



Source Water Assessment

A Hydrogeologic Susceptibility and Vulnerability Assessment for Tundra Women's Coalition Drinking Water System, Bethel, Alaska

PWSID # 271114.001

April 2004

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1123 Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

Source Water Assessment for Tundra Women's Coalition Drinking Water System Bethel, Alaska

PWSID # 271114.001

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM REPORT 1123

The Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) is producing Source Water Assessments in compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996. Each assessment includes a delineation of the source water area, an inventory of potential and existing contaminant sources that may impact the water, a risk ranking for each of these contaminants, and an evaluation of the potential vulnerability of these drinking water sources.

These assessments are intended to provide public water systems owners/operators, communities, and local governments with the best available information that may be used to protect the quality of their drinking water. The assessments combine information obtained from various sources, including the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (ADEC), public water system owners/operators, and other public information sources. The results of this assessment are subject to change if additional data becomes available. It is anticipated this assessment will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of public drinking water source. If you have any additional information that may affect the results of this assessment, please contact the Program Coordinator of DWPP, (907) 269-7521.

CONTENTS

| PUBLIC DRIN | SUMMARY1 KING WATER SYSTEM1 ATER PROTECTION AREA2 | INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES | | |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | TAB | LES | | |
| Table 2. Susce Table 3. Conta | eptibilityaminant Risks | | | |
| | APPEN | DICES | | |
| APPENDIX | A. Tundra Women's Coalition Drinking | g Water Protection Area (Map A) | | |
| | and Viruses (Table 2) Contaminant Source Inventory and F Nitrates/Nitrites (Table 3) Contaminant Source Inventory and F Organic Chemicals (Table 4) Contaminant Source Inventory and F Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Contaminant Source Inventory and F Synthetic Organic Chemicals (Table | Risk Ranking for Tundra Women's Coalition – Bacteria Risk Ranking for Tundra Women's Coalition – Risk Ranking for Tundra Women's Coalition – Volatile Risk Ranking for Tundra Women's Coalition – Heavy Chemicals (Table 5) Risk Ranking for Tundra Women's Coalition – | | |
| | C. Tundra Women's Coalition Drinkin and Existing Contaminant Source | g Water Protection Area and Potential ces (Map C) | | |
| | | nant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for lic Drinking Water Source (Charts 1 – 14) | | |

Source Water Assessment for Tundra Women's Coalition Source of Public Drinking Water, Bethel, Alaska

Drinking Water Protection Program Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Tundra Women's Coalition has one Public Water System (PWS) well. The date of well construction is unknown; however it is assumed that the well (PWS No. 271114.001) has been used as a drinking water source since that time.

The well is a Class A (community and non-transient/non-community) water system located at 250 6th Avenue in Bethel, Alaska. Available records indicate that there is no water storage, and that the untreated drinking water source is derived directly from the wellhead. This system operates year round and serves approximately 14 residents and 12 non-residents through one service connection. The wellhead received a susceptibility rating of **Low** and the aquifer received a susceptibility rating of **High**. Combining these two ratings produce a **Low** rating for the natural susceptibility of the well.

Identified potential and current sources of contaminants for the public drinking water source include: domestic wastewater collection systems, aboveground fuel tanks, roads, wastewater holding tanks, an abandoned well, monitoring wells, water supply wells, a pipeline, firehouses, a domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal pond/lagoon, a landfill, and an ADEC recognized leaking underground storage tank (LUST) site. These identified potential and existing sources of contamination are considered as sources of bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals contaminant categories.

Overall, the water well received a vulnerability rating of **Medium** for bacteria and viruses, and a vulnerability rating of **High** for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

The Tundra Women's Coalition well is a Class A (community/non-transient/non-community) public water system. The system is located at 250 6th Avenue in Bethel, Alaska (Sec. 8, T8N, R71W, Seward Meridian; see Map A of Appendix A). Bethel serves as the regional center for 56 villages in the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta. Food, fuel. transportation, medical care, and other services for the region are provided by Bethel. Bethel is located at the mouth of the Kuskokwim River, 40-miles inland from the Bering Sea, and approximately 400air miles west of Anchorage. The community has a population of 5,736 (ADCED, 2003). Average annual precipitation for Bethel is 16 inches, including approximately 50 inches of snowfall. Temperatures range from 42 to 62°F in summer and -2 to 19°F in winter.

