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Introduction

Data presented in this report pertain to the igneous intrusions of north-central and northeast Nevada and were compiled as part of the Metallogeny of the Great Basin project conducted by the U.S. Geological Survey between 2001 and 2007. The geographic area addressed in this compilation is approximately bounded by lats 38.5° and 42°N., long 118.5° W., and the Nevada-Utah border (fig. 1). The area contains numerous large plutons and smaller stocks but also contains many small, shallowly emplaced intrusive bodies, including dikes, sills, and intrusive lava dome complexes. The age, composition, and geographic distribution of intrusions in north-central and northeast Nevada (hereafter, the study area) are summarized by du Bray and Crafford (2007). Intrusive igneous rocks, of multiple ages, are known to be major constituents of the geologic framework in the study area (Stewart and Carlson, 1978). Abundant middle to late Mesozoic and early to middle Cenozoic intrusions in the study area are probably byproducts of subduction-related processes, including back-arc magmatism, that prevailed along the west edge of the North American plate during this interval.

Ressel and others (2000) and Ressel and Henry (2006), for example, have highlighted the association between magmatism and ore deposits along the Carlin trend. Similarly, Theodore (2000) has demonstrated the association between igneous intrusions and ore deposits in the Battle Mountain area. Decades of geologic investigations in the study area demonstrate that many ore deposits, representing diverse ore deposit types, are spatially, and probably temporally and genetically, associated with igneous intrusions. Because of these associations, studies of many individual igneous intrusions have been completed, including those by a large number of Master’s and Doctoral thesis students (particularly University of Nevada at Reno students and associated faculty), economic geologists working on behalf of exploration and mining companies, and U.S. Geological Survey earth scientists. However, despite the number and importance of igneous intrusions in the study area, no synthesis of geochemical data available for these rocks has been completed.

Data compilations that are available for igneous intrusions in Nevada pertain to relatively restricted geographic areas and (or), in most cases, do not include the broad array of data that would aid interpretation of these rocks. Smith and others (1971) presented potassium-argon geochronologic and basic petrographic data for a few intrusions in north-central Nevada. Similarly, Silberman and McKee (1971) presented potassium-argon geochronologic data for a significant number of central Nevada intrusions. More recently, Mortenson and others (2000) presented uranium-lead geochronology for a small number of intrusions in the study area. Sloan and others (2003) released a national geochronologic database that contains age determinations made prior to 1991 for rocks of Nevada. Finally, Henry and Sloan (2003) compiled geochronologic data for igneous rocks of Nevada produced subsequent to completion of the Sloan and others (2003) compilation. Consequently, although age data for igneous rocks of Nevada have been compiled, data pertaining to compositional features of these rocks have not been systematically synthesized. MalDONADO and others (1988) compiled the distribution and some basic characteristics of intrusive rocks throughout Nevada. Lee (1984), John (1983, 1987, and 1992), John and others (1994), and Ressel (2005) have compiled data that partially characterize igneous intrusions in various parts of Nevada. Contained in the text and data that follow is a more complete synthesis of composition and age data for igneous intrusions of the study area. The ultimate goal of this effort is an evaluation of the time-space-compositional evolution of Mesozoic and Cenozoic magmatism in the study area and identification of genetic associations between magmatism and mineralizing processes in this region.
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**Intrusions of North-Central and Northeast Nevada—Constituents of the Database**

The study area contains numerous large plutons and smaller stocks but also contains many smaller, shallowly emplaced intrusive bodies, including dikes, sills, and intrusive lava dome complexes. Many geologic investigations have demonstrated that intrusions in the study area are principally of three ages, Jurassic, Cretaceous, and Eocene. However, in the western part of the study area, a number of intrusions are thought to be of Triassic age (Johnson, 1977). Several small, shallowly emplaced Miocene-age intrusions have been delineated in various parts of the study area. This report pertains to intrusions of these ages but does not contain data for Paleozoic intrusions, principally very mafic, that are likely parts of detached, allochthonous thrust sheets.

Crafford (in press) recently completed compilation of a digital geologic map of Nevada using the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology county reports and accompanying geologic maps as primary sources. The new compilation is based mostly on the existing county maps and generally depicts geologic relations shown on those maps. As part of the digital recompilation, discontinuities across county boundaries were reconciled. The resulting compilation identifies many of the intrusions for which geochemical data were compiled. However, the database also includes data for intrusions, in many cases small intrusions, that were not identified on the county geologic maps. Background documentation for some analytical data presented in this report is incomplete and (or) may be misleading or incorrect, any of which could cause inclusion of inappropriate information in the database. Every effort has been made to preclude inclusion of misleading data; the amount of this type of data inadvertently included in the database is probably small and should not significantly affect data interpretation.

