EXXON VALDEZ OIL SPILL TRUSTEE COUNCIL

0CI

1996

TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEETINGN VALDEZ CH SFILL Tuesday, October 15, 1996USTEE COUNCIL 2:00 o'clock p.m ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

Fourth Floor Conference Room 654 G Street Anchorage, Alaska

## 11 TRUSTEE COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE - MR. JIM WOLFE (Chair)
U.S. FOREST SERVICE for MR. Phil Janik
Regional Forester

STATE OF ALASKA - MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI
DEPARTMENT OF LAW: for Attorney General

DEPARTMENT OF LAW:

MR. ALEX SWIDERSKI

for Attorney General

Bruce Botelho

STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MR. ROB BOSWORTH
OF FISH AND GAME: for Commissioner Frank Rue

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR:

MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS
Special Assistant to the
Assistant Secretary

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - MR. BILL HINES

NMFS: for Mr. Steve Pennoyer

Director, Alaska Region

STATE OF ALASKA - DEPARTMENT MS. MICHELE BROWN
OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: Commissioner

Proceedings electronically recorded and transcribed by: Computer Matrix, 3520 Knik Ave., Anchorage, AK - 243-0668

TRUSTEE COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT: MS. MOLLY McCAMMON MR. ERIC MYERS MS. TRACI CRAMER (Telephonically from Juneau) MS. REBECCA WILLIAMS MS. SANDRA SCHUBERT MR. BUD RICE 

Executive Director EVOS Trustee Council

Director of Operations EVOS Trustee Council

Director of Administration EVOS Trustee Council

Executive Secretary EVOS Trustee Council

EVOS Staff

National Park Service

1	PUBLIC TESTIMONY:	PAGE
2	Ms. Theresa Obermeyer	8
3	Mr. Neil Oberts	13
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	Tape 1 of 1	
3	(On record)	
4	CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Here for the Trustee Council	
5	we have Michele Martin (sic), (telephone cuts out) of the	
6	Environmental Conservation, we have Bill Hines National Marine	
7	Fishery, myself for the Forest Service, Deborah Williams we	
8	have on line for Department of Interior, do we not Deborah?	
9	MS. D. WILLIAMS: That's correct, Jim.	
10	CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. And Alex Swiderski for	
11	Department of Law is also on line?	
12	MS. D. WILLIAMS: That's correct.	
13	MR. SWIDERSKI: That's correct.	
14	CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. I guess we'll call it	
15	order and, Molly, I guess, at this point maybe run briefly	
16	through the agenda and then maybe I should ask if there are	
17	any changes to the agenda that we'd like to make at this point	
18	in time? And what I'm hearing, Molly, is we can go ahead and	
19	add public hearing then immediately following the oh, okay,	
20	I see it now.	
21	MS. McCAMMON: We should have public comment	
22	listed on the agenda.	
23	CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yeah. All right. Is	
24	everybody okay with the agenda then?	
25	MS. D. WILLIAMS: I move to approve the agenda	

MS. D. WILLIAMS: I move to approve the agenda.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Second.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: We have a second, so it's approved. Second was by Michele. All right. Well, the meeting notes from the August 29th meeting, has everyone had a chance to read through those and anybody have any comments or a motion?

MS. McCAMMON: I think we have to wait until we have a full quorum for that, Jim.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Let's come back to that. I would go into the -- Molly, let's go into the financial report then, if we could.

MS. McCAMMON: Well, for the financial report I'll just note that in the Council packet there is a statement as of August 31st with the balance in the liquidity account and also the balance in the restoration reserve. And if anyone has questions on those be sure to give me a call. And this was prior to the payment on September 1st from EXXON.

And then that goes to the next item on the agenda for public comment.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. I guess we're ready for public comment. Who do we have on line? We have Homer, is that.....

MS. McCAMMON: We have Cordova and Seward.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: And Pam Brodie's on line,

25 | too.

MS. McCAMMON: And Pam Brodie in Homer. 1 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. So we got those three 2 and is there any other area offices? Anchorage? Okay. 3 don't have any public here so why don't we start with Homer. 4 Homer, who do we have on line there, Pam? 5 MS. BRODIE: She didn't wish to testify. 6 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good. 7 Is there anyone else? 9 (No audible response) CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. How about Cordova, 10 anyone on line in Cordova that would like to testify? 11 MS. BIRD: This is Nancy Bird from the Prince 12 William Sound Science Center. I just wanted to thank you for 13 putting our item on your agenda and let you know that I'm here 14 if you have any questions. Thank you very much. 15 16 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Anyone else in Cordova that would like to testify or give comment? 17 18 MS. BIRD: No, there's no one else. 19 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Someone on line at Seward that would like to testify? 20 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, we do, a Mr. Mark 21 Luttrell. 22 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Mark Matrell (sic). 23 MR. LUTTRELL: Luttrell with an L. 24 25 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Would you spell it, please?