The community of Bethel obtains a portion of their water supply from city wells. Some households are served by the central piped water and sewage collection system; however, approximately 75% of households have water delivered and sewage hauled by truck. Several facilities have individual wells and septic tanks (ADCED, 2003). Bethel receives electrical power from the Bethel Utilities Corporation. Power generating facilities are fueled by diesel. Refuse is collected by the City of Bethel and transported to the City operated landfill (ADCED, 2003).

According to information supplied by ADEC for the Tundra Women's Coalition PWS, the depth of the primary water well is 419 feet below the ground surface. Well construction details are unknown; however, it is assumed the well is screened in a confined aquifer based on available well construction details for surrounding wells. The well is not located within a floodplain.

Information acquired from a September 1998 sanitary survey for the public water system indicated that the land surface was sloped away from the well. Generally, land surfaces that slope away from the wellhead promote surface water drainage, which

reduces the potential of contaminant migration down the well casing annulus. The sanitary survey indicates that the well is grouted according to ADEC regulations. Proper grouting provides added protection against contaminants traveling along the well casing annulus and into source waters.

The Bethel area is near the southern border of the continuous permafrost zone and the City, and most of the area west of the Kuskokwim River, appear to be underlain with permafrost. The permafrost generally extends to a depth of at least 300 feet below ground surface (bgs), with depths of over 600 feet bgs recorded in some areas. The geology in the area consists primarily of unconsolidated floodplain alluvium, silt deposits, and reworked silt. The Bethel area consists of poorly drained wetlands that have permanently ponded water in local depressions. Sloughs, small lakes, ponds, and marshes in meander scars surround Bethel (Dames & Moore, 1996).

DRINKING WATER PROTECTION AREA

In order to evaluate whether a drinking water source is at risk, we must first evaluate what are the most likely pathways for surface contamination to reach the groundwater. These areas are determined by looking at the characteristics of the soil, groundwater, aquifer, and well.

The most probable area for contamination to reach the drinking water well is the area that contributes water to the well, the groundwater recharge area. This area is designated as the drinking water protection area (DWPA). Because releases of contaminants within the protection area are most likely to impact the drinking water well, this area will serve as the focus for voluntary protection efforts. An analytical calculation was used to determine the size and shape of the DWPA for the Tundra Women's Coalition PWS. The input parameters describing the attributes of the aquifer in this calculation were adopted from Groundwater (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). Available geology and groundwater contours were also considered to take into account any uncertainties in groundwater flow and aquifer characteristics to arrive at a meaningful protection area.

The protection areas established for wells by the ADEC are usually separated into four zones, limited by the watershed. These zones correspond to differences in the time-of-travel (TOT) of the water moving through the aquifer to the well (Please refer to the Guidance Manual for Class A Public Water Systems for additional information).

The time of travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. The following is a summary of the four protection area zones for wells and the calculated time -of-travel for each:

Table 1. Definition of Zones

| Zone | Definition |
|------|---|
| A | 1/4 the distance for the 2-yr. time-of-travel |
| В | Less than the 2 year time-of-travel |
| C | Less Than the 5 year time -of-travel |
| D | Less than the 10 year time -of-travel |
| | |

The DWPA for the Tundra Women's Coalition was determined using an analytical calculation and includes Zones A, B, C, and D (See Map A of Appendix A).

INVENTORY OF POTENTIAL AND EXISTING CONTAMINANT SOURCES

The Drinking Water Protection Program has completed an inventory of potential and existing sources of contamination within the Tundra Women's Coalition DWPA. This inventory was completed through a search of agency records and other publicly available information. Potential sources of contamination to the drinking water aquifer include a wide range of categories and types. Potential drinking water contaminants are found within agricultural, residential, commercial, and industrial areas, but can also occur within areas that have little or no development.

