2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24	

APPEAL OF DOYON, LIMITED	* *	ANCAB RLS 76-2
From Decision of Bureau of Land Management	* *	Navigability of Kandik
No. F-19155-26	*	and Nation Rivers

## VOLUME IV

# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Pages 613 through 835

September 29, 1978 9:00 a.m. Federal Building U. S. District Court Room 340 101 Twelfth Avenue Fairbanks, Alaska

#### 1 TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME IV 2 1. Joseph Childers 3 Direct Examination by Mr. Allen Page 613 Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor Cross Examination by Ms. Higgins 672 4 684 ReDirect Examination by Mr. Allen 709 5 712 Cross Examination by Mr. Luoma Second Direct by Mr. Allen 715 6 2. Charlie Biederman 7 Direct Examination by Ms. Taylor 716 Page 8 Cross Examination by Mr. Allen 737 Cross Examination by Mr. Luoma 761 9 ReCross Examination by Mr. Allen 765 ReDirect Examination by Ms. Taylor 767 10 3. William Long 11 Direct Examination by Ms. Higgins Page 769 12 Cross Examination by Mr. Allen 783 Cross Examination by Mr. Luoma 783 13 Richard Stern 14 Direct Examination by Ms. Higgins Page 784 15 Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor 811 Cross Examination by Mr. Allen 825 16 \* \* \* 17 **EXHIBITS** 18 Hydrograph of Chena River at Fairbanks Page -625 19 625 Hydrograph of Hess Creek 20 627 1978 discharge measurement 21 643 Monthly mean discharge hydrograph 22 649 Model unit run-off hydrograph 23 681 Field notes 24 682 Summary of field notes 25

# TABLE OF CONTENTS - VOLUME IV (cont.)

M-1	Мар	Page	776
LA-2	Historian report of Richard Sterns not received in evidence		792
VA-3	Pictures of boats		801
LA-4	Joint historian report, not received into evidence		832
	* * *		

# R&R COURT REPORTERS

.11

1		PROCEEDINGS
2		JUDGE LUOMA: This hearing will come to order.
3	Let's	see, who's leading off this morning?
4		MR. ALLEN: We're calling Joe Childers.
5	·	JUDGE LUOMA: Alright.
6		JOSEPH CHILDERS
7	Being	first duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows:
8	BY MR	. ALLEN:
9	Q	Mr. Childers, would you state your name and address
ίΟ		for the record, please?
11	A	Joseph William Childers, 1410 Patterson Street,
12		Anchorage, Alaska.
13	Q	What is your present occupation?
14	A	Hydrologist for the U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources
15		Division, Anchorage, Alaska.
ا 6	Q	What is your position within the Water Resources Division?
17	A	I'm now the Chief of the Arctic Hydrology Section of the
18		division.
19	Q	How long have you been with the Geological Survey as a
20		hydrologist?
21	. A	Twenty-five years.
22	Q	How much of that was in Alaska?
23	Α	Twenty-two years.
24	Q	What are your basic responsibilities as a hydrologist,
25		Chief of the HydroArctic Hydrology Section?

1	. A	Basically doing studies of water resources, characteris-
2		stream flow characteristics in frontier area of the
3		State, remote areas where conventional stream gauging
4		data hasn't been collected. Thereinin enough detail
.5		to give us grid estimates. Plus I've been doing channe
6		geometry to help evaluate channel characteristics of
.7		streams, particularly in the Arctic part of the State,
8		in the last few years.
9	Q	Have you measured and computed the flow characteristics
10		of streams all over the State?
11	_ A	Yes.
12	Q	What is your educational background?
13	A	Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering, University
14		of Colorado, 1950. Graduate training in hydrology and
15		hydraulics of rivers. University of Arizona, 1965.

- A Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering, University of Colorado, 1950. Graduate training in hydrology and hydraulics of rivers, University of Arizona, 1965.

  And I'm a pro--registered professional engineer in the State of Alaska in Civil Engineering. And I've ob--at--attended and participated as both instructor and as student in numerous seminars on hydraulics of riversand on hydro--service water hydrology.
- Q Have you had any publications published in the area of hydrology?
- A Yes.

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Could you identify them?
- A I--I can list three I think are pertinent to the--the

14 15

17 18

16

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 definition of stream flow characteristics. These would be an open file report entitled, "A Proposed Stream Flow Data Program for Alaska", dated 1970. A--a U.S. Geological Survey open file reported, dated--or entitled "Water Resources of Alaska", dated 1970. A--a U.S.G.S. open file report entitled, "Flood Frequency in Alaska", dated 1970. Other reports that might be pertinent to evaluation of channel geometry and channel features would include a series of open file reports entitled, "Channel Errosions Surveys Along the Trans-Alaska Pipe-These were annual reports.

- Q What are the practical applications of the general work--of the work that you and the hydrology division in general perform in Alaska?
  - We are--the Water Resources Division of the U.S. Geological Survey has a mission of being the water data collection agency with the Federal Government. And the Alaska District has charge of the Water Resources data collection for the Federal Agencies throughout the State of Alaska. Our work entails operating a network of basic data collection stations throughout the State. These include stream gauging stations and water quality sampling stations. In addition we do specialized project work, both Statewide and in selected areas of the State for purposes of evaluating the regional or aerial, or in

- some cases Statewide water resources characteristics.

  That, basically, is our mission.
- Q What types of agencies or organizations benefit from your data gathering and for what purposes?
- The Federal Agencies benefit in many ways. The--the action agency, such as the--as the Corp of Engineers, the Alaska Power Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Forest Service, the Bureau of Land Management, and many other agencies use our data and use our interpretations of the Water Resources characteristics for planning and building and managing water resources projects in the State. We--you--you mentioned just Federal Agencies--
- Q No, I mentioned any organizations, private--
- A The State--the State of Alaska, in its various departments, have utilized our services for many years in
  cooperative ventures to--to fulfill the State's responsibilities.
- Q How would the State Department of Highways, for example, use your work?
- A The Department of Highways uses our--our stream flow data in particular for evaluating flood characteristics in regions of the State to which they build highways.

  They use our flood information in sizing their bridges, culverts. They've also used our hydraulic exper--en-

6

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16<sub>.</sub>

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

gineering expertise in evaluating the full characteristics pass their bridges and other structures.

- Is it correct then that they're attempting to predict the flow characteristics in the future for rivers at which they're putting bridges across?
- A Precisely.
- Q And would the Fish and Wildlife people also be attempting to predict flow characteristics in the future?
- A The Fish and Wildlife Service have used our services in many--for many purposes. Certainly one would be in giving them information about the flood characteristics of streams where they've planned to build structures.

  And also their interested in the low flow characteristics of streams in selected places, such as in locating fish hatcheries to--to find sustained or dependable water supplies. And they also use our data in evaluating stream flow characteristics in general for helping manage the fish resources.

JUDGE LUOMA: Is--excuse me, is that the Federal service you're talking about or State Fish and Game?

- A Both.
- Q Are many of the rivers in Interior Alaska gauged? And maybe if you'd explain what gauged means, first.
- A A ga--a stream gauging station is--is a--is a site

  on a stream, with a--with an installation of specialized

equipment that allows us to collect a record of the
discharge that passes that site that's on that stream.
And the stream gauging stations do provide athe basic
data used in evaluating the stream flow characteristics
Actually stream gauging stations have many purposes.
And one purpose is for current data, such as flood
forecasting information for the National Weather Servic
where we are interested in the current conditions of
the river and with the idea of being able to predict
the near future conditions of the river. Another type
of use of stream gauging data is in collecting long
records or record in sufficient length to allow
statical analysis of the data records to evaluate the
characteristics of the stream flow at the site. A
third purpose of the stream gauging data is to collect
sufficient samples in a region to allow generalization
or, as we call it, regionalization of the stream flow
characteristics throughout the region.

- Are--do many of the rivers in Interior Alaska have a Q long history of gau--of gauging?
- By many--is that what you ask?
- Q Yes.
- By many, I'd say no. By many in comparison, Pardon me. say with most of the rest of the nation, in fact Alaska is probably one of the sparses gauged areas in the -- it

6 7

5

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 800

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

is the sparsed gauged area in the -- in the U.S., I'm sure--certain. And it ranks -- and parts of Alaska rank as some of the sparsed gauged areas in the world. there are long records, relatively long records, in some or parts of Alaska. By long I mean fifty--twentyfive to fifty years of record.

- Q In developing reports on rivers for use by say the State Highway Department is your job and the job of the division in general largely devoted to trying to interpolate from the existing available data what the characteristics of an ungauged stream would be?
- Α In many cases this is true.
- Would you say from your background as a professional hydrologist and your twenty-two years working in that field in Alaska, that you would consider yourself an expert in evaluating and analyzing the flow characteristics of ungauged streams?
- I'm sure I would have to be considered an expert, although Α I don't like the term. I'm called on frequently by consulting engineers and -- and managers of water resources or planners of water resources in Alaska to provide information about stream flow characteristics throughout the State.
- You mentioned some of the types of measurements that Q are taken on streams. Perhaps you could amplify that

а	little bit.	What,	for	example,	do	you	mean	Ъу	channe]
ge	eometry?	ť							•

- A Channel geomety in the--in the practical sense of the term as I use it refers to the dimensions of the channel Such--perhaps such measurements as the bank full width, the bank full depth. In another sense hydraulic--or channel geometry refers to the--the relation of the width to the mean depth and the water surface slope, with a changing discharge.
- Q Are the measurements of channel geometry you're talking about basically cross-sectional measurements?
- A Cross-sections of the channel are the--are the important part of the channel geometry determination.
- Q What do you mean by discharge?
- A Discharge of a stream refers to the time rate of flow of volume of the water passed a section--passed a cross-section in the stream.
- Q In layman's language does that simply mean the amount of water that's flowing down a river at a given point and a given time?
- A Yes.
- Q . What do you mean by stage?
- A Stage refers to the elevation of the water surface above a datum, a zero point.
- Q In layman's language again, this just would be the

5

25
----

1		<b>_1</b> _1					
height	OI	the	water	at	any	given	point?

- Α Yes.
- Can you state generally what the relationship between Q the height of the water or stage, as you call it, and the discharge is?
- Α In general the--not in general. Usually the--the stage increases as the discharge increases. And more--more particularly at selected sites on a stream, in general. We are able to get a very definite relation -- a very well-defined relationship between the stage and discharge showing an increasing relation of stage to discharge.
- Where does the -- typically does a stream carry the bulk Q of its flow, in what portion of the channel? Near the bottom, near the sides?
- Oh, well the velocity distribution in a cross-section Α of a stream characteristically is high--the maximum velocities will be near--near the center of the stream, near the surface. If it's on a bend, it'd normally be toward the outer side of the bend, but near the surface. In general the maximum velocities will be at the surface Most of the water will be transport--will be moving near the surface.
- Q Could you explain what a hydro-graph is?
- Α A hydro-graph is a--an illustration of--of the time rate

6

4

A

11

9

23

25

of variation of the discharge at a site on a stream. Q Is is generally measured on a daily basis or what time-what unit of time is used for a standard hydro-graph?

- Well, the--hydro-graphs can--can be developed for various purposes with different units of time used to--for it to show the discharge. In other words, you--you can show an instantaneous hydro-graph where you would show the instantaneous changes in the discharge--variation in the discharge. Or you can show--you can--you can average the discharge over a day--over each day and plot that. And that is a very commonly used tool for our purposes. In other words, using the daily mean discharge, but we also can use the da--the monthly mean discharge, or various time pur--time units.
- What do you mean when you call -- what is the term flashy Q mean in connection with a stream?
- Flashy really represents the -- the relative short time Α variations in discharge at a site on a stream or on a stream.
- Can you explain that in layman's language? Q
- Α A--a flashy stream would be one where one could expect changes of discharge of several fold within a very short time period, say a week, or perhaps a day. Or. as a matter of fact, a very flashy stream, where one could get a peak discharge of three to five times the

23 Q

daily mean discharge during selected days.

- Q the smaller stream in the region of Interior Alaska which we've been referring to as the middle Yukon Area, and I am not including the Yukon, are the tributaries of the Yukon considered generally to be flashy streams?
- Α I'm sure they are. We've heard testimony here that personal observations of -- of witnesses that -- that give me that impression. Myself, I--I know that streams in similar hydrolo--hydrologic settings in the State that we have stream gauging records on do show a very flashy hydro-graph, very flashy conditions.
- Can you give some examples of gauged streams that you 0 are talking about that ha -- that are considered flashy?
- The Chena River, the Hess Creek, Wiseman Creek, Α Yes. the Middle Fork of the Koyukuk River, the Jim River, the Salcha River, Berry Creek, and numerous others in the State are definitely flashy--
- What climatic zone would you consider these streams Q to be in?
- They're all in the Interior climatic zone, as shown Α by the National Weather Service. Characterized by contintental climate.
- Do you know roughly what the annual average rainfall in this area is?
- Α It's been mapped by the National Weather Service.

Thirty-nine are received in evidence.

- Q Would you--can--can you determine from this hydro-graph whether these rivers would be considered flashy or not? And how can you so determine?
- A They--I would consider these flashy. By examining the shape of the hydro-graph. As shown we can select some of the sharper rises that have occurred, such as in the July on Chena River, the discharge changing from about a thousand second feet--a thousand cubic feet per second discharged to something over five thousand cubic feet per second in about a day. And likewise in June we had a similar rise. That would be the basis of it.
- On--as--as illustrated on these two exhibits would you say that the high water would tend to be the norm or the low water? That is to say, are the peaks more representative of the normal condition or are the valleys?
- A The--the peaks are infrequent. And the recessions--thethe more--less varying portions of the discharge are
  more frequent, more common.
- Q Were these two periods of time selected for any particular reason or are they--would you imagine representative of other years on these same creeks?
- A They were selected pretty much at random. Although, we did--I didn't select very carefully. I wanted them

- to demonstrate the--the flashiness, actually, of these streams. They're fairly typical. I would guess.
- Q And would you say these--scratch that. Are you familiar with the Kandik and the Nation Rivers?
- A Limited--in a limited sense, yes.
- Q What is the extent of your familiarity?
- A I have studied the drainage basins and the stream channels on topographic maps, climatic maps, and I have visited the--both streams during 19--during June of 1978
- Q Have you spent considerable time studying those streams in connection with preparation for this hearing?
- A Yes.
- Q And have you been present throughout the hearing up to this date?
- A Yes.
- Q And you observed the slides and heard the testimony?
- A Yes.
- Q From what you have seen and heard would you conclude that these rivers, in terms of flashiness, are similar to the Chena River and Hess Creek?
- A I would.
- Q . Did you take some measurements of these streams in June?
- A Yes.
- Q Did someone from the Geological Survey go back to these streams to take measurements in August, to your knowledge?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Were these people under your supervision?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you have the measurements that were taken by both
5		you and by the employees in August who were acting under
6		your supervision?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Have you directed that a table be prepared showing the
9		tabulating these measurements?
10	A	I selected some of the selected data, yes.
11	Q	I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit B-Forty and
12	·	ask if that is the table that you have directed to be
13	·	prepared?
14	A	It is, yes.
15	,	MR. ALLEN: I offer this asas an Exhibit
16	in e	vidence.
17		JUDGE LUOMA: Any objection?
18		MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Childers, what do the lines
19	on th	he tables
20	A	Oh, theit means we haven't the data, we did compute
21		that.
22		MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Fine. I have no objection
23		MS. HIGGINS: No objection.
24		JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-Forty is received
25	, in ex	vi dence

Į	*	<b>&gt;</b>
1	Q	Can you explain generally how the measurements are
2		taken ofof stream discharge? What is the physical
3		process?
1	A	Discharge is measured by measuring the cross-section

- A Discharge is measured by measuring the cross-sectional area of the stream. And by measuring the mean velocity of the stream. To get this data we customarily divide the cross-section width into increments of width so spaced as to give us in general at least twenty increments.
- Q What do you use to divide the river this way?
- A On a wide stream, such as the Nation and Kandik, wide in sense--a relative sense here, we use a--what we call a tag line. It's a steel line of various dimensions, depending upon how--how much width we have, which is graduated in--in increments of distance, such as two feet. This tag line is fastened to one bank, stretched across the stream, normal to the dis--to the flow lines, if possible. That is perpendicular to the flow direction. And it allows us a very convenient way of measuring the--it--width increments across the section.
- Q Then what'd you do, once you stretched this tag line across the stream?
- A We then determined the depth for each increment of width by various means. If we are able to wade the

.. 

stream, we use a wading rod, which is a steel staff graduated in feet and tenths of feet. If the depth is--of if the flow conditions do not allow wading, then we normally use--in--in either a boat or a bridge or a cable weight. On the Kandik and Nation Rivers we--we used a boat. We were able then to suspend a weight on a graduated suspending--suspension line and thus read the depths by suspending--hanging the weight over the side of the boat in a vertical de--position and determining depth.

- Q And each of these depths at--what in--increments--what intervals were used on the Kandik and the Nation?
- A Tenths of feet.
- Q I'm sorry, what horizontal increments, how often did you take a depth--a depth reading on the tag line?
- A We--we tried to divide the cross-sections in--into a minimum of about twenty increments. So I think they were, oh, along the vicinity of five feet. I can't--I'd have to look at my measurements individually to determine.
- Q What--in addition to recording the depth at each increment, what other reading would you make?
- A The current velocity of the water at selected depths within that stream to--to allow us to evaluate the mean depth.

8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22 23

24

25

21

Q The mean depth?

- Α In the vertical plane at that section, at that width increment.
- What does the instrument look like which measures stream Q velocity?
- Α The current meter that we use is a very--is a--a vane That is, it has cups that rotate about a vertical meter. access. And the speed of rotation is directly related to the velocity of the stream current at that point, when they're in use.
- Is there a little electronic meter that registers the Q speed which you can read it off of?
- The -- the mechancial rotation of the vanes is -- breaks a --Α is--causes an electrical current to be interrupted for ever one or five revolutions, depending upon the setting by the hydrographer, so that the -- the method of obtaining the velocity of the current is to count clicks, as we In other words, an electrical circuit interruptions.
- Q And do you use a stop watch to time the intervals at which these clicks are counted?
- That's right -- right. Α
- Q Do you take these readings near the top or near the bottom of this stream?
- Α We take readings at six-tenths of the depth from the surface, or at -- or we average the readings at two and

10

8

14 15

16

17 18

> 19 20

21

22

23 24

25

eight-tenths of the depth. These have been determined in our studies to give us the mean depth in the vertical, at that location.

- Q Calling your attention specifically to the Kandik and the Nation Rivers and referring you to Exhibit B-Forty, do the three locations listed under each river indicate locations at which discharge measurements were made either in June or in August?
- A Yes.
- Q How many discharge measurements did we make in June-or did you make in June?
- A There are three shown here. Three
- Q And what were the sites that they were taken at?
- A We measured the discharge of the Kandik River below
  Big Sitdown Creek. We measured the discharge of the
  Nation River below Jungle Creek and above Hard Luck
  Creek.
- Q What does the column refer to which is entitled, "drainage area, miles squared"?
- A That is--that shows the--the drainage area of the drainage basin above that discharge measurement point, as determined by a technician in my office for--using U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps.
- Q Why is there no drainage area listed under below Indian Grave on the Kandik and above Hard Luck on the Nation?

6

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

- A Apparently we didn't compute it, I don't know.
- Q Could that be computed?
- A Yes.
- Q And the figures that are listed were computed under your supervision?
- A Yes.
- Referring you to the measurement taken below Big Sitdown at the Kandik, would you describe any difficulties that you had in making that measurement?
- Yes. The--the conditions--the flow conditions were such that it was dangerous and extremely difficult to measure the discharge with the equipment we have, at the time. We had no motor on our inflatable boat.

  So we were forced to--we--we had to paddle the boat across the stream, dragging the tag line through the water behind us to try to get it across the stream.

  This required us to fasten the tag line on one bank and stretch it to its maximum width--or length upstream, along the bank. And getting into the inflatable boat, two of us paddling with all of our strength to get across, and hoped that we would reach the other bank before the current swept us downstream.
- Q How many people were helping you?
- A There was just myself and one other . .
- Q Who was that other?

- A It was Jack Allen.
- 0 Me?
- A Yes.
- Q Did we, in fact, finally get the tag line stretched across?
- A We did.
- Q And then what did we do?
- A We then began our measurement, using a sound--a sounding weight and current meter and suspension hand line cable.

  I could--do you want me to describe the difficulties?
- Q Yes, please.
- We were able to--to successfully measure depth and velocity for several cross--for several increments of width along the bank that we--from which we commenced But after a time the--the depth and velocity were such that our drag on the tag line, which we were using to hold the boat, snapped the tag line.
- Q How were we holding onto the tag line, with the--with the boat?
- A You were in the bow holding the tag line by hand.
- Q Were you surprised at the--or had you excepted measurements to--the measurements to present those difficulties?
- A Actually no. I was--otherwise I would of--wouldn't have made the trip. The reason being that we--we had short time to plan this trip. But in my looking at the hydro-

graphs of similar--of--of sta--gauging stations at sim-on similar streams, I concluded that the chances were
good that we would find conditions that would be
easily measured with the equipment we took. But as it
turned out, when we reached the area, we found that
considerable rain was falling and had fallen in the
previous days, so that the river was--rivers were very
high, relatively, for that time of year, I thought.

And you can--and the con--but yet we had made the trip,
we were there, we didn't want to go away empty-handed.

We gave it all that I thought was reasonably possible.

In fact, I requested that we not try any more measurements
on the Kandik during that trip. Because I felt we were
endangering our lives with the measurement we made,
actually.

- Q (Pause) Did you observe any visual evidence along the Kandik that the river was in an abnormally high state?

  A Yes. The Kandik was--in some reaches the stage was into the vegetation along the banks. This was--this seemed to be--to be abnormally high for that time of
- Q What other indications are there that the river is higher than normal?
- A The water was extremely--well, not extremely, but it was quite turbid. That is, it--although we didn't measure

year.

9

.11

810 "N" STREET

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

277-0572

the turbidity we were not able to see more than a half a foot beneath the surface, which is fairly turbid for a stream in that hydrologic setting.

- Q Was there evidence--vi--visible evidence that the river at some time in the past had reached even higher levels?
- A Yes, there were deposits of flood debris--debris that had been deposited by floods considerably higher.
- Q Were there any water stains on rock walls?
- A Yes.
- Q (Pause) Did you make generally the same observations when we reached the Nation River?
- A Yes. The Nation was likewise in a--in a high water stage--or condition for that time of year. We observed, as--as was testified to Jules Tileston, we observed flow and--or the water stage up in lar--bank vegetation in some locations. And tur--and the water was quite turbid. Which indicates high water in these streams. And then also there were, at times, considerable floating debris, such as logs and drift material, wooden material. So it was definitely fairly high for that time of year.
- Q Are the three locations for each river that—which are shown on Exhibit B-Forty also marked on the overlays to the aerial photos, which are B--Exhibits B-Sixteen and B-Seventeen?
- A Yes.

1	Q	Can you go to those Exhibits and point out for the
2	·	Court where those three locations are on each river?
3	A	On the Nation River the site near the mouth is a
4		just at the head of the distributary (sic) near the
5		delta.
- 6	Q	What symbol is shown on the overlay to
7	A	It's a circle with aa darkened cross.
8	Q	And what is written?
9	A	It says, "G.S. flow measuring station".
10	Q	And where are the other two, if you recall?
11	A	Let's see, the other on the Nation or one of the others
12		is above Hard Luck Creek. And it's at this location.
13	Q	Is that just below the solid yellow line?
14	A	It is.
15	Q	And where is the final station?
16	Α,	It is below Jungle Creek and it is located at this
17		point.
18	Q	And is that just upstream from the dotted yellow line?
19	A	Yes, from the dashed line.
20	Q	And are the places on the Kandik similarly spaced above
21		and below the dotted yellow line?
22	Α	Near the mouth yes. And below Indian Grave Creek
23	•	Oh. I don't see it. Yes, there is one below Indian
24		Grave Creek, but itit's clear downway down from
25		Indian Grave Creek. Yes. It looks like its within that

5

9

11

boundary.

- Q Is there one up at--
  - MS. TAYLOR: Are we--
- Q --Big Sitdown Creek?
- A Below Big Sitdown Creek? Yes.
- Q (Indiscernible--simultaneous conversation)--

JUDGE LUOMA: (Indiscernible--simultaneous conversation)--are they marked with these similar circles that you've--

MR. ALLEN: Yes, they are. There does appear to be one discrepancy. The one below--that is listed here as below Indian Grave is shown a number of miles below. I'm not sure whether the discrepancy is on the Exhibit or on the overlay. And we will clarify that after the first recess.

- Q (By Mr. Allen) In taking discharge measurements is it important to take them at intervals of say every quarter or half mile along the stream?
- A Depending upon your purpose. For our purpose I thought not.
- Q What factors serve to increase the flow in a stream at any given point in time?
- A Con--if--if I understand correctly you mean during a steady flow period or essentially a steady flow--
- Q Right.
- A --where--where you're not getting storm run-off. Dis-

5

Α

25

charge will tend to increase with drainage area size, so that as you get significant tributaries, intervening tributaries entering a stream, you would, of course, increase the discharge downstream.

- Now, looking at the Government's Exhibit B-Forty can you state any conclusions from comparing the June measurements to the August measurements?
  - It's very apparent that the discharge decreased significantly between June and August, as shown by the -- on the Kandik, the -- the only me -- site we measured in June. changed from sixteen hundred and ten cubic feet per second, at the time of the measurement in June, to a hundred ninety three cubic feet per second, at the time of the measurement in August. And similarly on the Nation River, where we had--it appears to comparative measurements. charge in June -- at the time of measurement in June at above Hard Luck Creek was three thousand a hundred forty cubic feet per second. And in August three hundred and twenty-four at the time of measurement. Jungle Creek it was twelve hundred cubic feet per second at the time of measurement in June, decreasing to one hundred seventy-one cubic feet per second at the time of measurement in August. So, considerably lower discharge in August.
- Q Referring you to the measurement below Jungle Creek on

the Nation with an increase in discharge of a--so--some six to eight times, from one hundred and seventy-one to twelve hundred, why did the maximum depth increase less that two-fold?

- A This isn't surprising to me, because the--in--it is not incommon to have a rating, that is a relationship between stage and discharge, that shows this on these streams--on streams of this type. The--a very small increase in stage will accommodate a very large increase in discharge. Is that what you were--
- Q Yes, that's exactly--
- A --asking about?
- Q --what I was referring to. Do you have a graph showing this relationship for some other creek?
- A I do.
- Q What creek is that?
- Well, I--I . . . (Pause) I think I brought two rating curve--or rating--yeah, rating curves, we call them.

  Rela--curves of relation between stage and discharge at gauging stations that we operate that are in similar-or that are in--that have similar channels. One is

  Wiseman Creek at Wiseman. I can show you--
- Q No, I--I don't think we need to introduce it. But, can you--
- A Well--

Q

Α

- --simply give the  $\dots$
- A Illustrate this--
- Q --give the figures show--yeah, illustrate the increase by showing how many inches the river rised (sic) for what proportional increase in discharge?
- A Okay. The--this is a smaller basin than--than the Nation at any point we measured it, but we--for a rise in stage of one foot we have a twenty-five fold increase in discharge at that gauging station, right in the middle of the range of discharge that we've measured.

