMON: The answer is no. 13 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Representative 14 15 Hodgins, we're.... The answer is no to that. MR. JANIK: 16 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The answer is that there is 17 not -- the subsurface is not included in that. I don't believe 18 19 actually we've had any negotiations with Chuqach Alaska on 20 that. MS. McCAMMON: We have had -- actually, 21 Mr. Chairman, we have had discussions with Chugach Alaska about 22

subsurface rights for all of these lands and the Kenai Fjords

lands and they have indicated that they are not interested in

23

24

25

selling those rights.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Thank you. 1 Representative Hodgins, do you have any other comments? 2 REPRESENTATIVE HODGINS: No, thank you very 3 much. 4 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there 5 anyone else in Kenai who would like to comment at this time? 6 REPRESENTATIVE HODGINS: No, there's no one 7 else in Kenai to comment at this time, thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. 9 anyone in Seward who would like to comment at this time? 10 SEWARD LIO: We are listening in at this time 11 but (phone cut out) testify at this point. 12 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you very much. 13 14 And how about in Juneau, is there anyone who would like to 15 comment? Yes, this is Chuck Meacham. 16 MR. MEACHAM: 17 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, Chuck, go ahead. 18 MR. MEACHAM: Yes, thank you. I'm a member of 19 the EVOS Public Advisory Group but I'm speaking here on my own behalf this morning. I would like to address agenda item six, 20 dealing with the request by the SeaLife Center. 21 I understand that they want to initiate equipment purchase, although there's 22 a few items on the list that I'm not certain about, including 23 boat charter training and insurance. But anyhow it, by and 24 large, is equipment for their facility. And I understand they 25

want to use accrued interest on the dollars already approved for the SeaLife Center.

I'm very pleased to see that the rapid construction progress there has allowed them to initiate things maybe a little bit earlier than they otherwise would be able to, and in view of this early completion date I think it's very appropriate to authorize dollars for earlier than expected equipment acquisition. I, therefore, support the request from the SeaLife Center and urge the Trustees to approve that request.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Chuck. Any questions for Mr. Meacham?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there anyone else in Juneau who would like to speak?

MR. MEACHAM: No, I'm the only one here, thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. What we'll do is move to Anchorage and then when we go through Anchorage and then we'll come back to each of the other locations to see anyone has shown up a little bit late.

Do I understand that Brian wanted to say something or not?

MR. LETTICH: I'll wait.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: You'll wait. Well....

MR. LETTICH: Unless I.....

V. V.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: This is the time for public comment from Anchorage, so if you wish to speak, it's -- this is a good time.

MR. LETTICH: Okay. My name is Brian Lettich, I'm Manager of Eyak Corporation. I guess first I'd like to make sure we recognize a Board member who's not here but should be in Cordova on teleconference, Faith Barnes. And then thank you all. It was, I think, the beginning of '96 that we got together the last time in Cordova and at that meeting we initiated, I think, a more proactive approach to this transaction. We've worked hard over the last year and a half to put together what we felt was the best package that we had available, and I appreciate the hard work that your negotiating team put in to working with us to come up with the offer that we expect to receive here shortly this afternoon.

I do believe that the easy part is over and now the hard part begins. The hard part in quantifying and selling this transaction to our shareholders and protecting the funds and the lands in perpetuity to benefit the Native community of Eyak.

Again, just thank you for what has happened and we'll look forward to working with the group to make this thing a reality, hopefully, here sooner than later.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Mr. Lettich. Is

there any questions or comments from Council members?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I guess according to the sign-up sheet we only have two people in Anchorage who have requested to speak. Rick Steiner.

MR. STEINER: Thank you. I'll be brief as well. And I guess I'd have to agree with John Johnson that many of us had our doubts whether we'd ever see this day and also I would agree with Nancy that this is a historic occasion. And I just wanted to thank all of you deeply for making this thing happen and particularly the Eyak Board of Directors. This has been a contentious issue in Cordova, it's caused a lot of enmity between people and it's sort of prolonged the social impacts of the oil spill. What you're doing today, hopefully doing today, will bring a lot of that to a close and it'll go a long way toward healing. I'm convinced of that. So thank you very much.

And also I have just a very short letter that I just received about 10 minutes ago from former President Jimmy Carter that he wanted read into the record and distributed to the group. Many of you will recall that he was interested in this deal being resolved back in February of '95, I believe.

To the Board of Directors of the Eyak Corporation and the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council. I'm please to learn that you have reached a tentative agreement to preserve

old growth coastal forests of Prince William Sound. I understand the arrangement provides substantial protection in perpetuity on over 75,000 acres of coastal habitat and cultural resources as well as providing for the sustainable economic future of the Eyak shareholders. This will benefit the public, the environment and the Eyak people. I trust this will be a model for other important efforts throughout the world to balance culture, environment and economy. You have our best wishes in your efforts to restore and maintain Prince William Sound's delicate environment. Sincerely, Jimmy Carter.

... 8

I'll pass this around to all of you. And what this does, if nothing else, is it underscores that this is not just a state -- an issue of significance within Alaska or even within the United States, it truly to many of us has global significance in the way we balance culture, environment and economy, so thank you all.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. Are there questions or comments for Mr. Steiner from Council members?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The other individual who had, at least on this sheet, indicated a wish or desire to speak is Dune Lankard.

MR. LANKARD: Thank you. Good morning. This is a really emotional time for me. I think that I'd like to start off by saying that I just spent 10 days in the Lakota

Nation in the Badlands and I was able to spend at least two or three days in about seven different reservations and talk with their tribal leaders about the Badlands. And from what I gathered that there is about \$600,000,000.00 that is set aside to purchase the Badlands from about 20,000 Lakotas. And they have refused to accept the monies and they have stood by their belief that the land is not for sale. And so it was very enlightening and powerful for me to spend time with these incredible people that believed and cared so much in their culture and their heritage and their continued existence on their lands.

