



# **Source Water Assessment**

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and
Vulnerability Assessment for
Fairhill Community Church Drinking
Water System,
Fairbanks area, Alaska
PWSID # 312693

DECEMBER 2002

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 815
Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

# Source Water Assessment for Fairhill Community Church Drinking Water System Fairbanks area, Alaska PWSID # 312693

#### **DECEMBER 2002**

#### DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT Report 815

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

#### CONTENTS

Page

2 2

3 2

		COMPLAIS				
Executive Summ Fairhill Commun Public Drink Fairhill Commun Protection A	ity ( ing ity (	Water System 1 Vulnerability of Fairhill Community Church				
		TABLES				
TABLE	1.	Definition of Zones	2			
	2. 3. 3.	Susceptibility Contaminant Risks Overall Vulnerability	3 4 4			
		APPENDICES				
APPENDIX	A. Fairhill Community Church Drinking Water Protection Area (Map 1)					
	B. Contaminant Source Inventory for Fairhill Community Church (Table 1) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Bacteria and Viruses (Table 2) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Volatile Organic Chemicals (Table 4) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals (Table 5) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Synthetic Organic Chemicals (Table 6) Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Ch - Other Organic Chemicals (Table 7)					
	C.	Fairhill Community Church Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map 2)				
	D.	Vulnerability Analysis for Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Public Drinking Water Source (Charts $1-14$ )				

# Source Water Assessment for Fairhill Community Church Source of Public Drinking Water,

Fairbanks Area, Alaska

# **Drinking Water Protection Program Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation**

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The public water system for Fairhill Community Church is a Class A (non-transient non-community) water system consisting of one well along Community Way along the Steese Highway just north of its intersection with the Johansen Expressway northeast of Fairbanks, Alaska. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of Low and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of **High**. Combining these two ratings produces a Medium risk rating for the natural susceptibility of the well. Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for Fairhill Community Church public drinking water source include: a cemetery, residential and large capacity septic systems, residential heating oil tanks, roads, trails, and residential area. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals. Combining the natural susceptibility of the well with the contaminant risk, the public water source for Fairhill Community Church received a vulnerability rating of High for bacteria and viruses, and nitrates and/or nitrites, a Medium for volatile organic chemicals and synthetic organic chemicals, and a Low for heavy metals and other organic chemicals.

# FAIRHILL COMMUNITY CHURCH PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Fairhill Community Church public water system is a Class A (non-transient non-community) water system. The system consists of one well along Community Way along the Steese Highway just north of its intersection with the Johansen Expressway northeast of Fairbanks, Alaska (T1N, R1W, Section 36) (See Map 1 of Appendix A). Fairbanks and its surrounding communities are located in the Fairbanks North Star Borough which is near the center of Alaska (Please see the inset of Map 1 in Appendix A for location). The Borough's current population is 82,840 making it the second-largest population center in the state (ADCED, 2002). Communities located within the Borough include: College, Eielson Air Force Base, Ester,

Fairbanks, Fox, Harding Lake, Moose Creek, North Pole, Pleasant Valley, Salcha, and Two Rivers.

The majority of residents in the Fairbanks area use individual wells or hauled water, and septic systems (ADCED, 2002). Heating oil (commonly stored in both above and below ground 275 to 500-gallon tanks) is most commonly used for heating homes and buildings (ADCED, 2002). Refuse is transported to the Fairbanks North Star Borough landfill.

The Fairbanks area includes two distinct topographic areas: the floodplain of the Tanana River and the Chena River, and the uplands north of this floodplain. The well for Fairhill Community Church is located in the uplands at the base of Birch Hill at an elevation of approximately 500 feet above sea level.

According to the well log for the well, the depth of the well is 80 feet below ground surface (bgs). It is assumed the well is screened in bedrock based on other well logs in the area. Bedrock in this area is predominantly a metamorphosed marine mud deposit, called a pelitic schist. The schist is locally intruded by granitic rocks – granite and quartz diorite. Groundwater in the bedrock is principally contained in fractures. The water wells in this area with the greatest well recharge appear to be in quartz veins, quartzite, and siliceous schist (Nelson, 1978).

Groundwater in the uplands is recharged by local precipitation. Outflow of ground water in the uplands primarily occurs two ways. In areas under artesian pressure (pressure caused by overlying permafrost), water can flow to the surface through thawed conduits within the permafrost. Otherwise groundwater will flow under the permafrost (if present) and out to the groundwater beneath the adjacent flood plain or creek valley (Nelson, 1978).