  On the Kuparuk River, at Prudhoe Bay, a--it's a--a similar gravel bed stream with wide--large width to depth ratio. We have a--a discharge--a nine-fold increase in discharge for a one foot rise in stage. So, these are two examples that can illustrate that for our gauging stations we do have, in many cases . . .
- Q Have--have you found, from your experience, that layman tend to exaggerate the increase in rise when a river is rising?
  - I believe so, in my--in listening to the testimony here. It seems to be. In fact, I'm reasonably certain that there have been some over-estimations of the change in depth accommodating discharge changes. It's very common. And there's several reasons for it. People don't recog--realize, usually, how--how wide a channel

1 is in relation to its depth. We plot it usually, 2 cross-sections, exaggerating the vertical scale. 3 many times as much as twenty to one in order to see 4 very small changes in the vertical dimensions and 5 accommodating the significant change in the horizontal 6 The turbidity of water often fools a person dimensions. 7 I've been out with people that were experienced river 8 boaters, in fact, on streams during turbid conditions 9 and frequently I'll ask, "how deep is the water in this

Q Are there any gauges on either the Nation or the Kandik River?

channel" and I've had people over estimate it considerably,

A No.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q So have you--
- A Not to my knowledge, Jack. There--I've heard here that Doyon might have operated something in the area. And some people might have had gauges--staff gauges, but I--I'm not aware of any gauges.
- Q No Geological Survey gauges?

when it's real turbid.

- A Absolutely not.
- In for pre--preparation for this hearing have you made an attempt to compare these two rivers with other similar rivers which do have a history of gauges?
- A Yes.

25

Q (Pause) I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit B-Forty-one and ask you if this is the--if this is a chart showing the results of your comparison?

A Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  ALLEN: I would like to offer this as an Exhibit.

MS. TAYLOR: I have no objection.

MR. ALLEN: Do you have a copy, Your Honor?

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes, I do.

MS. HIGGINS: Exhibit B-Forty-one is received in evidence.

- Q Would you explain what this Exhibit shows?
- A This exhibit illustrates the--a--the results of statisical analysis of stream flow data records collected by the U.S.G.S. at selected sta--gauging stations in the Interior Alaska in similar climatic--in--in the same climatic zone. And in--in some--in most cases quite similar physiography conditions. The--
- Q What rivers were--are shown on there?
- A Hess Creek, near Livengood. Berry Creek, near Dot
  Lake. Salcha River, near Salcha Camp (ph). The Chena
  River, near--near Two Rivers. Chena River, near Fairbanks. Wiseman Creek at Wiseman. Jim River, near Prospect
  Creek Camp. And Koyukuk River--or Middle Fork of the
  Koyukuk River, near Wiseman.

. 

Q What does unit run-off mean?

- A Unit run-off is a--is the comparative run-off figure to show the--the . . . discharge from a region. It's--it's--you take the total discharge of the river, divide it by the drainage area above that site and you come out with unit run-off. It's--I use it and it's used customarily or characteristically by--by hydrologists to compare rates of flow from--at different sites in an area.
- In other words, the scale on the left of the chart shows the number of cubic feet those rivers discharge in each month per square mile of their drainage area, is that correct?
- A That's right. It's a three feet per second per square mile yard--units. And that's what that represents.
- Now, what do--what does each bar--the--the bars for each month represent?
- A They represent the--the normal monthly mean discharge for those sites at the gauging stations. The--
- Q Why does it show a range?
- A Okay. The--our purpose was to try to depict what would be normal. And we, in the Geological Survey Water Resource Division, computed a normality for gauging stations with sufficient record by, in this case, taking all the monthly mean discharges for each month at a

Α ...

.

gauging station. Arraying them in magnitude--in--in order of magnitude. And then computing the simple statistics of the quartiles. The--so the twenty-five percent would be that discharge which--that monthly mean discharge which would have been only--only twenty-five percent of the time would the discharge have been less than that. The fifty percent would be the median or the--the one right in the middle of the array. And the seventy-five percentile would be the--the upper quartile, or that would mean that one quarter of the time the monthly mean discharge would have exceeded that figure.

- In other words, if you had a stream which had been gauged for twenty years, for example, you would take the mean August discharge for each of those twenty years, array them in order, through out the top and bottom five, and that would be the limits of your range, both of the high and the low end, is that correct?
- A Yes. That would be our normal--
- Q And which one would be the line that would represent the line in the middle?
- A That would be the median or the fifty percentile.
- Q Now, do you consider this a normal range?
- A Yes, I do. That is, between the twenty-five percentile and the seventy-five percentile. We define it that way

And in Alaska we

1 2 3

4 5

6

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

7 on its normality graph. 8 Q Judging from the graphs of these eight rivers do you 9 consider any of them to be dissimilar to the others? 10 Α Yes. 11 0 Which rivers? 12 Α Most are--most different, certainly, is Berry Creek.

> most different here. Q What accounts for that dissimilarity do you think? Physiography. Berry Creek has significant glacier area in its basin. And the glacier -- the presence of signifi-

in our Water Resources Review, which is a monthly

conditions of streams in the area.

publication by the Water Resources Division showing

have some index pages for which we publish the current

month's flow conditions and we relate it to normal or

Now, by examing these different -- and making a visual

inspection of the monthly changes, you'll note that

Berry Creek is--its peak--or its highest normal range

is in June. It's later than, I believe--Wiseman Creek

is--the median is a little higher, but it--the total

normal range is lower. But all the rest of them, I

think, are--the peak occurs in May. But Berry Creek is

deficient or excessive. It--depending upon its position

cant glaciers in a basin in Interior Alaska will--or anyplace will -- that I'm familiar with, will tend to

- indicate higher flows later in--for these--for normal monthlies in the year, later in the summer.
- Q Do any of the other creeks that you have graphed here have glaciers in their drainage?
- A Not significant. Koyukuk River has some in its basin, but they're very insignificant.
- Q Are they all in the same cliamatic zone, did you say?
- A Yes.
- Q Have you attempted to extrapolate a similar unit runoff graph for the Kandik and the Nation Rivers, based
  on your analysis of these gauged streams that are shown
  on Exhibit B-Forty-one?
- A Yes.
- Q I'll show you what's been marked as Exhibit B-Forty-two and ask if this is the model unit run-off gauge that you prepared?
- A Yes.
- Q (Pause) Can you explained how your derived--how you derived the graphs for each of these months?
- A I selected those stream gauging records—or those stream gauging stations that I felt were similar to the Nation and Kandik River drainage basins. And averaged their normality ranges for each month, in terms of unit run-off and used that averaged hydro-graph for—as a model.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
10
10
11 12 13 14 15
12 13 14 15 16
13 14 15 16
14 15 16
15 16
16
17
- 11
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

- Q Did you use each of the eight streams that are shown on Exhibit Forty-one?
- A No.
- Q Which--which ones did you not use?
- A Let's see, I know I eliminated Berry Creek. (Pause)

  I used Chena River at Fairbanks--

COURT REPORTER: Just a minute. I can't hear you with the paper on the microphone.

- A I used the Chena River at Fairbanks, the Chena River atnear Two Rivers, the Salcha River near Salcha Camp, Hess Creek, Wiseman Creek, and the Middle Fork Koyukuk River.
- Q So you did not use Jim River?
- A I did not use Jim River.
- Q Given the absence of gauging stations on the Kandik and Nation River do you feel that the model unit runoff chart that you have prepared, which is Exhibit BForty-two, is as accurate as you could do, based--using your experience with predicting flows in Alaska streams?
- A It's as good as I feel that I can do.
- Q Is it as good as can be done, given the available data?
- A In my opinion, yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  ALLEN: I'd like to offer Exhibit B-Fortytwo into evidence.

MS. TAYLOR: I have no objection.

3

4 5

7

8

6

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2<u>1</u> 22

23

24

25

MS. HIGGINS: No objection.

JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-Forty-two is received

in evidence.

- Q Now, have you caused to be marked on Exhibit B-Forty-two the actual water levels that were measured by you or your people on the Kandik and the Nation Rivers?
- A Yes.
- Q And how did those mar--measurements show on the chart?
- A They're represented by dashed lines with the name of the site and ta--date, or the month, at least, a date for the measurements.
- Using your analysis as shown on Exhibit B-Forty-two, what conclusions can you draw as to the water levels that were encountered both in June and August on these two rivers?
- A First, I--I noted that the--that the measurements in June at--at both Nation and Kandik, at these sites, were considerably above the--the normal ranges for all of these months. And that the me--the measurements made in August at these two sites were at or below the normals--the low normal ranges for most months.
- Referring your attention to Exhibit--Exhibits B-Thirtyeight and Thirty-nine, which are the daily discharges
  for Hess Creek and the Chena River, is it unusual to find
  a reading that is significantly higher than the normal

		0 <b>0 0 0 0</b>
1		range?
2	A	It is unusual.
3	Q	But are there such readings on Exhibits Thirty-eight
4		and Thirty-nine that are
5	A	Oh, yes.
6	Q	way up?
7	A	Way up, yes.
8	Q	So do you feel that the fact that the June levels that
9		you encountered are so high above the normal range
10		would discredit the accuracy of your graph?
11	A	No, not for the purpose.
12	Q	(Pause) How would you derive from your chart, which
13	-	is Exhibit B-Forty-two, for example, the normal discharge
14		for theeither of those rivers in the month of June
15		using, for example, the median? Defining normal as the-
16	,	as the median level.
17	A	It would beyou could take thethe ordinate value
18		for that par-graph (ph) for that month, which is a
19		unit run-off figure, multiply it by drainage area as
20		site and have an estimate of a discharge.
21	Q	Can you go through that computation with actual figures?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Would you do that? (Pause)
24		JUDGE LUOMA: Will this take a little while?
25		MR. ALLEN: I don't believe so.

7

6

10

11

9

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

25

A It shouldn't take long.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, why don't we take a ten minute recess and you can do it in the ten minutes.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

MR. ALLEN: Your Honor, during the recess we have verified the discrepancy in Appellant's Exhibit B-Forty. And the indication under the Kandik River below Indian Grave should be directed to read, "in selection areas". And I have, in fact, corrected it on the Exhibit.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright. That correction is noted.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  ALLEN: The location marked on Exhibit B-Seventeen is correct.

- Q (By Mr. Allen) Mr. Childers, I call your attention to Exhibit B-Forty-two and ask if there is an error in the key up in the upper left hand corner?
- A Yes. We have the quartiles reversed. As you note the seventy-five--it should be the upper one, as shown on the lower one. We'd like to reverse that.
- Q In other words, twenty-five and seventy-five should simply be reversed?

A Yes.

JUDGE LUOMA: Have you made that correction?

MR. ALLEN: I have made that correction, Your

Honor, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright.

Q (By Mr. Allen) Recalling the question I asked you before the break, could you show how the computation could be made from Appellant--from Government's Exhibit B-Forty-two to determine what the discharge of the Kandik would be in the month of June at the median or normal level of discharge?

A Using Exhibit B-Forty-two for June, going to the--the fifty percentile mark and estimating along the ordinate scale, a unit run-off of approximately one point five, that value multiplied by drainage area for a given site, say the Kandik River near the mouth or the Nation River near the mouth, would be one point five times eight hundred ninety-eight square miles, to give you the--the median normal monthly discharge for June. It'd be approximately thirteen hundred and fifty cubic feet per second. (Pause)

to calculate?

MR. ALLEN: Yes, it is.

JUDGE LUOMA: Just as an example?

JUDGE LUOMA: Is that the only one you're going

MR. ALLEN: Just as an example, correct. (Pause)
Unless you would like us to calculate--

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I don't know. It's--what-ever you think.

6

8

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

2425

MR. ALLEN: Well, I--I wanted to give the example. The rest of them can be calculated using the same rather simple formula.

JUDGE LUOMA: Yeah, I understand the formula now, but it's a matter of interpreting the scale.

MR. ALLEN: I--the principal purpose of this Exhibit is to show that the actual readings we took in August were to show how they relate to the normal range.

JUDGE LUOMA: I understand. Okay. I would like to have one further explanation at this point. One more explanation of your key.

A Yes. You want me to explain the--the key?

JUDGE LUOMA: Just--just give me a s

JUDGE LUOMA: Just--just give me a short explanation of the key again.

A That's--

JUDGE LUOMA: So I'm sure that I understand it.

A That's this block up in the--

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes.

A --left hand corner--upper left corner. The--as a key to the understanding of this graph--this hydro-graph, the yellow block is the above median, but within normal range of discharge. The blue block would be the--below median, but within normal range of discharge. The figure seventy-five percent would be the upper limit

And the

The fifty

11

7

23

24

25

3 would consider to be the normal discharge. 4 percent would be the median value. 5 JUDGE LUOMA: And that's the line that always 6 appears between the two colors?

- Exactly, yes. Α
- Q (By Mr. Allen) To further illustrate, if you had a hundred monthly annual readings of historical gauging, how many of them would fall within the yellow area on that chart?

of what I consider normal for that discharge.

twenty-five percent would be the lower limit of what I

- Twenty-five percent. Α
- And how many --Q
- Out of a hundred--Α
- --would fall under the blue? Q
- --twenty-five. Α
- Q And how many would not be shown on--within the yellow or blue?
- Α Fifty percent.
- 0 Twenty-five would be--
- Twenty-five above. Α
- 0 --above and twenty-five below?
- Twenty-five below. Α
- Q Can you derive from Exhibit Forty-two any information as to river stage at normal flows during a given month?

- 1
- A Yes.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

-11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

- Q How could you do that?
- A By examining cross--the relationship between stage and discharge at a site. We can immediately convert--we can see what that normal discharge would mean in terms of stage at that--at a site.
- Q Does Government Exhibit B-Forty, the table of measurements that you prepared show the relationship between discharge and stage at six specific sites?
- A Yes.
- Q Taking, for example, the reading for the--for the Nation River at Jungle Creek in August, what is the discharge and what is the stage?
- A In August the--the discharge was one hundred seventyone cubic feet per second. The stage--the maximum
  depth, now, was one point eight feet. I use maximum
  depth as a measure of stage so that I can compare.

  I did not establish any datums in the field. But this
  allows us to use the lowest point in the cross-section
  as a datum.
- Now, if the Nation River were flowing at what is shown on Government Exhibit B-Forty-two as the median level for August, can you compute how much higher the maximum depth would be at the precise location which you measured near Jungle Creek?

3

4 5

6

8 9

10

.11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

I could--I could do it by knowledge of 'the relation Α between stage and discharge for a channel such as this-for a cross-section such as this.

Q Is it possible for you, at this point, to make an estimate of how much additional -- how much the river would have to rise at Jungle Creek to accommodate the additional discharge which Exhibit B-Forty-two shows would occur--would occur at the median, as shown on that graph?

Α Yes.

Q What is your estimate?

> Well, let me give it this way. If we note that for hundred one seventy-one cubic feet per second we have a maximum depth of one point eight feet, that's the stage above the lowest point in the cross-section. if we note that for twelve hundred cubic feet per second we have a maximum depth of three point zero feet, that would be the stage above that same--wha--the lowest point in that cross-section. The difference being approximately one point two--one point two feet for that change in discharge. As we go over to the unit hydrograph and convert those figures -- those unit -- unit runoff values to actual discharge for that site, we then can see where that twelve hundred would be.

Q Perhaps the -- let me ask you this. What would the dis-

í	ì	
1		charge be at Jungle Creek on the Nation in August, if
2		it were flowing according to the median level on this
3		graph, B-Forty-two?
4	A	I would have to go through that again, Jack, I
5	Q	What is the value for the median level in August on
6		your model unit run-off hydro-graph, B-Forty-two?
7	A	Approximately one.
8	Q	Now, what is the drainage area of the Nation River at
9		Jungle Creek, as shown on Exhibit B-Forty
10	A	Two hundred and fifty-three. So, two hundred and fifty
-11		three cubic feet per second would bebe the discharge
12		for that value median.
13	Q	In other words, your analysis shows that the normal
14		discharge at Jungle Creek in August would be about
15		two hundred and fifty-three cubic feet per second?
16	A	The median.
17	Q	The median?
18	A	Yes.
19		JUDGE LUOMA: Is that just coincidence that
20	it's	the same as the drainage area?
21	A	No, thethe unit run-off is about one.
22	, .	JUDGE LUOMA: Oh, so it's one to whaokay.
23	A	One times the drainage area.
24		JUDGE LUOMA: I understand.
25	A	It's very close to that, as I look at the

	JUDGE LUOMA: Yes, I see now.
Q	How much higher, in fact, is that in cubic feet per
	second than the levels which were measured on the
	ground in August of 1978?
A	It appears to be it appears to be the same.
Q	What does the chart, Government Exhibit B-Forty, show
	as the cubic feet per second measured in August at the
	site below Jungle Creek?
A	Two hundred and fifty-threeno, one hundred and seventy
	one, pardon me. One hundred and seventy-one.
Q	Is that above or below what your graph, B-Forty-two,
	shows would be the expected median level?
A	It's below.
Q	How much below?
A	Well, two hundred and fifty-three minus a hundred and
_	seventy-one, so it's
Q	Roughly eighty
	JUDGE LUOMA: Eighty-two.
Q	two
Α	Eight-two, yes.
Q	cubic feet. How much higher do you estimate the
, ^a	river would have to have risen at Jungle Creek to
	accommodate that additional eighty-two cubic feet per
	second of flow?
Δ	Certainly much less than a foot. Possibly three to four

10

11

15 16

17 18

19 20

23

24

25

21 22

inches. I say that looking at the total change in stage to accommodate the difference in discharge as shown between a hundred and seventy-one and twelve hundred. In other words, for that entire change in discharge we only change one point two feet, therefore going up to two hundred and fifty-three cubic feet per second. It would be very small.

- Almost a negligible rise, would you say? Q
- Well, I'd say about two to three tenths at the most. Α
- Was the measurements taken that are reflected on 0 Exhibit B-Forty, were they taken across gravel bars or at some other place on the river?
- No, they were--they were taken in--in the vicinity of locations that we needed the information. But they were taken in reaches of the channel or reaches of the stream which exhibited good hydraulic properties for measuring discharge. That is, they were taken in reaches of the channel that have a fairly uniform cross-sectional shape over a reasonable distance of the channel, length of the channel. And . . . so they were--were not at gravel, as--as they were shown yesterday in the--in the slides by Jules where he made his rod measurements.
- Q Is it customary to make discharge measurements in the deeper, slower channels or across the faster riffles? Α As I say, we--we--our criteria for measurement is such

Α

that we get very uni--fairly uniform cross-sectional shape and a very even distribution of velocity and depth across the section. We--of course we have to compromise conditions and select the best that we can. But--so . . .

- Q Would you describe the section that you select as a deeper, slower channel or a riffle?
- A It tends to be, under the conditions we measured, a deeper, slower channel.
- Q If the river rose, I believe you said several--two to three inches to accommodate the additional eight-two cubic feet, or no more than--what was your testimony?
- A About two to three tenths of a foot, maximum, I would guess. I would--I would estimate.
- Q To accommodate the additional eight-two cubic feet of flow that would be expected in August. Would it rise the same amount over a riffle or gravel bar?
  - Very unlikely. The reason being that—it depends, of course, on the geometry on the site. The actual cross—sectional shape and the—the water—the energy radiant pass the section. But basically those gra—those—those bars that were measured were, as we noted, much longer normal to the direction of flow than the cross—sections that we measured. Or—for using discharge—for measuring discharge. Therefore, a—a vivid increase in depth would

,	itwould be accommodated by a much greater increase
	in width than would be likely at our measuring sections
Q	Can you translate that into layman explanation of how
	high you would expect the river to rise over a gravel
	bar if it rose three to four inches in a broad, slower,
	deeper reach?

- A If we were to have a--a bar where the width would increase twice as much for a given discharge increase as where we measured, then the depth, of course, would increase significantly less, with the stage with possibly half.

  Although at that--there--there--the exact hydraulic relationahip wouldn't be that precisely, but that would be a good approximation.
- Q Does the velocity of the river over the gravel bars tend to be faster or slower than the velocity in a deeper, broad--broader channel?
- A For the--for the range of discharge we are looking at in this case, the velocity--the mean velocity would likely be higher. In the--in the--over the gravel bar. Or at least in the--in the deeper part of that cross-section. The mean velocity would probably be higher as well.
- Q What did you estimate to be the speed of this current?

  In general.
- A I--I think that--you mean for that particular measurement?
- Q No, for the river as a whole. Was it a swift river or

1		a slow river?
2	A	It was swift.
3	Q	Running at an average of how many miles per hour would
4		you say?
5	A	Well, the at our measurements we were getting velocities
6		mean velocities ofof three to seventhree to five
7		feet per second. So that's two to four miles per hour.
8		JUDGE LUOMA: Is that both rivers?
9	A	Pardon?
10		JUDGE LUOMA: Is this testimony as to both of
11	the r	ivers?
12	A	That's for this site.
13	Q	Which site is that?
14	A	The Nation River, at this at this low discharge we were
15		measuring. Is that what you asked? II'm not sure
16		I understood that.
17	Q	Well, yesterday Mr. Tileston estimated that as I recall,
18		that the river was flowing, he would imagine, at about
19		six miles per hour. Would you agree with that testimony?
20	A	During June?
21	. Q	During June.
22	Α ,.	During our float on the Nation? I would think that would
23	·	be reasonable.
24	Q	Do you have any
25	A	That is no. The the velo the surface velocities would

Α

be that. The velocity at which we drifted would probably be close to that, but not the mean section, however, it would be less than that.

- Q Do you have experience wading across swift rivers?
- A Yes.
- Q Is there a rough formula that you apply to determine whether a given stretch of river is wadable?
- A We have a rule of thumb of the depth times velocity at-at a tenth. That is, depth in feet times the velocity in feet per second would probably--if it's about ten, that's--that's about the limit that we feel it's safe to wade with good footing and with a--an experienced wader. So, in other words, if you had a depth of--of three feet and you had a mean velocity of three and a little--three feet per second, that's getting close to your maximum wadable.
- Q Is it possible that a river where there is only one foot of water flowing can, nevertheless, be unwadable at that point?
  - Oh, yes. There are many conditions that affect his rule of thumb as far as being applied to wading safely. If you have a bottom stream bed that is either very loose material, sand or smaller gravel, or even medium gravel, and very unstable, very loose, just putting your foot on it will allow it to scour out from under your feet.

21

22

23

24

25

And this can certainly be difficult to wade. In fact, it can easily dis--I think that was the case testi-in which one of the fellows testified yesterday. He mentioned trying to wade some of the gravel bars at low stage and being unable to wade that in the chute-in the--I suspect that the--the gravel was probably a uniform gravel and by putting his weight on it, it scoured out from under his feet. It makes it--

- Q So--
- A --very unstable.
- Q --from your knowledge of the--these two rivers and your experience with wading streams in general would you say it was not inconsistent to find a bar that could not be waded across, but at the same time a fairly large or medium size motor boat could also not be able to pass across?
- A I'm not sure I understood that, Jack.
- Well, you referred to the testimony yesterday where at low stages--I believe it was Mr. Bailey testified that they were unable to bring a jet boat up the river past a certain point and at the same time he could not wade across the river at either that point or some point further upstream. Are those two facts inconsistent in your mind?
- A They--not really, no. Ge--depending upon his experience

of wading and where he actually attempted to wade. He may very well in that area or along that stream encountered a very unstable gravel bar that at--with high velocity and when he put his foot out into the high velocity, it--it scoured out from under his feet.

That's what I expect happened.

- Q Did you hear--where you here for the testimony of Mr.

  Tileston describing the extent of gravel bars and riffles that he encountered on the river--on both of the rivers in August?
- A Yes.

- Q Based on your calculations and your preparation of this model unit run-off hydrograph would you conclude that the levels--the river levels that he encountered in August could be expected on these rivers for a--an appreciable length of time during the summer?
- A Yes.
- Q (Pause) Would you also expect that there might be periods of time in which the river would rise for short periods of time?
- A Yes.
- Q And would there also be periods of time in which the river would be running at an extremely high level?
- A Infrequently.
- Q Would it be possible, from your knowledge of rivers of

1	L
2	2
3	3
4	Ł
5	5
6	;
. 7	,
8	:
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- this sort, to predict when those high levels would occur during the summer?
- A Predict -- in that area, no. Not now.
- Q In other words, plan a trip for a particular high water time?
- A Only if you were waiting there at the time and you could look ahead, because of the rain in the area, and the river rising rapidly. Very short prediction time.
- Q (Pause) Have you had an occasion to compute the gradient of these two rivers?
- A You mean the--the river profile?
- Q The drop verti--the drop in miles per--in feet per mile.
- A The gradient. Yes.
- Q How do you do that, normally?
- A You measure the stream length and then the intersection of the contour--selected contours and then the--the gradient is--is determined by dividing the difference in elevation between selected contours by the horizontal distances measured along the river between those contours.
- Q What is the average drop in feet per mile of the Kandik River, between the selection area and the mouth?
- A I think it was ten feet per mile.
- Q What is the average drop on the Nation River, between the selection area and the mouth, as you computed it?
- A Approximately fifteen feet per mile.

6

Q Is--is {

JUDGE LUOMA: How do you state that as a precentage gradient?

A If you want to use precentage, then you convert both to the same unit. In other words, if you were to take the miles times five thousand two hundred eighty, divide the--the--the . . . the slope, and then if you multiply it by a hundred, you'd get the precent.

JUDGE LUOMA: Can--can you do that now, at this point in your testimony?

A You can do it. I haven't, but I can, yes. (Pause)

Let's see, you have ten per mile. (Pause) I would

be--let's see . . . approximately twenty percent.

JUDGE LUOMA: For which?

- A For the Kandik. It looks like. Maybe you can check my figures, Jack.
- Q I--I'm not sure what you're computing.
- A He's trying to get the percentage for the gradient. The gradient in percent. So if you multiply the--the miles times five thousand two hundred eighty to get it in feet per foot, and then multiply it by one hundred to get it in percent, you'd have the gradient in percent.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I think you'd better look at the--twenty percent sounds pretty steep to me.

- A It sounds really steep. But--
- Q Is--is gradient normally computed in precentage or in

feet per mile?

- A Well, I--I put in it feet per mile. But--
- Q What is normal in the--in your profession?
- A Feet per mile. Although if you're going to use it-it depends on your purpose. If you're going to try to
  use the--the water--the gradient of the stream in some
  hydraulic formula, well, you--you would normally put it
  in--in terms of--it's dimensionless in feet per foot.
  I think you're right. That does sound high. Let's
  see, ten--

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, don't take the time now.

I--I would like to have--get this testimony--while we're on this subject matter, I would like to have in layman's language as you say, the precent gradient, cause I understand that.

And I think any--everyone readily understands that. And secondly, I would like to have the elevation of the headwater, the elevation at the--at the selections point, and the elevation at the mouth, where it enters the Yu--where they enter the Yukon. For both rivers. Now, maybe you intend to do that, I don't know.

- A We can do it.
- Q We can do part of it. Mr. Childers, what was the elevation of the Kandik River in the selection area as you read it upon a contour map this morning?
- A Let's see, twelve hundred feet.

1	-
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	41
15	
16.	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- Q And what was the elevation of the Kandik River at--where it entered the Yukon?
- A Seven hundred feet.
- Q And what was the total drop?
- A Five hundred feet.
- Q And what did you compute to be the distance from the selection area to the Yukon?
- A Fifty-one miles.
- Q Would you state the same--the--the similar figures for the Nation River?
- A Twelve hundred fifty feet elevation at the selection area boundary, we used. And seven hundred and fifty feet elevation at the mouth of the stream at the Yukon.

  A difference of five hundred feet in thirty-seven miles or fifteen feet per mile.

JUDGE LUOMA: Excuse me. At which point of the selection area are you measuring from? The upper point?

- A Was it the upper, I don't remember?
- Q No, I believe we took the lower end of the selection area.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, this--this mileage, is that shown in some place in some exhibit?

MR. ALLEN: Mr. Tileston, I believe, in going through the slides gave the mileage for each slide, from both the mouth and the selection area. And it was from his notes

20

2122

2324

25

that we computed the mileage that Mr. Childers has just testified to.

JUDGE LUOMA: You don't remember whether it's the lower or the upper portion of the selection area--

MR. ALLEN: No, I do. It was the lower portion.

JUDGE LUOMA: It was the lower?

MR. ALLEN: It was the lower portion.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright. Thank you.