**Data Compilation Methods**

Several significant efforts to obtain new compositional data for intrusions in the study area preceded and served as a starting point for the effort documented here. S.B. Keith (MagmaChem Exploration, Inc.) obtained data for samples from several hundred intrusions in the Great Basin. Geologists employed by AngloGold Ashanti collected and analyzed an additional several hundred samples of intrusions in the study area as part of an exploration program. Most recently, as part of Ph.D. thesis research and subsequent investigations, M.W. Ressel collected and analyzed several hundred more samples of intrusions in the study area in an attempt to establish links between various intrusions and Carlin gold deposits.

Copies of original data source materials (subsequently referred to as sources), including published reports and Master’s and Doctoral theses, were used to add data to the database. Reference lists contained in sources of data were examined and used to identify additional data sources. In this way, data for about 2,800 samples from 93 sources were identified and incorporated in the database. We believe that this process has probably resulted in identification and incorporation of most of the compositional data that have been produced for samples of intrusions in the study area. In order for a sample to be included in the database, at least a sample number and major oxide analysis were required. Samples for which only trace element data were available were not included in the database. Additional trace element (for instance, the rare earths) and (or) isotopic data are available for some samples, but because the number of these samples is very small, these data were not included in the database. Small amounts of additional data can be gleaned by consulting the appropriate data sources. No effort was made to exclude hydrothermally altered samples from the compilation. Rather, all intrusive rock compositional data were compiled and samples known to be altered were coded accordingly. In a subsequent interpretive phase of this work, additional altered samples will be identified using standard geochemical criteria. The winnowing process will result in two derivative databases. The derivative database for essentially unaltered intrusive rocks will allow evaluation of time-space-composition relations between magmatism and ore genesis. The derivative database containing data for altered rocks will allow evaluation of hydrothermal alteration effects on primary rock compositions. Database users should be wary of samples with SiO$_2$ abundances greater than 77 percent, initial analytic totals less than 95 percent or greater than 103 percent, Al$_2$O$_3$ abundances less than 10 percent or greater than 20 percent, total volatile contents greater than 5 percent, or Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratios less than 1 or greater than about 12; samples with any of these characteristics are likely altered and probably do not preserve primary
igneous rock compositions. Data presented in source materials were included in the database, without modification (with the exception of normalization of major oxide, as described below), and all input subsequently verified.

Data were compiled using Microsoft Excel and can be accessed using software compatible with .xls files. The database release (file, NoNVintrusionGX.xls) includes several worksheets that are accessed using tabs arrayed along the base of the spreadsheet screen display. The tab labeled “Main NV db” accesses the primary data compilation. The tab labeled “db w censored data deleted” accesses a copy of the primary data compilation in which censored data (data coded as less than some specified value) were deleted prior to calculation of summary statistics and creation of histograms. The tab labeled “no loc” accesses data for samples contained in the U.S. Geological Survey National Geochemical Database for which accurate location data are unavailable. The database release also includes a tab-delimited, text file version of the database (file, NoNVintrusionGX.txt).

Data Fields

Data fields presented and described below represent those considered most critical to addressing questions concerning the tectonic, petrologic, and metallogenic evolution of magmatism in the study area. Data for each of these fields constitute a column, or set of related columns, in the database. Data in these columns can be sorted, queried, and interpreted to address questions concerning the history, development, and implications of magmatic activity in the study area. Sample number records are aggregated in blocks of data that share a primary geochemical data source.

Blank cells in the database indicate that no data are available for the corresponding column. Some sources report values of zero for some database fields. These values indicate that an abundance determination was attempted but that the constituent was not detected in the sample. Similarly, some sources present qualified data. In particular, records for some samples include less than (<) symbols. These data indicate that the constituent was detected but that its concentration was unquantifiable beyond the fact that its concentration is less than the indicated value. Actual analytical precision (number of significant figures) associated with each database entry is portrayed by each displayed onscreen value. Data in some cells appear to be more precise than displayed values, but this is a misleading artifact of computational processes (for instance, normalization to 100 percent volatile free), which may have been used to create data cell contents. Precision varies within individual columns in accordance with specific analytical protocols and the way data are reported in individual sources. In most cases, the number of significant figures defined in data sources was retained. However, in some cases, the level of precision implied is implausible given either the analytical protocol or the corresponding analytical state of the art; accordingly, some numeric data contained in the database have been rounded to indicate a plausible level of analytical precision.

field_no.

