# MUSE STANCIL

## NATURAL GAS LIQUIDS, IN-STATE GAS PROCESSING, AND PETROCHEMICAL FACILITIES

Prepared for

## STATE OF ALASKA

## DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

September 2004

DALLAS: 15455 Dallas Parkway · Suite 200 · Addison, Texas 75001 · Phone (214) 954-4455 · Fax (214) 954-1521 HOUSTON: Three Allen Center · 333 Clay Street · Suite 4130 · Houston, Texas 77002 · Phone (713) 890-1182 · Fax (713) 751-8888

#### U.S. NATURAL GAS LIQUID PRODUCTION - 2003



- Overall U.S. demand averaging about 2 million barrels per day (MMb/d)
- > 2003 U.S. net imports of natural gas liquids averaged approximately 166,000 barrels per day (Mb/d)



#### **NGL TRADING HUBS**

- Mont Belvieu market is the "price setter" or "NGL price reference point" for North American NGL markets
  - Canadian NGL exports represent about 10 percent of U.S. demand
- In the Lower 48, regional market centers are associated with significant NGL fractionation assets
  - Sarnia, Ontario
  - Conway, Kansas
  - Edmonton, Alberta
- Sales to local markets
  - Via truck and/or barge transport
  - "Bottled Gas" distribution





#### **PRODUCT PRICE TRENDS**

> In general, ethane tracks natural gas price; propane and butane track crude oil price



#### **COMPARISON OF NATURAL GAS AND ETHANE VALUES**

Although prices for both natural gas and ethane have increased, the difference between the prices for these products has narrowed significantly since late 2000





#### **NGL PRICING**

- Energy Information Administration (EIA) is forecasting that NGL prices will remain essentially flat on a real basis in the long term
- Specific netback pricing for Alaska Gas Pipeline (AGP) delivered supplies will be a function of the total cost to extract the NGL and to transport the products to end-user markets
- End-use markets may not develop uniformly for all NGL components and will be dependent on local demand in the geographic location or locations selected for NGL extraction
  - NGL extracted from AGP will be primarily ethane, with significantly lesser amounts of propane and heavier products
  - NGL composition from AGP is expected to be much different than typical Lower 48 NGL mixtures



#### HISTORICAL NET NGL EXTRACTION MARGIN



Net Operating Margin = Processing Upgrade Less Plant Operating Expenses (Excludes Overhead, Capital Expenditures, and Return on Capital)



#### PRODUCER'S BREAKEVEN PERCENT OF EXTRACTED NGLS





NOTE: Assumes producer stands fuel, shrink, and transportation and fractionation, and no return on capital

#### ALTERNATIVE DISPOSITIONS FOR AGP THROUGHPUT

- Extraction and Petrochemical Manufacturing Outside of Alaska
  - U.S. Gulf Coast is the largest petrochemical center with 80 percent of existing U.S./Canadian ethylene production capacity
  - Other petrochemical centers include:
    - Alberta (primarily near Edmonton) 12 percent
    - Sarnia, Ontario 3 percent
    - Various locations within the U.S. Midwest 3 percent
    - U.S. East Coast 1 percent
  - The nearest existing infrastructure of plausible size is located in Alberta
    - Canada is currently supplying internal demand for NGL and exports excess supply to the U.S. Midwest



- New gas processing and petrochemical manufacturing capacity or NGL pipeline export capacity may have to be added in Alberta to absorb Alaskan NGL's, depending on the timing of AGP start-up relative to the decline of existing Canadian gas production and development of new sources of Canadian gas, such as the Mackenzie Delta project
- Extraction in Alaska
  - Would not likely support economic development of second pipeline to Canada/Lower 48 for NGL only
  - Would therefore require development of complete NGL extraction, petrochemical manufacturing, and support system infrastructure
  - May also require transportation infrastructure expansions that have not yet been defined



### IN-STATE EXTRACTION OF ALASKAN NGL'S



- Fairbanks Extraction Facility to handle up to 1.4 Bcfd of AGP throughput
- Extraction of approximately 40,000 b/d of ethane to feed petrochemical complex and 1,000 b/d of propane for local consumption
- Availability of commercial-quality natural gas for local distribution
- Residue gas (over 1 Bcfd) and excess NGL re-injected into AGP
- Would be required in addition to NGL extraction facilities or access to NGL extraction capacity at AGP terminus





#### ALASKAN PETROCHEMICAL COMPLEX



- All of the ethane extracted is utilized in the production of ethylene that is subsequently converted to polyethylene (PE) resin
- Cracker to produce 1.5 billion pounds per year of ethylene
- Includes on-site power generation to support facility operations and optionally could generate excess power for local distribution
- Assumes that the PE resin will move on existing rail infrastructure and be exported to the U.S. West Coast by marine vessel out of Whittier



#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

#### Advantages of Fairbanks Petrochemical Development

- Availability of attractively priced feedstock extracted from AGP
- Waterborne access to California market
- Synergy with other potential energy developments
  - Provides pipeline quality natural gas to Fairbanks
    - Could develop gas pipeline to Anchorage (supplement Cook Inlet gas)
  - Possible cogeneration plant tied into regional power grid
    - Off-set Cook Inlet gas decline and power generation

#### Disadvantages of Fairbanks Petrochemical Development

- Variability in gas composition over time
  - Non-optimal sizing and operation of Fairbanks extraction and fractionation plant
- Inherent inefficiency of processing a large portion of the gas twice; first at Fairbanks, then again at pipeline terminus
- Non-optimal sizing of AGP downstream of Fairbanks
- Considerably higher capital cost than other locations
- Higher fixed operating cost than other locations
- Lack of supporting infrastructure
- Lack of market for byproducts



#### **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS (CONTINUED)**

#### > Preliminary Economics

- High level analysis indicates that the production of ethylene in Fairbanks is economically less attractive than in either Alberta or the U.S. Gulf Coast
- Advantages of:
  - Lower feedstock price (ethane)
  - Lower variable operating cost advantage, driven mainly by lower gas price
- More than offset by:
  - Higher fixed operating cost due to higher labor and maintenance costs
  - Lower product value due to downgrading byproducts to fuel
- Significantly higher capital costs also a disincentive to invest
- Using recent U.S. Gulf Coast historical benchmarks, and assuming a Fairbanks location could achieve the same operating cash margin, due to the higher investment cost, a Fairbanks ethylene plant would generate a much less attractive rate of return
  - Returns shown below are expressed as capital recovery factor (CRF)

Return on Capital			
	Annual	CRF	
	Revenue \$MM	U.S. Gulf Coast	Fairbanks
2004 YTD	158.5	11.3%	7.1%
2003 avg.	125.0	8.9%	5.6%
2002 avg.	127.0	9.1%	5.7%
2001 avg.	153.6	11.0%	6.8%

