	PEAL OF DOYON, LIMITED	*
	om Decision of Bureau of	* ANCAB RLS 76-2
	nd Management	* Navigability of Kandik * and Nation Rivers
-	No. F-19155+26	<pre>* and Nation Rivers *</pre>
4	·	
5	APPEARAŃCES:	
6	JUDGE L. K. LUOMA, presidi:	
7	· ·	
8		g the Department of Interior
9	FRANCIS NEVILLE, represent	ing the Department of Interior
10	ELIZABETH S. TAYLOR, repres	senting Doyon, Limited
11	SHELLY HIGGINS, representi	ng the State of Alaska
	* * *	
12	VOLUME I	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PRO	CEEDINGS
14	Pages 1 through	174
15		
16	**************************************	G
17		September 26, 1978 9:00 a.m.
18	·	Federal Building U.S. District Court
19		Room 336 101 Twelfth Avenue
20	·	Fairbanks, Alaska
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	PAGE NUMBER
2	Introduction	1
3	Opening statement by Mr. Allen	11
4	Opening statement by Ms. Higgins	14
5	The Department of Interior presents its case:	
6	1. Melody Grauman	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Allen Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor	21 69 106
8 9	Cross Examination by Ms. Higgins Redirect Examination by Mr. Allen Recross Examination by Ms. Taylor	114 122
10	Recross Examination by Ms. Higgins Examination by Judge Luoma	122 123
11	2. <u>Mike Brown</u>	·
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Allen Cross Examination by Ms. Taylor	128 152
13	Cross Examination by Ms. Higgins Examination by Judge Luoma	164 173
14	* * *	
15	EXHIBITS	,
16	B-1 Vita of Melody Grauman	22
17	B-2 Map of the area in question	27
18	B-3 Map of the area in question	2.7
19 20	B-4 Historic Study of Yukon-Charley River area done by Ms. Grauman	28
21	B-5 Map of the area in question	128
22	B-6 Photo of tunnel boat in Eagle	138
23	B-7 Photo of tunnel boat in Eagle	138
24	B-8 Photo of tunnel boat at Eagle	138
25	B-9 Photo of motorboat	152
	· ·	

- 1			
1	Exhibits	(continued)	
2			
3	B-10	Photo of motorboat	152
4	B-11	Photo of motorboat	152
5	B-12	Photo of tunnel boat	152
6	B-13	Photo of tunnel boat	152
7	B-14	Photo of tunnel boat	152
8	B-15	Photo of tunnel boat	152
9		* * *	
10			
11			•
12			
13			

R & R COURT REPORTERS

# Volume I

## ON THE RECORD

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: The hearing will come to order. This hearing is on the appeal of Doyon Limited from the decision of the Bureau of Land Mangement dated December 5, 1975. And it was referred by the ANCA Board for hearing by an order dated April 18, 1978. Would you enter your appearances for the record, please?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, my name's Elizabeth S. Taylor, I'm appearing for Doyon Limited.

MS. HIGGINS: Shelly Higgins appearing for the State of Alaska.

MR. ALLEN: John M. Allen, Regional Solicitor for the Department of Interior.

MS. NEVILLE: Francis Neville, attorney with the Regional Solicitor's office.

JUDGE LUOMA: Which of you is going to be the lead attorney?

MR. ALLEN: I'm going to be the lead attorney, Your Honor, Francis will interrogate three of our six witnesses.

JUDGE LUOMA: Are there any preliminary matters to be taken up?

MR. TAYLOR: Yes, I'd like to inquire as to the status

3 4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

2425

of the motion for reconsideration that Doyon filed. I sort of assumed after the passage of time it's been denied, but I was wondering--

JUDGE LUOMA: Why would you want to assume that?

MS. TAYLOR: I wouldn't want to, but since I've heard nothing from the Court, I thought it would best--be best to get it on the record as to whether there had been a ruling on that.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well then, you--you are wishing to pursue that motion?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, I believe--well, I--the motion was directed primarily to the question of the burden of proof in the hearing, but it also raised some--some questions as to the procedure that was followed in originally arriving at the decision to--to convey, and Doyon certainly hasn't abandoned that motion.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, as to the procedure that was taken, it really wouldn't go to the issue of navigability, woult it?

MS. TAYLOR: That's correct, it doesn't go to the

factual--it's not affected by and doesn't affect this hearing.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, is it your position, then, that at the moment you're interested mainly in determining who has the burden of proof?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes, although in--in some sense, this is-well, we have taken some liberties with the traditional order of going forward in this hearing for the convenience of witnesses and

1

3

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

the convenience of parties, but I--I would like a ruling on who has the burden of proving navigability.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, apparently this motion you presented was passed on to me from the Board. It does seem to me the Board should have made a ruling on it. It initially ruled that the appellant has the burden of proof, and--but I-- I have to assume from what they said that I could change that ruling now.

MS. TAYLOR: I--I think that's correct.

MR. ALLEN: Our position, Your Honor, is that the appellant does have the burden of proof. We have agreed to take the burden of going forward, but not to assume the burden of proof. However, of course, the burden of proof issue only

JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen, what's your position on that?

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I never could understand really what equipoise means, but it does--do all three parties intend to introduce evidence?

becomes an issue if the evidence is in equipoise, and--

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. ALLEN: We do, Your Honor.

JUDGE LUOMA: So, will it not finally come down to the <u>point</u> (ph) --to the preponderance of the evidence, so the question of the burden of proof really isn't a question at all. If you've agreed, Mr. Allen, you lead off. Do you think that there remains a question on--on the pure burden of proof?

4

3

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. ALLEN: I have always felt that burden of proof arguments were somewhat academic, since there's a rare case where the evidence, if there is evidence at all, is absolutely evenly balanced on both sides.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, that's right, if--

MR. ALLEN: It's theoretically possible, but rather unusual.

JUDGE LUOMA: If the moving party fails to make a prima facie case, then it would be subject to dismissal, but other than that, I don't really see why it would really affect the outcome of the hearing, would it? Do you agree that thethat the--in the final outcome, that the decision should be based upon the preponderance of the evidence?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

JUDGE LUOMA: And the person preponderating should--should win.

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MS. HIGGINGS: Your Honor, I think I agree with that.

I--I would like to go on record, though, as objecting to the Board's placing the burden on the appellant in this case. I--I think that the authority relied for--for placing the burden of proof on the appellant was a case involving title litigation.

Now this--this is not a proceeding in which the State or a private party claiming from the State, a grantee, is suing to quiet title to lands which, on the basis of the State's title

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

under the Submerged Lands Act. In those situations, I think the
burden is appropriately placed on thethe challenger to
Federal title. That thatthat this is not a proceeding to
determine title. We're concerned with the navigability
determination for the administrative purpose of making acreage
determinations under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.
And I think in this context, the burden is more appropriately
placed on BLM. Doyon, as Your Honor pointed out, is appealing
BLM's determination that there are no navigable waters within
the areas selected. And therefore, the beds of all of these
rivers are charged to Doyon against its total acreage entitlement
under the Native Claims Settlement Act. That's all I have to
say.

JUDGE LUOMA: Mr. Allen, why do you take the position, since it's the BLM that issued a decision, and in that decision it stated that it had determined the streams to be non-navigable, why should they not bear the burden of proving that in this case?

MR. ALLEN: As I recall, Your Honor, I think it is a provision of the regulation that -- I could be wrong, but my recollection was that it was the Department's regulations which placed the burden on--on the appellant in appeals before ANCAP (ph).

> Could you refer to that regulation? JUDGE LUOMA: I don't my regulations, do you have a set MR. ALLEN:

of Title Five?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TAYLOR: I didn't bring my--my regulations with me, but it's certainly my understanding that that's not contained in the regulations. It's a--a--

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I assume you've researched this since you've filed a motion.

That's correct, what Doyon's motion MS. TAYLOR: based on and as with everything in this case, we're--we're in But Doyon's motion really goes back to a lot of unique areas. the--the regulations implienting ANCSA, the forty-three CFR regulations that require the Secretary of Interior to make a determination as to navigability. Now, there was a determination of non-navigability made by BLM and contained in the decision to convey as you just (ph) quoted. And it was Doyon's position from the beginning that given the regulations and given the particular positions of ANCSA that the burden should be on Interior to support its determination of navigability or non-navigability, as the case may be. That -- that we feel that the burden is on BLM to present evidence to support its determination that these rivers are, in fact, non-navigable. And that -- and that it's strengthened by the whole framework of the act and the regulations that we're all operating under. as a practical matter, since BLM is going first, and it hasn't you know, it's taken the--the burden of going forward, you know, this may be mooded for the questions of the proceedings

22

23

24

25

that we're going to have the next few days, but--but the issue still remains

JUDGE LUOMA: If you feel that it remains an issue, that you would--that you would want a ruling on the record, I perhaps can give you a ruling later on, then, if you feel that it's still important.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright.

JUDGE LUOMA: But since there's been agreement on how the-apparently been agreement on how the evidence is going to be produced, it probably-- it really doesn't make any difference at this time, does it.

MS. TAYLOR: Right. My--my major question was really in asking whether you had ruled on that motion.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, well no, I have not.

MR. ALLEN: I--I think it's more appropriate to reserve the ruling and argument if necessary until after the evidence has been taken.

JUDGE LUOMA: Do you--do you intend to produce your entire case? You don't propose to simply put on a bare prima facie case, do you?

MR. ALLEN: No, we'll produce the entire case.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, let's let that go for the moment, then. Is there anything else? (Pause).

MS. HIGGINS: Yes, I'm not sure if this is the appropriate time to raise this point, but counsel have discussed

of certain written materials, specifically transcription or--or written statements of certain interviews that were taken of residents in the Eagle area during the last month.

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's take that up at the end of the hearing, okay? There's no need to worry about that now, is there MS. HIGGINS: I suppose--

MR. ALLEN: The on--only possible reason I can think of, Your Honor, for raising it now, the BLM historian and the State historian went together to Eagle to interview six or eight residents, and they had hoped that they would be able to have their reports of those interviews prepared and available for this It was our intention that the two sides would mutually agree and then enter the reports by stipulation. The reports are not ready. I would like to have the BLM historian testify as to those interviews, which, of course, are hearsay, but in view of the fact that they would be subject to corroboration later on by the stipulated reports. And in view of the fact that the other party is here in the courtroom, and I suppose also in view of the fact that I don't think the other side would object, that's the way I plan to proceed with the BLM historian.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I'll certainly keep the record open for any evidence that you feel is relevant, certainly anything that you stipulate to. Do I gather from this that therethis hearsay may be received at this time?

#### R & R COURT REPORTERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

> 3 4

5 6

7

9 10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

MS. TAYLOR: We have no objection.

MS. HIGGINS: No, in fact, the State plans to do testimony relating to--to those interviews.

JUDGE LUOMA: Um-hm, alright, anything else?

MS. HIGGINS: Are opening statements appropriate?

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes, you may, but let me ask one thing.

Do you propose to present your evidence river by river and

complete one river and then go to the next river?

MR. ALLEN: For the most part, when we begin to testify about the specific rivers, I have some general testimony about the area, which of course, applies to both rivers.

JUDGE LUOMA: I thinking--

MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry, no, maybe I didn't understand your question, I suppose the answer is to some extent, but we-we won't go on one river and then stop and--and then--then begin on the other river, no, that's--that's not our intent.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, is there--is the issue correctly stated in the Board's order that there is the sole issue of the navigability or non-navigability of these two streams?

MR. ALLEN: I believe so.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, it seems to me, to make a clean record, that—that we should completely cover one river and then completely cover the other one, shouldn't we? Tell me why we shouldn't do it that way?

MS. TAYLOR: Well, I know that Doyon and the State

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

would have some difficulties in their evidence with that. Part of the problem is that we're going back to historical sources, we're talking about native--we're talking to native residents of the area, and our evidence is not going to be that cleanly delineated. I can almost promise the court that, you know, witnesses and even the interviews that the court may read later you know, don't make those nice distinctions. It would be preferable, but I just can't say that we can talk about one river and then move on and talk about the other.

JUDGE LUOMA: I'm gonna have to unravel these rivers then from the record.

MS. TAYLOR: It's--it's going to be difficult for all of us to unravel. I'll certainly try to--to make it as clean as possible, but I just can't do it--

MR. ALLEN: Well, I don't believe it'll be as difficult as that, Your Honor, because as far as the physical data, we will present our physical data through several witnesses. Each witness will first talk about one river, and then talk about the other.

Alright, well, that's -- let's make that JUDGE LUOMA: clean in the record that we know which river we're talking about--

> MR. ALLEN: I agree.

JUDGE LUOMA: --if we're going to mix the two up.

MR. ALLEN: Right, I agree.

8 9 10

11

12

13

141516

17 18

19 20

21

22

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: If everyone would always keep that in mind. I assume that each river has to stand on its own feet.

MR. ALLEN: Surely.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, anything else, then? Do you want to call your first witness?

MR. ALLEN: I--I'd like to make a brief opening statement.

JUDGE LUOMA: Oh, alright, if you want to make an opening statement, go ahead.

MR. ALLEN: And if it--if it's alright with the--with the court, I would prefer to remain sitting, is that--JUDGE LUOMA: That's alright.

MR. ALLEN: The--the issue that's involved with here comes up, as you have indicated, because one of the native regions, Doyon, has selected three townships of land in the are of the upper Yukon River. And through these--this--these three adjacent sections--townships of land, two rivers flow. And, as you say, the issue is the navigability of these rivers. In fact, the portion of the rivers that flow through the selection is very small, a matter of less than ten miles of each river. In one case, I think, probably as little as three miles. The submerged land beneath these small portions of river does not amount to a significant amount of acreage. Probably my estimate would be that no more than two hundred acres are involved.

Doyon Limit's selection entitlement is six or seven million

25

So you might wonder why are we making a big issue over two hundred acres. And I wanted to indicate at the outset of this hearing the reason why we feel that this hearing is important, that there is an importance beyond the two hundred acres of land that really is going to turn on your decision. And that is that this is the first hearing in which the issue of navigability in connection with the Native Claims Act as There are, as you are obviously aware, thousands of other rivers in the State of Alaska, which flow through other native selections. And it is the intent of the Bureau of Land Management to attempt through this hearing to establish a fairly definitive set of both legal guidelines and physical yardstick, if you will, for measuring the other rivers that -in the course of conveying the forty-four million acres of land to the natives under the Claims Act. The BLM will have to make a determination as to whether the rivers are navigable or And for that reason, we have determined -- and we have not. agreed to--to go first in presenting the evidence, because I think the BLM probably has made a very thorough effort to physically describe these rivers. And it's our hope that the decision that the court renders will be useful to the BLM for comparing other rivers to these rivers for purposes of determining whether they are navigable. We have, as I indicated, Two of them are historians, one from the park six witnessnes. service and one from the Bureau of Land Management.

16 17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

testimony will survey both the--the evidence that's in written documents and the results of oral interviews with people in the area to--to give the court an idea of how these rivers--what part these rivers play in the history and development of this area of Alaska from really the earliest times of white settlement in Alaska to the present time. We will then present three witnesses who have had extensive actual use of the river, who have been on the river several times, and they will basically describe their experience going up and down the river in boats. And our final witness is a hydrologist with the Geological Survey, who will testify as to the hydrologic nature of not only these two rivers, but rivers of a similar kind in this area. I believe that's all I have as an opening statement, and I'm prepared to put on my first witness unless you want to have the--

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, I take it then that you intend to put on historical evidence, evidence of present use, and perhaps susceptibility to--

MR. ALLEN: That's correct.

JUDGE LUOMA: --navigabil--navigable use?

MR. ALLEN: Right. I might amplify my statement a little bit. One of the--as you--as you mentioned, the case law seems to indicate that navigability is--is--can be determined based on historical use or on susceptibility of use. We feel that in this case the--as the evidence will show, there has not

been extensive actual use, and so probably the issue is going to turn on the issue of susceptibility. But in determining susceptibility, it's the government's view that first of all, the test is whether the rivers were navigable at the time of statehood, and therefore, it's relevent to--for the court to know what kinds of boats were used commercially on these kinds of rivers, roughly in the--several decades around the time of statehood. And that will be part of our historical evidence.

MR. LUOMA: Is that a real--real critical date, in fact, the--the date of statehood?

MR. ALLEN: Yes, I believe it is.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, Miss Taylor?

MS. TAYLOR: I'd like to reserve an opening statement before my evidence, but I'm prepared to make one, if you wished

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, Miss HIggins?

MS. HIGGINS: Yes, I have a brief opening statement.

I'd also prefer to remain seated if it's alright.

JUDGE LUOMA: That's alright.

MS. HIGGINS: The State was joined as a necessary party to this appeal by order of the Native Claims Appeal Board on the ground that a determination of non-navigability would be adverse to the State's claim of title to the land underlying the Kandik and Nation Rivers. From the inception of this proceeding, the State has maintained that the Board is without legal authority to adjudicate the State's title to submerged--

25

to the submerged lands at issue here passed to the State in 1959, when the State joined the Union pursuant to section M of the Alaska Statehood Act, which confirmed the applicability of the Submerged Lands Act to Alaska. I'd like the record of this hearing to clearly reflect that the State's participation here is in no way a waiver of the State's objection to the order joining it as a necessary party here, and more importantly to-to the State's contention that the Board lacks juridiction to adjudicate the State's title to these submerged lands. Of course, the State preserves its perceive right to litigate it's claim of title to land underlying the Kandik and Nation Rivers in a That aside, the State has decided to denovo court proceeding. participate in this proceeding to a limited extent for the purpose of trying to get an administrative determination on navigability which would be consistant with the State's title interest. Obviously the State does have an interest in avoiding, if possible, protracted title litigation. think Doyon Limited and the United States also share this I'd like to re-emphasize that this is an administrative proceeding for the purpose of arriving at a determination of navigability to be used by the Bureau of Land Management in performing its function of passing on Native selection applications and determinating acreage entitlements under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act. This is not a quiet title action and the State has not approached it as such. I think the

25

evidence to be adduced in this hearing will confirm that the area at issue here is remote and sparcely populated even today. It certainly was so in 1959, at the time of statehood. there is -- is relatively little in the way of documentation of past use, which perhaps would be the best evidence of navigability or susceptibility for navigability at the time of statehood. Consequently, research regarding historical use necessitates time consuming and costly on-site field inspections for evidence of past use and field interviews. Much of the evidence to be presented in this hearing was gathered by BLM and Park Service employees over the last three or four years in connection with their responsibility of studying this area for inclusion in the National Park System. I guess we're fortunate that efforts were made during these last years apart from--from efforts to prove a case in this particular hearing, because we do have more evidence than we might otherwise have had given the relatively limited time presented for -- for research since this hearing was ordered by the Board. The State feels that the evidence that we do have now, and that will be adduced at this hearing regarding past use and susceptibility for useful commerce on the Nation and Kandik Rivers is sufficient to support a determination of navigability for these two rivers. Frankly, I don't expect that there will be much dispute regarding the facts to be adduced. I think that there will be a dispute, there will be a difference with respect to the legal conclusions

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which should be drawn from these facts. The Board has--has ruled that the factual tests for navigability to be applied in this proceeding is that stated by the Supreme Court in a case involving title litigation, United States versus <u>Hotz</u> (ph) State BAnk, 1970, U.S. forty-nine, 1925. This test if very general. How this test is applied to the facts and circumstances pertaining to the Kandik and Nation Rivers is really the crux of this case. Unfortunately, we do not have any prior judicial determinations, cases here in Alaska concerning navigability of Alaskan waterways. It might be easier if we could look to--to such a case for guidance.

JUDGE LUOMA: How about some fairly wild rivers in say Oregon or Washington?

MS. HIGGINS: Well, we can certainly look to--to cases which have concerned navigability of rivers in the lower forty-eight, but I think that there probably are significant differences in terms of remoteness and the sparce population which pertain to--to the areas at issue here in this appeal.

And I think it's important to keep this in mind. Now, I think it's generally known and probably conceded by all parties here that federal agency interpretations and applications of the navigability concept for their own administrative regulatory purposes differ from the navigability concept or test applied by courts in title litigation. Generally, the agency definitions and determinations of navigability are somewhat looser, more

liberal. In fact, an appeals court referred somewhat tongue in cheek to one agency definition, I think it was the definition of navigability used by the ARmy Core of Engineers, as anything sufficient to float a Supreme Court opinion. Now the State would urge that the navigability test to be applied for purposes of administering the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act be a liberal test. And I think there are at least three or four good reasons for--for this. First, as I mentioned before, the courts really haven't considered the question of navigability as it applies to--to Alaskan waters or as it applies to a late date of statehood, like 1959. Most of the cases we look to are, rose in late 1800's early 1900's. Second, title litigation is likely both with respect to this proceeding and in other cases, other appeals under ANCSA if the administrative determination of -- if BLM takes a restrictive interpretation of navigability, and we have lots of administrative determinations of non-navigability which conflicts with State claims of title under the Submerged Lands Act. Probably most importantly, assuming that Congress intended BLM to determine navigability for the purpose of conveying submerged lands to native corporation: I think it's highly unlikely that Congress intended BLM to take a--a restrictive interpretation of the concept of navigability and to narrowly apply it so that the result is title litigation challenges by the State to either the Native Corporations after conveyance of title or direct suits against the Federal

24

25

Government. I can't believe that Congress intended a restrictive inter--definition of navigability to be applied so that a native corporation is charged with acreage which a court might very well in a close case decide belonged to the State of Alaska since statehood.

JUDGE LUOMA: What if the riverbed is where all the values are?

MS. HIGGINS: Pardon me?

JUDGE LUOMA: What if the riverbed is where all the values are, then it would be a different interpretation, wouldn't it?

MS. HIGGINS: Do you mean--

JUDGE LUOMA: What if you wanted the riverbed?

MS. HIGGINS: --if in another administrative appeal, the native corporation unlike this one, wanted that land--

JUDGE LUOMA: I take it that Doyon does not a river-

MS. HIGGINS: Pardon me?

JUDGE LUOMA: I take it that Doyon does not want a riverbed?

MS. HIGGINS: That's right, that's true in this appeal and in three others that I know are --are pending. Ther probably will be cases where the selecting native corporation is in really an adverse position vis-a-vis the State's title claim wants that land. Still, I--I don't think that Congress

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

intended BLM's determination of navigability to give rise to-to numerous title lawsuits by the State protractive litigation
over whether the State actually owned the land or--or --or the
native--BLM can convey it to the native corporation. That's all
I have at this point.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright.

MS. HIGGINS: My short statement got a little long.

JUDGE LUOMA: Since you didn't comment on it, I-I assume then that you don't feel the State's position's been
prejudice because of the denial of the latest request for
continuance?

Well, that's a little hard to evaluate. MS. HIGGINS: I--I think we--we could--I would say this, that given the difficulty and the time consuming nature of--of research into historical use, the State hasn't had time to complete that process. We haven't exhausted research. I'm not sure what we might come up with if we were given more time. I think to that extent, perhaps--perhaps there is some prejudice in holding a hearing now, but the State maintains that it's not bound by the factual determinations here with respect to its own title, and can, at a future date, go into court and litigate denovo navigability for the purpose of conclusively determining the State's title. So in an ultimate sense, I guess the State is suffering no prejudice even if it's totally unprepared for this hearing.