:20

I believe that the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act has failed and this is proof of that. That our land can be for sale and like the Cook Inlet Regional Corporation that is facing the loss of their Native stock here next month, I think is also an indication that the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act has failed.

I understand that the Board of Directors, who I respect very much, have been in a very, very difficult situation, as well as yourselves, in trying to figure out how to protect this region. And I know that as difficult as it is the reality is, is that fee simple acquisition is a component and a prerequisite to doing a deal with any of the Native corporations, because they have to be willing sellers of land in order to be able to negotiate any further. And as far as

the deal goes I think the Eyak Lake, Eyak River, Power Creek area, again I will say that the land is, at least for me, I cannot agree that it is for sale. I understand, again, that it is needed in order for this deal to go forward but I can never agree, as well as our Chief, Marie Smith Jones, that the land is not for sale.

The acquisitions could very well have an adverse effect on our right of sovereignty. With Indian country on the horizon and certainly going to be argued in the United State Supreme Court I feel that the Trustee Council, you, each one of you, are the ones who are in the position to uphold the trust responsibility with Alaska Native peoples. And I don't believe that purchasing their land is in the best interest of those Native peoples or upholding that trust responsibility. I do believe that purchasing conservation easements or timber rights in perpetuity is certainly meeting your goals of restoration without compromising our bond with our land.

Also I was happy to see that there is no purchases of our right of access to hunting and fishing and gathering. I think that with what happened with English Bay, I think it was wrong that the Native corporation felt they were in the position to sell sovereignty and subsistence rights away from their Native peoples. These ANSCA corporations did not and never owned the subsistence or sovereignty rights of Alaska's indigenous peoples and I felt that they did their people a

great disservice by selling that right of access, which from what I understand that that's how it was written out.

The question that I have for all of the Eyak

Corporation shareholders and all of the Native people in the spill zone that have chosen to sell their land is, what is our way of life really worth? How can money buy life? How can money buy our way of life? I believe that if these monies are invested properly and the tax situation is addressed, and I hope that the legislation is still going forward, I would hope that these settlements with the Native peoples are tax free settlements. I feel that if we are being asked to give up our land in perpetuity, we are being asked to give it up forever then you should give up your money forever and not force us to pay 40 to 50 percent back of whatever the \$500.00 an acre comes to. If it's 40,000,000, if we have to give 15 to 20,000,000 back it's another disservice to the Natives in the spill zone.

Overall we're looking at about 750,000 (sic) acres of acquisition, whether it be a component of fee conservation easement or timber rights. Out of the \$500,000,000.00 that will be spent about 200 to \$230,000,000.00 will have to be paid back to the IRS or to the Federal government in the taxation and I think this is wrong. I think that if there's any taxation that should be paid by the Native peoples it should come from capital gain off the interest only. Any of the principal that is paid out to the shareholders should not be

taxed. Because, again, if this deal was for one cutting cycle, say, 80 or 100 years, that's one thing, but it's not, it's forever. So the deal with the Native peoples is a treaty that I think it should be acknowledged and respected that the money is also to be given to the Native people in perpetuity.

1.2

In closing I would like to say that if it comes to a shareholder vote the Eyak people, which are a super minority in this corporation, we only make up 11 to 12 percent of the outstanding shares, we will lose on any vote that comes before this Board and before this corporation. And I hope that everyone can stay calm and focused and a lot of the propaganda that is going to be released over the next 60 to 90 days or however long it's going to take before there is a shareholder vote, I hope that everyone can at least give the best information possible so we can make the best education -- excuse me, the best educated decisions that we possibly can under the circumstances.

Because, again, this is a very emotional time, I do not like parting with the land. And I am here speaking in behalf of the Eyak people, on behalf of myself and the children yet to come. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Dune. I appreciate your taking the time to comment on your continued interests throughout these many years. At this time are there any questions or comments for Mr. Lankard from Council members?

(No audible responses)

1.6

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. That is all the people who have indicated on our sign-up sheet they wish to speak from Anchorage. Is there anyone else here who would like to say something about either the Eyak proposal or any of the other items on the agenda?

(No audible response)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, I'm going to run around the various sites then pretty quickly. Is there anyone in Cordova who would like to speak at this time?

MR. BECKER: Yes, there is one person in Cordova -- yes, there is as far as I know, two people in Cordova, just one. My name is Carl Becker, I'm a Cordova resident who's been following this process for quite some time and I applaud both the Trustee Council and the Eyak Corporation for their efforts in making this historic opportunity possible. And I certainly hope and wish the best to both parties in concluding this negotiation successfully.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Mr. Becker. Are there any question or comments from Council members?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there anyone in Juneau who would like to speak at this time?

MR. MEACHAM: There's nobody else in Juneau,

thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there anyone else in Kenai who would like to speak at this time?

REPRESENTATIVE HODGINS: No, sir, Kenai is -- there's no one here.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, and I guess finally in Seward, is there anyone else who would like to make a public comment at this time?

(No audible answer)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is Seward on line still, do

11 we know?

(Pause)

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman.

(Pause)

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Ms. McCammon.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I would like to take this opportunity to note for the record that I had staff here go back through all of our Eyak files, which are extensive, and pull out copies of all of the public comments that the Council has received over time on this acquisition.

And I guess -- we didn't count each individual name that's on all of these documents but I think it's safe to say that there are literally hundreds and hundreds of comments that the Council has received over time in support of going forward with

some kind of an acquisition package with Eyak Corporation.

