2	
3	,
4	
5	APPEAL OF DOYON, LIMITED * * ANCAB RLS 76-2
6	From Decision of Bureau of * Land Management * Navigability of Kandik * and Nation Rivers
8	No. F-19155-26 *
9	
10	VOLUME III
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12 13	Pages 352 through 612
14	
15	
16	September 28, 1978
17	9:00 a.m. Federal Building U. S. District Court
18 19	Room 340 101 Twelfth Avenue Fairbanks, Alaska

R & R COURT REPORTERS

20

21

22

23

24

		TABLE OF CONTENTS		
2	1.	Jules Tileston (Continuation)		
3 4 5		Direct Examination by Ms. Neville Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor Cross Examination by Ms. Higgings ReDirect Examination by Ms. Neville ReCross Examination by Ms. Higgins Cross Examination by Judge Luoma	Page Page Page Page Page Page	416 498 551 555
7	2.	Phil Bailey		
8		Direct Examination by Ms. Neville Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor Cross Examination by Ms. Higgins Cross Examination by Judge Luoma	Page Page Page Page	603 609
10 11		* * * EXHIBITS		
12	B-33	Carousel of slides of Kandik River	Page	353
13	B-35	DIC report	Page	551
14	B-36	Field notes	Page	556
15	B-37	Navigability reports	Page	603
16		/* * *		

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	(Continuation of Direct Examination of Jules Tileston by
3	Ms. Neville)
4	MR. LUOMA: This hearing will come to order.
5	Miss Neville.
6	MS. NEVILLE: I'd like to recall Jules Tileston
7	to the stand.
8	BY MS. NEVILLE:
9	Q Mr. Tileston, did you prepare a similar series of slides
10	to illustrate your observations at the Kandik River?
11	A Yes, I did.
12	Q Is the group of slides that has been marked Exhibit
13	Thirty-three that group of slides on the Kandik River?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Did you personally take all the photographs that are
16	included in this series?
17	A No, I did not.
18	Q Do you know who took each slide?
19	A Yes, I do.
20	Q Can you testify from your own personal knowledge as to
21	the location and date that each photograph was taken?
22	A Yes, I can.
23	MS. NEVILLE: Your Honor, I would like to
24	introduce Exhibit Thirty-three into evidence.

MR. LUOMA: Any objection?

MS. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor. I assume we'll do the same proceed as last time, that we're going to see thethe slides and if possible we'd reserve an objection, should there come up--

MR. LUOMA: Yes.

MS. TAYLOR: --a slide that--that there's no proper foundation for.

MR. LUOMA: Is the physical make up of Exhibit Thirty-three the same as Exhibit Thirty-two?

MS. NEVILLE: Yes.

MR. LUOMA: Slides in a carousel?

MS. NEVILLE: That's right.

MR. LUOMA: Alright. Exhibit B-Thirty-three is received in evidence. (Pause) Excuse me, before you start, how many are there?

MS. NEVILLE: Just a minute.

A Fifty-eight, Your Honor.

MR. LUOMA: And are they also numbered one through fifty-eight, sequentially?

MS. NEVILLE: That's right.

MR. LUOMA: Alright.

- Q (By Ms. Neville) Alright. This is Kandik slide number one. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- A Slide number one was taken August 4, it is--1978. It is

16

Q

Α

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

of the Yukon River and the mouth of the Kandik River,
in the extreme right hand corner. The dark object
coming down is the helicopter propellor, as we're comin
across. We are looking downstream on the Yukon River
or, in this particular area, westerly. The right bank
is in the lower right hand corner, which would be the
north bank of the Yukon. The first slide on the Nation
River showed a helicopter sitting on a gravel bar, with
some fuel supplies. This is that gravel bar, just for
reference, so that you know where that one was. It was
our refueling site for the helicopter. The fuel was
brought in by boat to this point. The mouth comes out
and again heels downstream in a very similar way as
the Nation does.

- This is slide number two. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- This was taken the same day, which is August 4. again, is a more upstream perspective of the mouth of the Kandik River, looking downstream from an island. The large mass of trees that you see between the Yukon River and a small muddy colored stream is not part of the Kandik itself. This is an island in the Yukon. The Kandik is clear. And there's one point that need-needs to be made at this point, the mouth of the Kandik is not braided and does not have the characteristic of

1

4

5 6

7 8 Q

Α

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

braided channels in its mouth, that we saw in the Nation. So here is one major distinction, there is a very define channel. And in this area, against the cutbank, there's probably six to eight feet of water. That's estimated, I don't have a direct measurement. I've been through this area numerous times and the water is very deep. This is slide number three. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

Slide number three was taken on the gravel bar that will be shown in the next photograph. It was taken September 4th, 1972. It shows the helicopter, a seventeen foot square-stern canoe. A--excuse me, a nineteen foot square-stern canoe. A seventeen foot standard canoe. Which were airlifted about fifteen miles into Canada at a junction point where the three streams came together to form floatable water on the The motor that you see in the photograph was Kandik. not used on this river. It was for downstream propulsion on the Na--on the Yukon itself. This was part of a long trip. We had used many of the same boats, we were changing people, and it was a series of airlifts. We had started August 23rd of the same year at Chicken. We had started actually at Joseph and had some all the way down the Fortymile River to the mouth of the Yukon, come down the Yukon by canoe, again, to Circle. Part of the party

Q

Α

25

1

had changed. We came down the Yukon River to the Kandik at this point. And we'd been on the river--or on rivers at this point, for a week and a half. So we had various equipment. All of the other equipment that you see here, and it's estimated at about six hundred pounds in total, that was our food and everything, was airlifted into the headwaters and then divided between the two canoes. There were only three people that came down, although you see the pilot and one other individual. individual went back to--which is standing next to the helicopter, went back to Circle and left. So there were only three of us that came down, myself, a Park's Service representative, and a Bureau of Land Management representative. At this time I was working for the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation. The water level, and this was, again, early September, was about a foot and a half high. And I make that judgment based on the stream vegetation that was submerged. But I also want to point out, you'll see a very marked drift line right behind the canoe. And within the preceding several days the water had been at least that high and deposited small twigs and sticks, which, again, indicates that the river had been higher very recently. And I say--Excuse me.

--recently because it was mud.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

Q When you say the water was a foot and a half high do you mean that the depth was a foot and a half or it was a foot and a half higher than normal--

A Higher than normal, at this point.

1'

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Thank you. This is slide number four. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

This was taken August 4. It is looking down the Yukon-or down the Kandik River to its confluence. The area which we were loading the helicopters in, in 1972, is on this large gravel bar. It is also about as far as you can go upstream with a regular motor. When I was moose hunting in this area in September of '75 I had come upstream from Circle from--via motor on an Avon raft, twenty-five horse motor, pulling a seventeen foot It's the same canoe that you saw in the left hand side of the preceding photograph. I could get to this point and then my motor started dragging on the bottom and I had to stop. And that--again, I did not have a lift, but I could not get upstream with a conventional motor, without having some type of a special lift on it. I didn't have that, so what I did is I parked the larger boat and larger motor and transferred to the canoe. And I used a four horse and went upstream from this point approximately to the vicinity of Gor-of Johnson Gorge.

)* :

Q

Α

3

4

2

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

This is slide number five. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

Slide number five was taken August 5--excuse me, August It shows one of the very numerous log jams and shallow waters immediately upstream from the preceding series of slides. You are looking southeasterly, across a large flat of--of tundra vegetation, black spruce. And the water body that you see in the foreground is not the Yukon River, but a lake. A smaller--a rather large lake. The Yukon River itself is over against this bluff and by air is probably two, two and a half miles to that point. We're about a mile and a half above the mouth on the river itself. You'll see a braided channel coming around and a new cut. We observed, using the 1965 G.S. photographs--or G.S. one inch to the mile, several places where the channels have changed since those photo--since those maps were made in '65 and, in fact, where the channel is now. In other words, when you compare these maps to the photographs, you'll find several places where a channel like this in '65 is shown G.S. as the principal channel, where today the water is here. This, again, leads to some discrepancies on actual river miles, as to how you measure it, because you have a choice. And these all show as generally blue, as having water in them.

 $F^{(2)}$

reality, for most of the year they do not have, when you look at the--the actual paper map.

- Q This is slide number six. Could you tell us where and when it was taken?
- It was taken August 4. It's about two hundred yards upstream from the preceding slide. It's in a braided section. Again, a very narrow chute. There's probably three feet of water coming through this area. It comes down and you've got about a foot of water at this end. You have a log jam at the area above, on the left hand channel. You have trees strung wrong-strung along. And you can see in the extreme right hand corner of the slide against the right bank a series of sweepers. It's going upstream--or downstream in the--in different water levels all you're doing is moving your navigation ha--hazards to different places, they're still there.
- Q This is slide number seven. Could you tell me where and when it was taken?
- A This slide was taken August 4. It is the approximate location of the downstream flow measurement station-- (indiscernible--sneezing in background)--by geological survey, which is in this general vicinity.
- Q This is slide number eight. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

A This is another perspective of the same gravel bar.

The preceding shot was taken over this group of trees, over the left bank looking upstream. We're now on the opposite side of the river, looking down, in the preparation to landing.

- Q This is slide number nine. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A It's--we've made a complete circle. The last shot was there, and, again, coming in to land on the bar.

MR. LUOMA: You're not stating when the pictures were taken.

- I'm sorry, Your Honor. The sli--picture was taken August
 4. This picture was taken by Mr. McClure from our
 Washington office. It was taken August 4. It shows
 the two G.S. hydrologists conducting their stream gauging
 flow measurements across the bank. You can see the red
 tags from where they've strung the line. And they took
 precise measurements--or took measurements of flow and
 depth all the way across.
- Q Now, this is slide number ten. Was this taken at the same location as the preceding two slides?
 - A Yes. It's on the ground and upstream. The river flows around to this way, flows to the right, out of the picture. The last slide--preceding slide was taken over this group of trees and this was the gravel bar, which we're looking

3

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 Α

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

at, and had a general perspective from left to right.

- Q This is slide number eleven. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- Α It was taken August 4. It's nothing more than a closer view of the individuals measuring water. I should point out that the slide -- these people were taking the measurements as they were going. They're not standing in the same place. So I do not want to give the impression that the preceding slide show a depth of water that was the same as this. The last slide had been taken out deeper, in the main channel.
- Q This is slide number twelve. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
 - Yes, I can. I need to clarify one point, though, before I go on. Slides eight through eleven, which was of the G.S. flow measurement station, were taken at approximately two miles above the mouth of the river and forty-five miles downstream from the selection boundary. I forgot to make that point clear. This particular slide is a mile further upstream. It is at mile three and is forty-four miles downstream from the boundary. thing that I wanted to point out here is although the channel at the mouth is a single channel, in its entrance into the river, there is an extensive area of braided stream above this, which clearly show on the aerial photo-

810 "N" STREET

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

277-0572

25

graphs, they clearly show on the U.S.G.S. one inch to So that if you look at the mouth and take the mile. one set of measurements there and then move upstream, it begins to change. And this is the reason we have so many slides of -- of the river in a relatively short distance. It has completely different character. is at the head of one of the many braided streams. during high run-off the channels frequently change. You can see old channels, where the water has run at different times, to the right, another one in the center where small streams have cut through. When the ice is coming out in the spring it frequently ac--acts--acts as a bulldozer and the ice will start running across the bars and gouge new channels. And this is how come the-the channels frequently change their location.

Q This is slide number thirteen. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

Slide number thirteen was taken August 5. It shows a cabin in the upper right hand corner. You can see a small trail coming out. You can see the shadow of the helicopter. That trail is coming out of the photograph—out of the trees at the upper right hand corner. You can see the shadow of the helicopter in the upper left hand corner. The body of water that you're looking at is Threemile Creek. This is one of the cabins that

10

4

5

6

Α

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Q

Mr. Brown testified that is supplied by boat on a regular I've talked to the individual at various times, basis. both on business and in recreation. And have seen him on the river, also, with small canoes.

- This is slide number fourteen. Could you tell us when Q and where it was taken and what it shows?
 - Slide number fourteen was taken on August 5. Excuse me, August 4. It is approximately five and a half miles from the mouth or approximately forty-two miles from the downstream portion of the boundary. It shows one of the rock outcrop rapids that were referred to by Mr. Brown, in this stretch of river. It's about--in--in this area, between five miles and the mouth, this is the only prominent rock outcrop. Generally it's straight gravel. There's a very steep bluff on the left hand side of the river. You will notice the very extensive sweepers and log jams against the left bank, very shallow water on the right. I would point out a seventeen foot canoe in the upper right hand corner. There are two individuals with camping gear. no evidence of a motor. They have come upstream five miles from the Yukon River. This was taken August 5--4, on the water levels that we'll talk here. So, they can clearly get at least this far. We're looking downstream. This is slide number fifteen. Could you tell us when

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

and where it was taken and what it shows?

- It was taken the same day and the same place and shows Α a better perspective of the canoe, which I had pointed out in the preceding slide. Their lightweight backpacking tent, the two individuals, one standing, one bending over. And their entire array of gear. They probably have less than a hundred pounds of gear, I would estimate, between the two of them there. That's purely an estimate. did not land, this was a helicopter shot. But small canoe, there's nothing there, there's nothing packed on the bank, that seems to be all of the gear. And they have walked upstream. We saw, the preceding day, some people lining in a couple of places. Now, whether this is that group, I do not know. We saw three canoes, in total, on the river during the time that we were here. This is one of them. I'll point out where we saw the others.
- Q Were those three people you saw, was that during your August '78 trip?
- That is correct. Α
- This is slide number sixteen. Thank you. Q you tell us when and where it was taken and what is shows?
- This is one mile upstream from the log jam that we just Α looked at in the rapids and where the place--where the

R & R COURT REPORTERS

people were camped. We're looking upstream, so the point--the preceding slide was--is behind us and to the left. Again, notice that the channel in this particular place is completed blocked by trees. There is very little water for downstream movement and very little water for upstream movement at any depth in this. If you were coming downstream, you would have extreme difficulty in this point, at this water level.

- Q This is slide number seventeen. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it depicts?
- A It was taken the same date, August 4. It shows the same group of slides, from a different perspective (sic) Showing that although this particular group of trees are blocked all the way across here, coming all the way down on the right hand bank for a distance of almost a quarter of a mile, where the river is actively cut. Trees are being deposited by floating downstream, and also by undercutting the roots and dropping in. You'll notice on the gravel bar series of streams ra--of trees randomly located across the bar. Again, posing hazards to nagivation, even with higher water levels.
- Q This is slide number eighteen. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- A It is the same location, slightly downstream, the same series of logs, looking at the end of them. You'll

Q

Α

notice the left hand bank shows a very large pile of log jams. Again, talking about the fact that as you raise the water level, all you're doing is moving your obstructions, or your hazards, to different places, but it does not reduce them in their entirety. Your deepest channel coming upstream, and we are looking downstream, the flow of water's from your left to your right, is--you have a shallow bar coming across the river, at this point, right on the bend. You have a shallow bar, coming across a good portion of the river, but not in its entirety. There is a deep channel of water along this bank. There are, however, sweepers that get in the way. Was this also taken on August 4th--

- A Yes, it was.
- Q --'78? Thank you. This is slide number nineteen. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
 - you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows This was in the same general area, which is approximately between six and seven miles upstream from the mouth of the Yukon—the mouth of the Yukon. It just shows another shallow bar with trees on—on the edge. There's a deep channel, again, cutbank on this side, but you still end up having to cross a shallow bar when you get out at the end. And then the water bounces across and you have a deep channel on the left hand bank. The flow of water is from left to right.

Q

0

A This slide was taken August 4. It is nine miles from the mouth or thirty-eight miles down--downstream bound

and where it was taken?

This is slide number twenty. Could you tell us when

the mouth or thirty-eight miles down--downstream boundary of the selection. It shows shallow water, across here, and a log jam. It shows a little bit of a rock or log, and I'm not sure which in this photograph, that is creating some white water. You will notice a light green coloration on the gravel, on the left bank. This is the same algae and was taken at the same time, same day, as the slide that I pointed out to you at--on the Nation River. Although both rivers are obviously low, the Kandik, at the time we took this slide, was near its normal water level. Using that same algae mark as a bench mark, in this place, you can see the algae is right at the water line. Where it was exposed a few inches on the--at the mouth of Hard Luck Creek on the Nation itself.

- Q This is slide number twenty-one. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- A It was taken August 4, 1978. We're ten miles from the mouth and thirty-seven miles from the downstream boundary.

 And it just shows, again, a series of shallow water.

 Coming across the river there's a bar that's about a total of a hundred and fifty feet long. There's another

7

5

10

2223

Α

24

bar	down	at	the	point	wher	e th	e r	iver	leaves	S 01	ne (cutba	nk ,
come	s acr	oss	, bo	ounces	off	anot	her	cutb	ank.	We	're	look	ing
dowr	ıstrea	ım,	the	flow	of wa	ıter	is	from	left t	:o 1	rigl	nt.	

- Q This is slide number twenty-two. When and where was it taken?
- A This was taken at the same point, showing a closer perspective of the upstream bar. The same point that I was talking about earlier, going out where the water left the right bank and came to the left bank, is in the upper right hand corner. And this shows more clearly the character of the bar itself. Again, cutting obliquely entirely across the river. Not at right angles, but in this case almost running down and parallel to the actual flow of the drainage. In other words, it comes from the left bank and runs straight across to a gravel bar--excuse me, comes across from the right bank to a gravel bar on the left bank.
- Q This was also an August 4th photo?
- A Correct.
- Q This is slide number twenty-three. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
 - This slide was taken August 4. It is eleven miles upstream from the mouth, thirty-six miles from the boundary. And it shows just a mile further another rapid and shallow area. A point gravel bar coming along the bank. You had

Α

Α

a definite channel through and off of the bar. You
probably have about two to three feet of water going
through the deepest part of this channel. In the
center it shallows out and you have about a foot of
water at the head of the rapids. And you can see the
head of it by the light color. This is where the water
is changing color, a result of flowing over shallow
gravel.

Q This is slide number twenty-four. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

This was taken August 4. It is eleven and a half miles from the mouth of the Kandik River. Or thirty-six and a half miles from the downstream selection of the boundary. It is an aerial view of rod station number one. And this is the gravel bar from the air. We landed the helicopter near this group of trees and then walked out. The next series of slides will be of this area, which is in the center of the river. And it shows a very long gravel bar, running, again, at right angles and parallel to both the right and left bank. The stream comes down the left bank, against the bluff, and makes a sharp right turn across the boundary and flows against the right bank. Did I say left bank?

MR. LUOMA: Yes.

Alright. Flows against the right bank and downstream.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

And you will notice that there is another set of parallel bars on downstream. So that this is not an unusual condition. I've showed you several other bars where the general trend was downstream.

- Q This is slide number twenty-five. Was it taken at the same time and the same general location as slide twenty-four?
- A That is correct. And it shows the individual now wading across this gravel bar, looking for the deepest point.

 The gravel bar is estimated to be approximately a quarter of a mile long. That is from high water to high water.

 And, again, running parallel. It's width is approximately thirty feet, from here to where you again begin to pick up deeper water.
- Q This is slide number twenty-six. Are we still at the same date and location?
- We are. And, again, you'll notice a very characteristic—
 this is a uniform bottom. You can see the size of the
 pebbles, coming across here. This is an area which,
 with any type of water craft, you would have to unload
 it. Even a canoe. If you had anything in it, you would
 have to unload it. E--even the modern fiberglass and
 plastics are not recommended for dragging. And this
 is definitely a dragging area. There's no defined
 channel.

Q Alright. This is slide number twenty-seven.

 F^{σ}

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A This is, again, a close up of the rod at rod station one. Since the bottom was uniform, in its entirety, across here, we took a single measurement at the deepest point we could find across the bar. There was an affective water depth of three-tenths of a foot.
- Q This is slide number twenty-eight. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what is shows?
- We have now moved upstream to mile twenty from the We're approximately twenty-seven miles from the downstream portion of the selection. And it, again, shows a series of bars across the center of the photo-You can see a bar running across the river on-then the flow of the river itself is from left to right. You--again, you have the cutbank, you have sweepers that characteristically are developed when you get into a timber The river comes down along the photograph, cutbank. along the right bank, hits a gravel bar, cuts across, and then the deep channel is on the left bank. proceeds along, again, with a series of sweepers. Comes around a corner, cuts across to the right bank, Coming on down, and it just--this is the deep water. very typical situation for not only this, but all Interior That--the river itself just bounces from one rivers.

bank to the other.

- Q Was this photo also taken in August of this year?
- A Yes, it was taken August 4, 1978.

)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q This is slide number twenty-nine. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- That's taken in the same general location, approximately Α twenty miles from the mouth. And it shows shallows, log jams, which are developed during high water, trees hanging in the shallows. It shows a well defined channel along the left bank. And it shows a smaller channel along the center. Both are separated by very wide gravel bars. If you chose the channel on the left bank, the current takes you into the cutbank and directly into a log jam. If you miss that one, you have another log jam and a projection to the left, and another log jam and a projection to the left. The water that you see in the upper left hand corner is a meander. The river starts in the extreme upper left corner, comes around through this loop, goes out of the photograph to the left, and comes back in the photograph in the lower left and then flows across the photograph in the center and off onto the right. The general flow in the center is from left to right. The photograph was August 4.
- Q This is slide number thirty. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
8	
- 11	
10	
12	
13	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
20 21 22	
22	

24

That's taken at mile twenty-one, approximately twenty-
six miles downstream from the selection boundary and
shows a rather typical series of bars, with log jams
deposited on the bar itself. You can see, in this
case, a portion of the log jam hanging across the water
body. Another tree hung on a gravel bar in the center.
There is a very well defined channel, however, in this
case, where you've got about three and a half to four
feet of water going through the deepest part. And you
probably have at least two feet of water in this place.
This is just a shallow place. Your obstruction would be
the width of the channel. And you've probably got six
feet of water in this point. You do, however, have
another shallow bar down on the bend, coming across
from the gravel bar on the right handor the right
bank, across the gravel bar on the center. With high
water you can see that there's a definite channel that
develops along the left bank. The logs that are deposited
are in the center. You can see sweepers from proceding
high water marks hanging on the edge of the left bank.
There are sweepers, also, on the right bank, in the
center of the photograph.

- Q This is slide number thirty-one. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- A This is taken August 4. It is twenty-three miles from the

mouth and twenty-three miles from the selection area. It shows another shallow bar. In this case there is not a well defined channel. The deepest water is to the left of the large gravel bar, it's an island. There are two points. There is a point immediately here, which appears to have high--deep water, but there is less than a foot of water in that point. The main channel comes through the center of the bar. And you can tell that it's the main channel by the fact that it has a slightly darker blue con--color and the absence of water bouncing off of rocks, which is what gives you these little white splashes. It shows that that's the primary channel. There's probably three feet of water coming through that point. You have about six feet of width across.

- Q This is slide number thirty-two. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
- This slide was taken at mile twenty-five, twenty-two miles downstream from the boundary. It shows a blue canoe upside-down on the bank. It was taken August 4, 1972. I had some camera problems in this general area and this is the only good photograph I have. But the individual, and it was a single person, was equipped very comparably to the two people that we had seen down below. In other words, very light weight, no heavy gear

3

6

5

7 . 8

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

2425

And whether it was somebody getting ready to go hunting or somebody recreating, I don't know. But it was a lightly loaded standard canoe.

- Q I think you said this was taken August 4th, 1972--
- A Excuse me, '78.
- Q Thank you. Okay. This is slide number thirty-three.

 Can you tell us when and where it was taken?
 - This was taken August 4, 1978. It shows the exit of Johnson Gorge. Johnson Gorge begins in this general It's very--very difficult to tell precisely area. where Johnson Gorge begins, because there are series of bluffs on opposite sides of the river. And you can say it begins here, but there's another bluff directly under us, and so I--all I can say is this is the approximate area. When I was hunting moose in 1975, in this area, I came upstream to this point with my canoe and a four horse motor. I had to, in doing that, walk many times, because I did not have enough water to run the motor itself. The motor took about a foot and a half of water. Even when I started lifting it There were two people in the canoe and we had backpacking gear, so we had an estimated hundred and fifty pounds. We were in there for a week and it was all freeze-dried. The river itself was on a high cycle. There was probably eight to ten inches of water more in

25

Q

the channels than what you're seeing at this point. And when I say channels I'm talking about this point. The shallow bars, even where I could find enough water to run it, the four horse didn't have enough power to move a lightly loaded canoe upstream. It would take a heavier motor. Again, that was without a lift. I had to get out and walk several times, because the channels came to bars where that if even though my canoe would handle it, if for some reason the motor stopped, I would of been swept backwards into log jams. So the prudent thing was to get out and walk. We're looking upstream and, again, at the exit of Johnson Gorge. We're thirty miles from the mouth, approximately seventeen miles from the downstream selection of the boundary. It shows a shallow area coming across the river, a bar. There's less than a foot of water at this point coming across the river. There's another bar at this point. From here on, however, there are a combination of bars and rapids, except for that one major area that I showed you earlier, with the rock outcrop. Essentially gravel bars is the general In Johnson Gorge we begin to pick up true character. rapids. In other words, large boulders in the rock. And we'll have some pictures of those in a minute. Okay. This is --

R & R COURT REPORTERS

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	,
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	•
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

MR. LUOMA: Is this as far up as you went on your hunting trip?

- A This is as far as I went up on my hunting trip. But-MR. LUOMA: Did you get a moose?
- A No. If I had gotten a moose, I would--it would of taken two trips to have gotten it out. But--even going down-stream, because I could not have gotten across several of the bars that I've already shown you.

MR. LUOMA: But you would have brought the moose downstream in--

A I would of brought the moose downstream.

MR. LUOMA: You say two trips?

A Yes. And during that trip, Your Honor, I saw several people in jet boats that were up in the same general area, lightly loaded, moose hunting. This was all recreation use too.

MR. LUOMA: Thank you.

- Q This is slide number thirty-four here. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A This was taken at the same point as the last slide, except I'm now looking downstream. And this shows the other bluff. And this is why I say, I'm not really sure where Johnson Gorge begins at this point or the one upstream. But it's the general area. You'll see another shallow area. There's about two feet of water,

8

7

0

Α

12

11

10

14

13

15 16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

except you're starting to pick up some rocks, which are causing that white coloration in the center of the small riffle, directly in the center of the photograph, across from a small green group of trees on the large gravel bar. The flow is from the bottom of the picture out to the right. It was taken August 4.

This is slide number thirty-five. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

Yes, I can. It was taken in Gon--Johnson Gorge. was some discussions about fires previously. a burn which occurred in the Kandik in 1971. It is. in part, contributing to some of the logs that are in the river. But a good portion of those logs actually are coming when during high water the banks are erroded We're looking upstream, a well defined channel. water through this area. There's a little bit of a shallow, but really no serious obstruction to upstream or downstream navigation in this particular area. probably got maybe two feet of water consistently through this area. And probably three to four feet in different This particular type of condition lasts for five or six miles at the most. But we are thirty-three miles from the mouth and we've had to run through considerable other things, if you were using a heavily loaded boat to get to this kind of water.

- Q Was this also taken in August of '78?
- A Yes, it was.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Okay. This is slide number thirty-six. Can you tell us when and where it was taken?
- Α It was taken in August of '78. It's--it's the same general area, showing one of the connecting shallow bars in-between those long stretches. In this case the flow of water is to us, we're looking upstream. So the flow comes from the upper right of the photograph, in the center, and out to the lower left. There's a long point bar coming across the river at the lower left There are, however, probably a foot and a half to two feet of water through the main channel. This bar does not run all the way across. In the center of the photograph, however, in the upper right quadrant, on the bend there is a bar which runs all the way across. In fact, there's a series of about three bars. There's a small one here and another one here.
- Q This is slide number thirty-seven. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A This was taken on--in September of 1972. Unfortunately this was not my moose hunt. This was taken during the time that we were conducting our wild river survey, we didn't have firearms with us. The gentleman standing is in a nineteen foot canoe and he's the Park's Service

23

24

25

representative. The BLM representative is in the front and I'm paddling a lightly loaded seventeen foot canoe, going downstream. We followed this particular moose for quite some distance. That is not why I have the picture in here. I did want to show you that when we conducted our survey the water level was up. You can see it right at the edge of the trees and the small brush. And customarily, during the summer, you would see, as we've seen earlier, the water to be down. This, again, is the first week of September. It had been raining and the water level, as I'd indicated on the first series of slides, was up to about a foot to a foot and a half at that point. During the time we were on the river, which I think was four days, the river also dropped just about that amount. So that when we got back to the mouth--in fact, some of the bars that I have shown you, I had to walk across with this gear, in September of '72.

- Q This is slide number thirty-eight. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A We are at the entrance of Johnson Gorge. Johnson Gorgeagain, the last major bluff is at the right hand side
 of the photograph. And it flows through a relatively
 well confined channel for distances up to a half a
 mile to three quarters of a mile. On the bends it

25

ŗ.

frequently breaks into small gravel bars, you've seen several of those in this area. A long channel, another bar. This is true rock rapids. And there are This is not shallow several of these in the gorge area. water, but there are large boulders, three to four feet in size. You can see them on the bank. You can see some--you can see the bed character in that last photograph taken in September of '72, the size of the gravel that you're looking at. They are eighteen to nineteen inch cobbles, in general. And your boulders are much larger. So that the long strings of water that you see coming out in the bend, and there's a bar coming across here, there's quite a bit of water actually flowing, but you'll notice that there is no clear concise channel. There's a rock almost at any point. So coming upstream or downstream with a long boat--I was navigating with a seventeen and a nineteen foot. Let's say a thirty foot pole boot. You do begin to run into, again, some problems, because you don't have thirty feet in a straight line any place. Did you say when this photograph was taken?

- Q
- It was taken August 5, 1978. Α
- Thank you. Okay. This is slide number thirty-nine. Q Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

Α	This shows an aerial view of rod station number nine.
	We are just above Johnson Gorge. Rock Creek Pass, or
	whatever it is, of which was as near as I could define,
	probably comes through this general area. We're looking
	downstream and through this saddle. May be the area.
	If not that one, there's another saddle up into this
	area. In the next series of slides we're going to land
	and we took our measurements looking here and behind us
	for rod station number two on the Kandik River. This is
	an aerial view, we landed on the gravel bar approximatel
	here. You're looking downstream. The flow of water is
	from your left to the right.

- Q Excuse me. I think the first time you said this was rod station number nine. Do you have these--
- A Ex--no.
- Q -- these numbers from one on for each river?
- A Excuse me. It's rod station number two, it's nine miles from the downstream selection boundary.
- Q Okay. Thank you. This is slide number forty. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A This is an aerial view of the same area. The gravel that we saw to the left in the preceding photograph showed that there was a channel on both sides of a large gravel bar in the center. The channel that we measured is the channel immediately in the center,

25

)°:

1

the largest and widest channel. There are other channels, but they all have different types of problems, if you were trying to bring a boat up or down. So we tried to find the best channel, the one that had the widest and deepest water, to conduct our measurements on. This is the channel and the next series of slides will show that channel from the ground. Again, August 4, 1978. using different dates on several of these slides, even though they're back to back. One reason is we were flying. As you reme--remember I said that our--our camp that we stayed at for the three days is upstream in the selection area. Our fuel cache for the helicopter was downstream at the mouth. And as a result, we flew back and forth through the Kandik-Nation about five times in the three days that we were there. Sometimes going And I was upstream and sometimes going downstream. taking pictures. And that's the reason that you have sometimes, in this sequence, a photograph looking upstream and the next time a photograph looking downstream in the exact same spot. This is one of those conditions, I had a camera malfunction and we repeated our measurements on August 4. I had it--I had the camera malfunction in this area on August 4. We came back on August 5. So I, in fact, got two sets of measurements. identical.