1		
1	JUDGE LUOMA: Okay, I assume the State would be glad	
2	to be bound if the rivers are found to be naviable though?	
3	MS. HIGGINS: Well, I don't think it's a question of	
4	being bound. If they're found to be navigable, we will avoid	•
5	the necessity or the possibility of having to go into court to	
6	prove navigabilty.	
7	JUDGE LUOMA: Okay. Mr. Allen, do you want to call	
8	your first witness?	
9	MR. ALLEN: My first witness is Melody Grauman.	
10	MELODY GRAUMAN,	
11	Being first duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows:	
12	BY MR. ALLEN:	
13	Q Would you state your name and address?	
14	A Melody Webb Grauman, Star Route Box 10017, 1½ Mile Chena	
15	Ridge Road, Fairbanks, Alaska.	
ا 6ا	JUDGE LUOMA: How do you spell your last name?	
17	A G as in George, r-a-u-m-a-n.	
18	JUDGE LUOMA: Thank you.	
19	Q Have you prepared a list of your educational and profession	nal
20	background and publications that you have written over the	
21	lastduring your professional career?	
22	A Yes, I submitted a vita to you.	
23	Q I'd like to mark this as appelant'sgovernment's exhibit.	
24	JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, let's have the BLM exhibits	
25	marked B dash with a number, Doyon will be D dash number, and	

Alaska will be A dash a number. So mark that B-1 please.

- Q Is this the vita that you prepared?
- A (Pause). Yes, it is.
- Q Your Honor, I believe since her publications are rather extensive, it'll save time if I do introduce this as an exhibit.

JUDGE LUOMA: Alright, is there any objection?

MS. TAYLOR: No.

MS. HIGGINS: No.

JUDGE LUOMA: Have you both seen this exhibit?

Q I will ask you to summarize briefly, the main features of it, where did you go to school?

JUDGE LUOMA: Just--just one moment, let's get this covered first.

O Excuse me.

MS. HIGGINS: WE--we haven't seen it, Your Honor, if we could take just a moment. (Pause).

JUDGE LUOMA: Any objection?

MS. HIGGINS: No.

MS. TAYLOR: No.

JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-1 is received in evidence.

- Q Could you give us a summary of your educational background?
- A I graduated from the University of Arizona in 1968, I completed all work except my master's thesis in 1972; I completed my master's orals instead of a thesis in 1974

from San Francisco State College at that time. I have done doctoral work at the University of New Mexico.

- Q What is your present occupation?
- A I'm a historian with the National Park Service.
- Q And what does that job involve?
- A It's dividied roughly in half, half administrative and half research.
- Q What is the areas of responsibility?
- A Well, the administrative half is responsibile for the Park Service involvement in ANCSA 14H-1, which allows the Native Corporations to select historic sites under the Land Claims Act, and the Park Service is the professional consultant to BIA. I have a staff of, oh, between seven and twelve archeologists, ethno-historians, and anthropologists on contract with the University of Alaska. The research part of it is primarily responsible for doing research into the propossed areas for--proposed parks and emoluments under D-2, seventeen D-2 of ANCSA.
- Q How long have you had this job with the National Parks Service?
- A Nearly four years.
- Q And what did you do before that?
- A I was a free-lance historian, and I wrote articles for publication.

1	1	
4	Q	Did you at one time, in connection with your job, prepare
5		a historic resource study dealing with the Charley River
6		area?
7	A	The Yukon-Charley area , which is proposed for national
8		rivers, yes, I did.
9	Q	And can you describe what this area encompassed?
10	A	Do you mean mymy study?
11	Q	No, the geographical area that you were studying?
12	Α	It involved essentially from Eagle, which is about eight
13		miles from the Canadian border, to Circle, which is
14		approximately two hundred miles from the Canadian border,
15		an area of about two million square miles at the junction
16		of the Yukon and Charley Rivers.
17	Q	Do youdo you term this area the upper Yukon area?
18	A	It'sthat's kind of a strange labeling, there areit's
19		the upper Yukon in Alaska, but the upper Yukon generally
20		is considered to be the Dawson-Whitehorse area, and the
21		area between Eagle and say Tanana is regarded at the middle
22		Yukon.
23	Q	Okay, so
24	A	It depends on what perceptfrom what point of view you're
25		looking at. You could refer to it as the upper Yukon or
- 1	i	

Has all of your professional career been involved in

Q

Alaska?

Yes, I would say so.

1

2

3

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the middle Yukon.

- 0 Well. I'll--I'll try to refer to it as the middle Yukon to use your terminology, I take it that's your prefered term.
- Um-hm. Α
- 0 What was the purpose of this study on the Charley River area?
- The Park Service has as part of their management policies Α a requirement for all areas, not just historical areas, but natural areas as well, to study the history of the area and what historic sites are representative of that history, not only for interpretation but for compliance with historical preservation law. This historic resource study that I did on the Yukon-Charley areas I broke into two distinct One-half is a narrative, which is the history of parts. The second half is description of the actual the area. historic sites that are on the rivers.
- Before we get into the specifics of this study, what other Q studies of this nature have you done in Alaska?
- I did a history of Kennicott Corporation or Kennicott, Α Alaska, which--from which Kennicott Corporation grew. I did a history of Eagle in 1975. I've done an overview history of the Seward Peninsula and Kotzebue Sound area.
- What major articles have you written? Q
- I've written an article on women and culture in Russian Α

1	
_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

American, on the Russian-Amercian period, period in the American west. I've written "Kennicott Origins of a Copper Empire," it appeared in the "Western Historical Quarterly". And I've written "Oil Rights and the Alaskan Homesteader" which appeared in the "Alaskan Journal". Plus several of my reports have been printed up as occasional papers by the cooperative park studies unit at the University.

- Q And did you say how large a staff you supervised here in Alaska?
- A It has averaged everywhere from forteen to sixteen to as low as four. They are University of Alaska employ-contract employees, however, they are not National Park Service.
- Q In connection with your job, do you do field research, travel in the areas you're studying?
- A That's correct, it is the idea of the Park Service to combine library research with field on-site work so that you have a mixture of both worlds.
- Q What tributary streams are included in this Yukon-Charley area that you wrote the report on?
- A Okay, well, there are a good number of them, do you want me to hit all of them?
- Q The major ones.
- A There's the Tatonduk River, the Nation, the Kandik, the Charley River, Washington Creek, Coal Creek, Woodchopper

,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		Creek, Fourth of July Creek, Michigan Creek, Thanksgiving
2		Creek, that's the number I can remember.
3	Q	I'd like to mark this as an exhibit, Your Honor.
4		JUDGE LUOMA: Mark it exhibit B-2. Why don't you put
5	it i	n the lower righthand corner of the map.
6	Q	Alright. And another map I'd like to have marked B-3.
7		Now, these are both geological survey maps of portions of
8		Alaska, I'd like to have them introduced as exhibits.
9		JUDGE LUOMA: Any objection to B-2 or B-3?
10		MS. HIGGINS: No objection.
11		JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibits B-2 and B-3 are received in
12	evid	ence.
13	Q	Referring your attention to exhibit B-2, which is the
14		series E Map of Alaska showing the D-2 areas, do you
15	·	can you see from where you're sitting which area would be
16		the Yukon-Charley area?
17	A	Yes, I can.
18	Q	What number is it?
19	A	I can't read the number.
20	Q	Is it this area here?
21	A	That's correct.
22	Q	Number twenty-seven. Is thedo parts of the Kandik and
23		Nation River fall within this area?
24	A	That's correct.
25	Q	And are these two rivers that you studied and did research

25

on in connection with your study?

- A That's correct.
- Q Your Honor, I would like to mark this as appellant's exhibit B-4. Is this the study you did on the Yukon-Charley?
- A (Pause). Yes, it is.
- Q I'd like to offer that as appellant's exhibit number fourgovernment's exhibit number four.

JUDGE LUOMA: Show it to them.

MS. TAYLOR: No objection.

JUDGE LUOMA: No objection?

MS. HIGGINS: No objection.

JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-4 is received in evidence.

- Q Would you briefly describe the basic format of your study which is exhibit B-4?
  - As I said earlier, it is broken down into a narrative and a description of historic sites. The narrative I applied-tried to apply Frederick Jackson Turner's progressive frontier thesis, that is that if you were able to figuratively stand at Cumberland Gap, you would see waves of different type people from the mountain men through the miners through the townspeople roll on by. If you stood at South Pass you would see the same progression of people, migration of people go on through. I applied that-extended that theory up to the Yukon and felt that you could see the

1		same waves of people move down the river asas time went
2		on. So, therefore, I started with the Indians, and ended
3		with the twentieth century.
4	Q	Your Honor, I have introduced this exhibit partly because
5		it's fascinating reading, but it is a fairly long book, and
6		I, of course, don'e expect the court to read the whole thing.
7		I would like to refer to some of the chapters that are
8	:	particularly relevant with the issue here. Is there a
9		chapter, Melody, on water travel?
10	A	That's correct, it's called "Transportation Frontier,
11		Water Travel" I believe.
12	Q	Now, in doing the research for this study, what sources did
13		you examine?
14	A	I examined as many of the sources as available at the
15		University of Alaska in Fairbanks, Juneau, historical
16		library, what few sources were available in Anchorage.
17	- - - -	I went to the Library of Congress, the University of
18		Oregon, the University of Washington, Seattle, the Museum
19		of Technology, Seattle; the University of Arizona, I believe.
20	Q	How many months do you estimate you spent doing library
21		research for this study?
22	A	Well, library research was going on continuously, even
23		when I was in the process of doing field work, I would come

back and research for two weeks before I would go back

into the field. I was working probaby --averaging at least

24

25

2		April of '77.
3	Q	Do you have a bibliography?
4	A	Yes, I do.
5	Q	How many pages is that?
6	A	I'd say it's approximately twenty pages.
7	Q	How many individual manuscripts do you estimate you read
8		in connection with this study?
9	A	Are you meaning manuscripts that are unpublished or
10	Q	Yeah.
11	A	altogether?
12	Q	Published and unpublished?
13	A	Oh, gee, II can't say. Two or three thousand I would
14		imagine if you'd count the ones I looked in that had no
15		reference.
16	Q	And did you also do oral interviews in connection with this?
17	A	Yes, a good number of them.
18	Q	How many people do you estimate you interviewed?
19	A	Around fifteen, I would imagine.
20	Q	How many individual historic sites have you identified
21		in this geographical area covered by your study?
22	A	I have listed a hundred and fifty. Some of those are
23		sections of the mail trail that were listed individually,
24		so there would probably only be about a hundred and forty.
25	Q	Did you also do field studies in this area?
	,	

two days a week working on it from October of '75 through

1	A	Yes, I spent forty-five days on the Yukon in the summer	
2		of '76.	
3	Q	Do you believe that there is any major resourresearch	
4		source which mentions the Nation or the Kandik River that	
5		youthat you may have overlooked?	
6	A	The only source that I know that I was unable to reach was	İ
7		a man in Eagle by the name of Willy <u>Juneaby</u> (ph). I had	
8		numerous appointments with him, and even mutual friends	
9		tried to get us together, and we were never able to meet	
10		up. But as far as I know, he's the only major source	
11		that I did not contact.	
12	Q	In researching this project, did you include in your	
13		source material, government reports such as U.S. Geological	i
14		Survey Reports?	
15	A	A good number of them.	
16	Q	Was much of the material that you covered concerned with	
17		river transportation in this middle Yukon area?	
18	A	I beg your pardon?	
19	Q	Waswas a considerable amount of the material that you	
20		researched that you looked through, the source material,	
21	-	concerned with river transportation or did it discuss	
22		river transportation in the middle Yukon area?	
23	A	I would say that itthe transportation chapter itself,	
24		it was starting out to be just one chapter, and it got	
25		so big and bulky I had to break it into two chapters,	

25

divided by water and land. So I would say that it, of all of the chapters, was the bulkiest and--because there'd been less done on those--the transportation frontier than on any other chapter that I did aside from the trapping frontier and the modern mining frontier.

- Q What--what--I don't remember whether you indicated what field trips you took in this area?
- A I had three separate field trips, averaging about three weeks long. The first one went from Eagle to Nation River, and then I went back and did additional research on what I didn't know and what I was going to see on the next trip. And then I went out again for three weeks, and this trip last--took from the Nation to the Charley River, and then returned to Fairbanks for additional research and then went back for the third and last trip, which went from the Charley River to Circle.
- Q And what--what year was this?
- A The summer of '76.
- Q And did you, during these trips, take side trips up the tributary streams, particularly the Nation and the Kandik?
- A That's correct.
- Q From your research on these two rivers, can you generally tell us what kind of use historically was made of these two streams, that is the Nation and the Kankik River, and identify which of the rivers you're talking about, if

1 |

Α

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

you can?

Well, most of the documents, as has been mentioned in the opening statement by the State attorney, there's very little documentation of use of either one of these rivers. Most of my information that I do have comes from oral informants or from records in the possession of Eagle, Alaska, the city hall. Those records refer to--let's start with the Nation River, primarily the only use that I know that the Nation was used for was trapping. There were at least three men that I know that trapped the Nation I do not have any specific reference how they got their supplies up there other than dog team, I have never found a reference that the Nation River had a poling boat on it, but poling boats were the main measure--main way of transporting supplies. So you may or may not be able to extrapolate and say that there was poling boats on the The second use that the Nation River had was Nation. during the Boundary Commission--International--International Boundary Commission Survey, 1910 to 1912, the Canadian geologist, D. D. Kearns (ph) used the Nation primarily in He mentions in his published book that there were-that the Kandik and Nation were supplied by poling boats, but in his field notes, he only refers to horses, he never makes reference to poling boats being on the Nation River, only on the Kandik. So those are the only two uses that I

found in the written text or in personal interviews of use of the Nation. Aside from one other thing, I just remembered, there was the--a coal mine that was discovered in 1897, Alaska Commercial Company found some pockets of coal one mile up on the Nation River. That coal was sledded to the Yukon in the winter time. And it was mined probably for only that one year. The stock pile of coal still remains there at the bluff cabin, or Christopher O. Nelson's cabin.

- Q What use of the river was made in connection with that coal mine, did they--did they move coal down the river or solely by sled?
- A The U.S.G.S book written by Arthur Collier says--uses the word sledded to the Yukon, so I must infer that it was pulled by dog team. But since it was only a mile up the river, and since the Nation is fairly adequately deep at that point, they could have easily have used small poling boats or lined craft up, but I can't--that's all supposition, I can't--
- Q Before you turn to the Kandik, I really kind of got ahead of myself. Can you tell us generally how the history of this area evolved, the historical use of this area?
- A You're referring just to the Yukon-Charley area or just to Kandik-Nation area?
- Q No, the Yukon-Charley area.

1	A	Well, initially the Han Indians were there, they were the
2		first native group, they utilized the fish in the summer
3		and the caribou and moose in the later fall and winter.
4		Fur traders came in in aboutwell, let's see, Robert
5	,	Campbell was on the Yukon in 18I'll have to check my
6		notes on that. 1848, Ft. Selkirk, Robert Campbell was
7	·	there. Alexander Murray was in the Ft. Yukon in 1847,
8		but it wasn't until 1851 that Campbell passed from his
9		fort, Ft. Selkirk, down the river to Ft. Yukon, and
10		therefore, was the first known recorded white man to pas
11		the Kandik and Nation, Yukon-Charley area.
12	Q	Where is Ft. Selkirk?
13	A	It's at the junction of the Pelly and Lewes Rivers
14	Q	Is that in Canada?
15	A	and it's in Canada, very far north, that's the upper

Q It's above Dawson?

upper Yukon.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A Yes. You won't find Ft. Selkirk on the map.
- Q Oh, yeah, here it says Ft. Selkirk.
- A Oh you do, okay.
- Q And Ft. Yukon, of course, is where?
- A It's up at the peak of the Yukon River, the northern peak.

JUDGE LUOMA: You're looking at exhibit B-2.

Q Right. Now, were the--what was the history of the fur

Α

3 4

5

7

6

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

trade in this middle Yukon area?

There were Russians at Ft.--at St. Michael at the mouth of the Yukon River in 1833, and there were British Hudson Bay Company traders at Mackenzie, at the mouth of the Mackenzie. There was interchange between these two, even though they never knew exactly where one and the other was. up until 1847, when Alexander Murray established Ft. Yukon in Russian territory. 1863, the Russians sent up more or less a spy to find out where the English were. His name Ivan Lukeen, and he was the first person to know that the Russian River Kvich--Kvichpak--I can't pronounce it, and the Yukon were one and the same. And he went from Nulato up to Ft. Yukon. The fur trade was primarily a trading of goods, beads, tea, coffee, for furs with-from the Indians. And Ft. Yukon was the major fort in the area now known as--then known as Russian-America, now known Ft. Selkirk was burned in 1852, I believe, and as Alaska. In 1866, the western union was never re-established. telegraph sent two men up the Yukon from Nulato all the way up to the ruins of Ft. Selkirk. They were the first Americans to travel through the Yukon-Charley area and the Nation and Kandik. Right after 1867, the purchase of Alaska by the United States, the United States sent Captain Charles Raymond in the first steamboat, the Yukon, which was owned by a commercial company, the first steamboat went

25

up the Yukon in 1869 as far as Ft. Yukon, found that the British fort at Ft. Yukon was in America soil and told them to leave, and they moved to Rampart's house and eventually finally got onto the border of new Rampart's There are a series of trading companies that came in, the major one was the Alaska Commercial Company. a number of fur traders eventually thirty two of them were in the interior rivers, the three major rivers, the Kuskokwim, Tanana and Yukon Rivers. The people who stayed almost through the -- through the longest were a group of three men, McQuesten, Jack McQuesten, Arthur Harper, and Al Mayo, arrived in 1873 and it was McQuesten who established the first fort in the Han territory, just downriver from what is now Dawson. And that was in 1974, that was known as Ft. Reliance. All trading posts were judged from the distance from Ft. Reliance, such as Forty Miles, forty miles down river from Ft. Reliance; Sixty Miles, sixty miles up river from Ft. Reliance. 1880, two more steamboats entered the river, the St. Michael and a new Yukon. These were still being used as fur trading steamboats. 1867--from the purchase until probably about the turn of the century, the United States Treasury Department had a regulation that only natives could trap, the white man, unless they were married to natives, could not trap. So therefore, there were just --white men were fur traders, and

21

22

23

24

25

south of the Yukon were very rich prospects. Yukon in the Yukon-Charley area. Q 19 the Yukon in the American -- in Alaska? 20

the natives were the fur trappers. In 1882--or 1880, the first trading post at Eagle was built by a competitive firm of Alaska Commercial Company, called Western Fur and Two years later Alaska Commercial Trading Company. Company came in and put in a post there, and there was severe competition between the two of them. At the same time as this fur trading was going on, McQuesten and Harer especially were doing some exploration of the rivers. I think it's at this time that they determined that the rivers or the streams north of the Yukon, from the Canadian border downstream had very little gold prospects, whereas I have to judge--I have to say that no where in the literature does it say at some time they determined that that is so, but the geologist Spurr came in 1896 and had already determined that that was so the mining was all done south of the

- Are there any notable gold strikes in the area north of
- Α Not in my--not in the area that I am familiar with, which is the Yukon-Charley area.
- Q When was the first substantial gold strike in the middle Yukon, Yukon-Charley area?
- Α Well, the first gold strike was in Canada and that was in 1885 on the Stewart River. Fortymile, which was fairly

close to the Yukon-Charley area, happened in 1886. Then Circle about 1892, 1893, and so you've got Circle on one end and Fortymile on the other end, so they were definitely exploring and developing, trying to find something in between. American Creek also on Eagle was there in 1996 when Spurr visited, but the first reference that I have to gold was in 1898 at Fourth of July Creek and Coal Creek and Woodchopper Creek, there were developments on both of them in 1898 as the spinoff from the Klondike occurred.

- Q Before we get into the gold era, what was the native population in this middle Yukon area, and where were the main villages in the--say the 1880's or 1870's when the fur trade was the principal activity?
- Mell, Petrov, who was largely responsible for writing

  Bancroft's (ph) History of Alaska did the census of 1880

  and he--he has down for David's camp, I believe a hundred

  and six. David's Camp is at Eagle village, approximately

  Charley's village, which is at the mouth of the Kandik

  River, he has forty-eight. And Ft. Reliance, which is

  down river from Dawson, eighty-two. And this is in the

  census of 1880.
- Q Are those the only native villages along the Yukon from Ft. Reliance to Circle?
- A That's--
- Q Strike Ft. Reliance, I meant Ft. Selkirk?

1

- 3 4
- 5

6

7

Α

- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- •
- 25

- A Probably not, they would probably--I'll--I'd have to say

  I don't know on that issue, I'd say from--from the Canadian-well, from Dawson, Ft. Reliance to Circle those are the
  only villages. Circle was nonexistant at that point.
- Q What was the effect of the discovery of gold in this area on the fur trade?
  - The first gold strike was in 1885 on the Stewart River, and McQuesten at that point had began to feel that the market was stronger for miners, because there was a steady influx of miners than it was for fur trading, so he went out at that point to San Francisco to talk to the Alaska Commercial Company businessmen and convince them to start stocking shovels, gold pans, rubber boots, and the whole bit, instead of beads and tea and flour for the Indians. So it had once--this happened in 1885, before the gold strike, he came back with the materials just in time as the Stewart gold strike, and as a result of that-and which petered out pretty fast, the Fortymile strike in 1886 created an initial rush, even though it was a small strike, it was an initial rush of several hundred miners to the Fortymile area. The Indian who was the-still trading and trapping furs, was left almost behind. By 1885, Ft.--Ft. Reliance and the fort at Eagle called Belle Isle were closed in 1885, so that the Indian now had to travel all the way to the Fortymile, the mouth of

Fortymile to trade his furs. He was still trading furs, but he was now a secondary source.

- Q Where does the Fortymile enter the Yukon?
- A In Canada.
- Q Just--how many miles from the Alaska border? Roughly?
- A I don't know, I'd say about twenty.
- Q Fairly close to the Alaska border?
- A Yes.
- Q Twenty miles upstream from Eagle, then, twenty or thirty miles.
- A It'd probably be more like thirty miles upstream, but I'm not sure on this.

JUDGE LUOMA: Are these points shown on Exhibit B-2 that' you've been testifying about?

- A Yes.
- Q So the native was somewhat left behind when gold was discovered, what happened to these native villages, did they become depopulated?
- A No, I wouldn't say that they became depopulated. In fact, many of the natives moved to the mouth of the Fortymile and set up a village at Fortymile. Some of 'em--the Indians moved to Circle. In fact, Circle was founded by two--one was an Indian, both of 'em, I guess, would be what you'd call halfbreds, sorry Richard, they're half Indian and half Russian, Pitka and Cherosky. They--there was villages

at Circle, there were villages at Eagle, there were villages at Dawson, there were villages at Fortymile. So I would say that they mixed in with the milieu. They were miners themselves, sometimes they were cut out of their claims.