I think the earliest dated one is sometime in 1993, the most recent one was just, you know, a few days ago. And I'm sure that if we search some of the other files we could probably receive -- dig up some written or oral comments from as early as 1991 and '92, so I'd like just to note for the record that there has been, over time, an incredible amount of public support for going forward and doing something like this with these lands and it is truly a pleasure here to hear that there is that continued support among the Board and among other residents of Cordova and that region of the state.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Ms. McCammon. I understand that Seward is on line....

MS. R. WILLIAMS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY:but no one has indicated any interest in speaking, so at this time then we will close the public comment period.

I think that what we will need to do is to go forward with the KAP presentation, if you're prepared.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like Gary Muehlenhardt to make the presentation of Fish and Wildlife Service on the KAP Parcel.

MR. MUEHLENHARDT: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Good morning.

MR. MUEHLENHARDT: I'm Gary Muehlenhardt, I'm a wildlife biologist with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I don't have a presentation map for the wall but you'll notice in the back of your packet there's a map of this property and also a brief description of the resource benefits of the acquisition.

KAP 91 is located on east side of Kodiak Island on Sitkalidak Strait which is about seven miles to the east of the village of Old Harbor. This property was one of a list of 11 parcels that were approved for appraisal in June of '96 by the Council. It took a year to complete the appraisal and get to this point. The property also is an in-holding inside of the former Old Harbor Native Corporation lands that were purchased by the Council two years ago. It's a very strategic access into the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.

Aside from that some of the injured resources that would benefit from this acquisition are harbor seals, pink salmon, bald eagles, Harlequin ducks and subsistence resources, I mean, subsistence use.

Since there already is a write up on the benefits that pretty much concludes my remarks on it.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Gary, if you could just emphasis the restoration benefits?

MR. MUEHLENHARDT: Sure. Just off shore of this parcel is a what we'd probably classify as a moderate

harbor seal haul out. Also a portion of the parcel is bisected by a salmon bearing stream, it's used primarily by pink salmon. It also has a small coho run on it. There's a bald eagle nest just to the east of the parcel. Something I'd also like to mention is the significant amount of effort that's already gone into the purchase of those coastline properties. The Council has provided funding for seven of those properties on the Kiliuda Peninsula. The Fish and Wildlife Service has scraped together some money to buy some additional ones and you can see on your maps that the parcels we've purchased so far are marked in red. And we actually have willing sellers on several of the other properties that are in yellow.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I would just urge the Council members to look at the map that Gary has referred to. I think it demonstrates very well how this small parcel acquisition complements our large parcel acquisition efforts. And this KAP 91 is a strategic small parcel for that complimenting effort. We urge the Council to approve this acquisition.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Ms. Williams, you said it compliments it. Which part of this map indicates what we already acquired?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: The blue....

MR. PENNOYER: The blue part?

MS. D. WILLIAMS:is what we got from Old

Harbor.

MR. PENNOYER: Okay.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: The pink is what we've already acquired in terms of small parcel and, of course, that is located in that area.

MR. PENNOYER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there other comments or questions by Council members? Commissioner Rue, do you have any comments or questions?

MR. RUE: No. Mr. Chairman.

With respect to some recent news reports regarding proposed

Federal action to allow reopening of Native allotments for some people who did not have an opportunity to get them back in the '70s, late '60s, are any of those in the Kodiak area? Are we going to be in a situation of buying stuff now and then sort of -- and then giving up more key parcels in the future? How does that relate to the Kodiak area? And I guess the other thing was, do you know whether those proposals would supersede conservation easements, for example, on the Old Harbor lands if there were new allotments to be granted on the Old Harbor lands? I assume they would take precedence, they would come out of the refuge, but would they also supersede the

conservation easement that was granted to the State?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Well, Trustee Council members, this will just take a moment to explain. I might have to take a bit of a step backwards to put this into perspective. And I think it is appropriate that we discuss it since it has been in the news, a couple of articles in the newspaper and an editorial in the Daily News today.

Several years ago Congress asked the Department of
Interior to do a study which analyzed how many Alaska Native
Vietnam era vets were eligible but did not apply for
allotments. And also to analyze the potential impacts of
reopening the Allotment Act of 1906 to allow for reapplication
by these individuals. It took us quite a period of time to do
this analysis. What we did in terms of coming up with a
numerical analysis is we went to the Veteran Affairs, we said,
please give us your list of all Vietnam era veterans. We went
to the BIA and got the list of all enrolled Alaska Natives and
we compared those two lists.

We then compared the list of all Alaska Native Vietnam era veterans with those who applied and we determined that there were over -- approximate over 22,000 (sic) Alaska Vietnam era vets who did not apply but appeared otherwise to perhaps be eligible for allotments, the number who applied was very small. We then took the analysis a step further and asked what might have been some of the factors.

And one thing that probably most people know is the Allotment Act of 1906 was repealed with the passage of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act in 1971. And what happened in 1970 and 1971, prior to the appeal in December, was that there was a very extensive effort by RuralCap, BIA, Alaska Legal Services to let people know in rural Alaska, bush Alaska, that the Allotment Act was about to be repealed. So a high percentage of all allotment applications that the Department of Interior received occurred in the '70 and '71 time period. And so we asked how many Alaska Native Vietnam era vets served in that '70-71 period. If they were serving during that period certainly there is an argument that they did not have adequate opportunity to apply when that was really the area or the era when there was a push to apply. That number is about 600.

We then took those two numbers, the 2,200 (sic) plus number and the 600 plus number and asked where were those Alaska Native Vietnam era vets located. And we looked at the village in which they enrolled. And we did an analysis of where most people apply versus where they're enrolled and came up with circles and so forth.

I did not bring the report with me but it was determined that there would be a significant number of potential allotment applications if Congress reopened this under either the full Vietnam era period or the '70-71 period. There would be a significant number of allottee applicants in

the spill area, particularly in Kodiak and some other areas in the spill area. So it is of interest to the Trustee Council this process is going on since we are obviously in the process of negotiating with willing sellers and people who otherwise want to sell their allotments in this area.

