

WATER AVAILABILITY STRATEGY

FY 94 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

CONTENTS:

A) Water Availability Strategy - FY 94 Implementation Plan

Instruction Memorandum no. AK 94-010

From: Edward F. Spang

Date: October 25, 1993

1 page

This memorandum lists two attachments. Actually, it was distributed with attachments comprising an earlier memorandum and its own two attachments. They are:

A1) Water Availability Strategy - Fiscal Year (FY) 1993 Implementation Plan

Instruction Memorandum no. 93-184

From: Mike Penfold

Date: March 26, 1993

6 pages

This memorandum has two attachments. They are:

A1a) BLM Water Availability Strategy

Date: November 1992

20 pages

A1b) Water Management and the Park City Paradigm

Western Governors' Association Resolution 92-007

Date: June 23, 1992

3 pages

B) Review of BLM Water Availability Strategy

Memorandum

From: Edward F. Spang

Date: June 12, 1992

1 page

C) Notes On Water Rights Availability Strategy

From: Dick Dworsky

undated

1 page

United States Department of the Interior

ESTESV

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
ALASKA STATE OFFICE
222 W. 7th Avenue, #13
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99513-7599

7250 (933)

October 25, 1993

Instruction Memorandum No. AK 94-010

Expires: 09/30/94

To: DM's


From: State Director, Alaska

Subject: Water Availability Strategy - FY 94 Implementation Plan

Attached is Washington Office Instruction Memorandum No. 93-184, which was issued with The BLM Water Availability Strategy as an attachment. This initiative was identified as one of the top ten priorities for the coming years. It is our intention to implement this plan to the fullest extent possible, within current budget and manpower limitations.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that water-related issues will be of great importance in the future. Implementation of this strategy will position the Bureau of Land Management to fulfill its responsibilities, especially in the area of water rights. Please insure that this strategy is distributed to the appropriate staff in your district.

Additional copies of The BLM Water Availability Strategy may be obtained from Bunny Sterin (AK-933). If you wish to discuss any items related to the strategy or have any questions, please contact her at (907) 271-5069.


Edward F. Spang
State Director, Alaska

2 Attachments

- 1 - WO IM No. 93-184 (5 pp)
- 2 - The BLM Water Availability Strategy (20 pp)

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

5 2 48 PM '93

March 26, 1993

MAK SO 974A
ACTION COPY
910 912 915 918 920 930 940 960 970 974 975 980 940 950 960 970 980
3280
3286
2167
950

In Reply
Refer To:
4340 (222)

EMS TRANSMISSION 3/31/93
Instruction Memorandum No. 93-184
Expires: 9/30/94

To: AFO's

From: Director

Subject: Water Availability Strategy--Fiscal Year (FY) 1993
Implementation Plan

A water rights work group was created during FY 1991 at the direction of the Bureau Management Team (BMT), and given the charge of assessing the workload and capability necessary for the BLM to quantify and secure all water rights needed to support agency programs. The work group was also to develop recommendations for actions necessary to achieve this goal. A workshop was conducted, and a questionnaire developed and sent to field offices requesting water rights-related information. All BLM offices had an opportunity to identify and evaluate their needs, priorities and issues. The information developed through this effort was analyzed and presented in a report to the BMT titled The BLM Water Availability Strategy (Attachment 1).

The BLM Water Availability Strategy was approved as submitted at the May 1992 meeting of the BMT. This initiative was identified as one of the top 10 priorities for over-target funding. Additional funding requirements to implement this plan are to be included in your FY95 PYBP submissions. However, many of the recommendations in the strategy are within current capabilities. It is our intention to implement this plan to the fullest extent possible within current budget and manpower limitations. In the long run, we intend to implement the entire strategy as means become available.

It is important to relate that this initiative has been developed in conjunction with similar efforts by the Western State governments through, particularly, the Western Governors Association and Western States Water Council. Further, BLM personnel involved in this effort participated in the workshops

which resulted in the recently adopted policy resolution titled "Water Management and the Park City Paradigm" (Attachment 2). This strategy is consistent with and supports that resolution.

This initiative was also discussed at the recent annual meeting of the Association of Western State Engineers. At that meeting there was considerable discussion of the current expansion of definitions of beneficial uses of water by State governments, and the effects of increasing recognition of "public trust" requirements. The results of that meeting will be addressed in an information bulletin in the near future.

We have had numerous discussions with conservation, development, and other interest groups over the past few years related to BLM water rights activities. The strategy set out in this document will address many of their concerns, while enabling us to fulfill our responsibilities as a public natural resource management agency under our various authorities.

Since the BLM is responsible for approximately 25 percent of the land area in the West, it is imperative that we play an active role in the coordination and allocation of increasingly scarce water resources. We feel that this strategy, successfully implemented, will take us far in that direction.