MR. LUTTRELL: Yeah, sure. It's

2 | L-u-t-t-r-e-l-1.

1.2

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Mark, go ahead.

MR. LUTTRELL: I just wanted to offer some support for a parcel in the Small Parcel program, it's KEN 1015/Lowell Point and I would urge the Trustee Council to continue their good efforts on this. From what I know it's delayed just because of some disagreement on appraised value, but I would just urge you not to give up, it's very valuable to locals and folks from Eagle River, school groups, teachers. It would be very valuable to the state park, it would provide an access point to their Tontina and Kings Head Trails, also some place for interpretive information. And it would give a lot of class to that area, so a lot of people here support it and I hope you will to.

That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes.

MS. McCAMMON: This is Molly McCammon. For Mr. Luttrell's information, just to let him know, the state has reached agreement on that parcel. We had it originally listed as an item needing action by the Council, but the Council has already approved going forward with this parcel. An agreement has been reached, the next step in this process is to take it

to legislative Budget and Audit Committee for their 1 authorization on November 7th. So at this point, from the 2 Council's perspective, the project is a go. 3 MR. LUTTRELL: All right. I'm very happy to 4 hear that, I'm very glad that my testimony is moot then. 5 6 MS. McCAMMON: Persuasive. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: All right. Thank you very 7 much. Do we have anyone else at Seward that would like to 8 testify? 10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, not at this time. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Before we go to 11 Anchorage, we now have Rob Bosworth (telephone cuts out) here 12 (telephone cuts out) around the table with us at this point, so 13 14 we do have a full quorum at this point. Rob, I believe you're at the table? 15 In for.... MR. BOSWORTH: 16 17 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Frank. MR. BOSWORTH: Yeah. 18 19 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. All right. Anyone in Anchorage that would like to testify? 20 MS. OBERMEYER: Mr. Groh, are you going to 21 testify? No, sir. Theresa Obermeyer, Mr. Wolfe.... 22 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: There's no one there.... 23 24 MS. McCAMMON: Yes, we do have Theresa Obermeyer who would like to testify. We need to press that 25

button.

2.

MS. OBERMEYER: It's Mr. Wolfe and he is representing Bruce Botelho?

MS. McCAMMON: He's representing Phil Janik with the Forest Service.

MS. OBERMEYER: Okay. How are you, sir?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: (Telephone cuts out) thank
you.

MS. OBERMEYER: I just am so pleased to see both of the Mr. Grohs in the audience. Mr. Groh, Senior and Mr. Groh, Junior. And, of course, I don't know, Mr. Wolfe, if you have seen the documents that I have given the Trustee Council about Ted Stevens' law license. Of course, I am very humble to announce that I am the Democratic nominee to the U.S. Senate. I could never have imagined and I don't want to take much of your time, but I want to say very clearly I have never chosen any of this, but finally when you know and you are positive, as I have been over a period of many years, you must go forward. And so, you know, I must say I envy you with your reasonable lives. I don't happen to have one, but I'm very challenged and, of course, I want to give for distribution what -- documents that I have written recently.

One is to the Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee because all I've gotten in the state is Tony Knowles walking right by me and Fran Ulmer walking right by me when I've

actually been at parties with them. Not even tokenism. I mean, couldn't they at least say congratulations. You know, aren't we decent, aren't we even decent? No, we're not even decent, we're gross, but guess what, I'm going to have Tony Knowles' endorsement very soon now, that I'm sure of because I've gotten help from the National Democratic Senatorial Campaign Committee. And, of course, when I read a week ago yesterday, this was on October 7th, that two independent candidates have each gotten 27,000, I mean, those aren't small potatoes, from the Alaska Democratic Party and I haven't even gotten tokenism. I'm waiting for my check.

Now, of course, the other thing I wrote is titled "Is This a Dictatorship Under Senator Ted Stevens?", because the other thing I haven't gotten is even equal time at the Alaska Federation of Natives Convention that's going on Thursday, Friday and Saturday over here at the Egan Center. And, of course, I didn't mean to talk too long but, Mr. Wolfe, let me check, are you based in Juneau?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes, ma'am.

MS. OBERMEYER: I'm pretty sure I going to Juneau, and I don't have the exact date but I've been invited to go on a ferry, I think it's a week from this Saturday, and -- no, no, excuse me, it's this coming Saturday and I have to make plans. Do you think I should come, Mr. Wolfe?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Well, the weather doesn't look

too good right now, so.....