There

I did--

1	Q	This is slide number forty-one. Could you tell us
2		when and where it was taken?
3	A	We've landed on the island. We're looking across rod
4	·	station number two on the Kandik River. We're thirty-
5		eight miles from the mouth and nine miles from the
6		selection boundary.
7	Q	This is slide number forty-two. Could you tell us when
8		and where it was taken?
9	A	This particular gravel bar was a total of a hundred
10		and fifty feet long and a hundred and fifty wide. Ther
11		were several defined channels, as I'd indicated in the
12		preceding area. And here the gentleman is standing in
13	i	the head of that deepest channel.
14	Q	Are we still in the same
15	A	We're still in rod station number two, thirty-eight
16	·	miles from the mouth, nine miles from the selection
17		boundary. The photograph was taken August 5.
18	Q	This is slide number forty-three. Are we at the same
19		location at the same time?
20	A	No, we're at the same location. It's the day earlier.
21		I had my camera malfunction at this point and that's
22		why you see a different person holding the rod. I did-
23		the cameraI had reloaded the camera at this point and

I did not get a closeup of the rod. It, again, shows the

individual standing where we're getting ready to take our

24

1		measurements.
2	Q	Okay. This is slide number forty-four. Was this taken
3		the following day, when your camera was working?
4	Λ	Yes, it was. It's August 5 and it shows the measurement
5		on the rod as behaving an effective depth of water
6		of six-tenths of a foot.
7	Q	Was that similar to the measurement you got the day
8		before, when you
9	A	It was
10	Q	your camera wasn't working?
11	A	It was identical.
12	Q	This slide number forty-five. Was it taken at the same
13		location?
14	A	Yes, it was, except we moved about three feet upstream,
15		because the lip of the bar was immediately behind us.
16	·	And, again, what I wanted to illustrate, you may have
17	•	relatively short distances ofof deep water, they almost
18	•	alwaysand this bar was particulary true, every one
19		of themalthough you could find deep water at the lower
20		end of the bar, when you hit the top of it you consistently
21		ran into a place all the way across that river that was
22		three-tenths of a foot deep, give or take a tenth.
23	Q	This is slide number forty-six. Could you tell us when
24		and where this was taken?
25	A	Yes. This slide was taken by the group of BLM emsmoke

jumpers, on their own time, that parachuted in to the
river in 1974. It was written uptheir exploits were
written up in the Alaska Magazine in 1976. And it
shows the trapper's cabin, which shows on the G.S. quads
at the head of Johnson Gorge. This is probably, and
I can only surmise, the cabin that would be supplied
by people coming in across Rock Creek. I personally
have been to this cabin three or four times, during the
times that I've been there. I just didn't have a good
photograph, which is why I used this one. This is the
cabin above Johnson Gorge. It's about a mile above
Johnson Gorge, at most. It's approximately nine miles
downstream. It's a rather typical trapping cabin. We
found no evidences of picks, mining gold pans, or things
of the nature that you would find in similar type cabins
immediately across the river.

- Q Did you--
- A Across the river, by this time, I mean the Yukon River.
- Q Did you visit this cabin site on your '78 trip?
- A I did not. But I was there in '72 several times.
- Q But you haven't been there since '72?
- A No, I have not been to this cabin since '72. This photograph was taken in '74.
- Q Okay. Thank you. This is slide number forty-seven.

 Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

24

25

We are at mile forty-five and five miles above the -- or five miles downstream from the downstream selection boundary. We--this photograph was taken August 4, And it shows--again, as we've moved above Johnson Gorge, we start picking up bedrock exposures. And we'll pick up bedrock exposures characteristically every three or four miles and it'll move back into solid gravel. When you get your bedrocks you generally have a well defined channel at some point in the area. see how the water, as an example, comes over and bounces off of the right bank, across, and had scoured a very deep channel along the left side of the gravel bar. You can also see how the gravel bar itself is much higher than the gravel bar immediately upstream. This, again, is indicative of the strong eddies that you would get, the back currents, by the water coming against the right bank, being shunted across to the left bank, and it would characteristically gouge a deep hole. if you were coming down through this area, you would come on the right bank, on the right side of the channel. You would have to, however, swing back and come across onto the left bank. You could come down to the center of the area and you'll see a rock projection coming out. There are -- there's a good channel through that area and back to the left. And then you

10

4

5 6

7

8

10

11 12

Α

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

have to go to the right. The thing that this tells me, from being on the river at different water levels and coming down it by canoe, is that unless you can have some degree of control, even in areas where you have defined channels, it is not a straight chute. You have to be able to maneuver your canoe. In others words, the current would take you where you don't want to be. So you have to have some control over your craft.

Q This is slide number forty-eight. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?

It was taken August 4. We are looking upstream. approximately two miles below the selection boundary and forty-five miles from the mouth. The selection boundary is not clearly defined in this photograph. The next series wo--won't show the selection boundary, but it's approximately through this -- these mountains up here. You do--this river, at this point, is not depicted as being in the selection boundary. It, again, shows a series of large gravel bars completely across a braided channel, coming along the left bank, which is a cutbank. It bounces off against the island in the center, comes on down, comes back against the left bank--excuse me, that's the right bank. Let me start over again on this. It comes down the right bank, the main channel, which is a cutbank, cuts across an old

25

Q

Α

meander channel, which is completely vegetated. you can see it wandering back through the background. Comes along the cutbank and starts picking up some sweepers. Comes down to the head of the island, bounces out into the center of the channel, against the island, swings back to the left, comes back against an old meander channel, which has revegetated itself. Coming along the right bank, comes across another gravel bar, and bounces across the cutbank. Across the cutbank in these areas you have three to four feet of water. have a foot and a half at most, in this area. Okay. This is slide number forty-nine. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows? Slide forty-nine is in the selection boundary. We are one mile inside, approximately. We are at the bend of a river, just downstream from where we camped. large rocks are in the boulder--or in the bottom. These are five to six feet in several places. You have You're solid rock outcrops. And this is a large bluff. These trees are not flat. You're looking down a bluff. The bluff at this point is looking down on the river. probably fifty to sixty feet high, in terms of perspective. This is not flat terrain coming off to the right. likewise, is not flat terrain going off to the left.

The river has a very well defined channel. You can see

24

25

Α

a small area of white water coming along the right bank, and coming on down the right bank, and then on out.

- Q This is--
- A --photograph was taken August 5, if I did not say.
- Q Okay. Thank you. This is slide number fifty. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

We are closer to the area at which we camped. And it is just a different perspective. These are much lar--larger boulders and about a mile upstream from the last one. Again you've got a total of four and a half feet of water in the deepest spots. On the average, through the center part of the photograph, you've got about three feet of water. The important thing, however, is not the depth, in this case, but the fact that you have no clear channel at any place. The rocks are randomly scattered across the channel. And you'll notice that rocks are also randomly scattered completely across the exposed gravel bar. These are largely bedrock. of them are attached, not in place, to the--to the foundation material. So that adding more water does not remove any obstruction, as such. It, again, changes the complexity. And although a rock like that might be completely submerged, it creates eddies behind it, which affect your boating safety, going downstream, if

The flow of

1

1

Α

you're not careful.

2

Q This is slide number fifty-one. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?

Our camp is in the lower right hand side.

This slide was taken August 4. It shows the area at

which we camped at, which was on a dry meander channel.

the river is from the bottom of the photograph, on the

right, to the left, out of the photograph, and around

the bluff. And the bluffs that I was talking about in

the preceding photograph are continuing around and out

of the left. The photograph -- the last photograph of the

The G.S. conducted a flow measurement station across the

main channel in this approximate location. As I say,

we spent quite a bit of time in this general area. I

personally have hiked, waded, across this part of the

which about five to six feet of water. I can cut back

on this side. There is, however, no more than about

two and a half feet of water from this point to this

the channel at this point. The ra--current is fairly

large boulders is just out of this photograph, on the left.

I've waded here. I waded down to about this

There is less than two feet of water across

If you added much more water, I would not

recommend trying to hike it. At the downstream portion

And then there's a bedrock outcrop at this point

5

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

R & R COURT REPORTERS

point.

Α

of the area measured by G.S. there's about a foot and a half of water maximum, at the deepest point. The channel, as you would reasonably expect, is against the right bank of this island. And this is an island on high water. It shows clearly on the photograph. It also shows clearly on the U.S.G.S. one inch to the mile maps.

- Q Now is this in the selection area?
- A This is in the selection area. It is fifty-one miles from the mouth. And about a mile and a half inside the selection boundary itself. We camped there August 3, 4, and 5 at that point.
- Q Okay. This is slide number fifty-two. Could you tell us when and where it was taken and what it shows?
 - This shows a rock outcrop, above the selection area. It was taken August, 1978. You'll notice that the current has come along the left bank, which is very steeply incised perma-frost. There is a large rock outcrop, coming completely across the river at this point. And you can see the white water, where it's running across the ridge. There is a completely exposed at this time of water level, large almost dam coming out a third of the way across the river. And logs sitting on top of those. The water, at this point, is--you probably could get through this channel, which is the

Α

channel nearest the right bank, with a lightly loaded canoe. You could not get up that channel, at this water level, with a loaded canoe, without having to get out of it. You--you couldn't handle it. And there's not enough water to run a motor, even with a lift, on this particular area. Adding more water is that you would still have to bear further and further to the right. We're outside the selection area approaching--or closer to the Canadian boundary.

Q This is slide number fifty-three. Could you tell us when and where this photograph was taken?

This is the same general area. It's not the identical rock outcrop, but it's very typical and similar to the one that we'd seen in the preceding one. It shows the August 24, 1972 water level. This was taken on our overflight, preparatory to coming in later in Setpember and actually floating the river itself. The flow of the river itself is—we're looking upstream, so the water flows from the middle right hand side of the slide, out of it, to the lower left. Again, a large rock outcrop. There are several trees at right angles to it. You can see some other trees hanging in the water, or submerged on the water. A very defined channel. A very deep pool. There's probably ten to fifteen feet of water immediately downstream. And, again,

obstruction, the water will scour out. A very old meander channel. It's shown in the upper left hand corner, where the river, at one time, had been over there and it's cut--it's been some time since it's been over there. Quite a number of years.

- Q This is slide number fifty-four. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A This was taken August 5, 1978. And it shows a typical selection—or a typical portion of the river upstream of the selection area, approaching—or going towards the Canadian boundary. We are still below Indian Grave Creek. And there—the river itself is confined into a very well defined channel. You have deep water along the right hand side—excuse me, left bank, flowing towards us. But, again, rapids or bars and small boulders randomly located in the center, completely across the river. There were several other bars in the upper hand corner. This is very typical of the area upstream of the selection area.
- Q This is slide number fifty-five. Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
- A Yes. This is immediately downstream from Big Sitdown Creek. It is at the point that we took a G.S. flow measurement station across the stream. It is a June

22

23

24

25

19, 1978 aerial photograph. The flow of the river itself is from left to right. And the flow measurement station is across here. The next series of slides will be the same area, during the June--or during the August remeasurement.

Q This is slide number fifty-six.

We are now looking upstream towards Indian Grave Creek, which comes out of this group of tall spruce on the left bank and comes across a gravel bar. And you can see a little bit of water coming across that gravel bar. That's Indian Grave Creek itself. Excuse me, Big Sitdown Creek, coming out of the, and across the, gravel bar to the main channel, which is on the right bank at this point. You will notice that that G.S. gauging station is not measuring shallow water. They are measuring the pool between shallow waters. There's shallow water here there's shallow water above that. They need a degree of uniformity. And you can see the red tags to the right hand side of the photograph and near the left bank, of where they've stretched the line. So that their measurements were entirely different than what I was -- what I was taking. And they have to have a -- they have a different set of location requirements. This was taken August of '78. It's another view of the people wading across. Again, this is a pool in-between rapids. There's one

Q

Α

to the right, one upstream. And you can see, again, the size of some of the rocks which are in the stream bed in this area. And it's very typical through the selection area itself and down to the general vicinity of Johnson Gorge. Below Johnson Gorge you don't typically find this type of rock.

- Okay. For the record, I'd like to say that the picture of the people wading is labelled fi--slide number fifty-seven. Okay. And this is slide number fifty-eight.

 Could you tell us when and where it was taken?
 - It is a closer view of the two G.S. people who were conducting the August 4 measurements of the Kandik River at Big Sitdown Creek. It shows the equipment that they were using. It's identical to what was used in August and how their--you can see the tag line, the line that had the red flags on it, going across the stream. It shows the clarity of the water. Again, very low, in relationship to the muddy water that we'd seen on the prece--indicative of high water at that point. That was the June--the preceding June aerial shot, which was slide fifty-five, was seventy-six miles from the mouth. Approximately thirteen miles above the selection area.
- Q Okay. Well, now I thought . . .
- A I think that's it.

Q

Α

4 5

7

6

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. (Pause) Alright. Based on your experiences on the Kandik River, can you try to summarize the conditions on the Kandik, which in your opinion might hinder boat travel on the Kandik River?

The first thing would be the unpredictability of the water level itself. It's a very flashy -- it goes up and down like a yo-yo, in reality. You get a heavy rain and the headwaters, you know, come up a couple of feet, in a short period of time, several days, or in a day. And in the period of several days it will also drop back So that if you're going upstream, you'd have to hit your high water and you would have to be able to get to wherever you're going within that period of high water. So you've got a relatively short time to get there. So unpredictability would be one thing. fact that this river is relatively small in comparison to other rivers, like the Middle Fork of the Koyukuk or the Fortymile, as an example, that the upstream movement of equipment or power under high water conditions, that if you have a malfunction of your motor, you have no margin of error, because the current, in a very short distance, bounces against one bank or the other. most of those have either sweepers or log jams. the Fortymile and the Koyukuk are much bigger rivers, if you have that type of a problem, you've got a better

chance of recovery. Here you have virtually none or very small areas for recovery. If the water level is high, all you've done is moved your obstructions from one place to another. And by that I mean that you've got sweepers that are hanging on the bars that aren't there in low water, that suddenly you do have to contend with. And as your water goes up, your velocity increases, which means your downstream movement of the water is increasing and you're moving faster.

- Now, when you were testifying about the Nation River you had made an estimate of the number of shallow gravel bars that one would have to cross in going upstream or downstream. Have you made a similar estimate on the Kandik River?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q Would you tell us what that is?
 - Yes, there are approximately fifty-five shallow areas between the mouth and Johnson Gorge. Between Johnson Gorge and the selection area there were an additional fifteen areas. And I did not count as obstructions, as an example, several of the rock areas, where there were deep channels. In other words, I was only looking to areas where you really--if--if you didn't, you know, under any condition, you'd probably have to get out and pull a boat. So, I did not count areas where the

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

water was deep, although it would be--it'd require some skill. I did not count that sort of thing.

- Could you tell me what--I didn't understand what you said when you said between Johnson's Gorge and the selection area, how many--
- A There's another fifteen and--
- Q Fifteen.

Q

- A Yes. Johnson Gorge itself is where the river develops a very consise deep channel. And there's quite a long area of--of deep water in those areas, by only a few sections of shallow water. Now, there are some difficulties in those portions of the river where there's deep water, but not serious ones. And if they weren't serious, I didn't count them.
- Okay. Could you explain what the--in comparing the Nation to the Kandick what are the major differences between the rivers? I know there's been a lot of testimony that indicates there are certain conditions which are similar. But can you identify the major characteristics that might be used to differentiate between the two rivers?

 A The Nation River is--in its entire length, could be
 - The Nation River is—in its entire length, could be characterized as a braided stream. There are a few areas where it bounces against a bluff and you have a confined channel. But those are the exception, rather than rule. It's to the Canadian border, which is—let's

25

see, on the Nation I need to refer back. It's . . . it's approximately forty-seven miles to the -- to the Canadian border and there's not an awful lot of the drainage that s in Canada. So it's a little bit smaller stream. result it's much more sensitive to rainfall. words, if the equivalent amount of rain in the Nation will cause a different reaction, much more sharp reaction, it would come up quicker and drop quicker, because it has a smaller--smaller water shed, than will the Nation. The Nation--or the Kandik. The Kandik is a much bigger It can be characterized as the lower twentyfive to thirty miles being braided. Numerous log jams. Again, realizing that there are sections where the river is confined to defined channels. Again, tends to be It is--typically has one overall a braided stream. bank or another running through forests, which are cut and are constantly dropping new trees in. Once you get to the Johnson Gorge area, you got back into a confined channel. You have a little bit deeper water through the gorge. And when I say a little bit deeper, you've probably got four to five feet of water in many-many places, under even low-flow conditions. Johnson Gorge you then go back into a short section to You get into the selection area, it comes braided. back into an area where there are hills on both sides.

 F^{μ}

Α

25

The channel comes back together. You go immediately upstream from the selection area and you go back into braided area. So it's broken apart into rather distinct braided, distinct channel, distinct braided, as opposed to the Nation itself being almost entirely braided. You know, it's overall.

- Q I've just got a couple of more questions. Thank you. Maybe more than a couple. Based on your positions at the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation and the Bureau of Land Management, are you familiar with the recognized mineral potential of--of this area, the Nation and the Kandik Basins?
- Yes, I am. Α
- To your knowledge is there any recognized gold or hard Q rock mineral potential in this area?
 - No, there is not. The -- the mineral and mineral values Statewide has been a very significant issue in connection with both the Native selection, State selections, and, of course, the establishments of parks and refuges. a result of that type of controversy the Department of Interior itself has devoted considerable effort at identifying significant mineral values. Both hard rock, oil, and gas, wherever they might be. The State has done the same thing. The Native Corporations are doing the same thing. And this last January industry presented

about seven days of testimony to the Senate Interior and 1 Insular Affairs or Senate Energy Committe Workshop on 2 mineral values throughout the State in direct relationship 3 to such areas as the Yukon-Kandik--or the Yukon-Charley 4 National River proposal, which is the downstream area. 5 The information -- and I sat through those hearings in 6 their entirety. The information presi--presented by the 7 industry and the maps and the graphics show no hard rock 8 potential for this area. And I'm sure that if the 9 industry itself had felt that there was hard rock 10 11 potential, they would have talked about it. 12 however, emphasis oil and gas. And, in fact, Doyon has selected in the area. And I presume that the three 13 townships that we're talking about were selected for 14 oil and gas, rather than hard rock. I do know for a 15 fact that the three townships on the Black River, just 16 a few miles away, is that -- is one of the areas which 17 18 was transferred to Doyon and they conducted an extensive 19 oil and gas drilling program. Do you know of any recent exploration or development 20 Q along either the Nation or the Kandik Rivers in--in 21 22 those drainage basins?

A Say again.

24

25

Q Any recent development or exploration for minerals that's taken place in the Nation or the Kandik drainage basins?

1	A	II can only go by supposition. In '72, when we came
2		down the river in September, there were a series of
3		red flags located at points along the river bank, which-
4		and they had locations on them, station so and so, such
5		and such. I do not know who did that, but my guess is
6		that it was by the mineral industry in connection with
7		Doyon. But that's supposition on my part. I do not
8		know. There has been, to my knowledge, no request from
9		Doyon, who is the agent in selecting the lands, for
10		permission to use overland vehicles anyplace in the
11		area, to get into the area, with the exception of the
12		adjacent Black, where they did usedo oil and gas
13		explorations. So right now there's nothing in the
14		in these two areas that I'm aware of, ofofwhere
15		anything has been done as such.
16	Q	Does the area wherewhere Doyon has done this exploration
17		does that show on thisis that close enough to these
18		rivers to show on this map
19		MR. LUOMA: B-Seventeen.

MR. LUOMA: B-Seventeen.

--that's marked B-Seventeen--no, B-Three? Q

> MR. LUOMA: Sorry.

Yes, it--it does. Α

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. Could you point out . . . Q
- I'm--I'm going by memory at this point. If I recall Α correctly, it's the three townships, nine, ten, and eleven,

Α

which are approximately here, in Section 28--or Range 28 East, Townships 9, 10, and 11. And I would defer counsel to say whether that's the correct location.

And I'm going by memory at this point.

MS. TAYLOR: I don't know.

- A It's in this--it's within twenty air miles of the two basins, but not actually in the basins. It's across and would be adjacent. What you have is you have the Kandik and the Nation flowing south into the Yukon. Across the divide you have the Black, which flows into the Porcupine. So you've got a divide between the Porcupine and the Yukon at this particular point.
- Q And the oil exploration was between the are--the Black and the Kandik Rivers, but on the Black drainage basin and on . . .
- A Yes. And that, to my knowledge, Doyon was negotiating with the Department at the secretarial level to get-expedite it's conveyance of these three townships, so that they conduct--could conduct oil and gas exploration.
- Q Do you know if . . . Doyon or their contractors in the oil and gas development that did take place in the Black drainage basin, did they use rivers in the area for transportation, either the Black or the Kandik?

They did not.

Q How did they supply those regions, do you know?

- A They brought their equipment into Circle, by road, and moved it overland during the wintertime, down the Yu--or up the Yukon to an area just outside the D-2 boundary, which does not show on this map. They then had permission from the Bureau to go overland to cut what trees were necessary to move inland to their areas, where they moved in all of their fuel by cat-train, to construct airstrips. And then they brought in the oil drilling equipment and their camps by air. And that was how they supplied it. And once they brought in their equipment overland during the winter to build airstrips.
- Q Okay. Thank you. You've testified of the fact that you have a lot of boating experience. Would you consider yourself somewhat of an expert in travelling on Alaskan Rivers by boat?
- A Yes, I would. This is not only my profession, but it's my hobby.
- Q This may not be the most intelligent question, but could you explain exactly what people are talking about when they talk about such and such a boat has so much draft.

 What does boat draft mean?
- A It basically is the amount of water that it draws. In other words, how deep does it sit in the water, how much

water's it take to float it, before it's stuck on the bottom.

3 4

5

6

2

Q Okay. I'd like to show you what is marked as Exhibit B, Number Eight. Now, I believe that Mike Brown testified that the water mark on the side of this boat was about eleven inches from the--well, from the bottom of the boat. Would that mark be evidence of how low

7 8

9

A No, it would not.

the boat rides in the water?

10

Q Okay. Why not?

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

when the boat is sitting in the water and you don't normally lea--and it has to be sitting for some length of time to develop the algae on it, so that you would get a water mark, when the river is--or when the boat

Well, you typically get a water mark, when the water--

is sitting in the water empty. You don't typically leave a boat loaded. So what you have is the amount of water that that boat was occupying at the time it was

tied to the dock or the pier. Now, that, again, is--

I--I have not seen this boat, so I'm only going by--

Q Um-hm.

A

--then it would represent where that water sits--or where the water comes up on the sides on that boat for a great length of time. And if you--unless you're using

if that is a water mark--

your boat all the time, which, again, is not the typical pattern. You use it for a while, you carry your load, and then it sits for a week or it sits for a day. You use it again, it sits for a day, it's tied up during the summer time. So this would tend, to me, to indicate that it is the amount of water that that boat actually drew when it was sitting in the water unloaded.

Q Unloaded. I think you've testified that you have experience with different types of boats with motors.

Have you used boats with both inboard and outboard motors?

- A I have been in boats with inboard motors, jet boats on the Snake River, as an example, in Idaho. My own boats are all outboards.
- Q You own a motor boat?
- A I--I own several. As well as canoes, kayaks, and rafts.
- Q Okay. If--if the propellor of a tunnel boat--were you here during Mike Brown's testimony?
- A Yes, I was.
- Q Okay. Good. If the propellor of one of these tunnel boats in--as the boat shown in Exhibit B-Eight, is at least ten inches below the water line, on the boat, would--would--does that mean that you could take that boat safely over a gravel bar that you had ten inches of water flowing over the bar?
- A No.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

	1	MS. TA	YLOR	: .	Just	-jus	st a	minute,	Your	Honor
Could we	clarify	what	type	of	boat	we '	re	talking.	about	in
B-Eight?										

- Q Okay. (Pause) Do you remember what Mike Brown's testimony was about this type of boat that's shown in B-Eight? Was this the tunnel boat he was speaking of?
- A I could not say that this is the tunnel boat. I know what he was talking about, when he said a tunnel boat. It was a thirty foot long boat with certain characteristics and it had a--a groove up the center, with the dry shaft protected. And as I understood what he was saying that the prop was exposed to a degree. I think he said several inches, but I don't, you know--whether B-Eight is, in fact--
- Q Can you--
- A -- that photograph, I don't know.
- Q Can you see--

MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, the witness is--is not familiar with the type of boat. And he said that B-Eight-I would object to his testifying as to how it would be used on the river.

MR. LUOMA: Are you attempting to get testimony as to the use of a tunnel boat, whether B-Eight is a tunnel boat or not?

MS. NEVILLE: I think that I can establish that

3

5 6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

B-Eight is a tunnel boat. And what I'm trying to--

MR. LUOMA: Well, why don't you just ask him

what--

Q

Α

MS. NEVILLE: Okay.

MR. LUOMA: He's knows what a tunnel boat is.

MS. NEVILLE: Yeah.

MR. LUOMA: Then ask him about a tunnel boat and its crossing above.

(By Ms. Neville) I thought that's what I had done. If you have a tunnel boat, similar to the type that Mike Brown was speaking of, and you had a water marking about ten inches above the bottom of that boat, does that water marking indicate that you could safely take that type of a boat over a gravel bar at a time when you had ten inches of water flowing over the gravel bar? No, it would not. You would need several more inches of water for two reasons. First of all, these gravel bars generally have a drop, which means that the water is flowing faster over the gravel bar. And with a longer boat some of those gravel bars -- at some point your prop is going to be lower and your bow's going to be higher, and vice versa. Also, since the water is flowing faster downstream--and I'm assuming upstream motion at this time, if you're going upstream, you have to apply more power to get through the increased current

Q

25

When you do that, you tend to sink just a little bit. The boat -- a little bit more, because when the prop--when you apply more power to the prop, you surge--you give a surge of power, or you apply more power, and that gives a slight drop. Now, on a light boat, you can drop it two or three feet. Now, I don't think that This is a relatively heavy boat, it's dropped on this. relatively long. So that if you--if--and I'm--I'm--I have to qualify it this way, if the effective depth of the tunnel boat is ten inches, and I don't really care what -- what the figure is, I'm just going to say if it is ten inches -- and by effective depth, I'm talking about the amount of water that it actually draws from that to the prop -- the bottom of the prop. On either the Kandik or the Nation you would need at least five to six inches more water underneath it, if you were going to run it on a regular basis. Because your probability of damaging the prop and breaking it is pretty darn good. Because most of those gravel bars do not have uniform characteristics. And all you have to do is hit a log that's submerged, or hit one of the boulders, someplace along the line. And you have to take that into account, if you're going to do it on a regular basis. Now, is -- is it correct then to say that in a boat like a tunnel boat you would want what--whatever

amount of water that boat draws, plus an extra six inches as a margin for safety. Is that what you said?

A I'd say between four and six. But I think maybe there's there's--may I extend on that a little bit or is that permissible?

MR. LUOMA: Just try to answer the question.

- A Okay. It's--it's not really pertinent as to whether it's a tunnel boat. What you're talking about is what is the distance from the prop to the bottom.
- Q Okay.
- And that is--in other words, I would give you the same answer. I would want four inches of water minimum and preferably six on my own boat, with my own motor, if it's using a prop. And, you know, I don't care how far or how high up out of the water you want to extend it, I'd still want that much clearance for that prop. Because if you break the prop or ding it off, you do one of two things. You break it or you lose your power.

 Because as you grind it down, you don't have the power to get upstream. And both of these rivers, under high water, are ones where you're going to need maximum power.

MR. LUOMA: So I can understand it, you want four inches--at least four inches between the bottom of the prop and the bed of the stream?

A On these two rivers.

A

recess now?

MS. NEVILLE: Your Honor, could we take a

MR. LUOMA: I guess it's about time. Take a-MS. NEVILLE: Thank you.

MR. LUOMA: --ten minute recess.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

- Q (By Ms. Neville) Mr. Tileston, if you wanted to use a tunnel boat, similar to that this is shown in Exhibit B-Eight, to transport a cargo upstream, can you tell me how much effective water depth you would want to safely travel across gravel bars?
 - Yes. I think we need to start, first of all, with the water line. So it shows we've got eleven inches. If I recall correctly, it's eleven inches. That is, the distance to the bottom of the boat. You've got another two inches for the prop, for that part which is extended. You would have to load the boat. Since this is a motor boat, one of your first heavy items that you're going to have to carry is your fuel. If you're leaving, let's say Eagle, or for that matter, Circle, to get to the area, you'd have probably two to three fifty-five gallon drums of fuel that would be in your boat. You might off-load one of those at the mouth, but you'd probably go upstream, because you're going to need

25

fuel for coming back downstream. You would not bring a boat that size down, without having power. Or the capability of using power. So you're going to need fuel and you'd have to subtract that from your total load. You've got yourself, your personal gear. we're talking Pre-Statehood Act, you're talking the time where you probably had a couple of dogs and this sort of thing. I'm not even going to conjecture what else you would have in the--in the boat. But you'd have fuel, at least one individual, probably two. And then your cargo. And let's say that you've got a total of, for whatever reason, two thousand pounds Everything that's in there. That's probably going to sink your boat another five to six inches Because the design of the boat was slanted. Which means that you don't get the same carrying capacity. It was a slanted boat, with--narrower at the bottom than it was at the top. So you've now got eleven, plus two, plus, let's say five . . . to the bottom of your prop, loaded. You would then want some clearance between that prop and the bottom. And let's say four to five inches maximum. But you'd still want at least that much. But there's another kicker in this. And that is, because you're going to have to apply your power to the maximum extent in those shallow areas, because that will be the

25

very point where the current will be swiftest, you're going to have to add probably another two inches to that Because your boat will tend to sink slightly at the bottom--or at the stern, as a result of app--applying maximum power to the screw. So that gives you eleven, two, five for the load . . . let's say four to five for a cushion, and another two on that, because you're going to apply your -- your area. You would have to have fuel coming downstream. You wouldn't have the problem, in terms of depth, coming downstream, but you have a-another factor that enters into it, when you're bringing a boat that size, thirty feet. And if I recall, it was five to six feet wide at the -- at the top, when you're coming downstream. Again, if the current is still swift And presumably you'd try to plan it so you were. You have to have power, because that's the only way you're going to be able to maneuver that size a boat. And I would-you know, just looking at the photograph, this looks like it's wood. I think somebody mentioned some -- some of This is a very heavy boat. It is them had tar on it. not something that you can just pick up and, you know, lift across a bar. It--it--it, in of itself--and that's evidenced by the fact that it's got eleven inches of water on it, probably empty. It -- it sits quite low, which is indicative of the weight of the boat itself.

		*
1	Q	Okay. So would it be fair to say that you would want
2		to have at least twenty-four to twenty-five inches of
3		effective water depth before you'd take a fully loaded
4		boat of that type upstream?
5	A	With any great degree of regularity. I might do it
6		for one trip, with le
7		MR. LUOMA: Is her arithmetic correct on
8	that?	You addedyou stated certain figures.
9	Q	Okay I
10		MR. LUOMA: Do they add up to twenty-five
11	inche	s?
12	Q	I've added eleven inches, that's the boat empty. Two
13		inches for the propellor. Five inches for the cargo.
14	·	About eighteen inches there. I think you added two
15	·	inches for the fact that yourwhen you turn the motor
16		on you said the stern would sink, or something of that
17		nature. And then four to five inches of clearance. Is
18		that correct?
19	A	Yes.
20		MR. LUOMA: I want to ask the witness some-
21	thing	•
22	Q	Okay.
23		MR. LUOMA: Isis twentytwenty-five inches
24	the c	orrect total of what you have testified to?
25	A	Yes.