1

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The most important fact here is that Congress would have to make a determination, an affirmative determination, to reopen the act. And in making that affirmative determination they would be writing on a blank slate. So they could if they chose to reopen the act for all or some Alaska Native Vietnam era veterans, they could define it, restrict it however they wished. One would expect that if they were to open it it would be a logical restriction that lands would not be available where we -- where the Exxon Valdez Trustee Council has acquired those lands. And that what we did in this report, and I will make a copy available to Molly and she can distribute it as she deems appropriate. What we did in this report is not make recommendations but list considerations. This is one of the issues we discussed in the report. We also discussed in general the fact that a lot of water has passed under the bridge since 1971. And one of the considerations we urged Congress to take into account, if Congress chose to reopen the Allotment Act, is the fact that a lot of land transactions and land status changes have occurred. So since '71 lands have been conveyed to Native corporation, lands have been conveyed

to the State, lands have been conveyed to the other Native allottees, lands have been put into conservation unit status as a result of ANILCA.

One of our considerations was that where land has changed status that unless there is consent of the village corporation or the State or whatever, that those lands not be available to allottees. And if, in fact, that is land that allottees claim that there be substitute land or a monetary substitute offered to those allottees. Again, that was one of our considerations, we don't know in the first instance whether Congress will act to reopen and if they act to reopen what will be the parameters of the reopening.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. Are there any other questions or comments from Council members?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are you ready to read.....

MR. JANIK: Yeah, this is.....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, if we could just quickly ask if there's any public on the KAP parcel? I don't think -- I think probably the public would have come forward during the period we just had.

right, we -- the public comment period was open for comment on this already and there was none.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. JANIK: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Janik.

MR. JANIK: If I may on the Eyak proposal. I do have a prepared motion here I'm prepared to deliver after any comments by the Trustee members that may still be ready to be delivered by them but I would just like to add my congratulations to the Eyak Board and to the negotiators on both sides with great appreciation for the long hours that it took to develop this proposal.

I think the public comment period that we just had and some of the differences of opinion that we heard reveal the kind of struggle, I'm sure, that the Board had to go through in developing this proposal with the government negotiators. And I just want you to know that we on the Council appreciate that struggle and we know what difficulty that must have generated in your discussions.

Personally I think we are looking at very, very fair deal. I think the Council over and over has concentrated on the willing buyer/willing seller commitment and I think that is very visible here. And I also believe that it's the kind of deal that we can all walk away with delight and again I emphasize the word fairness. I think that this is a good deal for the government, State and Federal agencies, I think it meets the requirements set forward by use of this money, purpose and need, and based on the feedback we're getting,

certainly from the Board, it meets the needs of the corporation and I am prepared to submit a motion on this following any other comments by other Trustee members.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure, should we have a motion on the table and then discuss it?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I think that would be the more appropriate way to do it, so if you'd like to go ahead and make your motion.

MR. JANIK: Okay. What I'd like to do, taking the benefit of the map that's already been presented and the description of the proposal on the table, I've chosen to highlight just a few of the things that are included in the resolution associated with this, and the map will certainly be identified as it has been Exhibit A and serve as a principal reference so I have chosen in my motion just to use a few examples of what's contained in this deal.

The formal motion is: I move that the Trustee Council authorize funding for \$45,000,000.00 to be paid out in six payments over a five year period for an offer to purchase a total of approximately 75,000 acres of surface estate fee simple. And in addition conservation easement interests from the Eyak Corporation as depicted on the map identified previously as Exhibit A.

The offer shall include among other things, not total

here, but among other things, that no development shall occur on the fee and conservation easement lands except at two existing five acre development sites that have been identified. That the number of shareholder homesite leases shall be limited to 95 and located in those areas described on the map. No homesite leases shall be issued in Windy Bay and Port Gravina. That public access shall be provided on about half of the previously acquired Orca Narrows subparcel.

That timber conservation easements shall protect the view shed for the community of Cordova from new commercial timber harvesting activities. That road access shall be ensured for a proposed deep water port at Shepherd Point and that such an offer will protect significant lands surrounding Eyak Lake, Eyak River, and allows Eyak to conduct eco-tourism activities and protects the habitat of resources and services injured or reduced by the spill.

I submit that as a formal motion.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Second.

made and seconded. Is there comment or questions from Council members? Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, there are a number of us that have been involved in this discussion over a long period of time, practically the first damage assessment research activity was staged out of Cordova and, of course,



Valdez, but it's been very, very important to this Council, particularly the lands around -- in the west side of Prince William Sound and in Prince William Sound, the spill area in general. I'm extremely pleased that we seemed to have come to

that we've seem to have come up with rybody can work with. I waited a long time just, again, very pleased that we're at this

ery much in favor of the resolution.

a.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Mr. Pennoyer. ional comments from Council members?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Trustee Council and most importantly the members of the Eyak Board of Directors. I want to extend my most enthusiastic congratulations to the negotiating teams and to the Eyak Corporation Board of Directors for reaching this agreement. It is an extraordinary agreement. And I support it wholeheartedly.

Unquestionably Cordova was the economic and emotional ground zero of the Exxon Valdez oil spill. Cordova more than any other community and the members of Eyak more than any other Native corporation suffered tremendously from the spill. I think what we are doing today is something remarkable in light

of that devastation. We are as I think Nancy Barnes so beautifully pointed out, far more beautifully than I could, making several things whole. First and foremost, as Nancy point out so well, we are providing to the Eyak Native Corporation \$45,000,000.00 to advance your cultural and economic needs and hopes and dreams. That is a lot of money, it is a lot of opportunity and it is a great pleasure, from my perspective, to be able to provide that amount of funding to you for your future and your children's future. I know that you are going to do wonderful things with that money that will provide benefits again to you, your cultural heritage, your children and grandchildren and their children and

We're also of course able, thanks to your agreeing to share some of this precious land you have, have this land be enjoyed by the public at large. And what is wonderful about that sharing, of course, is that you will continue to use and enjoy it. You will continue to be able to exercise subsistence opportunities on the land, you will continue to enjoy the recreational opportunities. You'll be able to continue enjoying the emotional and cultural opportunities that that land of yours provides but be able to do it in tandem with the rest of the public. That is so generous of you and I thank you so very much.