Klondike itself were discovered by two of the--two natives.

So I would say that there were caught up in the main stream of mining, the same as non-natives.

- Q During the peak of gold activity--when was the peak of gold activity in this area?
- A Between 1896--well, Klondike was discovered in 1896, the fall of 1896, but the real impact happened in 1897 when the novices from outside were coming in with insufficient supplies. There was a starvation scare, and so the real impact happened in 1897 and '98. As a result of that starvation scare, there was not enough food in Dawson, more self-reliant, spread out, fanned out, and made their own living off the land, and to some of the smaller tributaries, a lot of them returning to Alaska and the Circle, Fortymile area that they knew and mined earler. The impact lasted, I would say, up until about 1904. That was the strongest, greatest influx of people.
- Q So it was really--the major gold activity in this area occurred in a eight or ten year period?
- A That's correct.
- Q And at the end of that period, did the area empty of people

almost as fast as it had filled up?

- A Well, it was a different type. The bonanza mining, the goldrush bonanza happened from 1896 to approximately 1902. Then the—the gold—the easy gold that was gotten by placer—the gold pan and the rocker was gone, and it took capital to develop the—the mines. Big companies came in the Goginheins (ph) for instance, some of the others came in with dredges, hydraulic mining, to develop the Klondike into—consolidate the claims, the small claims, the fraction of claims into bigger claims so that they could be developed more expediently and more efficiently. And that happened, oh, probably about 1908 'til 1950's when they first—when they closed down.
- Q Do you know the population of the various towns on the middle and upper Yukon in say 1900?
- Well, I would say that Dawson probably had close to thirty thousand. 1900 is the NOme goldrush so that many of the people who were living in Eagle, Circle, Independence, Nation, Ivy City, Seventymile, Star City, which are all small towns along the Eagle--along the Yukon between Eagle and Circle. Many of these miners are bonanza miners, they're looking for their goldrush, their big motherload, and so they follow every new strike. And so the area was probably drained by 1900. In 1898 you had seven towns in a two hundred mile strip. By 1900 I would say that all

25

but three of those had been evacuated or abandoned?

- Q Which were those towns, can you name them?
- A Eagle, Circle, and Nation.
- Q Those were the three that were still--still live towns in 1900?
- A If you can call--you know, Nation may have had twelve people there at that time, that was a live town, yes. There were a couple of people in Star City, too, but that's also kind of--maybe, you know, two houses.
- Q And what were the ghost towns?
- A Seventymile, Ivy City, Independence.
- Q So do I gather from your testimony that during --around the turn of the century, this area was the scene of tremendous movement of peoples, but it was a very quick come quick go type of thing?
- A That's correct.
- Q Very rapid migrations to the next strike. What was the transportation system that supported the--the gold activity?
- A Primarily steamboat. There were two methods of arriving at the Klondike, Dawson area. One is through the Chilkoot Pass, but you had--you had to--say you left Seattle in a steamer, an ocean going steamer, and you'd get off at Skagway, go up over the Chilkoot Trail, and build a raft or a boat, and float down to Dawson. That was the harder way. Another way was leaving Seattle on an ocean going

1

21

22

23

24

25

steamer, and steaming all the way to the mouth of the Yukon at St. Michael and transfering to a riverboat steamboat and steaming up the river to Dawson. That took longer. but it was easier and safer. Other methods of travel, onde you got to the major area, if you were a miner, the best way of traveling was with a poling boat, which you needed a partner. These are long boats, about twenty or thirty feet long, two and a half, three feet wide at the widest And they carried, according to the literature, a ton of materials and supplies. And the other alternative was packing material in on horses, which were--often died very quickly. Some of the miners, especially in the Fourth of July area did use horses. In the winter time, they moved by freighting with dog teams. This was especially popular around Dawson and around Circle.

- Do you know from your research of any of the tributary Q rivers above Ft. Yukon in the Alaska--before you get to Canada, which a steamer that traveled the Yukon from St. Michael would continue up?
- Yes, the Porcupine, which is right at Ft. Yukon, but that s Α the only one.
- No other ones below the--Q
- They had to--they developed some very low drawing steamboats, Α the Tanana and the Koyukuk, which drew only six inches of water, and these were able to steam up the Tanana and the

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	-
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Koyukuk Rivers. A guy by the name ofa captain by the	
name of James T. Grey developed these in Columbia, Columbia	
Riverwell, he was a Columbia River pilot, but he was	
responsible for over-seeing these. And the Tanana, I believ	ve
maybe it's the Koyukuk did make it up the Porcupine for	
this international boundary survey in 1911, I think.	

- Q What was the generally the dimensions of the Yukon River stern wheelers that would make the trip up from St. Michaels?
- A I'd have to check my notes on that.
- Q I believe you described them on page 135 of your report.

  I really want a--the range.

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's take a ten-minute recess.

# ON THE RECORD

OFF THE RECORD

- A The size of the steamboats ranged from the first one, which was the Yukon, 1869, seventy feet long, to the last one, which was the Nenana, 1953, which was two hundred and thirty-five feet long, is that sufficient?
- A Yes, that's fine.
- Q What--how many trips up river would these boats typically make from St. Michael to say Dawson, a season?
- A If they had a good--I think the Arctic made four round trips, and I think that was remarkable. So I would say that three was an average.
- Q Three a summer?

	1	
2	A	I would say probably the last part of May.
3	Q	And when was thewhen would the last trip go before the
4		river
5	A	About now, the last part of September, the first part of
6		October as freeze-up is occurring, the steamboats had
7		to be pulled up out of the river.
8	Q	I was interested in some figures you have on page one hundred
9		and forty-seven of your report, which is government exhibit
10		B
11		JDUGE LUOMA: B-4.
12	Q	B-r as to the economics of steamboat travel where you
13		seem to indicate that the capital cost can be paid off
14		in onein one trip, is that correct?
15	Α	That's correct, but you could lose it in one trip, too.
16	Q	What was the freight costs per pound to ship goods up
17		by steamer?
18	A	I believe it was eight cents a pound, eight to twelve cents
19		a pound, but I can't remember.
20	Q.	Well, the figure that you have on page one forty-seven
21		is five cents a pound.
22	A	Okay.
23	Q	Maybe that
24	A	Alright, alright.
25	Q	Well, can you generally summarize returning then now to the
- 1		

And when would the summer start?

1

Q

Kandik River, what use was historically made of that river during this period of time, and I'm talking now about 1867 through say--well, let's take it through 1959, use of the Kandik River.

- Well, historically we'd have to go back to the Han Village there at the mouth of the Kandik River, which is the Charley--known as Charley's village after Chief Charley. He--the Indians from that village certainly used the Kandik in the--about 1910 or so, a Hudson Bay Company trader stopped at the village and identified a musk oxen that Chief Charley had killed at the headwaters of the Kandik. So his--he and his natives certainly used that area, the upper Kankik.
- Q What was the date you gave, I'm sorry?

A It was around 1910, it had to be before 1914 when the village was washed away. I do not have a date on it. It was--the Hudson Bay Company name was Henry Apel (ph). They probably used the river for moose and there may have even been some caribou in there at that time. I know there was caribou on the Nation during that time, so there may have well been on the Kandik. They--there have been reports in other--other documents than mine that they would hunt up there and then make a moose hide boat and float down the river with the meat. So the Indians at the mouth did use the river. I have no record of any of the fur

23 24

25

traders, that era, using any, using the river. Or any use up until--there probably undoubtedly was goldminer, prospectors, Harper may have--may have explored the Kandik, it's a major river. But I don't have any definite reference until the boundary, International Boundary Commission in 1910 through '12, they were surveying the boundary there of the upper Kandik, and they were supplied by poling boats, one poling boat pulling another scow. They also used horses for travel and for moving the camp. main supplies, about a ton or a ton and a half of supplies were brought in.

JUDGE LUOMA: While you're on the subject, would you define for me a poling boat, you've mentioned it several times? Α Certainly, it's a long boat, about twenty or thirty feet long, and has tapering sides, and stump--stub nosed, squared off ends, stern and bow, and about two and a half to three feet at the widest area. It's a long narrow-the men stand--one man stands in front and one stands in back and they pole.

JUDGE LUOMA: What is poling, that's what I want to know?

- Α They have a long pole, and they dip it into the sides of the river and pull it up.
- 0 Pushing along the bottom?
- Α Pushing along the bottom.

1	
2	
2	
3	
4	
1	
5	l
	-
6	
	1
7	
_	
8	
۵	
Э	
10	
11	
	l
12	l
13	
- 1	
14	İ
15	
10	I.
16	
17	
18	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
_	
23	
Ω4	
24	1

JUDGE LUOMA: They're pushing with the pole, then, they're not pulling, you said pulling there a moment-A Poling.

JUDGE LUOMA: Oh, poling, oh. Alright, they're just pushing up stream?

- A Right. And the Yukon moves at about eight knots, so--some of the smaller tributaries move a little faster, and it's a little-- much--much more difficult. In fact, the inner-Kearns' (ph) notes refer to the poling boat that took their supply up the Kandik had an accident and nearly lost most of their supplies. He calls the stream somewhat trecherous. So that was the--the International Boundary Commission.
- Q Before you leave that, you mentioned they moved a ton and a half of supplies up, was that in one trip?
- A Yes, that was one trip with one poling boat pulling a scow, and I think they had three, possibly four, polers.
- Q How long did it take them to make that trip?
- A I don't know.
- Q You say there was prospecting to the north of the Yukon but no recorded evidence of any actual successful discovery in the tributaries to the north?
- A No, there was one--one man in 1898 thought he had found a strike of telluride, which is a combination of an ore that combines with gold. And he went back to try to find

24

25

1

2

3

4

it twenty years later and he swore that -- that the outcropping from which he had got the ore had been covered with a slide and so he proceeded to tunnel and dig and spend forty years plus thousands and thousands of dollars on the north side of the river, whereas everybody was laughing at him, and never found a single bit of ore, of telluride It's referred to as the lost mine story on the Yukon, but that's the only real mining that ever took place aside from coal mining on the Nation. The other historic use, of course, has been trapping, probably from the turn of the century or even before. I'm sure Charley's -- the natives from Charley's village trapped the Kandik during the-for the Russians the English, the American fur traders, and then when the American trappers came in, the -- the dates that I would have on that would be from about 1920 through 1950, between '50 and '68, everything--the people sort of cleared out of the Yukon. There were very few trappers, prices were not very good, so most of the numbers of people that I know that were on the Yukon or on the Kandik at that time occurred between 1920 and 1946 really.

Judge Luoma: You did in--you did not include the Nation in that TEstimony?

- A No, I'm just talking--talking of the Kandik at this point.

  JUDGE LUOMA: Alright.
- A There were two brothers by the name of Fish, Frank Fish

25

and I never learned his brother's name, they trapped the Kandik, probably in the 1930's, late '20's, early '30's. Larry Dennis and Chris Peterson, one was an American and one was a Canadian, and they trapped the upper Kandik. on one--the American on the American side and the Canadian on the Canadian side. Ed Olson and a man by the name of Knutson (ph) trapped it. They lived in wikiups (ph) and little half subterranean houses, and used deadfalls instead of traps, and did it the hard way. They were probably very poor trappers. Sandy Johnson, from whom Johnson's gorge is named, is reported to have trapped that area, in fact, had a contract to deliver hay and grain to geologists ninety miles from the border. George Beck, who had a house there at the mouth of the Kandik near Biederman's camp trapped the Kandik. Peter Summerville trapped Rock Creek, which is an adjacent stream that flows up into the Kankik and could easily make a loop, so Peter Summerville may have trapped the Kandik, but he definitely trapped Rock Creek. Inga Solomon also trapped the Kandik, Gordon Burtson (ph) and Woodchopper Joe, which is--who is a Circle native, a native from Circle. James Taylor who lived near the Nation, the mouth of the Nation River, about a mile downstream from it, trapped Rock Creek, and he--therefore he may have looped over into the Nation or he may have looped over into Kandik, it's a-

24

25

Rock Creek is a Creek that's about equidistant between the Kandik and the Nation and when you trap, it's best to try to make loops instead of go up the same way you've come down. So the number of people that trapped the Kandik between 19--oh, 1920 and 1950 would be around fifteen at different times, I would imagine. They would recognize each others territory and they would not be there at the same time, but--

- Q And did you interview a number of trappers in connection with this research?
- A Yes, I did.
- And did you inquire as to the--shall we say the mores of the trapping community, but what I'm leading to is how many trappers would trap an area the size of the Kandik, for example, at one time?
- A Well, you'd have the upper reaches of the Kankik being handled by say Chris Peterson and--and Larry Dennis, then you would have some near the mouth, say George Beck, then maybe one--another set of partners in the middle, but they would be loop trails rather than run all the way up one side and come down the other or whatever. They would generally connect in with an adjacent creek or an adjacent drainage area.
- Q If one trapper had established a trap line in a certain drainage, would another trapper come in and establish a

line in that same drainage?

- A Highly unlikely, not unless they were looking for a fight.
- Q So at any given time, there probably would be no more than three trappers or possibly a team of --three teams of trappers operating on the Kandik at any given time, is that correct?
- A That's what I would—that I would guess. I may be wrong, but that's about what I would assume.
- Q What was the--how did these trappers operate their logistics, getting their supplies and moving out their furs?
- A This--during the days of the steamboat, they would haveorder up their year's supply of goods on the steamboat
  and the steamboat would drop it off at the mouth of the
  river, whether it be the Kandik or the Nation River, and
  they would usually build a cashe there at the river, and
  put it up out of the way of wild animals. And then by
  poling boat or scow or canoe or whatever vehicle they had,
  maybe even their backs, they would pack it, tow it, pull
  it, pole it, up to their home camp. And then from home
  base, they would go out to their line cabins and cash what
  food and supplies they needed at their line cabins.
- Q What time of year would they be doing this?
- A This would be summer. Now some of 'em may wait and cashe it and then come and pick it up in the winter when

it's easier to sled with dog teams, and bring it up with
dog teams. It all depended on what you were doing in the
summer. For instance, many of the trappers mined in the
summer or else chopped wood in the summer, so they didn't
have thatthe summer free to take their supplies in, and
they found it easier to wait until freeze up and snow
ground covered to bring their supplies.

- Q Well, talking again about the Kandik River, do you know whether the trappers that trapped that river generally found it easier to move their supplies up by boat or to wait until the ground--until snow fell so they could move it up by dog team?
- A No, I don't.
- Q Turning now to the Nation River, who were the--do you know who the trappers were during the period 1920 to 1946?
  - I know two specifically. One is Dan <u>VanDeber</u> (ph)

    a <u>Pele</u> (ph) Indian, and Christopher Phonograph Nelson,
    who was known as Phonograph because he couldn't stop talking.
    He'd say "and a and a and a" when he couldn't think of
    anything else to say, so he sounded like a broken phonograph.
    And then James Taylor, who was--had a house just a half
    a mile from Christopher Nelson's cabin there on the Yukon,
    used the Rock Creek and as I said ealier, he may have come
    into the Nation drainage, I don't know for sure. I know
    that he did trap--

Q Did the Nation--

- A --so it may have been three, definitely two. Dan VanDeber--excuse me. Dan VanDeber used the upper Nation, he went up there without fish in the fall with about a team of seven dogs and came back with the dogs fat and sassy having lived off caribou. There obviously were caribou in the upper Nation at that time. Whereas Christopher Nelson trapped the lower Nation between the Yukon and approximately Tinder (ph) or Jungle.
- Q Now do--do you know whether these trappers prefered to bring their supplies in by water or overland?
- A I have never heard reference to either one of them having a poling boat. What I have heard from Christopher Nelson was Christopher Nelson had this great big boat that he had to have these log capstans which I have in my--a photograph in my book, but he used these log capstans to pull the boat up, it was so big. It's unlikely that he was able to get that boat up the Nation, if it were that big.
- Q How would he use the capstan to get the boat--move the boat? Anchor a line to a tree on the bank and winch himself up?
- A Yeah, well, it was essentially a winch, this--these capstans were, there were two of 'em, and he had logs that he would--lined up that he would pull up with the different capstans or winches or whatever you want to call

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

them, and I was told that it was a big boat that he had made himself. Primarily probably to get fish in for his dogs. Dan VanDeber probably used his dogs to get his material up because he went up in the fall with his dogs. I don't believe he used poling boats. Those are the--as I say, the only two ones--trappers that I know that utilized the Nation River.

- Q We're having trouble locating Rock Creek.
- A That's because it's not labeled on that map. It's the small creek downstream from Nation that goes straight up and if you follow it continuous, one of the branches will almost go into the Kandik, right--just below Johnson's Gorge. Do you find it?
- Q Do you want to label it on our exhibit number-JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-3.
- Q = -B 3?

JUDGE LUOMA: Do you have colored pencils with you that you can --so it will not look the same as the map itself?

- A Yes, it's this one right here.
- Q Here's a red pen.
- A As you can tell, the tributary goes up and hooks in almost with the tributary that comes down off of the Kankik.
- Q Let's state for the record what you did to Exhibit B-3?
- A I wrote the name Rock Creek on a stream that is known

1		colloquially asand locally as Rock Creek. (Pause).
2	Q	How many miles of trap line does a trapper normally use?
3	A	They start off small because it's a lot of work, they start
4		off with about twenty to twenty-five miles trapline, and
5		if they have partners, if they're single, you know, it
6		depends. They'll go up as much as a hundred to a hundred
7	•	and fifty miles. Very seldom will it be more than that.
8	· Q	How far apart would his linecabins normally be?
9	A	Between fifteen and twenty miles. It depends on the
10		terrain, snow cover, what kind of conditions they are.
11		Sometimes they wouldn't even have a line cabin, he would
12		just cywash (ph) it.
13	Q	Cywash (ph) meaning what?
14	A	Camp out overnight.
15	Q	What villages are there now along the river that have
16		that are occupied from the Canadian border say up to
17		Circle?
18	A	There is
19	Q	Or down to Circle I should say.
20	A	Eagle, Eagle Village, and Circle.
21	Q	When did Charley's Village cease to be a village?
22	A	Hudson Stock (ph) says that it washed away in 1914, and
23		he says that the villagers moved to Circle. Recent research
24	·	seems to lead that they probably went to Eagle rather than
25		to Circle, because they were Han Indians, whereas the

24

25

1

- Kutchin. There's also been a small village excavated on the outskirts of Eagle Village that may or may not be people that came from Charley's village when it washed away in 1914 to the area there at Eagle.
- Q How about the village of Nation, when did it become a ghost town?
- A About 1933, the last person who lived there, a man by the name of John Star drowned and then it--I guess you might say it ceased to be.
- In government's exhibit B-3, I notice it shows a lot of cabins along the Yukon River, and some up the tributary streams, are any of these cabins presently occupied to your knowledge?
- A I would say that all of them that are--that have the roof and are habitable are used by present day trappers, and as shelters by travelers down the river, hunters in the fall, but as far--there are--I would say almost all of them are being used that have a roof--
- Q How many would you estimate of the cabins do have a roof?
- A Well, there are--for instance, Biederman's camp has nine or--nine or twelve structures, and all of them have a roof. Then you've got Nation where only--they took all of the logs from all of the different cabins and built two cabins, so you've only got two cabins instead of fifteen. I would say maybe thirty-five or forty. That's

24

25

if you count each cabin individually rather than each place, each site individually.

- You mentioned that you took three separate trips up these two rivers in the summer of '76, can you tell us what kind of a boat you used and how--what the river looked like when you traveled up it? Let's start with the Kandik River.
- A Okay, we traveled in a nineteen foot Grumman aluminum canoe with a nine horsepower engine. It had a lift on the back to lift the engine up out of --away from the rocks.

  We went up as far as Judge Creek, which is just below Easy Moose Creek, approximately twelve miles up the Kandik. We went no further because we ran out--low on fuel. And we had not found any structures, historic structures along the way. We stopped at each one of the mouths of all of the creeks and explored the area as we went up.

JUDGE LUOMA: You know, as you go along with this testimony now, I wish you'd mark on Exhibit B-3 these points so I'll know where they are.

- Q Okay, would you mark Judge Creek on exhibit B-3?
- A Sure.
- Q Why don't you use the same red...

JUDGE LUOMA: Also, where is Charley's Village while you're doing that?

A Okay, Charley's Village is right at the mouth or near the

3

Α

Α

Α

**4** 5

6

8

7

9

12

13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

JUDGE LUOMA: But you marked the--the point? ight. I'm writing Charley's Village at the mout

Right. I'm writing Charley's Village at the mouth of the Kandik and marking Judge Creek with a dot.

It's on a--it's been washed away and it --

JUDGE LUOMA: Can you write Judge Creek there somewhere? It's written on there.

JUDGE LUOMA: Oh it is, alright.

A Yeah.

Kandik.

- Q How did you--how easy was it to get up the river in this nineteen foot canoe? Or seventeen foot canoe?
  - Seven--nineteen foot, I believe it was. It was larger than the usual seventeen foot. The first part of the --right-just below Threemile Creek, which is marked on the map, was very difficult because there was a log jam and the river divided into two channels. And it was very rapid, very rough and we nearly turned over at that point. Coming back we lined the canoe down through those rapids. then I have learned that that same--1976, three different people went up it and experienced the same difficulties we did. Bob Betts went up it--or came down it in 1976. Richard--Rich Caufield and Bob Howe tried to go up it and swamped their canoe and nearly drowned at that point, the same thing. Now I don't know if this is a condition of the river that is unique in 1976, but that was the trickiest place that we had on the whole trip, was that point right

	-
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

there. That even included going up the upper Charley, coming down the Charley.

- Q Was this--was this July when you were--you said--
- A Yes, this was July. I don't know if the water was high or low, because I only went on the Kandik the once, so I could not judge how high it was or how low it was. I would imagine it was average.
- Q Did you encounter any problem with sweepers?
- A Yes, we--my guide lost his hat as a result of a sweeper and barely ducked his head into the floor of the canoe.

  I was on the bank at the time, walking it. And he almost got swept out because we came around a curve and the sweeper was there, and the main channel took you right through the sweeper.
- Q What is a sweeper?
- A sweeper's in dirt banks, the river, as it meanders down, eats away on a bank and under--undermines the--the ground that trees are growing on, and the trees gradually slant more and more into the--into the water as--as the creek undermines it. And so sometimes they are horizontal to the river. And often times the main channel goes right under them. There were quite a few sweepers on the Kandik.
- Q It's the tree, then, that's the sweeper, is that correct?
- A Right.

- Q And what happens if a loaded boat gets carried into a sweeper in swift current?