For the basis of all Class A public water system assessments, six categories of drinking water contaminants were inventoried. They include:

- Bacteria and viruses,
- Nitrates and/or nitrites.
- Volatile organic chemicals,
- Heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals,
- Synthetic organic chemicals,
- Other organic chemicals.

The sources are displayed on Map C of Appendix C and summarized in Table 1 of Appendix B.

RANKING OF CONTAMINANT RISKS

Once the potential and existing sources of contamination have been identified, they are assigned a ranking according to what type and level of risk

they represent. Ranking of contaminant risks for a "potential" or "existing" source of contamination is a function of toxicity and volumes of specific contaminants associated with that source. Rankings include:

- Low.
- Medium.
- High, and
- Very High.

The time-of-travel for contaminants within the water varies and is dependent on the physical and chemical characteristics of each contaminant. Bacteria and Viruses are only inventoried in Zones A and B because of their short life span. Only "Very High" and "High" rankings are inventoried within the outer Zone D due to the probability of contaminant dilution by the time the contaminants get to the well. Tables 2 through 4 in Appendix B contain the ranking of potential and existing sources of contamination with respect to bacteria and viruses, nitrates and/or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals.

VULNERABILITY OF THE DRINKING WATER SYSTEM

Vulnerability of a drinking water source to contamination is a combination of two factors:

- Natural susceptibility, and
- Contaminant risks.

Appendix D contains fourteen charts, which together form the 'Vulnerability Analysis' for a source water assessment for a public drinking water source. Chart 1 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Wellhead' to contamination by looking at the construction of the well and its surrounding area. Chart 2 analyzes the 'Susceptibility of the Aquifer' to contamination by looking at the naturally occurring attributes of the water source and influences on the groundwater system that might lead to contamination. Chart 3 analyzes 'Contaminant Risks' for the drinking water source with respect to bacteria and viruses. The 'Contaminant Risks' portion of the analysis considers potential sources of contaminants as well as a review of contamination that has or may have occurred, but has not arrived or been detected at the well. Chart 4 contains the 'Vulnerability Analysis for Bacteria and Viruses'. Charts 5 through 14 contain the Contaminant Risks and Vulnerability Analyses for nitrates and nitrites, volatile organic chemicals, heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and other organic chemicals, respectively.

A score for the Natural Susceptibility is reached by considering the properties of the well and the aquifer.

Susceptibility of the Wellhead (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 1 of Appendix D)

+

Susceptibility of the Aquifer (0 – 25 Points) (Chart 2 of Appendix D)

=

Natural Susceptibility (Susceptibility of the Well) (0 – 50 Points)

A ranking is assigned for the Natural Susceptibility according to the point score:

| Natural Susceptibility Ratings | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 40 to 50 pts | Very High | | | | | | |
| 30 to < 40 pts | High | | | | | | |
| 20 to < 30 pts | Medium | | | | | | |
| < 20 pts | Low | | | | | | |

The Tundra Women's Coalition's water well is in a confined aquifer. Confined aquifers are less susceptible to potential groundwater quality impacts posed by the migration of surface water contaminants downward from the surface. Table 2 shows the susceptibility scores and ratings for this PWS.

Table 2. Susceptibility

| | Score | Rating |
|------------------------|-------|--------|
| Susceptibility of the | 0 | Low |
| Wellhead | | |
| Susceptibility of the | 15 | High |
| Aquifer | | |
| Natural Susceptibility | 15 | Low |

Contaminant risks to a drinking water source depend on the type, number or density, and distribution of contaminant sources. This score has been derived from an examination of existing and historical contamination that has been detected at the drinking water source through routine sampling. It also evaluates potential sources of contamination. Flow charts are used to assign a point score, and ratings are assigned in the same way as for the natural susceptibility:

| Contaminant Risk Ratings | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 40 to 50 pts | Very High | | | | | | |
| 30 to < 40 pts | High | | | | | | |
| 20 to < 30 pts | Medium | | | | | | |
| < 20 pts | Low | | | | | | |

Table 3 summarizes the Contaminant Risks for each category of drinking water contaminants.

