# APPENDIX A

# SUSITNA AREA PLAN HUMAN USE AND ECONOMIC EFFECTS SPORT FISHING

.

Prepared by Stephen M. Burgess, Ph.D. Habitat Biologist

Alaska Department of Fish and Game Habitat Division 333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, Alaska 99502

June 1983

•

TABLE OF CO	NT	ENTS	
-------------	----	------	--

Planter and a second

And the second s

.

 $\int$ 

Contraction of the second seco

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	. ii
INTRODUCTION	. 1
PRESENT USE PROFILE Use Patterns	. 2 . 6
ECONOMIC VALUES. Fisheries Accessible by Family Car. Fisheries Accessible by Air or Multiple Modes of Transportation Total Willingness to Pay. Willingness to Sell.	. 11 . 11 . 12 . 14 . 14
ENHANCEMENT POTENTIAL AND PROJECTED USE	. 16
<pre>TABLES Table 1 - Sport Fishing Days and Total Harvests,     Susitna Basin 1977-1981 Table 2 - 1980 Susitna Basin Sport Fishing Days     and Harvests by Fisheries and Species Table 3 - 1980 Fishing Days by Residency of Users Table 4 - 1980 Use of Susitna Area Sport Fisheries     Accessible by Family Car Table 5 - 1980 Use of Susitna Area Sport Fisheries Accessible     by Multiple Modes of Transportation Table 6 - Willow Sub-Basin Sport Fishing Effort and     Harvest by Fisheries and Species, 1980 FIGURES Figure 1 - Sport Fishing Days and Total Harvests,</pre>	. 3 . 4 . 5 . 8 . 9 . 10
Susitna Basin 1977-1981	. 7
NOTES	. 17

-i-

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Assistance has been generously extended to Habitat Division staff (Stephen M. Burgess) by economists John O'Neill and Paul Fugelstad (particularly O'Neill in this instance) of the United States Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service during the preparation of this report. The entire analytical framework for this report and all of the cost factors used were developed by O'Neill while the narrative and computations were prepared by Burgess. The staff of the Sport Fish Division (especially D. Watsjold, M. Mills, and Kelly Hepler) provided numerous suggestions during review of earlier drafts. The clerical staff assisted in assembling this report and preparing tables.

## INTRODUCTION

Sport fishing is an activity of major significance to land use planning in Southcentral Alaska. The continued growth and centralization of a recreationally oriented population has resulted in pressures on fish populations so great that nearly every river and lake system has required special regulatory protection, such as gear restrictions and emergency closures. These systems are often the first to exhibit the effects of habitat degradation associated with increased growth of the human population and numerous resource development efforts such as mining, road construction, agriculture, forestry, and the like. In addition, sport fishing is very popular in Southcentral Alaska. The vicinity map included in Atlas Map C4 identifies the major fishing locations, levels of effort in terms of days fished, and the major access modes to these fisheries.

To establish reliable estimates of the human use and economic effects presently associated with sport fishing in the Susitna basin, it is necessary to first assemble a profile of this activity basin-wide. The data base assembled under the <u>Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Studies</u> will be used for this purpose. Next, a more in-depth look will be taken at how sport fishing is pursued in the basin by selecting a sample of streams and lakes demonstrating typical patterns of harvest, access, travel mode, equipment, time requirements, and the types of users served. Economic values will be attributed to these systems and, by inference, to the entire basin through application of a simplified version of the travel cost method. Finally, the fisheries potential of the region is considered.

-1-

ζ.

#### PRESENT USE PROFILE

Three types of information provide the basis for a profile of sport fishing in the Susitna basin: 1) angler days, 2) number of fish harvested, and 3) the residency of anglers. This information is organized by species, by area, and by fishery, and has been systematically collected by the Sport Fish Division since statehood. Formal questionnaires of a large sample of the sport fishing population (nearly 8,400 completed questionnaires were returned in 1981) have been used since 1977. This effort has resulted in one of the most carefully designed, consistently managed, and statistically accurate data bases available for any resource use in the state.

Table 1 summarizes sport fishing days, total harvests, and averages for the five-year period 21977-1981 for the principle river and lake systems in the Susitna basin. Figure 1 displays these same data graphically. The fisheries referred to are generally well known. Excluding the Willow sub-basin area, fishing days range from 7 to 9% of the statewide total over this period. Only a small portion of the Glennallen area fisheries are included in the Susitna area: the Lake Louise complex and the fisheries off the Denali Highway. The eastside Susitna drainage is dominated by the fisheries north of Little Willow Creek, which are easily reached from the Parks Highway. The entire westside Susitna drainage is included, with effort and harvest concentrated in four main river systems that are generally reached by aircraft and boat. The available data on effort and harvest in 1980 for all Susitna basin fisheries are listed in Table 2. 1980 is taken as the typical year for purposes of this study.

Table 3 summarizes the residency of the users of Susitna basin fisheries in terms of fishing days at each location.