  A He can be pushed against the--the bank and turned over
  - He can be pushed against the--the bank and turned over, in fact, that happened at--near--just below Threemile Creek to Bob Betts, whom I know personally, and to Rick Caulfield and Bob Howe, who also I know personally. We were going up the creek and so we were prepared for that coming down. But even then it was still a very trick maneuver to get around this log jam sweeper aspect with the rapid water. It was, as I said, one of the few scarey places on my trip.
- Q Were there occasions when you had to get out of the boat and drag it across gravel bars?
- A Oh, yes, that's customary. They're called riffles and you get out and you hook a--a rope to the bow and to the stern, and you pull it up over the riffles. Generally for some period of time, the riffles may be twenty-five to seventy-five yards long. Other places they just may be just a short way and then you can just pull 'em out without having to tie a rope on.
- Q Now, switching to the Nation River, can you-JUDGE LUOMA: Excuse me--
- Q I'm sorry.

JUDGE LUOMA: Can I clarify this one point?

Q Sure.

25

JUDGE LUOMA: Is this true up and down the Kandik River, this condition of--

A Do you mean getting-- of riffles?

JUDGE LUOMA: --riffles that you described?

- A Well, as far as I went up, which was Judge Creek, I'm trying to remember how many times we may have gotten out. It may have been five or six times. And coming down you had to be really careful because you're going down with the current and if you didn't jump out fast enough, the current would turn you broadside and just whip you right over. And so--but since we had gone up it, we had--knew where to anticipate to get out, and we jumped out very quickly to lighten the boat and get it out over the riffles.
- Q How many miles do you estimate it is up to Judge Creek?
- A Maybe twelve, I don't really know.
- Q So at the lower end of the river you say there was five or six times when you had to get out in a stretch of twelve miles?
- A I--I guess so, yes.
- Q Turning to the Nation River, how did you find the condition of that river?
- A It's a smaller river, and it seems to twist a little
  meander more tightly than on the Kandik. It's a more
  narrow channel so that the sweepers are--you don't have
  as much warning with them, number one, because it's tighter

The Nation-

channels, tighter curves, and number two, the channel's 1 tighter so you have more difficulty avoiding them. 2 I don't remember--my guide, as I said, knows the Nation 3 River very well, and so he had no difficulty negotiating 4 the Nation River, whereas he'd never been on the Kandik, 5 and so it was a new experience for both of us. 6 7 I remember getting out many more times to pull it up over 8 In fact, I remember being continuously wet the whole time and miserable, whereas I don't -- the weather was 9 10 much better on the Kandik, so things colored my--my 11 judgement a bit. 12 Q How far up the Nation did you go? 13 Α 14 map, Hardluck Creek.

- Just below Hardluck Creek and that is also marked on the
- Maybe you could underline it in red. Is there any trail Q along the side of the--the--either of these rivers?
- Yes, there are trails along both sid--both of them. Α
- Q What--how were those trails caused?
- Α I would say on the west side of both. I beg your pardon?
- Q What caused--what--who built those trails and what were they used for?
- Α The ones on the Nation I know were built by trappers, and I assume that those on the Kandik were also built by There are several reasons, number one, when trappers. you're running dog teams, you have to be aware of and

# R & R COURT REPORTERS

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

fearful of overflow, which can cause frostbite and other bad problems. Do I assume that everyone knows what overflow is?

- Q No, maybe not, maybe you could describe--
- a stream freezes down, it pushes the water--sometimes it'll push the water up over the ice, and under the insulating aspects of snow so that the water is still free on top of the ice and below the snow. So that when a dog or a person steps in it, steps in liquid water that instantly freezes. And there have been innumerable cases of frostbite that have caused experienced dog team drivers, Ed Biederman, for example, to lose his feet as a result of that, his toes. Overflow is the biggest problem. Winds are a second problem. It's better to put a dog team trail through the woods to avoid drifting of The rivers curve and meander a lot where it's easier to take shortcuts along the trail, so if you're going to use a river for trapping purposes, the best bet is to make your own trail along the side of it and to built trap sets and whatnot.
- Q To your knowledge, did any of the trappers that you know of that used either the Kandik or the Nation River ever pay other people to haul their supplies up to their camps and cabins on the river?
- A I don't know of any instance of trappers doing that. As

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

1

I said, the geologists did.

- Q In connection with the Boundary Commission?
- A The Boundary Commission.
- Q Was it customary in the trapping trade, as you understand it, for trappers to haul their own supplies or to pay others to haul them?
- A Most of them hauled it themselves. The only thing that I can think of is either they did not have a poling boat and they wanted the material up there that summer or they did--did not have dog teams and prefered to walk it. And they decided to do--hire somebody to bring it--freight it up in the winter. But I know--of the trappers that I have enumerated on both the Kandik and the Nation, I do not know specifically of anyone hiring someone to bring their--their supplies to them. But it is a possibility.
- Q The Park Service, is as you've said, considering--or has proposed that a portion of these rivers be placed in a protective status as wild and scenic river would it be or--
- A The Charley River's the only one that's been nominated for wild and scenic river. The Kandik and Nation, which just includes about four miles--maybe it's more than four miles, about ten miles I guess, of the Kandik and Nation, are part of what's called the Yukon corridor.
- Q And that would become a national park if the D-2 bill passes?

A As it is in the two Houses now. It'll be a national preserve.

- Q I'm sorry, does the Park Service, do you know, envision if this is put in a--made a part of the national park system it would receive intensive recreational use?
- A The Yukon River will, because it is a very easy--easily accessible at both ends, Eagle and Circle, and you can float between the two. And that's--that is planned to be recreational area.
- Q How about recreational use on the Kandik or the Nation, is that contemplated?
- A Not very likely, the planners who have gotten out and walked the Nation and the Kandik recognize that those are no areas for hiking trails. And boating is not very much fun unless you have either a jet boat or an air boat that can go above the riffles. And so there's not really any plans except maybe some canoing probably on the Kandik. I think there is a development in--in one of the planning documents. But it's one thing to float down a river and another one to get down it to float down it. And the Kandik is almost inaccessible and so is the Nation to do that except by helicopter. So if you can't get up it, it's going to be difficult to get down it.
- Q That's all the questions I have.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?

BY MS. TAYLOR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I wonder if we could take exhibit--I think it's B-3 that we've been marking these things on and put it up on the board.

JUDGE LUOMA: Yes.

Q (Pause).

JUDGE LUOMA: Can I see exhibt one please?

Q Miss Grauman, I wonder if you could step over the map, exhibit B-3, and maybe just point out for us some of thethe general area that we've been talking about.

JUDGE LUOMA: Now Miss Reporter, if you have any trouble picking up the conversation, let me know.

COURT REPORTER: Okay, if everybody would speak up...

- A This is just a Charley River quadrangle, so Eagle is off the map in the southeast corner. The Yukon flows around and roughly in a northeast direction. Again, Circle is off the map approximately in a northeast area here.
- Now, as part of your work in identifying historic sites under section 14H of the Settlement Act, are you familiar with the land status of the lands shown on this map, generally?
- A Pretty much so.
- Q Okay, could you point out to us where the--where the land is that we're--that we're talking about, the Doyon lands that are being appealed?

1	A	North of the Charley River areaI mean north of the
2		Kandik River, the Kandik is also referred to as Charley
3		Creek. Van Hatten Creek primarily's the one I'm familiar
4		with because that's where the oil exploration has gone on.
5		And that is almost directly due north of Charley'swhat I
6		have marked as Charley's village.
7	Q	Alright, you have a marking here that shows three township
8	,	areas, is that correct?
9	A	I didn't mark them
10	Q	Okay, you don't know anything about this?
11	A	No.
12	Q <sup>*</sup>	Alright, thethe lands that you traveled on as you
13		were going up the Kandik and the Nation, are these Doyon
14		selected lands?
15	A	NO, they were within the D-2 proposal.
16	Q	Okay.
17	A	So they're D-2.
18	Q	The ten miles of the rivers that you were talking about
19		that were being considered for inclusion in D-2 lands, where
20		are these on the map?
21	A	They're right between the mouth of the YukonI mean the
22		mouth of the Nation at the Yukon and just below Hard Luck
23		Creek.

On the Kandik from the mouth to right at Judge Creek, we may

Okay.

24

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

have gone a little beyond Judge Creek, which is still within the boundaries of the D-2.

- Q Okay, so it was--it was this area down here that's being considered by inclusion in--
- A Right.
- Q --in the D-2 lands.
- A Right.
- Q Alright, you can sit back down.

JUDGE LUOMA: Before we go any further, I would like to ask what is the marking on exhibit B-3 that is identified with certain letters there?

Q Well, perhaps Mr. Allen would like to explain the markings?

MR. ALLEN: Yeah, I think probably we can all stipulate that the--the area along both--the lines along both sides of the Yukon River delineated in blue ink is the D-2 propos--the lands that are withdrawn under the authority of seventeen D-2, which we refer to as the D-2 proposal. There is an area above that outlined in red which are lands that were withdrawn and in some cases, maybe in all cases, selected by Doyon. Within that area, which comprises, I would say, more than half of the map, there is another smaller area of three townships which is outlined ina lighter blue and crosshatched in pencil. Those are the three townships which were the subject of the decision which BLM issued, which has resulted in this appeal.

JUDGE LUOMA: What--what do those letters stand for

there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q

MR. ALLEN: Which letters?

JUDGE LUOMA: The identification of that three township area?

MR. ALLEN: DIC appeal, DIC stands for decision for interim conveyance, that's what BLM terms its decision that it issues to convey land and triggers the appeal process.

Okay, I'd just add to clarify, since Miss Grauman talked about D-2 lands that -- that -- the lands up here that are draw for--or selected by Doyon, Inc., Doyon is the regional corporation, we had first shot at these lands as part of their acreage entitlement. Now, they did select these three townships among others that we're not concerned with. D-2 lands down here are withdrawn and not available for selection by Doyon. However, if for example, the township or part of this township down here is not included as D-2 because it's decided not to include it as a scenic river or whatever, then these lands might be available to a village corporation for further selection, but not--not the regional corporation. But the regional corporation would have first crack at these federal lands that are above the line, and these are the ones that we're concerned with right now.

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I'm--am I correct in assuming that the portions of the Nation and Kandik Rivers involved in

1	this proceeding are only as shown	
2	Q Right up	
3	JUDGE LUOMA:which cross through the	three township
4	area marked DIC?	
5	Q That's correct, here's Kandik River	
6	JUDGE LUOMA: Now justis that correct?	
7	7 MR. ALLEN: That is correct.	
8	Q Right. Right here and right down here.	
9	9 MR. ALLEN: Yes.	
10	JUDGE LUOMA: And that's where the two h	nundred acres
11	11 comes in?	
12	MR. ALLEN: Correct.	·
13	JUDGE LUOMA: And that's all we're talki	ng about in
14	this hearing?	
15	MR. ALLEN: That's correct.	
16	Q That's right, so really as far as this hearing	g is concerned
17	we didwe're not talking about this township	down here,
18	and you can eliminate that one, because the r	ivers don't
19	19 cross	
20	JUDGE LUOMA: Yes.	
21	Q this one, but these two townships are the s	ubject.
22	JUDGE LUOMA: Would it be possible some	adjoining
-23	townships may still be selected which	
24	Q Yes.	
25	JUDGE LUOMA:include other portions of	of the Kandik

and Nation Rivers either upstream or downstream?

Q Yes, they have been selected, some other townships, but they're--they're not included in this particular selection application.

JUDGE LUOMA: Would it also be correct for me to assume that the Kandik and the Nation and probably most streams reaches a point where navigability may stop and non-navigability starts?

MR. ALLEN: Yes.

Q That would probably be correct.

JUDGE LUOMA: And would that be an issue in this particular hearing?

MR. ALLEN: I believe the issue—the specific issue in this hearing is whether the portions of the streams that go through those three townships are, in fact, navigable. We are in—we are introducing as has been obvious, a good deal of testimony on other portions of the river for two reasons. One we feel that the entire river is not navigable, but we will demonstrate, I believe, through our testimony that as you proceed up the river it becomes increasingly difficult to navigate it, and it may be necessary for you to determine that the rivers are navigable for a portion, but not navigable above that portion. I hope we can produce enough evidence and be specific enough in our evidence so that when the hearing record is completed, you will have a record on which you can make that

decision. Namely, that either the river within the selection area is not navigable because it was not navigable further down and the evidence shows that it gets less navigable as you go up or that navigability stopped at some point within the selection area and therefore downstream of it is properly excluded from the conveyance and upstream of that point was property included.

JUDGE LUOMA: Now, the record made so far, will I be able to determine as to what--as to whether or not the testimony applies to those two townships of land shown on B-3?

MR. ALLEN: Well, when you say the record so far, I guess I'd have to say no, because we haven't yet gotten to the part of the river that is within the selection area.

JUDGE LUOMA: We haven't got up that far yet?

MR. ALLEN: No.

MS. TAYLOR: Right. Maybe--maybe we could all stipulate that --for example, we've been talking about tweleve miles up the Kandik. And the portions of the Kandik River and the Nation River that Doyon is appealing the navigability determination on, are, as I recall, thirty to thirty-five miles up the river. Later, perhaps, we can --we can get an exact figure, but--

JUDGE LUOMA: Are those two particular townships identified with a number or--

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

JUDGE LUOMA: What are these, we can refer to them in the future.

MS. TAYLOR: This is township eight north, range thirty east.

JUDGE LUOMA: That's eight and thirty is the one.

MS. TAYLOR: Right, and this would be township eight north, range thirty-one east.

JUDGE LUOMA: Eight and thirty-one, alright.

MS. HIGGINS: Your HOnor, I beg to differ with the characterization of the evidence we've heard so far as--as not applying to the portions of the rivers covered by the Doyon selection. We've heard testimony about supplying the International Boundary Commission, about trapping in the upper reaches of either or both of these rivers. Both of those activities took place beyond the Doyon selection area.

JUDGE LUOMA: In fact, some of it reached Canada, did it not?

MS. HIGGINS: That's right.

MR. ALLEN: I--I would accept that qualification.

JUDGE LUOMA: I think probably that what you meant was that the testimony of the witness's personal travels never-

MS. HIGGINS: Right.

JUDGE LUOMA: -got to thirty and thirty-one.

in MR. ALLEN: That's correct.

1	MS. HIGGINS: That was just a point of clarification.
2	JUDGE LUOMA: Would this be an appropriate time to
3	adjourn for lunch.
4	MS. TAYLOR: Sure, fine.
5	JUDGE LUOMA:and we can start over again.
6	OFF THE RECORD
7	ON THE RECORD
8	JUDGE LUOMA: Let's see, Miss Taylor.
9	BY MS. TAYLOR:
10	Q Yes, thank you. Miss Grauman, what are the gross limits
11	of your study in terms of the time span, you know, from
12	what year to what year are we talking about?
13	A I took the precontact Indian as described by Osgood,
14	Cornelius Osgood, in his ethnographic study, what he
15	projected the pre-contact Indian was like. Using material
16	historical material from Alex Murray and Robert Campbell,
17	which was 1850's, basically let's say from 1850 up 'til
18	the present day, 1976.
19	Q So is 1850 kind of the rough cutoff date from when white
20	traders, etc. came into the area?
21	A Alexander Murray came onto Ft. Yukon in 1847.
22	Q Alright.
23	A And Robert Campbell wasfirst came through the Yukon-
24	Charley area in 1851.

What about present years, in other words, how did you define

1 2 Α 3 4 Q Right. 5 Α 6 7 to the 1930's. 8 9 possible. 10 11 12 Q 13 14 Α 15 16 century period--17 Q Um-hm. 18 A 19 Um-hm. Q 20 Α 21 22 was drydocked. 23 24

historic, what--what--did you have a cutoff date?

- Do you mean as far as recognizing what were present cabins and what were cabins in trespass?
- Generally I picked probably 1960, 1965, if the cabins were being used, but were obviously historic, you know, dating I tried to keep as much within the frame of the "National Register's" fifty year limitation as Sometimes that wasn't feasible, but generally I'd use 1930 as historic. But I did not--I recorded things after 1930's, but not after 1965.
- Okay, was--was your major emphasis then on things before 1930, historic sites or whatever?
- The major emphasis would probably --in the trapping period I guess you're talking and the mining period, the twentieth
- --rather than the earlier period?
  - I would say up until 1950, maybe even--'53, that was the end of the steamboat era, was 1953 with the Nenana was--But then again, in my trapping chapter, Ia lot of my information comes from present day trapping so it's kind of hard to draw a line.
- 0 Okay.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	-

- A But as far as structures go, I did emph--the big emphasis there is when the most--the most heavy use, which was in the '20's , '30's, and '40's. And there was very little use of the river in the '50's and '60's. So that's sort of how the structures go.
- Q The Han Indians that you mentioned as having lived in the area, how are these related anthropolog--I can't even talk today, to the Athabascan Indians that we hear about.
- A They are a tribe of Athabascan, there are approximately twenty- five tribes of Athabascan Indian of which the Han is one.
- Q Okay, are there Athabascan Indians or let's call them
  Alaska natives, since that's what they're called under the
  Settlement Act, living in the area presently?
- A In the Yukon -Charley area?
- Q Yes.
- A No. There are some native allotments, but there are no Indians living in the Yukon-Charley area.
- Q Aren't there residents of Eagle, though, who are of Athabascan decent?
- A That's correct.
- Q Alright.
- A And they do have fish camps lining up the Yukon, and they are-there are some native allotments. For instance, Harry David, who lives in Eagle Village has a native allotment

J
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
วร

2

on the mouth of the Kandik, Al Aims (ph) on the mouth
of the Kandik, which is right smack dab in the middle of
the NationI mean of the Yukon-Charley area. Not the
mouth of the Kandik, excuse me, the mouth of the Charley
River.

- Q There are no reservations in this area though are there?
- A That's correct.
- Q Okay, so the--the Han or Athabascan Indians have been assimilated into whatever society is presently in this area?
- A Well, there is--Eagle is unique in--in the fact that there are separate villages, there is Eagle City, so to speak, which is a non-native community, and there is Eagle Village which is the native Indian Village. And they're separated by three miles.
- Q Okay.
- A And that goes back historically to the trading post era.
- Q What's the present population of Eagle Village approximately?
- A Gee, I would say around a hundred and fifty to two hundred, but I--again, I'd just have to fudge on that, I don't know.
- Q And what about Eagle City?
- A Well, in the summer time, it probably swells to maybe as

- three hundred. And in the winter it may drop to seventyfive or a hundred.
- Q Okay, in your--in your book you talk about subsistence and seasonal economic activities, and I wonder if you can define for us what subsistence is?
- A Park Services have been trying to define subsistence for five years now. That's a very difficult thing to--to define. As I say, we've been having--we've funded five years worth of subsistence studies for the D-2 area so that we could come up with some kind of definition for subsistence And I guess we're closer to coming up with a definition, but we haven't yet defined it. To me it's a very subjective definition how I use the word --for precise purposes of how I use the word subsist. And that is what you needed to live. One moose, two caribou, forty--forty fish, a hundred and twenty fish, depending on how many dogs you had.
- Q Okay, can we cuse subsistance as a shorthand term to define a type of lifestyle in this area, would that be fair?
- A I would--I would--I would say so.
- Q Okay, could you explain for us generally what a subsistence lifestyle entails, what is the seasonal round?
- A Well, it depends, in the Yukon-Charley area, for instance there are at present twenty-eight people, non-natives, who are what they call living the lifest--living the bush life,

what we in the Park Service would call subsisting, what BLM would call trespassing. They are primarily trappers who make just enough off of their fur to buy ammunition, clothing, and food that they would use to supplement their—their meat and fish diet, average about twenty—five hundred dollars a year is about what they'd make. HIstorically, the Indians of Eagle Village had a more subsistence lifestyle than they do now, and that was more on a seasonal round similar to what I described in my book of fishing on the—in the summer and hunting moose and caribou in the fall and winter.

- Alright, now, as part of this subsistence lifestyle among the natives historically, do they--do they have a cycle geographic activities, in other words, what do they--where do they go and what do they do in what season of the year in this area historically?
- A This is all very vague because the only ethnographi work on the Han has been done by Cornelius Osgood, and he did that after a two week visit to the Haun Indians in the 1930's. There have been other work by anthropologists, Graburn and Strong, who wrote a book called Circumpolar—the name passes me right now, but it was referring to the broad group of northern Athabascans, and their belief is a little different than Osgood's or VanStone whose a third person who's written on the Athabascans. Generally

7 8 9

12 13

10

11

15 16

14

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Q

Osgood believed that they were basically a subsis--a salmon--they lived on--subsisted on salmon for the most part. Other anthropologists say that they had more of a -- of a leaning towards caribou and moose. So when I say-- it's kind of hard to pin it down because we just don't have the documents, all we have is a lot of supposition.

Q Okay.

But the way Osgood describes it is that they--during the summer, the salmon--the three runs of salmon, the king, the chum and the silver? Well, at least the two, the dog and the king salmon as they came down, they would spread out in individual fish camps and fish, dry their food, fish food, and then stack it and store it. And then during the fall, they would join in the caribou rounds, and try to herd the caribou into caribou corrals or else make human surrounds by using just the people themselves, and snaring or killing the caribou. And then later in the fall, individually, at that point they would cache the meat in the hills according to Osgood. And then when the fish were gone in the winter, they would go back and pick up the meat that they used--that they had killed in the fall and bring it in, the fish into the river villages. And then once that was gone, then they would start hunting for moose, individually trap, snare rabbits, kill ptarmigan, grouse. Okay, isn't there a long history of subsistence use on

these rivers?

2

A Oh definitely.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Okay, the--the natives who are involved in subsistence use, how do they get up and down the rivers, the Kandik and the Nation Rivers?
- A There were again, according to Osgood, several different means of transportation, the birchbark canoe, which was used for basic transportation; a flat bottomed canoe for what they called dip netting; a thirty foot long traveling canoe; and then a very long, narrow hunting canoe, which one person would sit in the canoe, and with sort of two poles on each side, almost like crutches, walk up the river. And so there was at least four different kinds of boats that they used. After the introduction of--of--after a contact period, they had bigger boats, they'd have log rafts, scows, and eventually they used the steamboat just like the white man.
- Q Okay, now prior to what you call contact, this subsistence use would be primarily for ones own consumption or--or one's family or--
- A Family, kin, clans.
- Q Alright, what about after contact, when do we start seeing trading between the natives and the white people?
- A Well, even before actual traders were on the river, before the Hudson Bay Company came into either Ft. Yukon or Ft.