MS. OBERMEYER: Well, I'm still going to be there and it's at a shopping mall, the Nugget Mall, I'm pretty sure I'm going to be sitting there at my table on Saturday, I really haven't made my reservations yet. But did you have a question, sir?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: I don't have any questions myself. Does any other members have a question?

MS. OBERMEYER: And let me make sure.

Mr. Wolfe, you are an assistant attorney general?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: I beg your pardon?

MS. OBERMEYER: Are you an assist -- no, wait a minute, you -- where are you out of, are you out of Juneau for the U.S. Department of Agriculture?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes, the Forest Service, yes, ma'am.

MS. OBERMEYER: Well, you know, just to mention, sir, and always politely, why is Senator Murkowski advocating about issues about Ketchikan Pulp Mill when he grew up in Ketchikan, he's owned a bank there. And let me ask you, Mr. Wolfe, can we ask him if he's making money off airing issues about Ketchikan Pulp Mill or are we not -- are we too intimidated by the U.S. Senator that works for us?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Well, I have no idea, but if you're finished with your comments, we'll....

MS. OBERMEYER: I really am not. May I answer? You know, because my belief is -- and let me make sure that you were part of the group that wrote this management plan for the Tongass. Am I correct on that?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: You may be correct but this has nothing to do with the oil spill. Did you have some comments for us on the oil spill?

MS. OBERMEYER: Sir, I believe this is public comment and I believe you work -- you work for Phil Janik?

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes, ma'am, I do.

MS. OBERMEYER: Well, you know, not to belabor the point but I'm offended. I am offended by a congressional delegation that there is no independence of thought in -- and look at what has happened when I have asked Senator Murkowski and Congressman Young and, you know, of course I knew why Ted Stevens was prosecuting me. I asked these other members of the congressional delegation to simply get a fiscal note on how much this has cost in our tax money, I've never gotten an answer. Then I see Senator Murkowski airing issues about Ketchikan Pulp Mill, that to me is a conflict of interest.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Ms. Obermeyer, we try to limit comments to three minutes and.....

MS. OBERMEYER: Yes, why don't I conclude now.

And I'm so glad that I've been able to have a chance to meet

you over the public address system, although I don't think

we've met, have we, Mr. Wolfe? 1 2 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: No, ma'am. 3 MS. OBERMEYER: Well, I'm sorry for that and maybe you'll be at the Nugget Mall on Saturday when I arrive. 4 5 Nice talking to you, sir. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Thank you. Is there anyone 6 7 else in Anchorage that would like to have comment, Molly? MS. McCAMMON: No, there's not, Mr. Wolfe. 8 9 MR. SWIDERSKI: No, I think there is actually, 10 MS. McCAMMON: Excuse me, what? MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Oberts. 11 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Thank you. 12 MR. OBERTS: I'm Neil Oberts from.... 13 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Oberts, could you go to that 14 15 microphone down there, please? MR. OBERTS: Okay. All right. 16 17 MS. McCAMMON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Will you spell your last name? 18 19 MR. OBERTS: Yeah. Right here? 20 MS. McCAMMON: Yes, please. He's coming to the 21 mic right now. 22 MR. OBERTS: Oberts, O-b-e-r-t-s, just like Roberts without the R. 23 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good. 24 25 MR. OBERTS: Well, the reason I came down here

I thought that we were going to have the whole group of people here 'cause I brought various plats and that to be able to show what I was trying to talk about and to provide some information that you'll be able to visualize rather than just try and hear something over the -- well, whatever, whatever type of -- other than by voice. I've had a small stroke and my -- in the last coup -- year and a half, so that I have a little stroke -- I have a little trouble speaking sometime, most of the time I do all right.

But, anyway, I brought a whole series of things here that I probably should talk -- leave it with somebody here rather than to try to explain it all over the telephone, I mean over the -- well, it's the telephone call it -- yeah, it is the telephone, isn't it. Well, anyway, I have them here that I would like to present some of the material that I have, I have three parcels along the Kenai River that are highly important to me as well as to a lot of other people that have anything to do with the Kenai River at all, and that is that we are all trying to control and to provide a type of -- place in the Kenai River in an area that we can look to the future here that will remain with us in its present form.

This is what part of my information I have here today is the fact that I have a picture -- I have an aerial photo that was made in 1975 that shows the Kenai River prior to all of the damage that's been taking place and the area that I'm

concerned with, of course, is the Lower Kenai River from Big Eddy going on down to -- well, down to the river mouth. And it's completely different than what it -- the past is completely different than what it is today, and I tried to do the best I can to prepare the river for the -- for at least some help into the future.

