

	ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1 GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION APPENDIX 1N	USAG-UR-SREG-000002 DECEMBER 2011 REVISION 0
	FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000	

APPENDIX 1N SUMMARY OF STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH MEETINGS



ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT
 DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1
 GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 APPENDIX 1N

USAG-UR-SREG-000002
 DECEMBER 2011
 REVISION 0

FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000

PAGE 1N-1

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Ahtna Leadership Meeting, held April 21, 2011	Ahtna, Inc. (Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act [ANCSA] Regional Corporation) leaders emphasized their business development interests in potential contracting, including contracts for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). They also agreed to provide information about gravel sources, and invited the Alaska Pipeline Project (APP) to the next Lands Committee meeting. Environmental concerns were noted regarding migratory caribou, an important subsistence resource. One specific question focused on eligibility of community investment funds to support technical assistance for review of resource reports and EIS.
Ahtna Lands Leadership Meeting, held May 17, 2011	Ahtna, Inc. (ANCSA Regional Corporation) leaders asked a wide range of questions about the Project, including off-take point locations, compressor stations, the Valdez option, current APP expenditures, safety issues resulting from increased road traffic, especially at the Tazlina River Bridge, pipe diameter and origin, timelines, contracting opportunities for Ahtna, local hires, and how Section 29 of the Federal Grant Right-of-Way will be managed.
Alatna/Allakaket Leadership and Community Meeting, held July 1, 2010	Alatna/Allakaket attendees emphasized the need for long-term jobs, training and careers, and a commitment to local hire, as well as tangible community benefits and energy relief, such as an off-take. Discussed failures of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline System (TAPS) construction era (including failures around needs of local/regional residents) and need to learn from past mistakes. Issues included concerns to protect subsistence resources and hunting practices.
Alatna/Allakaket Leadership and Community Meeting, held March 29, 2011	The Alatna/Allakaket meeting discussion focused on local content issues including community investment, and training and hiring of local people during planning, construction and operations. Also stressed were the potentially adverse impacts to caribou and subsistence hunting opportunities. Additional topics included pipeline integrity and safety, as well as benefits from lower cost energy and revenues.
Anaktuvuk Pass Leadership Meeting, held April 15, 2010	Anaktuvuk Pass leaders emphasized the need for training, local hire, and business opportunities and contracts. Other key concerns included environmental impacts on permafrost and unstable ground, maintenance and location near TAPS, how Open Season works, air quality issues associated with the Gas Treatment Plant (GTP), and that the EIS timeframe seemed too short.
Anaktuvuk Pass Community Meeting, held April 15, 2010	Anaktuvuk Pass attendees expressed concerns about availability of vocational training and local hire, as well as the high costs of fuel and need for an off-take, and local reliance on subsistence resources needing protection. Other issues included effects on lands and contacting landowners, future tax revenues, Project funding, and route selection.
Anaktuvuk Pass Leadership Meeting, held March 17, 2011	Anaktuvuk Pass leaders focused need for local gas off-take, local training and opportunities for jobs, potential impacts on caribou migration patterns, and availability and types of community investment grants.
Anaktuvuk Pass Community Meeting, held March 17, 2011	Anaktuvuk Pass attendees asked about the types of community investment grants available for funding, and were concerned about employment and training opportunities.
ANCSA Regional Corporations Chief Executive Offices (CEOs) Association, Anchorage, held March 18, 2010	The ANCSA Regional Corporations leaders indicated support for an all in-state gas pipeline (Valdez option), and noted the current capacity of Native Corporations to be equity partners in the Project.
Baan O Yeel Kon Corporation Leadership Meeting, held March 9, 2011	Baan O Yeel Kon (Rampart ANCSA Village Corporation) leaders indicated need to promote education, training, local hire, and business opportunities and investments in the community. Also expressed concerns regarding safety and mitigation planning associated with the Yukon River crossing.
Barrow Leadership Meeting, held April 12, 2010	Barrow leaders emphasized the need for updates and lead time for contracting, local training and related education, local employment, and community investments. Other issues including Project details and costs, and discussion on effects of the pipeline on permafrost and effects of climate change.

