Source Water Assessment:

Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Well, Houston, Alaska

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 83

Source Water Assessment:

Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Well, Houston, Alaska

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 83

Source Water Assessment:

Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Well, Houston, Alaska

By Shannon & Wilson, Inc.

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 83

ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION: OCTOBER 2001 CONTENTS

Executive Summary Introduction Description of the Matanuska – Susitna Valley, Alaska Mid Valley Senior Center Public Water Source Assessment/Protection Area for Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Source		Page 1 Inventory of Potential and Existing 1 Contaminant Sources Ranking of Contaminant Risks 1 Vulnerability of Mid Valley Senior Center 3 Drinking Water Source Summary 3 References Cited	Page 3 4 4 5 6
		TABLES	
:	 Natural Susceptibility - Suscand Aquifer to Contame Contaminant Risks Overall Vulnerability of Mi- Public Drinking Water 	ination	4 5 5
	ILL	USTRATIONS	
	2. Map showing the location o	ntion of Meadow Creek Watershed If drinking water source for Mid Valley Senior Center PPENDICES	Page 1 2
APPENDIX		Orinking Water Protection Area (Map 1)	
	B. Contaminant Source Inventor Contaminant Source Inventor Bacteria and Viruses (Tontaminant Source Inventor Nitrates/Nitrites (Table	ory for Mid Valley Senior Center (Table 1) ory and Risk Ranking for Mid Valley Senior Center – Table 2) ory and Risk Ranking for Mid Valley Senior Center – 3) ory and Risk Ranking for Mid Valley Senior Center –	
1	C. Mid Valley Senior Center I Existing Contaminant S	Orinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Sources (Map 2)	
		Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for ter Public Drinking Water Source Table 1 – Table 3)	

Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Mid Valley Senior Center Public Drinking Water Source, Houston, Alaska

By Shannon & Wilson, Inc.

Drinking Water Protection Program Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Mid Valley Senior Center well is a Class B drinking water source consisting of one well. The well is located in the Meadow Creek watershed, in Houston, Alaska. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Mid Valley Senior Center include: high-capacity septic systems, highways and residential roads, a residential septic systems, and approximately 4 acres of residential area. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals. Overall, Mid Valley Senior Center public water source received vulnerability ratings of **High** for bacteria and viruses and nitrates and/or nitrites, and **Low** for volatile organic chemicals.




Figure 1. Index Map showing the location of the Matanuska-Susitna Valley and the Meadow Creek Watershed.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this environmental assessment is to provide public water system owners/operators, communities, and local governments with information they can use to preserve the quality of Alaska's public drinking water supplies. This assessment was completed for the Mid Valley Senior Center source of public drinking water. This source consists of one well in the Meadow Creek Watershed (see Figure 1). This assessment, known under the Alaska Drinking Water Protection Program as the Source Water Assessment, has combined a review of the natural hydrogeologic sensitivity with potential and existing contaminant risks to arrive at an overall vulnerability of the drinking water source to contamination. This assessment has been completed as a basis for local voluntary protection efforts and to assist agencies in their efforts to reduce risk to this public drinking water supply.

DESCRIPTION OF THE MEADOW CREEK - AREA, ALASKA

Location

The Meadow Creek watershed, located in southcentral Alaska, lies within the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. The Borough encompasses 24,694 square miles and supports a population in 2000 of 59,322. The Borough is contained within the watersheds of the Matanuska and Susitna Rivers which flow from the glacier melt waters in the Alaska Range, Talkeetna Mountains, and the Chugach Mountains to tidewater in the Knik Arm of Upper Cook Inlet (Jokela, Munter and Evans, 1991) (Figure 1). The area between the Matanuska and Susitna Valley is commonly referred to as the Mat-Su Valley. The Meadow Creek watershed contains 115 lakes, including Big Lake, and extends from an area northwest of Wasilla to the west end of Big Lake (Jokela, Munter and Evans, 1991), as shown in Figure 1.