I have some maga -- some pictures -- not pictures, some newspaper articles here that I happen to instigate here to try to protect the Kenai River. Now this is in 1983 and I have a whole series of them here plus pictures of myself showing -- and some of the other people that were highly involved at that point in time to saving the river. Well, we've been trying to save it for years, at least a few of us that are left here, particularly since we are concerned about the lower river. And the articles that are in here are still -- they still say the same thing, we got to protect the river, well, it's 1983. What is it, it's how many years has it been now? Quite a few years and we're still looking at the very same things over and over and over.

Well, I'm at the point now where I want to sell the two parcels of property I have, Big Eddy and Honeymoon Cove for sure, the other parcel isn't all that important because I have pictures here to show that the lower area, that of the three parcels that are in -- that are being concerned with is that that it is not in that bad of shape as far as being in the

damage case. Honeymoon Cove is terrible, it's all tore up, you'll have to look at the appraisal's pictures -- set the appraisal up, the pictures, the pictures here to see just how bad it really is. And I would prefer to see you and others like this to really take a good serious look at looking at the three -- the two parcels in particular I have to say because it's going to be important to the future of the Kenai River that we have some real good concern with the future -- with it.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, anyway, the -- before I go any further what I really wanted to come up with is the fact that the -- that I pretty well have to make up my mind here, am I going to go ahead with the appraisal or not. I know that it's way -- well, I quess really, I should wait and maybe talk to someone else here a little more about it so that we can get into it. least I've hit the main items that all of the information I have here is over a long period of time. That I'm at a point now where my -- everything's gone haywire the last few years for me, my wife's passed away, everything else has happened, so that I've got to do something here to hopefully do more than what's in these newspaper articles here trying to tell the general public, God damn, it's time to save this river over here and do it in the proper manner. I got all kinds of excellent ideas that were made in '83, they're all the same, they're still here and one thing about it I would prefer to see the property sold to you, but I also have other articles with

me here that show there have been a lot of other people that were interested in the purchase of it, primarily for a resort type facility.

And anyway, I'll let you folks go and hopefully I can get together with -- what's your name again? I can't think of it.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Alex Swiderski.

MR. OBERTS: Alex. Get with Alex again because I've bent his ear several times around here, but now I got some facts and -- I have facts here, I have facts that tell me what's been happening and that's -- so I'll get together with Alex over here and bend his ear some more and then he can pass it back on to you, basically what my ideas are here. And a lot of information I didn't have before when I spoke to him.

But that's about it then. I got it all with me, you're going to have to look at it.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Oberts.

I certainly (telephone cuts out) there's a need for those parcels and so you've given the information to the right person and maybe if they can get with you and then report back to us later. We appreciate it.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, this is Alex
Swiderski and I just personally wanted to thank Mr. Oberts for
coming today and I would be very eager to have further
discussions with you and to look through these materials with

you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Very good. Okay. Any other 3 commenters in Anchorage? Okay. MS. McCAMMON: No other public comments here. 4 5 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Pardon, Molly. 6 MS. McCAMMON: There's no other public comment 7 here. 8 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. I'll go back and check one more time then on the other sites. Homer, are there any one new there that would like to give public comment? 10 (No audible response) 11 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Cordova, anyone new 12 there that would like a chance for public comment? 13 14 MS. BIRD: No, there's no one else. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Then Seward, anyone 15 like to make public comment before we close down public comment 16 17 period? 18 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No. No, thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good, I quess that 20 should conclude then our public comment period. And we can go 21 back now to the item dealing with the August 29 meeting notes. Can we have a motion on approval of the meeting notes for the 22 August 29th Trustee Council meeting? 23 24 MS. D. WILLIAMS: So moved, Mr. Chairman. 25 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: And second. Second by

Brown, and so be it it's approved.

Moving on, we're now ready to go into executive session (telephone cuts out) ready to have discussions on habitat protection and then we will come back out and talk about small parcel habitat acquisition efforts. So can I have a motion to adjourn to executive session -- or how do we move to executive session?

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, this is Alex Swiderski, maybe before we move to adjourn Molly McCammon can give some indication of how long we'll be in executive session because there are quite a few public members here in Anchorage.

MS. McCAMMON: Ah....

MR. SWIDERSKI: Half an hour?

MS. McCAMMON: Half an hour, just an estimate.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Half hour, 45 minutes is probably about the most time that we can take at this point, so -- very good, okay.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Trustee Council go into executive session for purposes of discussing habitat acquisition and protection.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Anybody second? (Unidentified second)

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. So it's moved and seconded and will then (telephone cut out) to executive session, so we need to....