This was an exercise in patience and persistence and

good judgment and ultimately being able to achieve an extraordinary resolution. I am also mindful of what Dune said, but one things that allows me to vote enthusiastically for this package is knowing that Eyak's land entitlement is approximately 150,000 acres. You are generously sharing with the rest of the American public and the rest of the world, 50,000 acres of that in fee. And so you will have remaining over approximately 100,000 acres both in fee and with some conservation easements. So Eyak is able then to use the \$45,000,000.00 to also advance your use and enjoyment, both culturally and economically, of the lands that you retain. You still have, as you all know, you know better than I do, and I'm sure it was an important part of your consideration, you still have a very substantial land entitlement and heritage base for your people and your corporation.

So, in short, thank you very much. This is one of the happiest days I think we've had as a Trustee Council, certainly one of the happiest days that I've had and I will joyously vote in favor of this resolution.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Ms. Williams.

Commission Rue, do you have any comments or questions?

MR. RUE: Yeah, I've actually agree with all the comments that folks have made so far and agree (phone cut out) I know many of us sat through literally stormy meetings in Cordova discussing this issue.

I guess I'd like to extend particular thanks to the

Eyak Board and the people of Eyak. I think that, you know,

this is a very big decision for them. I think it's something,

as Deborah said, we all should thank them for. I think future

generations will truly appreciate this land, enjoy this land

and their sharing of this land. And certainly the resources

that at this moment I am charged with managing will benefit

from it as well as those that were injured by the spill. So as

someone who sat through a number of negotiations and listened

to the concerns and cares of the people in Cordova, I'm very

pleased that we've reached this point and have a proposal

before us that we can move on, so I intend to support it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you, Commissioner Rue. Is there anyone else? Ms. Fay.

MS. FAY: I just wanted to convey for Commissioner Brown that she was sorry she couldn't be here today but she wanted to thank the Eyak Board and the people of Eyak that she appreciates their persistence on this land acquisition. She very delighted with it and she has kept that beautiful picture that was on a lot of our packets and information and she was hoping that it could be made into a poster for the signing ceremony. This has been one of her favorite land parcels.

Thank you.

I would echo the CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Thank you. comments that have been made here today. I think I was a part of some of those very first discussions that happened a long time ago in a land far away it seems like. It has been a long road, it has been a very worthwhile road. I think the very length of these negotiations, the very amount of difficulty that we had in them I believe indicates to some extent the fact that the parties did well and that we have come to a resolution that truly benefits everyone concerned. With that and hearing no further comments, I believe we should proceed to a vote on the motion. All in favor of the motions signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed.

(No opposing responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The motion carries.

MR. RUE: (Indiscernible)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Excuse me, Frank.

MR. RUE: Yeah, I'm here.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

MR. RUE: I don't know if anyone.....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Frank, do you want to say aye

for the record.

1

2

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RUE: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The motion is carried. What I would just propose, as we do have a couple more items, I propose we take about a five minute break here.

MR. JANIK: May I say something before we do, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Janik.

MR. JANIK: We've had the government negotiators visible presenting information on the Eyak transaction and so on, John Harmening was mentioned, he's now retired from the Forest Service, he played a very instrumental role and I see a few of the government attorneys back there, Gina Belt and Maria and if you two would please stand up. Along with -- Walt, would you mind please? Walt Ebell, Roy Jones, Larry Landry. You know these are the folks that really gutted out the negotiations. Please stand up. In addition to those that you saw earlier and I just wanted to acknowledge you folks. Thank you for all your intense effort.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, we will take about a five minute recess, thank you.

(Off record - 12:12 p.m.)

(On record - 12:37 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, we will -- the Council meeting is back in session. The other -- the two items remaining on the agenda are the KAP 91 Parcel and then the Alaska SeaLife Center. I guess I'd suggest we go ahead and finish up the KAP 91. Is there a motion to the Council?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, 1 The Trustee Council members all should have in Mr. Chairman. 2 front of you a resolution regarding KAP 91 in your notebook 3 under the KAP 91 tab. And, Mr. Chairman, for all of the 4 reasons discussed by Gary Muehlenhardt and for the reasons 5 discussed in this resolution I would move that the Trustee Council approve the resolution for the purchase of KAP 91. 7 MR. PENNOYER: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Moved and seconded. Okay, 9 is there discussion from Council members? 10 (No audible responses) 11 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I think.... 12 MS. McCAMMON: There's been a few 13 modifications, that's not the most recent one. 14 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I think that's correct and 15 16 that's what I want to make sure, is we reference the most recent version. 17 (Pause) 18 MR. PENNOYER: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, the 19 resolution we got in our book is the most recent one? 20 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: There were a few 21 22 modifications is my understanding. MR. PENNOYER: Oh, okay. 23 Mr. Chairman, I could just go 24 MS. McCAMMON:

through the changes.

25

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: That would be probably a good thing to do.

MS. McCAMMON: If I remember what they are. I know there's a change on page five, the portion on by unanimous consent following one, execution of the purchase agreement between the owner and the United States which is consistent with the foregoing conditions. And then section two was clarified, it now reads: certification by the acquiring agency that it will comply with and has executed a purchase agreement that is in accordance with the foregoing terms and conditions. We authorize the executive director to request the Alaska Department of Law. So this ensures two things that the terms and conditions are not only in the purchase agreement but the acquiring agency certifies that they have complied or will comply with them.