The work group has reviewed the strategy and has identified those actions which can be accomplished under current budget limitations. Specific assignments have been coordinated with the offices responsible to assure that they are within current capability. The following strategies have been approved for immediate implementation and are to be carried out by the assigned offices:

GOAL I: WATER RIGHTS DIRECTION

Strategy 1.

Instruction memoranda will be drafted over the next year to clarify and expand operational direction for water rights acquisition and protection. A team will be formed to generate the recommended manual section revisions, incorporating input from both State and district offices. Responsibility: Washington Office.

Strategy 2.

State manual section supplements will be developed or revised after revisions addressed in Strategy 1 are completed. State manual section supplements may be revised immediately to address current water management issues in each state. Responsibility: All State Offices.

Strategy 3.

The Service Center will evaluate current research and other pertinent documents to make recommendations to the Washington Office about developing a technical handbook on methodologies for securing, ensuring and protecting water rights for agency needs. Responsibility: Service Center.

GOAL II: WORKLOAD AND FUNDING

Strategy 1.

Establish national criteria for prioritizing streams for instream flow quantification and reservation of flows. Responsibility: Washington Office in coordination with field offices.

Strategy 2.

Prioritize water rights needs, establishing as top priority those sources where inaction may preclude management options.

Strategy 3.

Establish a Bureau workload/funding strategy for dealing with ongoing/planned adjudications. Responsibility: Washington Office in coordination with field offices.

GOAL III: INVENTORY AND PLANNING

Strategy 1.

A pilot program will be initiated to evaluate existing RMP's to determine their adequacy in the area of water resources and water rights. The results will be used to review, and if necessary, revise national supplemental program guidance for the RMP process. Responsibility: Colorado State Office, Montana State Office.

Handbook supplements will be developed or updated to address physical and legal source inventory procedural guidelines for all activities involving water resources and water rights. Responsibility: All State Offices.

Strategy 2.

State data management systems will be reviewed for data standards, data transfer, and potential for eventually supporting a corporate data base. A report on findings will be submitted to the Washington Office. Responsibility: Service Center.

GOAL IV: INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

A white paper will be developed which provides principles and guidelines for ongoing interaction with other government entities. Public outreach activities will be undertaken when adequate program direction and budget efforts are realized. Responsibility: Colorado State Office, coordinating closely with the Western Governors' Association, Western States' Water Council, and key western state water agencies.

GOAL V: ORGANIZATION AND STAFFING

Strategy 1.

Position descriptions for State water resource specialists and water rights specialists will be reviewed and consolidated, and generic descriptions drafted. Responsibility: Montana State Office and Washington Office.

Strategy 2.

Budget and workmonth support for the position necessary to implement the strategy--hydrologists, water right specialists, and technicians--will be incorporated in all budget planning processes, beginning with the FY95 PYPB. Responsibility: District Offices, State Offices, and Washington Office.

Work will be initiated on recruitment and retention planning for these positions. Responsibility: Montana State Office and Washington Office.

UPDATE TO REPORT: The BLM and other agencies have been working with the Office of Personnel Management to update the current classification for hydrologists.

Strategy 3.

Explore the establishment of, or maintain, a state-level water resource coordination group, which shall include participation by the Solicitor's Office and Department of Justice. Responsibility: All State Offices.

Strategy 4.

Building upon existing Service Center expertise, formally recognize a permanent interdisciplinary technical water resources group to assist with water quantifications and technology transfer needed to support ongoing programs in each state. Identify additional expertise, including recreation specialist and water rights legal expertise, needed by the group to fully support BLM water rights management activities in FY95 PYBP. Responsibility: Service Center.

GOAL VI: TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

Strategy 1.

Expand water rights and water quality training for BLM personnel, focusing on managers, program leaders, and water resource specialists.

UPDATE TO REPORT: A work group has initiated development of a water rights training package. The BLM water availability training course which targets resource specialists with water and water rights acquisition needs is being developed. Interagency training courses for water specialists for water rights and water quality are under evaluation. A national-level water policy symposium for resource managers is under discussion. Complementary training modules for management-level training courses, drawing key topics and concepts from the symposium are under discussion as well. Responsibility: Design Team for Water Rights Courses.

Strategy 2 & 3.

Identify strategy(s) to address specific needs in the field, including specific assignments and technology transfer products. Responsibility: Service Center.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that water-related issues, particularly in the western states, will be of high visibility under the new Administration. Implementation of this strategy will position the BLM to fulfill its responsibilities, especially in the area of water rights. Achieving an appropriate balance of funding and expertise is critical to successful implementation. Release of the "BLM Water Availability Strategy" under this instruction memorandum is the first step in the effort we will conduct to secure the resources necessary to implement this strategy.