MS. McCAMMON: We need to hang up. 1 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: ....hang up all the phones 2 3 and redo this phone call; is that right? MS. McCAMMON: An operator will call you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good. (Off record - 2:32 p.m.) 6 (On record - 3:35 p.m.) 7 8 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Barry and Ted. 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: How about Homer, are you on 10 line? 11 MS. McCAMMON: They probably aren't so just go 12 13 ahead, Jim. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. All right. Well, we're 14 back in session. We have an update. Molly, do you want to 15 kind of give a run down of what transpired in that executive 16 17 session or do you want me to kind of give a brief update? 18 MS. McCAMMON: I can do it, Mr. Chairman. Council in executive session basically discussed some current 19 20 issues regarding a number of the large parcel acquisitions that we're currently negotiating on, including Afognak, Tatitlek and 21 22 Chenega. And Eyak. 23 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: 24 MS. McCAMMON: And Eyak. 25 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. All right.

MR. SWIDERSKI: We also discussed small

2 parcels.

1.7

MS. McCAMMON: And we also discussed several small parcels also.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: All right. I guess, anyone else like to add anything about the discussion? Okay. All right. We'll let's move on to the agenda item dealing with Habitat Acquisitions, Small Parcels. Molly, you want to kick us off on that.

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I think what we'll use is that spreadsheet that I sent around and use that as the working document. What you have before you is a recommendation to take action today on six parcels that have been appraised and those appraisals reviewed and approved by both the state and federal review appraisers. And so the recommendation is to seek the Council to authorize the appropriate agencies to go forward and make offers on these parcels.

These parcels include KEN 1049, the Mansholt parcel.

This is a parcel of 1.64 acres on the Kenai River immediately adjacent to the Kobylarz parcel and to Mr. Oberts' parcel at Big Eddy. This is a parcel that's currently listed with a realtor, it's on the market now. It has not been in the small parcel system before this but the state is asking that this action be taken on this today because it is viewed as a lost opportunity if the Council does not take action. It would

greatly enhance the benefits of the Kobylarz parcel and if we are successful with Mr. Oberts in the future it would also have -- greatly extend protection on that area of the river.

The next section is the parcel for authorization to purchase would be KAP 103, the Kahutak parcel on Sitkalidak Strait; KAP 98, the Pestrikoff parcel on Sitkalidak Strait; KAP 132, the Peterson parcel on Sitkalidak Strait; KAP 101, the Haakanson parcel on Sitkalidak Strait; and then KAP 131, the Matfay parcel on Kiliuda Bay. And I'm sure I butchered all of those names, so whoever I did please forgive me.

These parcels are all inholdings within the former Old Harbor Native Corporation lands that were recently purchased by the Trustee Council. They each provide key marine access to the surrounding lands that are now part of the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. The shorelines and near shore waters in the area are used for subsistence purposes primarily by the residents of Old Harbor. They harvest salmon, water fowl, shellfish, deer, berries and others on these lands. They're also cultural resource sites that probably exist on all of these properties, especially near the access beaches.

Kiliuda Bay, in particular, has notable wilderness qualities and the parcels are in their natural condition without permanent buildings or continuous human habitation.

The harlequin ducks known to molt along the shoreline, the intertidal shoreline, of each of these parcels supports Pacific

herring spawning. There is also spawning pink salmon on these parcels.

And the recommendation is to go forward with the acquisition of these -- of the five Kodiak parcels and the one parcel on the Kenai.

In addition, there are four parcels that are before the Council today for proposed designation as parcels meriting special concern. This would enable the agencies to go forward with appraisals that would then be reviewed by the state and federal review appraisers. These four parcels were all rated low through the small parcel rating process, however, they each have some unique outstanding value that merits their going forward for action today.

The two parcels in Uyak Bay in particular are in highly desirable anchorages, they're heavily used by recreational users and by subsistence users in the area. They would both -- they have both been approved -- appraised by the BIA and it is expected that they would be put out to BIA auction. It's anticipated that they would go very quickly on that market and for that reason Fish and Wildlife Service is requesting permission to go forward so they can acquire those two parcels.

The two parcels on the Kenai River are part of a Salamatof Corporation subdivision. This subdivision has a public access easement alongside the Kenai River, it gets heavy use during the sockeye season in July, such heavy use that is

resulted in extreme trampling of the bank. About the only way to control the damage to the bank right now is to close it to bank fishing or to public use during the sockeye season. Fish and Wildlife Service is proposing to acquire these two parcels to restore the banks on these two parcels, to provide public access there, to have boardwalks with controlled fishing access in that area. And in this way it would make it easier to close the other areas off to stream bank fishing and to concentrate public use on these two parcels.