A review of these tables serves to verify several important features of the sport fishery in the Susitna basin.

Sport fishing is indeed a widespread and popular activity. For 1980, effort in the Susitna basin totaled 118,590 fishing days. At 1980 population levels (Anchorage: 174,431, Mat-Su Borough: 17,816), nearly every resident in the area could have participated sometime during the year.

A high percentage of effort (over 30%) is concentrated on a very limited number of small creeks clustered along the Parks Highway. This pattern is reinforced by the inclusion of Willow sub-basin data: taken together, these small drainages<sub>3</sub> account for 89,694 fishing days, or 35% of the area total in 1980. Target species in these extremely popular drainages are primarily salmon.

A near one-to-one relationship between the number of days fished and the total harvest appears common. For the anadromous fisheries, harvest rates appear to be a little lower, whereas for the resident fish species rates are higher. Since the usual fishing limit is three fish per day, the 1980 harvest level required to satisfy every fisherman every day would be about 356,000 fish (118,590 days fished X 3) or 3.6 times the 1980 harvest.

-2-

TABLE 1. Sport Fishing Days and Total Harvests, Susitna Basin 1977-1981 (Willow Sub-basin Area excluded)

por constant and constant and

and the second s

Survivation of the

Survey and S

1

Annual Contraction of the Contra

•

-

Fisheries	19 Days	77 Harvest	197 Days	'8 Harvest	1979 Days H	arvest	198 Days	0 Harvest	198 Days	1 Harvest	Aver Days	age Harvest
Glennallen Area												
Lake Louise, Lake Susitna & Tyone Lake Other Waters (X 35%)	14,899 7,746	10,624 10,308	13,161 4,667	8,419 7,914	12,199 6,613	8,953 11,909	10,539 5,823	15,386 9,191	14,397 5,354	15,941 9,231	13,039 6,040	11,865 9,711
Eastside Susitna Drainage												
All waters except Willow ( & Little Willow Creek	reek 38 <b>,</b> 044	33,163	57,641	67,598	54,140	38,561	54,103	54 <b>,</b> 340	41,949	35,884	49 <b>,</b> 175	45,909
Westside Susitna Drainage												
All Freshwater Areas	31,946	39,606	37,971	48,287	50,374	49,392	48,125	52,272	37,335	36,110	41,310	45,043
Total	92 <b>,</b> 635	93,701	113,440	132,218	123,326	108,815	118,590	131,189	99 <b>,</b> 035	97,166	109,565	112,528
(Total Less Pink Salmon)		(73,727)		(97,300)		(89,972)	Ŭ	103,963)		(91,774)		(99,242)
Percent of Statewide Totals	7.7	9.6	8.8	12.7	0.6	8,3	6.1	10	7.0	10	8.1	10.1
Source: Mills, Michael J. 1 active fishing, all and release fisheri	977-1981 anglers es.	. Statew . "Harve	ride Harv st" denc	/est Studi otes all 1	ies. Sel fish take	ected fr n, all s	om appro pecies i	priate t ncluded,	ables. but doe	"Days" a s not in	re days clude ca	of tch