The Borough's close proximity to Anchorage and its abundance of surface-water resources has helped contribute to rapid growth over the last two decades. The population has tripled since 1980. As of 1998, approximately 9% of the state's population resided in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. The projected growth rate is expected to be 3.3% per year, three times higher then the state rate. At this rate, the Borough will have approximately 13% of the states population by 2018 (ADOL, 1999).

Climate

The Meadow Creek-area climate is somewhat transitional in that it does not experience large daily and annual temperature fluctuations like those experienced in the interior of Alaska nor does it experience high amounts of precipitation typified by gulf coast regions.

The mean daily temperature ranges from 9.4 degrees Fahrenheit during the summer months to 13.8 degrees Fahrenheit during the winter months. The annual precipitation in the Meadow Creek-area is approximately 20 inches per year and total snow is around 59 inches per year. The average snow depth during snowy months is 6.4 inches (Western Regional Climate Center, 2000). Precipitation generally increases inland toward the Talkeetna Mountains where annual precipitation may exceed 60 inches per year (Brabets, 1997).

Physiography and Groundwater Conditions

Surface elevations in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough range from sea level where the Knik River and Matanuska River enter the Cook Inlet to well over 6,000 feet in the peaks that bound the area. Glacial moraine and outwash deposits primarily mantle the surface of the Mat-Su Valley.

The regional geology and ground water conditions of the Mat-Su Valley vary greatly depending on location. The terrain is dominated by distinctive landforms created by repeated glacial advances and retreats during the Pleistocene epoch (2 million to 10,000 years before present). The unconsolidated layers, layers of sediment that are not cemented together, are comprised of various mixtures of fine- to coarse-grained particles (clay to boulders). The majority of wells in the Mat-Su Valley are located in unconsolidated layers consisting of relatively well sorted sands and gravels. These unconsolidated layers vary substantially in size and distribution throughout the Valley. In general, the unconsolidated layers increase in thickness as you move towards Cook Inlet. (Jokela, Munter, Evans, 1991). Throughout the area numerous confining layers ranging from less than 1-to 60-feet thick separate the unconsolidated layers.




Figure 2. Map showing regional ground-water flow in Matanuska-Susitna Valley. (Jokela, Munter and Evans, 1991)

In the Mat-Su Valley, the groundwater is primarily recharged by snowmelt and precipitation infiltrating into the foothill slopes of the Talkeetna or Chugach Mountains and by direct precipitation and snowmelt throughout the study area.

Groundwater flow in the confined aquifer is generally, north to south in the central region of the valley, toward the Matanuska River in the eastern region and the slope is predominantly northeast to northwest in the western region. The direction of groundwater flow in the upper unconfined aquifer's are more variable due to the influence from surficial topography as well as its close connection with surface water bodies. (Jokela, Munter and Evans, 1991) (Figure 2).

MID VALLEY SENIOR CENTER PUBLIC WATER SOURCE

Mid Valley Senior Center public water source is located in the Meadow Creek watershed. The system is a Class B public drinking water source and is owned and operated by the City of Houston. The source consists of one well at the southwest corner of the property near the corner of Mid Valley Way and Kenlar Road. It is located at an elevation of approximately 250 feet above sea level. The well is inferred to tap the underlying, unconfined aquifer. According to the well log, the Mid Valley Senior Center well does not appear to be grouted and penetrates sand and gravel with occasional clay intervals to a total depth of 77 feet below land surface. We interpret the well to be cased to a depth of 72 feet with 5 feet of screen from 72 to 77 feet below land surface in sand and gravel. Based on the drilling log, the static water level is about 36 feet below land surface at the time of drilling (10/15/87).

This water source operates year round. The Mid Valley Senior Center drinking water source is assumed to serve no residents and approximately 50 non-residents through one service connection.

ASSESSMENT AND PROTECTION AREA FOR MID VALLEY SENIOR CENTER DRINKING WATER SOURCE

The Drinking Water Protection and Assessment Area that has been established for Mid Valley Senior Center is the area that is most sensitive to contamination. This area has served as a basis for assessing the risk of the drinking water source to contamination. This zone around the drinking water source is the most critical area for the preservation of the quality of the drinking water for this source. For simplicity, this area will be known as your Drinking Water Protection Area and will serve as the area of focus for voluntary protection efforts.