I believe that was the major change in it.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: That was the major one that

18 | I recall.

MS. McCAMMON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there any discussion on

21 | the motion?

(No audible responses)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing none, all in favor

24 say aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Oppose.

(No opposing responses)

્રાહ્યું

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: No opposition, the motion passes.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: The next and final item on the agenda is the Alaska SeaLife Center equipment request. Who is going to be making that presentation?

MS. McCAMMON: I will. Mr. Chairman, in your packet you have a letter dated June 16th, 1997 from John Hendricks, Executive Director of the Alaska SeaLife Center. What the SeaLife Center has requested is an additional \$724,000.00 in funds for equipment for the research portion of I think what has happened in the last year, and the facility. as some of you know who took the tour when we had our meeting in Seward, is that this project is on budget and ahead of schedule. And as a result of it being ahead of schedule there actually have been some additional operating costs during the start-up year because the tanks and utilities and things of that nature need to be operational in October as opposed to February or March which was the original date.

Rather than coming to the Council and asking for some assistance in operating expenses for the first year they took a look at the existing budget and decided that what they would do is request additional funds for research equipment to be

used in that portion of the facility. What this does is allow them to leverage the Council funds also as match for a couple of foundation grants that they have been successful in acquiring thus far. So it kind of does two things, it not only relieves some of the pressure that they're feeling during this initial start-up year because of the additional operating costs but it also allows them to leverage some of the additional fund raising that they've been able to successfully acquire over the last year.

I did look at the list that was submitted with the request and circulated it to the agency staff. We've had some discussion about it and as a result of that I have a motion that I think accommodates some of the issues and concerns that I've heard so far.

I also wanted to make a point that when the Council made the grant, the original grant, to the SeaLife Center for 24.9 million the way it was structured was through the Alaska Department of Fish and Game who holds the money and in order to maintain sufficient control over the funds those funds stay within the EVOS account in the State treasury. And so the full amount was not given to the SeaLife Center. If the full amount had been given to the SeaLife Center -- well, first of all the Council would have lost a lot of control over those funds, but then secondly they would have been able to invest those funds and use the interest for additional aspects of the construction

project. But under the agreement I did agree to keep track separately of the interest that was being earned off those funds and based on what has been spent thus far and the projections for the remainder of the expenditures of the 24.9 million it's estimated that there will be and additional 738,000, approximately, in interest accumulated over the life of this grant.

In addition to that there's the approximately 525,000 that the Council approved last year for the fish pass which was also an amendment to the SeaLife Center agreement. I would anticipate that if the Council were to act positively on this request that it would require an amendment to the contract that Alex Swiderski has been working with the Department of Fish and Game and the City of Seward to do that.

So the motion that I have prepared for your consideration is to approve the request from the SeaLife Center for use of the remaining interest earning from the 24.9 million, not to exceed 724,000, for purchase of equipment and other durable goods at the facility necessary to support research. And also the dry exhibitry pertaining to the Restoration Program at the facility. This has been a separate project that we've been working with is to -- with the Center is to develop an exhibit that would go in one of the large exhibit rooms for the first six months or first year of the Center's operation which would describe the Council, the spill,

(Alleria)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Restoration Program, the status of the resources and things of that nature. We've been looking at some kind of a cost somewhere around 15 or 20,000 for developing that exhibit. The cost of that exhibit would be absorbed within this expenditure.

This authorization is subject to the executive director's approval and consultation with agency staff scientists of, one, a revised list of items to be purchased that excludes any operational expenses, such as training and insurance, excludes or prorates equipment to be used in the public display educational portion of the facility. So if a tank is used for both research and public display, if there were someway of prorating, say, half or whatever the percentage was. Or maybe looking at some different equipment or whatever. And includes equipment and goods needed for restoration research projects anticipated to proceed in FY98, as well as the design and budget for dry exhibitry at the Center that will interpret the Trustee Council's Restoration Program. We would anticipate if the Council did go forward with this that the projects proposed for FY98 would not have an equipment line item that those requests that are currently in the budget proposals would be deleted because they would be absorbed within this request.

And we do have Lief Selkregg, John Hendricks, Maureen Sims and Claudia Slater from Fish and Game here to answer questions on any of these items.



CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there any questions of Molly, Council members? Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, the -- basically the comment here that "includes equipment and goods needed for restoration research project anticipated to proceed in FY98". And then the counterpart of that was your last statement that you would also expect to not have any research projects come to us for which the equipment had already been purchased. I'm not sure those are automatically flip sides of the resolution, but that is the flip side of what you said?

MS. McCAMMON: Yes.

MR. PENNOYER: But we haven't approved any project for '98 yet.

MS. McCAMMON: That's correct.

MR. PENNOYER: So how do you decide then if somebody -- let's say a \$40,000.00 fiberglass tank that I saw in here just for the heck of picking one out. And that is a major component to some study that somebody wants to do in '98, how do we figure out whether -- how do you figure out, looking at this list, whether we would have approved a project that included a \$40,000.00 fiberglass tank in '98?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I have a list of the projects that so far have received a tentative recommendation to go forward. And within those budgets there are equipment line items that total \$68,000.00. Now they

include things such as wet lab equipment, \$5,000.00 for a genetics project. We don't know if that equipment is already included in this or whether it's new equipment but it would be our goal to work that out before the final agreement was developed. One thing we really haven't worked out is whether computers are included as part of this equipment, there's a request for two computers. And some of this gets into what's included as part of our bench fees and whether computers are provided as part of a regular wet lab or dry lab space or whatever. We just haven't had the time to work out to that level of precision.