,

,

•

-3-

TABLE 2. 1980 Susitna	a Basin Sp	ort Fishi	ng Effort	and Harve	st by Fi	sheries	and Spe	ecies	Species	Harvestec						
Fisheries	Days Fished	KS	SS		RS	PS	cs	RT	AC	LT	GR	dN	WF	BB 0	ther H	otal larvest
Glennallen Area Lake Louise, Lake Susitna, Tyone Lake other waters (x 35%)	10,539 5,823	0 145	0	0 75	301	001	001	0 461	0 292	2,609 784	4,477 5,985	001	1,688 63	6,612 687	0 341	15,386 9,191
Total	16,362	145	57	75	301	0	0	461	292	3,393	10,462	0	1,751	7,299	341	24,577
Eastside Susitna Rivel Caswell Creek Montana Creek Sunshine Creek Clear (Chunilna) Creel Sheep Creek Others	<pre>Drainage 4,963 19,287 19,287 5,208 4,388 6,4,388 6,041 12,216</pre>	215 559 172 45** 45**	1,124 2,684 1,534 661 460 2,234	1,663 1,663	77 257 116 6 257	1,663 8,230 2,408 6,362 3,403	19 571 225 385 648 1,445	154 854 193 950 385 2,658	83 167 39 751 83 790	267 267	353 655 655 1,348 725 4,854	000000	000000	26 39 32 45 212	26 13 32 520 520	3,740 14,003 4,567 4,959 8,723 8,723
Total	54,103	1,049	8,667	1,663	713	22,688	3,293	5,194	1,913	267	7,935	0	0	367	591	54,340
Westside Susitna River Kroto Creek (Deshka) Lake Creek Alexander Creek Talachulitna River Chuit River Chuit River Cheis River Shell Lake Whiskey Lake Whiskey Lake Judd Lake Other Lake Other Lake Other Lake	Drainage 19,364 8,325 6,812 6,812 6,812 6,812 43 414 414 29 814 29 29 2999 48,125	<pre>3,685 3,685 775 1,775 1,438 1,17** 177** 177** 129** 0 0 0 6,182 6,182</pre>	$\begin{array}{c} 2,290\\ 2,351\\ 2,351\\ 491\\ 491\\ 258\\ 370\\ 6,010\\ 6,010\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 12,769\\ \end{array}$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	267 267 112 34 198 198 1111	2,101 809 276 276 232 232 0 0 0 0 0 4,538	284 121 284 00 177 284 00 00 00 00	4,305 2,144 1,945 379 379 379 250 1,722 1,722 0 9 9 9 9 345	121 353 353 353 353 982 129 0 0 0 0 723 723 3,100	$\begin{array}{c cccc} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & $	1,817 1,972 1,145 1,713 1,808 0 0 232 232 9,247	$\begin{array}{c} 103\\ 103\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 232\\ 232\\ \end{array}$	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	224 0 0 0 144 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	69 00 0000000000000000000000000000000000	$\begin{array}{c} 13,079\\ 9,903\\ 6,862\\ 6,862\\ 4,091\\ 791\\ 791\\ 791\\ 370\\ 0\\ 2\\ 3,271\\ 3,271\\ 52,272\\ 52,272\end{array}$
GRAND TOTAL	118,590	7,376	21,493	1,738	2,125	27,226	3,784 1	000,61	5,305	4,108	27,644	232	1,751	8,372 1	,035	131,189
Total Poundage	.171	000/968	125,000	1,740	12,500	89 <b>,</b> 800	27 <b>,</b> 600 1	000°61	5,300	10,300	30,400	696	2,280	29 <b>,</b> 300 1	,000	527,000
Source: Mills, Micha Species Harvested and 5.9, Pink salmon (PS) 1.1, Northern pike (N of Sport Fish, Pers. (	el J. 1981 average w 3.3, Chum ) 3.0, Wh Comm., L.	<ul> <li>Statew</li> <li>eights (1)</li> <li>salmon (1)</li> <li>itefish (1)</li> <li>itefish (1)</li> <li>Engel 3/8</li> </ul>	ide Harves bs): Chir CS) 7.3, F WF) 1.3, E 3; and, Mc	st Study - nook salmo Rainbow tr Burbot (BB Drrow, Jam	1980 da n (KS) 2 out (RT) ) 3.5. es E., 1	ita. ADF 4.4/2.2, 1.0, Dc (Source 980. <u>T</u> F	-&G, Div , Coho & Jly Van for pou	vision o salmon ( rden/Arc undages: <u>umater F</u>	f Sport SS) 5.8 tic chan ADF&C	Fish, Jur Landlock (DV/AC) Division F Alaska.	ieau. Exti ced Coho so 1.0, Lake n of Commei Alaska No	racted almon ( trout rcial F orthwes	from Tab LL) 1.0, (LT) 2.5 isheries t Publis	Jes 42, Sockeye , Arctic , and AD	44 and salmor grayli F&G, Di pany, A	45. 1 (RS) 1 (RS
** King salmon less th	an 20 incl	hes.				-										

-4-

parameters provide a second se

And a state of the state of the

A Contraction of the second seco

and a second sec - Contraction of the second se 

TABLE 3. 1980 Fishing Days by Residency of Users

Fisheries	Days Fished	Non-Resident Days Fished	Anch. Area	Mat-Su Bor.	Fbks. Area	Balance of State
<u>Glennallen Area</u>						
Lake Louise, Lake Susitna, Tyone Lake Other Waters (x 35%)	10,539 5,823	1,875 1,142	5,360 2,177	1,254 220	245 7 <u>84</u>	1,805 1,500
, Total	16,362	3,017	7,537	1,474	1,029	3,305
Eastside Susitna River Drainage	٥J					
Caswell Creek Montana Creek Sunshine Creek Clear (Chunilna) Creek Sheep Creek	4,963 19,287 5,208 4,388 8,041	446 3,106 422 439 870 870	2,871 13,128 3,700 2,596 6,202	1,967 1,967 822 843 754	1,026 245 500 186	59 210 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200
Others	12,216	2,398	<u>6,075</u>	3,086	343	314
Total	54,103	7,681	34,572	8,971	2,388	491
Westside Susitna River Drainage	δļ					
Kroto Creek (Deshka) Lake Creek Alexander Creek Talachulitna River Chuit River Theodore River	19,364 8,325 6,812 6,812 700 700 4,3	2,635 1,140 536 37 37 37	14,034 6,291 4,877 1,608 534 447 534 447 43	2,581 807 360 25 54 54 54	75 25 20 25 20 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	39 62 310 62 75 75 0 75
Shell Lake	414	0	414	0	0	0
Whiskey Lake Hewitt Lake	29 471	00	29 457	0 14 0	00	00
Judd Lake Other Lakes	814 2,999	181	633 1,986	0 472	12	0
Total	48 <b>,</b> 125	7,022	34,169	4,797	534	1,603
Grand Total	118,590	17,720	76,278	15,242	3 <b>,</b> 951	5,399

-5-

:

Differences in odd and even-year pink salmon harvests account for most of the annual fluctuations in harvest shown in Figure 1.