Groundwater recharge for the Mid Valley Senior Center water system enters the aquifer system through

infiltration of direct precipitation within the area. An analytical calculation was used to calculate the size and shape of the area that contributes water to the well. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from well logs from the surrounding area and from past studies (*Jokela, Munter and Evans, 1991*). This analytical calculation was used as a guide as the first step in establishing the protection area for Mid Valley Senior Center. Additional methods were further employed to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics in an attempt to arrive at a meaningful and conservative protection area with respect to public health (please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class B Public Water Systems for additional information).

The Drinking Water Protection Areas established for wells by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation are separated into zones. These zones correspond to a time-of-travel. Time-of-travel is the time required for water to move in the saturated zone of the ground from a specific point to the well. The Drinking Water Protection Areas for Mid Valley Senior Center contain four zones, Zone A, Zone B, Zone C and Zone D (See Map 1 in Appendix A). Zone A corresponds to the area between the well and the distance equal to 1/4 of the distance of the 2-year timeof-travel. Depending on where a contaminant source is located within Zone A, travel time for a contaminant to the well may be on the order of several days to several hours. Zone A also extends downgradient from the well to take into account the area of the aquifer that is influenced by pumping of the well.

The Zone B protection area for Mid Valley Senior Center corresponds to a time-of-travel of less than two years and extends eastward. The Zone C protection area extends from the 2-year time of travel to the 5-year time of travel. Lastly, Zone D extends from Zone C to the end of the protection area, roughly 1.1 miles from the Mid Valley Senior Center well.

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within Mid Valley Senior Center's Drinking Water Protection Area. This survey was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information, as well as a reconnaissance of the area surrounding the well.

Potential sources of contamination to drinking water supplies cover a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of this assessment and all Class B public water system assessments, three categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals;

Map 2 in Appendix C depicts the Contaminant Source Inventory for Mid Valley Senior Center. Inventoried potential sources of contamination within Zones A through Zone B were associated with residential and on-site activities (See Table 1 in Appendix B). Zone C contains only roads, small residential area, and the railroad corridor. Only high and very high potential and existing sources of contamination were inventoried within Zone D. None were identified in Zone D. Below is a summary of the contaminant sources inventoried within the Mid Valley Senior Center protection area:

- Large-capacity septic systems;
- Approximately 4 acres of residential area;
- Activities associated with highways and roads;
- Railroad Corridor;
- Single family septic systems

These potential contaminant sources present risk for all three categories of drinking water contaminants for Mid Valley Senior Center drinking water source.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, sorted, and ranked according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Contaminant risks are further a function of the number and density of those types of contaminant sources as well as the proximity of those sources to the well.

VULNERABILITY OF MID VALLEY SENIOR CENTER DRINKING WATER SOURCES

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility; and
- Contaminant risks.

Each of the three categories of drinking water contaminants has been analyzed and an overall vulnerability score of 0 to 100 is ultimately assigned:

Natural Susceptibility (0 - 50 points)

+

Contaminant Risks (0 - 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 - 100).

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is achieved by analyzing the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 - 25 Points)+
Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 - 25 Points)

= Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well) (0-50 Points)

Mid Valley Senior Center's well is completed in an unconfined aquifer setting. Therefore, contaminants that enter the subsurface within the vicinity of the well and Drinking Water Protection Area may enter the aquifer uninhibited by the absence of any protective layer. It is unclear whether the well is grouted. For purposes of this study, it is assumed that the well is not The absence of grouting can allow the transport of contaminants from the surface along the well casing. Combining the susceptibility of the wellhead and the aquifer to contamination leads to a score (0 - 50 points) and rating of overall Susceptibility (See Appendix D). Table 1 shows the overall Susceptibility score and rating for Mid Valley Senior Center.