The most recent Sanitary Survey (8/27/01) indicates the well was installed with a cap providing a sanitary seal. A properly installed sanitary seal may provide protection against contaminants from entering the source waters at the well casing. The land surface is also appropriately sloped away from each of the wells allowing surface water and contaminants to drain away

from the wells. It also indicates the well has not been grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against contaminants travelling along the well casing and into source waters. The well is not located in a known floodplain.

This system operates year-round and serves approximately 100 non-residents through 1 service connection.

#### DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

The pathways most likely for surface contamination to reach the groundwater are identified as the first step in determining a drinking water system's risk. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the drinking water protection area, and will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts.

An outline of the immediate watershed was used to determine the size and shape of the protection area for Fairhill Community Church. Available geology was also considered to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics to arrive at a meaningful protection area (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The protection areas established for wells by the ADEC are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well. The protection area for Fairhill Community Church is limited by its immediate watershed and includes only Zone A (See Map 1 of Appendix A). The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from the U.S. Geological Survey (*Patrick, Brabets, and Glass, 1989*), and State of Alaska Department of Water Resources (*Jokela et. al., 1991*).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four protection area zones for wells and the calculated time-of-travel for each:

**Table 1. Definition of Zones** 

Zone	Definition
A	½ the distance for the 2-yr. time-of-travel
В	Less than the 2 year time-of-travel
C	Less than the 5 year time-of-travel
D	Less than the 10 year time-of-travel

# INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

An inventory of the potential sources of contamination was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information for this water system. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses;
- Nitrates and/or nitrites;
- Volatile organic chemicals;
- Heavy metals, cyanide, and other inorganic chemicals;
- Synthetic organic chemicals
- Other organic chemicals.

•

The sources are displayed on Map 2 of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

#### RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low;
- Medium;
- High; and
- Very High.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well.

Tables 2 through 7 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to all six contaminant categories.

# VULNERABILITY OF FAIRHILL COMMUNITY CHURCH DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility; and
- Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Lastly, Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well) (0-50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

Natural Susceptibility Ratings							
40 to 50 pts	Very High						
30 to < 40 pts	High						
20 to < 30 pts	Medium						
< 20 pts	Low						

Although the well log for the Fairhill Community Church water system is unavailable, it is assumed to be completed in a confined area of a fractured bedrock aquifer based on well logs in the area. The silt layer above the bedrock provides some protection from contaminants traveling downward from the surface with the precipitation and surface water runoff. Ground water can move extremely quickly through fractures within the bedrock, depending on their width, density, connectivity, and direction in the area. The water supply wells upgradient of the well also offer an easy pathway for contaminants to travel down into the aquifer and potentially towards the well. Table 2 shows the Susceptibility scores and ratings for Fairhill Community Church.

Table 2. Susceptibility

	Score	Rating
Susceptibility of the	5	Low
Wellhead		
Susceptibility of the	19	High
Aquifer		
Natural Susceptibility	24	Medium

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This score has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

Contaminant Risk Ratings									
40 to 50 pts	Very High								
30 to < 40 pts	High								
20 to < 30 pts	Medium								
< 20 pts	Low								

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

**Table 3. Contaminant Risks** 

Category	Score	Rating
· .		U
Bacteria and Viruses	50	Very High
Nitrates and/or Nitrites	43	Very High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	32	High
Heavy Metals, Cyanide and		
Other Inorganic Chemicals	10	Low
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	25	Medium
Other Organic Chemicals	10	Low

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

Natural Susceptibility (0 - 50 points)

+

Contaminant Risks (0 - 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 - 100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

Overall Vulnerability Ratings								
80 to 100 pts	Very High							
60 to < 80 pts	High							
40 to < 60 pts	Medium							
< 40 pts	Low							

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

Category	Score	Rating
Bacteria and Viruses	75	High
Nitrates and Nitrites	65	High
Volatile Organic Chemicals	55	Medium
Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and		
Other Inorganic Chemicals	35	Low
Synthetic Organic Chemicals	50	Medium
Other Organic Chemicals	35	Low

#### **Bacteria and Viruses**

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is very high with the density of septic systems nearest to the well representing the greatest risk to the drinking water well (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D).

Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health. Monitoring samples collected on 1/12/98, 10/14/97, 9/17/97, and 11/26/96 were all positive for total coliform (additional samples collected a few days after each positive result confirmed the results). Coliforms are found naturally in the environment and although they aren't necessarily a health threat, they are an indicator of other potentially harmful bacteria in the water, more specifically, fecal coliforms and E. coli which only come from human and animal fecal waste (EPA, 2002). Harmful bacteria can cause diarrhea, cramps, nausea, headaches, or other symptoms (EPA, 2002).

The positive samples increase the overall vulnerability of the drinking water souce, indicating that the source is susceptable to bacteria and viruses contamination. The source of the positive result is unknown. More recent samples taken after 1998 all had negative results for total coliform.

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is high.

#### **Nitrates and Nitrites**

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is very high with the cemetery and the septic systems nearest the well representing the greatest risk to this source of public drinking water (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D). Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water.

Sampling history for the Fairhill Community Church well indicates that low concentrations of nitrate have consistently been detected in the drinking water. Recent nitrate concentrations have ranged from 1.550 mg/L to 2.020 mg/L or about 15 to 20% of the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 10 mg/L. The MCL is the maximum level of contaminant that is allowed to exist in drinking water by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Naturally occurring nitrate levels are typically less than 2 mg/l (Wang, Strelakos, Jokela, 2000).

After combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is high.

#### **Volatile Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is high with the density of heating oil storage tanks creating the greatest risk for volatile organic chemicals (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Both underground and above ground heating oil storage tanks are the standard way of heating homes and businesses in the area surrounding Fairbanks. The most common causes of fuel leaks of these heating oil systems are overfilling the tank, ruptured fuel lines, leaking storage tanks, damaged or faulty valves and vandalism. Regular system maintenance can help prevent many of these harmful fuel leaks.

Volatile organic chemicals were not detected in their most recent sampling on 9/27/01. After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is medium.

# Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals is low with the density of septic systems in the protection area creating risk (See Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D).

Heavy metals were not detected in significant concentrations in their most recent sample on 9/27/01. After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is low.

#### **Synthetic Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is medium with the cemetery and the residential activities creating risk. Synthetic Organic Chemicals have never been sampled for in this water system. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to synthetic organic chemicals of the well is medium (See Chart 11 – Contaminant Risks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

#### **Other Organic Chemicals**

The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is low with the residential activities within the protection area creating the risk. After combining the contaminant risk with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability to other organic chemicals of the well is medium (See Chart 13 – Contaminant Risks for Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D). Other organic chemicals have not been sampled for in Fairhill Community Church's drinking water system.


#### REFERENCES

- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2002 [WWW document]. URL <a href="http://www.dced.state.ak.us/mra/CF\_BLOCK.cfm">http://www.dced.state.ak.us/mra/CF\_BLOCK.cfm</a>.
- Forbes, R.B. and Weber, F.R., 1981. Bedrock Geologic Map of the Fairbanks Mining District, Alaska. Funded by the State of Alaska, US Geological Survey, and The National Science Foundation.
- Freeze, R.A. and Cherry, J.A., 1979. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Jokela, J.B., Munter, J.A., and Evans, J.G., 1991, Ground-water resources of the Palmer-Big Lake area, Alaska: a conceptual model. Division of Geological &Geophysical Surveys Reports of Investigations 90-4, State of Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Fairbanks, AK.
- King, P.B., compiler, 1969, Tectonic map of North America: US Geological Survey Map, (scale 1:5,000,000) 2 sheets.
- Nelson, Gordon L., 1978, Hydrologic Information for Land-Use Planning, Fairbanks Vicinity, Alaska. US Department of the Interior Geological Survey Open File Report 78-959, 47p.
- Patrick, L.D., Brabets, T.P., and Glass, R.L., 1989, Simulation of ground-water flow at Anchorage, Alaska: US Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 88-4139, 41p.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL <a href="http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html">http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html</a>.
- Wang, B., Strelakos, P.M., Jokela, B., 2000, Nitrate Source Indicators in Groundwater of the Scimitar Subdivision, Peters Creek Area, Anchorage Alaska; U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 00-4137, 25p.