But what I would anticipate is that all of these requests for equipment that's already in the budget, you would not see these in the budgets in August if this recommendation is still to go forward with these projects.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman, I guess -- first of all I don't have a real conceptual problem with doing this myself and the interest money, as you said, could have been handled differently originally and I don't have a problem with that. I just have a problem with what is appropriate for us to buy for this Center or one in Cordova or any other place. What should a facility be providing as basic infrastructure support to future research project that may or may not be in that facility? I'm not -- I guess I don't know in my own mind how

much a lab has to have some of these things to serve as a basis for future research, so I'm comfortable with you and scientific staff and the chief scientist looking at this and trying to make some of those decisions, right now I don't know how I'd I'm just not clear in what a facility make that. infrastructure ought to be and what ought to be in there. Computers, for example, that's clearly -- most facilities if they don't have adequate computer facilities probably aren't going to attract serious research scientists to try and work there. But when it gets farther than that down, how much the particular X-ray stuff do you have to have? How much of the particular support for genetic labs? Are you equipping the fish and game genetics lab, per se? Is that what we want to I don't know the answers to all those, but -- and I don't know how this list relates to them.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, maybe it would be helpful if someone there could answer that question, they may know a little bit better how this list was developed. And then what additional equipment isn't included on this list.

MR. SELKREGG: Lief Selkregg. Mr. Chairman, members of the Trustee Council. The origin of the list started with the scientific work group that was under the leadership of ADF&G through the design process and that list reflects, from the scientific work group's perspective, the necessary research equipment to support research activities in the labs. It has

not received the final coordination with proposed fiscal year '98 projects, we would expect that we would do that to make sure there was no duplication of funding request going before the Council.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is equipment within the construction budget, major pieces of fixed equipment, these are massive walk-in coolers, for example, that hold the food for the animals that are part of the research programs. A large -- a surgery area and surgery table, so major pieces of fixed equipment are already incorporated into the construction program. This is what is called level two and level three equipment which are the moveable pieces of equipment that we were intending to purchase through our University of Alaska Foundation private fund raising campaign. Those dollars are actually arriving over a longer period of time, there's about a five year cash flow, and because we are starting research activity now in January of '98 we're about a year ahead of where we thought we would be in terms of delivering the project. And this allows us now to fully equip the research areas in a way that will meet the standard of the original objective of the cold water research facility as intended.

MR. PENNOYER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer.

MR. PENNOYER: Are you then comfortable with the recommendation that Molly in concert with agency scientists

and her staff review the list and see if it does conform to 1 those objectives? 2 Absolutely. We really, I think, MR. SELKREGG: 3 have a history of partnership on the project with the agencies 4 and working particularly through coordination with the Fish and 5 Game Project Manger who has been the lead individual in that 6 coordination role. Happy to do that. 7 MR. PENNOYER: One more. . 8 CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Pennoyer. 9 MR. PENNOYER: Well, just as a follow up. What 10 is a \$40,000.00 fiberglass tank? 11 John, \$40,000.00 fiberglass MR. SELKREGG: 12 tank? 13 I'd have to look at the MR. HENDRICKS: 14 15 specific one. I didn't mean -- I just -- that MR. PENNOYER: 16 17 popped off the list. His staff picked it out. MS. McCAMMON: 18 We'll come back with a specific 19 MR. SELKREGG: response to that. 20 The boats, too. 21 MS. McCAMMON: MS. D. WILLIAMS: John, top of page five. 22 Top of page five. MR. HENDRICKS: 23 That doesn't tell you much 24 MR. PENNOYER: except the price though. 25



1	MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue.
3	MR. RUE: Do we have a motion on the floor?
4	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Not yet.
5	MR. RUE: Okay.
6	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: There is a Molly
7	described a motion.
8	MS. McCAMMON: And I believe you all have
9	copies of it.
10	MR. PENNOYER: She read it.
11	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Yeah.
12	MR. RUE: I'm not sure I do. It wasn't in the
13	original package.
14	MS. McCAMMON: No, it wasn't.
15	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there a fax number we ca
16	send it to?
17	MR. RUE: Oh, that's all right, she read it an
18	I got the gist, that's good.
19	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.
20	MR. RUE: But if we're going to discuss it, we
21	should discuss it after the motion is on the floor.
22	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: It would probably be
23	appropriate as
24	MR. PENNOYER: I move that we approve the
25	motion that Molly read into the record that starts out "To

approve the request from Alaska SeaLife Center for use of the remaining interest earnings from the 24.9 million, not to exceed 724,000" et cetera.

MR. RUE: Second.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Is there -- and,

Commissioner Rue, do you need that -- the whole thing read it

its entirety or do you think you have your understanding of it?

MR. RUE: I think I understand the intent of

it.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay. Is there -- are there further questions then on the -- Ms. Williams.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had two primary concerns when I reviewed the list and happily Molly has essentially addressed those but I just want to state them for the record to give perhaps a little more guidance to the people that will be going over this in some more detail. My first and foremost concern was that given both the quantities of certain items and the types of certain items that we need to be careful that we are not funding the part of the Seward SeaLife Center that Justice and other says we can't fund and, of course, that is the public segment of the Seward SeaLife Center.

And so just, for example, the commercial side-by-side refrigerators on page three towards the bottom when I saw the number six I asked myself, are in fact all six of those going

to be used just for the science side of the effort or was it expected that some of those would be used for the public exhibit side of the sector. So I would ask when you go through not only to look at type but also quantities. And I want to feel confident in representing to both Justice and the Secretary that we have maintained a pretty bright line and that we have done that well. And that also then folds over to the proration. I have no problem sharing a piece of equipment with the public exhibit side of the operations but we just want to make sure that it is appropriate.