Additional copies of the attachments may be obtained from the Service Center Division of Resource Services (SC-212A). If you wish to discuss any items related to the strategy or have any questions regarding it please contact Ron Huntsinger (WO-222) at (202)653-9193.



Assistant Director, Land and Renewable
Resources

2 Attachments (Sent under separate by SCD/Resource Services)

- 1 - BLM Water Availability Strategy (20 pp)
- 2 - Water Management and Park City Paradigm (3 pp)

BLM Water Availability Strategy

November 1992






TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction	1
Goals and Strategies	3
Issues and Problem Areas For Further Study	11
Appendix A - Situational Workload Data	12

INTRODUCTION

In April 1991 the Assistant Director, Land and Renewable Resources, convened a group in Washington to discuss the water availability issues and water rights workloads facing the Bureau. The meeting led to a work plan, approved by the Assistant Director in June 1991, to review water availability direction, practices, and workloads Bureauwide.

The overall objective was to ensure that BLM has a consistent, comprehensive water availability strategy, including the identification of funding and other barriers that might prevent implementing such a strategy. The focus was a review of water rights required to support public land resource management in the context of changing western water law and practice. Specific objectives included the following:

1. Develop a consistent, comprehensive water availability strategy for BLM.
2. Ensure that the strategy is driven by, and based upon, resource management needs.
3. Relate the strategy to organization, funding, and program priorities to (1) ensure reflection in BLM's budget development process, (2) ensure appropriate staffing and organizational placement of responsibilities, and (3) allow interim decisions where possible.
4. Assure that water needs on non-BLM land are understood and considered when implementing this strategy.
5. Provide an outreach document to promote discussion and greater understanding of BLM goals, policies, and specific positions for water resources.
6. Lay the groundwork for activities and direction aimed at greater internal understanding and consistency when addressing water availability issues.
7. Ensure that BLM's overall strategy reflects emerging water management techniques and changing environmental and water use considerations.

In September 1991 a national Water Rights and Availability Workshop was held in Denver. Participants included key water resource specialists, program leaders, and managers from around the Bureau. The workshop resulted in a series of recommendations to BLM management and an agreement that field offices compile key water rights data to refine the recommendations.

The following goals and strategies represent the culmination of this effort. This Water Availability Strategy is intended to guide a number of action items throughout FY 1993 and beyond. BLM must take this comprehensive approach to water resources, and water rights in particular, to accomplish its resource management missions in the future. It goes without saying that this is integral to such initiatives as Range of Our Vision, Recreation 2000 and Wildlife 2000, the Riparian-Wetland Initiative for the 1990s, and others.

Implementation of BLM's Water Availability Strategy will remain a high priority for the foreseeable future. BLM will immediately begin to address the specific goals and strategies. The Bureau will work closely with other federal agencies, the states, and organizations such as the Western Governors' Association to ensure proper coordination throughout the process.

GOALS AND STRATEGIES

GOAL 1: WATER RIGHTS DIRECTION

Clarify or expand BLM water rights direction to achieve a comprehensive framework for addressing water rights issues and securing the availability of water for resource management purposes, while reflecting consideration for other local and regional water needs. This includes documenting, refining, and providing direction on the use of all available and appropriate techniques for securing, ensuring, or protecting water rights necessary for public purposes.

STRATEGY 1

Issue an Instruction Memorandum followed by appropriate manual revisions to accomplish the following:

1. Encourage vigorous adjudication and protection of existing water rights.
2. Ensure that Supplemental Program Guidance and other BLM manual sections address water availability and water rights needs.
3. Clarify the purposes for which BLM seeks instream flow protection or other water rights.
4. Reinforce and clarify the overall policy of seeking needed water rights.
5. Require that BLM review and evaluate other water rights filings for impacts on BLM resources and object/protest as appropriate.
6. Provide a clear policy statement and direction regarding the application of FLPMA permit authorities as related to private water developments requiring the use of public land.

7. Reinforce the current policy that water rights are to be considered in all land exchanges and transfers.
8. Clarify the legal requirement to assert federal water rights, including reserved rights, in general adjudications.
9. Ensure that groundwater issues receive greater attention and direction.

STRATEGY 2

Ensure that each BLM State Office develops a manual supplement to relate overall Bureau direction to individual state laws and procedures within its jurisdiction.

STRATEGY 3

Develop a handbook that covers available techniques and methodologies for securing, ensuring, or protecting water rights.

1. Outline alternatives in addition to acquiring new water rights for meeting BLM needs, including innovative approaches to water management that have proved successful within the context of existing state law(s).
2. Reinforce the use of BLM H-1740-1 project checklist to ensure that water rights are covered.
3. Review procedures to enforce policy(s) on water development authorization and trespass.
4. Include approaches for reconciliation of conflicts involving instream flow, riparian areas, wetlands, and in-situ wildlife and recreation use, where there is a discrepancy between some state laws and Federal resource management mandates.