Selkirk, the Indians had metal and coins and copper, which 1 probably came from the Russians who had a post at St. 2 Michael in 1833, but they're not sure. It also could 3 have come from the coast, up from the Copper River area. 4 But there was trading going on and they did travel a 5 great deal. 6 When was the peak of the fur trapping? 7 Q 8 Α Fur trading or fur trapping? Well, let's talk about fur trading, since we've been 9 Q talking about that? 10 Okay, I'd say that the peak of the fur trading was before 11 Α 12 the gold rush, around 1880 to 1884. 13 Okay, I think you mentioned in your book, though, that the Q 14 prices fluctuated drastically? 15 That's fur trapping, I don't know what the prices were Α 16 for fur trading. 17 Q Okay, what about fur trapping, then? 18 Fur trapping, the haydays were the twenties. Α 19 Alright, did--did natives engage in trapping? Q 20 Yes. Α What was this regulation that you mentioned that only 21 Q 22 before a certain date, and I didn't pick up on the date. 23 Α Right after purchase, the United States Treasury Department 24 was given jurisdiction over the -- Alaska. And they passed 25 a regulation, it was not a law, it was a regulation, that

only natives could trap. So therefore, you have a difference of--why I brought it up in my book was that I 2 wanted to show the difference between trapping in Alaska and the-fur trading in Alaska in the 1880's versus fur 4 trapping in the American west in the American west in the 5 1830's with the mountain men. WE did not have mountain 6 men, so to speak, in Alaska, and so that's the reason why 7 I was trying to show, and the reason for that was that 8 9 there was a Department of Treasury regulation that only 10 natives could trap. Q Um-hm, and that was--when was that changed? 11 12

- Α I'm not sure on that, I would imagine that either it was when the Oregon code was extended to Alaska in '84 or when the criminal and civil codes were extended in 1900. I'm almost certain it was--it had been removed by the time that Alaska became a territory, but I do not know exactly when it was repealed.
- Q Okay, but sometime after this when the regulation was changed both--both natives and non-natives trapped on these rivers?
- Α That's correct.
- Q Alright, was trapping done in both the summer and the winter--
- Α No.

1

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q --up the river?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- A No.
- Q Alright, what was the cycle for trapping?
- A Trapping began -- you don't want to know the lifestyle, you just want to know the cycle don't you?
- O Um-hm.
- A Trapping began in NOvember and it was over in March. The last thing to trap was beaver in early spring.
- Q Alright, would one go up the river and winter over and work the trap lines and then come down the river in the spring?
- Well, they didn't necessarily have to go up river or down river, they could run along the rivers. In fact, what they tried to do was get transition zones between say the muskeg and the black spruce or between the black spruce and the light spruce, along a stream bed, along an outcropping of rock. So it didn't--it never--what they tried to do was go up drainage systems, not necessarily up one river or down another.
- Q Okay, well, let's take a specific example, how about the Kandik River, and you mentioned that a certain Dennis and a Peterson trapped the upper reaches of the river toward the Canadian border, as a matter of fact, one trapped on one side and one trapped on the other side of the border.
- A Okay.
- Q Alright, now, how do they get up the river, where do they-

1		where do they live permanently?
2	A	Okay, they went upthey went up Rock Creek, that's the
3		creek that's marked on the map there
4	Q	Okay.
5	A	that comes in just above Johnson's Gorge, if you take
6		one tributary just below it, if you take the other
7		tributary.
8	Q	Did they go up Rock Creek from the Yukon?
9	A	Yes.
lo	Q	Alright.
11	A	That bypassed that long meandering part of the Kandik.
12	Q	Alright.
13	A	Supposedly, according to my source, who was George Beck,
14		they did not trap on Rock Creek. That was somebody
15		else's trap line. And from there, from Johnson's Gorge
<b>L</b> 6		up to the Kandik, I don't know how they went. They may
17		have cached themselves a poling or they may have taken along
18.		a whip saw and whipsawed up a poling boat. Or they
19		may have poled the material up in a fold boat, they had
20		folding boats. I don't know how they did it.
21	Q	What timewhat time of year would they be going up the
22	·	Kandik?
23	Α	Again, they could even have waited until snow on the ground
24		and gone up at that point.
25	Q	Okay, you don't know
- 1		

1	A	I don't know.
2	Q	what time of year. Alright, where was their permanent
3		residence?
4	A	Again, you know, all I got was that they did trap that.
5	Q	Okay, alright.
6	A	They maythey probably were there during the winter and
7		werewere down in Eagle or on the woodchopchopping
8		wood along in the summer.
9	Q	Well, once they were up at the border trapping
.0	A	Um-hm.
1	Q	is there any way to get back down to the Yukon other
2		than on the Kandik or the Nation River?
13	A	Well, they could come down throughthey could come down
L <b>4</b>	1.	through the boundary. The Boundary Commission had a
15		clearhas cleared an area down through that area.
ا6	Q	Are you familiar with the topography
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q	in that area? I understand it's very difficult to go
19		overland at the boundary?
20	A	Well, if you've got snow on the ground, and if you've got
21		a dog team.
22	Q	What about in the spring, how could they get back to the
23		Yukon River?
24	A	It would probably be best to put it on rafts or a scow or
25	(1)	a boat and go down the Kandik. It's much easier once the

1		spring comes to float down a river than to try to go
2		overland anywhere.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	They may have even made a moosehide boat, I don't know.
5	Q	Alright. Are you familiar with how the International
6		Boundary Commission got back from the boundary after they
7		finished their survey?
8	A	Got back from the boundary?
9	Q <sup>+</sup>	Um-hm.
10	A	They took horses up toI think it was at the mouth of the
11		Kandik and then from there they ran a gasoline launch. I d
12		have to check my notes again if you'd want me to look.
13	Q	But the International Boundary Commission had theirhad
14		their supplies poled up the Kandik River to the border, to
15		the Canadian border?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Do you know how many miles that is?
18	A	I'd say more like ninety miles.
19	Q	Okay, where are the headwaters of the Kandik?
20	A	They're in Canada.
21	Q	And how many miles from the border or do you know?
22	A	I don't know.
23	Q	Okay. Are you familiar with any instances of natives
24		market hunting for miners?
25	A	Market hunting?

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	-

- Q Hunting and selling or--
- A Do you mean packing, that kind of stuff, hunting caribou and selling it to--
- Q Right.
- A Yes. Not natives, I take that back. I do know of white men.
- Q When was this activity?
- A Oh from 1898 until at least 1920, probably beyond that, too, because there were a lot of people getting game for the military, which was there at Eagle up until 1911.
- Q Is this Ft. Egbert?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay, when does one go get moose or caribou, what time--what time of year?
- A Usually in the fall.
- Q And how do you get to where the moose and caribou are?
- A The ones I knew went by mule.
- Q To where, from where to where?
- A From Eagle up into the hills around Seventymile, up the Seventymile River into that area up around--up into the Fortymile River, <u>Ketchumstuck</u> (ph) Summit, that area around there.
- Q Okay, what about moose and caribou hunting on the Kandik River?
- A I'm sure that it happened, but I have no recorded information

1		from either my informants or from documentary.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	On the Nation I do know that Dan <u>VanDeber</u> (ph) killed
4		enough caribou to feed his dogs to keep them fat and
5		sassy in the upper reaches of the Nation. But that's all
. 6		I know for a fact.
7	Q	And I think you testified on direct that it would be
8		customary to hunt caribou and float down the river in
9		skin boats?
10	A	They have been reported instances, yes.
11	Q	Okay.
12	A	The natives, Indians, yeah.
13	Q ·	Caribou skin boats or moose skin boats. Alright. Now,
14		when you were on your field trips up the Kandik and the
15		Nation, did you see any permanent trails other than the
16		trappers trails that you've described?
17	A	There was a trail running along on the Kandik, running
18		along the west bank of the Kandik. Most of the trails or
19		the Nation were also on the west side, but they were what
20		Iwhat I would have called trapping trails.
21	Q	Alright, are trapping trails winter trails?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Why is that?
24	A	Because they go across muskeg, which is very difficult
25		to walk on, whereas when it's snow covered and frozen, it

makes fairly nice, smooth dogsledding. The brush is usual	]
clipped down only so far, whereas if it were a summer trai	]
it'd be cut down all of the way. It's just generally	
trapping trails are not much fun to walk in, whereas	
wintersummer trails would be up on a higher ridge where	
you don't get this muskeg or walking on tussocks kind of	
thing, you get higher, dryer and more comfortable and	
you can walk faster.	

- Q Okay, in the area of the Kandik and the Nation Rivers that we're talking about, are there any lakes that are suitable for float plane landing?
- A No, I wouldn't think so.
- Q If one wanted to settle up the Kandik or up the Nation, then your--your only means of access for supplies would really be by water, wouldn't it?
- A Helicopter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Where would you land a helicopter?
- A You can land a helicopter on flat--flat land on--on a-in the muskeg with tundra--
- Q What about on gravel bars?
- A I don't think there are too many gravel bars up there, you know, the banks may slope off, but it'd have to be pretty low water.
- Q What if you couldn't afford a helicopter, how would you get your supplies into this area if you wanted to settle

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

in it?

- A In the upper Charley the trappers during the 1940's throughout put all of their goods in thirty-gallon drums and threw them out of a helicop--not a helicopter but an airplane as they were going over.
- Q Um-hm.
- A And then landed at a strip that was twenty miles away and then walked over and got their material. They did-you know, the upper Charley is even more inaccessible than the Kandik, and I imagine if you really were dedicated you could do it this way.
- Q Alright, but as far as the Kandik and the Nation are concerned, historically the--the exploration in this whole area has followed the rivers, isn't that true?
- A Yes.
- Q As a matter of fact--
- A Aside from the boundary--boundary cutting across it.
- Q Okay. Except for the exception of the Boundary Commission--
- A And U.S. geologists, United States geologists.
- Q Of course, the Boundary Commission couldn't follow the rivers because the boundary doesn't follow the rivers.
- A It's the same with the geologists, it's the same kind of thing--
- Q Alright.

Į.		
1	A.	but I'd say the military and they're trying to find
2		roads or the telegraph line, they went along rivers.
3	Q	Okay, as a matter of fact, as you noted in your book,
4		this area had a slower economic development than we might
5		find in the lower forty-eight because of the absence of
6		permanent overland trails.
7	A	Um-hm.
8	Q	In other words, the area's complhistorically was
9		completely dependent upon the waterways
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	navigable waterways.
12		MR. ALLEN: I'm sorry, can I ask a clarification there,
13	when	you say the area, are you talking about the Nation or the
14	Kand	ik or the entire Charley River-Seventymile
15	A	I'm talking about the entire Yukon.
16	Q	You're talking about the entire area. Alright.
17	A	Because the Nation and Kandik haven't really been developed.
18	Q	To this day they haven't been developed?
19	A	Yeah.
20	Q	They're very sparsely populated. Does the the fluctuation
21		in the fur market in the 1950's help to explain the lack
22		of trapping activities in the '50's around the Kandik and
23		the Nation?
24	A	Oh very definitely.

What about gold mining activity, are there fluctuations

25

Q

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

20

in--in that in the modern period?

- A Well, as you know, gold was stablized at thirty-three dollars an ounce in the thirties, and it was just recently that it was allowed to find its own level. So that you had gold at a--frozen at a certain level, but you had labor costs and supply costs and half a dozen other things going--continuing to rise, so therefore, gold mining was less economically feasible than it had been earlier.
- Q Okay, in your investigations of the use of the Kandik and the Nation Rivers, did you investigate records of mining claims on these rivers?
- A Yes, and I didn't find any.
- Q Where did--what records did you search to look for mining claims?
- A I went to the district--not--the recorders office, which used to be over in the office there in Fairbanks, and I went through the records in Eagle, which had some of the mining claims from around Nation, Fourth of July Creek, and that's about it. BLM had nothing.
- Q Is there any way access these mining records if you don't know who the claimant was?
- A There are books that the mining recorders kept for each mining district. And once you have that book, you know, you can see who had what claim on what creek and where they were. Now some of these mining records are scattered all

over. You know, the mining recorders didn't necessarily always turn them in to the United States Government. George Beck has some in his possession. I've seen them in the Eagle records and I've seen 'em one other place that I can't remember where.

- Q Is it fair to state that you haven't searched every mining record that could exist on the Kandik or the Nation?
- A That's very feasible, that's very feasible.
- Q Is it fair to state that it might be an impossible task for anyone to do that?
- A I welcome them to do it, but I wouldn't want to be the one to do it.
- As a historian, do you think that the gold rush at Nome and the movement out of this area might tend to explain the lack of mining activity on the Kandik or the Nation or north of the Yukon?
- A NO, I would say that it's more that there was--the geology was more a reason than the fact that Nome and the other areas, the geology of the northern part of the Yukon is a very so to speak simple geology as compared to south of the Yukon where you have faults, fractures, uplift, and the Tintina fault, which is a significant fault which crosses right across the lower reaches of the Charley Mountains from upper Woodchopper Creek to Charley River was the one that brought in supposedly opened the door to

	a lot of the placer gold in that area. Whereas we had
;	there's no comparable fault north of the Yukon in this
	area.

- Q Okay. The--the trappers that you mentioned as working on the Nation River, and I think that you narrowed this to about 1920 to 1946, is that right or did I--am I right?

  You stated there were two trappers that you definitely knew of, VanDeber (ph) and Phonograph Nelson, and then possibly a third, James Taylor?
- A That's correct.

- Q Okay, did you consider native trapping use on the rivers that wasn't commercial use when you--when you said this was the only evidence of trapping?
  - I considered native use, but at this--and it's conceivable that there were, but they were not reported to me by people who were married to natives, George Beck and Charlie Biederman, who's himself half native and he's considered a native. The natives from this area were primarily working at the Coal Creek dredge and the Wood-chopper dredge, and I have not heard that any of them trapped from around that area, but they may well have. But that's down--further downriver. As far as the Nation and Kandik, I would suppose that between the--from 1933 to '46, that the Biederman's would have taken care of that-the lower mouth of the Kandik.

- Q Which river is better documented as far as trapping, the Nation or the Kandik?
  - A Well, I know that Phonograph Nelson was on the Nation, and as far as the Kandik, I've had a number of people who have cabins who have been told that have trapped that, as many as fifteen. So it's two people versus fifteen on the Kandik, you know, I don't know what you mean by better documented. They're both--they're all the same, all comes from moral (ph) sources.
  - Q Okay, well from your investigations, do you think that they were equally used for trapping, or would you make a distinction from one or the other?
  - A Well, the Nation is the smaller river, and it can only support so much--so many martin, so many lynx, whereas the Kandik is a much longer river and has more resources to exploit. So I would imagine that there would be more people on the Kandik than on the Nation.
  - Q Alright, on the Kandik River, you mentioned that Sandy
    Johnson trapped the river and that he had a contract to
    deliver hay and grain, and--
  - A To the geolgists.
  - Q Okay, where were the geologists working?
  - A I don't know, that was what my notes said.
  - Q Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That the--ninety miles from the border. Let me just double

1		check that. Sandy had a contract for hay and grain for
2		geologists, for the geologsit's horses ninety miles to the
3		border. So for ninety miles to the border all the way
4		to the border he supplied the hay and the grain.
5	Q	He was the one who supplied the hay and grain?
6	A	Um-hm. And I don't know how he supplied it, by boat or
7		by horses.
8	Q	Well, is there any way he could have gotten it up there
9	·	except on the river?
10	A	Horses.
11	Q	There were no trails?
12	A	Yeah, there was trails all along the river.
13	Q	Along the river?
14	. A	Yeah, that's-even the InternatioalInternational
15		Boundary Commission refers to trails.
16	Q	But there were no permanent trails along the river?
17	A	No, in fact the geologists in the International Boundary
18		Commission keeps refering to trails as being very bad,
19		very soft, hard on the horses, and this kind of thing.
20	·	But there were trails along the river.
21	Q	Well, wouldn't these trails upon the river generally be
22		trapping trails?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And they wouldn't be suitable for summer use?

But they could be used for summer use, they could walk along

1		the riverbed, too, if it's low water.
2	Q	But it'd be easier to take the supplies up the river?
3	A	Very probably.
4	Q	Okay. What about timber in the area, was there any?
5	A	Yes, there's timber.
6	Q	Okay, what was thewhat was the economic activity with
7		regard to timber, if any?
8	A	Woodchopping for steamboats, the steamboatsone steamboat
9		used two hundred cords a trip, round trip.
10	Q	Where did the woodchopping take place?
11	A	All along the river and its tributaries, they would try
12		to chop the wood on a hillside and have it tumble down
13		below. But asand then you can have horses pull it in
14		from several miles away. Sometimes they'd just move
15		their cabins. Heine Miller, at Miller's Camp, which is
16		at the mouth of the Tatonduk just south ofjust upriver
17		from Nation, he got a tractor eventually that he pulled
18		his logs into his Miller's camp there from his
19	Q	Is there any
20		JUDGE LUOMA: Excuse me, you mean all along the
21	Yukor	River, don't you?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay, you don'tyou don't have any specific references to
24		timber activities on the Kandik or the Nation?
25	· A	No, only on the Tatonduk is the only one. I know that there

1		are two woodchopper's camp on the Tatonduk.
2	Q	Is there timber on the Kandik or the Nation Rivers?
3	Α	There isn't now, but I would imagine there was then.
4		Right now it's primarily birch and aspen, but that was
5	·	probably cleared out in a forest fire burn.
6	Q	Um-hm.
7	A	And I imagine before that there was spruce.
8	Q	So you wouldn't know if there were woodchopping activities
9		on the Kandik or the Nation?
10	A	No, I don't.
11	Q	Okay. As part of your job, did you have any imput into the
12		identification of easements to the Kandik or the Nation
13		River?
14	A	None.
15	Q	Alright. You mentioned the development of light draft
16		steamboats.
17	A	Um-hm.
18	Q	And I think I've gotten confused as to the names and
19		whetherwhat rivers they were operating on, was was the
20		boat named the Koyukuk or was it on the Koyukuk River?
21	A	Both.
22	Q	Oh, alright.
23	A	And the Tanana and the Tanana, on the Tanana River. There
24		were three lowlow drawing boats, the Tanana, the Koyukuk
25		and the Delta. They were all developed about the same
	İ	

1		period of time.	
2	Q	When was this?	
3	A	I'd have to check my notes again, but I think it's probably	Ţ
4	•	oh, around 1910 or 'll, but I'd have to check my notes.	
5	Q	Okay.	
6	A	Probably between 1903 and 1910, but I'd have to	
7	Q	Alright, what were these light draft steamboats designed	
8		to carry?	
9	A	The same things as the regular steamboats, just smaller	
10		quantities.	
11	Q	How much of ahow much of a load would theywere they	
12		designed to carry?	
13	A	I probably have that somewhere in my notes, but I don't	
14		have it in my book, and I don't have it with me now.	
15	Q	Okay, alright. In your-in your book you stated that you-	_
16	·	you tried to apply Turner's progressive frontier thesis	
17	A	Um-hm.	
18	Q	to Alaska, the idea that you'd see one group, then	
19		another group, then another group going by if you stood	
20		on a bluff and looked down. Doesdoes Turner contemplate	
21		thatthat one group rises up, then the next, then the	
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	next?	
23	Α	No, his idea is in fact, the whole reason why he created	
24		his frontier thesis idea was to find out what created the	
25		unique American character. And he evolved that it was the	

presence of free land at the edge of the frontier that drew people west. And the every--that as they came west, they brought with them an established set of social practices, institutions, beliefs, value systems, and that when they came into--when they met this environment, this free land, they had to modify these practices and institutions and social beliefs. And that this mish of environment and past beliefs, what came out of it evolved the American character. And it was this progressive evolution as the explorer moved on west, the--the fur trader followed him and then the miner, and then the townsperson. And it was sort of this steady progression. It wasn't that one had to fall or leave before the other one.

- Q Now, in the area of the Kandik and the Nation, don't we see several of these groups existing simultaneously even today?
- A We probably do.
- In other words, given the lack of development of the area, are there some historical remnants or peoples or occupations that might have been wiped out in a more economically developed area but persists in an ecomonical area.
- A Subsistence lifestyle. As I say in my book that the last frontier on the Yukon looks back--looks backward, not forward, looks backward to when somebody could be self

- reliant and self sufficient and all of those wonderful words of Americanism.
- Q So, you know, if we take say the Indian as one of the groups, you know, that we would see in the progression in the area of the Kandik and the Nation, some of these Indians still exist in a similar way to what they did a hundred years ago?
- A Not so much, in some areas of Alaska that's true, but not so much on the Yukon. For the most part the Indians in Eagle village do not subsist and are really not very interested in a subsistence lifestyle.
- Q What about the new groups who have moved in, the trespassers, whatever?
- A The--the trappers who are now in the area are mimicking the earlier Indian lifestyle, and are craving to know how they did it, how they lived as simply as they did, how did they get along without having to buy their food in bulk, brown--brown rice, and peas, and lentils and this kind of stuff. And they keep--they go to the Indian village and spend hours talking to these older people, and they are the ones who are more or less picking up on some of the--to some extent, the Indian lifestyle of pre-contact or early history--historic.
- Q Okay, they're seeking what we call an alternate lifestyel?
- A Right.

1	Q	Are they dependent on the river?
2	Ą	Very much so.
3	Q	How so?
4	A	All of their material, theirtheir winter's food that
5	-	they cannotyou know, to supplement their meat diet is
6		brought in on thebrought in by boat either from Eagle
7		or from Circle. Whether they have a motor or whether they
8	e e	use dogs to pull it or whether they track itline it up
9		the river themselves, they're still dependent on the boat
10		to do it. Almost of all them, without exception, live on
11		a tributary stream or river. They use the river for water,
12		you know, it's thethe river's a very essential part of
13		their lifestyle.
14	Q	Okay.
15	Ä	There are none that live in the interior.
16	Q	None, they all live on the river?
17	A	On one partyou know, one of the rivers or one of its
18		tributaries.
19	Q.	Okay, I have no further questions.
20		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Higgins?
21	BY MS	S. HIGGINS:
22	Q	I think most of my questions have been asked and evilly
23	. *	answered.
24		JUDGE LUOMA: Oh, you don't have to
25	Q	Bear with me if I'm slightly repetitive, I'll try to

eliminate the overlap here. Am I correct in concluding from your statements today that the subject of native use, particularly the seasonal cycle of activity in the Kandik and Nation basin area has not in your opinion really been thoroughly studied?

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. You mentioned the coal, we have reports of coal being sledded from the Nation coal mine to the Yukon River, do we know where it was sledded. Is it possible it was sledded on the frozen river? Do you have any information about this?
- A I could well have been sledded on the frozen river to a point--I have it listed in my appendic, coal transportation road, and I have a photograph of it, but I didn't include the photograph in the book, but the road is about seventy-five yards to a hundred yards wide--long and it crosses off a meander off the Kan--off the Nation River and goes directly from the Nation to the Yukon River. And that has been told--I've asked several people what is this road and they said that's the coal transportation road. So I'm--judging from that I would say it was sledded on the river 'til that point, and then it was taken over to the Yukon. But then again we also have to bring into consideration overflow on the winter--in the winter ice.

  Q You've said that it was determined rather early that the

mineralization was on the south side of the Yukon and that's where gold--reported gold mining activity was--took place on the tributaries, on the south side rather than north side that we're concerned with--Um-hm.