There may be a correlation between lower harvest rates and fisheries showing important King Salmon harvests. The Kroto, Montana, Caswell and Alexander creeks express this effect.

A surprisingly high level of effort takes place in the westside Susitna fishery, which is dominated by four particularly important streams. Most of these are in remote areas and demonstrate that the Alaskan angler is willing to undergo the extra time and expense to fly or boat into productive fishing areas.

It is possible to select fisheries for which access, use, and harvest patterns are typical for the basin. In the following section, use patterns of selected fisheries are discussed, including background data required for a preliminary economic analysis.

Use Patterns

Rather than treating the Susitna basin as a homogeneous region, the approach taken here is to select and describe specific fisheries that typically share the same patterns of use. For this purpose the most common modes of access have been chosen as the basis for selection: family car, fly-in, and multiple modes for which combinations of road, air, and water transportation are required.

Fisheries accessible by family car. Table 4 summarizes the sport fisheries accessible by family car for which harvest and effort data are available. These fisheries are near major highways and characteristically serve as day or weekend fisheries. Target species are primarily salmon. Only the Lake Louise system and 35% of "other waters" occur within the Susitna basin. "Other waters" refers to numerous locations, primarily near the Denali Highway. The eastside Susitna drainages are relatively small, with only a small portion of these creeks accessible to anglers. Data in Table 2 for 1980 (our typical or indicator year) show about half of the fishing effort and half of the harvest (55%) occurring in these easily accessible fisheries. Overall success rates are 1.1 fish/day and somewhat lower for drainages dominated by anadromous salmon (0.8 fish/day). Of the westside Susitna drainages only the Kroto Creek - Deshka River system is accessible by road.

Fisheries accessible by air or multiple modes of transportion. In Table 5 a selected group of fisheries for which access is more difficult are listed. More equipment, time, and expense is required to reach these drainages: the distances travelled are greater, and very often the assistance of commercial operators is required for a portion or all of the trip. Data in Table 3 show residency of users. Frequency of use of the eastside and westside systems appears remarkably similar for non-resident and for Anchorage fishermen, but the westside fisheries appear less preferred by Mat-Su and Fairbanks fishermen. This is an effect created by the exclusion of Willow sub-basin fisheries from the analysis: total days fished for all eastside fisheries is 91,300 (1980, including Willow sub-basin, Table 1 and Table 6), or nearly double that of the westside fisheries. The importance of Montana and Kroto creeks is obvious.

-6-

That these streams can maintain productivity year after year under such enormous fishing pressure attests to their very high value as a resource.

FIGURE 1. Sport Fishing Days and Total Harvests, Susitna Basin 1977-1981

.



Source: See Table 1

1

TABLE 4. 1980 Use of	Susitna Area Spor	t Fisheries Accessit	ole by Family Car	٤						
Fisheries To	tal Days Fished <sup>1</sup>	Total Harvests <sup>1</sup>	Round Trip Anch Area Mat	Road Distar -Su Boro Ft	nces <sup>2</sup> bx Area	Resident 1 Anch Area	[rave] Cost ( Mat-Su Boro	\$) <sup>3</sup> Fbx Area	Average Fravel Costs Day	
Glennallen Area										
Lake Louise, Lake Susitna, Tyone Lake other waters (x 35%)	10,539 5,823	15,386 9,191	340	260	360	180,000 	32,000 	000'6	\$32.00 	
Eastside Susitna Rive	د ا									
Caswell Creek Montana Creek Sunshine Creek Sheep Creek Others (x 90%)	4,963 19,287 5,208 8,041 10,994	3,740 14,003 4,567 8,723 16,513	190 210 230 	100 130 110 	560 540 560 	53,000 270,000 83,000 120,000	15,000 25,000 11,000 8,000	5,000 54,000 13,000 10,000	\$28.00 \$29.00 \$30.00 \$28.00	
Westside Susitna Rive	6.1							•		
Kroto Creek (Deshka) (x 5%)	968	<u>654</u>	280	190	500	21,000	2,000		\$23.00	
lotal .	628 <b>,</b> C9	111,21				.000 <b>°</b> /Z/	93,000	91,000		
Grand Total							911,(	000		

<sup>1</sup>See Table 3.

<sup>2</sup>As calculated by use of a digital map plotter at scale 1/250,000 rounded.

<sup>3</sup>(Days fished) X (Round trip travel distance) X travel cost per person per mile (\$0.097).

<sup>4</sup>Average travel cost for Anchorage anglers: 727,000 = \$23,4531,000 user days

÷

.

Section 2.