GOAL II: WORKLOAD AND FUNDING

Establish a comprehensive understanding of BLM workloads in the water rights area and identify a strategy, funding needs, and priorities for addressing this workload.

STRATEGY 1

Establish priorities within each state for streams needing instream flow protection based upon agreed-upon national criteria, and propose the funding needed to meet these priorities on a multi-year basis.

STRATEGY 2

Set as top priority pursuing BLM water needs where there is a risk of precluding management options through inaction, with special emphasis on wetlands and waterfowl habitat, riparian areas, watershed and water quality, wilderness values, grazing management, and in-situ wildlife and recreation needs.

STRATEGY 3

Establish a Bureau workload/funding strategy for dealing with ongoing and planned general adjudications.

STRATEGY 4

Ensure adequate funding to evaluate existing and proposed private water rights, both on and off public land, to assess implications for BLM resource management needs.

GOAL III: INVENTORY AND PLANNING

Ensure that BLM inventory and planning processes effectively address water rights and other water availability considerations.

STRATEGY 1

Incorporate water availability, needs, and conflicts into BLM planning, including relationships to non-BLM uses and regional water issues.

1. Evaluate RMPs to determine their adequacy in the area of water resources and water rights. Develop updated guidelines following the evaluation.
2. Promote the importance of an adequate physical and legal source inventory as a basis for all future activities involving water resources and water rights.

STRATEGY 2

Improve documentation of water rights data to ensure both responsiveness to filing and adjudication needs and utility in Bureau program and planning activities.

1. Maintain and improve existing BLM state systems as the principal data base(s) for water rights information.
2. Evaluate existing systems to (1) improve technology transfer from state to state, (2) help ensure compatibility with modernization/GIS efforts, and (3) determine any need for a BLM corporate data base.
3. Stress the importance of data base coordination with state water rights agencies, including the potential for electronic data transfer.

GOAL IV: INTERGOVERNMENTAL COORDINATION

Improve intergovernmental coordination and cooperation (1) in water rights and water resource management generally, with special emphasis on involvement with state water law agencies, and procedures, and (2) through efforts to understand and relate to water considerations beyond the traditional scope of BLM activities.

STRATEGY 1

Take specific steps to improve water rights/water resource coordination with state governments and other federal agencies.

1. Develop a position paper/guidance for consistency in dealing with state governments, especially state legislative proposals affecting water rights, emphasizing instream flow, definition of beneficial use, and filing procedures.
2. Review/revise existing MOUs, or initiate agreements, to resolve water issues/conflicts or to identify mutual interests and responsibilities.
3. Pursue coordinated planning with other federal and state agencies as a means of improving joint or parallel water resource activities and resolving conflicts.
4. Review BLM water rights policies for consistency with those of the Forest Service and other Interior Department agencies.

STRATEGY 2

Conduct public outreach on water rights/quality activities and policies to achieve greater awareness, including a public outreach document.

STRATEGY 3

Develop a BLM strategy for reacting to FERC licensing, with emphasis on current relicensing.

GOAL V: ORGANIZATION AND STAFFING

Clarify and focus water rights and water resource management responsibilities throughout the organization, and provide the staffing needed to effectively address water rights workloads over the next 5-10 years.

STRATEGY 1

Direct each state office to ensure that it has in its table of organization a senior water resources staff person and a second position devoted primarily to paralegal/technical/data management activities related to water rights.

1. Develop generic position descriptions for a BLM water resource expert and a water rights specialist position at the state level .
2. Ensure that the state water resource specialist, in addition to other duties, provides leadership and coordination for water rights activities, including oversight of technician-level support.

STRATEGY 2

Ensure that each BLM district office has at least one hydrologist assigned to it, preferably full-time, to deal with all aspects of water resources, including water rights.

1. Develop a specific recruitment and retention plan for hydrologists in the Bureau, with emphasis on entry/district-level positions.
2. Take steps to ensure that technician-level support to the district hydrologist is available from existing district staff, with special emphasis on water rights training.

STRATEGY 3

Promote the establishment of a federal Water Resources Coordination Group at the state level to improve communication and coordination with the Solicitor's Office, with the Department of Justice (where appropriate), and among federal agencies.

1. Review staffing needs cooperatively with SOL/DOJ and support adequate staffing for legal water rights work.

STRATEGY 4

Building upon existing Service Center expertise, formally recognize a technical water resources group to assist with the instream flow studies and technology transfer needed to support ongoing programs in each state.