- Q (By Mr. Allen) Referring you to Exhibit B-Two, which is a E-series map of the entire State of Alaska, can you tell what the general elevations are in the western end of the Ogilvie Mountains, where I believe the testimony indicated these two rivers rise?
- A (Pause) We see peaks in Canada that are shown as one--five thousand five hundred eighty-eight feet, five thousand five hundred feet. That's about--that's the only ones that are shown on this map. Those are peaks.

MR. ALLEN: Was there one other point that you wanted to have covered? I can't remember.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, do--does this answer the question on the headwater elevation, is that the test--MR. ALLEN: I think so. That's the mountain peaks, of course.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, is that what's termed the

1 headwater of the river? (By Mr. Allen) Do you know, Mr. Childers? 2 0 I--I'm not sure. Is this a criteria--pardon me, may--3 A can I get off the record and ask him a question? 4 5 JUDGE LUOMA: Let's go off the record. 6 (OFF THE RECORD) (ON THE RECORD) Mr. Childers, can you tell us the elevation of the 8 Q 9 Kandik River at the Canadian border? 10 Seventeen hundred feet. Α And how many miles is that from the mouth on the Yukon? 11 Q 12 Ninety-five. Α And what is the elevation of the Nation River at the 13 Q 14 border? 15 Fifteen hundred fifty feet. Α And what is the distance to the mouth? 16 Q 17 Forty-seven miles. Α 18 Are there rivers--is it possible in this portion of Q 20,00 19 Alaska that after a period of a--an--an extremely long 20 period of no appreciable rainfall, the flow in the 21 river would drop almost to zero? Is that conceivable? 22 I--I didn't quite understand that, Jack. 23 For rivers in this part of Alaska, of the type that Q 24 we're talking about, the Nation and the Kandik, is it 25 possible that after an extremely long period of no

1		appreciable rainfall, the flow in the river would drop
2		almost to zero?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And would there be places in such a river, even when the
5		flow was virtually zero, where there would be pools in
6		which you could float a boat for some distance?
7	A	Oh, yes.
8		MR. ALLEN: That's all I have.
9		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?
10		MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
.11	BY MS	. TAYLOR:
12	Q	Mr. Childers, asas I understood your testimony you
13	·	were using Exhibit B-Thirty-eight, which is thea graph
14		of the discharge in cubic feet per second of the Chena
15		River at Fairbanks, on a particular water year, to
16		illustrate what you've described as a flashy river
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	is that correct?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And it's my understanding that it was your testimony
21		thatthat this river, for example, would bewould be
22	•	similar, in your opinion, to the Kandik or the Nation
23		River in terms ofof flashiness, if we can use that
24		term?
25	A	Similar, yes.

- Q Okay. Now, if one were to take a--a graph such as BThirty-eight, showing discharge in a particular water
  year, over a period of many years--
- A Yes.
- Q --could an--couldn an expert, such as yourself, then arrive at a hydro-graph of the river, what you call the hydro-graph?
- A Oh, yes. I mean--
- Q Okay. Is--is that what a hydro-graph is? Is it--what--what is it, in layman's language?
- A It's--this--these--this Exhibit Thirty-eight illustrates a hydro-graph, one kind of hydro-graph. But a hydro-graph, as I have defined it, is a graph showing the variation in a--in discharge with time at a site on a stream.
- Q Okay. Is there any commonly accepted standard to define what period of time one normally uses in developing a hydro-graph?
- A No, it depends on your purpose.
- Q Okay. Taking the--the hydro-graphs that you have in B-Forty-one for these eight rivers.
- A Yes.
- Now, how many years, for example, if you know, were used to--to develop these hydro-graphs?
- A A minimum of ten.

year hydro-graph

Q A	Okay. Would that be sort of a standard
A	77
	Yes.
Q	do you think? Alright. Now, in comparing your
	Exhibit B-Forty-two, this is what you've entitled a
	model unit run-off hydro-graph for the Nation and the
	Kandik Rivers.
A	Yes.
Q	Am I to understand thatthat B-Forty-two isis your
•	best educated estimate of what aa ten year hydro-grap
	on these rivers would look like, if you had the data to
	do one?
A	I'm not sure what you mean. Actually this graphthis
	model graph would be my best estimate of what the long
	term normal discharges would be for those months for a
	sea stream in that environment, in that hydrologic
	setting.
Q	Okay.
A	It didn't matter whetherany year this would be the
	best estimate I could make a this time for normality.
Q.	Alright. This is your best estimate then, BB-Forty-
· .	two?
A	Yes.
Q	Ofof what the long term hydro-graphs would look like?
A	Yes.
	Q Q A

And it's extrapolated from . . . two sets of

	measurements of the rivers?
A	No. No. Thisthis model hydro-graph was made indepen-
	dently of measurements of discharge. It's based strictl
	on hydrologic similarity
Q	Alright.
A	of basins in that hydrological
Q	Okay.
A	region.
Q	Alright. Youyou didn't take your actual measurements-
	your flow measurements of the river andand do a graph
	and use that toto come up
A	Oh, no. No, those weren't us
Q	with B-Forty-two?
A	those discharge measurements were plotted on here
	only to show how they relate to
Q ,	Okay.
A	the normality for thatfor those
Q	Okay. In looking at B-Forty-two, youryourcan I call
	it a projected hydro-graph or
A	Model, I like.
Q	Alright. I'll call a model hydro-graph then. Looking
, 1	at your model hydro-graph for the Kandik and the Nation
	Rivers and comparing it to B-Forty-one, thethe eight
A	Yes.
0	let's call them actual hydro-graphs that we have. Is

.11

	there any reason for thethe striking similarity between
	thethe model hydro-graph for the Nation and the Kandik
	Rivers and the hydro-graph for the Chena River?
	Any reason for the striking
	Um-hm, is there any explanation?
	similarity?
	Do you have an explanation for the similarity?
	Coincidence is all I can say. They were all average
	all of these were averaged to come up with this model.
	So, it'sit'sit isn't importit's an interesting
	point that our index station at Chena River isit
	apparently isn't given for thatthat hydrologic region.
	Where specifically is your index station on the Chena
	River located?
	Well, we have the Chena River at Fairbanks.
	Right. For thisfor this particular hydro-graph on
	Exhibit B-Forty-one the station's located at Fairbanks?
	One is. And then there's another up at Chena River near
	Two Rivers that are shown here, I think. Yeah. We have
	two
•	Okay.
, •	on the Chena River.
	Ifif you, as an expert, look at aa hydrograph of a
	river, can you draw any conclusionsimimmediate

conclusions as to the character of the river, just from

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q

Α

Q\_

Α

Q

Α

Q

23

24

25

looking at this graph?

- A Yes.
- Q What types of conclusions would you--would be most easily drawn?
- A The most important conclusion that I get from--from this type of graph is an idea about the normality for these months. The--what the flow conditions would be normally during these months.
- Q Okay. So if the hydro-graphs of two rivers are similar, there are certain similarities in their flow characteristics?
- A Oh, yes.
- Q Okay. Are--are--is there--how--how high is the degree of correspondence is my question?
- A Well, of course when you say the--the hydrographs, if they're similar, then the flow characteristics are similar, certainly they are. Because we're--the hydrographs are the flo--a depiction of the flow characteristics.
- Q But there--but there are other--there are other factors to be taken into consideration, if you're describing a river in--
- A Oh, very definitely.
- Q Okay. But--but there are obvi--there are obvious similarities in the rivers if the hydro-graphs are--are similar?

1		·
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Going to your particular measurements of the
3		Kandik and the Nation Riversand we have an Exhibit
4		B-Forty, the 1978 discharge measurements chart
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	here's a copy of it. (Pause) Okay. Now, do you have
7		lelet's take the Nation River, the measurement below
8		Jungle Creek, measurements in June and in August.
9	A	Yes.
10	Q ·	Now, asas I understand what you did, you have a set
11		of measurements at periodic points across the river,
12		measuring the depth and the flow of the river, is that
13		is that correct?
14	A	That's correct.
15	Q	Okay. Do you have written notes of those measurements
16		with you?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Could I see those, please?
19	A	(Pause) These are copies. The orginals are in our
20		sub-district office here down the hall
21	Q	Okay. Are theseare these all your notes forfor
22	, •	all the measurements on both rivers?
23	Α .	I think they are. They're all the discharge measure-
24		ments, yes.
25	Q	Let's see, can wewhy don't wewhy don't

we see if you can locate your notes for the measurement of the Nation River, for example, below Jungle Creek.

A For which month?

.11

Α

- Q For both June and August.
  - Okay. August 3rd, let's see, this is it, one hundred seventy-one cubic feet per second. And here are the actual data obtained across the section. The distance from initial point, which would be the location of one of the tag lines for the width increments, zero was the left edge of water. Five feet from the left bank, that's looking downstream, would be a depth of one point oh four feet. Now, in this particular--no, it'd be point six nine feet. And ten feet from the left edge of water was one point oh four feet, one twelve, one fifty-two, et cetera, down through. So . . . Now, the maximum depth in the cross-section was one point seventy-five, which I've rounded on this thing to one point eight for maximum depth.
- Q Okay. Now . . .
- A Did you want Ju--June as well?
- Q Well, let me--let me come back to June. I have another question. Do your--do your field notes or these water measurement notes that you've been referring to indicate the location of your measurement of the Kandik River that we've--that you've testified to was in the

		1	
	•	2	
	;	3	
	4	1	
£.5		5	
	ę	3	
-	7	7	
	8	3	
	S	)	
1	C	)	
1	1		
1	2	,	
1	3	•	
1	4	:	
1	5		
1	6		
1′	7		
1	8		
19	9		
20	)		
2]	L		
22	?		

24

25

selection	area?

- A Do--do the field notes indicate the location?
- Q Yes. Do you--do you have the field notes for all of the for all of the measurements on the Kandik also in that group of notes?
- A I believe so, yes.
- Q Alright. I think probably what we should do is have these marked as Exhibits.

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's go off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

# (ON THE RECORD)

- Q Okay. We have what's been marked as Exhibit B-Forty-three. And I'd ask you to identify what that is?
- A This is a--a set of dis--field notes for the discharge measurements made on the Nation and Kandik Rivers during June and August, 1978, by the U.S. Geological Survey.
- Q Okay. And let me hand you Exhibit B-Forty-four and ask you to identify that, please.
- A B-Forty-four is a copy of the listing--summary listing of discharge measurements made at these sites, during-- (inaudible--witness trails off). And this will be a-- the form that will be published in our annual basin data report for 197--for the 1978 water year.
- Q Okay.

1	
2	
3	
4	
<b>5</b>	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
e vigita.	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

		MS.	TA	YLOR:	And	these	two	exhibits	are
offered	into	eviden	ce,	Your	Honor	c, by	stip	ulation.	

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright. Exhibits B-Forty-three and B-Forty-four are received in evidence.

- Q (By Ms. Taylor) (Pause) Alright. Looking at--at
  Exhibit B-Forty-three, your--your field measurement
  notes, can you identify the field measurement notes
  for the measurement on the Kandik in August that is
  within the selection area?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. And . . . (Pause) What's the location that you've stated on this--on this--
- A Kandik River, eight point seven miles below Indian Grave Creek.
- Q Okay.
- A Latitude and longitude would you like?
- Q No, I don't think that's necessary.
- A Okay.
- Q Alright. It was eight point seven miles below Indian Grave Creek. I wonder if we could take Exhibit B-Three and have you indicate where that measurement was made?

  JUDGE LUOMA: Off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

Q Okay. For the record, Mr. Childers, you've taken Exhibit

5

25

B-Three and identified in pencil the location of your August 1978 measurements on the Kandik River and your June and August 1978 measurements on the Nation River below Jungle Creek, is that correct?

- A That is correct. Except in--on the Kandik River in August we've got other measurements.
- Q Okay. Let's--this is the location of the--of the August measurement on the Kandik River that you've used on your chart, B-Forty?
- A I've got two. I've got another at the mouth.
- Q Within the selection area?
- A Yes, yes. Within the selection area.
- Q Alright. Now, do you still have your copy of the discharge measurement notes for the measurement on the Kandik River, the August measurement?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. Now, as I understand it then you made one-you made discharge measurements at one location on the
  Kandik River that was within the selection area?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And as far as the Nation River's concerned, you didn't make any discharge measurements within the selection area itself?
- A I'd have to check that, I'm not sure yet. (Pause) Is that true, Jack?

t t		
1		JUDGE LUOMA: Just a minute.
2	A	Yes.
3		JUDGE LUOMA: Do you know the answer?
4	A	No, I'd have to check it to make sure.
5		JUDGE LUOMA: Alright. Off the record.
6		(OFF THE RECORD)
7		(ON THE RECORD)
8	Q	Alright. And youyou didn't make any discharge measure
9		ments on the Nation River within the actual selection
10		area, is that correct?
11	A	No discharge measurements.
12	. Q	Okay. Thank you. Looking at your discharge measurement
13		notes on your measurements on the Kandik River within
14		the selection areado you have those in front of you?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	How did you describe the channel of the Kandik on the
17		bottom of page two of those discharge measurement notes?
18	A	Shall I read from this?
19	Q	Yes.
20	A	"Right bank steep, forty-five degrees, approxi"
21	Q	Excuse me. Page two of the notes.
22	Α	Oh, page two. "Channel fifteen feet wide, two point
23		oh three feet deep" Oh, thisthis was aan
24		estimate of the discharge in a side channel. Is that
25	· 	what you're referring to?

1	Ĺ	
2	2	
3	3	
4	ŀ	
5	5	
6	;	
7	7	
8	;	
9	ا ۱	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

25

Q	Yes	•

- A That's--or this discharge measurement was made and an estimate was made of the flow in a very small side channel.
- Q This was a very small side channel of the Kandik River within the selection area?
- A Right. Yes.
- Q And how wide was that channel?
- A Fifteen feet.
- Q And how deep was it?
- A Estimated two point oh three feet.
- Q Thank you. Now, what did you mean on page one of your discharge measurement notes on the same location on the Kandik when you stated, "poor measurement, position to show shallow water at riffles"?
- A Just that, it--it--the accuracy of the measurement is poor at--which would indicate that discharge--it probably has an error as great as over eight percent.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgins?

# BY MS. HIGGINS:

Q Referring to Exhibit B-Thirty-eight . . .--(indiscernible-interference with microphone)--

COURT REPORTER: Just--just--I can't hear. Okay.

1	
2	
3	

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

- Α. Yes.
- Mr. Allen asked the question, are the peaks or valleys Q more representative of normal conditions. I believe you testified that the peaks are infrequent -- what -- what else did you say about this or--or-or would you say now?
- I would say that that's correct, that the peaks are Α infrequent.
- Q Okay. Aren't there many days of relative high water as you go up and down on this graph to and from the peaks?
- Α I didn't understand.
- Q Well . . . you can see from looking at the graph that the pe--the peaks are confined to a relatively few number of days over the -- the span that this graph covers
- Α Yes.
- Which is, one, two, three, four, five months. Q But doesn t the graph also--also show that there are a significant number of days where the discharge is, for instance, above four thousand?
- Α Yes.
- Would you say then that the valleys on this graph repre-Q sent normal conditions? I think that's what the inference of Mr. Allen's question was and I'm trying to--to clarify that.
- Α The . . . the rising parts of the hydro-graph represent

a much less amount of the time than the falling parts, the recessions. And the times between peaks, over the entire summer span, are greater than the times that are exhibited as part of the peaks, of the--above the base flow condition. So in time more of it is more common to be in a period not represented by a steep rise or fall of the hydro-graph.

- Q Could you break that down into a percentage from looking at this?
- A Very roughly there's a period of one--one period of well over a month in June and July that represents a period of the low in the steep. In other words, a fairly flat consession. In--even in May and all that there's a period that represents a fairly low period from--for that month in--well, the beginning is very low and then maybe ten days in the middle of the month are low. The precentage is probably sixty percent of the whole summer period, or--or more, that is below the--the normal--the median.
- Q Where is the median indicated on this graph?
- A We haven't--I haven't indicated it.
- Q Do you happen to have with you a hydro-graph for a non-flashy stream in Interior Alaska?
- A I didn't bring one. I can get one, but I don't have it here.

 $^{24}$ 

		·
1	Q .	Are there more flashy streams than non-flashy streams?
2	A	Most of the streamsstream gauging station records
3		that we operate in the Interior on basins of two thou-
4		sand sqaure miles or less are flashy. Most of them.
5	Q	Do you happen to know whether the the Chena River at
6		this flow measurement station at Fairbanks has been
7		determined to being navigable or not?
8	A	I don't know.
9	Q	What would your opinion be?
10	A	I think it would be navigable.
11	Q	Why?
12	A	Because it'sit has properites that will allow a ri
13		fairly large river boats to run veryreasonably for
14		some distance upstream in the summer time.
15	Q	Soso thethe characteristic of being a flashy stream
16		doesn't necessarily sasay anything about navigability?
17	A	Notwell, it depends on the size of the drainage area
18		and the flashiness, yes. Nothethe answer to your
19	·	question is no.
20	Q	Looking now at Exhibit B-Forty The table of
21		1978 discharge measurements for the Kandik and Nation.
22		Can you supply from your field notes the width of the
23	•	channel atat these measurement stations? The width
24		isn't located on this chart.
25	A	Right. I can, yes. (Pause) Which one did you want firs?

5

9

11

13

18

23

I can	go	through	them.	Ι	don'tI	can't	find			
-------	----	---------	-------	---	--------	-------	------	--	--	--

Q In--in whatever order is con--most convenient to find the figures.

JUDGE LUOMA: Are you looking at B-Forty-four?

A B-Forty-three I have here.

JUDGE LUOMA: Oh, it's B-Forty-three, excuse

A That's the list of measurements--

JUDGE LUOMA: Are you looking at that partic--specific exhibit?

A Yes.

me.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright.

- On B--on Exhibit B-Forty-three it's the Kandik River below--in the--within the selection area, the width of the channel measured was one hundred and sixty-seven feet in the main channel, fifteen feet in a side channel The Nation River--now, that was--that was--I should give the date on that measurement, because it varies with the date. That was August 5th, 1978. The Nation River, below Jungle Creek, August 3rd, 1978, width was eighty-five feet, one channel.
- Q Would you repeat that one, please?
- A Nation River below Jungle Creek, August 3rd, 1978, width eighty-five feet. Would you like others or the ones in June?

	JUDGE LUOMA: Justjust wait for the question
plea	ase.
Q	(Pause) Don't you have width measurements forfor
	both June and Augustflow measurements atat each
	of these stations?
A	In June I only have measurements atat one site on
	the Kandik and that was below What creek was it?
	On the Kandik River I only have measurements inin June
	at below Big Sitdown Creek
	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can't hear you
at a	all.
	JUDGE LUOMA: Let's start over again, after
the	shuffling is through.
A	On the Kandik River in June I have only one measurement
	of discharge and that was just below Big Sitdown Creek.
Q,	And the width of the channel? The width of the channel
	is the length of your line, is that right? That's
	the measurement you've given?
A	It's determined with that line. Now, the Kandik River
	below Big Sitdown Creek in Augustat August 4th, 1978,
	width was one hundred sixty feet.
Q .	. (Pause) Did you give me the measurement for June,
-	Big Sitdown on the Kandik?
A	(Pause) In JuneJune 19th, the Kandik River, below
	Big Sitdown Creek, width was two hundred five feet.

	1	
1		(
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		

22

23

24

25

Q .	(Paus	se)	Do you	ı hav	ve wi	ith me	easurer	nents	for	thethe	<u> </u>
	near	the	mouth	and	Hard	Luck	Creek	flow	meas	surement	
	stati	lons	during	g Jui	ne and	d Augi	ust?				

- A That's on the Nation River?
- Q Nation River. You--you've given me Jungle Creek during August.
- Yes. The Nation River, above Hard Luck Creek, June 1978, width two hundred forty feet. (Pause) The Nation River above Hard Luck Creek, August 4th, 1978, width one hundred eight feet. (Pause) The Nation River one mile above the confluence with the Yukon, in other words at mouth, August 3rd, 1978, width one hundred fifty-one feet. I don't have it in June.
- Q Do you have the Jungle Creek measurement for June?
- A Yes. (Pause) The Nation River below Jungle Creek, June 20th, 1978, width one hundred twenty feet.

JUDGE LUOMA: Off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

MS. TAYLOR: For the record, Exhibit B-Forty-three contains eleven stapled packets of field notes, which total forty-six pages of field notes in total. Is that correct?

A Yes.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright.

	1
:	2
;	3
4	1
Y. E	5
6	5
- 7	7
. 8	3
ç	}
10	)
11	•
12	)
13	
14	
15	
16	-
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
دن	11

25

- Q (By Ms. Higgins) Have you supplied all of the--the width measurements that you have from your field notes for these locations?
- A Yes.
- Q So you were unable to take width measurements in June at the Kandik near the mouth, at the Kandik in the selection area, on the Nation near the mouth?
- A Yes. Qualify it, I--we possibly could have. It was judged not reasonable to try.
- Q Okay. Did you attempt to take measurements in June at another station on the Nation River in the vicinity of Hard Luck Creek?
- A I believe so, yes.
- Q Can you locate your--your field notes regarding that attempted measurement site?
- A I don't seem to be able to find it, but I--I can explain that I think I gave you copies or I gave someone copies of sites where we didn't measure discharge, we measured only cross-sections shape in the wide of the (ph) channel.

  O Can you identify this note as the note you're referring
- Q Can you identify this note as the note you're referring to?
- A Yes, yes.
- Q Does that have a width measurement?
- A It's not summarized here, but I did plot it and I do have that plotted cross-section in my file here. So I can

25

determine width very easily.

- Q Can you do that and also note the location of that unsuccessful measurement?
- A (Pause) Width at that site was . . . two hundred and thirty feet in the left channel, in the main channel, and a hundred and twenty feet in the right channel.
- Q Thank you. Do your field notes indicate the maximum depth?
- A Yes. (Pause) The depth--the maximum depth in the crosssection in the right channel was one point nine feet.
- Q (Pause) What about the other channel?
- A That was at that section. Now, there's another crosssection we obtained further downstream that was two and
  and a half miles upstream from Hard Luck Creek on Nation
  River, which we have only cross-section as well, no
  discharge measurement. Are you referring to that one?

JUDGE LUOMA: Before we go further, I'm not sure that I know from your testimony which river we're on or what point we're on.

MS. HIGGINS: We're on the Nation, somewhere in the vicinity of Hard Luck Creek.

JUDGE LUOMA: Is that correct, Mr. Witness?

A Well, I have two cross-sections surveyed on the Nation
River at which we did not measure discharge and they
are between Jungle Creek and Hard Luck Creek. I gave you

first the widths and the maximum depth for the upstream of those two cross-sections. I can now give you the cross-section properties for the downstream section.

And you would like location as well as . . .

MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I have a suggestion. My suggestion is that the witness take Exhibit B-Forty and—and, if counsel agrees, write on B-Forty from his field notes what the width measurements are. These are some of the width measure—measurements that Miss Higgins has solicited orally, but it'd certainly be clearer to me to have them in written form, with the—with the locations that we've been talking about, which are only six of the eleven locations.

MR. ALLEN: Why don't we go off the record

and--

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's go off the record, first.

Okay?

Α

# (OFF THE RECORD)

# (ON THE RECORD)

Cross-sections measured on the Nation River on a split channel at a site of about one and one half miles downstream from Jungle Creek. (Pause) The width of the right channel was two hundred and thirty-three feet, with a maximum depth of one point nine feet. The right channel—no, the left channel was sixty feet, with a maximum depth of one point five feet. The—the other side—

15

13

20

JUDGE LUOMA: What--what was the date of that

A That was dated June 20, 1978.

JUDGE LUOMA: Now, is that different from the measurement already shown on B-Sixty (sic) as a--as measurement made below Jungle Creek on the Nation River?

A Yes.

one?

JUDGE LUOMA: It's another one?

A It's another.

JUDGE LUOMA: Okay.

- A It was . . . yeah. The other side on Jungle Creek that where we obtained a cross-section, but no discharge measurement, was made at a site about two and one half miles upstream from Hard Luck Creek. The width of that channel was about three hundred and—about three hundred feet. The maximum depth in that channel was—that was measured, was eight point seven feet. The date of that measurement—did I give that? I don't think I did.

  June 21, 1978. There was also a small right bank side channel unsurveyed.
- Q (Pause) Thank you. In your opinion what--what would the flood level for the Nation River be in June?
- A It would be about at the--in--in general terms?
- Q Would the flood level be higher than--than what we've just described in the--in the June measurements?

		1
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
· ·	5	
,	6	
	7	
;	8	
•	9	
1(	О	
1:	1	
12	2	
13	3	
14	1	
15	5	
16	3	
17	7	
18	3	
<u>'</u> .'	)	

21

22

23

24

25

Q How much higher?

Yes.

Α

- A By flood, of course, it depends on what you mean by flood level. The top of the banks was considerably higher than it--than the level of the water at the time we were there, the top of the maturely vegetated flood
- Q I believe . . . And did you see any indications that the water, in fact, had been higher--

plains, from one to four feet higher, by our observations.

- A Oh, yes.
- Q -- than when you measured it?
- A Oh, yes.
- Q And what were those indications, again?
- A Basically deposits of logs and de--flood carried--floating debris.
- Q (Pause) You testified on direct examination that you abandon your attempts to measure the flow in June, because you felt it was--it was dangerous to measure with--with the equipment that you had available.
- A (Pause) That's correct.
- Q Okay. Is it--
- A . Attempts on the Kandik.
- Q --is it correct that you were in a rubber raft with no motor?
- A Yes.

- Q And you were trying to cross the river in order to make these measurements?
- A That's correct.
- Q Okay. Your te--is it your testimony then that it was difficult and dangerous to cross the river in a raft without a--a motor?
- A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. Did you mean to imply that it would be difficult or dangerous to navigate the river going up and down the river instead of across?
- No, not really. I--I can't re--the--the two are--certainly Α are different. The -- the basic problem we had, if -- if you'd like me to explain, is that the currents -- that -- the water current velocity was so high, ranging about seven to ten feet per second on the surface, in these uniform reaches of channel that we would select for measurement, that we couldn't hold the boat with the tag line. It was dangerous. And we did, indeed, break the steel tag line on numerous occasions attempting that. So to try to measure the width or to try to measure the -- the cross-section by--along a line normal to the flow, it was not feasible. We didn't have heavy enough equipment That's different, really, than for--for that purpose. floating the river, where you don't--
- Q I was--I was trying to clarify the fact that we've been

_
2
_

6

7

5

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

talking about navigating up and down these rivers and your remarks regarding difficulty and dangerousness was really addressed to crossing the river instead of navigating up and down--

- A That's correct.
- Q --is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Thank you. (Pause) You also testified, I believe, that you were somewhat surprised at the water conditions you did encounter in June, because you had looked at hydro-graphs of similar rivers and--and based on that study thought that the equipment you were taking up there would be sufficient. Is--is that an accurate summary of the--your testimony?
- A I was surprised, but it certainly is--there was a good probability of finding high water in June. But it--it was--we were kind of troubled by timing, there were other--I couldn't go later in the summer.
- Q What hydro-graphs did you look at before you went out there? Would it be the hydro-graphs of the same rivers that are--are represented in Exhibit B-Forty-one?
- A Yes. And others.
- Q Those are the rivers that you used for comparison--
- A And others.
- Q --comparison purposes?

- 1
- Right. Α
- 2
- 0 Okay.

Α

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q (Pause) Can you look at the hydro-graphs of these

But many others as well.