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- --here in this appeal. In your opinion, is that absence of Q documented use of the tributaries of the Yukon north of the Yukon such as the Kandik and Nation during the gold mining era, at least partly attributable to the lack of economic incentive to--to use those rivers?
- Yeah, I agree. Α
- Q Okay.
- Christopher Nelson, who was the trapper on the Nation, Α. was a miner in the Fortymile, so he may well have done some prospecting on the Nation at the same time he was trapping, I don't know. But he never found anything worth reporting.
- Okay, do you think the history of trapping activity Q from 1920's through the '50's has been thoroughly studied?
- Not at all. I had a great deal of difficulty finding Α information on modern trapping, and my major source was the Alaska Weekly, which was a fantastic source because they gave the fur prices every--every week, and gave articles on what was happening in the state. And I-without that source, I would have been very hard pressed

- 1		
1		to have written a trapping history. The National
2		archives has little or no information on modern take,
3		harvest figures.
4	Q	From your research on trapping duringduring that pe
5		you mention that fur prices went down after World War

- From your research on trapping during--during that period you mention that fur prices went down after World War II. In your opinion, was there a lack of economic incentive to use the Kandik and Nation River systems for trapping and fur trading in late 1959, around the time of statehood?
- A As somebody said, they put a road to Eagle in the '50's and everybody left. And that's about what happened. There was no motivation to stay there. The steamboats were gone, there was no woodchopping to be done, mining was at a low ebb, fur trapping market was low, there was nothing keeping people on the river.
- Q What about fur prices today, is there some economic incentive to again use those areas for--
- A Fur prices have risen, but not comparable to wages.
- Q Um-hm.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A And as I report, people are returning to trapping for other reasons than for profit.
- Q Okay, how much time did you spend examining the International Boundary Commission report--report or reports, I'm not sure what there is?
- A Probably five days.

- Q Was that done in connection with--with your published study on Yukon frontiers?
- A No, it was done--the first--the first time I came into exposure with the International Boundary Commission was for the study, but most of the information most of the time since then has been as a result of a request by the regional soliciter to look at some notes that Richard Stern had requested from Menlo Park--
- Q In preparation for this hearing?
- A --right.

- Q Okay. Do you think you examined them thoroughly enough to determine whether <u>Kearns</u> (ph) may have been referring to transportation of supplies on the Nation or its tributary streams even though he didn't specifically refer to the Nation in parts of his notes, would you be that—that familiar with them?
- A Initially, when I first read the published version, he said that supplies were furnished on the Kandik and Nation by poling boats.
- Q That was his published report.
- A I just made that assumption and then he talks at great length about the poling boats on the Charley Creek whichwhich was the Kandik. But then when he talks about the Nation, he never mentions poling boats, he does mention caches. So what could have happened is the poling boats

	li.
1	
. 2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

came while he was in between and cached the food. Or they could have brought the supplies and stuff up the Kandik in poling boats and then brought the supplies by horseback. He does not specifically state in any time in those notes how the Nation was supplied aside from horses and this cache that he talks about.

- Q Were some of the camps that were established and supplied located on the uppernation and it's--or its tributaries.
- A That's correct, yes.
- Q Okay.
- A In fact, one of the geological foundations--formations was called tindir, after Tindir Creek.
- Q Do you know how much water the poling boats used by the--used to supply the Boundary Commission drew?
- A No, I sure don't.
- Q Let's see, I'm not sure that well articulated. You don't know what the draft was? You described generally the length and width and shape of the boats.
- A I would say maybe two to three to six inches, I don't know.
- Q Okay. Referring now to your trip up the lower reaches of the Kandik and Nation during the summer of 1976, how many people did you have in one nineteen foot Grumman canoe?
- A Just myself and my guide.

1 And how was it loaded? Q Can you estimate the--2 Α I was in the front--3 --approximately the weight in one canoe? Q 4 Α Well, I weigh a hundred and ten, he probably weighs a 5 hundred and fifty, and we probably had a hundred pounds 6 worth of gear. 7 Q Okay. You mentioned almost in passing that you--you 8 thought the river level during your trip--river trips 9 in 1976 was neither high nor low--10 Α That was the Kandik. 11 Q Kandik, I'm sorry, thank you for pinpointing that. 12 Α The Nation, my guide who's very familiar with it said it 13 was high, it was running dirty, as he called it, so that 14 meant that there had been some rain in the upper--upper--15 Q Okay. 16 Α Now, I went on the Nation twice, and the --upper reaches. 17 first time was my first exposure to being on the tributary 18 of the Yukon and I was a little jarred to find I had to 19 keep getting out and getting up into cold water up to my 20 waist, and I didn't enjoy that. But the second time I 21 was accustomed to it, so it didn't bother me as much. 22 Q Did you say that your guide was not familiar with the Nation, 23 he was familiar with one but not the other--24 A He was familiar with the Nation and not with the Kandik.

Okay, what--what was the basis for your conclusion that the--

25

Q

1		the water level on the Nation was normal or at least not
2		higher, not particular high or not particularly low?
3	A	The one on the Nation or the one on the Kandik?
4	Q	On the Nation.
5	A	The Nation, as I said, was high, because themy guide
6		said that it was running dirty from rains that had come
7		up.
8	Q	Okay, I'm sorry, I confused 'em again. Did you have any
9		opinion as to the water level on thethe Kandik then?
10	A	Not really. I didn't have anything to judge it by, so I
11		couldn't say whether it was high or low. I was only on
12		it the one time.
13	Q	Referring now to the trapper's trails or the trails that
14		are located along the west sides of the Kandik and Nation
15		Rivers, are these trails useable in the summer, is use
16		feasible?
17	A	Let's say I walked them, but I did not enjoy walking them
18		I would say that they're notthey're notthey weren't
19		meant to be used in the summertime.
20	Q	Did you walk them with a pack?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you think a horse loaded with supplies or a person
23		loaded with a heavy pack would have tough going?
24	A	Yes, and the notes from the Boundary Commission refer to
25		how the tough going is, complaining about the trail is

1		soft, etc., etc. That country is hard on horses. I don'
2		know how many horses USGS must have gone through in the
3		forty years they were mapping and planning Alaska.
4	Q	Are trapping trails located for proximity to animals,
5		proximity to goodto productive trapping lines?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So whatever trapper trails exist, even assuming they can
8	•	be used in the summer, aren't necessarily located in
9	-	places where you'd want to go
10	A	That's correct, it's not always the shortest distance
11	·	from A to B.
12	Q	Okay, I think that's all I have.
13		JUDGE LUOMA: Anything else Mr. Allen?
14	BY M	TR. ALLEN:
15	Q	Yeah, I have a few on redirect. You testified as to the
16		type of boats used by trappers, I'm not sure it was
17		entirely clear in the original testimony or in cross
18		the extent to which you have positive knowledge that thes
19		types of boats actually were used on teh Kandik or the
20		Nation at the time of statehood. Could you summarize you
21		positive knowledge of actual use of poling boats on eithe
22		of these
23	A	Just poling boats?
24	Q	Or any boats on either of these rivers at the time of
25		statehood, at or before the time of statehood?

23

24

- A The Boundary Commission definitely had poling boats on the Kandik. George Beck said that Frank Fish used a poling boat on the Kandik, he's a trapper. I can't remember ever finding any reference to any kind of boat before--before they had the outboard motors and the canoes that they have nowdays on the Nation.
- Are you including in your answer use--actual documented use by native, is there any actual documented use that you've come across of these rivers by natives at the time of statehood?
- A There is reference to--on the Kandik, I belive, of shooting and moose going--and bringing the moose in a skin boat down the Kandik.
- Q How about these three low draft steamers that you mentioned, do you have any documented documentation that any of them ever went up the Kandik or the Nation?
- A No, they never did. They--as I said the Tanana went up-or the Koyukuk, one of those two, went up the Porcupine right after breakup while the water was still very high, made it up to new Rampart house, which is on the boundary.
- Q But the Porcupine is considerably bigger than the Kandik and Nation. I cannot conceive either--any of those boats going up those small rivers, it'd be crazy.
- Q How about pos--positive knowledge that you might have of actual use of boats on the Kandik or the Nation by

- trappers or natives since the time of statehood?
- A There's quite a bit of use of boats on the Kandik and
  Nation by trappers, canoes with engines powered from
  six to ten horses, hunters, sport hunters from Fairbanks
  and elsewhere coming up in jet boats, air boats, and
  they're able to go quite far up the--up the river.
- Q Is there, to your knowledge, an understanding in the Yukon-Charley area by say the residents of Eagle as to how far up each of these rivers is normally considered to be able--able to be navigated by a boat?
- A Well, the trapper I was with, it seemed to me he said that as far as he had ever gone was Tindir on the Nation, but it may have been Jungle, I thought it was Tindir. On the Kandik I don't know. And as far as people in Eagle or on the river, I've never heard 'em say.
- Q Would that--
- A There's more talk about the--about Charley River rather than those two rivers.
- Q Is there any understanding that it would depend a good deal on--on water level at the particular time?
- A Oh definitely. The water level, the type of boat you're trying, wind conditions, people that you have on board, you know, if you have six people that are willing to lift up a canoe and carrying it.
- Q You mentioned the -- that the Nation was high when you went

0

up it in 1976, do I recall your testimony that you still had to get out quite frequently and pull it over bars?

- A Yes. That's why I said I wouldn't like to see it when it's low.
- Now you testified on cross examination, I believe the question was do you know any instances of native trapping as opposed to commercial use, I think that's the question you were asked. My question is, do you draw a distinction between subsistence type trapping and trapping that might be considered commercial trapping?
- A That's another thing the Park Service has been trying to resolve, what is commercial trapping and what is subsistence trapping. And I guess that you sort of boil it down to what's recreational trapping and what is what you live by. And what—if a person is only—whose sole income is twenty—five hundred dollars and he receives that from trapping, I would not say that's a commercial venture, at least in the subsistence commercial definition of what we're talking about. However, if you have somebody from Fairbanks who is working for the Highway Department and has dropped into the upper Charley or the upper Kandik and traps for December and part of January, and comes out and sells his fur, I would say that's more of a commercial venture.
- Q Do you recognize a subsistence between--a distinction

22

23

24

25

between a person who might go out on the weekends and pan for gold, for example, more as a recreational activity and someone who would make an investment of money into-into a mining operation, which we could call a commercial miner, is that the type of distinction you were trying to draw?

- A Yeah, another definition we're trying to work around in the Park Service with subsistence is where do they live. If you live right there and you're using the land that you're living in and you know it, then that's closer to being subsistence than living in Fairbanks and quote using that land. So I'd say that the analogy there is very close.
- Now the--the trapping that existed on the Kandik and the Nation both before statehood and after statehood, would you define this as more of a subsistence type trapping or more of a commercial type trapping?
- Well, Christopher Nelson's trapping I would say was probably subsistence, he was constantly coming into problems with the Alaska Game Commission over trapping out of season, he was getting unprime furs. However, George Beck, who's lived at the mouth of the Kandik at Biederman Camp, just downriver from Biederman Camp, in the '30's brought in eight thousand dollar worth from his furs, and that was quite a bit of money in those days. Now, he was trapping

not just the Kandik, he was trapping the Yukon and almost everywhere else. So you've got a range there that you-that's quite significant and I would say maybe George Beck and his trapping was commercial whereas Frank Fish or Christopher Nelson's were not.

- Q In the period say from 19--well, I guess you testified that from about 1950 to 1968 there was very little trapping in--along the Yukon in this area, is that right?
- A That's correct.
- Q Since 1968, what would you say is the average annual income that is derived from trapping in this area?
- A The people that I know on the Yukon today who are trapping make between fifteen hundred and three thousand a year.
- Q And is this normally their sole source of income?
- A It depends on the lifestyle they want to have, if they want to have a little fancier lifestyle, then one of them will go into Fairbanks to work. The ideal for almost all of them on the river at this point is to be totally self-sufficient, and there are only two trappers--wait, let me backtrack. At this point now there are five trappers, five families who are self-sufficient, but it is a very simple lifestyle.
- Q Would you say they were engaged in that line of work more because they are attracted to the way of life or because it's the best way they can think of to make money?

1		
1	A	Oh, definitely that's their prefered lifestyle. Many of
2		'em have college degrees, could be teaching or
3	Q	And they could probably be
4	A	geologist or whatever.
5	Q	making more money doing something else?
6	A	Definitely.
7	Q	What is the general type of terrain in this area, and
8		by that I mean is it rugged with formidable obstacles?
9		I'm not talking
10	A	You're talking about the river valleys.
11	Q	River valleys and the passes.
12	A	Well, the river valleys there are muskeg, it's difficult
13		walking, but it's not impossible walking. You've got the
14		hills that come up between thethe drainage systems, but
15	Q	How high are the hills?
16	A	Three thousand.
17	Q	Was it common for trappers to trap up one drainage, cross
18		a pass and trap down another drainage?
19	A	Yes, it's still done today.
20	Q	Therefore, the passes are not formidable to cross?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Is theare the mountainare the hills sharp and jagged
23		or more rounded?
24	A	They're rounded, almost flat, sloping.
25	0	Is the growth along the river banks dense and hard to get

2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		-
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	-	
23		
24		
	Ц	

through or is it possible for a horse-	-a person on horse
for example toto travel along the ba	nks of the river ever
though there was not a permanent trail	?

- A There's a lot of underbrush, a lot, there's alder and rose bushes and willow. I wouldn't want to take a horse around through it.
- Q Did the Boundary Commission, in fact, use horses to take supplies up to the border?
- A They used--they used the horses to move camps, move supplies, but how--how those actual supplies, they got a ton and a half poling boats up the Kandik in one instance How they got 'em up the Nation is left in the air, I don't know.
- Q How many of the five trappers that you mentioned in this area were on the Kan--on the Kandik and the Nation.
- A What five trappers?
- Q I thought you mentioned that there were five--
- A On the Kandik?
- Q The five families that are currently subsisting in the area?
- A Oh, three of them are on the Kandik, two of 'em are on--well, one family's on the Nation. There are three single trappers on the Kandik, one family on the Nation and one man on the Tatonduk, Sheep Creek.
- Q I have no further questions.

1		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor, anything else?
2	ВУ М	S. TAYLOR:
3	Q	Just one more. But if a person, say in the '50's went
4		up, was it Rock Creek, I can never remember that, is that
5		the creek between the Kandik and the Nation? Went up
6		Rock Creek, went up the Kandik to trap, and then possibly
7		crossed over and then trapped on the Nation, and then came
8		down, whatever. How would they gethow would they get
9		overland, wouldn't they have a dogsled?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And that would be in the winter by definition?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Not in the summer?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay.
16	A	You don't trap in the winterin the summer anyway.
17		JUDGE LUOMA: Is that all?
18		MS. TAYLOR: That's it.
19		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss HIggins?
20	BY M	S. HIGGINS:
21	Q	One question to clarify the record. When you say
22		that the International Boundary Commission used horses
23		to move camps, are you talking about moving men and
24		supplies relatively short distancedistances within the
25	<b>)</b>	survey area?

2	Q	You are not gaving that they use horses to transport most
4	( Y	You are not saying that they use horses to transport most
3		of the supplies up from the Yukon to the area
4	A	No, no, no.
5	Q	where they were doing their work?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Can you expound on that?
8	A	Just from one camp to another campe.
9	Q	Thank you.
10	BY J	JDGE LUOMA:
11	Q	Your testimony generally about the poling boat activities
12		how far up the Kandik did thisdid this activity take
13		place?
14	A	The poling boat?
15	Q	Yes.
16	A	Well, the Boundary Commission was at the boundary, which
17		is ninety miles approximately.
18	Q	That then takes you all the way from the Yukon to the
19		Canadian border?
20	A	Right.
21	Q	And how about the Nation?
22	A	I have no reference documented, written or orally of poling
23		boats on the Nation.
24	Q	So you have not included in your testimony about poling
25		boats on the Nation?

Α

Yes.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- A I can not remember any--seeing any reference to that on the Nation.
- Q Now, you mentioned one time that the Kandik is a major river, what did you mean by that?
- A Well, compared to Washington Creek and Fourth of July Creek and Mission Creek, which are also in the area, they are--it's a major river. I am looking at it from the perspective of Yukon-Charley, Yukon is the major river, the Charley is a major tributary, the Kandik and Nation or smaller rivers versus these smaller creeks.
- Q Well, do you--do you use the term major in some sense of using the river for certain activities or is it just for this--
- A No, I was thinking more of a large drainage area that it--the valleys are long and broad.
- Q I see. Oh yes, what did your testimony go to so far as the present day use of the rivers, how far up is the present day boating?
- A I don't know on the Kandik. On the Nation, they boat as far up at least as Tindir.
- Q Now does that get us up to townships identified as eight dash thirty or eight dash thirty-one on exhibit B-3?
- A I don't know, I'd have to look.
- Q Would you check please? (Pause).
- A If they get to as far as Jungle, I know they get as far

1		as Jungle, I don't remember him using the word Jungle,
2		I remember the word Tindir.
3	Q	And where is Tindir, is that within the eight dash thirty
4		one?
5		MR. ALLEN: No, it's below.
6	Q	Can you identify it on the map?
7	A	I's right here.
8	Q	Well, then it is not within that section squarethat's
9		marked off?
10	A	No. That's correct.
11	Q	Alright.
12	A	Jungle is.
13	Q	So if it were Jungle, it would then have gone through
14		that section, that township
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	is that correct?
17	A	But I was under the impression that Tindir was as far as
18		he had ever gone. He'd lived on the Yukon seven years.
١9		MR. ALLEN: Who is this individual that you
20	Α.	He's my guide.
21		MR. ALLEN: What was his name?
22	A	David Evans.
23	Q	Now, would you describe a trap line?
24	A	Oh, a trap line can be anywhere from twenty-five miles to
25		a hundred and fifty miles. Generally they try to be
1		

,	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		circular so that they can cover as much country as
2		possible.
3	Q	Wait a minute, whatI mean physically, does a trap line
4		have some physical description you can give it or
5	A	It's a trail.
6	Q	It's a trail?
7	A	Yeah, it's a trail, generally a horse
8	Q	I'm picturing some kind of a line or something, it's not
9		that at all, huh?
10	A	No, it's justimagine a trail
11	Q	Um-hm.
12	A	either through woods or along the stream with occasional
13		wherewhere animal tracks cross the trail, where a certain
14		outcropping is correct, where the transition zones between
15		the different kinds of vegetation, where they're coming
16		out of the mountains to a creek, these are likely areas to
17		set what are called trap sets, trapping sets, where you
18		put the trap.
19	Q	So the trap is an individual item then?
20	A	On a trap line.
21	Q	Um-hm.
22	A	A trap line is generally just a trail that
23	Q	I see, but the trap is not attached to a trap line?
24	A	No.
25	Q	I see. Now, is it the left bank or the right bank of the

1	Yukon that the Klondike enters?
2	A It enters the right bank.
3	Q And is the right bank the same as what you have been
4	calling north of the Yukon?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q Is the geology different?
7	A Yes. You've got the Ogilvie Mountains separating the
8	two.
9	Q I see. Alright, thank you Miss Grauman.
10	JUDGE LUOMA: Do you want to call your next witness?
11	MR. ALLEN: Yes, my next witness is Mike Brown.
12	JUDGE LUOMA: Is Miss Grauman leaving, are you gonna
13	MR. ALLEN: She is goingI think she will return,
14	but she is going to contact her office, I think she has some
15	business to attend to.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Your Honor, may we have a short recess?
17	JUDGE LUOMA: Yes, just a minute.
18	MIKE BROWN,
19	Being first duly sworn under Oath, testified as follows:
20	JUDGE LUOMA: What do you want, a ten minute recess?
21	MS. TAYLOR: Please.
22	JUDGE LUOMA: Alright. We'll have a ten minute
23	recess.
24	OFF THE RECORD
25	ON THE RECORD

JUDGE LUOMA: Are we missing someone? 1 MS. HIGGINS: Mr. Stern, but I think we can proceed. 2 JUDGE LUOMA: Okay, back on the record. 3 MR. ALLEN: I would like to introduce this map into 4 evidence as government's exhibit B-5. It's a little more--easier 5 to follow and might be more useful. 6 Have you seen it? Any objection to B-5? JUDGE LUOMA: 7 We've seen it. MS. HIGGINS: 8 9 MS. TAYLOR: Yes, we have no objection. 10 JUDGE LUOMA: No objection? No objection. MS. TAYLOR: 11 12 MS. HIGGINS: No objection. JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibit B-5 is received in evidence. 13 BY MR. ALLEN: 14 15 Mr. Brown, would you state your name and address for the 0 16 record, please? 17 My name is Mike Brown and my address is 3913 Lynn Drive, Α 18 Anchorage, Alaska. 19 What is your educational background? 0 20 I have a B.A. in history from Alaska Methodist University, 21 an M.A. in history, Northern Arizona University, and I'm 22 pursuing doctoral studies at the University of Washington. 23 And what's your present occupation? Q 24 Presently I'm a historian with the Bureau of Land Manage-Α 25 ment.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q What does that job involve?
- At the present time, the job involves serving as a liason between the government and a contractor and--which is researching historical and physical characteristics data about inland water bodies of Alaska. In other words, I--my--I and another individual serve as contact points for the contractor. We answer whatever questions they have in regards to research. We assess the contractor's progress, the quality of the information, things of that nature.
- Q When was this contract awarded?
- A September, 1977.
- Q And did you state what its purpose was?
- A The purpose of the contract is to collect historical and physical characteristics data about all inland water bodies of Alaska in re--that's essentially what it is. And we have stipulated the types of data to be collected.
- Q Is it the purpose of the contractor to make determinations and recommendations as to whether rivers are navigable?
- A NO, the purpose is not to make recommendations. This is-the contract is simply a data collection effort. There isthe contractor makes no recommendations and has been
  expressly told not to make those recommendations.
- Q What was your job before this contract was awarded with the BLM?
- A Prior to the contract being awarded, I was performing mostly

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

1

partly administrative duties in connection with national—with historic preservation laws and conducting periodical research reports. And at one time I devoted a considerable amount of time on researching the activities of the Alaska Road Commission in Alaska, the development of land transportation in Alaska.

- Q When did you begin work for the BLM?
- A July, 1976.
- Q And before that what did you do?
- A Immediately prior to the appointment with BLM I was at the University of Washington. Prior to that I was working as a contract historian with the Alaska Division of Parks.

  And just prior to that I was a historian with the Alaska Division of Parks.
- Q In connection with your work at BLM, did--did you conduct a study of the Alaska railroad?
- A Yes, I did. This was an effort--a contract study which I undertood, the funds being--the funds came from--or this study was initiated at the request of the Alaska Railroad and funded by the Alaska Division of Parks. And the purpose of the study was to identify historic sites on the Alaska Railroad and evaluate their historical significance as required by law.
- Q Is it then a correct conclusion that a substantial part of your professional career has been devoted to studying the

1		history of transportation in Alaska?
2	A	Since 1976, yes.
3	Q	And is a significant part of that study involve river
4		transportation?
5	A	A considerable part, yes.
6	Q	Have you reviewed the product of this contract study
7		concerning navigableor concerning rivers that has been
8		produced by the contractor?
9	A	Yes, we review thesethe information is collected by the
10		contractor and processed to computer storage and it's
11		retreivable by water body as well as by other perameters.
12		And we review these computer printouts, which often times
13		run into hundreds of pages, the maximum is about two hundred
14		pages, two hundred and fifty page, computer prinout. And
15		these are delivered on an annual basisor monthly basis.
16	Q	Has a printout been obtained by you for the Kandik and
17	p.	Nation Rivers?
18	A	Yes, it has.
19	Q	And have you reviewed these?
20	A	Yes, I have reviewed them.
21	Q	As a expert if you will on transportation in Alaska,
22	·	can you give us a generalgeneral description of the
23		evolution of river transportation in Alaska? And I think
24		for this purpose we ought to exclude transportation in
25		southeast Alaska. I'm talking about transportation in the

3

5

6

4

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

interior part of Alaska?