Table 1. Natural Susceptibility - Susceptibility of the Wellhead and Aquifer to Contamination

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the Wellhead Susceptibility of the	5	Low
Aquifer	15	High
Natural Susceptibility	20	Medium

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. Large-capacity septic systems, approximately 4 acres of residential area, residential septic systems, and a highway and residential roads contribute the highest risk for potential contamination to the Mid Valley Senior Center source of public drinking water. A score (0 – 50 points) and rating of Contaminant Risks (See Appendix D) is assigned based on the findings of the Contaminant Source Inventory (Appendix B - Table 1 – Table 4). This portion of the analysis examines any existing or historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also reviews contamination that has or may have occurred but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Table 2 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 2. Contaminant Risks

Contaminant Risks	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	50	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	50	Very High
Volatile Organic		
Chemicals	12	Low

Appendix D contains eight charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that Chart 3 analyzes might lead to contamination. 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Lastly, Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 8 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analysis for nitrates and nitrites and volatile organic chemicals, respectively.

Vulnerability of the drinking water source to contamination is the combination of susceptibility of the aquifer and the well with contaminant risks. Table 3 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0-100) and ratings for each of the three categories of drinking water contaminants (See Appendix D). Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 3. Overall Vulnerability of Mid Valley Senior Center Public Drinking Water Source to Contamination by Category

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	70	High
Nitrates and Nitrites	70	High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	30	Low

Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, and volatile organic chemicals.

Overall, the contaminant risks for bacteria and viruses and nitrate/nitrites category are very high with large capacity septic systems driving the scores. Combining the potential contamination risk for each category with the susceptibility of the well, yields an overall vulnerability to these contaminants as high for this source of public drinking water.

Nitrates and/or nitrites are found in natural background concentrations at the site, as elsewhere in Alaska. The sampling history of the Mid Valley Senior Center source water indicates low concentrations of nitrate were reported in January 2000. (See Chart 6-Contaminant Risks for Nitrates/Nitrites in Appendix D). The reported nitrate contamination was less than 10% of the allowable limit (MCL) for this contaminant. Due to high solubility and weak retention by soil, nitrates are very mobile in soil, moving approximately the same rate as water. Nevertheless, the current nitrate concentration in the Mid Valley Senior Center water source remains at safe levels, with respect to human health.

The on-site facilities are served by natural gas, reducing the potential for leaks or spills associated with heating oil tanks. The public water system is not required to sample for volatile organic chemicals (VOCs), thus it is unknown if any VOCs are present in the aquifer in the vicinity of the well, although it is unlikely based on the contaminant inventory.

SUMMARY

A Source Water Assessment has been completed for the Mid Valley Senior Center source of public drinking water. The overall vulnerability of this source to contamination is **High** for bacteria and viruses and nitrates and/or nitrites, and **Low** for volatile organic chemicals. This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of the public drinking water source.

REFERENCES CITED

- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development, 2001 [WWW document]. URL http://www.dced.state.ak.us/mra/CF_BLOCK.cfm.
- Alaska Department of Labor, State of Alaska 2001 [WWW document]. URL http://146.63.75.45/census2000/.
- Brabets, T., 1997, Precipitation map of Alaska, Web extension to the U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources for Alaska GIS datasets. URL http://agdc.usgs.gov/data/usgs/water.
- Jokela, J.B., Munter, J.A., and Evans, J.G., 1991, Ground-water resources of the Palmer-Big Lake area, Alaska: a conceptual model. Division of Geological & Geophysical Surveys Reports of Investigations 90-4, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.
- Western Regional Climate Center, 2000, August 24, Web extension to the *Western Regional Climate Center* [WWW document]. URL http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?akmatv.