Identifiers for analyzed samples materials were compiled from sources and presented, without modification.

lithology

In most cases, a lithologic description of analyzed samples was compiled from information contained in sources. In accordance with procedures defined by the International Union of Geological Sciences, composition names for intrusive rocks are best defined using the relative modal proportions of quartz, alkali feldspar, and plagioclase relative to the classification scheme of Streckeisen (1973). The most informative sources present relative proportions of the feldspars and quartz in text accompanying geologic reports; this information was used to establish composition (or composition range) names for intrusions in the study area. Many publications that serve as sources for our compilation predate the classification recommendations of Streckeisen (1973); most of these used the classification of Johannsen (1931) to define compositions of intrusions in the study area. To the extent possible, and using whatever ancillary data were available, intrusion compositions were converted from the nomenclature of Johannsen (1931) to that of Streckeisen (1973). Most of these transformations were simple and obvious. However, the two nomenclature systems use the term quartz monzonite to define significantly different rocks. Most of the composition field called quartz monzonite by Johannsen (1931) is now referred to as monzogranite in the Streckeisen (1973) system. Not all source authors define which of the two classification schemes was used to categorize intrusion compositions, so some ambiguity persists. An effort was made to recast compositions to the Streckeisen (1973) system in cases for which sufficient data were available to achieve this with confidence that the integrity of primary source data was not compromised.

Many intrusions of the study area are shallowly emplaced and (or) subvolcanic bodies. As such, their grain size precludes petrographic modal analysis and classification using the Streckeisen (1973) system. These rocks are instead treated as volcanic rocks and their composition names were established based on their chemistry and the nomenclature grid described by Le Bas and others (1986).

ign_form

The form of the igneous intrusion represented by each sample is given where known. Samples coded as representing dikes or sills represent thin tabular bodies that are discordant and concordant with enclosing rocks, respectively. Larger
intrusive bodies, generally discordant to enclosing rocks, are coded as plutons, stocks, and plugs depending on their size; plutons are the largest of these bodies, whereas plugs are the smallest. In most cases, samples from intrusions coded as plutons represent bodies that cooled slowly, at the greatest depths, and are phaneritic, whereas samples from intrusions coded as plugs represent the subvolcanic environment, many have a quenched groundmass, and some may represent parts of endogenous to exogenous volcanic flow domes.

alteration

Many sources explicitly indicate that some analyzed samples are altered. Other sources provide sufficient descriptive information about samples that alteration can be inferred. Some sources simply indicate that samples are altered; these samples are simply coded as “Yes” in the “alteration” column. Other alteration terms used to code altered samples include advanced argillic (abbreviated “adv argillic”), phyllic, argillic, propylitic, potassic, skarn, greisen, silicification, oxidation, tourmaline, metamorphic, and deuteric. Each of these terms is applied in accordance with their standard usage, defined for instance by Guilbert and Park (1986). These terms are appended with a “?” when the proper alteration nomenclature is ambiguous due to the nature of available descriptive information.

long and lat

An effort was made to obtain location data for all samples with composition data. Most sources contain some form of location information. Missing sample location data were requested from authors, most of whom were able to provide missing information. Accordingly, location data are available for all but two samples. Latitude and longitude data are reported as decimal degrees (relative to the 1927 North American Datum). In the study area, longitude is reported as a negative value (western hemisphere) and latitude as a positive value (northern hemisphere).

Location data are of variable quality as a consequence of the manner in which they were initially acquired and subsequently reported. The number of significant figures presented as part of location data in the “long” and “lat” columns defines relative levels of sample location precision, as follows:

- four significant figures indicates that the given location is accurate within 10’s of meters,
- three significant figures indicates that the given location is accurate within 100’s of meters, and
- two significant figures indicates that the given location is accurate within 1,000’s of meters.

Some sources report sample location in terms of Township, Range, and section values, usually to the closest 1/4 of a section. Township-Range-section data were digitized to obtain decimal degree location; within the appropriate 1/4 section quadrilaterals, digitized points were usually selected to coincide with a road, trail, stream bottom, quarry, or natural cliff, any of which might represent a likely sampling location. Some sources do not include numerical sample location data but do contain sample maps. Location data for these samples were obtained by digitizing sample sites. A very few sources merely describe sample locations; these were used to estimate a sample location, which was then digitized.

Some early records contained in the U.S. Geological Survey National Geochemical Database contain location data keyed to quadrangle corners. These location data, and therefore the associated composition data, are of uncertain utility. For the sake of completeness, and in the hope that location data can ultimately be recovered for these samples, composition data for these samples are compiled in a separate worksheet (tab = no loc) in the database. Data for these samples are not included in the histograms or the statistical measures.

\[
\text{SiO}_2, \text{TiO}_2, \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{FeO}^*, \text{MnO}, \text{MgO}, \text{CaO}, \text{Na}_2\text{O}, \text{K}_2\text{O}, \text{and } \text{P}_2\text{O}_5
\]