Table 3. Contaminant Risks

| Category | Score | Rating |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------|
| Bacteria and Viruses | 25 | Medium |
| Nitrates and/or Nitrites | 48 | Very High |
| Volatile Organic Chemica | ls 47 | Very High |
| Heavy Metals, Cyanide an | d | |
| Other Inorganic Chemicals | s 50 | Very High |
| Synthetic Organic Chemic | als 45 | Very High |
| Other Organic Chemicals | 50 | Very High |
| | | |

Finally, an overall vulnerability score is assigned for each water system by combining each of the contaminant risk scores with the natural susceptibility score:

Natural Susceptibility (0 – 50 points)

+

Contaminant Risks (0 – 50 points)

=

Vulnerability of the Drinking Water Source to Contamination (0 – 100).

Again, rankings are assigned according to a point score:

| Overall Vulnerability Ratings | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 80 to 100 pts | Very High | | | | | | | |
| 60 to < 80 pts | High | | | | | | | |
| 40 to < 60 pts | Medium | | | | | | | |
| < 40 pts | Low | | | | | | | |

Table 4 contains the overall vulnerability scores (0 – 100) and ratings for each of the six categories of drinking water contaminants. Note: scores are rounded off to the nearest five.

Table 4. Overall Vulnerability

| Category | Score | Rating |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------|
| Bacteria and Viruses | 40 | Medium |
| Nitrates and Nitrites | 65 | High |
| Volatile Organic Chemicals | 60 | High |
| Heavy Metals, Cyanide and | | |
| Other Inorganic Chemicals | 65 | High |
| Synthetic Organic Chemicals | 60 | High |
| Other Organic Chemicals | 65 | High |

Bacteria and Viruses

The contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses is **Medium**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of a landfill and a domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal pond/lagoon in Zone D (see Table 2 – Appendix B).

A positive bacteria count has not been reported in recent (within five years) sampling events (See Chart 3 – Contaminant Risks for Bacteria and Viruses in Appendix D). Only a small amount of bacteria and viruses are required to endanger public health.

After combining the contaminant risk for bacteria and viruses with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **Medium**.

Nitrates and Nitrites

The contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites is **Very High**. The risk to this source of public drinking water is primarily attributed to the presence of an abandoned well, a landfill, and a domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal pond/lagoon in Zones A and D (see Table 3 – Appendix B).

Nitrates are very mobile, moving at approximately the same rate as water. The sampling history for this well indicates that low levels of nitrates have been detected in recent sampling events. However, the reported concentrations of nitrates do not exceed the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 mg/L. Nitrate concentrations in uncontaminated groundwater are typically less than 2 mg/L; therefore, nitrate concentrations above 2 mg/L may be indicative of man-made sources (See Chart 5 - Contaminant Risks for Nitrates and/or Nitrites in Appendix D).

Nitrate levels are often derived from the decomposition of organic matter in soils. Although the nitrate source is unknown, such occurrences may be attributed to septic systems or other sources. After

combining the contaminant risk for nitrates and nitrites with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to nitrate and nitrite contamination is **High**.

Volatile Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of an abandoned well, a landfill, and an ADEC LUST site in Zones A and D. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 4 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Tundra Women's Coalition (See Chart 7 – Contaminant Risks for Volatile Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for volatile organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of an abandoned well, a landfill, and an ADEC LUST site in Zones A and D. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 5 – Appendix B).