APPENDIX A

Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Protection Area

APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Mid Valley Senior Center

APPENDIX C

Mid Valley Senior Center Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential & Existing Contaminant Sources

APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Mid Valley Senior Center Public Drinking Water Source

Contaminant Source Category	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID Tag	Zone	Location	Мар	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-						
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield						
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Northeast of Well	2	
Injection wells (Class V) Large-						
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield				Parcel West of Mid Valley		
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-2	A	Senior Center Main Bldg.	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-1	\boldsymbol{A}	South of Derrick Ave	2	<1 Acre
Highways and roads, paved (cement				-		
or asphalt)	X20	X20-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Kenlar Rd	2	
Highways and roads, paved (cement						
or asphalt)	X20	X20-2	A	Hawk Lane	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-1	A	Mid Valley Way	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-2	В	North of Derrick Ave	2	3 Acres
Highways and roads, paved (cement				V		
or asphalt)	X20	X20-3	В	Parks Hwy	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-2	В	Derrick Ave	2	
Septic systems (serves one single-				Between Parks Hwy and		
family home)	R2	R2-1	C	RR Tracks	2	
Rail corridors	X30	X30-1	C	Along Parks Highway	2	

PWSID 225024.001

Contaminant Source Category	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID Tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Overall Rank After Analysis	Location	Мар	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-								
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield								
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-1	\boldsymbol{A}	High	1	Northeast of Well	2	
Injection wells (Class V) Large-								
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield						Parcel West of Mid Valley		
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-2	A	High	2	Senior Center Main Bldg.	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-1	A	Low	3	South of Derrick Ave	2	<1 Acre
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Very Low	5	Kenlar Rd	2	
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-2	A	Very Low		Hawk Lane	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-1	A	Very Low		Mid Valley Way	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-2	В	Low	4	North of Derrick Ave	2	3 Acres
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-3	В	Very Low		Parks Hwy	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-2	В	Very Low		Derrick Ave	2	
Septic systems (serves one single-						Between Parks Hwy and		
family home)	R2	R2-1	C	Very Low		RR Tracks	2	

Contaminant Source Category	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID Tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Overall Rank After Analysis	Location	Мар	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-								
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield								
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-1	\boldsymbol{A}	High	1	Northeast of Well	2	
Injection wells (Class V) Large-						Parcel West of Mid Valley		
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield	D10	D10-2	A	High	2	Senior Center Main Bldg.	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-1	A	Low	3	South of Derrick Ave	2	<1 Acre
Highways and roads, paved (cement						·		
or asphalt)	X20	X20-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Very Low	5	Kenlar Rd	2	
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-2	A	Very Low		Hawk Lane	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-1	A	Very Low		Mid Valley Way	2	
Residential Areas	R1	R1-2	В	Low	4	North of Derrick Ave	2	3 Acres
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-3	В	Very Low		Parks Hwy	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-2	В	Very Low		Derrick Ave	2	
Septic systems (serves one single-						Between Parks Hwy and		
family home)	R2	R2-1	C	Very Low		RR Tracks	2	

	Contaminant	CS ID	_	Risk Ranking	Overall Rank	T		
Contaminant Source Category	Source ID	Tag	Zone	for Analysis	After	Location	Map	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-								
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield								
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Low	1	Northeast of Well	2	
Injection wells (Class V) Large-								
Capacity Septic System (Drainfield						Parcel West of Mid Valley		
Disposal Method)	D10	D10-2	A	Low	2	Senior Center Main Bldg.	2	
Residential Areas	R1	R1-1	A	Low	3	South of Derrick Ave	2	<1 Acre
Highways and roads, paved (cement						·		
or asphalt)	X20	X20-1	\boldsymbol{A}	Very Low		Kenlar Rd	2	
Highways and roads, paved (cement								
or asphalt)	X20	X20-2	A	Very Low		Hawk Lane	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-1	A	Very Low		Mid Valley Way	2	
Residential Areas	<i>R1</i>	R1-2	В	Low	4	North of Derrick Ave	2	3 Acres
Highways and roads, paved (cement						v		
or asphalt)	X20	X20-3	В	Very Low		Parks Hwy	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24	X24-2	В	Very Low		Derrick Ave	2	
Rail corridors	X30	X30-1	C	Low	5	Along Parks Highway	2	
Septic systems (serves one single-						Between Parks Hwy and		
family home)	R2	R2-1	С	Very Low		RR Tracks	2	