Sources report whole rock, major oxide data in a variety of formats. In addition, these data were produced by a wide array of analytical procedures, each with its own associated analytical precision and accuracy. Compositions for many of the samples included in the database are presented in their sources already normalized to 100 percent volatile free. Some information loss occurs when data are reported solely in this fashion. Compiling analytical methods and associated estimates of precision and accuracy associated with the reported data was beyond the scope of this effort. Analytical protocols, precision, and accuracy were highly variable among sources. Fortunately, most sources document these parameters so that associated questions can be resolved by referring to the appropriate data source. The database includes columns for the abundances of \(\text{SiO}_2, \text{TiO}_2, \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{FeO}, \text{MnO}, \text{MgO}, \text{CaO}, \text{Na}_2\text{O}, \text{K}_2\text{O}, \text{and } \text{P}_2\text{O}_5\). However, because diverse analytical protocols were used to analyze samples, not all sources contain data for each of these constituents.

Several different schemes are possible for reporting iron contents. In addition, reported abundances of ferrous versus ferric iron in many of these rocks are unlikely to represent magmatic values, because of oxidation during late- to post-magmatic hydrothermal alteration. Consequently, total iron abundances were recalculated as ferrous iron oxide and denoted as \(\text{FeO}^*\). Interaction with postmagmatic fluids caused compositions of many intrusive rocks of the study area to change in other ways as well. In particular, many of these rocks were hydrothermally altered (as indicated by secondary clay minerals, sericite, and (or) chlorite). Both processes caused volatile contents of the affected samples to increase, and correspondingly caused relative abundances of all other constituents to decrease. Therefore, to facilitate meaningful oxide abundance comparisons among samples, all analyses
were normalized to 100 percent on a volatile-free basis. The resulting data are reported in columns identified by SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, FeO*, MnO, MgO, CaO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, and P$_2$O$_5$. All data are reported as weight percent.

**LOI, H$_2$O+, H$_2$O-, CO$_2$, Cl, F, and S**

Data sources report volatile constituent contents of samples from intrusions in the study area in widely disparate ways. In order to capture important information concerning the volatile contents of these rocks, an array of data columns was designated to account for various analytical protocols and data reporting formats. Volatile constituents whose abundances are commonly determined include LOI (loss on ignition), H$_2$O+ (bound), H$_2$O- (nonessential, moisture), CO$_2$, Cl, F, and S. Of these, few sources contain halogen and S abundance data. Similarly, data for H$_2$O+, H$_2$O-, and CO$_2$ are rarely and nonsystematically reported. However, given the potential importance of these constituents in hydrothermal processes, compiling all available data for these components seems warranted. Several sources present data for H$_2$O and do not specify whether this species is bound water (+) or nonessential moisture (-). These data have been included in the H$_2$O+ column of the database.

**total_I**

One measure of major oxide analytical accuracy is how nearly the sum of the determined constituents approaches 100 percent. Consequently, the database includes a column that reports initial analytical totals as reported by the source. Some sources do not include totals; totals for these samples were computed and added to the database. Initial analytical totals reported in the sources were spot checked for accuracy; discrepancies were noted and corrected in a number of cases. Many sources present abundances for the oxides listed above but include no abundance data for volatile constituents. Initial analytical totals for these samples tend to be several to 5 or 6 percent less than 100 percent. Unfortunately, it is impossible to determine whether these low initial totals result from inaccurate analyses and (or) unreported volatile constituent abundances.

**vol_sum**

The total volatile content of intrusions in the study area can provide some insight concerning whether abundances of other constituents accurately represent primary magmatic values. Samples with elevated volatile contents, for example greater than 3 weight percent, are likely to have experienced some fluid-mediated, postmagmatic chemical modification. Given the wide range of analytical protocols used in analysis of these samples, the best possible measure of sample volatile content is total volatile content. For the purposes of the compilation, if LOI data are the only information contained in source data compilations concerning volatile content, LOI values were designated as total volatile content. Alternatively, if the source includes data for H$_2$O+, H$_2$O-, CO$_2$, Cl, F, or S, these data were summed to yield total volatile content. All data are presented as weight percent.

**Ba, La, Ce, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Th, Ga, Co, Cr, Ni, Sc, V, Ag, Cu, Mo, Pb, Zn, and Au**

The sources present data for inconsistent sets of trace elements. Of these, data for Ba, La, Ce, Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Th, Ga, Co, Cr, Ni, Sc, V, Ag, Cu, Mo, Pb, Zn, and Au were compiled; all data are in parts per million. These constituents are among those for which sources most often contain data and also are considered sufficient to address many petrologic, tectonic, and metallogenic questions.