1.4

Fish and Wildlife Service has flood restoration monies from FEMA as a result of last year's flood. In order to commit those funds they need to have the parcels acquired and for that reason they're asking authority to go forward now with the appraisal. And pending review and approval of those appraisals come back to the Council at a later point.

And I don't know if Glenn Elison wanted to add anything further on any of these parcels.

MR. ELISON: I don't believe so Madam Chairman -- or Molly, I think you've captured the issue very well.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, I would move that the Trustee Council authorize to purchase the six parcels that Ms. McCammon just listed, specifically KEN 1049, KAP 103, KAP 98, KAP 132, KAP 101, and KAP 131, and that the Commission further -- or the Council further designate as parcels meriting special consideration the four parcels that Ms. McCammon just

described, specifically KAP 114, KAP 1055, KEN 1051 and KEN 1052.

MS. BROWN: Second.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: That was second, Michele Brown. Any discussion?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, if I could just underscore, I think, the tremendous opportunity that these small parcels provide to our restoration program. The Council has known in Kodiak that to supplement our large parcel acquisitions we needed to purchase certain small parcels to maximize our restoration efforts and these small parcels on Kodiak are essential to our restoration efforts on Kodiak. And I think all of us know from our many experiences how important it is in protecting the Kenai River to purchase these parcels on the river both to protect them from development and also in the case of KEN 1051 and KEN 1052 to provide reasonable, well defined, well developed outlets for fishing pressure. So I strongly encourage the Council to take the actions before us today and I think we can be very proud once we've done so.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Deborah, I do have one question. The -- just designation as parcels meriting special attention, wouldn't necessarily give you approval to go ahead and acquire them, if I understood it right, KAP 114 and KAP 1055 may be in jeopardy of being sold. Did I miss....

MS. D. WILLIAMS: No, that's correct,

Mr. Chairman. What we're seeking at this time is the authorization to do appraisals, we will come back to the 3 Council once we have those approved appraisals to seek purchase. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Very good. 5 Further 6 questions? 7 MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, this Alex Swiderski. Do we know the timing of the proposed BIA auction 8 on those two parcels? 10 MR. SHUCK: It can happen any time. 11 MS. McCAMMON: Could you identify yourself, Steve? 12 13 MR. SHUCK: This is Steve Shuck, Fish and Wildlife Service. As soon as the BIA completes the appraisals 14 15 it is at the sellers' or the land owners' motion to go ahead with public auction. It is a public closed bid advertised 16 through the media. 17 18 MR. SWIDERSKI: And have any of those steps been taken? 19 20 MR. SHUCK: The appraisals are complete, the owners have indicated that they want to sell but they are 21 giving us the first chance to make the offers to them. 22 23 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Well, let me -- does that mean 24 (telephone cut out) chance to make an offer before it went to

25

the auction block?

MR. SHUCK: Yes, that is correct.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: If we proceed expeditiously.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: And so I guess that means we have to be in a position to have a Council meeting to approve that on very short notice, then, is what I hear you saying.

And so you're saying it could be within the next week to 10 days?

MS. McCAMMON: Well these appraisals, first of all, need to be reviewed and approved by the state review appraiser and the federal review appraiser.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: And is that not already in the process? For some reason I had the impression that it had been appraised but not approved yet.

MR. SHUCK: The Abston property has received Fish and Wildlife Service review but has not been transmitted to the other federal or state reviewers. We have not see any of the Johnson appraisal work for any of the reviewers yet.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, I also have a question about the Salamatof parcels. Has -- the Council has consistently required a conservation easement on these small parcels that limits development and use of the parcels and I heard Glenn Elison talking about the use of these FEMA monies and I wanted to be sure that we're clear that nobody was contemplating using them in a way that would violate the

conservation easement that the Council has required in the past.

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ELISON: Mr. Chairman....

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Actually I think the Salamatof has the -- the properties have a 25 foot easement which they couldn't really do anything other than stabilization work if I understand right. Isn't that -- Glenn, isn't that right?

MR. ELISON: That's correct, Mr. Chairman, there is an existing 25 foot easement for public access on the two Salamatof properties identified. To speak directly to Mr. Swiderski's question, I think that in the course of reviewing and considering any offer the Council may choose to authorize, at the same time we will want to look at that easement to ensure that the proposed use of the money for Kenai River restoration is, in fact, consistent with the easement. If it's not, deliberate and potentially make exception for these two properties. Because the intent at this point is to provide for enhanced public access to protect these banks on these two individual properties as well as relieve damaging pressure elsewhere along the river. So we'll need to look closely at how that interacts with the easement that we had up to this point used.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: And so, Glenn, I can take it you commit to talk with Alex and Fish and Game about this before it comes back to the Council?