### **APPENDIX A**

Fairhill Community Church
Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map
(Map 1)

# Fairhill Community Church Drinking Water Protection Area




# Legend

Fairhill Community Church Well
Zone A Protection Area

| Zone A Protection Area | Parcels

Roads

| Elevation Contours (20 m)





Map 1

#### **APPENDIX B**

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church (Tables 1-7)

# Contaminant Source Inventory for Fairhill Community Church

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Map Number	Comments
Injection wells (Class V) Large-Capacity Septic System (Drainfield Disposal Method)	D10	D10-1	A	2	Fairhill Community Church
Residential Areas	R01		A	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08		A	2	Approximately 17 heating oil tanks (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Cemeteries	X01	X01-1	A	2	
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Dog walking areas/foot trails	X46	X46-1	A	2	Birch Hill Recreation Area

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Dog walking areas/foot trails	X46	X46-1	A	Low	2	Birch Hill Recreation Area

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Cemeteries	X01	X01-1	A	Medium	2	
Dog walking areas/foot trails	X46	X46-1	A	Low	2	Birch Hill Recreation Area

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Tanks, heating oil, residential (above ground)	R08		A	Medium	2	Approximately 17 heating oil tanks (based on number of parcels listed as residential)

PWSID 312693.001

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Cemeteries	X01	X01-1	A	Low	2	

PWSID 312693.001

#### Table 6

# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Synthetic Organic Chemicals

Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Cemeteries	X01	X01-1	A	Medium	2	

PWSID 312693.001

#### Table 7


# Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Fairhill Community Church Sources of Other Organic Chemicals


Contaminant Source Type	Contaminant Source ID	CS ID tag	Zone	Risk Ranking for Analysis	Map Number	Comments
Highways and roads, dirt/gravel	X24		A	Low	2	4 roads located within Zone A
Septic systems (serves one single-family home)	R02		A	Low	2	Approximately 16 septic systems (based on number of parcels listed as residential)
Residential Areas	R01		A	Low	2	Approximately 30 acres of residential area


#### **APPENDIX C**

Fairhill Community Church
Drinking Water Protection Area
and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources
(Map 2)

# Fairhill Community Church Drinking Water Protection Area with Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources







Map 2

### APPENDIX D


# Vulnerability Analysis for Fairhill Community Church Public Drinking Water Source (Charts 1-14)


Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Fairhill Community Church Susceptibility initially assumed to be low. Susceptibility of wellhead = 0 pts NO Are the wells Increase susceptibility 5 pts + 5 pts properly grouted? Is the well Increase susceptibility 20 pts 0 pts capped? YES YES Susceptibility of wellhead Low 5 pts YES Increase susceptibility: Is the well 10 pts: suspected floodplain within a + 0 pts Wellhead Susceptibility Ratings 20 pts: known floodplain floodplain? 20 to 25 pts very high 15 to < 20 pts high 10 to < 15 pts medium < 10 pts low Is the land surface sloped Increase susceptibility 5 pts 0 pts away from the


Page 1 of 25

well?


Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer - Fairhill Community Church









Page 4 of 25





Page 6 of 25







Risk Levels for Contam	inant Sources	identified in Zone	es A, B and C	
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total	
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0	
High(s)	0	0	0	
Medium(s)	1	0	1	
Low(s)	19	0	19	

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts


Matrix Score 25


Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.







Page 8 of 25







Page 10 of 25






Page 12 of 25





Page 14 of 25







Risk Levels for Contam	inant Sources	identified in Zone	s A, B and C	
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total	
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0	
High(s)	0	0	0	
Medium(s)	0	0	0	
Low(s)	4	0	4	

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts


|--|


Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.







Page 16 of 25







Page 18 of 25






Page 20 of 25





Page 22 of 25

Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Fairhill Community Church - Other Organic Chemicals




10 pts


Risk Levels for Contami	nant Sources	identified in Zone	s A, B and C
	Zone A	Zones B&C	Total
Very Highs(s)	0	0	0
High(s)	0	0	0
Medium(s)	0	0	0
Low(s)	3	0	3

	LOW 10 pts	MEDIUM 20 pts	HIGH 30 pts	VERY HIGH 40 pts
LOW	≥ 10 sources + 10 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts	≥ 20 sources + 5 pts	
MEDIUM		≥ 2 sources + 5 pts	≥ 5 sources + 5 pts	≥ 10 sources + 5 pts
HIGH			≥ 1 source + 10 pts	≥ 2 sources + 10 pts
VERY HIGH				≥ 1 source + 10 pts

Matrix Score 10
-----------------

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.





Page 24 of 25