**chem_src**

Chemical, petrographic, and location data for each sample included in the database were compiled from primary data sources, in most cases a single source. For a few samples, data were culled from two or more sources; for example, major oxide data may have been compiled from one source and trace element data from another. Most entries in the “chem_src” column of the database are keyed numerically to sources identified in the following list. However, some of these data were compiled from sources principally dedicated to presenting geochronologic data. Alpha-coded entries in the “chem_src” column correspond to sources identified in the “age_src” section of this report. Sources of geochemical information include publications of the U.S. Geological Survey, unpublished data contained in the U.S. Geological Survey National Geochemical Database, Master’s theses, Doctoral dissertations, articles published in journals, and publications of the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology.

2. Gilluly and Masursky (1965)
3. Erickson and others (1978)
6. Henry and others (1999)
7. Willden (1964)
8. Shaver and Jeanne (1996)
9. Muffler (1964)
10. Gilluly and Gates (1965)
11. Shawe and others (1962)
12. Hotz and Willden (1964)
13. Johnson (1977)
16. Roberts (1964)
The ages of the intrusions in the study area have been of keen interest and a large number of age determinations have been made. The database column titled “rad_age” contains geochronologically determined ages, in millions of years, for samples of intrusions in the study area. Multiple geochronologic age determinations (including U-Pb zircon, Ar-Ar, Rb-Sr, and K-Ar ages) have been obtained for some intrusions. A listing of the various geochronologic techniques, arranged in order of decreasing accuracy, is as follows: U-Pb zircon, Ar-Ar, Rb-Sr, and K-Ar. Preferred age estimates recorded in the “rad_age” column reflect this reliability ranking. K-Ar age determinations have been made for both biotite and hornblende mineral separates of some samples. In these cases, age determinations derived from hornblende are included in the database in preference to those for biotite. Fission-track age determinations were not included in the compilation.

The database column titled “uncert” contains data, in millions of years, for the analytical uncertainties (as presented in the source) associated with each of the age determinations reported in the “rad_age” column.
Sloan and others (2003) and C.D. Henry (Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, unpublished data, 2006) have compiled (and recalculated ages using currently accepted decay constants, as appropriate) most of the isotopic age data available for intrusions in the study area. Their compilations were used to identify the primary data sources (identified in the database column titled “age_src”) from which moderately abundant geochronologic data for the intrusions in the study area were extracted to compile ages of samples included in the database. In most cases, geochemical and geochronologic data are contained in the same source; the age source for each of these samples is numerically keyed to a previously identified source of geochemical data (database column “chem_src”). For the relatively small number of samples for which geochemical and geochronologic data have different sources, age source(s) data are keyed to alpha-coded citations listed below:

A. Silberman and McKee (1971)
B. Lee and others (1980)
C. Lee and others (1970)
D. Lee and others (1986)
E. Mortensen and others (2000)
F. Kistler and Lee (1989)
G. Coats and others (1965)
H. McDowell and Kulp (1967)
I. Miller and others (1988)
J. Kelson and others (2000)
K. Farmer (1996)
L. Armstrong (1970a)
M. Ketner (1998)
N. Evans and Ketner (1971)
O. McKee (1992)
P. Doebrich (1994)
Q. Wells and others (1971)
R. Shawe (1999)
S. Mueller (1992)
T. James (1972)
U. Gans and others (1989)
V. Hofstra and others (1999)
W. Ketner (1990)
X. McKee and others (1971)
Y. McKee and Silberman (1970)
Z. Marvin and Cole (1978)
AA. Shawe (1995)
AB. Maher and others (1990)
AC. Tingley (1975)
AD. Carlson and others (1975)
AE. Morabbi (1980)
AF. Armstrong (1966)
AG. Rahl and others (2002)
AH. Morton and others (1977)
AI. Armstrong (1970b)
AJ. Marvin and Dobson (1979)
AK. Maher (1989)
AL. Hudson and others (2000)
AM. Elison and others (1990)
AN. John and Robinson (1989)
AO. Stablein (1969)
AP. Krueger and Schilling (1971)
AQ. Miller and others (1990)
AR. Marvin and others (1989)
AS. Schilling (1965)
AT. Pullman (1984)
AU. John (1983)
AV. McGrew and others (2000)
AW. Ross (1961)
AX. Garside and others (1981)
AY. Bryan (1972)
AZ. Shawe and others (1986)
BA. John (1993)
BB. Coats (1987)
BC. Whitebread (1994)
BD. Gilluly (1967)
BE. Decker (1962)
BF. Coats (1971)
BG. Wilden and Speed (1974)
BH. Armstrong and Suppe (1973)
BI. Hose and others (1976)
BJ. Lee and others (1999)
BK. McKee and others (1976)
BL. Nolan and others (1974)
BM. Kleinhamp and Ziony (1984)
BN. Speed and McKee (1976)
BO. Slack (1974)
BP. Coats and McKee (1972)
BQ. Coats and Greene (1984)
BR. Coash (1967)
BS. McKee (1976b)
BT. Ekren and Byers (1985)
BU. Evernden and Kistler (1970)
BV. Miller and others (1987)
BW. Edwards and McLaughlin (1972)
BX. Westra and Riedell (1996)
BY. Moores and others (1968)
BZ. Hart and Carlson (1985)
CA. Speed and Armstrong (1971)
CB. Hardyman and others (1988)
CC. McKee (1968)
CD. Stewart and McKee (1977)
CF. Silberling and John (1989)
CG. Ekren and Byers (1986)
CH. Hope (1972)
CI. O’Neill (1968)
CJ. Wooden and others (1999)
Geologic ages have not been determined for most samples included in the database. However, all identified age determinations and geologic and geochronologic reasoning have been used to develop preferred geologic age estimates for most volumetrically significant intrusions in the study area. Geologic ages where given in years are rounded to the nearest million, which seems appropriate given the nature of this compilation. No effort was made to establish geologic ages for samples of dikes, sills, and other volumetrically insignificant intrusions. No entry is recorded in the “geol_age” column when the associated sample has been radiometrically dated.