Based on review of recent sampling records for this public water system, arsenic has been detected in recent sampling history. However, the analyte did not exceed the MCL of 0.05 mg/L (see Chart 9 – Contaminant Risks for Heavy Metals, Cyanide, and Other Inorganic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for heavy metals, cyanide and other inorganic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Synthetic Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of an abandoned well and a landfill in Zones A and D (see Table 6 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Tundra Women's Coalition (See Chart 11 – Contaminant Risks for Synthetic Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for synthetic organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Other Organic Chemicals

The contaminant risk for other organic chemicals is **Very High**. The risk is primarily attributed to the presence of an abandoned well, a pipeline, and a landfill in Zones A and D. Numerous other potential contaminant sources are also found within the protection area (see Table 7 – Appendix B).

No recent sampling data was available in ADEC records for the Tundra Women's Coalition (See Chart 13 – Contaminant Risks for Other Organic Chemicals in Appendix D).

After combining the contaminant risk for other organic chemicals with the natural susceptibility of the well, the overall vulnerability of the well to contamination is **High**.

Using the Source Water Assessment

This assessment of contaminant risks can be used as a foundation for local voluntary protection efforts as well as a basis for the continuous efforts on the part of the Tundra Women's Coalition and the community of Bethel to protect public health. It is anticipated that Source Water Assessments will be updated every five years to reflect any changes in the vulnerability and/or susceptibility of the drinking water source.


REFERENCES

- Alaska Department of Community and Economic Development (ADCED), 2003 [WWW document]. URL: http://www.dced.state.ak.us/cbd/commdb/CF COMDB.htm
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Contaminated Sites Database, 2003 [WWW database], URL http://www.state.ak.us/dec/dspar/csites/cs_search.htm
- Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, Leaking Underground Storage Tank Database, 2003 [WWW database], URL http://www.dec.state.ak.us/spar/stp/ust/search/fac_search.asp
- Dames & Moore, 1996. Final Water and Sewer Facilities Master Plan Update Report, City of Bethel.
- Freeze, R. A., and Cherry, J.A. 1979, Groundwater, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2002 [WWW document]. URL http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mcl.html.

APPENDIX A

Drinking Water Protection Area Location Map (Map A)

Public Water Well System for PWS #271114.001 Tundra Womens Coalition



APPENDIX B

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking (Tables 1-7)

Contaminant Source Inventory for Tundra Womens Coalition

PWSID 271114.001

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | С | |
| Tanks, diesel (above ground) | T06 | T06-01 | A | C | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-01 | A | С | Bethel Native Coporation Offices |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | С | |
| Monitoring wells | W06 | W06-01 | A | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | С | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-02 | В | С | |
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-02 | С | С | |
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-03 | С | С | |
| Firehouses | X38 | X38-01 | C | С | Firehouse |
| Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons | D02 | D02-01 | D | С | Bethel Sewage Lagoon |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | С | Bethel Landfill |
| Closed leaking fuel storage tank (LUST) (aviation) | U12 | U12-01 | D | С | Bethel Dump |

Table 2

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Bacteria and Viruses

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons | D02 | D02-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Sewage Lagoon |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Landfill |

PWSID 271114.001

Table 3

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Nitrates/Nitrites

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | High | С | |
| Domestic wastewater treatment plant disposal ponds/lagoons | D02 | D02-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Sewage Lagoon |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | Very High | С | Bethel Landfill |

Table 4

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Volatile Organic Chemicals

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Tanks, diesel (above ground) | T06 | T06-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-01 | A | Low | С | Bethel Native Coporation Offices |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | High | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-02 | В | Low | С | |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Landfill |
| Closed leaking fuel storage tank (LUST) (aviation) | U12 | U12-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Dump |

Table 5

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-01 | A | Low | С | Bethel Native Coporation Offices |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | Very High | С | |
| Monitoring wells | W06 | W06-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Tanks, heating oil, nonresidential (aboveground) | T14 | T14-02 | В | Low | С | |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | High | С | Bethel Landfill |
| Closed leaking fuel storage tank (LUST) (aviation) | U12 | U12-01 | D | Very High | С | Bethel Dump |

Table 6

Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Synthetic Organic Chemicals

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | High | С | |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | Very High | С | Bethel Landfill |