1 I have no further questions. MS. NEVILLE: 2 MR. LUOMA: Miss Taylor. 3 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. 4 BY MS. TAYLOR: 5 Mr. Tileston, first of all, I want to congratulate you Q 6 on your stamina and the clarity of your testimony. And--7 and it's a lot of area for us to--to even touch. And 8 if I ask you a question and your--and your unclear as 9 to what I'm referring to, I wish you'd please ask me 10 to clarify it and I'll try--I'll try to. Let's go back 11 to your prior experience, before your present position 12 as Chief of Division of Resources. I understand that--13 that before your--your present job you were with the 14 Bureau of Outdoor Recreation --15 Yes. Α 16 And that's in Alaska? Q --is that correct? 17 Α Alaska, Washington, D.C. --18 Q Okay. 19 -- Denver, Colorado. Α 20 How long were you with the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation Q 21 here in Alaska? 22 I came up in May of 1972 as the leader of a five group Α 23 party. 24 Okay. At what point did you switch from BOR, Bureau Q

of Outdoor Recreation, to BLM?

25

1	A	1974.
2	Q	Okay. Now, was your trip up the Kandik River in 1972
3		part of your official duties with BOR?
4	A	All of my trips up the Kandik, when I was with
5		BOR, in overflights or anything else, was on official
6		duty.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	The moose hunting trip was in '75.
9	Q	Alright.
10	A	And that was recreation at that point. I was working
11		for the Bureau of Land Management.
12	Q	Alright. So if Iif I understand you correctly, when
13		we're talking about the Kandik River, you made one trip
14		up by canoe in 1972 for BOR?
15	A	No, I made one trip down. We
16	Q :	Down. I'm sorry.
17	A	helicoptered into the headwaters and came downstream.
18	Q	In 1972?
19	A	Correct. September.
20	Q	And then you made a trip just this last summer, in
21		1978, by helicopter?
22	A	You meare you talking times when I've been on the
23		river, as opposed to over the river?
24	Q	Yes, right. How many times have you been on the river
25		as part of your official duties?

1 Physically on the river? Α 2 Q Right. 3 The Kandik? Α 4 Right. Just the Kandik. Q 5 '72, '78. Officially on the river. Α 6 Okay. Now, the slides that we've seen of the Kandik Q 7 River, this morning, are from those 1972 and those 1978 8 trips then? 9 Α Right. 10 Okay. When you were with BOR and you were studying the Q 11 Kandik River, why were you studying the river? 12 I was conducting a Statewide inventory, analysis, Α 13 evaluation of free-flowing streams in the State of 14 We conducted something like ten thousand miles 15 of survey in that first month and a half, by air. 16 talked to people. We, in turn, boiled it back down 17 and selected it. And Kandik was one of the rivers that 18 had--based on the aerial observations and rather distinctiv 19 and unique characteristics and -- (indiscernible -- simultan-20 eous conversation) --21 So the purposes of your study of the Kandik in 1972 were Q 22 primarily for inclusion in the wild--in Wild and Scenic 23 River proposal, is that correct?

What are the criteria for inclusion in Wild and Scenic

Yes.

24

25

Α

Q

River area?

5

- A It is a free-flowing stream having, essentially, an untrammelled characteristic that is outstanding remarkable, if I remember the statutory language, in it's scenery, histroy, archaeology, cultural, recreation, fish and wild life, or other similar matters.
- Q In your trip in 1972, did you boat down the section of the Kandik that's within the selection area?
- A I put in in Canada. So I came all the way down to the mouth.
- Q Okay. Fine. Now, the selection area that we're talking about today is within lands that are withdrawn for selection by Doyon, Limited, the native corporation, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. And they're not subject for inclusion in Wild and Scenic River area, unless they're not selected by Doyon?
- A That's not correct.
- Q Alright. Can you explain why that's not correct?
- A Doyon, or the State--the--the Wild and Scenic River system is an odd-ball situation in most areas, in the fact that Federal ownership is not a criteria for inclusion in the system. The criteria includes two types of rivers. One, a Federal, where the ownership

23

24

25

is Federal. And the second one is where is the ownership is non-Federal and the statute -- the per-- that national legislation provides for non-Federal rivers to be included, when several conditions exist. Those conditions are the governor of the state concerned requests the Secretary of Interior to include the river. the state has passed enabling legislation--state has passed enabling legislation, which provides reasonable guarantees that the land would be man--the--the land and it's immediate environment of the river would be managed in accord with a system which protects the value. So, yes, it could be included in the system, if Doyon so requested, if the governor so requested, and the secretary--

- Right. Q
- --certified. A٠
- Okay. If Doyon selects the -- if Doyon selects those Q townships of land, though, which it has in this case, and doesn't request that the riverbed be included in the Wild and Scenic River area, then it couldn't be--It would be very unlikely that the State legislature Α would pass a State Law at the wishes of Doyon.
- supposition on my part.
- Alright. So the area that we're talking about is what Q is going to be private land, is this correct? The selection

1		area?
2	A	If Doyon selects it, correct. If I recall
3	Q .	Well, Doyon has selected it, right?
4	Α	They have selected it.
5	Q	As a matter of fact, we've had a decision for interim
6	•	conveyance to Doyon.
7	A	Except for the pieces that we're talking about.
8	Q	Well, we're talking about two townships.
9	A	Well, we're talking specifically about the river itself,
10		is my understanding. And if the river
11	Q	Let's talk about the selection area, first.
12	A	Okay.
13	Q	Okay.
14	•	MR. LUOMA: Let's see what thewhat counsel
15	is ta	lking about.
16	A	Okay.
17	Q	Let'syou know, let's just clariclarify the land
18		steps (ph) that we're talking about. On Exhibit B-Three
19		we have an area of three townships, which we're all
20		referred to as the selection area, is that your under-
21		standing of it?
22	A .	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Now, as a matter of fact, the rivers that we're
24		talking about only flow through two townships within
25		the selection area.
	I .	

l l		
1	A	Correct.
2	Q	But for clarity let's just call it the selection area.
3	Λ	Okay.
4	. Q	Now, you testified that as part of your duties with
5		BLM you were involved in land conveyances, is that
6		correct?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And you are generally familiar with the provisions of
9		the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, is that correct
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Alright. Now, what's the affect of an interim conveyance
12		of land to a native corporation?
13	Α .	For those portions of the three townships that we are
14	·	discussing called the native selection lands, those are
15		now private lands. For the pieces of those townships
16		which are the subject of this hearing, the status remain
17		to be determined.
18	Q	Now, wait a minute. Let's get this clear. Are you
19		familiar with the decision for interim conveyance in
20		this particular case?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q .	And doesn't it convey the entire three townships to
23		Doyon?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Can I hand you a copy

ļ		
1	A	Yeyes, Iexcuse me
2	Q	of the decision
3	A	excuse me, yes it does.
4	Q	for interim conveyance?
5	A	Yes, it does. It conveys the three townships
6	Q	Okay.
7	A	to Doyon. Doyon has appeal the navigability, if it's
8		navigable, the State has it.
9	Q	Okay. Would youwould it help you to look at the
10		decision for intermin conveyance to refresh your memory?
l1	A	It probably would be helpful.
12	:	MS. TAYLOR: This is the decision for interim
13	convey	vance, Your Honor. It's dated December 5th, 1975 and
14	it's p	part of the record, obviously.
15	A	Yes.
16	· Q	Okay.
ι7	A	Right. III mixed my apples and oranges.
18	Q	Alright. Now, so as far as the selection area, the
19		entire area is to be conveyed to Doyon, Limited, is
20		that correct?
21	A	Well, I'm not sure what you're driving at, because the
22		answer is correct yes and correct no.
23	Q	Alright. The decision states that these three townships
24		a11

Right.

25

Α

1	Q	are approved for conveyance to Doyon.
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Now, normally what happens then is that at some point
4		presumably after the termination of an appeal, if any,
5		there's a document called an intermin conveyance issued-
6	A	Um-hm.
7	Q	by the United States, is that correct?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Nowand it's true that an interim conveyance isis,
10		in effect
11	A	A patent.
12	Q	a patent, it'sit's
13	A	Correct.
14	, Q	you own the land. It just hasn't been formally surveyed,
15		which will happen at some future point in time
16	A -	Correct.
17	Q	is that correct? Alright. Now as part of this
18		decision for interim conveyance BLM also includes
19	·	recommendations for easements, is that correct?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	On the selective lands. And there werewere recommenda-
22		tions made in this particular case
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	for easements? As part of your duties either with
25		BOR or with BLM were you involved at all in that ease-

ment identification process?

A Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Q Can you explain what your involvement with that process was?

In 1974, when I first came to the BLM, Yes, I can. from BOR, my principal job was to evaluate transportation requirements on a Statewide basis for the -- at the time, the secretary's direction, to determine whether and when and under what conditions transportation corridors might be reserved, through the particular selection area that we're talking about. There was a oil and gas pipeline identified, which was based on work that I had personally been responsible for. That particular line was based, again, on a routing identified by the Alaskan Arctic Gas Company, on official filing with the Department of Interior. And that was undergoing litigation, as to what route would be selected. And therefore there was a withdrawal through the lower portion, and I'm going by memory at this point from inspecting the maps yesterday through the lower portion of the boundary selection. And then there was a pipeline and a road provision, which was qualified, that came up the Kandik and Nation to the selection area, since it's an oil and gas promise. And I had some doing with that. It's based on work that I had done earlier on a Statewick

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

basis. I did not personally get involved in the easement
task force deliberations, but I had generated some of
those informations. Likewise, the 1972 report, which
I had prepared as leader of the BOR group, which had
been provided the State and Doyon, was in part considered
by that group, which I was not a member of, in their
navigability determination.

- Q Now, was the easement task force still operating after you became Chief of Division of Resources at BLM?
- A Yes, it was.
- Q Okay. And how long was it continued to operated?
- A (Pause) I'm not sure that is still in operation as such as a result of the reorganization that took place in the six months. There are people that are handling easments. Those people are no loner part of my staff.
- Q Do you know who was on the easement task force for this particular selection area, these three townships?
- A I could not give you an accurate answer, without being back and looking at the records. And it--
- Q Okay. Did you, yourself, ha--ever have an opportunity to comment on the proposed easements for this selection are, these three townships, that were proposed by BLM?
- A Well, I, of course, <u>cerning</u> (ph) the correspondence, going out as division chief. I do not recall being directly involved in the deliberations of the group.

1 Now, again, I'm going by memory. My recollection is 2 I was not personally involved when the people met in 3 the room and said, "this is what we're--think we ought 4 to do and why". I did, however, cerning (ph) the 5 correspondence, when it came out. 6 Q Alright. 7 Α So it depends on what you mean. 8 Α Alright. Do you recall what the Bureau of Outdoor 9 Recommen -- of Outdoor Recreations recommendations for 10 easements were--11 And I wouldn't have seen it. Α No. 12 -- on the selection area? Q 13 Α Unless I was a direct party to the--14 Okay. Fine. Q 15 --task force. Α 16 But the easement task force was primarily concerned Q

A And navigability.

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q What types of studies did they do concerning navigability?

with the identification of easements in the--

- A I could not tell you in this case.
- O Alright.
 - A Again, I was not party to the group.
 - Q Well, let's--maybe we should get clarified what your-what your present role is in--in BLM. What's the relationship between your division, the Division of Resources.

1		and the Branch of Lands and Mineral Operations, for
2		example?
3	Λ	The Branch of Lands and Minerals Operation is a co-equal
4		branch.
5	Q	Okay. You're two separate
6	A	Within
7	Q	branches?
8	A	Well, they are a branch within another division, the
9		Division of Technical Services.
10	Q	Okay. Which branch has primary responsibility for the
11		issuance of a decision for interim conveyance?
12	A	Tech Services.
13	Q	And that's the one that has Lands and Mineral Operations
14	A	Correct.
15	. Q	under it? Okay. What involvement, then, do you have
16		with land conveyances?
17	A	The application of uniform policy and standards.
18	Q.	What does that mean?
19	A	Okay. In other words, somebody on my staff says, "yeah,
20		that's the way to approach it, that's consistent with
21		the guidelines laid down from the secretary, and that
22		this district is approaching those guidelines in
23		exactly the same way that the Anchorage district has
24		appro"
25	Q	Okay.

1	A .	or vice versa, from that standpoint.
2	Q	Do you attempt then, as part of your job, to implement
3		the regulations that are in turn designed to implement
4		ANCSA?
5	A	It was.
6	Q	Alright. You wouldyou would have tried to follow the
7		regulations?
8	A	Atyes, at the point this was here, yes.
9	Q	Okay. Are you
10	, A	I'm no longer involved in that. ANCSA regulations have
11		been pulled out completely.
12	Q	And when was that?
13	A	In the last six months.
14	Q	Okay. So during 1975, '76, for example, you would
15		still be concerned with
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	making sure that the department follows
18	А	It was done by people underin my branch, in terms
19		of that.
20	Q	Alright. Are you familiar with the regulations governing
21		navigable waters and conveyance of lands underlying
22	·	navigable waters?
23	Α .	Only generally. I'd have to sit down and refresh myself
24		specifically.
25	Q	Okay. Under those regs isn't the selecting corporation

İ	•	
1		required to accept lands under non-navigable waters?
2	A	If you asking me, do I know that personally, I can
3		notT would assume so, but I'd have to read the regs
4	Q	Okay. Fine.
5	A	to be sure. That'sthat's why I say, I'd
6		have to sit down and read them.
7	Q	Are you aware of any formal notice of navigability ever
8		being sent to the regional corporation or the State by
9		BLM?
to	A	Yes.
11	Q	And when was that notice and what was it?
12	A	That was the interiminterim decision to convey.
13	Q	Was there any notice of non-navigability sent to the
14		regional corporation before that decision for interim
15		conveyance?
16	A	If I recall the situation, and again I'm going by memory
17		there was a request by Doyon of the secretary to greatly
18		expedite conveyances of these groups of township. Not
19		only these three, but the three preceding, because the
20		oil and gas equipment was ready to go, the money was
21		being paid, and they needed to get title to the land.
22	Q	Well, just answer the question.
23	A	Do I know personally?
24	Q	Was there ever anywas there ever any formal notice
25		of navigability sent out

1	A	I
2	Q	to the regional corporation?
3	A	I don't know.
4	Q	Okay. Now, was it the Bureau of Recreations opinion
5		inwhile you were there inin 1972 or 1973 or to what-
6		ever point in 1974, that the Kandik and the Nation
7	•	that the Kandik River received recreaheavy recreational
8		use?
9	A	Not heavy.
10	.Q	What was their recommendation? What was their opinion
11		as to recreational use on it?
12	A	It received very light recreation and very sparse.
13	Q	Alright. What was the
14	A	We only found one evidence. Now, this is pre-'72.
15	Q	Okay.
16	Α.	One indication where anybody had really been using it
17		for recreation, other than the sport hunter.
18	Q	Alright. Let's move up to 1975, when you were with
19		BLM andin the Division of Resources. What was the
20		ultimate decision by BLM as to easements on the rivers
21		in the selection area?
22	А	I'mI'm not sure I know what you're getting at.
23	Q	Alright. Were recreational easements reserved on these
24		rivers, do you recall?
25	Α	I don't believe they were but I do not recall

1	Q	Okay. Have youdid you ever see the decision for
2		interim conveyance as part of your
3	A	Yes, as I said
4	Q	duties?
5	A	said earlier, II reviewed it and Ias I say, I
6		can not recall specifically whether there was
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	a recreation easement.
9	Q	Did you have any input into that decision before it was
01		made?
11	A	I think I answer that question earlier. And the answer
12	·	was, I had no direct input.
13	Q	Okay. Alright. Now, have you ever taken a boat up the
14	· ·	Kandik River to the selection area?
15	. A	No.
16	Q ·	Alright. Youryour only boat trip up theor downup
١7		the Kandik, let's state going up, is the 1972 trip in th
18		canoe, is that right?
9	A	'75.
20	Q	'75 trip. That's your moose hunting trip?
21	A	Right.
22	Q .	Okay. Now, the 1978 trip, the one we justyou just
23		had last summer, that most of the slides come from,
24		whowho authorized that trip?

I guess I did.

25

Α

1	Q	Did you plan it?
2	A	Whatwhat do you mean did I plan it?
3	Q	Were you the one responsible fordid you initiate the
4		trip?
5	A	Well, alright, agaII'm not sure, again, and I'm
6		trytrying to be responsive, so bear with me a minute
. 7		No, I didn't initiainitiate the trip, because the
8		scarhearing schedule initiated the trip.
9	Q	Okay. Who made the decision to send BLM personnel up
10		the Kandik River in August 1978?
11	A	'78?
12	Q	Yes.
13	A	I did.
14	Q	Okay. When did you make that decision?
15	. A	In June.
16	Q.	Okay. And what was the purpose of your trip up the
17		Kandik in 1978?
18	A	To get measurements of the flow. And why I said June
19		is because when we were on both rivers in June we were
20		seeing it high water conditions. And what we wanted to
21		get were evidences to present to the Judge, which would
22		give him both sides of the coin. So wesince we took
23		high water measurements in June, we wanted to go back
24		in August and get low water measurements.

Alright.

25

Q

1	A	And that's the reason I said that we made the decision
2		to go back in August in June, because we knew that the
3		water was like then, we wanted to get the other side
4		of the coin also.
5	Q	Had BLM sent any personnel on a comparable trip prior
6		to 1978, up the Kandik?
7	A	A comparable trip, no. As far as I know
8	Q	How about
9	A	this is the first navigability issue that we've had
10		come up.
11	Q	How about up the Nation River? Did BLM ever send any
12		personnel up the Nation River, prior to 1978, to make
13		to make measurements of the flow?
14	A	Not to my knowledge.
15	Q	Okay.
16	Α.	Now, you're precisely asking the question, did we take
17		measurements, no; had people been up the river, yes.
18	Q	Alright. Did BLM send any people up the Kandik River
19		prior to 1978 to make a formal field investigation.
20		of the river?
21	A	I'm sure there were, but I can not say so from my personal
22		knowledge.
23	Q.	Okay. So you wouldn't know whether any BLM personnel
24		had actually been on the river in the selection area
25		prior to 1978 on formal investigation of field conditions

1	Λ	I can't testify one way or the other. All I can say is
2		I don't know.
3	Q	You don't know? Alright. Now, in the June of 1978
4		trip I understand that you were along with the hydrologist
5		who was making flow measurements, is that correct?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Alright. Now, I'm a little confused about what your
8		method of travel was
9	A	Raft.
10	Q	and again, onon the Kandik?
11	Α .	Oh, we took theon the Kandik the flow measurements
12		were taken at Big Sitdown Creek and the access was
13		by helicopter.
14	Q	Alright.
15	A	We ran the Nation by raft, access was by helicopter.
16	Q	Alright. Now, where's Big Sitdown Creek on the Kandik?
17	A	It's above the selection area. Do you want to know
18		precisely?
19	Q	No, I think that's adequate.
20	A	Okay.
21	Q	Now, I understand that you flew up then, above the
22		selection area, in a helicopter. And then what did you
23		do?
24	A	Took a

On the Kandik.

25

Q

	11	
1	A	cross section.
2	Q	Alright. And then you moved down the river?
3	A	No, we came back to camp, because it was evening.
4	Q	And where was your camp?
5	A	On the Nation, at Jungle Creek.
6	Q	And this was the June trip?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Alright. So in the June trip, when you were measuring
9		the flow you camped on the Nation River, flew to the
10		Kandik to make a measurement
11	A	And we reversed the process
12	Q	and then flew back?
13	A	in August.
. 14	Q	Alright. Now, did you make any water measurements on
15	-	the Kandik in the selection area in June?
16	A	No.
17	Q	Why was that?
18	A	Because the water was too high, we hadand I don't
19		mean too high in terms that we did not want to collect
20		information. Basically it was a matter of time and
21		weather, because it had continued to rain and we pulled
22		out after coming downsee, orginially the schedule was
23		as follows, flyoverfly the area, which we did. And
24	•	we overflew all three area. We cameand that was in

a fixed-wing aircraft. We came back to Eagle, we

25

picked up our helicopter, we flew in and set up a base camp. We went over and took our one measurement. We inflated the rafts, we went down the Hard Luck Creek, it took three days. During that period it continued to rain, it took us longer than we had anticipated, and the ra--water level was continuing to go up and down, according to the rain, and we had to leave--

- Q Okay.
- A --because we were told we cou--we'd not be able to get out.
- Q Alright. The June trip you were camped on the Nation and you had a helicopter and you were in the area for approximately three days then, is that correct?
- A No, we had a helicopter only on the day that we came in, because we had rafts to go down. The helicopter did not come back, until we were informed that we could not get out of Eagle.
- Q So your only measurements on the Kandik River during the June trip were above the selection area, one measurement is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. If you were trying to investigate the flow of water in the selection area, wouldn't it occur to you that it would be more relevant to make measurements in the selection area?

1	A	We were interested in determining the flow characteristics
2		of the entire river, since we had gotten five measurements
3	Q	We11
4	A	by the time we made the decision not to go to the Kandik
5		on that trip, on the Nation. And had madalso made the
6		decision that we were going to come back that
7	Q	Mr. Tileston, how
8	A	Okay.
9	Q	does one measurement on the Kandik River determine the
01		flow of the river?
11	A	I would prefer you defer that question and ask the
12		professional, which will be on next.
13	Q	Alright.
14	A	Or the geologist.
15	Q	Did you make any of your rod measurements
16	A	No.
17	Q	on theon the Kandik River within the selection area?
18	A	In June?
19	Q	In June.
20	A	I wasn't there.
21	Q	You didn't go on the trip to the Kandik?
22	A .	I didwenobody went into the selection area, as I
23		previously testified.
24	· Q	On the June trip?
25	A	In the June trip.

1	Q .	Only the hydrologist?
2	A	At Big Sitdown Creek.
3	Q	At Big Sitdown Creek?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Okay. But you were in the helicopter
6	A	No.
7	Q	that went to Big Sitdown Creek?
8	A	Not on that particular trip. I was setting up the
9		camp.
10	Q	Alright. Now, let's go
11	A	Mr. Allen
12	Q	Alright.
13	А	and Mr. Childers were in the helicopter, along with
14		the pilot and another BLM
15	Q	Alright. So on the June trip
16	A	employee.
17	Q	the hydrologist didn't make any flow measurements
18		within the selection area?
19	A	I previously testified to that.
20	Q	Okay. Let's go to the August trip then.
21	A	Okay.
22	Q	Now, how many days were you within the area of the
23		Kandik and the Nation Rivers, during the August trip?
24	A	A total of three days.
25	Q	And how many people were with you?

1	Α .	I think six people.
2	Q	And who were they?
3	Α	Two stream hydrologists, a gentleman from our Washington
4		Office, Mr. Beau McClure, who is responsible for lands.
5	,	Athe area manager, Mr. Les Rosencrantz (ph), who is
6		responsible for the area, who lives at Tok. The pilot,
7		who stayed with us, we kept the helicopter for the
8		entire time. And two stream hydrologists from Geologica
9		Survey, Mary Shields (ph) and Bill Glued (ph), I re
10		think are the correct names.
11	Q	How many
12	A	Any myself.
13	Q	How many days on that trip did you have the helicopter
14	,	available?
15	A	It was there in its entirety. The pilot camped with
16		us, so we could make maximum use of it.
17	Q	Okay. Was this a State helicopter? Or did you rent
18		it from a private firm or
19	A	I couldn't tell you.
20	Q	Do you have any idea of whatwhat the trip cost?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Okay. Now, August 1978. When did you come into the
23		area of the Kandik River?
24	A	What do you mean by into? You mean when did I physically
25		first setset ground onsetset down on it?
	Î.	

_		*
1	,Q	Right.
2	A	Okay. Was there August 3rd. We repeated the upstream
3		measurement at Jungle Creek that evening.
4	Q	Okay. And then am I to understand that you camped at
5		some point within the selection area on that August trip
6	A	On the Kandik.
7	Q	On the Kandik?
8	A	Alright. Nowalright, whichthisI'm getting my
9		rivers mixed. Which one do you want to know first,
10	·	cause I wason both of them?
11	Q	Well, let's just talk about the Kandik
12	A	Okay.
13	Q	for a while. Alright.
14	A	We set up base camp in the selection area.
15	. Q	Alright. In the selection area?
16	Α.	Soand that was done in the afternoon of August 3rd.
17	Q	Okay. And how long were you within the selection area
18		on the Kandik?
19	A	Well, of course we slept there three nights, which meant
20		we had breakfast and supper, when we were up and around.
21		So let's forget the sleeping part. When we were up
22		and might be observing things, there's probably four
23		hours on either end. I had lunch there and we took some
24		additional measurements for about five hours on the
25		fifth of August while people were being transported out

fifth of August, while people were being transported out

1		Again, we're talking expressly about the selection area?
2	Q	Right.
3	A	On the Kandik?
4	Q	Right.
5	A	So I spent a total of probably fifteen to sixteen waking
6		hours, camped on the bank of the Kandik River, in the
7		selection area, during the period of August 3, 4, and 5
8	Q	Alright. Now, we've seen fifty-eight slides of the
9		Kandik this morning, is that correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And we've only seen, by my count, two slides within the
12		selection area.
13	А	Of the Kandik?
14	Q	And I think those are fifty-five and fifty-six,
15		but I might be wrong about that.
16	A ·	Well, you saw slides ofit started with slide forty-
17		eight, which showed the general area, looking upstream.
18	Q	No, let's talk about the selection area.
19	A	Alright.
20	· Q .	How many slides did you
21	A	Alright. Well, let's
22	Q	take within the selection area?
23		MR. LUOMA: One at a time, please.
24	A	Okay. We're starting with slide forty-eight. It was
25		taken downstream, looking into the general area of the

1 2 3 4 there was the headwater areas--5 Q Alright. 6 A 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 Q 16 were taken within--17 Within, that I showed--18 --the selection area? Q 19 --at this point, on . . . What is it? A 20 21Q 22

selection boundary. And I pointed out, with the pointer on the slide, where the approximate boundary was and the fact that at that particular slide was not that

- -- of the downstream bou--okay, that started with slide forty-eight. Slide forty-nine was in the selection area it showed a rock outcrop and a series of rapids. fifty showed large boulders a quarter of a mile upstream and I commented about the differences that you could still get a boat up there, but that you had to navigate. Slide fifty-one was an aerial view of the G.S. flow measurement station and our camp, in the selection area. Slide fifty-two was just upstream from the selection area.
- Alright. So let's go back then. Which sli--which slide\$
- (Pause) Three, that's correct. Forty-nine through fifty-one.
- Well--okay. Let me clarify this then. Slide forty-nine was taken--I understood you to say above Johnson Gorge, looking up the river.
- No, slide forty-eight. Forty-nine, fifty, and fifty-one were looking at the river in the selection area.

23

24

25

1	Q	Okay. But forty-nine wasn't taken while you were in the
2		selection area?
3	Α	Negative.
4	Q	Okay. What about fifty, was that taken while you were
5		in the selection
6	A	I took it.
7	Q	area?
8	A	I took it, yes.
9	Q	Okay. Fifty was?
to	A	And so was fifty-one.
11	Q	And fifty-one.
12	A	I took it.
13	Q ·	Okay. But fifty-two and the remaining slides were taken
14	A	No.
15	, Q	while you were outside the selection area?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	So you only had two slides that were taken while you
18		were physically within the selection area?
19	A	What do you mean physically within?
20	Q	Either standing on the selection area or directly
21	A	Do you want me to generate a whole series of slides
22		standing around camp?
23	Q.	No, I just want to clarify which slides were taken with-
24	•	in the actual physical selection area.
25	^	You man where I was standing on the ground?

1	Q	Right.
2	A	None.
3	Q	Okay. How come you didn't take any shots on the ground
4		within the selection area?
5	A	I did. Why didn't I show them? I didn't feel they
6		were pertinent. They were basically of camp, on a
7		gravel bar. The slides that we had of the measurement
8		area on the ground weren't that good, but if you'd
9		like them, I'll provide them for you.
10	Q	How many slides did you take within the selection area
11		on the Kandik?
12	A	I couldn't tell you, without going back and counting.
13		Several. And by several, I don't mean two. I've
14		probably got between ten and fifteen.
15	Q	Okay. Did
16	A	Most of them are duplicate shots.
17	Q	Did you make any measurements of the water within the
18		selection area on the Kandik?
19	A	With a rod, no.
20	Q	Why?
21	A	With my body, yes.
22	Q	Why didn't you make any water measurements on the Kandik-
23	A	Because we had just taken
24	Q	in the selection area?
25	A	in the selection area a complete cross section of the

1 channel, just adjacent and downstream from the island. 2 And they had a complete section every so many feet, which 3 the gentleman tomorrow, or this afternoon, will be able 4 to testify as to how deep the water is there. 5 But that's one point on the Kandik within the selection Q 6 area that that measurement was made, the flow measurement, 7 is that --8 Α And the depth. 9 --correct? Q 10 And the depth. 11 Only one point --Q 12 Α Right. 13 Q --within the selection area? 14 Α Well, what do you mean one point? 15 One point laterally--Q 16 It was the entire stream -- the entire stream channel Α 17 was measured. 18 One--one cross section? Q 19 Α Right. 20 Q That's the only measurement you made of this 21river within the selection area--22 Α That G.S. made. 23 One cross section? Q 24 Α Correct. 25 Q How many miles of river are there? How many miles of

1		the Kandik River are there within the selection area?
2	A	Approximately seven.
3	Q	Now, when you were on the Nation River, for example,
4		we saw slide after slide after slide
5	A	Right.
6	Q	of your measurements of water depth.
7	A	Right.
8	· Q .	Why didn't you feel it was necessary to make measurement
9		of water depth at periodic points in the selection area
10		on the Kandik?
11	A	Because there wasn't that much variance in the area,
12		for which you're going to get the testimony from G.S.
13		tomorrow, through the seven miles of selection, that
14	·	is any different that what you had seen. And remember,
15		also, that I had started, as I previously said, by taking
16	,	measurements on the Nation.
17	Q	As a matter
18	A	By the time I had run as many nameasurements as I
19		had on the Nation, I was confirming what I expected
20		to find, in fact, and what I did.
21	Q	As a matter of fact, the flow of the Kandik within the
22		seleselection area is pretty uniform, isn't it?
23	A	I could not tell you what the flow is.
24	Q	Well, you just stated that you didn't feel it was
25		necessary to make more measurements along other parts

- 1		
1		of the stream, because
2	A	For depth. I was not measuring flow.
3	Q	What about the depth, is the depth pretty uniform?
4	A	Relatively, yes. And I believe the slides so show.
5	Q	As a matter of fact, you testified that it wait's
6		it's a fairly deedeep channel within the selection
7		area?
8	A	I believe that's what I said.
9	Q	But you didn't make any measurements within the selection
10		area on the Kandik?
11	A	I don't think that it was necessary.
12	Q	And you didn't count
13	A	I did not.
14	Q	and you didn't count gravel bars within the selection
15	,	area on the Kandik, did you?
16	A.	If I recall correctly, I did not claim that there were any
17		in the selection area.
18	Q	Alright. Now Have you ever taken a pole boat up
19		the Kandik River?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Have you ever taken a tunnel boat up the Kandik River?
22	Α .	Nope.
23	Q	Have you ever taken an air boat up the Kandik River?
24	A	Nope.
25	Q	Alright. Has your use of the Kandik River been exclusively

recreational?