1. Include with the instream flow group a national water rights position to deal with policy issues and to provide technical assistance to field offices in special situations.
2. Use the Service Center technology transfer process as a starting point for this recommendation, with final placement contingent upon other organizational decisions related to Washington Office rightsizing.

GOAL VI: TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

Improve and, where appropriate, standardize BLM methodologies for identifying and quantifying water requirements for BLM resource management activities/objectives, and expand the understanding and application of these Bureauwide.

STRATEGY 1

Expand water rights and water quality training for BLM personnel, focusing on managers, program leaders, and water resource specialists.

STRATEGY 2

Expand BLM's ability to apply water resource technology by using external expertise, with special emphasis on university personnel.

STRATEGY 3

Develop an overall technology transfer strategy in areas related to water resources.

1. Develop water resource assessment guidance for WSAs and Congressional reports.
2. Develop a technology transfer strategy dealing with surface-groundwater interrelationships.
3. Develop/revise consumptive use models or formulas for animals (livestock, wild horses, wildlife) .
4. Develop a technology transfer strategy to quantify all non-consumptive beneficial uses.

ISSUES AND PROBLEM AREAS FOR FURTHER STUDY

While developing the overall strategy, BLM's state organizations identified numerous issues and problems. These issues and problems could not be thoroughly analyzed and require further study before specific recommendations can be made. With the implementation of this Strategic Plan, the Bureau will continue to investigate and address as appropriate the following situations:

1. Private water-right holders attempting to sell or transfer water off public land in conflict with resource management needs.
2. Situations where private water rights, often in excessive amounts, severely limit the ability to acquire water critical for resource management, especially with regard to instream flow and riparian areas.
3. Damage to riparian areas from the transfer of water off site.
4. Damage resulting from the failure of livestock operators to adequately maintain water projects developed under Section 4.
5. Difficulty in changing water uses for multiple use management where all or part of the water right is privately held.
6. Long-term damage from unregulated pumping of groundwater.
7. Situations related to trespass and unauthorized use of water.
8. Instances where over-appropriated basins preclude proper resource management.
9. Recurring threats posed by major water developments off public lands, especially with regard to upstream diversions and groundwater use.
10. Special funding and workload impacts in those states that require a certified engineering survey to accompany each water right filing.
11. Lack of clarity and consistency with regard to funding water rights activities in 4340 versus other subactivities.
12. Lack of consistent practice regarding the assertion of PWR 107 reserved rights in a general (McCarran Act) adjudication where a prior state right has not been established.

APPENDIX A - SITUATIONAL WORKLOAD DATA

In developing this strategy, all BLM state organizations provided the project team with workload data. The following discussion, a compilation and analysis of field data, is intended to be a general summary of field office needs and to provide some relative values among states. There was only a moderate effort made, however, to work with individual state program leaders to ensure complete accuracy in values presented.

- ***WATER RIGHT FILINGS***

Since the late 1970s, BLM has emphasized both applying for water use permits through state appropriative procedures and claiming water rights through general adjudications. During this same period, inventorying known water sources on public lands has also been a high priority. Table 1 and Figure 1 show total numbers of existing and remaining BLM water-right filings. Although this data shows over half of BLM's sources filed on, significant workloads will continue for existing sources. BLM has traditionally justified budgeted dollars by reporting water rights claimed, and it is these "reported" sources that are listed as existing.

- ***TYPES OF WATER RIGHTS***

BLM generally deals with two types of water rights: (1) state appropriative and (2) federal reserved. Table 1 presents water-right summaries under each of these categories. Overall, BLM is approximately 58 percent complete in filing for state appropriative rights and 53 percent complete in claiming federal-reserved rights. There is a notable variance in numbers of federal-reserved rights by state (see Figure 1); this may be attributed to different appropriative procedures between the states.

- ***FUTURE WORKLOAD***

The total remaining filings for both types of water rights equals 47,000 sources. A significant portion of the existing sources may require additional data, review for objections, or other work, such as legal actions.

- ***INSTREAM FLOW***

This workload is summarized in Table 2. Out of the 529 streams that need some level of instream-flow quantification and protection, slightly over half of these could be completed by the end of 1997. Although workload costs may appear to be high, BLM needs to look carefully at cooperative opportunities to complete this work and to establish national criteria for selecting key streams and rivers for

more costly assessments (perhaps 50 streams and rivers would qualify). Additionally, more training and use of in-house expertise to conduct assessments could reduce additional funds needed for this effort. Regardless of the measures taken, however, instream flow efforts are clearly underfunded.

● *WORKLOAD SUMMARY*

The projected workload for FY 1993-97 is summarized in Table 3. As a rough estimate, the ongoing workload addresses 30 percent of those existing sources reported in Table 1. During the 5-year period, approximately 17,500 sources out of 35,000 remaining could be filed on through state appropriate procedures. BLM could perfect 12,000 remaining federal-reserved rights and file on 1,500 new ground-water sources under state authorities. These accomplishments would not be completed under current funding levels.