- comparative rivers represented in Exhibit B-Forty-one and make a prediction as to the general water level that you'd expect to find in the Kandik and Nation in-in June?
- Α Yes.
- That's what you meant by saying you'd looked at--at Q hydro-graphs and you didn't really expect to find--
- That's correct. Α
- --water as high as you did encounter? Q Okav. (Pause) And couldn't you--you also look at the same hydro-graphs for the--these gauge streams and estimate periods during the summer when--when you--you would have high water?
- Α Well, yes, definitely.
- Well, I believe you testified in response to one of Q Mr. Allen's questions that you can not predict when high water will occur on the Kandik and Nation. In--
- And I -- and I stand by that. Α
- Q Would you ex--explain to me the--
- These are probability estimates. These show Α The probability is good that you'll characteristics. be in the normal range and--but we also know that there

are many values that lie outside the normal range. And to say when any particular event will occur, we can't do that. But we can say what the probabilities are.

- Q So there's no--there's no way to--to accurately predict the water level on the Kandik at any particular day--date or days during the summer, is that right?
- A That's right. Within--you know, except for maybe an hour ahead. You can watch the--if you're there and you see the--and--and if you were able to be present, you could predict that it's rising and it might rise a certain amount. But for a week ahead to plan, absolutely not.
- Q Could you--could you predict, though, that there'd be a--a greater likelihood of--of high water during certain week long periods during the summer?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Do you think that inability to predict precisely when there will be a significant rise in water level from rain is--is a significant impediment to use of these rivers by--by resident of the area?
- A I could only give an opinion from my own way of looking at it. I'd say that to plan an operation there, to spend a lot of money to go to there and expect to do something depend--which depended on having flow conditions right, it would be risky. I'd say that'd be--could be a serious

spent a good deal of money with the idea that we would find conditions in June that would of been considerably—as we show on the model, you know, considerably more reasonable for our operation. But we got there—we had to abort part of the trip which we really wanted to do, because it was just very difficult. So it's costly.

I'd say it would be definitely an impediment.

- Q You're talking about an impediment to--to . . . let's call it travel plans, commerce, that required advance preparation?
- A I certainly would.
- Q Okay. But it--would--would that impediment be--be less of a problem to residents of the area who are right there to take advantage of a change in water level when it happens--
- A That--

- Q -- and may not--I'll let you answer, I'm sorry.
- A That would certainly help, being ready, on the river, with your equipment and as the water came up, go.

  Yes, I--in fact, I suspect that's what they do.
- Q And it would also be a--a less significant problem to people who aren't concerned with--with precise timing of the trip?
- A Yes.

1	Q	Okay. (Pause) You also testified in response to direct
2		examination that it would be possible after an extremely
3		long period of no appreciable rainfall that the flow
4		in the Kandik or Nation would drop almost to zero.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Is it likely?
7	A	During the open water period it's not likely.
8	Q	Do you have any basis forfor predicting that itit
9		actually has happened?
10	A	No.
11	Q	(Pause) I believe you've tesitifed that the rivers
12	·	for which we have hydro-graphs, in Exhibit B-Forty-one,
13	,	have all been gauged for at least ten years.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Is that the type ofof hydrologic record that ordinarily
16		required for accuracy and reliability?
17	A	Well, thethis is an information product. Itit is

information products for users. Someone comes to me

used to evaluate the normal flow characteristics of

these--of these--of streams. As far as accurate, it's

as accurate as the data allow us -- allows us to make it.

It's a computer process, it's automatically generated

These are selected ones that -- our

each year for those stations that we have ten years

records aren't generated from these. These are

minimum record.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-11

and says, "Joe, do you--can you tell me what the normal flow is likely to be on any creek, on any site on any stream in the State". And I'll go to our computer results and generate a similar model hydrograph and tell them. These are accurate--it's a--there was a--a way to get at a crude measure of the reliability. But it--the actual accuracy or reliability is not very easy to-to evaluate. Let's say it's the best that I know of that can be done with the available data.

- Q In your opinion is the data that we have available for the Kandik and Nation, the rod measurements, the flow measurements, taken on two different dates one summer season, sufficient to make any accurate or reliable predictions about the--the characteristics of those rivers?
- A Standing alone, I would say no. If all we had were the measurements made on the Nation and Kandik River, we'd have an extremely poor basis for--for evaluating the stream flow characteristics on those rivers. Two miscellaneous measurements in time at any site on that--on those flashy streams would be reasonably useless.

  O (Pause) Do you have hydro-graphs showing the discharge
  - (Pause) Do you have hydro-graphs showing the discharge for any of the comparative rivers represented in Exhibit B-Forty-one for the--the 1978 summer season?
- A No, not yet.

10 11

8

9

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Q ,	Youyou	don't	have	those?
-----	--------	-------	------	--------

- A Are records are computed, generally, in the winter following the collection. They--they are--they will begin to be--well, the end of our water year is September 30th, so the data will begin to be processed--it's tentatively processed by computer for some of these stations. But the data--the hydro-graphs haven't been prepared for publication and for insertion in our compute file as--as yet. It'll be some time along about Christmas to March that they'll be available.
- Q If you had data showing that any two or three of the streams that you've chosen for comparative purposes were at a stage of very low, in fact lowest flow for the summer--
- A Um-hm.
- Q --during early August, could you make any predictions based on that as to the--the level of water in the Kandik and Nations?
- A In other words, could I generalize about the conditions on the Nation and Kandik from conditions on a similar stream--
- Q That--
- A . -- one of these--
- Q Right.
- A --during the comtemporary period?
- Q Right.

A Not really. Not really.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

- Q Why no-then why is it valid to-to take these ten year figures and make generalizations about . . .
  - Okay. As I mentioned before, these are characteristics, they give probabilities in time that you're likely But they do not point--pin-point to get a condition. at a particular time period what you're going to get at a different site. In other words, if you were closeif we had a gauging station on the Nation River, the hydro-graph for that one would give you information about the -- the short term hydro-gra--you know, the daily mean discharge hydro-graph for the Kandik River. to take the Chena River at Fairbanks for a given day or week and try to generalize about the Kandik or Nation for that same day or week, no way. But the characteristics for it, the probabilities of getting the events--Couldn't you even make an--an-an estimatation as to the probability that it was the lowest water of the summer?
- A No. (Pause) See, our--we don't have a gauging station that reflects the conditions for current purposes in that area. If we have a gauge on the Fortymile River, that's the closest. It's reasonable that we might be able to gen--we would generalize. In fact, we will compare hydro-graphs for all nearby similar stream

gauging stations. But we find in studying that—that these summer rain storms events are not—they—they can be—at least generally they're—they're—they're not very similar.

MS. HIGGINS: Your Honor, may I have a short

recess?

JUDGE LUOMA: We'll recess until two o'clock.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(LUNCH RECESS)

\* \* \*

(PROCEEDINGS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE)

\* \* \*

	2
	;
	4
ķ	
	(
	•
•	•

2:00 p.m.

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

## (ON THE RECORD)

- Mr. Childers, I believe you testified that the rivers which you've chosen for comparative purposes represented in Exhibit B41 are in the same climatic zone and have similar physiographic conditions as the Kandik and the Nation, is that correct?
- Yes, with two exceptions. Α
- The exceptions are which? 0
- Berry Creek was one and the other was the Jim River, I Α think, yeah.
- Are any of those rivers located in the Yukon Charley area?
- No -- not to my knowledge -- no. Α
- Do any of them drain the Ogilvie Mountains as do the Kandik 0 and Nation?
- No. Α.
- Can you generally describe where these rivers are located 0 in Alaska, or perhaps it would save time to just generally point out on the map of Alaska, which I think is Exhibit B2, where these rivers are located?
- Hess Creek is located near Livengood on the -- the Α Elliot Highway. Let's see -- in this area.

Is it marked in some fashion? JUDGE LUOMA:

It's at the intersection of the Elliot -- or Yes, it is. Α of the TAPS Haul Road and the Hess Creek. That's Hess --

2

3 4

. 5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

25

your gauging station is there.

- O Is a tributary of the Yukon?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q And what other rivers (ph)?
- A Okay, it'd be Chena River near Fairbanks -- here in Fairbanks.
- Q And that's on --
- A Berry Creek near Dot Lake is a tributary to the Tanana and it is marked on this map too -- no it isn't.

JUDGE LUOMA: You're not comparing that one anyway, are you?

A No, I'm not.

JUDGE LUOMA: Is it necessary to identify?

- A Wiseman Creek on the TAPS Haul Road north of the -- up north of the Yukon. It's in -- right here. The Salcha River near Fairbanks. The river near Salcha Camp, and it's at this location at the Alaska -- or the Richardson Highway at -- at the Salcha River. The Chena River near Two Rivers is at the Chena River at -- up in the -- along the Chena Hot Springs Road north of Fairbanks -- or east of Fairbanks, approximately at this location. The Koyukuk River -- the middle fork of the Koyukuk River is very near Wiseman Creek but on the main -- middle part of the -- about three -- about five miles north of Wiseman.
- Okay, thank you. Is Alaska divided into hydrologic subregions -- you hydrologists for purpose of reference and

-	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

study	•
-------	---

A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q Is -- is there --
- A A map of it?
- Q A map or a common definition of how many sub-regions there are and where they're located?
- A Yes. I mentioned in a report, stream flow -- date of pro-- proposed stream flow, date of program in Alaska. It
  contains a description of physiographic and climatic zones
  that are covering the State, and that's the basics.
- O Are any of the rivers that you've just pointed out on the map been used for comparative analysis in the same hydrologic sub-region as the Kandik and Nation?
- À Yes.
- O Which are...?
- A It's in the Yukon Mountains and -- and drainage area sizes that are between 100 and 500 square miles and between 500 and 1,000 square miles. That's the way I've catagorized that.
- You're -- you're breaking sub-regions -- defining sub-regions in terms of area of drainage?
- A Geographic region according to the water resources council sub-regions of the State, and then within that into mountains and plateaus and lowlands.
- O Okay. So when -- when you said that all of these rivers -

3		A		Nc	)t	ĺ
4				be	2 8	1
<b>5</b>					**	
6		que	est	ic	ns	3.
7						
8						
9		ВЧ	MR	•	ΑI	Į
10		Q		I	dc	r
.11			•	ar	e	i
12				f1	as	h
13			1	na	.ke	s
14	,	A	•	Γh	e	_
15			1	to	ро	g
16	·		1	pe	rn	ıa
17		Q	(	Со	ul	d
18			Ţ	or	es	e
19			1	to	f	1
20		A	(	Ͻk	ау	•
21			. (	on	e,,	
22		Q		Лe	11	,
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>				lr	ai	n
24		A	A	Ą	sm	а
25		Q	I	Ŀi	st	

2

oh. I'm sorry. You didn't say. You would describe all of those rivers as being in the same hydrologic sub-region then?

Not in the same one, but in -- in -- well, yeah, they would be all in the same one, right.

> No -- no further Ms. HIGGENS: Okay. Thank you.

JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen, anything else? Mr. ALLEN: Very few.

## MR. ALLEN:

- I don't think we established on direct what the conditions are in a basin that contributes to the flashiness or nonflashiness of a particular stream. Could you explain what makes a stream flashy in general?
- The -- the relief, the ruggedness of the drainage basin topographically, the presence or absence of significant permafrost, --
- Could -- could you be more specific and say whether the presence contributes to flashiness or the absence contributes to flashiness?
- The flashiness is a function primarily of -- well, one, the size of the drainage basin. That's probably most
- Well, again, is a big drainage basin flashy or a little drainage?
- A small basin tends to be flashy compared with a wide basin.
- List the characteristics that make for a flashy river.

- A Okay, a small drainage basin, a steep drainage basin, a drainage basin that's thoroughly impermeable at the surface, such as would be the case in either a -- a thin soil covered drainage basin or a -- an area with significant permafrost. The absence of lakes. I think that's the basic characteristics --
- Q What would be an example in the middle Yukon area of a non-flashy river or stream?
- A Oh, the Yukon River because of its size.
- O Why does a large drainage basin make for a non-flashy stream generally?
- A Well, the -- the contributing drainage area that affects the -- the high flow conditions, the smaller the basin, the more likely you'll get high run-off over more of the area. A basin the size of the Yukon, it's very unlikely you'll get high rates of run-off over large areas or certainly -- relative to the size of the basin in comparison with a small drainage basin.
- O High run-offs at the same time do you mean?
- A Yes.
- Q Is flashiness by itself a -- an impediment to navigation, referring for example to the Chena River at Fairbanks?
- A It -- the only way it could be that I know of is in presenting flood problems or high water problems if these are impediments to navigation. The other end -- the other

1

possibility is that flashy streams do tend to become very low for long periods of time, so that could be it -- yeah, that could be an impediment.

- O During the period of the 1967 flood in Fairbanks, what river was it that flooded that caused that flood?
- A Well, there were many floods over a large area in eastcentral Alaska.
- Q Was the Chena River one of the rivers that flooded?
- A Yes.
- Q Was -- do you know -- do you have any knowledge of the behavior of Hess Creek during that same period?
- A Yes. Hess Creek didn't flood.
- Q Did it raise significantly to your knowledge?
- A Yeah, it was high, but not flooding at all. In fact, west fork Tolovana Creek -- River didn't flood either during the '67 flood here.
- Q And are these rivers in basins that are adjacent to the basins that were flooding?
- A Yes.
- Q The floating debris that you noticed on the Nation River which you said was from one to four feet above the levels that we were floating it at, do you have any way of estimating how recently that debris had been deposited? Did I say floating debris? I meant flood deposited --
- A Deposited?

1	O flood deposited debris that you mentioned.	
2	A Not really I don't.	
3	O Could it have been deposited some years ago?	
4	A Oh, yes. Twenty-five to fifty I would estimate would be	
5	not unreasonable.	
6	Mr. ALLEN: That's all I have.	
7	JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?	
8	Ms. TAYLOR: I have nothing.	
9	JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgens?	
0	Ms. HIGGENS: No.	
.1	JUDGE LUOMA: All right, thank you. I do want	
2	to ask you a couple of questions.	
.3	BY JUDGE LUOMA:	ĺ
4	Q You mentioned in your testimony that you estimated the	
5	the surface speed of the water on one of the rivers to	
6.	be from five to six feet five to six miles per hour.	
7	Now, to which river did that apply?	
8	A Definitely the Nation River.	
9	O Do you have any similar es opinion as to the Kandik?	İ
0	A Only from the one measurement we made. I have the surf	
1	or the velocities near the surface at that one cross section	n
2	Q And what was that estimate in miles per hour if you can	
3	give it to us?	
4	A It'd be very close to five miles per hour.	

And on what date?

25

Q

, 1 <sup>17</sup> .
(
8
. 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 19
20
21
22

24

25

1

2

- A June 19th, 1978.
- Q Is June the date also for the Nation River estimate?
- A June is, yes.
- Q Would you have an opinion as to what -- as to whether that speed would be the same, greater or less in -- later in the year, like in August?
- A I -- it would definitely be much slower in August.
- Q Like how much?
- A Probably less than one mile per hour.
- Q In August?
- A In August.
- Q That's to both rivers?
- A On the Kandik.
- Q On the Kandik.
- A Let's see...Nation... Probably between two and one miles per hour on the Nation.
- Q On the Nation. Now, to what extent of the -- of the stretches of these two rivers would these estimates apply?
  - Between the -- now the one on the Kandik in June would have -- well, let's see. They were taken from just -- the one on the Kandik would apply from just below Big Sitdown Creek to -- for June for the Nation and down to -- I don't know how far down in -- on -- in June for the -- for the Kandik. The -- in August, they would probably apply from the -- from the same location just below Big Sitdown Creek probably at

2

. **4** 

6 . 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

\_\_

22

23

24

25

the mouth.

- Q Is it possible to -- to estimate this type of -- of velocity estimation for the whole river as a general proposition?
- A I don't -- it's very difficult. The velocity -- the surface velocities vary considerably from cross section to cross section and even across the section. We choose our measuring sections in reasonably uniform reaches of channel, so that we tend to have relatively uniform flow properties, but they do tend to be rather typical. So --
- Is it possible for you to estimate for a given period of time, either June or August for either of the rivers, a miles per hour movement for the length of the stream from the mouth on up above the selection area? In other words, can you give estimates of the outside speeds?
- A No, these are surface velocities.
- Q Yes, surface velocity.
- A Within reason, I think we can.
- Q What would that be?
- A Okay, in June, I'd say that the surface velocities -- or at least during our measurement period, the surface velocities would probably average on the Kandik River and the Nation between four and six miles per hour.
- Q For this stretch of river that we're talking about?
- A Yes, for the --
- Q All right. How about -- how about in August?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- A I would -- for the flow conditions that we've measured in August, I would say between a half a mile per hour and two miles per hour.
- Q As to both rivers?
- A As to both rivers.
- Q For that stretch of --
- A For that stretch of water.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Thank you Mr. Childens.

Mr. ALLEN: I have one further question, Your

Honor.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right.

### BY MR. ALLEN:

- You've testified as to the average rate of drop on these streams. I believe you said the Kandik was ten feet per mile and the Nation was fifteen feet per mile. From your observations, is that drop fairly uniform throughout the length from the selectionary to the mouth or does it -- are there large drops in the nature of waterfalls during that course of that river?
- A There's reasonable constant gradient. It was -- there were no major breaks that I have detected.

Mr. ALLEN: That's all.

Ms. HIGGENS: I have nothing.

JUDGE LUOMA: Thank you Mr. Childers.

Mr. ALLEN: That concludes our case subject to

1 possible rebuttal.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Miss Taylor, did you wish to make an opening statement now?

Ms. TAYLOR: Well, I can if the Court wishes.

JUDGE LUOMA: It's up to you.

Ms TAYLOR: What we had wanted to do, Your Honor, was have the State go next and then Doyon, but I wanted to interrupt the order to present a witness who's waiting to testify. I -- I don't feel that an opening statement is necessary at this point after four days. And I'd like to just go ahead and call Mr. Beederman and have his testimony. And then we'll have the State go on -- the State's case. Call Charlie Beiderman to the stand.

Having been first duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows: BY MS. TAYLOR:

- Q Mr. Biederman, could you state your name please?
- A Charlie Biederman.
- Q And where do you live?
- A At this time, I'm living in Tok.
- Q Okay. And when and where were you born?
- A Born November 3rd, 1918 in Eagle, Alaska.
- Q And where did you grow up?
- A At -- I went to school in Eagle, but in the summer, we spent the first twenty-four years of my life opposite the mouth of the Kandik River known as Biederman's Camp (ph).

Q	Who's your who's your father?
А	Ed Biederman.
Q	Is your father the man that Biederman's Bluff is named for:
Α	Yes.
Q	And until what year did you live in the summers at the mouth
	of the Kandik River?
A	Until I went in the service in 1942, and my dad and sisters
	operated the fish camp until '44.
Q.	And then what happened to the fish camp?
A	My mother sold it to my brother-in-law, George (indiscernible)
O	After after the war, where did you live in Alaska?
A	In in Eagle for a few years, and then down in Fort Yukor
•	and then back in Eagle again.
Q	Okay. And do you have relatives in Fairbanks, Alaska now?
A	Yes.
Q.	Who who are they?
A	Doris Fry (ph) and Jesse Wells (ph).
Q	Okay. Are you the Charlie Biederman that that Melody
	Drawman (ph), who's sitting back here, mentioned in her
,	book?
A	I guess so.
Q	Okay. Are you more familiar with the the Kandik River
	or the or the Nation River?

And -- and is the Kandik River the same as Charley Creek?

With the Kandik.

Α

A	Yes,	that's	all we	ever	called	it.	We	knew	it	was	. — —	we
	seen	it on	the map	it.wa	as calle	ed Ka	andik	t, but	e e	very	body	7
	along	the r	iver re	ferre	d to it	as (	Charl	Ley Cı	reel	κ.		

- Q Okay. Now, when you lived at the mouth of the Kandik River, what sorts of uses did you or your family make of the river?
- A Well, we fished right on the Yukon. The only time that we ever had to go up the Kandik, we went up there to get logs, and then there was a time or two my brother and I went up hunting.
- Q How far up would you go to hunt approximately?
- A We never had to go over about five miles up.
- 0 Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Nyte,

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A In later years, we did go up -- him and I used to go up in the Spring beaver hunting by boat, and -- well, he made more trips than I did, but I made about three up there with him. And...
- O What -- what time of year would you go up in the Spring?
- A Well, we went up in the end of May right after the river would open --
- Q Is that af- --
- A -- right after the season was open.
- O .That's after breakup?
- A Yes.
- Q What ti- -- what time of year is the Kandik generally a running stream?

•		
A	We used to go up there right after the Yukon would break,	1
	and that would be anywhere from the middle of May to the	
	20th.	
Q	And then how late in the Fall did you use the Kandik as	
	as running water?	
A	I've been up as late as the end of September.	
Q .	What was that for?	
Α	Well, at that time, it was hunting and because I never	<b>-</b>
	when I trapped up there, we lived at the mouth. I didn't	
	have to haul our stuff in like some other fellows did.	
	I went in waited 'til winter and went up with dog team.	
Q	'Cause you lived so close to the mouth?	
Α	Yeah.	
Q	Oh. Do you hunt in that area or have you hunted in that	
	area in the last, say, ten years?	
A	On the Nation, I did, but the Kandik, I never had to go the	ιt
	far from Eagle. I've been down in that country up until	!
	three years.	
Q.	Do you have any boats presently?	

Q Okay. Explain to the judge what a river boat is.

A Well, my boat is flat bottom. It has about 45 degree

What type of boats do you own?

<u>flare</u> (ph) on the sides, and it's got a long turn-up --

A 32-foot river boat, four foot bottom that I built myself

Yes.

Α

Q

Α

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

- -- turn-up of ten -- ten feet back to give it long turn-up on the nose for easy running.
- O What type of motor does it have? Can you talk up just a little bit louder?
- A I have a 25 Horse Johnson on it.
- Q Okay. Do you have a kicker on your boat?
- A Well, that's what it is. It's an outboard.
- Q Okay, and what does that do?
- A Well, that's the one I use on there. I haven't got no lift on there.
- Q Okay, what's a lift?
- A That's the one that they use to lift the motor up if you're going to go into shallow water.
- O What -- what's the most commonly used boat on the river now on -- when we were talking about the Kandik?
- A The ones who really go way up there now are using -- the hunters that go up there,...There is quite a few hunters go up every year, and they use these, what they call, river boats that Compeau -- some of 'em call 'em Compeau boats, and I know Persinger Marine has some of them too. They're 24 and 26-foot with probably a 42-inch or 46-inch bottom, metal boat. They usually have a lift that the outboard motor sits on, and the last few years, a lot of 'em are using these jet units on 'em. I've never used a jet unit on mine. That's supposed to --

Are these hunters from Fairbanks? 1 0 Yes. 2 Α 3 How do they get to the -- to the Kandik to go hunting? They go over from here to Circle and then they put in their 4 5 boats from there and run up the Kandik. 6 0 Is there a highway from Fairbanks to Circle? Yes, the Steese Highway. 8 0 How far up do these -- how far up the Kandik do these hunters 9 go moose hunting? 10 Α I've talked to some of 'em, and they've been up as high as 11 -- as far as 60, 70 miles. 12 How do they load their boats when they're going up the river 0 13 to go moose hunting? 14 À Well, there's usually two -- two of 'em together, and then 15 they have their gas, and then their -- their -- all their 16 camping outfit 'cause they usually go up there to spend 17 four or five days or a week. 18 Q And how do they bring their moose down? Corner. 19 Load that in the boat with their stuff and come down with 1t. Α 20 About how much does a moose weigh dressed? 0 21 I'd say five or six hundred pounds. Some of 'em are biggert. Α 22 0 Okay. What are these jet boats like that are used on the 23 river today? 24 Α The jet boats, well, them are inboard motors, and instead 25 of using a prop on 'em, they got this jet on the -- mounted

1	
2	
3	
4	
<sup>17</sup> 5	
6	
- 7	
8	
9	
10	١
11	
12	
13	-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

on the back there.

- O How much water do they draw?
- A They don't -- the jet itself don't take much more than what -- a few inches more. If you get it down where you get too shallow water, well, you might suck up gravel in it. But otherwise, you -- you're just going to take a few inches more than what's going to float that boat.
- O Do you know what the capacity of a -- of a -- say, a 24-foot or a 26-foot river boat is, how much it can carry?
- A You can put a ton in it.
- Q What about a -- what about a jet boat, how much can it carry?
- A Well, it depends on the size of your boat.
- O Is there -- is there a range of sizes?
- A Well, like some of 'em are 24-foot and I think mine is about longest there -- that any of us uses up there in the last year or two. And my brother, he -- his boat was -- there was three boats longer than mine that they used up the Nation and the Kandik.
- O When was this?
- A That was -- that was back in -- in the 40's, and clean up to about '50, between '40 -- 1940 and 1950.

MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry. I wasn't here. When you said, "when was this", what were you referring to?

Ms. TAYLOR: These -- these are the river boats--

A Yeah, they were -- they were them --

# R & R COURT REPORTERS

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- -- that your brother had?
- A Well, they weren't jets. They were tunnel boats.
- O They were tunnel boats.
- A Yeah.
- Q And they were used in the '30's and the '40's?
- A No, in the '40's.
- 0 40's and -- '40's, okay. What were these tunnel boats used for?
- A That was an inboard, and like most inboards would have shaft and prop running down underneath the boat. Well, they build a tunnel inside the -- from the back up they build a tunnel. It come up like this and go down, and it's just like a box in there.
- O Um-hm.
- And so the prop would sit inside of that turned by shaft to the motor in here. So your prop was really inside of this tunnel, but you had to have about three inches sticking below the boat to make sure that there was enough when you started that it would fill that tunnel with water. See, you couldn't have the tunnel just running straight out because then you'd get gravitation. It'd be just air there
- Q Now, were these tunnel boats --
- A -- in back -- in back.
- O Were these tunnel boats taken up the Kandik then in the '40's?
- A Yes.

# R & R COURT REPORTERS

- 1 2
- 3
- ..... 5

7

8

6

9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- Q What was the reason for taking --
- A Larry --

COURT REPORTER: Just a minute. You can't touch the microphone.

- A Oh. Larry -- it was Chris Peterson and Larry <u>Dennis</u> (ph), they -- they went up both the Kandik and the Nation, but then they -- they trapped on the Kandik, so the last few years they went up with a 36-foot boat, an -- an inboard. They would take their outfit all the way up. They would go as high as -- as far as about 50 -- approximately 50 miles up. And that's where they take their -- their whole winters outfit.
- Q What time of year would they do that -- would they go up?
- A They would go up there sometime in August and make a trip up, and then they would make another one. The last trip they'd go up when they'd take their dogs and everything up for when they go up in September.
- Q And then when would they come down after the second trip?
- A Well, some -- some years they would bring the boat out and leave it down the mouth because they plan to come out in the winter with dogs, and this way here, then they could go down the river and pick up the boat on the Yukon. They wouldn't have to hike overland to get their boat.
- O When -- when Dennis and Peterson would take these boats
  up the Kandik in August, would they leave them up there, or

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 1.35 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

would they bring 'em back down again in August?

- Well, they'd go up and take their stuff up and fix their cabins up, and then they'd maybe make one more trip back again and go up to Eagle and get supplies, and then the last trip. And that's what Dan Vanvibber (ph) did on -- on the Nation.
- So -- so they used the tunnel boats to supply their trapping 0 camp?
- Α Yes.
- Okay. Wasn't one of the -- either Dennis or Peterson a Q Canadian?
- This was -- yeah, one of 'em was, but he finally got his Α paper.
- Did -- did he ever trap in Canada? Q
  - Yes. Dan Vanvibber was a Canadian, and he came from Dawson. He would come down, and he would go up the Nation. I'm pretty sure. I think it was 34-foot long. a long boat. It was 25 Universal and a tunnel boat. He used to go up in the latter part of September. I met him one time at the It was, I I know he was going up. mouth of the Nation. think, the 25th of September, and he made two trips. went clean to the border, which we call 40 Mile. I know he went up there one day and the next day, he was back down at the mouth of the Nation, and he took another load up. he came back and left his boat at -- pulled it off there.

2

3

. 5

6 7

8

10

9

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

Q What type of boat was he in?