TABLE 5. 1980 Use of Susitna Area Fisheries Accessible by Multiple Modes of Transportation

	the same states of the same states								
Fisheries	Days <sup>1</sup> Fished	Total <sup>1</sup> Harvest	Round Tri Anch Area	p Travel Dist Mat-Su Boro	cances <sup>2</sup> Fbx Area	Resident Tra Anch Area Ma	avel Cost (\$ it-Su Boro	× 1000) <sup>3</sup> Fbx Area	Average Travel Cost/Day
Eastside Susitna Drainages									
Clear Creek (Chunilna) other waters (x10%)	4,388 1,222	4,959 919	250	160 	570	37	17	15	\$20.50
Westside Susitna Drainages	,								
Kroto Creek (Deshka)(x95%) Lake Creek	18,396 8,325	12,425 9,903	76 120	06 04	790 830	695 281	64 23	<del>م</del> ر	40.00 40.00
Alexander Creek Talachulitna River	6,812 2,542	6,862 4,091	80 134 2	50 110	800 850	265 66	15 • 8	3	45.00 46.00
Chuit River other waters	614 10,468	791 17,546	86		800	32	- :	• • •	80.00
Total	52,767	57,496				1,376 <sup>5</sup>	121	31	
						Grand	Total \$1,528	3 <b>,</b> 000 <sup>4</sup>	
							والمحافظ والالبرانية والمستحد والمستحد والمستحد والمحافظ والمحافظ		

<sup>1</sup>See Table 3.

<sup>2</sup>Air travel distances only for Anchorage and Mat-Su. Anchorage air distance plus 716 road miles for Fairbanks.

 $^3$ See Kroto Creek (Deshka) work sheet for example of calculation (Note 7).

<sup>4</sup>Anchorage, Matanuska Valley and Fairbanks values only.

<sup>5</sup>Average for Anchorage  $\frac{1,376,000}{15,400} = \$89.00$ 

;

-9-

IABLE 5. 1980, WILLOW	oup-pasin	sport ris		t and Ha	rvest by	r 1 sner 1 es	s and spe	cies						
Fisheries	Days Fished	KS	SS	Е	RS	PS	cs	RT	AC	Ц	GR	88	0ther	Total Harvest
Knik Arm Drainage														
Little Susitna River	22,420	646	6,302	0	2,127	3,918	465	852	1,748	0	181	6	1,059	17,307
Masilia vicer (Rabbit Slough)	5,726	0	3,555	0	0	310	6	121	189	0	0	0	0	4,184
Cottonwood Creek	9,268	00	3,375	0 ;	2,660	00	00	1,085	439	00	00	00	00	7,559
wasılla Lake Finger Lake	1,042 6,483	00	00	10.685	00		00	400 <b>"</b> 7	0	00	00	00	00	2,200 10.685
Kepler Lake Complex	8,597	0	0	2,807	0	0	0	5,906	0	0	1,061	0	0	9,729
Lucille Lake Big Lake	3,798 12,195	00	00	5,633 189	43 43	00	00	0 5,398	0 7 <b>,</b> 585	0 594	00	43 6	00	3,633 13,852
Nancy Lake Recreation area,														
including Nancy Lake	9.153	0	0	146	69	0	0	2.540	327	749	C	34	43	3.908
Others	23,248	01	2,798	1,997	775	473	60	11,382	20,015	775	8,317	224	34	28,850
Total	102,530	949	16,030	19,500	5,674	4 <b>,</b> 701	534	29,368	12,484	2,118	9,514	310	1,136	102,015
East Side Susitna Drain	nage													
Willow Creek Little Willow Creek	29,011 8,190	289 32	1,207 494	00	83 77	23,638 6,420	989 270	1,168 353	636 122	00	1,863 1,156	00	116 13	29,989 8,937
GRAND TOTAL	139,731	967	17,731	19,500	5,834	34,759	1,793	30,889	13,242	2,118	12,533	310	1,265	140,941
Source: Mills, Michae Division of Sp	l J. 1981. Dort Fish,	Statewid Juneau.	e Harvest	Study -	1980 Data	. Extrac	ted from	Tables 44	and 46.	Alaska D	epartmen	t of F	ish and Game	
Species Harvested and a	sverade weid	ahts (1bs	): Chinoc	ok Salmon	(KS) 24.	4/2.2. Co	oho salmo	n (SS) 5.8	. Landloc	ked Coho	salmon (I	LL) 1.	0. Sockeye s	almon
(RS) 5.9, Pink salmon	(PS) 3.3, CI	hum salmo	n (CS) 7.3	3, Rainbo	w trout (	RT) 1.0, sion of (	Dolly Va	rden/Årcti 1 Fisherie	c char (D)	//AC) 1.0	, Lake ti	rout (	LŤ) 2.5, Arc	tic
and ADF&G, Division of Publishing Company. And	Sport Fish	, Pers. C	omm., L. E	ingel 3/8	3; and, N	lorrow, Ja	mes E.,	1980. The	Freshwate	er Fishes	of Alas	ka. A	Jaska Northw	est
**King salmon less than	1 20 inches		,											
				,										