● *SPECIAL WORKLOAD REQUIREMENTS*

Certain state requirements and schedules related to numerous general adjudications add to the complexity and timing of BLM's workload commitments. The following are some examples of special situations:

- In a massive effort to adjudicate Snake River water rights in Idaho, BLM must review litigation reports, file objections, fund filing fees, and prepare water right submissions. Numbers reported for Idaho in Table 1 reflect an unusually high Snake River litigation workload.
- Under the Montana Statewide adjudication, the court decided that claims for wildlife purposes require diversions, thus precluding BLM's claims on 4,000 undeveloped springs and potholes. This order requires BLM to develop and implement new legal strategies in order to manage these sources of water.
- The State of Oregon requires that a State official certify each water right application. Because of this State rule, BLM's expenses to secure water rights are substantially increased. Additionally, the State requires BLM to annually certify use on 6,000 existing water rights.

● *OTHER SITUATIONAL DATA*

Table 4 identifies (1) RMPs that inadequately address water rights and instream-flow issues, (2) Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) cases pending hydropower licenses or relicensing, and (3) current interagency agreements that specifically address water use and availability concerns. Future workloads may include RMP amendments, water power strategic planning, and additional interagency agreements. It is also estimated that FERC cases may be substantially higher than the reported value of 26. Tables 5 and 6 identify workloads associated with inventory, information management, and monitoring.

**Table 1. Existing and Remaining Water Rights Filings
March 1992
(Sources)**

States	State Appropriative		Federal Reserved	
	Existing	Remaining	Existing	Remaining
Alaska	26	1	0	0
Arizona	1,915	3,900	1,275	880
Colorado	4,000	3,000	2,000	0
California	1,676	1,050	120	2,600
Idaho	9,136 ¹	600	13,704 ^{1,4}	900
Montana	12,000	9,000	4,000	4,032
Nevada	316	6,480	10,300 ⁴	800
New Mexico	14 ²	50	800	50
Oregon	3,437	1,800	3,100	2,480
Utah	10,595	2,000	500	500
Wyoming	2,615	1,070	600	600
Totals	47,730 ³	28,951	36,399	12,842


¹High number of claims under Snake River Adjudication.

²Low number of filings because there are no state requirements to file on impoundments less than 10 acre-feet.

³Total existing includes both perfected rights and claims or applications with future actions pending.

⁴High number of Public Water Reserve sources.

**Figure 1. Total Existing vs. Remaining Water Right Filings
March 1992**



¹State appropriative and Federal reserved are included in totals.

**Table 2. Instream Flow Workload and Opportunities
March 1992
(Streams)**

<u>States</u>	<u>Totals</u>	<u>1993-1997</u>	<u>Cooperative Potential</u>
Alaska	23	6	23
Arizona	21	18	7
Colorado	10	3	3
California	101	25	65
Idaho	120	120 ¹	120
Montana	2	2	2
Nevada	12	12	6
New Mexico	7	2	2
Oregon	19	3	19
Utah	180	52	52
Wyoming	34	26	26
Totals	529	269	325

¹Includes Snake River Adjudication claims for instream livestock and wildlife watering.

**Table 3. Water Right Filings and Claims Workload for 1993-1997
March 1992
(Sources)**

<u>States</u>	<u>Ongoing¹</u>	<u>State Appropriative</u>	<u>Federal Reserved</u>	<u>Ground Water</u>
Alaska	8	1	0	0
Arizona	957	1,665	880	25
Colorado	1,800	1,000	0	100
California	539	1,050	2,600	70
Idaho	6,852	600	900	300
Montana	4,800	1,347	4,032	100
Nevada	3,185	2,000	800	650
New Mexico	244	50	50	50
Oregon	1,961	1,800	2,480	80
Utah	3,329	1,594	500	125
Wyoming	965	1,070	600	80
Totals	24,640	12,177	12,842	1,580

¹An estimated 30 percent of existing water rights will involve additional work, such as objections, litigation, etc. For purposes of this analysis, the workload was distributed evenly to all states. The actual "ongoing" work with existing rights may vary greatly by state.

**Table 4. Other Situational Data
March 1992**

<u>States</u>	<u>RMPs</u>	<u>FERC Cases</u>	<u>Agreements</u>
Alaska	3	8	0
Arizona	5	0	2
California	17	4	0
Colorado	10	3	0
Idaho	7	*	0
Montana	4	9	3
Nevada	10	0	0
New Mexico	7	0	0
Oregon	5	5	0
Utah	7	0	3
Wyoming	2	0	1
 Totals	 77	 29	 9

LEGEND

RMPs: Existing RMPs that lack adequate direction for water rights direction and instream flow protection.