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Barrow Leadership Meeting, held March 16, 2011	Barrow leaders emphasized a need to work with local organizations to collect subsistence information and define mitigation measures to protect resources, as well as collecting and applying Traditional Knowledge to Project design, construction, and operations. Other concerns included local hire from the North Slope for construction and operations, investing in local long-term training, projects regarding health and housing, and local participation in drafting the EIS.
Barrow Community Meeting, held April 12, 2010	Barrow attendees emphasized the need for benefits to the region including training (in-region), and employment and business opportunities, in contrast to the TAPS era. Other issues included potential local off-takes and effect on gas prices, pipeline design regarding climate change and permafrost, public awareness regarding buried pipelines and mitigations plans to avoid contamination, and notification to landowners.
Barrow Community Meeting, held March 16, 2011	Barrow attendees asked about the Project schedule including timing of local hire and training. Concerns included the EIS and need to appropriately address EIS issues and processes; specifically enforcement of regulatory processes. Other concerns included locations of local off-takes and assessing potential effects on caribou migration patterns.
Chistochina Community Meeting held July 28, 2010	Chistochina attendees raised concerns about whether jobs will be available; will there be local gas from an off-take; will there be a citizen oversight body for the gas line; how are climate change, effects on permafrost, and seismic risks being addressed; and that a previous assessment for the In-State Gas Demand Study did not adequately address issues of small communities.
Chistochina Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 20, 2011	Most of the specific questions at the Chistochina meeting were about local content, and emphasized the potential for and aspects of local hire, such as field survey crews, non-union labor, subcontractors database, and the Fairbanks Pipeline Training Facility. In addition, there was interest in the potential for local investment by way of community investment grants, and concern that the potential impact of "generational trauma" would not be identified in the Project studies and EIS.
Ch'izhur LLC Leadership Meeting, held March 8, 2011	Ch'izhur (two ANCSA village councils) leaders requested information about when private land owners would be contacted, and emphasized the need to provide workforce development updates locally to young people. Questions included methods for river crossings.
Chugach Alaska Board of Directors and Executive Management Meeting, held July 23, 2010	Chugach leaders asked about Project specifics, such as Open Season, the Valdez option, safety and maintenance of a buried line, and Project schedule. They emphasized their capacity to be part of the process, and also noted shareholders views of history.
Delta Junction Leadership Meeting, held April 20, 2010	Delta Junction leaders stated key interests in community development including business opportunities, local training and composition of the workforce based on hire preferences and in having facilities that would include a compressor station, an off-take, and construction camp. Leaders emphasized State revenue-generation.
Delta Junction Leadership Meeting, held April 28, 2011	Delta Junction leaders asked about construction phase transportation issues such as truck traffic, road weight limits and damage, a potential rail spur, existing traffic problems, and local work camp locations. Other topics included composition of the construction and operations workforce and availability of community investment grants.
Delta Junction Community Meeting, held April 28, 2011	Delta Junction attendees asked about Project scope and schedule and focused on the importance of training and local hire. Additional issues included potential railroad development, land access, Open Season, infrastructure, and significance of a local off-take.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Tok), held May 15, 2010	Din e'h LLC leaders emphasized land access issues and coordination including tying land ownership stipulations with regional and village benefits, including business opportunities, training, and local employment opportunities. Potential for use of local material sites was also mentioned.