Estimates of geologic age for many of the intrusions in the study area rely upon geologic inference, correlations, and other diverse data sources; these sources are identified in the “geol_age_src” column of the database. Digits left of the “\" symbol identify the principal source used to establish geologic age. These digits are keyed to entries previously identified in either the “chem_src” or “age_src” discussions above. Digits to the right of the “\" symbol identify the rationale used to establish geologic age. Entries coded as “\1” indicate that a correlation of map units figure or some discussion of intrusion age in the source provides the basis for the geologic age assignment. In contrast, entries coded as “\2” indicate that the radiometric age of sample(s) that are not part of the database, but representative of the same intrusion as sample(s) that are included in the database, was used to establish geologic age.

We established a unique geographic name for each phaneritic intrusion delineated on the digital geologic map of Nevada (Crafford, in press); these designations are compiled in the “intrusion_name” column of the database. The sources used to establish intrusion ages and compositions were also consulted for geographic name designation. Intrusion names identified in the sources were adopted in our compilation. The sources did not identify geographic names for all intrusions in the study area. In these cases, a nearby named geographic feature was adopted and assigned as the intrusion name. Assigned geographic intrusion names are not designations in the sense of stratigraphic nomenclature. However, geographic names presented in this compilation are in accord with established stratigraphic nomenclature for intrusions for which either formal or informal stratigraphic nomenclature exists, and to the extent that the sources identified these names.

In addition to their geochemical characteristics, the composition of intrusive rocks can be quantified in terms of the relative abundances of the minerals they contain. This type of characterization, modal analysis, is accomplished by point counting either thin sections using a petrographic microscope or stained slabs using a low magnification binocular microscope. The effort involved in conducting these types of modal analyses is time consuming and difficult, with the consequence that this type of data is rarely collected. However, since modal data are precisely the type of information required to classify the composition of phaneritic intrusive rocks (Streckeisen, 1973), compilation of this type of data, as it was encountered in the literature, seemed warranted. This section of the database contains columns for the relative abundances (summing to about 100 percent) in volume percent of quartz (Qtz), alkali-feldspar (Kfs), plagioclase (Pl), the sum of all mafic minerals, including micas, hornblende, and iron-titanium oxides (Maf), opaque iron-titanium oxide minerals (Opq), olivine (Ol), pyroxene (Pyx), hornblende (Hbl), biotite (Bt), muscovite (Ms), accessory minerals (Acc), groundmass minerals (Gnm), and alteration minerals (Alt). Most of these species are self evident. The common accessory minerals include zircon, titanite, apatite, allanite, and fluorite. Groundmass pertains to typically very finely crystalline, aphanitic, or glassy rock whose mineral identity is not easily decipherable using a microscope. Alteration minerals include epidote, sericite, carbonate minerals, chlorite, clay minerals, zeolite, and anhydrite. All modal data were extracted from the same sources as those containing compiled geochemical data.