- A No.
- Q Your use, in your personal capacity?
- A Well, when you say my use, I'm not making a distinction in terms of being able to qualify whether it is or--or not. My only personal use was obviously recreational.
- Q Okay.
- A Is my observation or--you know, as to what the water depth, any different as to whether I'm on personal or official business, no.
- Alright. Why didn't--let's go back to your--your job as Division of Resources--Chief of Division of Resources and your--your testimony that if your--as I understand it, you're in charge of--of--I think you characterized it as giving guidance to the State Director as to policy and . . .
- A We've got twenty-one professionals--
- Q --so forth?
- A --that range from an archaeologist to a geologist to a mineral patenter. And it's my job to--overall guidance to that office.
- Q Okay. Did you ever feel it was necessary to order a field study of the Kandik, such as this one, prior to this appeal?
- A No.

1 Why? Q 2 Because there was no question on navigability, to my 3 As an example, the selection that was conknowledge. 4 veyed in a same time frame on a river a little bit smaller, 5 but not -- not that much difference on the headwaters of the 6 Black, three townships away, was also non-navigable, if 7 I recall correctly. Is that correct? You've got the--8 didn't--wasn't there a non-navigable determination on the--9 it's not fair for me to ask questions. I just recall there 10 was a non-navigable determination. 11 Q But--but BLM didn't feel it necessary to make 12 the--a study, such as you've made in 1978, prior to the 13 beginning of these appeal proceedings? 14 No, because suspectability was not an issue, at that Α 15 point. 16 According to BLM's determination? Q 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q But they'd never given any formal notice to the regional 19 corporation --20 I said I could not testify--Α 21 --of navigability? Q 22 Α -- to that one way or the other. 23 Q Alright.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

Other than the DIC, and that is formal notice.

Weren't you familiar, as--both as being Chief of Division

24

25

Α

Q

of Resources for BLM and with your prior work with Bureau of Outdoor Recreation of -- of the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation's view that any river which received significant use was navigable?

- A I believe that if you got that impression that it is not supported in the Department's official position, nor was it the position of myself, or the instructions that I had from the secretary's office directly, when I was conducting and responsible for those studies, to make any determination of navigability or non-navigability. We looked exclusively at one factor. Was the body of water capable of being used for recreational use.

 Navigation even on the Yukon, you know. BOR made no official position one way or the other, because that is title.
- Q Is that--
- A Not use.
- Q Okay. Now, as a--as a practical matter, as far as BLM was concerned in--in 1975, they were primarily concerned with the issue of easements on these lands, weren't they?

MS. NEVILLE: Your Honor, I'd like to object at this point. I--I'm not sure that this line of questioning is proper cross-examination. I think it goes way beyond the scope of the direct. And I also think that we're getting into

legal issues that may be--aren't going to be resolved on the basis of Mr. Tileston testimony. I don't think he's sitting up there as spokesman for the entire BLM and the entire Department of Interior on how--what they were considering important, what procedures they followed, et cetera.

MR. LUOMA: Does this question of easements finally get to the issue of navigability in some way?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LUOMA: How?

MS. TAYLOR: Mr. Tileston testified on direct that until the last several months they--they'd had a task force approach to the determination of navigability, that the leader of this task force was a member of his staff, and that this task force was concerned with navigability and easements. It's certainly a proper question to ask Mr. Tileston whether this task force wasn't, in fact, primarily concerned with the identification of easements, since that was a hot topic in those days.

MR. LUOMA: Alright. Go ahead and ask that question.

- Q (By Ms. Taylor) Alright. Weren't--weren't they

 primarily concerned with the identification of easements?

 Wasn't this--
- A Yes.
- Q --what all the controversy was about?

1 Α Yes. 2 As a matter of fact, there was a lawsuit in Federal Q 3 Court over the general subject of the Secretary of the 4 Interior's easement guidelines and--5 Whether--Α 6 0 --whether they were proper? 7 Whether there was at the point this went through, I 8 don't know. But if it wasn't, it's moot, because it 9 came shortly thereafter. It was not --10 Q But it--but it illustrated the who--the--the 11 sensitivity of the easement area? 12 Um-hm. Α 13 Now, as a matter of procedure, a formal notice Q 14 of proposed easements was sent out to various parties 15 and agencies concerned, before a decision for interim 16 conveyance would be issued, is that correct, as a matter 17 of procedure? 18 That sounds like it would be. Α 19 Alright. And then do the agencies and the regional Q 20 corporation or the affected parties have a opportunity 21to comment on the easements? 22 I would think so. But, again, as I testified ealier, 23 I'd have to sit down and look at the regulations and 24 see--25

0

Okay.

- A --whether this was or was not. I'd have to refresh my memory and look at the files, as to what actually happened.

 And I just don't recall, because I came prepared to talk about--
- Q Alright.
- A --what was the water depth and not who did what to whom by what piece of paper.
- Q But the easement task force obviously dealt with easements primarily?
- A And navigability.
- Q Was navigability an afterthought?
- A I don't think so.
- Q But you--
 - I was there. I know that it was titled easement and navigability. And that there--among the guidelines and the instructions that were given to the few people who did the work, navigability was a factor that they were to consider. It was a factor that they were to address. I can not tell you specifically what was done in accord with those procedures on this application. I can, if I refresh my memory by looking at the files. Those files, I believe, are in Anchorage right now. But I can provide it to you later, but I can not provide you did so and so write such and such, et cetera.

1 Q Alright. 2 I was under the impression we were talking about what Α 3 were the physical characteristics of the river, was it 4 used, and as a result, I just didn't--you know, I didn't 5 bother to look up that sort of thing. 6 Q Alright. 7 Cause I thought we were talking about the river. Α 8 Now . . . Did you ever visit the Nation River as part Q 9 of your official capacity with BLM prior to 1978? 10 No. Α 11 So this--this summer, June 1978 and August 1978, Q 12 trips, that's two trips, right, to the Nation River--13 Correct. Α 14 --are your--are your first official visits with BLM? Q 15 Right. Α 16 To the Nation River. Do you know if any field studies Q 17 were made as to navigability of the Nation River, within 18 the selection area, prior to this summer -- this last 19 summer? 20 May I ask what you mean by studies? You mean, did any-Α 21 body go out and direct measurements be taken? 22 Right. Q

Not to my knowledge.

23

24

25

Α

Q

Well, when you decided that this last summer's trip to

these two rivers was necessary, did you go back to look

and see if BLM had collected any previous --1 2 I talked to Geological--A 3 0 --data? 4 --Survey, because the pieces of information that we Α 5 wanted dealt with depth, it dealt flow, it dealt with 6 how regularly or how predictable certain water levels 7 could occur, and there was -- that type of information 8 did not exist anyplace in the State. 9 Q Okay. Thank you. So, therefore, it was necessary for 10 BLM to go out this summer to collect that sort of informa-11 tion on depth, flow . 12 Α When--13 -- the physical characteristics--Q 14 When the --Α 15 -- of the river? Q 16 --observations that had previously been made on navigability Α 17 which related to shallow bars, which related to log jams 18 were, in turn, challenged and said, "hey, that's all wrong, 19 we can get a boat up". 20 Well--Q 21 Alright. So then we had to go out and say, "nobody's Α 22 going to believe me, unless I've got--you know, I've 23 gone out and measured it". And that's what we did. 24 Q Okay. When you talk about observations as to navigability 25 that were previously made--

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

²⁴ 25 A I couldn't tell you that. I know, as far as the Kandik is concerned. One of the observations as I previously

Yeah.

Α

Q

is concerned. One of the observations, as I previously testified, were the field notes dated September 6--9, 1972, signed by me. And it was in the file. And as I indicated previously, it had been used and was part of, although I was not directly part of, when they did the Kandik. I don't know what was done, I was not there,

--where are these observations contained?

I was not consulted personally on the Nation, because

I had no prior knowledge.

Q Alright. Your--your field trip on the--on the Kandik, in 1972--

A Um-hm.

Q --then is it your testimony that that was used by BLM in making a formal determination--

A It was--

Q --of non-navigability?

A --considered, as were everything else.

Q What other reports--

I don't know, I wasn't there. Characteristically what happens in this sort of thing is--alright, what were the observations, what do you know about the area, have you been there, this sort of thing. And I don't know what precisely happened on this.

L	Q	Okay.
2	۸	And I've said that several times.
3	Q	But you were with BLM in 1975 as Chief of Division of
4		Resources?
5	A	Yes, and easements was one of about eighteen different
6		responsibilities
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	and professionals which came reporting to me.
9	Q	And you test
10	A	I did not get personally engaged, as an example, in
11	·	all mineral patent applications.
12	Q	But as far as a formal determination of non-navigability
13		that wasn't made until the decision for interim conveyance,
14	·	isn't that correct?
15	A	The State Director is the only one that can make the
16		determination.
17	Q	The State Director of BLM?
18	A	Right.
19	Q	Do you have any documentation that he ever made such a
20		determination?
21	A	I presume his signature on the DIC is such.
22	Q	Okay. So, it's
23	A	But, Iyou know, what else is there, I don't know.
24	Q	But it's the DIC then that is the formal

It's the official--it's the formal notification.

- Q Okay. Alright.
- A Now, and--now, your earlier question was, has anybody received it. I assume you received the DIC.
- Q And you were with BLM when the DIC was handed down, in December 5th of 1975?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. But you don't know what input was used to make that decision in the--in the DIC?
- A Personally I do not.
- Alright. (Pause) When you made the decision to visit the Kandik and the Nation Rivers this last summer, in August of—the visit in August 1978, why did you decide to make this trip during the period of the lowest water level on the rivers?
- A Because we'd gotten the period when it was already high.

 And as I said earlier, we wanted to get and present

 both sides of the case in accurate measurements.
- Q Okay. But if I understand you correctly, you personally didn't make any water measurements during the period of high water? You weren't on the river--
- A No, in terms of actually measuring. In terms of did I make observations, yes. And I showed you several slides on observations on difference of water level, based on vegetation.
- Q Okay. And you didn't make any measurements personally

1 on the Kandik River, during August of 1978? 2 Α No. 3 Alright. Q 4 Α Wait a minute. Say again. 5 You didn't make any--during--in the selection area--Q 6 Α Did I make any personal--7 --on the Kandik River--Q 8 Α --measurements, the answer is yes, as I said previously. 9 I waded back and forth and I pointed out on the slides 10 where I had waded back and forth, in the selection area, 11 and pointed out in the camp area how deep it was, where 12 the bar was, how high it was on my body, how much 13 difficulty I had, and how'd the bar -- so I did take measure-14 Did I take a rod and say, "it is exactly three 15 point four feet", no. Did I measure it, yes. I waded the 16 entire stream. 17 Q Okay. Now--18 I did not bother to take physical measurements, because Α 19 we've got physical measurements coming. 20 Alright. Q 21 Through Mr. Childers. 22 But you really didn't go into the same detail of Q 23 investigation during the high water period that you 24 went into during the low water period on these rivers? 25 Α I had been down the Kandik, as I--remember I testified

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

earlier, in September of '72, by canoe on a high stage. I showed you a slide of a moose and how high up the river was in September of 1972. So I had previously seen, personally, the entire river. At that time I did not know that there was a selection, so I can not testify that I took measurements as such. At that time I was looking at boating characteristics. I was looking at hazardous to navigation. I was looking at access. I was looking at land uses. And those were the things which I incorporated in my field report that I previously indicated. That was what was--they're field notes, not recommendations. Field notes, that were provided Doyon, provided the State, and were considered by the task force. And they were observations.

- Q But of the--let's take the Nation, get back to the Nation River. The--
- A Okay.
- Q --in--in August of '78. You took numerous measurements-
- A Because I was there first.
- Q -- of the Nation River.
- A Because I was there first.
- Q Mile after mile after mile.
- A I took eight out of thirty some miles.
- Q And numerous slides of the Nation River.
- A Right. I have numerous slides on the Kandik also. Would

you like me to, you know, get the rest of the sandbars?
But you--

- A I tried to select them out, but I'll get them.
- Q But these slides and measurements were all taken during a period of low water on the Nation River, the August slides. This is a--this is a period of generally low water on the river.
- A Alright. Now, are--are you getting at--well, let me put it this way, the answer is, yes, they were. Do I have any basis to assume or presume what high water or higher water levels conditions might be and the answer is, yes. I've been down the river during high water, in relation to the Kandik. That was part of my decision that a good measurement upstream, and I'll defer to Mr. Childers to explain that, was sufficient and that backing it with--because, again, what we're talking about is the Kandik and the Nation, can you get a boat to the selection area.
- Q Okay. Let's talk about your August '78 investigations, you know, as--as a lump--
- A Right.
- Q --as an entity. BLM never determined that it was necessary to do a similar investigation during a period of high water, is that--is that correct?
- A I'm not sure what--what-what you asked.

water depth during the period of high water?

a log jam. Do you recall that?

- A No, I do not.
- Q If you had made such a statement, did you mean to say that--that the water flow was obstructed? In other words, did you ever come to a point where the water was completely blocked on the river?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright. If the water flow's completely blocked on the river, where does the water go? Why does the water keep-
- A It goes through it. That's why sweepers are so dangerous, is the water--
- Q Alright. When you say--
- A --actually flows through it, but you can not get a boatin other words, you've got trees that are like this,
 though it's not a dam. The water literally flows through
 the area and you can't get over it, you can't get under
 it. You can not get around it, because generally you've
 got high banks when you get into that type of condition.
- Q Alright. The particular log jam that you were talking about was on the Kandik and it was in the vicinity of-of thirteen, but it--it's a typical--
- A I don't recall--
- Q --I think what you testified is a typical--
- A --saying that it blocked the entire channel, but--
- Q Alright. Isn't what you meant that -- that the obstruction

1 may have extended across the entire width of a channel? 2 Α May we return to slide thirteen and see what I meant? 3 I--I really dont' know. 4 Q Thirteen is the cabin. 5 Α And since you're getting specific, I'd like to look at 6 the slide, if I could. This is slide which, now? 7 MS. NEVILLE: It might be eighteen. 8 MS. HIGGINS: It might be eighteen. 9 MS. NEVILLE: And it might be nineteen. 10 On the--on which one? Α 11 MS. NEVILLE: The Kandik. 12 Q The Kandik. 13 MR. ALLĖN: How do you spin--14 Push the select button there and Α Turn--turn it on. 15 then rotate it to eighteen. All the way up. 16 I don't believe I commented in that slide that the log 17 jam went all the way across the river. If that's the 18 slide we're referring to. (Pause) Is that the slide 19 we're referring to? 20 I don't--I don't know which slide it was, but I do know Q 21 that you made the statement that at one point--22 You made the statement and this is MR. LUOMA: 23 not the slide. 24 -- the channel was completely blocked by trees. Q 25 I'm trying to clarify is what you meant by completely

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0.	
-1	.1	
1	2	
1	.3	
1	.4	
1	.5	
1	6	
1		
1	.8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	-
2	2	
2	23	
2	24	
2	5	
		-

blocked. I mean, it would seem to stand that if the water's flowing over something, the channel is not completely blocked. Are you making a distinction between the obstruction going from bank to bank, is that—is that what you referred to?

A Well, again, I'm--I'm hazy. And I'm trying to give you a precise answer, so if I may go to the slide, maybe we could clarify the issue.

MR. LUOMA: Off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

MS. TAYLOR: What slide is this?

MS. NEVILLE: Sixteen.

- Q (By Ms. Taylor) Okay. We're looking at slide-MS. NEVILLE: Sixteen.
- Q --sixteen of Exhibit B-Thirty-three. Now, what does this slide show?
- A This slide shows a--the Kandik River, flowing from the right, down to the center and then out of the picture, to the left. I previously testified as to where it is, so I'm not going to worry about--
- Q Okay. Right.
- A There's been discussion about whether the channel is or is not blocked. Coming down through this channel the cutbank gives you the deepest water. This is very shallow

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water here, you can see the water going across. into the lower quadrant, the lower third of the river, at its widest point, there are one tree coming completely across and submerged. And you can see the white water coming off of where that tree is actually going into the Immediately adjacent to it are the water, right here. upturned roots. And you can see, again, the tree stubs coming off this direction, you can see another tree here you can see another tree here, one set of roots, another set of roots, and then immediately over here, from this tree, is the other one. So, as far as this part of the channel is concerned, it's completely obstructed in terms of upstream movement. You would have to get out of the boat and go around it. You would likewise have to get out of the boat coming around through this area. Okay. But it isn't completely blocked in terms of flow

Q Okay. But it isn't completely blocked in terms of flow of water?

MR. LUOMA: It's not a dam?

A It's not a dam.

MR. LUOMA: Okay. You've made your point.

- Q Okay. That's all I'm trying to get at. Now, you didn't find any of these type of log jams in the selection area?
- A No.
- Q Okay. And are these log jams a temporal phenomenon on some sense? In other words--

A No.

- Q --do they--do they come and go? They never change?
- A Do they ever change, certainly. They change ever high water. Is there always a log jam at this point, the answer's yes. Is it always that big, no. Because you're working on a cutbank and characteristically you're going to get log jams on that bank, until the channel changes.
- Q What about other logs in the river?
- A What about them?
- Q Are they permanent?
- A (Pause) What do you mean by permanent? I guess.
- Q Does--does higher water, in certain positions on the river, affect what logs are on the river, affect the log jams?
- A Yes, it would. But let me qualify this--
- Q Okay.
- A By one--
- Q Just answer--alright, you can--you can explain your answer.
- A May--may I? Alright. You get your highest water in your Interior rivers just at breakup. Or on very heavy, but infrequent, rain storms in the summer. On the yearly breakup, predictable high water, which is what we're talking about, you'll recall in one of the slides at, I believe it was the mouth of Ettrain Creek, on the . .

20

21

22

23

24

25

say it--it is, yes, you do have high water. Does it
affect it, and the answer to that is not always, because
when you get the highest water, predictably, all of
your banks are covered in with ice lenses several feet,
just similar to what you saw on that one slide.

Okay. But sometimes it does affect it, the high water?

A Sometimes.

stay there for very long periods of time.

Q Okay. I wonder if we could turn the slide off.

MR. LUOMA: Would this be an appropriate time to break for lunch, Miss Taylor?

 ${\tt MS.}$ TAYLOR: Yes, I think it would.

Nation River, on June 20th, on the ground, and I'm--I'm--

I'm going by memory at this point, looking across Ettrain

you saw a slab of ice. On your high water, in the spring

time, these gravel bars are full ice. Your trees that

are sitting there, even though the water may be running

around, are firmly anchored by that armor of ice to the

gravel bar. So that unless you get an exceptionally

heavy rain storm in the summer, those trees tend to

words, until you get an unusually -- and that's why I

MR. LUOMA: Alright. Let's recess until one-

thirty.

12:20 (OFF THE RECORD)

(LUNCH RECESS -- CHANGE OF TAPE)

1	1:30	(ON THE RECORD)
2	Q	(By Ms. Taylor) Mr. Tileston, if we could talk a minute
3		about the Nation River. Now, it's my understanding from
4		your testimony that as far as your measurements of the
5		Nation River in August of 1978, you were attempting to
6		measure the lowthe shallowest point of a shallow point
7		on the river?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Okay. Did you confine your measurements, the ones that
10		you took, to places where there were gravel bars or
11		other obstructions?
12	А	With the exception of where I was wading, yes.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	Now, you're taagain, I'm answering that question in
15	·	the light of physical measurements
16	Q	Right.
17	A	and I'm presuming that's the way you're asking
18	Q	Right, um-hm.
19	A	the question.
20	Q	We're talking about
21	A	Alright.
22	Q	the physical measurements. Alright. It wasit's
23		my understanding that what you were trying to get at
24		with your physical measurements was aa measure of

effective depth, as you characterized it, is--is that

correct?

- A How much water was available to float a boat, yes.
- Q Alright. But you weren't concerned with measuring water in the--in the deep stretches of the Nation River? You were--you were concerned with measuring water in the places of the river where it--where the depth is--is shallower, is that fair?
- A I--I'm not sure it is, because you're suggesting that
 we were ignoring, you know, other aspects of the river
 and I'm sure I--
- Q No, I don't mean to sug--
- A --pointed out depths that were--
- Q No.
- A --well beyond--
- Q No.
- A -- you know, six feet or four feet or this sort of thing.
- Q I don't--I don't mean to suggest, you know, that you were ignoring something. I'm just trying to get an understanding of what your survey method--
- A Our survey method was--
- Q --was.
- A --basically as if you were going to take a boat upstream or downstream, what would be impediments and how severe were the im--impediments.
- Q So you were measuring impediments on the stream?

1	Α .	Yes.
2	Q	Measuring the water depth at places where there were
3		impediments? Admittedly not every impediment.
4	A	Right.
5	Q	Youyou couldn't have done that, but youyou picked
6		impediments as the places to measure effective depth?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	On the Nation anor, for that matter, on the Kandik
9		River, also. Your
10	A	Right.
11	Q	My understanding is that youryour technique doesn't
12		differ between the Kandik and the Nation, it's the same-
13	A	That's correct.
14	Q	methodology? Okay. But these measurement that you
15	,	have of thethe effective depth, to use your phrase,
16		at any given impediment on the river were only made at
17		at one point in time, i.e., August 4th and 5th, 1978?
18	A	That would be correct.
19	Q	Okay. In other words, you have no comparative measurement
20		of those particular points of the river in another month-
21	A	No, that is not
22	Q	of a year?
23	A	correct.
24	Q	Okay.

And this is why I was saying earlier the physical measure-

Α

Q

25

Yes.

Alright.

1 And the answer is no. Α 2 Q Thank you. So we don't have any standard for 3 comparison purposes of a comparable depth measurement 4 in inches, on these same points of the river, at another 5 time of the year, isn't that correct? 6 Α For most of them that's correct. 7 Q Alright. Now, as far as the Nation River's concerned, 8 and confining yourself to the selection area, did you 9 make any observations as to the -- the average width of 10 the river in that area? 11 Α As such, I'm sure I did. I can't recall them offhand. 12 I know that I took measurements on the bars and I 13 think I gave those. And they're probably close to 14 typical. 15 Q I--I don't have any notes of--of width areas. 16 The rod stations give a width for the gravel bar at that Α 17 Now, those gravel bars are somewhat diagonal 18 across, so the width would be slightly less. 19 Q Okay. 20 And I think in others--Α 21 So you don't recall what the width is? Q

The average width of the river--

The average width--

--in the selection area.

22

23

24

25

Α

Q

Α

0

·I --

```
Is--is that what you want to know--
 2
       Q
              Um-hm.
 3
              --is what is the average width?
        Α
 4
       Q
              In the selection area.
 5
              In the selection area. (Pause) On the Nation River?
       Α
 6
       0
              On the Nation River.
 7
       Α
              (Pause) It probably varies between fifty and a hundred
8
              feet. And probably seventy-five would be fairly
9
              accurate, if you wanted to characterize the whole thing.
10
              It narrows down and it spreads out--
11
       Q
              Okay.
12
       Α
              --in a couple of places. And I'm talking about the
13
              thread of the water as it was.
14
       Q
              As it was--
15
       Α
              August--
16.
       Q
              --in August--
17
       Α
              Right.
18
       Q
              --of 1978?
19
       Α
              Right.
20
       Q
              And that was the width of the water itself--
21
       Α
             Well, we had--
22
       Q
              --not the gravel bars?
23
              --other measurements that were taken that G.S. will
       Α
24
              testify to as to the -- what water width and water depth
25
              that were taken in June.
```

Λ

Q But the average width would be maybe seventy-five 1 feet? 2 3 Α In the selection area, I--Somewhere. 0 4 5 Α That's, I think, is a fair representation. Okay. Now--6 Q For that period. 7 Α Q --when you testified concerning the Nation that periods 8 9 of--of high water wouldn't last more than four or five days, did you mean periods of high water resulting from 10 11 summer thunder storms or did you mean the period of 12 high water during spring run off? 13 Because run off or spring is you've Α Thunder storms. 14 got ice floating on it. 15 You--you weren't characterizing the -- the normal Q 16 high water period on the river as four or five days? 17 Α I would prefer to let the Geological Survey--I would 18 say from my--19 Q Okay. 20 --own opinion--and they will give it, and that's what Α 21 you're asking me, is it probably lasts four to five 22 days. 23 Q Did you have any--any--well, how long were you on the

Nation River in--in August of '78?

We were there June 19 through 22, three days.

24

25

Α

```
2
       Α
             The middle part.
3
             You testified concerning your camp site in the Nation
       Q
4
             River, that you tied the rafts up and--and during the
5
             night the water re--level rose in that area, that was
6
              an example of the fluctuation--
7
             Um-hm.
       Α
8
       Q
              -- of the river in that area. And this was within the
9
              selection area, as I understood it, is that correct?
10
              Your camp site.
11
       Α
              Not that camp site, no.
12
              That camp site wasn't, okay.
       Q
                                            Where was that camp--
13
              where was that camp site?
14
              Oh, that particular camp site--again, Nation River?
       Α
15
              (Pause) Was twenty-three miles above the mouth and
16
              eleven miles downstream from the selection area.
17
       Q
              Okay. Did you camp inside the selection area--
18
              We did not.
19
              --on the Nation? Okay. You--let's see, as I recall--
       Q
20
              That's--
       Α
21
              --you--you spent several hours--
       Q
22
       Α
              In August.
23
              -- there in August?
       Q
24
              The water washed us through in June.
       Α
25
              Okay.
       Q
```

Now, I understood--

1

Q

Okay.

A We did stop and take some measurements though.

Q Alright. While you were on the Nation in August did the water level ever drop--

- A No.
- Q --drastically?
- A No. And, again, as I said earlier, we took some repeat measurements two days apart.
- Q Okay.
- A It seemed to be stablized at a relatively even flow.

 And I think earlier--I'd like to clarify one thing.

 You've suggested that perhaps this was lowest flow.

 I don't believe that to really be the case, based on my knowledge of rivers in general in this part of Alaska Specifically based on many, many hours of field work.

 It is low, but I would say it's probably very typical of what you would expect to find in August.
- Q Alright. Did you compare--you--you didn't compare any statistics as to stream flow of the--of other rivers in the area that you're to know what the run off was, in general?
- A No.
- Q And there would be a fluctuation among rivers from year to year, depending on how heavy the spring run off is?
- A Now which are we talking about, spring run off--
- Q Any river.

1 --or rainfall? Α 2 Spring run off. Q 3 Spring run off should be about the same each year, because Α that's a function of snowfall. 4 5 Okay. And the snowfall doesn't vary? Q It doesn't vary that much. 6 Q Okay. 7 8 Yes, it varies from given year to year, but not that Α 9 much. 10 Q But you didn't--you don't--you didn't check any--any 11 reports to know as far as that area's concerned whether 12 this was documented to be a -- a low level year or a high 13 level year? 14 I think I previously testified there was no data on flow Α 15 0 Alright. But you-but you testified as to the things 16 you'd looked at--17 Yes, and there was no flow data on those either. Α 18 Q --comparing water level? Right. Okay. But it was--19 Α Now physical measurements. 20 Q --you felt it was pretty typical of a low level--of 21 a low--generally low time of the year, maybe not the 22 lowest time of the year--23 Α It was typical summer time flow. 24

Alright. And that is a low--August--early August is

a time of low water on the river?

Q

- As is July, as may be June, as may be September. You could find that water level that we found in August 3, 4, and 5--
- Q Okay.
- A --many times throughout the summer. The only time it would go up is after the water has dropped in the summer time or if you have a rain.
- Q Okay. Mr. Bown testified yesterday that the water level general tends to get lower over the summer. In other words, there's a trend downward. Do you think that's-do you agree with that statement?
- A In a broad simplistic answer, yes.
- Q Okay. Now . . . when you were on the--when you were testifying about--about your measurements on the Nation River you stated that the average person in one area had a--had a chance of getting swept off his feet, where the--where the water was knee deep, and that it was difficult to make stream crossings in high water, even--even with horses. As a matter of fact, when you were on the Nation River and you had to cross the stream. At least at one point you got in your rafts and floated on the river, got to the other bank, and made whatever necessary arrangements you had to make on the other side, isn't--isn't that correct? Wasn't that on the Nation River?

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

Q

24

- Α Yes. And I think I--
- You had to float across--0
- Α --need to clarify that, also. With the raft at the upstream area on the Kandik and on the Nation, and that was on a--I'll let Mr. Child--Childers testify, it was aircraft cable, which is very strong. can give you the exact tensile strength. That cable was parted twice as we were trying to take measurements as only the amount of water that was on the surface, the raft, it was flowing very swift. And this was-again, was part of the reasons that we decided it was not fruitful to get -- it wasn't safe when it's breaking aircraft cable like that, with nothing more than a raft pulling it (ph).
- Q Alright. Were there many points on the river that it was--that it would be difficult to ford the stream--to wade across the stream?
- At which water level? Α
- I--well, in low water level, in August--Q
- At low water level you could wade any of those gravel . A bars.
 - Well. I understood you to say that you were in the selection area on the Nation. And that there were areas even in the selection area where the water was knee deep that you would have difficulty getting across the stream.

```
1
              That's correct, but that wasn't at a gravel bar.
       Α
2
              Well, it was--I didn't confine my question to gravel
       Q
3
              bars.
4
       Α
              Alright.
                        That--but I--my answer was--could you--you
5
              asked, could I cross a gravel bar, the answer's yes.
6
              Could you cross anyplace--
 7
       Q
              No, I didn't ask--
8
       Α
              --no.
9
       Q
              --you that.
10
              Alright. Rephrase it.
       Α
-11
              Where you don't have a gravel bar--
       Q
12
       Α
              Would you have difficulty--
13
              --is it quite difficult to--to--
       Q
14
              --crossing?
       Α
15
       Q
              -- to cross these streams?
16
       Α
              In the selection area, on the Nation River, at which
17
              level?
18
              At low water level.
       Q
19
                       Something like we saw--
       Α
              At low.
20
       Q
              Um-hm.
21
              --for a typical summer?
       Α
22
              Yes.
       Q
23
              You could cross many places.
       Α
24
              But many places are very difficult to get across, even--
        Q
25
              Yes, because the currents--
        Α
```

1	Q	ateveneven at low water level?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Alright. Alright. Again, around the Nation River and
4		in the vinicity of the selection area you had some test:
5		mony about sometime access to the area by the ridgetops
6	A	Um-hm.
. 7	Q	You were talkingyou were talking generally about
8		overland access
9	A	Yes, but my specific
10	Q	in the area.
11	A	reference was a gentleman who lives on a mountain in
12		the Kandik.
13	Q	Okay. And that gentleman boated up to that particular
14		point.
15	A	Part way. And then if he had a distance to go, what he
16		did was move to the ridgetop andand walk the ridgetops
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	That was at least his experience that he related to
19		me, as of that point in time.
20	Q	Okay. You didn't mean to suggest that you could use
21		the ridgetops for any continuous sort of access along
22		the river?
23	A	If you were hiking and for recreation purposes. And I
24		was going through that country for hiking purposes.

In the summer time I would tend to the high country than

I would the low. Now that's my personal choice for recreation.

- Q Because the low cou--the low country presents really serious difficulties, according to your testimony--
- A Yes, and--
- Q -- the muskeg and--
- A --particularly mosquitoes.
- Q Alright. But the ridgetops don't follow the river area, obviously, along its whole length? In other--
- A They do a pretty good job.
- Q But the topography changes from area to area?
- A Not that seriously, if you'll look at the photographs you see that there is a general trend. And it's shown on the U.S.G.S. also, that there is—the ridgetops are trending in a northeast—southeast direction. And they tend to follow in the basins in—between the Tatonduk, the Kandik, the Nation, the Black. All tend to be rather uniform. They don't have very many sharp peaks, so that you can—if you want to—and again, I was qualifying it by saying for recreation, for backpacking.
- Q Okay. Okay. So--
- A People would tend to follow the ridgetops, because it's easier there than it is on the do--if you're going point to point.
- Q Okay. And if you had a reason to go from point to point?