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Tok), held February 19, 2010	Din e'h LLC leaders asked about use of Denali Project data, the schedule for APP fieldwork, and the potential for APP to hire liaison officers for the region.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Fairbanks), held April 17, 2010	Din e'h LLC leaders noted that the APP should work with the four member villages to ensure coordination, and discussed need for local off-takes for lower-cost energy, as well as discussion of training for craft trades.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Tok), held June 18, 2010	Din e'h LLC leaders focused on access issues and potential agreements, and barriers to contracting opportunities for small-scale businesses (subs).
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Tok), held July 17, 2010	Din e'h LLC leaders asked when land access negotiations will begin and requested advance notice; also, how and when the corridor is chosen and by whom, and what field studies will be conducted in the Upper Tanana region.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Fairbanks), held August 13, 2010	Din e'h LLC and the four Upper Tanana Village Corporations (including Dot Lake, Northway, Tanacross, and Tetlin village and/or tribal councils) will work with APP regarding upcoming land access issues. New training programs for jobs and expectations for local hire will be stipulations in land access agreements.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Fairbanks), held November 12, 2010	Din e'h LLC attendees asked about potential changes to APP with reorganization of the Governor's cabinet, and content development for local content plans.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Tok), held January 28, 2011	Din e'h LLC is in discussion with the four Upper Tanana Village Corporations (Dot Lake, Northway, Tanacross, and Tetlin) will continue to work with APP regarding upcoming land access issues.
Din e'h LLC Monthly Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Tok), held May 26, 2011	Din e'h LLC attendees explained the process for discussions regarding land access and right-of way issues, and respect for Native landowners and their homeland through which the pipeline corridor will cross.
Dinyee Corporation and Tihteet Aii, Inc. Leadership Meeting (Fairbanks), held April 27, 2010	Dinyee Corporation leaders emphasized interest in local contracting opportunities, training, employment opportunities especially in affected communities, and including potential contracting for field studies in summer 2010. Specifically requested leveraging their database and systems to recruit, hire, and retain local employees.
Dot Lake Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 26, 2010	Dot Lake attendees emphasized the need for local training and job opportunities, including during field studies, and that access details for studies should be provided to the village, timely. Other issues included the pipeline route, off-takes, and that APP should keep them informed about activities with their village corporation. Discussed failures of TAPS construction era and need to learn from past mistakes.
Dot Lake Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 14, 2011	The Dot Lake meeting focused on concerns about route selection access and permission for land use, as well as opportunities/provisions for local hire, especially because no local residents had been hired to-date. Other issues included open and timely communication with tribal members, environmental concerns about invasive species and pipeline effects on vegetation, pipeline safety, and seismic effects.
Doyon Leadership Meeting (Invited Guests) (Fairbanks), held March 31, 2010	Doyon leaders expressed appreciation for APP support of local hire and contracting; requested periodic updates regarding route selection and timing for planning to advance local content (lands, outreach, logistics, hires).
Evansville, Inc. (Evansville) Leadership Meeting (Fairbanks), held April 27, 2010	Evansville, Inc. leaders had concerns about potential impacts in categories including proximity to residential areas, construction phase, new workforce, and permafrost, as well as access to less costly energy and off-take points. Training and local hire opportunities were also important issues, as well as the concern that the EIS could be accomplished within two years.

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Evansville, Inc. Leadership Meeting, held March 8, 2011	Evansville leadership requested updates on business opportunities, and encouraged APP outreach to include school-age children.
Evansville/Bettles Leadership and Community Meeting, held June 30, 2010	Evansville/Bettles attendees stated concerns about lack of commitment to local hire in other area projects, and that off-takes may not address energy needs of remote villages. Other issues included potential negative impacts of invasive species.
Evansville/Bettles Leadership and Community Meeting, held March 30, 2011	At Evansville/Bettles, a wide range of issues emerged including specific questions about Project description, schedule and route, availability of local gas (off-takes), operational concerns and safety, local hire and training for older workers, economic effects in the area, continued access to Dalton Highway and support for a seasonal road to Evansville, and possible introductions of invasive species via waterways.
Fairbanks Community Meeting, held June 9, 2010	Fairbanks attendees asked about Project's economic feasibility, timeframe for the EIS, selection process for off-takes, availability of previous studies, and the methods for river crossings.
Fairbanks/North Pole/Fairbanks North Star Borough Leadership Meeting (Fairbanks), held April 20, 2010	Fairbanks leaders asked about coordination and timing of local government input to Project planning and off-take points, as well as local transportation planning, construction support activities, and air quality issues. Other issues included concerns about socioeconomic impacts on communities and local public services, approvals for buried pipe in tundra, and subsistence mitigation.
Fairbanks/North Pole/Fairbanks North Star Borough Leadership Meeting, held April 26, 2011	Fairbanks leaders requested updates regarding stage and status of the Project including logistics information for use in community transportation planning, and special concerns on railroad crossings in the area.
Fairbanks Community Meeting, held April 26, 2011	Fairbanks attendees asked about Project routing, competition from other proposed gas sources (e.g., shale), future gas prices, local hire, Open Season, funding received from Alaska Gasline Inducement Act, and type and source of pipe.
Glennallen Community Meeting, held July 27, 2010	Glennallen attendees expressed concerns including training for employment not available for Alaska youth, limits of local infrastructure for construction, pipeline safety, potential negative local effects of sudden increase and decline in population, need for lower energy costs (off-take), and concern about impacts to natural resources during construction – specifically water.
Glennallen Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 20, 2011	In Glennallen, key topics were the potential for significant contracting opportunities for Ahtna, Inc., local training and hire, local access to natural gas with new off-takes, and protection for subsistence resources.
Haines Leadership Meeting, held June 15, 2010	Haines leaders emphasized the need to know which route is chosen and that logistics planning requires lead time for improvements (especially at the port). Also noted was the need for service planning information, and ways to maximize Haines potential benefits from logistics work.
Haines Leadership Meeting, held May 11, 2011	Haines leaders focused on logistics including potential impacts, practical considerations, and expansion of existing facilities such as storage and the dock. They asked about the community investment grants.
Haines Community Meeting, held June 15, 2010	Haines attendees expressed concerns that they learn as soon as possible if the Alberta route is chosen; also noted concerns about potential upgrades to the Haines port facilities, impacts to highway traffic, access to a local gas supply, potential impacts to fish from spills, and adequacy of spill response.
Haines Community Meeting, held May 11, 2011	Haines attendees focused on transportation issues including trucking volume, routes, and port upgrades.
Healy Lake Leadership Meeting (Fairbanks), held April 27, 2010	Healy Lake Traditional Council leaders noted the criticality of avoiding important cultural sites, and contacting landowners as routing is planned. Also of concern were employment opportunities, especially for long-term operations.



ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT
 DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1
 GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 APPENDIX 1N

USAG-UR-SREG-000002
 DECEMBER 2011
 REVISION 0

FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000

PAGE 1N-5

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Healy Lake Traditional Council Leadership Meeting, held March 23, 2011	Healy Lake leaders discussed land status, land uses, access issues, and the need for the Project to avoid culturally important locations, avoid trespass, and respect the restrictions on use of the ice road and other areas. Another important issue was local training and hiring, and business opportunities and community investments.
Kaktovik Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 13, 2010	Kaktovik participants identified issues including protection of cultural resources, potential effects of the pipeline on permafrost, potential safety issues and threats to subsistence caribou hunting, need for off-takes at Kaktovik and Anaktuvuk Pass, and observation that the area's land status may change.
Kaktovik Leadership and Community Meeting, held March 14, 2011	Kaktovik attendees asked about the potential effects to West Dock, and the need for fisheries research. They also asked about route selection, life-expectancy of the Project, off-take locations, future gas prices, field studies, pipeline insulation, pipeline safety, Project costs, and how climate change will be addressed in Project design.
Mendas Cha-ag Native Corporation Leadership Meeting, held March 9, 2011	Mendas Cha-ag Native Corporation (Healy Lake ANCSA Village Corporation) leaders expressed interest in river crossings, locations of material or borrow sites, and need to use Doyon Geographic Information System maps.
Mentasta Lake Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 22, 2011	Mentasta Lake attendees focused on local content, including the Project schedule and route selection, field work employment, job training, employment projections, and the application process for community investment grants.
Minto Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 12, 2010	Minto attendees expressed interest in local training and hire, locations of the off-takes for local gas to provide residential and industrial energy, potential for compressor stations in the Minto area as part of a local development plan, consultations and agreements about use of Alaska Native lands, Project cost-effectiveness with relation to the Alaska Stand Alone Pipeline (ASAP), and planning by regional boroughs to address infrastructure needs for construction and increased workforce.
Minto Community Meeting, held June 2, 2011	Minto attendees discussed pipeline feasibility, schedule, safety, off-takes, and relationship to TAPS. Other issues included local hire opportunities, apprenticeship opportunities, commitment to Alaska resident hire, and opportunity to apply for community investment grants.
Nenana Leadership Meeting, held May 20, 2010	Nenana leaders questioned whether the Project is feasible, given years of speculation, and noted the need for timely information about training and jobs to ensure a better outcome than with TAPS, and the critical need for performance measures for local and Alaska Native hire.
Nenana Community Meeting, held May 20, 2010	Nenana attendees were primarily focused on training needs and commitments for training and local hire opportunities, as well as contracting potential. Other issues included specific design features and safety considerations of underground pipelines and off-takes.
Nenana Leadership Meeting, held March 10, 2011	Nenana leaders asked about Project status and updates.
Nenana Community Meeting, held March 10, 2011	Nenana attendees asked about Project routing, funding, river crossings, off-takes, Open Season, schedule, safety and environmental studies. Other issues included need for Alaska resident hire, and community investment grants.
Northway Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 25, 2010	Northway attendees were concerned about future employment training opportunities for those from their region, as well as business contracting, and stipulation that new right-of-way agreements should address local training and employment. Another issue was that land access negotiations were starting late.
Northway Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 13, 2011	Northway attendees focused on opportunities for local hire and training. Other topics included safety concerns about pipeline placement, and toxics associated with vegetation clearance.



ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT
 DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1
 GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 APPENDIX 1N

USAG-UR-SREG-000002
 DECEMBER 2011
 REVISION 0

FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000

PAGE 1N-6

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Nuiqsut Community Meeting, held April 14, 2010	Nuiqsut attendees emphasized potential impacts and mitigation to vegetation, local contracts opportunities, capabilities of field study contractors with regard to Arctic conditions and understanding potential impacts, short timeframe to adequately develop the EIS, and pipeline design for safety and geohazards.
Nuiqsut Community Meeting, held March 15, 2011	Nuiqsut attendees asked about pipeline safety and security, including can pipe handle stresses from climate change effects and other forces such as earthquakes and terrorist attacks, will pipeline connect to North Slope communities, what is Project life-expectancy, and is entire pipeline buried. They also noted the need to collect baseline subsistence information.
Nuiqsut Leadership Meeting, held March 14, 2011	Nuiqsut leaders asked about community investment grants, dredging at West Dock, need for a whaler/Conflict Avoidance Agreement, and specific questions about pipeline siting and placement.
Seward Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 3, 2010	Seward attendees asked about plans underway to upgrade transportation infrastructure, how seismic risks and spills are being addressed, will Alaska hire be required, how are off-take points decided, is carbon tax addressed and what is potential to reduce carbon footprint of current sources, and when will Open Season results be available.
Seward Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 10, 2011	Seward attendees and leaders asked about Open Season, feasibility of the Project, off-takes, Project schedule, potential effects of other gas projects, use of port, impacts on local governments, and infrastructure needs. They raised concern over the importance of education and training for jobs, and discussed ongoing expansion of port facilities.
Skagway Community Meeting, held May 13, 2011	Skagway attendees discussed level of pressure in the pipe, Valdez alternative, pipeline costs and who pays, reserve life, pipeline safety, what would be transported through Skagway, and would Skagway have access to an off-take. Local employment was also raised as an issue.
Skagway Leadership and Community Meeting, held June 16, 2010	Skagway attendees noted concerns about training availability for employment opportunities, support for Alberta option although may be an advantage to the Valdez option (because of misunderstanding of how Project benefits are received), and concern to maximize potential to benefit locally from logistics work. Issues also included whether the state receives revenue from the Project, and that tribes are involved in the EIS process.
Skagway Leadership Meeting, held May 13, 2011	Skagway leaders asked about details of the Valdez option, and noted Skagway's value as a port, along with Haines, for the Project. Other issues discussed included design for and constructing in permafrost soils, and details about the pipe lengths, origin of steel, pressure, buried line, and permitting.
Stevens Village Leadership and Community Meeting, held May 11, 2010	Stevens Village attendees discussed locating workforce training facilities nearby for youth, local off-takes to support future electrical generation, health impacts because TAPS impacts were not mitigated, getting involved in river crossing design and construction to avoid disruption to the community's use of the TAPS Yukon River crossing, (underground) pipeline safety, how other energy resources (e.g., shale gas) affect feasibility, and adequate notification about land access and acquisition. Also noted was that the presentation was a good start, but needed more details next meeting.
Stevens Village Leadership and Community Meeting, held March 24, 2011	Stevens Village attendees expressed concerns about lands, including preserving access and restricting unauthorized use or other interference with traditional and subsistence practices. They noted the importance of their participation in collection and analysis of subsistence data, and the expectation of local evaluations of proposed socioeconomic effects and impacts, and programs to minimize detrimental effects. Local training and hiring, business opportunities and community investments also were important concerns.
Tanacross Leadership and Community Meeting, held July 13, 2010	Tanacross attendees emphasized the importance of information to support local employment and contracting opportunities, especially for small communities, including support for locally based training programs, information about specific jobs and careers, and the potential for a resource center in Tanacross as well as support for the local clinic.



ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT
 DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1
 GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
 APPENDIX 1N

USAG-UR-SREG-000002
 DECEMBER 2011
 REVISION 0

FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000

PAGE 1N-7

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Tanana Chiefs Conference (TCC) Leadership Meeting (Fairbanks), held June 2, 2010	TCC leaders stressed the need for meaningful engagement and respect to address land access issues (including Native allotments), identify performance measures that detail commitments by APP to local hire and contracting plans, timely notification to bid contracts, funding needs for operational regional training programs, hiring project managers from the region, studies of natural resources as well as safety and hazards, and involvement in EIS contracting. TCC noted its capabilities and interest in contracting opportunities including scoping for the EIS and Project construction.
TCC (Fairbanks), held March 15, 2010	TCC leaders emphasized need to engage with tribes early and often, provide training, education and job opportunities for long-term employment, local access to energy (off-takes), lands agreements and protection of Yukon River, economic development to help subsistence economies, and health impact assessments for villages along the proposed corridor.
Tetlin Leadership and Community Meeting, held July 12, 2010	Tetlin attendees noted the importance of locally available training opportunities, as well as on-site methods for recruiting, and getting more information about qualifications for employment to tribe. More information was requested for future opportunities for community investment. Future land negotiations should be conducted directly with the Tetlin community rather than Din e'h LLC.
Tetlin Leadership Meeting, held April 29, 2011	Tetlin leaders emphasized local employment needs and opportunities for training for pipeline construction and operations. Leaders noted negative history with TAPS, and are concerned that these impacts not happen again.
Tetlin Community Meeting, held April 29, 2011	Tetlin attendees expressed interest in local training and employment, to avoid negative experiences associated with TAPS construction and operations. They also were interested in the community investment grant program.
Toghotthele Corporation (Nenana) Leadership Meeting, held May 20, 2010	Toghotthele Corporation (Nenana ANCSA Village Corporation) leaders asked about Project feasibility, and focused on concerns about employment opportunities, including identifying performance measures and agreements that detail local hire and contracting opportunities, and protection of salmon stocks that are key subsistence resources.
Toghotthele Corporation (Nenana) Leadership Meeting, held March 10, 2011	Toghotthele Corporation (Nenana ANCSA Village Corporation) leaders asked detailed questions about pipeline routing, logistics, economics, and relationship to the highway and TAPS crossings. They discussed business, employment and training opportunities for shareholders, and need for community investments.
Tok Public Meeting, held July 14, 2010	Tok attendees noted the importance of community impacts, including the "boom and bust" cycle, from projects, and requested open communication regarding training and employment opportunities, as well as anticipated influx of workers and the Project schedule to avoid disruption to local public services. Tok's location and university campus could support local employment-related services. Requested receipt of felled trees to produce wood chips to create usable fuel.
Tok Leadership and Community Meeting, held April 11, 2011	The Tok meeting attendees discussed construction schedule, work camps, tariffs, right-of-way location, traffic patterns, and possible effects on subsistence hunting. Other issues included pipeline burial and safety, and manufacturing.
Valdez Leadership Meeting, held June 24, 2010	Valdez leaders want the Port of Valdez used for the Project, and asked about Project details, schedule, cost and process, as well as the potential lower-cost energy via a off-take.
Valdez Community Meeting, held June 24, 2010	Valdez attendees asked about logistics and the roles of Port Valdez and the operation of a liquefied natural gas plant; also noted questions about composition of the workforce, and the need for a climate change plan.
Valdez Leadership Meeting, held April 20, 2011	Valdez leaders asked about Project details including off-takes, logistics of pipe transport, pipeline safety, route options, Project schedule, seismic risk planning, and emphasized Port of Valdez infrastructure and business environment/benefits.
Valdez Community Meeting, held April 20, 2011	Valdez attendees discussed Project financing and impact of shale gas, route considerations, Open Season, employment estimates, permafrost stability issues, Project life-expectancy, customer base, and schedule.



ALASKA PIPELINE PROJECT
DRAFT RESOURCE REPORT 1
GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION
APPENDIX 1N

USAG-UR-SREG-000002
DECEMBER 2011
REVISION 0

FERC Docket No. PF09-11-000

PAGE 1N-8

Community Meeting and Date	Community/Meeting Issue Summary
Wiseman/Coldfoot Community Meeting, held July 20, 2010	Wiseman attendees discussed route selection, effects on subsistence due to influx of workers, locations of Project facilities, cumulative effects of development and infrastructure such as access roads, mitigation needed for boom and bust cycle of big projects including impacts to local public services, and upgrades or closures of roads.
Wiseman/Coldfoot Leadership and Community Meeting, held March 31, 2011	At Wiseman/Coldfoot, the key topics included local employment opportunities for fieldwork and construction, location of associated facilities, environmental effects and minimizing invasive species, and access to local affordable energy. Residents requested that facilities be located within state-designated development areas. Revegetation with native species was emphasized to help promote suitable wildlife forage and habitat.