A series of histograms (fig. 2) is included in order to provide a basic graphical depiction of the compiled data. These histograms portray frequency distributions for the abundances of each geochemical constituent for which data were compiled. In order to prepare each histogram, a table of data abundance classes (bins) versus frequency within each class was computed (table 1). A set of descriptive statistical abundance parameters, including mean and standard deviation, median, minimum, maximum, and count (number of samples for which abundance data for the particular constituent are available), were computed for each database geochemical constituent and are included on the histograms. For the purpose of constructing the histograms and calculating statistics, all censored (less than) values were deleted. The worksheet tab labeled “db w censored data deleted” is a copy of the primary database with all censored data deleted.
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Figure 2 (above and following pages). Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples. Height of each histogram bar indicates number of samples whose abundances of indicated component are as much as numeric label beneath bar but greater than value associated with next lower abundance bar (for instance, if two adjacent bars are labeled 90 and 100 and if associated data are reported as whole numbers, the height bar labeled 100 depicts the number of samples with abundances of 91 to 100). Also presented are basic descriptive statistics, including mean and standard deviation, median, minimum, maximum, and count, for each distribution. A, SiO$_2$; B, TiO$_2$; C, Al$_2$O$_3$; D, FeO$^+$; E, MnO; F, MgO; G, CaO; H, Na$_2$O; I, K$_2$O; J, P$_2$O$_5$; K, H$_2$O$^+$; L, H$_2$O$^-$; M, CO$_2$; N, Cl; O, F; P, S; Q, initial analytical total; R, total volatile content; S, Ba; T, La; U, Ce; V, Rb; W, Sr; X, Y, Zr, Z, Nb; AA, Th; BB, Ga; CC, Co; DD, Cr; EE, Ni; FF, Sc; GG, V; HH, Ag; II, Cu; JJ, Mo; KK, Pb; LL, Zn; MM, Au.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

**Figure B**

- **Mean**: 0.49 ± 0.46
- **Median**: 0.42
- **Minimum**: 0.0
- **Maximum**: 6.34
- **Count**: 2800
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 3.18±2.73
Median 2.56
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 40.58
Count 2838
**Figure 2.** Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 3.20±3.08
Median 2.60
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 35.52
Count 2803
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 3.13±1.33
Median 3.36
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 12.00
Count 2830
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 0.47±1.22
Median 0.08
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 11.00
Count 697
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

- Mean: 0.41 ± 1.44
- Median: 0.01
- Minimum: 0.00
- Maximum: 13.31
- Count: 488
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>1046±1365</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>48903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>1885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

- Mean: 40±27
- Median: 35.5
- Minimum: 1.4
- Maximum: 323
- Count: 1121
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

- Mean: 74±52
- Median: 65.0
- Minimum: 0.0
- Maximum: 606
- Count: 1144
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 401±302
Median 387
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 2980
Count 1855
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 20±17
Median 17.4
Minimum 0.4
Maximum 280
Count 1594
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

Mean 136±99
Median 130
Minimum 2.0
Maximum 1600
Count 1654
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ni, IN PARTS PER MILLION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FREQUENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean 28±69
Median 11
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 1480
Count 875
**Figure 2.** Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.

II

Mean 118±892
Median 10
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 19600
Count 1240
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Mean 73±595
Median 0.4
Minimum 0.00
Maximum 5900
Count 170

Figure 2. Frequency distribution histograms showing compositions of north-central and northeast Nevada intrusive rock samples—Continued.
Table 1. Number of observations (Freq) within each composition range (Bin) for north-central and northeast Nevada intrusion database.