A It's one of them tunnel boats. It's a 34-foot --

Mr. ALLEN: Your Honor, I'm wondering if -- I'm going to object at this point and ask opposing counsel if they're going to make any attempt to verify this hearsay corroberated through any other witnesses. We're getting a lot of, I assume, uncorroberatable hearsay out of this witness.

JUDGE LUOMA: What's your answer to that?

Ms. TAYLOR: Why an objection?

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes.

Ms. TAYLOR: Well, Your Honor, first of all, this is an administrative proceeding (simultaneous speech).

JUDGE LUOMA: I recognize that.

Ms. TAYLOR: Pard' me?

JUDGE LUOMA: I recognize that. Go ahead.

Ms. TAYLOR: Okay. And hearsay in and of itself is not inadmissible. Mr. Beederman is recognized by BLM's own historical witness as having a tremendous amount of knowledge of 20th century use of the rivers, and I would request that he be allowed to testify as to his knowledge of the customary use of the rivers. It's pretty late in this hearing to start objecting to hearsay. All of the -- all of the historical evidence that we've had is hearsay of necessity. The people are dead. They're gone.

JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen's question was to whether

R & R COURT REPORTERS

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

20

you intend to substantiate it in any way?

Ms. TAYLOR: Do I intend to call other witnesses?

JUDGE LUOMA: I don't know what -- what he means.

Mr. ALLEN: I think I've -- I've admitted quite a bit of an attitude in hearsay, but you say you're recognized as a -- as a person who lives on the river, and that's correct. And

I'm happy to have him testify as to his own knowledge. But when he goes on and on and talks about something that somebody else told him about a trip that he was not on -- somebody else took the boat up to the border and whatnot, this is getting pretty

far afield.

Ms. TAYLOR: I think -- I think his testimony's corroberated by the evidence that's already in the record, and I don't intend to introduce any other witnesses to corroberate this particular testimony.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. The objection's overruled.

Ms. TAYLOR: Thank you.

- Now, when were -- when were tunnel boats -- how long were tunnel boats used on the Kandik?
- A Well, at that time, I would say in the '50's and --
- Q What were they -- what were they replaced by?
- A By the jet unit --
- Q Excuse me, I can't hear you.
- A -- the jet unit that they used on the outboards.

### R & R COURT REPORTERS

1	Q	Okay. Now,
2	A	Then they came up with this lift that they like the out-
3		boards with the prop on it that they could lift the motor
4		up and down as you go.
, x <sup>2</sup> . 5	Ó	And is that in common use today?
6	A	Well, for going up them creeks, a jet unit is a whole lot
7		better.
8	Q	Okay. Which which do you think is more common?
9	A	If you're going to go up any most of 'em that are going
10		up are using jets now.
11		Mr. ALLEN: I didn't hear that.
12	A	Most of them that are going up these side streams on both
13		the Kandik and the Nation, are using jets.
14	Ć	The hunters that use the river today that go up that
15		want to go far up the river, what type of boat do they use
16		most often?
17	A	They use metal river boats that they have that you see
18		here around Fairbanks here from Compeaus and Persinger Marine
19	Q	Okay.
20	A	with a jet unit and <u>lift on it</u> (ph).
21	Q	How far up the Kandik River have you been in a boat?
22	A	Up to the canyon. I think
23	Q	What's the canyon?
24	A	what they call now they have Coast Geodetic Survey
25		has been up like they did along the river and have changed

1		a lot of them creeks and changed the names on 'em and
2		looking at a map or somebody referring to a certain creek
3		or something, we get confused. I think that that's what they
4		call Johnson Gorge, but there is
5	Q	Do you know about how
6	A	a canyon up there what we call 24 Miles. It's good long
7		miles from here.
8	Ó	Is that is that how far it is up the river?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And and what type of boat did you take up the
ι1		river to the canyon?
2	A	I went up there with my brother with him, and this was
13		a 33-foot wood wood river boat.
L4	Ó	What type of motor?
15	A	We went up there once with a we only used a 7 horse, and
16	-	another time we went up, we used a 22.
17	Q	And what time what time of year have you have you been
18		up to the canyon?
١9	A	That was in the spring of the year when we was up there
20		to trapping beaver. That's at that time, we would
21	•	trap beaver until the end of May.
22	Q.	Okay. You haven't been up that far moose hunting, up the
23		Kandik?
24	A	I never had to go that far.

Okay. Are you planning a trip on the Kandik next summer?

25

Q

- A Yes. I would --
- O Where --

- A -- have liked to make the trip this summer, but I just couldn't get the time.
- Q Where are you planning on going, and what type of boat are you going to use?
- I'm planning on taking my 23-foot boat with -- with my
  25 horse motor, but I'm considering getting a 35 with a jet
  unit on it because when you get a jet unit, you lose a
  certain percentage of your horsepower. If I'm going to
  take that long of boat up there, I want a little more power
  than the 25.
- Q Okay. How far up are you planning on going with the 35-foot (sic) boat?
- A Twenty-four miles.
- Q And what's the purpose of that trip?
- Mell, it's quite a few years ago altogether we got three mastodon tusks out of there. And just to settle my curiosity I want to go up there and my son has talked about going with me, and I want to go up and look at that clay bank and see if the river has washed -- or the -- washed any more of them out of there. I know there's a lot of people went by there and they didn't notice them. I don't think they even knew about that bank having 'em in there.
- Q Okay.

13 | 14 |

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

A	I just thought it would be a good trip, and I would like	to
	make before I get so that I'd be unable.	٠

- O Do you know any of the people who are trapping on the Kandik now, today?
- A Right now, I know they're down there and same with the Nation but see, I haven't been there.
- Q Right.
- A I just met them in Eagle, and you meet 'em when I went over there hunting, they're just right on the river. But I know that it's just not -- the other day my son said there were six of 'em down at the mouth of the Nation fishing there.

  Well, they're all intending to trap in that area.
- O Okay. When you've been out in your river boat on -- on the river, have you ever rescued canoers?
- A Yes.
- Q When did that happen?
- A Four or five years ago I knew I got on the river. To my notion (sic), the canoe is not the proper boat to be used on the Kandik or the -- or the Nation.
- Q Is it --
- A As far as that goes, it's not even to haul anything on the rivers. It's good to paddle around, but not to haul a load with.
- Q Why is that?
- A Well, for one thing, it draws more water than a boat. I car

8

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

- that -- that you couldn't get a boat over a gravel bar, but that you also couldn't wade across that same gravel bar?
- A No. It -- it's -- if it's -- if I couldn't wade across it there's plenty of water to take the boat over. And if you can take the boat over and up river, you can come down it. I've never had no problem.
- Q Which is easier with a -- with a river boat, going up or going down?
- A I'd much rather come down.
- Okay. Have you ever had any trouble getting up the river if you had a reason to go?
- A Well, in extreme low water. Any -- all rivers if you have real low water, you're going to have problems.
- Q How often might that happen?
- A All depends on-- on the weather.
- Q Did it ever hap- --
- A I've seen the Kandik and I've seen the Nation in a flood stage. I've seen it in -- I mean, it happens every year, both of 'em flood. In the Spring they're bank full, and then I've seen it again in July. I've seen it in August especially in August sometime when it rains, and you get a cloudburst up there like that, and it's only a matter of hours, that creek can be bank full. There'll be trees and everything else coming down.
- What about the old time trappers, did they ever have any

1		trouble getting up the river, Vanvibber or
2	A	No, they always seemed to got up there. At that time, they
3		didn't have motor boats or anything, but they <u>lined them</u> (ph)
4	Q	Would you sometimes wait for the water if if it was low
5		water?
6	A	Well, if you got the time, yes, you might as well wait 'til
7		the sta the stage is too is just right. You don't
8		want to go up when it's high because it's that much swifter.
9	Q	Okay. What what are sweepers?
10	A	That's where the the current cuts into a bank, and there's
-11		a lot of trees there and they'll cave over, and them are the
12	-	things you've got to watch out for.
13	Q	Are there sweepers on the Kandik?
14	A	Yes. Like it is on all side creeks. There's and on the
15	,	Tanana.
16	Q	What do you when there are sweepers?
17	A	Well, you can there's usually room to to go on the
18		other side where them sweepers are leaning over that way.
19	Q	Have they ever presented a serious obstacle to to your
20		getting up the river?
21	. A	Not too much getting going up as if you're going up
22		and going to come down, sometimes they do because they
23		will get down in the water and get they are if you see
24		that they're going to cause you problems, on your way up,
25		you can cut 'em off. Otherwise, just watch it when you come

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16.

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

~-

25

down.

- Q So if you know you're going to be coming back down, what do you do about the sweepers if you see 'em --
- A Well, you know where they're at. You can see how you can get by it, and then you'll watch for that place when you come to it and make sure that you get control of your boat. Most of the time I come down the rivers, I'd much rather come down and -- by using oars and just drifting rather than use power.
- Q What's the Kandik River like? Can you -- can you just describe it as a river?
- A Well, how do you mean?
- Q Well, --
- A Well, in places -- there are some places it splits up into channels just like -- well, the mouth, you get up there, and it split into three different channels, and I know in my time, I've seen it there when the channel changed three times.
- Q This is right above the mouth?
- A Yes.
- Q What do you -- what do you do when your -- when your channel's braided like that?
- A Well, you -- you take the one the most water's coming down.

  That's what I've said when I -- I remember the first time

  I was there when I was a kid there, well one channel, the

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

lower channel had all the water, and later on the two icejammed or whatnot (ph) and the next thing, the middle channel was always the best. And I went up there one time and we went up it, but coming down, we racked the logs, so we -- we ran into problems. We had to take the rack apart and take them down one log at a time.

- 0 Yeah, but this was --
- All the water had shifted -- then it filled in a little and all the water had shifted to the upper channel, and that's the one that stayed there for years.
- This was on a log raft; not in your river boat?
- Α No, we -- we had the boat there, but we was trying to bring some logs down -- 42-foot logs down there for a fishwheel
- 0 Have you ever run into serious problems with log jams on the Kandik?
- Not on the Kandik. Α
- 0 What about other rivers?
- Α The other rivers, well, the mouth of Sheep Creek and then the mouth of the Sevenmile River, we ran into a log jam That was pretty hard to get by.
- Q How many -- how many other rivers in this area have you been on around the Yukon, in that area?
- Α Up in that area, the Sevenmile River, the Tatondik (ph) or Sheep Creek, the Nation and the Kandik, and a little ways up the Charley River.

1	•
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- Q If you had a reason to take a 24 or a 26-foot river boat up the Kandik River, is -- is -- in your opinion, is there anything that would stop you?
- A No. If I was going to go up there like I said, I would put a jet unit on my outboard and I would look for any -- too much problems.
- Q And what's -- when is -- when is moose season?
- A This year they opened it north of the Yukon. That's in unit 2- -- 26 like this, but it's -- the Yukon River and then the north side of it was open from the 10th to the 30th.
- Q Of what month?
- A Of September.
- Q Okay. And what -- and what are the daylight conditions, say, from May through September? Can -- can you tell us how many hours of daylight there is, you know, in the spring and the summer and the fall about?
- A Well, I don't keep track when I go in the summertime, you know, in June and July, there's daylight I would say almost all the time. In August it starts getting darker.
- O Okay.

Ms. TAYLOR: That's all the questions I have.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgens?

Ms. HIGGENS: No questions.

JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen?

BY MR. ALLEN:

1	Q	You just a few minutes ago testified that if you had a reas
2		to get up either the Kandik or the Nation, nothing would
3		stop you, is that what you said?
4	A	Not on the Kandik I mean if I had no, I couldn't see
5		no what would stop me. We've always went up before.
6		These other people are going up there all the time.
7	Q	You can't imagine anything that might stop you?
8	A	Well, like I said, there is times like on all rivers, if
9		you had a long dry spell, the water might be shallow. If
10		you could afford to wait maybe a little while, it's going
11		to be
12	0	Well there might be times when you would have to wait a

- Q Well, there might be times when you would have to wait a little while?
- A But if -- I don't think I've ever seen the Kandik ever that low that I couldn't get a boat over it by -- by walking it up. I don't -- but if you can walk it up, you can almost use a jet.
- Q Have you ever used a jet on your boat?
- A Not on that 32-foot one 'cause I just built it a few years ago.
- Q What boat have you used the jet on?
- A It's -- my brother's got a bigger one, but I've always used just an outboard?
- Q Have you ever used your brother's boat with a jet on it?
- A No, not that -- that one he's got.

### R & R COURT REPORTERS

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Have you ever operated a boat -- a river boat with a jet on it?
- A Yeah, on the Yukon. Like I said, I've never -- but that's what I go up with. But as far as you questioned me about whether that can go up, I'll tell you, there's a lot of people right here in Fairbanks that go up that river every year, and there's a few of 'em that just come back from there with jet units on.
- Q Let me ask you, can you imagine the water being high -- so high that you could not go up it?
- A Well, the only thing that stops you then is -- is like I said, the drift and trees coming down --
- Q Would that --
- A -- and that don't last very long.
- Q So you'd have to wait for that to --
- A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q -- float by?
- A That will -- that will run through. Usually a flood stage (ph) the crest of it with all that -- where the banks have caved in. Usually it'll run out in a day or two, and then the water starts dropping.
- Q So when you said there's nothing that you can imagine that would stop you from that --
- A Well, yeah --
- Q -- if you were willing to wait until the hazards have passed-

drifting

1	A	Yeah.
2	Q	or the water level is at the right state?
3	A	Yeah.
4	Q	Is that correct?
5	A	Yeah. You can get up there.
6	Q	Have you ever had close encounters with sweepers or drifting
7		logs or log jams in running your boat on any river?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Will you tell us about it?
10	A	Usually was I've been pretty careful. I only I only
11		sunk one one boat in all my times that I've been on the
12		river. I sunk a barge under on the Yukon in a slough.
13	O	Have you ever lost power going up a swift channel?
14	A	Yes, I did once.
15	Q	What happened?
16	A	Motor quit.
17	Q	And then what happened?
18	A	Jumped up, pulled it over to the shore. Going up the riffle,
19		I just swung the boat over with an oar to get it over into
20		the side where it's going to go into the eddie. With a
21		riffle, you come down whichever way the river the current
22		the main current's going, it usually has an eddie on
23		one side of it, and you just try to swing your boat into
24		it.
25	Q	Do you consider that a hazardous situation, losing power

in a swift channel?

- A Yes, it would be.
- Q What if there was a strainer of some kind, either a sweeper or a log jam directly down below the channel, what would happen?
- A Well, like I said, in them situtations, you want to take precautions when you're going up just in case if that motor does quit. That's the reason a lot of them use two motors, but I can see that sometime when I go up, it's good to have a little extra motor there.
- Q Are there strainers such as sweepers and log jams on the Kandik?
- A You mean a sweeper? Yes.
- Q Do you know what I mean when I talk about a strainer?
- A I don't know what you're referring to.
- O I'm referring to a sweeper where the branches are in the water, and the water is flowing through the branches.
- A Oh, yeah.
- Q And is that a hazardous --
- A Well, we call them sweepers. They're a hazard on almost any river where you've got -- on the Tanana, and every once in awhile, you hear somebody tips over because they run under (ph). You want to make almost -- be sure and watch them in case you do -- like your motor quits, have everything ready that you can get yourself 'cause that sweeper's usually

25

pretty close to the bank where you can get it either with an oar or something to swing your boat away from 'em.

- Q Are boats occasionally lost by drifting into sweepers, swamping (ph) and breaking up in the current?
- A Yeah, more so I think than -- I think more of 'em right here on the Tanana than anyplace.
- When the flood waters are subsiding, are there still occasion when uprooted trees might be drifing down, largely submerged under the water?
- A They -- there's a few, but the biggest part of 'em, the ones that are really bad or something are usually already hanging up on -- on them bars or in the middle --
- O Are the waters usually --
- A -- (simultaneous speech).
- Q Excuse me. Are the waters usually somewhat cloudy during the flood, and while the flood is subsiding?
- A Yes, because they're cutting into them banks and everything.

  It's full of roots and something's that usually real dark colored.
- Q And if you were waiting for a flood to subside to get up the river, would there be any risk at all that there might be an occasional drifting snag submerged under the murky water that you might not see?
- A Well, yes, you've got to -- like I said, you've got to watch. In fact, some of 'em stick on the bottom where the

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

see a swell there, a little swell ahead. Well, then you just swing away from it, because what it is, it might be a...

In fact you'll see sometimes where the bank is caved in, the roots of the trees are still attached on the dirt that's -- and the tree'll be float down like that. And it'll be just under the water, but the it'll -- the current will make a riffle, and there's stumps that way down in there too because there isn't enough current to really to wash that on down.

current isn't too swift, and usually you can see them.

- Q I take it you have pretty much been around river boats most of your life, is that correct?
- A Yes. The last couple, three years, I haven't had a chance to get back over there.
- Q Have you developed a pretty good eye at spotting these submerged snags and other hazards on the river?
- A Well, I guess you would say it because more or less I -I keep a -- I keep a watch for 'em.
- Q Does it take --
- A I know what to look for. I mean, I can tell what it is if you see it -- you know, if you see marks in the water or riffle on it, well --
- Q Does it take a certain amount of experience to be able to spot these hazards and keep away from 'em?
- A No, I don't -- I don't know if I can be the judge of that

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

or not because see, I've been at it all my life. I know				
other people who have come up here as strangers, but have				
went out with somebody, and they pointed them things out.				
And after that, they didn't have no problem at all. They				
new what them riffles are, and they know where to look for				
snags.				

- 0 How many times would you say you have been up the Nation River?
- I never did go up the Nation -- only a short distance.
- So most of the testimony you've given relates to the Kandik, Q is that correct?
- Yeah, but I know these people there who have gone up the Α Nation.
- But from your own personal experience, your testimony is confined to the Kandik River?
- Yes, that's the one I'm really familiar with .
- Q How many times did you go more than five miles up the Kandik?
- Gosh, I couldn't count back. Α
- Q How many times have you been above Johnson Gorge on the Kandik?
- I've only went up that far--that's only far as I ever wanted to go with a boat.
- 0 Were there ever occasions in going up to Johnson Gorge where you had to get out of your boat and drag it over a gravel bar?

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

- A Well, I went up there because -- without motor. We lined it up and pulled it up because we didn't have a motor.
- O Have you ever been up to Johnson Gorge with a motor?
- A Yeah.
- Q Did you ever have to get out of your boat and drag it over a bar?
- A No, the water was at good stage.
- Q How many times have you been up there with a motor?
- A Right through the gorge, twice, and then another time when we was up below there, but that's the only time. Like I said, we never had no occasion to go there. The only time was when --
- Q Was this when you were living at the mouth of the Kandik?
- A Yeah, that was before we moved down. We moved down on the 1st of June, but my brother and I used to go down the Yukon right after breakup and then go up there. We'd go up and shoot beaver up there.
- Q When you were pulling up before you went up in a motor boat, how many times did you have to get out and drag the boat?
- A Well, most of the time when you're pulling, this is the only way to get it over a riffle because -- just -- yeah, get out in hip boots and just get a hold of the nose of the boat and one of us in the front and one in the back, and we'd just grab ahold and just walk up the -- up the riffle with it.

1 Q Are you in the boat more than you're out of the boat would 2 you say? No, it's just getting up to the top of the riffle and -- and 3 Α 4 get into slacker (ph) water and we get in and pole. Q How long are some of these riffles? 5 The ones that are real -- some of 'em are pretty long and 6 Α 7 some of 'em -- some of 'em are short. There's no way to 8 say that everyone is the same. 9 Q No, I realize that. 10 Α They can be 50 feet and some of 'em can be 200 feet. 11 depends on how your water spreads out from one place. 12 0 Is the boat that you -- the pole -- the poling boat that 13 you made these trips in, is that the one that you made 14 yourself? 15 No, this is the one -- this is the one that I got up there Α 16 It was -- my brother designed it, and then I finished 17 it. 18 0 How much would that boat weigh would you estimate? 19 Well, when it was new, I would say it weighed about 500 20 pounds, but I think now it's heavier because, you know, 21 water's soaked in. It's double -- I got double plywood on 22 it. 23 Q How many of you would take this boat up -- up to Johnson 24 Gorge?

If I took this boat now or --

25

Α

	1
	2
;	3
4	1
, i	5
6	3
7	7
8	3
9	•
10	
-11	.    .
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- Q No, I -- I was talking --
- A Well, before, just me and my brother.
- Q The two of you?
- A Yeah.
- Q Is that an easy job to drag that boat over a bar that might be 200 feet long?
- A Well, any -- to my knowledge, anytime you're going to take any boat and try to pole it in swift water, it's no easy job. In fact, there's a lot of jobs that ain't easy, but you still do 'em.
- I realize that. Imagine or try to recollect one of the trips that you took in this poling boat when the water was at what you would consider a low stage. Can you tell the Court how many miles you might make in an hour moving upstream?
- A Up them creeks, you don't -- if we was going to pole it up and everything, we used to figure it was -- it was good to make ten miles a day.
- Q Would you consider a thousand pounds of --
- A And that was, say, in about an eight hour day.
- Q Excuse me. What is that again?
- A In about an eight or nine hour day, so it's only -- sometimes you make a mile, a mile and a half an hour if you've got any kind of a <u>load in one of the boats</u>. (ph)
- Q Would you consider a thousand pounds of cargo in that boat

25

to be a pretty heavy load?

- A That's -- well, that would be about the best load.
- Q Did you frequently take the boat up with a lighter load than that?
- A Oh, yes.
- Was it frequently just your own personal camping gear?
- A Yeah, and our gear and stuff like that.
- Q And is it --
- A I have never had occasion to haul a big load. I did take a -- a big load up the Sheep Creek, and that was -- I had about 1,500 pounds in that boat. And that's worse than the Kandik or the -- or the Nation 'cause the way I could only describe the Tatondik is there is one riffle on that from there to the boundary, and that's where it starts; starts at the mouth and ends up there. It's steep -- an awful steep creek.
- Q Just one big riffle?
- A Yes. It took all day to make eight miles up there.
- Q When was the last time you poled a boat up the Kandik River?
- A Gee, that's -- come right back down -- right to the -- the year almost, it's hard to do. It's quite a few years ago.
- Q Within five years, can you give an estimate?
- A In the last five years, I said I wasn't --
- Q No, I'm sorry. Can you estimate --
- A Oh, back there. Well, see, we was up there in -- before

I went in the Service in '42, and that's really the last time that my brother and I went up there. After that, I didn't -- we didn't go down there. Well, he went up there. I did go down, but I didn't go up there. In '43 when I was in the Service, I came home, but after that when we sold -- my mother sold our place at Biederman's Camp to my brotherin-law, well, I more or less didn't feel that we should go down and trap beaver down there when he was there. We didn't want to infringe on -- on him.

- Q I guess I'm a little confused. Does that mean you have not been up the Nation --
- A I've been down there, but I've never had no reason to go up there. But then I met all these other hunters that's going up there.
- Q So the trips you have described where you have been up the Nation River in a boat all occurred before -- I'm sorry, the Kandik.
- A Yeah.
- Q The trips you have desribed where you went up the Kandik in a boat, did those all occur before the war?
- A Yeah, and then I'm trying to think when I was up there.

  Yeah, I was up there afterwards, but I didn't go very far.

  That was afterwards in '47. We went down there, just hunting trip.
- Q Would you say that, leaving aside the trips where you only

22

23

24

25

went up, say, five miles, would you say that the number of trips where you went up further than five miles would be less than six?

- A How many come down...
- Q I realize (simultaneous speech), but I'm not trying --
- A Yeah, that's -- that's a long time ago.
- Q I know, and I don't mean to pin you down.
- A Yeah.
- O But I am simply trying to get a rough -- a rough idea.
- A It's really come right down to it, I wouldn't say exactly how many trips it was because that's quite awhile ago.
- Q But you do remember some of the trips very vividly?
- A Oh, yes.
- 0 Now, --
- There was -- I know one trip I can remember, but I did not go very far, only up there three miles. I went up because a fellow did come down and he was trying to come down with two boats, one tied behind the other and one of 'em coming over a riffle, he got the one boat by, but the other one swung into a sweeper and it rolled it over. So I went up there to help him get that stuff out of there. He even said himself that it was his fault because he shouldn't have tried to come down the riffle with two boats, one of 'em tied behind the other.
- Q What kind of boats was he in?

- A He had a poling boat. It was about 24 feet long, and it was pointed at each end, and then he had smaller one tied on behind.
- Q This was on the Kandik?
- A Yeah. I -- I went up there to help him pick that boat up, and then we fished some of the stuff out. He could salvage some of the stuff out.
- Q He lost -- he lost all his gear?
- A What?
- Q Or his gear got all dumped into the water?
- A From that one boat, but we recovered traps and stuff like that because it was right in deep water there too. When we went up, I tied my boat up to the line so we could get out and we could look down through the water there and we could fish down with a little pike pole and pulled up some of the traps and stuff.
- Q Who was that man if you can recall?
- A Bill Grinell (ph).
- Q And he was a trapper up the Kandik?
- A Yes, he used to trap up the -- what we used to call Easy Moose Creek. That's up about 35 miles.
- O Now, I think you testified that you went up to Johnson Gorge at least twice with a motor boat.
- A Yeah, that was with motor boat.
- Q Was that before the war?

A Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And you --
- A That was the time my brother was there with me.
- Q And is your memory of that trip very clear or is it a little fuzzy?
- A The one with the 7 horse was because that time, we did -the water did raise quite good there and we found out we
  had lots of water, but we didn't have quite enough power.
- O Is there something particular that makes that trip stand out in your memory that --
- A Well, that -- that's the one we...
- Q What happened because you didn't have enough power?
- A Well, I mean it's like that -- you'd get up there, so a couple of them riffles, well -- well, one of us would be running the motor, the other would get to the nose of the boat with a pipe pole and help -- help it along.
- O In other words, you didn't quite have enough power to push yourself --
- A No, the water was -- at that stage, it was up pretty high, so she got pretty swift because of all the bank pole (ph).
- Q Now, was this a pretty reliable 7 horsepower motor?
- A Yes, it was one of them old (indiscernible), but it was -- it was pretty reliable.
- Were you ever concerned that it might kick off while you were in a very swift --

## R & R COURT REPORTERS

- A When the water was that high, no, not with the two of us.

  We -- no, I don't think either one of us thought about even

  -- that there was any danger or anything.
- Q Was this a time when the water was dropping after a flood or was it the middle of the flood stage?
- A No, it was -- it was just about, what you'd say, the drift had kind of quit, so it was right after when the -- they call cresting, you know, and it had dropped a little.
- Q And most of the heavy debris had already --
- A Um-hm.
- Q -- either floated by or hung up on snags.
- A The reason I said that I -- we both remembered that trip so much is because here we was going up there with a motor that we had to go help the motor up, see, and we had plenty of water.
- Have you ever had occasion on the river when you're trying to get up it after a flood that's crested, referring to any of the rivers you've gone up, where the complete channel you've found blocked by logs or stuff that had drifted down and hung up on a log jam or something?
- A Not on the -- on the Kandik. There's -- there it didn't seem like -- I seen some pretty bad log jams come in there, but not the way you'd say that it would close the whole channel.
- Q And that -- your answer there is based on the two trips you

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16.

17

18 1.14

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

went up with motor --

- A· Well, then I seen it on -- like I said, one time on the --I tried to go up the Nation, and this one channel did have a log jam there, but the other one was still clear yet.
- You didn't --Q
- At that time, we was only going to go up there about a Α mile and a half and that was right at the mouth. went up there and then I turned back and went up the other
- Would you be very surprised if you were going up next summer 0 and found that a log jam had blocked one of the channels?
- Well, I could look for the other channel, because I don't think that a log would never stay in Charley Creek. next high water would come down and wash it out, 'cause when it comes down from there, it'll -- it'll go bank pole In fact, sometimes it'll go over the bank if we get a big cloudburst up there or a big spring runoff.
- And that usually flushes the channels clear? 0
- Yeah. Α
- But isn't -- don't these log jams occur because of drift 0 carried by a flood when the flood subsides leaving the drift hung up in the middle of the jam?
- Yes, but then a lot of -- most of that stuff hangs up on Α -- you've got two or three different channels, but I always -- almost always have seen them that there's always one In fact, there's only one -- one river channel that's open.

