

Role of the Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission in Approving Pool Rules for the Point Thomson Field

The State of Alaska and other interested parties are engaged in determining how best to bring North Slope gas to market. The Alaska Oil and Gas Conservation Commission ("AOGCC") has a very important role in this process – to protect the public’s interest by preventing waste and insuring greater ultimate recovery of oil and gas. To fulfill this role, the AOGCC must determine what gas production rates should be allowed from North Slope oilfields. As part of this process, the AOGCC would evaluate a proposed plan to develop the Point Thomson Field as a gas field rather than as an oilfield. Generally, the greatest total hydrocarbon recovery from a retrograde condensate field would be achieved by conducting gas cycling operations to produce condensate (a liquid hydrocarbon that is considered “oil” under the Commission’s governing law) until all of the economically recoverable liquid hydrocarbons have been produced. Only then should the gas be sold. The AOGCC recognizes, however, that many other factors will – and should – be considered in determining how the Pt Thomson Field should be developed.

Point Thomson is the largest proven yet still undeveloped field in Alaska. It is also one of the most difficult to develop and manage properly because the majority of the resources are contained in what is called a retrograde condensate reservoir. Retrograde condensate reservoirs around the world tend to be deeper and have higher pressures and temperatures than conventional reservoirs. These abnormally high temperatures and pressures cause the fluids in the reservoir to have unusual properties. Thus, a retrograde condensate reservoir acts differently than a typical oilfield such as Prudhoe Bay or a typical gas field such as the Kenai Gas Field. The differences in behavior are technically complex and difficult to describe, understand, and address; yet understanding and addressing these differences are essential to evaluating whether a plan of development satisfies the conservation requirements administered by the Commission.

A conventional oil reservoir is typically filled with a liquid hydrocarbon that has some solution gas in it. In such a reservoir all the fluid exists as a liquid, but as it is brought to the surface its pressure drops and some of its solution gas is released. The same thing happens underground. As the pressure decreases in the reservoir, gas in the oil comes out of solution. To understand how this works, think of a bottle of soda. Before the bottle is opened, its contents are under pressure and it appears that there is just liquid in the bottle. However when the cap is removed, the pressure in the bottle is reduced and bubbles will start to form and float to the surface of the soda.

Conversely, a conventional gas reservoir is typically filled with hydrocarbon gas. The gas may have a small amount of hydrocarbon liquid, called condensate, vaporized in it. This condensate will not drop out as a liquid in the reservoir because the temperature is too high. However it will separate from the gas when the gas is brought to the surface where the temperature is lower. This is similar to what happens when someone blows warm breath onto a cold window and watches it fog up. The water that exists as a vapor inside the warm lungs turns to condensation as it hits the cold window.

Retrograde condensate reservoirs do not behave in the same ways that conventional oil and gas reservoirs do. Dropping the pressure in the reservoir does not cause gas to form from oil, as is the case in a conventional oil reservoir. Nor does vaporized condensate remain a vapor, as is the case in a conventional gas reservoir. Rather, for a retrograde condensate reservoir, as the pressure decreases, liquids drop out of the gas in the reservoir.

When a retrograde condensate field is produced like a conventional gas field, the gas is produced and sold at high rates. Initially a large amount of condensate is produced with the gas. However the reservoir pressure drops quickly and condensate production drops dramatically because condensate is dropping out in the reservoir instead of at the surface. To further the problem, condensate that drops out in the reservoir is much more difficult to produce than that which remains entrained as a vapor in the gas. The liquid tends to build up and clog the pore spaces in the reservoir rock. Also, since this reservoir has never been exposed to liquid before, the rock acts as a sponge and some of the condensate will be immobilized and never come out. To make things worse, once the condensate comes out of the gas, very little of it will return to a gaseous state even if the reservoir pressure is later increased. In other words this is a problem that you can't fix after you cause it; it's like unringing a bell.

In addition to lost condensate recovery, if the reservoir pressure is reduced too quickly, the gas recovery will also decrease. The condensate that clogs up the reservoir and won't come out also blocks the gas from coming out. This is similar to an air filter on a car. When the filter is new, air will flow through it freely, but as it gets older the pores in the filter begin to clog with dirt (as the pores in the reservoir would clog with condensate) and the air will not flow through as well. Eventually no air at all will flow.

So what's the answer? To maximize condensate production from a retrograde condensate reservoir, it is necessary to keep the reservoir pressure high until the condensate has been recovered. Often this is accomplished through a process known as "gas cycling." In this process hydrocarbon gas is produced, the condensate is removed and sold, and the now-lean gas is injected back into the reservoir to maintain pressure and to sweep more condensate to the surface. As this process continues, the gas produced slowly becomes leaner and the yield of condensate decreases. Eventually the gas is stripped of most of the liquids and it is safe to sell the gas. This method delays gas sales, but it results in greater ultimate recovery of both liquid and gaseous hydrocarbons.

Another method used to develop retrograde condensate fields is to inject a substitute gas such as nitrogen or carbon dioxide either to replace or to supplement the produced gas for pressure maintenance. Unfortunately, there is currently no substitute gas available to Point Thomson.

These are just a few of the more common methods used for developing retrograde condensate fields and each has advantages and disadvantages that must be considered. Primary depletion as a gas field is the least efficient and results in the lowest hydrocarbon recovery. However, it is the simplest and cheapest method for the operator since it does

not require an investment in equipment to recycle the gas. Gas cycling yields greater hydrocarbon recovery but may be less attractive to the operator because it has a higher up-front development cost for compression and it has low up-front cash flow due to the deferral of gas sales. Injection of outside substances has the possibility of maximizing both condensate recovery and cash flow, but it is the most expensive method because in addition to compression equipment it requires the purchase of a substitute gas.

Selection of an optimal method of development must consider all of the unique aspects of the reservoir in question, as well as the practicality and applicability of the various development methods.

ExxonMobil and their partners have indicated that the only development scenario that makes sense for the Point Thomson Field is to develop it as if it were a normal gas field, which would likely result in significant loss of liquid hydrocarbons. Because the AOGCC must determine whether this development option is consistent with good oilfield engineering practices and will result in greater ultimate recovery, the agency has contracted with an outside consultant who has extensive retrograde condensate reservoir expertise. The AOGCC and its consultant were to engage in a project to evaluate different development options and develop a sound technical basis for conservation orders related to the development plan that was to be proposed by ExxonMobil and their partners for the Point Thomson Field. This work was to have begun by September 2006, but has been delayed and will not start until early July 2007.

The AOGCC is cognizant that there is an ongoing dispute between ExxonMobil and their partners and the State of Alaska, Department of Natural Resources, about the status of the Point Thomson Unit and the leases that comprise the unit. Despite this dispute, the AOGCC has determined that continuing with the study of the Point Thomson reservoir is in the best interest of the State because, regardless of which party prevails, this type of study will need to be done to evaluate future development proposals.