[Each bin denotes an abundance less than or equal to the indicated value but greater than that specified by the bin with the next lowest abundance. Bins for SiO₂, TiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO*, MnO, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O, P₂O₅, H₂O+, H₂O-, CO₂, Cl, F, S, initial analytical total (total_I), and total volatile content (vol_sum) are in weight percent; all others in parts per million]
Table 1. Number of observations (Freq) within each composition range (Bin) for north-central and northeast Nevada intrusion database—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_2O_5</td>
<td>H_2O^-</td>
<td>H_2O^-</td>
<td>CO_2</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>total_I</td>
<td>vol_sum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>691</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>811</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>312</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;0.100</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.95</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;2.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&gt;2.00</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;10.0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;6.00</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Number of observations (Freq) within each composition range (Bin) for north-central and northeast Nevada intrusion database—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ba Bin Freq</th>
<th>La Bin Freq</th>
<th>Ce Bin Freq</th>
<th>Rb Bin Freq</th>
<th>Sr Bin Freq</th>
<th>Y Bin Freq</th>
<th>Zr Bin Freq</th>
<th>Nb Bin Freq</th>
<th>Th Bin Freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 5</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 16</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>6 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 146</td>
<td>10 85</td>
<td>10 33</td>
<td>20 68</td>
<td>50 108</td>
<td>5 132</td>
<td>20 87</td>
<td>5 56</td>
<td>5 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 98</td>
<td>20 153</td>
<td>20 49</td>
<td>40 73</td>
<td>100 138</td>
<td>10 179</td>
<td>40 166</td>
<td>10 309</td>
<td>10 304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 90</td>
<td>30 215</td>
<td>30 69</td>
<td>60 116</td>
<td>150 118</td>
<td>15 333</td>
<td>60 130</td>
<td>15 364</td>
<td>15 311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 65</td>
<td>40 197</td>
<td>40 98</td>
<td>80 181</td>
<td>200 77</td>
<td>20 366</td>
<td>80 110</td>
<td>20 287</td>
<td>20 174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 55</td>
<td>50 185</td>
<td>50 121</td>
<td>100 252</td>
<td>250 93</td>
<td>25 229</td>
<td>100 116</td>
<td>25 177</td>
<td>25 117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600 66</td>
<td>60 114</td>
<td>60 131</td>
<td>120 211</td>
<td>300 101</td>
<td>30 131</td>
<td>120 164</td>
<td>30 86</td>
<td>30 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 66</td>
<td>70 59</td>
<td>70 137</td>
<td>140 209</td>
<td>350 104</td>
<td>35 80</td>
<td>140 163</td>
<td>35 63</td>
<td>35 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 105</td>
<td>80 49</td>
<td>80 115</td>
<td>160 162</td>
<td>400 108</td>
<td>40 41</td>
<td>160 174</td>
<td>40 44</td>
<td>40 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 108</td>
<td>90 24</td>
<td>90 96</td>
<td>180 125</td>
<td>450 115</td>
<td>45 22</td>
<td>180 118</td>
<td>45 31</td>
<td>45 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 129</td>
<td>100 17</td>
<td>100 60</td>
<td>200 93</td>
<td>500 111</td>
<td>50 20</td>
<td>200 118</td>
<td>50 20</td>
<td>50 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100 190</td>
<td>110 6</td>
<td>110 63</td>
<td>220 55</td>
<td>550 124</td>
<td>55 16</td>
<td>220 85</td>
<td>55 13</td>
<td>55 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200 175</td>
<td>120 3</td>
<td>120 42</td>
<td>240 58</td>
<td>600 108</td>
<td>60 13</td>
<td>240 68</td>
<td>60 5</td>
<td>60 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300 120</td>
<td>130 2</td>
<td>130 20</td>
<td>260 45</td>
<td>650 108</td>
<td>65 3</td>
<td>260 39</td>
<td>65 3</td>
<td>65 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 77</td>
<td>140 3</td>
<td>140 25</td>
<td>280 31</td>
<td>700 86</td>
<td>70 3</td>
<td>280 31</td>
<td>70 2</td>
<td>70 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500 98</td>
<td>150 1</td>
<td>150 25</td>
<td>300 29</td>
<td>750 52</td>
<td>75 4</td>
<td>300 12</td>
<td>75 1</td>
<td>75 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1600 55</td>
<td>160 1</td>
<td>160 11</td>
<td>320 15</td>
<td>800 51</td>
<td>80 4</td>
<td>320 16</td>
<td>80 4</td>
<td>80 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1700 46</td>
<td>170 1</td>
<td>170 14</td>
<td>340 11</td>
<td>850 38</td>
<td>85 2</td>
<td>340 11</td>
<td>85 3</td>
<td>85 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800 30</td>
<td>180 2</td>
<td>180 2</td>
<td>360 13</td>
<td>900 18</td>
<td>90 9</td>
<td>360 8</td>
<td>90 2</td>
<td>90 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900 23</td>
<td>190 2</td>
<td>190 2</td>
<td>380 8</td>
<td>950 18</td>
<td>95 1</td>
<td>380 4</td>
<td>95 4</td>
<td>95 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 24</td>
<td>200 0</td>
<td>200 7</td>
<td>400 7</td>
<td>1000 8</td>
<td>100 2</td>
<td>400 12</td>
<td>100 0</td>
<td>100 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2100 16</td>
<td>&gt;200 2</td>
<td>210 4</td>
<td>420 7</td>
<td>1050 9</td>
<td>&gt;100 13</td>
<td>420 3</td>
<td>&gt;100 3</td>
<td>&gt;100 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200 15</td>
<td>220 2</td>
<td>440 2</td>
<td>1100 3</td>
<td>440 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2300 10</td>
<td>230 0</td>
<td>460 4</td>
<td>1150 5</td>
<td>460 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400 7</td>
<td>240 1</td>
<td>480 3</td>
<td>1200 10</td>
<td>480 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 5</td>
<td>250 0</td>
<td>500 0</td>
<td>1250 5</td>
<td>500 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2600 5</td>
<td>&gt;250 14</td>
<td>&gt;500 9</td>
<td>1300 8</td>
<td>&gt;500 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2700 5</td>
<td>1350 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800 5</td>
<td>1400 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2900 5</td>
<td>1450 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 2</td>
<td>1500 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3000 39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Number of observations (Freq) within each composition range (Bin) for north-central and northeast Nevada intrusion database—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ga Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Co Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Cr Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Ni Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Sc Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>V Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Ag Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Cu Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
<th>Mo Bin</th>
<th>Freq</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 1. Number of observations (Freq) within each composition range (Bin) for north-central and northeast Nevada intrusion database—Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pb Bin</th>
<th>Zn Bin</th>
<th>Au Bin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;150</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>