Okay, the period that I'm most familiar with is that dating since the purchase of Alaska, 1867, and American traffic on the Yukon River actually begins two years after the purchase of Alaska in 1869. Travel was very sporadic on the Yukon River by steamboats of the Mississippi and Missouri River type until really the Klondike goldrush, which is--which is a watershed in Alaska history. after, with the discovery of gold in the Klondike and at Fairbanks in 1902 and in Nome in 1900, river traffic-traffic on the Yukon increased tremendously. point is probably around 1904 when there are more than two hundred steamboats of various sizes on the Yukon River. After that point in time, -- at that point in time, steamboats came in every size and shape. But they--with the passage of time, they became larger and large companies, transportation companies were established on the Yukon River traffid, some of them on the Tanana River, some of them on the Koyukuk River. But the Yukon was the primary artery through Alaska very similar to the Mississippi River. In 1923 the Alaska railroad was constructed--was completed from tide water at Seward to the navigable waters of the Yukon River, which had a terrific impact on river transportation on the Yukon River. Existing transportation companies such as the North American Trading and Transportation

Company, its successor really, the Alaska Pacific 1 Navigation Company suffered as a result of these--of this-2 of the railroad, which in turn lead to the Federal 3 Government getting involved in federal--in river transpor-4 The Alaska Railroad from 1923 or thereabouts to the 5 tation. mid-'50's, 1950's essentially serviced most of the river 6 points on the Yukon River all the way down to Marshall 7 and as far north or east as Ft. Yukon. 8 Where is Marshal? 9 Q Marshall is on the lower Yukon River. 10 Α Below Whitehorse? 11 Q

A Lower Yukon River.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Oh, the lower, I'm sorry.
- A Yeah. During this time there were small navigation companies, such as the Black Navigation Company, the Inland Rivers Navigation Company, the Yutana Barge Lines, which lasted longer than any of the others. But to the present day, most of them are non-existant.
- Q Were these companies that transported goods on the Yukon?
- A Some of them did. Most of them, the--like the Yutana Barge lines service upriver points, wherever the federal government didn't. And also other water bodies such as the Kuskokwim River, the Kobuk River, places of that nature, other large water bodies.
- Q WE've heard a considerable amount of testimony about the

Charley

ì		
1		impact of the discovery of gold on river transportation,
2		what were the main tributaries of the Yukon in Alaska on
3		which there was an appreciable amount of gold activity?
4	A	The major water bodies would include, of course, the
5		Koyukuk River, the Kantishna River, which is a tributary
6		to the Tanana.
7	Q	I guess I'd like to restrict my question to tributaries of
8		the Yukon upstream of Circle.
9	A	Where there was a great deal of gold?
10	Q	Yeah.
11	Α	The only water body would be the Fortymile River.
12	Q	Was there some gold activity on the Charley on the Charle
13		River?
14	A	There was aas farI believe so, there was a copper
15		prospect there at one time. There was an attempt at quartz
16		mining inin that area, but it wasit fell through, it
17		was not successful.
18	Q	Taking for example, a mining venture on the Fortymile, how
19		would a person who was conducting a mining operation
20		up there typically get supplies to his operation?
21	A	Typically he wouldit would depend on the supplies, if it
22		was heavy machinery, he would usually transport it by river
23	·	in the winter over the ice using double end sleds, double
24		enders. If he had a small amount of provisions or if

he had provisions that didn't weigh too much such as--by

	11
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

heavy machinery, I mean dredge, a dredge, dredge parts.
Otherwise, he would use a poling boat. And poling boats
are knownit is a historical fact that poling boats were
used for a commercial purpose, that is for a charge,
twenty-five cents a pound, to Chicken Creek in 1907

- Q I guess I'd better ask you to identify the time period we're talking about here?
- A Okay, 1886 to about 1925.
- Q Okay, now describe the poling boat operation? Who would run these poling boats, for example?
- A It would be individual contractors.
- Q And the miner would pay him a certain freight rate to take materials from--from the Yukon where they would be delivered by what?
- A Freight would be delivered to Fortymile, which is located at the mouth of the Fortymile River on the Yukon River by steamboat, unloaded there, and individual contractors would deliver--transport supplies to points on the upper Fortymile River by poling boat, which was the usual method in the summer as far as Chicken Creek, being the upper limit of navigation.
- Q What would they--what was the typical freight rate in the early 1900's for transporting goods by poling boat?
- A In 1907 it was twenty-five cents a pound.
- Q What would be the equivalent winter rate for transporting

23

24

25

goods at that time, if you know?

- A Almost half, if not less than that.
- Q Why would it be twice as expensive to get somebody to bring goods up by poling boat?
- The Fortymile River is--well, it's hard work for one thing poling a boat is extremely hard work, on the Fortymile River particularly. The Fortymile River has shallows, rapids in certain places, and conversely, it's much easier to--to use--well, I should add, too, that certain parts of the Fortymile--the terrain is difficult for horses. Sometimes horses were used to pull poling boats. There are accounts to the effect that the shores of the Fortymile River, the muskeg was difficult towalk on. But the Fortymile in the winter was very easy to travel on. They could use large sleds, horse-drawn sleds as far as Chicken Creek, Steel Creek, and Franklin.
- Q When did motors come into use as a means of propelling boats in this area?
- A In the Fortymile area?
- Q In the middle Yukon area?
- A Generally--they came into common use shortly after the war, World War I in 1916--17--18. But they were in use in other parts of Alaska at that time.
- Q How did the motorized boats differ from the poling boats in dimension and design?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<b>2</b> 0	
21	

23

24

- A Well, motor boats--there are various classes of motor boats, and in a way--in a manner--in many cases, a poling boat was simply converted to a gas boat, just a transom was added onto it and an outboard motor attached to it.

  Others were constructed, tunnel boats were introduced at this time, that is an inboard-outboard operation.
- Q What is the general dimensions of poling boats that were typically used on the Fortymile?
- A We examined--Richard Stern and I examined two tunnel boats in Eagle, and one--both of 'em were twenty-eight to thirty feet long, and five to seven inches beam--five to seven inches--or feet beam at the top. They had flared sides, the bottom of the beam was three feet to four feet, single screw powered, flat bottomed, snubbed nose.
- Q What is the--what--what do you mean by tunnel boat?
- A tunnel boat is long, narrow, and unlike other boats, it has a cavity extending from the stern to a point about one-third the distance from the stern. And in this cavity is located a shaft, which extends to the limit of the stern, and sits in the cavity.
- Q And what's the purpose of that shaft?
- A The cavity or the shaft?
- Q The shaft, is there a propeller on it?
- A Yes, there's a propeller at the end of it.
- Q (Pause). I'm going to show you three pictures marked

- 1		
1		governments exhibit B-6, 7 and 8 and ask if you know
2		where these pictures came from?
3	A	These three photographs were taken by Richard Stern, the
4		Alaska Division of Land and Water Management in late
5		August duringat Eagle, Alaska.
6	Q	Were you present when he took them?
7	A	Yes, I was.
8	Q	And what so theyI'd like to offer those three exhibits
9		into evidence.
10		JUDGE LUOMA: Any objection?
11		MS. TAYLOR: No objection.
12		MS. HIGGINS: No.
13		JUDGE LUOMA: Exhibits B-6, 7 and 8 are received into
14	evid	ence.
15	Q	Do thedoes thatdo those pictures describe a tunnel
16		or depict a tunnel boat?
17	A	Yes, they do.
18	Q	And what is the purpose of the tunnel or cavity?
19	A	Totoessentially to protect the shaft in low water
20		from obstructions andand bars in the river.
21	Q	What is thewhat would you estimate would be the cargo
22		capacity in pounds of that boat?
23	A	I would hesitate to estimate, I'm almost certain that
24		it could carry a minimum of a ton.
25	0	Could you estimate how much water it would draw when

1		loaded to full capcity?
2	A	I would think about forteen inches, a foot and a half.
3	Q	Is thereon government's exhibit B-8, which is a picture
4		I assume of the stern of the boat, does that show where
5		the water line might be on that boat, do you think?
6	A	Yes,it does.
7	Q	And how far up from the bottom of the boat would you
8		estimatedid you say it was?
9	A	We measured it at ten to eleven inches.
10	Q	Would the propeller project below the bottom of the boat
11		at all?
12	A	As I recall, just a portion of the prop does, extend
13		beyond the bottom.
14	Q	If thescratch that. I'll show you some photographs
15		marked exhibits B-9, 10, and 11, and ask you whatwhether
16		you know where those photographs came from?
17	A	Yes, all three boats shown in the photographs are located
18		in Eagle. The photographs were taken by Richard Stern.
19	Q	Do they show three different boats or three pictures of
20		the same boat?
21	A	All three photographs show the same boat.
22	Q	And I'll show you threefour more pictures marked twelve
23		thirteen, fourteen, and fifteen, and ask you if they were
24		also taken by Richard Stern in Eagle?
25	, <b>A</b>	Yes, they were.
i i		

1	Q And were you present at the time?
2	A I was present.
3	Q I'd like to offer all seven of those into evidence.
4	JUDGE LUOMA: Any objection?
5	MS. TAYLOR : No objection.
6	MS.HIGGINS: No, Your Honor, but I'd see which series
7	is connected with which boat, because I didn't note the number
8	JUDGE LUOMA: Don't pass up your objections unless
9	until you've seen them.
10	MR. TAYLOR: We looked at them before.
11	JUDGE LUOMA: Okay.
12	Q Doeswhat is the boat shown in pictures 119, 10, and
13	11.
14	A What is the boat?
15	Q Can you identify that boat?
16	A Yes, this is a boat located near Eagle Public Library.
17	There are several boats of similar dimensions in that
18	same area, all of which Richard and I measured and photo-
19	graphed. This is probably a typical river boat, or
20	poling boat. This particular boatwould you like the
21	dimensions on that boat
22	Q Yes.
23	Aor just a general description?
24	Q No, the dimensions would be good if you have 'em.
25	A Okay. (Pause). Okay, I believe this boat was the one

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

Yes, it's thirty-three feet long, has a beam of four feet, ten inches at the bottom. At the top, that is from gunwale to gunwale, it's five feet one inch, the depth at the beam is one foot, ten inches, it has a flat bottom, moveable motor mount, and has water marks measured at ten inches, snub stern and bow, has flared sides, a canapy, a prop and what is called a kicker or a device shown in the governments exhibit B-10 situated near the steering wheel. This device, iron--steel device was used to raise the motor mount or transon when going over shallows. It's a system of pulleys to raise the motor mount.

- Q For purposes of reference can we describe this boat as a boat with the liftable outboard as opposed to the tunnel boat?
- A Yes, um-hm.
- And you say it had water marks shown as--up to ten inches on the sides, and a depth of--I think you said one foot one inch, does that mean there were only three inches of free board when it was fully loaded?
- A No, I don't think so. I think when we took depth measurements, we took it at a point perpendicular at the beam, so we're not taking measurements on the side.
- Q Well, as evidenced by the water marks, how much free board would--would that boat have had when it was fully loaded?

  Or is it possible to say?

24

25

- A Well, probably about two feet, about a foot and a half to two feet.
- Q In other words, the sides of the boats would project a foot and a half to two feet above the water line?
- A Right, um-hm.
- Q Now, referring you to governments exhibit B-12, 13, 14, and 15, what does that show?
- A This is a--all four photographs are--show a--what is called a tunnel boat, is located at Eagle, and according to George Beck, a local resident at Eagle, this particular boat was used on the Kandik River.
- Q Who owns that boat, do you know?
- A This boat is owned by a Mr. Biederman at Eagle.
- Q Is it roughly the same dimensions as the other two boats?
- A It is slightly smaller. It's thirty feet long, roughly, the beam is—it has flared sides so that the beam at the top, at the gunwale is five feet two inches, at the bottom it is two eleven inches, the depth at that point, at the beam is one foot seven inches, single screw powered, flat bottomed, has a ten gallon tank, snub stern and bow, and at the present—at the time we were in Eagle, it had a four cylinder gasoline engine.
- Q And did you say that that is the only one of the three that you know was used on the Kandik?

	į
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

24

25

A Only one of the three?
--------------------------

- Q Of the three boats?
- A That is correct.
- Q And that's the Biederman boat, was the only boat that you know--or that you had heard was used on the Kandik?
- A Right, according to George Beck.
- Q In--were these boats designed to draw as little water as possible?
- A Yes, they were.
- Q Why is that?
- A In order to ascend shallow rivers and streams.
- Q Was the tunnel design a guarantee that in moving across a shallow gravel bar, the propeller would be protected at all times?
- A No it was never a guarantee. There was always the possibility that a submerged snag or a --being dragged across a bar, a gravel bar, or even the movement upon a water body, the possibility of hitting the bottom of the water body, but always--it could always bend the shaft or for that matter rip the prop off or break the prop.
- Q So was it customary to--for boat owners to need a certain margin of safety in terms of water depth to make travel with these boats over--up rivers not too risky in terms of breaking propellers?
- A I have never--I don't think that any boat has really been

loaded to capacity. It's hard to tell what the draft is on these types of boats because boats that were used on regular--by regular transportation companies were registered and they had capacity ratings, and these were not.

- What I'm driving at is if the water marks on the boat show that they --they typically floated ten inches below the water line, does that mean an owner would be willing to take them on--across any bar where there was ten inches of water flowing across the bar?
- A No, no.
- Q How much water would he probably want crossing the bar before he would be willing as a normal matter to go up and down across that bar?
- A I would think that he would want a margin of at least a half a foot.
- Now in the--from your knowledge of river transportation in this area, does it--what are the variables that govern the evolution of boat design?
- A Well, it depends, of course, on the characteristics of the water body, of the stream. It depends, too, upon the type of provisions or--or the cargo. And it depends on the operator's motivation, how willing he wants to work.
- Q Well, you've described these three boats, and as I recall their dimensions were roughly between twenty-five and thirty feet long. Why were larger boats not used in this

1		area, say fifty feet long, poling boats?
2	A	What do you mean in this area, on the Yukon River or what
3	Q	Onin the middle Yukon area.
4	A	There was simply-well, larger boats were operated on the
5		Yukon River, but as far as the tributary rivers on the
6		Yukon in this area, there was simply no freight generated
7		for this typefor larger boats.
8	Q	Well, would it be correct to say that thefrom your
9		testimony, that the two variables that governed the
10	·	evolution of boat designs in this area was one, cargo
11		capacity and two, depth of draft?
12	A	Right, yes.
13	Q	And do I understand then that a smaller boat would not
14		carry sufficient cargo to be economical, a larger boat
15		would probably draw too much water?
16	A	Right.
17		MS. TAYLOR: I think theI don't mind leading questions
18	when	we're setting the stage and to move things along, but
19		JUDGE LUOMA: I would sustain
20		MS. TAYLOR:it's getting to the point where Mr.
21	Aller	n's testifying and not Mr. Brown.
22		JUDGE LUOMA: That's very true, could you rephrase
23	your	question on this please?
24	Q	What are the two variables that govern the design of

boats in this area as far as you know?

1	A	The two variables are the stream characteristics, possibility
2		of freight
3	Q	Is it likely to expect that a smaller boat drawing less
4		water might be used commercially in this area?
5	A	No, it highly unlikely.
6	Q	Why is that?
7	A	There are other means totowell, you simply cannot
8		carry enough cargo for long distancefor long distances
9		in one of these boats ateconomically or profitably.
10	Q	So would you say then that these three boats that you see
11		that you have pictures of are examples of the smallest
12		commercially feasible boat that would be used on a tribu-
13		tary stream of the Yukon in the middle Yukon area?
14	A	I would be unwilling to say that they were the smallest
15		commercial type boats in the middle Yukon area.
16	Q	From your knowledge of the historical development of boat
17		transportation, do you know of any smaller boats that
18		were used commercially in this area?
19	Α	No, I do not.
20	Q	Did you recently make a trip to Eagle for the purposes of
21		preparing for this hearing?
22	A	Yes, we didI did.
23	Q	Who did you make that trip with?
24	A	I made the trip with Richard Stern of the State of Alaska
25		during the last week of August, 1978.

1	Q	And did you interview a number of residents of Eagle?
2	A	Yes, we did.
3	Q	Who did you interview?
4	A	At Eagle Village, we talked with Willy <u>Junaby</u> (ph), Harry
5		David, and Bob Stacy. At Eagle, we talked to Al Stout,
6		George Beck, Mike <u>Sager</u> (ph), Brad Snow, Mr. and Mrs.
7		KNight, Jessie KNight, and I believe that is all.
8	Q	Now, who is George Beck?
9	A	George Beck is aliving in retirement at Eagle, he went
10		to Eagle in 1934, he's about seventy-two years old. He
11		married, as I recall, the daughter of Biederman, who had
12		a camp, fish campor a camp near Charley Creek or
13		Kandik River and lived for some time severalbelow the
14		Biederman Camp, and did considerable trapping in the Nation
15		and the Kandik areas.
16	Q	You mentioned earlier the boat owned byby Biederman that
17		went up the Kandik, diddid George Beck have anything to
18		do with that trip?
19	A	It's really unclear as to whether George Beck was actually
20		on that trip. Thehe seemed to indicate that he was,
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	but I'm not satisfied that he was on it.
22	Q	Was he the person that told you of that trip?
23	A	Yes, he is.
24	Q	How far up the Kandik did that boat go?
25	A	He said that this particular boat went as far as the

1			
1		gorge.	
2	Q	Which gorge was that?	
3	A	Johnson Gorge.	
4	Q	And is that below the selection area?	
5	A	I do not know. (Pause).	
6	Q	Referring you to government exhibit B-3, which shows the	
7		Kandik River and the selection area and Johnson Gorge	
8	A	Okay, Johnson Gorge is locatedis without the bounds of	
9		the area selected.	
10	Q	Is it downstream of the area?	
11	A	It is downstream.	
12	Q	And waswas-was there more than one occasion that he	
13		testified that boat went to Johnson Gorge?	
14	A	No, he made the statement in reference, as I recall, to	
15		a question did you know of any boats that went upup this	
16	·	river, and he said, "Yes, I knew a boat that went up,	
17		a tunnel boat that went up to the canyon," as he called	
18	·	it or the gorge. He did not say thatcould not go furthe	:1
19		he just said that it went to that point.	
20	Q	Did he tell you of any other instances of boats going up	
21	٠.	the Kandik?	
22	A	Yes, he did, he did indicate that Ed Olson, a trapper had	
23		poled upexcuse me, that's in regards to the Nation.	
24	Q	Did hedid he testify about any trips in connection with	
25		the Boundary Commission?	

24

25

- Yes, he did, he did tell us a story told to him by a man named Sandy Johnson who in the early 1910's had been hired by the International Boundary Commission to pole one ton of horse feed to the boundary. According to George, the trip was done on a poling boat, it was hard work, most of the trip was lining, and in many cases -- or not many cases, but whenever there were shallows -- there were shallows that they had to shovel a channel through. Sometimes they made five to six miles a day on the trip, and it took nearly a month to get to their destination. He continued his story by saying that after a rain burst or a rain storm, the river rose, and they descended the river in six hours to Tom King's road house opposite Charley Biederman's camp on the Yukon River. Perhaps there is -- perhaps George's memory failed him at this point or maybe--because the river is much longer and it would probably take more than six--six hours to descend that river from the boundary or it could very well be that the boat was only six hours distance from the mouth according to that rate, the stream rate.
- Now, did any of the people you interviewed tell you about any other instances of boats being taken up the Kandik River?
- A Yes, both Harry David and Willy Junaby indicated that boats had been taken up the river, the Kandik River. I might

	1	
1		too that Mike Sager mentioned the fact that boats were
2		taken up the Kandik River.
3	Q	Did they say how far up?
4	A	Willy Junaby indicated that a boat was taken by Jim Taylor,
5	·	a trapper in the 1930's, as far as the boundary. When
6	·	questioned further, he said thator excuse me, let me
7	·	backtrack, almost to the boundary, and he later said that
8		Jim Taylor's cabin, line cabin, was located at Mile 35,
9		which I understand is near Jungle Creek, it is located near
10		Jungle Creek.
11	Q	Wouldwere those the only other instances that you learned
12		of of boats being taken up the Kandik?
13	A	Yes, that's all that comes to mind right at the moment,
14	4	I don't see any other
15	Q	Turning to the Nation River, what instances did you learn
16		of boats being taken up the Nation?
17	A	Excuse me, the Jim Taylor incident was in reference to
18		the Nation.
19	Q	Okay, were there any other instances
20	A	On the Kandik, no, there was no other instance.
21	Q	Were there any
22		JUDGE LUOMA: So I can have this straight, Jungle
23	Creel	k flows into the Nation, doesn't it?
24	A	The Nation, right.
25	Q	And so that testimony had to do with the Nation?
- 1		

1	A	The Nation River, right.
2	· Q	Were there any other instances of boats going up the Nation
3		that you learned about other than the Jim Taylor incident?
4	A	No, not up the Nation, no.
5	Q	(Pause). Shifting back to the Kandik, you mentioned that
6		two people told you they knew of boats going up, were they
7		referring to the Boundary Commission operation do you
8		know or were they referring to something else, and if so
9		what?
10	A	Both Willy JUnaby and Harry David mentioned that boats had
11		descended the Kandik River.
12	Q	Descended or ascended or both?
13	A	Descended. Willy Junaby mentioned one timeexcuse me, it
14		was Harry David mentioned onor no, it was Willy Junaby,
15		that rafts had been used descending the Kandik River.
16	Q	These would be rafts that were constructed up in the
17		headwaters out of logs
18	A	Right.
19	Q	or out of moosehides?
20	A	Right, not the headwaters, he didn't say where on the
21		Kandik River.
22	Q	Yeah, but other than the Boundary Commission, did anybody
23		tell you of any ascents of the river, boats going up the
24		river?
25	Δ.	Mike Sager did mention that hoats had ascended the Kandik



1

4

5

3

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

River as far as--at least to the gorge in canoes. He mentioned, too, that the river had been ascended by hunters in the fall. All of these individuals should be mentioned, too--well, George Beck emphasized the point that you had to pick the stage of water to ascend these rivers.

- Q What does that mean?
- A You had to--you couldn't go up these rivers at any time of the year, and the best time--a convenient time, at least, would be during the spring runoff when there was high water.
- Q What sorts of boats would hunters use?
- A Hunters would use jet boats or air boats, flat bottom aluminum boats.
- Q That's all.

JUDGE LUOMA: Miss Taylor?

BY MS. TAYLOR:

JUDGE LUOMA: Before you start, I'm going to receive exhibits nine through fifteen into evidence. Go ahead.