I know of that was really -- what I've been up that was blocked -- blocked by a log jam, and it still is, and that's over at -- back at Fort Yukon what they call -- you go up Sucker River, and it comes out four miles up the mouth of the Porcupine. And you can go up, well, 75 miles and you run into a log jam there that is -- it might be seven, eight miles long because that's a permanent one. Yeah, that one there because that's a slow moving stream. It never has no big current in it. That one there is what I'd call a log jam. You can't get by that.

- Q Is the Kandik River larger than the Nation?
- A Yes.
- Q Quite a bit larger?
- A I would say it is quite a bit.
- Would you expect that there might be places on the Nation where log jams block the entire channel?
- A Well, almost anything could happen, but it's got more tendency to be on the Nation than -- than on the Kandik. The Kandik is the bigger river just like the Charley River is bigger than the Kandik.
- You say you've talked to hunters that had taken loads up the Kandik. Did you ever have a hunter tell you that they got fed up having to get out of their boat and drag it across riffles?
- A Not with -- with a jet unit, they never seemed to have no

2 (Simultaneous speech). Q 3 Α Ones in the last few years -- the last couple years, I know that some of 'em had been up there this fall because Mr. , 5 O'Leary (ph) told me yesterday that her son had just come 6 back from there about a week ago. 7 Is he the person that traps with Brown? Q . 8 Eddie O'Leary? No. Eddie is the one that stays at Charley Α 9 Creek. 10 Do you know Randy Brown? Ó 11 No, I don't recollect. Α 12 Did you mean to suggest that any boat with a jet motor could 0 13 get up that river with no trouble? 14 No, it's like I said, with --Α 15 It has to be the right size boat in the river --Q 16 A river boat. · A 17 Excuse me? Q 18 Α A river boat. I would say that you take like the one that 19 Dave Roy's got or one of them -- something like that, no. 20 How large is Dave --Q 21 Α I wouldn't even attempt to try to --22 How large is Dave Roy's boat? 0 23 Well, that big motor he's got in. It's not a type river 24 boat.

1

25

0

trouble.

You don't how large it is?

24

25

1

- A No, I wouldn't -- I just seen it. I wouldn't say how long or how big it is.
- Q Do you know how much water it draws?
- A It -- not, but if you got no power -- you cut the power off, you're going sink in. That's one reason why I'd rather use the longer boat. Like I said, the 30-foot boat or 28-foot boat with about a 42-inch bottom, you're not going to draw as much water as you would a shorter boat.
- Q Do you know how long Dave Roy's boat, could you say?
- A No, I wouldn't --
- Q Do you know whether it draws more water than your boat?
- A Yes, it does when it's not running. He's got to have power when he gets up and planing, well, then it lifts up. In them kind of boats, you can't -- make them --
- Q Is he an experienced -- is he an experienced river boat --
- No, I wouldn't say that he was. I know that type boat, I wouldn't even attempt to take it up river. Boats like that are made for, like out on the Yukon or in a lake or -- where you've got lots of room. You've got to have a boat in -- up them side streams that's not going to be drawing too much water and yet be able to handle, 'cause the deeper it sets in the water, the harder you're going to have -- the harder time you are going to have to handle it.
- Q Have you ever heard of anyone trying to go up the river in a boat with a motor who said he had to drag the boat repeatedly

3

4 5

6 7

8

. 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Q

over bars?

- There's been, you know, maybe when the water's real low Α like any river is. Sometimes after a long dry spell, all the rivers are low.
- Q When did they start using jet boats in this area?
- Α Really about -- on outboards, I've seen more of 'em. everybody's using 'em up around that -- going hunting, because they want to go up the -- even on the Yukon they use 'em because they want to go up these shallow Yukon sloughs, and I'd say more in the last seven, eight years.
- 0 Did they ever --
- Four or five years ago when I was down there hunting, we Α seen quite a few of 'em then.
- Q Did you ever see any before the war?
- That's -- up there, the ones that went Α No. we didn't. up there, they was using the tunnel boats. Now, they kind of out -- done away with the tunnel boats, 'cause they got these jet units. There is a difference in the tunnel boat too is because when you use in inboard, you're using a -- a four cylinder motor that's weighing probably four or five hundred pounds. Then your prop and all your other gear on, it's -- that's a lot of extra weight, where you can get the same horsepower in an outboard and a jet that maybe'll only weigh a hundred pounds. (Simultaneous speech).

Did anyone ever pay you to take cargo up the Kandik River?

1 A Take cargo up?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q To carry goods up there for 'em.
- A You mean has anybody hauled stuff up there?
- Q Has anybody paid you to haul goods for them?
- A Only one up there is when I was up the -- when the geological surveyor was up the Tatondik. We was supposed to go down and go up the -- the Nation and a little ways up the Kandik, but we never did finish up in the upper end there.
- Q The upper end -- upper end of what?
- A From the Tatondik down.
- Q But you were hired by the geological surveyor to take goods-
- 12 A Yeah.
  - Q -- up the Tatondik?
    - A I went up the Tatondik with him.
    - Q But you never took any goods for anybody up the Nation -- or up the Kandik?
    - A No, just mostly for ourselves.
    - Q Did you ever hear of anyone else being paid to take goods up the Kandik?
    - A Well, years ago, I knew -- I knew Sandy and Alfred Johnson and Frank and Al Fish, and they were up before I could remember they did it, but I knew them people, and I know that they -- they freighted stuff up there for the survey when they were surveying the boundary, and they -- they lined and poled their boats up.

7

8

6

9

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

- Q Did they have a rough time?
- A No, they -- they were good rivermen. They had pretty good poling boats. They had two to a boat, and I know that they said that they took a ton to a load, and they would go all the way to the border, which they called 90 Mile.
- O How long did it take 'em?
- A They said they'd been anywhere from a week to ten days going up.
- Q Did they ever have to dig channels in through the riffles, the bars?
  - No, they -- never heard 'em say that. There is one fellow that I know that... When I was building this boat about five years ago, I was painting my boat when he came through and that was Earl Brabb (ph), a geologist for one of the oil companies. And he came up to Eagle to see me there to get some back history on my brother, because they said they were going to name a hill up there or a creek after him. when he was looking at my boat, well then he told me that the year before he had made a trip up by boat from the mouth of the Kandik all the way to the border. And I told him that time, I would -- I would like to make one more trip up the Kandik before -- just make a trip. I never intend to go to the boundary. I know he told me, he said that when I got up -- if I ever tried to go up there that the upper end, I might have a little problem taking the -- that big a boat

i	
1	
2	
3	
4	
·°5	C
6	
- 7	F
8	
9	. (
10	Į A
.11	
12	
13	
14	
15	]
16	
17	
18	r
19	
20	
21	
22	 
23	(
24	
25	l A

up there.	He thought that a 24 a 24-foot boat would be
more feasi	ble up the because the river forks up there,
and it wou	ld be a little narrow he said. But I know Earl
Brabb has	told me that he made it up there and back.

- O Do you have any relationship with Doyon, Limited? Are you a stockholder in Doyon?
- A Well, yeah, I'm a stockholder, and that's about all I can say. I haven't got no connections with 'em.
- Q Are you also a stockholder in Hungwinshun (ph)?
- A Yeah, as much as I -- I've been trying to fight for the last forty years to get out of it; had no business to be in it. I can't seem to -- to get in there -- our family shouldn't have been included in that.

Mr. ALLEN: That's all the questions I have.
Thank you.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor, anything else?

MS. TAYLOR: I don't have any more questions,

no.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgens?

Ms. HIGGENS: No.

JUDGE LUOMA: I have just a couple questions.

### BY JUDGE LUOMA:

- Q Have you ever heard of anyone taking passengers up the Kandik River or higher or down the river?
- A Well, they -- oh, you mean, somebody wanting to go in there?

5

7

15

16

17 18

19

20

23

24 25

21 22

Somebody who's wanting to pay to be hauled up the Q river or down the river?

- They probably -- no, I wouldn't say -- no. (Simultaneous speech), like some of 'em I know have taken hunters or somebody like that, guiding 'em up there, but...
- In other words -- you don't know whether there is -- there Q are guides who take -- professional guides who take hunters up for pay?
- There -- they wouldn't be professional guides 'cause they're 0 not allowing 'em to guide in that country, but a lot of 'em, like I said, are taking them this way. They're saying you'll charter -- you charter my boat, and I'll take you up there. It's just the same as guiding, but they don't do that out of Eagle. Like I said before, all that traffic goes through They go the Steese Highway to Circle, and then Circle. they go up.
- But are you aware of this type of activity taking place on 0 the Kandik?
- I've -- I've heard of it, but not -- I wouldn't say because Α I wasn't there and talked to them people.
- Now, what was the reason that you never went beyond Johnson Q Gorge?
- That's as far as -- 'cause we was after beaver. And even when I trapped up there, that's as far as I went. was other fellows up above it.

23

24

25

- Q That's because that's as far as you wanted to go?
- A Yeah, because through there from there on up, you didn't run into beaver, see, until you got farther up, like what we call Easy Moose about 35 miles up. Well then, see, we'd run into beaver again. Well, it wasn't really -- we could get what we wanted down at the lower end.
- Q Does a poling boat ever use mechanical type power along with the poling? Or is the -- is the power strictly by use of the poles?
- A You mean a poling boat?
- Q Yes, a poling boat.
- A Well, that's -- a few years ago, that's the only -- we didn't have motors, and that's what we called a poling boat because then you get in and pole -- you pole it up.
- Q Yes, but you never have a combination of power and poling on a boat. It was strictly just poling, is that right?
- A Yeah. The only time is like I said the time we went up and we had that 7 horse motor, we did have to use a pole to help.
- O You didn't plan it?
- A No.
- Q You were forced into it. Now, I would gather that we've been talking about two kinds of jet-powered boats, the inboard and the outboard. Is that correct?
- A Yeah, yes.

24

25

- Now, is it your testimony that the -- the only feasible jet-powered boats are the -- to be used on the Kandik, are the jet outboards, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Now, this other boat that we've talked about, that was a jet inboard, I guess?
- A Yes.
- Q And are you saying then that jet inboard boats are not designed to go up the Kandik?
- A Most of 'em is either too short, and like I said, you've got that much extra weight, and when -- of course, when they're running, the boat will lift up, but if you slow down, you know, they sink in the water.
- Q Um-hm.
- A So if you're going to get down into shallow water, and you're going to try to go slow, well, you're going to draw more water.
- Q Anyway, the substance of your testimony is that it's the jet outboards that are feasible for use on the Kandik, --
- A Yes, that's --
- Q -- and not the inboards?
- A Yeah. There are times when like you said in flood stage, you take -- take an inboard up there and -- without a tunnel or a jet on it 'cause the kind of water that you get on there would be -- if it's bank full, well, you could have

five feet -- five and six foot of water over them riffles.

O Um-hm.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Thank you, Mr. Biederman MR. ALLEN: You suggested one more question to me. JUDGE LUOMA: All right.

## BY MR. ALLEN:

- Q Do you know whether a jet outboard motor has ever been taken up the Tatondik?
- Yes. They -- I was up there sheep hunting about seven, eight years ago. Me and my son went up there, and we didn't -- we poled a boat up that time, because at that time I didn't have this motor. I borrowed an 18 horse motor from a fellow and he didn't want us to take it up there and use it. He thought we might break the shaft on it, so we just used it down the river and then we only went up there eight miles to Pass (ph) Creek, and so we went back up, and when we come back out and here a fellow come by with a jet -- jet outfit, and up there where we had necked (ph) the boat up in there. And he -- he went sailing up there and come back down again.
- Q If you were planning --
- A And he made it sound-- look so easy when we was pulling that boat up.
- Q If you were planning a trip up a river where you expected there might be low water, would a jet boat with an outboard motor be the boat you would be most likely to pick to give

24

25

- yourself the maximum assurance of getting over the low spots?
- A Oh, yes.
- Q Is it correct to say that you can take a jet boat with an outboard motor up a lot of places where you could not take a poling a boat or even a tunnel boat?
- A Well, there's -- no, poling boats, you can take that up.
- Q Even if you have (simultaneous speech).
- A But I'm talking about going with power. Yeah, you can take that up. If you can take a poling boat up there, you can almost use a jet unit, because -- with an outboard.
- O So it can get over areas where there's very little water flowing?
- A Yes. A tunnel boat, like I said, you've got the prop sticking underneath, and of course, you've got added weight to that motor and everything, but it has tendency, a tunnel boat, to suck gravel up in there. So when you're getting down and it's starting to get almost touching bottom, your -- bottom of your boat is almost going to be on the gravel. You're going to suck gravel up it. Of course, if you've only got a short ways and don't care whether you batter up the prop a little, well, you can -- you can go on through.
- Q Is the Tantondik, in your estimation, an easier river to navigate than the Seventymile?
- A Them two -- come right down to it, I wouldn't want to navigate either one of 'em.

- 1
- 2
- 3 4
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q Let's say about --
- Α Because then you're -- you're really getting into -you're getting into work.
- Real work? Q
- Them riffles and -- Tatondik, them riffles are swift. There's an awful drop in it, and --
- 0 How about Fourth of July Creek, is that an easy one to navigate?
- Fourth of July Creek, you wouldn't call that anywhere Α navigatable. You couldn't even get a boat up or anything. That's -- them kind of creeks aren't really -- there are what we'd call creeks. They're creeks, that's all. they're not for taking boats up. You couldn't even class 'em in with, like the Tatondik and Nation and Kandik and the Charley River.
- Could you take a jet boat up the Seventymile?
- Yes, there have -- some of 'em, they have went up there. Years ago, I know they took the boat up there with a lift on it and an outboard. They went as far as the falls up there, and they couldn't get by the falls though. That's up -- up below -- around Barney (ph) Creek they call it.

MR. ALLEN: That's all. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: One more.

## BY MS. TAYLOR:

Q The -- the poling boat can go anywhere a jet boat can go,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

is	that	right?
----	------	--------

- A Well, it all depends on how hard you want to work, if you want to pole it.
- Q Can you take a poling boat places were you -- you couldn't take a jet boat?
- A No, it depends on how much water you have.
- Q One's harder to work than the other?
- A Some little creeks -- yeah. Some little creeks you haven't got enough water to put a boat in, --
- Q Okay.
- A -- let alone take it up there, there wouldn't be enough water to <u>float</u> (ph) in, like I said Fourth of July Creek is that way.

JUDGE LUOMA: Thank you Mr. Biederman.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{JUDGE}}$  LUOMA: Miss Higgens, do I understand that you're going to now take over?

MS. HIGGENS: Yes, very briefly. I'd like to call Mr. Bill Long to the stand. May we have a short recess, Your Honor?

JUDGE LUOMA: All right, let's make it about a ten minute recess.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

## WILLIAM E. LONG,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
. 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	-
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

Having	been	first	duly	sworn	under	Oath,	testified	as	follows:
BY MS.	HIGGI	ENS:							

Q Would you state your name --

JUDGE LUOMA: Just a moment please. Are you through --

COURT REPORTER: Oh, no I'm sorry. You're on the record.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. On the record.

- Q Would you state your name for the record, please?
- A My name is William E. Long.
- Q And what is your present occupation?
- A My present occupation is hydrologist for the State of Alaska.
- O Could you describe generally your -- your responsibilities as hydrologist for the State of Alaska?
- A The responsibilities are fairly varied. They deal with hydrologic problems that might arise for the State, but generally they involve a responsibility for collecting and maintaining and being able to retrieve hydrologic data, conducting collection programs for various programs that the State might be interested in, and that also involves working with the United States Geological Survey in a cooperative program, which the State and the U.S. jointly contribute funds to.
- Q How long have you been in your present job?
- A I've been in this present job a little more than a year.

- Q What did you do before that? Would --
- A Okay.

- Q -- you briefly summarize your -- your previous employment and educational background?
- A Roger. Let's see. I was educated with a BS degree in geology, and by the way my profession at this point would be called a hydro-geologist, not a hydrologist as a professional title. But my BS in geology was from University of Nevada in, I think, '57. In 1961, it was a Masters of science and geology from Ohio State University. In 1964, a PhD in geology at Ohio State University. My work -- for the last thirteen years -- starting about thirteen years ago really was when I came to Alaska Methodist University as a professor of geology there, and I taught at AMU for eleven years until AMU folded financially. And the next position I took was a planner for the Matanuska/Susitna Borough for one year, and that takes us to my present position.
- O Thank you. We've heard repeated references to the term, braided stream. In fact, I believe Mr. Tileston, in comparing the Nation to the Kandik, stated that the Nation is braided at many places; in fact, is almost entirely braided, is not a well defined channel. Do you agree with -- with that description of the Nation?
- A When looking at the maps or looking at the beautiful U-2

24

25

- photographs that we have here would not call the Nation River braided.
- Q But let's make it clear first of all, you've never been to the Kandik or Nation River, have you?
- A That is correct. I've never been there.
- Q And your testimony today will be based on the evidence presented during this hearing as well as your own steady--
- A That's right.
- O -- flow measurements which are public record and various maps, is that correct?
- A That's correct, yes.
- Q Okay. Could you give us a definition of a braided stream? What's your definition?
- A Well, if I were talking to a class, I'd say that a braided stream is one where the channel braids up into many channels, and often the stream bed is very wide. It might be -- as the case of the old Platte (ph) River on the order of 2,000 feet wide with many intricate channels going various directions at various times. They result from there being too much load for the stream to carry, and it can only carry the load during time of high flow. Typically a braided stream has very erratic flow, and so the result is that they -- this quite varied pattern develops. Now, there are classic end members of a spectrum going from -- by the way, people talk -- in the modern knowledge, now they talk

25

about in many of the river texts, and I can show examples of that if necessary. They talk about the conditions at which a river meanders and the conditions for which a river braids, and they are beginning to -- quantitativly begin to make interpretations of the physical parameters necessary for braiding or meandering. All right. And in anything geologic, there tends to be a spectrum, so there is a place where a stream is borderline. It can be either braided or a meandering stream. And they would have been documented, the transition from one stream type to another. As a matter of fact, the Platte is a beautiful example because the Platte River in Colorado just recently in the last -- in -- within recorded time has gone through this metamorphic process from a 2,000 foot wide channel, which was intricately braided to one in which at the present time is still considere braided, but has sort of the -- the -- it's a -- it's a very much more narrow channel, and it breaks up into -- the stream breaks up into several islands, and it has diamond-shaped bars in it and so forth. And eventually, presumably this stream can, under the proper conditions of discharge, of bank full flood -- flow characteristics and sediment load and slope could further change to become a meandering stream. Okay. So at any rate, it's not too difficult to identify a meandering stream. A meandering stream has, by and large, a single channel which occasionally breaks up around an,

island or a sandbar, in bars and streams are very common. Most texts that any of us in beginning geology have seen will show the typical bars to be found in this stream, and they're found in the point bar, and they're also found just down the straight reach a little bit past the meander and so far as are present in meandering streams.

- Q To summarize then, is the basic distinction between a braided stream and a meandered stream, that the meandered has basicall one channel?
- A And it has a meander form.
- Q Okay. And you wouldn't call a meandered stream with gravel bars across which the water flow breaks into several channels a -- a braided stream?
- A I wouldn't call it that as geomorphic terminology, no -- a geomorphic name.
- Q Based on -- on the photographs we've seen of the Nation and your examination of the maps, how much of it do you think is -- is braided?
- Well, if one were going to characterize this stream generally, he certainly would call the stream for its -- most of its length. In fact, all of the length that I have seen with -- with a few exceptions, there are a few places where it's not...I would call it a meandering stream. And then it does have some other areas, like it has a distributory deltaic part of the river where it meets the Yukon and distributory

channels are present there, but to answer your question directly, I would say a very small percent, and I haven't measured the distances, but less than ten.

- Q Is it your testimony then that the Nation has basically one channel --
- A Yes, I think it's possible to go to that remarkable photograph, put your pen on the channel and follow that single, major channel right the length of the river.
- Q Apart from the braiding versus meandering characteristics of -- of the stream -- of the Nation, can you generally describe the Nation in terms of its major characteristics including such things as grading it which -- which the Judge has indicated he's interested in?
- A Yeah, I think --

Mr. ALLEN: I wonder if I could -- I'm going to make an objection there in that I don't believe this witness has -- I don't believe you've laid foundation for asking him to describe the physical characteristics of the river. All he knows is what he has heard which is already in evidence. So he is simply giving his editorial interpretation of the evidence.

JUDGE LUOMA: I'm -- I'm going to overrule that.
You may answer the question.

- A I forgot what the question was.
- O Give us a general description of the major features of the Nation.

- A Oh, sure. Okay. I -- I see the Nation as -- from a geomorphic point of view, from a landscape point of view, the Nation is a stream which is draining in area which is a little -- is a fairly old landscape for Alaska. The stream system is fairly well developed. It has -- it's a somewhat asymmetrical dendritic system arising in the --
- Q Would you describe that in lay terms?

· 5

- 7

- A If you look at the pattern of the Nation River as has been described here by others, and as shows on this navigational chart a little more clearly than it has on some of the other charts that are either such a large scale that we can't see it all, or the trouble with the USGS sheet is that -- that the Canadian Border goes across about the middle of the drainage basin, and therefore, it's hard to see it all in one nice map. Well, this aeronautical chart puts it all on one, and you can see that the -- the main channel of the Nation is on the -- sort of the northwest side of the basin, and the major tributaries come from the southeast over to it in a normal stream basin, if there is such a thing, and I suppose we could say there was. In a homogenous bedrock area, one would expect to see a more equal-sided stream pattern develop.
- Q Can I interrupt you at this point, and ask you if this is the aeronautical map that you referred to?

COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. You can't touch the

1 microphone. I can't pick you up. 2 Α Yes, it is. 3 Q

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And what -- what do the orange lines on this map indicate?
- The orange -- the orange lines on the map outline the Α drainage areas of the two rivers in question.
- I'd like to mark this aeronautical chart as Exhibit A-1. Q JUDGE LUOMA: Do that now please.
- O I'd like to offer Exhibit A-l into evidence.

JUDGE LUOMA: Any objections?

MR. ALLEN: No objections.

MS. TAYLOR: No objections.

JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit A-1 is received in evidence.

- 0 Continue with your description.
- All right. From this map and others, one could say that the Á stream is -- particularly the part of the stream that we're looking at, any stream has its head waters area -- any fairly well developed stream has a head waters area, an area where it's flowing on its own alluvium, its own sediment deposited material, and it also might have a deltaic area of some type, probably would have. This -- when it seems to be flowing and it's mostly in alluvial valley, and it has developed the meandering stream characteristics that one might expect for such a river. The relief of the area; not the stream, but the area from which the stream is coming, is on the order of 5,000 feet with about 5,750 being the highest point in

24

25

the drainage basin and the river level of the Yukon River level being about 750 feet leaving about 5,000 feet. are taken from the inch-to-the-mile USGS sheet-- yeah, okay. -- and in conjunction with it. The nation river in the part of Alaska -- in Alaska has a -- the river itself, only the part in Alaska, has a relief or a drop of about 850 feet, and that in percent slope is a .19 percent slope using the numbers that were given today. And again, that would be an approximation based on fairly quick and not careful distance And that would be a -- a valley slope, not meassurements. a water surface slope. Because as was also mentioned earliler. the stream does meander; it does have a very sinuous course, and that course is longer than the valley course -- or the valley distance as that's referred to as the ... that's not. It's referred to as -- often as a ratio between the stream length and the valley length and -- and this ratio for a very strongly meandering stream, is -- is often two. other words, the stream is -- can be twice as long. In the case of the Nation, it is not that long. It's somewhere --I haven't actually worked that ratio out, but it's somewhere around 1.5 or less I would assume. So it's -- it's not a highly sinuous stream, but it is -- it is sinuous. any property of the stream can vary at different positions So this is essentially the type of river. on a stream. seems like a river which is a fairly well developed river at

the present time; draining an area that's been pretty well
disected by errosion. It's been fairly well the waters
flowing on it will reach the stream fairly quickly, and I
think we heard testimony to that effect earlier today. If
we look at the channel characteristics, we themselves
on the Nation River, we see a stream which at the highest
measurement taken by a USGS personnel is 120 feet in June
during a high flow time. It was 120 feet wide. Further
down stream was 240 feet wide, and further down stream
yet at its mouth was 313 feet wide. Depths varying between
at this time, between 1.5 and 8.7 feet deep in the various
measurements given. In at a time of low flow, we see
a channel which is 85, 108 and 151 feet wide, and varying
from 1.75 to 3 feet in in depth as based on the measure-
ments from the USGS readings. Also it would be it would
it would be remiss to not include the fact that the bars
are shallower, and we saw evidence that at during low
flow, the bars can be very shallow with only a few inches
of water over them.

- Based on the USGS figures supplied by Mr. Childers, what Q discharge could we expect for the Nation, May through September? Have you calculated that?
- By using Mr. Childer's models and applying the formulae that was suggested, I see a discharge -- I'm going -- I guess it depends whether you want, the means, the max', but I'll

-- let me go by his -- by the max' here, I mean his 75 percentile. It was a 75, a 50 and a 25 if I remember correctly. I picked those three different levels from the USGS Chart, did the multiplication. On the high side, we would see 2,700 cubic feet per second in May, 2,070 in June, 1,080 in July, 1,350 in August and 900 for September with a mean max' then of about 1,620. But if we take the means, the 50 percentile, the mean for that period would be 954 for the Nation River and the mean low for the five month period would be 612. This suggests to me a stream that is fairly wide channel. It's shallow, has bars in it that flow significant amounts of water.

- Q Could you generally describe the Kandik for --
- A I'll take the mean instead of the high for the Kandik, because
  I think they perhaps are more meaningful, if you'll pardon
  the word.
- Q You're going to give us the discharge figures, same ones for the Kandik?
- A Yeah, this would be predicted discharges using the USGS presented model. May, 2.160 cubic feet per second mean; June, 1680; July, 960; August, 1,200; September, 840 with a mean for the five month period of 1,368 cubic feet per second. Regarding the velocities, there's a space for velocities of these streams on the notes that have been put into evidence, and a review of the maximum velocities

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

on those was taken on June 21st at the $$ at a site that w
used for a stream measurement. It was 7.02 feet per second
which by my calculation and any of my calculations for any
of these numbers, of course, is subject to my mathematical
prowess, and I'm not a mathematician. But I came up with
7.2 feet, the percent being equivalent to approximately
4.8 miles per hour, and that would be the maximum indicated
by the instrument in the stream. So that that is a
measured velocity, and minimums are down in the August
measurements at about 1.3 miles per hour. About that's
a min that's not actually take that back. That's
a max' it's a low maximum, so minimum is going to be as
was indicated, less than a mile per hour.

- Is -- is that all of the velocity figures? Q
- I have more numbers, but I just wanted to give an idea of the types of velocities that were in the stream as -- that have been measured in the stream.
- How would you generally describe the Kandik River? We've had a general description of the Nation. Could you give us a similar description of the Kandik --
- Α Yeah, --
- Q .-- with attention to -- to differences that you see between the two, if any?
- Α The -- the Kandik's basin characteristics are a little bit different than the Nation's. For one thing, it's a long,

narrow basin relative to the Nation, which is a -- just looking at here as you can do later on, will see it's sort of triangular in shape. So we see in the case of the Kandik River, a longer, narrower stream. It's drainage area is quite a bit more. My drainage area figures are very close to those which have been presented earlier, so I round them off at 1,200 square miles for the Kandik as compared to about 900 square miles for the Nation. So the Kandik is -- is a larger stream, approximately 25 percent larger. Most of the testimony we've heard would indicate that it is larger, and ev- -- the USGS data also shows it to be larger. And -- I've already indicated the -- the Kandik discharge figures using the model as a predictive tool.