		¥
1	A	Right.
2	Q	And as you stated, you're confining this to recreational
3		backpacking use?
4	A	Right.
5	Q	Okay. There are no roads in the selection area that
6		you observed, are there?
7	A	Not that I'm aware of. Andand again, I'm going to
8		I'll say definitely not as far as the riversagain,
9		I was looking at the rivers
10	Q	As far as
.11	A	and not in-between. I'm not aware if there's anything
12	Q	Right. As far as what you could see from the river?
13	A	As far as what I saw going through the area, but, again,
14		I'm going to say I was looking
15	Q	Okay.
16	A	specifically at the river.
17	Q	Well, if one were to look at a U.S.G.S. map of the area,
18		one wouldn't see anyany roads
19	A	Well, the reason I'm hesitant to say, you can look at
20		the U.S.G.S. map and it won't show the oil and gas
21		thing that was developed two years ago by Doyon.
22	Q	Um-hm.
23	A	So that's why I say, I don't know that there isn't a
24		road there. I don't think so, though.
25		

Q

that's within--that's not within the three townships

[
1		that we're specifically talking about?	
2	A	The three townships that you're specifically talking	
3	-	about was transferred. You have everything to that,	
4		free title and clear, subject to the finalother than	
5		the pieces of land that we're talking about.	
6	Q	Yes, but thethe oil and gas pipeline, or whatever you're	5
7		talking about, is not within the three townships that	
8		we're particularly concerned with here?	
9	A	I don't think so. But I'm not sure what you're getting	
10		at.	
11	Q	Okay. Iyou testified before that you didn't know where	
12		thewhere the exact seismic development	
13	A	I testified that I did not	
14	Q	was.	
15	, A	think there was any here. I knew there was stuff	
16		across from the Black River.	
17	Q	In another area, in another township	
18	A	Twenty some air miles away.	
19	Q	than what we're talking about? Okay. Now Okay	
20		You also testified, with regard to the Nation River, that	
21		when the water level was high it would require a relative	
22	That are	degree of competence to maneuver the river or to travel	
23	·	down the river. Does that also apply to going up the	
24		river, is that how you would characterize the skill	
25	· 	necessary?	

		ý ·
1	A	Well, if you're going up by poling, you'd better know
2		what you're doing.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	And I'd say, yes, relative degrees ofof knowing how
5		to run a river.
6	Q	Now, in your general characterizations of difficulties
7		for navigation on these rivers you were asked, you know
8		what a generalizedsummarized what some of the
9	·	difficulties are in negotiating these rivers. You made
10		the point at some time that you require a fair degree
11		of maneuverability to get around on these rivers. Now,
12		am I to understand that the more maneuverable your
13		craft is, the more easily you can go up or down the
14	. ^	Nation or the Kandik River?
15	A	As long as you're not adding size to maneuverability,
16.		yes. As you increase the length of your boat, no.
17	Q	Alright. Well, let'syour experience on the Nation
18		isis rafting down parts of it, as I understand.
19	A	On the Nation.
20	Q	On the Nation, during this August trip.
21	A	Right.
22	$Q \cdot \cdots$	The helicopter was taking you up to a certain point
23	•	and then you would raft
24	A	II feel that I can

--for--for a while.

25

Q

	i	
1	A .	very adequately feel what it would be for a canoe or
2	i	a nineteen foot, based on my experience elsewhere.
3	Q	Okay. But you don't have any personal experience on
4		the Nation
5	A	In a canoe or anything other than a raft?
6	Q	In anything
7	A	No.
8	Q	other than a raft?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Alright. So you wouldn't know, of your own personal
11		knowledge, how much youryour maneuverability would
12		be increased or decreased in another type of craft
13		on the areas of the Nation that you were rafting on?
14	A	(Pause) Would I know personally is not the same as
15		do I know.
16	Q.	Well, do you know personally? You wouldn't know
17		personally, would you?
18	A	Well, since I'd previously testified the only place
19		that I've been is in a raft, that's notthat's
20		correct.
21	Q	Alright.
22	A	But that is something different that what you're leading
23		to.
24	Q	In other words, what you're doing is making analogies
25		based on your general experience with other

1	A	On the Kandik.
2	Q	rivers?
3	A	On the Fortymile, on the Charley
4	Q	Okay.
5	. A	all in this immediate vicinity. Many times
6	Q .	Right.
7	A	over the period of '72 through '78, for various
8		purposes.
9	Q	But you are making analogies from other rivers, obvious
10		to the
11	A	Right.
12	Q	Nation River?
13	A	Right.
14	Q	Alright. I also understood you to testify as far as
15		the Nation River was concerned that thethe shallowest
16.	,	measurement you were able to obtainor youI wouldn't-
17		shouldn't say you were able to obtain. The shallowest
18		measurement you obtained on the Nation was some three-
19		tenths of a foot of effective depth, is that correct?
20	A	(Pause) Yes, that's correct.
21	Q	Andand how many times did youdid you obtain that
22		that measurements? How many times did you encounter
23		thatthat little water?
24	A	(Pause) I counted it once in selectionat stations
25		in the selection area, at rod station seven. And that

was the only time, on the Nation. And that was in the selection area, 36 Township, 8 North, Range 31 East.

- Q Okay. Now, going back to your testimony about obstacles on the river. Is it fair to state that—that there's a relationship between the maneuverability of your boat and the seriousness that any particular obstacle would present to you, as a boater?
- A Would you say that again? I'm not . .
- Q Okay. If--if your--if your boat's more maneuverable-if the maneuverability of your boat's increased, isn't
 it generally true that--that the seriousness of the
 obstacle is decreased? In other words, if you're
 in a boat that you can't maneuver, aren't you at the
 mercy of--of eddies or currents or whatever, to a
 certain extent?
- A Alright. To--to--to the--to the last part, the answer's yes. And to the other part, not necessarily, because just the fact that you can maneuver a boat doesn't mean that you're in a safe condition.
- Q Alright. But the fact that you can maneuver the boat obviously changes the complexion of the obstacles, does it not?
- A Not necessarily, because then what you--happens is that you tend to get into areas where if something goes wrong it's like skiing. And you can go down the beginner's

R & R COURT REPORTERS

- 1		
1		slope and be challenged for a beginner's slope, but a
2		beginner has no business on a expert slope. It's the
3		same way with water. The fact that your boat is or
4		is not maneuverable is not nearly as important as the
5		skill of the operator.
6	Q	Well, these particular rivers aren't classified as
7		expert slopes, to use your analogy, are they?
8	A	If I was using high water and going upstream with a
9		ton of supplies, I certainly would.
10	Q	But as a matter of fact, when you came down the Kandik
11		River in 1972, you classified it as a class one or
12		two on the
13	A	Right.
14	Q	international
15	A	On the international
16.	Q	difficulty rating?
17	A	for a canoe, not a ton of supplies with a motor.
18	Q	Well, we're not talk
19	A	And that'sI
20	Q	Okay. Let'slet's make it clear that we're not talking
21	· <u>-</u> :	about
22	A	Okay.
23	Q	whether your boat's loaded, what you're carrying, where
24		you're going.
25	A	The international rating that you're talking about for

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	}
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16.	
17	
18	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	-
25	

which I'm very familiar, applies only to recreational boats. It does not apply to steamboats.

- Q But we're not talking about steamboats. Isn't it obvious that—that as the maneuverability of your boat increases, your freedom to go where you want to go on the river also increases?
- A No. It's a function of several things. One is the skill of the individual who's operating. It's also the size of the boat.

MR. LUOMA: Let's assume that two operators have equal skill, they have two different kind of boats. One is more maneuverable than the other. Now, does the impediment to navigation become a lesser thing to the man who has the more maneuverable boat?

- A If maneuev--if being able to maneuver's the criteria, yes. It would be easier for the person who has comparable equipment and greater skill to go upstream.
- Thank you. Now, as--as far as the--the Kandik River is concerned, do you have in your summary a reference to the lowest effective depth that you found in your measurements of the Kandik?
- A Yes, I do. It's also three-tenths of a foot. It's at rod station one, it's on a gravel bar, which is a quarter of a mile long and--
- Q And you--

- 1	,	
1	A	thirty feet wide.
2	Q	And you encountered that one time, on the Kandik River,
3		in your measurements?
4	A	Excuse me. I encountered it twice.
5	Q	Two times.
6	A	I was at rod station two.
7	Q	Alright. But that was not within the selection area?
8	A	Neither of these were.
9	Q	Alright.
10	A	You ask if the measurements did I find (sic). Both
11	·	measurements were three-tenths.
12	Q	How many recreational users did you observe on the Nation
13		River during August of 1978?
14	A	None.
15	, Q	Okay. What about June of 1978?
16	Α,,	Three. Three boat. Three canoes.
17	Q	And that was within what time period, I
18	A	It was August ait waswe saw the same group twice
19		or three times, going up and down. They were on the
20	·	Kandik, in-between our camp and the fuel supply.
21	Q	Okay. I
22	Α	And I saw them several times.
23	Q	We've gottenwe've gotten confused. Let's go back to
24	•	the Nation River.
25	A	None.

- 1		
1	Q	None. In August or June?
2	A	Right.
3	Q	Okay. And the Kandik River?
4	A	Three, August.
5	Q	In August.
6	A	All canoes, lightly loaded. I showed you pictures of
7		two of them.
8	Q	Right. Okay. And that was within a two day period?
9	A	Well, we saw the same people two different days. We
10		were flying up and down. In other words, I had repeated
11		observations.
12	Q	Okay. But you saw three different parties
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	on the Kandik?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q,	What about in June of
17	A	None.
18	Q	1978?
19	A	June of '78. None.
20	Q	And in your hunting trip of 1975 up the Kandik how
21		many parties did you see on the river?
22	A	Alright. Let's see, there was one party, and I'm going
23	·	to say party, because I don't know how many people were
24		there. They was camped at the cabin at the slough immed
25		ately upstream from the mouth. There's a cabin right

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there. There was another group at Sarge Waller's (ph)
cabin. And I don't know whether it was Sarge Waller.
Which is in the same general area, on the mouth, is
the lower half-mile. At three mile camp, which is
at that point in time, there was a major log jam,
there were one, two, three river boats. That's about
three and a half miles upstream. I encountered one
of those riverboats later, during the time I was higher
up, that went by me and that was below Johnson Gorge.
I do not know how he went. It was one of those. When
I came back down one of those river boats had been
turned over on the bank and they were preparing a hole
where the whole bottom had been bashed in. I saw
now that's recreation. And myself would be another
one

- Q Would you--
- A In that same period Fred, who has the cabin at three mile, was also going out by canoe, down the mouth to run his fish camp.
- Q Okay. Would you characterize the recreational use on the Kandik as heavy?
- A No.
- Q How would you characterize it?
- A Very light.
- Q How does BLM officially characterize it?

		l	
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
1	0.		
1	1		
1	2		
1	.3		
1	.4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1 1	8		
2	20		
2	1		

23

24

25

A	I don't think BLM has official characterized it at all
	How's BOR classify it, I don't know. What did I do
	when I was with BOR, I'm not really sure. But I would
	of called it light, if I called it anything. I'm not
	sure anybody asked me.

- Q You would disagree with the reservation of an easement then, in this selection area, along the stream bed--
- A Not necessarily--
- Q --of the Kandik?
- A No, because the criteria for easements did not have to do with use, they have to do with access. And since this particular selection cuts off a piece of public land, and let's assume that for some reason Doyon chose to select nothing else, in other words, of--let all the rest of the selections go, except these, we would still request an easement, in order to get upstream or downstream for this. And it--it's a shoreline easement, which was a class, not use.
- Q Are you aware--
- A That's the under guidelines.
- Q Are you--
- A ... The other--
- Q --are you aware that in the decision for interim
 conveyance in this selection area that BLM reserved
 a--an easement along the bed of the Kandik River, because

1		of heavy recreational use by boat?
2	A	Nope.
3		MS. TAYLOR: Okay. I have no further question
4		MR. LUOMA: Miss Higgins.
5	BY MS	. HIGGINS:
6	Q	Yesterday we saw ninety-five, I think ninety-five, slide
7		of the Nation River. How many of those were taken durin
8		August 3rd through 5th, 1978?
9	A	Most of them.
10	Q	All but ten, fifteen
11	A	II
12	Q	would you guess?
13	A	without counting, I'd just say most of them.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	Now, that's both of them, right? The Kandik-Nation.
16	Q,	Well, I'm referring toonly to the Nation now.
17	A	Okay.
18	Q	Out of the ninety-five slides we saw on the Nation
19	-	all but perhaps fifteen were taken during August 3rd
20		to 5th, 1978?
21	A	The Nation?
22	Q see	The Nation.
23	A	I don't think that's correct. I think I did have
24		quite a sprinkling of ones in June, particularly around
25		Hard Luck showing the differences in water level. Now,

how many in total--but on the Nation there was quite a few showing high water. And then the counter part.

Now, remember at Hard Luck I even had some aerials of '72, August--August '78, and June '78. Now, how many I don't know.

Q Well, I'm not--I thought maybe you would have some.

Well, I'm not--I thought maybe you would have some.

I'm not sure my notes are entirely--entirely accurate,
but I didn't come up with more than--than fifteen.

And some of those were--were shots of cabins, not really showing the--the water level. But if--at any--in any event, the great majority were taken August--during early August '78. And it's been your testimony that the river was low at that time, is--

A It was--

Q --that right?

It is what I would consider typical for that type of year. It was a little bit lower than it had been previously, but it is not below what I would have expected at that time of year. When we saw it in June it was much higher than I had expected to see for that time of year.

Q Well, is it your testimony then that the water level depicted in the slides we saw taken August 3rd through 5th of the Nation was typical of water we'd find throughout most of the summer?

	ł.	
1	A .	Throughout most of the summer, subject to having a
2		rain storm. Now this again is after break-up is gone.
3		You've got that first flush with the snow going off.
4		You would tend to have that type of water characteris-
5		tically more often, unless you have a rain. The basin's
6		too small. You have no major springs
7	Q	Are you basing this
8	A	to take it all very quickly (ph).
9	Q	thisare you basing this testimony onon general
10		knowledge and experience ofof Interior Alaska rivers
11		or on something else?
12	A	Yes. Interior rivers experience specifically in this
13		general area inin the connection with all of the work
14	·	that was done on the Fortymile, the Charley, the Yukon,
15		the Kandik. I have not done too much work on the Nation
16		I've been across the area and I think I've indicated
17		when, where, and for what purpose.
18	Q	Would you be surprised ifif the river were actually
19		at its lowest stage
20	A	I would be
21	Q	during the summer season
22	Α	surprised. Because I don't think anyone knows what th
23		lowest stage is, because for all I know onlythere's
24		only been two sets of measurements taken.
25		Olympy

Okay.

Q

- 1		
1	Α .	So I don't thinkyou know, all we know at this point
2		is two points and how that, in turn, fits typical
3		curve. So I would be very surprised if this is the
4		lowest. In the same way that I would be very surprised
5		if the June one was in fact the highest.
6	Q	Flow measurements were taken in June and August. Rod
7		measurements were only taken in August.
8	A	Right.
9	Q	Can you explain, again, why rod measurements were not
10		also taken in June?
11		MR. LUOMA: Do we really have to go through
12	that	again? Hashas he not been asked and answered that
13	sever	al times?
14		MS. HIGGINS: Not to my satisfaction, Your
15	Honor	•
16.	,	MR. LUOMA: Alright. Go ahead. Let's have
17	one m	ore try at it.
18	A	It's not safe to take it when the water's high. You'd
19		have to wade out across the bar.
20	Q	Okay. How many slides did you take in total of the
21		Na tion River duringduring Augustearly August, 1978
22		on youryour measurement trip?
23	A	Say again.
24	Q	How many slides in total did you take? How manywe
25		saw ninety-five, how many did you select among to show-

1		show us those ninety-five?
2	A	Several hundred. I took(indiscerniblesimultaneous
3		conversation)
4	Q	Why did you chose the ones that we saw?
5	A	Most of them were duplicate shots of the same area.
6		Some of them were like the <u>Betts</u> (ph) trip, which was
7		written up in the Alaska Magazine. We had access to
8		those. I looked at them, I could not identify exactly
9		where they were. You know, this sort of thing. Some
10	:	were bad exposures. As I indicated, I had camera
11		malfunction, another thing.
12	Q	In deciding where to take your rod measurements you
13		looked for shallow water, that is gravel bar obstruction
14		didn't you?
15	A	Yes.
16.	Q.	And when these rod measurements were taken, you were
17		flying by helicopter by measurement point to measurement
18		point along the rivers, you were not travelling in a
19		boat on the river?
20	A	That's correct.
21	Q	Okay. And you didn't actually attempt to navigate the
22	* (**)	Nation during the early August time when these measure-
23		ments were taken?
24	A	I wouldn't have attempted to.
25	Q	Pardon me? I

	1	"
1	A .	I would not have attempted to, even
2	Q	But you did not
3	A	if I
4	Q	you did not?
5	A	No, I said I would not have.
6	Q	That wasn't my question.
7	A	I did not.
8	Q	Okay. So all of your comments regarding the possibility
9		or difficulty of getting a boat across bars, various
10	·	shallow places we saw in these pictures, was really
11		your prediction or supposition based on previous
12	.'	experience in these and other rivers, is that right?
13	A	Say again.
14		MR. LUOMA: You didn't actually pull a boat
15	over	those bars, did you?
16	Α.	I had pulled a boboat over some of the bars in
17	·	September, but not when I took the measurements in
18		August.
19	Q	Alright. Okay.
20	Α .	September of '72.
21	Q	Not in the Nation River? We'reI'm talking about the
22	* :* *	Nation.
23	A	On the Kandik I had. Now, again
24	Q	Okay. II'm sorry.
25	٨	Just a minute

Just a minute.

Α

		·	٠
1	Q	I thought I'd made it clear I'm talking about the	
2		Nation.	
3	A	Well, I thought I'd made it clear that I'd only been	
4		down the Nation once previously before. That was in	
5		June and it was by raft and that I took no measurements.	
6	Q	Okay. You your testimony in connection with	
7		some of these slides was not limited to difficulty in	
8		navigating those spots during shallow water, which we	
9		were viewing, but you added comments about hazards,	
10		difficulty during high water, did you not?	
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Okay. Youyou actually navigated the Nation River during	ιg
13		late June, 1978, correct?	- ,.
14	A	On high water.	
15	. Q	Okay. Whatfrom which point to which point, if you	
16		know?	
17	A	From a quarter of a mile downstream from Jungle Creek,	
18		which is above the selection area, through the selection	
19		area to Hard Luck Creek. And we camped in-between	
20		we camped at Hardatat Jungle Creek, that vicinity,	
21		below the area, and at Hard Luck. We had a helicopter	
22	1 pts	access only at the upstream and downstream point.	
23	Q	You were in rafts, is that right?	
24	^	That's correct Slide I think the accordalide should	

on the series showed the actual people and the vessels.

That's correct.

25

Slide--I think the second slide showed-

İ		
1	Q	How maneuverable are rafts, as compared with canoes?
2	A	Canoes are considerably more maneuverable.
3	Q	Were the menumbers of youryour party experienced
4		in river travel?
5	A	I think I had one novice.
6	Q	Did you successfully navigate on that trip, the Nation
7		River, between Jungle and Hard Luck Creeks, without
8		major mishap?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Alright.
11	A	I also switched parties, too.
12	Q	You answered my question at any rate. I'd like to
13		particularly ask you about the places in the river
14		represented by slides sixty-nine, seventy-three, eighty
15		and eighty-seven.
16	\mathbf{A}_{\perp}	This is on which one?
17	Q	The Nation.
18	A	The Nation.
19		MR. ALLEN: Should we flash those slides up?
20		MS. NEVILLE: I think this is Kandik.
21		MR. ALLEN: Miss Higgins, do you need those
22	those	slides back up?
23	A	I'm going to need them, because II'm not sure where
24		we're going.
25	Q	Can you look at notes and tell where those were? I

1		mean, I'm not certain that they were all
2	А	Oh. Oh.
3	Q	within the area
4	A	Oh, alright.
5	Q	that I think they are.
6	Ä	Ifif your question isis where are they, because
7	Q	It might be helpful if we saw them briefly, too.
8	A	Alright. Whichwhich
9	Q	Sixty-nine, seventy-three, eighty, and eighty-seven.
10	A	Now, this is on the Nation?
11	Q	On the Nation.
12		MR. LUOMA: It better be, the numbers are
13	too b	ig.
14	A	Sixty-nine iswithout looking at the slide, is at a
15		point between twenty-six and thirty-four miles. I'd
16.	,	have to look at the slide and tell you exactly where
17		it is. It's labelled and my notes don't tell me.
18	Q	Did you navigate this portion, in youryour June
19		raft trip?
20	A	Yes. I believe onthat we did. I could not tell you
21		which side we went on. We obviously didn't go in the
22		middle.
23	Q	Thatthat log jam did not
24	A	That was(indiscerniblesimultaneous conversation)
25	Q	present any particular problems to you?

- 1	l	
1	A	It could have.
2	Q	Well, you don't remember that it did, do you?
3	A	No, I do not remember that it did. I do remember that
4		we had trouble with some. Now, whether this one did
5		or not
6	Q	Yeah. When the water was higher there was a lot more
7	A	Using this slide as an example
8	Q	water in which to get around that jam on the left
9		hand side, wasn't there?
10	A	Yes. But what we're looking at is an arvery small
11		area of stream. I can not tell you what is upstream
12		from this particular slide, immediately, or downstream
13		either. But you'll notice a log jam, up here's another
14	·	one here. You can see your trees up here. So
15	Q	I see a lot there, but I see very little water in that
16		picture.
17	A	I
18	Q	When the water was higher(indiscerniblesimultaneous
19		conversation)
20	A	You asked me in June. Okay. The water in June, as you
21		can tell from about here, has been flowing through
22		here and these become hazards. Here is some down here
23		in the bottom.
24	Q	Do you remember
25	^	No. I do not

- 1,		·
1	Q	difficulties with those hazards
2	A	I do in two points.
3	Q	which you(indiscerniblesimultaneous conversation)-
4		the amount of water?
5	A	I do in two points.
6	Q	Okay. But not here?
7	A	I can not say that this is one. That'syou know, I
8		didn't take notes, "I hadI had a hazard at this
9		particular exact spot".
10	Q	Alright. Slide seventy-three.
11	A	Seventy-three is a closer view ofor is a different
12		it's just a Okay. This is the same slide I
13		think we were just looking at. Can you back up a minute
14		We were on which one?
15		MS. NEVILLE: Sixty-nine.
16	A	No, that's not.
17	Q	Okay. According to my notes you mentioned that in
18		higher water those logs would be submerged and might
19		wellwell, I didn't quote you exactly. The gist of
20		your testimony was if youa canoe or a boat got caught
21		in them, there could be an upset and a good chance of
22	**: *	drowning.
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	You represented it as a very significant hazard during
25		high water, which were not seen here?

- 1		
1	A	Yes. And the logs specifically that I was referring
2	·	to at that point are the ones coming out into the
3		channel at right angles. And you'll notice here are
4		several logs. That's about
5	Q	Okay.
6	A	twelve inches above the water at this point. You
7		have another one that's submerged here. Here's one
8		that's coming out. Here's one that's submerged. Here's
9		one that's coming across and touching into the water.
10		Here's another. And I think we saw several slides that
11		hadit'sthe log jam itselfthe log jam itself, which
12		is above water, isn't your problem, particularly if the
13		water's not flowing through it.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	If the water's flowing through it
16	Q,	My question
17	A	or this way, right down here, that's where your hazards
18		are.
19	Q	Well, my next question is when you went through there
20		in June, on rafts, did you have any problem there?
21	A	I can not tell you that I did.
22	Q ····	You don't remember
23	А	I don't recall.
24	Q	that you had a problem
25	Α .	No.

1	Q .	there?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you think it's likely that you'd remember ifthat
4		thereif someone had gotten caught
5	A	Alright.
6	Q	in these logs?
7	A	Now, are we talking recreation or are we talking moving
8		the(indiscerniblesimultaneous conversation)
9		MR. LUOMA: No, we're talking about the trip
10	you to	ook.
11	A	Yes.
12	·	MR. LUOMA: Stick to the trip.
13	A	No trouble.
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	Sorry.
16.	Q.	Slide eighty. Well, I'm not sure this is the one I
17		meant. I think thatI think youyouin commenting
18		on the shallowness of this place, I believe you said that
19		a person would have to line a boat up here, through this
20		through this area.
21	A	This is in the selection area.
22	Q ***	I thinkfirst of all, did you float this ininin
23		is this part of the area that you covered during your
24		your
25	A	Going downstream.

1	Q ·	your June trip, right?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Did you have to line your boats during this June trip?
4	A	You don't line going downstream, as a rule.
5	Q	So your comment that a person would have to line a
6		boat up here was
7	A	Was going upstream.
8	Q	Is it your testimony that regarat the June water
9		level a person would have to line a boat up this
10	A	No.
11	Q	up this area?
12	A	It is not.
13	Q	So your testimony was limited to this particular day,
14		this particular water level
15	, A	If you're coming up through here, you deand you
16.	Q.	Okay.
17	A	had a boat that drew more than three inchesor three
18		tenths of a foot, again large boat, you would have to-
19		get out. Wouldn't have enough water.
20	Q.	Were your rafts
21	A	You'd have to pull it.
22	Q ''.	grounded when you passed through this area in June?
23	A	We went through in high water.
24	Q	No problem?
25	A	We went through in high water, that's right.

1	Q	So there was no problem, is that right?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Okay. Eighty-seven, please. (Pause) Now, I believe
4		this is a picture taken during June 20th, is itis it
5		not?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Your June trip.
8	A	It's in the selection area.
9	Q	You coyouyou commented that the sweeper hanging
10		out above the water presented a hazard that anyone going
11	·	down withwithout control or relative competence would-
12		well
13	A	That's why we switched
14	Q	would be unsafe
15	A	That's why we switched people.
16.	Q,	Okay. Youyou did have some problems here?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Alright. Would a person familiar with the river,
19		experienced in boating, have had any trouble?
20	A	They could have. In fact, an experienced boatman was
21		also in that boat at that time.
22	Q	Again, you were using rafts?
23	A	That's right. Rafts, which is not
24	Q	Okay. Would an experienced boatman familiar with the
25		river, with a more maneuverable craft, likely of had a

1		problem?
2	A	On that particular picture, no.
3	Q	Okay. (Pause) I think I'd like to address myself to
4		the Kandik now. That's allthat's all the Nation slide
5		(Pause)
6		MR. ALLEN: How does this one go in, Jules?
7	A	You have to drop it clear around with the slot on the
8		right hand side. (Pause) Itthe slide carousel itself
9	·	is not down. (Pause) Is there a slot that says zero?
10		MR. ALLEN: Yeah. Which one do you want?
11	Q	Kandik sixteen is the only one I want to see up close (
12		That's it.
13	A	(Pause) I believe that sixteen was the same slide that
14	·	we started out with with Miss Taylor. I can go on that
15		one from memory, if you can. That was a slide of
16		MR. LUOMA: Off the record.
17	A	of four or five
18		MR. LUOMA: Justjust a moment, please.
19	Let's	don't
20	Α	Okay.
21	-	MR. LUOMA:clutter up the record. Off the
22	record	1.
23	·	(OFF THE RECORD)
24		(ON THE RECORD)
25	Q	My question, with respect to slide sixteen, is when the

]].
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1 6.	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	П

water is higher, couldn't a person easily avoid any-the log jam that--that might exist on the left bank
by going to the right around them?

- They could avoid that. But, in turn, picking up other obstructions. And I think slide seventeen shows that slide--same area looking downstream. And it shows the things that are hanging up on the bar also that you would then be navigating around.
- Q In high--
- A There is room.
- Q In higher water, though, you'd have a--
- A You would miss--
- Q --significantly increased width of--of channel there--ofof--of water in your channel?
- A Width of water, yes.
- Q Okay.
- A Depth of water, no.
- Q (Pause) In higher water, though, the hazard, if any,posed by tho--those logs that we see in the middle there
 would just not--would not be as significant, would theywould it?
- A Those particular wouldn't be, but you would be picking others up in the same general area.
- Q Is it your testimony that with higher water those logs we see in the picture now would be in the same location?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	They would?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Log
5	A	Those logs
6	Q	log jams
7	A	those logs are hung on
8	. Q	are permanent obstructions?
9	A	those logs are hung on the bottom.
10		MR. LUOMA: Now, please, one at a time.
11	A	Okay.
12	Q	Are log jais a log jam, such as we see there, a
13	· ·	permanent obstruction?
14	A	If it remains there several years at a time, yes. If
15	,	it remains forever, no. But you would collect other
16		logs.
17	Q	Do you know how long the
18	A	No, I do not.
19	Q	that particular jam has been
20	A	No.
21	Q	has been there? You mentioned seeing at least one
22	. * · •	canoeist on the river the same day you took these
23		pictures.
24	A	I'm not sure thewe're on the Kandik?
25	Q	Yes, this is the Kandik slide sixteen.

- 1		
1	Α .	There were three canoes. Three groups on three different
2		times.
3	Q	Okay. Three groups. Isn't it likely that those groups
4		got through this area?
5	A	Certainly.
6	Q	How do you think they did it?
7	A	They walked on the right hand side. Walked. And that
8		was what I said. They would have to line around it.
9	Q	Okay. They lined a canoe along the right hand side
10	A	They walked upstream.
11	Q	of those
12	A	That
13	Q	of the jam?
14	A	That would be my guess. And that's purely my guess.
15		I did not see them do it.
16.	Q.	Forforfor what, ten yards there
17	A	Right.
18	Q	on the jam? Okay. That'sthat's all the questions
19		I have on slide sixteen. No more slides.
20		MR. LUOMA: Are we through with slides now,
21	every	body?
22		MS. HIGGINS: Yes.
23		MR. LUOMA: Miss Neville, have you thought
24	of an	y further questions?
25		MS. HIGGINS: Oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. I

1	have	further questions, but no more slides involved.
2		MR. LUOMA: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
3	Q	(By Ms. Higgins) You've made reference to taking field
4		notes during your Setpember 1972 trip
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	from the headwaters to the mouth of the Kandik.
7	A	That's correct.
8	Q	Do you have those notes with you?
9	A	Yes, I do.
10	Q	When did you write your field notes?
11	A	They were taken upon (ph) tape and transcribed when
12		I got back to the office. They're summation of day
13	·	after day ofin other words, the notes were taken
14		on the river, that night recorded, and then transcribed
15		when I came back.
16	Q.	Transcribed in close proximity, time-wise, to your trip,
17		is that right?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. And that was well before this appeal was filed,
20		right?
21	A	These were donelet's see, this is September. They
22		probably were done no later than mid-October of '72.
23	Q	Okay. (Pause) Was thethe summary at the beginning
24		of the field notes done contemporaneously with the
25		field notes?

field notes?

l		
1	A	I'm going to have toyou're going to have to confin
2		or define contemporaneously.
3	Q	Did you add the summary after you hadhad transcribed
4		your field notes
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	from your tape?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	How long after?
9	A	The same time.
10	Q	Okay. So it's relativewithin the same time period?
11	A	Yes. This wasn't
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	done a year, is what I'm saying.
14	Q	Okay. (Pause) Would you read the fifth paragraph of
15	·	the first page of the summary, one line paragraph?
16	Α.	"The rapids are almost absence, making this an excellant
17		canoe-camping stream, requiring manyminimum canoeing
18		ability".
19	Q.	Okay. Minimal canoeing ability. Thisthis-this was
20	·	an accurate summary
21	. A	No.
22	Q	of youryour trip
23	A	No, it is not, because the next paragraph further
24		qualifies that statement.
25	Q	Okay. Let's read the next paragraph.