1

-10-

Second and second second second

financeses

:

-----

#### ECONOMIC VALUES

Access is among the most important factors determining patterns of use. In addition, access is of central importance to the economic analysis, in which a simplified version of the travel cost method is used. The primary assumption of this method is that the net dollar value of a recreational fishery may be estimated by taking the cost of travel as a substitute for the price of a fishing trip. In other words, payment of the costs to travel to a specific location may be taken as an expression of "willingness to pay" to use that location and represents the net value, or worth, of that site to the user. Therefore, if the number of trips taken per year to a fishing area is known, the costs of travel may be estimated from standard sources and a dollar value determined. This is no more than a short-hand method of arriving at a preliminary determination of recreational use values "at zero price." Without preparation of a demand function for the fishing trip and with no prediction of the use of a site at increased costs, it is not possible to estimate willingness to pay the "margin above cost of sport fishing which measures the real monetary value which would be lost if the fishery were to disappear."<sup>4</sup> The present effort is a first step towards application of the travel cost method to a large geographic region for the purpose of estimating net benefits from private recreational uses. Commercial operations of significant size serve the sport fishery and represent a significant additional source of value; but they are not included here, nor is any measure of consumer's surplus attempted.

Fisheries Accessible by Family Car

Resident travel costs of \$911,000 (Table 4) portray a general perspective of the annual "value," or net benefit to the economy in general, of the fisheries identified. This analysis is driven by the use of two sets of data and a single cost factor: angler days, residency of fishermen, and the cost/mile of automobile travel. The cost of \$.097/mile used is derived from United States Department of Transportation data for 1977, updated for Alaska by use of the Alaska consumer price index and assuming that there are an average of 2.5 persons per car. The cost figures in Table 4 are generated by simply multiplying (days fished by origin of fishermen) X (round trip distance to site) X \$.097. It is assumed that all fishing trips are one-day trips.

The data shown in Table 4 may be used to estimate the value of all roadaccessible fisheries. Anchorage, Mat-Su Borough, and Fairbanks residents spend over \$900,000 annually (\$911,000) in travel costs to sport fish in the six most popular fisheries in the Susitna basin. Using the appropriate averages, travel costs for unidentified fisheries may be estimated as follows:

> <u>Glennallen other waters</u> 5,823 days X \$32/day = \$186,000 <u>Eastside other waters</u> 10,994 days X \$29/day = <u>\$319,000</u>

> > Total = \$505,000

Residents from elsewhere in the state (see "Balance of State" column, Table 3) used these waters, and estimates of their travel costs may also be made under the assumption that these users incur a travel cost similar to Anchorage users, plus a nominal air fare (\$150), and that they mostly take two-day fishing trips.

Balance of state		
Glennallen	<u>3,305 days</u> X (\$150 + \$32)/day	= \$301,000
	2 day/trip	
Factoido	(42) + (150)	- \$ 13 000
LUSUSIUE	$\frac{401 \text{ days}}{2 \text{ day/trip}}$ $(100 + 129)/(\text{day})$	- \$ 40,000
Westside	<u>    2 days</u> X (\$150 + \$23)/day	= \$ 173
	2 day/trip	
	Total	\$340,000

In addition, considerable use of these fisheries occurs by non-residents (see Table 3), who generally fly to Anchorage and incur travel costs thereafter similar to Anchorage residents. If half of a round trip air fare from Seattle may be attributed to fishing and two-day fishing trips are assumed, the following costs are derived:

Non-residents. Glennallen area 3,017 days X (\$263 + \$33.60) = \$ 448,000 2 day/trip dav Eastside Susitna 7,242 days X (\$263 + <u>\$29</u>) 2 day/trip day = \$1,050,000 Westside Susitna <u>132 days</u> X (\$263 + <u>\$30</u>) 20,000 = 2 day/trip day Total \$1,520,000

In summary, the total value of fisheries accessible by road (excluding the Willow sub-basin) is as follows:

"Big Six" fisheries other waters Balance of State Non-residents		\$ 911,000 \$ 505,000 \$ 340,000 \$1,520,000
	Total	\$3,276,000

Fisheries Accessible by Air or Multiple Modes of Transportation

The analysis of economic value of systems requiring multiple modes of access goes well beyond the usual application of the travel cost method. A number of assumptions are required concerning distances travelled, the preferred travel mode, residency of users, the number of days per trip, and the variable costs incurred. It may be useful therefore to describe the analysis<sub>7</sub>of one fishery: the Kroto Creek - Moose Creek - Deshka River system.