MR. ELISON: Certainly, and at that point 1 we'll.... 2 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Any further questions? 3 (No audible responses) 4 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: All in favor? 5 6 IN UNISON: Aye. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: I think the ayes are -- is 7 8 anyone opposed? (No audible responses) 9 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. Well, it's approved. 10 And I quess..... 11 MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman. 12 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes. 13 MS. McCAMMON: We have one other item on the 14 agenda for possible consideration today. 15 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Prince William Sound. 16 MS. McCAMMON: That's Project 97151, the Prince 17 18 William Sound Science Center. 19 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. 20 MS. McCAMMON: At the August 29th meeting the Council asked for further information concerning this proposal. 21 Based on our discussion with Council we did develop -- I had 2.2 staff develop a working list of issues and seek additional 23 information from the Science Center. You do have a copy of 24 25 that in your packet, as well as a copy of the original proposal and the original budget. We did have our legal counsel review this information and discuss with our individual trustees what possible action might be considered. And at this point I do have before you all the additional information that we were able to provide at this time.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Yes, Ms. Williams.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: I have a question, I think for Molly, although if anyone else knows the answer to it they can jump in. With respect the OSRI appropriation, the federal OSRI appropriation, do we know if that's just going to be year to year or is there some mechanism that ensures that they will get it for more than a year to year -- on a year to year budgeting basis?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, the language that was adopted in the Coast Guard Authorization Bill allows OSRI to use the interest from the 23 million dollars from the TAPLF Fund and they would get -- it's my understanding they would get that on a year to year basis. I'm not sure if Nancy Bird with the Science Center is on the line now or not.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: And Nancy -- yeah, she was.

MS. McCAMMON: She was on the line, I'm not sure. But it was my understanding they were getting that on a year to year basis, and it would be for 10 years.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: But my question being does

Congress have to reauthorize that every year do we know? Does it have to be proactively auth....

MS. McCAMMON: Yeah.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Nancy, are you on the phone?

MS. BIRD: Yes, I am on the phone.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Does Congress have to proactively reauthorize that money every year or is there some mechanism that quarantees it?

MS. BIRD: No, they do not have to reauthorize that according to the terms of the Coast Guard Reauthorization Bill. It's the intent and our understanding is the way the language reads right now that that appropriation has been made, it's not part of the annual appropriation process, it will come through the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund that is run by the Coast Guard.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: It's my understanding with discussion with Bill Hines that state -- the authorization was for the interest off this money for a period of 10 years and then the money goes back into the TAPLF Fund, so that would indicate to me that it doesn't have to go back for any approval process.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Any other questions? Bill

24 | Hines.

MR. HINES: Mr. Chairman, Ms. McCammon

mentioned did mention that they referred this for a legal opinion and do we have a reading on that? Do we have a legal opinion on this particular issue?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I think the questions that were raised, the major question that was raised in our discussion was whether there was a sufficient link to restoration with this proposal and if the primary justification for going ahead with the expansion of the facility is to consolidate personnel into one building to facilitate improved science. From that perspective alone there are a number of projects that the Council is already funding of a very large magnitude that are divided not only between different buildings in the same city or town, but also between different cities and towns in the entire state. So I think there were a lot of questions about justifying this proposal on that basis alone.

In addition, we looked at the cost benefit ratio in terms of the additional office space that is being paid for by the Science Center now amounts to about 1,000 to \$1,500.00 extra a month. If you were to amortize that over the number of years to get to \$500,000.00 you could certainly pay for the additional office space for up to 20 or 30 years, depending on the cost of the office space. So it was difficult to justify it on that basis either. It seems like the only possible justification for going forward with this from our discussion with the attorneys might possible be -- and certainly I don't

think this was necessarily a unanimous view, might possible be from the perspective that one of the missions of the Oil Spill Recovery Institute is to provide long term environmental monitoring of Prince William Sound. And certainly this ties in with the longer term mission of the Trustee Council in order to ensure the long term recovery of the Sound from the oil spill.

And so there was some discussion about if there was a commitment from OSRI of some kind to doing some form of long term environmental monitoring that that certainly was a more definite restoration purpose to the entire project proposal. When we looked at what the Science Center is now doing for the Trustee Council, the SEA Project is on the downswing of its funding, it's a six year project, I think we're entering the fourth year now and it is declining over time. I know the Science Center will be coming forward in the future with some additional herring work, but at this time that hasn't been reviewed by the chief scientist or any of the peer reviewers, we don't know what that might -- how extensive that might be or if the Council will go forward on that.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Michele.

MS. BROWN: Molly, was there any response to the question that we were provided to the Science Center to the question of the long term commitment for monitoring?