	1
	2
	3
	4
\$17	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6.
1	7
	8
1	9
9	Λ

	The next paragraph says, water crassification, using
	the international dificulty rating, is one class or
	two class. However, sweepers are a real threat and
	must be avoided. The lower two-thirds of the river
	where a recent forest-fire occurred is extremely dangero
	because of sweepers. Soil and topography are such that
	the entire"it says, "dots", it should be, "spots of
	standing burned trees are sliding into the river. The
	current is swift and it quickly removes single trees
	across the river by sweeping the entiresingle trees
	across", that's a sweeper hanging off the bank, "across
	the river, by sweeping the entire stream tip downstream,
	or against the bank. However, bends in the lower river
	collect snags and hold trees at such points. It is
	anticipated that log jams will develop to the extent
٠.	to completely block surface downstream or upstream move-
	mest of water craft." And I think slide sixteen, taken
	in August of this year, is a summation of the type of
	statement that is meant there. Not

20 ||

21

22

23

24

25

Q Well--

A --a physical dam, but that you've got to get out and go around.

Q Okay.

A That was what that statement, which was written--

Q And what does--

1	A	said
2	Q	And what does your statement that the stream requires
3	,	minimal canoeing ability refer to?
4	A	That means that you can take a lightly loaded canoe,
5		under normal water conditions and be okay, if you watch
6		out for the sweepers.
7	Q	If you take precautions against sweepers?
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	Okay.
.0	A	But this
.1	Q	Still, your overall characterization was that the
2		Kandik River was suitable for people with at most
.3		intermediate canoeing skills, is that right?
4	A	That would be correct.
.5	Q	Alright.
.6.	A	Intermediate. Not minimal.
7	Q	Well, you said minimal here, but taking into account .
8	A	Well, intermediate has precise
.9	Q	(Pause) Can you indicate anywhere in the field notes
0:		where you have recorded difficulty with sweepers or
21		gravel bars? Obstructions
22	A	In thesein these
23	Q	and whatever?
24	A	field notes?
5	Q	Right.

3

5

6 | 7

Α

9

11

10

13

12

14

15

16.17.

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

A Yes. The paragraph that I just read you and--

Q Well, that was part of the summary. I'm--

A Okay.

Q --I want you to go to your day by day field notes and show me where you--you indicated trouble with hazards or obstructions in the river.

September 6. "Left camp approximately eight-(Pause) thirty". This is in Canada. We were still well above the Big Sitdown Creek. And we arrived there at four o'clock in the afternoon, camped subsequently down. So the notes refer to the area from where we put in, in Canada. And it's the third paragraph on page three. And it says as follows, "the river's about fifty feet, more or less, wide. It's Catapunt (ph) in size". That's a river in West Virginia for which we do quite a bit of canoeing on. The depth average is probably two feet. Again, the water was turbid, I did not have any precise If you stick your paddle down every once measurements. in a while, you'd see how far it goes down. we are seeing it just after rain, the river's receding. There prob--there probably are shallows in this area where you would have to walk across. "We walked across a couple, because we chose the wrong branch this afternoon". Now, that's--I'm an experienced canoer, so there's September 6, referring to shallows in the vicinity between

1		the border and the Big Sitdown.
2	Q	Well, it doesn't say that youyouexcept for taking
3	·	a wrong branch, you didn't hayou didn't have toto
4	Ą	Alright.
5	Q	get out of your canoe, did you
6	A	Remember, I'm an experienced canoer. And I had problems
7		I just had to get out and walk.
8	Q	You took the wrong branch?
9	A	Right.
0	Q	Alright. You mentioned that the water waswas turbid
1	A	May I finish
2	Q	what do you mean by turbid?
13	A	May I finish looking through my notes and see if there
L4		are other places or do you want to pursue that
5	Q	Well, I have one more question
6	A	Alright. Okay.
17	Q	with regards to comments you made before reading that
18		part. What do you mean by turbid water?
9	A	It isn't clear, as we saw on August. And it isn't dirty
20		like we saw in June. Which, again, is an indication
21		that the water is receding, it's been high, you can
22	* . •	see where the water marks were. I pointed them out on
23	- -	theon the take-off, as an example, we were there.
24		And the water is clearing and it still has aa brownish
25		cast and you can not see the bottom clearly, but it is

25

not	mudd	y like	it	was	in	June	of	this	last	year.	Sc
it's	s an	interme	edia	ate s	stag	ge.					

- Q Well, you--you meant what you--you said on the first page of your field notes, it is running generally clear, a slight brownish cast, is that turbid?
- A No.
- Q No?
- A No. Because that again is referring--this is a clear water stream. And, again, these are general notes referring as a clear water, as opposed to a glacial stream. Glacial streams being those that arise of glaciers. The turbid is describing the stream on September 6th, in the upper reaches.
- Q Where is that indicated in your notes? Are--are you--
- A I thought I just read it. (Pause) Did I miss something
- Q Well, the word--description of the clearness of the water is nowhere in the notes that I have. Maybe I have different notes, except on the first page.
- A Okay. That could be.
- Q Okay. Alright. I interrupted you. Were you looking for other examples--
- A I--I don't know. That's the first one I'd come to.
- Q --of how--
- And that was--I didn't know whether you wanted to stop or wanted to proceed. (Pause) Page four, bottom para-

graph. "In terms of hazards, the basic thing is sweepers. There's not the conventional sweepers that come completely across the stream, as the swift current scopes them back against the bank. However, whole trees are sometimes lodged in the center of the stream, roots upstream. Sweepers lined against the bank are almost always found on the outside of curves. Caution is to be taken as the current is swift and unless one watches one's business, could easily end up in trouble. A canoe lodged in a sweeper against the bank would be extremely difficult to lod--to dislodge, because the swift current--because of swift current here. We estimate the current as moving five to six miles an hour and could, in fact, trap--trap someone, if not car--careful". And that's on page five. Okay. I'd like you--

- Q
- It's referring to my notes on August 7--or August 6th. A.
- Q I'd like you to continue to read the next paragraph, please.
- Α Alright.
- (Indiscernible -- simultaneous conversation) --Q
- "The headwaters of this part though are certainly an Α intermediate skill level for open canoes. The river would be considered suitable for novice on the outside. The basic distinction being that you can't make a distinctmake--can not make a mistake on the Kandik, as you would

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

have no help".

- Q By suitable for novice on the outside, did you mean in the Lower Forty-eight?
- On the Cacapin (ph) River, where you've got a road Α immediately adjacent. And normally where you're going in groups of ten to fifteen people, as opposed to solitary traffic here.
- Q While you noted--
- Α That's August 6th. Let's see if we have something else.
- Let me--Q
- Α Middle paragraph. "Water character becoming that of a large river. Some of the rivers are approaching class three, in terms of complexity. The current--but all straight chutes". This happens to refer to the Johnson Gorge area, which, as I said earlier, I did not count as navigation hazards. "Standing waves (ph) about three foot high are rare and these can be avoided by skirting on the edge. One abandon cabin, apparently the trapper's cabin", for which we had the photograph "And a cache on the right to the bank observed today below Indian Grave Creek. Picked up a tooth rust wolf tap at high--trap at high water, near our camp site".
- Q What--what was the hazard mentioned in--in that paragraph?

24

25

The--the rapids?

- A I'm sorry, I don't get your point. Did I say hazard?
- Q Well, I thought you were reading back to me examples of places where you've indicated trouble with hazards during this trip.
- A Class three is the limit of the international rating of an area where you can run safely a fully loaded canoe.
- Q Okay.
- And it normally--since it is geared to recreational travel--that international rating is geared to recretional travel, it would not take into account, as an example, a nineteen foot loaded with three or four hundred pounds of gear. That's more gear than you would--customarily be used. So, in terms of upstream or downstream use by a heavily loaded, it is pertinent.
- Q You had no trouble in this particular place?
- A With a seventeen and a nineteen lightly loaded. On decreasing, but high water.
- Q How high was the water, in your estimation? High as compared with what?
- It would of been intermediate, between what we observed in June, on the . . . Nation, and measured. And what we saw by air on the Nation and was measured by someone else. And what I saw in August. It would be someplace in-between. It was not as high, but it was close to that,

25

than it was then. And as I said earlier, it'd been raining for several days. (Pause) On page eight, which is talking about rapids, they're more numerous, a little bit heavier, but still not above class two. All can be skirted with a minimum degree of difficulty, referencing a canoe. Only one, about two to three hundred yards long, required any maneuvering. This was a boulder field, which is a rock garden. Where it required to start to the left side and move to the right, and come back to the left, on a left turn, in order to keep to the inside. Page nine, referring to the lower river, all (ph) September 9th. "Travel distance down the Kandik today about thirteen The general character river--of the and a half miles. river once we left the valleys of the mountainous area and came out into the flats showed a very pronounced change in the lower area. In particular sweepers were more abundant. The water although moving did not seem to move at a--with a--with quite the vigor that it did in the higher areas. Here sweepers are definitely a problem and care must be used as the stream, in several places, become braided into several channels. because of the fire of last year trees and sometimes considerable portions of hillsides are sliding directly into the river. This next year, and probably the next several years, will show an abnormal number of sweepers,

therefore care should be required in canoeing in this area for the next several years". I would, however modify that--just that statement on the Kandik, based on my observations on the Nation this last year, for which there was no fire, and there were just as many sweepers. So I would say that the condition of sweepers in the river area, year after year, talking about the river area as a whole, not in any specific point, would be very typical to what you saw on the August slides.

- O When was--
- A Now, that, again--
- Q --when was --when was the--the Kan Fire (ph)?
- A --is qualified with my November . . . It's the name of the fire that burnt at Johnson Gorge. It was named the Kan Fire, short for Kandik.
- Q Did it burn a significant amount of--
- A I--it was a large fire. How large, I don't know. It was supplied by helicopter. The base was there at the--at that cabin, just above Johnson Gorge.
- Q And it's your--is it your testimony now that you don't think that fire is--contributes to the number of sweepers that you saw--
- A It is--
- Q --during this trip and--
- A It is--it is my testimony now that the statement that

Α

23 24

25

20 a different purpose, though. 21 Q And one of them was shown today? 22 Α

- I believe you've seen them all. Or Richard has They're on file with BOR. They're--they're part of the official files.
- Q Why--why did you show me one of those slides today--

was made in '72 for the Kandik River, that the fire was producing an abnormal number of sweepers, I would no longer hold to, based on my observations on the adjacent river of similar size. And it had just as many sweepers and no major recent fire.

- Q Do you know that for a fact?
- In terms of hillsides directly on the river, yes. that's what this was. In other words, the fire on the Kandik that was causing the problem was directly at Johnson Gorge and it was burning up the slopes, the perma-frost melted, and the whole slides -- sides of the hill literally slumped into the river. The Kandik doesn't-or the Nation doesn't have that kind of thing. it still showed many, many sweepers.
- Q (Pause) Did you take slides during your September trip on the Ka--September '72 trip on the Kandik?

I think there were thirty-eight. They were taken for

- Yes, I did. One of them was shown with the moose. Α
- Q How many slides do you have?

1	

Because the rest of them--Α

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

--and the rest taken from August 4th and 5th, 1978? Q

Because I was not able to precisely identify where those slides were taken. I did not -- in other words, when--when I was going out this summer, I was going out with a specific purpose. And that was to get physical measurements of water depth. When I was coming through in '72, I was looking at land use, I was looking at what had been going on in the general area, and the susceptibility of that river for recreational use. Now we're talking about susceptibility of the river for navigation and that's an entirely different thing.

- Well, when you--Q
- And I could not--Α
- --when you went out in early August, 1978 you were Q preparing for this hearing, isn't that right?
- Without question. That was the whole purpose. Α Yes. This is the first major hearing that we've had in the State of Alaska dealing with navigability. And we needed to get good information, both at high water, which we collected, and at low water, which we collected so that we've got something to go from.
- Okay. (Pause) Do gravel bars remain stationary, year Q after year?

Α

- A Generally, yes. They change in character, locally.
- Q Do you know about the existence and location of bars and sweepers in the Kandik and Nation channels between--well, around the time of Statehood?
- A No. I wasn't here.
- Q (Pause) Do sweepers stay in place, year after year?
- A No. A individual tree--and I think my notes commented about it, as soon as the tree falls out, they'll remain there maybe for a year and then disappear. A--a comparable example is Ship--or not Ship Creek, what's a small creek through Anchorage?

MR. ALLEN: Campbell. Campbell. Campbell.

Campbell Creek. On Campbell Creek, and that's a stable-relatively stablized area, it's a very small stream, considerably smaller than this, so the only reference is to sweepers. I canoed that regularly in the summer time for personal recreation after work. And I ran it on Saturday. I ran it again on Wednesday. During that time the water'd been up and there was a tree that had just fallen over. And it was laying completely across the thing. Sunday--Saturday it was standing up, no sweat. Three days later, it had fallen over. If I would go back now, after high water, that tree would be swept back against the bank. This is the same sort of thing that happens here. The tree comes down, the water--high

1		water will pick it up and actually swing it in. It
2		at the same time that's swinging in, it's undercutting
3		someplace else and another one topples.
4	Q	Would you say that sweepers then are not a permanent
5		obstruction?
6	A	Any one individual sweeper in any one individual site,
7	-	no. In general locations, yes.
8	Q	What do you mean by that?
9	A	You conas long as you've got a cutbank and that cutbank
10		has trees on it, you're going to have sweepers. Now,
11		at the point the channel moves away from it and it's
12		no longer a cutbank, you no longer have sweepers.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	The banks are constantly erroding, which means you get
15		new trees falling in.
16	Q.	Some of youryour slides indicated that the channels
17		of these rivers have changed over time.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Do we know where the channels were located atat the
20		time of Statehood?
21	A	I would say we probably have a pretty good idea, because
22		the 1956 one inch to the mile for this area, and I can
23	1	only qualify it for this area, is based on aerial
24		photography. I'm sure we could go to the archives and
25		get that 1956 aerial photography and have a pretty good

idea, by giving it to specialist, as to whether those channels are newly cut within the last several years, whether they were stable, this sort of thing. You can see the changes. You know, you can actually go back and see some of the changes between the '56 map, which is based on '56 photographs, and this photograph, which was taken last summer. I--I can show you the channel cha--they're relatively minor. It's not a typical--it's very common. Happens in all of the Interior rivers.

- Q Is there any accurate way to estimate the . . . the existence and number of sweepers at the time of Statehood?
- A No. That's like asking me how many trees are hanging on the bank.
- I agree with you. With respect to sweepers as a hazard, is it fair to say that—that the presence of sweepers needs to be considered, but it's not necessarily a hazard for an experienced boat person?
- A Un--unfortunately I'm very sad to say no. Dr. Walt

 Blackidar (ph), who is one of the people who first ran
 the Susitna Canyon, it was run the outside so--a
 small kayak, has run many of the difficult streams
 in the United States and the international--
- Q Well, perhaps I should--
- A --competition, run --
- Q --limit my question--

1	A .	on a sweeper in Idaho this year.
2	Q	Well
3	A	And he was one of the most expert people.
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	So, the answer is, yes, you must always watch for it.
6	Q	Letlet me limit my question. Iapart from rivers
7		like the Alsack (ph), which isis known to be a
8	A	He wasn'the wasn't
9	Q	very, very difficult river
10	A	on the Alsack, he was on a small river in Idaho.
11	Q	On these two rivers, which are class one and two, in
12		termwith respect toto the rapids, to the general
13		amount of flow, would you say that sweepers are a hazard
14		for an experienced boat person, in a maneuverable craft?
15	A	Alright. You're asking two questions. So, let me
16.		answeranswer them separately.
17	Q	That's
18	A	The international rating does not take into account
19		sweepers. Secondly, it does not take it into account,
20	·	it's not designed for sweepers. It's designed for a
21		current flowing over a stream. So the international
22	, · s	rating, when you say, one, two, three, four, five,
23		six, doesn't have a thing to do with the bank character-
24		istics. It is rating, literally, the flow and the
25		complexity of the water over a given piece of geography

complexity of the water over a given piece of geography.

1	-	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
<u>1</u> 0		
11		
12		
13	ŀ	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

And	it	can	be	sh	nort,	it	ca	ın 1	be	big,	or	what	have	У	ou
You	can	boi	11 i	Ĺt	down	to	a	tei	n	yard	str	etch.	But,	ı	it
did-	1e	de	als	5 TV	rith o	comp	1e	xi	tу						•

- Q Is it your testimony that sweepers are a definite hazard to an experienced boat person in a maneuverable craft on the Nation and the Kandik?
- A It certainly is. And much more so there than a lot of other rivers, because of the small size.
- Q When the water's--
- A A sweeper--
- Q --low.
- A --a sweeper on the Yukon River is no problem. And you've got sweepers there.
- Q Do you distinquish between low and high water level in answering that question?
- A Yes, because, agin, the current picks up in velocity and tends to move you towards those areas of obstructions, many of which you can avoid. But, again, the general flow of the current is through those areas. And you pick up different sweepers. You pick up the ones, which on low water, are stranded on the bars. You've got to start watching out for those. So, yes, they are a problem.
- Q Have you had occasion to discuss use of these rivers with people who live in the area?

24

25

No. Other than Fred. One.

Q Fred, your friend who--

- A I wouldn't say he's my friend, I--because, I'm neither way-
- Q Fred is a person that lives on the Kandik?
- A Yes, a Fremont (ph). And I did chat with him when we was moose hunting. Took care of his--he asked me to feed his dogs, when he was--when I came back down.

 This sort of thing. It was just a casual conversation.
- Q Did Fred ever indicate to you that--that he considers sweepers to be a hazard to him in navigating the Kandik?
 - If you want to go me--go into hearsay, I'll be glad to.

 Fred--we were commenting, cause I was coming up in high water, how did he get there. And he said he had a bitch of a time, he had to line it. And he showed me where he had cut trees off, sweepers, that kept him from lining his canoe, up on--three miles from the mouth. He said he had no trouble when he got out to the Yukon. Again, he was talking about that area. But in terms of getting from the Yukon proper to three mile, where his cabin was located, and this is in September, it was on high water, he said he'd had a bitch of a time, cause he had to cut the dam trees down, cause they were in his way.
- Q So he's--he's cut off a few sweepers that stick out and--and--

1	A	Right.
2	Q	perhaps at corners, or
3	A	Um-hm.
4	Q	or significantly obstruct
5	A	Sure.
6	Q	the river?
7	Α.	So they were an obstruction.
8	Q	They were no longer an obstruction after they were cut,
9		right?
10	A	Thosethose trees weren't obstructions, but you've
11	· · · · ·	got a new crop this year.
12	¹ Q	Okay.
13	A	And it is a standing crop.
14	Q	(Pause) You've testified to your familiarity withwith
15		certain types of boats. I'd like to clarify that a
16		little bit for the record. Are you experienced in polin
17		boats?
18	A	No. Do I know what they do, yes, but only from reading.
19	Q	You've never
20	A	I've done some, but I wouldn't call myself experienced
21		by any means. I've poled down the Allagash (ph) in May.
22	e _k e.	But not experienced.
23	Q	You've never used a tunnel boat, have you?
24	A	No. I think I testified to that earlier.
25	Q	What about a jet or airboat?

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Α

- 6
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 1920
- 21
- 22
- 2324
- 25
- 20

- A I have been in them. I've been in a jet boat. I--
- Q Is that the same thing? I--I've heard--
- A No. No, a jet boat--
- Q Would you describe the difference?
 - You've got two kinds. One is the kind with an outboard motor, that hangs on the end, where the propellor has been removed. And you insert a--generally they're red-on the bottom of it, which is nothing more than a--a big hydraulic pump. And the drive shaft, in fact, turns the pump, it shoots out a jet of water. And that provides the propulsion. It reduces the capacity of an outboard motor of approximately fifteen percent. In other words, you take a twenty-five horse motor, put a jet pro--put a jet unit on it and you have absolutely less horse power driving your stream up. You use that, because your prop--it reduces the amount of water you need for your prop. You get a different set of problems, though. Because since that's sucking up water, if you're in an area that has small gravel, you can't run that over smal gravel too long, because it'll suck it up and screw up your--your propulsion unit. That's the outboard. The inboard, which I have been in also, in Idaho, is a very large boat. It's very comparable to the thing you see at the Lugadis-bygadis (ph) or down on the lower Kenai, with the use there. And that normally has a Chrysler or

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a Ford or a Chevy, or some type of a V-8 engine installed in the center that ru--that runs, again, on another pump And it's a series of nozzles that squirt water out of the back, which provides the propulsion upstream. An airboat conversely is nothing more than a hunk of metal with two boxes sit on it and a hellaious (ph) big airplane motor sitting on the back of that. And it makes so much noise that if you're trying to hunt moose from it. which is what you try to do with mo--from a boat, you've scared every one out of the country. Because they are completely unmuffled. Yes, they go over very shallow They're typically used in the Everglades. water. other words, the sawgrass country, the Louisiana -- Gulf States area. There are a few of them up here--

- Q Are the used in the Interior--
- A -- not very many.
- Q --Alaska?
- A Certainly.
- Q Are these--
- A But not typically. And only recently. Again, you're investing a considerable amount of money in an airplane engine. And most people, if they've got that kind of money, would prefer to have an airplane.
- Q Regarding your--your testimony on tunnel boats and the amount of water that a tunnel boat would need . . . do

1		you know that a tunnel boat would perform similarly to	
2		any boats that you, in fact, have used yourself?	
3	A	Are you asking for fact or supposition?	
4	Q	Well, what makes you think that a tunnel boat performs	
5		in the same way asas boats that you are personally	
6		familiar with?	
7	A	II don't think it does. Andand the reason I don't	
8		think	
9	Q	Well, what statement	
10	A	it does is I believe that particular tunnel boat was	
11		described as having a four-cylinder engine. I think it's	
12	·	probably a Model-A engine. It's very heavy. It draws	
13		a lot of water. It would be very bulky and very difficul	.t
14		to maneuver.	
15	Q	Let melet me take a more specific example. You stated	
16.		that boats sink somewhat when power's applied to go up-	
17		stream.	
18	A	Yes. With a prop unit.	
19	Q	With a prop unit.	•
20	A	Yes. You get the same thing with a	
21	Q	What makes you think you get theyou get the same	
22	·	affect with a tunnel boat?	
23	A	Because what youwhat you have goingin other words,	
24		the prop is going at a standard speed and thatit's	
25		less than full, let's just assume it's going less than	, ,
į			

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	-
12	
13	
14	
15	
16.	
17	
18 19	
20	

22

23

24

25

full. When you apply more power, you increase the revolutions. There is a short period of time when that revolution is coming up where your boat is not up to speed. And there's only one thing that can happen. Your boat is still moving at the same speed it was, you speeded up your prop, as a result you scoot the water away faster, the boat sinks, because you reduced the effective floation--

- O Would that be more--
- A --of the water. You've actually scooted it out. And it just causes the boat to drop.
- Q Wouldn't that be more characteristic of a prop on an outboard, as opposed to an inboard motor?
- A No, no. The only difference between an outboard and an inboard of--of equivalent power and gear ratio is the fact that one sits inside and is air-cooled and the other is water-cooled and hangs over the end. Twenty-five horses is twenty-five horses. Again, that's a simplistic answer. They're not really that much different.
- Q Do you know where the prop is located on a tunnel boat--
- A No.
- Q --(indiscernible--simultaneous conversation)--
- A No.
- O If it were located--

1	Α .	In the center?
2	Q	If it were located towards the center
3	Λ	Well, let's not put
4	Q	would that change your calculations
5	A	I would prefer to know precisely where it was. My
6		understanding wasisRichard, was it located at the
7		end or near the end? You've seen the tunnel boat
8		MR. LUOMA: Off the record, please.
9		(OFF THE RECORD)
10		(ON THE RECORD)
11	Q	(Pause) I think we were discussing your calculations
12		with respect to tunnel boats, before we adjourned.
13		Would it make a difference in your calculation, if the
14		propellor were not located at the at the stern of the
15	,	boat?
16	\mathbf{A}_{i}	I don't really think so, unless you put it clear up
17		at the end.
18	Q	How many inches did you attribute to the propellor
19		extending beyond the bottom of the boat when you made
20		that calculation?
21	A	I think two inches. Wasthat was my understanding
22	: .·•	is it extended down two inches below the bottom.
23	Q	(Pause) The eleven inches that you attributed to the
24		draft of the boat in an empty condition was based on
25		the assumption, was it not, thatthat the boat would

1		not be used heavily
2		line formed?
3	, A	It wouldthe water
4		boat would of been
5		the time. Rather t
6		river.
7	Q	Yeah, but the assum
8		line formed whenw
9	A	Is part
10	Q	as opposed to bei
11	A	Is part. Yeahyea
12	· Q	Okay.
13	A	Is part.
14	Q	Can you define a br
15		channel? When you
16		the Kandik and Nati
17	A	It's a stream which
18		channels. It invol
19		parts which are bra
20		moving from one sid
21		would be as where i
22		literally, if you c
23		hand and your arm,
24		braided stream woul
25		area of the stream

during the summer when that water

- line was formed at a time when the empty, which would of been most of han when it would be used on the
- nption is that the--that the water when the boat was empty--
- ng used?
- h, that's right.
- aided river for us or a braided use the word braided in describing on, what do you mean by that?
 - has broken apart, into many, many ves, on any given year, in those ided, the channel is susceptible to e to another. A classic example t cuts a new channel. The--it--it-an just imagine the fingers of your your arm is a normal stream. d be your fingers. And a braided is where you have many threads that

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	The second second
22	
23	
24	

spread out. You may have water in all the threads. You
may have it in some of them or only in one, at any
given time. Where the water is, at any given time, in
a braided stream is a function of when the last high
water was. How much water is in it at that time.

- Q And how much of the Nation would you describe as braided, using that—that definition?
- A In other words, having numerous channels.
- Q Well, you--
- A Yeah, that's what I said. In--in other whys, having numerous channels. Most of it. Again, I think that was what I said in--in overall, is that you would describe the general character of the river as being braided or meandered.
- Q Is there a difference between braided and meandered?
- A Yes. Meandered is where you have a single channel, very well defined, and it loops back and forth, like a loop of spaghetti that has been pushed together.
- Q Would you--
- A And that's a single channel.
- Q Would you describe the river as braided where there are old dry channels, but most of the water is running-running in--in one or--or perhaps two channels down the middle?
- A Yes.

Q

Α

- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

You would describe that as braided--

- Yes, because your old dry channels, unless they're veg-Α etated, have at some time carried water.
- (Pause) What can be done to get over gravel bars during Q low water times?
 - Well, you can unload your boat and stack your gear on Depending on how much water you've got and the difference between how much--in other words, you're-how much water your boat is drawing and how much water you've got to go there, you may elect to--to drag it. In other words, if you've only got a half an inch or so difference and you've got a lightly loaded boat, you'll drag it. If you've got a heavier boat, you'll almost have to unload it. On--in other words, you'll pull to the bar, you'll have to stop on the downstream Typically you'd try to pull it to the side, you can't do it. So then what you do is you unload the boat to where you can lift it as high as it'll do and then you pull there. The other thing you can do, and I've done this myself --
- By dragging it do you mean lining it? Q
- Pulling, yes. Literally just taking it and becoming Α a horse yourself. The other thing that you can do, either going upstream or downstream, is if you've got a small pointed shovel, you can sit there and dig your

l		
1		way through the bar.
2	Q	In other words, sluice a channel
3	A	Right.
4	Q	through the bar?
5	A	Yeah. By using a shovel and letting the current carry
6		it away. And thatthat gets into a function of how
7		long, how big, how wide the bar is.
8	Q	Have you used these techniques yourself
9	À	Yes, I have.
10	Q	in travelling the Interior Alaska?
11	A	Yes, I have. In fact, I've used them on the Kandik.
12	Q	Are they
13	· A	When I was there last year.
14	Q	common techniques that people use when travelling the
15		.Interior Alaska streams?
16	A	You use whatever's necessary to get up the stream or
17		down the stream. Is that plain. And you get tired
18		of off-loading your boat after a while.
19	Q	(Pause) Whatwhat do you mean by lightly loaded?
20		You've made references
21	A	Two people in backpacking gear.
22	Q	A hundred pounds of backpacking gear?
23	A	That's not my definition of lightly loaded.
24	.Q	Well, what is it?
25	A	Probably thirty-five pounds of gear. Your tent's ten

1 to twelve pounds, your sleeping bag's a couple of 2 pounds and the rest of it's food. 3 MR. LUOMA: Is that per person? 4 Α No, for two people. 5 Two people. MR. LUOMA: 6 Α That's the way I travel. 7 You said that if you'd gotten a moose on your August '75 Q 8 trip on the Kandik, was it, that it would have taken you 9 two trips to get that moose out. 10 Yes. Α 11 How many--how many pounds do you estimate a good size 0 12 moose would be? 13 Well, first of all, you'd have to bone it. Cause I 14 was hunting in a seventeen foot canoe. Boned you've 15 probably got maybe four hundred pounds, five hundred 16 pounds at most. And that's assuming that, again light 17 loaded, I'm hunting up twenty-five miles or so. And 18 knowing what I've got to go back down through, I'm 19 not even going to take the head out. 20 Q Okay. 21 I'll leave it. Α 22 Does--0 23 I'll take the meat. 24 Does four or five hundred pounds of moose fall within Q 25 your definition of lightly loaded?

1 It does not. That's why I said two. Α 2 Q Wouldn't--how much bulk would that constitute, five 3 hundred pounds of moose? 4 Α Well, five hundred pounds. You'd probably take and 5 completely fill your canoe to the gunwhale, a seventeen 6 foot. Again, it would depend on how--7 Do you think--Q 8 Α --you cut your meat. You'd have to get your gear in. 9 Q A seventeen foot canoe would have that capacity, though, 10 to carry--or would you--11 Α Just--12 Q --would two trips be necessitated, just by the bulk, 13 regarless of the weight and the dra--the draft of the 14 canoe, because of that weight? 15 Α I would of gone down--as an example, I was hunting 16 I would of had to go down the Yukon with at Circle. 17 that canoe, I would not have made two trips down the 18 I would of made one trip. 19 Q Can you estimate how many beaver pelts might equal--20 Heaven's no. Α 21-- one load of moose? 0 22I won't even attempt to. 23 (Pause) Assuming you had to get out of your canoe Q 24 numerous times, when moving a -- a heavily loaded canoe 25 in low water, couldn't you more easily move your gear

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	-
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

,		0 -					. 0 .	
	Yes.	But I	don't	think I	said I	wo.ulo	d take i	my load
	over	muskeg	. I'm	talking	about	just	loading	it over

through the water than--than over muskeg?

- Q (Pause) I have one final question. (Pause) Did you submit an affidavit in connection with this hearing--
- A Yes, I did.

the bar.

Α

- Q --in February 1977?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. Do you happen to have that with you?
- A Yes, I do.

MR. LUOMA: That's two questions.

- A I think there's some more coming. (Pause)
- Referring you to page six of that affidavit . . . (Pause)
 Do you stick by the statement you made on page six of
 your affidavit, "that based upon my familiarity with
 other Alaskan Rivers where travel, trade, and commerce
 have been noted in historical documents, especially
 the Yukon, Porcupine, Kobuk, Middle Fork of the Koyukuk,
 Fortymile and Lower Seventymile Rivers, there is no
 evidence to support historical navigation of the Kandik
 for these purposes".
- A With the exception of the one noted, I--which I was not aware of at that point. And I would not considered it, personally. One trip, up the river, to be evidence

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
22232425	
	A .

of navigation. But that's my own judgment. I would still say this is factual, in relationship to the size of those rivers.