Access to the Deshka River system is available at five locations: by air to the mouth of the river, Neil Lake, and Butterfly Lake; by car and boat at the Petersville road crossing; and from the Kashwitna River dock on the Susitna River. It is estimated that 5% fish by car access along the Petersville road area, another 45% by boat access from the Kashwitna dock, and the remaining 50% by aircraft to the mouth of the river. It is further assumed that half the fishing on the Deshka is day fishing, the other half consisting of two-day trips. It is further assumed that all users resident in the Mat-Su Borough and Fairbanks areas access the fishery by car and boat from the Kashwitna dock and that their fishing trips last two and two-and-one-half days respectively. Travel cost is then calculated from Anchorage, the Mat-Su Borough, and Fairbanks, based upon round-trip miles by each mode of transportation, costs per mile, and the number of users grouped by residency. Travel cost for the remaining users (balance of state) is determined using an averaged value.

Travel costs for fisheries requiring multiple modes of transportation are shown in Table 5. Data may be used from this table in the same manner as above to estimate costs for "other waters" and the balance of state and non-resident costs.

Eastside	"other	waters" 1,222	χ	\$29	=	\$ 35,400
Westside	"other	waters" 10,468	χ	\$50	=	\$523,000
				Total	=	\$558,000

Users from elsewhere in the state (Balance of state, Table 3) show:

 $\frac{\text{Eastside}}{\frac{208 \text{ days}}{2 \text{ days/trip}}} \times (\$150 + \$89) = \$24,900$ 

Westside <u>1603 days</u> X (\$150 + \$89) = \$192,000 <u>2 days/trip</u>

Total = \$216,900

For non-residents, the approach is similar to that taken in Table 5:

 $\frac{\text{Eastside Susitna}}{\frac{679 \text{ days}}{2 \text{ days/trip}}} X (\$263 + \$89) = \$120,000$ 

## Total = \$1,356,000

In summary, the total net "value" of fisheries requiring multiple modes of transportation is as follows:

"Big Six" fisheries		\$1,528,000
other waters		\$ 558,000
Balance of State		\$ 217,000
Non-residents		\$1,356,000
	Total	\$3 659 000
	1000	ψο,οου,οου

Total Willingness to Pay

Our current estimate of the total 1980 net "value" of these fisheries is in the range of \$7,000,000.

Willingness to Sell

One of the purposes for calculating the economic value of fish and wildlife resources is to assist in determining whether a project requiring the limitation or loss of these resources can be justified economically. In these situations, "willingness to pay" to enjoy the use of these resources is not the appropriate measurement. In cases where loss of a resource or an activity is the management option under consideration, the correct measure of value is the willingness of the users to sell or relinquish their right to use the resources in question.

The ADF&G Sport Fish Division has included hypothetical questions regarding the willingness of anglers to give up their right to fish pink salmon as part of a larger study of the values of sport fishing on Willow Creek (see Workman, William G. 1983. Valuing Outdoor Recreation Opportunities. Agroborealis. Fairbanks, p.29ff), with the following results:

Fishery: Willow Creek Pink Salmon Sample: 504 anglers Question: "What is the smallest amount you would accept to give up your rights to fish pink salmon on the Willow Creek in 1980?" Net willingness to sell: \$2,685,740 Days fished pink salmon 1980: 19,121 Net willingness to sell/day: \$140.46 Days fished all species in 1980: \$29,989 Extention to all species fished: \$4,212,255

As has repeatedly occurred in other studies, it appears that values based upon estimates of "willingness to sell" are considerably higher than based upon "willingness to pay." Using the figures for a pink salmon fishing day for the entire region (\$140.46), and using the five year average days fishing for the entire basin (see Table 1), 109,565 days fishing results in a total average value of \$15,400,000.

:

٠

### ENHANCEMENT POTENTIAL AND PROJECTED USE

The enhancement objective for the recreational fisheries of the Susitna basin is to produce an additional 106,000 salmon and steelhead by 1988. Using a 2.3% annual growth rate, an increase of 87,000 angler days over 1979 is expected, or 522,000 angler days by 1988. To maintain the current catch rate of .35 salmon/day the total catch must therefore increase to 124,000 fish (Alaska Department of Fish & Game, Division of Sport Fish. 1981. Plan for Supplemented Production of Salmon and Steelhead for Cook Inlet Recreation and Fisheries. Juneau, Alaska.).

Enhancement of Access and Public Facilities

Because the road system and population centers are on the eastside of the Susitna River, access to the major sport fishing streams located on the westside is difficult. Since most eastside streams are intersected by (other than parallel to) the highway, access is limited by private land holdings (pp. 20-31). Given this situation, provision of any new access and facilities is expected to result in significant increases in fishing effort. NOTES

<sup>1</sup>Mills, Michael. Statewide Harvest Survey, 1977-1981 Data. Volume 19-23, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration and Anadromous Fish Studies. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish. Juneau, Alaska. 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982.

<sup>2</sup>Sport fishing activities within the Willow sub-basin area are excluded from this study. This area has already been treated under an area plan (see Alaska Department of Natural Resources, et al. Willow Sub-Basin Area Plan. Division of Research and Development, Anchorage. 1982.) For reference, sport fishing activity in this area for the 1980 indicator year is summarized in Table 6.