MS. McCAMMON: All the information that we have is included in the letter from Gary Thomas, and they did

respond to that in number 3. It was not a specific commitment but just saying that it compliments the Trustee Council restoration mission and programs.

MS. BIRD: Can I offer an additional response to that at this point? This is Nancy in Cordova.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Go ahead.

MS. BIRD: I just would point out that I don't think the Science Center could respond to that on behalf of the Oil Spill Recovery Institute's Advisory Board, it would be -- I mean, sort of arrogant of us to say that that's what that advisory board will choose to focus its activities on. That board is yet to be reappointed and once that board has been reappointed and met that kind of assurance could be asked of that board.

MS. D. WILLIAMS: Nancy, what do you think the time frame for the reappointment of the OSRI Advisory Board will be?

MS. BIRD: Well, we're waiting for assurance that the President has signed the bill, the last time I checked with the White House it was the top bill he was looking at. There's no reason to think he won't sign it, but we can't really go forward with soliciting nominations until that happens, but we're ready to solicit the nominations and get the Governor to make the appointments necessary as soon as that happens and hope to have the first board meeting within two to

three months.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Alex.

MR. SWIDERSKI: I would think we need either that kind of assurance or some other assurance that there was going to be ongoing work that would benefit restoration. And also that tied this expenditure to it, I mean, that somehow indicated that they needed the addition that they're proposing in order to do things beyond doing work that benefit a restoration. And I think right now we probably don't have either one.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: I -- it was my feeling that that's what we were trying to work our way towards with the questions that went to Gary Thomas, but it sounds like we need to do some additional work at this point.

It sounds like, Molly, that you also understand that at this point and I'm assuming that, maybe I'm wrongly assuming, but we'll continue to pursue trying to resolve that relationship and see if they flesh out if there is some opportunity there that links with the spill restoration in the long time -- long term recovery monitoring that we could move to some kind of an agreement with the Center to do and if the fit with and required this kind of expenditure for housing then maybe we could then sort out how much of it would be justified by oil spill money. To me that's kind of what I see us

continuing to do is to work with the Center to see what we can work out. And I guess my question is, do you see that as kind of where you're headed at this point or do we need some further action at this point?

MS. McCAMMON: Mr. Chairman, I guess just based on this discussion what I would do -- propose doing is just continue our work with the Science Center and see if we could flesh out some further expansion on our earlier discussion on what the Science Center in the future might be doing in terms of a restoration mission.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. That's good. And I saw Bill Hines nodding his head that he was agreeable to working with you also long with Dave Gibbons, and Michele is blinking, but anyway, we would be happy to help do whatever we can to help with that, so call on us if we can be of assistance.

MS. McCAMMON: Okay.

MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, the Department of Law was also nodding its head.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Good. Okay. Does that kind of closes that project and that agenda item and the agenda for the day?

MS. D. WILLIAMS: I move to adjourn, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Do we....

MR. HINES: Second it.

CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Do we want to adjourn or do we

want to recess if we're going to move with Tatitlek on short 1 notice, or these project or small parcels on short notice? I 3 just -- I'm not looking (telephone cut out) because I'm doing this for Phil, who will be here next time, I was concerned..... MR. SWIDERSKI: Mr. Chairman, I think your 5 instinct that we may want to recess is a good one, and that would be my recommendation. MS. D. WILLIAMS: I will take that as a 9 friendly amendment. CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Okay. So the motion is to 10 recess, do we have a second? 11 MR. SWIDERSKI: Second. 12 13 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: So we will recess until --Molly, you let us know when we need to move -- or get back 14 together on Tatitlek or the small parcels. 15 That's correct, Mr. Chairman. MS. McCAMMON: 16 17 CHAIRMAN WOLFE: Very good. 18 MS. D. WILLIAMS: Now we can all say, ciao. Ciao to Molly. 19 (Meeting recessed - 4:03 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	CERTIFICATE	
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )	
3	STATE OF ALASKA )	
4	I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix do hereby certify:	
5	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 4 through 37 contain	
6 7	a full, true and correct transcript of the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council's Meeting recorded electronically by me on the 16th day of October 1996 and thereafter transcribed by	
8	me to the best of my knowledge and ability.	
9	THAT the Transcript has been prepared at the request of:	
10	EXXON VALDEZ TRUSTEE COUNCIL, 645 G Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501;	
11		
12	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska this 23rd day of October 1996.	
13	GIONED AND GEDWINED HO DA	
14	SIGNED AND CERTIFIED TO BY:	
15	LaPVO D	
16	Joseph P. Kolasinski	
17	Ndpary Public in and for Alaska My Commission Expires: 04/17/00	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		