The other, and this is something I'm actually not going to ask Molly or anyone to look at but some of these prices obviously take your breath away, don't they? It's the proverbial military, you know, \$1,000.00 screwdriver. And I am not disputing how much these cost, one thing -- and I was going to call last night and you know how things come up, like badminton games, but I was going call, for example, on the -- also on page -- well, on page three, small blenders, two. You know, I thought, oh, gosh, what can you buy a small blender for, you know, and then I see \$125.00. I don't know, that was one thing that I felt that I had, you know, if the price were right I would have guessed \$30.00 for, you know, a small blender.

(Indiscernible remark - laughter)

MR. RUE: You can't even get a coffee grinder



for that.

٦.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Yeah, exactly. But most of these, of course, I have no consumer basis to judge the price, but, you know, no doubt about these prices are breathtaking and we might just think to that just a little bit. But I have no objection, under the circumstances, as long as Justice has no objection to having the Seward SeaLife Center use interest that is earned on our appropriation to fund this kind of equipment just as long we move on it brightly.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Mr. Janik.

MR. JANIK: Ms. Williams pretty much described my concerns that I came here this morning with, they have been addressed, I think, in the motion in large part. The principal concern, and the one that's been responded it, is that this list be given additional scrutiny before any final decisions are made on what will be funded for all of the reasons Ms. Williams just stated.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Commissioner Rue, did you have any comments?

MR. RUE: Well, I think I share the concerns and I think that Molly has taken -- you know, takes care of the concerns that I think I got. I think this is a good idea, I think (phone cut out) very good and I'm getting (phone cut out) budget on time. I'm really encouraged about the kinds of

research they're going to attract, so I think this could be a great help and as, I think Lief said, leverage other funds, so I think it could help make the facility a success, so I plan to support it.

. 18

-- Ginny?

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, thank you. Is there

MS. FAY: (Shakes head in the negative)

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: I have one question in your

letter, Mr. Hendricks, you indicate that equipment will remain

in the ownership and physical possession of SeaLife Center,

then you say, and then ultimately ADF&G. Exactly how does that

"and ultimately" work? Who does own this stuff and when?

MR. HENDRICKS: This goes back to some history,

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay.

so I think Lief would be the best one to answer that.

MR. SELKREGG: Lief Selkregg. Mr. Chairman, members of the Trustee Council, the structure of the agreement that exists and allows the nonprofit corporation to develop and operate actually has ownership of the facility, resting with the City of Seward. If for some reason the City of Seward should some day resort to giving the facility back, the facility first goes to ADF&G who has first right on all equipment and facility prior to any disposal. So the ownership structure is City of Seward, ADF&G. The nonprofit is simply the operator under an operating agreement with the City of

Seward and who has an agreement with the Department of Fish and Game.

CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Okay, and thus this would simply be consistent with....

MR. SELKREGG: With the agreement structure of ownership.

MR. JANIK: If I may ask an additional question along those lines. What is the protocol currently, let's say, if -- and maybe Commissioner Rue can answer this as well. If there's a need that ADF&G may have for some of the equipment, even though it doesn't go to the extreme example that you gave, Lief, let's say they need something for a project and you're able to let it go for a few weeks or whatever, is there that anticipation of free exchange of this equipment?

MR. SELKREGG: I won't answer on behalf of the Commissioner. One of the advantages of having the research equipment purchased directly through this request as opposed through the University of Alaska Foundation is that through the University of Alaska Foundation purchasing mechanism the equipment would, in essence, be owned by the university and could be relocated at any time the university wished. When you're trying to conduct and maintain a world class research facility that sort of flexibility of sharing equipment could work against the operator's agenda.

We would hope that ADF&G would consider that this is

the permanent home for this equipment. We haven't had that sort of detailed discussion regarding flexibility of use, our intention would be to endeavor to try to keep this as being based at the facility so that we know when research programs are being considered that, in fact, the equipment is there in resident in the facility.

MR. JANIK: Is that your.....

MR. RUE: Mr. Chairman, I'm not sure if there's a question addressed to me but you all have been fading in and out, so you might want to speak closer to the -- I don't know if it's on my end or your end but there's a problem. I'm having trouble hearing.

MR. JANIK: The question was what, if any, opportunity might exist based on protocol here and expectations of this equipment being used by ADF&G if you should have a need and the research center is able to relinquish that temporarily. Do you have an expectation there was, I guess, the question I asked?

MR. RUE: I think the answer -- I think that was Lief giving the answer; is that right?

MR. JANIK: Yes.

MR. RUE: Yeah, I think that was, as he said, there's been no detailed discussion I'm aware of, but I think the expectation he expressed sounds correct.

MR. JANIK: Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Are there further questions
2	from Council members?
3	(No audible responses)
4	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Well there is a motion on
5	the floor, seconded. All in favor say aye.
6	IN UNISON: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Opposed.
8	(No opposing responses)
9	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: Hearing no opposition the
1.0	motion passes.
11	That is all we have on the agenda, we are early, we're
12	on budget, I don't know what that entitles us to.
13	MR. PENNOYER: Lunch.
14	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: But it may entitle us to a
15	motion to adjourn.
16	MR. PENNOYER: So moved.
17	MS. D. WILLIAMS: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: All in favor.
19	IN UNISON: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN TILLERY: This hearing of the Trustee
21	Council is adjourned.
22	(Off record - 1:03 p.m.)
23	(MEETING ADJOURNED)
24	
25	

1.	CERTIFICATE
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) ss.
3	STATE OF ALASKA
4	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify:
5	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 76 contain
6	a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded electronically by me
. 8	on the 2nd day of July 1997, commencing at the hour of 10:30 a.m. and thereafter transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability.
9	THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request of:
10	EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street,
11	Anchorage, Alaska 99501;
12	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 5th day of July 1997.
13	SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:
14	
15	toes Kolasnah.
16	Joseph P. Kolasinski Notary Public in and for Alaska
17	My Commission Expires: 04/17/00
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	·