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the Wellhead – Mid-Valley Senior Center






Chart 2. Susceptibility of the Aquifer - Mid-Valley Senior Center





1. Protectiveness of the Vadose Zone

- net recharge (function of precipitation, slope of land surface, & permeability of soils)
 [0 10 pts; 50% weight]
- depth to water table (unconfined aquifer) or top of confining layer (confined aquifer) [interpolate linearly: 100' - 20', 0 - 5 pts; 20' - 0', 5 - 10 pts; 50% weight]

4 pts. X 50% = 2 pts.

Total = 5 of 10 Points

Precipitation = 20"/Yr.= 4 pts.

17 pts./3 = 5.7 pts.5.7 pts. X 50% = **2.9 pts.**

Depth to water table = 36 feet

Interpolate linearly = 4 pts.

Soil $\stackrel{\frown}{=}$ Silt/Loam = 4 pts. Slope = 3-5% = 9 pts.

Degree of Confinement

- confined verses unconfined aquifer
 [confined: K ≤ 10 ° cm/s, minimum thickness of at least one layer =
 20 ft, interpolate linearly 100′ 20′, 0 10 pts; unconfined = 15 pts;
 65% weight]
- density of boreholes and wells penetrating the confining layer (confined aquifer) or the water table (unconfined aquifer) [confined: 0 - 15 pts; unconfined = 15 pts; 35% weight]

Unconfined Aquifer

Well Depth 77 feet = 10 pts No wells/boreholes in proximity to well = 10 pts.

10(65%) + 10(35%) = 10 pts.

Total = 10 of 15 Points

Aquifer Susceptibility Ratings

20 to 25 pts very high 15 to < 20 pts high 10 to < 15 pts medium < 10 low

High

Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center - Bacteria & Viruses




Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center- Bacteria & Viruses (Continued)





Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center– Bacteria & Viruses (Continued)



Level of Risk Associated with the Highest Risk Sources

Total 2 Highs 4 Lows	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
Low	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
Medium		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
High			1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
Very High				1 source + 10 pts

Next Highest Risk Source(s)

Chart 4. Vulnerability analysis for Mid Valley Senior Center – Bacteria & Viruses




Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center - Nitrates and Nitrites




Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center- Nitrates and Nitrites (Continued)





Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center- Nitrates and Nitrites (Continued)






Table 2. Risk Matrix for Contaminant Sources for Mid Valley Senior Center- Nitrates and Nitrites

Level of Risk Associated with the Highest Risk Sources

Total 2 Highs 5 Lows	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
Low	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
Medium	_	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
High			1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
Very High)	1 source + 10 pts

Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Mid Valley Senior Center – Nitrates and Nitrites




Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Shopping Center - Volatile Organic Chemicals




Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Shopping Center- Volatile Organic Chemicals (Continued)






Chart 7. Contaminant risks for Mid Valley Senior Center- Volatile Organic Chemicals (Continued)





3. Level of Background Contamination

> MCL 21 - 50 pts 0.5 MCL to < MCL 11 - 20 pts 0.2 MCL to < 0.5 MCL 6 - 10 pts 'detect' to < 0.2 MCL 0 - 5 pts

Contaminant Risk Ratings


40 to 50 pts very high 30 to < 40 pts high 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 low

Table 3. Risk Matrix for Contaminant Sources for Mid Valley Senior Center- Volatile Organic Chemicals


Level of Risk Associated with the Highest Risk Sources


Total 8 Lows	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
Low	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
Medium	_	≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
High			1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
Very High				1 source + 10 pts


Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Mid Valley Senior Center - Volatile Organic Chemicals



Drinking Water Protection Areas for Mid Valley Senior Center












Map 1

Drinking Water Protection Areas for Mid Valley Senior Center and Potential and Existing Sources of Contamination



610 Feet







Map 2