- Q You've been present from the start of this hearing, haven't you?
- A Yes.
- Q You've heard all the testimony--
- A Yes, that's why I say there's--
- Q --I believe, from the historians?
- A Right.
 - Q And you still think that there's no historical evidence to support navigation?
- A As defined by travel, trade, and commerce. I did not say upstream or downstream movement. By boat.
- Q (Pause) At the bottom of that same page you stated in the 1977 affidavit, "in 1910 through 'll the survey crew for the International Boundary Commission constructed a summer trail of the Tatonduk River. This was done at a time when there was a native community located at the mouth of the Kandik. And surely it would have been common knowledge about boat accessiblity on the Kandik River. The choice to constru--construct overland summer trail strongly implies the Kandik was not navigable, in fact. And that it was not susceptible to navigation, for moving supplies or people, to support the survey

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	crew". Have you learned more about the activities of
2		the International Boundary Commission from
3	A	Yes, I have.
4	Q	listening to the evidence in this hearing?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Do you stick by the statement I just read?
7	А	(Pause) Generally, yes.
8	* *	MS. HIGGINS: I think that's all.
9		MR. LUOMA: Miss Neville, do you have a final
10	quest	ion.
11	·	MS. NEVILLE: I'm afraid I have a few.
12	BY MS	. NEVILLE:
13	Q	First of all, would you identify what I have now marked
14		as Exhibit B, Number Thirty-five. Is that the same
15	,	field report of your trip1972 trip on the Kandik
16.	·	that you were reading from on cross-examination?
17	А	It's a Xerox copy, yes.
18		MS. NEVILLE: Your Honor, I would like to
19	intro	duce the entire report into evidence.
20	,	MR. LUOMA: Any objection?
21		MS. TAYLOR: I don't think so, Your Honor.
22	Let m	e take a look at it. (Pause) I have no objection.
23		MS. HIGGINS: No objection.
24	·	MR. LUOMA: B-Thirty-five is received in
25	evide	nce.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	_
22	

24

Q ,	(By Ms. Neville) You stated, I believe, on cross-
	examination that your trip in August was for the specifi
	purpose of preparing for this hearing. In thewas your
	trip in June also for the specific purpose of gathering
	evidence for this hearing?

- A Yes, it was.
- Q When you were planning the June trip . . . was--was the date chosen . . . specifically to try and find high or low water?
- A No, it was not. We chose the date specifically to accommodate the Geological Survey member who had--who has a commerical fishing permit that begins July 1 and therefore we had to go prior to that date.
- When you were testifying on cross-examination about what it is you were measuring at each of the rod stations, each of those was on a shallow gravel bar, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And when the person walked out with the rod and you took the photographs of a specific point, was that the deepest point along the gravel bar or the most shallow point along the gravel bar?
- A That was the deepest point on the gravel bar that we could find, by walking entirely across the gravel bar.
- Q (Pause) When you were planning the trip to the rivers,

Q

Α

Α

the second trip in August of '78, was the purpose of that trip--or wa--was the date that you picked . . . based on the hope of finding a specific water level? No. In fact, I'd made arrangements with a gentleman to join us from Washington D.C. two weeks in advance as to a date. So we really didn't know what we would find, precisely. We expected to find lower water in June, but, you know, what water level, I had no idea. Or we had no preconceived idea of what we would find. Okay. In--in your cross-examination on the trip, on the Nation in June, coming downstream in a relatively high water stage, would you say that we encountered no hazards, that we had no problems in navigating--No, I would--

- Q --down the river?
 - No, I would not. And I think perhaps I should clarify some of the questions that were asked about a specific set of sweepers. In the upper area, and particularly within the selection area, where the sweepers were quite pronounced in the channel, small, you and Jack, specifically, ran into a sweeper and you lost your glasses. You later ran into one--Joe and I were together, both experienc--we likewise got caught in a small sweeper. And if I recall right, Joe lost his hat. That's in the upper area. When we came into the middle area, I debated

very seriously about whether proceeding from our camp too, which was downstream from the selection area, because of the water level and we could see things beginning to roll. And this was in combination of having previously broken very strong aircraft leader, trying to measure the river. In the lower river itself there was one, which really sticks out in my mind, and it's in the same general area that I've defined as the back water. I could point it out, if you want to know precisely. Where you are coming down the river near the right bank, the main channel is moving that direction. There's a very large log jam, which we missed. The only problem is is immediately downstream from that there were three other trees at -- out in the center of where the water is. In other words, you had to miss these log jams, which are on the right. And then you had immediately confront -- in -- in order to miss those, you were in a channel which took you directly into two other sweepers that were hanging there. And we had to paddle very, very hard, in order to get around those two, without getting sucked into them. Now, those are the things that I recall. So, precisely, other than that one place near the back water, and I can point that out, which is downstream from the selection--

MS. TAYLOR: For the record, Your Honor, which

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

li		
1	river	
2	A	This is the Nation, because it was June. And that's
3		that's the best of my recollection ofof places that
4		in June I had very real concern and even to this point
5		stick in my mind.
6		MS. NEVILLE: I believe that's all I have.
7	Thank	you.
8		MR. LUOMA: Miss Taylor, do you have any
9		MS. TAYLOR: I don't have any questions.
10		MR. LUOMA: Miss Higgins?
11		MS. HIGGINS: I did think of two more question
12		MR. LUOMA: Two more, alright.
13	BY MS.	HIGGINS:
14	Q	Do you have any field notes on that June raft trip
15		on the Nation, June '78?
16.	A	Yeah.
17	Q	Do you have them with you?
18	A	Sure.
19	Q	(Pause) May I see them?
20	A	Certainly. (Pause) You'll notice they're rather ink
21		stained, because they got wet.
22	Q	(Pause) Have you ever writtranslated thisthis into
23		typewritten form?
24	A	I have not.
25	Q	This is all you have?

1	
2	

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

A	This, in combination withwith others that I have. But
	these are my actual field notes. The maps themselves
	are part of the field notes. The photographs, which we
	had with us in the field, were also part of the field
	notes, at different times.

MS. HIGGINS: Can we go off the record for a second, Your Honor?

MR. LUOMA: Off the record.

(OFF THE RECORD)

(ON THE RECORD)

MR. LUOMA: B-Thirty-six consisting of

how many pages?

MS. HIGGINS: Six pages, written on both

sides.

MR. LUOMA: Six handwritten pages of field notes, prepared by this witness, is received in evidence. Do you have another question, Miss Higgins, or not? (Pause)

- (By Ms. Higgins) Would you characterize the water level Q during your September '72 trip down the Kandik, June '78 down the Nation--strike that. How would you characterize the water level of your September 1972 trip down the Kandik?
- Α Above normal.
- How would you characterize the water level of your August Q 1975 mosse hunting trip on the Kandik?

1	A	Above normal.	
2	Q	How would you characterize the water level of your June	
3		1978 raft trip on the Nation?	
4	A	Much above normal.	
5	Q	Have you taken any other boat trips on these rivers?	
6	A	Not unless I've previously testified. And at this point	
7		I don't reI think I've explained when I've been there.	
8		So, I'm going to say, if we've covered them all, we have	
9	Q	So it's your testimony that in the three trips that	
10		you have taken all of those occasions have been above	
11		normal water level?	
12	A .	No, that is not my testimony. My testimony is, is that	
13		when I observed and measured the river in August that	
14		that was normal. Or near normal for summer time flow.	
15	Q	(Pause) So whenwhen you say that on the trips that you	
16		took the water was high, you're using as your basis of	
17		comparison measurements you took inin August 1978?	
18	A	No. I'm looking at the bank characteristics. I'm looking	g
19		for vegetation. And these sort of things that tell me	
20		whether it's high or not.	
21	Q	In your opinion the water was high the only three times	
22		that you've gone down either of these two rivers?	
23	A .	No. I said I was there also on the river. I wasn't in	
24		a boat, but I was on the river	
25	Q	YouI'm talking about your river trips.	

. [
1	A .	in August. And that was normal. Or what I would
2		consider to be near normal.
3	Q	Okay. And we're talking about four different times
4		overover the years, three times you took trips on
5		the river, one time you flew in and took measurements.
6	·	The one time you flew in and took measurements is normal
7		and the others youyou consider to be high water levels
8		is that right?
9	A	Yes. And that, again, is in combination with
10	Q	Okay. You've
11	A	other trips.
12	Q	answered my question. Thank you.
13		MS. HIGGINS: That's all.
14		MR. LUOMA: I have just a couple of questions
15	A	Oh.
16	BY MR.	LUOMA:
17	Q	I'm going to show you aa document that I've taken out
18		of my pleading file. Is that the document that you
19		have mentioned as the DIC, December 5, 1975?
20	A	I believe it is. However, counsel showed me a
21		MR. LUOMA: Off the record a second.
22		(OFF THE RECORD)
23		(ON THE RECORD)
24	Q	(By Mr. Luoma) I believe it's been established now that
25		that is the document that's been discussed as the DIC

1		document
2	A	That is correct.
3	· Q	that you have in front of you. Would you look at the-
4		I believe it's the last paragraph on page onepage one
5		and it talks about navigability or non-navigability.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Now, is that the decision of the Bureau of Land Management
8		as to the non-navigability of these streams?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And who has signed that decision?
11	A	Robert E. Sorensen.
12	Q	Now, is he the State Director?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Didn't you say that the State Director must make that
15		decision?
16	A	I did. And I would want to double check the records to
17		make sure whether he has in turn delegated that specific
18		authority here.
19	Q	Alright. Look at
20	A	I would presume that he has, since it is signed.
21	Q	Alright. Look at page two, item 3(e).
22	A	Yes, sir. (Pause) It refers to an easement along the
23		bed of the Kandik River, the river cereceives heheavy
24		recreational use by boat, access by the river is by lining
25		or pulling the boat

1	Q .	Please, don'tdon't read the
2	A	Oh, I'm sorry.
3	Q	What does the reference about the recreational use on
4		these rivers
5	A	It is in reference to a particular type of easement
6		category covered by the secretarial order, which was
7		in affect at this point, governing how easements were
8		to be determined.
9	Q	Is there not a statement there in 3(e) that'sin affect
0		says thatthat these rivers have heavy recreational
1		use, or whatwhat is the language that used in that
2	A	Itit does, in fact, say
3	Q	What does it say?
4	A	It says heavy recreational use.
5	, · · · Q	Just read that sentence, please.
6	A	It says, "the easement along the bed of the river, the
17		acthe river receives heavy recreational use by boat".
18	Q	Now, do you agree with that statement
9	A	Pers
20	Q	as a BLM position?
21	A	No. I would not. I think it's not heavy.
22	Q	Now, I want you to answer this next question I'm going
23		to ask you and it perhaps will be more than one question
24		On each river(laughter). Now, you have described these
25		rivers in great detail as we've gone along But I would

like to have one very brief concise description, placed-so that it'd be placed in one place in this record, describing the physical characteristics of these rivers, one at a time. Now, what I have in mind is such things as dimension, the braiding, the . . . the delta affect, the--whatever you can think of which would--which would give me a very brief general description of these rivers in one place in the record.

- A Alright. May I move to the map? Or--or the--the picture, just to refresh my memory?
- Q No, I think you shouldn't, because--
- A Okay.
- Q --I don't want a detailed description.
- A Okay.
- Q If you can't do it--if you can't answer what I'm asking you to do, you can say so. But if you can give me a very broad general description of the rivers, as--as you can picture them, the country they run through, I would appreciate it.
- A Okay. The--starting first with the Nation River, is as small stream, flowing out of the Ogilvie Mountains.

 It is a tributary to the Yukon River on the north side

 The mountains in that place are gently rolling. The rugged part of the Ogilvies are in Cananda and are not in the Kandik--or in the Nation basin. The river itself

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

trends to the southwest. It is located against the
west side of the basin. As I recall, the basin is
generally no wider than fifteen to twenty miles at its
widest point. Maybe slightly more, but generallyit's
a small basin, very narrow, linear in shape. It does
not have the typical pear shape. There are only a few
major tributaries, Ettrain, Hard Luck in particular, that
contribute to those. Both of those are less than twenty
some miles or thirty miles, in general. It is characterized
by many, many shallow areas, many, many log jams which
pile up, a fluctuating water level, in terms ofit comes
up and down very quickly. And that, again, is a function
of the small watershed. It's in perma-frost country.
As a result, when it rains the water can only percolate
down. Many obstructions, very small. You can make a
nice canoeing stream out of it, if you're careful. The
Kandik

- Now, just a minute. Let's--Q
- Α Okay.
- --I'm going to prod you with some--Q
- Alright. Α
- --some suggestions as we go along, to finish this Q description of the Nation. Now, what about the meandering or the braiding?
- Α It is pretty well meandered or braided in its entirety.

1	Q .	Well, whatwhat do you mean by both meandered and
2		braided? Is it one or the other, or both?
3	A	It is one or the other. There are very few places where
4		there is a precise rock or steep banklysteeply entrenched-
5	Q	Okay. Then you would describe, generally, as a sometimes
6		braided, sometimes meandering stream, is that correct?
7	A	In its entirety.
8	Q.	Alright. Now, what about the elevation drop?
9	A	I could not give you a precise figure at this point.
10	Q	Alright. It's just a generalifif you can give me
11		a general idea.
12	A	I'm going from memory and I think it drops around
13	·	between fifteen and twenty feet a mile, on the average,
14		if you take thethe headlands, or near the headlands,
15	,	into the mouth. But that's pure conjecture. II just
16.		don't recall.
17	Q	Alright. Now, you haven't given me a general dimension
18		yet. I'd like to have a general dimension, if you can
19		place figures on length, width, and depth.
20	A	Alright. In the lower stream area, and the mouth of the
21		Nation itself is braided into many mouor ininto many
22		channels, theat this point the main channel's on the
23		rightor left bank. Coming upstream to Hard Luck your

stream thread, where there's water, probably averages

a hundred to a hundred and fifty feet. And you'll have

some places that are narrow, some places that arebut
let's just say a hundred and fifty feet, in width. If
you went along and systematically measured depth, you
would find against the cutbanks waters to four to five
perhaps six feet. Rather characteristically against
one bank or the other, but those are almost always
separated in a very short distance by a bar running
completely across the river.

- Q Can you categorize depth with some general term?
- A I don't think you can, in this case, because it--there's too much varibility.
- Q Let's compare it to--to other rivers that you know of.
 Or rivers that I might know. The Columbia River. Is
 this a shallow stream, a deep stream, a medium shallow,
 or what?
- A Well, using the Columbia it's an extremely shallow . . Washington. The Middle Fork of the Sand, at its headwaters?
- Q Well . . .
- A Again, I'm trying to think of a river which--which would-
- Q Well, okay, there's enough testimony, I can gather--I can pick this up.
- A It's a--it's a--it is--you can't say the average depth is, in a meaingful way, because the average is composed of both the deep water against the bank and the shallow

1		·
1		water over the bar. And in either the upstream oror
2		downstream movement it's not how deep the water is, but
3		how often you have shallow water.
4	Q	Alright. Did youdid you say whether it's deltaed or
5		not?
6	Α	It is. The mouth is braided out into about six or seven
7		different channels. During low flow, normal summer, you
8		normally have only one channel carrying water.
9	Q	And is it classed as a glacial stream or a non-glacial?
10	A	Non-glacial.
11	Q	And does that mean it's a clear stream?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And when is it frozen, as aas a rule?
14	A	We've heard previous testimony. I can say for a fact
15	,	that on May 5 in '75 it was frozen solid at that point
16	Q ,	Can you just tell me generally what is the season or the
17		time in which it is useable foras a running stream,
18		as opposed to being frozen?
19	A	Probably starting someplace in mid-June through freeze-up,
20		depending on whether you've had rain or not. And the
21		reason I say mid-June is you'd have to wait until you've
22	Q	·What's the approximate time of freeze-up?
23	A	Probably within the next thirty days. Early to mid-October
24	Q	Early to mid-October. Now, what is thecacan you char-
25		acterize the rate of the flow with some word, such as rapid

i		, and the second of the second
1		or slow or whatever?
2	A	Could I say the freeze-up probably is in November, not
3		October.
4	Q	Alright.
5	A	Is that
6	Q	We'll change that to November. Alright.
7	A	ℓ The flow is very swift and I'd say overall average is
8		five to six miles an hour.
9	Q	You'd consider that as a swift flowing stream?
10	A	Moderately swift.
11	Q	Moderately swift.
12	A	And what about the character of thethe bed, that is,
13		rapids, riffles, so forth?
14	Q	It's an interspersed area of rapids and riffles. Pool,
15	,	riffle, pool, riffle. The general bed character of the
16		river is gravel. Itthe size of it varies a little
17		bit, but generally it's gravel.
18	Q	Now, I know there's been a lot of testimony on this,
19		but I'm going to ask you this, can you anticipate, in
20		your mind, as toas to what type of craft will be
21		using this river and for what purposes?
22	A	I can not invision the river being used, as an example,
23		for commericialnow, again, we're talking
24	Q	No, just tell me what you think it can be used for, what
25		type of craft?
[]		

1	Α .	As an example, sight-seeing, taking a hunting upstream,
2		if you're doing it, you could do that.
3	Q	Well, whatwhat type of craft is this?
4	A	You'd probably use a jet boat. And that is aa flat
5	·	bottomed, anyplace to twenty feet long, five to six
6		feet wide, and it has anyplace between twenty-five and
7		fifty horse power with a small jet unit on the back.
8		That'sthat's the typical standard today.
9	Q	You're not ignoring further canoe travel, are you?
10	A	No. I was just
11	Q	Well, tell me
12	A	only starting with the motorized, because your problem
13	:	is
14	Q	Okay.
15	A	is you've got to go upstream, so I was starting with
16	,	the things you get upstream, with the least
17	Q	Alright.
18	A	degree of difficulty.
19	Q	What else?
20	A	If you were going to float downstream, you've got to
21		get upstream, and that means you'd have to walk your
22		canoe or line it upstream to get there. You could not
23		paddle it. The current is too swift to paddle. So
24		you'd have to walk it. You're going to go upstream,
25		come down, or airlift it in to come back down.

1	Q	Is canoe one of the crafts, then, that would be using it
2	A	Yes. And I've seen
3	Q ¹	But you're saying it would be used only for going down-
4		stream.
5	A	I'm saying that to go upstream, you'd have to walk.
6		To get it upstream to come back down, you'd have to walk
7		The only way we got craft into the area ourselves was
8		airlift it in. We've heard testimony from other people
9		about how they have to walk, they could not paddle,
10		on a canoe.
11	Q	Alright. What about the general area? What is thethe
12		remoteness of the area?
13	A	I would call it very remote. You're, by river, sixty
14	-	miles downstream fromor fifty miles downstream from
15		Eagle, a relatively small population. And you've got
16	,	to drive two hundred and some miles by road to even
17		get to that point, off of the main highway.
18	Q	Alright. Is there habitation within the Nation basin?
19	A	The only one that I'm aware of, on aI'm aware of none
20		on a permanent basis. I'm aware of some seasonal
21		occupancy by trappers.
22	Q	Alright.
23	A	I'm aware of no one living there year round.
24	Q	Alright. Let's go through the Kandik River now, please.
25	A	The Kandik has a single mouth entering the Yukon River.

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

mouth lasts for -- in a defined channel for probably a quarter of a mile to a half a mile. And it immediately turns into a very shallow stream. From there upstream to the vicinity of Judge Creek, which is about twentyfour river miles, the stream is extremely meadering and braided. And it's--inter-spokes. The river runs out throught the trees in many, many different channels, when the water's high. When the water's low, it would depend on where the be--bed had been scoured the--the deepest at -- on any given year.

- That's alternately meandering and braiding? Q
- Yes. But in--in this area it is more braided than meandered. At moving above Easy Moose--or Judge Creek the river is meandered through, again, a short area of open tundrad. And then at mile thirty-five, approximately, you enter Johnson Gorge. At Johnson Gorge you're back into a confined -- the river's confined on one side or the other and very short topographically. It's a very defined channel. You have deep water. From that point upstream, through the selection area, which is another twenty miles, again--
- Q So you have about twenty miles of -- of deep channel water?
- Which are interspersed, again, at regular intervals, Α but further apart. And then down below you've probably

3

4

Α

5 6

8

9

7

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

Q

2122

23

24

25

got--if I remember right I think there were fifteen major areas in--in that area.

- Q Okay. Proceed with just a general description.
 - Above the selection area you move into a meander. Okay. You begin to lose your conciseness, the river, again, begins to spread out a little bit. And as you've moved upstream, you've lost the few tributaries coming in. Now, the Kandik is not only a bigger stream, but it's centerally located in its basin. Again, I think the maximum width's about twenty feet across--or twenty miles across, ridgetop to ridgetop. It's long, it's linear, has the same rolling moutain character as does the adjacent Nation. Above Big Sitdown Creek the river just continually gets smaller and shallower. As you go upstream. And where we put in in Canada the -- there were just three small things -- three small, literally, streamlets coming together and the stream channel, in September of '72 when we put in, was--if you'd turned the canoe sideways, you'd have been in trouble on some--Okay. Can you generalize some type of dimension to this river?
 - Starting at the mouth you have--through that first twenty some miles, the general thread of the stream would be a hundred to a hundred and fifty feet wide. An average, probably, of--I think a hundred to a hundred and fifty

is close to being accurate. Water is generally shallow. When you move up above that into the above Judge Creek and through the canyon, the river begins to become more confined, you get a little bit deeper water. You have fewer shallow places interspersed with those, until you get back up above Ettrain Creek and above the selection ar--or, excuse me, above Big Sitdown Creek. And it's just--you know, as you're passing Indian Grave, which is downstream from that, it gets a little bit smaller and a little bit shallower. It--it just progressively disappears as you go upstream.

- Q Okay. What's--has the width changed now?
- A You've gone from a hundred--no more than a hundred and fifty feet, in the lower area, to--you're probably still pulling--pulling close to your hundred to a hundred and fifty feet in the upper area. The difference is it's a little bit deeper.
- Q Alright.
- And a more uniform bed in shape. And then above that it probably cuts to not more than seventy-five feet.

 And in Canada it was sixteen to thirty feet. And again,

 I'm talking about the thread of the water itself, not the area between vegetation lines.
- Q Alright. Now, would you describe it in the same manner as you've described, previously, the Nation River as far

Α

as some of these elements are concerned, such as the
rate of flow, the rapids, and so forth? Or if it's
different from the Nation, tell me how it differs?
I would say it's a little bit more stable than the
Nation in it's flow characteristics, because it has a
slightlyslightly larger basin. But it would react
in the same fashion. And I think to the same degree
thatin other words, both of themif you drop two
inches of rain in the Nation, I would expect it to
react exactly the same way if you dropped in the same
general vicinity ofof this drainage two inches of
rain. The only difference is is you're dropping it
over a bigger area, which means it may last just a littl
bit longer. Youryour peak may last slightly longer,
but itit would be very, very slightly longer.

- Q Would the--would--would the non-frozen period be about the same?
- A Yes.
- Q Would the--is the glacial the same or--or the clearness the same?
- A They're--they're both clear.
- Q The--the elevation loss?
- A Approximately the same. You might have slightly more, since it's longer.
- Q And the habitation?

1	A	I'm aware of one person who's living there year round					
2	Q	It's about the same as the other one?					
3	A	No, there's one person that does apparently live year					
4		round					
5	Q	Okay.					
6	A	-at the mouth.					
7	Q	The river					
8	A	On the Kandik, at three mile.					
9	Q	remoteness?					
10	A	The same.					
11	Q	And would your testimony as to the use by types of					
12		craft be different here as what you said would be the					
13	·	case on the Nation?					
14	A	I think overall it'd be the same.					
15	Q	About the same?					
16	Α,	Yes.					
17	Q	Alright.					
18		MR. LUOMA: Does this cause you to want to					
19	ask any further questions on cross-examination?						
20		MS. TAYLOR: No, Your Honor.					
21		MR. LUOMA: Alright. Thank you very much. (Pause)					
22	Call your next witness.						
23	·	MS. NEVILLE: Yes, I'd like to call Phil Bailey.					
24		PHILLIP BAILEY					
25	Being	first duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows:					

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11 12

1314

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

~~

25

BY MS. NEVILLE:

- Q Could you state your name for the record, please?
- A My name is Phil Darrell Bailey (ph).
- Q And what is your occupation, Mr. Bailey?
- A I'm lead realty specialist, Fortymile Resource Area down in Tok, work for Bureau of Land Management.
- Q How long have you held that position?
- A Two years.
- Q Okay. Could you give us a brief description of your educational and professional background?
- A I received a Bachelors of Science from Oklahoma State in forestry, in 1966. I worked for private industry in the south for two years. Worked for Bureau of Indian Affairs and Forestry for seven. I worked in several different states in the Lower Forty-eight. I worked in Southeastern Alaska, off Ketchikan. Tranferred to the Interior. I have a realty background in forestry, due to most of the access problems, road construction and whatnot. It's a lot easier for the Forestry to get the access than realty, cause we were in the field doing it. I've worked in Alaska for four years. I've worked both in BAI realty and BLM.
- Q Okay. Could you tell us exactly what your duties and responsibilities are as lead realty specialist for the Fortymile Resource Area?

- 1		
1	· A	Okay. As lead realty I'm in charge of the lands and
2		minerals program of the Fortymile Resource Area. Any
3		lands transactions, whether it's easements, withdrawals,
4		right of ways, temporary use permits, any insular related
5		problems or not necessarily problems, but Native allot-
6		ments, easements, this type of work. Homesteads. Any
7		of your occupancy.
8	Q	Do you supervise other people?
9	A	Yes. I supervise five at the present time. Five
10		permanents.
11	Q	And are these people also realty specialist?
12	A	No, not all of them. I have one geologist, two NRS's
13		or
14	. Q	What are NRS's?
15	A	I'm sorry. Natural Resource specialists. Actually
16		there's three. One of them deals with the pipeline.
17		And I have one realty specialist.
18	Q	Okay. Now, could you explain, using the map if it
19		would be helpful, what the Fortymile Resource Area
20		encompasses?
21	Α	The map here is not quite large enough. Our boundary
22	ļ	startsthe easiest way would be to give you a verbal
23	•	description. Leaving Fairbanks, going towards Delta,
24		when you hit the Salcha River that's our north boundary.

It follow the Salcha River up to about Woodchopper.

It goes to

1		is in Alaska.
2	Q	Okay. Thank you. In your position, as lead realty
3		specialist, do you often use boats in doing field work?
4	A	Yes, we do.
5	Q	Had you had any prior boating experience before you
6		became employed in Tok?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Could you tell us what types of boats you've used?
9	A .	Okay. Just in Alaska alone, when I was working at
10		Ketchikan I had a twenty-eight foot cabin cruiser. I
11		had a twenty Merc 165, it was an inboard. And since
12		I come to the Interior standard equipment are canoes,
13		river boats, kayaks, and rubber rafts.
14	Q	Do you own a boat?
15	, A	Yes, I do.
16	Q	Would you tell us what kind?
17	A	I own a nineteen foot Gremlin, with a lift and a fifteen
18		horse motor.
19	Q	Have you used boats on many Alaska rivers?
20	A	Yes, I have. Probably ten or twelve rivers, both
21		professionally and as a hobby.
22	Q	Are you personally familiar with the Nation and the
23		Kandik Rivers?
24	A	I'm familiar with both of them, primarily with overflights
25		And I have been on both of them to a limited extent by

2

3

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

boat and on foot.

- Q Okay. If you could--let's concentrate on the Nation River first. Could you tell us when you have been on the Nation River or to the Nation River and what portions of the river--I--I think the best way to approach this might be a chronological list of the trips you've taken to the river area.
- A Okay. Physically or in the air?
- Q Why don't you go ahead and give us both.
- A Okay. I have overflown both rivers several times each year.
- Q Excuse me, let's just talk about the Nation first, okay?
- A Okay. Sorry. The first part of June, I don't remember the exact day, but I believe it was the fourteenth, I flew the Nation River. We had a report of an unauthorize to use. Report of cabin. On the fifteenth we hired a gentleman out of Eagle with a twenty foot jet boat.

 And we tried going up the Nation River. We got approximately a half mile till we hit the first major sandbar. The gentleman that owned the boat declined to go any further, until we walked a ways and le--see what the rest of the river looked like.
- Q What year was this?
- A This was '77.
- Q Okay.

1	A .	June 15th.
2	Q	Okay. Was that your first experience on the ground on
3		the Nation River?
4	A	Yes, it was.
5	Q	Okay. And what was the purpose of that trip?
6	A	We were looking for new cabin construction.
7	Q	Now, when youI believe you stated you hired a gentleman
8		in Eagle
9	A	Yes, I did.
10	Q	to bring you to the Nation River. Did youwhat did
11	•	you hire him to do, to take you to the Nation River
12		or to take you up the Nation River?
13	A	He was hired to transport us up the Nation River, if he
14		could. He told us in Eagle that if the spring run-off
15		was still in procprogress, he could take us up part
16		way. But ifand he felt we were about a week late.
17		We would not be able to go up the river.
18	Q	Now, how far up the river was the place you wanted to
19	·	investigate?
20	А	Twelve miles. Twelve to thirteen.
21	Q	Is that in the vicinity of Hard Luck Creek?
22	A	Yes, it is. You've seen pictures of the cabin in
23		previous testimony. It's about three-quarters
24	Q	It was that cabin.
25	A	of a mile above Hard Luck.

- Q Who--who was this person in Eagle?
- A The gentleman that we hired the boat from was Dave Roy.
- Q And could you describe what type of a boat he had? You said it was a jet boat.
- A Right. It's a--a twenty foot length. It's approximately six feet wide, he has a--I believe it's a three sixty-five horse inboard, its a jet engine.
- Q Um-hm. Do you know what the draft for that type of boat it?
- A I have ask him. And if I remember correctly, he said he would usually go in about six, eight inches of water.
- Q Okay. When you--you came in this boat from Eagle up to the mouth of the Nation?
- A Yes.
- Q Would you describe for us what you saw and what you did after you reached the mouth of the Nation?
- A Okay. The mouth of the Nation--it's a braided river, as previously testified. We looked for the best channel in which to go up. And it--we ended up going up from the left hand side. We ran into approximately three log jams. One of them we had to back off from once. And had to get up enough speed to manuever around when we made it through there. The other two were a should we say a nuiance. No great difficultly, cause of the horse power we had. And just above the third

14

15

16.17.

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

one we ran into a gravel bar. We had to hang, I guess to the left bank of the river, cause it was the only place that was deep enough. We eventually beached the boat. We walked approximately a quarter to a half mile upstream, because Dave Roy that said if it was enough on above, he would try this one shallow stretch. We walked up three, four hundred yards, there was another gravel bar. It had exposed rock. And at that time Dave said he would not try it.

- Q When you say exposed rock what do you mean?
- A Okay. With a gravel bar all the way across the river and the rocks were sticking out. The water wasn't even covering the tops of them. There was--in the main channel there was probably less than a foot of water.

 And I would guess, I'm going from memory, it was probably six to eight feet wide.
- Q Okay. Have you been present for Mr. Tileston's slide presentation?
- A Most of it, yes.
- Q Did you see the . . . I believe it would be the first series of pictures that he showed on the Nation, because his presentation went from the mouth upstream. Did you see the first part of that presentation?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q Do you remember the pictures of the August water level?