Table 7


Contaminant Source Inventory and Risk Ranking for Tundra Womens Coalition Sources of Other Organic Chemicals

| Contaminant Source Type | Contaminant Source ID | CS ID tag | Zone | Risk Ranking for Analysis | Map Number | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|------|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Domestic wastewater collection systems (sewer lines or lift stations) | D01 | D01-01 | A | Low | С | |
| Wastewater Holding Tank | T22 | T22-01 | A | Medium | С | |
| Abandoned wells | W01 | W01-01 | A | High | С | |
| Pipelines (oil and gas) | X28 | X28-01 | A | High | С | |
| Landfills (municipal; Class II) | D50 | D50-01 | D | Very High | С | Bethel Landfill |

APPENDIX C

Drinking Water Protection Area and Potential and Existing Contaminant Sources (Map C)

Public Water Well System for PWS #271114.001 Tundra Womens Coalition Showing Potential and Existing Sources of Contamination



APPENDIX D

Vulnerability Analysis for Public Drinking Water Source (Charts 1-14)

Susceptibility initially assumed to be low. Susceptibility of wellhead = 0 ptsIs the well NO Increase susceptibility 5 pts + 0 pts properly NO Increase susceptibility 20 pts grouted? Is the well + 0 pts capped? YES YES Susceptibility of wellhead Low 0 pts Increase susceptibility: YES 10 pts: suspected floodplain + 0 pts Wellhead Susceptibility Ratings 20 pts: known floodplain floodplain? 20 to 25 pts very high 15 to < 20 pts high 10 to < 15 pts medium NO < 10 pts low Is the land surface sloped Increase susceptibility 5 pts + 0 pts away from the

Chart 1. Susceptibility of the wellhead - Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001)

Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001)






Chart 3. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Bacteria & Viruses





Page 4 of 25






Chart 5. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks contamination due to manbackground = 0 ptscontamination from made source(s) natural sources 0 pts Is the concentration of Has nitrates and/or the contaminant NO nitrites been detected in increasing, decreasing, the source waters in or staying the same? recent sampling period(s)? Recent Nitrate Sampling Results (mg/L) 11/19/2002 12/27/2001 ND The nitrate concentration is 5/3/1999 0.617 assumed to be natural if less than 2 mg/L (20%), or Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts YES attributed to man made Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts sources if greater than 2 + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged mg/L. Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) = 10 mg/LDetected Nitrate Level = Existing contamination points based on Risk due to existing man-Risk due to natural linear interpolation of most recent detect made sources sources [MCL = 50 pts; detect = 0 pts]3 pts 0 pts Risk due to existing contamination 3 pts Was the source of Evaluate the level of NO. contamination contamination from natural? man-made sources YES






Page 8 of 25


Susceptibility of well (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Low 15 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 5. Contaminant risks for wells - Nitrates and Nitrites) Evaluate contaminant Susceptibility of wellhead Low risks 0 pts Evaluate the Contaminant risks (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Very High susceptibility of the 48 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer High Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high 60 to < 80 pts high Susceptibility of well Vulnerability of drinking water 40 to < 60 pts medium well < 40 pts low 63 pts High **65**

Chart 6. Vulnerability analysis for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Nitrates and Nitrites



Page 10 of 25






| | Zone A | Zones B&C | Total |
|--------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| ery Highs(s) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| High(s) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Medium(s) | 29 | 100 | 129 |
| Low(s) | 3 | 2 | 5 |

| | LOW 10 pts | MEDIUM 20 pts | HIGH 30 pts | VERY HIGH 40 pts |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| LOW | ≥ 10 sources + 10 pts | ≥ 10 sources + 5 pts | ≥ 20 sources + 5 pts | |
| MEDIUM | | ≥ 2 sources + 5 pts | ≥ 5 sources + 5 pts | ≥ 10 sources + 5 pts |
| HIGH | | | ≥ 1 source + 10 pts | ≥ 2 sources + 10 pts |
| VERY HIGH | | | | ≥ 1 source + 10 pts |

Matrix Score 35

Note: Septic systems, sewerlines, and roads are each assigned a risk ranking for each individual contaminant source in the CSI. The VA, however, counts these contaminant sources as a group and assigns a calculated number of either "lows" or "mediums" based on the density.