<sup>3</sup>Fisheries of this type for the Susitna basin are:

Creek	Days Fished 1980	Miles of River Accessible to Angler
Willow Creek	29,011	1.5
Little Willow Creek	8,190	1.5
Wasilla Creek	5,726	2.0
Cottonwood Creek	9,268	2.3
Montana Creek	19,287	.5
Caswell Creek	4,963	.5
Sunshine Creek	5,208	.5
Sheep Creek	8,041	1.5
Total	89,694	10.3

angler days/mile/day:  $\frac{89,694}{10.3 \times 60} = 145$ 

(assume 60 day season, all species)

<sup>4</sup>Crutchfield, J. A. 1962. Valuation of Fishery Resources. Land. Economics, 38(5): 148.

<sup>5</sup>A procedural guide and primary source for the travel cost method is provided by: Dwyer, J.F., J.R. Kelly, and M.D. Bowes. 1977. Improved Procedures for Valuation of the Contribution of Recreation to National Economic Development. Final Report to the Office of Water Research and Technology Grant No. 14-34-001-6237 <sup>6</sup>cost/mile, standard auto determined as follows:

Item	¢/mile			
Variable Costs Maintenance Parts & Tires Gas & Oil Subtotal	1976 <sup>a</sup> U.S. National <u>Average</u> 4.2 <u>3.3</u> 7.5	Nov. 1982 <sup>b</sup> U.S. National Average <u>1976 X 1.8</u> 13.5	Nov. 1982 <sup>C</sup> Alaska Costs 1982 USA X 1.24 16.7	
Fixed Costs Depreciation Insurance Taxes Subtotal	4.9 1.7 <u>1.6</u> 8.2	14.76	<u>18.3</u>	
Total	15.7	28.26	35.0	

cost/mile, Recreation Vehicles assumed 20% above standard auto or  $3.35 \times 1.20 = 3.42$ ; assume 70% family car use, 30% recreational vehicle use:  $\frac{(70 \times 16.7) + (30 \times 42)}{100} =$ 

$$11.70 + 12.60 = \frac{24.30}{2.5} = 9.7^{d}$$

## Source

<sup>a</sup>Federal Highway Administration. 1977. Transportation Trends and Choices. Tolls and parking fees excluded.

<sup>b</sup>Pers. Comm., Neal Freid, Alaska Department of Labor, 1/13/83, based upon United States Transportation CPI update factor:  $\frac{Nov. 1982}{1976}, \frac{297.4}{165.5} = 1.8$ 

<sup>C</sup>Ibid, 1/13/83, 11/82 Transportation Index for Alaska:1.24 or 24% higher in Alaska.

<sup>d</sup>For comparison see use of 7¢/mile in Nicholson, A.J. 1957. Summary of Sportsmen's Expenditures, Missouri River Basin. Spec. Sci. Report: Wildlife #35. United States Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. Surveys from 1940's.

For comparison see also use of 30¢/mile for reimbursable cost of private auto use by State of Alaska.

<sup>7</sup>Work Sheet - Fishing Recreation Values - Non-Road Accessed Areas

Fishing Location <u>Kroto Creek (Deshka)</u> Point of Origin <u>Anchorage</u>

Two alternative methods of access:

1. Auto/Air Taxi

a)	) Auto	o Round	trip	miles	to	air	taxi	=	25 miles	
			•						statute and a statute of the statute	

- b) Auto Miles in a) above x \$.097 = \$2.45
- c) Air taxi round trip miles to fishing location (river mouth)
- $= \frac{180 \text{ miles}}{(115.20)}$
- d) Air taxi miles in c) above x \$.640 = \$115.20e) Total cost per person = b) \$2.45 + d) \$115.20 = \$117.65
- f) Assumed % of people using this access method 50%
- g) % in f) = .50 x e) 117.65 = 59.00 weighted cost

# 2. Auto/Boat

a) Auto miles round trip to stream which accesses fishing location 185 miles Kashwitna

- b) Auto miles in a) above x \$.097 = \$17.95 Kashwitna
- c) Boat round trip miles to fishing location 60 miles Kashwitna
- d) Boat miles in c) above x \$.338 = \$20.28
- e) Total cost per person = b) \$17.95 + d) \$20.28 = \$38.23
- f) Assumed % of people using this access method 45% Kashwitna
- g) % in f) =  $.45 \times e$ ) \$38.23 = \$17.20

User day value

Weighted cost from 1. g) above =  $\frac{$59.00}{$17.20}$ Weighted cost from 2. g) above =  $\frac{$17.20}{$76.20}$ 

User day value = Total Cost  $\frac{576.20}{2}$  ÷ average # of days/trip  $1.5 = \frac{50.80}{2}$ 

Total Value = User day value <u>\$50.80</u> x Anchorage user days 14,034 = \$712,927.00