_		i believe all his slides of that portion of the fiver
2		were in August, because
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	he didn't go there in
5	A	Yes, I do.
6	Q	Was the water level on June 15th of 1977 which you
7		observed, would you say it was comparable to that or
8		higher or lower to the conditions which he showed in
9		those slides?
10	A	I'd say it was comparable.
11	Q	Okay. Could you describe, youyou walkedwhile you
12		were walking up this quarter of a mile, your observation
13	·	of the river and the conditions?
14	A	The river isis very braided. There's many channels
15		of waters defused over quite a wide area. The one main
16		channel was probably thirty feet in width, that actually
17		had water in it. Usable water. Like I said earlier,
18		I think it was six to eight feet wide, that you possibly
19		float a boat in.
20	Q	Did you attempt to walk across any of these gravel bars?
21	A	Not at that time, we did not.
22	Q ···	Did you walk along the bank or did you ever walk in the
23		water, wade in the water?
24	A	We wereactually we were in the middle of the river, to
25		a certain extent, on gravel bars. And most of the time

1		we were on one large gravel bar. Like I said, it was
2		braided and there were small amounts of water crossing
3		it. Like small creeks, which we waded across.
4	Q	Would you be able, on the basis ofof that trip, where
5		you were walking up, to make any estimate as to whether
6		the flow of the water was swift or slow?
7	A	In the main channel it was swift. The rest of it was
8		so defused that it was running water, that's about all
9		I can say.
10	Q	Okay. After you reached this point, a quarter of a mile
11		from where you started walking, what happened?
12	A	We decided that we had best go on down to the Kandik.
13	·	There was a report of another cabin. So we returned to
14		the boat, went down to the returned back to the Yukon
15	·	River and went down to the mouth
16	Q.	How far
17	A	of the Nation.
18	Q	Do you know how far upstream you were when youyou
19		stopped the boat?
20	A	Approximately a quarter to a half mile.
21	. Q	Did you encounter any difficulties going back downstream
22		with the jet boat?
23	A	Not that I recall.
24	Q	Okay. Have you made other trips to the Nation River?
25	A	I have since then, yes.

Q .	And	when	was	the	next	trip?
₹ .	And	when	was	the	next	trip?

- July 18th of this year.
- And how did you reach the Nation river on that trip?
- Again, we hired Dave Roy and his jet boat to carry us The only difference was this time we carried our nineteen foot Gremlin and nine and a half horse power motor with us.
- (Pause) And so you anticipated that you wouldn't be taking the jet boat upstream?
- Did Mr. Roy let you off at the mouth or did he make any attempt to get into--up the Nation River?
 - He made no attempt. We went approximately a quarter of a mile down the Yukon, below the mouth. He pulled into the bank, we off-loaded the nineteen foot Gremlin, which we had actually loaded in his boat to carry it, in lieu of trailing it behind. We put our motor, gas can, and the material we were carrying with us, which was primarily a camera for taking photographs, and a briefcase. that time Dave Roy went across the Yukon River, in the vicinity of Nations to pitch camp. We attempted to run the Nation River.
- Was your purpose on the July 18th trip to investigate the same cabin?
- Α Yes, it was.

	2	
	3	
	4	
, 87	5	
	6	
	7	
,	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	.1	
1	2	
1	.3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8 9	
	0	
2	1	and the second
2	2	The same of the same of
2	3	

Q .	Okay.	Could	l you	des	cribe	your	trip	up	stre	eam	on	the
	Nation	from	the	time	you	were	left	off	on	the	Yt	ıkon?

- A Okay. Unfortunately I was operating the motor, and having flown the Nations I know that the mouth is braided, I attempted to go up the right hand channel, instead of the left. Because there was quite a bit of water coming out, it was clear. And I got in approximately a quarter of a mile and hit a deadend. And then I had to back off and I hit the Yukon again.
- Q What do you mean a deadend?
- A I mean I run out of water, I hit just a gravel bar.
- Q There was no water or too little water?
- A There was no water. The depth right in there was roughly two feet, with a blind--and I say a blind canyon, a blind channel.
- Q Was that similar to the slide that Mr. Tileston showed where you had a completely blocked channel at the mouth, with . . .
- A It's similar, but it isn't quite exact. Because this one lead in I'd say roughly a quarter of a mile.
- Q Okay. So you went back.
- A So I went back. We went up the left hand channel. We got as far as we did, to the first gravel, that we did the previous year with the jet boat, with our nineteen foot Gremlin. I raised the motor and attempted to go

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

up it, but we ran aground. We got out of the boat, and the boat would raise then, and we just drug it or hand lined it through the shallows until, I'd say probably a hundred feet, the water's deep enough. We got back in and started off again.

MR. LUOMA: I'd wish you'd first decribe the Gremlin and what it drafted and everything, so I'll know whatwhat it is you're talking about now.

Α Okay.

> MR. LUOMA: How loaded was it?

Α The Gremlin we were using was a nineteen foot aluminum boat with a square stern. It's a canoe. We had a lift on the stern for raising the motor. The lift weighs approximately thirty pounds. The nine and a half horse motor weighs approximately seventy, maybe seventy-five. And we had six gallons of gas. was three of us in the boat. Two of us--well, the three of us would weigh maybe five hundred and fifty pounds. So there was roughly seven hundred pounds or so in the boat.

MR. LUOMA: And what do you guess the draft to be?

Α Roughly four to six inches. Okay. This process of going, I'd estimate three to four hundred yards, hitting another gravel bar, we would get out . . . pull the canoe through

- the shallows, to where it's deep enough that we could put--get back in and operate the motor. This was repeated I don't know how many times.
- Now, when the three of you got out of the canoe--could you estimate how much--well, first, how much the equipment that you had placed in the canoe weighed, how much the weight of the cargo in the canoe was?
- A The cargo consisted of--other than the motor and the gas, of a briefcase, maybe fifteen pounds, and a camera.

 And that was basically it.
- Q Okay. So even with the gas, you probably had less than fifty pounds?
- A Yes.
- Q Could you describe for us what is involved in hand lining a canoe that was loaded like that? Did you find that to be a difficult task?
- As a rule, no. Normally what one of us would do--we were all wearing hip-waders. One of us would--we alternated, one of us would grab the line on the bow and we would just simply wade upstream through the shallows and tow the canoe, which was generally no problem. In some of the areas we encountered extremely swift water. We had trouble standing. And the drag of the boat--it was a lot easier for one of us to be behind the boat, pushing on the motor. For instance,

1

4

6 7

9

Α

8

11

10

1213

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

where the water was deep enough that we could navigate through it, we found that the ten horse motor with three of us in it was all it could do to carry us over the gravel bars. So the water was constricted, be deep enough for us to go through it.

- Q Did this process of encountering shallows, where you would have to get out of the boat and drag, continue all the way up to Hard Luck Creek, where the cabin is?
 - all the way up to Hard Luck Creek, where the cabin is? We did not go all the way to Hard Luck Creek. There were two other cabins this side of Hard Luck. One of them's at two and a half mile. We stopped at this cabin, there It appeared not to have been used was on one around. since this spring. So we proceeded on up. twice the water was so shallow that we had to literally drag the canoe empty over the rocks. At approximately six miles, where the second cabin's located, we checked It had taken us right at four hours to cover six miles. It was about four-thirty or five in the evening. And I decided that it wasn't likely that the gentleman would be home upstream. If he was there, it would not meet the purpose of going on up. turned around and headed downstream.
- Q Was it easier going back downstream?
- A Oh, it was much easier. The first thing we did was take the motor off and lay it in the bottom of the

canoe. And we floated virtually all the way down. Even across the shallows. And at three different places we had to literally drag the canoe. And we had to get out several times, because with three of us in there it was too shallow. We'd ground out. One or two of us could step out and the canoe would go on through, then we'd step back in. At about two or three locations it was so shallow that we all had to get out and literally drag the canoe across the rocks.

- Q Would--would you say that the water level on your
 July 18th, 1978 trip was--how would you compare it
 to the slides you've seen of the same area that were
 taken in August of 1978?
- A Very similar. As a matter of fact, the one slide, I don't remember the number, that shows the log jam in the middle of the stream, where there's a tight deviation, we motored up to is. And we elected to get out and drag the boat over a pile of rocks, in lieu of trying to run around it. It was too dangerous, in my opinion, for my ability.
- Q How would you compare the level of water on the Nation on your July 18th, 1978 trip to that on your June 15th, 1977 trip?
- A They were very similar. If anything, there may have

1		been a little more water in '77.
2	Q	Thank you. Okay. Did youdodid you go to the Nation
3		River again, after your July 18th trip of this year?
4	A	Yes, we did. We went in August 25th by helicopter.
5	Q	By helicopter. Were you again trying to get to the
6		same area?
7	A	Yes, we were.
8	Q	Did you land the helicopter?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Where did you land the helihelicopter, approximately?
11	A	Approximately twelve, thirteen miles upriver, about
12		three-quarters of a mile above Hard Luck, right by the
13		cabin. We landed on a gravel bar.
$14 \parallel$	Q	Okay. From your observations on that visit did you
15		find a similar water level on August 25th?
16	A	No, I did not.
17	Q	Could you describe what you observed of the river on
18		your August 25th trip?
19	A	There was quite a bit more water in August than there
20		was in July.
21	Q	Was there as much waterwas it comparable to the slides
22	ta s	you saw of the Hard Luck Creek area that were taken
23		in June of 1978, that Mr. Tileston presented?
24	A ^r	It looked very similar, yes.
25	0	Okay Let's switch and go to the Kandik River Have

1		you taken trips to the Kandik River?
2	A	Yes, I have.
3	Q	Okay. When was the first time that you went to the
4		Kandik River?
5	A	June 15th of '77.
6	, Q	Was this the same trip that was when you came up from
7		Eagle by jet boat?
8	A	Yes, it was. We justwhen we couldn't go up the
9		Nations, we went on down to the Kandik.
10	Q	Okay. Didis is Dave Roy, attempt to ascend the Kandik
11	-	River on that day in his jet boat?
12	A	Yes, he did.
13	Q	Were you able to successfully get up the Kandik?
14	A	No, we got approximately three-quarters to maybe a mile
15		before we ran into a gravel
16	Q	Okay. First, where were you trying to go on the Kandik?
17	A	Okay. Threemile Creek. Previous testimony has shown
18		slides of the cabin. We were trying to reach the cabin
19		to talk with the individual or to see if there's been
20		any activity in that area. We made it roughly three-
21		quarters of a mile before we ran into gravel. We beache
22	*. .	the boat, we walked upstream a ways, and we could see
23		that there was more shallows ahead. So at that time we
24		suggested to Dave Roy that he pitch camp right on that
25		gravel bar. And Leroy Cook and I hiked up to the cabin.
i	l	

8

6

14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

2223

24

- Q So you hiked three and a half miles--three miles up the river?
- Well, that's what we thought it was going to be. Actually we started hiking--we thought we'd be there in and hour and a half. We tried to ford the river at-on one gravel bar and it wasn't very deep nor very wide, but it was quite swift. And we started across--the current was swift enough that it moved the rocks out from under our feet. Since the cabin was on the same side of the river we were on, we just elected to stay on that side and hike the rest of the distance. Some of the slides from the previous testimony showed full channels. Those are -- some of those are, in fact, sloughs, which are quite deep, because we found we could not ford those next to the river. We ended up hiking a quarter to a half mile off the river before we could find a place where we could ford it. It took us approximately three hours to get to the cabin.
- Q Did you observe any log jams on that portion of the Kandik River?
- A Log jams were numerous and so were sweepers. We, at times, wading into the river and elected to walk up it, as there were no trails. In some instances the river was too deep and we actually climbed over, next to the bank, log jams.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

- Q Have you . . . Okay. On this July 15th hike could you-it's not July 15th, that was June 15th, 1977, excuse
 me. Was the water level and the conditions that you
 observed on that trip, were they similar to the August
 3rd and 4th of 1978 slides we showed of the lower
 portions of the Kandik?
- A They appeared to be similar, yes.
- Q In your estimatation would--was the water level higher or lower or just about the same?
- A I would say it was about the same.
- Q Have you made other trips to the Kandik River?
- A No, I haven't. We started, but we ran into minor difficulties, engine problems.
- Q When was that?
- A That was this year. We had--when we went up the Nations Dave Roy took us up, we took the Gremlin and we dinged up the prop pretty good, on the rocks. We pitched camp that night. One of the gentleman at the fish camp above us let his canoe get loose and it drifted down-river. And we were carrying just enough fuel to go to the Nations and the Kandik, then return back to Eagle.

 This is for the jet boat.
- Q Um-hm.
- A Around eleven o'clock that night the gentleman had borrowed another canoe and was coming downstream looking

- 1		
1		for his. His was a motorized, he had a ten horse motor
2		He was approximately five miles below his camp. So
3		we lent him our boat, the jet boat, to go look for
4		his canoe. And when he returned he had
5	Q	Was thatwas that coming down the Yukon?
6	A	That was coming down the Yukon.
7	Q	Okay.
8	А	And when-*they found his boat, but when they returned
9		we did not have enough fuel to proceed on down to the
,0		Kandik.
1	Q	Kandik. So it wasn't a problem with the Kandik so much
2		as a problem with your equipment
3	A	Right.
14	Q	that prevented you You said that you had dinged
15		up a propellor. I'm confused. Was that on theon your
16		canoe or on the jet boat?
17	A	Okay. That was on the canoe. The jet boat does not
18 :		have a prop.
9	Q	Where did you ding up your propellor on the jeton your
20		canoe, was that as a result of your attempting to get
21	.	up the Nation River?
22	Α	Yes, it was. The Nation is quite shallow and we have
23		a lift worked by hand. I don't know whether you're
24		familiar with them. And you'll be going upstream, you'd
25		feel the motor hounce. You were hitting rocks. You

23

24

25

would lift the motor by hand to keep going. And eventually you would lift the motor completely out of the water. Or the prop would be in the rocks. The bottom of the river is not smooth, there are--some rocks are larger than others. And we'd be going along, you'd never even see the rock. And we'd hit it and it'd tilt the motor.

- Q Are you familiar, as a result of your position as a realty specialist, of the land status in the area of the Nation and Kandik Rivers?
- A Yes, I am.
- Q Would you be in a position to know if there are any Native allotments along the Nation or the Kandik River?
- A Along the Nation or the Kandik there are none. At the mouth of the Kandik there is one.
- Q How about along that stretch of the Yukon, like from--I'm not sure. I guess you said your boundary was at .
- A By Woodchopper.
- Q Woodchopper. From Woodchopper to Eagle, is that within your area?
- A Yes, to the Canadian border.
- Q Are there any Native allotments along the Yukon in that area?
- A Yes, there are quite a number. The exact number I could not tell you without a--an actual count. The summer of '77 we did something like thirty parcels on

ve

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

the Nat:	ive al	lotments	just	on	the	Yuko	n Rive	er.	And	they
been wo:	rking	on Native	allo	tme	ents	for	quite	a n	umbe	r of
years.	Our p	redecesso	rs ha	ıd.	We	just	finis	shed	it	up.

- Q Is there any land along the Nation or the Kandik River that has been patented to private individuals?
- A There is one forty acre parcel across the river, on the south side of the Yukon, from Nations that is patented.

 It's in the general area of where the old community of Nations is located. There's--
- Q Where--go on, I'm sorry.
- A There's one other parcel of patented land, but it's down by the Tatonduk River, which is the bottom--the lower right hand of this map, which is out of the--actually it's out of the D-2 area and the Doyon selection.
- Q We--were you present at this hearing on Tuesday, the first day of the hearing?
- A No, I was not.
- Okay. There was some testimony regarding cabins in the area. And I believe the testimony was that if you considered a cabin as—as something that had a roof on it there were probably thirty—five to forty in the area between Circle and Eagle on the Yukon River. Would you be in a position to know, I—I'm assuming from your previous testimony that part of what you do is look for cabins, how many there are in that general area?

- 1		
1	A	Okay. I would not be in a position to know all of that
2		since our resource area ends just east of Woodchopper.
3		Along the Yukon River to the border there are sixteen
4		that I know of, one or two more thatshould I say we
5		haven't located yet.
6	Q	Are these along
7	A	That we know that are in the area.
8	Q	the Yukon?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	All of them?
11	A	Right along the Yukon River.
12	Q	Okay. And how many cabins are you aware of along the
13		Nation River?
14	A	As you've described them, with a roof, I'm aware of
15		three.
16	Q	And
17	A	That are useable.
18	Q.	And where are they located along the Nation?
19	A	Okay. The first one's located approximately, going
20		upstream from the Yukon, two and a half miles. The
21		second cabin is located at approximately six miles.
22	ı •	And the third cabin is approximately twelve to thirteen
23		miles, which is in the vicinity of Hard Luck Creek.
24	Q	Okay. And how many cabins are you aware of that are
25	· !	along the Kandik River?

A

A Starting at the mouth, counting the cabin that's on the Native allotment, there are three. One of them is right at the mouth. It's, shall we say, a trespass cabin.

Almost directly across from it is the Native allotment.

And then up on Threemile Creek there's the third cabin.

Q In your work as lead realty specialist for the Fortymile

- Q In your work as lead realty specialist for the Fortymile Resource Area do you have any duties that relate to the determination of navigability of streams in the area?
- A Yes, we do.
- Q Could you tell us what your part in the process is?
 - Okay. The--after the selection's made by the Native group, Village Corporation, whatever, we send out letters, and we have a mailing list of approximately a hundred and ten government/state agencies, individuals private groups, and we ask for nominations for easements recommendations, or whatever. This stuff is complied into a report called the easement report. And this includes the--all of your easements, whether it's trails campsites, navigable streams, navigable lakes, or whatever. We end up trying to verify as much of this as possible. A lot of information we have received we have not physically, on the ground, checked. For the simple reason that we don't have the money nor the time to cover all of it. Most of it--it goes to task force. It's up to the nominating agency or individuals to show

		•
1		us sufficient proof that it should remain.
2	Q	How about navigability, isas opposed to easements?
3		I realize that they're treated together, But I want to
4		concentrate on navigability determinations. Is field
5		work generally done by the people in the district, you
6		know, the area offices?
7	A	If any field work is done, it is done by the area office
8		If you're thinking the Nations and Kandik, I did not do
9		that, that was before my time. But my predecessor did
10		field examining.
.11	Q	And who was that?
12	A	Dave Williams.
13	Q	Is he still with BLM?
14	A	No, he does not (sic).
15	Q	Do you know where he is working now?
16	A	Yes. He's working for Doyon. He's the head of their
17		land department.
18	Q	Do youdid you review his field report?
19	A	I have reviewed it, but it's been approximately two
20		years ago.
21	Q	Did you ever talk to Dave Williams about what type of
22		a field investigation he did, specifically on the Nation
23		or the Kandik Rivers?
24	A	I have. It's been, like I said, about two years ago,
25		because I replaced him down at the Fortymile. We got

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	The state of the s
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

Q

aa map out and we were discussing these, along with
the other easements. And he said that he had overflown
the Nations, the Kandik, and the Black River with a
helicopter. He had landed along the gravel bars and
physically inspected the depth of the water, or whatever
Now, whether he took an actual measurement, I don't know
Dave has been in thein Alaska in the field doing this
type of work for a number of years. And I know what
I would have done, I would have looked at it and I
could fairly well estimate whether or not I could get
alet's say a nineteen foot Gremlin, up it or not.

- Now, you said that he told you he--he landed the helicopter. Did he say that he landed the helicopter on each of these rivers or do you re--do you remember--
- A I don't remember--
- Q --do you know?
- A --that, no.
- Q When a field investigation like this is done for navigability, would he have been investigating only the portions of the river within a given selection?
- A No, he would investigate--in this instant, from the Yukon River up through that selection. For the simple reason that if we're trying to determine navigability, if for any reason there should be a natural barrier, a waterfalls, or whatever, the navigability of that

 24

river could only be up to the waterfalls, or whatever the barrier was. The portion above would be non-navigable.

- Q So--so you treat a river a whole, generally, in doing this type of field work?
- A Yes, we do. We have to.
- Are you aware of how much of the land along these two rivers was selected—had been selected by Doyon at the time that he was doing his field work? Was—were—were these the only areas that Doyon had selected at that time?
- No, I don't--I think virtually all of it. The area along the river, where you've got your heavy lines, the Yukon-Charley proposal, I think Doyon had already selected everything north and east of that. What-- an area we refer to as the Kandik basin. I think all of that was under Doyon's selection. You would have to go back to the original field reports--
- I realize that I'm asking you to testify from things-that you're trying to remember a conversation. Okay.

 Have you personally done navigability field investigation?
- A Yes, I have.
- Q Okay. (Pause) Do you know when jet boats, like the one you hired in Eagle, do you know when they came into

J	
1	common use in the Fortymile Resource Area, the middle
2	Yukon area?
3	A No, I do not.
4	MS. NEVILLE: Okay. I have nothing further.
5	MR. LUOMA: Miss Taylor.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
7	COURT REPORTER: I'm going to have to change
8	tape.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
10	(OFF THE RECORD)
.11	(CHANGE OF TAPE)
12	(ON THE RECORD)
13	MR. LUOMA: Miss Neville, I understand you
14	have another question, before we turn to Miss Taylor, is
15	that correct?
16	MS. NEVILLE: Yes. Thank you.
17	Q (By Ms. Neville) Can you identify what has been marked
18	as Exhibit B, Number Thirty-seven?
19	A Yes, I can. It's the navigability investigation report
20	for the Kandik and Nation Rivers, effecting Doyon,
21	Limited's selection. It's signed by Dave Williams as
22	acting area manager for Fortymile, signed Thomas William
23	Q Thomas D. Williams and the Dave Williams you were
24	referring to are the same invdividual?
25	A Yes, they are.

1	Q Is this the investigation report that you discussed with	
2	Mr. Williams?	
3	A Yes, it is.	-
4	Q And is this the official BLM navigability report that	
5	was prepared by your area office?	
6	A Yes. It was attached to the easement report, part of the	
7	case file.	
8	MS. NEVILLE: Your Honor, I would like to	
9	introduce this into the record.	•
10	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor	
11	MR. LUOMA: Anyany objection to B-Thirty-seven	?
12	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, this is already part	
13	of therecord. It was entered an attachment to BLM's answer.	
14	MR. LUOMA: Alright.	
15	MS. TAYLOR: So I obviously have no objection-	
16	MS. NEVILLE: I'm not sureII was not sure	
17	whether the $\overline{\text{AMTAB}}$ (ph) and your record were the same. And	
18	MR. LUOMA: Alright. B-Thirty-seven's received	
19	in evidence.	
20	MS. NEVILLE: I have no further questions.	
21	MR. LUOMA: Miss Taylor?	
22	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.	
23	BY MS. TAYLOR:	
24	Q Mr. Bailey, are you the only staff member for BLM who	
25	does trespass investigations?	

1	A	No, I'm not.	
2	Q	Does anyone else from BLM do trespass investigations, say	. ;
3		on the Kandik or the Nation Rivers?	141
4	A	Primarily I have assigned that job to Leroy Cook, who	
5		is a staff member there at the Fortymile.	
6	Q	Areare trespass cabins quite a problem for BLM in this	
7		area?	
8	A	There are quite a number of them. To say they are a	
9		problem, yes, they are.	*
10	Q	Why is that?	
11	A	A number of the cabins are on lands that are selected	
12		by either the Village Corporations, Doyon, or part of the	
13		D-2 proposals. We have received quite a number of requests	s
14		from the various agencies and private organizations in-	
15		volved asking us to remove unauthorized use from their	
16		selected lands. And it's quite a job.	
17	Q	Is there a lot of unauthorized use of these lands?	
18	A	There's quite a bit, yes. The problems we've run into	
19		iswe follow the regulations and the individual that	
20		is in trespass immediately goes to his congressman or	
21		congressmen and then we spend considerable time answering	
22		congressional inquiries.	
23	Q	Okay. Now, as I understand the the easement process	
24		the easement determination process that you've testified	
25		to, there is aa communication sent out by BLM with	

1	,
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9 10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
16 17 18	
1.74	1
19	
20	
21	
119 220 221 222 223 224	
23	
24	

25

a notice of the proposed--of recommended or proposed easements on an area, like this selection area, is that-is that correct?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q And as a matter of fact, it's entitled, "Notice of Proposed Easements", and it's--it's sent to quite a few people, I think you testified?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q And they're all given an opportunity to comment?
- A Everyone that has responded in the form of nominating easements in--including the--say Natives, or whoever, all receive copies.
- Q Okay. And as far as you know the only reference to navigability of waters within the area would be contained in that Notice of Proposed Easement recommendation?
- You should have been notified--normally before the notice goes out, before the easement report is taken to the task force, we have a meeting with the Village or the corporation, or whoever, and present all the easements that have been nominated to them, to get their comments on them. This is entered into the record, the official record. Then it is presented to the task force for their recommendations. And then the Notice of Proposed Easement are typed up and sent out.
- Q Okay. So--so this is a lengthly process in developing

1		the easements in this area, is what you're saying?
2	A	It can be at times, yes.
3	Q	Andand everyone does have an opportunity to comment
4		on the
5	A	Certainly.
6	Q	proposed easements? At several stages, not just
7		when they come out in the final proposed form?
8	A	Right.
9	Q	Alright. Is there any separate process that you know
10	of, with regard to navigability of these rivers?	
11	A	I don't quite understand the question.
12	Q	Okay. Is itisis there any similar process to that
13		process that's concerned only with navigability?
14	A	No, because the navigability is in with the rest of the
15		easements.
16	Q,	Okay.
17	A	It's in one report.
18	Q	Now, thethe do you havewhat's the number of
19		that Exhibit. This Exhibit B-Thirty-seven, would you
20		identify this, the navigability investigation report.
21		I wonder if you could look through that report and
22		tell us whether it contains anywhere in there a con-
23		clusion or determination as to navigability?
24	A	(Pause) It's not spelled out explictly, no.
25	Q	Okay. Now, this report is

MR. LUOMA: Well, wait a minute. How is

2	it spelled out?
3	A Well, Your Honor
4	MR. LUOMA: And what's the relevance to the
5	document?
6	MS. TAYLOR: Well, Miss Neville brought it
7	up, Your Honor.
8	MR. LUOMA: IIyeah, I'm asking Miss Neville.
9	MS. NEVILLE: I believe that that report con
10	contains the factual evidence that the person who did the field
11	work obtained. I don't think it was meant toI don't think
12	that the person whowho does the field work is meant to
13	make the determination. I think they're suppose to gather
14	the facts.
15	MR. LUOMA: Well, is there something in the
16	report thatthat does have facts about the Nation and the
17	Kandik Rivers?
18	MS. NEVILLE: Yes, there is.
19	MR. LUOMA: Is that the reason you introduced
20	it?
21	MS. NEVILLE: That's rightwell, principally
22	because I think that an inference had been raised that in fact
23	no field work had been done
24	MR. LUOMA: Okay.
25	MS. NEVILLE:prior to preparation for this

1	heari	ng, Your Honor.
2		MR. LUOMA: Okay. Miss Taylor.
3		MS. TAYLOR: Okay.
4	Q	(By Ms. Taylor) Now, this navigability investigation
5		report is divided intointo two parts, is that correct?
6		The first is a narrative and the second part
7	A	Yes, it is.
8	Q	is a form entitled, "Navigability Field Report"?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Okay. And this navigability invesinvestigation report
11		could be characterized as a field report on the physical
12		characteristics of the river?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q Q	Among other things?
15	A	Yes, it could.
16	Q	Okay. Would it
17	A	There's a description of the field characteristics in
18		here.
19	Q	Okay. Is it not in of itself a navigability determina-
20		tion as theeither river, is it?
21	. A	No.
22	Q ,.	Okay.
23	A	The individuals, like myself, do not make these determina
24		tions. All we do is gather the information and present
25		it.

1	l	
1	Q	Andand this is the sort of report that you might do
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	on a river? Have you
4	A	I have done many of them.
5	Q	Okay. Fine.
6		MS. TAYLOR: I have no further questions.
7		MR. LUOMA: Miss Higgins.
8	BY MS	. HIGGINS:
9	Q	Do you know if copies of the navigability field reports,
10		Exhibit B-Thirty-seven, was sent to the State or Doyon
11		before the BLM determination was made? The determination
12		which is being appealed in this hearing.
13	A	Are you referring to this particular case or in general?
14	Q	This case.
15	A	I have no way of knowing, because I was not there at the
16	,	time.
17	Q	Do you know in general what the procedure would be?
18	A	To my knowledge we have not sent them out, the report.
19		itself. They are notified that the report is in the
20		public room, it's available for public inspection. And
21	·	it's there normally after the Notice of Proposed Ease-
22	1 g •	ments are published for thirty to ninety days.
23		
24	Q	Okay. (Pause) Have you described to us in your
25	•	testimony today all of your ground trips on the Kandik
ļ		or Nation? I think you describeddescribed like three

24

25

1

or four.

- A Basically there's--yeah, I believe I have.
- Q These are all of your personal experiences on the ground?
- A Right.
- Q Apart from flying over the area?
- A That is correct.
- Q Okay. What--what was the date of the trip in which you--you dinged up the--the prop on--on your Gremlin trying to get up the Kandik or the Nation?
- A July 18th of '78.
- Q Okay. I didn't know if that was a separate trip or not. (Pause) Did you know when you made your August 25th, 1978 trip by helicopter that two historians were in the area trying to interview residents about their activities and use of the river, in connection with-with this hearing?
- At the time of the trip . . . I found out when I got back to Eagles, let's put it that way. I had heard one or two weeks earlier--I got a call from our State office and they said that Mr. Brown and someone from the State office was going to be in the Eagle area.

 And they asked to use our facilities there. And, no, I did not know at the time. I found out when we returned to Eagle.
- Q Okay.

1		MS. HIGGINS: Thank you, that's all I have.
2		MR. LUOMA: Anything else, Miss Neville?
3		MS. NEVILLE: I have nothing.
4		MR. LUOMA: Miss Taylor?
5		MS. TAYLOR: Nothing.
6		MR. LUOMA: Let me just ask one question.
7	BY MR	. LUOMA:
8	Q	Did you stastate that athe river above let's say
9		a major waterfall can never be classified as a navigable
10		stream?
11	A	This is what we have been told. That if it's not
12		portageif you can not portage around it, that that
13		portion above it would be classified as non-navigable.
14	Q	Would that be true of a river like the Niagara River,
15		above Niagara Falls?
16	A	I don't know, Your Honor.
17	Q	You just think it'sit's Interior policy to so class
18		it, is that correct?
19	A	This was the guidelines we were given, yes.
20	Q	Even though you might have major traffic between two
21		cities above the waterfalls?
22	A	In that instance it would probably be navigable. What
23	·	we were looking at is in areas where there are no roads.
24		MR. LUOMA: Okay. Thank you. That's all.
25	Is it	time to call it a day?
- 1		

	T	
	2	
	3	
	4	
1	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	li

25

MR. ALLEN: Yeah.

MR. LUOMA: Alright. Let's recess until

nine o'clock tomorrow morning.

(OFF THE RECORD)

* * *

5:40 (END OF DAY'S PROCEEDINGS)

* * *

1	() E R I I I I C A I E
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
3	STATE OF ALASKA)
4	I, Pamela G. Van Sickle, Notary Public in and for the
5	Stare of Alaska, residing at Fairbanks, Alaska, and electronic reporter for R & R Court Reporters, do hereby certify:
6	That the annexed and foregoing XXXXXXXXXXXXX Department of
8	Interior Hearing was taken before me on the 28th day of
9	September , 1978, beginning at the hour of $9:00 \text{ a.m.}$,
0	at the XXXXXXXXXX Federal Building, 101 12th Avenue, Fairbanks, Alaska, pursuant to Notice to take the XXXXXXXXX hearing
.1	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
.2	XXÜNİX XHXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
.4	hearing That this dxxxxxxxxxx, as heretofore annexed, is a true and correct transcription of the testimony of said witness, taken by me electronically and thereafter transcribed by me:
6	hearing That the XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
.7	pose of filing the same with the XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
9	I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsellof any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixe
21	my seal this 8th day of October , 1978.
22	my sear this oth day or october, 1773.
23 24	Pamela A. Van Siello
-	Notary Public in and for Alaska

My commission expires: 3/10/81