Chart 8. Vulnerability analysis for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Volatile Organic Chemicals Susceptibility of well (Chart 1. Susceptibiltiy of the wellhead) Low 15 pts Evaluate the susceptibility of the wellhead (Chart 7. Contaminant risks for wells - Volatile Organic Chemicals) Evaluate contaminant Susceptibility of wellhead Low risks 0 pts Evaluate the Contaminant risks (Chart 2. Susceptibility of the aquifer) Very High susceptibility of the 47 pts aquifer within the protection area Susceptibility of aquifer High Susceptibility of the well Contaminant risks Vulnerability of drinking water well to contamination Susceptibility of the wellhead Overall Vulnerability Ratings Susceptibility of aquifer 80 to 100 pts very high 60 to < 80 pts high Susceptibility of well Vulnerability of drinking water 40 to < 60 pts medium well < 40 pts low 62 pts High **60**

Page 13 of 25


Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals Contaminant risks initially assumed to be low. Current level of Evaluate the level of Contaminant risks background contamination due to man-=0 pts contamination from made source(s) natural sources 18 pts Is the concentration of NO or Have heavy metals, the contaminant UNKNOWN cyanide or other inorganic increasing, decreasing, chemicals been detected or staying the same? in the source waters in recent sampling Recent Metals Sampling Results period(s)? (mg/L) 5/3/1999 0.018 Arsenic YES Increasing: risk up 1 - 10 pts Decreasing: risk down 1 - 5 pts + 0 pts Same: risk unchanged Maximum Contaminant Although other inorganic compounds have Level (MCL) (mg/L) 6 of MCL been detected in previous sampling events, Arsenic= 0.05 36% arsenic has reported the highest percent MCL values in the past 5 years. Risk due to natural Risk due to existing man-Existing contamination points based on linear sources made sources interpolation of most recent detect [MCL = 50 pts; 0 pts 18 pts detect = 0 ptsRisk due to existing contamination 18 pts Evaluate the level Was the source of NO. of contamination contamination from man-made natural? sources YES


Page 14 of 25



Existing Are there conditions 18 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 55 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a YES 73 pts risk increase. See Table Contaminant risks 5 for inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination Contaminant risks* *Truncate risk at 50 pts 50 Are there sufficient Contaminant Risk Ratings **Very High** controls, conditions, NQ Risk unchanged or monitoring to 40 to 50 pts 30 to < 40 pts high warrant downgrading 20 to < 30 pts medium risk? < 20 pts YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 55 pts

Chart 9. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Heavy Metals, Cyanide and Other Inorganic Chemicals










Chart 11. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Synthetic Organic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions NO 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 45 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 45 pts increase. See Table 6 for Contaminant risks inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination Contaminant risks* *Truncate risk at 50 pts 45 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts very high or monitoring to 30 to < 40 pts high warrant downgrading risk? 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 pts YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls 45 pts

Page 20 of 25








Chart 13. Contaminant risks for Tundra Womens Coalition (PWS No. 271114.001) - Other Organic Chemicals Existing Are there conditions NO 0 pts Risk unchanged that warrant upgrading risk? Risk due to existing Potential contamination 55 pts The number and magnitude of Risk posed by potential sources contaminant sources in of contamination with controls Contaminant Risk Zone D determines a risk YES 55 pts increase. See Table 7 for Contaminant risks inventory. 5 pts Increase risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination Contaminant risks* *Truncate risk at 50 pts 50 Contaminant Risk Ratings Are there sufficient Very High controls, conditions, NO. Risk unchanged 40 to 50 pts very high or monitoring to 30 to < 40 pts high warrant downgrading risk? 20 to < 30 pts medium < 20 pts YES 0 pts Decrease risk 1 - 10 pts Risk posed by potential sources of contamination with controls

Page 24 of 25