Could you describe the process by which sweepers are created?
Well, yeah, okay. The -- the process is the erosion of
the outside of a meanderer or an erosion -- erosion on a
bank anywhere. But the most common place in a meandering
stream for erosion to occur is on the outside of a meanderer
-- well, simultaneously, deposition is taking place on the
inside of the meanderer, and the result is that with time,
the meanderer moves or changes (ph) or migrates across the
valley creating what we call meander scrolls, and they can
be seen in the photographs in the Nation River Valley and
the Kandik River Valleys, and a fairly common feature, they
create oxbow legs and so forth -- and sloughs. They're

2 3

4

5 6

7 8

.9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

commonly called sloughs in Alaska.

- Okay. So am I correct in stating that the continuing 0 erosion of the bank is what creates sweepers --
- Yes, I think that's --Α
- -- in very simplistic terms? 0
- -- reasonable -- or fairly reasonable. Α
- Q Are sweepers likely to stay rooted to the bank for long?
- Α They can do -- they'll stay there until the water washes away the soil in which they're rooted or the current gets strong enough to pull the root out, I suppose.
- Q How long --
- It seems -- well, it's -- geologically speaking or even Α river- -- river-wise speaking, it's a very -- it's a very There are sweepers on the Matanuska River and short time. on Moose Creek -- Moose Creek that I've observed. We used to use one that came all the way across. It was a bridge, and we were able to use it for a couple of years, and now it's gone.
- 0 Would you call sweepers --
- On the other hand, I've seen 'em last... That one lasted a Α couple of years to my knowledge. It might have been there ten...not ten, I don't know, but it might have been there several years before I observed it. And there are other ones that stay a few years. So we're just talking anywhere from months to years I suppose.

1	Q Would you call sweepers a permanent obstacle in
2	A I'm sure if
3	Q with respect to either of the rivers that are at issue
4	in this appeal?
5	A I think in the sense that permanency in my sense of a
6	permanent part of the river channel, I would say they are
. 7	not. I would say they are a temporary feature.
8	MS. HIGGENS: That's all that I have.
9	JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?
1.0	MS. TAYLOR: I have no questions.
11	JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen?
12	Mr. ALLEN: I believe I have just one.
13	BY MR. ALLEN:
14	Q On your last point, you said sweepers are a temporary feature
15	Are you talking about an individual sweeper or the condition
16	of sweepers in general?
17	A I'm sure that in most of these streams, you'll always
18	find sweepers. I was talking about an individual sweeper.
19	Mr. ALLEN: That's all I have.
20	JUDGE LUOMA: Is that all you have?
21	BY JUDGE LUOMA:
22	Q The velocities that you spoke of, were those velocities
23	based upon measurements that were taken at the mean depth
24	or were they surface velocities?
25	A Those those were taken at the depth for the flow measure-

	ment, which would be a depth that is considered the mean.
Q	Would you expect to find then that the surface velocity would
	be greater than that?
A	The velocities would be higher higher in the stream, that's
	correct. Right on the surface itself, it slows down, but
	just under the surface, it is the place of maximum velocity
Q	Are you familiar with the Colorado River?
A	Yes, sir.
Q	Particularly the Mohave (ph) Valley area above Needles?
	It's after the river comes out of the gorge.
Α	I I've never been there personally. I I've done some
	reading about it.
Q	Have you read would you class that as a braided stream?
Á	Oh, I haven't seen it to know.
Q	You don't know.
A	Yeah.
	JUDGE LUOMA: All right. That's all. Thank
you	very much.
	MS. HIGGENS: I'd like to call Richard Stern
to t	the stand.
	RICHARD O. STERN,
Havi	ng been duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows:
BY M	MS. HIGGENS:
Q	State your name for the record please?
A	My name is Richard Olof Stern.

- 1 2
- 3

- 5
- 7 8

6

- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q And what is your present occupation?
- I'm a historian for the State of Alaska and Department of Α Natural Resources.
- Could you briefly describe your responsibilities in that position?
- I conduct historic research into topics and events that Α are of interest to the State. I examine national register nominations on behalf of the State, and generally am involved in whatever historic preservation programs and historic research that are not covered under the division of park responsibilities.
- What is your educational background? Q
- I hold a Bachelor's Degree from the State University of New York, College of Potsdam, a Master's Degree from the State University of New York at Binghamton, and I'm currently a PhD candidate at Binghamton, New York.
- Q In connection with your present job, have you researched use of the Kandik and Nation Rivers?
- Yes, I have. Α
- Could you summarize your reseach efforts and methodology Q in that connection?
- ..When I began work with the State of Alaska, I was involved in a research program on -- on the waters throughout the We knew that there was going to be a board hearing on the Kandik and Nation Rivers matter. I began research

1

16 17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

by reading through the file notes that were available at
the Division of Lands, and then began researching the
materials that were referred to in those notes. I examined
published reports and gathered bibliographic material and
references within those reports. I examined those materials
either at the libraries when they were available in Anchorage
or Fairbanks or ordered the materials on inter-library loar.
The unpublished materials when they were available on inter-
library loan were sent to Anchorage where I examined them.
We also requested and received approximately twelve or
fourteen notebooks from the U.S. Geological Survey at Memo'
Park and examined those at the USG USGS offices in
Anchorage.

- In addition to -- to researching available archival material Q documented use of -- of the Kandik and Nation, did you have an occasion to do any field interviews?
- Yes, I did, and the company with Mike Brown, historian Α from the Bureau of Land Management at Anchorage. We went to Eagle, Alaska and conducted interviews there during the period August 25th through September 1st, this year.
- Q How many people did you interview?
- I don't recall the exact number. I could look at my notes. It was between eight and ten people, I believe.
- Q Did you experience any difficulties contacting people or getting interviewees to freely talk?

- A In a couple of cases we did, but for the most part, people that we contacted were quite open and free and discussing their experiences with us. Our single major problems stemmed from a misunderstanding on the part of the people about the role that we were playing in the upcoming board hearing, and once that was straightened out with representatives of Doyon, we were able to conduct the interviews.
- Q Are you preparing a written report on historic uses of the -- the Kandik and Nation?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q What is the status of that report?
- A Right now there's a final rough draft. There are a number of typographical errors that still need to be corrected, and we intend to submit it as part of the record after that's complete.

MS. HIGGENS: Your Honor, should I make an offer of that now or wait until later? How -- how do we handle this?

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, why don't you try to offer it at this time and see what happens?

MS. HIGGENS: I so move.

JUDGE LUOMA: I would have to object to that,
Your Honor. As I -- I understand what Mr. Sterns and what Mr.
Brown were going to do was type of their notes of the interviews
of the people in Eagle, and after they both had agreed on the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

23

as a supplemental report to be observed on the record.

WITNESS: May I offer a point of clarification?

JUDGE LUOMA: Just a moment.

Mr. ALLEN: But if -- if I understand correctly, this is a product solely of Mr. Sterns, and I'm not too happy about leaving the record open for the State to put in something I have no opportunity to look at ahead of time.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, Miss Higgens, would his fears be realized? Is it something from what he just stated he thought it was going to be?

MS. HIGGENS: Perhaps I can clarify this, Your Honor, by -- by asking Mr. Stern.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Go ahead.

- Now, it's my understanding your report will include some material that you -- you derived from these interviews, is that right?
- We're speaking, I think, of two different reports. The report referred to by Mr. Allen is different from the report that I said is in the final rough draft form.
- Q Okay.
- A Mr. Brown and I will prepare the transcripts of those interviews based on what we agree was said and submit them.

  The report that I was referring to that I've been working on and preparing has been done by myself, and will not be

1	utilizing any of the information from the interviews at
2	Eagle?
3	O Okay. It was my understanding that Mr. Sterns report would
4	incorporate some of the interview information that hasn't
. 5	been finally processed yet, but apparently it is correct
6	that to this date, we have not mentioned the possibility
. 7	of submitting his written report in addition to the written
8	summaries of the interviews that were conducted at Eagle.
9	But I believe there has been stipulation to to keep the
10	record open to receive different material
11	JUDGE LUOMA: For the joint report.
12	MS. HIGGENS: Pard' me?
13	JUDGE LUOMA: For the joint report, is that
14	correct?
15	Ms. HIGGENS: Joint I'm not sure it was so
16	limited.
17	JUDGE LUOMA: You have agreed between counsel
18	amongst counsel that I can receive a joint report from these
19	two witnesses, is that correct?
20	Mr. ALLEN: That was my understanding of
21	JUDGE LUOMA: Is that your understanding?
22	MS. HIGGENS: Not really a report, but it's
23	JUDGE LUOMA: Well, call it what you wish. It's
24	a piece of paper, huh?
25	MS. HIGGENS: Okay, right, yes. Joint transcri

R&R COURT REPORTERS

Joint transcripts

I guess is... Interviews.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. ALLEN: I would further -- further, Your Honor, in the interest of expediting this to say that I would have no objection to having the record include a report prepared solely by Mr. Sterns on the understanding that it would not be considered evidence, but simply in the nature of just part of the State's brief, if you will.

JUDGE LUOMA: I think that if it would have any value, it would have to be considered as evidence. I don't see how it could be of any value otherwise. On the other hand, I agree with you that I'm not going to receive a final report from the State's witness without you having an opportunity to crossexamine on it, if you so wish.

Mr. ALLEN: Well, that is certainly my feeling if it's going to be used as evidence.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I can't see where it'd be of any value if it weren't offered as evidence.

Mr. ALLEN: Well, I would see it possibly in the nature of argument commenting on the evidence, but I agree with you, it would have limited value.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I would have to at this point, sustain Mr. Allen's objection.

MS. HIGGENS: I'll try another approach.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right.

MS. HIGGENS: This is a rough draft of the

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

I'd like to offer this into evidence at this -- at this time.

JUDGE LUOMA: Is there some reason that you can't interrogate the witness who is here now about the things that he would say about the report so Mr. Allen has a chance to cross-examine him?

MS. HIGGENS: No, there's not. Frankly, we planned a rather limited case in the nature of rebuttal, rather than taking Mr. Stern through -- through all of the material contained in the report.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, for instance, if you -- if you asked him -- if I were to ask you questions about everything in this report, the answers you give me, are they contained in this report and so forth. Okay, you would say yes to that. Now, I'd have to then give Mr. Allen a chance to go through the report sentence by sentence and let him cross-examine the witness.

Mr. ALLEN: I suspect that most of the report is not terribly controversial. It may be that the fastest way to resolve this would be for us to proceed with the witness as a rebuttal witness, leave the record open, give me a chance to read the report back in Anchorage, and then submit it with any objection that I might make to specific parts of it at that time.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. So -- does that sound agreeable to you, Miss Higgens?

MS. HIGGENS: That sounds agreeable.

11 12

8

9

10

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: Okay, in that case, I will leave the record open to receive the document after this hearing, after Mr. Allen has had a chance to make his comments about it. the only problem it seems to me there is that you may wish after you've read it to have the opportunity to actually ask questions of the witness, and would you do that by -- on paper?

Mr. ALLEN: Well, I -- I realize to some extent, I'm sticking my neck out here because I assume that it's in the nature of a historical document, and we, of course, introduced Melody Grauman's historical document which I assume is somewhat equivalent. I am operating on the assumption that there are no truly critical issues that are -- that would -- would hinge on anything in this report, but if that turns out to be the case, I suppose I would want an opportunity either to the deposition of Mr. Sterns or some other way to present a full picture on that point. But I --

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. What we'll do then is we'll -- we'll leave the record open, and you can introduce it. We'll have a number for it, and we might as well start that number We'll mark it as A-2. And you submit it to Mr. Allen right now. and give him a chance to study it, and then the two of you get together and see if you can submit it to me on stipulation. you can't, then you can make the objections as you see fit, Mr. Allen.

> MR. ALLEN: That sounds acceptable.

MS HIGGENS: That's fine, Your Honor.

- Q Have you been present during the entirety of this hearing?
- A Yes, I have.

- O Melody Grauman testified that thorough study of Native use of the Kandik and Nation Region has not been made to date.

  Would you agree with that?
- A Yes, I would.
- On what basis?
- A The -- the Han Indians that live in that region have not been subject to a full historical or anthropological investigation. There are a couple of summary reports indicating the nature and contents of some of the <a href="Ethno Restoric">Ethno Restoric</a> (ph) materials. This is the one by Cornelius Osgood that's been referred to. There also include Melody Grauman's own report which includes some of that information, but as I recall, is not primarily concerned with the Han Indians. The Han Indians, living on both sides of the Canadian and U.S. border, have been split to some extent by that border, and so ethnographers have tended to concentrate perhaps on one side rather than the other.
- O Based on your research, do you have anything to add to what's already been -- been stated with respect to Native use of this region prior to the gold rush circa 1850?
- A During the time that we conducted field work in Eagle, Mike Brown and I had a couple of informants mention the use of

the Charley River and the Kandik and Nation Rivers that I don't believe has been recorded in the literature before. Specifically there was mention of use of the Kechumstuk and upper Fortymile area for caribou hunting with a caribou fence in that area and coming down the river -- down the Charley River with some of the meat from that caribou hunt and caching it at or near the mouth of the Charley River. There was also mention of the use of Charley -- of res---by residents of Charley Village, the village that was at the mouth of the Kandik River and washed away in about 1914, of going up the Kandik River to the Ogilvie Mountains and hunting moose, caribou and sheep in the Ogilvie Mountains area.

Mr. ALLEN: Can I interrupt here? Not in the nature of an objection, but is this material you're testifying to covered in the draft report that you're --

A It will be covered in the report that Mike Brown and I will prepare jointly.

Mr. ALLEN: I would just request that we not spend too much time duplicating stuff that we're going to stick in, in view of the hour. Otherwise, I -- you know, if you're going to cover everything in there, then I'd like to restate my objection covering the oral testimony (ph).

MS. HIGGENS: I believe Mr. Brown testified to -- to aspects of those reports.

 $$\operatorname{Mr.}$$  ALLEN: Well, I -- I was primarily talking about this exhibit that we --

Ms. HIGGENS: I don't intend to go over the exhibits in great detail.

Mr. ALLEN: Okay, fine, sorry.

- Would you agree with Melody Grauman's testimony that there was a lack of economic incentive to use the Kandik or Nation as well as other northern tributaries -- tributaries of the Yukon during the gold rush years because the mineralization -- gold was located on the other side of the Yukon?
- A Yes, I would agree with that characterization. It appears from the U.S. geological survey reports from that era, and by the historical evidence that people conducted gold exploration activities on the south side of the river; that the basic geological structures were known and understood during the early period of the gold rush; and that the gold was found to the south side of the Yukon River and not to the north.
- Q Where an economic incentive did exist south of the Yukon to move men and supplies into a mining area, how was this accomplished?
- A .It depended on the season and the amount of material that had to be moved. During the summer, men would be using the rivers and water ways to transport their winter's outfit into an area. During the wintertime, there were packing

23

24

25

trails that were used. There were also a few summer overland trails that were developed. The freight rates on the -- for those different mean -- methods of transportation varied. It was a good deal more expensive to go packing over land with freight than it was to use the commercial steamboats on the river, for example.

- Addressing the activities of the International Boundary

  Commission, you know, can you describe the logistics of -
  of travel and supply used by the geologists or geographers

  and surveyors who were members of the party?
  - The information that I'll be giving is only going to be speaking about the area in question, between the Yukon and the river on the south part of the boundary and the Porcupine River on the north. The 1911 parties came into the area, and had four launches at their disposal. Two of these launches were sister-ships, constructed especially for the International Boundary Commission. They were forty feet in length, nine feet wide and had a draft of approximately eighteen inches. As it turned out in that first season of use, these two stern-wheelers drew a little bit more water than the designers had intended. Consequently, after the survey work was finished during the summer of 1911, they were laid up in Dawson and overhauled and redesigned during The engine was moved approximately seven feet that winter. forward, and the improvement was such that the next summer

23

24

25

Q

Α

during 1912 when the launches were used, there was a slight increase in their overall speed, and the draft had been reduced to somewhere between fourteen and sixteen inches. Together the two launches, the Aurora which was owned by the Canadians and the Midnight Sun, owned by the Americans could handle a barge -- barges carrying seven to twelve In addition, in 1911, the International Boundary Commission rented the launch, The Pelican, from the White Pass and Yukon Railroad and the Frontiersmen, which was rented from Mr. Thomas Smith. They also used poling boats and had scows and barges that I mentioned that were attached to these other boats. The commercial steamboats on the Yukon were also utilized to transport men, horses, supplies and equipment for that summer's work. In 1912, the vessels -- the two vessels I mentioned, the Aurora and Midnight Sun, were used again, and also the Falcon (ph), a vessel similar in size. Poling boats for the use of the Boundary Commission were constructed by a William Seth of Rampart House and utilized by the Boundary Commission on those rivers where they had found the previous summer that the two launches couldn't operate. Now, if you'd like, I can go into some details of overland transporation as well or inland from the main waterways. The specific --Please do.

R&R COURT REPORTERS

-- specifically in 1911, Dalon Klines (ph), the Canadian

24

25

party chief, and an assistant travelled from the area around Sheep Creek or the Tatondik River over land to meet up with the Boundary Commission where they intended to start the survey work at around the headwaters of the Black River, and then northward to the Porcupine. It was the intent of the Boundary Commission to move their supplies and equipment and horses up the Black River to a point near the boundary. and then proceed northward. In his published report, Klines indicates that most of the geographic features and the rivers trend parallel or rather perpendicular to the boundary. The boundary, of course, if the 141st meridian, and this is an artificial line placed on the map. They were able to utilize the Black River in 1911 only to about -- a point about 125 miles downstream from the border. They had rather low water that year and were not able to carry out their plans as they intended to. The next year in 1912 when the brought supplies in up the Black River, they were able to get within thirty miles of the border, and by using poling boats were able to get the supplies to the border area and then cover the distance southward that they had not finished in 1911. The 1912 work also involved the use of bringing supplies up the Kandik River. We heard testimony to that previously and this is covered in some more detail in the report, Exhibit A-2. From my reading the survey notes which were requested from USGS at Mineral Park and sent to

Anchorage, I'm only able to offer an interpretation, an opinion about the use of the Nation River for bringing men and supplies up to the boundary area. It appears that they came up the Nation River and then up Hardluck Creek and brought supplies, including mail, which is noted in the notes from the geologist to the vicinity of the boundary area. They were able to bring poling boats loaded with hay and oats for the horses from the mouth of the Kandik River up to the vicinity of the boundary.

- Q Based on your consideration of -- of the documents you've mentioned, is it your opinion that supplies were poled on the Nation and/or it's tributaries to camps located?
- A The notes are not specific about that point, but as I understand the notes and am interpreting them, it appears that the supplies were poled to that point. They don't mention the use of horses or pack trains brought those particular supplies in.
- Q Okay. Why did the personnel involved, the surveyors and the geologists, travel over land?
- A Well, in 1911, it was the intention of Klines to reach the upper portion of the -- the Black River area and meet up with the -- the supplies rather than travel with the supplies around the Yukon. He apparently wanted to scout that region for the next year's work; and therefore, went over land travelling very lightly with one assistant and each of them

## R&R COURT REPORTERS

with a hor- -- two horses I believe, if I recollect the notes correctly. When the -- the party was going -- was actually surveying the boundary as I've mentioned, it goes through a rather mountainous area, the Ogilvie Mountains in that part of Alaska. And going over land to survey this 141st meridian was -- was necessary in order to accomplish their task. The supplies, as I've mentioned, and personnel and horses were carried on some of the waterways to dropoff points, and then either continued on tributary streams to the Yukon and Porcupine or went over land to their destination.

- What types of boats were used to transport supplies to

  the surveyors and geographers -- to the camps and the upper
  regions along -- along the boundary?
- A In the drainage of the Yuk- -- of the Yukon River portion of the boundary, the poling boats brought in supplies up the Kandik River as I'd mentioned, to the vicinity of the boundary. Once there -- there appears -- and again, the field notes are incomplete in this respect. They don't give a day-to-day description of -- of operations, but from reading what events are recorded in those field notes, it appears that the supplies were then packed over land once they'd been brought to the boundary in order to follow the boundary line directly south. No one river or stream flows in that direction, and it was necessary to stick close to the

boundary line. The routine seems to have been that the party
-- the packers would move ahead with the supplies and horses,
establish a camp. The geologists and topographers and
geologists (sic) would follow behind and leapfrog from camp
to camp as they proceeded southward.

- Q Can you identify this document, please?
- A Yes. This is a series of xeroxes of various kinds of boats and pack trains and camps taken from the 1918 joint report upon the survey and demarcation of the international boundary between the United States and Canada along the 141st meridian from the Arctic Ocean to Mt. St. Elias and was published by the U.S. Department of State.
- Q Does it contain a -- pictures of a variety of crafts that were used at the time?
- A Yes, it does. It's an appendix which lists the special equipment that was utilized in the course of the boundary survey, including the launches that I've mentioned and some other items.

Ms. HIGGENS: I'd like to mark this as Exhibit A-3, and offer it into evidence.

Mr. ALLEN: I'm sorry. Where did this report come from?

A The reference source is taped at the top of that first page,
Mr. Allen. An actual copy of that document is in the
University of Alaska Library in Anchorage.

Mr. ALLEN: Do you know who the individual is
that prepared it?
A I don't believe that a single author is listed. I imagine
that it was a group effort by members of the boundary party.
Ms. HIGGENS: Alice Eliff (ph) did the xeroxing
if that's
Mr. ALLEN: No, I was talking about the author
of the document. I have no objection.
JUDGE LUOMA: Neither do you, Miss Taylor, or
do you?
Ms. TAYLOR: I have no objection.
JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit A-3 is received in evidence
Please describe for me what Exhibit A-3 is physically. What does
it consist of? How many pages and
Ms. HIGGENS: It consists of sorry. The
pages aren't consecutively numbered. It appears to be about
twenty pages of xeroxed appendix material including photographs
on every page.
JUDGE LUOMA: The pages are not bound together,
are they?
Ms. HIGGENS: No.
JUDGE LUOMA: Would you please count them
Ms. HIGGENS: Yes.
JUDGE LUOMA: with the exact count?
Mr. ALLEN: Did you say they are not consecutively

.11

numbered?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. HIGGENS: No.

Mr. ALLEN: Do you know why not?

Ms. HIGGENS: Because the pages on which pictures of boats were located is introduced only for the purpose of showing sampling and types of crafts that were in use at the time.

Mr. ALLEN: I see.

Ms. HIGGENS: Not for the texual material included there.

Mr. ALLEN: Are there references in the text to the Nation and the Kandik Rivers, do you know?

A I believe there are. One page that I recall shows a --

JUDGE LUOMA: Will you first please just count the pages and get it over with. She's tried two or three times now.

A Sorry.

Ms. HIGGENS: Are you withdrawing your own objection, Mr. Allen?

Mr. ALLEN: Am I withdrawing what?

Ms. HIGGENS: (Pause) Seventeen pages.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right, A-3 consists of 17
pages. Each of them contain apparently some pictures of boats.

It's introduced only for the purpose of my looking at the pictures of the boats and not for the text material, is that correct?

Ms. HIGGENS: That's correct.

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit A-3 is received in evidence with that limited purpose.

- O Based on your knowledge of Alaskan history, what role did water transporation play in the settlement of Interior Alaska and in general, and in particular, the Yukon Charley area that we're concerned in this appeal?
- In the area that's been termed the middle Yukon, the early fur trappers and traders entered and travelled through the region on the major water systems, the Yukon and Porcupine During the 1850's, Russians from the lower portion of the Yukon River area came up stream apparently and may have made it to the vicinity of Fort Yukon. The historic record is not entirely clear on that point. In 1869 after the purchase of Russia -- or purchase of Alaska from Russia, Captain Raymond (ph) travelled from Russia. Captain Raymond travelled from St. Michael up the Yukon River to Fort Yukon aboard a steamboat and notified the English residents at Fort Yukon, members of the Hudson's Bay Company that they were on American territory. He was able to inform them of this after making the necessary astronomical observations that Fort Yukon was in fact to the west of the international boundary of the 141st meridian. During the period after the purchase of Alaska, up until the time of the gold rush around 1900, the rivers were utilized by traders, trappers and people coming into the country, as testified to by

24

25

Jack Quest (ph) and Al Mayo (ph), Arthur Miss Grauman. Harper and others utilized the waters to transport themselves and the goods for the trading posts that they operated on behalf of various trading companies. The United States Military utilized the Yukon River from its mouth as far as Eagle where Fort Egbert was constructed in 1899. is documentation of this in published sources and also in unpublished notes by Farnsworth who was one of the commanders of Fort Egbert and I believe also at Fort Gibben (ph) at the modern village of Tanana. During the gold rush period the rivers were used by the stampeders as Miss Grauman testified, and there were two main ways of getting into the gold fields, one from Seattle through the inside passage over the pass at -- from Skagway into the upper portion of the Yukon River region and then down the Yukon River into the -- Eagle and in earlier periods, Birch Creek and other gold strike areas. Second route was from Seattle or other points outside to St. Michael and their booking passage on one of the steamers that made regular trips from that area up the Yukon River to either Circle or Eagle, whatever the miner's final destination was. In the 20th century, we've heard various evidence about the use of the -- the waterways for people moving themselves and equipment for going out on trapping expeditions during the winter. certainly is information available that the Yukon, the

Tanana and most of the other waterways in Interior Alaska have been travelled upon for bringing freight and goods to villages where villages were located.

- Q Would -- would you say that water transportation has played a very important role, a critical role in -- in settling
  Interior Alaska?
- A Alfred Brooks, who was the Director of the US -- of the Alaska section of the U.S. Geological Survey for a number of years, wrote in a book entitled, <u>Blazing Alaska's Trails</u>, that it was his belief, water transportation was one of the critical factors that enabled the Interior of Alaska to be opened to exploration and settlement.

Mr. ALLEN: Can I make one quick (ph) statement here. I don't want in any way to try to restrict the State's ability to put on any evidence they want, but I did agree to a stipulated -- a stipulation to receive a rather lengthy document on your characterization of this witness as a rebuttal witness, and from what I'm hearing, this is simply a rehash of what we've had before. Which I'm happy to sit through, but it does color my agreement to receive this lengthy document in -- in -- into evidence at a later time.

Ms. HIGGENS: Well, I'll move onto other points.

I think we've been going all of about ten minutes now.

Q Based on your knowledge of Alaskan history and contemporary trapping activities, would you say that contemporary trapping

24

25

Α

is similar to trapping of the 1920's and 1930's? From the information that I've been able to put together, there seems to be some difference in the Yukon Charley area between the intensity and the pattern of trapping during the '20's and '30's and what is currently being done One of the reasons for this is the increase in prices of certain furs and the constant problem which trappers face is to availability of various types of furs and the seasons that the regulating body may put on -- to take those furs. For example, muskrats do not appear to be as significant a portion of the trapping take over the last ten years as they were in an earlier period. Similarly. beaver do not appear to be as intensely trapped as they were in an earlier period. On the other hand, the contemporary trappers such as Mr. Brown's son, who -- who was described earlier, are apparently going after higher priced furs. For example, a -- a single lynx pelt in 1976, '77 may have brought as much as 275 to \$300.00, and wolf may have brought 150 to \$175.00. The physical effort involved in preparing the traps set for beaver may be great -- much greater than that involved in going after lynx and wolf. Consequently, people are choosing to go after some of the higher priced The take from trapping according to a National Park Service assistant's report on the Yukon Charley area is from 600 to \$2,800.00 a year in -- over the last couple of

years. I believe that there was evidence presented earlier that at one time, one resident of the Yukon Charley area was able to make as much as \$8,000.00 a year by trapping. The life style of people in the Yukon Charley area who are following this way of life could have been described as being rather different and that it's a life style designed to -- to go after certain values that are not available in urban society elsewhere in the United States. Consequently, the concentration on those particular furs may be one of the reasons that they -- they're choosing to go after them and limit the amount of cash income they can consequently get.