- Alright, as I understand it, the photographs, exhibits six through eight are one tunnel boat that you and Richard Stern saw at Eagle and took photographs of or Richard Stern took photographs of, is that correct?
- A I don't have six through eight in front of me.
- Q Alright, let's get the...
- A Okay. This was one tunnel boat located in Eagle.

		<b>1</b>
1	Q	Okay, do youdo you know who owned that tunnel boat?
2	A	According to Richard Sterm's transcriptions of the taped
3	* .	interviews, it was owned by Barney Hanson.
4	Q	Okay, do you know when it was used on the river?
5	A	No, I don't, no.
6	Q	Okay, do you know what rivers it was used on?
7	· A	No, I don't.
8	Q	Alright. And then exhibits B-9 through B-11 are photo-
9		graphs of a motorboat with a kicker, which could raise
10		the motor up and down?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	And do you know who that boat belonged to?
13	A	No, I don't.
14	Q	Alright. Waswas that a boat that's currently in use
15		or was it an abandoned boat?
16	A	It appeared to be abandoned, but it was not in a dilapidated
17		state.
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	It appeared it could be used.
20	Q	Now, exhibits B-12 through B-15, you testified depicted
21		a tunnel boat at Eagle which was owned by a certain
22		Biederman, is that correct?
23	A	That is correct.
24	Q	And that this boat was used on the Kandik River?
25	A	Yes.

1	Q	Alright, do you know how far up the river this boat was
2		used?
3	A	Itaccording to George Beck, the boat went to the canyon
4		or the gorge, or Johnson Gorge as it appears on the map.
5	Q	So the boat that you took the photographs B-12 through
6		B-15 of is according to your information the same boat
7		that George Becked talked about when he talked about the
8	·	trip to Johnson Gorge?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	Alright, but George Beck didn't say that the boat couldn't
11		go further up the Kandik
12	A	No, he did not.
13	Q	he just said that they only went to the gorge.
14		What does it mean when a boat has a shallow draft?
15	A	When a boat has a shallow draft
16	Q	Um-hm.
17	A	it means that it can navigate certain classes or certain
18		streams where larger boats can't get up to.
19	Q	Okay, are all of these boats shallow draft boats?
20	A	Yes, um-hm.
21	Q	Do you have any expertise that would enable you to give
22		an opinion as to how much water depth is necessary to float
23		any of these boats fully loaded?
24	A	Fully loaded?

Um-hm.

25

Q

22

23

24

- A Most of these boats are homemade boats, and traditionally there was really--from a practical standpoint, there was really no need to determine capacity, cargo capacity.
- Q Well, leaving aside that conclusion, you testified that-that I think boat number three, B-12 through B-15, might
  need a certain additional amount of inches in the water
  in order to get over sandbars, for example.
- A Yes, um-hm.
- Q Well, do you have any expertise that would enable you to make that conclusion?
- A On the basis of the information that's been collected by the University of Alaska in connection with our contract, there--yes, there is a margin of water that is needed.

  No boat was ever loaded to capacity.
- Q Alright, but given the draft of the boat, if you knew what the draft was, you could then say what margin, extra margin might be necessary, is that correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Alright, but you stated that you don't know what the draft is on these boats--
- A Right.
- Q --as a matter of fact, it's very hard to tell.
- A Right.
- Q Alright, now you also stated on direct examination that-that tunnel boat number three, we'll call it, the Biederman

1	·	boat, was the only boat that went up the Kandik.
2	Α	Um-hm.
3	Q	Now, is that what you meant to say or did you mean to
4		say it was the only boat of these three boats that we have
5		photographs of that went up the Kandik?
6	A	Well, it was certainly not the onlyI must have meant
7		of these three boats. There have been other boats going
8		up the Kandik.
9	Q.	Obviously, canoes have gone up the Kandik
10	A	Right.
11	Q	and skin boats have gone up the Kandik and poling boats
12		have gone up the Kandik
13	A	Right.
14		MR. ALLEN: Was it your testimony that skin boats
15	had	gone up the Kandik?
16	A	Skin boats? No, I have noI did not say that or mean
17		that.
18	Q	Now, how do you define commercial use as you used it in
19		your direct testimony?
20	<b>A</b>	Well, of course, commercial use is a troublesome term
21		and has been for some time. Commerce, commercial use,
22		in my mind in regards to transportation, river boat
23		transportation, was anything delivered for a charge.
24	Q	Right. Your testimony, I think, was that you felt that
25		these were probably the the smallest boats that could be
13		

2		a fair statement of what you said?
3	A	(Pause). As far asnow, I did not say that a tunnel boat
4		was used for commercial use, I said that a poling boat
5		or a river boat could be used. I meant that a poling
6		boat could be used for commercial use, and that is a
7		historical fact, there is evidence to show that.
8	Q	Alright.
9	A	But not a tunnel boat. A tunnel boat can carry a commercial
10		load, it has been known to carry a ton or more.
11	Q	Well, I'd like to have you clarify what you mean by a
12		commercial load as opposed to commercial use.
13	A	I would think about a ton would beor more would be a
14		commercial cargo.
15	Q	Alright. Are you using the word commercial use to mean
16		freight delivered for a charge?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	A boat used for hire?
19	A	For hire, yes.
20	Q	Alright, if I had my own boat and I took it up the Kandik
21	·	and brought it down again loaded with furs to sell, is that
22		commercial use in your terminology?
23	A	It would be stretching it a bit, but depending on the
24		amount of furs and the charge.

used for what you described as commercial use, now is that

Okay, I just want to --

25

Q

- A I--I don't quite understand your question.
- Q Well, I just want to clarify what you meant when you testified as to the fact that—that these boats were what you thought were the smallest boats that could be used for commercial use, you didn't define commercial use.
- A Well, that's true.
- Q But--but to clarify it, what you meant was these were the smallest boats that you thought would be feasible to use for delivering freight for hire?
- A Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Okay. Alright, you mentioned several of the people that you interviewed who talked about boats going up the Kandik, Willy Junaby, Harry David, Mike Sager, did you interview anyone concerning recreational use of the Kandik or the Nation?
- A No.
- Q Okay, dd you interview anyone--let's pin this down, this is on your trip--
- A To Eagle.
- Q --to Eagle in August, concerning sport hunting on the Kandik or the Nation?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay, who--who were the people that you talked to about that?
- A As I recall it was Mike Sager.

1	Q	Okay, what about subsistence use of the Kandik or the
2		Nation, did you talk to anyone about that?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Alright, who were those people?
5	A	Both Willy Junaby and Harry David stated that the
6		watersheds werehad been used as means of access for
7		subsistence, for hunting and fishing, for hunting excuse
8		me, and trapping.
9	Q	Okay, was this the Kandik and the Nation?
10	A	And thecorrect.
11	Q	Alright, do you have any knowledge about winter use on
12		the Kandik?
13	A	Thethere is considerable evidence of use of the Nation
14		and Kandik, at least two instances that I can recall is
15	·	one, the Nation River may have been used for the transpor
16		tation of coal at one time in the earlylate 1890's.
17		George Beck indicated that he ascended the Kandik River
18		to the boundary during winter on a trapping expedition.
19	Q	Okay, the coal mine on the Nation reference, is this
20		the coal mine that we've heard Melody Grauman testify
21		about that was
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	located on the Nation River?
24	A	Yes, it is.
25	Q	Is it your understanding that the coal from this mine was

- 11		
1		sledded on the ice then down to the Yukon?
2	Α	No, it wasI read the document severalsome time ago
3	Q	Um-hm.
4	Α	and as I recall it never said that the sledding occurred
5		actually on the river itself.
6	Q	Okay, how did they get the coal down the river in the
7		winter?
8	A	They sledded it down, but whether it was actually on the
9		Kandik River ice or on the banks was neverit's unclear.
0	Q	No one as far as you know no one knows whether they
1		used the river or they used the river bank
2	A	Right.
3	Q	the trapping trails or whatever?
l <b>4</b>	A	Right.
15	Q	Alright. Is there any reason in your mind why the Nation
16		River couldn't have been used in the winter the way the
ا 7		Fortymile was?
ا 8ا	A	I have never seen thethe Nation or the Kandik at close-
19	Q	Okay.
20	Α	I've never been on it or close to it.
21	Q	Is itis it fair to say that winter use of either of
22		these rivers isn't very well documented if at all?
23	A	That's true.
24	Q	Alright, getting back to the tunnel boats that you've been
25		talking about, do you know when they were developed?
	,	

1	A	Tunnel boats were used rather extensively or heavily
2		relied upon heavily by the Alaska Railroad in the
3	·	initial construction period. Four were constructed in
4		1915 for use on the Susitna River. There is evidence,
5		however, that tunnel boats were used on the McKinley
6		are, Mt. McKinley area as early as 1903, 1905.
7	Q	Okay, are they still being used?
8	A	I personally do not know of any tunnel boats being used
9		today.
10	Q	Okay, what would you say their peak period of use was?
11	A	I really don't know.
12	Q	Alright, do you know what they were replaced by?
13	A	They,of course, the modern day canoe and outboard
14		motor, and jet boats and air boats replaced the older
15		tunnel boats.
16	Q	Okay, what about the boat exhibits nine through eleven,
17		the motor boat with the kicker, isis this a later
18		boat than the tunnel boat, or where does it fit in?
19	A	The river boats are still being used today.
20	Q	Is the boat that's shown in exhibit nine through eleven
21		similar to these river boats that are being used today?
22	A	Yes, it is.
23	Q	Alright.

They are not being used extensively, though.

24

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
	11	

25

- Q In this area?
- A In this area, right.
- Q How do you know that?
- A When we were at Eagle, when I was at Eagle, I saw canoes on the waterbank more than I--more canoes than I did riverboats.
- Q Alright, how does the kicker on this motorboat or river boat affect the draft of the boat?
- A Well, it--use of the kicker, of course, would permit the boat to have a heavier draft in that the motor itself would not prove to be an obstacle in itself going acrossthat is the propeller would not be an obstacle itself in going across gravel bars or riffles, shallow water.
- Q Okay, in other words, if you can lift the motor up a certain distance when you approach an obstacle, you might be able to float deeper in the water than if you couldn't lift the motor up?
- A Right, your depth doesn't change, you maintain a constant depth, but with the engine raised, you can get over these shallow places.
- Q And if you couldn't raise up the engine, you might have to, for example, not load the boat so heavily?
- A That's correct.
- Q Alright. Are you aware that—that the fall of the year is also sometimes the time of high water runoff?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

- A High water--yes, um-hm. It depend--it varies, though, year to year because--
- Q Okay, if one were waiting for fluctuations in the water level to go up the river for trapping or whatever other purpose, can it generally be said that one could go in either the spring or the fall?
- A That's correct.
- Q Alright. Do you have any information about how the users of moosehide or skin boats got up the river to where they were hunting?
- A No, it was never--in our interviews, it was never stated as to how they got up the river. There was statements to the effect that moosehide boats were used to descend at least one of the rivers.
- Q Okay.
- A This came--this was stated by Bob Stacy.
- Q Have you done any investigation into whether there are caribou fences in this area?
- A One person did say--two people did say that caribou fences were located in the Mosquito Fork country.
- Q Where is that?
- A That is near--that is a tributary of the Fortymile River.

  There was no indication, as I recall, of caribou fences
  at the headwaters of the Nation or the Kandik.
- Q Okay. Now, I understand that -- that you and Richard Stern

are preparing transcripts of the interviews you had with 1 the eight people or the nine people that you named, is that 2 right? 3 That is correct. 4 Α And you also tape recorded these interviews? 5 Q That is correct. 6 I understand there were some problems with the tapes and 7 Q some of them are not audible, but it's your intention that 8 9 you and Richard Stern will agree on some sort of transcript or summary for each of these interviews? 10 11 Right, Richard Stern will transcribe the notes or the Α 12 taped interviews and submit them to BLM, myself, and I 13 will examine them and say yes, these--Richard's notes-transcription relfects what was said according to my 14 15 own memory and according to my own notes, and then we'll 16 both sign our--our signatures to the document and submit 17 'em to the appropriate individuals. Okay, just one more question. When--when Sandy Johnson 18 Q poled the supplies up to the International Boundary 19 Commission, was he paid by the International Boundary 20 21 Commission for doing that? 22 It--it appeared so. George Beck did not say that, the Α 23 context that he said was, "Yeah, I know of this guy who 24 went up the Kandik River." It was just something that 25 popped into his mind, he didn't go into details.

1	Q	Okay, that's all I have.
2		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss HIggins?
3	BY M	S. HIGGINS:
4	Q	How long did you and Richard STern remain in Eagle for
5		these interviews that you've been discussing?
6	A	We arrived inalmost five days.
7	Q	You were in Eagle for five days?
8	A	Almost five days, right. About a day was used in travel
9		time.
10	Q	Can you estimate the total hours or partial hours of
11		interview that you have on tapes from these almost
12		five days?
13	A	ProbablyI would think less than eight hours.
14	Q	In your opinion, did most of the interviewees speak
15		freely, without hesitation in response to questions?
16	A	Yes, they did for the most part, yes.
17	Q	Were you able to locate an interview all of the persons
18		that you wanted to interview, people that you thought from
19		background research would be good interviewees?
20	A	No, there were other people that we could haveor should
21		have interviewed, but never had enough time to do so.
22	Q	How many people would you estimate you didn't get to?
23	A	There were probably three to five primary contacts. This
24		is also a process of discovery, too. One person tells yo
25		the name of another person.

	ļ	
1	Q	Did you encounter any difficulties in getting information
2		from the people you did interview?
3	A	We had some problems initally at Eagle Village due to
4		a misunderstanding?
5	Q	What was that?
6	A	They were uncertain as to our purpose at Eagle, andbut
7		things were clarified consequently after several telephon
8		calls.
9	Q	Telephone calls?
10	A	Well theythey understood that a court case was coming
11		up, and did not want to make statements that would be
12		adverse to their own cause.
13	Q	Did any of the interviewees express hesitation to talk to
14		a BLM employee?
15	A	Yes, there was several instances of that type of response
16	Q	Did they explain why?
17	A	Well, they didn't come out and say the reasons other than
18		that you work for BLM and BLM is trying to quotes rip
19	•	me off or rip us off.
20	Q	Do you know what they meant by that?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What?
23	A	They were referring to an adverse discordant relationship
24		between native corporations or native villages, or at
25		least this particular native village and BLM. There was

21

22

23

24

25

also	cases	, too,	however,	with	disc	cordance	bet	ween	certa	i
Indiv	vidual	s who	liveat	least	one	individu	ıal	who 1	lived	
on or	ne of	these	rivers.							

- Q By that do you mean the--the local resident is fearful of being subjected to trespass charges?
- A That's correct.
- Q And given this fear would probably be unlikely to discuss at any great length his own use of these rivers?
- A This particular individual did discuss his use of the rivers after some--after talking to us for a little and gettin' to know us.
- Q Okay, who is that individual?
- A Mike Sager.
- Q When you testified that given a boat with a ten inch draft or a ten inch water line, the owner would not take that boat in water with a depth of ten inches, but would want at least another half foot or more. Were--were you expressing your personal opinion as to what--what you as a boat owner would want or--
- A No, going through the computer printout, the information collected from more than two thousand documents, in excess of tow thousand documents, there is a general tendency for boats used for regular transportation to have a controlling depth of at least two feet.
- Q Okay, regarding your testimony with respect to the smallest

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

boat which could profitably carry freight or carry enough freight for profitable commercial operation, don't you really need to know, to start with, the type of good that's being transported. Secondly, the market conditions at any point in time respecting that good, the price of goods to really come to any sort of conclusion about the profitability of a freight operation in relation to the size of the vessel? Is that a fair summary of how you would go about coming to conclusions regarding that the size of vessel that's needed for a profitable freight information—operation, excuse me.

- A Well, there are a lot of variables involved, but you can extend the question beyond to absurdity, too, though.
- Q Well, let--let's take a specific, do you recall George
  Beck stating that in the late thirties or forties a good
  seasons catch would be one hundred martin, and that he
  might get twenty-five dollars to thirty dollars a piece
  for these martin?
- A That is correct, that is true.
- Q Do you think it would take a very large vessel to--to transport just that amount of furs?
- A It would not take very many, a large vessel to transport that many furs.
- Q What kind of a profit would George Beck stand to make based on those figures?

	•	
1	A	Well, he would make a tremendous profit, but then again
2		you can't transport a boat in winter to carry down furs,
3		you know. You're
4	Q	But he transported the furs after spring breakup
5	A	you're talking about a hypothetpardon me?
6	Q	After spring breakup.
7	A	AFter spring breakup?
8	Q	I'm using this as aas a hypothetical, I'm not saying he
9		did.
10	A	I know.
11	Q	But the point I'm making is that the cargo you're carrying
12		and the market price for that cargo is gonna determine
13		whatwhat the profitablewhat the profitability of the
14	·	freight you're carrying, and that is a very variable
15		figure from year to year, depending on the goods you're
16		carrying. And Iwould you agree with that?
17	A	I would agree that there are many variables involved, and
18		that the size of craft is not the only variable involved.
19		And the market conditions are not the only thing.
20	Q	Then do you want to reconsider youryour general
21		statement thatthatthat a smaller tunnel boat than
22		Georgethan Biederman's boat, I think that's what you were
23		referring to, could not profitably carry enough freight
24		on it toto support a commercial operation?
25	A	Again, when II should just drop the word freight or

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	ı
18	
19	
20	-11
21	
22	
23	
24	

Q

1

2

commerce, commercial, and say that this type of boat
has been used on a regular basis forin supplying
communities or in supplying construction activities.
This is the minimum size of boat, a tunnel boat, particular
tunnel boats, this size of tunnel boats has been used
to supply construction activities. Poling boats have
been used on a regular basis, a poling boat is the smallest
vessel that I have found in my own research and examining
other person's research where it has been used foron
a regular basis serving communities, transporting freight
Okay, one more question, what is an air boat, can you
describe that?

- A An air boat is a boat with an aviation engine mounted on the stern with a huge prop on it, flat bottomed--
- Q Is it a shallow draft vessel?
- A Shallow draft, flat bottomed.
- Q Can you estimate the draft?
- A Only a couple of inches.
- Q Do you have any information about the use of airboats today on either the Kandik or Nation Rivers?
- A We were told by Mike Sager that airboats had been used on the Kandik River by hunters out of Fairbanks.
- Q What--generally what is the size of an airboat?
- A Well, I think they're less than twenty feet long, and about three to four feet wide.

	ì	
1	Q	Could it carry a party of five hunters?
2	A	Five hunters?
3	Q	Typical airboat?
4	A	For a long distance trip, I don't think so. I don't
5		know for sure.
6	Q	Thank you.
7		JUDGE LUOMA: Anything else, Mr. Allen?
8	ву м	R. ALLEN:
9.	Q	Yes, a couple of questions. Were airboats used, to your
10		knowledge, at the time of statehood? Were they in general
11	ż	use?
12	A	I don't know.
13	Q	Were there creeks, tributaries to the Yukon where gold
14		activity waswhere there was gold activity which were
15		too small to be serviced by tunnel boats of the types
16		the pictures show?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	What creeks would they have been?
19	Α	Most likely Fortymileor Fourth of July Creek, Mission
20		Creek.
21	Q	And why were they too small, it was too shallow or too
22		narrow?
23	A	Ithe reason I'm basing that statementI'm basing that
24		statement on the fact that both of those roads are
25		parallelor both of those creeks are paralleled by

	ļį.			
1		roads. And there's no record of transportation, water		
2		transportation on those creeks.		
3	Q	Q Are there creeks that are not paralleled by roads which		
4		were also too small to be serviced by tunnel boats of		
5		this sizeof this sort?		
6	A	A Washington Creek.		
7	Q	Q How would miners working up Washington Creek get their		
8		supplies up?		
9	A	I don't know how they would get up Washington Creek. The		
10	·	Charley River and Seventymile, the Seventymile in particular,		
11		there's no record of these type of boats going up. There		
12	is records of canoes going up the Seventymile River.			
13		MS. TAYLOR: Of what?		
14	A	The Seventymile River.		
15	Q	And is that the way miners working up the Seventymile		
16		River would get their supplies in, by canoes?		
17	A	Yes, there were not really miners, there were prospectors		
18		Heavy mining in Seventymile began, I think, in the 1930's		
19		and according to one individual, freight was actually		
20		airdropped to the center of mining activity, and then they		
21		would walk intoto the site.		
22	Q	That's all I have.		
23		JUDGE LUOMA: Anything else?		
24	·	MS. TAYLOR: I have nothing further, thank you.		
25		JUDGE LUOMA: Miss HIggins?		

25

MS. HIGGINS: No.

# BY JUDGE LUOMA:

- Q I have just one question, when you say that the engine was raised across a bar, what forced--moved the boat upstream at that point?
- A Its own momentum, it was moving on its momentum.
- Q Oh, it continued moving with the engine raised?
- A Right, it would only be raised for brief periods of time.

  It would also be raised in those cases when lining or when the boat was being pulled by hand or horse or dog.
- Q Alright, thank you. How do you spell your last name?
- A Brown, B-r-o-w-n.
- Q -o-w-n.

MR. ALLEN: Our next witness would by Mr. Tileston, and he is going to testify extensively from aerial photos and also make a slide presentation. It'll probably take him twenty minutes or so to set up those photos, so I think maybe it would be just as well to adjourn for the day.

JUDGE LUOMA: You could be prepared then in the morning?

MR. ALLEN: Be prepared to start at nine o'clock if you want? Or would you rather start earlier?

JUDGE LUOMA: Well, I think we'd better start at nine-thirty to give you more--

MR. ALLEN: Okay.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
~~	
23	
24	

JUDGE LUOMA: --chance, more time to get prepared.

MR. ALLEN: Alright.

JUDGE LUOMA: Let's recess until nine-thirty tomorrow

morning.

OFF THE RECORD

(END OF DAY'S PROCEEDINGS)

\* \* \*

1	CERTIFICATE				
2	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )				
3	STATE OF ALASKA )				
4	I, <u>Margaret Johnson</u> , Notary Public in and for the				
5	State of Alaska, residing at Fairbanks, Alaska, and electronic reporter for R & R Court Reporters, do hereby certify:				
6	That the annexed and foregoing kearing.				
7	7				
8	was taken before me on the <u>26t</u> pday of				
9	<u>September</u> , 197 <u>8</u> , beginning at the hour of <u>9:30 a.m.</u> ,				
10	at the offices of <u>Federal Building</u> Fairbanks, Alaska, pursuant to Notice to take the deposition				
11	of said witness on behalf of;				
12	sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing				
13					
14	hearing That this deposition, as heretofore annexed, is a true				
15	and correct transcription of the testimony of said witness, taken by me electronically and thereafter transcribed by me:				
16	That the deposition has been retained by me for the pur-				
17	pose of filing the same with the Clerk of the				
18	Court, Fairbanks, Alaska, as required by law.				
19	I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I financially interested in this				
20	action.				
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixe				
22	my seal this <u>5th</u> day of <u>October</u> , 197 <u>8</u> .				

Notary Public in and for Alaska

My commission expires: 10/4/80

23

24