FERC Cases: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) cases pending licenses or relicensing before 1999.

Agreements: MOUs and/or cooperative agreements that address water use and water rights.

* No estimate received.

**Table 5. Inventory Projections
March 1992
(Sources)**

States	Total Planning	Planned 5-Year	Federal Reserve Quantification	Ground Water
Alaska	0	0	0	0
Arizona	2,057	1,454	230	147
Colorado	2,000	1,000	0	100
California	520	1,600	1,450	10
Idaho	5,880	100	0	0
Montana	9,000	1,347	4,000	50
Nevada	5,000	250	80	50
New Mexico	800	800	10	10
Oregon	500	500	1,000	0
Utah	1,345	1,345	500	125
Wyoming	1,500	855	570	60
Total	28,602	9,256	7,840	552

Table 6. Data Management and Monitoring Projections
March 1992
(Sources)

States	Automated System Input	Non-Instream Flow Monitoring	Reaches for Instream Flow Monitoring
Alaska	0	0	20
Arizona	6,602	148	76
Colorado	1,105	0	5
California	5,135	1,530	15
Idaho	24,300	0	480
Montana	5,433	91	6
Nevada	1,332	70	1
New Mexico	1,041	30	1
Oregon	8,645	0	45
Utah	3,339	260	30
Wyoming	1,717	30	0
Total	58,649	2,159	679

SPONSOR: Governors Sinner and Symington
SUBJECT: Water Management and the Park City Paradigm

A. BACKGROUND

1. The West's water system has historically been a source of pride and tremendous benefits to the West. Over the years, needs which must be met and interests which must be served have changed, and the system has adapted to try to keep up with the changes. However, the West recognizes that the near gridlock, resulting from changing demands for water resources in a period of rapid urban growth, recognition of Indian water rights, need for protection of endangered species, concern for instream and other environmental values, lack of support for new water projects, scarce public funds, conflicting and overlapping laws and programs, and polarized positions among competing parties, continues.
2. Over the last year, WGA and the Western States Water Council have convened a series of three workshops in Park City, Utah which included an unusual and broadly representative mix of major players in water management. Attendees concurred on the reality of gridlock and risked setting aside their entrenched positions to consider ways to make the system work better.
3. Attendees agreed that the system has problems. The status quo isn't working very well; we can't make decisions of any magnitude in timely ways and can't carry them out when we do. The West is trying to solve new problems with old mechanisms, mechanisms which do some things very well but which are not able to meet all of today's needs.
4. Developing technical solutions and getting them implemented was seen as less of a problem than overcoming the reluctance to negotiate in good faith. Changing organizations was not seen as a priority solution. Changing institutional missions, decisionmaking processes, and empowerment, at the local and watershed level was.
5. Successful problem solving is occurring around the West. Including all affected interests and having flexibility to tailor solutions are key to many of the successes. Most of the new approaches require that states acknowledge and determine how to respond to "the public interest" -- what those interests are, who gets to speak for them, when they should be considered, and how they should be accommodated.

6. The three workshops produced what may be called the "Park City Paradigm," a broadly supported vision of what western water management should look like, and how it should function. The paradigm includes a set of principles, criteria for considering the public interest, and paradoxes which recognize the complicated nature of the decisions required.

B. GOVERNORS' POLICY STATEMENT

1. The western governors endorse the recommendations of those who contributed to the development of the Park City Paradigm. We recognize that the needs for effective water management are changing, as are the needs for other kinds of natural resources management, and we agree to confer with the full range of interests in the development of new approaches.
2. The governors endorse the Park City Principles to guide water management:
 - a) There should be meaningful legal and administrative recognition of diverse interests in water resource values.
 - b) Problems should be approached in a holistic or systemic way that recognizes cross-cutting issues, cross-border impacts and concerns, and the multiple needs within the broader "problemshed" -- the area that encompasses the problem and all the affected interests. The capacity to exercise governmental authority at problemshed, especially basinwide, levels must be provided to enable and facilitate direct interactions and accommodate interests among affected parties.
 - c) The policy framework should be responsive to economic, social and environmental considerations. Policies must be flexible and yet provide some level of predictability. In addition, they must be able to adapt to changing conditions, needs, and values; accommodate complexity; and allow managers to act in the face of uncertainty.
 - d) Authority and accountability should be decentralized within national policy parameters. This includes a general federal policy of recognizing and supporting the key role of states in water management as well as delegation to states and tribes of specific water-related federal programs patterned after the model of water quality enforcement.
 - e) Negotiation and market-like approaches as well as performance standards are preferred over command and control patterns.
 - f) Broadly based state and basin participation in federal program policy development and administration is encouraged, as is comparable federal participation in state forums and processes.