Mr. Brown also testified that his son comes to Eagle two times during the winter, once at Christmas time and once in March or so to sell his furs. Do you think this is a typical pattern of travel to Eagle to sell furs?

I don't know how many people are actually following that pattern, so it's difficult to say how typical a representative it is of the area. It seems that -- that if people are not going after beaver or -- or muskrat, which are generally hunted in the later part of the winter, there may be a difference in the pattern based on -- on that consideration. If people were going after muskrat and after beaver, they would by necessity, have to stay out in the bush longer in order to get those animals and

consequently be coming into Eagle slightly later in the winter or early spring.

- Q We've heard testimony about the difficulties in getting over gravel bars, shallow water and riffles on the Kandik and -- and Nation Rivers. In the work of the International Boundary Commission, did they encounter any difficulties, and if so, what did they do about it, either on the Kandik or Nation or other rivers?
- It appears from the field notes that I've examined from the International Boundary Commission that whatever the difficulties were that the polers encountered on the Kandik, they were able to overcome them and to deliver the goods at the boundary area for the Boundary Commission members. I am speculating, of course, but the difficulties were probably the same that are encountered today; varying stages of water, the existence of the gravel bars and the sweepers. With respect to the difficulties encountered today, did any of the people that you interviewed in Eagle, indicate that they were concerned about hazards posed by sweepers or -- or gravel bars either in the Kandik or Nation? Did anyone indicate that they thought those two rivers might be dangerous for travel?
- A People indicated that those conditions existed, but as I recollect, did not seem to express a -- a particular concern or dwell on their existence. They are part of the

rivers and part of obstacles encountered when traveling upon them and are simply something that have to be -- have to be met and overcome during the course of travel on those rivers. I would have to review some of the -- the written notes, but I can't recall right now anybody indicating that the -- they presented an insurmountable obstacle to them.

Okay. Did the interviewees indicate that timing of use

- Q Okay. Did the interviewees indicate that timing of use of the river is important? What did they say about -- about shallow water?
- Oh, a number of people indicated that in their travel plans, Α they looked at the weather conditions and consequently whether or not they expected a certain stage of water to be available in the rivers. Depending on that condition being met, they would travel accordingly and take advantage of slight rises in water in order to make it up stream. I believe it was Mr. Biederman who spoke about the -- the timing of this and that when you have twenty-four hours of daylight in the summer and need to get somewhere, it's not an unusual situation to go when the water conditions are right and continue travelling as long as they are correct. Based on your knowledge of Alaskan history, could you briefly summarize -- summarize the changes in water transportation that have taken place in the last, oh, thirty years?

R&R COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

23

24

25

Α

Well, for the last thirty years, I suppose the major changes are twofold. On the one hand, the availability of outboard engines in a price range, that's affordable for many people, has caused a change from the tunnel boats with their inboard engines that existed up until around World War II to boats that are equipped with outboard motors, and in some cases with lifts. The others, part of that change is the shift from homemade, wooden constructed boats to the purchase of alumium craft, either canoes or flat-bottomed Yukon type river boats. The other change in speaking of the last thirty years, would be the disappearance of the steamboats from the Yukon River and, in fact, from just about all of the waterways in Alaska and where the waterways are still used for inland transportation has shift to diesel-powered In terms of the small boats, the overall changes, vessels. one, of keeping up with the currently available technology, whether it be the new -- the outboard motors that are available for aluminum boats or the purchase of the jet units if that's seen as a viable option for the kind of river travel that the person wants to use.

Ms. HIGGENS: (Pause) I think that's all.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?

Ms. TAYLOR: Thank you.

BY MS. TAYLOR:

Q Mr. Stern, I think it was Melody Grauman who testified about

2	
3	
4	
5	

6 7

8 9

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

the U.S. boundary surveyors going over land and using horses to get to the boundary to do their survey work, and you mentioned on direct that supplies were poled up the Kandik River to supply the -- the Boundary Commission. Were these supplies for the horses or do you know what the supplies were?

- A I believe, and if I can consult my draft copy of the report to refresh my memory, I can go directly from that, the -- that the load that they referred to is a ton of hay and oats. May I read from this?
- Q Yes.

JUDGE LUOMA: It's about four lines.

A It's about four lines.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right.

- A For Thursday, June 15th, 1911, notes written by William -or by <u>DeDe Cranns</u> (ph) state, "Alex Stewart and men arrived
  about four p.m. with scow and small poling boat containing
  together approximately two tons of outfit and supplies."
  They arrived, I believe it was at the headwaters of the
  Kandik.
- Q How long did the Boundary Commission stay at the border during this survey?
- A They worked that section of the border between the Yukon River and the Porcupine River over the course of two summers, 1911 and 1912.

Q Okay, but -- but you don't know how many trips up the Kandik might have been made by poling boats during this period?

. 7

- A The reference from the field notes indicates only one. It may be the case that when the -- that the -- the person who kept the field notes was not there when the polers arrived, so it's possible that there were more than one trip.
- Q Okay. What did the Boundary Commission do with the horses when they got ready to leave?
- A I don't believe that it's in the written record, but we've had information given to us by people in Eagle that the horses were simply shot at the boundary. It was too expensive to bring them out of the country at that time, and there was no market for them. Now, this was 1912. The year before they had been brought out and overwintered in the Dawson area.
- Q The horses had been brought out or the -- or both the men and the horses?
- A The men and the horses. The men were not shot.
- Q All right. Do you know how the Boundary Commission people got -- got back out of the country when they finished after they shot the horses?
- A I don't recall a reference in the -- to it in the -- the material in the Boundary Commission reports. They -- I can speculate.

- Q That won't be necessary. Now, am I to understand that -that there were in fact steamboats used on tributaries
  of the Yukon?
- A Yes.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Were some of those used on any rivers that are tributaries of the Yukon that might be comparable to the Kandik, say, for example?
- A Well, the -- the two launches that were built for the Boundary Commission, the Aurora and the Midnight Sun, were gasoline-powered stern wheelers, and they operated on the Old Crow River and the Black River, which are tributaries of the Porcupine. The -- there were -- there are no tributaries of the Yukon River that those two particular boats would have had a -- a need to go on because of the -- the way that the boundary runs. Steamboats have been used on the tributaries of the Yukon, such as the Tanana, the Middle Fork, the Koyokuk and -- and others further down stream.
- Q Okay. Now, you mentioned Brooks work, <u>Blazing Alaska</u>
  Trails, and -- and who is Brooks?
- A Brooks was at one time the head of the Alaska Branch of the U.S. Geological Survey.
- Q All right. Is this book recognized as an authority on -on Alaska history?
- A It's considered a good summary of Alaskan history.
- Q All right. Doesn't Brooks make an economic comparison among

1

11

10

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

2425

modes of travel on Alaska Interior rivers in that book?

- Α I believe he does in the chapter on transportation.
- And if I recall correctly, doesn't he compare, for example Q poling -- canoes, poling boats and -- and steamboats on Alaska rivers and come to the conclusion that steamboats are the most economically feasible, and that poling boats are second to steamboats?
- I would have to look at that exact comparison, but that Α sounds right.
- Okay, do you have a copy -- or we have a copy... 0
  - I'm looking at a xerox copy of Blazing Alaska Trails by Alfred Holtz (ph) Brooks, the second edition, and on page 400, he has a chart entitled, "The Relative Efficiency of Alaska Means of Transport." I believe that's what you're referring to. He compares backpacking, pack horses, dog sleds, wagon roads, railroad, canoes or poling boats and river steamers with regards to the weight they can carry, the mileage they can travel in a twenty-four hour period, the approximate cost per ton mile and some notes. comparison between canoes or poling boats and steamers that you referred to indicates that the river steamers cost approximately five cents per ton mile. That would be 2,000pounds of goods tra- -- per mile travel. And the poling boats, which are the only other means of water transportation compared, is \$1.50 per ton mile. Backpacking is \$25.00

per ton m	ile. Pack	horses,	\$12.00 per	ton	mile.	Dog	sleds,
\$2.50 per	ton mile.	t .				•	

- Q Okay. Now, if we talk about the Kandik and the Nation Basins as -- as an area, do you agree with -- with Melody Grauman's testimony that -- that trapping in this area was at quite a high level during the '40's?
- A During the period, the 1920's through the 1940's, trapping was generally -- the trapping fur prices were generally high with some minor flucuations within that period. Yes, I would agree with those statements.
- Q In -- in your interviews, did you -- did you come across a lot of references to trapping activity in the period of the 20's through the 40's?
- A Yes, we did.

- Q Was there any explanation given for the lack of trapping activity in the '50's?
- A One reason given was the lowered price of furs, and another reason was that the -- partially as a result of that, the region was relatively depopulated. One of our informants made the comment that they built the Taylor Highway, and everyone left, referring to Eagle.
- Q What -- what's the Taylor Highway, and where does it go?

  A The Taylor Highway runs from Tok on the -- on the Alaska
  Highway to Eagle, Alaska through the Fortymile country. It
  was constructed in about 1953 to 1955.

- Q Okay. So as a matter of fact, during the 1950's you could -- you could say that this area was in a depression?
- A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q As a matter of fact would you have any estimate as to the population of this area in the 1950's?
- A I have some figures in my notes, and I'd feel safer consulting them. The general trend of the population from 1900 to 1970 was downward if I recall by those figures correctly, and has been increasing slowly since then at Eagle. Sometimes those census figures do not include everybody within the area, so they -- there may be a percentage variation in what the population actually was. They're generally reliable.
- Q Okay. Now, I understand that you and Mike Brown interviewed a -- several people at Eagle, both Natives and -- and non-Natives, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Is there a relative portion of Native versus non-Native interviewees?
- A If I can look through my notes a second, --
- Q Sure.
- A -- I can answer that. We interviewed six non-Natives at Eagle and three Natives.
- Q Who were the Natives that you interviewed?
- A They were Billy <u>Junibee</u> (ph), Harry David and Bob Stacy.

  We also spoke with Jim Junibee, but we didn't really get

1	.    . -
2	2
3	3
4	
5	;
6	
. 7	
. 8	
9	
10	
.11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

sufficient amount of information that would characterize as much of an interview.

- Q And they're Athabascan Indians?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Now, did you inter- -- did -- didn't you interview some people who are -- are relatively recent arrivals in the country?
- A Yes, we did.
- Q And who were they?
- A Mike -- Mike Sager (ph) and Brad Snow.
- Q Okay. Now aren't Mike Sager and Brad Snow relatively characteristic of a -- of an --

COURT REPORTER: We have to go off record.

## (OFF THE RECORD)

# (Court Reporter changes tape)

## (ON THE RECORD)

- Q Okay. Which were the two people that you interviewed that were recent residents of the country?
- A That would be Mike Sager and Brad Snow.
- Q Okay, and aren't Mike Sager and Brad Snow characteristic examples of people who have come into the country seeking an alternate life style?
- A I would characterize them as such.
- Q As a matter of fact, isn't this a very popular area for people who want to live that sort of life style these days?

- A I understand there are a number of people living along the Yukon River between Eagle and Circle who are carrying out that life style.
- As a matter of fact, while you were up there doing these interviews, some of these people that you wanted to talk to expressed reluctance to talk to you because of their fears that BLM would find out where they were living, isn't that true?
- A Yes, that's true.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- O And aren't they living in trespass, what are now BLM lands?
- A Yes. They -- they always have been BLM lands, I believe.
- O And -- and they're trying to keep their location secret that BLM doesn't find them?
- A That's true.
- Q All right. Now, when did this influx of new residents into
- A I believe it occurred within the last ten to fifteen years.
- Q All right. And at the same time, aren't some of the long time residents of the areas -- of the area moving out to other places to more settled areas?
- A I don't have a lot of good figures on that, but a number of people who have lived in Eagle for quite a period of time have retired and moved elsewhere.
- O Okay. As a matter of fact, hasn't this area, the Kandik and Nation River area, undergone a tremendous cultural

825 W, 8TH AVENUE, SUITE 5

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

277-0572

change in the last fifteen years?

- A I -- I'm not sure what you mean by a tremendous cultural change?
- Q Well, hasn't there been considerable -- I don't know if I can phrase it right either. Hasn't the impact been considerable of both the influx of newcomers who want to live an alternate life style and correspondingly the changes that have occurred in the life style of people who have been long time residents of the area; for example, through the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act?
- A Yes, based on those considerations, I'd say there have been changes in that area in the last ten to fifteen years
- Q All right. Now, how does the -- how does the pattern of trapping that these relative newcomers follow differ from the Native pattern of trapping that occurred in, shall we say, '20's -- '20's, '30's, and '40's?
  - It's my impression from reading the literature and from speaking to some of these people that the change is largely one of degree. The people who trapped during the period of the '20's and '30's and '40's, did it as an activity that could bring them cash income and some furs for personal use in conjunction with a number of other activities during an entire twelve month cycle of events. Many -- or some, perhaps I should say, of the people doing it now are doing it for the experience of trapping and learning the techniques

25

and knowledge required to trap successfully, but have alternative means of producing a cash income, such as wage labor in the summer and are following the life style very much volun-They've come into that area as part of an escape from the life style in another part of the country; whereas many of the residents of that area in the '20's, '30's and '40's were the sons and daughters of people who had come in previously. They were second generation. In the case of the Natives, they were people who had lived within that area for many generations. I believe that it's in the subsistence study that Rick Crawfield (ph) conducted for the National Park Service. He characterizes the river people, as they're called, as being largely urban, non-Native, well-educated, who deliberately are in the Yukon Charley area seeking this alternative life style.

- Okay. Bill Brown testified about his son's activities trapping on the river, and he stated that -- that his son and his friends use the river whenever feasible. Does that comport with what you found in your interviews of -- of Mike Sager and Brad Snow?
- A Yes, I believe it does. They -- they indicated that they would get out on the river and travel where they have, when they have to. I believe it was Mike Sager who indicated that he was willing to -- to put as much as a thousand pounds into his canoe, which was a -- a Grumen (ph) 19 --

1	j.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19 foot canoe, a freighter with 15 horsepower -- horsepower motor, and on the Yukon, he would limit it to about that amount because of the current, the rock of motion that one develops in that current, and the need to use the lift from time to time. On the side streams, he indicated that he was willing to load his canoe as much as fifteen hundred pounds.

- Q Okay. Don't these people who -- who -- such as Mike and Brad who have settled on the river in relatively recent times existed at a pretty low economic level?
- A If by low economic level, you mean that they have a low cash income throughout the year, yes.
- O Okay. Do these people own helicopters?
- A No, not to my knowledge.
- O Then isn't their only means of access to the places where they've settled by river?
- A During the summer, the river offers one means of transportation. The alternative is going over land or chartering if they have the money, and if there's an aircraft strip suitable for landing in the vicinity that they want to go. In the winter, of course, the options of travel are different.
- Q All right. But in the summer, there aren't any roads to thirty-five miles up the Kandik River, are there?
- A No, there are not.
- Q As a matter of fact, there aren't any roads in the area,

- except the road -- unless you call the road to Eagle the area?
- A It's south of the Yukon. There are no roads, to my knowledge, on the north side of the Yukon in that area, with the exception of the short coal transportation road around Nation, which I don't believe is any longer serviceable.
- O And historically, wouldn't you agree that settlement of the area has depended absolutely on use of the rivers?
- A It's depended on the rivers and to the extent that individuals need it or want it to trap travel over land the -- the trails on the sides of the rivers.
- O Okay, and whatever development of the area that there's been or whatever use has in one way or another depended upon those -- those rivers, isn't that correct?
- A The large river steamers were bringing in a great majority of the freight to Eagle and Circle in Bulk and were responsible for bringing many people in during the summer.
- Q Did anyone tell you when jet boats started to be used on the rivers?
- A I don't recall that we got any -- any information about that.
- O Okay. And you didn't get any information about when there might have been a transition from, say, poling boats to river boats in an area?
- A The indication was that once motors became generally available and within a price range that could be afforded, they were

used on an experiemental, innovative basis by a few individuals. And as there usefullness was demonstrated, more and more people began to use them. I think that you might draw a parallel between that technological change and the introduction of snow machines in rural Alaska. machines that were brought, were not bought by wholesale by every person in the village. A few individuals experimented with them over the course of a year or two. Once their usefullness was demonstrated by experiment, other people adopted it, and consequently, snow machines have by and large replaced dog teams in rural Alaska as the dominant winter mode of transportation. Similarly, the change has been from poling boats and birch bark canoes to a new, more efficient technology, the inboard motors, and those have been replaced by a nother technological innovation, outboard They've been adopted by the people who live along the rivers.

- Okay. You mentioned the absence of evidence of hard rock mineral development north of the Yukon. But isn't it true that it's virtually impossible to tell from the mining records whether there were, in fact, any mining claims staked in this area north of the Yukon?
- A Yes, that's true. Alice Eliff and I have attempted to research the mining records that are located here in Fairbanks from the Eagle Recording District. We found only one book

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

during the time that we examined them, where the claims were ordered by creeks. In the overwhelmingly majority of cases that we looked at, the books are ordered by the names of individuals alphabetically throughout the book, so that in order to know whether the claim was staked, you have to literally go through thousands and thousands of people's names, and see which creek the claim was recorded on.

- Q Now, I think Melody Grauman testified that she had examined all the available sources on this area in her historical research. But you -- you -- is it your testimony that -- that she wouldn't have been able to examine, for example, all the -- all the mining claims because of the difficulties?
- I don't know for a fact whether she did or not. Α If -- if in fact did, a lot of time would have been spent on that.
- But I think as Melody testified, and maybe this is your testimony too, that the Native use of this area has been very sparsely studied?
- That's true. Α

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. I have no further questions. JUDGE TAYLOR: Mr. Allen?

# BY MR. ALLEN:

Would you say that snow machines are in common use today in Alaska?

1 A Yes, I would.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q And that they are reasonably affordable by the average person living in the bush?
- A I don't know what your definition of reasonable is. By and large, every household in most rural Alaskan villages owns at least one snow machine.
- Q When were motorized boats in similarly common use on the Yukon, Eagle area?
- A They came into use in the periods following World War I, and continued through the -- being introduced in the sense that a person had the first boat of that kind on through perhaps the '30's and '40's. That's based on reading the literature that's available and speaking with informants.
- Q My question was, when were motorized boats in as common use on the Yukon as snow machines are in Alaska today.

  Maybe it was a confusing question. But do you mean that it was as common for someone to have a motor boat after World War I as it is to have a snow machine today?
- A I'm not sure that that isn't an apples and oranges comparison.
- Q I think it is (ph).
- A And consequently, I'm not sure what kind of response I could give to it.
- Q Well, let's drop the question. Are you -- do you consider yourself an expert on the trapping aspects of the middle Yukon area?

The knowledge that I have about the trapping is derived from 1 Α reading published materials about it. 2 Would that include Melody Grauman's chapter on The Trapping 3 Q 4 Frontier? Yes. it would. Α Have you examined as -- as many sources as she indicates 6 Q 7 she has in her bibliography on trapping? 8 No. I haven't. Α Would you consider yourself to be more familiar with the 9 Q methods of trapping in the middle Yukon area than she is? 10 11 Based on reading the literature? 12 Based on any -- any source of knowledge. 0 13 No, probably not. She indicated she spent over a year Α conducting her study. I've not spent that much time on 14 15 this. You indicated, I believe that muskrat -- a muskrat was a 16 common fur to be brought out of the Kandik/Nation area. 17 18 Would it surprise you to learn that muskrat were trapped 19 only in lakes? 20 No. Was there anything that Miss Grauman testified to when she 21Q 22 testified, that you would take issue with as far as trapping 23 is concerned? 24 You would have to be more specific, Mr. Allen. I -- I don't Α 25 recall her entire testimony to draw a specific point of --

- 1		•	
2		say anything about trapping that you flagged as something	
3.		that you would take exception to?	
4	А	No, not in Miss Grauman's testimony.	
5	Q	Do you consdier from what you heard of Mr. Bill	
6		testimony that he is reasonably knowledgeable about trapping	g?
7	A	He appears to be.	
8	Q	And do you challenge his statement that his son has been	
9		trapping for a livelihood?	•
10	A	I don't recall that he made that specific statement. I	
11		recall that he mentioned that trapping was one of the	
12		activities that his son engaged in over the course of a	
13		year.	
14	Q.	Do you have any reason to suspect that his son's pattern	
15		of activity is untypical of other trapperspresent day	
16		trappers in the Kandik and the Nation area?	
17	A	No, not in regard to other trappers currently in the Kandik	
18		and Nation areas. My point of difference is that I don't	
19		believe that the pattern currently is the same pattern as	
20		existed in the '20's and '30's and '40's when fur prices	
21		were all so high.	

Well, when you were listening to her testimony, did she

I recall the dimensions of two that were built in connection

.Do you recall the dimensions of the three like steamers

that were built in connection with the Boundary Commission's

study?

1

22

23

24

25

Q

2

0

4

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

witness.

What were those dimensions?

with it.

- Forty feet by nine feet with a design draft of eighteen inches.
- And you testified that they had to move the engine for some Q reason. Why was that?
- During the Summer of 1911, they found that the design of Α the boat was such that it settled deeper in the water than they thought it would. During the Winter of 1911 - 1912, they moved the engine forward approximately seven feet, and found that during the following summer, there was a slight increase in the speed of the vessel as a result of doing that, and that the draft was reduced to fourteen to sixteen inches.
- This discovery they made that it settled deeper in the water, Q was that when they applied power to it?
- I don't recall anything in the literature I don't know. That would seem to be a that said what the reason was. reasonable conclusion.
- Referring you to what's been introduced as State Exhibit A-3, Q particular this sentence, --

The sentences are not in evidence. JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. ALLEN: No, I'm not putting 'em in. I am going to put this sentence in, in evidence through this

- Q Does this ref- -- refresh your recollection as to the cause of the increased draft?

  A Would you like me to read the statement?

  Q To yourself and then --
  - A Okay.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q -- answer the question.
- A Yes.
- Q In other words, they found the vessels drop six inches lower as the power was applied?
- A My interpretation of the statement would be that they settled six inches lower than the design had expected they would settle when power was applied.
- Q By what you have heard at the hearing and learned through your research, would you expect that a city or a town the size of Eagle were located in either of these selection areas, that overland transportation would be -- that a road would be built to supply those villages or would supplies be -- would the rivers -- Kandik and Nation Rivers be used for supplying that village?
- A I'm uncertain what you mean by either of these selection areas.
- Q The portion so the Doyon selection that are upstream from the mouth of the Yukon on both of these rivers.
- A I suppose it would depend whether the Department of the
  Interior allowed them to build a road over D-2 lands between

	i	ı
1		the Yukon River and the selection area.
2	Q	If the Department of Interior did not allow such an overland
3		road, is it likely that a town the size of Eagle would
4		develop in these two selection areas?
5	A	I don't know whether or not speculation on that is is
6		possible. The factors that are inherent in the establishment
7		of any town are so varied that you would have to examine all
8		of the resources in the area, and all of the potential before
9		any kind of answer could be given to that statement.
10		MR. ALLEN: That's a fair enough answer. That's
.11	all l	have.
12		JUDGE LUOMA: Anything else?
13		MS. TAYLOR: No.
14		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgens?
15		MS. HIGGENS: No.
16		JUDGE LUOMA: Is there anything else to present?
17		MS. TAYLOR: (Indiscernible) Doyon Doyon
18	rests	3.
19		MR. ALLEN: Other than the stuff we've talked
20	about	to be submitted.
21	٠	JUDGE LUOMA: Yes. And the State also rests?
22		MS. HIGGENS: Other than with respect to the
23	mater	rial the joint (simultaneous speech).
24		JUDGE LUOMA: Now, how do you want that marked,

as an Alaska exhibit, a Doyon exhibit or a BLM exhibit?

25

25

MS. HIGGENS: What was the last exhibit? The last exhibit being the agreed statements --

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes.

MS. HIGGENS: -- by the historians.

JUDGE LUOMA: So we mark it A-4?

MR. ALLEN: Five.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Five

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Are you prepared to stipulate at this time that I may receive it in evidence?

MS. HIGGENS: Yes.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry. What number did we reserve for the Richard Stern --

JUDGE LUOMA: That's A-2.

MR. ALLEN: I'm prepared, but my understanding of the stipulation is that the BLM historian will -- it will be in fact a joint report if they both will agree to the content of it.

JUDGE LUOMA: Is there any reason to believe that there will be disagreement on that?

MR. ALLEN: None that I know of.

MS. TAYLOR: No.

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. I'm going to receive
Exhibit A-4 in evidence and simply note that it will be submitted
to me on a later date. I assume that'll be shortly. So A-4

٠	
	is received in evidence. That leaves only A-2 to be decided on
	in the future. The record will remain open until such time as
	you've completed your work on Exhibit A-2.
	Mr. ALLEN: That's correct. This is a list of
	the exhibits that we have withdrawn for copying.
	JUDGE LUOMA: Okay, what have have you
	physically withdrawn them?
	MR. ALLEN: I physically have them in my
	possession?
	JUDGE LUOMA: And is that all right with counsel
	MS. TAYLOR: I've I've agreed that any of
	the exhibits can be withdrawn to copy 'em.
	MS. HIGGENS: No objection.
	JUDGE LUOMA: All right. So the exhibits listed
	on the sheet may be withdrawn for copying and be returned to me
	later. Now, let's get into the briefing schedule.
	MR. ALLEN: I would propose a simultaneous
	brief thirty days from receipt of fanfare.
	JUDGE LUOMA: What's the reaction to that
	suggestion?
	MR. ALLEN: With reasonable extensions left for
	(indiscernible).
	MS. TAYLOR: To tell you the truth, Your Honor,
•	I'm incapable of reacting. Whatever the Court wishes, I will do.
	IUDGE LUOMA: All right Miss Higgens?

to me.

MS. HIGGENS: How long will it be before we receive the transcripts? I'm not familiar with the process.

JUDGE LUOMA: You'll probably get the transcripts within, I'd say, fifteen days, maybe twenty, I don't know. What do you think?

COURT REPORTER: We'll have them done October 13th.

JUDGE LUOMA: You'll have them done October 13th?
COURT REPORTER: Um-hm.

JUDGE LUOMA: Now -- of course, I will provide

BLM's counsel a copy of transcript, but not the other two parties.

In other words, you have to make your own arrangements with the reporter because we're not permitted to provide copies of the transcript to the other parties. So --

MR. ALLEN: Perhaps forty-five days (indiscernible MS. HIGGENS: Yeah, that sounds more logical

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's first discuss -- do you want to file them concurrently because it makes a difference?

Miss Taylor has indicated she doesn't care.

MS. TAYLOR: Let me ask a question. -
MR. ALLEN: Should we go off the record to
discuss briefing?

JUDGE LUOMA: All right. Off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

# (ON THE RECORD)

days after receipt of the transcript in which to file concurrent briefs. The briefs will be filed with me, and after all the briefs are in, then I will do the distribution to the other parties. The parties will be allowed another fifteen days after receipt of their -- of their parties' briefs in which to file reply briefs. Is there anything else to present? The hearing is adjourned.

(OFF THE RECORD)

\* \* \*

(END OR PROCEEDINGS)

\* \* \*

# GERTIFICATE

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA	)	
				)	'ss
STATE (	OF ALASI	(A		)	

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

. 11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, <u>Pamela G. Van Sickle</u>, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, residing at Fairbanks, Alaska, and electronic reporter for R & R Court Reporters, do hereby certify:

hearing

That this XXXXXXXX, as heretofore annexed, is a true and correct transcription of the testimony of said witness, taken by me electronically and thereafter transcribed by me.

hearing and Kitti Torkelson; That the XXXXXXXXX has been retained by me for the pur-

I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this  $12 \, \text{th}$  day of  $0 \, \text{ctober}$ , 1978.

Motary Public in and for Alaska

My commission expires: 3/10/81