Meeting Summary

A. GROUP: Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Public Advisory Group (PAG)

B. DATE/TIME: February 21, 2002

C. LOCATION: Anchorage, Alaska

D. MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

NamePrincipal InterestGary Fandrei (telecon)Public-at-LargeChuck Meacham, Chair (telecon)Science/AcademicStan SennerEnvironmentalStacy Studebaker (telecon)Recreation UsersChuck Totemoff (telecon)Forest Products

E. NOT REPRESENTED:

NamePrincipal InterestTorie BakerCommercial FishingChris BeckPublic-at-LargeChris BlackburnPublic-at-LargeDave CobbPublic-at-Large

Brett Huber Sport Hunting & Fishing

Dan Hull Public-at-Large
James King Conservation
Pat Norman Native Landowner
Gerry Sanger Commercial Tourism

Vacant Aquaculture Martha Vlasoff Subsistence

Ed Zeine Local Government

John Harris Alaska State House of Representatives (ex officio)

Loren Leman Alaska State Senate (ex officio)

F. OTHER PARTICIPANTS:

Name <u>Organization</u>

DeDe Bohn U.S. Geological Survey

Bill Hauser Alaska Department of Fish and Game

Barat La Porte Patton Boggs

Molly McCammon Trustee Council Staff
Phil Mundy Trustee Council Staff

Doug Mutter Designated Federal Officer, Dept. of the Interior

Cherri Womac Trustee Council Staff

G. SUMMARY:

The meeting was convened February 21 at 10:05 a.m. by Molly McCammon. A quorum was not present.

McCammon gave an overview of the February 25 Trustee Council meeting agenda. The Council will discuss the status and disposition of investment funds, take action on the proposed Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee (STAC), make some minor adjustments to the FY 2002 work plan, and discuss a couple of small parcel acquisitions. The draft revised injured species list is not yet available for review, so that will be put on hold. The June 18-19, 2002, Watersheds and Oceans Symposium agenda will be discussed. The Council will initiate a review of their current operating policies and procedures to determine changes needed to implement the Gulf of Alaska Ecosystem Monitoring and Research (GEM) program. PAG members are invited to participate. The proposed revisions to the PAG charter will also be discussed at the Council meeting, but no action is proposed until April or June.

<u>McCammon</u> gave a brief report on the recent EVOS Annual Workshop. Gary <u>Fandrei</u> asked about the Kenai nutient session–some thought there was too much focus on salmon. Bill <u>Hauser</u> said that was recognized and there would be more concentration in GEM on other nutrients and resources of the Kenai watershed.

McCammon reviewed the proposed changes to the PAG charter to transition it into the Program Advisory Committee (PAC) [this information was distributed prior to the meeting]. The group discussed the proposed PAC membership. Fandrei asked about the distribution of members among the interests, since there are 20 members and fewer interest categories.

McCammon said this allowed more flexibility in finding qualified members. Stan Senner asked if the science/technology category member was to be selected only from the STAC.

McCammon replied that at least one member in that category would come from the STAC, and that if there were other members in that category, they could come from the STAC or elsewhere.

Stacy <u>Studebaker</u> asked what the difference was between the conservation and environment categories. <u>McCammon</u> responded that these categories were the ones originally designated for the PAG and that they may not need to be split in the same way. Chuck <u>Meacham</u> noted that Jim <u>King</u> suggested the possibility of including field-level biologists on the PAC to bring on-the-ground management into the picture. He also suggested graduate student as a possible category. <u>McCammon</u> noted that federal employees (e.g. a biologist) could not serve on a federally approved advisory committee and it might be unfair to only have state employees as members. One possibility to include field manager expertise was to ask the Trustee Council members to appoint a biologist from their agencies to attend the PAC meetings. <u>Meacham</u> agreed that this would be useful.

<u>McCammon</u> asked Chuck <u>Totemoff</u> if the proposed mix of Native landowner and tribal government made sense. <u>Totemoff</u> replied that tribal government was more akin to local government than to landowners. <u>Senner</u> asked about including the Regional Citizens' Advisory Councils as a member category. The group discussed deletion of the forest products category, possibly keeping the public-at-large category, and having a regional monitoring program

category. <u>Studebaker</u> also asked about possibly including a secondary educator category. <u>McCammon</u> noted were meeting was being planned in March with a group of science center educators from the region.

<u>Senner</u> asked what "balanced" means in terms of membership. Doug <u>Mutter</u> said that under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, advisory bodies were to have balanced memberships, and that approving authorities may well look at whether all categories were filled with a different member in order to obtain that balance. Therefore, to maintain flexibility, it may be helpful to combine similar membership categories. <u>McCammon</u> suggested combining recreation and tourism categories. The group generally agreed that the draft approach to the PAC was on the right track. This will be a topic of discussion, but not decision, at the next Trustee Council meeting.

Public comment was invited, but no one responded.

<u>McCammon</u> reviewed the STAC Process deliberations and recommendations. She expects the Trustee Council to take action on this at their next meeting. A work group deliberated on the STAC organization and process, and reached general consensus. Two PAG members participated. The group said the proposal looked good. <u>McCammon</u> asked for names of potential participants in the STAC nominating committee. She also asked for PAG volunteers to serve on the work group reviewing Trustee Council policies and procedures.

<u>McCammon</u> said that the National Academy of Sciences review of the GEM document is expected in April. She expects to make some revisions based on their report, and asked if the PAG would like to be briefed on the changes. <u>Meacham</u> replied that a briefing would be useful.

<u>Studebaker</u> and <u>Meacham</u> agreed that the public marine mammal panel discussion associated with the annual EVOS Workshop was worthwhile and should be done at other locations in Alaska.

The meeting adjourned at 11:15 a.m.

H. FOLLOW-UP:

- 1. PAG members who would like to serve on the policies and procedures work group should let <u>McCammon</u> know as soon as possible.
- 2. <u>McCammon</u> will give the PAG report at the upcoming Trustee Council meeting since <u>Meacham</u> will not be available.
- 3. PAG members are asked to submit to <u>McCammon</u> any suggestions for the STAC nominating committee.

I. NEXT MEETINGS:

- -A teleconferenced briefing this spring on GEM changes and updates to the injured species list
- -A meeting June 17 or 20 in Anchorage

-A field trip to Prince William Sound in mid-August	
J. ATTACHMENTS: (Handouts, for those not presen	t) None
K. CERTIFICATION:	
PAG Chairperson	Date