3. We recognize that in order to "play the pivotal role," states will have to assess their capacity to do what is needed. That will include reviewing state policy processes, laws and regulations, access to information and resources, staff capacity, coordination among agencies, ability to empower watershed organizations, mechanisms for basin coordination, and ability to incorporate the values and concerns currently represented in federal laws.
4. We offer to work cooperatively with federal agencies that are also considering reevaluating their roles, specifically to address concerns related to interagency and intergovernmental coordination, improving efficiency, developing new approaches for environmental protection, developing mechanisms for working with tribes and local governments, adopting basin mechanisms, delegating management responsibilities to states on a voluntary basis, and in general improving water governance.
5. We acknowledge as well the finding from the workshops that there is a need for high level leadership to present a vision: "This is what we need." We will continue to assert leadership in drawing the region into a common vision of how to protect both our water resources and the range of interests that rely on them.

C. GOVERNORS' MANAGEMENT DIRECTIVE

1. WGA staff should continue working with the Western States Water Council on strengthening western capacity to effectively manage western water resources, including methodically developing models for basin government structures for all western basins and spelling out specific intrastate and interstate procedures for effective water governance.
2. WGA staff, together with state staff, should be available to work with federal agencies, tribes, local groups, and other water interests to advance the overall coordination and balance of water use and management.
3. WGA staff, working under the governors' direction and with the Western States Water Council, should seek to identify and promote innovative approaches which promise to improve the effectiveness of water management and water governance.
4. This resolution should be sent to the appropriate congressional committees and federal water agencies, together with participants in the Park City process.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management
Alaska State Office
222 West 7th Avenue, #13
Anchorage, Alaska 99513

7250 (933)

JUN 12 1992

Memorandum

To: Director (200), MIB, Room 5650

From: State Director, Alaska

Subject: Review of BLM Water Availability Strategy

The BLM Water Availability Strategy, Preliminary Situational Workload, and Estimated Cost Data, dated March 1992, provides some strong direction for the BLM water rights program. The direction has been needed for quite some time. This document encourages integration between the water rights program and other resource programs, land actions, and the planning process. The strategy allows for better intergovernmental coordination, particularly with state governments. The strategy also identifies the potential work load associated with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) re-licensing process.

Because water rights are so complex and vary greatly from state to state, many questions have come up for both the water rights specialists and the managers. If this strategy is followed, many of these questions will be answered and many management concerns will be addressed.

/s/ WILLIAM C. CALKINS

Edward F. Spang
State Director, Alaska

Re: Notes on Water Rights Availability Strategy

While there is no argument that we need to increase the Bureau's water rights role and responsibilities, I have a couple of major philosophical problems with the tone and direction.

1. It is not clear that there is any integration of water quality and water quantity management. The continuation disjointed approach toward water management can have repercussions within a multi agency, shared responsibility framework. This becomes especially serious when the Bureau operates under the multiple use framework of economic growth as well as a better, cleaner, healthy environment.
2. How does this strategy deal with the role of water within a holistic framework of integrated natural resources management and planning. In other words how does this strategy complement or supplement the major Bureau thrusts such riparian, planning and recreation. As a second part of this issue there probable needs to be a relationship toward integrated, comprehensive natural resource planning whereby all facets of multiobjective land and water planning integrate such issues as ground water, wetlands, as well as economic social and environmental concerns.
3. This paper seems to simply substructure us into another program-in this case water- without identifying the overall reason why we want such a program and how this program need will support the overall mission of the Bureau. The real question then is why develop another vertically organized program with the focus on water rights and water rights adjudication at the limitation of overall hydrological unit management.

If the overall focus is just develop a new management and operational strategy to deal with water rights then this paper will get you there. If you see the problem as one of overall integrated natural resources(sic land and water) management dealing with water in a hydrologic sense, then this paper will not achieve that end

• Written by
Dick Dworsky

To
DIRECTOR

~~MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR~~

I am reviewing the BLM Water Availability Strategy

Preliminary Situational Workload, March 1992, provides some strong direction for the BLM water rights program.

This direction, ~~has been making in many states~~ has been needed for quite some time.

This document also encourages integration b/n the water rights program and other resource programs, ~~and~~ ^{land actions} the planning process. This has also been lacking in the past.

Because water rights are so complex and vary greatly from state to state, many questions have come up for both the water rights specialists and the managers. If this strategy is followed, many of these questions will be answered.

This strategy also allows for better intergovernmental coordination, particularly with state governments.



This strategy also identifies the ~~FERC~~ ^{ASSOCIATION} potential ~~can~~ ^{work} lead to the FERC relicensing process. ~~This will become~~

~~The~~ Water Power site reviews ~~currently~~ currently take up several work months in AK & will ~~to~~ increase here and other states.

ARJIS